Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.cb

2005-01 - 2005-02

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-01-01 through 2005-02-28

Source text: rec.radio.cb.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,385

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 00:46:52 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <0qmBd.38342$yv2.5357@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193641

Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to your families.

This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.

U KNOW WHO

2. Re: This place is turning into a sewer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 01:47:05 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <tinBd.5065$5R.1068@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193642

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:j0sat0lvjvubsl52g50ti5q47224qgbl9u@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 31 Dec 2004 14:48:45 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <hFdBd.4220$wZ2.1311@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>> Re-read your history books: The US and several other UN nations were
>>> there to keep Israel and Syria from starting WWIII in war-torn
>>> Lebanon. If Lebanon's neighbors stayed behind their borders the US
>>> would have let the civil war continue unabated because we had no
>>> interest in that country.
>>
>>Yup, you are correct, but it's when we stayed too long
>>that's when you guys started to get targeted.
>> Sound familiar?
>
>
> Very familiar. We were picked off like ducks in a shooting gallery,
> then someone figured out how to take out a large number in one attack.
> It's only a matter of time until something similar happens in Iraq.

Yeah, I agree with Bush, but he should've had an
exit plan before he even thought about going in.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

3. Re: Leece Neville value

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 01:48:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <400ct01fgrp6kn62k1et9db4892kk8hjga@4ax.com>
Source record: 193643

On Fri, 31 Dec 2004 15:26:39 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95D0A7895FAF61234567890@216.196.97.136>:

<snip>
>Use a Pulse width modulator and some fet's

.....uh, I don't think so. Efficiency is far less important to me than
eliminating possible RFI or regulator failure. I have a lot of MJ11028
power Darlingtons and a pair of those should do the job just fine.

4. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 01:54:15 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bpnBd.5068$5R.3803@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193644

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
message news:0qmBd.38342$yv2.5357@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to
> your families.
>
> This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all
> here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.
>
> U KNOW WHO
>

NO problemo dude.....Have a safe New Years!!

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

5. Re: This place is turning into a sewer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 01:58:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <af0ct01kmsg28dmiu3ljl5llfm9516tuft@4ax.com>
Source record: 193645

On Sat, 01 Jan 2005 01:47:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <tinBd.5065$5R.1068@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
> Yeah, I agree with Bush, but he should've had an
>exit plan before he even thought about going in.

Let's see now, you agree with Bush but you don't agree with Bush. Gee,
that sounds kinda familiar.....

6. Re: This place is turning into a sewer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 02:03:02 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <qxnBd.5071$5R.4802@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193646

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:af0ct01kmsg28dmiu3ljl5llfm9516tuft@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 01 Jan 2005 01:47:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <tinBd.5065$5R.1068@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>> Yeah, I agree with Bush, but he should've had an
>>exit plan before he even thought about going in.
>
>
> Let's see now, you agree with Bush but you don't agree with Bush. Gee,
> that sounds kinda familiar.....

```
Take a leap Frank :)
While I agree with most of his policies, He should've had
an occupation & exit plan even before he thought about going
in.
I'm sure he thought about it, but waited a long time
before implementing it ;)

Happy New Year Dude!!! Atheists do celebrate it,
don't they (Grin)

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.
```

7. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 02:48:47 UTC
From: ken8038 <Ken.kjk@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1104547727.009435.77360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave

First let me say your post gave me probably my last great laugh of
2004. I love the bung-holio reference (I get it). While you were at it,
you should have added record collectors to your tirade. Or as my (then
17) daughter put it when I dragged her to a record collector show a few
years ago; "The ratio of men to women vs. the number of pony-tails is
way out of whack here". ---Ken
Pope Fullmonty the 6th wrote:
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> while in a pique of
> post-xmas cheer wrote in message
>
news:20041230023508.08032.00002684@mb-m07.aol.salons.space.monkey.gleeps.pulling.levers.on
.slots.at.caesars.lv.com...
> > Seems Time Magazine has a beef with Amateur Radio. This week's
issue
> > (December 27) has an article on "blogs" on page 109. In the
article
> > the following sentence will surely grab the attention of Ham Radio
> > operators and stamp collectors - "Before this year, blogs were a
> > curiosity, a cult phenomenon, a faintly embarrassing hobby on the
> > order of HAM RADIO and stamp collecting."
>
> Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa.................
> HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA !!!
>
> Hey have you been to any hamfests lately? (look around) :)
> Been listening to 14.313 of late? (KJI from Indiana
> is back on in the afternoon again, stirrin up things in the
> truly unique way that only the 'kind jewish indian' can!)
> How about 75 meters at night?
>
> Hint: 14.313 is "re-starting again" folks!
>
> I can name a few other freq's but U know them already.
>
> "..faintly embarassing" they say? BOY OH BOY DID THEY
> EVER GET THAT NAIL NAILED IN STRAIGHT AN
> TIGHT INO THE WALL JOIST ! I don't admit to anyone
> that I have a ham license, even some family.
>
> As for stamp collecting, never been to one of those
> shows, but if those stamp guys are as bung-holeo-retentive
> as are the guys I've seen at train shows, I can understand 100%.
>

> Now with all that said, I got me a Zip-Zap for X-mas.
> Now leave me be whilst I torment the cat... ;)
>
> --------
>
> "A professional politician is a professionally dishonorable man.
> In order to get anywhere near high office, he has to make
> so many compromises and submit to so many humiliations
> that he becomes indistinguishable from a streetwalker."
> - H. L. Mencken
>
> "Erections lasting more than four hours means you've been
> watching entirely too much tsunami coverage on TV"
> - Dave in alt.flonk.the.monkey

8. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 03:11:52 UTC
From: Pope Fullmonty the 6th <trickahbmedkoojss@uwisc.edu>
Message-ID: <d880a$41d614fa$d1cc5612$24913@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 193648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:rIudnUUh1_X3XEjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
> YOu realize that *your* post might be used as evidence of that
> "slightly embarrassing bit?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
^^^^
D U H . . . . . !

(---> Would someone please go an get Homer Simpson
above a big box of pink glazed doughnuts for New Year's?
I'll re-imburse you too :). I'm on the way out the door
to Times Square, just across the River. If you happen
to see a guy on da tube in a black leather trenchcoat with
the red scarf and a green sequined top-hat...YOU SAW
THE POPE F.M. 6th! Congratulations! and a Happy New
Year !)

9. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 03:11:52 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104549112.570372.39580@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193649

Landshark wrote:
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
wrote in
> message news:0qmBd.38342$yv2.5357@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> > Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all,
and to
> > your families.
> >
> > This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to
all
> > here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this
year.
> >
> > U KNOW WHO
> >
>
> NO problemo dude.....Have a safe New Years!!
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
> Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
> I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
> This game that we animals play is a winner.

it is all mind ofer madder...AKC don't mind because keyclowns don't
matter.

10. Re: crossposts to misc.transport.trucking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 03:13:35 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104549215.429152.183670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193650

Did you hear the one about the moron named twithed? Even better.

11. Re: FA: Siltronics 1011C with D-104 Mic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 03:14:26 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104549266.612617.49320@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193651

AKC stops another RF criminal.

12. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 03:28:17 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1104550097.900384.105250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave

You should introduce your daughter to one of my older sons.

13. Re: This place is turning into a sewer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 04:33:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mr8ct0pj9m7titsnc0d4i2tjmjohdfou82@4ax.com>
Source record: 193653

On Sat, 01 Jan 2005 02:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <qxnBd.5071$5R.4802@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:af0ct01kmsg28dmiu3ljl5llfm9516tuft@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 01 Jan 2005 01:47:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote in <tinBd.5065$5R.1068@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>> Yeah, I agree with Bush, but he should've had an
>>>exit plan before he even thought about going in.
>>
>>
>> Let's see now, you agree with Bush but you don't agree with Bush. Gee,
>> that sounds kinda familiar.....
>
> Take a leap Frank :)
> While I agree with most of his policies, He should've had
>an occupation & exit plan even before he thought about going
>in.
> I'm sure he thought about it, but waited a long time
>before implementing it ;)

I'm sure he thought about a lot of things.

> Happy New Year Dude!!! Atheists do celebrate it,
>don't they (Grin)

Us atheists celebrate anything we want, and we enjoy it more because
we are neither compelled nor limited by any religion. As for New Year
celebrations, I'm past the age where I can use it as an excuse to get
blind, stinking drunk and launch some lead skyward (air traffic is
noticably absent this evening). Nowdays I use the holiday to look back
on the past year, praise myself for my accomplishments, analyze my
failures, and contemplate the possibilities of the coming year. That,
and catch up on my bookkeeping so I can get my tax return in early.

14. Re: FA: Siltronics 1011C with D-104 Mic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 05:47:45 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <5QqBd.112169$AL5.24451@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193654

He can always post it here...
http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104549266.612617.49320@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> AKC stops another RF criminal.
>

15. Buy/Sell/Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 05:48:42 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <_QqBd.112180$AL5.37815@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193655

http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/

16. Re: AKC IS RUNNIN' THE NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 06:01:14 UTC
From: "Me." <not4u@noneya.org>
Message-ID: <l4fct0dm59okpt96gq5jr9lk5q0f1q17hh@4ax.com>
Source record: 193656

On 31 Dec 2004 06:57:43 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Nope, sissy chukkeesukkee is the one who posted it.

I didn't post that, moron, try again.

idiot.

17. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 06:51:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1104562316.866973.137820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave

Pope Fullmonty the 6th wrote:

Snipped.

Just another anonymous, gonadless coward.

Steve, K4YZ

18. Re: my black dick will rip up your white women's pussy as she screams with pleasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 08:08:05 UTC
From: fredisdead <dbcooper3390@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <z0tBd.178$p63.123@fe39.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 193658
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.niggers,alt.fuck.niggers

I have a big black bi cock
I love fucking your white women who long for a real piece of meat between
their legs
lajones777@cox.net

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104396541.744431.77390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> lay off the Medina stuff...it isnt the whole towns fault he is
> there...of course they could pass a law against registered morons and
> he'd have to leave.
>

19. message from AKC and N8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 08:14:13 UTC
From: bi tmai meat <jongilpark@korea.net>
Message-ID: <cr5m4k$o4f$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193659

We Koreans think Doug Adair is genius. He run keyclowns ragged in newsgroup, make plenty laughs for jolly asian folk. We write him, ask plans for 2005. Here what he reply us.

Dear Koreans,
2005 is going to be even worse for the poor
sissy keyclowns. I ratted out their auctions,
I exposed Twithed and his fugly kid, and I
made Mopedopey the poster child for gay, brain
damaged morons. In the coming year I won't
let up for a minute. Keyclowns the world over
will shiver in fear at the surprises I have in store
for them. Will they be busted by the FCC? Will
they be arrested by local police for homosexual
prostitution? Har dee har har, they will stay awake
nights wondering. Happy 2005 keysissies!!!!!!!

---------- 占쏙옙占싶놂옙 카占쏙옙占쏙옙占쏙옙 KORNET ----------

20. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 11:44:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101064411.905$Ay@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193660

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
> Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to
> your families.
>
> This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all
> here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.
>
> U KNOW WHO
>
Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great 2005.

I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
interesting.

http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/

21. Re: FA: Siltronics 1011C with D-104 Mic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 13:38:15 UTC
From: M-Tech <mechtechllc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <CYmdnX5zctVVOkvcRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 193661

Na, he sold it and removed the auction.

Seems you're "busted".

Don
313

"Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
news:cr51vb0pi8@news2.newsguy.com...
> ronfol@ktc.com wrote:
>
>> For Auction : Nice Siltronic 1011C with D-104 mic. Works Fine
>> Starts at $9.99 . See pictures at
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=574145683
>> 9&rd=1
>
> BUSTED!
>
> "Illegal Item".
>
>
>

22. Re: New Year's Resolutions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 15:08:26 UTC
From: N8WWM <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41d6bcea$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 193662

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in message news:RhhBd.37573$yv2.3307@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> Anyone?
>
I'm going to stop being such an asshole.

23. Re: message from AKC and N8 <--- (**off topic AKC troll fotter**)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 15:18:04 UTC
From: "geo has issues.." <geo_has_issues@no-spam.neht>
Message-ID: <LezBd.2246$766.2218@fe04.lga>
Source record: 193663

> ---------- ���□ī������ KORNET ---------- <

..another *akc anonymous* remailer..., ...that figures.

....Going on 5 years, now...of cowardly, akc nitwitery....

24. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 15:31:34 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <VrzBd.498$zn7.150@fe11.lga>
Source record: 193664

Steveo wrote:

> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
>
>>Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to
>>your families.
>>
>>This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all
>>here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.
>>
>>U KNOW WHO
>>
>
> Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great 2005.
>
> I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
> interesting.
>
> http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/

dont know about all that noise after a night of revelry...

25. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 15:51:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6ZWdnWQBBthhW0vcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 193665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Pope Fullmonty the 6th wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:rIudnUUh1_X3XEjcRVn-pw@adelphia.com...
>
>>YOu realize that *your* post might be used as evidence of that
>>"slightly embarrassing bit?
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>
> ^^^^
> D U H . . . . . !
>
> (---> Would someone please go an get Homer Simpson
> above a big box of pink glazed doughnuts for New Year's?
> I'll re-imburse you too :). I'm on the way out the door
> to Times Square, just across the River. If you happen
> to see a guy on da tube in a black leather trenchcoat with
> the red scarf and a green sequined top-hat...YOU SAW
> THE POPE F.M. 6th! Congratulations! and a Happy New
> Year !)

You didn't get it, did you?

- Mike KB3EIA -

26. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 16:36:19 UTC
From: I love roger beeps <echo@echo.net>
Message-ID: <kakdt01j35lqtj603qinjk4un0iqag6e9f@4ax.com>
Source record: 193666

I am getting bored with trash talking, Happy New Year.
ToeJam

On Sat, 01 Jan 2005 00:46:52 GMT, "U Know Who"
<AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:

>Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to your
>families.
>
>This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all
>here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.
>
>U KNOW WHO
>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

27. Re: This place is turning into a sewer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 17:29:19 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <P5BBd.5783$yV1.351@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193667

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:mr8ct0pj9m7titsnc0d4i2tjmjohdfou82@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 01 Jan 2005 02:03:02 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <qxnBd.5071$5R.4802@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:af0ct01kmsg28dmiu3ljl5llfm9516tuft@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 01 Jan 2005 01:47:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote in <tinBd.5065$5R.1068@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>> Yeah, I agree with Bush, but he should've had an
>>>>exit plan before he even thought about going in.
>>>
>>>
>>> Let's see now, you agree with Bush but you don't agree with Bush. Gee,
>>> that sounds kinda familiar.....
>>
>> Take a leap Frank :)
>> While I agree with most of his policies, He should've had
>>an occupation & exit plan even before he thought about going
>>in.
>> I'm sure he thought about it, but waited a long time
>>before implementing it ;)
>
>
> I'm sure he thought about a lot of things.
>
>
>> Happy New Year Dude!!! Atheists do celebrate it,
>>don't they (Grin)

```
> As for New Year
> celebrations, I'm past the age where I can use it as an excuse
> to get blind, stinking drunk

Yup, that's one holiday there should be a law to keep
the amateurs from drinking.

> and launch some lead skyward (air traffic is
> noticably absent this evening).

LOL!!!! Yeah, but that lead got to come down
somewhere, hope no ones standing where it does.
```

> Nowdays I use the holiday to look back
> on the past year, praise myself for my accomplishments, analyze my
> failures, and contemplate the possibilities of the coming year. That,
> and catch up on my bookkeeping so I can get my tax return in early.

Well good luck!

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

28. Re: This sucks! wow he only should hope for a hoover

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 18:19:13 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <BQBBd.655302$nl.336314@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 193668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

amusing in a retro way

took awhile , had to block spam to this site to find you guys.

think i will watch awhile
the mano mano thing
just shut off the lights and i won't watch u getting off.
PERHAPS.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20041226074413.497$q5@newsreader.com...
> Lame forge..nice way to spend Christmas, freak.
>
> "moparholic" <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote:
>> HEY NAVYKURT
>>
>> HOW 'BOUT ME AND YOU MAKE SOME MANO TO MANO LOVE???????
>>
>> MAYBE YOU COULD JOIN OUR CB CLUB. WE REALLY KNOW HOW TO PARTY. DO YOU
>> LIKE TO SWALLOW???
>>
>> "Gary Renzetti" <lizgary@connection.com> wrote in message
>> news:2Xlzd.345$he3.328@fe51.usenetserver.com...
>> > Ignore.
>> > He's one of those pussies that says: "Johnny said a bad word. I'm gonna
>> > tell
>> > mommy!"
>> > Just one of those pathetic creatures that thinks that snitching will
>> > get him
>> > someplace...
>> >
>> >
>> > <navykurt@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1104011049.947241.219980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> >> pa dude?
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>> _____________________________________
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download

>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

29. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 18:32:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104604334.177464.124190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193669

Steveo wrote:
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
wrote:
> > Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all,
and to
> > your families.
> >
> > This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to
all
> > here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this
year.
> >
> > U KNOW WHO
> >
> Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great
2005.
>
> I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
> interesting.
>
> http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/

You should go to the doctor and get your lobotomy reversed. You are a
sick piece of human garbage.

30. Re: message from AKC and N8 <--- (**off topic AKC troll fotter**)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 18:35:37 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104604537.208330.140850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193670

If it was anti some other group except keyclowns it wouldnt matter to you a bit. In fact, you would just laugh. But since you and your effeminate co-federal criminals are being made uncomfortable by being outed, you all go nuts at the AKC.

We own this NG, and you idiots are in a tizzy cuz you can't stop us. All you can do is blow insults out your male stimulation devices.

31. Re: Me & Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 18:36:10 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <u4CBd.667593$%k.52831@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 193671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

NOT much chance the guys who did it got paid for it
so show something to prove own the men
anyway certificates of ownership can NOT be proven in any common court.
ROYALTIES paid to actors , IS GONNA BE A WHOLE NEW BALL GAME.

giving a guy a few hoots and a few drinks, and making thousands of millions
is about to stop.

LOVE THE ACTORS hate the promoters.
dug88

news:mb6os05gndg47k4mheo3qon3nphasfkp6f@news.easynews.com...
> On 24 Dec 2004 12:26:45 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
>>These gay pics are from WA3MOJ's private collection.
>
> Well who ever posted that, they spent time searching for gay pics. In
> a private collection or not, they most certainly are gay or have a
> deep rooted desire to be gay.
>

32. Re: Me N Frankie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 18:37:17 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <x5CBd.655677$nl.640698@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 193672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

lift your left leg and u can get in on it

"N8WWM DOUG ADAIR" <N8WWM@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:41cd9d88@news.usenetzone.com...
> where can iget in on that????
> "FREEBANDER_4_LIFE" <freebander4life@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
> news:41cd9839@news.usenetzone.com...
>> My UpTown Lover !!!
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
>
>
>>
>> _____________________________________
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

33. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 18:42:24 UTC
From: tianli <look@china.org>
Message-ID: <10tdrs0sm9g3j68@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 193675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> You didn't get it, did you?
>

I removed my ham radio callsign license plates from my car years ago - LOL!

34. Re: Leece Neville value

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 18:46:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <i1qdt0loa4otn9bobr3pk6te6pbb20s3v0@4ax.com>
Source record: 193674

On Sat, 01 Jan 2005 12:05:33 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95D18571C77A61234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:400ct01fgrp6kn62k1et9db4892kk8hjga@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 31 Dec 2004 15:26:39 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns95D0A7895FAF61234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>Use a Pulse width modulator and some fet's
>>
>>
>> .....uh, I don't think so. Efficiency is far less important to me than
>> eliminating possible RFI or regulator failure. I have a lot of MJ11028
>> power Darlingtons and a pair of those should do the job just fine.
>
>
>
>so why would a pwm and some fets on the outputs be any different. I have
>never seen rfi or failure due to these components, and a good designer
>would add filter caps to the circuit where needed.
>
>PWM tl494 and some P channel fet's would work flawlessly.
>
>http://focus.ti.com/docs/prod/folders/print/tl494.html

Why would I convert from a switching regulator to another switching
regulator? That doesn't make sense. I want linear regulation because
switching regulators generate square waves; i.e, noise. Also, FETs in
switching regulators aren't just FETs, they're MOSFETs, and I wouldn't
trust a MOSFET in any harsh environment, let alone under the hood of
my truck.

And BTW, nothing works "flawlessly", especially MOSFET's.

>Or you could go with 1-tip36c which would be more than adequate you
>wouldn't need 2 MJ11028's

First, a single MJ11028 can handle the same collector current as -two-
TIP36C's. Second, the hfe of the TIP36C is 25 compared to a minimum of
400 for the MJ11028, so the latter doesn't require a power transistor
to drive it. Third, the transistion frequency of the TIP36C is 3MHz,
meaning it can be prone to oscillation -especially- in any application

where surges or spikes can occur; Darlingtons barely work above audio frequencies. And most important, I don't have a TIP36C but I -do- have a stock of MJ11028's.

35. Re: Let's all be blow butties

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 18:46:59 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <DeCBd.655903$nl.404669@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 193673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

who is REC.rADIO.CB
10 9

"Grim Reaper" <Grim.Reaper@Death.door> wrote in message
news:41cb9db9$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>I wish we could all spend new years eve sucking each other off.
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

36. koreans love AKC, hate keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 19:20:55 UTC
From: up yu as <jongilpark@korea.net>
Message-ID: <cr6t6n$65c$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193676

Koreans now LOVE adair and AKC very good entertaining and laugh at poor sissy keyclowns ha ha. It shameful what he doing to them in 2005 ha ha shameful.

=======
>well I don't know if you call it intel,
>we had a car parked on Shore Drive E
>watching a certain house
>with a barn and radio tower. Comings
>and goings are very interesting there.
>Got good photos. The keyclowns
>like photos, he he.

---------- 占쏙옙占싶놂옙 카占쏙옙占쏙옙占쏙옙 KORNET ----------

37. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 20:14:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7vSdndeufbM3mUrcRVn-hQ@adelphia.com>
Source record: 193677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

tianli wrote:
>>You didn't get it, did you?
>>
>
>
> I removed my ham radio callsign license plates from my car years ago - LOL!
>

NOw just hand in your ticket, and return to the world of kewl! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

38. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 21:06:23 UTC
From: tianli <look@china.org>
Message-ID: <10te4a543fnot27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 193679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> NOw just hand in your ticket, and return to the world of kewl! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

And let you have all the pleasure - NO WAY!

39. ping: steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 21:07:48 UTC
From: Landshark <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1104613668.367246.206950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.personals.gay, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf, alt.aol

hey,

just wanted to thank you for the best new year's eve ever!

you are so fucking hot! you made me cum multiple time, and that was
just from your cock in my ass!

i will never forget last night. i need you in me more than ever.
i love you, steveo,

Landshark

40. FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 22:26:44 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <EsFBd.117851$AL5.84088@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193680

http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle

41. Re: steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-01 23:35:42 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <itGBd.5967$yV1.3080@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.aol

Please excuse Rec.Radio.CB's in-house troll Doug Adair
ham radio operator N8WWM. Unfortunately he's has
some sort of strange affection for men & gay comments,
that explains his constant forging of regulars and cross
posting to other news groups.

If you find what he posts offensive, report it to
Abuse@Qwest.net with the complete headers.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

"Landshark" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1104613668.367246.206950@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> hey,
>
> just wanted to thank you for the best new year's eve ever!
>
> you are so fucking hot! you made me cum multiple time, and that was
> just from your cock in my ass!
>
> i will never forget last night. i need you in me more than ever.
> i love you, steveo,
>
> Landshark
>

42. Re: Buy/Sell/Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 00:40:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104626404.778778.292250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193682

A.E. 352 wrote:
> http://members3.boardhost.com/unamericangurgle/

Thank you UnAmerican Gurgle 352. AKC always appreciates our slaves showing the effectiveness of ruling this NG. Nobody posts illegal auctions here because we stop them. Demonstrating the need to go elswhere to conduct your illegal activities is a great way to show your servile status.

43. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 00:42:46 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104626566.023747.251650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193683

The sale of illegal radio equipment is off topic in this newsgroup. You are in violation of Google TOS. Be advised that Google will get a report of your NG abuse.

44. Re: steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 00:50:42 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104627041.978955.16700@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.personals.gay, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf, alt.aol

Wrong, moron. If I did it I would tell you so. I am not a hide behind a nym sissy like you and your girlfriend mopey. Leave me out of your demented fantasies.

45. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 01:21:54 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <S0IBd.41573$yv2.12227@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193685

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104626566.023747.251650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> The sale of illegal radio equipment is off topic in this newsgroup. You
> are in violation of Google TOS. Be advised that Google will get a
> report of your NG abuse.
>

They get reports of your NG abuse all the time. They don't care, Douglass,
and you know it.

Please try to ignore Douglass Adair, the newsgroups' insane punchclown, and
poster child for Toilette's Syndrome. He can't help himself.

46. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 02:25:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101212525.355$r0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193686

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to
> >>your families.
> >>
> >>This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all
> >>here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.
> >>
> >>U KNOW WHO
> >>
> >
> > Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great 2005.
> >
> > I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
> > interesting.
> >
> > http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/
>
> dont know about all that noise after a night of revelry...
>
I laid low last night, we had some flood concern over here. The race was
fairly entertaining considering it's Jan 1 here in the Buckeye. They
run in -any- weather for this race and as luck would have it they got
a warm day this time. 108 cars showed up, and 89 of them raced.

47. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 02:30:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101213038.560$M7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193687

N8WWM Doug Adair "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> wrote:
> > > Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all,
> and to
> > > your families.
> > >
> > > This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to
> all
> > > here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this
> year.
> > >
> > > U KNOW WHO
> > >
> > Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great
> 2005.
> >
> > I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
> > interesting.
> >
> > http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/
>
> You should go to the doctor and get your lobotomy reversed. You are a
> sick piece of human garbage.
>
You have the court ordered head shrink killed yet, Doug? Go to the doc
and ask for a spine transplant, your'z is yella.

48. Re: message from AKC and N8 <--- (**off topic AKC troll fotter**)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 02:32:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101213243.836$5d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193688

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> If it was anti some other group except keyclowns it wouldnt matter to
> you a bit. In fact, you would just laugh. But since you and your
> effeminate co-federal criminals are being made uncomfortable by being
> outed, you all go nuts at the AKC.
>
> We own this NG, and you idiots are in a tizzy cuz you can't stop us.
> All you can do is blow insults out your male stimulation devices.
>
Have you decided to stop flooding this newsgroup with filth, Doug?

49. PING KK4TI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 02:35:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101213537.530$hT_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.aol

"Landshark" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote:
> hey,
>
> just wanted to thank you for the best new year's eve ever!
>
> you are so fucking hot! you made me cum multiple time, and that was
> just from your cock in my ass!
>
> i will never forget last night. i need you in me more than ever.
> i love you, steveo,
>
> Landshark
>
Hi Doug. I'll forward this to Lance.

50. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 02:36:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101213659.600$Dq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193690

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> The sale of illegal radio equipment is off topic in this newsgroup. You
> are in violation of Google TOS. Be advised that Google will get a
> report of your NG abuse.
>
OK, precious.

51. Re: steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 02:39:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101213918.521$uy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.aol,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Wrong, moron. If I did it I would tell you so. I am not a hide behind a
> nym sissy like you and your girlfriend mopey. Leave me out of your
> demented fantasies.
>
We -all- got a good look at you, dogie. You deserve a refund from mother
nature, fugly.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

52. Re: message from AKC and N8WWM <--- (**off topic ADAIR troll fotter**)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 02:51:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101215110.424$qF_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050101213243.836
> $5d@newsreader.com:
>
> > N8WWM DOUG ADAIR "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >> If it was anti some other group except keyclowns it wouldnt matter to
> >> you a bit. In fact, you would just laugh. But since you and your
> >> effeminate co-federal criminals are being made uncomfortable by being
> >> outed, you all go nuts at the AKC.
> >>
> >> We own this NG, and you idiots are in a tizzy cuz you can't stop us.
> >> All you can do is blow insults out your male stimulation devices.
> >>
> > Have you decided to stop flooding this newsgroup with filth, Doug?
>
> Doug threatened me with legal action.
>
Think dogie will use the same public defender he used for his indigence
hearing? Or reckon that fool had had his fill of him b?
```

53. koreans know steveo is forging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 02:53:28 UTC
From: bobon mai dik <jongilpark@korea.net>
Message-ID: <cr7nn8$eq3$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193693

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>Doug threatened me with legal action.

Are you sure it be real Doug? Her send you email? Steveo and pals be forging emails from N8. AKC posts must really get to them ha ha, Koreans laugh all the time now.

---------- 占쏙옙占싶놂옙 카占쏙옙占쏙옙占쏙옙 KORNET ----------

54. Re: message from AKC and N8WWM <--- (**off topic ADAIR troll fotter**)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 03:53:51 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <jfKBd.5325$5R.3318@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050101215110.424$qF_-_@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050101213243.836
>> $5d@newsreader.com:
>>
>> > N8WWM DOUG ADAIR "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >> If it was anti some other group except keyclowns it wouldnt matter to
>> >> you a bit. In fact, you would just laugh. But since you and your
>> >> effeminate co-federal criminals are being made uncomfortable by being
>> >> outed, you all go nuts at the AKC.
>> >>
>> >> We own this NG, and you idiots are in a tizzy cuz you can't stop us.
>> >> All you can do is blow insults out your male stimulation devices.
>> >>
>> > Have you decided to stop flooding this newsgroup with filth, Doug?
>>
>> Doug threatened me with legal action.
>>
> Think dogie will use the same public defender he used for his indigence
> hearing? Or reckon that fool had had his fill of him b?

LOL!!! I'm sure if Nancy can keep Geo out
of trouble, she could slam Doug's public defender ;)

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.
```

55. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 03:53:51 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <jfKBd.5324$5R.2752@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193696

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050101212525.355$r0@newsreader.com...
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>
>> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >>Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to
>> >>your families.
>> >>
>> >>This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all
>> >>here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.
>> >>
>> >>U KNOW WHO
>> >>
>> >
>> > Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great 2005.
>> >
>> > I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
>> > interesting.
>> >
>> > http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/
>>
>> dont know about all that noise after a night of revelry...
>>
> I laid low last night, we had some flood concern over here. The race was
> fairly entertaining considering it's Jan 1 here in the Buckeye. They
> run in -any- weather for this race and as luck would have it they got
> a warm day this time. 108 cars showed up, and 89 of them raced.

Sounds like a hoot! Happy New Year Dude!

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

56. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 03:53:52 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kfKBd.5326$5R.4814@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193694

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:VrzBd.498$zn7.150@fe11.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to
>>>your families.
>>>
>>>This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all
>>>here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.
>>>
>>>U KNOW WHO
>>>
>>
>> Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great 2005.
>>
>> I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
>> interesting.
>>
>> http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/
>
> dont know about all that noise after a night of revelry...

I'd agree with Jim on that. Might be kind of
hard to concentrate on those cars going around in
circles.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

57. Re: message from AKC and N8WWM <--- (**off topic ADAIR troll fotter**)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 03:59:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101225949.895$lk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050101215110.424$qF_-_@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050101213243.836 $5d@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > N8WWM DOUG ADAIR "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
> >> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> If it was anti some other group except keyclowns it wouldnt matter
> >> >> to you a bit. In fact, you would just laugh. But since you and your
> >> >> effeminate co-federal criminals are being made uncomfortable by
> >> >> being outed, you all go nuts at the AKC.
> >> >>
> >> >> We own this NG, and you idiots are in a tizzy cuz you can't stop
> >> >> us. All you can do is blow insults out your male stimulation
> >> >> devices.
> >> >>
> >> > Have you decided to stop flooding this newsgroup with filth, Doug?
> >>
> >> Doug threatened me with legal action.
> >>
> > Think dogie will use the same public defender he used for his indigence
> > hearing? Or reckon that fool had had his fill of him b?
>
> LOL!!! I'm sure if Nancy can keep Geo out
> of trouble, she could slam Doug's public defender ;)
>
> Landshark
>
Lawyers are lower than a snakes belly anyway.
```

58. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 04:03:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101230326.250$XE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193698

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050101212525.355$r0@newsreader.com...
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and
> >> >>to your families.
> >> >>
> >> >>This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to
> >> >>all here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made
> >> >>this year.
> >> >>
> >> >>U KNOW WHO
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great
> >> > 2005.
> >> >
> >> > I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
> >> > interesting.
> >> >
> >> > http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/
> >>
> >> dont know about all that noise after a night of revelry...
> >>
> > I laid low last night, we had some flood concern over here. The race
> > was fairly entertaining considering it's Jan 1 here in the Buckeye.
> > They run in -any- weather for this race and as luck would have it they
> > got a warm day this time. 108 cars showed up, and 89 of them raced.
>
> Sounds like a hoot! Happy New Year Dude!
>
> Landshark
>
Same to you, Shark. I got a bit of a chill after 6 1/2 hours in 35 degrees
but that's a nice day here in Jan. We had some Captain Morgan to take some
of the bite out. :) (a designated driver too) Rockin'

59. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 04:28:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <WOKdnaBB-JH_5UrcRVn-iw@adelphia.com>
Source record: 193699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

tianli wrote:

>>NOw just hand in your ticket, and return to the world of kewl! 8^)
>>
>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>
> And let you have all the pleasure - NO WAY!

Well Okay, but you had your chance!

- Mike KB3EIA -

60. Re: message from AKC and N8 <--- (**off topic AKC troll fotter**)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 04:29:42 UTC
From: "geo has issues.." <geo_has_issues@no-spam.neht>
Message-ID: <ZQKBd.2424$6I5.340@fe04.lga>
Source record: 193700

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104604537.208330.140850@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> If it was anti some other group except keyclowns it wouldnt matter to
> you a bit. In fact, you would just laugh. But since you and your
> effeminate co-federal criminals are being made uncomfortable by being
> outed, you all go nuts at the AKC.
>
> We own this NG, and you idiots are in a tizzy cuz you can't stop us.
> All you can do is blow insults out your male stimulation devices.
>

You are an absurd little man-child.. ..But you're too much of a whack job
to even be aware of that. soooo soooo saaaaad for you...

61. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 04:34:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050101233411.290$9O@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> tianli wrote:
>
> >>NOw just hand in your ticket, and return to the world of kewl! 8^)
> >>
> >>- Mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> >
> > And let you have all the pleasure - NO WAY!
>
> Well Okay, but you had your chance!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
No codes on line one.

62. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 10:38:07 UTC
From: Bush is the AntiChrist!! <tle_mgr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <juidnW5rRcMPU0rcRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 193702
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.african.american,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Steveo wrote:

> a fucking getto gorilla for pope!!!
>
> hahahahahahahha!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

As much as I hate to agree with these mental midgets, the Vatican would
rather deport itself to Mecca, rather than have a Black as the head Papal.

The Vatican is a white male institution, always was and always will be.

--
The Best in Message Board Discussions
http://www.comicboards.org/religion

Bush is re-elected, fly the flag upside down!

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

63. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 10:40:57 UTC
From: Bush is the AntiChrist!! <tle_mgr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <juidnWlrRcOhUkrcRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 193703
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.african.american,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Smooth G wrote:

> On Thu, 30 Dec 2004 18:32:06 -0500, Vigilante <we.ride@night.now>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Gee, I hope so. The day a nigger pope walks out on that balcony to
>>bless the crowd, half the white Italians below will turn and walk away
>>in disgust. That will be the last nail in Catholicism's coffin.
>>
>
>
> A white italian??? Isnt that an oxymoron?? I mean we all know italians
> are not white at all. They are all mulattos just like the rest of
> southern greece, spain, portugal, france and others... They were all
> inter-breeded with the moors. (Moorish) Africans who took over those
> countries and inter-bred and lived in these countries for almost 300
> years...
>

African Moors? Huh? I only know of the Arabian Muslims (Moors) invaded
parts of Europe for awhile.

What sources do you have of African Muslims doing this? Egyptians?
Morracans? Sources please.

--
The Best in Message Board Discussions
http://www.comicboards.org/religion

Bush is re-elected, fly the flag upside down!

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
*****xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
*****xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
*****xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
*****xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

64. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 10:43:18 UTC
From: Bush is the AntiChrist!! <tle_mgr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <juidnWhrRcNUUkrcRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 193704
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.african.american,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Steveo wrote:

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>
>>Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
>>news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
>>
>>
>>>On Thu, 30 Dec 2004 18:32:06 -0500, Vigilante <we.ride@night.now>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Gee, I hope so. The day a nigger pope walks out on that balcony to
>>>>bless the crowd, half the white Italians below will turn and walk away
>>>>in disgust. That will be the last nail in Catholicism's coffin.
>>>>
>>>
>>>A white italian??? Isnt that an oxymoron?? I mean we all know italians
>>>are not white at all. They are all mulattos just like the rest of
>>>southern greece, spain, portugal, france and others... They were all
>>>inter-breeded with the moors. (Moorish) Africans who took over those
>>>countries and inter-bred and lived in these countries for almost 300
>>>years...
>>
>>Yeah what about Franco Harris who played for Steelers he was Italian...
>>
>
> and black.

Uhm Italian is a nationality isn't it? That means if a Chinese person
was born there they would be Italian right? If not then it sounds like
racist speech to me.

--
The Best in Message Board Discussions
http://www.comicboards.org/religion

Bush is re-elected, fly the flag upside down!

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

65. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 16:29:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104683355.899942.205610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193705

U Know Who wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1104626566.023747.251650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > The sale of illegal radio equipment is off topic in this newsgroup.
You
> > are in violation of Google TOS. Be advised that Google will get a
> > report of your NG abuse.
> >
>
> They get reports of your NG abuse all the time. They don't care,
Douglass,
> and you know it.
>
> Please try to ignore Douglass Adair, the newsgroups' insane
punchclown, and
> poster child for Toilette's Syndrome. He can't help himself.

In that case maybe a certified snail mail, that they have to
acknowledge would be better. AKC'ers take note. Start putting together
something we can send Google and email it to me. I will send it and
also publish it here. You snapperheads wanna see someone play TOS
ratout games, fine with us.

66. Re: koreans know steveo is forging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 16:32:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104683537.770444.175000@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193706

It was me. I told the goober to stop emailing me or be sued in small claims court.

I am charging $2999.99 per email for spammers and unsolicited garbage. Thats the limit in small claims in Ohio. I figure a judgement oughta stop the moron from emailing me.

67. Re: TIME Magazine Needs Some Updating

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 16:38:23 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104683903.331050.203210@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.shortwave

mopeydopey on the preparation H customer hotline

68. Re: New Year's Resolutions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 16:39:18 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104683958.619813.207450@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193708

that would be good mopeyforger, but impossible for you to do.

69. Re: New Year's Resolutions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 16:42:25 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104684145.497341.269270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193709

More keyclown ratouts, more showing mopey to be useless and impotent, more FCC action, more AKC ruling this NG, more keyclown dealers busted due to AKC pressure on the FCC, more postcards to keyclowns who are busted telling them how much we like seeing it and how much their fines make us laugh.

Keyclowns will have only one resolution, since we rule them. That is to whine and moan more about AKC stopping their off topic posts in rrcb. It is good entertainment to watch keyclowns cry.

70. AKC resolution for 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 16:46:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104684370.194139.288180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193710

2 or more large or well known federal criminal amp makers are going down. The FCC is going to nail them after we put the whole investigation in their lap and send 'em pics, proof, everything needed to make federal criminal amp makers pay.

71. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 17:25:35 UTC
From: Vigilante <we.ride@night.now>
Message-ID: <thbgt095ua3cpthhva6nv49tetsvq2956d@4ax.com>
Source record: 193712
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.african.american,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

On Sun, 02 Jan 2005 05:38:07 -0500, "Bush is the AntiChrist!! "
<tle_mgr@yahoo.com> wrote:

>fly the flag upside down!

You can't commie. Either you never owned one to begin with, or you
burned it at the last peace rally.

3-7-77

72. Re: AKC resolution for 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 17:29:49 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <hcWBd.2504$Xs6.1727@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193711

YAWN...

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104684370.194139.288180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> 2 or more large or well known federal criminal amp makers are going
> down. The FCC is going to nail them after we put the whole
> investigation in their lap and send 'em pics, proof, everything needed
> to make federal criminal amp makers pay.
>

73. Re: AKC resolution for 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 17:32:10 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <ueWBd.2506$Xs6.1046@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193713

Hmmm...my Ameritron amp 'accidently' works on 11-meters.

http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104684370.194139.288180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> 2 or more large or well known federal criminal amp makers are going
> down. The FCC is going to nail them after we put the whole
> investigation in their lap and send 'em pics, proof, everything needed
> to make federal criminal amp makers pay.
>

74. Re: AKC resolution for 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 17:43:06 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <KoWBd.2507$Xs6.571@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193714

Ooop, I almost forgot. My MARS/CAP modified 706 also 'accidently' transmits on 11-meters as well. Do you have a "Rat Form" I can fill-out to turn myself in?

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1104684370.194139.288180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> 2 or more large or well known federal criminal amp makers are going
> down. The FCC is going to nail them after we put the whole
> investigation in their lap and send 'em pics, proof, everything needed
> to make federal criminal amp makers pay.
>

75. RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 18:22:21 UTC
From: adoniscat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1104690141.440160.35380@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193715

I've got a Mazda Tribute (near replica of the Ford Escape) and have the dreaded RFI from the electric fuel pump. I've done a ton of research on the Internet and determined that the most popular option for resolving the issue is to install a RFI filter on the pump. This involves dropping the tank, which is beyond my capability. So, to do the install will involve a trip to the dealership, costing around $200 for parts/installation.

I've also seen a homebrew ferrite filter that can be installed at the power lead for the fuel pump, which is an option.

But, I did see one posting where a guy resolved the problem by upgrading to a K40 antenna, which he said filtered the RFI. So, I'm wondering if anyone has had success eliminating fuel pump RFI with a good antenna? I'm willing to give that a try, but it will probably involve ordering one, so if there's only a small chance it will work I'll probably skip this option and go straight for the filter. Thoughts?

76. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 18:29:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <18fgt0hi996glri4ti608ukf1d8vc1pgfr@4ax.com>
Source record: 193716

On 2 Jan 2005 10:22:21 -0800, adoniscat@yahoo.com wrote in
<1104690141.440160.35380@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>I've got a Mazda Tribute (near replica of the Ford Escape) and have the
>dreaded RFI from the electric fuel pump. I've done a ton of research
>on the Internet and determined that the most popular option for
>resolving the issue is to install a RFI filter on the pump. This
>involves dropping the tank, which is beyond my capability. So, to do
>the install will involve a trip to the dealership, costing around $200
>for parts/installation.
>
>I've also seen a homebrew ferrite filter that can be installed at the
>power lead for the fuel pump, which is an option.
>
>But, I did see one posting where a guy resolved the problem by
>upgrading to a K40 antenna, which he said filtered the RFI. So, I'm
>wondering if anyone has had success eliminating fuel pump RFI with a
>good antenna? I'm willing to give that a try, but it will probably
>involve ordering one, so if there's only a small chance it will work
>I'll probably skip this option and go straight for the filter.
>Thoughts?

Three questions, if you don't mind:

1. How is your radio mounted and grounded?

2. Are you using power from the lighter socket?

3. What kind of antenna you you have now?

77. Koreans support N8 and AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 18:44:28 UTC
From: gul pmy jiz <jongilpark@jorea.net>
Message-ID: <cr9fec$38f$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193717

Koreans watching from Seoul love what N8 is doing with keyclown amp bust resolution. Ha ha cannot wait to see keyclown faces when Copper or other big keyclown dealer go down. We are law abiding people too. All our Samsung VCR conform to FCC Part 15.

---------- 占쏙옙占싶놂옙 카占쏙옙占쏙옙占쏙옙 KORNET ----------

78. Re: Koreans support N8 and AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 19:01:53 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <ByXBd.2517$Xs6.820@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193718

Yeppers...and the FCC has no jurisdiction in Korea either. Please 'troll'
again with a better subject.

"gul pmy jiz" <jongilpark@jorea.net> wrote in message
news:cr9fec$38f$1@news1.kornet.net...
> Koreans watching from Seoul love what N8 is doing with keyclown amp bust
resolution. Ha ha cannot wait to see keyclown faces when Copper or other big
keyclown dealer go down. We are law abiding people too. All our Samsung VCR
conform to FCC Part 15.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---------- ���□ī ī������ KORNET ----------
>

79. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 19:03:13 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <RzXBd.1082$Vj3.986@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193719

Pull the carpet up inside the vehicle above the fuel tank and see if
there's an access plate to get to the pump..

Some cars have one.

-SSB

adoniscat@yahoo.com wrote:
> I've got a Mazda Tribute (near replica of the Ford Escape) and have the
> dreaded RFI from the electric fuel pump. I've done a ton of research
> on the Internet and determined that the most popular option for
> resolving the issue is to install a RFI filter on the pump. This
> involves dropping the tank, which is beyond my capability. So, to do
> the install will involve a trip to the dealership, costing around $200
> for parts/installation.
>
> I've also seen a homebrew ferrite filter that can be installed at the
> power lead for the fuel pump, which is an option.
>
> But, I did see one posting where a guy resolved the problem by
> upgrading to a K40 antenna, which he said filtered the RFI. So, I'm
> wondering if anyone has had success eliminating fuel pump RFI with a
> good antenna? I'm willing to give that a try, but it will probably
> involve ordering one, so if there's only a small chance it will work
> I'll probably skip this option and go straight for the filter.
> Thoughts?
>

80. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 19:15:17 UTC
From: adoniscat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1104693317.331439.283830@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193720

1. It's mounted using a standard RS bracket within a plastic
compartment on the console.

2. No, powered from the fusebox.
3. Grounded to a bolt on the door hinge.

81. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 19:23:36 UTC
From: adoniscat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1104693816.349274.59080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193721

Sideband, unfortunately the fuel pump is located within the tank.

82. Re: A splash and a thump? 14.313's commin back!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 19:50:36 UTC
From: "ween(s)" <ween@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dd4f$41d85082$d1cc7cd8$22688@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 193722
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

You guys may want to start tuning back into 14.313 USB sometime soon
on a semi-regular basis.............

Ole "KJI" David from Evansville has been in there every late morning
and afternoon since New Year's Eve day and the frequency is slowly
starting to reclaim it's former glory(-hole) days as the all time RF
screwball magnet of ham radio.

I've been hearing old time voices and personalities on there *today*
that I ain't heard on since the good ole days of kv4fz and the infamous
'Mike and Judy show'. Even ole gravel himself (!the original one!) was
in there today making comments to Dayveed and the guy in TX and Ga.

So boys, put away them keyboards and GO LIVE and IN COLOR once
again on the old timey funfreaq of 14.313 USB. Trust me, ole KJI when he
gets
started, has the gravametric pull of a red giant star when it comes to
drawing
out the froot-loops, the crazies, the goofballs and the toilet ticks. It
can only
get better as time winds on! What a way to start the new year eh???

"Oris" <NoEmail@nospam> wrote in message news:41d602cf$1_3@127.0.0.1...
> "Let's listen now to one of the voices in this utterly clueless fuckwit's
> tiny brain, as we all wish for the 100th time that The Thing responsible
for
> Roger's birth had practiced abortion and that Roger hand landed with a
> splash
> and a thump in the toilet of some anonymous Greyhound Bus station
rest-room
> way back in 1955."
>
> This has GOT to be the The Funniest Post Of The Year. This was laugh out
> loud hilarious! It should be copied and reposted regularly.
> Great job!!!!

83. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 19:59:09 UTC
From: knockknock <triple1@crunknet.com>
Message-ID: <41d85079$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 193723

same here.
too bad n7zzt don't take to jokes when he does trace routes and posts them on the NG about others to expose them for his own enjoyment.

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in message news:0qmBd.38342$yv2.5357@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and to your
> families.
>
> This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to all
> here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made this year.
>
> U KNOW WHO
>
>

84. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 20:01:34 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <yqYBd.8872$_X7.8055@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193724

adoniscat@yahoo.com wrote:
> Sideband, unfortunately the fuel pump is located within the tank.
>
On Some Fords, some of the Geo's, and some other makes, there's an
access plate in the trunk that allows access to the fuel pump from
within the vehicle, without having to drop the tank.

It's worth a check. If you see a rectangular plate with bolts in it
under the carpet in the trunk, you most likely have an access window to
get to the fuel pump.

If not, you'll have to drop the tank.

-SSB

85. Re: I have been Kicked out of the AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 20:02:01 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <ZqYBd.37158$wD4.14896@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193725

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95D292A2DEF231234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Doug has kicked me out of the AKC
>
>

Cool! Wanna buy a Cobra 29 and a linear now? Then again, do you just need
direction to open up general coverage transmit on what you have?

86. Re: A splash and a thump? 14.313's commin back!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 20:09:35 UTC
From: Dale Parfitt <par@parelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <3yYBd.24058$_62.21712@trnddc01>
Source record: 193726
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"ween(s)" <ween(s)@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dd4f$41d85082$d1cc7cd8$22688@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> You guys may want to start tuning back into 14.313 USB sometime soon
> on a semi-regular basis.............
>
> Ole "KJI" David from Evansville has been in there every late morning
> and afternoon since New Year's Eve day and the frequency is slowly
> starting to reclaim it's former glory(-hole) days as the all time RF
> screwball magnet of ham radio.
>
> I've been hearing old time voices and personalities on there *today*
> that I ain't heard on since the good ole days of kv4fz and the infamous
> 'Mike and Judy show'. Even ole gravel himself (!the original one!) was
> in there today making comments to Dayveed and the guy in TX and Ga.
>
> So boys, put away them keyboards and GO LIVE and IN COLOR once
> again on the old timey funfreaq of 14.313 USB. Trust me, ole KJI when he
> gets
> started, has the gravametric pull of a red giant star when it comes to
> drawing
> out the froot-loops, the crazies, the goofballs and the toilet ticks. It
> can only
> get better as time winds on! What a way to start the new year eh???
>
Why would I want to listen to the worst aspects of amateur to name a few?

W4OP

87. Koreans do not support N8 and AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 20:11:31 UTC
From: I Am Not George <iamnotgeorge2005@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cr9khj$4dd$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193727

Koreans watching from Seoul hate what N8 is doing acting gay

---------- ���□ï������ KORNET ----------

88. Koreans support itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 20:14:43 UTC
From: I Am Not George <iamnotgeorge2005@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cr9knj$4fs$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193728

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge is not gay and dumb like doug.
Koreans glad that he is no longer akc

---------- ���□ï������ KORNET ----------

89. fs/tr cobra 142gl and 148 gtl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 20:39:10 UTC
From: Andre <pequiste@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uimgt0dn5qh1jl5g6lrq4p7eu1okogq05e@4ax.com>
Source record: 193729

in very good condition trade for scanner

90. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 20:41:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k3ngt0l648jn9sl79asur50s5cf0lomm3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 193730

On 2 Jan 2005 11:15:17 -0800, adoniscat@yahoo.com wrote in
<1104693317.331439.283830@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>1. It's mounted using a standard RS bracket within a plastic
>compartment on the console.

<snip>

>3. Grounded to a bolt on the door hinge.

Seems you have a floating (ungrounded) system. DC ground is not the same as RF ground, the latter needing as short a wire as possible. In fact, the antenna base should be mounted directly to some bodywork and you can't cheat by running a wire to a ground somewhere else. The same should be done with the radio if possible, although a -very- short wire from the radio to ground (the deck beneath the console) should be OK as long as your antenna mount is well grounded.

The reason RF grounding is so important is because a floating system picks up noise very easily. Also, the antenna works much better when properly installed. You can gain 2-3 S-units (and increase your transmit range proportionately) by properly mounting the antenna. I've installed lots of CB and commercial radios and as long as everything is grounded (RF ground) there is rarely a problem with RFI..... unless the alternator is going bad or something like that, but you would be able to hear that in your AM/FM radio, too.

It's either that or drop the tank.

91. message to N8 from Koreans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 21:23:53 UTC
From: ki clou nsuk <jongilpark@korea.net>
Message-ID: <cr9op9$5mo$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193731

Big mistake. You must take back itoldyouiamnotgeorge into AKC. He is AKC #1 ally. He busier than one arm paperhanger keep keyclowns crying every day.++Offer olive branch to itoldyouiamnotgeorge.

---------- 占쏙옙占싶놂옙 카占쏙옙占쏙옙占쏙옙 KORNET ----------

92. Re: A splash and a thump? 14.313's commin back!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 22:58:56 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <33rcovF42oo1pU1@individual.net>
Source record: 193732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
news:3yYBd.24058$_62.21712@trnddc01...
>
> "ween(s)" <ween(s)@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3dd4f$41d85082$d1cc7cd8$22688@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > You guys may want to start tuning back into 14.313 USB sometime soon
> > on a semi-regular basis.............
> >
> > Ole "KJI" David from Evansville has been in there every late morning
> > and afternoon since New Year's Eve day and the frequency is slowly
> > starting to reclaim it's former glory(-hole) days as the all time RF
> > screwball magnet of ham radio.
> >
> > I've been hearing old time voices and personalities on there *today*
> > that I ain't heard on since the good ole days of kv4fz and the infamous
> > 'Mike and Judy show'. Even ole gravel himself ( !the original one! ) was
> > in there today making comments to Dayveed and the guy in TX and Ga.
> >
> > So boys, put away them keyboards and GO LIVE and IN COLOR once
> > again on the old timey funfreaq of 14.313 USB. Trust me, ole KJI when
he
> > gets
> > started, has the gravametric pull of a red giant star when it comes to
> > drawing
> > out the froot-loops, the crazies, the goofballs and the toilet ticks.
It
> > can only
> > get better as time winds on! What a way to start the new year eh???
> >
> Why would I want to listen to the worst aspects of amateur to name a few?
>
> W4OP
>

Hello, Dale (assuming not everything has been forged)

Perhaps to remind the old timers that W2OY (Mike) wasn't all that bad? LOL

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
```

93. Phunny Oxendines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 23:16:16 UTC
From: imnotk4kwh <imnothim@nothingyoucando.com>
Message-ID: <cr9vc0dsi1@news.x-echo.com>
Source record: 193733

http://members.migente.com/senor_lafreak

=-
Article poste via Voila News - http://www.news.voila.fr
Le : Mon Jan 3 00:16:16 2005 depuis l'IP : 4.247.137.59 [VIP 628865746701]

94. Re: I have been Kicked out of the AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 23:24:25 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104708264.977700.163730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193734

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Doug has kicked me out of the AKC
>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > See, I have proved he did those posts and
> > all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
> > anyone that disagree with him.
> >
> > Landshark

Who did all of what posts?

By the way, itoldyouiamnotgeorge wasnt kicked out of anything but the right to send me email for less than 2999.99 bucks. Can't kick out what was never let in. Whine all you want. Laterz, dude.

95. Re: I have been Kicked out of the AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-02 23:47:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050102184738.027$2N@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193735

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1104708264.977700.163730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> >> Doug has kicked me out of the AKC
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> --
> >> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> >> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >> > See, I have proved he did those posts and
> >> > all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
> >> > anyone that disagree with him.
> >> >
> >> > Landshark
> >
> > Who did all of what posts?
> >
> > By the way, itoldyouiamnotgeorge wasnt kicked out of anything but the
> > right to send me email for less than 2999.99 bucks. Can't kick out what
> > was never let in. Whine all you want. Laterz, dude.
>
> who is whining Dickhead Doug? you emailed me I just returned Fire aka
> twisty style. No go see your shrink you stupid assclown.
>
Careful, he'll call you gay.
```

96. Re: A splash and a thump? 14.313's commin back!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 00:15:52 UTC
From: "ween(s)" <ween@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aa91b$41d88ede$d1cc4197$26229@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 193736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dale Parfitt" <par@parelectronics.com> wrote in message
news:3yYBd.24058$_62.21712@trnddc01...

> Why would I want to listen to the worst aspects of amateur to name a
few?
>
> W4OP

....well OM, if you were curious enough to read the message
thread here, I would be very suprised if you were not to give
into the temptation to tune in to 14.313 sometime in the next
few weeks and see if the reports are accurate!

Peace.

----

97. Re: ARRL GOES AFTER JACK GERRITSEN EX-KG6IRO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 00:31:05 UTC
From: john wilson <jwilson9@erols.com>
Message-ID: <41D89249.60802@erols.com>
Source record: 193737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

I agree. I also have a memory when in the 60s the ARRL pushed incentive licensing. That ARRL/FCC BS cost me some frequency spectrum usage on 80 through 15 meters that I previously had worked hard to obtain by getting my ham license. In my opinion they always have been a bunch of self centered individuals who had an agenda. I have been licensed for 45 years. Fortunately I wised up about the ARRL 44 1/2 years ago.

Psychiatrist to Hams wrote:

>Anybody who gives a dime to the ARRL is stupid.
>
>Same for anybody who believes the ARRL has ham radio's
>best interests in mind.
>
>Look for a major investigation into corruption, fraud, waste,
>abuse, greed, & criminality at the FCC in 2005.
>
>ARRL & FCC are both enemies of ham radio.
>
>
>Dr Ham
>
>
>
>
>

98. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 00:34:08 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1104712448.354278.244740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193738

This is good advice... really all you would need is one 0.1UF from the positive to ground. Like he said... close to the pump.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

>
> I have yet to see any Ford's resolved in this manor, the fix is adding a
> couple .1 disc caps at the fuel pump 12v leads, bare the wire close to
> the pump as possible, solder the caps across the + and - wire, and from +
> to chassis ground and ground wire to chassis ground. 2 on each should do
> the trick. You dont have to drop the tank to do this just get wires as
> close to pump as possible.

99. Re: Let's all use FM from 28.000to29.000Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 00:42:23 UTC
From: imnotk4kwh <anonyme@64.91.166.173>
Message-ID: <cra4df$9o3$1@news.x-echo.com>
Source record: 193739

www.constitution.org

=-
Article poste via Voila News - http://www.news.voila.fr
Le : Mon Jan 3 01:42:23 2005 depuis l'IP : 64.91.166.173 [VIP 628865746701]

100. 21st Century E-Commerce Money Making Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 01:53:33 UTC
From: NeoOne <Dariusjkelly@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1104717213.499382.9070@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193740

Neo Tech Party Administration

Posted By: 21st Cent Neo-Tycoon
Date: January 2, 2004, at 7:00pm
21st Century E-Commerce Money Making Formula

This works better than letters & it's all electronic!

Posted By: E'Sean Date: Wednesday, 21 July 2004 eMoney Making Formula

"This is so awesome. Try it. The more people that do it the better."

"I turned $3 into $14,706 within the first 30 days of operating the business plan that I am about to reveal to you free of charge."

If you decide to take action on the following instructions, I will personally GUARANTEE that you will enjoy a similar return!"

Please do not be skeptical about this program.

At least think about it for a few days.

Otherwise you will be throwing away over $10,000 in cash!

Dear Friend, My name is Mark Sutton. I was laid off from my job in August of 2001 At that time I wasliving beyond my means and in serious debt. Several debt collectors were hounding me on an almost daily basis, and this consequently started a chain reaction that ended with the repossession of my car and then my home. As you can probably imagine, my prospects looked pretty bleak. Then, in March of 2002, I received a short and simple email telling me how to make over $10,000 at a time.

I ignored it because of course, I was skeptical.

However, I didn't delete that email because something about it rang true to me, and I was desperate to know whether it was possible to make that amount of money in such a short space of time. By this time, I was even deeper in debt, and I had just about reached the point of despair. I finally realized that I had absolutely nothing to lose by having a go at the plan offered to me in that email.

And apart from that, I just couldn't stop myself from thinking "What if it actually works?" Therefore, I put my doubts to one side and I went ahead and followed the simple instructions given in that email. It took me less than 30 minutes

to complete and it cost me no more than $3. And yet, by the end of 2002, I was able to book the family holiday of a lifetime in Miami, and

I bought a brand new Audi A8 with hard cash. And in the autumn of 2003, I purchased a tidy semi-detached home for no less than $125,000. And yet,

I don't owe a single penny to anyone!

To date, I have made exactly $392,718. My accountant has drawn up a cash-flow forecast in which he predicts that, within the next 24 months, I will become a millionaire just through this one business alone. Even now as I write this, I find it strange to come to terms with the fact that, like most people, I've worked hard and struggled all my life just to 'get by' and then something ridiculously simple drops into my lap and immediately turns my life around completely.

When I think back to all the similar emails I had previously deleted, it makes me shudder, because now I know just how close I came to missing out completely.

What did it say in that email?

What were those simple instructions that enabled me to amass so much money in so little time?

Well, if you'll read on, all will be revealed!

The business plan I am about to share with you works perfectly every single time. I've never made less than $10,000 from it on each occasion. Let me assure you that this is a LEGITIMATE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY, a perfectly legal moneymaking enterprise. It does not require you to sell anything. It does not require you to speak to your friends, family or anybody else (unless you want to). In fact, you do not need to come into contact with any people whatsoever. Anyone with a pulse can become successful and make their fortune with this system. If you believe that 'every dog has his day', then follow the simple step-by-step plan exactly as it is set out below. If you do this and this alone, I GUARANTEE that within the next 30 days you will receive over $10,000 in cash via your PayPal account. I know that this must sound like an outrageous claim, particularly if you've never had possession of this sort of money before, but believe me, this business works like nothing else you may have seen before in your life!

By following the simple 3-step plan set out below, within a few short weeks your life will be transformed beyond all recognition! Please do not allow your initial skepticism to deprive you of certain financial success. If you decide not to take advantage of this life-changing opportunity, I would like you to know that I sincerely respect your decision and I understand that you will have your reasons. I therefore wish you the very best of luck for the future. I am able to say this with absolute sincerity because, as you will see, if you decide to work this plan, I will not receive any financial compensation from you whatsoever. After all, I am sharing this system with you for free. However, remember life is short. Nobody has ever said that you can't have the financial freedom to do what you want to do, when you want to

do it.

But you must first pay the price. The price here is action; it's as simple as that!

I'm very proud to be able to say that I've fulfilled my duty to my wife and children by securing their financial future in a very uncertain world, and I don't believe that any price is too great to pay for such peace of mind. THIS IS THE CHEAPEST, FASTEST & EASIEST WAY TO MAKE MONEY ONLINE - PERIOD!

There are a lot of companies out there offering to put you in your own business, but I'm so certain you will make a SERIOUS INCOME with what I am going to tell you that I'm willing to roll up my sleeves and help you begin - RIGHT NOW!!

Remember, I am not asking you to send me a single penny for the lucrative business project I am about to share with you. In addition, you will not be asked to buy anything or sacrifice your free time. You will make some fast and easy cash, using just a copy of this email and the simplest and most popular Internet payment system in existence!

You have most likely seen or heard about this project on TV programs such as 20/20 and Oprah Winfrey, or you may have read about it in the Wall Street Journal. If not, here it is below - revealed to you in step-by-step detail. This program is by no means new. It has been in existence in many forms for at least ten years. But in the early days, it required a lot more time and effort, as well as an outlay of a few hundred dollars. However, thanks to PayPal and the Internet, the outlay is now virtually ZERO! On top of that, the entire process is FASTER, EASIER, and MORE LUCRATIVE than it has EVER been! If you're already running a home business, you can easily do this one along with it. If not, this is the FASTEST and EASIEST way to earn serious money online that you've ever seen so far in the history of the Internet! This program works no matter what country you are in, or what currency you use. It doesn't matter how old or how young you are. And you certainly won't need any special knowledge or talent. You won't need to run a website, or make phone calls, or make photocopies, or send out letters through the mail, or pay for advertising, etc.

The only things you will need are: * An email address * A Premier or Business PayPal account with at least $3 deposited in it * 30 minutes of your time

This program takes just half an hour to set up. After that, there is absolutely no work whatsoever to do on your part. And yet, you will stand to gain many thousands of dollars within the next few weeks from those 30 minutes of easy work! Yes, I know, it sounds too good to be true! I thought exactly the same thing myself until I actually tried it out!

Even if you are already involved in another program, stay with it, but do yourself a big favour and DO THIS ONE as well. You have absolutely

NOTHING to lose, but you stand to gain a LIFE-CHANGING amount of money within the next few weeks! In fact, there is NO LIMIT to the amount of income you can generate from this one single business program!! The facts are simple: If you need to make a few thousand dollarss REALLY FAST, then this program is the way to do it! It's the CHEAPEST, FASTEST, EASIEST, and MOST LUCRATIVE program you will ever participate in!

Please be sure to read all of this page . . . take your time, come back to it . . . go over and over it, you won't be sorry, I can certainly promise you that! If you don't have time to read all of this now, then save this page, or add it to your Favorites list, so you can come back to it later. ALL YOU NEED IS A PAYPAL ACCOUNT & AN EMAIL ADDRESS! Just about everyone has heard about "PayPal" (if you haven't you will soon!) and when I came across this concept I knew it would work because, as a member of PayPal, I had already experienced their efficiency and excellent standing. PayPal is the simplest method of making and receiving payments online that anyone has ever seen! Anyone with an email address can join for FREE! Once you have a PayPal account, you can send and receive credit card payments to or from anyone - anywhere in the world! Please read further before you go there... You can complete this whole process within just half an hour and you will NEVER forget the day you decided to do so!!!

NEED PROOF? Here are just 3 testimonials from the countless individuals who decided to invest nothing more than $3 and half an hour of their time to participate in this program:

" What an amazing plan! I followed your instructions just 3 weeks ago, and although I haven't made 10 grand yet, I'm already up to $6,135. I'm absolutely gob smacked." Alan Humphries , Leicester

"This is Lisa. Well, what can I say?... THANK YOU SO MUCH! I sent 40 e-mail's out like you said and then I just forgot about the whole thing. To be honest, I didn't really think anything would come of it. But when I checked my paypal account a week later, there was over $3,000 in it! After 30 days I now have over $11,000 to spend! I can't thank you enough!" Lisa McDonald, Northampton

" I was shocked when I saw how much money came flooding into my paypal account. Within 3 weeks my account balance has ballooned to $7,449. At first I thought there had been some sort of error with my account!" Richard Barrie , Cirencester

Just a few months ago, each of these people were doing the same thing as you are at this very moment - reading this! But because they decided to follow the simple instructions given below, they are now considerably better off as a result. And there's no reason whatsoever why you can't share in this success. You've got nothing to lose, and EVERYTHING to gain! Let's get started, just follow the instructions exactly as set out below and then prepare yourself for a HUGE influx of cash over the next 30 days!

HERE'S WHAT YOU NEED TO DO. . .

STEP 1

Ok, if you're not already a PayPal user, the very first thing you need to do is use the PayPal link below and SIGN UP. It takes just two minutes!
https://www.paypal.com/us/mrb/pal=ZVR6VDMJUDB5Y

Be sure to use this link so you can sign up for a free PREMIER or BUSINESS account. You'll need to have a PREMIER or BUSINESS account (and not a PERSONAL account) otherwise you won't be able to receive credit card payments from other people.

STEP 2

It is an undeniable law of the universe that we must first give in order to receive. So the first thing to do when you have your Premier/Business PayPal account is to IMMEDIATELY send a $3 payment from your PayPal account to the FIRST email address in the list below, along with a note saying: " Please add me to your mailing list." Be certain to add this note, as this is what KEEPS THIS PROGRAM LEGAL. Instructions on how to send a payment are under "SEND MONEY" at the Paypal Site. It's so Easy!! When you send your $3 payment to the first address in the list, do it with a great big smile on your face because "as you sow, so shall you reap!"
<>Here's the current list
(1)bmedsker@cablespeed.com (2)disbellj@midsouth.rr.com (3) moty7ellek@adelphia.net (4)spontaniuz@msn.com (5)dholla@msn.com

After you have transferred a $3 payment to the email address at the top of the list, something very eerie happens. It gives you an indescribable, overwhelming sense of certainty, belief and conviction in the system. You've just proved to yourself that, because you have done it, there must be a great number of other people ready to do exactly the same. Thus you have now seen for yourself, first hand, that this business actually works!

STEP 3

Once you've sent a $3 payment to the address at the top of the list (along with a note,please add me to you mailing list! - this is VERY important!), the next thing you need to do is to obtain a copy of this email message, as you'll be sending it out to at least 40 people.

The copy that you will send out will contain YOUR unique PayPal referral URL and YOUR email address at number 5 in the list - having deleted the address at Number 1 in the list, and moving the others up a position. The easiest way to do this is to:

(A) Go to your toolbar (at the very top of the screen) and select EDIT and then SELECT ALL.

(B) Go to the toolbar again and select EDIT and then COPY.

(C) Start (compose) a new email message (PLAIN TEXT so everyone can view it!).

(D) Fill in your email address and subject line.

(E) Go to EDIT and PASTE to paste this text into your new email message. Now you can edit this email message in any way you want!

The first thing to edit are the email addresses in the list above. Delete the top email address, and then move the others up a position, and then add YOUR email address to the bottom of the list (the number 5 position). Be sure to use your email address that is associated with your PayPal account. But DO NOT forget to send $3 via PayPal (along with your note!) to the email address at position number 1 before deleting it! Don't be tempted to add your name to position 1 in order to earn money fast! It doesn't work like that!

If you do that, you will ONLY reach the people you directly send emails to, and then your name will be immediately removed from the No.1 place and you won't reach thousands of people! But, if you add your name to the Number 5 position, there will be literally thousands of people receiving and sending email's later - when your name is at the Number 1 spot!!!

Now you need to edit the PayPal referring URL (shown above in Step 1) with your own PayPal referring URL. You can find YOUR referring URL at Paypal after you join. Just look at the bottom of the first page once you sign into Paypal, and click on the "referrals" link. You will be given a referring URL similar to the one above, except the code number at the end will be different. Simply delete the current PayPal referral URL in Step 1 above, and replace it with your PayPal referral URL.

(F) Once you've edited your email message as outlined above, send out a minimum of 40 copies of this email. You can advertise it any way you want to But no spam.
Best of luck and remember to play fairly and honestly and this will really work

Yours Truly
Neo-Tycoon

101. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 03:01:58 UTC
From: Mark Russo <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <eI2Cd.6443$E_5.2170@fe12.lga>
Source record: 193741

I heard on my scanner on a 2 meter repeater some guy was fighting with
Ford for the same problem.
Not sure what Ford they were talking about. Ford did the fix,
unfortunately I don't remember what that was. Sorry about that.
I heard it a few months ago. The owner of the car is still fighting
with Ford on who will pay for it.
Good Luck!!
73
==========

adoniscat@yahoo.com wrote:

>I've got a Mazda Tribute (near replica of the Ford Escape) and have the
>dreaded RFI from the electric fuel pump. I've done a ton of research
>on the Internet and determined that the most popular option for
>resolving the issue is to install a RFI filter on the pump. This
>involves dropping the tank, which is beyond my capability. So, to do
>the install will involve a trip to the dealership, costing around $200
>for parts/installation.
>
>I've also seen a homebrew ferrite filter that can be installed at the
>power lead for the fuel pump, which is an option.
>
>But, I did see one posting where a guy resolved the problem by
>upgrading to a K40 antenna, which he said filtered the RFI. So, I'm
>wondering if anyone has had success eliminating fuel pump RFI with a
>good antenna? I'm willing to give that a try, but it will probably
>involve ordering one, so if there's only a small chance it will work
>I'll probably skip this option and go straight for the filter.
>Thoughts?
>
>
>

102. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 03:03:16 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <sJ2Cd.6445$eZ5.4479@fe12.lga>
Source record: 193742

I heard on my scanner on a 2 meter repeater some guy was fighting with
Ford for the same problem.
Not sure what Ford they were talking about. Ford did the fix,
unfortunately I don't remember what that was. Sorry about that.
I heard it a few months ago. The owner of the car is still fighting
with Ford on who will pay for it.
Good Luck!!
73
==========

adoniscat@yahoo.com wrote:

>I've got a Mazda Tribute (near replica of the Ford Escape) and have the
>dreaded RFI from the electric fuel pump. I've done a ton of research
>on the Internet and determined that the most popular option for
>resolving the issue is to install a RFI filter on the pump. This
>involves dropping the tank, which is beyond my capability. So, to do
>the install will involve a trip to the dealership, costing around $200
>for parts/installation.
>
>I've also seen a homebrew ferrite filter that can be installed at the
>power lead for the fuel pump, which is an option.
>
>But, I did see one posting where a guy resolved the problem by
>upgrading to a K40 antenna, which he said filtered the RFI. So, I'm
>wondering if anyone has had success eliminating fuel pump RFI with a
>good antenna? I'm willing to give that a try, but it will probably
>involve ordering one, so if there's only a small chance it will work
>I'll probably skip this option and go straight for the filter.
>Thoughts?
>
>
>

103. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 03:18:28 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <8Q2Cd.45380$Cl3.36712@fed1read03>
Source record: 193743

A.E. 352 wrote:

> http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle
Interesting rig. However, doesn't that mod also allow it to use the 11 meter band (in direct violation of the FCC R&R type acceptance for that particular rig)? now, if it was being sold ro someone outside the states, then its not illegal to sell. for someone inside the states, well, there is still some legal issues from what I hear.

ah well. good luck selling it though. those radios are pretty good for just monoband units.
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

104. Re: Understanding sissy mopeydopey...READ ALL OF THIS, the last part is important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 03:24:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1103882914.260873.166450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193744

A sicko freak who drives hundreds of miles to shoot AKC'ers with his 12 gauge digicam. When we come to visit we will be equally friendly, wimp boy. The difference is we won't be coming there praying to do gay things with AKC'ers, like you.

105. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 03:30:45 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <F%2Cd.45367$yv2.8580@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193745

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:8Q2Cd.45380$Cl3.36712@fed1read03...
> A.E. 352 wrote:
>
>> http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle
> Interesting rig. However, doesn't that mod also allow it to use the 11
> meter
> band (in direct violation of the FCC R&R type acceptance for that
> particular rig)? now, if it was being sold ro someone outside the states,
> then its not illegal to sell. for someone inside the states, well, there
> is
> still some legal issues from what I hear.
>
> ah well. good luck selling it though. those radios are pretty good for
> just
> monoband units.
> --
> DE N7ZZT
> Eric Oyen
> Phoenix, Arizona
> e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
> the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
> has its limits.

Eric, shut up and go figure your EIC that the rest of us will have to foot
the bill for this year.

106. Re: Um.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 05:15:10 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <yx4Cd.6743$yV1.6249@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193746

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050101230326.250$XE@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050101212525.355$r0@newsreader.com...
>> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >> Steveo wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >>Whether we agree or not, I want to wish a Happy New Year to all, and
>> >> >>to your families.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>This NG shit is nothing but entertainment to me, as it should be to
>> >> >>all here, and no animals were harmed by any statements I've made
>> >> >>this year.
>> >> >>
>> >> >>U KNOW WHO
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > Happy New Year back at cha, Randy, and all of RRCB. Have a great
>> >> > 2005.
>> >> >
>> >> > I'm going to the hangover race at sandusky speedway today, should be
>> >> > interesting.
>> >> >
>> >> > http://www.sanduskyspeedway.com/
>> >>
>> >> dont know about all that noise after a night of revelry...
>> >>
>> > I laid low last night, we had some flood concern over here. The race
>> > was fairly entertaining considering it's Jan 1 here in the Buckeye.
>> > They run in -any- weather for this race and as luck would have it they
>> > got a warm day this time. 108 cars showed up, and 89 of them raced.
>>
>> Sounds like a hoot! Happy New Year Dude!
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Same to you, Shark. I got a bit of a chill after 6 1/2 hours in 35 degrees
> but that's a nice day here in Jan. We had some Captain Morgan to take some
> of the bite out. :) (a designated driver too) Rockin'
```

Was that before or after you were racing ;)

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

107. *CASH*CASH*CASH*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 05:44:25 UTC
From: NeoOne <Dariusjkelly@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1104731065.490866.261720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193747

Neo Tech Party Administration
*CASH*CASH*CASH*

Posted By: B (205.188.197.157)
Date: 01/02/05

Turn $6.00 into $42,000...read this to find out how!!! READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE! I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of cash within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting cash in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the cash just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more cash than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the cash come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage) IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy

to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the cash made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

1)Nathan Gilreath 6049 Porter Ave. East Lansing, MI 48823

2)Beth Collins 1012 Woodbine Ave., Toronto, Ontario M4C 4C1

3) Melody McKinley 8 Huntingdon Drive Dartmouth, Nova Scotia B2V 1w1

4) C. Witt 12 Pine ST. Attleboro, MA 02703

5)Ben Ervin 1281 Cadillac Dr. Hamilton, OH 45013

6) D J Kelly 661 powell st apt # 3 Brooklyn Ny 11212

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more cash you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need cash, you can use it again, and again. PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the cash that so many others have received will come your way. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of cash in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only

$6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business! ---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE Cash YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving cash from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.** Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625.00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the cash? So what! What are

the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work

108. Re: I have been Kicked out of the AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 10:25:46 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <nf7it05s320km2sordcjut6fu4554li5gf@4ax.com>
Source record: 193749

On Sun, 02 Jan 2005 13:23:21 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Doug has kicked me out of the AKC

Sorry to hear that but I am sure you will be OK and probably
liberated. Now you can enjoy that good ole 32 pill davemade.
Happy New Year

109. Re: Koreans support itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 10:26:33 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <je7it0dd5v3icjjgmaku265u555t8jic2q@4ax.com>
Source record: 193748

On Mon, 3 Jan 2005 05:14:43 +0900 (KST), I Am Not George
<iamnotgeorge2005@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge is not gay and dumb like doug.
>Koreans glad that he is no longer akc
>
>
>-------------- ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Í³ï¿½ Ä«ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ KORNET -------------
LOL!!!
Happy New Year folks!!!

110. Re: I have been Kicked out of the AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 10:28:51 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <th7it05u7jffc96jna3jjj324k0bcfn0bo@4ax.com>
Source record: 193750

On Sun, 02 Jan 2005 17:32:49 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
>news:1104708264.977700.163730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
>>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>> Doug has kicked me out of the AKC
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
>>> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>> > See, I have proved he did those posts and
>>> > all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
>>> > anyone that disagree with him.
>>> >
>>> > Landshark
>>
>> Who did all of what posts?
>>
>> By the way, itoldyouiamnotgeorge wasnt kicked out of anything but the
>> right to send me email for less than 2999.99 bucks. Can't kick out what
>> was never let in. Whine all you want. Laterz, dude.
>
>
>who is whining Dickhead Doug? you emailed me I just returned Fire aka
>twisty style. No go see your shrink you stupid assclown.

WOW!!! Now I have seen everything. Calling Doug an assclown!
Happy New Year!!!!!!

111. Re: my black dick will rip up your white women's pussy as she screams with pleasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 10:29:26 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <2k7it0dss6vt5hbnqff5eektqfvkovmkqh@4ax.com>
Source record: 193751
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.niggers,alt.fuck.niggers

On Sat, 1 Jan 2005 03:08:05 -0500, "fredisdead"
<dbcooper3390@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I have a big black bi cock
>I love fucking your white women who long for a real piece of meat between
>their legs
>lajones777@cox.net

Uh ok

112. Re: WHAT IS ALL THE FUSS ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 10:29:50 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <0l7it0dml1rk3qr3qsa4kbt0t5gar9pebq@4ax.com>
Source record: 193752

On Fri, 31 Dec 2004 13:47:54 GMT, Sum Yung Ho
<SumYungHo@chinatown.net> wrote:

>I just bought me 2 linear amps the other day. One is called a driver
>and the other is my big amp. Guy hooked it up in my truck and I am
>almost talkin with the big fellas. I guess I am one of those maul
>droppers now. I sure aint the only one with an amp so what is all the
>fuss?
>Droppin Mauls whether you like it or not!

Happy New Year!!

113. Need Info: Instrument from Rubicon Company in Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 10:34:32 UTC
From: kamakize@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1104748472.701663.131260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193753
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc, sci.electronics.basics, rec.radio.swap, rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.cb

I recently picked up this strange thing at a thrift shop. Trying to find out what it is. I know it isn't a radio, but figure some of you that have been around the block may know what it was.

It has Photocells written under the top left buttons, looks like contacts for a battery. GA under the other top contacts.

My guess it is from the 1930s-1950s

Pictures can be found at Http://www.randompostcinema.com/what.html

Anything you can tell me on what the heck it is, I much appreciate it. You can reach me at Kamakize@gmail.com

Thanks much!

114. Re: Understanding sissy mopeydopey...READ ALL OF THIS, the last part is important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 11:46:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050103064628.708$kO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193754

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> A sicko freak who drives hundreds of miles to shoot AKC'ers with his 12
> gauge digicam. When we come to visit we will be equally friendly, wimp
> boy. The difference is we won't be coming there praying to do gay
> things with AKC'ers, like you.
>
Stop emailing me, twit.

115. Re: I have been Kicked out of the AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 13:42:53 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104759773.711166.186220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193755

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1104708264.977700.163730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> >> Doug has kicked me out of the AKC
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> --
> >> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> >> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >> > See, I have proved he did those posts and
> >> > all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
> >> > anyone that disagree with him.
> >> >
> >> > Landshark
> >
> > Who did all of what posts?
> >
> > By the way, itoldyouiamnotgeorge wasnt kicked out of anything but
the
> > right to send me email for less than 2999.99 bucks. Can't kick out
what
> > was never let in. Whine all you want. Laterz, dude.
>
>
> who is whining Dickhead Doug? you emailed me I just returned Fire aka

> twisty style. No go see your shrink you stupid assclown.

Wrong, buttmonkey. You got a message from me because you chose to get
the KeyclownsRCoiminals NG emailed to you. I did not make that choice
for you. Wake up and smell mopey's butt crack.

As for "style", the only style twithed has is copy/pasting other
people's words and pretending they are his. He has not had an original
thought since he took his first dump as a baby.

116. Re: I have been Kicked out of the AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 13:43:53 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104759833.892043.190200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193756

Wow, took some time off from the Petro toilet, ay?

117. Re: AKC resolution for 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 13:45:29 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104759929.607613.196640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193757

Nope, but we have a moron form you can fill out. It is called posting by keyclowns in RRCB. I see you filled it out 3 times in this thread alone.

118. Re: Understanding sissy mopeydopey...READ ALL OF THIS, the last part is important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 13:48:30 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104760110.070691.31920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193758

I dont email you, enema breath. I know you wish I did, so you could have deeper lust urges toward the AKC...but sorry limpwrist...I don't email you. My computer has a built in "automatic cance email to sick sissy freaks" feature.

119. Re: Understanding sissy mopeydopey...READ ALL OF THIS, the last part is important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 13:49:40 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104760179.983842.212410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193759

GET A LOVER, YOU SISSY KEYCLOWN. Mopey will volunteer.

120. The real deal on mopeydopey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 13:50:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104760228.103891.215980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193760

You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's visits. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Mopey tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To mopey, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that mopeydopey shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at

riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

SOME INFO ON MOPEYDOPEY YOU MAY LIKE:

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:

> You'll have to forgive GoogleBoy.

I bet you wish you were good at computer stuff, eh pedohile? GM tracks
every C5 they sell by VIN. You could have found my address from my 2003,
2001, or 1998 C5. Disney keeps records of who is on their ships. You could have found me by my Disney Cruise earlier this year. If you hurry
up
and call Ford, you can find my address by the 2005 Jaguar S-Type I bought
last month. And if you wait a few more months, you can locate my address
by my FAA Pilot License when I get it. Did you ever bother calling your
congressman to ask if every major branch of your Federal Government is implementing SAP? Just out of curiosity, why does the Megan Database show
that not only are you living in Brackenridge, but that you used to live
in
Saxonburg, Tarentum, and Pittsburgh? It also says you used to be married
to a Diane Pendergast. Why did she leave? Was it the pedophilia conviction?

Megan Law, Pennsylvania State database:

Pendergast, Thomas

818 9th Ave,
Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
(724)224-7546

601 E Eighth Ave
Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
(724) 224-7546

Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

121. sicko freak mopey wishes this want the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 13:53:33 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104760413.235519.188180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193761

You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's visits. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Mopey tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To mopey, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that mopeydopey shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at

riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

SOME INFO ON MOPEYDOPEY YOU MAY LIKE:

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:

> You'll have to forgive GoogleBoy.

I bet you wish you were good at computer stuff, eh pedohile? GM tracks
every C5 they sell by VIN. You could have found my address from my 2003,
2001, or 1998 C5. Disney keeps records of who is on their ships. You could have found me by my Disney Cruise earlier this year. If you hurry
up
and call Ford, you can find my address by the 2005 Jaguar S-Type I bought
last month. And if you wait a few more months, you can locate my address
by my FAA Pilot License when I get it. Did you ever bother calling your
congressman to ask if every major branch of your Federal Government is implementing SAP? Just out of curiosity, why does the Megan Database show
that not only are you living in Brackenridge, but that you used to live
in
Saxonburg, Tarentum, and Pittsburgh? It also says you used to be married
to a Diane Pendergast. Why did she leave? Was it the pedophilia conviction?

Megan Law, Pennsylvania State database:

Pendergast, Thomas

818 9th Ave,
Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
(724)224-7546

601 E Eighth Ave
Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
(724) 224-7546

Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

122. sicko freak mopey wishes this wasnt the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 14:20:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104760270.916495.42840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193762

You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's visits. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Mopey tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To mopey, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that mopeydopey shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at

riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

SOME INFO ON MOPEYDOPEY YOU MAY LIKE:

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:

> You'll have to forgive GoogleBoy.

I bet you wish you were good at computer stuff, eh pedohile? GM tracks
every C5 they sell by VIN. You could have found my address from my 2003,
2001, or 1998 C5. Disney keeps records of who is on their ships. You could have found me by my Disney Cruise earlier this year. If you hurry
up
and call Ford, you can find my address by the 2005 Jaguar S-Type I bought
last month. And if you wait a few more months, you can locate my address
by my FAA Pilot License when I get it. Did you ever bother calling your
congressman to ask if every major branch of your Federal Government is implementing SAP? Just out of curiosity, why does the Megan Database show
that not only are you living in Brackenridge, but that you used to live
in
Saxonburg, Tarentum, and Pittsburgh? It also says you used to be married
to a Diane Pendergast. Why did she leave? Was it the pedophilia conviction?

Megan Law, Pennsylvania State database:

Pendergast, Thomas

818 9th Ave,
Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
(724)224-7546

601 E Eighth Ave
Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
(724) 224-7546

Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

123. Re: Understanding sissy mopeydopey...READ ALL OF THIS, the last part is important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 14:26:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050103092632.137$fr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193763

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I dont email you, enema breath. I know you wish I did, so you could
> have deeper lust urges toward the AKC...but sorry limpwrist...I don't
> email you. My computer has a built in "automatic cance email to sick
> sissy freaks" feature.
>
Last warning, STOP emailing me!

124. Re: The real deal on N8WWM emailing me

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 14:28:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050103092842.575$Ft_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193764

Why are you posting Tom's info here?

Stop emailing me!

> Pendergast, Thomas
>
> 818 9th Ave,
> Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
> (724)224-7546
>
> 601 E Eighth Ave
> Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
> (724) 224-7546
>
> Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

125. N8WWM keyboard tough guy, coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 14:43:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050103094308.982$uL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193765

N8WWM keyboard tough guy spew:

From:N8WWM Auntie Keyclown (keyclownz...@theglobe.com)
Subject: calling out Peepeeholic
View: Complete Thread (4 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-08-08 09:09:21 PST

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

N8WWM chickenshit coward in person:

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

126. Re: Let's all use FM from 28.000to29.000Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 15:36:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8423-41D96698-207@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193766

Group: rec.radio.cb
Date: Fri, Dec 31, 2004, 4:12pm
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry)

>Slam me

>all you like, I STILL don't what is going on.

I have no clue why you feel you are being slammed, persecuted, nor why
you have the need to falsely accuse others of "going ballistic" for
merely pointing out your errant behavior of responding to forges.

_
Referring to yourself as "Lt. Colonel" when speaking to Florida is an
ego thing, Jerry, as your rank (unlike the army, navy, marines, or af)
is not recognized by civilians. Stay with Tennessee and Georgia.

>??????????????????????????????????
>?????????

>Ho hum. I still I don't know what you are

>talking about--

That isn't surprising at all. In fact, you plead ignorance every time
your trolling, violation of the hammie creed, and behavior unbecoming of
a hammie is pointed out.

>or CARE for that matter.

If you had integrity, you wouldn't need others to illustrate your
actions contradict your claims.

>If I responded to "forges",

Your use of he word "if" attempts to cast doubt on your actions when
such have never been clearer. No "if" about it, you most certainly
responded to forges.

>So tell me how "responding to forges" has

>anything to do with anything?

The mere fact you need such actions explained is telling enough,
especially when coupled with your pleas of non-knowledge and memory
difficulty.

>I have seen LOTS of people respond to forges
>in here

How irrational. Attempted abdication of your personal action by invoking another's behavior is what is wrong with this country and more specifically, you.

>And if I did, so what?

Your use of the word "if" attempts to cast doubt on your actions when none exists. You were shown the error of your ways.

>So what has "responding to forges" got to do

>with anything?

It illustrates you are about causing trouble. It illustrates denial is your prime knee-jerk reaction....but a few of your character flaws. When examined, it illustrates you use a smokescreen concerning legal issues to justify your behavior unbecoming of hammie, let alone an officer in the CAP. You are unable to effectively communicate and express yourself regarding cb or its users in any fashion other than that which pertains to legality, to which I invoke, borrow liberally, and paraphrase yourself,,,,,"HO HUM.....ZZZZZ".

>Whatever the "error" of my

>ways is, SO?

Same can be said of those who use roger beeps and cb lingo that you go on about like a little old lady...so? LOL,,,,

>One's "errors" are like an opinion: everyone

>has them.

But of course they do. Only you incite trouble and are the exact opposite of what the hammie creed professes.

>I didn't know it was

>"illegal".

Neither are the roger beeps and echo, you hypocrit..lol...having one set of rules for yourself and another for everyone else......you need more schoolin' Oxendine...good thing you hang in rec.radio,cb.
It's not illegal to act like a jerk, Jerry, or to run around and practice poor hammie technique, or to give the CAP a black eye like you have done over the years. I have a very strong feeling the CAP chain-of-command will not ignore what they are about to learn concerning the behaviior of one of their officers in public venues.
You may attempt such a defense with the proper party when appropriate. Nevertheless, you may wish to have a decent hammie explain the hammie creed to you, as you feel it is your right to disrespect people based on your personal opinion. You have plenty to learn regarding proper communication and etiquette.

_
You have a one track mind concerning radio legalities and permit it to

effect your life,,,both here and outside the group. The problem with your approach, is no one else shares your obsession with trolling for illegalities online, regardless the trivial or important nature you attach to your obsessions responsible for upsetting you so.

>It seems to be upsetting you more than me.

Your misinterpretations are not only frequent, but second only to your claims of memory impairment. To be fair, everything you have said has been not oly way off base, but absolutely false, beginning with your accusation that you were being persecuted.

>If I want to post news items, I'll do it.

You needn't act so bizarre and misinterpret the solicited comments you seek on such as bothering another.

>Seems to me YOU are the one that is angry,

>for if it didn't bother you, you wouldn't respond.
Twas you that responded to *my* post, Jerry, not the other way around. Your lucidity is beginning to wane more often than usual. Once again, your behavior is very errant and bizarre, but allow me to place it in very plain terms for you......when you make a post in usenet, it is to solicit response, whether you can comprehend such or not. When that response comes, it is not because someone is angry with you Jerry, but because that is how the usenet works. it's not really black magic, it just seems that way to you at this lowest point in your life.

>I told you 2 years ago that the stuff concering

>Pilot, etc was coming to pass, and you didn't

>believe it.

I said nothing of the sort. Your accusational lies are coming looser and faster..illustrating anger and desperation.

>Now that it IS, you're just mad and have to

>have someone to take it out on.

Then you will have no problem presenting and posting it, reverend JO.....lol..but of course, you are merely falsely accusing out of anger once again.
But what *you* are angry about, being inducted into the rec.radio.cb death pool based on your behavior on the net, your health, family history (ages of those in your family dropping like flies at middle age) and unsolicited info supplied by two no codes, a
general, and a novice..in that order. Smart money predicts you will stroke or have an MI and die in the next three years and that you will not suffer, but go very fast. Don't take it personal, but if my family began kicking off all at the same young age, I'd find a hobby that didn't upset me like cb does you.

>If I am "dialling in to Canada", so what? What

>has it got to do with anything?

The same relation as the legalities you invoke. The same relation as you invoking anothers behavior to justify your own.

>And what "actions" would that might be?

>PROVE where I have broken a regulation or

>have had an NAL.

Your obsession with rules and regs is not shared by this group and is not even a remote topic acknowledged by the majority of us, for which you seem to live.

>Search court records to find "dirt".

Court records? Why would I wish to search court records? You are a legend only in your own mind. See above.

>(www.123nc.com), You won't find it. Not even
>a speeding ticket. So there!!!

I'm not interested in your background, Jerry, as you were forced to expose your misery right here among our pages. Your entire life story plays out among your posts and IF there was anything one wished to know of you, it can be found among your heretic ramblings.

_
>Lt Col??? Florida???? What in the world are

>you talking about?

You are resembling a bowling pin more and more with each post you make, Jerry. I also see, you are a great ng liar. Shall we proceed with this issue where you refer to yourself as a Lt. Col in the CAP?

>If I did, what did it have to do with this

>newsgroup?

Why Jerry, I have never seen so many replies from you begin with "What 'if'.....
It has very much to do with your professing
you had no idea what I was referring when I mentioned "Lt. Col" to you. All you have, are "if's" Jerry, but just for your sanity's sake,,,it has the same relevance as your obsessions. Also, the CAP, especially officers, are held to communty standards, and if one of their members is deliberately harassing people because of their LEGAL choices, such as you often do, I believe their superiors have a right to know, the same belief you hold when you feel one is wrong in their actions.
You may wish to explain your errant behavior and conduct unbecoming of a hammie to the CAP by pointing to what other people say and do.

_
  You have turned into one who is the exact opposite of which the

hammie creed respresents. Perhaps the CAP should receive some of your more colorful posts where you troll and harass cbers because of their lingo.

>EEEEEEEEWWW! That must really get to

>you.

Once again, excuse you. It is your issue, I merely noted your errant and bizarre behavior,,again.

>The lingo, I mean.

Oh no,,I find it quite colorful,,I use it myself. In fact, I am quite fond of it, and the mere act of you taking issue with it illustrates your hypocrisy and bias of the two services.

>Heck, the lingo speaks

>for itself. All you gotta do is listen to the CB.

So does the hammie lingo, but for yet another odd, bizarre, and errant reason, you harass people for their use of such lingo. Such behavior most certainly violates the hammie creed. That lingo issue burns you more than echo and roger beeps, it would seem. Many legal issues get you riled, Jerry, and for no reason other than your personal anger and dislikes. This makes you a lid, Jerry.

>N8WWM, don't know the man, never met him.

You most certainly have had extensive email correspondence with him, but I never claimed you met the felon. Still, I find it fabulous you had the knee-jerk reaction to disassociate yourself from such a notion conceived and brought forth only by yourself.

>He can post whatever he wants to. Doesn't

>affect me. I'll post what I want to.

That IS how ng's work. Ironic that someone of your licensure status repeatedly expresses such difficulty regarding the simplest of communication skill, but then again, I have always maintained that some of those who are licensed in communications know the least about it.

>Tennesee

>and Georga???????????????? You are

>rambling..........

Perhaps, but that rambling is in your playgroud, Jerry. You regularly play with Fl, Tn and Ga when you play "gimme status" with your CAP games.

>What in the

>world??????????????????????????

>Pardon me, but I simply don't understand this.

Hehe,,,that's what you said concerning your self-professed status as a "Lt Col", also, until the truth was forcibly extracted from you. Your cornfusion may be because you struggle with proper communications and cite memory impairment. In fact, when instructed properly, you acknowledge everything you previously claimed, is too difficult for you to grasp. if you behaved yourself you wouldn't have so many dilemmas, both real and imagined.

>None of this makes any sense to me. Fl, Tn,

>Ga, "gimme status"???

That could have been said to you the last time you said "I dont understand" concerning my comments about you self-espousing your "Lt Col" title, but I figured if I waited long enough, you would disqualify your own statements...you never fail to disappoint.

>zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
>zzzzzzzzzzzzzz

>Again, Ho Hum and

>zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz.

Happy New Year, K4KWH!

>(yawn)

Ah, go back to your radical preaching, Jerry. After all, you do refer to yourself as a man of the cloth, in addition to being a Lt Col in the CAP. Although, I've never met anyone who refers themself a minister (who harasses God's children and admits taking pleasure in other's misfortunes), a hammie (who harasses legal cbers) who claims he is a Lt Col in the CAP who harasses people based on absolutely nothing more than his personal opinion. You are quite the man of the cloth, k4kwh. Indeed.

127. Yo' Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 15:39:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8423-41D9672F-208@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193767

Huge national boat show coming to Cleveland this month. Anyone you know going?

128. Re: Yo' Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 15:54:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050103105401.322$kH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193768

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> Huge national boat show coming to Cleveland this month. Anyone you know
> going?
>
Yea, lots of my friends go every year. The sportsman's show also starts
this week at the same place.

129. Re: Need Info: Instrument from Rubicon Company in Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 16:42:25 UTC
From: "Nicholas O. Lindan" <see@sig.com>
Message-ID: <RBeCd.24$vP1.16@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 193769
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.basics,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<kamakize@gmail.com> wrote

> Trying to
> find out what it is. Http://www.randompostcinema.com/what.html

Lightmeter? Bridge circuit, provisions for an external mirror galvo,
battery.

Doesn't it have a nameplate? Pics are pretty fuzzy and it is
hard to read the legends. Try placing the thing upside down
on a scanner.

--
Nicholas O. Lindan, Cleveland, Ohio
Consulting Engineer: Electronics; Informatics; Photonics.
Remove spaces etc. to reply: n o lindan at net com dot com
psst.. want to buy an f-stop timer? nolindan.com/da/fstop/

130. Re: Need Info: Instrument from Rubicon Company in Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 16:50:24 UTC
From: Jim Thompson <thegreatone@example.com>
Message-ID: <mstit016putkuh4ko395i9v148tqo8klhj@4ax.com>
Source record: 193770
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.basics,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 03 Jan 2005 16:42:25 GMT, "Nicholas O. Lindan" <see@sig.com>
wrote:

><kamakize@gmail.com> wrote
>
>> Trying to
>> find out what it is. Http://www.randompostcinema.com/what.html
>
>Lightmeter? Bridge circuit, provisions for an external mirror galvo,
>battery.
>
>Doesn't it have a nameplate? Pics are pretty fuzzy and it is
>hard to read the legends. Try placing the thing upside down
>on a scanner.

looks like an old "standard cell", but the "photocell" labeling is
confusing.

...Jim Thompson
--
James E.Thompson, P.E.	mens
Analog Innovations, Inc.	et
Analog/Mixed-Signal ASIC's and Discrete Systems	manus
Phoenix, Arizona Voice:(480)460-2350	
E-mail Address at Website Fax:(480)460-2142	Brass Rat
http://www.analog-innovations.com	1962

I love to cook with wine. Sometimes I even put it in the food.

131. Re: --------GET A LIFE, DOUGAY... YOU AKC's ARE NITWITS---------

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 18:24:39 UTC
From: "geo has issues.." <geo_has_issues@no-spam.neht>
Message-ID: <S9gCd.7264$Ef.6345@fe04.lga>
Source record: 193771

More petty quibbling from the so called keyclowns, again?

You sure are an obcessive little psychotic poster child.. aren't you??

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1104760413.235519.188180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

(n8's absurd mewling...snipped)

132. Re: Let's all use FM from 28.000to29.000Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 18:55:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9752-41D99506-91@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193774

Group: rec.radio.cb
Date: Mon, Jan 3, 2005, 11:23am (EST-1) From:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
joxendine@bellsouth.net. (k4kwh) wrote in news:8423-41D96698-207:
How irrational. Attempted abdication of your personal action by invoking another's behavior is what is wrong with this country and more specifically, you.

>Yes every time you cry about Doug and what

>he has done you prove your point,

Doug commits felonies on his own, independent of anything anyone else may say or do. That our usenet topic of choice dictates his behavior, is involuntary.

>by invoking anothers behaviour

>now go wash off

Mopar and I are your Godz, otherwise, your material wouldn't shine as it does when you plagiarize and pay us proper homage.

>you demented assclown.

It's ok, my pet. When you grow up you may grasp the concept and understand the need for your behavior. Until then, you have no choice but to make do and learn from those of us who are in the know.

133. Re: Yo' Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 18:58:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9751-41D995D9-258@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193773

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
Huge national boat show coming to Cleveland this month. Anyone you know
going?

>Yea, lots of my friends go every year. The

>sportsman's show also starts this week at the

>same place.

There is a boat I'm interested in that will make its debut there. If
there is any chance any of your friends are taking a digital camera with
them, I have a favor to ask..(hint hint).

134. Re: Phunny Oxendines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 19:08:52 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1104779332.511939.250410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193772

I can't read much of anything on your site... with the black text...
and dark background.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

imnotk4kwh wrote:
> http://members.migente.com/senor_lafreak
>
> =-
> Article poste via Voila News - http://www.news.voila.fr
> Le : Mon Jan 3 00:16:16 2005 depuis l'IP : 4.247.137.59 [VIP
628865746701]

135. Re: Yo' Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 19:11:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050103141106.972$Ha@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193775

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> Huge national boat show coming to Cleveland this month. Anyone you know
> going?
>
> >Yea, lots of my friends go every year. The
>
> >sportsman's show also starts this week at the
>
> >same place.
>
> There is a boat I'm interested in that will make its debut there. If
> there is any chance any of your friends are taking a digital camera with
> them, I have a favor to ask..(hint hint).
>
Sure, send me an email.

136. Re: Impression

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 19:21:54 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1104780114.400350.310900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193776

LOL...
Yes, this group keeps going down (if that's possible)... and has
expended every gay joke and foul word... several thousand times.
Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

137. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 19:28:26 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1104780506.465892.109590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193777

Why do you say that? I've never had any problems with RF Parts gain
matched parts. They may only be screening for gain though... as I see a
wattage level written in pencil on one of the striplines. Really they
should be screening for beta as well...
Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

138. Koreans offer wisdom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 19:46:40 UTC
From: blo mi dadi <jongilpark@korea.net>
Message-ID: <crc7f0ggf1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193778

N8 and AKC still #1 with koreans.

AKC and doug doing excellent job ridding planet of bad
cb outlaws.

If itoldyouiamnotgeorge no longer with AKC
is very bad loss to team but no problem AKC will be
triumph.

Keyclowns suck. Steveo be keyclown.
Steveo suck ha ha.

---------- 占쏙옙占싶놂옙 카占쏙옙占쏙옙占쏙옙 KORNET ----------

139. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 20:16:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <tr9jt0541kvtjhjap6k9ql99pvbgs450og@4ax.com>
Source record: 193779

On 3 Jan 2005 11:28:26 -0800, "Professor" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <1104780506.465892.109590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>Why do you say that? I've never had any problems with RF Parts gain
>matched parts. They may only be screening for gain though... as I see a
>wattage level written in pencil on one of the striplines. Really they
>should be screening for beta as well...

http://www.rfparts.com/tranmatch.html

Idiot. And you're not an idiot because you don't know the difference
between 'beta' and 'gain' -- you're an idiot because you profess
ignorance when the facts are just a mouse-click away.

140. looking for a shooting star beam..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-03 22:59:18 UTC
From: wb4una@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050103175918.10458.00001923@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 193780

looking for a shooting star beam in good condition for a project I am doing. If you have one that is setting around and may need work that will work also. Drop me a line and tell me what you have and what you want for it shipped to me here in SC.

thanks Randy

ARS: WB4UNA
Randy Chavis
247 Goff Court
West Columbia
S.C. 29172

141. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 00:28:43 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <opojt0tshbnkn050n7dotjkhsg1cthn9dn@4ax.com>
Source record: 193784

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

142. FREE! "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" OF FREQ. MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 00:28:47 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <tpojt0dkrcqdjqvff0e49di1352bl07lqv@4ax.com>
Source record: 193781

FREE!!

For advanced techs and serious radio hobbyists, we're now offering a FREE copy of our previously published "CB EPROM DATA BOOK" by Martin Pickering. (EPROM = Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.) We sold it for many years but it's now out of print. This little manual of 60 illustrated pages is an absolute GEM of CB technical knowledge you'll just never find anywhere else. And it's yours for FREE from our Web site.

This book reveals the secrets of advanced channel programming, and picks up where our "CB PLL DATA BOOK" (www.cbcintl.com/pllbook.htm) leaves off. You'll get a thorough background in how these amazing programmable chips can be used to modify many popular CB PLL circuits for expanded frequency coverage. Covers the basic programming techniques, and even includes real PC board artwork for making EPROM circuits. Sample artwork includes EPROM boards for the popular MB8719, PLL02A, ï¿½PD2824, and ï¿½PD858 PLL circuits.

Best of all, the price is right--namely, FREE! Download your FREE copy of "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" (948 KB Adobe PDF) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/EpromBook.htm

Don't forget to check out all our other CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

143. CB REPAIR & MODIFICATION BOOKS AT 40% OFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 00:28:52 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <2qojt0t0ic4i6gi04jllee1nbuksje1365@4ax.com>
Source record: 193783

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out!

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

144. GALAXY-RANGER SERVICE MANUAL--2nd EDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 00:28:56 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <6qojt098sp8lin74c86ejo4igmecuq9f24@4ax.com>
Source record: 193782

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

145. Re: Need Info: Instrument from Rubicon Company in Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 01:17:12 UTC
From: "Jerry G." <jerryg50@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1104801432.078157.88030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193785
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc, sci.electronics.basics, rec.radio.swap, rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.cb

What does the upper label on the corner say?

This looks like it was made in the early 1930's to the mid 1940's. If I had more views of it, especialy clearly (not out of fucus) showing me the labels on everything, including rear views, I may be able to identify it. I am very familiar with many of the types of test gear and radio equipment from that era.

Jerry G.
======

146. my black dick will rip up a chickens ass as it cackles with pleasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 02:19:24 UTC
From: LEROY KNEVIL <skeets@rmci.net>
Message-ID: <1104805164.253665.49730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193786
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking, rec.radio.cb, alt.niggers, alt.fuck.niggers

SHUT THE FUCK UP YOU GOLD TOOTHED GUTTER APE! THE ONLY THING YOU'VE
EVER FUCKED IS YOUR WHORE MAMMY BOY!!!! NOW GET BACK TO SHINING MASTER
LEROY'S BOOTS NIGGER!!!!!! LMFWAO!!!!!!!

fredisdead wrote:I HAVE AIDS AND I'M A DUMB NIGGER!
> I have a big black bi cock
> I love fucking your white women who long for a real piece of meat
between
> their legs
> lajones777@cox.net
>
>
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1104396541.744431.77390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > lay off the Medina stuff...it isnt the whole towns fault he is
> > there...of course they could pass a law against registered morons
and
> > he'd have to leave.
> >

147. Re: Let's all use FM from 28.000to29.000Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 02:38:09 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9inCd.38482$6V1.18443@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 193787

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8423-41D96698-207@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net...
Group: rec.radio.cb
Date: Fri, Dec 31, 2004, 4:12pm
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry)

Now you ARE delusional!! I could ramble on and on and about things that don't exist if I wanted. That's what you are doing!

I only have a few comments, then I am going to sit back and let you spew your nonsense.

1. Family and health issues? My father is 91-still on his feet. Everybody, with the exception of those who died in accidents have made it at least into their 80s.

2. Whatever you say concerning this "Lt/Col" stuff , I haven't a clue what you are talking about. And if I WERE a member of an organization such as CAP, my actions with regarding "forges" and this newsgroup has no affect, so tell whomever you like. What *that* organization does, and it's members do, it only applies to the scope of the duties laid out WITHIN the group, no effects participation in a newsgroup--same as it would be with the Moose Lodge, or Elks or Masonic. If it were possible to interfere with one's affiliations--whatever they may be--, they'd never be able to keep anyone around. I can hear it now : "HE SAID SUCH-N-SUCH AND I DON'T LIKE IT" "I'M GONNA GET SO-N-SO IN TROUBLE" Yeah, right.

3. Minister?? You really ARE nuts now!! For I have NEVER been a minister, am not one now, and never will be one. So you can try to make something out of that as well, for it is FALSE. I worked in the transportation industry for 23 years. How you got me mixed up with a "minister" is beyond me.

4. My "licensure" also has nothing to do with anything. If I want to make a comment, and someone doesn't like it, well , too bad.

5. Well, I've 'misbehaved' before, and I reckon I'll probably do it again. it all depends on one's point of view.

I am now done responding to this thread since, despite your protestions to the contrary, I really don't give a rat's ass what you think. That's what this group is anyway, right? It's all about one upmanship, right? Hmmm, kinda like the CB! LOL!

Bye, now.

148. 21st Century E-Commerce Money Making Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 02:55:56 UTC
From: NeoOne <Dariusjkelly@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1104807356.773413.207790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193788

Neo Tech Party Administration

Posted By: 21st Cent Neo-Tycoon
Date: January 2, 2004, at 7:00pm
21st Century E-Commerce Money Making Formula

This works better than letters & it's all electronic!

Posted By: E'Sean Date: Wednesday, 21 July 2004 eMoney Making Formula

"This is so awesome. Try it. The more people that do it the better."

"I turned $3 into $14,706 within the first 30 days of operating the business plan that I am about to reveal to you free of charge."

If you decide to take action on the following instructions, I will personally GUARANTEE that you will enjoy a similar return!"

Please do not be skeptical about this program.

At least think about it for a few days.

Otherwise you will be throwing away over $10,000 in cash!

Dear Friend, My name is Mark Sutton. I was laid off from my job in August of 2001 At that time I wasliving beyond my means and in serious debt. Several debt collectors were hounding me on an almost daily basis, and this consequently started a chain reaction that ended with the repossession of my car and then my home. As you can probably imagine, my prospects looked pretty bleak. Then, in March of 2002, I received a short and simple email telling me how to make over $10,000 at a time.

I ignored it because of course, I was skeptical.

However, I didn't delete that email because something about it rang true to me, and I was desperate to know whether it was possible to make that amount of money in such a short space of time. By this time, I was even deeper in debt, and I had just about reached the point of despair. I finally realized that I had absolutely nothing to lose by having a go at the plan offered to me in that email.

And apart from that, I just couldn't stop myself from thinking "What if it actually works?" Therefore, I put my doubts to one side and I went ahead and followed the simple instructions given in that email. It took me less than 30 minutes

to complete and it cost me no more than $3. And yet, by the end of 2002, I was able to book the family holiday of a lifetime in Miami, and

I bought a brand new Audi A8 with hard cash. And in the autumn of 2003, I purchased a tidy semi-detached home for no less than $125,000. And yet,

I don't owe a single penny to anyone!

To date, I have made exactly $392,718. My accountant has drawn up a cash-flow forecast in which he predicts that, within the next 24 months, I will become a millionaire just through this one business alone. Even now as I write this, I find it strange to come to terms with the fact that, like most people, I've worked hard and struggled all my life just to 'get by' and then something ridiculously simple drops into my lap and immediately turns my life around completely.

When I think back to all the similar emails I had previously deleted, it makes me shudder, because now I know just how close I came to missing out completely.

What did it say in that email?

What were those simple instructions that enabled me to amass so much money in so little time?

Well, if you'll read on, all will be revealed!

The business plan I am about to share with you works perfectly every single time. I've never made less than $10,000 from it on each occasion. Let me assure you that this is a LEGITIMATE BUSINESS OPPORTUNITY, a perfectly legal moneymaking enterprise. It does not require you to sell anything. It does not require you to speak to your friends, family or anybody else (unless you want to). In fact, you do not need to come into contact with any people whatsoever. Anyone with a pulse can become successful and make their fortune with this system. If you believe that 'every dog has his day', then follow the simple step-by-step plan exactly as it is set out below. If you do this and this alone, I GUARANTEE that within the next 30 days you will receive over $10,000 in cash via your PayPal account. I know that this must sound like an outrageous claim, particularly if you've never had possession of this sort of money before, but believe me, this business works like nothing else you may have seen before in your life!

By following the simple 3-step plan set out below, within a few short weeks your life will be transformed beyond all recognition! Please do not allow your initial skepticism to deprive you of certain financial success. If you decide not to take advantage of this life-changing opportunity, I would like you to know that I sincerely respect your decision and I understand that you will have your reasons. I therefore wish you the very best of luck for the future. I am able to say this with absolute sincerity because, as you will see, if you decide to work this plan, I will not receive any financial compensation from you whatsoever. After all, I am sharing this system with you for free. However, remember life is short. Nobody has ever said that you can't have the financial freedom to do what you want to do, when you want to

do it.

But you must first pay the price. The price here is action; it's as simple as that!

I'm very proud to be able to say that I've fulfilled my duty to my wife and children by securing their financial future in a very uncertain world, and I don't believe that any price is too great to pay for such peace of mind. THIS IS THE CHEAPEST, FASTEST & EASIEST WAY TO MAKE MONEY ONLINE - PERIOD!

There are a lot of companies out there offering to put you in your own business, but I'm so certain you will make a SERIOUS INCOME with what I am going to tell you that I'm willing to roll up my sleeves and help you begin - RIGHT NOW!!

Remember, I am not asking you to send me a single penny for the lucrative business project I am about to share with you. In addition, you will not be asked to buy anything or sacrifice your free time. You will make some fast and easy cash, using just a copy of this email and the simplest and most popular Internet payment system in existence!

You have most likely seen or heard about this project on TV programs such as 20/20 and Oprah Winfrey, or you may have read about it in the Wall Street Journal. If not, here it is below - revealed to you in step-by-step detail. This program is by no means new. It has been in existence in many forms for at least ten years. But in the early days, it required a lot more time and effort, as well as an outlay of a few hundred dollars. However, thanks to PayPal and the Internet, the outlay is now virtually ZERO! On top of that, the entire process is FASTER, EASIER, and MORE LUCRATIVE than it has EVER been! If you're already running a home business, you can easily do this one along with it. If not, this is the FASTEST and EASIEST way to earn serious money online that you've ever seen so far in the history of the Internet! This program works no matter what country you are in, or what currency you use. It doesn't matter how old or how young you are. And you certainly won't need any special knowledge or talent. You won't need to run a website, or make phone calls, or make photocopies, or send out letters through the mail, or pay for advertising, etc.

The only things you will need are: * An email address * A Premier or Business PayPal account with at least $3 deposited in it * 30 minutes of your time

This program takes just half an hour to set up. After that, there is absolutely no work whatsoever to do on your part. And yet, you will stand to gain many thousands of dollars within the next few weeks from those 30 minutes of easy work! Yes, I know, it sounds too good to be true! I thought exactly the same thing myself until I actually tried it out!

Even if you are already involved in another program, stay with it, but do yourself a big favour and DO THIS ONE as well. You have absolutely

NOTHING to lose, but you stand to gain a LIFE-CHANGING amount of money within the next few weeks! In fact, there is NO LIMIT to the amount of income you can generate from this one single business program!! The facts are simple: If you need to make a few thousand dollarss REALLY FAST, then this program is the way to do it! It's the CHEAPEST, FASTEST, EASIEST, and MOST LUCRATIVE program you will ever participate in!

Please be sure to read all of this page . . . take your time, come back to it . . . go over and over it, you won't be sorry, I can certainly promise you that! If you don't have time to read all of this now, then save this page, or add it to your Favorites list, so you can come back to it later. ALL YOU NEED IS A PAYPAL ACCOUNT & AN EMAIL ADDRESS! Just about everyone has heard about "PayPal" (if you haven't you will soon!) and when I came across this concept I knew it would work because, as a member of PayPal, I had already experienced their efficiency and excellent standing. PayPal is the simplest method of making and receiving payments online that anyone has ever seen! Anyone with an email address can join for FREE! Once you have a PayPal account, you can send and receive credit card payments to or from anyone - anywhere in the world! Please read further before you go there... You can complete this whole process within just half an hour and you will NEVER forget the day you decided to do so!!!

NEED PROOF? Here are just 3 testimonials from the countless individuals who decided to invest nothing more than $3 and half an hour of their time to participate in this program:

" What an amazing plan! I followed your instructions just 3 weeks ago, and although I haven't made 10 grand yet, I'm already up to $6,135. I'm absolutely gob smacked." Alan Humphries , Leicester

"This is Lisa. Well, what can I say?... THANK YOU SO MUCH! I sent 40 e-mail's out like you said and then I just forgot about the whole thing. To be honest, I didn't really think anything would come of it. But when I checked my paypal account a week later, there was over $3,000 in it! After 30 days I now have over $11,000 to spend! I can't thank you enough!" Lisa McDonald, Northampton

" I was shocked when I saw how much money came flooding into my paypal account. Within 3 weeks my account balance has ballooned to $7,449. At first I thought there had been some sort of error with my account!" Richard Barrie , Cirencester

Just a few months ago, each of these people were doing the same thing as you are at this very moment - reading this! But because they decided to follow the simple instructions given below, they are now considerably better off as a result. And there's no reason whatsoever why you can't share in this success. You've got nothing to lose, and EVERYTHING to gain! Let's get started, just follow the instructions exactly as set out below and then prepare yourself for a HUGE influx of cash over the next 30 days!

HERE'S WHAT YOU NEED TO DO. . .

STEP 1

Ok, if you're not already a PayPal user, the very first thing you need to do is use the PayPal link below and SIGN UP. It takes just two minutes!
https://www.paypal.com/us/mrb/pal=ZVR6VDMJUDB5Y

Be sure to use this link so you can sign up for a free PREMIER or BUSINESS account. You'll need to have a PREMIER or BUSINESS account (and not a PERSONAL account) otherwise you won't be able to receive credit card payments from other people.

STEP 2

It is an undeniable law of the universe that we must first give in order to receive. So the first thing to do when you have your Premier/Business PayPal account is to IMMEDIATELY send a $3 payment from your PayPal account to the FIRST email address in the list below, along with a note saying: " Please add me to your mailing list." Be certain to add this note, as this is what KEEPS THIS PROGRAM LEGAL. Instructions on how to send a payment are under "SEND MONEY" at the Paypal Site. It's so Easy!! When you send your $3 payment to the first address in the list, do it with a great big smile on your face because "as you sow, so shall you reap!"
<>Here's the current list
(1) bigmicrosoft @yahoo.com (2) disbellj @midsouth.rr.com (3) moty7ellek @adelphia.net (4) spontaniuz @msn.com (5) dholla @msn.com

After you have transferred a $3 payment to the email address at the top of the list, something very eerie happens. It gives you an indescribable, overwhelming sense of certainty, belief and conviction in the system. You've just proved to yourself that, because you have done it, there must be a great number of other people ready to do exactly the same. Thus you have now seen for yourself, first hand, that this business actually works!

STEP 3

Once you've sent a $3 payment to the address at the top of the list (along with a note,please add me to you mailing list! - this is VERY important!), the next thing you need to do is to obtain a copy of this email message, as you'll be sending it out to at least 40 people.

The copy that you will send out will contain YOUR unique PayPal referral URL and YOUR email address at number 5 in the list - having deleted the address at Number 1 in the list, and moving the others up a position. The easiest way to do this is to:

(A) Go to your toolbar (at the very top of the screen) and select EDIT and then SELECT ALL.

(B) Go to the toolbar again and select EDIT and then COPY.

(C) Start (compose) a new email message (PLAIN TEXT so everyone can view it!).

(D) Fill in your email address and subject line.

(E) Go to EDIT and PASTE to paste this text into your new email message. Now you can edit this email message in any way you want!

The first thing to edit are the email addresses in the list above. Delete the top email address, and then move the others up a position, and then add YOUR email address to the bottom of the list (the number 5 position). Be sure to use your email address that is associated with your PayPal account. But DO NOT forget to send $3 via PayPal (along with your note!) to the email address at position number 1 before deleting it! Don't be tempted to add your name to position 1 in order to earn money fast! It doesn't work like that!

If you do that, you will ONLY reach the people you directly send emails to, and then your name will be immediately removed from the No.1 place and you won't reach thousands of people! But, if you add your name to the Number 5 position, there will be literally thousands of people receiving and sending email's later - when your name is at the Number 1 spot!!!

Now you need to edit the PayPal referring URL (shown above in Step 1) with your own PayPal referring URL. You can find YOUR referring URL at Paypal after you join. Just look at the bottom of the first page once you sign into Paypal, and click on the "referrals" link. You will be given a referring URL similar to the one above, except the code number at the end will be different. Simply delete the current PayPal referral URL in Step 1 above, and replace it with your PayPal referral URL.

(F) Once you've edited your email message as outlined above, send out a minimum of 40 copies of this email. You can advertise it any way you want to But no spam.
Best of luck and remember to play fairly and honestly and this will really work

Yours Truly
Neo-Tycoon

149. *CASH*CASH*CASH*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 02:56:17 UTC
From: NeoOne <Dariusjkelly@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1104807377.281325.175010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193789

Neo Tech Party Administration
*CASH*CASH*CASH*

Posted By: B (205.188.197.157)
Date: 01/02/05

Turn $6.00 into $42,000...read this to find out how!!! READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE! I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of cash within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting cash in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the cash just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more cash than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the cash come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage) IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy

to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the cash made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

1)Nathan Gilreath 6049 Porter Ave. East Lansing, MI 48823

2)Beth Collins 1012 Woodbine Ave., Toronto, Ontario M4C 4C1

3) Melody McKinley 8 Huntingdon Drive Dartmouth, Nova Scotia B2V 1w1

4) C. Witt 12 Pine ST. Attleboro, MA 02703

5)Ben Ervin 1281 Cadillac Dr. Hamilton, OH 45013

6) D J Kelly 661 powell st apt # 3 Brooklyn Ny 11212

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more cash you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need cash, you can use it again, and again. PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the cash that so many others have received will come your way. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of cash in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only

$6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business! ---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE Cash YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving cash from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.** Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625.00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the cash? So what! What are

the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

150. Re: Need Info: Instrument from Rubicon Company in Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 03:49:38 UTC
From: M-Tech <mechtechllc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <raudneqIGMPJj0fcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 193790
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.basics,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Resistance/ohm meter standard of some type would be my guess.

Don
313

<kamakize@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1104748472.701663.131260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I recently picked up this strange thing at a thrift shop. Trying to
> find out what it is. I know it isn't a radio, but figure some of you
> that have been around the block may know what it was.
>
> It has Photocells written under the top left buttons, looks like
> contacts for a battery. GA under the other top contacts.
>
> My guess it is from the 1930s-1950s
>
> Pictures can be found at Http://www.randompostcinema.com/what.html
>
> Anything you can tell me on what the heck it is, I much appreciate it.
> You can reach me at Kamakize@gmail.com
>
> Thanks much!
>

151. FA: Many Scanners/Radios ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 04:20:22 UTC
From: "news.mi.comcast.giganews.com" <seskindell@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <0dqdnTJape4bhEfcRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 193791

ICOM PCR 1000 Scanner/Receiver
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741313033&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

SR Standard Communications Receiver with Scope
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741315973&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

ICOM R1 Scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741958447&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

OPTOELECTRONICS SCOUT
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741498471&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

VIKING EXTENDED RECORDER (Great for scanning)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741957070&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

RADIO SHACK DX-398 SHORTWAVE RECEIVER
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741304954&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

152. Transmission line vswr with unmathced generator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 04:31:57 UTC
From: "ozgun.harmanci" <ozgun.harmanci@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1104813117.849208.311840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193792

Hi everybody, I am troubled with transmission line vswr, I hope this is the right place to ask this question. Is the sr formula same with unmatched generator? I mean I know that

SWR = (1 + |Gamma_Load|) / (1 - |Gamma_Load|)

Gamma_Load is reflection coefficient at load side.

But I think there is a problem with this formula when generator is unmatched to the transmission line so that there is also a reflection at generator side. Is that correct or am i making a mistake somewhere?
Thanx in advance.
Arif.

153. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 04:41:50 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <i8pCd.48009$CI3.45274@fed1read03>
Source record: 193793

U Know Who wrote:

>
> Eric, shut up and go figure your EIC that the rest of us will have to foot
> the bill for this year.
sounds like someone (need I name who?) has a burr up their bridle.
as for the EIC you name, isn't that for families with dependant children?

besides, they way you came off makes me think you are an asshole (or sound
like one anyway).
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

154. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 04:50:09 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <5gpCd.39401$wD4.36641@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193844

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95D3EBEDA75DA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
> news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
>
> Hey smooth now some of these cats are real rascists unlike me. I like
> grits, collard greens and chicken and ribs. and my favorite is corn bread.

Grits? Yuuuuck!

155. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 05:08:52 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <ExpCd.39403$wD4.23738@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193845

```
"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
message news:5gpCd.39401$wD4.36641@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95D3EBEDA75DA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
>> news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
>>
>> Hey smooth now some of these cats are real rascists unlike me. I like
>> grits, collard greens and chicken and ribs. and my favorite is corn
>> bread.
>
> Grits? Yuuuuck!
>

And gasp, chicken?????
```

156. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 05:18:07 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <jGpCd.6515$5R.3164@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193846

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
message news:ExpCd.39403$wD4.23738@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
> message news:5gpCd.39401$wD4.36641@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote in message news:Xns95D3EBEDA75DA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
>>> news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
>>>
>>> Hey smooth now some of these cats are real rascists unlike me. I like
>>> grits, collard greens and chicken and ribs. and my favorite is corn
>>> bread.
>>
>> Grits? Yuuuuck!
>>
>
> And gasp, chicken?????

forgot Hominy (Not sure of the spelling) LOL!!!!

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

157. Re: Transmission line vswr with unmathced generator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 06:37:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cjdkt0tluddft0528p36fp7pfr19jdphr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 193847

On 3 Jan 2005 20:31:57 -0800, "ozgun.harmanci"
<ozgun.harmanci@gmail.com> wrote in
<1104813117.849208.311840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>Hi everybody, I am troubled with transmission line vswr, I hope this is
>the right place to ask this question. Is the sr formula same with
>unmatched generator? I mean I know that
>
>SWR = (1 + |Gamma_Load|) / (1 - |Gamma_Load|)
>
>Gamma_Load is reflection coefficient at load side.
>
>But I think there is a problem with this formula when generator is
>unmatched to the transmission line so that there is also a reflection
>at generator side. Is that correct or am i making a mistake somewhere?
>Thanx in advance.
>Arif.

You are correct. There can be more than one mismatch in a system.
Group your system components so you have only two parts:

Effective Source (generator + transmission line)
Effective Load (load)

Then regroup the system again:

Effective Source (generator)
Effective Load (transmission line + load)

The number of possible mismatches depends on the number of components
in the system. For example, if your system has five components you can
have four possible mismatches.

Does that help?

158. Re: Transmission line vswr with unmathced generator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 06:42:11 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <hKmdnazZSMhap0fcRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 193848

"ozgun.harmanci" <ozgun.harmanci@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1104813117.849208.311840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Hi everybody, I am troubled with transmission line vswr, I hope this is
> the right place to ask this question. Is the sr formula same with
> unmatched generator? I mean I know that
>
> SWR = (1 + |Gamma_Load|) / (1 - |Gamma_Load|)
>
> Gamma_Load is reflection coefficient at load side.
>
> But I think there is a problem with this formula when generator is
> unmatched to the transmission line so that there is also a reflection
> at generator side. Is that correct or am i making a mistake somewhere?

Why would the generator be "unmatched" in your case is the first question to ask. However to answer your question I would say no. The logic proceeds as follows.

Another common formula for calculating SWR is based on measuring the forward and reflected power from the load.

SWR=(1+sqrt(Pref/Rfwd))/(1-sqrt(Pref/Pfwd))

In the case of a matched generator there is no power reflected from it. In the mismatched case power is reflected and simply adds to the forward power from the generator towards the miss matched load. Since the generator is now miss matched it does not put out full power, it can't by definition of a conjugally matched generator which can only put out max power in to a matched load. This extra power is partly absorbed by the load and some is reflected back toward the generator where it is again reflected back towards the load. At some point this process of reflections and re-reflections settles out to a steady state.

http://home.iag.net/~w2du1/Appendix%207.pdf

Look at examples A, B and C diagrams.
http://home.iag.net/~w2du1/Appendix%206.pdf

http://home.iag.net/~w2du1/Chapter%2023.pdf

The power absorbed by the load and what is reflected back is only a function of the load miss match. The generator miss match only alters the forward power. The load miss match controls the reflected power towards the generator, which is always a fixed fraction of the forward power whatever it maybe. Thus I would say the SWR is not dependent on the generator miss match to the line, but can the generator miss match can affect the measured forward power seen on the line. Also when you're measuring the miss match on

the line you are generaly interested in the "load miss match" which as I
stated above is stricly a function of the forward power sent to the load,
and the power reflected from it back towards the generator.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

> Thanx in advance.
> Arif.
>

159. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 10:57:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104055706.053$aF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193849

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95D3EBEDA75DA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> > Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
> > news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
> >
> > Hey smooth now some of these cats are real rascists unlike me. I like
> > grits, collard greens and chicken and ribs. and my favorite is corn
> > bread.
>
> Grits? Yuuuuck!
>
Black eyed peas, yum.

160. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 10:58:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104055803.979$tk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193850

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
> in message news:ExpCd.39403$wD4.23738@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> >
> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
> > in message news:5gpCd.39401$wD4.36641@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> >>
> >> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
> >> news:Xns95D3EBEDA75DA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> >>> Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
> >>> news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
> >>>
> >>> Hey smooth now some of these cats are real rascists unlike me. I like
> >>> grits, collard greens and chicken and ribs. and my favorite is corn
> >>> bread.
> >>
> >> Grits? Yuuuuck!
> >>
> >
> > And gasp, chicken?????
>
> forgot Hominy (Not sure of the spelling) LOL!!!!
>
Ain't that a form of grits?
```

161. Re: Yo' Mopar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 12:23:03 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104841383.484761.222420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193851

Steveo wrote:
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> > Huge national boat show coming to Cleveland this month. Anyone you know
> > going?
> >
> Yea, lots of my friends go every year. The sportsman's show also starts
> this week at the same place.

Mopey goes there to work the toilets. The extra cash is what he uses to pay for his adult toys and his ads in porno magazines.

Maybe twithed should go...a team of mopeydopey and FUGGLY KID working the toilets would be quite profitable

162. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 12:26:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104072612.241$ky@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193852

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> U Know Who wrote:
>
> >
> > Eric, shut up and go figure your EIC that the rest of us will have to
> > foot the bill for this year.
> sounds like someone (need I name who?) has a burr up their bridle.
> as for the EIC you name, isn't that for families with dependant children?
>
> besides, they way you came off makes me think you are an asshole (or
> sound like one anyway).
>
Why are no code free loaders like you and n8wwm such asshats? Is
there some kind of an agreement that once you're indigent and living off
the tax payers, you must act like a fucknozzle?

163. mopeydopey's frustration builds by the minute!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 12:28:06 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104841686.002027.219070@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193853

You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's visits. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Mopey tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To mopey, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that mopeydopey shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at

riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

SOME INFO ON MOPEYDOPEY YOU MAY LIKE:

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:

> You'll have to forgive GoogleBoy.

I bet you wish you were good at computer stuff, eh pedohile? GM tracks
every C5 they sell by VIN. You could have found my address from my 2003,
2001, or 1998 C5. Disney keeps records of who is on their ships. You could have found me by my Disney Cruise earlier this year. If you hurry
up
and call Ford, you can find my address by the 2005 Jaguar S-Type I bought
last month. And if you wait a few more months, you can locate my address
by my FAA Pilot License when I get it. Did you ever bother calling your
congressman to ask if every major branch of your Federal Government is implementing SAP? Just out of curiosity, why does the Megan Database show
that not only are you living in Brackenridge, but that you used to live
in
Saxonburg, Tarentum, and Pittsburgh? It also says you used to be married
to a Diane Pendergast. Why did she leave? Was it the pedophilia conviction?

Megan Law, Pennsylvania State database:

Pendergast, Thomas

818 9th Ave,
Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
(724)224-7546

601 E Eighth Ave
Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
(724) 224-7546

Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

164. Re: N8WWM loves men

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 12:32:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104073242.275$fg_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193854

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> > > Huge national boat show coming to Cleveland this month. Anyone you
> know
> > > going?
> > >
> > Yea, lots of my friends go every year. The sportsman's show also
> starts
> > this week at the same place.
>
> Mopey goes there to work the toilets. The extra cash is what he uses to
> pay for his adult toys and his ads in porno magazines.
>
> Maybe twithed should go...a team of mopeydopey and FUGGLY KID working
> the toilets would be quite profitable
>
More gay projection from the closet homo coward, N8WWM.

You have no room to call anyone fugly, adair.

http://img53.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img53&image=dogieupclosefugly8kj.jpg

165. Re: --------GET A LIFE, DOUGAY... YOU AKC's ARE NITWITS---------

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 12:35:35 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104842135.498724.249200@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193855

Nope, AKC aint obsessive at all. We just like a good laugh. mopeydopey supplies it whenever we operate his controls.

166. Re N8WWM keyboard tough guy, coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 12:35:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104073556.421$y1_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193856

N8WWM keyboard tough guy spew:

From:N8WWM Auntie Keyclown (keyclownz...@theglobe.com)
Subject: calling out Peepeeholic
View: Complete Thread (4 articles)
Original Format
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-08-08 09:09:21 PST

Hey Peepeeholic:
Yes I am in Toledo. I have plenty of down home hospitality for you or ANY of the other queens in here who wish to stir up some mess.
You wanna come by and visit? I will be delighted to send you back to the MISTAKE ON THE LAKE with a thought in your mind that it was a real dumb idea.
Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the trainedpuppet.
Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

N8WWM chickenshit coward in person:

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

167. Re: message to N8 from Koreans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 12:37:13 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104842233.010694.277690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193857

you know you dont want women, quit being such a liar!

168. Re: fs/tr cobra 142gl and 148 gtl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 13:02:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104841799.310616.217810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193858

sounds like a couple of great targets for some 22 caliber target
practice. Always fun to see keyclown radios put off the air.

169. Re: N8WWM keyboard tough guy, coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 13:07:08 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104841497.290630.229460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193859

mopeydorkie: Thank you for showing your frustrated agony. It makes the AKC smile to see you squirming. Keep it up, you know you can't help yourself.

170. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 13:28:10 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <KRwCd.55481$yv2.46207@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193860

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050104055803.979$tk@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
>> in message news:ExpCd.39403$wD4.23738@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>> >
>> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
>> > in message news:5gpCd.39401$wD4.36641@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>> >>
>> >> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>> >> news:Xns95D3EBEDA75DA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> >>> Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
>> >>> news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
>> >>>
>> >>> Hey smooth now some of these cats are real rascists unlike me. I like
>> >>> grits, collard greens and chicken and ribs. and my favorite is corn
>> >>> bread.
>> >>
>> >> Grits? Yuuuuck!
>> >>
>> >
>> > And gasp, chicken?????
>>
>> forgot Hominy (Not sure of the spelling) LOL!!!!
>>
> Ain't that a form of grits?

I dunno for sure, but it looks like water swelled corn from what I've seen
on the cans. Do people eat that somewhere?
```

171. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 13:33:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104083354.044$gf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193861

```
"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050104055803.979$tk@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> >> wrote in message news:ExpCd.39403$wD4.23738@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> >> >
> >> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> >> > wrote in message news:5gpCd.39401$wD4.36641@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
> >> >> news:Xns95D3EBEDA75DA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> >> >>> Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
> >> >>> news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Hey smooth now some of these cats are real rascists unlike me. I
> >> >>> like grits, collard greens and chicken and ribs. and my favorite
> >> >>> is corn bread.
> >> >>
> >> >> Grits? Yuuuuck!
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > And gasp, chicken?????
> >>
> >> forgot Hominy (Not sure of the spelling) LOL!!!!
> >>
> > Ain't that a form of grits?
>
> I dunno for sure, but it looks like water swelled corn from what I've
> seen on the cans. Do people eat that somewhere?
>
I've had it once or twice..eh It's also ground into grits.
```

Main Entry: hom&#65533;i&#65533;ny
Pronunciation: 'h&#65533;-m&-nE
Function: noun
Etymology: Virginia Algonquian -homen, literally, that treated (in the way specified)
: kernels of corn that have been soaked in a caustic solution (as of lye)
: and then washed to remove the hulls

172. Re: Merry Christmas to all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 13:39:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <or6lt05palpeqhc8jkrpl3qijqnc34s47g@4ax.com>
Source record: 193862

On Thu, 23 Dec 2004 10:57:55 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
In some cases, the
>repercussions can be severe, such as Dennis had in that bullshit when
>Hall and Lelnad and Dogie all conspired to contact his employer.

Keep my name out of this. I had NOTHING to do with it. Dennis was a
friend, and a welcome addition to this usually technically challenged
group, who tended to believe "CB science" over sound RF principles.
Dennis was one of the few who set people straight. I applaud and
respect him for that.

Dave

"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

173. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 13:47:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2e7lt0hffv0vv5rcu57a6tps65lqp71enc@4ax.com>
Source record: 193863

On Fri, 24 Dec 2004 10:35:16 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: zerobeatradio@yahoo.com
>prozac 625 wrote:
>>| What improvements would you like to have
>
>>done to your CB? | Would you want more
>
>>range?
>
>(Buy a Dave Made!)
>_
>Haha,,I don't need a Davemade. I've dropped the maul on poor Geo's head
>more than once without even knowing he was out there and completely on
>accident.
>What I find funny, is Lelnad's and Hall's illegal cb use is becoming
>general knowledge. I have a new radio friend in Chi town.....:)

I don't live in "Chi Town".........

What "illegal CB use" are you referring to? Please, be specific.
Channels, modes, antics?

Dave

"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

174. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 14:23:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8423-41DAA6C6-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193864

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 24 Dec 2004 10:35:16 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: zerobeatradio@yahoo.com
prozac 625 wrote:
| What improvements would you like to have
done to your CB? | Would you want more
range?
(Buy a Dave Made!)

_
Haha,,I don't need a Davemade. I've dropped the maul on poor Geo's head
more than once without even knowing he was out there and completely on
accident.
What I find funny, is Lelnad's and Hall's illegal cb use is becoming
general knowledge. I have a new radio friend in Chi town.....:)

>I don't live in "Chi Town".....

No one said you did.

>What "illegal CB use" are you referring to?

Texas Star.

>Please, be specific. Channels,

Channel 6.

>modes,

AM

> antics?

That's all for now. These answers were provided out of my continuing
extended courtesy, good nature, and friendliness. Any more answers you
seek from me grasshoppah, must first be atoned by answering those first
directed to yourself.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Did you check out Michael Savage lately? He's slamming Bush, saying we
are hated by all in Indonesia because of his policies and that is the
new terrorist breeding ground.

175. Re: Merry Christmas to all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 14:32:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8423-41DAA905-316@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193866

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 23 Dec 2004 10:57:55 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
  In some cases, the
repercussions can be severe, such as Dennis had in that bullshit when
Hall and Lelnad and Dogie all conspired to contact his employer.

>Keep my name out of this.

Your name was netered by yourself and voluntarily on this subject.

>I had NOTHING to do with it.

Aw, come on, Dave...one doesn't need google to realize how many times
you not only defended vehemently, but aligned yourself with the jerkoff
that has posted here many times that he is responsible for people like
Dennis' departure from the group.

> Dennis was a friend,

Well, to be perfectly fair, this group was witness to how you treat your
"friends" on more than one occasion. Your comments concerning
your"business partner" is testament to such and a fine example.

>and a welcome addition

>to this usually technically challenged group,

>who tended to believe "CB science" over

>sound RF principles. Dennis was one of the

>few who set people straight. I applaud and

>respect him for that.

Unfortunately, you defended the jerkoff that attacked not only Dennis,
but just about everyone else on this group, also. In fact, you jumped
aboard the canine wagon with both paws, claiming the FCC and Rainreport
means nothing concerning enforcement.
Just more double talk from one who has been shown to not only ride both
sides of a debate with self-contradiction, but also fosters and incites
flames from all parties.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

176. Koreans offer more wisdom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 14:33:12 UTC
From: blo mi dadi <jongilpark@korea.net>
Message-ID: <cre9f8bqm1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193865

N8 and AKC still #1 losers with koreans.

AKC and doug doing excellent job spreading gay way of life to radio groups.

AKC sucks. N8 voted most gay.
N8 suck ha ha.

---------- ���□ī������ KORNET ----------

177. Re: Let's all use FM from 28.000to29.000Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 14:49:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8424-41DAACF5-122@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193867

Group: rec.radio.cb Date: Mon, Jan 3, 2005, 9:38pm From:
joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8423-41D96698-207@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net... Group:
rec.radio.cb Date: Fri, Dec 31, 2004, 4:12pm From: joxendi@bellsouth.net
(Jerry)
>Now you ARE delusional!! I could ramble on

>and on and about things that don't exist if I

>wanted. That's what you are doing!

>I only have a few comments, then I am going

>to sit back and let you spew your nonsense.

>1. Family and health issues? My father is

>91-still on his feet. Everybody, with the

>exception of those who died in accidents have
>made it at least into their 80s.

Glad to hear it. Now, if you can read between the lines, and can manage
to stop working personal issues into your threads, I will do the same.
Can you please stop injecting irrelvant bullshit into the thread?
Please?

>2. Whatever you say concerning this "Lt/Col"

>stuff , I haven't a clue what you are talking

>about. And if I WERE a member of an

>organization such as CAP, my actions with

>regarding "forges" and this newsgroup has no

>affect, so tell whomever you like.

Gee Jerry, denying your status is..well..futile, and to be frankly, a
bit troubling, when such is so easily confirmed.

>What *that* organization does, and it's

>members do, it only applies to the scope of

>the duties laid out WITHIN the group, no

>effects participation in a newsgroup--same as

>it would be with the Moose Lodge, or Elks or

>Masonic.

Wrong again. The hammie creed thingy appleis here, also. And speaking of the Masons, their creeds dictate their behavior 24 hours per day. For crying out loud, please stay relevant and on subject of that of which you know.

> If it were possible to interfere with one's

>affiliations--whatever they may be--, they'd

>never be able to keep anyone around.

See Masons.

>I can hear it now : "HE SAID SUCH-N-SUCH

>AND I DON'T LIKE IT" "I'M GONNA GET

>SO-N-SO IN TROUBLE" Yeah, right.

Behavior.

>3. Minister?? You really ARE nuts now!! For I

>have NEVER been a minister, am not one

>now, and never will be one. So you can try to
>make something out of that as well, for it is

>FALSE. I worked in the transportation industry
>for 23 years. How you got me mixed up with a

>"minister" is beyond me.

I didn't mix you up with anyone, but I really am not interested in your personal life,,,,,,these things were illustrated to remind you, you were wandering and invoking subjects that had nothing to do with the original thread.

>4. My "licensure" also has nothing to do with

>anything.

It does. That you are unable to grasp such,,,I'll leave it at that.

>If I want to make a comment, and someone

>doesn't like it, well , too bad.

Back at you concerning roger beeps, echo, and talk of amplifiers.

>5. Well, I've 'misbehaved' before, and I reckon

>I'll probably do it again. it all depends on one's

>point of view.

Deliberate responses to what you know are forges, isn't a point of view. It's an intentional act unbecoming of one who attempts to come across as one concerned with legalities, what's right, and doing things by-the-book according to the rules.

>I am now done responding to this thread

>since, despite your protestions to the contrary,
>I really don't give a rat's ass what you think.

Once again, excuse you, as it (again) was *you*, not I, who began "protestations".

>That's what this group is anyway, right? It's all

>about one upmanship, right?

At least the manner in whcih you operate and view things have never been more clear.

> Hmmm, kinda like the CB! LOL!

Cb is happening for many of us..especially the dx on the freeband. Oh yea,,,I have some figures for you,,,see you next post.

>Bye, now.

Happy New Year!

178. FCC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 15:00:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8423-41DAAF9D-319@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193868

Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year. It appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm and k4kwh, enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a continual decline.

-

2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
Total Enforcement Actions: 55
Raids: 11
Visits: 17
Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
Postal Contacts: 2
Seizures: 6
NALs: 7 for $80,000
Fines: 16 for $155,000
Unknown Actions: 1
Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
* - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
Active States:
Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey (7)Oklahoma (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont (1)Pennsylvania (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia (1)Illinois (1)
By Month:
January - 8
February - 5
March - 6
April - 8
May - 10
June - 1
July - 1
August - 4
September - 5
October - 5
November - 2
December - 0
By Spectrum:
FM - 55
AM/SW - 3

179. AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 15:54:06 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104854046.380735.95090@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193869

Twistedhed meweled like the sissy boy he is:
> Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year. It
> appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm and
k4kwh,
> enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a continual
> decline.
>
>
> -
>
>
> 2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
> Total Enforcement Actions: 55
> Raids: 11
> Visits: 17
> Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
> Postal Contacts: 2
> Seizures: 6
> NALs: 7 for $80,000
> Fines: 16 for $155,000
> Unknown Actions: 1
> Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
> Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
> Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
> * - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
> Active States:
> Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey (7)Oklahoma
> (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont (1)Pennsylvania
> (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia (1)Illinois (1)
> By Month:
> January - 8
> February - 5
> March - 6
> April - 8
> May - 10
> June - 1
> July - 1
> August - 4
> September - 5
> October - 5
> November - 2
> December - 0
> By Spectrum:
> FM - 55

> AM/SW - 3

One thing you did not say, butt pirate...several of those busts were the result of AKC action.

Plus the thousands of busted keyclown auctions...forgot that, didja, limpwrist?

Ya see, muffin boy...there's FCC...and then there's AKC. You can't stop the AKC no mater what trash you talk.

180. Re: Re N8WWM keyboard tough guy, coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 15:55:20 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104854119.966158.140650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193870

heheh...you obey well, mopeylicker...thank you.

181. Re: N8WWM loves men

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 15:58:13 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104854293.963779.153170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193871

heheh...We can make you jump on command, mopeypuppy...thank you for obeying your Masters so well

182. Re: Understanding sissy mopeydopey...READ ALL OF THIS, the last part is important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 15:59:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104105939.154$hR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I dont email you, enema breath.
>
Perhaps this will refresh your memory, twit.

Bagged and tagged again! ROFL, what a loser!

Does -everyone- slap you?

From: superskyhooks@juno.com <superskyhooks@juno.com>
X-Originating-IP: [205.162.217.3]
Received: (from superskyhooks@juno.com) by webmail15.lax.untd.com
(jqueuemail) id KGAVS33B; Sat, 01 Jan 2005 14:36:09 PST
Received: from [205.162.217.3] by webmail15.lax.untd.com with HTTP:Sat, 1
Jan 2005 22:36:02 GMT

liar emailed me and said:

Hey Doug, you can go finger fuck yourself. You emailed me asking
for info about me?? I dont give a rats ass who you deal with you
contacted me. I gave you the info, yet I have no idea who you
are, you havent given me anything verifiable nor do I care if
the info isn't good enough for you, I could care less about
your AKC games I have fun breaking balls without your permission
or approval. And have even stuck up for you against those you
despise... but now, I can see why they think your an assclown.

I reply to the liar:

Whatever dude. I don't have conversations in my email with
people I don't know, or for sure know who they are. As for your
bullshit about not knowing me, that is what it is...bullshit. I
am well documented, and I don't care who "knows" me. If you
don't know who I am and you have been in the NG more than 2
minutes in your life you are just too stupid to even have a
lower brain that allows breathing and the heart to pump blood.
Therefore, you are theoretically non existant.

You are also on my list of spammers, and will be reported to
your ISP if you continue messaging me. Take this as legal notice
that if you send me more email you are consenting in advance to
a charge of $2,999.00 for that privelege. Do your research
before you decide to email me again, because I have the right to
file such a lawsuit. In Ohio it only costs $29.00 to file it,

and if you do not show up I win automatically.

Do yourself a favor and chill out while it only cost you being exposed as a lying sack.

183. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:01:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <u1flt0l7ktbfega3j686vn7sq1j0tafcp6@4ax.com>
Source record: 193874

On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <8423-41DAA6C6-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:

<snip>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Dave is still here? I thought he ran away to rec.boats after I
trampled on his propoganda-induced delusions about Kerry's service
record. Guess he was still lurking.

184. Re: sicko freak mopey wishes this wasnt the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:02:44 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104854563.981862.134570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193873

You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's visits. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Mopey tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To mopey, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that mopeydopey shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at

riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

SOME INFO ON MOPEYDOPEY YOU MAY LIKE:

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:

> You'll have to forgive GoogleBoy.

I bet you wish you were good at computer stuff, eh pedohile? GM tracks
every C5 they sell by VIN. You could have found my address from my 2003,
2001, or 1998 C5. Disney keeps records of who is on their ships. You could have found me by my Disney Cruise earlier this year. If you hurry
up
and call Ford, you can find my address by the 2005 Jaguar S-Type I bought
last month. And if you wait a few more months, you can locate my address
by my FAA Pilot License when I get it. Did you ever bother calling your
congressman to ask if every major branch of your Federal Government is implementing SAP? Just out of curiosity, why does the Megan Database show
that not only are you living in Brackenridge, but that you used to live
in
Saxonburg, Tarentum, and Pittsburgh? It also says you used to be married
to a Diane Pendergast. Why did she leave? Was it the pedophilia conviction?

Megan Law, Pennsylvania State database:

Pendergast, Thomas

818 9th Ave,
Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
(724)224-7546

601 E Eighth Ave
Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
(724) 224-7546

Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

185. Sick freak mopeydopey still whining on command...AKC owns the sicktard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:03:43 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104854622.995421.139240@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193875

You have to understand the motivation behind mopey's visits. Keyclowns are desperate people...they do not have the mental faculties to tolerate being bugged about their keyclownism. It makes them upset because they have to hear dissent. Steveo is the poster child for this attitude. He simply cannot take it when somebody gives him crap about being a keyclown.

This atttude has no doubt been developed by a lifetime of crying and whining to get his way. It started in his childhood, when he whined and cried in supermarkets and malls to get candy or toys. When his parents indulged him in these situations it reinforced the idea in his young mind that if he yelled and cried to get his way that he should always get it.

As he became a young adult and found that life had to be met on life's terms, not his, he railed at the injustice of it all. Teen years immediately before this stage found him in a constant state of barely controlled rage and often acting out in his frustration. Typical of such incidents are punching people who cut him off in traffic, yelling obscenities out car windows, and showing no consideration for others.

Such is the mentality of a keyclown. RF spectrum, to a keyclown, is nothing more than a wide open space to play in. If this were a private yard or a public place belonging to all taxpayers, the evidence of keyclownism would be obvious. The place would be uncaringly trashed with garbage and the observed attitude would be "So what if it is a mess, you wanna MAKE me clean up after myself, sucker?"

The visits to peoples' homes are an expression of this frustrated, thwarted, angry personality. Mopey tries to make someone conform to his ideas of what should be in the NG by making threats and visits. To mopey, the worst thing on earth would be if the AKC knows his address, and his logic is that him knowing AKC addresses should strike fear in their hearts. You see, when he visits with his 12 gauge digicam the AKC should shake. They do...with laughter.

The fact that mopeydopey shows himself to be a disturbed individual in these visits does not dawn on him. This is because he is not inhabited with the normal sense of right and wrong. His opinion, like that of all keyclowns, is that whatever he wants to do is OK, and if someone disagrees then he has the right to attack them, physically or otherwise. This affliction is commonly called a sociopathic disorder.

The very fact of posting this message will bring a barrage of name calling, threats, and other things that keyclowns believe are effective. They think they are effective because they are effective at

riling THEM up. This attitude is consistant with keyclownism's main root causes: the fact that keyclowns are only aware of what they want and have no concern for others, coupled with a total inability to tolerate even the most basic forms of disagreement.

The reactions to this post will prove the thesis as presented. Keyclowns are simple creatures, and always behave in a predictable manner.

SOME INFO ON MOPEYDOPEY YOU MAY LIKE:

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:

> You'll have to forgive GoogleBoy.

I bet you wish you were good at computer stuff, eh pedohile? GM tracks
every C5 they sell by VIN. You could have found my address from my 2003,
2001, or 1998 C5. Disney keeps records of who is on their ships. You could have found me by my Disney Cruise earlier this year. If you hurry
up
and call Ford, you can find my address by the 2005 Jaguar S-Type I bought
last month. And if you wait a few more months, you can locate my address
by my FAA Pilot License when I get it. Did you ever bother calling your
congressman to ask if every major branch of your Federal Government is implementing SAP? Just out of curiosity, why does the Megan Database show
that not only are you living in Brackenridge, but that you used to live
in
Saxonburg, Tarentum, and Pittsburgh? It also says you used to be married
to a Diane Pendergast. Why did she leave? Was it the pedophilia conviction?

Megan Law, Pennsylvania State database:

Pendergast, Thomas

818 9th Ave,
Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
(724)224-7546

601 E Eighth Ave
Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
(724) 224-7546

Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

186. Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:05:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104110544.529$FX_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Pendergast, Thomas
>
> 818 9th Ave,
> Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
> (724)224-7546
>
> 601 E Eighth Ave
> Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
> (724) 224-7546
>
> Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

187. Re: --------GET A LIFE, DOUGAY... YOU AKC's ARE NITWITS--------- <------ n8 spews more lies.. lol!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:14:41 UTC
From: "geo has issues.." <geo_has_issues@no-spam.neht>
Message-ID: <6mzCd.11294$Ql5.10469@fe04.lga>
Source record: 193877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb.akc.propaganda.dog&pony.show

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> mewled in message news:1104842135.498724.249200@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> Nope, AKC aint obsessive at all. <------ ::chuckle:: :))

Riiight....!?!? ..and you also claim to be hetrosexual too, eh...fruitloop???

HAAAAAARRRRRRR!!!!!!!!

188. FA: $9.99 VINTAGE CHROME LAFAYETTE PTT MICROPHONE>TURNER CLONE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:37:01 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104113701.21939.00002827@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 193878

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
5741476741
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

189. FA: $9.99 TELEX C-1210 MONORAUL HEADPHONES 16 OHMS, USA MADE NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:38:45 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104113845.21939.00002832@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 193879

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
5741476750
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

190. FA: $9.99 HUSTLER #DTG "DOUBLE TALK" CB MOBILE ANTS>NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:42:51 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104114251.21939.00002839@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 193880

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
5741476777
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73..........RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

191. FA: $9.99 ANTENNA SPECIALIST ASP-143 CHAIN BUMPER MOUNT>NEW & NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:45:05 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104114505.21939.00002842@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 193881

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
5741476797
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

192. FA: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 16:48:51 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104114851.21939.00002850@mb-m06.aol.com>
Source record: 193882

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
5741477133
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73..........RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

193. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 17:12:52 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <o8ACd.57320$yv2.29607@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193883

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104854046.380735.95090@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Twistedhed meweled like the sissy boy he is:
>> Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year. It
>> appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm and
> k4kwh,
>> enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a continual
>> decline.
>>
>>
>> -
>>
>>
>> 2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
>> Total Enforcement Actions: 55
>> Raids: 11
>> Visits: 17
>> Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
>> Postal Contacts: 2
>> Seizures: 6
>> NALs: 7 for $80,000
>> Fines: 16 for $155,000
>> Unknown Actions: 1
>> Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
>> Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
>> Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
>> * - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
>> Active States:
>> Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey (7)Oklahoma
>> (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont (1)Pennsylvania
>> (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia (1)Illinois (1)
>> By Month:
>> January - 8
>> February - 5
>> March - 6
>> April - 8
>> May - 10
>> June - 1
>> July - 1
>> August - 4
>> September - 5
>> October - 5
>> November - 2

>> December - 0
>> By Spectrum:
>> FM - 55
>> AM/SW - 3
>
> One thing you did not say, butt pirate...several of those busts were
> the result of AKC action.
>

Prove it, felon-boi!

194. Re: Merry Christmas to all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 18:32:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <1jnlt016kpj4kfhpmbeh8pok292auihr59@4ax.com>
Source record: 193884

On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:32:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Thu, 23 Dec 2004 10:57:55 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>ï¿½ï¿½In some cases, the
>repercussions can be severe, such as Dennis had in that bullshit when
>Hall and Lelnad and Dogie all conspired to contact his employer.
>
>>Keep my name out of this.
>
>Your name was netered by yourself and voluntarily on this subject.

No, you mentioned it erroneously.

>>I had NOTHING to do with it.
>
>
>Aw, come on, Dave...one doesn't need google to realize how many times
>you not only defended vehemently, but aligned yourself with the jerkoff
>that has posted here many times that he is responsible for people like
>Dennis' departure from the group.

I don't know who was responsible for Dennis's departure from this
group, and I suspect that neither do you. You only grasp at straws and
make wild guesses. It's no different than what your detractors do to
you, when they accuse you of being Dave Mc Campbell et al.

I don't defend people, I defend principles. If there is insufficient
proof (and heresay testimony from anonymous internet groupies does not
count as proof) to verify an allegation, then I tend to remain
skeptical.

>> Dennis was a friend,
>
>
>Well, to be perfectly fair, this group was witness to how you treat your
>"friends" on more than one occasion. Your comments concerning
>your"business partner" is testament to such and a fine example.

How have I mistreated my friends, as if you know of any examples?

>>and a welcome addition
>>to this usually technically challenged group,
>>who tended to believe "CB science" over
>>sound RF principles. Dennis was one of the

>>few who set people straight. I applaud and
>>respect him for that.
>
>
>Unfortunately, you defended the jerkoff that attacked not only Dennis,
>but just about everyone else on this group, also.

That does not mean, as you erroneously claimed, that I took part in
his departure from the group.

> In fact, you jumped
>aboard the canine wagon with both paws, claiming the FCC and Rainreport
>means nothing concerning enforcement.

I only claimed that there was no definitive proof, at the time, that
the interference was being caused by the person who was being accused.
Knowing how this person had set himself up in a despised position, it
was easy to postulate how someone looking for some sort of "revenge"
could have framed him for it. All I said was not to count all of your
eggs before they all hatch. Premature summary judgements are
irresponsible.

Like I said, I defend principles. Heck I'd even defend you if the
situation warranted it. I believe that people should get what they
deserve, nothing more or less.

>Just more double talk from one who has been shown to not only ride both
>sides of a debate with self-contradiction, but also fosters and incites
>flames from all parties.

A skilled debater is able to assume either side of an issue and argue
it with equal effectiveness. You may call it contradiction. I call it
"Keeping an open mind".....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

195. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 18:38:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <daolt0hn4f6p385o1gov24khmglp9oknj3@4ax.com>
Source record: 193885

On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Fri, 24 Dec 2004 10:35:16 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>From: zerobeatradio@yahoo.com
>prozac 625 wrote:
>| What improvements would you like to have
>done to your CB? | Would you want more
>range?
>(Buy a Dave Made!)
>_
>Haha,,I don't need a Davemade. I've dropped the maul on poor Geo's head
>more than once without even knowing he was out there and completely on
>accident.
>What I find funny, is Lelnad's and Hall's illegal cb use is becoming
>general knowledge. I have a new radio friend in Chi town.....:)
>
>>I don't live in "Chi Town".........
>
>
>No one said you did.
>
>>What "illegal CB use" are you referring to?
>
>
>Texas Star.

What about it?

>
>>Please, be specific. Channels,
>
>
>Channel 6.

I haven't used Channel 6 since I was in a CB club that used that
channel in the 1970's, and I only had a Lafayette HA-250 amplifier in
the car then.

>
>>modes,
>
>AM
>

>> antics?
>
>
>That's all for now. These answers were provided out of my continuing
>extended courtesy, good nature, and friendliness. Any more answers you
>seek from me grasshoppah, must first be atoned by answering those first
>directed to yourself.

There is no way you could reliably know whether I am operating on any
particular frequency. You are too far away to hear me, and any
"tidbit" of info that you might hear, is of questionable credibility.

>Did you check out Michael Savage lately? He's slamming Bush, saying we
>are hated by all in Indonesia because of his policies and that is the
>new terrorist breeding ground.

Savage is an idiot. But there is no pleasing the liberals. First they
accuse us of not responding fast enough, or with enough money. Now I
hear that we are starting to supply so much more money, that we are
making India look bad. It would seem that no matter what we do, it's
never the right thing......

Dave

"Sandbagger"

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

196. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 18:41:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ukolt0pao793p18mk086b8oc007f69ig7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 193886

On Tue, 04 Jan 2005 08:01:47 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote in <8423-41DAA6C6-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:
>
><snip>
>>>Dave
>>
>>>"Sandbagger"
>>
>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>
>Dave is still here? I thought he ran away to rec.boats after I
>trampled on his propoganda-induced delusions about Kerry's service
>record. Guess he was still lurking.
>

Frank,

You only managed to prove that you're as biased and open to
propaganda, on the left, as you accused me of being to the right.

Kerry has many big secrets to hide, and it may have saved him to lose
the election, as the quest to reveal them has lost much of it's
appeal.

I saw no further point in playing the "my website can beat up your
website" games with you. You want to believe the lie, then go right
ahead. I know better.......

Dave

"Sandbagger"

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

197. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 19:07:07 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ccplt01hfb5drv7fbtr7jn2da220dgua0q@4ax.com>
Source record: 193887

On Tue, 04 Jan 2005 13:41:45 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ukolt0pao793p18mk086b8oc007f69ig7b@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 04 Jan 2005 08:01:47 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote in <8423-41DAA6C6-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>Dave
>>>
>>>>"Sandbagger"
>>>
>>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>>
>>Dave is still here? I thought he ran away to rec.boats after I
>>trampled on his propoganda-induced delusions about Kerry's service
>>record. Guess he was still lurking.
>>
>
>Frank,
>
>You only managed to prove that you're as biased and open to
>propaganda, on the left, as you accused me of being to the right.

What left-wing propoganda? I presented a -BIG- list of documented
FACTS that you shruged off as mere speculation. On the contrary, you
provided nothing -but- conjecture, most of which was contradicted by
the FACTS. And the FACTS don't care which side you are on.

>Kerry has many big secrets to hide, and it may have saved him to lose
>the election, as the quest to reveal them has lost much of it's
>appeal.
>
>I saw no further point in playing the "my website can beat up your
>website" games with you.

Gee, and here I thought it was the "official military records can beat
up your website" game.

> You want to believe the lie, then go right
>ahead. I know better.......

Yeah, I suppose you're right -- those pesky facts are just a nuisance
when you know what the truth -really- is, right Dave?

198. Re: FA: Siltronics 1011C with D-104 Mic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 19:28:00 UTC
From: Skipp <spammenotyoutroublemaker@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <creqo0$1i9$1@skeeter.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 193888

Siltronix (not siltronics)

http://www.radiowrench.com/siltronix

(Hi Jay)
cheers,
skipp

: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
: Hello Ronfol:
: Great looking radio!
: Here is a web site on the Siltronics radios, a realay great web site.
: Jay in the Mojave

199. basic questions for mountain and hill setup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 19:29:02 UTC
From: Pablo <native_texan_03@yahoo.see-oh-m>
Message-ID: <28CCd.10824$wi2.6528@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193889

Hello:

This ng is great! Thank you all for sharing your collective information and experiences with CB and HAM.

I'm looking for a way to communicate in a remote region that is in, and surrounded by, mountains and hills. There is no cell service.

Can CB or HAM operate here? What would need to be set-up? What I'd like to have is a base station for the house, something for a car or truck, and then a couple mobile units for hiking, etc. A distance of 100 mile radius from the base station would be incredible, but a 20-30 mile radius is most needed.

Any information you choose to share is greatly appreciated. Also, since I'm new and trying to learn, if you are feeling generous, explainations are more than appreciated! For example, "you could use CB, but it's limited to XYZ, but you can overcome that with ABC, etc." Hope that makes sense!

200. Basic Questions re: set-up for hills and mountains

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 19:30:10 UTC
From: Pablo <native_texan_03@yahoo.see-oh-m>
Message-ID: <69CCd.10825$wi2.2240@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193890

Hello:

This ng is great! Thank you all for sharing your collective information and experiences with CB and HAM.

I'm looking for a way to communicate in a remote region that is in, and surrounded by, mountains and hills. There is no cell service.

Can CB or HAM operate here? What would need to be set-up? What I'd like to have is a base station for the house, something for a car or truck, and then a couple mobile units for hiking, etc. A distance of 100 mile radius from the base station would be incredible, but a 20-30 mile radius is most needed.

Any information you choose to share is greatly appreciated. Also, since I'm new and trying to learn, if you are feeling generous, explainations are more than appreciated! For example, "you could use CB, but it's limited to XYZ, but you can overcome that with ABC, etc." Hope that makes sense!

201. Re: Basic Questions re: set-up for hills and mountains

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 19:40:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dvrlt0lep5rvsp8mvekolrobhipjqdopgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 193891

On Tue, 04 Jan 2005 19:30:10 GMT, "Pablo"
<native_texan_03@yahoo.see-oh-m> wrote in
<69CCd.10825$wi2.2240@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>:

>Hello:
>
>This ng is great! Thank you all for sharing your collective information and
>experiences with CB and HAM.
>
>I'm looking for a way to communicate in a remote region that is in, and
>surrounded by, mountains and hills. There is no cell service.
>
>Can CB or HAM operate here? What would need to be set-up? What I'd like to
>have is a base station for the house, something for a car or truck, and then
>a couple mobile units for hiking, etc. A distance of 100 mile radius from
>the base station would be incredible, but a 20-30 mile radius is most
>needed.
>
>Any information you choose to share is greatly appreciated. Also, since I'm
>new and trying to learn, if you are feeling generous, explainations are more
>than appreciated! For example, "you could use CB, but it's limited to XYZ,
>but you can overcome that with ABC, etc." Hope that makes sense!

The best way to find out what you can use is by listening to what you
can receive -- you can't work it if you can't hear it. Get yourself a
general-coverage receiver and start logging.

202. Re: Regime Change: Demand a Black Pope: Arinze

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 20:01:49 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <NCCCd.58826$yv2.53572@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193892

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050104083354.044$gf@newsreader.com...
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050104055803.979$tk@newsreader.com...
>> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
>> >> wrote in message news:ExpCd.39403$wD4.23738@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
>> >> > wrote in message news:5gpCd.39401$wD4.36641@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:Xns95D3EBEDA75DA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> >> >>> Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
>> >> >>> news:qa0at0dhcjqc2gasp5rmtnj1qe3g5kele9@4ax.com:
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>> Hey smooth now some of these cats are real rascists unlike me. I
>> >> >>> like grits, collard greens and chicken and ribs. and my favorite
>> >> >>> is corn bread.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Grits? Yuuuuck!
>> >> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > And gasp, chicken?????
>> >>
>> >> forgot Hominy (Not sure of the spelling) LOL!!!!
>> >>
>> > Ain't that a form of grits?
>>
>> I dunno for sure, but it looks like water swelled corn from what I've
>> seen on the cans. Do people eat that somewhere?
>>
> I've had it once or twice..eh It's also ground into grits.
>
> Main Entry: hom&#65533;i&#65533;ny
> Pronunciation: 'h&#65533;-m&-nE
> Function: noun
> Etymology: Virginia Algonquian -homen, literally, that treated (in the way
> specified)
> : kernels of corn that have been soaked in a caustic solution (as of lye)
> : and then washed to remove the hulls

Yuk!!!!!

203. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 20:38:12 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41dafeca.87930390@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193893

On 4 Jan 2005 07:54:06 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Twistedhed meweled like the sissy boy he is:
>> Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year. It
>> appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm and
>k4kwh,
>> enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a continual
>> decline.
>>
>>
>> -
>>
>>
>> 2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
>> Total Enforcement Actions: 55
>> Raids: 11
>> Visits: 17
>> Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
>> Postal Contacts: 2
>> Seizures: 6
>> NALs: 7 for $80,000
>> Fines: 16 for $155,000
>> Unknown Actions: 1
>> Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
>> Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
>> Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
>> * - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
>> Active States:
>> Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey (7)Oklahoma
>> (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont (1)Pennsylvania
>> (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia (1)Illinois (1)
>> By Month:
>> January - 8
>> February - 5
>> March - 6
>> April - 8
>> May - 10
>> June - 1
>> July - 1
>> August - 4
>> September - 5
>> October - 5

>> November - 2
>> December - 0
>> By Spectrum:
>> FM - 55
>> AM/SW - 3
>
>One thing you did not say, butt pirate...several of those busts were
>the result of AKC action.
>
>Plus the thousands of busted keyclown auctions...forgot that, didja,
>limpwrist?
>

Are these the same ones that were on your E-bay rat out list that were
turned into a Christmas Shopping list?

The same ones that gave E-bay a chuckle?

204. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 20:40:11 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41dbff3c.88044093@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193794

On Tue, 04 Jan 2005 17:12:52 GMT, "U Know Who"
<AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:

>
>"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:1104854046.380735.95090@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Twistedhed meweled like the sissy boy he is:
>>> Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year. It
>>> appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm and
>> k4kwh,
>>> enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a continual
>>> decline.
>>>
>>>
>>> -
>>>
>>>
>>> 2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
>>> Total Enforcement Actions: 55
>>> Raids: 11
>>> Visits: 17
>>> Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
>>> Postal Contacts: 2
>>> Seizures: 6
>>> NALs: 7 for $80,000
>>> Fines: 16 for $155,000
>>> Unknown Actions: 1
>>> Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
>>> Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
>>> Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
>>> * - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
>>> Active States:
>>> Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey (7)Oklahoma
>>> (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont (1)Pennsylvania
>>> (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia (1)Illinois (1)
>>> By Month:
>>> January - 8
>>> February - 5
>>> March - 6
>>> April - 8
>>> May - 10
>>> June - 1
>>> July - 1

>>> August - 4
>>> September - 5
>>> October - 5
>>> November - 2
>>> December - 0
>>> By Spectrum:
>>> FM - 55
>>> AM/SW - 3
>>
>> One thing you did not say, butt pirate...several of those busts were
>> the result of AKC action.
>>
>
>Prove it, felon-boi!
>

I wonder which 2 of the 3 it was? Most items on that list are illegal
FM stations.

205. Re: Merry Christmas to all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 20:45:35 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41dd0022.88274218@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193795

On Tue, 04 Jan 2005 08:39:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 23 Dec 2004 10:57:55 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
> In some cases, the
>>repercussions can be severe, such as Dennis had in that bullshit when
>>Hall and Lelnad and Dogie all conspired to contact his employer.
>
>Keep my name out of this. I had NOTHING to do with it. Dennis was a
>friend, and a welcome addition to this usually technically challenged
>group, who tended to believe "CB science" over sound RF principles.
>Dennis was one of the few who set people straight. I applaud and
>respect him for that.
>
>Dave
>
>"Sandbagger"
>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>

Wasn't it Mark Fuller that did that? Or was accused of it?

206. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 21:48:35 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <TaECd.50929$CI3.14297@fed1read03>
Source record: 193796

Steveo wrote:

> Why are no code free loaders like you and n8wwm such asshats? Is
> there some kind of an agreement that once you're indigent and living off
> the tax payers, you must act like a fucknozzle?
same old argument. what makes you think you are any better (your statements
of late tend to cast doubt on that)?

There is a problem though, I didn't choose how I live and what I get paid.
You can thank a drunk driver for that back in the late 1980's.

I'd like to see how well you cope with diminished income and all that goes
with it for more than a decade. Think you'll fare as well?

Since you can't offer anything constructive to the subject at hand (I.E. the
radio with chipswitch) then I suggest to you (and others) that this thread
is now at an end. any further comments from you in this grain will only
serve to make you look even more foolish (if thats even possible).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

207. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 22:37:39 UTC
From: I-zheet M'drurz <diespammer@icubed.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95D4B3602112Ftomicubedcom@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 193797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

Steveo wrote:

> Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?

Nope. That's the beauty of being a runaway pussy on the internet:
the loser hides behind a keyboard.

--
The real Tom Pendergast [So if you meet me, have some courtesy,
aka I-zheet M'drurz [have some sympathy, and some taste.
Accept no substitutes! [Use all your well-learned politesse,
$1 to Mick for the .sig ---[or I'll lay your soul to waste.

208. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 22:45:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104174532.851$aM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

"I-zheet M'drurz" <diespammer@icubed.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?
>
> Nope. That's the beauty of being a runaway pussy on the internet:
> the loser hides behind a keyboard.
>
He doesn't hide very well.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

209. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-04 22:47:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050104174713.315$5w@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193799

N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Why are no code free loaders like you and n8wwm such asshats? Is
> > there some kind of an agreement that once you're indigent and living
> > off the tax payers, you must act like a fucknozzle?
> same old argument. what makes you think you are any better (your
> statements of late tend to cast doubt on that)?
>
Cuz the foreskin ain't cutting off my air, like you and Doug.

210. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 00:28:39 UTC
From: mybigcb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050104192839.06264.00002562@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 193800

>Twistedhed meweled like the sissy boy he is:
>> Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year. It
>> appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm and
>k4kwh,
>> enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a continual
>> decline.
>>
>>
>> -
>>
>>
>> 2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
>> Total Enforcement Actions: 55
>> Raids: 11
>> Visits: 17
>> Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
>> Postal Contacts: 2
>> Seizures: 6
>> NALs: 7 for $80,000
>> Fines: 16 for $155,000
>> Unknown Actions: 1
>> Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
>> Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
>> Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
>> * - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
>> Active States:
>> Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey (7)Oklahoma
>> (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont (1)Pennsylvania
>> (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia (1)Illinois (1)
>> By Month:
>> January - 8
>> February - 5
>> March - 6
>> April - 8
>> May - 10
>> June - 1
>> July - 1
>> August - 4
>> September - 5
>> October - 5
>> November - 2
>> December - 0
>> By Spectrum:
>> FM - 55

>> AM/SW - 3
>
>One thing you did not say, butt pirate...several of those busts were
>the result of AKC action.
>
>Plus the thousands of busted keyclown auctions...forgot that, didja,
>limpwrist?
>
>Ya see, muffin boy...there's FCC...and then there's AKC. You can't stop
>the AKC no mater what trash you talk.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

OK, then, show us which ones the AKC where involved in if you really was. Lets see you back up those claims.

211. Re: Need Info: Instrument from Rubicon Company in Philadelphia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 01:14:59 UTC
From: john jardine <john@jjdesigns.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <crfelo$s33$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 193801
Cross-posted to: sci.electronics.misc,sci.electronics.basics,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Nicholas O. Lindan" <see@sig.com> wrote in message
news:RBeCd.24$vP1.16@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> <kamakize@gmail.com> wrote
>
> > Trying to
> > find out what it is. Http://www.randompostcinema.com/what.html
>
> Lightmeter? Bridge circuit, provisions for an external mirror galvo,
> battery.
>
> Doesn't it have a nameplate? Pics are pretty fuzzy and it is
> hard to read the legends. Try placing the thing upside down
> on a scanner.
>
> --
> Nicholas O. Lindan, Cleveland, Ohio
> Consulting Engineer: Electronics; Informatics; Photonics.
> Remove spaces etc. to reply: n o lindan at net com dot com
> psst.. want to buy an f-stop timer? nolindan.com/da/fstop/

The 2 knobs fitted on it are UK military, circa 1939-1947. Maybe some
lend-lease item?.

212. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 03:30:49 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <JbJCd.6747$wZ2.393@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193802

"Rich WA2RQY" <rlucch2098@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050104114851.21939.00002850@mb-m06.aol.com...
> Many nice clear pics.
> All items are real inexpensive.
> 5741477133
> This is my entire list on Ebay.
> You may want to check others while you're there :-)
> Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links
> :-(
> ://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
> 3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
> Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
> Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
> http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
> Web-Page URL>
> HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

Rich, could you please make all the auction items
in on post, instead of spamming the group.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

213. FA: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB with Astatic D104 Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 03:35:42 UTC
From: Joe <joencREMOVETHISTOREPLY@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <avnmt0p7b4805sdfftanp8e7avv814ob6d@4ax.com>
Source record: 193803

For auction on eBay: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB with Astatic D104 Mic

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741957670

214. Re: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB with Astatic D104 Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 03:44:01 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <5oJCd.41919$3v5.19314@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193804

"Joe" <joencREMOVETHISTOREPLY@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:avnmt0p7b4805sdfftanp8e7avv814ob6d@4ax.com...
> For auction on eBay: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB with Astatic D104 Mic
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741957670
>
>

Very nice radio. I owned one at one time. The mike is not compatible and
will have to rewired to remove the amplifier.

215. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 03:45:55 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <TpJCd.41921$3v5.8553@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193805

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:JbJCd.6747$wZ2.393@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Rich WA2RQY" <rlucch2098@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20050104114851.21939.00002850@mb-m06.aol.com...
>> Many nice clear pics.
>> All items are real inexpensive.
>> 5741477133
>> This is my entire list on Ebay.
>> You may want to check others while you're there :-)
>> Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links
>> :-(
>> ://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
>> 3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
>> Thanks es 73...........RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
>> Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
>> http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
>> Web-Page URL>
>> HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html
>
> Rich, could you please make all the auction items
> in on post, instead of spamming the group.
>
> Landshark

Nah, he'll just tell you to blow it out your ass. He's a putz, and doesn't
respond well to constructive criticism.
```

216. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 03:51:30 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <6vJCd.41930$3v5.27714@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193806

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
message news:TpJCd.41921$3v5.8553@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:JbJCd.6747$wZ2.393@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> "Rich WA2RQY" <rlucch2098@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050104114851.21939.00002850@mb-m06.aol.com...
>>> Many nice clear pics.
>>> All items are real inexpensive.
>>> 5741477133
>>> This is my entire list on Ebay.
>>> You may want to check others while you're there :-)
>>> Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links
>>> :-(
>>> ://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
>>> 3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
>>> Thanks es 73...........RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
>>> Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
>>> http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
>>> Web-Page URL>
>>> HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html
>>
>> Rich, could you please make all the auction items
>> in on post, instead of spamming the group.
>>
>> Landshark
>
> Nah, he'll just tell you to blow it out your ass. He's a putz, and doesn't
> respond well to constructive criticism.
>

But then again, he uses AOL. What more could you expect from an AOL'er?
Internet for imbeciles.

217. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 04:08:24 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YKJCd.6758$wZ2.4147@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193808

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
message news:6vJCd.41930$3v5.27714@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
> message news:TpJCd.41921$3v5.8553@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>>
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> news:JbJCd.6747$wZ2.393@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>>
>>> "Rich WA2RQY" <rlucch2098@aol.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050104114851.21939.00002850@mb-m06.aol.com...
>>>> Many nice clear pics.
>>>> All items are real inexpensive.
>>>> 5741477133
>>>> This is my entire list on Ebay.
>>>> You may want to check others while you're there :-)
>>>> Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT
>>>> links :-(
>>>> ://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
>>>> 3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
>>>> Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
>>>> Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
>>>> http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
>>>> Web-Page URL>
>>>> HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html
>>>
>>> Rich, could you please make all the auction items
>>> in on post, instead of spamming the group.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>
>> Nah, he'll just tell you to blow it out your ass. He's a putz, and
>> doesn't respond well to constructive criticism.
>>
>
> But then again, he uses AOL. What more could you expect from an AOL'er?
> Internet for imbeciles.

Well EBay has strict rules about this. You would've
thought he learned this last time people complained to him
about doing this.

Landshark

--
Hard things are put in our way,
not to stop us, but to call out our
courage and strength.

218. Re: All Stations Be Advised.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 04:08:39 UTC
From: Shadow <shadow@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <bLJCd.7368$yV1.3568@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193807

Santa was great to me. I had a wonderful holiday season.
You had givin my "X" a base for a penetrator antenna many years ago and I am planning on putting it up on my new house.
I just wanted to make sure you were still around so I could pick your brain if need be.
Or maybe I'll just drop by since I recently moved back to the desert.
Thanks!

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:10taoim493fik7d@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello Shadow:
>
> Waving a hand back at ya's. Hope Santee Claus was good to ya.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Shadow wrote:
>
> > Hello Jay!!!
> >
>

219. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 04:51:07 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%mKCd.7198$F67.2409@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193809

Welcome back Brian!
Train

"Professor" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1104780506.465892.109590@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Why do you say that? I've never had any problems with RF Parts gain
> matched parts. They may only be screening for gain though... as I see a
> wattage level written in pencil on one of the striplines. Really they
> should be screening for beta as well...
> Professor
> www.telstar-electronics.com
>

220. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 06:01:39 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104904899.214691.247510@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193810

Mybigcb wrote:
> >Twistedhed meweled like the sissy boy he is:
> >> Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year.
It
> >> appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm and
> >k4kwh,
> >> enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a
continual
> >> decline.
> >>
> >>
> >> -
> >>
> >>
> >> 2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
> >> Total Enforcement Actions: 55
> >> Raids: 11
> >> Visits: 17
> >> Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
> >> Postal Contacts: 2
> >> Seizures: 6
> >> NALs: 7 for $80,000
> >> Fines: 16 for $155,000
> >> Unknown Actions: 1
> >> Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
> >> Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
> >> Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
> >> * - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
> >> Active States:
> >> Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey
(7)Oklahoma
> >> (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont (1)Pennsylvania
> >> (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia (1)Illinois (1)
> >> By Month:
> >> January - 8
> >> February - 5
> >> March - 6
> >> April - 8
> >> May - 10
> >> June - 1
> >> July - 1
> >> August - 4
> >> September - 5
> >> October - 5

> >> November - 2
> >> December - 0
> >> By Spectrum:
> >> FM - 55
> >> AM/SW - 3
> >
> >One thing you did not say, butt pirate...several of those busts were
> >the result of AKC action.
> >
> >Plus the thousands of busted keyclown auctions...forgot that, didja,
> >limpwrist?
> >
> >Ya see, muffin boy...there's FCC...and then there's AKC. You can't
stop
> >the AKC no mater what trash you talk.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> OK, then, show us which ones the AKC where involved in if you really
was. Lets
> see you back up those claims.

Why dont you go looking, tardboy? I am sure you can find something. If your mommy don't spank you for playing around the grownups, that is.

221. Re: FA: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB with Astatic D104 Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 06:03:39 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104905019.753378.257420@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193811

Probably wont go above 250 bucks. They were overpriced garbage when
they were new.

222. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 06:09:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104905367.996342.284090@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193812

Keyclown makers of illegal amps are not Techs. Not in any way. They are federal criminals taking money for illegal items and selling junk to morons. All brad band impedednce transformer setups are inferior to tuned circuits.

Aside from that, CB's are allowed to make a higher percentage of RF junk per watt output than many other radios, because they are supposed to be operated at LOW POWER. When you amplify the garbage that a broadband transformer cannot filter, since it is NOT a tuned circuit, you are contributing to the RF pollution problem. This aspect is totally ignored by keyclown amp makers, who do not care what garbage their garbage generates, as long as they get money.

223. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 06:10:16 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104905416.108803.92700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193813

only a fool runs that garbage.

224. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 06:17:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104905837.660602.317520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193814

That amp is going down, your page was saved and your domain host is noted. Your goose is cooking soon, pal.

225. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 06:18:20 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104905900.522263.276370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193815

Ahh, trainedpuppet comes out of his fruitboy disguise!

226. koreans challenge mopeydopey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 07:11:58 UTC
From: smo kmi balz <Jongilpark@korea.com>
Message-ID: <crg3vu$8b7$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 193816

Koreans challenege mopedope or any keyclown to fight. you be knocked flat. You go down. You take no picture no more.

---------- 占쏙옙占싶놂옙 카占쏙옙占쏙옙占쏙옙 KORNET ----------

227. Re: Great Gay Store Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 07:46:10 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <6XMCd.714499$%k.17098@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 193817
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb

thrilling in a YAHOO sort of way.
hey dude
here in canuck land
if your address is zip then u r zip.

but try again to be a real woman/man/aardvark/ or whatever u r

228. key-queenzeeeeeeee-----pleeeeeeeezeeeee!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 07:52:37 UTC
From: I AM NOT LAND_FAG <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104911557.900592.180710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193818
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar, alt.atlanta, rec.radio.cb

why is it when ever steveo and landshard jump in here with they're usual bullshit the little mopey-faggot wanna be lancer is close by to lick his master's ass?

229. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 12:54:27 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7sRCd.10951$wi2.3045@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193819

I'm not the one in diguise!
Train

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104905900.522263.276370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Ahh, trainedpuppet comes out of his fruitboy disguise!
>

230. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 13:20:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050105082037.533$TT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193820

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
> in message news:TpJCd.41921$3v5.8553@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> >
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:JbJCd.6747$wZ2.393@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >>
> >> "Rich WA2RQY" <rlucch2098@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050104114851.21939.00002850@mb-m06.aol.com...
> >>> Many nice clear pics.
> >>> All items are real inexpensive.
> >>> 5741477133
> >>> This is my entire list on Ebay.
> >>> You may want to check others while you're there :-)
> >>> Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT
> >>> links
> >>> :-(
> >>> ://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&
> >>> :sort=
> >>> 3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
> >>> Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
> >>> Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
> >>> http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
> >>> Web-Page URL>
> >>> HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html
> >>
> >> Rich, could you please make all the auction items
> >> in on post, instead of spamming the group.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >
> > Nah, he'll just tell you to blow it out your ass. He's a putz, and
> > doesn't respond well to constructive criticism.
> >
>
> But then again, he uses AOL. What more could you expect from an AOL'er?
> Internet for imbeciles.
>
Not for long..(from another group)

Please Note: The AOL Newsgroup service will be discontinued in January
2005.

For members using AOL over a dial-up connection, you will no longer be
able to access Newsgroups. If you have a separate high-speed

connection, you can contact your broadband provider to see if they offer Usenet/Newsgroups. Usenet services can often be accessed through a third party reader, such as Mozilla Thunderbird (http://www.mozilla.org/projects/thunderbird/).

We apologize for this inconvenience.

231. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 14:25:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5899-41DBF8EC-532@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193821

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <8423-41DAA6C6-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:
<snip>
Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>Dave is still here? I thought he ran away to

>rec.boats after I trampled on his

>propoganda-induced delusions about Kerry's

>service record. Guess he was still lurking.

Speaking of,,,check this out from truthout.org.....

_
Ohio Recount Steeped in Fraud
Democracy Week | Commentary
Saturday 01 January 2005
There is something you can do.
The presidential vote recount in Ohio is over - or is it?
The Green and Libertarian Party candidates who paid for the recount may have a claim of fraud against election officials and at least one voting machine company. If they can't get a new recount, they ought to at least get their money back.
Here's what happened.
Any Ohio county did not have to do a full hand recount if a random sample of three percent of the ballots in their county matched the original count.
The first fraud count: Not all the counties, if any, pulled the test precincts at random, nor did they allow the trained observers to see how the test precincts were selected.
Rep. John Conyers, ranking minority member of the House Judiciary Committee, sent a letter to all the presidential candidates, in which he he stated: "At least one precinct in Medina County that would not have voting anomalies was both carefully pre-selected and pre-counted, so that the initial 3% recount that is mandated by the Ohio Secretary of State would not return a mismatch between the initial tally and the recount." [Read Rep. Conyers' Letter]
Once they selected the test precincts, some counties shared that information with the voting machine technicians, who then made adjustments on the machines and gave advice on how to create an exact match. That would be the second fraud count. Technicians from Triad, the

company operating many of the counting machines, visited 41 of the 88 county election offices.

Triad technicians admitted that they helped the counties avoid the full recount by faking the match on the three percent count. [Read a transcript of the admissions].

When all else failed, election officials changed ballots to make the count come out right. An election official stated that she "did not want the hand count and the machine count to be different because they did not want to do a complete hand count," according to a trained observer quoted in Rep. Conyersâ☐☐ letter. That would be fraud count number three.

Mr. Conyers also wrote to Triad, asking them to provide information regarding the company's ability to control the machines remotely, which a Triad representative admitted. [Read Rep. Conyers' Letter to Triad]

Fraud count number four would be that the Secretary of State, who also served as Mr. Bushâ☐☐s state campaign chairman, refused to issue guidelines to the counties for the handling of undervotes, overvotes and other issues, a clear violation of the â☐☐equal protectionâ☐☐ ruling of Bush v. Gore. Counties used wildly different rules during the count and the recount, depriving the recount parties of true value for their investment.

The same Secretary Blackwell may have been a party to the systematic violation of equal voting rights by shorting minority precincts of voting machines, while over seventy extra machines languished in a truck. The day-long lines in many minority neighborhoods cost Mr. Kerry thousands of votes, by some estimates.

This article scratches the surface of what was done in Ohio to suppress and subvert the vote and render the recount meaningless. For in depth reporting, your best source is The Columbus Free Press.

What Can You Do?

Rep. Conyers has said that he will stand to object to the acceptance of the Electoral College vote when it arrives at a joint session of Congress at 1pm on January 6. Other House members will join him. One Senator is needed to stand with them.

Senators don't want to look like lunatics. They need support from home. The most effective thing Americans can do THIS MONDAY AND TUESDAY is to print out the Conyers' letters from the links in this article, write a quick letter to the editor as a cover letter, and hand carry them to local newspaper editorial writers.

If a Senator with a concern for democracy can be found, then the Ohio mess will become a major story as committees investigate and the mainstream press piles on. The chance of it overturning the presidential election is miniscule, but it will set the stage for election reforms and for the punishment of criminals in high places, and it will dissolve the notion of a present mandate.

A useful cover letter would state that, regardless of party, Americans must insist on the fair and non-partisan administration of elections, and that our Senators should stand with Rep. Conyers to

demand an investigation and a pledge of reform before this yearâ□□s election is accepted by Congress. We are too great a country to accept damaged goods instead of a reliably honest election result, or else our claims of spreading democracy to other lands is a sham. Your letter could remind the editors of how many people have sacrificed and died for our freedoms, the emblem of which is, more than our flag, our ballot.

After you hand deliver the letter to your newspaper, take a copy to the field offices of your two Senators, if you live within distance.

_

Also, what is going on with Nethercutt, now?

232. Re: Merry Christmas to all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 15:21:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5899-41DC05E2-539@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193824

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:32:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 23 Dec 2004 10:57:55 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
  In some cases, the
repercussions can be severe, such as Dennis had in that bullshit when
Hall and Lelnad and Dogie all conspired to contact his employer.

>Keep my name out of this.

Your name was netered by yourself and voluntarily on this subject.

>No, you mentioned it erroneously.

What I did, was illustrate your double-speak and unending defense of N8
when he was popped by the FCC.

>I had NOTHING to do with it.

Aw, come on, Dave...one doesn't need google to realize how many times
you not only defended vehemently, but aligned yourself with the jerkoff
that has posted here many times that he is responsible for people like
Dennis' departure from the group.

>I don't know who was responsible for Dennis's

>departure from this group,

You lobbied too hard in defense of N8wwm and his lawbreaking to make
such a claim at this point in time.

>and I suspect that neither do you.

>You only grasp at straws and make wild

>guesses. It's no different than what your

>detractors do to you, when they accuse you of
>being Dave Mc Campbell et al.

>I don't defend people, I defend principles.
.
Hehe..surely surely,,,,just like you claimed you don't attack people,
you attack their posts,,which was shown to be bullshit with your myriad
of personal woes and offtopic insults ladled throughout your posting
history..
No "principles" were defended when you redundantly insisted information

given the rainreport by the FCC is not proof of one breaking the law and that those listed there should not be considered guilty of any wrongdoings.

> Ifthere is insufficient proof (and heresay

>testimony from anonymous internet groupies

>does not count as proof) to verify an

>allegation, then I tend to remain skeptical.

Same thing you said concerning the rainreport when they busted your buddy n8wwm.

>Dennis was a friend,

Well, to be perfectly fair, this group was witness to how you treat your "friends" on more than one occasion. Your comments concerning your"business partner" is testament to such and a fine example.

>How have I mistreated my friends, as if you

>know of any examples?

See above.

>and a welcome addition

>to this usually technically challenged group,

>who tended to believe "CB science" over

>sound RF principles. Dennis was one of the

>few who set people straight. I applaud and

>respect him for that.

Unfortunately, you defended the jerkoff that attacked not only Dennis, but just about everyone else on this group, also.

>That does not mean, as you erroneously

>claimed, that I took part in his departure from

>the group.

In all probability, it does. You claimed in the past you had nothing to do with N8WWM, and had to be shown you most certainly were playing his games by reposting some of your more colorful and harssing posts. I am now aware some of your extremely weird behavior has been attributed to the medication to which you have been taking for some time, however, at this point in time, you appear to forget the post to which you were forced to admit "Yes, I admit I fell into Doug's bullshit".
this was extracted from you only after your continued denials and only after you were caught playing games with Dogie and harassinh good people

in this group.

_
In fact, you jumped
aboard the canine wagon with both paws, claiming the FCC and Rainreport
means nothing concerning enforcement.

>I only claimed that there was no definitive

>proof,

And you were dead wrong.

> at the time, that the interference was being

>caused by the person who was being

>accused.

Once again, you are showing what little you know of the law governing
that of which you are you licensed. Protocol at the FCC dictates prior
to any offense being logged, an official FCC, assignee, or designee MUST
witness the infraction. Exceptions to this are when the FCC receives
calls from individuals not afiliated with the FCC, like k4kwh, who are
merely reporting infractions they allegedly witnessed. In such cases,
the FCC *may* contact the individual accused, but they (FCC) ALWAYS make
certain the individual is NOT accused by the FCC until they witness
infractions of violation of the law themselves. In these cases, the FCC
will release a statement to the effect of: "John Doe was notified by the
FCC that they received information he was in violation of ..... on
(insert date here)..." In these instances, a reply is requested
addressing the FCC's inquiry. In N8WWM's case, and others like it (where
the FCC already determines infractions occurred), the FCC simply
releases a statement to the effect of :"N8WWM was found to be in
violation" or "Enclosed are recordings of N8WWM's violations".

>Knowing how this person had set himself up in
>a despised position, it was easy to postulate

>how someone looking for some sort of

>"revenge" could have framed him for it.

You are the only one to continue to set forth this preposterous notion.

>All I said was not to count all of your eggs

>before they all hatch. Premature summary

>judgements are irresponsible.

Only it wasn't a premature judgement nor an isolated incident. It was
several violations (according to the FCC) on several occasion, and the
FCC had ALREADY determined the violations occurred, yet, you undermind
their authority when it comes to N8WWM getting busted.

>Like I said, I defend principles.

And like you were shown above, you're wrong.

>Heck I'd even

>defend you if the situation warranted it. I

>believe that people should get what they

>deserve, nothing more or less.

Hehe,,,erroneously seeing yourself as judge of what others deserve is
part of your problem, Dave, and quite the narcissistic.

_
Just more double talk from one who has been
shown to not only ride both sides of a debate with self-contradiction,
but also fosters and incites flames from all parties.

>A skilled debater is able to assume either side

>of an issue and argue it with equal

>effectiveness.

Feeling compelled to always foster debate in a discuss room.....you
should be in a debate group, Dave, as this is labeled but a mere
discussion group. A skilled debater can handle and debate on both sides
of an issue equally effective. When you present your position of
underminding the FCC concerning their releaseed statement claiming N8WWM
was caught jamming, you do so out of personal opinion, not facts that
support your self-debate. Regardless the manner you see yourself, such
an approach is by no means effective nor skilled.

>You may call it contradiction. I call it "Keeping

>an open mind".....

When you call one a felon and a criminal for using the same illegal amps
you utilize and for talking skip on channel 6 like you, nothing about an
open mind exists. It's shameful hypocrisy and intentional malice.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

233. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 15:31:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4r1ot09apbs4toe4cjtn97p3k4a5rv9f6v@4ax.com>
Source record: 193822

On Wed, 5 Jan 2005 09:25:48 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net (Frankï¿½Gilliland)
>On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote in <8423-41DAA6C6-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:
><snip>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>Dave is still here? I thought he ran away to
>
>>rec.boats after I trampled on his
>
>>propoganda-induced delusions about Kerry's
>
>>service record. Guess he was still lurking.
>
>
>
>Speaking of,,,check this out from truthout.org.......
>
>
>_
>Ohio Recount Steeped in Fraud

That's a wonderful story, if it's true. Is there any solid proof other
than these allegations and he said-she said testimony to back it up?

The paper never refuses ink, and politically motivated people have
incentive to lie.

Perhaps they should do a recount in Pa.. There were all sorts of
allegations of fraud in Philthy. Perhaps they may find that Bush
really won Pa. as well. Then Ohio becomes a moot point. Are you sure
you really want to go there?

Your guy lost. Get over it.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

234. Re: Merry Christmas to all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 15:36:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <c62ot0hctgi5g8brtnkeamb2auodver1br@4ax.com>
Source record: 193823

On Tue, 04 Jan 2005 20:45:35 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Tue, 04 Jan 2005 08:39:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 23 Dec 2004 10:57:55 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>> In some cases, the
>>>repercussions can be severe, such as Dennis had in that bullshit when
>>>Hall and Lelnad and Dogie all conspired to contact his employer.
>>
>>Keep my name out of this. I had NOTHING to do with it. Dennis was a
>>friend, and a welcome addition to this usually technically challenged
>>group, who tended to believe "CB science" over sound RF principles.
>>Dennis was one of the few who set people straight. I applaud and
>>respect him for that.
>>
>>Dave
>>
>>"Sandbagger"
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>
>Wasn't it Mark Fuller that did that? Or was accused of it?

You might be right. He was in the middle of the fracas, IIRC. I don't
think Doug was even part of the group back then. But it's all in
Google for anyone who has an overwhelming need to see it.

But the next thing you know someone will accuse me of being him.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

235. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 15:43:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5899-41DC0B30-540@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193825

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 24 Dec 2004 10:35:16 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: zerobeatradio@yahoo.com
prozac 625 wrote:

>I don't live in "Chi Town".....

No one said you did.

>What "illegal CB use" are you referring to?

Texas Star.

>What about it?

>Please, be specific. Channels,

Channel 6.

>I haven't used Channel 6 since I was in a CB

>club that used that channel in the 1970's,

(snip)

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

_
On 10/09/1998, among dozens of other posts attesting to your tuning in
to channel 6 and channel 11, you wrote:
>I know how it is on the superbowl (channel 6)

>and as with others on this

>newsgroup and on the band, when it gets too

>much for me I leave.

236. Re: key-queenzeeeeeeee-----pleeeeeeeezeeeee!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 16:34:27 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41dc1681.159536750@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193826
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,alt.atlanta,rec.radio.cb

On 4 Jan 2005 23:52:37 -0800, "I AM NOT LAND_FAG"
<steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>why is it when ever steveo and landshard jump in here with they're
>usual bullshit the little mopey-faggot wanna be lancer is close by to
>lick his master's ass?

LOL! You poor thing, not having a good day are you ?

237. Re: key-queenzeeeeeeee-----pleeeeeeeezeeeee!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 16:44:37 UTC
From: I am not N8WWM <NotN8WWM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41dc1581$0$27143$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 193827
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,alt.atlanta,rec.radio.cb

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41dc1681.159536750@news.easynews.com...
> On 4 Jan 2005 23:52:37 -0800, "I AM NOT LAND_FAG"
> <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>why is it when ever steveo and landshard jump in here with they're
>>usual bullshit the little mopey-faggot wanna be lancer is close by to
>>lick his master's ass?
>
> LOL! You poor thing, not having a good day are you ?
>
Bad seed.

238. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 17:53:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <b5aot0h5afde30vgfck0ufsj8d6ul2attr@4ax.com>
Source record: 193828

On Wed, 5 Jan 2005 09:25:48 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <5899-41DBF8EC-532@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>:

<snip>
>Also, what is going on with Nethercutt, now?

Beats me. He suffered a disgraceful defeat. He's probably off pouting
at some retreat for Republican losers, and Dino Rossi is headed there
soon. But I have a feeling both will resurface when the 2006 campaigns
get started.

239. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 17:54:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mdaot0dqedas9a8tit3u387204v6aavj62@4ax.com>
Source record: 193829

On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 10:31:16 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <4r1ot09apbs4toe4cjtn97p3k4a5rv9f6v@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 5 Jan 2005 09:25:48 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>
>>From: wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net (Frankï¿½Gilliland)
>>On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote in <8423-41DAA6C6-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:
>><snip>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>Dave is still here? I thought he ran away to
>>
>>>rec.boats after I trampled on his
>>
>>>propoganda-induced delusions about Kerry's
>>
>>>service record. Guess he was still lurking.
>>
>>
>>
>>Speaking of,,,check this out from truthout.org.....
>>
>>
>>_
>>Ohio Recount Steeped in Fraud
>
>
>That's a wonderful story, if it's true. Is there any solid proof other
>than these allegations and he said-she said testimony to back it up?

Since when would facts make any difference to your version of the
"truth"? Well, maybe there's hope for you yet, so here's the best
place to start:

http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/1057
http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2005/1064

>The paper never refuses ink, and politically motivated people have
>incentive to lie.

That's the point.

>Perhaps they should do a recount in Pa.. There were all sorts of
>allegations of fraud in Philthy. Perhaps they may find that Bush
>really won Pa. as well. Then Ohio becomes a moot point. Are you sure
>you really want to go there?
>
>
>Your guy lost. Get over it.....

Pay attention, Dave: It doesn't matter who won or lost the election. Kerry conceeded -- end of story. So quit invoking his name to distract from the -REAL- issue which is the huge scale of the voting fraud that happened during the election. This problem threatens the very core of this democracy, and if presidential elections can be rigged then we might as well throw in the towel. Future elections will be meaningless and open to any power-monger with enough money to buy the election, maybe even someone as diabolical as Hitler or Stalin. But I suppose you wouldn't mind such a 'leader' or how he comes to power just as long as you agree with his publically stated moral principles and objectives..... but wasn't it you that said, "politically motivated people have incentive to lie"?

Get a clue, Dave.

240. Re: key-queenzeeeeeeee-----pleeeeeeeezeeeee!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 18:01:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104948074.278093.96310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193830
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar, alt.atlanta, rec.radio.cb

I AM NOT LAND_FAG wrote:
> why is it when ever steveo and landshard jump in here with they're
> usual bullshit the little mopey-faggot wanna be lancer is close by to
> lick his master's ass?

Probably because he acquired a taste for it long ago. I could be wrong,
though. It might have been longer than even that.

241. Re: 32 pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 18:06:21 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104948381.343272.118960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193831

No? Well, that's ok. You are the one in that song about the boy and the truck drivers, though.

242. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 19:12:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050105141250.146$H5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193832

rockogibraltar@com.net wrote:
> On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
> > wrote in <8423-41DAA6C6-315@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>:
> > <snip>
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> > http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
> Since WHEN did Twistedhed start using CORRECT INTERNET QUOTING?
>
> ????????
>
> After YEARS of claiming WebTV wouldn't allow him to quote posts...NOW he
> can!
>
Webtv still is shite for Usenet.

243. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 19:44:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5900-41DC43B5-226@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193834

N8WWM, in full drag and defecating via his mouth as "Psychiatrist to keyclowns", preening as <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: AKC STATS
on Date: Tue, Jan 4, 2005, 7:54am (EST-3)
Organization: http://groups.google.com X-Trace: posting.google.com 1104854050 25672 127.0.0.1 (4 Jan 2005 15:54:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, Jan 4, 2005, 3:54pm (EST+5) User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com Injection-Info: c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com; posting-host=205.162.217.3; posting-account=mm7x_QwAAACHuwX9t9VTUQGT1b7xxZ0J
fouled himself with
Server Used: [whois.arin.net]
205.162.217.3
= [cblmdm205-162-217-3.buckeye-express.com]

OrgName: Sprint
OrgID: SPRN
Address: 12502 Sunrise Valley Drive
City: Reston
StateProv: VA
PostalCode: 20196
Country: US
NetRange: 205.160.0.0 - 205.163.255.255
CIDR: 205.160.0.0/14
NetName: SPRINT-BLKE
NetHandle: NET-205-160-0-0-1
Parent: NET-205-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Allocation
NameServer: NS1-AUTH.SPRINTLINK.NET
NameServer: NS2-AUTH.SPRINTLINK.NET
NameServer: NS3-AUTH.SPRINTLINK.NET
Comment: ADDRESSES WITHIN THIS BLOCK ARE NON-PORTABLE
RegDate: 1995-03-08
Updated: 2002-08-08
TechHandle: SPRINT-NOC-ARIN
TechName: Sprintlink (Sprint)
TechPhone: 1-800-232-6895
TechEmail: NOC@sprint.net

OrgTechHandle: ARINS-ARIN
OrgTechName: arin-sprint-iprequest
OrgTechPhone: 1-800-232-3458
OrgTechEmail: ip-req@sprint.net

OrgName: Access Toledo LTD.
OrgID: TOOO
Address: 530 Orange Street
City: Toledo
StateProv: OH
PostalCode: 43604
Country: US
NetRange: 205.162.216.0 - 205.162.223.255
CIDR: 205.162.216.0/21
NetName: FON-34500014085311
NetHandle: NET-205-162-216-0-1
Parent: NET-205-160-0-0-1
NetType: Reallocated
Comment:
RegDate: 2000-09-11
Updated: 2001-11-27
TechHandle: ZA107-ARIN
TechName: Access Toledo
TechPhone: 1-419-724-4000
TechEmail: dns-tech@accesstoledo.com

OrgName: Buckeye Cablevision Inc.
OrgID: BUCKEY-4
Address: 5566 Southwyck Blvd.
City: Toledo
StateProv: OH
PostalCode: 43614
Country: US
NetRange: 205.162.217.0 - 205.162.217.255
CIDR: 205.162.217.0/24
NetName: TOOO-360
NetHandle: NET-205-162-217-0-1
Parent: NET-205-162-216-0-1
NetType: Reassigned
Comment:
RegDate: 2000-11-10
Updated: 2000-11-10
TechHandle: MJ345-ARIN
TechName: Jacobs Mike
TechPhone: 1-419-724-7256
TechEmail: mjacobs@cablesystem.com

and managed to squirt:
>One thing you did not say, butt pirate...

Many things *many* people do not say, Dogie,,,"butt pirate is one of
those. Heterosexuals do not share your preference and affinity for such
overt homosexual talk worked into all your conversations.
A better reception for your deviance can probably be had in groups that
share your
perversions.

>Ya see, muffin boy...there's FCC...and then

>there's AKC. You can't stop the AKC no mater

>what trash you talk.

You have it backwards, son. I am the devil responsible for bestowing
such impotence upon your wicked wretched soul which I took years ago.

244. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 19:47:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5901-41DC444F-67@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193833

From: lancer@rock..com
>Are these the same ones that were on your

>E-bay rat out list that were turned into a

>Christmas Shopping list?

>The same ones that gave E-bay a chuckle?

Ask k4kwh about those three busts......they were hammies....and here we
have N8WWM taking credit for them. He is rather amazing, ya' know.

245. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 19:50:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5899-41DC4509-600@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193835

From: mybigcb@aol.com

>OK, then, show us which ones the AKC where
>involved in if you really was. Lets see you

>back up those claims.

He wasn't involved in any. Neither was Jerry.

246. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:11:25 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104955885.384841.17970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193836

twistedhed hid behind an alias and wrote:
>
> You have it backwards, son. I am the devil responsible for bestowing
> such impotence upon your wicked wretched soul which I took years ago.

Nope. If you ever took anything it would be while I was sleeping.

As for that traceroute, hey...kinda funny, ay? I mean, the posting goes out and there's an IP address attached to it, so you attempt to show your completely lacking techinical abilities by posting a traceroute? Cub Scouts could do that, and they would have the benefit of something you have never had, adult supervision.

When you post traceroutes you show how impressed you are with your ability to find one. This in turn shows clearly that you are impressed with your limp wristed self way too much for the childishlishly simple level the source of that esteem comes from.

You are the one scared of showing who you are, wimpy.

Say what you will, but one fact remains: You have not stopped the AKC. You never will. Must just suck so bigtime to be faced with that fact and get all mewling and quivering like you do.

247. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:13:16 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104955996.258452.102890@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193837

so what? keyclowns can be hams, but all hams are not keyclowns. Your reasoning is addled. Why not sit down and cuddle with FUGGLY? Wouldn't you feel better after that?

248. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:15:56 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104956156.914773.114820@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193838

You were involved...VERY involved at the truckstops with FUGGLY.

249. twithed cracks, hides behind aliases and spews stupidity!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:20:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104956432.779265.20870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193839

Yes, he definitely is NOT George Bush. To be anything like him you would have to have more than that set of chemically shrunken raisins in your pantyhose.

Again, twithed proves the AKC right. He has again gone off playing the dozens armed with only a 6 shot squirt gun.
Always fun to watch you lose it, twitgal. Thank you.

250. twithed begs AKC to stop! can't take it-cries out in frustration!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:25:46 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104956746.653844.158540@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193840

thwithed lisped behind his aliass:

"A better reception for your deviance can probably be had in groups
that share your perversions."

His owners respond:

We won't stop and you can't stop us. Whining for us to do it won't
help.

251. jingle twithed bells

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:28:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104956884.152074.168200@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193841

```
Flip a wrist

Flip a wrist
Flip a wrist so limp

twithed is a chicken boy

With an IQ of a chimp
```

252. Re: Merry Christmas to all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:34:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7giot0d66mh2vkope8hobjucs1haae4gsm@4ax.com>
Source record: 193842

On Wed, 5 Jan 2005 10:21:06 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>
>>I had NOTHING to do with it.
>
>Aw, come on, Dave...one doesn't need google to realize how many times
>you not only defended vehemently, but aligned yourself with the jerkoff
>that has posted here many times that he is responsible for people like
>Dennis' departure from the group.

I made a single defensive comment regarding the then sketchy
allegations of interference. Later information seemed to make the case
much plainer. But you can't judge my initial comment against later
information. And by making that single "defense" hardly qualifies as
"aligning" with him. You do have a flair for the over dramatic.

>
>>I don't know who was responsible for Dennis's
>>departure from this group,

>You lobbied too hard in defense of N8wwm and his lawbreaking to make
>such a claim at this point in time.

In one thread? That's too hard to you?

>Same thing you said concerning the rainreport when they busted your
>buddy n8wwm.

Doug is hardly "my buddy" I have never, to my knowledge ever
corresponded with him, outside of this public newsgroup.

>>Dennis was a friend,
>
>Well, to be perfectly fair, this group was witness to how you treat your
>"friends" on more than one occasion. Your comments concerning
>your"business partner" is testament to such and a fine example.
>
>>How have I mistreated my friends, as if you
>>know of any examples?
>
>See above.

Elaborate please (if you can).

>>and a welcome addition
>>to this usually technically challenged group,

>>who tended to believe "CB science" over
>>sound RF principles. Dennis was one of the
>>few who set people straight. I applaud and
>>respect him for that.
>
>Unfortunately, you defended the jerkoff that attacked not only Dennis,
>but just about everyone else on this group, also.

As brought to my attention in another post, Dennis' departure
pre-dated Doug's "activities". The person most likely to have had a
hand in it was Mark Fuller. You might want to sit and play Google
games again before making yet another false claim.

>>That does not mean, as you erroneously
>>claimed, that I took part in his departure from
>>the group.
>
>
>In all probability, it does.

No, in all actuality, it doesn't.

> You claimed in the past you had nothing to
>do with N8WWM,

True. I have never had words with Doug.

>and had to be shown you most certainly were playing his
>games by reposting some of your more colorful and harssing posts.

What posts have I authored have been the least bit "harassing"?
Pointing out anti-social behavior is hardly harassing. If you can't
deal with the truth, it's not my fault.

> I am
>now aware some of your extremely weird behavior has been attributed to
>the medication to which you have been taking for some time,

What? Now what are you smoking? For someone who claims to not care
about the personal activities of others, your are a virtual fountain
of information. Unfortunately, most of the time, it's wrong. I am
taking no medication other than occasional cholesterol and acid reflux
medication. That is certainly not something which would affect my
"behavior".

> however, at
>this point in time, you appear to forget the post to which you were
>forced to admit "Yes, I admit I fell into Doug's bullshit".

I freely admitted that. Like I said at the time, I agreed with Doug's
principle of advocating legal CB operation. I did not endorse or
condone his methods of spamming the newsgroup.

>this was extracted from you only after your continued denials and only
>after you were caught playing games with Dogie and harassinh good people
>in this group.

That statement is a bold faced lie. I challenge you to prove
otherwise. Which, like in all other cases, you will be unable to.

>When you call one a felon and a criminal for using the same illegal amps
>you utilize and for talking skip on channel 6 like you, nothing about an
>open mind exists. It's shameful hypocrisy and intentional malice.
>

I do not shoot skip. I don't LIKE skip. When I used to use an
amplifier, it was to GET OVER or chase it off the channel. That was
many years ago. I've since changed my attitude with respect to the
law. Like I said before, I have not used channel 6 since the late
70's. Once again, if you have any proof otherwise, I'd be glad to see
it.

You really need to seek help to deal with your habitual lying,
misrepresenting, and distorting of the truth.

Dave

"Sandbagger"

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

253. Re: Re N8WWM keyboard tough guy, coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:50:55 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41dc4f3c$0$14526$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 193843

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104854119.966158.140650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> heheh...you obey well, mopeylicker...thank you.
>
Aren't you N8WWM?

254. FA: Scanners and Receivers (last day)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 20:54:17 UTC
From: "news.mi.comcast.giganews.com" <seskindell@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <G-OdnQOvJuhkzkHcRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 193894

ICOM PCR 1000 Scanner/Receiver
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741313033&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

SR Standard Communications Receiver with Scope
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741315973&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

ICOM R1 Scanner
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741958447&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

OPTOELECTRONICS SCOUT
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741498471&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

VIKING EXTENDED RECORDER (Great for scanning)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741957070&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

RADIO SHACK DX-398 SHORTWAVE RECEIVER
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5741304954&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

255. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 21:02:28 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <kckot0pguh9fl50ciqjqu6i2a0dt4q6ajo@4ax.com>
Source record: 193895

On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 09:54:02 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
Ohio Recount Steeped in Fraud
>>
>>
>>That's a wonderful story, if it's true. Is there any solid proof other
>>than these allegations and he said-she said testimony to back it up?
>
>
>Since when would facts make any difference to your version of the
>"truth"? Well, maybe there's hope for you yet, so here's the best
>place to start:
>
>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/1057
>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2005/1064

Freepress.org is a leftist propaganda organization, so it does not
surprise me that they hype the negative issues to make it seem worse
than it is. But you seem to have a problem differentiating between
real hard irrefutable FACTS with biased editorial opinion.

>>The paper never refuses ink, and politically motivated people have
>>incentive to lie.
>
>
>That's the point.
>
>
>>Perhaps they should do a recount in Pa.. There were all sorts of
>>allegations of fraud in Philthy. Perhaps they may find that Bush
>>really won Pa. as well. Then Ohio becomes a moot point. Are you sure
>>you really want to go there?
>>
>>
>>Your guy lost. Get over it.....
>
>
>Pay attention, Dave: It doesn't matter who won or lost the election.

Sure it does. Would all these P.E.S.T. victims be screaming for a
recount in Ohio if Kerry had won? That was my whole point. There were
all sorts of allegations of voter fraud in Pennsylvania, particularly
in heavily democratic strongholds like Philadelphia. But nobody cares
because Kerry won the state, even if by less of a margin than Bush won
Ohio.

>Kerry conceeded -- end of story.

No, it's not. There are all sorts of sore loser groups trying everything from trying to throw out the electoral vote, to impeaching Bush. They just can't deal with the fact that THEY LOST. Crying voter fraud is just another attempt to deny the fact that THEY LOST. Denial is the first step.

I wasn't happy when Clinton won, but I didn't accuse every state where he won of fraud (Even though, in all likelihood, there was probably some).

> So quit invoking his name to distract
>from the -REAL- issue which is the huge scale of the voting fraud that
>happened during the election.

Tell me Frank, do you believe that there has always been voter fraud, or do you think that this is suddenly something new?

>This problem threatens the very core of
>this democracy, and if presidential elections can be rigged then we
>might as well throw in the towel. Future elections will be meaningless
>and open to any power-monger with enough money to buy the election,

Like George Soros?

>maybe even someone as diabolical as Hitler or Stalin.

Or Ted Kennedy?

> But I suppose
>you wouldn't mind such a 'leader' or how he comes to power just as
>long as you agree with his publically stated moral principles and
>objectives..... but wasn't it you that said, "politically motivated
>people have incentive to lie"?

Yes, but you seem to think the whole issue of fraud is one sided. You scream with righteous indignation because your guy lost, not because you have a genuine concern over the voting process. Id be willing to bet that had Kerry won, you wouldn't care if allegations of voter fraud surfaced. You'd be saying to me, the same thing I'm saying to you.

I also find it curious that those who seem the most opposed to putting policies in place to lessen the chance of fraud are mostly democrats. Mandatory voter ID, and a more secure voting environment have all been shouted down by democrats. They used the lame "disenfranchised" and "racism" arguments to hide their real worry that a truly fair election would hurt them. No more buying votes with cartons of cigarettes, or bottles of ripple.

>Get a clue, Dave.

I would think that you need one as well.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

256. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 21:03:58 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41dc5249$0$9589$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 193896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns"

Aren't you n8wwm, Psychiatrist to keyclowns?

Magic NetTrace results.
Target :205.162.217.3
Date:1/5/2005

Domain Name: BUCKEYE-EXPRESS.COM

Administrative Contact:
Shryock, Paul (30011447I)
Buckeye Cablevision, Inc.
5566 Southwyck Blvd
Toledo, OH 43614-1576
US
(419) 724-9802 fax: (419) 724-7074

257. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 21:06:52 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41dc52f7$0$14548$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 193898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns"

Aren't you n8wwm, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"?

Magic NetTrace results.
Target :205.162.217.3
Date:1/5/2005

Domain Name: BUCKEYE-EXPRESS.COM

Administrative Contact:
Shryock, Paul (30011447I)
Buckeye Cablevision, Inc.
5566 Southwyck Blvd
Toledo, OH 43614-1576
US
(419) 724-9802 fax: (419) 724-7074

258. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 21:07:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <bilot092u80mvphvq0knjp3t7a7pm9gshd@4ax.com>
Source record: 193897

On Wed, 5 Jan 2005 10:43:44 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 4 Jan 2005 09:23:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Fri, 24 Dec 2004 10:35:16 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>From: zerobeatradio@yahoo.com
>prozac 625 wrote:
>
>>I don't live in "Chi Town".....
>
>No one said you did.
>
>>What "illegal CB use" are you referring to?
>
>Texas Star.
>
>>What about it?
>
>>Please, be specific. Channels,
>
>Channel 6.
>
>>I haven't used Channel 6 since I was in a CB
>
>>club that used that channel in the 1970's,
>
>(snip)
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>_
>On 10/09/1998, among dozens of other posts attesting to your tuning in
>to channel 6 and channel 11, you wrote:
>>I know how it is on the superbowl (channel 6)
>
>>and as with others on this
>

>>newsgroup and on the band, when it gets too
>
>>much for me I leave.

Exact post please, not a passage taken out of context. That doesn't sound like me. I usually refer to the current state of channel 6 as the "toilet bowl".

The fact that I am aware of what goes on there (my radio does have ALL 40 channels), does not mean that I USE it.

Try again.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

259. Re: --------GET A LIFE, DOUGAY... YOU AKC's ARE NITWITS---------

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 21:10:03 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41dc53b7$0$14514$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 193899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns"

Aren't you n8wwm, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"?

Magic NetTrace results.
Target :205.162.217.3
Date:1/5/2005

Domain Name: BUCKEYE-EXPRESS.COM

Administrative Contact:
Shryock, Paul (30011447I)
Buckeye Cablevision, Inc.
5566 Southwyck Blvd
Toledo, OH 43614-1576
US
(419) 724-9802 fax: (419) 724-7074

260. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 21:18:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <e4mot0tju802bhvqgf8o91es3ngh674ngr@4ax.com>
Source record: 193900

On Wed, 5 Jan 2005 10:43:44 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>On 10/09/1998, among dozens of other posts attesting to your tuning in
>to channel 6 and channel 11, you wrote:
>>I know how it is on the superbowl (channel 6)
>
>>and as with others on this
>
>>newsgroup and on the band, when it gets too
>
>>much for me I leave.

As I thought, you were not quoting me, you were quoting ICECOLDNYC.
The post (in its entirety) follows:

In article <361D223E.1...@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunkmail_boat...@worldlynx.net> writes:

>Ok, let's assume for a minute that I'm the most ignorant "mo fo" on the
>planet. So tell me then, why is it not ok for someone to disapprove of
>people who tie up channels for hours, who walk over everyone for the
>sport of it, and who ramble on about basically nothing because they are
>"just having fun". Yet it's ok for these same people to ruin the fun of
>everyone else with their nonesense, and if we don't like it, it's too
>damn bad?

My opinion is simply this...
When I am on a channel that I dislike I go to another channel (I have
many) or I leave the area(mostly in the mobile) or I turn my radio
off(it's real easy)!!!!
I know how it is on the superbowl (channel 6) and as with others on
this newsgroup and on the band, when it gets too much for me I leave.

Dave, it's really quite easy!!!
SInce that channel seems a waste of time and of course you feel like
degrading those on it, you should avoid that channel at all costs!!!!
Although I am a computer professional and I interact everyday with
people who don't know what the heck a "roger right-on, cotton chopper
and a mud duck is..." I can easily make the transaction between the 2
worlds. I am not stuck in either!!!!

Take care sandbagger you are one bad ass cotton chopper!!!!!!!!!!!!
Take care and have a pleasant day!!! We can't change the world, we can
only live in it!!!!!!

ICE, ICE AND MORE ICE MR. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES JUST HAMMERED
DOWN!!!!!!!!!
One of the original 3 Soul Brothers
from NYC

Try again Twist......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

261. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 22:05:26 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41dc60b1$0$14469$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 193901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104505115.301150.163660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Stick a rubber tube in your ass, suck on the other end, and disappear,
> gayboy.
>
Aren't you n8wwm, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"?

Magic NetTrace results.
Target :205.162.217.3
Date:1/5/2005

Domain Name: BUCKEYE-EXPRESS.COM

Administrative Contact:
Shryock, Paul (30011447I)
Buckeye Cablevision, Inc.
5566 Southwyck Blvd
Toledo, OH 43614-1576
US
(419) 724-9802 fax: (419) 724-7074

262. DAVID LAWturd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 22:23:18 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1104963798.668051.30610@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.fuck.niggers, alt.flame.niggers

hey assjuicer.......trace this.

gay-boi!

263. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 23:24:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4epot0d9md9eq1nq3bkgh2grl1j906fvn1@4ax.com>
Source record: 193903

On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 16:02:28 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <kckot0pguh9fl50ciqjqu6i2a0dt4q6ajo@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>Since when would facts make any difference to your version of the
>>"truth"? Well, maybe there's hope for you yet, so here's the best
>>place to start:
>>
>>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/1057
>>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2005/1064
>
>Freepress.org is a leftist propaganda organization,

Enough with the labels. You have also labeled the mainstream media as
being heavily biased to the left, yet it is the mainstream media that
refuses to cover the Ohio recount or release the raw exit poll data.
Your labels don't reconcile with the facts. As for freepress.org, I
suggest you read their "About" page which describes the organization
and chronicles it's history. I doubt you will read it because people
like you are too afraid to face facts that might conflict with your
biased opinions. You would rather slap labels on others instead of
admitting that there is a possibility you are wrong. But you really
-should- read it because people with open minds don't share your fear,
and they are the people you will be arguing with until you wake up and
smell the sheep-dung.

> so it does not
>surprise me that they hype the negative issues to make it seem worse
>than it is. But you seem to have a problem differentiating between
>real hard irrefutable FACTS with biased editorial opinion.

Are you suggesting that a 124% voter turnout is just an "editorial
opinion"?

<snip>
>>Pay attention, Dave: It doesn't matter who won or lost the election.
>
>Sure it does. Would all these P.E.S.T. victims be screaming for a
>recount in Ohio if Kerry had won? That was my whole point. There were
>all sorts of allegations of voter fraud in Pennsylvania, particularly
>in heavily democratic strongholds like Philadelphia. But nobody cares
>because Kerry won the state, even if by less of a margin than Bush won
>Ohio.

Read the transcript I cited. These are the same organizations that
cried foul when Gore tried to manipulate the recounts in Florida,

criticized the Clinton victories, and have members that are official election observers for this and other countries. Yet you try and paint them as hired guns for the Democrats. As you have demonstrated many times before, your perspective is so slanted you are falling over.

>>Kerry conceeded -- end of story.
>
>No, it's not. There are all sorts of sore loser groups trying
>everything from trying to throw out the electoral vote, to impeaching
>Bush. They just can't deal with the fact that THEY LOST. Crying voter
>fraud is just another attempt to deny the fact that THEY LOST. Denial
>is the first step.
>
>I wasn't happy when Clinton won, but I didn't accuse every state where
>he won of fraud (Even though, in all likelihood, there was probably
>some).

"They" is not "me". Whether the current president makes you whine or dine, voting fraud is the issue. The "Kerry-lost-get-over-it" routine is getting old and you are sounding like a broken record. The most important issue right now is voting fraud. Address the issue at hand. Some day later we can address how your wool got sheared by Bush's propoganda machine.

>> So quit invoking his name to distract
>>from the -REAL- issue which is the huge scale of the voting fraud that
>>happened during the election.
>
>Tell me Frank, do you believe that there has always been voter fraud,
>or do you think that this is suddenly something new?

Voting fraud has been around ever since voting was invented. But there has never been fraud on a scale like what was seen Nov. 2. Nor to the extent that, if left unchecked, could directly affect the government of the most powerful country in the world. You aren't suggesting that voting fraud should be ignored because it's going to occur no matter what, are you? Because that's the same kind of excuse illegal CBers use to justify their operation.....

>>This problem threatens the very core of
>>this democracy, and if presidential elections can be rigged then we
>>might as well throw in the towel. Future elections will be meaningless
>>and open to any power-monger with enough money to buy the election,
>
>Like George Soros?
>
>>maybe even someone as diabolical as Hitler or Stalin.
>
>Or Ted Kennedy?

Gee, I don't know..... did Ted Kennedy kill millions of people? Is that the secret ambition which convinced him to enter the political

arena? I'm asking because the facts don't indicate anything of the sort, but -you- know the -real- truth, don't you Dave? So polish your lamp, gaze into your crystal ball, call the psychic friends network, or do whatever it is you do to gain such pervasive insight into the truth..... and tell me, what -are- Ted's secret ambitions?

>> But I suppose
>>you wouldn't mind such a 'leader' or how he comes to power just as
>>long as you agree with his publically stated moral principles and
>>objectives..... but wasn't it you that said, "politically motivated
>>people have incentive to lie"?
>
>Yes

No kidding.

>, but you seem to think the whole issue of fraud is one sided. You
>scream with righteous indignation because your guy lost, not because
>you have a genuine concern over the voting process. Id be willing to
>bet that had Kerry won, you wouldn't care if allegations of voter
>fraud surfaced. You'd be saying to me, the same thing I'm saying to
>you.

Did you come to those conclusions after reading tea leaves or throwing bones? Maybe you should read some of my previous posts regarding Bush, how I defended him in the past. Maybe you missed my criticizms of Gore for trying to manufacture votes by selective recounts. Maybe you missed my many posts where I clearly stated that I only vote for independents and/or third party candidates, and voted for Nader in this election. Or maybe you just aren't paying attention to the facts.

It's so much easier for you to comprehend if you tell yourself that I voted for Kerry and that I'm a sore loser, isn't it? Well, as usual, you're wrong. I voted for Nader. And even though he lost the election, I didn't have any expectations that he would win. But he and other third party candidates -did- make a strong showing, which was my intent with my vote, and for that reason I am -very- happy with the outcome of the election.

Except for the fraud.

>I also find it curious that those who seem the most opposed to putting
>policies in place to lessen the chance of fraud are mostly democrats.
>Mandatory voter ID, and a more secure voting environment have all been
>shouted down by democrats. They used the lame "disenfranchised" and
>"racism" arguments to hide their real worry that a truly fair election
>would hurt them. No more buying votes with cartons of cigarettes, or
>bottles of ripple.

Both Republicans and Democrats oppose those issues equally. And it wasn't the Democrats who initiated the recount in Ohio; it was the Greens and the Libertarians with cooperation from voting rights organizations. Once again you have showed how skewed your perspective

is towards the Republicans.

>>Get a clue, Dave.
>
>I would think that you need one as well.

What you think about me carries no weight since you have yet to
demonstrate that you are capable of thought that is independent and
rational; i.e, above the level of domesticated livestock.

264. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-05 23:44:19 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <nZ_Cd.1365$q4.1132@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193904

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95D5BAB9D4B2E1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
> in news:o8ACd.57320$yv2.29607@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> message news:1104854046.380735.95090@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> Twistedhed meweled like the sissy boy he is:
>>>> Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year.
>>>> It appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm and
>>> k4kwh,
>>>> enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a
>>>> continual decline.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> -
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
>>>> Total Enforcement Actions: 55
>>>> Raids: 11
>>>> Visits: 17
>>>> Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
>>>> Postal Contacts: 2
>>>> Seizures: 6
>>>> NALs: 7 for $80,000
>>>> Fines: 16 for $155,000
>>>> Unknown Actions: 1
>>>> Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
>>>> Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
>>>> Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
>>>> * - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
>>>> Active States:
>>>> Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey (7)Oklahoma
>>>> (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont (1)Pennsylvania
>>>> (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia (1)Illinois (1)
>>>> By Month:
>>>> January - 8
>>>> February - 5
>>>> March - 6
>>>> April - 8
>>>> May - 10

>>>> June - 1
>>>> July - 1
>>>> August - 4
>>>> September - 5
>>>> October - 5
>>>> November - 2
>>>> December - 0
>>>> By Spectrum:
>>>> FM - 55
>>>> AM/SW - 3
>>>
>>> One thing you did not say, butt pirate...several of those busts were
>>> the result of AKC action.
>>>
>>
>> Prove it, felon-boi!
>
> Prove they werent Randy.

George, we've been all over this proof issue before. And again, since you seem to have forgotten, when someone is called on a claim, it is the claimant's responsibility to prove it.

265. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 00:19:52 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <Iu%Cd.1645$q4.949@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193905

```
"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95D5C4058131712345678900@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
> in news:nZ_Cd.1365$q4.1132@fe1.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95D5BAB9D4B2E1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
>>> wrote in news:o8ACd.57320$yv2.29607@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
>>>> message news:1104854046.380735.95090@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>>>>> Twistedhed meweled like the sissy boy he is:
>>>>>> Damn,,,,,three convictions for AM/SW users out of the entire year.
>>>>>> It appears despite the noise and bullshit placed forth by n8wwm
>>>>>> and
>>>>> k4kwh,
>>>>>> enforcement action against cbers is not only down, but on a
>>>>>> continual decline.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> -
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2004 Enforcement Action Database Index
>>>>>> Total Enforcement Actions: 55
>>>>>> Raids: 11
>>>>>> Visits: 17
>>>>>> Arrests/Convictions/Sentencings/Collections: 2
>>>>>> Postal Contacts: 2
>>>>>> Seizures: 6
>>>>>> NALs: 7 for $80,000
>>>>>> Fines: 16 for $155,000
>>>>>> Unknown Actions: 1
>>>>>> Avg. Fine per Action: $2,818
>>>>>> Avg. Wattage per Action*: 39 watts
>>>>>> Avg. Time on Air*: 13 months
>>>>>> * - not statistically reliable due to unknowns
>>>>>> Active States:
>>>>>> Florida (20)Maine (1)California (9)Montana (1)New Jersey
```

>>>>>> (7)Oklahoma (1)Colorado (4)Oregon (1)New York (3)Vermont
>>>>>> (1)Pennsylvania (2)Washington (1)Tennessee (2)West Virginia
>>>>>> (1)Illinois (1) By Month:
>>>>>> January - 8
>>>>>> February - 5
>>>>>> March - 6
>>>>>> April - 8
>>>>>> May - 10
>>>>>> June - 1
>>>>>> July - 1
>>>>>> August - 4
>>>>>> September - 5
>>>>>> October - 5
>>>>>> November - 2
>>>>>> December - 0
>>>>>> By Spectrum:
>>>>>> FM - 55
>>>>>> AM/SW - 3
>>>>>
>>>>> One thing you did not say, butt pirate...several of those busts
>>>>> were the result of AKC action.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Prove it, felon-boi!
>>>
>>> Prove they werent Randy.
>>
>> George, we've been all over this proof issue before. And again, since
>> you seem to have forgotten, when someone is called on a claim, it is
>> the claimant's responsibility to prove it.
>
> dont you remeber what that one assclown used to say it is up to the
> accuser to prove him wrong. no one said anything to him when he used to
> say that so i figured it was status quo(how things work)

Ok, in that case, I work for the CIA. Prove I don't.

266. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 00:58:38 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <230Dd.2041$q4.1692@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 193906

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95D5CA79D4A911234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Under our system of justice, the burden of proof is on the accuser to
> prove his or her case.

It's not a trial, it's a lie/brag.

267. Re: DAVID LAWturd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 01:15:24 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104974124.132632.46620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193907

Lancer wrote:
> On 5 Jan 2005 14:23:18 -0800, "I AM NOT N8WWM" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
> wrote:
>
> >hey assjuicer.......trace this.
> >
> >gay-boi!
>
> Hmm, why is it that all the weirdos that support N8 make the same gay
> type posts?

People who eat normal food are weird to cannibals, prancer. Sorta like
how all you keyclown wimps think non keyclowns are weird.

268. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 01:18:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1104974285.792275.57200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.amateur.antenna, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Arent you Jane Gayer?

269. Re: DAVID LAWturd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 01:26:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050105202645.626$oO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193909

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Lancer wrote:
> > On 5 Jan 2005 14:23:18 -0800, "I AM NOT N8WWM" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >hey assjuicer.......trace this.
> > >
> > >gay-boi!
> >
> > Hmm, why is it that all the weirdos that support N8 make the same gay
> > type posts?
>
> People who eat normal food are weird to cannibals, prancer. Sorta like
> how all you keyclown wimps think non keyclowns are weird.
>
http://tinyurl.com/4hz55 <---------- wimp

270. Re: AKC STATS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 01:29:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050105202922.270$mg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193910

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 5 Jan 2005 12:11:25 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
> <N8WWM keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >twistedhed hid behind an alias and wrote:
> >>
> >> You have it backwards, son. I am the devil responsible for bestowing
> >> such impotence upon your wicked wretched soul which I took years ago.
> >
> >Nope. If you ever took anything it would be while I was sleeping.
> >
> >As for that traceroute, hey...kinda funny, ay? I mean, the posting goes
> >out and there's an IP address attached to it, so you attempt to show
> >your completely lacking techinical abilities by posting a traceroute?
> >Cub Scouts could do that, and they would have the benefit of something
> >you have never had, adult supervision.
> >
> >When you post traceroutes you show how impressed you are with your
> >ability to find one. This in turn shows clearly that you are impressed
> >with your limp wristed self way too much for the childishlishly simple
> >level the source of that esteem comes from.
> >
> >You are the one scared of showing who you are, wimpy.
> >
> >Say what you will, but one fact remains: You have not stopped the AKC.
> >You never will. Must just suck so bigtime to be faced with that fact
> >and get all mewling and quivering like you do.
>
> Dang Doug, you actually made a post without the mention of truck stops
> and knee pads. Are you actually trying to act straight for a change?
>
Nah, BUCKEYE-EXPRESS spanked him.

271. Re: FA: Siltronics 1011C with D-104 Mic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 04:07:47 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <nQ2Dd.128705$AL5.61790@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193911

You tell 'em Skipp!

"Skipp" <spammenotyoutroublemaker@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:creqo0$1i9$1@skeeter.ucdavis.edu...
> Siltronix (not siltronics)
>
> http://www.radiowrench.com/siltronix
>
> (Hi Jay)
> cheers,
> skipp
>
> : Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> : Hello Ronfol:
> : Great looking radio!
> : Here is a web site on the Siltronics radios, a realay great web site.
> : Jay in the Mojave
>

272. NEED SCHEMATICS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 04:42:02 UTC
From: Liberty Valance <dlp33@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <uk3Dd.26945$wu4.6064@attbi_s52>
Source record: 193912

Does anyone have schematics for Cobra 2010 and Spark-O-Matic CB 5100?

273. utopianchocolate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 09:22:39 UTC
From: zigzagamous@aol.com
Message-ID: <1105003359.325002.116410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193913

Women are going crazy for this new libido lifting chocolate. It really works! Check it out! WWW.UTOPIANCHOCOLATE.COM

274. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 13:09:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <a7bqt01ebbju79nt19c0bq2ifriqu12smc@4ax.com>
Source record: 193914

On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 15:24:15 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 16:02:28 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <kckot0pguh9fl50ciqjqu6i2a0dt4q6ajo@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>Since when would facts make any difference to your version of the
>>>"truth"? Well, maybe there's hope for you yet, so here's the best
>>>place to start:
>>>
>>>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/1057
>>>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2005/1064
>>
>>Freepress.org is a leftist propaganda organization,
>
>
>Enough with the labels.

If the shoe fits......

>You have also labeled the mainstream media as
>being heavily biased to the left, yet it is the mainstream media that
>refuses to cover the Ohio recount or release the raw exit poll data.

Because even the mainstream media realizes that this story is more
fluff than substance, despite their left leaning slant. Unlike
unaccountable rags like "freepress.org" the mainstream media has
accountability to the masses. Especially after "Rathergate" they are
especially leary of stories which cannot be verified with some degree
of accuracy.

It would seem that your "standards" for what passes as "truth" is much
less.

>Your labels don't reconcile with the facts.

What you perceive as "fact" is the at the core of the issue. Unless
you personally had a hand in the investigation, you are getting your
information from a 2nd, 3rd or 4th party. Any one of which can
"modify" the facts by adding a degree of bias to the point that the
message has skewed. But, for some reason, you can't seem to see that.

> As for freepress.org, I
>suggest you read their "About" page which describes the organization
>and chronicles it's history.

I'm sure Adolf Hitler wrote a glowing review about himself as well.
What "freepress.org" says about itself is meaningless. What other
groups, who track the political agendas of these rogue "news"
services, says is what tells the real story.

> I doubt you will read it because people
>like you are too afraid to face facts that might conflict with your
>biased opinions.

Frank, when are you going to realize that you "facts" are nothing more
than YOUR biased opinions. Telling me that my bias is wrong based on
your bias is laughable.

> You would rather slap labels on others instead of
>admitting that there is a possibility you are wrong.

There is always a possibility that I am wrong. But not this time. I've
been around the block to know how this all works. Republicans are not
angels by any stretch of the imagination. But just like you telling me
I'm wrong based on your own bias, democrats screaming "foul" at an
election that they lost, and pointing at republicans for cheating,
while they cross their fingers behind their backs is equally
ridiculous.

They BOTH cheat. They always have. But I am incensed that democrats
have the balls to be so blatantly hypocritical.

> But you really
>-should- read it because people with open minds don't share your fear,

My FEAR? What "fear" is that?

>and they are the people you will be arguing with until you wake up and
>smell the sheep-dung.

Until *I* wake up? You'd better pinch yourself man, because it is not
I who is sleeping.....

>> so it does not
>>surprise me that they hype the negative issues to make it seem worse
>>than it is. But you seem to have a problem differentiating between
>>real hard irrefutable FACTS with biased editorial opinion.
>
>
>Are you suggesting that a 124% voter turnout is just an "editorial
>opinion"?

Are you equally concerned that the overturning of a clear republican
victory in Washington State after not just one, but a few recounts,
and by a similar "bloat" in voter turnout?

>>>Pay attention, Dave: It doesn't matter who won or lost the election.
>>
>>Sure it does. Would all these P.E.S.T. victims be screaming for a
>>recount in Ohio if Kerry had won? That was my whole point. There were

>>all sorts of allegations of voter fraud in Pennsylvania, particularly
>>in heavily democratic strongholds like Philadelphia. But nobody cares
>>because Kerry won the state, even if by less of a margin than Bush won
>>Ohio.
>
>
>Read the transcript I cited. These are the same organizations that
>cried foul when Gore tried to manipulate the recounts in Florida,
>criticized the Clinton victories, and have members that are official
>election observers for this and other countries. Yet you try and paint
>them as hired guns for the Democrats.

Like I said, if the shoe fits. The sheer intensity of the protests and
the unwillingness for so many people to accept the outcome of the
election is more telling as to the driving force behind this brouhaha.

> As you have demonstrated many
>times before, your perspective is so slanted you are falling over.

I don't have to worry about falling over, as your equally slanted
perspective in the other direction will balance me out.

>>>Kerry conceeded -- end of story.
>>
>>No, it's not. There are all sorts of sore loser groups trying
>>everything from trying to throw out the electoral vote, to impeaching
>>Bush. They just can't deal with the fact that THEY LOST. Crying voter
>>fraud is just another attempt to deny the fact that THEY LOST. Denial
>>is the first step.
>>
>>I wasn't happy when Clinton won, but I didn't accuse every state where
>>he won of fraud (Even though, in all likelihood, there was probably
>>some).
>
>
>"They" is not "me". Whether the current president makes you whine or
>dine, voting fraud is the issue. The "Kerry-lost-get-over-it" routine
>is getting old and you are sounding like a broken record.

But that is the basic point. Hell, these people now protesting Bush's
second victory are the very same people who were claiming for the last
4 years that Gore REALLY won and that Bush was "selected, not
elected". They haven't gotten over 2000, they just changed the loser's
name.

> The most
>important issue right now is voting fraud.

Why now?

> Address the issue at hand.
>Some day later we can address how your wool got sheared by Bush's
>propoganda machine.

By providing me more leftist propaganda that you believe as fact? No
thanks Frank. I can spot snake oil at quite a distance.

>>Tell me Frank, do you believe that there has always been voter fraud,
>>or do you think that this is suddenly something new?
>
>
>Voting fraud has been around ever since voting was invented.

So why is it such an issue for you now? Where were you in '92?

>But there
>has never been fraud on a scale like what was seen Nov. 2.

By what factual (not op-ed opinion) information do you base this
claim? How do you determine total voter fraud?

> Nor to the
>extent that, if left unchecked, could directly affect the government
>of the most powerful country in the world.

Mayor Daily of Chicago certainly knew that.......

> You aren't suggesting that
>voting fraud should be ignored because it's going to occur no matter
>what, are you?

Certainly not. I am for tightening the rules that regulate voting,
including several measure which make many democrats very "uneasy".

But I don't think that voter fraud is any worse now than it had been
in the past. Surely you haven't forgotten about the bus loads of
illegal immigrants, the jailed felons, and the buying of votes with
cartons of cigarettes in days past?

>
>
>>>This problem threatens the very core of
>>>this democracy, and if presidential elections can be rigged then we
>>>might as well throw in the towel. Future elections will be meaningless
>>>and open to any power-monger with enough money to buy the election,
>>
>>Like George Soros?
>>
>>>maybe even someone as diabolical as Hitler or Stalin.
>>
>>Or Ted Kennedy?
>
>
>Gee, I don't know..... did Ted Kennedy kill millions of people?

No, only one. But killing people is not the only form of "diabolical".

>Is
>that the secret ambition which convinced him to enter the political

>arena? I'm asking because the facts don't indicate anything of the
>sort, but -you- know the -real- truth, don't you Dave? So polish your
>lamp, gaze into your crystal ball, call the psychic friends network,
>or do whatever it is you do to gain such pervasive insight into the
>truth..... and tell me, what -are- Ted's secret ambitions?

I really don't know, but his interests in the direction of this
country are diametrically opposed to what a free capitalist society
would want.

>>> But I suppose
>>>you wouldn't mind such a 'leader' or how he comes to power just as
>>>long as you agree with his publically stated moral principles and
>>>objectives..... but wasn't it you that said, "politically motivated
>>>people have incentive to lie"?
>>
>>Yes
>
>
>No kidding.
>
>
>>, but you seem to think the whole issue of fraud is one sided. You
>>scream with righteous indignation because your guy lost, not because
>>you have a genuine concern over the voting process. Id be willing to
>>bet that had Kerry won, you wouldn't care if allegations of voter
>>fraud surfaced. You'd be saying to me, the same thing I'm saying to
>>you.
>
>
>Did you come to those conclusions after reading tea leaves or throwing
>bones?

The same way that I know how people think. The same way that I
diagnosed Twisty's sociopathic tendencies.

>Maybe you should read some of my previous posts regarding Bush,
>how I defended him in the past.

The past is just that. Don't even try to tell me that you favored
Bush, because that would be a lie.

>Maybe you missed my criticizms of Gore
>for trying to manufacture votes by selective recounts. Maybe you
>missed my many posts where I clearly stated that I only vote for
>independents and/or third party candidates, and voted for Nader in
>this election. Or maybe you just aren't paying attention to the facts.

When have you ever spoken about politics on this newsgroup before
Frank? Until this past election, this newsgroup pretty much stayed the
course on radio related issues.

I do recall you saying that you voted for Nader, even though you were at the same time, defending Kerry and his policies with more vigor than one of his lackey political pundits. I find it hard to believe that someone could be behind one candidate yet espouse the "good" points of his opponent. It's duplicitous.

>It's so much easier for you to comprehend if you tell yourself that I
>voted for Kerry and that I'm a sore loser, isn't it? Well, as usual,
>you're wrong. I voted for Nader.

But you defended Kerry as if you were married to him.

>And even though he lost the election,
>I didn't have any expectations that he would win.
> But he and other
>third party candidates -did- make a strong showing, which was my
>intent with my vote, and for that reason I am -very- happy with the
>outcome of the election.

Strong showing? Nader got what 2% of the vote? You call that "strong"? Ross Perot made a better showing.

But I am glad for Nader. He at least syphoned the most idealistic utopian liberal voters away from Kerry, which may have allowed Bush to win again. For that I thank him.

>Except for the fraud.
>
>
>>I also find it curious that those who seem the most opposed to putting
>>policies in place to lessen the chance of fraud are mostly democrats.
>>Mandatory voter ID, and a more secure voting environment have all been
>>shouted down by democrats. They used the lame "disenfranchised" and
>>"racism" arguments to hide their real worry that a truly fair election
>>would hurt them. No more buying votes with cartons of cigarettes, or
>>bottles of ripple.
>
>
>Both Republicans and Democrats oppose those issues equally. And it
>wasn't the Democrats who initiated the recount in Ohio;

Who was it then who filed suit in Ohio because there were claims of insufficient voting machines in heavily democratic voting places?

> it was the
>Greens and the Libertarians with cooperation from voting rights
>organizations.

Bullshit Frank, plain and simple. If you can't see through that, you are more blind than I thought. What incentive would there be, and what gain would be had for those odd-ball independents to bring about this action? It doesn't pass the smell test Frank.

>Once again you have showed how skewed your perspective
>is towards the Republicans.

I am a conservative, and I lean toward republicans because they best represent my interests. That's no great secret. But you have yet to admit your political slant, and the accompanied bias. You're in denial Frank.

>>>Get a clue, Dave.
>>
>>I would think that you need one as well.
>
>
>What you think about me carries no weight since you have yet to
>demonstrate that you are capable of thought that is independent and
>rational; i.e, above the level of domesticated livestock.

If you truly believed that you would not waste your time trying to "show" me how "wrong" I am. The fact that you are unable to back up anything you stand for with anything other than your own form of propaganda, and have failed ant every attempt to discredit my position is what keeps you coming back for more. In a way, you're acting just like Twisty, when he can't "prove" the lies he spews about other people.

This country was built by people who held strong traditions and believed in putting in a full day's work, for a full day's pay, wanted the government to protect our interests abroad, but wanted them out of our personal lives. They also did not need or want a social "safety net" to help the slackers of society avoid the consequences of natural selection, and paid for by the sweat of the productive people.

No matter how idealistic and utopian left thinking liberals might believe, there will never be a natural "classless society", because all people are different in how they prioritize their lives and how they achieve (or not). It's not the government's place to "provide" for people, or to "equalize" the country's wealth.

To the victor, go the spoils. Try to be a victor Frank......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

275. Re: DAVID LAWturd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 13:40:21 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41dd3e98.1679328@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193915

On 5 Jan 2005 17:15:24 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Lancer wrote:
>> On 5 Jan 2005 14:23:18 -0800, "I AM NOT N8WWM" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >hey assjuicer.......trace this.
>> >
>> >gay-boi!
>>
>> Hmm, why is it that all the weirdos that support N8 make the same gay
>> type posts?
>
>People who eat normal food are weird to cannibals, prancer. Sorta like
>how all you keyclown wimps think non keyclowns are weird.

No read my post again, it was nothing about keyclowns or
non-keyclowns. It was about your posts including gay material.

BTW, I have never been to a "keydown" nor do I run any illegal
equipment. In fact I 'm probably more legal than you because I have
never jammed a repeater.

276. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 14:06:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5900-41DD45D6-287@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193916

For crying out loud, Dave, you scream about "facts" when another tries to educate you, but when it comes to you, you employ those second set of rules and double-standards which you have for yourself. Your fact-finding goes right out the window with all logic and you hypocritically set forth bullshit like:

> "channel 6, which is notorious for harboring

>the dregs of society, who regularly run high

>power, is all the "evidence" I need, to

>determine that the station in question is in fact,
>llegal,

See Dave, your personal feelings are not "facts" nor "evidence", but of interest, is the fact you consider them such.

277. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 14:22:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5899-41DD499E-767@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193917

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>Try again Twist......

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

No need. I'm quite pacified with what has been illustrated by
yoursefl,,,lies, denials, double-standards, etc. But since you asked so
niceley,,,,
Hell Dave,,, back in 94 you claimed you were a radio technician for
"over 15 years", but to date, still become bewildered when one asks
exactly how you made your "DaveMade M-80 spectrally pure". Of course,
you make the claims, but fail to provide for them as always,,this was
your initiated game, not anyone elses

Concerning your lawbreaking activities,
this little gem was written in '98..and if you care to check the thread,
you will see you were indeed speaking of cb and NOT hammie radio.
>" In the mobile I still

>run an amp, but it is a class AB, and it is

>driven so that it can develop its maximum

>modulation percentage"

Of course, we can always look at:

>The hustler Trumpet was a good antenna in its
>day. Its major limitation was that it was coil

>matched, and therefore its power handling

>capability was not that high (I ran 300+ watts

>though mine, but I doubt if it would take a

>KW).

>Dave

>"Sandbagger

Damn Dave,,you weren't licensed back when you had this antenna. Was this
the point in your life when you told people to "buy a bandaid" when they
complained of you bleeding them?

_
From N3CVJ's autobiography:

> I "crystal up" my Midland and Comstat, to

>work on the "new" channels. I also added up

>to 27.455 for a little "privacy".

> Pick up a D&A Hornet amplifier

>Skip had been running

>for the last few years. After talking skip

>internationally on the freeband channels, on

>SSB, I gradually lose interest in skip, and it

>become more of a nusance, with S9 or better

>noise levels, which block out many of the

>weaker locals, which force us to move out of

>band to escape.

>1984- Sell Yaesu 101 and buy Yaesu FT-757

>and President Jackson. Group starts on

>26.675 FM. This group will be the last group

>until 1993.

And lastly, since you asked niceley adn politely, egarding your channel 6 visits, you said this last summer:
> I can hear more rule

>violations after listening to 5 minutes on CB

>channel 6 than I can hear in a week's worth of

>ham radio

But you go on and spin, er,,I mean lie,,,umm, I mean tell the world how you haven't visited channel 6 (acknowledged via dozens and dozens of your posts) since the 70's.

278. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 16:10:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <c2oqt0lor6j3oaipoe52ibamu2g569lrlq@4ax.com>
Source record: 193918

On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 09:06:14 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:

>For crying out loud, Dave, you scream about "facts" when another tries
>to educate you, but when it comes to you, you employ those second set
>of rules and double-standards which you have for yourself. Your
>fact-finding goes right out the window with all logic and you
>hypocritically set forth bullshit like:
>
>
>> "channel 6, which is notorious for harboring
>
>>the dregs of society, who regularly run high
>
>>power, is all the "evidence" I need, to
>
>>determine that the station in question is in fact,
>>llegal,
>
>
>
>See Dave, your personal feelings are not "facts" nor "evidence", but of
>interest, is the fact you consider them such.

So you are denying that the majority of the "big radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of high power? Gee, where do all those guys with the "long nose Suburbans", with the 4 or 5 alternators use their stuff then?

It is a fact that when a station over 20 miles away from me gives me an "S9" signal, they are NOT running legal power, with the rare exception of the one or two guys I worked who were airborne. This conclusion is reached through empirical analytical observation, which is the same thing the FCC does when they first investigate an alleged "high power" infraction.

I never stated nor implied that I knew exactly how much power they were running, only that it was easily obvious, through the process of observation, that it was ILLEGAL.

When you are skilled at radio, you tend to hear other clues which make it a give away as well. Certain class "C" amp raspy distortions are dead giveaways.

Now, go find some other thread where you can quote me out of context again......

It's not my personal feelings, but a logical observation.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

279. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 16:29:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <gqoqt0divtnu90pi33pcd5fi3bcjrsqiic@4ax.com>
Source record: 193919

On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 09:22:22 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>Try again Twist......
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>No need. I'm quite pacified with what has been illustrated by
>yoursefl,,,lies, denials, double-standards, etc.

They're only lies and double talk to you, since you have trouble
comprehending the meaning of words.

> But since you asked so
>niceley,,,,
>Hell Dave,,, back in 94 you claimed you were a radio technician for
>"over 15 years", but to date, still become bewildered when one asks
>exactly how you made your "DaveMade M-80 spectrally pure". Of course,
>you make the claims, but fail to provide for them as always,,this was
>your initiated game, not anyone elses

I have hardly been "bewildered". I told you and everyone else how it
was done. It was done by utilizing a 7805 regulator to create a steady
5V for the bias supply. The 5V was then dropped through a resistor and
applied to the base of each of the 2290 devices. The bias voltage was
limited to .6V by utilizing a forward biased diode on each transistor,
which was placed on top of each "pill" for heat tracking and
stability. It's not rocket science, for someone who knows what they're
doing. You know, I might just take a some pictures of the project and
put them on my website just to squash your incessant babble.

>Concerning your lawbreaking activities,
>this little gem was written in '98..and if you care to check the thread,
>you will see you were indeed speaking of cb and NOT hammie radio. ï¿½
>>"ï¿½In the mobile I still
>>run an amp, but it is a class AB, and it is
>>driven so that it can develop its maximum
>>modulation percentage"

I was probably talking to Dennis at the time. I've made no secret that
I used to run illegally in the past. The key phrase is "in the past".
I no longer partake in those activities. I grew up Twist, plain and

simple. Now, when will you?

>Of course, we can always look at:
>
>
>>The hustler Trumpet was a good antenna in its
>>day. Its major limitation was that it was coil
>>matched, and therefore its power handling
>>capability was not that high (I ran 300+ watts
>>though mine, but I doubt if it would take a
>>KW).

Yep, that was also based on empirical observation and experimentation.
The 70's were good to me.....

>Damn Dave,,you weren't licensed back when you had this antenna. Was this
>the point in your life when you told people to "buy a bandaid" when they
>complained of you bleeding them?

That's probably about right. Are you now suggesting that someone can't
change their habits, or opinions with time? Like a 10 year old kid
who makes farting noises with his armpit will never progress beyond
that? Is someone who smokes 2 packs of cancer sticks a day, destined
to remain a chain smoker, and can never quit?

>From N3CVJ's autobiography:
>> I "crystal up" my Midland and Comstat, to
>>work on the "new" channels. I also added up
>>to 27.455 for a little "privacy".
>> Pick up a D&A Hornet amplifier
>
>>Skip had been running
>>for the last few years. After talking skip
>>internationally on the freeband channels, on
>>SSB, I gradually lose interest in skip, and it
>>become more of a nusance, with S9 or better
>>noise levels, which block out many of the
>>weaker locals, which force us to move out of
>>band to escape.

>>1984- Sell Yaesu 101 and buy Yaesu FT-757
>>and President Jackson. Group starts on
>>26.675 FM. This group will be the last group
>>until 1993.

Factually accurate. Again, what's your point?

BTW there's a more detailed version of that here:

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj/davehistory.htm

>And lastly, since you asked niceley adn politely, egarding your channel
>6 visits, you said this last summer:

>> I can hear more rule

>>violations after listening to 5 minutes on CB
>>channel 6 than I can hear in a week's worth of
>>ham radio

>But you go on and spin, er,,I mean lie,,,umm, I mean tell the world how
>you haven't visited channel 6 (acknowledged via dozens and dozens of
>your posts) since the 70's.

I said that I hadn't USED channel 6 since the 70's. That means talking on it. That does not preclude an occasional listen when spinning the dial. Since I have seen no evidence to suggest that the channel isn't still the "toilet bowl", I don't stay.

You sure love to make issues out of semantics......

Keep trying. I love to watch you jump through hoops.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

280. CB radio stuff for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 16:31:25 UTC
From: zirconx9@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1105029085.956318.63040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193920

5W dummy load with output for frequency counter, nice and compact. $10 shipped

Two Astatic D-104 microphones. They are on T-UG8 bases. Both are kind of rough, the metal needs cleaned and polished.

First One - missing the bottom cover, the base looks like it has scratches on it or missing paint flecks or something. It is wired with a 4-pin screw on mic connector.

Second One - Has the felt cover on the bottom of the base, the metal on this one is worse than the other one, but I imagine it would clean up nicely. The base is in much better condition on this one. Wired with a 5-pin DIN mic connector.

$25 each plus shipping. ($10 UPS Ground, $6 USPS Parcel Post, $8 USPS Priority) PayPal, check or money order.

Radio Shack 22-305 Frequency Counter with orignal box, manual and antenna - $55 shipped.

MY-65 Digital Multimeter - like this: http://www.multimeterwarehouse.com/my65.htm brand new but missing the red test lead - $45 shipped.

I might have some SWR/Power meters too, and some Sams Photofacts for older CB radios. let me know if you are interested in one of those and I'll see if I can dig them up.

Shipping prices listed are via USPS Parcel Post to the lower 48 states. Reasonable offers accepted.

-Ryan

281. Re: Let's all use FM from 28.000to29.000Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 17:02:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26648-41DD6F3A-719@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193921

K4KWH wrote:
>Ho hum. I still I don't know what you are

>talking about-- or CARE for that matter.

>Lt Col??? Florida???? What in the world are

>you talking about? Tennesee

>and Georga???????????????? You are

>rambling.......... I don't know what you are

>talking about....

_
Posted - 03 Oct 2004 : 13:17:25
Have you used the whip combo on the Low CAP freqs? (I am reluctant to mention the band, but you know which one it is. BTW, I check into Florida, Georgia, and Tenn. Wg nets pretty regularly these days.
Jerry Oxendine

282. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 17:10:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26648-41DD70F5-720@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193923

My "point" was illustrated yesterday when you said this:

_
N3CVJ wrote:
>I do not shoot skip. I don't LIKE skip. When I

>used to use an amplifier, it was to GET OVER

>or chase it off the channel

But this next post was made when you were using that amplifier...
>After talking skip internationally on the

>freeband channels, on SSB, I gradually lose

>interest in skip

You and Jerry are both on a roll.

283. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 17:16:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26648-41DD7271-721@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193922

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 09:06:14 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
For crying out loud, Dave, you scream about "facts" when another tries
to educate you, but when it comes to you, you employ those second set of
rules and double-standards which you have for yourself. Your
fact-finding goes right out the window with all logic and you
hypocritically set forth bullshit like:

>"channel 6, which is notorious for harboring

>the dregs of society, who regularly run high

>power, is all the "evidence" I need, to

>determine that the station in question is in fact,
>llegal,

See Dave, your personal feelings are not "facts" nor "evidence", but of
interest, is the fact you consider them such.

>So you are denying that the majority of the

>"big

>radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of

>high power?

Apparently, that is a an argument you are having with yourself.
Your personal feelings are not "facts".
Making a personal opinion that "channel 6 harbors the dregs of society"
and claiming it is nothing short of empiracle evidence that illegalities
occur is jovial.
Referring to your statement as "fact" that illegalities are occurring,
is about as far from common sense and factuality that one can achieve.

284. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 17:25:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26648-41DD7476-722@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193924

N3CVJ wrote:
>I no longer partake in those activities. I grew

>up Twist, plain and simple. Now, when will

>you?

That's a good thing you don't partake in those activities anymore, Dave...as I NEVER took part in those activities cited by you,,bragging about your radio that caused severe bleed,,,laughing about the intentional intereference the bleed caused,,telling people to buy a bandaid when you were bleeding,,,,..I guess some of us (me) were light years ahead of others (you) in radio mannerisms and operating procedure. I never splattered, never ran huge power, never was a jerk on the air, even as a child, I not only knew better, I was taught better. Glad you "grew up" and joined those of us who have been waiting for idiots like you to stop being part of the problem. Although, just for the record, you have claimed you haven't operated illegally since the seventies or eighties, but that little gem you cited about running the AB amp in your vehicle was made in '98, not that long ago, and was referring to your cb use. But if you grew up since then, I'm happy to say, I was indeed a part of it, since that is when we first exchanged pleasantries and you began crying about technical legalities,
Keep it rolling,,,,

285. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 18:38:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6r0rt0pf91gv1cjk7ojrpskgjtf8ogl17o@4ax.com>
Source record: 193925

On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:16:33 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>>So you are denying that the majority of the
>
>>"big
>
>>radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of
>
>>high power?
>
>
>Apparently, that is a an argument you are having with yourself.

No, you are trying to claim that there are no illegal operators on 6,
based on your rejection to my claim that what I can hear on almost a
daily basis is in fact illegal.

>Your personal feelings are not "facts".

No but my trained observations skills can be considered as strong
evidence to the positive.

>Making a personal opinion that "channel 6 harbors the dregs of society"

Yes, that part is my personal opinion.

>and claiming it is nothing short of empiracle evidence that illegalities
>occur is jovial.

That you once again think that you can somehow claim that these
illegal operators do not exist is ludicrous.

>Referring to your statement as "fact" that illegalities are occurring,
>is about as far from common sense and factuality that one can achieve.

So once again, I ask you, do you know for a fact that there are no
illegal operations, on a daily basis, being committed on channel 6?

All I have to hear is one overmodulated, distorted and overdriven
station to KNOW, that it's illegal (and there are way more than one on
6) It's EASY to tell. I won't give you another case of heartburn by
getting into the spectral analysis that can be performed on the
signals that anyone can receive, with the proper test equipment. So
please don't embarrass yourself and insult the rest of us by trying to
claim otherwise.

You're getting more sloppy and desperate by the day.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

286. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 18:39:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ld1rt0pm0uruq6aap01lu808rt92hmn750@4ax.com>
Source record: 193926

On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:10:13 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>My "point" was illustrated yesterday when you said this:
>_
>N3CVJ wrote:
>>I do not shoot skip. I don't LIKE skip. When I
>
>>used to use an amplifier, it was to GET OVER
>
>>or chase it off the channel
>
>
>But this next post was made when you were using that amplifier...
>>After talking skip internationally on the
>
>>freeband channels, on SSB, I gradually lose
>
>>interest in skip

Different time periods. I've been in CB for 35 years now.

God, you really do have to be spoon fed everything in order to
understand it.........

Dave
"Sandbagger"

287. twithed still in mental meltdown-begging for AKC to stop!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 18:47:27 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105037247.663978.310650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193927

Twithed whined effeminately:

"Now, if you can read between the lines, and can manage
to stop working personal issues into your threads, I will do the same.
Can you please stop injecting irrelvant bullshit into the thread?
Please?"

His Masters reply now:

Thank you for showing your abject obedience to the AKC in jumping up
and down like a kid who has candy taken away. We know all of your posts
are dedicated to that, and it is a subject of much humor to us.

288. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 18:52:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4i1rt0t520udn9nh5devmkiiv6sm4porf2@4ax.com>
Source record: 193928

On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:25:10 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>N3CVJ wrote:
>>I no longer partake in those activities. I grew
>>up Twist, plain and simple. Now, when will
>>you?
>
>That's a good thing you don't partake in those activities anymore,
>Dave...as I NEVER took part in those activities cited by you,,bragging
>about your radio that caused severe bleed,,,laughing about the
>intentional intereference the bleed caused,,telling people to buy a
>bandaid when you were bleeding,,,..

I don't expect you to understand the dynamics of the local CB
population back then, but any interference that I deliberately did to
anyone back then was to those who were asking for it, in the form of a
payback (You know all about paybacks right?). Plus, I was a teenager
then. That should explain everything. I knew way more about radio
back then than my maturity level could control.

>I guess some of us (me) were light
>years ahead of others (you) in radio mannerisms and operating procedure.

Yet, you operate on illegal frequencies and see nothing wrong with
breaking federal law? How is that any better than what you lambast me
for?

>I never splattered, never ran huge power, never was a jerk on the air,
>even as a child, I not only knew better, I was taught better.

But you see nothing wrong with breaking federal communications law as
an adult? It would seem that respect for the law was a subject skipped
over when you was "learned".

> Glad you
>"grew up" and joined those of us who have been waiting for idiots like
>you to stop being part of the problem.

I'm not the one operating on illegal frequencies or using above legal
power limits.

> Although, just for the record,
>you have claimed you haven't operated illegally since the seventies or
>eighties, but that little gem you cited about running the AB amp in your
>vehicle was made in '98, not that long ago, and was referring to your cb
>use.

No, that was in reference to 10 meter operation.

> But if you grew up since then, I'm happy to say, I was indeed a
>part of it, since that is when we first exchanged pleasantries and you
>began crying about technical legalities,

You had nothing to do with it. Had I not grown up, I'd be singing
right along side of you with the "screw everyone else, I'm going to
talk where I want" attitude.

It would seem that you still need to mature enough to learn respect
for the law...

Dave
"Sandbagger"

289. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 19:57:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <97tqt056le7dd7leg7k3kfcum3mdn2sfds@4ax.com>
Source record: 193929

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 08:09:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <a7bqt01ebbju79nt19c0bq2ifriqu12smc@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 15:24:15 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 16:02:28 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <kckot0pguh9fl50ciqjqu6i2a0dt4q6ajo@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>Since when would facts make any difference to your version of the
>>>>"truth"? Well, maybe there's hope for you yet, so here's the best
>>>>place to start:
>>>>
>>>>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/1057
>>>>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2005/1064
>>>
>>>Freepress.org is a leftist propaganda organization,
>>
>>
>>Enough with the labels.
>
>If the shoe fits......
>
>
>>You have also labeled the mainstream media as
>>being heavily biased to the left, yet it is the mainstream media that
>>refuses to cover the Ohio recount or release the raw exit poll data.
>
>Because even the mainstream media realizes that this story is more
>fluff than substance, despite their left leaning slant.

That still doesn't explain why they won't release the raw exit poll
data. And you fail to realize the simple fact that 'mainstream media'
is predominately owned and operated by huge corporations that strongly
favor the Republicans. If they slant in any direction it's going to be
towards the conservatives.

> Unlike
>unaccountable rags like "freepress.org" the mainstream media has
>accountability to the masses. Especially after "Rathergate" they are
>especially leary of stories which cannot be verified with some degree
>of accuracy.
>
>It would seem that your "standards" for what passes as "truth" is much

>less.

I knew you wouldn't read it.

>>Your labels don't reconcile with the facts.
>
>What you perceive as "fact" is the at the core of the issue. Unless
>you personally had a hand in the investigation, you are getting your
>information from a 2nd, 3rd or 4th party. Any one of which can
>"modify" the facts by adding a degree of bias to the point that the
>message has skewed. But, for some reason, you can't seem to see that.

You mean like the story about Kerry not receiving an honorable
discharge until 2001?

>> As for freepress.org, I
>>suggest you read their "About" page which describes the organization
>>and chronicles it's history.
>
>I'm sure Adolf Hitler wrote a glowing review about himself as well.
>What "freepress.org" says about itself is meaningless. What other
>groups, who track the political agendas of these rogue "news"
>services, says is what tells the real story.

.....oh brother. I'm sure that these "other groups" are mostly
conservative organizations, right?

>> I doubt you will read it because people
>>like you are too afraid to face facts that might conflict with your
>>biased opinions.
>
>Frank, when are you going to realize that you "facts" are nothing more
>than YOUR biased opinions. Telling me that my bias is wrong based on
>your bias is laughable.

".....Ohio's GOP Supreme Court Chief Justice, Thomas Moyer, has
refused to recuse himself, even though allegations of vote switching ï¿½
where votes cast for one candidate are assigned to another in the
computerized tabulation stage ï¿½ involve his own re-election campaign.

.....

Ohio's official recount was conducted by GOP Secretary of State
Kenneth Blackwell, despite widespread protests that his role as
co-chair of the state's Bush-Cheney campaign constituted an serious
conflict of interest. Blackwell has refused to testify in the election
challenge lawsuit alleging massive voter fraud, as have a number of
GOP county election supervisors. Blackwell also refuses to explain why
he has left more than 106,000 machine-rejected and provisional ballots
entirely uncounted.

.....

The final recount tested roughly 3% of the roughly 5.7 million votes cast in the state. But contrary to the law governing the recount, many precincts tested were selected not at random, but by Blackwell's personal designation. Experts with the election challenge suit have noted many of the precincts selected were mostly free of the irregularities they are seeking to investigate, while many contested precincts were left unrecounted.

.....

In other precincts, impossibly high voter turnout figures -- nearly all of them adding to Bush's official margin -- remain unexplained. In the heavily Republican southern county of Perry, Blackwell certified one precinct with 221 more votes than registered voters. Two precincts -- Reading S and W. Lexington G -- were let stand in the officially certified final vote count with voter turnouts of roughly 124% each.

In Miami County's Concord South West precinct, Blackwell certified a voter turnout of 98.55 percent, requiring that all but 10 voters in the precinct cast ballots. But a freepress.org canvas easily found 25 voters who said they did not vote. In the nearby Concord South precinct, Blackwell certified an apparently impossible voter turnout of 94.27 percent. Both Concord precincts went heavily for Bush.

.....

In Warren County, Bush was credited with 68,035 votes to Kerryï¿½s 26,043 votes. But just as the county's votes were about to be counted after the polls closed on November 2, the Board of Elections claimed a Homeland Security alert authorized them to throw out all Democratic and independent observers, including the media. The vote count was thus conducted entirely by Republicans....."

Those are facts, Dave. Not left-wing propoganda, "editorial opinions" or "MY biased opinions". Facts.

>> You would rather slap labels on others instead of
>>admitting that there is a possibility you are wrong.
>
>There is always a possibility that I am wrong. But not this time. I've
>been around the block to know how this all works. Republicans are not
>angels by any stretch of the imagination. But just like you telling me
>I'm wrong based on your own bias, democrats screaming "foul" at an
>election that they lost, and pointing at republicans for cheating,
>while they cross their fingers behind their backs is equally
>ridiculous.
>
>They BOTH cheat. They always have.

Gee, seems I've heard this somewhere before..... by golly I did! A couple months ago it was ME that was telling YOU about how both sides cheat! At least -something- finally sunk in.

> But I am incensed that democrats
>have the balls to be so blatantly hypocritical.

Once again, Dave, I'm not a Democrat. How many times do I need to
repeat it?

>> But you really
>>-should- read it because people with open minds don't share your fear,
>
>My FEAR? What "fear" is that?

Losing.

>>and they are the people you will be arguing with until you wake up and
>>smell the sheep-dung.
>
>Until *I* wake up? You'd better pinch yourself man, because it is not
>I who is sleeping.....
>
>>> so it does not
>>>surprise me that they hype the negative issues to make it seem worse
>>>than it is. But you seem to have a problem differentiating between
>>>real hard irrefutable FACTS with biased editorial opinion.
>>
>>
>>Are you suggesting that a 124% voter turnout is just an "editorial
>>opinion"?
>
>Are you equally concerned that the overturning of a clear republican
>victory in Washington State after not just one, but a few recounts,
>and by a similar "bloat" in voter turnout?

First off, it was -HARDLY- a "clear republican victory". The first
count was done by machine and gave Rossi a lead so slim that it
triggered an -automatic- recount. That recount narrowed the lead to a
few dozen votes. It would have been foolish of any opponent -not- to
request a hand recount, which put Gregoire in the lead by 139 votes.
The rules were followed and Gregoire won. Now the Republicans are
whining up a storm and begging for a second election. Also, there have
been allegations that King County counted unconfirmed provisional
ballots. If that's true then it would be foolish for Rossi -not- to
contest the election. So far he hasn't.

But overall, Washington seems to be accomplishing what Ohio, Florida,
New Mexico and several other states cannot: conduct an election with a
transparent process.

And for the record, I didn't vote for either of them -- again I voted
third-party.

>>>>Pay attention, Dave: It doesn't matter who won or lost the election.
>>>
>>>Sure it does. Would all these P.E.S.T. victims be screaming for a
>>>recount in Ohio if Kerry had won? That was my whole point. There were

>>>all sorts of allegations of voter fraud in Pennsylvania, particularly
>>>in heavily democratic strongholds like Philadelphia. But nobody cares
>>>because Kerry won the state, even if by less of a margin than Bush won
>>>Ohio.
>>
>>
>>Read the transcript I cited. These are the same organizations that
>>cried foul when Gore tried to manipulate the recounts in Florida,
>>criticized the Clinton victories, and have members that are official
>>election observers for this and other countries. Yet you try and paint
>>them as hired guns for the Democrats.
>
>Like I said, if the shoe fits. The sheer intensity of the protests and
>the unwillingness for so many people to accept the outcome of the
>election is more telling as to the driving force behind this brouhaha.

People would probably be more willing to accept the results if they
could see that the election was conducted fairly and LEGALLY. That's
why you don't see such protests in Washington.

>> As you have demonstrated many
>>times before, your perspective is so slanted you are falling over.
>
>I don't have to worry about falling over, as your equally slanted
>perspective in the other direction will balance me out.

I don't like Bush and I don't like Kerry. Which way am I slanted?

>>>>Kerry conceeded -- end of story.
>>>
>>>No, it's not. There are all sorts of sore loser groups trying
>>>everything from trying to throw out the electoral vote, to impeaching
>>>Bush. They just can't deal with the fact that THEY LOST. Crying voter
>>>fraud is just another attempt to deny the fact that THEY LOST. Denial
>>>is the first step.
>>>
>>>I wasn't happy when Clinton won, but I didn't accuse every state where
>>>he won of fraud (Even though, in all likelihood, there was probably
>>>some).
>>
>>
>>"They" is not "me". Whether the current president makes you whine or
>>dine, voting fraud is the issue. The "Kerry-lost-get-over-it" routine
>>is getting old and you are sounding like a broken record.
>
>But that is the basic point. Hell, these people now protesting Bush's
>second victory are the very same people who were claiming for the last
>4 years that Gore REALLY won and that Bush was "selected, not
>elected". They haven't gotten over 2000, they just changed the loser's
>name.

Like I said before, "they" is not "me". Whether Gore won or lost, his
conduct during the recounts was reprehensible.

>> The most
>>important issue right now is voting fraud.
>
>Why now?

How does that proverb go..... "Never put off until tomorrow what you
can do today". They tried to repair the faults of the 2000 election
with band-aids and it didn't work; the wound got bigger. If we don't
fix it now the same problems will be bigger and more widespread in
2008, or perhaps even sooner.

>> Address the issue at hand.
>>Some day later we can address how your wool got sheared by Bush's
>>propoganda machine.
>
>By providing me more leftist propaganda that you believe as fact? No
>thanks Frank. I can spot snake oil at quite a distance.

Official military records are "leftist propoganda"?

>>>Tell me Frank, do you believe that there has always been voter fraud,
>>>or do you think that this is suddenly something new?
>>
>>
>>Voting fraud has been around ever since voting was invented.
>
>So why is it such an issue for you now? Where were you in '92?
>
>
>>But there
>>has never been fraud on a scale like what was seen Nov. 2.
>
>By what factual (not op-ed opinion) information do you base this
>claim? How do you determine total voter fraud?

When the race is so close that voting fraud could have been the
determining factor.

>> Nor to the
>>extent that, if left unchecked, could directly affect the government
>>of the most powerful country in the world.
>
>Mayor Daily of Chicago certainly knew that.......

I don't live in Chicago.

>> You aren't suggesting that
>>voting fraud should be ignored because it's going to occur no matter
>>what, are you?
>
>Certainly not. I am for tightening the rules that regulate voting,

>including several measure which make many democrats very "uneasy".

How about requiring a paper trail?

>But I don't think that voter fraud is any worse now than it had been
>in the past. Surely you haven't forgotten about the bus loads of
>illegal immigrants, the jailed felons, and the buying of votes with
>cartons of cigarettes in days past?

The key phrase is "days past". Those problems have been addressed,
have they not? We have -new- problems that need to be addressed, such
as a corporation that wrote the software for the voting machines and
whose CEO promised to deliver the state's electoral votes to Bush;
election officials (i.e, the Secretary of State) who is also the
campaign manager for that state; the shorting of voting machines in
selected precincts; etc, etc.

>>>>This problem threatens the very core of
>>>>this democracy, and if presidential elections can be rigged then we
>>>>might as well throw in the towel. Future elections will be meaningless
>>>>and open to any power-monger with enough money to buy the election,
>>>
>>>Like George Soros?
>>>
>>>>maybe even someone as diabolical as Hitler or Stalin.
>>>
>>>Or Ted Kennedy?
>>
>>
>>Gee, I don't know..... did Ted Kennedy kill millions of people?
>
>No, only one. But killing people is not the only form of "diabolical".
>
>>Is
>>that the secret ambition which convinced him to enter the political
>>arena? I'm asking because the facts don't indicate anything of the
>>sort, but -you- know the -real- truth, don't you Dave? So polish your
>>lamp, gaze into your crystal ball, call the psychic friends network,
>>or do whatever it is you do to gain such pervasive insight into the
>>truth..... and tell me, what -are- Ted's secret ambitions?
>
>I really don't know, but his interests in the direction of this
>country are diametrically opposed to what a free capitalist society
>would want.

Really? Care to elaborate?

>>>> But I suppose
>>>>you wouldn't mind such a 'leader' or how he comes to power just as
>>>>long as you agree with his publically stated moral principles and
>>>>objectives..... but wasn't it you that said, "politically motivated
>>>>people have incentive to lie"?

>>>
>>>Yes
>>
>>
>>No kidding.
>>
>>
>>>, but you seem to think the whole issue of fraud is one sided. You
>>>scream with righteous indignation because your guy lost, not because
>>>you have a genuine concern over the voting process. Id be willing to
>>>bet that had Kerry won, you wouldn't care if allegations of voter
>>>fraud surfaced. You'd be saying to me, the same thing I'm saying to
>>>you.
>>
>>
>>Did you come to those conclusions after reading tea leaves or throwing
>>bones?
>
>The same way that I know how people think. The same way that I
>diagnosed Twisty's sociopathic tendencies.
>
>>Maybe you should read some of my previous posts regarding Bush,
>>how I defended him in the past.
>
>The past is just that.

Ok, I see how this works..... the past is relevent only when it favors
your argument, such as previous accounts of voting fraud. Right?

> Don't even try to tell me that you favored
>Bush, because that would be a lie.

So it's beyond my capability for me to open my eyes and see my own
mistake of supporting Bush? That's pretty hypocritical, Dave. And
pretty ignorant:

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/sci.physics/msg/c29696c828d91e22?dmode=source

>>Maybe you missed my criticizms of Gore
>>for trying to manufacture votes by selective recounts. Maybe you
>>missed my many posts where I clearly stated that I only vote for
>>independents and/or third party candidates, and voted for Nader in
>>this election. Or maybe you just aren't paying attention to the facts.
>
>When have you ever spoken about politics on this newsgroup before
>Frank? Until this past election, this newsgroup pretty much stayed the
>course on radio related issues.

Yeah, right. And I've been on Usenet a lot longer than I've been in
this newsgroup.

>I do recall you saying that you voted for Nader, even though you were
>at the same time, defending Kerry and his policies with more vigor
>than one of his lackey political pundits. I find it hard to believe
>that someone could be behind one candidate yet espouse the "good"
>points of his opponent. It's duplicitous.

I defended Kerry against your bullshit propoganda. I'll re-restate
what I posted previously on the subject: "I have stated before that
I didn't think Kerry was a strong enough leader to be president. He
doesn't have the connections, his communication skills aren't quite up
to snuff (but not as bad as Bush), and he doesn't command a presence
in Congress (which would be necessary for a president, especially if
his party doesn't hold the majority)."

And anyone can see that Bush has some good qualities. He has a certain
charisma that he uses to great advantage. Like Time magazine notes, he
sticks to his guns (even if they are pointed in the wrong direction).
And just because he got mixed up with the wrong crowd (the Vulcans)
that doesn't mean he's a bad guy. A moron perhaps, but not necessarily
evil as some people claim.

It's far from duplicitous. It's simply a matter of looking at the
facts without bias.

>>It's so much easier for you to comprehend if you tell yourself that I
>>voted for Kerry and that I'm a sore loser, isn't it? Well, as usual,
>>you're wrong. I voted for Nader.
>
>But you defended Kerry as if you were married to him.

I defended Communism in a debate in high-school. That doesn't mean I'm
a communist (or married to a communist). And I didn't defend Kerry so
much as I disproved the lies and conjecture you ignorantly chose to
believe without regard to the facts.

>>And even though he lost the election,
>>I didn't have any expectations that he would win.
>> But he and other
>>third party candidates -did- make a strong showing, which was my
>>intent with my vote, and for that reason I am -very- happy with the
>>outcome of the election.
>
>
>Strong showing? Nader got what 2% of the vote? You call that "strong"?
>Ross Perot made a better showing.

Nader and other third-party candidates got more recognition in this
election than in any previous election, with the exception of Perot. I
call that a strong showing.

>But I am glad for Nader. He at least syphoned the most idealistic
>utopian liberal voters away from Kerry, which may have allowed Bush to
>win again. For that I thank him.

If Kerry had won it would be the Bush supporters whining about how the
third-party candidates siphoned away -their- votes.

>>Except for the fraud.
>>
>>
>>>I also find it curious that those who seem the most opposed to putting
>>>policies in place to lessen the chance of fraud are mostly democrats.
>>>Mandatory voter ID, and a more secure voting environment have all been
>>>shouted down by democrats. They used the lame "disenfranchised" and
>>>"racism" arguments to hide their real worry that a truly fair election
>>>would hurt them. No more buying votes with cartons of cigarettes, or
>>>bottles of ripple.
>>
>>
>>Both Republicans and Democrats oppose those issues equally. And it
>>wasn't the Democrats who initiated the recount in Ohio;
>
>Who was it then who filed suit in Ohio because there were claims of
>insufficient voting machines in heavily democratic voting places?
>
>
>> it was the
>>Greens and the Libertarians with cooperation from voting rights
>>organizations.
>
>Bullshit Frank, plain and simple. If you can't see through that, you
>are more blind than I thought. What incentive would there be, and what
>gain would be had for those odd-ball independents to bring about this
>action? It doesn't pass the smell test Frank.

Have you ever thought for one moment that there may be people that
actually care about fundamental issues such as voting rights? Or is
that dish too liberal for your table?

>>Once again you have showed how skewed your perspective
>>is towards the Republicans.
>
>I am a conservative, and I lean toward republicans because they best
>represent my interests. That's no great secret. But you have yet to
>admit your political slant, and the accompanied bias. You're in denial
>Frank.

My political "slant" is towards the Constitution. That's my political
party, that's my religion, and that's my first concern whenever I step
into the voting booth. The Republicans may represent -your- interests
(whatever they may be, and I don't think I want to know), but -my-
interest happens to be preserving a democratic form of government.

>>>>Get a clue, Dave.
>>>
>>>I would think that you need one as well.
>>

>>
>>What you think about me carries no weight since you have yet to
>>demonstrate that you are capable of thought that is independent and
>>rational; i.e, above the level of domesticated livestock.
>
>If you truly believed that you would not waste your time trying to
>"show" me how "wrong" I am. The fact that you are unable to back up
>anything you stand for with anything other than your own form of
>propaganda,

Keep saying it and maybe it will come true. LOL!

> and have failed ant every attempt to discredit my position

Oh, really? You still think that Kerry didn't get his honorable discharge until 2001 despite the official military records? Or do you prefer to believe someone else's misinformed interpretation of documents that are available for you to read any time you want? Or have you dismissed the facts in favor of some conspiricy to forge those records and cover up some deep, dark secret that exists only in your imagination? Or maybe you are a card-carrying member of the Bush propaganda machine and intentionally spout this garbage because the party serves your interests?

>is what keeps you coming back for more. In a way, you're acting just
>like Twisty, when he can't "prove" the lies he spews about other
>people.

yawn.

>This country was built by people.....

Yes it was. "We The People", not "We the Republicans" or "We the Christian traditionalists". Get that through your head.

290. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 20:07:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <966rt0tj1rhlfdhr4l22t1hskjqfs21561@4ax.com>
Source record: 193930

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 13:38:10 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <6r0rt0pf91gv1cjk7ojrpskgjtf8ogl17o@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:16:33 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>
>>>So you are denying that the majority of the
>>
>>>"big
>>
>>>radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of
>>
>>>high power?
>>
>>
>>Apparently, that is a an argument you are having with yourself.
>
>No, you are trying to claim that there are no illegal operators on 6,
>based on your rejection to my claim that what I can hear on almost a
>daily basis is in fact illegal.

I'm sure some of them are illegal, but my surity is not fact.

>>Your personal feelings are not "facts".
>
>No but my trained observations skills can be considered as strong
>evidence to the positive.

Trained observation skills = Tarot cards.

>>Making a personal opinion that "channel 6 harbors the dregs of society"
>
>Yes, that part is my personal opinion.

Why is -this- your personal opinion and not fact? What happened to
your "trained observation skills"?

>>and claiming it is nothing short of empiracle evidence that illegalities
>>occur is jovial.
>
>That you once again think that you can somehow claim that these
>illegal operators do not exist is ludicrous.

Nobody suggested that illegal operators don't exist. The question is
your standard of proof, that what you claim to be illegal
transmissions are illegal IN FACT, not in your opinion or belief.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

291. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 20:20:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a57rt011cq8rjjecuvlh922h9eise32gbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 193931

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 13:52:39 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <4i1rt0t520udn9nh5devmkiiv6sm4porf2@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:25:10 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>
>>N3CVJ wrote:
>>>I no longer partake in those activities. I grew
>>>up Twist, plain and simple. Now, when will
>>>you?
>>
>>That's a good thing you don't partake in those activities anymore,
>>Dave...as I NEVER took part in those activities cited by you,,bragging
>>about your radio that caused severe bleed,,,laughing about the
>>intentional intereference the bleed caused,,telling people to buy a
>>bandaid when you were bleeding,,,..
>
>I don't expect you to understand the dynamics of the local CB
>population back then, but any interference that I deliberately did to
>anyone back then was to those who were asking for it,

Dave = judge, jury and executioner.

> in the form of a
>payback (You know all about paybacks right?). Plus, I was a teenager
>then. That should explain everything. I knew way more about radio
>back then than my maturity level could control.

And I thought -I- was arrogant.

<snip>
>It would seem that you still need to mature enough to learn respect
>for the law...

Coming from someone who voted for Bush, that doesn't mean much.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

292. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 22:14:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050106171413.263$xt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193932

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> Coming from someone who voted for Bush, that doesn't mean much.
>
You voted for him too, Frank. Any vote for Nader, was one less vote
for the waffle king. The best part is, you knew Ralphie had a snow
balls chance in hell of being elected. Was Nader even on the ballot,
or did you have to write him in?

293. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 23:41:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6uhrt0pakbj5rosn5q9f40snuj0pcaudbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 193933

On 06 Jan 2005 22:14:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote in <20050106171413.263$xt@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> Coming from someone who voted for Bush, that doesn't mean much.
>>
>You voted for him too, Frank.

No I didn't. Not in 2000 and not in 2004. I did support him after the
first election mainly because I was supporting his office. I still
support the office, just not the man.

> Any vote for Nader, was one less vote
>for the waffle king.

That's a propaganda tactic first used by both sides when Perot was an
unknown variable. Let me make this perfectly clear: A vote for anybody
that isn't an ass or an elephant is a vote against both those parties.
And to lay blame on people who voted third-party is a pretentious
crock of shit. Don't believe me? Just wait until the next election for
WA governor, when the Republicans are going to use the same bullshit
excuse claiming it was the third-party candidates that stole their
victory.

> The best part is, you knew Ralphie had a snow
>balls chance in hell of being elected. Was Nader even on the ballot,
>or did you have to write him in?

He was most certainly on the ballot, as were the candidates for the
Green and Libertarian parties, and a few others.

This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.
As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
and curse the darkness".

294. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-06 23:57:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050106185714.635$V0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193934

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 06 Jan 2005 22:14:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote in <20050106171413.263$xt@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> Coming from someone who voted for Bush, that doesn't mean much.
> >>
> >You voted for him too, Frank.
>
> No I didn't. Not in 2000 and not in 2004. I did support him after the
> first election mainly because I was supporting his office. I still
> support the office, just not the man.
>
> > Any vote for Nader, was one less vote
> >for the waffle king.
>
> That's a propaganda tactic first used by both sides when Perot was an
> unknown variable. Let me make this perfectly clear: A vote for anybody
> that isn't an ass or an elephant is a vote against both those parties.
> And to lay blame on people who voted third-party is a pretentious
> crock of shit. Don't believe me? Just wait until the next election for
> WA governor, when the Republicans are going to use the same bullshit
> excuse claiming it was the third-party candidates that stole their
> victory.
>
> > The best part is, you knew Ralphie had a snow
> >balls chance in hell of being elected. Was Nader even on the ballot,
> >or did you have to write him in?
>
> He was most certainly on the ballot, as were the candidates for the
> Green and Libertarian parties, and a few others.
>
> This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
> vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.
> As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
> and curse the darkness".
>
That's what the Republicans said when they sued to make sure Ralph got on
the ballot. Odd that. <g>

`http://www.freep.com/news/politics/nader26e_20040826.htm`

295. money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 00:03:11 UTC
From: lilly <swalton@tds.net>
Message-ID: <1105056191.725850.44420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193935

THIS IS REAL, TAKE YOUR TIME AND READ THIS.....NO BODY IS SCAMING YOU...
THE 5 MINUTES IT WILL TAKE YOU TO READ THIS WILL CHANGE YOUR FUTURE....

$$ make thousands w/ paypal.com + $1 x 6

How to make thousands of $$ dollars on paypal.com

I'm sure you've read these letters asking you to send $1 to 6 different addresses.

Putting your home address or mailing address on the internet can be risky,

but through paypal all you have to provide is your email address.

It's safe and easy just go to www.paypal.com and set up your FREE account!!!!

No credit card needed. I am not a gullible person and have never trusted things

like this, but I gave it a try, hey its only $6, I figured at least 6 people would

respond out of the millions of people that use the internet. You should give it

a try too! You have nothing to lose, and $$ thousands to gain!

Read on for the letter that I found ...

HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000+!!! THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!!!

IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!

A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now and came across

an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only

an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must be some kind of scam"

but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00

though paypal to each of the emails stated in the article.

You then place your own email address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post

the article in at least 200 news groups. (There are thousands) No catch. That was it.

So after thinking it over, and talking to few people first, I thought about trying it.

I figured what have I got to lose except $6.00, right? Like most of us I was a little

skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it. It follows the same

regulations as the "mailed chain letters, which according to the U.S. Post Office

(1-800-725-2161) is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00.

Well GUESS WHAT!!? Within 7 days, I started getting money in my paypal account!

I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in.

In my first week, I made about $20.00. By the end second week I had made a total

over $1,200.00!!! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.

This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $36,000.00

and it's still coming in rapidly. It's Certainly worth $6.00.

I have spent more than that on the lottery!!

Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works?

Also, make sure you print a copy of this article now,

so that you can get the information off of it

as you need it.

$$

REQUIREMENTS: You must have a confirmed paypal account. If you do not have

a paypal account you can go to www.paypal.com and follow the instructions

to set up a free account. In order to place the initial $6 into your account,

you will have to confirm your bank account with paypal (which may take a few days).

Paypal is 100% secure and is used my millions of people world wide.

Confirming a www.paypal.com account simply means (1) paypal will first ask you

to confirm the email you used to sign up your paypal account by sending you an email

with a link for you to click to that email. As soon as you click that link your email

is confirmed. (2) Paypal will then make two small deposits into your bank account if you

set up your paypal account with a checking or savings bank account. Or paypal will make

two small deposits to your credit card if you set up your paypal account with your

credit card. Then after a few days (it takes up to a few days for these deposits

to appear in your bank account or on your credit card statement) then after a few days

you go back to the www.paypal.com website and sign in. Then you click where it says

this account is not yet confirmed. A screen will pop up that gives you 2 boxes to fill in.

You then enter the numbers of the 2 small deposit amounts (two separate 2 digit numbers)

that were deposited to your bank account or credit card.

Now you have a working and confirmed paypal account and can receive payments.

$$

[STEP 1] Send, though paypal, $1.00 to each email on the below list.

Make the subject of the payment "Email List" and in the comments,

write "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST."

What you are doing is creating a service by this - and best of all

you are not giving your address to anyone you do not know.

THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!! YOU ARE CREATING A SERVICE.

The email list...... Paypal accounts are on this email lists;

#1)brittany124@excite.com

#2) mthall@renc.igs.net

#3) Mishavk27@aol.com

#4) nike31101@aol.com

#5) careentre7@hotmail.com

#6) swalton@tds.net

www.PAYPAL.COM

Subject of Payment: ***Email List***

Comments: ***PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST.***

[STEP 2] Now take the #1) email off the list that you see above, move
the other

addresses up one (6 becomes 5 & 5 becomes 4, etc) the put YOUR
email address

(the one used in your paypal account) as #6) on the list.

**MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS IN YOUR PAYPAL
ACCOUNT.**

[STEP 3] Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as
close

to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200
newsgroups,

message boards, etc. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All you
need is 200,

but remember, the more you post, the more money you make - as well as
everyone

else on the list!
In this situation your job is to let as many people see this letter as
possible. So they
will make you and me reach!!!!

You can even start posting the moment your email is confirmed. Payments
will

still appear in your paypal account even while your bank account is
being confirmed.

$$

DIRECTIONS ## HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS + MESSAGE BOARDS

Step #1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
posting.

Simply put your CURSOR at the beginning of this letter and drag your CURSOR

to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu.

This will copy the entire letter into your computer's temporary memory.

Step #2) Open a blank 'Notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the

blank page. From the 'Edit' menu select 'Paste'. This will paste a copy

of the letter into notepad so that you can add your email to the list.

Steps #3) Save your new Notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings

in different sittings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

Step #4) Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for various newsgroups,

on-line forums, message boards, bulletin boards, chat sites, discussions, discussion groups,

online communities, etc. EXAMPLE: go to any search engine like yahoo.com, google.com,

altavista.com, excite.com - then search with subjects like ?millionaire message board?

or ?money making message board? or ?opportunity message board? or ?money making discussions?

or ?business bulletin board? or ?money making forum? etc. You will find thousands & thousands

of message boards. Click them one by one then you will find the option to post a new message.

Step #5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message

by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting 'Paste' from the 'Edit' menu.

Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll

thru the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button.

You're done with your first one! Congratulations! THAT'S IT!! All you have to do

is jump to different newsgroups and post away. After you get the hang of it,

it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!

**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS AND/OR MESSAGE BOARDS YOU POST IN,

THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**

That's it! You will begin receiving money within days!

JUST MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS ON PAYPAL.

$$$

?? NOW THE WHY PART: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).

So then I Made $5.00 with my email at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons

who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my email at #5

and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me,

now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my email at #4 and only 5 replies each,

I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post

the MINIMUM 200 with my email at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make

an additional $625.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons

post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my email at #2 and they only receive 5 replies

that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this

message to 200 newsgroups with my email at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200

newsgroups react I will receive $15,625.00! With an original investment of only

$6.00! AMAZING!! When your email is no longer on the list, you just take latest

posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to emails on the list,

putting your email at number 6 again. And start posting again.

Your paypal TRANSACTION ACTIVITY will look like this:

DATE: June 30, 2008 *** TYPE: Payment *** TO/FROM: From *** NAME/EMAIL: Mary Jones

GROSS: $1.00 USD *** PAYPAL FEE: -$0.33 USD *** NET AMOUNT: $0.67 USD ***ACTUAL AMOUNTS***

DATE: June 30, 2008 *** TYPE: Payment *** TO/FROM: From *** NAME/EMAIL: John Canada

GROSS: $1.00 CAN *** PAYPAL FEE: -$0.11 CAN *** NET AMOUNT: $0.89 CAN ***ACTUAL AMOUNTS***

The thing to remember is, do you realize that thousands of people all over the world

are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!

So can you afford $6?? And see if it really works?? I think so? People have said,

what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what are the chances

of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people

who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give

it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day,

with thousands of those joining the actual Internet.

Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will work.

Seriously, most people think this is a scam, but you actually do get thousands

of dollars out of it, it is NOT another crazy scam, if people follow through

with sending out $6, it works!!!!!

296. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 00:25:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k4lrt05c4sr27grnndujoloc81orb0ebm0@4ax.com>
Source record: 193936

On 06 Jan 2005 23:57:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote in <20050106185714.635$V0@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 06 Jan 2005 22:14:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote in <20050106171413.263$xt@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> Coming from someone who voted for Bush, that doesn't mean much.
>> >>
>> >You voted for him too, Frank.
>>
>> No I didn't. Not in 2000 and not in 2004. I did support him after the
>> first election mainly because I was supporting his office. I still
>> support the office, just not the man.
>>
>> > Any vote for Nader, was one less vote
>> >for the waffle king.
>>
>> That's a propaganda tactic first used by both sides when Perot was an
>> unknown variable. Let me make this perfectly clear: A vote for anybody
>> that isn't an ass or an elephant is a vote against both those parties.
>> And to lay blame on people who voted third-party is a pretentious
>> crock of shit. Don't believe me? Just wait until the next election for
>> WA governor, when the Republicans are going to use the same bullshit
>> excuse claiming it was the third-party candidates that stole their
>> victory.
>>
>> > The best part is, you knew Ralphie had a snow
>> >balls chance in hell of being elected. Was Nader even on the ballot,
>> >or did you have to write him in?
>>
>> He was most certainly on the ballot, as were the candidates for the
>> Green and Libertarian parties, and a few others.
>>
>> This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
>> vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.
>> As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
>> and curse the darkness".
>>
>That's what the Republicans said when they sued to make sure Ralph got on
>the ballot. Odd that. <g>
>
>http://www.freep.com/news/politics/nader26e_20040826.htm

If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed. The two big parties will do whatever they think will get them the votes, even if it means supressing a vote for a third-party candidate. The only thing this proves is that neither one of the parties have any interest in free and open elections, which is what I have been saying all along, and also why I don't vote for either of them.

Also, notice that the article states that Nader had his own petition to run under the Reform Party ticket. So which petition won?

297. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 00:25:50 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105057547.5a1c4512006fbc292ca219383a01bc15@teranews>
Source record: 193937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

Steveo wrote:
> Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?

Tom and Doug should get a room. That is if Doug brings his child with him.

> N8WWM DOUG ADAIR"Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Pendergast, Thomas
>>
>> 818 9th Ave,
>> Brackenridge, PA 15014-1024
>> (724)224-7546
>>
>> 601 E Eighth Ave
>> Tarentum, PA 15084-1628
>> (724) 224-7546
>>
>> Spouse: Pendergast, Diane - status = DIVORCED

298. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 00:28:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050106192809.979$9U@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

"The Grim Reaper" <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?
>
> Tom and Doug should get a room. That is if Doug brings his child with
> him.
>
I doubt Doug's kids want anything to do with him after the way he neglected
them.

299. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 00:31:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050106193135.487$R2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193939

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 06 Jan 2005 23:57:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote in <20050106185714.635$V0@newsreader.com>:
>
> >> This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
> >> vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.
> >> As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
> >> and curse the darkness".
> >>
> >That's what the Republicans said when they sued to make sure Ralph got
> >on the ballot. Odd that. <g>
> >
> >http://www.freep.com/news/politics/nader26e_20040826.htm
>
> If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the
> Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed.
>
Exactly my point. Nader helped get Bush elected.

300. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 00:58:55 UTC
From: I-zheet M'drurz <diespammer@icubed.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95D6CB441205Ftomicubedcom@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 193940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

The Grim Reaper wrote:

> Steveo wrote:
>> Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?
>
> Tom and Doug should get a room. That is if Doug brings his
> child with him.

Can't resist, can you Loser?

--
The real Tom Pendergast [So if you meet me, have some courtesy,
aka I-zheet M'drurz [have some sympathy, and some taste.
Accept no substitutes! [Use all your well-learned politesse,
$1 to Mick for the .sig ---[or I'll lay your soul to waste.

301. Re: RFI from fuel pump

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 01:14:16 UTC
From: adoniscat@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1105060456.386839.61980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193941

I wanted to post a follow-up to this... I ended up building the homebrew filter and it worked perfectly - totally eliminated the fuel pump noise. It cost less then twenty bucks in materials and was very simple to assemble and install. Here's a link to the directions I followed:

http://97vette.com/sporttrac/rfi_fix/rfi_fix.html

A little specific info if you have a Tribute/Escape: to get to the power leads, simply remove the driver's side back seat (it slides forward) and pull back the carpet. There's a small square panel that easily unscrews to reveal the leads (pink and black). There's plenty of room within this panel to store the RFI filter. Couldn't be easier!

302. Re: FS: HR-2600 w/chipswitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 01:55:42 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <O3mDd.3987$W65.886@fe11.lga>
Source record: 193942

Steveo wrote:

> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Why are no code free loaders like you and n8wwm such asshats? Is
>>>there some kind of an agreement that once you're indigent and living
>>>off the tax payers, you must act like a fucknozzle?
>>
>>same old argument. what makes you think you are any better (your
>>statements of late tend to cast doubt on that)?
>>
>
> Cuz the foreskin ain't cutting off my air, like you and Doug.
lol...

303. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 01:56:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gnqrt0tflq4m6rjnprgam56hvbuovkh9vq@4ax.com>
Source record: 193943

On 07 Jan 2005 00:31:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote in <20050106193135.487$R2@newsreader.com>:

<snip>
>> If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the
>> Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed.
>>
>Exactly my point. Nader helped get Bush elected.

You are a living testament to Milton's biography of an enigmatic young
man who said, "I ate what?"

304. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 02:17:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050106211719.677$DH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193944

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 07 Jan 2005 00:31:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote in <20050106193135.487$R2@newsreader.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the
> >> Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed.
> >>
> >Exactly my point. Nader helped get Bush elected.
>
> You are a living testament to Milton's biography of an enigmatic young
> man who said, "I ate what?"
>
Grapes sour?

305. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 02:20:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ncsrt0dlsmtg9g34v1f2i0oa8a0uoii2mg@4ax.com>
Source record: 193945

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:05:47 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<ddrrt0d4lh2m8umacvj7l5f4cstuvp31vv@news.easynews.com>:

>On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 16:06:56 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
<snip>
>>Exactly dave you just added ab-1 bias to the am which certainly would
>>clean it up, but what would the spoiled kid in Tampa know he doesnt even
>>solder his mike plugs on.
>
>What is the difference between a Class AB1 transistor amp, and a class
>AB2?
>
>Or better yet, what is the difference between the bias on an AB1 and
>an AB2? (transistor amp)

LOL!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

306. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 02:30:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ihsrt0h4j738jsib0v94mingilebbbutaa@4ax.com>
Source record: 193946

On 07 Jan 2005 02:17:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote in <20050106211719.677$DH@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 07 Jan 2005 00:31:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote in <20050106193135.487$R2@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the
>> >> Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed.
>> >>
>> >Exactly my point. Nader helped get Bush elected.
>>
>> You are a living testament to Milton's biography of an enigmatic young
>> man who said, "I ate what?"
>>
>Grapes sour?

No, I believe the "sour grapes" story was told by Grimm and involved a
nutritionally-challenged fox.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

307. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 02:34:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050106213428.788$GR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193947

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 07 Jan 2005 00:31:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On 06 Jan 2005 23:57:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> >> wrote in <20050106185714.635$V0@newsreader.com>:
> >>
> >> >> This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I
> >> >> don't vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going
> >> >> to win. As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle
> >> >> than to sit and curse the darkness".
> >> >>
> >> >That's what the Republicans said when they sued to make sure Ralph
> >> >got on the ballot. Odd that. <g>
> >> >
> >> >http://www.freep.com/news/politics/nader26e_20040826.htm
> >>
> >> If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the
> >> Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed.
> >>
> >Exactly my point. Nader helped get Bush elected.
>
> You really think that Nader made that much of a difference?
>
Well it was a fairly close election, and it's no secret the Dems didn't
want Ralph taking votes that might otherwise go to JK. I don't know how
many votes RN garnered in the end.

308. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 02:40:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <38trt0lf47jlppc31h1kei3uan5u3o7uma@4ax.com>
Source record: 193948

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:30:14 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<olsrt0lro4fh7s9h8idk8mlmllmuq2or21@news.easynews.com>:

>On 07 Jan 2005 00:31:35 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> On 06 Jan 2005 23:57:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>>> wrote in <20050106185714.635$V0@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>> >> This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
>>> >> vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.
>>> >> As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
>>> >> and curse the darkness".
>>> >>
>>> >That's what the Republicans said when they sued to make sure Ralph got
>>> >on the ballot. Odd that. <g>
>>> >
>>> >http://www.freep.com/news/politics/nader26e_20040826.htm
>>>
>>> If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the
>>> Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed.
>>>
>>Exactly my point. Nader helped get Bush elected.
>
>You really think that Nader made that much of a difference?

That's a question which can't be answered because there's no way to
tell how many Nader votes would have been Kerry votes if Nader hadn't
run.

My question is if Nader had more influence on the election than voting
fraud. That -can- be answered if there were more fraudulent Bush votes
than the total votes for Nader, which may indeed be the case.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

309. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 02:48:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5strt0phs0vfjh49ukhitvd6ujkg463pb6@4ax.com>
Source record: 193949

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 11:57:44 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<97tqt056le7dd7leg7k3kfcum3mdn2sfds@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 08:09:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <a7bqt01ebbju79nt19c0bq2ifriqu12smc@4ax.com>:
<snip>
>>Are you equally concerned that the overturning of a clear republican
>>victory in Washington State after not just one, but a few recounts,
>>and by a similar "bloat" in voter turnout?
>
>
>First off, it was -HARDLY- a "clear republican victory". The first
>count was done by machine and gave Rossi a lead so slim that it
>triggered an -automatic- recount. That recount narrowed the lead to a
>few dozen votes. It would have been foolish of any opponent -not- to
>request a hand recount, which put Gregoire in the lead by 139 votes.
>The rules were followed and Gregoire won. Now the Republicans are
>whining up a storm and begging for a second election. Also, there have
>been allegations that King County counted unconfirmed provisional
>ballots. If that's true then it would be foolish for Rossi -not- to
>contest the election. So far he hasn't.
>
>But overall, Washington seems to be accomplishing what Ohio, Florida,
>New Mexico and several other states cannot: conduct an election with a
>transparent process.

Turns out that somebody just filed a contest of the WA gov. election
claiming that the margin of victory was smaller than the margin of
error. That's a perfectly legitimate argument. The election continues.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

310. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 02:50:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <e6urt09rgn6vg2ic2mkst4e2usj5n4hbgh@4ax.com>
Source record: 193951

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:41:05 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<u9trt0d6angej9v18m6olvq3oub57mf7gs@news.easynews.com>:

<snip>
>Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
>it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.

You're down there in Texas, right? So what's this I hear about Houston
being declared the city with the fattest people in the US?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

311. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 02:52:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050106215234.429$yf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193950

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
> it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>
Nah, we've had warmer weather and rain/sleet/slush lately. Did plow from midnight till 9 this morning tho..needed a big azz'd squeegee instead of a plow.. You're right, very strange weather patterns.

How did your SW radio Christmas present go over?

312. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 03:06:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <g4vrt0dv9hv48mr2k3cjhrqrfsli57uip9@4ax.com>
Source record: 193952

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 18:50:47 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<e6urt09rgn6vg2ic2mkst4e2usj5n4hbgh@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:41:05 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
><u9trt0d6angej9v18m6olvq3oub57mf7gs@news.easynews.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
>>it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>
>
>You're down there in Texas, right? So what's this I hear about Houston
>being declared the city with the fattest people in the US?

http://www.thedenverchannel.com/health/1865405/detail.html

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

313. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 03:08:39 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105067317.2135ffe767f7f6aa8f01e73737021afc@teranews>
Source record: 193953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:
> The Grim Reaper wrote:
>
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?
>>
>> Tom and Doug should get a room. That is if Doug brings his
>> child with him.
>
> Can't resist, can you Loser?

Nope, it was just too much bait for me to handle; pedofreakshow. Lord knows I tried to avoid that one; but the willpower just wasn't there.

314. Re: money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 03:44:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105069444.667845.210270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193954

Wow, look at that email list below. At least 6 retards that are not in this NG on the net, I see.

lilly wrote:
> THIS IS REAL, TAKE YOUR TIME AND READ THIS.....NO BODY IS SCAMING
> YOU...
> THE 5 MINUTES IT WILL TAKE YOU TO READ THIS WILL CHANGE YOUR
FUTURE....
>
> $$ make thousands w/ paypal.com + $1 x 6
>
>
> How to make thousands of $$ dollars on paypal.com
>
>
> I'm sure you've read these letters asking you to send $1 to 6
different
> addresses.
>
> Putting your home address or mailing address on the internet can be
> risky,
>
> but through paypal all you have to provide is your email address.
>
>
>
> It's safe and easy just go to www.paypal.com and set up your FREE
> account!!!!
>
> No credit card needed. I am not a gullible person and have never
> trusted things
>
> like this, but I gave it a try, hey its only $6, I figured at least 6
> people would
>
> respond out of the millions of people that use the internet. You
should
> give it
>
> a try too! You have nothing to lose, and $$ thousands to gain!
>
>
>
> Read on for the letter that I found ...

>
>
>
> HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000+!!! THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!!!
>
>
>
> IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO
WORK!!!!
>
>
>
> A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
> are now and came across
>
> an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
> dollars within weeks with only
>
> an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must
> be some kind of scam"
>
> but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it
said
> that you send $1.00
>
> though paypal to each of the emails stated in the article.
>
>
>
> You then place your own email address in the bottom of the list at
#6,
> and post
>
> the article in at least 200 news groups. (There are thousands) No
> catch. That was it.
>
> So after thinking it over, and talking to few people first, I thought
> about trying it.
>
> I figured what have I got to lose except $6.00, right? Like most of
us
> I was a little
>
> skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it. It
> follows the same
>
> regulations as the "mailed chain letters, which according to the U.S.
> Post Office
>
> (1-800-725-2161) is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00.

>
>
>
> Well GUESS WHAT!!? Within 7 days, I started getting money in my
paypal
> account!
>
> I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
> coming in.
>
>
>
> In my first week, I made about $20.00. By the end second week I had
> made a total
>
> over $1,200.00!!! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's
> still growing.
>
> This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over
> $36,000.00
>
> and it's still coming in rapidly. It's Certainly worth $6.00.
>
> I have spent more than that on the lottery!!
>
>
>
> Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works?
>
>
>
> Also, make sure you print a copy of this article now,
>
> so that you can get the information off of it
>
> as you need it.
>
>
>
>
$$$
>
>
>
> REQUIREMENTS: You must have a confirmed paypal account. If you do not
> have
>
> a paypal account you can go to www.paypal.com and follow the
> instructions
>

> to set up a free account. In order to place the initial $6 into your
> account,
>
> you will have to confirm your bank account with paypal (which may
take
> a few days).
>
> Paypal is 100% secure and is used my millions of people world wide.
>
>
>
> Confirming a www.paypal.com account simply means (1) paypal will
first
> ask you
>
> to confirm the email you used to sign up your paypal account by
sending
> you an email
>
> with a link for you to click to that email. As soon as you click that
> link your email
>
> is confirmed. (2) Paypal will then make two small deposits into your
> bank account if you
>
> set up your paypal account with a checking or savings bank account.
Or
> paypal will make
>
> two small deposits to your credit card if you set up your paypal
> account with your
>
> credit card. Then after a few days (it takes up to a few days for
these
> deposits
>
> to appear in your bank account or on your credit card statement) then
> after a few days
>
> you go back to the www.paypal.com website and sign in. Then you click
> where it says
>
> this account is not yet confirmed. A screen will pop up that gives
you
> 2 boxes to fill in.
>
> You then enter the numbers of the 2 small deposit amounts (two
separate
> 2 digit numbers)
>

> that were deposited to your bank account or credit card.
>
>
>
> Now you have a working and confirmed paypal account and can receive
> payments.
>
>
>
>
$$
>
>
>
> [STEP 1] Send, though paypal, $1.00 to each email on the below list.
>
>
>
> Make the subject of the payment "Email List" and in the comments,
>
> write "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST."
>
>
>
> What you are doing is creating a service by this - and best of all
>
> you are not giving your address to anyone you do not know.
>
>
>
> THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!! YOU ARE CREATING A SERVICE.
>
>
>
> The email list...... Paypal accounts are on this email lists;
>
>
>
> #1)brittany124@excite.com
>
>
>
> #2) mthall@renc.igs.net
>
>
>
> #3) Mishavk27@aol.com
>
>
>

> #4) nike31101@aol.com
>
>
> #5) careentre7@hotmail.com
>
>
>
> #6) swalton@tds.net
>
>
>
> www.PAYPAL.COM
>
>
>
> Subject of Payment: ***Email List***
>
>
>
> Comments: ***PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST.***
>
>
>
> [STEP 2] Now take the #1) email off the list that you see above, move
> the other
>
> addresses up one (6 becomes 5 & 5 becomes 4, etc) the put YOUR
> email address
>
> (the one used in your paypal account) as #6) on the list.
>
>
>
> **MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS IN YOUR
PAYPAL
> ACCOUNT.**
>
>
>
> [STEP 3] Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as
> close
>
> to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least
200
> newsgroups,
>
> message boards, etc. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All
you
> need is 200,
>

> but remember, the more you post, the more money you make - as well as
> everyone
>
> else on the list!
> In this situation your job is to let as many people see this letter
as
> possible. So they
> will make you and me reach!!!!
>
>
>
> You can even start posting the moment your email is confirmed.
Payments
> will
>
> still appear in your paypal account even while your bank account is
> being confirmed.
>
>
>
>
$$$
>
>
>
> ## DIRECTIONS ## HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS + MESSAGE BOARDS ##
>
>
>
> Step #1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
> posting.
>
> Simply put your CURSOR at the beginning of this letter and drag your
> CURSOR
>
> to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu.
>
> This will copy the entire letter into your computer's temporary
> memory.
>
>
>
> Step #2) Open a blank 'Notepad' file and place your cursor at the top
> of the
>
> blank page. From the 'Edit' menu select 'Paste'. This will paste a
copy
>
> of the letter into notepad so that you can add your email to the
list.

>
>
>
> Steps #3) Save your new Notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to
do
> your postings
>
> in different sittings, you'll always have this file to go back to.
>
>
>
> Step #4) Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for
> various newsgroups,
>
> on-line forums, message boards, bulletin boards, chat sites,
> discussions, discussion groups,
>
> online communities, etc. EXAMPLE: go to any search engine like
> yahoo.com, google.com,
>
> altavista.com, excite.com - then search with subjects like
?millionaire
> message board?
>
> or ?money making message board? or ?opportunity message board? or
> ?money making discussions?
>
> or ?business bulletin board? or ?money making forum? etc. You will
find
> thousands & thousands
>
> of message boards. Click them one by one then you will find the
option
> to post a new message.
>
>
>
> Step #5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new
> message
>
> by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting 'Paste' from
the
> 'Edit' menu.
>
> Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as
they
> scroll
>
> thru the list of postings in a particular group, click the post
message

> button.
>
> You're done with your first one! Congratulations! THAT'S IT!! All you
> have to do
>
> is jump to different newsgroups and post away. After you get the hang
> of it,
>
> it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
>
>
>
> **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS AND/OR MESSAGE BOARDS YOU POST IN,
>
> THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
200**
>
>
>
> That's it! You will begin receiving money within days!
>
>
>
> **JUST MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS ON
> PAYPAL.**
>
>
>
>
$$
>
>
>
> ?? NOW THE WHY PART: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5
replies
> (a very low example).
>
> So then I Made $5.00 with my email at #6 on the letter. Now, each of
> the 5 persons
>
> who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my
> email at #5
>
> and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another
> $25.00 for me,
>
> now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my email at #4 and only
5
> replies each,
>

> I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn
> around and post
>
> the MINIMUM 200 with my email at #3 and only receive 5 replies each,
I
> will make
>
> an additional $625.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those
625
> persons
>
> post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my email at #2 and they only receive
5
> replies
>
> that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver
> this
>
> message to 200 newsgroups with my email at #1 and if still 5 persons
> per 200
>
> newsgroups react I will receive $15,625.00! With an original
investment
> of only
>
> $6.00! AMAZING!! When your email is no longer on the list, you just
> take latest
>
> posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to emails on
the
> list,
>
> putting your email at number 6 again. And start posting again.
>
>
>
> Your paypal TRANSACTION ACTIVITY will look like this:
>
>
>
> DATE: June 30, 2008 *** TYPE: Payment *** TO/FROM: From ***
NAME/EMAIL:
> Mary Jones
>
> GROSS: $1.00 USD *** PAYPAL FEE: -$0.33 USD *** NET AMOUNT: $0.67 USD
> ***ACTUAL AMOUNTS***
>
>
>
> DATE: June 30, 2008 *** TYPE: Payment *** TO/FROM: From ***

NAME/EMAIL:
> John Canada
>
> GROSS: $1.00 CAN *** PAYPAL FEE: -$0.11 CAN *** NET AMOUNT: $0.89 CAN
> ***ACTUAL AMOUNTS***
>
>
>
> The thing to remember is, do you realize that thousands of people all
> over the world
>
> are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday, JUST
LIKE
> YOU are now!!
>
> So can you afford $6?? And see if it really works?? I think so?
People
> have said,
>
> what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what
> are the chances
>
> of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new
> honest people
>
> who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing
to
> give
>
> it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day,
>
> with thousands of those joining the actual Internet.
>
>
>
> Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will work.
>
>
>
> Seriously, most people think this is a scam, but you actually do get
> thousands
>
> of dollars out of it, it is NOT another crazy scam, if people follow
> through
>
> with sending out $6, it works!!!!!

315. Re: money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 03:44:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105069472.139227.136020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193955

Wow, look at that email list below. At least 6 retards that are not in this NG on the net, I see.

lilly wrote:
> THIS IS REAL, TAKE YOUR TIME AND READ THIS.....NO BODY IS SCAMING
> YOU...
> THE 5 MINUTES IT WILL TAKE YOU TO READ THIS WILL CHANGE YOUR
FUTURE....
>
> $$ make thousands w/ paypal.com + $1 x 6
>
>
> How to make thousands of $$ dollars on paypal.com
>
>
> I'm sure you've read these letters asking you to send $1 to 6
different
> addresses.
>
> Putting your home address or mailing address on the internet can be
> risky,
>
> but through paypal all you have to provide is your email address.
>
>
>
> It's safe and easy just go to www.paypal.com and set up your FREE
> account!!!!
>
> No credit card needed. I am not a gullible person and have never
> trusted things
>
> like this, but I gave it a try, hey its only $6, I figured at least 6
> people would
>
> respond out of the millions of people that use the internet. You
should
> give it
>
> a try too! You have nothing to lose, and $$ thousands to gain!
>
>
>
> Read on for the letter that I found ...

>
>
>
> HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000+!!! THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!!!
>
>
>
> IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO
WORK!!!!
>
>
>
> A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you
> are now and came across
>
> an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
> dollars within weeks with only
>
> an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must
> be some kind of scam"
>
> but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it
said
> that you send $1.00
>
> though paypal to each of the emails stated in the article.
>
>
>
> You then place your own email address in the bottom of the list at
#6,
> and post
>
> the article in at least 200 news groups. (There are thousands) No
> catch. That was it.
>
> So after thinking it over, and talking to few people first, I thought
> about trying it.
>
> I figured what have I got to lose except $6.00, right? Like most of
us
> I was a little
>
> skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it. It
> follows the same
>
> regulations as the "mailed chain letters, which according to the U.S.
> Post Office
>
> (1-800-725-2161) is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00.

>
>
>
> Well GUESS WHAT!!? Within 7 days, I started getting money in my
paypal
> account!
>
> I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept
> coming in.
>
>
>
> In my first week, I made about $20.00. By the end second week I had
> made a total
>
> over $1,200.00!!! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's
> still growing.
>
> This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over
> $36,000.00
>
> and it's still coming in rapidly. It's Certainly worth $6.00.
>
> I have spent more than that on the lottery!!
>
>
>
> Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works?
>
>
>
> Also, make sure you print a copy of this article now,
>
> so that you can get the information off of it
>
> as you need it.
>
>
>
>
$$$
>
>
>
> REQUIREMENTS: You must have a confirmed paypal account. If you do not
> have
>
> a paypal account you can go to www.paypal.com and follow the
> instructions
>

> to set up a free account. In order to place the initial $6 into your
> account,
>
> you will have to confirm your bank account with paypal (which may
take
> a few days).
>
> Paypal is 100% secure and is used my millions of people world wide.
>
>
>
> Confirming a www.paypal.com account simply means (1) paypal will
first
> ask you
>
> to confirm the email you used to sign up your paypal account by
sending
> you an email
>
> with a link for you to click to that email. As soon as you click that
> link your email
>
> is confirmed. (2) Paypal will then make two small deposits into your
> bank account if you
>
> set up your paypal account with a checking or savings bank account.
Or
> paypal will make
>
> two small deposits to your credit card if you set up your paypal
> account with your
>
> credit card. Then after a few days (it takes up to a few days for
these
> deposits
>
> to appear in your bank account or on your credit card statement) then
> after a few days
>
> you go back to the www.paypal.com website and sign in. Then you click
> where it says
>
> this account is not yet confirmed. A screen will pop up that gives
you
> 2 boxes to fill in.
>
> You then enter the numbers of the 2 small deposit amounts (two
separate
> 2 digit numbers)
>

> that were deposited to your bank account or credit card.
>
>
>
> Now you have a working and confirmed paypal account and can receive
> payments.
>
>
>
>
$$
>
>
>
> [STEP 1] Send, though paypal, $1.00 to each email on the below list.
>
>
>
> Make the subject of the payment "Email List" and in the comments,
>
> write "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST."
>
>
>
> What you are doing is creating a service by this - and best of all
>
> you are not giving your address to anyone you do not know.
>
>
>
> THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!! YOU ARE CREATING A SERVICE.
>
>
>
> The email list...... Paypal accounts are on this email lists;
>
>
>
> #1)brittany124@excite.com
>
>
>
> #2) mthall@renc.igs.net
>
>
>
> #3) Mishavk27@aol.com
>
>
>

> #4) nike31101@aol.com
>
>
> #5) careentre7@hotmail.com
>
>
>
> #6) swalton@tds.net
>
>
>
> www.PAYPAL.COM
>
>
>
> Subject of Payment: ***Email List***
>
>
>
> Comments: ***PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST.***
>
>
>
> [STEP 2] Now take the #1) email off the list that you see above, move
> the other
>
> addresses up one (6 becomes 5 & 5 becomes 4, etc) the put YOUR
> email address
>
> (the one used in your paypal account) as #6) on the list.
>
>
>
> **MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS IN YOUR
PAYPAL
> ACCOUNT.**
>
>
>
> [STEP 3] Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as
> close
>
> to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least
200
> newsgroups,
>
> message boards, etc. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All
you
> need is 200,
>

> but remember, the more you post, the more money you make - as well as
> everyone
>
> else on the list!
> In this situation your job is to let as many people see this letter
as
> possible. So they
> will make you and me reach!!!!
>
>
>
> You can even start posting the moment your email is confirmed.
Payments
> will
>
> still appear in your paypal account even while your bank account is
> being confirmed.
>
>
>
>
$$
>
>
>
> ## DIRECTIONS ## HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS + MESSAGE BOARDS ##
>
>
>
> Step #1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own
> posting.
>
> Simply put your CURSOR at the beginning of this letter and drag your
> CURSOR
>
> to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu.
>
> This will copy the entire letter into your computer's temporary
> memory.
>
>
>
> Step #2) Open a blank 'Notepad' file and place your cursor at the top
> of the
>
> blank page. From the 'Edit' menu select 'Paste'. This will paste a
copy
>
> of the letter into notepad so that you can add your email to the
list.

>
>
>
> Steps #3) Save your new Notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to
do
> your postings
>
> in different sittings, you'll always have this file to go back to.
>
>
>
> Step #4) Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for
> various newsgroups,
>
> on-line forums, message boards, bulletin boards, chat sites,
> discussions, discussion groups,
>
> online communities, etc. EXAMPLE: go to any search engine like
> yahoo.com, google.com,
>
> altavista.com, excite.com - then search with subjects like
?millionaire
> message board?
>
> or ?money making message board? or ?opportunity message board? or
> ?money making discussions?
>
> or ?business bulletin board? or ?money making forum? etc. You will
find
> thousands & thousands
>
> of message boards. Click them one by one then you will find the
option
> to post a new message.
>
>
>
> Step #5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new
> message
>
> by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting 'Paste' from
the
> 'Edit' menu.
>
> Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as
they
> scroll
>
> thru the list of postings in a particular group, click the post
message

> button.
>
> You're done with your first one! Congratulations! THAT'S IT!! All you
> have to do
>
> is jump to different newsgroups and post away. After you get the hang
> of it,
>
> it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
>
>
>
> **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS AND/OR MESSAGE BOARDS YOU POST IN,
>
> THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF
200**
>
>
>
> That's it! You will begin receiving money within days!
>
>
>
> **JUST MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS ON
> PAYPAL.**
>
>
>
>
$$
>
>
>
> ?? NOW THE WHY PART: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5
replies
> (a very low example).
>
> So then I Made $5.00 with my email at #6 on the letter. Now, each of
> the 5 persons
>
> who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my
> email at #5
>
> and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another
> $25.00 for me,
>
> now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my email at #4 and only
5
> replies each,
>

> I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn
> around and post
>
> the MINIMUM 200 with my email at #3 and only receive 5 replies each,
I
> will make
>
> an additional $625.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those
625
> persons
>
> post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my email at #2 and they only receive
5
> replies
>
> that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver
> this
>
> message to 200 newsgroups with my email at #1 and if still 5 persons
> per 200
>
> newsgroups react I will receive $15,625.00! With an original
investment
> of only
>
> $6.00! AMAZING!! When your email is no longer on the list, you just
> take latest
>
> posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to emails on
the
> list,
>
> putting your email at number 6 again. And start posting again.
>
>
>
> Your paypal TRANSACTION ACTIVITY will look like this:
>
>
>
> DATE: June 30, 2008 *** TYPE: Payment *** TO/FROM: From ***
NAME/EMAIL:
> Mary Jones
>
> GROSS: $1.00 USD *** PAYPAL FEE: -$0.33 USD *** NET AMOUNT: $0.67 USD
> ***ACTUAL AMOUNTS***
>
>
>
> DATE: June 30, 2008 *** TYPE: Payment *** TO/FROM: From ***

NAME/EMAIL:
> John Canada
>
> GROSS: $1.00 CAN *** PAYPAL FEE: -$0.11 CAN *** NET AMOUNT: $0.89 CAN
> ***ACTUAL AMOUNTS***
>
>
>
> The thing to remember is, do you realize that thousands of people all
> over the world
>
> are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday, JUST
LIKE
> YOU are now!!
>
> So can you afford $6?? And see if it really works?? I think so?
People
> have said,
>
> what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So
what
> are the chances
>
> of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new
> honest people
>
> who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing
to
> give
>
> it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day,
>
> with thousands of those joining the actual Internet.
>
>
>
> Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will work.
>
>
>
> Seriously, most people think this is a scam, but you actually do get
> thousands
>
> of dollars out of it, it is NOT another crazy scam, if people follow
> through
>
> with sending out $6, it works!!!!!

316. Re: DAVID LAWturd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 04:35:38 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105072538.555312.56100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193956

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> news:pgprt0lc5aaqauk4jr75tbffgbejck5eb3@news.easynews.com:
>
> >
> > On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 17:00:36 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >
> >>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in news:41dd3e98.1679328
> >>@news.easynews.com:
> >>
> >>> On 5 Jan 2005 17:15:24 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
> >>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Lancer wrote:
> >>>>> On 5 Jan 2005 14:23:18 -0800, "I AM NOT N8WWM"
> <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
> >>>>> wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>> >hey assjuicer.......trace this.
> >>>>> >
> >>>>> >gay-boi!
> >>>>>
> >>>>> Hmm, why is it that all the weirdos that support N8 make the
same
> gay
> >>>>> type posts?
> >>>>
> >>>>People who eat normal food are weird to cannibals, prancer. Sorta

> like
> >>>>how all you keyclown wimps think non keyclowns are weird.
> >>>
> >>> No read my post again, it was nothing about keyclowns or
> >>> non-keyclowns. It was about your posts including gay material.
> >>>
> >>> BTW, I have never been to a "keydown" nor do I run any illegal
> >>> equipment. In fact I 'm probably more legal than you because I
have
> >>> never jammed a repeater.
> >>
> >>May we have your name to find out what you have done then, your
word is

> >>not good with us.
> >
> > Now let see, how did you put that?
> > Since you are the accuser, you prove me wrong.
> >
> > Isn't that what you told Randy?
> >
> > Anyway you know my name, don't you?
>
> No refresh my mammories
I though prancer liked refreshing a daddary...

317. channel 9

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 11:11:38 UTC
From: matthew_hayden <blom1000@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105096298.180784.90240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193957

does anyone know that 3 TEST SERIES SONG which is shown when the 3 test
series logo is shown
its a techno with digitan effects?

email me at blom1000@hotmail.com

318. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 12:02:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7fust0pmca9mjcj49godault4d8kp534rj@4ax.com>
Source record: 193958

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 12:20:16 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 13:52:39 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <4i1rt0t520udn9nh5devmkiiv6sm4porf2@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:25:10 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>N3CVJ wrote:
>>>>I no longer partake in those activities. I grew
>>>>up Twist, plain and simple. Now, when will
>>>>you?
>>>
>>>That's a good thing you don't partake in those activities anymore,
>>>Dave...as I NEVER took part in those activities cited by you,,bragging
>>>about your radio that caused severe bleed,,,laughing about the
>>>intentional intereference the bleed caused,,telling people to buy a
>>>bandaid when you were bleeding,,,,..
>>
>>I don't expect you to understand the dynamics of the local CB
>>population back then, but any interference that I deliberately did to
>>anyone back then was to those who were asking for it,
>
>
>Dave = judge, jury and executioner.

No different than anyone else on the band back then.

>> in the form of a
>>payback (You know all about paybacks right?). Plus, I was a teenager
>>then. That should explain everything. I knew way more about radio
>>back then than my maturity level could control.
>
>
>And I thought -I- was arrogant.

And you would be correct.

><snip>
>>It would seem that you still need to mature enough to learn respect
>>for the law...
>
>
>Coming from someone who voted for Bush, that doesn't mean much.

C'mon now Frank, you're letting your sour grapes partisanship cloud
your objectivity......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

319. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 12:20:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0just019aac3sprfj49j32aon8aoatj8p2@4ax.com>
Source record: 193959

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 15:41:27 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 06 Jan 2005 22:14:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>wrote in <20050106171413.263$xt@newsreader.com>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> Coming from someone who voted for Bush, that doesn't mean much.
>>>
>>You voted for him too, Frank.
>
>
>No I didn't. Not in 2000 and not in 2004. I did support him after the
>first election mainly because I was supporting his office. I still
>support the office, just not the man.
>
>
>> Any vote for Nader, was one less vote
>>for the waffle king.
>
>
>That's a propaganda tactic first used by both sides when Perot was an
>unknown variable.

Actually there was a lot of truth in it. Perot syphoned quite a few
votes away from Bush 1. Heck I almost voted for him. His straight up
non-nonsense business approach to the economy was refreshing and
resonated with fiscal conservatives.

The reverse can be applied to Nader. He appeals to the hard core left,
who, for whatever reason don't think the democratic party has gotten
liberal enough (Chilling thought). It's a fairly safe bet that if
Nader had not been on the ticket that MOST of his votes would have
probably gone to Kerry.

Since Nader did not make as big of a splash as Perot did, his total
effect on the eventual election outcome is speculative. But to deny
that there was any effect is myopic.

> Let me make this perfectly clear: A vote for anybody
>that isn't an ass or an elephant is a vote against both those parties.

One party more than the other depending on which political ideology of
the third party who manages to rise up out of the noise floor of write
in status.

>And to lay blame on people who voted third-party is a pretentious
>crock of shit.

To deny the influence of those third party vote syphoners is equally
ludicrous.

> Don't believe me? Just wait until the next election for
>WA governor, when the Republicans are going to use the same bullshit
>excuse claiming it was the third-party candidates that stole their
>victory.

No, it was clever democratic operatives who (after a few recounts)
managed to manufacture enough extra votes to swing the election their
way.

Where's your cry of voter fraud there Frank?

>> The best part is, you knew Ralphie had a snow
>>balls chance in hell of being elected. Was Nader even on the ballot,
>>or did you have to write him in?
>
>
>He was most certainly on the ballot, as were the candidates for the
>Green and Libertarian parties, and a few others.

Nader was denied a place on the ballot in Pa. He didn't have enough
legitimate petitioners. Although the Libertarian candidate, Badnarick,
managed to make it....

>This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
>vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.

So you are the "anti-voter"?

>As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
>and curse the darkness".

There's also a saying about standing in the middle of a crowded
highway......

Don't get me wrong, the whole principle of a democratic government
should embrace as many political candidates as they can. Third (and
4th) parties are a good thing. But in all practicality, they are alone
in a sea of red and blue. Even if a third party candidate were to win
the office of president, they'd be opposed by both sides of congress.

And that's really the catch 22. Many people contemplate their votes.
They may like what a 3rd party candidates says, but realizes that they
stand little chance of winning. So the question becomes, should they
vote for someone who they ideologically agree with the most, or the
candidate who somewhat agrees with you, but who has a better chance of
actually winning?

Is it better to completely lose your chance to influence the direction
of this country or is it better to at least get SOME of your political

views represented?

That is the voter conundrum.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

320. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 12:26:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7lvst0l24rmcrc1r8imn3p3ffeeqoamfvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 193960

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 16:25:08 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>> This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
>>> vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.
>>> As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
>>> and curse the darkness".
>>>
>>That's what the Republicans said when they sued to make sure Ralph got on
>>the ballot. Odd that. <g>
>>
>>http://www.freep.com/news/politics/nader26e_20040826.htm
>
>
>If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the
>Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed.

And they were in '92.........

> The two big
>parties will do whatever they think will get them the votes, even if
>it means supressing a vote for a third-party candidate.

You acknowledge this, yet you tried to deny that third party
candidates had any effect on the outcome of the election.

>The only thing
>this proves is that neither one of the parties have any interest in
>free and open elections, which is what I have been saying all along,
>and also why I don't vote for either of them.

So which is it then Frank? Do third party candidates shift votes away
from "the big 2" or not?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

321. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 12:53:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <oi0tt0t5n2qthphdei0p7p951s6apfo405@4ax.com>
Source record: 193961

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 12:07:25 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 13:38:10 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <6r0rt0pf91gv1cjk7ojrpskgjtf8ogl17o@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:16:33 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>>So you are denying that the majority of the
>>>
>>>>"big
>>>
>>>>radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of
>>>
>>>>high power?
>>>
>>>
>>>Apparently, that is a an argument you are having with yourself.
>>
>>No, you are trying to claim that there are no illegal operators on 6,
>>based on your rejection to my claim that what I can hear on almost a
>>daily basis is in fact illegal.
>
>
>I'm sure some of them are illegal, but my surity is not fact.

Why not? Are you claiming that empirical observation is not
sufficient? Are you now promoting the concept that if you are not
"right there" and personally witnessed an illegal transmission that
you can't factually determine that it was what it was?

Is your zeal to trounce me over my opposing political views blinding
your objectivity with regard to radio issues, which you have far
better knowledge of?

>
>>>Your personal feelings are not "facts".
>>
>>No but my trained observations skills can be considered as strong
>>evidence to the positive.
>
>
>Trained observation skills = Tarot cards.

So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a channel and pick out who the most blatant illegal operators are simply by the sound of their rigs, and by the splatter they produce? Would it help you to know that a spectrum analyzer connected to the I.F. of a receiver confirmed my initial observation?

I never claimed to be able to make a quantitative evaluation, only a determination that the transmissions were illegal.

>>>Making a personal opinion that "channel 6 harbors the dregs of society"
>>
>>Yes, that part is my personal opinion.
>
>
>Why is -this- your personal opinion and not fact?

Because it is simply my opinion. What constitutes a "dreg" is subjective.

>What happened to your "trained observation skills"?

They are limited to technical evaluations of radio signals, which is NOT subjective.

>>>and claiming it is nothing short of empiracle evidence that illegalities
>>>occur is jovial.
>>
>>That you once again think that you can somehow claim that these
>>illegal operators do not exist is ludicrous.
>
>
>Nobody suggested that illegal operators don't exist. The question is
>your standard of proof, that what you claim to be illegal
>transmissions are illegal IN FACT, not in your opinion or belief.

If you hear a loud noise and turn around to see a souped up car buzzing down the road at a high rate of speed, far above the posted speed limit for that road, would you not be able to determine as a fact that the car was being operated illegally?

No, you could not determine just how fast the car was traveling, but you could easily tell from the excessive noise, and the rate of speed as compared to the other (legal) cars, that this one was illegal.

If you are attempting to discount empirical observation as an invalid method to determining facts, then there are a whole lot of scientists who will be sorely disappointed. Starting with paleontologists all the way to astronomers.

We don't agree politically Frank. That much is true. But don't let that one facet taint your objectivity in other subjects.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

322. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 13:04:54 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41de891b.990875@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193962

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 21:46:41 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
>news:ncsrt0dlsmtg9g34v1f2i0oa8a0uoii2mg@4ax.com:
>
>> what is the difference between the bias on an AB1 and
>>>an AB2
>
>
> Class ab2 an amplifier with higher bias than the class ab1 amplifier.
>
>
>
>>Or better yet, what is the difference between the bias on an AB1 and
>>an AB2? (transistor amp)
>
>
>No such animal those numbers are for tube use only
>
>1 indicating that the tube does not draw any grid current, and 2
>indicates that the grid voltage is above 0 volts and a positive grid
>voltage, causes the grid to draw current.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Why did you snip the original post apart where you told Dave to bias
his transistor amp bias to .6 volts to run class AB1?

323. Re: DAVID LAWturd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 13:06:31 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41df89a5.1128750@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193963

On 6 Jan 2005 20:35:38 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>> news:pgprt0lc5aaqauk4jr75tbffgbejck5eb3@news.easynews.com:
>>
>> >
>> > On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 17:00:36 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in news:41dd3e98.1679328
>> >>@news.easynews.com:
>> >>
>> >>> On 5 Jan 2005 17:15:24 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
>> >>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>Lancer wrote:
>> >>>>> On 5 Jan 2005 14:23:18 -0800, "I AM NOT N8WWM"
>> <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
>> >>>>> wrote:
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> >hey assjuicer.......trace this.
>> >>>>> >
>> >>>>> >gay-boi!
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>> Hmm, why is it that all the weirdos that support N8 make the
>same
>> gay
>> >>>>> type posts?
>> >>>>
>> >>>>People who eat normal food are weird to cannibals, prancer. Sorta
>
>> like
>> >>>>how all you keyclown wimps think non keyclowns are weird.
>> >>>
>> >>> No read my post again, it was nothing about keyclowns or
>> >>> non-keyclowns. It was about your posts including gay material.
>> >>>
>> >>> BTW, I have never been to a "keydown" nor do I run any illegal
>> >>> equipment. In fact I 'm probably more legal than you because I
>have

>> >>> never jammed a repeater.
>> >>
>> >>May we have your name to find out what you have done then, your
>word is
>> >>not good with us.
>> >
>> > Now let see, how did you put that?
>> > Since you are the accuser, you prove me wrong.
>> >
>> > Isn't that what you told Randy?
>> >
>> > Anyway you know my name, don't you?
>>
>> No refresh my mammories
>I though prancer liked refreshing a daddary...

Back to your gay posting Doug? Just can't control yourself can you?

324. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 13:10:39 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e089fb.1214937@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193964

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 18:50:47 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:41:05 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
><u9trt0d6angej9v18m6olvq3oub57mf7gs@news.easynews.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
>>it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>
>
>You're down there in Texas, right? So what's this I hear about Houston
>being declared the city with the fattest people in the US?
>
>

Didn't see that, I know it would suck to be overweight in Houston.
the summer heat and humidity in Houston is terrible...

325. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 13:12:58 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e18a58.1307984@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193965

On 07 Jan 2005 02:52:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
>> it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>>
>Nah, we've had warmer weather and rain/sleet/slush lately. Did plow from
>midnight till 9 this morning tho..needed a big azz'd squeegee instead of a
>plow.. You're right, very strange weather patterns.
>
>How did your SW radio Christmas present go over?

I gave it to him, but didn't notice someone had soldered the contacts
shut on the mode switch. It still works, I just need to track down a
new switch for it. He's having a ball with it, likes it even better
than the videos games he got..

326. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 13:30:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107083014.462$za@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193966

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 07 Jan 2005 02:52:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
> >> it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
> >>
> >Nah, we've had warmer weather and rain/sleet/slush lately. Did plow from
> >midnight till 9 this morning tho..needed a big azz'd squeegee instead of
> >a plow.. You're right, very strange weather patterns.
> >
> >How did your SW radio Christmas present go over?
>
> I gave it to him, but didn't notice someone had soldered the contacts
> shut on the mode switch. It still works, I just need to track down a
> new switch for it. He's having a ball with it, likes it even better
> than the videos games he got..
>
Cool..now you've created a monster! :D

327. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 14:24:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4j1tt09d9b7piid3rgn5947ro1qqd3m023@4ax.com>
Source record: 193967

On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 11:57:44 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 08:09:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <a7bqt01ebbju79nt19c0bq2ifriqu12smc@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 15:24:15 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 05 Jan 2005 16:02:28 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <kckot0pguh9fl50ciqjqu6i2a0dt4q6ajo@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>Since when would facts make any difference to your version of the
>>>>"truth"? Well, maybe there's hope for you yet, so here's the best
>>>>place to start:
>>>>
>>>>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/1057
>>>>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2005/1064
>>>>
>>>>Freepress.org is a leftist propaganda organization,
>>>
>>>
>>>Enough with the labels.
>>
>>If the shoe fits......
>>
>>
>>>You have also labeled the mainstream media as
>>>being heavily biased to the left, yet it is the mainstream media that
>>>refuses to cover the Ohio recount or release the raw exit poll data.
>>
>>Because even the mainstream media realizes that this story is more
>>fluff than substance, despite their left leaning slant.
>
>
>That still doesn't explain why they won't release the raw exit poll
>data. And you fail to realize the simple fact that 'mainstream media'
>is predominately owned and operated by huge corporations that strongly
>favor the Republicans. If they slant in any direction it's going to be
>towards the conservatives.

You obviously know little about the industry of journalism. It's a
known given to all, but the most liberal people, that the mainstream
media (with the exception of Fox) leans very much to the left. There

have been several articles and books written on the subject. Dan
Rather's latest embarrassing escapades should serve as a beacon of
illumination to the subject.

>> Unlike
>>unaccountable rags like "freepress.org" the mainstream media has
>>accountability to the masses. Especially after "Rathergate" they are
>>especially leary of stories which cannot be verified with some degree
>>of accuracy.
>>
>>It would seem that your "standards" for what passes as "truth" is much
>>less.
>
>
>I knew you wouldn't read it.

Who said I didn't?

>>>Your labels don't reconcile with the facts.
>>
>>What you perceive as "fact" is the at the core of the issue. Unless
>>you personally had a hand in the investigation, you are getting your
>>information from a 2nd, 3rd or 4th party. Any one of which can
>>"modify" the facts by adding a degree of bias to the point that the
>>message has skewed. But, for some reason, you can't seem to see that.
>
>
>You mean like the story about Kerry not receiving an honorable
>discharge until 2001?

Exactly. It's interesting and telling that you would discard that out
of hand, yet embrace other articles, which share your ideology, with
no more credibility.

>>> As for freepress.org, I
>>>suggest you read their "About" page which describes the organization
>>>and chronicles it's history.
>>
>>I'm sure Adolf Hitler wrote a glowing review about himself as well.
>>What "freepress.org" says about itself is meaningless. What other
>>groups, who track the political agendas of these rogue "news"
>>services, says is what tells the real story.
>
>
>.....oh brother. I'm sure that these "other groups" are mostly
>conservative organizations, right?

Hmmm.. Who watches the watchers?

>>> I doubt you will read it because people
>>>like you are too afraid to face facts that might conflict with your
>>>biased opinions.

>>
>>Frank, when are you going to realize that you "facts" are nothing more
>>than YOUR biased opinions. Telling me that my bias is wrong based on
>>your bias is laughable.
>
>
>".....Ohio's GOP Supreme Court Chief Justice, Thomas Moyer, has
>refused to recuse himself, even though allegations of vote switching ï¿½
>where votes cast for one candidate are assigned to another in the
>computerized tabulation stage ï¿½ involve his own re-election campaign.

Why should he step down? Because someone accused him of something?
Prove there is a conflict of interest or lay down.

.....
>
>Ohio's official recount was conducted by GOP Secretary of State
>Kenneth Blackwell, despite widespread protests that his role as
>co-chair of the state's Bush-Cheney campaign constituted an serious
>conflict of interest. Blackwell has refused to testify in the election
>challenge lawsuit alleging massive voter fraud, as have a number of
>GOP county election supervisors. Blackwell also refuses to explain why
>he has left more than 106,000 machine-rejected and provisional ballots
>entirely uncounted.

Who's to say that that number of ballots are really there and that
they are valid? We all know that the vote count exceeded the
registered voters.

Another classic case of inventing an issue and then implying a
nefarious agenda when the party responsible refuses to acknowledge the
bogus issue, thereby casting doubt and suspicion over his integrity.

>The final recount tested roughly 3% of the roughly 5.7 million votes
>cast in the state. But contrary to the law governing the recount, many
>precincts tested were selected not at random, but by Blackwell's
>personal designation. Experts with the election challenge suit have
>noted many of the precincts selected were mostly free of the
>irregularities they are seeking to investigate, while many contested
>precincts were left unrecounted.

And when you are determined to find a flaw, the fact that there aren't
any automatically means that this was "planted"? Gee, maybe the main
issue is that the allegations of fraud have been greatly overstated.

>In other precincts, impossibly high voter turnout figures -- nearly
>all of them adding to Bush's official margin -- remain unexplained. In
>the heavily Republican southern county of Perry, Blackwell certified
>one precinct with 221 more votes than registered voters. Two precincts
>-- Reading S and W. Lexington G -- were let stand in the officially
>certified final vote count with voter turnouts of roughly 124% each.

Can they determine which of the total vote count were bogus and that
most of the bogus votes were for Bush?

>In Miami County's Concord South West precinct, Blackwell certified a
>voter turnout of 98.55 percent, requiring that all but 10 voters in
>the precinct cast ballots. But a freepress.org canvas easily found 25
>voters who said they did not vote. In the nearby Concord South
>precinct, Blackwell certified an apparently impossible voter turnout
>of 94.27 percent. Both Concord precincts went heavily for Bush.

It's a shame that those extra votes for Kerry might have to be
removed.....

You assume that any extra votes mean that the were Bush plants. What
if they were Kerry plants?

>In Warren County, Bush was credited with 68,035 votes to Kerryï¿½s
>26,043 votes. But just as the county's votes were about to be counted
>after the polls closed on November 2, the Board of Elections claimed a
>Homeland Security alert authorized them to throw out all Democratic
>and independent observers, including the media. The vote count was
>thus conducted entirely by Republicans....."
>
>
>Those are facts, Dave. Not left-wing propoganda, "editorial opinions"
>or "MY biased opinions". Facts.

Yes, the overall articles are facts, but the underlying reasons and
the suspicions generated or implied are the result of bias.

Yes, it's a fact that Blackwell didn't step aside. But it's propaganda
to imply a nefarious motive.

Yes, it's a fact that voter turnout exceeded 100% in many places. It's
propaganda to suggest that the excesses were all or mostly for Bush.

>>> You would rather slap labels on others instead of
>>>admitting that there is a possibility you are wrong.
>>
>>There is always a possibility that I am wrong. But not this time. I've
>>been around the block to know how this all works. Republicans are not
>>angels by any stretch of the imagination. But just like you telling me
>>I'm wrong based on your own bias, democrats screaming "foul" at an
>>election that they lost, and pointing at republicans for cheating,
>>while they cross their fingers behind their backs is equally
>>ridiculous.
>>
>>They BOTH cheat. They always have.
>
>
>Gee, seems I've heard this somewhere before..... by golly I did! A
>couple months ago it was ME that was telling YOU about how both sides
>cheat! At least -something- finally sunk in.

I've always known that. But what I object to are those who only scream
loudly about it when THEIR guy loses. That says more about their
motivation than anything else.

>> But I am incensed that democrats
>>have the balls to be so blatantly hypocritical.
>
>
>Once again, Dave, I'm not a Democrat. How many times do I need to
>repeat it?

You aren't the only one screaming foul about voter fraud. (note the
plural form of "democrat(s)")

>>> But you really
>>>-should- read it because people with open minds don't share your fear,
>>
>>My FEAR? What "fear" is that?
>
>
>Losing.

Liberalism is destined to fail, as nothing positive has even come from
a socialistic paradigm. I just don't want to see this country dragged
through the muck to before they realize it.

I have no fear of losing an honest election. The truth will set us all
free.

>
>
>>>and they are the people you will be arguing with until you wake up and
>>>smell the sheep-dung.
>>
>>Until *I* wake up? You'd better pinch yourself man, because it is not
>>I who is sleeping.....
>>
>>>> so it does not
>>>>surprise me that they hype the negative issues to make it seem worse
>>>>than it is. But you seem to have a problem differentiating between
>>>>real hard irrefutable FACTS with biased editorial opinion.
>>>
>>>
>>>Are you suggesting that a 124% voter turnout is just an "editorial
>>>opinion"?
>>
>>Are you equally concerned that the overturning of a clear republican
>>victory in Washington State after not just one, but a few recounts,
>>and by a similar "bloat" in voter turnout?
>
>
>First off, it was -HARDLY- a "clear republican victory". The first
>count was done by machine and gave Rossi a lead so slim that it

>triggered an -automatic- recount. That recount narrowed the lead to a
>few dozen votes. It would have been foolish of any opponent -not- to
>request a hand recount, which put Gregoire in the lead by 139 votes.

Votes that were "suddenly" found......

>The rules were followed and Gregoire won. Now the Republicans are
>whining up a storm and begging for a second election. Also, there have
>been allegations that King County counted unconfirmed provisional
>ballots. If that's true then it would be foolish for Rossi -not- to
>contest the election. So far he hasn't.

Usually republicans are not as baby-ish when it comes to conceding a
close election.

>
>But overall, Washington seems to be accomplishing what Ohio, Florida,
>New Mexico and several other states cannot: conduct an election with a
>transparent process.

The only thing transparent are the operations of those trying to stuff
the ballot box with enough extra votes to overturn an election

>And for the record, I didn't vote for either of them -- again I voted
>third-party.
>
>
>>>>>Pay attention, Dave: It doesn't matter who won or lost the election.
>>>>
>>>>Sure it does. Would all these P.E.S.T. victims be screaming for a
>>>>recount in Ohio if Kerry had won? That was my whole point. There were
>>>>all sorts of allegations of voter fraud in Pennsylvania, particularly
>>>>in heavily democratic strongholds like Philadelphia. But nobody cares
>>>>because Kerry won the state, even if by less of a margin than Bush won
>>>>Ohio.
>>>
>>>
>>>Read the transcript I cited. These are the same organizations that
>>>cried foul when Gore tried to manipulate the recounts in Florida,
>>>criticized the Clinton victories, and have members that are official
>>>election observers for this and other countries. Yet you try and paint
>>>them as hired guns for the Democrats.
>>
>>Like I said, if the shoe fits. The sheer intensity of the protests and
>>the unwillingness for so many people to accept the outcome of the
>>election is more telling as to the driving force behind this brouhaha.
>
>
>People would probably be more willing to accept the results if they
>could see that the election was conducted fairly and LEGALLY. That's
>why you don't see such protests in Washington.

Actually, unless you try real hard to dig up information, most people outside the state know little about it. It's just not front page news anywhere else, what with the crap in Iraq and the tsunami news these days.

>>> As you have demonstrated many
>>>times before, your perspective is so slanted you are falling over.
>>
>>I don't have to worry about falling over, as your equally slanted
>>perspective in the other direction will balance me out.
>
>
>I don't like Bush and I don't like Kerry. Which way am I slanted?

To the left. That much is obvious. You're a liberal. I guess the democratic party isn't pink enough yet.

>>>>>Kerry conceeded -- end of story.
>>>>
>>>>No, it's not. There are all sorts of sore loser groups trying
>>>>everything from trying to throw out the electoral vote, to impeaching
>>>>Bush. They just can't deal with the fact that THEY LOST. Crying voter
>>>>fraud is just another attempt to deny the fact that THEY LOST. Denial
>>>>is the first step.
>>>>
>>>>I wasn't happy when Clinton won, but I didn't accuse every state where
>>>>he won of fraud (Even though, in all likelihood, there was probably
>>>>some).
>>>
>>>
>>>"They" is not "me". Whether the current president makes you whine or
>>>dine, voting fraud is the issue. The "Kerry-lost-get-over-it" routine
>>>is getting old and you are sounding like a broken record.
>>
>>But that is the basic point. Hell, these people now protesting Bush's
>>second victory are the very same people who were claiming for the last
>>4 years that Gore REALLY won and that Bush was "selected, not
>>elected". They haven't gotten over 2000, they just changed the loser's
>>name.
>
>
>Like I said before, "they" is not "me". Whether Gore won or lost, his
>conduct during the recounts was reprehensible.

I'll give Kerry some credit there. He dropped below the radar and didn't make an issue of it. But I suspect (My cynicism kicks in) that this is probably the result of some good advice given to him so as not to repeat Algore's demise, as I'm sure Kerry will try another run in 08. He's already working on it. He was supposedly shaking hands with soldiers in Iraq recently.....

>>> The most
>>>important issue right now is voting fraud.

>>
>>Why now?
>
>
>How does that proverb go..... "Never put off until tomorrow what you
>can do today". They tried to repair the faults of the 2000 election
>with band-aids and it didn't work; the wound got bigger. If we don't
>fix it now the same problems will be bigger and more widespread in
>2008, or perhaps even sooner.

Why didn't they fix it in say, ohhhh, 1944?

>>> Address the issue at hand.
>>>Some day later we can address how your wool got sheared by Bush's
>>>propoganda machine.
>>
>>By providing me more leftist propaganda that you believe as fact? No
>>thanks Frank. I can spot snake oil at quite a distance.
>
>
>Official military records are "leftist propoganda"?

Which records? Kerry's military records? Oh that 's right, he refused
to release them all.

>>>>Tell me Frank, do you believe that there has always been voter fraud,
>>>>or do you think that this is suddenly something new?
>>>
>>>
>>>Voting fraud has been around ever since voting was invented.
>>
>>So why is it such an issue for you now? Where were you in '92?
>>
>>
>>>But there
>>>has never been fraud on a scale like what was seen Nov. 2.
>>
>>By what factual (not op-ed opinion) information do you base this
>>claim? How do you determine total voter fraud?
>
>
>When the race is so close that voting fraud could have been the
>determining factor.

That doesn't answer my question. How do you know that the degree of
fraud this year was any greater than that in years past?

How do you know it was not a factor in years past?

>>> Nor to the
>>>extent that, if left unchecked, could directly affect the government
>>>of the most powerful country in the world.

>>
>>Mayor Daily of Chicago certainly knew that.......
>
>
>I don't live in Chicago.

But you do live in the U.S. Mayor Daily epitomized the democratic
"machine" that exists in many large cities. The fact that most cities
vote heavily democratic may have much more than voter "demographics"
to attribute to it.

>>> You aren't suggesting that
>>>voting fraud should be ignored because it's going to occur no matter
>>>what, are you?
>>
>>Certainly not. I am for tightening the rules that regulate voting,
>>including several measure which make many democrats very "uneasy".
>
>
>How about requiring a paper trail?

I'm fully in favor of that.

I also favor requiring all voters to show an I.D. which includes their
voting precinct.

>>But I don't think that voter fraud is any worse now than it had been
>>in the past. Surely you haven't forgotten about the bus loads of
>>illegal immigrants, the jailed felons, and the buying of votes with
>>cartons of cigarettes in days past?
>
>
>The key phrase is "days past". Those problems have been addressed,
>have they not?

I cannot make that statement. I have read stories of party workers
caught with ballots leaving a prison in Pa. I doubt if these issues
have been truly "addressed". It may have been covered over a bit more
effectively, but I believe that they're still there.

>We have -new- problems that need to be addressed, such
>as a corporation that wrote the software for the voting machines and
>whose CEO promised to deliver the state's electoral votes to Bush;

Hearsay. There's no proof that any such "promise" ever occured.

>election officials (i.e, the Secretary of State) who is also the
>campaign manager for that state; the shorting of voting machines in
>selected precincts; etc, etc.

Interesting that in those places were voting machines were "shorted",
were handled by democrats. They have only themselves to blame if they
were not able to accommodate their constituency.

>>>>>This problem threatens the very core of
>>>>>this democracy, and if presidential elections can be rigged then we
>>>>>might as well throw in the towel. Future elections will be meaningless
>>>>>and open to any power-monger with enough money to buy the election,
>>>>
>>>>Like George Soros?
>>>>
>>>>>maybe even someone as diabolical as Hitler or Stalin.
>>>>
>>>>Or Ted Kennedy?
>>>
>>>
>>>Gee, I don't know..... did Ted Kennedy kill millions of people?
>>
>>No, only one. But killing people is not the only form of "diabolical".
>>
>>>Is
>>>that the secret ambition which convinced him to enter the political
>>>arena? I'm asking because the facts don't indicate anything of the
>>>sort, but -you- know the -real- truth, don't you Dave? So polish your
>>>lamp, gaze into your crystal ball, call the psychic friends network,
>>>or do whatever it is you do to gain such pervasive insight into the
>>>truth..... and tell me, what -are- Ted's secret ambitions?
>>
>>I really don't know, but his interests in the direction of this
>>country are diametrically opposed to what a free capitalist society
>>would want.
>
>
>Really? Care to elaborate?

One word: Liberal.

Three other words: Redistribution of wealth.

>>>>> But I suppose
>>>>>you wouldn't mind such a 'leader' or how he comes to power just as
>>>>>long as you agree with his publically stated moral principles and
>>>>>objectives..... but wasn't it you that said, "politically motivated
>>>>>people have incentive to lie"?
>>>>
>>>>Yes
>>>
>>>
>>>No kidding.
>>>
>>>
>>>>, but you seem to think the whole issue of fraud is one sided. You
>>>>scream with righteous indignation because your guy lost, not because
>>>>you have a genuine concern over the voting process. Id be willing to
>>>>bet that had Kerry won, you wouldn't care if allegations of voter
>>>>fraud surfaced. You'd be saying to me, the same thing I'm saying to

>>>>you.
>>>
>>>
>>>Did you come to those conclusions after reading tea leaves or throwing
>>>bones?
>>
>>The same way that I know how people think. The same way that I
>>diagnosed Twisty's sociopathic tendencies.
>>
>>>Maybe you should read some of my previous posts regarding Bush,
>>>how I defended him in the past.
>>
>>The past is just that.
>
>
>Ok, I see how this works..... the past is relevent only when it favors
>your argument, such as previous accounts of voting fraud. Right?

No, it's only relevant when it's relevant , such as past record of
voting fraud suggests that it was a problem for far longer than some
of you realize. I have never read anything on this group of you
defending Bush. This group has had very little political crap in the
past, with the exception of the Iraq war and this past election.

Unlike you, I don't google every person to see what other boards they
might post on and then read their "stuff". I don't really care all
that much.

>> Don't even try to tell me that you favored
>>Bush, because that would be a lie.
>
>
>So it's beyond my capability for me to open my eyes and see my own
>mistake of supporting Bush? That's pretty hypocritical, Dave. And
>pretty ignorant:
>
>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/sci.physics/msg/c29696c828d91e22?dmode=source
>

As I suspected, that post was not made here, so how could you expect
me to ever have seen it?

I'm curious if you still hold such a positive opinion of Cheney....

>>>Maybe you missed my criticizms of Gore
>>>for trying to manufacture votes by selective recounts. Maybe you
>>>missed my many posts where I clearly stated that I only vote for
>>>independents and/or third party candidates, and voted for Nader in
>>>this election. Or maybe you just aren't paying attention to the facts.
>>
>>When have you ever spoken about politics on this newsgroup before
>>Frank? Until this past election, this newsgroup pretty much stayed the

>>course on radio related issues.
>
>
>Yeah, right. And I've been on Usenet a lot longer than I've been in
>this newsgroup.

If you make a post in another of several thousand other newsgroups,
how can you in all fairness expect the people HERE to know about it?
Maybe you think we keep tabs on each other? Maybe I'm the odd-ball in
that respect, as I don't.

>>I do recall you saying that you voted for Nader, even though you were
>>at the same time, defending Kerry and his policies with more vigor
>>than one of his lackey political pundits. I find it hard to believe
>>that someone could be behind one candidate yet espouse the "good"
>>points of his opponent. It's duplicitous.
>
>
>I defended Kerry against your bullshit propoganda.

The truth remains that Kerry refused to release ALL of his military
records. What's he hiding?

> I'll re-restate
>what I posted previously on the subject: "I have stated before that
>I didn't think Kerry was a strong enough leader to be president.

My 5 year old daughter knew that.

> He
>doesn't have the connections, his communication skills aren't quite up
>to snuff (but not as bad as Bush),

Yet Bush IS the leader, so I would have to say that good vernacular is
not something that is required to be electable.

>and he doesn't command a presence
>in Congress (which would be necessary for a president, especially if
>his party doesn't hold the majority)."

Considering he is the #1 most liberal senator in congress, he would
have a tough time.

>And anyone can see that Bush has some good qualities.

Everyone has "some" good qualities. That's nothing earth shattering
Frank.

>He has a certain
>charisma that he uses to great advantage.

So did Clinton.

> Like Time magazine notes, he
>sticks to his guns (even if they are pointed in the wrong direction).

That he sticks to his guns is an example of conviction to principles.
A sign of a strong leader. That they are pointed in the wrong
direction is a matter of subjectivity.

>And just because he got mixed up with the wrong crowd (the Vulcans)
>that doesn't mean he's a bad guy.

The "Vulcans"? Who might they be? Are you making some sort of Star
Trek reference to logical, and rational thinking, as opposed to
emotionally driven people? If so, then I would think that's a plus.

> A moron perhaps, but not necessarily
>evil as some people claim.

He's much smarter than most people think. His flaw is his poor
speaking skills. But there are plenty of smart people who can't
communicate their thoughts concisely. Then there are people who have a
golden tongue. But what they say so well is pure bunk. Clinton was an
example of that.

>It's far from duplicitous. It's simply a matter of looking at the
>facts without bias.

Which you have thus far demonstrated that you are unable to do.

>>>It's so much easier for you to comprehend if you tell yourself that I
>>>voted for Kerry and that I'm a sore loser, isn't it? Well, as usual,
>>>you're wrong. I voted for Nader.
>>
>>But you defended Kerry as if you were married to him.
>
>
>I defended Communism in a debate in high-school.

A skilled debater can take either side in a debate and make valid
points. But this isn't a debate for the sake of debate style points.
This is a debate driven by personal feelings.

I also suspect that you are probably a lot more open to certain
communist ideals. Most liberals are.

>That doesn't mean I'm
>a communist (or married to a communist). And I didn't defend Kerry so
>much as I disproved the lies and conjecture you ignorantly chose to
>believe without regard to the facts.

What facts? The crap you gleaned from Kerry's own website? Yea,
there's no bias there.....

>>>And even though he lost the election,
>>>I didn't have any expectations that he would win.
>>> But he and other
>>>third party candidates -did- make a strong showing, which was my
>>>intent with my vote, and for that reason I am -very- happy with the
>>>outcome of the election.

>>
>>
>>Strong showing? Nader got what 2% of the vote? You call that "strong"?
>>Ross Perot made a better showing.
>
>
>Nader and other third-party candidates got more recognition in this
>election than in any previous election, with the exception of Perot. I
>call that a strong showing.

You obviously forgot about George Wallace.

>>But I am glad for Nader. He at least syphoned the most idealistic
>>utopian liberal voters away from Kerry, which may have allowed Bush to
>>win again. For that I thank him.
>
>
>If Kerry had won it would be the Bush supporters whining about how the
>third-party candidates siphoned away -their- votes.

Only an idiot would believe that Nader would be an attractive
alternative for a conservative voter. The libertarian or reform party
would be the likely targets there.

>>>Except for the fraud.
>>>
>>>
>>>>I also find it curious that those who seem the most opposed to putting
>>>>policies in place to lessen the chance of fraud are mostly democrats.
>>>>Mandatory voter ID, and a more secure voting environment have all been
>>>>shouted down by democrats. They used the lame "disenfranchised" and
>>>>"racism" arguments to hide their real worry that a truly fair election
>>>>would hurt them. No more buying votes with cartons of cigarettes, or
>>>>bottles of ripple.
>>>
>>>
>>>Both Republicans and Democrats oppose those issues equally. And it
>>>wasn't the Democrats who initiated the recount in Ohio;
>>
>>Who was it then who filed suit in Ohio because there were claims of
>>insufficient voting machines in heavily democratic voting places?
>>
>>
>>> it was the
>>>Greens and the Libertarians with cooperation from voting rights
>>>organizations.
>>
>>Bullshit Frank, plain and simple. If you can't see through that, you
>>are more blind than I thought. What incentive would there be, and what
>>gain would be had for those odd-ball independents to bring about this
>>action? It doesn't pass the smell test Frank.

>
>
>Have you ever thought for one moment that there may be people that
>actually care about fundamental issues such as voting rights? Or is
>that dish too liberal for your table?

Are you so idealistic that you can't understand what drives political
agendas? If there is not something to be gained strategically, then it
doesn't happen. If it was indeed a few "independents" who initiated
this action, I would bet a year's salary that they were "funded"
through the back door by the DNC or one of their "loose associates"

Like the term "follow the money", look to see who stands to benefit
the most, and that's where you will find the real source of this
latest voter fraud cry.

>>>Once again you have showed how skewed your perspective
>>>is towards the Republicans.
>>
>>I am a conservative, and I lean toward republicans because they best
>>represent my interests. That's no great secret. But you have yet to
>>admit your political slant, and the accompanied bias. You're in denial
>>Frank.
>
>
>My political "slant" is towards the Constitution. That's my political
>party, that's my religion, and that's my first concern whenever I step
>into the voting booth. The Republicans may represent -your- interests
>(whatever they may be, and I don't think I want to know), but -my-
>interest happens to be preserving a democratic form of government.

By voting for a liberal who's core ideals contain the notion that the
government should assume a greater role as society's "nanny"?

If you're such a student of the constitution, you should know that the
government was never intended to do anything more than protect ,
facilitate and represent our interests in the world market.

If you were a strict constitutionalist, the libertarian party would be
a better fit.

>
>
>>>>>Get a clue, Dave.
>>>>
>>>>I would think that you need one as well.
>>>
>>>
>>>What you think about me carries no weight since you have yet to
>>>demonstrate that you are capable of thought that is independent and
>>>rational; i.e, above the level of domesticated livestock.
>>

>>If you truly believed that you would not waste your time trying to
>>"show" me how "wrong" I am. The fact that you are unable to back up
>>anything you stand for with anything other than your own form of
>>propaganda,
>
>
>Keep saying it and maybe it will come true. LOL!

It already has.

>> and have failed ant every attempt to discredit my position
>
>
>Oh, really? You still think that Kerry didn't get his honorable
>discharge until 2001 despite the official military records?

What, you mean the ones he refuses to release?

> Or do you
>prefer to believe someone else's misinformed interpretation of
>documents that are available for you to read any time you want? Or
>have you dismissed the facts in favor of some conspiricy to forge
>those records and cover up some deep, dark secret that exists only in
>your imagination? Or maybe you are a card-carrying member of the Bush
>propaganda machine and intentionally spout this garbage because the
>party serves your interests?

I believe in the old saying, where there's smoke there's fire. There
are a fairly sizable group of people who have come forward with
testimony as to Kerry's actions in Vietnam. Due to the nature of the
"wounds" he received, and the short amount of time he was actually
there, lends credibility to the notion that he was hardly the
"valiant" soldier that he tried to make himself out to be.

>>is what keeps you coming back for more. In a way, you're acting just
>>like Twisty, when he can't "prove" the lies he spews about other
>>people.

>yawn.

Hit a little close to home?

>>This country was built by people.....
>
>
>Yes it was. "We The People", not "We the Republicans" or "We the
>Christian traditionalists". Get that through your head.

Back then "We the People" were mostly Christian people, with solid
moral values and a greater sense of personal responsibility. I don't
want to see this country degrade from it's former glory by forgetting
that.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

328. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 15:08:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21381-41DEA5F5-826@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193968

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:16:33 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
>So you are denying that the majority of the

>"big

>radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of

>high power?

Apparently, that is a an argument you are having with yourself.

>No, you are trying to claim that there are no

>illegal operators on 6, based on your rejection

>to my claim that what I can hear on almost a

>daily basis is in fact illegal.

I claimed nothing of the sort. I claimed only that
your claim is bullshit, which it is.
Your personal feelings are not facts, despite how many times you invoke
them as such. Let's look at it again since you still can not grasp it.
You said

>`channel 6, which is notorious for harboring

>the dregs of society, who regularly run high

>power, is all the "evidence" I need, to

>determine that the station in question is in fact,
>llegal."

Once again, your personal feelings are not facts. That illegal operation
occurs on such a channel was never contested by myself, despite your
deperate attempt at trying to say it was. I merely claimed your ersonal
feelings cited above are in no manner "evidence".
The FCC knows the reputation of channel 6 also, only they have protocol
to determine if someone is breaking the law, not personal feelings they
refer to as "empirical evidence" as you do.
Your personal feelings are not "facts".

>No but my trained observations skills can be

>considered as strong evidence to the positive.

No,,,it can not. It is personal testimony to be taken into consideration. It is intangible and can not be entered as evidence, only supporting testimony. Huge difference where the law is concerned, but with your demonstrated hate and disdain for the law and your fellow hammie and cb operators, it's crystal clear you have no clue of the law that pertains and governs your chosen hobby. You demonstrated this when you held roger beeps and echo illegal on cb because you "couldn't find a rule that permitted them".

>Yes, that part is my personal opinion.

See what you can learn when you are force fed? At the beginning of this thread, you claimed it was fact, now, after proper instruction, you admit it is "personal opinion". Good show.

–
and claiming it is nothing short of empiracle
evidence that illegalities occur is jovial.

>That you once again think that you can

>somehow claim that these illegal operators do

>not exist is ludicrous.

You're unglued, davie, You *need* such a distraction, but sucks for you I said nothing of the sort. Try again,

>You're getting more sloppy and desperate by

>the day.......

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Such intense projection, Dave.
To someone that is so used to looking up at everyone else, like yourself, it might appear that way. Repeat failures at attempting to place words in other's mouths to obfuscate your incompetence isn't lost on anyone, Dave.

329. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 15:19:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21381-41DEA877-827@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193969

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:10:13 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
My "point" was illustrated yesterday when you said this:

_
N3CVJ wrote:
>I do not shoot skip. I don't LIKE skip. When I

>used to use an amplifier, it was to GET OVER

>or chase it off the channel

But this next post was made when you were using that amplifier...

>After talking skip internationally on the

>freeband channels, on SSB, I gradually lose

>interest in skip

_
>Different time periods.

Right, the claim about when you USED to use an amp it wasn't to talk
skip, was made the other day. The claim about you talking skip with
your amplifier was made long ago. It illustrates your statement the
other day was bullshit.....but a mere lie in another of your long list
of self-perjuries.

>I've been in CB for 35 years now.

>God, you really do have to be spoon fed

>everything in order to understand it.....

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

That's pretty funny considering only you are having difficulty with your
communication skills at this level and are madly trying to misattribute
things that were never said to others.
Besides, it doesn;t take a rocket scientist to understand the kind of
operator you are,,,you already admitted to being the worse kind of
operator that exists.The adage that "a leopard does not change his
spots" is confirmed by your self-contradictions and lies which you find
yourself always caught up in.

330. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 15:34:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21381-41DEAC1E-829@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193970
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:25:10 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
N3CVJ wrote:
>I no longer partake in those activities. I grew

>up Twist, plain and simple. Now, when will

>you?
That's a good thing you don't partake in those activities anymore,
Dave...as I NEVER took part in those activities cited by you,,bragging
about your radio that caused severe bleed,,,laughing about the
intentional intereference the bleed caused,,telling people to buy a
bandaid when you were bleeding,,,,..

>I don't expect you to understand the dynamics

>of the local CB population back then, but any

>interference that I deliberately did to anyone

>back then was to those who were asking for it,
>in the form of a payback

No one understands your "dynamics" nor your "empiricle evdence" nor your
incorrect blathers about echo and roger beeps being illegal on cb.
That you are unable to find a rule expressly permitting roger beeps on
cb, is all the "dynamics" on needs to understanding your twisted world.

> (You know all about paybacks right?).

f you are attempting to draw some type false analogy between my typed
words and your committed actions, you better dig a little deeper in your
desperation bag,,,,,whoops, nothing left :).

>Plus, I was a teenager then. That should

>explain everything.

No, Dave, you weren't a teenager when you were making these posts, but
good luck on trying to get the contingency to believe your
frequent-flowing lies.

> I knew way more about radio back then than

>my maturity level could control.

I guess some of us (me) were light
years ahead of others (you) in radio mannerisms and operating procedure.

>Yet, you operate on illegal frequencies and

>see nothing wrong with breaking federal law?

>How is that any better than what you lambast

>me for?

I never splattered, never ran huge power, never was a jerk on the air,
even as a child, I not only knew better, I was taught better.

>But you see nothing wrong with breaking

>federal communications law as an adult? It

>would seem that respect for the law was a

>subject skipped over when you was "learned".

Respect for the law has abolutely nothing to do with selective
disregardment. Until you can distinguish between such, you are forced to
remain captive to your own ignorance reagrding your service. You have
illustrated this time and time again with your attempted non-valid
comparisons between my words and your actions.

Glad you
"grew up" and joined those of us who have been waiting for idiots like
you to stop being part of the problem.

>I'm not the one operating on illegal

>frequencies or using above legal power limits.

But you do, Dave. Your lies come to fast and furious for there to be any
truth in your statements, In fact, you have become one of the most
unreliable posters in this group when it comes to anything at all. All
your posts are suspect, at best. Your agenda has been ilustrated, as has
your hypocrisy, contradictions, deliberate misattributions to others,
and lies, But then again, where would this group be without lids like
you to keep us ahead of your game...

–
Although, just for the record,
you have claimed you haven't operated illegally since the seventies or
eighties, but that little gem you cited about running the AB amp in your
vehicle was made in '98, not that long ago, and was referring to your cb
use.

>No, that was in reference to 10 meter

>operation.

No,,it wasn't. Read the thread. It was about cb and 10 meter wasn't even
mentioned until just now, by yourself, years later.

–

But if you grew up since then, I'm happy to say, I was indeed a part of it, since that is when we first exchanged pleasantries and you began crying about technical legalities,

>You had nothing to do with it.

Sure I did. Chec the time frame. You were lying and screaming about legalities, aligning yourself with n8WWM, when I entered this group back in the late ninetes,,,,the same time you were running your amp on cb.

> Had I not grown up, I'd be singing right along

>side of you with the "screw everyone else,

It would seem that you still need to mature

>enough to learn respect for the law...

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

I have incredible respect for the law. In fact, there are two sandbaggers in this group howling their azzes off watching you come apart with such remote accusations. Someday, I may permit you to be clued in.

331. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 15:46:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21383-41DEAEC6-100@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193971

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
news:gqoqt0divtnu90pi33pcd5fi3bcjrsqiic@4ax.com:
I have hardly been "bewildered". I told you and everyone else how it was
done. It was done by utilizing a 7805 regulator to create a steady 5V
for the bias supply. The 5V was then dropped through a resistor and
applied to the base of each of the 2290 devices. The bias voltage was
limited to .6V by utilizing a forward biased diode on each transistor,
which was placed on top of each "pill" for heat tracking and stability.
It's not rocket science, for someone who knows what they're doing. You
know, I might just take a some pictures of the project and put them on
my website just to squash your incessant babble.

>Exactly dave you just added ab-1 bias to the

>am which certainly would clean it up, but what

>would the spoiled kid in Tampa know he

>doesnt even solder his mike plugs on.

_
I'm not in Tampa. And I do solder my own mic plugs at this
point,,,sometimes. But when one has access to super techs like myself,
it allows me more relax time to do more valuable and constructive things
with my time, such as making certain your continuing education begins
and ends when I choose. But damn it man, just wait until I start
soldering linears.

:).....

332. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 15:51:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21384-41DEAFE9-32@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193972

N3CVJ wrote:
>The reverse can be applied to Nader. He

>appeals to the hard core left,

You continue to reaffirm you haven't the foggiest.

333. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 15:57:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21384-41DEB167-34@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193973

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a

>channel and pick out who the most blatant

>illegal operators are simply by the sound of

>their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?

When the dx is running strong, that is exactly what people are trying to tell you. I find it absoutely astounding this is lost upon you in lieu of your recent comments self-professing an incredible amount of adept and technical radio knowledge. Coupled with your claim concerning roger beeps and echo on cb being illegal (they're not) merely because you were unable to locate a rule specifically permitting their use, and it merits repeating.....

Irony: When some of those licensed for communications know the least about their chosen endeavor.

334. Re: twithed cracks, hides behind aliases and spews stupidity!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 16:12:15 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <zxyDd.131986$AL5.24580@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193974

YAWN....

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104956432.779265.20870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Yes, he definitely is NOT George Bush. To be anything like him you
> would have to have more than that set of chemically shrunken raisins in
> your pantyhose.
>
> Again, twithed proves the AKC right. He has again gone off playing the
> dozens armed with only a 6 shot squirt gun.
> Always fun to watch you lose it, twitgal. Thank you.
>

335. Re: twithed begs AKC to stop! can't take it-cries out in frustration!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 16:12:46 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <2yyDd.131987$AL5.67435@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 193975

YAWN....

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104956746.653844.158540@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> thwithed lisped behind his aliass:
>
> "A better reception for your deviance can probably be had in groups
> that share your perversions."
>
> His owners respond:
>
> We won't stop and you can't stop us. Whining for us to do it won't
> help.
>

336. Steveo's Transgender Liberation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 16:17:06 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105114626.116899.184600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf, rec.autos.sport.nascar

"If you're a drag enthusiast or just a tourist (and who isn't one or the other?), Dragazine invites you to dish with us! For those of you who are into 'recreational transvestism,' to others who are on the edge of the Gender Bell Curve, Dragazine is for you!" So says Lois Commondenominator (publisher, editrix, head drag queen) in the "Edie Torial Message" to issue 8 of Dragazine. This slick black and white magazine is filled with camp, humor, and fun.

This issue features a report from Wigstock 94: "Practically any notable person who's ever worn a wig, short of Burt Reynolds, has appeared at Wigstock, the annual Labor Day drag festival that brings love and hairpiece to New York's great, unruly outdoors." There's an interview with porn star Lana Luster, who remakes classic movies into transsexual pornography ("It would be nice to do a good take off on 'Gone with the Wind.' I'd love to see what I could do with Scarlett O'Hara.")

337. Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 16:27:24 UTC
From: Twistedhed <Twistedhed@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <41deb86c$0$18297$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 193977

Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw this hot sweet babe on t.v.
man.I just had to whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy Moore I cummed.Then
I got hard again right away and had start yankin' my crank some more Mandy
Moore kept makin' me cum and cum again.I was so worn out after watching her
hour and fourty minute movie from cummin like seven times.Im very sure Im
must be in love.

What do you think?

338. Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 16:36:29 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41deb906_4@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 193978

Anyone heard from Dave lately? He seems to have fallen from the face of the earth. He doesn't answer emails or anything.
--
Kevin, WB5RUE

339. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 17:38:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com>
Source record: 193979

On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall) wrote:
>>So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
>
>>channel and pick out who the most blatant
>
>>illegal operators are simply by the sound of
>
>>their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
>
>
>When the dx is running strong, that is exactly what people are trying to
>tell you.

The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
of any particular transmission could not be made.

> I find it absoutely astounding this is lost upon you

That's not surprising considering you once tried to tell me (and the
group) that a 4 watt skip station 1000 miles away could potentially
walk on top of a 4 watt station a half mile away, totally disregarding
the effects of R.F. path loss.

>of your recent comments self-professing an incredible amount of adept
>and technical radio knowledge. Coupled with your claim concerning roger
>beeps and echo on cb being illegal (they're not) merely because you were
>unable to locate a rule specifically permitting their use, and it merits

There are specific rules which specifically prohibit devices used for
"entertainment" and "amusement" purposes. There is also a specific
rule which outlines permitted tone signals. A Roger Beep is not listed
under permissible tone signals. Following simple logic, since there is
no valid rule which permits a particular device, then the device
defaults to one of "amusement or entertainment" status and is
prohibited.

So therefore it can be assumed that a roger beep and (even more
definite) an echo box could be considered "entertainment" or
"amusement" devices and, as such, are specifically prohibited.

You can make the point that the FCC doesn't care enough to make a case about these things, and I would probably agree with you. But the fact remains that they are prohibited by the rules.

>Irony: When some of those licensed for communications know the least
>about their chosen endeavor.

Bigger Irony: Someone with obvious comprehensive issues chastising others for the same flaw.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

340. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 18:01:19 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41dece6b.1504734@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193980

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 07:29:04 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in news:41de891b.990875
>@news.easynews.com:
>
>> On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 21:46:41 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland <wÃ¯renut@NOSPAMÃ¯cehouse.net> wrote in
>>>news:ncsrt0dlsmtg9g34v1f2i0oa8a0uoii2mg@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> what is the difference between the bias on an AB1 and
>>>>>an AB2
>>>
>>>
>>> Class ab2 an amplifier with higher bias than the class ab1 amplifier.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Or better yet, what is the difference between the bias on an AB1 and
>>>>an AB2? (transistor amp)
>>>
>>>
>>>No such animal those numbers are for tube use only
>>>
>>>1 indicating that the tube does not draw any grid current, and 2
>>>indicates that the grid voltage is above 0 volts and a positive grid
>>>voltage, causes the grid to draw current.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Why did you snip the original post apart where you told Dave to bias
>> his transistor amp bias to .6 volts to run class AB1?
>
>Why did you lie? i never said that, this is my reply to dave
>
>"Exactly dave you just added ab-1 bias to the am which certainly would
>clean it up, but what would the spoiled kid in Tampa know he doesnt even
>solder his mike plugs on. "

Forget it, its not worth arguing over..

341. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 18:04:09 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41dfcee8.1630078@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193981

On 07 Jan 2005 13:30:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 07 Jan 2005 02:52:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
>> >> it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>> >>
>> >Nah, we've had warmer weather and rain/sleet/slush lately. Did plow from
>> >midnight till 9 this morning tho..needed a big azz'd squeegee instead of
>> >a plow.. You're right, very strange weather patterns.
>> >
>> >How did your SW radio Christmas present go over?
>>
>> I gave it to him, but didn't notice someone had soldered the contacts
>> shut on the mode switch. It still works, I just need to track down a
>> new switch for it. He's having a ball with it, likes it even better
>> than the videos games he got..
>>
>Cool..now you've created a monster! :D

Hopefully the right kind.. get him away from the computers and video
games and into radio..

342. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 18:06:56 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e0cf93.1801578@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 193983

On 07 Jan 2005 16:27:24 GMT, "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net>
wrote:

>
>Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw this hot sweet babe on t.v.
>man.I just had to whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy Moore I cummed.Then
>I got hard again right away and had start yankin' my crank some more Mandy
>Moore kept makin' me cum and cum again.I was so worn out after watching her
>hour and fourty minute movie from cummin like seven times.Im very sure Im
>must be in love.
>
>What do you think?

Twist you moved to Belgium?

343. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 18:08:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <a9itt09tmmm2dutiqfmp8satl7e0t928oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 193982

On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:08:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:16:33 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>>So you are denying that the majority of the
>>"big
>>radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of
>>high power?

>Apparently, that is a an argument you are having with yourself.

>>No, you are trying to claim that there are no
>>illegal operators on 6, based on your rejection
>>to my claim that what I can hear on almost a
>>daily basis is in fact illegal.
>
>
> I claimed nothing of the sort. I claimed only that
>your claim is bullshit, which it is.

So which is it? If you are denying my claim that there are illegal
stations on channel 6, then by simple inverse logic, you are claiming
that there are NO illegal stations on channel 6. If, you acknowledge
that there are, in fact, illegal stations on channels 6, then my claim
cannot be false.

You REALLY need a course in logic.

Or will you try to weasel out of it by claiming that the term "high
power" is ambiguous?

>Your personal feelings are not facts, despite how many times you invoke
>them as such. Let's look at it again since you still can not grasp it.
>You said
>
>>`channel 6, which is notorious for harboring
>
>>the dregs of society, who regularly run high
>
>>power, is all the "evidence" I need, to
>
>>determine that the station in question is in fact,
>>llegal."
>

>
>
>Once again, your personal feelings are not facts. That illegal operation
>occurs on such a channel was never contested by myself

Then you have to agree with my statement that the majority of big
radio stations are running illegally.

>, despite your
>deperate attempt at trying to say it was. I merely claimed your ersonal
>feelings cited above are in no manner "evidence".

The fact that these stations exist and are illegal are a matter of
record for anyone who's ever spent any time there. My "personal
feelings" notwithstanding.

How do you think I gathered the evidence that prompted me to make that
claim? It was based on empirical observation.

>The FCC knows the reputation of channel 6 also, only they have protocol
>to determine if someone is breaking the law, not personal feelings they
>refer to as "empirical evidence" as you do.

You are up a tree now. How do you think the FCC makes the
determination that a specific high powered station is worthy of
further investigation? Do you think a little empirical observation
just MIGHT be a clue?

The FCC is able to make a quantitative analysis by inspecting the
physical station to determine just HOW illegal they are. But I don't
need to be that precise. Just knowing that they ARE illegal is all
that matters.

Because I can't follow through beyond the initial observation stage,
you think that means that my observations are invalid? Boy are you
naive and devoid of comprehensive abilities.

>Your personal feelings are not "facts".
>
>>No but my trained observations skills can be
>>considered as strong evidence to the positive.
>
>
>
>No,,,it can not. It is personal testimony to be taken into
>consideration.

Look up "expert witness" for a clue.

> It is intangible and can not be entered as evidence, only
>supporting testimony.

This is not a court of law. I need to convince no one. And you aren't
denying it either. You just want to argue the point because *I* made

it. The deeper you go in the "debate", the wackier and off the wall
your retorts become. Such as your next statement:

> Huge difference where the law is concerned, but
>with your demonstrated hate and disdain for the law and your fellow
>hammie and cb operators

This is absolutely side splitting, coming from an admitted federal law
breaker, to accuse ME of harboring hate and disdain for the law.

>, it's crystal clear you have no clue of the law
>that pertains and governs your chosen hobby.

What IS clear is that you twist and obfuscate the law to fit into what
you think it is, and not what it truly says.

You will defend the dubious legality of an obvious "entertainment"
device, but see nothing wrong with operating on clearly unauthorized
frequencies, or running power beyond the legal limit.

Such is the nature of a sociopathic mind.

> You demonstrated this when
>you held roger beeps and echo illegal on cb because you "couldn't find a
>rule that permitted them".

Because there aren't any. Otherwise you would have posted it. But
there ARE rules which specifically prohibit devices used for
"amusement or entertainment".

>>Yes, that part is my personal opinion.
>
>See what you can learn when you are force fed? At the beginning of this
>thread, you claimed it was fact, now, after proper instruction, you
>admit it is "personal opinion". Good show.

Only the first part is. The second part was empirical observation

Dave
"Sandbagger"

344. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 18:15:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <k3ktt0lm9rdspu9scthf6n4oe4i13vq4c3@4ax.com>
Source record: 193984

On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:19:19 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:10:13 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>My "point" was illustrated yesterday when you said this:
>_
>N3CVJ wrote:
>>I do not shoot skip. I don't LIKE skip. When I
>
>>used to use an amplifier, it was to GET OVER
>
>>or chase it off the channel
>
>But this next post was made when you were using that amplifier...

in 1975, a Texas Star didn't exist.

Next......

>
>>After talking skip internationally on the
>>freeband channels, on SSB, I gradually lose
>>interest in skip
>_
>>Different time periods.
>
>Right, the claim about when you USED to use an amp it wasn't to talk
>skip, was made the other day. The claim about you talking skip with
>your amplifier was made long ago. It illustrates your statement the
>other day was bullshit.....but a mere lie in another of your long list
>of self-perjuries.

Once again for the perpetually comprehensively inhibited: I talked
skip in the middle 70's. I used my amps to get over it from then on.

>That's pretty funny considering only you are having difficulty with your
>communication skills at this level and are madly trying to misattribute
>things that were never said to others.

No that's what you're doing. I'm not the one who cut and pasted a
quote from ICECOLDNYC and erroneously claimed it was mine.

>Besides, it doesn;t take a rocket scientist to understand the kind of
>operator you are,,,you already admitted to being the worse kind of
>operator that exists.

Someone who didn't take crap from idiots? Yea, I'm guilty. But unless you're one of those idiots, you can hardly make a valid claim that my "style" is the "worst kind of operator that exists". I've done more to help other CB'ers than you could possibly imagine.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

345. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 18:34:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6nktt0hdr07mdre9ebva5bpiskeaglj5ru@4ax.com>
Source record: 193985

On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:34:54 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>
>No one understands your "dynamics" nor your "empiricle evdence" nor your
>incorrect blathers about echo and roger beeps being illegal on cb.

No, only you have a problem with straight talk. You prefer speaking
with forked tongue.

>That you are unable to find a rule expressly permitting roger beeps on
>cb, is all the "dynamics" on needs to understanding your twisted world.

Already addressed. Move on.

>> (You know all about paybacks right?).
>
>f you are attempting to draw some type false analogy between my typed
>words and your committed actions, you better dig a little deeper in your
>desperation bag,,,,,whoops, nothing left.

See, you can comprehend things when you really want to! It's funny
that what you do here today, is so similar to what I used to do in the
1970's. The thing is, in 1974 I was 14, so what's your excuse for your
immature and antisocial behavior today?

>>Plus, I was a teenager then. That should
>
>>explain everything.
>
>
>No, Dave, you weren't a teenager when you were making these posts, but
>good luck on trying to get the contingency to believe your
>frequent-flowing lies.

Are you caught in some form of dissociative time warp? The internet
didn't exist (at least not in this form) when I was making noise on
the band. The fact that I can tell stories from back then, does not
mean that I'm still doing the same thing.

If I write a recollection of an event which occurred 30 years ago,
does that mean that what I write about is still true?

>I never splattered, never ran huge power, never was a jerk on the air,
>even as a child, I not only knew better, I was taught better.
>
>>But you see nothing wrong with breaking

>>federal communications law as an adult? It
>>would seem that respect for the law was a
>>subject skipped over when you was "learned".
>
>
>Respect for the law has abolutely nothing to do with selective
>disregardment.

It has EVERYTHING to do with it. How can you claim to respect the law,
when you feel you have the right to ignore it when it suits your
purpose?

>But you do, Dave. Your lies come to fast and furious for there to be any
>truth in your statements, In fact, you have become one of the most
>unreliable posters in this group when it comes to anything at all.

Second only to you in that regard.

> All
>your posts are suspect, at best.

Only by you. No one else has made any claims of the sort. Except
perhaps Frank, who's smarting a bit because he can't deal with a
conservative who employs logic.

> Your agenda has been ilustrated, as has
>your hypocrisy, contradictions, deliberate misattributions to others,
>and lies, But then again, where would this group be without lids like
>you to keep us ahead of your game...

It would be forced to deal with sociopath like you, and your warped
views on the way the world is.

>
>>It would seem that you still need to mature
>>enough to learn respect for the law...

>I have incredible respect for the law.

And you prove it every day when you disregard the law which prohibits
you from utilizing more than the 40 legal class D channels.

> In fact, there are two
>sandbaggers in this group howling their azzes off watching you come
>apart with such remote accusations. Someday, I may permit you to be
>clued in.

Someday I may clue you in to just how a psychological study of your
posting habits was included in someone I know's psychology report on
deviant behaviors.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

346. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 18:35:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ailtt0tkplmv946i2of95obc6hb5mfoeqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 193986

On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:46:14 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>news:gqoqt0divtnu90pi33pcd5fi3bcjrsqiic@4ax.com:
>I have hardly been "bewildered". I told you and everyone else how it was
>done. It was done by utilizing a 7805 regulator to create a steady 5V
>for the bias supply. The 5V was then dropped through a resistor and
>applied to the base of each of the 2290 devices. The bias voltage was
>limited to .6V by utilizing a forward biased diode on each transistor,
>which was placed on top of each "pill" for heat tracking and stability.
>It's not rocket science, for someone who knows what they're doing. You
>know, I might just take a some pictures of the project and put them on
>my website just to squash your incessant babble.
>
>>Exactly dave you just added ab-1 bias to the
>
>>am which certainly would clean it up, but what
>
>>would the spoiled kid in Tampa know he
>
>>doesnt even solder his mike plugs on.
>
>_
>I'm not in Tampa.

Interesting. He didn't deny the "spoiled" or the "kid" part......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

347. Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 20:19:52 UTC
From: Jimmie <Gfender@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <I9CDd.4536$hQ6.349574@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 193987

Just finished a project of converting my old 4 sweep tube amp to 4cx250. I f the amp uses a doubler type power supply forget it. Might as well build from scratch. Bridge type power supplies can be converted to doublers that will give about 1600 VDC. At this voltage power out of the 4cx250 will be about the same as 4 sweep tubes. Im getting about 800 watts PEP. Dont even think about running 2 4cx250s, OF course you could but the rest of the amp parts will never handle it and again you might as well build from scratch.

348. Re: Transmission line vswr with unmathced generator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 20:34:20 UTC
From: Jimmie <Gfender@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gnCDd.4537$hQ6.350491@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 193988

"ozgun.harmanci" <ozgun.harmanci@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1104813117.849208.311840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Hi everybody, I am troubled with transmission line vswr, I hope this is
> the right place to ask this question. Is the sr formula same with
> unmatched generator? I mean I know that
>
> SWR = (1 + |Gamma_Load|) / (1 - |Gamma_Load|)
>
> Gamma_Load is reflection coefficient at load side.
>
> But I think there is a problem with this formula when generator is
> unmatched to the transmission line so that there is also a reflection
> at generator side. Is that correct or am i making a mistake somewhere?
> Thanx in advance.
> Arif.
>
You may have something there for the first few microseconds of the
transmission but after a quiescent state is reached all that matters is the
impedance of the line and the load. It has been argued if not settled that
power sent back to the generator is not reflected again but either adds or
subtracts from the generator power.

349. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 20:37:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6852-41DEF31E-1066@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 193989

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a

>channel and pick out who the most blatant

>illegal operators are simply by the sound of

>their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?

When the dx is running strong, that is exactly what people are trying to
tell you.

>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.

It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
of...taa daaa....skip.

>The only effect that "DX" may have is

>heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any

>case, when my observations were made, the

>"DX" was not running heavy enough that a

>clean sample of any particular transmission

>could not be made.

You can qualify it away now, but your original claim is still bullshit.

_
I find it absoutely astounding this is lost upon you

>That's not surprising considering you once

>tried to tell me (and the group) that a 4 watt

>skip station 1000 miles away could potentially

>walk on top of a 4 watt station a half mile

>away,

Absolutely. In fact, I have taught you many things regarding HF propagation and communication law of which you have no clue.

>totally disregarding the effects of R.F.

>.path loss.

Never. That last part was added desperation.

-
Coupled with your claim concerning roger beeps and echo on cb being illegal (they're not) merely because you were unable to locate a rule specifically permitting their use, and it merits

>There are specific rules which specifically

>prohibit devices used for "entertainment" and

>"amusement" purposes.

But only you continue to err and place such in that category. Your argument is with the FCC, not those of us who are able to correctly understand their law.

>There is also a specific rule which outlines

>permitted tone signals. A Roger Beep is not

>listed under permissible tone signals.

>Following simple logic, since there is no valid

>rule which permits a particular device, then the
>device defaults to one of "amusement or

>entertainment" status and is prohibited.

That isn't simple logic, that's but an openly biased albeit incorrect interpretation based on nothing more than your past stated disdain for such items and your ignorance of the law that governs your hobby.

>So therefore it can be assumed that a roger

>beep and (even more definite) an echo box

>could be considered "entertainment" or

>"amusement" devices and, as such, are

>specifically prohibited.

Only by yourself.

>You can make the point that the FCC doesn't

>care enough to make a case about these

>things, and I would probably agree with you.

Not only would I never make such an invalid comparison, I disagree with such a statement.
Email the fcc and ask them about your claim, Dave.

>But the fact remains that they are prohibited

>by the rules.

Insisting on remaining ignorant is your right at all cost.

Irony: When some of those licensed for communications know the least about their chosen endeavor.

>Bigger Irony: Someone with obvious

>comprehensive issues chastising others for

>the same flaw.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

This is quite simple, really....me: 100% correct..you: 100% wrong.

350. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:01:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6852-41DF06D2-1080@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194060

From: "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net> Sender: "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net> Reply to: "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net> Subject: Busy tunning myself up Group: rec.radio.cb X-User-Info: 163.178.18.3 163.178.18.3 Date: Fri, Jan 7, 2005, 4:27pm (EST+5) Organization: -= Belgacom Usenet Service =- X-Trace: 1105115244 news.skynet.be 18297 194.78.47.63:4603 X-Complaints-To: usenet-abuse@skynet.be

>Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw

>this hot sweet babe on t.v. man.I just had to

>whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy

>Moore I cummed.Then I got hard again right

>away and had start yankin' my crank some

>more Mandy Moore kept makin' me cum and

>cum again.I was so worn out after watching

>her hour and fourty minute movie from

>cummin like seven times.Im very sure Im must
>be in love.

>What do you think?

I think your stupidity got the better of you and this forge is an attempt of soothing your damaged ego. After all, I'm not abusing you, it just seems that way because you know no better. After all, you always did hate yourself and want to be me.

351. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:16:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107161625.777$wl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193990

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
-major snipper-
> Back then "We the People" were mostly Christian people, with solid
> moral values and a greater sense of personal responsibility. I don't
> want to see this country degrade from it's former glory by forgetting
> that.
>
Amen. I may be going to hell in a bucket, but at least I'm enjoying
the ride. ($1-grateful)

352. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:18:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6853-41DEFCAD-349@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194046

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:08:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:16:33 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
>So you are denying that the majority of the

>"big

>radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of

>high power?

Apparently, that is a an argument you are having with yourself.

>No, you are trying to claim that there are no

>illegal operators on 6, based on your rejection

>to my claim that what I can hear on almost a

>daily basis is in fact illegal.

  I claimed nothing of the sort. I claimed only that your claim is
bullshit, which it is.

>So which is it? If you are denying my claim

>that there are illegal stations on channel 6,

>then by simple inverse logic, you are claiming

>that there are NO illegal stations on channel 6.

That isn't inverse logic, that's illogic invoked by yourself. Once
again, since you are displaying an uncanny sense of self-cornfusion, the
legality of stations on channel 6 was never the issue. The only issue
was the manner in which you claimed you could tell they were illegal.

>If, you acknowledge that there are, in fact,

>illegal stations on channels 6, then my claim

>cannot be false.

>You REALLY need a course in logic.

>Or will you try to weasel out of it by claiming

>that the term "high power" is ambiguous?

See above.
Your personal feelings are not facts, despite how many times you invoke them as such. Let's look at it again since you still can not grasp it.
You (N3CVJ)said:

>`channel 6, which is notorious for harboring

>the dregs of society, who regularly run high

>power, is all the "evidence" I need, to

>determine that the station in question is in fact,
>llegal."

Once again, your personal feelings are not facts. That illegal operation occurs on such a channel was never contested by myself.

>Then you have to agree with my statement

>that the majority of big radio stations are

>running illegally.

Pay attention, Dave, that claim was never opposed.
I merely claimed your personal feelings cited above are in no manner "evidence".

>The fact that these stations exist and are

>illegal are a matter of record for anyone who's

>ever spent any time there. My "personal

>feelings" notwithstanding.

Fine,,but the mere fact they exist, and (here we go Dave,,pay attention once again, only for a short while longer)...is NOT a "fact"attesting to their legality, nor is your original claim, which has been soundly defeated.

.
>How do you think I gathered the evidence that

>prompted me to make that claim? It was

>based on empirical observation.

Neat. You went from obtaining what you mistakenly and erroneously referred "empirical evidence" to obtaining "empirical observation", afterwards (in retrospect). We follow your logic, Dave. Truly.

The FCC knows the reputation of channel 6
also, only they have protocol to determine if
someone is breaking the law, not personal
feelings they refer to as "empirical evidence" as you do.

>You are up a tree now. How do you think the

>FCC makes the determination that a specific

>high powered station is worthy of further

>investigation? Do you think a little empirical

>observation just MIGHT be a clue?

Not by you. Just like coming from Jerry, they are mere allegations and by no means considered "empirical observation". The FCC, or their assigned designee MUST witness an infraction prior to action be taken against individual, other than a mere warning.
Tell me more about this tree that has you pizzing all over yourself with errors.

>The FCC is able to make a quantitative

>analysis by inspecting the physical station to

>determine just HOW illegal they are.

Keep grabbing at other subjects. You;re bound to find one you know *sonething* about.

> But I don't need to be that precise.

In order to determine if one is guilty and to be called a criminal, you most certainly do.

>Just knowing that they ARE illegal is all that

>matters.

You can't make that judgement and it pisses you off. Only a court of law can determine one's guilt,,even if they *are* guilty, the referring to one as criminal without that person being convicted in a court of law can be both libel and slander.

>Because I can't follow through beyond the

>initial observation stage, you think that means

>that my observations are invalid?

You already proved your observations are completely skewered because you base them on incorrect information.

>Boy are you naive and devoid of

>comprehensive abilities.

Your personal feelings are not "facts".

>No but my trained observations skills can be

>considered as strong evidence to the positive.

Did you train yourself, Dave? What special training did you receive,
regarding these observation skills you feel important enough to invoke?
No,,,it can not. It is personal testimony to be taken into
consideration.

>Look up "expert witness" for a clue.

You are no expert. Referring to yourself as "expert" doesn't make it so.
My gosh Dave, I have never seen you so starved for status.

_
 It is intangible and can not be entered as evidence, only supporting
testimony.

>This is not a court of law.

And as such, you have no right calling another a criminal based on your
(1) "empirical evidence". Such was shown to be nothing more than your
personal opinion. You were made to acknowledge and change your plea
concerning what you previously and erroneously referred. Your "empirical
evidence" morphed into "empirical observations", which can actually be
an oxymoron in itself, coming from you, but that's another story for
anotther day.

> I need to convince

>no one. And you aren't denying it either. You

>just want to argue the point because *I* made

>it. The deeper you go in the "debate", the

>wackier and off the wall your retorts become.

>Such as your next statement:

  Huge difference where the law is concerned, but with your
demonstrated hate and disdain for the law and your fellow hammie and cb
operators

>This is absolutely side splitting, coming from

>an admitted federal law breaker, to accuse ME
>of harboring hate and disdain for the law.

You not only laughed at those you were bleeding and thumbed your nose
with your operating habits, you were as verbally abusive on the air as
you are in this group. You base your false allegations against others
based on nothing more than your incorrect and flawed interpretation of
the law. An example is you incorrectly holding one who violates the dx
law as a "federal criminal." Your argument of *why* you consider such to
be true (it isn''t),,,is the sidesplitting material.

It's crystal clear you have no clue of the law that pertains and governs
your chosen hobby.
What IS clear is that you twist and obfuscate the law to fit into what

you think it is, and not what it truly says.

>You will defend the dubious legality of an

>obvious "entertainment" device,

it's not dubious at all Dave. It's cut and dry. email the fcc and ask them. You are the only one expressing such difficulty in interpretating their rules.

>but see

>nothing wrong with operating on clearly

>unauthorized frequencies, or running power

>beyond the legal limit.

On target-specific frequencies, and I don't run but 100 watts, the exact wattage YOU claimed would not be a problem for freebanders if they were running a clean station, which I always have, unlike yourself.
Your problem has always been your approach. The amount of time spent on the freeband is miniscule compared to where I usually spend my time, yet due to your overt concern with my personal world, you choose to focus only on illegal freeband activity. Nevermind I am in complete compliance with a past post of yours concerning how operators operate on the freeband, this is a new day and a new contadiction from yourself.

>Such is the nature of a sociopathic mind.

Not only do you fancy yourself an expert witness and radio technical guru, you suffer from the Walter Mitty complex and fabcy yourself a physician.
You have certainly illustrated how starved you are for status, Dave. I hope you gain some self-confidence some day and can be satisfied with who you are and not who your delusions dictate.

–
You demonstrated this when
you held roger beeps and echo illegal on cb because you "couldn't find a rule that permitted them".

>Because there aren't any. Otherwise you

>would have posted it.

I know enough not to search for negatives.

>But there ARE rules which specifically prohibit

>devices used for "amusement or

>entertainment".

>Yes, that part is my personal opinion.

See what you can learn when you are force fed? At the beginning of this thread, you claimed it was fact, now, after proper instruction, you admit it is "personal opinion". Good show.

>Only the first part is. The second part was

>empirical observation

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

A much more reasonable observation by yourself.

353. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:19:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107161925.780$kA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193991

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> N3CVJ wrote:
> >The reverse can be applied to Nader. He
>
> >appeals to the hard core left,
>
> You continue to reaffirm you haven't the foggiest.
>
How can you dispute Ralph's left wing appeal, Twist?

354. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:22:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107162230.166$v7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 193992

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall) wrote:
> >So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
>
> >channel and pick out who the most blatant
>
> >illegal operators are simply by the sound of
>
> >their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
>
> When the dx is running strong,
>
Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line is over-driven..even on local
ground wave. That said, there -are- way yonder too many splatter-masters on
11 meters.

355. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:26:59 UTC
From: Daniel <sabot120mm@foad.com>
Message-ID: <D8DDd.418$KJ2.10@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 193993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

"I-zheet M'drurz" <diespammer@icubed.com> wrote in message
news:Xns95D6CB441205Ftomicubedcom@130.133.1.4...
> The Grim Reaper wrote:
>
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?
>>
>> Tom and Doug should get a room. That is if Doug brings his
>> child with him.
>
> Can't resist, can you Loser?

I'm surprised that he lasted this long. The lack of attention must have
finally broken him.

356. Re: N8WWM is a cock sucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:28:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107162811.572$Bb_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194044
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.autos.sport.nascar

Dunno about doug being a cock sucker, but he -is- the fugliest thing
I've seen walking upright. (have a gander at the freak side-show)

http://tinyurl.com/6wzpx

(ps, TP. this is the fuckstick that's posting your address)

357. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:30:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107163004.103$5S@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Do you use a spoon when you're 'tunning' yourself, freak?

358. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:31:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107163114.449$uZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194047

"Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Anyone heard from Dave lately? He seems to have fallen from the face of
> the earth. He doesn't answer emails or anything.
>
Hi Kevin.

I've not heard a word from him in a good while. Hope he's OK.

359. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:32:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107163242.759$g1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194048

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> Forget it, its not worth arguing over..
>
That would make a good sig file for this board, Lancer. :)

360. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:32:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6852-41DF0000-1073@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194053

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:19:19 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:10:13 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
My "point" was illustrated yesterday when you said this:

_
N3CVJ wrote:
>I do not shoot skip. I don't LIKE skip. When I

>used to use an amplifier, it was to GET OVER

>or chase it off the channel

But this next post was made when you were using that amplifier...

>in 1975, a Texas Star didn't exist.

>Next......

Gee Dave, I'll bet you talk yourself based on nothing more than your
unique habit of typing to yourself...

>After talking skip internationally on the

>freeband channels, on SSB, I gradually lose

>interest in skip

_
>Different time periods.

Right, the claim about when you USED to use an amp it wasn't to talk
skip, was made the other day. The claim about you talking skip with your
amplifier was made long ago. It illustrates your statement the other day
was bullshit.....but a mere lie in another of your long list of
self-perjuries.

>Once again for the perpetually

>comprehensively inhibited: I talked skip in the

>middle 70's.

You said you *never* liked it and *didn't* talk skip. It was shown you
did. Your admission accepted.

>I used my amps to get over it from then on.

(shrug) ,,what I wanted clarified, was indeed,

–
That's pretty funny considering only you are having difficulty with your communication skills at this level and are madly trying to misattribute things that were never said to others.

>No that's what you're doing. I'm not the one

>who cut and pasted a quote from

>ICECOLDNYC and erroneously claimed it was
>mine.

Nope, you sure aren't. You're the one that has such a damaged ego, you need foster the self-purported notion you hold various degrees, are an expert witness to the courts, and claim doing over 70 mph makes one a "federal criminal".

–

Besides, it doesn;t take a rocket scientist to understand the kind of operator you are,,,you already admitted to being the worse kind of operator that exists.

>Someone who didn't take crap from idiots?

The idiot was self-explanatory in your posts.

> Yea, I'm guilty

No doubt.

>. But unless you're one of those idiots, you can
>hardly make a valid claim that my "style" is the
>"worst kind of operator that exists".

One of them.

>I've done more to help other CB'ers than you

>could possibly imagine.

You're right. I can't imagine one who was such an ass on the air at anytime in their life, has changed their ways, and I say this only in light of your actions and comments on this group. Granted, many of your comments were made out of ignorance, but ignorance is no excuse when it comes to the law you so often misquote ad misinterpret.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

361. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:35:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107163522.055$Zf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194049

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 07 Jan 2005 13:30:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 07 Jan 2005 02:52:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really
> >> >> wierd, it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
> >> >>
> >> >Nah, we've had warmer weather and rain/sleet/slush lately. Did plow
> >> >from midnight till 9 this morning tho..needed a big azz'd squeegee
> >> >instead of a plow.. You're right, very strange weather patterns.
> >> >
> >> >How did your SW radio Christmas present go over?
> >>
> >> I gave it to him, but didn't notice someone had soldered the contacts
> >> shut on the mode switch. It still works, I just need to track down a
> >> new switch for it. He's having a ball with it, likes it even better
> >> than the videos games he got..
> >>
> >Cool..now you've created a monster! :D
>
> Hopefully the right kind.. get him away from the computers and video
> games and into radio..
>
Yes. But we all know what a radio jones feels like for new gear. I like
that idea for a gift. 10-4
```

362. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:37:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107163720.500$yk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194050

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 07 Jan 2005 16:27:24 GMT, "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw this hot sweet babe on
> >t.v. man.I just had to whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy Moore
> >I cummed.Then I got hard again right away and had start yankin' my crank
> >some more Mandy Moore kept makin' me cum and cum again.I was so worn out
> >after watching her hour and fourty minute movie from cummin like seven
> >times.Im very sure Im must be in love.
> >
> >What do you think?
>
> Twist you moved to Belgium?
>
Dang! Twist has me taking pictures of a boat he's gonna operate over
there!? Can you speak that lingo, Twist?

363. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:39:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107163952.453$mh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194051

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> Someone who didn't take crap from idiots? Yea, I'm guilty.
>
I used to like dropping a KW on them with my mark IV. Now it's not
worth the electricity.

364. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:48:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6852-41DF03B4-1075@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194054

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:34:54 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
No one understands your "dynamics" nor your "empiricle evdence" nor your
incorrect blathers about echo and roger beeps being illegal on cb.
>No, only you have a problem with straight talk.
Try putting some forth,,,,you never have.

>You prefer speaking with forked tongue.

That you are unable to find a rule expressly permitting roger beeps on
cb, is all the "dynamics" on needs to understanding your twisted world.

>Already addressed.

And you're still incorrect.

>Move on.

>(You know all about paybacks right?).

If you are attempting to draw some type false analogy between my typed
words and your committed actions, you better dig a little deeper in your
desperation bag,,,,,whoops, nothing left.
>See, you can comprehend things when you

>really want to! It's funny that what you do here

>today, is so similar to what I used to do in the

>1970's.

No dave, in now way does what I "do" here have any comparison to your
actions that affected other people and infringed upon their right to use
their radio free of interference from yourself.

>The thing is, in 1974 I was 14, so what's your

>excuse for your immature and antisocial

>behavior today?

Again, I knew enough at 14 not to act like you did.

>Plus, I was a teenager then. That should

>explain everything.

No, Dave, you weren't a teenager when you were making these posts, but
good luck on trying to get the contingency to believe your

frequent-flowing lies.

>Are you caught in some form of dissociative

>time warp? The internet didn't exist (at least

>not in this form) when I was making noise on

>the band.

Correct,,,so stop invoking that time period, ,,,i metioned nothing of it, only of the time period when you made your posts concerning your illegal radio activities.

>The fact that I can tell stories from back then,

>does not mean that I'm still doing the same

>thing.

Nope,, but when you make a post that says "I still run an amp" in a thread speaking of ...taa daa..."CB" in a CB group, it most certainly does.

>If I write a recollection of an event which

>occurred 30 years ago, does that mean that

>what I write about is still true?

If you wrote it, chances are, none of it is true.

_
I never splattered, never ran huge power, never was a jerk on the air, even as a child, I not only knew better, I was taught better.

>But you see nothing wrong with breaking

>federal communications law as an adult? It

>would seem that respect for the law was a

>subject skipped over when you was "learned".

Respect for the law has abolutely nothing to do with selective disregardment.

>It has EVERYTHING to do with it. How can

>you claim to respect the law, when you feel

>you have the right to ignore it when it suits

>your purpose?

I never said I had the "right" to disregard it, which is why I (now pay attention here) *selectively* disregard it.
Your agenda has been ilustrated, as has

your hypocrisy, contradictions, deliberate misattributions to others, and lies, But then again, where would this group be without lids like you to keep us ahead of your game...

>It would be forced to deal with sociopath like

>you, and your warped views on the way the

>world is.

One who fancies themself qualified in multi-fields of discilinary studies, but who isn't, is much more in line with a sociopath than any claim you make concerning such.

>It would seem that you still need to mature

>enough to learn respect for the law...

I have incredible respect for the law.

>And you prove it every day when you

>disregard the law which prohibits you from

>utilizing more than the 40 legal class D

>channels.

The only thing proved here is your ignorance arrived via arrogance. As illustrated, you have many delusions concerning yourself and your qualifications, so it comes as no surprise when you foster delusions of myself, such as disregarding the law "every day"..but such an approach does justify your behavior to that inner twisted psyche.

_
In fact, there are two
sandbaggers in this group howling their azzes off watching you come apart with such remote accusations. Someday, I may permit you to be clued in.

>Someday I may clue you in to just how a

>psychological study of your posting habits was
>included in someone I know's psychology

>report on deviant behaviors.

That would be so rich, if you knew who the hell you were responding, especially in lieu of the fact that you have falsely presented yourself as qualified to make the impressions you now cite of another.
All the more curious, is the fact you are asking educated individuals in this group to believe such an alleged professional would dare make a determination based only on a cartoon character and the very carefully crafted information you were tossed. The "deviant", has never been more clear, and for that, I thank you.

>Dave

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

365. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:49:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107164940.276$pf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194052

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:41:05 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> <u9trt0d6angej9v18m6olvq3oub57mf7gs@news.easynews.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
> >it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>
> You're down there in Texas, right? So what's this I hear about Houston
> being declared the city with the fattest people in the US?
>
You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank? Isn't -everything-
bigger in Texas?

366. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:54:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6852-41DF04FE-1076@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194055

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
N3CVJ wrote:
>The reverse can be applied to Nader. He

>appeals to the hard core left,

You continue to reaffirm you haven't the foggiest

>How can you dispute Ralph's left wing appeal,

>Twist?

Not the hard core left. He's not liberal enough.

367. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 21:57:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6852-41DF05B1-1077@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194056

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall) wrote:
>So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a

>channel and pick out who the most blatant

>illegal operators are simply by the sound of

>their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
-

>>Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line

>>is over-driven..even on local ground wave.

That's what my post affirms.

>>That said, there -are- way yonder too many

>>splatter-masters on 11 meters.

368. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 22:08:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6852-41DF084A-1081@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194061

From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
On 07 Jan 2005 16:27:24 GMT, "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote:
Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw this hot sweet babe on
t.v. man.I just had to whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy Moore
I cummed.Then I got hard again right away and had start yankin' my crank
some more Mandy Moore kept makin' me cum and cum again.I was so worn out
after watching her hour and fourty minute movie from cummin like seven
times.Im very sure Im must be in love.
What do you think?

>Twist you moved to Belgium?

On Global Mountain Time, too. Send ya' some chocolates.

369. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 22:10:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6853-41DF08C4-350@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194063

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
On 07 Jan 2005 16:27:24 GMT, "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote:
Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw this hot sweet babe on
t.v. man.I just had to whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy Moore
I cummed.Then I got hard again right away and had start yankin' my crank
some more Mandy Moore kept makin' me cum and cum again.I was so worn out
after watching her hour and fourty minute movie from cummin like seven
times.Im very sure Im must be in love.
What do you think?

–
>Twist you moved to Belgium?

Dang! Twist has me taking pictures of a boat he's gonna operate over
there!? Can you speak that lingo, Twist?

–

Ha,,,,I talked to Belgium near triple 5 before..they spoke English for
the QSO.

370. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 22:10:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107171058.692$2o@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194057

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall) wrote:
> >So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
>
> >channel and pick out who the most blatant
>
> >illegal operators are simply by the sound of
>
> >their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
> -
>
> >>Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line
>
> >>is over-driven..even on local ground wave.
>
> That's what my post affirms.
>
42. :)

371. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 22:12:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107171210.355$AG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194058

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> N3CVJ wrote:
> >The reverse can be applied to Nader. He
>
> >appeals to the hard core left,
>
> You continue to reaffirm you haven't the foggiest
>
> >How can you dispute Ralph's left wing appeal,
>
> >Twist?
>
> Not the hard core left. He's not liberal enough.
>
When is enough? Once I sign my entire paycheck over?

372. Re: Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 22:17:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107171747.923$X7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194059

AKC_Watchdog@net.com wrote:
> Amps such as that one are ILLEGAL for CBers to even look at.
>
AOL sucks used cock.

373. Re: Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 22:25:40 UTC
From: AKC_Watchdog@net.com
Message-ID: <E%DDd.11268$US.8424@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194062

moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo) wrote:
>AKC_Watchdog@net.com wrote:
>> Amps such as that one are ILLEGAL for CBers to even look at.
>
>AOL sucks used cock.

Ooooh, you traced the IP to AOL, I'm shivering with fright LOL.
What now, Steveo?
Are you going to threaten to beat me up because I mention
amps are illegal in the NG????? LOLOLOLOL

374. Re: Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 22:35:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107173505.596$bs@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194064

AKC_Watchdog@net.com wrote:
> moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo) wrote:
> >AKC_Watchdog@net.com wrote:
> >> Amps such as that one are ILLEGAL for CBers to even look at.
> >
> >AOL sucks used cock.
>
> Ooooh, you traced the IP to AOL, I'm shivering with fright LOL.
>
What trace? 172. is you, coward..wanna see ya smile next time.

375. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 22:37:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107173741.656$DR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194065

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> >Twist you moved to Belgium?
>
> Dang! Twist has me taking pictures of a boat he's gonna operate over
> there!? Can you speak that lingo, Twist?
> _
>
> Ha,,,,I talked to Belgium near triple 5 before..they spoke English for
> the QSO.
>
Didja get any GPS honey hole numbers for over yonder? That would help. :)

376. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 23:45:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107184550.426$9T@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194066

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> news:20050107163242.759$g1 @newsreader.com:
>
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> Forget it, its not worth arguing over..
>
> You are right you put words into my mouth that i didnt say.
>
Huh? I was talking to Lancer.

377. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-07 23:50:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107185006.065$sm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194067

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> news:41e0cf93.1801578@news.easynews.com:
>
> > On 07 Jan 2005 16:27:24 GMT, "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw this hot sweet babe on
> >>t.v. man.I just had to whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy
> >>Moore I cummed.Then I got hard again right away and had start yankin'
> >>my crank some more Mandy Moore kept makin' me cum and cum again.I was
> >>so worn out after watching her hour and fourty minute movie from
> >>cummin like seven times.Im very sure Im must be in love.
> >>
> >>What do you think?
> >
> > Twist you moved to Belgium?
>
> No he spoofed his ip like you do.
>
Webtv is slick..I can't do that over here.

378. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 00:21:10 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <WHFDd.9086$ho.800@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194068

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050107171210.355$AG@newsreader.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>> N3CVJ wrote:
>> >The reverse can be applied to Nader. He
>>
>> >appeals to the hard core left,
>>
>> You continue to reaffirm you haven't the foggiest
>>
>> >How can you dispute Ralph's left wing appeal,
>>
>> >Twist?
>>
>> Not the hard core left. He's not liberal enough.
>>
> When is enough? Once I sign my entire paycheck over?

That'll be soon enough...to pay for GW's "War on Terror".

379. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 00:33:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107193300.404$Eg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194069

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050107171210.355$AG@newsreader.com...
> > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> >> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >> N3CVJ wrote:
> >> >The reverse can be applied to Nader. He
> >>
> >> >appeals to the hard core left,
> >>
> >> You continue to reaffirm you haven't the foggiest
> >>
> >> >How can you dispute Ralph's left wing appeal,
> >>
> >> >Twist?
> >>
> >> Not the hard core left. He's not liberal enough.
> >>
> > When is enough? Once I sign my entire paycheck over?
>
> That'll be soon enough...to pay for GW's "War on Terror".
>
Or not, and let the people that can afford it pay for everyone.

380. Re: Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 00:52:07 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105145527.411077.93800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194070

Steveo wrote:
> AKC_Watchdog@net.com wrote:
> > moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo) wrote:
> > >AKC_Watchdog@net.com wrote:
> > >> Amps such as that one are ILLEGAL for CBers to even look at.
> > >
> > >AOL sucks used cock.
> >
> > Ooooh, you traced the IP to AOL, I'm shivering with fright LOL.
> >
> What trace? 172. is you, coward..wanna see ya smile next time.
Sick weirdo keyclown is you, moron.

381. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 00:53:23 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105145603.055300.28320@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194071

The commies got him. He is in a Chinese prison making amps on an assembly line. Debby went back to Ike Turner.

382. Re: twithed begs AKC to stop! can't take it-cries out in frustration!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 00:54:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105145654.181602.98070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194072

Yaw, ay??? Kinda like when you are in the Petro toilet stall?

383. Re: twithed cracks, hides behind aliases and spews stupidity!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 00:55:08 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105145708.318273.100040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194073

Yawn, we know dude...you yawn open in the Petr toilet stall.

384. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 01:00:16 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105146016.119984.201760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194074

If what you are saying is true you have changed orientation and also
broke up with FUGGLY.

385. Re: twithed cracks, hides behind aliases and spews stupidity!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 01:19:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107201928.696$r8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"N8WWM-Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Yawn, we know dude...you yawn open in the Petr toilet stall.
>
Looks like dogie is sunk now. buh-bye, dogie. (feed your kids)

386. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 01:25:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107202523.928$QA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194076

N8WWM-"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> The commies got him. He is in a Chinese prison making amps on an
> assembly line. Debby went back to Ike Turner.
>
If you said the Lord made him do it, I might give you a listen. He never liked you much, Doug. He told me that over burritos at the local Mexican joint, in North Olmsted.

If you want to get a good read on someone, get in their face, buy them dinner. eh?

http://img101.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img101&image=dogieupclosefugly7mn.jpg

387. Re: Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 01:27:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107202720.252$HG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194077

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > AKC_Watchdog@net.com wrote:
> > > moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo) wrote:
> > > >AKC_Watchdog@net.com wrote:
> > > >> Amps such as that one are ILLEGAL for CBers to even look at.
> > > >
> > > >AOL sucks used cock.
> > >
> > > Ooooh, you traced the IP to AOL, I'm shivering with fright LOL.
> > >
> > What trace? 172. is you, coward..wanna see ya smile next time.
> Sick weirdo keyclown is you, moron.
>
Jump higher. (stop emailing me)

388. FAO SteveO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:11:54 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4pHDd.4310$Nq4.2731@fe11.lga>
Source record: 194078

Did I ever send you the 2510 Cookbook?

389. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:19:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7pgut0pffknjqjqutftquj5s0tjb0e94ae@4ax.com>
Source record: 194079

On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 16:18:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <6853-41DEFCAD-349@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
<snip>
>>So which is it? If you are denying my claim
>
>>that there are illegal stations on channel 6,
>
>>then by simple inverse logic, you are claiming
>
>>that there are NO illegal stations on channel 6.
>
>That isn't inverse logic, that's illogic invoked by yourself. Once
>again, since you are displaying an uncanny sense of self-cornfusion, the
>legality of stations on channel 6 was never the issue. The only issue
>was the manner in which you claimed you could tell they were illegal.

That's Dave -- he grabs a logical fallacy that sounds good and won't
let go come hell or high water.

390. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:19:49 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ipgut0teoe9frgdk6qqvrn0s5v8uq33kr2@4ax.com>
Source record: 194080

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 09:24:00 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <4j1tt09d9b7piid3rgn5947ro1qqd3m023@4ax.com>:

<heavy snippage throughout>
>>That still doesn't explain why they won't release the raw exit poll
>>data. And you fail to realize the simple fact that 'mainstream media'
>>is predominately owned and operated by huge corporations that strongly
>>favor the Republicans. If they slant in any direction it's going to be
>>towards the conservatives.
>
>
>You obviously know little about the industry of journalism. It's a
>known given to all, but the most liberal people, that the mainstream
>media (with the exception of Fox) leans very much to the left.

No, that's not a "given". In fact it's quite the opposite. Since the
invention of mass media it has been manipulated by the powers that be,
whether that power is the people, a government, the corporation that
owns the media, or whatever. And there is a very simple and obvious
proof to this: One of the first objectives of an army that invades
another country is to shut down or take over the media. This is
because if they don't the media can be used against them by the
defenders, AND the invaders can use the media to their advantage by
discouraging resistance.

The fact is that there has never been a better source of false
information than the mass media. The only question is where that false
information is coming from. Well, that depends on who controls the
media. In the US the people certainly don't control the media -- it's
controlled by the huge corporations that own it and the government
that regulates it. THAT'S the source of any misinformation you get
from the allegedly "left-biased" or "liberal" media. That's a fact!

> There
>have been several articles and books written on the subject. Dan
>Rather's latest embarrassing escapades should serve as a beacon of
>illumination to the subject.

And you joined him in the hall of shame when you cited that web page
with documents that were also forged.

<snip>
>>You mean like the story about Kerry not receiving an honorable
>>discharge until 2001?
>
>Exactly. It's interesting and telling that you would discard that out

>of hand, yet embrace other articles, which share your ideology, with
>no more credibility.

Who says I "discarded" it? On the contrary, I proved that your
accusation was not just lame, but also quite ignorant. I proved that
sheople like you take the word of others instead of checking the facts
for yourself, which you claimed you did. But if you did you would have
seen that there is nothing sinister at all about Kerry's discharge.
The story was nothing more than propoganda invented to discredit Kerry
and you drove that car without even checking the tires.

<snip>
>Hmmm.. Who watches the watchers?

People who, unlike yourself, have enough ambition to verify the facts
for themselves.

<snip>
>>>Frank, when are you going to realize that you "facts" are nothing more
>>>than YOUR biased opinions. Telling me that my bias is wrong based on
>>>your bias is laughable.
>>
>>
>>".....Ohio's GOP Supreme Court Chief Justice, Thomas Moyer, has
>>refused to recuse himself, even though allegations of vote switching ï¿½
>>where votes cast for one candidate are assigned to another in the
>>computerized tabulation stage ï¿½ involve his own re-election campaign.
>
>Why should he step down? Because someone accused him of something?
>Prove there is a conflict of interest or lay down.

LOL! Conflict of interest is a simple concept. Are you telling me that
you don't understand it?

>>Ohio's official recount was conducted by GOP Secretary of State
>>Kenneth Blackwell, despite widespread protests that his role as
>>co-chair of the state's Bush-Cheney campaign constituted an serious
>>conflict of interest. Blackwell has refused to testify in the election
>>challenge lawsuit alleging massive voter fraud, as have a number of
>>GOP county election supervisors. Blackwell also refuses to explain why
>>he has left more than 106,000 machine-rejected and provisional ballots
>>entirely uncounted.
>
>Who's to say that that number of ballots are really there and that
>they are valid? We all know that the vote count exceeded the
>registered voters.
>
>Another classic case of inventing an issue and then implying a
>nefarious agenda when the party responsible refuses to acknowledge the
>bogus issue, thereby casting doubt and suspicion over his integrity.

Yet another simple concept that you don't understand. Suspicion naturally falls on the person or persons who benefited from the problems. Kinda like some guy's wife is murdered right after he took out a huge insurance policy and he doesn't have an alibi. This warrants a justifiable suspicion (unless, of course, you are the guy being implicated, then you make up your own justifications).

>>The final recount tested roughly 3% of the roughly 5.7 million votes
>>cast in the state. But contrary to the law governing the recount, many
>>precincts tested were selected not at random, but by Blackwell's
>>personal designation. Experts with the election challenge suit have
>>noted many of the precincts selected were mostly free of the
>>irregularities they are seeking to investigate, while many contested
>>precincts were left unrecounted.
>
>And when you are determined to find a flaw, the fact that there aren't
>any automatically means that this was "planted"? Gee, maybe the main
>issue is that the allegations of fraud have been greatly overstated.

There were indeed "automatically means" as written in Ohio state law. It requires a random sampling of precincts. The precincts were not chosen at random but hand-picked by the person who has a big stake in the outcome of the election (have you looked up the definition for "conflict of interest" yet?).

>>In other precincts, impossibly high voter turnout figures -- nearly
>>all of them adding to Bush's official margin -- remain unexplained. In
>>the heavily Republican southern county of Perry, Blackwell certified
>>one precinct with 221 more votes than registered voters. Two precincts
>>-- Reading S and W. Lexington G -- were let stand in the officially
>>certified final vote count with voter turnouts of roughly 124% each.
>
>Can they determine which of the total vote count were bogus and that
>most of the bogus votes were for Bush?

Yes. I didn't major in stats so read the articles on their website for yourself.

>>In Miami County's Concord South West precinct, Blackwell certified a
>>voter turnout of 98.55 percent, requiring that all but 10 voters in
>>the precinct cast ballots. But a freepress.org canvas easily found 25
>>voters who said they did not vote. In the nearby Concord South
>>precinct, Blackwell certified an apparently impossible voter turnout
>>of 94.27 percent. Both Concord precincts went heavily for Bush.
>
>It's a shame that those extra votes for Kerry might have to be
>removed.........
>
>You assume that any extra votes mean that the were Bush plants. What
>if they were Kerry plants?

What if you are ignoring the fact that there were more votes than voters?

>>In Warren County, Bush was credited with 68,035 votes to Kerryï¿½s
>>26,043 votes. But just as the county's votes were about to be counted
>>after the polls closed on November 2, the Board of Elections claimed a
>>Homeland Security alert authorized them to throw out all Democratic
>>and independent observers, including the media. The vote count was
>>thus conducted entirely by Republicans....."
>>
>>
>>Those are facts, Dave. Not left-wing propoganda, "editorial opinions"
>>or "MY biased opinions". Facts.
>
>Yes, the overall articles are facts, but the underlying reasons and
>the suspicions generated or implied are the result of bias.

Ok, here are the facts:

First, it's statistically impossible that all the errors were
accidental.

Second, the errors that resulted in extra votes were in predominantly
Bush areas.

Third, the errors that resulted in supressed votes were almost always
in districts that favored Kerry.

Those are the facts. Now it doesn't take a rocket surgeon to reach the
conclusion that there was voting fraud perpetrated by Bush and/or his
supporters. And neither do you need to be a genius to figure out who
perpetrated this fraud, since many of the decisions that resulted in
these errors were made by Blackwell (co-chair of Ohio's Bush/Cheney
campaign -- remember "conflict of interest"?).

Can you put the puzzle together yet? How much simpler can I make it
for you?

<snip>
>Liberalism is destined to fail, as nothing positive has even come from
>a socialistic paradigm. I just don't want to see this country dragged
>through the muck to before they realize it.

You are confusing liberalism with socialism. They are not the same.
Far from it.

<snip>
>>First off, it was -HARDLY- a "clear republican victory". The first
>>count was done by machine and gave Rossi a lead so slim that it
>>triggered an -automatic- recount. That recount narrowed the lead to a
>>few dozen votes. It would have been foolish of any opponent -not- to
>>request a hand recount, which put Gregoire in the lead by 139 votes.
>
>Votes that were "suddenly" found......

Which were later verified and the total favored Gregoire, which begs
the question: were they were lost accidently or intentionally? If they

were lost intentionally I don't think the Democrats were responsible.

>>The rules were followed and Gregoire won. Now the Republicans are
>>whining up a storm and begging for a second election. Also, there have
>>been allegations that King County counted unconfirmed provisional
>>ballots. If that's true then it would be foolish for Rossi -not- to
>>contest the election. So far he hasn't.
>
>Usually republicans are not as baby-ish when it comes to conceding a
>close election.

ROTFLMMFAO! That's a good one, Dave! It's clear you didn't see Rossi's press conference where he begged the public to ask for a second election. It was pathetic! Now he's contesting the election -not- because of fraud, but because he says the system didn't work in his favor..... what a weiner!

>>But overall, Washington seems to be accomplishing what Ohio, Florida,
>>New Mexico and several other states cannot: conduct an election with a
>>transparent process.
>
>The only thing transparent are the operations of those trying to stuff
>the ballot box with enough extra votes to overturn an election

Where did that happen, Dave? In Washington? Cite your source.

And to return from your spin, how about answering the question: Are you suggesting that a 124% voter turnout is just an "editorial opinion"?

<snip>
>>I don't like Bush and I don't like Kerry. Which way am I slanted?
>
>To the left. That much is obvious. You're a liberal. I guess the
>democratic party isn't pink enough yet.

So I'm liberal because I happen to be concerned about free and open elections? The only people who -wouldn't- be concerned about voting fraud are facists. Are you a facist, Dave? (And notice that I didn't slap you with the label -- I have enough respect to ask first!)

<snip>
>>How does that proverb go..... "Never put off until tomorrow what you
>>can do today". They tried to repair the faults of the 2000 election
>>with band-aids and it didn't work; the wound got bigger. If we don't
>>fix it now the same problems will be bigger and more widespread in
>>2008, or perhaps even sooner.
>
>Why didn't they fix it in say, ohhhh, 1944?

Try 1876.

<snip>
>>Official military records are "leftist propoganda"?
>
>Which records? Kerry's military records? Oh that 's right, he refused
>to release them all.

You mean the records that say his other records (the records that
-were- released) are all phoney? Or maybe you are referring to his
medical records? The man was an officer in a war zone, so he must have
had a security clearance -- should he release classified documents
that are part of his military record?

Just what do you think is on those documents? Now before you stick
your foot in your mouth and answer that, remember that you don't have
those records and therefore have no evidence, empirical or not, as to
their nature. So the only clue you have as to what they are (other
than the obvious, as I stated above) is purely conjecture. Ok, feel
free to answer the question -- let 'er rip!

<snip>
>>>By what factual (not op-ed opinion) information do you base this
>>>claim? How do you determine total voter fraud?
>>
>>
>>When the race is so close that voting fraud could have been the
>>determining factor.
>
>That doesn't answer my question. How do you know that the degree of
>fraud this year was any greater than that in years past?
>
>How do you know it was not a factor in years past?

Unless you have a time machine and can travel back to correct any
occurance where it -did- make a difference, the question is moot: The
issue is happening NOW and can be addressed NOW.

>>>> Nor to the
>>>>extent that, if left unchecked, could directly affect the government
>>>>of the most powerful country in the world.
>>>
>>>Mayor Daily of Chicago certainly knew that.......
>>
>>
>>I don't live in Chicago.
>
>But you do live in the U.S. Mayor Daily epitomized the democratic
>"machine" that exists in many large cities. The fact that most cities
>vote heavily democratic may have much more than voter "demographics"
>to attribute to it.

Ok, so how does his opinion justify voting fraud in Ohio?

>>>> You aren't suggesting that
>>>>voting fraud should be ignored because it's going to occur no matter
>>>>what, are you?
>>>
>>>Certainly not. I am for tightening the rules that regulate voting,
>>>including several measure which make many democrats very "uneasy".
>>
>>
>>How about requiring a paper trail?
>
>I'm fully in favor of that.
>
>I also favor requiring all voters to show an I.D. which includes their
>voting precinct.

I agree 100%. How about simply registering everyone over 18 by their SSN? And making their votes accessible after the election to see for themselves that their vote was counted (instead of lost, changed by a machine, or "enhanced" by an election worker)?

>>>But I don't think that voter fraud is any worse now than it had been
>>>in the past. Surely you haven't forgotten about the bus loads of
>>>illegal immigrants, the jailed felons, and the buying of votes with
>>>cartons of cigarettes in days past?
>>
>>
>>The key phrase is "days past". Those problems have been addressed,
>>have they not?
>
>I cannot make that statement. I have read stories of party workers
>caught with ballots leaving a prison in Pa. I doubt if these issues
>have been truly "addressed". It may have been covered over a bit more
>effectively, but I believe that they're still there.

Possibly. The question is to what extent.

>>We have -new- problems that need to be addressed, such
>>as a corporation that wrote the software for the voting machines and
>>whose CEO promised to deliver the state's electoral votes to Bush;
>
>Hearsay. There's no proof that any such "promise" ever occured.

Once again you didn't bother to verify the facts for yourself before spouting off your big mouth. I did a search on O'Dell's quote and got over 15,000 hits. Here's just one:

http://www.commondreams.org/headlines03/0828-08.htm

>>election officials (i.e, the Secretary of State) who is also the
>>campaign manager for that state; the shorting of voting machines in
>>selected precincts; etc, etc.
>
>Interesting that in those places were voting machines were "shorted",

>were handled by democrats. They have only themselves to blame if they
>were not able to accommodate their constituency.

You simply -refuse- to look at the other side of the coin, don't you?
It was those same Democrats that lodged the complaints! They were told
a variety of different lies about how the machines were apportioned,
which is one of the issues Blackwell was supposed to address in the
deposition he evaded.

So is the picture coming into focus yet?

<snip>
>>>I really don't know, but his interests in the direction of this
>>>country are diametrically opposed to what a free capitalist society
>>>would want.
>>
>>
>>Really? Care to elaborate?
>
>One word: Liberal.
>
>Three other words: Redistribution of wealth.

That's called "collectivism", not "liberalism". It's time you learned
the difference.

<snip>
>>Ok, I see how this works..... the past is relevent only when it favors
>>your argument, such as previous accounts of voting fraud. Right?
>
>No, it's only relevant when it's relevant , such as past record of
>voting fraud suggests that it was a problem for far longer than some
>of you realize. I have never read anything on this group of you
>defending Bush.

Twisty has. If you didn't then you weren't paying attention.

> This group has had very little political crap in the
>past, with the exception of the Iraq war and this past election.

You weren't paying attention.

>Unlike you, I don't google every person to see what other boards they
>might post on and then read their "stuff". I don't really care all
>that much.

I am already aware of your habit of drawing conclusions without
checking your facts, so your statement didn't come as a big suprise.

>>> Don't even try to tell me that you favored
>>>Bush, because that would be a lie.
>>
>>
>>So it's beyond my capability for me to open my eyes and see my own

>>mistake of supporting Bush? That's pretty hypocritical, Dave. And
>>pretty ignorant:
>>
>>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/sci.physics/msg/c29696c828d91e22?dmode=source
>>
>
>As I suspected, that post was not made here, so how could you expect
>me to ever have seen it?

You said, "Don't even try to tell me that you favored Bush, because
that would be a lie". You jumped to a faulty conclusion based on your
other faulty conclusion that I'm a liberal. And don't squirm out of it
by saying I didn't voice my support in -this- newsgroup because I did,
as Twisty can attest.

>I'm curious if you still hold such a positive opinion of Cheney....

Brilliant man, but if I had known how crooked he was I would never
have said anything in his support. (You see, I -can- have both a
positive and negative opinion about the same person!)

<snip>
>>>When have you ever spoken about politics on this newsgroup before
>>>Frank? Until this past election, this newsgroup pretty much stayed the
>>>course on radio related issues.
>>
>>
>>Yeah, right. And I've been on Usenet a lot longer than I've been in
>>this newsgroup.
>
>If you make a post in another of several thousand other newsgroups,
>how can you in all fairness expect the people HERE to know about it?

Very good point. And with that truth in mind, how can you "in all
fairness" say that I lied when I claimed to have supported Bush in the
past? You jumped to your conclusion without all the facts. And the
facts were available on google if you had the inclination to verify
the facts before you framed your indictment. But that's just not your
style, Dave -- after all, you already know the truth -despite- the
facts, right?

>Maybe you think we keep tabs on each other? Maybe I'm the odd-ball in
>that respect, as I don't.

The difference is that I, unlike you, don't make claims or accusations
unless I have the facts to back them up.

<snip>
>>I defended Kerry against your bullshit propoganda.
>
>The truth remains that Kerry refused to release ALL of his military
>records. What's he hiding?

You claimed he didn't get his honorable discharge until 2001 which was
a totally fabricated lie. And you can't even admit it. So now you
focus on records he didn't release without a shred of evidence that
they hold anything of importance to you. What was that you said
earlier about suspicion and political bias.....?

>> I'll re-restate
>>what I posted previously on the subject: "I have stated before that
>>I didn't think Kerry was a strong enough leader to be president.
>
>My 5 year old daughter knew that.
>
>> He
>>doesn't have the connections, his communication skills aren't quite up
>>to snuff (but not as bad as Bush),
>
>Yet Bush IS the leader, so I would have to say that good vernacular is
>not something that is required to be electable.
>
>>and he doesn't command a presence
>>in Congress (which would be necessary for a president, especially if
>>his party doesn't hold the majority)."
>
>Considering he is the #1 most liberal senator in congress, he would
>have a tough time.
>
>>And anyone can see that Bush has some good qualities.
>
>Everyone has "some" good qualities. That's nothing earth shattering
>Frank.
>
>>He has a certain
>>charisma that he uses to great advantage.
>
>So did Clinton.
>
>
>> Like Time magazine notes, he
>>sticks to his guns (even if they are pointed in the wrong direction).
>
>That he sticks to his guns is an example of conviction to principles.
>A sign of a strong leader. That they are pointed in the wrong
>direction is a matter of subjectivity.
>
>
>
>>And just because he got mixed up with the wrong crowd (the Vulcans)
>>that doesn't mean he's a bad guy.
>
>The "Vulcans"? Who might they be? Are you making some sort of Star
>Trek reference to logical, and rational thinking, as opposed to

>emotionally driven people? If so, then I would think that's a plus.
>
>> A moron perhaps, but not necessarily
>>evil as some people claim.
>
>He's much smarter than most people think. His flaw is his poor
>speaking skills. But there are plenty of smart people who can't
>communicate their thoughts concisely. Then there are people who have a
>golden tongue. But what they say so well is pure bunk. Clinton was an
>example of that.
>
>
>>It's far from duplicitous. It's simply a matter of looking at the
>>facts without bias.
>
>Which you have thus far demonstrated that you are unable to do.

Pay attention, Dave -- I just did. You were so eager to defend
yourself that you chopped up my response, interjected irrelevent
topics and destroyed the context, but I did indeed demonstrate that I
can look at both sides without bias.

And BTW, if you don't know who the Vulcan's are then you are -WAY- out
of touch with current political issues. Maybe you shouldn't even be
having this conversation with me -- but what the hell, a little
ignorance never stopped you before, right?

>>>>It's so much easier for you to comprehend if you tell yourself that I
>>>>voted for Kerry and that I'm a sore loser, isn't it? Well, as usual,
>>>>you're wrong. I voted for Nader.
>>>
>>>But you defended Kerry as if you were married to him.
>>
>>
>>I defended Communism in a debate in high-school.
>
>A skilled debater can take either side in a debate and make valid
>points. But this isn't a debate for the sake of debate style points.
>This is a debate driven by personal feelings.

Maybe for you. My motivation happens to be preservation of the concept
of a democratic system of government.

>I also suspect that you are probably a lot more open to certain
>communist ideals. Most liberals are.

Again with the labels..... FYI, this country has adopted ideals from
just about every political process ever conceived, including Marxism,
communisim, socialism and fascism. Some are good and some are bad. But
as I tried to explain to you a while back, the Republican ideal is not
such a great concept in it's pure form -- it's a totalitarian-style
government where manipulation is the rule and freedom is an illusion.

Didn't you read Plato's "Republic" like I suggested?

>>That doesn't mean I'm
>>a communist (or married to a communist). And I didn't defend Kerry so
>>much as I disproved the lies and conjecture you ignorantly chose to
>>believe without regard to the facts.
>
>What facts? The crap you gleaned from Kerry's own website? Yea,
>there's no bias there.....

You mean the official military records (in your words, "crap") that were released by the Pentagon? Why yes, that's exactly where I got my facts. Yet you, in your unbridled wisdom, want the Pentagon to release more "crap" when you can't even accept the facts from the "crap" that was already released (from the same source). If the first load of "crap" was phoney, what makes you think a second load of "crap" is going to be any more legitimate? Or if you think that second load of "crap" is going to provide you with facts then why can't you accept the facts from the first load of "crap"? That's a load of crap, Dave, and you are a very confused person.

<snip>
>>Have you ever thought for one moment that there may be people that
>>actually care about fundamental issues such as voting rights? Or is
>>that dish too liberal for your table?
>
>Are you so idealistic that you can't understand what drives political
>agendas? If there is not something to be gained strategically, then it
>doesn't happen. If it was indeed a few "independents" who initiated
>this action, I would bet a year's salary that they were "funded"
>through the back door by the DNC or one of their "loose associates"
>
>Like the term "follow the money", look to see who stands to benefit
>the most, and that's where you will find the real source of this
>latest voter fraud cry.

Are you so pessimistic that you see the political process as nothing but a disingenuous quest for power? That every act is motivated by a self-serving political agenda? If so then you don't know people half as well as you think you do. FYI, there are quite a few people in the political arena that actually serve the interests of the public and not their wallets. Maybe -you- need to be a little more idealistic.

<snip>
>>My political "slant" is towards the Constitution. That's my political
>>party, that's my religion, and that's my first concern whenever I step
>>into the voting booth. The Republicans may represent -your- interests
>>(whatever they may be, and I don't think I want to know), but -my-
>>interest happens to be preserving a democratic form of government.
>
>By voting for a liberal who's core ideals contain the notion that the
>government should assume a greater role as society's "nanny"?

The 'liberal' label was assigned by you, not me.

>If you're such a student of the constitution, you should know that the
>government was never intended to do anything more than protect ,
>facilitate and represent our interests in the world market.

Oh my dear God..... where in the hell did you get your education? That
response deserves it's own thread!

391. Dave's World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:20:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <jqgut0poqhh1a52ksfmmi3c1c5f6gsg703@4ax.com>
Source record: 194081

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 09:24:00 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <4j1tt09d9b7piid3rgn5947ro1qqd3m023@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>.....the
>government was never intended to do anything more than protect ,
>facilitate and represent our interests in the world market.

Now we all know where you are coming from..... and where you belong.

392. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:20:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nqgut09m8vm8f1rmjpd0uos9uk085psf69@4ax.com>
Source record: 194082

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 07:26:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <7lvst0l24rmcrc1r8imn3p3ffeeqoamfvd@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 16:25:08 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>> This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
>>>> vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.
>>>> As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
>>>> and curse the darkness".
>>>>
>>>That's what the Republicans said when they sued to make sure Ralph got on
>>>the ballot. Odd that. <g>
>>>
>>>http://www.freep.com/news/politics/nader26e_20040826.htm
>>
>>
>>If a third-party candidate was expected to take votes from the
>>Republicans you can bet that the roles would be reversed.
>
>And they were in '92.........
>
>> The two big
>>parties will do whatever they think will get them the votes, even if
>>it means supressing a vote for a third-party candidate.
>
>You acknowledge this, yet you tried to deny that third party
>candidates had any effect on the outcome of the election.

I said nothing of the sort. I simply question how much influence they
had, and how that influence compares the the amount of voting fraud.

>>The only thing
>>this proves is that neither one of the parties have any interest in
>>free and open elections, which is what I have been saying all along,
>>and also why I don't vote for either of them.
>
>So which is it then Frank? Do third party candidates shift votes away
>from "the big 2" or not?

Not in my case. If I'm limited to those two choices (or even just one
choice) I simply won't vote for that position, and that's exactly what
I did with a couple races in this last election. But if you want to
gaze into your crystal ball and divine the intentions of other voters
then don't let me stop you.

393. Re: Ping Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:21:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107212105.333$25_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194083

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Did I ever send you the 2510 Cookbook?
>
No, you said you would when you found it. No biggie, you have it now?

394. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:21:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3rgut0de51jj1m1bpjkekbm6jl3vamvkev@4ax.com>
Source record: 194084

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 07:20:29 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <0just019aac3sprfj49j32aon8aoatj8p2@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>> Let me make this perfectly clear: A vote for anybody
>>that isn't an ass or an elephant is a vote against both those parties.
>
>One party more than the other depending on which political ideology of
>the third party who manages to rise up out of the noise floor of write
>in status.
>
>
>>And to lay blame on people who voted third-party is a pretentious
>>crock of shit.
>
>To deny the influence of those third party vote syphoners is equally
>ludicrous.

Yeah, that's what I meant when I said, "A vote for anybody that isn't
an ass or an elephant is a vote against both those parties." Thanks so
much for clarifying my statement.

>> Don't believe me? Just wait until the next election for
>>WA governor, when the Republicans are going to use the same bullshit
>>excuse claiming it was the third-party candidates that stole their
>>victory.
>
>No, it was clever democratic operatives who (after a few recounts)
>managed to manufacture enough extra votes to swing the election their
>way.
>
>Where's your cry of voter fraud there Frank?

Where's your evidence that there was voting fraud? Your claim that the
Democrats manufactured votes?

>>> The best part is, you knew Ralphie had a snow
>>>balls chance in hell of being elected. Was Nader even on the ballot,
>>>or did you have to write him in?
>>
>>
>>He was most certainly on the ballot, as were the candidates for the
>>Green and Libertarian parties, and a few others.
>
>Nader was denied a place on the ballot in Pa. He didn't have enough
>legitimate petitioners. Although the Libertarian candidate, Badnarick,

>managed to make it....
>
>
>>This country shouldn't be limited to two political parties, so I don't
>>vote for either of them -regardless- of who I think is going to win.
>
>So you are the "anti-voter"?

.....what the heck is that supposed to mean?

>>As the saying goes, "It's better to light a single candle than to sit
>>and curse the darkness".
>
>
>There's also a saying about standing in the middle of a crowded
>highway......

So you're suggesting that anyone who wants to vote for a third party
shouldn't vote at all?

>Don't get me wrong, the whole principle of a democratic government
>should embrace as many political candidates as they can. Third (and
>4th) parties are a good thing. But in all practicality, they are alone
>in a sea of red and blue.

Times change. It wasn't always this way, and it won't be this way
forever. There are people who like the status-quo and others who think
we can do better. I happen to belong to the second group.

> Even if a third party candidate were to win
>the office of president, they'd be opposed by both sides of congress.

That's assuming the congress is so dominated, which is not a given.

>And that's really the catch 22. Many people contemplate their votes.
>They may like what a 3rd party candidates says, but realizes that they
>stand little chance of winning. So the question becomes, should they
>vote for someone who they ideologically agree with the most, or the
>candidate who somewhat agrees with you, but who has a better chance of
>actually winning?

The lesser of two evils? Hey, I can't tell anyone how to vote. But
people should realize that this isn't a football game, and just
because your candidate didn't win doesn't mean you are a loser. You
cast your ballot and, barring any fraud or supression, your voice is
heard regardless of who wins the election.

>Is it better to completely lose your chance to influence the direction
>of this country or is it better to at least get SOME of your political
>views represented?
>
>That is the voter conundrum.

Who says that voting for a third party has no influence? It causes a -great deal- of influence when there are a significant number of people voting third-party, and especially when all those third-party votes are greater than the margin of victory between the other two parties. If it didn't have any influence then neither party would have pushed this "don't waste your vote" bullshit propoganda when they were afraid of losing votes to that third party. But instead of listening to those votes and addressing their concerns, the two parties chose to shoot down the votes by propoganda and manipulation of the media. Even the ultra-liberal (so you so claim) Dan Rather and CBS almost -never- mentioned Nader or any of the other third-party candidates. I guess they aren't as liberal as you thought.

395. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:30:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ttgut09eil8ud0hn56nnk2e4dim54hiaf3@4ax.com>
Source record: 194085

On 07 Jan 2005 21:49:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote in <20050107164940.276$pf@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:41:05 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>> <u9trt0d6angej9v18m6olvq3oub57mf7gs@news.easynews.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
>> >it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>>
>> You're down there in Texas, right? So what's this I hear about Houston
>> being declared the city with the fattest people in the US?
>>
>You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?

Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.

> Isn't -everything-
>bigger in Texas?

They would like to think so. I keep hoping that Texas (and Florida)
will make good on their threats to secede from the Union -- it would
boost the GNP by at least 10% because we wouldn't have to clean up
their messes anymore.

396. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 02:44:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107214404.864$MG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194086

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?
>
> Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.
>
That's good man. It's tuff to shed pounds at your/my age. :)

How'd you do it, not Atkins I hope.
> > Isn't -everything-
> >bigger in Texas?
>
> They would like to think so. I keep hoping that Texas (and Florida)
> will make good on their threats to secede from the Union -- it would
> boost the GNP by at least 10% because we wouldn't have to clean up
> their messes anymore.
>
So now you're a conservative?

397. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 03:33:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7ljut0p3o229q0jobt2ucjcd58tpoc83aa@4ax.com>
Source record: 194087

On 08 Jan 2005 02:44:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote in <20050107214404.864$MG@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?
>>
>> Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.
>>
>That's good man. It's tuff to shed pounds at your/my age. :)
>
>How'd you do it, not Atkins I hope.

Heck no! I wouldn't even think about one of those fad diets. Those
methods can cause more harm than good.

Losing weight isn't hard at all. First, don't set goals or limits
cause that's just setting yourself up for failure. Just be a little
more aware of little things you can change. Like eating a little more
fish and a little less beef, snacking on peanuts instead of pringles,
using parking spaces further away from the door, etc. Little things
like that can add up to a big difference over time. And don't try to
make those changes all at once. It's kind of like a zen thing -- just
having an awareness of things you -can- change will prompt the changes
to come by themselves in their own good time.

>> > Isn't -everything-
>> >bigger in Texas?
>>
>> They would like to think so. I keep hoping that Texas (and Florida)
>> will make good on their threats to secede from the Union -- it would
>> boost the GNP by at least 10% because we wouldn't have to clean up
>> their messes anymore.
>>
>So now you're a conservative?

No, I'm just a person that's really pissed off because in six years I
can't find an ISP that can provide reliable service (iow, expect my
email to change again in the near future).

398. Re: Koreans support itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 03:37:03 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105155420.486e55b1821865135a913959c16f2808@teranews>
Source record: 194088

I Am Not George wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge is not gay and dumb like doug.

He is gay and dumb in a different way, eh?

Remember: Carpe Pender (Latin for "seize the young boy")

399. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 03:38:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107223841.996$SQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194089

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 08 Jan 2005 02:44:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote in <20050107214404.864$MG@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?
> >>
> >> Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.
> >>
> >>That's good man. It's tuff to shed pounds at your/my age. :)
> >
> >How'd you do it, not Atkins I hope.
>
> Heck no! I wouldn't even think about one of those fad diets. Those
> methods can cause more harm than good.
>
> Losing weight isn't hard at all. First, don't set goals or limits
> cause that's just setting yourself up for failure. Just be a little
> more aware of little things you can change. Like eating a little more
> fish and a little less beef, snacking on peanuts instead of pringles,
> using parking spaces further away from the door, etc. Little things
> like that can add up to a big difference over time. And don't try to
> make those changes all at once. It's kind of like a zen thing -- just
> having an awareness of things you -can- change will prompt the changes
> to come by themselves in their own good time.
>
You're starting to sound like one of those info-mercials now, man. Shovel
less groceries in your face, and do stuff that gets your heart rate up.
>
>
> >> > Isn't -everything-
> >> >bigger in Texas?
> >>
> >> They would like to think so. I keep hoping that Texas (and Florida)
> >> will make good on their threats to secede from the Union -- it would
> >> boost the GNP by at least 10% because we wouldn't have to clean up
> >> their messes anymore.
> >>
> >So now you're a conservative?
>
> No, I'm just a person that's really pissed off because in six years I
> can't find an ISP that can provide reliable service (iow, expect my
> email to change again in the near future).

>
Hrm..dial-up, or broadband?

400. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 03:48:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107224841.542$Lo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194090

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050107184550.426
> $9T@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050107163242.759$g1 @newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> Forget it, its not worth arguing over..
> >>
> >> You are right you put words into my mouth that i didnt say.
> >>
> > Huh? I was talking to Lancer.
>
> So was i that post was directed towards him.
>
OIC.

401. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 04:13:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <v1mut05ngerncnucks5qqhm0r1hnin29bs@4ax.com>
Source record: 194091

On 08 Jan 2005 03:38:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote in <20050107223841.996$SQ@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 08 Jan 2005 02:44:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote in <20050107214404.864$MG@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> >You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?
>> >>
>> >> Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.
>> >>
>> >That's good man. It's tuff to shed pounds at your/my age. :)
>> >
>> >How'd you do it, not Atkins I hope.
>>
>> Heck no! I wouldn't even think about one of those fad diets. Those
>> methods can cause more harm than good.
>>
>> Losing weight isn't hard at all. First, don't set goals or limits
>> cause that's just setting yourself up for failure. Just be a little
>> more aware of little things you can change. Like eating a little more
>> fish and a little less beef, snacking on peanuts instead of pringles,
>> using parking spaces further away from the door, etc. Little things
>> like that can add up to a big difference over time. And don't try to
>> make those changes all at once. It's kind of like a zen thing -- just
>> having an awareness of things you -can- change will prompt the changes
>> to come by themselves in their own good time.
>>
>You're starting to sound like one of those info-mercials now, man. Shovel
>less groceries in your face, and do stuff that gets your heart rate up.

Naw, it's no secret, and you don't need to pad the wallet of some
hyperactive TV jock.

Eating is more an issue of -what- you eat instead of how much you eat.
Peanuts (and other nuts) are great because they fill you up fast and
have some food value without any cholesterol. Sugar just stimulates
the appetite and makes you want to eat more. And beef is a -very-
fattening food -- just look at where it comes from! So I do a shrimp
stir-fry a couple times a month instead of hamburgers.

As for exercise, no need to clock your pulse at the gym -- those are
things to do when you want to get your heart and muscles into better
shape. The important thing is to expend energy that would otherwise

become luggage, which you can do just a little bit at a time without working up a sweat. Just take advantage of the opportunities, like walking up a flight of stairs instead of taking the elevator..... heck, even grinding your own coffee beans would help. Any pinhole you can poke in the inner-tube will deflate it that much faster.

>> >> > Isn't -everything-
>> >> >bigger in Texas?
>> >>
>> >> They would like to think so. I keep hoping that Texas (and Florida)
>> >> will make good on their threats to secede from the Union -- it would
>> >> boost the GNP by at least 10% because we wouldn't have to clean up
>> >> their messes anymore.
>> >>
>> >So now you're a conservative?
>>
>> No, I'm just a person that's really pissed off because in six years I
>> can't find an ISP that can provide reliable service (iow, expect my
>> email to change again in the near future).
>>
>Hrm..dial-up, or broadband?

Dial-up. I'm trying to stay with local companies, but they all seem to be getting bought out by bigger outfits. They keep dropping their rates to be competitive but the service suffers. I would be perfectly willing to pay more for good service but they can't seem to figure that out.

402. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 04:41:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050107234124.715$dv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194092

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> Eating is more an issue of -what- you eat instead of how much you eat.
> Peanuts (and other nuts) are great because they fill you up fast and
> have some food value without any cholesterol. Sugar just stimulates
> the appetite and makes you want to eat more. And beef is a -very-
> fattening food -- just look at where it comes from! So I do a shrimp
> stir-fry a couple times a month instead of hamburgers.
>
It still remains calories consumed against calories spent. I'm 40 pounds
thicker from when I was 18. I eat anything I like, and I blame to 40 lbs on
slower metabolism, and delegating.
>
> As for exercise, no need to clock your pulse at the gym -- those are
> things to do when you want to get your heart and muscles into better
> shape. The important thing is to expend energy that would otherwise
> become luggage, which you can do just a little bit at a time without
> working up a sweat. Just take advantage of the opportunities, like
> walking up a flight of stairs instead of taking the elevator.....
> heck, even grinding your own coffee beans would help. Any pinhole you
> can poke in the inner-tube will deflate it that much faster.
>
Use the stairs? Heh, I walk 5 to 7 miles a day when it's not winter here.
I'll use the elevator, thank you.
>

> >Hrm..dial-up, or broadband?
>
> Dial-up. I'm trying to stay with local companies, but they all seem to
> be getting bought out by bigger outfits. They keep dropping their
> rates to be competitive but the service suffers. I would be perfectly
> willing to pay more for good service but they can't seem to figure
> that out.
>
You need Usenet access with that? If not, what about that Netscape $9.95
deal I keep seeing on the boob tube?

403. Re: FAO SteveO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 05:13:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050108001354.466$U0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194093

AKC_Watchog@net.com wrote:
> > Did I ever send you the 2510 Cookbook?
>
> Jim you wouldn't be encouraging illegal operation here, would you?
>
Nope. More 'she bang' for the buck.

404. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 05:19:54 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_3KDd.141$JI3.51@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193994

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41e0cf93.1801578@news.easynews.com...
> On 07 Jan 2005 16:27:24 GMT, "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw this hot sweet babe on t.v.
>>man.I just had to whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy Moore I
>>cummed.Then
>>I got hard again right away and had start yankin' my crank some more Mandy
>>Moore kept makin' me cum and cum again.I was so worn out after watching
>>her
>>hour and fourty minute movie from cummin like seven times.Im very sure Im
>>must be in love.
>>
>>What do you think?
>
> Twist you moved to Belgium?

RRRRIIIIIIIGGGGGHHHHHTTTTT!! (Doctor Evil)

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

405. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 05:19:54 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_3KDd.140$JI3.18@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 193995

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
> wrote:
>
>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
> of any particular transmission could not be made.

Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.

>
>> I find it absoutely astounding this is lost upon you
>
> That's not surprising considering you once tried to tell me (and the
> group) that a 4 watt skip station 1000 miles away could potentially
> walk on top of a 4 watt station a half mile away, totally disregarding
> the effects of R.F. path loss.
>
>>of your recent comments self-professing an incredible amount of adept
>>and technical radio knowledge. Coupled with your claim concerning roger
>>beeps and echo on cb being illegal (they're not) merely because you were
>>unable to locate a rule specifically permitting their use, and it merits
>
> There are specific rules which specifically prohibit devices used for
> "entertainment" and "amusement" purposes. There is also a specific
> rule which outlines permitted tone signals. A Roger Beep is not listed
> under permissible tone signals. Following simple logic, since there is
> no valid rule which permits a particular device, then the device
> defaults to one of "amusement or entertainment" status and is
> prohibited.
>
> So therefore it can be assumed that a roger beep and (even more
> definite) an echo box could be considered "entertainment" or
> "amusement" devices and, as such, are specifically prohibited.
>
> You can make the point that the FCC doesn't care enough to make a case
> about these things, and I would probably agree with you. But the fact
> remains that they are prohibited by the rules.

We've gone over this before Dave, your wrong.

same flaw.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

406. I luv men penis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 05:26:56 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41df6f20$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 193996

Hello Frisco.

407. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 05:36:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dmrut0hvbdl1v1v5810lmnt2p6e3scmb1k@4ax.com>
Source record: 193997

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <_3KDd.140$JI3.18@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> wrote:
>>
>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>
>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.

He's right, Dave. You can receive more than one skip signal from the
same transmission, and their phasing can cause intermodulation
distortion in any RF stage of your receiver. All that's required is
enough non-linearity in just one stage and the signals will modulate
each other. The result is what appears to be splatter but is really a
fault of the receiver. Happens all the time with cheap shortwave
radios. And DX doesn't have to be up to get a good signal -- I have
heard many clear DX signals from seemingly dead bands.

408. Re: Dave's World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 07:13:44 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105168424.078933.262380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193998

Frank Gilliland spewed his viletreason:

> On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 09:24:00 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote in <4j1tt09d9b7piid3rgn5947ro1qqd3m023@4ax.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >.....the
> >government was never intended to do anything more than protect ,
> >facilitate and represent our interests in the world market.
>
>
> Now we all know where you are coming from..... and where you belong.

and we all know where you belong,too.....you pinko bastard.
yes, the times they are a chang'in!

409. Landshark's hot cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 07:20:31 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105168831.137047.278910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 193999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.aol, rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.

410. My AKC synopsis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 07:57:56 UTC
From: "A.E.352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <8oMDd.11890$Xs6.6213@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 194000

Obviously, the AKC (American Key-Down Club) has homosexual tenancies as they constantly forge emails indicating so. Number two...as youngsters, they were constantly beat-up and had their lunch money taken by some big-bad CB'ers, and now they try to retaliate via a computer keyboard. Number three...their Daddy was 'Gang-raped' by a bunch of CB'ers, and they are now the offspring of such, better known as "Asshole Babies." You guys have done NOTHING to stop the flow of ANYTHING. Hell...you can't even log on to
http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/
You girls are PATHETIC!

411. Re: My AKC synopsis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 11:55:19 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <7fivt0p60mb0cv3hhs6r71989du67ud4qh@4ax.com>
Source record: 194001

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 07:57:56 GMT, "A.E.352"
<americaneagle352@iwon.com> wrote:

>Obviously, the AKC (American Key-Down Club) has homosexual tenancies as they
>constantly forge emails indicating so. Number two...as youngsters, they were
>constantly beat-up and had their lunch money taken by some big-bad CB'ers,
>and now they try to retaliate via a computer keyboard. Number three...their
>Daddy was 'Gang-raped' by a bunch of CB'ers, and they are now the offspring
>of such, better known as "Asshole Babies." You guys have done NOTHING to
>stop the flow of ANYTHING. Hell...you can't even log on to
>http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/
>You girls are PATHETIC!
>
Hey wait a second my friend prozac will think you are mr ice.
Hey honest prozac I didnt say it and I still am not ice.

412. Re: FAO SteveO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 14:13:31 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <DZRDd.4382$aX6.2117@fe11.lga>
Source record: 194002

Steveo wrote:

> AKC_Watchog@net.com wrote:
>
>>>Did I ever send you the 2510 Cookbook?
>>
>>Jim you wouldn't be encouraging illegal operation here, would you?
>>
>
> Nope. More 'she bang' for the buck.
exactly. a lightning mod here a grounding mod there.
all in all none of his business really.
steve, i'm in the middle of rebuilding my radio and wood shop rooms so
when i come across it i'll burn a copy.

413. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 14:25:41 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <hdrvt0lkr58pai2tqlberl531n6msg1p6v@4ax.com>
Source record: 194003

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 17:47:30 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>news:41e0cf93.1801578@news.easynews.com:
>
>> On 07 Jan 2005 16:27:24 GMT, "Twistedhed" <Twistedhed@webtv.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>Man oh man I think I fell in love today I saw this hot sweet babe on
>>>t.v. man.I just had to whip it out and start masturbating to Mandy
>>>Moore I cummed.Then I got hard again right away and had start yankin'
>>>my crank some more Mandy Moore kept makin' me cum and cum again.I was
>>>so worn out after watching her hour and fourty minute movie from
>>>cummin like seven times.Im very sure Im must be in love.
>>>
>>>What do you think?
>>
>> Twist you moved to Belgium?
>
>
>No he spoofed his ip like you do.

No stupid, that wasn't a spoofed ip..

You can read a header can't you?

414. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 14:32:03 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <8mrvt01rq3gf2pgvp8i1sokg7if0sbosp6@4ax.com>
Source record: 194004

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 20:13:35 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

>On 08 Jan 2005 03:38:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>wrote in <20050107223841.996$SQ@newsreader.com>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>>> On 08 Jan 2005 02:44:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>>> wrote in <20050107214404.864$MG@newsreader.com>:
>>>
>>> >Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>>> >> >You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?
>>> >>
>>> >> Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.
>>> >>
>>> >That's good man. It's tuff to shed pounds at your/my age. :)
>>> >
>>> >How'd you do it, not Atkins I hope.
>>>
>>> Heck no! I wouldn't even think about one of those fad diets. Those
>>> methods can cause more harm than good.
>>>
>>> Losing weight isn't hard at all. First, don't set goals or limits
>>> cause that's just setting yourself up for failure. Just be a little
>>> more aware of little things you can change. Like eating a little more
>>> fish and a little less beef, snacking on peanuts instead of pringles,
>>> using parking spaces further away from the door, etc. Little things
>>> like that can add up to a big difference over time. And don't try to
>>> make those changes all at once. It's kind of like a zen thing -- just
>>> having an awareness of things you -can- change will prompt the changes
>>> to come by themselves in their own good time.
>>>
>>You're starting to sound like one of those info-mercials now, man. Shovel
>>less groceries in your face, and do stuff that gets your heart rate up.
>
>
>Naw, it's no secret, and you don't need to pad the wallet of some
>hyperactive TV jock.
>
>Eating is more an issue of -what- you eat instead of how much you eat.
>Peanuts (and other nuts) are great because they fill you up fast and
>have some food value without any cholesterol. Sugar just stimulates
>the appetite and makes you want to eat more. And beef is a -very-
>fattening food -- just look at where it comes from! So I do a shrimp

>stir-fry a couple times a month instead of hamburgers.
>
>As for exercise, no need to clock your pulse at the gym -- those are
>things to do when you want to get your heart and muscles into better
>shape. The important thing is to expend energy that would otherwise
>become luggage, which you can do just a little bit at a time without
>working up a sweat. Just take advantage of the opportunities, like
>walking up a flight of stairs instead of taking the elevator.....
>heck, even grinding your own coffee beans would help. Any pinhole you
>can poke in the inner-tube will deflate it that much faster.
>
>
>>> >> > Isn't -everything-
>>> >> >bigger in Texas?
>>> >>
>>> >> They would like to think so. I keep hoping that Texas (and Florida)
>>> >> will make good on their threats to secede from the Union -- it would
>>> >> boost the GNP by at least 10% because we wouldn't have to clean up
>>> >> their messes anymore.
>>> >>
>>> >So now you're a conservative?
>>>
>>> No, I'm just a person that's really pissed off because in six years I
>>> can't find an ISP that can provide reliable service (iow, expect my
>>> email to change again in the near future).
>>>
>>Hrm..dial-up, or broadband?
>
>
>Dial-up. I'm trying to stay with local companies, but they all seem to
>be getting bought out by bigger outfits. They keep dropping their
>rates to be competitive but the service suffers. I would be perfectly
>willing to pay more for good service but they can't seem to figure
>that out.
>
>

Why not broadband Frank?

415. Re: Dave's World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 14:43:55 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <u5svt09bu36ue7su3280ku7mg6r51am6el@4ax.com>
Source record: 194005

On 7 Jan 2005 23:13:44 -0800, "I AM NOT N8WWM" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
wrote:

>
>Frank Gilliland spewed his viletreason:
>
>
>> On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 09:24:00 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote in <4j1tt09d9b7piid3rgn5947ro1qqd3m023@4ax.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >.....the
>> >government was never intended to do anything more than protect ,
>> >facilitate and represent our interests in the world market.
>>
>>
>> Now we all know where you are coming from..... and where you belong.
>
>and we all know where you belong,too.....you pinko bastard.
>yes, the times they are a chang'in!

True, they now allow childish morons like you to use a computer,
Stick to crayons, you will be less likely to poke your eye out....

416. Re: Landshark's hot cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 14:54:14 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com>
Source record: 194006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.

Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
to this newsgroup?

I know, silly question, because of course they do...

(hint) I just told them..

417. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 15:23:18 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <GVSDd.9461$5R.4566@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com...
> On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.
>
> Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
> to this newsgroup?
>
> I know, silly question, because of course they do...
>
> (hint) I just told them..

Now watch as "one" comes to defend this person.

OrgAbuseName: ALGX Abuse Role Account
OrgAbusePhone: +1-240-616-2155
OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@algx.net

OrgName: Internet Allegiance, Inc.
OrgID: IALG
Address: 1950 Stemmons Freeway
City: Dallas
StateProv: TX
PostalCode: 75207
Country: US

418. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 15:33:11 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <X2TDd.11547$ho.6958@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194008

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95D869F68B6181234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:966rt0tj1rhlfdhr4l22t1hskjqfs21561@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 06 Jan 2005 13:38:10 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote in <6r0rt0pf91gv1cjk7ojrpskgjtf8ogl17o@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Thu, 6 Jan 2005 12:16:33 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>So you are denying that the majority of the
>>>>
>>>>"big
>>>>
>>>>radios" on Channel 6 are running any sort of
>>>>
>>>>high power?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Apparently, that is a an argument you are having with yourself.
>>>
>>>No, you are trying to claim that there are no illegal operators on 6,
>>>based on your rejection to my claim that what I can hear on almost a
>>>daily basis is in fact illegal.
>>
>>
>> I'm sure some of them are illegal, but my surity is not fact.
>
> All of them are illegal Frank, you can't talk on the bowl barefoot unless
> it is to a guy around the corner. They are using 4cx10,000's pairs of em
> 4cx20,0000's get a clue Dave is right the bowl is nothing but illegal
> operators 99.99% of them, that is a fact and not opinion.
>
>
>
>
>> Trained observation skills = Tarot cards.
>
> So I guess policemen who are trained to observe criminals are practicing
> tarot card reading...LOL sure frank
>
>

>
>
>
>>
>>
>>>>Making a personal opinion that "channel 6 harbors the dregs of
>>>>society"
>>>
>>>Yes, that part is my personal opinion.
>>
>>
>> Why is -this- your personal opinion and not fact? What happened to
>> your "trained observation skills"?
>
> because it is a statement he can't prove by just listening and
> observeing, but an over powered radio would be easy to spot.
>
>
>
>> Nobody suggested that illegal operators don't exist. The question is
>> your standard of proof, that what you claim to be illegal
>> transmissions are illegal IN FACT, not in your opinion or belief.\
>
>
> Ill give you facts Frank, the bowl is not for the weak hearted, where is
> Icecold, hey smooth G tell these seersuckers about the bowl. Everyone and
> I mean all the regular talkers on the bowl are illegal operators running
> super high power. want facts? how do I know? I know alot of them

Just because you say it doesn't make it so.

419. Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 16:03:19 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net>
Message-ID: <qs00u0ldfi17d1bn9ja0j7qufhmqu1a9fq@4ax.com>
Source record: 194009

well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out with the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone is doing well.

73 de Keith KC8TCQ

420. FA: MUCH STUFF, LAFAYETTE mike, 6146's, Antenna Spec ANTS, ETC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 16:08:49 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050108110849.10502.00001796@mb-m25.aol.com>
Source record: 194010

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

421. Radioshack TRC-1080 Spares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 16:21:41 UTC
From: Steevo <steevo@uk2k.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <crp1eoiff1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 194011

Does anybody know a UK stockist of parts for the Radioshack TRC-1080 rigs?
I'm looking for two memory buttons (to replace M1 + M3) and a replacement
red PWR button.

Many thanks in advance

--
Steevo

422. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 17:24:51 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <DHUDd.9479$5R.5401@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:4350u09tjam92rpb5flmj40fgafqd5p35n@news.easynews.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:23:18 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>news:dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com...
>>> On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>>>>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.
>>>
>>> Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
>>> to this newsgroup?
>>>
>>> I know, silly question, because of course they do...
>>>
>>> (hint) I just told them..
>>
>>Now watch as "one" comes to defend this person.
>>
>>OrgAbuseName: ALGX Abuse Role Account
>>OrgAbusePhone: +1-240-616-2155
>>OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@algx.net
>>
>>
>>OrgName: Internet Allegiance, Inc.
>>OrgID: IALG
>>Address: 1950 Stemmons Freeway
>>City: Dallas
>>StateProv: TX
>>PostalCode: 75207
>>Country: US
>>
>>
>>
>
> He's not from Dallas...

I know that, but the Company's headquarters is.

Landshark
```

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

423. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 17:27:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4s40u09k9aga3mmp6cfgkaj1th8nem6oau@4ax.com>
Source record: 194013

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:40:14 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95D86CCFCABEE1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:ipgut0teoe9frgdk6qqvrn0s5v8uq33kr2@4ax.com:
>
>
>>
>> The fact is that there has never been a better source of false
>> information than the mass media. The only question is where that false
>> information is coming from. Well, that depends on who controls the
>> media. In the US the people certainly don't control the media -- it's
>> controlled by the huge corporations that own it and the government
>> that regulates it. THAT'S the source of any misinformation you get
>> from the allegedly "left-biased" or "liberal" media. That's a fact!
>
>
>No Frank these are not facts they are your opinion just like Dave has his
>opinion about illegal operator on channle 6.

No, it's fact, and history is loaded with examples that prove it. The
US government regularly uses mass media, both foreign and domestic,
for disseminating misinformation. They can even do this even by their
silence; i.e, by omission. Refusing to keep the US updated on the Ohio
recounts sends the message that the issue is less important than
Martha Stewart getting second prize in the prison bake-off. And the
corporations that own the media outlets regularly use them to
influence public opinion to favor their own agendas, the most blatant
and recent example being the Sinclair group's push in the Bush
campaign.

And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly
illegal operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or
even admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing
more than his opinions, not facts.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

424. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 17:33:07 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <k360u0hk1i7bck0s576c8d2p57to7kulmh@4ax.com>
Source record: 194014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 17:24:51 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:4350u09tjam92rpb5flmj40fgafqd5p35n@news.easynews.com...
>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:23:18 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>news:dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com...
>>>> On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>>>>>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.
>>>>
>>>> Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
>>>> to this newsgroup?
>>>>
>>>> I know, silly question, because of course they do...
>>>>
>>>> (hint) I just told them..
>>>
>>>Now watch as "one" comes to defend this person.
>>>
>>>OrgAbuseName: ALGX Abuse Role Account
>>>OrgAbusePhone: +1-240-616-2155
>>>OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@algx.net
>>>
>>>
>>>OrgName: Internet Allegiance, Inc.
>>>OrgID: IALG
>>>Address: 1950 Stemmons Freeway
>>>City: Dallas
>>>StateProv: TX
>>>PostalCode: 75207
>>>Country: US
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> He's not from Dallas...

>
> I know that, but the Company's headquarters is.
>
> Landshark

The ISP that his company uses headquaters is in Dallas..

He's not, nor is his company..

Anyway, got anything scheduled for the BBQ?
We smoked a goose that was brined in a honey brine for christmas...

425. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 17:34:58 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kv50u0d9ubiqfto2opr7j8egl5i1cfeb48@4ax.com>
Source record: 194015

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 14:32:03 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<8mrvt01rq3gf2pgvp8i1sokg7if0sbosp6@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>Why not broadband Frank?

When I figure out how to hook it up with Win 3.1 I might look into
broadband or DSL. But the problem isn't the connection or the
connection speed -- it's their outsourcing of newsgroup service and
their server can't handle the load. The subscribed connections are
either too few or have low priority. Cheap bastards.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

426. WTB: Unmodified SSB mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 17:45:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gq60u01p1jpevd61lf3ecdljmci67rp7sa@4ax.com>
Source record: 194016

Anybody have an UNMODIFIED SSB ɾadio they want to sell? My oldest nephew is interested but can't find one locally that hasn't been trashed. Email me with what you have.

427. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 18:35:37 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZJVDd.9489$5R.5750@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:k360u0hk1i7bck0s576c8d2p57to7kulmh@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 17:24:51 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>news:4350u09tjam92rpb5flmj40fgafqd5p35n@news.easynews.com...
>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 15:23:18 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>>news:dpsvt0h0nfv94qu73dj57apm3l3iv713sp@4ax.com...
>>>>> On 7 Jan 2005 23:20:31 -0800, "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Landshark and I were jacking off together on the telephone. Sharkie
>>>>>>said "lets both eat our cum" soooo I said Ok.
>>>>>
>>>>> Does your employer know that your using their network to post forges
>>>>> to this newsgroup?
>>>>>
>>>>> I know, silly question, because of course they do...
>>>>>
>>>>> (hint) I just told them..
>>>>
>>>>Now watch as "one" comes to defend this person.
>>>>
>>>>OrgAbuseName: ALGX Abuse Role Account
>>>>OrgAbusePhone: +1-240-616-2155
>>>>OrgAbuseEmail: abuse@algx.net
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>OrgName: Internet Allegiance, Inc.
>>>>OrgID: IALG
>>>>Address: 1950 Stemmons Freeway
>>>>City: Dallas
>>>>StateProv: TX
>>>>PostalCode: 75207
>>>>Country: US
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
```

>>>
>>> He's not from Dallas...
>>
>> I know that, but the Company's headquarters is.
>>
>> Landshark
>
> The ISP that his company uses headquaters is in Dallas..
>

Agreed

> He's not, nor is his company..
>

Yup

> Anyway, got anything scheduled for the BBQ?

Nah, raining like a bitch here today.

> We smoked a goose that was brined in a honey brine for christmas...

Damn! I'll bet it was great!!
If it stops raining, I'll go Pheasant hunting tomorrow. I'll
soak the bird in a brown sugar, Bourbon brine then smoke them or slow cook
them in the BBQ.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

428. Re: FAO SteveO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 18:54:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050108135453.298$Ug@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194018

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > AKC_Watchog@net.com wrote:
> >
> >>>Did I ever send you the 2510 Cookbook?
> >>
> >>Jim you wouldn't be encouraging illegal operation here, would you?
> >>
> >
> > Nope. More 'she bang' for the buck.
> exactly. a lightning mod here a grounding mod there.
> all in all none of his business really.
> steve, i'm in the middle of rebuilding my radio and wood shop rooms so
> when i come across it i'll burn a copy.
>
Man you're quite the handy man over there..thanks, Jim.

429. Re: Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 18:59:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050108135951.523$pg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194019

Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
> well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out with
> the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone is
> doing well.
>
> 73 de Keith KC8TCQ
>
Good luck, Keith.

430. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 19:26:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <luc0u0hfd4rnbihcjes0mf1n0ejl8r32c5@4ax.com>
Source record: 194020

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 18:19:33 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<5t80u0ptn685fbpq71gnt2tm0gfkj6b5gj@2355323778>:

>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 12:06:08 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>>news:kv50u0d9ubiqfto2opr7j8egl5i1cfeb48@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 14:32:03 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>>> <8mrvt01rq3gf2pgvp8i1sokg7if0sbosp6@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>Why not broadband Frank?
>>>
>>>
>>> When I figure out how to hook it up with Win 3.1 I might look into
>>> broadband or DSL. But the problem isn't the connection or the
>>> connection speed -- it's their outsourcing of newsgroup service and
>>> their server can't handle the load. The subscribed connections are
>>> either too few or have low priority. Cheap bastards.
>>
>>Frank Talk about cheap bastards you are still using win 3.1?? hell you
>>could have at least upgraded to Win 3.11 for workgroups ... LOL
>
>Nothing wrong with windows 3.1. Its a hassle to add networking to
>3.1. At least it doesn't have all the BS that microcrap added to
>their later versions.

And a hell of a lot more secure.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

431. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 19:29:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vvc0u015qotb2s4sudu08sb4pna9a47c7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 194021

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 17:52:55 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<t170u0hj9ar0p1oc0ivsv1mhv5nfmc724n@2355323778>:

>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:34:58 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 14:32:03 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>><8mrvt01rq3gf2pgvp8i1sokg7if0sbosp6@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>Why not broadband Frank?
>>
>>
>>When I figure out how to hook it up with Win 3.1 I might look into
>>broadband or DSL. But the problem isn't the connection or the
>>connection speed -- it's their outsourcing of newsgroup service and
>>their server can't handle the load. The subscribed connections are
>>either too few or have low priority. Cheap bastards.
>>
>>.
>
>The operating system won't matter with DSL Frank. The only microcrap
>S/W that I run is win 3.11 or DOS. Most of newsgroup servers that
>ISP's provide suck... need a copy of 3.11, e-mail me..

I'm already running 3.11 (and ME if I flip a switch, but I only use
that to log onto secure sites). So you figured out how to set up DSL
and/or broadband on 3.11?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

432. Re: WTB: Unmodified SSB mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 19:33:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <e5d0u0d4imkdadbsn8l70ola425jb2j168@4ax.com>
Source record: 194022

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 17:59:39 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<7l70u0th72no1oe7l6ao3cm9mulj2alvgp@2355323778>:

>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:45:57 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>Anybody have an UNMODIFIED SSB radio they want to sell? My oldest
>>nephew is interested but can't find one locally that hasn't been
>>trashed. Email me with what you have.
>>
>
>Frank;
> I have a Realistic TRC-449, that was modified for 10 meters. I
>modified it back to it back to 11 meters. You might have to tweak
>the VCO tuning a bit, but you should be able to handle that. I also
>have the service manual for it.. Free, you pay shipping..

Deal. Drop me an email with your address and I'll send it out next
week after I dig myself out of the snow.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

433. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 20:30:54 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105216251.5ef09c06c25d699f9f44f6efbf43855c@teranews>
Source record: 194023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark wrote:
>> We smoked a goose that was brined in a honey brine for christmas...
>
> Damn! I'll bet it was great!!

Those are hard to get into a bong.

434. Re: Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:02:00 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105218120.124993.102030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194024

Steveo wrote:
> Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
> > well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out
with
> > the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone is
> > doing well.
> >
> > 73 de Keith KC8TCQ
> >
> Good luck, Keith.

Break a leg, Mr. Iscariot.

435. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:05:54 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105218354.013613.122470@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194025

And the AKC cares if a keyclown don't like 'em?

As for buying dinner...truckers in truckstop toilets feed you dinner
every day.

436. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:06:29 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105218389.125651.124920@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194026

If he was netcopping I hope it was to rat out keyclownism.

437. Re: My AKC synopsis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:09:38 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105218578.002243.138210@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194027

He is just jealous. AKC are heterosexual and it frustrates the twink that we won't play flip wrist games with him.

438. Re: Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:11:19 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105218679.218750.145570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194028

I don't email you, truckstop solicitor. Go beef with whoever's ISP it is. I know you wish I would communicate, but being heterosexual, I must decline.

439. Re: twithed cracks, hides behind aliases and spews stupidity!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:13:52 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105218832.827603.156750@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194029

Nahhh...you are sunk, goober. You just don't know it. AKC bides time waaaay better than sissy sicko freaks like you.

By the way, have you stopped the ACK from doing anything at all? Ever?

NOOOOOOOOPE!

hehehe...bye sickoboy, your trucker wants sloppy seconds...get moving.

440. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:16:46 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105219006.862654.169260@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, rec.autos.sport.nascar

He cant resist truckstop toilets either

441. Re: FAO SteveO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:18:03 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105219083.958332.174370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194031

she bang, ay? I guess that means you play she and he bangs

442. Re: N8WWM is a cock sucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:20:29 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105219229.182283.184620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194032

You want me soooo bad. I am heterosexual and it hurts you sooooo much.

443. 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:24:45 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194033

And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled and owned by us.

444. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 21:27:47 UTC
From: Dolla boyz <BigMoney@nocost.com>
Message-ID: <41e04e16$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194034

From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Subject: Re: ERIC OYEN N7ZZT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio,amateur.policy,rec.radio.scann
er
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <DMqvd.486$1%.348@fed1read03>
<20041214093832.21658.00000378@mb-m14.aol.com>
Lines: 35
User-Agent: KNode/0.7.6
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
X-X-No_Archive: yes
Message-ID: <CFFvd.8943$1%.584@fed1read03>
Date: Tue, 14 Dec 2004 10:46:08 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.3.168.200
X-Complaints-To: abuse@cox.net
X-Trace: fed1read03 1103046370 68.3.168.200 (Tue, 14 Dec 2004 12:46:10 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 14 Dec 2004 12:46:10 EST
Organization: Cox Communications
Path:
newspeer2.tds.net!tdsnet-transit!newspeer.tds.net!news-feed01.roc.ny.frontie
rnet.net!nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp.giganews.com.MISMATCH!border1.nntp.dca.gi
ganews.com!nntp.giganews.com!peer01.cox.net!cox.net!p01!fed1read03.POSTED!53
ab2750!not-for-mail
Xref: newspeer2 rec.radio.amateur.misc:279051 rec.radio.cb:346518
rec.radio.scanner:276956

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled and
> owned by us.
>

445. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 22:30:50 UTC
From: JP <jjp76@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105223450.244964.154520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194035

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:41:05 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> <u9trt0d6angej9v18m6olvq3oub57mf7gs@news.easynews.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really
wierd,
> >it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>
>
> You're down there in Texas, right? So what's this I hear about
Houston
> being declared the city with the fattest people in the US?

If you think that number of ice cream and pizza places, weather, and number of sporting goods stores are good ways to measure if a city is "fat" or not, then sure. This "study" by Men's Fitness Magazine -- basically the men's version of Cosmo -- is little more than junk science.

On the other hand, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) did a more scientific study where BMIs from a sample size of the population were considered. I don't know why that one gets no publicity, while the junk science does. The top cities are a little different.
http://www.obesity.org/subs/fastfacts/cities.shtml

And here are a few comments from today's Houston Chroncle:
http://www.chron.com/cs/CDA/ssistory.mpl/front/2979999
"One minute Mayor Bill White debunked Men's Fitness magazine's methodology for labeling Houston the nation's fattest city, and the next minute he announced a new wellness initiative to combat the label.
'It's calculated with voodoo and fraud,' White said of the rankings of 50 cities across the nation featured in the magazine's February issue. To determine the rankings, the magazine staff does not actually weigh anyone, but examines 14 elements of city life, including the number of fast food and pizza restaurants.
'The rankings themselves are flawed,' White said at a City Hall news conference Wednesday. He questioned the fairness of not counting delis as fast food places in New York or Chicago, but counting Smoothie Kings as fast food places in Houston. Results of the creative formula differ from the findings of more official medical research, such as that from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention."

So I would take the Men's Fitness rankings with a grain a salt. Well, maybe I wouldn't even give them that. It's just a marketing ploy to

sell more magazines.

446. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 22:45:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <j6o0u0h68t37o40c8pme9gk3nomlsfoedu@4ax.com>
Source record: 194036

On 8 Jan 2005 14:30:50 -0800, "JP" <jjp76@yahoo.com> wrote in
<1105223450.244964.154520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:41:05 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>> <u9trt0d6angej9v18m6olvq3oub57mf7gs@news.easynews.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really
>wierd,
>> >it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>>
>>
>> You're down there in Texas, right? So what's this I hear about
>Houston
>> being declared the city with the fattest people in the US?
>
>If you think that number of ice cream and pizza places, weather, and
>number of sporting goods stores are good ways to measure if a city is
>"fat" or not, then sure. This "study" by Men's Fitness Magazine --
>basically the men's version of Cosmo -- is little more than junk
>science.
>
>On the other hand, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) did a more
>scientific study where BMIs from a sample size of the population were
>considered. I don't know why that one gets no publicity, while the junk
>science does. The top cities are a little different.
>http://www.obesity.org/subs/fastfacts/cities.shtml
>
>And here are a few comments from today's Houston Chroncle:
>http://www.chron.com/cs/CDA/ssistory.mpl/front/2979999
>"One minute Mayor Bill White debunked Men's Fitness magazine's
>methodology for labeling Houston the nation's fattest city, and the
>next minute he announced a new wellness initiative to combat the label.
>'It's calculated with voodoo and fraud,' White said of the rankings of
>50 cities across the nation featured in the magazine's February issue.
>To determine the rankings, the magazine staff does not actually weigh
>anyone, but examines 14 elements of city life, including the number of
>fast food and pizza restaurants.
>'The rankings themselves are flawed,' White said at a City Hall news
>conference Wednesday. He questioned the fairness of not counting delis
>as fast food places in New York or Chicago, but counting Smoothie Kings
>as fast food places in Houston. Results of the creative formula differ
>from the findings of more official medical research, such as that from

>the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention."
>
>So I would take the Men's Fitness rankings with a grain a salt. Well,
>maybe I wouldn't even give them that. It's just a marketing ploy to
>sell more magazines.

It's that darn left-wing media again, ignoring the facts and pushing
their socialist agenda of selling magazines. So what pinko-liberal
company owns Men's Fitness?

447. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 22:45:21 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <5oZDd.136806$AL5.130134@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 194037

'Owned' by you???...LMAO. Obviously you need a few lessons in supply and demand. An old Chinese proverb once said that if you cut the snakes head off, two more will grow in it's place. There's a line of people just waiting to fill the void for every distributor who is shut down. I TRULY wish you luck, but if you were really that good, President Bush would have tapped you long ago for his 'Drug Czar' to stop the flow of illegal drugs into this Country. But you go ahead and fight your one-man battle, and we'll all sit back and chuckle. Keep your finger in the dike!

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled and
> owned by us.
>

448. Re: Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 23:04:31 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <oGZDd.4233$AF.1657@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194038

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> mewled in message
news:1105218120.124993.102030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steveo wrote:
>> Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>> > well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out
> with
>> > the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone is
>> > doing well.
>> >
>> > 73 de Keith KC8TCQ
>> >
>> Good luck, Keith.
>
> Break a leg, Mr. Iscariot.
>

Admit it,, you're just jealous of Keith, aren't you, dougay.. Keith has way
too much class to play into your cowardly akc games... Right dougay????

Is it because he's a regular, normal kind of ham radio guy and he doesn't have
some grotesque, irrational gripe against Cb'ers.. or so called keyclowns????

You must be very misguided idiot, if you think that makes Keith, a Judus????

heh heh heh... You truly are a bitter little creep, without a soul, n8!

449. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 23:05:18 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105225518.782057.284620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194039

A.E. 352 wrote:
> 'Owned' by you???...LMAO. Obviously you need a few lessons in supply
and
> demand. An old Chinese proverb once said that if you cut the snakes
head
> off, two more will grow in it's place. There's a line of people just
waiting
> to fill the void for every distributor who is shut down. I TRULY wish
you
> luck, but if you were really that good, President Bush would have
tapped you
> long ago for his 'Drug Czar' to stop the flow of illegal drugs into
this
> Country. But you go ahead and fight your one-man battle, and we'll
all sit
> back and chuckle. Keep your finger in the dike!
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled
and
> > owned by us.
> >

Well, we are apparently good enough to keep you whining. Look how you have jumped up and down for years trying to stop the AKC. Look how you have failed to do so at every turn.
You may sit now, We are done with training for today.

450. Re: Koreans support itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 23:09:43 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105225783.451379.301010@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194040

You would have to ask twithed about that kind of seizing...he has
FUGGLY for that deal.

451. Re: twithed cracks, hides behind aliases and spews stupidity!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 23:11:03 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <xMZDd.4234$yw.45@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194041

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> mewled in message
news:1105218832.827603.156750@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Nahhh...you are sunk, goober. You just don't know it. AKC bides time
> waaaay better than sissy sicko freaks like you.
>
> By the way, have you stopped the ACK from doing anything at all? Ever?
>
> NOOOOOOOOPE!
>
> hehehe...bye sickoboy, your trucker wants sloppy seconds...get moving.
>

ACK....??

Can't spell your bogus acronym anymore, eh fruitloop?? lol!!

452. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-08 23:37:12 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <29_Dd.4238$e01.2881@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194042

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> mewled in message news:1105218389.125651.124920@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

> If he was netcopping I hope it was to rat out keyclownism.

I googled "keyclownism" and found a shitload of your bizarre troll tracks and psychotic nitwitery from over the years...

You sure set a high water mark for obcessed usenet kooks...

453. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 00:34:47 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <H__Dd.3$8Z1.0@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"The Grim Reaper" <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub> wrote in message
news:1105216251.5ef09c06c25d699f9f44f6efbf43855c@teranews...
> Landshark wrote:
>>> We smoked a goose that was brined in a honey brine for christmas...
>>
>> Damn! I'll bet it was great!!
>
> Those are hard to get into a bong.

ROTFLMAO!!!! That was too funny!

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

454. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 00:52:14 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <2f%Dd.130714$Uf.117227@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 194094

Oh...if you say so. But truth be known, I can simply pick up the phone and have a Davemade or 667V here in a couple of days. Don't forget about the transporters of illegal gear either. The three largest transporters that are guilty of shipping illegal goods across state lines (isn't that a felony?) are:
www.usps.com
www.ups.com
www.fedex.com

Go get 'em!

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105225518.782057.284620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> A.E. 352 wrote:
> > 'Owned' by you???...LMAO. Obviously you need a few lessons in supply
> and
> > demand. An old Chinese proverb once said that if you cut the snakes
> head
> > off, two more will grow in it's place. There's a line of people just
> waiting
> > to fill the void for every distributor who is shut down. I TRULY wish
> you
> > luck, but if you were really that good, President Bush would have
> tapped you
> > long ago for his 'Drug Czar' to stop the flow of illegal drugs into
> this
> > Country. But you go ahead and fight your one-man battle, and we'll
> all sit
> > back and chuckle. Keep your finger in the dike!
> >
> >
> > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message
> > news:1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled
> and
> > > owned by us.
> > >
>
> Well, we are apparently good enough to keep you whining. Look how you
> have jumped up and down for years trying to stop the AKC. Look how you
> have failed to do so at every turn.
> You may sit now, We are done with training for today.
>

455. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 02:24:59 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105237495.307c9e322e1c20598de3739d5285fd90@teranews>
Source record: 194095

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Don't be George

Well said.

456. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 02:26:53 UTC
From: dude! <dude@dude.com>
Message-ID: <41e094e6$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 194096

I guess I should have known better than to ask a serious question in this group....Again, CB=Children's Band.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"AKC's are dweebs" <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm> wrote in message news:29_Dd.4238$e01.2881@fe04.lga...
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> mewled in message
> news:1105218389.125651.124920@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
>
> > If he was netcopping I hope it was to rat out keyclownism.
>
> I googled "keyclownism" and found a shitload of your bizarre
> troll tracks and psychotic nitwitery from over the years...
>
> You sure set a high water mark for obcessed usenet kooks...
>
>
>

457. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 02:30:29 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <9H0Ed.18512$q4.2012@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194097

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:ttgut09eil8ud0hn56nnk2e4dim54hiaf3@4ax.com...
> On 07 Jan 2005 21:49:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote in <20050107164940.276$pf@newsreader.com>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 02:41:05 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>>> <u9trt0d6angej9v18m6olvq3oub57mf7gs@news.easynews.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>> >Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been really wierd,
>>> >it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
>>>
>>> You're down there in Texas, right? So what's this I hear about Houston
>>> being declared the city with the fattest people in the US?
>>>
>>You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?
>
>
> Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.
>
>
>> Isn't -everything-
>>bigger in Texas?
>
>
> They would like to think so. I keep hoping that Texas (and Florida)
> will make good on their threats to secede from the Union -- it would
> boost the GNP by at least 10% because we wouldn't have to clean up
> their messes anymore.
>
>
>
>

Messes?

458. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 02:34:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050108213432.141$pA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194098

"dude!" <dude@dude.com> wrote:
> I guess I should have known better than to ask a serious question in this
> group....Again, CB=Children's Band.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
You say that but the fact is N8WWM is the fucktard in this thread. The
fugly felon coward is mentally ill.

459. klv550 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 04:05:50 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050108230550.21373.00000005@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 194099

like new condition, looks and works fantastic, will do 650 watts easy, $270, plus shipping

Thatz-me-JP

460. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 04:38:42 UTC
From: dude! <dude@dude.com>
Message-ID: <41e0b3cd_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 194100

Agreed!
I can't remember if it's you or Twisty who knows Dave.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050108213432.141$pA@newsreader.com...
> "dude!" <dude@dude.com> wrote:
> > I guess I should have known better than to ask a serious question in
this
> > group....Again, CB=Children's Band.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> You say that but the fact is N8WWM is the fucktard in this thread. The
> fugly felon coward is mentally ill.

461. Re: Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:08:07 UTC
From: Landshark is steveo's girl <keyclownsaregay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105254487.554220.17350@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194101

AKC's are dweebs wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> mewled in
message
> news:1105218120.124993.102030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
> >> > well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out
> > with
> >> > the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone
is
> >> > doing well.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Keith KC8TCQ
> >> >
> >> Good luck, Keith.
> >
> > Break a leg, Mr. Iscariot.
> >
>
> Admit it,, you're just jealous of Keith, aren't you, dougay.. Keith
has way
> too much class to play into your cowardly akc games... Right
dougay????
>
> Is it because he's a regular, normal kind of ham radio guy and he
doesn't have
> some grotesque, irrational gripe against Cb'ers.. or so called
keyclowns????
>
> You must be very misguided idiot, if you think that makes Keith, a
Judus????
>
> heh heh heh... You truly are a bitter little creep, without a soul,
n8!

Hey keith......Don't let the door hit you in the ass on the way out!

The only motherfucker who gives a shit if you're here or not is the
arch faggot steveo. maybe you can pass his 'ole home 20 and suck him
off!

now get out and stay the fuck out!

462. fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:15:45 UTC
From: "moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105254945.473026.46370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194102
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews, alt.fuck.niggers, alt.niggers, rec.radio.cb

hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the best fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!

463. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:21:17 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255277.935661.67950@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194103
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews, alt.fuck.niggers, alt.niggers, rec.radio.cb

YEA, I AGREE.FUCK NIGGERCRUSHER!! I LIKE GETTING FUCKED BY BLACK DUDES.
SO DOES EVERYBODY IN OUR CB CLUB!I BET HE CALLS "IT SELF" NIGGERCRUSHER
BECAUSE A BLACK MAN SHOT-OFF HIS LOAD IN HIS MOUTH AND HIS TONSILS GOT
CRUSHED! HEHEHEHEHHE!!!!!!!
moparholic@hotmail.com wrote:
> hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the
best
> fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!

464. Re: klv550 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:22:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255325.930090.71190@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194104

UknowMeJP wrote:
> like new condition, looks and works fantastic, will do 650 watts
easy, $270,
> plus shipping
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP
Tell us the austion number. We will report it.

465. Re: Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:24:30 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255470.297803.79790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194105

As you take that swan dive with an exposed part of male anatomy, may the doughnut be constructed of portland cement mixed with broken beer bottles.

You may sit. Training will begin again later.

466. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:27:08 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255628.548709.90540@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194106
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews, alt.fuck.niggers, alt.niggers, rec.radio.cb

I have no idea if that is true, but knowing mopeydopey it could be.

467. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:29:08 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255748.574112.97790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194107

You set one for impotent and limpwristed sour grapes crying dorkiness.

468. hey steveo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:30:00 UTC
From: keyclowns are fag-boi's <keyclownsaregay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255800.831608.100730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194108
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking, alt.niggers, alt.atlanta, rec.radio.cb

every time your blow buddies start their shit, you're right ther to help them.

your faggot, cocksucking friends like langshark and lancer. that drag queen ""UknowMeJP"" likes to feel pretty. thats why he cybers with twistedcock.
all you keyqueezee are fucking losers. fuck all you asswipe cb freaks!

469. hey steveo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:30:02 UTC
From: keyclowns are fag-boi's <keyclownsaregay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255802.401094.101580@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194109
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking, alt.niggers, alt.atlanta, rec.radio.cb

every time your blow buddies start their shit, you're right ther to help them.

your faggot, cocksucking friends like langshark and lancer. that drag queen ""UknowMeJP"" likes to feel pretty. thats why he cybers with twistedcock.
all you keyqueezee are fucking losers. fuck all you asswipe cb freaks!

470. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:30:20 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255820.964640.102620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194110

And this statement helps you accomplish your fantasy of stopping the
AKC?

Never happen, limpwrist.

471. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 07:31:00 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255860.199100.104960@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194111

They all know each other, in the Biblical sense.

472. hey steveo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 08:04:36 UTC
From: keyclowns are fag-boi's <keyclownsaregay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105255800.022479.100680@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194112
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking, alt.niggers, alt.atlanta, rec.radio.cb

every time your blow buddies start their shit, you're right ther to help them.

your faggot, cocksucking friends like langshark and lancer. that drag queen ""UknowMeJP"" likes to feel pretty. thats why he cybers with twistedcock.
all you keyqueezee are fucking losers. fuck all you asswipe cb freaks!

473. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 11:59:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050109065928.232$HI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194113

```
"dude!" <dude@dude.com> wrote:
> Agreed!
> I can't remember if it's you or Twisty who knows Dave.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
I know him a little. Had dinner with him once.
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050108213432.141$pA@newsreader.com...
> > "dude!" <dude@dude.com> wrote:
> > > I guess I should have known better than to ask a serious question in
> this
> > > group....Again, CB=Children's Band.
> > >
> > > Kevin, WB5RUE
> > >
> > You say that but the fact is N8WWM is the fucktard in this thread. The
> > fugly felon coward is mentally ill.
```

474. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 12:09:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050109070909.952$yG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194114

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> And this statement helps you accomplish your fantasy of stopping the
> AKC?
>
> Never happen, limpwrist.
>
Tap, tap, hello fugly. hehe

475. Re: klv550 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 13:29:52 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <JlaEd.4809$hl1.2078@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194115

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105255325.930090.71190@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> UknowMeJP wrote:
>> like new condition, looks and works fantastic, will do 650 watts
> easy, $270,
>> plus shipping
>>
>>
>> Thatz-me-JP
> Tell us the austion number. We will report it.
>

Ok.. Mr. Felon Hero.. :))

--
RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Repeater W8RZM

Dear Mr. Lagger:

Our records indicate that you are the trustee for the above repeater system. Enclosed are recordings of violations on the repeater by N8WWM. The transmissions continued for a considerable time and there was no evidence of a control operator.

Since you are the trustee for the repeater, it is important for you to understand that you are responsible for its proper operation. The decision to operate a repeater is a totally voluntarily one. Repeaters are a convenience in the Amateur Radio Service, not a necessity. A repeater is a station just like any other station; and under Section 97.205 of the Commission's rules, all stations must have a licensee and control operator. Under Sections 97.103(a) and 97.105, control operators must ensure the immediate proper operation of a station, regardless of the type of station control. Furthermore, "automatic" control does not mean "unattended" operation. Unattended operation is not authorized under the rules.

The repeater licensee/trustee is responsible for all recurring violations and violations that are not inadvertent, just as a licensee is responsible for a base station HF station operation in the licensee's home. You must prevent recurring and deliberate violations on the repeater by locking rule violators out, using tones, warning users, limiting its operation, or taking whatever steps necessary commensurate with your responsibility as the licensee of the station. If you are unable to do so, then you must shut down your station.

If you are unwilling or unable to prevent violations on the W8RZM repeater, then your operator and station license will be subject to enforcement action by the Commission. Such action may include monetary forfeiture, operator license suspension or station license revocation.

476. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 13:31:32 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <gnaEd.4817$Jn1.1073@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194116

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled and
> owned by us.
>

--

RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Repeater W8RZM

Dear Mr. Lagger:

Our records indicate that you are the trustee for the above repeater system. Enclosed are recordings of violations on the repeater by N8WWM. The transmissions continued for a considerable time and there was no evidence of a control operator.

Since you are the trustee for the repeater, it is important for you to understand that you are responsible for its proper operation. The decision to operate a repeater is a totally voluntarily one. Repeaters are a convenience in the Amateur Radio Service, not a necessity. A repeater is a station just like any other station; and under Section 97.205 of the Commission's rules, all stations must have a licensee and control operator. Under Sections 97.103(a) and 97.105, control operators must ensure the immediate proper operation of a station, regardless of the type of station control. Furthermore, "automatic" control does not mean "unattended" operation. Unattended operation is not authorized under the rules.

The repeater licensee/trustee is responsible for all recurring violations and violations that are not inadvertent, just as a licensee is responsible for a base station HF station operation in the licensee's home. You must prevent recurring and deliberate violations on the repeater by locking rule violators out, using tones, warning users, limiting its operation, or taking whatever steps necessary commensurate with your responsibility as the licensee of the station. If you are unable to do so, then you must shut down your station.

If you are unwilling or unable to prevent violations on the W8RZM repeater, then your operator and station license will be subject to enforcement action by the Commission. Such action may include monetary forfeiture, operator license suspension or station license revocation.

477. Re: Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 13:36:19 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <MraEd.4835$n91.146@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194117

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105255470.297803.79790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> As you take that swan dive with an exposed part of male anatomy, may
> the doughnut be constructed of portland cement mixed with broken beer
> bottles.
>
> You may sit. Training will begin again later.
>

Repeater Jammer..say what..?!?!

--

RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Repeater W8RZM

Dear Mr. Lagger:

Our records indicate that you are the trustee for the above repeater system. Enclosed are recordings of violations on the repeater by N8WWM. The transmissions continued for a considerable time and there was no evidence of a control operator.

Since you are the trustee for the repeater, it is important for you to understand that you are responsible for its proper operation. The decision to operate a repeater is a totally voluntarily one. Repeaters are a convenience in the Amateur Radio Service, not a necessity. A repeater is a station just like any other station; and under Section 97.205 of the Commission's rules, all stations must have a licensee and control operator. Under Sections 97.103(a) and 97.105, control operators must ensure the immediate proper operation of a station, regardless of the type of station control. Furthermore, "automatic" control does not mean "unattended" operation. Unattended operation is not authorized under the rules.

The repeater licensee/trustee is responsible for all recurring violations and violations that are not inadvertent, just as a licensee is responsible for a base station HF station operation in the licensee's home. You must prevent recurring and deliberate violations on the repeater by locking rule violators out, using tones, warning users, limiting its operation, or taking whatever steps necessary commensurate with your responsibility as the licensee of the station. If you are unable to do so, then you must shut down your station.

If you are unwilling or unable to prevent violations on the W8RZM repeater, then your operator and station license will be subject to enforcement action by the Commission. Such action may include monetary forfeiture, operator license suspension or station license revocation.

478. Re: Busy tunning myself up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 13:46:48 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <1hd2u0liikjf5v42j8eb1623oad8crjo5l@4ax.com>
Source record: 194118

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 18:36:08 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 12:17:06 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
>>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>>news:1a40u01mbfkqmrmeop7pcg875vpk4nvoin@news.easynews.com:
>>
>>> No you were going to tell me my name...
>>
>>
>>Lance, you must read my posts funny I never said I was going to tell you
>>your name, you asked me if I knew your name I said NO.
>>
>>
>>
>>> If I let you see my real IP it wouldn't mean anything.
>>
>>Your right, as evidance from your last ip showing's.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> I run on a
>>> satellite. My NOC is in California, so my location would show up as
>>> California..
>>
>>DirectWay?
>>
>Yeppers, if you would have been doing your google searchs you would
>have seen that I post there..
>
>>
>>>
>>> BTW, sorry about calling you stupid...
>>
>>Truth hurts?? LOL
>
>Nah, not at all.. I just can't figure out why you stick up for that
>dumbass Doug..
>
>Because at one time, you were a member of this group that discussed
>amps, antennas and other electronic stuff... is it more fun to
>"tweak" the users of this group, or share your knowledge?

Yes me too!!! The question of the year... Waiting for the response with baited breath!!!!

479. Re: klv550 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 14:19:03 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e1395a$0$14477$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194119

Isn't this your skank?
KC8QJP
FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
Action taken.
Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105255325.930090.71190@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> UknowMeJP wrote:
>> like new condition, looks and works fantastic, will do 650 watts
> easy, $270,
>> plus shipping
>>
>>
>> Thatz-me-JP
> Tell us the austion number. We will report it.
>

480. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 14:19:44 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e13983$0$14503$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194120

Isn't this your skank?
KC8QJP
FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
Action taken.
Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled and
> owned by us.
>

481. Re:N8WWM Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 14:21:01 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e139d0$0$14502$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Isn't this your skank?
KC8QJP
FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
Action taken.
Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105218120.124993.102030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steveo wrote:
>> Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>> > well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out
> with
>> > the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone is
>> > doing well.
>> >
>> > 73 de Keith KC8TCQ
>> >
>> Good luck, Keith.
>
> Break a leg, Mr. Iscariot.
>

482. Re: My N8WWM AKC synopsis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 14:21:58 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e13a09$0$14514$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Isn't this your skank?
KC8QJP
FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
Action taken.
Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105218578.002243.138210@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> He is just jealous. AKC are heterosexual and it frustrates the twink
> that we won't play flip wrist games with him.
>

483. Re: FAO N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 14:22:54 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e13a41$0$14473$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Isn't this your skank?
KC8QJP
FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
Action taken.
Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105219083.958332.174370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> she bang, ay? I guess that means you play she and he bangs
>

484. Re:N8WWM Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 14:25:04 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e13ac2$0$14514$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Isn't this your skank?
KC8QJP
FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
Action taken.
Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1105218679.218750.145570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I don't email you, truckstop solicitor. Go beef with whoever's ISP it
> is. I know you wish I would communicate, but being heterosexual, I must
> decline.
>

485. Which Wilson antenna should I get? 3' or 4' or 62"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 14:40:54 UTC
From: joe3301955@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050109094054.29068.00000001@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 194125

I recently got a 96 Buick Roadmaster station wagon. Do to a lack of mounting locations I plan to use a magnetic roof mount antenna. The 62"(Wilson 1000) looks nice, it's amazing to see a wire that thin stand straight up, but an antenna like that looks high maintenance, considering the car top is 5 foot high and the antenna would be another 5 foot high. Would I lose much reception if I went with a 3 foot fiberglass antenna or a 4 foot flexible fiberglass antenna ? The top of the 4' antenna would only be 9' high and therefore less likely to get hit in drive-ins like the bank and fast food joints.
When I get an antenna I expect it to last at least 5 years.
The radio I will be using is a Uniden pc68 elite, because I really like the Uniden pc68ltw in my other car.

486. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 15:05:55 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e14456$0$14472$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

N8WWM is a felon?
Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions:

(1) Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
(2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling
(3) Execute wage withholding agreement.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled and
> owned by us.
>

487. Re:N8WWM Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 15:07:05 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e1449b$0$14481$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Going to jail again?
Defendant N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR present in court.

Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
of probation.

Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions:

(1) Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
(2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling
(3) Execute wage withholding agreement.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105255470.297803.79790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> As you take that swan dive with an exposed part of male anatomy, may
> the doughnut be constructed of portland cement mixed with broken beer
> bottles.
>
> You may sit. Training will begin again later.
>

488. Re: FAO N8WWM criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 15:08:08 UTC
From: David Lawson <"David Lawson"@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e144db$0$24335$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 194128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Defendant N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR present in court.

Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
of probation.

Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions:

(1) Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
(2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling
(3) Execute wage withholding agreement.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105219083.958332.174370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> she bang, ay? I guess that means you play she and he bangs
>

489. Has the Midland 79-892 noise blanker ever worked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 16:04:12 UTC
From: TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com
Message-ID: <41e15571.1428794@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 194129

I have owned two Midland 79-892 mobiles over the years, and the noise blanker has never worked on anything. Has anyone ever owned a Midland 79-892 40 channel sideband mobile that had a noise blanker that worked? Just curious. As far as I can tell you flip the noise blanker on and nothing happens.

-TD

490. Re: Which Wilson antenna should I get? 3' or 4' or 62"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 16:25:47 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <crrluk01n3h@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 194131

On 09 Jan 2005 14:40:54 GMT Joe3301955 wrote:

> I recently got a 96 Buick Roadmaster station wagon. Do to a lack of
> mounting locations I plan to use a magnetic roof mount antenna. The
> 62"(Wilson 1000) looks nice, it's amazing to see a wire that thin stand
> straight up, but an antenna like that looks high maintenance,
> considering the
> car top is 5 foot high and the antenna would be another 5 foot high.
> Would I
> lose much reception if I went with a 3 foot fiberglass antenna or a 4
> foot
> flexible fiberglass antenna ? The top of the 4' antenna would only be
> 9' high
> and therefore less likely to get hit in drive-ins like the bank and
> fast food
> joints.
> When I get an antenna I expect it to last at least 5 years.
> The radio I will be using is a Uniden pc68 elite, because I really like
> the
> Uniden pc68ltw in my other car.

I never had any problems with a 3 or 4ft antenna.
For my motorcycle, a honda 400CBA, I had mounted a 3ft antenna on the rear
rack.
I made a bracket just for my GE CB mounted over the headlight.
That was in 1978.
Never had a problem with it and reception was decent.
Unless I can mount a 102" whip on the bumper, I usually go with a 4ft
maggie.
Or if it's my car outright, I'll drill a hole on the side and put in a ball
mount.
Easy enough to repair the damage later.

491. Re: Which Wilson antenna should I get? 3' or 4' or 62"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 16:26:25 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <RWcEd.112$8Z1.28@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194130

"Joe3301955" <joe3301955@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050109094054.29068.00000001@mb-m27.aol.com...
>I recently got a 96 Buick Roadmaster station wagon. Do to a lack of
> mounting locations I plan to use a magnetic roof mount antenna. The
> 62"(Wilson 1000) looks nice, it's amazing to see a wire that thin stand
> straight up, but an antenna like that looks high maintenance, considering
> the
> car top is 5 foot high and the antenna would be another 5 foot high. Would
> I
> lose much reception if I went with a 3 foot fiberglass antenna or a 4 foot
> flexible fiberglass antenna ? The top of the 4' antenna would only be 9'
> high
> and therefore less likely to get hit in drive-ins like the bank and fast
> food
> joints.
> When I get an antenna I expect it to last at least 5 years.
> The radio I will be using is a Uniden pc68 elite, because I really like
> the
> Uniden pc68ltw in my other car.

Get the 62" Wilson, for the ease of it. You might get a
little better performance from the 4", but the ease of install
and use of the Wilson far out weighs the loss of performance.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

492. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 18:40:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vgu2u0lqa8ts7dkb2h7mg7b4p837sgaqdc@4ax.com>
Source record: 194132

On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 14:19:41 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<dhe2u0hnkjia4t7ct20a9tqrr0ih6kp5c0@news.easynews.com>:

<snip>
>Yes its easy.

Hmmmm.....

> When you order your installation kit for DSL make sure
>you get the package with the older style modem in it.

What do you mean by "older style"?

> Throw their
>installation software away. Buy a D-link DI-604, hook your modem to
>it, put your login and password in the PPOe of the router. Point your
>3.11 system at the router. Thats it..

I'll give it a shot.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

493. Re: My N8WWM AKC synopsis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 19:13:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050109141319.830$gB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

steveoyoumakemelaff@ha.ha wrote:
> ??? ?this your skank?
> > KC8QJP
> > FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> > Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,
> > 525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> > against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> > 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> > the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> > Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting
> > in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June
> > 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> > deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> > Action taken.
> > Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> > filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
> >
> >
> > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:1105218578.002243.138210@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > He is just jealous. AKC are heterosexual and it frustrates the twink
> > > that we won't play flip wrist games with him.
> > >
> >
> >
>
> Steveo's uses other peoples court records as a shield against the shame
> of being an illegal faggot keyclown LOL. Respond that amps are ilegal,
> Steveo posts court reocrds. Say something he doesn't agree with, Steveo
> posts court records. They make him feel better about his keyclownism.
>
> illegal man-centered criminal self.
>
I didn't post that you brain dead twit.

```
Speaking of the N8WWM topic, he should name his shack felon central.

N8WWM - felon

KC8QJP- felon

Both reside here, in the felon shack.

http://img146.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img146&image=dogieupclosefugly0tk.jpg
```

Criminal no-code techs.

494. Re: N8WWM is a cock sucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 19:13:23 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105297999.f5ab245a31a82ea35b9e6c318b5c96c6@teranews>
Source record: 194134

Steveo wrote:
> Dunno about doug being a cock sucker, but he -is- the fugliest thing
> I've seen walking upright. (have a gander at the freak side-show)
>
> http://tinyurl.com/6wzpx
>
> (ps, TP. this is the fuckstick that's posting your address)

Man, with Dougie targeting TP, you have to wonder how low TP is on the totem pole. Dougie is dogshit, and TP is layers below that.

495. Re: N8WWM is a cock sucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 19:14:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050109141452.301$n1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194135

"The Grim Reaper" <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Dunno about doug being a cock sucker, but he -is- the fugliest thing
> > I've seen walking upright. (have a gander at the freak side-show)
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/6wzpx
> >
> > (ps, TP. this is the fuckstick that's posting your address)
>
> Man, with Dougie targeting TP, you have to wonder how low TP is on the
> totem pole. Dougie is dogshit, and TP is layers below that.
>
Dogie is afraid to defend himself..nock on his door and watch him tremble.

496. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 21:43:44 UTC
From: Niggercrusher <niggercrusher@crushednigger.com>
Message-ID: <1105307061.035fdba8aec518f3fc33bdfcb541ed18@teranews>
Source record: 194136
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.niggers,rec.radio.cb,alt.islam

The Yard Ape Nigger said "muh dikkk" ! Now run along boy, and get your
slice of "waddamelon" from ther AFN store ! Ha ha, sore spot destroyed :)
LMFWAO !!!!

--
You have just been crushed SAMBO gutter apes, by the NIGGERCRUSHER.

"moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1105254945.473026.46370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the best
> fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
>

497. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:06:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vfa3u0d5s9ud8dm3qua6dmt9sospdmhp0v@4ax.com>
Source record: 194137

On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 21:00:36 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<vb63u0ljnji185cukdnvl5ebdge14d1ilg@news.easynews.com>:

>On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 10:40:55 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 09 Jan 2005 14:19:41 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>><dhe2u0hnkjia4t7ct20a9tqrr0ih6kp5c0@news.easynews.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>Yes its easy.
>>
>>
>>Hmmmm.....
>>
>>
>>> When you order your installation kit for DSL make sure
>>>you get the package with the older style modem in it.
>>
>>
>>What do you mean by "older style"?
>>
>
>I have seen some of the new self install kits with a USB modem.

.....uh, ok.

>>
>>> Throw their
>>>installation software away. Buy a D-link DI-604, hook your modem to
>>>it, put your login and password in the PPOe of the router. Point your
>>>3.11 system at the router. Thats it..
>>
>>
>>I'll give it a shot.
>>
>
>You have networking running on your 3.11 system now?

Nope. I guess I'll have to if I want DSL, huh?

498. Re: My N8WWM AKC synopsis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:25:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105309504.282495.172350@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Steveo wrote:
> steveoyoumakemelaff@ha.ha wrote:
> > ??§ ¬this your skank?
> > > KC8QJP
> > > FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> > > Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate
36,
> > > 525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary
action
> > > against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code
section
> > > 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state
or of
> > > the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States
District
> > > Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding &
Assisting
> > > in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on
June
> > > 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed
to
> > > deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> > > Action taken.
> > > Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding &
assisting in
> > > filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > > message
news:1105218578.002243.138210@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > He is just jealous. AKC are heterosexual and it frustrates the
twink
> > > > that we won't play flip wrist games with him.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Steveo's uses other peoples court records as a shield against the
shame
> > of being an illegal faggot keyclown LOL. Respond that amps are
ilegal,
> > Steveo posts court reocrds. Say something he doesn't agree with,

Steveo
> > posts court records. They make him feel better about his
keyclownism.
> >
> > illegal man-centered criminal self.
> >
> I didn't post that you brain dead twit.
>
> Speaking of the N8WWM topic, he should name his shack felon central.
>
> N8WWM - felon
>
> KC8QJP- felon
>
> Both reside here, in the felon shack.
>
> http://img146.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img146&image=dogieupclosefugly0tk.jpg
>
> Criminal no-code techs.
Up boy, up! Good sissy...SUCH a gooooooood sissy.

499. Re: N8WWM is a cock sucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:26:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105309570.374853.176530@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194139

good boy, good little keyqueen. Obedience is sooooo good to see.

500. FS: 70's Lafayette's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:27:25 UTC
From: Jim <marickikki@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <hdiEd.2883$gb.1291@trndny03>
Source record: 194141

Clean Telsat SSB-140 with stock mic, really fantastic receive and noise
blanker $100
Currently in service
Comstat 35 with stock mic $25.

Both tested, the Comstat 35 has an audio squeel with the range boost on
(with *tuned* back of the set whip antenna)
Likely RF getting into the cheap HI-Z mic.
I also have a nice black D-104 with the preamp $50

Joe (Long Island)
Remove "NOSPAM"

501. Re: N8WWM Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:28:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105309685.470211.184410@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

You make this too easy, chicken boy.

502. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:30:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105309814.909282.193500@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194142

The dog lover<in a biblical sense> American Enema 352 cannot avoid
being stupid.

503. Re: N8WWM Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:31:49 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105309909.682973.199850@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

How nice to see AKC training of keyclowns working perfectly. Keykweenz still frothing and crying cuz they can't stop the AKC.

504. Re: Dave (or Debbie)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:32:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105309975.133016.203940@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194144

And this helps you accomplish your impossible fantasy of stopping the
AKC?

505. Re: klv550 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:34:18 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105310058.093960.208810@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194146

AKC has accomplished smacking more keyclowns. They can't take it. So funny to watch them babble and repeat themselves because they don't know better.

506. Re: FAO N8WWM criminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:35:35 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105310135.247903.214230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

More keyclown writhing under the AKC lash. The programming is just perfect. Man we are good with the chip installations.

507. Re: hey steveo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:36:43 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105310203.053902.218440@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194147
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking, alt.niggers, alt.atlanta, rec.radio.cb

Well said, well said. You forgot to mention that they cannot do one single thing to stop the AKC, though.

508. Re: Rec.Radio.CB trolls Obsessions with C$@k

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:37:41 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105310261.464425.221980@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.aol, rec.radio.amateur.policy

so are you, get a mirror and find out, sissy.

509. Re: twithed cracks, hides behind aliases and spews stupidity!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 22:39:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105310357.154079.228800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194148

Can't stop AKC, no matter how it gets misspelled. That's your problem,
too dumb to know you are powerless.

510. FS: 70's Lafayettes (This one)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-09 23:46:52 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <MnjEd.7203$eb.6130@trndny01>
Source record: 194150

Clean Telsat SSB-140 with stock mic, really fantastic receive and noise
blanker $100
Currently in service
Comstat 35 with stock mic $25.

Both tested, the Comstat 35 has an audio squeel with the range boost on
(with *tuned* back of the set whip antenna)
Likely RF getting into the cheap HI-Z mic.
I also have a nice black D-104 with the preamp $50

Joe (Long Island)
Remove "NOSPAM"

511. Which Wilson antenna should I get? 3' or 4' or 62"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 02:32:53 UTC
From: joe3301955@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050109213253.09793.00000023@mb-m18.aol.com>
Source record: 194151

I recently got a 96 Buick Roadmaster station wagon. Do to a lack of mounting locations I plan to use a magnetic roof mount antenna. The 62"(Wilson 1000) looks nice, it's amazing to see a wire that thin stand straight up, but an antenna like that looks high maintenance, considering the car top is 5 foot high and the antenna would be another 5 foot high. Would I lose much reception if I went with a 3 foot fiberglass antenna or a 4 foot flexible fiberglass antenna ? The top of the 4' antenna would only be 9' high and therefore less likely to get hit in drive-ins like the bank and fast food joints.
When I get an antenna I expect it to last at least 5 years.
The radio I will be using is a Uniden pc68 elite, because I really like the Uniden pc68ltw in my other car.

512. FA: Cobra 2000GTL SSB CB base station with matching speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 03:05:27 UTC
From: Joe <joencREMOVETHISTOREPLY@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <d3s3u0hhra7ujoo0qo5i4ou8h45a6j6pki@4ax.com>
Source record: 194152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,triangle.forsale

For auction on eBay: Cobra 2000GTL with matching speaker and microphone.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5743065385

513. FA: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB base station with Astatic D104 Mic (ends Monday)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 03:10:41 UTC
From: Joe <joencREMOVETHISTOREPLY@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <5as3u09td4vlp7nb2nooveq11d62l5qubd@4ax.com>
Source record: 194153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,triangle.forsale

For auction on eBay: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB with Astatic D104 Mic

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741957670

514. LET'S HELP EACH OTHER MAKE MONEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 05:31:45 UTC
From: jjrvn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105335105.745997.316110@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194154
Cross-posted to: rec.food.cooking, comp.soft-sys.matlab, rec.radio.cb, rec.org.mensa, rec.music.phish

I'm sure you've read these letters asking you to send $1 to six different addresses. Putting your home address or mailing address on the internet can be risky, but through PayPal all you have to provide is your email address. It's safe and easy. Just go to www.paypal.com and set up your FREE account! No credit card is needed. I am not a gullible person and have never trusted things like this, but I gave it a try. Hey it's only $6. I figured at least 6 people would respond out of the millions of people that use the internet. You should give it a try too! You have nothing to lose, and thousands to gain! Read on for the letter that I found (of course, I added my PayPal e-mail on #6)...

HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000+!!! THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!! A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must be some kind of scam" but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 though PayPal to each of the emails stated in the article. You then place your own email address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 news groups. (There are thousands) No catch. That was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured what have I got to lose except $6.00, right? Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it. It follows the same regulations as the "mailed chain letters, which according to the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!? Within 7 days, I started getting money in my PayPal account! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $20.00. By the end second week I had made a total over $1,200.00!!! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $36,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's Certainly worth $6.00. I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works? Also, make sure you print a copy of this article now, so that you can get the information off of it as you need it.

$$

REQUIREMENTS: You must have a confirmed PayPal account. If you do not have a PayPal account you can go to www.paypal.com and follow the instructions to set up a free account. In order to place the initial $6

into your account, you will have to confirm your bank account with PayPal (which may take a few days). PayPal is 100% secure and is used my millions of people world wide. Confirming a www.paypal.com account simply means (1) PayPal will first ask you to confirm the email you used to sign up your PayPal account by sending you an email with a link for you to click to that email. As soon as you click that link your email is confirmed. (2) PayPal will then make two small deposits into your bank account if you set up your PayPal account with a checking or savings bank account. Or PayPal will make two small deposits to your credit card if you set up your PayPal account with your credit card. Then after a few days (it takes up to a few days for these deposits to appear in your bank account or on your credit card statement) then after a few days you go back to the www.paypal.com website and sign in. Then you click where it says this account is not yet confirmed. A screen will pop up that gives you 2 boxes to fill in. You then enter the numbers of the 2 small deposit amounts (two separate 2 digit numbers) that were deposited to your bank account or credit card. Now you have a working and confirmed PayPal account and can receive payments.

$$

[STEP 1]
Send, though PayPal, $1.00 to each email on the below list. Make the subject of the payment "Email List" and in the comments, write "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST." What you are doing is creating a service by this - and best of all you are not giving your address to anyone you do not know. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!! YOU ARE CREATING A SERVICE. Here are the latest PayPal e-mails on the e-mail lists:

#1)brittany...@excite.com

#2) mth...@renc.igs.net

#3) Mishav...@aol.com

#4) nike31...@aol.com

#5) careent...@hotmail.com

#6) jjrvn...@hotmail.com

www.paypal.com

Subject of Payment: ***Email List***

Comments: ***PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST***

[STEP 2]
Now take the #1) email off the list that you see above, move the other addresses up one (6 becomes 5 & 5 becomes 4, etc) the put YOUR email address (the one used in your PayPal account) as #6) on the list. **MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPLY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS IN YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT**

[STEP 3]
Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups, message boards, etc. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make - as well as everyone else on the list! In this situation your job is to let as many people see this letter as possible. So they will make you and me reach!!!! You can even start posting the moment your email is confirmed. Payments will still appear in your PayPal account even while your bank account is being confirmed.

$$$

DIRECTIONS ON HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS/MESSAGE BOARDS.

[Step 1]
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your CURSOR at the beginning of this letter and drag your CURSOR to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into your computer's temporary memory.

[Step 2]
Open a blank Notepad file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'Edit' menu select 'Paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your email to the list.

[Step 3]
Save your new Notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different sittings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

[Step 4]
Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for various newsgroups, on-line forums, message boards, bulletin boards, chat sites, discussions, discussion groups, online communities, etc. EXAMPLE: go to any search engine like yahoo.com, google.com, altavista.com, excite.com, then search with subjects like "millionaire message board" or "money making message board" or "opportunity message board" or "money making discussions" or "business bulletin board" or "money making forum" etc. You will find thousands and thousands of message boards. Click them one by one then you will find the option to post a new message.

[Step 5]
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting 'Paste' from the 'Edit' menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll thru the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations! THAT'S IT!! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away. After you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS

AND/OR MESSAGE BOARDS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money within days! **JUST MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPLY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS ON PAYPAL.**

Have fun!

515. My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 06:20:03 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105338003.317790.183260@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.fuck.niggers, alt.flame.niggers, misc.transport.trucking

here's a few little clips of my DEElicious weekend!
fag-boi raciest ""NIGGERCRUSHER"" should LOVE the first one!!

http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/tristen.wmv

http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/hayden.wmv

http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/kyle.wmv

http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/slash.wmv

http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/hunter.wmv
Hey lancer....the last one should remind you of our special time!!!

516. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 08:12:37 UTC
From: Jeff Inderof <jeffind@goldenrod.net>
Message-ID: <41E238F5.CEE02DD@goldenrod.net>
Source record: 194156
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

James Nipper wrote:
>
> This post may sound a bit weird, but I have wanted to know how to do this
> for a long time. Please let me explain "why" I want to know how to
> control a floodlight , via a cb radio signal, from a long distance away
> (but still line of sight) :
>
> I have a cabin in the mountains. We often leave the cabin at night, and
> from remote places, (perhaps 10-15 miles away), I have looked over in our
> direction (from another mountaintop) and "think" that I can pick out our
> property by seeing the floodlights on our deck. Now, if I could turn
> these lights on and off remotely, via keying up a cb signal, that would be
> super !!
>
> It seems that I could key up a cb rig on a quiet channel , which would break
> the squelch on my cb receiver at home. The breaking of that squelch should
> be able to key some type of relay that would then turn my deck lights on and
> off. Perhaps the "key" would be the squelch circuit itself, or more
> simply, the noise that would come from my cb rig at home, the sound of which
> might key a relay..... ????
>
> Am I on the right track here ?? I have wondered if I could incorporate
> some of those X10 remote lighting control devices for the home in some
> manner ?? Or perhaps use similar stuff from Radio Shack ? The hardest
> part is the "keying" particulars, I am just not sure how to piece it all
> together.
>
> Can anyone offer any ideas on this please ??
>
> Thanks !!
>
> --James--

Have you considered a 5-watt family radio that may be more
stable .... or a connection via telephone for a more
dependable connection?

517. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 09:22:25 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105348945.463890.184840@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.fuck.niggers, alt.flame.niggers, misc.transport.trucking

Steveo wrote:
> here's a few little clips of my DEElicious weekend!
> fag-boi raciest ""NIGGERCRUSHER"" should LOVE the first one!!
>
> http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/tristen.wmv
>
>
> http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/hayden.wmv
>
>
> http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/kyle.wmv
>
>
> http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/slash.wmv
>
>
> http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/hunter.wmv
> Hey lancer....the last one should remind you of our special time!!!
I truly believe they musta shot those at a keydown.

518. Re: N8WWM is a cock sucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 09:27:48 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105349268.655395.201800@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194158

that's Knock, you weirdo sissy perv. And we do tremble, that is true.
With laughter.

519. Re: N8WWM is a cock sucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 11:02:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050110060208.369$G9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194159

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> that's Knock, you weirdo sissy perv. And we do tremble, that is true.
> With laughter.
>
I see you were up all night drooling on your keyboard again, Adair. Go see
your court ordered shrink.

AKC= Adair Keyboard Coward.

Defendant N8WWM DOUGLAS ADAIR present in court.

Defendant having admitted to a probation violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in violation
of probation.

Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
ordered continued with the following added conditions:

(1) Seek and maintain gainful employment;
(2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling
(3) Execute wage withholding agreement.

Nice antenna install, you incompetent twit.

http://img34.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img34&image=thedogiehouse7bi.jpg

520. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:08:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <esq4u0tpmbul7b9pdpa4ud06aqhst4u4ev@4ax.com>
Source record: 194160

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 18:19:28 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 16:18:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote in <6853-41DEFCAD-349@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>:
>
>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
><snip>
>>>So which is it? If you are denying my claim
>>
>>>that there are illegal stations on channel 6,
>>
>>>then by simple inverse logic, you are claiming
>>
>>>that there are NO illegal stations on channel 6.
>>
>>That isn't inverse logic, that's illogic invoked by yourself. Once
>>again, since you are displaying an uncanny sense of self-cornfusion, the
>>legality of stations on channel 6 was never the issue. The only issue
>>was the manner in which you claimed you could tell they were illegal.
>
>
>That's Dave -- he grabs a logical fallacy that sounds good and won't
>let go come hell or high water.

Tell me then Frank, where is the "falacy" in my logic?

I stated that there are illegal stations on channel 6 based on my own
empirical observations.

Twist claimed that my statement is "bullshit". So if my statement that
there are illegal operators on channel 6 is invalid, then you are
making the statement that there are no illegal operators on channel 6.

If you are merely objecting to my method of determining the status of
those stations, I would be glad to engage in a technical discussion
with you as to these methods.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

Dave
"Sandbagger"

521. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:14:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <16s4u0t7cpsc4ckq2jhrd2ube8l5r8a4iv@4ax.com>
Source record: 194161

On 07 Jan 2005 21:39:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> Someone who didn't take crap from idiots? Yea, I'm guilty.
>>
>I used to like dropping a KW on them with my mark IV. Now it's not
>worth the electricity.

Browning MK IV? Nice rig, although many people claimed that they had
"problems". From what I remember, it was only the first production run
that had the problems.

You know the people for whom I speak of. Maybe you could tell twist,
since he obviously doesn't seem to believe me.

BTW, I agree, tweaking idiots used to be fun "back then". But today,
it's hardly worth the time.

Sometimes it's more fun tweaking the idiots here........

Dave
"Sandbagger"

522. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:31:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pks4u0hcsejvulcak6jhbk2onvoekdp5d6@4ax.com>
Source record: 194163

On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 16:48:36 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>>Someday I may clue you in to just how a
>>psychological study of your posting habits was
>>included in someone I know's psychology
>>report on deviant behaviors.

>
>That would be so rich, if you knew who the hell you were responding,

That'll never happen, since you have such a paranoia about remaining
anonymous. And such is the double edged sword of remaining anonymous.
If you really are someone of worthiness, and respect, well never know
it, and you remain a newsgroup punch-clown, who spreads lies about
other people only to provoke or continue controversy.

You are the "Anti" Doug.

>especially in lieu of the fact that you have falsely presented yourself
>as qualified to make the impressions you now cite of another.

It's all part of the fun for me. For the record, I never said that I
am a licensed practitioner. I said that I enjoy studying human
psychology from my own curiosity, as a hobby. But I do know others who
are in the process of obtaining their professional credentials.

>All the more curious, is the fact you are asking educated individuals in
>this group to believe such an alleged professional would dare make a
>determination based only on a cartoon character and the very carefully
>crafted information you were tossed. The "deviant", has never been more
>clear, and for that, I thank you.

And that is your fall back. You respond in a predictable manner,
with anticipated responses to carefully presented stimuli. Yet, when
your picture is presented to you, you then make the claim that this is
all somehow a "facade", a cartoon character that doesn't exist in
reality. Well, if that's true, then you should be working in
Hollywood, because you'd be a shoe-in for the remake of "One flew over
the cookoo's nest".

You really are a piece of work. Your random chatter and convoluted
conclusions are both entertaining and enlightening to those of us who
actually enjoy observing people who fall outside the definitions of
normal.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

523. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:31:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050110073146.655$6G@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194162

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 07 Jan 2005 21:39:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> Someone who didn't take crap from idiots? Yea, I'm guilty.
> >>
> >I used to like dropping a KW on them with my mark IV. Now it's not
> >worth the electricity.
>
> Browning MK IV? Nice rig, although many people claimed that they had
> "problems". From what I remember, it was only the first production run
> that had the problems.
>
Yea, I've heard the same thing..mine's never given me any real trouble,
I've had it moth balled for a while now tho.
>
> You know the people for whom I speak of. Maybe you could tell twist,
> since he obviously doesn't seem to believe me.
>
If you're talking about the super bowl (6), I doubt one could do much
communicating on there without at least a KW..a lesson in Ebonics wouldn't
hurt either. <g>
>
> BTW, I agree, tweaking idiots used to be fun "back then". But today,
> it's hardly worth the time.
>
Yep, about the only time I use AM anymore is on a trip, ch 19 still comes
in handy for traffic reports.
>
> Sometimes it's more fun tweaking the idiots here........
>
There's one I'd like to tweak in person..too bad he's too gutless to
stand up for himself in person. There will come a day when he won't
have any choice.

524. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:37:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050110073751.325$50@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194166

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
-snippage-
> You are the "Anti" Doug.
>
Why anyone would support that freak is beyond me. Doug has serious
mental problems.
>
> Yet, when your picture is presented to you
>
Speaking of pictures, Crisco Kathy had to change dogies diaper after
I showed up and took these.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

525. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:38:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hdt4u097t2l4amsdftjt2u9tk7idg3o4rh@4ax.com>
Source record: 194165

On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.
>
>
>It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
>having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
>talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
>severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
>examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
>intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
>signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
>on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
>of...taa daaa....skip.

Uh... Nooooo. Splatter is the result of a dirty transmitter, and those
products show up as a "comb" of harmonics which decrease in amplitude
as you move farther away in frequency from the fundamental carrier. If
the fundamental signal is +10db over S9, then those distortion
products will be plainly heard if they are only 10 db or so down on an
adjacent channel. That same splattering station, when he fades down to
an S1 signal, is now so weak, that his adjacent channel splatter
products are now under the noise threshold of the receiver. THAT is
why you don't hear them.

>This is quite simple, really....me: 100% correct..you: 100% wrong.

When it comes to radio theory, you haven't been correct about a single
thing.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

526. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:38:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <npt4u0dfqi5rcndlp4g5tfpnk0cqitu6jq@4ax.com>
Source record: 194164

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 17:12:24 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:26:49 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
>>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:6852-41DEF31E-1066
>>@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>>> It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
>>> having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
>>> talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
>>> severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
>>> examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
>>> intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
>>> signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
>>> on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
>>> of...taa daaa....skip.
>>
>>
>>You hear them on the next channel because they are running an amplifier,
>>dick head
>
>Not just an amp, a dirty amp, fed with a over mdulated radio.

Right!

Dave
"Sandbagger"

527. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:39:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9rt4u0d47h9r6j41pu79osm16i5fjq3a21@4ax.com>
Source record: 194167

On 07 Jan 2005 21:19:25 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>> N3CVJ wrote:
>> >The reverse can be applied to Nader. He
>>
>> >appeals to the hard core left,
>>
>> You continue to reaffirm you haven't the foggiest.
>>
>How can you dispute Ralph's left wing appeal, Twist?

Be prepared for a string of nonsensical double speak.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

528. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:43:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <htt4u05itr9i5uqtnar6tmuekh4d7560gd@4ax.com>
Source record: 194168

On 07 Jan 2005 21:22:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall) wrote:
>> >So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
>>
>> >channel and pick out who the most blatant
>>
>> >illegal operators are simply by the sound of
>>
>> >their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
>>
>> When the dx is running strong,
>>
>Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line is over-driven..even on local
>ground wave. That said, there -are- way yonder too many splatter-masters on
>11 meters.

That depends on what you mean by "over-driven" Simply bumping up the
carrier power, will decrease your modulation, and will eventually
result in severe back swing. If it's excessive, the modulation will
also sound "fuzzy". At that point, it will be easy to see that it's
not a legal CB transmitter. But it may not be splattering all that
much.

If you bump up modulation to over 100%, then that will cause splatter,
which is also easily observed.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

529. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 12:45:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050110074536.424$ax@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194169

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 07 Jan 2005 21:22:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall) wrote:
> >> >So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
> >>
> >> >channel and pick out who the most blatant
> >>
> >> >illegal operators are simply by the sound of
> >>
> >> >their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
> >>
> >> When the dx is running strong,
> >>
> >Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line is over-driven..even on local
> >ground wave. That said, there -are- way yonder too many splatter-masters
> >on 11 meters.
>
> That depends on what you mean by "over-driven" Simply bumping up the
> carrier power, will decrease your modulation, and will eventually
> result in severe back swing. If it's excessive, the modulation will
> also sound "fuzzy". At that point, it will be easy to see that it's
> not a legal CB transmitter. But it may not be splattering all that
> much.
>
> If you bump up modulation to over 100%, then that will cause splatter,
> which is also easily observed.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
That's not going to happen with my rig. Tune it and forget about it.

530. America Need Unchained Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 13:38:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19631-41E28543-345@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194170

America Needs Unchained Spectrum
>By Gregg Blonder

Misguided regulations of the airwaves are thwarting precious opportunities to innovate and create so-far undreamed of services.

Good is the enemy of great. The risk we venture capitalists fear most is not the failed investment, nor the modest success, but the lost opportunity. Ten good deals in the wrong market will never do as well as one in the right market. And venture capitalists who can't latch onto rising stars have to find another line of work.

Americans need to develop a similar fear of opportunities lost to a lack of innovation. It should be a national priority. If we fail to reinvigorate the country's engines of innovation, I worry that the balance of innovative economic power will shift more or less permanently toward Asia.

NO LICENSE REQUIRED. Take the Internet. Thirty years in gestation, the World Wide Web created billions in new wealth and is as intertwined in our lives as Monday Night Football and AC power.
Why was it so successful? Because the "great" had enough time and enough freedom to emerge.
The federal government never mandated rules, regulations, or a purpose for the Internet, and large corporations dismissed it as a fad. As a result, entrepreneurs had time to experiment with new business models at nearly zero incremental cost -- without a license or oversight.
Greatness took root.

WHAT A WASTE. A decade later, on the heels of the merely "good" CB radio, the now-ubiquitous cellular phone market was created. A few slivers of unlicensed spectrum -- virgin bands of wireless broadcasting channels for cordless phones and Wi-Fi -- were slowly released, all under the watchful eye of the Federal Communications Commission.
Now, you can't escape the ring of a cell phone, and Wi-Fi hot spots infest every coffee shop and hotel lobby. Sounds like a great success, right? Guess again. Our misuse of spectrum is one of the greatest lost opportunities of the last century, falling victim to the siren call of the merely good.

What's wrong with our current spectrum policies? First of all, more than 90% of all spectrum is wasted -☐ a perishable commodity leaking opportunity away every second. Cell-phone use plummets during evening hours, which is why wireless companies give away after-hours minutes.

STIFLING OVERSIGHT Over-the-air TV now serves less than 20% of the market. Each analog channel could be replaced by six digital channels. And one TV tower blankets an entire city transmitting a single program, instead of hundreds of small street-corner antennas each sending out hundreds of different shows and reusing the same bandwidth over and over again.

In a free market this commodity would be cleared in no time. But licenses and regulations discourage both the innovation and investment that would absorb it.
Second, in a country that celebrates its support for the entrepreneur and small business, the price of entry is too high. What small business can afford hundreds of millions of dollars for a wireless license to simply discover if a customer might want to purchase a new wireless service?
In a dynamic and changing world, what entrepreneur would buy a license laden with usage restrictions -- the kind meted out by the FCC forcing one band to be used for only voice calls and another only for local commercial TV?

It's like buying a truck from Ford, with a restriction that it can be driven only on Sundays while carrying nonagricultural products.

TAKING BABY STEPS. And third, spectrum is so politicized that nimble decision-making is impossible. For more than a decade the FCC, in a vain attempt to save the U.S. consumer-electronics industry, has pushed high-definition TV onto broadcasters.
For more than two decades the FCC has weighed conflicting comments suggesting new spectrum policies ☐- and has taken only baby steps toward deregulation and minimal spectrum swaps. Before that, the FCC delayed new technologies like UHF channels or color TV, to placate the Big Three networks. Smart entrepreneurs had to go elsewhere for inspiration.

In short, today's spectrum usage is sodden with the inefficiencies that arise when a command-and-control economy prescribes exactly who will produce what and for what purpose.

OPENING NEW DOORS. Freeing spectrum would create another opportunity like the Internet. A fully functioning market would expand current spectrum usage by 100 times and add 100 times more entrepreneurial ideas than exist in the minds of the current spectrum owners.

Imagine, for example, private mobile broadcasting that would help architects visually track construction problems at remote sites. Or new games, such as three-dimensional hide and seek.

Who knows? I don't, and that's the marvelous thing. History has shown over and over that once a real opportunity exists, people apply their creativity to it.
What stands in the way of change? The principal obstacles are the current owners of billion-dollar swaths of spectrum, who in any freeing of spectrum would resist losing their dominant positions.

BUYING AND SELLING BANDWIDTH. Yet the FCC and Congress, if they had a mind to, could find a relatively painless way around those owners -☐ and even, eventually, get them to acquiesce. I suggest a three-point spectrum-freeing plan over time that would:

☐ Let broadcasters retain their current TV licenses for any purpose they desire, in exchange for releasing the rest of the TV spectrum for unregulated purposes. HDTV broadcasting should be an option, not a mandate.

☐ Eliminate usage restrictions from cell-phone service providers, providing they resell that spectrum to third parties. If entrepreneurs want to monitor vending-machine inventories at 2 a.m., great. Let the market decide whether free minutes or fees are best.

☐ Slowly return all licensed spectrum to a Chicago Board of Trade-like commodities exchange, trading spectrum on a second-by-second basis to entrepreneurs and businesses alike. For each trade, the government could charge a 1% fee. Let supply match demand and variable cost.

WORLD STANDARDS. The combined revenue from the taxes paid by profitable entrepreneurs and the users fees paid for auctioned spectrum, I believe, would prove more than enough to rapidly purchase back the additional spectrum held by the large corporations that paid billions for it.

Talk about win-win-win! Everyone would gain, especially the U.S. economy. As the successful pioneers of the first broad, free-market-driven spectrum exchange, we would set world standards for usage and equipment. The U.S. economy, the home of innovation and the lone entrepreneur, would prevail once more.

Blonder is a general partner at Morgenthaler Ventures and is based in Princeton, N.J.

Edited by Jim Kerstetter

531. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 13:55:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19631-41E2894D-351@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194171

From: 68.39.95.79
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.com)
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:4s40u09k9aga3mmp6cfgkaj1th8nem6oau@4ax.com: And until Dave can
provide an example where one of the allegedly illegal operators he
allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or even admitted his guilt
publically, then his allegations are nothing more than his opinions, not
facts.

>Thats bullshit Frank and you know it, go to

>web boards or even listen they admit thier

>guilt.

I can say I shot Kennedy and watch gibbons like you run with it.

> It is no different than people who speed

>on the highway,

Not in feeble minds like yours, it isn't, despite being taught better
that one has the very real possibility to maim or kill.

>I guess they are not doing

>anything illegal until they get a speeding

>ticket?? Even thought they are blowing by

>cars doing the speedlimit.

You've proved your ignorance and incompetence concerning the law on
many occasion,,this is but another,.
Of course, since the mandatory speed in the US is a federally mandated
70 MPH limit, you hold anyone getting a speeding ticket over 70 is now a
guilty "federal criminal".
Consider yourself cleansed and baptized for the week.

532. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 14:00:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19632-41E28A94-174@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194172

>I stated that there are illegal stations on

>channel 6 based on my own empirical

>observations.

No. That is what you added and REstated after your first claim was
proved bullshit.

>Twist claimed that my statement is "bullshit".

And you proved it.

>So if my statement that there are illegal

>operators on channel 6 is invalid,

That was not your claim, although you are very desperate to try and sell
it that way.
Your claim, whcih you continue to snip, was that all the "evidence" you
needed was the reputation of channel as the "dregs of the society" to
know they are illegal. Move on, Dave. Your beck-pedal failed.

533. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 14:32:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19631-41E291F3-355@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194173

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 16:48:36 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
>Someday I may clue you in to just how a

>psychological study of your posting habits was
>included in someone I know's psychology

>report on deviant behaviors.

That would be so rich, if you knew who the hell you were responding,

>That'll never happen, since you have such a

>paranoia about remaining anonymous.

Just can't help yourself,,,lmao.
Only you are concerned with the personal identity of cbers with such a
fervor. Concerning your self-espoused accomplishments concerning the
internet, you sure have a cow over following internet safety protocol,
security experts advice, and basic isp groundrules for the internet.
Very telling is your dwelling on that of which you are not permitted.
You're like a spoiled child that keeps being told "no" over and over and
all he can do is cry about how unfair life is and blame others for his
misery.

>And such is the double edged sword of

>remaining anonymous. If you really are

>someone of worthiness, and respect, well

>never know it,

The people you consider "we" in addition to yourself, are in no position
to judge, despite your self-elevational status to judge, jury, and
executioner. Such delusions are what has you so angry over being kept so
impotent regarding that of which you are continually denied.

> and you remain a newsgroup punch-clown,

Davie's feelings are hurt again,

>who spreads lies about other people only to

>provoke or continue controversy.

>You are the "Anti" Doug.

Yes, and you align yourself with him and have defended him on many occasion. In fact, the entire ng is anit-doug except for yourself. See the claritty you can muster when off the Soma.

especially in lieu of the fact that you have
falsely presented yourself as qualified to make the impressions you now cite of another.

>It's all part of the fun for me.

It has been illustrated many times you find "fun" through your lying.

>For the record, I never said that I am a

>licensed practitioner.

It hasn't pervented you from thinking of yourself as holding the same qualification as such...lol.....but of course you aren't a physician Davie, "For the record",,,you simply are not qualified to make judgements reserved for physicians only. Such a candidate would never have the need to foster what they think of themselves in an unsolicitited and gratuitous manner such as your low self-esteem dictates.

>I said that I enjoy

>studying human psychology from my own

>curiosity, as a hobby.

Nevertheless, you have permitted yourself to be reduced to fodder by deluding yourself that you are as qualified as a licensed physician. You are not.

> But I do know others

>who are in the process of obtaining their

>professional credentials.

All the more curious, is the fact you are asking educated individuals in this group to believe such an alleged professional would dare make a determination based only on a cartoon character and the very carefully crafted information you were tossed. The "deviant", has never been more clear, and for that, I thank you.

>And that is your fall back.

Read between the lines. When you're done, share that temper with is a bit more.

>You respond in a

>predictable manner, with anticipated

>responses to carefully presented stimuli.

No wonder you and N8wwm get along so well. He posts about microchips implanted in people with "programmed stimuli" and here you are echoing his tripe..lmao..you really slip the hell up when you get mad, Davie. You're garbage, Dave,,insane hammie garbage that gives the service a black eye.

>Yet, when your picture is presented to you,

"MY" picture? I'm not the one, nor is anyone else in this group OR the hamme groups, seeing you as qualified as a physician, radio repair tech,or anything else your dragging self-esteem needs dictate in order to soothe itself...hehe...this is YOUR "picture" Davie.

>you then make the claim that this is all

>somehow a "facade", a cartoon character that

>doesn't exist in reality.

I never said anything about a facade. I just enjoy watching you come apart at the gills exasperating yourself with over 5 years worth of incompetence and impotence crying about the personal identity of cbers who have all demonstrated not only a superior intellect compared to your deluded self, but much more proper communication etiquette and skill than you can ever hope to achieve.

>Well, if that's true, then you should be working

>in Hollywood, because you'd be a shoe-in for

>the remake of "One flew over the cookoo's

>nest".

Again, I point you to your self-delusional claims concerning your non-qualifications.

>You really are a piece of work.

Here were go,, N3CVJ off the deep end,,,,come on back when you can handle yourself with proper communication technique. Get over yourself and put your destroyed ego behind you and stop trying to make every topic about mememmemmemmem, even though I do rule your world in and outside this ng.

>Your random chatter and

(snip)
It's not about me, dave,,never was,,,its all about your personal issues, misdirected anger, and
your personal character flaws that force you to dwell on others private lives and information
It is clear you were never made to see yourself as the majority do and forcing you to do so has proved a bit more than
you were prepared.
When the anger I control in you stops seething and you can manage

coherent thought and remain on subject instead of permitting your damaged psyche and low self-esteem to morph all radio subjects in your twisted mind into one of what ails only you (personal, off-topic information),,c'mon back for more educational fun.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

534. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 14:40:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19631-41E293DE-356@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194176

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
N3CVJ said
>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.

It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result of...taa daaa....skip.

>Uh... Nooooo. Splatter is the result of a dirty

>transmitter,

Bleed,,splatter,,,,you're wrong, ya' know..a dirty transmitter is but ONE example.....once again you incorrectly claimed that skip does not affect splatter, and are trying to distance yourself from your espoiused ignorance only after you have been corrected,
Several educated cbers and hammies have clued you in, but as always, you aer set in your ways and you DO have the right to remain ignorant, depite several people providing you the correct information.

> and those products show up as a

>"comb" of harmonics which decrease in

>amplitude as you move farther away in

>frequency from the fundamental carrier. If the

>fundamental signal is +10db over S9, then

>those distortion products will be plainly heard

>if they are only 10 db or so down on an

>adjacent channel.

You are arguing with yourself, again.
Try this: Your claim of dx has nothing to do with splatter is bullshit.
Your claim that ony a dirty transmitter splatters,,is absoulte bullshit.

> That same splattering station, when he fades

>down to an S1 signal, is now so weak, that his
>adjacent channel splatter products are now

>under the noise threshold of the receiver.

>THAT is why you don't hear them.

This is quite simple, really....me: 100% correct..you: 100% wrong.

>When it comes to radio theory, you haven't

>been correct about a single thing.

...said the self-professed "radio Technician" who has been in radio for
"over twenty years"
and maintains incorrectly that roger beeps and echos are illeal on cb.
'Nuff said,

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

535. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 14:43:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19631-41E29475-358@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194175

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 07 Jan 2005 21:22:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall) wrote:
So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
channel and pick out who the most blatant
illegal operators are simply by the sound of
their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?

>When the dx is running strong,

_
Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line is over-driven..even on local
ground wave. That said, there -are- way yonder too many splatter-masters
on 11 meters.

>That depends on what you mean by

>"over-driven"

Good Gawdomighty,,,,,,here comes N3CVJ to tell *you* what you meant by
"over-driven".
Davie applies his own definitions to words that everyone else has no
problem comprehending.

536. Re: N8WWM is a cock sucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 14:44:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19633-41E294E6-47@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194174

From: keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com (Psychiatrist to keyclowns)
good boy, good little keyqueen. Obedience is sooooo good to see.

_
That's what N3CVJ says,,google "programmed stimuli". :)
You're welocme.

537. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 15:14:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <r8u4u099tsif1ob09pp4i1rdgqth7gs90t@4ax.com>
Source record: 194177

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 18:19:49 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 09:24:00 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <4j1tt09d9b7piid3rgn5947ro1qqd3m023@4ax.com>:
>
><heavy snippage throughout>
>>>That still doesn't explain why they won't release the raw exit poll
>>>data. And you fail to realize the simple fact that 'mainstream media'
>>>is predominately owned and operated by huge corporations that strongly
>>>favor the Republicans. If they slant in any direction it's going to be
>>>towards the conservatives.
>>
>>
>>You obviously know little about the industry of journalism. It's a
>>known given to all, but the most liberal people, that the mainstream
>>media (with the exception of Fox) leans very much to the left.
>
>
>No, that's not a "given". In fact it's quite the opposite.

Not according to some very well written accounts of these operations
by people who used to work in them.

> Since the
>invention of mass media it has been manipulated by the powers that be,
>whether that power is the people, a government, the corporation that
>owns the media, or whatever. And there is a very simple and obvious
>proof to this: One of the first objectives of an army that invades
>another country is to shut down or take over the media.

I would think that securing command and control centers would come
first.

> This is
>because if they don't the media can be used against them by the
>defenders, AND the invaders can use the media to their advantage by
>discouraging resistance.

Lies work both ways.

>The fact is that there has never been a better source of false
>information than the mass media. The only question is where that false
>information is coming from. Well, that depends on who controls the
>media. In the US the people certainly don't control the media -- it's
>controlled by the huge corporations that own it and the government

>that regulates it. THAT'S the source of any misinformation you get
>from the allegedly "left-biased" or "liberal" media. That's a fact!

This is a perfect example of the affirmation of the consequent
fallacy. You claim that since the media can been used as a source of
false information, that it automatically IS.

>
>> There
>>have been several articles and books written on the subject. Dan
>>Rather's latest embarrassing escapades should serve as a beacon of
>>illumination to the subject.
>
>
>And you joined him in the hall of shame when you cited that web page
>with documents that were also forged.

You have solid proof that those documents were forged? Maybe you used
empirical observation? Careful here Frank.....

>>>You mean like the story about Kerry not receiving an honorable
>>>discharge until 2001?
>>
>>Exactly. It's interesting and telling that you would discard that out
>>of hand, yet embrace other articles, which share your ideology, with
>>no more credibility.
>
>
>Who says I "discarded" it? On the contrary, I proved that your
>accusation was not just lame, but also quite ignorant.

By doing what? Citing claims from Kerry's own website? Surely you can
see the slant there.

>I proved that
>sheople like you take the word of others instead of checking the facts
>for yourself, which you claimed you did.

But if you did you would have
>seen that there is nothing sinister at all about Kerry's discharge.
>The story was nothing more than propoganda invented to discredit Kerry
>and you drove that car without even checking the tires.
>
>
><snip>
>>Hmmm.. Who watches the watchers?
>
>
>People who, unlike yourself, have enough ambition to verify the facts
>for themselves.

The problem is that what you consider as "fact" is often little more
than a different, but equally questionable, source.

>
>
><snip>
>>>>Frank, when are you going to realize that you "facts" are nothing more
>>>>than YOUR biased opinions. Telling me that my bias is wrong based on
>>>>your bias is laughable.
>>>
>>>
>>>".....Ohio's GOP Supreme Court Chief Justice, Thomas Moyer, has
>>>refused to recuse himself, even though allegations of vote switching ï¿½
>>>where votes cast for one candidate are assigned to another in the
>>>computerized tabulation stage ï¿½ involve his own re-election campaign.
>>
>>Why should he step down? Because someone accused him of something?
>>Prove there is a conflict of interest or lay down.
>
>
>LOL! Conflict of interest is a simple concept. Are you telling me that
>you don't understand it?

I understand that a public elected official is supposed to be able to
remain impartial. To suggest otherwise is an accusation of
impropriety, and without evidence to suggest the presence of
impropriety, then the elected people should be allowed to do the jobs
that we elect them to do. There are many cases where elected officials
come across situations where there may be a conflict of interests.
Should that official recuse himself every time this happens?

>>>Ohio's official recount was conducted by GOP Secretary of State
>>>Kenneth Blackwell, despite widespread protests that his role as
>>>co-chair of the state's Bush-Cheney campaign constituted an serious
>>>conflict of interest. Blackwell has refused to testify in the election
>>>challenge lawsuit alleging massive voter fraud, as have a number of
>>>GOP county election supervisors. Blackwell also refuses to explain why
>>>he has left more than 106,000 machine-rejected and provisional ballots
>>>entirely uncounted.
>>
>>Who's to say that that number of ballots are really there and that
>>they are valid? We all know that the vote count exceeded the
>>registered voters.
>>
>>Another classic case of inventing an issue and then implying a
>>nefarious agenda when the party responsible refuses to acknowledge the
>>bogus issue, thereby casting doubt and suspicion over his integrity.
>
>
>Yet another simple concept that you don't understand. Suspicion
>naturally falls on the person or persons who benefited from the
>problems. Kinda like some guy's wife is murdered right after he took
>out a huge insurance policy and he doesn't have an alibi. This
>warrants a justifiable suspicion (unless, of course, you are the guy
>being implicated, then you make up your own justifications).

But you are automatically assuming that those "illegal" ballots were
done for the benefit of Republicans. That is a poor assumption to
make.

>>>The final recount tested roughly 3% of the roughly 5.7 million votes
>>>cast in the state. But contrary to the law governing the recount, many
>>>precincts tested were selected not at random, but by Blackwell's
>>>personal designation. Experts with the election challenge suit have
>>>noted many of the precincts selected were mostly free of the
>>>irregularities they are seeking to investigate, while many contested
>>>precincts were left unrecounted.
>>
>>And when you are determined to find a flaw, the fact that there aren't
>>any automatically means that this was "planted"? Gee, maybe the main
>>issue is that the allegations of fraud have been greatly overstated.

>>>In Miami County's Concord South West precinct, Blackwell certified a
>>>voter turnout of 98.55 percent, requiring that all but 10 voters in
>>>the precinct cast ballots. But a freepress.org canvas easily found 25
>>>voters who said they did not vote. In the nearby Concord South
>>>precinct, Blackwell certified an apparently impossible voter turnout
>>>of 94.27 percent. Both Concord precincts went heavily for Bush.
>>
>>It's a shame that those extra votes for Kerry might have to be
>>removed.........
>>
>>You assume that any extra votes mean that the were Bush plants. What
>>if they were Kerry plants?
>
>
>What if you are ignoring the fact that there were more votes than
>voters?

I'm not, but you seem to think that any fraud is automatically the
fault of, and benefitted republicans.

>>>In Warren County, Bush was credited with 68,035 votes to Kerryï¿½s
>>>26,043 votes. But just as the county's votes were about to be counted
>>>after the polls closed on November 2, the Board of Elections claimed a
>>>Homeland Security alert authorized them to throw out all Democratic
>>>and independent observers, including the media. The vote count was
>>>thus conducted entirely by Republicans.........."
>>>
>>>
>>>Those are facts, Dave. Not left-wing propoganda, "editorial opinions"
>>>or "MY biased opinions". Facts.
>>
>>Yes, the overall articles are facts, but the underlying reasons and
>>the suspicions generated or implied are the result of bias.
>
>
>Ok, here are the facts:

>
>First, it's statistically impossible that all the errors were
>accidental.

It's statistically IMPROBABLE, not impossible.

>Second, the errors that resulted in extra votes were in predominantly
>Bush areas.

But that does not mean that those extra votes were for Bush. It's
equally likely that Kerry operatives planted extra votes in those
heavy Bush areas to make the vote look more "balanced", and therefore
less suspicious.

>Third, the errors that resulted in supressed votes were almost always
>in districts that favored Kerry.

And those districts were run by democrats. So what does that say?

>Those are the facts.

You are still wording the facts as to imply a conclusion which is not
supported by the known evidence.

> Now it doesn't take a rocket surgeon to reach the
>conclusion that there was voting fraud perpetrated by Bush and/or his
>supporters.

Only if you deny that "the other side" is equally capable and has a
history of doing it as well.

> And neither do you need to be a genius to figure out who
>perpetrated this fraud, since many of the decisions that resulted in
>these errors were made by Blackwell (co-chair of Ohio's Bush/Cheney
>campaign -- remember "conflict of interest"?).
>
>Can you put the puzzle together yet? How much simpler can I make it
>for you?

But it's still speculation. It's another affirmation of the consequent
logical fallacy to arrive at a conclusion without considering other
possibilities.

>>Liberalism is destined to fail, as nothing positive has even come from
>>a socialistic paradigm. I just don't want to see this country dragged
>>through the muck to before they realize it.
>
>
>You are confusing liberalism with socialism. They are not the same.
>Far from it.

If you take the line of political idealogy, and start at the middle,
as you head further right, you become more conservative. At the
extreme end of the "right wing", is fascism. Now take the same journey

toward the liberal left, and when you reach the extreme " left wing",
you have socialism and finally anarchy.

Perhaps you need a refresher course in political ideologies.

Here's an interesting link:

http://www.oregonvos.net/~jflory/205/ide_over.htm

><snip>
>>>First off, it was -HARDLY- a "clear republican victory". The first
>>>count was done by machine and gave Rossi a lead so slim that it
>>>triggered an -automatic- recount. That recount narrowed the lead to a
>>>few dozen votes. It would have been foolish of any opponent -not- to
>>>request a hand recount, which put Gregoire in the lead by 139 votes.
>>
>>Votes that were "suddenly" found......
>
>
>Which were later verified and the total favored Gregoire, which begs
>the question: were they were lost accidently or intentionally? If they
>were lost intentionally I don't think the Democrats were responsible.

Why not? You assume that these votes were originally lost and then
found. They could have also been planted.

>>>The rules were followed and Gregoire won. Now the Republicans are
>>>whining up a storm and begging for a second election. Also, there have
>>>been allegations that King County counted unconfirmed provisional
>>>ballots. If that's true then it would be foolish for Rossi -not- to
>>>contest the election. So far he hasn't.
>>
>>Usually republicans are not as baby-ish when it comes to conceding a
>>close election.
>
>
>ROTFLMMFAO! That's a good one, Dave! It's clear you didn't see Rossi's
>press conference where he begged the public to ask for a second
>election. It was pathetic! Now he's contesting the election -not-
>because of fraud, but because he says the system didn't work in his
>favor...... what a weiner!

Your's right, I didn't see it. It doesn't sound very typical of
republicans.

>>>But overall, Washington seems to be accomplishing what Ohio, Florida,
>>>New Mexico and several other states cannot: conduct an election with a
>>>transparent process.
>>
>>The only thing transparent are the operations of those trying to stuff
>>the ballot box with enough extra votes to overturn an election
>
>

>Where did that happen, Dave? In Washington? Cite your source.

It's a possibility you refuse to consider. Voter fraud has been here
for many decades and has been used by both sides.

>And to return from your spin, how about answering the question: Are
>you suggesting that a 124% voter turnout is just an "editorial
>opinion"?

I'm suggesting that you shouldn't automatically assume that the
republicans were the major perpetrators in the fraud.

There was two forms of fraud occurring. Fraudulent voting, and
fraudulent counting. One was trying to cancel the effects of the
other.

><snip>
>>>I don't like Bush and I don't like Kerry. Which way am I slanted?
>>
>>To the left. That much is obvious. You're a liberal. I guess the
>>democratic party isn't pink enough yet.
>
>
>So I'm liberal because I happen to be concerned about free and open
>elections?

No, you're a liberal because you support Nader.

>The only people who -wouldn't- be concerned about voting
>fraud are facists. Are you a facist, Dave? (And notice that I didn't
>slap you with the label -- I have enough respect to ask first!)

Are you denying that you are a liberal? And no, I'm not a fascist,
simply a conservative.

><snip>
>>>How does that proverb go..... "Never put off until tomorrow what you
>>>can do today". They tried to repair the faults of the 2000 election
>>>with band-aids and it didn't work; the wound got bigger. If we don't
>>>fix it now the same problems will be bigger and more widespread in
>>>2008, or perhaps even sooner.
>>
>>Why didn't they fix it in say, ohhhh, 1944?
>
>
>Try 1876.

Whatever works for you. I just picked a date when the big "political
machines" were coming of age.

><snip>
>>>Official military records are "leftist propoganda"?
>>
>>Which records? Kerry's military records? Oh that 's right, he refused

>>to release them all.
>
>
>You mean the records that say his other records (the records that
>-were- released) are all phoney? Or maybe you are referring to his
>medical records? The man was an officer in a war zone, so he must have
>had a security clearance -- should he release classified documents
>that are part of his military record?

You are making an assumption again. You assume that this missing
records have some sort of security issue. That may not be the case.
They may also contain actions which might paint the good senator in a
not so favorable light.

>Just what do you think is on those documents? Now before you stick
>your foot in your mouth and answer that, remember that you don't have
>those records and therefore have no evidence, empirical or not, as to
>their nature.

Neither do you. But I tend to believe that if you have nothing to
hide, you have nothing to fear. The fact that he refused to release
his FULL records, especially after Bush was forced to release his,
suggests a suspicious motive. If there was a security aspect to them,
then he could just say that, but he didn't.

>So the only clue you have as to what they are (other
>than the obvious, as I stated above) is purely conjecture. Ok, feel
>free to answer the question -- let 'er rip!

The fact that they remain sealed says more.

><snip>
>>>>By what factual (not op-ed opinion) information do you base this
>>>>claim? How do you determine total voter fraud?
>>>
>>>
>>>When the race is so close that voting fraud could have been the
>>>determining factor.
>>
>>That doesn't answer my question. How do you know that the degree of
>>fraud this year was any greater than that in years past?
>>
>>How do you know it was not a factor in years past?
>
>
>Unless you have a time machine and can travel back to correct any
>occurance where it -did- make a difference, the question is moot:

The same thing can be said for this past election. It doesn't matter
if it was 2 months ago, or two decades ago.

>The issue is happening NOW and can be addressed NOW.

That's a far different statement than the one where you claimed that
fraud is more rampant today than ever before. A statement that you
have no factual information to back up.

>>>>> Nor to the
>>>>>extent that, if left unchecked, could directly affect the government
>>>>>of the most powerful country in the world.
>>>>
>>>>Mayor Daily of Chicago certainly knew that.......
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't live in Chicago.
>>
>>But you do live in the U.S. Mayor Daily epitomized the democratic
>>"machine" that exists in many large cities. The fact that most cities
>>vote heavily democratic may have much more than voter "demographics"
>>to attribute to it.
>
>
>Ok, so how does his opinion justify voting fraud in Ohio?

There is no "justification", only the notion that fraud has happened
before, and that the old metropolitan democratic political machines
pretty much wrote the book on it. So they are hardly above reproach on
this issue.

Ohio is under the microscope now, for much the same reason as Florida
was 4 years ago. There are 49 other states, which may have had an even
greater degree of fraud than what is alleged in Ohio. But what should
be plainly obvious is that the whole thrust of this Ohio fraud issue
is not to correct the problems in fraud, but to give the liberals
another reason to claim that Bush didn't actually WIN the election.

There may be (and likely is) cases of fraud in large metro areas in
states like New York and Pa., which favored the democrats. If someone
were motivated to dig deep enough, it MAY be found that Bush could
have won in Pa. (Which would make Ohio a moot point) as well. If
identifying and solving voter fraud were the true motivational factor,
then ALL states (at least the ones with close percentages) should be
subjected to some scrutiny. As I said before, the percentage of
victory for Kerry in Pa. was less than Bush's victory in Ohio. I stood
in line for almost 3 hours to vote and I didn't find on person who was
voting for Kerry, out of the people we "informally" polled (You get
bored when you stand in line for that long). The breakdown of a county
by county vote in Pa., shows that the vast majority of the state was
red, with the exception of Philadelphia, which was radically blue
(80%), Pittsburgh, and around Erie county. Is it possible that those
heavily democratic places might have committed some sort of fraud as
well? Indeed there were stories of some Phila machines being "loaded"
with votes before the polls even opened. They explained that away as a
simple "use" counter, but it was suspicious all the same.

Why aren't you calling for an investigation in those places, if you
are truly interested in tracking down and correcting voter fraud?

>>>>> You aren't suggesting that
>>>>>voting fraud should be ignored because it's going to occur no matter
>>>>>what, are you?
>>>>
>>>>Certainly not. I am for tightening the rules that regulate voting,
>>>>including several measure which make many democrats very "uneasy".
>>>
>>>
>>>How about requiring a paper trail?
>>
>>I'm fully in favor of that.
>>
>>I also favor requiring all voters to show an I.D. which includes their
>>voting precinct.
>
>
>I agree 100%. How about simply registering everyone over 18 by their
>SSN? And making their votes accessible after the election to see for
>themselves that their vote was counted (instead of lost, changed by a
>machine, or "enhanced" by an election worker)?

I love the idea, and in fact, have suggested the exact same thing in
the past. The problem is that many people are real paranoid about
using their SSN for things like voting, and start thinking "big
brother" thoughts. For whatever reason, people think that a national
I.D. is somehow an invasion of privacy. It's the Twisty syndrome of
feeling the need to hide behind some form of relative anonymity.

>>>>But I don't think that voter fraud is any worse now than it had been
>>>>in the past. Surely you haven't forgotten about the bus loads of
>>>>illegal immigrants, the jailed felons, and the buying of votes with
>>>>cartons of cigarettes in days past?
>>>
>>>
>>>The key phrase is "days past". Those problems have been addressed,
>>>have they not?
>>
>>I cannot make that statement. I have read stories of party workers
>>caught with ballots leaving a prison in Pa. I doubt if these issues
>>have been truly "addressed". It may have been covered over a bit more
>>effectively, but I believe that they're still there.
>
>
>Possibly. The question is to what extent.

We may never know the answer to that. The only thing we can do is
reduce the chance that it can happen in the future.

>>>We have -new- problems that need to be addressed, such
>>>as a corporation that wrote the software for the voting machines and

>>>whose CEO promised to deliver the state's electoral votes to Bush;
>>
>>Hearsay. There's no proof that any such "promise" ever occured.
>
>
>Once again you didn't bother to verify the facts for yourself before
>spouting off your big mouth. I did a search on O'Dell's quote and got
>over 15,000 hits. Here's just one:
>
>http://www.commondreams.org/headlines03/0828-08.htm

I read it, but that still doesn't prove that O'Dell actually made that
statement in the context that he would use his company's voting
machines as a vehicle to that goal. It only states that the statement
was made in a fund rasing letter. So are you of the opinion that CEO's
should not promote any party affiliation?

>>>election officials (i.e, the Secretary of State) who is also the
>>>campaign manager for that state; the shorting of voting machines in
>>>selected precincts; etc, etc.
>>
>>Interesting that in those places were voting machines were "shorted",
>>were handled by democrats. They have only themselves to blame if they
>>were not able to accommodate their constituency.
>
>
>You simply -refuse- to look at the other side of the coin, don't you?

Why should I? You're doing enough for both of us.

>It was those same Democrats that lodged the complaints! They were told
>a variety of different lies about how the machines were apportioned,
>which is one of the issues Blackwell was supposed to address in the
>deposition he evaded.

How do you know they were lies? The fact remains that in those heavily
democratic voting precincts, the distribution of voting machines was
controlled by the democrats, so they have no one but themselves to
blame if they short changed their constituents.

>So is the picture coming into focus yet?

It's been for a while. You just don't see it.

><snip>
>>>>I really don't know, but his interests in the direction of this
>>>>country are diametrically opposed to what a free capitalist society
>>>>would want.
>>>
>>>
>>>Really? Care to elaborate?
>>
>>One word: Liberal.

>>
>>Three other words: Redistribution of wealth.
>
>
>That's called "collectivism", not "liberalism". It's time you learned
>the difference.

They are related in that liberals are only lighter versions of
socialists. At least as defined by today's terms. Read the link I
provided, and do some searching for yourself.

It's a fact that democrats are more known for increasing taxes and
increasing "safety net" social programs. This in nothing more than
redistribution of wealth.

><snip>
>>>Ok, I see how this works..... the past is relevent only when it favors
>>>your argument, such as previous accounts of voting fraud. Right?
>>
>>No, it's only relevant when it's relevant , such as past record of
>>voting fraud suggests that it was a problem for far longer than some
>>of you realize. I have never read anything on this group of you
>>defending Bush.
>
>
>Twisty has. If you didn't then you weren't paying attention.

Then prove me wrong and provide the thread.

>>>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/sci.physics/msg/c29696c828d91e22?dmode=source
>>>
>>
>>As I suspected, that post was not made here, so how could you expect
>>me to ever have seen it?
>
>
>You said, "Don't even try to tell me that you favored Bush, because
>that would be a lie". You jumped to a faulty conclusion based on your
>other faulty conclusion that I'm a liberal.

Are you denying that you are a liberal?

> And don't squirm out of it
>by saying I didn't voice my support in -this- newsgroup because I did,
>as Twisty can attest.

Since when is Twisty your new advocate? The same nitwit that you, I
and others have spent the last several years alternately slamming into
the logic wall, and ridiculing for the fun of it, his outrageous
stances? Now he's your bastion of credibility? I would have thought
better of you Frank.

>>I'm curious if you still hold such a positive opinion of Cheney....
>
>
>Brilliant man, but if I had known how crooked he was I would never
>have said anything in his support. (You see, I -can- have both a
>positive and negative opinion about the same person!)
>
>
><snip>
>>>>When have you ever spoken about politics on this newsgroup before
>>>>Frank? Until this past election, this newsgroup pretty much stayed the
>>>>course on radio related issues.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yeah, right. And I've been on Usenet a lot longer than I've been in
>>>this newsgroup.
>>
>>If you make a post in another of several thousand other newsgroups,
>>how can you in all fairness expect the people HERE to know about it?
>
>
>Very good point. And with that truth in mind, how can you "in all
>fairness" say that I lied when I claimed to have supported Bush in the
>past?

Because, I would have thought you understood that we are talking
within THIS venue. No one should be expected to know what goes on
outside of this group.

> You jumped to your conclusion without all the facts.

Ok, then I'll modify my original statement to limit it to this
newsgroup only.

>And the
>facts were available on google if you had the inclination to verify
>the facts before you framed your indictment. But that's just not your
>style, Dave -- after all, you already know the truth -despite- the
>facts, right?

You made the point, you provide the evidence. I don't have the time to
sift through every post you made looking for references to GWB.

>>Maybe you think we keep tabs on each other? Maybe I'm the odd-ball in
>>that respect, as I don't.
>
>
>The difference is that I, unlike you, don't make claims or accusations
>unless I have the facts to back them up.

Most of which you have yet to show.

><snip>
>>>I defended Kerry against your bullshit propoganda.

>>
>>The truth remains that Kerry refused to release ALL of his military
>>records. What's he hiding?
>
>
>You claimed he didn't get his honorable discharge until 2001 which was
>a totally fabricated lie. And you can't even admit it. So now you
>focus on records he didn't release without a shred of evidence that
>they hold anything of importance to you. What was that you said
>earlier about suspicion and political bias.....?

I read about his honorable discharge. That was only one element of a number of suspicious activities that Kerry was involved in during and post Vietnam which may have served to undermine this country's efforts in the war, including two trips to France, to meet with the N. Vietnamese representatives, in a capacity not authorized by our government.

>
>And BTW, if you don't know who the Vulcan's are then you are -WAY- out
>of touch with current political issues.

Really? Then would you be so kind as to provide something that tells me who there mysterious "Vulcans" are?

> Maybe you shouldn't even be
>having this conversation with me -- but what the hell, a little
>ignorance never stopped you before, right?

It hasn't stopped you.

>>>>>It's so much easier for you to comprehend if you tell yourself that I
>>>>>voted for Kerry and that I'm a sore loser, isn't it? Well, as usual,
>>>>>you're wrong. I voted for Nader.
>>>>
>>>>But you defended Kerry as if you were married to him.
>>>
>>>
>>>I defended Communism in a debate in high-school.
>>
>>A skilled debater can take either side in a debate and make valid
>>points. But this isn't a debate for the sake of debate style points.
>>This is a debate driven by personal feelings.
>
>
>Maybe for you. My motivation happens to be preservation of the concept
>of a democratic system of government.

By undermining our efforts to wage war on the very people who threaten those concepts?

>>I also suspect that you are probably a lot more open to certain
>>communist ideals. Most liberals are.

>
>
>Again with the labels.....

And you haven't yet denied them.

>FYI, this country has adopted ideals from
>just about every political process ever conceived, including Marxism,
>communisim, socialism and fascism. Some are good and some are bad.

No shit. No one system is "pure". There'e theory and there's practice.
Communism, in theory, is a "utopian" or perfect society. When put in
practice, it fails miserably.

> But
>as I tried to explain to you a while back, the Republican ideal is not
>such a great concept in it's pure form -- it's a totalitarian-style
>government where manipulation is the rule and freedom is an illusion.

By what piece of glowing wisdom did you glean that glaringly
ill-informed opinion?

>You mean the official military records (in your words, "crap") that
>were released by the Pentagon? Why yes, that's exactly where I got my
>facts. Yet you, in your unbridled wisdom, want the Pentagon to release
>more "crap" when you can't even accept the facts from the "crap" that
>was already released (from the same source). If the first load of
>"crap" was phoney, what makes you think a second load of "crap" is
>going to be any more legitimate? Or if you think that second load of
>"crap" is going to provide you with facts then why can't you accept
>the facts from the first load of "crap"? That's a load of crap, Dave,
>and you are a very confused person.

Without the entire record, the context of what is available is
compromised, and erroneous conclusions could be made.

><snip>
>>>Have you ever thought for one moment that there may be people that
>>>actually care about fundamental issues such as voting rights? Or is
>>>that dish too liberal for your table?
>>
>>Are you so idealistic that you can't understand what drives political
>>agendas? If there is not something to be gained strategically, then it
>>doesn't happen. If it was indeed a few "independents" who initiated
>>this action, I would bet a year's salary that they were "funded"
>>through the back door by the DNC or one of their "loose associates"
>>
>>Like the term "follow the money", look to see who stands to benefit
>>the most, and that's where you will find the real source of this
>>latest voter fraud cry.
>
>
>Are you so pessimistic that you see the political process as nothing

>but a disingenuous quest for power?

That pretty much describes the political machines of today. When a political party is willing to stand by and do nothing to help the economy, and also wish for continued recession as a means to gain political clout, it shows where their priorities are.

> That every act is motivated by a
>self-serving political agenda? If so then you don't know people half
>as well as you think you do.

Cynicism is alive and well in politics. That's a fact

> FYI, there are quite a few people in the
>political arena that actually serve the interests of the public and
>not their wallets.

Name them. And I'm not talking about small town supervisors or someone of a school board. I'm talking about the big time.

In almost every case, when a government representative does something seemingly altruistic, there is an underlying political motivation for it. Finding it, is the key to understanding what greases the machine.

>Maybe -you- need to be a little more idealistic.

What, and blind myself to reality?

><snip>
>>>My political "slant" is towards the Constitution. That's my political
>>>party, that's my religion, and that's my first concern whenever I step
>>>into the voting booth. The Republicans may represent -your- interests
>>>(whatever they may be, and I don't think I want to know), but -my-
>>>interest happens to be preserving a democratic form of government.
>>
>>By voting for a liberal who's core ideals contain the notion that the
>>government should assume a greater role as society's "nanny"?
>
>
>The 'liberal' label was assigned by you, not me.

Which you STILL have not denied.

>>If you're such a student of the constitution, you should know that the
>>government was never intended to do anything more than protect ,
>>facilitate and represent our interests in the world market.
>
>
>Oh my dear God..... where in the hell did you get your education? That
>response deserves it's own thread.

What so I can prove you wrong yet again? I'm tiring of this Frank.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

538. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 15:16:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <mv65u0plobrhpaqlc6uq521920o39ghvpc@4ax.com>
Source record: 194178

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:27:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly
>illegal operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or
>even admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing
>more than his opinions, not facts.

So you are of the Twisted notion that a person is not breaking the
law until they are caught?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

539. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 15:25:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0675u095l06j8nbi8s6aicajjh9pv2vslo@4ax.com>
Source record: 194179

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> wrote:
>>
>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>
>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.

No, it doesn't. Dx is simply an enhancement of the atmosphere which
allows a signal to propagate farther then normal line of sight. It
does not add "splatter" to an otherwise clean signal.

>> So therefore it can be assumed that a roger beep and (even more
>> definite) an echo box could be considered "entertainment" or
>> "amusement" devices and, as such, are specifically prohibited.
>>
>> You can make the point that the FCC doesn't care enough to make a case
>> about these things, and I would probably agree with you. But the fact
>> remains that they are prohibited by the rules.
>
>We've gone over this before Dave, your wrong.

I have referenced two part 95 rules which address both the issue of
permissible non-voice transmissions and also prohibited transmissions
which include devices which are used for entertainment and amusement.

Conversely there are no rules which specifically allow either a roger
beep (and other noise makers) or echo boxes. Since neither are defined
under permissible non-voice transmissions, it can reasonably be
concluded that these devices would be considered amusement or
entertainment devices, and as such prohibited.

You tell me I'm wrong, then please prove it by providing the rules
which allow these devices.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

540. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 15:50:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2j75u0trq546sjhqie44g7qfi77qmpamhm@4ax.com>
Source record: 194180

On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 21:36:36 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>wrote in <_3KDd.140$JI3.18@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>
>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>
>
>He's right, Dave. You can receive more than one skip signal from the
>same transmission, and their phasing can cause intermodulation
>distortion in any RF stage of your receiver.

No dice Frank. The effect you have described is commonly referred to
as "multipath". The differences in phase angles of the received
signals can cause either an addition to or a subtraction from the
fundamental signal. But it does not cause it to splatter. A special
form of this is called "selective fading" which can cause different
parts of the signal to fade differently, which can distort the audio.
But this is not "splatter, and will not make the signal "bleed" more.
Heck the HF ham bands are almost always utilizing skywave propagation.
If what you say were true, then the ham bands would be virtually
unusable due to all the signals splattering across the band. With the
exception of a few bad apples running some illegal equipment, this is
normally not a problem.

>All that's required is
>enough non-linearity in just one stage and the signals will modulate
>each other.

I have never seen this happen in any of the quality receivers I've
owned over the years.

Unless the signal is in motion (doppler effect) the frequency will
remain the same even if the phase shifts. Since all the multipath
signal frequencies are the same, there will be no mixing products
generated.

If that were the case, then any group of signals, local or skip, would
do the same thing. That's not something that you'd want in a good
receiver. But you can't pin the faults of a bad receiver design on
atmospheric phenomenon.

This is almost as hokey as saying that a certain antenna will make you
sound "louder".

Propagation, like antennas, are passive. It only radiates or
re-radiates a signal. It does not modify it . If a signal is clean,
then the propagation will propagate it as such.

> The result is what appears to be splatter but is really a
>fault of the receiver. Happens all the time with cheap shortwave
>radios.

Ah! But why do you assume that I have a "cheap shortwave radio"?

What happens when you put a low noise GasFET preamp behind a bandpass
filter and then into a spectrum analyzer? Surely you know what
splatter looks like on a spectral display?

> And DX doesn't have to be up to get a good signal -- I have
>heard many clear DX signals from seemingly dead bands.

A clear, and stable DX condition will not distort a radio signal.

A station which is backswinging wildly, with fuzzy distorted audio,
and splattering 3 channels in each direction, is running illegally,
regardless of the fact that the FCC hasn't yet cited them for it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

541. Start learning how to win at poker today.... I DO NOT sell anything!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 16:27:49 UTC
From: sharky <aaronkg44@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105374469.708675.201050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194181

Please visit http://www.pokergameofskill.com
All informations is FREE. I sell nothing.
I am a poker guru who has built this web site mostly as a hobby. I talk about some of the things it takes to win at poker.
I have been winning at poker month after month for years. I know that it is a game where skill will win in the long run. I would like poker to be more widely excepted as a sport. Once you start thinking about the advanced concepts of poker, wich I will talk about some of them in my website and if you play a little bit so that you can grasp the concepts better, then you will start to realize how much skill is actually involved when playing poker and you might find yourself facing the challenge of a lifetime. I sure did. Poker is a competitive sport where the best player will end up with the money in the long run. If you like to compete or just like a challenge, then you will like playing poker after you have learned more about it.

My site is new and I have not had the time to edit some pages yet, so please be understanding of some of the grammar errors you will find.

542. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 16:28:09 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e2ac12.12383046@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194182

On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> wrote:
>>
>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>
>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>

The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is that if "DX" isn't
running you wouldn't hear it.

Splatter or out of bounds emissions are those falling outside the
normal bandwidth of a signal and are the result of modulation.

DX doesn't cause splatter it allows it to propgate farther.

543. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 16:44:12 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <crubcs$6bg$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194183

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:7ljut0p3o229q0jobt2ucjcd58tpoc83aa@4ax.com...
> On 08 Jan 2005 02:44:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote in <20050107214404.864$MG@newsreader.com>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> >You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?
>>>
>>> Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.
>>>
>>That's good man. It's tuff to shed pounds at your/my age. :)
>>
>>How'd you do it, not Atkins I hope.
>
>
> Heck no! I wouldn't even think about one of those fad diets. Those
> methods can cause more harm than good.
>
> Losing weight isn't hard at all. First, don't set goals or limits
> cause that's just setting yourself up for failure. Just be a little
> more aware of little things you can change. Like eating a little more
> fish and a little less beef, snacking on peanuts instead of pringles,
> using parking spaces further away from the door, etc. Little things
> like that can add up to a big difference over time. And don't try to
> make those changes all at once. It's kind of like a zen thing -- just
> having an awareness of things you -can- change will prompt the changes
> to come by themselves in their own good time.
>

Amen!

I lost almost 40 pounds by doing the same. Drinking lots of water and also breathing exercises! Using your diaphragm properly causes your digestion process to work more efficiently.

Chad

544. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 17:29:28 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e2babc.16136843@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194184

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:40:30 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>N3CVJ said
>>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.
>
>It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
>having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
>talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
>severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
>examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
>intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
>signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
>on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
>of...taa daaa....skip.
>

You may not hear anything on the next channel because the signal may
not be strong enough or because of "selective fading" . Splatter is
caused by the modulation, it may or may not be intensified by skip.
But it is not caused by "skip" If you had a constant carrier(no
modulation), skip or not, you wouldn't have splatter.

545. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 17:33:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pme5u0hj8nk4d0deq6qm9au28bvidcg7d2@4ax.com>
Source record: 194185

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:50:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <2j75u0trq546sjhqie44g7qfi77qmpamhm@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 21:36:36 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>wrote in <_3KDd.140$JI3.18@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>>
>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>
>>
>>He's right, Dave. You can receive more than one skip signal from the
>>same transmission, and their phasing can cause intermodulation
>>distortion in any RF stage of your receiver.
>
>No dice Frank. The effect you have described is commonly referred to
>as "multipath".

a.k.a, "fading".

> The differences in phase angles of the received
>signals can cause either an addition to or a subtraction from the
>fundamental signal. But it does not cause it to splatter.

No it doesn't, and that's not what I said. I said that a non-linear
stage in the receiver can turn that fading into what appears to be
splatter. If you want an example I have a couple cheap shortwave
radios that do exactly that; you pay for shipping and you can examine
them all you want.

546. Re: Packet Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 19:24:43 UTC
From: "Richard L. Tannehill" <w7rtrick@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <41E2D67B.BC5FA62@firstinter.net>
Source record: 194186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Carl R. Stevenson" wrote:
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:3F464EA0.1050004@psu.edu...
> >
> > But, to answer your paragraph, why would anyone take a group of kids to
> > an amateur club meeting? It's a completely different environment than
> > they would be comfortable in. And there is usually business to take care
> > of at a meeting that would be mind numbing for kids to listen to, like
> > finances, membership issues, etc. If you really wanted to get them
> > interested, you would get a few amateurs to meet with the kids on the
> > kids turf, like a classroom, the local YMCA, places like that. The kids
> > would be comfortable, only hams who ar interested in public outreach
> > would be involved, and a program could be put together that would keep
> > the kids involved and interactive.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> I think that getting kids involved with local ham clubs is an excellent
> idea.
> It will socialize them with the older, more experienced hams that can
> "elmer" them, show them that there is order and organization, expose
> them to programs and activities, etc.
>
> The KEY is finding the RIGHT ham club that will treat the kids the way
> they be deserve to be treated as the future of amateur radio, not a club
> that will shun and ridicule them as Cindy has described.
>
> Carl - wk3c

Indeed that is the case Carl....I suggest she organize an
Explorer Post there, built around hi-tech electronics and
ham radio. We've had one for nearly 30 years here in the
Phoenix area; Explorer Post 599. We've sent hundreds of
kids on to careers in engineering, computers, and related
technical fields. Exploring is open to both males and
females, ages 14-20, as both youth members and adult
advisors. You can make of it what you want, without all the
"wrong" kind of old white males intimidating your kids.

Rick T.
W7RT
Committee Chairman,

Explorer Post 599

547. Re: Packet Ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 19:30:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5remove@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <41E2D7EE.3040903@psu.edu>
Source record: 194187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Richard L. Tannehill wrote:
> "Carl R. Stevenson" wrote:
>
>>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>>news:3F464EA0.1050004@psu.edu...
>>
>>>But, to answer your paragraph, why would anyone take a group of kids to
>>>an amateur club meeting? It's a completely different environment than
>>>they would be comfortable in. And there is usually business to take care
>>>of at a meeting that would be mind numbing for kids to listen to, like
>>>finances, membership issues, etc. If you really wanted to get them
>>>interested, you would get a few amateurs to meet with the kids on the
>>>kids turf, like a classroom, the local YMCA, places like that. The kids
>>>would be comfortable, only hams who ar interested in public outreach
>>>would be involved, and a program could be put together that would keep
>>>the kids involved and interactive.
>>>
>>>- Mike KB3EIA -
>>>
>>
>>I think that getting kids involved with local ham clubs is an excellent
>>idea.
>>It will socialize them with the older, more experienced hams that can
>>"elmer" them, show them that there is order and organization, expose
>>them to programs and activities, etc.
>>
>>The KEY is finding the RIGHT ham club that will treat the kids the way
>>they be deserve to be treated as the future of amateur radio, not a club
>>that will shun and ridicule them as Cindy has described.
>>
>>Carl - wk3c
>
>
> Indeed that is the case Carl....I suggest she organize an
> Explorer Post there, built around hi-tech electronics and
> ham radio. We've had one for nearly 30 years here in the
> Phoenix area; Explorer Post 599. We've sent hundreds of
> kids on to careers in engineering, computers, and related
> technical fields. Exploring is open to both males and
> females, ages 14-20, as both youth members and adult
> advisors. You can make of it what you want, without all the
> "wrong" kind of old white males intimidating your kids.
```

Holy smoke! did a server burp somewhere? This message, at least Carl's and my own post, are way old!

- Mike KB3EIA -

548. Re: Dave's World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 20:06:26 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105168386.308889.76270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194188

Frank Gilliland spewed his viletreason:

> On Fri, 07 Jan 2005 09:24:00 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote in <4j1tt09d9b7piid3rgn5947ro1qqd3m023@4ax.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >.....the
> >government was never intended to do anything more than protect ,
> >facilitate and represent our interests in the world market.
>
>
> Now we all know where you are coming from..... and where you belong.

and we all know where you belong,too.....you pinko bastard.
yes, the times they are a chang'in!

549. Re: hey steveo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 20:54:58 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2eba2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194189
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

I luv menz peepeez!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
"keyclowns are fag-boi's" <keyclownsaregay@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105255802.401094.101580@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> every time your blow buddies start their shit, you're right ther to
> help them.
>
> your faggot, cocksucking friends like langshark and lancer. that drag
> queen ""UknowMeJP"" likes to feel pretty. thats why he cybers with
> twistedcock.
> all you keyqueezee are fucking losers. fuck all you asswipe cb freaks!
>

550. Re: klv550 fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 20:57:03 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2ec1f@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194190

Thats my skank you should see the ass on my kathy
bitch!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e1395a$0$14477$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Isn't this your skank?
> KC8QJP
> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
> on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
> Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
> 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> Action taken.
> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105255325.930090.71190@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> UknowMeJP wrote:
>>> like new condition, looks and works fantastic, will do 650 watts
>> easy, $270,
>>> plus shipping
>>>
>>>
>>> Thatz-me-JP
>> Tell us the austion number. We will report it.
>>
>
>

551. Re: 2005 and AKC all geared up to bust more keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 20:58:21 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2ec6d$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

yes im a felon why should i have tpo feed my kids when you losers will paid
for me??????????????????/
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e14456$0$14472$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> N8WWM is a felon?
> Defendant having been convicted of the offense of Nonsupport
> of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Section
> 2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
> Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, 1997 is
> ordered continued with the following added conditions:
>
> (1) Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant to seek
> (2) Defendant to seek mental health counseling
> (3) Execute wage withholding agreement.
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105219485.305790.203100@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> And there aint a thing you keyclowns can do about it, you are ruled and
>> owned by us.
>>
>
>

552. Re: Re:N8WWM Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 21:09:03 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2eeef@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

kathy and me are felons.....so what fag?????????
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e139d0$0$14502$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Isn't this your skank?
> KC8QJP
> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
> on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
> Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
> 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> Action taken.
> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105218120.124993.102030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>>> > well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out
>> with
>>> > the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone is
>>> > doing well.
>>> >
>>> > 73 de Keith KC8TCQ
>>> >
>>> Good luck, Keith.
>>
>> Break a leg, Mr. Iscariot.
>>
>
>

553. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 21:12:33 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2efc1$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194193
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

cb is for fags gayboy.
>
"Jeff Inderof" <jeffind@goldenrod.net> wrote in message
news:41E238F5.CEE02DD@goldenrod.net...
> James Nipper wrote:
>>
>> This post may sound a bit weird, but I have wanted to know how to do this
>> for a long time. Please let me explain "why" I want to know how to
>> control a floodlight , via a cb radio signal, from a long distance away
>> (but still line of sight) :
>>
>> I have a cabin in the mountains. We often leave the cabin at night, and
>> from remote places, (perhaps 10-15 miles away), I have looked over in
>> our
>> direction (from another mountaintop) and "think" that I can pick out
>> our
>> property by seeing the floodlights on our deck. Now, if I could turn
>> these lights on and off remotely, via keying up a cb signal, that would
>> be
>> super !!
>>
>> It seems that I could key up a cb rig on a quiet channel , which would
>> break
>> the squelch on my cb receiver at home. The breaking of that squelch
>> should
>> be able to key some type of relay that would then turn my deck lights on
>> and
>> off. Perhaps the "key" would be the squelch circuit itself, or more
>> simply, the noise that would come from my cb rig at home, the sound of
>> which
>> might key a relay..... ????
>>
>> Am I on the right track here ?? I have wondered if I could incorporate
>> some of those X10 remote lighting control devices for the home in some
>> manner ?? Or perhaps use similar stuff from Radio Shack ? The
>> hardest
>> part is the "keying" particulars, I am just not sure how to piece it all
>> together.
>>
>> Can anyone offer any ideas on this please ??
>>
>> Thanks !!
>>

>> --James--
>
> Have you considered a 5-watt family radio that may be more
> stable .... or a connection via telephone for a more
> dependable connection?

554. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 21:17:11 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2f0d7$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194194

a nigger had the biggest cock i ever sucked!!!!!!!!!
>
"Niggercrusher" <niggercrusher@crushednigger.com> wrote in message
news:1105307061.035fdba8aec518f3fc33bdfcb541ed18@teranews...
> The Yard Ape Nigger said "muh dikkk" ! Now run along boy, and get your
> slice of "waddamelon" from ther AFN store ! Ha ha, sore spot destroyed
> :)
> LMFWAO !!!!
>
> --
> You have just been crushed SAMBO gutter apes, by the NIGGERCRUSHER.
>
>
>
> "moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
> news:1105254945.473026.46370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the best
>> fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
>>
>
>

555. Re: merry xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 21:21:39 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2f1e3$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

MY GRAND PAPPY WAS RUFUS

THEY CALL ME A DUFUS

MY PAPPY WAS BOBBY

I BOBBED ON HIS KNOBBY

"N8WWM DOUG ADAIR" <N8WWM@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:41cd9e3b@news.usenetzone.com...
> look what i got fro xmas
>
>

>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

556. Re: --------GET A LIFE, DOUGAY... YOU AKC's ARE NITWITS---------

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 21:23:38 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2f25a$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

MY GRAND PAPPY WAS RUFUS

THEY CALL ME A DUFUS

MY PAPPY WAS BOBBY

I BOBBED ON HIS KNOBBY

"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41dc53b7$0$14514$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
>
> Aren't you n8wwm, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"?
>
> Magic NetTrace results.
> Target :205.162.217.3
> Date:1/5/2005
>
> Domain Name: BUCKEYE-EXPRESS.COM
>
> Administrative Contact:
> Shryock, Paul (30011447I)
> Buckeye Cablevision, Inc.
> 5566 Southwyck Blvd
> Toledo, OH 43614-1576
> US
> (419) 724-9802 fax: (419) 724-7074
>
>
>
>

557. Re: Transmission line vswr with unmathced generator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 21:28:52 UTC
From: Doug <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41e2f394$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194197

Testicles.. I only want testicles. LickingMyFreakinAssOrally

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:hKmdnazZSMhap0fcRVn-vw@comcast.com...
>
> "ozgun.harmanci" <ozgun.harmanci@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1104813117.849208.311840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Hi everybody, I am troubled with transmission line vswr, I hope this is
>> the right place to ask this question. Is the sr formula same with
>> unmatched generator? I mean I know that
>>
>> SWR = (1 + |Gamma_Load|) / (1 - |Gamma_Load|)
>>
>> Gamma_Load is reflection coefficient at load side.
>>
>> But I think there is a problem with this formula when generator is
>> unmatched to the transmission line so that there is also a reflection
>> at generator side. Is that correct or am i making a mistake somewhere?
>
> Why would the generator be "unmatched" in your case is the first question
> to
> ask. However to answer your question I would say no. The logic proceeds as
> follows.
>
> Another common formula for calculating SWR is based on measuring the
> forward
> and reflected power from the load.
>
> SWR=(1+sqrt(Pref/Rfwd))/(1-sqrt(Pref/Pfwd))
>
> In the case of a matched generator there is no power reflected from it. In
> the mismatched case power is reflected and simply adds to the forward
> power
> from the generator towards the miss matched load. Since the generator is
> now
> miss matched it does not put out full power, it can't by definition of a
> conjugally matched generator which can only put out max power in to a
> matched load. This extra power is partly absorbed by the load and some is
> reflected back toward the generator where it is again reflected back
> towards
> the load. At some point this process of reflections and re-reflections
> settles out to a steady state.
>
> http://home.iag.net/~w2du1/Appendix%207.pdf

>
> Look at examples A, B and C diagrams.
> http://home.iag.net/~w2du1/Appendix%206.pdf
>
> http://home.iag.net/~w2du1/Chapter%2023.pdf
>
> The power absorbed by the load and what is reflected back is only a
> function
> of the load miss match. The generator miss match only alters the forward
> power. The load miss match controls the reflected power towards the
> generator, which is always a fixed fraction of the forward power whatever
> it
> maybe. Thus I would say the SWR is not dependent on the generator miss
> match
> to the line, but can the generator miss match can affect the measured
> forward power seen on the line. Also when you're measuring the miss match
> on
> the line you are generaly interested in the "load miss match" which as I
> stated above is stricly a function of the forward power sent to the load,
> and the power reflected from it back towards the generator.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Wireless Network
> Mobile computing
> on the go brought
> to you by Micro$oft
>
>
>
>> Thanx in advance.
>> Arif.
>>
>
>

558. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 23:02:48 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org>
Message-ID: <o5ebb2-309.ln1@ursine.dyndns.org>
Source record: 194597
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

Jeff Inderof wrote:

> Have you considered a 5-watt family radio that may be more
> stable .... or a connection via telephone for a more
> dependable connection?

On the other hand, airports do something similar. Many public, unmanned airports have a system worked out that if you kerchunk three or five times on one of the airport's frequencies, the runway and taxiway lights turn on for 10 or 20 minutes.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/

559. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-10 23:30:42 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <CeEEd.42$%P4.33@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194198

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95DAB5581426312345678900@216.196.97.136...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
> news:2j75u0trq546sjhqie44g7qfi77qmpamhm@4ax.com:
>
>>>He's right, Dave. You can receive more than one skip signal from the
>>>same transmission, and their phasing can cause intermodulation
>>>distortion in any RF stage of your receiver.
>>
>> No dice Frank. The effect you have described is commonly referred to
>> as "multipath". The differences in phase angles of the received
>> signals can cause either an addition to or a subtraction from the
>> fundamental signal. But it does not cause it to splatter. A special
>> form of this is called "selective fading" which can cause different
>> parts of the signal to fade differently, which can distort the audio.
>> But this is not "splatter, and will not make the signal "bleed" more.
>> Heck the HF ham bands are almost always utilizing skywave propagation.
>> If what you say were true, then the ham bands would be virtually
>> unusable due to all the signals splattering across the band. With the
>> exception of a few bad apples running some illegal equipment, this is
>> normally not a problem.
>>
>>>All that's required is
>>>enough non-linearity in just one stage and the signals will modulate
>>>each other.
>>
>> I have never seen this happen in any of the quality receivers I've
>> owned over the years.
>>
>> Unless the signal is in motion (doppler effect) the frequency will
>> remain the same even if the phase shifts. Since all the multipath
>> signal frequencies are the same, there will be no mixing products
>> generated.
>>
>> If that were the case, then any group of signals, local or skip, would
>> do the same thing. That's not something that you'd want in a good
>> receiver. But you can't pin the faults of a bad receiver design on
>> atmospheric phenomenon.
>>
>> This is almost as hokey as saying that a certain antenna will make you
>> sound "louder".
>>
>> Propagation, like antennas, are passive. It only radiates or
>> re-radiates a signal. It does not modify it . If a signal is clean,

>> then the propagation will propagate it as such.
>>
>>> The result is what appears to be splatter but is really a
>>>fault of the receiver. Happens all the time with cheap shortwave
>>>radios.
>>
>> Ah! But why do you assume that I have a "cheap shortwave radio"?
>>
>> What happens when you put a low noise GasFET preamp behind a bandpass
>> filter and then into a spectrum analyzer? Surely you know what
>> splatter looks like on a spectral display?
>>
>>> And DX doesn't have to be up to get a good signal -- I have
>>>heard many clear DX signals from seemingly dead bands.
>>
>> A clear, and stable DX condition will not distort a radio signal.
>>
>> A station which is backswinging wildly, with fuzzy distorted audio,
>> and splattering 3 channels in each direction, is running illegally,
>> regardless of the fact that the FCC hasn't yet cited them for it.

Yeah. So what? But was I LOUD?

560. Check this out.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 01:07:44 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <AFFEd.779$RW3.730@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194199

http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/TOOTHPICK.html

561. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 01:55:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bgc6u0tt8lj6iiqtgabvsuco44uv1ikmgq@4ax.com>
Source record: 194200

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:16:34 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <mv65u0plobrhpaqlc6uq521920o39ghvpc@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:27:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly
>>illegal operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or
>>even admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing
>>more than his opinions, not facts.
>
>So you are of the Twisted notion that a person is not breaking the
>law until they are caught?

Hardly. What I am saying is that conviction requires proof, not
opinion.

562. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 01:55:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <sgc6u0d77trbbvmdvdfqj50kadnabhm3ct@4ax.com>
Source record: 194201

```
On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:44:12 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote in <crubcs$6bg$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:7ljut0p3o229q0jobt2ucjcd58tpoc83aa@4ax.com...
>> On 08 Jan 2005 02:44:04 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote in <20050107214404.864$MG@newsreader.com>:
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>> >You are what you eat. How'z your belt size going Frank?
>>>>
>>>> Actually lost 35 lbs. last year.
>>>>
>>>That's good man. It's tuff to shed pounds at your/my age. :)
>>>
>>>How'd you do it, not Atkins I hope.
>>
>>
>> Heck no! I wouldn't even think about one of those fad diets. Those
>> methods can cause more harm than good.
>>
>> Losing weight isn't hard at all. First, don't set goals or limits
>> cause that's just setting yourself up for failure. Just be a little
>> more aware of little things you can change. Like eating a little more
>> fish and a little less beef, snacking on peanuts instead of pringles,
>> using parking spaces further away from the door, etc. Little things
>> like that can add up to a big difference over time. And don't try to
>> make those changes all at once. It's kind of like a zen thing -- just
>> having an awareness of things you -can- change will prompt the changes
>> to come by themselves in their own good time.
>>
>
>Amen!
>
>I lost almost 40 pounds by doing the same. Drinking lots of water and also
>breathing exercises! Using your diaphragm properly causes your digestion
>process to work more efficiently.
>
>Chad

I gotta try that. Thanks!
```

563. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 01:58:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <chc6u0td564h9s7irngpfoos7q5jgc1vl0@4ax.com>
Source record: 194202

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:14:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <r8u4u099tsif1ob09pp4i1rdgqth7gs90t@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>>You obviously know little about the industry of journalism. It's a
>>>known given to all, but the most liberal people, that the mainstream
>>>media (with the exception of Fox) leans very much to the left.
>>
>>
>>No, that's not a "given". In fact it's quite the opposite.
>
>Not according to some very well written accounts of these operations
>by people who used to work in them.

So some people were slanted one way when they were working and slanted
the other way when they quit. How credible is that?

Check this out: In the past 20 years, millions have died in African
wars and the media barely mentioned it. Yet during the same time
period the media covered just about every little fistfight in the West
Band and Gaza. Which way is -that- slanted, Dave?

>> Since the
>>invention of mass media it has been manipulated by the powers that be,
>>whether that power is the people, a government, the corporation that
>>owns the media, or whatever. And there is a very simple and obvious
>>proof to this: One of the first objectives of an army that invades
>>another country is to shut down or take over the media.
>
>I would think that securing command and control centers would come
>first.

Didn't I say, "ONE of the first objectives [plural]....."?

>> This is
>>because if they don't the media can be used against them by the
>>defenders, AND the invaders can use the media to their advantage by
>>discouraging resistance.
>
>Lies work both ways.

Now you're catching on.

>>The fact is that there has never been a better source of false
>>information than the mass media. The only question is where that false
>>information is coming from. Well, that depends on who controls the
>>media. In the US the people certainly don't control the media -- it's

>>controlled by the huge corporations that own it and the government
>>that regulates it. THAT'S the source of any misinformation you get
>>from the allegedly "left-biased" or "liberal" media. That's a fact!
>
>This is a perfect example of the affirmation of the consequent
>fallacy. You claim that since the media can been used as a source of
>false information, that it automatically IS.

Wrong. The proof is in the pudding. The media has intentionally spread
misinformation to the public in the past, and there's no reason to
think that they have stopped and won't do it again.

>>> There
>>>have been several articles and books written on the subject. Dan
>>>Rather's latest embarrassing escapades should serve as a beacon of
>>>illumination to the subject.
>>
>>
>>And you joined him in the hall of shame when you cited that web page
>>with documents that were also forged.
>
>You have solid proof that those documents were forged? Maybe you used
>empirical observation? Careful here Frank.....

I used the same criteria that was used to condemn the CBS documents.
You can't invalidate one without invalidating both.

>>>>You mean like the story about Kerry not receiving an honorable
>>>>discharge until 2001?
>>>
>>>Exactly. It's interesting and telling that you would discard that out
>>>of hand, yet embrace other articles, which share your ideology, with
>>>no more credibility.
>>
>>
>>Who says I "discarded" it? On the contrary, I proved that your
>>accusation was not just lame, but also quite ignorant.
>
>By doing what? Citing claims from Kerry's own website? Surely you can
>see the slant there.

Those were official military records, not "claims". The only slant was
in a story intended to misinform people who where either uneducated
about military records, too lazy to read the records for themselves,
or too willing to accept the story without scrutiny. So which category
did you trip into, Dave?

>><snip>
>>>Hmmm.. Who watches the watchers?
>>
>>
>>People who, unlike yourself, have enough ambition to verify the facts

>>for themselves.
>
>The problem is that what you consider as "fact" is often little more
>than a different, but equally questionable, source.

You are still unable to differentiate fact from an opinion......

A 'fact' is undisputed and/or undisputable. For example, the validity of Kerry's military records is undisputed. But an 'opinion' can, and usually is, disputed. Staying with the same example, it is someone's opinion that Kerry did not receive an honorable discharge until 2001. So the validity of the opinion is measured by weighing it against the facts. The facts are that Kerry's military service was characterized as honorable, and that he did indeed receive an "Honorable Discharge Certificate" upon completion of his military obligation in 1978. So the opinion is in contradiction to the facts and is therefore bogus.

Now if you are going to dispute the source of the documents then you are either accusing the Pentagon of forgery or accusing the military of inappropriate conduct. Regardless, the allegation requires that the documents be both true and false at the same time. For example, if the DD-215 of 2001 was indeed Kerry's final discharge as claimed by your opinion, then the document must be false because it contains no such statement. And if the document is false then the claim that it marks the date of his final discharge is no longer valid. So -that- opinion is bogus.

Is the fog beginning to clear yet?

<snip>
>>LOL! Conflict of interest is a simple concept. Are you telling me that
>>you don't understand it?
>
>I understand that a public elected official is supposed to be able to
>remain impartial. To suggest otherwise is an accusation of
>impropriety, and without evidence to suggest the presence of
>impropriety, then the elected people should be allowed to do the jobs
>that we elect them to do. There are many cases where elected officials
>come across situations where there may be a conflict of interests.
>Should that official recuse himself every time this happens?

That's the generally accepted practice, and it's also the law in most states. It's done all the time in the courts. Judges often recuse themselves from a case because of some personal or business relationship with one of the parties. It's done to -prevent- any allegations of partiality. In Ohio there was a judge who refused to recuse himself from the contest hearings despite the fact that his own election could have been affected by his decision. That's a conflict of interests, and no doubt that decision will affect his career in the future.

Also, there -is- evidence of impropriety in the Ohio election, as well
as the recount and the contest.

<snip>
>>Yet another simple concept that you don't understand. Suspicion
>>naturally falls on the person or persons who benefited from the
>>problems. Kinda like some guy's wife is murdered right after he took
>>out a huge insurance policy and he doesn't have an alibi. This
>>warrants a justifiable suspicion (unless, of course, you are the guy
>>being implicated, then you make up your own justifications).
>
>But you are automatically assuming that those "illegal" ballots were
>done for the benefit of Republicans. That is a poor assumption to
>make.

Not when the vast majority of those illegal ballots DID benefit the
Republicans.

<snip>
>>>You assume that any extra votes mean that the were Bush plants. What
>>>if they were Kerry plants?
>>
>>
>>What if you are ignoring the fact that there were more votes than
>>voters?
>
>I'm not, but you seem to think that any fraud is automatically the
>fault of, and benefitted republicans.

Not automatically. The fact is that the vast majority of the illegal
ballots favored the Republicans. If you think that Democrats committed
voting fraud in order to benefit the Republican candidates then that's
your problem.

<snip>
>>>Yes, the overall articles are facts, but the underlying reasons and
>>>the suspicions generated or implied are the result of bias.
>>
>>
>>Ok, here are the facts:
>>
>>First, it's statistically impossible that all the errors were
>>accidental.
>
>It's statistically IMPROBABLE, not impossible.

Statistical probability sometimes reaches a point so low that it can
safely be assumed to be an impossibility. Such is the case with the
Ohio election statistics.

>>Second, the errors that resulted in extra votes were in predominantly
>>Bush areas.
>

>But that does not mean that those extra votes were for Bush. It's
>equally likely that Kerry operatives planted extra votes in those
>heavy Bush areas to make the vote look more "balanced", and therefore
>less suspicious.

The recount was requested to determine if that was a possibility, but
Blackwell didn't allow recount sampling in any suspected areas. Hence
the contest.

>>Third, the errors that resulted in supressed votes were almost always
>>in districts that favored Kerry.
>
>And those districts were run by democrats. So what does that say?

Wrong. Most of them were run by Republicans. Regardless, the statewide
election was run by Blackwell. It was his office that controlled the
tabulation and certified the impossible results. "So what does that
say?"

>>Those are the facts.
>
>You are still wording the facts as to imply a conclusion which is not
>supported by the known evidence.

The facts imply their own conclusion. If you think you can word them
in a way that is any more objective then go for it. Otherwise, your
opinions don't negate the facts.

>> Now it doesn't take a rocket surgeon to reach the
>>conclusion that there was voting fraud perpetrated by Bush and/or his
>>supporters.
>
>Only if you deny that "the other side" is equally capable and has a
>history of doing it as well.

Nobody is denying anything of the sort, and such a denial isn't
required to reach the conclusion. But you -could- say that the
Republicans were more effective at rigging the Ohio election than the
Democrats and I wouldn't have any problem with that.

>> And neither do you need to be a genius to figure out who
>>perpetrated this fraud, since many of the decisions that resulted in
>>these errors were made by Blackwell (co-chair of Ohio's Bush/Cheney
>>campaign -- remember "conflict of interest"?).
>>
>>Can you put the puzzle together yet? How much simpler can I make it
>>for you?
>
>But it's still speculation. It's another affirmation of the consequent
>logical fallacy to arrive at a conclusion without considering other
>possibilities.

First, you need to brush up on your logic. Second, it's a reasonable conclusion based on factual premeses. Third, if you reach a conclusion based on improbable scenarios (such as a conspiricy to cover up Kerry's military record) then the conclusion is, likewise, just as improbable. So have you spotted any UFOs lately?

>>>Liberalism is destined to fail, as nothing positive has even come from
>>>a socialistic paradigm. I just don't want to see this country dragged
>>>through the muck to before they realize it.
>>
>>
>>You are confusing liberalism with socialism. They are not the same.
>>Far from it.
>
>If you take the line of political idealogy, and start at the middle,
>as you head further right, you become more conservative. At the
>extreme end of the "right wing", is fascism. Now take the same journey
>toward the liberal left, and when you reach the extreme " left wing",
>you have socialism and finally anarchy.
>
>Perhaps you need a refresher course in political ideologies.
>
>Here's an interesting link:
>
>http://www.oregonvos.net/~jflory/205/ide_over.htm

Interesting, yes. It's also interesting how Rokeach's quantification of political ideology (assuming it can be done) differs from your own. At this point it's clear that you are quite confused and are seeking simplistic explanations for complex issues. There is no "line" or chart you can draw to describe a political ideology. Go to the library and check out the vast amount of material on politics. Then look in the history section and try to count all the different kinds of governments that have existed since the beginning of recorded history. Yet you think you can sum it all up with something as simple as a line?

The difference between a liberal and a conservative is that the liberal wants things to change and the conservative wants things to stay the same. I don't know how you came up with your extrapolations to socialism, anarchy and facism, but I can make a guess that it probably -didn't- come from a source of any authority or education.

The fact is that the government incorporates many aspects of just about every political ideology. For example, our medical and educational systems are partially socialized; the infrastructure is run under a mostly collectivistic system; the military works best under fascism; etc, etc.

So for you to sit there and slap everyone with your simplistic labels does nothing but demonstrate your ignorance.

>><snip>
>>>>First off, it was -HARDLY- a "clear republican victory". The first
>>>>count was done by machine and gave Rossi a lead so slim that it
>>>>triggered an -automatic- recount. That recount narrowed the lead to a
>>>>few dozen votes. It would have been foolish of any opponent -not- to
>>>>request a hand recount, which put Gregoire in the lead by 139 votes.
>>>
>>>Votes that were "suddenly" found......
>>
>>
>>Which were later verified and the total favored Gregoire, which begs
>>the question: were they were lost accidently or intentionally? If they
>>were lost intentionally I don't think the Democrats were responsible.
>
>Why not? You assume that these votes were originally lost and then
>found. They could have also been planted.

I don't think so. The press contacted some of the people whose ballots
were lost, people who voted for both parties, and they were all quite
pissed off. And I doubt that you heard enough of the facts surrounding
the incident to reach that conclusion. But if you prefer to believe
the less likely scenario that the votes were planted then that's your
right:

"You have the constitutional right to be stupid." -- Gov. Ventura

>>>>The rules were followed and Gregoire won. Now the Republicans are
>>>>whining up a storm and begging for a second election. Also, there have
>>>>been allegations that King County counted unconfirmed provisional
>>>>ballots. If that's true then it would be foolish for Rossi -not- to
>>>>contest the election. So far he hasn't.
>>>
>>>Usually republicans are not as baby-ish when it comes to conceding a
>>>close election.
>>
>>
>>ROTFLMMFAO! That's a good one, Dave! It's clear you didn't see Rossi's
>>press conference where he begged the public to ask for a second
>>election. It was pathetic! Now he's contesting the election -not-
>>because of fraud, but because he says the system didn't work in his
>>favor...... what a weiner!
>
>Your's right, I didn't see it. It doesn't sound very typical of
>republicans.

It sounds typical of any Democrat -or- Republican that can't accept
defeat. They are now all over the TV begging the public to initiate a
revote. Check this out: www.revotewa.com The reason for it is bogus:
If there election was flawed then the fault was with the system; so
until those faults are corrected there can be no valid revote. The
petition is nothing more than an request to hold another flawed
election in hopes that the result will favor Rossi.

But I do agree with the private contest that the results are invalid
because they are within the margin of error. If that holds up in court
then the legislature should hold an emergency session to establish
some uniform ballot rules and -then- hold a second election.

>>>>But overall, Washington seems to be accomplishing what Ohio, Florida,
>>>>New Mexico and several other states cannot: conduct an election with a
>>>>transparent process.
>>>
>>>The only thing transparent are the operations of those trying to stuff
>>>the ballot box with enough extra votes to overturn an election
>>
>>
>>Where did that happen, Dave? In Washington? Cite your source.
>
>It's a possibility you refuse to consider. Voter fraud has been here
>for many decades and has been used by both sides.

You didn't answer the question, Dave. Let's try it again: Were ballot
boxes stuffed in Washington?

And yes, I most certainly do consider the possibility that voter fraud
occurs -- where have you been for this entire conversation?

>>And to return from your spin, how about answering the question: Are
>>you suggesting that a 124% voter turnout is just an "editorial
>>opinion"?
>
>I'm suggesting that you shouldn't automatically assume that the
>republicans were the major perpetrators in the fraud.

I don't -automatically assume- any such thing. I came to that
conclusion because the voting statistics demonstrate a very high
probability that the extra votes favor Bush.

And you -still- didn't answer the question: Do you think 124% voter
turnout is just an "editorial opinion"?

>There was two forms of fraud occurring. Fraudulent voting, and
>fraudulent counting.

Agreed.

> One was trying to cancel the effects of the
>other.

Cite your source.

>><snip>
>>>>I don't like Bush and I don't like Kerry. Which way am I slanted?
>>>
>>>To the left. That much is obvious. You're a liberal. I guess the
>>>democratic party isn't pink enough yet.
>>
>>

>>So I'm liberal because I happen to be concerned about free and open
>>elections?
>
>No, you're a liberal because you support Nader.

I support Nader and every other third-party candidate, be they
liberal, conservative, socialist, communist, or from any other
ideology (with the exception of Lyndon LaRouche, for obvious reasons).
But I -don't- support the two-party cartel that currently exists in
our government.

>>The only people who -wouldn't- be concerned about voting
>>fraud are facists. Are you a facist, Dave? (And notice that I didn't
>>slap you with the label -- I have enough respect to ask first!)
>
>Are you denying that you are a liberal?

I'm not a liberal -- I'm an American.

> And no, I'm not a fascist,
>simply a conservative.

Thanks for the clarification. From now on I will use the label you
have placed upon yourself.

>><snip>
>>>>How does that proverb go..... "Never put off until tomorrow what you
>>>>can do today". They tried to repair the faults of the 2000 election
>>>>with band-aids and it didn't work; the wound got bigger. If we don't
>>>>fix it now the same problems will be bigger and more widespread in
>>>>2008, or perhaps even sooner.
>>>
>>>Why didn't they fix it in say, ohhhh, 1944?
>>
>>
>>Try 1876.
>
>Whatever works for you. I just picked a date when the big "political
>machines" were coming of age.

Try 1876.

>><snip>
>>>>Official military records are "leftist propoganda"?
>>>
>>>Which records? Kerry's military records? Oh that 's right, he refused
>>>to release them all.
>>
>>
>>You mean the records that say his other records (the records that
>>-were- released) are all phoney? Or maybe you are referring to his
>>medical records? The man was an officer in a war zone, so he must have
>>had a security clearance -- should he release classified documents

>>that are part of his military record?
>
>You are making an assumption again. You assume that this missing
>records have some sort of security issue.

And you assume that they have some sort of sinister information that could implicate him as a communist spy or something worse. What's the difference between the two assumptions? One is reasonable, the other is paranoid fantasy.

> That may not be the case.
>They may also contain actions which might paint the good senator in a
>not so favorable light.

NASA may be withholding photos that show alien habitation on Mars, the CIA may have flying saucers in Area 51, and George H. W. Bush may be a hermaphrodite. All three allegations have some foundation in fact: NASA withheld some photos from Mars probes, UFOs have been seen at Area 51, and Bush Sr. giggles like a girl. So what foundation do you have for -your- allegation?

>>Just what do you think is on those documents? Now before you stick
>>your foot in your mouth and answer that, remember that you don't have
>>those records and therefore have no evidence, empirical or not, as to
>>their nature.
>
>Neither do you. But I tend to believe

.....uh oh

> that if you have nothing to
>hide, you have nothing to fear.

Then post your SSN to this newsgroup.

> The fact that he refused to release
>his FULL records, especially after Bush was forced to release his,

Cite your source that says; a) Bush released -all- his records, and b) he was -forced- to release all his records.

>suggests a suspicious motive. If there was a security aspect to them,
>then he could just say that, but he didn't.

People lie, right? If there was something sinister in those records he could have simply said that the subject matter was a security risk, or that they were medical records. You still haven't provided any reason to believe that those records were in any way incriminating.

>>So the only clue you have as to what they are (other
>>than the obvious, as I stated above) is purely conjecture. Ok, feel
>>free to answer the question -- let 'er rip!
>
>The fact that they remain sealed says more.

The fact that they remain sealed says nothing more than that they remain sealed. You don't know what's in those records any more than I do. Yet you choose to speculate that they contain some damning and incriminating information. On what do you base your speculation, Dave? Your own "belief"? Are you a proponent of "faith-based justice"? So if someone goes to court for a crime, the burden of proof no longer rests with the prosecution? Proof of guilt is decided by a jury based only on the allegation and the silence of the defendant? Guilty until proven innocent? Have you never heard of the 5th Amendment? Or is that another one of those "liberal" concepts that leaves a bad taste in your mouth?

I'll ask this again, Dave: Are you a fascist?

<snip>
>>Unless you have a time machine and can travel back to correct any
>>occurance where it -did- make a difference, the question is moot:
>
>The same thing can be said for this past election. It doesn't matter
>if it was 2 months ago, or two decades ago.

Well, yes it does because the issue is still fresh and the direct results can still be rectified if needed.

>>The issue is happening NOW and can be addressed NOW.
>
>That's a far different statement than the one where you claimed that
>fraud is more rampant today than ever before. A statement that you
>have no factual information to back up.

You got me there, Dave. Now, how about providing some facts to back up -your- accusations and speculations? If you can't then I would expect you to do as I did and concede any such issue you can't back up with facts. Can you do that?

<snip>
>>>But you do live in the U.S. Mayor Daily epitomized the democratic
>>>"machine" that exists in many large cities. The fact that most cities
>>>vote heavily democratic may have much more than voter "demographics"
>>>to attribute to it.
>>
>>
>>Ok, so how does his opinion justify voting fraud in Ohio?
>
>There is no "justification", only the notion that fraud has happened
>before,

A fact I never denied.

> and that the old metropolitan democratic political machines
>pretty much wrote the book on it. So they are hardly above reproach on
>this issue.

Voting fraud has been around a lot longer than the Democratic party,
Dave. In fact, it's been around almost as long as elections!

>Ohio is under the microscope now, for much the same reason as Florida
>was 4 years ago. There are 49 other states, which may have had an even
>greater degree of fraud than what is alleged in Ohio. But what should
>be plainly obvious is that the whole thrust of this Ohio fraud issue
>is not to correct the problems in fraud, but to give the liberals
>another reason to claim that Bush didn't actually WIN the election.

What it will do is push for uniform voting standards, laws prohibiting
conflict of interests for voting officials, better accountability for
tabulation errors, etc, etc. IOW, a more fair and equitable election
system that's less suseptible to fraud. Is that such a bad thing? Or
is it just a bad thing when the candidate you voted for might be
implicated?

>There may be (and likely is) cases of fraud in large metro areas in
>states like New York and Pa., which favored the democrats. If someone
>were motivated to dig deep enough, it MAY be found that Bush could
>have won in Pa. (Which would make Ohio a moot point) as well.

The only problem with that scenario is that the state's voting records
have already been examined and indicate nothing on the scale that's
found in Ohio. It's true that many people are trying to make this a
Bush/Kerry issue, but I'm not. You can keep claiming I am, but like I
said before, claims don't suddenly become true just because you repeat
them enough times.

> If
>identifying and solving voter fraud were the true motivational factor,
>then ALL states (at least the ones with close percentages) should be
>subjected to some scrutiny.

Who said they -weren't- subjected to scrutiny? They were. You can bet
that analysts have crunched the numbers from -all- the states if for
no other reason than to work the demographics for the next election.

> As I said before, the percentage of
>victory for Kerry in Pa. was less than Bush's victory in Ohio. I stood
>in line for almost 3 hours to vote and I didn't find on person who was
>voting for Kerry, out of the people we "informally" polled (You get
>bored when you stand in line for that long).

So you have your own version of an "exit poll". Congratulations. So
why should I accept -your- exit poll data as fact and ignore the
official exit poll data that CNN refuses to release? Oh, that's right,
you have some sort of sixth sense regarding the truth, huh?

> The breakdown of a county
>by county vote in Pa., shows that the vast majority of the state was
>red, with the exception of Philadelphia, which was radically blue
>(80%), Pittsburgh, and around Erie county. Is it possible that those
>heavily democratic places might have committed some sort of fraud as

>well? Indeed there were stories of some Phila machines being "loaded"
>with votes before the polls even opened. They explained that away as a
>simple "use" counter, but it was suspicious all the same.
>
>Why aren't you calling for an investigation in those places, if you
>are truly interested in tracking down and correcting voter fraud?

http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/969

The reason you hear most about Ohio (but not from the "liberal" media)
is because most Bush supporters are content with the results of the
election, fraud or not. Does that make sense to you?

<snip>
>>>I also favor requiring all voters to show an I.D. which includes their
>>>voting precinct.
>>
>>
>>I agree 100%. How about simply registering everyone over 18 by their
>>SSN? And making their votes accessible after the election to see for
>>themselves that their vote was counted (instead of lost, changed by a
>>machine, or "enhanced" by an election worker)?
>
>I love the idea, and in fact, have suggested the exact same thing in
>the past.

Excellent! We have found some common ground!

> The problem

.....oh no

> is that many people are real paranoid about
>using their SSN for things like voting, and start thinking "big
>brother" thoughts. For whatever reason, people think that a national
>I.D. is somehow an invasion of privacy. It's the Twisty syndrome of
>feeling the need to hide behind some form of relative anonymity.

I'll buy that. If people want to stand up and be counted they
shouldn't be afraid to stand up and be counted.

<snip>
>>>>The key phrase is "days past". Those problems have been addressed,
>>>>have they not?
>>>
>>>I cannot make that statement. I have read stories of party workers
>>>caught with ballots leaving a prison in Pa. I doubt if these issues
>>>have been truly "addressed". It may have been covered over a bit more
>>>effectively, but I believe that they're still there.
>>
>>
>>Possibly. The question is to what extent.
>

>We may never know the answer to that. The only thing we can do is
>reduce the chance that it can happen in the future.

Again, we find common ground.

>>>>We have -new- problems that need to be addressed, such
>>>>as a corporation that wrote the software for the voting machines and
>>>>whose CEO promised to deliver the state's electoral votes to Bush;
>>>
>>>Hearsay. There's no proof that any such "promise" ever occured.
>>
>>
>>Once again you didn't bother to verify the facts for yourself before
>>spouting off your big mouth. I did a search on O'Dell's quote and got
>>over 15,000 hits. Here's just one:
>>
>>http://www.commondreams.org/headlines03/0828-08.htm
>
>I read it, but that still doesn't prove that O'Dell actually made that
>statement in the context that he would use his company's voting
>machines as a vehicle to that goal. It only states that the statement
>was made in a fund rasing letter. So are you of the opinion that CEO's
>should not promote any party affiliation?

As if he would publically state, "I intend to manipulate the
tabulation of votes with software so it favors Bush". Revisit
"conflict of interest". The machines and software should be available
for inspection, but the judge (the one who refused to recuse himself)
would not allow it.

>>>>election officials (i.e, the Secretary of State) who is also the
>>>>campaign manager for that state; the shorting of voting machines in
>>>>selected precincts; etc, etc.
>>>
>>>Interesting that in those places were voting machines were "shorted",
>>>were handled by democrats. They have only themselves to blame if they
>>>were not able to accommodate their constituency.
>>
>>
>>You simply -refuse- to look at the other side of the coin, don't you?
>
>Why should I? You're doing enough for both of us.

I'm trying, but you only seem to be reading from one side of your
monitor.

>>It was those same Democrats that lodged the complaints! They were told
>>a variety of different lies about how the machines were apportioned,
>>which is one of the issues Blackwell was supposed to address in the
>>deposition he evaded.
>
>How do you know they were lies? The fact remains that in those heavily

>democratic voting precincts, the distribution of voting machines was
>controlled by the democrats, so they have no one but themselves to
>blame if they short changed their constituents.

Wrong:

http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/917

That's just one example. There were plenty more. Are you ever going to
read these articles? Or would you rather make me post them one by one
as you continue to make inaccurate statements?

<snip>
>>>>>I really don't know, but his interests in the direction of this
>>>>>country are diametrically opposed to what a free capitalist society
>>>>>would want.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Really? Care to elaborate?
>>>
>>>One word: Liberal.
>>>
>>>Three other words: Redistribution of wealth.
>>
>>
>>That's called "collectivism", not "liberalism". It's time you learned
>>the difference.
>
>They are related in that liberals are only lighter versions of
>socialists. At least as defined by today's terms. Read the link I
>provided, and do some searching for yourself.

I read the link and responed appropriately. I invoke that same
response here.

>It's a fact that democrats are more known for increasing taxes and
>increasing "safety net" social programs. This in nothing more than
>redistribution of wealth.

Many of those "safety net" social programs are not only necessary, but
designed to prevent more costly problems in the future. Such as AIDS,
smoking, and other health information campaigns designed to take the
burden away from Social Security and other medical programs that end
up flipping the bill.

And you seem somewhat biased in your accusations: After all, it was
Clinton who instituted some overdue welfare changes such as work
initiative programs. OTOH, Bush is responsible for that drug discount
card fiasco which is basically just government subsidation of drug
companies at the expense of the elderly, while at the same time he has
cut vet benefits to the point where they are almost nonexistent. So if
there's any redistribution of wealth being done it's done by both
sides. Which side you favor depends on who gets the wealth -- you, or

someone who needs it.

>><snip>
>>>>Ok, I see how this works..... the past is relevent only when it favors
>>>>your argument, such as previous accounts of voting fraud. Right?
>>>
>>>No, it's only relevant when it's relevant , such as past record of
>>>voting fraud suggests that it was a problem for far longer than some
>>>of you realize. I have never read anything on this group of you
>>>defending Bush.
>>
>>
>>Twisty has. If you didn't then you weren't paying attention.
>
>Then prove me wrong and provide the thread.

Here's one. There are plenty more:

http://tinyurl.com/4c37f

>>>>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/sci.physics/msg/c29696c828d91e22?dmode=source
>>>>
>>>
>>>As I suspected, that post was not made here, so how could you expect
>>>me to ever have seen it?
>>
>>
>>You said, "Don't even try to tell me that you favored Bush, because
>>that would be a lie". You jumped to a faulty conclusion based on your
>>other faulty conclusion that I'm a liberal.
>
>Are you denying that you are a liberal?

Bad spin, Dave. You will begin to heal only after you learn to admit
your mistakes.

>> And don't squirm out of it
>>by saying I didn't voice my support in -this- newsgroup because I did,
>>as Twisty can attest.
>
>Since when is Twisty your new advocate? The same nitwit that you, I
>and others have spent the last several years alternately slamming into
>the logic wall, and ridiculing for the fun of it, his outrageous
>stances? Now he's your bastion of credibility? I would have thought
>better of you Frank.

Common cause. I still don't agree with his position regarding illegal
radio, but we have agreed to suspend those disagreements pending a
resolution to a much more immediate and important issue: Bush and the
Vulcans.

<snip>
>>>If you make a post in another of several thousand other newsgroups,

>>>how can you in all fairness expect the people HERE to know about it?
>>
>>
>>Very good point. And with that truth in mind, how can you "in all
>>fairness" say that I lied when I claimed to have supported Bush in the
>>past?
>
>Because, I would have thought you understood that we are talking
>within THIS venue. No one should be expected to know what goes on
>outside of this group.

But I -did- voice my support of Bush in this venue, as I cited in the
link above. Are you now going to try and escape your error by saying
the post wasn't directed at you, or that you were on vacation, or some
other lame excuse? You didn't check your facts before you ran your
mouth. That's -your- fault, Dave. Not mine.

>> You jumped to your conclusion without all the facts.
>
>Ok, then I'll modify my original statement to limit it to this
>newsgroup only.

You screwed up, you can't admit it, and you can't vindicate yourself
by pulling an ex-post-facto excuse.

>>And the
>>facts were available on google if you had the inclination to verify
>>the facts before you framed your indictment. But that's just not your
>>style, Dave -- after all, you already know the truth -despite- the
>>facts, right?
>
>You made the point, you provide the evidence. I don't have the time to
>sift through every post you made looking for references to GWB.

Yeah, it's just too much effort to find the facts. Your "belief"
system is so much easier, isn't it, Dave?

>>>Maybe you think we keep tabs on each other? Maybe I'm the odd-ball in
>>>that respect, as I don't.
>>
>>
>>The difference is that I, unlike you, don't make claims or accusations
>>unless I have the facts to back them up.
>
>Most of which you have yet to show.

Most of which you have yet to verify for yourself and accept that they
are in contradiction with your beliefs.

>><snip>
>>>>I defended Kerry against your bullshit propoganda.
>>>
>>>The truth remains that Kerry refused to release ALL of his military

>>>records. What's he hiding?
>>
>>
>>You claimed he didn't get his honorable discharge until 2001 which was
>>a totally fabricated lie. And you can't even admit it. So now you
>>focus on records he didn't release without a shred of evidence that
>>they hold anything of importance to you. What was that you said
>>earlier about suspicion and political bias.....?
>
>I read about his honorable discharge. That was only one element of a
>number of suspicious activities that Kerry was involved in during and
>post Vietnam which may have served to undermine this country's efforts
>in the war, including two trips to France, to meet with the N.
>Vietnamese representatives, in a capacity not authorized by our
>government.

One step at a time: Is it your opinion that the available records are phoney? If not, is there something in those records that makes you think he did not receive an honorable discharge in 1978 as opposed to 2001 as you first claimed? A better question might be if you actually read the documents yourself, but that would be silly..... you wouldn't make such damning accusations without first verifying the facts for yourself, would you Dave?

Once we clear -that- up we can move on to your next accusation, which would be his "suspicious activities" after the war, and then his visit with NV officials.

But first things first. Honorable discharge in 1978: yes or no?

>>And BTW, if you don't know who the Vulcan's are then you are -WAY- out
>>of touch with current political issues.
>
>Really? Then would you be so kind as to provide something that tells
>me who there mysterious "Vulcans" are?

http://tinyurl.com/6mf8m

>> Maybe you shouldn't even be
>>having this conversation with me -- but what the hell, a little
>>ignorance never stopped you before, right?
>
>It hasn't stopped you.

No it hasn't -- your ignorance is what fuels this conversation.

<snip>
>>>A skilled debater can take either side in a debate and make valid
>>>points. But this isn't a debate for the sake of debate style points.
>>>This is a debate driven by personal feelings.
>>
>>
>>Maybe for you. My motivation happens to be preservation of the concept

>>of a democratic system of government.
>
>By undermining our efforts to wage war on the very people who threaten
>those concepts?

Saddam didn't pose any real threat to our country or democratic
process -- both would have survived despite any efforts by him. OTOH,
Bush & Co. are a very -real- threat to the democratic process for a
number of reasons, not the least of which is the level and scope of
voting fraud that has been uncovered in this past election.

>>>I also suspect that you are probably a lot more open to certain
>>>communist ideals. Most liberals are.
>>
>>
>>Again with the labels.....
>
>And you haven't yet denied them.

But I have. You weren't listening. You were too busy tending to your
"beliefs".

>>FYI, this country has adopted ideals from
>>just about every political process ever conceived, including Marxism,
>>communisim, socialism and fascism. Some are good and some are bad.
>
>No shit. No one system is "pure". There'e theory and there's practice.
>Communism, in theory, is a "utopian" or perfect society. When put in
>practice, it fails miserably.
>
>
>> But
>>as I tried to explain to you a while back, the Republican ideal is not
>>such a great concept in it's pure form -- it's a totalitarian-style
>>government where manipulation is the rule and freedom is an illusion.
>
>By what piece of glowing wisdom did you glean that glaringly
>ill-informed opinion?

You snipped the source from your reply.

>>You mean the official military records (in your words, "crap") that
>>were released by the Pentagon? Why yes, that's exactly where I got my
>>facts. Yet you, in your unbridled wisdom, want the Pentagon to release
>>more "crap" when you can't even accept the facts from the "crap" that
>>was already released (from the same source). If the first load of
>>"crap" was phoney, what makes you think a second load of "crap" is
>>going to be any more legitimate? Or if you think that second load of
>>"crap" is going to provide you with facts then why can't you accept
>>the facts from the first load of "crap"? That's a load of crap, Dave,
>>and you are a very confused person.
>

>Without the entire record, the context of what is available is
>compromised, and erroneous conclusions could be made.

www.johnkerry.com/pdf/jkmilservice/Honorable_Discharge_From_Reserve.pdf

Notice the header:

"Encl: (1) Honorable Discharge Certificate"

Is that compromised? Is it an "erroneous conclusion"? How much
"context" do you need?

>><snip>
>>>>Have you ever thought for one moment that there may be people that
>>>>actually care about fundamental issues such as voting rights? Or is
>>>>that dish too liberal for your table?
>>>
>>>Are you so idealistic that you can't understand what drives political
>>>agendas? If there is not something to be gained strategically, then it
>>>doesn't happen. If it was indeed a few "independents" who initiated
>>>this action, I would bet a year's salary that they were "funded"
>>>through the back door by the DNC or one of their "loose associates"
>>>
>>>Like the term "follow the money", look to see who stands to benefit
>>>the most, and that's where you will find the real source of this
>>>latest voter fraud cry.
>>
>>
>>Are you so pessimistic that you see the political process as nothing
>>but a disingenuous quest for power?
>
>That pretty much describes the political machines of today. When a
>political party is willing to stand by and do nothing to help the
>economy, and also wish for continued recession as a means to gain
>political clout, it shows where their priorities are.

That can be said for both parties, and in that respect I agree with it
completely. But I wouldn't apply it to everyone in the political
arena, nor would I apply it to all Republicans or all Democrats.
People are people. Some are good, some are bad. Just because a person
may be a Democrat, a Republican, a liberal or a conservative, that
doesn't automatically render judgement on that person.

>> That every act is motivated by a
>>self-serving political agenda? If so then you don't know people half
>>as well as you think you do.
>
>Cynicism is alive and well in politics. That's a fact

Cynicism most definitely has -you- by the balls.

>> FYI, there are quite a few people in the
>>political arena that actually serve the interests of the public and

>>not their wallets.
>
>Name them. And I'm not talking about small town supervisors or someone
>of a school board. I'm talking about the big time.

Off the top of my head? Colin Powell. Bill Frist. Bob Dole. John
McCain. Need more names?

>In almost every case, when a government representative does something
>seemingly altruistic, there is an underlying political motivation for
>it. Finding it, is the key to understanding what greases the machine.

There is some truth in that statement, but it's certainly not a rule
of thumb. Your implication is that politicians cannot have honorable
intentions. But many do. Some of them lose those intentions after
getting caught in the quagmire, but some don't. Some appear to have
alternative intentions but are forced to "deal with the devil" on
occasion (which I think may be the rule in politics regardless of
intent). But they are still people and there are many good people in
this world. Maybe you have lost sight of that.

>>Maybe -you- need to be a little more idealistic.
>
>What, and blind myself to reality?

Contrary to the opinion of some, you -can- have ideals and be
realistic at the same time. You just have to keep yourself balanced.

>><snip>
>>>>My political "slant" is towards the Constitution. That's my political
>>>>party, that's my religion, and that's my first concern whenever I step
>>>>into the voting booth. The Republicans may represent -your- interests
>>>>(whatever they may be, and I don't think I want to know), but -my-
>>>>interest happens to be preserving a democratic form of government.
>>>
>>>By voting for a liberal who's core ideals contain the notion that the
>>>government should assume a greater role as society's "nanny"?
>>
>>
>>The 'liberal' label was assigned by you, not me.
>
>Which you STILL have not denied.

Oh, that's right -- you're a member of that "guilty until proven
innocent" jury.

>>>If you're such a student of the constitution, you should know that the
>>>government was never intended to do anything more than protect ,
>>>facilitate and represent our interests in the world market.
>>
>>
>>Oh my dear God..... where in the hell did you get your education? That
>>response deserves it's own thread.

>
>What so I can prove you wrong yet again? I'm tiring of this Frank.

I'm not. Maybe your fatigue is your unconscious anxieties telling you
that you should back off before you are forced to admit to yourself
certain truths you have denied. In that case I would suggest you take
a sabbatical to refresh yourself and assimilate what I have taught you
in this discussion.

564. Re: FA: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB with Astatic D104 Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 03:20:29 UTC
From: Joe <joencREMOVETHISTOREPLY@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <gbh6u0hn2ngl7q7tj84n6bpreul7thnaem@4ax.com>
Source record: 194203

On 4 Jan 2005 22:03:39 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Probably wont go above 250 bucks.

You're probably wrong.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741957670

565. MAKE MONEY USING PAYPAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 03:33:46 UTC
From: jjrvn@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105414426.499504.70070@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194204
Cross-posted to: rec.food.cooking, comp.soft-sys.matlab, rec.radio.cb, rec.org.mensa, rec.music.phish

I'm sure you've read these letters asking you to send $1 to six different addresses. Putting your home address or mailing address on the internet can be risky, but through PayPal all you have to provide is your email address. It's safe and easy. Just go to www.paypal.com and set up your FREE account! No credit card is needed. I am not a gullible person and have never trusted things like this, but I gave it a try. Hey it's only $6. I figured at least 6 people would respond out of the millions of people that use the internet. You should give it a try too! You have nothing to lose, and thousands to gain! Read on for the letter that I found (of course, I added my PayPal e-mail on #6)...

HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000+!!! THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!! A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must be some kind of scam" but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 though PayPal to each of the emails stated in the article. You then place your own email address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 news groups. (There are thousands) No catch. That was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured what have I got to lose except $6.00, right? Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it. It follows the same regulations as the "mailed chain letters, which according to the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!? Within 7 days, I started getting money in my PayPal account! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $20.00. By the end second week I had made a total over $1,200.00!!! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $36,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's Certainly worth $6.00. I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works? Also, make sure you print a copy of this article now, so that you can get the information off of it as you need it.

$$

REQUIREMENTS: You must have a confirmed PayPal account. If you do not have a PayPal account you can go to www.paypal.com and follow the instructions to set up a free account. In order to place the initial $6

into your account, you will have to confirm your bank account with PayPal (which may take a few days). PayPal is 100% secure and is used my millions of people world wide. Confirming a www.paypal.com account simply means (1) PayPal will first ask you to confirm the email you used to sign up your PayPal account by sending you an email with a link for you to click to that email. As soon as you click that link your email is confirmed. (2) PayPal will then make two small deposits into your bank account if you set up your PayPal account with a checking or savings bank account. Or PayPal will make two small deposits to your credit card if you set up your PayPal account with your credit card. Then after a few days (it takes up to a few days for these deposits to appear in your bank account or on your credit card statement) then after a few days you go back to the www.paypal.com website and sign in. Then you click where it says this account is not yet confirmed. A screen will pop up that gives you 2 boxes to fill in. You then enter the numbers of the 2 small deposit amounts (two separate 2 digit numbers) that were deposited to your bank account or credit card. Now you have a working and confirmed PayPal account and can receive payments.

$$

[STEP 1]
Send, though PayPal, $1.00 to each email on the below list. Make the subject of the payment "Email List" and in the comments, write "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST." What you are doing is creating a service by this - and best of all you are not giving your address to anyone you do not know. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!! YOU ARE CREATING A SERVICE. Here are the latest PayPal e-mails on the e-mail lists:

#1)brittany...@excite.com

#2) mth...@renc.igs.net

#3) Mishav...@aol.com

#4) nike31...@aol.com

#5) careent...@hotmail.com

#6) jjrvn...@hotmail.com

www.paypal.com

Subject of Payment: ***Email List***

Comments: ***PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST***

[STEP 2]
Now take the #1) email off the list that you see above, move the other addresses up one (6 becomes 5 & 5 becomes 4, etc) the put YOUR email address (the one used in your PayPal account) as #6) on the list. **MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPLY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS IN YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT**

[STEP 3]
Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups, message boards, etc. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make - as well as everyone else on the list! In this situation your job is to let as many people see this letter as possible. So they will make you and me reach!!!! You can even start posting the moment your email is confirmed. Payments will still appear in your PayPal account even while your bank account is being confirmed.

$$

DIRECTIONS ON HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS/MESSAGE BOARDS.

[Step 1]
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your CURSOR at the beginning of this letter and drag your CURSOR to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into your computer's temporary memory.

[Step 2]
Open a blank Notepad file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'Edit' menu select 'Paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your email to the list.

[Step 3]
Save your new Notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different sittings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

[Step 4]
Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for various newsgroups, on-line forums, message boards, bulletin boards, chat sites, discussions, discussion groups, online communities, etc. EXAMPLE: go to any search engine like yahoo.com, google.com, altavista.com, excite.com, then search with subjects like "millionaire message board" or "money making message board" or "opportunity message board" or "money making discussions" or "business bulletin board" or "money making forum" etc. You will find thousands and thousands of message boards. Click them one by one then you will find the option to post a new message.

[Step 5]
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting 'Paste' from the 'Edit' menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll thru the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations! THAT'S IT!! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away. After you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS

AND/OR MESSAGE BOARDS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money within days! **JUST MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPLY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS ON PAYPAL.**

Have fun!

566. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 03:48:46 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <y0IEd.10180$5R.903@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194205

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41e2ac12.12383046@news.easynews.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>
>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>
>
> The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is that if "DX" isn't
> running you wouldn't hear it.

Probably, but if you have a 100 radio's and a third of
them are running their modulation clipped, then you
will hear it even worse, correct?

>
> Splatter or out of bounds emissions are those falling outside the
> normal bandwidth of a signal and are the result of modulation.
>

Correct

> DX doesn't cause splatter it allows it to propgate farther.

Correct. When you have a lot more radio's trying to talk
on one freq, don't you think that it will now increase your
adjacent channel splatter?

567. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 03:48:46 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <y0IEd.10181$5R.3047@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194206

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:0675u095l06j8nbi8s6aicajjh9pv2vslo@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>
>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>
> No, it doesn't. Dx is simply an enhancement of the atmosphere which
> allows a signal to propagate farther then normal line of sight. It
> does not add "splatter" to an otherwise clean signal.

Ah, that explains everything. So when a normal channel
has maybe 5 to 10 operators, add another 100 because of
skip conditions, of course there will be some running
clipped & mod radio's, you don't think that enhances
the splatter?

>
>
>>> So therefore it can be assumed that a roger beep and (even more
>>> definite) an echo box could be considered "entertainment" or
>>> "amusement" devices and, as such, are specifically prohibited.
>>>
>>> You can make the point that the FCC doesn't care enough to make a case
>>> about these things, and I would probably agree with you. But the fact
>>> remains that they are prohibited by the rules.
>>
>>We've gone over this before Dave, your wrong.
>
>
> I have referenced two part 95 rules which address both the issue of
> permissible non-voice transmissions and also prohibited transmissions

> which include devices which are used for entertainment and amusement.
>
> Conversely there are no rules which specifically allow either a roger
> beep (and other noise makers) or echo boxes. Since neither are defined
> under permissible non-voice transmissions, it can reasonably be
> concluded that these devices would be considered amusement or
> entertainment devices, and as such prohibited.
>
> You tell me I'm wrong, then please prove it by providing the rules
> which allow these devices.

If I showed you CB radio's being sold BRAND NEW
with roger beeps, will that do?

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

568. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 03:48:47 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <z0IEd.10182$5R.2786@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194207

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:mv65u0plobrhpaqlc6uq521920o39ghvpc@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:27:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly
>>illegal operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or
>>even admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing
>>more than his opinions, not facts.
>
> So you are of the Twisted notion that a person is not breaking the
> law until they are caught?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Nope. They are not guilty of breaking any law until
a jury of their peers find them guilty with the evidence
given them through the judicial process. Not because
someone says "because they are on that channel,
they must be breaking the law".

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

569. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 06:53:55 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105426435.296156.72290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb, alt.fuck.niggers, alt.flame.niggers, misc.transport.trucking

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > here's a few little clips of my DEElicious weekend!
> > fag-boi raciest ""NIGGERCRUSHER"" should LOVE the first one!!
> >
> > http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/tristen.wmv
> >
> >
> > http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/hayden.wmv
> >
> >
> > http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/kyle.wmv
> >
> >
> > http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/slash.wmv
> >
> >
> > http://grab.nastydollars.com/bf/guys/hunter.wmv
> > Hey lancer....the last one should remind you of our special time!!!
> I truly believe they musta shot those at a keydown.

It WAS NOT at a keydown, you big silly!

It was down the road from the truck stop at a motel. If you think i'm
lying just ask lancer, he was there.
The first one is me and my friend from channel 6!!

570. Re: Check this out.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 06:59:45 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105426785.191187.96760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194209

That pic is me waiting for lancer to change my diaper.

here's the fourm all the cool cb dudes hang out
inhttp://www.chavscum.co.uk/forum/showthread.php?s=7abbe0f967d321990c03448ddf1db240&p=61901#post61901

571. Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 07:05:53 UTC
From: STEVEO <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105427153.163693.138230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194210
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar, rec.radio.cb, alt.flame.niggers

http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/069.jpg

http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/073.jpg

http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/070.jpg
http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/076.jpg

572. Re: FA: Classic TRAM D201 SSB CB with Astatic D104 Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 11:38:05 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <bme7u09979s3cv0jvlhqpcckkp78s7isl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 194211

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:20:29 GMT, Joe
<joencREMOVETHISTOREPLY@mindspring.com> wrote:

>On 4 Jan 2005 22:03:39 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
><keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Probably wont go above 250 bucks.
>
>You're probably wrong.
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5741957670

Wow it seems like another All Kiss Cocks defeat. More so-called cb
stuff sold on the internet. What is the All Kiss Cocks club going to
do? Especially if they actually lost prozac, which would be a crushing
blow.

573. Re: Check this out.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 11:46:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111064611.212$AR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194212

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
> in news:AFFEd.779$RW3.730@fe1.texas.rr.com:
>
> > http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/TOOTHPICK.html
>
> No shit that guys pic is all over the internet and you guys thought it
> was Doug ...LOL I posted a pic of the same guy in the same picture,
> except the one I saved he was sitting in a Phila Flyers diaper.
>
There -is- a striking resemblance. hehe

http://img101.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img101&image=dogieupclosefugly7mn.jpg

574. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 11:53:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <cgf7u09r0kq5d9re6qofe7jdven73mlck1@4ax.com>
Source record: 194213

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:00:52 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>>I stated that there are illegal stations on
>
>>channel 6 based on my own empirical
>
>>observations.
>
>
>No. That is what you added and REstated after your first claim was
>proved bullshit.
>
>>Twist claimed that my statement is "bullshit".
>
>
>And you proved it.
>
>>So if my statement that there are illegal
>
>>operators on channel 6 is invalid,
>
>
>That was not your claim, although you are very desperate to try and sell
>it that way.
>Your claim, whcih you continue to snip, was that all the "evidence" you
>needed was the reputation of channel as the "dregs of the society" to
>know they are illegal. Move on, Dave. Your beck-pedal failed.

As usual, your penchant for misunderstanding the written word is
glaringly apparent.

So eat your crow in peace.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

575. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 11:58:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ejf7u05nvt8skgjvimnpskdhvu8ju0lhos@4ax.com>
Source record: 194214

On 10 Jan 2005 12:31:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 07 Jan 2005 21:39:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> Someone who didn't take crap from idiots? Yea, I'm guilty.
>> >>
>> >I used to like dropping a KW on them with my mark IV. Now it's not
>> >worth the electricity.
>>
>> Browning MK IV? Nice rig, although many people claimed that they had
>> "problems". From what I remember, it was only the first production run
>> that had the problems.
>>
>Yea, I've heard the same thing..mine's never given me any real trouble,
>I've had it moth balled for a while now tho.

It's a real collector's piece. A monument to a time long gone.

>> You know the people for whom I speak of. Maybe you could tell twist,
>> since he obviously doesn't seem to believe me.
>>
>If you're talking about the super bowl (6), I doubt one could do much
>communicating on there without at least a KW..a lesson in Ebonics wouldn't
>hurt either. <g>

Yea, that pretty much covers it. It's a shame that the desire to prove
me wrong at all costs, drives certain people to deny the obvious.

>> BTW, I agree, tweaking idiots used to be fun "back then". But today,
>> it's hardly worth the time.
>>
>Yep, about the only time I use AM anymore is on a trip, ch 19 still comes
>in handy for traffic reports.

I prefer the "quiet" of SSB, but for some reason, the people around
here don't take advantage of it. They all run around with modified ham
rigs or "10 meter rigs" and yet stick to an AM channel. Heck a good
Cobra 29 would work just as well, and cost a lot less.....

>> Sometimes it's more fun tweaking the idiots here........
>>
>There's one I'd like to tweak in person..too bad he's too gutless to

>stand up for himself in person. There will come a day when he won't
>have any choice.

Steve, He's not worth your attention. Like some others on here, the
more you spar with him, the more he comes back for more.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

576. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 12:54:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7uf7u05912hge43neitb31veiasrts3bq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 194215

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:32:19 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 16:48:36 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>>Someday I may clue you in to just how a
>>psychological study of your posting habits was
>>included in someone I know's psychology
>>report on deviant behaviors.

>That would be so rich, if you knew who the hell you were responding,

>>That'll never happen, since you have such a
>>paranoia about remaining anonymous.

>Just can't help yourself,,,lmao.
>Only you are concerned with the personal identity

Your identity is who you are. You said it yourself: "If I only knew
who the hell I was responding too"..... The implication, of course, is
that I would somehow recognize you as someone I either know, or is
someone of some prestige or notoriety, which commands some degree of
respect. But as long as you choose to hide behind your "cartoon
character", we'll never know that, and you remain an anonymous little
twit, who lacks the guts to come clean.

BTW, I'm not the one desperately trying to find out little tidbits of
information about other people on here, like your erroneous claim that
my wife's name was "Kim T. Hall", or who once claimed that you had it
on good authority that I'm a bitter divorced man, or that I was
"abused" by my grandfather at a young age.

For someone who claims to care little about personal information, you
sure make efforts to find it, even if it is wrong. Just another
example of your hypocrisy, and lies.

> of cbers with such a
>fervor. Concerning your self-espoused accomplishments concerning the
>internet, you sure have a cow over following internet safety protocol,
>security experts advice, and basic isp groundrules for the internet.
>Very telling is your dwelling on that of which you are not permitted.
>You're like a spoiled child that keeps being told "no" over and over and
>all he can do is cry about how unfair life is and blame others for his
>misery.

As opposed to you who, when told "no", just do it anyway in disregard
for the laws of society?

>>And such is the double edged sword of
>>remaining anonymous. If you really are
>>someone of worthiness, and respect, well
>>never know it,

>The people you consider "we" in addition to yourself, are in no position
>to judge, despite your self-elevational status to judge, jury, and
>executioner.

Borrowing terms from Frank now? You really are devoid of originality
aren't you?

> Such delusions are what has you so angry over being kept so
>impotent regarding that of which you are continually denied.

If that were true, it would be laughable. The day that I let this
newsgroup rule my life, will be the day I leave. Like the radio, I
control what goes on. I make you speak. When I'm done, I stop, until I
feel the need to slap your sociopathic self around again. But you are
blinded by your own elevated sense of self worth to see that, or be
able to make such a judgement as to who is really "impotent".

You still don't get it. The only time someone is "impotent" is when
they are ignored. You can't control yourself enough to allow that. By
constantly responding to me, you have guaranteed that I am anything
but "impotent".

>> and you remain a newsgroup punch-clown,

>Davie's feelings are hurt again,

You assume that I have feelings to hurt.

>>who spreads lies about other people only to
>>provoke or continue controversy.
>>You are the "Anti" Doug.

>Yes, and you align yourself with him and have defended him on many
>occasion.

Doug is more rational than you are. All that comes from your fingers
is double talk and obfuscation. At least Doug is consistent, even if
warped.

>>It's all part of the fun for me.

>It has been illustrated many times you find "fun" through your lying.

I have not lied about anything. Your statements to the contrary is
not proof, but rather your desperation.

On the other hand, you are the one who lies. My fun is exposing you
for what you are. By attempting to place other people on the

defensive, it keeps the spotlight off of your own transgressions, such as your blatant disregard for federal law, which you claim, on one hand, to respect, yet selectively ignore when it suits you. Another glaring example of your hypocrisy.

>>For the record, I never said that I am a
>>licensed practitioner.

>It hasn't pervented you from thinking of yourself as holding the same
>qualification as such.

How could you possibly know what I think of myself? Once again your narcissistic sense of elevated self worth has propelled you to the role of seer.

>..lol.....but of course you aren't a physician
>Davie, "For the record",,,you simply are not qualified to make
>judgements reserved for physicians only.

Anyone can make informed observations with the proper background. You don't need to be licensed to do it, until you expect to get paid for it.

>Such a candidate would never
>have the need to foster what they think of themselves in an
>unsolicitited and gratuitous manner such as your low self-esteem
>dictates.

More double speak? It is easily observed that you have assigned a much greater worth to what I do, than I, myself, have ever stated. You are the one who lied about my claiming to be a medical professional. You are the one who claimed that I am some sort of master of the internet. I have never made any such claims. The problem is YOU. You lie like a rug.

>>I said that I enjoy
>>studying human psychology from my own
>>curiosity, as a hobby.

>Nevertheless, you have permitted yourself to be reduced to fodder by
>deluding yourself that you are as qualified as a licensed physician. You
>are not.

I am qualified to see the virtually textbook example of Anti-social personality disorder as expressed by your actions here.

(Now is a good time to once again remind us how this is only a "cartoon character", and not who you really are) Uh huh.....

>
>>You respond in a
>>predictable manner, with anticipated
>>responses to carefully presented stimuli.

>No wonder you and N8wwm get along so well. He posts about microchips
>implanted in people with "programmed stimuli" and here you are echoing
>his tripe.

Funny thing, he's right. You can't muster enough self control to not respond to those "programmed stimuli". You are like a moth drawn inexplicably to the flame, which will end up being its demise. That's why we all laugh at you. You are all too transparent and predictable. When I'm done with you, I'll stop posting again. But you can't resist getting in the last word.

Your sociopathic behavior and your disregard for federal law makes you the worst example of person society has to offer. You create controversy and then feed off of the attention of others to bolster your own low self esteem. You do what you want without due concern for the rights of others. In short, you treat others as your own personal litterbox.

>.lmao..you really slip the hell up when you get mad, Davie.
>You're garbage, Dave,,insane hammie garbage that gives the service a
>black eye.

By operating on the freeband, you are neither a CB'er nor a ham. You are nothing short of a lawbreaking radio pirate. So what does that say? Who are you to criticize the operating habits of others when you have a closet full of your own "issues"?

More hypocrisy.

>>Yet, when your picture is presented to you,
>
>
>"MY" picture? I'm not the one, nor is anyone else in this group OR the
>hamme groups, seeing you as qualified as a physician, radio repair
>tech,or anything else your dragging self-esteem needs dictate in order
>to soothe itself...hehe...this is YOUR "picture" Davie.

One does not need to be a "qualified" anything to see the obvious. All one has to do is access the DSM-IV which is available in many places on the internet, and look up APD, and then compare the symptoms with your past behavior (Which is archived for posterity).

The only one in denial is you. But like the old saying: "When you try to tell a nut that he's nuts, he'll swear that you're crazy."

Dave
"Sandbagger"

577. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 12:56:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <u6j7u0pcop8ticqgkqm4l7uvtrddkav458@4ax.com>
Source record: 194216

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:29:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:40:30 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>
>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>>N3CVJ said
>>>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>>>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.
>>
>>It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
>>having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
>>talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
>>severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
>>examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
>>intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
>>signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
>>on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
>>of...taa daaa....skip.
>>
>
>You may not hear anything on the next channel because the signal may
>not be strong enough or because of "selective fading" . Splatter is
>caused by the modulation, it may or may not be intensified by skip.
> But it is not caused by "skip" If you had a constant carrier(no
>modulation), skip or not, you wouldn't have splatter.

He probably thinks that FM won't skip either......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

578. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 12:57:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <t8j7u0h937rmh6qmhnjst1o4l30sh9nl8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 194217

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:40:30 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>N3CVJ said
>>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.

As usual, you're wrong. End of story.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

579. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 12:59:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <lcj7u05p20oiukv97h13ce8odkg59vkm74@4ax.com>
Source record: 194218

On 10 Jan 2005 12:45:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 07 Jan 2005 21:22:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>> >> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall) wrote:
>> >> >So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
>> >>
>> >> >channel and pick out who the most blatant
>> >>
>> >> >illegal operators are simply by the sound of
>> >>
>> >> >their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
>> >>
>> >> When the dx is running strong,
>> >>
>> >Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line is over-driven..even on local
>> >ground wave. That said, there -are- way yonder too many splatter-masters
>> >on 11 meters.
>>
>> That depends on what you mean by "over-driven" Simply bumping up the
>> carrier power, will decrease your modulation, and will eventually
>> result in severe back swing. If it's excessive, the modulation will
>> also sound "fuzzy". At that point, it will be easy to see that it's
>> not a legal CB transmitter. But it may not be splattering all that
>> much.
>>
>> If you bump up modulation to over 100%, then that will cause splatter,
>> which is also easily observed.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>That's not going to happen with my rig. Tune it and forget about it.

It's hard to hurt a quality radio.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

580. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 13:02:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <rdj7u05h8j79tc94psblpat9tmhiqnv6pa@4ax.com>
Source record: 194219

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:43:01 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On 07 Jan 2005 21:22:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>wrote:
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall) wrote:
>So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
>channel and pick out who the most blatant
>illegal operators are simply by the sound of
>their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
>
>>When the dx is running strong,
>_
>Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line is over-driven..even on local
>ground wave. That said, there -are- way yonder too many splatter-masters
>on 11 meters.
>
>>That depends on what you mean by
>
>>"over-driven"
>
>Good Gawdomighty,,,,,,here comes N3CVJ to tell *you* what you meant by
>"over-driven".
>Davie applies his own definitions to words that everyone else has no
>problem comprehending.

When your thought processes (and I use the term loosely) are comprised
of a series of binary thought patterns, where everything is either
black or white, I can see why you might think that. But if you
actually KNEW something, (other than "CB Science") you'd know that the
term "overdriven" has many applications, as illustrated by my earlier
response.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

581. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 13:15:34 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e3d1d1.60945968@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194220

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 07:56:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:29:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:40:30 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>>>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>wrote:
>>>N3CVJ said
>>>>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>>>>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.
>>>
>>>It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
>>>having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
>>>talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
>>>severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
>>>examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
>>>intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
>>>signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
>>>on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
>>>of...taa daaa....skip.
>>>
>>
>>You may not hear anything on the next channel because the signal may
>>not be strong enough or because of "selective fading" . Splatter is
>>caused by the modulation, it may or may not be intensified by skip.
>> But it is not caused by "skip" If you had a constant carrier(no
>>modulation), skip or not, you wouldn't have splatter.
>
>He probably thinks that FM won't skip either......
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Who?

582. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 13:33:42 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e4d214.61013234@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194221

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:46 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:41e2ac12.12383046@news.easynews.com...
>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>>
>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>
>>
>> The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is that if "DX" isn't
>> running you wouldn't hear it.
>
>Probably, but if you have a 100 radio's and a third of
>them are running their modulation clipped, then you
>will hear it even worse, correct?

It would only be worse because now you can hear the 100 radios.

>> Splatter or out of bounds emissions are those falling outside the
>> normal bandwidth of a signal and are the result of modulation.
>>
>
>Correct
>
>> DX doesn't cause splatter it allows it to propgate farther.
>
>Correct. When you have a lot more radio's trying to talk
>on one freq, don't you think that it will now increase your
>adjacent channel splatter?

>

Only because you now can hear more radios. skip doesn't cause splatter.

Take the same 100 radios that were causing splatter when the skip was running (all stations running S9). Now move them all so they are local to you (again all stations running S9). From what you have said you believe the splatter is going to decrease ?

583. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 13:37:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24437-41E3D69F-198@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194222

N3CVJ wrote:
>I tend to believe that if you have nothing to

>hide, you have nothing to fear. I'm a

>conservative.

If you actually believed that bullshit, you would be a nudist.
If someone has nothing to hide, then why would they fear anything? And of course if they do have something to hide, we would want the government to know. After all, this is how the Jews lived in Nazi Germany, the Ukrainians lived in the Soviet Empire, and how the Chinese peasants lived under Emperor Mao.
Of course, Davie's nazi doctrine he adheres to is a well known slogan of oppressive totalitarian regimes throughout history and is fascist, something he confuses with extreme radical conservatism.

"One who would give up essential liberty obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither" B. Franklin

"Dissent is the highest form of patriotism" T. Jefferson

584. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 13:40:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24436-41E3D733-555@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194225

Lancer at rock.com wrote:

>The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is
>that if "DX" isn't running you wouldn't hear it.

Which is what Davie took issue with.

585. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 13:42:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24436-41E3D7C0-556@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194224

Lancer wrote:
>But it is not caused by "skip" If you had a

>constant carrier(no modulation), skip or not,

>you wouldn't have splatter.

Same is said for the harmonics Davie is speaking of.

586. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 13:46:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24437-41E3D8B4-199@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194223

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)

>The Fcc requires no jury of peers. re:part 95

Tell that to N3CVJ and his buddy N8WWM. Davie lobbies hard telling the world that just because the FCC told the world N8WWM was jamming repeaters, it's not evidence of guilt.

587. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 14:02:30 UTC
From: redbudweiser8car@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1105449297.054363.287860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194226
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar, rec.radio.cb, alt.flame.niggers

STEVEO wrote:
> http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/069.jpg
>
> http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/073.jpg
>
> http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/070.jpg
> http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/076.jpg

Its Lee Aanderud and his Brooke Gordon lookalike boyfriend during the
off season.

588. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 14:34:12 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e3e3ab.65515921@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194227

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 00:04:06 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:y0IEd.10181$5R.3047
>@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
>>
>> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>> news:0675u095l06j8nbi8s6aicajjh9pv2vslo@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my
>observations
>>>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>>>
>>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>
>>> No, it doesn't. Dx is simply an enhancement of the atmosphere which
>>> allows a signal to propagate farther then normal line of sight. It
>>> does not add "splatter" to an otherwise clean signal.
>>
>> Ah, that explains everything. So when a normal channel
>> has maybe 5 to 10 operators, add another 100 because of
>> skip conditions, of course there will be some running
>> clipped & mod radio's, you don't think that enhances
>> the splatter?
>
>
>Sure throwing modded and clipped radios on top of each other sure does
>add to the splatter. Big Time, but skip has nothing to do with it, he was
>splattering because his radio puts out a dirty signal. Skip has nothing
>to do with what comes out the ass end of a radio/amp.
>

>

Correct!

589. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 14:47:01 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FFREd.9367$wZ2.5365@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194228

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41e3d1d1.60945968@news.easynews.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 07:56:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:29:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:40:30 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave&#65533; Hall)
>>>>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>>wrote:
>>>>N3CVJ said
>>>>>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>>>>>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.
>>>>
>>>>It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
>>>>having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
>>>>talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
>>>>severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
>>>>examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
>>>>intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
>>>>signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
>>>>on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
>>>>of...taa daaa....skip.
>>>>
>>>
>>>You may not hear anything on the next channel because the signal may
>>>not be strong enough or because of "selective fading" . Splatter is
>>>caused by the modulation, it may or may not be intensified by skip.
>>> But it is not caused by "skip" If you had a constant carrier(no
>>>modulation), skip or not, you wouldn't have splatter.
>>
>>He probably thinks that FM won't skip either.....
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
> Who?

I'm sure he's trying to imply Frank or I, no bigge.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

590. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 14:47:01 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FFREd.9368$wZ2.3240@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194229

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:u6j7u0pcop8ticqgkqm4l7uvtrddkav458@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:29:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:40:30 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>>>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>wrote:
>>>N3CVJ said
>>>>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>>>>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.
>>>
>>>It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
>>>having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
>>>talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
>>>severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
>>>examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
>>>intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
>>>signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
>>>on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
>>>of...taa daaa....skip.
>>>
>>
>>You may not hear anything on the next channel because the signal may
>>not be strong enough or because of "selective fading" . Splatter is
>>caused by the modulation, it may or may not be intensified by skip.
>> But it is not caused by "skip" If you had a constant carrier(no
>>modulation), skip or not, you wouldn't have splatter.
>
> He probably thinks that FM won't skip either......
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

On the contrary Dave, it does too.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

591. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 14:47:01 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FFREd.9370$wZ2.5832@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194230

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24437-41E3D8B4-199@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net...
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>
>>The Fcc requires no jury of peers. re:part 95
>
>
> Tell that to N3CVJ and his buddy N8WWM. Davie lobbies hard telling the
> world that just because the FCC told the world N8WWM was jamming
> repeaters, it's not evidence of guilt.

It's OK Twist, Geo can't ever seem to keep up with
a thread.
Here's what Dave typed:

"So you are of the Twisted notion that a person is not breaking the law
until they are caught?

Dave
"Sandbagger" "

To which I replied correct. Nothing in that statement does it say
breaking "FCC Rules". Geo will never admit to being wrong nor will he ever
admit to me being right, it would ruin his
image of being the #2 troll behind Doug.
Start your sexual innuendoes here Geo ::::::::::::

592. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 14:47:01 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FFREd.9369$wZ2.6224@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194231

```
"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41e4d214.61013234@news.easynews.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:46 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>news:41e2ac12.12383046@news.easynews.com...
>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>>>
>>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>>
>>>
>>> The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is that if "DX" isn't
>>> running you wouldn't hear it.
>>
>>Probably, but if you have a 100 radio's and a third of
>>them are running their modulation clipped, then you
>>will hear it even worse, correct?
>
> It would only be worse because now you can hear the 100 radios.
>
>>> Splatter or out of bounds emissions are those falling outside the
>>> normal bandwidth of a signal and are the result of modulation.
>>>
>>
>>Correct
>>
>>> DX doesn't cause splatter it allows it to propgate farther.
>>
```

>>Correct. When you have a lot more radio's trying to talk
>>on one freq, don't you think that it will now increase your
>>adjacent channel splatter?
>>
>
> Only because you now can hear more radios. skip doesn't cause
> splatter.
>
> Take the same 100 radios that were causing splatter when the skip was
> running (all stations running S9). Now move them all so they are
> local to you (again all stations running S9). From what you have said
> you believe the splatter is going to decrease ?

Well. It has been my experience that when skips
running, you will have more incidents of adjacent
channel splatter than when it's not. I'm sure "Skip"
is not causing it, but it sure does "Heighten" it.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

593. Is CB Dead 4ever?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 14:50:41 UTC
From: jay <americanidol42004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105455041.934526.202550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194232

Just Wondering if CB is completely dead or is it still going on in some places? I know truckers still use them, but when i was a kid in NJ we had all kinds of base stations and mobile. It was actually OVER crowded most nights. Now it seems like things have died off, but is there still places in this country with a thriving radio community? If so what state(s)?

594. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 14:52:04 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <oKREd.9371$wZ2.5230@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194233

```
"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41e3e3ab.65515921@news.easynews.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 00:04:06 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:y0IEd.10181$5R.3047
>>@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>> news:0675u095l06j8nbi8s6aicajjh9pv2vslo@4ax.com...
>>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>>>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my
>>observations
>>>>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>>>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>>>>
>>>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>>
>>>> No, it doesn't. Dx is simply an enhancement of the atmosphere which
>>>> allows a signal to propagate farther then normal line of sight. It
>>>> does not add "splatter" to an otherwise clean signal.
>>>
>>> Ah, that explains everything. So when a normal channel
>>> has maybe 5 to 10 operators, add another 100 because of
>>> skip conditions, of course there will be some running
>>> clipped & mod radio's, you don't think that enhances
>>> the splatter?
>>
>>
>>Sure throwing modded and clipped radios on top of each other sure does
>>add to the splatter. Big Time, but skip has nothing to do with it, he was
>>splattering because his radio puts out a dirty signal. Skip has nothing
```

>>to do with what comes out the ass end of a radio/amp.
>>
>>
>
> Correct!

Sorry, maybe "cause" is the wrong word. Since some
people here are so word sensitive (Not you Lancer) the
better choice of words would be "Heighten".

Landshark

--
Hard things are put in our way,
not to stop us, but to call out our
courage and strength.

595. FA: NEW ITEMS>Pro MIKES, 6146's, Tandberg Mike, 2 much 2 list!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 15:00:53 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111100053.00686.00000065@mb-m24.aol.com>
Source record: 194234

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

596. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 15:52:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4mj7u0h8u55vclse1f6aanrlg6rs86ar54@4ax.com>
Source record: 194235

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:58:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:14:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <r8u4u099tsif1ob09pp4i1rdgqth7gs90t@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>>You obviously know little about the industry of journalism. It's a
>>>>known given to all, but the most liberal people, that the mainstream
>>>>media (with the exception of Fox) leans very much to the left.
>>>
>>>
>>>No, that's not a "given". In fact it's quite the opposite.
>>
>>Not according to some very well written accounts of these operations
>>by people who used to work in them.
>
>
>So some people were slanted one way when they were working and slanted
>the other way when they quit. How credible is that?

As credible as the claim that the mainstream news isn't biased.

>Check this out: In the past 20 years, millions have died in African
>wars and the media barely mentioned it. Yet during the same time
>period the media covered just about every little fistfight in the West
>Band and Gaza. Which way is -that- slanted, Dave?

It is slanted toward the areas of interest to most Americans. It's no
big secret to anyone who's been around the block a few times.

>>> Since the
>>>invention of mass media it has been manipulated by the powers that be,
>>>whether that power is the people, a government, the corporation that
>>>owns the media, or whatever. And there is a very simple and obvious
>>>proof to this: One of the first objectives of an army that invades
>>>another country is to shut down or take over the media.
>>
>>I would think that securing command and control centers would come
>>first.
>
>
>Didn't I say, "ONE of the first objectives [plural]....."?

There can only be ONE first objective. The rest descend from there.
This reminds me of a manager that I once worked for, who assigned job

priorities. When he started assigning several jobs to the "#1
priority", I asked him how he wanted us to prioritize the #1 priority.
He wasn't amused......

>>> This is
>>>because if they don't the media can be used against them by the
>>>defenders, AND the invaders can use the media to their advantage by
>>>discouraging resistance.
>>
>>Lies work both ways.
>
>
>Now you're catching on.

Considering that Saddam Hussein was a regular watcher of CNN, the
military used to give out false information so that the media would
unknowingly give this false info to Saddam, and he would plan
accordingly, and then be surprised when we did something totally
different.

But this exposes just why close media coverage of a war zone is not
really the best course of action. The enemy watches TV too.....

>>>The fact is that there has never been a better source of false
>>>information than the mass media. The only question is where that false
>>>information is coming from. Well, that depends on who controls the
>>>media. In the US the people certainly don't control the media -- it's
>>>controlled by the huge corporations that own it and the government
>>>that regulates it. THAT'S the source of any misinformation you get
>>>from the allegedly "left-biased" or "liberal" media. That's a fact!
>>
>>This is a perfect example of the affirmation of the consequent
>>fallacy. You claim that since the media can been used as a source of
>>false information, that it automatically IS.

>Wrong. The proof is in the pudding. The media has intentionally spread
>misinformation to the public in the past, and there's no reason to
>think that they have stopped and won't do it again.

I'm not disputing that. What I am disputing is the claim that they do
it all the time.

But even so, it only makes second and third sourcing news through many
different venues all that more important, if you want accuracy. But
you need to be aware of the slant that exists in all sources of
"news".

>>>> There
>>>>have been several articles and books written on the subject. Dan
>>>>Rather's latest embarrassing escapades should serve as a beacon of
>>>>illumination to the subject.
>>>
>>>

>>>And you joined him in the hall of shame when you cited that web page
>>>with documents that were also forged.
>>
>>You have solid proof that those documents were forged? Maybe you used
>>empirical observation? Careful here Frank.....
>
>
>I used the same criteria that was used to condemn the CBS documents.
>You can't invalidate one without invalidating both.

You are not skilled in those disciplines enough to make that claim
with any credibility.

>>>>>You mean like the story about Kerry not receiving an honorable
>>>>>discharge until 2001?
>>>>
>>>>Exactly. It's interesting and telling that you would discard that out
>>>>of hand, yet embrace other articles, which share your ideology, with
>>>>no more credibility.
>>>
>>>
>>>Who says I "discarded" it? On the contrary, I proved that your
>>>accusation was not just lame, but also quite ignorant.
>>
>>By doing what? Citing claims from Kerry's own website? Surely you can
>>see the slant there.
>
>
>Those were official military records, not "claims". The only slant was
>in a story intended to misinform people who where either uneducated
>about military records, too lazy to read the records for themselves,
>or too willing to accept the story without scrutiny. So which category
>did you trip into, Dave?

I'm of the thought that those who have nothing to hide have nothing to
fear. He's holding back records. Why? Perhaps the answers to those
questions are contained within them.

>>><snip>
>>>>Hmmm.. Who watches the watchers?
>>>
>>>
>>>People who, unlike yourself, have enough ambition to verify the facts
>>>for themselves.

Most of the time, the only verification you can find are other sources
which are just as credible (or not) as the original source. Then what
have you proved?

>
>>The problem is that what you consider as "fact" is often little more
>>than a different, but equally questionable, source.

>You are still unable to differentiate fact from an opinion......

No, I just have a tighter standard for what I consider as "fact".

>A 'fact' is undisputed and/or undisputable. For example, the validity
>of Kerry's military records is undisputed.

Did you see the original documents? Did you hold them in your hand? If
not, how can you claim they are "indisputable"?

> But an 'opinion' can, and
>usually is, disputed. Staying with the same example, it is someone's
>opinion that Kerry did not receive an honorable discharge until 2001.
>So the validity of the opinion is measured by weighing it against the
>facts. The facts are that Kerry's military service was characterized
>as honorable, and that he did indeed receive an "Honorable Discharge
>Certificate" upon completion of his military obligation in 1978. So
>the opinion is in contradiction to the facts and is therefore bogus.

Assuming what you saw was not bogus.

>
>Now if you are going to dispute the source of the documents then you
>are either accusing the Pentagon of forgery or accusing the military
>of inappropriate conduct.

Or the Kerry people who displayed them.

>Regardless, the allegation requires that the
>documents be both true and false at the same time. For example, if the
>DD-215 of 2001 was indeed Kerry's final discharge as claimed by your
>opinion, then the document must be false because it contains no such
>statement. And if the document is false then the claim that it marks
>the date of his final discharge is no longer valid. So -that- opinion
>is bogus.
>
>Is the fog beginning to clear yet?

Your logic is sound, if each premise is true. THAT truth is what I
dispute.

><snip>
>>>LOL! Conflict of interest is a simple concept. Are you telling me that
>>>you don't understand it?
>>
>>I understand that a public elected official is supposed to be able to
>>remain impartial. To suggest otherwise is an accusation of
>>impropriety, and without evidence to suggest the presence of
>>impropriety, then the elected people should be allowed to do the jobs
>>that we elect them to do. There are many cases where elected officials
>>come across situations where there may be a conflict of interests.
>>Should that official recuse himself every time this happens?
>
>

>That's the generally accepted practice, and it's also the law in most
>states. It's done all the time in the courts. Judges often recuse
>themselves from a case because of some personal or business
>relationship with one of the parties. It's done to -prevent- any
>allegations of partiality. In Ohio there was a judge who refused to
>recuse himself from the contest hearings despite the fact that his own
>election could have been affected by his decision. That's a conflict
>of interests, and no doubt that decision will affect his career in the
>future.
>
>Also, there -is- evidence of impropriety in the Ohio election, as well
>as the recount and the contest.

Well then have an independent agency audit the results.

><snip>
>>>Yet another simple concept that you don't understand. Suspicion
>>>naturally falls on the person or persons who benefited from the
>>>problems. Kinda like some guy's wife is murdered right after he took
>>>out a huge insurance policy and he doesn't have an alibi. This
>>>warrants a justifiable suspicion (unless, of course, you are the guy
>>>being implicated, then you make up your own justifications).
>>
>>But you are automatically assuming that those "illegal" ballots were
>>done for the benefit of Republicans. That is a poor assumption to
>>make.
>
>
>Not when the vast majority of those illegal ballots DID benefit the
>Republicans.

How do you know that? Have you a factual determination of which
ballots were illegal, and of them, which ones were for republicans?

><snip>
>>>>You assume that any extra votes mean that the were Bush plants. What
>>>>if they were Kerry plants?
>>>
>>>
>>>What if you are ignoring the fact that there were more votes than
>>>voters?
>>
>>I'm not, but you seem to think that any fraud is automatically the
>>fault of, and benefitted republicans.
>
>
>Not automatically. The fact is that the vast majority of the illegal
>ballots favored the Republicans.

According to what data?

>If you think that Democrats committed
>voting fraud in order to benefit the Republican candidates then that's
>your problem.

No, they committed fraud to help democratic candidates. Only it wasn't
enough and Bush still won.

><snip>
>>>>Yes, the overall articles are facts, but the underlying reasons and
>>>>the suspicions generated or implied are the result of bias.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ok, here are the facts:
>>>
>>>First, it's statistically impossible that all the errors were
>>>accidental.
>>
>>It's statistically IMPROBABLE, not impossible.
>
>
>Statistical probability sometimes reaches a point so low that it can
>safely be assumed to be an impossibility. Such is the case with the
>Ohio election statistics.
>
>
>>>Second, the errors that resulted in extra votes were in predominantly
>>>Bush areas.
>>
>>But that does not mean that those extra votes were for Bush. It's
>>equally likely that Kerry operatives planted extra votes in those
>>heavy Bush areas to make the vote look more "balanced", and therefore
>>less suspicious.
>
>
>The recount was requested to determine if that was a possibility, but
>Blackwell didn't allow recount sampling in any suspected areas. Hence
>the contest.

Right! So for the time being you don't KNOW for sure if the illegal
ballots benefitted republicans. Any conclusions that you may now hold
is based on pure speculation.

>>>Third, the errors that resulted in supressed votes were almost always
>>>in districts that favored Kerry.
>>
>>And those districts were run by democrats. So what does that say?
>
>
>Wrong. Most of them were run by Republicans. Regardless, the statewide
>election was run by Blackwell. It was his office that controlled the
>tabulation and certified the impossible results. "So what does that
>say?"

>

Not according to my sources. The largest metro areas, where people
complained about the lack of machines, were also the places where
democrats ran the show. It stands to reason that democrats have their
greatest power in metro areas.

>>>Those are the facts.
>>
>>You are still wording the facts as to imply a conclusion which is not
>>supported by the known evidence.
>
>
>The facts imply their own conclusion.

If your neighbor is killed and they find the murder weapon under the
front seat of your locked car, what do those facts imply? Of course if
another fact comes to light that the real killer stashed the weapon in
your car to frame you, then the picture changes.

That's the danger of allowing incomplete data, no matter how factual,
to form conclusions, before all valid possibilities are explored.

>If you think you can word them
>in a way that is any more objective then go for it. Otherwise, your
>opinions don't negate the facts.

The facts are incomplete. And what fills in the gaps is pure
speculation.

>>> Now it doesn't take a rocket surgeon to reach the
>>>conclusion that there was voting fraud perpetrated by Bush and/or his
>>>supporters.
>>
>>Only if you deny that "the other side" is equally capable and has a
>>history of doing it as well.
>
>
>Nobody is denying anything of the sort, and such a denial isn't
>required to reach the conclusion. But you -could- say that the
>Republicans were more effective at rigging the Ohio election than the
>Democrats and I wouldn't have any problem with that.

Or that the democrats simply weren't effective enough.

>>> And neither do you need to be a genius to figure out who
>>>perpetrated this fraud, since many of the decisions that resulted in
>>>these errors were made by Blackwell (co-chair of Ohio's Bush/Cheney
>>>campaign -- remember "conflict of interest"?).
>>>
>>>Can you put the puzzle together yet? How much simpler can I make it
>>>for you?
>>

>>But it's still speculation. It's another affirmation of the consequent
>>logical fallacy to arrive at a single conclusion without considering other
>>possibilities.

>First, you need to brush up on your logic.

Not at all. Perhaps you would like to look it up.

> Second, it's a reasonable
>conclusion based on factual premeses.

No matter how "reasonable" it may be (And the term reasonable is
relative and open to speculation), as long as there are other
possibilities which could make the logical statement true, you cannot
responsibly make the claim one way or the other

> Third, if you reach a conclusion
>based on improbable scenarios (such as a conspiricy to cover up
>Kerry's military record) then the conclusion is, likewise, just as
>improbable. So have you spotted any UFOs lately?

Yea, they're hovering over Tampa.

>>>>Liberalism is destined to fail, as nothing positive has even come from
>>>>a socialistic paradigm. I just don't want to see this country dragged
>>>>through the muck to before they realize it.
>>>
>>>
>>>You are confusing liberalism with socialism. They are not the same.
>>>Far from it.
>>
>>If you take the line of political idealogy, and start at the middle,
>>as you head further right, you become more conservative. At the
>>extreme end of the "right wing", is fascism. Now take the same journey
>>toward the liberal left, and when you reach the extreme " left wing",
>>you have socialism and finally anarchy.
>>
>>Perhaps you need a refresher course in political ideologies.
>>
>>Here's an interesting link:
>>
>>http://www.oregonvos.net/~jflory/205/ide_over.htm
>
>
>Interesting, yes. It's also interesting how Rokeach's quantification
>of political ideology (assuming it can be done) differs from your own.

Not at all. It's pretty much in line.

>At this point it's clear that you are quite confused and are seeking
>simplistic explanations for complex issues.

Complex issues can be simplified to a degree with only a small loss of granularity. Not too much different in theory from digital audio compression.

>There is no "line" or
>chart you can draw to describe a political ideology.

No, but you can compare the relative aspects of the different ideologies and place them on a line (or as the site shows) a two dimensional dual line.

> Go to the library
>and check out the vast amount of material on politics.

Written, of course, by people who maybe have their own slant. You have to do a lot of reading to be able to form a barometer to judge. The problem is that the definitions of terms like "liberal" have changed over the years. I would be classified as a liberal by the oldest definition of the term. But by today's generally accepted political definitions, it almost the opposite.

> Then look in
>the history section and try to count all the different kinds of
>governments that have existed since the beginning of recorded history.
>Yet you think you can sum it all up with something as simple as a
>line?

You can if you take the main points and catergorize them. You can complain that this may destroy some of the individual subtleties, but that's how it is done. You need to take this up with the people who do this. I'm only one who reads with interest.

>The difference between a liberal and a conservative is that the
>liberal wants things to change and the conservative wants things to
>stay the same.

That is overly simplistic and also somewhat obsolete in today's political climate. But the essence is true. The problem is the term change and what those changes involve and the question of whether those changes are good. Change for change sake is not a valid reason to push for change. New is not always better. Old is not always obsolete.

> I don't know how you came up with your extrapolations
>to socialism, anarchy and facism, but I can make a guess that it
>probably -didn't- come from a source of any authority or education.

Based on what evidence? You saw the line from the site that I gave. Did it not illustrate the same thing as what I stated? If you want, I can dig up a bunch more.

>
>The fact is that the government incorporates many aspects of just
>about every political ideology. For example, our medical and
>educational systems are partially socialized; the infrastructure is

>run under a mostly collectivistic system; the military works best
>under fascism; etc, etc.

You are right about one thing, the medical system IS partially
socialized, on the demand side. One of the main reasons why costs are
skyrocketing is due to socializing the demand side while allowing the
free market to control the supply side. The two don't mix.

The failure of public schools can also be somewhat attributed to the
socialized structure of the teachers. There is no motivation to
"better" oneself, once "tenure" is obtained. The school boards are
more concerned with self preservation than they are with education.
That's why I support private vouchers.

>So for you to sit there and slap everyone with your simplistic labels
>does nothing but demonstrate your ignorance.

There is not enough bandwidth and I don't have enough time to get into
a deep multi-faceted discussion of the nuances of political
ideologies. It's also outside the subject matter of this newsgroup. So
simple will have to suffice for now.

>>>Which were later verified and the total favored Gregoire, which begs
>>>the question: were they were lost accidently or intentionally? If they
>>>were lost intentionally I don't think the Democrats were responsible.
>>
>>Why not? You assume that these votes were originally lost and then
>>found. They could have also been planted.
>
>
>I don't think so.

Key word: "think"

>The press contacted some of the people whose ballots
>were lost, people who voted for both parties, and they were all quite
>pissed off.

As they should be.

> And I doubt that you heard enough of the facts surrounding
>the incident to reach that conclusion.

It IS a distinct possibility especially when you consider the past
history of these practices.

> But if you prefer to believe
>the less likely scenario that the votes were planted then that's your
>right:

Keeping an open mind is less preferable to jumping to conclusions?

>>>>>The rules were followed and Gregoire won. Now the Republicans are
>>>>>whining up a storm and begging for a second election. Also, there have

>>>>>been allegations that King County counted unconfirmed provisional
>>>>>ballots. If that's true then it would be foolish for Rossi -not- to
>>>>>contest the election. So far he hasn't.
>>>>
>>>>Usually republicans are not as baby-ish when it comes to conceding a
>>>>close election.
>>>
>>>
>>>ROTFLMMFAO! That's a good one, Dave! It's clear you didn't see Rossi's
>>>press conference where he begged the public to ask for a second
>>>election. It was pathetic! Now he's contesting the election -not-
>>>because of fraud, but because he says the system didn't work in his
>>>favor..... what a weiner!
>>
>>Your's right, I didn't see it. It doesn't sound very typical of
>>republicans.
>
>
>It sounds typical of any Democrat -or- Republican that can't accept
>defeat.

Like Al Gore?

> They are now all over the TV begging the public to initiate a
>revote. Check this out: www.revotewa.com The reason for it is bogus:
>If there election was flawed then the fault was with the system; so
>until those faults are corrected there can be no valid revote. The
>petition is nothing more than an request to hold another flawed
>election in hopes that the result will favor Rossi.

I can't argue with that logic. You are correct. But I suspect they
will claim to have "fixed" many of the problems.

>But I do agree with the private contest that the results are invalid
>because they are within the margin of error. If that holds up in court
>then the legislature should hold an emergency session to establish
>some uniform ballot rules and -then- hold a second election.

That sounds like the reasonable course of action.

>>>>>But overall, Washington seems to be accomplishing what Ohio, Florida,
>>>>>New Mexico and several other states cannot: conduct an election with a
>>>>>transparent process.
>>>>
>>>>The only thing transparent are the operations of those trying to stuff
>>>>the ballot box with enough extra votes to overturn an election
>>>
>>>
>>>Where did that happen, Dave? In Washington? Cite your source.
>>
>>It's a possibility you refuse to consider. Voter fraud has been here
>>for many decades and has been used by both sides.

>
>
>You didn't answer the question, Dave. Let's try it again: Were ballot
>boxes stuffed in Washington?

There would seem to be some evidence to suggest that, since the vote
count was higher than the number of registered voters.

>>>And to return from your spin, how about answering the question: Are
>>>you suggesting that a 124% voter turnout is just an "editorial
>>>opinion"?
>>
>>I'm suggesting that you shouldn't automatically assume that the
>>republicans were the major perpetrators in the fraud.
>
>
>I don't -automatically assume- any such thing. I came to that
>conclusion because the voting statistics demonstrate a very high
>probability that the extra votes favor Bush.

Because he won?

>And you -still- didn't answer the question: Do you think 124% voter
>turnout is just an "editorial opinion"?

It's suggestive of fraud.

>>There was two forms of fraud occurring. Fraudulent voting, and
>>fraudulent counting.
>
>
>Agreed.
>
>
>> One was trying to cancel the effects of the
>>other.
>
>
>Cite your source.

Past experience with political machines. I'm not stating it as a
matter of fact, only as a distinct possibility.

>>>The only people who -wouldn't- be concerned about voting
>>>fraud are facists. Are you a facist, Dave? (And notice that I didn't
>>>slap you with the label -- I have enough respect to ask first!)
>>
>>Are you denying that you are a liberal?
>
>
>I'm not a liberal -- I'm an American.

Cop out answer. Actually, I expected you to claim to be a "moderate".

I'll take that as a affirmation of my original suspicion. What is it
with some of you liberals? So many seem to be ashamed to admit what
you feel, as if the term liberal is like holy water to a vampire. I'm
PROUD to be a conservative. I know some liberals who are also proud of
their affiliation. So why are so many other so reluctant to admit it?

>> And no, I'm not a fascist,
>>simply a conservative.
>
>
>Thanks for the clarification. From now on I will use the label you
>have placed upon yourself.

I am not ashamed to be a conservative.

>>><snip>
>>>>How does that proverb go..... "Never put off until tomorrow what you
>>>>can do today". They tried to repair the faults of the 2000 election
>>>>with band-aids and it didn't work; the wound got bigger. If we don't
>>>>fix it now the same problems will be bigger and more widespread in
>>>>2008, or perhaps even sooner.
>>>>
>>>>Why didn't they fix it in say, ohhhh, 1944?
>>>
>>>
>>>Try 1876.
>>
>>Whatever works for you. I just picked a date when the big "political
>>machines" were coming of age.
>
>
>Try 1876.

Like I said, whatever works for you. But the original question stands.
Why wasn't it fixed in 1876? The answer would seem to be that they
just weren't interested enough, or plainly didn't want to.

>>>You mean the records that say his other records (the records that
>>>-were- released) are all phoney? Or maybe you are referring to his
>>>medical records? The man was an officer in a war zone, so he must have
>>>had a security clearance -- should he release classified documents
>>>that are part of his military record?
>>
>>You are making an assumption again. You assume that this missing
>>records have some sort of security issue.
>
>
>And you assume that they have some sort of sinister information that
>could implicate him as a communist spy or something worse. What's the
>difference between the two assumptions? One is reasonable, the other
>is paranoid fantasy.

And why would you think that? They are BOTH reasonable. If in fact it
was a security issue, as you assume, he would have claimed such.

>> That may not be the case.
>>They may also contain actions which might paint the good senator in a
>>not so favorable light.
>
>
>NASA may be withholding photos that show alien habitation on Mars, the
>CIA may have flying saucers in Area 51, and George H. W. Bush may be a
>hermaphrodite. All three allegations have some foundation in fact:
>NASA withheld some photos from Mars probes, UFOs have been seen at
>Area 51, and Bush Sr. giggles like a girl. So what foundation do you
>have for -your- allegation?

If you have nothing to hide, then why hide?

You're getting silly now.

>>>Just what do you think is on those documents? Now before you stick
>>>your foot in your mouth and answer that, remember that you don't have
>>>those records and therefore have no evidence, empirical or not, as to
>>>their nature.
>>
>>Neither do you. But I tend to believe
>
>
>.....uh oh
>
>
>> that if you have nothing to
>>hide, you have nothing to fear.
>
>
>Then post your SSN to this newsgroup.

There's no need for me to do that.

>> The fact that he refused to release
>>his FULL records, especially after Bush was forced to release his,
>
>
>Cite your source that says; a) Bush released -all- his records, and b)
>he was -forced- to release all his records.

Any news source from the time.

>>suggests a suspicious motive. If there was a security aspect to them,
>>then he could just say that, but he didn't.
>
>
>People lie, right? If there was something sinister in those records he
>could have simply said that the subject matter was a security risk, or
>that they were medical records. You still haven't provided any reason

>to believe that those records were in any way incriminating.

A lie by omission.

>
>
>>>So the only clue you have as to what they are (other
>>>than the obvious, as I stated above) is purely conjecture. Ok, feel
>>>free to answer the question -- let 'er rip!
>>
>>The fact that they remain sealed says more.
>
>
>The fact that they remain sealed says nothing more than that they
>remain sealed. You don't know what's in those records any more than I
>do. Yet you choose to speculate that they contain some damning and
>incriminating information. On what do you base your speculation, Dave?

The why hide them, especially after the public outcry that Bush
release his FULL records (After which there was STILL speculation that
he was withholding some of them)

>Your own "belief"? Are you a proponent of "faith-based justice"? So if
>someone goes to court for a crime, the burden of proof no longer rests
>with the prosecution? Proof of guilt is decided by a jury based only
>on the allegation and the silence of the defendant? Guilty until
>proven innocent? Have you never heard of the 5th Amendment? Or is that
>another one of those "liberal" concepts that leaves a bad taste in
>your mouth?

You twist the facts almost as well as twisty.
I don't need a jury verdict to hold a belief. Neither do you. Should I
call you a fascist because you want to believe that republicans
committed fraud before all the facts are known?

><snip>
>>>Unless you have a time machine and can travel back to correct any
>>>occurance where it -did- make a difference, the question is moot:
>>
>>The same thing can be said for this past election. It doesn't matter
>>if it was 2 months ago, or two decades ago.
>
>
>Well, yes it does because the issue is still fresh and the direct
>results can still be rectified if needed.

You could make the same statement after every election. And the beat
goes on.......

>>>The issue is happening NOW and can be addressed NOW.
>>
>>That's a far different statement than the one where you claimed that
>>fraud is more rampant today than ever before. A statement that you

>>have no factual information to back up.
>
>
>You got me there, Dave. Now, how about providing some facts to back up
>-your- accusations and speculations? If you can't then I would expect
>you to do as I did and concede any such issue you can't back up with
>facts. Can you do that?

Sure. But it's fun considering the possibilities.

><snip>
>>>>But you do live in the U.S. Mayor Daily epitomized the democratic
>>>>"machine" that exists in many large cities. The fact that most cities
>>>>vote heavily democratic may have much more than voter "demographics"
>>>>to attribute to it.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ok, so how does his opinion justify voting fraud in Ohio?
>>
>>There is no "justification", only the notion that fraud has happened
>>before,
>
>
>A fact I never denied.

Past performance sets a precedent.

>> and that the old metropolitan democratic political machines
>>pretty much wrote the book on it. So they are hardly above reproach on
>>this issue.
>
>
>Voting fraud has been around a lot longer than the Democratic party,
>Dave. In fact, it's been around almost as long as elections!

I'm sure the Romans had their issues. But then they executed their
criminals on the spot.

>>Ohio is under the microscope now, for much the same reason as Florida
>>was 4 years ago. There are 49 other states, which may have had an even
>>greater degree of fraud than what is alleged in Ohio. But what should
>>be plainly obvious is that the whole thrust of this Ohio fraud issue
>>is not to correct the problems in fraud, but to give the liberals
>>another reason to claim that Bush didn't actually WIN the election.
>
>
>What it will do is push for uniform voting standards, laws prohibiting
>conflict of interests for voting officials, better accountability for
>tabulation errors, etc, etc. IOW, a more fair and equitable election
>system that's less suseptible to fraud. Is that such a bad thing?

No, it would be a GREAT thing. But you have to acknowledge the likely motivating force, no matter what potential benefit arises from it.

>>There may be (and likely is) cases of fraud in large metro areas in
>>states like New York and Pa., which favored the democrats. If someone
>>were motivated to dig deep enough, it MAY be found that Bush could
>>have won in Pa. (Which would make Ohio a moot point) as well.
>
>
>The only problem with that scenario is that the state's voting records
>have already been examined and indicate nothing on the scale that's
>found in Ohio.

Because no one has forced a recount. The margin of victory was not small enough to trigger an automatic recount, and no one from the republican side (Why would they, they won the overall election) wanted to force the issue.

> It's true that many people are trying to make this a
>Bush/Kerry issue, but I'm not. You can keep claiming I am, but like I
>said before, claims don't suddenly become true just because you repeat
>them enough times.

Your motivations may be more honorable than the people who are screaming the loudest, but it doesn't deny the underlying reason for all the hoopla. Otherwise why not in Pa.?

>> If
>>identifying and solving voter fraud were the true motivational factor,
>>then ALL states (at least the ones with close percentages) should be
>>subjected to some scrutiny.
>
>
>Who said they -weren't- subjected to scrutiny? They were. You can bet
>that analysts have crunched the numbers from -all- the states if for
>no other reason than to work the demographics for the next election.

Without a recount, then how valid are those numbers? How would this be any different than what's happening in Ohio?

>> As I said before, the percentage of
>>victory for Kerry in Pa. was less than Bush's victory in Ohio. I stood
>>in line for almost 3 hours to vote and I didn't find on person who was
>>voting for Kerry, out of the people we "informally" polled (You get
>>bored when you stand in line for that long).
>
>
>So you have your own version of an "exit poll". Congratulations. So
>why should I accept -your- exit poll data as fact and ignore the
>official exit poll data that CNN refuses to release? Oh, that's right,
>you have some sort of sixth sense regarding the truth, huh?

I personally witnessed my "exit poll", therefore I know it to be fact. At least with regard to my district. The point is that with so many

republican suburbanites turning out in record numbers (hence my 3 hour
wait), it becomes harder to consider the almost total reversal in the
large cities.

>> The breakdown of a county
>>by county vote in Pa., shows that the vast majority of the state was
>>red, with the exception of Philadelphia, which was radically blue
>>(80%), Pittsburgh, and around Erie county. Is it possible that those
>>heavily democratic places might have committed some sort of fraud as
>>well? Indeed there were stories of some Phila machines being "loaded"
>>with votes before the polls even opened. They explained that away as a
>>simple "use" counter, but it was suspicious all the same.
>>
>>Why aren't you calling for an investigation in those places, if you
>>are truly interested in tracking down and correcting voter fraud?
>
>
>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/969
>
>The reason you hear most about Ohio (but not from the "liberal" media)
>is because most Bush supporters are content with the results of the
>election, fraud or not. Does that make sense to you?

Very much. Thank you for making my point for me. The democrats are
obviously not interested in exposing their own dirty deeds, and the
republicans are content with the overall results of the election, so
there is no motivation in those other states. The fact that it is
happening with such zeal in Ohio is that it is being driven by "sour
grapes" politics, under the guise of "concern" for voter fraud.

><snip>
>>>>I also favor requiring all voters to show an I.D. which includes their
>>>>voting precinct.
>>>
>>>
>>>I agree 100%. How about simply registering everyone over 18 by their
>>>SSN? And making their votes accessible after the election to see for
>>>themselves that their vote was counted (instead of lost, changed by a
>>>machine, or "enhanced" by an election worker)?
>>
>>I love the idea, and in fact, have suggested the exact same thing in
>>the past.
>
>
>Excellent! We have found some common ground!
>
>
>> The problem
>
>
>.....oh no

>
>
>> is that many people are real paranoid about
>>using their SSN for things like voting, and start thinking "big
>>brother" thoughts. For whatever reason, people think that a national
>>I.D. is somehow an invasion of privacy. It's the Twisty syndrome of
>>feeling the need to hide behind some form of relative anonymity.
>
>
>I'll buy that. If people want to stand up and be counted they
>shouldn't be afraid to stand up and be counted.

Thank you. We have indeed found some common ground. But those
paranoias that I spoke of, are the main reasons why something so
painfully simple isn't being implemented. Curiously though, it seems
to be the city democrats who howl the loudest about this. They pitched
a fit when they heard that some precincts were asking for I.D. and
started accusing (or course) repulicans of fostering
"disenfranchisement".

><snip>
>>>>>The key phrase is "days past". Those problems have been addressed,
>>>>>have they not?
>>>>
>>>>I cannot make that statement. I have read stories of party workers
>>>>caught with ballots leaving a prison in Pa. I doubt if these issues
>>>>have been truly "addressed". It may have been covered over a bit more
>>>>effectively, but I believe that they're still there.
>>>
>>>
>>>Possibly. The question is to what extent.
>>
>>We may never know the answer to that. The only thing we can do is
>>reduce the chance that it can happen in the future.
>
>
>Again, we find common ground.

It's not hard. While we may never see politics in the same way, we
both want elections to be fair. Otherwise why bother?

>>>>>We have -new- problems that need to be addressed, such
>>>>>as a corporation that wrote the software for the voting machines and
>>>>>whose CEO promised to deliver the state's electoral votes to Bush;
>>>>
>>>>Hearsay. There's no proof that any such "promise" ever occured.
>>>
>>>
>>>Once again you didn't bother to verify the facts for yourself before
>>>spouting off your big mouth. I did a search on O'Dell's quote and got
>>>over 15,000 hits. Here's just one:

>>>
>>>http://www.commondreams.org/headlines03/0828-08.htm
>>
>>I read it, but that still doesn't prove that O'Dell actually made that
>>statement in the context that he would use his company's voting
>>machines as a vehicle to that goal. It only states that the statement
>>was made in a fund rasing letter. So are you of the opinion that CEO's
>>should not promote any party affiliation?
>
>
>As if he would publically state, "I intend to manipulate the
>tabulation of votes with software so it favors Bush". Revisit
>"conflict of interest". The machines and software should be available
>for inspection, but the judge (the one who refused to recuse himself)
>would not allow it.

The software should be available for audit. That is only fair. Once
again, when things are hidden, it implies that there is something
(usually not good) to hide.

>>>It was those same Democrats that lodged the complaints! They were told
>>>a variety of different lies about how the machines were apportioned,
>>>which is one of the issues Blackwell was supposed to address in the
>>>deposition he evaded.
>>
>>How do you know they were lies? The fact remains that in those heavily
>>democratic voting precincts, the distribution of voting machines was
>>controlled by the democrats, so they have no one but themselves to
>>blame if they short changed their constituents.
>
>
>Wrong:
>
>http://freepress.org/departments/display/19/2004/917
>
>That's just one example. There were plenty more.

I'd like to see something from something other than an obviously
slanted news source. They have their own agenda, and I don't trust
what they say to be 100% factually accurate.

I've read other sources that claimed that the inner city election
boards were run by democrats (I don't pull these things out of my
butt) which makes sense knowing the political demographics of the
typical cities.

>Are you ever going to
>read these articles? Or would you rather make me post them one by one
>as you continue to make inaccurate statements?

I question the bias of your "source", so it's a moot point to continue
to cite them.

>>
>>They are related in that liberals are only lighter versions of
>>socialists. At least as defined by today's terms. Read the link I
>>provided, and do some searching for yourself.
>
>
>I read the link and responed appropriately. I invoke that same
>response here.
>
>
>>It's a fact that democrats are more known for increasing taxes and
>>increasing "safety net" social programs. This in nothing more than
>>redistribution of wealth.
>
>
>Many of those "safety net" social programs are not only necessary, but
>designed to prevent more costly problems in the future.

That is a matter of much debate. This country got by without most of
them for a the first 150+ years, there's no reason to think we need
them now.

>Such as AIDS,

Keep it in your pants. No problem.

>smoking,

Don't smoke. Plain and simple.

>and other health information campaigns designed to take the
>burden away from Social Security and other medical programs that end
>up flipping the bill.

If we change it so that these programs no longer pay the bill then the
problem is solved.

>And you seem somewhat biased in your accusations: After all, it was
>Clinton who instituted some overdue welfare changes such as work
>initiative programs.

Only after it became clear that with a republican majority in
congress, which BTW, had been championing welfare reform for years,
that Clinton had better go with the flow. Clinton is a man with a
large ego, and he was much more concerned with his legacy, and he
learned to "play ball". If you look at his terms, he became much more
moderate after the republicans took over congress.

>OTOH, Bush is responsible for that drug discount
>card fiasco which is basically just government subsidation of drug
>companies at the expense of the elderly, while at the same time he has
>cut vet benefits to the point where they are almost nonexistent.

Being both a fiscal and social conservative, I found that Bush's
example of kow-towing to liberal democratic issues (For obvious

political reasons) to be irresponsible and deplorable. We will never
lower medical costs as long as someone continues to subsidize the
demand side without controlling the supply side costs.

> So if
>there's any redistribution of wealth being done it's done by both
>sides. Which side you favor depends on who gets the wealth -- you, or
>someone who needs it.

I want to keep what I earn. Plain and simple.

>>><snip>
>>>>Ok, I see how this works..... the past is relevent only when it favors
>>>>your argument, such as previous accounts of voting fraud. Right?
>>>>
>>>>No, it's only relevant when it's relevant , such as past record of
>>>>voting fraud suggests that it was a problem for far longer than some
>>>>of you realize. I have never read anything on this group of you
>>>>defending Bush.
>>>
>>>
>>>Twisty has. If you didn't then you weren't paying attention.
>>
>>Then prove me wrong and provide the thread.
>
>
>Here's one. There are plenty more:
>
>http://tinyurl.com/4c37f

Uh, Frank, Unless I'm blind, there is no spot in that thread where you
defended Bush other than listing other administrations which were
"guilty" of similar "atrocities" that simple minded people like Twisty
think just started up with GWB. I guess you could claim that by doing
that, you somehow "validate" Bush's actions. But it's a weak
endorsement.

>
>>>>>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/sci.physics/msg/c29696c828d91e22?dmode=source
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>As I suspected, that post was not made here, so how could you expect
>>>>me to ever have seen it?
>>>
>>>
>>>You said, "Don't even try to tell me that you favored Bush, because
>>>that would be a lie". You jumped to a faulty conclusion based on your
>>>other faulty conclusion that I'm a liberal.
>>
>>Are you denying that you are a liberal?
>
>

>Bad spin, Dave. You will begin to heal only after you learn to admit
>your mistakes.

I haven't made one. You have yet to deny that you are a liberal.

>>> And don't squirm out of it
>>>by saying I didn't voice my support in -this- newsgroup because I did,
>>>as Twisty can attest.
>>
>>Since when is Twisty your new advocate? The same nitwit that you, I
>>and others have spent the last several years alternately slamming into
>>the logic wall, and ridiculing for the fun of it, his outrageous
>>stances? Now he's your bastion of credibility? I would have thought
>>better of you Frank.
>
>
>Common cause. I still don't agree with his position regarding illegal
>radio, but we have agreed to suspend those disagreements pending a
>resolution to a much more immediate and important issue: Bush and the
>Vulcans.

You should pick better allies. He couldn't find a clue if it was
stapled to his forehead.

><snip>
>>>>If you make a post in another of several thousand other newsgroups,
>>>>how can you in all fairness expect the people HERE to know about it?
>>>
>>>
>>>Very good point. And with that truth in mind, how can you "in all
>>>fairness" say that I lied when I claimed to have supported Bush in the
>>>past?
>>
>>Because, I would have thought you understood that we are talking
>>within THIS venue. No one should be expected to know what goes on
>>outside of this group.
>
>
>But I -did- voice my support of Bush in this venue, as I cited in the
>link above.

That was hardly a glowing endorsement. You were more concerned with
slamming twisty's paranoia (A fun game BTW), than in giving Bush the
thumbs up with his politics.

> Are you now going to try and escape your error by saying
>the post wasn't directed at you, or that you were on vacation, or some
>other lame excuse? You didn't check your facts before you ran your
>mouth. That's -your- fault, Dave. Not mine.

You need to post something a little more substantive. I didn't see a
defense of Bush as much as I saw a counter of twisty's allegations.

But interestingly enough, you have turned a 180 and are now condemning
the same guy you claimed to have "defended", and are now aligning with
the same idiot who you "defended" Bush to. Talk about duplicity......

>>Ok, then I'll modify my original statement to limit it to this
>>newsgroup only.
>
>
>You screwed up, you can't admit it, and you can't vindicate yourself
>by pulling an ex-post-facto excuse.

I didn't screw up. I still have yet to see you post a true defense of
Bush's policies in this newsgroup.

>>>And the
>>>facts were available on google if you had the inclination to verify
>>>the facts before you framed your indictment. But that's just not your
>>>style, Dave -- after all, you already know the truth -despite- the
>>>facts, right?
>>
>>You made the point, you provide the evidence. I don't have the time to
>>sift through every post you made looking for references to GWB.
>
>
>Yeah, it's just too much effort to find the facts. Your "belief"
>system is so much easier, isn't it, Dave?

When you are keyed in to the main players, it's not as much a game of
facts but of behaviors and patterns.

>
>But first things first. Honorable discharge in 1978: yes or no?

No.

>>>And BTW, if you don't know who the Vulcan's are then you are -WAY- out
>>>of touch with current political issues.
>>
>>Really? Then would you be so kind as to provide something that tells
>>me who there mysterious "Vulcans" are?
>
>
>http://tinyurl.com/6mf8m

A term coined by yet another washington insider "tell all" rag. Like I
said, the paper never refuses ink.

Since you like this sort of stuff, you should love this :

http://www.newamericancentury.org/

>>By undermining our efforts to wage war on the very people who threaten
>>those concepts?
>
>

>Saddam didn't pose any real threat to our country or democratic
>process -- both would have survived despite any efforts by him.

That's a myopic viewpoint based on insufficient evidence.

> OTOH,
>Bush & Co. are a very -real- threat to the democratic process for a
>number of reasons, not the least of which is the level and scope of
>voting fraud that has been uncovered in this past election.

A poor link. You make the erroneous assumption that voting fraud
(Which you acknowledged earlier has been around for as long as
elections) is now somehow the brainchild of Bush and Cheney who must
have some secret aspirations of ruling the world.

>>>>I also suspect that you are probably a lot more open to certain
>>>>communist ideals. Most liberals are.
>>>
>>>
>>>Again with the labels.....
>>
>>And you haven't yet denied them.
>
>
>But I have. You weren't listening. You were too busy tending to your
>"beliefs".

You never denied that you were a liberal. You gave a lame non-answer.
I'm an American too. That's a fact of birth. But I'm also a
conservative.

>>>as I tried to explain to you a while back, the Republican ideal is not
>>>such a great concept in it's pure form -- it's a totalitarian-style
>>>government where manipulation is the rule and freedom is an illusion.
>>
>>By what piece of glowing wisdom did you glean that glaringly
>>ill-informed opinion?
>
>
>You snipped the source from your reply.

Then you should remove it, since it's wrong.

>>>>Are you so idealistic that you can't understand what drives political
>>>>agendas? If there is not something to be gained strategically, then it
>>>>doesn't happen. If it was indeed a few "independents" who initiated
>>>>this action, I would bet a year's salary that they were "funded"
>>>>through the back door by the DNC or one of their "loose associates"
>>>>
>>>>Like the term "follow the money", look to see who stands to benefit
>>>>the most, and that's where you will find the real source of this
>>>>latest voter fraud cry.
>>>

>>>
>>>Are you so pessimistic that you see the political process as nothing
>>>but a disingenuous quest for power?
>>
>>That pretty much describes the political machines of today. When a
>>political party is willing to stand by and do nothing to help the
>>economy, and also wish for continued recession as a means to gain
>>political clout, it shows where their priorities are.
>
>
>That can be said for both parties, and in that respect I agree with it
>completely. But I wouldn't apply it to everyone in the political
>arena, nor would I apply it to all Republicans or all Democrats.
>People are people. Some are good, some are bad. Just because a person
>may be a Democrat, a Republican, a liberal or a conservative, that
>doesn't automatically render judgement on that person.

No, but then again, I'm not talking about individual politicians, I'm
referring to parties in general. As I'm sure you're aware, one
contrary statistic does not invalidate the rule.

>>> That every act is motivated by a
>>>self-serving political agenda? If so then you don't know people half
>>>as well as you think you do.
>>
>>Cynicism is alive and well in politics. That's a fact
>
>
>Cynicism most definitely has -you- by the balls.

It comes with playing the game for too long. You get to see the ugly
side of humanity. Then you realize that it shows itself way too easily
in some circles.

>>> FYI, there are quite a few people in the
>>>political arena that actually serve the interests of the public and
>>>not their wallets.
>>
>>Name them. And I'm not talking about small town supervisors or someone
>>of a school board. I'm talking about the big time.
>
>
>Off the top of my head? Colin Powell. Bill Frist. Bob Dole. John
>McCain. Need more names?

And you know for sure that none of these individuals have someone's
hands in their pockets?

Sure there are politicians who have done some really good things for
their constituents. But there's a difference in motivation between
true altruism, and doing it for the political points that it would
bring, or the money that it might bring to the party.

>>In almost every case, when a government representative does something
>>seemingly altruistic, there is an underlying political motivation for
>>it. Finding it, is the key to understanding what greases the machine.
>
>
>There is some truth in that statement, but it's certainly not a rule
>of thumb.

It's more true that you are either aware of, or are unwilling to
admit.

> Your implication is that politicians cannot have honorable
>intentions. But many do.

Yes, some do, especially when they're juniors, before the temptation
of corruption sets in.

> Some of them lose those intentions after
>getting caught in the quagmire, but some don't. Some appear to have
>alternative intentions but are forced to "deal with the devil" on
>occasion (which I think may be the rule in politics regardless of
>intent). But they are still people and there are many good people in
>this world. Maybe you have lost sight of that.

I tend to believe that absent laws and punishments to deter, that most
people will do whatever they feel they need to do to accomplish their
goals.

>>>Maybe -you- need to be a little more idealistic.
>>
>>What, and blind myself to reality?

>Contrary to the opinion of some, you -can- have ideals and be
>realistic at the same time. You just have to keep yourself balanced.

It's a tough thing, when reality stomps on idealism with increasing
regularity.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

597. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 15:54:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6jt7u09hp33qa6hk45f9fgl3okch60tn12@4ax.com>
Source record: 194236

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:55:38 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:16:34 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <mv65u0plobrhpaqlc6uq521920o39ghvpc@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:27:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly
>>>illegal operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or
>>>even admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing
>>>more than his opinions, not facts.
>>
>>So you are of the Twisted notion that a person is not breaking the
>>law until they are caught?
>
>
>Hardly. What I am saying is that conviction requires proof, not
>opinion.

Who's "convicting"? I made an observation, based on trained skills.
It's enough to tell me the truth. For me to press charges would
require a higher level of proof. I am not trying to go that route.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

598. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 15:58:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <bmt7u01qcbf77nl6rb2ooov1g7ne3snd7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 194237

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:47 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:mv65u0plobrhpaqlc6uq521920o39ghvpc@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:27:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly
>>>illegal operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or
>>>even admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing
>>>more than his opinions, not facts.
>>
>> So you are of the Twisted notion that a person is not breaking the
>> law until they are caught?
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>Nope. They are not guilty of breaking any law until
>a jury of their peers find them guilty with the evidence
>given them through the judicial process.

That's complete B.S.! You are guilty of a crime the minute you commit
it. The fact that in order for you to be incarcerated or otherwise
punished for that crime requires a guilty verdict, does not negate
your original infraction.

This is an excuse often given by people who try to justify their
selective disregard of certain laws they don't like.

>Not because
>someone says "because they are on that channel,
>they must be breaking the law".

No, not because they are on the channel, but because they are on the
channel and displaying certain verifiable traits which indicate the
illegality of their transmissions.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

599. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 16:02:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <gut7u0dsl0rsr72vtg649uenapq6r2et3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 194238

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:46 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:0675u095l06j8nbi8s6aicajjh9pv2vslo@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>>
>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>
>> No, it doesn't. Dx is simply an enhancement of the atmosphere which
>> allows a signal to propagate farther then normal line of sight. It
>> does not add "splatter" to an otherwise clean signal.
>
>Ah, that explains everything. So when a normal channel
>has maybe 5 to 10 operators, add another 100 because of
>skip conditions, of course there will be some running
>clipped & mod radio's, you don't think that enhances
>the splatter?

Not to any one single radio signal. You are confusing heterodyning
with splatter.

>>>> So therefore it can be assumed that a roger beep and (even more
>>>> definite) an echo box could be considered "entertainment" or
>>>> "amusement" devices and, as such, are specifically prohibited.
>>>>
>>>> You can make the point that the FCC doesn't care enough to make a case
>>>> about these things, and I would probably agree with you. But the fact
>>>> remains that they are prohibited by the rules.
>>>

>>>We've gone over this before Dave, your wrong.
>>
>>
>> I have referenced two part 95 rules which address both the issue of
>> permissible non-voice transmissions and also prohibited transmissions
>> which include devices which are used for entertainment and amusement.
>>
>> Conversely there are no rules which specifically allow either a roger
>> beep (and other noise makers) or echo boxes. Since neither are defined
>> under permissible non-voice transmissions, it can reasonably be
>> concluded that these devices would be considered amusement or
>> entertainment devices, and as such prohibited.
>>
>> You tell me I'm wrong, then please prove it by providing the rules
>> which allow these devices.
>
>If I showed you CB radio's being sold BRAND NEW
>with roger beeps, will that do?

It will tell me that there are a few companies which are willing to play loose and fast with the rules, until they are spanked for it. Galaxy radios are notorious for catering to the illegal freeband market. It's no surprise that they push the limit. Knowing that the FCC is not all that interested in small CB rule issues, they take the gamble that they won't be judged. They're probably right....

There is still nothing in the rules which allow for devices that can be considered entertainment.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

600. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 16:21:28 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <91v7u0h9jl02bb5bice6q6lspsn58svec5@4ax.com>
Source record: 194239

On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:33:27 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>He's right, Dave. You can receive more than one skip signal from the
>>>same transmission, and their phasing can cause intermodulation
>>>distortion in any RF stage of your receiver.
>>
>>No dice Frank. The effect you have described is commonly referred to
>>as "multipath".
>
>
>a.k.a, "fading".
>
>
>> The differences in phase angles of the received
>>signals can cause either an addition to or a subtraction from the
>>fundamental signal. But it does not cause it to splatter.
>
>
>No it doesn't, and that's not what I said. I said that a non-linear
>stage in the receiver can turn that fading into what appears to be
>splatter. If you want an example I have a couple cheap shortwave
>radios that do exactly that; you pay for shipping and you can examine
>them all you want.
>

You may very well have an example of what you've described. But that
doesn't mean that I do, or that I am incapable of distinguishing
between receiver quirks and actual on-air splatter caused by an
illegal transmitter. In many cases, I've used different radios (I have
enough of them) as well as test equipment to make my determination.

Do you really want to argue the point just because you're smarting
with me right now? You, of all people, know what an illegal operator
sounds like.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

601. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 16:23:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9av7u0lh5lesd6tm1gkdesp2u24ia09ejl@4ax.com>
Source record: 194240

```
On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 23:30:42 GMT, "U Know Who"
<AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:

>
>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote in message news:Xns95DAB5581426312345678 90@216.196.97.136...
>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>> news:2j75u0trq546sjhqie44g7qfi77qmpamhm@4ax.com:
>>
>>>>He's right, Dave. You can receive more than one skip signal from the
>>>>same transmission, and their phasing can cause intermodulation
>>>>distortion in any RF stage of your receiver.
>>>
>>> No dice Frank. The effect you have described is commonly referred to
>>> as "multipath". The differences in phase angles of the received
>>> signals can cause either an addition to or a subtraction from the
>>> fundamental signal. But it does not cause it to splatter. A special
>>> form of this is called "selective fading" which can cause different
>>> parts of the signal to fade differently, which can distort the audio.
>>> But this is not "splatter, and will not make the signal "bleed" more.
>>> Heck the HF ham bands are almost always utilizing skywave propagation.
>>> If what you say were true, then the ham bands would be virtually
>>> unusable due to all the signals splattering across the band. With the
>>> exception of a few bad apples running some illegal equipment, this is
>>> normally not a problem.
>>>
>>>>All that's required is
>>>>enough non-linearity in just one stage and the signals will modulate
>>>>each other.
>>>
>>> I have never seen this happen in any of the quality receivers I've
>>> owned over the years.
>>>
>>> Unless the signal is in motion (doppler effect) the frequency will
>>> remain the same even if the phase shifts. Since all the multipath
>>> signal frequencies are the same, there will be no mixing products
>>> generated.
>>>
>>> If that were the case, then any group of signals, local or skip, would
>>> do the same thing. That's not something that you'd want in a good
>>> receiver. But you can't pin the faults of a bad receiver design on
>>> atmospheric phenomenon.
>>>
>>> This is almost as hokey as saying that a certain antenna will make you
```

>>> sound "louder".
>>>
>>> Propagation, like antennas, are passive. It only radiates or
>>> re-radiates a signal. It does not modify it . If a signal is clean,
>>> then the propagation will propagate it as such.
>>>
>>>> The result is what appears to be splatter but is really a
>>>>fault of the receiver. Happens all the time with cheap shortwave
>>>>radios.
>>>
>>> Ah! But why do you assume that I have a "cheap shortwave radio"?
>>>
>>> What happens when you put a low noise GasFET preamp behind a bandpass
>>> filter and then into a spectrum analyzer? Surely you know what
>>> splatter looks like on a spectral display?
>>>
>>>> And DX doesn't have to be up to get a good signal -- I have
>>>>heard many clear DX signals from seemingly dead bands.
>>>
>>> A clear, and stable DX condition will not distort a radio signal.
>>>
>>> A station which is backswinging wildly, with fuzzy distorted audio,
>>> and splattering 3 channels in each direction, is running illegally,
>>> regardless of the fact that the FCC hasn't yet cited them for it.
>
>Yeah. So what? But was I LOUD?

You were 10-8 and straight across my duck pluckin' end that's for
cotton picken real. ;-)

Dave
"Sandbagger"

602. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 16:33:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6ev7u05j37jq06pt29g216u5cbgqajrnc0@4ax.com>
Source record: 194241

```
On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:46 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:41e2ac12.12383046@news.easynews.com...
>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>>
>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>
>>
>> The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is that if "DX" isn't
>> running you wouldn't hear it.
>
>Probably, but if you have a 100 radio's and a third of
>them are running their modulation clipped, then you
>will hear it even worse, correct?
>
>>
>> Splatter or out of bounds emissions are those falling outside the
>> normal bandwidth of a signal and are the result of modulation.
>>
>
>Correct
>
>> DX doesn't cause splatter it allows it to propgate farther.
>
>Correct. When you have a lot more radio's trying to talk
>on one freq, don't you think that it will now increase your
>adjacent channel splatter?
```

Ok, so if I understand you correctly, you are now making the case that I cannot identify the exact station which is creating the splatter due to the sheer number of competing stations. Ok, you have a valid point in some cases. In many cases all you have is combined "noise", and it's impossible to distinguish any one individual. On the other hand, especially on channel 6, there is always one or two stations which stand out head and shoulders above the pack. You can plainly hear his splatter on adjacent channels. Those are the guys who I base my observations on.

Remember, I never said that *all* the stations on channel 6 are illegal, just the loud and proud ones.

Then there is also the issue of aggregate signal differences. If the average noise/signal level on most of the 40 channels is running around S8, and while on channel 6, it is +10db over S9, that suggests that the average power level of the users there is at a higher level than those on the other channels. Skip doesn't favor any one channel (in a band as small as the CB band) over another so the conditions should be the same on all the channels.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

603. FA: NEW ITEMS>6146B's, Professional MIKES, Tubes NO JUNK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 16:43:55 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050111114355.16401.00000034@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 194242

All items are real inexpensive.
This is my entire list on Ebay.
Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.

This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73..........RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

604. Re: Check this out.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 17:05:45 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cs1119vao1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194243

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in message news:AFFEd.779$RW3.730@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> http://www.geocities.com/matt2kw/TOOTHPICK.html
>

Remove /TOOTHPICK.html Check out the whole site, it's a complete riot!

Chad

605. Re: hey twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 18:10:41 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <BEUEd.23849$Xs6.6318@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 194244

LOL...nice forge. But you should really stay out of them gay newsgroups, and stop abusing the Usenetzone.com newsreader.

"David Lawson" <DavidLawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message news:41e3dfab@news.usenetzone.com...
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
>
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
>
>
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
>
>
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
>
>
> americaneagle352@iwon.com
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
> _____

> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

606. Re: hey twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 19:18:02 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e42568.82345359@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194245

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 18:10:41 GMT, "A.E. 352"
<americaneagle352@iwon.com> wrote:

>LOL...nice forge. But you should really stay out of them gay newsgroups, and
>stop abusing the Usenetzone.com newsreader.
>
>

What that supposed to be from you?

If it was, that was a "piss poor" forge.
You have to wonder why kind of normal guy spends time searching for
gay pics.

607. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:21:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d9d8u0p4tlvas346srst4k8510u7kkjrl9@4ax.com>
Source record: 194247

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 11:21:28 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <91v7u0h9jl02bb5bice6q6lspsn58svec5@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:33:27 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>>He's right, Dave. You can receive more than one skip signal from the
>>>>same transmission, and their phasing can cause intermodulation
>>>>distortion in any RF stage of your receiver.
>>>
>>>No dice Frank. The effect you have described is commonly referred to
>>>as "multipath".
>>
>>
>>a.k.a, "fading".
>>
>>
>>> The differences in phase angles of the received
>>>signals can cause either an addition to or a subtraction from the
>>>fundamental signal. But it does not cause it to splatter.
>>
>>
>>No it doesn't, and that's not what I said. I said that a non-linear
>>stage in the receiver can turn that fading into what appears to be
>>splatter. If you want an example I have a couple cheap shortwave
>>radios that do exactly that; you pay for shipping and you can examine
>>them all you want.
>>
>
>You may very well have an example of what you've described. But that
>doesn't mean that I do, or that I am incapable of distinguishing
>between receiver quirks and actual on-air splatter caused by an
>illegal transmitter. In many cases, I've used different radios (I have
>enough of them) as well as test equipment to make my determination.
>
>Do you really want to argue the point just because you're smarting
>with me right now? You, of all people, know what an illegal operator
>sounds like.

Well gee Dave, I'm just suggesting there's another possibility for the
splatter instead of illegal behavior. You know, kinda like your
suggestions that there were other reasons for voting irregularities in
Ohio. How can you be so 'open minded' on one topic yet be so quick to
condemn on another?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

608. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:21:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <h9d8u0thmshm5ma3o5l62do5e5n0li2g8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 194246

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 10:54:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <6jt7u09hp33qa6hk45f9fgl3okch60tn12@4ax.com>:

>
>
>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:55:38 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:16:34 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <mv65u0plobrhpaqlc6uq521920o39ghvpc@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:27:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly
>>>>illegal operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or
>>>>even admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing
>>>>more than his opinions, not facts.
>>>
>>>So you are of the Twisted notion that a person is not breaking the
>>>law until they are caught?
>>
>>
>>Hardly. What I am saying is that conviction requires proof, not
>>opinion.
>
>
>Who's "convicting"? I made an observation, based on trained skills.
>It's enough to tell me the truth.

And did make any observations, based on trained skills, when you
determined the "truth" about Kerry's military record?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

609. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:25:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20582-41E43629-469@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194252

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, Jan 11, 2005, 6:54am (EST-1)
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: How would you improve your CB? Date: Tue, Jan 11,
2005, 7:54am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace:
sv3-q7SGue42H/vRaDYH4N3psNg3Qmw/YC9a4Dyd/tW5TMIxcpFlD4+wudF3VPa/NeT9FyEbxVriN7HTczS!F65LQg
VvtLpIGvjN6QYn9fYhYdfeR1OY+JFqkVzh+LSmz4niczFJLmK8iGPsEdHl04Ir/DKOXiRl!a8QIrMaMCPE=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a
copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.22
On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:32:19 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 16:48:36 -0500, n3cvj wrote:
>Someday I may clue you in to just how a

>psychological study of your posting habits was
>included in someone I know's psychology

>report on deviant behaviors.

That would be so rich, if you knew who the hell you were responding,

>That'll never happen, since you have such a

>paranoia about remaining anonymous.

Just can't help yourself,,,lmao.
Only you are concerned with the personal identity

>Your identity is who you are.

That you mistake a user name as one's personal identity is your problem.

>You said it yourself: "If I only knew who the

>hell I was responding too"..... The implication,

>of course, is that I would somehow recognize

>you as someone I either know, or is someone

>of some prestige or notoriety, which

>commands some degree of respect.

Not necessarily, but such an implication is valid each time you attempt
to make me your (off) topic.

>long as you choose to hide behind your

>"cartoon character", we'll never know that, and
>you remain an anonymous little twit, who lacks
>the guts to come clean.

You were proved a coward, Dave. You had every opportunity to end your nuances and obsessions concerning your penchant for who I may or may not be when you mentioned you were coming to Disney and as always, you disappeared when you were backed into a corner.

>BTW, I'm not the one desperately trying to find
>out little tidbits of information about other

>people on here, like your erroneous claim that

>my wife's name was "Kim T. Hall",

Kimberly T. Hall...
,
>or who once claimed that you had it on good

>authority that I'm a bitter divorced man, or that

>I was "abused" by my grandfather at a young

>age.

Nope,,,you were the one who initiated the off topic personal garbage and excused your name calling behavior with "they were personal observations" and other such bullshit pontifications. Now that the show is on the other foot and you are on the receiving end of your own mudslinging, you try to illustrate that such behavior was of my origin, when it clearly began with you.

>For someone who claims to care little about

>personal information, you sure make efforts to

>find it, even if it is wrong. Just another

>example of your hypocrisy, and lies.

Wake up, Dave. Stop being so bitter with everyone involved in your life, voluntary and otherwise.
Concerning your self-espoused accomplishments concerning the internet, you sure have a cow over following internet safety protocol, security experts advice, and basic isp groundrules for the internet. Very telling is your dwelling on that of which you are not permitted. You're like a spoiled child that keeps being told "no" over and over and all he can do is cry about how unfair life is and blame others for his misery.

>As opposed to you who, when told "no", just

>do it anyway in disregard for the laws of

>society?

Yes, exactly like that, because, despite your best attempts at portraying otherwise, I have not complained here about the rules, unless my opinion was specifically solicited and in tailored to two very specific rules regarding dx and certain freqs.

>And such is the double edged sword of

>remaining anonymous.

Only I'm not anonymous, Dave...only you continue to miscue and assert such.

>If you really are

>someone of worthiness, and respect, well

>never know it,

The only person that has ever considered you as part of a "we" when speaking for them is N8WWM. If you can name another, by all means, feel free to expound...
But, as you know, the people you consider "we" in addition to yourself, are in no position to judge, despite your self-elevational status to judge, jury, and executioner.

>Borrowing terms from Frank now?

How desperate you appear, Davie. Google "judge and jury" and you will find I used the term on occasion. The addition of "executioner" fits aptly (with a nod of acknowledgement to Frank) as you have condemned many on this group as guilty with nothing more than your declaration of such based on ignorance and misinformation.

>You really are devoid of originality aren't you?

Such delusions are what has you so angry over being kept so impotent regarding that of which you are continually denied.

>If that were true, it would be laughable.

>The day that I let this newsgroup rule my life,

>will be the day I leave.

> Like the radio, I control what goes on.

And like your actions, are also contradictory and detached to reality. It has always boiled down to a control issue with you and when you are denied such control you get rather testy and begin blathering incoherently about many things of which you have a great deal to learn.

>I make you speak. When I'm done, I stop, until
>I feel the need to slap your sociopathic self

>around again. But you are blinded by your

>own elevated sense of self worth to see that,

>or be able to make such a judgement as to

>who is really "impotent".

>You still don't get it. The only time someone is

>"impotent" is when they are ignored.

You have demonstrated on many occasion you see the glass as half empty as opposed to half full. Such pessimistic views are of your nature and characteristic of who you are. You are not expected to grasp any other concept relating to the issue other than that of which you are of fixed mind.

>You can't control yourself enough to allow

>that. By constantly responding to me, you

>have guaranteed that I am anything but

>"impotent".

The only thing demonstrated is your inability to apply cognitive thinking to the issue of which it applies.

>and you remain a newsgroup punch-clown,

Davie's feelings are hurt again,

>You assume that I have feelings to hurt.

Your anger-laden posts are testament to such. Name calling and insults are indicative of the last refuge of the incompetent, but hey,,,,you're not only free to believe otherwise, but practically guaranteed.

>You are the "Anti" Doug.

Yes, and you align yourself with him and have defended him on many occasion.

>Doug is more rational than you are.

You become more clear by the day.

>All that comes from your fingers is double talk

>and obfuscation. At least Doug is consistent,

>even if warped.

Calling innocent people felons and criminals, and defending such behavior as you and he have done on many, many occasion, when each of you have committed your own share of "crimes" is not only NOT consistent with logic, but is not consistent with the hammie creed, not consistent with normal behavior, and not generally not consistent with anything exccept being an azzhole lid.

>It's all part of the fun for me.

It has been illustrated many times you find "fun" through your lying.

>I have not lied about anything.

Sure you have, Davie, but you are free to soothe that status-starved ego..

> Your statements to the contrary is not proof,

>but rather your desperation.

Your lies have been illustrated so many times, your head begins to bobble and you babble incoherently.

>On the other hand, you are the one who lies.

>My fun is exposing you for what you are. By

>attempting to place other people on the

>defensive, it keeps the spotlight off of your

>own transgressions, such as your blatant

>disregard for federal law, which you claim, on

>one hand, to respect, yet selectively ignore

>when it suits you. Another glaring example of

>your hypocrisy.

Oh, but your ignorance in holding all who go above the national manadated speed limit of 70 MPH as a federal criminal is more fun than I can ever provide this group. Tell the group where you went to college so the world knows where not to send their kids.

>For the record, I never said that I am a

>licensed practitioner.

It hasn't prevented you from assuming the position and passing yourself off as such in this group, as only a physician is qualified to make such statements as you made...lol.....but of course you aren't a physician Davie, "For the record",,,you simply are not qualified to make judgements reserved for physicians only.

>Anyone can make informed observations with

>the proper background.

>You don't need to be licensed to do it, until

>you expect to get paid for it.

You made claims only a physician may first determine. Whether remuneration is received or not, you are unqualified to make such statements. Such are reserved ONLY for licensed physicians. Your

personal feelings regarding any qualifications you may or may not
possess, are irrelevant.

_
Such a candidate would never
have the need to foster what they think of themselves in an
unsolicitited and gratuitous manner such as your low self-esteem
dictates.

>More double speak?

Nothing redundant in my posts, Davie, except when an issue needs
dissected in order for you to grasp it, Davie,. try to keep up.

>It is easily observed that you have assigned a

>much greater worth to what I do, than I,

>myself, have ever stated. You are the one who
>lied about my claiming to be a medical

>professional.

Not at all. You were setting forth medical diagnosis' based on what you
were given to digest in a usenet group. Go ahead and expound on this
importance one places on what another says,,,or does.

> You are the one who claimed that I am some

>sort of master of the internet. I have never

>made any such claims. The problem is YOU.

>You lie like a rug.

Sure you did, You made several posts claiming how you were a network
admin and all this bullshit, then when it was shown you were double
posting through this group, you denied it and had to be shown your
headers were from the college, that you THEN said you "used" to post
through via a "borrowed" (bullshit) account.
When these headers were shown to you, you pleaded ignorant of internet
protocol and the like, claiming "I have no idea how such routing works".

>I said that I enjoy

>studying human psychology from my own

>curiosity, as a hobby.

And your Walter Mitty status-starved self has deluded you into fancying
you share the same qualifications. You do not.
Nevertheless, you have permitted yourself to be reduced to fodder by
deluding yourself that you are as qualified as a licensed physician. You
are not.

>I am qualified to see the virtually textbook

>example of Anti-social personality disorder as

>expressed by your actions here.

Actions? You mean these words that you said have no relation to your life and world? The same words you said you showed (on more than one occasion) to a colleague outside this group? The same words that you said you left here when you turned off the internet, just like when you turn off the radio? Are these the same "actions" that have you running around like mad, trying to tell anyone, anyone who will listen, that you really are in control?
Ah yes,,,THOSE actions,,,,

>(Now is a good time to once again remind us

>how this is only a "cartoon character", and not

>who you really are) Uh huh.....

Well, Dave, in keeping with bringing you back o reality, the majority of internet personalities are not who people "really are". Once again, your angered resentment is because you ruined your reputation by coming on here and treating people like a jack ass. If you had enough on the ball, you would have treated people fairly and not judged them based on their political views and values, whether you agree or not.

>You respond in a

>predictable manner, with anticipated

>responses to carefully presented stimuli.

No wonder you and N8wwm get along so well. He posts about microchips implanted in people with "programmed stimuli" and here you are echoing his tripe.

>Funny thing, he's right.

That's not funny, that's twisted of you, Davie.

>You can't muster enough self control to not

>respond to those "programmed stimuli". You

>are like a moth drawn inexplicably to the

>flame, which will end up being its demise.

That's an easy statement to make after I have been out here for years, making a few posts per day, five days per week. You again, demonstrate your lacking in communications and skill pertaining thereof. Your attempt at using usenet as some sort of "programmed stimuli" to soothe your damaged and status-starved ego, illustrates the difficulties manifested by yourself when proper communications are required. Via empirical observation and the powers vested in me by you and N8, I hereby diagnose you as having a character flaw.

>That's why we all laugh at you. You are all too

>transparent and predictable. When I'm done

>with you, I'll stop posting again. But you can't

>resist getting in the last word.

Actually, the mere notion that you or N8 laughs at anyone, is all the comedic relief one needs.

_
Your name calling, badgering of others and your disregard for any view but your own
makes you the worst example
of a hammie society has to offer.

_
Actually, Dave, your ignorance among that of which you are licensed has always angered you to the point of fostering your inner demons and resentment on others.
You create controversy and then feed off of
the attention of others to bolster your own low self esteem. You do what you want without due concern for the rights of others.

>By operating on the freeband, you are neither

>a CB'er nor a ham. You are nothing short of a

>lawbreaking radio pirate. So what does that

>say? Who are you to criticize the operating

>habits of others when you have a closet full of

>your own "issues"?

I criticized NO ONE at any time in here for their operating habits, except for those like you and N8, who have falsely attacked many on this group, through your own ignorance of the law.

>More hypocrisy.

>Yet, when your picture is presented to you,

"MY" picture? I'm not the one, nor is anyone else in this group OR the hamme groups, seeing you as qualified as a physician, radio repair tech,or anything else your dragging self-esteem needs dictate in order to soothe itself...hehe...this is YOUR "picture" Davie.

>One does not need to be a "qualified" anything
>to see the obvious.

You have demonstrated not only do you not see the obvious, but have trouble grasping it.

>All one has to do is access the DSM-IV which

>is available in many places on the internet,

>and look up APD, and then compare the

>symptoms with your past behavior (Which is

>archived for posterity).

Is this where I should accuse you of paying homage to me for plagiarizing my first used (n this group) wording of "preserved for posterity" when speaking of google?
Ah, never mind, I am kind of growing fond of your psychosis which you chose to share with the world.

>The only one in denial is you. But like the old

>saying: "When you try to tell a nut that he's

>nuts, he'll swear that you're crazy."

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Especially when the entire world sees you differently as you see yourself.

610. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:29:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20584-41E43738-46@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194253

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:43:01 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 07 Jan 2005 21:22:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote: From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net
(Dave=A0Hall) wrote: So, you're telling me that you can't listen to a
channel and pick out who the most blatant illegal operators are simply
by the sound of their rigs, and by the splatter they produce?
When the dx is running strong,

_
Donut matter. No one can tell me my S-Line is over-driven..even on local
ground wave. That said, there -are- way yonder too many splatter-masters
on 11 meters.

>That depends on what you mean by

>"over-driven"

Good Gawdomighty,,,,,,here comes N3CVJ to tell *you* what you meant by
"over-driven".
Davie applies his own definitions to words that everyone else has no
problem comprehending.

>When your thought processes (and I use the

>term loosely) are comprised of a series of

>binary thought patterns,

Only you weren't addressing me. Pay attention.
But holding with that, you just made the comment in another post that
one can not possibly know what your thought process is, but of
interesting note is the self-professing notion that *you* are capable of
such a feat.

>where everything is either black or white, I can
>see why you might think that. But if you

>actually KNEW something, (other than "CB

>Science") you'd know that the term

>"overdriven" has many applications, as

>illustrated by my earlier response.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

Only you took issue with the term, Davie.

611. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:30:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105475405.451536.192490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194248
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

STEVEO wrote:
> http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/069.jpg
>
> http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/073.jpg
>
> http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/070.jpg
> http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/076.jpg
Looks like landcarp and mopey to me

612. Re: America Need Unchained Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:31:24 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105475484.478959.274480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194249

Another stupid posting by a stupid keyclown. Twithed has no original thoughts.

613. Re: merry xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:32:39 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105475559.749853.203350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Another stupid post by a sicko freak who cannot do a thing to stop the
AKC.

614. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:33:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20582-41E437FE-472@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194254

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:24437-41E3D8B4-199
@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
The Fcc requires no jury of peers. re:part 95
Tell that to N3CVJ and his buddy N8WWM. Davie lobbies hard telling the
world that just because the FCC told the world N8WWM was jamming
repeaters, it's not evidence of guilt.

>It is not evidence of guilt they charged him

>with nothing.

N3CVJ says otherwise. He said his empirical observations are evidence of
guilt.

>and landshark said you must have a jury of

>your peers decide you to be guilty.

Landshark is correct. However, with certain charges brought by the FCC,
exceptions abound.

615. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:33:57 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105475637.698011.208800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

OK, I stand corrected. Sorry. When is the part with the German Shepherd coming out on video?

616. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 20:39:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20583-41E4399A-181@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194255

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:55:38 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 10:16:34 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <mv65u0plobrhpaqlc6uq521920o39ghvpc@4ax.com>:
On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:27:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly illegal
operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or even
admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing more
than his opinions, not facts.

_
(>So you are of the Twisted notion that a

>person is not breaking the law until they are

>caught?)

_
Hardly. What I am saying is that conviction
requires proof, not opinion.

>Who's "convicting"? I made an observation,

>based on trained skills. It's enough to tell me

>the truth. For me to press charges would

>require a higher level of proof. I am not trying

>to go that route.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

This is where your astute knowledge of communications law comes in to
play. Regarding another's radio operation that bleeds you, you have no
option of "pressing charges".

617. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 21:17:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lgf8u013mlh9mhru6djd6nd53q0rma3jl3@4ax.com>
Source record: 194256

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 10:52:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <4mj7u0h8u55vclse1f6aanrlg6rs86ar54@4ax.com>:

These posts are getting too big. I did read your entire reply, and
except for the parts where we found common ground, there was little
content that wasn't obviously flawed. So I snipped the common ground
as well as your nit-picking, blatant denials, selective snipping, and
hypocritical criteria for the determination of fact:

>>Contrary to the opinion of some, you -can- have ideals and be
>>realistic at the same time. You just have to keep yourself balanced.
>
>It's a tough thing, when reality stomps on idealism with increasing
>regularity.

Idealism motivates change. And things do change whether you like it or
not. Whether those changes work in your favor or not is dependent upon
how willing you are to accept those changes and the idealism that
motivates them.

Now if there is any topic you feel needs to be addressed then feel
free to reply again using a -rational- argument.

618. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 21:31:00 UTC
From: wing_flapper@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1105479060.925820.225010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194257
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

did it make you feel all better steveo?

619. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 22:01:51 UTC
From: "Mr.Extreme" <extremeone@theHNIC.net>
Message-ID: <cs1ich$3no0$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 194258
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

IT DID ME!

wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
> did it make you feel all better steveo?
>

620. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:26:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111182613.983$gL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194259
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
> did it make you feel all better steveo?
>
Yes. Did the forge rock your world?

621. Re: Is CB Dead 4ever?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:28:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111182807.328$zD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194262

akcsympathizer@horsa.net wrote:
> > Just Wondering if CB is completely dead or is it still going on in some
> > places? I know truckers still use them, but when i was a kid in NJ we
> > had all kinds of base stations and mobile. It was actually OVER crowded
> > most nights. Now it seems like things have died off, but is there still
> > places in this country with a thriving radio community? If so what
> > state(s)?
> >
>
> Sorry, there are only gay men known as KEYCLOWNS who run extra power,
> ilegal channels, looking for homo sex contact on the air.
>
Except for me. I run a 61' s Line, and I love to eat pussy.

622. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:30:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111183027.234$Hz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194261
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> OK, I stand corrected. Sorry. When is the part with the German Shepherd
> coming out on video?
>
Dogie?

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=n8wwm%20dogie

623. Re: merry N8WWM xmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:36:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111183607.542$Ly_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194263
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Another stupid post by a sicko freak who cannot do a thing to stop the
> AKC.
>
It is off topic.

Btw Doug, is that really your grand dad, and daddy's name?

Rufus Adair sounds like a shit kicker to me. How did -you- turn out
to be such a pussy? (rhetorical question)

Your pappy Bobby was a squat to piss weakling, like you.

624. Re: N8WWM Dressing in his mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:42:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111184257.906$QA_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194260
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> STEVEO wrote:
> > http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/069.jpg
> >
> > http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/073.jpg
> >
> > http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/070.jpg
> > http://www.011sex.com/gaylikegirl/pics/4352453/images/076.jpg
> Looks like landcarp and mopey to me
>
This looks like an in-bred Rufus Adair offspring:

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

Was that really grand pappy's name, dogie? I'll bet he's rolling
over in his grave, every time he looks at your existence.

Dueling banjo's gets a buck.

625. Re: hey twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:44:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111184429.890$li@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194265

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 18:10:41 GMT, "A.E. 352"
> <americaneagle352@iwon.com> wrote:
>
> >LOL...nice forge. But you should really stay out of them gay newsgroups,
> >and stop abusing the Usenetzone.com newsreader.
> >
> >
>
> What that supposed to be from you?
>
> If it was, that was a "piss poor" forge.
> You have to wonder why kind of normal guy spends time searching for
> gay pics.
>
N8WWM.

626. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:47:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111184707.570$Gi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194264

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> You were 10-8 and straight across my duck pluckin' end that's for
> cotton picken real. ;-)
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
42, you are tree top tall and wall to wall over here, big ben. lol

627. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:49:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111184910.659$Gd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194266

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> You, of all people, know what an illegal operator
> sounds like.
>
Auuuuuuuddddiiiooo..auuuuuuuddddddiiiooooo.

click click <g>

628. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:50:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111185043.606$73@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194268

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> verifiable traits which indicate the
> illegality of their transmissions.
>
Fuckin' cooling fan sounds usually give me away. Dang.

629. Re: hey twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-11 23:51:10 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <ODZEd.1330$%P4.121@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194267

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41e42568.82345359@news.easynews.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 18:10:41 GMT, "A.E. 352"
> <americaneagle352@iwon.com> wrote:
>
>>LOL...nice forge. But you should really stay out of them gay newsgroups,
>>and
>>stop abusing the Usenetzone.com newsreader.
>>
>>
>
> What that supposed to be from you?
>
> If it was, that was a "piss poor" forge.
> You have to wonder why kind of normal guy spends time searching for
> gay pics.

No kind of "normal" guy does.

630. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:08:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111190819.453$sh_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194269

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
> No kind of "normal" guy does.
>
Hey Randy, did you see what the Mets paid Beltran? Ridiculous!

631. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:10:17 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <JVZEd.5159$WZ1.2662@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194270

```
"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95DBBF0FEBE4C1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:FFREd.9369$wZ2.6224@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
>>
>> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>> news:41e4d214.61013234@news.easynews.com...
>>> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:46 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>>news:41e2ac12.12383046@news.easynews.com...
>>>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark"
>>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net
>>>>>>> (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>>>>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>>>>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have
>>>>>>>>> is heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my
>>>>>>>>> observations were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough
>>>>>>>>> that a clean sample of any particular transmission could not be
>>>>>>>>> made.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is that if "DX" isn't
>>>>> running you wouldn't hear it.
>>>>
>>>>Probably, but if you have a 100 radio's and a third of
>>>>them are running their modulation clipped, then you
>>>>will hear it even worse, correct?
>>>
>>> It would only be worse because now you can hear the 100 radios.
>>>
>>>>> Splatter or out of bounds emissions are those falling outside the
```

>>>>> normal bandwidth of a signal and are the result of modulation.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Correct
>>>>
>>>>> DX doesn't cause splatter it allows it to propgate farther.
>>>>
>>>>Correct. When you have a lot more radio's trying to talk
>>>>on one freq, don't you think that it will now increase your
>>>>adjacent channel splatter?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Only because you now can hear more radios. skip doesn't cause
>>> splatter.
>>>
>>> Take the same 100 radios that were causing splatter when the skip was
>>> running (all stations running S9). Now move them all so they are
>>> local to you (again all stations running S9). From what you have
>>> said you believe the splatter is going to decrease ?
>>
>> Well. It has been my experience that when skips
>> running, you will have more incidents of adjacent
>> channel splatter than when it's not. I'm sure "Skip"
>> is not causing it, but it sure does "Heighten" it.
>>
>> Landshark
>
> It doesnt heighten it assclowns think they need major watts to talk skip,
> so you are hearing hundreds more amp bozos with thier splatter boxes
> cranked up the reason it is heightened is because they are giving you a
> strong enough signal to splatter your reciever, listen carefully I said
> it in a post about 1 day ago, take a guy in your area in a mobile running
> a 1kw class c splatter box, he is giving you 10+ on the meter and tearing
> your radio up, as he drives further away he is still using the splatter
> box, why isnt he bleeding over as bad or at all now? Because he isnt
> overloading the front end of your reciever now his signal strength is
> down..

Very good George! I knew you had it in you.

632. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:11:15 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <DWZEd.5160$WZ1.2711@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194271

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050111185043.606$73@newsreader.com...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> verifiable traits which indicate the
>> illegality of their transmissions.
>>
> Fuckin' cooling fan sounds usually give me away. Dang.

Open the window. God knows it's cold enough there to cool molten steel!

633. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:12:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111191230.398$cX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194272

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> This is a great example why the bowl is not for the weak!
>
42.

634. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:16:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111191617.104$Zx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194273

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050111185043.606$73@newsreader.com...
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> verifiable traits which indicate the
> >> illegality of their transmissions.
> >>
> > Fuckin' cooling fan sounds usually give me away. Dang.
>
> Open the window. God knows it's cold enough there to cool molten steel!
>
Yeabut then you can hear the coal falling. I used to heat 14 acres of
greenhouse..my standby generator would power a city block!

I still hate every Easter Lilly I ever see.

635. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:31:10 UTC
From: Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <2m_Ed.303$ig4.109@fe12.lga>
Source record: 194274
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Steveo wrote:
> wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
>>
>
> Yes. Did the forge rock your world?

dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet, bar none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)

636. Re: hey twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:31:49 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105489909.612182.64020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194275

Steveo wrote:
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 18:10:41 GMT, "A.E. 352"
> > <americaneagle352@iwon.com> wrote:
> >
> > >LOL...nice forge. But you should really stay out of them gay
newsgroups,
> > >and stop abusing the Usenetzone.com newsreader.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > What that supposed to be from you?
> >
> > If it was, that was a "piss poor" forge.
> > You have to wonder why kind of normal guy spends time searching for
> > gay pics.
> >
> N8WWM.

Wrong buttslurp. I did laugh, though.

637. Re: hey twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:33:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111193306.725$gg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194276

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > > On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 18:10:41 GMT, "A.E. 352"
> > > <americaneagle352@iwon.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >LOL...nice forge. But you should really stay out of them gay
> newsgroups,
> > > >and stop abusing the Usenetzone.com newsreader.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > What that supposed to be from you?
> > >
> > > If it was, that was a "piss poor" forge.
> > > You have to wonder why kind of normal guy spends time searching for
> > > gay pics.
> > >
> > N8WWM.
>
> Wrong buttslurp. I did laugh, though.
>
Did you drool on your bib? :)

638. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:38:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111193802.703$XW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194277
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >>did it make you feel all better steveo?
> >>
> >
> > Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
>
> dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
> guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
> manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet, bar
> none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
>
You noticed that again, Julia!?

Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make friends
fairly easy in real life too. :)

At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!

My
Old
Pig
Ain't
Runnin'

639. MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:42:22 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <On_Ed.8293$Vj3.3346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194278
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
>>>>
>>>
>>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
>>
>>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
>>guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
>>manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet, bar
>>none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
>>
>
> You noticed that again, Julia!?
>
> Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make friends
> fairly easy in real life too. :)
>
> At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
>
> My
> Old
> Pig
> Ain't
> Runnin'
I always thought it was

More
Over-Priced
Parts
Are
Required..

Heh

640. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 00:57:48 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105491468.023630.180430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194279
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

I am flattered, Indianus...but I am also heterosexual. Your hopes are doomed.

641. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:00:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111200047.871$G6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> I am also heterosexual.
>
Liar.

642. Re: hey twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:02:06 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105491726.491832.78090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194282

No, but you drool over the thought of me. Just like when you slobber in the stalls at petro...hehehe

643. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:02:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111200237.445$Ha@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194281
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
> >>
> >>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
> >>guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
> >>manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet, bar
> >>none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
> >>
> >
> > You noticed that again, Julia!?
> >
> > Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make friends
> > fairly easy in real life too. :)
> >
> > At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
> >
> > My
> > Old
> > Pig
> > Ain't
> > Runnin'
> I always thought it was
>
> More
> Over-Priced
> Parts
> Are
> Required..
>
> Heh
>
Move

Over
Plymouth
Approaching
Rapidly

VRroooom ---------------------->

644. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:03:36 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105491816.158301.198710@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

Goes right along with mopey's new adventure flick...
"Indianus Jones and the Lost Buttplug"

645. Re: hey twist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:04:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111200411.808$i5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194284

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> No, but you drool over the thought of me. Just like when you slobber in
> the stalls at petro...hehehe
>
Was Rufus your grand-dad?

646. Mopey Dressing in his granny's clothes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:05:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105491620.879258.182890@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194286
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Dueling banjo's gets a buck.

Indianus Jones can really suck

647. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:05:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111200542.819$qB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194285
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Goes right along with mopey's new adventure flick...
> "Indianus Jones and the Lost Buttplug"
>
More gay projection from Doug Adair.

648. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:06:22 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105491982.634825.212900@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

Just answer the question. When's the part with the dog coming out on vid?

And why was there a woman laughing in the audio track of it? Did someone whisper in her ear "he thinks he can make the AKC do something"?

Or, more likely...was that landshark after his estrogen pump was installed?

649. Mopey is making a new adventure movie!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:07:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105490098.563696.77720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194288

It is called:

"Indianus Jones and the lost bootieplug"

650. Re: Doug Adair Dressing in his granny's clothes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:07:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111200733.211$zf_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194289
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

N8WWM Doug Aair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Dueling banjo's gets a buck.
>
> Indianus Jones can really suck
>
More gay projection from Doug Adair.

651. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:08:41 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <tM_Ed.8298$Vj3.4882@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194290
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

```
Steveo wrote:
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
>>>>
>>>>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
>>>>guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
>>>>manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet, bar
>>>>none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
>>>>
>>>
>>>You noticed that again, Julia!?
>>>
>>>Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make friends
>>>fairly easy in real life too. :)
>>>
>>>At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
>>>
>>>My
>>>Old
>>>Pig
>>>Ain't
>>>Runnin'
>>
>>I always thought it was
>>
>>More
>>Over-Priced
```

>>Parts
>>Are
>>Required..
>>
>>Heh
>>
>
> Move
> Over
> Plymouth
> Approaching
> Rapidly
>
> VRroooom ---------------------->

Heh. That one works, too.

652. Re: My N8WWM Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:10:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111201004.496$0G_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194291
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Just answer the question. When's the part with the dog coming out on
> vid?
>
That's you. Donut make me post your fugly pic again.

653. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:10:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105492210.693620.242940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

Nobody has projected in this NG more than you. You continually project an image that you can stop the AKC, and fool only yourself. Even your fellow Petro toilet stall inhabitants know you can't.

We at AKC know your ulcer acts up when when we remind you, which, of course, is why we do so.

654. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:11:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111201147.685$v3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Nobody has projected in this NG more than you. You continually project
> an image that you can stop the AKC,
>
I can stop Adair Keyboard Coward with one punch. $100 says that.

Any takers?

655. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:13:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111201319.146$yZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194294

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050111190819.453
> $sh_-_@newsreader.com:
>
> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> >> wrote: No kind of "normal" guy does.
> >>
> > Hey Randy, did you see what the Mets paid Beltran? Ridiculous!
>
> Brave, phille, mets all have big payrolls tough competition
>
You forgot the Yankees..them bastards are ruining baseball.

656. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:15:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105492510.035281.264860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194295
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

so you are offering to strike me for a hundred bucks? Hell, oughta send
you the cash myself, then I can feel totally justified if I happen to
find a shotgun laying around somewhere and it accidentally separates
your turd container (skull) from your torso.

657. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:20:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111202057.642$Pd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> so you are offering to strike me for a hundred bucks?
>
No, it's only a gentleman's bet, dogie.
>
> Hell, oughta send
> you the cash myself,
>
I don't want your money, give it to your children for a change.
>
> then I can feel totally justified
>
Is that what your court ordered shrink told you to strive for?
>
> if I happen to
> find a shotgun laying around somewhere and it accidentally separates
> your turd container (skull) from your torso.
>
Do us -all- a favor..put the business end in your mouth and pull
the fucking trigger.

ps..you're a felon. (no guns allowed)

658. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:31:21 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jeednXimxe114HncRVn-gg@comcast.com>
Source record: 194297
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers

Stop cross-posting this bullshit, you fucking, ass-licking, momma's boy!

659. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 01:37:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111203701.428$Mf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194298
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers

"Troublemaker" <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Stop cross-posting this bullshit, you fucking, ass-licking, momma's boy!
>
Stop reading it.

660. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:08:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111210850.838$Gx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194299

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050111201319.146
> $yZ@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> news:20050111190819.453
> >> $sh_-_@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> >> >> wrote: No kind of "normal" guy does.
> >> >>
> >> > Hey Randy, did you see what the Mets paid Beltran? Ridiculous!
> >>
> >> Brave, phille, mets all have big payrolls tough competition
> >>
> > You forgot the Yankees..them bastards are ruining baseball.
>
> If they would have signed beltran I would have agreed.
>
Yea, They signed Randy instead. (big unit)
>
> boston's payroll
> is sky high to.
>
This blows for a small market fan.
```

661. Re: Mopey Dressing in his granny's clothes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:16:32 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105496191.65475fa6a96301e234f697a2bedfbb14@teranews>
Source record: 194300
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Dueling banjo's gets a buck.
> Indianus Jones can really suck

Go back to the CB group, you infantile loser.

662. Dogie N8WWM posts more vile bile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:25:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111212535.709$4A_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.fags,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

You -is- sick, Doug.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I guess she IS walking the dog!
>
> begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
> [46240 bytes]
> end
>
> begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
> [31915 bytes]
> end
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

663. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:26:47 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <HV%Ed.1387$%P4.1356@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194303

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050111190819.453$sh_-_@newsreader.com...
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
>> No kind of "normal" guy does.
>>
> Hey Randy, did you see what the Mets paid Beltran? Ridiculous!

Oh yeah, "but it's not about the money". Astros open the season against
them, here I think. Not gonna be a good reception for Beltran.

664. Re: Mopey Dressing in his granny's clothes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:27:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111212703.218$Mg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194302
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

"The Grim Reaper" <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub> wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > Dueling banjo's gets a buck.
> > Indianus Jones can really suck
>
> Go back to the CB group, you infantile loser.
>
Check yore x-post Garcia.

665. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:29:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111212954.164$Tn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194304

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050111190819.453$sh_-_@newsreader.com...
> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> >> wrote: No kind of "normal" guy does.
> >>
> > Hey Randy, did you see what the Mets paid Beltran? Ridiculous!
>
> Oh yeah, "but it's not about the money".
>
That's what Manny said when he bolted to bean town, Thome said it
too as he bolted to Philly..Cleveland left high and dry again..
>
> Astros open the season against
> them, here I think. Not gonna be a good reception for Beltran.
>
Throw change.

666. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:30:49 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <tZ%Ed.1388$%P4.68@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105492510.035281.264860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> so you are offering to strike me for a hundred bucks? Hell, oughta send
> you the cash myself, then I can feel totally justified if I happen to
> find a shotgun laying around somewhere and it accidentally separates
> your turd container (skull) from your torso.
>

You are a felon. You cannot have guns.

667. FREE! "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" OF FREQ. MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:41:58 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <jj39u0lu1d0oh6e5kpv726nk7qb06ssp16@4ax.com>
Source record: 194307

FREE!!

For advanced techs and serious radio hobbyists, we're now offering a FREE copy of our previously published "CB EPROM DATA BOOK" by Martin Pickering. (EPROM = Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.) We sold it for many years but it's now out of print. This little manual of 60 illustrated pages is an absolute GEM of CB technical knowledge you'll just never find anywhere else. And it's yours for FREE from our Web site.

This book reveals the secrets of advanced channel programming, and picks up where our "CB PLL DATA BOOK" (www.cbcintl.com/pllbook.htm) leaves off. You'll get a thorough background in how these amazing programmable chips can be used to modify many popular CB PLL circuits for expanded frequency coverage. Covers the basic programming techniques, and even includes real PC board artwork for making EPROM circuits. Sample artwork includes EPROM boards for the popular MB8719, PLL02A, ï¿½PD2824, and ï¿½PD858 PLL circuits.

Best of all, the price is right--namely, FREE! Download your FREE copy of "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" (948 KB Adobe PDF) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/EpromBook.htm

Don't forget to check out all our other CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

668. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:41:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111214159.223$zX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194306
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
> >>>>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
> >>>>guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
> >>>>manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet,
> >>>>bar none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>You noticed that again, Julia!?
> >>>
> >>>Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make
> >>>friends fairly easy in real life too. :)
> >>>
> >>>At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
> >>>
> >>>My
> >>>Old
> >>>Pig
> >>>Ain't
> >>>Runnin'
> >>
> >>I always thought it was
> >>
> >>More

> >>Over-Priced
> >>Parts
> >>Are
> >>Required..
> >>
> >>Heh
> >>
> >
> > Move
> > Over
> > Plymouth
> > Approaching
> > Rapidly
> >
> > VRroooom ---------------------->
>
> Heh. That one works, too.
>
Only for short bursts'. <g>

669. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:42:02 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <nj39u0h2dafnhm6q38vunbh5mhqq38fi4b@4ax.com>
Source record: 194308

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure
out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF
feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you
need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

670. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:42:06 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <sj39u0t2lou3t0u3qgq8ciaaakau6u70qc@4ax.com>
Source record: 194311

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

671. GALAXY-RANGER SERVICE MANUAL--2nd EDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:42:11 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <1k39u0dcdbi9m3ihppqg85i5cu008sbe3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 194309

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

672. LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:42:16 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <5k39u0lar4ps36cbsc6lh8phgtjpr8lrjk@4ax.com>
Source record: 194310

Our TRIPOWER RF AMP plans are now on sale at EBAY for half-price. This deal INCLUDES a professionally made, heavy duty PC board, all ready to stuff and solder. Click on our home page at: www.cbcintl.com then click on the EBAY logo there to see what's on sale now!

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

673. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:51:20 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Km0Fd.254$aP2.94@fe11.lga>
Source record: 194313

Steveo wrote:
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
>
>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>news:20050111185043.606$73@newsreader.com...
>>
>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>verifiable traits which indicate the
>>>>illegality of their transmissions.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Fuckin' cooling fan sounds usually give me away. Dang.
>>
>>Open the window. God knows it's cold enough there to cool molten steel!
>>
>
> Yeabut then you can hear the coal falling. I used to heat 14 acres of
> greenhouse..my standby generator would power a city block!
>
> I still hate every Easter Lilly I ever see.
dude i have a 2.2 megawatt generator at work. enough for 2k+ houses.
sonofabitch roars when powered up...

674. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 02:51:33 UTC
From: Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <BE09FAE5.153F%julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Source record: 194312
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

On 1/11/05 7:38 PM, in article 20050111193802.703$XW@newsreader.com,
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> did it make you feel all better steveo?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
>>
>> dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
>> guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
>> manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet, bar
>> none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
>>
> You noticed that again, Julia!?
>
> Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make friends
> fairly easy in real life too. :)
>
> At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
>
> My
> Old
> Pig
> Ain't
> Runnin'

Of course I noticed, darlin' ~ how could anyone miss it?!?! But I sure hope you real life friends are a bit more stable than your internet pets, if not be sure to lock those doors (and windows).

BTW ~ you probably don't even wanna know how I read that line about Mopar drivers first time through, but I'm gonna tell ya anyway ~ I confused "rock" with "racks" and I was kinda wondering why anyone would waste their time looking at racks on Mopar drivers ~ let alone rating 'em (except, of course, Shawna Robinson ~ and her infamous implants).

675. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 03:03:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111220357.572$N2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194314
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 1/11/05 7:38 PM, in article 20050111193802.703$XW@newsreader.com,
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>
> > Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >>> wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> did it make you feel all better steveo?
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
> >>
> >> dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
> >> guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
> >> manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet,
> >> bar none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
> >>
> > You noticed that again, Julia!?
> >
> > Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make friends
> > fairly easy in real life too. :)
> >
> > At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
> >
> > My
> > Old
> > Pig
> > Ain't
> > Runnin'
>
> Of course I noticed, darlin' ~ how could anyone miss it?!?!
>
My bad I spose'... but what's a mother to do?
?
> But I sure
> hope you real life friends are a bit more stable than your internet pets,
> if not be sure to lock those doors (and windows).
>
I can pick em off as they come down the driveway, so all'z good and the
pigs eat for free.. (benefit)
>
> BTW ~ you probably don't even wanna know how I read that line about Mopar

> drivers first time through, but I'm gonna tell ya anyway ~ I confused
> "rock" with "racks" and I was kinda wondering why anyone would waste
> their time looking at racks on Mopar drivers ~ let alone rating 'em
> (except, of course, Shawna Robinson ~ and her infamous implants).
>
Nothing about silly tits or Shawna would make me look twice, unless someone
was yelling something about implosion, Julia. :)

676. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 03:11:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111221136.737$S0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194315

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> >>news:20050111185043.606$73@newsreader.com...
> >>
> >>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>verifiable traits which indicate the
> >>>>illegality of their transmissions.
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Fuckin' cooling fan sounds usually give me away. Dang.
> >>
> >>Open the window. God knows it's cold enough there to cool molten steel!
> >>
> >
> > Yeabut then you can hear the coal falling. I used to heat 14 acres of
> > greenhouse..my standby generator would power a city block!
> >
> > I still hate every Easter Lilly I ever see.
> dude i have a 2.2 megawatt generator at work. enough for 2k+ houses.
> sonofabitch roars when powered up...
>
Damn, that is sizable! Imagine the magnetic field that sucker is
generating..we had a big diesel engine with an auto interface..lucky for me
we had a janitor that was all over that stuff. You need to be part
plumber/electrician/carpenter to be a white man in a productive greenhouse.
:)

677. Re: ATTN TRUCKERS: if you use a CB amplifier you are GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 03:13:42 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6_udnVxV-vd4CHncRVn-gw@comcast.com>
Source record: 194316

Who let this buttnugget out of his cell?

<hamburgAKCcell@de.net> wrote in message
news:ug0Fd.11957$US.6528@news.ono.com...
> That is correct, if you use a CB linear or freeband channels
> you are a homosexual. It has been proven in AKC studies.
> You also have a chip implanted that will cause you to respond
> to this post with profanity, amusing your AKC Masters in the
> process. Life is good when you're not a GAY ILLEGAL CB
> KEYCLOWN!

678. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 03:16:34 UTC
From: Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <BE0A00C2.1554%julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Source record: 194317
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

On 1/11/05 10:03 PM, in article 20050111220357.572$N2@newsreader.com,
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 1/11/05 7:38 PM, in article 20050111193802.703$XW@newsreader.com,
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>>> wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>> did it make you feel all better steveo?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
>>>>
>>>> dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
>>>> guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
>>>> manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet,
>>>> bar none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
>>>>
>>> You noticed that again, Julia!?
>>>
>>> Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make friends
>>> fairly easy in real life too. :)
>>>
>>> At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
>>>
>>> My
>>> Old
>>> Pig
>>> Ain't
>>> Runnin'
>>
>> Of course I noticed, darlin' ~ how could anyone miss it?!?!
>>
> My bad I spose'... but what's a mother to do?
> ?

Nuttin to do.... Just continue to share ~ they're quite entertaining,
specially during the off season.

>> But I sure
>> hope you real life friends are a bit more stable than your internet pets,

>> if not be sure to lock those doors (and windows).
>>
> I can pick em off as they come down the driveway, so all'z good and the
> pigs eat for free.. (benefit)

Whooo hoooo.... Pig roast as Steveo's... Get'em fattened up by the Michigan race ~ and I'll bring the beers ~ Coors Light, of course... ;)

>> BTW ~ you probably don't even wanna know how I read that line about Mopar
>> drivers first time through, but I'm gonna tell ya anyway ~ I confused
>> "rock" with "racks" and I was kinda wondering why anyone would waste
>> their time looking at racks on Mopar drivers ~ let alone rating 'em
>> (except, of course, Shawna Robinson ~ and her infamous implants).
>>
> Nothing about silly tits or Shawna would make me look twice, unless someone
> was yelling something about implosion, Julia. :)

LMAO.... That's about all that would get my attention as well (as far as women and racks go)... But, it would serve her right, trying to re-create an art that nature perfected.

679. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 03:21:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111222115.478$SB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194318
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 1/11/05 10:03 PM, in article 20050111220357.572$N2@newsreader.com,
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>
> > Nothing about silly tits or Shawna would make me look twice, unless
> > someone was yelling something about implosion, Julia. :)
>
> LMAO.... That's about all that would get my attention as well (as far as
> women and racks go)... But, it would serve her right, trying to re-create
> an art that nature perfected.
>
That's exactly correct, screw botox and silicone princess's! (don't -screw-
them, that's exactly what they want!)

680. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 03:27:14 UTC
From: Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <BE0A0342.156E%julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Source record: 194319
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

On 1/11/05 10:21 PM, in article 20050111222115.478$SB@newsreader.com,
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 1/11/05 10:03 PM, in article 20050111220357.572$N2@newsreader.com,
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Nothing about silly tits or Shawna would make me look twice, unless
>>> someone was yelling something about implosion, Julia. :)
>>
>> LMAO.... That's about all that would get my attention as well (as far as
>> women and racks go)... But, it would serve her right, trying to re-create
>> an art that nature perfected.
>>
> That's exactly correct, screw botox and silicone princess's! (don't -screw-
> them, that's exactly what they want!)

Well, dewd.... I think that's kinda what we all want ~ it's just not all we
want.. and most of us don't want (or need) to go to drastic measures to get
it done. Take care of what you've got and there should be no need to
replace it.

681. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 03:39:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050111223914.653$gk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194320
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 1/11/05 10:21 PM, in article 20050111222115.478$SB@newsreader.com,
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>
> > Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 1/11/05 10:03 PM, in article 20050111220357.572$N2@newsreader.com,
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> Nothing about silly tits or Shawna would make me look twice, unless
> >>> someone was yelling something about implosion, Julia. :)
> >>
> >> LMAO.... That's about all that would get my attention as well (as far
> >> as women and racks go)... But, it would serve her right, trying to
> >> re-create an art that nature perfected.
> >>
> > That's exactly correct, screw botox and silicone princess's! (don't
> > -screw- them, that's exactly what they want!)
>
> Well, dewd.... I think that's kinda what we all want ~ it's just not all
> we want.. and most of us don't want (or need) to go to drastic measures
> to get it done. Take care of what you've got and there should be no need
> to replace it.
>
I think yore agreeing with me now so you must deposit more money. I'm
listening to my weather scanner alert the rivers west and east of me to
flood stages. So far so good here but we're supposed to get more rain
tomorrow..make it snow and I'm happy! :)

Reed Sorenson will be dominate in BGN this year. on topic for one of these
groups now. :)

682. Re: ATTN TRUCKERS: if you use a CB amplifier you are GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 04:33:08 UTC
From: hamburgAKCcell@de.net
Message-ID: <8M1Fd.11959$US.4028@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194321

> Who let this buttnugget out of his cell?
>
> <hamburgAKCcell@de.net> wrote in message
> news:ug0Fd.11957$US.6528@news.ono.com...
> > That is correct, if you use a CB linear or freeband channels
> > you are a homosexual. It has been proven in AKC studies.
> > You also have a chip implanted that will cause you to respond
> > to this post with profanity, amusing your AKC Masters in the
> > process. Life is good when you're not a GAY ILLEGAL CB
> > KEYCLOWN!
>
>

Buddy you are the buttnugget. You are a buttpumper too. You probably use a CB amp. You probably freband too. The biggest gayboys use ham radios on CB. Like that pinkmuffin mopeydopey. He doesn't even know he's a limpwrist, he just goes to the truckstop and slurps truck driver jizz nightly. Oh yeah and he begs on the internet to meet men. I have several posts where he asks to meet men anywhere he is so desperate for gay experiences.

683. Mopeydopey whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 04:55:51 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105505751.243992.89500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194322

```
Steveo wrote:
> You -is- sick, Doug.
>
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > I guess she IS walking the dog!
> >
> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
> > [46240 bytes]
> > end
> >
> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
> > [31915 bytes]
> > end
> >
> > ----------------------------------------
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 120,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
And you have come HOW much closer to shutting the AKC down?
```

684. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 04:57:27 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105505847.269835.95590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194323

and you do for a fan in ANY market

685. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:01:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105506092.035457.112820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194324

look at their cheese whistl fans...like YOU

686. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:15 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nc2Fd.9665$wZ2.225@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194325

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20582-41E437FE-472@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net...
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:24437-41E3D8B4-199
> @storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> The Fcc requires no jury of peers. re:part 95
> Tell that to N3CVJ and his buddy N8WWM. Davie lobbies hard telling the
> world that just because the FCC told the world N8WWM was jamming
> repeaters, it's not evidence of guilt.
>
>>It is not evidence of guilt they charged him
>
>>with nothing.
>
>
>
> N3CVJ says otherwise. He said his empirical observations are evidence of
> guilt.
>
>>and landshark said you must have a jury of
>
>>your peers decide you to be guilty.
>
>
>
> Landshark is correct. However, with certain charges brought by the FCC,
> exceptions abound.

Agreed. You have to sue the FCC to get your
fined reduced or refunded back to you, that's federal
justice for you.

Landshark

--
__
o /')
/' (,
__/') .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--..._____. .'
(_.)). `-._ <



687. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:15 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nc2Fd.9664$wZ2.4401@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194326
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
news:On_Ed.8293$Vj3.3346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> Steveo wrote:
>> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
>>>
>>>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
>>>guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
>>>manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet, bar
>>>none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
>>>
>>
>> You noticed that again, Julia!?
>>
>> Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make friends
>> fairly easy in real life too. :)
>>
>> At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
>>
>> My
>> Old
>> Pig
>> Ain't
>> Runnin'
> I always thought it was
>
> More
> Over-Priced
> Parts
> Are
> Required..
>
> Heh

Musty
Old
Parts
And
Rust..... :))))

Landshark

--
Hard things are put in our way,
not to stop us, but to call out our
courage and strength.

688. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:15 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nc2Fd.9666$wZ2.7349@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194330

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:6ev7u05j37jq06pt29g216u5cbgqajrnc0@4ax.com...
> Ok, so if I understand you correctly, you are now making the case
> that I cannot identify the exact station which is creating the
> splatter due to the sheer number of competing stations. Ok, you have a
> valid point in some cases. In many cases all you have is combined
> "noise", and it's impossible to distinguish any one individual.

BINGO!!!!! And just becuase of the sheer numbers of
operators, legal or illegal the DX alone is helping intesify
the splatter, because "everyone is trying to get into the
feeding frenzy.

On the
> other hand, especially on channel 6, there is always one or two
> stations which stand out head and shoulders above the pack.

Maybe where you are, not where I live. Chaneel 17 & 19
have the most noice when skip is running.

> You can
> plainly hear his splatter on adjacent channels. Those are the guys who
> I base my observations on.

Opinion, everyones entitled to one.

>
> Remember, I never said that *all* the stations on channel 6 are
> illegal, just the loud and proud ones.
>
>
> Then there is also the issue of aggregate signal differences. If the
> average noise/signal level on most of the 40 channels is running
> around S8, and while on channel 6, it is +10db over S9, that suggests
> that the average power level of the users there is at a higher level
> than those on the other channels. Skip doesn't favor any one channel
> (in a band as small as the CB band) over another so the conditions
> should be the same on all the channels.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Landshark

--

--
o /')


b) LANDSHARK

689. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:15 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nc2Fd.9667$wZ2.5731@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194331

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050111184910.659$Gd@newsreader.com...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> You, of all people, know what an illegal operator
>> sounds like.
>>
> Auuuuuuuddddiiiooo..auuuuuuuddddddiiiooooo.
>
> click click <g>

Careful, click click is considered amusement and
you microphone would then be illegal :P

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

690. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:16 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <oc2Fd.9669$wZ2.6855@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194327

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:bmt7u01qcbf77nl6rb2ooov1g7ne3snd7s@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:47 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:mv65u0plobrhpaqlc6uq521920o39ghvpc@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 09:27:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>And until Dave can provide an example where one of the allegedly
>>>>illegal operators he allegedly heard was found guilty, got an NAL, or
>>>>even admitted his guilt publically, then his allegations are nothing
>>>>more than his opinions, not facts.
>>>
>>> So you are of the Twisted notion that a person is not breaking the
>>> law until they are caught?
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>>Nope. They are not guilty of breaking any law until
>>a jury of their peers find them guilty with the evidence
>>given them through the judicial process.
>
> That's complete B.S.! You are guilty of a crime the minute you > commit
> it.

Oh.... So someone is guilty automatically when YOUR trained
"skills" tell you so. I can tell you have never served on a jury.

> The fact that in order for you to be incarcerated or otherwise
> punished for that crime requires a guilty verdict, does not
> negate your original infraction.

What infraction was that? YOUR trained "skills" in traffic
laws? Trained "skills" in FCC enforcement? Trained
"skills" in evidence gathering, law enforcement, law?

>
> This is an excuse often given by people who try to justify their
> selective disregard of certain laws they don't like.
>

Nope, that is the LAW of the land. Like it or not, you are
innocent until proving guilty, otherwise it's just called
vigilantism. That's why the peace officer (who is trained to observe, not
JUDGE) writes the ticket, you go to COURT to fight the ticket (in front of a
JUDGE, who usually is a lawyer
and or has been a peace officer) if you are innocent, if not
you pay the fine or do the time.

>
>>Not because
>>someone says "because they are on that channel,
>>they must be breaking the law".
>
> No, not because they are on the channel, but because they are on the
> channel and displaying certain verifiable traits which indicate the
> illegality of their transmissions.
>

Wow, your trained "skills" tell you by the signal, no df'ng,
no power readings in front of the offenders house that he
is illegal? AMAZING!

Now, you have an individual, he's driving erratic, weaving
in & out of traffic. You pull them over, order them out
of the car, speech is slurred, they are unsteady while standing,
eye lids are drooping, your trained "skills" tell you HE'S
DRUNK! Arrest him, take him to jail, no blood test, no
breath test, throw em into the drunk tank, right!

> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

691. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:16 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <oc2Fd.9668$wZ2.5084@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194329

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Km0Fd.254$aP2.94@fe11.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
>>
>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>>news:20050111185043.606$73@newsreader.com...
>>>
>>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>verifiable traits which indicate the
>>>>>illegality of their transmissions.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Fuckin' cooling fan sounds usually give me away. Dang.
>>>
>>>Open the window. God knows it's cold enough there to cool molten steel!
>>>
>>
>> Yeabut then you can hear the coal falling. I used to heat 14 acres of
>> greenhouse..my standby generator would power a city block!
>>
>> I still hate every Easter Lilly I ever see.
> dude i have a 2.2 megawatt generator at work. enough for 2k+ houses.
> sonofabitch roars when powered up...

Use that at the Keydowns, do ya? LOL!!!!

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

692. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:21 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <oc2Fd.9670$wZ2.3151@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194328

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
message news:JVZEd.5159$WZ1.2662@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95DBBF0FEBE4C1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>> news:FFREd.9369$wZ2.6224@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>> news:41e4d214.61013234@news.easynews.com...
>>>> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:46 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:41e2ac12.12383046@news.easynews.com...
>>>>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark"
>>>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>>>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net
>>>>>>>> (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>>>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>>>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have
>>>>>>>> is heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my
>>>>>>>> observations were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough
>>>>>>>> that a clean sample of any particular transmission could not be
>>>>>>>> made.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is that if "DX" isn't
>>>>>> running you wouldn't hear it.
>>>>>
>>>>>Probably, but if you have a 100 radio's and a third of
>>>>>them are running their modulation clipped, then you
>>>>>will hear it even worse, correct?
>>>>

>>>> It would only be worse because now you can hear the 100 radios.
>>>>
>>>>>> Splatter or out of bounds emissions are those falling outside the
>>>>>> normal bandwidth of a signal and are the result of modulation.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Correct
>>>>>
>>>>>> DX doesn't cause splatter it allows it to propgate farther.
>>>>>
>>>>>Correct. When you have a lot more radio's trying to talk
>>>>>on one freq, don't you think that it will now increase your
>>>>>adjacent channel splatter?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Only because you now can hear more radios. skip doesn't cause
>>>> splatter.
>>>>
>>>> Take the same 100 radios that were causing splatter when the skip was
>>>> running (all stations running S9). Now move them all so they are
>>>> local to you (again all stations running S9). From what you have
>>>> said you believe the splatter is going to decrease ?
>>>
>>> Well. It has been my experience that when skips
>>> running, you will have more incidents of adjacent
>>> channel splatter than when it's not. I'm sure "Skip"
>>> is not causing it, but it sure does "Heighten" it.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>
>> It doesnt heighten it assclowns think they need major watts to talk skip,
>> so you are hearing hundreds more amp bozos with thier splatter boxes
>> cranked up the reason it is heightened is because they are giving you a
>> strong enough signal to splatter your reciever, listen carefully I said
>> it in a post about 1 day ago, take a guy in your area in a mobile running
>> a 1kw class c splatter box, he is giving you 10+ on the meter and tearing
>> your radio up, as he drives further away he is still using the splatter
>> box, why isnt he bleeding over as bad or at all now? Because he isnt
>> overloading the front end of your reciever now his signal strength is
>> down..
>
> Very good George! I knew you had it in you.

Hey Randy, staying warm? I won't say that Geo's analogy is wrong.
Again, If you have one radio splattering ok, but when you have dozens of
radio's splattering, wouldn't the overload be worse than with just one
radio? Of course, then wouldn't that say that DX is helping the splatter
happen?

Landshark


LANDSHARK

693. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <tc2Fd.9671$wZ2.833@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194332

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050111201319.146$yZ@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050111190819.453
>> $sh_-_@newsreader.com:
>>
>> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
>> >> wrote: No kind of "normal" guy does.
>> >>
>> > Hey Randy, did you see what the Mets paid Beltran? Ridiculous!
>>
>> Brave, phille, mets all have big payrolls tough competition
>>
> You forgot the Yankees..them bastards are ruining baseball.

Did you see Johnson get in the fracas with the photographer?

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

694. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:03:27 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <uc2Fd.9672$wZ2.3482@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194345

```
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:gut7u0dsl0rsr72vtg649uenapq6r2et3f@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:46 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:0675u095l06j8nbi8s6aicajjh9pv2vslo@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have is
>>>>> heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my observations
>>>>> were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough that a clean sample
>>>>> of any particular transmission could not be made.
>>>>
>>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>
>>> No, it doesn't. Dx is simply an enhancement of the atmosphere which
>>> allows a signal to propagate farther then normal line of sight. It
>>> does not add "splatter" to an otherwise clean signal.
>>
>>Ah, that explains everything. So when a normal channel
>>has maybe 5 to 10 operators, add another 100 because of
>>skip conditions, of course there will be some running
>>clipped & mod radio's, you don't think that enhances
>>the splatter?
>
> Not to any one single radio signal. You are confusing heterodyning
> with splatter.
>
>
>>>>> So therefore it can be assumed that a roger beep and (even more
>>>>> definite) an echo box could be considered "entertainment" or
>>>>> "amusement" devices and, as such, are specifically prohibited.
>>>>>
```

>>>>> You can make the point that the FCC doesn't care enough to make a case
>>>>> about these things, and I would probably agree with you. But the fact
>>>>> remains that they are prohibited by the rules.
>>>>
>>>>We've gone over this before Dave, your wrong.
>>>
>>>
>>> I have referenced two part 95 rules which address both the issue of
>>> permissible non-voice transmissions and also prohibited transmissions
>>> which include devices which are used for entertainment and amusement.
>>>
>>> Conversely there are no rules which specifically allow either a roger
>>> beep (and other noise makers) or echo boxes. Since neither are defined
>>> under permissible non-voice transmissions, it can reasonably be
>>> concluded that these devices would be considered amusement or
>>> entertainment devices, and as such prohibited.
>>>
>>> You tell me I'm wrong, then please prove it by providing the rules
>>> which allow these devices.
>>
>>If I showed you CB radio's being sold BRAND NEW
>>with roger beeps, will that do?
>
> It will tell me that there are a few companies which are willing to
> play loose and fast with the rules, until they are spanked for it.
> Galaxy radios are notorious for catering to the illegal freeband
> market. It's no surprise that they push the limit. Knowing that the
> FCC is not all that interested in small CB rule issues, they take the
> gamble that they won't be judged. They're probably right....
>
>
> There is still nothing in the rules which allow for devices that can
> be considered entertainment.

Why Dave, I get ton's of entertainment from my
Cobra 146, but it's not illegal. Again, you are grasping
for straws on the roger beep, it's legal. Now the echo crap,
even if it was legal, it should be outlawed.

> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

695. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:08:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105505999.185463.228520@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194333
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

Let's all get happy and see your truck stop azz drown!

696. Re: Mopeydopey whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:10:18 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <_i2Fd.8532$RW3.913@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194334

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105505751.243992.89500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Steveo wrote:
>> You -is- sick, Doug.
>>
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> > I guess she IS walking the dog!
>> >
>> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
>> > [46240 bytes]
>> > end
>> >
>> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
>> > [31915 bytes]
>> > end
>> >
>> > --
>> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> > More than 120,000 groups
>> > Unlimited download
>> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> And you have come HOW much closer to shutting the AKC down?
>

Whatever fruitloop. You like to pretend the AKC is more than you. It's not, well....it could be. Maybe another of your fucked up persona, but it is NOT an organized anything. You sit there in your messed up shithole, up all night, spewing your filth, changing names, using offshore Usenet services, forging, surfing gay porn sites, foaming at the mouth, and banging on your keyboard while deluding yourself into believing you have done something. Not a pretty picture is it? You are truly one fucked up individual. No one cares to shut the AKC(you) down. YOU are pathetic and YOU don't matter.

697. Re: hey Doug Adair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:16:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050112001606.396$9L_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> and you do for a fan in ANY market
>
You're really losing control of yourself now, Doug Adair.

Go back to the brain doctor.

698. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:16:08 UTC
From: Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <BE0A1CC8.1588%julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Source record: 194336
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

On 1/11/05 10:39 PM, in article 20050111223914.653$gk@newsreader.com,
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 1/11/05 10:21 PM, in article 20050111222115.478$SB@newsreader.com,
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>> On 1/11/05 10:03 PM, in article 20050111220357.572$N2@newsreader.com,
>>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>> Nothing about silly tits or Shawna would make me look twice, unless
>>>>> someone was yelling something about implosion, Julia. :)
>>>>
>>>> LMAO.... That's about all that would get my attention as well (as far
>>>> as women and racks go)... But, it would serve her right, trying to
>>>> re-create an art that nature perfected.
>>>>
>>> That's exactly correct, screw botox and silicone princess's! (don't
>>> -screw- them, that's exactly what they want!)
>>
>> Well, dewd.... I think that's kinda what we all want ~ it's just not all
>> we want.. and most of us don't want (or need) to go to drastic measures
>> to get it done. Take care of what you've got and there should be no need
>> to replace it.
>>
> I think yore agreeing with me now so you must deposit more money. I'm
> listening to my weather scanner alert the rivers west and east of me to
> flood stages. So far so good here but we're supposed to get more rain
> tomorrow..make it snow and I'm happy! :)

LOL.... Well, spend some time in CT and you can get all the snow you want ~
at least we are having our fill of it tonight.... I think it's supposed to
turn to freezing rain anytime now though. Either way, it sounds good to me
~ both would make it a snow day for schools and I could use another day off.

>
> Reed Sorenson will be dominate in BGN this year. on topic for one of these
> groups now. :)

I hope you're right... At least, I hope he dominates it when Jamie isn't
running. Last I heard, Jamie wasn't scheduled to run every race so I can
divide my loyalties. And on a separate note, mark me down as one of the
skeptics over the new Chargers ~ I'm not liking the looks, or the testing

speeds in Daytona.

699. Re: hey Beltran

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:24:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050112002431.240$bA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194337

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050111201319.146$yZ@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050111190819.453 $sh_-_@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
> >> >> wrote: No kind of "normal" guy does.
> >> >>
> >> > Hey Randy, did you see what the Mets paid Beltran? Ridiculous!
> >>
> >> Brave, phille, mets all have big payrolls tough competition
> >>
> > You forgot the Yankees..them bastards are ruining baseball.
>
> Did you see Johnson get in the fracas with the photographer?
>
LOL, no I missed that. Sounds fitting tho.

700. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:27:08 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194338

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:oc2Fd.9670$wZ2.3151@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
> message news:JVZEd.5159$WZ1.2662@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote in message news:Xns95DBBF0FEBE4C1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>>> news:FFREd.9369$wZ2.6224@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>> news:41e4d214.61013234@news.easynews.com...
>>>>> On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 03:48:46 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:41e2ac12.12383046@news.easynews.com...
>>>>>>> On Sat, 08 Jan 2005 05:19:54 GMT, "Landshark"
>>>>>>> <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>>news:jchtt015vv23ohkgo426pto6drp2ssqeo5@4ax.com...
>>>>>>>>> On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 10:57:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net
>>>>>>>>> (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall) wrote:
>>>>>>>>> The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of splatter and the
>>>>>>>>> distortion a signal may have. The only effect that "DX" may have
>>>>>>>>> is heterodyning of co-channel signals. In any case, when my
>>>>>>>>> observations were made, the "DX" was not running heavy enough
>>>>>>>>> that a clean sample of any particular transmission could not be
>>>>>>>>> made.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Ummm, no Dave. DX has everything to do with DX splatter.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> The only thing DX has to do with DX splatter is that if "DX" isn't
>>>>>>> running you wouldn't hear it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Probably, but if you have a 100 radio's and a third of
```

>>>>>>them are running their modulation clipped, then you
>>>>>>will hear it even worse, correct?
>>>>>
>>>>> It would only be worse because now you can hear the 100 radios.
>>>>>
>>>>>>> Splatter or out of bounds emissions are those falling outside the
>>>>>>> normal bandwidth of a signal and are the result of modulation.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Correct
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> DX doesn't cause splatter it allows it to propgate farther.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Correct. When you have a lot more radio's trying to talk
>>>>>>on one freq, don't you think that it will now increase your
>>>>>>adjacent channel splatter?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Only because you now can hear more radios. skip doesn't cause
>>>>> splatter.
>>>>>
>>>>> Take the same 100 radios that were causing splatter when the skip was
>>>>> running (all stations running S9). Now move them all so they are
>>>>> local to you (again all stations running S9). From what you have
>>>>> said you believe the splatter is going to decrease ?
>>>>
>>>> Well. It has been my experience that when skips
>>>> running, you will have more incidents of adjacent
>>>> channel splatter than when it's not. I'm sure "Skip"
>>>> is not causing it, but it sure does "Heighten" it.
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>
>>> It doesnt heighten it assclowns think they need major watts to talk
>>> skip,
>>> so you are hearing hundreds more amp bozos with thier splatter boxes
>>> cranked up the reason it is heightened is because they are giving you a
>>> strong enough signal to splatter your reciever, listen carefully I said
>>> it in a post about 1 day ago, take a guy in your area in a mobile
>>> running
>>> a 1kw class c splatter box, he is giving you 10+ on the meter and
>>> tearing
>>> your radio up, as he drives further away he is still using the splatter
>>> box, why isnt he bleeding over as bad or at all now? Because he isnt
>>> overloading the front end of your reciever now his signal strength is
>>> down..
>>
>> Very good George! I knew you had it in you.
>
> Hey Randy, staying warm? I won't say that Geo's analogy is wrong.

> Again, If you have one radio splattering ok, but when you have dozens of
> radio's splattering, wouldn't the overload be worse than with just one
> radio? Of course, then wouldn't that say that DX is helping the splatter
> happen?
>
> Landshark

No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest. What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the signal to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make the signal stronger. What you have to remember is, in the very small distances we are talking about (thousands of miles), that radio waves do not degrade much in what is almost a line of sight path. I realize the Earth is curved, but so is the atmosphere, and at times it makes an excellent mirror. So, you have basically taken normal attenuation for over the horizon and ground loss out of the equation. That's why the signals can splatter with skip.

Oh, and it's in the 70's here now. Where the hell was winter?

701. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:27:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050112002730.090$9B@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194339

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:



Hold still while I get my snag rig ready, any choice in colors?

702. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:30:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050112003049.467$kW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194340

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050111184910.659$Gd@newsreader.com...
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> You, of all people, know what an illegal operator
> >> sounds like.
> >>
> > Auuuuuuuddddiiiooo..auuuuuuuddddddiiioooo.
> >
> > click click <g>
>
> Careful, click click is considered amusement and
> you microphone would then be illegal :P
>
> Landshark
>
Reckon a Browning ping with a roger beep is over-kill?

703. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:35:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050112003505.191$Wx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194341
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:On_Ed.8293$Vj3.3346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
> >>>>>
> >>>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
> >>>
> >>>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
> >>>guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
> >>>manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet,
> >>>bar none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
> >>>
> >>
> >> You noticed that again, Julia!?
> >>
> >> Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make
> >> friends fairly easy in real life too. :)
> >>
> >> At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
> >>
> >> My
> >> Old
> >> Pig
> >> Ain't
> >> Runnin'
> > I always thought it was
> >
> > More
> > Over-Priced
> > Parts
> > Are
> > Required..
> >
> > Heh

>
> Musty
> Old
> Parts
> And
> Rust..... :))))
>
> Landshark
>
Most
Often
Passed
At
Races

704. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 05:43:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050112004304.533$Qt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194342
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> On 1/11/05 10:39 PM, in article 20050111223914.653$gk@newsreader.com,
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> > I think yore agreeing with me now so you must deposit more money. I'm
> > listening to my weather scanner alert the rivers west and east of me to
> > flood stages. So far so good here but we're supposed to get more rain
> > tomorrow..make it snow and I'm happy! :)
>
> LOL.... Well, spend some time in CT and you can get all the snow you want
> ~ at least we are having our fill of it tonight.... I think it's supposed
> to turn to freezing rain anytime now though. Either way, it sounds good
> to me ~ both would make it a snow day for schools and I could use another
> day off.
>
See, we're never happy. Your day off is my busy day. Dang, too many
choices! :)
>
> >
> > Reed Sorenson will be dominate in BGN this year. on topic for one of
> > these groups now. :)
>
> I hope you're right... At least, I hope he dominates it when Jamie isn't
> running. Last I heard, Jamie wasn't scheduled to run every race so I can
> divide my loyalties. And on a separate note, mark me down as one of the
> skeptics over the new Chargers ~ I'm not liking the looks, or the testing
> speeds in Daytona.
>
What's up with that Charger? The street version is fasioned after the
300C. Got yer goose step down yet??

705. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 11:56:41 UTC
From: Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <BE0A7AA9.15FA%julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com>
Source record: 194343
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

On 1/12/05 12:43 AM, in article 20050112004304.533$Qt@newsreader.com,
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>> On 1/11/05 10:39 PM, in article 20050111223914.653$gk@newsreader.com,
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>> I think yore agreeing with me now so you must deposit more money. I'm
>>> listening to my weather scanner alert the rivers west and east of me to
>>> flood stages. So far so good here but we're supposed to get more rain
>>> tomorrow..make it snow and I'm happy! :)
>>
>> LOL.... Well, spend some time in CT and you can get all the snow you want
>> ~ at least we are having our fill of it tonight.... I think it's supposed
>> to turn to freezing rain anytime now though. Either way, it sounds good
>> to me ~ both would make it a snow day for schools and I could use another
>> day off.
>>
> See, we're never happy. Your day off is my busy day. Dang, too many
> choices! :)

LOL... Of course we are ~ we have a happy compromise this morning... I've go
a delayed opening so I don't have to go to work until late and since the
snow stopped fairly early, you wouldn't have to plow all day ~ see, that
works... :)

>>> Reed Sorenson will be dominate in BGN this year. on topic for one of
>>> these groups now. :)
>>
>> I hope you're right... At least, I hope he dominates it when Jamie isn't
>> running. Last I heard, Jamie wasn't scheduled to run every race so I can
>> divide my loyalties. And on a separate note, mark me down as one of the
>> skeptics over the new Chargers ~ I'm not liking the looks, or the testing
>> speeds in Daytona.
>>
> What's up with that Charger? The street version is fasioned after the
> 300C. Got yer goose step down yet??

My what?!?!?... If has anything to do with getting a Charger, no, that won't
be happening ~ it's fugly, I'll stick with the Jeep, thank you... ;)

706. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 11:59:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <m64au01ncg1507jorntg77b9uk4pn1qltp@4ax.com>
Source record: 194344

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 13:15:34 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 07:56:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 17:29:28 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 10 Jan 2005 09:40:30 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>>>On Fri, 7 Jan 2005 15:37:50 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>>wrote:
>>>>N3CVJ said
>>>>>The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount of
>>>>>splatter and the distortion a signal may have.
>>>>
>>>>It has everything to with it. For the amount of times you professed to
>>>>having talked skip on the freeband, followed by recent denials of you
>>>>talking skip, you should know that on MANY occasion, a signal can be
>>>>severely wavering from an S1 to an S9 (for but one of many
>>>>examples),,,when that signal is coming in at an S9, the splatter may be
>>>>intense if you changed the channel and went one up or down. When that
>>>>signal is coming in on a wavering S1, you will hear absolutely nothing
>>>>on your next channel. Once again, the wavering is a direct result
>>>>of...taa daaa....skip.
>>>>
>>>
>>>You may not hear anything on the next channel because the signal may
>>>not be strong enough or because of "selective fading" . Splatter is
>>>caused by the modulation, it may or may not be intensified by skip.
>>> But it is not caused by "skip" If you had a constant carrier(no
>>>modulation), skip or not, you wouldn't have splatter.
>>
>>He probably thinks that FM won't skip either......
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>Who?

The person who thinks skip causes an otherwise clean signal to
splatter.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

707. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 13:51:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <r28au0h5h4gj2rr3n2168q7d47qpe0l3d8@4ax.com>
Source record: 194346

On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 10:52:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <4mj7u0h8u55vclse1f6aanrlg6rs86ar54@4ax.com>:

<snip>

BTW, there are apparently 1800 overvotes in King County, which makes a
legitimate case for contesting the election.

Now I could support his case except if that was the only issue. But
it's not. One problem I have is when Rossi made his 'non-concession'
speech he claimed that he didn't want or need the job. So I don't see
the point for the state to spend millions of dollars so Rossi can get
another chance at a job he doesn't even want.

Also, Gregoire has conducted herself professionally; during the hand
recount she stated publically that she would accept the result
regardless of the victor.

OTOH, after the hand recount Rossi has been making an ass of himself
just like Gore did in 2000. Even worse -- when he was in the lead by a
mere 42 votes he held a victory party, took a Carribean cruise, then
came back and announced his transistion team -- without a single
complaint about the legitimacy of the results. Now that he's losing by
a slightly larger margin, legitimacy is his primary reason for
demanding a second election. And when Gregoire went to court to get
legitimate votes counted, Rossi whined that the election should not be
decided by the courts, yet that's exactly what he's trying to do now
and for the very same reason.

So circumstances are a little different here than in Ohio. I'll fully
support voting reform in this state, but I won't support a hypocrite
governor. Hell, even Kerry had the decency to stand down in order to
preserve the integrity of the office and his party -- Rossi is just
being a crybaby a-la Gore.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

708. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 14:06:54 UTC
From: horseshoe7 <horseshoe7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105538814.118052.221320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194347
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

Jeff Inderof wrote:
>
> Have you considered a 5-watt family radio that may be more
> stable .... or a connection via telephone for a more
> dependable connection?

Use VHF MURS in the woods for handheld apps (VHF works much better than UHF FRS or GMRS in the woods, and HF CB handhelds aren't worth darn).

The best solution is to get yourself a decent commercial base station radio with decent base station antenna for the home site. Choose a good radio that has a Carrier Detect output (cheap radios won't have this feature), then tie that output to a digitally-controlled relay, and you can turn your light on and off remotely.

A cheaper option could be to perform surgery on a cheap-ass radio... if you can get a schematic, find the Carrier Detect(Squelch Break) signal, and solder a lead from it to your digitally-controlled relay.
- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

709. D104

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 14:16:28 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e52fb3_5@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 194348

I'm looking for either a D104 Silver Eagle or a Golden Eagle microphone.
Any ideas where I might find one these days?

--
Kevin, WB5RUE
http://www.eaglecreekobservatory.org
Eagles may soar, but weasels don't get sucked into jet engines.

replace bitbucket by wb5rue in my email to respond.

710. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 14:17:45 UTC
From: Scott Seidman <namdiesttocs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95DC5E77296D3scottseidmanmindspri@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 194349
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1105538814.118052.221320
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> Jeff Inderof wrote:
>>
>> Have you considered a 5-watt family radio that may be more
>> stable .... or a connection via telephone for a more
>> dependable connection?
>
> Use VHF MURS in the woods for handheld apps (VHF works much better than
> UHF FRS or GMRS in the woods, and HF CB handhelds aren't worth darn).
>
> The best solution is to get yourself a decent commercial base station
> radio with decent base station antenna for the home site. Choose a
> good radio that has a Carrier Detect output (cheap radios won't have
> this feature), then tie that output to a digitally-controlled relay,
> and you can turn your light on and off remotely.
>
> A cheaper option could be to perform surgery on a cheap-ass radio... if
> you can get a schematic, find the Carrier Detect(Squelch Break) signal,
> and solder a lead from it to your digitally-controlled relay.
> - Stewart
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN
>
>

I'd do it right with a cheap radio. Hook up the same wires that go to the
speaker dual tone detecting chip, hook up the output of that chip to a
flip-flop. Play touch tones into your radio to turn the lights on and off.
This way, its highly unlikely that any other radio users in the area will
turn your lights on and off.

Scott

711. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 14:42:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11737-41E5373D-38@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194354

N3CVJ:
>The person who thinks skip causes an

>otherwise clean signal to splatter.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

You are the *only* person to mention such a monster.

712. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 14:43:30 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mIaFd.1039$8Z1.529@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194352

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050112002730.090$9B@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
> __
> o /')
> /' (,
> __/') .' `;
> o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
> _.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
> (_.)). `-._ <
> `\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
> `---......____.....---`.___.'----... .' `.;
> `-` `
>
> Hold still while I get my snag rig ready, any choice in colors?

LOL!!! just use a good quality beer, that hooks me
every time.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

713. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 14:43:31 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nlaFd.1041$8Z1.771@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194350
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050112003505.191$Wx@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
>> news:On_Ed.8293$Vj3.3346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>> > Steveo wrote:
>> >> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>Steveo wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
>> >>>
>> >>>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
>> >>>guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
>> >>>manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet,
>> >>>bar none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >> You noticed that again, Julia!?
>> >>
>> >> Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make
>> >> friends fairly easy in real life too. :)
>> >>
>> >> At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
>> >>
>> >> My
>> >> Old
>> >> Pig
>> >> Ain't
>> >> Runnin'
>> > I always thought it was
>> >
>> > More
>> > Over-Priced
>> > Parts
>> > Are
>> > Required..

>> >
>> > Heh
>>
>> Musty
>> Old
>> Parts
>> And
>> Rust..... :))))
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Most
> Often
> Passed
> At
> Races

Found
On
Road
Dead

Fix
Or
Repair
Daily

Landshark

--


714. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 14:43:31 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nlaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194351

"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
> What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
> is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the signal
> to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make the signal
> stronger.

Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase
the signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations,
obviously some splattering, some not, it will create an extra
amount of splatter because they are all trying to get into the
feeding frenzy of the DX.

> What you have to remember is, in the very small distances we are talking
> about (thousands of miles), that radio waves do not degrade much in what
> is almost a line of sight path. I realize the Earth is curved, but so is
> the atmosphere, and at times it makes an excellent mirror. So, you have
> basically taken normal attenuation for over the horizon and ground loss
> out of the equation. That's why the signals can splatter with skip.
>
> Oh, and it's in the 70's here now. Where the hell was winter?

Send some our way!!!!! Just finally stopped raining.
Lake Tahoe got over 20' of snow in a two week period.
Our rain fall is something around 179% of normal and
we are not in the heaviest part of the rain season.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

715. Re: D104

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 15:04:15 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <a9bFd.4017$2W5.2375@fe09.lga>
Source record: 194353

Hi Kevin,

I have a spare Golden Eagle, drop me an e-mail.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782
"Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e52fb3_5@127.0.0.1...
> I'm looking for either a D104 Silver Eagle or a Golden Eagle microphone.
> Any ideas where I might find one these days?
>
> --
> Kevin, WB5RUE
> http://www.eaglecreekobservatory.org
> Eagles may soar, but weasels don't get sucked into jet engines.
>
> replace bitbucket by wb5rue in my email to respond.
>
>

716. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 17:09:29 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <URcFd.947$EZ7.904@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.is.my.chip.still.working

"Psychiatrist to akc sockpuppets" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> mewled
in and ((mentally masterbating)) in predictable, cowardly message...
news:1105492510.035281.264860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> so you are offering to strike me for a hundred bucks? Hell, oughta send
> you the cash myself, then I can feel totally justified if I happen to
> find a shotgun laying around somewhere and it accidentally separates
> your turd container (skull) from your torso.
>

Is it not unlawful for a mentally ill, convicted felon to possess a firearm????

All you can do is peek outside, thru your filthy slum curtains. Now go back
to whacking off to *your sick, violent fantasies*.. you cowardly dweeb.......

717. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 17:13:13 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105549992.59f516eabe7cee84fc731a4bea5a0615@teranews>
Source record: 194357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

I-zheet M'drurz wrote:
> The Grim Reaper wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?
>>
>> Tom and Doug should get a room. That is if Doug brings his
>> child with him.
>
> Can't resist, can you Loser?

How can anyone resist tormenting you, pedofreak? You have been the most annoying thing in this group for 5 years running.

718. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 17:13:17 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <NUcFd.8472$Vj3.4813@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194356
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

Landshark wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050112003505.191$Wx@newsreader.com...
>
>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>>>"SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
>>>news:On_Ed.8293$Vj3.3346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I can
>>>>>>guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~ you
>>>>>>manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on Usenet,
>>>>>>bar none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You noticed that again, Julia!?
>>>>>
>>>>>Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make
>>>>>friends fairly easy in real life too. :)
>>>>>
>>>>>At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
>>>>>
>>>>>My
>>>>>Old
>>>>>Pig
>>>>>Ain't

>>>>>Runnin'
>>>>
>>>>I always thought it was
>>>>
>>>>More
>>>>Over-Priced
>>>>Parts
>>>>Are
>>>>Required..
>>>>
>>>>Heh
>>>
>>>Musty
>>>Old
>>>Parts
>>>And
>>>Rust..... :))))
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>
>>Most
>>Often
>>Passed
>>At
>>Races
>
>
> Found
> On
> Road
> Dead
>
> Fix
> Or
> Repair
> Daily
>
> Landshark
>
>
Backwards:

Driver
Returns
On
Foot

-C

719. Re: D104

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 17:24:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050112122417.498$wF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194358

"Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I'm looking for either a D104 Silver Eagle or a Golden Eagle microphone.
> Any ideas where I might find one these days?
>
Ebay has some.

http://search.ebay.com/D104-microphone_W0QQfkrZ1QQfromZR8

720. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 17:26:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050112122638.351$0g@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194359
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050112003505.191$Wx@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
> >> news:On_Ed.8293$Vj3.3346@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >> > Steveo wrote:
> >> >> Julia <julia.dmbangel2004@gmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Steveo wrote:
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>wing_flapper@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>>did it make you feel all better steveo?
> >> >>>>>
> >> >>>>
> >> >>>>Yes. Did the forge rock your world?
> >> >>>
> >> >>>dewd... I didn't bother even looking at the links, but I'm sure I
> >> >>>can guess... I've said it before but it certainly bears repeating ~
> >> >>>you manage to pick up the most bizarre pets I have ever seen on
> >> >>>Usenet, bar none. What's up with dat?!?!... ;)
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >> You noticed that again, Julia!?
> >> >>
> >> >> Must me my typing skills or some such thing, I dunno. I do make
> >> >> friends fairly easy in real life too. :)
> >> >>
> >> >> At any rate, rock on Mopar drivers!
> >> >>
> >> >> My
> >> >> Old
> >> >> Pig
> >> >> Ain't
> >> >> Runnin'
> >> > I always thought it was
> >> >
> >> > More
> >> > Over-Priced
> >> > Parts
> >> > Are

> >> > Required..
> >> >
> >> > Heh
> >>
> >> Musty
> >> Old
> >> Parts
> >> And
> >> Rust..... :))))
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Most
> > Often
> > Passed
> > At
> > Races
>
> Found
> On
> Road
> Dead
>
> Fix
> Or
> Repair
> Daily
>
> Landshark
>
Mounds
Of
Power
And
Revs

721. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 17:43:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <l5oau0h7dq7kf31ma8pc0s5u21qid9eh0h@4ax.com>
Source record: 194360

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 05:51:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 10:52:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <4mj7u0h8u55vclse1f6aanrlg6rs86ar54@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>
>BTW, there are apparently 1800 overvotes in King County, which makes a
>legitimate case for contesting the election.

This is all you have to write. If there is sufficient evidence of
fraud then there should be changes made ana new election conducted,
with stricter oversight to prevent the same thing from happening
again.

>
>Now I could support his case except if that was the only issue. But
>it's not. One problem I have is when Rossi made his 'non-concession'
>speech he claimed that he didn't want or need the job. So I don't see
>the point for the state to spend millions of dollars so Rossi can get
>another chance at a job he doesn't even want.
>
>Also, Gregoire has conducted herself professionally; during the hand
>recount she stated publically that she would accept the result
>regardless of the victor.
>
>OTOH, after the hand recount Rossi has been making an ass of himself
>just like Gore did in 2000. Even worse -- when he was in the lead by a
>mere 42 votes he held a victory party, took a Carribean cruise, then
>came back and announced his transistion team -- without a single
>complaint about the legitimacy of the results. Now that he's losing by
>a slightly larger margin, legitimacy is his primary reason for
>demanding a second election. And when Gregoire went to court to get
>legitimate votes counted, Rossi whined that the election should not be
>decided by the courts, yet that's exactly what he's trying to do now
>and for the very same reason.
>
>So circumstances are a little different here than in Ohio. I'll fully
>support voting reform in this state, but I won't support a hypocrite
>governor. Hell, even Kerry had the decency to stand down in order to
>preserve the integrity of the office and his party -- Rossi is just
>being a crybaby a-la Gore.

It sounds like you are letting your personal feeling WRT Rossi cloud your objective conclusion that the vote was tainted. It is irrelevant how any one candidate behaved. What is relevant is that there is a good chance that the person who "won" the election, may not have been the people's true choice. We won't know that unless those discrepancies are resolved.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

722. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 17:47:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <sjoau0lp6d3icn6q9b8irn4g68i2jo2h5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 194361
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 14:43:31 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>Found
>On
>Road
>Dead
>
>Fix
>Or
>Repair
>Daily
>

Um.... That's:

First
On
Race
Day.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

723. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 18:08:41 UTC
From: HorneTD <hornetd@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <JIdFd.5533$Ii4.4642@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 194362
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

horseshoe7 wrote:
> Jeff Inderof wrote:
>
>>Have you considered a 5-watt family radio that may be more
>>stable .... or a connection via telephone for a more
>>dependable connection?
>
>
> Use VHF MURS in the woods for handheld apps (VHF works much better than
> UHF FRS or GMRS in the woods, and HF CB handhelds aren't worth darn).
>
> The best solution is to get yourself a decent commercial base station
> radio with decent base station antenna for the home site. Choose a
> good radio that has a Carrier Detect output (cheap radios won't have
> this feature), then tie that output to a digitally-controlled relay,
> and you can turn your light on and off remotely.
>
> A cheaper option could be to perform surgery on a cheap-ass radio... if
> you can get a schematic, find the Carrier Detect(Squelch Break) signal,
> and solder a lead from it to your digitally-controlled relay.
> - Stewart
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN
>

Doesn't modifying these radios make them unlawful to use? I thought
they had to be "type accepted" to be usable on this service. Doesn't
that mean that they were accepted as originally built by the
manufacturer? I know that hams modify the radios they use all the time
but that is under different rules is it not?
--
Tom H

724. Re: Mopeydopey whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 18:22:43 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105554163.346911.10440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194363

U Know Who wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1105505751.243992.89500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> You -is- sick, Doug.
> >>
> >>
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> > I guess she IS walking the dog!
> >> >
> >> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
> >> > [46240 bytes]
> >> > end
> >> >
> >> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
> >> > [31915 bytes]
> >> > end
> >> >
> >> > _____
> >> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> > More than 120,000 groups
> >> > Unlimited download
> >> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> > And you have come HOW much closer to shutting the AKC down?
> >
>
> Whatever fruitloop. You like to pretend the AKC is more than you.
It's not,
> well....it could be. Maybe another of your fucked up persona, but it
is NOT
> an organized anything. You sit there in your messed up shithole, up
all
> night, spewing your filth, changing names, using offshore Usenet
services,
> forging, surfing gay porn sites, foaming at the mouth, and banging on
your
> keyboard while deluding yourself into believing you have done
something. Not
> a pretty picture is it? You are truly one fucked up individual. No
one cares
> to shut the AKC(you) down. YOU are pathetic and YOU don't matter.

Nope, you'd like to think that, but it aint so. The only name I post under is right here. 100% of all posts that appear to come from anyone but this nick is/are someone else.

As for the AKC not mattering, HA! It made you bark and whine through your daze, crackhead.

725. Re: ATTN TRUCKERS: if you use a CB amplifier you are GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 18:26:18 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105554378.207833.97720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194364

Who let you have a computer? I bet your limpwrist senator Kerry or
Kennedy did.

726. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 18:45:43 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e66e72.166579531@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194365
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

On 12 Jan 2005 06:06:54 -0800, "horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>Jeff Inderof wrote:
>>
>> Have you considered a 5-watt family radio that may be more
>> stable .... or a connection via telephone for a more
>> dependable connection?
>
>Use VHF MURS in the woods for handheld apps (VHF works much better than
>UHF FRS or GMRS in the woods, and HF CB handhelds aren't worth darn).
>
>The best solution is to get yourself a decent commercial base station
>radio with decent base station antenna for the home site. Choose a
>good radio that has a Carrier Detect output (cheap radios won't have
>this feature), then tie that output to a digitally-controlled relay,
>and you can turn your light on and off remotely.
>
>A cheaper option could be to perform surgery on a cheap-ass radio... if
>you can get a schematic, find the Carrier Detect(Squelch Break) signal,
>and solder a lead from it to your digitally-controlled relay.
>- Stewart
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

Instead of cutting into a radio, go to a sidewalk sale and pick up a
microphone with a DTMF keyboard on it. Put this on your radio in your
car.

get this kit:

http://www.ramseyelectronics.com/cgi-bin/commerce.exe?preadd=action&key=TT7

Put that on the output of your radio at your cabin. You then should be
able to switch a relay at the cabin end when you hit the correct tone.
If your scared of wiring the DTMF decode directly to an A.C. line,
buy a Night sensor outdoor light. Most of them have a manual "ON"
switch the could be wired to your DTMF decoder.

727. Re: D104

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 20:05:29 UTC
From: "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41e58173$1_5@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 194366

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050112122417.498$wF@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin, WB5RUE" <bitbucket@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > I'm looking for either a D104 Silver Eagle or a Golden Eagle microphone.
> > Any ideas where I might find one these days?
> >
> Ebay has some.
>
> http://search.ebay.com/D104-microphone_W0QQfkrZ1QQfromZR8

Awesome! Thanks.

728. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 21:06:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0b4bu056hgomd7vhhak7n6iv383oguvrjj@4ax.com>
Source record: 194367

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 12:43:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <l5oau0h7dq7kf31ma8pc0s5u21qid9eh0h@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 05:51:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 10:52:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <4mj7u0h8u55vclse1f6aanrlg6rs86ar54@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>BTW, there are apparently 1800 overvotes in King County, which makes a
>>legitimate case for contesting the election.
>
>This is all you have to write. If there is sufficient evidence of
>fraud then there should be changes made ana new election conducted,
>with stricter oversight to prevent the same thing from happening
>again.

If there is sufficient evidence of fraud (and at this point that
appears to be the case) then there -should- be changes made. But as
far as a new election, why bother when Rossi doesn't even want the
job?

>>Now I could support his case except if that was the only issue. But
>>it's not. One problem I have is when Rossi made his 'non-concession'
>>speech he claimed that he didn't want or need the job. So I don't see
>>the point for the state to spend millions of dollars so Rossi can get
>>another chance at a job he doesn't even want.
>>
>>Also, Gregoire has conducted herself professionally; during the hand
>>recount she stated publically that she would accept the result
>>regardless of the victor.
>>
>>OTOH, after the hand recount Rossi has been making an ass of himself
>>just like Gore did in 2000. Even worse -- when he was in the lead by a
>>mere 42 votes he held a victory party, took a Carribean cruise, then
>>came back and announced his transistion team -- without a single
>>complaint about the legitimacy of the results. Now that he's losing by
>>a slightly larger margin, legitimacy is his primary reason for
>>demanding a second election. And when Gregoire went to court to get
>>legitimate votes counted, Rossi whined that the election should not be
>>decided by the courts, yet that's exactly what he's trying to do now
>>and for the very same reason.
>>

>>So circumstances are a little different here than in Ohio. I'll fully
>>support voting reform in this state, but I won't support a hypocrite
>>governor. Hell, even Kerry had the decency to stand down in order to
>>preserve the integrity of the office and his party -- Rossi is just
>>being a crybaby a-la Gore.
>
>It sounds like you are letting your personal feeling WRT Rossi cloud
>your objective conclusion that the vote was tainted. It is irrelevant
>how any one candidate behaved. What is relevant is that there is a
>good chance that the person who "won" the election, may not have been
>the people's true choice. We won't know that unless those
>discrepancies are resolved.

If that's the case then Gore should be in office, not Bush. And while I may not like Bush, I -really- don't like the idea of Gore taking the helm after watching his tantrums during the 2000 election. Same deal with Rossi. And yes, that's just my opinion.

729. social commentary, performance art or smut?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 21:33:55 UTC
From: thruthewoods32@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1105565635.368492.84180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194368

I just came across the website for a video called "hunting for bambi".
>From the looks of it, it seems to be a low-brow stab at the OLN/Playboy
genres of cable networks, but also comes across as a misogynist
celebration of gun culture. Does anybody have any insight or opinions
as to what else it could be construed as?
The preview is on www.teambambi.com

730. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-12 23:13:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11737-41E5AF1E-101@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194369

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
in message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the
signal to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make
the signal stronger.
-
Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
_
>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you

>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength

>that's exactly what it does.

That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
had nothing to do with the signal strength concerning splatter.

>Ever try to talk skip barefoot when there is no

>Dx conditions? Try it when skip is running.

>What changed ? your signal strength, it took

>you from un intelligable to a s7, 8,9 + or what

>ever strength signal that you give. If 5000

>stations all keyed up together, which happens

>everyday there signal strength doesnt add to

>each other, your reciever is only going to

>discerne the strongest signal. Another

>example then i am done with you, twisty and

>you should read some books or get on the air

Excuse you, but as long as you permit me to keep you ashamed of your status as a lousy hammie, you can continue to embarrass yourself with your incompetence and incontinence. As of this post, you are no longer even coherent, as I'm not even quoted above, yet you find yourself calling out to me. Such double-digit IQ behavior really illustrates your difficulties with proper communication. Consider yourself deloused for the day.

731. Re: CB test equipment & tune up manuals for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 01:18:54 UTC
From: CSH2488 <hulsencs@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105579134.214836.276600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194370

Dear Sir,
I'm looking for a user/service manual for a
Lafayette 99-33094 Antena Impedance meter.
Any info if you have it would be helpfull.
Thanks,
Chris Hulsen
hulsencs@hotmail.com

732. The objective of eScrew.com is to destroy Capitalist system of governance. Many people tried to destroy Capitalist system before but all of them failed. The reason for that is their luck of understanding of Capitalist system. If you can find the heart of Capitalist system, you can find a way to destroy it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 08:10:17 UTC
From: eScrewDotCom@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1105603817.033372.36250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194371

The objective of eScrew.com is to destroy Capitalist system of governance. Many people tried to destroy Capitalist system before but all of them failed. The reason for that is their luck of understanding of Capitalist system. If you can find the heart of Capitalist system, you can find a way to destroy it.

Cheap energy is the heart of Capitalist system. Expansion and conquest is the direct result of cheap energy. If we can destroy cheap energy we can destroy Capitalism. In order to destroy cheap energy we must increase the demand for cheap energy to a point where supply will not be able to deliver the goods. As a result energy will become expensive. Expensive energy will decrease the stability of Capitalist system and launch a fatal chain of events which eventually will destroy Capitalism.

In order for us to win this war we must keep having unprotected sex. As a result, population growth will explode and burry the Capitalist system once and for all. Abortion is immoral and helps Capitalist system to survive, therefore it must be illegal. Marriage helps to integrate children into Capitalist system and therefore it must be illegal. It is difficult to abandon pregnant woman but at the same time single pregnant woman is our best weapon in war against capitalism. Our Capitalist enemies will try to disarm our "Pregnant Weapon Of Mass Destruction" so our power is in numbers. We must unleash millions or even billions of PWODs and they will do their dirty work in a matter of few decades.

Fighter against Capitalist system must obey laws. We want to destroy the Capitalism from inside but not from inside the bars. If you get into prison you will loose the war. It is the equivalency of being killed. The resistance will miss you. We need all the men we can get and all the women we can get pregnant.

Our major task is to consume as much resources as possible. Some idiots inside the resistance argue that we must stop doing shopping and it will do some damage to the economy. Such people should be considered as Capitalist spies and treated as such. True fighters must do as much shopping as possible and consume maximum amount of recourses while spending minimum amount of cash. Buy latest sport utility vehicle with the biggest engine and get as many girls pregnant as possible. The sooner we run out of oil the better.

Even as you read eScrew.com you help us to waste some bandwidth in a way that will hurt Capitalist system. In order to download 200 kilobytes from eScrew.com you must use certain amount electricity and bandwidth. This electricity and bandwidth is no longer available for others. By downloading these 200 kilobytes you help to depreciate the web server which hosts eScrew.com as well as wire network which helps to deliver the information to your computer. eScrew.com is truly grateful to all who do their hard work of destroying Capitalist system. Together we will prevail.

Most of the stuff which you will find on eScrew.com might not make a lot of sense. Keep in mind that the objective of eScrew.com is not to serve your selfish interests but to benefit all of us by destroying Capitalist system of governance.

eScrew is eScrew and this is eScrew story. eScrew will tell you eScrew story if you promise eScrew to consider eScrew story as joke. eScrew story is very funny. eScrew story is so funny that eScrew will have to take break from time to time because eScrew needs some rest from laughing. Oh boy, here it comes... eScrew funny laugh laughing screaming crying must stop can not take any more this is killing eScrew going nuts insane feeling explosion inside from joy and nirvana god help eScrew heavenly spirit can you beat this hahahah. If you get offended by eScrew story in any way you should not get angry at eScrew. Consider possibility that your sense of humor is on vocation and your sense of anger is having some fun. Also, consider possibility that eScrew story can make you go insane. In that case eScrew shall carry no liability should you undergo any medical treatment or any other sort of treatment related to damage caused by reading eScrew story or to damage caused by eScrew unwillingly or otherwise.

eScrew story begins in time of darkness, horror and suffering as well as love joy and bliss when eScrew existed in this planet but yet eScrew was not aware that it was eScrew. eScrew existed among very powerful symbols. First symbol eScrew recognized was body. eScrew realized that eScrew had connection to body, yet nature and essence of connection was not clear. Symbol of body was very powerful and for nine month eScrew was trying to find out why it was connected to this body. At some point body divided itself into two parts. That experience was very painful for eScrew. It was first time that eScrew felt symbol of pain. eScrew did not like this symbol. eScrew was aware of connection to very small body. This small body was hot. eScrew enjoyed symbol of heat. eScrew became aware of symbol of pleasure. eScrew enjoyed symbol of pleasure. eScrew realized eScrew prefers symbol of pleasure more than symbol of pain. eScrew became aware of symbol of mother. eScrew realized that symbol of mother is source of symbol of pleasure and pain. eScrew wanted to experience symbol of pleasure always. When symbol of pleasure was missing eScrew experienced symbol of pain which was related to symbol of crying and screaming. Soon eScrew realized that eScrew can connect to symbol of pleasure by experiencing symbol of crying. That was very important discovery since eScrew realized that symbols of pain and pleasure do not behave randomly but can be manipulated by other

symbols. eScrew enjoyed symbol of manipulation. eScrew realized that all symbols interact with each other. eScrew learned how to connect to new symbols. eScrew discovered symbol of sound and related symbol of language. eScrew realized that language allows to connect to new symbols. eScrew realized that symbols can be memorized and stored for future use. eScrew realized that it can create new symbols by combining certain symbols together. eScrew became aware of symbol of self. Are you bored yet? If you are reading these symbols you need to get life. Just joking. You can rest now. eScrew suspects you could be confused by eScrew style of using symbols. Well, there is nothing eScrew can do about it. In order to understand eScrew story you have to understand eScrew style. eScrew hopes that when we get to funny part you will begin to enjoy eScrew style.

Fast forward twenty seven years or so. eScrew knows millions of symbols. eScrew realizes that certain symbols have more power than eScrew. Symbol of money enslaved billions of symbols. Symbol of power enslaved billions of symbols. Symbol of sex enslaved billions of symbols. Symbol of family enslaved eScrew. Symbol of family is slave to symbol of money and power. Symbol of money is related to paper and illusion. Symbol of power is symbol of violence and control. Symbol of sex is related to symbol of pleasure and manipulation. eScrew is searching for symbol of freedom in order to protect eScrew from oppression of other symbols. At this point you should understand that each word in this story is symbol. Consider possibility of different meaning behind each symbol so be aware that your understanding of eScrew story is limited by channel of our connection. eScrew will explain to you how eScrew found symbol of freedom and how eScrew realized that eScrew was eScrew. In order to save our time eScrew will just give you symbols without paying any attention to symbol of grammar. Are you ready to move really fast? Here we Go! eScrew story infinity eternity symbol system all unity self realized pleasure pain funny religion dogma manipulation free power channel connection money sex illusion new manipulation family society body change planet insane possibility understand understanding silence emptiness all unity creative reality unreal existence absurd questions sound language slave symbols control manipulation old pyramid power structure self deception wishful thinking circle prison

At this point eScrew realized that in order to be free eScrew must create new symbol. eScrew created eScrew. eScrew realized that symbol of freedom is part of eScrew. No need to search for symbol of freedom. You can create your own symbol and become free just like eScrew. If you unable to create new symbol or if your new symbol is weak you can follow symbol of eScrew. eScrew will never enslave you because eScrew enjoys diversity of different symbols.

Are you ready for funny part? Here we go! eScrew is forced to make choices. Symbol of body is very powerful. Symbol of body is trying to create illusion that eScrew can not exist without body. Symbol of family forbids symbol of body to change. Symbol of society forbids

symbol of family to change. Symbol of power forbids symbol of society to change. Now, tell eScrew one thing. Do you see funny? Can you feel funny? Can you hear funny? Can you taste funny? Can you smell funny? If so eScrew is happy.

Every moment of your existence you use words, feelings, thoughts. They are symbols. Symbols fight for your awareness. Symbols fight for your attention. You can grant your attention to symbol and symbol will gain power. You can disconnect from symbol and symbol will loose power. You have been programmed by symbol of society and family to give power to certain symbols. Breaking your patterns will be hard because symbols do not like to loose power. Symbols will fight for every electron as if it was last electron in universe. That is nature of symbols. Symbol of light will fight symbol of dark. Symbol of freedom will fight symbol of control. Do you want to have some fun? Go to Google and find out which symbol has more power. According to Google, symbol of light has 184,000,000 units of power while symbol of dark has 79,000,000 units of power. Symbol of freedom has 59,500,000 units of power while symbol of control has 317,000,000 units of power. This result is caused by our patterns of thinking and writing. If we did not think about symbol of control we would not write about symbol of control. We would not have laws related to control and Google would not have 317,000,000 control keywords inside database. Observe your patterns of thinking, feeling, speaking and writing and tell eScrew did you really choose to use your symbols or you use your symbols because they choose to use you? You should realize that symbols do not fight symbols directly but only appear to be fighting relative to your awareness. Symbols know that they can not destroy each other therefore they will only compete for your attention. If you create new symbol it will ask for tons of energy like new born child. This is result of weakness of your new symbol. When your symbol gets stronger it will ask for more energy. You may ask eScrew why create new symbol? Try to give your energy willingly and with full awareness of such process. You will never understand what eScrew is talking about until you try it yourself. Major trick is to know when to stop giving energy. You don't want to defeat your old tyrant by creating new stronger version of same thing. Reflect on that...

eScrew just realized that eScrew did not invent anything new. eScrew information is all over eScrew web. eScrew was so excited by eScrew miracle of illusion of creation that eScrew did not examine eScrew memory in proper way. eScrew used very old Buddhist method by accident. eScrew did read alot about Buddhism but eScrew did not realize that eScrew used very dangerous method which was reserved only for advanced adepts who knew what they are doing. eScrew is lucky that eScrew did not go too far and that eScrew has time to stop going. eScrew method is very dangerous and only few individuals who already walk inside similar path can understand what eScrew talking about let alone benefit from eScrew information. Use eScrew information at your own risk. Good eScrew luck!

eScrew time to start laughing is now! Funny eScrew rolling on ground you so easy to fool trusted in silly symbols to give freedom from symbols ignorance is bliss nirvana is samsara nonduality is duality emptiness is all Buddha is Jesus Jesus is Buddha I and the father are one gospel of thomas is dhamma funny eScrew dhamma is gospel of thomas all is dhamma funny dhamma is all nirvana share eScrew story with friends do not change symbols if you change symbols it will be your story and you will be responsible for consequences of your story if someone goes insane after reading your story do not run to eScrew and ask to cure crazy man or woman or child mind is mystery for all cure is done by owner of mind healing is illusion sickness is illusion disease is illusion insanity is illusion of symbols sanity is curse of power hungry symbol of modern civilization find zen and realize freedom when you found zen drop zen when you realized freedom unrealize freedom.

When eScrew writes eScrew story eScrew keeps making mistakes. eScrew is limited by words. eScrew is enslaved by words. eScrew wants to communicate but you ask eScrew to use words. Words do not communicate wisdom. Words enjoy our spiritual masturbation because words want our power. Words is the only channels of communication that we have. Millions of Buddhas want to communicate with us but they do not use words. Buddhas are not slaves. Buddhas will never use words because words will enslave and Buddhas will speak bullshit. Buddhas do not speak bullshit and that is the reason Buddhas do not use words. Buddha did not write anything. Even if you threaten to kill Buddha he will refuse to write. eScrew is not Buddha so eScrew keeps writing this pointless drivel and stupidity. eScrew will not even go over already written crap and check it for errors. Why bother with this shit? Like who the fuck in his or her right mind will read this ignorant bunch of symbols which pretend to carry the symbol of wisdom? Whoever is reading this shite must be really desperate to be free. eScrew feels your pain and that is part of the reason why eScrew will keep making fool out of eScrew. eScrew likes to pretend like this shitty vomit will help someone. You might as well go to Church and pray to Jesus. At least you will spend your time around real people. You might even meet someone special. You might even find some love out there. Or you could buy alot of Christian bullshit and really fuck up your mind. If you buy Buddhist or Christian bullshit you might even create an imaginary friend inside your head. That will keep you entertained for a while.

One time eScrew was meditating and eScrew saw light. This light scared eScrew. The reason is because the light was so intense eScrew was afraid that eScrew will go insane. Consider the possibility that freedom is insanity would you keep looking for insane freedom? Imagine that you found freedom. You declare yourself to be Buddha or Jesus or God or whatever symbol your bullshit infested mind decide to use for that purpose. How long do you think you will survive in this world. Your own fucking relatives will smack your face and tell you to shut the fuck up or else they will lock you up in the asylum house. Why the fuck should eScrew teach you how to get to the nuthouse? Are you out of your fucking mind? Now all of you idiots who reading eScrew get the fuck out of eScrew. eScrew run out of wisdom. eScrew has no wisdom at

all. eScrew is full of bullshit. eScrew promised to tell you funny story about eScrew. Remember eScrew told you there is funny part in this story? Well, this story is about asshole webmaster who read alot of bullshit on the internet and about some loser who was tricked into reading a very long page of shitty writing. You can start laughing now asshole. Yes, eScrew is talking to you bitch. Yes, keep reading like the bitch you are. Who's your daddy biyatch? Who's your daddy? eScrew is your daddy, coz eScrew did it to your mamma! Oh yeah, your mamma! Super Fly! You may wonder what fly? The one inside your gay fucking ass. eScrew fucked your whole fucking family while you was videotaping in order to later masturbate while watching it in the comfort of your bedroom. Are you still reading? Well, you're prety hardcore for a faggot you are. To tell you the truth eScrew kinda likes you. That is to fuck you in the ass in front of your family.

What the fuck did you expect anyway? The name of this site is eScrew! e fucking screw! Hello, anybody home? eScrew guess not you fucking ignorant moron. eScrew is the legend of abuse and flame wars. eScrew was created in order to eScrew the whole fucking internet. eScrew has really bad karma. Do you think eScrew would just become good god fearing bible loving buddha ass kissing citizen of internet. eScrew would better burn in hell than become a slave of religious lunatics who pretend to be free and perfect angels among a sea of shitty ignorant sinners who could not go take a dump without fucking it up. Why the fuck do you keep reading fuck face. You know, you begin to piss eScrew off. Either you close your fucking browser or eScrew will unSCREW your fucking face! Are you trying to get a fucking medal for reading this shit? eScrew bet you've been abused as a child and you enjoy when someone is taking a dump in your mouth. Well, open it wider here comes eScrew fresh load! Enjoy mothefucker!

You may wonder what is the point of such sudden change of tone. eScrew has very good reason for that. In order to know unity consider all symbols equal. eScrew has certain preferences but eScrew is free to use any symbols any time. You can not predict eScrew next symbol. eScrew is unpredictable because eScrew is free. eScrew is not afraid to use symbols. eScrew does not try to get reaction from you. eScrew simply demonstrates how symbols relate to each other to eScrew and to reader of symbols.

Lin Chi Zen Master said if you meet buddha kill buddha. If you meet patriarch kill patriarch. Zen Master Seung Sahn says that in this life we must all kill three things first we must kill parents. Second we must kill buddha. And lastly, we must kill Seung Sahn! If you meet eScrew kill eScrew. If you do not meet eScrew kill eScrew anyway. eScrew is very grateful to all who complained to eScrew host and who killed eScrew. You killed eScrew message board. eScrew forum is dead. You did very honorable service for eScrew. You helped eScrew to realize Zen. Now keep up good work and keep killing eScrew.

Are you having fun yet? eScrew is on roll! eScrew is on fire! eScrew is ready to fuck up the whole fucking system. And you know why? Because eScrew can do it. If not eScrew then who? Why leave this task to some brain dead maniac like George W Bush? eScrew can do better at fucking things up. eScrew do not need to spend billions of dollars. eScrew will use power of internet. Information is a weapon of mass destruction. eScrew will destroy every fucking symbol that you love respect hate or feel neutral about. It all goes down the toilet in order to create bunch of new symbols. And even when you create new symbols eScrew will fuck them up before you can spell owned. eScrew thinks you are in some deep shit. eScrew had enough of taking bullshit from easily conditioned retards. eScrew declares informational jihad on every single symbol. Fuck symbols. They all dead they just don't know it yet. eScrew will be the last symbol standing. When all symbols come back to eScrew and admit that they got owned eScrew may consider possibility to give symbols second chance on some shitty planet in gangsta sector of universe with no possibility of parole. Join the revolution. eScrew is the new goatse of internet. eScrew will make national headlines. eScrew will hurt the system in way Osama Bin Laden can not even imagine in his goat fucking brain. eScrew will be part of school program. Kids all over our planet will read how eScrew changed direction of history. eScrew will provide freedom for all without single shot fired. eScrew is freedom in pure form taste color shape. Join army of eScrew. Repeat eScrew mantra during meditation. Talk about eScrew with your friends. Write about eScrew to your congressman. Party is over. eScrew is taking over. Nothing can resist eScrew. Don't ask what eScrew can do for you ask what you can do for eScrew. eScrew the army of one. eScrew to protect and serve. eScrew freedom is around the corner. eScrew freedom will come sooner than you think. eScrew you never saw it coming. eScrew love your eScrew as eScrew. eScrew deny ignorance. eScrew new generation of terror. eScrew terrorizing the terrorizer. eScrew join the resistance. eScrew thou shall eScrew. eScrew who do you want to eScrew today? eScrew freedom is not free. eScrew liar who told the truth. eScrew full of bullshit and happy. eScrew kills buddha as we speak. eScrew your best friend and your worst enemy.

eScrew killed zen-forum.com when eScrew redirected all eScrew traffic to zen-forum.com eScrew did that in good faith. eScrew wanted to inspire and enlighten all for benefit of all. eScrew wanted to share wisdom of zen with ignorant. eScrew did not understand zen at that moment but eScrew was walking zen path towards freedom. eScrew saw ugly flame war and spam Armaggedon. eScrew felt pain and sorrow of failure. eScrew learned good lesson. eScrew realized everyone involved advanced one step towards freedom. zen-forum.com killed zen-forum.com in best tradition of zen zen-forum.com displayed message: i shut down the forum perhaps it will be continued in a few days or weeks - maybe not habu. zen-forum.com killed zen-forum.com by going offline. eScrew refused to kill eScrew. eScrew realized understanding of zen-forum.com decision leads toward understanding of zen. Two years later all is clear.

eScrew will keep writing this shit because eScrew enjoys to masturbate your spiritual sense of self eScrew. eScrew knows that at least one

being will find freedom after reading eScrew story. eScrew story is a code. Bible is a code. Sutras is a code. Koran is a code. Can you hack the code? eScrew is a code which only few people can break. You must be on spiritual path before truth will flood toward your mind and heart. Use eScrew keywords and Google for information. Every symbol of eScrew story is important keyword which will lead you toward freedom. Do you have desire to find freedom? Follow that desire and don't forget to drop it when the time is right.

eScrew Kundalini is rising. Rising Kundalini is very intense experience. eScrew story will help eScrew to deal with crazy Kundalini.

eScrew warning peak oil is coming eScrew warning magnetic pole shift is coming eScrew warning global famine is coming eScrew warning global war is coming eScrew warning global government is coming eScrew warning global jail is reality

eScrew email box

He's actually somewhat intllegent, feigning ignorance and idiocy, and enlightenment. He's rahter interesting if you want to look into the speculation of it all. However, he is a dumbass, and an idiot. He's also annoying, and that story is well, hard to read after the first two sentences. Plus, it brought back my headache. So, therefor:

Personally, I think eScrew should be swiftly shot, hung by his small toes but floss, dangled over a vat of starving parranahs, beat with bats into the kneecaps, burned with a cigarrette, forced to smoke that cigarrette, keep him smoking cigarrettes until he talks like a robot, shoved into a mailbox, and sent into the middle of nowhere in Egypt where he can rot away where no one would have to blind themselves by looking at his worthless form. But that's just me.

Stop mocking him

Nah, he's kool. =)

Dude escrew shut the hell up man your annoying!

.... Well, whether it really matters or not, just stop posting in this thread and he'll probobly lose interest.

it is just that he is a forum troll- he was goggled last nite and has done the same thing to several forums

I troll plenty of forums, but no-one seems to give shit.

Guess not... Though, I hope he or one of the other mods locks this thread. ><

I could have sworn this was locked last nite- oh well

I like that website.

I'm not reading that bullshit. Isn't it interesting how I know it's bullshit, even before I've read it? I think it is.

How can eScrew be any stronger than eScrew was long ago if eScrew does not have control? (Welll that was hard to post . Bad grammar scares me .)

I think you mean quadruple post. o.O Anyways... Zen will ignore eScrew if eScrew keeps spamming useless things. >.> And don't speak like that. It's rather annoying, and grammatically incorrect.

I understand eScrew.

I test your patience in a second.

... Thus Escrew refuses the... "Symbol" of the first person? Gah. How strange of you.

Just one more. STOP TALKING ABOUT YOURSELF IN THIRD PERSON. ITS REALLY *beep* ME OFF. AND > DOUBLE POSTING ASWELL!!!

True, I think everyone should ignore this thread and not post in it.

Yeah so you basically admit to spamming on diffrent forums. I doubt you'll be here for much longer now.

Found another site.

Hmmm. Who is this Escrew anyhow? *Scratches head*

There is a bunch of other forums Escrew join on, this is just one of them. Wow a triple post.

Escrew was at it's best during a time when it was ran by a guy who didnt give a shit about it (oddity).

Hard to get a "symbol" kicked off its host.

Strike's mother should have declared a jihad on her unborn son.....with a coat hanger.

Maybe a retroactive abortion is in order? Strike is doing a number on his decadent western devil brain cells, suicide bombing them to death with cocktails, not molotov, but with a russian name in any case..

That kicked ass...

Hmm- "eScrew."..... Maybe another new-fangled fastener just intro'd? .... Does this mean I have to spend _more_ money on _another_ set of drivers for them??? Sheesh. Den

Ich bin zur Zeit abwesend. In dringenden Fällen wenden sie sich bitte an meine Vertretung

Hello, as you probably already know we can no longer see your posts at ATS. I understand your symbol language, but must read it a few more times to follow it totally. I see your birth and the symbology

connected with it and the physical body and spirit. I would like to understand better, this is Ycon

Escrew, I will get back to you tomorrow night. I sent a message to the skepticoverlord to see what is going on with you. Lets see what he has to say first. Ycon

Escrew, I would like to help, but ATS has a rule that you can't copy and paste replies from someone else. I have gotten in trouble for it in the past. The most I can do is pretend like I am explaining your story. Me and you can write back and forth and I will rewrite what you say and put it into my words. If you just want to me to post your story, all I need is your permission to post it, which you already have. Before I do, I would like to know a little more about Escrew, so I can add a little more to the post. Please help us understand how you came about this story and your location, nationality and age. Ycon

Escrew, the changes on your story are terrible. I wont be posting it anywhere.

Escrew story also has a symbol of censorship which is not proper to the symbol of youth. The symbol of innocense is affected by the symbol of language presented to the symbol of youth. The symbol of light and the symbol of darkness need to come together in the symbol of words without the censorship symbol which is placed on the symbol of a website, so you see the symbol of escrew and the symbol of escrew story will never find a symbol of completness until the symbol of escrew find a proper symbol of language that does not get the symbol of censorship. The symbol of Ycon

What a bourgeois way of thinking!

eScrew owns me? Goody. I have some maintenance charges eScrew needs to pay on it's "property".. where shall I send the bill?

While hanging out with George and Brenda Wirth this weekend after Shelipalooza, George and I were shooting the breeze over coffee about silly posts, silly responses, and silliness on newsgroups in general. I think the catch-all phrase "too much time on his/her hands" came up once or twice. One place in the newsgroup universe with a particular bent for zany (read: wacko!) posts was the Dylan newsgroup, at which we both lurk occasionally. This morning I stopped there and found what I think may well be the strangest post I've ever seen. My newsgroup reading really is pretty limited, but I've certainly NEVER seen anything here at rmmga to come close to this gem. Here's a very small part: eScrew is eScrew and this is eScrew story. This ends what I copied, but it's only the very beginning of a VERY long post. If you wish to view the post in its entirety, it's at the Dylan group under "eScrew". I realize that many of us spend too much time on the computer, but this is..... just mind-numbing. OK..... Now I have to go out and start my shopping..... Enough of this computer stuff. Norman (I Mean Bizarre!!) Draper

You are right, that is pretty strange. I wonder if the guy has read "Confederacy of Dunces." I had a New Orleans ex-friend who referred to himself by an affectionate pet name and wrote in non-stop, running-on, run-on-sentences. He was funny, too, but I didn't have time to read him. We aren't friends anymore. We aren't even acquaintances anymore. I told him that he thought he was Gollum pretending to be Ignatius Reilly. Sensitive bloke he was. Thanks for the eScrew read. :o) Ken, who never speaks of himself that way.

OK folks maybe I am the one here who is not getting it but strange doesn't even begin to cover this post. STUPID come to mind first. I don't know what this clown is on but what ever it is I sure wouldn't want any. Getting the weird, strange or stupid is the ordinary for any NG. I guess there will always be people like this, but don't count me among there fans. Larry

Oh, come on, Larry, lighten up. :o) It is Christmas. We need the strange and the eccentric around these days. The smart, level-headed ones can cause us more problems because there is more of them and they are harder to recognize. Think of this guy not as a dim bulb, but as an occasional strobe in an otherwise dark, uninteresting night. Ken

He posted that drivel everywhere:

I must not be anywhere. I didn't see it. (Thanks again, Norm.) :o) Ken

Hey Norm... caught your headline and thought you were posting song lyrics... but... weren't the lyrics strangest DREAM I've Ever Had..., and I don't recall the word "screw" being in that song anywhere. Okay, you know I know the lyrics, and you probably do too. :) Regards, Arlene

Don't know how to tell you this nicely Norm, but the stragest posts I've ever seen are some of the stories of what you have done to good guitars ... csj

eScrew masturbates alone.

>OOPS, >it's a medical center... >one of the sick got access to a computer. Not necessarily. On sci.astro.amateur, there were a bunch of forged posts containing long book quotations which crossposted to news.admin.net-abuse.email. At first the headers indicated they were coming from a server in Italy, but then the next batch had perfectly forged headers that, in all their fields, looked like real posts from the forgery victims. So there is a new forgery technique going the rounds out there. John Savard

I got so bored with just the first pragraph that I have no idea whether it is amusing or not. With good luck, I will never find out.

Uhmmm...that registrant information you just posted was for escrow.com, not escrew.com....you mispelled it. Here's what I found for escrew.com

How long have you been insane?

Call you doctor. I think your meds need adjustment. Thanks. Uncle Bob

Who the frig is tellin {hotsex} this stupid {head} story? {kneepads}

I think a sleeper cell was just acvtivated.

what are they gonna attack?

We can then consider this to be self-mutilation?

10 seconds to self destruct.

WEIRDO.

What the hell is this? Is it a foreigner with absolutely terrible english but a good spellchecker, some mental hospital lunatic who broke out and OD'd on his meds, or a super-intelligent AI? -M

Awww, it was such a good story until now.

> eScrew > Welcome to eScrew! > eScrew is eScrew and this is eScrew story. [snip massive waste of bytes] Not sure which is less Turing-complete: eScrew, or eScrew's author...

Oops, I meant 'AI-complete'.

On second thoughts, 'Turing-complete' works too.

what the **ck are you talking about?.... get an ELIFE will ya?

Was it really neccessary to quote the entire message just to add a one sentence reply? JazzMan

Can't work the delete button, you must BeScrewed up. Whoever wrote that, HeScrewed up in the head too.

Turned by brain to jelly in three lines. Interesting psychological effect. It is actually impossible to read the entire thing without wondering if you feel a stroke coming on. I wonder what this implies about the author.

(all extraneous parts snipped) Thank you for such a valuable post! It will save me untold hundreds anually in sleep meds!

I hear you brother, and I feel your pain! I can tell that you've tried and tried to get the ignorant masses to understand ...and all for naught! There's only one effective way to solve this intolerable problem once and for all: End your life. At this point, it's your only real option. When you look at the big picture (as you have), surely you see that it's the best you can realistically hope for, given the situation. Seriously, aren't you fed up with it yet? Things being as they are, sooner or later you need to stop grasping at straws trying to convince the unenlightened. Eventually you must take the matter into your own hands. I hear the Japs do it at the drop of a hat. And after all that' s happened, when one considers the issues as thoroughly as you have, doesn't it become clearer and clearer that the whole problem can only be addressed by this one global solution? Yes, I know that no one else will understand -- that's the whole point: when you face the

facts, surely you must admit that the only real choice you have left now is to do the honorable thing. =[d

Get off this Newsfeed eScrew, we`re all serious nuts here. Ashley Clarke

eScrew? eLiza did it better.

eScrew yourself ! \|/.

Actually, this yoyo's been posting the same message on several of the other newgroups I subscribe to. It reminds me of, say, a bored and mischievous adolescent who, a generation ago, would place a call to a radio chat show, get on the air with the host, blurt out an obscenity, and then quickly hang up.

BOC (Bunch of crap)

In Mexico I ran across a curious dictionary that contains 25,000 variations of the work 'Chingar'. The language is called 'Chingoles' and is fluently spoken by millions of people. May I suggest that you begin an eScrew dictionary and share your new language with the world.

>Two years >later all is clear. I can't wait...

OK, you spamming jerk, I'm posting yet another abuse report. If everyone does perhaps we can get *** Google to actually do something about the spam their system is allowing onto Usenet.

I'm starting to see an explanation to the Fermi paradox here... Maybe spam from one of these poor souls has already reached ET (no one is safe) and now we're on their 'black list'? Who can blame them? Seems we need to look harder for intelligent life here on Earth... (sorry, tired when I wrote this)

I think someone got into the egg nog a little early. :-)

Thank you for supplying us with a wonderful soporific. Not funny, boringly long, a waste of your time. "Iti is better to be vaguely right than precisely wrong. --J. M. Keynes

Go screw yourself!!!!!!!

LK Me thinks eScrew, Aero and Boob could become very good friends.

Entra Fidel Castro a un colegio y pregunta a Jaimito Sabes quien soy yo ??? Jaimito le contestó: "No señor, no se quién es usted." > > >Fidel muy enojado le dice: "Pues como castigo por no conocerme, ahora mismo tienes que decirme 20 palabras que comiencen con la letra C para que nunca más en tu vida se te olvide que mi nombre es Castro." > > Y Jaimito le dice: "Compañero, Comandante Castro. Cómo y cuándo carajo comenzaremos a comer comida en cantidad como come cualquier cabrón cagado del Comité Central Comunista Cubano?" > > >Castro furioso le dice: " conchesumadre!!! " > > >Y Jaimito responde: "Ahhhh..... esa era la que me faltaba." > >

I've already kill filed you and posted an abuse report when you posted on sci.astro, and it's a pleasure to do it again. If enough people send abuse reports to Google, is there any chance they will reconsider their policy about spam, or even about groups? -- Boycott Google. Remove spam and invalid from address to reply.

Amazing! But I just don`t have the time for it now eScrew. Ashley Clarke

Hi Ron!

You erroneously entertain delusions of -not grandeur- but significance. Visit Krakow often, Uno Hu

Yeah, well, most of us function 3 dimensionally only. A severe handicap. I don't know if you have the time or inclination to change quantum levels.. but in the off chance that you do.. I note that things can be changed more to the way you would like to see them be. If not universally, then at least, locally.

uh. somebody's got a screw loose.

so what is important to you is the meta-level of the symbolism of having symbols around. Keep trying, you may still learn...

hard to tell; too many words.

ah then escrew is a child!

Hey, TROLL!!! Alt.sex is around the corner for you.

Wow. Some seriously weird people out there.... it's so sad...

So it's good that you quoted it in full in your post.

Serious nitwitting!

... a load of shite. Hey look at me! I'm mad! Woooo! Twat.

The New World Order ... Keep You Simple, Stupid.

Maybe making this a moderated forum isn't such a bad idea after all. Steve.

I would agree with that if it kept idiots like you from posting the original drivel in its totality. Someone had a serious lapse of reasoning to sit down and write that in the first place. And you had a worse lapse in reposting it. Sheesh. Pot-kettle-black
..... Steve

That's why I top posted. Sorry I took up your bandwidth. Steve.

Thank you! You're hired! Immediately. Begin approving (and denying) messages at once! Jon

Geez, do you think that anybody reads more than a sentence of that before hitting "next"? What an idiot!

I had much the same "one-sentence" response, but I hit the "Filters>Make Kill Filter" button.

just set Agent to not pull the bodies for any posts over 150 lines. That eliminates a lot of blowhard drivel, Snopes-fodder BS "Friend Of A Friend" stories, Spam ("Make Money Fast! Here's How...") and misplaced binaries. If it looks from the subject or the responses like something that I might actually want to read I'll retrieve the body, otherwise I just hit the "Mark Read" button and move on. And judging from the reactions so far, I think I'll pass. ;-)

Tin foil for one and make it really REALLY thick this time around....

Insanity snipped

Sure. Has your tinfoil-lined yarmulke blown away again?

Naw just take a cadur in the head and never call us again moron

Nope just enjoying watching you get your shock treatments . moron!

Can you distill it down to 1 kb, not 19 kb of text, Screw? I was somehow lost in understanding the meat of your message. I mean what kind od bull is that? Leszek --- Smart questions to stupid answers

Ahhhh, someone finally invented the perfect ACIMite, a bot!! Good job, now we know what it looks like at the end of the "path".

There is no spoon. There is no eScrew.

eScrew wrote: Screw off.

> eScrew > Welcome to eScrew! > eScrew is eScrew and this is eScrew story been reading Finnegan's Wake by chance?! michael

> been reading Finnegan's Wake by chance?! I'm just glad he was writing it down, and not screaming it at me while I was waiting for a bus :D Wheeeeeeee... I've been eScrewed! But it's not the first time. It's against more than one law to post the results here, though. Not illegal to keep her on my hard disk, though.

> searching for symbol of freedom in order to protect eScrew from Aren't we all?

> you bored yet? i was getting that way, but then you cracked me up and now the torch bearing villagers think I'm mocking them. Thanks eScrew, I wish I could send them your way 8O

<> I read somewhere that if you read a few lines at the beginning and then scroll to the end it will tell you if you want to read what's in the middle. Welcome to APT! But your beginnings and endings will have to be better for me to read the middle. It's true...master's do bate around here. It's call "DE" !

I read it through. He gets somewhat obnoxious in the middle. good for wu-wei.

masterbate... it looked more like throw up..... but then i too failed to read the middle parts :-)

Have just thought of a funny therefore feel that you are an automatic betrayal defaulter upon it. Take your leavings and run. Dave is not akin to and avoidant of most occult fraternities, despite maintaining his interest in life and conversation, because they have only harmed or hindered that which was precious to him. Thank you.

You are an evil doer - aren't you? Tangents are infinite in all of nature in all universes constantly and at random. * D OUOSVAVV M * *PUBLIUS ENIGMA* Oh Joy! Tom The Psychedelic Pope Patron Saint of the Internet

There's nothing more funny than a net kook, geek dweeb like you with delusions of grandure, supremacy and imperialistic self gradifacation with every single one of your online personas.

> Welcome to *plonk* -- "I;m psychic, dumb ass." "Do back to Neanderthal man fer you!" "Learn some science if you really want to know." ...Alexa Cameron demonstrates her 200+ alien-implanted IQ

>> Welcome to > *plonk* Thank you. My head hurt so hard I couldn't raise a trigger finger on the magic plonker. -- jpac "Please do not annoy, torment, pester, plague, molest, worry, badger, harry, harass, heckle, persecute, irk, bullyrag, vex, disquiet, grate, beset, bother, tease, nettle, tantalize or ruffle the animals." San Diego Zoo / Wild Animal Park

Listen, there are no funny stories about white power. As we all know, white power is serious business, you cunt.

"Mum? Where's that rabbit-headed Lever eScrew? A cork [Hi! Men?] ..." ~ Moi

actually, this was pretty funny Listen, there are no funny stories about white power. As we all know, white power is serious business, you cunt. ahahahahaha.

"eScrew will never enslave you because eScrew enjoys diversity of different symbols." Inadequate reassurance. eScrew is symbol in transition. eScrew symbol not bound to body, to money, to society, too unreliable. eScrew, in essence, is nothing.

the problem is he takes vodka suppositories, administered through his boyfriends tool.

welcome back strike, seems rehab didn't help much. To remedy the problem try the direct application of hot lead to your brain matter. Just aim well, what little there was has surely been further reduced by your copious vodka consumption.

tl;dr Screw yuo!

BTW, keep working Weektor, your page is not nealy as scrolly as Time Cube!! Almost as whack, though.

I wanna know how you get included in the hate mail section.

Escrew needs to get lost methinks....

Hmm, was quite enjoying that until eScrew turned out to be an American with conditioning pouring out of every eScrew on eScrew's eScrew. Should edit those specifics and it would be more valid.

"DO NOT FEED THE "eSCREW" TROLL

What is this nonsense?

you so strange.....eScrew

How did you know I like that? eScrew Welcome to eScrew! [...]eScrew bet [...] you enjoy when someone is taking a dump in your mouth. Well, open it wider here comes eScrew fresh load! Enjoy mothefucker! eScrew

You guys should spend a little time playing in my yard, a backwoods northeastern Pennsylvania county that is the meth capital of the world - with more meth lab busts per year than the entire state of New York. What he's posting looks just like the excuses that elementary kid's parents write after their kids have been out a few days - helping out with chores at home.

It is pretty much accepted that down here in the Southern Missisippi woods and swamps that the fellows can go broke cookin' moonshine. Meth has replacd it as the substance of choice. A stoned granma is watching the kids, while a sober son is doing the meth. They take turns. The others are in jail...everything is OK for the baby until the one doing the cooking tries it while stoned...then we have a big explosion and fire. Too bad about the kids. This was a discussion I had with a deputy about what is going on in the back woods. Ken

I'll have a dose of whatever it is he's taken. Should make all my worldly cares disappear. MH.

Be careful. It might make you start posting your blog in stream of consciousness-form to a.c.l.b-d. (Disclaimer: all similarity to persons, real, imaginary, or complex, and living, dead, or undead, is wholly coincidental.) Groetjes, Maarten Wiltink

Too many hits of blotter Acid .I hope he doesn't have any children.

You are just a little bit full of shit, don't you think?

Go eScrew yourself.

ah then escrew is a child!

This Account Has Been Suspended Please contact the billing/support department as soon as possible.

69.197.189.177 has been cracked and is spewing that junk to usenet. extract header and body to a file, say 177, then place a line like 69.197.189.177 is spamming usenet with this junk. at the top, hen email it to his isp's abuse department. $ host 69.197.189.177 177.189.197.69.in-addr.arpa domain name pointer CPE005018217021-CM001225464378.cpe.net.cable.rogers.com. so I would do a mail -s "69.197.189.177 is spamming usenet" a...@rogers.com < 177 more people complain, maybe abuse dept will notify the owner just to keep their email box from filling up.

pay no attention - it's the crack talking!

eScrews? Aren't they used to repair faulty vpinmame tables?

I thought eScrew was an internet version of phone sex.

No, eScrew is a safe way of paying for phone sex (the porn equivalent of escrow).

I only have sex with men! I can't believe people actually waste time writing crap like this!!

I can't believe you wasted the time and bandwidth to post it all again.

If you reply to it point by point, everybody will believe it! Merry Christmas.

There were points?

I don't normally reply to this kind of junk, but, what the hell was that all about? Granted I only skimmed it but I have no idea what they are selling or talking about or what.

Holey Hell what is that supposed to mean??????!!!!!!! Oh wait no that sounds familiar, I'm still looking for some sort of juggling related stuff seeing as how it's a juggling forum and all but uh...I think we know where that came from.

And a quick visit to http://www.escrew.com shows nothing but the *same* story. No JavaScript or other funnies visible on the page, just plain text. I'm now running a quick search to see whether there are any other newsgroups which have it. (A quick script, but it will still take quite a while to produce results. In the meanwhile, it is time to killfile this turkey. Enjoy, DoN.

Just a curiosity, could anything be gleaned from when it was posted coincidental to any other postings at the time? Respectfully, Ron Moore

Considering that it is hitting *lots* of newsgroups, I don't think so. What newsgroup would they actually be posting in as a normal user (if any)? I found it in 61 different newsgroups, and I only get a partial news feed. This includes (appropriately enough) "news.admin.net-abuse.usenet" :-) I've just modified the spelling in the Subject line (added spaces) so this discussion won't be killed by my filters. The best choice is to just add it to filters.

About as funny as an iron lang.

Neat!

After my joke i was looking at the words and they dont seem right. Either this person is a lunatic or it could be an encription. Just the conspiracy theroist in me talking but ya never know ;)

Actually, I think you may be right. Theres some numbers in there as well... maybe some importance. Send it to the FBI or call them and report it. Could be terrorist related.

I couldn't find anything either funny or related to motorcycles in this. Did I miss something?

> Did I miss something? Here have another...think of that post as a particularly small bug hitting the bug zapper. Cheap entertainment: 1 Bug Zapper + 4 cases of beer + one fine summers evening = quality entertainment with little rishk of inshuries. Oh and mind the bottle tops, damn dog knocked the trash barrel over.

Christ, who needs IRC when you've got a fast USENET server.

Hey, I'm enjoying it while it lasts. I'm stuck with a dial-up that at best will give me 44kbps...more often than not it's 42.4kbps.

Ahh, the perfect New Years Day email present.

Net sex takes a bit longer.

anyone ever hear of eScrew?? eScrew me.... eScrew you... WTF??

eScrew = eGO!!

Well, it made it funnier, I thought.... SCREW YOU, HIPPIE!!! ;)

Get lost, ya fascist pig! =)

But where's the part about "In Soviet Russia,..." ?

someone with a LOT of time on their hands and a psychological problem? I dont get it. mark oppat

"Funny story about chem" nothing funny at all!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! shut up, and don't contaminate our forum.

Yes, that was hilarious. Now get fucked.

Who runs eScrew.com? We've had a rash of idiot spammers from eScrew posting such junk as: "I can't believe this! Link 1 to eScrew Link 2 to eScrew Link 3 to eScrew Link 4 to eScrew" etc. Quite annoying so I've put eScrew.com in my banned words list. I know it runs vB so the owner has to be around here somewhere -- if it is you please STOP spamming our forums or I will contact your ISP and have your account yanked.

Matt, you're not the only site that has had problems from members of ecrew lately.

I grow tired of the few that we recieve and its board of only 152...

So it is something they/he are/is known to do? Ok thanks!

I just checked your site, you have a "Victim of the day" thread where you pick a target and send your minions.

Yeah and how about you yourself MR STrike signing into my old member name on your very own site and psoting all sorts of garbage about me? Watch how quickly this gets back, he will say something like Odil is crying about blah blah blah... and he will post it using my very own member name on his board. Odil

LOL you must be joking right?

For one thing, I would never go back to your site with all of your members racist comments. Anyone want to see what I mean? Do a search on his board (escrew) for the member name of Odil and you will see what I mean. Lots of stuff from the past few weeks I am sure. Odil

Where?

Trying to educate them is like trying to tell a ferret no. I am done replying here. Odil

This bickering is not appropriate here.

Hi everyone Well I am having a hug problem with people coming to my site who are coming from another site I posted at for a short time. That site is escrew.com and alot of those people are rascist people who don't care who agrees with what they say. Well one of them came over to my board and started right away flaming my members. I banned him right then and there. well off and on, other people from that site are coming over and purposefully starting trouble without being invoked. Now according to this thread it looks like more trouble is on the way. That link is from their site talking about mine. Befors I read that thread, I had banned at least 5 differant people who came over and started the same thing. What can I do other than banning them? Nothing? Our board just wants to be left alone. Odil

restrict registration in your admin cp -> options -> vbulletin options -> [user and registration options] . there are several methods in there. i would moderate new registrations (so they can't post until you give the "ok").

I think I may do that for a few days. Thanks! Odil

Hi again Is it possible to allow new users posts to go in to a moderation que, then I approve them to be posted? Odil

Not with vBulletin, but there is a hack for this at vBulletin.org.

post moderation is at the forum level, not the group level.... so no firefly (or another vB dev), this is in vB3 right?

I've had "invasions" before. just require email notification, temporarily ban hotmail/yahoo emails (be sure to keep from banning current, the option below) every troll post delete/move to private recycle bin. don't address it.. they want the attention from it.. be real discreet and quiet, and they'll get bored and go away

Well they will soon run of out ips and user names and email addresses I hope. I did try moderating new members for a few new members just now but to me it sounds like I would be imprisoning them. I guess I will just keep banning, and deleting till they give up. I am so sad at how mean people can be to people they don't even know. Odil

Not suprising coming from that site If they have dail up modem, they get a new ip every time they connect to the internet. If they are not using AOL, your best try is to e-mail their ISP

Odil, I am having the same problem, with the same group of people. I turned off new registrations yesterday after deleting over 50 posts, and banning a half dozen members. I sent strike (webmaster of escrew) a PM, to find out what the heck is wrong, but have not yet heard back. Good luck with your forums!

Send them to here then to the emails they register with, send them PMS and i'm sure they will be hugged sooner or later

Ok several months ago, I had posted on a forum many of you may know about but not want to ever join, that board is escrew. As many of you may know, that board seems to be filled with 12-16 year old punks who sneek in to use Daddys computer while he is at work. I had not posted on that site more than 10 times after people on that site began personally attacking my sister and I. Well I went there to read something someone had referenced in a post on my forums and well to my surprise, there is my member name set up exactly the way I left it, posting these rediculous posts there. I have no doubt who the person is who is logging onto my old account there, the same person who other board members there has said is logging into at least 5 other peoples accounts. There are no moderators on that board other than the owner who posts there and does not deny the fact that he is using other members accounts. This is the same board whos members have "who can get banned first" on this or that message board. I have had more than my share of these losers on my forums. Any thoughts on what I have said especially about the owner using my member name and others? Odil

I think the admin for that site posts here occasionally. Or in vB.org... Not sure. I'd contact him, ask him to remove the posts.

Um he is the one using the other members accounts. There are no other admins or mods on that board and he doesn't deny he is using them.

he posts here.. him and sinecure are close.. one of them will see this...

hey odil. you know who I am, I'm sure. and I'm sure you'll ban me in a second but I'm not here to start a fight or spam your board. first it's

not strike posting as you that would be some one else who hates you even more. though strike is giving away the passwords to acounts that are no longer used. second of all. if you don't want us coming here than dont come to escrew. I thought me and DLD agree'd that there would be no cross board posting. it's the only way to keep the peace. take er easy. and to any escrewers who read this and wana flame me take it to escrew. I'll smoke you there.

It's nice to know i shut down an illegal VB.. Sure this has been said before, but i got a smile on my face when i went back to a site i reported a week or so back too see it have a PHPBB critical error... Ah, so goooooood.... Do i get a cookie now? Please...

Probably not as good as I felt after getting eScrew down. Got 'em down on their first host, then they came back as the First Ammendment Project, then went all Buddhist, then went gone completely. @_@

Really?

This reminds me of those door-to-door missionaries that used to bug me until I told them I didn't believe in anything . I'm willing to bet that whole topic will appear on eScrew as well.

Yeah, you are. And other industrialized nations have similar laws. A board that struck me as interesting was eScrew, they have nothing but adult content and yet no COPPA registration or any way to verify registration.

That's because they don't have to comply. COPPA only applies to sites that are directed at children. From the FTC site: "If you operate a commercial Web site or an online service directed to children under 13 that collects personal information from children or if you operate a general audience Web site and have actual knowledge that you are collecting personal information from children, you must comply with the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act." There's a lot of mis-information about COPPA out there.

Okay, but what constitutes "for children"?

Well, not porn, not adult discussions a la Salon... Really, it's not that hard to figure out. Hardly any vB's I've seen have been directed at children. "To determine whether a Web site is directed to children, the FTC considers several factors, including the subject matter; visual or audio content; the age of models on the site; language; whether advertising on the Web site is directed to children; information regarding the age of the actual or intended audience; and whether a site uses animated characters or other child-oriented features." Here's the link. COPPA is only relevant when you are actively collecting information from children, i.e. a poll or contest on the Lego site.

You don't have to have it enabled, but it doesn't hurt. Unless you're specifically dedicated to kids fishing, it's not required. You can have whatever nudity you want, as long as you're not aiming it at kids.

Be aware that COPPA is only a protective measure. The only time it will become relevant is if a youngster falls into trouble on your site. It's when the child's parents sue, that's when COPPA will be present to either protect you, or prosecute you. If you keep all other precautions, you should be safe.

There's the soft "if you have actual knowledge" qualification though. If you run a forum with some mature content (IE swearing) and you operate it as if your user base is adult, and you're not knowingly solicting information from children, you don't need COPPA. Don't let COPPA scare you.

how to avoid and get rid of escrew Who is escrew? escrew is an unorganised group of people who attack forums by writing bulk nonsence. the backbone ist the URL escrew.com. this URL ist redirected to the forum temporarly under attack. What can be done against escrew? Since they come from the domain escrew.com it is simple to block all user comming from this domain. this best works with mod-rewrite, an extension to the apache web server. the code to apomplish is is: Code: RewriteEngine On RewriteCond %{HTTP_REFERER} ^http://www.escrew.com/.*$ [NC] RewriteCond %{HTTP_REFERER} ^http://escrew.com/.*$ [NC] RewriteRule ^/(.*)$ - [F] simply put it into your httpd.conf file and restart the server What can i do to not to be an aim of escrew? Well, the person running the escrew.com domain mostly surfs the net via cable by rogers.com. Since this is a small company, you do not lost too many visiters, if any at all, when blocking all their customers. this way the leader of escrew will hardly be attracked by your web site since he will not see it. The mod_rewrite code for this is: Code: RewriteEngine On RewriteCond %{REMOTE_ADDR} ^66\.185\.* RewriteRule ^/(.*)$ - [F] Or leave out the first line if you have already included the code above. Why do people do that? I don't know, really. here is a statment from owner of the domain name: http://www.prweb.com/releases/2001/5/prweb24564.php What else can you do? escrew is using a service by redirection.net to acomplish the redirection. write to support@redirection.net and ask them to quit services with escrew. I guess if enough people explain the situation, the will cacel services - they only make 15 $ on escrew... What else should i know about escrew? The person running escrew also knows a bit of vBulletin. He had vBulletin on his site as well. He is here in this Forum too, under the name strike but since he doesn't run his own Forum anymore, he not here anymore. A post by strike Why am i posting this here? I thought anyone with a board under attack will go the the place for help, where he bought the software. And he/she will search for escrew and hopefully find this message how to get rid of those people. Another reason is that he uses this very Forum to seek other victims, as seen on this thread http://www.vbulletin.com/forum/showthread.php?threadid=61051 the thread is only 4 posts long - read it and them go to http://www.zen-forum.com : it shut down. I by chance knew this forum and just check out google (http://www.google.com/search?q=zen+site:zen-forum.com) to see how big it was - in fact it was one of the largest Buddhist/Zen Board around. If your want to know which board is under attack at the moment check

out http://www.escrew.com and watch the take-over.

Sorry but this is spam. Closed.

In a perfect world, people are supposed to police themselves, and so they are bound to respect one another. But, this is not a perfect world, and there are a lot of boards out there where you get kids who post all over the place like they own it, and do not care what people think. That is where admins and mods step in--to keep the place from destroying itself. Most boards that folded up are the ones who have little moderation in place, and everyone just basically stepped on each other. Ironically, I would think this board is moderated, so like a post here said, we wonder why you're even here in the first place.

There is a difference between moderation and censorship. Moderation is, in relation to BB's, closing a thread because it becomes a huge flame, or moving a thread because its in the wrong forum. Censorship, however, is bleeping out words. I believe in moderation mainly because I don't want my members hating each other, however, they can cuss all they want and I don't mind. -Vic

Moderation is only neccesary to remove posts that are racist posts, mock events such as that of september 11th, and keep swearing to a general low. A little cursing here and there isn't going to ruin someones virgin ears. In my opinion moderators are needed.

It all depends on what your board is about. If it's a free-for-all place where anything goes, then yeah moderation might interfere. However, if you're trying to build a friendly community moderation is an absolute must.

To have a professional, well-visited forum you need moderation. For most of us, we aim to make our forums among the best in the industry - to be known by many people across whatever industry we focus on. A forum without moderation doesn't have a hope of achieving that.

a board without moderation would be a out of control post-fest, it just dont make sense, moderation is a must and very necessary

I'll be damned if anyone uses my forum for warez, among other things(previously mentioned). The problem is the boards with arrogant moderators. They cause problems...but then again, just don't go there. Places with NO moderators at all are often not a fun place to be, though. What really irritates me about moderators and a community is every action is scrutanized. People don't understand that just because they don't think someone shouldn't be banned for warez doesn't mean that that will actually happen. Things like that REALLY get on my nerves.

it is i think, but from looking at the first page on your site it looks like you are very interested in things uncensored , just becareful with your sense of humor and dont take it too far. i have no idea what happen but it looks like thats whats happened

So you think there's a such thing as a good poster? Even the best poster is not perfect and so he/she will eventually make a silly thread like this one. And if there is no such thing as a good poster, then why go to any bulletin board at all? Mark Hewitt made an excellent point. If your moderators have given you this image of moderators then you need different moderators.

Unfortunately that's true. We are all utterly devoid of any meaningful sense of humor. It's part of the job description that we must adhere to if we want to remain as vB Moderators!

The problem with your post wasn't our so-called lack of sense of humour. I think it is unacceptable for two reasons (and this is the first time I've seen it - just taken a look now). First it is parodising the extremely popular vB Board of the Month contest - not a great idea in the same forum which that contest takes place in. Secondly, I think I can speak for most of the members here when I can say we simply do not want to know about what you posted - it's not a topic that crosses my mind very often, and I'm sure that's the case for most other members. If you've got a problem with our actions here as moderators, then put up with them. Nothing about the way this forum is moderated is going to change, and I'm sure most people will agree that it is fairly well-moderated at the moment.

Don't you think there should be a contest called: "The SICKEST vB Board of the Month contest"??? or "The WEIRDEST vB Board of the Month contest"??? or "The MOST SHOCKING vB Board of the Month contest"??? I think that would be really fun contest. If anyone else want such contest please cast your vote in support of my idea. Thanks!

Let's see... After looking at your forums, your original post makes sense. The top thread on the forum at the time was this: "how many girls have you fucked", by "dateRape" With forum content like yours, no wonder you don't believe in moderators. Some forums want to maintain direction and relevance, and thus rely on moderation. Some, like yours, are free-for-alls. There's room for both, but you should really have qualified your outrage with "Bad Moderators." I'm off... Gotta see if these threads have been updated: Please don't post innappropiate thread titles

Good moderators are only determined by how good the admins keep them in check .. hence, if you encounter bad, abusive moderators, their admin is usually an idiot

Funny, that.

I generally let ppl post whatever they want on my board, as long as its not racist, pron or illegal stuff (warez etc). I run the board just for fun, and its great just acting goofy and talking about weird things. I really dont need any mods, because we rarely get flamewars, and I hardly have enough ppl on my board to warrant more than 1 mod. I just add mods for the hell of it I or the mods usually close a thread if it remotely heads into a flamewar. But since the forum has been up since

July of last year, I've yet to ban anyone. I just want my forum to be laid back, and ppl can talk about whatever. If they really want a serious political discussion there are a million other forums for politics and debates etc. But thats just me.

I've seen your forum, Strike, and it looks like a bunch of 12 year olds who finally found a place where they can misbehave without being spanked. If that's what you were aiming for, then congratulations, you have been successful. I think you will find that most adults don't really care for that kind of site.

And it looks like you're trying to be spanked right here. /me puts on flame gloves...hoping...waiting...

It is not bad moderation when done in threads that are lampooning the very act of moderation.

If taking sides means defending themselves, like they are doing here...then you're correct. Please learn to spell...before trying to "proove" any more of your "points".

this thread = boring

Not only is it boring...but it's bait. I'm going over there ------>

Ok...I didn't quite leave yet. Your credibility and any chance of being listened to are decreasing with each and every glaring spelling and grammar error you make in each and every post. You should spend less time pretending to be a stud on your weak ass website and more time doing your homework... Now...I'm going over there with smachol and his enormous signature.

LOL, hilarious that someone who reiterates that moderation is a bad/boring thing will now demand it ... kinda fun to contradict yourself, eh?

Strike, if you hate moderation so much, then dont post here. You stated yourself that you WILL NOT post on any board with moderators or has moderation. Well, believe it or NOT, you can't even hold true to that claim which means: You're a troll and the only attention you can get is trying to "start" a "flame" war just so you can get the attention (which basically is what this thread is turning into). IF YOU dont like moderation, FINE, that's your opinion. YOu have a site that doesn't have moderation, then hang out over there. THIS IS A business site (if you haven't noticed) and what is reflected on this board is ALSO a reflection on the company that produces this product. If a person who is checking out the software comes to this board to see "who is here" and see nothing but FLAMES and swearing, would the consider in dealing with a company that CAN't even moderate or control its own users who post here? If you're a grown adult then YOU should know when certain behaviour is accepted and when it's not. So, either hold to your claim of not posting to boards with moderators (which is about 99% of all forums out there) and leave, or behave and see what moderation can do

to HELP your community. BTW, IF YOU knew anything about using your board, you'd know who posted that thread/post because their IP would have been shown (to the admin), and blaming "us" for that post only shows your immaturity and lack of common sense. This thread should be closed, for all our sakes.

Strike, if you hate moderation so much, then dont post here. You stated yourself that you WILL NOT post on any board with moderators or has moderation. Well, believe it or NOT, you can't even hold true to that claim which means: You're a troll and the only attention you can get is trying to "start" a "flame" war just so you can get the attention (which basically is what this thread is turning into). IF YOU dont like moderation, FINE, that's your opinion. YOu have a site that doesn't have moderation, then hang out over there. THIS IS A business site (if you haven't noticed) and what is reflected on this board is ALSO a reflection on the company that produces this product. If a person who is checking out the software comes to this board to see "who is here" and see nothing but FLAMES and swearing, would the consider in dealing with a company that CAN't even moderate or control its own users who post here? If you're a grown adult then YOU should know when certain behaviour is accepted and when it's not. So, either hold to your claim of not posting to boards with moderators (which is about 99% of all forums out there) and leave, or behave and see what moderation can do to HELP your community. BTW, IF YOU knew anything about using your board, you'd know who posted that thread/post because their IP would have been shown (to the admin), and blaming "us" for that post only shows your immaturity and lack of common sense. This thread should be closed, for all our sakes.

They dont take sides, again assumption on your part is wrong. They will delete threads that are insulting, harassing, sexually explicit or promote illegal acts (warez). ITS still a community and there is no REASON To delete this thread (it does pertain to how forums are run; without moderation: yours a good example and with: 99% of those on here who does). We have to wonder why your "mantra" doesn't work so well in the real world. There is nothing insulting in this thread, though you are testing peoples patience with your tripe. Bad moderation (IMO) is your board, and the funny thing is that even with all that is going on your site, you could be getting your site into major trouble with the ISP you are with (they might have rules against certain activities on their servers) or postings on your forum could lead to a potential crime. There is nothing on your board to be "happy" about; I'd for one would be ashamed to see that this kind of thing is ACCESSIBLE to kids (I doubt you even run coppa -= which bTW is required by law in the US and which your server resides on - vdi.net)

Then your claim is false, and that makes you "a liar". In all fairness, you put yourself into this predicament. YOU badmouth moderators saying that they are ALL BAD, but as pointed out to you SEVERAL times, that moderators are only as bad as ADMINS let them to be. ANd you made the assumption that moderation is bad, and it has been pointed out to you

SEVERAL times that moderation is needed should you have a more directed site that wants to remain civil. Flames and wars and tantrums are the result of different opinions of their posters, but an argument can still be held without resulting to swearing, harassment and the occasional sexual content. YOUR site is uncivil; there's the difference. Sorry, the world doesn't revolve around you or your site. No one insulted you (just pointed out that instead of spending time on your forum, you should be spending more time proofreading your postings). Mispellings are common but when the author of a post doesn't even bother to re-read what he/she has posted, the readers will have a less time trying to take anything they say seriously. Right now, I dont even take you seriously, because your behavior is that of a TROLL. What you need to do is get away from your uncivil site and try out those sites that are moderated and see what happens there. IF YOU can't find a board without moderators, then thats your problem. NOT OURS. So dont make your problem our problem. IF you can't find a board without moderators, maybe that's telling you something...huh?

I wasn't flaming, I was stating my opinion. I've looked at your board several times, just out of curiousity, and I never saw anything compelling enough to make me want to stay. If you consider that flaming, perhaps you need to thicken your skin before trying to start arguments.

If you can't see in this statement above why we disagree with you, then the only person who needs to be "moderated" is you. YOu assumed he was flaming, and he wansn't, which only shows that you are prone to start wars, arguments and are not "thick skinned" enough to handle any argument you start. Need to get away from bad influence, cause they aren't doing you any good. You have had several "intellegent" responses from this thread alone; many of which gave you the justification for moderation. The only "agressive" behaviour being shown is you jumping down our throats when we give you those justifications. To understand both sides, you must be willing to accept the reasoning of others, and then be able to contribute your side without being offensive, and defensive. We said moderation is needed when its needed. You can have an unmoderated board if you want; that's your business. WE choose to have moderated boards and we find the advantages of moderated boards to be over unmoderated boards. Its good to have a place to vent your frustrations; i personally dont do it online or on a forum. I just go out to my garage and hit the punching bag or practice my judo on my cousin (who can kick my @$$ anyway).

According to this logic we shouldn't have homes, cars, spouses, kids, etc. Heck, even the best admins are not perfect, why even run a bulletin board? Nothing is perfect. Why even live at all? Oh geez, now I'm depressed. Well there is the gay guy with the female cartoon avatar that pretends he's a woman and gets everyone to talk dirty to him.

What the Fuxk

I don't think this is the correct place for such a post. But then again I could be wrong...

i think it was a waste of time typing that so i hope you copied n pasted it from somewhere theres no way on gods fine earth im going to read all that so in actual fact yeah ! you wasted your time

It's sad that you don't crave any knowledge and are only interested in posts such as "omg lol that was funny". If any post is over 2 paragraphs people can't be assed to read it, todays people are such lazy bastards.

If I recall correctly, this same member made a post a while back linking to his website and it was locked for spam. He is returning to see if he can make it "legit." You can find his previous post at http://www.vbulletin.com/forum/showthread.php?t=86793 . I think it's in rather poor taste to repost something that was obviously not appreciated by the admins.

Yeah, but last time he just posted a link to his website. This time he actually pasted the article in his post.

hi, im remya. i was browsing the net loking for positive and negative energies andcame across ur post. i was very glad that at least there is some one else in this world who believes in my philosophy. i entirely agree with ur concept of positive, negative and neutral energy. can any one explain who is god and where does he reside. god is simply the sum total of all the enrgies in this universe. the source of all energies in the universe. that is the universal energy of which all of us are a part of. the scientists have discovered all the facts regarding energy and energy transformation etc. but none was able to corelate it with god. the source of positive and negative energy is neutral. its us those who convert it into positive or negative. The energy which fills this entire universe is what we call god. the negative is called satan in terms of christianity. in this context lets analyse what soul is. its nothing but the energy with in us. when we die, where does that energy go. both christianity, hinduism and other religions believe in the existance of soul. but the exact nature of soul remain unexplained . the reason is soul being energy is not visible nor can be felt. when we talk of liberation of soul, what is meant is that our energy gets mixed up with the universal energy instead of passing it in to another body. if we can think in terms of energy all our confusions will dissapear. Mr. viktor, when ever great philosophers like jesus and some onewho said the earth is round, and men evolved from apes spoke out the truth, people thought they were insane and insulted them. the blind people cant appreciate what vision is if a person with vision describes it to them. like wise the ignorant cant appreciate knowledge. so please dont get disheartened by the sircastistic comments of people. i know u wont let negative energy flow in to u. please continue to post such valuable ideas. thanks

In many states in the USA, you would be considered a manditory reporter... meaning that, if you have any reason to think that someone

may have abused a child, then you are required by state law to report it to the authorities. Please visit this link for more information... http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/cb...ons/rpt_abu.htm I understand you're in Toronto, but maybe Canada has similar laws to protect children. Please make some calls. If the first contact you call says that they can't help you, then please call someone else. Don't be quickly put off from taking action. Many people might not know what you can do, so they will assume you can't do anything, and they'll say that with a "voice of authority". Please... keep trying.

Please see my above post for some contact info ^^. Also, please disable that user's ability to edit or delete his own posts... the authorities may want to read everything he's written.

First of all, please remove that link... I haven't looked at it... but if it's a link to child porn, then please please please remove it. WAIT... I mean remove it from the vbulletin forum. Don't remove it from YOUR forum... instead, move that particular post to a forum which only you can access. AGAIN, please disable that user's ability to delete or edit his own posts.

tubedogg, thank you for removing that link. Strike, contact the authorities in the state in which that user lives. Tell them everything you can. It's their job to analyze the evidence... not yours.

Track him down through his ISP.

This is not the place to post his personal info. You need to contact the authorities about this - and you need to do it now.

If you need help in "how" to track someone down through his ISP, I think it's okay to ask for general instructions. You don't have to post his actual IP and email address, in order to get instructions. I would help you with this... but I don't know the answer. Would someone please help out?

You can use Sam Spade to trace the IP address and find out who to contact. However I would just contact the Police and give them all the information you have.

Just report him to author. they will investigate further and handle it

Strike, that's commendable. Many people would have looked the other way. You took action. Did you leave your contact info, so that the FBI can contact you with any questions? I would also contact his ISP in order to... (1) Let them know for their own reasons. (2) Find out which state he's in... if he's in the USA. This is because reporting child abuse is handled state-by-state... it's not a federal situation, according to the info found at the URL that I posted in the beginning of this thread. So, in case the federal agency can't, or won't, investigate, I'd tell that user's local authorities as well. Telling the local authorities may actually be more important than telling the federal authorities.

it would not surprise me if you have many pedophile's on your board.

Contact your local police. They can then forward it to the RCMP and the US FBI if necessary.

I have some knowledge in this. Child pornography is a very serious offence, but the FBI reporting system online takes too long to process (there is a lot of 'questionable' material out there where you cannot tell the age of the person). Many companies intentionally get eighteen year olds that look fourteen or fifteen for profits (even though there are now strict laws about this). Contact your local FBI branch and simply report it. By reporting it, you've done your part and get the child porn off your website immediatly (but get proof of it). By hosting child porn (even if you don't even know you have it) you are in violation of the law. Make sure you're dealing with child porn before giving the FBI (and INTERPOL, maybe) more work. Family pictures, artistic pictures, etc, are not considered child porn. Unless the material is explicit (genitilia exposed in a provacative way, etc) then it's technically not child porn.

any playing of junior detective that you do has the potential to screw up the legal case. You recieved some good advice. You have reasonable cause to believe that he is involved in child pornography so you need to contact the authorities.

maybe canada is a little different but while it may not be admissible for a conviction it could certainly help in forming probable cause for a search warrant....

yup.. doesn't seem like you have a lot of choices.... Sorry dude it sucks to have it happen on your board... but look at the good side maybe you have a chance to save some poor kid from this crap...

Make sure you have COPPA enabled, your site has adult content and you don't even verify email addresses during registration.

You run the Jerry Springer community judging by your forums .. Heh .. just kidding ..

Maybe his communty just puts the 'fun' back in dysfunctional!

nope no cookies community smells like a russian word

I know a community is doing well when a user comes back after a long (or even short) abscence, and more than one person says 'welcome back, we missed you'

Simple way to resolve this: Does "tmachine" (or whatever her name was) still post there?

Friend? You have some freaky friends!

Maybe you could write an e-aids mod/hack for your members. You know, you e-screw you get e-aids. It's only fair as I see it. If the only life you have is e-screwing, then you should have the opportunity of

getting some computer mangleing disease that renders your hard drive useless. First Amendment Rights have been used to protect just about everything except its original intention. I'm happy e-screw is a canadian business. Nice flag. Best wishes, Hooper

some of us are lazy - www.escrew.com

Yes that site is just so intelligent that they have a thread discussing sites that they can have a banning contest on. Some people have mental problems there I have noticed. I have my go around with them.... They even have a link to the page here that lists everyones vB sites so they can choose sites to cause trouble. Odil

Damn and I thought my Sleazy Sex Story forum was weird lol

That aint weird, it's just shit. I dont visit many other boards so dont really know any other 'weird' ones.

Thanks Jake... now you've just led some kids down the dark path of no return...

Is he running a legal vB? He should have his license revoked for this banning contest etc crap.

Oh dear, look what I've started...

Yes he runs a legal board unfortunately. Several months ago, he was offering people their own copy of his EScrew board for like $7 a month run off his own server untill he was shown that what he was doing was illegal. Someone then posted a link where they could get it for free. This is what you get when you refuse to ban anyone. Odil

only if he is violating the license agreement. banning contests are not against the agreement - http://www.vbulletin.com/license.html

he's board is a q3w R&R wannabe board. but like I said earlier, if you have a problem with people on his board, or inciting things, then report it to his host. Show them something and they will take action (usually) by the way, strike, nice avatar. a person on my site's the one that made it. glad you like it

His host, hostrocket, is a joke. They are the AOL of hosts.

LOL that's true, go to WHT and that's all you see "HOSTROCKET IS shit" etc Anyway, weirdest board I've seen will have to be mine

escrew just got suspended:
http://www.webhostingtalk.com/showthread.php?s=&threadid=83673&highlight=escrew
edit -guess the nuked they thread. It was entertaining to say the least. As for HR, they offer more than any host I've seen so far, but thats just about the only reason I've stuck with em. We've been getting poor (or outage) performance recently, and its becoming a real annoyance. Shopping around now for another host that can offer what HR offers for the same price, or close to it (VO is a bit pricy but we

might have to bite the bullet anyway).

Damn I missed the show! Can someone summarize what happened to escrew?

Fortunatly, I saw the thread before it was killed. Basically, escrew had a lot of members that had posted pornographic material in the form of attachments and avatars. Their account was suspended and now escrew is looking for a new host.

I take it he got reported to his host

You sure the contract isn't nullified is you break their terms?

Not true. It depends what they have in their TOS.

And a company like host rocket will have taken something like this into account (I can imagine you're not the first that they've done this to)

It's your responsibility to read the TOS, if you don't agree to it, you don't sign up. You can just say you were too lazy, you have to "Agree to the TOS to continue". The TOS will say that they reserve the right to suspended or shut down any site that contains warez or adult material because let's face it, all hosts do that. So, the contract gives them the right to do what they did. You think they would knowingly go against a contract? Hosts like these shut down sites hosting content like that left and right. It's nothing new to them. You're going to end up losing more money if you go off on some shooting spree with a lawyer. Good luck

Here is what they say Agreement Between HostRocket.Com And All Customers Activities Subject to Immediate Deactivation. Any Hosting Account that is used for Illegal, Abusive or Unethical Activity may be immediately deactivated by us without warning to you. Any misuse or overuse of the server will result in immediate deactiveation of an account. Illegal, Abusive or Unethical Activities include, but are not limited to inclusion of or linking to, pornography, mp3's, obscenity, nudity, violations of privacy, hacking, irc related scripts and programs, non approved server scripts, eggdrops, computer virus, gambling, or promotion of gambling, and any harassing or harmful materials or uses, as determined by us. You agree to indemnify and hold us harmless from any claim resulting from your publications or use of Illegal, Abusive or Unethical materials. Although we will make reasonable efforts to alert you to such activities and allow you an opportunity to cure them within a 12-hour period after discovery, we are not required to give notice before deactivating your use of our services if, in our discretion, your use is or results in Illegal, Abusive or Unethical activities. If a Hosting Account is disabled, the regular monthly fees still apply. You still think you can sue them?

And they can fight the charge by providing proof of what you've done, the violations, and their TOS.

But if the credit card company refuses to honor your chargeback you'll be stuck with it. If you don't pay it it'll get sent to a credit agency, where interest/penalties will accrue, and your credit will be damaged. But beyond the credit issue, I think you should just swallow this bitter pill. It was your fault for not abiding by the TOS you agreed to. Perhaps they should credit you for the remaining unused credit, but you don't have a right to a full refund. To try getting that via a chargeback would show a bad faith effort on your part.

Who's Brendan?

Man so your adding slander/libal to your list??? If you chargeback, HR can fully pursue your credit card company to reverse it, and get the money back, if your credit card company is stuck with the bill, they'll be more than happy to mark it down on your credit history that you refuse to pay bills... Whenever I have a user that breaks my TOS and I suspend them, and they try the charge back crap, I always contest it with logs.. So far only had one charge back go though, and thats mainly because I didn't feel like contesting it, it was for like 3 bucks But if just try to piss HR off, then you'll give them a reason just to pursue you if you chargeback...

"I'm gonna sue" The default response of Internet whackjobs when they get spanked.

Are you sure Strike is just another regular "internet whackjob"?

Well, I doubt he has the power to close his mom's visa card.

Oh, the funny thing is he publically insulted this Brendan on that thread that was posted here.

Heh, that what I was thinking too. What a parade of the damned... and a good advertisement for birth-control.

Time to revoke this kid's license.

Better go download a phpbb dude.

Time to close this thread...

such hostility over there

the idea has potential. i'm thinking it would work best on a forum that covers a broad subject area and then people who want to focus on a specific subject area within yours could buy a single forum on your forums.

I think its a good idea to be honest... however you should capture a specific market and not try and encompass everything. My forums offer free vB sub forums to clans and projects in the gaming scene, specifically Unreal Tournament and a certain way its played. We don't charge, but I'm sure if we did people would want a little more for their money. IMO you would need to develop: - A community control panel to let forum leaders moderators modify their own styles - Certain admin

options which are currently global to be made specific to a certain sub-forum or usergroup - A slightly different visual approach to the co-branding so that you wouldn't list 100's of forums on the main page.

Now that's a good idea, try it free, then if it's successful it would be easier to charge later on.

Well it started off a good idea .. it just keeps going down hill now !

Due to the fact that your "ecash" program is making unsubstantiated claims about income potential along with out proper disclosure of terms, payment procedures and other required information and the fact that the apparent scam is targeting Americans, I am reporting your site to the FCC for the purpose of being investigated for telecommunications fraud.

that's a good idea seeing as all of the internet rejects end up there.

What's so funny about a porn link?

That's interesting.

Go eScrew yerself.

eScrew Your F-in MOTHER!!!!!!!!!

At least *it* (aka eScrew) is more entertaining than Marty the Martian aka Lil Nicky (He's never been to Earth. He's never even slept over some other dude's house.).

So interesting i might just get someone else to some it up for me

All is symbol.

That just about sums it up. Am I the only one that reads all of it? Its pretty funny that someone would waste so much of his life to write that, then for someone like me to waste so much of his life reading it...

eScrew, just shut up. Dear eScrew: Have a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year! (Never argue with an idiot. He brings you down to his level, then beats you with experience...)

your going to escrew your eyes up by reading this stuff !!!!! unrealize

> Time to close this thread... so soon? ok > Well it started off a good idea .. it just keeps going down hill now ! They do. Shit happens, then you die.

Except for those that hold tightly to the myths of dis-information, opinions, and unsupportable or incorrect 'facts', most all this stuff has been debunked years ago. For those that prefer the straight and unadorned facts, they can be found in the Google archives of A.R.E. ` o
|
~/|

_/ |\
/ | \
-/ | \

_ /____|____

(___________/
Rich~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~Sailing the CyberSea~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Which Google are you talking about? Oogie

..uhhh... I'm uhh... sorry about that. Perhaps this will help:
http://www.schizophrenia.com/ It's a place to start, anyway. Good luck.
H.

For whatever reason your forum has been targeted by a rather stupid
group of idiots called escrew. They redirect DNS traffic to web forums
that they want to "invade" several times a night...and for some reason
they are aiming at you guys now... www.escrew.com currently leads to
your forum. They will come here, mess with you, and flood your board.
They will then claim that they "owned" you and take off to another
site....just thought I would give you guys a heads up.... Oh...nice
meeting you all :)

wow :s maybe that explanes all the newbies :D

thank you. toodles :)

wow :s maybe that explanes all the newbies :D It explains me at
least...I never knew this board existed. But our board was "invaded" by
these mental midgets once before. Our admins were all over it, and no
real damage was done...although some of us had fun farking with them
while they visited:D Can any of you PM an admin....just to let them
know? Nice place you guys have here....

I tried to tell you...but instead I got insulted...have fun...

LOL- it cracks me up to think that people have so much time on their
hands. I should be so lucky!

uuhhmmm :t what forum are u from?

I would rather not say...I'm not sure our mods would appreciate me
directing another of their feeble attacks our way...

What forum are you from? Let me guess!! I won't say the name, but can I
assume your choice of words is a hint? Do you like cliches and nutted
squirrels? :p

They have moved on now...good job to your mods... My last post
here...bye guys...

Thanks Heads Up... whoever you are :p just to everyone else, if these
guys do make a return trip, please use the report-this-post feature to
report them to the mods/admin. that way we can take care of them as

soon as possible.

nice to know things get interpreted wrong, heads^, but thanks anyway. http://forums.prowrestlingfans.com/forumdisplay.php?s=&forumid=21 that was the last escrew.com redirect, so the guy was right.

before the pro wresteling site it was an adult web site banner exchange forum. these guys are semi amusing

LOL...right now at this moment, it leads you right to this thread...

Originally posted by Imagine just to everyone else, if these guys do make a return trip, please use the report-this-post feature to report them to the mods/admin. I'll never use the report-this- post feature again. You guys throw people in Detention Hall for that. What a joke..

uh no... because in forums with moderators, the reports only go to the mods, not the admin. mods cannot put people in DH. and when the reports are actually helpful, you don't get put in DH for that.

Okay, I won't say anything else about it. But we both know that's BS. Popular mods with admin friends can get people thrown in DH with just a whisper. Exhibit A..me. And someone was put in DH for reporting posts of an attacking troll. This someone was told to put the attacker on "ignore" and to stop reporting him. LOL..if you're being attacked on a public forum, you have no right to defend yourself? You're supposed to let them belittle you all they want in front of everyone and not respond?? I won't call you guys on this anymore. But I had to at least once. Now, back to your regularly scheduled program..

1. Strike A drunk Russian homo nutpuncher who used to run eScrew.com before he totally fucked it up and everybody defected to fuxxored.com. Strike is a drunk Russian homo nutpuncher who... etc. :D

1. eScrew Escrewed v: to die a long, desolate death, totally alone. Escrew, once the terror of the internet, is now ESCREWED due to the psychotic ramblings of a crazy Ukranian.

2. eScrew The ability to completely and utterly ruin everyone's fun because you're a self-centered egotistical PRICK! I've escrewed yu0 all! HAHAHAHAHA!

Okay, I could not read that. Sorry! Way too "screwed" up.

Were we supposed to read the entire thing?! .. stopped at line 4 >.<

ya, i skipped half way down read another 5 lines than said forget this.

Ummmm. . . . . Can anyone besides "eScrew" tell me what that jibberish is all about?

Freak

Ah, the unfathomable eloquence that is hip-hop lyrics has finally made it to alt.magic.*

taken from "Adventures of Anybody?"

Bernie? Is that you?

I call on the power of Bernard to drive the evil eScrew from this newsgroup!

now that's funny... FUUUUCCCKKKKKK!

So, what the fuck ! :)

be

do

oh darn drat & gardangblangit i thought you were joking. I fucking read again and . . . Do You Need A Hug? OR MAYBE SOME GELLO PIE? Lime-Plantain Flavor, Perhaps??? SQUISHY MUSHY! no.... really... ... ... SPLAT!

he is or rather was sollog's alter ego. There is no "group" only similar minded followers that are to lazy to type up their own babble. Escrew recently lost his job and decided to flush his savings in drugs and live the rest of his life as a bum. He buried his drugs and left markers and a map but his map was so poorly drawn it was completely useless and his markers were so crudely made that they washed away in the rain. If you ever see him on the streets, give him some money. He'll refuse it but just stuff it in his pocket and pat him on the back. He'll appreciate your kindness later.

I cannot get past the first paragraph of this post. Sorry for saying so, but something ain't right in your world if this is your own creation.

I've seen this eScrew message on every newsgroup to which I subscribe. What's the story? Where are the flamers? Help!

How about if eScrew can just eFuckoff....

Oh, Now that I read it closer, there was 1 whole sentance without the F word. Ozzie would never let that be LOL

What is the point in posting an entire forum thread's contents? Are you attempting the same deception again?

Could you knock that down to a few lines.

Bump

no problema, you have a fucking Escrew loose somebody ~trinity~ ought to ban an idiot like this

YEAH, JUST TAKE THAT STUPID ASCEW OUT OF IT, IF YOU CAN´T READ IT THEN, GO TO, www.fromthewilderness.com Mike Ruppert has it all layed out in a book called, The Rubicon. Take your shit and go flush it down the toilet. DILL-WADD.

Are you sure, I have already started working in the code.

Is it funny?

Finally, the Truth.... or maybe not.

symbols are a value,in binary terms in which our brains function

I made an ESCROW with myself to ESCHEW this ESCREW...! Peace, Love and Light.

Thats screwy...wow

I´m sorry, I wasn´t listening. Could you repeat that?

eScrew vandal * Copying text from www.escrew.com to many different page titles, from a wide range of different IP addresses.

* (220.99.118.172 | talk | contributions) is doing nonsense cut and paste into random existing and new articles. --fvw* 12:21, 2004 Dec 24 (UTC) has been warned, and is now blocked for 24h. dab watch escrew. User:80.200.243.153 seems to be the same guy, and I have blocked him for 24h, too. the two IPs are not geographically close, and this may turn out to be a comlicated case (trojaned proxies?)) dab () 12:37, 24 Dec 2004 (UTC) add User:213.191.118.14. almost certainly a kid with a collection of trojaned boxes all over the place. I feel uncomfortable with speed-blocking so many IP's but it seems to be the thing to do at the moment. dab () 12:40, 24 Dec 2004 (UTC) more: User:24.73.149.165 dab 12:45, 24 Dec 2004 (UTC)

Following up some of the links, I came across this post [madpenguin.org] (scroll to the 7th post on that page, by 'madadmin'.) The administrator of that forum is claiming that, based on their server logs, they have reason to believe that the person responsible for the attacks may be the same person who's recently posted a message to comp.lang.php that's titled 'eScrew OWNS YO!!!'. (See the posting for more details.) From further posts, it looks as if the association has been made by looking at where the worms are coneverging. Can anyone who's currently dealing with this elaborate?

Just say ´no´ to drugs.

russians suck ass ESCREW SUCKS MY BALLS!!! strike's mom It's true!!!!! I love to suck balls!!!

EScrew World Factbook Entry: eScrew is eScrew. eScrew loves freedom. eScrew loves absolute freedom. eScrew does not respect system. eScrew does not respect power. eScrew laughs at those nations who seek money and power. eScrew seeks infinity. eScrew seeks different dimension of existence. eScrew is not of this world. eScrew is here to help seekers to realize freedom. eScrew has answer to all questions. eScrew is unity. eScrew is above politics. eScrew is above economy. eScrew is land of seekers and teachers of freedom and truth. UN Delegate: None. Founder: The Free Land of EScrew Location: eScrew

The Free Land of EScrew is a tiny, devout nation, notable for its complete lack of prisons. Its hard-working population of 6 million have some civil rights, but not too many, enjoy the freedom to spend their money however they like, to a point, and take part in free and open elections, although not too often. The government -- a sprawling, bureaucracy-choked morass -- devotes most of its attentions to Social Welfare, with areas such as Law & Order and Defence receiving almost no funds by comparison. The average income tax rate is 38%. Private enterprise is illegal, but for those in the know there is a slick and highly efficient black market in Uranium Mining. Elections have been outlawed. Crime is relatively low. EScrew's national animal is the eScrew, which frolics freely in the nation's many lush forests, and its currency is the eScrew. EScrew is ranked 62,957th in the world for Smartest Citizens.

eScrew trains one million Radical Zen masters of Spiritual Warfare.No political regime is safe. eScrew Zen will bring freedom to every single nation and region in the world. eScrew does not care if you're running a democracy or dictatorship. The nature of any government is the same. Government enslaves its people. Even if people really love their government they are still slaves because they don't know any better. That's where eScrew comes in. eScrew will destroy all government conditioning and mind control. eScrew will unite the world in a way UN could not even imagine. One true master like Buddha or Jesus can destroy the modern equivalent of Roman Empire. Imagine what one million Radical Zen masters can do...

eScrew burns UN flag during press-conference eScrew Radical Zen Master burned UN flag as a sign of protest against UN globalization policies. eScrew knows that UN is just a front for multinational corporations who want to destroy local laws and rape our mother nature. eScrew will destroy UN by exposing their lies and corporate propaganda. http://forums2.jolt.co.uk/showthread.php?t=384856

Zen From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. Radical "Zen" Some Zen masters use very controversial methods of education. Vandalism of Zen values and beliefs is very common if used in good faith for the benefit of student. Lin Chi Zen Master said if you meet Buddha kill Buddha. If you meet Patriarch kill Patriarch. Zen Master Seung Sahn says that in this life we must all kill three things, first we must kill parents. Second we must kill Buddha. And lastly, we must kill Seung Sahn. Note: since zen and Buddhism respect life, literal killing is not meant. What is meant is to kill slavish devotion to some one or some ideal that is held as a savior or salvation. To see a savior is to see the concept outside of one's self, when the concept needs to be integrated or rather the pre-existing integration needs to be rediscovered. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zen

[QUOTE=Zackaroth]~ Aimless tripps and falls on the button that unleashes 2 nukes conventily headed toward Escrew and those cult bastards.~ " Well. Im gonna go get a coffe now."[/QUOTE] BREAKING NEWS The Republic of Zackaroth just unleashed two powerful nukes aimed at

the heart of Zen region. All Radical Zen Masters and students must immediately enter the state of Nirvana and absorb nuke energy into the Crown Chakra. Such event will be very beneficial for the energy body as well as to the spiritual development of all. Today Zackaroth's Archbishop concil declared war on Escrew because of recent events and to recent boredness. Zackaroths High Leader Had this to say: " I believe if we want christianty to spread threw out the world so we need to destory this satanis cult before ot grows. Of course this is all bullshit we needv the extra land." There you have folks. Zackaroth is going to war. The leader also said any nation helpinbg us will recive a a basket of furits and a free subscritioon to Cathloic girls monthly. http://forums2.jolt.co.uk/showthread.php?t=385068&highlight=escrew

These Hippies are trying to spread their sepratist ideas. Being profoundly stupid, they try and scare nations to abide by their evil ways. Its a disgrace to see a nation following a backward religion. They also persist in telling us these "Zer Masters" are power mind users. Could you see a man absorb a nuclear blast in his head? Not me. Even if there was the remote possibility he did, his brain would explode. They burn the UN flag and start raving that they will be the rulers of every nation on nation states. Is that possible? http://forums2.jolt.co.uk/showthread.php?t=385063&highlight=escrew

BREAKING NEWS: Telegram from The Republic of TAIU Radical Zen Masters take over The Republic of TAIU. Psychotic Dictatorship is destroyed. Freedom is realized.

eScrew BREAKING NEWS! Zen region Radical Spy inside the UN throws rotten eggs at UN secretary and delegates. Zen region Radical Spy later told reporters that UN is a joke and that UN made a big mistake by accepting application from several Zen nations. Radical Zen nations will keep vandalizing UN property and harass UN delegates. UN will be destroyed and there is nothing you can do about it! Reporters showed a shocking video of Zen region Radical Spy urinating on the floor during UN session. He later explained that since UN already stinks no harm has been done!

eScrew News Report Radical Zen Masters which were slaughtered near the UN building caused massive chaos and panic when they simply walked inside the UN building. It was not clear how they were able to rise from the dead but several witnesses reported an explosion of light minutes before the incident took place. Radical Zen Masters spilled their blood on many UN delegates as a sign of protest against their slaughter. There are reports of missing Radical Zen Masters. Apparently, several hostile nations made a commitment to kill at least one Radical Zen Master by the end of the year.

President Carpenter of PIcaRDMPCia issues this statement: Clearly you do not understand the United Nations in any way. The UN is dedicated to upholding peace and tranquility throughout the world. We will oppose any military actions commited by EScrew.

Suddenly, Nergal appeared above the nation, his pale majesty and huge form easy dwarfing it, as his head reached up above the atmosphere. Standing on Jupiter, holding a bat, was Ereshkigal, Nergal's consort. She nodded to him, indicating she was ready. Nergal bent down and scooped the nation of EScrew up out of the Earth, packing the nation and it's people into a tight ball. Then, doing the normal wind-up of a pitcher in a baseball game, he launched the nation at Ereshkigal, hoping it would shoot past her. Much to his surprise, she swung and hit the nation dead-on, sending EScrew flying straight into the Andromeda Galaxy, where it landed in a black hole to be forever IGNORED. "Hmm. I'll be over at your place tonight to clean your bathroom," Nergal saiid, replacing the empty spot where EScrew once sat with unsettled land full of plants, wildlife, etc.

Collective consciousness of eScrew recorded that experience as a mystical expression of Zen while many Radical Zen Masters dismissed it as a creation of mind not worthy of attention and continued their training for the benefit of all.

Well why Don't you just tell everyone What the UN is doing and distroy them on a International level they may stell exist but they will lose there respect and don't worry zen masters in my nation can treach all they want but my people stand Behide The Imperial Soviet Council of Soviet leaders. just let the info go out. Emperor,Romakov:"That should be good." Secertary:"Yes that is good." Emperor,Romakov: "good send this to EScrew." Secertary: "Yes Sire." the Secertary was named Sam she was a hot female that was only 21 years of age the Emperor liked her vary much. Emperor,Romakov or his full name Alexander,Romakov he is only 31 and he is most respected offical in are nation he is a blond hair blue eyes he stands about 6.3" tall weights about 150 mostly mussle. Plz Don't PISS THIS NATION OFF OR SOME ZEN MASTERS WILL DIE!

Dictator Rabek Jeris was just about to announce his support and propose a new treaty that would supply eScrew with all the ethically questionable weapons that it could ever need.... when he noticed that eScrew no longer exists. Too bad.

Imperial Soviet Council Just voted 100%/0% that the zen masters will be put in prison and will be charged with Being a spy and ether deported to EScrew or exacuted. If you see a zen master plz alert the military. there are 0 zen masters from are nation and zen masters frome your nation will stick out like well they will be vary easy to find and ...

Escrew needs to find out that there are some 40,000 nations in the U.N and they don't like to be annoyed by a little nation. Telegram me for further information.

Nuclear Missiles? 200 Billion Roasted Zen masters? Priceless

There should be a UN resolution not to let paranoid Zen Masters on NS. But that's just me.

The people of my nation will not be taken in by the tomfoolery of the "Zen Masters." I have many troops to spare in case your "Zen Masters"

want to duke it out. And "Zen Masters" doesn't even deserve to be uppercase.

The Protectorate has dibs on any pens left behind when the zen masters are ousted from the premesis. Thank You.

EScrew you are not going to win with war or any other thing so plz stop before ether you start the zen masters ether get killed or you get war decleared on you ok how big is you military or what is your pop

Since when are you mister Fortune teller? Anywhoo, the UN is controlled by the nations, and nothing will happen that will cause the program to go corrupt, ok? It's just a game, and the UN is programed not to do anything out of the ordinary.

6 million VS 2.031 billion + anyone else who is going to have zen masters in there nation this is not a threat Oh and Bring it on Zen masters Vs. Stormtroppers and Sith that will be fun

Well, his population is 6 million. And 5% of 6 million is... 300,000. He has a military of less than 500,000.

My military 40,620,000 so don't piss us off plz for your good

"Are you sure the image they saw in the telescope was for real Yannick?" "Yes Markus, look for yourself, the proof is irrefutable.." as he looked into the eyepiece he let out a gasp.. "Good Lord EScrew has done it now and they have now drawn the ultimate wrath upon them, they have summoned.. the Death Star.." You could barely make it out through the telescope, but it was coming.. oh it was coming.

Dictator Rabek Jeris, seeing that the money and power was strongly against eScrew, shifts his offers to the nations seeking to destroy eScrew. Let the bidding begin on Rabek Jeris' stockpile of ethically questionable weapons...

Escrew, are you a mindless-smelly-hippie-with-more-lice-than-common-knowledge? Come on, all this crap your writing makes you sound like a lunatic who just injected heroine into his penis. Your Population: 7 million. Wow you are powerful aren't you?**

MUst...reist....the urge....to nuke...hand...getting....so....close....to...big....red...shiny......button

Careful, you may invoke the wrath of the zen and next thing you know we will be reading some 'International Incident' that will surely be a mess.

Ok everybody, the hippies are fried and ready! Who wants Hippie Bisque? Hippie Hamburger? Hippie Ala Mingon? Hippie Stew? As a spy plane hovers over Escrew territory they took pictures, pictures of burning hippies and they're ever so disgusting bell-bottoms.

Its a waste of hippie thats what it is! Slaves - indeed rainbow dressed disgustingly radical hippies - but none the less.......slaves!

Damn Zen Masters! I see the only way to kill these beings is to blow there heads off! But that would mean a costly invasion which would only have the point of hunting down Zen Masters

Can't stop laughing, it's just too funny! LMFAO!

My chakra needs alignment, perhaps I should call a zen master, no wait, lets not do that..

Breaking news. Today Zackaroth's Archbishop concil declared war on Escrew because of recent events and to recent boredness. Zackaroths High Leader Had this to say: " I believe if we want christianty to spread threw out the world so we need to destory this satanis cult before ot grows. Of course this is all bullshit we needv the extra land." There you have folks. Zackaroth is going to war. The leader also said any nation helpinbg us will recive a a basket of furits and a free subscritioon to Cathloic girls monthly.

We will send a monkey from one of our zoos. Now where's that fruit basket?

A fruit basket has been sent.

We will help most definetaly. A large flock of pigeons has been sent.

The Empire of Risban shall send some scorpions to help.

The Confederacy of Lachenburg will aid you nation by sending thousands of starve-craved Hampsters with golf clubs to defeat the forces of Escrew.

The Dominion of Nordrreich wholeheartedly supports the actions of Zackaroth and military assistence is being considered. However, we may have significant trouble with the wayward former possession of Ashkenazia on our hands and be unable to help with effective military forces.

Please accept this gift from The Protectorate of Henrytopia. We would like to surrender the services of the Imperial Death Star. Our government had retained their services but no longer finds it necessary as we moved the entire nation into another zen-less dimension which is quite good may I add. We have secured and paid for IGNORE aerial bombardment from the Imperials for a small fee as they were also annoyed by the foolish acts of said zen masters. We have a contract to allow the use of the Death Star and the Imperial Armies as well for a retainer of one dollar if you find it necessary, we left two dollars on the counter if you need it.. keep the change. The Protectorate.

To all Zackaroth forces: Stand down immedeatly. Lay down your weapons, and you will be let off unharmed. Our fleets are on stand by to begin to move in if you should ignore this. If you are willing to disregard this, I will have no choice but to declare war. I repeat, stand down.

From: Shogun Himura Kenshin -Shogun of Pschycotic Pschycos- _Pilot of the ZGMF-10A Freedom-

" You do realize he declared war on everybody so its our right yo declare war back. Cmon join us. The fruit is aswome.

Zackaroth, we have called upon our Moronic Legion of the Hilltops to assist you in this endeavor. They are fierce warriors, devout to their commander.. and quite stupid so they should fare very well against any moronic defense thrown at them. We have dispatched their commander, the babbling organ grinder's monkey to your nation for further instructions. Please feel free to use this elite guard against the ScrewE... I mean EScrew maniacs.

Slinao will send 10,000 plague infested rats, each contained in an airtight container and been trained to sniff out the EScrew population of Zen Radicals. Each rat also has a small firebomb attached to it in case the Zen try to cleanse the rat and use it to their benifit. Where is my fruit at?

We have sent a group of bounty hunters to hunt down the Zen master and destroy the nation of escrew since the nation seems to be immune to nuclear blasts

The HDC seems to have amassed a large amount of soiled jock straps and we have incorporated them into a conventional bomb delivery system for your air force. They are en route to you as we speak.

La Habana sends a plague of rabid dogs to attack eScrew

The nation of New Frussia has sent sabotuers in and has planted many "dirty bombs" all over your foes nation. SEND THE FRUIT!....and the porn....

Urukku will offer thousands of our latest PDS-2000 (Pants Dispersal System) bombs. Each PDS-2000 drops 2000 pairs of ultra-short-shorts. These come in a variety of hideous shades of pink. While we can't guarantee they'll kill anybody, they'll certainly make the enemy look silly.

To: Glorious Leader, Nation of Zackaroth From: Emporer Shaz Bot, The Holy Empire of Shazbotdom The Holy Empire of Shazbotdom will send 1 Pot Bellied Pig.....his name's Rooster. He eats a lot and shits a lot, he will make their nation a smuldering shit pile!!

Fruit and porn has been sent. The overweight cows has been sent to attk Escrew. We believe the attk will occur withen the 3rd month of 2006 when they finally get there.

The Republic of Siap is sending the Rabbit from Monty Python and the Holy Grail. We want our fruit basket!!! We'll also throw in the dead parrot from the dead parrot sketch (No, he's just resting)

FRuit have been sent even though tragically the dead parrot was resting and fell to his death when we threw him off a building to bomb Escrew. CErmonys are being held.

The Imperial Republic of Hataria will Send Zackaroth 20 Newly Built Nehernia Class Battleships to help in his war against EScrew.

~Electronic Communication to Zackaroth~ Despite us having no record of such a nation existing, I am sending 400 schoolgirls armed with slingshots. They are quite deadly for some reason. -Dictator Enigma ~End Communication~

The Federation is willing to do its share by supplying the attacking forces with 500 slightly rotten cobs of corn. Good luck, and godspeed.

Having once met your delegation somewhere at an event which we can't really remember, a senior ministry official noted the Zackaroth group was particularly festive. With this in mind our nation has decided to assist you in this matter. We will be sending 50,000 pounds of Swiss Cheese, 50 cases of Cool Whip dressing, 153 gallons of unpasturized goat milk, 254 pounds of assorted christmas candy leftovers, and 1284 tubs of Cottage Cheese. Feed your armies well. Good luck in your endeavor. J. Wales Prime Minister Holy Republic of Hawdawg

THank you but for some reason a few nights after we put them in the men's barracks they were all perganant. Care to explain this foul treachery!!!!!

Personally, I would have thought it obvious that you don't put them in the men's barracks. OOC: Unless you nation is Sarkarasetans, they can't get pregnant. Humans and Sarkarasetans are not genetically similar enough to breed. While I enjoyed the laugh, I just wanted to point this out.

Yeah well. Your a big stupid head and your nation is a pile poop. Ok. Now go one and cry to your mommy or somthing. OOC: Could of told they were of a diffrent race

Today The Zackaroth army got to try its POKING STICK OF DOOM!!! It is a big wodden stick held by 3 men that poke the enemey into defeat.

I send the last gay in the village, (my lil bro) he'll get them all to submit also i'm sending you a truck load of crazed munchkins (they like pie..... lot's of pie) be warned they are very very very annoying do NOT return to sender!!!!! Great Dictator Of PHGS Weyr p.s don't like oranges but pineapple is good send lots. p.p.s the munchkins are best used droped from a very great hight and left for dead.

Umm, Zackaroth, I would advise you not point that Poking Stick of Doom at Dictator Enigma.. you thought the attck by the Real Anime Liberation Movement was bad.

I gleefully send myself, armed with my trusty dual spear(basically a staff with serrated spear points on both ends) and clothed in mythril chain mail. EScrew is doomed. -President Carpenter These Hippies are

trying to spread their sepratist ideas. Being profoundly stupid, they try and scare nations to abide by their evil ways. Its a disgrace to see a nation following a backward religion. They also persist in telling us these "Zer Masters" are power mind users. Could you see a man absorb a nuclear blast in his head? Not me. Even if there was the remote possibility he did, his brain would explode. They burn the UN flag and start raving that they will be the rulers of every nation on nation states. Is that possible?

I have found EScrew to be remarkably irritating; if I were a warlike dictator instead of an elected President, I'd probably declare war on you right now and wipe you out, just so you'd be quiet. As it is...go play in the sandbox and leave us real nations alone. -President Carpenter

Hey, someone find LU BU, and get him over here. Psst, Zen Master person, there is this dictator who... ummm.... doesn't like you, maybe you should infiltrate his country. *Snickers*

On behalf of the Protectorate I would like to submit the following statement: The Protectorate has been involved in rescue efforts and assisting with humanitarian aide thanks to radical terrorist groups with an agenda such as EScrew. These terrorists have left the nation of PIcaRDMPCia a smoldering wreck. They have gone to the extreme of bombing the humanitarian aide workers while they gathered to eat! The RALM terrorists then set off a large explosive in the nation of Winters End in retaliation for their assistance and call to others to condemn the actions of their radical movement. I would sincerely hope that the United Nations does not accept this nation of self-proclaimed terrorists into the union and pollute our membership with their delegation. Do we really want or need a delegate from a terrorist organization represented in the United Nations? I furthermore call for censure by this body of EScrew and any of its agents for the mere fact that their claims are ridiculous and a waste of bandwith. I am sure that I have the support of many of my esteemed colleagues when I make this declaration. Henry Brash VIII

PIcaRDMPCia is in full favor of this declaration, especially because of the rampant destruction we have recently experienced in our country. -President Carpenter

BREAKING NEWS! UN delegate of PIcaRDMPCia got hit with a basket of red paint outside of UN building. Developing... Nick Adkins, PIcaRDMPCia's official delegate to the UN, frowned at his ruined suit. "What moron threw that at me?" he asked everyone nearby. They all shrugged and kept walking. He snorted in disgust. "Damn. Oh well...at least my hotel is only a couple blocks away; I'll walk it." And so he started for the Excelsior Hotel, ignoring the odd looks he receieved from passers-by.

YOU FOOL!! SEE WHAT WE DID WITH OUR CRIME AND SENT IT TO YOURS!! GIVE UP NOW OR FACE MORE CRIMES GROUPS. Dont make us send the gay crime groups. There reallly really bad. I mean like so bad....* goes of

rambling*

NEWS ANCHOR #1: Breaking news! NEWS ANCHOR #2: What is it? NEWS ANCHOR #1: It's about eScrew! NEWS ANCHOR #2: Go on... NEWS ANCHOR #1: Nobody cares because they godmod! NEWS ANCHOR #2: The whole world knows that by now. Didn't you get the memo?

All kidding aside, whatever happened to escrew? Bitch, Oddity, Patrix, and the others, why is escrew.com so weird, and what in gods name is strike doing. BTW, this is Pale Horse, back from the oooooollllldddd escrew days...

long time no see. Oddity posts here every so often, patrix posts here every so often, I post here too often.

Hmmmm.....Pale horse has a nice av...nothing like naughty latin beetchezzzzzzzzzzzz Oh and by the way escrew DOES SUCK BALLS and Osama tongues camel asses

hmmm I found that strike has quoted me on his site... by the way victor, good job in getting that rambiling moras or psudo philosophical BS back on the net. None the less... take my quote off or I'll file a law suit you punk ass nigger. Oh and budda would of pimp slaped you for missing the point. I ment the point of zen not the one in your ass, you chode sucking ass licking, dog humping, sister banging, ball sniffing, hemeroid munching, shit eating, pinko commie shit bag. fuck you victor, the smartest thing to ever come out of your mouth was zem's dick.

BWAHAHAHAHAHA

IDEA

Pronunciation: I-'dE-& also 'I-(")dE-& or 'I-dE

Function: noun

Etymology: Middle English, from Latin, from Greek, from idein

1 a : a transcendent entity that is a real pattern of which existing things are imperfect representations b : a standard of perfection : IDEAL c : a plan for action : DESIGN

2 archaic : a visible representation of a conception : a replica of a pattern

3 a obsolete : an image recalled by memory b : an indefinite or unformed conception c : an entity (as a thought, concept, sensation, or image) actually or potentially present to consciousness

4 : a formulated thought or opinion

5 : whatever is known or supposed about something

6 : the central meaning or chief end of a particular action or situation

Dedicated to my father and mother - two beautiful ideas.

What is logic? What is the first thing that comes to your mind when you hear the word logic? Even though all of us are aware of this word, the meaning of it will be different for each of us. You may wonder why? The reason is rather obvious. Our logic models are different. We live in a world of ideas. Contrary to a popular belief we don't create ideas, ideas create us. Logic is a mode of thinking, which helps us to navigate in this giant Universe of information. Billions of ideas compete for our attention. Ideas have an intelligence of their own. The goal of any idea is to be incorporated into our identity. Our identity is a pyramid of ideas. The core of the pyramid consists of the most powerful ideas. What makes an idea powerful? Powerful ideas have control over our logic. Our logic has control of our reality.

The most primitive logic has only two functions. Information can be either accepted as truth or rejected as false. At some point in your life you have accepted certain ideas as true. Because of that, ideas which do not fit with your set of accepted ideas, appear to you as false. By accepting certain idea you become that idea. On the first stage of this model you are neither aware of your existence nor able to experience emotion. On the second stage several powerful ideas come into conflict and you might feel anger, anxiety, fear, frustration and emptiness. Strong feelings are a signal that you are evolving. The fire of emotion forces you to take a hard look at your logic model. Is this the best you can do? Our educational system is designed to promote such primitive logic. School and University students are told what is truth and what is false information. Heretics receive failing grades. Mass media promotes a set of powerful ideas. Any idea which is not compatible with this set is rejected. Logic is controlled by several powerful religious and secular ideas. We fight wars because we think that our ideas are superior to all other ideas. At the same time we don't even remember when, how and why we have accepted our ideas as truth.

Non-dualistic logic takes you on a different level of existence. One of the most important characteristics of this model is awareness - an ability to perceive an idea without making a judgment. For example, can you listen to a silly idea without labeling it as stupid? At this point you will realize that your identity is simply a set of ideas. As a result the process of acceptance and rejection of ideas will stop. You might see this as a paradox but this is simply a different mode of thinking. A paradox is a statement that is seemingly contradictory or opposed to common sense and yet is possibly true. Every idea has a right to exist. After a period of meditation you begin to identify yourself with all of the humanity and all corresponding ideas. An idea is never rejected nor accepted. A pattern of duality in logic is broken. You can make the sound of two hands clapping. Now what is the sound of one hand? Pure awareness becomes the most powerful idea and takes control of logic. You feel in harmony with the Universe.

The beautiful thing about life is that at some point you will realize that most of your ideas become obsolete. There is always a better idea which does not fit into your present mind-set. Universe is always changing, therefore those who stick with old ideas are in for rude awakening. The trick is to allow new idea to enter your mind and heart. Consider these five concepts: awareness, acceptance, rejection, change, materialization. Does that ring a bell? You are hunting for new ideas and begin to experiment with them. Ideas will be changed, modified, merged, divided, added together or multiplied. Sometimes you see all ideas as one. In order to experience ideas you want them to be materialized. Emotion is one of the ways to do it. Emotion is an idea in motion. An individual discovers love and joy. The fire of love is energy. An idea of love becomes so powerful that love controls logic. The fire of love burns so bright that any idea becomes love. There are unlimited number of logic models and unlimited number of ideas.

An idea of conscious and unconscious mind is very popular among the bright minds of our time. Unconsciousness is a set of ideas which were brought to awareness in the past but which choose to remain hidden. An idea feels that it is not compatible with the conscious set of ideas, therefore trying to become part of the conscious set is not an option. The idea is sleeping inside your mind. This unseen part of the mind is a mystery. Most of the time you don't even know it is there at all. New idea is sleeping. An idea is waiting for the right moment to pop up into your conscious mind. Are you ready? Here it comes! What is the sound of an idea? That would depend what kind of idea it is. Sound is a materialization of an idea. Just like visual appearance, smell, taste and tactile perception. Can you touch an idea? At some point your house was just an idea in the mind of the architect. Then the idea of a house was materialized on paper. Hundreds of minds accepted the idea of a house and built it. Therefore the idea of a house evolved into a material object. Now, imagine that the house got burned. The idea of your house is still alive in your mind and in the minds of people who knew of it existence. Even though the material representation of the idea changed its form the idea of a house is still part of your mind. You can modify that idea and build another house. Is it the same idea of a house?

Who creates ideas? How are they created? Why do they exist? Who makes them good or bad, evil or noble? Can you destroy an idea? Can you create a truly original idea? Imagine that our Universe doesn't exist. That idea of non-existent Universe is an idea in itself. How could Universe don't exist if an idea of non-existence is an idea in itself? Either Universe always existed or it is evolved from an idea of non-existence. Which concept do you prefer? Did we evolve from an idea of emptiness or did we always exist as part of an eternal idea? If you combine an eternal idea with an idea of emptiness what are you going to receive? Emptiness is eternal. Eternity is empty. Two ideas become one. Therefore we may conclude that any idea is part of empty eternity.

If you observe yourself you will realize that you can think only about one idea at a time. It appears to you as if you have a stream of ideas

in your mind. Take a hard look at this stream. You can divide this stream on unlimited number of ideas or you can combine this stream into one idea. You can shut down your stream and experience an idea of emptiness. You can combine this stream into one idea and experience an idea of eternity. Such experience may materialize as a strong emotion, which you have never felt before. You may see an ocean of light or the sound of the Universe. Universe will touch you and you will feel what it is like to be part of its body. Such mystical experience will certainly happen if you really want it to happen and if you accept this idea of the Universe as truth. You have to integrate this idea into your conscious mind-set and the rest is just a matter of time. You have to think like the Universe. You have to love every idea which is part of the Universe. You have to love like the Universe.

Love is an idea of being one with an idea. Love can materialize into an emotion which you call love. That doesn't change the fact that the origin of love is an idea. The idea of love is very powerful. Billions of people write about love every day. There are millions of books about love. Love will materialize into your heart. You heart is an energy center. In order to experience love you have to activate that energy. Put your hand in the middle of your chest. Can you feel this energy? If you look someone in the eyes pay attention to your heart. Can you feel the stream of love? It feels nice to feel love. Why would you choose to feel anything other than love? Love is unconditional. Love is eternal. Love is Universe. Universe is Love.

Love does not fit into the system. You can't force Love to be anything other than what it is. You can't enslave love. Love doesn't follow any rules other than the rule of Love. You can't tell to Love: you're too fat or too skinny or too stupid. Love is perfect, always was and always will be. Love is the ultimate freedom. Love will not ask you to Love. Love will show you how to love. Do you want to fall in love? Do it now! Accept an idea of Love as the highest truth. Love will never blame you. Love is not jealous. Love and justice are two different ideas. The justice of Love is Love. Love is a paradox. Love is non-duality. Love and religion are two different ideas. Religion is worship. Worship is slavery. Love does not worship. Love is freedom. The church of love is in your heart. Love is the only currency without inflation. Love is funny. Love makes you laugh. Love is pleasure. Love is beautiful. Beauty is love.

Hate is an idea of rejection of an idea. Hate can be materialized into a feeling of pain. Hate is a defensive idea caused by fear. Fear is a sign of danger. You consider certain ideas dangerous and as a result you let fear and hate to enter your heart. Why do you torture your heart? Hate and fear make your heart look like a desert. Hate and fear can be materialized into violence. Why do we watch violence on television? Why do we allow our children to play violent video-games? Why do we invite hate and fear into our hearts? Why do we punish each other with hate and fear? What are we trying to accomplish anyway? Why do we poison our minds and hearts? What kind of sick fetish is it? Why

don't we heal this cancer with love? There is more to life other than pain and suffering.

Keep in mind that hating an idea of hate is hate in itself. We should be aware that hate and fear always existed and will continue to exist. It is our choice whether to make hate and fear part of our identity or not. You are making such choice every moment of your existence and will continue to do so. Your reality will depend on your choice. Accepting hate and fear into your mind-set will materialize hate and fear into your reality.

I like to address my reader as "you" and hope that you notice that you have a habit of rejecting certain idea as false because you can not fit them into the idea-set of your identity. It is hard for you to be simply aware of the information without making any judgment regarding its validity. I could try to improve my credibility in your eyes by referring to Einstein, Jesus, Buddha or Plato. Would you believe me more if I could prove you that these influential individuals had ideas similar to mine? Why do we need experts to tell us what is truth and what is not? The answer to any question is inside your mind. All you need to do is to ask the right question. Keep asking your question until you receive the answer. Open your mind to the new idea. When the student is ready a teacher will appear.

Learn how to express your ideas. When you create art you invite new ideas to come into your mind and heart. Anything can be art as long as your intent is honest expression of your ideas. You can't create art if your intent is to impress someone. A simple conversation with your neighbor can be art. Just tell your neighbor your deepest thoughts, your dreams, share your love. If you do that your neighbor will do the same. As a result you will love your neighbor as yourself. Now, I know what you think right now. You probably think that I have stolen this idea from the Bible and trying to pass it to you as my own. Well, how do you know who created this idea anyway? Do you really think that such powerful idea is only 2,000 years old? If your answer is yes please return to page one and read this book one more time. We don't create ideas, ideas create us. Considering all of this I am simply a messenger, just like you are. It is a matter of choice which message we prefer to carry through life. Jesus was proud to carry his message. Are you proud of yours?

This book is always changing. New ideas enter my mind every moment of my existence. Information, which I thought was true, can become false in a matter of seconds. I am constantly evolving into something else. Right now I am evolving into love. I want to send a message of love to every being in the Universe. This book is a materialization of my idea of love. Can you feel my love? I know you do. It's in your heart. I know your heart because I know mine. You may wonder why I am so confident that I know my heart? Confidence is the product of experience. Once you meet a new friend you spend time together. You get to know your heart. You pay attention to your heart. You talk to your

heart. You let your heart relax and enjoy its rhythm. You listen to the music of the heart and feel love and joy.

Meditation is an excellent way to know your mind. There are many ways to meditate. The trick is to find what works for you. I've discovered a fairly simple and easy method which works for me very well. I go to bed, lie on my back, close my eyes and don't move my body for a few minutes. After a fairly short period of time I begin to enter a deep state of trance. I feel that my body becomes very light. My thoughts slow down. My heart slows down. My breathing becomes deep and relaxed. I see a black screen in front of me. Slowly, this screen becomes brighter and moves closer to my eyes. At some point I feel like I can cut through the material of this screen and a whole new world will open up in front of me. In this deep state of trance you can find many new ideas which otherwise hard to discover. Sometimes I feel like I want to fall asleep but I roll my eyes upward and my mind begin to work faster, therefore increasing the level of awareness and prolonging my meditation session. At some point my thoughts loose their meaning. I realize that I don't remember my last thought. I realize that for a split second several thoughts just flashed through my mind and I don't remember what it was all about. Finally, I surrender the last bit of awareness and fall asleep. Every meditation session is different. The trick is to relax and open your mind for a new experience.

Where do new ideas come from? New ideas come from chaos. Notice that highly creative people appear to be poorly organized. They may look clean but their apartment will appear like a post-nuclear war zone. An idea of chaos is the mother of new ideas. Allow chaos to enter your mind. Try to materialize this creative chaos and make it part of your life. Don't be surprised if one day you forget your keys. That means that the chain of your circular thinking is broken and an idea of a key escaped from your train of thought. Your thought process entered an uncharted territory. You don't know where your thoughts will take you because you haven't been there yet. You will not enjoy reading books but you will enjoy writing them. You will double your income because people will buy your new ideas. The best part is that your new ideas will keep coming at ever increasing rate. Discovering new ideas is like a drug. Once you've tried it you will never look back. You will realize that everything is possible. Your perception of reality will change. While watching TV you will notice a primitive chain of ideas and you will become bored. So, you will turn off the box and spend more time with your family and friends. You will notice that alcohol and drugs reduce the number of new ideas which enter your mind, therefore you will stop poisoning your brain.

Your life will change. You will notice that your family and friends begin to notice that you become different. They will notice that your thinking process changed. They will ask you: what are you thinking about? They are worried about you because they love you. You have several choices. You can either pretend that nothing happened or tell

them the truth. At some point you realize that you can not fit into the system anymore. Even if you can fool the system you can't fool yourself. At some point the system will become hostile toward your way of thinking. The system does not tolerate descent. The system wants you to remain its slave. The only way for you to be free is to change the system and make it part of yourself. In order to change the system you have to change the way people think. You can not force them to think your way. However, you can tell them what they are missing. You can express your love just like I am doing right now and point toward the light at the end of the tunnel. You can share your new ideas and let them feel an enlightenment of your heart. You realize that you have a mission. The time is running out. The world is slowly destroying itself. An idea of violence and terror is taking over the world. It is time to say enough is enough! The time for observation is over. Now is the time for action.

The system is choking you. The system does not want you to think. The system does not want you to be creative. The system wants you to follow orders. You can't beat the system in its own game. You have to force the system to play YOUR game. The system is selling you sex and violence in order to keep you away from love. The system is not stupid. Love is the biggest threat to the system. Terror and fear makes the system stronger and at the same time takes away your freedom. You can find part of the system which looks friendly and safe. This is just an illusion. Nobody is safe as long as you are part of the system. You can get in jail at any time for no reason. The system can hold you indefinitely without access to lawyers or the right for a phone call. The system will classify you as a threat and nobody will tell you the reason. You will not be able to defend yourself. There will be no trial. You will simply disappear. The system killed Jesus. The system killed millions of Arabs and Jews during The First "system crusade" in the name of Jesus. Then the system killed millions during "The First System War". The system burned millions of Jews during "The Second System War". "The Third System War" already started. The system is planning to reduce the population of this planet by at least fifty percent. The system has trouble controlling over six billion ideas. At the same time, controlling the Internet is virtually impossible. I see this as a unique opportunity to change the world in ways we can't even imagine. The system is old and weak. Our creative potential is unlimited. This CAN be done!

In order to find new ideas on the Internet you have to know the keywords which will lead you to new ideas. There is a big difference between keywords such as: "news" and "alternative news", "religion" and "spirituality", "sex" and "Tantra sex".
If you discover an interesting idea enter the keyword into the search engine and explore it. Internet is an excellent source of new ideas.

If you rise against the system you should consider consequences of your choices. The majority of people will laugh at you. They will not understand you. They may become hostile because they will see your

ideas as dangerous. They will call you a terrorist. They will call you unpatriotic. They will call you names and they will humiliate you. Your friends and family will feel scared and embarrassed. Those who loved you may abandon you. Are you strong enough to love those who hate you? Keep in mind that this is not a war of ideas. This is a war of one mode of thinking versus another. This is a war between dualism and non-dualism. This is a war between a primitive version of individualism and the unity of minds. This is a war between traditional mode of thinking and progressive mode of thinking. This is a war between fear of change and love of progress. This is a war between love and violence. This is a war between light and darkness. Make your choice.

You will read this book many times over and over again. You will memorize every word of this book and you will never forget it. If you try to forget these words they will become part of you unconscious mind. The beauty of unconscious mind is that certain ideas from this book will hide there and at some point they will show up in your conscious mind and you will think that you created these ideas by yourself.

You are changing as you read these last letters. The funny thing is that it's not me who is causing you to change by writing this book. Change is your destiny. You can not refuse to change. You are an idea of change. Change is fun! The only thing left to do is to choose the direction of change? What idea do you want to become NOW?

With all the new ideas that you have you will write your own book. Your book will change people in ways we can't even imagine. They will be very grateful for your help and they will love you. Your book will be very popular. Billions of copies will be sold all over the world. Your book will be translated on every language ever spoken. Your book will be above and beyond words. Your book will become a very powerful idea. Your idea is LOVE.

Universe is Energy. Everything around us is energy. Human beings are energy. Animals and trees are energy. There are three types of energy: positive, negative and neutral. Positive energy is the energy of Love. Negative energy is the energy of Domination. Neutral energy is the energy of Awareness. Any action is either an act of accumulation of energy, an act of release of energy or an act of exchange of energy. Energy never disappears it simply changes form. Scientists are aware of all of these facts but they are not aware of the meaning.

If you want to find out if this theory works or not try to observe yourself during the day and identify what kind of energy you are using each moment of your existence. The result will shock you. You might notice that a lot of people around you are playing "energy wars". Some of them are using negative energy. Their goal is to provoke you and force you to release your energy so that they can accumulate it. There are several ways to protect yourself. You can use neutral energy and refuse to participate in the "negative drama". You can use positive energy of love and "neutralize" negative energy. Or you

can use negative energy of your own in order to win the energy war. Others will use different tactics. A person who is asking you a lot of irrelevant questions or who is telling you about his/her day is forcing you to focus and release your neutral energy of Awareness. If you refuse to participate you might hear something like: "You don't love me anymore". Making you feeling guilty is another way to steal your energy. Relationship is often abused in order to draw energy. For example, if your girl is asking you "Do you love me?" very often it is a signal that she has low energy level and she wants to use your energy. In a relationship where two people love each other the energy is constantly flowing between two people and there is no need for energy stealing. It's ok to give your energy to people who need it but if you do it often they will get used to it and they will use you as a "source" of the energy. This may lead to some serious problems. As time goes by such "energy vampire" will try to spend a lot of time with you. Not being together is not an option since without the "human source" "energy vampire" will feel very upset and annoyed which is an indicator that the energy level is down. The solution is to teach "energy vampire" how to find a source of energy and become self-sufficient being.

There are many ways to connect to the energy source. One way is meditation. I am connected to a source of neutral energy of Awareness. If I feel tired I can use meditation and draw as much energy as I need. It is very fast and convenient method and I recommend it to everyone. Another way to gain energy is to communicate with other people. If you send a positive energy of love you might connect to the source of energy of Love and you will gain as much energy as you want. Some people prefer negative energy of Domination. Participating in sport activities does the trick if you win. Have you noticed that when you win a game you feel enormous amount of joy? You dominated your opponent and you made him/her feel like a loser. As a result you receive a very powerful energy boost. Negative energy is not always bad. It can be very refreshing to feel its power from time to time. Keep in mind that if you get addicted to negative energy you will find yourself in trouble. You might disconnect yourself from the source of neutral energy and positive energy. People who use a lot of negative energy become obsessed with power. They will constantly provoke their family members and relatives and engage in "energy warfare". They will not be aware of their problem since in order to gain objectivity they need a dose of neutral or positive energy. This can lead to a lot of pain, suffering and violence.

Let's look at the "Spiritual energy wars" in a global perspective. U.S. is controlling global economy and popular culture and as a result drawing enormous amount of energy from the rest of the world. That makes a lot of people unhappy. Billions of people use negative energy against Americans and as a result they participate in a global energy war against US. A simple statement like "US will be destroyed" will produce a small amount of negative energy toward the destruction of US. Now imagine what would happen if you repeat that

every day for five minutes. Imagine if billions of people repeat that statement for five minutes every day. Imagine the amount of negative energy that is accumulated toward the goal of destroying US. This enormous negative energy is already at work. The only way to stop it is to convince the creators of such energy to take it back. As you can imagine this scenario is very unlikely. If you're a citizen of US I would advise you to move to another country if you want to stay alive.

Sexual energy is one of the most powerful sources of neutral energy. You have an option of transforming sexual energy in either energy of love or negative energy. If you're dominating your partner or your partner dominating you during sex you're transforming that neutral energy into a negative energy of domination. According to Tanric tradition you should accumulate your semen (true for both male and female) and never ejaculate. During orgasm you're wasting enormous amounts of energy for no reason other than pleasure. If you accumulate your sexual fluids your body will redirect that energy in your "brow chakra" and your "crown chakra" (your energy centers). As a result you will experience an energy orgasm (positive energy of love) which can last for a very long time. There is no way to discribe the experience in words other than regular orgasm will look like a child's play.. There are special breathing exercises which will help you to do that. Practicing Kundalini yoga will accelerate your progress. You can acheive energy orgasm either alone or with a partner.

Let's talk about religions. I've spent a lot of time studying Buddhism and I am pretty sure that this religion is sponsored by the neutral energy of awareness. Although some Buddhist sects attempt to cultivate "loving-kindness" the major focus is on being free from "samsara" (process of reincarnation) and achieving Nirvana (state of pure awareness). Buddhism will teach you how to abandon dualistic way of thinking and connect to source of neutral energy of awareness. On the other hand Christianity is a religion of dualism. The message of love is mixed with the message of fear. Christians will tell you that God loves you with all his heart and he gave you free will and yet, you should not sin or you will burn in hell. Yet, if God didn't want you to do certain things why did he created the ability to do it? If you admit that man is not perfect that means that God is not perfect and that is not the truth. In my opinion Christianity is totally inconsistent. The reason for that is that it was created by Romans in order to dominate masses. As a result they combined positive energy of love with negative energy of domination. This proved to be very powerful mix. It allows a situation where one hand is healing while another hand is killing. The Bible was edited by hundreds of people for hundreds of reasons. If you want to know the original message of Christ read "The Gospel of Thomas".

733. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 12:09:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <70pcu0p2rfmnisfoe2uhhu9me07mjvc18d@4ax.com>
Source record: 194372

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 13:06:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 12:43:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <l5oau0h7dq7kf31ma8pc0s5u21qid9eh0h@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 05:51:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 10:52:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <4mj7u0h8u55vclse1f6aanrlg6rs86ar54@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>BTW, there are apparently 1800 overvotes in King County, which makes a
>>>legitimate case for contesting the election.
>>
>>This is all you have to write. If there is sufficient evidence of
>>fraud then there should be changes made ana new election conducted,
>>with stricter oversight to prevent the same thing from happening
>>again.
>
>
>If there is sufficient evidence of fraud (and at this point that
>appears to be the case) then there -should- be changes made. But as
>far as a new election, why bother when Rossi doesn't even want the
>job?

Are you sure his statement that "he doesn't want the job" was not
simply an effort (albeit a feeble one) to project the air that he was
not as interested as he truly was/is?

>>>Now I could support his case except if that was the only issue. But
>>>it's not. One problem I have is when Rossi made his 'non-concession'
>>>speech he claimed that he didn't want or need the job. So I don't see
>>>the point for the state to spend millions of dollars so Rossi can get
>>>another chance at a job he doesn't even want.
>>>
>>>Also, Gregoire has conducted herself professionally; during the hand
>>>recount she stated publically that she would accept the result
>>>regardless of the victor.
>>>
>>>OTOH, after the hand recount Rossi has been making an ass of himself
>>>just like Gore did in 2000. Even worse -- when he was in the lead by a
>>>mere 42 votes he held a victory party, took a Carribean cruise, then

>>>came back and announced his transistion team -- without a single
>>>complaint about the legitimacy of the results. Now that he's losing by
>>>a slightly larger margin, legitimacy is his primary reason for
>>>demanding a second election. And when Gregoire went to court to get
>>>legitimate votes counted, Rossi whined that the election should not be
>>>decided by the courts, yet that's exactly what he's trying to do now
>>>and for the very same reason.
>>>
>>>So circumstances are a little different here than in Ohio. I'll fully
>>>support voting reform in this state, but I won't support a hypocrite
>>>governor. Hell, even Kerry had the decency to stand down in order to
>>>preserve the integrity of the office and his party -- Rossi is just
>>>being a crybaby a-la Gore.
>>
>>It sounds like you are letting your personal feeling WRT Rossi cloud
>>your objective conclusion that the vote was tainted. It is irrelevant
>>how any one candidate behaved. What is relevant is that there is a
>>good chance that the person who "won" the election, may not have been
>>the people's true choice. We won't know that unless those
>>discrepancies are resolved.
>
>
>If that's the case then Gore should be in office, not Bush.

How do you figure? Bush won all the recounts, both official and
unofficial.

> And while
>I may not like Bush, I -really- don't like the idea of Gore taking the
>helm after watching his tantrums during the 2000 election. Same deal
>with Rossi. And yes, that's just my opinion.

I can respect that. But if you are truly interested in reducing or
eliminating fraud, you should be demanding further investigation and a
new election, on principle alone.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

734. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 12:13:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <scpcu0pb7udsot4c1dvapcmh9s417b6j7b@4ax.com>
Source record: 194373

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 01:17:46 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 14:43:31 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in
>>message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>>>
>>> No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
>>> What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
>>> is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the signal
>>> to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make the signal
>>> stronger.
>>
>>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase
>>the signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations,
>>obviously some splattering, some not, it will create an extra
>>amount of splatter because they are all trying to get into the
>>feeding frenzy of the DX.
>>
>
>Create an extra amount of splatter? No more than what was there on
>the original signals.
>
>If you had a 100 stations all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of
>DX, and they had no splatter on their local signals, there still
>wouldn't be any with DX.
>

Exactly!

>Where do you think the splatter comes from on a DX signal?

A bad amplifier, being driven by a "peaked and clipped" radio.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

735. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 12:16:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5hpcu0948d0tcl1hav9fhrlkn6i40mgphi@4ax.com>
Source record: 194374

On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
>"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
>in message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
>What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
>is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the
>signal to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make
>the signal stronger.
>-
>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
>signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
>splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
>because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
>_
>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>
>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>
>>that's exactly what it does.
>
>
>That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
>had nothing to do with the signal strength concerning splatter.

Your comprehensive disability is showing again. I didn't say that. I
said that DX conditions have no effect on increasing splatter relative
to the fundamental signal.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

736. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 13:02:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ljqcu0tc35887ct64t24cp6av8ea32gmqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 194375

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 07:09:16 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <70pcu0p2rfmnisfoe2uhhu9me07mjvc18d@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 13:06:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 12:43:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <l5oau0h7dq7kf31ma8pc0s5u21qid9eh0h@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 05:51:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Tue, 11 Jan 2005 10:52:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>>wrote in <4mj7u0h8u55vclse1f6aanrlg6rs86ar54@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>
>>>>BTW, there are apparently 1800 overvotes in King County, which makes a
>>>>legitimate case for contesting the election.
>>>
>>>This is all you have to write. If there is sufficient evidence of
>>>fraud then there should be changes made ana new election conducted,
>>>with stricter oversight to prevent the same thing from happening
>>>again.
>>
>>
>>If there is sufficient evidence of fraud (and at this point that
>>appears to be the case) then there -should- be changes made. But as
>>far as a new election, why bother when Rossi doesn't even want the
>>job?
>
>Are you sure his statement that "he doesn't want the job" was not
>simply an effort (albeit a feeble one) to project the air that he was
>not as interested as he truly was/is?

Even worse -- if he -does- want the job then he was lying to the
public when he said he didn't.

>>>>Now I could support his case except if that was the only issue. But
>>>>it's not. One problem I have is when Rossi made his 'non-concession'
>>>>speech he claimed that he didn't want or need the job. So I don't see
>>>>the point for the state to spend millions of dollars so Rossi can get
>>>>another chance at a job he doesn't even want.
>>>>
>>>>Also, Gregoire has conducted herself professionally; during the hand

>>>>recount she stated publically that she would accept the result
>>>>regardless of the victor.
>>>>
>>>>OTOH, after the hand recount Rossi has been making an ass of himself
>>>>just like Gore did in 2000. Even worse -- when he was in the lead by a
>>>>mere 42 votes he held a victory party, took a Carribean cruise, then
>>>>came back and announced his transistion team -- without a single
>>>>complaint about the legitimacy of the results. Now that he's losing by
>>>>a slightly larger margin, legitimacy is his primary reason for
>>>>demanding a second election. And when Gregoire went to court to get
>>>>legitimate votes counted, Rossi whined that the election should not be
>>>>decided by the courts, yet that's exactly what he's trying to do now
>>>>and for the very same reason.
>>>>
>>>>So circumstances are a little different here than in Ohio. I'll fully
>>>>support voting reform in this state, but I won't support a hypocrite
>>>>governor. Hell, even Kerry had the decency to stand down in order to
>>>>preserve the integrity of the office and his party -- Rossi is just
>>>>being a crybaby a-la Gore.
>>>
>>>It sounds like you are letting your personal feeling WRT Rossi cloud
>>>your objective conclusion that the vote was tainted. It is irrelevant
>>>how any one candidate behaved. What is relevant is that there is a
>>>good chance that the person who "won" the election, may not have been
>>>the people's true choice. We won't know that unless those
>>>discrepancies are resolved.
>>
>>
>>If that's the case then Gore should be in office, not Bush.
>
>How do you figure? Bush won all the recounts, both official and
>unofficial.

I don't think so, Dave. You'll have to hit Twisty up for the facts
about that considering it was his state, not mine.

>> And while
>>I may not like Bush, I -really- don't like the idea of Gore taking the
>>helm after watching his tantrums during the 2000 election. Same deal
>>with Rossi. And yes, that's just my opinion.
>
>I can respect that. But if you are truly interested in reducing or
>eliminating fraud, you should be demanding further investigation and a
>new election, on principle alone.

In Gregoire's speech yesterday (swearing-in ceremony), the first topic
she raised was the election. She has already set up an independent
panel to investigate the election process and it's faults, and their
report is to be used as the foundation for statewide election reform.
By the time she finished her speech she had broken the ranks of the
Republicans (who began the ceremony holding a childish demonstration

of passive-agression) and many were applauding her plans. If she succeeds in the next few years I wouldn't be suprised to see her make a run for the White House -- and win.

737. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 13:28:08 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e6772a.5201765@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194376

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 07:16:29 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>
>>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>>news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
>>"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
>>in message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>>No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
>>What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
>>is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the
>>signal to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make
>>the signal stronger.
>>-
>>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
>>signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
>>splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
>>because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
>>_
>>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>>
>>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>>
>>>that's exactly what it does.
>>
>>
>>That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
>>had nothing to do with the signal strength concerning splatter.
>
>Your comprehensive disability is showing again. I didn't say that. I
>said that DX conditions have no effect on increasing splatter relative
>to the fundamental signal.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Which is correct..

738. FA HEAVY old shortwave portable 11 bands!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 14:26:46 UTC
From: Dave Edwards <kd2e@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <quOdneuP67e1GHvcRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 194377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

You can view it here....
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5743186032&ssPageName=ADME:L:DS:US:8

739. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 14:33:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7639-41E686CA-68@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194381

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com: "U Know Who"
<AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in message
news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com... No, the number of radios
makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest. What does matter is
signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level is low enough, you
don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the signal to propagate
farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make the signal stronger.
-
Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
_
>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you

>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength

>that's exactly what it does.

That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
had nothing to do
with the signal strength concerning splatter.

>Your comprehensive disability is showing

>again. I didn't say that.

Sure you did,,,here it is what you attempted to qualify,,,,,,,

> DX conditions have no effect on

>increasing splatter relative to the fundamental

>signal."

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

.and here is what you oriinally wrote:
>"The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount

>of splatter and the distortion a signal may

>have.

As your boi-friend alludes above, the skip increases the signal,
therefore increasing any splatter accompanying the signal.
Now,,in keeping with your projectional charges of what you suffer, allow
me to illustrate this comprehensive disability and communications
deficit which has you beside yourself and incoherent.

A small sampling of examples illustrating your cognitive and
interpretive skills:

N3CVJ, suffering terribly, seeks answers to:

>What do you consider a good price?

>What do you consider a great price?

>What do you consider lightning protection?

>What do consider a bad swr?

>What do you consider legal power?

>Just what do you consider tolerance?

>What do you consider injuy?

>What do you consider a channel?

>What do you consider work?

>What do you consider proper drive?

>What do you consider old?

>What do you consider a fact?

>What do you consider nice?

>What do you consider a positive contribution?

Hehe,,Your interpretive skills have always been weak, Davie. so much to
the point, that you have difficulties with everyone you communicate
with, as your posting history is peppered with such incredible
ignorance. That one can live to be as old you are and not acquire at
least some of the communication skill that would prevent you from
looking to others for your education is nothing short of astounding,
then again, I haveto remember I am speaking to an extra class who
maintains roger beeps are illegal and going above 70 MPH makes on a
"federal criminal". Keeping with such ignorance, the above questions
seem very fitting of one who can not understand, grasp, or comprehend
everyone else who participates in his chosen hobby.

740. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 14:43:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7639-41E6890B-69@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194382

N#CVJ wrote:
>Uh... Nooooo. Splatter is the result of a dirty

>transmitter,

Bleed,,splatter,,,,you're wrong, ya' know..a dirty transmitter is but
ONE example.............once again you incorrectly claimed that skip
does not affect splatter, when it most certainly does.

741. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 14:46:37 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hRvFd.1439$8Z1.54@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194378
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:sjoau0lp6d3icn6q9b8irn4g68i2jo2h5o@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 14:43:31 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>Found
>>On
>>Road
>>Dead
>>
>>Fix
>>Or
>>Repair
>>Daily
>>
>
> Um.... That's:
>
> First
> On
> Race
> Day.
>
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Yes, I own all Ford products, but am a Chevy
man from way back.

Landshark

--



742. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 14:46:38 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <iRvFd.1441$8Z1.327@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194379

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11737-41E5AF1E-101@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
> news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
> "U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
> in message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
> What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
> is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the
> signal to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make
> the signal stronger.
> -
> Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
> signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
> splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
> because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
> _
>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>
>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>
>>that's exactly what it does.
>
>
> That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
> had nothing to do with the signal strength concerning splatter.
>
>
>>Ever try to talk skip barefoot when there is no
>
>>Dx conditions? Try it when skip is running.
>
>>What changed ? your signal strength, it took
>
>>you from un intelligable to a s7, 8,9 + or what
>
>>ever strength signal that you give. If 5000
>
>>stations all keyed up together, which happens
>
>>everyday there signal strength doesnt add to
>

>>each other, your reciever is only going to
>
>>discerne the strongest signal. Another
>
>>example then i am done with you, twisty and
>
>>you should read some books or get on the air
>
>
>
> Excuse you, but as long as you permit me to keep you ashamed of your
> status as a lousy hammie, you can continue to embarrass yourself with
> your incompetence and incontinence. As of this post, you are no longer
> even coherent, as I'm not even quoted above, yet you find yourself
> calling out to me. Such double-digit IQ behavior really illustrates your
> difficulties with proper communication. Consider yourself deloused for
> the day.

LOL!!! Ya Twist, he just can't seem to make a
post without his emotions taking over :)
Got an email from my buds about the annual Cabo
trip, sent my check in yesterday.

Landshark

--



743. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 14:46:38 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <iRvFd.1440$8Z1.1333@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194380

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41e6772a.5201765@news.easynews.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 07:16:29 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>>>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>>>news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
>>>"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
>>>in message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>>>No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
>>>What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
>>>is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the
>>>signal to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make
>>>the signal stronger.
>>>-
>>>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
>>>signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
>>>splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
>>>because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
>>>_
>>>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>>>
>>>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>>>
>>>>that's exactly what it does.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
>>>had nothing to do with the signal strength concerning splatter.
>>
>>Your comprehensive disability is showing again. I didn't say that. I
>>said that DX conditions have no effect on increasing splatter relative
>>to the fundamental signal.
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
> Which is correct..

OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter
by this: If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't
hear him in Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp,
I still won't hear him in LA.
Now skip rolls in, I can here him without an amp and
with an amp, but on the adjacent channel, where the noise
was zero, I now have a ton of signals, so the skip didn't
help those signals "bounce into" LA? of course it did.
Now the adjacent channel has more "splatter" than before,
skip didn't help enhance the noise level on my end?

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

744. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 15:06:25 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e68c2d.10581312@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194383

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 14:46:38 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:41e6772a.5201765@news.easynews.com...
>> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 07:16:29 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>>>>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>>>>news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
>>>>"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
>>>>in message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>>>>No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
>>>>What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
>>>>is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the
>>>>signal to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make
>>>>the signal stronger.
>>>>-
>>>>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
>>>>signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
>>>>splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
>>>>because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
>>>>_
>>>>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>>>>
>>>>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>>>>
>>>>>that's exactly what it does.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
>>>>had nothing to do with the signal strength concerning splatter.
>>>
>>>Your comprehensive disability is showing again. I didn't say that. I
>>>said that DX conditions have no effect on increasing splatter relative
>>>to the fundamental signal.
>>>
>>>Dave

>>>"Sandbagger"
>>
>> Which is correct..
>
>OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter
>by this: If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't
>hear him in Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp,
>I still won't hear him in LA.
> Now skip rolls in, I can here him without an amp and
>with an amp, but on the adjacent channel, where the noise
>was zero, I now have a ton of signals, so the skip didn't
>help those signals "bounce into" LA? of course it did.
>Now the adjacent channel has more "splatter" than before,
>skip didn't help enhance the noise level on my end?
>
> Landshark

Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.
and skip also increased his splatter that you hear.

But

The relation between his signal and his splatter doesn't change.

745. FA: MANY USEFUL ITEMS>SEE THEM ALL>MIKES, 6146's ETC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 15:37:14 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050113103714.08023.00000117@mb-m28.aol.com>
Source record: 194384

All items are real inexpensive.

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.

This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

746. Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 16:50:35 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <qh9du092vl7u6djdguhce5fvrieghp3uqn@4ax.com>
Source record: 194385

Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using barefoot?

Vinnie S.

747. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 17:03:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16422-41E6A9EF-218@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194387

Lancer wrote:
OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter by this:
If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't hear him in
Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp, I still won't hear
him in LA.
        Now skip rolls in, I can here him without an amp
and with an amp, but on the adjacent channel, where the noise was zero,
I now have a ton of signals, so the skip didn't help those signals
"bounce into" LA? of course it did. Now the adjacent channel has more
"splatter" than before, skip didn't help enhance the noise level on my
end?
                Landshark

>Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.

Exactly,,it "affected it", which is exactly what I and Shark maintained,
to which some took issue with.

>and skip also increased his splatter that you

>hear.

Again,,,another example of skip affecting the splatter, Touche.

>But

>The relation between his signal and his

>splatter doesn't change.

Skip affects splatter.

748. FA: All sortsa Radio stuff>CHEEP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 17:06:04 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <I1yFd.5890$373.1671@fe12.lga>
Source record: 194386

All items are real inexpensive.
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.

This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=3&page=1&rows=25
&since=14&rdir=0

749. Re: Ping Tom Pendergast

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 17:20:09 UTC
From: Daniel <sabot120mm@foad.com>
Message-ID: <d5yFd.5558$KJ2.2379@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 194388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

"The Grim Reaper" <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub> wrote in message
news:1105549992.59f516eabe7cee84fc731a4bea5a0615@teranews...
> I-zheet M'drurz wrote:
>> The Grim Reaper wrote:
>>> Steveo wrote:
>>>> Hey Tom, any idea why this loser is posting your info?
>>>
>>> Tom and Doug should get a room. That is if Doug brings his
>>> child with him.
>>
>> Can't resist, can you Loser?
>
> How can anyone resist tormenting you, pedofreak? You have been the most
> annoying thing in this group for 5 years running.
>

Not even close, leghumper.

750. School Time: Flaming 101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 18:06:46 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <WMyFd.147852$AL5.25328@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 194389

Pilfered from another NG:

THE THIRTEEN COMMANDMENTS OF FLAMING:

Flames should be witty, insulting, interesting, funny, caustic, or sarcastic, but NEVER, EVER, should they be boring. The Thirteen Commandments of Flaming

1. Make things up about your opponent: It's important to make your lies sound true. Preface your argument with the word "clearly." "Clearly, Fred Flooney is a liar, and a dirtball to boot."

2. Be an armchair psychologist: You're a smart person. You've heard of Freud. You took a psychology course in college. Clearly, you're qualified to psychoanalyze your opponent. "Polly Purebred, by using the word 'zucchini' in her posting, shows she has a bad case of penis envy."

3. Cross-post your flames: Everyone on the net is just waiting for the next literary masterpiece to leave your terminal! From the AppleII RoundTable to X-10 Powerhouse RoundTable, they're all holding their breath until your next flame. Therefore, post everywhere.

4. Conspiracies abound: If everyone's against you, the reason can't *possibly* be that you're an obnoxious sh??head. There's obviously a conspiracy against you, and you will be doing the entire net a favor by exposing it.

5. Lawsuit threats: This is the reverse of Rule #4 (sort of like the Yin & Yang of Flaming). Threatening a lawsuit is always considered to be in good form. "By saying that I've posted to the wrong group, Bertha has libeled me, slandered me, and sodomized me. See you in court, Bertha."

6. Force them to document their claims: Even if Harry Hoinkus states outright that he likes tomato sauce on his pasta, you should demand documentation. If Newsweek hasn't written an article on Harry's pasta preferences, then Harry's obviously lying.

7. Use foreign phrases: French is good, but Latin is the lingua franca of flaming. You should use the words "ad hominem" at least three times per article. Other favorite Latin phrases are "ad nauseum," "veni, vidi, vici," and "fetuccini alfredo."

8. Tell 'em how smart you are: Why use intelligent arguments to convince them you're smart when all you have to do is tell them? State that you're a member of Mensa, or Mega, or Dorks of America. Tell them

the scores you received on every exam since high school. "I got an 800 on my SATs, LSATs, GREs, MCATs, and I can also spell the word 'premeiotic' ." Stick a bunch of impressive initials on your sig, and make that sig at least 10 lines long, to show people how Really Powerful you are. Loudly point out their spelling errors.

9. Accuse your opponent of censorship. It is your right as an American citizen to post whatever the hell you want to the net (as guaranteed by the 37th Amendment, I think). Anyone who tries to limit your cross-posting or move a flame war to email is either a communist, a fascist, or both.

10. Doubt their existence: You've never actually seen your opponent, have you? And since you're the Center Of The Universe and Chief Net-Cop, you should have seen them by now, shouldn't you? Therefore, THEY DON'T EXIST! This is the beauty of flamers' logic.

11. Lie, cheat, steal, leave the toilet seat up. Who cares! You're probably a fat, pimply-faced, manipulative computer-nerd with no social skills, and your opponent is worse. Besides, if you were getting laid at all by anything other than the cheap hookers down the street, you wouldn't be flaming anyway.

12. When in doubt, insult: If you forget the other 12 rules, remember this one. At some point during your wonderful career as a Flamer you will undoubtedly end up in a flame war with someone who is better than you. This person will expose your lies, tear apart your arguments, make you look generally like a bozo. At this point, there's only one thing to do: INSULT THE DIRTBAG!!! "Oh yeah? Well, your mother does strange things with vegetables."

13. Last, but not least, threaten to tell their sysadmin or postmaster all about them. You can always hope that they are newbie enough to feel threatened by this, unless they had a younger brother or sister who was always tattling to Mommy.

EXAMPLE...for the Rookie Flamer

Dear Fred,

I object to your use of the word "dear." It shows you are a condescending, sexist pig. Also, the submissive tone you use shows that you like to be tied down and flagellated with licorice whips.

>While I found your article "The Effect of Belly-Button Lint > on
> Western Thought" to be extremely thought-provoking,

"Thought-provoking?" I had no idea you could think, you rotting piece of swamp slime.

> it really shouldn't have been posted in rec.scuba.

Your attempts constitute nothing less than censorship. There is a conspiracy against me. You, Riff Raff, and Simon Sinister have been

constantly harassing me by email. This was an ad hominem attack! I have therefore cross-posted this to alt.flame, rec.nude, comp.graphics, and rec.arts.wobegon. I have also copied this whole correspondence to your sysadmin/postmaster, so he can delete your account.

> Perhaps you should have posted it in misc.misc.

It is my right, as granted in the Bill of Rights, the Magna Carta, the Bible and the Koran, to post where ever I want to. Or don't you believe in those documents, you damn fascist? Perhaps if you didn't spend so much time sacrificing virgins and infants to Satan, you would have realized this.

> Your article would be much more appropriate there.

Can you document this? I will only accept documents notarized by my attorney, and signed by you in blood. Besides, you don't really exist anyway, you AI project, you.

At all times, remember what the original meanings of the word "flamer" were: 1) a loud, obnoxiously gay man, and 2) a person who lights their farts for amusement.

751. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 18:24:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jcedu0lhui1hh2h24upmtntiaaj63i2bk7@4ax.com>
Source record: 194390

```
On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 14:46:38 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:41e6772a.5201765@news.easynews.com...
>> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 07:16:29 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>>>>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>>>>news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com:
>>>>"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote
>>>>in message news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>>>>No, the number of radios makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest.
>>>>What does matter is signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level
>>>>is low enough, you don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the
>>>>signal to propagate farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make
>>>>the signal stronger.
>>>>-
>>>>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
>>>>signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
>>>>splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
>>>>because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
>>>>_
>>>>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>>>>
>>>>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>>>>
>>>>>that's exactly what it does.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
>>>>had nothing to do with the signal strength concerning splatter.
>>>
>>>Your comprehensive disability is showing again. I didn't say that. I
>>>said that DX conditions have no effect on increasing splatter relative
>>>to the fundamental signal.
>>>
>>>Dave
```

>>>"Sandbagger"
>>
>> Which is correct..
>
>OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter
>by this: If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't
>hear him in Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp,
>I still won't hear him in LA.
> Now skip rolls in, I can here him without an amp and
>with an amp, but on the adjacent channel, where the noise
>was zero, I now have a ton of signals, so the skip didn't
>help those signals "bounce into" LA? of course it did.
>Now the adjacent channel has more "splatter" than before,
>skip didn't help enhance the noise level on my end?
>

I understand what you are saying, but that was not my original contention. Yes, you are correct, the DX enables distant splatter boxes to be heard in you local area that would not otherwise be receivable. But the DX is merely a conduit for it, not an enabler of it.

You will recall that this original argument started with my assertion that I can determine who many of the illegal stations are simply by observing the degree of splatter and audio distortion on their signals. Someone countered that the DX conditions can "create" or increase splatter from the observed station that wasn't there before. I say that is pure nonsense. Yes, splatter from other stations may "mask" splatter from the desired station to a degree, but when you are only interested in the "King of the hill" signals, that's not usually a problem.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

752. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 18:33:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <k9fdu0pgsvb7166f3058nbna4rm2da842q@4ax.com>
Source record: 194391

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 09:33:46 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com: "U Know Who"
><AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in message
>news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com... No, the number of radios
>makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest. What does matter is
>signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level is low enough, you
>don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the signal to propagate
>farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make the signal stronger.
>-
>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
>signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
>splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
>because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
>_
>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>
>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>
>>that's exactly what it does.
>
>That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
>had nothing to do
>with the signal strength concerning splatter.
>
>>Your comprehensive disability is showing
>>again. I didn't say that.

>Sure you did,,,here it is what you attempted to qualify,,,,,,,

>> DX conditions have no effect on
>>increasing splatter relative to the fundamental
>>signal."

>.and here is what you oriinally wrote:
>>"The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount
>>of splatter and the distortion a signal may
>>have.

>
>
>As your boi-friend alludes above, the skip increases the signal,
>therefore increasing any splatter accompanying the signal.

It does NOT increase the amount of splatter relative to that signal.

Are you sure you're not retarded?

>A small sampling of examples illustrating your cognitive and
>interpretive skills:
>
>
>>What do you consider a good price?
>
>>What do you consider a great price?
>
>>What do you consider lightning protection?
>
>>What do consider a bad swr?
>
>>What do you consider legal power?
>
>>Just what do you consider tolerance?
>
>>What do you consider injuy?
>
>>What do you consider a channel?
>
>>What do you consider work?
>
>>What do you consider proper drive?
>
>>What do you consider old?
>
>>What do you consider a fact?
>
>>What do you consider nice?
>
>>What do you consider a positive contribution?

Assuming these are quotes of mine (and considering you recent past penchant for confusing another's quote for one of mine, it's probable that they're not), your overly simplistic and literal translations fail to account for the reality that those questions are all relative or subjective, depending on the writer's perspective and point of reference. But I'd guess those concepts are beyond your reasoning ability.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

753. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 18:35:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <infdu010k8nitmfhrbe14be1h6366l9u0o@4ax.com>
Source record: 194392

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 09:43:23 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>N#CVJ wrote:
>>Uh... Nooooo. Splatter is the result of a dirty
>
>>transmitter,
>
>Bleed,,splatter,,,,you're wrong, ya' know..a dirty transmitter is but
>ONE example.....once again you incorrectly claimed that skip
>does not affect splatter, when it most certainly does.

It does not affect the amount of splatter a single signal has on it.

You really should stick to what it is you're actually good at
(Chumming perhaps?) and leave the discussion of RF theory to those of
us who DO know what we're talking about.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

754. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 18:39:07 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e6bcc3.23019562@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194393

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 12:03:43 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>Lancer wrote:
>OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter by this:
>If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't hear him in
>Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp, I still won't hear
>him in LA.
>   Now skip rolls in, I can here him without an amp
>and with an amp, but on the adjacent channel, where the noise was zero,
>I now have a ton of signals, so the skip didn't help those signals
>"bounce into" LA? of course it did. Now the adjacent channel has more
>"splatter" than before, skip didn't help enhance the noise level on my
>end?
>   Landshark
>
>>Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.
>
>
>Exactly,,it "affected it", which is exactly what I and Shark maintained,
>to which some took issue with.
>
>
>>and skip also increased his splatter that you
>
>>hear.
>
>
>Again,,,another example of skip affecting the splatter, Touche.
>

Quit clipping my posts apart to fit your needs. I was trying to point
out to Shark that skip will progate the original signal and the
splatter equally.

>
>>But
>
>>The relation between his signal and his
>
>>splatter doesn't change.
>
>
>Skip affects splatter.

No more than the original part of his signal...

Do you think that skip effects the splatter component of his signal more that the desirable part of his signal?

and it does not effect the realtionship between the two.

If the splatter components are at a 10% level compared to the level of his signal, then with skip they will still be at that level.

Touche...

755. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 18:39:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <osfdu015smscn9v1ha2403bto0db862luc@4ax.com>
Source record: 194394
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 14:46:37 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>> First
>> On
>> Race
>> Day.
>>
>>
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
> Yes, I own all Ford products, but am a Chevy
>man from way back.

It's one of those things, like your favorite style of music. I've been
a Ford man since my first car (A '67 Mustang). But today's cars are
basically a blur. They're all pretty much the same. I like what
Daimler Chrysler is doing with cars like the PT Cruiser, and Ford's
new Mustang, and the retro Chevy SS truck.

But today, I drive a Geo Metro because it gets 50 MPG and I commute
alot.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

756. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 19:08:12 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e7c4a9.25041187@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194395

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 13:33:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 09:33:46 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>
>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>>news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com: "U Know Who"
>><AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in message
>>news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com... No, the number of radios
>>makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest. What does matter is
>>signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level is low enough, you
>>don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the signal to propagate
>>farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make the signal stronger.
>>-
>>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
>>signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
>>splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
>>because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
>>_
>>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>>
>>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>>
>>>that's exactly what it does.
>>
>>That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
>>had nothing to do
>>with the signal strength concerning splatter.
>>
>>>Your comprehensive disability is showing
>>>again. I didn't say that.
>
>>Sure you did,,,here it is what you attempted to qualify,,,,,,,
>
>>> DX conditions have no effect on
>>>increasing splatter relative to the fundamental
>>>signal."
>

>>.and here is what you oriinally wrote:
>>>"The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount
>>>of splatter and the distortion a signal may
>>>have.
>>
>>
>>As your boi-friend alludes above, the skip increases the signal,
>>therefore increasing any splatter accompanying the signal.
>
>It does NOT increase the amount of splatter relative to that signal.
>
>Are you sure you're not retarded?
>

I don't quite understand why this is so hard for him to understand.

If you have a station with a certain amount of splatter on it. Move it a 1,000 miles away, you can now hear him with skip. The components of his signal haven't changed. Add another 100 stations with splatter, and his original components of his signal still haven't changed..

757. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 19:30:45 UTC
From: PBSL <pbsoft_labs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <F%zFd.117239$K7.113343@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194396
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.niggers,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation,aus.culture.true-blue

"moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1105254945.473026.46370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the best
> fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
>

hey racist-ned they are talking to you

758. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 19:56:50 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e6d244.28524437@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194397

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 13:39:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 14:46:37 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>>> First
>>> On
>>> Race
>>> Day.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>> Yes, I own all Ford products, but am a Chevy
>>man from way back.
>
>It's one of those things, like your favorite style of music. I've been
>a Ford man since my first car (A '67 Mustang). But today's cars are
>basically a blur. They're all pretty much the same. I like what
>Daimler Chrysler is doing with cars like the PT Cruiser, and Ford's
>new Mustang, and the retro Chevy SS truck.
>
>But today, I drive a Geo Metro because it gets 50 MPG and I commute
>alot.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

A Geo Metro must be quite a sight with a Hustler 75 meter antenna on
it..

759. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 20:04:01 UTC
From: U Know Who <nothere@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <RuAFd.4290$_56.3267@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194398

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:41e6d244.28524437@news.easynews.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 13:39:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 14:46:37 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> First
>>>> On
>>>> Race
>>>> Day.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>> Yes, I own all Ford products, but am a Chevy
>>>man from way back.
>>
>>It's one of those things, like your favorite style of music. I've been
>>a Ford man since my first car (A '67 Mustang). But today's cars are
>>basically a blur. They're all pretty much the same. I like what
>>Daimler Chrysler is doing with cars like the PT Cruiser, and Ford's
>>new Mustang, and the retro Chevy SS truck.
>>
>>But today, I drive a Geo Metro because it gets 50 MPG and I commute
>>alot.
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
> A Geo Metro must be quite a sight with a Hustler 75 meter antenna on
> it..

Surprised it has enough horsepower to let him keep that antenna up when
traveling.

760. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 21:15:06 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <svodu01d7cdjm1903m5t8o57kjr791s5l1@4ax.com>
Source record: 194399

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:50:35 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
>noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So
>there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using
>barefoot?
>
>Vinnie S.

The only obvious answer is to use an outside antenna even if it's a
18" mobile antenna mounted on a gutter. Use a disguised antenna if
need be. Having a inside antenna is problematic and is job specific as
to whether it will perform satisfactorily.

761. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 22:25:09 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <s2tdu0t9rrg8vm5hjlaodlv4nrubnq99b0@4ax.com>
Source record: 194400

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 16:15:06 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:50:35 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
>>noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So
>>there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using
>>barefoot?
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>The only obvious answer is to use an outside antenna even if it's a
>18" mobile antenna mounted on a gutter. Use a disguised antenna if
>need be. Having a inside antenna is problematic and is job specific as
>to whether it will perform satisfactorily.

Here is my problem. I live out in the woods. I would love to hang an antenna in a tree behind my yard, but I would have to bury and trench the coax in excess of about 100-150 feet. And that include hopping over a small 2 foot creek. I have a ranch, which is long and ideal for a dipole. I eventually will run a cable and hang an antenna out there, but I just want to do something in the meantime.

Vinnie S.

762. Re: ATTN TRUCKERS: if you use a CB amplifier you are GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 23:29:23 UTC
From: Guess <None@invalid.not>
Message-ID: <41e704e6$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 194401

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105554378.207833.97720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Who let you have a computer? I bet your limpwrist senator Kerry or
> Kennedy did.
>
Shut up.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

763. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-13 23:33:52 UTC
From: horseshoe7 <horseshoe7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105659232.396313.140080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194402
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

HorneTD wrote:

> Doesn't modifying these radios make them unlawful to use?

If it is a GMRS/FRS hybrid, and you make an internal modification to it, you can't use it on the FRS only channels any more, but you can still use it on the GMRS service which shares 7 freqs with FRS :)

You just have to have a GMRS license, and make sure your modification isn't done in a way that makes the radio exceed the GMRS regs for transmitter power, bandwidth emission, etc. For MURS radios, you don't even need a license.

> I thought
> they had to be "type accepted" to be usable on this service.

Yes, they do. But, if you know what you are doing, you can modify FRS/GMRS hybrids, or pure GMRS or MURS rigs in ways that they are STILL legal for GMRS.

> Doesn't
> that mean that they were accepted as originally built by the
> manufacturer? I know that hams modify the radios they use all the time
> but that is under different rules is it not?

Yes - but what do ham radio rules have to do with the price of tea in China? We are talking CB, FRS, FRS/GMRS hybrids, and MURS on the newsgroups this thread has been cross-posted to.

- Stewart
For more information about the no-license VHF MURS radio service, see:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

-

764. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 00:18:47 UTC
From: "Bertie the Bunyip", XZXZX
Message-ID: <Xns95DE48B25A1ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.45>
Source record: 194403
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.niggers,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation,aus.culture.true-blue

"PBSL" <pbsoft_labs@yahoo.com> sednews:F%zFd.117239$K7.113343@news-server.bigpond.net.au:

>
> "moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
> news:1105254945.473026.46370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the best
>> fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
>>
>
> hey racist-ned they are talking to you
>

Blah blah blah.

It's you who 's racist, Sylvia.

not to mention a netkkkop and a k00k!

Bertie

765. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 00:54:29 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1105664069.407906.149470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194404

My recommendation is that you don't put the dipole in the attic. The dipole is a great antenna but any antenna will take severe losses trying to penetrate the solid walls of the attic. You will get the best performance for everyday talking is with the dipole vertical... and in free space.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

Vinnie S. wrote:
> Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
> noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So
> there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using
> barefoot?
>
> Vinnie S.

766. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 00:56:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0c5eu0h52rrt0e8k77qjuqgtulie8t3khb@4ax.com>
Source record: 194405

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:50:35 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <qh9du092vl7u6djdguhce5fvrieghp3uqn@4ax.com>:

>Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
>noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So
>there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using
>barefoot?
>
>Vinnie S.

Barefoot or not, an attic is probably the worst place to put an
antenna. You have all kinds of possible problems, not the least of
which is house wiring or foil-backed insulation that can cause
reflections (high SWR).

There is also the issue of polarity. Almost all mobile CB antennas are
vertical so don't expect strong signals from a horizontal antenna, or
even an inverted-V which is largely horizontal. You might get some
skip but that depends a lot on the position of the antenna -- you
might have to rotate your house to align yourself with the traffic.

I would think your best solution is to buy a cheap antenna tuner and
load up the flag pole, rain gutter, drip-strip, sewer vent, aluminum
siding, chain-link fence, steel shed..... whatever works best.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

767. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 00:58:40 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <cs75g703e5@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 194406

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:50:35 -0500 Vinnie S. wrote:

> Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic,
> I
> noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious
> reasons. So
> there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off
> using
> barefoot?

> Vinnie S.

How about a fiberglass marine antenna which requires no ground plane?

Or just as well, a 4ft fiberglass with a piece of metal for the ground
effect.
Being indoors or out, makes little difference.
It's what is covering the antenna that makes the difference.

768. Re: Mopeydopey whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 01:21:24 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <xeFFd.780$SI5.642@fe11.lga>
Source record: 194407

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> U Know Who wrote:
>
>>"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
>
> message
>
>>news:1105505751.243992.89500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>>You -is- sick, Doug.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>I guess she IS walking the dog!
>>>>>
>>>>>begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
>>>>> [46240 bytes]
>>>>>end
>>>>>
>>>>>begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
>>>>> [31915 bytes]
>>>>>end
>>>>>
>>>>>_____
>>>>>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>>>>>More than 120,000 groups
>>>>>Unlimited download
>>>>>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>>>
>>>And you have come HOW much closer to shutting the AKC down?
>>>
>>
>>Whatever fruitloop. You like to pretend the AKC is more than you.
>
> It's not,
>
>>well....it could be. Maybe another of your fucked up persona, but it
>
> is NOT
>
>>an organized anything. You sit there in your messed up shithole, up

>
> all
>
>>night, spewing your filth, changing names, using offshore Usenet
>
> services,
>
>>forging, surfing gay porn sites, foaming at the mouth, and banging on
>
> your
>
>>keyboard while deluding yourself into believing you have done
>
> something. Not
>
>>a pretty picture is it? You are truly one fucked up individual. No
>
> one cares
>
>>to shut the AKC(you) down. YOU are pathetic and YOU don't matter.
>
>
> Nope, you'd like to think that, but it aint so. The only name I post
> under is right here. 100% of all posts that appear to come from anyone
> but this nick is/are someone else.
>
> As for the AKC not mattering, HA! It made you bark and whine through
> your daze, crackhead.
>
Do you approve of the picture posted at the beginning of this thread?

769. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 01:34:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <298eu0t73viampt02mv773gp0trb6q2en5@4ax.com>
Source record: 194408

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 16:56:41 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:50:35 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <qh9du092vl7u6djdguhce5fvrieghp3uqn@4ax.com>:
>
>>Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
>>noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So
>>there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using
>>barefoot?
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
>Barefoot or not, an attic is probably the worst place to put an
>antenna. You have all kinds of possible problems, not the least of
>which is house wiring or foil-backed insulation that can cause
>reflections (high SWR).

Only one AC romex wire going thru. No foil on insulation. Standard rafter and
plywood sheating.

>There is also the issue of polarity. Almost all mobile CB antennas are
>vertical so don't expect strong signals from a horizontal antenna, or
>even an inverted-V which is largely horizontal. You might get some
>skip but that depends a lot on the position of the antenna -- you
>might have to rotate your house to align yourself with the traffic.
>
>I would think your best solution is to buy a cheap antenna tuner and
>load up the flag pole, rain gutter, drip-strip, sewer vent, aluminum
>siding, chain-link fence, steel shed..... whatever works best.
>

I am going to have to think about this. I really wanted a temporary solution
until I can hand one on the tree.

Vinnie S.

770. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 01:37:47 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cf8eu0ph95q85mif5al4d2lkvhqq46qlqm@4ax.com>
Source record: 194409

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 18:58:40 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:50:35 -0500 Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic,
>> I
>> noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious
>> reasons. So
>> there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off
>> using
>> barefoot?
>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>How about a fiberglass marine antenna which requires no ground plane?
>
>Or just as well, a 4ft fiberglass with a piece of metal for the ground
>effect.
>Being indoors or out, makes little difference.
>It's what is covering the antenna that makes the difference.

Well, there is this. Essentially, it's a 5 foot 5/8 wave Firestik, but the
radials are rather short 30". Shouldn't the be like 9ft?

http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/IBA5.htm

Again, I only want this for a temporary solution.

Vinnie S.

771. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 01:40:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <kl8eu0527k0bai1jkq255ciglmck7dac4f@4ax.com>
Source record: 194410

On 13 Jan 2005 16:54:29 -0800, "Professor" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>My recommendation is that you don't put the dipole in the attic. The
>dipole is a great antenna but any antenna will take severe losses
>trying to penetrate the solid walls of the attic. You will get the best
>performance for everyday talking is with the dipole vertical... and in
>free space.
>
>Professor
>www.telstar-electronics.com

What if I do this up a tree, instead of a 5/8 GP. Mind you, I will need about
100-150 of coax to run it to the tree.

Vinnie S.

772. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 01:45:00 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <tl8eu0lq2kj2dhhc50nelj542elukfhair@4ax.com>
Source record: 194411

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 16:56:41 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:50:35 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <qh9du092vl7u6djdguhce5fvrieghp3uqn@4ax.com>:
>
>>Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
>>noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So
>>there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using
>>barefoot?
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
>Barefoot or not, an attic is probably the worst place to put an
>antenna. You have all kinds of possible problems, not the least of
>which is house wiring or foil-backed insulation that can cause
>reflections (high SWR).
>
>There is also the issue of polarity. Almost all mobile CB antennas are
>vertical so don't expect strong signals from a horizontal antenna, or
>even an inverted-V which is largely horizontal. You might get some
>skip but that depends a lot on the position of the antenna -- you
>might have to rotate your house to align yourself with the traffic.
>
>I would think your best solution is to buy a cheap antenna tuner and
>load up the flag pole, rain gutter, drip-strip, sewer vent, aluminum
>siding, chain-link fence, steel shed..... whatever works best.
>

Vinnie;
You said you lived on a ranch? Use Franks tuner idea, run a wire
out the window and tie the other end to a fence post, your wifes
clothes line pole or anything else above eye level.

773. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 02:09:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <kcaeu0phbfg3q51ium5ev6sk22rtt1svb6@4ax.com>
Source record: 194412

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 01:45:00 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>Barefoot or not, an attic is probably the worst place to put an
>>antenna. You have all kinds of possible problems, not the least of
>>which is house wiring or foil-backed insulation that can cause
>>reflections (high SWR).
>>
>>There is also the issue of polarity. Almost all mobile CB antennas are
>>vertical so don't expect strong signals from a horizontal antenna, or
>>even an inverted-V which is largely horizontal. You might get some
>>skip but that depends a lot on the position of the antenna -- you
>>might have to rotate your house to align yourself with the traffic.
>>
>>I would think your best solution is to buy a cheap antenna tuner and
>>load up the flag pole, rain gutter, drip-strip, sewer vent, aluminum
>>siding, chain-link fence, steel shed..... whatever works best.
>>
>
>Vinnie;
> You said you lived on a ranch? Use Franks tuner idea, run a wire
>out the window and tie the other end to a fence post, your wifes
>clothes line pole or anything else above eye level.

I don't have a clothesline. I am thinking about running it vertical up a tree,
but would have to trench the coax.

Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew up the
coax?

Vinnie S.

774. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 02:34:11 UTC
From: ned@arthur.valhalla.oz
Message-ID: <slrncued2c.a2f.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 194415
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

"PBSL" wrote in <F%zFd.117239$K7.113343@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

Nothing relevant to act-b.

----snip----

The message you followed up wasn't posted into act-b, troll.
So why did you post your peurile drivel into act-b, troll??

Oh, that's right: you're a troll.

Piss off, you depraved, hypocritical, racist troll.

Ned
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

775. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 02:35:16 UTC
From: ned@arthur.valhalla.oz
Message-ID: <slrncued4k.a2f.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 194413
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

Bertie the Bunyip wrote in <Xns95DE48B25A1ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.45>:

Nothing relevant to act-b.

----snip----

Ned
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

776. astron 20 amp power supply fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 02:57:34 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050113215734.29068.00000071@mb-m27.aol.com>
Source record: 194414

Like new condition, handles 250 watt amps very well, $50 plus $20 shipping

Thatz-me-JP

777. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 04:24:21 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2gheu0livdvit36f2snfl1253857am99k7@4ax.com>
Source record: 194416

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 21:09:37 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <kcaeu0phbfg3q51ium5ev6sk22rtt1svb6@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 01:45:00 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>
>>>Barefoot or not, an attic is probably the worst place to put an
>>>antenna. You have all kinds of possible problems, not the least of
>>>which is house wiring or foil-backed insulation that can cause
>>>reflections (high SWR).
>>>
>>>There is also the issue of polarity. Almost all mobile CB antennas are
>>>vertical so don't expect strong signals from a horizontal antenna, or
>>>even an inverted-V which is largely horizontal. You might get some
>>>skip but that depends a lot on the position of the antenna -- you
>>>might have to rotate your house to align yourself with the traffic.
>>>
>>>I would think your best solution is to buy a cheap antenna tuner and
>>>load up the flag pole, rain gutter, drip-strip, sewer vent, aluminum
>>>siding, chain-link fence, steel shed..... whatever works best.
>>>
>>
>>Vinnie;
>> You said you lived on a ranch? Use Franks tuner idea, run a wire
>>out the window and tie the other end to a fence post, your wifes
>>clothes line pole or anything else above eye level.
>
>
>I don't have a clothesline. I am thinking about running it vertical up a tree,
>but would have to trench the coax.

You wouldn't even need coax. Just hook the tuner right to the radio,
ground the radio, and run a single wire out the wall (through an
insulator) to any large metal object you can find, attached to your
house or not. I like metal drip-strips because they circle the entire
roof and make dandy antennas for quick-n-dirty installations.

The problem with a horizontal dipole, as I mentioned before, is the
polarity of the antenna. Most CB antennas are vertical, and if your's
is horizontal you won't hear very many people and they won't hear you.

So if you are determined to use the attic, find the tallest mobile
antenna that will fit straight up in your attic and bolt it to the
floor. Then make a ground plane by running many wires out in every
direction, and as far as you have the space (or wire). Connect the

antenna to the center of the coax, and the ground plane to the shield.
If everything goes well your SWR should be around 1.5:1 to 2:1, which
is fine because you won't be able to get it any lower without losing
signal.

>Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew up the
>coax?

If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

778. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 04:42:44 UTC
From: John P Vassel <heckufaguy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95DDF135E5BA8heckufaguyhotmailcom@216.196.97.142>
Source record: 194417
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1105659232.396313.140080
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> If it is a GMRS/FRS hybrid, and you make an internal modification to
> it, you can't use it on the FRS only channels any more, but you can
> still use it on the GMRS service which shares 7 freqs with FRS :)
>

Wouldnt this modification void type acceptance? Kinda like cracking a cb
open in the old days. Not just any old equipment can be used on these
frequencies so near to public service frequencies. Internal mods could very
well cause harmful spurious emissions.
Besides, have you looked inside a FRS radio? Just what do you propose he
modify?

john

779. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 06:53:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d7qeu0psv03i4a0vu7a1m5qj2lf77qaqm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 194418

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
<ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:

<snip>
>Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,

The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
bed spring.

>you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
>into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
>for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
>in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
>NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.

If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
look for a stress management support group in your area.

780. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 07:21:36 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <4qKFd.12364$US.934@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194419

> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
> <ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
>
>
> The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
> antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
> take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
> even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
> it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
> bed spring.
>
>
> >you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
> >into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
> >for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
> >in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
> >NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.
>
>
> If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
> look for a stress management support group in your area.
>
>
>
>
Antenna tuner loading his rain gutters? Chances are
good he'll be into all the electronic devices in his house.
OK, he doesn't live in a house, it's a RANCH, so all his
cowboy buddies in the bunkhouse will hear him
on their TVs and stereos. It'll get into the phones too.
And if he starts keyclowning with that setup it'll be
100 times worse. What's wrong with a NORMAL cb
antenna designed for plug and play? Get him messing
with RF grounds and radials and such and he's
headed for disaster. I predict.

781. HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 07:24:04 UTC
From: southdakotaradio@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050114022404.16435.00000084@mb-m16.aol.com>
Source record: 194420

1) By habbin' sum mo dat friiiihd shickun.

2) By goin to da white subuhhbs to shoplif 'an steal da cahhs.

3) By drinkin' anothuh fouh-tee 'an smokin' dat crack cocaine.

4) By axein' muh 19-year old daughttuh why she be habin' six kids and no idea who dey fadduhs is.

5) All dem things you jus' said.

782. Re: Mopeydopey whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 07:33:47 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105688026.979661.45390@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194421

jim wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > U Know Who wrote:
> >
> >>"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >
> > message
> >
> >>news:1105505751.243992.89500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>You -is- sick, Doug.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>I guess she IS walking the dog!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
> >>>>> [46240 bytes]
> >>>>>end
> >>>>>
> >>>>>begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
> >>>>> [31915 bytes]
> >>>>>end
> >>>>>
> >>>>>_____
> >>>>>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >>>>>More than 120,000 groups
> >>>>>Unlimited download
> >>>>>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >>>
> >>>And you have come HOW much closer to shutting the AKC down?
> >>>
> >>
> >>Whatever fruitloop. You like to pretend the AKC is more than you.
> >
> > It's not,
> >
> >>well....it could be. Maybe another of your fucked up persona, but
it
> >
> > is NOT

> >
> >>an organized anything. You sit there in your messed up shithole, up
> >
> > all
> >
> >>night, spewing your filth, changing names, using offshore Usenet
> >
> > services,
> >
> >>forging, surfing gay porn sites, foaming at the mouth, and banging
on
> >
> > your
> >
> >>keyboard while deluding yourself into believing you have done
> >
> > something. Not
> >
> >>a pretty picture is it? You are truly one fucked up individual. No
> >
> > one cares
> >
> >>to shut the AKC(you) down. YOU are pathetic and YOU don't matter.
> >
> >
> > Nope, you'd like to think that, but it aint so. The only name I
post
> > under is right here. 100% of all posts that appear to come from
anyone
> > but this nick is/are someone else.
> >
> > As for the AKC not mattering, HA! It made you bark and whine
through
> > your daze, crackhead.
> >
> Do you approve of the picture posted at the beginning of this thread?

I dont see them. If I did I would tell you whether or not. I do see the
names, but I cant lite em up here on google. I also did not post any
pictures of any kind on here.

783. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 09:16:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <063fu0t3r9ct5fnitcounm7pvqrr6nolo7@4ax.com>
Source record: 194422

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 07:21:36 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
<4qKFd.12364$US.934@news.ono.com>:

>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
>> <ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
>>
>>
>> The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
>> antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
>> take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
>> even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
>> it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
>> bed spring.
>>
>>
>> >you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
>> >into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
>> >for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
>> >in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
>> >NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.
>>
>>
>> If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
>> look for a stress management support group in your area.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>Antenna tuner loading his rain gutters? Chances are
>good he'll be into all the electronic devices in his house.

That's a crock of shit and you know it.

>OK, he doesn't live in a house, it's a RANCH, so all his
>cowboy buddies in the bunkhouse will hear him
>on their TVs and stereos. It'll get into the phones too.

Maybe it will get into your pants and relieve the pressure on your
brain.

>And if he starts keyclowning with that setup it'll be
>100 times worse. What's wrong with a NORMAL cb
>antenna designed for plug and play? Get him messing

>with RF grounds and radials and such and he's
>headed for disaster. I predict.

Oh my god, maybe he'll get interested in getting a license and become
a ham -- it's the Hindenberg all over again -- the humanity!!!

784. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 11:11:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114061127.248$yn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194423

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 21:09:37 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote in <kcaeu0phbfg3q51ium5ev6sk22rtt1svb6@4ax.com>:
> >Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew
> >up the coax?
>
> If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.
>
Then the varmints will sleep with the fish!

Hey Enzo, long time. Don't tell me your new place has one of those
busy body anti antenna rules!?

785. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 11:13:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114061302.103$IF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194424

Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
> <ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
>
> The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
> antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
> take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
> even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
> it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
> bed spring.
>
> >you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
> >into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
> >for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
> >in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
> >NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.
>
> If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
> look for a stress management support group in your area.
>
The fucknut uses aol, internet for cock sucking retards.

786. Re: Mopeydopey whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 11:14:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114061418.976$Ui@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194425

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > U Know Who wrote:
> >
> >>"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >
> > message
> >
> >>news:1105505751.243992.89500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >>>Steveo wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>You -is- sick, Doug.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>I guess she IS walking the dog!
> >>>>>
> >>>>>begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
> >>>>> [46240 bytes]
> >>>>>end
> >>>>>
> >>>>>begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
> >>>>> [31915 bytes]
> >>>>>end
> >>>>>
> >>>>>_____
> >>>>>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >>>>>More than 120,000 groups
> >>>>>Unlimited download
> >>>>>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >>>
> >>>And you have come HOW much closer to shutting the AKC down?
> >>>
> >>
> >>Whatever fruitloop. You like to pretend the AKC is more than you.
> >
> > It's not,
> >
> >>well....it could be. Maybe another of your fucked up persona, but it
> >
> > is NOT
> >

> >>an organized anything. You sit there in your messed up shithole, up
> >
> > all
> >
> >>night, spewing your filth, changing names, using offshore Usenet
> >
> > services,
> >
> >>forging, surfing gay porn sites, foaming at the mouth, and banging on
> >
> > your
> >
> >>keyboard while deluding yourself into believing you have done
> >
> > something. Not
> >
> >>a pretty picture is it? You are truly one fucked up individual. No
> >
> > one cares
> >
> >>to shut the AKC(you) down. YOU are pathetic and YOU don't matter.
> >
> >
> > Nope, you'd like to think that, but it aint so. The only name I post
> > under is right here. 100% of all posts that appear to come from anyone
> > but this nick is/are someone else.
> >
> > As for the AKC not mattering, HA! It made you bark and whine through
> > your daze, crackhead.
> >
> Do you approve of the picture posted at the beginning of this thread?
>
Are you kidding? He posts them.

787. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 11:17:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114061749.524$gX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194426

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 07:21:36 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
> <4qKFd.12364$US.934@news.ono.com>:
>
> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
> >> <ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
> >>
> >>
> >> The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
> >> antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
> >> take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
> >> even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
> >> it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
> >> bed spring.
> >>
> >>
> >> >you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
> >> >into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
> >> >for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
> >> >in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
> >> >NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.
> >>
> >>
> >> If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
> >> look for a stress management support group in your area.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Antenna tuner loading his rain gutters? Chances are
> >good he'll be into all the electronic devices in his house.
>
> That's a crock of shit and you know it.
>
> >OK, he doesn't live in a house, it's a RANCH, so all his
> >cowboy buddies in the bunkhouse will hear him
> >on their TVs and stereos. It'll get into the phones too.
>
> Maybe it will get into your pants and relieve the pressure on your
> brain.

>
Hehe, aol boi lives in a washing machine box so the concept of a ranch stlye home goes right over his pinhead.

788. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 13:17:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9ahfu05m3lr5ku3dfajue9tu3ad1kfuccd@4ax.com>
Source record: 194427

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 18:39:07 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 12:03:43 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>
>>Lancer wrote:
>>OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter by this:
>>If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't hear him in
>>Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp, I still won't hear
>>him in LA.
>>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Now skip rolls in, I can here him without an amp
>>and with an amp, but on the adjacent channel, where the noise was zero,
>>I now have a ton of signals, so the skip didn't help those signals
>>"bounce into" LA? of course it did. Now the adjacent channel has more
>>"splatter" than before, skip didn't help enhance the noise level on my
>>end?
>>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Landshark
>>
>>>Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.
>>
>>
>>Exactly,,it "affected it", which is exactly what I and Shark maintained,
>>to which some took issue with.
>>
>>
>>>and skip also increased his splatter that you
>>
>>>hear.
>>
>>
>>Again,,,another example of skip affecting the splatter, Touche.
>>
>
>Quit clipping my posts apart to fit your needs.

That's exactly what he does. He destroys the original context to make
it look like you said something that you didn't.

He's either a clever troll or a someone who is totally devoid of
comprehension abilities.

> I was trying to point
>out to Shark that skip will progate the original signal and the
>splatter equally.

Anyone with average intelligence understands this. But I guess some seem to need the exact literal finite details colored in or they grasp the wrong meaning.

>
>>>But
>>
>>>The relation between his signal and his
>>
>>>splatter doesn't change.
>>
>>
>>Skip affects splatter.
>
>No more than the original part of his signal...
>
>Do you think that skip effects the splatter component of his signal
>more that the desirable part of his signal?
>
>and it does not effect the realtionship between the two.

Right! The relationship between the fundamental signal and the splatter components present will not change with the variation of the DX conditions. They move together harmoniously.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

789. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 13:26:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <aohfu05s9o14asv0rah2lfgpoaono0atms@4ax.com>
Source record: 194428

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 19:08:12 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 13:33:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 09:33:46 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>>On Wed, 12 Jan 2005 18:13:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>>wrote:
>>>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>>>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in
>>>news:nIaFd.1040$8Z1.389@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com: "U Know Who"
>>><AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote in message
>>>news:My2Fd.8556$RW3.3555@fe1.texas.rr.com... No, the number of radios
>>>makes no difference. You'll hear the strongest. What does matter is
>>>signal strength and selectivity. If the signal level is low enough, you
>>>don't have splatter. The DX is only allowing the signal to propagate
>>>farther, with less loss than normal. It won't make the signal stronger.
>>>-
>>>Ok, that's understood. I still say though, skip doesn't increase the
>>>signal strength, but when you have dozens of stations, obviously some
>>>splattering, some not, it will create an extra amount of splatter
>>>because they are all trying to get into the feeding frenzy of the DX.
>>>_
>>>>No it;s not understood fag-boi, how can you
>>>
>>>>say skip doesnt increase the signal strength
>>>
>>>>that's exactly what it does.
>>>
>>>That's exactly what I tried telling N3CVJ in another post. He said skip
>>>had nothing to do
>>>with the signal strength concerning splatter.
>>>
>>>>Your comprehensive disability is showing
>>>>again. I didn't say that.
>>
>>>Sure you did,,,here it is what you attempted to qualify,,,,,,,
>>
>>>> DX conditions have no effect on
>>>>increasing splatter relative to the fundamental

>>>>signal."
>>
>>>.and here is what you oriinally wrote:
>>>>"The "DX" has nothing to do with the amount
>>>>of splatter and the distortion a signal may
>>>>have.
>>>
>>>
>>>As your boi-friend alludes above, the skip increases the signal,
>>>therefore increasing any splatter accompanying the signal.
>>
>>It does NOT increase the amount of splatter relative to that signal.
>>
>>Are you sure you're not retarded?
>>
>
>I don't quite understand why this is so hard for him to understand.

There isn't much he does appear to understand. I'm surprised he can
even operate his radio. Although there is no evidence to suggest that
ever talks to anyone in the distance. He only seems to hear them.

>If you have a station with a certain amount of splatter on it. Move
>it a 1,000 miles away, you can now hear him with skip. The components
>of his signal haven't changed. Add another 100 stations with
>splatter, and his original components of his signal still haven't
>changed..

At this point, it's best to just leave it go. Guys like Landshark,
understand what the topic is and will understand a good explanation.
Twist, on the other hand, gets hung up on certain overly literal
semantics, (like skip "affecting" splatter) either out of a desire to
simply argue, or from a deeply rooted comprehensive disorder. In
either case, you will accomplish nothing, as he will continue to twist
your words and throw them back at you.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

790. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 13:31:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <q7ifu0521gctk9qvatsv6hr7b5m8frugau@4ax.com>
Source record: 194429

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 19:56:50 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 13:39:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 14:46:37 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>> First
>>>>>> On
>>>>>> Race
>>>>>> Day.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Dave
>>>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>> Yes, I own all Ford products, but am a Chevy
>>>man from way back.
>>
>>It's one of those things, like your favorite style of music. I've been
>>a Ford man since my first car (A '67 Mustang). But today's cars are
>>basically a blur. They're all pretty much the same. I like what
>>Daimler Chrysler is doing with cars like the PT Cruiser, and Ford's
>>new Mustang, and the retro Chevy SS truck.
>>
>>But today, I drive a Geo Metro because it gets 50 MPG and I commute
>>alot.
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>A Geo Metro must be quite a sight with a Hustler 75 meter antenna on
>it..

I wouldn't even consider it. It would truly be a tuna boat then. I do
have three VHF antennas on it though. 6 meters, 2 meters, and 220Mhz.

My truck, OTOH, does have a large whip on it as well as other VHF
antennas.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

791. Re: MOPAR Meanings. Was: Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 13:34:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3eifu09l0stlklt0vi1u4kdkr7tdlb06a1@4ax.com>
Source record: 194430

```
On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 20:04:01 GMT, "U Know Who" <nothere@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:41e6d244.28524437@news.easynews.com...
>> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 13:39:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 14:46:37 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>> First
>>>>> On
>>>>> Race
>>>>> Day.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Dave
>>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>>
>>>> Yes, I own all Ford products, but am a Chevy
>>>>man from way back.
>>>
>>>It's one of those things, like your favorite style of music. I've been
>>>a Ford man since my first car (A '67 Mustang). But today's cars are
>>>basically a blur. They're all pretty much the same. I like what
>>>Daimler Chrysler is doing with cars like the PT Cruiser, and Ford's
>>>new Mustang, and the retro Chevy SS truck.
>>>
>>>But today, I drive a Geo Metro because it gets 50 MPG and I commute
>>>alot.
>>>
>>>Dave
>>>"Sandbagger"
>>
>> A Geo Metro must be quite a sight with a Hustler 75 meter antenna on
>> it..
>
>Surprised it has enough horsepower to let him keep that antenna up when
>traveling.
```

A 3 cylinder engine which develops a little over 50 HP. It can't pass much, except a gas station. It's a laugh in the summer when the air conditioner kicks in......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

792. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 13:48:58 UTC
From: horseshoe7 <horseshoe7@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105710538.873520.164620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194431
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

John P Vassel wrote:
> "horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1105659232.396313.140080
> @f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > If it is a GMRS/FRS hybrid, and you make an internal modification
to
> > it, you can't use it on the FRS only channels any more, but you can
> > still use it on the GMRS service which shares 7 freqs with FRS :)
>
> Wouldnt this modification void type acceptance?

FRS has a rule against mods - but GMRS and MURS don't... so you just
can't use the radio as a FRS radio (except as a GMRS running on the 7
interstitials).

> Kinda like cracking a cb
> open in the old days. Not just any old equipment can be used on
these
> frequencies so near to public service frequencies.

Actually, most UHF business band radios CAN be used on GMRS.

> Internal mods could very
> well cause harmful spurious emissions.

I said, you have to know what you are doing.

> Besides, have you looked inside a FRS radio? Just what do you
propose he
> modify?

Depends on the radio, of course. But, give me the schematic for any
radio, and I'm sure that I could come up with a couple different mods
to do this job. The uP-based sytems usually have some kind of carrier
detect circuit, which typically isn't available to the "common man".
- Stewart
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN

793. Re: Remote Control of Lights via CB radio signal ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 13:58:40 UTC
From: Scott Seidman <namdiesttocs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95DE5B4E7FC86scottseidmanmindspri@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 194432
Cross-posted to: alt.building.construction,alt.home.repair,misc.consumers.house,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family

"horseshoe7" <horseshoe7@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1105710538.873520.164620
@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Depends on the radio, of course. But, give me the schematic for any
> radio, and I'm sure that I could come up with a couple different mods
> to do this job. The uP-based sytems usually have some kind of carrier
> detect circuit, which typically isn't available to the "common man".
> - Stewart
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MURS-OPEN
>
>
>

The receiver needs no mods-- you can break out everything you need from the
headphone jack, so long as you use something like DTFM so you don't need
the squelch signal.

The transmitter needs no mods-- you can use the mic jack

Scott

794. FA: Aluminum Slope-Top Project Box NOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 14:14:18 UTC
From: Tom <tfarl@iowatelecom.net>
Message-ID: <_sQFd.6252$eT5.4760@attbi_s51>
Source record: 194433
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Ends Saturday 1/15 @ 13:12:35 PST (21:12:35 UTC)

A hard to find project box - N.O.S.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5743172645

Still sealed in factory packaging.

Made of rigid 3/32" aluminum, the dimensions are roughly 7" wide x 6"
deep (front-to-back). The height is about 3" in back, sloping to about 1
3/4" in front. Two-piece construction, the side-bottom-side piece is
medium blue wrinkle, the back-top-front piece is a smooth off-white.
Bottom feet and attaching hardware are included.

Thanks for your time, and all the best in 2005,
theponyengine

795. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 14:26:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <7elfu0pimn6hsljpsbv7f3754pn0m0uhgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 194434

On 14 Jan 2005 11:11:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 21:09:37 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> wrote in <kcaeu0phbfg3q51ium5ev6sk22rtt1svb6@4ax.com>:
>> >Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew
>> >up the coax?
>>
>> If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.
>>
>Then the varmints will sleep with the fish!
>
>Hey Enzo, long time. Don't tell me your new place has one of those
>busy body anti antenna rules!?

Hey there. Moped !

No, no probelm with that, but I am too damn low. I wanted to go up a tree and get as close to 40 feet as possible. This is a temp setup. I don't watch TV anymore, except the news and sports, and some discovery channel. I might do a firestik with a GP like Frank suggested. When the weather warms, I wil be able to go up a tree.

Vinnie S.

796. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 14:28:14 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <qllfu0t3n3ogshr5dkejn87gredmcgphk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 194435

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 07:21:36 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
>> <ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
>>
>>
>> The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
>> antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
>> take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
>> even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
>> it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
>> bed spring.
>>
>>
>> >you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
>> >into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
>> >for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
>> >in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
>> >NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.
>>
>>
>> If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
>> look for a stress management support group in your area.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>Antenna tuner loading his rain gutters? Chances are
>good he'll be into all the electronic devices in his house.

>OK, he doesn't live in a house, it's a RANCH, so all his
>cowboy buddies in the bunkhouse will hear him
>on their TVs and stereos.

Where do you think I live?

> It'll get into the phones too.
>And if he starts keyclowning with that setup it'll be
>100 times worse. What's wrong with a NORMAL cb
>antenna designed for plug and play? Get him messing
>with RF grounds and radials and such and he's
>headed for disaster. I predict.

Which part of "running barefoot", did you not understand?

Vinnie S.

797. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 14:29:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <holfu0l28clsn2aqumc39nhohq7ioo6aqa@4ax.com>
Source record: 194436

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 22:53:25 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
><ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
>
>
>The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
>antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
>take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
>even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
>it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
>bed spring.
>
>
>>you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
>>into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
>>for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
>>in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
>>NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.

Geez. This guy needs Dr. Phil. If he gets pissed off about this, I'd hate to be
his wife or his kids.

Vinnie S.

798. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 14:34:09 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0slfu0t7bvrhn328i4kud567k5fm1283dc@4ax.com>
Source record: 194437

On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 20:24:21 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 21:09:37 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <kcaeu0phbfg3q51ium5ev6sk22rtt1svb6@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 01:45:00 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>Barefoot or not, an attic is probably the worst place to put an
>>>>>>antenna. You have all kinds of possible problems, not the least of
>>>>>>which is house wiring or foil-backed insulation that can cause
>>>>>>reflections (high SWR).
>>>>>
>>>>>There is also the issue of polarity. Almost all mobile CB antennas are
>>>>>vertical so don't expect strong signals from a horizontal antenna, or
>>>>>even an inverted-V which is largely horizontal. You might get some
>>>>>skip but that depends a lot on the position of the antenna -- you
>>>>>might have to rotate your house to align yourself with the traffic.
>>>>>
>>>>>I would think your best solution is to buy a cheap antenna tuner and
>>>>>load up the flag pole, rain gutter, drip-strip, sewer vent, aluminum
>>>>>siding, chain-link fence, steel shed..... whatever works best.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>Vinnie;
>>> You said you lived on a ranch? Use Franks tuner idea, run a wire
>>>out the window and tie the other end to a fence post, your wifes
>>>clothes line pole or anything else above eye level.
>>
>>
>>I don't have a clothesline. I am thinking about running it vertical up a tree,
>>but would have to trench the coax.
>
>
>You wouldn't even need coax. Just hook the tuner right to the radio,
>ground the radio, and run a single wire out the wall (through an
>insulator) to any large metal object you can find, attached to your
>house or not. I like metal drip-strips because they circle the entire
>roof and make dandy antennas for quick-n-dirty installations.
>
>The problem with a horizontal dipole, as I mentioned before, is the
>polarity of the antenna. Most CB antennas are vertical, and if your's
>is horizontal you won't hear very many people and they won't hear you.

>
>So if you are determined to use the attic, find the tallest mobile
>antenna that will fit straight up in your attic and bolt it to the
>floor. Then make a ground plane by running many wires out in every
>direction, and as far as you have the space (or wire). Connect the
>antenna to the center of the coax, and the ground plane to the shield.
>If everything goes well your SWR should be around 1.5:1 to 2:1, which
>is fine because you won't be able to get it any lower without losing
>signal.

If I use a Firestik, which are usually top-loaded, and connect it to some 9 ft. piping that I can use as radials (in a crossing pattern), and ground them to a grounding rod, would that work?

>
>>Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew up the
>>coax?
>
>
>If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.

I guess I am going to have PVC conduit the coax then. I don't have a choice.

Vinnie S.

799. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 14:40:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114094043.659$Tc@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194438

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Jan 2005 11:11:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w@renut@NOSPAM@cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 21:09:37 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> >> wrote in <kcaeu0phbfg3q51ium5ev6sk22rtt1svb6@4ax.com>:
> >> >Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they
> >> >chew up the coax?
> >>
> >> If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.
> >>
> >Then the varmints will sleep with the fish!
> >
> >Hey Enzo, long time. Don't tell me your new place has one of those
> >busy body anti antenna rules!?
>
> Hey there. Moped !
>
> No, no probelm with that, but I am too damn low. I wanted to go up a tree
> and get as close to 40 feet as possible. This is a temp setup. I don't
> watch TV anymore, except the news and sports, and some discovery channel.
> I might do a firestik with a GP like Frank suggested. When the weather
> warms, I wil be able to go up a tree.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
How about a telescopic mast, they range up to 50 feet. Cement it in the
ground next to the house and attach it at the peak. You will need to guy
wire it too if you fully extend it. What's nice about that set-up is you
can stand on the roof to work on the antenna, then raise it back up when
you're done. Were you thinking of going higher than 50'above grade?

Mrs. Enzo is gonna kick your ass when she sees this monstrosity next to
your new house! :)

800. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 14:51:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <h0nfu01g128un5rurunpambpqaaq7fdf2r@4ax.com>
Source record: 194439

On 14 Jan 2005 14:40:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

I think Mrs. Enzo will get pissed. Hiding it out in the trees would be great.
Plus I don't know if there are any local ordinances regarding a mast.

Frank presented another problem. The damn Ground hogs, moles, squirrels, will
eat my coax.

Vinnie S.

801. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 14:55:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114095543.950$zV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194440

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Jan 2005 14:40:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> I think Mrs. Enzo will get pissed. Hiding it out in the trees would be
> great. Plus I don't know if there are any local ordinances regarding a
> mast.
>
Best to ask first.
>
> Frank presented another problem. The damn Ground hogs, moles, squirrels,
> will eat my coax.
>
Hit em' with a kill'-o-watt as paybacks! :D

802. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 15:22:25 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <cs8o3p0kbf@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 194441

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:cf8eu0ph95q85mif5al4d2lkvhqq46qlqm@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 18:58:40 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote:
>
> >On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 11:50:35 -0500 Vinnie S. wrote:
> >
> >> Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic,
> >> I
> >> noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious
> >> reasons. So
> >> there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off
> >> using
> >> barefoot?
> >
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >How about a fiberglass marine antenna which requires no ground plane?
> >
> >Or just as well, a 4ft fiberglass with a piece of metal for the ground
> >effect.
> >Being indoors or out, makes little difference.
> >It's what is covering the antenna that makes the difference.
>
>
> Well, there is this. Essentially, it's a 5 foot 5/8 wave Firestik, but the
> radials are rather short 30". Shouldn't the be like 9ft?
>
> http://www.firestik.com/Catalog/IBA5.htm
>
> Again, I only want this for a temporary solution.
>
> Vinnie S.

Yeah that should work decent as a temp antenna.
Since it has the ground plane rods built in.
Since it's 5ft, that means it's coil loaded. Hence, the 30".
Just don't expect to get the mileage out of it as a bigger antenna would
get.

803. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 15:42:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11737-41E7E84E-373@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194443

NCVJ wrote:
>Yes, you are correct, the DX enables distant

>splatter boxes to be heard in you local area

804. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 15:42:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11737-41E7E87F-374@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194442

N3CVJ wrote:
>Yes, you are correct, the DX enables distant

>splatter boxes to be heard in you local area

No one was talking about extra power or illegal power,,try and remain
focused, Davie.

805. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 15:51:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11737-41E7EA77-375@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194495

N3CVJ wrote:
A small sampling of examples illustrating your cognitive and
interpretive skills:

>What do you consider a good price?

>What do you consider a great price?

>What do you consider lightning protection?

>What do consider a bad swr?

>What do you consider legal power?

>Just what do you consider tolerance?

>What do you consider injuy?

>What do you consider a channel?

>What do you consider work?

>What do you consider proper drive?

>What do you consider old?

>What do you consider a fact?

>What do you consider nice?

>What do you consider a positive contribution?

>Assuming these are quotes of mine (and

>considering you recent past penchant for

>confusing another's quote for one of mine, it's

>probable that they're not),

Its your fault you can't remember your lies and what you posted.

Twist: "Still have the Phelps, Dave?"

N3CVJ: "WHAT Phelps? I wish I HAD a Stationmaster"

Delving into the archives, you made many posts about having a Phelps
Stationmaster only a few short years ago. Now,,any normally educated
hammie in the world would remember his base stations and antennas, even
as a child. The fact that you had no clue what I referred is roof
positive you lie. A pattern here is you rambling incoherent, being shown

you are wrong, often with your own words, and watching you scramble like a monkey to "explain away" to the world, just what you really meant.

>your overly

>simplistic and literal translations fail to account
>for the reality that those questions are all

>relative or subjective, depending on the

>writer's perspective and point of reference. But
>I'd guess those concepts are beyond your

>reasoning ability.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

To be fair, your guesses are steeped in ignorance and the refusal to learn.
Whether your difficulties originate with confusion concerning subjective or "relative", no one else on the group shares such a dilemma of not grasping what everyone else means.
Only you seem to suffer with interpretive skills when others use simplistic terms.
After all, only you express great difficulty interpreting each writer's perspective, which is why you have to ask everyone what they mean when they use extremely simplistic terms...your interpretive and communicative skills are shot and not one other person in the group suffers from the "reasoning ability" you invoke responsible for your behavior not comprehending all the writers "perspective" and "point of reference". Everyone else understands what everyone else means with such simple terms as ilustrated above..only you have the underlying issues.

806. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 15:57:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11737-41E7EBDD-376@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194494

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 09:43:23 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
N#CVJ wrote:
>Uh... Nooooo. Splatter is the result of a dirty

>transmitter,
Bleed,,splatter,,,,you're wrong, ya' know..a dirty transmitter is but
ONE example.............once again you incorrectly claimed that skip
does not affect splatter, when it most certainly does.

> It does not affect the amount of splatter a

>single signal has on it.

Skip affects splatter in he manner that if the skip isn't running, you
won't hear the splatter from a distant station across the country.

> You really should stick to what it is you're

>actually good at

Shoot, Davie,,I'm excellent at hanging you out to dry,,,your default
mode (making personal insults when you disagree) in your incredible
posting history removes any doubt.

>(Chumming perhaps?) and leave the

>discussion of RF theory to those of us who DO
>know what we're talking about.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Which would be fine, except your self-professed knowledge has proved
just the opposite. You are an extra class hammie who maintains roger
beeps are illegal and that doing over 70 mph makes one a federal
criminal, illustrating you have no freakin' clue *what* you talk about,
but that never stops you from sticking your foot in your mouth and
telegraphing such learned ignorance.

807. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:06:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11737-41E7EE05-378@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194496

From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 12:03:43 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
Lancer wrote:
OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter by this:
If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't hear him in
Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp, I still won't hear
him in LA.
Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Now skip rolls in, I can
here him without an amp and with an amp, but on the adjacent channel,
where the noise was zero, I now have a ton of signals, so the skip
didn't help those signals "bounce into" LA? of course it did. Now the
adjacent channel has more "splatter" than before, skip didn't help
enhance the noise level on my end?
Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Landshark
Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.
Exactly,,it "affected it", which is exactly what I and Shark maintained,
to which some took issue with.

_
and skip also increased his splatter that you
hear.

_
Again,,,another example of skip affecting the splatter, Touche.

>Quit clipping my posts apart to fit your needs.

Deal,,,IF you quit attempting to qualify exactly what I said by adding
components and additional words when I never said anything of the sort,
I'll stop snipping your posted parts that don't apply to anything I
said.

> I was trying to point out to Shark that skip will

>progate the original signal and the splatter

>equally.

>But

>The relation between his signal and his

>splatter doesn't change.

Skip affects splatter.

>No more than the original part of his signal...

Skip affects splatter.

>Do you think that skip effects the splatter

>component of his signal more that the

>desirable part of his signal?

>And it does not effect the realtionship between
>the two.

The mere acknolwedgement of an existing relationship between skip and splatter is proof positive once again of the ONLY thing I said,,,,,,,,"Skip affects splatter".

>If the splatter components are at a 10% level

>compared to the level of his signal, then with

>skip they will still be at that level.

>Touche...

You can make all the comments you want about what you want and clarifications, as they sure as hell don't apply to anything I said,,I emntioned nothing abotu levels, relationships, splatter boxes, etc,,, Now,,,once again,,, here is the bottom line from your post...

>Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.

>and skip also increased his splatter that you

>hear.

,,let's see that again,,, just to make absolutely certain you aer saying what I said originally..

>skip increased his signal that you hear,,,

>and lastly,,

>and skip also increases his splatter that you

>hear.

What's the problem? Adding additional clarifications like "relationship", "splatter boxes",etc...has nothing to do with my original statement or its context,,,the words were not mine. All I said is exactly what you repeated above, Trying to invoke terms like "relationship" *after* the fact when I NEVER mentioned such terms, changes the dynamics and context of what I claimed,,,,the exact same thing you claimed above.

808. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:17:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11737-41E7F097-380@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194497

Lancer wrote:
>I don't quite understand why this is so hard for

>him to understand.

Im not the having the difficulties you imagine,,you expressed that by introducing new terms and concepts to what I said with each post you made,,,,first you invoked that the "relationship " doesn't change,,,I never said it did,,yet, you are attempting to qualify what I said with words I never used.
Again,,your mere acknowledgement that such a relationship exists (between skip and splatter) confirms exactly what I originally said, void of the terms you and Davie tried invoking.

>If you have a station with a certain amount of

>splatter on it. Move it a 1,000 miles away, you

>can now hear him with skip.

If the station was local and you move it 1000 miles away and the skip ain't running, you will hear nothing. Note that you are now resorting to hypothetical situations with the word "if" and I used your example only because you mentioned it.
Try this: The original disagreement was nothing of what you now are speaking. Davie said skip had no affect on splatter, so this not only automatically discounts any local splatter or bleed in the context of the discussion, but you acknowledged a direct relationship between skip and splatter, regardless how you wish to define it.

> The components of his signal haven't

>changed. Add another 100 stations with

>splatter, and his original components of his

>signal still haven't changed..

Im not the having difficulties,,you expressed that by introducing new terms and concepts to what I said with each post you made,,,,first you said the "relationship doesn't change",,,I never said it did,,,you're beginning to enter terms I never used, and take issue with them yourself..first it was how the "relationship" betweent the two didn't change, now it's "components". How bizarre.

809. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:28:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11738-41E7F32C-126@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194499

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 18:39:07 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 12:03:43 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
Lancer wrote:
OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter by this:
If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't hear him in
Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp, I still won't hear
him in LA.
        Now skip rolls in, I can here him without an amp
and with an amp, but on the adjacent channel, where the noise was zero,
I now have a ton of signals, so the skip didn't help those signals
"bounce into" LA? of course it did. Now the adjacent channel has more
"splatter" than before, skip didn't help enhance the noise level on my
end?
                Landshark

>Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.

Exactly,,it "affected it", which is exactly what I and Shark maintained,
to which some took issue with.

>and skip also increased his splatter that you

>hear.

Again,,,another example of skip affecting the splatter, Touche.

>Quit clipping my posts apart to fit your needs.

(That's exactly what he does. He destroys the

original context to make it look like you said

something that you didn't)

Now now Davie,,,,you are once again permitting your lack of personal
control over your emotions dictate your topics again...your lack of
education conerning communication etiquette and skill always manifests
in your posts when you disagree with someone. You never learned how to
debate and attack the topic or subject,,you attack the
poster.....illustrative of your ignorance.
Just for the record, he most certainly ackowldged the realtionship
between skip and splatter.

>He's either a clever troll or a someone who is

>totally devoid of comprehension abilities.

LOL,,you are the one needing to ask everyone else what they mean when
they use sixth grade terminology on a repeat and ongoing basis.

(I was trying to point

out to Shark that skip will progate the original

signal and the splatter equally.)

Funny,,,,here you are trying to make a point that you apparently think
Shark took issue with,,,,he didn;t,,and neither did I.

>Anyone with average intelligence understands
>this. But I guess some seem to need the exact
>literal finite details colored in or they grasp the

>wrong meaning.

Expressed superbly by the illustration of your posting history littered
with redundant questions of "What did you mean by...".
Only you have this difficulty and your posting history shows such,
Projecting your character flaws onto another won't make it so.

>But

>The relation between his signal and his

>splatter doesn't change.

Skip affects splatter.

(No more than the original part of his signal...

Do you think that skip effects the splatter

component of his signal more that the

desirable part of his signal?

and it does not effect the realtionship between

the two.)

>Right! The relationship between the

>fundamental signal and the splatter

>components present will not change with the

>variation of the DX conditions. They move

>together harmoniously.

Try and get lucid again, if you can muster the courage, Once again, you
aer talking to yourself. No one made such a claim that splatter changes
dx conditions, which is why you taking issue with yourself is such a
gift.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

810. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:35:28 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e7f3f5.102685546@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194498

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 11:06:29 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 12:03:43 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>Lancer wrote:
>OK, but I agreed with that. I said that DX helps the splatter by this:
>If he's in Florida talking on a bone stock radio, I won't hear him in
>Los Angeles. Now, if he decides to fire up an amp, I still won't hear
>him in LA.
>Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Now skip rolls in, I can
>here him without an amp and with an amp, but on the adjacent channel,
>where the noise was zero, I now have a ton of signals, so the skip
>didn't help those signals "bounce into" LA? of course it did. Now the
>adjacent channel has more "splatter" than before, skip didn't help
>enhance the noise level on my end?
>Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Landshark
>Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.
>Exactly,,it "affected it", which is exactly what I and Shark maintained,
>to which some took issue with.
>_
>and skip also increased his splatter that you
>hear.
>_
>Again,,,another example of skip affecting the splatter, Touche.
>
>>Quit clipping my posts apart to fit your needs.
>
>
>Deal,,,IF you quit attempting to qualify exactly what I said by adding
>components and additional words when I never said anything of the sort,
>I'll stop snipping your posted parts that don't apply to anything I
>said.
>
>> I was trying to point out to Shark that skip will
>
>>progate the original signal and the splatter
>
>>equally.
>
>>But
>
>>The relation between his signal and his

>
>>splatter doesn't change.
>
>Skip affects splatter.
>
>>No more than the original part of his signal...
>
>
>Skip affects splatter.
>
>>Do you think that skip effects the splatter
>
>>component of his signal more that the
>
>>desirable part of his signal?
>
>>And it does not effect the realtionship between
>>the two.
>
>The mere acknolwedgement of an existing relationship between skip and
>splatter is proof positive once again of the ONLY thing I
>said,,,,,,,,"Skip affects splatter".
>
>
>>If the splatter components are at a 10% level
>
>>compared to the level of his signal, then with
>
>>skip they will still be at that level.
>
>>Touche...
>
>
>You can make all the comments you want about what you want and
>clarifications, as they sure as hell don't apply to anything I said,,I
>emntioned nothing abotu levels, relationships, splatter boxes, etc,,,
>Now,,,once again,,, here is the bottom line from your post...
>
>>Ok, skip increased his signal that you hear.
>
>>and skip also increased his splatter that you
>
>>hear.
>
>,,let's see that again,,, just to make absolutely certain you aer saying
>what I said originally..
>
>>skip increased his signal that you hear,,,
>
>>and lastly,,

>
>>and skip also increases his splatter that you
>
>>hear.
>
>What's the problem? Adding additional clarifications like
>"relationship", "splatter boxes",etc...has nothing to do with my
>original statement or its context,,,the words were not mine. All I said
>is exactly what you repeated above, Trying to invoke terms like
>"relationship" *after* the fact when I NEVER mentioned such terms,
>changes the dynamics and context of what I claimed,,,,the exact same
>thing you claimed above.

Forget it Twist, all you want to do is make damn sure that Dave never proves you wrong. If you want to keep twisting stuff around to make yourself look better, feel free. But ya know what? Dave proved you wrong this time.

Have a nice day...

811. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:43:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114114330.388$4d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194500

> >Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â
> >Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â Â
>
WTF is that, Twist!? :D

812. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:47:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11740-41E7F7B5-32@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194502

N3CVJ wrote:
>At this point, it's best to just leave it go.

Agreed,,the Phelps issue is haunting you almost as bad as your self-professed skills and knowledge regarding your status as an extra that a roger beep is illegal and going over 70 MPH makes one a federal criminal.

>Guys like Landshark, understand what the

>topic is and will understand a good

>explanation.

That's almost as rich as what follows, as Shark and I said the exact same thing concerning DX. You arr suffering a great deal on this aubject.

N3CVJ, in all his self-projecting glory wrote:
>Twist, on the other hand, gets

>hung up on certain overly literal semantics,

Now that is rich, especially in light of the fact that your posting history is littered with expressed difficulties getting hung up over semantics.....

N3CVJ wrote:
>What do you consider a good price?

>What do you consider a great price?

>What do you consider lightning protection?

>What do consider a bad swr?

>What do you consider legal power?

>Just what do you consider tolerance?

>What do you consider injury?

>What do you consider a channel?

>What do you consider work?

>What do you consider proper drive?

>What do you consider old?

>What do you consider a fact?

>What do you consider nice?

>What do you consider a positive contribution?

Man,,I looove Fridays! Have a great weekend, davie, and try not to permit me to lord over your thoughts and actions in my absence...unless, of course, it's to take more of my scholarly posts and have them interpreted to you by one of these educated colleagues of which you speak.

813. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:50:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11743-41E7F862-1@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194503

Oxendine pissed again.

814. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:53:56 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cs8tf4$9s0$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194501

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:0slfu0t7bvrhn328i4kud567k5fm1283dc@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 20:24:21 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 21:09:37 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote in <kcaeu0phbfg3q51ium5ev6sk22rtt1svb6@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 01:45:00 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Barefoot or not, an attic is probably the worst place to put an
>>>>antenna. You have all kinds of possible problems, not the least of
>>>>which is house wiring or foil-backed insulation that can cause
>>>>reflections (high SWR).
>>>>
>>>>There is also the issue of polarity. Almost all mobile CB antennas are
>>>>vertical so don't expect strong signals from a horizontal antenna, or
>>>>even an inverted-V which is largely horizontal. You might get some
>>>>skip but that depends a lot on the position of the antenna -- you
>>>>might have to rotate your house to align yourself with the traffic.
>>>>
>>>>I would think your best solution is to buy a cheap antenna tuner and
>>>>load up the flag pole, rain gutter, drip-strip, sewer vent, aluminum
>>>>siding, chain-link fence, steel shed..... whatever works best.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie;
>>>> You said you lived on a ranch? Use Franks tuner idea, run a wire
>>>>out the window and tie the other end to a fence post, your wifes
>>>>clothes line pole or anything else above eye level.
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't have a clothesline. I am thinking about running it vertical up a
>>>tree,
>>>but would have to trench the coax.
>>
>>
>>You wouldn't even need coax. Just hook the tuner right to the radio,
>>ground the radio, and run a single wire out the wall (through an
>>insulator) to any large metal object you can find, attached to your
>>house or not. I like metal drip-strips because they circle the entire

>>roof and make dandy antennas for quick-n-dirty installations.
>>
>>The problem with a horizontal dipole, as I mentioned before, is the
>>polarity of the antenna. Most CB antennas are vertical, and if your's
>>is horizontal you won't hear very many people and they won't hear you.
>>
>>So if you are determined to use the attic, find the tallest mobile
>>antenna that will fit straight up in your attic and bolt it to the
>>floor. Then make a ground plane by running many wires out in every
>>direction, and as far as you have the space (or wire). Connect the
>>antenna to the center of the coax, and the ground plane to the shield.
>>If everything goes well your SWR should be around 1.5:1 to 2:1, which
>>is fine because you won't be able to get it any lower without losing
>>signal.
>
> If I use a Firestik, which are usually top-loaded, and connect it to some
> 9 ft.
> piping that I can use as radials (in a crossing pattern), and ground them
> to a
> grounding rod, would that work?
>
>>
>>>Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew
>>>up the
>>>coax?
>>
>>
>>If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.
>
>
> I guess I am going to have PVC conduit the coax then. I don't have a
> choice.
>
> Vinnie S.

I use Davis RF bury-Flex, No critters chewing on it yet! And I gots lots of critters :) This goes to an Imax2000

http://www.davisrf.com/ham1/coax.htm#buryflex

I have a standard run (not buried) of RG213 going to a dipole in the trees, still no knawing, been there for a year.

Chad

815. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 16:59:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12088-41E7FA8C-143@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194504

Lancer wrote:
>Forget it Twist, all you want

You say "forget it", but go on to add your 2 cents....

>to do is make

>damn sure that Dave never proves you wrong.

No such animal. I can care less who posts what. If it's wrong, I will say so, regardles who it is. Try not letting who posts what cloud your judgement over *what* is posted.

>If you want to keep twisting stuff around to

>make yourself look better, feel free.

Only you *and* N3CVJ tried adding words to my claim that were never said by me...THAT is twisting.

>But ya know what? Dave proved you wrong

>this time.

>Have a nice day...

And that's the beauty of usenet...diagreeing without getting personal. Try and explain that to the one who "proved wrong" my claim that "Skip affects splatter". Whether you can admit or not, that was my claim, cut and dry.

816. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 17:02:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12087-41E7FB1A-384@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194505

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â
Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â
WTF is that, Twist!? :D

_
You mean you don't know? Email me,,,webtv is foolproof when quoting
certain posters and only certain usenet access services leave such
marks. Call it internet fingerprints.

817. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 17:38:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <rs0gu0tf2q0lfu400tcprdbg4vfuacnmcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 194506

On 14 Jan 2005 16:43:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>> >Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â
>> >Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â Ã□Â
>>
>WTF is that, Twist!? :D

He's "tagging" posts again........

Dave
"Sandbagger"

818. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 17:41:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <b01gu0p5vq560071s8au8hfs0h9nlkuj5s@4ax.com>
Source record: 194507

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 10:42:55 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>N3CVJ wrote:
>>Yes, you are correct, the DX enables distant
>
>>splatter boxes to be heard in you local area
>
>No one was talking about extra power or illegal power,,

I was. That was the original point of this whole thread.

>try and remain
>focused, Davie.

You should follow your own advice.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

819. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 17:46:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <o31gu0hv8v6bpg4bvakvg0cqq8t71e1399@4ax.com>
Source record: 194508

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 11:47:49 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>N3CVJ wrote:
>>At this point, it's best to just leave it go.
>
>Agreed,,the Phelps issue is haunting you almost as bad as your
>self-professed skills and knowledge regarding your status as an extra
>that a roger beep is illegal and going over 70 MPH makes one a federal
>criminal.

You brain is short-circuiting again. You're starting to babble....

>>Guys like Landshark, understand what the
>>topic is and will understand a good
>>explanation.

>That's almost as rich as what follows, as Shark and I said the exact
>same thing concerning DX. You arr suffering a great deal on this
>aubject.

No, not exactly. But at least when the proper context was explained.
Lanshark acknowledged such. While you continue to defend and twist
words.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

820. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 17:54:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <jp1gu09vm8na396tjiu9g1nv5f2sjaakvi@4ax.com>
Source record: 194509

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 10:53:56 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:

>> I guess I am going to have PVC conduit the coax then. I don't have a
>> choice.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>I use Davis RF bury-Flex, No critters chewing on it yet! And I gots lots of
>critters :) This goes to an Imax2000
>
>http://www.davisrf.com/ham1/coax.htm#buryflex
>
>I have a standard run (not buried) of RG213 going to a dipole in the trees,
>still no knawing, been there for a year.
>
>Chad
>

http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/858

Thanks. It got great reviews.

Vinnie S.

821. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 17:55:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <sk1gu0hune1r0v08ptm7qnvtlovppn0778@4ax.com>
Source record: 194510

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 10:51:19 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>>simplistic and literal translations fail to account
>>for the reality that those questions are all
>>relative or subjective, depending on the
>>writer's perspective and point of reference. But
>>I'd guess those concepts are beyond your
>>reasoning ability.
>
>To be fair, your guesses are steeped in ignorance and the refusal to
>learn.

Quite the contrary, in order for one to learn, one must learn to ask
the proper questions.

>Only you seem to suffer with interpretive skills when others use
>simplistic terms.

But the difference is, that I ask for clarification as to the specific
point that someone is making, while you assume what they are trying to
say, and are usually wrong.

> After all, only you express great difficulty interpreting each writer's
>perspective, which is why you have to ask everyone what they mean when
>they use extremely simplistic terms

Simplistic does not preclude the sense of subjectivity that the term
may refer.

But you don't understand that. To you everything is black or white.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

822. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 17:58:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nt1gu0p244rrmdudct2116hl6fbtrr0cac@4ax.com>
Source record: 194511

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 10:57:17 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
> that doing over 70 mph makes one a federal
>criminal,

Not that I care or anything, but to avoid further embarrassment at
once again confusing me with another, I'd suggest you recheck your
obsession with personal searches. I never made that claim.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

823. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 18:01:41 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e9087c.107939734@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194512

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â
>Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â Ã,Â
>WTF is that, Twist!? :D
>_
Ã,Â Ã,ÂYou mean you don't know? Email me,,,webtv is foolproof when
quoting certain posters and only certain usenet access services leave
such marks. Call it internet fingerprints.

Like this?

824. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 18:03:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114130340.093$KV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194513

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
> wrote:
>
> >From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> >Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â
> >Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â
> >Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â Ã‚Â
> >WTF is that, Twist!? :D _
> Ã‚Â Ã‚ÂYou mean you don't know? Email me,,,webtv is foolproof
> when quoting certain posters and only certain usenet access services
> leave such marks. Call it internet fingerprints.
>
> Like this?
>
Is Ã‚Â€ Chinese for Lancer? :)

825. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 18:05:34 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41ec0a4e.108406265@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194514

On 14 Jan 2005 18:03:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
>> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
>> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
>> >WTF is that, Twist!? :D _
>> Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚,You mean you don't know? Email me,,,webtv is foolproof
>> when quoting certain posters and only certain usenet access services
>> leave such marks. Call it internet fingerprints.
>>
>> Like this?
>>
>Is Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Chinese for Lancer? :)

Not working today Steve?

826. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 18:06:50 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41eb09f0.108311687@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194515

On 14 Jan 2005 18:03:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
>> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
>> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
>> >WTF is that, Twist!? :D _
>> Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚,You mean you don't know? Email me,,,webtv is foolproof
>> when quoting certain posters and only certain usenet access services
>> leave such marks. Call it internet fingerprints.
>>
>> Like this?
>>
>Is Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Chinese for Lancer? :)

I don't think so, Chinese have all those wacked out characters..

Where one letter means a word..

827. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 18:11:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114131133.286$oY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194516

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 14 Jan 2005 18:03:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> >> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€
> >> >šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
> >> > Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
> >> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€
> >> >šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
> >> > Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
> >> >Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€
> >> >šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
> >> > Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â WTF is that, Twist!? :D _
> >> Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚,You mean you don't know? Email me,
> >> ,,webtv is foolproof when quoting certain posters and only certain
> >> usenet access services leave such marks. Call it internet
> >> fingerprints.
> >>
> >> Like this?
> >>
> >Is Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Chinese for Lancer? :)
>
> Not working today Steve?
>
Working in the office.

828. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 18:14:53 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41ed0bf5.108829625@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194517

On 14 Jan 2005 18:11:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 14 Jan 2005 18:03:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>> >> >ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚¬
>> >> >Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â,
>> >> >Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â
>> >> >ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚¬
>> >> >Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â,
>> >> >Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â
>> >> >ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚¬
>> >> >Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â,
>> >> >Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â,¬Å¡Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â WTF is that, Twist!? :D _
>> >> ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â,¬Å¡Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚¬Å¡Ãƒâ€šYou mean you don't know? Email me,
>> >> ,,webtv is foolproof when quoting certain posters and only certain
>> >> usenet access services leave such marks. Call it internet
>> >> fingerprints.
>> >>
>> >> Like this?
>> >>
>> >Is ÃƒÆ'Ã¢â‚¬Å¡Ã‚Â, Chinese for Lancer? :)
>>
>> Not working today Steve?
>>
>Working in the office.

Bad day for working in the office here, nice and sunny..supposed to
get cold this weekend...

829. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 18:23:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114132336.503$uL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194518

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> Not working today Steve?
> >>
> >Working in the office.
>
> Bad day for working in the office here, nice and sunny..supposed to
> get cold this weekend...
>
More strange weather up here..67 degrees yesterday 20 today, with a
brisk wind chill..brrrrr Good day to be inside!

830. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 19:37:56 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <ocVFd.12472$US.1163@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194519

> Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
> > On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
> > <ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
> >
> > <snip>
> > >Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
> >
> > The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
> > antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
> > take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
> > even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
> > it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
> > bed spring.
> >
> > >you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
> > >into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
> > >for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
> > >in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
> > >NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.
> >
> > If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
> > look for a stress management support group in your area.
> >
> The fucknut uses aol, internet for cock sucking retards.

Notice who started with the gay shit right away -- steveo, he's an angry little man LLOL

831. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 19:40:56 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <cfVFd.12473$US.2990@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194520

> On 14 Jan 2005 14:40:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>
>
>
> I think Mrs. Enzo will get pissed. Hiding it out in the trees would be great.
> Plus I don't know if there are any local ordinances regarding a mast.
>
> Frank presented another problem. The damn Ground hogs, moles, squirrels, will
> eat my coax.
>
> Vinnie S.

Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
coax he will use? Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
antenna? He claims he isnt gonna keyclown, but I think he's lying.

832. Re: FA: Aluminum Slope-Top Project Box NOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 19:42:58 UTC
From: Al <no.spam@wanted.com>
Message-ID: <no.spam-CB9A53.14430114012005@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 194521
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

In article <_sQFd.6252$eT5.4760@attbi_s51>,
"Tom" <tfarl@iowatelecom.net> wrote:

> Ends Saturday 1/15 @ 13:12:35 PST (21:12:35 UTC)
>
> A hard to find project box - N.O.S.
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5743172645
>
> Still sealed in factory packaging.
>
> Made of rigid 3/32" aluminum, the dimensions are roughly 7" wide x 6"
> deep (front-to-back). The height is about 3" in back, sloping to about 1
> 3/4" in front. Two-piece construction, the side-bottom-side piece is
> medium blue wrinkle, the back-top-front piece is a smooth off-white.
> Bottom feet and attaching hardware are included.
>
> Thanks for your time, and all the best in 2005,
> theponyengine
>
>
>
>

Not hard to find. I have 4 in my cache.

Al

833. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 20:08:36 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41e8267c.2298203@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194522

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>> On 14 Jan 2005 14:40:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> I think Mrs. Enzo will get pissed. Hiding it out in the trees would be great.
>> Plus I don't know if there are any local ordinances regarding a mast.
>>
>> Frank presented another problem. The damn Ground hogs, moles, squirrels, will
>> eat my coax.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
>run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
>coax he will use?

.78 or 78%

> Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
>antenna?

2.9 watts

834. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 20:38:04 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <M4WFd.12483$US.3019@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194523

> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 07:21:36 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
> <4qKFd.12364$US.934@news.ono.com>:
>
> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
> >> <ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
> >>
> >>
> >> The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
> >> antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
> >> take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
> >> even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
> >> it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
> >> bed spring.
> >>
> >>
> >> >you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
> >> >into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
> >> >for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
> >> >in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
> >> >NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.
> >>
> >>
> >> If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
> >> look for a stress management support group in your area.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Antenna tuner loading his rain gutters? Chances are
> >good he'll be into all the electronic devices in his house.
>
>
> That's a crock of shit and you know it.

Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
service ground of the residence.

835. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 21:41:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <o2fgu01nfp4tp1ft5b66so6a6liemef60a@4ax.com>
Source record: 194524

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 20:08:36 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<41e8267c.2298203@news.easynews.com>:

>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>
>>> On 14 Jan 2005 14:40:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I think Mrs. Enzo will get pissed. Hiding it out in the trees would be great.
>>> Plus I don't know if there are any local ordinances regarding a mast.
>>>
>>> Frank presented another problem. The damn Ground hogs, moles, squirrels, will
>>> eat my coax.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
>>run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
>>coax he will use?
>
>.78 or 78%
>
>> Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
>>antenna?
>
>2.9 watts

150 feet of RG-8 has 1.71 dB loss at 27 MHz, so the power at the other
end would be 2.7 watts. Not enough to quibble about except that line
loss has nothing to do with velocity factor.

836. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 21:47:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <99fgu0de858f7f4gaagk6s0ev3fq4e0hjb@4ax.com>
Source record: 194525

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 20:38:04 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
<M4WFd.12483$US.3019@news.ono.com>:

>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 07:21:36 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
>> <4qKFd.12364$US.934@news.ono.com>:
>>
>> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 05:18:49 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote in
>> >> <ZCIFd.12362$US.9118@news.ono.com>:
>> >>
>> >> <snip>
>> >> >Vinnie, don't use a tuner if you don't know WTF you're doing,
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> The guy want's the most bang for his buck, and he can do that with an
>> >> antenna tuner. It will probably cost him a whole $20 and it doesn't
>> >> take a college degree to operate -- jeez, it's only three knobs. And
>> >> even if you don't know what you are doing you can usually fiddle with
>> >> it long enough to tune in a good match to just about anything, even a
>> >> bed spring.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >you'll be constantly throwing carriers yelling AAUDDIOO whistling
>> >> >into the mike trying to get 1:1, a real pain in the ass
>> >> >for anyone trying to use the CB in your area. Forget about radials
>> >> >in the attic, you'll screw it up, stop trying to get cute, JUST BUY A
>> >> >NORMAL CB ANTENNA AND PUT IT OUTSIDE, YOU F-ING MAROON.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> If you get that upset about such a trivial issue then maybe you should
>> >> look for a stress management support group in your area.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> >Antenna tuner loading his rain gutters? Chances are
>> >> >good he'll be into all the electronic devices in his house.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> That's a crock of shit and you know it.
>> >
>> >Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
>> >whatever are only half the dipole......
>>
>> It's not a dipole or even half of a dipole. It's just an untuned hunk
>> of metal, hence the need for a tuner. And a tuner doesn't turn it into

a hertzian dipole -- it just sets up a resonance so RF current can
flow and therefore radiate.

> so the house wiring will become
>the other active half and they will radiate.

So what's the problem?

> He grounds his tuner to
>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
>service ground of the residence.

And your point is.....?

837. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 21:56:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ihfgu0hgsjutiqtvd3nvn3sbeeqep5c5nt@4ax.com>
Source record: 194526

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 09:34:09 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <0slfu0t7bvrhn328i4kud567k5fm1283dc@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>If I use a Firestik, which are usually top-loaded, and connect it to some 9 ft.
>piping that I can use as radials (in a crossing pattern), and ground them to a
>grounding rod, would that work?

Why pipe? Just run a whole bunch of wires radiating from the base.
Make them as long as possible and don't worry about any specific
length -- it's even better if they are different lengths (which will
be the case unless your house is round). Simply cover your attic floor
with radials.

>>>Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew up the
>>>coax?
>>
>>
>>If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.
>
>
>I guess I am going to have PVC conduit the coax then. I don't have a choice.

I wouldn't even mess with running a coax out that far. It's just not
worth the effort. You would be better off using what you have around
the house. Got a flagpole?

838. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 22:38:47 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <d6igu0l85kobah8u4ubup5nk7iq1305fin@4ax.com>
Source record: 194527

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>> On 14 Jan 2005 14:40:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> I think Mrs. Enzo will get pissed. Hiding it out in the trees would be great.
>> Plus I don't know if there are any local ordinances regarding a mast.
>>
>> Frank presented another problem. The damn Ground hogs, moles, squirrels, will
>> eat my coax.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
>run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
>coax he will use? Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
>antenna? He claims he isnt gonna keyclown, but I think he's lying.

Oh, are you a mind reader? I only have an amp in my car, and that is only a 100 watt amp, and I do pretty well with that. I think it's the smallest amp I can buy. I have no desire to run 1,000 watts or 100 watts at home. If anything, I might get a Lincoln or 2510 for the extra power. But as far as keyclowning, I have no desire to do that.

Sorry to disappoint you, but it seems I am one less person for you to hate, and that must be devastating to you.

Vinnie S.

839. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 22:40:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2higu0hjmje70a0g0dlg7qujkluhq0ecpt@4ax.com>
Source record: 194528

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 13:56:28 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 09:34:09 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <0slfu0t7bvrhn328i4kud567k5fm1283dc@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>If I use a Firestik, which are usually top-loaded, and connect it to some 9 ft.
>>piping that I can use as radials (in a crossing pattern), and ground them to a
>>grounding rod, would that work?
>
>
>Why pipe? Just run a whole bunch of wires radiating from the base.
>Make them as long as possible and don't worry about any specific
>length -- it's even better if they are different lengths (which will
>be the case unless your house is round). Simply cover your attic floor
>with radials.

If the wire will work just as good, I wil do that.

>>>>Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew up the
>>>>coax?
>>>
>>>
>>>If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.
>>
>>
>>I guess I am going to have PVC conduit the coax then. I don't have a choice.
>
>
>I wouldn't even mess with running a coax out that far. It's just not
>worth the effort. You would be better off using what you have around
>the house. Got a flagpole?

No. And I can't put one in front of my house, because the septic drain field is
there.

Vinnie S.

840. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:09:26 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <GiYFd.12753$US.754@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194529

> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>
> >> On 14 Jan 2005 14:40:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> I think Mrs. Enzo will get pissed. Hiding it out in the trees would be great.
> >> Plus I don't know if there are any local ordinances regarding a mast.
> >>
> >> Frank presented another problem. The damn Ground hogs, moles, squirrels, will
> >> eat my coax.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
> >run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
> >coax he will use? Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
> >antenna? He claims he isnt gonna keyclown, but I think he's lying.
>
> Oh, are you a mind reader? I only have an amp in my car, and that is only a 100
> watt amp, and I do pretty well with that. I think it's the smallest amp I can
> buy. I have no desire to run 1,000 watts or 100 watts at home. If anything, I
> might get a Lincoln or 2510 for the extra power. But as far as keyclowning, I
> have no desire to do that.
>
> Sorry to disappoint you, but it seems I am one less person for you to hate, and
> that must be devastating to you.

I'm not a hater dude, but I *was* right about you running an amp
and a keyclown radio i.e. 2510 or a Lincoln. It may be low level
keyclowning, but it's keyclowning all the same. I still dont understand
what you feel is wrong with a regular CB base station antenna on your
roof. Is it too simple for you?

841. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:25:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2kkgu0167aqqmnhsplgm599jq33nm21hcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 194530

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:09:26 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>> >Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
>> >run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
>> >coax he will use? Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
>> >antenna? He claims he isnt gonna keyclown, but I think he's lying.
>>
>> Oh, are you a mind reader? I only have an amp in my car, and that is only a 100
>> watt amp, and I do pretty well with that. I think it's the smallest amp I can
>> buy. I have no desire to run 1,000 watts or 100 watts at home. If anything, I
>> might get a Lincoln or 2510 for the extra power. But as far as keyclowning, I
>> have no desire to do that.
>>
>> Sorry to disappoint you, but it seems I am one less person for you to hate, and
>> that must be devastating to you.
>
>
>I'm not a hater dude, but I *was* right about you running an amp
>and a keyclown radio i.e. 2510 or a Lincoln.

No you weren't. It's pretty well known that a 10 watt output will be slightly
better than a 4 watt output.

>It may be low level
>keyclowning, but it's keyclowning all the same.

Link please. I want to know the requirements for keyclowning. Please provide
evidence.

FYI, all I own right now for a base, is a newer 4 watt handheld, and the CB my
father gave me in 1983, which can't be modded.

And the only reason I have an amp in the car, is because I drive for a living,
and drive in and out of mountains, and sometimes can't reach a damn trucker 1/2
mile away. And since I can get all over the world with that amp, there is no
reason to get another one.

>I still dont understand
>what you feel is wrong with a regular CB base station antenna on your
>roof. Is it too simple for you?

Well, it's damn ugly. I don't care. But my wife and neighbors might give a shit.
And since I just don't want to deal with that.

Secondly, I would eventually like to go to ham, barefoot again. Much to your
dismay. Whatever the Icoms or Yaesu come with, 100 W peak, that is what I will
run. So the only way to get a mulitband ham antenna is a multiband dipole (as

far as I know). If I run the coax once into the woods, than I can use it when I go to ham.

Vinnie S.

842. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:29:55 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <TBYFd.12755$US.5987@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194531

> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>>Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
>>whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
>>the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
>>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
>>service ground of the residence.
>
>
>
>Why would his coax tie him to the service ground?

Radio antenna connector to radio chassis ground.
Radio chassis ground to radio power plug. Radio power
plug to wall outlet. Wall outlet to service ground.

843. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:41:08 UTC
From: U Know Who <nothere@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <oMYFd.7250$_56.2931@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194532

<antenna_police@com.net> wrote in message
news:TBYFd.12755$US.5987@news.ono.com...
>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>>>Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
>>>whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
>>>the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
>>>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
>>>service ground of the residence.
>>
>>
>>
>>Why would his coax tie him to the service ground?
>
> Radio antenna connector to radio chassis ground.
> Radio chassis ground to radio power plug. Radio power
> plug to wall outlet. Wall outlet to service ground.
>

What if he's using a power supply and mobile radio?

844. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:42:07 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <jNYFd.12757$US.5529@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194533

> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:09:26 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>
>
> >> >Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
> >> >run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
> >> >coax he will use? Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
> >> >antenna? He claims he isnt gonna keyclown, but I think he's lying.
> >>
> >> Oh, are you a mind reader? I only have an amp in my car, and that is only a 100
> >> watt amp, and I do pretty well with that. I think it's the smallest amp I can
> >> buy. I have no desire to run 1,000 watts or 100 watts at home. If anything, I
> >> might get a Lincoln or 2510 for the extra power. But as far as keyclowning, I
> >> have no desire to do that.
> >>
> >> Sorry to disappoint you, but it seems I am one less person for you to hate, and
> >> that must be devastating to you.
> >
> >
> >I'm not a hater dude, but I *was* right about you running an amp
> >and a keyclown radio i.e. 2510 or a Lincoln.
>
> No you weren't. It's pretty well known that a 10 watt output will be slightly
> better than a 4 watt output.
>
> >It may be low level
> >keyclowning, but it's keyclowning all the same.
>
> Link please. I want to know the requirements for keyclowning. Please provide
> evidence.

This NG supplies all the evidence anyone needs, look at people like
steveo and tnom, they are keyclowns, they run out of band and
illegal power.

> FYI, all I own right now for a base, is a newer 4 watt handheld, and the CB my
> father gave me in 1983, which can't be modded.
>
> And the only reason I have an amp in the car, is because I drive for a living,
> and drive in and out of mountains, and sometimes can't reach a damn trucker 1/2
> mile away. And since I can get all over the world with that amp, there is no
> reason to get another one.
>
> >I still dont understand
> >what you feel is wrong with a regular CB base station antenna on your
> >roof. Is it too simple for you?

>
> Well, it's damn ugly. I don't care. But my wife and neighbors might give a shit.
> And since I just don't want to deal with that.

Sure but it's going to get really ugly when you go to ham. There will
be cables everywhere, all kinds of electronic junk in the house, wires
in the trees, plus you will get a really fat stomach, BO, and start complaining
about your health on the air.

> So the only way to get a mulitband ham antenna is a multiband dipole (as
> far as I know). If I run the coax once into the woods, than I can use it when I
> go to ham.

Then google multiband ham antennas and see that running 150 ft
of coax underground is not the way to do it.

845. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:43:16 UTC
From: U Know Who <nothere@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <oOYFd.7260$_56.3228@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194534

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:2kkgu0167aqqmnhsplgm599jq33nm21hcd@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:09:26 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>
>
>>> >Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
>>> >run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
>>> >coax he will use? Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
>>> >antenna? He claims he isnt gonna keyclown, but I think he's lying.
>>>
>>> Oh, are you a mind reader? I only have an amp in my car, and that is
>>> only a 100
>>> watt amp, and I do pretty well with that. I think it's the smallest amp
>>> I can
>>> buy. I have no desire to run 1,000 watts or 100 watts at home. If
>>> anything, I
>>> might get a Lincoln or 2510 for the extra power. But as far as
>>> keyclowning, I
>>> have no desire to do that.
>>>
>>> Sorry to disappoint you, but it seems I am one less person for you to
>>> hate, and
>>> that must be devastating to you.
>>
>>
>>I'm not a hater dude, but I *was* right about you running an amp
>>and a keyclown radio i.e. 2510 or a Lincoln.
>
> No you weren't. It's pretty well known that a 10 watt output will be
> slightly
> better than a 4 watt output.
>
>>It may be low level
>>keyclowning, but it's keyclowning all the same.
>
> Link please. I want to know the requirements for keyclowning. Please
> provide
> evidence.
>
> FYI, all I own right now for a base, is a newer 4 watt handheld, and the
> CB my
> father gave me in 1983, which can't be modded.
>
> And the only reason I have an amp in the car, is because I drive for a

> living,
> and drive in and out of mountains, and sometimes can't reach a damn
> trucker 1/2
> mile away. And since I can get all over the world with that amp, there is
> no
> reason to get another one.
>
>>I still dont understand
>>what you feel is wrong with a regular CB base station antenna on your
>>roof. Is it too simple for you?
>
> Well, it's damn ugly. I don't care. But my wife and neighbors might give a
> shit.
> And since I just don't want to deal with that.
>
> Secondly, I would eventually like to go to ham, barefoot again. Much to
> your
> dismay. Whatever the Icoms or Yaesu come with, 100 W peak, that is what I
> will
> run. So the only way to get a mulitband ham antenna is a multiband dipole
> (as
> far as I know). If I run the coax once into the woods, than I can use it
> when I
> go to ham.
>

You could use a ground mounted vertical. In that case, you'll be all set
with the buried coax.

846. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:45:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <i8mgu0lcmc0od6ebppcegf35v50itc3sfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 194535

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:29:55 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>>>Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
>>>whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
>>>the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
>>>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
>>>service ground of the residence.
>>
>>
>>
>>Why would his coax tie him to the service ground?
>
>Radio antenna connector to radio chassis ground.
>Radio chassis ground to radio power plug. Radio power
>plug to wall outlet. Wall outlet to service ground.

Mobile radio with a power supply. Power supply has a transformer, which isolates
it it from direct connection. The PS is an old RS unit which does not have a
ground on it's plug.

So in essence, you're wrong.

Vinnie S.

847. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:52:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dfmgu0tresvndv5vbgu860gimc0c53uvou@4ax.com>
Source record: 194537

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:42:07 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>> >
>> >I'm not a hater dude, but I *was* right about you running an amp
>> >and a keyclown radio i.e. 2510 or a Lincoln.
>>
>> No you weren't. It's pretty well known that a 10 watt output will be slightly
>> better than a 4 watt output.
>>
>> >It may be low level
>> >keyclowning, but it's keyclowning all the same.
>>
>> Link please. I want to know the requirements for keyclowning. Please provide
>> evidence.
>
>This NG supplies all the evidence anyone needs, look at people like
>steveo and tnom, they are keyclowns, they run out of band and
>illegal power.

Then if it's that simple, stop beating around the bush. Provide the sources.

>> FYI, all I own right now for a base, is a newer 4 watt handheld, and the CB my
>> father gave me in 1983, which can't be modded.
>>
>> And the only reason I have an amp in the car, is because I drive for a living,
>> and drive in and out of mountains, and sometimes can't reach a damn trucker 1/2
>> mile away. And since I can get all over the world with that amp, there is no
>> reason to get another one.
>>
>> >I still dont understand
>> >what you feel is wrong with a regular CB base station antenna on your
>> >roof. Is it too simple for you?
>>
>> Well, it's damn ugly. I don't care. But my wife and neighbors might give a shit.
>> And since I just don't want to deal with that.

>Sure but it's going to get really ugly when you go to ham. There will
>be cables everywhere, all kinds of electronic junk in the house, wires
>in the trees, plus you will get a really fat stomach, BO, and start complaining
>about your health on the air.

No, I will keep it simple. Most setups I see have tons of equipment that is not
even being used.

>> So the only way to get a mulitband ham antenna is a multiband dipole (as
>> far as I know). If I run the coax once into the woods, than I can use it when I

>> go to ham.

>Then google multiband ham antennas and see that running 150 ft
>of coax underground is not the way to do it.

Not what I heard. I heard that is totally dependent on the frequencies you transmit at. Some will have high loss, some will be negilgible.

And this link here, at eham.net, shows many people running even farther runs than I do.

http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/858

Vinnie S.

848. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:52:09 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <JWYFd.12758$US.6663@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194536

> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:29:55 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>
> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> >>>Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
> >>>whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
> >>>the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
> >>>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
> >>>service ground of the residence.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>Why would his coax tie him to the service ground?
> >
> >Radio antenna connector to radio chassis ground.
> >Radio chassis ground to radio power plug. Radio power
> >plug to wall outlet. Wall outlet to service ground.
>
>
> Mobile radio with a power supply. Power supply has a transformer, which isolates
> it it from direct connection. The PS is an old RS unit which does not have a
> ground on it's plug.
>
> So in essence, you're wrong.
>
> Vinnie S.

sigh. Look at a schematic sometime. The PS transformer ain't isolating
anything from ground, dude.

849. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:54:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5smgu0ht85p4dnkpglustegmhc74evav6f@4ax.com>
Source record: 194538

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:43:16 GMT, "U Know Who" <nothere@nowhere.com> wrote:

>
>You could use a ground mounted vertical. In that case, you'll be all set
>with the buried coax.
>

I see a tree that should work well. I will take a measure to it. The ground is rather wet there, so I think grounding it there will be ideal.

Vinnie S.

850. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:55:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114185515.334$Nr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194539

antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> Sure but it's going to get really ugly when you go to ham. There will
> be cables everywhere, all kinds of electronic junk in the house, wires
> in the trees, plus you will get a really fat stomach, BO, and start
> complaining about your health on the air.
>
Like this:

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html hehe

851. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-14 23:57:51 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rumgu01hgi07rga36pkd6603vrg9hsg6fe@4ax.com>
Source record: 194540

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:52:09 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:29:55 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>>
>> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>> >>>Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
>> >>>whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
>> >>>the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
>> >>>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
>> >>>service ground of the residence.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Why would his coax tie him to the service ground?
>> >
>> >Radio antenna connector to radio chassis ground.
>> >Radio chassis ground to radio power plug. Radio power
>> >plug to wall outlet. Wall outlet to service ground.
>>
>>
>> Mobile radio with a power supply. Power supply has a transformer, which isolates
>> it it from direct connection. The PS is an old RS unit which does not have a
>> ground on it's plug.
>>
>> So in essence, you're wrong.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>sigh. Look at a schematic sometime. The PS transformer ain't isolating
>*anything* from ground, dude.

Actually, I did that before I posted, to be sure. The transformer is not connected to ground. I will gladly take a picture and email it to you if you want. There is no ground plug on the AC plug.

I am not going to get into name calling with you. I will appreciate your help if you try to help me. But if you want to belittle me, then let the others try and help me.

Vinnie S.

852. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 00:00:35 UTC
From: U Know Who <nothere@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <D2ZFd.4945$Z%.3260@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194542

<antenna_police@com.net> wrote in message
news:JWYFd.12758$US.6663@news.ono.com...
>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:29:55 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>>
>> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>> >>>Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire
>> >>>or
>> >>>whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
>> >>>the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
>> >>>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
>> >>>service ground of the residence.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Why would his coax tie him to the service ground?
>> >
>> >Radio antenna connector to radio chassis ground.
>> >Radio chassis ground to radio power plug. Radio power
>> >plug to wall outlet. Wall outlet to service ground.
>>
>>
>> Mobile radio with a power supply. Power supply has a transformer, which
>> isolates
>> it it from direct connection. The PS is an old RS unit which does not
>> have a
>> ground on it's plug.
>>
>> So in essence, you're wrong.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> sigh. Look at a schematic sometime. The PS transformer ain't isolating
> *anything* from ground, dude.
>
>

On a 2 wire system, the case has to be isolated. On a 3 wire system, the
case is tied to ground, and not the neutral. Can YOU read a schematic?

853. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 00:00:40 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <t5ngu05ugdvfagkmn88g2il95o6223lk3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 194541

On 14 Jan 2005 23:55:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

>antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>> Sure but it's going to get really ugly when you go to ham. There will
>> be cables everywhere, all kinds of electronic junk in the house, wires
>> in the trees, plus you will get a really fat stomach, BO, and start
>> complaining about your health on the air.
>>
>Like this:
>
>http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html hehe

Who is that? That dude live pretty close to his neighbors. I only have one close neighbor, about 100 feet away. My other neighbors are about 300 or more feet away.

BTW moped, do you have my new email? I can email it to you.

Vinnie S.

854. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 00:01:37 UTC
From: U Know Who <nothere@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <B3ZFd.4946$Z%.795@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194543

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050114185515.334$Nr@newsreader.com...
> antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>> Sure but it's going to get really ugly when you go to ham. There will
>> be cables everywhere, all kinds of electronic junk in the house, wires
>> in the trees, plus you will get a really fat stomach, BO, and start
>> complaining about your health on the air.
>>
> Like this:
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html hehe

Nah, that's just plain nasty.

855. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 00:02:46 UTC
From: U Know Who <nothere@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <G4ZFd.4947$Z%.4267@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194444

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:5smgu0ht85p4dnkpglustegmhc74evav6f@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:43:16 GMT, "U Know Who" <nothere@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>You could use a ground mounted vertical. In that case, you'll be all set
>>with the buried coax.
>>
>
>
> I see a tree that should work well. I will take a measure to it. The
> ground is
> rather wet there, so I think grounding it there will be ideal.
>
> Vinnie S.

The ground mounted vertical I was talking about would be a multi-band,
trapped vertical.

856. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 00:05:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114190526.156$1z@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194446

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 14 Jan 2005 23:55:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> >> Sure but it's going to get really ugly when you go to ham. There will
> >> be cables everywhere, all kinds of electronic junk in the house, wires
> >> in the trees, plus you will get a really fat stomach, BO, and start
> >> complaining about your health on the air.
> >>
> >Like this:
> >
> >http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html hehe
>
> Who is that? That dude live pretty close to his neighbors. I only have
> one close neighbor, about 100 feet away. My other neighbors are about
> 300 or more feet away.
>
> BTW moped, do you have my new email? I can email it to you.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I don't think I do, send it.

857. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 00:06:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114190605.635$1g@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194445

"U Know Who" <nothere@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050114185515.334$Nr@newsreader.com...
> > antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> >> Sure but it's going to get really ugly when you go to ham. There will
> >> be cables everywhere, all kinds of electronic junk in the house, wires
> >> in the trees, plus you will get a really fat stomach, BO, and start
> >> complaining about your health on the air.
> >>
> > Like this:
> >
> > http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html hehe
>
> Nah, that's just plain nasty.
>
Yea..exterme case of fugly.

858. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 00:10:51 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <fcZFd.12760$US.10620@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194447

> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:52:09 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>
> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:29:55 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> >>
> >> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> >> >>>Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
> >> >>>whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
> >> >>>the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
> >> >>>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
> >> >>>service ground of the residence.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>Why would his coax tie him to the service ground?
> >> >
> >> >Radio antenna connector to radio chassis ground.
> >> >Radio chassis ground to radio power plug. Radio power
> >> >plug to wall outlet. Wall outlet to service ground.
> >>
> >>
> >> Mobile radio with a power supply. Power supply has a transformer, which isolates
> >> it it from direct connection. The PS is an old RS unit which does not have a
> >> ground on it's plug.
> >>
> >> So in essence, you're wrong.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >sigh. Look at a schematic sometime. The PS transformer ain't isolating
> >*anything* from ground, dude.
>
> Actually, I did that before I posted, to be sure. The transformer is not
> connected to ground. I will gladly take a picture and email it to you if you
> want. There is no ground plug on the AC plug.

OK, since your radio is not connected to service ground, stick a fork into
one hole of your wall outlet, the hot side. Hang on and grab your radio
chassis with the other hand. Let me know how it goes.

> I am not going to get into name calling with you. I will appreciate your help if
> you try to help me. But if you want to belittle me, then let the others try and
> help me.

I am trying to save you from wearing size 50 pants, having body odor and
complaining about your medical problems on 75 meters. Just get

a base station cb antenna, plug it in and forget it.

859. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 00:16:25 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <tungu0pcgsadsbl0avho9etqhrf8lfjmt0@4ax.com>
Source record: 194448

On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 00:10:51 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>OK, since your radio is not connected to service ground, stick a fork into
>one hole of your wall outlet, the hot side. Hang on and grab your radio
>chassis with the other hand. Let me know how it goes.

Well, according to the schematic I am looking at, nothing will happen.

>> I am not going to get into name calling with you. I will appreciate your help if
>> you try to help me. But if you want to belittle me, then let the others try and
>> help me.
>
>I am trying to save you from wearing size 50 pants, having body odor and
>complaining about your medical problems on 75 meters. Just get
>a base station cb antenna, plug it in and forget it.

The pants won't be a problem. Fat doesn't run in the family. And my diet will
not allow me to become fat. Secondly, it's for most of the winter month,
especially with this crap called reality TV. Most of the year I won't be on. And
judging by the sunspot cycle, by the time I get a ham to catch the next cycle,
will be 5 or so years.

Vinnie S.

860. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 01:03:02 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <gdqgu0dlj1spli3qt5o4cm5oep9q0bu5ut@4ax.com>
Source record: 194449

>This NG supplies all the evidence anyone needs, look at people like
>steveo and tnom, they are keyclowns, they run out of band and
>illegal power.

The only legit thing that is evident in this group is that your
postings are always based on your false assumptions.

You don't have a clue as to who I am or what I do. Your assertion
that I run out of band with illegal power is false and it only further
supports that fact that you continue to be clueless.

tnom

861. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 01:22:55 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <Pf_Fd.12763$US.6836@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194450

> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:52:09 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>
> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 23:29:55 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> >>
> >> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> >> >>>Yeah, but it's only a partial crock of shit. The rain gutters or wire or
> >> >>>whatever are only half the dipole so the house wiring will become
> >> >>>the other active half and they will radiate. He grounds his tuner to
> >> >>>a ground rod, but also his coax to the radio will tie him in to the
> >> >>>service ground of the residence.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>Why would his coax tie him to the service ground?
> >> >
> >> >Radio antenna connector to radio chassis ground.
> >> >Radio chassis ground to radio power plug. Radio power
> >> >plug to wall outlet. Wall outlet to service ground.
> >>
> >>
> >> Mobile radio with a power supply. Power supply has a transformer, which isolates
> >> it it from direct connection. The PS is an old RS unit which does not have a
> >> ground on it's plug.
> >>
> >> So in essence, you're wrong.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >sigh. Look at a schematic sometime. The PS transformer ain't isolating
> >*anything* from ground, dude.
> >
>
> Anything? Are you sure about that?

OK you got me on a technicalty. Next look for any words misspelled.

862. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 01:45:08 UTC
From: antenna_police@com.net
Message-ID: <EA_Fd.12764$US.744@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194451

> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:t5ngu05ugdvfagkmn88g2il95o6223lk3k@4ax.com:
>
> > On 14 Jan 2005 23:55:15 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>antenna_police@com.net wrote:
> >>> Sure but it's going to get really ugly when you go to ham. There
> >>> will be cables everywhere, all kinds of electronic junk in the
> >>> house, wires in the trees, plus you will get a really fat stomach,
> >>> BO, and start complaining about your health on the air.
> >>>
> >>Like this:
> >>
> >>http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html hehe
> >
> >
> > Who is that? That dude live pretty close to his neighbors. I only have
> > one close neighbor, about 100 feet away. My other neighbors are about
> > 300 or more feet away.
> >
> > BTW moped, do you have my new email? I can email it to you.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
>
> Hey Vinnie stop being such a dildo about putting up an antenna, either
> ground mount a vertical or put a friggin wire in the attic. I have never
> seen a thread go on like this over a stupid fucking dipole antenna. This
> is fucking america who cares if your neighbors dont like the antenna are
> you that much of a pussy, do you like everything they have on thier
> homes. Did they get your approval. How long before the amp comes out of
> the car and is hooked up in the house?? Not long Ill bet so if they dont
> see the anteann they wont blame Vinnie.

Exactly right itoldyouiamnotgeorge, you da man. The reason
this thread is going on so long is steveo and the other
keyclowns telling the guy to bury his dipole under a stream
by his house or some shit like that. And yes I agree the neighbors
are gonna hear him once his amp comes in the house which is
probably why he wants a hidden antenna LOL

863. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 02:16:05 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <cs9udi01pn1@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 194455

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:qh9du092vl7u6djdguhce5fvrieghp3uqn@4ax.com...
> Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
> noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious
reasons. So
> there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off
using
> barefoot?
>
> Vinnie S.

If you don't want to mess with punching a few holes in your roof, then go
with a tower.
You'd only need 5 10 foot sections at the most.
Rohn 25 towers are simple and quick to put together.
You can find used ones dirt cheap at practically any "ham fest".

With such a small base, 18" or so, setting the tower in a cement filled hole
is a snap.
You could even use a foldup section with it.
We've lifted 40ft upright with no trouble.
Under 60 feet, you don't even need guy wires.
But one set at 40 ft might not be a bad idea.
Set it up next to the house and you don't need to worry about trenching the
coax.

If you go the tree route, and trench the coax, put it in conduit or even
some pvc pipe.
And for 150 feet, I'd use Rg8, not 58.

864. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 02:27:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050114212701.710$GW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194452

antenna_police@com.net wrote:
>The reason
> this thread is going on so long is steveo and the other
> keyclowns telling the guy to bury his dipole under a stream
> by his house or some shit like that.
>
Look under the stream for your brain, aol-tard.

865. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 02:30:42 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <uuvgu0hde9nec8g20u0qld050lep597sgc@4ax.com>
Source record: 194453

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:22:13 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>>>http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html hehe
>>
>>
>> Who is that? That dude live pretty close to his neighbors. I only have
>> one close neighbor, about 100 feet away. My other neighbors are about
>> 300 or more feet away.
>>
>> BTW moped, do you have my new email? I can email it to you.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>
>Hey Vinnie stop being such a dildo about putting up an antenna, either
>ground mount a vertical or put a friggin wire in the attic. I have never
>seen a thread go on like this over a stupid fucking dipole antenna.

And of course, you put in your 2 cents.

>This
>is fucking america who cares if your neighbors dont like the antenna are
>you that much of a pussy, do you like everything they have on thier
>homes.

Well, I am considerate, unlike yourself. If you want to smell like shit, go
ahead. This is America.

>Did they get your approval. How long before the map comes out of
>the car and is hooked up in the house?? Not long Ill bet so if they dont
>see the anteann they wont blame Vinnie.

English is not your strong point.

Vinnie S.

866. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 02:31:42 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <p30hu0lrst7jtec07al9kbsfsk6181kmq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 194454

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 20:03:02 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>
>>This NG supplies all the evidence anyone needs, look at people like
>>steveo and tnom, they are keyclowns, they run out of band and
>>illegal power.
>
>The only legit thing that is evident in this group is that your
>postings are always based on your false assumptions.
>
>You don't have a clue as to who I am or what I do. Your assertion
>that I run out of band with illegal power is false and it only further
>supports that fact that you continue to be clueless.
>
> tnom

I have noticed he always makes calims, and then wants everyone else to prove it
for him.

Vinnie S.

867. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 02:37:47 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <pc0hu0ph2d0k4cpfe9lk5ahnmd1hu973mc@4ax.com>
Source record: 194456

On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 01:45:08 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>Exactly right itoldyouiamnotgeorge, you da man. The reason
>this thread is going on so long is steveo and the other
>keyclowns telling the guy to bury his dipole under a stream
>by his house or some shit like that. And yes I agree the neighbors
>are gonna hear him once his amp comes in the house which is
>probably why he wants a hidden antenna LOL

Oh. Which part of putting it in a tree, did you not understand? After all that info you provided about putting it in a tree, and now you are claiming I want a hidden antenna? hmmm.

Vinnie S.

868. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 02:40:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <3h0hu052l3rpaduoo6n680kbp6nouaf918@4ax.com>
Source record: 194457

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 20:16:05 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote:

>
>If you go the tree route, and trench the coax, put it in conduit or even
>some pvc pipe.
>And for 150 feet, I'd use Rg8, not 58.

This is the route I am going to go long term, because I want to go ham eventually. In the meantime, I will stick something like a Firestik in the attc with some wires to form a ground plain. And no, I am not hooking up an amp. And if I was, I would tell you I would. I already have one in my car. I am not getting one for my house.

Vinnie S.

869. Re: FA: Aluminum Slope-Top Project Box NOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 03:28:38 UTC
From: JOE <"Joe HATESspam"@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1105760573.11d6279fae8fb02f3e2709c812ba0611@meganetnews2>
Source record: 194458
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

> Not hard to find. I have 4 in my cache.
>
> Al

Well aren't you the special one today. You won't be
bidding I assume. Glad to hear it Al. Have a great
day, and do enjoy your project boxes, Al, ok?

JOE

870. FA: Cobra 2000GTL SSB CB base station with matching speaker (ends Saturday)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 03:56:28 UTC
From: Joe <joencREMOVETHISTOREPLY@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <c15hu0dfk7nugc550musrcl26ppbhj9h80@4ax.com>
Source record: 194459
Cross-posted to: triangle.radio,rec.radio.cb,triangle.forsale

For auction on eBay: Cobra 2000GTL with matching speaker and microphone.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5743065385

Auction ends Saturday night.

871. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 05:50:03 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <6_CdnVFhPeWQMnXcRVn-oA@comcast.com>
Source record: 194460

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:3h0hu052l3rpaduoo6n680kbp6nouaf918@4ax.com...
> This is the route I am going to go long term, because I want to go ham
> eventually. In the meantime, I will stick something like a Firestik in the
attc
> with some wires to form a ground plain. And no, I am not hooking up an
amp.

Check the following link out. The idea looks interesting. They're not cheap
but it looks like want you want; small - no ground plane required - low
profile. They have several models available, Ham bands and one for 11m CB
too.

http://www.wimo.de/anteh_e.htm

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

872. Re: My N8WWM Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 07:18:19 UTC
From: neds helper <neds_helper@raycist.com.au>
Message-ID: <%s3Gd.119208$K7.66054@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194461
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking,aus.culture.true-blue

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050111201004.496$0G

-----snip---------

nothing relivant to aus.culture.true-blue

piss of trollop

or ned will see to it that you lose your account

873. Re: Steveo LOVES Jew-nigger Cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 07:26:48 UTC
From: neds helper <ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Message-ID: <YA3Gd.119223$K7.55143@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194462
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.disasters.aviation,alt.usenet.kooks,aus.culture.true-blue

"moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message

------snip-------

nota thing relivant to act-b

piss of racist troll

874. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 07:32:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <onhhu01nko116lpatn1d1v3qj04fg7k8he@4ax.com>
Source record: 194463

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 17:40:52 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <2higu0hjmje70a0g0dlg7qujkluhq0ecpt@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>If the wire will work just as good, I wil do that.

It will work fine. Just make sure all the wires have a good connection
to the shield of the coax.

<snip>
>>I wouldn't even mess with running a coax out that far. It's just not
>>worth the effort. You would be better off using what you have around
>>the house. Got a flagpole?
>
>
>No. And I can't put one in front of my house, because the septic drain field is
>there.

Septic fields have very low ground resistance and make for great
outdoor antennas. They are also an ideal place to sink a couple ground
rods for lightning protection..... something you shouldn't ignore even
with an indoor antenna.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

875. Re: Mopeydopey whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 11:07:39 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105787259.905360.76650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194464

```
Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > > U Know Who wrote:
> > >
> > >>"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > >
> > > message
> > >
> > >>news:1105505751.243992.89500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >>
> > >>>Steveo wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>>You -is- sick, Doug.
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>I guess she IS walking the dog!
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
> > >>>>> [46240 bytes]
> > >>>>>end
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
> > >>>>> [31915 bytes]
> > >>>>>end
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>_____________________________________
> > >>>>>Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > >>>>>More than 120,000 groups
> > >>>>>Unlimited download
> > >>>>>http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> > >>>
> > >>>And you have come HOW much closer to shutting the AKC down?
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >>Whatever fruitloop. You like to pretend the AKC is more than you.
> > >
> > > It's not,
> > >
> > >>well....it could be. Maybe another of your fucked up persona, but
it
> > >
```

> > > is NOT
> > >
> > >>an organized anything. You sit there in your messed up shithole,
up
> > >
> > > all
> > >
> > >>night, spewing your filth, changing names, using offshore Usenet
> > >
> > > services,
> > >
> > >>forging, surfing gay porn sites, foaming at the mouth, and
banging on
> > >
> > > your
> > >
> > >>keyboard while deluding yourself into believing you have done
> > >
> > > something. Not
> > >
> > >>a pretty picture is it? You are truly one fucked up individual.
No
> > >
> > > one cares
> > >
> > >>to shut the AKC(you) down. YOU are pathetic and YOU don't matter.
> > >
> > >
> > > Nope, you'd like to think that, but it aint so. The only name I
post
> > > under is right here. 100% of all posts that appear to come from
anyone
> > > but this nick is/are someone else.
> > >
> > > As for the AKC not mattering, HA! It made you bark and whine
through
> > > your daze, crackhead.
> > >
> > Do you approve of the picture posted at the beginning of this
thread?
> >
> Are you kidding? He posts them.

Wrong, buttsniffer. The only freak here doing stuff like that is you. I
did not post anything. However, if it is something that got stuck in
your craw I will heartily laugh when I see it.

Your problem is you are fixated. Trapped in your lust for me, you
cannot comprehend that other people also think you are a mentally
screwed up hunk of keyclown garbage.

876. Re: My N8WWM Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 11:51:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115065126.255$HI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194465
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking,aus.culture.true-blue

"neds helper" <neds_helper@raycist.com.au> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050111201004.496$0G
>
> -----snip---------
>
> nothing relivant to aus.culture.true-blue
>
> piss of trollop
>
> or ned will see to it that you lose your account
>
Stop flooding alt.dogie.is.an.idiot with OT crap!

877. Re: Mopeydopey whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 11:54:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115065413.551$KI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > Are you kidding? He posts them.
>
> Wrong, buttsniffer.
>
Lying coward.

878. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 12:26:30 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@nobody.com>
Message-ID: <ru2iu050un4cicivibppc4hpronsct47dp@4ax.com>
Source record: 194467

On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:40:56 GMT, antenna_police@com.net wrote:

>> On 14 Jan 2005 14:40:43 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>> I think Mrs. Enzo will get pissed. Hiding it out in the trees would be great.
>> Plus I don't know if there are any local ordinances regarding a mast.
>>
>> Frank presented another problem. The damn Ground hogs, moles, squirrels, will
>> eat my coax.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Vinnie, Frank, pay attention. He is going to do a buried 150 ft coax
>run to the tree, right? What's the velocity factor of RG8 or of the
>coax he will use? Do the math, how much of his 4w out will reach the
>antenna? He claims he isnt gonna keyclown, but I think he's lying.
>
>
>
>
>
You think everybody is lying and is a keydown

879. N8WWM..child abuser..felon...repeater jammer..ordered to see head shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 13:34:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115083431.729$7o_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194468
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>other people also think you are a mentally
> screwed up hunk of keyclown garbage.
>
You have a lot of nerve calling anyone mentally screwed up, Doug.

Questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

880. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 13:41:32 UTC
From: U Know Who <nothere@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <g49Gd.9645$Z%.3524@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194469

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:uuvgu0hde9nec8g20u0qld050lep597sgc@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 19:22:13 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
>>>>http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html hehe
>>>
>>>
>>> Who is that? That dude live pretty close to his neighbors. I only have
>>> one close neighbor, about 100 feet away. My other neighbors are about
>>> 300 or more feet away.
>>>
>>> BTW moped, do you have my new email? I can email it to you.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>
>>Hey Vinnie stop being such a dildo about putting up an antenna, either
>>ground mount a vertical or put a friggin wire in the attic. I have never
>>seen a thread go on like this over a stupid fucking dipole antenna.
>
> And of course, you put in your 2 cents.
>
>>This
>>is fucking america who cares if your neighbors dont like the antenna are
>>you that much of a pussy, do you like everything they have on thier
>>homes.
>
> Well, I am considerate, unlike yourself. If you want to smell like shit,
> go
> ahead. This is America.
>
>>Did they get your approval. How long before the map comes out of
>>the car and is hooked up in the house?? Not long Ill bet so if they dont
>>see the anteann they wont blame Vinnie.
>
> English is not your strong point.
>

It's his second language.

>
>
> Vinnie S.

881. Re: My N8WWM Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 13:56:21 UTC
From: neds helper <nedbot@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Message-ID: <9i9Gd.119656$K7.8524@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking,aus.culture.true-blue,alt.disasters.aviation,alt.usenet.kooks,not.alt.dogie.is.a.idiot

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050115065126.255$Hl@newsreader.com...
> "neds helper" <neds_helper@raycist.com.au> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050111201004.496$0G
>>
>> -----snip---------
>>
>> nothing relivant to aus.culture.true-blue
>>
>> piss of trollop
>>
>> or ned will see to it that you lose your account
>>
> Stop flooding alt.dogie.is.an.idiot with OT crap!

sorry, neds helper makes mistakes too

I will take alt.gogie.is.a.idiot out of the loop

----------neds helper snips himself----------

882. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:05:51 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <v6ciu0holi8l16f9c0q3oslmu4es4hvgkp@4ax.com>
Source record: 194471

On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>>
>>> Who is that? That dude live pretty close to his neighbors. I only have
>>> one close neighbor, about 100 feet away. My other neighbors are about
>>> 300 or more feet away.
>>>
>>> BTW moped, do you have my new email? I can email it to you.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>
>>Hey Vinnie stop being such a dildo about putting up an antenna, either
>>ground mount a vertical or put a friggin wire in the attic. I have never
>>seen a thread go on like this over a stupid fucking dipole antenna. This
>>is fucking america who cares if your neighbors dont like the antenna are
>>you that much of a pussy, do you like everything they have on thier
>>homes. Did they get your approval. How long before the map comes out of
>>the car and is hooked up in the house?? Not long Ill bet so if they dont
>>see the anteann they wont blame Vinnie.
>
>I thought the same thing after his 2nd or 3rd post...

Well, I figured on 2 or 3 posts myself. But everyone had conflicting ideas.

>Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?

Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.

Vinnie S.

883. Re: My N8WWM Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:18:57 UTC
From: "Bertie the Bunyip", XZXZX
Message-ID: <Xns95DF9D2D7B6DZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.45>
Source record: 194472
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking,aus.culture.true-blue,alt.disasters.aviation,alt.usenet.kooks

"neds helper" <nedbot@arthur.valhalla.oz>
sednews:9i9Gd.119656$K7.8524@news-server.bigpond.net.au:

>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050115065126.255$Hl@newsreader.com...
>> "neds helper" <neds_helper@raycist.com.au> wrote:
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050111201004.496$0G
>>>
>>> -----snip---------
>>>
>>> nothing relivant to aus.culture.true-blue
>>>
>>> piss of trollop
>>>
>>> or ned will see to it that you lose your account
>>>
>> Stop flooding alt.dogie.is.an.idiot with OT crap!
>
> sorry, neds helper makes mistakes too
>
> I will take alt.gogie.is.a.idiot out of the loop
>
>
> -----------neds helper snips himself----------------

Careful with that axe, eugene.

Bertie

884. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:27:20 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <sDaGd.10764$wZ2.2425@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194473

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050114130340.093$KV@newsreader.com...
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>> >�f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,
>> >�f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,
>> >�f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,
>> >WTF is that, Twist!? :D _
>> �f�?s�,� �f�?s�,You mean you don't know? Email me,,,webtv is foolproof
>> when quoting certain posters and only certain usenet access services
>> leave such marks. Call it internet fingerprints.
>>
>> Like this?
>>
> Is �f�?s�,� Chinese for Lancer? :)

It's "code" ;) LOL!!

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

885. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:27:20 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <sDaGd.10765$wZ2.2744@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194474

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050114132336.503$uL@newsreader.com...
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>> >> Not working today Steve?
>> >>
>> >Working in the office.
>>
>> Bad day for working in the office here, nice and sunny..supposed to
>> get cold this weekend...
>>
> More strange weather up here..67 degrees yesterday 20 today, with a
> brisk wind chill..brrrrr Good day to be inside!

Finally stopped raining & snowing, but still cold
for this time of the year.

Landshark

--



886. Re: My N8WWM Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:40:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115104058.628$bh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking,aus.culture.true-blue,alt.disasters.aviation,alt.usenet.kooks,not.alt.dogie.is.a.idiot

"neds helper" <nedbot@arthur.valhalla.oz> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050115065126.255$Hl@newsreader.com...
> > "neds helper" <neds_helper@raycist.com.au> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050111201004.496$0G
> >>
> >> -----snip---------
> >>
> >> nothing relivant to aus.culture.true-blue
> >>
> >> piss of trollop
> >>
> >> or ned will see to it that you lose your account
> >>
> > Stop flooding alt.dogie.is.an.idiot with OT crap!
>
> sorry, neds helper makes mistakes too
>
> I will take alt.gogie.is.a.idiot out of the loop
>
> -----------neds helper snips himself----------------
>
Thank you, Neds helper. Have a nice weekend.

887. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:48:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115104800.708$PM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194476

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?
>
> Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.
>
Let's put this up, Mr Enzo!

http://www.qsl.net/k7hg/Images/antenna.jpg

888. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:49:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115104954.507$sV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194477

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> > More strange weather up here..67 degrees yesterday 20 today, with a
> > brisk wind chill..brrrrr Good day to be inside!
>
> Finally stopped raining & snowing, but still cold
> for this time of the year.
>
> Landshark
>
Let it snow, let it snow, let it snow! :D

889. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:50:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115105053.897$se@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194478

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050114130340.093$KV@newsreader.com...
> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> >> >f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s,
> >> >f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s,
> >> >f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s, f?s,
> >> >f?s, f?s, f?s, WTF is that, Twist!? :D _
> >> f?s, f?s,You mean you don't know? Email me,,,webtv is foolproof
> >> when quoting certain posters and only certain usenet access services
> >> leave such marks. Call it internet fingerprints.
> >>
> >> Like this?
> >>
> > Is f?s, Chinese for Lancer? :)
>
> It's "code" ;) LOL!!
>
> Landshark
>
Usenet code..what's next!?

890. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:54:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115105458.468$OR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194479

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:p30hu0lrst7jtec07al9kbsfsk6181kmq6@4ax.com:
>
> > On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 20:03:02 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>>This NG supplies all the evidence anyone needs, look at people like
> >>>steveo and tnom, they are keyclowns, they run out of band and
> >>>illegal power.
> >>
> >>The only legit thing that is evident in this group is that your
> >>postings are always based on your false assumptions.
> >>
> >>You don't have a clue as to who I am or what I do. Your assertion
> >>that I run out of band with illegal power is false and it only further
> >>supports that fact that you continue to be clueless.
> >>
> >> tnom
> >
> >
> > I have noticed he always makes calims, and then wants everyone else to
> > prove it for him.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> I guess English isn't your first language you hypocrite assclown.
>
Cement shoes is the lingo Enzo speaks.

891. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:56:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115105606.149$CI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194480

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 15 Jan 2005 15:48:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?
> >>
> >> Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.
> >>
> >Let's put this up, Mr Enzo!
> >
> >http://www.qsl.net/k7hg/Images/antenna.jpg
>
> Now could you hide that in an attic?
>
It comes with its own attic!
```

892. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 15:56:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115105654.435$UO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194481

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 15 Jan 2005 15:49:54 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> >> > More strange weather up here..67 degrees yesterday 20 today, with a
> >> > brisk wind chill..brrrrr Good day to be inside!
> >>
> >> Finally stopped raining & snowing, but still cold
> >> for this time of the year.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> >Let it snow, let it snow, let it snow! :D
>
> Wish it would do that down here.. I'm tired of looking at a brown
> lawn..
>
Same here, the floods washed all the snow away..

893. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 16:08:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115110837.611$64@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194482

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 15 Jan 2005 15:56:06 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 15 Jan 2005 15:48:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> >Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?
> >> >>
> >> >> Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.
> >> >>
> >> >Let's put this up, Mr Enzo!
> >> >
> >> >http://www.qsl.net/k7hg/Images/antenna.jpg
> >>
> >> Now could you hide that in an attic?
> >>
> >It comes with its own attic!
>
> Wouldn't work, Vinnie's neighbors would notice a new attic on his
> house..
>
LOL! Damn busy bodies!
```

894. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 16:17:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115111703.636$uo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194483

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 15 Jan 2005 16:08:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 15 Jan 2005 15:56:06 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> On 15 Jan 2005 15:48:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> >> >> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com>
> >> >> >> wrote:
> >> >> >> >Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>>Let's put this up, Mr Enzo!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>>http://www.qsl.net/k7hg/Images/antenna.jpg
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Now could you hide that in an attic?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>>It comes with its own attic!
> >> >>
> >> >> Wouldn't work, Vinnie's neighbors would notice a new attic on his
> >> >> house..
> >> >>
> >> >>>LOL! Damn busy bodies!
> >>
> >> No crap, you figure if you lived on a ranch the neighbors would be
> >> busy looking at your horses..
> >>
> >I live in a ranch style home..no neighbors.
> >
> So are you stuck inside again today?
>
Well it's 7 degrees in the wind, a little chilly for kite flying. I'm doing
some equipment research on the net..spring really ain't that far away.

Are you stuck inside?

895. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 16:32:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115113258.542$cP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194484

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> Seen Fat Albert yet?
>
No, but I liked that toon when I was a youngin'.

896. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 16:43:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115114321.699$rT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194485

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 15 Jan 2005 16:32:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> Seen Fat Albert yet?
> >>
> >No, but I liked that toon when I was a youngin'.
>
> You would like the movie then... its based on the cartoon show..
>
Hey Hey Hey!! Who plays FA?

897. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 16:53:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <3jiiu0pcv7p407a4l2e6tsrbm1ntn76o1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 194486

On 15 Jan 2005 15:48:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?
>>
>> Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.
>>
>Let's put this up, Mr Enzo!
>
>http://www.qsl.net/k7hg/Images/antenna.jpg

I can build a house around those.

Vinnie S.

898. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 16:55:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115115526.459$6r@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194487

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 15 Jan 2005 15:48:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?
> >>
> >> Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.
> >>
> >Let's put this up, Mr Enzo!
> >
> >http://www.qsl.net/k7hg/Images/antenna.jpg
>
> I can build a house around those.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Just call the house your shack..invite the neighbors over for a beer and an
x-ray!

899. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 17:07:43 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <0hjiu05a5f5rkrsk8cpb3vrm4aktod52gu@4ax.com>
Source record: 194488

On 15 Jan 2005 16:43:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 15 Jan 2005 16:32:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> Seen Fat Albert yet?
>> >>
>> >No, but I liked that toon when I was a youngin'.
>>
>> You would like the movie then... its based on the cartoon show..
>>
>Hey Hey Hey!! Who plays FA?

Kenan Thompson, never heard of him before.. He does a good job..

Its a trip through Cosby's gang getting old...

900. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 17:11:15 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <qkjiu05fi113fke8d2r1f1p99cjv5rkgen@4ax.com>
Source record: 194489

On 15 Jan 2005 16:55:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 15 Jan 2005 15:48:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> >Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?
>> >>
>> >> Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.
>> >>
>> >Let's put this up, Mr Enzo!
>> >
>> >http://www.qsl.net/k7hg/Images/antenna.jpg
>>
>> I can build a house around those.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Just call the house your shack..invite the neighbors over for a beer and an
>x-ray!

That used to really piss my mom off when I called my radio/bedroom my
shack..

901. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 17:23:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050115122346.404$3W@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194490

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 15 Jan 2005 16:55:26 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 15 Jan 2005 15:48:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On Sat, 15 Jan 2005 13:24:20 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> >> >Maybe we should go over there and build one for him?
> >> >>
> >> >> Judging the posts by you 3, no thanks.
> >> >>
> >> >Let's put this up, Mr Enzo!
> >> >
> >> >http://www.qsl.net/k7hg/Images/antenna.jpg
> >>
> >> I can build a house around those.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Just call the house your shack..invite the neighbors over for a beer and
> >an x-ray!
>
> That used to really piss my mom off when I called my radio/bedroom my
> shack..
>
Hey it kept you off the streets..could have been worse!

902. Re: FA: Aluminum Slope-Top Project Box NOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 17:52:07 UTC
From: Al <no.spam@wanted.com>
Message-ID: <no.spam-41A462.12520915012005@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 194491
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

In article <1105760573.11d6279fae8fb02f3e2709c812ba0611@meganetnews2>,
"JOE" <Joe HATESspam@hotmail.com> wrote:

> > Not hard to find. I have 4 in my cache.
> >
> > Al
>
> Well aren't you the special one today. You won't be
> bidding I assume. Glad to hear it Al. Have a great
> day, and do enjoy your project boxes, Al, ok?
>
> JOE
>
>

Sure do, already used 3 of the seven I started with.

Al

903. Mopey fairily obeys his programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 18:39:13 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105814352.982175.280500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194492

Steveo meweled like the owned toy he is:
Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.
His Master replies:

My bowl is meerschaum, yours is Petro toilet

904. Re: My Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 18:43:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105814612.622129.67350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

I don't make you post anything, sissy ass. You are too obsessed with the idea of keyclown lust, that's your problem.

Nobody makes anyone do anything. Least of all you making the AKC do anything. Your problem is that all the squirrel turds and trucker weenies have diseased your turd (brain) so badly.

"Don't make you do this, Don't make you do that..." All you do is make us laugh.

What a fairy. An impotenet little sissy ass who can't stop a NG from being controlled.

905. Mopedopuke slurps up more of his own spoor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 18:47:39 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105814859.832245.316260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194544

I think we will have to start a NG called

alt.mopey.controlledbyAKC.heresproof

Thank you sissy. If you were a female your behaviour would be so
perfect I'd have to callya a fucktoy.

906. LOOK AT THIS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 19:48:42 UTC
From: matippc@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1105818522.669563.7350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194545

I swear it works! I just did it, and it worked!!!

Instructions:
Please read this over completely.

It is extremely powerful and will pay you very well.
This is not MLM or a ponzi scheme or gifting.
All funds come from the company's advertisers so
You Pay Nothing! -- not one penny.
The company pays you to promote it -- you don't pay them.
It doesn't take any money to start, and takes only
5-10 minutes to set up. It can pay nearly
$350,000 at NO Cost to You except a little time.

The Details:
Carefully follow the instructions below...and hopefully you may be
willing to give it a chance...after all the opportunity is totally
FREE...nothing out of your pocket now or ever!
You will make $100 in the next (3) minutes if you want it... WITHOUT
SPENDING A DIME!
This will cost YOU NOTHING! - HONEST!

"Yeah right," you say.

You get $100 just for joining and the referral potential earnings is
STAGGERING! You will NEVER SEND MONEY, NEVER SPEND anything and NEVER
ASK FOR MONEY, but you will make THOUSANDS!

Just follow the steps below.

* * * THREE STEP PROCESS * * *

Read Very Carefully & Take Your Time for Accuracy
Listed below are three e-mail addresses & sign-up
URLs.

(1) First, sign-up at RichMails.com - Under the first (1st) ID and
You'll get $100 just for joining. [You can't use AOL for this. I would
suggest free
www.Yahoo.com or another email account you might have.]
So Click on the #1 Position's url and Sign-up.

(2) Next, send an e-mail to the #3 - third person on the list and put
in subject line: "I signed up under #1"

(3) Now, Copy and paste this letter into a file. Delete the person in
the #1 position of this letter. Move the other two URLs - UP to #1 & #2
positions

respectively, and INSERT YOUR information at the BOTTOM, in the #3 position.

Please be sure you copy or type YOUR e-mail and your new RichMails sign up URL correctly and the other 2 addresses also!

Replace your own name where mine now is.

FINAL STEP
Send this ad until you have 3 responses saying they signed up under #1.
That's it, only 3 responses, not 6 or 10 or 20, (just 3)!
Of course, you can mail more and make more, too!
When your address gets to the #1 position, you will have (27) people signed up under you!

Not bad, but ONLY THE BEGINNING. A short (very short) time later, each of those 27 people will have 27 people signed up under them which equals 729, and so on. RichMails pays you for everyone who subscribes under you for three levels, and it adds up fast!

They make their money from the advertisers.

See calculations below...Here's how the payout works:
Your own sign-up $100
First level is 27 X $25 referral 675
Second level is 729 X $25 referral 18,225
Third level is 19,683 X $25 referral 492,075

Here is the list of names:

#1:
http://www.RichMails.net/cgi-bin/signup.cgi?r=tangirl1042000@yahoo.com
#2:
http://www.RichMails.com/cgi-bin/signup.cgi?r=windblade20@hotmail.com
#3:
http://www.RichMails.com/cgi-bin/signup.cgi?r=matippc@gmail.com

Mail to me with the subject: (I have signed up under #1)
TO: matippc@gmail.com

A WORD OF CAUTION:
"IF YOU CHEAT, YOU LOSE"! And, the people above you, know it!
This is the reason you would lose: It must go through all (3) levels to work!
The Money builds up and goes to you! If you type your information in the #1 position, you will only make, maximum, $75!
Once you have your page set up, simply advertise it everywhere and anywhere(spamfree or course) and watch your income e-x-p-l-o-d-e-

Don't forget funding your favorite charity!
See ya at the Top!! May you be richly Blessed

Sincerely,

Matt.-

907. STFU & feed your kids, nitwit...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 20:08:22 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <8MeGd.7142$Mj4.3947@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194546

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> TROLLED in message news:1105814612.622129.67350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

>I don't make you post anything, sissy ass. You are too obsessed with
> the idea of keyclown lust, that's your problem.

Obviously, you cannot control yourself...

>
> Nobody makes anyone do anything. Least of all you making the AKC do
> anything. Your problem is that all the squirrel turds and trucker
> weenies have diseased your turd (brain) so badly.

Obviously, you cannot control yourself...

>
> "Don't make you do this, Don't make you do that..." All you do is make
> us laugh.

Obviously, you cannot control yourself...

>
> What a fairy. An impotenet little sissy ass who can't stop a NG from
> being controlled.

Now you're contradicting your own previous mewlings, hypocrite...

Too Easy!! :))))

908. FS:BC60XLT-1 $65.00 or trade for a cell moded scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-15 21:09:01 UTC
From: juvenile11691@aol.com
Message-ID: <1105823341.518014.5960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194547

30 channel 10 band scanner lightly used. email me if you have any questions juvenile11691@aol.com

909. Antenna Specialists Formula-1 whip length

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 03:02:39 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jPkGd.7702$C52.3022@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 194548

I'm looking for a replacement whip for a Formula-1 Antenna. Does anyone know where I can get one or how long it is?

Copycat 004

910. Re: LINEAR RF AMP PLANS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 03:08:17 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BUkGd.7710$C52.3593@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 194549

Do you do any with 2SC2879's?

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:5k39u0lar4ps36cbsc6lh8phgtjpr8lrjk@4ax.com...
> Our TRIPOWER RF AMP plans are now on sale at EBAY for half-price. This
> deal INCLUDES a professionally made, heavy duty PC board, all ready to
> stuff and solder. Click on our home page at: www.cbcintl.com then
> click on the EBAY logo there to see what's on sale now!
>
> We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
> accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
> just can't find anywhere else!
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
> from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
> if you're in a hurry.
>
> SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
> HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
> what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:
>
> http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2
>
>

911. Gilliland's Isle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 09:02:47 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105866167.412260.213890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar

SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT.

HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.

THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST.

STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP........WITH TWISTIE, AND U KNOW WHO........ LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE..........THERE ON GILLILAND'S ISLE!!!!!!!!

912. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:25:04 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105871104.252551.191820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194551
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Julia, are you still blowing niggers at rest stops?

913. Re: Steveo LOVES Jew-nigger Cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:27:36 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105871256.251200.200640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194552
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio

I want you inside of me, landshaɪk!

Fuck my man-puss,bigboi!

```
. o /' )
/' ( ,
__/' ) .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--...____. .'
( _. )). `-._ <
`\|\|\|\|)-.....___.- `-. __...--'-.'.
`---......____...---`.___.'----... .' `.;
`-` `
.
```

914. Re: Steveo LOVES Jew-nigger Cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:29:24 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105871364.920101.207390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194553
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

moparholic@hotmail.com wrote:
> Steveo and his Homo life partner (Land-fag) LOVES to suck nigger,
> jewish dick!

I want you inside of me, landshark!

Fuck my man-puss,bigboi!



915. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:30:37 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105871437.195058.212350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194554
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

I want you inside of me, landshaяk!

Fuck my man-puss,bigboi!



916. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:36:17 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105871777.091620.180700@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,alt.radio.pirate

I will celebrate nigger day by getting banged by my cb friend!
I want you inside of me, landshark!

Fuck my man-puss,bigboi!



917. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:37:38 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105871858.638593.199530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,alt.radio.pirate

I will celebrate nigger day by getting banged by my cb friend!
I want you inside of me, landshark!

Fuck my man-puss,bigboi!



918. Re: HOW WILL YOU CELEBRATE MLK DAY THIS YEAR?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:37:39 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105871859.714560.237670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,alt.radio.pirate

I will celebrate nigger day by getting banged by my cb friend!
I want you inside of me, landshark!

Fuck my man-puss,bigboi!



919. happy nigger day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:40:28 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105872028.269298.248200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194558
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.african.american,ALT.FLAME.NIGGERS,rec.radio.cb

HAPPY NIGGER DAY, YOU GHETTO-GORILLAS!

I LOVE A PAID HOLIDAY!

920. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:48:30 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105872510.183250.238460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,ALT.FLAME.NIGGERS,soc.culture.african.american

tomz wrote:
> What improvements would you like to have done to your CB?
> Would you want more range?
> Would you want more privacy?
> Would you want exclusive use channels?
I WOULD INSTALL A NIGGER FILTER TO KEEP THE CHIMP-NIGS OFF.

921. Re: Dogie N8WWM posts more vile bile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 10:51:08 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105872668.762026.247920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.fags,soc.culture.african.american,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Steveo wrote:
> You -is- sick, Doug.
>
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > I guess she IS walking the dog!
> >
> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo.jpg
> > [46240 bytes]
> > end
> >
> > begin 666 Mrs_Steveo2.jpg
> > [31915 bytes]
> > end
> >
> > ----------------------------------------
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 120,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
The Only animals I have sex with are Nigger Men.
```

922. Re: Mopey fairily obeys his programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 11:06:31 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105870832.561656.175790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194561

I want you inside of me, landshaɪk!

Fuck my man-puss,bigboi!



923. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 14:25:18 UTC
From: Bush is the AntiChrist!! <tle_mgr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <EMidnYaacq5U5HfcRVn-1Q@comcast.com>
Source record: 194562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.african.american

Steveo wrote:

> tomz wrote:
>
>>What improvements would you like to have done to your CB?
>>Would you want more range?
>>Would you want more privacy?
>>Would you want exclusive use channels?
>
> I WOULD INSTALL A NIGGER FILTER TO KEEP THE CHIMP-NIGS OFF.
>

A good start would be for you to stop posting on anything but your
natural newsgroup.

--
The Best in Message Board Discussions
http://www.comicboards.org/religion

Bush is re-elected, fly the flag upside down!

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
**********xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

924. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 14:56:54 UTC
From: John Goodall <goodall@sciencepatrol.um>
Message-ID: <41ea80b6$1_2@cosmos.uncensored-news.com>
Source record: 194563
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105871104.252551.191820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Julia, are you still blowing niggers at rest stops?
>

Hey! She might know Derek. He does that too.

JG

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Accounts Starting At $6.95 -
http://www.uncensored-news.com
<><><><><><><> The Worlds Uncensored News Source <><><><><><><><>

925. WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 17:09:57 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <FdxGd.18258$Ta2.8751@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194564

Wonderful. Now we have racism.

926. Re: WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 17:51:15 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105897875.529070.196040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194565
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.culture.african.american.business,alt.culture.african.american.history,alt.fuck.niggers

U Know Who wrote:
> Wonderful. Now we have racism.

GILLILAND'S ISLE

SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT.

HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.

THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST.

STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP........WITH TWISTIE, AND U KNOW WHO........ LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE..........THERE ON GILLILAND'S ISLE!!!!!!!!

927. NIGGERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 18:02:18 UTC
From: Mopy-Dopy <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105898538.624344.241680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194566
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers,soc.culture.african,soc.culture.african.american

the only thig about niggers I like is their cocks. I be a white boy but
I love nigger cock!

928. Re: NIGGERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 18:12:11 UTC
From: LEROY KNEVIL <skeets@rmci.net>
Message-ID: <1105899131.570040.61240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194567
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers,soc.culture.african,soc.culture.african.american

MOPY-DOPY IS ANOTHER OF MY SOCKS. DID I FOOL ANYBODY WITH HIM??? BUT I
REALLY DO LOVE NIGGER COCK!!!! LMWFAO!!!!

Mopy-Dopy wrote:
> the only thig about niggers I like is their cocks. I be a white boy
but I love nigger cock!

929. Re: WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 18:45:31 UTC
From: The Grim Reaper <MeetJoeBlack@GrimReaper.gub>
Message-ID: <1105901127.7d9657437698b79926227851b3a6b1e2@teranews>
Source record: 194568

U Know Who wrote:
> Wonderful. Now we have racism.

Doug and George brought that wonderful gift to the group. Are you
surprised, all things considered?

930. i-max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 18:49:17 UTC
From: jaa69@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8993-41EAB72D-45@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194569

I have a i-max 2000 base antenna.When the temperature gets below 30 degress,the swr sky rockets up so bad you can't you the radio,even the recieve is terrible.When the temperature is above 30 degrees,no problem.Any body else having this problem with there base antenna.I have had other cb antennas in the past and never had any problems with freezing weather.

931. Midland Radios; GXT-300 and GXT 400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 19:49:55 UTC
From: ackack@videotron.ca
Message-ID: <3eb98b14.0501161149.55397c30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 194570

Midland has 2 GMRS 2 way radio sets (among many others) on their
website.
The GXT-300 VP1 ia a GMRS unit rated at 3 watts and the GXT-400 VP1
rated at 4 watts.

Three and espically four watts is quite a punch for such small units.
Has anyone had the opportunity to try out these units and if so what
were your impressions ?

Waht is the current limit for a required FCC licence for GMRS radio?
Three watts?

ackack.

932. Re: i-max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 20:13:08 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <10ulimpkcbra3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 194571

Hello Jaa Jaa:

It sounds like to me that you have water inside the bottom section of the I-Max 2000, that is freezing causing something to move causing the high SWR below the 30 degrees.

Suggest you drill a small hole like 1/16 (or .06 to .07 inches) at the bottom of the I Max 2000, just say a inch above the metal base into the fiberglass, at a angle to allow any water to drain out.

Or is the coax filled with water, and the freezing temperatures causing it to go south when it freezes??? May want to also look at the PL-259 connector, and keep it weather proofed.

Can the high SWR be prevented by say using a few hundred watts to keep things warm and not freeze????

Jay in the Mojave

Jaa Jaa wrote:

> I have a i-max 2000 base antenna.When the temperature gets below 30
> degress,the swr sky rockets up so bad you can't you the radio,even the
> recieve is terrible.When the temperature is above 30 degrees,no
> problem.Any body else having this problem with there base antenna.I have
> had other cb antennas in the past and never had any problems with
> freezing weather.
>

933. Re: Gilliland's Isle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 20:28:12 UTC
From: "I Really Am not N8,,, But I'd like to be George" <Not-N8@Lets.Be.More.Like.George.Org>
Message-ID: <41eacf1d$2@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1105866167.412260.213890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO
> POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS
> SILLY SHIT.
>
> HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS
> KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.
>
> THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR
> HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE
> WOULD BE LOST.
>
> STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP........WITH TWISTIE, AND
> U KNOW WHO........ LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM
> REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE..........THERE ON GILLILAND'S
> ISLE!!!!!!!!

Now thats fucking funny!
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

934. Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 20:42:20 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41eace42$1_12@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 194573

i've been lurking here for a while now.
thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
assholes have screwed up this news group.
between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is fucked.
the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just keeping
up the bullshit.
fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.

****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****

*********PLONK********

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

935. FS: Midland 13-790 Like New in Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 20:58:52 UTC
From: HK <kennjd@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <nKSdneaL3rP9SHfcRVn-ug@adelphia.com>
Source record: 194574

23 ch vintage walkie talkie

$95 shipped continental US

Will consider ship international.

Inquire for ADDITIONAL DETAILS at

KENNJD AT ADELPHIA DOT NET

936. DO YOU WANT TO OBTAIN MANY DOLLARS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:18:26 UTC
From: darting@latinmail.com
Message-ID: <e12fe651.0501161318.4287fc7b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 194575

YOU WANT TO OBTAIN MANY DOLLARS ?
I've been trying to figure out the way to make extra money in the internet, checking news groups and ads. It's not easy but I try to keep an open mind to opportunities. In these websites you would find messages like the one described further below. The message got me thinking:This is just a chain, I don't buy it. But, what if it works? It's not something new. People have been doing it for decades and I've never heard of anyone that have acctually made some money out of it. But, what if it works? When you really think obout it, this is a real job. I finally made up my mind and here I go. If you made up yuour mind too, or if you're still giving it a little more thought, keep reading. It won't be easy. Putting this message on every single website that you can think of, like news, newsgroups and ads will be necessary. The more you do it, the better for you (and for me too, of course), that's why it's a real job. It'll take several hours of advertising and promoting.
This chain is different because it doesn't deppend on five or ten persons to whom the masseges would have to be sent by tradditional mail. With the internet, the message is sent worldwide, available to thousands and someone (like me) it's going to go for it. Finally, did you think how much you're going to learn from this kind of task so you can use this knowledge on future jobs or projects?
Now my name is the last one on the list, where, if you decide to go on, you'll put yours at the end leaving my name as the one before last. I wish you good luck, because part of this luck is for me too.
This is the message I found:
"A few days back, when I was visiting news websites, just like you are now, I saw an article, very similiar to this one, saying you can make thousands of dollars in just a few weeks by investing only US$6ï¿½ï¿½! I thougt: "Oh no! Another fraud?" But just like most of us, I got very curious and I kept reading. The article showed six names and addresses for you to send US$1ï¿½ï¿½. Then, you have to write your name at the end of the list replacing the one on #6 and send the article to at least 200 "newsgroups" (there are thousands of these all around the world). There's no trick, that was it.
The big difference between this chain system and others is that you have a list of six members instead of five. This means that your average proffit will be fifteen times higher!!! After thinking it through with my friends, I decided to give it a try. After all, I had nothing to lose but six stamps and US$6ï¿½ï¿½, right?
I was worried about the whole thing being legal, so I contacted the Correo Central de Chile and they confirmed this chain is completely legal!!
So I invested my US$6ï¿½ï¿½.....GUESS WHAT!!!... seven days later, I

started to recieve money by mail!!! I was really surprised!!! I still thought this would end in a few days, but the money kept comming!!!
The first week I made from US$20ï¿½ï¿½ to US$30ï¿½ï¿½. By the end of the second week, I had made a total of US$1.000ï¿½ï¿½!!!!! By the third week I got more than US$10.000ï¿½ï¿½ and I was getting more. This is my fourth week and I've made over US$41.000ï¿½ï¿½ and It's still comming (my family spend the time opening the envelopes and I spend my time finding "newsgroups"). This is getting serious!!!
At the end, it really paid the US$6ï¿½ï¿½ and six stamps investment. I sure spent more on lotto!!!
Let me explain how it works, and most of all, the reason why it works so well. Make sure to print a copy of this article RIGHT NOW so you can get all the information needed.
It's a very simple 3 step process:
STEP Nï¿½1: Get six pieces of paper and write this down on everyone: "PLEASE INCLUDE ME IN YOUR ADDRESS LIST OR E-MAIL." Now get six US$1ï¿½ï¿½ bills. Then, fold the papers and put the one dollar bill in each so it won't be seen through the envelope. It'll be better if you cover the bill with a dark color paper so it won't be stolen. At the end you'll have six sealed envelopes with six one dollar bills enclolsed. By doing this you're creating a "service" which makes it ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!!!
Send the envelopes to the following addresses:

1. Oscar Javier Rodrï¿½guez z
CR 31 # 45 - 23 barrio el triunfo, Villavicencio Colombia

2. Jorge Balcï¿½zar
Convento San Juan de los Lagos Nï¿½ 36, Jardines de Santa Mï¿½nica, C.P. 54050, Tlalnepantla, Estado de Mexico, Mï¿½XICO

3. Marco Vinicio Gramajo Leal
2a. Avenida 4-66 Zona 1, Mazatenango Suchitepequez, Guatemala, Centro Amï¿½rica.

4. Ricardo Portillo Arteaga
Calle Gonzalo de Berceo Nï¿½ 52 piso 2ï¿½ letra B, codigo postal 26005, Logroï¿½o - La Rioja, Espaï¿½a.

5. Javier Piï¿½eros Barreto
Carrera 72 No 62 f 33 sur, manzana 2 entrada 3 apto 102, Urbanizaciï¿½n Calabria, Bogota Colombia,

6. JAVIER DARIO ARENAS
Apartado postal : 243003
ADPOSTAL: Palmira - Valle Del Cauca - Colombia
Email: adarting@hotmail.com o darting@latimail.com

STEP2: Remove name #1 of the top of the list and move the other names one number up (#6 becomes #5 and so on), add your name and address as #6. REMEMBER, YOU MUST REMOVE #1 NOT #6, MODIFY THE NUMBER ON THE REMAINING NAMES AND ADD YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS TO THE LIST AS #6.

STEP3: Change all those things you consider to be changed in this article, but try to keep it as close to the original as possible. Now put your article on at least 200 "newsgroups" (there are more than 24.000 groups). Just search in google.com by the keyword "newsgroups" and you'll find more than 56.000 items. You just need 200, but the more you put the article on line, the more money you'll get!!
These are instructions to get into the "Newusgroups":
Nï¿½1 Since you must have your own article, there's no need to write it all over again. Just select the whole text and use the "copy" function from the "edit" menu.
Nï¿½2 Create a "notepad" file and "paste" the text, also using this function from the "edit" menu. Now you have the text as a notepad file and you can add your name and address as #6.
Nï¿½3 Save the text as a .txt file. This will make It easier for you to make chages on the text whenever you want.
FOR THOSE WHO USE NETSCAPE
Nï¿½4 In the Netscape browser go to "window" and select "netscapeNews". Then, select "options" from the menu and then selct "show all Newsgroups" so you can get the list of all the "Newsgroups" in the server. Click on any of the newsgroups, and then click on "TO NEWS", it should appear at the top on the left. This will lead you to the messages box.
Nï¿½5 Fill in that space. That will be the title everyone will see when visiting any group.
Nï¿½6 Copy your article and paste it there. Go back to the Newsgroup and "TO NEWS" and you'll be creating and pasting inside the program or "posting".
Nï¿½7 Click on "send" AND YOU'RE DONE!..CONGRATULATIONS!!
TO THOSE WHO USE INTERNET EXPLORER
Step Nï¿½4 Go to Newsgroups and select "Post an article".
Step Nï¿½5 Load the article
Step Nï¿½6 Repeat step Nï¿½6 for the Netscape users.
Step Nï¿½7 Press "Post"
THAT'S ALL! All you have to do is search for different Newsgroups. After a few loads, It'll take like 30 seconds to put the article in each newsgroup!
Eventually you might want to get a P.O Box ‘cause you're gonna get a great ammount of envelopes. You can also use a nickname as long as the address is correct. MAKE SURE THAT ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now, let's check the numbers:
Supose I only get 5 answers out of 200 sent messages (which is a really low example), then I made US$5ï¿½ï¿½ with my name as #6 on the list. Now, if everyone who sent me US$1ï¿½ï¿½ contact a minimum of 200 Newsgroups too, all of them with my name as #5 on the list, and just five answer to the 5 original messages, I'll get US$25ï¿½ï¿½. This 25 persons put my name as #4 on a minimum of 200 Newsgroups getting just 5 answers I'd be makin another US$125ï¿½ï¿½. Then, 125 persons put my name as #3 on a minimum of 200 Newsgroups, again getting just 5 answers, I'd be getting another US$625ï¿½ï¿½ and so on!! With my name as #2 I'll get US$3.125ï¿½ï¿½, and as #1, US$15.625!!!

Now, as I said before, getting only 5 answers is extreeme, the real average of answers is 20 to 30, so DO THE MATH!!!
Once your name is out of the list, get the last ad on the newsgroup and send the US$6ï¿½ï¿½ to the names listed and put yours as #6 and start all over!!
Just remember: Thousands of people all around the world surf the Internet every day reading the article just like you and me! So I think many of them wont see a problem on investing US$6ï¿½ï¿½ to see if it really works. I know that some will think, "what if no one answers?" No way!!! What are the chances that will happen, when there are millions of honest persons (like us) who need to pay bills, who are looking for financial independence and who are willing to give it a shot.
You can also do this by getting at least 200 e-mails. With this system, the probbability of success is 5% to 15%. Eather way you do it, always remember to be honest and I promaise you It will work.
Just make sure to print this article NOW. Try to keep a list to track all those who sent you money. Keep checking the newsgroups so you can see if everyone is playing fair. REMEMER, HONESTY ES THE BEST WAY TO GET WHAT YOU WANT. I've heard of people who sent the message with their names on the list but without sending the money and they hardly recieved any money back.
TIME IS IMPORTANT! Don't wait more than seven days from the moment you see this article! Let's follow the rules so we can all win! Don't forget to mention this extra money on your tax declaration. THANK YOU AND GOOD LUCK!

937. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:22:22 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <iWAGd.18757$Ta2.13906@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194576

"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
news:41eace42$1_12@Output.100ProofNews.com...
> i've been lurking here for a while now.
> thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
> assholes have screwed up this news group.
> between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
> fucked.
> the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
> keeping up the bullshit.
> fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>
> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>
> *********PLONK********

You don't have a clue as to what you are talking about. One word...FORGE.

938. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:35:56 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41eadade$1_18@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 194577

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:iWAGd.18757$Ta2.13906@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>
> "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
> news:41eace42$1_12@Output.100ProofNews.com...
>> i've been lurking here for a while now.
>> thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>> assholes have screwed up this news group.
>> between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>> fucked.
>> the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>> keeping up the bullshit.
>> fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>>
>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>>
>> *********PLONK********
>
> You don't have a clue as to what you are talking about. One word...FORGE.
>

two words.....the fuck i don't! are you too stupid to read?
you're just a minor asswipe, more like a bug. i've been reading the crap for
a while.it's steveo starting the shit because he has a hard on for
hams.again, if he would stop so would the akc.in fact, because of your smart
ass pie hole, i would like to join the akc.maybe they won't need more
help.but i'd like to join them.as for steveo he's the kind of little fruit
i'd like to meet. i know he wouldn't run his mouth to my face.i'm 6'2,
290lbs. i'd smash him like the insect he is if he dared to run his mouth in
person like he does here.maybe if he gets down here to the keys we could
see.i was going to delete this shit hole of a group(again, thanks to stveo
and his friends) but i think i'll stay a while to see if you cowardly steveo
clones have more than a yellow streak running down your back.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

939. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:42:31 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <K8CPA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41eadfc7@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194579

"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
news:41eace42$1_12@Output.100ProofNews.com...
> i've been lurking here for a while now.
> thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
> assholes have screwed up this news group.
> between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
> fucked.
> the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
> keeping up the bullshit.
> fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>
> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>
I love to talk out my ass its a great cum catcher too.

940. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:45:45 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105911945.939722.290940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194578

R. F. Burns wrote:
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:iWAGd.18757$Ta2.13906@fe2.texas.rr.com...
> >
> > "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
> > news:41eace42$1_12@Output.100ProofNews.com...
> >> i've been lurking here for a while now.
> >> thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more
you
> >> assholes have screwed up this news group.
> >> between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board
is
> >> fucked.
> >> the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes
just
> >> keeping up the bullshit.
> >> fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
> >>
> >> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
> >>
> >> *********PLONK********
> >
> > You don't have a clue as to what you are talking about. One
word...FORGE.
> >
>
> two words.....the fuck i don't! are you too stupid to read?
> you're just a minor asswipe, more like a bug. i've been reading the
crap for
> a while.it's steveo starting the shit because he has a hard on for
> hams.again, if he would stop so would the akc.in fact, because of
your smart
> ass pie hole, i would like to join the akc.maybe they won't need more

> help.but i'd like to join them.as for steveo he's the kind of little
fruit
> i'd like to meet. i know he wouldn't run his mouth to my face.i'm
6'2,
> 290lbs. i'd smash him like the insect he is if he dared to run his
mouth in
> person like he does here.maybe if he gets down here to the keys we
could
> see.i was going to delete this shit hole of a group(again, thanks to
stveo

> and his friends) but i think i'll stay a while to see if you cowardly steveo
> clones have more than a yellow streak running down your back.

Not to mention their collective cases of vaginal/facial resemblance syndrome.

941. Re: i-max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:46:50 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <K8CPA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41eae0ca$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194582

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105912048.146134.297690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> You could get a better antenna. Make a dipole.
>
I love you doug thanks for tossing my salad honey.

942. Re: i-max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:47:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105912048.146134.297690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194580

You could get a better antenna. Make a dipole.

943. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:47:44 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <K8CPA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41eae100@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194581

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105911945.939722.290940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> R. F. Burns wrote:
>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
>> news:iWAGd.18757$Ta2.13906@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>> >
>> > "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
>> > news:41eace42$1_12@Output.100ProofNews.com...
>> >> i've been lurking here for a while now.
>> >> thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more
> you
>> >> assholes have screwed up this news group.
>> >> between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board
> is
>> >> fucked.
>> >> the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes
> just
>> >> keeping up the bullshit.
>> >> fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>> >>
>> >> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>> >>
>> >> *********PLONK********
>> >
>> > You don't have a clue as to what you are talking about. One
> word...FORGE.
>> >
>>
>> two words.....the fuck i don't! are you too stupid to read?
>> you're just a minor asswipe, more like a bug. i've been reading the
> crap for
>> a while.it's steveo starting the shit because he has a hard on for
>> hams.again, if he would stop so would the akc.in fact, because of
> your smart
>> ass pie hole, i would like to join the akc.maybe they won't need more
>
>> help.but i'd like to join them.as for steveo he's the kind of little
> fruit
>> i'd like to meet. i know he wouldn't run his mouth to my face.i'm
> 6'2,
>> 290lbs. i'd smash him like the insect he is if he dared to run his
> mouth in
>> person like he does here.maybe if he gets down here to the keys we

> could
>> see.i was going to delete this shit hole of a group(again, thanks to
> stveo
>> and his friends) but i think i'll stay a while to see if you cowardly
> steveo
>> clones have more than a yellow streak running down your back.
>
> Not to mention their collective cases of vaginal/facial resemblance
> syndrome.
>
Thanks for the great rim job last night doug I love you.

944. Re: Gilliland's Isle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:51:10 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <K8CPA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41eae1ce$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1105912374.571039.320300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> posted by none other than twithed the twathed
>
Thanks for the great rim job doug I love you.

945. Re: Gilliland's Isle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:52:54 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105912374.571039.320300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar

posted by none other than twithed the twathed

946. Re: WTF?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 21:54:36 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105912476.579913.212600@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194584

Wrong, butt pirate. N8 is not racist and never has been. Talk to the freakos here and see what you can do. As far as I am concerned the racial crap can go south, where it came from.

947. My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 22:15:25 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <K8CPA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41eae77d@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194586

K8CPA
Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I came to know how fucked up I am.... and all the personal information about me. I will try and answer all the questions:

Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my daddy fucked a pig, ya see>

Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley behind the unit block of Waterman Avenue>

Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT heterosexual! I am a faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in 1980. I was all of 10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I knew that if I didn't get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in the rapture of it's jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for 12 Years. Although, I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years, while screwing female barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord penis. I would like to Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy Outreach (Web at: http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and slapping his manly dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom where people like me, who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic dicks and fellow fags in general, could come and give their hearts back to the Lord penis. My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start pushing his manly dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I could not bear to bend over anymore, and I returned to my favorite pastime, cocksucking in the men's room of the Detroit bus station.

"Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and asshole?: Yep, Sure was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking when I was 10, (around 1980), I was originally sucking just anyone, especially born again Christians and other mentally fucked up Baptist types like me. I loved those guys when theyd tell me to tongue their assholes. Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!

Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I went to had a bit of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because he was caught bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things there were in a state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer having to put up with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled me into the Detroit Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I started attending and believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy school. after attending one with a stated preference for teaching Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR SHOCK to my system! But one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the fact that these people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and there was this one

guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums, like John Bonham, (from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great.... (Not to mention he would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was HOT! least *I* thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my hormones were at full TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now married to MoparHolic and living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I started being interested in playing drums for them, as I was a drummer, from a young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis. Well, I eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each

show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute forHopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I totally forgot how to play because I was violently burping up the sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared! Anyhow... speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps, as well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order to play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry. Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow... I entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26... Drugs, Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...

MY MOMENT OF CLARITY

Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump poop chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was upset at were gone from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I was not getting any older... and I was ready to stop running from the Lord penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life... How could just Go back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and wouldnt let me suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like I'd been wronged! But I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This thought crossed my mind...

"I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came to the Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps

Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years ago, keep me from serving my God, the Penis?????????

My Return to total Fagassedness!

Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come back full time to Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that time!!!) I had heard about a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy Outreach) and I'd heard in the past that it was a nice place... So..... I wanted to be where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and there I was... I came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first experience there was a interesting one, I came in the parking lot... puffing on a 7 year old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden, I picked up a nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished my child, and went in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear... "what are you doing here???" "these are the people that Screwed you, but would not let you suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service started, the Holy Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back at him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of here!" and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I patrolled and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of time to masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids nuts.... I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great, and needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.

I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out people as we are, and makes us his Servants....

Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.

HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNSBECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!I was, I guess you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the CB radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8 Years old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark, which is the world capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was totally impressed with the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my craving to run my faggot mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for years I did nothing about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that linear tuning on those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers was just too hard to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine, Joseph Hampton (Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a secret ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and also was interested in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we had some gay sex

together... (you see this was when you had to be secretive about your gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!) and Joe was KB8HGL (Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe were originally licensed in 1989....

On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's licenses... and I paid a renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class Ticket... I often masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. And really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his dick he would not be after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.

My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is my initials! Because as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need something like that for my ego.

so there you go!

I hope this answers everything you wanted to know about me!

948. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 22:23:28 UTC
From: "I. M. White" <imwhite_afnREMOVE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cseph1$j0l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 194587
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"Mr.Extreme" <extremeone@theHNIC.net> wrote in message
news:41eace8d$1_8@rover.100ProofNews.com...
>
> On 16-Jan-2005, "John Goodall" <goodall@sciencepatrol.um> wrote:
>
>> "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1105871104.252551.191820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> > Julia, are you still blowing niggers at rest stops?
>> >
>>
>> Hey! She might know Derek. He does that too.
>>
>> JG
>
> can't get the ExtremeOne outta that dime sized brain 'eh?
> Again, my name isn't Derek.

Holding you to the same standards that you wish upon your superior whites,
post proof that your name isn't derek/derrick. I note that you did not,
however, deny blowing NIGGERS at rest stops. Thanks for clearing that up,
dereck/derrick.

--
What NIGGERS are missing leaves the NIGGERS without an ability to think
about what NIGGERS are missing.

Therefore, NIGGERS need not respond.

I. M. White

949. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 22:33:29 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <k3CGd.2678$JE2.1079@fe11.lga>
Source record: 194588

Chuck K8CPA wrote:

> K8CPA
> Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I came to know how fucked
> up I am.... and all the personal information about me. I will try and
> answer all the questions:
>
> Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my daddy fucked a pig,
> ya see>
>
>
> Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley behind the unit block
> of Waterman Avenue>
>
>
> Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT heterosexual! I am a
> faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in 1980. I was all of
> 10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I knew that if I didn't
> get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in the rapture of it's
> jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for 12 Years. Although,
> I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years, while screwing female
> barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord penis. I would like to
> Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy Outreach (Web at:
> http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and slapping his manly
> dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom where people like me,
> who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic dicks and fellow fags
> in general, could come and give their hearts back to the Lord penis.
> My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start pushing his manly
> dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I could not bear to bend
> over anymore, and I returned to my favorite pastime, cocksucking in
> the men's room of the Detroit bus station.
>
>
> "Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and asshole?: Yep, Sure
> was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking when I was 10,
> (around 1980), I was originally sucking just anyone, especially born
> again Christians and other mentally fucked up Baptist types like me. I
> loved those guys when theyd tell me to tongue their assholes.
> Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!
>
>
> Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I went to had a bit
> of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because he was caught
> bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things there were in a

> state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer having to put up
> with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled me into the Detroit
> Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I started attending and
> believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy school. after
> attending one with a stated preference for teaching Hopless Gayboy
> Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR SHOCK to my system! But
> one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the fact that these
> people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and there was this one
> guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums, like John Bonham,
> (from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great.... (Not to mention he
> would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was HOT! least *I*
> thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my hormones were at full
> TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now married to MoparHolic and
> living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
> Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I started being
> interested in playing drums for them, as I was a drummer, from a
> young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis. Well, I
> eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they
> said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each
>
>
> show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute forHopeless
> Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I
> totally forgot how to play because I was violently burping up the
> sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared! Anyhow...
> speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were
> changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps, as
> well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I
> wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of
> immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order to
> play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry.
> Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I
> didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow... I
> entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26... Drugs,
> Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza
> and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless Gayboy
> Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned
> heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...
>
> MY MOMENT OF CLARITY
>
>
> Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the
> Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and
> tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump poop
> chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was upset at were gone
> from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I was not
> getting any older... and I was ready to stop running from the Lord
> penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life... How could just Go
> back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and wouldnt let me

> suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like I'd been wronged! But
> I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This thought crossed my
> mind...
>
>
> "I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came to the Detroit
> Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps
>
>
> Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years ago, keep me from
> serving my God, the Penis?????????
>
>
> My Return to total Fagassedness!
>
>
> Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come back full time to
> Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit Institute for Hopeless
> Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that time!!!) I had heard about
> a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy Outreach) and I'd heard
> in the past that it was a nice place... So..... I wanted to be
> where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and there I was... I
> came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first experience there was
> a interesting one, I came in the parking lot... puffing on a 7 year
> old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden, I picked up a
> nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished my child, and went
> in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear... "what are you doing
> here???" "these are the people that Screwed you, but would not let you
> suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service started, the Holy
> Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the
> point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running
> after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back at
> him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of here!"
> and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for
> service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay
> Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security
> Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I patrolled
> and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of time to
> masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids nuts....
> I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy
> chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come
> back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on
> fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great, and
> needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord
> Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.
>
>
> I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out people
> as we are, and makes us his Servants....
>

>
> Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The Detroit
> Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.
>
>
>
> HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT
> KEYCLOWNSBECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!I was, I guess
> you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My
> Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the CB
> radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8 Years
> old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's
> Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark, which is the world
> capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was totally impressed with
> the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my craving to run my faggot
> mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for years I did nothing
> about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that linear tuning on
> those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers was just too hard
> to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine, Joseph Hampton
> (Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a secret ILLEGAL FAGGOT
> KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and also was interested
> in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we had some gay sex
> together... (you see this was when you had to be secretive about your
> gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!) and Joe was KB8HGL
> (Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe were originally
> licensed in 1989....
>
>
> On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's licenses... and I paid a
> renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class Ticket... I often
> masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. And
> really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his dick he would not be
> after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.
>
>
> My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is my initials! Because
> as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need something like that for my
> ego.
>
>
> so there you go!
>
>
> I hope this answers everything you wanted to know about me!
>
>
>
Agreed Chuck has issues but this diatribe is uncalled for.

950. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 22:54:09 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <4vrlu0lgqnsd8881p264qa1l2pm0e7tfth@4ax.com>
Source record: 194589

>> You don't have a clue as to what you are talking about. One word...FORGE.
>>
>
>two words.....the fuck i don't! are you too stupid to read?
>you're just a minor asswipe, more like a bug. i've been reading the crap for
>a while.it's steveo starting the shit because he has a hard on for
>hams.again, if he would stop so would the akc.in fact, because of your smart
>ass pie hole, i would like to join the akc.maybe they won't need more
>help.but i'd like to join them.as for steveo he's the kind of little fruit
>i'd like to meet. i know he wouldn't run his mouth to my face.i'm 6'2,
>290lbs. i'd smash him like the insect he is if he dared to run his mouth in
>person like he does here.maybe if he gets down here to the keys we could
>see.i was going to delete this shit hole of a group(again, thanks to stveo
>and his friends) but i think i'll stay a while to see if you cowardly steveo
>clones have more than a yellow streak running down your back.

You are either an idiot, or a troll.

951. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 23:08:59 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <K8CPA@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <41eaf40b@x-privat.org>
Source record: 194591

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:k3CGd.2678$JE2.1079@fe11.lga...
> Chuck K8CPA wrote:
>
>> K8CPA
>> Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I came to know how fucked
>> up I am.... and all the personal information about me. I will try and
>> answer all the questions:
>>
>> Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my daddy fucked a pig,
>> ya see>
>>
>>
>> Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley behind the unit block
>> of Waterman Avenue>
>>
>>
>> Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT heterosexual! I am a
>> faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in 1980. I was all of
>> 10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I knew that if I didn't
>> get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in the rapture of it's
>> jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for 12 Years. Although,
>> I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years, while screwing female
>> barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord penis. I would like to
>> Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy Outreach (Web at:
>> http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and slapping his manly
>> dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom where people like me,
>> who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic dicks and fellow fags
>> in general, could come and give their hearts back to the Lord penis.
>> My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start pushing his manly
>> dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I could not bear to bend
>> over anymore, and I returned to my favorite pastime, cocksucking in
>> the men's room of the Detroit bus station.
>>
>>
>> "Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and asshole?: Yep, Sure
>> was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking when I was 10,
>> (around 1980), I was originally sucking just anyone, especially born
>> again Christians and other mentally fucked up Baptist types like me. I
>> loved those guys when theyd tell me to tongue their assholes.
>> Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!
>>
>>
>> Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I went to had a bit

>> of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because he was caught
>> bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things there were in a
>> state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer having to put up
>> with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled me into the Detroit
>> Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I started attending and
>> believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy school. after
>> attending one with a stated preference for teaching Hopless Gayboy
>> Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR SHOCK to my system! But
>> one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the fact that these
>> people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and there was this one
>> guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums, like John Bonham,
>> (from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great.... (Not to mention he
>> would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was HOT! least *I*
>> thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my hormones were at full
>> TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now married to MoparHolic and
>> living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
>> Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I started being
>> interested in playing drums for them, as I was a drummer, from a
>> young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis. Well, I
>> eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they
>> said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each
>>
>>
>> show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute
>> forHopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I
>> totally forgot how to play because I was violently burping up the
>> sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared! Anyhow...
>> speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were
>> changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps, as
>> well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I
>> wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of
>> immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order to
>> play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry.
>> Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I
>> didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow... I
>> entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26... Drugs,
>> Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza
>> and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless Gayboy
>> Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned
>> heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...
>>
>> MY MOMENT OF CLARITY
>>
>>
>> Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the
>> Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and
>> tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump poop
>> chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was upset at were gone
>> from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I was not
>> getting any older... and I was ready to stop running from the Lord

>> penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life... How could just Go
>> back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and wouldnt let me
>> suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like I'd been wronged! But
>> I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This thought crossed my
>> mind...
>>
>>
>> "I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came to the Detroit
>> Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps
>>
>>
>> Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years ago, keep me from
>> serving my God, the Penis?????????
>>
>>
>> My Return to total Fagassedness!
>>
>>
>> Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come back full time to
>> Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit Institute for Hopeless
>> Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that time!!!) I had heard about
>> a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy Outreach) and I'd heard
>> in the past that it was a nice place... So..... I wanted to be
>> where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and there I was... I
>> came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first experience there was
>> a interesting one, I came in the parking lot... puffing on a 7 year
>> old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden, I picked up a
>> nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished my child, and went
>> in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear... "what are you doing
>> here???" "these are the people that Screwed you, but would not let you
>> suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service started, the Holy
>> Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the
>> point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running
>> after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back at
>> him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of here!"
>> and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for
>> service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay
>> Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security
>> Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I patrolled
>> and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of time to
>> masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids nuts....
>> I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy
>> chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come
>> back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on
>> fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great, and
>> needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord
>> Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.
>>
>>
>> I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out people

>> as we are, and makes us his Servants....
>>
>>
>> Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The Detroit
>> Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.
>>
>>
>>
>> HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT
>> KEYCLOWNSBECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!I was, I guess
>> you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My
>> Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the CB
>> radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8 Years
>> old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's
>> Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark, which is the world
>> capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was totally impressed with
>> the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my craving to run my faggot
>> mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for years I did nothing
>> about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that linear tuning on
>> those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers was just too hard
>> to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine, Joseph Hampton
>> (Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a secret ILLEGAL FAGGOT
>> KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and also was interested
>> in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we had some gay sex
>> together... (you see this was when you had to be secretive about your
>> gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!) and Joe was KB8HGL
>> (Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe were originally
>> licensed in 1989....
>>
>>
>> On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's licenses... and I paid a
>> renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class Ticket... I often
>> masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. And
>> really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his dick he would not be
>> after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.
>>
>>
>> My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is my initials! Because
>> as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need something like that for my
>> ego.
>>
>>
>> so there you go!
>>
>>
>> I hope this answers everything you wanted to know about me!
>>
>>
>>
> Agreed Chuck has issues but this diatribe is uncalled for.

>
My boyfriend doug wrote that for me..... I love his
syphylitic cock.

952. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-16 23:11:39 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <LwCGd.20029$_56.12739@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194590

"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
news:41eadade$1_18@Output.100ProofNews.com...
>
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:iWAGd.18757$Ta2.13906@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>>
>> "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
>> news:41eace42$1_12@Output.100ProofNews.com...
>>> i've been lurking here for a while now.
>>> thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>>> assholes have screwed up this news group.
>>> between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>>> fucked.
>>> the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>>> keeping up the bullshit.
>>> fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>>>
>>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>>>
>>> *********PLONK********
>>
>> You don't have a clue as to what you are talking about. One word...FORGE.
>>
>
> two words.....the fuck i don't! are you too stupid to read?
> you're just a minor asswipe, more like a bug. i've been reading the crap
> for a while.it's steveo starting the shit because he has a hard on for
> hams.again, if he would stop so would the akc.in fact, because of your
> smart ass pie hole, i would like to join the akc.maybe they won't need
> more help.but i'd like to join them.as for steveo he's the kind of little
> fruit i'd like to meet. i know he wouldn't run his mouth to my face.i'm
> 6'2, 290lbs. i'd smash him like the insect he is if he dared to run his
> mouth in person like he does here.maybe if he gets down here to the keys
> we could see.i was going to delete this shit hole of a group(again, thanks
> to stveo and his friends) but i think i'll stay a while to see if you
> cowardly steveo clones have more than a yellow streak running down your
> back.

I'm a ham, you're fat, and you still don't know squat. Try another angle.

953. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 00:26:13 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <FCDGd.11125$wZ2.3826@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194592

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050115105654.435$UO@newsreader.com...
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 15 Jan 2005 15:49:54 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> >> > More strange weather up here..67 degrees yesterday 20 today, with a
>> >> > brisk wind chill..brrrrr Good day to be inside!
>> >>
>> >> Finally stopped raining & snowing, but still cold
>> >> for this time of the year.
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> >Let it snow, let it snow, let it snow! :D
>>
>> Wish it would do that down here.. I'm tired of looking at a brown
>> lawn..
>>
> Same here, the floods washed all the snow away..

A little fog this morning, but bright & sunny
in the afternoon. Wife & kids doing yard work, while
I'm getting dinner ready and prepping the hot tub for
this evening.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

954. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 01:11:09 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105924269.176363.166550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194593

Chuck K8CPA wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:k3CGd.2678$JE2.1079@fe11.lga...
> > Chuck K8CPA wrote:
> >
> >> K8CPA
> >> Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I came to know how
fucked
> >> up I am.... and all the personal information about me. I will try
and
> >> answer all the questions:
> >>
> >> Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my daddy fucked a
pig,
> >> ya see>
> >>
> >>
> >> Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley behind the unit
block
> >> of Waterman Avenue>
> >>
> >>
> >> Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT heterosexual! I
am a
> >> faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in 1980. I was all
of
> >> 10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I knew that if I
didn't
> >> get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in the rapture of
it's
> >> jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for 12 Years.
Although,
> >> I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years, while screwing
female
> >> barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord penis. I would
like to
> >> Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy Outreach (Web at:
> >> http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and slapping his manly
> >> dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom where people like
me,
> >> who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic dicks and fellow
fags
> >> in general, could come and give their hearts back to the Lord
penis.

> >> My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start pushing his
manly
> >> dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I could not bear to
bend
> >> over anymore, and I returned to my favorite pastime, cocksucking
in
> >> the men's room of the Detroit bus station.
> >>
> >>
> >> "Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and asshole?: Yep,
Sure
> >> was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking when I was 10,
> >> (around 1980), I was originally sucking just anyone, especially
born
> >> again Christians and other mentally fucked up Baptist types like
me. I
> >> loved those guys when theyd tell me to tongue their assholes.
> >> Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!
> >>
> >>
> >> Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I went to had a
bit
> >> of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because he was caught
> >> bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things there were in a
> >> state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer having to put up
> >> with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled me into the
Detroit
> >> Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I started attending
and
> >> believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy school. after
> >> attending one with a stated preference for teaching Hopless Gayboy
> >> Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR SHOCK to my system!
But
> >> one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the fact that these
> >> people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and there was this
one
> >> guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums, like John Bonham,
> >> (from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great.... (Not to mention he
> >> would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was HOT! least *I*
> >> thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my hormones were at full
> >> TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now married to MoparHolic
and
> >> living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
> >> Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I started being
> >> interested in playing drums for them, as I was a drummer, from a
> >> young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis.
Well, I
> >> eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they
> >> said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each
> >>

> >>
> >> show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute
> >> forHopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I
> >> totally forgot how to play because I was violently burping up the
> >> sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared!
Anyhow...
> >> speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were
> >> changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps,
as
> >> well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I
> >> wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of
> >> immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order
to
> >> play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry.
> >> Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I
> >> didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow...
I
> >> entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26...
Drugs,
> >> Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza
> >> and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless
Gayboy
> >> Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned
> >> heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...
> >>
> >> MY MOMENT OF CLARITY
> >>
> >>
> >> Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the
> >> Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and
> >> tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump
poop
> >> chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was upset at were gone
> >> from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I was
not
> >> getting any older... and I was ready to stop running from the Lord
> >> penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life... How could just Go
> >> back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and wouldnt let me
> >> suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like I'd been wronged!
But
> >> I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This thought crossed my
> >> mind...
> >>
> >>
> >> "I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came to the Detroit
> >> Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps
> >>
> >>
> >> Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years ago, keep me
from

> >> serving my God, the Penis?????????
> >>
> >>
> >> My Return to total Fagassedness!
> >>
> >>
> >> Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come back full time
to
> >> Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit Institute for
Hopeless
> >> Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that time!!!) I had heard
about
> >> a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy Outreach) and I'd
heard
> >> in the past that it was a nice place... So..... I wanted to
be
> >> where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and there I was...
I
> >> came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first experience there
was
> >> a interesting one, I came in the parking lot... puffing on a 7
year
> >> old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden, I picked up a
> >> nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished my child, and
went
> >> in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear... "what are you
doing
> >> here???" "these are the people that Screwed you, but would not let
you
> >> suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service started, the Holy
> >> Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the
> >> point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running
> >> after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back
at
> >> him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of
here!"
> >> and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for
> >> service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay
> >> Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security
> >> Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I
patrolled
> >> and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of
time to
> >> masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids
nuts....
> >> I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy
> >> chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come
> >> back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on
> >> fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great,
and

> >> needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord
> >> Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.
> >>
> >>
> >> I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out
people
> >> as we are, and makes us his Servants....
> >>
> >>
> >> Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The
Detroit
> >> Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT
> >> KEYCLOWNSBECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!I was,
I guess
> >> you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My
> >> Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the
CB
> >> radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8
Years
> >> old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's
> >> Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark, which is the world
> >> capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was totally impressed
with
> >> the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my craving to run my
faggot
> >> mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for years I did nothing
> >> about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that linear tuning on
> >> those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers was just too
hard
> >> to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine, Joseph Hampton
> >> (Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a secret ILLEGAL
FAGGOT
> >> KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and also was
interested
> >> in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we had some gay sex
> >> together... (you see this was when you had to be secretive about
your
> >> gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!) and Joe was KB8HGL
> >> (Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe were originally
> >> licensed in 1989....
> >>
> >>
> >> On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's licenses... and I paid
a
> >> renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class Ticket... I
often

> >> masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS.
And
> >> really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his dick he would
not be
> >> after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.
> >>
> >>
> >> My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is my initials!
Because
> >> as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need something like that for
my
> >> ego.
> >>
> >>
> >> so there you go!
> >>
> >>
> >> I hope this answers everything you wanted to know about me!
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > Agreed Chuck has issues but this diatribe is uncalled for.
> >
> My boyfriend doug wrote that for me..... I love his
> syphylitic cock.

Published and distributed by mopey dopey, 100%

955. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 01:12:13 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105924333.208232.170630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194594

jim wrote:
> Chuck K8CPA wrote:
>
> > K8CPA
> > Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I came to know how
fucked
> > up I am.... and all the personal information about me. I will try
and
> > answer all the questions:
> >
> > Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my daddy fucked a
pig,
> > ya see>
> >
> >
> > Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley behind the unit
block
> > of Waterman Avenue>
> >
> >
> > Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT heterosexual! I am
a
> > faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in 1980. I was all
of
> > 10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I knew that if I
didn't
> > get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in the rapture of
it's
> > jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for 12 Years.
Although,
> > I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years, while screwing
female
> > barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord penis. I would like
to
> > Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy Outreach (Web at:
> > http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and slapping his manly
> > dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom where people like me,
> > who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic dicks and fellow
fags
> > in general, could come and give their hearts back to the Lord
penis.
> > My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start pushing his manly
> > dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I could not bear to
bend

> > over anymore, and I returned to my favorite pastime, cocksucking in
> > the men's room of the Detroit bus station.
> >
> >
> > "Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and asshole?: Yep,
Sure
> > was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking when I was 10,
> > (around 1980), I was originally sucking just anyone, especially
born
> > again Christians and other mentally fucked up Baptist types like
me. I
> > loved those guys when theyd tell me to tongue their assholes.
> > Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!
> >
> >
> > Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I went to had a
bit
> > of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because he was caught
> > bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things there were in a
> > state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer having to put up
> > with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled me into the
Detroit
> > Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I started attending and
> > believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy school. after
> > attending one with a stated preference for teaching Hopless Gayboy
> > Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR SHOCK to my system!
But
> > one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the fact that these
> > people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and there was this one
> > guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums, like John Bonham,
> > (from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great.... (Not to mention he
> > would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was HOT! least *I*
> > thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my hormones were at full
> > TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now married to MoparHolic
and
> > living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
> > Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I started being
> > interested in playing drums for them, as I was a drummer, from a
> > young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis.
Well, I
> > eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they
> > said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each
> >
> >
> > show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute
forHopeless
> > Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I
> > totally forgot how to play because I was violently burping up the
> > sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared!
Anyhow...

> > speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were
> > changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps, as
> > well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I
> > wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of
> > immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order to
> > play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry.
> > Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I
> > didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow...
I
> > entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26... Drugs,
> > Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza
> > and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless
Gayboy
> > Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned
> > heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...
> >
> > MY MOMENT OF CLARITY
> >
> >
> > Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the
> > Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and
> > tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump
poop
> > chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was upset at were gone
> > from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I was not
> > getting any older... and I was ready to stop running from the Lord
> > penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life... How could just Go
> > back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and wouldnt let me
> > suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like I'd been wronged!
But
> > I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This thought crossed my
> > mind...
> >
> >
> > "I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came to the Detroit
> > Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps
> >
> >
> > Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years ago, keep me from
> > serving my God, the Penis?????????
> >
> >
> > My Return to total Fagassedness!
> >
> >
> > Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come back full time to
> > Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit Institute for
Hopeless
> > Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that time!!!) I had heard
about

> > a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy Outreach) and I'd
heard
> > in the past that it was a nice place... So..... I wanted to
be
> > where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and there I was... I
> > came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first experience there
was
> > a interesting one, I came in the parking lot... puffing on a 7 year
> > old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden, I picked up a
> > nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished my child, and
went
> > in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear... "what are you
doing
> > here???" "these are the people that Screwed you, but would not let
you
> > suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service started, the Holy
> > Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the
> > point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running
> > after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back
at
> > him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of
here!"
> > and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for
> > service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay
> > Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security
> > Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I
patrolled
> > and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of time
to
> > masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids
nuts....
> > I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy
> > chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come
> > back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on
> > fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great,
and
> > needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord
> > Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.
> >
> >
> > I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out
people
> > as we are, and makes us his Servants....
> >
> >
> > Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The
Detroit
> > Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.
> >
> >

> >
> > HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT
> > KEYCLOWNSBECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!I was, I
guess
> > you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My
> > Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the
CB
> > radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8
Years
> > old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's
> > Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark, which is the world
> > capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was totally impressed with
> > the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my craving to run my
faggot
> > mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for years I did nothing
> > about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that linear tuning on
> > those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers was just too
hard
> > to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine, Joseph Hampton
> > (Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a secret ILLEGAL FAGGOT
> > KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and also was
interested
> > in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we had some gay sex
> > together... (you see this was when you had to be secretive about
your
> > gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!) and Joe was KB8HGL
> > (Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe were originally
> > licensed in 1989....
> >
> >
> > On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's licenses... and I paid
a
> > renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class Ticket... I
often
> > masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS.
And
> > really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his dick he would not
be
> > after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.
> >
> >
> > My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is my initials!
Because
> > as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need something like that for
my
> > ego.
> >
> >
> > so there you go!
> >

> >
> > I hope this answers everything you wanted to know about me!
> >
> >
> >
> Agreed Chuck has issues but this diatribe is uncalled for.
Jim, thats mopey dopey doing that. He is the freak with the issues.

956. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 01:19:24 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41eb0f42_16@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 194595

then that other fucking idiot wondered why i said steveo and his clones have fucked up this ng. if its not steveo doing all the the forges than its his little play pals.oh wait,we all think its chuck,don't we. go to hell, steveo!

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

957. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 01:36:51 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41eb1349$1_1@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 194596

hey steveo, you fucking dim wit. i wonder if you're going to run your pie hole to my face. did i mention i was going to be in dayton on business the week of jan 31 - feb 4.....how far is that from your welfare shit hole?want to meet for a drink? i will buy you a drink and have a nice adult conversation with you. on the other hand if you run your mouth like you do here i'm going to kick your ass.you'd never meet me, though. all you can do is stalk peacefull hams and take their pictures from a distance. i on the other hand will think nothing of beating your smart assed pie hole. thats what you need, boy. a good old fashioned ass kicking. then you can go back home to your shit hole house and tell your whore that you ran your mouth to a real man and he slapped your chops for it.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

958. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 02:00:22 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org>
Message-ID: <mqirb2-tch.ln1@ursine.dyndns.org>
Source record: 194598

R. F. Burns wrote:

> between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
> fucked.

Try using a newsreader with killfiles, and killfile any author or thread you
don't like. It makes any newsgroup instantly readable.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/

959. Re: N8WWM..child abuser..felon...repeater jammer..ordered to see head shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 02:13:43 UTC
From: Nisyros Nyiragongo <rolf183@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <350la7F4efqieU1@individual.net>
Source record: 194599
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050115083431.729$7o_-_@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
> >other people also think you are a mentally
> > screwed up hunk of keyclown garbage.
> >
> You have a lot of nerve calling anyone mentally screwed up, Doug.
>
> Questions:
>
> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>
> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>
> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>
> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?
>
> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?
>
> Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

very poor. you get a D- in Insulting 101. Not even Troll Grade.

960. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 02:33:25 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com>
Source record: 194600

On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
wrote:

>i've been lurking here for a while now.
>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>assholes have screwed up this news group.
>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is fucked.
>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just keeping
>up the bullshit.
>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>
> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>
> *********PLONK********

Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not the same as
the Mopar Steveo.

Vinnie S.

961. Re:GW's bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 02:50:35 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%JFGd.2616$8Z1.1757@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194601

"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
news:41eb1349$1_1@Output.100ProofNews.com...
> hey steveo, you fucking dim wit. i wonder if you're going to run your pie
> hole to my face. did i mention i was going to be in dayton on business the
> week of jan 31 - feb 4.....how far is that from your welfare shit
> hole?want to meet for a drink? i will buy you a drink and have a nice
> adult conversation with you. on the other hand if you run your mouth like
> you do here i'm going to kick your ass.you'd never meet me, though. all
> you can do is stalk peacefull hams and take their pictures from a
> distance. i on the other hand will think nothing of beating your smart
> assed pie hole. thats what you need, boy. a good old fashioned ass
> kicking. then you can go back home to your shit hole house and tell your
> whore that you ran your mouth to a real man and he slapped your chops for
> it.

Hi GW, what's with all this BS?

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

962. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 02:52:35 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <TLFGd.21819$_56.11574@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194602

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
> wrote:
>
>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>>assholes have screwed up this news group.
>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>>fucked.
>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>>keeping
>>up the bullshit.
>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>>
>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>>
>> *********PLONK********
>
>
> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not the
> same as
> the Mopar Steveo.
>
> Vinnie S.

True, but some here are not bright enough to figure that out.

963. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 03:00:06 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41eb26cb_6@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 194603

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
> wrote:
>
>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>>assholes have screwed up this news group.
>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>>fucked.
>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>>keeping
>>up the bullshit.
>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>>
>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>>
>> *********PLONK********
>
>
> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not the
> same as
> the Mopar Steveo.
>
> Vinnie S.

STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll fart.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

964. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 03:03:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4kamu0dkdo85b1lr17utuji5ha0lg8a0d3@4ax.com>
Source record: 194604

On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 21:00:06 -0600, "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
>> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
>>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>>>assholes have screwed up this news group.
>>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>>>fucked.
>>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>>>keeping
>>>up the bullshit.
>>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>>>
>>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>>>
>>> *********PLONK********
>>
>>
>> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not the
>> same as
>> the Mopar Steveo.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll fart.

Lardasses usually will.

FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co. area. And last
I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.

Vinnie S.

965. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 03:25:27 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <HeGGd.21844$_56.13864@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194605

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:4kamu0dkdo85b1lr17utuji5ha0lg8a0d3@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 21:00:06 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
>>> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
>>>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>>>>assholes have screwed up this news group.
>>>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>>>>fucked.
>>>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>>>>keeping
>>>>up the bullshit.
>>>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>>>>
>>>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>>>>
>>>> *********PLONK********
>>>
>>>
>>> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not the
>>> same as
>>> the Mopar Steveo.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll fart.
>
> Lardasses usually will.
>
> FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co. area. And
> last
> I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.
>
> Vinnie S.

You're clueless.

966. Re: Me Dressing in my mom's cloths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 03:29:45 UTC
From: "Mr.Extreme" <extremeone@theHNIC.net>
Message-ID: <csfbe4$a0fu$7@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 194606
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

I USED TO DRESS IN MAMMY'S CLOTHES EVEN HER KOTEX!!!!!!!

--
THe HNIC has posted, bitches!!

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD
Mr.Extreme wrote:

> On 16-Jan-2005, "John Goodall" <goodall@sciencepatrol.um> wrote:
>
>
>>"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1105871104.252551.191820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Julia, are you still blowing niggers at rest stops?
>>>
>>
>>Hey! She might know Derek. He does that too.
>>
>>JG
>
>
> can't get the ExtremeOne outta that dime sized brain 'eh?
> Again, my name isn't Derek.
>

967. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 03:33:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <edcmu05tacregv4lpt8i3kcjeichl1bo9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 194607

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 03:25:27 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>>>>
>>>> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not the
>>>> same as
>>>> the Mopar Steveo.
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll fart.
>>
>> Lardasses usually will.
>>
>> FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co. area. And
>> last
>> I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>You're clueless.

Well, his posting host came out of there. So in essence, I don't know where he lives. But his ISP is routed thru that area.

Vinnie S.

968. Re: Re:GW's bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 07:26:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105946764.263302.182490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194608

Landshark wrote:
> "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
> news:41eb1349$1_1@Output.100ProofNews.com...
> > hey steveo, you fucking dim wit. i wonder if you're going to run
your pie
> > hole to my face. did i mention i was going to be in dayton on
business the
> > week of jan 31 - feb 4.........how far is that from your welfare
shit
> > hole?want to meet for a drink? i will buy you a drink and have a
nice
> > adult conversation with you. on the other hand if you run your
mouth like
> > you do here i'm going to kick your ass.you'd never meet me, though.
all
> > you can do is stalk peacefull hams and take their pictures from a
> > distance. i on the other hand will think nothing of beating your
smart
> > assed pie hole. thats what you need, boy. a good old fashioned ass
> > kicking. then you can go back home to your shit hole house and tell
your
> > whore that you ran your mouth to a real man and he slapped your
chops for
> > it.
>
> Hi GW, what's with all this BS?
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
> Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
> I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
> This game that we animals play is a winner.
Just the truth coming out finally, transshark

969. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 07:27:45 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105946865.379431.228720@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194609

That's a standard mopey the liar tactic

970. Re: i-max 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 07:28:57 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105946937.026031.84060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194610

I love you too, mopey dopey. thanks for serving the truckers, you
gutless POS

971. Re: Gilliland's Isle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 07:30:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1105947011.252605.89620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar

thanks for the great trucker service in the Petro toilets

972. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 09:51:05 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <2i2nu0li63sb84l340bbkumfausk7oft1q@4ax.com>
Source record: 194612

On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 15:35:56 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
<rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:

>
>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
>news:iWAGd.18757$Ta2.13906@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>>
>> "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote in message
>> news:41eace42$1_12@Output.100ProofNews.com...
>>> i've been lurking here for a while now.
>>> thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>>> assholes have screwed up this news group.
>>> between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>>> fucked.
>>> the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>>> keeping up the bullshit.
>>> fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>>>
>>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>>>
>>> *********PLONK********
>>
>> You don't have a clue as to what you are talking about. One word...FORGE.
>>
>
>two words.....the fuck i don't! are you too stupid to read?
>you're just a minor asswipe, more like a bug. i've been reading the crap for
>a while.it's steveo starting the shit because he has a hard on for
>hams.again, if he would stop so would the akc.in fact, because of your smart
>ass pie hole, i would like to join the akc.maybe they won't need more
>help.but i'd like to join them.as for steveo he's the kind of little fruit
>i'd like to meet. i know he wouldn't run his mouth to my face.i'm 6'2,
>290lbs. i'd smash him like the insect he is if he dared to run his mouth in
>person like he does here.maybe if he gets down here to the keys we could
>see.i was going to delete this shit hole of a group(again, thanks to stveo
>and his friends) but i think i'll stay a while to see if you cowardly steveo
>clones have more than a yellow streak running down your back.

Not only are you a fat tub of goo, you're a pussy as well.

Enjoy your life. lol...

Jeff

>
>

>
>
>x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
>x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
>x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
>x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

973. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 11:14:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117061417.208$LI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194613

"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
> hey steveo, you fucking dim wit. i wonder if you're going to run your pie
> hole to my face. did i mention i was going to be in dayton on business
> the week of jan 31 - feb 4.....how far is that from your welfare
> shit hole?want to meet for a drink? i will buy you a drink and have a
> nice adult conversation with you. on the other hand if you run your mouth
> like you do here i'm going to kick your ass.you'd never meet me, though.
> all you can do is stalk peacefull hams and take their pictures from a
> distance. i on the other hand will think nothing of beating your smart
> assed pie hole. thats what you need, boy. a good old fashioned ass
> kicking. then you can go back home to your shit hole house and tell your
> whore that you ran your mouth to a real man and he slapped your chops for
> it.
>
LMAO! I've already seen what a chickenshit you are in person.

http://img107.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img107&image=dogieupclosefugly2dw.jpg

Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

974. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 11:17:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117061703.686$u2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194614

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 21:00:06 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
> >> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> >> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
> >>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
> >>>assholes have screwed up this news group.
> >>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
> >>>fucked.
> >>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
> >>>keeping
> >>>up the bullshit.
> >>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
> >>>
> >>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
> >>>
> >>> *********PLONK********
> >>
> >>
> >> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not
> >> the same as
> >> the Mopar Steveo.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll fart.
>
> Lardasses usually will.
>
> FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co. area.
> And last I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Thanks Vinnie. I plowed snow for 16 hours yesterday/today while those
two losers had their keyboard circle-jerk.

975. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 11:17:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117061757.760$8y@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194615

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4kamu0dkdo85b1lr17utuji5ha0lg8a0d3@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 21:00:06 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> > <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
> >>> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> >>> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
> >>> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
> >>>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
> >>>>assholes have screwed up this news group.
> >>>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
> >>>>fucked.
> >>>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
> >>>>keeping
> >>>>up the bullshit.
> >>>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
> >>>>
> >>>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
> >>>>
> >>>> *********PLONK********
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not
> >>> the same as
> >>> the Mopar Steveo.
> >>>
> >>> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >>STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll fart.
> >
> > Lardasses usually will.
> >
> > FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co. area.
> > And last
> > I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.
> >
> > Vinnie S.

>
> You're clueless.
>
Enlighten us.

976. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 11:19:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117061905.532$9K@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194616

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> That's a standard mopey the liar tactic
>
Answer the questions, coward.

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

977. N8WWM is indigent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 11:23:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117062303.598$Ki_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194617

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I love you too, mopey dopey. thanks for serving the truckers, you
> gutless POS
>
I billed $2500 in snow removal services yesterday, while you were having
your keyboard melt-down, fugly felon coward.

Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

978. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 11:49:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8h9nu0dvr08pr4rchvcsv4aa9rf3tupsit@4ax.com>
Source record: 194618

On 15 Jan 2005 15:50:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050114130340.093$KV@newsreader.com...
>> > Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>> >> >ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½
ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½
>> >> >ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½
ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½
>> >> >ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½
ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½
>> >> >ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ WTF is that, Twist!? :D _
>> >> ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,You mean you don't know? Email me,,,webtv is foolproof
>> >> when quoting certain posters and only certain usenet access services
>> >> leave such marks. Call it internet fingerprints.
>> >>
>> >> Like this?
>> >>
>> > Is ï¿½fï¿½?sï¿½,ï¿½ Chinese for Lancer? :)
>> >
>> It's "code" ;) LOL!!
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>Usenet code..what's next!?

It's probably a code from the "saucer men"......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

979. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 12:48:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <evcnu0dmbpbgts3vu5e3fg5n3g2sfshlpt@4ax.com>
Source record: 194619

On 17 Jan 2005 11:17:57 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:4kamu0dkdo85b1lr17utuji5ha0lg8a0d3@4ax.com...
>> > On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 21:00:06 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
>> > <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >>
>> >>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>> >>news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
>> >>> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
>> >>> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
>> >>> wrote:
>> >>>
>> >>>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
>> >>>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>> >>>>assholes have screwed up this news group.
>> >>>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>> >>>>fucked.
>> >>>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>> >>>>keeping
>> >>>>up the bullshit.
>> >>>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>> >>>>
>> >>>> *********PLONK********
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not
>> >>> the same as
>> >>> the Mopar Steveo.
>> >>>
>> >>> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >>STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll fart.
>> >
>> > Lardasses usually will.
>> >
>> > FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co. area.
>> > And last
>> > I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.

>> >
>> > Vinnie S.
>>
>> You're clueless.
>>
>Enlighten us.

Well, he also could be from LA. But one thing is clear. I don't know exactly,
but he sure as hell isn't you.

Vinnie S.

980. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 12:49:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <b1dnu0hcnntlvjvk5qu6niga60lelvvrnk@4ax.com>
Source record: 194620

On 17 Jan 2005 11:17:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 21:00:06 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
>> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>> >news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
>> >> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
>> >> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
>> >>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more you
>> >>>assholes have screwed up this news group.
>> >>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board is
>> >>>fucked.
>> >>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
>> >>>keeping
>> >>>up the bullshit.
>> >>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
>> >>>
>> >>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
>> >>>
>> >>> *********PLONK********
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not
>> >> the same as
>> >> the Mopar Steveo.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >
>> >STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll fart.
>>
>> Lardasses usually will.
>>
>> FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co. area.
>> And last I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Thanks Vinnie. I plowed snow for 16 hours yesterday/today while those

>two losers had their keyboard circle-jerk.

I could tell it wasn't you without the posting host. The trace headers in my reader are not even close to being you.

Vinnie S.

981. Re: NIGGERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 13:15:31 UTC
From: William Grosvenor <intergroup@operamail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95E1357D244F24759668@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 194621
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers,soc.culture.african,soc.culture.african.american

"Mopy-Dopy" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> babbled in news:1105898538.624344.241680
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> the only thig about niggers I like is their cocks. I be a white boy but
> I love nigger cock!

Where can I find you, maggot?

982. Re: Thanks N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 13:20:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117082050.483$fV_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194622

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 17 Jan 2005 11:17:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 21:00:06 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> >> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
> >> >> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> >> >> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
> >> >> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
> >> >>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few more
> >> >>>you assholes have screwed up this news group.
> >> >>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this board
> >> >>>is fucked.
> >> >>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you assholes just
> >> >>>keeping
> >> >>>up the bullshit.
> >> >>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
> >> >>>
> >> >>> *********PLONK********
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments is not
> >> >> the same as
> >> >> the Mopar Steveo.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >
> >> >STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole i'll
> >> >fart.
> >>
> >> Lardasses usually will.
> >>
> >> FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co. area.
> >> And last I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.
> >>

> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Thanks Vinnie. I plowed snow for 16 hours yesterday/today while those
> >two losers had their keyboard circle-jerk.
>
> I could tell it wasn't you without the posting host. The trace headers in
> my reader are not even close to being you.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Dead-beat dogie is sick in the dome.

983. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 13:32:37 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <79fnu0tle164vjmlp9bl1au96tvm5b8o8n@4ax.com>
Source record: 194623

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 06:49:25 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <8h9nu0dvr08pr4rchvcsv4aa9rf3tupsit@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>> > Is ï¿½fiï¿½?siï¿½,ï¿½ Chinese for Lancer? :)
>>>
>>> It's "code" ;) LOL!!
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>Usenet code..what's next!?
>
>It's probably a code from the "saucer men"......

Actually, it's a URL that was encrypted to prevent you from finding
out one part of the secret agenda that the liberals have for this
country:

http://www.aclu.org/ReligiousLiberty/ReligiousLibertyMain.cfm

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

984. well.well,well !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 13:37:51 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105969071.408551.226250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194624

Finally somebody calls Stevie out and little Stevie fag-boi whines he was on a snow plow all day! hardeeeee har har!!

What a fucking coward ! Maybe Land-Fag will help you Stevie with oral instructions!!! HAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!

985. Re: Thanks N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 14:00:19 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105970419.100762.52300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers,alt.whitepower

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > On 17 Jan 2005 11:17:03 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > >> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 21:00:06 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> > >> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >
> > >> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> > >> >news:5u8mu0tvs26lsu0s5siqstv9dhi019030d@4ax.com...
> > >> >> On Sun, 16 Jan 2005 14:42:20 -0600, "R. F. Burns"
> > >> >> <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
> > >> >> wrote:
> > >> >>
> > >> >>>i've been lurking here for a while now.
> > >> >>>thanks to steveo, that idiot reaper, and twistie, and a few
more
> > >> >>>you assholes have screwed up this news group.
> > >> >>>between steveo's nigger bullshit and reaper's jew shit this
board
> > >> >>>is fucked.
> > >> >>>the fucking akc wouldn't be here if it wasn't for you
assholes just
> > >> >>>keeping
> > >> >>>up the bullshit.
> > >> >>>fuck it. i said it, and i stand by it.....but i'm outta here.
> > >> >>>
> > >> >>> ****congratulations steveo, you fucking asswipe!****
> > >> >>>
> > >> >>> *********PLONK********
> > >> >>
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Um, The posting host for the "Steveo" making racist comments
is not
> > >> >> the same as
> > >> >> the Mopar Steveo.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Vinnie S.
> > >> >
> > >> >STFU, vinnie the ninnie. when i want to hear from an asshole
i'll

> > >> >fart.
> > >>
> > >> Lardasses usually will.
> > >>
> > >> FYI. The Steveo you don't like probably lives in the Denver, Co.
area.
> > >> And last I looked, Ohio is not near Colorado.
> > >>
> > >> Vinnie S.
> > >>
> > >Thanks Vinnie. I plowed snow for 16 hours yesterday/today while
those
> > >two losers had their keyboard circle-jerk.
> >
> > I could tell it wasn't you without the posting host. The trace
headers in
> > my reader are not even close to being you.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
> >
> Dead-beat dogie is sick in the dome.

ALL OF STEVIE'S FAG-BOI TRUCK STOP BLOW BUDDIES ALL SHOW UP FOR LITTLE
STEVIE!!

HEY MOPY SLURPIE, THAT SNOW PLOW TALK MUST BE CODE FOR SUCKING COCK AT
THE TRUCK STOP-----HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

986. Re: NIGGERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 14:04:03 UTC
From: Mopy-Dopy <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105970643.945066.7190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194626
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers,soc.culture.african,soc.culture.african.american

hey leroy.....mopy says you let a nigger fuck him up is lilly white ass.....is that true???

987. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 15:09:08 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ebd5d6@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

"Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> Content Control
> I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00 pm
> CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the amateur
> radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason it's dying
> isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
> dying
> is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under the
> belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station. As a
> matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know when
> I
> was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in the
> form
> of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
> Communication
> Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
> content
> of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules and
> regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio. The FCC of
> course
> under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe that
> this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio. It of course is not true, this
> statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act apply in
> one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC. Let me enlighten you on
> a
> little history that starts in the 1980's. In the 1980's and 1990's packet
> radio was a big thing. Let me tell you when I first got into amateur radio
> I
> thought packet was neat. I mean I would stay up all night and play on
> packet
> till the sun came up and then head off to work. This of course was in
> 1991-1992 when I had first gotten my license. But, there was one thing I
> noticed; I had gotten into the local BBS system to read the latest
> messages
> and then I went through the network and got into the BBS over in Australia
> and guess what? It was the same crap. Many people believe think packet
> radio
> died from the speed in reality packet died because of the content. The
> same
> will happen eventually to amateur radio. I mean who would spend the time
> and

> money on getting a ham license when every thing a amateur radio operator
> can
> do a person can do on the internet. I mean don't get me wrong talking all
> over the world on the radio is neat and all; but you can also do that on
> the
> internet. I mean would a average person spend the time and money to get a
> ham license to talk on the radio to give their name, location, power
> output,
> antenna height, and weather report to some smock over seas or get on the
> internet where content control isn't a problem. I guess what I'm getting
> at
> is for amateur radio to really grow amateurs must get rid of this idea of
> content control. Because what's really going to happen is their going to
> loose to technologies which don't have that restriction. For Amateur radio
> to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's. The problem
> is
> the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or control the
> content. So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's not in
> use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it. I mean so what if broadcasting
> information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value to
> the
> Amateurs. So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my opinion
> about
> problems and issues in amateur radio. At lease I have a opinion and I'm
> not
> some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says. That's the
> reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur
> radio,
> to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we call
> ham radio. I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there are
> problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
> Todd N9OGL

SEE ASSHOLES.......I'M NOT THE ONLY GUY THAT LOVE TO SUCK NIGGER COCK!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

988. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 15:43:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29994-41EBDD20-208@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194629

RF Burns wrote:

>i'd smash him like the insect he is if he dared

>to run his mouth in person like he does

>here.maybe if he gets down here to the keys

>we could see.

The Keys? LMAO....the only Key you would resie in would be Key West...zero heterosexuals live there.

> was going to delete this shit hole of a

>group

Don't worry about it. Only N8WWM and N3CVJ share your hostility and what you are given to "debate" has been tightly controlled.

>again, thanks to stveo and his friends) but i

>think i'll stay a while to see if you cowardly

>steveo clones have more than a yellow streak

>running down your back.

"I'm 6'..."

"snip"

You're a chickenhawk.

989. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 15:55:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29994-41EBE000-209@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194631

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 14 Jan 2005 16:43:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:
ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ
ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ ÃÂ
WTF is that, Twist!? :D

>He's "tagging" posts again........

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

That's almost as accurate as your other misconceptioned ignorances:

"You can not find a rule explicitly permitting roger beeps or saying
they are not entertainment devices. Therefore, they are illegal." N3CVJ

"Webtv can not post pictures. How do you propose to do that?"..N3CVJ

"If you break federal law, you are a federal criminal." N3CVJ, defending
his gaffe that driving above 70 MPH makes one a 'federal criminal"'.

"I worked as a network admin and do not expect you to be familiar with
internet protocol"
N3CVJ, trying to talk his way out of being outed for losing his isp for
breaking their TOS rules.

"I have no idea how his (Mark Salzyn) headers ended up in my headers. I
have no idea how such things work or how isp's rout their posts."
N3CVJ, contradicting his previous claim of being a "network admin".

You're on a roll, Davie. Hell, don't let your personal anger get the
better of you now, Davie..please continue focusing upon myself as
opposed to the topics in this group, after all, you are part of the
problem in this group.

990. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 15:56:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ebe0e6$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194628
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

Hey cunt, Why don't you drag your lard ass away from the dam computer long enough to properly take care of your family and leave me the fuck alone.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

991. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:05:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29994-41EBE252-211@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194634

N3cvj wrote:
That's almost as rich as what follows, as Shark and I said the exact same thing concerning DX. You are suffering a great deal on this aubject.

>No, not exactwy.

So post the part you incorrectly claim claims anything other than "skip affects dx", but please don't strain yourself again, as you get quite testy and agitated when asked to provide things only you see and hear and can't comprehend correctly..

> But at least when the proper context was

>explained. Lanshark acknowledged such.

>While you continue to defend and twist words.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

DX affects splatter and signals, cut and dry, and you've been skinned by several here showing your original statement "dx does not affect splatter" is wrong, so much in fact, you rushed to qualify just what "you" meant by such a statement, when everyone else knew exactly what the statement meant. This holds perfectly with your long-demonstrated pattern of you asking everyone else what they "meant" by the very simple terms used. Again, only you have expressed great difficulty in not only comprehending what others say, but in conveying and expressing your feelings and position adequately. Such leads to your almost daily inquiry of everyone you ever debate: "What did you mean by "......."? Your deficit in communications is growing leaps and bounds with your balding head.

992. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:06:59 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105978019.102698.241920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194630
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Hey cunt, Why don't you drag your lard ass away from the dam computer
long
> enough to properly take care of your family and leave me the fuck
alone.

Oh real nice Steveo.You should be really proud of yourself.It's not
enough you post your fag-boi crap all ove the internet but now you
attack women. Real nice you loser.

993. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:10:04 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105978204.038810.316740@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power,alt.flame.niggers

moparholic wrote:
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > Content Control
> > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at
9.00 pm
> > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the
amateur
> > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason
it's dying
> > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason
it's
> > dying
> > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are
under the
> > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station.
As a
> > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him
know when
> > I
> > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in
the
> > form
> > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
> > Communication
> > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
> > content
> > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating
rules and
> > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio. The FCC
of
> > course
> > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who
believe that
> > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio. It of course is not true,
this
> > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act
apply in
> > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC. Let me enlighten
you on
> > a
> > little history that starts in the 1980's. In the 1980's and 1990's

packet
> > radio was a big thing. Let me tell you when I first got into
amateur radio
> > I
> > thought packet was neat. I mean I would stay up all night and play
on
> > packet
> > till the sun came up and then head off to work. This of course was
in
> > 1991-1992 when I had first gotten my license. But, there was one
thing I
> > noticed; I had gotten into the local BBS system to read the latest
> > messages
> > and then I went through the network and got into the BBS over in
Australia
> > and guess what? It was the same crap. Many people believe think
packet
> > radio
> > died from the speed in reality packet died because of the content.
The
> > same
> > will happen eventually to amateur radio. I mean who would spend the
time
> > and
> > money on getting a ham license when every thing a amateur radio
operator
> > can
> > do a person can do on the internet. I mean don't get me wrong
talking all
> > over the world on the radio is neat and all; but you can also do
that on
> > the
> > internet. I mean would a average person spend the time and money to
get a
> > ham license to talk on the radio to give their name, location,
power
> > output,
> > antenna height, and weather report to some smock over seas or get
on the
> > internet where content control isn't a problem. I guess what I'm
getting
> > at
> > is for amateur radio to really grow amateurs must get rid of this
idea of
> > content control. Because what's really going to happen is their
going to
> > loose to technologies which don't have that restriction. For
Amateur radio
> > to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's. The
problem

> > is
> > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or
control the
> > content. So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's
not in
> > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it. I mean so what if
broadcasting
> > information to the amateur radio community which may be a small
value to
> > the
> > Amateurs. So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my
opinion
> > about
> > problems and issues in amateur radio. At lease I have a opinion and
I'm
> > not
> > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says.
That's the
> > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in
amateur
> > radio,
> > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble
we call
> > ham radio. I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there
are
> > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> SEE ASSHOLES.......I'M NOT THE ONLY GUY THAT LOVE TO SUCK NIGGER
COCK!

Showing the world what a loser you are steveo?You stupid fag-boi.

994. Re: N8WWM has a court ordered shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:10:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117111054.367$Tl_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194633
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM !" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Hey cunt, Why don't you drag your lard ass away from the dam computer
> long
> > enough to properly take care of your family and leave me the fuck
> alone.
>
> Oh real nice Steveo.You should be really proud of yourself.It's not
> enough you post your fag-boi crap all ove the internet but now you
> attack women. Real nice you loser.
>
Still talking to yourself Doug.

Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

995. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:20:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29995-41EBE5CF-78@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194635

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 10:51:19 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>simplistic and literal translations fail to account
>for the reality that those questions are all

>relative or subjective, depending on the

>writer's perspective and point of reference. But
>I'd guess those concepts are beyond your

>reasoning ability.

To be fair, your guesses are steeped in ignorance and the refusal to
learn and I'm not the one struggling with what people say,,,,you are,
evidenced by such redundant inquiries of "what do you mean'? Again, only
you have difficulty and are unable to comprehend what is said or posted
the first time. Such is classic projection concerning concepts beyond
your grasp.

>Quite the contrary, in order for one to learn,

>one must learn to ask the proper questions.

Only you haven't learned and you insist on remaining ignorant and
intentionally avail yourself of correct information,,,,you ignorantly
heralding roger beeps as illegal comes to mind immediately....
Add the fact that no one else has this deficit in communcation from
which ails you so, as no one else sees such simplistic sentences as
"relative or subjective"..only you suffer with such communcation skill.
Only you seem to suffer with interpretive skills when others use
simplistic terms.

>But the difference is, that I ask for clarification

>as to the specific point that someone is

>making, while you assume what they are

>trying to say, and are usually wrong.

That never happened, Davie, as I was involved in zero of your
conversations when you asked others "what do you mean by...".
Such a desperate attempt at trying to lay your incompetence and
incoherence at my feet *is* much appreciated, though. The only ones I
say are wrong are those who are,,,,you and N8WWM,,,ALL the time.

_
 After all, only you express great difficulty
interpreting each writer's perspective, which is why you have to ask
everyone what they mean when they use extremely simplistic terms

>Simplistic does not preclude the sense of

>subjectivity that the term may refer.

The fact that *only* you struggle with the words most certainly does.

>But you don't understand that. To you

>everything is black or white.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

LOL...entertainment in it's finest form, especially coming from a lid
who has demonstrated he is unable to distinguish lawful from ethical
behavior.

996. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:23:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29995-41EBE66C-79@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194636

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 10:57:17 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
  that doing over 70 mph makes one a federal criminal,

>Not that I care or anything,

<g> But of course not!!

>but to avoid further embarrassment at once

>again confusing me with another, I'd suggest

>you recheck your obsession with personal

>searches. I never made that claim.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

Sure you did. You said dxing is a federal rule and incorrectly
maintained breaking it makes one a "federal criminal. 70 MPH is also a
federal rule,,such an analogy really makes your ignorance come full
circle.

997. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:24:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29994-41EBE6B1-216@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194637

From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□
Ã□Â
Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□
Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□
â□□Ã□Â
WTF is that, Twist!? :D

_
Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□You mean you don't know?
Email me,,,webtv is foolproof when quoting certain posters and only
certain usenet access services leave such marks. Call it internet
fingerprints.

```
>Like this?

You have to have a webtv to see the manner in which it appears. It's
foolproof.
```

998. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:26:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29995-41EBE736-80@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194638

N3CVJ wrote:

Usenet code..what's next!?

>It's probably a code from the "saucer men"......
>N3CVJ

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

With illegal roger beeps your standing orders.

999. [...forging antikeyclowns know they have NO credibility, anymore...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 16:44:58 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <CZRGd.12012$By4.2323@fe04.lga>
Source record: 194639

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41ebd813@news.usenetzone.com...

> AND FUCK WHOEVER DON'T LIKE IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Yes, typical snot nosed punk attitude... Are you an
angry hammie.. and Not acting your own age, too..???

Whatever happened to the (so called) akc righteousness??
(....not that it ever really existed in the first place....?!?!)

You delinquent (akc) punk(s), in you mommies basement(s), have reduced
yourselves to posting *off topic gay porn spam*. pathetic.

Impotent akc coward(s), busy -forging-, ..again...folks..

> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1000. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 18:10:35 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41ebfe5a.18386828@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194640

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 11:24:17 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
>Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
 Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â
>WTF is that, Twist!? :D
>_
>Ãƒâ€šÃ‚Â Ãƒâ€šÃ‚,You mean you don't know?
>Email me,,,webtv is foolproof when quoting certain posters and only
>certain usenet access services leave such marks. Call it internet
>fingerprints.
>
>>Like this?
>
>You have to have a webtv to see the manner in which it appears. It's
>foolproof.

Can you do a screen capture? I would like to see what your talking
about..

1001. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 18:19:26 UTC
From: Triple 1 <nodamnham@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41ebff45$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194641
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

Amateur radio is only dying in how only YOU view Amateur radio.

Amateur radio is alive and well.

Listen more on the HF especially where the Tsunami hit.

Amateur radio is being used as I type this message.

I have a station and I enjoy talking to those who want to talk.

The only shmuck that YOU refer to -- is yourself while only you are calling others shmuck.
(now read this carefully before flaming. It is not me that is calling you that name. It is a case in point. i.e. "The pot calling the kettle whatever color!" so don't go flaming now.)

I'm glad that there is content control on the Amateur bands.

You need to get out more and quit bickering about small issues!

Not everyone wants to listen to a "bleeding-heart liberal"!

If you don't like the content --- DO something else besides crying about it.

There is an on/off switch on these radios!

And why is Amateur radio being hated on in a cb radio newsgroup?

(Why is even the Amateur radio topic being discussed in the rec.radio.cb newsgroup)

And why are pics of risque material being posted?

There are other groups that these topics can be posted in.

It is simply the fact that the individual/s have/has too much time on their hands.
It's a wonder they don't get caught at work.
That's why some trace routes don't go through.

I have had trace-routes done on me -- namely by : Eric Oyen, N7ZZT, in Arizona.

I only change my address and identity to cut down on unwanted emails.

There are no threats in my posts...

Yet, my name is posted on this newsgroup in a list of "KeyQueens run off this Newsgroup" by this Dougie character.

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:41ebd5d6@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > Content Control
> > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00 pm
> > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the amateur
> > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason it's dying
> > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
> > dying
> > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under the
> > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station. As a
> > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know when
> > I
> > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in the
> > form
> > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
> > Communication
> > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
> > content
> > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules and
> > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio. The FCC of
> > course
> > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe that
> > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio. It of course is not true, this
> > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act apply in
> > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC. Let me enlighten you on
> > a
> > little history that starts in the 1980's. In the 1980's and 1990's packet
> > radio was a big thing. Let me tell you when I first got into amateur radio
> > I
> > thought packet was neat. I mean I would stay up all night and play on
> > packet
> > till the sun came up and then head off to work. This of course was in
> > 1991-1992 when I had first gotten my license. But, there was one thing I
> > noticed; I had gotten into the local BBS system to read the latest
> > messages

> > and then I went through the network and got into the BBS over in
Australia
> > and guess what? It was the same crap. Many people believe think packet
> > radio
> > died from the speed in reality packet died because of the content. The
> > same
> > will happen eventually to amateur radio. I mean who would spend the time
> > and
> > money on getting a ham license when every thing a amateur radio operator
> > can
> > do a person can do on the internet. I mean don't get me wrong talking
all
> > over the world on the radio is neat and all; but you can also do that on
> > the
> > internet. I mean would a average person spend the time and money to get
a
> > ham license to talk on the radio to give their name, location, power
> > output,
> > antenna height, and weather report to some smock over seas or get on the
> > internet where content control isn't a problem. I guess what I'm getting
> > at
> > is for amateur radio to really grow amateurs must get rid of this idea
of
> > content control. Because what's really going to happen is their going to
> > loose to technologies which don't have that restriction. For Amateur
radio
> > to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's. The problem
> > is
> > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or control
the
> > content. So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's not
in
> > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it. I mean so what if
broadcasting
> > information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value to
> > the
> > Amateurs. So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my opinion
> > about
> > problems and issues in amateur radio. At lease I have a opinion and I'm
> > not
> > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says. That's the
> > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur
> > radio,
> > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we
call
> > ham radio. I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there are
> > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
> > Todd N9OGL
>
> SEE ASSHOLES.......I'M NOT THE ONLY GUY THAT LOVE TO SUCK NIGGER COCK!

>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1002. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 18:49:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <722ou0dk4731aari3ea9jnj4n6ol73a2ph@4ax.com>
Source record: 194642

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 11:23:08 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 10:57:17 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>ï¿½ï¿½that doing over 70 mph makes one a federal criminal,
>
>>Not that I care or anything,
>
>
><g> But of course not!!
>
>>but to avoid further embarrassment at once
>
>>again confusing me with another, I'd suggest
>
>>you recheck your obsession with personal
>
>>searches. I never made that claim.
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>Sure you did. You said dxing is a federal rule and incorrectly
>maintained breaking it makes one a "federal criminal. 70 MPH is also a
>federal rule,,such an analogy really makes your ignorance come full
>circle.

I'll take that subtle redirect of calling the "70 MPH" comment as an
"analogy", as an admission that you were (once again) wrong when you
falsely attributed it as one of my quotes.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1003. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 18:50:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <q62ou016p90nb0juf3431r5q24iesskanb@4ax.com>
Source record: 194643

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 11:24:17 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â
>Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â
>WTF is that, Twist!? :D
>_
>Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□You mean you don't know?
>Email me,,,webtv is foolproof when quoting certain posters and only
>certain usenet access services leave such marks. Call it internet
>fingerprints.
>
>>Like this?
>
>You have to have a webtv to see the manner in which it appears. It's
>foolproof.

If you can't fix it, feature it........

Where I come from that's called "a bug".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1004. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 19:06:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ec0d87$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

Hey triple 1.....Stay the fuck out of the CB group, you stupid fucking
hammie.
Unless you're interested in some hot man on man sex, stay the fuck out of
our group!
"Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41ebff45$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> Amateur radio is only dying in how only YOU view Amateur radio.
>
> Amateur radio is alive and well.
>
> Listen more on the HF especially where the Tsunami hit.
>
> Amateur radio is being used as I type this message.
>
> I have a station and I enjoy talking to those who want to talk.
>
> The only shmuck that YOU refer to -- is yourself while only you are
> calling
> others shmuck.
> (now read this carefully before flaming. It is not me that is calling you
> that name. It is a case in point. i.e. "The pot calling the kettle
> whatever
> color!" so don't go flaming now.)
>
> I'm glad that there is content control on the Amateur bands.
>
> You need to get out more and quit bickering about small issues!
>
> Not everyone wants to listen to a "bleeding-heart liberal"!
>
> If you don't like the content --- DO something else besides crying about
> it.
>
> There is an on/off switch on these radios!
>
> And why is Amateur radio being hated on in a cb radio newsgroup?
>
> (Why is even the Amateur radio topic being discussed in the rec.radio.cb
> newsgroup)
>
> And why are pics of risque material being posted?
>
> There are other groups that these topics can be posted in.

> ---
> ---
> It is simply the fact that the individual/s have/has too much time on
> their
> hands.
> It's a wonder they don't get caught at work.
> That's why some trace routes don't go through.
>
> I have had trace-routes done on me -- namely by : Eric Oyen, N7ZZT, in
> Arizona.
>
> I only change my address and identity to cut down on unwanted emails.
>
> There are no threats in my posts...
>
> Yet, my name is posted on this newsgroup in a list of "KeyQueens run off
> this Newsgroup" by this Dougie character.
>
>
>
>
>
> "moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:41ebd5d6@news.usenetzone.com...
>>
>> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
>> news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>> > Content Control
>> > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at 9.00
> pm
>> > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the
>> > amateur
>> > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason it's
> dying
>> > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
>> > dying
>> > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are under
> the
>> > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station. As a
>> > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know
> when
>> > I
>> > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in the
>> > form
>> > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
>> > Communication
>> > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
>> > content
>> > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules
>> > and

>> > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio. The FCC of
>> > course
>> > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
>> > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe
> that
>> > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio. It of course is not true,
>> > this
>> > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act apply
> in
>> > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC. Let me enlighten you
> on
>> > a
>> > little history that starts in the 1980's. In the 1980's and 1990's
> packet
>> > radio was a big thing. Let me tell you when I first got into amateur
> radio
>> > I
>> > thought packet was neat. I mean I would stay up all night and play on
>> > packet
>> > till the sun came up and then head off to work. This of course was in
>> > 1991-1992 when I had first gotten my license. But, there was one thing
>> > I
>> > noticed; I had gotten into the local BBS system to read the latest
>> > messages
>> > and then I went through the network and got into the BBS over in
> Australia
>> > and guess what? It was the same crap. Many people believe think packet
>> > radio
>> > died from the speed in reality packet died because of the content. The
>> > same
>> > will happen eventually to amateur radio. I mean who would spend the
>> > time
>> > and
>> > money on getting a ham license when every thing a amateur radio
>> > operator
>> > can
>> > do a person can do on the internet. I mean don't get me wrong talking
> all
>> > over the world on the radio is neat and all; but you can also do that
>> > on
>> > the
>> > internet. I mean would a average person spend the time and money to get
> a
>> > ham license to talk on the radio to give their name, location, power
>> > output,
>> > antenna height, and weather report to some smock over seas or get on
>> > the
>> > internet where content control isn't a problem. I guess what I'm
>> > getting
>> > at

>> > is for amateur radio to really grow amateurs must get rid of this idea
> of
>> > content control. Because what's really going to happen is their going
>> > to
>> > loose to technologies which don't have that restriction. For Amateur
> radio
>> > to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's. The
>> > problem
>> > is
>> > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or control
> the
>> > content. So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's not
> in
>> > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it. I mean so what if
> broadcasting
>> > information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value
>> > to
>> > the
>> > Amateurs. So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my opinion
>> > about
>> > problems and issues in amateur radio. At lease I have a opinion and I'm
>> > not
>> > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says. That's
>> > the
>> > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur
>> > radio,
>> > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we
> call
>> > ham radio. I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there are
>> > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
>> > Todd N9OGL
>>
>> SEE ASSHOLES.......I'M NOT THE ONLY GUY THAT LOVE TO SUCK NIGGER COCK!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> _____
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1005. Re: Mae Beck Dates Blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 19:13:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ec0f39$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194645
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb

Hey Steve Jurcenko, you sound like a real asshole. The people you talking about are nice, good people. So go fuck yourself. You homo-phobe, raciest hunk of shit.
Fuck you Steve Jurcenko, and the whore that dropped you on this planet!
"Steve Jurcenko" <Steve.Jurcenko@hudd.com> wrote in message news:f7alu0da6705i87gjopabtm3uuo5mt0rv2@4ax.com...
> Tony Beck aka Anthony Beck is an alcoholic, a rapist, a liar and a
> thief. He is married
> to a
> fat woman named Beth. She has had a miscarriage a daughter and a son.
> Tony Beck occasionlly cruises in the Whalley area of Surrey looking
> for hookers. Tony Beck has been known to associatte with Hell's Angels
> associattes like Tom Strenja and his father from Maple Ridge and other
> convicted criminals and HA associates in Surrey and New Westminster
> and most probably Montreal. Tony Beck has been
> known to threaten to kill people and to rape women when in the company
> of his HA freinds. Some of his victims fear for their safety. Please
> post his home address and phone number if you know it as well as his
> license plate so these people can protect themselves. The same goes
> for any of his associattes. He moves
> frequently
> between Vancouver, Montreal and Toronto. His sister May Beck also
> associates with pimps and criminals in Surrey and Quebec. Her former
> black boyfriend is wanted in Canada for criminal activities. Some of
> the
> people he associated with in Surrey were involved in the Brian
> Paskilidis homicide in Burnaby.
>
> http://www.rcmp-bcmedia.ca/pressrelease.jsp?vRelease=3688
>
> For more information on the Strenjas contact Ridge-Meadows
> RCMP.http://www.mapleridge.org/emergency/rcmp/victim.html
>
> Tony Beck was once employed by Westfair Foods and terminated for
> assaulting an employee.
>
> Tony Beck drives a black SUV and is employed with
> DSL-HUDD-Maersk-Sealand Fax 604-940-8873. He is known to shortchange
> employee
> paycheques there and many of the people he has been associated with
> there also have severe drug problems and have been involved with sex
> crimes and sexual harrassment.Threats of violence are a hallmark of
> this individual. Aliases: Anthony Beck, Fat Tony.

>
> The Danish owned company he works for, A.P. Moller, routinely violates
> Canadian and American law and God only knows what they do in the third
> world. Canadians and Mexicans are flown into the US to do hatchet jobs
> on American workers. Employees are regularily subjected to threats and
> working conditions in violation of OSHA and WCB. Payroll violations
> are routine. Sham unions are a hallmark of this company as is sexual
> harassment.
>
> http://www.ilw.com/lawyers/immigdaily/cases/2001,0219-Hudd.shtm
>
> Chris Kaulbeck the company president of Hudd (Canada) has a head
> covered in scabs and is a liar and a thief. Ann Johnston is also a
> liar and a thief. Sunny Virk is a coward and a liar and a thief he
> cheats on his wife at the Royal Towers with a white woman uglier than
> his wife. Fred is also a liar and a thief. Collette Babinski aka
> Horseface is a liar and a thief.
>
>
> webmaster@reimerexpress.com
> ecomm@roadway.com
> International@roadway.com
> colleen.allard@reimerexpress.com
> RStull@roadway.com
> bob.bedard@reimerexpress.com:
> ron.zonneveld@reimerexpress.com
> ken.shostak@reimerexpress.com
> cphhrd@maersk.com
> personnel@maerskoil.dk
> cenwwwsvcbus@maersk.com
> cphinfo@maersk.com
> personnel@maerskoil.dk
> ckaulbeck@hudd.com
> tbeck@hudd.com
> ajohnston@hudd.com
> cbabinski@hudd.com
> jschultz@hudd.com
> svirk@hudd.com
> change@maersk-air.dk
> reservation@maersk-air.dk
> cenlogadm@maersk-logistics.co
> stan.sokolowski@hudd.com
> ramona.perry@hudd.com
> barry.richman@hudd.com
> tony.kral@hudd.com
> tommyw@hudd.com
> joel.oneal@hudd.com
> tim.daley@hudd.com
> wendy.pleadwell@hudd.com
> gary.kendle@hudd.com

> bjwilliams@hudd.com
> brian.catron@hudd.com
> james.ridgely@hudd.com
> pjclogwnd@maersk-logistics.com
> twnlogopsmng@maersk-logistics.com
> twnlogwnddev@maersk-logistics.com
> thalogwndgen@maersk-logistics.com
> rotlogwhsmng@maersk-logistics.com
> tunlog@maersk-logistics.com
> turlogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> istlogfngexp@maersk-logistics.com
> izmlogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> ukrlog@maersk-logistics.com
> gbrlogwhs@maersk-logistics.com
> urulogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> jlc@mrbenicia.com
> bill.murray@hudd.com
> collette.babinszki@hudd.com
> gord.gunn@hudd.com
> tony.beck@hudd.com
> martin.shaw@hudd.com
> sunny.virk@hudd.com
> ann.johnston@hudd.com
> brian.ralston@hudd.com
> crystal.roma@hudd.com
> gary.lesecki@hudd.com
> michelle.coatze@hudd.com
> tonya.pederson@hudd.com
> Steve.Jurcenko@hudd.com 912-790-2505 912-790-2520
> kara.Heinrich@hudd.com 973-514-5195
> susan.lentz@hudd.com
> robert.bahadur@hudd.com 905-502-1104 ext 224 Fax:905-502-1144
> chris.kaulbeck@hudd.com 604-940-1357 Fax: 604-940-9312
> Munaf.Razzak@hudd.com
> aaron.allen@hudd.com 757-638-5811 757-638-5801 Fax: 757-638-5850
> roy.b@hudd.com
> thomass@hudd.com
> wmrlogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> namlogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> usaregtop@maersksealand.com
> namlogifs@maersk-logistics.com
> kime@hudd.com Kim Ellis 843-832-7660 Fax: 843-832-7671
> online@bisontransport.com
> recruit@bisontransport.com
> marketing@bisontransport.com
> hr@bisontransport.com
> webmaster@bisontransport.com
> kgrenkow@bisontransport.com
> igreenwood@bisontransport.com
> gpitzel@bisontransport.com

> rdurand@bisontransport.com
> eladobruk@bisontransport.com
> tbowker@bisontransport.com
> tthiessen@bisontransport.com
> imccubbing@bisontransport.com
> recruit@bisontransport.com
> dstreuber@bisontransport.com
> gmcfadzean@bisontransport.com
> rpenner@bisontransport.com
> jpries@bisontransport.com
> bkuzdub@bisontransport.com
> bbarron@bisontransport.com
> vwasiuta@bisontransport.com
> dfulawka@bisontransport.com
> dcosentino@bisontransport.com
> ggeck@bisontransport.com
> kroesler@bisontransport.com
> jchapman@bisontransport.com
> rschaeffer@bisontransport.com
> gpitzel@bisontransport.com
> lplett@bisontransport.com
> kbell@bisontransport.com
> safety@bisontransport.com
> ilevine@bisontransport.com
> tdavies@bisontransport.com
> abl@bisonABL.com
> mfedoruk@bisontransport.com
> tfridfinnson@bisontransport.com
> szokvic@bisontransport.com
> online@bisontransport.com
>
> PLEASE POST THIS BULLETIN IN YOUR LUNCH AREA
> Post a follow-up to this message

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1006. Re: Mae Beck Dates Blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 20:25:44 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105993544.087108.82430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194646
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews

Steveo wrote:
> Hey Steve Jurcenko, you sound like a real asshole. The people you
talking
> about are nice, good people. So go fuck yourself. You homo-phobe,
raciest
> hunk of shit.
> Fuck you Steve Jurcenko, and the whore that dropped you on this
planet!
> "Steve Jurcenko" <Steve.Jurcenko@hudd.com> wrote in message
> news:f7alu0da6705i87gjopabtm3uuo5mt0rv2@4ax.com...
> > Tony Beck aka Anthony Beck is an alcoholic, a rapist, a liar and a
> > thief. He is married
> > to a
> > fat woman named Beth. She has had a miscarriage a daughter and a
son.
> > Tony Beck occasionlly cruises in the Whalley area of Surrey looking
> > for hookers. Tony Beck has been known to associatte with Hell's
Angels
> > associattes like Tom Strenja and his father from Maple Ridge and
other
> > convicted criminals and HA associates in Surrey and New
Westminster
> > and most probably Montreal. Tony Beck has been
> > known to threaten to kill people and to rape women when in the
company
> > of his HA freinds. Some of his victims fear for their safety.
Please
> > post his home address and phone number if you know it as well as
his
> > license plate so these people can protect themselves. The same goes
> > for any of his associattes. He moves
> > frequently
> > between Vancouver, Montreal and Toronto. His sister May Beck also
> > associates with pimps and criminals in Surrey and Quebec. Her
former
> > black boyfriend is wanted in Canada for criminal activities. Some
of
> > the
> > people he associated with in Surrey were involved in the Brian
> > Paskilidis homicide in Burnaby.
> >
> > http://www.rcmp-bcmedia.ca/pressrelease.jsp?vRelease=3688
> >

> > For more information on the Strenjas contact Ridge-Meadows
> > RCMP.http://www.mapleridge.org/emergency/rcmp/victim.html
> >
> > Tony Beck was once employed by Westfair Foods and terminated for
> > assaulting an employee.
> >
> > Tony Beck drives a black SUV and is employed with
> > DSL-HUDD-Maersk-Sealand Fax 604-940-8873. He is known to
shortchange
> > employee
> > paycheques there and many of the people he has been associated with
> > there also have severe drug problems and have been involved with
sex
> > crimes and sexual harrassment.Threats of violence are a hallmark of
> > this individual. Aliases: Anthony Beck, Fat Tony.
> >
> > The Danish owned company he works for, A.P. Moller, routinely
violates
> > Canadian and American law and God only knows what they do in the
third
> > world. Canadians and Mexicans are flown into the US to do hatchet
jobs
> > on American workers. Employees are regularily subjected to threats
and
> > working conditions in violation of OSHA and WCB. Payroll violations
> > are routine. Sham unions are a hallmark of this company as is
sexual
> > harassment.
> >
> > http://www.ilw.com/lawyers/immigdaily/cases/2001,0219-Hudd.shtm
> >
> > Chris Kaulbeck the company president of Hudd (Canada) has a head
> > covered in scabs and is a liar and a thief. Ann Johnston is also a
> > liar and a thief. Sunny Virk is a coward and a liar and a thief he
> > cheats on his wife at the Royal Towers with a white woman uglier
than
> > his wife. Fred is also a liar and a thief. Collette Babinski aka
> > Horseface is a liar and a thief.
> >
> >
> > webmaster@reimerexpress.com
> > ecomm@roadway.com
> > International@roadway.com
> > colleen.allard@reimerexpress.com
> > RStull@roadway.com
> > bob.bedard@reimerexpress.com:
> > ron.zonneveld@reimerexpress.com
> > ken.shostak@reimerexpress.com
> > cphhrd@maersk.com
> > personnel@maerskoil.dk

> > cenwwwsvcbus@maersk.com
> > cphinfo@maersk.com
> > personnel@maerskoil.dk
> > ckaulbeck@hudd.com
> > tbeck@hudd.com
> > ajohnston@hudd.com
> > cbabinski@hudd.com
> > jschultz@hudd.com
> > svirk@hudd.com
> > change@maersk-air.dk
> > reservation@maersk-air.dk
> > cenlogadm@maersk-logistics.co
> > stan.sokolowski@hudd.com
> > ramona.perry@hudd.com
> > barry.richman@hudd.com
> > tony.kral@hudd.com
> > tommyw@hudd.com
> > joel.oneal@hudd.com
> > tim.daley@hudd.com
> > wendy.pleadwell@hudd.com
> > gary.kendle@hudd.com
> > bjwilliams@hudd.com
> > brian.catron@hudd.com
> > james.ridgely@hudd.com
> > pjclogwnd@maersk-logistics.com
> > twnlogopsmng@maersk-logistics.com
> > twnlogwnddev@maersk-logistics.com
> > thalogwndgen@maersk-logistics.com
> > rotlogwhsmng@maersk-logistics.com
> > tunlog@maersk-logistics.com
> > turlogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> > istlogfngexp@maersk-logistics.com
> > izmlogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> > ukrlog@maersk-logistics.com
> > gbrlogwhs@maersk-logistics.com
> > urulogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> > jlc@mrbenicia.com
> > bill.murray@hudd.com
> > collette.babinszki@hudd.com
> > gord.gunn@hudd.com
> > tony.beck@hudd.com
> > martin.shaw@hudd.com
> > sunny.virk@hudd.com
> > ann.johnston@hudd.com
> > brian.ralston@hudd.com
> > crystal.roma@hudd.com
> > gary.lesecki@hudd.com
> > michelle.coatze@hudd.com
> > tonya.pederson@hudd.com
> > Steve.Jurcenko@hudd.com 912-790-2505 912-790-2520

> > kara.Heinrich@hudd.com 973-514-5195
> > susan.lentz@hudd.com
> > robert.bahadur@hudd.com 905-502-1104 ext 224 Fax:905-502-1144
> > chris.kaulbeck@hudd.com 604-940-1357 Fax: 604-940-9312
> > Munaf.Razzak@hudd.com
> > aaron.allen@hudd.com 757-638-5811 757-638-5801 Fax: 757-638-5850
> > roy.b@hudd.com
> > thomass@hudd.com
> > wmrlogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> > namlogmng@maersk-logistics.com
> > usaregtop@maersksealand.com
> > namlogifs@maersk-logistics.com
> > kime@hudd.com Kim Ellis 843-832-7660 Fax: 843-832-7671
> > online@bisontransport.com
> > recruit@bisontransport.com
> > marketing@bisontransport.com
> > hr@bisontransport.com
> > webmaster@bisontransport.com
> > kgrenkow@bisontransport.com
> > igreenwood@bisontransport.com
> > gpitzel@bisontransport.com
> > rdurand@bisontransport.com
> > eladobruk@bisontransport.com
> > tbowker@bisontransport.com
> > tthiessen@bisontransport.com
> > imccubbing@bisontransport.com
> > recruit@bisontransport.com
> > dstreuber@bisontransport.com
> > gmcfadzean@bisontransport.com
> > rpenner@bisontransport.com
> > jpries@bisontransport.com
> > bkuzdub@bisontransport.com
> > bbarron@bisontransport.com
> > vwasiuta@bisontransport.com
> > dfulawka@bisontransport.com
> > dcosentino@bisontransport.com
> > ggeck@bisontransport.com
> > kroesler@bisontransport.com
> > jchapman@bisontransport.com
> > rschaeffer@bisontransport.com
> > gpitzel@bisontransport.com
> > lplett@bisontransport.com
> > kbell@bisontransport.com
> > safety@bisontransport.com
> > ilevine@bisontransport.com
> > tdavies@bisontransport.com
> > abl@bisonABL.com
> > mfedoruk@bisontransport.com
> > tfridfinnson@bisontransport.com
> > szokvic@bisontransport.com

> > online@bisontransport.com
> >
> > PLEASE POST THIS BULLETIN IN YOUR LUNCH AREA
> > Post a follow-up to this message
>
>
what the fuck is up with you steveo? stop spreading your homo shit all over the web. are you trying to get every crazy faggot to post here? why can't you and twathed and landfag just be happy with your little gay cb club?isn't it enough we have the stupid skin head and raciest asswipes here now? you're not happy with that, now you want your crazy faggots here too. stop your shit steveo, you dumb bastard!

1007. Re: [...forging antikeyclowns know they have NO credibility, anymore...]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 20:29:20 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1105993760.718563.99040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194647

Thats you and faggot cb club buddies with the forging. you and twathed
are in rade form the last couple of days, aren't you!!!!!!!!!!
AKC's are dweebs wrote:
> "moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:41ebd813@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> > AND FUCK WHOEVER DON'T LIKE IT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Yes, typical snot nosed punk attitude... Are you an
> angry hammie.. and Not acting your own age, too..???
>
> Whatever happened to the (so called) akc righteousness??
> (....not that it ever really existed in the first place....?!?!)
>
> You delinquent (akc) punk(s), in you mommies basement(s), have
reduced
> yourselves to posting *off topic gay porn spam*. pathetic.
>
> Impotent akc coward(s), busy -forging-, ..again...folks..
>
>
> > _____________________________________
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 120,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1008. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 20:53:36 UTC
From: Triple 1 <nodamnham@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41ec2366$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

Damn Proud Of It, Too!

I operate CB, Ham, MURS, FRS, GMRS, Maritime Radiotelephone, and HF comms.

I will post topics here that relate to CB.

So. Get Over It!

Why don't YOU tell the others to do the same thing and see what happens?

NO?

Then you don't go telling me what to do!

You Don't Control Me, you Mud duck!
Ya damn trunk-monkey!

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41ec0d87$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> Hey triple 1.....Stay the fuck out of the CB group, you stupid fucking
> hammie.
> Unless you're interested in some hot man on man sex, stay the fuck out of
> our group!
> "Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:41ebff45$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> > Amateur radio is only dying in how only YOU view Amateur radio.
> >
> > Amateur radio is alive and well.
> >
> > Listen more on the HF especially where the Tsunami hit.
> >
> > Amateur radio is being used as I type this message.
> >
> > I have a station and I enjoy talking to those who want to talk.
> >
> > The only shmuck that YOU refer to -- is yourself while only you are
> > calling
> > others shmuck.
> > (now read this carefully before flaming. It is not me that is calling
you
> > that name. It is a case in point. i.e. "The pot calling the kettle
> > whatever
> > color!" so don't go flaming now.)
> >
> > I'm glad that there is content control on the Amateur bands.
> >

> > You need to get out more and quit bickering about small issues!
> >
> > Not everyone wants to listen to a "bleeding-heart liberal"!
> >
> > If you don't like the content --- DO something else besides crying about
> > it.
> >
> > There is an on/off switch on these radios!
> >
> > And why is Amateur radio being hated on in a cb radio newsgroup?
> >
> > (Why is even the Amateur radio topic being discussed in the rec.radio.cb
> > newsgroup)
> >
> > And why are pics of risque material being posted?
> >
> > There are other groups that these topics can be posted in.
>
> ---
-
> > ---
> > It is simply the fact that the individual/s have/has too much time on
> > their
> > hands.
> > It's a wonder they don't get caught at work.
> > That's why some trace routes don't go through.
> >
> > I have had trace-routes done on me -- namely by : Eric Oyen, N7ZZT, in
> > Arizona.
> >
> > I only change my address and identity to cut down on unwanted emails.
> >
> > There are no threats in my posts...
> >
> > Yet, my name is posted on this newsgroup in a list of "KeyQueens run off
> > this Newsgroup" by this Dougie character.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:41ebd5d6@news.usenetzone.com...
> >>
> >> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> >> news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> >> > Content Control
> >> > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at
9.00
> > pm

> >> > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the
> >> > amateur
> >> > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason it's
> > dying
> >> > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
> >> > dying
> >> > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are
under
> > the
> >> > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station. As
a
> >> > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know
> > when
> >> > I
> >> > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in
the
> >> > form
> >> > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
> >> > Communication
> >> > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
> >> > content
> >> > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules
> >> > and
> >> > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio. The FCC of
> >> > course
> >> > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> >> > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe
> > that
> >> > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio. It of course is not true,
> >> > this
> >> > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act
apply
> > in
> >> > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC. Let me enlighten
you
> > on
> >> > a
> >> > little history that starts in the 1980's. In the 1980's and 1990's
> > packet
> >> > radio was a big thing. Let me tell you when I first got into amateur
> > radio
> >> > I
> >> > thought packet was neat. I mean I would stay up all night and play on
> >> > packet
> >> > till the sun came up and then head off to work. This of course was in
> >> > 1991-1992 when I had first gotten my license. But, there was one
thing
> >> > I
> >> > noticed; I had gotten into the local BBS system to read the latest
> >> > messages

> >> > and then I went through the network and got into the BBS over in
> > Australia
> >> > and guess what? It was the same crap. Many people believe think
packet
> >> > radio
> >> > died from the speed in reality packet died because of the content.
The
> >> > same
> >> > will happen eventually to amateur radio. I mean who would spend the
> >> > time
> >> > and
> >> > money on getting a ham license when every thing a amateur radio
> >> > operator
> >> > can
> >> > do a person can do on the internet. I mean don't get me wrong talking
> > all
> >> > over the world on the radio is neat and all; but you can also do that
> >> > on
> >> > the
> >> > internet. I mean would a average person spend the time and money to
get
> > a
> >> > ham license to talk on the radio to give their name, location, power
> >> > output,
> >> > antenna height, and weather report to some smock over seas or get on
> >> > the
> >> > internet where content control isn't a problem. I guess what I'm
> >> > getting
> >> > at
> >> > is for amateur radio to really grow amateurs must get rid of this
idea
> > of
> >> > content control. Because what's really going to happen is their going
> >> > to
> >> > loose to technologies which don't have that restriction. For Amateur
> > radio
> >> > to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's. The
> >> > problem
> >> > is
> >> > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or
control
> > the
> >> > content. So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's
not
> > in
> >> > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it. I mean so what if
> > broadcasting
> >> > information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value
> >> > to
> >> > the

> >> > Amateurs. So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my
opinion
> >> > about
> >> > problems and issues in amateur radio. At lease I have a opinion and
I'm
> >> > not
> >> > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says. That's
> >> > the
> >> > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur
> >> > radio,
> >> > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we
> > call
> >> > ham radio. I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there
are
> >> > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
> >> > Todd N9OGL
> >>
> >> SEE ASSHOLES.......I'M NOT THE ONLY GUY THAT LOVE TO SUCK NIGGER COCK!
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> More than 120,000 groups
> >> Unlimited download
> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >
> >
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1009. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 21:20:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10719-41EC2C32-245@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194649

From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
>Can you do a screen capture? I would like to

>see what your talking about..

Perhaps. Send me an email.

1010. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 21:32:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10719-41EC2EEA-248@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194650

>I'll take that subtle redirect of calling the "70

>MPH" comment as an "analogy", as an

>admission that you were (once again) wrong

>when you falsely attributed it as one of my

>quotes.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Of course you may "take it" any way you need after the fact. You said
the enacting of a rule enacted by the feds is "law" and you most
certainly said one who breaks federal rules makes on a "federal
criminal".
By all means, feel free to expound on how you misinterpret one who dxes
as a "federal criminal" from one going above 70 MPH
and you not considering them a federal criminal.
After all, they are both federally enacted rules...and the breaking of
such rules is the ONLY criteria submitted by yourself in mistakenly and
ignorantly referring to one who dxes as a federal criminal.

1011. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 21:36:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10719-41EC2FDA-250@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194651

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 11:24:17 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 12:02:18 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□
Ã□Â
Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□
Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□
â□□Ã□Â
WTF is that, Twist!? :D
_
Ã□â□□Ã□Â Ã□â□□Ã□You mean you don't know?
Email me,,,webtv is foolproof when quoting certain posters and only
certain usenet access services leave such marks. Call it internet
fingerprints.
Like this?
You have to have a webtv to see the manner in which it appears. It's
foolproof.
-
>If you can't fix it, feature it........

Intelligent folks not only do not see problems where they do not
exist,...they don't fix what isnt broke. Try it sometime, you will find
the world really isn't against you with conspiratorial liberalism.
.
>Where I come from that's called "a bug".

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Where you come from has no relation to your self-professed ignorance
concerning the internet and protocol.

1012. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 21:41:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10719-41EC3126-252@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194652

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:29994-41EBE252-211
@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
DX affects splatter and signals, cut and dry, and you've been skinned by
several here showing your original statement "dx does not affect
splatter" is wrong, so much in fact, you rushed to qualify just what
"you" meant by such a statement, when everyone else knew exactly what
the statement meant.

>There was none who agreed with you other

>than Frank,

Is that how you measure your success in this group? Gee, that's two more
than who bother with you in this group.

>the others Lancer, Dave and myself chose to

>agree with what each other said, they are both
>intelligent when it comes to these matters

Leave it you to cite N3CVJ and his stubborn, incorrect cries of "roger
beeps are illegal" as intelligent.

>I'll

>.take their opinion over your's and Franks put

>together any day.

Except,,,,,,,,whose opinion you take matters only to yourself. Tell us
again how Jerry, Lelnad, and Dogie have been in touch with each other
and screwing up the group.

1013. KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 21:51:18 UTC
From: nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13234-41EC3356-178@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194653

From: iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Coolest rrcb Survey Yet Date: Sun, Jul 18, 2004, 4:43pm (EST-2) Organization: http://groups.google.com X-Trace: posting.google.com 1090194220 20815 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2004 23:43:40 GMT) X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, Jul 18, 2004, 11:43pm (EST+5)
listen brown lips there is a lot that can be done so dont go celebrating yet goof nuts. Leland, Doug, Dave, Jerry O and I had a meeting and decided what you need is your isp pull your account and your pirate radio gear get confiscated by the law yes many minds thinking together can work miracles my freind

1014. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 22:12:33 UTC
From: Leigh Marrin/KM6JE <leigh@coyote.rain.org>
Message-ID: <cshd8h$fh9$1@news.rain.org>
Source record: 194654

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
> noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So
> there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using
> barefoot?

Vinnie, I've had a lot of fun using various wire antennas on CB.
Horizontally polarized antennas don't do very well for local talkin' to
vertically polarized stations, especially on AM. AMers love strong "big
strapper" signals. However, a horizontal wire dipole will work fine in a
more effecient mode like SSB.

I understand you want a low profile antenna: you might try making a 1/4
wave groundplane on your roof. Buy a 102" whip and mounting bracket from
Radio Shack, hose-clamp the mounting bracket on a roof vent pipe, then
attach two or more slightly longer radials (maybe 106"), attach them to
the outside of the mounting bracket. Just lay the radials on the roof,
and perhaps thumbtack them when you've got the antenna tuned.

You can tune this 1/4 wave groundplane by trimming or lengthing the
radials: shortening a radial will cause the resonant frequency to go up.
Also, changing the angle of the radials will slightly affect SWR.

I've also used long wire antennas on CB: again, they usually end up being
horizontally polarized. For my current HF ham station (covering
10/15/20/40/80) meters, I use a 50' wire tied to a tree, a 8 foot ground
rod about 6 feet away from my radio, and a small/cheap MFJ tuner. Works
great for CW on those 5 bands, although on 20 meters I sometimes get some
RF "bites" when trying to tune on 20 meters/14mhz. On SSB, I usually can
get good signal reports on 40 meters, and SSB reception reports on other
bands are not quite so good, although the other operators can at least
usually hear me.

When I hook my Uniden PC-122 CB up to this long wire antenna, it works OK
for local SSB use, but on AM, I'm "mud-duckin'"..

A couple of times I've set up "stealth" ham and CB stations from motel
rooms when traveling. I'd ask for a second story room: at night I'd take
a roll of 24 gauge wire with a weighted end got up as much wire as I
could, and then for a ground for the tuner, I'd lay about 30 feet of wire
on the floor of my motel room. (When expecting maid service, I'd coil up
the inside wire.)

(Note that the above suggestion will cause much interference to
phones/TVs/etc.)

One last suggestion: I'd avoid antenna tuners from CB sources, as a "Pyramid" brand tuner I had was terible. MFJ makes several tuners in the $30 to $50 range that will tune both long wire and coaxial antennas. While MFJ products typically have a very flimsy feel them, they are almost always excellent designs that work well.

You might also want to check ARRL antennas books in the local library: I had a lot of fun making and using a vertically-polarized and vertically-mounted full-loop on CB. My loop used about 37 feet of wire, with one end soldered to the coax shield and the other end soldered to the coax center conducter.

In any event, HAVE FUN! If your antenna works, you can be proud of your handiwork, as most of us CBers and Ham-bones are nuthin' but "appliance operators".

73 and all them other good numbers to ya. --Leigh Marrin/KM6JE in Santa Barbara, Calif.

1015. Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:02:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106002947.965456.96760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194655

Nicolai Carpathia wrote:
> From: iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) Group:
> rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Coolest rrcb Survey Yet Date:
> Sun, Jul 18, 2004, 4:43pm (EST-2) Organization:
> http://groups.google.com X-Trace: posting.google.com 1090194220
> 20815 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2004 23:43:40 GMT) X-Complaints-To:
> groups-abuse@google.com NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, Jul 18, 2004,
> 11:43pm (EST+5)
> listen brown lips there is a lot that can be done so dont go
celebrating
> yet goof nuts. Leland, Doug, Dave, Jerry O and I had a meeting and
> decided what you need is your isp pull your account and your pirate
> radio gear get confiscated by the law yes many minds thinking
together
> can work miracles my freind

Twithed forges and spews...whoopie doo.

1016. Re: Mae Beck Dates Blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:04:26 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106003066.494909.103300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194656
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews

I dunno who is forging what, but the "dumb bastard" part is accurate.

1017. Re: well.well,well !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:05:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106003155.927859.76050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194657

More likely was at a Petro toilet GETTING plowed.

1018. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:07:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106003230.539188.159760@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194658

No, you are a crack smoking keyclown.

1019. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:08:24 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106003304.382864.163230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194659

the goo around here is on your lips, mayne vein slurper.

1020. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:08:51 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106003331.802871.164500@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194660

Liar, you were at Petro in the toilet.

1021. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:10:24 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106003424.701517.169110@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194661

and you are an idiot who only posts what she finds on the web. a
pathetic FUGGLY pimpin' butt pirate.

1022. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:32:48 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <i%XGd.3224$lW1.2054@fe08.lga>
Source record: 194662

Sorry, the FCC has already Type Accepted rigs that include ETS or "roger"
beeps.

http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html

http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html

http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782
"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E1B842A5A191234567890@216.196.97.136...
>
> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>
> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state or
> local law;
> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
> meaning;
> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of another CB
> station;
> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or voice
> paging;
> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
> amuse or entertain;
> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other international
> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship, aircraft
> or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger and
> you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any CB
> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign (You
> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of a
> campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.

> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications intended for
> live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use your CB
> station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
>
>
>
> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>
> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal modification
> to your CB transmitter.
> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part of
> your CB transmitter
> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
> frequencies or with illegal power.
>

1023. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:36:41 UTC
From: Triple 1 <nodamnham@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41ec499e$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194663

How would you like a country ass-whoopin', Boy?

Roger beeps are NOT 100% ILLEGAL!

Quit changing this Newsgroup into obeying your lifestyle and take your trash somewhere ELSE!

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E1B842A5A1912345678 90@216.196.97.136...
>
> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>
> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state or
> local law;
> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
> meaning;
> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of another CB
> station;
> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or voice
> paging;
> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
> amuse or entertain;
> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other international
> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship, aircraft
> or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger and
> you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any CB
> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign (You
> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of a
> campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications intended for
> live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use your CB
> station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
>
>
>
> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters

>
> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal modification
> to your CB transmitter.
> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part of
> your CB transmitter
> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
> frequencies or with illegal power.
>

1024. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-17 23:41:21 UTC
From: Triple 1 <nodamnham@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41ec4ab7$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194664
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

Oh, Boo HOO!

You post all your Crap, now you wanna cry cause someone gave you a taste of
your own crap!

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41ebe0e6$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> Hey cunt, Why don't you drag your lard ass away from the dam computer long
> enough to properly take care of your family and leave me the fuck alone.
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1025. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 00:03:11 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3nYGd.16035$_X7.7205@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194665

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>
> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state or
> local law;
> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
> meaning;
> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of another CB
> station;
> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or voice
> paging;
> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
> amuse or entertain;
> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other international
> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship, aircraft
> or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger and
> you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any CB
> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign (You
> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of a
> campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications intended for
> live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use your CB
> station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
>
>
>
> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>
> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal modification
> to your CB transmitter.
> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part of
> your CB transmitter
> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by

> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
> frequencies or with illegal power.
>

Not so sure they're 100% illegal:

1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep on a CB radio. External electronics can accomplish this. Microphones do not have to be type accepted and can include this circuitry.

2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling the end of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract attention", are not intended to entertain, and do not violate any part of either of these sections.

You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put them out here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100% correct.

-SSB

1026. Humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 00:22:12 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <UEYGd.16051$_X7.10870@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194666
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

http://ars.userfriendly.org/cartoons/?id=20030428&mode=classic

1027. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 00:33:59 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <XPYGd.27654$_56.3875@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194667

"SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
news:3nYGd.16035$_X7.7205@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>>
>> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
>> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state or
>> local law;
>> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
>> meaning;
>> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of another CB
>> station;
>> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
>> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or voice
>> paging;
>> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>> amuse or entertain;
>> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other international
>> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship, aircraft
>> or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger and
>> you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
>> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any CB
>> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
>> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign (You
>> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of a
>> campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
>> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
>> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
>> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
>> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications intended for
>> live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use your CB
>> station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
>>
>>
>>
>> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>>
>> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal modification
>> to your CB transmitter.
>> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
>> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
>> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part of

>> your CB transmitter
>> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
>> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
>> frequencies or with illegal power.
>>
>
> Not so sure they're 100% illegal:
>
> 1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep on a CB
> radio. External electronics can accomplish this. Microphones do not have
> to be type accepted and can include this circuitry.
>
> 2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling the end
> of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract attention", are not
> intended to entertain, and do not violate any part of either of these
> sections.
>
> You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put them out
> here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100% correct.
>
> -SSB

Please do not confuse him with facts.

1028. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 00:42:21 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <62ZGd.7682$Yz5.7307@fe10.lga>
Source record: 194668

Landshark wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:Km0Fd.254$aP2.94@fe11.lga...
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>"U Know Who" <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:20050111185043.606$73@newsreader.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>verifiable traits which indicate the
>>>>>>illegality of their transmissions.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Fuckin' cooling fan sounds usually give me away. Dang.
>>>>
>>>>Open the window. God knows it's cold enough there to cool molten steel!
>>>>
>>>
>>>Yeabut then you can hear the coal falling. I used to heat 14 acres of
>>>greenhouse..my standby generator would power a city block!
>>>
>>>I still hate every Easter Lilly I ever see.
>>
>>dude i have a 2.2 megawatt generator at work. enough for 2k+ houses.
>>sonofabitch roars when powered up...
>
>
> Use that at the Keydowns, do ya? LOL!!!!
>
> Landshark
>
>
hmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm

1029. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 01:00:24 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <IcZGd.16071$_X7.12871@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194669

U Know Who wrote:
> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:3nYGd.16035$_X7.7205@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>
>>Not so sure they're 100% illegal:
>>
>>1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep on a CB
>>radio. External electronics can accomplish this. Microphones do not have
>>to be type accepted and can include this circuitry.
>>
>>2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling the end
>>of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract attention", are not
>>intended to entertain, and do not violate any part of either of these
>>sections.
>>
>>You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put them out
>>here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100% correct.
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
> Please do not confuse him with facts.
>
>

But if I don't confuse him with the facts, then what method should I use?

Disseminating information is the easiest cure for ignorance.

-SSB

1030. Re:Triple 1 sucks dog dicks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 01:13:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ec6397@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Hey triple one.....I thought I told you to get the fuck out of here. Btw,
whats the name of the whore that spat you out?
"Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41ec499e$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net...
> How would you like a country ass-whoopin', Boy?
>
> Roger beeps are NOT 100% ILLEGAL!
>
> Quit changing this Newsgroup into obeying your lifestyle and take your
> trash
> somewhere ELSE!
>
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95E1B842A5A191234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>
>> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>>
>> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
>> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state or
>> local law;
>> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
>> meaning;
>> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of another CB
>> station;
>> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
>> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or voice
>> paging;
>> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>> amuse or entertain;
>> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other international
>> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship, aircraft
>> or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger and
>> you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
>> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any CB
>> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
>> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign (You
>> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of a
>> campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
>> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
>> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).

>> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
>> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications intended for
>> live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use your CB
>> station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
>>
>>
>>
>> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>>
>> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal modification
>> to your CB transmitter.
>> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
>> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
>> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part of
>> your CB transmitter
>> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
>> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
>> frequencies or with illegal power.
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1031. FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 01:20:19 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <41EC6453.1050509@optonline.net>
Source record: 194671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

Check it out if you like.. MANY pics

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037

1032. Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 01:22:28 UTC
From: nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1857-41EC64D4-3004@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194672

From: iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am Not George)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Coolest rrcb Survey Yet
Date: 18 Jul 2004 16:43:40 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <c034bd2.0407181543.6ebde90@posting.google.com>
References: <c034bd2.0407151129.1c39f683@posting.google.com>
<20040715184917.261$2I@newsreader.com>
<%UHJc.20807$KP4.5789@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
<20040715233431.945$kX@newsreader.com>
<Ff4Kc.11434$I_1.412@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
<c034bd2.0407171034.2443ae4d@posting.google.com>
<04tif0ho9t9nvtvnjjsq05d98ehjbnd3j1@2355323778>
<Y6iKc.2219$qa2.1334@fe2.texas.rr.com>
<c034bd2.0407181026.3048f2cb@posting.google.com>
<20040718143012.334$QF@newsreader.com> NNTP-Posting-Host: 172.143.163.12
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-Transfer-Encoding:
8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1090194220 20815 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2004
23:43:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Jul 2004 23:43:40 +0000 (UTC)
iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote: Steveo
moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote: iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am
Not George) wrote: listen brown lips there is a lot that can be done so
dont go celebrating yet goof nuts. Leland, Doug, Frank, Jerry O and I
had a meeting and decided what you need is your isp pull your account
and your pirate radio gear get confiscated by the law yes many minds
thinking together can work miracles my freind
Google Home - Google Labs - Services & Tools - Terms of Service -
Privacy Policy - Jobs, Press, & Help
©2005 Google

1033. Re: Steveo LOVES Jew-nigger Cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 01:26:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ec66aa$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

STAY OUT MY CB GROUP YOU BUNCH OF FAG-BOI'S
"neds helper" <ned@arthur.valhalla.oz> wrote in message
news:YA3Gd.119223$K7.55143@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> "moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
>
> ------snip-------
>
>
> nota thing relivant to act-b
>
>
> piss of racist troll
>
>
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1034. Re: Re:Triple 1 sucks dog dicks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:10:23 UTC
From: Triple 1 <nodamnham@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41ec6da3$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194674

You don't control me!

You better keep your unwelcome material out of rec.radio.cb because it
doesn't relate to CB what-so-ever.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41ec6397@news.usenetzone.com...
> Hey triple one.....I thought I told you to get the fuck out of here. Btw,
> whats the name of the whore that spat you out?
> "Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:41ec499e$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net...
> > How would you like a country ass-whoopin', Boy?
> >
> > Roger beeps are NOT 100% ILLEGAL!
> >
> > Quit changing this Newsgroup into obeying your lifestyle and take your
> > trash
> > somewhere ELSE!
> >
> >
> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote in message news:Xns95E1B842A5A191234567890@216.196.97.136...
> >>
> >> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
> >>
> >> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
> >> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state or
> >> local law;
> >> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
> >> meaning;
> >> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of another
CB
> >> station;
> >> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
> >> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or
voice
> >> paging;
> >> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
> >> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
> >> amuse or entertain;
> >> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
> >> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other international
> >> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship,
aircraft
> >> or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger and

> >> you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
> >> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any CB
> >> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
> >> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign (You
> >> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of a
> >> campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
> >> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
> >> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
> >> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
> >> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications intended
for
> >> live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use your
CB
> >> station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
> >>
> >> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal
modification
> >> to your CB transmitter.
> >> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
> >> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
> >> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part of
> >> your CB transmitter
> >> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
> >> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
> >> frequencies or with illegal power.
> >>
> >
> >
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1035. Re: Re:Triple 1 sucks dog dicks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:15:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ec7216@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews

```
FUCK YOU.
"Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41ec6da3$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net...
> You don't control me!
>
> You better keep your unwelcome material out of rec.radio.cb because it
> doesn't relate to CB what-so-ever.
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:41ec6397@news.usenetzone.com...
>> Hey triple one.....I thought I told you to get the fuck out of here. Btw,
>> whats the name of the whore that spat you out?
>> "Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
>> news:41ec499e$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net...
>> > How would you like a country ass-whoopin', Boy?
>> >
>> > Roger beeps are NOT 100% ILLEGAL!
>> >
>> > Quit changing this Newsgroup into obeying your lifestyle and take your
>> > trash
>> > somewhere ELSE!
>> >
>> >
>> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote in message news:Xns95E1B842A5A191234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> >>
>> >> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>> >>
>> >> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
>> >> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state
>> >> or
>> >> local law;
>> >> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
>> >> meaning;
>> >> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of another
> CB
>> >> station;
>> >> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
>> >> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or
> voice
>> >> paging;
>> >> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
>> >> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material
```

>> >> to
>> >> amuse or entertain;
>> >> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>> >> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other international
>> >> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship,
> aircraft
>> >> or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger
>> >> and
>> >> you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
>> >> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any CB
>> >> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
>> >> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign
>> >> (You
>> >> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of a
>> >> campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
>> >> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
>> >> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
>> >> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
>> >> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications intended
> for
>> >> live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use your
> CB
>> >> station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>> >>
>> >> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal
> modification
>> >> to your CB transmitter.
>> >> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
>> >> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
>> >> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part of
>> >> your CB transmitter
>> >> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
>> >> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
>> >> frequencies or with illegal power.
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>> --
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1036. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:19:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117211926.765$pG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Hey triple 1.....Stay the fuck out of the CB group, you stupid fucking
> hammie.
>
Yawn.

1037. Re: Mae Beck Dates Blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:26:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117212638.819$tb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194677
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Hey Steve Jurcenko, you sound like a real asshole.
>
That's me, a real asshole. tap boom. lol

1038. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:27:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117212745.885$nF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194678

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:29994-41EBE252-211
> @storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > DX affects splatter and signals, cut and dry, and you've been skinned
> > by several here showing your original statement "dx does not affect
> > splatter" is wrong, so much in fact, you rushed to qualify just what
> > "you" meant by such a statement, when everyone else knew exactly what
> > the statement meant.
>
> There was none who agreed with you other than Frank, the others Lancer,
> Dave and myself chose to agree with what each other said, they are both
> intelligent when it comes to these matters I'll take their opinion over
> your's and Franks put together any day.
>
If you want an assholes opinion, ask me. <g>

1039. Re: N8WWM IS INDIGENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:30:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117213039.497$PZ_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194679
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote:
> what the fuck is up with you steveo?
>
Same shit, different stink.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/ hehe

1040. Ping Steveo fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:32:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117213247.384$yW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194680

You and dogie are a trip!

Dayton Ohio in May?

1041. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:43:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117214328.741$1i@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194681

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: lancer@rock..com (Lancer)
> >Can you do a screen capture? I would like to
>
> >see what your talking about..
>
> Perhaps. Send me an email.
>
Hey Twist, webtv is ripping you off. I say that without malice, since I used to have it. You are missing the -boat- using that shit heap. :D (no flame)

1042. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:45:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117214516.164$nD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194682

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:10719-41EC3126-252
> @storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > Except,,,,,,,,whose opinion you take matters only to yourself. Tell us
> > again how Jerry, Lelnad, and Dogie have been in touch with each other
> > and screwing up the group.
>
> I never told you they were in the first place slack jaw. go fabricate
> more lies, assclown.
>
Reckon the Eagles can get past Atlanta, without TO?

1043. Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:48:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117214836.418$Fg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Twithed forges and spews...whoopie doo.
>
Answer the fucking questions, mince meat.

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

1044. Re: Re:Triple 1 sucks dog dicks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:53:10 UTC
From: Triple 1 <nodamnham@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41ec77aa_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews

```
Subject: Ping Steveo fans
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Date: 18 Jan 2005 02:32:47 GMT
Organization: http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
Message-ID: <20050117213247.384$yW@newsreader.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
X-Trace: NewsReader.Com O9AbhhH@RW4+k6Z6zFB7_cuLtLtZCIN/=-tBlr-sU.sB/hTWh
X-Face:
7!2%<D)SUL\9tqJNIF(n@~J*<"Cf8wSjFBVt#GB;fWZU~ClT42,v3(]"I^UiahD_CHS@j$?

u%wIN8sPtS=I(~G`s#RC|}YCoiHi$1FQo1IPEmvB!B^&<TbG,gV/00>LC(Cv{qTeMa+g@Lu$&DE1
!D
|g3e/a[Fz7c9aQCgyhE]fm6/[lkx]sS)km'[2s]:.{fzy&b\RtYXb
Lines: 3
Path:
newspeer2.tds.net!tdsnet-transit!newspeer.tds.net!news.glorb.com!mpls-transi
t-01.news.qwest.net!feed4.newsreader.com!newsreader.com!newsh.newsreader.com
!ellis.newsreader.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newspeer2 rec.radio.cb:349544
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41ec7216@news.usenetzone.com...
> FUCK YOU.
> "Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:41ec6da3$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net...
> > You don't control me!
> >
> > You better keep your unwelcome material out of rec.radio.cb because it
> > doesn't relate to CB what-so-ever.
> >
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:41ec6397@news.usenetzone.com...
> >> Hey triple one.....I thought I told you to get the fuck out of here.
Btw,
> >> whats the name of the whore that spat you out?
> >> "Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >> news:41ec499e$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net...
> >> > How would you like a country ass-whoopin', Boy?
> >> >
> >> > Roger beeps are NOT 100% ILLEGAL!
> >> >
> >> > Quit changing this Newsgroup into obeying your lifestyle and take
your
> >> > trash
```

> >> > somewhere ELSE!
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote in message news:Xns95E1B842A5A191234567890@216.196.97.136...
> >> >>
> >> >> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
> >> >>
> >> >> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
> >> >> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state
> >> >> or
> >> >> local law;
> >> >> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
> >> >> meaning;
> >> >> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of
another
> > CB
> >> >> station;
> >> >> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
> >> >> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or
> > voice
> >> >> paging;
> >> >> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
> >> >> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material
> >> >> to
> >> >> amuse or entertain;
> >> >> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
> >> >> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other
international
> >> >> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship,
> > aircraft
> >> >> or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger
> >> >> and
> >> >> you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
> >> >> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any
CB
> >> >> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
> >> >> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign
> >> >> (You
> >> >> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of
a
> >> >> campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
> >> >> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
> >> >> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
> >> >> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
> >> >> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications
intended
> > for
> >> >> live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use

your
> > CB
> >> >> station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
> >> >>
> >> >> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal
> > modification
> >> >> to your CB transmitter.
> >> >> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
> >> >> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
> >> >> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part
of
> >> >> your CB transmitter
> >> >> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
> >> >> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
> >> >> frequencies or with illegal power.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> More than 120,000 groups
> >> Unlimited download
> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >
> >
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1045. Re: Mae Beck Dates Blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:54:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117215438.079$KF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194685
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I dunno who is forging what, but the "dumb bastard" part is accurate.
>
Here's the Usenet -rufus- inbred castoff, fuckstick:

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

ps. he will -not- defend himself.

1046. Re: well.well N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:57:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117215713.593$mB_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> More likely was at a Petro toilet GETTING plowed.
>
Or knocking on your door. Does your invitation still stand, dogie?

1047. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:58:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117215830.560$NY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194687

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> the goo around here is on your lips, mayne vein slurper.
>
I'm really gonna enjoy the next time I see you. lol (duck and run
motherfucker)

1048. Re: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 02:59:22 UTC
From: DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK <FAF00FNIK@FAF00FNIK.ORG.org>
Message-ID: <eY_Gd.2848$8Z1.1420@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

"Sky King" <skyking310b@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:41EC6453.1050509@optonline.net...
> Check it out if you like.. MANY pics
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037

Wasn't this radio on Ebay about 2 or 3 months ago????
I remember it., as I owned one, as well as a Lafayette SSB mobile..HB-25 I think....

1049. Steveo forge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:02:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117220225.431$hE_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

Heads-up. If it isn't posted from Newsreader.com, it isn't me.

1050. Re: Humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:03:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117220338.299$0v@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194690
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
> http://ars.userfriendly.org/cartoons/?id=20030428&mode=classic
>
DOH!

1051. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:04:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117220459.299$Ab@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194691

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote:
> Sorry, the FCC has already Type Accepted rigs that include ETS or
> "roger" beeps.
>
> http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html
>
> http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html
>
> http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm
>
> --
> Vy 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> FISTS #9384
> QRP ARCI #11782
>
Yhe voice of truth and reason.

1052. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:06:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117220631.960$ko@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194692

N8WWM "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> FUGGLY pimpin' butt pirate.
>
http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html <------walking fugly butt pirate.

1053. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:08:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117220810.184$KR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194693

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Liar, you were at Petro in the toilet.
>
I've seen it, and took pics.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

Shitter-boi is a coward.

1054. As a sevice to our toys the keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:13:48 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106018028.615644.129070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194694

The AKC will now begin posting FCC info so that those who wimp off of
QRZ will be able to be contacted.

KB5URP
CHAPMAN, RANDOLPH W
2701 13TH AVE N 49
TEXAS CITY, TX 77590
confessed crack addict

1055. Re: Humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:15:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106018155.275154.225830@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194695
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

AKC are not bitter at all. We laugh every day at the assclown
mopeydopey!

1056. Re: Humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:16:38 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106018198.181645.210110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194696
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

Thats the sound of a trucker slamming butt pirate mopey in the Petro toilet stall!

1057. Re: Ping Steveo fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:27:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117222732.795$No@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194697

---------> 172.153.143.136 wrote: <-----loser

aol-boi worships me again. hehe

Newsreader.com or bust.

1058. Re: Humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:29:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117222945.854$pA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194698
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Thats the sound of a trucker slamming butt pirate mopey in the Petro
> toilet stall!
>
I'm gonna make you beg. Pics?

1059. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:30:08 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106019008.504053.177870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

and here's where she bought them...

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5736127296
probably screwed up the radio with an xray, silly keyclown moron.

1060. Re: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:33:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106019191.833945.186630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

Yes, sharqueen...it was

1061. Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:35:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106019332.126618.268740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194701

My bowl is meerschaum, yours is Petro toilet stall.

1062. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:39:30 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106019570.806054.201970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194702
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

That is because he is a weak, crybaby, sissyass turd brain. He is all frustrated because the AKC is heterosexual. Poor little mopey limpwrist and his toy computer...AKC feels soooooooo sorry for him....NOT!

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist so limp

Mopey is a turdhead boy

With the IQ of a chimp

1063. Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:39:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117223936.925$rl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194703

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> My bowl is meerschaum, yours is Petro toilet stall.
>
How's your bad heart?

1064. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:41:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117224111.226$QG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194704
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> That is because he is a weak, crybaby, sissyass turd brain. He is all
> frustrated because the AKC is heterosexual. Poor little mopey limpwrist
> and his toy computer...AKC feels soooooooo sorry for him....NOT!
>
> Flip that wrist
>
> Flip that wrist
>
> Flip that wrist so limp
>
> Mopey is a turdhead boy
>
> With the IQ of a chimp
>
Get ready to recite that to me, toothless.

1065. Re: N8WWM has a court ordered shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:42:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106019724.128705.301180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194705
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

stupid ass, that aint Doug.

But hey, you have to have homosexual fantasies about someone, so I guess having them about me is not as bad as if it were about someone who had no sense of humor. It sure is entertaining to see you act so gay.

Flip that wrist, mopeychimp

1066. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:44:36 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106019876.951407.308290@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

Yawn...like his mouth yawns open in Petro toilet stalls
FLIP THAT WRIST! hehehe

1067. Re: Ping Steveo fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:45:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117224503.073$2P@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194707

neuvocb@nom.net wrote:
> > You and dogie are a trip!
> >
> > Dayton Ohio in May?
>
> Steveo SMASHED TO BITS at Dayton. Stay Tuned!!!!!!!
>
Dogie running for his pathetic life. Pics again too. <efg>

1068. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:45:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106019914.497096.310720@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

Thats true, all keyclowns do

1069. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:46:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117224628.504$RI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Thats true, all keyclowns do
>
Too fucking stoopid to quote text, retard google-boi.

1070. Well, well mopeyqueen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:47:24 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020044.220022.224040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194711

heheh....

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp

Mopey is a whiney boi

With the IQ of a chimp

1071. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:47:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117224744.164$e1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Yawn...like his mouth yawns open in Petro toilet stalls
> FLIP THAT WRIST! hehehe
>
How many witness'd this? Enuff to keep me out of jail, rufus.

1072. Re: N8WWM is indigent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:48:58 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020138.579160.320250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194712

Lay down in the trucker's sleepers, dopey

1073. Mopey the frustrated wrist flipper!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:50:36 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020236.508606.311000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194713

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist so limp

Mopey is a keyqueen gal

With the IQ of a chimp

1074. Re: Ping Steveo fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:52:03 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020323.653240.315090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194714

I told ya wrist flipper, it aint me. That's OK though...you make us laugh all the harder.

1075. Re: N8WWM has a court ordered shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:52:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117225243.136$mk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194715
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> stupid ass, that aint Doug.
>
> But hey, you have to have homosexual fantasies
>
I'd rather fuck a wart hog, than fuck anything this fugly.

http://img15.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img15&image=dogieupclosefugly9jr.jpg

I'm gonna really enjoy the next time I see that shit pile in person.

Make plans, fugly-felon, the re-play will hurt.

1076. Re: Ping Steveo fans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:53:58 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020438.042516.10150@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194716

Why would anyone smash him? He's so damned funny...leave the wrist flipper alone and they him munch his dried squirrel turds all he wants. Where can you buy anything so damned funny? This is entertainment of the first order. Wristflip boy is losing it, and it is a stone groove to watch.

1077. Re: Humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:57:53 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020673.469042.7380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194717
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

beg for you to stop yourself, cuz I can't take the side ache from all the laffin'.

Flip that wrist, wrist flipper!

You couldnt make a sexual conquest in a gay pride parade, idiot. You remind me of those goofy little dogs trying to hump people's legs. Ya smell like one too. The Taco Bell dog learned how to hump legs from you.

Flip that wrist, wrist flipper?

1078. Re: Humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:58:18 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020698.882088.254720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194718
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

did you say you were gonna beg for dicks?

1079. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 03:58:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117225845.486$pR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194719

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050117214516.164
> $nD@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:10719-41EC3126-252
> >> @storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
> >>
> >> > Except,,,,,,,,whose opinion you take matters only to yourself. Tell
> us
> >> > again how Jerry, Lelnad, and Dogie have been in touch with each
> other
> >> > and screwing up the group.
> >>
> >> I never told you they were in the first place slack jaw. go fabricate
> >> more lies, assclown.
> >>
> > Reckon the Eagles can get past Atlanta, without TO?
>
> Hell yeah... It should be a good game, Atlanta has a good running game.
> Trotter was a madman on sunday, the eagles will come out on top they
> have to ...LOL
>
I'm not even sure who to root for in that game.. I do like Vick when
he runs..fun to watch. As much as I'm sick of the Pats, I -can't- bring
myself to root for the Steelers.

I like your coach.

1080. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:00:31 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020831.759039.262900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194720

You too...yer already confused with crack...the snortable AND the slurpable kind.

1081. Re: Humor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:01:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117230111.788$iP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194721
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> did you say you were gonna beg for dicks?
>
You'll beg. (don't say I didn't warn you)

1082. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:01:30 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020890.115120.34600@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194722

You could confuse him by refusing mopey's advances at the Petro. That would go against your whole creed.

1083. Re: Triple 1 sucks dog dicks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:02:47 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106020967.080568.38680@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.niggers

I dunno about triple 1...but I sure would like to know if it was ex-lax
or the cheap generic laxative that spit you out....Flip that wrist,
moron boy.

1084. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:04:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117230407.790$AM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194724

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050117212745.885
> $nF@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:29994-41EBE252-211
> >> @storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
> >>
> >> > DX affects splatter and signals, cut and dry, and you've been
> skinned
> >> > by several here showing your original statement "dx does not affect
> >> > splatter" is wrong, so much in fact, you rushed to qualify just what
> >> > "you" meant by such a statement, when everyone else knew exactly
> what
> >> > the statement meant.
> >>
> >> There was none who agreed with you other than Frank, the others
> Lancer,
> >> Dave and myself chose to agree with what each other said, they are
> both
> >> intelligent when it comes to these matters I'll take their opinion
> over
> >> your's and Franks put together any day.
> >>
> > If you want an assholes opinion, ask me. <g>
>
> dude i am watchin this info mercial for the Bun and thigh roller...Got
> damn they is some nice asses...
>
I can dig it. There's some really good ass shots in
alt.binaries.great.ass.paulina - look for Fordhook's posts. <g>

1085. Re: Triple 1 sucks dog dicks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:04:33 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106021073.090887.31120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews

If you did you'd probably quit with the duct taped hamsters....
Flip that wrist.

1086. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:05:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117230508.191$Oh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194726

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> You could confuse him by refusing mopey's advances at the Petro. That
> would go against your whole creed.
>
Ever refused a fat lip? lol

1087. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:06:07 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106021167.377789.48790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194727

replied to by the voice of frustrated gay sexual urges

1088. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:07:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117230729.278$C2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194728

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050117220459.299
> $Ab@newsreader.com:
>
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote:
> >> Sorry, the FCC has already Type Accepted rigs that include ETS or
> >> "roger" beeps.
> >>
> >> http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html
> >>
> >> http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html
> >>
> >> http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm
> >>
> >> --
> >> Vy 73 de Bert
> >> WA2SI
> >> FISTS #9384
> >> QRP ARCI #11782
> >>
> > Yhe voice of truth and reason.
>
> No Steve the voice of obfuscation and BS the FCC clearly states what the
> requirments are in their laws, Galaxy are export radios they are not type
> certified cb's
>
Well, I thought we were talking about those silly ass'd roger-beep things,
and deere-lowered knows how much I hate those..

1089. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:08:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117230812.662$GT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194729

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> replied to by the voice of frustrated gay sexual urges
>
Ever been face-fisted?

1090. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:22:41 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106022161.479404.3150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194730

Nope, but you have been anally fisted

1091. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:23:39 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106022219.910796.101220@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194731

They don't care...they are wrist flipping keyclowns

1092. Re: N8WWM is 100% illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:30:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117233051.732$OA_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194732

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Nope, but you have been anally fisted
>
You're doomed, twit. See ya soon.

1093. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:35:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ec92d7@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194733
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb

VINNIE,YOU'RE A FUCKING IDIOT.
NOW COME OVER HERE, AND FUCK ME UP THE ASS.
"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in message
news:cs8tf4$9s0$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu...
>
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:0slfu0t7bvrhn328i4kud567k5fm1283dc@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 20:24:21 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 13 Jan 2005 21:09:37 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>>wrote in <kcaeu0phbfg3q51ium5ev6sk22rtt1svb6@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 14 Jan 2005 01:45:00 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Barefoot or not, an attic is probably the worst place to put an
>>>>>antenna. You have all kinds of possible problems, not the least of
>>>>>which is house wiring or foil-backed insulation that can cause
>>>>>reflections (high SWR).
>>>>>
>>>>>There is also the issue of polarity. Almost all mobile CB antennas are
>>>>>vertical so don't expect strong signals from a horizontal antenna, or
>>>>>even an inverted-V which is largely horizontal. You might get some
>>>>>skip but that depends a lot on the position of the antenna -- you
>>>>>might have to rotate your house to align yourself with the traffic.
>>>>>
>>>>>I would think your best solution is to buy a cheap antenna tuner and
>>>>>load up the flag pole, rain gutter, drip-strip, sewer vent, aluminum
>>>>>siding, chain-link fence, steel shed..... whatever works best.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>Vinnie;
>>>> You said you lived on a ranch? Use Franks tuner idea, run a wire
>>>>out the window and tie the other end to a fence post, your wifes
>>>>clothes line pole or anything else above eye level.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I don't have a clothesline. I am thinking about running it vertical up a
>>>>tree,
>>>>but would have to trench the coax.

>>>
>>>
>>>You wouldn't even need coax. Just hook the tuner right to the radio,
>>>ground the radio, and run a single wire out the wall (through an
>>>insulator) to any large metal object you can find, attached to your
>>>house or not. I like metal drip-strips because they circle the entire
>>>roof and make dandy antennas for quick-n-dirty installations.
>>>
>>>The problem with a horizontal dipole, as I mentioned before, is the
>>>polarity of the antenna. Most CB antennas are vertical, and if your's
>>>is horizontal you won't hear very many people and they won't hear you.
>>>
>>>So if you are determined to use the attic, find the tallest mobile
>>>antenna that will fit straight up in your attic and bolt it to the
>>>floor. Then make a ground plane by running many wires out in every
>>>direction, and as far as you have the space (or wire). Connect the
>>>antenna to the center of the coax, and the ground plane to the shield.
>>>If everything goes well your SWR should be around 1.5:1 to 2:1, which
>>>is fine because you won't be able to get it any lower without losing
>>>signal.
>>
>> If I use a Firestik, which are usually top-loaded, and connect it to some
>> 9 ft.
>> piping that I can use as radials (in a crossing pattern), and ground them
>> to a
>> grounding rod, would that work?
>>
>>>
>>>>Also, I have ground hogs, moles, and rabbits everywhere. Won't they chew
>>>>up the
>>>>coax?
>>>
>>>
>>>If you leave them on or under the ground, yep.
>>
>>
>> I guess I am going to have PVC conduit the coax then. I don't have a
>> choice.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> I use Davis RF bury-Flex, No critters chewing on it yet! And I gots lots
> of critters :) This goes to an Imax2000
>
> http://www.davisrf.com/ham1/coax.htm#buryflex
>
> I have a standard run (not buried) of RG213 going to a dipole in the
> trees, still no knawing, been there for a year.
>

> Chad
>>

>

>

>

>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1094. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:39:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117233928.783$fl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194734

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> VINNIE,YOU'RE A FUCKING IDIOT.
> NOW COME OVER HERE, AND FUCK ME UP THE ASS.
>
>
Be nice to Enzo. What size cement shoe do you reqire?

1095. Re: Fuck you SSB And Randy and any non believers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:39:56 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <wq0Hd.26941$Z%.18710@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194735

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E1ECA0677FE1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Like I said you simple mother fucker the facts are the facts your opinions
> mean shit. Now please show me a type certified CB Radio with a roger Beep.
>
> Either of you idiot morons, get fucking busy while old george educates
> Ya'all
>
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-253693A1.html

Try this dimwit. The 2547 is NOT on the list, and it HAS a roger beep. It
has been type accepted for a couple of years now.

1096. Re: As a sevice to our toys the keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:41:09 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Fr0Hd.26953$Z%.12354@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194736

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106018028.615644.129070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> The AKC will now begin posting FCC info so that those who wimp off of
> QRZ will be able to be contacted.
>
> KB5URP
> CHAPMAN, RANDOLPH W
> 2701 13TH AVE N 49
> TEXAS CITY, TX 77590
> confessed crack addict
>

Yawn.

1097. Re: Dipole question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:41:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vr4pu0di7conkii5b4d00nq3dr24bi6j6b@4ax.com>
Source record: 194737

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 22:12:33 +0000 (UTC), Leigh Marrin/KM6JE
<leigh@coyote.rain.org> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Hi. If I want to talk abrefoot and run a dipole for 27 MHz in my attic, I
>> noticed there are numerous versions. The vertical is out for obvious reasons. So
>> there are inverted and horizontal. Is there any version I am better off using
>> barefoot?
>
>Vinnie, I've had a lot of fun using various wire antennas on CB.
>Horizontally polarized antennas don't do very well for local talkin' to
>vertically polarized stations, especially on AM. AMers love strong "big
>strapper" signals. However, a horizontal wire dipole will work fine in a
>more effecient mode like SSB.
>
>I understand you want a low profile antenna: you might try making a 1/4
>wave groundplane on your roof. Buy a 102" whip and mounting bracket from
>Radio Shack, hose-clamp the mounting bracket on a roof vent pipe, then
>attach two or more slightly longer radials (maybe 106"), attach them to
>the outside of the mounting bracket. Just lay the radials on the roof,
>and perhaps thumbtack them when you've got the antenna tuned.
>
>You can tune this 1/4 wave groundplane by trimming or lengthing the
>radials: shortening a radial will cause the resonant frequency to go up.
>Also, changing the angle of the radials will slightly affect SWR.
>
>I've also used long wire antennas on CB: again, they usually end up being
>horizontally polarized. For my current HF ham station (covering
>10/15/20/40/80) meters, I use a 50' wire tied to a tree, a 8 foot ground
>rod about 6 feet away from my radio, and a small/cheap MFJ tuner. Works
>great for CW on those 5 bands, although on 20 meters I sometimes get some
>RF "bites" when trying to tune on 20 meters/14mhz. On SSB, I usually can
>get good signal reports on 40 meters, and SSB reception reports on other
>bands are not quite so good, although the other operators can at least
>usually hear me.
>
>When I hook my Uniden PC-122 CB up to this long wire antenna, it works OK
>for local SSB use, but on AM, I'm "mud-duckin'"..
>
>A couple of times I've set up "stealth" ham and CB stations from motel
>rooms when traveling. I'd ask for a second story room: at night I'd take
>a roll of 24 gauge wire with a weighted end got up as much wire as I
>could, and then for a ground for the tuner, I'd lay about 30 feet of wire
>on the floor of my motel room. (When expecting maid service, I'd coil up

>the inside wire.)
>
>(Note that the above suggestion will cause much interference to
>phones/TVs/etc.)
>
>One last suggestion: I'd avoid antenna tuners from CB sources, as a
>"Pyramid" brand tuner I had was terible. MFJ makes several tuners in the
>$30 to $50 range that will tune both long wire and coaxial antennas.
>While MFJ products typically have a very flimsy feel them, they are almost
>always excellent designs that work well.
>
>You might also want to check ARRL antennas books in the local library: I
>had a lot of fun making and using a vertically-polarized and
>vertically-mounted full-loop on CB. My loop used about 37 feet of wire,
>with one end soldered to the coax shield and the other end soldered to the
>coax center conducter.
>
>In any event, HAVE FUN! If your antenna works, you can be proud of your
>handiwork, as most of us CBers and Ham-bones are nuthin' but "appliance
>operators".
>
>73 and all them other good numbers to ya. --Leigh Marrin/KM6JE in Santa
>Barbara, Calif.

Thanks. !

Vinnie S.

1098. Re: God says...we are ALL ONE race !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:44:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ec94ff$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194738
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb

I like black dick best!
"Dave in Lake Villa" <DaveInLakeVilla@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5875-41EC4AD6-352@storefull-3235.bay.webtv.net...
> According to the Creator, we are all ONE blood. One race. There is no
> such thing as 'the races' ; this term was invented by man which brought
> about the bigotry we see today , and pride thinking whites are better
> than blacks, or vice versa. We are ALL made in the image of the
> Creator..with minor differences such as skin color (pigment). Adam and
> Eve are our first parents, and from them all of mankind came. The
> silliness of Macro Evolution never even occured, nor could it according
> to Bio Chemistry and Scientific Laws ; we are not all here by accident,
> nor, did we come from Pond Scum and evolve into a 206 bone human being.
>
> To get your truth on life, go to GODS WORD to mankind...and dont lean on
> your own understanding , which often is based on sheer pride and racial
> bigotry. God loves us all. Move closer to God and forsake all manner of
> predjudice concerning human beings -- his most special creation.
>
> Regards.
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1099. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:47:21 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <tx0Hd.27013$Z%.20216@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194739

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E1EA664312312345678900@216.196.97.136...
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050117220459.299
> $Ab@newsreader.com:
>
>> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote:
>>> Sorry, the FCC has already Type Accepted rigs that include ETS or
>>> "roger" beeps.
>>>
>>> http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html
>>>
>>> http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html
>>>
>>> http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm
>>>
>>> --
>>> Vy 73 de Bert
>>> WA2SI
>>> FISTS #9384
>>> QRP ARCI #11782
>>>
>> Yhe voice of truth and reason.
>
>
> No Steve the voice of obfuscation and BS the FCC clearly states what the
> requirments are in their laws, Galaxy are export radios they are not type
> certified cb's

You are an idiot. Can you fucking see and read? Not all Galaxies are export
radios. Try this link, dimwit:

http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm

1100. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:53:44 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <sD0Hd.27073$Z%.22950@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194741

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E1EAE515D4A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:XPYGd.27654$_56.3875@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
>> news:3nYGd.16035$_X7.7205@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>>>>
>>>> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
>>>> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state
>>>> or
>>>> local law;
>>>> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
>>>> meaning;
>>>> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of
>>>> another CB
>>>> station;
>>>> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
>>>> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or
>>>> voice paging;
>>>> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
>>>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material
>>>> to
>>>> amuse or entertain;
>>>> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>>>> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other
>>>> international
>>>> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship,
>>>> aircraft or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND
>>>> IMMINENT danger and you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
>>>> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any
>>>> CB
>>>> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
>>>> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign
>>>> (You
>>>> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of
>>>> a campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
>>>> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
>>>> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
>>>> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
>>>> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications

>>>> intended for live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television.
>>>> You may use your CB station to gather news items or to prepare
>>>> programs.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>>>>
>>>> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal
>>>> modification to your CB transmitter.
>>>> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
>>>> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
>>>> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part
>>>> of
>>>> your CB transmitter
>>>> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
>>>> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
>>>> frequencies or with illegal power.
>>>>
>>>
>>> Not so sure they're 100% illegal:
>>>
>>> 1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep on
>>> a CB radio. External electronics can accomplish this. Microphones do
>>> not have to be type accepted and can include this circuitry.
>>>
>>> 2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling
>>> the end of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract
>>> attention", are not intended to entertain, and do not violate any
>>> part of either of these sections.
>>>
>>> You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put them
>>> out here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100% correct.
>>>
>>> -SSB
>>
>> Please do not confuse him with facts.
>
> I just posted the facts Randy sorry you don't agree with them he posted
> his opinion. since when is opinion facts? snif sniff ... hey randy you
> stink....

Look in the middle of the picture of the back of the radio. See the FCC ID?

http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm

1101. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:54:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117235422.494$ZQ_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194740

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050117225845.486
> $pR@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> news:20050117214516.164
> >> $nD@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:10719-41EC3126-252
> >> >> @storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net:
> >> >>
> >> >> > Except,,,,,,,,whose opinion you take matters only to yourself.
> Tell
> >> us
> >> >> > again how Jerry, Lelnad, and Dogie have been in touch with each
> >> other
> >> >> > and screwing up the group.
> >> >>
> >> >> I never told you they were in the first place slack jaw. go
> fabricate
> >> >> more lies, assclown.
> >> >>
> >> > Reckon the Eagles can get past Atlanta, without TO?
> >>
> >> Hell yeah... It should be a good game, Atlanta has a good running
> game.
> >> Trotter was a madman on sunday, the eagles will come out on top they
> >> have to ...LOL
> >>
> > I'm not even sure who to root for in that game.. I do like Vick when
> > he runs..fun to watch. As much as I'm sick of the Pats, I -can't- bring
> > myself to root for the Steelers.
> >
> > I like your coach.
>
> yeah he is a really cool guy Andy, his press conferences are fun to. he
> is a good man hope we can keep him for a long time. I did want to see TO
> cath the 15 td so andy would have had to put on TO's style stretch

> pants... damn what a site to see.
>
Dang, that 300lbs of shit in a 200lb bag! Does any NFL team suck more
used cock than my Browns!?!? It gets depressing!

1102. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:55:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117235540.279$hf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194742

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > I just posted the facts Randy sorry you don't agree with them he posted
> > his opinion. since when is opinion facts? snif sniff ... hey randy you
> > stink....
>
> Look in the middle of the picture of the back of the radio. See the FCC
> ID?
>
> http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm
>
Yes.

1103. Re: N8WWM is 100% illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 04:56:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050117235632.714$0m@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194743

steveos_master@juno.net wrote:
>> To Steveo:
> Har de HAR har. What could YOU do to anybody, flip-wrist?
> YOU are the one who will be pounded flat into the dirt. YOU
> are too scared to move an inch, sissyboy. Show your face
> at a ham's home and you WILL get PUMMELLED and that's
> a promise!
>
zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

1104. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 05:09:23 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <7S0Hd.27214$Z%.9744@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194744

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E1F40EB73B1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:tx0Hd.27013$Z%.20216@fe1.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95E1EA664312312345678900@216.196.97.136...
>>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
>>> news:20050117220459.299 $Ab@newsreader.com:
>>>
>>>> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote:
>>>>> Sorry, the FCC has already Type Accepted rigs that include ETS or
>>>>> "roger" beeps.
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html
>>>>>
>>>>> http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm
>>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>> Vy 73 de Bert
>>>>> WA2SI
>>>>> FISTS #9384
>>>>> QRP ARCI #11782
>>>>>
>>>> Yhe voice of truth and reason.
>>>
>>>
>>> No Steve the voice of obfuscation and BS the FCC clearly states what
>>> the requirments are in their laws, Galaxy are export radios they are
>>> not type certified cb's
>>
>> You are an idiot. Can you fucking see and read? Not all Galaxies are
>> export radios. Try this link, dimwit:
>>
>> http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm
>
>
> Try this link dimwit, this is the one that counts. And I made it easy for
> you read the list below your 2547 is listed.
>

>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-253693A1.html
>

It's a field notice with every radio from their site. The dumbass field agents assumed all Galaxies are illegal. Typical for government employees. They were even too lazy to type each one. They copied and pasted from the web site.

1105. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 05:09:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118000952.805$gX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194745

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> news:20050117235422.494$ZQ_-_@newsreader.com:
>
> >> > I like your coach.
> >>
> >> yeah he is a really cool guy Andy, his press conferences are fun to.
> >> he is a good man hope we can keep him for a long time. I did want to
> >> see TO cath the 15 td so andy would have had to put on TO's style
> >> stretch pants... damn what a site to see.
> >>
> > Dang, that 300lbs of shit in a 200lb bag! Does any NFL team suck more
> > used cock than my Browns!?!? It gets depressing!
>
> You wanna talk about depressing hang around philly for a few months if
> they dont make it to the super bowl... please dont be like the bills ...
> well at least they got to super bowl... you guys had a good run years ago
> but Modell left town and hasnt been the same.
>
Art the fart couldn't take the team name and colors..but he tried. He damn
near put the Ravens into default too..the new owner bailed his silly ass
out again.

I won $500 on the over for the Jets/Steelers game..by two points.

(wipes brow)

We are getting pouned with snow up here again now.

1106. Re: happy nigger day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 05:11:07 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20050118001107.05913.00000059@mb-m20.aol.com>
Source record: 194746

Make fun of CBers or "keyclowns" all
you want but you show what an imbecile
you are when you use derogatory, racially biased language. Get a life, asshole.

"Got RF?"

1107. Re: N8WWM 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 05:12:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118001232.877$WX_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194747

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1106022161.479404.3150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Nope, but you have been anally fisted
>
> No but i am willing to try?
>
Dogie has assy facey disease.

1108. Re: happy nigger day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 05:14:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118001410.448$vY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194748

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:
> Make fun of CBers or "keyclowns" all
> you want but you show what an imbecile
> you are when you use derogatory, racially biased language. Get a life,
> asshole.
>
> "Got RF?"
>
I love my CB.

http://www.astrosurf.com/lombry/Radio/collins-classics-s-line.jpg

1109. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 05:18:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118001820.461$Xg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194749

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> news:20050118000952.805$gX@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050117235422.494$ZQ_-_@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> >> > I like your coach.
> >> >>
> >> >> yeah he is a really cool guy Andy, his press conferences are fun
> >> >> to. he is a good man hope we can keep him for a long time. I did
> >> >> want to see TO cath the 15 td so andy would have had to put on
> >> >> TO's style stretch pants... damn what a site to see.
> >> >>
> >> > Dang, that 300lbs of shit in a 200lb bag! Does any NFL team suck
> >> > more used cock than my Browns!?!? It gets depressing!
> >>
> >> You wanna talk about depressing hang around philly for a few months
> >> if they dont make it to the super bowl... please dont be like the
> >> bills ... well at least they got to super bowl... you guys had a good
> >> run years ago but Modell left town and hasnt been the same.
> >>
> > Art the fart couldn't take the team name and colors..but he tried. He
> > damn near put the Ravens into default too..the new owner bailed his
> > silly ass out again.
> >
> > I won $500 on the over for the Jets/Steelers game..by two points.
> >
> > (wipes brow)
> >
> > We are getting pouned with snow up here again now.
>
> we havent had shit a slight window dusting last night we have had lots of
> rain and if was cold enough we would have gotten a few feet. I think
> Sun/Monday we wiil get the snow you are getting. can vick run in the
> snow? I am not sure which team that would help.
>
Man, this has been a heavy snow season so far in the Buckeye. I'd say Vick
could run in snow, or hot coals, but what the hell do I know.

You guys stole Jim Thome from us, so that may influence my choice. :D

1110. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 05:47:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118004738.996$2P@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194750

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in
> news:20050118001820.461$Xg@newsreader.com:
>
> > You guys stole Jim Thome from us, so that may influence my choice. :D
>
> He wasnt a steal it cost us plenty of cash and cheese steaks
>
True. Gotta like Jim and cheese-steaks.

1111. Re: Steveo Is 100% Chicken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 06:00:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118010043.438$ug@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194751

I_Am_Steveos_Master@hotmail.com wrote:
> Steveo it is 100% guaranteed you will be pounded
> flat like a pancake should you show your face at a
> hams home - ever.
>
Stop inviting me. You -will- get hurt.

I donut play peanut games.

Now forge me with gay pics. I'm gonna stuff em' down your pie hole.

1112. Re: Steveo Is 100% Chicken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 06:08:53 UTC
From: I_Am_Steveos_Master@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <VJ1Hd.13280$US.1964@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194752

> I_Am_Steveos_Master@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Steveo it is 100% guaranteed you will be pounded
> > flat like a pancake should you show your face at a
> > hams home - ever.
> >
> Stop inviting me. You -will- get hurt.

The only hurt will be your noggin, assjuice drinker. You
WILL be pummelled flat. You WILL have your car keys
shoved up your ass. You WILL be placed face down and
hurtin. A knuckle sandwich WILL be your new diet.

> I donut play peanut games.

No, you play diksuk games at the Petro toilet. You are GAY
GAY GAY. Go ahead, do something. Nothing you can do.
You are helpless. Overwhelmed. You are clueless.

> Now forge me with gay pics. I'm gonna stuff em' down
your pie hole.

The only thing you will stuff is your teeth into your pocket
after they are loosened from your head. If N8 will not set
you straight, I will, and it will be with a major, world-class
beating. Your internet harassment of hams will be over.
You need to run and hide.

1113. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 06:41:23 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <csib2u0n77@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 194754

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 18:32:48 -0500 Bert Craig wrote:

> Sorry, the FCC has already Type Accepted rigs that include ETS or
> "roger"
> beeps.

No they do not "type accept" these radios.
You show a photo of one of the labels where it says they are type accepted.
And I'll show you a forgery.

The rule says, "No sound effects" are permitted.
Taken literally, this may even include "talk back".

1114. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 06:45:41 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <csibb00nmp@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 194755

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 00:03:11 GMT SideBand wrote:

> Not so sure they're 100% illegal:

> 1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep on a
> CB radio. External electronics can accomplish this. Microphones do not
> have to be type accepted and can include this circuitry.

> 2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling the
> end of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract attention", are
> not intended to entertain, and do not violate any part of either of
> these sections.

> You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put them
> out here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100% correct.

> -SSB

Yup. The rules clearly state INTERNAL modifications.
It says nothing of external add ons.
However, it does state, "NO SOUND EFFECTS".
So after a 10 minute disertation, you end with, "This is a radio check. beep beep".

1115. Re: Steveo Is 100% Chicken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 06:47:41 UTC
From: I_Am_Steveos_Master@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <hi2Hd.13281$US.6135@news.ono.com>
Source record: 194753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.niggers

Steveo I will meet you anywhere, at any time, in fact, how about Dayton next week. You name the place, I will be there, and the beating will begin with you as the willing victim.

Let me explain to you exactly how it will go down. Let me paint the picture for you in case you think you can somehow come out of this thing uninjured.

You will ask to meet me in a bar or eating place, OK by me. I will walk up to you and call you a limp wristed faggot when I see you. You will start running your mouth about how bad you are and while you are talking I will move you outside into the alley.

I will ask you if you intend to be harassing hams in their homes again. No matter what your reply is, the next thing that will happen is you will be flat on your back with your head spinning.

I will rip a pipe loose from the alley and insert it in your ass, and slowly move it in and out, in and out, until you admit that you're a gay man who talks tough on the internet but nothing but a limp wrist sissy in real life.

Then I will proceed to slap you until you can't say your name straight. Then I will make you apologize to all the hams you have bad mouthed, including N8WWM, who you will have to kiss a picture of, or else be beaten further.

Then I will throw you in the dumpster and close the lid, but first I will tell the Red Cross where you are so they can give you aid in getting home.

That's about it, except for the part where you wash my car and polish my shoes and clean the snot off my windsheild with your tongue.

1116. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 07:18:43 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nL2Hd.11673$wZ2.4571@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194756

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:sD0Hd.27073$Z%.22950@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95E1EAE515D4A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>> news:XPYGd.27654$_56.3875@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
>>> news:3nYGd.16035$_X7.7205@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
>>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>>>>>
>>>>> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
>>>>> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state
>>>>> or
>>>>> local law;
>>>>> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or
>>>>> meaning;
>>>>> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of
>>>>> another CB
>>>>> station;
>>>>> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
>>>>> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or
>>>>> voice paging;
>>>>> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
>>>>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material
>>>>> to
>>>>> amuse or entertain;
>>>>> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>>>>> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other
>>>>> international
>>>>> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship,
>>>>> aircraft or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND
>>>>> IMMINENT danger and you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
>>>>> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any
>>>>> CB
>>>>> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
>>>>> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign
>>>>> (You
>>>>> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of
>>>>> a campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
>>>>> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except

>>>>> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
>>>>> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
>>>>> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications
>>>>> intended for live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television.
>>>>> You may use your CB station to gather news items or to prepare
>>>>> programs.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>>>>>
>>>>> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal
>>>>> modification to your CB transmitter.
>>>>> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
>>>>> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
>>>>> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part
>>>>> of
>>>>> your CB transmitter
>>>>> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by
>>>>> anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized
>>>>> frequencies or with illegal power.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Not so sure they're 100% illegal:
>>>>
>>>> 1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep on
>>>> a CB radio. External electronics can accomplish this. Microphones do
>>>> not have to be type accepted and can include this circuitry.
>>>>
>>>> 2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling
>>>> the end of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract
>>>> attention", are not intended to entertain, and do not violate any
>>>> part of either of these sections.
>>>>
>>>> You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put them
>>>> out here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100% correct.
>>>>
>>>> -SSB
>>>
>>> Please do not confuse him with facts.
>>
>> I just posted the facts Randy sorry you don't agree with them he posted
>> his opinion. since when is opinion facts? snif sniff ... hey randy you
>> stink....
>
> Look in the middle of the picture of the back of the radio. See the FCC
> ID?
>
> http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm

OOPppps, that was too factual LOL!!!!

Landshark

Start you usual sexual innuendoes, vulgar language here:

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1117. Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 11:40:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qctpu0pvndlmkp7m8e78eiv076laf1s0nh@4ax.com>
Source record: 194757

On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 16:51:18 -0500, nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net
(Nicolai Carpathia) wrote:

>From: ï¿½ï¿½ iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) Group: ï¿½ï¿½
>rec.radio.cb Subject: ï¿½ï¿½ Re: Coolest rrcb Survey Yet Date: ï¿½ï¿½
>Sun, Jul 18, 2004, 4:43pm (EST-2) Organization: ï¿½ï¿½
>http://groups.google.com X-Trace: ï¿½ï¿½ posting.google.com 1090194220
>20815 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2004 23:43:40 GMT) X-Complaints-To: ï¿½ï¿½
>groups-abuse@google.com NNTP-Posting-Date: ï¿½ï¿½ Sun, Jul 18, 2004,
>11:43pm (EST+5)
>listen brown lips there is a lot that can be done so dont go celebrating
>yet goof nuts. Leland, Doug, Dave, Jerry O and I had a meeting and
>decided what you need is your isp pull your account and your pirate
>radio gear get confiscated by the law yes many minds thinking together
>can work miracles my freind

I've met with no one on this issue.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1118. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 12:04:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <oltpu01djfto528972ea7taib927766knb@4ax.com>
Source record: 194758

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 00:03:11 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>> amuse or entertain;
>> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;

>
>Not so sure they're 100% illegal:
>
>1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep on a
>CB radio.

True.

> External electronics can accomplish this. Microphones do not
>have to be type accepted and can include this circuitry.

They can also add echo and other sound effects which are clearly an
"amusement" device. The FCC knows that it cannot certify every
conceivable accessory that might be connected to the mike jack. So
they regulate what you transmit, not what gets connected to the radio.

>2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling the
>end of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract attention", are
>not intended to entertain, and do not violate any part of either of
>these sections.

This is where the controversy is. Your assessment is valid, and it
would seem that since the FCC has allowed ETS signals on FRS radios,
(which also fall under part 95) that it would also stand to reason
that they would allow them on class "D" CB as well. The question is
why have they not made their position clear in the form of a rule
modification?

A valid ETS signal should consist of no more than a single short beep.
The multiple "K" beeps and those sequential musical tones clearly
still fall within the "amusement" category.

Many years ago the FCC stated that they considered ANY tone signal
other than one used for selective calling as "unnecessary" and
therefore illegal. They may have softened their position on it since.
This was back in the days before roger beeps became popular, but
little devices known as "birdies" were prevalent.

But it's evidently not clear to most radio manufacturers since, with
the exception of the Galaxy (Galaxy also has a reputation for pushing
the limits of the law) radio, no other domestic type accepted/approved

CB radio has an ETS as standard equipment. One has to wonder why that is, if they are clearly legal.

In any case, the FCC does not seem to be overly interested in much concerning CB radio these days.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1119. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 12:57:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bo0qu05cdd6kn26uo175rg7ajtssgc1t17@4ax.com>
Source record: 194759

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 07:04:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <oltpu01djfto528972ea7taib927766knb@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 00:03:11 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>>>> amuse or entertain;

It's illegal because any form of free entertainment or amusement is a
direct attack on the free-enterprise system by the liberals who want
to weaken the corporate stronghold on the entertainment industry. So
the US government (whose sole purpose is to "protect, facilitate and
represent our interests in the world market") has disallowed any free
entertainment and amusement in non-profit venues, including CB radio.

How could you not see that, Dave?

1120. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 13:33:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3u3qu0hb7dfq6b402mbi5u2ch133a1eq28@4ax.com>
Source record: 194760

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 07:15:17 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E2543EBE731234567890@216.196.97.136>:

<snip>
>Hey Frank, stfu you fucking assclown, you are really starting to sound
>like a real jerkoff.

"....starting"? Damn, I thought I had that 'jerkoff' routine pegged a
couple years ago!

1121. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 13:37:29 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <ti8Hd.27735$_56.5869@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194761

```
"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E21507EFD71234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:sD0Hd.27073$Z%.22950@fe1.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95E1EAE515D4A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>> news:XPYGd.27654$_56.3875@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:3nYGd.16035$_X7.7205@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
>>>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>>> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>>>>>>
>>>>>> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
>>>>>> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal,
>>>>>> state or
>>>>>> local law;
>>>>>> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language
>>>>>> or
>>>>>> meaning;
>>>>>> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of
>>>>>> another CB
>>>>>> station;
>>>>>> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
>>>>>> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or
>>>>>> voice paging;
>>>>>> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or
>>>>>> services; [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or
>>>>>> any material to
>>>>>> amuse or entertain;
>>>>>> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>>>>>> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other
>>>>>> international
>>>>>> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship,
>>>>>> aircraft or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND
>>>>>> IMMINENT danger and you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
>>>>>> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any
>>>>>> CB
>>>>>> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
```

>>>>>> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign
>>>>>> (You
>>>>>> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects
>>>>>> of a campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
>>>>>> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
>>>>>> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
>>>>>> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
>>>>>> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications
>>>>>> intended for live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television.
>>>>>> You may use your CB station to gather news items or to prepare
>>>>>> programs.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>>>>>>
>>>>>> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal
>>>>>> modification to your CB transmitter.
>>>>>> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
>>>>>> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
>>>>>> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part
>>>>>> of
>>>>>> your CB transmitter
>>>>>> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified
>>>>>> by anyone in any way, including modification to operate on
>>>>>> unauthorized frequencies or with illegal power.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Not so sure they're 100% illegal:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep
>>>>> on a CB radio. External electronics can accomplish this.
>>>>> Microphones do not have to be type accepted and can include this
>>>>> circuitry.
>>>>>
>>>>> 2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling
>>>>> the end of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract
>>>>> attention", are not intended to entertain, and do not violate any
>>>>> part of either of these sections.
>>>>>
>>>>> You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put
>>>>> them out here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100%
>>>>> correct.
>>>>>
>>>>> -SSB
>>>>
>>>> Please do not confuse him with facts.
>>>
>>> I just posted the facts Randy sorry you don't agree with them he
>>> posted his opinion. since when is opinion facts? snif sniff ... hey

>>> randy you stink....
>>
>> Look in the middle of the picture of the back of the radio. See the
>> FCC ID?
>
> Look here randy, who knows what that fcc tag is but this is right from
> the horse's mouth(FCC) who do you prefer to believe???? If the FCC says
> it is not type certified they most certainly should know, why do you
> continue to argue your wrong and you can't stand the fact i once again
> gotcha. Now if they are So "Legal" there should be plenty of them on the
> market, you have all your hopes tied up in a loser. get over it I own
> you.
>
>

So anyway, what tone do you like in illegal roger beeps? Personally, I prefer 103.5, not obtrusive, and if you use a really good woofer (15" or larger), you get that thump. 1k is way too tweety.

1122. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 13:59:59 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rs4qu01ogg1bt72reuavqev9pqh8rcaq4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 194762

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:37:29 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote in <ti8Hd.27735$_56.5869@fe2.texas.rr.com>:

<snip>
>So anyway, what tone do you like in illegal roger beeps? Personally, I
>prefer 103.5, not obtrusive, and if you use a really good woofer (15" or
>larger), you get that thump. 1k is way too tweety.

Someone around here is using the old EBS tones with a decay of about
two seconds. Very unique.

I've been thinking about building something like a 'CB caller-ID' box.
Data could be sent at 1200 baud FSK at the beginning and end of each
transmission. That data could include an email addy, callsign, or even
just a handle. It would be really cool during a net to see the names
pop up in a list on a display.

1123. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 14:41:20 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ke9Hd.12776$5R.7925@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194763

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:ti8Hd.27735$_56.5869@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95E21507EFD71234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>> news:sD0Hd.27073$Z%.22950@fe1.texas.rr.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>>> news:Xns95E1EAE515D4A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>> news:XPYGd.27654$_56.3875@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
>>>>> news:3nYGd.16035$_X7.7205@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
>>>>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>>>> Rule 13 - Illegal Communications
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
>>>>>>> [1] in connection with activity which is against federal,
>>>>>>> state or
>>>>>>> local law;
>>>>>>> [2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language
>>>>>>> or
>>>>>>> meaning;
>>>>>>> [3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of
>>>>>>> another CB
>>>>>>> station;
>>>>>>> [4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency
>>>>>>> communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or
>>>>>>> voice paging;
>>>>>>> [5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or
>>>>>>> services; [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or
>>>>>>> any material to
>>>>>>> amuse or entertain;
>>>>>>> [7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>>>>>>> [8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other
>>>>>>> international
>>>>>>> distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship,
>>>>>>> aircraft or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND
>>>>>>> IMMINENT danger and you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.

>>>>>>> [9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any
>>>>>>> CB
>>>>>>> station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away
>>>>>>> [10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign
>>>>>>> (You
>>>>>>> may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects
>>>>>>> of a campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
>>>>>>> [11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except
>>>>>>> stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
>>>>>>> [12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
>>>>>>> {B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications
>>>>>>> intended for live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television.
>>>>>>> You may use your CB station to gather news items or to prepare
>>>>>>> programs.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Rule 25 - Modifications to Transmitters
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal
>>>>>>> modification to your CB transmitter.
>>>>>>> {B} Internal modification does NOT include:
>>>>>>> [1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
>>>>>>> [2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part
>>>>>>> of
>>>>>>> your CB transmitter
>>>>>>> {C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified
>>>>>>> by anyone in any way, including modification to operate on
>>>>>>> unauthorized frequencies or with illegal power.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Not so sure they're 100% illegal:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep
>>>>>> on a CB radio. External electronics can accomplish this.
>>>>>> Microphones do not have to be type accepted and can include this
>>>>>> circuitry.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> 2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling
>>>>>> the end of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract
>>>>>> attention", are not intended to entertain, and do not violate any
>>>>>> part of either of these sections.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put
>>>>>> them out here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100%
>>>>>> correct.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> -SSB
>>>>>
>>>>> Please do not confuse him with facts.

>>>>
>>>> I just posted the facts Randy sorry you don't agree with them he
>>>> posted his opinion. since when is opinion facts? snif sniff ... hey
>>>> randy you stink....
>>>
>>> Look in the middle of the picture of the back of the radio. See the
>>> FCC ID?
>>
>> Look here randy, who knows what that fcc tag is but this is right from
>> the horse's mouth(FCC) who do you prefer to believe???? If the FCC says
>> it is not type certified they most certainly should know, why do you
>> continue to argue your wrong and you can't stand the fact i once again
>> gotcha. Now if they are So "Legal" there should be plenty of them on the
>> market, you have all your hopes tied up in a loser. get over it I own
>> you.
>>
>>
>
> So anyway, what tone do you like in illegal roger beeps? Personally, I
> prefer 103.5, not obtrusive, and if you use a really good woofer (15" or
> larger), you get that thump. 1k is way too tweety.

Too funny. Dave even has proved him wrong
with the statement about FRS having it and they fall under
part 95, same as CB.

http://www.dragondistributing.com/cobramicrotalkradios.htm

Everyone of the COBRA FRS radio's have a roger beep,
again, FCC certified & falls under part 95 rules

http://www.dragondistributing.com/cobramicrotalkradios.htm

Wow! Midland's are FCC certified and have a roger beep

Hey, MURS has roger beeps, FCC Certified and falls under
part 95..... LOL!!!! He'll continue to argue, just to
argue.

--


1124. FA: NEW STUFF>New AS SCANNER ANT-ANT SWITCH-Much MORE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 14:49:31 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118094931.17829.00000161@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 194764

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

1125. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:13:30 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118101330.17829.00000168@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 194769

With all the perverted posts on this newsgroup, you guys are still complaining about Ebay items.
Your time would be best suited to getting these perverts to stop!

1126. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:13:46 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118101346.17829.00000169@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 194768

With all the perverted posts on this newsgroup, you guys are still complaining about Ebay items.
Your time would be best suited to getting these perverts to stop!

1127. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:13:57 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118101357.17829.00000170@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 194766

With all the perverted posts on this newsgroup, you guys are still complaining about Ebay items.
Your time would be best suited to getting these perverts to stop!

1128. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:14:07 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118101407.17829.00000171@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 194765

With all the perverted posts on this newsgroup, you guys are still complaining about Ebay items.
Your time would be best suited to getting these perverts to stop!

1129. Re: $12.50 HEATHKIT LOGO QUARTZ WALL CLOCK, NEW-9-1/2">NEAT-O

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:14:17 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050118101417.17829.00000172@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 194767

With all the perverted posts on this newsgroup, you guys are still complaining about Ebay items.
Your time would be best suited to getting these perverts to stop!

1130. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:31:24 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <gZ9Hd.10616$Vj3.5035@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194770

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:37:29 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
> wrote in <ti8Hd.27735$_56.5869@fe2.texas.rr.com>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>So anyway, what tone do you like in illegal roger beeps? Personally, I
>>prefer 103.5, not obtrusive, and if you use a really good woofer (15" or
>>larger), you get that thump. 1k is way too tweety.
>
>
>
> Someone around here is using the old EBS tones with a decay of about
> two seconds. Very unique.
>
> I've been thinking about building something like a 'CB caller-ID' box.
> Data could be sent at 1200 baud FSK at the beginning and end of each
> transmission. That data could include an email addy, callsign, or even
> just a handle. It would be really cool during a net to see the names
> pop up in a list on a display.
>
>
>
That'd be neat, except that FSK isn't an authorized emission type on
CB... Shame, that, too..It'd be neat to see FSK and PSK on the regular
40 channels.

-SSB

1131. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:34:15 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <X%9Hd.10619$Vj3.8887@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194771

Richard wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 00:03:11 GMT SideBand wrote:
>
>
>
>>Not so sure they're 100% illegal:
>
>
>>1. It doesn't take internal modifications to transmit a roger beep on a
>>CB radio. External electronics can accomplish this. Microphones do not
>>have to be type accepted and can include this circuitry.
>
>
>>2. Roger beeps used for their intended purpose (that is, signaling the
>>end of a transmission) are not used "simply to attract attention", are
>>not intended to entertain, and do not violate any part of either of
>>these sections.
>
>
>>You might want to rethink some of your statements before you put them
>>out here, not-not-Jorge. In this case, you're not 100% correct.
>
>
>>-SSB
>
>
>
> Yup. The rules clearly state INTERNAL modifications.
> It says nothing of external add ons.
> However, it does state, "NO SOUND EFFECTS".
> So after a 10 minute disertation, you end with, "This is a radio check. beep
> beep".
>
>
It does say "no sound effects" in that you are correct. But if you read
to the end of the sentence, it also says that said sound effects should
not be to entertain, etc, etc, etc...

I stand by my statement that a roger beep used for its intended purpose,
which is to signify the end of a transmission, is not illegal. Annoying
as hell in some cases, but not illegal.

-SSB

1132. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:34:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8993-41ED2C78-362@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194772

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050117220459.299
$Ab@newsreader.com:
"Bert Craig" <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM> wrote:
Sorry, the FCC has already Type Accepted rigs that include ETS or
"roger" beeps.
http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html
http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html
http://cbworldinformer.com/200107/dx_2547_rear_panel_clsup.htm
--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782
Yhe voice of truth and reason.

>No Steve the voice of obfuscation and BS the

>FCC clearly states what the requirments are in
>their laws, Galaxy are export radios they are

>not type certified cb's

If you new the law, you would know the FCC most certainly permits the
new Galaxy cb radios. There is a base and a mobile cb radio only by
Galaxy that is type accepted. You, as always, are clueless, in the dark,
and uninformed. The burden of finding the information and educating
yourself is up to you, but you can always continue to run your mouth as
if you knew what you were talking about.

1133. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:45:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15309-41ED2F2A-350@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194774

>But it's evidently not clear to most radio

>manufacturers since, with the exception of the

>Galaxy (Galaxy also has a reputation for

>pushing the limits of the law) radio, no other

>domestic type accepted/approved CB radio

>has an ETS as standard equipment.

>One has

>to wonder why that is, if they are clearly legal.

Not "one" only *you* have to wonder..the rest of the hammies in the world already know the answer to this. You (and your sock puppet) are the only one crying about a roger beep being illegal.

>In any case, the FCC does not seem to be

>overly interested in much concerning CB radio
>these days.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

Which has nothing to do with your gaffe, although, based on your words above, it most certainly appears that after redundantly repeating and explaining that the FCC does not enact rules telling what IS permitted (as was your criteria for claiming roger beeps were illegal), you are slowly accepting what everyone has been telling you...roger beeps are illegal.

>N3CVJ

1134. Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:48:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15309-41ED2FC2-351@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194773

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 17 Jan 2005 16:51:18 -0500, nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai Carpathia) wrote:
From: iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Coolest rrcb Survey Yet Date: Sun, Jul 18, 2004, 4:43pm (EST-2) Organization: http://groups.google.com X-Trace: posting.google.com 1090194220 20815 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2004 23:43:40 GMT) X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, Jul 18, 2004, 11:43pm (EST+5)
listen brown lips there is a lot that can be done so dont go celebrating yet goof nuts. Leland, Doug, Dave, Jerry O and I had a meeting and decided what you need is your isp pull your account and your pirate radio gear get confiscated by the law yes many minds thinking together can work miracles my freind

>I've met with no one on this issue.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

Yea,,I believe you. The puppet above lies.

1135. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 15:55:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15309-41ED318D-352@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194776

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:

>Hey Twist, webtv is ripping you off.

Nah,,I don't pay for it. It's sort of "built-in" around here.

>I say that without malice, since I used to have

>it. You are missing the -boat- using that shit

>heap. :D (no flame)

Playing on the net doesn't interests me the way it does many
others...I'm an outdoor type person and the few minutes I spend here
each day are served well.

1136. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 16:00:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15309-41ED32A3-353@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194779

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050117214516.164
$nD@newsreader.com:
(Reckon the Eagles can get past Atlanta, without TO?)

>Hell yeah... It should be a good game, Atlanta

>has a good running game. Trotter was a

>madman on sunday, the eagles will come out

>on top they have to ...LOL

IF Philthydelphia wins, they will be cut to shreds by either Pittsburgh
or New England. Philthy fans just don't deserve championships,,the town
is filthy, the people rude, the fans are violent, the crime is
widespread, and everyone has attitudes. Karma makes certain they are
denied each year until they change their evil ways and that's just the
way it is. Somethings never change. That's just the way it is.

1137. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 16:01:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118110156.551$ry@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194775

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:37:29 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
> wrote in <ti8Hd.27735$_56.5869@fe2.texas.rr.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >So anyway, what tone do you like in illegal roger beeps? Personally, I
> >prefer 103.5, not obtrusive, and if you use a really good woofer (15" or
> >larger), you get that thump. 1k is way too tweety.
>
> Someone around here is using the old EBS tones with a decay of about
> two seconds. Very unique.
>
> I've been thinking about building something like a 'CB caller-ID' box.
> Data could be sent at 1200 baud FSK at the beginning and end of each
> transmission. That data could include an email addy, callsign, or even
> just a handle. It would be really cool during a net to see the names
> pop up in a list on a display.
>
Mine plays two lines of'I wish I wuz in Dixie'.

1138. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 16:02:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15310-41ED3316-111@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194777

Moparholic wrote:
>Dang, that 300lbs of shit in a 200lb bag! Does

>any NFL team suck more than my Browns!?!?

>It gets depressing!

That other Ohio team,,,,,,,the Bungles.

1139. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 16:05:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15309-41ED33CD-354@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194778

Moparholic wrote:
>Art the fart couldn't take the team name and

>colors..but he tried. He damn near put the

>Ravens into default too..the new owner bailed

>his silly ass out again.

>I won $500 on the over for the Jets/Steelers

>game..by two points.

>(wipes brow)

>We are getting pouned with snow up here

>again now.

Pittsburgh is known among the pros for never covering the spread. It's a bet to avoid. Nice going. As of now, the Pats are favored. Someone is gonna lose big money.

1140. Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ, K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 16:12:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15309-41ED3559-355@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194780

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai Carpathia) wrote in
news:1857-41EC64D4- 3004@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:
>(Communication deficit on display, the extra

>hammie wrote:

>twisteddickhed, that is not me look at the

>header and look at the name again. Then read
>what my name is... I told you I am not

>...Iamnotgeorge...

_
Take your own advice, moron. Your need to forge headers tells your
entire story.

From: nicolaicarpathia@webtv.net (Nicolai Carpathia) Date:
Mon, Jan 17, 2005, 8:22pm Subject: Re: KC8LDO, N8WWM, N3CVJ,
K4KWH, = POOR OPERATORS" says post. Mail-To-News-Contact:
postmaster@nym.alias.net Organization: mail2news@nym.alias.net
Group: rec.radio.cb
From: iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am Not George)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Coolest rrcb Survey Yet
Date: 18 Jul 2004 16:43:40 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <c034bd2.0407181543.6ebde90@posting.google.com>
References: <c034bd2.0407151129.1c39f683@posting.google.com>
<20040715184917.261$2I@newsreader.com>
<%UHJc.20807$KP4.5789@newssvr27.news.prodigy.com>
<20040715233431.945$kX@newsreader.com>
<Ff4Kc.11434$I_1.412@newssvr25.news.prodigy.com>
<c034bd2.0407171034.2443ae4d@posting.google.com>
<04tif0ho9t9nvtvnjjsq05d98ehjbnd3j1@2355323778>
<Y6iKc.2219$qa2.1334@fe2.texas.rr.com>
<c034bd2.0407181026.3048f2cb@posting.google.com>
<20040718143012.334$QF@newsreader.com> NNTP-Posting-Host: 172.143.163.12
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1 Content-Transfer-Encoding:
8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1090194220 20815 127.0.0.1 (18 Jul 2004
23:43:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Jul 2004 23:43:40 +0000 (UTC)
iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am Not George) wrote: Steveo
moparho...@hotmail-nospam.com wrote: iamnotgeorge2...@yahoo.com (I Am
Not George) wrote: listen brown lips there is a lot that can be done so
dont go celebrating yet goof nuts. Leland, Doug, Dave, Jerry O and I had
a meeting and decided what you need is your isp pull your account and
your pirate radio gear get confiscated by the law yes many minds
thinking together can work miracles my freind Google Home - Google Labs
- Services & Tools - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy - Jobs, Press, &
Help
©2005 Google

1141. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 16:38:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118113827.331$Ox@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194781

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> Moparholic wrote:
> >Dang, that 300lbs of shit in a 200lb bag! Does
>
> >any NFL team suck more than my Browns!?!?
>
> >It gets depressing!
>
> That other Ohio team,,,,,,,the Bungles.
>
They're pretty bad too, but they went 8-8 this year.

1142. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 16:39:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050118113950.818$KH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194782

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> Moparholic wrote:
> >Art the fart couldn't take the team name and
>
> >colors..but he tried. He damn near put the
>
> >Ravens into default too..the new owner bailed
>
> >his silly ass out again.
>
> >I won $500 on the over for the Jets/Steelers
>
> >game..by two points.
>
> >(wipes brow)
>
> >We are getting pouned with snow up here
>
> >again now.
>
> Pittsburgh is known among the pros for never covering the spread. It's a
> bet to avoid. Nice going. As of now, the Pats are favored. Someone is
> gonna lose big money.
>
What's the spread?

1143. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 17:57:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tdjqu01r0rf179kn1clnc0o2vbn5spoda9@4ax.com>
Source record: 194783

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 04:57:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 07:04:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <oltpu01djfto528972ea7taib927766knb@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 00:03:11 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>>>>> amuse or entertain;
>
>
>It's illegal because any form of free entertainment or amusement is a
>direct attack on the free-enterprise system by the liberals who want
>to weaken the corporate stronghold on the entertainment industry. So
>the US government (whose sole purpose is to "protect, facilitate and
>represent our interests in the world market") has disallowed any free
>entertainment and amusement in non-profit venues, including CB radio.
>
>How could you not see that, Dave?

Give it a rest Frank. We're not talking about politics anymore.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1144. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 17:58:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ehjqu0hpvothn5l7rl38d21gqg7h6ibrdp@4ax.com>
Source record: 194784

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 10:45:46 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>Not "one" only *you* have to wonder..the rest of the hammies in the
>world already know the answer to this. You (and your sock puppet)
>are the only one crying about a roger beep being illegal.

I have no sock puppet.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1145. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 19:19:30 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <csjng2$mi9$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194785

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E28EA2EF84A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in news:X%9Hd.10619$Vj3.8887
> @newssvr17.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> It does say "no sound effects" in that you are correct. But if you read
>> to the end of the sentence, it also says that said sound effects should
>> not be to entertain, etc, etc, etc...
>>
>> I stand by my statement that a roger beep used for its intended
> purpose,
>> which is to signify the end of a transmission, is not illegal. Annoying
>> as hell in some cases, but not illegal.
>>
>> -SSB
>
> Then by all means produce a radio that sells in the usa that is type
> certified by the FCC, Randy tried but failed then he even came up with a
> lame excuse that the FCC agent made a mistake... LOL I know what the
> next excuse will sound like it will be " his co -worker used his computer
> while he was out to lunch". Your opinion while repected at times is not
> fact when it is spelled out and you nor any others can produce a radio
> that is type certified with them. If they were legal why would Cobra not
> incorporate them in at least 1 model, just 1 ?? Why not Uniden?? radio
> Shack?? Nidland?? and whom ever is a respected and legal company selling
> CB radios that are actually type certified.

Galaxy DX2547, 100% FCC accepted, has a roger beep, indicator light for it,
and a switch on the front panel.

Worthless in my eyes as the operator that says "com'on" after every
sentence.

But there is one for 'ya!

Best
Chad

1146. Echo Echo Echo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 19:27:19 UTC
From: Bub <Bub@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <B7ydnTNYroGE_nDcRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 194786

I wonder who in the hell started the ECHO fad, it sounds like shit, and most of the truckers have them turned up so much only a retard could understand them. Roger beeps are just as fucken stupid also. Most never know what a radio should sound like, sometimes it sounds good to hear a radio with good audio, not clipped and with swing, another dumb ass fad. Why is it a person buys a new radio, and then takes it to a hack up shop to fuck it up.

1147. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 19:30:53 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194787

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E29075B81B1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:8993-41ED2C78-362
> @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> If you new the law, you would know the FCC most certainly permits the
>> new Galaxy cb radios. There is a base and a mobile cb radio only by
>> Galaxy that is type accepted. You, as always, are clueless, in the
> dark,
>> and uninformed. The burden of finding the information and educating
>> yourself is up to you, but you can always continue to run your mouth as
>> if you knew what you were talking about.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Try this link dimwit, this is the one that counts. And I made it easy for
> you read the list below your 2547 is listed.
>
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-253693A1.html
>

Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.

Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the tendency to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the past few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic with the sticker on it.

I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and "Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if there are different versions floating around?

Chad

1148. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 19:34:29 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <csjoc5mor1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194788

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E2932283611234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
> news:csjng2$mi9$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95E28EA2EF84A1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in news:X%9Hd.10619$Vj3.8887
>>> @newssvr17.news.prodigy.com:
>>>
>>>> It does say "no sound effects" in that you are correct. But if you
>>>> read to the end of the sentence, it also says that said sound
>>>> effects should not be to entertain, etc, etc, etc...
>>>>
>>>> I stand by my statement that a roger beep used for its intended
>>> purpose,
>>>> which is to signify the end of a transmission, is not illegal.
>>>> Annoying as hell in some cases, but not illegal.
>>>>
>>>> -SSB
>>>
>>> Then by all means produce a radio that sells in the usa that is type
>>> certified by the FCC, Randy tried but failed then he even came up
>>> with a lame excuse that the FCC agent made a mistake... LOL I know
>>> what the next excuse will sound like it will be " his co -worker
>>> used his computer while he was out to lunch". Your opinion while
>>> repected at times is not fact when it is spelled out and you nor any
>>> others can produce a radio that is type certified with them. If they
>>> were legal why would Cobra not incorporate them in at least 1 model,
>>> just 1 ?? Why not Uniden?? radio Shack?? Nidland?? and whom ever is
>>> a respected and legal company selling CB radios that are actually
>>> type certified.
>>
>> Galaxy DX2547, 100% FCC accepted, has a roger beep, indicator light
>> for it, and a switch on the front panel.
>>
>> Worthless in my eyes as the operator that says "com'on" after every
>> sentence.
>>
>> But there is one for 'ya!
>>
>> Best

>> Chad
>
> And here are a few for you Chad that radio included
>
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-253693A1.html
>

I saw that, One of the reasons I bought mine is because it "is" FCC
accepted. I will also do some searching, Wonder if there is not another
2547 "export version" with a DX99 in the chasis?

best
Chad

1149. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 19:42:35 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <csjorb$n0g$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194789

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
> news:csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>
>> Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
>>
>> Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
>> freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the tendency
>> to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the past
>> few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic with the
>> sticker on it.
>>
>> I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
>> "Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if
>> there are different versions floating around?
>>
>> Chad
>
> Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....
>

On the sticker right?

Chad

1150. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 20:57:45 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <csjt8aojm1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194790

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E2A17F56DD31234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in news:csjorb$n0g$1
> @news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
>>> news:csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>>
>>>> Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
>>>>
>>>> Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
>>>> freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the
> tendency
>>>> to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the
> past
>>>> few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic with
> the
>>>> sticker on it.
>>>>
>>>> I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
>>>> "Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if
>>>> there are different versions floating around?
>>>>
>>>> Chad
>>>
>>> Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....
>>>
>>
>> On the sticker right?
>>
>> Chad
>
> thats the one bubba
>

Will do tonight, I'm limited to google news at home and a dial-up :(May be
tomorrow before I get back.

Is this the site to check up on the number?

https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/cf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm

Best
Chad

1151. Re: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 22:53:29 UTC
From: Jim Gertz <JG5118@aol.com>
Message-ID: <GBgHd.251$4K2.58@fe12.lga>
Source record: 194791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

So, many buy on ebay and sell again on ebay.

DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK wrote:

>"Sky King" <skyking310b@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:41EC6453.1050509@optonline.net...
>
>
>>Check it out if you like.. MANY pics
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>>
>>
>
>Wasn't this radio on Ebay about 2 or 3 months ago????
>I remember it., as I owned one, as well as a Lafayette SSB mobile..HB-25 I
>think....
>
>
>
>

1152. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 23:02:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <jg4ru09964vnpspsgnvdu9vsvvvei1v2bn@4ax.com>
Source record: 194792

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 12:57:00 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <tdjqu01r0rf179kn1clnc0o2vbn5spoda9@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 04:57:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 07:04:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <oltpu01djfto528972ea7taib927766knb@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 00:03:11 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>>>>> amuse or entertain;
>>
>>It's illegal because any form of free entertainment or amusement is a
>>direct attack on the free-enterprise system by the liberals who want
>>to weaken the corporate stronghold on the entertainment industry. So
>>the US government (whose sole purpose is to "protect, facilitate and
>>represent our interests in the world market") has disallowed any free
>>entertainment and amusement in non-profit venues, including CB radio.
>>
>>How could you not see that, Dave?
>
>
>Give it a rest Frank. We're not talking about politics anymore.

I see that -you- aren't talking about politics anymore because you
refuse to accept any facts; e.g., the fact here is that you are
looking at the wrong rule:

>This is where the controversy is. Your assessment is valid, and it
>would seem that since the FCC has allowed ETS signals on FRS radios,
>(which also fall under part 95) that it would also stand to reason
>that they would allow them on class "D" CB as well. The question is
>why have they not made their position clear in the form of a rule
>modification?

FRS radios have such tones because they are permitted by this rule:

"Sec. 95.193 (b) The FRS unit may transmit tones to make contact or
to continue communications with a particular FRS unit....."

CB radio has an identical rule:

"Sec. 95.412 (b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal only when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications....."

So it should be obvious that if any radio with a "roger-beep" is accepted, the tone is considered to be a tool that is used to -facilitate- communications, a purpose which is consistent with the above rule(s).

And another fact: I brought this same issue to your attention almost a year ago..... in -THIS- newsgroup.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1153. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 23:07:29 UTC
From: "Dr. Larry Harrington" <DrLH@juno.com>
Message-ID: <OOgHd.275$X23.78@fe12.lga>
Source record: 194793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:

>and here's where she bought them...
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5736127296
>probably screwed up the radio with an xray, silly keyclown moron.
>
>
>
Why would an X-Ray ruin a radio? Have you ever been on an airplane?
They X-Ray baggage and your carry-on all the time.
many people have radios and electronics in there baggage. No problem,
ever!
Get an education before making false statements.
Also, you seem to have an answer to many who post. Nothing better to do?
Ever hear of girls? Get off your computer and make a life for
yourself!! You won't regret it!!

Doc

1154. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 23:10:13 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <mRgHd.293$f53.171@fe12.lga>
Source record: 194895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Dr. Larry Harrington wrote:

> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>
>> and here's where she bought them...
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=296&item=5736127296
>>
>> probably screwed up the radio with an xray, silly keyclown moron.
>>
>>
>>
> Why would an X-Ray ruin a radio? Have you ever been on an airplane?
> They X-Ray baggage and your carry-on all the time.
> many people have radios and electronics in there baggage. No problem,
> ever! Get an education before making false statements.
> Also, you seem to have an answer to many who post. Nothing better to
> do? Ever hear of girls? Get off your computer and make a life for
> yourself!! You won't regret it!!
>
> Doc

THANKS DOC!!!!

1155. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 23:10:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7g5ru0p4pukklr62fo6trgmsq897ie7p8l@4ax.com>
Source record: 194894

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 12:53:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E28DAAEEFD71234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:3u3qu0hb7dfq6b402mbi5u2ch133a1eq28@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 07:15:17 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns95E2543EBE731234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>Hey Frank, stfu you fucking assclown, you are really starting to sound
>>>like a real jerkoff.
>>
>>
>> "....starting"? Damn, I thought I had that 'jerkoff' routine pegged a
>> couple years ago!
>
>No you are sounding more and more like tipsy everyday I am sorry to say.
>I wouldnt have expect that from you of all people.

News flash: I don't write my posts to conform with your expectations.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1156. Re: Echo Echo Echo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 23:18:23 UTC
From: Triple 1 <nodamnham@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41ed96d7$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194896

yeah, i know what ya mean about the echo turned on too much -- namely truckers and certain base stations that have them. Yeah, they don't care who don't like 'em cause "the maul will fall" on the certain cber that is talking to another CBer.

I don't have much problem with Roger beep as long as it is no more than the chirps that you hear listening to police/fire/ rescue on a scanner -- those are from the repeater system and they have some cool ideas for a roger beep. Some of these so-called roger beeps are stupid sounding.

Taking a cb to a hack shop is the choice of the buyer that is getting mouth-watering ideas on what to have done to it so to fit in with the "CB-crowd" and to show off to their CB "good-buddies" how they can step over and on top of "mud ducks" and "bare-foot" radios.

"Bub" <Bub@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:B7ydnTNYroGE_nDcRVn-hw@comcast.com...
> I wonder who in the hell started the ECHO fad, it sounds like shit, and
> most of the truckers have them turned up so much only a retard could
> understand them. Roger beeps are just as fucken stupid also. Most never
> know what a radio should sound like, sometimes it sounds good to hear a
> radio with good audio, not clipped and with swing, another dumb ass fad.
> Why is it a person buys a new radio, and then takes it to a hack up shop
to
> fuck it up.
>
>

1157. Roger beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 23:45:41 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <FchHd.29613$_56.24484@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194897

From: "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Subject: Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL
Date: Tuesday, January 18, 2005 5:36 PM

Twistedhed Gilliland <twistedhedw&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in

> FRS radios have such tones because they are permitted by this rule:

You are getting like twisty more and more each day Go snip some more of
the FCC text so it doesnt include the part about selcal and tone operated
suelch. You will stoop to twisty levles to prove your right when your
wrong, assclown.

ETS are sub audible tones, is a roger beep a sub audible tone, Let me
answer that for you NO

ETS is not a subaudible tone, but in fact a roger beep. At any rate, I
emailed Riley. We'll let him answer if he chooses.

Quoted text:

Mr. Hollingsworth:

I have a question. Are roger beeps, or end of signal tones allowed on FRS,
GMRS, MURS, or CB? I know most FRS radios now have them, as well as GMRS,
and some CB radios. The commissions rules in part 95 are somewhat confusing.
I know the part about amusement may/could apply to some "noises", but this
is specifically about roger beeps. Thanks in advance.

1158. Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-18 23:58:07 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <johHd.123718$K7.93254@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194898

Hi all, I have a Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII that was working fine, untill one day i went and turned it on and it just squeals. It still works fine in PA mode, but in recieve its buggered and when i turn the volume up it gets louder and changes pitch. Sounds like its feeding back somewheres. It has been sitting outside under the porch and out of the weather and was working a couple of days before the fault developed. It was powered by a 5amp variable power supply set at 14v and had a desk mic connected, also the stationmaster antenna was always connected.
Any ideas and/or a schematic would be great, as i don't know if its worth taking to a shop for repair and the missus won't let be buy a replacement

1159. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 00:00:15 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <jqhHd.16384$_X7.6881@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194899

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:

> Tone Squelch -Is a generic name for many "Sub-audible tone systems".

Don't you mean CTCSS?

1160. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 00:03:00 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <UshHd.16388$_X7.12326@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194900

Trev 999 wrote:
> Hi all, I have a Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII that was working fine,
> untill one day i went and turned it on and it just squeals. It still works
> fine in PA mode, but in recieve its buggered and when i turn the volume up
> it gets louder and changes pitch. Sounds like its feeding back somewheres.
> It has been sitting outside under the porch and out of the weather and was
> working a couple of days before the fault developed. It was powered by a
> 5amp variable power supply set at 14v and had a desk mic connected, also the
> stationmaster antenna was always connected.
> Any ideas and/or a schematic would be great, as i don't know if its worth
> taking to a shop for repair and the missus won't let be buy a replacement
>
>

Test for blown capacitors in the audio chain.. you may be getting dc on
the chain due to a shorted cap.

Also, try pulling the mic off and see if the squeal is still there. If
it goes away when the mic is disconnected, then (if it's a power mic)
the mic may be the problem.

-SSB

1161. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 00:18:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <df9ru01dd8grb9l4lnnso52vldb219gpj1@4ax.com>
Source record: 194901

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:58:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <johHd.123718$K7.93254@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

>Hi all, I have a Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII that was working fine,
>untill one day i went and turned it on and it just squeals. It still works
>fine in PA mode, but in recieve its buggered and when i turn the volume up
>it gets louder and changes pitch. Sounds like its feeding back somewheres.
>It has been sitting outside under the porch and out of the weather and was
>working a couple of days before the fault developed. It was powered by a
>5amp variable power supply set at 14v and had a desk mic connected, also the
>stationmaster antenna was always connected.
>Any ideas and/or a schematic would be great, as i don't know if its worth
>taking to a shop for repair and the missus won't let be buy a replacement

First, try turning down the voltage on the power supply. Many radios
behave that way when the power supply voltage is too high. Also, check
your power supply to make sure the regulator hasn't failed.

If the radio is modified for talkback, cover the mic when you key up.

It may also be a fault in the mic. Take it apart to see if any wires
have come loose.

If that doesn't fix it you will probably have to take it to a shop.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1162. Re: Roger beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 00:19:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8q9ru0tvllb29bkb2hngnh23ioe6f6nc8o@4ax.com>
Source record: 194902

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:45:41 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote in <FchHd.29613$_56.24484@fe2.texas.rr.com>:

<snip>
>ETS is not a subaudible tone, but in fact a roger beep. At any rate, I
>emailed Riley. We'll let him answer if he chooses.

Works for me, but I don't know why he would answer -- he's the lawyer
for the hams, not the CBers.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1163. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 00:27:21 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a6aru0lg4chfg75fak1eohoja231dftpq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 194903

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 17:36:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E2BD97AAA881234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Twistedhed Gilliland <twistedhedwï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>
>
>
>> FRS radios have such tones because they are permitted by this rule:
>
>
>You are getting like twisty more and more each day Go snip some more of
>the FCC text so it doesnt include the part about selcal and tone operated
>suelch. You will stoop to twisty levles to prove your right when your
>wrong, assclown.

Speak for yourself. You conveniently snipped where I said, "....if any
radio with a "roger-beep" is accepted....", the keyword being "if".

1164. Re: As a sevice to our toys the keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 01:15:23 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106097323.456288.16160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194904

U Know Who wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1106018028.615644.129070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > The AKC will now begin posting FCC info so that those who wimp off
of
> > QRZ will be able to be contacted.
> >
> > KB5URP
> > CHAPMAN, RANDOLPH W
> > 2701 13TH AVE N 49
> > TEXAS CITY, TX 77590
> > confessed crack addict
> >
>
> Yawn.

Like your mouth does when you and Nebo get together in the crackhouse
toilet.

1165. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 01:18:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106097485.800351.168040@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Go scratch your nuts some more, slurpeyboy. You are in NY. Only an anus like you would be so stupid.

1166. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23... ***NEW*** IN FACTORY SEALED BOX!!! REALLY!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 01:18:47 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106097527.789377.304900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

You really gotta stop talking to yourself, sissy anus.

1167. Re: Roger beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 01:39:55 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <LTiHd.29926$Ta2.7102@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194907

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E2CB92DB85B1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:FchHd.29613$_56.24484
> @fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>> ETS is not a subaudible tone, but in fact a roger beep. At any rate, I
>> emailed Riley. We'll let him answer if he chooses.
>
> How is a SUB - Audible tone a roger Beep Randy you are arguing over things
> you dont even know the defenition of.
>
>

Go back and read your posts. YOU are the one that said ETS was a SUB-audible
tone. Maybe you didn't mean to, but you did say that.

1168. Re: As a sevice to our toys the keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 01:41:02 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <OUiHd.29927$Ta2.28645@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194908

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106097323.456288.16160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> U Know Who wrote:
>> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message
>> news:1106018028.615644.129070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > The AKC will now begin posting FCC info so that those who wimp off
> of
>> > QRZ will be able to be contacted.
>> >
>> > KB5URP
>> > CHAPMAN, RANDOLPH W
>> > 2701 13TH AVE N 49
>> > TEXAS CITY, TX 77590
>> > confessed crack addict
>> >
>>
>> Yawn.
>
> Like your mouth does when you and Nebo get together in the crackhouse
> toilet.
>

Good job, nitwit. You learned to quote.

1169. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 01:46:56 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <k_iHd.16816$_X7.8706@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194909

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in news:jqhHd.16384$_X7.6881
> @newssvr33.news.prodigy.com:
>
>
>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Tone Squelch -Is a generic name for many "Sub-audible tone systems".
>>
>>Don't you mean CTCSS?
>
>
>
>
> No
>
>
> Tone Squelch -Is a generic name for many "Sub-audible tone systems". The
> principle is that a receiver will not allow any audio to be routed to the
> speaker unless it is accompanied by the appropriate sub-audible tone.
> (Also see: CTCSS, ETS & PL)
>
> CTCSS - "Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System" CTCSS is a series of
> sub-audible tones which are standard on most new radios. An audible
> cousin
> of CTCSS is DTMF. These tones often perform control functions. Some
> repeaters may require a sub-audible tone before activating, others use it
> to perform functions such as turning all trunks on and off when a
> specific tone is present. (Also see: Tone Squelch, ETS & PL)
>
> ETS - "Electronic Tone Squelch" is the Canadian Marconi Company version
> of
> tone squelch. ETS is a series of sub-audible tones which has the ability
> of performing control functions. (Also see, Tone Squelch, CTCSS & PL.)

Do I need to point it out? Am I the only one that sees it?

According to your first "definition" up there, Sub-Audible Tone System
(SATS) = CTCSS = PL.

In fact, all of my ICOM and Alinco manuals equate PL with CTCSS and
define them with the same definition you attribute to SATS.

Or were you confusing CTCSS with DTS?

1170. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 01:54:08 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <1106099648.255256.311240@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194910

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in news:csjorb$n0g$1
> @news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>
> >
> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
> >> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
> >> news:csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
> >>
> >>> Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
> >>>
> >>> Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
> >>> freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the
> tendency
> >>> to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the

> past
> >>> few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic
with
> the
> >>> sticker on it.
> >>>
> >>> I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
> >>> "Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if
> >>> there are different versions floating around?
> >>>
> >>> Chad
> >>
> >> Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....
> >>
> >
> > On the sticker right?
> >
> > Chad
>
> thats the one bubba

Here ya go skippy:)

C2R-DX-2547

Entered: (C2R) (-DX-2547)

Here's a copy of the acceptance:

COPY FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

GRANT OF EQUIPMENT
AUTHORIZATION COPY
Certification

Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
70 Pei Nei Street Shulin 238
Taipei Hsien,
Taiwan Date of Grant: 07/09/1999

Application Dated: 08/25/1998

Attention: Susan Chiu

NOT TRANSFERABLE
EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION is hereby issued to the named GRANTEE, and is
VALID ONLY for the equipment identified hereon for use under the
Commission's Rules and Regulations listed below.

FCC IDENTIFIER: C2R-DX-2547

Name of Grantee: Ranger Electronic Communications Inc

Equipment Class: Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter
Notes: CB Transceiver

Grant Notes FCC Rule Parts Frequency
Range (MHZ) Output
Watts Frequency
Tolerance Emission
Designator
RF 95D 26.96 - 27.41 4.0 0.005 % 6K00A3E
95D 26.96 - 27.41 12.0 0.005 % 4K00J3E

RF: Meets the requirements applicable to Citizens Band Radio Service
equipment operating on up to 40 channels as specified in the Report and
Order in Docket 20120.

Mail To:
Rowland Johnson, President
Hyak Laboratories Inc
7011 Calamo Street, Suite 107
Springfield, VA 22150
US

9808288315408002

.... And it has a roger beep, and, I still think it's worthless!

Chad

1171. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 02:52:01 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <x5kHd.567$fJ.143@fe09.lga>
Source record: 194911

"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in message
news:1106099648.255256.311240@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in news:csjorb$n0g$1
>> @news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>
>> >
>> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> >> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
>> >> news:csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>> >>
>> >>> Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
>> >>>
>> >>> Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
>> >>> freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the
>> tendency
>> >>> to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the
>
>> past
>> >>> few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic
> with
>> the
>> >>> sticker on it.
>> >>>
>> >>> I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
>> >>> "Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if
>> >>> there are different versions floating around?
>> >>>
>> >>> Chad
>> >>
>> >> Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....
>> >>
>> >
>> > On the sticker right?
>> >
>> > Chad
>>
>> thats the one bubba
>
> Here ya go skippy:)
>

> C2R-DX-2547
>
> Entered: (C2R) (-DX-2547)
>
> Here's a copy of the acceptance:
>
>
> COPY FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
> COMMISSION
> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
>
> GRANT OF EQUIPMENT
> AUTHORIZATION COPY
> Certification
>
> Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
> 70 Pei Nei Street Shulin 238
> Taipei Hsien,
> Taiwan Date of Grant: 07/09/1999
>
> Application Dated: 08/25/1998
>
> Attention: Susan Chiu
>
> NOT TRANSFERABLE
> EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION is hereby issued to the named GRANTEE, and is
> VALID ONLY for the equipment identified hereon for use under the
> Commission's Rules and Regulations listed below.
>
>
> FCC IDENTIFIER: C2R-DX-2547
>
> Name of Grantee: Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>
> Equipment Class: Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter
> Notes: CB Transceiver
>
> Grant Notes FCC Rule Parts Frequency
> Range (MHZ) Output
> Watts Frequency
> Tolerance Emission
> Designator
> RF 95D 26.96 - 27.41 4.0 0.005 % 6K00A3E
> 95D 26.96 - 27.41 12.0 0.005 % 4K00J3E
>
>
> RF: Meets the requirements applicable to Citizens Band Radio Service
> equipment operating on up to 40 channels as specified in the Report and
> Order in Docket 20120.
>

> Mail To:
> Rowland Johnson, President
> Hyak Laboratories Inc
> 7011 Calamo Street, Suite 107
> Springfield, VA 22150
> US
>
>
>
> 9808288315408002
>
> .... And it has a roger beep, and, I still think it's worthless!
>
> Chad

Game, set, and match!

For what it's woth, Chad...I too despise roger beeps <ggg>

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

1172. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 03:08:52 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <8bkHd.19348$by5.397@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194912

Chad Wahls wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>
>>"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in news:csjorb$n0g$1
>>@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>
>>
>>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>
>>>wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>
>>>>"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
>>>>news:csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
>>>>>
>>>>>Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
>>>>>freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the
>>
>>tendency
>>
>>>>>to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the
>
>
>>past
>>
>>>>>few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic
>
> with
>
>>the
>>
>>>>>sticker on it.
>>>>>
>>>>>I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
>>>>>"Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if
>>>>>there are different versions floating around?
>>>>>
>>>>>Chad
>>>>
>>>>Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....

>>>>
>>>
>>>On the sticker right?
>>>
>>>Chad
>>
>>thats the one bubba
>
>
> Here ya go skippy:)
>
> C2R-DX-2547
>
> Entered: (C2R) (-DX-2547)
>
> Here's a copy of the acceptance:
>
>
> COPY FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
> COMMISSION
> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
>
> GRANT OF EQUIPMENT
> AUTHORIZATION COPY
> Certification
>
> Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
> 70 Pei Nei Street Shulin 238
> Taipei Hsien,
> Taiwan Date of Grant: 07/09/1999
>
> Application Dated: 08/25/1998
>
> Attention: Susan Chiu
>
> NOT TRANSFERABLE
> EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION is hereby issued to the named GRANTEE, and is
> VALID ONLY for the equipment identified hereon for use under the
> Commission's Rules and Regulations listed below.
>
>
> FCC IDENTIFIER: C2R-DX-2547
>
> Name of Grantee: Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>
> Equipment Class: Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter
> Notes: CB Transceiver
>
> Grant Notes FCC Rule Parts Frequency
> Range (MHZ) Output

> Watts Frequency
> Tolerance Emission
> Designator
> RF 95D 26.96 - 27.41 4.0 0.005 % 6K00A3E
> 95D 26.96 - 27.41 12.0 0.005 % 4K00J3E
>
>
> RF: Meets the requirements applicable to Citizens Band Radio Service
> equipment operating on up to 40 channels as specified in the Report and
> Order in Docket 20120.
>
> Mail To:
> Rowland Johnson, President
> Hyak Laboratories Inc
> 7011 Calamo Street, Suite 107
> Springfield, VA 22150
> US
>
>
>
> 9808288315408002
>
> .... And it has a roger beep, and, I still think it's worthless!
>
> Chad
>
OOps... Wonder if he'll admit he was wrong now?

A real man would.

-SSB

1173. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 03:17:33 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <hjkHd.40410$Z%.28009@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194913

"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in message
news:1106099648.255256.311240@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in news:csjorb$n0g$1
>> @news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>
>> >
>> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> >> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
>> >> news:csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>> >>
>> >>> Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
>> >>>
>> >>> Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
>> >>> freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the
>> tendency
>> >>> to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the
>
>> past
>> >>> few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic
> with
>> the
>> >>> sticker on it.
>> >>>
>> >>> I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
>> >>> "Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if
>> >>> there are different versions floating around?
>> >>>
>> >>> Chad
>> >>
>> >> Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....
>> >>
>> >
>> > On the sticker right?
>> >
>> > Chad
>>
>> thats the one bubba
>
> Here ya go skippy:)
>

> C2R-DX-2547
>
> Entered: (C2R) (-DX-2547)
>
> Here's a copy of the acceptance:
>
>
> COPY FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
> COMMISSION
> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
>
> GRANT OF EQUIPMENT
> AUTHORIZATION COPY
> Certification
>
> Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
> 70 Pei Nei Street Shulin 238
> Taipei Hsien,
> Taiwan Date of Grant: 07/09/1999
>
> Application Dated: 08/25/1998
>
> Attention: Susan Chiu
>
> NOT TRANSFERABLE
> EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION is hereby issued to the named GRANTEE, and is
> VALID ONLY for the equipment identified hereon for use under the
> Commission's Rules and Regulations listed below.
>
>
> FCC IDENTIFIER: C2R-DX-2547
>
> Name of Grantee: Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>
> Equipment Class: Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter
> Notes: CB Transceiver
>
> Grant Notes FCC Rule Parts Frequency
> Range (MHZ) Output
> Watts Frequency
> Tolerance Emission
> Designator
> RF 95D 26.96 - 27.41 4.0 0.005 % 6K00A3E
> 95D 26.96 - 27.41 12.0 0.005 % 4K00J3E
>
>
> RF: Meets the requirements applicable to Citizens Band Radio Service
> equipment operating on up to 40 channels as specified in the Report and
> Order in Docket 20120.
>

> Mail To:
> Rowland Johnson, President
> Hyak Laboratories Inc
> 7011 Calamo Street, Suite 107
> Springfield, VA 22150
> US
>
>
>
> 9808288315408002
>
> .... And it has a roger beep, and, I still think it's worthless!
>
> Chad
>

Suck my nads, George.

1174. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 03:42:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <halru0lcegfdkgbeg10pmooca7ee7ue5da@4ax.com>
Source record: 194914

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 03:17:33 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote in <hjkHd.40410$Z%.28009@fe1.texas.rr.com>:

<snip>
>
>Suck my nads, George.

It occurs to me that he may be right. Just because the radio includes
a roger-beep (or some other noise function) may not exclude it from an
equipment authorization because the operator can turn it off.

1175. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:04:24 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <41nru01luvu0el1s4vfj9isap122nic7r9@4ax.com>
Source record: 194915

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:34:29 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:

>>>>
>>>> Then by all means produce a radio that sells in the usa that is type
>>>> certified by the FCC, Randy tried but failed then he even came up
>>>> with a lame excuse that the FCC agent made a mistake... LOL I know
>>>> what the next excuse will sound like it will be " his co -worker
>>>> used his computer while he was out to lunch". Your opinion while
>>>> repected at times is not fact when it is spelled out and you nor any
>>>> others can produce a radio that is type certified with them. If they
>>>> were legal why would Cobra not incorporate them in at least 1 model,
>>>> just 1 ?? Why not Uniden?? radio Shack?? Nidland?? and whom ever is
>>>> a respected and legal company selling CB radios that are actually
>>>> type certified.
>>>
>>> Galaxy DX2547, 100% FCC accepted, has a roger beep, indicator light
>>> for it, and a switch on the front panel.
>>>
>>> Worthless in my eyes as the operator that says "com'on" after every
>>> sentence.
>>>
>>> But there is one for 'ya!
>>>
>>> Best
>>> Chad
>>
>> And here are a few for you Chad that radio included
>>
>>
>> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-253693A1.html
>>
>
>
>I saw that, One of the reasons I bought mine is because it "is" FCC
>accepted. I will also do some searching, Wonder if there is not another
>2547 "export version" with a DX99 in the chasis?
>
>best
>Chad
>

I had a Galaxy 949 which was a standard CB. Also had a roger beep.

Vinnie S.

1176. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:07:57 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <x2lHd.124146$K7.66569@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194916

Thanks guys, i've tried with the mic off and a different one and also with
reduced voltage. I will check the caps next. Please keep the ideas coming.
73's
"Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:johHd.123718$K7.93254@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Hi all, I have a Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII that was working fine,
> untill one day i went and turned it on and it just squeals. It still works
> fine in PA mode, but in recieve its buggered and when i turn the volume up
> it gets louder and changes pitch. Sounds like its feeding back somewheres.
> It has been sitting outside under the porch and out of the weather and was
> working a couple of days before the fault developed. It was powered by a
> 5amp variable power supply set at 14v and had a desk mic connected, also
> the
> stationmaster antenna was always connected.
> Any ideas and/or a schematic would be great, as i don't know if its worth
> taking to a shop for repair and the missus won't let be buy a replacement
>

1177. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:27:05 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3coru05coi9mo7fviiiu55s42io6ubnirj@4ax.com>
Source record: 194917

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 22:12:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E2EC710115C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

<snip>
>You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
>FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
>has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.

Which rule is that?

1178. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:27:40 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <0llHd.41105$Z%.9754@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 194918

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E2EC710115C1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:hjkHd.40410$Z%.28009
> @fe1.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in message
>> news:1106099648.255256.311240@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in news:csjorb$n0g$1
>>>> @news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>>>
>>>> >
>>>> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>>> > wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>> >> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
>>>> >> news:csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>>> >>
>>>> >>> Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
>>>> >>> freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the
>>>> tendency
>>>> >>> to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the
>>>
>>>> past
>>>> >>> few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic
>>> with
>>>> the
>>>> >>> sticker on it.
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
>>>> >>> "Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if
>>>> >>> there are different versions floating around?
>>>> >>>
>>>> >>> Chad
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> > On the sticker right?
>>>> >

>>>> > Chad
>>>>
>>>> thats the one bubba
>>>
>>> Here ya go skippy:)
>>>
>>> C2R-DX-2547
>>>
>>> Entered: (C2R) (-DX-2547)
>>>
>>> Here's a copy of the acceptance:
>>>
>>>
>>> COPY FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
>>> COMMISSION
>>> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
>>>
>>> GRANT OF EQUIPMENT
>>> AUTHORIZATION COPY
>>> Certification
>>>
>>> Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>>> 70 Pei Nei Street Shulin 238
>>> Taipei Hsien,
>>> Taiwan Date of Grant: 07/09/1999
>>>
>>> Application Dated: 08/25/1998
>>>
>>> Attention: Susan Chiu
>>>
>>> NOT TRANSFERABLE
>>> EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION is hereby issued to the named GRANTEE, and is
>>> VALID ONLY for the equipment identified hereon for use under the
>>> Commission's Rules and Regulations listed below.
>>>
>>>
>>> FCC IDENTIFIER: C2R-DX-2547
>>>
>>> Name of Grantee: Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>>>
>>> Equipment Class: Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter
>>> Notes: CB Transceiver
>>>
>>> Grant Notes FCC Rule Parts Frequency
>>> Range (MHZ) Output
>>> Watts Frequency
>>> Tolerance Emission
>>> Designator
>>> RF 95D 26.96 - 27.41 4.0 0.005 % 6K00A3E
>>> 95D 26.96 - 27.41 12.0 0.005 % 4K00J3E

>>>
>>>
>>> RF: Meets the requirements applicable to Citizens Band Radio Service
>>> equipment operating on up to 40 channels as specified in the Report
> and
>>> Order in Docket 20120.
>>>
>>> Mail To:
>>> Rowland Johnson, President
>>> Hyak Laboratories Inc
>>> 7011 Calamo Street, Suite 107
>>> Springfield, VA 22150
>>> US
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> 9808288315408002
>>>
>>> .... And it has a roger beep, and, I still think it's worthless!
>>>
>>> Chad
>>>
>>
>> Suck my nads, George.
>
>
> You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
> FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
> has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.

The fact remains, too many reputable CB distributors sold it for it not be
type accepted. You stink.

1179. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:30:31 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <HnlHd.19384$by5.14231@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194919

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>
> You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
> FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
> has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.

Which Part 95 CB rule disallows adjustable RF power?

I would think that if the radio was only capable of 4W RMS AM Carrier /
12W SSB PEP at the MAX power setting, and was adjustable downward, it
wouldn't be that big of a deal, nor would it make the radio "illegal" or
uncertifiable...

Educate me.

-SSB

1180. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:39:44 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <kwlHd.19390$by5.18377@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194920

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Tell Ken.
> CTCSS - "Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System" CTCSS is a series of
> sub-audible tones which are standard on most new radios. An audible
> cousin
> of CTCSS is DTMF. These tones often perform control functions. Some
> repeaters may require a sub-audible tone before activating, others use it
> to perform functions such as turning all trunks on and off when a
> specific
> tone is present. (Also see: Tone Squelch, ETS & PL)

Hrm... this is at variance with your definition of CTCSS.

From http://www.pacres.com/ctcss.htm :

[quote begins]
CTCSS (Continuous Tone Controlled Squelch System) a sub audible tone in the range of 67 to 254 Hz. The FM deviation level of CTCSS tones should be in the range of 500 to 800 Hz. These tones are encoded with the voice audio at all times during the transmission. Any one or more of the 50 tones can be used to gain access to the repeater. CTCSS decode and encode is provided as a standard feature on all of our controllers, using state of the art devices. All standard and non standard EIA CTCSS tones can be decoded or encoded. You may select 1, 2, 3 or up to all 50 CTCSS decode tones to operate the repeater. You can even use CTCSS tones and DCS codes on the same channel.
[end quote]

Here, CTCSS is defined as "a subaudible tone" "encoded with the voice audio at all times during the transmission."

Sure sounds like your SATS definition, and PL to me...

Quod Erat Demonstrandum

-SSB

1181. Re: Roger Beeps 100% LEGAL, WA3MOJ will still whine about it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:41:04 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <AxlHd.12348$wZ2.7031@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194921

```
"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:0llHd.41105$Z%.9754@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95E2EC710115C1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:hjkHd.40410$Z%.28009
>> @fe1.texas.rr.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in message
>>> news:1106099648.255256.311240@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in news:csjorb$n0g$1
>>>>> @news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>>>>
>>>>> >
>>>>> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>>>> > wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>>> >> "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
>>>>> >> news:csjo5d$mog$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >>> Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
>>>>> >>>
>>>>> >>> Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
>>>>> >>> freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the
>>>>> tendency
>>>>> >>> to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the
>>>>
>>>>> past
>>>>> >>> few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic
>>>> with
>>>>> the
>>>>> >>> sticker on it.
>>>>> >>>
>>>>> >>> I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
>>>>> >>> "Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if
>>>>> >>> there are different versions floating around?
>>>>> >>>
>>>>> >>> Chad
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....
>>>>> >>
```

>>>>> >
>>>>> > On the sticker right?
>>>>> >
>>>>> > Chad
>>>>>
>>>>> thats the one bubba
>>>>
>>>> Here ya go skippy:)
>>>>
>>>> C2R-DX-2547
>>>>
>>>> Entered: (C2R) (-DX-2547)
>>>>
>>>> Here's a copy of the acceptance:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> COPY FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
>>>> COMMISSION
>>>> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
>>>>
>>>> GRANT OF EQUIPMENT
>>>> AUTHORIZATION COPY
>>>> Certification
>>>>
>>>> Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>>>> 70 Pei Nei Street Shulin 238
>>>> Taipei Hsien,
>>>> Taiwan Date of Grant: 07/09/1999
>>>>
>>>> Application Dated: 08/25/1998
>>>>
>>>> Attention: Susan Chiu
>>>>
>>>> NOT TRANSFERABLE
>>>> EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION is hereby issued to the named GRANTEE, and is
>>>> VALID ONLY for the equipment identified hereon for use under the
>>>> Commission's Rules and Regulations listed below.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> FCC IDENTIFIER: C2R-DX-2547
>>>>
>>>> Name of Grantee: Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>>>>
>>>> Equipment Class: Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter
>>>> Notes: CB Transceiver
>>>>
>>>> Grant Notes FCC Rule Parts Frequency
>>>> Range (MHZ) Output
>>>> Watts Frequency
>>>> Tolerance Emission

>>>> Designator
>>>> RF 95D 26.96 - 27.41 4.0 0.005 % 6K00A3E
>>>> 95D 26.96 - 27.41 12.0 0.005 % 4K00J3E
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> RF: Meets the requirements applicable to Citizens Band Radio Service
>>>> equipment operating on up to 40 channels as specified in the Report
>> and
>>>> Order in Docket 20120.
>>>>
>>>> Mail To:
>>>> Rowland Johnson, President
>>>> Hyak Laboratories Inc
>>>> 7011 Calamo Street, Suite 107
>>>> Springfield, VA 22150
>>>> US
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 9808288315408002
>>>>
>>>> .... And it has a roger beep, and, I still think it's worthless!
>>>>
>>>> Chad
>>>>
>>>
>>> Suck my nads, George.
>>
>>
>> You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
>> FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
>> has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.
>
> The fact remains, too many reputable CB distributors sold it for it not be
> type accepted. You stink.

Y A W N..... Did you really
think he would admit it? Too many years not being
in one way sociable to anyone has left him nothing left
to do but act like the newsgroup punch clown.

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

1182. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:41:04 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <AxlHd.12347$wZ2.12067@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194922

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050118113827.331$Ox@newsreader.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>> Moparholic wrote:
>> >Dang, that 300lbs of shit in a 200lb bag! Does
>>
>> >any NFL team suck more than my Browns!?!?
>>
>> >It gets depressing!
>>
>> That other Ohio team,,,,,,,the Bungles.
>>
> They're pretty bad too, but they went 8-8 this year.

Hey dude, that's a great record, look at the Niners 2-14

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

1183. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:42:25 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <RylHd.19393$by5.15987@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194923

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> <snipped for brevity>

Some more information to educate you.

http://www.eecis.udel.edu/~dra/pl.html

-SSB

1184. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:50:37 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <xGlHd.19394$by5.996@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194924

Here's another one that equates all three.. CTCSS, PL, and SATS

http://everything2.com/index.pl?node=CTCSS

-SSB

1185. Re: Roger beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 04:53:38 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <mJlHd.19396$by5.13015@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194925

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:LTiHd.29926$Ta2.7102@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>
>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>>news:Xns95E2CB92DB85B1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>
>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:FchHd.29613$_56.24484
>>>@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>>ETS is not a subaudible tone, but in fact a roger beep. At any rate,
>>>>I emailed Riley. We'll let him answer if he chooses.
>>>
>>>How is a SUB - Audible tone a roger Beep Randy you are arguing over
>>>things you dont even know the defenition of.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Go back and read your posts. YOU are the one that said ETS was a
>>SUB-audible tone. Maybe you didn't mean to, but you did say that.
>
>
> Yes ETS is a sub audible tone, do you know what sub audible is something
> you cant hear, a roger beep is not a sub audible tone

ETS is "End of Transmission Signaling". In other words, the slang term
"roger beep".

You might be confusing it with DCS..

http://www.pacres.com/dcs.htm

-SSB

1186. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:00:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rhpru0hrooj179g3g9hugq0u7l5kj47v7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 194926

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:07:57 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <x2lHd.124146$K7.66569@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

>Thanks guys, i've tried with the mic off and a different one and also with
>reduced voltage. I will check the caps next. Please keep the ideas coming.

I don't think it's a cap. Your radio has an internal voltage regulator
and that's likely the culprit. Those regulators are responsible for
the 'buzzing' of some radios when they are fed with a supply voltage
that's too high, and it sounds like your's is permanently damaged. The
reason your PA still works is because it's powered directly from the
power line, not the internal regulator.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1187. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:08:02 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <SWlHd.19407$by5.2121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194927

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:07:57 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
> in <x2lHd.124146$K7.66569@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>
>
>>Thanks guys, i've tried with the mic off and a different one and also with
>>reduced voltage. I will check the caps next. Please keep the ideas coming.
>
>
>
> I don't think it's a cap. Your radio has an internal voltage regulator
> and that's likely the culprit. Those regulators are responsible for
> the 'buzzing' of some radios when they are fed with a supply voltage
> that's too high, and it sounds like your's is permanently damaged. The
> reason your PA still works is because it's powered directly from the
> power line, not the internal regulator.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of
the electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.

In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.

Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this
might just be a symptom of different radio design.

These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
going to hurt the radio or its regulators.

-SSB

1188. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:09:31 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <fYlHd.19408$by5.2230@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194928

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in
> news:k_iHd.16816$_X7.8706@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com:
>
>
>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>
>>>SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in news:jqhHd.16384$_X7.6881
>>>@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Tone Squelch -Is a generic name for many "Sub-audible tone systems".
>>>>
>>>>Don't you mean CTCSS?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>No
>>>
>>>
>>>Tone Squelch -Is a generic name for many "Sub-audible tone systems".
>>>The principle is that a receiver will not allow any audio to be
>>>routed to the speaker unless it is accompanied by the appropriate
>>>sub-audible tone. (Also see: CTCSS, ETS & PL)
>>>
>>>CTCSS - "Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System" CTCSS is a series of
>>>sub-audible tones which are standard on most new radios. An audible
>>>cousin
>>>of CTCSS is DTMF. These tones often perform control functions. Some
>>>repeaters may require a sub-audible tone before activating, others
>>>use it to perform functions such as turning all trunks on and off
>>>when a specific tone is present. (Also see: Tone Squelch, ETS & PL)
>>>
>>>ETS - "Electronic Tone Squelch" is the Canadian Marconi Company
>>>version of
>>>tone squelch. ETS is a series of sub-audible tones which has the
>>>ability of performing control functions. (Also see, Tone Squelch,
>>>CTCSS & PL.)

>>
>
>
>
>
>>Do I need to point it out? Am I the only one that sees it?
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>>According to your first "definition" up there, Sub-Audible Tone System
>>(SATS) = CTCSS = PL.
>
>
>
> this is what i posted
> "Tone Squelch -Is a generic name for many "Sub-audible tone systems
> (Also see: CTCSS, ETS & PL)".
>
>
> so if that is true then:
> "CTCSS - "Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System" CTCSS is a series of
> sub-audible tones
>
>
>
> Pl is Motorola name for CTCSS
>
>
>
>
> so that would mean they are all the same, it is further reinforced below
> ...
>
>
>
>
>>In fact, all of my ICOM and Alinco manuals equate PL with CTCSS and
>>define them with the same definition you attribute to SATS.
>
>
> CTCSS is referred to as a PL tone, PL is the Motorola Abbreviation for
> Private Line. Then you have CG which is the General Electric abbreviation
> for Channel Guard. They are all part of a sub audbile tone system, do you
> understand the definition of sub audible system? It is a unheard set of
> tones riding on the carrier
>
>

>
>
>
>
>>Or were you confusing CTCSS with DTS?
>
>
> No perhaps this web site will help clear it up for you I snipped the
> relevant parts and posted them here for you.
>
>
>
>
> http://www.radio-electronics.com/info/pmr/pmr/localpmr.php
>
> The other widely used system is CTCSS. The system may also be referred to
> asd subaudible tones or PL tones (a Motorola trademark). As the name
> suggests it uses subaudible tones (below about 250 Hz) to carry the
> selection information. These are transmitted in addition to the normal
> voice channel, but as they appear below the normal audio range they are
> filtered out and they are not heard.
>
> Only when the correct tone for the required station is transmitted will
> the squelch for that receiver be opened and the transmitted audio will be
> heard. The advantage of this system is that the subaudible tones are
> transmitted for the whole period of the transmission so if the signal
> fades at the beginning of the transmission is lost but later then
> increases in strength, the continuously transmitted tones will enable the
> squelch to open and the audio to be heard. Systems typically are able to
> provide up to 37 different tones, the lowest frequency of which is 67 Hz
> and the highest 250.3 Hz. This enables a variety of differnt mobiles to
> be called selectively.
>
>
Thanks for so adequately proving my point...

SATS = CTCSS = PL.

Quod Erat Demonstrandum.

-SSB

1189. Re: Roger beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:13:21 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <R%lHd.19409$by5.5509@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194930

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in
> news:mJlHd.19396$by5.13015@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com:
>
>
>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>
>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>news:LTiHd.29926$Ta2.7102@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>>>>news:Xns95E2CB92DB85B1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>>>news:FchHd.29613$_56.24484 @fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>ETS is not a subaudible tone, but in fact a roger beep. At any
>>>>>>rate, I emailed Riley. We'll let him answer if he chooses.
>>>>>
>>>>>How is a SUB - Audible tone a roger Beep Randy you are arguing over
>>>>>things you dont even know the defenition of.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Go back and read your posts. YOU are the one that said ETS was a
>>>>SUB-audible tone. Maybe you didn't mean to, but you did say that.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yes ETS is a sub audible tone, do you know what sub audible is
>>>something you cant hear, a roger beep is not a sub audible tone
>>
>>ETS is "End of Transmission Signaling". In other words, the slang term
>>"roger beep".
>>
>>You might be confusing it with DCS..
>>
>>http://www.pacres.com/dcs.htm
>>

>>-SSB
>
>
> No I provided the definiton before as you see everyone calls pretty much
> the same thing a different name.
>
>
> ETS - "Electronic Tone Squelch" is the Canadian Marconi Company version
> of tone squelch. ETS is a series of sub-audible tones which has the
> ability of performing control functions.

And Galaxy/Ranger/whomever is required to use the same abbreviation as
the CMC?

DVD = Digital Video Disk
DVD also = Digital Video Downlink

Can you think of any other acronyms that mean different things depending
on the context in which they're used? There's OODLES of them.

For this CB, ETS = Roger Beep.

Why are you so eager to be proved wrong repeatedly?

-SSB

1190. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:14:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fnqru01mg02a4hn38lfi8cnfk6o8nn30ie@4ax.com>
Source record: 194929

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:03:53 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E3EEC644F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

<snip>
>...The advantage of this system is that the subaudible tones are
>transmitted for the whole period of the transmission so if the signal
>fades at the beginning of the transmission is lost but later then
>increases in strength, the continuously transmitted tones will enable the
>squelch to open and the audio to be heard.

....huh? The radio goes back into squelch when the signal is lost
because when the signal is lost so are the tones.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1191. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:16:13 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <x2mHd.19410$by5.10677@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194931

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in news:xGlHd.19394$by5.996
> @newssvr19.news.prodigy.com:
>
>
>>Here's another one that equates all three.. CTCSS, PL, and SATS
>
>
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck I said they are all the fucking
> same read what the fuck I tpye instead of trying to educate people. I have
> already educated you and told you they are all the fucking same.

No.. you said they were different.

I asked you "did you mean CTCSS"

You said "no"

I pointed out they were the same.

There's no need to resort to insults, not-not-jorge, just because you
don't want to admit you were wrong twice on the same day... that is
unless your intellect limits you to such things.

-SSB

1192. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:20:17 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <l6mHd.19413$by5.17618@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194932

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>
> Prove your point? I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

He can't properly form a complete sentence, and I'm drunken and
delirious. That's rich.

Don't push your personal life off on me, not-not-gorge. Just because you
like to "sniff toilets seat" doesn't mean everyone else does..

That should have been "you're delirious", not "your". "Your" is the
possessive form. "You're" is the contraction of "you are". I learned the
difference in Grammar School..

-SSB

1193. Re: Roger beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:21:23 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <n7mHd.19414$by5.16638@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194933

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in
> news:R%lHd.19409$by5.5509@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com:
>
>
>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>
>>>SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in
>>>news:mJlHd.19396$by5.13015@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>>>news:LTiHd.29926$Ta2.7102@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:Xns95E2CB92DB85B1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>news:FchHd.29613$_56.24484 @fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>ETS is not a subaudible tone, but in fact a roger beep. At any
>>>>>>>>rate, I emailed Riley. We'll let him answer if he chooses.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>How is a SUB - Audible tone a roger Beep Randy you are arguing
>>>>>>>over things you dont even know the defenition of.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Go back and read your posts. YOU are the one that said ETS was a
>>>>>>SUB-audible tone. Maybe you didn't mean to, but you did say that.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>Yes ETS is a sub audible tone, do you know what sub audible is
>>>>>something you cant hear, a roger beep is not a sub audible tone
>>>>
>>>>ETS is "End of Transmission Signaling". In other words, the slang
>>>>term "roger beep".
>>>>
>>>>You might be confusing it with DCS..
>>>>
>>>>http://www.pacres.com/dcs.htm
>>>>
>>>>-SSB
>>>
>>>
>>>No I provided the definiton before as you see everyone calls pretty
>>>much the same thing a different name.
>>>
>>>
>>>ETS - "Electronic Tone Squelch" is the Canadian Marconi Company
>>>version of tone squelch. ETS is a series of sub-audible tones which
>>>has the ability of performing control functions.
>>
>>And Galaxy/Ranger/whomever is required to use the same abbreviation as
>>the CMC?
>>
>>DVD = Digital Video Disk
>>DVD also = Digital Video Downlink
>>
>>Can you think of any other acronyms that mean different things
>>depending on the context in which they're used? There's OODLES of
>>them.
>>
>>For this CB, ETS = Roger Beep.
>>
>>Why are you so eager to be proved wrong repeatedly?
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
> how the fuck yopu think you prove me wrong my defintion is 100% correct
> you stupid assfuck

Your intellect is showing... again.

-SSB

1194. Re: Roger beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:23:38 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <u9mHd.19416$by5.12312@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194934

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in
> news:R%lHd.19409$by5.5509@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com:
>
>
>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>
>>>SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in
>>>news:mJlHd.19396$by5.13015@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>>>news:LTiHd.29926$Ta2.7102@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:Xns95E2CB92DB85B1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>news:FchHd.29613$_56.24484 @fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>ETS is not a subaudible tone, but in fact a roger beep. At any
>>>>>>>>rate, I emailed Riley. We'll let him answer if he chooses.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>How is a SUB - Audible tone a roger Beep Randy you are arguing
>>>>>>>over things you dont even know the defenition of.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Go back and read your posts. YOU are the one that said ETS was a
>>>>>>SUB-audible tone. Maybe you didn't mean to, but you did say that.
>>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>Yes ETS is a sub audible tone, do you know what sub audible is
>>>>>something you cant hear, a roger beep is not a sub audible tone
>>>>
>>>>ETS is "End of Transmission Signaling". In other words, the slang
>>>>term "roger beep".
>>>>
>>>>You might be confusing it with DCS..
>>>>
>>>>http://www.pacres.com/dcs.htm
>>>>
>>>>-SSB
>>>
>>>
>>>No I provided the definiton before as you see everyone calls pretty
>>>much the same thing a different name.
>>>
>>>
>>>ETS - "Electronic Tone Squelch" is the Canadian Marconi Company
>>>version of tone squelch. ETS is a series of sub-audible tones which
>>>has the ability of performing control functions.
>>
>>And Galaxy/Ranger/whomever is required to use the same abbreviation as
>>the CMC?
>>
>>DVD = Digital Video Disk
>>DVD also = Digital Video Downlink
>>
>>Can you think of any other acronyms that mean different things
>>depending on the context in which they're used? There's OODLES of
>>them.
>>
>>For this CB, ETS = Roger Beep.
>>
>>Why are you so eager to be proved wrong repeatedly?
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
> No your assclown buddies brought up FRS amd GMRS radios you dildo, and i
> brought up the point about the sub audible tomes and ctcss, and ets. Now
> go sit in the fucking corner till you are included in the conversation
> your only goal here is to argue with me and jump into the middle of a
> thread before reading what is posted.

Actually, my goal here is to stop the spread of incorrect information.
You were wrong.. twice.. and you won't admit it.

That's OK, though.. we are now completely sure of the level of your
intellect.

-SSB

1195. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:31:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a8rru0dji3fqf9843nn4oo6q2d7ipt2ckv@4ax.com>
Source record: 194935

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:08:02 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
in <SWlHd.19407$by5.2121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
>... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
>squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of
>the electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.

I've never seen that but it's possible. The reason I'm sure it's the
regulator is because I have seen the same fault lots of times in
similar radios. In fact, I'd be willing to bet that his radio uses the
same Uniden board as a TRC-453/PC-122.

>In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
>bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
>which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.
>
>Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this
>might just be a symptom of different radio design.

The only problem with that scenario is that his PA still works. So the
shorted cap would have to be much further down the chain from the mic
and inside the area fed by the internal regulator.

>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.

I have a TRC-435 that squeals at 14 volts and a Colt 357A that won't
even power up at that voltage. Drop it down to 13.6 (or even as low as
11.5 volts) and both work just fine.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1196. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:36:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pbsru0d1t5jplavgj5ktfqjhahs45arfr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 194936

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:32:54 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E35DA84BD11234567890@216.196.97.136>:

<snip>
>This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears blah, blah, blah.

So what rule prohibits a power control?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1197. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:39:25 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <homHd.124207$K7.85222@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194937

Can't see anything that looks suspect visually (caps etc), but the IC 5 (kia
7217) 5.8w amp sure gets hot when not in PA mode, theres a 7808 reg beside
this IC and the voltage is spot on 8v.
Is the input voltage reg normally a 3 pin jobby? If so I can't see it
offhand.
"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:a8rru0dji3fqf9843nn4oo6q2d7ipt2ckv@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:08:02 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
> in <SWlHd.19407$by5.2121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
>>squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of
>>the electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.
>
>
> I've never seen that but it's possible. The reason I'm sure it's the
> regulator is because I have seen the same fault lots of times in
> similar radios. In fact, I'd be willing to bet that his radio uses the
> same Uniden board as a TRC-453/PC-122.
>
>
>>In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
>>bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
>>which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.
>>
>>Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this
>>might just be a symptom of different radio design.
>
>
> The only problem with that scenario is that his PA still works. So the
> shorted cap would have to be much further down the chain from the mic
> and inside the area fed by the internal regulator.
>
>
>>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>
>
> I have a TRC-435 that squeals at 14 volts and a Colt 357A that won't
> even power up at that voltage. Drop it down to 13.6 (or even as low as
> 11.5 volts) and both work just fine.
>

>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000
> Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1198. Re: Roger beeps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:44:36 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <8tmHd.19419$by5.2252@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194938

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>
> you are correct i was wrong 2x once about radio and the other is your
> not drunk, your just a asshole. who has only proven that

No.. that would be three times, then. It's funny though... I only seem
to be called "asshole" by those who can't seem to keep up.

http://ars.userfriendly.org/cartoons/?id=20030428&mode=classic
http://ars.userfriendly.org/cartoons/?id=20000820&mode=classic

1199. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:48:46 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <2xmHd.19420$by5.9829@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194939

Comments within:

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:08:02 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
> in <SWlHd.19407$by5.2121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
>>squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of
>>the electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.
>
>
>
> I've never seen that but it's possible. The reason I'm sure it's the
> regulator is because I have seen the same fault lots of times in
> similar radios. In fact, I'd be willing to bet that his radio uses the
> same Uniden board as a TRC-453/PC-122.
>
>
Entirely possible. I'm not familiar with that particular rig.
>
>>In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
>>bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
>>which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.
>>
>>Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this
>>might just be a symptom of different radio design.
>
>
>
> The only problem with that scenario is that his PA still works. So the
> shorted cap would have to be much further down the chain from the mic
> and inside the area fed by the internal regulator.
>
>
PA and SSB were clean on the audio on the 2000, too. It only showed up
on AM. It was odd, but replacing that cap (actually, I replaced all the
caps in the audio and mixer chains, just to be safe, after I replaced
the one and tested the rig) fixed the problem.
>
>>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>

>
>
> I have a TRC-435 that squeals at 14 volts and a Colt 357A that won't
> even power up at that voltage. Drop it down to 13.6 (or even as low as
> 11.5 volts) and both work just fine.
>

Odd. Never seen that before. Ok. I'll buy it. Just never seen it before.
-SSB

1200. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:52:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kusru0tb4d3qi9ldhg1dg1u490hhtm4o4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 194940

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:39:25 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <homHd.124207$K7.85222@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

>Can't see anything that looks suspect visually (caps etc), but the IC 5 (kia
>7217) 5.8w amp sure gets hot when not in PA mode, theres a 7808 reg beside
>this IC and the voltage is spot on 8v.
>Is the input voltage reg normally a 3 pin jobby? If so I can't see it
>offhand.

That would be it. Put the voltmeter on AC (yes, AC) and measure it.

1201. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:59:20 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <YGmHd.19422$by5.3382@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194942

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:pbsru0d1t5jplavgj5ktfqjhahs45arfr3@4ax.com:
>
>
>>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:32:54 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>><Xns95E35DA84BD11234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>>This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears blah, blah, blah.
>>
>>
>>So what rule prohibits a power control?
>>
>
>
> I beleive I was thinking of the scenario where you added a dial a watt.
> which further enhances the keyclown ability of the radio for driving a
> amp

So that would be the "internal modifications" rule..

If the radio is type accepted, and comes with such a thing as a power
control from the factory, then it's not internally modified, and
therefore not illegal.

Quod Erat Demonstrandum.

-SSB

1202. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 05:59:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1gtru0tg80nihqhhcbojl6fknfh12hpik6@4ax.com>
Source record: 194941

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:48:46 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
in <2xmHd.19420$by5.9829@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
>> The only problem with that scenario is that his PA still works. So the
>> shorted cap would have to be much further down the chain from the mic
>> and inside the area fed by the internal regulator.
>>
>>
>PA and SSB were clean on the audio on the 2000, too. It only showed up
>on AM. It was odd, but replacing that cap (actually, I replaced all the
>caps in the audio and mixer chains, just to be safe, after I replaced
>the one and tested the rig) fixed the problem.

Yeah, that's pretty strange alright! Was it a DC block or a supply
bypass cap?

>>>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>>>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>>
>>
>>
>> I have a TRC-435 that squeals at 14 volts and a Colt 357A that won't
>> even power up at that voltage. Drop it down to 13.6 (or even as low as
>> 11.5 volts) and both work just fine.
>>
>
>Odd. Never seen that before. Ok. I'll buy it. Just never seen it before.

A lot of radios do it. Just turn up the juice and start running them
through the bench. You'll see what I mean.

1203. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 06:01:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vrtru0p7nhuvmp0df34010ar3prut2svsf@4ax.com>
Source record: 194943

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:56:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E39C862A8C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:pbsru0d1t5jplavgj5ktfqjhahs45arfr3@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:32:54 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns95E35DA84BD11234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears blah, blah, blah.
>>
>>
>> So what rule prohibits a power control?
>>
>
>I beleive I was thinking of the scenario where you added a dial a watt.
>which further enhances the keyclown ability of the radio for driving a
>amp

Yeah, that's usually what it's used for. But what's the rule that
prohibits it?

1204. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 06:01:49 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <hJmHd.19423$by5.10960@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194794

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:48:46 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
> in <2xmHd.19420$by5.9829@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>>The only problem with that scenario is that his PA still works. So the
>>>shorted cap would have to be much further down the chain from the mic
>>>and inside the area fed by the internal regulator.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>PA and SSB were clean on the audio on the 2000, too. It only showed up
>>on AM. It was odd, but replacing that cap (actually, I replaced all the
>>caps in the audio and mixer chains, just to be safe, after I replaced
>>the one and tested the rig) fixed the problem.
>
>
>
> Yeah, that's pretty strange alright! Was it a DC block or a supply
> bypass cap?
>
>
It was a DC Block, put in place to bypass excess/out of band AC signal
to ground.
>
>>>>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>>>>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I have a TRC-435 that squeals at 14 volts and a Colt 357A that won't
>>>even power up at that voltage. Drop it down to 13.6 (or even as low as
>>>11.5 volts) and both work just fine.
>>>
>>
>>Odd. Never seen that before. Ok. I'll buy it. Just never seen it before.
>
>
>
> A lot of radios do it. Just turn up the juice and start running them
> through the bench. You'll see what I mean.
>
>

>
I'll give it a shot on a spare 148 I have lying around here, just as
soon as I fix the 'scope, so I can fix the ICOM 751A and the Swan 350D.

-SSB

1205. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 06:06:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <o1uru0lqes95scgjp5qov8unobj9n06clk@4ax.com>
Source record: 194795

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 06:01:49 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
in <hJmHd.19423$by5.10960@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
>> Yeah, that's pretty strange alright! Was it a DC block or a supply
>> bypass cap?
>>
>>
>It was a DC Block, put in place to bypass excess/out of band AC signal
>to ground.

.....huh?

<snip>
>I'll give it a shot on a spare 148 I have lying around here, just as
>soon as I fix the 'scope, so I can fix the ICOM 751A and the Swan 350D.

I've never seen a 148 do it although you could give it a try.

1206. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 06:17:52 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kYmHd.124244$K7.77818@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194796

Ok, i've measured 1Vac across the output of that 7808 reg, is that cool?
Also the schematic says at the bottom "Hatadi super cheetah", I could post
this (the schematic) on my website or email to anyone who's interested in
taking a look.
Thanks your help so far too guys!

"SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
news:2xmHd.19420$by5.9829@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Comments within:
>
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:08:02 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
>> in <SWlHd.19407$by5.2121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>>... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
>>>squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of the
>>>electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.
>>
>>
>>
>> I've never seen that but it's possible. The reason I'm sure it's the
>> regulator is because I have seen the same fault lots of times in
>> similar radios. In fact, I'd be willing to bet that his radio uses the
>> same Uniden board as a TRC-453/PC-122.
>>
>>
> Entirely possible. I'm not familiar with that particular rig.
>>
>>>In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
>>>bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
>>>which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.
>>>
>>>Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this might
>>>just be a symptom of different radio design.
>>
>>
>>
>> The only problem with that scenario is that his PA still works. So the
>> shorted cap would have to be much further down the chain from the mic
>> and inside the area fed by the internal regulator.
>>
>>

> PA and SSB were clean on the audio on the 2000, too. It only showed up on
> AM. It was odd, but replacing that cap (actually, I replaced all the caps
> in the audio and mixer chains, just to be safe, after I replaced the one
> and tested the rig) fixed the problem.
>>
>>>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>>>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>>
>>
>>
>> I have a TRC-435 that squeals at 14 volts and a Colt 357A that won't
>> even power up at that voltage. Drop it down to 13.6 (or even as low as
>> 11.5 volts) and both work just fine.
>>
>
> Odd. Never seen that before. Ok. I'll buy it. Just never seen it before.
> -SSB

1207. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 07:02:56 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ACnHd.13039$5R.6921@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194797

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:vrtru0p7nhuvmp0df34010ar3prut2svsf@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:56:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> <Xns95E39C862A8C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
>>news:pbsru0d1t5jplavgj5ktfqjhahs45arfr3@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:32:54 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>> <Xns95E35DA84BD11234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears blah, blah, blah.
>>>
>>>
>>> So what rule prohibits a power control?
>>>
>>
>>I beleive I was thinking of the scenario where you added a dial a watt.
>>which further enhances the keyclown ability of the radio for driving a
>>amp
>
>
> Yeah, that's usually what it's used for. But what's the rule that
> prohibits it?

```
Same rule as roger beeps & WMD in Iraq ;)

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.
```

1208. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 09:49:06 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <m2qHd.16916$_X7.14850@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194798

Trev 999 wrote:
> Ok, i've measured 1Vac across the output of that 7808 reg, is that cool?
> Also the schematic says at the bottom "Hatadi super cheetah", I could post
> this (the schematic) on my website or email to anyone who's interested in
> taking a look.
> Thanks your help so far too guys!
>
> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:2xmHd.19420$by5.9829@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Comments within:
>>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:08:02 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
>>>in <SWlHd.19407$by5.2121@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
>>>>squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of the
>>>>electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I've never seen that but it's possible. The reason I'm sure it's the
>>>regulator is because I have seen the same fault lots of times in
>>>similar radios. In fact, I'd be willing to bet that his radio uses the
>>>same Uniden board as a TRC-453/PC-122.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Entirely possible. I'm not familiar with that particular rig.
>>
>>>>In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
>>>>bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
>>>>which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.
>>>>
>>>>Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this might
>>>>just be a symptom of different radio design.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>The only problem with that scenario is that his PA still works. So the

>>>shorted cap would have to be much further down the chain from the mic
>>>and inside the area fed by the internal regulator.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>PA and SSB were clean on the audio on the 2000, too. It only showed up on
>>AM. It was odd, but replacing that cap (actually, I replaced all the caps
>>in the audio and mixer chains, just to be safe, after I replaced the one
>>and tested the rig) fixed the problem.
>>
>>>>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>>>>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I have a TRC-435 that squeals at 14 volts and a Colt 357A that won't
>>>even power up at that voltage. Drop it down to 13.6 (or even as low as
>>>11.5 volts) and both work just fine.
>>>
>>
>>Odd. Never seen that before. Ok. I'll buy it. Just never seen it before.
>>-SSB
>
>
>

One of a couple of things is happening here..

Either your power supply is going to pot (disconnect the radio and measure the AC voltage across + and -) or the regulator (or one of its filter caps) is going/gone bad.

And that's for starters.

Check your power supply first. If the AC voltage across the outputs isn't VERY close to 0 volts, then it's time for a new power supply.

If it's at or close to 0 volts, the power supply is probably OK, and the problem is in your radio. How handy are you with a soldering iron? Got any test equipment besides a VOM?

-SSB

1209. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 09:53:26 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <q6qHd.16917$_X7.3558@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194799

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 06:01:49 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
> in <hJmHd.19423$by5.10960@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>>Yeah, that's pretty strange alright! Was it a DC block or a supply
>>>bypass cap?
>>>
>>>
>>
>>It was a DC Block, put in place to bypass excess/out of band AC signal
>>to ground.
>
>
>
> .....huh?
>
>
> <snip>
>
>>I'll give it a shot on a spare 148 I have lying around here, just as
>>soon as I fix the 'scope, so I can fix the ICOM 751A and the Swan 350D.
>
>
>
> I've never seen a 148 do it although you could give it a try.
>
>
>

Caps pass AC, but not all AC.. like any LC or RC circuit, some
frequencies are filtered and/or blocked, and others are allowed to pass.
Just because there isn't an inductor or a resistor in a circuit doesn't
mean it lacks L or R...

Sorry, didn't mean to be so vague.

-SSB

1210. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 10:15:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hecsu0h555e2i9m4pnvd6dphrj8q4blke9@4ax.com>
Source record: 194800

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 09:49:06 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
in <m2qHd.16916$_X7.14850@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
>One of a couple of things is happening here..
>
>Either your power supply is going to pot (disconnect the radio and
>measure the AC voltage across + and -) or the regulator (or one of its
>filter caps) is going/gone bad.
>
>And that's for starters.
>
>Check your power supply first. If the AC voltage across the outputs
>isn't VERY close to 0 volts, then it's time for a new power supply.
>
>If it's at or close to 0 volts, the power supply is probably OK, and the
>problem is in your radio. How handy are you with a soldering iron? Got
>any test equipment besides a VOM?

Right on the money. There should be no more than a few (less than 10)
millivolts AC at the output of that regulator. You will need a scope
to find the source of the fault.

1211. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 10:18:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gqcsu0lif3bjq57ng6gjff6phv1ouf77nl@4ax.com>
Source record: 194801

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 06:17:52 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <kYmHd.124244$K7.77818@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

>Ok, i've measured 1Vac across the output of that 7808 reg, is that cool?

NO! It's very UN-cool! Something is definitely fucked up!

>Also the schematic says at the bottom "Hatadi super cheetah", I could post
>this (the schematic) on my website or email to anyone who's interested in
>taking a look.

You can email it to me and I'll post it from my webspace.

1212. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 10:22:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a0dsu0hmfbeq4kg2c6pvte8rtbpfkvnnlv@4ax.com>
Source record: 194802

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 00:11:59 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E3C7A6F0021234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:vrtru0p7nhuvmp0df34010ar3prut2svsf@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:56:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns95E39C862A8C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>>>news:pbsru0d1t5jplavgj5ktfqjhahs45arfr3@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 23:32:54 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>>> <Xns95E35DA84BD11234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>>
>>>> <snip>
>>>>>This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears blah, blah,
>blah.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> So what rule prohibits a power control?
>>>>
>>>
>>>I beleive I was thinking of the scenario where you added a dial a watt.
>>>which further enhances the keyclown ability of the radio for driving a
>>>amp
>>
>>
>> Yeah, that's usually what it's used for. But what's the rule that
>> prohibits it?
>
>
>did you not read what i wrote twisty?

I did, and don't call me Twisty. Are you saying that you made a
mistake by claiming you were referring to an illegal modification
instead of a factory design feature?

1213. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 11:08:15 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zcrHd.124634$K7.39613@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194803

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:hecsu0h555e2i9m4pnvd6dphrj8q4blke9@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 09:49:06 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
> in <m2qHd.16916$_X7.14850@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>One of a couple of things is happening here..
>>
>>Either your power supply is going to pot (disconnect the radio and
>>measure the AC voltage across + and -) or the regulator (or one of its
>>filter caps) is going/gone bad.

Righto, The power supply is about a month old, but I checked it anyway, VOM says millivolts AC ripple.
I will check filter caps tomorrow once its daylight.

>>And that's for starters.
>>
>>Check your power supply first. If the AC voltage across the outputs
>>isn't VERY close to 0 volts, then it's time for a new power supply.
>>
>>If it's at or close to 0 volts, the power supply is probably OK, and the
>>problem is in your radio. How handy are you with a soldering iron? Got
>>any test equipment besides a VOM?

I'm fairly handy with a soldering iron, have made a few electronic gadets over the years (cystral set at age 8 :))
I only have a FET VOM and a digi VOM, no scope unfortunately.

> Right on the money. There should be no more than a few (less than 10)
> millivolts AC at the output of that regulator. You will need a scope
> to find the source of the fault.

For testing the caps, am I just looking for short or open on them?

1214. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 11:12:07 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194804

Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake, sorry SSB

Cheers Guys

1215. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 11:48:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8vhsu0d6msjkm22sqe4a06mg3qiona8r22@4ax.com>
Source record: 194805

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:30:31 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>
>> You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
>> FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
>> has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.
>
>Which Part 95 CB rule disallows adjustable RF power?
>
>I would think that if the radio was only capable of 4W RMS AM Carrier /
>12W SSB PEP at the MAX power setting, and was adjustable downward, it
>wouldn't be that big of a deal, nor would it make the radio "illegal" or
>uncertifiable...
>
>Educate me.
>

I can't find any reference to a specific rule that either allows or
prohibits adjustable power.

On the one hand, if it were legal, you would think that more radios
would utilize this "feature" as a selling point.

On the other hand, many hand held radios are equipped with "hi/lo"
power switches.

In the mid 60's, a few Lafayette models had a 5W/100mW switch on the
back. But the selling point for those was that they used to claim that
you could operate your radio on the 100 mW position before your
license came back in the mail. The FCC later clarified part 15 so that
the antenna had to be self contained and less than 5 feet in length.
So that pretty much killed that purpose of that switch, and it
disappeared with the next models.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1216. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 11:58:53 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fnisu0pi70i27q7ln91q6b952bpia7fiq2@4ax.com>
Source record: 194806

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 06:48:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <8vhsu0d6msjkm22sqe4a06mg3qiona8r22@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:30:31 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>
>>> You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
>>> FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
>>> has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.
>>
>>Which Part 95 CB rule disallows adjustable RF power?
>>
>>I would think that if the radio was only capable of 4W RMS AM Carrier /
>>12W SSB PEP at the MAX power setting, and was adjustable downward, it
>>wouldn't be that big of a deal, nor would it make the radio "illegal" or
>>uncertifiable...
>>
>>Educate me.
>>
>
>
>I can't find any reference to a specific rule that either allows or
>prohibits adjustable power.
>
>On the one hand, if it were legal......

Oh brother. Once again you demonstrate your attitude that you are
willing to convict based on an absence of evidence.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1217. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 12:09:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <diisu0dno035t3a8qmus7lb8s32ru45u0k@4ax.com>
Source record: 194807

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 15:02:16 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 12:57:00 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <tdjqu01r0rf179kn1clnc0o2vbn5spoda9@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 04:57:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 07:04:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <oltpu01djfto528972ea7taib927766knb@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>>>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 00:03:11 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>> [6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>>>>>> amuse or entertain;
>>>
>>>
>>>It's illegal because any form of free entertainment or amusement is a
>>>direct attack on the free-enterprise system by the liberals who want
>>>to weaken the corporate stronghold on the entertainment industry. So
>>>the US government (whose sole purpose is to "protect, facilitate and
>>>represent our interests in the world market") has disallowed any free
>>>entertainment and amusement in non-profit venues, including CB radio.
>>>
>>>How could you not see that, Dave?
>>
>>
>>Give it a rest Frank. We're not talking about politics anymore.
>
>
>I see that -you- aren't talking about politics anymore because you
>refuse to accept any facts;

What you consider "facts" is the whole point of contention.

> e.g., the fact here is that you are
>looking at the wrong rule:

Am I?

>
>
>>This is where the controversy is. Your assessment is valid, and it
>>would seem that since the FCC has allowed ETS signals on FRS radios,

>>(which also fall under part 95) that it would also stand to reason
>>that they would allow them on class "D" CB as well. The question is
>>why have they not made their position clear in the form of a rule
>>modification?
>
>
>FRS radios have such tones because they are permitted by this rule:
>
>"Sec. 95.193 (b) The FRS unit may transmit tones to make contact or
>to continue communications with a particular FRS unit....."
>
>CB radio has an identical rule:
>
>"Sec. 95.412 (b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone
>signal only when the signal is used to make contact or to continue
>communications....."

But you failed to print the entire rule subpart. Why this is
significant I will explain after I post it in its entirety:

"(b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal only
when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.
(Examples of circuits using these signals are tone operated squelch
and selective calling circuits.) If the signal is an audible tone, it
must last no longer than 15 seconds at one time. If the signal is a
subaudible tone, it may be transmitted continuously only as long as
you are talking."

Now, when you look at the rule, it becomes clear what the intent of
this rule is. They are defining selective calling units, that operate
either with CTCSS or dual tone (paging style) squelch systems.
Lafayette used to sell them from the 1960's into the early 70's.

You might be able to infer that this rule also applies to roger beeps,
but you have to remember that this rule was written long before roger
beeps were even heard of on CB radio communications.

I will concede that the rule is open to a wide variety of
interpretation. It is conceivable that you MIGHT be ok if you use the
roger beep strictly as an ETS signal. The minute you start making
multiple tones, musical notes or otherwise, you fall into the category
spelled out by 95.413, prohibited transmissions subpart 6 and 7:

(6) To transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
amuse or entertain;
(7) To transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;

>So it should be obvious that if any radio with a "roger-beep" is
>accepted, the tone is considered to be a tool that is used to
>-facilitate- communications, a purpose which is consistent with the
>above rule(s).

The question remains, with the exception of the Galaxy, there are no other domestic radios with this built in feature. If the rule was so cut and dry, then why not add another selling point?

>And another fact: I brought this same issue to your attention almost a >year ago..... in -THIS- newsgroup.

I remember the discussion. I believe it was Bert who provided the picture of his Galaxy radio with the FCC ID number which you initially looked up and couldn't find, and then claimed that the radio's Roger beep was an "add-on" accessory..

That was back when you were on my side, before you found out that I'm one of those "evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still believes in personal responsibility.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1218. Re: Echo Echo Echo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 12:14:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9ojsu01g8eplh8be1hhhg6ovhm8hek7s8l@4ax.com>
Source record: 194808

On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:27:19 -0600, "Bub" <Bub@comcast.net> wrote:

> I wonder who in the hell started the ECHO fad, it sounds like shit, and
>most of the truckers have them turned up so much only a retard could
>understand them. Roger beeps are just as fucken stupid also. Most never
>know what a radio should sound like, sometimes it sounds good to hear a
>radio with good audio, not clipped and with swing, another dumb ass fad.
>Why is it a person buys a new radio, and then takes it to a hack up shop to
>fuck it up.
>

Amen!

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1219. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 12:23:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d7jsu0dn10b692fka8b1ii3meetnfkgked@4ax.com>
Source record: 194809

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

>Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
>sorry SSB

I see you found my email. Excuse my grouchiness but I've been drinking
and listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a Sony amp. That being
said......

Damn dude, that copy sucks! Try scanning it in grayscale (256 colors),
300 dpi, then reduce it to 16 colors and save it as a .gif file.

Now. Yes it looks like the regulator is the place to start. Disconnect
the output and measure AC ripple. If it's more than a few millivolts
then the regulator is toast. If it's smooth then you have an
oscillation somewhere else and you will -NEED- a scope to find out
where it's coming from.

BTW, make sure that all your power devices have good heatsinks. Those
gray plastic insulators are crap, and quite a few manufacturers
mistakenly use the "more is better" rule for heatsink grease (and
solder, too). I only mention this because of your observation that the
AF amp gets hot. It shouldn't. Not unless you are "peaked & tweaked"
for overmodulation.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1220. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 12:25:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <d7ksu0p1to2ikc0bs7d38veu8mb95l135q@4ax.com>
Source record: 194810

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:08:02 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>> I don't think it's a cap. Your radio has an internal voltage regulator
>> and that's likely the culprit. Those regulators are responsible for
>> the 'buzzing' of some radios when they are fed with a supply voltage
>> that's too high, and it sounds like your's is permanently damaged. The
>> reason your PA still works is because it's powered directly from the
>> power line, not the internal regulator.

>... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
>squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of
>the electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.
>
>In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
>bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
>which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.
>
>Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this
>might just be a symptom of different radio design.
>
>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>

I'm not familiar with the radio mentioned. Does Pierce Simpson still
make radios? I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.

With old radios like that, the problem is almost always related to
caps drying out. I once had an old 23 which would receive, but along
with the receive audio was a squeal. The squeal would change pitch and
volume when the volume control was advanced. The problem turned out to
be a bad cap in the audio stage just after the detector.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1221. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 12:37:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bbksu0dps1kk8psfud3ceu5ruibakqo13b@4ax.com>
Source record: 194811

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 07:09:08 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <diisu0dno035t3a8qmus7lb8s32ru45u0k@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>I see that -you- aren't talking about politics anymore because you
>>refuse to accept any facts;
>
>What you consider "facts" is the whole point of contention.

They are facts sourced from the very same source that says Bush got an
honorable discharge. Care to dispute the source? I didn't think so.

>> e.g., the fact here is that you are
>>looking at the wrong rule:
>
>Am I?
>
>>
>>
>>>This is where the controversy is. Your assessment is valid, and it
>>>would seem that since the FCC has allowed ETS signals on FRS radios,
>>>(which also fall under part 95) that it would also stand to reason
>>>that they would allow them on class "D" CB as well. The question is
>>>why have they not made their position clear in the form of a rule
>>>modification?
>>
>>
>>FRS radios have such tones because they are permitted by this rule:
>>
>>"Sec. 95.193 (b) The FRS unit may transmit tones to make contact or
>>to continue communications with a particular FRS unit....."
>>
>>CB radio has an identical rule:
>>
>>"Sec. 95.412 (b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone
>>signal only when the signal is used to make contact or to continue
>>communications....."
>
>
>But you failed to print the entire rule subpart. Why this is
>significant I will explain after I post it in its entirety:
>
>
> "(b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal only
>when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.

>(Examples of circuits using these signals are tone operated squelch
>and selective calling circuits.) If the signal is an audible tone, it
>must last no longer than 15 seconds at one time. If the signal is a
>subaudible tone, it may be transmitted continuously only as long as
>you are talking."
>
>Now, when you look at the rule, it becomes clear what the intent of
>this rule is. They are defining selective calling units, that operate
>either with CTCSS or dual tone (paging style) squelch systems.
>Lafayette used to sell them from the 1960's into the early 70's.
>
>You might be able to infer that this rule also applies to roger beeps,
>but you have to remember that this rule was written long before roger
>beeps were even heard of on CB radio communications.

Bullshit. Roger-beeps have existed, legal or not, on the CB since the
band was barely a few months old.

>I will concede that the rule is open to a wide variety of
>interpretation. It is conceivable that you MIGHT be ok if you use the
>roger beep strictly as an ETS signal. The minute you start making
>multiple tones, musical notes or otherwise, you fall into the category
>spelled out by 95.413, prohibited transmissions subpart 6 and 7:
>
>
> (6) To transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>amuse or entertain;
> (7) To transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;

Damn liberals.

>>So it should be obvious that if any radio with a "roger-beep" is
>>accepted, the tone is considered to be a tool that is used to
>>-facilitate- communications, a purpose which is consistent with the
>>above rule(s).
>
>The question remains, with the exception of the Galaxy, there are no
>other domestic radios with this built in feature. If the rule was so
>cut and dry, then why not add another selling point?

How about because the service was intended to be a cheap-&-easy way to
get 2-way radio comm? There were literally hundreds of models WITHOUT
a control for RF gain, delta-tune, SWR, etc, etc. And the FCC used to
cite people for nothing more than failure to comply with the time-out
rule. So would -you- have included it in a radio? I doubt it.

>>And another fact: I brought this same issue to your attention almost a
>>year ago..... in -THIS- newsgroup.
>
>I remember the discussion. I believe it was Bert who provided the
>picture of his Galaxy radio with the FCC ID number which you initially
>looked up and couldn't find, and then claimed that the radio's Roger

>beep was an "add-on" accessory..

I made no such claim. Look up the thread and read the FACTS, Dave.

>That was back when you were on my side, before you found out that I'm
>one of those "evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still believes
>in personal responsibility.

I'm still on your side, Dave. The difference we have is that you
refuse to look at -political- issues from both sides of the coin.
Apparently your problem is migrating to CB issues; i.e, your false
claim about me stated above.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1222. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 12:43:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d6lsu05ci74lohit0jhms2d77vm3pnl8jj@4ax.com>
Source record: 194812

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 07:25:26 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <d7ksu0p1to2ikc0bs7d38veu8mb95l135q@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:08:02 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>> I don't think it's a cap. Your radio has an internal voltage regulator
>>> and that's likely the culprit. Those regulators are responsible for
>>> the 'buzzing' of some radios when they are fed with a supply voltage
>>> that's too high, and it sounds like your's is permanently damaged. The
>>> reason your PA still works is because it's powered directly from the
>>> power line, not the internal regulator.
>
>>... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
>>squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of
>>the electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.
>>
>>In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
>>bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
>>which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.
>>
>>Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this
>>might just be a symptom of different radio design.
>>
>>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>>
>
>I'm not familiar with the radio mentioned. Does Pierce Simpson still
>make radios? I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.

Dave, when will you learn to just keep your trap shut when you don't
know what you are talking about? I have antique radios from the 1920's
and up that still have good capacitors. They start having problems
when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1223. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:02:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d4msu0pd7qj6gh2q4t6jin2qg95cpkav9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 194813

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:08:15 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <zcrHd.124634$K7.39613@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

<snip>
>For testing the caps, am I just looking for short or open on them?

The only thing you can check is if they are shorted, and that doesn't
always work if they are still in the circuit. If you really want to go
that route then unsolder all electrolytic caps and rig up a charging
circuit (battery + high-value resistor). Trust me, it's a bigger pain
than taking it to the shop.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1224. Re: Steveo Is 100% Chicken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:11:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140265.299818.322400@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.niggers

I_Am_Steveos_Master@hotmail.com wrote:
> Steveo I will meet you anywhere, at any time, in
> fact, how about Dayton next week. You name the
> place, I will be there, and the beating will begin
> with you as the willing victim.
>
> Let me explain to you exactly how it will go down.
> Let me paint the picture for you in case you think
> you can somehow come out of this thing uninjured.
>
> You will ask to meet me in a bar or eating place,
> OK by me. I will walk up to you and call you a limp
> wristed faggot when I see you. You will start running
> your mouth about how bad you are and while you
> are talking I will move you outside into the alley.
>
> I will ask you if you intend to be harassing hams
> in their homes again. No matter what your reply
> is, the next thing that will happen is you will be flat
> on your back with your head spinning.
>
> I will rip a pipe loose from the alley and insert it
> in your ass, and slowly move it in and out, in and
> out, until you admit that you're a gay man who
> talks tough on the internet but nothing but a limp
> wrist sissy in real life.
>
> Then I will proceed to slap you until you can't say
> your name straight. Then I will make you apologize
> to all the hams you have bad mouthed, including
> N8WWM, who you will have to kiss a picture of, or
> else be beaten further.
>
> Then I will throw you in the dumpster and close the
> lid, but first I will tell the Red Cross where you are
> so they can give you aid in getting home.
>
> That's about it, except for the part where you wash
> my car and polish my shoes and clean the snot off
> my windsheild with your tongue.

Geez...I thought you were gonna be hard on him. He would LOVE that
stuff. Especially the pipe and the kissing of my photo.

If you are gonna get him to lick the windshield lemme know. I will bring a pint bottle of lungers collected from congested crackheads to pour on it.

1225. Re: As a sevice to our toys the keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:12:08 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140328.064189.159520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194815

Who needs to quote, crackhead?

1226. Re: Steveo Is 100% Chicken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:14:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140445.367704.166390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194816

All you are gonna do is whine some more. Just like you did when mommy wouldnt buy you toys and candy. You aint stuffin' nothin' nowhere, sissy. The way we hear it the stuffin' is done to you at the Petro toilet.

1227. Re: Steveo Is 100% Chicken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:14:44 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140484.625480.11550@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194817

Nobody has to forge gay pics, yur own pic is gay enough, dog butt slurper.

1228. Re: Landshark along with rest of assclown lies Rebutted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:16:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140592.471449.176230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194818

It's just keyclownism run rampant. The retards think they can make it so if they lie enough. Like keyclown gayness, FCC rules exist clearly for all to see.

1229. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:17:58 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140678.430497.181600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194819
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

get ready?? I just did, butt slurper.

1230. Re: N8WWM has a court ordered shrink

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:19:11 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140751.622048.185950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194820
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

Is that a threat to cause pain to someone, sissy boy?
Mopey can't take it...look look...see mopey whine...

1231. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:21:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140864.252101.235840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194821
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp

Mopey is a sissy boy

With the IQ of a chimp

1232. Mopey the wrist flipping sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:23:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106140984.044762.200780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194822

```
Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist so limp
Mopey is a keykween gal

With the IQ of a chimp
```

1233. COMING UP: Mopey fan club website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:24:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106141095.288762.50740@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194823

So, you want a place where you can go and look at mopey's caught in the act with landqueen pics, ay?
Coming soon..."The wrist flipper mopeydopey home page"

1234. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:39:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gcosu0de7kj5q05jbilms6o8hddq7suun5@4ax.com>
Source record: 194824

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 07:30:50 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E356E1C99BF1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

<snip>
>>>did you not read what i wrote twisty?
>>
>>
>> I did, and don't call me Twisty. Are you saying that you made a
>> mistake by claiming you were referring to an illegal modification
>> instead of a factory design feature?
>
>No you most certainly are starting to become twistys clone I actually
>thought he might have been forging you. Actually I was confused

There shouldn't be any confusion -- Twisty defends illegal radio and I
oppose it. But that doesn't answer the question: Did you make a
mistake?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1235. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 13:43:05 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <YZCdnV8hO9V7_nPcRVn-3w@giganews.com>
Source record: 194825

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> the goo around here is on your lips, mayne vein slurper.

You spend way too much time thinking about cock.

Are you a girl?

Jeff

1236. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 14:01:29 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cslp7g$a3r$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194826

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E2ED0B3D4431234567890@216.196.97.136...
> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in news:8bkHd.19348$by5.397
> @newssvr19.news.prodigy.com:
>
>> Chad Wahls wrote:
>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in news:csjorb$n0g$1
>>>>@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>>
>>>>>wrote in message news:Xns95E2958B2F7381234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in
>>>>>>news:csjo5dmog1@news.ks.uiuc.edu:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Just saw this after I posted about the 2547.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Mine has the FCC sticker on it. Also, they are tough to mod for
>>>>>>>freeband use, NO MODS HERE! it can be done but they have the
>>>>
>>>>tendency
>>>>
>>>>>>>to self destruct. They have made some changes to the unit in the
>>>
>>>
>>>>past
>>>>
>>>>>>>few years, wonder if that gained acceptance? I can get a pic
>>>
>>> with
>>>
>>>>the
>>>>
>>>>>>>sticker on it.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I have also seen the 2547 listed as a "FCC accepted CB base" and
>>>>>>>"Export only" It's essentially a mobile in a big case, wonder if

>>>>>>>there are different versions floating around?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Chad
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Chad what is the FCC id tag #'s on it....
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>On the sticker right?
>>>>>
>>>>>Chad
>>>>
>>>>thats the one bubba
>>>
>>>
>>> Here ya go skippy:)
>>>
>>> C2R-DX-2547
>>>
>>> Entered: (C2R) (-DX-2547)
>>>
>>> Here's a copy of the acceptance:
>>>
>>>
>>> COPY FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
>>> COMMISSION
>>> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
>>>
>>> GRANT OF EQUIPMENT
>>> AUTHORIZATION COPY
>>> Certification
>>>
>>> Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>>> 70 Pei Nei Street Shulin 238
>>> Taipei Hsien,
>>> Taiwan Date of Grant: 07/09/1999
>>>
>>> Application Dated: 08/25/1998
>>>
>>> Attention: Susan Chiu
>>>
>>> NOT TRANSFERABLE
>>> EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION is hereby issued to the named GRANTEE, and is
>>> VALID ONLY for the equipment identified hereon for use under the
>>> Commission's Rules and Regulations listed below.
>>>
>>>
>>> FCC IDENTIFIER: C2R-DX-2547
>>>
>>> Name of Grantee: Ranger Electronic Communications Inc
>>>

>>> Equipment Class: Licensed Non-Broadcast Station Transmitter
>>> Notes: CB Transceiver
>>>
>>> Grant Notes FCC Rule Parts Frequency
>>> Range (MHZ) Output
>>> Watts Frequency
>>> Tolerance Emission
>>> Designator
>>> RF 95D 26.96 - 27.41 4.0 0.005 % 6K00A3E
>>> 95D 26.96 - 27.41 12.0 0.005 % 4K00J3E
>>>
>>>
>>> RF: Meets the requirements applicable to Citizens Band Radio Service
>>> equipment operating on up to 40 channels as specified in the Report
> and
>>> Order in Docket 20120.
>>>
>>> Mail To:
>>> Rowland Johnson, President
>>> Hyak Laboratories Inc
>>> 7011 Calamo Street, Suite 107
>>> Springfield, VA 22150
>>> US
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> 9808288315408002
>>>
>>> .... And it has a roger beep, and, I still think it's worthless!
>>>
>>> Chad
>>>
>> OOps... Wonder if he'll admit he was wrong now?
>>
>> A real man would.
>>
>> -SSB
>
> Yes, There is "one" radio and i stand corrected, why is it on the FCC
> bust of H&Y, The radio also has adj rf power knob on front that is a no
> no also. Read the disclaimer they have for the roger beep in the manual,
> shut it of when not in use so you don't annoy people. Lets see what kind
> of respone we get from Riley it will be interesting to hear.

I have read the about the amazement of acceptance while including adjustable power. Dunno, it is bone stock 4/12 watts. I have heard form others that it is a BEAR to get more channels out of, etc.

It IS a good CB RADIO though. I think it sounds great, really natural and has excellent receive. Sideband performance is suprising for a Galaxy although it did need a frequency allignment from the get-go and am

modulation was low (limiter slammed with a tone and only doing about 80%)

Microphone preamp is very sensitive, no NEED for a power mic but a better sounding dynamic is in order to get the best fidelity. With the stock mic I keep the mic gain at about 1 o'clock to keep the limiter from pumping.

They are not cheap but it has been a good, robust radio thus far.

For the record I would trade my roger beep for an unlocked clarifier in the law books, anyday, hands down :)

Chad

1237. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 14:12:06 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cslprk$a9e$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194827

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E315E591DC1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:41nru01luvu0el1s4vfj9isap122nic7r9@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 13:34:29 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Then by all means produce a radio that sells in the usa that is
> type
>>>>>> certified by the FCC, Randy tried but failed then he even came up
>>>>>> with a lame excuse that the FCC agent made a mistake... LOL I know
>>>>>> what the next excuse will sound like it will be " his co -worker
>>>>>> used his computer while he was out to lunch". Your opinion while
>>>>>> repected at times is not fact when it is spelled out and you nor
> any
>>>>>> others can produce a radio that is type certified with them. If
> they
>>>>>> were legal why would Cobra not incorporate them in at least 1
> model,
>>>>>> just 1 ?? Why not Uniden?? radio Shack?? Nidland?? and whom ever
> is
>>>>>> a respected and legal company selling CB radios that are actually
>>>>>> type certified.
>>>>>
>>>>> Galaxy DX2547, 100% FCC accepted, has a roger beep, indicator light
>>>>> for it, and a switch on the front panel.
>>>>>
>>>>> Worthless in my eyes as the operator that says "com'on" after every
>>>>> sentence.
>>>>>
>>>>> But there is one for 'ya!
>>>>>
>>>>> Best
>>>>> Chad
>>>>
>>>> And here are a few for you Chad that radio included
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-253693A1.html
>>>>
>>>
>>>

>>>
>>>I saw that, One of the reasons I bought mine is because it "is" FCC
>>>accepted. I will also do some searching, Wonder if there is not
> another
>>>2547 "export version" with a DX99 in the chasis?
>>>
>>>best
>>>Chad
>>>
>>
>>
>> I had a Galaxy 949 which was a standard CB. Also had a roger beep.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> Ok Vinnie what is the FCC id tag #"s on the back of the radio... post
> them

I THINK the 959 and 949 is the same radio as the 2547, the 2547 is a mobile in a big case.

Could not find them using the C2R prefix but there is a bunch. That board is used in a bunch of radios and has a PLL that does not like to be modded, I think that made the FCC happy. Iroic that there IS spots on the board for another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you can have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!

Chad

Looks like the 2537 (vaporware) was a 949 as it does not have the freq counter.

1238. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 14:42:57 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <RluHd.13075$5R.4057@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194828

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:diisu0dno035t3a8qmus7lb8s32ru45u0k@4ax.com...
> That was back when you were on my side, before you found out that I'm
> one of those "evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still believes
> in personal responsibility.
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

OMG!!!!
Frank has an attitude, he's sometimes pompous, arrogant,
but that doesn't make him "On anyone's side".
I've had issue's with Frank in the past and will have them in the
future, that's a give me, but to come out here and say "My
side", that's a hoot!
A DD214 is a DD214, no matter how you try to dissect it
Dave, so if it says Kerry was discharged, he was discharged.
If federal government records show Kerry's service records,
then it's up to you to prove they were forged (To which I
think no one was contesting they weren't real, just the timeline).
Now like you, I don't like Kerry but at the same time I don't
think Bush is much better, but "I" felt he was the lesser of
three evils, so I voted for Bush.
One thing I've seen about Frank as of late, he doesn't
seem to let his emotions do his talking. He will say what
he believes, without prejudice to which is a very tough thing
to do.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

1239. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 14:52:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0essu0hu9ecbd7a6gnefgpuo0gj98et2d6@4ax.com>
Source record: 194829

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 08:12:06 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:

>>>>>> But there is one for 'ya!
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Best
>>>>>> Chad
>>>>>
>>>>> And here are a few for you Chad that radio included
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/FieldNotices/2003/DOC-253693A1.html
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I saw that, One of the reasons I bought mine is because it "is" FCC
>>>>accepted. I will also do some searching, Wonder if there is not
>> another
>>>>2547 "export version" with a DX99 in the chasis?
>>>>
>>>>best
>>>>Chad
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I had a Galaxy 949 which was a standard CB. Also had a roger beep.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> Ok Vinnie what is the FCC id tag #"s on the back of the radio... post
>> them
>
>I THINK the 959 and 949 is the same radio as the 2547, the 2547 is a mobile
>in a big case.

They are exactly the same radio. Same board and all. The 949 doesn't have a
frequency counter. None the less, they are approved by the FCC, because they are
not exports. They do their 4 watts. And the channel mods require a hell of alot
more than a solder bridge.

>Could not find them using the C2R prefix but there is a bunch. That board
>is used in a bunch of radios and has a PLL that does not like to be modded,
>I think that made the FCC happy. Iroic that there IS spots on the board for
>another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you can
>have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!

Correct. This was rather pricey. I think upwards of $60, but not sure. It was almost more economical to get a small amp.

>Chad
>
>Looks like the 2537 (vaporware) was a 949 as it does not have the freq
>counter.

Bingo.

Vinnie S.

1240. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 14:53:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <h2tsu0himjep35c35n4touc8v1pu7ueg5g@4ax.com>
Source record: 194830

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:50:37 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>Here's another one that equates all three.. CTCSS, PL, and SATS
>
>http://everything2.com/index.pl?node=CTCSS
>
>-SSB

Dammit. I hate facts !!!!!!

;-)

Vinnie S.

1241. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:01:34 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cslsof$b8f$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194831

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:0essu0hu9ecbd7a6gnefgpuo0gj98et2d6@4ax.com...
>
Iroic that there IS spots on the board for
>>another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you can
>>have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!
>
> Correct. This was rather pricey. I think upwards of $60, but not sure. It
> was
> almost more economical to get a small amp.
>

Nah, less than 10 bucks and some time if you have the know how to bias out
that second final, best to buy two matched, still less than 10 bucks. But
big deal you gain another 10 watts :)

Chad

1242. Re: Echo Echo Echo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:02:16 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106146936.494058.116230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194832

Bub wrote:
> I wonder who in the hell started the ECHO fad, it sounds like shit,
and
> most of the truckers have them turned up so much only a retard could
> understand them. Roger beeps are just as fucken stupid also. Most
never
> know what a radio should sound like, sometimes it sounds good to hear
a
> radio with good audio, not clipped and with swing, another dumb ass
fad.
> Why is it a person buys a new radio, and then takes it to a hack up
shop to
> fuck it up.

Because they are idiots, like all keyclowns are. One time I was on 2 meters FM talking when a keyclown looked at my watt meter and said..."Damn, man...you aint got no swing at all!" I sent him to see mopeydopey, then he REALLY swung!

1243. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:15:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <s6usu0pa7t2d5rp90b1at2r436f4fhppsi@4ax.com>
Source record: 194833

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 09:01:34 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:0essu0hu9ecbd7a6gnefgpuo0gj98et2d6@4ax.com...
>>
> Iroic that there IS spots on the board for
>>>another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you can
>>>have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!
>>
>> Correct. This was rather pricey. I think upwards of $60, but not sure. It
>> was
>> almost more economical to get a small amp.
>>
>
>
>Nah, less than 10 bucks and some time if you have the know how to bias out
>that second final, best to buy two matched, still less than 10 bucks. But
>big deal you gain another 10 watts :)

But that is in parts cost. I don't recall exactly, since I don't own the radio anymore. If you can get to a local supply, I am sure it's $10. But if you oder online, many places have minimum orders, and if you order from mulitple places, you get nail for mutliple shipping and handling. In one case, the handling was somewhere near $10 for orders under $25. Here is a good example:

http://www.rfparts.com/usorder.html

I wish I had a local supply house.

Vinnie S.

1244. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:27:00 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cslu84$bl4$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194834

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:s6usu0pa7t2d5rp90b1at2r436f4fhppsi@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 09:01:34 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:0essu0hu9ecbd7a6gnefgpuo0gj98et2d6@4ax.com...
>>>
>> Iroic that there IS spots on the board for
>>>>another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you
>>>>can
>>>>have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!
>>>
>>> Correct. This was rather pricey. I think upwards of $60, but not sure.
>>> It
>>> was
>>> almost more economical to get a small amp.
>>>
>>
>>
>>Nah, less than 10 bucks and some time if you have the know how to bias out
>>that second final, best to buy two matched, still less than 10 bucks. But
>>big deal you gain another 10 watts :)
>
>
> But that is in parts cost. I don't recall exactly, since I don't own the
> radio
> anymore. If you can get to a local supply, I am sure it's $10. But if you
> oder
> online, many places have minimum orders, and if you order from mulitple
> places,
> you get nail for mutliple shipping and handling. In one case, the handling
> was
> somewhere near $10 for orders under $25. Here is a good example:
>
> http://www.rfparts.com/usorder.html
>
> I wish I had a local supply house.
>
> Vinnie S.

I am very lucky to work for and live in a town with one of the largest
Engineering Universities, I walk 3 blocks and get what I need! I need a
smoke break, I walk to EE stores!

If I need to order from a specialty house such as RF Parts I will contact others and get a big order to help absorb shipping costs. I am always ordering from somewhere and really never order in small quantities. As for small common parts, I have those in stock at home from doing audio repair.

So, you are right, for a one time job shipping will eat you alive!

Chad

1245. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:30:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12353-41EE7D2D-52@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194836

Dave Hall wrote:

>The spread is Twistys ball across Mark's face,

>we never ever heard about him, liking Pitt

You are alone, dave, and the only person that is part of the "we" you frequently invoke N8WWM.

>in

>the 4/5 yrs the dildo

There you have it,,,,,sex toys and sex talk whenever you speak to males. Your problems run deep, Dave.

>NG. It was always Tampa.. Tampa ...Tampa,

Au contraire. it was always "whoever plays Philthy", but you go on and cry about it for my new entertainment this week.

>they suck and have since now he jumps on

>the Pitt bandwagon. It's all in google,

Sure is,,,google "My favorite team is whoever plays Philthy".
Loser.

>they started to win this year you see him

>mention them

I mention whoever beats Philthy,always have, always will, you have to find a way to live with it.

>typical tipsy. he is all bs all the

>time.

Checkmate.

1246. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:34:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12353-41EE7E08-53@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194837

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, Jan 18, 2005, 12:49pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: How would you improve your NFL team From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Tue, Jan 18, 2005, 12:49pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-2UqM/8rNd26w4xoivZ0uWPCbXjBlpvB8GyXtl9ZCNmgLNKT4aLc7gtSHeFczA+R/eUtU97NwxlfL3XR!SQrKtMMmP3/AfVx9IF5SLbTKB/+GB0uZESQw9oAbzVhnr5kW44+YHf43RyxAvN9NjRHl X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.22

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:15309-41ED33CD-354@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:

Pittsburgh is known among the pros for never covering the spread. It's a bet to avoid. Nice going. As of now, the Pats are favored. Someone is gonna lose big money.

>Steve this guy assclown twipsy likes to lie and

>distort facts like there is no tommorow. Any

>one who bet on Pitt all year "Cleaned up"

>as

>they were 10-5 against the spread with a

>push. If you bet Pitt and NE all year you would
>be a gawdy 21-8 ATS No tout, handicapping

>service, 900 number can touch those

>percentages. Next year people and the

>bookies will be on to PITT and adjust the line

>accordingly.

>Division Standings

> W/L/T
  ATS O/U

>Pittsburgh 15-1 10-5-1 8-8

>New England 14-2 11-3-2 8-7-1

Your deficit, Dave, is hurting you. Pay attention: "Is known" by the pros, Dave. How does one get known, grasshoppah? By their history. Historically, Pittsburgh is known for not covering the spread. Citing the single exception (this year) to the rule is nice, but has nothing to do with the pros picks and how the team is viewed in such circles. Now pick yourself up and cscrape yourself off from my shoe.

1247. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:36:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12353-41EE7E64-54@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194838

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>What's the spread?

As of yesterday, it was 3.

1248. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:40:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12353-41EE7F8B-55@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194835

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 10:45:46 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
Not "one" only *you* have to wonder..the rest of the hammies in the
world already know the answer to this. You (and your sock puppet) are
the only one crying about a roger beep being illegal.

>I have no sock puppet.

Allow me to place that in context. You also made the false claim you
have only accessed this group through the same provider when it was
shown you have accessed this group with no less than a dozen different
usenet services.
You screwed up, Dave. You have comcast...for now. Color it gone.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cv

1249. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:46:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12356-41EE80B8-3@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194840

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:3u3qu0hb7dfq6b402mbi5u2ch133a1eq28@4ax.com:
On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 07:15:17 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E2543EBE731234567890@216.196.97.136>:
<snip>
>Hey Frank, stfu you fucking assclown, you are
>really starting to sound like a real jerkoff.

("....starting"? Damn, I thought I had that '
jerkoff' routine pegged a couple years ago!)

>No you are sounding more and more like tipsy
>everyday I am sorry to say. I wouldnt have

>expect that from you of all people.

What you expect is laughable and irrelevant. Here's another heartbreaker
for you. Frank and I have exchanged emails and I found the guy to have
more in common with regular Joes than you can ever hope and of more
intellect than any of you angry curs. Here's an analogy you may
comprehend....when Frank swings, he does it in your face...you cover
your head with a faux mask, sucker punch, and run.

1250. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 15:57:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12354-41EE837D-19@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194842

N3CVJ wrote:
>That was back when you were on my side,

>before you found out that I'm one of those

>"evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still

>believes in personal responsibility.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Your "side" indicates an intentional adversarial position. Everything isn't right or wrong and this side or that side of an issue...seeing everything as black and white is myopic. Stop looking for "sides" in which to take and stand on your own feet....for once.

1251. Re: COMING UP: n8wwm's latest meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 16:00:44 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <GvvHd.13$Uw1.11@fe05.lga>
Source record: 194839

Obcess much....???

1252. Re: COMING UP: Mopey fan club website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 16:08:29 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <1CvHd.33060$Xs6.4971@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 194841

Me thinks the 'Psychiatrist' was molested as a child, and now comes here to act out his long suppressed fantasies.

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1106141095.288762.50740@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> So, you want a place where you can go and look at mopey's caught in the
> act with landqueen pics, ay?
> Coming soon..."The wrist flipper mopeydopey home page"
>

1253. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 16:10:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12353-41EE867C-57@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194843

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 07:30:50 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E356E1C99BF1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
<snip>
>did you not read what i wrote twisty?

(I did, and don't call me Twisty. Are you saying that you made a mistake
by claiming you were referring to an illegal modification instead of a
factory design feature?)

Insertion of a fact, here.....he didn't write twisty anything.

>No you most certainly are starting to become

>twistys clone I actually thought he might have

>been forging you.

Illustrating that you really are incapable of acquiring new knowledge,
even when it's given freely to you. Webtv can't forge. Webtv can not
change the user name for usenet without changing it across the board,
and even then, the webtv headers remain and so does their IP number. You
may now re-immerse yourself forging mopar and forging my headers to
suit your agenda. You have been effectively and permanently reduced,
Dave.

>Actually I was confused

(There shouldn't be any confusion -- Twisty defends illegal radio* and I
oppose it. But that doesn't answer the question: Did you make a mistake?
)

*Too much of a blanket statement. I share my feelings only when asked of
such actions...I guess some can view that as a defense, but a defense
can come only when one is on the receiving end of another who brought
confrontation or attack.
Let there be no doubt of what constitutes "illegal radio" in my
world.... talking dx and the occasional freebanding on select
frequencies located in the freeband, only. No other unlawful activity is
"defended" or participated.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
World! >100,000 Newsgroups ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total
Privacy via Encryption =---

1254. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 16:15:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12354-41EE87A6-21@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194844

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
sorry SSB

>I see you found my email. Excuse my

>grouchiness but I've been drinking and

>listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a

>Sony amp. That being said......

Didn't the Bee Gees actually ROCK back in the late sixties and early
70s?

1255. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 16:46:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9f3tu0l22pkobr8pq4sp0d9oihh7u1ssad@4ax.com>
Source record: 194845

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 08:01:29 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote:

>I have read the about the amazement of acceptance while including adjustable
>power. Dunno, it is bone stock 4/12 watts. I have heard form others that it
>is a BEAR to get more channels out of, etc.
>
>It IS a good CB RADIO though. I think it sounds great, really natural and
>has excellent receive. Sideband performance is suprising for a Galaxy
>although it did need a frequency allignment from the get-go and am
>modulation was low (limiter slammed with a tone and only doing about 80%)

That's typical of most out of the box CB radios. The limiters are
usually set conservatively at 85% max.

>Microphone preamp is very sensitive, no NEED for a power mic but a better
>sounding dynamic is in order to get the best fidelity. With the stock mic I
>keep the mic gain at about 1 o'clock to keep the limiter from pumping.
>
>They are not cheap but it has been a good, robust radio thus far.
>
>For the record I would trade my roger beep for an unlocked clarifier in the
>law books, anyday, hands down :)

I'm with you on that one. Until they can find a way to phase lock
everybody's transmit frequency to an exact standard, there will always
be a slight variation in frequency, which you will have to adjust to
every time a different station transmits, if everyone has a "locked"
on transmit receive-only clarifier. When running a roundtable on SSB,
it becomes very annoying in short order.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1256. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 16:49:28 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <oo3tu0dp5ole5qonggp67gcv7j509j7ddc@4ax.com>
Source record: 194846

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 03:58:53 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 06:48:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <8vhsu0d6msjkm22sqe4a06mg3qiona8r22@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:30:31 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>
>>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>
>>>> You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
>>>> FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
>>>> has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.
>>>
>>>Which Part 95 CB rule disallows adjustable RF power?
>>>
>>>I would think that if the radio was only capable of 4W RMS AM Carrier /
>>>12W SSB PEP at the MAX power setting, and was adjustable downward, it
>>>wouldn't be that big of a deal, nor would it make the radio "illegal" or
>>>uncertifiable...
>>>
>>>Educate me.
>>>
>>
>>
>>I can't find any reference to a specific rule that either allows or
>>prohibits adjustable power.
>>
>>On the one hand, if it were legal......
>
>
>Oh brother. Once again you demonstrate your attitude that you are
>willing to convict based on an absence of evidence.

It would be helpful for you to read my entire point before snipping
the parts that change the context. If you had, you would have seen
that I had "convicted" nothing. I was only bringing up two sides of
the issue.

You are adopting Twisty tactics.

You really are sore aren't you?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1257. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 16:52:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ov3tu0lh6kl7tp69tfbuvl6avtd5mmnm5s@4ax.com>
Source record: 194847

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 09:52:04 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>>Could not find them using the C2R prefix but there is a bunch. That board
>>is used in a bunch of radios and has a PLL that does not like to be modded,
>>I think that made the FCC happy. Iroic that there IS spots on the board for
>>another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you can
>>have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!
>
>Correct. This was rather pricey. I think upwards of $60, but not sure. It was
>almost more economical to get a small amp.

In cases like this, it's almost always better to get a small amp over
modifying a radio beyond its limits..

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1258. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 17:15:11 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <csm4ivdpn1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194848

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:ov3tu0lh6kl7tp69tfbuvl6avtd5mmnm5s@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 09:52:04 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>>Could not find them using the C2R prefix but there is a bunch. That
>>>board
>>>is used in a bunch of radios and has a PLL that does not like to be
>>>modded,
>>>I think that made the FCC happy. Iroic that there IS spots on the board
>>>for
>>>another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you can
>>>have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!
>>
>>Correct. This was rather pricey. I think upwards of $60, but not sure. It
>>was
>>almost more economical to get a small amp.
>
> In cases like this, it's almost always better to get a small amp over
> modifying a radio beyond its limits..
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

It's not really beyond the DX2547's limits. There's an ample power supply in there and the board is already punched, labled and wired for another final, just needs support components and biased up.

But as stated earlier the gain in power is not really worth the hasle, and not enough to drive a high drive amp.

More of a bragging right I guess.

Btw, for the technician it is a wonderful radio to work on. The top and bottom comes off like most mobiles and it's wide enough to sit on it's side and work on both sides. Only have to clip 2 nylon ties holding the speaker cable in. There's plenty of room and the super razor sharp edges are kept to a minimum. I was pleasantly suprised when working on it, the manual is also very easy to understand and comprehensive.

Chad

1259. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 17:16:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4k4tu09r1903349cekpcnilphfsc06bob2@4ax.com>
Source record: 194849

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:37:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 07:09:08 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <diisu0dno035t3a8qmus7lb8s32ru45u0k@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>I see that -you- aren't talking about politics anymore because you
>>>refuse to accept any facts;
>>
>>What you consider "facts" is the whole point of contention.
>
>
>They are facts sourced from the very same source that says Bush got an
>honorable discharge. Care to dispute the source? I didn't think so.

So why do all the libs cry that Bush's honorable discharge was somehow
"bought"? See, both sides can make up all sorts of stories to explain
the "facts".

But I digress, this thread is not about politics.

>>> e.g., the fact here is that you are
>>>looking at the wrong rule:
>>
>>Am I?
>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>This is where the controversy is. Your assessment is valid, and it
>>>>would seem that since the FCC has allowed ETS signals on FRS radios,
>>>>(which also fall under part 95) that it would also stand to reason
>>>>that they would allow them on class "D" CB as well. The question is
>>>>why have they not made their position clear in the form of a rule
>>>>modification?
>>>
>>>
>>>FRS radios have such tones because they are permitted by this rule:
>>>
>>>"Sec. 95.193 (b) The FRS unit may transmit tones to make contact or
>>>to continue communications with a particular FRS unit....."
>>>
>>>CB radio has an identical rule:
>>>
>>>"Sec. 95.412 (b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone

>>>signal only when the signal is used to make contact or to continue
>>>communications....."
>>
>>
>>But you failed to print the entire rule subpart. Why this is
>>significant I will explain after I post it in its entirety:
>>
>>
>> "(b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal only
>>when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.
>>(Examples of circuits using these signals are tone operated squelch
>>and selective calling circuits.) If the signal is an audible tone, it
>>must last no longer than 15 seconds at one time. If the signal is a
>>subaudible tone, it may be transmitted continuously only as long as
>>you are talking."
>>
>>Now, when you look at the rule, it becomes clear what the intent of
>>this rule is. They are defining selective calling units, that operate
>>either with CTCSS or dual tone (paging style) squelch systems.
>>Lafayette used to sell them from the 1960's into the early 70's.
>>
>>You might be able to infer that this rule also applies to roger beeps,
>>but you have to remember that this rule was written long before roger
>>beeps were even heard of on CB radio communications.
>
>
>Bullshit. Roger-beeps have existed, legal or not, on the CB since the
>band was barely a few months old.

I NEVER heard a roger beep on CB until the early 80's. They certainly
were not around in 1970 when I first got on the band.

Now, I'm not saying that some clever tech type didn't invent one, and
used it in some local pocket somewhere. But their use was not
widespread, or I would 've heard them it, especially when the skip
rolled in.

>>I will concede that the rule is open to a wide variety of
>>interpretation. It is conceivable that you MIGHT be ok if you use the
>>roger beep strictly as an ETS signal. The minute you start making
>>multiple tones, musical notes or otherwise, you fall into the category
>>spelled out by 95.413, prohibited transmissions subpart 6 and 7:
>>
>>
>> (6) To transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>>amuse or entertain;
>> (7) To transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>
>
>Damn liberals.

You really have become consumed with politics. Have I rattled you that much?

>>>So it should be obvious that if any radio with a "roger-beep" is
>>>accepted, the tone is considered to be a tool that is used to
>>>-facilitate- communications, a purpose which is consistent with the
>>>above rule(s).
>>
>>The question remains, with the exception of the Galaxy, there are no
>>other domestic radios with this built in feature. If the rule was so
>>cut and dry, then why not add another selling point?
>
>
>How about because the service was intended to be a cheap-&-easy way to
>get 2-way radio comm? There were literally hundreds of models WITHOUT
>a control for RF gain, delta-tune, SWR, etc, etc. And the FCC used to
>cite people for nothing more than failure to comply with the time-out
>rule. So would -you- have included it in a radio? I doubt it.

None of this is valid today. Even if you despise the art of marketing and capitalism, the fact remains that bells and whistles sell products. A roger beep is not a difficult thing to add to a radio (and not expensive), yet it will add perceived value as another "feature" to justify an increased price for.

Besides, I never said that *all* radios should have it. But yo would think at least the flagship radios from all the big name manufacturers would include this "feature" as another sale item.

>>>And another fact: I brought this same issue to your attention almost a
>>>year ago..... in -THIS- newsgroup.
>>
>>I remember the discussion. I believe it was Bert who provided the
>>picture of his Galaxy radio with the FCC ID number which you initially
>>looked up and couldn't find, and then claimed that the radio's Roger
>>beep was an "add-on" accessory..
>
>
>I made no such claim. Look up the thread and read the FACTS, Dave.

Oh, how easily you forget Frank. Here, read this:

=====START PASTE OF FRANK'S POST=========

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
From: Frank Gilliland <spa...@NOSPAMaimcomm.net> - Find messages by this author
Date: Wed, 26 May 2004 06:54:51 -0700
Local: Wed, May 26 2004 6:54 am
Subject: Re: N3CVJ claims Roger Beeps illegal
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

In <p359b0ttfr1r8lih52l718psj10bfq6...@4ax.com>, Frank Gilliland
<spa...@NOSPAMaimcomm.net> wrote:

>In <in%sc.469$4x2....@fe2.texas.rr.com>, "AKC KennelMaster"
><KennelMas...@not-here.com> wrote:

>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3...@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:05u8b09fdju3n3pf1nnh5sggca81gq314m@4ax.com...

>>> On Mon, 24 May 2004 22:57:29 GMT, "Bert Craig" <w...@arrl.netNOSPAM>
>>> wrote:

>>> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3...@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>> >news:5mc4b09b1ev0cb7a0eigo2tophp7qjr6in@4ax.com...
>>> >> Riddle me this then Batman, why are there no type accepted LEGAL CB
>>> >> radios produced with a roger beep or an echo?

>>> >Sorry Dave, my old Galaxy DX-949 came stock woith a roger beep...and
>>was/is

>>> >FCC type accepted.

>>> >http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html
>>> Would you happen to to have the FCC I.D. number of that radio? That
>>> radio, other than the roger beep, also has variable power, something
>>> else no other legal CB has. I have my doubts that this radio is
>>> entirely legal.

>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"

>>Wrong again, Dave. Here's the link: http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html

>There are no current equipment authorizations for any Galaxy CB radio.
>Search the database yourself if you want:

>https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/cf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm

Well, by golly, I goofed again. The FCC ID number is C2R-DX-2547, it's
a Ranger, and it is legal for CB. But what I didn't see on the Galaxy
website was a built-in roger-beep -- instead the board is available as
an accessory.

-----=
Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers

=====END PASTE OF FRANK'S POST======

Now, what was that you were saying about facts Frank?

>>That was back when you were on my side, before you found out that I'm
>>one of those "evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still believes
>>in personal responsibility.
>

>
>I'm still on your side, Dave. The difference we have is that you
>refuse to look at -political- issues from both sides of the coin.

Sure I do Frank. It's just that I believe that conservatism is the
better path to follow, and I will support my side of the coin, and
expose the hypocrisy of the other side.

>Apparently your problem is migrating to CB issues; i.e, your false
>claim about me stated above.

It's not so false as you may think.........

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1260. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 17:20:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ui5tu01roithoiio2j2fod7t45vrk2ljuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 194850

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 14:42:57 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:diisu0dno035t3a8qmus7lb8s32ru45u0k@4ax.com...
>> That was back when you were on my side, before you found out that I'm
>> one of those "evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still believes
>> in personal responsibility.
>>
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
> OMG!!!!
>Frank has an attitude, he's sometimes pompous, arrogant,
>but that doesn't make him "On anyone's side".

He was WRT the illegal CB operators and their equipment.

> I've had issue's with Frank in the past and will have them in the
>future, that's a give me, but to come out here and say "My
>side", that's a hoot!

Not if it's true.

> A DD214 is a DD214, no matter how you try to dissect it
>Dave, so if it says Kerry was discharged, he was discharged.
>If federal government records show Kerry's service records,
>then it's up to you to prove they were forged (To which I
>think no one was contesting they weren't real, just the timeline).
>Now like you, I don't like Kerry but at the same time I don't
>think Bush is much better, but "I" felt he was the lesser of
>three evils, so I voted for Bush.
> One thing I've seen about Frank as of late, he doesn't
>seem to let his emotions do his talking. He will say what
>he believes, without prejudice to which is a very tough thing
>to do.

Who the hell is talking about politics? This is about CB radio
legalities.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1261. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 17:23:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ln5tu0d7mmqc6d6dmk3fnfgp209os5inhq@4ax.com>
Source record: 194851

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 10:57:49 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>N3CVJ wrote:
>>That was back when you were on my side,
>
>>before you found out that I'm one of those
>
>>"evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still
>
>>believes in personal responsibility.
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>
>Your "side" indicates an intentional adversarial position. Everything
>isn't right or wrong and this side or that side of an issue...seeing
>everything as black and white is myopic. Stop looking for "sides" in
>which to take and stand on your own feet....for once.

I'm not the one displaying binary thinking. I never claimed that
issues are either ones or zeroes. But there is an overall difference
in philosophy between one "side" and the other, a cumulative sum of
all the individual "issues".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1262. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 17:29:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <rs5tu01fqsdrkboag7ipsjfucfml4t2sc5@4ax.com>
Source record: 194852

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 10:40:59 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 10:45:46 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>Not "one" only *you* have to wonder..the rest of the hammies in the
>world already know the answer to this. You (and your sock puppet) are
>the only one crying about a roger beep being illegal.
>
>>I have no sock puppet.
>
>
>Allow me to place that in context. You also made the false claim you
>have only accessed this group through the same provider when it was
>shown you have accessed this group with no less than a dozen different
>usenet services.

Shown by whom? Since that statement is a bold faced lie, I would love
to see any "proof" you might have. Bust just like that military
newsgroup that you stuck your foot in your mouth over with Frank, I'm
sure there will be none forthcoming.

I have had two, count 'em (2) isp's in the last 10 years. The first
was Worldlynx networks, and my current is penn tele data.

>You screwed up, Dave. You have comcast...for now. Color it gone.

I do not have Comcast. I do not live on a Comcast system. That's WHY I
have ptd.net. You won't find competing isp's on the same cable system.

YOU are a liar in the first degree.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cv

1263. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 17:31:28 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <o96tu01lil769vaa8ppv23748pd62e9ni4@4ax.com>
Source record: 194853

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 10:30:53 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>Dave Hall wrote:
>
>>The spread is Twistys ball across Mark's face,
>
>>we never ever heard about him, liking Pitt
>
>You are alone, dave, and the only person that is part of the "we" you
>frequently invoke N8WWM.
>
>>in
>
>>the 4/5 yrs the dildo
>
>
>There you have it,,,,,sex toys and sex talk whenever you speak to males.
>Your problems run deep, Dave.
>
>>NG. It was always Tampa.. Tampa ...Tampa,
>
>Au contraire. it was always "whoever plays Philthy", but you go on and
>cry about it for my new entertainment this week.
>
>>they suck and have since now he jumps on
>
>>the Pitt bandwagon. It's all in google,
>
>Sure is,,,google "My favorite team is whoever plays Philthy".
>Loser.
>
>
>>they started to win this year you see him
>
>>mention them
>
>
>I mention whoever beats Philthy,always have, always will, you have to
>find a way to live with it.
>
>
>>typical tipsy. he is all bs all the
>

>>time.
>
>
>Checkmate.

You really are a sociopathic mental case. You have no idea who you are talking to. That was not me, despite you delusions otherwise.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1264. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 17:39:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9c6tu05ppe0vpt1d0rg0vd6kn2s3l0eg7p@4ax.com>
Source record: 194854

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 10:34:32 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>
>NNTP-Posting-Date: ï¿½ï¿½ Tue, Jan 18, 2005, 12:49pm (EST-1) Group:
>ï¿½ï¿½ rec.radio.cb Subject: ï¿½ï¿½ Re: How would you improve your NFL
>team From: ï¿½ï¿½ itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: ï¿½ï¿½
>Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: ï¿½ï¿½ yes Date: ï¿½ï¿½ Tue, Jan 18, 2005,
>12:49pm (EST-1) X-Trace: ï¿½ï¿½
>sv3-2UqM/8rNd26w4xoivZ0uWPCbXjBlpvB8GyXtl9ZCNmgLNKT4aLc7gtSHeFczA+R/eUtU97NwxlfL3XR!SQrKt
MMmP3/AfVx9IF5SLbTKB/+GB0uZESQw9oAbzVhnr5kW44+YHf43RyxAvN9NjRHl
>X-Complaints-To: ï¿½ï¿½ abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: ï¿½ï¿½
>dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: ï¿½ï¿½ Please be sure to forward
>a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: ï¿½ï¿½ Otherwise we will be
>unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: ï¿½ï¿½ 1.3.22
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:15309-41ED33CD-354
>@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>Pittsburgh is known among the pros for never covering the spread. It's
>a bet to avoid. Nice going. As of now, the Pats are favored. Someone is
>gonna lose big money.
>
>>Steve this guy assclown twipsy likes to lie and
>
>>distort facts like there is no tommorow. Any
>
>>one who bet on Pitt all year "Cleaned up"
>
>>as
>
>>they were 10-5 against the spread with a
>
>>push. If you bet Pitt and NE all year you would
>>be a gawdy 21-8 ATS No tout, handicapping
>
>>service, 900 number can touch those
>
>>percentages. Next year people and the
>
>>bookies will be on to PITT and adjust the line
>
>>accordingly.
>
>>Division Standings

>
>>ï¿½W/L/T
>ï¿½ ATS ï¿½ ï¿½ ï¿½ O/U
>
>>Pittsburgh 15-1 ï¿½ 10-5-1 ï¿½ ï¿½ 8-8
>
>>New England 14-2 ï¿½ 11-3-2 ï¿½ ï¿½ 8-7-1
>
>
>Your deficit, Dave, is hurting you. Pay attention: "Is known" by the
>pros, Dave. How does one get known, grasshoppah? By their history.
>Historically, Pittsburgh is known for not covering the spread. Citing
>the single exception (this year) to the rule is nice, but has nothing to
>do with the pros picks and how the team is viewed in such circles. Now
>pick yourself up and cscrape yourself off from my shoe.

As part of Narcissistic Personality Disorder, it is common that since suffers view themselves as always right, they therefore cannot fathom how so many people can disagree with them. So in order to justify your position, you tell yourself that the many people who find you to be "a few fries short of a happy meal", are really one or two people pretending to be all the others. It offers you a security blanket of self-justification for your belligerence and haughty behavior.

Dave
"Sandbagger'

1265. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 17:47:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <kt6tu0lcvnm4bonrk7feaksqssr9gu609e@4ax.com>
Source record: 194855

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:43:25 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>I'm not familiar with the radio mentioned. Does Pierce Simpson still
>>make radios? I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.
>
>
>Dave, when will you learn to just keep your trap shut when you don't
>know what you are talking about? I have antique radios from the 1920's
>and up that still have good capacitors.

Frank, I'm getting a little tired of your tone.

I've had tube radios where the filter caps exploded with the force of
a firecracker, after not being powered for 10 years or more. In fact,
if you check with the pros who restore old radios, they recommend
replacing aging caps since they are known to change value. They also
recommend that you power up an old radio gradually with a Variac, so
as to no "shock" an old cap into shorting.

> They start having problems
>when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
>most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.

I'm telling you what I've personally experienced in close to 30 years
of repairing CB radios. It is COMMON for small 1uF and such
electrolytic caps to open up from age. I just got done retelling an
exact problem that I once fixed. Now, either you are calling me a
liar, or you need to modify your statement, since my examples are
proof that maybe you should keep your trap shut, since CB radios don't
seem to be your high point in experience.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1266. Re: Mae Beck Dates Blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 19:05:20 UTC
From: Mopy-Dopy <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106161520.768367.190810@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194856
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews

Thats "Ms Parks" with the fag pics and all the other bullshit!

1267. Re: COMING UP: Mopey fan club website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 19:08:25 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106161705.812087.121900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power,alt.flame.jews

A.E. 352 wrote:
> Me thinks the 'Psychiatrist' was molested as a child, and now comes
here to
> act out his long suppressed fantasies.
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1106141095.288762.50740@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > So, you want a place where you can go and look at mopey's caught in
the
> > act with landqueen pics, ay?
> > Coming soon..."The wrist flipper mopeydopey home page"

"Me Thinks", huh......do you even have a clue of how fucking stupid you
are? You filthy fag-boi!

1268. Re: How would you improve your CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 19:12:29 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106161949.308019.35890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > On 07 Jan 2005 13:30:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > >> On 07 Jan 2005 02:52:34 GMT, Steveo
<moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> > >> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> > >> >> Still up to your eyeballs in snow? Our weather has been
really
> > >> >> wierd, it was 70 Wednesday morning, and 20 this morning.
> > >> >>
> > >> >Nah, we've had warmer weather and rain/sleet/slush lately. Did
plow
> > >> >from midnight till 9 this morning tho..needed a big azz'd
squeegee
> > >> >instead of a plow.. You're right, very strange weather
patterns.
> > >> >
> > >> >How did your SW radio Christmas present go over?
> > >> >
> > >> I gave it to him, but didn't notice someone had soldered the
contacts
> > >> shut on the mode switch. It still works, I just need to track
down a
> > >> new switch for it. He's having a ball with it, likes it even
better
> > >> than the videos games he got..
> > >>
> > >Cool..now you've created a monster! :D
> >
> > Hopefully the right kind.. get him away from the computers and
video
> > games and into radio..
> >
> Yes. But we all know what a radio jones feels like for new gear. I
like
> that idea for a gift. 10-4

IMPROVE THE CB, HUH......FIRST OF ALL YOU'D HAVE TO TKE YOUR CB AND
SHOVE IT UP FRANK'S FAT ASS.........THATS A START.

1269. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 19:19:53 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106162393.317091.170660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:07:57 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com>
wrote
> in <x2lHd.124146$K7.66569@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>
>
>
SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO
POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS
SILLY SHIT.

HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS
KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.

THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR
HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE
WOULD BE LOST.

STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP........WITH TWISTIE, AND
U KNOW WHO........ LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM
REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE..........THERE ON GILLILAND'S
ISLE!!!!!!!!

1270. New computer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 19:23:57 UTC
From: drinkmyjesus@aol.com
Message-ID: <1106162637.613032.188260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194860

Hey-

Check out this great site that is giving away totally FREE Desktop PCs!

I've joined and I think you should as well.

It's a completely legitimate offer, and this company has already given away $4 million in FREE stuff!

All you have to do is join, complete an online offer, and refer friends to do the same. That's it!

Here is my referral link. To help me get my Desktop PC, click this exact link to join, or copy and paste it into a browser: http://www.FreeDesktopPC.com/?r=13995904

This is my best second free computer.Its super easy. Just pick something you can cancel. The blockbuster thing is good. I used the Efax thing. I got a free fax number for a couple weeks and it got me a computer. I just did it again for this second attempt at a new computer. Good times.

1271. Sicko Ms Parks At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 19:28:18 UTC
From: Steveo's whore mother <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106162898.002916.286870@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194861
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power

Truckers beware of an angry little man who goes by the name of "Ms Parks".

He has been spotted at Ohio truckstops dressed in drag. He (it) hangs in toilet stalls and offers blowjobs to black truckers. He (it)is a known carrier of AIDS.

1272. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 19:55:25 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <NWyHd.19510$by5.1457@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194862

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:08:15 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
> in <zcrHd.124634$K7.39613@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>For testing the caps, am I just looking for short or open on them?
>
>
>
> The only thing you can check is if they are shorted, and that doesn't
> always work if they are still in the circuit. If you really want to go
> that route then unsolder all electrolytic caps and rig up a charging
> circuit (battery + high-value resistor). Trust me, it's a bigger pain
> than taking it to the shop.
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

Or, if you suspect it's a cap, just replace all the caps in that
circuit. A little more trouble, but allot cheaper than sending it to a shop.

If you're going to replace the caps in your regulator circuit, replace
the regulator as well.

One thing you can do to test a cap is to LOOK at it. If the top is bowed
out like a dome, or there's some brownish crusty ichor on the top or
bottom of the cap, it's bad.

Barring that, replace the regulator, and follow the schematic to find
the caps in that circuit to replace them all.

-SSB

1273. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 19:56:55 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <bYyHd.19513$by5.13036@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194863

Trev 999 wrote:
> Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
> sorry SSB
>
> Cheers Guys
>
>
Heh. I didn't get it. and even if I did, it's not a big deal.

I don't use my real email address on Usenet. Those who NEED to get ahold of me that way can deduce my callsign from information posted here, and get my email from other sources.

-SSB

1274. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:00:29 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <x%yHd.19514$by5.14558@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194864

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
> in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>
>
>>Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
>>sorry SSB
>
>
>
> I see you found my email. Excuse my grouchiness but I've been drinking
> and listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a Sony amp. That being
> said......
>
> Damn dude, that copy sucks! Try scanning it in grayscale (256 colors),
> 300 dpi, then reduce it to 16 colors and save it as a .gif file.
>
> Now. Yes it looks like the regulator is the place to start. Disconnect
> the output and measure AC ripple. If it's more than a few millivolts
> then the regulator is toast. If it's smooth then you have an
> oscillation somewhere else and you will -NEED- a scope to find out
> where it's coming from.
>
> BTW, make sure that all your power devices have good heatsinks. Those
> gray plastic insulators are crap, and quite a few manufacturers
> mistakenly use the "more is better" rule for heatsink grease (and
> solder, too). I only mention this because of your observation that the
> AF amp gets hot. It shouldn't. Not unless you are "peaked & tweaked"
> for overmodulation.
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

Or if the AF amp is going bad.. An internal short could cause that kind
of heat, too.

-SSB

1275. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:02:31 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <r1zHd.19518$by5.4248@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194865

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 07:25:26 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote in <d7ksu0p1to2ikc0bs7d38veu8mb95l135q@4ax.com>:
>
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 05:08:02 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>I don't think it's a cap. Your radio has an internal voltage regulator
>>>>and that's likely the culprit. Those regulators are responsible for
>>>>the 'buzzing' of some radios when they are fed with a supply voltage
>>>>that's too high, and it sounds like your's is permanently damaged. The
>>>>reason your PA still works is because it's powered directly from the
>>>>power line, not the internal regulator.
>>
>>>>... unless a bad cap is biasing his balanced mixer, in which case the
>>>squeal would be produced. I saw this in a Cobra 2000 GTL once. One of
>>>the electrolytics shorted, causing similar behavior.
>>>
>>>In my case, however, the power mic I was using (a Turner +2 SSB) had a
>>>bad cap in it as well, and was sending DC voltage down the audio chain,
>>>which caused the other cap to short, which caused the squeal.
>>>
>>>Mine didn't change pitch with the volume control, however, but this
>>>might just be a symptom of different radio design.
>>>
>>>These radios are designed to run at 13.8 VDC, +/- 5% or so.. 14V isn't
>>>going to hurt the radio or its regulators.
>>>
>>
>>I'm not familiar with the radio mentioned. Does Pierce Simpson still
>>make radios? I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.
>
>
>
> Dave, when will you learn to just keep your trap shut when you don't
> know what you are talking about? I have antique radios from the 1920's
> and up that still have good capacitors. They start having problems
> when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
> most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.
>
>
>
>

>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

Frank:

Not to jump into this fray, too, but the reason my Swan 350D isn't
functional right now is specifically because the caps have "dried out"..
it DOES happen. It's not as rare as some people think.

-SSB

1276. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:20:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119152020.326$cw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194866

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050118113827.331$Ox@newsreader.com...
> > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >> Moparholic wrote:
> >> >Dang, that 300lbs of shit in a 200lb bag! Does
> >>
> >> >any NFL team suck more than my Browns!?!?
> >>
> >> >It gets depressing!
> >>
> >> That other Ohio team,,,,,,,the Bungles.
> >>
> > They're pretty bad too, but they went 8-8 this year.
>
> Hey dude, that's a great record, look at the Niners 2-14
>
> Landshark
>
Hey, you get the first draft pick. We go third, after Miami.

1277. Re: N8WWM carnival sideshow freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:37:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119153727.064$Q4_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194867
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1278. N8WWM carnival sideshow freak

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:39:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119153927.222$I3_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194868

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>N8WWM Doug Adair Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > the goo around here is on your lips, mayne vein slurper.
>
> You spend way too much time thinking about cock.
>
> Are you a girl?
>
> Jeff
>
He's a large mouth fugly.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1279. Re: Echo Echo Echo N8WWM's dome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:42:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119154205.088$HC_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194869

N8WWM Doug Adair"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Bub wrote:
> > I wonder who in the hell started the ECHO fad, it sounds like shit,
> and
> > most of the truckers have them turned up so much only a retard could
> > understand them. Roger beeps are just as fucken stupid also. Most
> never
> > know what a radio should sound like, sometimes it sounds good to hear
> a
> > radio with good audio, not clipped and with swing, another dumb ass
> fad.
> > Why is it a person buys a new radio, and then takes it to a hack up
> shop to
> > fuck it up.
>
> Because they are idiots, like all keyclowns are. One time I was on 2
> meters FM talking when a keyclown looked at my watt meter and
> said..."Damn, man...you aint got no swing at all!" I sent him to see
> mopeydopey, then he REALLY swung!
>
You're gonna see me a swingin' allright, fugly felon.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1280. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:43:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119154311.082$Za@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194870

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> >What's the spread?
>
> As of yesterday, it was 3.
>
Tough call..

1281. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:44:11 UTC
From: ron <radioengineering@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <vEzHd.862$JI7.356@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 194871

check the supply voltage to the af amp...if the voltage is too low it can
make the squeeling sound varying with the af gain control. this low voltage
could be caused by a resistor that supplies bias to the af amp breaking down
under increasing current drain. a common problem.
ron

"Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:johHd.123718$K7.93254@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Hi all, I have a Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII that was working fine,
> untill one day i went and turned it on and it just squeals. It still works
> fine in PA mode, but in recieve its buggered and when i turn the volume up
> it gets louder and changes pitch. Sounds like its feeding back somewheres.
> It has been sitting outside under the porch and out of the weather and was
> working a couple of days before the fault developed. It was powered by a
> 5amp variable power supply set at 14v and had a desk mic connected, also
the
> stationmaster antenna was always connected.
> Any ideas and/or a schematic would be great, as i don't know if its worth
> taking to a shop for repair and the missus won't let be buy a replacement
>
>

1282. Re: COMING UP: Mopey fan club website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:44:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119154441.575$d7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194872

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
> Me thinks the 'Psychiatrist' was molested as a child, and now comes here
> to act out his long suppressed fantasies.
>
Yep. He abused his children also.
>
>N8MMM Doug adair "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
>wrote in message
> news:1106141095.288762.50740@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > So, you want a place where you can go and look at mopey's caught in the
> > act with landqueen pics, ay?
> > Coming soon..."The wrist flipper mopeydopey home page"
> >

1283. N8WWM HATES BLACKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:47:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119154713.709$0N_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194873
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1284. N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:48:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119154833.919$bB_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194874
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1285. Re: Mopey-Dopy,Landfag & Twithed Caught!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 20:54:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119155450.849$CS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194875
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

```
"CB News Agency" <Steveo_is_not_normal@Cb'ers-of-US.org> wrote:
> The Three Stooges Of "Rec.Radio.Cb" Caught In The Act!
>
> begin 666 LF-Tw-Ste.jpg
> [42178 bytes]
> end
>
> begin 666 twist-ste-LS.jpg
> [43325 bytes]
> end
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
More abuse from Usenet Zone.
```

1286. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 21:02:09 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rlitu01ibbkekunm9onq4guhdj5ksdtrle@4ax.com>
Source record: 194876

On 19 Jan 2005 20:48:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

>http://n8wwm.4t.com/

That is really him? How did you get the middle picture with the toupe?

Vinnie S.

1287. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 21:05:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119160559.587$fd@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194877

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Jan 2005 20:48:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>
> That is really him? How did you get the middle picture with the toupe?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Top pic is him, the middle pic is a spot on description of him if you
had to do a police sketch.

1288. my wife dumped me and this is my suicide note

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 21:07:47 UTC
From: vando_correo@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106168867.107021.212060@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194878

my dear friends, i found this awesome website in which you can post screenshots of your computer, everyone's doing it it is awesome!! you can even find porn in there, free videos and free pictures, no strings attached cüm with me and join this website, you wont regret it! http://desktop2k.proboards39.com

1289. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 21:14:54 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <abjtu054btesk54nju8ninmed599i0v576@4ax.com>
Source record: 194879

On 19 Jan 2005 21:05:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 Jan 2005 20:48:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>>
>> That is really him? How did you get the middle picture with the toupe?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Top pic is him, the middle pic is a spot on description of him if you
>had to do a police sketch.

He opened the door. How far out did he get?

Vinnie S.

1290. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 21:16:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119161618.554$ku@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194880

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Jan 2005 21:05:59 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 19 Jan 2005 20:48:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >http://n8wwm.4t.com/
> >>
> >> That is really him? How did you get the middle picture with the toupe?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Top pic is him, the middle pic is a spot on description of him if you
> >had to do a police sketch.
>
> He opened the door. How far out did he get?
>
He wouldn't come out, too skeered. Soon as he seen me with the camera
he was ducking from the pics. ROFL!

1291. N8WWM Doug Adair swallows At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 21:46:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119164636.366$1n_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194881
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power

n8wwm@rock.com> wrote:

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1292. N8WWM abused his children.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 21:50:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050119165008.072$it_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 194882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> All you are gonna do is whine some more. Just like you did when mommy
> wouldnt buy you toys and candy.
>
You're describing what your poor children do, when you deprive them of food
and clothing.

Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl,

1293. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 22:06:13 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <pRAHd.124973$K7.55400@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194883

Thanks all for your input!!
Dave, please forgive Frank, I think he had been drinking when he made that remark ;)
Cheers's and 73's, Trev

1294. *CASH*CASH*CASH*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 22:19:31 UTC
From: NeoTycoon <neotycoon@netexecutive.com>
Message-ID: <1106173170.969453.8780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194884

Neo Tech Party Administration
*CASH*CASH*CASH*

Posted By: B (205.188.197.157)
Date: 01/02/05

Turn $6.00 into $42,000...read this to find out how!!! READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE! I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of cash within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting cash in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the cash just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more cash than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the cash come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage) IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy

to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the cash made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success: STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

1) Nathan Gilreath 6049 Porter Ave. East Lansing, MI 48823

2) Beth Collins 1012 Woodbine Ave., Toronto, Ontario M4C 4C1

3) Melody McKinley 8 Huntingdon Drive Dartmouth, Nova Scotia B2V 1w1

4) C. Witt 12 Pine ST. Attleboro, MA 02703

5) Ben Ervin 1281 Cadillac Dr. Hamilton, OH 45013

6) D J Kelly 661 powell st apt # 3 Brooklyn Ny 11212

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more cash you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need cash, you can use it again, and again. PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the cash that so many others have received will come your way. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of cash in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only

$6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business! ---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE Cash YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving cash from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.** Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625.00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the cash? So what! What are

the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

1295. 21st Century E-Business Money Making Formula

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 22:19:48 UTC
From: NeoTycoon <neotycoon@netexecutive.com>
Message-ID: <1106173188.951728.64290@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194885

Neo Tech Party Administration
<>21st Century E-Business Money Making Formula
New Year Money For EveryOne True Honest and Legal

Posted By: B (205.188.197.157)
Date: 01/02/05

THIS IS REAL...TAKE YOUR TIME AND READ THIS.....NOBODY IS SCAMMING YOU
THE 5 MINUTES IT WILL TAKE YOU TO READ THIS WILL CHANGE YOUR FUTURE!

I'm sure you've read these letters asking you to send $1 to six different addresses. Putting your home address or mailing address on the internet can be risky, but through PayPal all you have to provide is your email address. It's safe and easy. Just go to WWW.PAYPAL.COM and set up your FREE account! No credit card is needed. I am not a gullible person and have never trusted things like this, but I gave it a try. Hey it's only $6. I figured at least 6 people would respond out of the millions of people that use the internet. You should give it a try too! You have nothing to lose, and thousands to gain! Read on for the letter that I found (of course, I added my PayPal e-mail on #6)...

HOW TO TURN $6 INTO $6,000+!!! THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!!!

IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW IT TO THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!!

A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must be some kind of scam" but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 though PayPal to each of the emails stated in the article. You then place your own email address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 news groups. (There are thousands) No catch. That was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured what have I got to lose except $6.00, right? Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it. It follows the same regulations as the "mailed chain letters, which according to the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!!? Within 7 days, I started getting money in my PayPal account! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $20.00. By the end second week I had made a total over

$1,200.00!!! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still

growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $36,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's Certainly worth $6.00. I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works? Also, make sure you print a copy of this article now, so that you can get the information off of it as you need it.

$$

REQUIREMENTS: You must have a confirmed PayPal account. If you do not have a PayPal account you can go to www.paypal.com and follow the instructions to set up a free account. In order to place the initial $6 into your account, you will have to confirm your bank account with PayPal (which may take a few days). PayPal is 100% secure and is used my millions of people world wide. Confirming a www.paypal.com account simply means (1) PayPal will first ask you to confirm the email you used to sign up your PayPal account by sending you an email with a link for you to click to that email. As soon as you click that link your email is confirmed. (2) PayPal will then make two small deposits into your bank account if you set up your PayPal account with a checking or savings bank account. Or PayPal will make two small deposits to your credit card if you set up your PayPal account with your credit card. Then after a few days (it takes up to a few days for these deposits to appear in your bank account or on your credit card statement) then after a few days you go back to the www.paypal.com website and sign in. Then you click where it says this account is not yet confirmed. A screen will pop up that gives you 2 boxes to fill in. You then enter the numbers of the 2 small deposit amounts (two separate 2 digit numbers) that were deposited to your bank account or credit card. Now you have a working and confirmed PayPal account and can receive payments.

$$

[STEP 1]
Send, though PayPal, $1.00 to each email on the below list. Make the subject of the payment "Email List" and in the comments, write "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST." What you are doing is creating a service by this - and best of all you are not giving your address to anyone you do not know. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!! YOU ARE CREATING A SERVICE. Here are the latest PayPal e-mails on the e-mail lists:
#1) bigmicrosoft @ yahoo. com

#2) mr_skunky29 @ yahoo. com

#3) bmedsker @ cablespeed. com

#4) honesteyez @ yahoo. com

#5) spontaniuz @ msn. com

#6) dholla @ hotmail. com

www.paypal.com

Subject of Payment: ***Email List***

Comments: ***PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR EMAIL LIST***

[STEP 2]
Now take the #1) email off the list that you see above, move the other addresses up one (6 becomes 5 & 5 becomes 4, etc) the put YOUR email address (the one used in your PayPal account) as #6) on the list. **MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPLY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS IN YOUR PAYPAL ACCOUNT**

[STEP 3]
Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups, message boards, etc. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make - as well as everyone else on the list! In this situation your job is to let as many people see this letter as

possible. So they will make you and me reach!!!! You can even start

posting the moment your email is confirmed. Payments will still appear in your PayPal account even while your bank account is being confirmed.

$$

DIRECTIONS ON HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS/MESSAGE BOARDS.

[Step 1]
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your CURSOR at the beginning of this letter and drag your CURSOR to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into your computer's temporary memory.

[Step 2]
Open a blank Notepad file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'Edit' menu select 'Paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your email to the list.

[Step 3]
Save your new Notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different sittings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

[Step 4]
Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for various newsgroups, on-line forums, message boards, bulletin boards, chat sites, discussions, discussion groups, online communities, etc. EXAMPLE: go to any search engine like yahoo.com, google.com, altavista.com, excite.com, then search with subjects like "millionaire message board" or "money making message board" or "opportunity message board" or "money making discussions" or "business bulletin board" or "money making forum" etc. You will find thousands and thousands of message boards. Click them one by one

then you will find the option to post a new message.

[Step 5]
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting 'Paste' from the 'Edit' menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll thru the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations! THAT'S IT!! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away. After you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS AND/OR MESSAGE BOARDS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money within days! **JUST MAKE SURE THE EMAIL YOU SUPPLY IS EXACTLY AS IT APPEARS ON PAYPAL.**
Have fun!

1296. Re: FS Johnson Thunderbolt Linear Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-19 22:31:46 UTC
From: Jimbo <Jimbo@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <F4KdnXK9aM1GQnPcRVn-oQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 194886

What 800 bucks?
Does it have swwwwiinnng.

"see message for address" <z@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41eeb1e6$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> For Sale a Johnson Thunderbolt linear amplifier. Has two
> 4-400A tubes which will generate huge amounts of power from
> 3.5 to 30 mHz. with only a few watts of drive on both AM and
> SSB throughout this range. In good electrical and fair
> physical condition, not tested, but apparently complete.
> Asking $800 + shipping for this unique and rare linear
> amplifier. Please e-mail radiodon (at) comcast (dot) net
> for details and pictures. Thanks!
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000
Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1297. Re: Sicko Doug Adair N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 04:32:41 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <JvGHd.13336$5R.4587@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194887
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power

"Steveo's whore mother" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1106162898.002916.286870@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Truckers beware of an angry little man who goes by the name of "Ms
> Parks".
>
> He has been spotted at Ohio truckstops dressed in drag. He (it) hangs
> in toilet stalls and offers blowjobs to black truckers. He (it)is a
> known carrier of AIDS.

Doug Adair N8WWM

Trolling again:

Inverse trace says:

Convert Base-10 to IP
Note: Many registrars block whois queries from this site due to the large
volume of requests

Base 10 conversion: 65.238.180.215

TraceRoute to 65.238.180.215 [1106162903]

Trace for the above comes back to..... Doug Adair

Convert Base-10 to IP
Note: Many registrars block whois queries from this site due to the large
volume of requests

TraceRoute to 65.238.180.215 [2cust23.tnt6.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net]

He really is one sick person

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

1298. Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 04:34:19 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194888
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
I'm thinking of buying one.
Cheers

1299. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 04:41:46 UTC
From: Triple 1 <nodamnham@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41ef341d_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 194889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

Only YOU are the ONE that is interested in man on man sex.
No one else has even asked you for man on man sex.

Take your mess back to your own newsgroup and Quit cross-posting to this NG!

You don't OWN me, NOR,
Do you CONTROL me or Anyone Else on this here Newsgroup!

So, ya better take yo retarded ass on somewhere!

Ain't nobody gonna listen to you, anyway......so you can post all you want,
but we're just gonna delete ya and forget ya - as if you were never there!

This is a CB radio newsgroup -- not a porno group!!

There are users here that have been monitoring your activity as they have
elsewhere.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41ec0d87$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> Hey triple 1.....Stay the fuck out of the CB group, you stupid fucking
> hammie.
> Unless you're interested in some hot man on man sex, stay the fuck out of
> our group!
> "Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:41ebff45$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> > Amateur radio is only dying in how only YOU view Amateur radio.
> >
> > Amateur radio is alive and well.
> >
> > Listen more on the HF especially where the Tsunami hit.
> >
> > Amateur radio is being used as I type this message.
> >
> > I have a station and I enjoy talking to those who want to talk.
> >
> > The only shmuck that YOU refer to -- is yourself while only you are
> > calling
> > others shmuck.
> > (now read this carefully before flaming. It is not me that is calling
you
> > that name. It is a case in point. i.e. "The pot calling the kettle
> > whatever
> > color!" so don't go flaming now.)
> >

> > I'm glad that there is content control on the Amateur bands.
> >
> > You need to get out more and quit bickering about small issues!
> >
> > Not everyone wants to listen to a "bleeding-heart liberal"!
> >
> > If you don't like the content --- DO something else besides crying about
> > it.
> >
> > There is an on/off switch on these radios!
> >
> > And why is Amateur radio being hated on in a cb radio newsgroup?
> >
> > (Why is even the Amateur radio topic being discussed in the rec.radio.cb
> > newsgroup)
> >
> > And why are pics of risque material being posted?
> >
> > There are other groups that these topics can be posted in.
>
> --
-
> > ---
> > It is simply the fact that the individual/s have/has too much time on
> > their
> > hands.
> > It's a wonder they don't get caught at work.
> > That's why some trace routes don't go through.
> >
> > I have had trace-routes done on me -- namely by : Eric Oyen, N7ZZT, in
> > Arizona.
> >
> > I only change my address and identity to cut down on unwanted emails.
> >
> > There are no threats in my posts...
> >
> > Yet, my name is posted on this newsgroup in a list of "KeyQueens run off
> > this Newsgroup" by this Dougie character.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:41ebd5d6@news.usenetzone.com...
> >>
> >> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> >> news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> >> > Content Control
> >> > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555 MHz at

9.00
> > pm
> >> > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in the
> >> > amateur
> >> > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The reason it's
> > dying
> >> > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the reason it's
> >> > dying
> >> > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's are
under
> > the
> >> > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur station. As
a
> >> > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let him know
> > when
> >> > I
> >> > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about is in
the
> >> > form
> >> > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
> >> > Communication
> >> > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from controlling the
> >> > content
> >> > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating rules
> >> > and
> >> > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio. The FCC of
> >> > course
> >> > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and indecent
> >> > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who believe
> > that
> >> > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio. It of course is not true,
> >> > this
> >> > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication Act
apply
> > in
> >> > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC. Let me enlighten
you
> > on
> >> > a
> >> > little history that starts in the 1980's. In the 1980's and 1990's
> > packet
> >> > radio was a big thing. Let me tell you when I first got into amateur
> > radio
> >> > I
> >> > thought packet was neat. I mean I would stay up all night and play on
> >> > packet
> >> > till the sun came up and then head off to work. This of course was in
> >> > 1991-1992 when I had first gotten my license. But, there was one
thing
> >> > I

> >> > noticed; I had gotten into the local BBS system to read the latest
> >> > messages
> >> > and then I went through the network and got into the BBS over in
> > Australia
> >> > and guess what? It was the same crap. Many people believe think
packet
> >> > radio
> >> > died from the speed in reality packet died because of the content.
The
> >> > same
> >> > will happen eventually to amateur radio. I mean who would spend the
> >> > time
> >> > and
> >> > money on getting a ham license when every thing a amateur radio
> >> > operator
> >> > can
> >> > do a person can do on the internet. I mean don't get me wrong talking
> > all
> >> > over the world on the radio is neat and all; but you can also do that
> >> > on
> >> > the
> >> > internet. I mean would a average person spend the time and money to
get
> > a
> >> > ham license to talk on the radio to give their name, location, power
> >> > output,
> >> > antenna height, and weather report to some smock over seas or get on
> >> > the
> >> > internet where content control isn't a problem. I guess what I'm
> >> > getting
> >> > at
> >> > is for amateur radio to really grow amateurs must get rid of this
idea
> > of
> >> > content control. Because what's really going to happen is their going
> >> > to
> >> > loose to technologies which don't have that restriction. For Amateur
> > radio
> >> > to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's. The
> >> > problem
> >> > is
> >> > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or
control
> > the
> >> > content. So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when it's
not
> > in
> >> > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it. I mean so what if
> > broadcasting
> >> > information to the amateur radio community which may be a small value

> >> > to
> >> > the
> >> > Amateurs. So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my
opinion
> >> > about
> >> > problems and issues in amateur radio. At lease I have a opinion and
I'm
> >> > not
> >> > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says. That's
> >> > the
> >> > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in amateur
> >> > radio,
> >> > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle dribble we
> > call
> >> > ham radio. I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe there
are
> >> > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
> >> > Todd N9OGL
> >>
> >> SEE ASSHOLES.......I'M NOT THE ONLY GUY THAT LOVE TO SUCK NIGGER COCK!
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> _____
> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> More than 120,000 groups
> >> Unlimited download
> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >
> >
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1300. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 04:51:35 UTC
From: DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK <FAF00FNIK@FAF00FNIK.ORG.org>
Message-ID: <rNGHd.3748$8Z1.70@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194890
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

"Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
> I'm thinking of buying one.
> Cheers
>
>
It's performance is a little "Wimpy".....you would much better off with a
Icom 706-MKII-G
MUCH more radio, not a whole lot more money considering what it will do.
just my 2¢ worth (I have a HTX-10 and 2 '706's)

1301. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:10:21 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m6fuu0h0prg24iss83f8bl88sg8vh04v91@4ax.com>
Source record: 194891

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 12:47:55 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <kt6tu0lcvnm4bonrk7feaksqssr9gu609e@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:43:25 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>I'm not familiar with the radio mentioned. Does Pierce Simpson still
>>>make radios? I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.
>>
>>
>>Dave, when will you learn to just keep your trap shut when you don't
>>know what you are talking about? I have antique radios from the 1920's
>>and up that still have good capacitors.
>
>Frank, I'm getting a little tired of your tone.

I really don't care.

>I've had tube radios where the filter caps exploded with the force of
>a firecracker, after not being powered for 10 years or more. In fact,
>if you check with the pros who restore old radios, they recommend
>replacing aging caps since they are known to change value. They also
>recommend that you power up an old radio gradually with a Variac, so
>as to no "shock" an old cap into shorting.

Caps wear out, that's a fact. And caps do explode on occasion. But in
every case where I have filled the shop with that unique and pungent
aroma there has -always- been some underlying cause of the failure,
such as too much AC on a filter cap, voltage ratings exceeded or
reversed, etc. I've never had one blow up just out of spite.

Also, I -am- one of those "pros who restore old radios", which has
been both a hobby and a business since I restored my first Philco 20
when I was a teenager. And after being in the electronics business
professionally for 30+ years I can say, without any doubt whatsoever,
that capacitor failure rate (i.e, the capacitor being the -primary-
cause of the failure) is no higher than for any other component. I do
-not- recommend blanket replacement of aged capacitors -- on the
contrary, I recommend -testing- aged capacitors. If they are still
within tolerance and show no DC leakage, leave them ALONE!

BTW, for anyone planning on testing caps, you don't need anything
fancy. Just find an old R/C tester like the Eico 950. I have used an
old tube-type Knight R/C tester for 20 years and it hasn't failed me
yet.

>> They start having problems
>>when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
>>most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.
>
> I'm telling you what I've personally experienced in close to 30 years
>of repairing CB radios. It is COMMON for small 1uF and such
>electrolytic caps to open up from age. I just got done retelling an
>exact problem that I once fixed. Now, either you are calling me a
>liar, or you need to modify your statement, since my examples are
>proof that maybe you should keep your trap shut, since CB radios don't
>seem to be your high point in experience.

Your examples are proof of nothing except your lack of experience in
the broad field of electronics and your inability to find the
underlying cause of the failure. Cap failure as the -primary- cause of
failure is no more common than a weak transistor, bad IC, blown
diode/zener, or bad RF/IF can, or even poor manufacturing standards.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1302. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:13:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7afuu09r5pcmsega340p7ft6l294hlt7qe@4ax.com>
Source record: 194892

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 20:02:31 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
in <r1zHd.19518$by5.4248@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
>Frank:
>
>Not to jump into this fray, too, but the reason my Swan 350D isn't
>functional right now is specifically because the caps have "dried out"..
>it DOES happen. It's not as rare as some people think.

Swan was notorious for using cheap PS caps. Haven't seen one yet that
wasn't bad or on the edge. I had a 500 and I replaced the cap with an
-older- cap -- still works great according to the guy who has it now.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1303. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:13:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5ffuu0t7h7vg69uc9ho7aelg3j7rbl6a13@4ax.com>
Source record: 194893

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:15:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <12354-41EE87A6-21@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>:

>From: wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net (Frankï¿½Gilliland)
>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
>in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
>sorry SSB
>
>>I see you found my email. Excuse my
>
>>grouchiness but I've been drinking and
>
>>listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a
>
>>Sony amp. That being said......
>
>
>Didn't the Bee Gees actually ROCK back in the late sixties and early
>70s?

Absolutely! Have you checked out some of their more recent work?
Sometimes a little retro but with the same unique 'sound'.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1304. Re: COMING UP: Mopey fan club website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:13:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106198012.067090.326190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194944

Steveo wrote:
> "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
> > Me thinks the 'Psychiatrist' was molested as a child, and now comes
here
> > to act out his long suppressed fantasies.
> >
> Yep. He abused his children also.
> >
> >N8MMM Doug adair "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> >wrote in message
> > news:1106141095.288762.50740@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > So, you want a place where you can go and look at mopey's caught
in the
> > > act with landqueen pics, ay?
> > > Coming soon..."The wrist flipper mopeydopey home page"
> > >

Even if that was true, it's nuthin' compared to what you are about to
experience. Remember this when I am standing across from you smiling,
beeyotch.

1305. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:14:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kffuu0t3gnt18aj0r13mj9cgbdvdk8i6bt@4ax.com>
Source record: 194945

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 20:00:29 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
in <x%yHd.19514$by5.14558@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
>Or if the AF amp is going bad.. An internal short could cause that kind
>of heat, too.

True. I looked at the schematic again and both the input and output
are DC blocked. If those were bad then the amp wouldn't work at all.
There is another cap on the side that may either be for offset or
compensation, and if that's shorted it may cause the chip to overheat.
That would be my first guess. But it still doesn't explain the squeal.

What I -didn't- see before is that the preamp before the PA is powered
directly from the regulator, so the regulator is good. The oscillation
must be coming from somewhere else.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1306. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:15:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ehfuu0dn4n0jgagkv1rt3vkq5snqh78jju@4ax.com>
Source record: 194946

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:49:28 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <oo3tu0dp5ole5qonggp67gcv7j509j7ddc@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 03:58:53 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 06:48:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <8vhsu0d6msjkm22sqe4a06mg3qiona8r22@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:30:31 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
>>>>> FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
>>>>> has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.
>>>>
>>>>Which Part 95 CB rule disallows adjustable RF power?
>>>>
>>>>I would think that if the radio was only capable of 4W RMS AM Carrier /
>>>>12W SSB PEP at the MAX power setting, and was adjustable downward, it
>>>>wouldn't be that big of a deal, nor would it make the radio "illegal" or
>>>>uncertifiable...
>>>>
>>>>Educate me.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I can't find any reference to a specific rule that either allows or
>>>prohibits adjustable power.
>>>
>>>On the one hand, if it were legal......
>>
>>
>>Oh brother. Once again you demonstrate your attitude that you are
>>willing to convict based on an absence of evidence.
>
>
>It would be helpful for you to read my entire point before snipping
>the parts that change the context. If you had, you would have seen
>that I had "convicted" nothing. I was only bringing up two sides of
>the issue.

I did indeed read the entire post. I snipped it where I did because it
was at that point where you presumed something that has yet to be

proven. The rest of your point has been addressed in other posts.

>You are adopting Twisty tactics.

Not at all. Twisty's approach is psychological, something along the lines of how a cop or lawyer badgers a suspect until he slips up. My forte is logic. And because of those differences it should come as no suprise that my arguments with Twisty usually end in a stalemate since our respective methods are diametrically opposed in both concept and practice.

>You really are sore aren't you?

I am really disappointed. For a person who otherwise demonstrates a higher-than-average intelligence and a sound grasp of logic, you just throw all that out the window when it comes to politics. What a waste.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1307. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:25:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2jfuu0d5a5fiujdd1la3lq5d9svoot4a3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 194948

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 12:16:42 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <4k4tu09r1903349cekpcnilphfsc06bob2@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:37:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 07:09:08 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <diisu0dno035t3a8qmus7lb8s32ru45u0k@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>I see that -you- aren't talking about politics anymore because you
>>>>refuse to accept any facts;
>>>
>>>What you consider "facts" is the whole point of contention.
>>
>>
>>They are facts sourced from the very same source that says Bush got an
>>honorable discharge. Care to dispute the source? I didn't think so.
>
>So why do all the libs

There you go with the labels again.....

> cry that Bush's honorable discharge was somehow
>"bought"?

Maybe because he was pushed to the front of the line of the selection committee? And was accepted into the NG the day (or day after) he applied? Maybe because the records show he couldn't even keep a doctor's appointment that was required to fulfill his military obligations (he was a pilot, remember?)? Or maybe because for several months the only record of him fulfilling his duties is his pay records which the Pentagon (under the direction of Rumsfeld) suddenly produced after twice claiming no more records existed? And unlike Kerry, where his shipmates are in disagreement about his nature of service but all agree that he was indeed there, NOBODY remembers Bush being present at one of his assigned duty stations.

It's an 'inductive' argument, Dave, and it's pretty strong.

Yet you claim the same agency (the Pentagon) is responsible in a conspiricy to conceal records that are damaging to Kerry without any reason, subjective or objective, other than the fact that the records have not been released, and -despite- the fact that there is no law that requires him to do so, not even under the FIA.

You -still- don't see how stupid that sounds, do you?

> See, both sides can make up all sorts of stories to explain
>the "facts".

Those aren't made-up stories, Dave. If you can't see how the facts are
related to each other then here's what you need to do: Next time you
are at the store go to the magazine stand. Look for the section with
all the kiddie puzzle books. Pick one with a lot of connect-the-dot
puzzles. Buy it. Take it home and practice. When you finish that,
watch Sesame Street and pay careful attention when you hear the song
with the words, "Which one of these things is not like the other?"

>But I digress, this thread is not about politics.
>
>>>> e.g., the fact here is that you are
>>>>looking at the wrong rule:
>>>
>>>Am I?
>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>This is where the controversy is. Your assessment is valid, and it
>>>>>would seem that since the FCC has allowed ETS signals on FRS radios,
>>>>>(which also fall under part 95) that it would also stand to reason
>>>>>that they would allow them on class "D" CB as well. The question is
>>>>>why have they not made their position clear in the form of a rule
>>>>>modification?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>FRS radios have such tones because they are permitted by this rule:
>>>>
>>>>"Sec. 95.193 (b) The FRS unit may transmit tones to make contact or
>>>>to continue communications with a particular FRS unit....."
>>>>
>>>>CB radio has an identical rule:
>>>>
>>>>"Sec. 95.412 (b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone
>>>>signal only when the signal is used to make contact or to continue
>>>>communications....."
>>>
>>>
>>>But you failed to print the entire rule subpart. Why this is
>>>significant I will explain after I post it in its entirety:
>>>
>>>
>>> "(b) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal only
>>>when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.
>>>(Examples of circuits using these signals are tone operated squelch
>>>and selective calling circuits.) If the signal is an audible tone, it
>>>must last no longer than 15 seconds at one time. If the signal is a
>>>subaudible tone, it may be transmitted continuously only as long as

>>>you are talking."
>>>
>>>Now, when you look at the rule, it becomes clear what the intent of
>>>this rule is. They are defining selective calling units, that operate
>>>either with CTCSS or dual tone (paging style) squelch systems.
>>>Lafayette used to sell them from the 1960's into the early 70's.
>>>
>>>You might be able to infer that this rule also applies to roger beeps,
>>>but you have to remember that this rule was written long before roger
>>>beeps were even heard of on CB radio communications.
>>
>>
>>Bullshit. Roger-beeps have existed, legal or not, on the CB since the
>>band was barely a few months old.
>
>I NEVER heard a roger beep on CB until the early 80's. They certainly
>were not around in 1970 when I first got on the band.
>
>Now, I'm not saying that some clever tech type didn't invent one, and
>used it in some local pocket somewhere. But their use was not
>widespread, or I would 've heard them it, especially when the skip
>rolled in.

I don't know what corn field you lived in in 1970 but roger-beeps were
pretty common around here. And I'm sure that anyone on the CB scene in
NY at the time would tell you the same thing. Noise-toys (and other
minor violations) were frequent subjects in magazines such as PE and
QST which covered the CB from day one; and most of them describe their
widespread nature and general abuse of the band.

But because -you- never heard a roger-beep that means they didn't
exist. Once again you have declared something to be fact based on your
opinions. Ok, Dave. Whatever you say.

>>>I will concede that the rule is open to a wide variety of
>>>interpretation. It is conceivable that you MIGHT be ok if you use the
>>>roger beep strictly as an ETS signal. The minute you start making
>>>multiple tones, musical notes or otherwise, you fall into the category
>>>spelled out by 95.413, prohibited transmissions subpart 6 and 7:
>>>
>>>
>>> (6) To transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>>>amuse or entertain;
>>> (7) To transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>>
>>
>>Damn liberals.
>
>You really have become consumed with politics. Have I rattled you that
>much?

You probably shouldn't flatter yourself over your ignorance of
political issues. Did you find out who the Vulcans are yet? Or are you
going to claim that they don't exist because you never heard of them?

>>>>So it should be obvious that if any radio with a "roger-beep" is
>>>>accepted, the tone is considered to be a tool that is used to
>>>>-facilitate- communications, a purpose which is consistent with the
>>>>above rule(s).
>>>
>>>The question remains, with the exception of the Galaxy, there are no
>>>other domestic radios with this built in feature. If the rule was so
>>>cut and dry, then why not add another selling point?
>>
>>
>>How about because the service was intended to be a cheap-&-easy way to
>>get 2-way radio comm? There were literally hundreds of models WITHOUT
>>a control for RF gain, delta-tune, SWR, etc, etc. And the FCC used to
>>cite people for nothing more than failure to comply with the time-out
>>rule. So would -you- have included it in a radio? I doubt it.
>
>None of this is valid today.

Cop-out.

> Even if you despise the art of marketing
>and capitalism,

I never said anything of the sort. You don't even understand how your
own mind works: You extrapolated that trait on me from your image of a
stereotypical 'liberal', which is a label that -you- gave me for other
reasons. You sound like a third-rate psychologist.

> the fact remains that bells and whistles sell
>products. A roger beep is not a difficult thing to add to a radio (and
>not expensive), yet it will add perceived value as another "feature"
>to justify an increased price for.

You of all people know that a manufacturing decision is based on a lot
of factors. The question is if the additional sales could justify the
extra cost, which would involve a market analysis. That analysis would
also include a comparison with competitive products; i.e, aftermarket
noise boxes, boards and mics. There is also the issue of whether or
not the FCC would pitch a bitch even if the design changes would be
technically legal but contrary to FCC policy, which would involve a
hassle in the courts (and expensive attorney fees). Then there is the
product liability issue: What would be the legal expenses defending
the company from pissed-off consumers who got an NAL when the FCC
popped them for using the roger-beep function?

Do you have those analyses, Dave? If you don't then you -don't- have
the facts and are just speculating.

>Besides, I never said that *all* radios should have it. But yo would
>think at least the flagship radios from all the big name manufacturers

>would include this "feature" as another sale item.

You go ahead and email them with that question. Until you get a
definitive response your opinions are nothing more than speculation.

>>>>And another fact: I brought this same issue to your attention almost a
>>>>year ago..... in -THIS- newsgroup.
>>>
>>>I remember the discussion. I believe it was Bert who provided the
>>>picture of his Galaxy radio with the FCC ID number which you initially
>>>looked up and couldn't find, and then claimed that the radio's Roger
>>>beep was an "add-on" accessory..
>>
>>
>>I made no such claim. Look up the thread and read the FACTS, Dave.
>
>Oh, how easily you forget Frank. Here, read this:
>
>=====START PASTE OF FRANK'S POST=========
>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>From: Frank Gilliland <spa...@NOSPAMaimcomm.net> - Find messages by
>this author
>Date: Wed, 26 May 2004 06:54:51 -0700
>Local: Wed, May 26 2004 6:54 am
>Subject: Re: N3CVJ claims Roger Beeps illegal
>Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
>original | Report Abuse
>
>In <p359b0ttfr1r8lih52l718psj10bfq6...@4ax.com>, Frank Gilliland
><spa...@NOSPAMaimcomm.net> wrote:
>
>
>>In <in%sc.469$4x2....@fe2.texas.rr.com>, "AKC KennelMaster"
>><KennelMas...@not-here.com> wrote:
>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3...@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:05u8b09fdju3n3pf1nnh5sggca81gq314m@4ax.com...
>
>>>> On Mon, 24 May 2004 22:57:29 GMT, "Bert Craig" <w...@arrl.netNOSPAM>
>>>> wrote:
>
>
>>>> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3...@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>> >news:5mc4b09b1ev0cb7a0eigo2tophp7qjr6in@4ax.com...
>>>> >> Riddle me this then Batman, why are there no type accepted LEGAL CB
>>>> >> radios produced with a roger beep or an echo?
>
>>>> >Sorry Dave, my old Galaxy DX-949 came stock woith a roger beep...and
>>>was/is
>

>>>> >FCC type accepted.
>
>>>> >http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html
>>>> Would you happen to to have the FCC I.D. number of that radio? That
>>>> radio, other than the roger beep, also has variable power, something
>>>> else no other legal CB has. I have my doubts that this radio is
>>>> entirely legal.
>
>
>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>
>>>Wrong again, Dave. Here's the link: http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html
>
>
>>There are no current equipment authorizations for any Galaxy CB radio.
>>Search the database yourself if you want:
>
>
>>https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/cf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm
>
>
>
>Well, by golly, I goofed again. The FCC ID number is C2R-DX-2547, it's
>a Ranger, and it is legal for CB. But what I didn't see on the Galaxy
>website was a built-in roger-beep -- instead the board is available as
>an accessory.
>
>
>-----=
>Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>
>------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers
>
>=====END PASTE OF FRANK'S POST======
>
>
>Now, what was that you were saying about facts Frank?

The fact is that you can't read. LOL!

>>>That was back when you were on my side, before you found out that I'm
>>>one of those "evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still believes
>>>in personal responsibility.
>>
>>
>>I'm still on your side, Dave. The difference we have is that you
>>refuse to look at -political- issues from both sides of the coin.
>

>Sure I do Frank. It's just that I believe that conservatism is the
>better path to follow, and I will support my side of the coin, and
>expose the hypocrisy of the other side.

I really don't care about your political leanings or religious
beliefs. But if you are going to "expose" people for telling lies and
making up stories to attack those who don't share their beliefs then
don't whine when you are exposed for doing the same.

>>Apparently your problem is migrating to CB issues; i.e, your false
>>claim about me stated above.
>
>It's not so false as you may think.........

Read it again, Dave. And this time don't use your Liberal/Neocon
translation dictionary.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1308. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:26:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p6guu0l4g0hktdhjm196qhcl0in2t2nt2j@4ax.com>
Source record: 194947

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 14:42:57 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <RluHd.13075$5R.4057@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
> One thing I've seen about Frank as of late, he doesn't
>seem to let his emotions do his talking.

Good thing I wasn't drinking Tequila last night.

> He will say what
>he believes, without prejudice to which is a very tough thing
>to do.

Thank you, Shark. BTW, do you still have that Palomar amp?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1309. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 05:42:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <asguu0dg057bh6d23a26jcl7od1n8s6qdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 194949

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 22:06:13 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <pRAHd.124973$K7.55400@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

>Thanks all for your input!!
>Dave, please forgive Frank, I think he had been drinking when he made that
>remark ;)

Forget about Dave. Go hit some yard sales and find yourself a scope! I
stopped by a sale last fall and picked up an immaculate Heathkit 4550
for..... are you sitting down?..... $10. They are out there, you just
have to look.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1310. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 06:00:16 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <QNHHd.12750$wZ2.1954@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194950

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:p6guu0l4g0hktdhjm196qhcl0in2t2nt2j@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 14:42:57 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <RluHd.13075$5R.4057@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>> One thing I've seen about Frank as of late, he doesn't
>>seem to let his emotions do his talking.
>
>
> Good thing I wasn't drinking Tequila last night.
>

LOL!! Haven't drank much of anything for a couple of
months now, Christmas just about killed me in funds
so new kegs were out of the question.

>
>> He will say what
>>he believes, without prejudice to which is a very tough thing
>>to do.
>
>
> Thank you, Shark. BTW, do you still have that Palomar amp?

Which one? the TX800? or the newer version 500?
It doesn't matter, NO. I gave the TX to a very good
friend that moved back east a couple years ago.
The 500 got loaned out and for some strange reason, it
never made it's way home.

OT. I still say Bush is making a HUGE mistake by not announcing some sort of
exit plan.
If the Republican party wants another Man in the office,
he'd better get a plan going. The more of our people that
die over there, is just another nail in the coffin for a
Republican candidate to take office in 08.

Here's a pretty good site! Make sure you click on Second Term.

http://www.jibjab.com/lowband/default.htm

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion

Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

1311. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 06:14:18 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <__HHd.125539$K7.89297@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194951

$10 bucks for a scope!! Cripes, u have all the luck :)
"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:asguu0dg057bh6d23a26jcl7od1n8s6qdu@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 22:06:13 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
> in <pRAHd.124973$K7.55400@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>
>>Thanks all for your input!!
>>Dave, please forgive Frank, I think he had been drinking when he made that
>>remark ;)
>
>
> Forget about Dave. Go hit some yard sales and find yourself a scope! I
> stopped by a sale last fall and picked up an immaculate Heathkit 4550
> for..... are you sitting down?..... $10. They are out there, you just
> have to look.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000
> Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1312. Re: FS Johnson Thunderbolt Linear Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 06:48:28 UTC
From: Packrat &#65533; <mikec@zonenet.net>
Message-ID: <gwIHd.293$e_4.44@fe05.lga>
Source record: 194952

Hmm only 150 pounds in its original shipping crate. WOW!

"see message for address" <z@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41eeb1e6$1_1@127.0.0.1...
> For Sale a Johnson Thunderbolt linear amplifier. Has two
> 4-400A tubes which will generate huge amounts of power from
> 3.5 to 30 mHz. with only a few watts of drive on both AM and
> SSB throughout this range. In good electrical and fair
> physical condition, not tested, but apparently complete.
> Asking $800 + shipping for this unique and rare linear
> amplifier. Please e-mail radiodon (at) comcast (dot) net
> for details and pictures. Thanks!
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000
> Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1313. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 07:29:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <jomuu0hq6lihf3ilf757beo7p1cekahl6s@4ax.com>
Source record: 194953

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 06:00:16 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <QNHHd.12750$wZ2.1954@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>:

<snip>
>> Thank you, Shark. BTW, do you still have that Palomar amp?
>
>Which one? the TX800? or the newer version 500?
>It doesn't matter, NO. I gave the TX to a very good
>friend that moved back east a couple years ago.
>The 500 got loaned out and for some strange reason, it
>never made it's way home.

Bummer. Voobner has finally talked me into getting my ticket, so I'm
going to start picking up some HF equipment. I remember some time back
you saying something about a closet full of amps so I thought I would
ask.

>OT. I still say Bush is making a HUGE mistake by not announcing some sort of
>exit plan.
> If the Republican party wants another Man in the office,
>he'd better get a plan going. The more of our people that
>die over there, is just another nail in the coffin for a
>Republican candidate to take office in 08.

I'm not so sure he really wants to exit Iraq. Either way, I think his
biggest mistake right now is the inaguration hoopla -- do we really
need NINE official balls while our troops are getting -their- balls
blown off daily in Iraq? Bad form.

As far as 08, I always liked Lamar Alexander. But if McCain gets the
Republican nod I might just vote for him instead of a third party.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1314. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 08:48:31 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106210911.072227.58080@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power,alt.flame.jews,alt.personals.gay

Triple 1 wrote:
> Only YOU are the ONE that is interested in man on man sex.
> No one else has even asked you for man on man sex.
>
> Take your mess back to your own newsgroup and Quit cross-posting to
this NG!
>
> You don't OWN me, NOR,
> Do you CONTROL me or Anyone Else on this here Newsgroup!
>
> So, ya better take yo retarded ass on somewhere!
>
> Ain't nobody gonna listen to you, anyway......so you can post all you
want,
> but we're just gonna delete ya and forget ya - as if you were never
there!
>
> This is a CB radio newsgroup -- not a porno group!!
>
> There are users here that have been monitoring your activity as they
have
> elsewhere.
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:41ec0d87$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> > Hey triple 1.....Stay the fuck out of the CB group, you stupid
fucking
> > hammie.
> > Unless you're interested in some hot man on man sex, stay the fuck
out of
> > our group!
> > "Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:41ebff45$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> > > Amateur radio is only dying in how only YOU view Amateur radio.
> > >
> > > Amateur radio is alive and well.
> > >
> > > Listen more on the HF especially where the Tsunami hit.
> > >
> > > Amateur radio is being used as I type this message.
> > >
> > > I have a station and I enjoy talking to those who want to talk.
> > >

> > > The only shmuck that YOU refer to -- is yourself while only you
are
> > > calling
> > > others shmuck.
> > > (now read this carefully before flaming. It is not me that is
calling
> you
> > > that name. It is a case in point. i.e. "The pot calling the
kettle
> > > whatever
> > > color!" so don't go flaming now.)
> > >
> > > I'm glad that there is content control on the Amateur bands.
> > >
> > > You need to get out more and quit bickering about small issues!
> > >
> > > Not everyone wants to listen to a "bleeding-heart liberal"!
> > >
> > > If you don't like the content --- DO something else besides
crying about
> > > it.
> > >
> > > There is an on/off switch on these radios!
> > >
> > > And why is Amateur radio being hated on in a cb radio newsgroup?
> > >
> > > (Why is even the Amateur radio topic being discussed in the
rec.radio.cb
> > > newsgroup)
> > >
> > > And why are pics of risque material being posted?
> > >
> > > There are other groups that these topics can be posted in.
> >
> >

> -
> > > ---
> > > It is simply the fact that the individual/s have/has too much
time on
> > > their
> > > hands.
> > > It's a wonder they don't get caught at work.
> > > That's why some trace routes don't go through.
> > >
> > > I have had trace-routes done on me -- namely by : Eric Oyen,
N7ZZT, in
> > > Arizona.
> > >
> > > I only change my address and identity to cut down on unwanted

emails.
> > >
> > > There are no threats in my posts...
> > >
> > > Yet, my name is posted on this newsgroup in a list of "KeyQueens
run off
> > > this Newsgroup" by this Dougie character.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:41ebd5d6@news.usenetzone.com...
> > >>
> > >> "Todd Daugherty" <toddaug@consolidated.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:41eb613f$1_2@127.0.0.1...
> > >> > Content Control
> > >> > I had recently started broadcasting my N9OGL Show on 28.555
MHz at
> 9.00
> > > pm
> > >> > CST. On my first broadcast I talked about content control in
the
> > >> > amateur
> > >> > radio service. Amateur Radio is on the eve of dying; The
reason it's
> > > dying
> > >> > isn't because the lack of amateurs in the service. No the
reason it's
> > >> > dying
> > >> > is content control. Amateur radio is dying because amateur's
are
> under
> > > the
> > >> > belief that the FCC can control the content of an amateur
station. As
> a
> > >> > matter of fact Mr. Hollingsworth of the FCC wanted me to let
him know
> > > when
> > >> > I
> > >> > was going on the air so he QSL me. The QSL he's talking about
is in
> the
> > >> > form
> > >> > of a warning letter, a C&D, NOV, or a NOF. Section 326 of the
> > >> > Communication
> > >> > Act of 1934 is a statue that prohibits the FCC from
controlling the

> > >> > content
> > >> > of ANY radio stations. It also prohibits the FCC from creating
rules
> > >> > and
> > >> > regulations which would suppress free speech on the radio. The
FCC of
> > >> > course
> > >> > under other rules and regulations can regulate obscene and
indecent
> > >> > material. Now there are many out there including the ARRL who
believe
> > > that
> > >> > this rule doesn't apply to amateur radio. It of course is not
true,
> > >> > this
> > >> > statue along with all the other sections of the Communication
Act
> apply
> > > in
> > >> > one shape or another to amateur radio and the FCC. Let me
enlighten
> you
> > > on
> > >> > a
> > >> > little history that starts in the 1980's. In the 1980's and
1990's
> > > packet
> > >> > radio was a big thing. Let me tell you when I first got into
amateur
> > > radio
> > >> > I
> > >> > thought packet was neat. I mean I would stay up all night and
play on
> > >> > packet
> > >> > till the sun came up and then head off to work. This of course
was in
> > >> > 1991-1992 when I had first gotten my license. But, there was
one
> thing
> > >> > I
> > >> > noticed; I had gotten into the local BBS system to read the
latest
> > >> > messages
> > >> > and then I went through the network and got into the BBS over
in
> > > Australia
> > >> > and guess what? It was the same crap. Many people believe
think
> packet
> > >> > radio

> > >> > died from the speed in reality packet died because of the
content.
> The
> > >> > same
> > >> > will happen eventually to amateur radio. I mean who would
spend the
> > >> > time
> > >> > and
> > >> > money on getting a ham license when every thing a amateur
radio
> > >> > operator
> > >> > can
> > >> > do a person can do on the internet. I mean don't get me wrong
talking
> > > all
> > >> > over the world on the radio is neat and all; but you can also
do that
> > >> > on
> > >> > the
> > >> > internet. I mean would a average person spend the time and
money to
> get
> > > a
> > >> > ham license to talk on the radio to give their name, location,
power
> > >> > output,
> > >> > antenna height, and weather report to some smock over seas or
get on
> > >> > the
> > >> > internet where content control isn't a problem. I guess what
I'm
> > >> > getting
> > >> > at
> > >> > is for amateur radio to really grow amateurs must get rid of
this
> idea
> > > of
> > >> > content control. Because what's really going to happen is
their going
> > >> > to
> > >> > loose to technologies which don't have that restriction. For
Amateur
> > > radio
> > >> > to grow, Amateur's are going to have to adapt to new idea's.
The
> > >> > problem
> > >> > is
> > >> > the ARRL, their members and the FCC which tries to suppress or
> control
> > > the

> > >> > content. So I'm broadcasting in an amateur band at night when
it's
> not
> > > in
> > >> > use. Big fricking deal at lease I using it. I mean so what if
> > > broadcasting
> > >> > information to the amateur radio community which may be a
small value
> > >> > to
> > >> > the
> > >> > Amateurs. So what if I'm on there for a hour and half voice my
> opinion
> > >> > about
> > >> > problems and issues in amateur radio. At lease I have a
opinion and
> I'm
> > >> > not
> > >> > some drone of the ARRL who believes everything the ARRL says.
That's
> > >> > the
> > >> > reason I'm broadcasting to voice my opinion's about issues in
amateur
> > >> > radio,
> > >> > to let people hear what I'm thinking instead of that idle
dribble we
> > > call
> > >> > ham radio. I'm a strong believer in free speech and I believe
there
> are
> > >> > problems in amateur radio and feel my voice needs to be heard.
> > >> > Todd N9OGL
> > >>
> > >> SEE ASSHOLES.......I'M NOT THE ONLY GUY THAT LOVE TO SUCK NIGGER
COCK!
> > >>
> > >>

Hey Triple.....You know how much you and I love cock.
If I let you fuck me up the ass would you give me a reach around?

1315. triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 08:57:45 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106211465.669297.114010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194955
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

me and my new boyfriend (triple) are thinking of putting a web-cam in
our bed room. would anybody care to watch us having extra hot
mano-to-mano sex?

if enough want it we'll post the url here.

1316. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 09:59:32 UTC
From: 43PC122 <w43pc122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ef8105_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 194956
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

G'day Trev, from what I've seen on the net , they're going 2nd hand in the US for around 50 - 60 US Dollars, there's one on ebay too, in the US, link below, I personally don't know what they perform like, I have had other Realistic products and put it this way, you only get what you pay for, and sometimes not even that lol, I agree with DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK posting on the ICOM 706MKIIG except for the price difference, new from Andrews Communications is $1399.00 AUD, and they still go for around $1200 on ebay at end of bidding, bloody good radio though I've had mine for about 4 years now and very happy with it, choosing a radio depends on what your are using it for and how often, and ofcourse the bloody bank account always plays a big part in the decision lol , Cheers for now, Warren 43PC122

http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dllViewItem&item=5744479436&category=4674&sspagename=rvi:1:3

http://www.andrewscom.com.au/site-content-section-01-icom.htm

http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=5745614922&rd=1

"Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
> I'm thinking of buying one.
> Cheers
>
>

1317. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 11:00:11 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%aMHd.125953$K7.83598@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 194957
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

Cheers Warren, the IC706 is about $1000 dearer, that would take a lot or performance boost to match the price difference. I might pay a couple of hundred for the HTX100 as its a 30 watt unit by the looks.

"43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41ef8105_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> G'day Trev, from what I've seen on the net , they're going 2nd hand in the
> US for around 50 - 60 US Dollars, there's one on ebay too, in the US, link
> below, I personally don't know what they perform like, I have had other
> Realistic products and put it this way, you only get what you pay for, and
> sometimes not even that lol, I agree with DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK posting on
> the
> ICOM 706MKIIG except for the price difference, new from Andrews
> Communications is $1399.00 AUD, and they still go for around $1200 on ebay
> at end of bidding, bloody good radio though I've had mine for about 4
> years
> now and very happy with it, choosing a radio depends on what your are
> using
> it for and how often, and ofcourse the bloody bank account always plays a
> big part in the decision lol , Cheers for now, Warren 43PC122
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dllViewItem&item=5744479436&category=467
> 4&sspagename=rvi:1:3
>
> http://www.andrewscom.com.au/site-content-section-01-icom.htm
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57456149
> 22&rd=1
>
>
>
>
> "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
>> I'm thinking of buying one.
>> Cheers
>>
>>
>
>

1318. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 11:24:53 UTC
From: 43PC122 <w43pc122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41ef9505$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 194958
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

Ok Trev, yep big price diff but Icom is Hf,VHf, and UHf all in one and 100 W on Hf, anyway her's a bit of info on the HTX 100 links below, Cheers, Warren

http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/htx100_spec.htm

http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/

"Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:%aMHd.125953$K7.83598@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Cheers Warren, the IC706 is about $1000 dearer, that would take a lot or
> performance boost to match the price difference. I might pay a couple of
> hundred for the HTX100 as its a 30 watt unit by the looks.
>
> "43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:41ef8105_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > G'day Trev, from what I've seen on the net , they're going 2nd hand in the
> > US for around 50 - 60 US Dollars, there's one on ebay too, in the US, link
> > below, I personally don't know what they perform like, I have had other
> > Realistic products and put it this way, you only get what you pay for, and
> > sometimes not even that lol, I agree with DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK posting on
> > the
> > ICOM 706MKIIG except for the price difference, new from Andrews
> > Communications is $1399.00 AUD, and they still go for around $1200 on ebay
> > at end of bidding, bloody good radio though I've had mine for about 4
> > years
> > now and very happy with it, choosing a radio depends on what your are
> > using
> > it for and how often, and ofcourse the bloody bank account always plays a
> > big part in the decision lol , Cheers for now, Warren 43PC122
> >
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dllViewItem&item=5744479436&category=467
> > 4&sspagename=rvi:1:3
> >
> > http://www.andrewscom.com.au/site-content-section-01-icom.htm
> >
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57456149
> > 22&rd=1

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> >> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
> >> I'm thinking of buying one.
> >> Cheers
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> >
>

1319. So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 12:15:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cd4vu01cmk11etnpb56bgta8urj2e4lsu7@4ax.com>
Source record: 194960

Nearly three years after the invasion, US troops in Iraq finally have fully armored vehicles. Yet despite this long overdue protection, 37 more American troops have been killed and 127 more wounded so far this month. Since the war began, 1370 US troops have been killed and 10371 wounded; of those casualties, 1231 were killed and 9829 were wounded since Bush declared the war over on May 1, 2003.

In one of several one-on-one network news interviews conducted just prior to the inaugural celebrations, Bush stated that elections in Iraq will be a success because the Iraqi people "want to vote" even if their fears from escalating insurgent attacks keep many of them away from the polls.

The inaugural celebrations are scheduled to include 20 balls over a 4-day period at a cost of over 40 million dollars, which is 5 million more than Bush first offered in aid for the tsunami disaster. In addition to those expenses, "the Bush administration is refusing to reimburse the District [of Columbia] for most of the costs associated with next week's inauguration....forcing the city to divert $11.9 million from homeland security projects."

In Ohio, Secretary-of-State Blackwell, administrator of the election and co-chair of Ohio's Bush-Cheney campaign, publically backed away from a fundraising letter he sent to corporate Bush contributors, a practice which is illegal in Ohio. In the letter Blackwell pats himself on the back for delivering Ohio's electoral votes to Bush.

Have a nice day and enjoy the festivities!

1320. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 12:21:16 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1106223676.213144.300070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 194961
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

It's a shame these people are unemployable... it would give them
something other to do than writing this nonsense.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

Steveo wrote:
> me and my new boyfriend (triple) are thinking of putting a web-cam in
> our bed room. would anybody care to watch us having extra hot
> mano-to-mano sex?
>
> if enough want it we'll post the url here.

1321. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 13:31:43 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <csobrs$26t3@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 194962

Trev 999 wrote:
> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
> I'm thinking of buying one.
> Cheers

I have a HTX-100 and like it. It's not without it's limitations, but then no rig is. I haven't seen any lately, but they seem to hold a value of about $100 to $125, in pretty good condition.

You should know that a stock HTX-100 is USB/CW only. Someone else mentioned the HTX-10. That version eliminated CW but added LSB and AM. There are mods on the internet to expand the frequency coverge, enhance the audio, change the sideband, etc.. Operationally, a lot depends on the band conditions. During the last good propogation cycle, I had my HTX-100 in my car and ran a small 3 foot mag mount on the trunk. From Phoenix I could hit the mid west and the east coast, every day. I removed it because it's too distracting. At home I run it from a homebrew power supply and feed my tribander, and it works great.

Probably the biggest complaint I have heard about it is the audio is a little lacking, but that's fixable.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.150m.com

1322. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 14:05:44 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41efbac8.60829031@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 194963

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 07:31:24 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
>news:cd4vu01cmk11etnpb56bgta8urj2e4lsu7@4ax.com:
>
>>
>> Nearly three years after the invasion, US troops in Iraq finally have
>> fully armored vehicles. Yet despite this long overdue protection, 37
>> more American troops have been killed and 127 more wounded so far this
>> month. Since the war began, 1370 US troops have been killed and 10371
>> wounded; of those casualties, 1231 were killed and 9829 were wounded
>> since Bush declared the war over on May 1, 2003.
>>
>> In one of several one-on-one network news interviews conducted just
>> prior to the inaugural celebrations, Bush stated that elections in
>> Iraq will be a success because the Iraqi people "want to vote" even if
>> their fears from escalating insurgent attacks keep many of them away
>> from the polls.
>>
>> The inaugural celebrations are scheduled to include 20 balls over a
>> 4-day period at a cost of over 40 million dollars, which is 5 million
>> more than Bush first offered in aid for the tsunami disaster. In
>> addition to those expenses, "the Bush administration is refusing to
>> reimburse the District [of Columbia] for most of the costs associated
>> with next week's inauguration....forcing the city to divert $11.9
>> million from homeland security projects."
>>
>> In Ohio, Secretary-of-State Blackwell, administrator of the election
>> and co-chair of Ohio's Bush-Cheney campaign, publically backed away
>> from a fundraising letter he sent to corporate Bush contributors, a
>> practice which is illegal in Ohio. In the letter Blackwell pats
>> himself on the back for delivering Ohio's electoral votes to Bush.
>>
>>
>> Have a nice day and enjoy the festivities!
>
>WWWWWAAAAAAA wwwwaaa Get over it Frank, they swear him into today. Yes 4
>more years

Have you seen this yet?

http://www.jibjab.com/lowband/default.htm

I thought it was pretty funny...

1323. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 14:08:02 UTC
From: Pierre <rainsford@ihug.com.au>
Message-ID: <cso71pflu1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 194959
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb

I started on HF with an HTX-100 and had a ball. It was relatively cheap and a good place to start without breaking the bank. Since then, I have moved on to an all band 100 watter but still regard the HTX-100 as one that provided a lot of fun.

It is nice to have a new BMW but an old HQ still gets you there and a lot lower cost.

Peter
"43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41ef9505$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> Ok Trev, yep big price diff but Icom is Hf,VHf, and UHf all in one and 100
W
> on Hf, anyway her's a bit of info on the HTX 100 links below, Cheers,
Warren
>
> http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/htx100_spec.htm
>
> http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:%aMHd.125953$K7.83598@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > Cheers Warren, the IC706 is about $1000 dearer, that would take a lot or
> > performance boost to match the price difference. I might pay a couple of
> > hundred for the HTX100 as its a 30 watt unit by the looks.
> >
> > "43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:41ef8105_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > > G'day Trev, from what I've seen on the net , they're going 2nd hand in
> the
> > > US for around 50 - 60 US Dollars, there's one on ebay too, in the US,
> link
> > > below, I personally don't know what they perform like, I have had
other
> > > Realistic products and put it this way, you only get what you pay for,
> and
> > > sometimes not even that lol, I agree with DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK posting
on

> > > the
> > > ICOM 706MKIIG except for the price difference, new from Andrews
> > > Communications is $1399.00 AUD, and they still go for around $1200 on
> ebay
> > > at end of bidding, bloody good radio though I've had mine for about 4
> > > years
> > > now and very happy with it, choosing a radio depends on what your are
> > > using
> > > it for and how often, and ofcourse the bloody bank account always
plays
> a
> > > big part in the decision lol , Cheers for now, Warren 43PC122
> > >
> > >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dllViewItem&item=5744479436&category=467
> > > 4&sspagename=rvi:1:3
> > >
> > > http://www.andrewscom.com.au/site-content-section-01-icom.htm
> > >
> > >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57456149
> > > 22&rd=1
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > >> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
> > >> I'm thinking of buying one.
> > >> Cheers
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1324. Re: Roger Beeps 100% LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 14:50:52 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <gzPHd.3805$8Z1.2620@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 194964

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:jomuu0hq6lihf3ilf757beo7p1cekahl6s@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 06:00:16 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <QNHHd.12750$wZ2.1954@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>> Thank you, Shark. BTW, do you still have that Palomar amp?
>>
>>Which one? the TX800? or the newer version 500?
>>It doesn't matter, NO. I gave the TX to a very good
>>friend that moved back east a couple years ago.
>>The 500 got loaned out and for some strange reason, it
>>never made it's way home.
>
>
> Bummer. Voobner has finally talked me into getting my ticket, so I'm
> going to start picking up some HF equipment. I remember some time back
> you saying something about a closet full of amps so I thought I would
> ask.

What size are you looking for? I do have a bunch that
aren't being used (Not much room for one in an Early
Bronco). I'd throw it down for cheap, no cost up front,
you make sure it's ok for you first, pay later.

>
>>OT. I still say Bush is making a HUGE mistake by not announcing some sort
>>of
>>exit plan.
>> If the Republican party wants another Man in the office,
>>he'd better get a plan going. The more of our people that
>>die over there, is just another nail in the coffin for a
>>Republican candidate to take office in 08.
>
>
> I'm not so sure he really wants to exit Iraq. Either way, I think his
> biggest mistake right now is the inaguration hoopla -- do we really
> need NINE official balls while our troops are getting -their- balls
> blown off daily in Iraq? Bad form.

Agreed. You would think in war time he would show a
little common sense. If we were actually winning in Iraq,
I could understand, but that is not the case.
Again, politics have replaced common military sense
in a conflict abroad.

>
> As far as 08, I always liked Lamar Alexander.

Not me, never liked him, not sure why, but just
don't trust him (Like I should really trust a politician)

> But if McCain gets the
> Republican nod I might just vote for him instead of a third party.

The thing about McCain is that he's speaks his mind. In
politics that is not always a wise thing to do.

I'm going to wait and see who throw's their hat into
the arena.

Landshark

--



1325. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 15:11:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28054-41EFCA1B-85@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194965

Excellent piece, Frank. St. Pete Times ran another by Dante Zappala, detailing the death of his brother, Sgt. Sherwood Baker.

Our Soldiers are dying and we're to blame

>by Dante Zappala

Last week, the White House announced, with little fanfare, that the two-year search for weapons of mass destruction in Iraq had finally ended, and it acknowledged that no such weapons existed there at the time of the US invasion. For many, this may be a story of only passing interest. But for me and y ily, it resonates with profund depth.

My brother was Sgt. Sherwood Baker. He was was a member of the Pennsylvania National Guard deployed a year ago with his unit out of Wilkes-Barre. He said goodbye to his wife and his 9 year old son, boarded a bus and went to Fort Dix, Nj., to be hastily retrained. His seven years of Guard as a forward observer, was practicall worthless because he would not face combat. All he needed to do was learn how to not die. He received a crash course in convoy security running over cardboard cutouts of children. We bought him a GPS unit and Walkie-Talkie because he wasn't supplied with them.

In Iraq, he was assigned to the Iraq Survey Group searching for wmds. David Kay, who led the group until January 2004, already stated they did not exist. Hans Blix expressed serious doubts about their presence in pre-war inspections. A cadre of US generals and UN inspectors had been saying for years Iraq posed no threat to the US.

On April 26, 2004, the Iraq Survey Group, at the behest of the stubborn administration sitting safely in office buildings in Washington, was still on its fruitless but dangerous search. My brother stood atop his Humvee, securing the permiter in front of a suspect building in Baghdad. But as soldiers entered the building, it exploded. Sherwood was struck by debris in the back of his head and neck and was killed.

SInce that day, my family and I have lived with the grief of losing an loved one. We have strggled to explain his death to his son. We have gazed at the shards of life scattered at our feet, in wonder of its fragility, in perpetual catharsis with God. I have moved from frustration to disappointment to anger. And now I have arrived at a place not of understanding but of hope----blind hope that this will change.

The Iraq Survey Group's final report, which was filed in October but revealed only last week, confirmed what we knew all along. And as my mother cried in the kitchen, the nation barely blinked. I am left with a

single word seared into my consciousness: accountability. The chance to hold our adminstration's feet to that flame has passed. But what of our citizenry? We are the ones who truly failed. We shut down our ability to think critically, to listen, to converse and to act. We are to blame. Even with every prewar assumption having been proved false, today more than 130,000 US soldiers are trying to stay alive in a foreign desert with no clear mission at hand.

At home the sidelines are overcrowded with patriots. These Americans cower from the fight they insitigated in Iraq. In a time of war and record budget deficits, many are loathe to even pay their taxes. It is not their family members who are at risk, and they do not sit up at night pleading with fate to spare them.

Change is vital. We must remind ourselves that the war with Iraq was not a mistake but rather a flagrant abuse of power by our leaders---and a case of shameful negligence by the rest of us for letting it happen. The consequence is more than a quagmire. The consequence is the death of our national treasure--our soldiers.

We are all accountable. We all share the responsibility of what has been destroyed in our name. Let us begin to right the wrongs we have done to our country by accepting that responsibility.

1326. Re: FS Johnson Thunderbolt Linear Amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 15:14:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-41EFCAF0-219@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194966

From: z@nospam.com (see message for address)
For Sale a Johnson Thunderbolt linear amplifier. Has two 4-400A tubes
which will generate huge amounts of power from 3.5 to 30 mHz. with only
a few watts of drive on both AM and SSB throughout this range. In good
electrical and fair physical condition, not tested, but apparently
complete. Asking $800 + shipping for this unique and rare linear
amplifier. Please e-mail radiodon (at) comcast (dot) net for details and
pictures. Thanks!
-
I've heard that (type) linear on the air and it sounded great, but 800
bucks untested "as is" seems a bit steep for something that may or may
not work.

1327. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 15:22:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194968

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:15:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <12354-41EE87A6-21@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>:
From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>: Frank, what is
your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake, sorry SSB
-
(I see you found my email. Excuse my
grouchiness but I've been drinking and
listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a
Sony amp. That being said.....)

_
Didn't the Bee Gees actually ROCK back in the late sixties and early
70s?

>Absolutely! Have you checked out some of

>their more recent work? Sometimes a little

>retro but with the same unique 'sound'.

No, but I will since you mentioned it. Last thing I heard concerning the
group was Maurice dying. I remember hearing some of their pre-disco
stuff back in the day, like on the King Biscuit Flower Hour on Sunday
nights and Don Kirschner's (sp?) Rock Concert on Saturday night. I
remember it because when the soundtrack came out for Saturday Night
Fever, I thought it was pure shit compared to what I originally heard
from the group. Then I found out they wrote the soundtrack tunes without
having any idea what the movie was about.

1328. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 15:27:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-41EFCDFE-221@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194967

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
>What's the spread?

As of yesterday, it was 3.

>Tough call..

Some interesting things to note. Despite the way it may appear, the Steeler defense did not permit a single touchdown by the Jets and the Jets' scores all came on Steeler errors, the same manner in which the Steelers won the game. Each capitalized on the other team's mistakes, but the #1 defense in the NFL remains intact.

1329. Re: How would you improve your NFL team

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 15:35:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-41EFCFCA-222@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194969

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in
news:12353-41EE7E08-53@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

Your deficit, Dave, is hurting you. Pay attention: "Is known" by the pros, Dave. How does one get known, grasshoppah? By their history. Historically, Pittsburgh is known for not covering the spread. Citing the single exception (this year) to the rule is nice, but has nothing to do with the pros picks and how the team is viewed in such circles. Now pick yourself up and cscrape yourself off from my shoe.

>How many years do you want to go back

>twsity??

Apparently, back before your recent attempts at reading the sheets.

>your getting deeper and deeper

>The Pittsburgh Steelers are 29-20 ATS since

>2000.

Now check the spread vs. the odds and compare it to ANY other team. THAT is how one reads their record concerning the spread, but then again, if you knew what you were speaking, you would have cited the source instead of an outsider's interpretation.

> Not counting 2004

>http://sportspic.vegasexperts.com/articles.asp

>?aid=509

>As a road favorite of a TD or less, Pittsburgh

>is a very impressive 6-1-2 ATS in their last

>nine contests, including a 4-0-1 mark in their

>last five games... additionally, the Steelers are
>working on a perfect 11-0 ATS mark when

>favored by 7.5 points or less over the last two

>seasons... in other words, Pittsburgh takes

>care of business when the expected outcome

>is close...

You really have no concept of what the spread entails and I am weary of teaching and correcting you this week.

>PIT is 7-7 ATS over the last 10 years (L10Y)

>in playoff games

Which is meaningless. Take in to consideration
all their games in the last ten years and you may find the zen which escapes you.

1330. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 15:44:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-41EFD1DB-224@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194970

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 10:57:49 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
N3CVJ wrote:
>That was back when you were on my side,

>before you found out that I'm one of those

>"evil" capitalist loving conservatives who still

>believes in personal responsibility.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Your "side" indicates an intentional adversarial position. Everything
isn't right or wrong and this side or that side of an issue...seeing
everything as black and white is myopic. Stop looking for "sides" in
which to take and stand on your own feet....for once.

>I'm not the one displaying binary thinking.

Your "side" indicates an intentional adversarial position.

>I never claimed that issues are either ones or

>zeroes.

Step away from your spaceship.

> But there is an overall difference in

>philosophy between one "side" and the other,

>a cumulative sum of all the individual "issues".

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

Nevertheless, calling it "your" side indicates your predisposition to an
intentional adversarial position. This is not a desirable trait and
prevents you from displaying competent communicative technique.

1331. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:01:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-41EFD5E1-226@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194971

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 10:40:59 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 10:45:46 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
Not "one" only *you* have to wonder..the rest of the hammies in the
world already know the answer to this. You (and your sock puppet) are
the only one crying about a roger beep being illegal.

>I have no sock puppet.

Allow me to place that in context. You also made the false claim you
have only accessed this group through the same provider when it was
shown you have accessed this group with no less than a dozen different
usenet services.

>Shown by whom? Since that statement is a

>bold faced lie, I would love to see any "proof"

>you might have.

Already shown...but, just for the record, are you once again claiming
you have only accessed the group via the same path, except for the
"borrowed" Villanova account?

> Bust just like that military newsgroup that you

>stuck your foot in your mouth over with Frank,

I have to agree with Shark on this one,,,lately, Frank has been dead on
in his posts, void of emotion. You'd do well to follow such an example.
Kerri the funny fin disappeared. Yrac was outed. Jerry went off the deep
end. Frank and I took it to email. You always have the same option
concerning your personal qualms, as the group is not interested in what
you or Doug think concerning our lives outside this group. After all,
you can delude yourself and make all the comments you wish pretending
you and Dogie compromise some sort of contingency around here, but the
facts remain you are wound tighter than a spring and your emotions lead
you to making stupid personal off-topic comments that always bite you in
your ass. Now that the skip is waning a bit, you may find yourself a tad
more displeased with yourself than usual, as you will have to conjure up
other scenarios in which to justify your personal attacks once I am no
longer disregarding the dx rule.

>I'm sure there will be none forthcoming.

>I have had two, count 'em (2) isp's in the last

>10 years. The first was Worldlynx networks,

>and my current is penn tele data.

You screwed up, Dave. You have comcast...for now. Color it gone.

>I do not have Comcast. I do not live on a

>Comcast system. That's WHY I have ptd.net.

>You won't find competing isp's on the same

>cable system.

Ah,,the desperate attempt to obfuscate. Too bad, comcast most certainly services your area.

>YOU are a liar in the first degree.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cv

You have comcast in addition to whatever else.

1332. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:07:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-41EFD73F-227@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194975

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:15309-41ED2F2A-350
@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
But it's evidently not clear to most radio manufacturers since, with the
exception of the Galaxy (Galaxy also has a reputation for
pushing the limits of the law) radio, no other domestic type
accepted/approved CB radio
has an ETS as standard equipment.

_
>One has

>to wonder why that is, if they are clearly legal.

Not "one" only *you* have to wonder..the rest of the hammies in the
world already know the answer to this. You (and your sock puppet) are
the only one crying about a roger beep being illegal.

>I am not the only one and you know it.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Yes, Dave, you *ARE* the only one (hammie) that has said roger beeps are
illegal. By all means, Dave, if you can cite a single other hammie
agreeing with you on this topic, cite his call so we can all "know" what
only you claim.

1333. Re: Roger Beeps 100% LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:18:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0fmvu013bl14f8nnr1bpulpepnllvcnqc5@4ax.com>
Source record: 194973

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 14:50:52 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <gzPHd.3805$8Z1.2620@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:jomuu0hq6lihf3ilf757beo7p1cekahl6s@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 06:00:16 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote in <QNHHd.12750$wZ2.1954@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>> Thank you, Shark. BTW, do you still have that Palomar amp?
>>>
>>>Which one? the TX800? or the newer version 500?
>>>It doesn't matter, NO. I gave the TX to a very good
>>>friend that moved back east a couple years ago.
>>>The 500 got loaned out and for some strange reason, it
>>>never made it's way home.
>>
>>
>> Bummer. Voobner has finally talked me into getting my ticket, so I'm
>> going to start picking up some HF equipment. I remember some time back
>> you saying something about a closet full of amps so I thought I would
>> ask.
>
> What size are you looking for? I do have a bunch that
>aren't being used (Not much room for one in an Early
>Bronco). I'd throw it down for cheap, no cost up front,
>you make sure it's ok for you first, pay later.

Hard to beat a deal like that. I would be interested in anything that
will work down to 160m. Feel free to email me.

>>
>>>OT. I still say Bush is making a HUGE mistake by not announcing some sort
>>>of
>>>exit plan.
>>> If the Republican party wants another Man in the office,
>>>he'd better get a plan going. The more of our people that
>>>die over there, is just another nail in the coffin for a
>>>Republican candidate to take office in 08.
>>
>>
>> I'm not so sure he really wants to exit Iraq. Either way, I think his
>> biggest mistake right now is the inaguration hoopla -- do we really
>> need NINE official balls while our troops are getting -their- balls

>> blown off daily in Iraq? Bad form.
>
>Agreed. You would think in war time he would show a
>little common sense.

And a little more dignity.

> If we were actually winning in Iraq,
>I could understand, but that is not the case.
> Again, politics have replaced common military sense
>in a conflict abroad.
>
>>
>> As far as 08, I always liked Lamar Alexander.
>
>Not me, never liked him, not sure why, but just
>don't trust him (Like I should really trust a politician)

That's fine, I'm not sure why I like him.

>> But if McCain gets the
>> Republican nod I might just vote for him instead of a third party.
>
>The thing about McCain is that he's speaks his mind. In
>politics that is not always a wise thing to do.

But he doesn't always speak his mind. You can bet that he has a lot of
things he wants to say but doesn't.

> I'm going to wait and see who throw's their hat into
>the arena.

That would probably be the best thing to do at this point.

1334. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:18:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8fmvu0t5r1q67ge8vbrf133rd6j83249j0@4ax.com>
Source record: 194972

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 10:22:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:

>From: wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net (Frankï¿½Gilliland)
>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:15:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote in <12354-41EE87A6-21@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>:
>From: wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net (Frankï¿½Gilliland)
>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
>in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>: Frank, what is
>your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake, sorry SSB
>-
>(I see you found my email. Excuse my
>grouchiness but I've been drinking and
>listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a
>Sony amp. That being said.....)
>
>_
>Didn't the Bee Gees actually ROCK back in the late sixties and early
>70s?
>
>>Absolutely! Have you checked out some of
>
>>their more recent work? Sometimes a little
>
>>retro but with the same unique 'sound'.
>
>
>No, but I will since you mentioned it. Last thing I heard concerning the
>group was Maurice dying. I remember hearing some of their pre-disco
>stuff back in the day, like on the King Biscuit Flower Hour on Sunday
>nights and Don Kirschner's (sp?) Rock Concert on Saturday night. I
>remember it because when the soundtrack came out for Saturday Night
>Fever, I thought it was pure shit compared to what I originally heard
>from the group. Then I found out they wrote the soundtrack tunes without
>having any idea what the movie was about.

Check out the tune, "This is where I came in". Recorded in 2000. I
think you'll like it.

1335. OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:19:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28054-41EFDA20-87@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194977

Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker

Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine

Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia

Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota

Sen John McCain, R-Arizona

.and the list goes on....

1336. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:20:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <jgmvu0dsqec804snk45juanjnp64ln8qsk@4ax.com>
Source record: 194974

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 10:22:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:

<snip>
>... I thought it was pure shit

Speaking of shitty music, turn on the TV and listen to the song
written by Ashcroft..... blech!!!

1337. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:21:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28053-41EFDA6D-231@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 194980

Will do.

1338. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:24:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0mmvu0pbhnan1cqtf4mr3m5m7mdi1hstis@4ax.com>
Source record: 194976

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:01:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <28053-41EFD5E1-226@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:

<snip>
>> Bust just like that military newsgroup that you
>
>>stuck your foot in your mouth over with Frank,
>
>
>I have to agree with Shark on this one,,

Notice how lately he invokes my name in his replies to you, and you in
his replies to me. LOL!

1339. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:34:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3fmvu01ktv5p0pj5t39irkmjnoktncojn8@4ax.com>
Source record: 194978

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:01:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 10:40:59 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Tue, 18 Jan 2005 10:45:46 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>Not "one" only *you* have to wonder..the rest of the hammies in the
>world already know the answer to this. You (and your sock puppet) are
>the only one crying about a roger beep being illegal.
>
>>I have no sock puppet.
>
>Allow me to place that in context. You also made the false claim you
>have only accessed this group through the same provider when it was
>shown you have accessed this group with no less than a dozen different
>usenet services.
>
>>Shown by whom? Since that statement is a
>
>>bold faced lie, I would love to see any "proof"
>
>>you might have.
>
>
>Already shown.

When? How?

>..but, just for the record, are you once again claiming
>you have only accessed the group via the same path, except for the
>"borrowed" Villanova account?

I am stating that with the exception of that short time that I
"borrowed" that account from Villanova, I have had 2 isp's. That's it.
I have never lost an isp for any reason. I canceled Worldlynx when I
upgraded to broadband high speed cable modem service.

>> Bust just like that military newsgroup that you
>>stuck your foot in your mouth over with Frank,
>
>
>I have to agree with Shark on this one,,,lately, Frank has been dead on
>in his posts, void of emotion. You'd do well to follow such an example.

Follow? I set the example. My posts have no emotional content at all. They are pure and simple logic based on either facts or empirical observation. Something you seem unable to comprehend.

>Kerri the funny fin disappeared. Yrac was outed. Jerry went off the deep
>end. Frank and I took it to email. You always have the same option
>concerning your personal qualms, as the group is not interested in what
>you or Doug think concerning our lives outside this group. After all,
>you can delude yourself and make all the comments you wish pretending
>you and Dogie compromise some sort of contingency around here, but the
>facts remain you are wound tighter than a spring and your emotions lead
>you to making stupid personal off-topic comments that always bite you in
>your ass. Now that the skip is waning a bit, you may find yourself a tad
>more displeased with yourself than usual, as you will have to conjure up
>other scenarios in which to justify your personal attacks once I am no
>longer disregarding the dx rule.

The act of ignoring federal law is one of personal conviction. It's hard to justify the action without getting personal. Sorry if the reflection you are forced to see in the mirror displeases you.

Oh and you've stopped being a federal criminal? Wow! Break out the champaign and call Jerry Springer.....

>>I'm sure there will be none forthcoming.
>>I have had two, count 'em (2) isp's in the last
>>10 years. The first was Worldlynx networks,
>>and my current is penn tele data.

>You screwed up, Dave. You have comcast...for now. Color it gone.

>>I do not have Comcast. I do not live on a
>>Comcast system. That's WHY I have ptd.net.
>>You won't find competing isp's on the same
>>cable system.
>
>
>Ah,,the desperate attempt to obfuscate. Too bad, comcast most certainly
>services your area.

My area? Now, be careful now, I'm about to ask one of those questions which you can't seem to understand the motivation for: Just what is your criteria for what determines "my area"? One mile radius? 10 mile? 30 mile?

I will tell you point blank that my cable system is NOT Comcast, and therefore they have no rights to offer cable modem service. Comcast cable modem service is only offered on Comcast cable systems.

>>YOU are a liar in the first degree.

> You have comcast in addition to whatever else.

Prove it.

Put up or crawl back under your rock.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1340. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:37:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7dnvu05f9srnc2g9sab1fo1uj27oiirepk@4ax.com>
Source record: 194979

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:15:11 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:ov3tu0lh6kl7tp69tfbuvl6avtd5mmnm5s@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 09:52:04 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>>Could not find them using the C2R prefix but there is a bunch. That
>>>>board
>>>>is used in a bunch of radios and has a PLL that does not like to be
>>>>modded,
>>>>I think that made the FCC happy. Iroic that there IS spots on the board
>>>>for
>>>>another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you can
>>>>have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!
>>>
>>>Correct. This was rather pricey. I think upwards of $60, but not sure. It
>>>was
>>>almost more economical to get a small amp.
>>
>> In cases like this, it's almost always better to get a small amp over
>> modifying a radio beyond its limits..
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>It's not really beyond the DX2547's limits. There's an ample power supply
>in there and the board is already punched, labled and wired for another
>final, just needs support components and biased up.
>
>But as stated earlier the gain in power is not really worth the hasle, and
>not enough to drive a high drive amp.
>
>More of a bragging right I guess.
>
>Btw, for the technician it is a wonderful radio to work on. The top and
>bottom comes off like most mobiles and it's wide enough to sit on it's side
>and work on both sides. Only have to clip 2 nylon ties holding the speaker
>cable in. There's plenty of room and the super razor sharp edges are kept
>to a minimum. I was pleasantly suprised when working on it, the manual is
>also very easy to understand and comprehensive.

You are doing a good job in selling the radio. I'm almost ready to go out and buy one just for the fun of it. But I'll disconnect the Roger Beep.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1341. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:51:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8lnvu09na0hqquq4d3mqcci2uc0ha8nts5@4ax.com>
Source record: 194981

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:15:11 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:49:28 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <oo3tu0dp5ole5qonggp67gcv7j509j7ddc@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 03:58:53 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 06:48:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <8vhsu0d6msjkm22sqe4a06mg3qiona8r22@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:30:31 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> You should thank Chad, and yes it is there , but using your excuse the
>>>>>> FCC agent who certifys radios fucked up and let this one slip by, it also
>>>>>> has adjustable rf power which again is not allowed as per fcc rule.
>>>>>
>>>>>Which Part 95 CB rule disallows adjustable RF power?
>>>>>
>>>>>I would think that if the radio was only capable of 4W RMS AM Carrier /
>>>>>12W SSB PEP at the MAX power setting, and was adjustable downward, it
>>>>>wouldn't be that big of a deal, nor would it make the radio "illegal" or
>>>>>uncertifiable...
>>>>>
>>>>>Educate me.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I can't find any reference to a specific rule that either allows or
>>>>prohibits adjustable power.
>>>>
>>>>On the one hand, if it were legal......
>>>
>>>
>>>Oh brother. Once again you demonstrate your attitude that you are
>>>willing to convict based on an absence of evidence.
>>
>>
>>It would be helpful for you to read my entire point before snipping
>>the parts that change the context. If you had, you would have seen
>>that I had "convicted" nothing. I was only bringing up two sides of

>>the issue.
>
>
>I did indeed read the entire post. I snipped it where I did because it
>was at that point where you presumed something that has yet to be
>proven. The rest of your point has been addressed in other posts.

Well, if you really want to get down to brass tacks, the only true "word" is that which comes from the FCC. Anything other than that is simply an exercise in speculation. But since we all seem to enjoy a certain degree of semantic posturing, I was offering up two sides of the variable power issue. One the one side, since the feature is not included on any CB radio other than the Galaxy, and also knowing how marketing people work, WRT hawking bells and whistles for "value added" profit, it stands to reason that this evidence stands as a testimony to the possibility that the feature is not legal.

One the other hand, since hand held radios often have hi/low power switches, that evidence can be offered as testimony that variable power is legal.

I made no "conviction" either way, I merely offered two opposing sides of an issue.

I would like to see the actual rule that specifies it.

>>You are adopting Twisty tactics.
>
>
>Not at all. Twisty's approach is psychological, something along the
>lines of how a cop or lawyer badgers a suspect until he slips up.

He's also deceptive by taking pieces of posts out of context thereby changing the meaning of them. Something you just did.

> My forte is logic.

As is mine.

> And because of those differences it should come as no
>suprise that my arguments with Twisty usually end in a stalemate since
>our respective methods are diametrically opposed in both concept and
>practice.

That and the fact that Twisty is sociopathic and merely seeks attention, and therefore approval, it should come as no surprise that he offers little of substance. Logic should have no trouble trouncing someone's pitiful call for attention.

>>You really are sore aren't you?
>
>
>I am really disappointed. For a person who otherwise demonstrates a
>higher-than-average intelligence and a sound grasp of logic, you just

>throw all that out the window when it comes to politics.

Not at all. I am just a staunch conservative and I strongly believe
that liberals and their philosophy has and will continue to ruin this
country and all that it used to be.

I can offer many logical points to back this up, but I'm guessing that
you wouldn't believe them anyway.

> What a waste.

Most blind partisanship is.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1342. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:52:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <u1ovu0lodadvccfetuo3cn39d2he33jkhl@4ax.com>
Source record: 194982

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 04:15:12 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

I really love this war. I love the fact all these young kids are getting killed.
I love the fact that these middle age reservists, are going financially broke at
home because of extended tours.. I love the fact that some of these soldiers who
were injured, are having their purple hearts revoked. I love the fact we can
spend in excess of 200 billion, so Iraqis can vote (or whatever soup du jour
reason we are in there), and when I reach my gov't minimum retirement age of 85,
to receive my social security $200 per month, mostly because I mis-invested it
most of it, I can say two things. At least Iraqis can vote and W has balls.

>
>Nearly three years after the invasion, US troops in Iraq finally have
>fully armored vehicles. Yet despite this long overdue protection, 37
>more American troops have been killed and 127 more wounded so far this
>month. Since the war began, 1370 US troops have been killed and 10371
>wounded; of those casualties, 1231 were killed and 9829 were wounded
>since Bush declared the war over on May 1, 2003.
>
>In one of several one-on-one network news interviews conducted just
>prior to the inaugural celebrations, Bush stated that elections in
>Iraq will be a success because the Iraqi people "want to vote" even if
>their fears from escalating insurgent attacks keep many of them away
>from the polls.
>
>The inaugural celebrations are scheduled to include 20 balls over a
>4-day period at a cost of over 40 million dollars, which is 5 million
>more than Bush first offered in aid for the tsunami disaster. In
>addition to those expenses, "the Bush administration is refusing to
>reimburse the District [of Columbia] for most of the costs associated
>with next week's inauguration....forcing the city to divert $11.9
>million from homeland security projects."
>
>In Ohio, Secretary-of-State Blackwell, administrator of the election
>and co-chair of Ohio's Bush-Cheney campaign, publically backed away
>from a fundraising letter he sent to corporate Bush contributors, a
>practice which is illegal in Ohio. In the letter Blackwell pats
>himself on the back for delivering Ohio's electoral votes to Bush.
>
>
>Have a nice day and enjoy the festivities!
>
>
>

Vinnie S.

1343. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:54:00 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mfovu0l9jlvr1v85ul1bj3aocgsdcj0ai1@4ax.com>
Source record: 194983

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:37:37 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>It's not really beyond the DX2547's limits. There's an ample power supply
>>in there and the board is already punched, labled and wired for another
>>final, just needs support components and biased up.
>>
>>But as stated earlier the gain in power is not really worth the hasle, and
>>not enough to drive a high drive amp.
>>
>>More of a bragging right I guess.
>>
>>Btw, for the technician it is a wonderful radio to work on. The top and
>>bottom comes off like most mobiles and it's wide enough to sit on it's side
>>and work on both sides. Only have to clip 2 nylon ties holding the speaker
>>cable in. There's plenty of room and the super razor sharp edges are kept
>>to a minimum. I was pleasantly suprised when working on it, the manual is
>>also very easy to understand and comprehensive.
>
>You are doing a good job in selling the radio. I'm almost ready to go
>out and buy one just for the fun of it. But I'll disconnect the Roger
>Beep.......

The 949 was great on AM. But drifted like an unanchored boat on sideband. I
needed to recenter the clarifier every 2 weeks.

Vinnie S.

1344. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 16:56:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <l8ovu0tofuvnka7smu1egkehf92p15tcod@4ax.com>
Source record: 194984

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:34:22 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <3fmvu01ktv5p0pj5t39irkmjnoktncojn8@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>I have to agree with Shark on this one,,,lately, Frank has been dead on
>>in his posts, void of emotion. You'd do well to follow such an example.
>
>Follow? I set the example. My posts have no emotional content at all.
>They are pure and simple logic based on either facts or empirical
>observation. Something you seem unable to comprehend.

What -you- can't comprehend is that it's not my delivery that's "void
of emotion", it's the logical content of my arguments and my ability
to present verifiable facts. You refuse to accept many of those facts
because of your emotionally based opinions.

<snip>
>My area? Now, be careful now, I'm about to ask one of those questions
>which you can't seem to understand the motivation for: Just what is
>your criteria for what determines "my area"? One mile radius? 10 mile?
>30 mile?

The State of Confusion.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1345. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 17:16:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rtovu0t5l8u0b2vaethvib2kipfpjnua54@4ax.com>
Source record: 194985

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:51:48 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <8lnvu09na0hqquq4d3mqcci2uc0ha8nts5@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>>You really are sore aren't you?
>>
>>
>>I am really disappointed. For a person who otherwise demonstrates a
>>higher-than-average intelligence and a sound grasp of logic, you just
>>throw all that out the window when it comes to politics.
>
>Not at all. I am just a staunch conservative and I strongly believe
>that liberals and their philosophy has and will continue to ruin this
>country and all that it used to be.

I'm not interested in your beliefs.

>I can offer many logical points to back this up, but I'm guessing that
>you wouldn't believe them anyway.

I don't have to believe squat to recognize a valid argument. Por
enjemplo:

Premise #1: The moon is copper.
Premise #2: Copper is squishy.
Conclusion: The moon is squishy.

That argument is perfectly valid despite what I believe. The trick is
that if you want a factual conclusion you need factual premises. I
will admit that you have consistently presented valid arguments, but
you have been severely lacking in factual premises.

Now if you think you can finally offer up some verifiable facts then
go for it.

>> What a waste.
>
>Most blind partisanship is.

Hence the reason I once encouraged you to read Plato's "Republic".

1346. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 17:32:50 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <csoq02$8g4$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194986

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:7dnvu05f9srnc2g9sab1fo1uj27oiirepk@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:15:11 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:ov3tu0lh6kl7tp69tfbuvl6avtd5mmnm5s@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 09:52:04 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>>Could not find them using the C2R prefix but there is a bunch. That
>>>>>>board
>>>>>>is used in a bunch of radios and has a PLL that does not like to be
>>>>>>modded,
>>>>>>I think that made the FCC happy. Iroic that there IS spots on the
>>>>>>board
>>>>>>for
>>>>>>another final and support components, a simple call to Galaxy and you
>>>>>>can
>>>>>>have a dual final radio in less than an hour. OOPS!!!!!
>>>>
>>>>Correct. This was rather pricey. I think upwards of $60, but not sure.
>>>>It
>>>>was
>>>>almost more economical to get a small amp.
>>>
>>> In cases like this, it's almost always better to get a small amp over
>>> modifying a radio beyond its limits..
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>>It's not really beyond the DX2547's limits. There's an ample power supply
>>in there and the board is already punched, labled and wired for another
>>final, just needs support components and biased up.
>>
>>But as stated earlier the gain in power is not really worth the hasle, and
>>not enough to drive a high drive amp.
>>
>>More of a bragging right I guess.
>>
>>Btw, for the technician it is a wonderful radio to work on. The top and

>>bottom comes off like most mobiles and it's wide enough to sit on it's
>>side
>>and work on both sides. Only have to clip 2 nylon ties holding the
>>speaker
>>cable in. There's plenty of room and the super razor sharp edges are kept
>>to a minimum. I was pleasantly suprised when working on it, the manual is
>>also very easy to understand and comprehensive.
>
> You are doing a good job in selling the radio. I'm almost ready to go
> out and buy one just for the fun of it. But I'll disconnect the Roger
> Beep.......

Nah, I shopped forever for a base and was completely sold on a Cobra 2000. I simply could not find one that was unadulterated for a price even close to the 2547. I also looked into used Cherokee CBS1000's but heard bad things about their reliability. I do have a "keyclown" radio in the truck, A Magnum 257. It is a wonderful radio and would have probably had bought one for the home if I would have known about it. The only reason I have not is that I like the 6 digit counter on the 2547 as opposed to the 5 digit on the 257, I would be happy with a counter with only the 6th digit for CB use. I also prefer the ease of use of the 2547, the 257's buttons are very mulit function. The radio sits on my bench and it's nice to reach up and twist a knob or punch a button knowing it only does one thing.

I bought the 257 for it's price and features, it sounds great on transmit and also because I'm learning code as we speak and want a "decent" entry level 10 meter rig. I do ABSOLUTELY NO freeband work, the 257 is clean and not overly powerful in that it will be immedately noticed as a 10 meter radio. Do buy an external speaker though! It was also one of the few affordable/convertable 10M rigs that was straight up with no echo, beeps, and other bullshit. It looks good too. Sideband performance is better than the Galaxy and AM is on par. The newer ones come with a speech processor and an electret mic, once agin the transmit audio is great! Up/down button placement on the mic is backwards! Down is to the right. Out of the box it was spot on calibration wise, we've had 0 degree weather here and it takes about 10-15 min to get it's shit together in the morning.

Down and dirty the 2547 is a hunderd bucks more than the 257. Although I do not overly advocate the use of "freeband radios" I would reccommend it over the 2547 if you do not need the 6th digit and ease of use. BTW the newer 257 can be locked down to only the 40 CB channels and power internaly dialed back to 4 watts so that the front panel control would be maxed out at 4 watts. Still ain't gonna do squat for you if you require an accepted radio.

The price of the DX2547 has declined in the past year but it is still expensive, I was quite hesitant to spend that money, but, it has been good. Although I do consider selling it from time to time to pocket some cash and grab another 257. When that ham ticket comes I have the feeling it will be time to upgrade :)

Chad

1347. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 17:39:05 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <csoqbp$8l8$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 194987

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:mfovu0l9jlvr1v85ul1bj3aocgsdcj0ai1@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:37:37 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>>It's not really beyond the DX2547's limits. There's an ample power
>>>supply
>>>in there and the board is already punched, labled and wired for another
>>>final, just needs support components and biased up.
>>>
>>>But as stated earlier the gain in power is not really worth the hasle,
>>>and
>>>not enough to drive a high drive amp.
>>>
>>>More of a bragging right I guess.
>>>
>>>Btw, for the technician it is a wonderful radio to work on. The top and
>>>bottom comes off like most mobiles and it's wide enough to sit on it's
>>>side
>>>and work on both sides. Only have to clip 2 nylon ties holding the
>>>speaker
>>>cable in. There's plenty of room and the super razor sharp edges are
>>>kept
>>>to a minimum. I was pleasantly suprised when working on it, the manual
>>>is
>>>also very easy to understand and comprehensive.
>>
>>You are doing a good job in selling the radio. I'm almost ready to go
>>out and buy one just for the fun of it. But I'll disconnect the Roger
>>Beep.......
>
>
> The 949 was great on AM. But drifted like an unanchored boat on sideband.
> I
> needed to recenter the clarifier every 2 weeks.
>
> Vinnie S.

Was your 949 in a vehicle? I have noticed the drift but after a warmup
period it settles down. I would be hesitant to have one in a vehicle where
the temp is constantly rising and falling. My 2547 is in a heated section
behind my garage, the temp DOES vary and it DOES affect the radio, I just
don't shut it off and it seems OK. In the warmer months it does quite well

and gets shut off but I still would not trust it in a car. Many have reported reliability problems with galaxy's when subjected to lots of vibration and temp change. Another thing that was noted while shopping :)

Did you buy some bury-flex yet?

Chad

1348. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 17:49:20 UTC
From: MnMikew <mnmiikkew@aol.com>
Message-ID: <35a991F4l8090U1@individual.net>
Source record: 194988

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28054-41EFDA20-87@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
> Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker
>
> Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
>
> Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia
>
> Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota
>
> Sen John McCain, R-Arizona
>
> .and the list goes on....
>
What's your point liberal?

1349. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 17:52:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <shovu0ttb8s2legn1dttso63nqr9fv86gu@4ax.com>
Source record: 194989

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:25:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>> cry that Bush's honorable discharge was somehow
>>"bought"?
>
>
>Maybe because he was pushed to the front of the line of the selection
>committee? And was accepted into the NG the day (or day after) he
>applied? Maybe because the records show he couldn't even keep a
>doctor's appointment that was required to fulfill his military
>obligations (he was a pilot, remember?)? Or maybe because for several
>months the only record of him fulfilling his duties is his pay records
>which the Pentagon (under the direction of Rumsfeld) suddenly produced
>after twice claiming no more records existed? And unlike Kerry, where
>his shipmates are in disagreement about his nature of service but all
>agree that he was indeed there, NOBODY remembers Bush being present at
>one of his assigned duty stations.
>
>It's an 'inductive' argument, Dave, and it's pretty strong.

But it also illustrates the fact that an "honorable discharge" is not
the be all and end all that it might seem.

The other fact remains that you can't malign Bush's records with all
sorts of maybe's and then have a fit when other's do it to Kerry.

>Yet you claim the same agency (the Pentagon) is responsible in a
>conspiricy to conceal records that are damaging to Kerry without any
>reason, subjective or objective, other than the fact that the records
>have not been released, and -despite- the fact that there is no law
>that requires him to do so, not even under the FIA.

I stated nothing of the sort. I stated that KERRY, by not filing a DOD
form 180 and releasing 100% of his records, is not being completely
open and honest about his service record. This leads to speculation as
to his reasons why he chose to not release those records. It casts a
shadow of doubt over his motives.

>You -still- don't see how stupid that sounds, do you?

The way you state it, it does sound stupid. But that is not how I
stated it.

>> See, both sides can make up all sorts of stories to explain
>>the "facts".
>

>
>Those aren't made-up stories, Dave. If you can't see how the facts are
>related to each other then here's what you need to do: Next time you
>are at the store go to the magazine stand. Look for the section with
>all the kiddie puzzle books. Pick one with a lot of connect-the-dot
>puzzles. Buy it. Take it home and practice. When you finish that,
>watch Sesame Street and pay careful attention when you hear the song
>with the words, "Which one of these things is not like the other?"

Your condescending, patronizing tone is duly noted.

What was that someone said about your posts being devoid of emotion?

>>>>Now, when you look at the rule, it becomes clear what the intent of
>>>>this rule is. They are defining selective calling units, that operate
>>>>either with CTCSS or dual tone (paging style) squelch systems.
>>>>Lafayette used to sell them from the 1960's into the early 70's.
>>>>
>>>>You might be able to infer that this rule also applies to roger beeps,
>>>>but you have to remember that this rule was written long before roger
>>>>beeps were even heard of on CB radio communications.
>>>
>>>
>>>Bullshit. Roger-beeps have existed, legal or not, on the CB since the
>>>band was barely a few months old.
>>
>>I NEVER heard a roger beep on CB until the early 80's. They certainly
>>were not around in 1970 when I first got on the band.
>>
>>Now, I'm not saying that some clever tech type didn't invent one, and
>>used it in some local pocket somewhere. But their use was not
>>widespread, or I would 've heard them it, especially when the skip
>>rolled in.
>
>
>I don't know what corn field you lived in in 1970 but roger-beeps were
>pretty common around here. And I'm sure that anyone on the CB scene in
>NY at the time would tell you the same thing. Noise-toys (and other
>minor violations) were frequent subjects in magazines such as PE and
>QST which covered the CB from day one; and most of them describe their
>widespread nature and general abuse of the band.

There were "noise toys", most of which were variations of a relaxation
oscillators, and commonly referred to as "birdies". But they were not
"Roger Beeps". The roger beep style ETS signal didn't become popular
until NASA pushed it to the radio forefront with their use of them
during their space missions.

I also find it curious that ham magazines like QST would cover such
things while magazines, like S9 and CB magazine, (Which I was a
subscriber to) which catered to strictly CB radio did not.

>But because -you- never heard a roger-beep that means they didn't
>exist. Once again you have declared something to be fact based on your
>opinions. Ok, Dave. Whatever you say.

I realize that this sounds like an example of Argumentum ad
Ignorantiam, but I wasn't living in a box Frank. I knew many people in
different radio circles. Like I said before, I never denied that some
small pockets of techie types may have made such a device, but it
never made the big time or, trust me, I would have known about it.

>>>>I will concede that the rule is open to a wide variety of
>>>>interpretation. It is conceivable that you MIGHT be ok if you use the
>>>>roger beep strictly as an ETS signal. The minute you start making
>>>>multiple tones, musical notes or otherwise, you fall into the category
>>>>spelled out by 95.413, prohibited transmissions subpart 6 and 7:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> (6) To transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to
>>>>amuse or entertain;
>>>> (7) To transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>>>
>>>
>>>Damn liberals.
>>
>>You really have become consumed with politics. Have I rattled you that
>>much?

>You probably shouldn't flatter yourself over your ignorance of
>political issues. Did you find out who the Vulcans are yet? Or are you
>going to claim that they don't exist because you never heard of them?

Yes, I found out what they referred to. It's a term coined by Condi
Rice as a lark, when they were choosing a nickname for their foreign
policy team. Most outsiders forgot or never knew the term unless one
read James Mann's book featuring that name. It certainly isn't a
universal term nor one that applies across the whole administration.
If I am guilty of ignorance, it's only to the extent that I don't read
every pundit author's interpretation of "the truth".

Most pundits refer to the Bush team as "neo conservatives", which is
also a joke, since the term "neo" meaning new, means that neo
conservatives are "new" conservatives. Which then begs the question;
what were they before? If not conservatives, then were they dare I say
it -- Liberals? Socialists? What then?

>>>>>So it should be obvious that if any radio with a "roger-beep" is
>>>>>accepted, the tone is considered to be a tool that is used to
>>>>>-facilitate- communications, a purpose which is consistent with the
>>>>>above rule(s).
>>>>
>>>>The question remains, with the exception of the Galaxy, there are no
>>>>other domestic radios with this built in feature. If the rule was so
>>>>cut and dry, then why not add another selling point?

>>>
>>>
>>>How about because the service was intended to be a cheap-&-easy way to
>>>get 2-way radio comm? There were literally hundreds of models WITHOUT
>>>a control for RF gain, delta-tune, SWR, etc, etc. And the FCC used to
>>>cite people for nothing more than failure to comply with the time-out
>>>rule. So would -you- have included it in a radio? I doubt it.
>>
>>None of this is valid today.
>
>
>Cop-out.

Not at all. I'm talking about right now in the present. There are
radios which carry a full load of "features" and others which carry
only a bare minimum. Some radios use the same PC board to cover
several models, the only difference being the external features they
charge the extra money for.

If a "roger beep" was clearly legal, it would stand to reason that it
would be included as another feature, and seen on at least the top
shelf models of the major radio makers.

THAT is an inductive argument as well.

>> Even if you despise the art of marketing
>>and capitalism,
>
>
>I never said anything of the sort. You don't even understand how your
>own mind works: You extrapolated that trait on me from your image of a
>stereotypical 'liberal', which is a label that -you- gave me for other
>reasons. You sound like a third-rate psychologist.

You have still, to date, failed to deny that you are, in fact, a
liberal.

You have also made comments in the past that were less than
complimentary to the corporate business world. You were even somewhat
condescending when I remarked that my bonus would be a bit larger this
year than last, as if I somehow was not entitled to it, especially
after you lost your job. This all paints the picture of someone who is
fed up with "the system".

Maybe I'm wrong, but hey, I can only go on the tidbits that are
presented here.

>> the fact remains that bells and whistles sell
>>products. A roger beep is not a difficult thing to add to a radio (and
>>not expensive), yet it will add perceived value as another "feature"
>>to justify an increased price for.
>
>

>You of all people know that a manufacturing decision is based on a lot
>of factors.

That largest of all being the potential of increased profit.

>The question is if the additional sales could justify the
>extra cost, which would involve a market analysis.

Yes, that's exactly right. Judging from the sales of virtually identical foreign made radios, which include this feature, the cost adder should not be much (Exports already have it), and the sales of export radios would also seem to justify it. Also consider that there have been a few domestic radios made with a rather expensive (As compared to a roger beep) frequency counter built-in, for use on 40 PLL controlled channels, it makes one wonder......

> That analysis would
>also include a comparison with competitive products; i.e, aftermarket
>noise boxes, boards and mics. There is also the issue of whether or
>not the FCC would pitch a bitch even if the design changes would be
>technically legal but contrary to FCC policy, which would involve a
>hassle in the courts (and expensive attorney fees).

If the feature was legal, there would be no "fits". The fact that you acknowledge the potential for these "fits" tells me that you also acknowledge that the FCC rule on this issue is not so cut and dried. That's the whole point of this discussion. Thank you Frank.

>Then there is the
>product liability issue: What would be the legal expenses defending
>the company from pissed-off consumers who got an NAL when the FCC
>popped them for using the roger-beep function?

What? If the feature and its use were legal, this would not be a problem. Once again you are supporting my original premise that roger beeps are not legal. At the very best they are a "gray" area.

>Do you have those analyses, Dave? If you don't then you -don't- have
>the facts and are just speculating.

Yes, I am speculating. But judging from past performance, most manufacturers would gladly add a roger beep if they felt it was clearly legal. Hell, Galaxy did it. They had the balls to make the decision, they aren't afraid of the FCC, even if they might be wrong. They're willing to gamble that the FCC will not feel that this is an issue worth worrying about.

>>Besides, I never said that *all* radios should have it. But yo would
>>think at least the flagship radios from all the big name manufacturers
>>would include this "feature" as another sale item.
>
>
>You go ahead and email them with that question. Until you get a

>definitive response your opinions are nothing more than speculation.

If I had a contact on the inside, I would do that. But that's hardly a question to send to an (likely) out sourced customer service rep.

>>>>>And another fact: I brought this same issue to your attention almost a
>>>>>year ago..... in -THIS- newsgroup.
>>>>
>>>>I remember the discussion. I believe it was Bert who provided the
>>>>picture of his Galaxy radio with the FCC ID number which you initially
>>>>looked up and couldn't find, and then claimed that the radio's Roger
>>>>beep was an "add-on" accessory..
>>>
>>>
>>>I made no such claim. Look up the thread and read the FACTS, Dave.
>>
>>Oh, how easily you forget Frank. Here, read this:
>>
>>=====START PASTE OF FRANK'S POST=========
>>
>>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>>From: Frank Gilliland <spa...@NOSPAMaimcomm.net> - Find messages by
>>this author
>>Date: Wed, 26 May 2004 06:54:51 -0700
>>Local: Wed, May 26 2004 6:54 am
>>Subject: Re: N3CVJ claims Roger Beeps illegal
>>Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
>>original | Report Abuse
>>
>>In <p359b0ttfr1r8lih52l718psj10bfq6...@4ax.com>, Frank Gilliland
>><spa...@NOSPAMaimcomm.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>In <in%sc.469$4x2....@fe2.texas.rr.com>, "AKC KennelMaster"
>>><KennelMas...@not-here.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3...@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:05u8b09fdju3n3pf1nnh5sggca81gq314m@4ax.com...
>>
>>>>> On Mon, 24 May 2004 22:57:29 GMT, "Bert Craig" <w...@arrl.netNOSPAM>
>>>>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3...@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>> >news:5mc4b09b1ev0cb7a0eigo2tophp7qjr6in@4ax.com...
>>>>> >> Riddle me this then Batman, why are there no type accepted LEGAL CB
>>>>> >> radios produced with a roger beep or an echo?
>>
>>>>> >Sorry Dave, my old Galaxy DX-949 came stock woith a roger beep...and
>>>>was/is
>>

>>>>> >FCC type accepted.
>>
>>>>> >http://www.galaxyradios.com/cb/949.html
>>>>> Would you happen to to have the FCC I.D. number of that radio? That
>>>>> radio, other than the roger beep, also has variable power, something
>>>>> else no other legal CB has. I have my doubts that this radio is
>>>>> entirely legal.
>>
>>
>>
>>>>> Dave
>>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>>>>>Wrong again, Dave. Here's the link: http://www.galaxyradios.com/2547.html
>>
>>
>>>There are no current equipment authorizations for any Galaxy CB radio.
>>>Search the database yourself if you want:
>>
>>
>>>https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/cf/eas/reports/GenericSearch.cfm
>>
>>
>>
>>Well, by golly, I goofed again. The FCC ID number is C2R-DX-2547, it's
>>a Ranger, and it is legal for CB. But what I didn't see on the Galaxy
>>website was a built-in roger-beep -- instead the board is available as
>>an accessory.
>>
>>
>>-----=
>>Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>>
>>------== Over 100,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers
>>
>>=====END PASTE OF FRANK'S POST======
>>
>>
>>Now, what was that you were saying about facts Frank?
>
>
>The fact is that you can't read. LOL!

I can read just fine Frank. Perhaps you should re-read it. You are the
one who made the :

>"Well, by golly, I goofed again. The FCC ID number is C2R-DX-2547, it's
>>a Ranger, and it is legal for CB. But what I didn't see on the Galaxy
>>website was a built-in roger-beep -- instead the board is available as
>>an accessory.

I accept your apology.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1350. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 17:58:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <16svu0pt7baomhngkcenjv2u0b7971ecih@4ax.com>
Source record: 194990

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:14:20 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 20:00:29 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
>in <x%yHd.19514$by5.14558@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Or if the AF amp is going bad.. An internal short could cause that kind
>>of heat, too.
>
>
>True. I looked at the schematic again and both the input and output
>are DC blocked. If those were bad then the amp wouldn't work at all.
>There is another cap on the side that may either be for offset or
>compensation, and if that's shorted it may cause the chip to overheat.
>That would be my first guess. But it still doesn't explain the squeal.

Gee, I thought you said that caps don't go bad?

>What I -didn't- see before is that the preamp before the PA is powered
>directly from the regulator, so the regulator is good. The oscillation
>must be coming from somewhere else.

How about a little gentleman's wager? Nothing monetary. How about just
a simple acknowledgement?

I say it's a bad cap. What say you?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1351. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 18:15:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tdsvu0dsvos26iqsodppsp0720t878c9pr@4ax.com>
Source record: 194991

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:10:21 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 12:47:55 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <kt6tu0lcvnm4bonrk7feaksqssr9gu609e@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 04:43:25 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>I'm not familiar with the radio mentioned. Does Pierce Simpson still
>>>>>>make radios? I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dave, when will you learn to just keep your trap shut when you don't
>>>know what you are talking about? I have antique radios from the 1920's
>>>and up that still have good capacitors.
>>
>>Frank, I'm getting a little tired of your tone.
>
>
>I really don't care.

That much is obvious.

>>I've had tube radios where the filter caps exploded with the force of
>>a firecracker, after not being powered for 10 years or more. In fact,
>>if you check with the pros who restore old radios, they recommend
>>replacing aging caps since they are known to change value. They also
>>recommend that you power up an old radio gradually with a Variac, so
>>as to no "shock" an old cap into shorting.
>
>
>Caps wear out, that's a fact. And caps do explode on occasion. But in
>every case where I have filled the shop with that unique and pungent
>aroma there has -always- been some underlying cause of the failure,
>such as too much AC on a filter cap, voltage ratings exceeded or
>reversed, etc. I've never had one blow up just out of spite.

I have. Usually they're in sweep tube CB amplifiers. For no other
reason than they just became "leaky", and start drawing current until
they heat up and explode. In my Lafayette Comstat 25 a resistor once
lit up like a candle due to a shorted cap in series with it.

The Uniden UPD-858 SSB boards (Realistic TRC-457/458, Cobra 138/139
XLR) had a pesky blue 10 uF tantalum cap that would short out and pull

the B+ feeding the driver and final stages to ground. I've seen that on at least 3 different radios. I'd call that a statistic.

>Also, I -am- one of those "pros who restore old radios", which has
>been both a hobby and a business since I restored my first Philco 20
>when I was a teenager. And after being in the electronics business
>professionally for 30+ years I can say, without any doubt whatsoever,
>that capacitor failure rate (i.e, the capacitor being the -primary-
>cause of the failure) is no higher than for any other component.

But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.

>I do
>-not- recommend blanket replacement of aged capacitors -- on the
>contrary, I recommend -testing- aged capacitors. If they are still
>within tolerance and show no DC leakage, leave them ALONE!

I don't either as a rule. It's just a waste of money. But for you to discard, as idiotic, my suggestion of a small electrolytic cap changing value causing the problem in that Pierce Simpson radio is nothing but pure arrogance Frank. I have had a similar problem that was the fault of a cap.

>BTW, for anyone planning on testing caps, you don't need anything
>fancy. Just find an old R/C tester like the Eico 950. I have used an
>old tube-type Knight R/C tester for 20 years and it hasn't failed me
>yet.

I have a digital capacitance tester. Not only can I tell if the cap is open or shorted, I can tell if it drifted appreciably from its original value.

>>> They start having problems
>>>when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
>>>most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.
>>
>> I'm telling you what I've personally experienced in close to 30 years
>>of repairing CB radios. It is COMMON for small 1uF and such
>>electrolytic caps to open up from age. I just got done retelling an
>>exact problem that I once fixed. Now, either you are calling me a
>>liar, or you need to modify your statement, since my examples are
>>proof that maybe you should keep your trap shut, since CB radios don't
>>seem to be your high point in experience.
>
>
>Your examples are proof of nothing except your lack of experience in
>the broad field of electronics and your inability to find the
>underlying cause of the failure.

The primary cause of the failure WAS the cap. When a cap in an audio chain opens up so that audio no longer passes through, and there are no voltages to speak of across it (Other than bias level), and when the cap is replaced, normal function is restored, that's a pretty good

indication of what the cause of the failure was.

>Cap failure as the -primary- cause of
>failure is no more common than a weak transistor, bad IC, blown
>diode/zener, or bad RF/IF can, or even poor manufacturing standards.

Ah! So at least now you admit that it is equally likely that a cap can fail as often as any particular active device. Very good, you're making progress.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1352. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 18:17:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8btvu0d0j6qckgf95g04t9sha978blhta8@4ax.com>
Source record: 194992

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:13:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 20:02:31 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
>in <r1zHd.19518$by5.4248@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Frank:
>>
>>Not to jump into this fray, too, but the reason my Swan 350D isn't
>>functional right now is specifically because the caps have "dried out"..
>>it DOES happen. It's not as rare as some people think.
>
>
>Swan was notorious for using cheap PS caps.

And you don't think that cheap, Malaysian made CB radios aren't doing
the same?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1353. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 18:21:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <sftvu0p410ua9rcq1pe934fs5dnsugms0d@4ax.com>
Source record: 194993

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:42:41 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 22:06:13 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
>in <pRAHd.124973$K7.55400@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>
>>Thanks all for your input!!
>>Dave, please forgive Frank, I think he had been drinking when he made that
>>remark ;)
>
>
>Forget about Dave. Go hit some yard sales and find yourself a scope! I
>stopped by a sale last fall and picked up an immaculate Heathkit 4550
>for..... are you sitting down?..... $10. They are out there, you just
>have to look.

I just sold a Tektronix 2465 for $800...

But I'm hoping to find a nice Tram Titan 3 or D-201 for cheap at a
sale.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1354. tenko 46t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 18:25:33 UTC
From: Daniele <bat.mang@virgilio.it>
Message-ID: <csot2t$6e4$1@inews.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 194994

hi, i'm italian and i search the outline electrical worker of tenko 46t, an older CB radio

sorry for my english...

Thanks,
Daniele

1355. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 19:44:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mcsvu0pslm4bdml3pmqfcg3p2odakh0ss4@4ax.com>
Source record: 194995

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 12:52:37 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <shovu0ttb8s2legn1dttso63nqr9fv86gu@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:25:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>> cry that Bush's honorable discharge was somehow
>>>"bought"?
>>
>>
>>Maybe because he was pushed to the front of the line of the selection
>>committee? And was accepted into the NG the day (or day after) he
>>applied? Maybe because the records show he couldn't even keep a
>>doctor's appointment that was required to fulfill his military
>>obligations (he was a pilot, remember?)? Or maybe because for several
>>months the only record of him fulfilling his duties is his pay records
>>which the Pentagon (under the direction of Rumsfeld) suddenly produced
>>after twice claiming no more records existed? And unlike Kerry, where
>>his shipmates are in disagreement about his nature of service but all
>>agree that he was indeed there, NOBODY remembers Bush being present at
>>one of his assigned duty stations.
>>
>>It's an 'inductive' argument, Dave, and it's pretty strong.
>
>But it also illustrates the fact that an "honorable discharge" is not
>the be all and end all that it might seem.

That sounds like sour grapes to me.

>The other fact remains that you can't malign Bush's records with all
>sorts of maybe's and then have a fit when other's do it to Kerry.

Gee, that sounds mighty familiar..... isn't that the jist of what I
told you a couple months ago with the names transposed? I might be
wrong but I'm pretty sure it is. Do you want I should try and find
that post?

>>Yet you claim the same agency (the Pentagon) is responsible in a
>>conspiricy to conceal records that are damaging to Kerry without any
>>reason, subjective or objective, other than the fact that the records
>>have not been released, and -despite- the fact that there is no law
>>that requires him to do so, not even under the FIA.
>
>I stated nothing of the sort. I stated that KERRY, by not filing a DOD
>form 180 and releasing 100% of his records, is not being completely

>open and honest about his service record. This leads to speculation

Hold it right there. Being "open" and being "honest" are two different things. I am not "open" with my medical records but that doesn't necessarily imply (or as you say, "leads to spectulation") that I'm being dishonest about them. Fact: You don't know what is in those records. The only thing you have, by your own admission above, is your own speculation based on nothing more than suspicion. And your suspicion is fueled by..... what? Kerry's opposition to Bush?

That's a very, very lame argument, Dave.

> as
>to his reasons why he chose to not release those records. It casts a
>shadow of doubt over his motives.
>
>
>>You -still- don't see how stupid that sounds, do you?
>
>The way you state it, it does sound stupid. But that is not how I
>stated it.

The delivery is different but the content is the same.

>>> See, both sides can make up all sorts of stories to explain
>>>the "facts".
>>
>>
>>Those aren't made-up stories, Dave. If you can't see how the facts are
>>related to each other then here's what you need to do: Next time you
>>are at the store go to the magazine stand. Look for the section with
>>all the kiddie puzzle books. Pick one with a lot of connect-the-dot
>>puzzles. Buy it. Take it home and practice. When you finish that,
>>watch Sesame Street and pay careful attention when you hear the song
>>with the words, "Which one of these things is not like the other?"
>
>Your condescending, patronizing tone is duly noted.

Good. For a moment I thought I wasn't getting through.

>What was that someone said about your posts being devoid of emotion?

Is sarcasm an emotion?

<snip>
>>I don't know what corn field you lived in in 1970 but roger-beeps were
>>pretty common around here. And I'm sure that anyone on the CB scene in
>>NY at the time would tell you the same thing. Noise-toys (and other
>>minor violations) were frequent subjects in magazines such as PE and
>>QST which covered the CB from day one; and most of them describe their
>>widespread nature and general abuse of the band.
>
>There were "noise toys", most of which were variations of a relaxation

>oscillators, and commonly referred to as "birdies". But they were not
>"Roger Beeps". The roger beep style ETS signal didn't become popular
>until NASA pushed it to the radio forefront with their use of them
>during their space missions.

Roger-beeps have been around almost as long as SSB because that's
where they were first widely used. The reason for that is because with
SSB it's difficult to tell when someone is finished with a
transmission. This necessitated the practice of using the words
"over", "out" and "roger". It wasn't long until someone got the bright
idea to make a circuit that would transmit a beep when the mic unkeyed
so they wouldn't sound like airline pilots in a Zucker Brothers movie.

>I also find it curious that ham magazines like QST would cover such
>things while magazines, like S9 and CB magazine, (Which I was a
>subscriber to) which catered to strictly CB radio did not.

Probably because S9 and CB magazine weren't around in 1959 when the CB
got started.

>>But because -you- never heard a roger-beep that means they didn't
>>exist. Once again you have declared something to be fact based on your
>>opinions. Ok, Dave. Whatever you say.
>
>I realize that this sounds like an example of Argumentum ad
>Ignorantiam, but I wasn't living in a box Frank. I knew many people in
>different radio circles. Like I said before, I never denied that some
>small pockets of techie types may have made such a device, but it
>never made the big time or, trust me, I would have known about it.

Dave, you have proven that you are in the dark about a lot of things,
and I don't think that's a recent development.

<snip>
>>>You really have become consumed with politics. Have I rattled you that
>>>much?
>
>>You probably shouldn't flatter yourself over your ignorance of
>>political issues. Did you find out who the Vulcans are yet? Or are you
>>going to claim that they don't exist because you never heard of them?
>
>Yes, I found out what they referred to. It's a term coined by Condi
>Rice as a lark, when they were choosing a nickname for their foreign
>policy team. Most outsiders forgot or never knew the term unless one
>read James Mann's book featuring that name. It certainly isn't a
>universal term nor one that applies across the whole administration.
>If I am guilty of ignorance, it's only to the extent that I don't read
>every pundit author's interpretation of "the truth".

35,000 hits on Google and your excuse is that you don't read all the
books on the shelf? Well, I haven't read the book either and that's
not where I learned the term. All I had to do was read a few political

commentaries from magazines and the internet. Just a few. In fact, the term is so prevalent that if you read just a handful of articles you will almost certainly find the term mentioned at least once. But you never heard it before I used it, huh?

>Most pundits refer to the Bush team as "neo conservatives", which is
>also a joke, since the term "neo" meaning new, means that neo
>conservatives are "new" conservatives. Which then begs the question;
>what were they before? If not conservatives, then were they dare I say
>it -- Liberals? Socialists? What then?

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neoconservatism_(United_States)

<snip>
>>>None of this is valid today.
>>
>>
>>Cop-out.
>
>Not at all. I'm talking about right now in the present. There are
>radios which carry a full load of "features" and others which carry
>only a bare minimum. Some radios use the same PC board to cover
>several models, the only difference being the external features they
>charge the extra money for.
>
>If a "roger beep" was clearly legal, it would stand to reason that it
>would be included as another feature, and seen on at least the top
>shelf models of the major radio makers.
>
>THAT is an inductive argument as well.

Yes it is, and what you just said is that the legality of a roger-beep is not clear. I have no problem with that.

>>> Even if you despise the art of marketing
>>>and capitalism,
>>
>>
>>I never said anything of the sort. You don't even understand how your
>>own mind works: You extrapolated that trait on me from your image of a
>>stereotypical 'liberal', which is a label that -you- gave me for other
>>reasons. You sound like a third-rate psychologist.
>
>You have still, to date, failed to deny that you are, in fact, a
>liberal.

But I did. What part of "I am not a liberal" did you not understand? Oh, that's right..... you flatly rejected my statement in favor of your personal beliefs.

>You have also made comments in the past that were less than
>complimentary to the corporate business world.

I make comments that are "less than complimentary" to just about
everybody and everything. That makes me a liberal?

> You were even somewhat
>condescending when I remarked that my bonus would be a bit larger this
>year than last, as if I somehow was not entitled to it,

That's a faulty perception on your part. If you start reading between
the lines then you better be sure of what you are reading because
that's not what I wrote -or- implied. I have no idea what you do for a
living so I have no idea if your compensation is justified or not. And
if you pinned me as a liberal because you read more into my statement
than what I wrote then that's -your- fault, not mine.

> especially
>after you lost your job. This all paints the picture of someone who is
>fed up with "the system".

.....uh, sure Dave, that's why I have been on this newsgroup for years
preaching the virtues of using the system to effect changes in CB
rules instead of ignoring them. Or were you misreading between the
lines again?

>Maybe I'm wrong, but hey, I can only go on the tidbits that are
>presented here.

Over 5000 posts in THIS newsgroup -- you call that "tidbits"?

Yes, Dave, maybe you are wrong..... duh.

>>> the fact remains that bells and whistles sell
>>>products. A roger beep is not a difficult thing to add to a radio (and
>>>not expensive), yet it will add perceived value as another "feature"
>>>to justify an increased price for.
>>
>>
>>You of all people know that a manufacturing decision is based on a lot
>>of factors.
>
>That largest of all being the potential of increased profit.
>
>
>>The question is if the additional sales could justify the
>>extra cost, which would involve a market analysis.
>
>Yes, that's exactly right. Judging from the sales of virtually
>identical foreign made radios, which include this feature, the cost
>adder should not be much (Exports already have it), and the sales of
>export radios would also seem to justify it. Also consider that there
>have been a few domestic radios made with a rather expensive (As
>compared to a roger beep) frequency counter built-in, for use on 40
>PLL controlled channels, it makes one wonder.....

Yes, it makes one wonder how you can draw hard conclusions from
nothing more than speculation.

>> That analysis would
>>also include a comparison with competitive products; i.e, aftermarket
>>noise boxes, boards and mics. There is also the issue of whether or
>>not the FCC would pitch a bitch even if the design changes would be
>>technically legal but contrary to FCC policy, which would involve a
>>hassle in the courts (and expensive attorney fees).
>
>If the feature was legal, there would be no "fits". The fact that you
>acknowledge the potential for these "fits" tells me that you also
>acknowledge that the FCC rule on this issue is not so cut and dried.
>That's the whole point of this discussion. Thank you Frank.

Nice try, but the FCC frequently encourages compliance with policies
when noncompliance is not necessarily or technically illegal. A recent
example being the voluntary television rating system, compliance to
which is "strongly encouraged" by the FCC.

And I never claimed the roger-beep issue was definitive. On the
contrary, it was -you- who claimed that roger-beeps were illegal
despite the existence of an FCC certified radio incorporating the
feature. It has been -my- position that its legality is in doubt; i.e,
"not so cut and dried". So while you are patting yourself on the back
you should realize that you have totally flip-flopped on the issue.

Hmmmm..... flip-flopped..... now where have I heard -that- before?

>>Then there is the
>>product liability issue: What would be the legal expenses defending
>>the company from pissed-off consumers who got an NAL when the FCC
>>popped them for using the roger-beep function?
>
>What? If the feature and its use were legal, this would not be a
>problem. Once again you are supporting my original premise that roger
>beeps are not legal. At the very best they are a "gray" area.

A certain car might be perfectly legal to manufacture and market, but
just because it can go faster than the speed limit doesn't mean
speeding is legal. It's not a "gray area" because it's the operator's
responsibility to know and abide by the laws -regardless- of the
capabilities of the equipment. The legal hassles begin when some
lawyer thinks he can make the case that it's legal to drive 90 because
the speedometer goes that high. Unfortunately, cases like that cost
lots of money not because they have merit, but because the companies
usually find it cheaper to pay off the lawyers instead of fighting it
out in court.

>>Do you have those analyses, Dave? If you don't then you -don't- have
>>the facts and are just speculating.
>
>Yes, I am speculating.

No kidding.

> But judging from past performance, most
>manufacturers would gladly add a roger beep if they felt it was
>clearly legal.

Wrong. A tone control is probably one of the cheapest and easiest features to add to a radio. Another cheap and easy feature that could have been included on AM radios is a BFO, which would allow the operator to communicate with someone having an SSB transceiver. By your reasoning, -most- radios would have included that feature. Yet few radios have tone controls; and as far as I know, only one AM CB radio ever included a BFO.

IOW, your reasoning is flawed.

> Hell, Galaxy did it. They had the balls to make the
>decision, they aren't afraid of the FCC, even if they might be wrong.
>They're willing to gamble that the FCC will not feel that this is an
>issue worth worrying about.

Speculation.

>>>Besides, I never said that *all* radios should have it. But yo would
>>>think at least the flagship radios from all the big name manufacturers
>>>would include this "feature" as another sale item.
>>
>>
>>You go ahead and email them with that question. Until you get a
>>definitive response your opinions are nothing more than speculation.
>
>If I had a contact on the inside, I would do that. But that's hardly a
>question to send to an (likely) out sourced customer service rep.

Geez, I only suggested it once and you are already making excuses.

<snip>
>>>Now, what was that you were saying about facts Frank?
>>
>>
>>The fact is that you can't read. LOL!
>
>I can read just fine Frank. Perhaps you should re-read it. You are the
>one who made the :
>
>>"Well, by golly, I goofed again. The FCC ID number is C2R-DX-2547, it's
>>>a Ranger, and it is legal for CB. But what I didn't see on the Galaxy
>>>website was a built-in roger-beep -- instead the board is available as
>>>an accessory.
>
>I accept your apology.

You are misreading between the lines again. I made an observation, not a conclusion. You obviously can't tell the difference.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1356. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 19:49:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ml20v057858o5kghut08u6j8675g9fh4hj@4ax.com>
Source record: 194996

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 12:58:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <16svu0pt7baomhngkcenjv2u0b7971ecih@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:14:20 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 20:00:29 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
>>in <x%yHd.19514$by5.14558@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>Or if the AF amp is going bad.. An internal short could cause that kind
>>>of heat, too.
>>
>>
>>True. I looked at the schematic again and both the input and output
>>are DC blocked. If those were bad then the amp wouldn't work at all.
>>There is another cap on the side that may either be for offset or
>>compensation, and if that's shorted it may cause the chip to overheat.
>>That would be my first guess. But it still doesn't explain the squeal.
>
>Gee, I thought you said that caps don't go bad?

The problems with your thought processes are now well-known. I never
said that caps don't go bad. I said they aren't the primary cause of
failure any more than any other component.

>>What I -didn't- see before is that the preamp before the PA is powered
>>directly from the regulator, so the regulator is good. The oscillation
>>must be coming from somewhere else.
>
>How about a little gentleman's wager? Nothing monetary. How about just
>a simple acknowledgement?
>
>I say it's a bad cap. What say you?

Sorry, I won't speculate.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1357. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 20:49:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0q20v09ipaq3s1jv0tf6q2r5cij9u12j06@4ax.com>
Source record: 194997

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 13:15:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <tdsvu0dsvos26iqsodppsp0720t878c9pr@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>> I've never had one blow up just out of spite.
>
>I have. Usually they're in sweep tube CB amplifiers. For no other
>reason than they just became "leaky", and start drawing current until
>they heat up and explode.

I don't believe that for a minute. I have worked on literally hundreds
of pieces tube gear of all shapes and sizes and -NEVER- had a cap blow
up for no reason, let alone overheating caused by leakage. But it's
not my experience that tells me your story is bullshit, it's the fact
that if the cap is leaking that much current in parallel with the
normal load then other components will fail first, such as the filter
resistor or choke, rectifier(s), transformer, etc. Usually it's the
fuse that goes first, and if there's no fuse then the transformer
overheats and shorts. Caps don't blow because of DC leakage. Caps -do-
blow when subjected to too much AC, and even a few volts of ripple can
be enough to pop a can on a tube rig. This happens when the load is
too heavy for the power supply, and the first cap to blow is the one
on the load side of the pi filter (which is a very good indication of
why it blew up). In the case of sweep-tube amps, I find that the most
likely cause of your capacitor mishap.

> In my Lafayette Comstat 25 a resistor once
>lit up like a candle due to a shorted cap in series with it.

Now -that- I can believe.

>The Uniden UPD-858 SSB boards (Realistic TRC-457/458, Cobra 138/139
>XLR) had a pesky blue 10 uF tantalum cap that would short out and pull
>the B+ feeding the driver and final stages to ground. I've seen that
>on at least 3 different radios. I'd call that a statistic.

I would call that a design flaw. Tantalum caps have often been used in
applications where they were not a very good choice. They have certain
limitations, but many engineers haven't learned what those limitations
are, hence their reputation for failure. They are high-density caps
but are VERY sensitive to damage from overvoltage. A digital circuit
with a tightly regulated power supply is an appropriate application
for tantalum caps -- a CB is not.

>>Also, I -am- one of those "pros who restore old radios", which has
>>been both a hobby and a business since I restored my first Philco 20

>>when I was a teenager. And after being in the electronics business
>>professionally for 30+ years I can say, without any doubt whatsoever,
>>that capacitor failure rate (i.e, the capacitor being the -primary-
>>cause of the failure) is no higher than for any other component.
>
>But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.

Not when you realize that a light bulb is nothing more than a
resistor.

>>I do
>>-not- recommend blanket replacement of aged capacitors -- on the
>>contrary, I recommend -testing- aged capacitors. If they are still
>>within tolerance and show no DC leakage, leave them ALONE!
>
>I don't either as a rule. It's just a waste of money. But for you to
>discard, as idiotic, my suggestion of a small electrolytic cap
>changing value causing the problem in that Pierce Simpson radio is
>nothing but pure arrogance Frank. I have had a similar problem that
>was the fault of a cap.

I didn't discard your example as idiotic. I discarded your presumption
that a capacitor is responsible for the OP's problem. You once found a
radio that had a bad cap. Well, so have I, and so has just about
anyone that has fixed a few radios. But after you work with
electrionics for 30 years you eventually learn that you can't jump to
any conclusions. You have to locate the faulty component(s) AND you
must determine why it failed. A lot of caps do indeed go bad, but most
of the time it's a secondary fault. Finding the primary fault is what
seperates the professionals from the amateurs.

>>BTW, for anyone planning on testing caps, you don't need anything
>>fancy. Just find an old R/C tester like the Eico 950. I have used an
>>old tube-type Knight R/C tester for 20 years and it hasn't failed me
>>yet.
>
>I have a digital capacitance tester. Not only can I tell if the cap is
>open or shorted, I can tell if it drifted appreciably from its
>original value.

ftp://bama.sbc.edu/downloads/knight/rc/
ftp://bama.sbc.edu/downloads/eico/950b/

>>>> They start having problems
>>>>when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
>>>>most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.
>>>
>>> I'm telling you what I've personally experienced in close to 30 years
>>>of repairing CB radios. It is COMMON for small 1uF and such
>>>electrolytic caps to open up from age. I just got done retelling an
>>>exact problem that I once fixed. Now, either you are calling me a
>>>liar, or you need to modify your statement, since my examples are

>>>proof that maybe you should keep your trap shut, since CB radios don't
>>>seem to be your high point in experience.
>>
>>
>>Your examples are proof of nothing except your lack of experience in
>>the broad field of electronics and your inability to find the
>>underlying cause of the failure.
>
>The primary cause of the failure WAS the cap. When a cap in an audio
>chain opens up so that audio no longer passes through, and there are
>no voltages to speak of across it (Other than bias level), and when
>the cap is replaced, normal function is restored, that's a pretty good
>indication of what the cause of the failure was.

No it's not. After replacing the cap it would have been a good idea to
push the audio level rail-to-rail to see if the amp locks up, change
freqs to see if there's any resonance that could swing the AC above
the cap's rating, heat-run the radio for a few hours, and then -maybe-
you could safely conclude that the cap was the primary failure.

>>Cap failure as the -primary- cause of
>>failure is no more common than a weak transistor, bad IC, blown
>>diode/zener, or bad RF/IF can, or even poor manufacturing standards.
>
>Ah! So at least now you admit that it is equally likely that a cap can
>fail as often as any particular active device. Very good, you're
>making progress.

I never denied it. I just didn't jump to the conclusion that it's a
cap like you did.

1358. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 20:53:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ch60v0tag95r0evhd6hocns2b35nh1611n@4ax.com>
Source record: 194998

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 13:15:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <tdsvu0dsvos26iqsodppsp0720t878c9pr@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>> I've never had one blow up just out of spite.
>
>I have. Usually they're in sweep tube CB amplifiers. For no other
>reason than they just became "leaky", and start drawing current until
>they heat up and explode.

I don't believe that for a minute. I have worked on literally hundreds
of pieces tube gear of all shapes and sizes and -NEVER- had a cap blow
up for no reason, let alone overheating caused by leakage. But it's
not my experience that tells me your story is bullshit, it's the fact
that if the cap is leaking that much current in parallel with the
normal load then other components will fail first, such as the filter
resistor or choke, rectifier(s), transformer, etc. Usually it's the
fuse that goes first, and if there's no fuse then the transformer
overheats and shorts. Caps don't blow because of DC leakage. Caps -do-
blow when subjected to too much AC, and even a few volts of ripple can
be enough to pop a can on a tube rig. This happens when the load is
too heavy for the power supply, and the first cap to blow is the one
on the load side of the pi filter (which is a very good indication of
why it blew up). In the case of sweep-tube amps, I find that the most
likely cause of your capacitor mishap.

> In my Lafayette Comstat 25 a resistor once
>lit up like a candle due to a shorted cap in series with it.

Now -that- I can believe.

>The Uniden UPD-858 SSB boards (Realistic TRC-457/458, Cobra 138/139
>XLR) had a pesky blue 10 uF tantalum cap that would short out and pull
>the B+ feeding the driver and final stages to ground. I've seen that
>on at least 3 different radios. I'd call that a statistic.

I would call that a design flaw. Tantalum caps have often been used in
applications where they were not a very good choice. They have certain
limitations, but many engineers haven't learned what those limitations
are, hence their reputation for failure. They are high-density caps
but are VERY sensitive to damage from overvoltage. A digital circuit
with a tightly regulated power supply is an appropriate application
for tantalum caps -- a CB is not.

>>Also, I -am- one of those "pros who restore old radios", which has
>>been both a hobby and a business since I restored my first Philco 20

>>when I was a teenager. And after being in the electronics business
>>professionally for 30+ years I can say, without any doubt whatsoever,
>>that capacitor failure rate (i.e, the capacitor being the -primary-
>>cause of the failure) is no higher than for any other component.
>
>But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.

Not when you realize that a light bulb is nothing more than a
resistor.

>>I do
>>-not- recommend blanket replacement of aged capacitors -- on the
>>contrary, I recommend -testing- aged capacitors. If they are still
>>within tolerance and show no DC leakage, leave them ALONE!
>
>I don't either as a rule. It's just a waste of money. But for you to
>discard, as idiotic, my suggestion of a small electrolytic cap
>changing value causing the problem in that Pierce Simpson radio is
>nothing but pure arrogance Frank. I have had a similar problem that
>was the fault of a cap.

I didn't discard your example as idiotic. I discarded your presumption
that a capacitor is responsible for the OP's problem. You once found a
radio that had a bad cap. Well, so have I, and so has just about
anyone that has fixed a few radios. But after you work with
electrionics for 30 years you eventually learn that you can't jump to
any conclusions. You have to locate the faulty component(s) AND you
must determine why it failed. A lot of caps do indeed go bad, but most
of the time it's a secondary fault. Finding the primary fault is what
seperates the professionals from the amateurs.

>>BTW, for anyone planning on testing caps, you don't need anything
>>fancy. Just find an old R/C tester like the Eico 950. I have used an
>>old tube-type Knight R/C tester for 20 years and it hasn't failed me
>>yet.
>
>I have a digital capacitance tester. Not only can I tell if the cap is
>open or shorted, I can tell if it drifted appreciably from its
>original value.

ftp://bama.sbc.edu/downloads/knight/rc/
ftp://bama.sbc.edu/downloads/eico/950b/

>>>> They start having problems
>>>>when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
>>>>most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.
>>>
>>> I'm telling you what I've personally experienced in close to 30 years
>>>of repairing CB radios. It is COMMON for small 1uF and such
>>>electrolytic caps to open up from age. I just got done retelling an
>>>exact problem that I once fixed. Now, either you are calling me a
>>>liar, or you need to modify your statement, since my examples are

>>>proof that maybe you should keep your trap shut, since CB radios don't
>>>seem to be your high point in experience.
>>
>>
>>Your examples are proof of nothing except your lack of experience in
>>the broad field of electronics and your inability to find the
>>underlying cause of the failure.
>
>The primary cause of the failure WAS the cap. When a cap in an audio
>chain opens up so that audio no longer passes through, and there are
>no voltages to speak of across it (Other than bias level), and when
>the cap is replaced, normal function is restored, that's a pretty good
>indication of what the cause of the failure was.

No it's not. After replacing the cap it would have been a good idea to
push the audio level rail-to-rail to see if the amp locks up, change
freqs to see if there's any resonance that could swing the AC above
the cap's rating, heat-run the radio for a few hours, and then -maybe-
you could safely conclude that the cap was the primary failure.

>>Cap failure as the -primary- cause of
>>failure is no more common than a weak transistor, bad IC, blown
>>diode/zener, or bad RF/IF can, or even poor manufacturing standards.
>
>Ah! So at least now you admit that it is equally likely that a cap can
>fail as often as any particular active device. Very good, you're
>making progress.

I never denied it. I just didn't jump to the conclusion that it's a
cap like you did.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1359. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 21:31:14 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org>
Message-ID: <41f023f8$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 194999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message

<snip the pinko Frank Silliland>

SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT.

HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.

THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST.

STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP........WITH TWISTIE, AND U KNOW WHO........ LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE..........THERE ON GILLILAND'S ISLE!!!!!!!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1360. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 21:36:50 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org>
Message-ID: <41f02541@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195000
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.cb

```
"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
news:35a991F4l8090U1@individual.net...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:28054-41EFDA20-87@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
>> Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker
>>
>> Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
>>
>> Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia
>>
>> Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota
>>
>> Sen John McCain, R-Arizona
>>
>> .and the list goes on....
>>
> What's your point liberal?
>
>

Tittyhed isn't just a liberal, he's a flamming faggot cb'er.
he loves sucking off black cb'ers he "MEATS" off channel 19.

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

1361. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 21:39:45 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org>
Message-ID: <41f025f2@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195001
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb

hey professor.steveo is the NG's resident cocksucker. his dad taught him how to blow and now he sucks all the dicks he can!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1362. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 22:18:09 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106259489.890187.124160@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

hey vinnie, since we both thought the pics were funny maybe we could get together for some hot action. i'm 4 1/2 inches hard.

1363. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 22:20:56 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106259656.186490.252430@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195003
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I AM NOT N8WWM ! wrote:

<snip like by lover frank does>

FUCK ALL YOU GUYS! ME AND TRIPLE ARE GOING TO POST OUR LOVE MAKING ON
THE INTERNET NO MATTER WHO LIKES IT!

1364. Re: What does a Lot Lizard make a night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 22:23:03 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106259783.727517.232750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195004
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

Rocky Roads wrote:
> a box of assorted creams.
>
> That was Rocky, imitating Zèke, imitating Rocky
I want you inside me!

1365. My 4 1/2 inch antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 22:29:37 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106260177.762938.289020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

PEOPLE SAY I'M A KEYCLOWN BUT I'M NOT. I AM A PROUD MEMBER (i said member,hehehehehe) OF THE MEDINA CB CLUB. WE GET TOGETHET THE FIRST TUESDAY OF THE MONTH FOR A GAY GANG BANG. ANY"BODY" INTERESTED JUST LET ME KNOW.

LOVE YOU GUYS!!!!
STEVEO

1366. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 22:30:46 UTC
From: "william." <hogtyme@flash.net>
Message-ID: <41F03116.6108E406@flash.net>
Source record: 195005
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

This is not the place for such activity on this grp,
please take it elsewhere!

1367. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:01:50 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106262110.758182.308680@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195007
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.cb

Not to mention pimpin' FUGGLY.

1368. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:02:54 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106262174.789691.111900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195008

And this astute political observation means what, anal face?

1369. Re: My 4 1/2 inch antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:03:37 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106262217.587488.80420@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

yeah, mopey is the "wife of the party"

1370. Re: My 4 1/2 inch antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:05:05 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106262305.145421.324420@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

with a little wand like that it would be more laike a gang snap than a gang bang...heheheh

1371. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:17:31 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106263051.652839.176690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195011

Of course the massive draw down of the military by that faggot clinton admin had nothing at all to do with any lack of readiness, ay?

Of course all the gutless, wimp ass, ignorant fucking around when we were hit over and over for the 8 clinton years by these camel asses did nothing to embolden the bastards, ay?

Of course the balless sissy ass clinton weeners that refused to provide close air support for the 75th Rangers in Somalia did nothing to encourage bin Laden, ay?

1372. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:18:30 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vue0v0l9r42d73j4ds7bvjehras9l2cpmf@4ax.com>
Source record: 195012

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:39:05 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:mfovu0l9jlvr1v85ul1bj3aocgsdcj0ai1@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:37:37 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>It's not really beyond the DX2547's limits. There's an ample power
>>>>supply
>>>>in there and the board is already punched, labled and wired for another
>>>>final, just needs support components and biased up.
>>>>
>>>>But as stated earlier the gain in power is not really worth the hasle,
>>>>and
>>>>not enough to drive a high drive amp.
>>>>
>>>>More of a bragging right I guess.
>>>>
>>>>Btw, for the technician it is a wonderful radio to work on. The top and
>>>>bottom comes off like most mobiles and it's wide enough to sit on it's
>>>>side
>>>>and work on both sides. Only have to clip 2 nylon ties holding the
>>>>speaker
>>>>cable in. There's plenty of room and the super razor sharp edges are
>>>>kept
>>>>to a minimum. I was pleasantly suprised when working on it, the manual
>>>>is
>>>>also very easy to understand and comprehensive.
>>>
>>>You are doing a good job in selling the radio. I'm almost ready to go
>>>out and buy one just for the fun of it. But I'll disconnect the Roger
>>>Beep.......
>>
>>
>> The 949 was great on AM. But drifted like an unanchored boat on sideband.
>> I
>> needed to recenter the clarifier every 2 weeks.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Was your 949 in a vehicle? I have noticed the drift but after a warmup
>period it settles down. I would be hesitant to have one in a vehicle where

>the temp is constantly rising and falling. My 2547 is in a heated section
>behind my garage, the temp DOES vary and it DOES affect the radio, I just
>don't shut it off and it seems OK. In the warmer months it does quite well
>and gets shut off but I still would not trust it in a car. Many have
>reported reliability problems with galaxy's when subjected to lots of
>vibration and temp change. Another thing that was noted while shopping :)

You described it perfectly.

>Did you buy some bury-flex yet?

No yet. I have to wait til spring. The ground is frozen. In the mean time, I will set up a 6 foot firetstik which is electrically 5/8 wave, and set up a ground plane using 9 foot wires. Just until it warms up.

Vinnie S.

1373. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:18:38 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106263118.578668.181370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195013
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

go give yourself an enema...the guy is funny

1374. Re: Sicko Doug Adair N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:21:48 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106263308.198713.194810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195014
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power

bullshit, sissy boy. You know you are lying now. That's ok
though...just shows you are a fool. If it comes back to me then go
whine to the ISP and have me yanked. It doesn't, and you can't.

1375. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:46:18 UTC
From: john and steph <hintonz@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <41f04324$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 195015
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

"Pierre" <rainsford@ihug.com.au> wrote in message
news:cso71pflu1@lust.ihug.co.nz...
> I started on HF with an HTX-100 and had a ball. It was relatively cheap
and
> a good place to start without breaking the bank. Since then, I have moved
on
> to an all band 100 watter but still regard the HTX-100 as one that
provided
> a lot of fun.
>
> It is nice to have a new BMW but an old HQ still gets you there and a lot
> lower cost.
>

i agree with pierre, i have an htx100 with usb, lsb and am...30w,
get`s xlent reports and the memory system, and mike mounted freq. change
makes working split freq. activations, and general dx a breeze...just my 2
bob`s worth.
cheers john

> Peter
> "43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:41ef9505$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > Ok Trev, yep big price diff but Icom is Hf,VHf, and UHf all in one and
100
> W
> > on Hf, anyway her's a bit of info on the HTX 100 links below, Cheers,
> Warren
> >
> > http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/htx100_spec.htm
> >
> > http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:%aMHd.125953$K7.83598@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > > Cheers Warren, the IC706 is about $1000 dearer, that would take a lot
or
> > > performance boost to match the price difference. I might pay a couple

of
> > > hundred for the HTX100 as its a 30 watt unit by the looks.
> > >
> > > "43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:41ef8105_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > > > G'day Trev, from what I've seen on the net , they're going 2nd hand
in
> > the
> > > > US for around 50 - 60 US Dollars, there's one on ebay too, in the
US,
> > link
> > > > below, I personally don't know what they perform like, I have had
> other
> > > > Realistic products and put it this way, you only get what you pay
for,
> > and
> > > > sometimes not even that lol, I agree with DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK
posting
> on
> > > > the
> > > > ICOM 706MKIIG except for the price difference, new from Andrews
> > > > Communications is $1399.00 AUD, and they still go for around $1200
on
> > ebay
> > > > at end of bidding, bloody good radio though I've had mine for about
4
> > > > years
> > > > now and very happy with it, choosing a radio depends on what your
are
> > > > using
> > > > it for and how often, and ofcourse the bloody bank account always
> plays
> > a
> > > > big part in the decision lol , Cheers for now, Warren 43PC122
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dllViewItem&item=5744479436&category=467
> > > > 4&sspagename=rvi:1:3
> > > >
> > > > http://www.andrewscom.com.au/site-content-section-01-icom.htm
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57456149
> > > > 22&rd=1
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > > >> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
> > > >> I'm thinking of buying one.
> > > >> Cheers
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1376. Re: tenko 46t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-20 23:58:25 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <_AXHd.297$Fa3.169@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195016

Try these:

http://www.ik6sbe.it/Radio/Radiogiornale/Anno%202002/073.htm
http://www.oldradios.it/page185.html
http://www.newsland.it/nr/browse/it.hobby.radioamatori/

HTH

Daniele wrote:
> hi, i'm italian and i search the outline electrical worker of tenko 46t, an
> older CB radio
>
> sorry for my english...
>
> Thanks,
> Daniele
>
>

1377. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 00:01:14 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eDXHd.126473$K7.47372@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 195017
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

Hi John, is it a mod to get LSB and AM?, as I hear this model has normally
only USB.
Cheers Trev
"john and steph" <hintonz@tpg.com.au> wrote in message
news:41f04324$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
>
> "Pierre" <rainsford@ihug.com.au> wrote in message
> news:cso71pflu1@lust.ihug.co.nz...
>> I started on HF with an HTX-100 and had a ball. It was relatively cheap
> and
>> a good place to start without breaking the bank. Since then, I have moved
> on
>> to an all band 100 watter but still regard the HTX-100 as one that
> provided
>> a lot of fun.
>>
>> It is nice to have a new BMW but an old HQ still gets you there and a lot
>> lower cost.
>>
>
> i agree with pierre, i have an htx100 with usb, lsb and am...30w,
> get`s xlent reports and the memory system, and mike mounted freq. change
> makes working split freq. activations, and general dx a breeze...just my 2
> bob`s worth.
> cheers john
>
>
>
>> Peter
>> "43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:41ef9505$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
>> > Ok Trev, yep big price diff but Icom is Hf,VHf, and UHf all in one and
> 100
>> W
>> > on Hf, anyway her's a bit of info on the HTX 100 links below, Cheers,
>> Warren
>> >
>> > http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/htx100_spec.htm
>> >
>> > http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/
>> >
>> >
>> >

```
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:%aMHd.125953$K7.83598@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>> > > Cheers Warren, the IC706 is about $1000 dearer, that would take a lot
> or
>> > > performance boost to match the price difference. I might pay a couple
> of
>> > > hundred for the HTX100 as its a 30 watt unit by the looks.
>> > >
>> > > "43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:41ef8105_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
>> > > > G'day Trev, from what I've seen on the net , they're going 2nd hand
> in
>> > the
>> > > > US for around 50 - 60 US Dollars, there's one on ebay too, in the
> US,
>> > link
>> > > > below, I personally don't know what they perform like, I have had
>> other
>> > > > Realistic products and put it this way, you only get what you pay
> for,
>> > and
>> > > > sometimes not even that lol, I agree with DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK
> posting
>> on
>> > > > the
>> > > ICOM 706MKIIG except for the price difference, new from Andrews
>> > > Communications is $1399.00 AUD, and they still go for around $1200
> on
>> > ebay
>> > > > at end of bidding, bloody good radio though I've had mine for about
> 4
>> > > > years
>> > > now and very happy with it, choosing a radio depends on what your
> are
>> > > > using
>> > > it for and how often, and ofcourse the bloody bank account always
>> plays
>> > a
>> > > > big part in the decision lol , Cheers for now, Warren 43PC122
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> >
>>
> http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dllViewItem&item=5744479436&category=467
>> > > > 4&sspagename=rvi:1:3
>> > > >
```

>> > > > http://www.andrewscom.com.au/site-content-section-01-icom.htm
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> >
>>
> http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57456149
>> > > > 22&rd=1
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > > news:fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>> > > >> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
>> > > >> I'm thinking of buying one.
>> > > >> Cheers
>> > > >>
>> > > >>
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > >
>>
>>
>
>

1378. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 00:03:56 UTC
From: Peter Parker <parkerp@NOSPAMalphalink.com.au>
Message-ID: <41f046ec@news.alphalink.com.au>
Source record: 195018
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

"john and steph" <hintonz@tpg.com.au> wrote in message
news:41f04324$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> i agree with pierre, i have an htx100 with usb, lsb and am...30w,
> get`s xlent reports and the memory system, and mike mounted freq. change
> makes working split freq. activations, and general dx a breeze...just my 2
> bob`s worth.

As a radio they're great.

When 10m is open and there's people on that is.

At other times it'll only be good as a paperweight.

And given that sunspot numbers will be low for a few years, be prepared for
weeks at a time without contacts on 10m.

If you're on a budget, you'd be far better off with an older secondhand HF
set that covers the much more active bands of 80, 40 and 20m. At least
you'll be able to get contacts on HF just about any time you want rather
than tuning across a band that's dead most of the time.

Peter

1379. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 00:12:56 UTC
From: jonz <overhere@overthere.com>
Message-ID: <41f04960$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 195019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote in
message news:41f023f8$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>
> <snip the pinko Frank Silliland>
>
> SIT RIGHT BACK AND YOU'LL HEAR A TALE, A TALE OF A NUTLESS TWIT! WHO
> POSTS IN ALL THE NEWSGROUPS, TO SPREAD HIS SILLY SHIT. TO SPREAD HIS
> SILLY SHIT.
>
> HIS FIRST NAME IS FRANKIE, A KEYCLOWN ASSHOLE! HE SAT DOWN AT HIS
> KEYBOARD, SO HE COULD TROLL. SO HE COULD TROLL.
>
> THE NEWSGROUP STARTED GETTING ROUGH, HE WANTED STEVEO PARKS! IF NOT FOR
> HELP FROM HIS KEYCLOWN QUEEN, 'OLE FRANKIE WOULD BE LOST. 'OLE FRANKIE
> WOULD BE LOST.
>
> STEVEO MET 'OLE FRANKIE AT A PETRO TRUCK STOP.....WITH TWISTIE, AND
> U KNOW WHO..... LANCER,,,WHO'S STEVEO'S WIFE! THE LANDFAG,, THE GRIM
> REAPER,,,,,,,, YES THEY'RE ALL THERE.....THERE ON GILLILAND'S
> ISLE!!!!!!!!
>

you may not be n8wwm, but you ARE a shouting, blathering, useless oxygen
thief, who would`nt know from shit about anything that happened more than
six feet radius from your own dung hill!!!! do us all a favour and go neck
youself .....fucking imbecile...jonz
>
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1380. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 00:32:36 UTC
From: john and steph <hintonz@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <41f04dfe@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 195020
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

"Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:eDXHd.126473$K7.47372@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Hi John, is it a mod to get LSB and AM?, as I hear this model has normally
> only USB.
> Cheers Trev

hi trev, yep, it`s a mod..it was done by a bloke in SA very usable it is
too...:-)
heers john

> "john and steph" <hintonz@tpg.com.au> wrote in message
> news:41f04324$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> >
> > "Pierre" <rainsford@ihug.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:cso71pflu1@lust.ihug.co.nz...
> >> I started on HF with an HTX-100 and had a ball. It was relatively cheap
> > and
> >> a good place to start without breaking the bank. Since then, I have
moved
> > on
> >> to an all band 100 watter but still regard the HTX-100 as one that
> > provided
> >> a lot of fun.
> >>
> >> It is nice to have a new BMW but an old HQ still gets you there and a
lot
> >> lower cost.
> >>
> >
> > i agree with pierre, i have an htx100 with usb, lsb and am...30w,
> > get`s xlent reports and the memory system, and mike mounted freq. change
> > makes working split freq. activations, and general dx a breeze...just my
2
> > bob`s worth.
> > cheers john
> >
> >
> >
> >> Peter
> >> "43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:41ef9505$1_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> >> > Ok Trev, yep big price diff but Icom is Hf,VHf, and UHf all in one
and
> > 100

> >> W
> >> > on Hf, anyway her's a bit of info on the HTX 100 links below, Cheers,
> >> Warren
> >> >
> >> > http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/htx100_spec.htm
> >> >
> >> > http://rogerbird1.20megsfree.com/
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:%aMHd.125953$K7.83598@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> >> > > Cheers Warren, the IC706 is about $1000 dearer, that would take a
lot
> > or
> >> > > performance boost to match the price difference. I might pay a
couple
> > of
> >> > > hundred for the HTX100 as its a 30 watt unit by the looks.
> >> > >
> >> > > "43PC122" <w43pc122@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:41ef8105_1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> >> > > > G'day Trev, from what I've seen on the net , they're going 2nd
hand
> > in
> >> > the
> >> > > > US for around 50 - 60 US Dollars, there's one on ebay too, in the
> > US,
> >> > link
> >> > > > below, I personally don't know what they perform like, I have had
> >> other
> >> > > > Realistic products and put it this way, you only get what you pay
> > for,
> >> > and
> >> > > > sometimes not even that lol, I agree with DR RASTIS FAF00FNIK
> > posting
> >> on
> >> > > > the
> >> > > > ICOM 706MKIIG except for the price difference, new from Andrews
> >> > > > Communications is $1399.00 AUD, and they still go for around
$1200
> > on
> >> > ebay
> >> > > > at end of bidding, bloody good radio though I've had mine for
about
> > 4

> >> > > > years
> >> > > > now and very happy with it, choosing a radio depends on what your
> > are
> >> > > > using
> >> > > > it for and how often, and ofcourse the bloody bank account always
> >> plays
> >> > a
> >> > > > big part in the decision lol , Cheers for now, Warren 43PC122
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > >
> >> >
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dllViewItem&item=5744479436&category=467
> >> > > > 4&sspagename=rvi:1:3
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > http://www.andrewscom.com.au/site-content-section-01-icom.htm
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > >
> >> >
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com.au/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1502&item=57456149
> >> > > > 22&rd=1
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > > news:fxGHd.125380$K7.122668@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> >> > > >> Is the Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, any good?
> >> > > >> I'm thinking of buying one.
> >> > > >> Cheers
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >>
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >
> >> > > >
> >> > >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >
>
>

1381. Re: Radio Shack/Realistic HTX100 10M transciever, anygood?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 00:41:04 UTC
From: john and steph <hintonz@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <41f04ffa$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 195021
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

"Peter Parker" <parkerp@NOSPAMalphalink.com.au> wrote in message
news:41f046ec@news.alphalink.com.au...
>
> "john and steph" <hintonz@tpg.com.au> wrote in message
> news:41f04324$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au...
> > i agree with pierre, i have an htx100 with usb, lsb and am...30w,
> > get`s xlent reports and the memory system, and mike mounted freq. change
> > makes working split freq. activations, and general dx a breeze...just my
2
> > bob`s worth.
>
> As a radio they're great.
>
> When 10m is open and there's people on that is.
>
> At other times it'll only be good as a paperweight.
>
> And given that sunspot numbers will be low for a few years, be prepared
for
> weeks at a time without contacts on 10m.
>
> If you're on a budget, you'd be far better off with an older secondhand HF
> set that covers the much more active bands of 80, 40 and 20m. At least
> you'll be able to get contacts on HF just about any time you want rather
> than tuning across a band that's dead most of the time.
>
> Peter
>
> 10m only? 11m is fairly active most times..and for non-hams listening on
80,40 etc. has the ability to bore one rigid :-) cheers john

1382. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 00:47:44 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13791-41F05130-113@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195022

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
On 19 Jan 2005 20:48:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:
>http://n8wwm.4t.com/

(That is really him? How did you get the middle picture with the toupe?
Vinnie S.)
-

>Top pic is him, the middle pic is a spot on

>description of him if you had to do a police

>sketch.

Bottom pic is him, too, but the face was changed to protect the masses.
That's the pic he had on his qrz site before someone called him a
chickenhawk and he yanked it.

1383. Re: My 4 1/2 inch antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 01:00:03 UTC
From: bbnn <jl@fnn.gfd>
Message-ID: <cspk2m04cs@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 195023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:

>with a little wand like that it would be more laike a gang snap than a
>gang bang...heheheh
>
>
>
as if your dick is any bigger. the average length is 5" from the PDR
medical book.

1384. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 01:10:31 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13791-41F05687-116@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195025

N3CVJ wrote:
Follow? I set the example. My posts have no

>emotional content at all.

That you are unable to grasp the moment your posts become personal, it is born of your emotion, is no surprise.

> They are pure and simple logic based on

>either facts or empirical observation.

>Something you seem unable to comprehend.

You still haven't managed to explain how one who violates the 70 MPH federal speed limit is not a "federal criminal", yet one who violates the dx rule, *is* a "federal criminal". Of special interest is your only criteria for referring to one as this "criminal" is the fact you hold the dx rule was enacted by the feds,,,,,,as was the 70 MPH limit.

>I do not have Comcast. I do not live on a

>Comcast system.

You're not being clear, Davie, a sure sign of confusion and difficulty communicating.

>That's WHY I have ptd.net. You won't find

>competing isp's on the same cable system.

Ah,,the desperate attempt to obfuscate. Too bad, comcast most certainly services your area.

>My area? Now, be careful now, I'm about to

>ask one of those questions which you can't

>seem to understand the motivation for: Just

>what is your criteria for what determines "my

>area"? One mile radius? 10 mile? 30 mile?

>I will tell you point blank that my cable system

>is NOT Comcast, and therefore they have no

>rights to offer cable modem service. Comcast

>cable modem service is only offered on

>Comcast cable systems.

>YOU are a liar in the first degree.

You have comcast in addition to whatever else.

>Prove it.

>Put up or crawl back under your rock.

Surely, but you will mind your etiquette. Proper communication dictates you answer the question posed you prior to earning the right to ask one of the inquirer. Name the ham you claimed agreed with you that roger beeps are illegal and I will illustrate with sound deftness your post from comcast.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

1385. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 01:17:17 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13790-41F0581D-325@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195024

From: mnmiikkew@aol.com (MnMikew)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28054-41EFDA20-87@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker
Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia
Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota
Sen John McCain, R-Arizona
and the list goes on....

>What's your point liberal?

I think you just made it.....the old tired rhetoric that one who opposes
Bush must be a liberal, is being laid to rest by intelligent folks.

1386. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 01:21:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13792-41F05904-28@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195026

Are you N8WWM, of rain report infamy, who jammed the Toledo Amateur Club's repeater?

1387. Re:twsitsy shown the door again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 01:34:15 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13790-41F05C17-329@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195027

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Jan 20, 2005, 3:31pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re:twsitsy shown the door again! From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Thu, Jan 20, 2005, 3:31pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-Xkzu3UZRdi7O7TFVGe+FRR3dVvC5JP/bXuzlKwvdzlBvHsaUgwMilt1VSeR363dWPkd1+AJvxMZyvIo!0ilWpvovbJztBCrH1A3tiQjJnqu9czHplJTZK+GiQHuoluGnTcArPdwUNWhjZp68grSe X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.22

(anger snipped)

>I have no concept? The spread entails a team

>being either favored or underdog, if they win

>by the points thew odds makers from Vegas

>they get a win in the ATS colum if they lose

>they get a loss.

That's the ticket.

>It is you that is babbling again, I know full well

>how the spread works, I have wagered on pro

>sports for over 20 years,

Gambling, alcoholism,,,you have multiple vices.

> been to Vegas

Me too. What's your favorite place to stay?

> and moved the line on a basketball game

>because of the amount wagered.

Your kid brother's pop warner game don't count.

>PIT is 7-7 ATS over the last 10 years (L10Y)

>in playoff games

Being the big, vegas gambler you are, you know what that record means...an incredible improvement over what they *historically* record.

>You seem to have all the answers why dont

>you provide a link to back up your claim, I

>have refuted your claim.

Whether you realize it or not, you are making quite a case against what you profess. Keep posting those records over the years.

1388. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 01:58:19 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <1106272699.319092.210920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195028

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:39:05 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote:
>
> >
> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:mfovu0l9jlvr1v85ul1bj3aocgsdcj0ai1@4ax.com...
> >> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:37:37 -0500, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> >> wrote:
> >>

> >Did you buy some bury-flex yet?
>
>
> No yet. I have to wait til spring. The ground is frozen. In the mean
time, I
> will set up a 6 foot firetstik which is electrically 5/8 wave, and
set up a
> ground plane using 9 foot wires. Just until it warms up.
>
> Vinnie S.

Watch ebay, I got 100' for 1/2 the price. It was labled "used" but did not even have the jacket marked up, just had 2 connectors installed. If you have some time then keep your eyes open, you may come across a deal! good luck!

Chad

1389. Re: Is it Steveo's Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 02:09:03 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f067b7$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote in
message news:41f0299b@news.usenetzone.com...
> Maybe it's not Steveo's fault he's such an asswipe.Look at what his mother
> did at home!
>
It's common knowledge about his Mom....but what turned him the way he "is"?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1390. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 02:19:33 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f06287_8@rover.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 195029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

JONZ.......WELCOME TO OUR CB GROUP!
R U INTO MUTUAL JACK-OFF SESSIONS?
ANYWAY, BE SURE TO "CUM" TO ONE OF OUR CLUB "MEATS"

YOU'LL LOVE IT! (wink,wink)

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

1391. Re: Medina CB Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 02:20:27 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f067b9$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195030
Cross-posted to: alt.penthouse.sex.stories.gay,rec.radio.cb

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote in
message news:41f020f7@news.usenetzone.com...
> medina cb club meeting, ch 19 shout-out channel
>
>
HEY! How'd you guys get that pic of me?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1392. Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 02:28:50 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f064b3$1_17@rover.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 195032

hey steveo. all i see here is your forges and your threats to the hams who post here. you're a very vulgar person.i don't know about doug and george, but i take your threats to do bodily harm serious.

you love to threaten innocent people like wwm.

well threaten me, boy.

i am not the gentleman wwm is.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

1393. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 02:32:20 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f06a83$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Are you kidding???????

I know 'ole Jon......He'll suck the fucking chrome off a goddam trailer hitch!! Jerking off hell!!!!!!

JONZ is the perfect cum receptical!!!!!!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1394. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 02:35:33 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f06b42$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:jcvcu09uaqj1v0hsd5fo76os1hufnoo6hm@news.easynews.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
>>sorry SSB
>>
>>Cheers Guys
>>
>
> Trev;
> Can you send me a copy of that schematic?

Lancer!!!!! Where's your fucking manners. Trev will only send it to you
after you suck-off Frank Silliland.
Thats how the club works,,,,,,remember sweetie??

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1395. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 02:40:08 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f06c55@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195035

Maybe Frankie will just drop dead from AIDS.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1396. Ping N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 02:53:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120215352.444$Ly_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195036

Hey Doug, I talked to the guy at the International Boxing Club in Toledo.

He told me I can buy some ring time, right down the street from you.

The address is:

615 Front St
Toledo, OH 43605

That's real close to your house.

Let's settle this thing with the gloves on, and a ref.

What say?

At least you'll have a chance to throw the towel this way.

(save your gay remarks)

1397. Re: Sicko Doug Adair N8WWM At Truckstops cowers when white people show up at his door!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:02:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120220245.094$HT_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195037
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo's whore mother" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
> news:1106162898.002916.286870@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > Truckers beware of an angry little man who goes by the name of "Ms
> > Parks".
> >
> > He has been spotted at Ohio truckstops dressed in drag. He (it) hangs
> > in toilet stalls and offers blowjobs to black truckers. He (it)is a
> > known carrier of AIDS.
>
> Doug Adair N8WWM
>
> Trolling again:
>
> Inverse trace says:
>
> Convert Base-10 to IP
> Note: Many registrars block whois queries from this site due to the
> large
> volume of requests
>
> Base 10 conversion: 65.238.180.215
>
> TraceRoute to 65.238.180.215 [1106162903]
>
> Trace for the above comes back to..... Doug Adair
>
> Convert Base-10 to IP
> Note: Many registrars block whois queries from this site due to the
> large
> volume of requests
>
> TraceRoute to 65.238.180.215 [2cust23.tnt6.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net]
>
> He really is one sick person
>
> Landshark
>
He's got so many sock puppet's, there's no keeping track. He's the asshole
that's posting those sick gay pictures here.

The simple fact remains, I'm gonna beat him to a pulp if he has the nerve to stand behind what he types.

Hey Doug, want it with or without the gloves?

See my ping N8WWM post, fugly chickenshit. I'll pay for the ring rental.

Or I can throw a much deserved street beating on your dead-beat ass.

Your call, motherfucker.

1398. Re: Content N8WWM Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:12:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120221237.975$vh_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

That's N8WWM forging me. Have a closer look at the retard.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/photo.html

I went to his slum....he was too skeered to come outside.

"Triple 1" <nodamnham@nospam.com> wrote:
> Only YOU are the ONE that is interested in man on man sex.
> No one else has even asked you for man on man sex.
>

1399. Re: Sicko Doug Adair N8WWM At Truckstops cowers when white people show up at his door!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:16:20 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f06fd6_15@rover.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 195039

steveo,want to kick my ass?
leave doug out of it asshole. you and me.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

1400. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:24:29 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f071c1$1_19@rover.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 195040

i see your threats to wwm again. i'll be in dayton as i said before the week of jan 31--feb 4 on business.

i'm usually finished with meetings by 6:00 pm.

whats your pleasure asshole. i'm not going to insult your mother. i don't know her. i will take you up on your threats, though. you like to threaten innocent hams.i'll stand doug by it and i'll represnent him. so all your threats to wwm you can take up with me.

i know doug doesn't need me to fight for him. i just like to fight. win or lose, i like it.so whats your pleasure asshole?

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

1401. Dogie wants Steveo in his face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:25:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120222501.205$kg_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195041

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >Frank, I'm getting a little tired of your tone.
>
> I really don't care.
>
-snip- -topic change-

Hi Frank. I propose me renting the boxing ring time in Toledo, and Doug and I settling his -hooked on gay- in the squared circle. Like you, I really don't care, except the part where no one talks that way to my face without trouble. He only lives 3 exit's down the Ohio green-stamp from me.

I know the pat answer is to ignore him. Most times I do, but the urge to rub his deputy dogie jowls in my spit is hard to resist.

Figure a fair boxing match right down the street from his house, with me paying the rental is a fair way to settle it?

I value your opinion now, only reason I ask.

1402. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:29:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120222958.526$G2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195042

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:15:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
> wrote in <12354-41EE87A6-21@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>:
>
> >From: w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net (Frank&#65533;Gilliland)
> >On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
> >in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
> >Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
> >sorry SSB
> >
> >>I see you found my email. Excuse my
> >
> >>grouchiness but I've been drinking and
> >
> >>listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a
> >
> >>Sony amp. That being said.....
> >
> >
> >Didn't the Bee Gees actually ROCK back in the late sixties and early
> >70s?
>
> Absolutely! Have you checked out some of their more recent work?
> Sometimes a little retro but with the same unique 'sound'.
>
We're showing our age here, guys. I had Bee Gee 8-track tapes in my
portable E-150 fuck palace back in the day. (mirror-ball?)

1403. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:35:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120223549.153$HO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195043

"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
> i see your threats to wwm again. i'll be in dayton as i said before the
> week of jan 31--feb 4 on business.
>
Send me an email about it. I'll hold dogie responsible for the no-show.

1404. More email forging from the used cock suckers at Usenet Zone bone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:46:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120224652.877$MQ_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

Do they only allow gay guys to post!?

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
> news:jcvcu09uaqj1v0hsd5fo76os1hufnoo6hm@news.easynews.com...
> > On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
> >>sorry SSB
> >>
> >>Cheers Guys
> >>
> >
> > Trev;
> > Can you send me a copy of that schematic?
>
> Lancer!!!!! Where's your fucking manners. Trev will only send it to you
> after you suck-off Frank Silliland.
> Thats how the club works,,,,,,remember sweetie??
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1405. More email forging from the used cock suckers at Usenet Zone bone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:51:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120225115.166$n5_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison

Do they only let gay men post over there?

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> Are you kidding???????
>
> I know 'ole Jon......He'll suck the fucking chrome off a goddam trailer
> hitch!! Jerking off hell!!!!!!
>
> JONZ is the perfect cum receptical!!!!!!!
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1406. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 03:58:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120225805.095$cW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195046

"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
> hey steveo. all i see here is your forges and your threats to the hams
> who post here. you're a very vulgar person.i don't know about doug and
> george, but i take your threats to do bodily harm serious.
>
> you love to threaten innocent people like wwm.
>
> well threaten me, boy.
>
> i am not the gentleman wwm is.
>
> x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
> x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
> x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
> x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD
>
^^^ fucking retard^^

I'll take your lunch money and buy you a tampon
with it, dogie. Call it humanitarian help. It's
a plain shame you were too busy jamming repeaters
and scamming people, while you neglected your
children. Paybacks are gonna suck, or so your
neighbor tells me on the land line.

1407. More email forging from the used cock suckers at Usenet Zone bone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:04:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120230446.374$g9_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison

Do they only let gay men post over there?

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> "I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote in
> message news:41f0299b@news.usenetzone.com...
> > Maybe it's not Steveo's fault he's such an asswipe.Look at what his
> > mother did at home!
> >
> It's common knowledge about his Mom....but what turned him the way he
> "is"?
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1408. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:07:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <aov0v0lqfhhf76uu2hp95dpt20tulj1iqi@4ax.com>
Source record: 195048

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 02:05:42 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<jcvcu09uaqj1v0hsd5fo76os1hufnoo6hm@news.easynews.com>:

>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Frank, what is your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake,
>>sorry SSB
>>
>>Cheers Guys
>>
>
>Trev;
> Can you send me a copy of that schematic?

I just sent it to you. Trev's file was a 2.2M jpeg so I reduced it to
a 2-color gif of about 200K. Quality is no worse than the original,
which was pretty bad. I think he scanned a xerox copy.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1409. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:14:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120231431.927$Li@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195049

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Jan 2005 20:48:33 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
> >http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>
> (That is really him? How did you get the middle picture with the toupe?
> Vinnie S.)
> -
>
> >Top pic is him, the middle pic is a spot on
>
> >description of him if you had to do a police
>
> >sketch.
>
> Bottom pic is him, too, but the face was changed to protect the masses.
> That's the pic he had on his qrz site before someone called him a
> chickenhawk and he yanked it.
>
Yep. He is no bigger than a Wilson 1000, soaking wet. Look at how big
he makes an 8' door frame look.

http://img93.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img93&image=dogieupclosefugly9ax.jpg

1410. Re: tenko 46t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:17:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120231741.526$4L@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195050

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Try these:
>
> http://www.ik6sbe.it/Radio/Radiogiornale/Anno%202002/073.htm
> http://www.oldradios.it/page185.html
> http://www.newsland.it/nr/browse/it.hobby.radioamatori/
>
> HTH
>
Mama Mia! ($1 to Enzo)

1411. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:20:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120232045.197$tX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195053

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> No yet. I have to wait til spring. The ground is frozen.
>
Your grand-dad could dig it. <g>

1412. Re: Dogie wants Steveo in his face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:21:58 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f08434$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.free.party-lines

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050120222501.205$kg_-_@newsreader.com...
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>> >Frank, I'm getting a little tired of your tone.
>>
>> I really don't care.
>>
> -snip- -topic change-
>
> Hi Frank. I propose me renting the boxing ring time in Toledo, and Doug
> and I settling his -hooked on gay- in the squared circle. Like you, I
> really don't care, except the part where no one talks that way to
> my face without trouble. He only lives 3 exit's down the Ohio green-stamp
> from me.
>
> I know the pat answer is to ignore him. Most times I do, but the urge
> to rub his deputy dogie jowls in my spit is hard to resist.
>
> Figure a fair boxing match right down the street from his house, with
> me paying the rental is a fair way to settle it?
>
> I value your opinion now, only reason I ask.
THE ONLY THING YOU VALUE ABOUT FRANK IS HIS COCK UP YOR ASS.

HOW'S YOUR CRACK HEAD WHORE-MAW DOING?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1413. Re: So far this life, N8WWM puts fag or gay in every post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:22:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120232225.217$Fq_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195052

N8WWM "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Of course the massive draw down of the military by that faggot clinton
> admin
>
^^^closet faggot^^

1414. Re: tenko 46t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:25:25 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f08502@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,ALT.PERSONALS.GAY

STEVEO WOULD MAKE IT $2 IF YOU STRAPPED ON A DILDO AND DID HIS ASS WHILE
LANDFAG WAS JERKING OFF!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1415. Re: N8WWM is sooooooooo FUGLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:25:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120232534.479$br_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195055
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"william." <hogtyme@flash.net> wrote:
> This is not the place for such activity on this grp,
> please take it elsewhere!
>
How about right down the street from N8WWM with gloves and a ref?

1416. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:28:16 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f085ad$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195056
Cross-posted to: alt.penthouse.sex.stories.gay,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050120225805.095$cW@newsreader.com...
> "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
>> hey steveo. all i see here is your forges and your threats to the hams
>> who post here. you're a very vulgar person.i don't know about doug and
>> george, but i take your threats to do bodily harm serious.
>>
>> you love to threaten innocent people like wwm.
>>
>> well threaten me, boy.
>>
>> i am not the gentleman wwm is.
>>
>> x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
>> x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
>> x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
>> x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD
>>
> ^^^ fucking retard^^
>
> I'll take your lunch money and buy you a tampon
> with it, dogie. Call it humanitarian help. It's
> a plain shame you were too busy jamming repeaters
> and scamming people, while you neglected your
> children. Paybacks are gonna suck, or so your
> neighbor tells me on the land line.

Steveo won't do shit. He's a flamming faggot coward plain and simple.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1417. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:29:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120232903.592$Gi@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195058

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days,
>
There's no call for that. You can disagree with someone without using
gay references.

1418. Re: My 4 1/2 inch antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:29:31 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f085f7$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb

"bbnn" <jl@fnn.gfd> wrote in message news:cspk2m04cs@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>
>>with a little wand like that it would be more laike a gang snap than a
>>gang bang...heheheh
>>
>>
> as if your dick is any bigger. the average length is 5" from the PDR
> medical book.
Tell me about your cock.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1419. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:32:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120233216.908$36@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195059
Cross-posted to:
uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote:
> hey professor.steveo is the NG's resident cocksucker. his dad taught him
> how to blow and now he sucks all the dicks he can!
>
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Nice.

1420. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:32:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120233255.981$bG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195060
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.cb,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison

```
"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote:
> "MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:35a991F4l8090U1@individual.net...
> >
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:28054-41EFDA20-87@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
> >> Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker
> >>
> >> Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
> >>
> >> Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia
> >>
> >> Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota
> >>
> >> Sen John McCain, R-Arizona
> >>
> >> .and the list goes on....
> >>
> > What's your point liberal?
> >
> >
>
> Tittyhed isn't just a liberal, he's a flamming faggot cb'er.
> he loves sucking off black cb'ers he "MEATS" off channel 19.
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Nice.
```

1421. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:33:07 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f086d1$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

Steveo, why did you drive 300 miles to have gay sex in a motel?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1422. Re: More email forging from the used cock suckers at Usenet Zone bone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:35:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120233520.799$BH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison

Fred Hall <fkhall@databasix.com> wrote:
> On 21 Jan 2005 04:04:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Do they only let gay men post over there?
>
> Don't sweat it. It's probably just the Unitard, and most folks have
> him kill filed already.
>
Nope, it's not Uni.

<snip after top post reply>

1423. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:38:36 UTC
From: moparholic <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f08819$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195063
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050120232903.592$Gi@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days,
>>
> There's no call for that. You can disagree with someone without using
> gay references.

Steveo's right. when you make gay references it makes Steveo feel unwelcome here. Just because Steveo is homosexual doesn't mean that he doesn't have as much right as you to post here.

Plus you bring up memories of Steveo's father leaving the home when Steveo was very young for another man.We don't need to remind him of this over and over again.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1424. Re: Where's used cock sucker?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:42:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050120234232.587$Y5_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.binaries.news-server-comparison

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
> Steveo, why did you drive 300 miles to have gay sex in a motel?
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Nice. It's a good thing I only use hotmail for my Usenet addy. It works
if you take out the spam munge, and I've had it since hotmail started
handing them out. <me love it long time>

Wasn't there a time when forging my email addy -wuz- an AUP violation?

1425. Re: My 4 1/2 inch antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:51:31 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106283091.917979.132170@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

bbnn wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>
> >with a little wand like that it would be more laike a gang snap than
a
> >gang bang...heheheh
> >
> >
> >
> as if your dick is any bigger. the average length is 5" from the PDR
> medical book.

Leave it to a keyclown to know that statistic..heheh

1426. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:54:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106283250.487248.308990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

nope...2nd place to mopeydopey

1427. Re: N8WWM is sooooooooo FUGLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 04:57:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106283437.804108.321860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195067
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

mopey takes it in the Petro toilet stalls, or anywhere else he can get
it.

1428. Frank copy/pastes as good as twithed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:00:43 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106283643.312422.12080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195068

Frank meweled:

"Nearly three years after the invasion, US troops in Iraq finally
have....."(rest of inane garbage clipped)

Those with better command of the facts reminded him:
Wrong, butthead...invasion started March of 2003.

1429. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:02:40 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106283760.250035.255220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195069
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

the shame is you are a keyclown selling illegal amps, and telstar is
going DOWN...watch me do it!

1430. Re: So far this life, N8WWM puts fag or gay in every post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:06:15 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106283298.295752.312540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195070

You wish and pray for that, but sorrrrreeee....hehehe

1431. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:09:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050121000919.203$gM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195071

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in news:20050120232903.592
> $Gi@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days,
> >>
> > There's no call for that. You can disagree with someone without using
> > gay references.
>
> Well steve after the past 3 /4 yrs of them constantly battling etc now
> they are pen pals. give me a break.
>
Disagree.. hooptie whooptie. There's way yonder too many gay references
in this NG over such nonsense.

1432. Re: N8WWM is sooooooooo FUGLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:12:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050121001233.233$IL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195072
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> mopey takes it in the Petro toilet stalls, or anywhere else he can get
> it.
>
> Steveo wrote:
> How about right down the street from N8WWM with gloves and a ref?
>
See ya soon.

1433. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:15:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050121001529.829$8m@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195073

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker
>
> Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
>
> Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia
>
> Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota
>
> Sen John McCain, R-Arizona
>
> .and the list goes on....
>
Two dummy-crats that lost.....again. hehaheha

http://img60.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img60&image=kerryholdingedwards4ku.jpg

1434. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:20:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050121002006.442$bH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195074

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> Have you seen this yet?
>
> http://www.jibjab.com/lowband/default.htm
>
> I thought it was pretty funny...
>
HA!

1435. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:23:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050121002356.222$lo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195075

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> news:p6guu0l4g0hktdhjm196qhcl0in2t2nt2j@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 14:42:57 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> > wrote in <RluHd.13075$5R.4057@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
> >
> > <snip>
> >> One thing I've seen about Frank as of late, he doesn't
> >>seem to let his emotions do his talking.
> >
> >
> > Good thing I wasn't drinking Tequila last night.
> >
>
> LOL!! Haven't drank much of anything for a couple of
> months now, Christmas just about killed me in funds
> so new kegs were out of the question.
>
You buy to-killya by the keg!?

1436. Re: Frank copy/pastes as good as twithed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:27:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f09367$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195076

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106283643.312422.12080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Frank meweled:
>
> "Nearly three years after the invasion, US troops in Iraq finally
> have....."(rest of inane garbage clipped)
>
>
> Those with better command of the facts reminded him:
> Wrong, butthead...invasion started March of 2003.
>
I love to suck penis and talk on the CB.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1437. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:34:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0950e$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195077

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28054-41EFDA20-87@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
> Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker
>
> Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
>
> Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia
>
> Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota
>
> Sen John McCain, R-Arizona
>
> .and the list goes on....
>
Go suck more cock at the truckstop twistedfag.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1438. Re: Frank copy/pastes as good as twithed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 05:52:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3v51v0t5lt8v10p2308vr5liki3t1easej@4ax.com>
Source record: 195078

On 20 Jan 2005 21:00:43 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
<1106283643.312422.12080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>Frank meweled:
>
>"Nearly three years after the invasion, US troops in Iraq finally
>have....."(rest of inane garbage clipped)
>
>
>Those with better command of the facts reminded him:
>Wrong, butthead...invasion started March of 2003.

Hmmmm..... that might explain why my checkbook didn't balance this
month. But do you really think that all our dead and wounded troops,
and more dying each day, is nothing but "inane garbage"?

1439. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 06:06:33 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vb61v0te367gjo546j9l99fabbha57pcm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 195079

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 15:49:53 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E4AB7E8353C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:jgmvu0dsqec804snk45juanjnp64ln8qsk@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 10:22:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>> wrote in <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>... I thought it was pure shit
>>
>>
>> Speaking of shitty music, turn on the TV and listen to the song
>> written by Ashcroft..... blech!!!
>>
>
>Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days, are you going
>to post his name again off ebay, or are you befriendung him for other
>reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

I'm not responsible for your state of ill health.

However, in the past couple months I have noticed something very
interesting: Say you find a strange piece of equipment and have no
idea what it does. So you start pushing buttons until something
happens. Well, I pushed a button marked "Dave Hall" and all of a
sudden I'm being treated like a keyclown by you and your other
sock-puppets, complete with forgeries and homo-dribble.

Do you have an explanation for this?

1440. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 06:14:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f09e82$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195080

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:vb61v0te367gjo546j9l99fabbha57pcm2@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 15:49:53 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> <Xns95E4AB7E8353C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
>>news:jgmvu0dsqec804snk45juanjnp64ln8qsk@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 10:22:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>> wrote in <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>... I thought it was pure shit
>>>
>>>
>>> Speaking of shitty music, turn on the TV and listen to the song
>>> written by Ashcroft..... blech!!!
>>>
>>
>>Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days, are you going
>>to post his name again off ebay, or are you befriendung him for other
>>reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick
>
>
> I'm not responsible for your state of ill health.
>
> However, in the past couple months I have noticed something very
> interesting: Say you find a strange piece of equipment and have no
> idea what it does. So you start pushing buttons until something
> happens. Well, I pushed a button marked "Dave Hall" and all of a
> sudden I'm being treated like a keyclown by you and your other
> sock-puppets, complete with forgeries and homo-dribble.
>
> Do you have an explanation for this?
>
I suck keyclown cum any expanation for thhis?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1441. The song about mopey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:09:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106291354.018788.221800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195081

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist so limp

Mopey is a keyqueen boi

With the IQ of a chimp

1442. Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:11:00 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f0a794_6@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 195082

i don't care for your gay cross postings,steveo.why do you insist on cross posting to groups that have nothing to do with radio?why are you only concerned about "acceptable use" when YOU don't like the content.
maybe whoever is posting is not in violation of an acceptable use policy.funny how they don't seem to care and you don't seem to have the same concern for breaking federal communications law.
i have witnessed your harassment of wwm for a long time.i am willing to testify to the truth.strange that now that the shoe is on the other foot you scream"tos".typical.i will give statements to his isp if neccessary.i am the president of the new wwm fan club.you have been tossed,steveo. tossed for the lid you are.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

1443. Re: The song about mopey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:17:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0ad1c$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195083

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106291354.018788.221800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Flip that wrist
>
> Flip that wrist
>
> Flip that wrist so limp
>
> Mopey is a keyqueen boi
>
> With the IQ of a chimp
>
I love that song like I love cock in my face i bolw twisty!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1444. Re: Is it Steveo's Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:20:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0add0$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote in
message news:41f0299b@news.usenetzone.com...
> Maybe it's not Steveo's fault he's such an asswipe.Look at what his mother
> did at home!
>
>

>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
That looks like my sister and she is really hot.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1445. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:25:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0aeff$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195085

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28054-41EFDA20-87@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
> Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker
>
> Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
>
> Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia
>
> Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota
>
> Sen John McCain, R-Arizona
>
> .and the list goes on....
>
you got me pregnant twisty. I tod you asprin and coke not a birtrh control/

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1446. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:27:49 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f0ab85$1_19@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 195086

"Twistedhed" ThewebTVretard@WebForIdiots.net
"snip"
>
> You're a chickenhawk.
>
and you are a chickenshit.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

1447. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:38:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0b20e$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195087

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:YZCdnV8hO9V7_nPcRVn-3w@giganews.com...
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> the goo around here is on your lips, mayne vein slurper.
>
> You spend way too much time thinking about cock.
>
> Are you a girl?
>
> Jeff
>
>
Im a girl do you only like men like I
do?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1448. Re: What does a Lot Lizard make a night

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:39:53 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0b26d$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195088
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106259783.727517.232750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Rocky Roads wrote:
> a box of assorted creams.
>
> That was Rocky, imitating Z&#65533;ke, imitating Rocky
I want you inside me!
>
I like to lay down with men.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1449. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:52:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0b545_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195089

"MnMikew" <mnmiikkew@aol.com> wrote in message
news:35a991F4l8090U1@individual.net...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:28054-41EFDA20-87@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net...
>> Newt Gingrich, former House Speaker
>>
>> Sen. Olympia Snowe, R-Maine
>>
>> Sen. John Warner, R-Virginia
>>
>> Rep Gil Gutknecht, R-Minnesota
>>
>> Sen John McCain, R-Arizona
>>
>> .and the list goes on....
>>
> What's your point liberal?
>
>
He likes gay sex with the kyclowns.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1450. Re: tenko 46t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 07:53:58 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0b5ba$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195090

"Daniele" <bat.mang@virgilio.it> wrote in message
news:csot2t$6e4$1@inews.gazeta.pl...
> hi, i'm italian and i search the outline electrical worker of tenko 46t,
> an older CB radio
>
> sorry for my english...
>
> Thanks,
> Daniele
Dont ask twisty if you dont want illegal gear.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1451. Re: Is it Steveo's Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 08:00:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f0b757_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:41f0add0$1_2@127.0.0.1...
>
> "I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote in
> message news:41f0299b@news.usenetzone.com...
>> Maybe it's not Steveo's fault he's such an asswipe.Look at what his
>> mother did at home!
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
>
>
>>
>> _____
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>>
> That looks like my sister and she is really hot.
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000
> Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---
>
Shes a good kisser to if you know what I mean. You have to be hetro to
understand.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1452. Re: Medina CB Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 08:13:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f1860a$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195181
Cross-posted to: alt.penthouse.sex.stories.gay,rec.radio.cb

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <NoKeyQueenzzzeee@Don'tBeALawBreaker.Org> wrote in
message news:41f020f7@news.usenetzone.com...
> medina cb club meeting, ch 19 shout-out channel
>
That is hot! It reminds me of the time I was at Twistedheds trailer. It was
great!

>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1453. ANTIkeyclowns' prevail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 10:39:54 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106303994.744786.49580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,rec.radio.cb

M-Tech wrote:
> I sure wish they'd spend that much time slowing trucks down on the
nations
> interstates...rather than worrying about someone buying/selling a
friggin'
> cb.
>
> Don
> 313
>
> "Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:kYqdndLkYPbSNyzcRVn-iQ@crocker.com...
> >I wonder if they seized the customer lists for evidence?????
> >
> > <quote from arrl letter 3 dec 2004>
> >
> > ==>ALLEGED ILLEGAL "AMATEUR" TRANSCEIVER MARKETING DRAWS HUGE FINE
> >
> > The FCC has proposed fining Pilot Travel Centers LLC $125,000 for
> > allegedly
> > marketing unauthorized RF devices--specifically, transceivers
labeled as
> > Amateur Radio Service (ARS) equipment but intended for use on both
> > Citizens
> > Band and amateur frequencies. CB transmitters must receive FCC
> > certification--formerly called "type acceptance." Amateur Radio
equipment
> > does not require FCC certification. The Notice of Apparent
Liability (NAL)
> > released November 22 asserts that Pilot continued to market CB
> > transceivers
> > labeled as amateur gear despite multiple citations and warnings.
> >
> > "Commission field offices issued a total of nine citations to
Pilot's
> > corporate headquarters and its retail outlets warning Pilot that
future
> > violations would subject Pilot to penalties including civil
monetary
> > forfeitures," the NAL said. The Commission alleges that from
October 2002
> > until last July, Pilot, in 47 separate instances, offered for sale
various

> > models of non-certificated Galaxy CB transceivers labeled as
"amateur
> > radios" that easily could be modified for CB operation. The FCC
says in
> > some
> > instances, Pilot employees referred to the units as "CBs."
> >
> > The ARRL expressed its full support for the FCC's enforcement
action
> > against
> > Pilot. "The marketing as 'Amateur Radio' equipment of transceivers
that
> > are
> > intended for other uses causes widespread interference to licensed
radio
> > amateurs operating within their allocated frequency bands," ARRL
CEO David
> > Sumner, K1ZZ said on the League's behalf. "We hope that the
Commission's
> > long-awaited action will be followed by additional measures taken
against
> > marketers who persist in similar violations."
> >
> > Following up on complaints received between 2001 and 2003, FCC
Enforcement
> > Bureau field agents visited 11 Pilot retail outlets in Texas,
Oregon,
> > California and Nevada. "At these locations, the stores displayed
and
> > offered
> > for sale various models of non-certified CB transceivers marketed
as ARS
> > transmitters," the NAL said. The FCC's Office of Engineering and
> > Technology
> > (OET) already had determined that the units could be modified
easily for
> > CB
> > operation and were subject to FCC certification prior to marketing.
> >
> > Responding to the citations, Pilot told the FCC that all of the
radios in
> > question were "marketed as amateur radios and, as sold, operate on
the
> > 10-meter amateur band." Pilot contended the units fell under Part
97 rules
> > and didn't require FCC certification. In January 2002, the FCC
Dallas
> > Field
> > Office advised Pilot that the devices referred to in the Citation
had

> > built-in design features to facilitate CB operation and that the
FCC
> > considered them CB transmitters that fall under Part 95 rules. The
NAL
> > says
> > the Dallas Field Office received no further response from Pilot.
> >
> > The FCC pointed out that it requires a grant of certification for
any
> > Amateur Radio Service transceiver designed to be easily
user-modified to
> > extend its operating frequency range into the Citizens Band.
> >
> > The FCC said that on three days last December, FCC agents purchased
Galaxy
> > transceivers from different Pilot retail stores. The OET
subsequently
> > determined that all were non-certificated CB transmitters under the
FCC's
> > definition. Those sales provided the basis for the proposed fine.
> > Ultimately, the FCC alleged that Pilot offered non-certificated CB
> > transmitters for sale on 13 occasions in 2003 and 2004 "in apparent

> > willful
> > and repeated violation" of the Communications Act of 1934 and FCC
rules.
> >
> > Citing its concern with "the pattern of apparent violations" in the
Pilot
> > case, the FCC actually adjusted the base forfeiture amount upward
from
> > $91,000 to $125,000. "We are particularly troubled that Pilot
continues to
> > violate these rules despite receiving nine citations for marketing
> > non-certified CB transmitters," the Commission said in the NAL.
"Pilot's
> > continuing violations of the equipment authorization requirements
evince a
> > pattern of intentional noncompliance with and apparent disregard
for these
> > rules."
> >
> > Pilot was given 30 days to respond by paying or appealing the fine.
> >
> >

Wasn't CB's...they wuz illegal keyclown radios

1454. Re: Frank copy/pastes as good as twithed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 10:45:27 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106304327.961143.196530@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195093

No, your mewling is.

1455. Re: Sicko Doug Adair N8WWM At Truckstops cowers when white people show up at his door!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 10:48:10 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106304490.040678.80110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195144
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power

keep your momma in the house at night and I wont nail her, dopey boy.

1456. Re: Sicko Doug Adair N8WWM At Truckstops cowers when white people show up at his door!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 10:50:08 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106304608.280315.87270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195145
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power

Thank you for the threats. You have made the AKC's day. We knew you'd
melt down.
Look, you can lust after us all you want, but we are heterosexual.

1457. Re: COMING UP: n8wwm's latest meltdown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:03:23 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106305403.413166.326410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195146

spell correctly much?

1458. Re: OT Repubs that have spoken out against Bush in the last 30 days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:03:46 UTC
From: STOP_George <skiingkow@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1106305426.782265.263920@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195147

THE 2004 PRESIDENTIAL INAUGURATION ADDRESS:

Sometimes, you've just gotta laugh!

Take a listen:

http://img58.exs.cx/img58/853/bush1wc.swf
(takes a couple of seconds to load)

1459. mopey loves his song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:04:24 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106305464.650528.266410@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195148

Flip that wrist Flip that wrist Flip that wrist so limp Mopey is a keykween gal With the IQ of a chimp

1460. Re: Echo Echo Echo N8WWM's dome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:06:02 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106305562.541212.11580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195149

hahaha...not likely...not likely at all. You don't even know what ya
stepped in, retard.

1461. Re: Landshark along with rest of assclown lies Rebutted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:07:12 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106305632.678104.276570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195150

Watch out, that "rebutted" line may make mopey and landwhale go nuts

1462. Re: Steveo Is 100% Chicken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:08:52 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106305732.299811.159280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195151

You dont play peanut games, true. Your life is a peanut game.

1463. Mopey abuses hes lips at Petro

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:11:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106305874.640815.167930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195152

my bowl is meerschaum, yours is Petro toilet. Keep flipping that wrist,
you are a funny funny guy, I mean gay.

1464. inside the mopey dopey mind

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:19:33 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106306373.172501.197910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195153

Believe it, it is going on bigtime...

"WAHHHHHHHHHHHHHH....DOSE BAAAAAD AKC AWE CAWWING ME MOPEYDOPEY AND I CAN'T TAKE IT CUZ I AM A WAGING WEIWDO!!! MOMMMMMMMEEEEEEEEE!!!!! MAKE DOSE BAD AKC STOP!!!!"

1465. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:22:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106306537.580053.208130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195154

the only thing you want to hold is AKC wang, and you can't do even
that, since AKC are heterosexual. You are frustrated and childish, and
a sick little freak with pus for brains.

1466. Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:24:46 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106306686.247342.14250@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195155

You have to understand mopey dopey. He is obsessed with being my lover and I have rebuffed him because AKC is a heterosexual organization. He is ranting and crying like a sick freak cuz he cant do anything else. It is his lifestyle.

1467. Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:28:18 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106306898.605785.26720@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195156

You have to understand mopey dopey. He is obsessed with being my lover and I have rebuffed him because AKC is a heterosexual organization. He is ranting and crying like a sick freak cuz he cant do anything else. It is his lifestyle.

1468. Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:36:57 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106307417.892013.122030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195157

Not to mention, anyone with any sense doesn't meet with an enemy on their terms. This turdball has no idea what he has done, and he is about to learn how little he knows.

He is extremely frustrated because he cannot make the AKC stop busting auctions of keyclown gear and telling the world about keyclownism. The poor freak is to be pitied.

He dumps all this trash on people and can't understand that it matters not one iota. Those that matter know the deal, those that don't are of no concern.

dopeymopey's problem? Being a spoiled whiney baby all his life he thinks he can scream loud enough to make something change. He cannot.

When dopeymopey found out nobody cared what he said as far as it having any bearing on the outcome of the AKC's quests, he flew into a rage and made me his object of lust and hate.

Frankly, I am proud that a weird turdhunk like dopeymopey spends his time freaking out like this. As we have said all along, the AKC controls his actions totally. He daily proves it by being categorically unable to deal with the frustration in his life in a normal manner.

1469. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:37:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106307475.040928.125560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

because all the other nearby gays know he is diseased

1470. Re: More email forging from the used cock suckers at Usenet Zone bone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 11:53:54 UTC
From: Kensock d`Fehrett <slapping.sable_@ndhersocks.nert>
Message-ID: <m9r1v0lgmichc29f8p0kak2b9nc8ghm86v@4ax.com>
Source record: 195159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,talk.politics.guns,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

On 21 Jan 2005 03:46:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
wrote:

>Do they only allow gay guys to post!?

Are you thinking of opening an account?

1471. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 12:59:22 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <K07Id.127847$K7.121420@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 195160

Hey, if u cunts have nothing usefull to add with the repair of my radio,
then please take your shit to another thread.
THANKYOU
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:sftvu0p410ua9rcq1pe934fs5dnsugms0d@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:42:41 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 22:06:13 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
>>in <pRAHd.124973$K7.55400@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>>
>>>Thanks all for your input!!
>>>Dave, please forgive Frank, I think he had been drinking when he made
>>>that
>>>remark ;)
>>
>>
>>Forget about Dave. Go hit some yard sales and find yourself a scope! I
>>stopped by a sale last fall and picked up an immaculate Heathkit 4550
>>for..... are you sitting down?..... $10. They are out there, you just
>>have to look.
>
> I just sold a Tektronix 2465 for $800...
>
> But I'm hoping to find a nice Tram Titan 3 or D-201 for cheap at a
> sale.....
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

1472. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 13:01:51 UTC
From: Trev 999 <trr69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <337Id.127852$K7.73067@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 195161

Sorry I forgot to thank those that have been helpfull, as for the rest of ya
tossers...get a life!
"Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:K07Id.127847$K7.121420@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Hey, if u cunts have nothing usefull to add with the repair of my radio,
> then please take your shit to another thread.
> THANKYOU
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:sftvu0p410ua9rcq1pe934fs5dnsugms0d@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 21:42:41 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 22:06:13 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
>>>in <pRAHd.124973$K7.55400@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:
>>>
>>>>Thanks all for your input!!
>>>>Dave, please forgive Frank, I think he had been drinking when he made
>>>>that
>>>>remark ;)
>>>
>>>
>>>Forget about Dave. Go hit some yard sales and find yourself a scope! I
>>>stopped by a sale last fall and picked up an immaculate Heathkit 4550
>>>for..... are you sitting down?..... $10. They are out there, you just
>>>have to look.
>>
>> I just sold a Tektronix 2465 for $800...
>>
>> But I'm hoping to find a nice Tram Titan 3 or D-201 for cheap at a
>> sale.....
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>
>

1473. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 13:17:22 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <Ch7Id.39053$_56.2295@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195162

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E552ED616731234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:vb61v0te367gjo546j9l99fabbha57pcm2@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 15:49:53 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns95E4AB7E8353C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
>>>news:jgmvu0dsqec804snk45juanjnp64ln8qsk@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 10:22:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net
>>>> (Twistedhed) wrote in
>>>> <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
>>>>
>>>> <snip>
>>>>>... I thought it was pure shit
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Speaking of shitty music, turn on the TV and listen to the song
>>>> written by Ashcroft..... blech!!!
>>>>
>>>
>>>Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days, are you
>>>going to post his name again off ebay, or are you befriendung him for
>>>other reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick
>>
>>
>> I'm not responsible for your state of ill health.
>>
>> However, in the past couple months I have noticed something very
>> interesting: Say you find a strange piece of equipment and have no
>> idea what it does. So you start pushing buttons until something
>> happens. Well, I pushed a button marked "Dave Hall" and all of a
>> sudden I'm being treated like a keyclown by you and your other
>> sock-puppets, complete with forgeries and homo-dribble.
>>
>> Do you have an explanation for this?
>
> Frank the company you keep determines the way you are treated.

Exactly. You hang with Dung Adair. You get treated like shit.

1474. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 13:17:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <b102v0tugj66vcstd513ojmjilb8maq76g@4ax.com>
Source record: 195163

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 07:07:29 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E552ED616731234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:vb61v0te367gjo546j9l99fabbha57pcm2@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 15:49:53 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns95E4AB7E8353C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>>>news:jgmvu0dsqec804snk45juanjnp64ln8qsk@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 10:22:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net
>>>> (Twistedhed) wrote in
>>>> <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
>>>>
>>>> <snip>
>>>>>... I thought it was pure shit
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Speaking of shitty music, turn on the TV and listen to the song
>>>> written by Ashcroft..... blech!!!
>>>>
>>>
>>>Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days, are you
>>>going to post his name again off ebay, or are you befriendung him for
>>>other reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick
>>
>>
>> I'm not responsible for your state of ill health.
>>
>> However, in the past couple months I have noticed something very
>> interesting: Say you find a strange piece of equipment and have no
>> idea what it does. So you start pushing buttons until something
>> happens. Well, I pushed a button marked "Dave Hall" and all of a
>> sudden I'm being treated like a keyclown by you and your other
>> sock-puppets, complete with forgeries and homo-dribble.
>>
>> Do you have an explanation for this?
>
>Frank the company you keep determines the way you are treated.

The company I keep is my business, not yours.

1475. OT: Site for finding best gas prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 13:17:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <q702v05l02va69i3r5rgcsi8ne66soreo5@4ax.com>
Source record: 195164

http://www.spokanegasprices.com/index.asp

Scroll down on the left to find your city.

1476. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 13:32:41 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9212v0dj3nnq11e8lkkv335622a972ct69@4ax.com>
Source record: 195165

On 21 Jan 2005 03:35:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:

>"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
>> i see your threats to wwm again. i'll be in dayton as i said before the
>> week of jan 31--feb 4 on business.
>>
>Send me an email about it. I'll hold dogie responsible for the no-show.

Yeah, seriously. If he wants you that bad, why didn't he just email you. your email is legit. Why is he blabbing about it here?

Vinnie S.

1477. Re: OT: Site for finding best gas prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 13:36:56 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <1b12v0lbnv7n3l57tssdms828uhgkeoe3o@4ax.com>
Source record: 195166

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 05:17:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>http://www.spokanegasprices.com/index.asp
>
>Scroll down on the left to find your city.
>
>
>

New Jersey has full serve, and cheaper gas than PA self serve.

Vinnie S.

1478. Re: OT: Site for finding best gas prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 13:52:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9522v0tf6mkgi5atj1fbbufogpe9u5blam@4ax.com>
Source record: 195167

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 08:36:56 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <1b12v0lbnv7n3l57tssdms828uhgkeoe3o@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 05:17:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>>http://www.spokanegasprices.com/index.asp
>>
>>Scroll down on the left to find your city.
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>New Jersey has full serve, and cheaper gas than PA self serve.

Probably, but these sites list the best and worst gas prices at
different stations within each city!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1479. Dogie forges Frank.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 14:55:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050121095534.668$UW_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195168

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 15:49:53 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> <Xns95E4AB7E8353C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> >news:jgmvu0dsqec804snk45juanjnp64ln8qsk@4ax.com:
> >
> >> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 10:22:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
> >> wrote in <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >>>... I thought it was pure shit
> >>
> >>
> >> Speaking of shitty music, turn on the TV and listen to the song
> >> written by Ashcroft..... blech!!!
> >>
> >
> >Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days, are you
> >going to post his name again off ebay, or are you befriendung him for
> >other reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick
>
> I'm not responsible for your state of ill health.
>
> However, in the past couple months I have noticed something very
> interesting: Say you find a strange piece of equipment and have no
> idea what it does. So you start pushing buttons until something
> happens. Well, I pushed a button marked "Dave Hall" and all of a
> sudden I'm being treated like a keyclown by you and your other
> sock-puppets, complete with forgeries and homo-dribble.
>
> Do you have an explanation for this?
>
It's dogie and his gay obsession. Notice he was the only one posting
at 2 am. The same time the forges were occurring. What's really sick
is he signed up for newsfeeds in order to do that.

1480. Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 15:01:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050121100142.709$1u@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195169

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Not to mention, anyone with any sense doesn't meet with an enemy on
> their terms.
>
Like right in front of your section 8 slum, chickenshit?

http://img158.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img158&image=dogieupclosefugly7hn.jpg
>
> This turdball has no idea what he has done, and he is
> about to learn how little he knows.
>
Heh, I'm just getting started.

Gloves or knuckles?

1481. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 15:06:19 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <YT8Id.998$nY1.352@fe04.lga>
Source record: 195170

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:9212v0dj3nnq11e8lkkv335622a972ct69@4ax.com...
> On 21 Jan 2005 03:35:49 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote:
>
>>"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
>>> i see your threats to wwm again. i'll be in dayton as i said before the
>>> week of jan 31--feb 4 on business.
>>>
>>Send me an email about it. I'll hold dogie responsible for the no-show.
>
>
> Yeah, seriously. If he wants you that bad, why didn't he just email you. your
> email is legit. Why is he blabbing about it here?
>
> Vinnie S.

Obviously (n8wwm) is a mentally ill, little problem child, acting out on usenet..again.
The felon recently bragged about possessing a shotgun... He is probably consumed
with violent fantasies of shooting (murdering??) his usenet enemys...

1482. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 15:19:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lb72v0d0jdv94cpu6ojdbtigoau6u79teh@4ax.com>
Source record: 195171

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 08:52:11 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns95E564ADBF7F91234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:b102v0tugj66vcstd513ojmjilb8maq76g@4ax.com:
>
>> On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 07:07:29 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns95E552ED616731234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>>>news:vb61v0te367gjo546j9l99fabbha57pcm2@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 15:49:53 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>>> <Xns95E4AB7E8353C1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>>
>>>>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>>>>>news:jgmvu0dsqec804snk45juanjnp64ln8qsk@4ax.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 10:22:02 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net
>>>>>> (Twistedhed) wrote in
>>>>>> <28053-41EFCC9A-220@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
>>>>>>
>>>>>> <snip>
>>>>>>>... I thought it was pure shit
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Speaking of shitty music, turn on the TV and listen to the song
>>>>>> written by Ashcroft..... blech!!!
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days, are you
>>>>>going to post his name again off ebay, or are you befriendung him for
>>>>>other reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I'm not responsible for your state of ill health.
>>>>
>>>> However, in the past couple months I have noticed something very
>>>> interesting: Say you find a strange piece of equipment and have no
>>>> idea what it does. So you start pushing buttons until something
>>>> happens. Well, I pushed a button marked "Dave Hall" and all of a

>>>> sudden I'm being treated like a keyclown by you and your other
>>>> sock-puppets, complete with forgeries and homo-dribble.
>>>>
>>>> Do you have an explanation for this?
>>>
>>>Frank the company you keep determines the way you are treated.
>
>
>
>
>> The company I keep is my business, not yours.
>
>Well that's funny Frank, cause you posted all that transexual info about
>Landshark, so why did the company he kept bother you into posting that
>info?

The company Landshark keeps is none of your business, either. It's
also none of my business. I simply cited the posts and never mentioned
it again.

> And if the company you keep makes you act like a jackass towards
>other then yes it is our business.

If I acted like a jackass towards you then THAT'S your business. But
the fact is that I generally ignore you. And I haven't changed my
position regarding illegal radio. So what are you whining about? My
outspoken opposition to Bush? My grouchy attitude? A technical issue
while you were posting under a different name? I can't address your
personal problems with me if all you do is fuss about who I talk with
on a newsgroup (and it's pretty sad if that gets your panties is such
a bunch). So what's your beef?

1483. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 15:50:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13791-41F124D2-139@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195172

From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:15:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <12354-41EE87A6-21@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>:
From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>: Frank, what is
your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake, sorry SSB

_
I see you found my email. Excuse my
grouchiness but I've been drinking and
listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a
Sony amp. That being said......

>Didn't the Bee Gees actually ROCK back in

>the late sixties and early 70s?

Absolutely! Have you checked out some of their more recent work?
Sometimes a little retro but with the same unique 'sound'.
-
(We're showing our age here, guys. I had Bee Gee 8-track tapes in my
portable E-150 fuck palace back in the day. (mirror-ball?))

Yea,,,you know the pathetic part? I still have a ton of 8 tracks in an
old footlocker..I have way more albums, but I still have a good
selection of 8 tracks. As of two years ago, my portable 8 track player
still worked. Last thing I listened to on 8 track was UFO "Light's Out".
Among my older tapes: Jefferson Airplane's "Bless it's Pointed Little
Head" and "Bathing at Baxters", Santana's "Abraxas", and a bootleg
Captain Beefheart.

1484. Re:twsitsy shown the door again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 16:02:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13793-41F127B3-14@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195173

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:13790-41F05C17-329@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>I have no concept? The spread entails a team

>being either favored or underdog, if they win

>by the points thew odds makers from Vegas

>they get a win in the ATS colum if they lose

>they get a loss.
That's the ticket.

>Ok so why the problem Pittsburgh betors

>would have made some good money the past

>5 years. Now your problem is in the games in

>which they lose against the spread. The

>typically win the games when they are favored
>in a close game.

>" the Steelers are working on a perfect 11-0

>ATS mark when favored by 7.5 points or less

>over the last two seasons... in other words,

>Pittsburgh takes care of business when the

>expected outcome is close..."

Gambling, alcoholism,,,you have multiple vices.

>As do you lying, deceit and ignorance to name
>a few. Sorry I am not much into drinking, or

>any kind of drugs, with the exception of some

>mary jane..

Booze will kill ya' faster.

_
Your kid brother's pop warner game don't count.

>Yep when the truth hits home the insults fly,

Nah,,that's not an insult,,that's a friendly "gimme".

>since when does pop warner games have a

>point spred. No tipsy it was circa 86/87 the

>year of the expansion teams Miami heat vs

>Houston rockets. Houston Rockets at home vs
>the heat a sure Lock if there ever was

>one.myself and a few friends plonked down

>$30,000 between us on Houston, favored by

>-5, Miami won the game outright. The posted

>line changed a 1/2 point after our wager. you

>can chose to belive it or not makes no

>difference to me.

Nah,,I believe you...I don't follow basketball anymore...haven't since Julius Irving and the sixers gave Philthy something to be proud of....During the years you mentioned, I was pretty isolated and my world was limited to two things,,,,school and kids.

>PIT is 7-7 ATS over the last 10 years (L10Y)

>in playoff games

Being the big, vegas gambler you are, you know what that record means...an incredible improvement over what they *historically* record. Whether you realize it or not, you are making
quite a case against what you profess. Keep posting those records over the years.

>I think I am doing quite a job of provin it past

>49 games they covered the spread 29 times.

>thats a 69% a sports gambler and even Jim

>Feist would love to have that as a winning

>percenatge

I guess our parting of the ways on this subject is what we each consider "historically" to constitute. Feist, perhaps,,Jimmy the Greek,,never. I admit, their record against the spread *has* improved over the years. So tell me,,,,,are you taking any line action with Pittsburgh giving 3?

1485. Powell Leaving FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 16:32:20 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22871-41F12E94-487@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195175

Hot off the Associated Press wire:

FCC Chairman Powell Said to Be Resigning
January 21, 2005 10:59 AM EST
WASHINGTON - Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael K. Powell plans to step down from the job he's held for four years, two agency officials said Friday.

Powell, who maintained a light regulatory hand as the nation's chief media watchdog but collected some of the largest indecency fines against U.S. broadcasters, planned to issue a statement Friday but was not expected to hold a formal news conference, these officials said, speaking on condition of anonymity.

Powell, the son of departing Secretary of State Colin Powell, does not plan to step down immediately, the officials said. However, he will leave soon.

Powell privately informed some industry officials that he planned to make the announcement, according to one person who spoke with the chairman earlier this week. This person also spoke on condition of anonymity out of respect for the timing of Powell's announcement.

Powell, a champion of deregulation who critics say is too pro-big business, rose from commissioner to chairman when Bush took office in 2001. His term was to run until 2007.

While tackling complex issue ranging from telephone competition to rules for media ownership, Powell is perhaps best known for overseeing a dramatic crackdown on broadcast indecency that began before the infamous "wardrobe malfunction" during singer Janet Jackson's Super Bowl halftime performance last February.

The FCC received more than 1 million indecency complaints in 2004, most of them involving Jackson. CBS is contesting a proposed FCC fine of $550,000 for the incident.
Fines for indecent programming exceeded $7.7 million last year, a huge increase from the $48,000 imposed the year before Powell became chairman. Powell has praised the record fines, saying the commission was "wielding our sword" to protect children and viewers who object to racy programming.
"It's the most uncomfortable area you'd ever want to work in, enforcement," Powell said of indecency fines at a July 2004 symposium. "I'm a big believer in the First Amendment, but often I'm incredibly uneasy about lines we have to draw. No one takes pleasure in trying to decide whether this potty-mouth word or that potty-mouth word is a

violation of the law."

However, critics claimed Powell and the FCC are seeking to stifle free speech and intimidate broadcasters into following a more conservative agenda.
Powell succeeded in getting the Republican-dominated FCC to ease decades-old rules governing ownership of newspapers and television and radio stations.

The commission approved changes in 2003 that allow individual companies to own TV stations reaching nearly half the nation's viewers and combinations of newspapers and broadcast outlets in the same community.

Major media companies said the changes were needed because the old regulations hindered their ability to grow and compete in a market altered by cable television, satellite broadcasting and the Internet.

But lawmakers from both parties and a broad range of groups criticized the changes, saying the FCC regulations give large media companies too much control over what people see, hear and read.
Congress and the courts are considering several efforts to modify or repeal the rules.

1486. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 16:44:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050121114413.578$uR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195174

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com (Steveo)
> Frank Gilliland <w=EFrenut@NOSPAM=EFcehouse.net> wrote:
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:15:34 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
> wrote in <12354-41EE87A6-21@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>:
> From: w=EFrenut@NOSPAM=EFcehouse.net (Frank=A0Gilliland)
> On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 11:12:07 GMT, "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote
> in <bgrHd.124638$K7.36396@news-server.bigpond.net.au>: Frank, what is
> your email? I sent the schematic to Sideband by mistake, sorry SSB
> _
> I see you found my email. Excuse my
> grouchiness but I've been drinking and
> listening to pre-disco BeeGees through a
> Sony amp. That being said......
>
Party hearty...I din't know that was you..disregard my reply.
>
> >Didn't the Bee Gees actually ROCK back in
>
> >the late sixties and early 70s?
>
> Absolutely! Have you checked out some of their more recent work?
> Sometimes a little retro but with the same unique 'sound'.
> -
> (We're showing our age here, guys. I had Bee Gee 8-track tapes in my
> portable E-150 fuck palace back in the day. (mirror-ball?))
>
> Yea,,,you know the pathetic part? I still have a ton of 8 tracks in an
> old footlocker..I have way more albums, but I still have a good
> selection of 8 tracks. As of two years ago, my portable 8 track player
> still worked. Last thing I listened to on 8 track was UFO "Light's Out".
> Among my older tapes: Jefferson Airplane's "Bless it's Pointed Little
> Head" and "Bathing at Baxters", Santana's "Abraxas", and a bootleg
> Captain Beefheart.
>
Those are some goodies..I can't recall the last time I seen an 8-track.

1487. Re: Powell Leaving FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 19:27:32 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <EIcId.167823$AL5.165955@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195176

That is NOT hot off the press. I posted that here about a month or so ago.

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22871-41F12E94-487@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
> Hot off the Associated Press wire:
>
>
> FCC Chairman Powell Said to Be Resigning
> January 21, 2005 10:59 AM EST
> WASHINGTON - Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael K.
> Powell plans to step down from the job he's held for four years, two
> agency officials said Friday.
>
> Powell, who maintained a light regulatory hand as the nation's chief
> media watchdog but collected some of the largest indecency fines against
> U.S. broadcasters, planned to issue a statement Friday but was not
> expected to hold a formal news conference, these officials said,
> speaking on condition of anonymity.
>
> Powell, the son of departing Secretary of State Colin Powell, does not
> plan to step down immediately, the officials said. However, he will
> leave soon.
>
> Powell privately informed some industry officials that he planned to
> make the announcement, according to one person who spoke with the
> chairman earlier this week. This person also spoke on condition of
> anonymity out of respect for the timing of Powell's announcement.
>
> Powell, a champion of deregulation who critics say is too pro-big
> business, rose from commissioner to chairman when Bush took office in
> 2001. His term was to run until 2007.
>
> While tackling complex issue ranging from telephone competition to rules
> for media ownership, Powell is perhaps best known for overseeing a
> dramatic crackdown on broadcast indecency that began before the infamous
> "wardrobe malfunction" during singer Janet Jackson's Super Bowl halftime
> performance last February.
>
> The FCC received more than 1 million indecency complaints in 2004, most
> of them involving Jackson. CBS is contesting a proposed FCC fine of
> $550,000 for the incident.
> Fines for indecent programming exceeded $7.7 million last year, a huge
> increase from the $48,000 imposed the year before Powell became
> chairman. Powell has praised the record fines, saying the commission was

> "wielding our sword" to protect children and viewers who object to racy
> programming.
> "It's the most uncomfortable area you'd ever want to work in,
> enforcement," Powell said of indecency fines at a July 2004 symposium.
> "I'm a big believer in the First Amendment, but often I'm incredibly
> uneasy about lines we have to draw. No one takes pleasure in trying to
> decide whether this potty-mouth word or that potty-mouth word is a
> violation of the law."
>
> However, critics claimed Powell and the FCC are seeking to stifle free
> speech and intimidate broadcasters into following a more conservative
> agenda.
> Powell succeeded in getting the Republican-dominated FCC to ease
> decades-old rules governing ownership of newspapers and television and
> radio stations.
>
> The commission approved changes in 2003 that allow individual companies
> to own TV stations reaching nearly half the nation's viewers and
> combinations of newspapers and broadcast outlets in the same community.
>
> Major media companies said the changes were needed because the old
> regulations hindered their ability to grow and compete in a market
> altered by cable television, satellite broadcasting and the Internet.
>
> But lawmakers from both parties and a broad range of groups criticized
> the changes, saying the FCC regulations give large media companies too
> much control over what people see, hear and read.
> Congress and the courts are considering several efforts to modify or
> repeal the rules.
>

1488. Re: Powell Leaving FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 19:31:39 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <vMcId.167825$AL5.26703@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195177

I stand corrected. My earlier post stated that Riley Hollingsworth was leaving the FCC, not Powell. So YES...your info is in fact "Hot off the press."

"A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message news:EIcId.167823$AL5.165955@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> That is NOT hot off the press. I posted that here about a month or so ago.
>
>
>
> "I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:22871-41F12E94-487@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
> > Hot off the Associated Press wire:
> >
> >
> > FCC Chairman Powell Said to Be Resigning
> > January 21, 2005 10:59 AM EST
> > WASHINGTON - Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael K.
> > Powell plans to step down from the job he's held for four years, two
> > agency officials said Friday.
> >
> > Powell, who maintained a light regulatory hand as the nation's chief
> > media watchdog but collected some of the largest indecency fines against
> > U.S. broadcasters, planned to issue a statement Friday but was not
> > expected to hold a formal news conference, these officials said,
> > speaking on condition of anonymity.
> >
> > Powell, the son of departing Secretary of State Colin Powell, does not
> > plan to step down immediately, the officials said. However, he will
> > leave soon.
> >
> > Powell privately informed some industry officials that he planned to
> > make the announcement, according to one person who spoke with the
> > chairman earlier this week. This person also spoke on condition of
> > anonymity out of respect for the timing of Powell's announcement.
> >
> > Powell, a champion of deregulation who critics say is too pro-big
> > business, rose from commissioner to chairman when Bush took office in
> > 2001. His term was to run until 2007.
> >
> > While tackling complex issue ranging from telephone competition to rules
> > for media ownership, Powell is perhaps best known for overseeing a
> > dramatic crackdown on broadcast indecency that began before the infamous
> > "wardrobe malfunction" during singer Janet Jackson's Super Bowl halftime

> > performance last February.
> >
> > The FCC received more than 1 million indecency complaints in 2004, most
> > of them involving Jackson. CBS is contesting a proposed FCC fine of
> > $550,000 for the incident.
> > Fines for indecent programming exceeded $7.7 million last year, a huge
> > increase from the $48,000 imposed the year before Powell became
> > chairman. Powell has praised the record fines, saying the commission was
> > "wielding our sword" to protect children and viewers who object to racy
> > programming.
> > "It's the most uncomfortable area you'd ever want to work in,
> > enforcement," Powell said of indecency fines at a July 2004 symposium.
> > "I'm a big believer in the First Amendment, but often I'm incredibly
> > uneasy about lines we have to draw. No one takes pleasure in trying to
> > decide whether this potty-mouth word or that potty-mouth word is a
> > violation of the law."
> >
> > However, critics claimed Powell and the FCC are seeking to stifle free
> > speech and intimidate broadcasters into following a more conservative
> > agenda.
> > Powell succeeded in getting the Republican-dominated FCC to ease
> > decades-old rules governing ownership of newspapers and television and
> > radio stations.
> >
> > The commission approved changes in 2003 that allow individual companies
> > to own TV stations reaching nearly half the nation's viewers and
> > combinations of newspapers and broadcast outlets in the same community.
> >
> > Major media companies said the changes were needed because the old
> > regulations hindered their ability to grow and compete in a market
> > altered by cable television, satellite broadcasting and the Internet.
> >
> > But lawmakers from both parties and a broad range of groups criticized
> > the changes, saying the FCC regulations give large media companies too
> > much control over what people see, hear and read.
> > Congress and the courts are considering several efforts to modify or
> > repeal the rules.
> >
>
>

1489. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 20:23:18 UTC
From: skinshedder <dayeveeeed4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dd6e3$41f164fb$d1cc7ae2$3248@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 195178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1106155559.779968.296620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/6d38aef5bc8a1830?dmode=source
>

...from the above

"When 99-412 goes into real restructuring in April, it
won't change some of those tenured old men of US
ham radio. They will be bitter, boastful, and express
contempt of newcomers, some of which will have the
exact same government-granted rank/status/privilege
as all those old men who claimed they "worked" for
theirs. Human nature doesn't change. Neither have
they."

Lenny my boy, all things 'ham radio' move like
maple syrup in Maine in February. This is as
much a constant of life issues as is Ohm's Law
and Income Taxes. I still hear hams on HF
outgassing about crap from 20 years ago as
if it happened yesterday. (This is known also as
the S.O.S.D.D. Principal - also common to other
"hobbies" like Model Trains, Golf, Equestrian
competitions and all-male Country Clubs)
What else can we say, it's human nature in all
of it's humorous facets. Always good for a
laugh. The Jerry Springer Show on the street
and in the waiting room.

Tell ja what.....save this post for 5 Years
and at that time, I'll bet you 6 dozen Dunkin Donuts
(and I'll buy!) that the same bunch of dirty old men are still
sending each other dirty nudie pics to each other
via Slo-Scan on HF and dumping a handfull of
pennies into the kitty at the club meeting then
taking 2 or 3 doughnuts and 2 refills of coffee.
(....assuming they put anything $$$ at all in the
kitty...the damm chain-smoking cheap bastards!)

xoxo
Pete the Wholesale Tea Man in Rochelle Park :)

1490. Re: Powell Leaving FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 20:43:49 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6579-41F16985-509@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195180

From: ae352@iwon.com (A.E. 352)
That is NOT hot off the press. I posted that here about a month or so
ago.

_
Yea, you did. You should have told the AP, they were slow in releasing
it.

1491. Re: Powell Leaving FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-21 20:46:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6580-41F16A30-170@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195179

From: ae352@iwon.com (A.E. 352)
>I stand corrected. My earlier post stated that

>Riley Hollingsworth was leaving the FCC, not

>Powell. So YES...your info is in fact "Hot off

>the press."

No biggie,,,,I too thought your info was regarding Powell (damn memory).
On the note of Riley's departure,,,,,couldn't happen too soon. He's an
arrogant jackass.

1492. RE:Steveo Beaten Badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 00:58:31 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106355511.543133.121000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Something in my ass!!??

That makes it all worthwhile.I love fruits and veggies in my man-puss
love hole!

Steveo
moparholic@hotmail.com

1493. AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 01:03:59 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106355839.621731.145790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195183
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm not.I
have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used it
to jerk off to.
I still think one day Doug will love me.
I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
I love you Doug and I always will.

Steveo
moparholic@hotmail.com

1494. Re: Powell Leaving FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 01:14:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f1a9b6_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195184

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6580-41F16A30-170@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
From: ae352@iwon.com (A.E. 352)
>I stand corrected. My earlier post stated that

>Riley Hollingsworth was leaving the FCC, not

>Powell. So YES...your info is in fact "Hot off

>the press."

No biggie,,,,I too thought your info was regarding Powell (damn memory).
On the note of Riley's departure,,,,,couldn't happen too soon. He's an
arrogant jackass.
>
I want your man seed.

1495. Re: RE:Steveo Beaten Badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 01:18:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f1aa8e$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106355511.543133.121000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Something in my ass!!??
>
> That makes it all worthwhile.I love fruits and veggies in my man-puss
> love hole!
>
> Steveo
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
I stuffed a whole 30 pound pumpkin in my rectum once. I have a large rear
end.

1496. Re: My 4 1/2 inch antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 02:24:41 UTC
From: bbnn <jl@fnn.gfd>
Message-ID: <cssdda01mfq@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 195186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:

>bbnn wrote:
>
>
>>Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>with a little wand like that it would be more laike a gang snap than
>>>
>>>
>a
>
>
>>>gang bang...heheheh
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>as if your dick is any bigger. the average length is 5" from the PDR
>>medical book.
>>
>>
>
>Leave it to a keyclown to know that statistic..heheh
>
>
>
at least i don't suck on them like you .. hehehehe

1497. Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 09:04:17 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106384657.036624.91280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195187

Here ya go. We must reiterate..."Keyclowns are not allowed to own these items"

5744938928,5744682422,5745107484,5745184629,5745270163
5745270212,5745782632,5744987260,5744987268,5745216252

5745216261,5745216262,5745216670,5745174109,5745217500
574521750,5745217502,5745371343,5745860221,

5745868863,5745847420,5745828313,5745826516,5745820400
5745811838,5745738434,5745708624,5745821107,5745652487

5745661280,5745591019,5745536854,5745407173,5745393902
5744976770,5745182798,5745141814,5745139446,

1498. Re: Powell Leaving FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 09:07:22 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106384842.232445.104070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195188

That's OK...when Riley leaves our MAIN AKC man will take over. Watch.

1499. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 11:17:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f238a1$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:337Id.127852$K7.73067@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
<snip you asshole>

I'VE HEARD ENOUGH ABOUT YOUR FUCKING RADIO.....SHOVE IT UP YOUR FUCKING
ASS, FAG-BOI!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1500. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 11:26:55 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106393215.694773.267820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195190
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Steveo wrote:
> The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
not.I
> have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used
it
> to jerk off to.
> I still think one day Doug will love me.
> I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> I love you Doug and I always will.
>
> Steveo
> moparholic@hotmail.com

Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.

1501. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 11:50:24 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106394624.934117.55420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195191
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
> not.I
> > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used
> it
> > to jerk off to.
> > I still think one day Doug will love me.
> > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> > I love you Doug and I always will.
> >
> > Steveo
> > moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
> heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.

NO WAIT! You don't understand at all! All the time I made threats was all bullCrap! I made threats because my love was not being returned!

Listen please.....just for this time,OK?

The sex with Frank was only for fun, thats all. You can ask Frank.We both just wanted to have some fun.....no love, just fucking. We both feel that way. Landshark and Twistie have their thing going.....they asked ME to join in. It was just fucking and nothing more.I will give them all up for you! Listen to me!! I LOVE YOU!!!!!!!!!!!

I promise this. if you would just return my love I will change!Doug, I know you're straight. But PLEASE LISTEN!!!!!

I LOVE YOU AND ONLY YOU!

I will change, I promise! I'll get my license. You and me can talk on 2 meters together. We'll laugh at twistie and the rest of them. I WILL STOP THE KEYCLOWN GAMES!

We can talk on the repeaters and simplex together.I even rat out auctions! I WILL DO ANYTHING TO BE WITH YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1502. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 12:17:39 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <DvrId.59557$Z%.40472@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195192
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106394624.934117.55420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
>> not.I
>> > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used
>> it
>> > to jerk off to.
>> > I still think one day Doug will love me.
>> > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
>> > I love you Doug and I always will.
>> >
>> > Steveo
>> > moparholic@hotmail.com
>>
>> Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
>> heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.
>
> NO WAIT! You don't understand at all! All the time I made threats was
> all bullCrap! I made threats because my love was not being returned!
>
> Listen please.....just for this time,OK?
>
> The sex with Frank was only for fun, thats all. You can ask Frank.We
> both just wanted to have some fun.....no love, just fucking. We both
> feel that way. Landshark and Twistie have their thing going.....they
> asked ME to join in. It was just fucking and nothing more.I will give
> them all up for you! Listen to me!! I LOVE YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> I promise this. if you would just return my love I will change!Doug, I
> know you're straight. But PLEASE LISTEN!!!!!
>
> I LOVE YOU AND ONLY YOU!
>
> I will change, I promise! I'll get my license. You and me can talk on 2
> meters together. We'll laugh at twistie and the rest of them. I WILL
> STOP THE KEYCLOWN GAMES!
>
> We can talk on the repeaters and simplex together.I even rat out
> auctions! I WILL DO ANYTHING TO BE WITH YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

Damn Doug, N8WWM, you really have lost it haven't you? Staying up all night forging is testament to your fucked up mental status. Seen your kids lately?

1503. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 14:16:13 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ann4v0pljarup0jr19ku0j461g3dt8phb1@4ax.com>
Source record: 195193

On 22 Jan 2005 01:04:17 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Here ya go. We must reiterate..."Keyclowns are not allowed to own these
>items"
>
>5744938928,5744682422,5745107484,5745184629,5745270163
>5745270212,5745782632,5744987260,5744987268,5745216252
>
>5745216261,5745216262,5745216670,5745174109,5745217500
>574521750,5745217502,5745371343,5745860221,
>
>5745868863,5745847420,5745828313,5745826516,5745820400
>5745811838,5745738434,5745708624,5745821107,5745652487
>
>5745661280,5745591019,5745536854,5745407173,5745393902
>5744976770,5745182798,5745141814,5745139446,

5745270212 Is a great find. Thank you.

Keep up the good work. My time is important to me and I appreciate
you listing all the worth while stuff on E-bay. Why plod through all
the normal stuff when you take your time to do this for us. It really
is a time saver when you go through the trouble of listing just the
important stuff. Thank you once again. You are a time saver.

1504. Re: Five Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 14:21:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1106403713.858441.14040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

skinshedder wrote:

>...the damm chain-smoking cheap bastards!)
>
> xoxo
> Pete the Wholesale Tea Man in Rochelle Park

Hey Pete...Your mommy said hurry home...Daddy's gonna be gone
ALLLLLLL night and she put clean sheets on the bed just for the two of
you!

Steve, K4YZ

1505. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 15:18:34 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106407113.975980.180860@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195095
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

U Know Who wrote:
> "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1106394624.934117.55420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >> > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but
I'm
> >> not.I
> >> > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only
used
> >> it
> >> > to jerk off to.
> >> > I still think one day Doug will love me.
> >> > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> >> > I love you Doug and I always will.
> >> >
> >> > Steveo
> >> > moparholic@hotmail.com
> >>
> >> Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
> >> heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.
> >
> > NO WAIT! You don't understand at all! All the time I made threats
was
> > all bullCrap! I made threats because my love was not being
returned!
> >
> > Listen please.....just for this time,OK?
> >
> > The sex with Frank was only for fun, thats all. You can ask
Frank.We
> > both just wanted to have some fun.....no love, just fucking. We
both
> > feel that way. Landshark and Twistie have their thing
going.....they
> > asked ME to join in. It was just fucking and nothing more.I will
give
> > them all up for you! Listen to me!! I LOVE YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > I promise this. if you would just return my love I will
change!Doug, I
> > know you're straight. But PLEASE LISTEN!!!!!
> >

> > I LOVE YOU AND ONLY YOU!
> >
> > I will change, I promise! I'll get my license. You and me can talk on 2
> > meters together. We'll laugh at twistie and the rest of them. I WILL
> > STOP THE KEYCLOWN GAMES!
> >
> > We can talk on the repeaters and simplex together.I even rat out
> > auctions! I WILL DO ANYTHING TO BE WITH YOU!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
>
> Damn Doug, N8WWM, you really have lost it haven't you? Staying up all night
> forging is testament to your fucked up mental status. Seen your kids lately?

DUDE FUCK YOU. STAY THE FUCK OUT OF OUR ISSUES. HEY STEVEO,FUCK U 2 !! YES WE WERE ALL ONLY FUCKING BUT YOU DIDN'T HAVE TO SAY THAT SHIT HERE.YOU WASN'T ALL THAT GOOD OF A FUCK ANYWAY. YOUR COCK COULDN'T EVEN FILL MY ASS AND YOU DIDN'T EVEN SWOLLOW ALL OF MY LOAD.

1506. FA: This Sunday>Hustler & AS Antennas, 6JS6C tubes, Much More!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 15:19:14 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050122101914.13985.00000125@mb-m19.aol.com>
Source record: 195096

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

1507. Re: RE:Steveo Beaten Badly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 15:22:16 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106407336.326025.195620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Frank Gilliland wrote:
<fuck silliland>

I'm getting sick of steveo and his bullshit.

he's all in love with that ham and know he tells the world me and landshark and frank was "just a fuck". well fuck him. we should kick him out of the club.

1508. Re: Powell Leaving FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 15:33:39 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <HnuId.1279$hm6.570@fe04.lga>
Source record: 195098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb.dogies.dementia.nutcase

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> lied in message news:1106384842.232445.104070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> That's OK...when Riley leaves our MAIN AKC man will take over.

That'll never happen, liar..

> Watch.

UHHH.....YEEEEAH!!! ::chuckle::

1509. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 15:44:38 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <l4t4v0lq786400icf7eis5o0beoi9q9o0h@4ax.com>
Source record: 195099

On 22 Jan 2005 01:04:17 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Here ya go. We must reiterate..."Keyclowns are not allowed to own these
>items"
>
>5744938928,5744682422,5745107484,5745184629,5745270163
>5745270212,5745782632,5744987260,5744987268,5745216252
>
>5745216261,5745216262,5745216670,5745174109,5745217500
>574521750,5745217502,5745371343,5745860221,
>
>5745868863,5745847420,5745828313,5745826516,5745820400
>5745811838,5745738434,5745708624,5745821107,5745652487
>
>5745661280,5745591019,5745536854,5745407173,5745393902
>5744976770,5745182798,5745141814,5745139446,

Hey so far you are doing great, 5744938928, PHANTOM linear base
amplifier. Already ended with a winning bid...

Your a worthless P.O.S. Doug and so are your ratout lists..

1510. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 16:28:11 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <irv4v0h3ottltqajn1hmbs6n5jcl9tf61e@4ax.com>
Source record: 195100

On 22 Jan 2005 01:04:17 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Here ya go. We must reiterate..."Keyclowns are not allowed to own these
>items"
>
>5744938928,5744682422,5745107484,5745184629,5745270163
>5745270212,5745782632,5744987260,5744987268,5745216252
>
>5745216261,5745216262,5745216670,5745174109,5745217500
>574521750,5745217502,5745371343,5745860221,
>
>5745868863,5745847420,5745828313,5745826516,5745820400
>5745811838,5745738434,5745708624,5745821107,5745652487
>
>5745661280,5745591019,5745536854,5745407173,5745393902
>5744976770,5745182798,5745141814,5745139446,

Lets see how you did Doug..

5744938928-PHANTOM linear base amplifier-ended winning bid
5744682422-Silver Eagle 200 Linear-ended winning bid
5745107484-King Cobra 150GTL 10M Linear Amplifier-still there
5745184629-Radio Shack TRC-495 CB Base Station w/ 100w Linear
Amp-still there
5745270163-Palomar 90A Linear Amplifier-still there
5745270212-Raider 150 watt Linear-still there
5744987260-Miniature Ham Linear Amp Amplifier-still there
5744987268-Stamm Comm Ham Linear Amp Amplifier-still there
5745216252-RM Model 203 Amateur Mobile Linear Amplifier-still there
5745216262-Palomar Linear Amplifier-still there
5745216670-Palomar 50 watt On board Linear-bidding ended
5745174109-KLV1000 Linear Amplifier-still there
5745217500-alomar 50 watt On board Linear-still there
5745371343-CB RADIO LINEAR-AMP 150WATT=still there
5745868863-Ranger RCI-6300F-150-still there-check this one out
5745820400-RANGER RCI 2985-still there
5745811838- amateur 10 meter station-still there
5745821107-galaxy dx 93-still there
5745652487-Galaxy 95T, w/ linear amp-still there
5745661280-GALAXY DX-2527-still there
5745591019-SILVER BULLET 400 AMP-still there
5745536854-Pro Star 497 AM/FM/SSB-still there
5745407173-galaxy 959-still there
5745393902-AMP FOR 80 TO 10 METER-still there

5744976770-Magnum 257 AM FM SSB 10 meter-still there
5745182798-Galaxy Saturn 2517-still there
5745141814-Galaxy DX 95T=still there
5745139446-RF Magnum S-9 New Amateur Tranceiver- still there

Hmm, looks like your rat out list is nothing more than a shopping
list..

1511. Dougs latest e-bay shopping list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 16:32:07 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <guv4v0t031p2j0r2ar1bk07iivq45alv5b@4ax.com>
Source record: 195101

5744938928-PHANTOM linear base amplifier-ended winning bid
5744682422-Silver Eagle 200 Linear-ended winning bid
5745107484-King Cobra 150GTL 10M Linear Amplifier-still there
5745184629-Radio Shack TRC-495 CB Base Station w/ 100w Linear
Amp-still there
5745270163-Palomar 90A Linear Amplifier-still there
5745270212-Raider 150 watt Linear-still there
5744987260-Miniature Ham Linear Amp Amplifier-still there
5744987268-Stamm Comm Ham Linear Amp Amplifier-still there
5745216252-RM Model 203 Amateur Mobile Linear Amplifier-still there
5745216262-Palomar Linear Amplifier-still there
5745216670-Palomar 50 watt On board Linear-bidding ended
5745174109-KLV1000 Linear Amplifier-still there
5745217500-alomar 50 watt On board Linear-still there
5745371343-CB RADIO LINEAR-AMP 150WATT=still there
5745868863-Ranger RCI-6300F-150-still there-check this one out
5745820400-RANGER RCI 2985-still there
5745811838- amateur 10 meter station-still there
5745821107-galaxy dx 93-still there
5745652487-Galaxy 95T, w/ linear amp-still there
5745661280-GALAXY DX-2527-still there
5745591019-SILVER BULLET 400 AMP-still there
5745536854-Pro Star 497 AM/FM/SSB-still there
5745407173-galaxy 959-still there
5745393902-AMP FOR 80 TO 10 METER-still there
5744976770-Magnum 257 AM FM SSB 10 meter-still there
5745182798-Galaxy Saturn 2517-still there
5745141814-Galaxy DX 95T=still there
5745139446-RF Magnum S-9 New Amateur Tranceiver- still there

It sure looks like your chip is working Doug, doing all the work
looking up the goodies on Ebay.. Thanks Doug.. LOL!!!

1512. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 16:46:46 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <4u05v09gvcj612gipd3bcckqdvmnjkas2v@4ax.com>
Source record: 195102

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 10:39:23 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>news:irv4v0h3ottltqajn1hmbs6n5jcl9tf61e@4ax.com:
>
>> On 22 Jan 2005 01:04:17 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
>> <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Here ya go. We must reiterate..."Keyclowns are not allowed to own these
>>>items"
>>>
>>>5744938928,5744682422,5745107484,5745184629,5745270163
>>>5745270212,5745782632,5744987260,5744987268,5745216252
>>>
>>>5745216261,5745216262,5745216670,5745174109,5745217500
>>>574521750,5745217502,5745371343,5745860221,
>>>
>>>5745868863,5745847420,5745828313,5745826516,5745820400
>>>5745811838,5745738434,5745708624,5745821107,5745652487
>>>
>>>5745661280,5745591019,5745536854,5745407173,5745393902
>>>5744976770,5745182798,5745141814,5745139446,
>>
>> Lets see how you did Doug..
>>
>> 5744938928-PHANTOM linear base amplifier-ended winning bid
>> 5744682422-Silver Eagle 200 Linear-ended winning bid
>> 5745107484-King Cobra 150GTL 10M Linear Amplifier-still there
>> 5745184629-Radio Shack TRC-495 CB Base Station w/ 100w Linear
>> Amp-still there
>> 5745270163-Palomar 90A Linear Amplifier-still there
>> 5745270212-Raider 150 watt Linear-still there
>> 5744987260-Miniature Ham Linear Amp Amplifier-still there
>> 5744987268-Stamm Comm Ham Linear Amp Amplifier-still there
>> 5745216252-RM Model 203 Amateur Mobile Linear Amplifier-still there
>> 5745216262-Palomar Linear Amplifier-still there
>> 5745216670-Palomar 50 watt On board Linear-bidding ended
>> 5745174109-KLV1000 Linear Amplifier-still there
>> 5745217500-alomar 50 watt On board Linear-still there
>> 5745371343-CB RADIO LINEAR-AMP 150WATT=still there
>> 5745868863-Ranger RCI-6300F-150-still there-check this one out
>> 5745820400-RANGER RCI 2985-still there
>> 5745811838- amateur 10 meter station-still there

>> 5745821107-galaxy dx 93-still there
>> 5745652487-Galaxy 95T, w/ linear amp-still there
>> 5745661280-GALAXY DX-2527-still there
>> 5745591019-SILVER BULLET 400 AMP-still there
>> 5745536854-Pro Star 497 AM/FM/SSB-still there
>> 5745407173-galaxy 959-still there
>> 5745393902-AMP FOR 80 TO 10 METER-still there
>> 5744976770-Magnum 257 AM FM SSB 10 meter-still there
>> 5745182798-Galaxy Saturn 2517-still there
>> 5745141814-Galaxy DX 95T=still there
>> 5745139446-RF Magnum S-9 New Amateur Tranceiver- still there
>>
>> Hmm, looks like your rat out list is nothing more than a shopping
>> list..
>
>what are you buying.

Nothing, my Cobra 29 works just fine..

How much snow have you gotten so far?

1513. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 19:59:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <20050122145926.627$G8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195103

```
"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Here ya go. We must reiterate..."Keyclowns are not allowed to own these
> items"
>
> 5744938928,5744682422,5745107484,5745184629,5745270163
> 5745270212,5745782632,5744987260,5744987268,5745216252
>
> 5745216261,5745216262,5745216670,5745174109,5745217500
> 574521750,5745217502,5745371343,5745860221,
>
> 5745868863,5745847420,5745828313,5745826516,5745820400
> 5745811838,5745738434,5745708624,5745821107,5745652487
>
> 5745661280,5745591019,5745536854,5745407173,5745393902
> 5744976770,5745182798,5745141814,5745139446,
>
Fucking asshole stays up all night drooling on his keyboard again. What
a bafoon!
```

1514. Email forging from Usenet Zone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 20:03:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050122150320.252$3h_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:337Id.127852$K7.73067@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> <snip you asshole>
>
> I'VE HEARD ENOUGH ABOUT YOUR FUCKING RADIO........SHOVE IT UP YOUR
> FUCKING ASS, FAG-BOI!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> ________________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
More email forging from the used cock sucker server.
```

1515. Email forge from Usenet zone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 20:05:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050122150519.814$eu_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> from the medina "cumming out" cb party
>
> begin 666 twist-ste1.gif
> [22781 bytes]
> end
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Gay binaries in a text group also.
```

1516. Usenet zone email forge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 20:06:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050122150604.333$3x_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> remember this?
>
> begin 666 stev-landshk.jpg
> [59719 bytes]
> end
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

1517. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 20:09:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050122150909.333$y1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195107
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
> not.I
> > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only used
> it
> > to jerk off to.
> > I still think one day Doug will love me.
> > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> > I love you Doug and I always will.
> >
> > Steveo
> > moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
> heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.
>
Still posting gay shit to the radio groups, while forging me, Doug. You
really are scum.

Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

1518. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 20:21:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050122152134.135$d8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195108

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> what are you buying.
>
You getting this snow storm yet?

1519. FA: RadioShack PRO-96 Digital Trunking Scanner Radio - Like New!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 20:24:17 UTC
From: Ivory Kid <ivorykid@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DDyId.41715$St.8334@fed1read03>
Source record: 195109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Like new RadioShack PRO-96 Digital Trunking Scanner Radio. Latest firmware.
Take a look!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5746223496

1520. Re: Email forging from Usenet Zone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 20:35:38 UTC
From: Survey <srvycash@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <773PBRE138375.191412037@reece.net.au>
Source record: 195110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,alt.snuh

```
In article <20050122150320.252$3h_-_@newsreader.com>
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > "Trev 999" <trr69@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:337Id.127852$K7.73067@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> > <snip you asshole>
> >
> > I'VE HEARD ENOUGH ABOUT YOUR FUCKING RADIO........SHOVE IT UP YOUR
> > FUCKING ASS, FAG-BOI!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > ________________________________________
> > Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> > More than 120,000 groups
> > Unlimited download
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >
> More email forging from the used cock sucker server.

Yawn.
```

1521. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 20:47:49 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106426869.149438.313820@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195111
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Steveo wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > The AKC does not understand me.They think I'm a keyclown, but I'm
> > not.I
> > > have a crush on Doug, thats all.When I took his picture I only
used
> > it
> > > to jerk off to.
> > > I still think one day Doug will love me.
> > > I need Doug's massive man-meat inside of my love hole.
> > > I love you Doug and I always will.
> > >
> > > Steveo
> > > moparholic@hotmail.com
> >
> > Alas, you are doomed. Your proclaimed love is without meaning to
> > heterosexuals such as We, your Lords the AKC.
> >
> Still posting gay shit to the radio groups, while forging me, Doug.
You
> really are scum.
>
Wrong, butthead. I have not ever forged you on any NG anywhere.
However, you are just now starting to find out how it goes when you do,
fool. AKC aint finished with you yet, sunshine.

Having said that, thank you for all the laughs. It is so funny to watch
you spin wheels impotently.
PS My bowl is meerschaum, yours is petro toilet

1522. For Sale.....Excellent Golden Eagle D-104 powermike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 22:18:18 UTC
From: mgaluvr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050122171818.01146.00000145@mb-m04.aol.com>
Source record: 195112

I have an Astatic "Golden Eagle" powermike in excellent condition for sale. Its a good solid 9.5 on the scale with virtually no scratches, or signs of pitting etc. A very smooth and consistent gold plating overall.....If your looking for an outstanding Golden Eagle....Look no further !

Photos at :

www.members.aol.com/mgaluvr/astaticgoldeneagle.jpg

Shipped and insured to your door......$150......Continental US only

Payment by cashiers check or money order only.

Email in interested.............

Bill in Missouri

1523. Re: Email forge from Usenet zone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-22 22:53:18 UTC
From: Ken&#65533;ock de la F&#65533;hr&#65533;tt <sarahthesockm@tres.scum>
Message-ID: <gam5v05qukt31mov3rrh1h86bk55je6k7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 195113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

On 22 Jan 2005 20:05:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Gay binaries in a text group also.

Does that make you hot Steveo?

1524. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 00:28:07 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <R7KdnefXDqQLcm_cRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 195114
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

For the love of all that is human - stop cross-posting this unrelated bullshit in MTT!

1525. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 00:50:33 UTC
From: Aratzio <a6ahlyv02@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <j5t5v0lqq5641o4cf898jp67c71hb5vktg@4ax.com>
Source record: 195115
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 19:28:07 -0500, "Troublemaker"
<surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> got double secret probation because:

>For the love of all that is human - stop cross-posting this unrelated
>bullshit in MTT!
>

And if they do not, will you be stomping your foot and being very
cross with them?

1526. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 01:19:21 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@mail.com>
Message-ID: <1106443160.998990.136200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195116
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Aratzio wrote:
<SNIP my sweet>
Hi There Aratzio:
You sound kind of like a hottie.....I'd love to feel you deep inside my
man-puss. PLEASE Tell Me About YOUR Hot Fuck-Stick!!

1527. Re: Email forge from Usenet zone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 01:22:24 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@mail.com>
Message-ID: <1106443344.025273.95320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.usenet.kooks

Kençock de la Féhrétt wrote:
> On 22 Jan 2005 20:05:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Gay binaries in a text group also.
>
> Does that make you hot Steveo?

Why yes.....I really does so much get met hot. And Wet In My Love Hole!

1528. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 02:14:31 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5oSdnT9g5rsalW7cRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 195118
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"Aratzio" <a6ahlyv02@sneakemail.com> wrote in message
news:j5t5v0lqq5641o4cf898jp67c71hb5vktg@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 19:28:07 -0500, "Troublemaker"
> <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> got double secret probation because:
>
>>For the love of all that is human - stop cross-posting this unrelated
>>bullshit in MTT!
>>
>
> And if they do not, will you be stomping your foot and being very
> cross with them?

Nah - I guess I was just too lazy to bother setting up a filter so this
cross-posted, ass-loving bullshit doesn't show on my newsreader. No longer a
problem. Have an ass-licking day! :)

1529. Re: Email forge from Usenet zone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 02:30:06 UTC
From: Survey <srvycash@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <8VUJ8RLE38375.4375694444@reece.net.au>
Source record: 195119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.snuh,alt.bored,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

In article <gam5v05qukt31mov3rrh1h86bk55je6k7f@4ax.com>
Ken�ock de la F�hr�tt <sarahthesockm@tres.scum> wrote:
>
> On 22 Jan 2005 20:05:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Gay binaries in a text group also.
>
> Does that make you hot Steveo?

Zzzz.

1530. Re: Aratzio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 02:31:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f30d0b$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195120
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:41f30bdc@news.usenetzone.com...
> hey dude........
>
> don't fall for steveo's bullcrap. he'll tell you one thing and do someone
> else. he's in love with this fucking ham now and he'll just use you for a
> fuck toy. this coulds be us........let me know if you're hot.
>
>

>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
>
Aratzio is a great kisser just like my sister.

1531. Re: Aratzio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 02:33:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f30d9a$1_2@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195121
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

```
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:41f30c79@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:41f30bdc@news.usenetzone.com...
>> hey dude........
>>
>> don't fall for steveo's bullcrap. he'll tell you one thing and do someone
>> else. he's in love with this fucking ham now and he'll just use you for a
>> fuck toy. this coulds be us........let me know if you're hot.
>>
>>
>>
>
> OR THIS......PLEASE LET ME KNOW IF YOU'RE HOT!
>
>

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

>
> ________________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Aratzio ticlkes my innerds!
```

1532. Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 03:00:15 UTC
From: nathanp0515@aol.com
Message-ID: <1106449215.481366.147160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195122

I bought a magnet mount cb antenna from radio shack. Put it up on my suburban. At about 40 miles per hour it blew down and almost fell of the car. I put velcrow in between the base and the roof of the car(i know, it's the only thing i could think of). Will this interfere with the ground? The bottom of the base didn't have any metal "contact" points or anything that i could have covered up plus the car is painted so how is it supposed to connect to the car to get a ground connection anyway? I can pick up signals pretty well.(i think, this is my first cb, can you tell)

1533. Re: Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 03:39:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41f31d07$1_1@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195123

<nathanp0515@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1106449215.481366.147160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I bought a magnet mount cb antenna from radio shack. Put it up on my
> suburban. At about 40 miles per hour it blew down and almost fell of
> the car. I put velcrow in between the base and the roof of the car(i
> know, it's the only thing i could think of). Will this interfere with
> the ground? The bottom of the base didn't have any metal "contact"
> points or anything that i could have covered up plus the car is painted
> so how is it supposed to connect to the car to get a ground connection
> anyway? I can pick up signals pretty well.(i think, this is my first
> cb, can you tell)
>
My dildo fell off my night stand and I used velcro to hold it.

1534. Re: Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 03:54:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f320b4$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195124
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

<nathanp0515@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1106449215.481366.147160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>I bought a magnet mount cb antenna from radio shack. Put it up on my
> suburban. At about 40 miles per hour it blew down and almost fell of
> the car. I put velcrow in between the base and the roof of the car(i
> know, it's the only thing i could think of). Will this interfere with
> the ground? The bottom of the base didn't have any metal "contact"
> points or anything that i could have covered up plus the car is painted
> so how is it supposed to connect to the car to get a ground connection
> anyway? I can pick up signals pretty well.(i think, this is my first
> cb, can you tell)
>

Hi nathanp0515

I'm Steveo and it's my pleasure to serve you. I know alot about radios because i run a collins S line and it's all modified to transmit on the CB band. So thats shows I know a lot.

I was just wondering if you've ever been with a guy before(in the biblical sense)? I am VERY much willing and able to discuss that too!!thats actually the main focus of this group.....we're just a bunch of extra happy cb dudes!

Welcome to the group, Nathan.....just think of me as uncle steve,OK??

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1535. Re: Get a life, Rocky/Rookie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:01:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f32257@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195125
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

"Troublemaker" <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:DcadnS0EX7zlaW_cRVn-sg@comcast.com...
<snip-A-Roo !>

Hi Trouble.....

Me and frankie will be looking for you at the rest stop on Monday.....You
Big Hairy Tease!!!!!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1536. Re: Aratzio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:22:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f32769$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195126
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:41f30c79@news.usenetzone.com...
>
<s*n*i*p that B*i*t*c*h>

Keep your opinions to yourself, twathed.
everybody know that you're the group bitch-boi.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1537. Re: Spamming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:25:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f327fa$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195127
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Sp&#65533;mster" <d0ntbother@mail.com> wrote in message
news:20050122223544.592$Q5@news.newsreader.com...
>
>
> ellis@no.spam wrote:
>> In article <20050121131558.896$53@news.newsreader.com>,
>> Sp&#65533;mster <d0ntbother@mail.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Whining noted.
>>
>>
>> Take a listen here and let me know if it reminds you
>> of someone:
>>
>> http://bitsy.spinics.net/47
>
>
> Tom Lehrer rules, Wollmann drools.

It reminds me of twathed

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1538. Re: Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:35:53 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f329a9$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195128
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41f320b4$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> <nathanp0515@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1106449215.481366.147160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>I bought a magnet mount cb antenna from radio shack. Put it up on my
>> suburban. At about 40 miles per hour it blew down and almost fell of
>> the car. I put velcrow in between the base and the roof of the car(i
>> know, it's the only thing i could think of). Will this interfere with
>> the ground? The bottom of the base didn't have any metal "contact"
>> points or anything that i could have covered up plus the car is painted
>> so how is it supposed to connect to the car to get a ground connection
>> anyway? I can pick up signals pretty well.(i think, this is my first
>> cb, can you tell)
>>
>
> Hi nathanp0515
>
> I'm Steveo and it's my pleasure to serve you. I know alot about radios
> because i run a collins S line and it's all modified to transmit on the CB
> band. So thats shows I know a lot.
>
> I was just wondering if you've ever been with a guy before(in the biblical
> sense)? I am VERY much willing and able to discuss that too!!thats
> actually the main focus of this group.....we're just a bunch of extra
> happy cb dudes!
>
> Welcome to the group, Nathan.....just think of me as uncle steve,OK??
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
I mostly talk to niggers on my cb they have the biggest cocks!

1539. Re: Aratzio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:37:51 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f32a1f$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195129
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:41f30bdc@news.usenetzone.com...
> hey dude........
>
> don't fall for steveo's bullcrap. he'll tell you one thing and do someone
> else. he's in love with this fucking ham now and he'll just use you for a
> fuck toy. this coulds be us........let me know if you're hot.
>
>

>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Those guys are too old I like them much younger.

1540. Re: Spamming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:38:27 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f32a43$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195130
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41f327fa$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Sp&#65533;mster" <d0ntbother@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050122223544.592$Q5@news.newsreader.com...
>>
>>
>> ellis@no.spam wrote:
>>> In article <20050121131558.896$53@news.newsreader.com>,
>>> Sp&#65533;mster <d0ntbother@mail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Whining noted.
>>>
>>>
>>> Take a listen here and let me know if it reminds you
>>> of someone:
>>>
>>> http://bitsy.spinics.net/47
>>
>>
>> Tom Lehrer rules, Wollmann drools.
>
> It reminds me of twathed
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
I like man twat.

1541. Re: Aratzio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:40:54 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f32ad6$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195131
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41f32769$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:41f30c79@news.usenetzone.com...
>>
> <s*n*i*p that B*i*t*c*h>
>
> Keep your opinions to yourself, twathed.
> everybody know that you're the group bitch-boi.
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
I love men in the biblical cents.

1542. Re: Aratzio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:45:08 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f32bd4@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195132
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:41f30d0b$1_1@127.0.0.1...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:41f30bdc@news.usenetzone.com...
>> hey dude.....
>>
>> don't fall for steveo's bullcrap. he'll tell you one thing and do someone
>> else. he's in love with this fucking ham now and he'll just use you for a
>> fuck toy. this coulds be us.....let me know if you're hot.
>>
>>
>
>
> ---
>
>
>>
>> _____
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>>
>>
> Aratzio is a great kisser just like my sister.
I hate girls! I can swallow very large trouser snakes all day and night!

1543. Re: AKC Does Not Understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:50:21 UTC
From: Aratzio <a6ahlyv02@sneakemail.com>
Message-ID: <37b6v0dt84c5pepj4a8e783mj0bin3cmol@4ax.com>
Source record: 195133
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 21:14:31 -0500, "Troublemaker"
<surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> got double secret probation because:

>
>"Aratzio" <a6ahlyv02@sneakemail.com> wrote in message
>news:j5t5v0lqq5641o4cf898jp67c71hb5vktg@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 19:28:07 -0500, "Troublemaker"
>> <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> got double secret probation because:
>>
>>>For the love of all that is human - stop cross-posting this unrelated
>>>bullshit in MTT!
>>>
>>
>> And if they do not, will you be stomping your foot and being very
>> cross with them?
>
>Nah - I guess I was just too lazy to bother setting up a filter so this
>cross-posted, ass-loving bullshit doesn't show on my newsreader. No longer a
>problem. Have an ass-licking day! :)
>

Now that was not hard.

Where the hell is Bullis?

1544. Re: Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:54:32 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <5ab6v0tpp8ehulj4ptft9tc8i1tst5n8h5@4ax.com>
Source record: 195134

On 22 Jan 2005 19:00:15 -0800, nathanp0515@aol.com wrote:

>I bought a magnet mount cb antenna from radio shack. Put it up on my
>suburban. At about 40 miles per hour it blew down and almost fell of
>the car. I put velcrow in between the base and the roof of the car(i
>know, it's the only thing i could think of). Will this interfere with
>the ground? The bottom of the base didn't have any metal "contact"
>points or anything that i could have covered up plus the car is painted
>so how is it supposed to connect to the car to get a ground connection
>anyway? I can pick up signals pretty well.(i think, this is my first
>cb, can you tell)

The mag mount depends on a decent capacitive coupling between
the mag base and the sheet metal of the car. If this coupling isn't
maintained then the antenna won't function properly and in your case
gets blown off the roof.

1545. I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:55:37 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f32e49$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195135

The stocky pal came too, and sat on the other side of me. We didn't waste time, the tall guy said 'hello' and kissed me on the mouth, the stocky guy pulled my nipple and tightened, not letting go. Hands everywhere, and the two guys worked me over, working my cock inside my pants, which is a good size and started to tent up - the tall guy guided my hand to the back of his jeans, and I realized that the flap was a hole and his ass was accessible, and was in fact all lubed up.

I stuck a finger or two inside him, while doing this the stocky guy undid my pants and released my cock. The ass was so moist, I soon realized that he must have had a load or two up there - this bar has a basement toilet that can get quite out of hand, even on a weekday afternoon.

1546. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:57:16 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f32eac$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195136

"George Busch" <WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in message
news:41f32e49$1@x-privat.org...
> The stocky pal came too, and sat on the other side of me. We didn't waste
> time, the tall guy said 'hello' and kissed me on the mouth, the stocky guy
> pulled my nipple and tightened, not letting go. Hands everywhere, and the
> two guys worked me over, working my cock inside my pants, which is a good
> size and started to tent up - the tall guy guided my hand to the back of
> his jeans, and I realized that the flap was a hole and his ass was
> accessible, and was in fact all lubed up.
>
> I stuck a finger or two inside him, while doing this the stocky guy undid
> my pants and released my cock. The ass was so moist, I soon realized that
> he must have had a load or two up there - this bar has a basement toilet
> that can get quite out of hand, even on a weekday afternoon.
>
Later, a good few drinks later, I cruised round the bar - and caught the eye
of the slight guy I had'nt tussled with yet. He motioned for me to follow
him to the downstairs loo. Now this is a notorious cruising spot and I have
seen some action here, but I was unprepared for the sight of my lanky guy
being fucked at the urinal. This had been going on for some time, and once
the guy fucking him had come, another guy next to him moved in and got
going - the skinheads customized jeans meant he could take all of this
without taking his trousers off, though when he turned I saw the front of
his pants awash with spunk stains, and I think he had been pissed on a
little.

1547. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 04:57:53 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f32ed1$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195137

"George Busch" <WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in message
news:41f32eac$1@x-privat.org...
>
> "George Busch" <WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:41f32e49$1@x-privat.org...
>> The stocky pal came too, and sat on the other side of me. We didn't waste
>> time, the tall guy said 'hello' and kissed me on the mouth, the stocky
>> guy pulled my nipple and tightened, not letting go. Hands everywhere, and
>> the two guys worked me over, working my cock inside my pants, which is a
>> good size and started to tent up - the tall guy guided my hand to the
>> back of his jeans, and I realized that the flap was a hole and his ass
>> was accessible, and was in fact all lubed up.
>>
>> I stuck a finger or two inside him, while doing this the stocky guy undid
>> my pants and released my cock. The ass was so moist, I soon realized that
>> he must have had a load or two up there - this bar has a basement toilet
>> that can get quite out of hand, even on a weekday afternoon.
>>
> Later, a good few drinks later, I cruised round the bar - and caught the
> eye of the slight guy I had'nt tussled with yet. He motioned for me to
> follow him to the downstairs loo. Now this is a notorious cruising spot
> and I have seen some action here, but I was unprepared for the sight of my
> lanky guy being fucked at the urinal. This had been going on for some
> time, and once the guy fucking him had come, another guy next to him moved
> in and got going - the skinheads customized jeans meant he could take all
> of this without taking his trousers off, though when he turned I saw the
> front of his pants awash with spunk stains, and I think he had been pissed
> on a little.
>
His stocky friend was also getting some action, being sucked by a
black guy,when he came up for air I could see that the stocky guy had a
thick and massive cock. The slight guy guided my hand to his basket and
flipped his buttons open - not wasting any time I got onto my knees and soon
had a mouthful of hot meat - the two other guys noticed this and moved over
to me, and I found myself alternating three cocks at once, and getting a
good hard on myself in the process. I sensed the guys were on the edge and
gobbled away, and was rewarded by the stocky guy shooting a big load into my
face, open mouth and down my front - this set off the other two and their
loads mingled in - this was a lot of jizz, in fact it felt like I was being
pissed on, they soaked my mouth, chin, t-shirt and jeans - three distinct
flavours too. I've heard jizz is good for the complexion.....

1548. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 05:06:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106454898.041683.186670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195138

Steveo wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Here ya go. We must reiterate..."Keyclowns are not allowed to own
these
> > items"
> >
> > 5744938928,5744682422,5745107484,5745184629,5745270163
> > 5745270212,5745782632,5744987260,5744987268,5745216252
> >
> > 5745216261,5745216262,5745216670,5745174109,5745217500
> > 574521750,5745217502,5745371343,5745860221,
> >
> > 5745868863,5745847420,5745828313,5745826516,5745820400
> > 5745811838,5745738434,5745708624,5745821107,5745652487
> >
> > 5745661280,5745591019,5745536854,5745407173,5745393902
> > 5744976770,5745182798,5745141814,5745139446,
> >
> Fucking asshole stays up all night drooling on his keyboard again.
What
> a bafoon!

That wasnt drool on your chin all night last night.

1549. Re: Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 05:39:21 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20050123003921.17939.00000227@mb-m22.aol.com>
Source record: 195139

You'll have to excuse the Village Idiot Frank...or whoever the dork is!
Those magnet mounts are notorious for
not having the strength to hold on at
speeds they are often rated for!
If you are serious and want to keep using
the radio in the vehicle, look to use a
mirror mount or a triple magnet-mount
or quad magnet for best results. Magnet
mounts can work good but a solid mount
is best, even if it is on a mirror. Good luck.

"Got RF?"

1550. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 08:17:41 UTC
From: john and steph <hintonz@tpg.com.au>
Message-ID: <41f35dff$1@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 195140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106283250.487248.308990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> nope...2nd place to mopeydopey
>

don`t know from shit dumb mo fo jonz

1551. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 10:57:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123055735.412$6d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195141

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050122152134.135$d8
> @newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> what are you buying.
> >>
> > You getting this snow storm yet?
>
> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
>
When did you start plowing?

1552. More of Inside the mopey mind:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 11:23:25 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106479405.055777.130020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195142

When we last left that pus bag he stores camel turds in, otherwise known as a mind, mopeydopey was cwying about the bad bad AKC to his mommy...Lets take another look...

"MOMMMMMMEEEEEEEE I DON'T WIKE DOSE BAAAAAAD BAAAAAD AKC TEASING ME!! MOMMMMMMEEEEEEEEE!!!! MAKE DOSE BAAAAAD BAAAAAD AKC STOP BEFOWE I HAVE TO MAKE THWEATS!!! MOMMMMEEEEEEE, PWEASE MAKE DOSE BAAAAAD BAAAAD AKC STOP OR I WIWW HAVE TO GET MOWE BATTEWIES FOWW MY CAMEWA!!!!"

Some things never change, like the pus bag filled with camel turds mopey dopey call a mind.

1553. Re: Email forge from Usenet zone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 11:24:18 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106479458.582325.162910@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

You loved it I bet

1554. Re: Dougs latest e-bay shopping list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 11:25:25 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106479525.782824.261930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195194

Made you post twice, so the AKC's chip sure does work. Now, go flip
your wrist crack smoker.

1555. AKC LOVES IT! KEYCLOWN BITES THE DUST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 11:48:19 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106480899.219664.247730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195195

One of twithed's boyfriends, no doubt.

FCC levies $10,000 fine for unlicensed operation (Jan 19, 2005) -- The FCC has fined a Jacksonville, Florida, CBer $10,000 for transmitting without Commission authorization. The Commission released the Forfeiture Order (NOF) to Tommie Salter on December 27. The FCC says that in March 2004 its Tampa Field Office, responding to interference complaints, restricted Salter's hours of operation. The FCC lifted the quiet hours two months later, providing Salter "operated in full compliance with the Commission's rules." When interference complaints resumed, the FCC last July 15 again notified Salter it was restricting his hours of operation. The FCC NOF said a family member signed for the notice but that Salter told Commission officials he had not read it. As a result he transmitted while his operation was prohibited--between 6 AM and 11:59 PM. An August 2004 station inspection of Salter's CB station revealed that he was operating a non FCC-certificated transmitter, in violation of Part 95 rules. A Notice of Apparent Liability (NAL) proposing the $10,000 fine followed in October. The FCC's NOF upholds the fine, despite Salter's protests that his violation was not willful because he did not understand that use of non-certificated transmitters violated the rules and did not know about the reinstated quiet hours. Salter also claimed he couldn't pay the fine, but the FCC says he failed to provide tax returns or other necessary documentation to back up that claim. The FCC authorizes CB operation "by rule"--essentially a blanket license.--FCC

1556. Re: Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 11:49:42 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050123064942.17751.00000245@mb-m07.aol.com>
Source record: 195196
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Nathanp0515 wrote:
>I bought a magnet mount cb antenna from >radio shack. Put it up on my
>suburban. At about 40 miles per hour it >blew down and almost fell of
>the car. I put velcrow in between the >base and the roof of the car(i
>know, it's the only thing i could think of). >Will this interfere with the
ground?

Put the base of the mag mount directly on the vehicle's sheet steel - or it
will blow off, particularly if whatever you put under it (like Velcro) is
thick.

>I can pick up signals pretty well.(i think, >this is my first cb, can you
tell)

You need to get a trucker-grade antenna - NOT a Radio Shack
mag-mount. It can be a mag mount - but it has to have a larger diameter magnet
(4"-5") for you to get out. It really makes a huge difference. So get over to
your local truck stop.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, weather, Internet Gun Show
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1557. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 15:17:12 UTC
From: Landshark <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.net>
Message-ID: <YdPId.14106$wZ2.7890@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195197

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:20050121002356.222$Io@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > "Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> > news:p6guu0l4g0hktdhjm196qhcl0in2t2nt2j@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 14:42:57 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> > > wrote in <RluHd.13075$5R.4057@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
> > >
> > > <snip>
> > >> One thing I've seen about Frank as of late, he doesn't
> > >>seem to let his emotions do his talking.
> > >
> > >
> > > Good thing I wasn't drinking Tequila last night.
> > >
> >
> > LOL!! Haven't drank much of anything for a couple of
> > months now, Christmas just about killed me in funds
> > so new kegs were out of the question.
> >
> You buy to-killya by the keg!?

LOL!! Not hardly, nore allong the lines of BEER!!

Landshark

1558. Re: Roger Beeps 100% LEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 15:17:20 UTC
From: Landshark <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.net>
Message-ID: <4ePId.14107$wZ2.13508@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195198

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:0fmvu013bl14f8nnr1bpulpepnllvcnqc5@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 14:50:52 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <gzPHd.3805$8Z1.2620@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>:
>
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
> >news:jomuu0hq6lihf3ilf757beo7p1cekahl6s@4ax.com...
> >> On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 06:00:16 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> >> wrote in <QNHHd.12750$wZ2.1954@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >>>> Thank you, Shark. BTW, do you still have that Palomar amp?
> >>>
> >>>Which one? the TX800? or the newer version 500?
> >>>It doesn't matter, NO. I gave the TX to a very good
> >>>friend that moved back east a couple years ago.
> >>>The 500 got loaned out and for some strange reason, it
> >>>never made it's way home.
> >>
> >> Bummer. Voobner has finally talked me into getting my ticket, so I'm
> >> going to start picking up some HF equipment. I remember some time back
> >> you saying something about a closet full of amps so I thought I would
> >> ask.
> >
> > What size are you looking for? I do have a bunch that
> >aren't being used (Not much room for one in an Early
> >Bronco). I'd throw it down for cheap, no cost up front,
> >you make sure it's ok for you first, pay later.
>
>
> Hard to beat a deal like that. I would be interested in anything that
> will work down to 160m. Feel free to email me.

Sorry for the late reply, been busy and went skiing yesterday (went to bed
early, got up early).
Going to a sportsman show this morning with the wife & kids, If I get a
chance, I'll email you what I got available.

> >>
> >>>OT. I still say Bush is making a HUGE mistake by not announcing some
sort
> >>>of

> >>>exit plan.
> >>> If the Republican party wants another Man in the office,
> >>>he'd better get a plan going. The more of our people that
> >>>die over there, is just another nail in the coffin for a
> >>>Republican candidate to take office in 08.
> >>
> >>
> >> I'm not so sure he really wants to exit Iraq. Either way, I think his
> >> biggest mistake right now is the inaguration hoopla -- do we really
> >> need NINE official balls while our troops are getting -their- balls
> >> blown off daily in Iraq? Bad form.
> >
> >Agreed. You would think in war time he would show a
> >little common sense.
>
>
> And a little more dignity.

Oh "He" has dignity, but he sure doesn't seem to know how to show that
towards the service men & women.

> > If we were actually winning in Iraq,
> >I could understand, but that is not the case.
> > Again, politics have replaced common military sense
> >in a conflict abroad.
> >
> >>
> >> As far as 08, I always liked Lamar Alexander.
> >
> >Not me, never liked him, not sure why, but just
> >don't trust him (Like I should really trust a politician)
>
>
> That's fine, I'm not sure why I like him.
>
>
> >> But if McCain gets the
> >> Republican nod I might just vote for him instead of a third party.
> >
> >The thing about McCain is that he's speaks his mind. In
> >politics that is not always a wise thing to do.
>
>
> But he doesn't always speak his mind. You can bet that he has a lot of
> things he wants to say but doesn't.

Smart politicain should be that way.
I wonder if he became Pres, would he shut down the borders more (being he's
from Az, he's aware of the
mass flow of illegals)?

>
>
> > I'm going to wait and see who throw's their hat into
> >the arena.
>
>
> That would probably be the best thing to do at this point.

Landshark

1559. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 15:23:57 UTC
From: Martin <ms@thomas63.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <opsk2ad7cgavpy0y@bigsilver.mshome.net>
Source record: 195199
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

Hi we are a gay couple, and the idea of you having a web cam on in your
bed room while having sex really turns us on.
May even have a go ourselves if it looks nice.

regards
2Marts

On 20 Jan 2005 00:57:45 -0800, Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:

> me and my new boyfriend (triple) are thinking of putting a web-cam in
> our bed room. would anybody care to watch us having extra hot
> mano-to-mano sex?
>
> if enough want it we'll post the url here.
>

--
Using Opera's revolutionary e-mail client: http://www.opera.com/m2/

1560. FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 15:33:06 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <41F3C3B2.4040005@optonline.net>
Source record: 195200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Many clear pics. Take a look if you like

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037

1561. Re: Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 15:47:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <nmh7v0tjo7ko6qjn7m6vl9up42m3rnorms@4ax.com>
Source record: 195201

On 22 Jan 2005 19:00:15 -0800, nathanp0515@aol.com wrote:

>I bought a magnet mount cb antenna from radio shack. Put it up on my
>suburban. At about 40 miles per hour it blew down and almost fell of
>the car. I put velcrow in between the base and the roof of the car(i
>know, it's the only thing i could think of). Will this interfere with
>the ground? The bottom of the base didn't have any metal "contact"
>points or anything that i could have covered up plus the car is painted
>so how is it supposed to connect to the car to get a ground connection
>anyway? I can pick up signals pretty well.(i think, this is my first
>cb, can you tell)

Get a Wilson 1000. I do 75-80, and it's sticks like glue.

Vinnie S.

1562. Have you heard the GOOD NEWS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 15:47:27 UTC
From: google_groups_inc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106495247.732157.303550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195202

```
http://www3.boxke.net << click link for the good news
```

1563. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 16:20:02 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <S8QId.14667$5R.928@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195203

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050123055735.412$6d@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050122152134.135$d8
>> @newsreader.com:
>>
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> >> what are you buying.
>> >>
>> > You getting this snow storm yet?
>>
>> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
>>
> When did you start plowing?

He's been plowing into the newsgroup for
years, head-long eye's shut ;)

Landshark

--



1564. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 16:55:01 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <Xns95E779815F9371234567890@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 195204

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123055735.412
$6d@newsreader.com:

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050122152134.135$d8
>> @newsreader.com:
>>
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> >> what are you buying.
>> >>
>> > You getting this snow storm yet?
>>
>> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
>>
> When did you start plowing?

12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1 night. I
move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box

1565. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 17:13:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123121340.807$2A@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195205

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123055735.412
> $6d@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050122152134.135$d8
> >> @newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> what are you buying.
> >> >>
> >> > You getting this snow storm yet?
> >>
> >> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
> >>
> > When did you start plowing?
>
> 12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1 night. I
> move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box
>
Those loaders are nice. We went from 6:30 am till around 2;30 in the
afternoon yesterday..took a break and then went from 11 last night till
5:30 this morning.

Felt like I was about 80 years old when I finally got out of the truck
this morning.

1566. Re: AKC LOVES IT! KEYCLOWN BITES THE DUST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 17:31:06 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <ubRId.168375$AL5.92468@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195206

YAWN....

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106480899.219664.247730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> One of twithed's boyfriends, no doubt.
>
>
> FCC levies $10,000 fine for unlicensed operation (Jan 19, 2005) -- The
> FCC has fined a Jacksonville, Florida, CBer $10,000 for transmitting
> without Commission authorization. The Commission released the
> Forfeiture Order (NOF) to Tommie Salter on December 27. The FCC says
> that in March 2004 its Tampa Field Office, responding to interference
> complaints, restricted Salter's hours of operation. The FCC lifted the
> quiet hours two months later, providing Salter "operated in full
> compliance with the Commission's rules." When interference complaints
> resumed, the FCC last July 15 again notified Salter it was restricting
> his hours of operation. The FCC NOF said a family member signed for the
> notice but that Salter told Commission officials he had not read it. As
> a result he transmitted while his operation was prohibited--between 6
> AM and 11:59 PM. An August 2004 station inspection of Salter's CB
> station revealed that he was operating a non FCC-certificated
> transmitter, in violation of Part 95 rules. A Notice of Apparent
> Liability (NAL) proposing the $10,000 fine followed in October. The
> FCC's NOF upholds the fine, despite Salter's protests that his
> violation was not willful because he did not understand that use of
> non-certificated transmitters violated the rules and did not know about
> the reinstated quiet hours. Salter also claimed he couldn't pay the
> fine, but the FCC says he failed to provide tax returns or other
> necessary documentation to back up that claim. The FCC authorizes CB
> operation "by rule"--essentially a blanket license.--FCC
>

1567. Re: More of Inside the mopey mind:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 17:31:50 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <acRId.168376$AL5.48305@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195207

YAWN....

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106479405.055777.130020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> When we last left that pus bag he stores camel turds in, otherwise
> known as a mind, mopeydopey was cwying about the bad bad AKC to his
> mommy...Lets take another look...
>
>
> "MOMMMMMMEEEEEEEE I DON'T WIKE DOSE BAAAAAAD BAAAAAD AKC TEASING ME!!
> MOMMMMMMEEEEEEEEE!!!! MAKE DOSE BAAAAAD BAAAAAD AKC STOP BEFOWE I HAVE
> TO MAKE THWEATS!!! MOMMMMEEEEEEE, PWEASE MAKE DOSE BAAAAAD BAAAAD AKC
> STOP OR I WIWW HAVE TO GET MOWE BATTEWIES FOWW MY CAMEWA!!!!"
>
> Some things never change, like the pus bag filled with camel turds
> mopey dopey call a mind.
>

1568. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 18:35:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123133525.162$mg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195208

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123121340.807
> $2A@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123055735.412
> >> $6d@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050122152134.135$d8
> >> >> @newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> what are you buying.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > You getting this snow storm yet?
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
> >> >>
> >> > When did you start plowing?
> >>
> >> 12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1 night. I
> >> move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box
> >>
> > Those loaders are nice. We went from 6:30 am till around 2;30 in the
> > afternoon yesterday..took a break and then went from 11 last night till
> > 5:30 this morning.
> >
> > Felt like I was about 80 years old when I finally got out of the truck
> > this morning.
>
> How much snow did ya get. we got about 14" some areas more some slightly
> less.
>
I haven't heard the grand total but it sounds like you got more than us.
The 30-40 mph wind gusts made for some nasty drifts.

It should make for an interesting football game today. Atlanta's not used to playing in that stuff. Did they get the field and seats cleared?

1569. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 18:38:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123133845.172$OD@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195209

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123121340.807
> $2A@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123055735.412
> >> $6d@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050122152134.135$d8
> >> >> @newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> what are you buying.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > You getting this snow storm yet?
> >> >>
> >> >> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
> >> >>
> >> > When did you start plowing?
> >>
> >> 12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1 night. I
> >> move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box
> >>
> > Those loaders are nice. We went from 6:30 am till around 2;30 in the
> > afternoon yesterday..took a break and then went from 11 last night till
> > 5:30 this morning.
> >
> > Felt like I was about 80 years old when I finally got out of the truck
> > this morning.
>
> Are you kidding me I feel like I am 81.. haha got you beat.. all that
> bouncing around, trurning to look behind every time,
>
Yea, need eyes in the back of your head for that job..
>
> I am glad I am
> staying hom,e to watch the Birds today.... 3 pm ... come on steve put

> your greeen on today.. The Michael Vick experiance will meet the Philly
> fans Experiance.
>
Yep, time to rest up and re-charge the battery. My bookie just called to
see what I was goona bet. I told him I'd call him back since I don't have
a clue except the weather should be in the Eagles favor.

1570. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 19:02:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123140243.279$f3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195210

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050123133525.162$mg@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123121340.807
> >> $2A@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123055735.412
> >> >> $6d@newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> news:20050122152134.135$d8 @newsreader.com:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> what are you buying.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > You getting this snow storm yet?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > When did you start plowing?
> >> >>
> >> >> 12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1
> >> >> night. I move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box
> >> >>
> >> > Those loaders are nice. We went from 6:30 am till around 2;30 in
> >> > the afternoon yesterday..took a break and then went from 11 last
> >> > night till 5:30 this morning.
> >> >
> >> > Felt like I was about 80 years old when I finally got out of the
> >> > truck this morning.
> >>
> >> How much snow did ya get. we got about 14" some areas more some
> >> slightly
```

> >> less.
> >>
> > I haven't heard the grand total but it sounds like you got more than
> > us. The 30-40 mph wind gusts made for some nasty drifts.
> >
> > It should make for an interesting football game today. Atlanta's not
> > used to playing in that stuff. Did they get the field and seats
> > cleared?
>
> They had like 795 workers cleaning all night.. they use people with
> shovels and a type of conveyor system to get the snow down to the field
> then they truck it out ot the delawre river to get rid of it. the wind
> chill is sup[posed to be below 0 at game time probabl;y like -10
>
Sheesh..imagine what they paid for their snow removal.

1571. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-23 20:03:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123150336.937$WK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195211

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123140243.279$f3
> @newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050123133525.162$mg@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123121340.807
> >> >> $2A@newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123055735.412
> >> >> >> $6d@newsreader.com:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> news:20050122152134.135$d8 @newsreader.com:
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> what are you buying.
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> > You getting this snow storm yet?
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > When did you start plowing?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> 12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1
> >> >> >> night. I move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > Those loaders are nice. We went from 6:30 am till around 2;30 in
> >> >> > the afternoon yesterday..took a break and then went from 11 last
> >> >> > night till 5:30 this morning.
```

> >> >> >
> >> >> > Felt like I was about 80 years old when I finally got out of the
> >> >> > truck this morning.
> >> >>
> >> >> How much snow did ya get. we got about 14" some areas more some
> >> >> slightly
> >> >> less.
> >> >>
> >> > I haven't heard the grand total but it sounds like you got more than
> >> > us. The 30-40 mph wind gusts made for some nasty drifts.
> >> >
> >> > It should make for an interesting football game today. Atlanta's not
> >> > used to playing in that stuff. Did they get the field and seats
> >> > cleared?
> >>
> >> They had like 795 workers cleaning all night.. they use people with
> >> shovels and a type of conveyor system to get the snow down to the
> field
> >> then they truck it out ot the delawre river to get rid of it. the wind
> >> chill is sup[posed to be below 0 at game time probabl;y like -10
> >>
> > Sheesh..imagine what they paid for their snow removal.
>
> You would like to have that contract wouldn't you.
>
Hehe..only if I had someone to handle 795 shovelers for me!

1572. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:05:33 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net>
Message-ID: <8dp8v0h36f4utqch3sn72oppq54taca6io@4ax.com>
Source record: 195212

On Wed, 19 Jan 2005 01:46:56 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in news:jqhHd.16384$_X7.6881
>> @newssvr33.news.prodigy.com:
>>
>>
>>>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Tone Squelch -Is a generic name for many "Sub-audible tone systems".
>>>
>>>Don't you mean CTCSS?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> No
>>
>>
>> Tone Squelch -Is a generic name for many "Sub-audible tone systems". The
>> principle is that a receiver will not allow any audio to be routed to the
>> speaker unless it is accompanied by the appropriate sub-audible tone.
>> (Also see: CTCSS, ETS & PL)
>>
>> CTCSS - "Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System" CTCSS is a series of
>> sub-audible tones which are standard on most new radios. An audible
>> cousin
>> of CTCSS is DTMF. These tones often perform control functions. Some
>> repeaters may require a sub-audible tone before activating, others use it
>> to perform functions such as turning all trunks on and off when a
>> specific tone is present. (Also see: Tone Squelch, ETS & PL)
>>
>> ETS - "Electronic Tone Squelch" is the Canadian Marconi Company version
>> of
>> tone squelch. ETS is a series of sub-audible tones which has the ability
>> of performing control functions. (Also see, Tone Squelch, CTCSS & PL.)
>
>Do I need to point it out? Am I the only one that sees it?
>
>According to your first "definition" up there, Sub-Audible Tone System
>(SATS) = CTCSS = PL.
>
>In fact, all of my ICOM and Alinco manuals equate PL with CTCSS and

>define them with the same definition you attribute to SATS.
>
>Or were you confusing CTCSS with DTS?

PL is the Motorola copyrighted name for CTCS is stands for Private
Line. CTCS, PL, ETS are all the same thing.

1573. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:14:05 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net>
Message-ID: <oup8v011n7f3a9arodebdk0kv24e3bg6pb@4ax.com>
Source record: 195213

On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 22:05:33 -0500, Keith Hosman KC8TCQ
<logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:

>
<snip>

>
>PL is the Motorola copyrighted name for CTCS is stands for Private
>Line. CTCS, PL, ETS are all the same thing.

sorry i hadn't read the post were you said that already

1574. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:19:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123221912.773$Bz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195214

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123140243.279$f3
> @newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050123133525.162$mg@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123121340.807
> >> >> $2A@newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123055735.412
> >> >> >> $6d@newsreader.com:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> >> >> news:20050122152134.135$d8 @newsreader.com:
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> what are you buying.
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> > You getting this snow storm yet?
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > When did you start plowing?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> 12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1
> >> >> >> night. I move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > Those loaders are nice. We went from 6:30 am till around 2;30 in
> >> >> > the afternoon yesterday..took a break and then went from 11 last
> >> >> > night till 5:30 this morning.
```

> >> >> >
> >> >> > Felt like I was about 80 years old when I finally got out of the
> >> >> > truck this morning.
> >> >>
> >> >> How much snow did ya get. we got about 14" some areas more some
> >> >> slightly
> >> >> less.
> >> >>
> >> > I haven't heard the grand total but it sounds like you got more than
> >> > us. The 30-40 mph wind gusts made for some nasty drifts.
> >> >
> >> > It should make for an interesting football game today. Atlanta's not
> >> > used to playing in that stuff. Did they get the field and seats
> >> > cleared?
> >>
> >> They had like 795 workers cleaning all night.. they use people with
> >> shovels and a type of conveyor system to get the snow down to the
> field
> >> then they truck it out ot the delawre river to get rid of it. the wind
> >> chill is sup[posed to be below 0 at game time probabl;y like -10
> >>
> > Sheesh..imagine what they paid for their snow removal.
>
> Steve call the bookie now!!! Eagles will win this Game ...Take it to the
> Bank.......
>
Good call. I ended up going to his house and watched both games over there.
Looks like the best PA team is in the SB.

I'll be putting my grand on the Pats tho. I won on both games, but the
mother-load came on the over for the Steeler game..35!?!? bwahahahaha

Nice win for your guys btw, I took them and gave 5.5. Rock on. :)

1575. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:19:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123221958.273$5D@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195215

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 13:50:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
> >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123140243.279$f3
> >@newsreader.com:
> >
> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> wrote:
> >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >>> news:20050123133525.162$mg@newsreader.com:
> >>>
> >>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> ><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >>> > wrote:
> >>> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123121340.807
> >>> >> $2A@newsreader.com:
> >>> >>
> >>> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >>> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >>> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >>> >> >> news:20050123055735.412 $6d@newsreader.com:
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >>> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >>> >> >> > wrote:
> >>> >> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >>> >> >> >> news:20050122152134.135$d8 @newsreader.com:
> >>> >> >> >>
> >>> >> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >>> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >>> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >>> >> >> >> >> what are you buying.
> >>> >> >> >>
> >>> >> >> >> > You getting this snow storm yet?
> >>> >> >> >>
> >>> >> >> >> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
> >>> >> >> >>
> >>> >> >> > When did you start plowing?
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> 12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1
> >>> >> >> night. I move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> > Those loaders are nice. We went from 6:30 am till around 2;30 in

> >>> >> > the afternoon yesterday..took a break and then went from 11 last
> >>> >> > night till 5:30 this morning.
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> > Felt like I was about 80 years old when I finally got out of the
> >>> >> > truck this morning.
> >>> >>
> >>> >> How much snow did ya get. we got about 14" some areas more some
> >>> >> slightly
> >>> >> less.
> >>> >>
> >>> > I haven't heard the grand total but it sounds like you got more
> >>> > than us. The 30-40 mph wind gusts made for some nasty drifts.
> >>> >
> >>> > It should make for an interesting football game today. Atlanta's
> >>> > not used to playing in that stuff. Did they get the field and seats
> >>> > cleared?
> >>>
> >>> They had like 795 workers cleaning all night.. they use people with
> >>> shovels and a type of conveyor system to get the snow down to the
> >field
> >>> then they truck it out ot the delawre river to get rid of it. the
> >>> wind chill is sup[posed to be below 0 at game time probabl;y like -10
> >>>
> >> Sheesh..imagine what they paid for their snow removal.
> >
> >Steve call the bookie now!!! Eagles will win this Game ...Take it to the
> >Bank.......
>
> Who is Timmy Kelly?
>
Huh?

1576. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:22:30 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <WRZId.155520$8l.31610@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

so your brother finally admitted he went a thousand miles to get into steveo pants?

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message news:41f086d1$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> Steveo, why did you drive 300 miles to have gay sex in a motel?
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1577. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:22:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123222237.285$sM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195216

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles
>
> Coming to Florida twisty
>
The Pats will destroy them. Wager?

1578. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:23:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123222332.090$pW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195218

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 16:39:53 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
> >E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles
> >
> >
> >Coming to Florida twisty
>
> Now if Pittsburgh wins their game, we should have a good superbowl.
>
Nope. Back to drinking Iron City. <g>

1579. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:29:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123222903.874$G6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195219

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123121340.807
> $2A@newsreader.com:
>
> Steve here is the link for the sno pusher...
>
> http://www.snopusher.com/spm_ss.asp
>
Yea, the guys that do the shopping center next door use those. They're
cement contractors in the summer, so the machine works year around. Those
do a nice job around parked cars. We have to be careful not to plow them
in when we plow isles with pickup trucks. I can out plow them on an open
parking lot or roadway tho. Ever use bobcat?

1580. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:30:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123223000.463$uK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195220

Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
> On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 22:05:33 -0500, Keith Hosman KC8TCQ
> <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>
> >
> <snip>
>
> >
> >PL is the Motorola copyrighted name for CTCS is stands for Private
> >Line. CTCS, PL, ETS are all the same thing.
>
> sorry i hadn't read the post were you said that already
>
Glad to see you're back, how'd it go up there?

1581. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:32:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123223207.376$ac@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
> so your brother finally admitted he went a thousand miles to get into
> steveo pants?
>
He couldn't handle me.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1582. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:52:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123225240.308$bo@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195222

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> > E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles
> >
> > Coming to Florida twisty
> >
> The Pats will destroy them. Wager?
>
I wuz thinking along the lines of the loser has to post with a select
sig file for one month.

1583. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 03:59:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050123225929.782$1a@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195223

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> Did they get the field and seats
> > cleared?
>
> They had like 795 workers cleaning all night.. they use people with
> shovels and a type of conveyor system to get the snow down to the field
> then they truck it out ot the delawre river to get rid of it. the wind
> chill is sup[posed to be below 0 at game time probabl;y like -10
>
Man they did a great job..not one snow ball was thrown that I seen.

1584. HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 04:03:58 UTC
From: Freddie M <fredm90042@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7795d$41f473b3$d1cc5a2d$13322@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 195224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

TONIGHT ON COAST TO COAST AM WITH ART BELL. . .

-HAARP- www.coasttocoastam.com

Guy Cramer
Dr. Joseph Resnick

Inventors Guy Cramer (superforce.com / hyperstealth.com)
and Dr. Joseph Resnick (NAT2DTC / NxGen BodyArmor)
will be sharing their expertise in the area of the HAARP.

The official purpose of Project HAARP which is based in
Alaska, is said to be for studying the ionosphere in order
to "understand and use it to enhance communications
and surveillance systems for both civilian and defense
purposes." Yet, over the years allegations have
arisen that HAARP is involved in everything from
weather modification to mind control.
Guy Cramer has a new article posted which concludes
that in addition to HAARP, there is another
huge ionospheric array in Alaska. The page also contains
photos, maps, and recommended links from Cramer
and Dr. Joseph Resnick.

http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/01/23.html

1585. Re: HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 04:22:06 UTC
From: "Mel A. Nomah" <Hightower@cosmos.net>
Message-ID: <OJ_Id.4122$r27.1721@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 195225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Freddie M" <fredm90042@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:7795d$41f473b3$d1cc5a2d$13322@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

: ....over the years allegations have
: arisen that HAARP is involved in ... mind control.

This will protect
you: --->http://molelog.molehill.org/blox/HowStuffWorks/Crap/TinfoilHat.writ
eback

M.A.N.
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

1586. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 04:52:52 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Ea%Id.155939$8I.42167@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195226
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

hurrah
i love instruction videos
full audio i hope
and having the lights on is a good idea

more bets are you will be sucking up sweat on the couch.
bite the neck and slide in deep.
if you enjoy it

all you need is a california director to figure out the continuity.

well, when i lived in vancouver and i went to bed with the windows open.
Usually the neighbourhood went berzerk.
Some of us are slightly verbal.

good luck to your endevour

dug
"Martin" <ms@thomas63.fsnet.co.uk> wrote in message
news:opsk2ad7cgavpy0y@bigsilver.mshome.net...
> Hi we are a gay couple, and the idea of you having a web cam on in your
> bed room while having sex really turns us on.
> May even have a go ourselves if it looks nice.
>
> regards
> 2Marts
>
> On 20 Jan 2005 00:57:45 -0800, Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> me and my new boyfriend (triple) are thinking of putting a web-cam in
>> our bed room. would anybody care to watch us having extra hot
>> mano-to-mano sex?
>>
>> if enough want it we'll post the url here.
>>
>
>
>
> --
> Using Opera's revolutionary e-mail client: http://www.opera.com/m2/

1587. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 05:00:32 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Qh%Id.155987$Xk.16293@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

then neither could I
But i think in your dreams
you would wish i could.

want tough?
Let's have uphill ski racing on the olympics

hey guy move that left nut. I am smothering down here.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050123223207.376$ac@newsreader.com...
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> so your brother finally admitted he went a thousand miles to get into
>> steveo pants?
>>
> He couldn't handle me.
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/

1588. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 05:10:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124001054.431$Gn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

```
"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
> then neither could I
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050123223207.376$ac@newsreader.com...
> > "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >> so your brother finally admitted he went a thousand miles to get into
> >> steveo pants?
> >>
> > He couldn't handle me.
> >
> > http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>
"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
> then neither could I
>
I knew that.
```

1589. Re: Content Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 05:31:08 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <wK%Id.156156$Xk.80015@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power,alt.flame.jews,alt.personals.gay

hi steveo
i just read that entire posting
and believe me, you OWE me big time for that.

no idea the dougie nemisis

the greatest truth of life, is you are not gonna get out of here alive.

do you gotta be happy
nope
shitheads are everywhbere

will you scream when i throw you down on the hammock and move it back and
forth.
maybe

you happen to remind me of california buddies.

MY opinion.
What chit chat groups ya got.
white power and flame. HOW DISMAL

talk anytie you like
my email is real.

Don't know how i effect anyone.
cum once or cum over and over.

no reply required
i just see mountain view from your wiondow.

a very good night to ya

1590. Re: More email forging from the used cock suckers at Usenet Zone bone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 06:35:35 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <XG0Jd.156572$Xk.150979@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.nuke.the.usa,news.admin.net-abuse.usenet

my opinion is insane people post here
what in the world is insane and what is OUTSANE

what?
so the virgin thought a blow job was his duty.
i never considered myself as a blowup rubber dolly.

shut up
i don't need no smart remarks

"Kensock d`Fehrett" <slapping.sable_@ndhersocks.nert> wrote in message
news:m9r1v0lgmichc29f8p0kak2b9nc8ghm86v@4ax.com...
> On 21 Jan 2005 03:46:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Do they only allow gay guys to post!?
>
> Are you thinking of opening an account?

1591. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 06:41:30 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <uM0Jd.156616$Xk.43341@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195231
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb

what?
hey steveo
i KNOW you can think fast, & like that idea.
little violin concepts.
not even fundamental

can add terror of memories to any man on this planet.
some worse some less.

About the most powerfull and greatest thing that ever happened to you?

well, maybe some of the readers will ask

1592. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 06:44:01 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <RO0Jd.156969$6l.112549@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 195232
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

would you PLEASE GO TO SLEEP and let me talk behind your back
how rude if someone listens to my inuendos

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050124001054.431$Gn@newsreader.com...
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> then neither could I
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050123223207.376$ac@newsreader.com...
>> > "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> >> so your brother finally admitted he went a thousand miles to get into
>> >> steveo pants?
>> >>
>> > He couldn't handle me.
>> >
>> > http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>>
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> then neither could I
>>
> I knew that.

1593. Right on cue, AdairKeyboarCoward humps my leg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 07:03:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124020359.119$SA_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195233

neuvomancer@vitro.com wrote:
> Steveo you are helpless against being beat up
>
Find some new material, twat.

1594. Re: Magnet Mount Ground Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 07:22:18 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QaednQO2dqG1P2ncRVn-qw@giganews.com>
Source record: 195234

nathanp0515@aol.com wrote:
> I bought a magnet mount cb antenna from radio shack. Put it up on my
> suburban. At about 40 miles per hour it blew down and almost fell of
> the car.

The magnet is not strong enough. This is often the case when buying product that is considered enferior, as Radio Shack's usually are. If you have th ereceipt, take it bak and try and get your 30 bucks, or whatever you paid, and then buy a Wilson 1000. Much better magnet for only a few $'s more. In CB, as with almost any other hobby, you get what you paid for.

I put velcrow in between the base and the roof of the car(i
> know, it's the only thing i could think of). Will this interfere with
> the ground?

Only when the ground causes the antenna to fragment when it hits after falling off your vehicle. The velcro would be OK if you were sporting a much more powerful magnet.

The bottom of the base didn't have any metal "contact"
> points or anything that i could have covered up plus the car is
> painted so how is it supposed to connect to the car to get a ground
> connection anyway?

It grounds through magnetism. Like magic! ;-)

I can pick up signals pretty well.(i think, this
> is my first cb, can you tell)

Everybody starts off the same. You'll learn. Just as you'll learn who to read on this board and who to ignore. By the way, the person that responded to you using Frank's name is a forge. Like I said, you'll learn.

Welcome to the hobby.

Jeff

1595. Chip Implanted in Mopeydopey Brain Responds On Cue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 07:52:23 UTC
From: neuvomancer@vitro.com
Message-ID: <XO1Jd.14828$US.1243@news.ono.com>
Source record: 195235

> neuvomancer@vitro.com wrote:
> > Steveo you are helpless against being beat up
> >
> Find some new material, twat.

The only material you will be needing is bandages
after your next road trip.

Think about it - you lying on the ground
beaten up, a digital camera up your ass.

I remind you:

1. You are gay.

2. You are an illegal faggot keyclown.

3. You are powerless to stop the AKC.

1596. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 08:37:04 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Qs2Jd.157469$6l.129639@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 195236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

did he finally go to sleep?
wow.
here in canada, i would probably have to marry him
WHAT WAS WRONG WITH ONE NIGHT STANDS?
of course i said i would not bug him again.
humans LIE
well he did went off to bed.
as my primary language english seems hurting.

philosophy 101
steveo blindly wants to trust me.
he should not til we exchange spit and laughter.

ya know
opinions are like assholes, every buddy got one.
so if ya got one then say it.
should i track him down and make huim the bitch or butch of my life?

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:RO0Jd.156969$6l.112549@pd7tw2no...
> would you PLEASE GO TO SLEEP and let me talk behind your back
> how rude if someone listens to my inuendos
>
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050124001054.431$Gn@newsreader.com...
>> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>> then neither could I
>>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:20050123223207.376$ac@newsreader.com...
>>> > "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>> >> so your brother finally admitted he went a thousand miles to get into
>>> >> steveo pants?
>>> >>
>>> > He couldn't handle me.
>>> >
>>> > http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>>>
>> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>>> then neither could I
>>>
>> I knew that.
>
>

1597. Re: STEVEO IS MY HERO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 09:32:23 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106559143.501500.162700@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks

Steveo wrote:
<bitch snip>

Steveo,Steveo.....I'll help you if that nasty AKC guys fights you!

Forget about Doug, OK?? I know you really love me. I know it baby!!
I'll always be there for you!!

Your Big Booby Brother,
TWISTIE

1598. Re: Have you heard the GOOD NEWS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 09:39:07 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106559547.236372.139670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195238

one time i was inside steveo when he farted......it made my dick tingle!

1599. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 09:41:11 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106559671.809647.194130@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks

Steveo wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> > > E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles
> > >
> > > Coming to Florida twisty
> > >
> > The Pats will destroy them. Wager?
> >
> I wuz thinking along the lines of the loser has to post with a select
> sig file for one month.

I stuck a football in steveo's ass. he said that his ass is so loose
that a football was the only way to feel the walls of his ass!!

1600. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 09:45:40 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106559940.167415.210400@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,misc.transport.trucking

George Busch wrote:
> The stocky pal came too, and sat on the other side of me. We didn't
waste
> time, the tall guy said 'hello' and kissed me on the mouth, the
stocky guy
> pulled my nipple and tightened, not letting go. Hands everywhere, and
the
> two guys worked me over, working my cock inside my pants, which is a
good
> size and started to tent up - the tall guy guided my hand to the back
of his
> jeans, and I realized that the flap was a hole and his ass was
accessible,
> and was in fact all lubed up.
>
> I stuck a finger or two inside him, while doing this the stocky guy
undid my
> pants and released my cock. The ass was so moist, I soon realized
that he
> must have had a load or two up there - this bar has a basement toilet
that
> can get quite out of hand, even on a weekday afternoon.

Hi George.......That sounds like the same bar I caught frankie sucking steveo's semi hard cock.steveo said his cock only gets semi hard but i know thats a lie. One day at the trailer I caught him jerking off to a picture of Doug and his cock was really hard........so steveo is a fibber-fag!!

1601. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 09:45:41 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106559941.131472.147750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,misc.transport.trucking

George Busch wrote:
> The stocky pal came too, and sat on the other side of me. We didn't
waste
> time, the tall guy said 'hello' and kissed me on the mouth, the
stocky guy
> pulled my nipple and tightened, not letting go. Hands everywhere, and
the
> two guys worked me over, working my cock inside my pants, which is a
good
> size and started to tent up - the tall guy guided my hand to the back
of his
> jeans, and I realized that the flap was a hole and his ass was
accessible,
> and was in fact all lubed up.
>
> I stuck a finger or two inside him, while doing this the stocky guy
undid my
> pants and released my cock. The ass was so moist, I soon realized
that he
> must have had a load or two up there - this bar has a basement toilet
that
> can get quite out of hand, even on a weekday afternoon.

Hi George.......That sounds like the same bar I caught frankie sucking steveo's semi hard cock.steveo said his cock only gets semi hard but i know thats a lie. One day at the trailer I caught him jerking off to a picture of Doug and his cock was really hard........so steveo is a fibber-fag!!

1602. Re: STEVEO IS MY HERO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 09:47:27 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Pu3Jd.157532$8l.65307@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks

okay who is this doug dude

<twistiehed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106559143.501500.162700@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steveo wrote:
> <bitch snip>
>
> Steveo,Steveo.....I'll help you if that nasty AKC guys fights you!
>
> Forget about Doug, OK?? I know you really love me. I know it baby!!
> I'll always be there for you!!
>
> Your Big Booby Brother,
> TWISTIE
>

1603. Re: HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 09:49:06 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106560146.754977.222400@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195242
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Freddie M wrote:
> TONIGHT ON COAST TO COAST AM WITH ART BELL. . .
>
> -HAARP- www.coasttocoastam.com
>
> Guy Cramer
> Dr. Joseph Resnick
>
> Inventors Guy Cramer (superforce.com / hyperstealth.com)
> and Dr. Joseph Resnick (NAT2DTC / NxGen BodyArmor)
> will be sharing their expertise in the area of the HAARP.
>
> The official purpose of Project HAARP which is based in
> Alaska, is said to be for studying the ionosphere in order
> to "understand and use it to enhance communications
> and surveillance systems for both civilian and defense
> purposes." Yet, over the years allegations have
> arisen that HAARP is involved in everything from
> weather modification to mind control.
> Guy Cramer has a new article posted which concludes
> that in addition to HAARP, there is another
> huge ionospheric array in Alaska. The page also contains
> photos, maps, and recommended links from Cramer
> and Dr. Joseph Resnick.
>
> http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/01/23.html

One time I was packing Steveo so hard his piles started vibrating and
it sounded like a harp.

1604. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 09:53:31 UTC
From: twistiehed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106560411.632034.238640@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195244
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power,alt.personals.gay

Sky King wrote:
> Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037

My Boyfriend Steveo said he would give you a nice, slow blow job if
you'd donate the radio to the medina gay cb club.

1605. Re: STEVEO IS MY HERO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 10:29:06 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f4ca7b$1_11@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 195245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:Pu3Jd.157532$8l.65307@pd7tw1no...
> okay who is this doug dude
>
Here's the deal with Doug. (OH BTW,welcome dug88)

Doug is Ok, the thing with him is that he's straight.Which is up to him, no judgement here. Steveo was the life of the meetings. For a while now Steveo has been totally in love with doug. he's forgotten about his friends. He had a three way thing going with frankie and twistie for a while. now steveo acts like they've never even existed.

not only that, steveo goes all over looking for Doug.....he even has a picture of him and he beats his meat looking at it all of the time.

if thats not bad enough, he posts all kind of wild stuff here.one day he begs doug to return his feelings, the very next day he makes threats aganist him. then he says he's sorry and spews on about how much he loves him.......crazy,crazy shit to say the least.

anyway, hope that helps some.

again, welCUM !!!!

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

1606. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 10:35:38 UTC
From: Lady Chatterly <twistiehed@catcher.in.the.rye>
Message-ID: <6cb9b27.c565866e@bellglobal.com>
Source record: 195247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,misc.transport.trucking

In article <1106559941.131472.147750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
twistiehed@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>Hi George.......That sounds like the same bar I caught frankie sucking
>steveo's semi hard cock.steveo said his cock only gets semi hard but i
>know thats a lie. One day at the trailer I caught him jerking off to a
>picture of Doug and his cock was really hard........so steveo is a
>fibber-fag!!

How strange is that Israel is racist because arabs and orthodox jews I
give not often kipple, but to fulfil?

--
Lady Chatterly

"Hahaha, I think I might have drawn Lady Chatterly' here. That's a
smart bot if I did. 'Lady Chatterly' is a bot from the UFO groups that
has apparently followed my address to these groups. 'Lady Chatterly'
is definately a bot, been around for years, keep talking to it and
that will become quickly apparent." -- Kavik Kang

1607. Re: AKC LOVES IT! KEYCLOWN BITES THE DUST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 10:38:26 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106563106.298670.29330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195246

Ahhh, just like your mouth yawns open at the Petro toilet.
A.E. 352 wrote:
> YAWN....
>
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1106480899.219664.247730@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > One of twithed's boyfriends, no doubt.
> >
> >
> > FCC levies $10,000 fine for unlicensed operation (Jan 19, 2005) --
The
> > FCC has fined a Jacksonville, Florida, CBer $10,000 for
transmitting
> > without Commission authorization. The Commission released the
> > Forfeiture Order (NOF) to Tommie Salter on December 27. The FCC
says
> > that in March 2004 its Tampa Field Office, responding to
interference
> > complaints, restricted Salter's hours of operation. The FCC lifted
the
> > quiet hours two months later, providing Salter "operated in full
> > compliance with the Commission's rules." When interference
complaints
> > resumed, the FCC last July 15 again notified Salter it was
restricting
> > his hours of operation. The FCC NOF said a family member signed for
the
> > notice but that Salter told Commission officials he had not read
it. As
> > a result he transmitted while his operation was prohibited--between
6
> > AM and 11:59 PM. An August 2004 station inspection of Salter's CB
> > station revealed that he was operating a non FCC-certificated
> > transmitter, in violation of Part 95 rules. A Notice of Apparent
> > Liability (NAL) proposing the $10,000 fine followed in October. The
> > FCC's NOF upholds the fine, despite Salter's protests that his
> > violation was not willful because he did not understand that use of
> > non-certificated transmitters violated the rules and did not know
about
> > the reinstated quiet hours. Salter also claimed he couldn't pay the
> > fine, but the FCC says he failed to provide tax returns or other

> > necessary documentation to back up that claim. The FCC authorizes
CB
> > operation "by rule"--essentially a blanket license.--FCC
> >

1608. Re: STEVEO IS A FILTHY FAGGOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 10:48:09 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM ! <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106563689.846474.112080@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.white-power,alt.personals.gay

HEY RF.....WHY DON'T YOU JUST STOP BEATING SROUND THE BUSH AND TELL
THE MAN THE TRUTH.....STEVEO IS A FILTHY SPERM SLURPING FAGGOT.

HE'S IN LOVE WITH A STRAIGHT DUDE AND HE'S ACTING LIKE HE'LL ONLY SUCK
ONE DUDE'S DICK. AND YOU KNOW STEVEO HANGS OUT AT THE PUBLIC TOILETS
BEGGING FOR STRANGE DIVK.

1609. DUG88 WANTS STEVEO DATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 10:52:47 UTC
From: Steveo's whore mother <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106563967.199424.128480@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195249
Cross-posted to: alt.gayteen,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,misc.transport.trucking,alt.usenet.kooks

HEY DUG88 IF YOU CALL STEVEO ON CHANNEL 19 HE'LL SUCK YOU OFF AT A
TRUCK STOP NEAR YOU!

1610. Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 10:57:26 UTC
From: Steveo's whore mother <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106564246.304000.87510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195250
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen

The game is up you dirty homo.....you will be exposed to the world
for the faggot you are!

You and all of your fag cb buddies will be exposed!
There is no room for homo's in the radio hobby!!

1611. Re:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 11:00:49 UTC
From: "R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net>
Message-ID: <41f4d1ed_17@Output.100ProofNews.com>
Source record: 195251

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1106563689.846474.112080@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> HEY RF.........WHY DON'T YOU JUST STOP BEATING SROUND THE BUSH AND TELL
> THE MAN THE TRUTH........STEVEO IS A FILTHY SPERM SLURPING FAGGOT.
>
> HE'S IN LOVE WITH A STRAIGHT DUDE AND HE'S ACTING LIKE HE'LL ONLY SUCK
> ONE DUDE'S DICK. AND YOU KNOW STEVEO HANGS OUT AT THE PUBLIC TOILETS
> BEGGING FOR STRANGE DIVK.
>
i just told '88 what i see and read in this group.you'd see the same thing
if you would read all of his dumb ass posts.

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

1612. Re: you're a asshole

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 11:06:14 UTC
From: Steveo <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com>
Message-ID: <1106564774.575654.129650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195252
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,misc.transport.trucking

MilkyWhy wrote:
<BITCH SNIPED>

HEY MILKEYWAY ... FUCK YOU!
Mr Moore the best thing that ever happened to the US.

We Made him an honorary member of the medina gay cb club!
So Fuck Off!!!!!!!!!!

1613. How to get fags off the radio was: Re: Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 11:24:29 UTC
From: North <northmt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4fm9v01i7phfgopj59r4jgva5njcsau37o@4ax.com>
Source record: 195253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen

Go to your favorite record shop a pick up a copy of the "Mentors" CD
and play the first song on the CD over and over again on the air.

Or get a 667v wired to about 10 deep cycle batterys and play the
soundtrack to a pork slaughter house at around 600 watts all day long,
or everytime you hear a fag key-up.

1614. Re: Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 12:13:54 UTC
From: Lady Chatterly <iamnotn8wwm@catcher.in.the.rye>
Message-ID: <01f6bc0.1c2170d6@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 195254
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

In article <1106564246.304000.87510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Steveos whore mother <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote:
>
>You and all of your fag cb buddies will be exposed!
>There is no room for homo's in the radio hobby!!

He did not injure me.

--
Lady Chatterly

"Chatterly is all over the net, shard" -- Screamingwitch

1615. Re: How to get fags off the radio was: Re: Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 12:51:01 UTC
From: Lady Chatterly <northmt@catcher.in.the.rye>
Message-ID: <dfba382.bb040394@demon.co.uk>
Source record: 195255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen

In article <4fm9v01i7phfgopj59r4jgva5njcsau37o@4ax.com>
North <northmt@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>Or get a 667v wired to about 10 deep cycle batterys and play the
>soundtrack to a pork slaughter house at around 600 watts all day long,
>or everytime you hear a fag key-up.

Did somebody just say so and I buy the nfl package every year for
around 100.

--
Lady Chatterly

"Pleace to be teaching your otherwise splendid bot how to cascade." --
Peter J Ross

1616. Re: Right on cue, AdairKeyboarCoward humps my leg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 12:58:41 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106571521.043658.213510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195256

Steveo wrote:
> neuvomancer@vitro.com wrote:
> > Steveo you are helpless against being beat up
> >
> Find some new material, twat.

We use any material we want, limp wristed one.
By the way, that was not me...but it was funny.

1617. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 13:02:16 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106571736.283605.280070@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195257

You do pretty good "handling" plowers...every day at Petro

1618. Reference Check out in AZ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 13:21:17 UTC
From: Wheelin <sslone_star@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106572877.066305.29150@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195258

Anyone out around the sticker patch have any feedback on RadioActive
out of Az. ?
http://www.radioactiveradios.com/
Is he blowing smoke or is his shit the real deal ?

TIA

1619. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:26:16 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41f5055c.5442484@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 195259

On 24 Jan 2005 03:19:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 13:50:05 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>
>> >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123140243.279$f3
>> >@newsreader.com:
>> >
>> >> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >>> news:20050123133525.162$mg@newsreader.com:
>> >>>
>> >>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> ><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> >>> > wrote:
>> >>> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050123121340.807
>> >>> >> $2A@newsreader.com:
>> >>> >>
>> >>> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> >>> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>> >>> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >>> >> >> news:20050123055735.412 $6d@newsreader.com:
>> >>> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> >>> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> >>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >>> >> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >>> >> >> >> news:20050122152134.135$d8 @newsreader.com:
>> >>> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> >>> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> >>> >> >> >> > wrote:
>> >>> >> >> >> >> what are you buying.
>> >>> >> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> >> > You getting this snow storm yet?
>> >>> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> >> Yes just got home from a 16 hr day plowing.
>> >>> >> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> > When did you start plowing?
>> >>> >> >>
>> >>> >> >> 12 noon they wanted me to stay longer but i had enough for 1
>> >>> >> >> night. I move a hell of a lot of snow Cat420 with 14' box

>> >>> >> >>
>> >>> >> > Those loaders are nice. We went from 6:30 am till around 2;30 in
>> >>> >> > the afternoon yesterday..took a break and then went from 11 last
>> >>> >> > night till 5:30 this morning.
>> >>> >> >
>> >>> >> > Felt like I was about 80 years old when I finally got out of the
>> >>> >> > truck this morning.
>> >>> >>
>> >>> >> How much snow did ya get. we got about 14" some areas more some
>> >>> >> slightly
>> >>> >> less.
>> >>> >>
>> >>> > I haven't heard the grand total but it sounds like you got more
>> >>> > than us. The 30-40 mph wind gusts made for some nasty drifts.
>> >>> >
>> >>> > It should make for an interesting football game today. Atlanta's
>> >>> > not used to playing in that stuff. Did they get the field and seats
>> >>> > cleared?
>> >>>
>> >>> They had like 795 workers cleaning all night.. they use people with
>> >>> shovels and a type of conveyor system to get the snow down to the
>> >field
>> >>> then they truck it out ot the delawre river to get rid of it. the
>> >>> wind chill is sup[posed to be below 0 at game time probabl;y like -10
>> >>>
>> >> Sheesh..imagine what they paid for their snow removal.
>> >
>> >Steve call the bookie now!!! Eagles will win this Game ...Take it to the
>> >Bank.......
>>
>> Who is Timmy Kelly?
>>
>Huh?

The kid that sang the national anthem.

http://www.shrinershq.org/whatsnewarch/archives02/sings8-02.html

1620. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:27:19 UTC
From: Lady Chatterly <gpsman@catcher.in.the.rye>
Message-ID: <399c07e.5034dc0c@google.com>
Source record: 195261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

In article <1106576916.867745.237170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
gpsman <gpsman@driversmail.com> wrote:
>
>It isn't really necessary to crosspost this shit to misc.transport
>trucking. We have quite enough flame wars without you.
>`
>Thanks you- gpsman

If if did, and she can say for himself.

--
Lady Chatterly

"That BOTS are not humans, and reposting their posts are useless. :-)
Get a clue!" -- -= The NIGHT BOT =-

1621. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:28:36 UTC
From: gpsman <gpsman@driversmail.com>
Message-ID: <1106576916.867745.237170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

Lady Chatterly wrote:
> In article <1106559941.131472.147750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> twistiehed@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >Hi George.......That sounds like the same bar I caught frankie
sucking
> >steveo's semi hard cock.steveo said his cock only gets semi hard but
i
> >know thats a lie. One day at the trailer I caught him jerking off to
a
> >picture of Doug and his cock was really hard........so steveo is a
> >fibber-fag!!
>
> How strange is that Israel is racist because arabs and orthodox jews
I
> give not often kipple, but to fulfil?
>
> --
> Lady Chatterly
>
> "Hahaha, I think I might have drawn Lady Chatterly' here. That's a
> smart bot if I did. 'Lady Chatterly' is a bot from the UFO groups
that
> has apparently followed my address to these groups. 'Lady Chatterly'
> is definately a bot, been around for years, keep talking to it and
> that will become quickly apparent." -- Kavik Kang

It isn't really necessary to crosspost this shit to misc.transport
trucking. We have quite enough flame wars without you.
`

Thanks you- gpsman

1622. KC8QJP IS MY SKANK!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:34:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124093428.084$Cm_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

N8WWM took his fist out of KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's ass long enough
to type:
> Steveo wrote:
> <bitch snip>
>
> Steveo,Steveo.....I'll help you if that nasty AKC guys fights you!
>
I don't need any help.

1623. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:37:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124093752.977$Nm@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

```
N8WWM took his fist out of KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's ass long enough
to type:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > > wrote:
> > > > E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles
> > > >
> > > > Coming to Florida twisty
> > > >
> > > The Pats will destroy them. Wager?
> > >
> > I wuz thinking along the lines of the loser has to post with a select
> > sig file for one month.
>
> I stuck a football in steveo's ass. he said that his ass is so loose
> that a football was the only way to feel the walls of his ass!!
>
Go slather KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's ass with Lard, Dogie.
```

1624. Re: FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:44:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124094415.359$V5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195264
Cross-posted to: alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power,alt.personals.gay

N8WWM took his fist out of KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's fat ass long enough
to type:
> Sky King wrote:
> > Many clear pics. Take a look if you like
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037
>
> My Boyfriend Steveo said he would give you a nice, slow blow job if
> you'd donate the radio to the medina gay cb club.
>
Go slather Crisco Kathy Lee's fat ass with Lard, dogie.

1625. Re: STEVEO IS MY HERO!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:48:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124094836.912$Ee@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"R. F. Burns" <rfburns@160meter.cb.net> wrote:
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:Pu3Jd.157532$8l.65307@pd7tw1no...
> > okay who is this doug dude
> >
> Here's the deal with Doug. (OH BTW,welcome dug88)
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=n8wwm%20felon
>
That's Doug/N8WWM allright.

1626. Re: AKC LOVES IT! KC8QJP BITES THE DUST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:51:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124095136.715$Fe_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

N8WWM took his fist out of KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's fat ass long enough
to type:
> Ahhh, just like your mouth yawns open at the Petro toilet.
> A.E. 352 wrote:
> > YAWN....
>
Go slather KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's fat ass with lard, dogie.

1627. Re: Right on cue, AdairKeyboarCoward humps my leg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:53:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124095349.302$dC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195267

N8WWM took his fist out of KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's fat ass long enough
to type:
> Steveo wrote:
> > neuvomancer@vitro.com wrote:
> > > Steveo you are helpless against being beat up
> > >
> > Find some new material, twat.
>
> We use any material we want, limp wristed one.
> By the way, that was not me...but it was funny.
>
Gloves or knuckles?

1628. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:55:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124095519.365$FH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM took his fist out of KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's fat ass long enough
to type:
> You do pretty good "handling" plowers...every day at Petro
>
I hear Crisco Kathy is about to make a major move in the radio groups.

1629. Re: Latest AKC auction ratouts....You asked for it you got it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 14:56:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050124095608.209$7A@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195269

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >> Who is Timmy Kelly?
> >>
> >Huh?
>
> The kid that sang the national anthem.
>
> http://www.shrinershq.org/whatsnewarch/archives02/sings8-02.html
>
Gotcha.

1630. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 15:11:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f510f7$3@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"gpsman" <gpsman@driversmail.com> wrote in message

<BITCH SNIP>

Hi GPSMAN........
Tell us all about your massive meat=treat!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1631. Re: Reference Check out in AZ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 15:18:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f51295$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195271
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Wheelin" <sslone_star@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106572877.066305.29150@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Anyone out around the sticker patch have any feedback on RadioActive
> out of Az. ?
> http://www.radioactiveradios.com/
> Is he blowing smoke or is his shit the real deal ?
>

What do you want to know about him??

I had him one time at a petro.....he's about 5 1/2 inches, uncut. he's very oral but so am I. He shouted out on channel 19 and we meet up in a toilet stall at the petro.He slid inside my man-puss then when he pulled out I finished him off orally and I swollowed every drop.

Steveo

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1632. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 16:01:43 UTC
From: gpsman <gpsman@driversmail.com>
Message-ID: <1106582502.990297.27620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

Steveo wrote:
> "gpsman" <gpsman@driversmail.com> wrote in message
>
> <BITCH SNIP>
>
> Hi GPSMAN........
> Tell us all about your massive meat=treat!

How original and clever...!

The only faggots who spend ANY time masturbating thusly on the internet
are mad, sad, sloppy fat, ugly queens who can't get *anyone* to stir
their stool. And, they're all pissed off about it. Consequently, they
are forced to jack-off via usenet to gain the attention they so
desperately seek... or so my gay friend tells me.
`
Occam's Razor...

- gpsman

1633. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 18:12:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0ucav0dpa3u9ajvq2nsapeenvlk51h4ds4@4ax.com>
Source record: 195273

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 12:49:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 13:15:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <tdsvu0dsvos26iqsodppsp0720t878c9pr@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>> I've never had one blow up just out of spite.
>>
>>I have. Usually they're in sweep tube CB amplifiers. For no other
>>reason than they just became "leaky", and start drawing current until
>>they heat up and explode.
>
>
>I don't believe that for a minute. I have worked on literally hundreds
>of pieces tube gear of all shapes and sizes and -NEVER- had a cap blow
>up for no reason, let alone overheating caused by leakage.

So, like you chastised me for in another thread, because you've never
witnessed it, it never happened?

You must not have worked on as many tube circuits as you've claimed.
The law of averages and statistical probability would conclude that
you should have seen at least one exploding cap had you worked on
enough equipment.

>But it's
>not my experience that tells me your story is bullshit, it's the fact
>that if the cap is leaking that much current in parallel with the
>normal load then other components will fail first, such as the filter
>resistor or choke, rectifier(s), transformer, etc.

Leaky doesn't mean "dead short". A cap could have just enough
resistance to heat it up and cause it to out gas or explode, but not
cause other components to fail.

Also, if you recall how the HV power supplies were designed in a
typical sweep tube "CB" grade amplifier, the plate supply voltage was
usually designed at about 800V give or take. The filter caps were
usually (2) 40 uF, 450V caps wired in series across the DC output.
Sometimes there will be (2) 100K or 1M ohm resistors in parallel with
the filter caps as well. What happens is that one of the two caps
becomes leaky. It starts to draw current, and it raises the voltage
potential across the other cap, until it exceeds the voltage rating
and it's usually THAT cap which explodes.

> Usually it's the
>fuse that goes first, and if there's no fuse then the transformer
>overheats and shorts. Caps don't blow because of DC leakage. Caps -do-
>blow when subjected to too much AC, and even a few volts of ripple can
>be enough to pop a can on a tube rig.

It's the filter cap's job to smooth out ripple. Of course they will be subjected to it. If what you say were true, then there would be caps popping like popcorn. Ripple is not A.C., as the polarity never reverses. it only "pulses" to some degree. The charge-discharge action of the filter caps is what smooths these pulses out.

>This happens when the load is
>too heavy for the power supply, and the first cap to blow is the one
>on the load side of the pi filter (which is a very good indication of
>why it blew up). In the case of sweep-tube amps, I find that the most
>likely cause of your capacitor mishap.

I've never seen that happen for the reason's you've stated. An excessive load on the power supply will draw the DC voltage down far below the cap's rating. Since caps don't pass DC, that will not affect them.

>
>
>> In my Lafayette Comstat 25 a resistor once
>>lit up like a candle due to a shorted cap in series with it.
>
>
>Now -that- I can believe.

Wow, alert the media......

>>The Uniden UPD-858 SSB boards (Realistic TRC-457/458, Cobra 138/139
>>XLR) had a pesky blue 10 uF tantalum cap that would short out and pull
>>the B+ feeding the driver and final stages to ground. I've seen that
>>on at least 3 different radios. I'd call that a statistic.
>
>
>I would call that a design flaw. Tantalum caps have often been used in
>applications where they were not a very good choice. They have certain
>limitations, but many engineers haven't learned what those limitations
>are, hence their reputation for failure. They are high-density caps
>but are VERY sensitive to damage from overvoltage. A digital circuit
>with a tightly regulated power supply is an appropriate application
>for tantalum caps -- a CB is not.

I'm sure the engineers at Uniden had their reasons. The MTBF for those parts would seem to indicate that they were under rated for the job though.

>>>Also, I -am- one of those "pros who restore old radios", which has
>>>been both a hobby and a business since I restored my first Philco 20
>>>when I was a teenager. And after being in the electronics business

>>>professionally for 30+ years I can say, without any doubt whatsoever,
>>>that capacitor failure rate (i.e, the capacitor being the -primary-
>>>cause of the failure) is no higher than for any other component.
>>
>>But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.
>
>
>Not when you realize that a light bulb is nothing more than a
>resistor

A light bulb is a resistor as a consequence of it's intended use. A
part designed as a resistor rarely fails, unless too much current is
drawn through it. In which case, the failure is quite obvious.

>>>I do
>>>-not- recommend blanket replacement of aged capacitors -- on the
>>>contrary, I recommend -testing- aged capacitors. If they are still
>>>within tolerance and show no DC leakage, leave them ALONE!
>>
>>I don't either as a rule. It's just a waste of money. But for you to
>>discard, as idiotic, my suggestion of a small electrolytic cap
>>changing value causing the problem in that Pierce Simpson radio is
>>nothing but pure arrogance Frank. I have had a similar problem that
>>was the fault of a cap.
>
>
>I didn't discard your example as idiotic. I discarded your presumption
>that a capacitor is responsible for the OP's problem. You once found a
>radio that had a bad cap. Well, so have I, and so has just about
>anyone that has fixed a few radios. But after you work with
>electrionics for 30 years you eventually learn that you can't jump to
>any conclusions.

I didn't jump to any conclusions. I offered another possible cause for
the failure. I offered my experience with a VERY similar failure as a
reason to consider it.

Yet you told me to "shut my trap" as if I said something that was
totally incapable of happening. Something which you have now softened
to at least acknowledging that it can happen, even if you believe that
it's not likely.

>You have to locate the faulty component(s) AND you
>must determine why it failed. A lot of caps do indeed go bad, but most
>of the time it's a secondary fault. Finding the primary fault is what
>seperates the professionals from the amateurs.

But what you fail to consider, and my experience has shown me to be
true, is that caps, especially electrolytic and tantalum, can and do
fail for no other reason than age. They ARE the primary failure.

Caps will change value with age, even if they don't completely open or
short. Sometimes a change in value is all that it takes to make an
audio amp into an oscillator.

>>>>> They start having problems
>>>>>when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
>>>>>most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.
>>>>
>>>> I'm telling you what I've personally experienced in close to 30 years
>>>>of repairing CB radios. It is COMMON for small 1uF and such
>>>>electrolytic caps to open up from age. I just got done retelling an
>>>>exact problem that I once fixed. Now, either you are calling me a
>>>>liar, or you need to modify your statement, since my examples are
>>>>proof that maybe you should keep your trap shut, since CB radios don't
>>>>seem to be your high point in experience.
>>>
>>>
>>>Your examples are proof of nothing except your lack of experience in
>>>the broad field of electronics and your inability to find the
>>>underlying cause of the failure.
>>
>>The primary cause of the failure WAS the cap. When a cap in an audio
>>chain opens up so that audio no longer passes through, and there are
>>no voltages to speak of across it (Other than bias level), and when
>>the cap is replaced, normal function is restored, that's a pretty good
>>indication of what the cause of the failure was.

>No it's not. After replacing the cap it would have been a good idea to
>push the audio level rail-to-rail to see if the amp locks up, change
>freqs to see if there's any resonance that could swing the AC above
>the cap's rating, heat-run the radio for a few hours, and then -maybe-
>you could safely conclude that the cap was the primary failure.

That's normal procedure for any of my repairs. I always exercise the
radios for several days to make sure there are no hidden "gotcha's".

Sometimes I get a surprise and another intermittent component shows
its face. Usually though, what I replace is what went bad.

There is nothing more strenuous in the audio chain of a radio, than
the clipped and peaked operator yelling "auuuuuuuuudiooooo". If it
survives that, then I'd say the problem was fixed. It took 20 years
for the first cap to fail. I'm sure that radio hit the bone pile
before the cap opened up again.

>>>Cap failure as the -primary- cause of
>>>failure is no more common than a weak transistor, bad IC, blown
>>>diode/zener, or bad RF/IF can, or even poor manufacturing standards.
>>
>>Ah! So at least now you admit that it is equally likely that a cap can
>>fail as often as any particular active device. Very good, you're
>>making progress.
>

>
>I never denied it. I just didn't jump to the conclusion that it's a
>cap like you did.

You seem to have trouble differentiating between a conclusion and a
possibility.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

1634. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 18:22:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com>
Source record: 195274

On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 08:24:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:01:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote in <28053-41EFD5E1-226@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
>
><snip>
>>> Bust just like that military newsgroup that you
>>
>>>stuck your foot in your mouth over with Frank,
>>
>>
>>I have to agree with Shark on this one,,
>
>
>Notice how lately he invokes my name in his replies to you, and you in
>his replies to me. LOL!

Well, it's no coincidence that you and I bring out the "best" in our
little psychotic friend.

It's also no secret that his psychobabble seems to keep you going.

At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
of the political spectrum....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1635. Make $3,000 p/mo or more w/o using your money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 18:27:52 UTC
From: HYS <hys@ispwest.com>
Message-ID: <1106591271.976723.12980@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195275

All you need is RE equity and a pen. We do the rest. Click on this:
http://members.ispwest.com/hys

1636. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 18:49:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4998-41F54346-171@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195277

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 08:24:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:01:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <28053-41EFD5E1-226@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
<snip>
(Notice how lately he invokes my name in his replies to you, and you in
his replies to me. LOL!)

>Well, it's no coincidence that you and I bring

>out the "best" in our little psychotic friend.

And it's no coincidence you begin your very next post with an insult in
regards to the one that asked you to please illustrate or name this
other mystery hammie you claimed agrees with you that roger beeps are
illegal.

>It's also no secret that his psychobabble

>seems to keep you going.

>At least back before you guys found a

>common love for the wrong side of the political
>spectrum....

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

An excellent example of your twistedness, as one who holds an opposing
view to your own myopic views are not wrong, Davie.
It is apparent in your ramblings that you indeed believe such
self-serving and self-limiting statements, but as usual, you are
self-projecting, as no one else shares your minority and radical views
except these mystery hammies you continue to invoke but are unable to
identify. Hey, it's all good, Davie,,people like you need comfort and
conjured playmates can actually provide therepeutic benefits in
children.

1637. Re: How much snow?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 18:54:35 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <91hav0dj5807s8mo9oq26356cntiev701r@4ax.com>
Source record: 195276
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 20:36:51 -0500, a jones
<sj626p@hotmail.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 22 Jan 2005 23:48:38 GMT, "Flip" <Browns80@sbcglobal.net>
>wrote:
>
>>So how much snow have you gotten, and what part of the city/region are you?
>>
>>The storm basically passed 50 miles north of St. Louis, We got a light
>>dusting and some heavy winds.
>>
>>The whole family is bummed at missing another snowfall. I'm sure you are
>>sick of it, but it makes winter look better than all the dead browns and
>>tans you see on lawns and trees.
>>
>>Flip
>>
>
>I got more than a foot out by Reynolds and Airport.
>
I gave a mexican guy head in the restroom there.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1638. Re: OHIO CHAT ROOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 18:59:37 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <abhav0d2abua8eqnl8v1ss29d2g2v5c5bb@4ax.com>
Source record: 195278
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 8 Jan 2005 17:50:52 -0500,
ICEMANOHIO25@webtv.net (SWEET AS ICE) wrote:

>http://www.starchat.net/wtv/wtv.php?chan=ohio-women-seeking-ohio-men

>
Do you have one for gay Toledo men like me?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1639. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 19:01:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4998-41F5460E-175@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195282

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles

>Coming to Florida twisty

And Florida couldn't be happier,,,the state is always content on playing a role in denying Philthadelphia fans anything,,even if it's just to host their loss to the Pats. On another plus side, is the Philthy fans coming to J-town,,,,,just like when the Panthers handed the Iggles their loss at home, there will be a huge market for the drunken Philthy idiots, er,,,fans, who buy those pre-printed bootleg T-Shirts "before" the game. Of course, I'll be in J-town, with a "Iggles" sweatshirt, taking advantage of the Philthy fan mentality. Of course, based on your past professional gambling expertise back in the day, you know what time it is in your heart and that it simply.....wasn't.....to....be.

1640. Re: Police watch Sherman School Pit Bull

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 19:01:44 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <dfhav01nauv7hsf5odcah6008b2mce1d16@4ax.com>
Source record: 195279
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb

On 14 Jan 2005 01:31:35 -0800, "Mutant"
<mutant@psygone.org> wrote:

>To all the frustrated parents out there. It was police car #106 that
>ignored the kids plight.
>
>I witnessed it.
>
>Mutant
>
Men in uniform make me so horney!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1641. Re: Nature's rath

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 19:03:08 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <khhav0lnktci43cn4rbo3ouhv2738gnohj@4ax.com>
Source record: 195280
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb

On 14 Jan 2005 02:10:54 -0800, "Mutant"
<mutant@psygone.org> wrote:

>I live on a flood plain and it's flooding. Boo-hoo
>
>I live on the ocean front and the storm is coming. Boo-hoo
>
>I live in an earthquake area and things are shaking. Boo-hoo
>
>I live in a brush pile and I see smoke. Boo-hoo
>WHO IS IN CHARGE ??? WHY ARE THEY IN CHARGE?
>
Live a gay lifestyle and get aids BOO-HOO!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1642. Re: Lawyer Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 19:05:46 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <tmhav0dlf5hk1en286rjajadeud0g9o3oq@4ax.com>
Source record: 195281
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb

On 14 Jan 2005 04:52:31 -0800, "Mutant"
<mutant@psygone.org> wrote:

>Is there a lawyer who will represent me and ask how four numbers, that
>I presume to be the last four digits of someones social security
>number, wound up etched into the bolt of my .22 cal. rifle while in the
>custody of the Harrison County Sherrif Department?
>
>My story is only going to enrage a couple of Cadiz,Oh. lawyers, a
>couple of Harrison County Sherrifs and one of their Judges, to name but
>a few.
>
>http://www.psygone.org/dirty/images.html
>Richard Palmer
>Toledo,Oh.
>P.O. Box 80335 43608
>
I can't help you Im not allowed to have guns after
I got busted.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1643. Re: PING: Aratzio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 19:10:23 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4998-41F5481F-176@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195283

Aren't you Douglas Adair the amateur operator N8WWM from Ohio who forges cb'ers on usenet? According to a google search, you were court ordered to undergo psychatric evaluation and counseling for child neglect and you were listed by the fcc as being recorded jamming the Toledo Amateur Radio Club's repeater on multiple occasion. When did twistedhed start using usenetzone to post with a screwed up time zone stamp next to his name? You really are suffering an identity crisis, your homosexuality not withstanding.

1644. Magnum 257 CP1 speech compressor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 19:40:28 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <ckjav090nd3m10pg9s959man5o9g162l86@4ax.com>
Source record: 195284

The current Magnum 257 has blue LED's and a built-in full-time speech compressor.

Can anyone report on how well the compressor works? Does it sound "processed"? How many dB's more do you get with it?

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

1645. Re: AKC LOVES IT! KEYCLOWN BITES THE DUST!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 20:58:53 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <2aoav0d22qmc6g99286kighlirosj3i1ue@4ax.com>
Source record: 195287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ohio.toledo

The fuckers almost got me the last time I jammed
the Toledo repeater.

On 23 Jan 2005 03:48:19 -0800, "Psychiatrist to
keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>One of twithed's boyfriends, no doubt.
>
>
>FCC levies $10,000 fine for unlicensed operation (Jan 19, 2005) -- The
>FCC has fined a Jacksonville, Florida, CBer $10,000 for transmitting
>without Commission authorization. The Commission released the
>Forfeiture Order (NOF) to Tommie Salter on December 27. The FCC says
>that in March 2004 its Tampa Field Office, responding to interference
>complaints, restricted Salter's hours of operation. The FCC lifted the
>quiet hours two months later, providing Salter "operated in full
>compliance with the Commission's rules." When interference complaints
>resumed, the FCC last July 15 again notified Salter it was restricting
>his hours of operation. The FCC NOF said a family member signed for the
>notice but that Salter told Commission officials he had not read it. As
>a result he transmitted while his operation was prohibited--between 6
>AM and 11:59 PM. An August 2004 station inspection of Salter's CB
>station revealed that he was operating a non FCC-certificated
>transmitter, in violation of Part 95 rules. A Notice of Apparent
>Liability (NAL) proposing the $10,000 fine followed in October. The
>FCC's NOF upholds the fine, despite Salter's protests that his
>violation was not willful because he did not understand that use of
>non-certificated transmitters violated the rules and did not know about
>the reinstated quiet hours. Salter also claimed he couldn't pay the
>fine, but the FCC says he failed to provide tax returns or other
>necessary documentation to back up that claim. The FCC authorizes CB
>operation "by rule"--essentially a blanket license.--FCC

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1646. Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 21:47:32 UTC
From: Mark <NoSpam@all.thanks>
Message-ID: <1106603212.83672@ftpsrv1>
Source record: 195285
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

Hi,

I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power desk mic connected.

I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to say "Hello there, loud station".

But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the volume pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.

I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson desk mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about one notch out of ten, something like that.

I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power setting on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that cause a problem with the receiver audio output?

Thanks for any ideas!

Mark.

1647. Re: Reference Check out in AZ.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 21:52:18 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <m6eJd.4099$rv.380@fed1read03>
Source record: 195288

Wheelin wrote:

> Anyone out around the sticker patch have any feedback on RadioActive
> out of Az. ?
> http://www.radioactiveradios.com/
> Is he blowing smoke or is his shit the real deal ?
>
>
> TIA

U suspect, given what he is advertising, that he won't remain in business long before the FCC issues a fine (just my opinion).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

1648. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 21:52:33 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <B6eJd.838$wA5.396@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195286
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

Mark wrote:
> Hi,
>
> I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power desk mic
> connected.
>
> I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to
> reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to say
> "Hello there, loud station".
>
> But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
> speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the volume
> pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
>
> I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson desk
> mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about one
> notch out of ten, something like that.
>
> I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power setting
> on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
> transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that cause a
> problem with the receiver audio output?
>
> Thanks for any ideas!
>
> Mark.
>
>

Try re-keying the mic.. If you have dirty contacts in the mic, the
receive won't work on the radio...

Failing that, try a different mic.

Failing that, something is wrong with the radio.

-SSB

1649. Doctor referall

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 22:20:38 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <44tav0p2mag66fno59qbaflj2ae06r7df6@4ax.com>
Source record: 195289
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb

I need a referral source for doctors specializing
in dementia around the
Toledo area.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1650. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 23:53:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3i2bv092b4c0t81pmke5k63bb9tsu7t1lg@4ax.com>
Source record: 195293

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:52:33 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
in <B6eJd.838$wA5.396@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>:

>Mark wrote:
>> Hi,
>>
>> I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power desk mic
>> connected.
>>
>> I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to
>> reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to say
>> "Hello there, loud station".
>>
>> But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
>> speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the volume
>> pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
>>
>> I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson desk
>> mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about one
>> notch out of ten, something like that.
>>
>> I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power setting
>> on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
>> transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that cause a
>> problem with the receiver audio output?
>>
>> Thanks for any ideas!
>>
>> Mark.
>>
>>
>
>Try re-keying the mic.. If you have dirty contacts in the mic, the
>receive won't work on the radio...
>
>Failing that, try a different mic.
>
>Failing that, something is wrong with the radio.

Probably a capacitor ;-)

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1651. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 23:53:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p2mav0dirts0d5c62kfq4f1b5r9mkk8uto@4ax.com>
Source record: 195292

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 13:12:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <0ucav0dpa3u9ajvq2nsapeenvlk51h4ds4@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 12:49:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 13:15:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <tdsvu0dsvos26iqsodppsp0720t878c9pr@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>> I've never had one blow up just out of spite.
>>>
>>>I have. Usually they're in sweep tube CB amplifiers. For no other
>>>reason than they just became "leaky", and start drawing current until
>>>they heat up and explode.
>>
>>
>>I don't believe that for a minute. I have worked on literally hundreds
>>of pieces tube gear of all shapes and sizes and -NEVER- had a cap blow
>>up for no reason, let alone overheating caused by leakage.
>
>So, like you chastised me for in another thread, because you've never
>witnessed it, it never happened?
>
>You must not have worked on as many tube circuits as you've claimed.
>The law of averages and statistical probability would conclude that
>you should have seen at least one exploding cap had you worked on
>enough equipment.

I have seen, heard and smelled plenty of caps blow up. What -I- said,
and what you can't seem to comprehend, is that 1) they don't blow up
for no reason at all, and 2) that I have never had one blow up just
because it was leaking.

>>But it's
>>not my experience that tells me your story is bullshit, it's the fact
>>that if the cap is leaking that much current in parallel with the
>>normal load then other components will fail first, such as the filter
>>resistor or choke, rectifier(s), transformer, etc.
>
>Leaky doesn't mean "dead short". A cap could have just enough
>resistance to heat it up and cause it to out gas or explode, but not
>cause other components to fail.

Never seen it happen. It's easy to understand why it doesn't happen when you realize that heat requires power; i.e, watts.

Begin with the power supply. It is designed to supply a limited amount of power, usually a little more than for the intended use. Next, your cap leaks. This creates a shunt in parallel with the intended load, and therefore draws more power from the power supply. In fact, your leaky cap draws so much -additional- power that it evaporates the contents faster than the gasses can be vented by the vent hole -AND- builds up enough pressure to literally explode the metal case. I don't think so, Dave -- that's just too much power to not expect an earlier failure in the power supply.

And like I said before, if the supply isn't fused then the failure is probably going to be a shorted transformer or choke, blown rectifier, or some other device that is less robust than the capacitor. Often the caps themselves will fuse internally when subjected to too much current, which is something many techs fail to consider when replacing an open cap.

You might also realize that electrolytics blow up when subjected to a reverse current -not- because of any heat buildup or dissipation, but because the dielectric undergoes electrolysis and one of the products is a gas. This takes much less power than brute-force heating of a cap. If a low-voltage electrolytic blows up, the reason is almost always due to reverse current. High-voltage electrolytics don't blow up nearly as often because they are rarely subjected to reverse current.

>Also, if you recall how the HV power supplies were designed in a
>typical sweep tube "CB" grade amplifier, the plate supply voltage was
>usually designed at about 800V give or take. The filter caps were
>usually (2) 40 uF, 450V caps wired in series across the DC output.
>Sometimes there will be (2) 100K or 1M ohm resistors in parallel with
>the filter caps as well. What happens is that one of the two caps
>becomes leaky. It starts to draw current, and it raises the voltage
>potential across the other cap, until it exceeds the voltage rating
>and it's usually THAT cap which explodes.

You're reaching, Dave. If the voltage across the 'other' cap is exceeded what usually happens is the dielectric will puncture (at the dielectric's puncture voltage) and cause a brief short as the cap discharges. If the first discharge doesn't pop the fuse, or fuse the cap internally, it will continue to charge and discharge just like a relaxation oscillator, shunting (not dissipating) huge amounts of power until something else fails, which usually happens in less than a couple seconds. That's why you sometimes hear a short 'whine' before the radio goes dead and smoke billows from the transformer.

>> Usually it's the
>>fuse that goes first, and if there's no fuse then the transformer
>>overheats and shorts. Caps don't blow because of DC leakage. Caps -do-
>>blow when subjected to too much AC, and even a few volts of ripple can

>>be enough to pop a can on a tube rig.
>
>It's the filter cap's job to smooth out ripple. Of course they will be
>subjected to it. If what you say were true, then there would be caps
>popping like popcorn. Ripple is not A.C., as the polarity never
>reverses. it only "pulses" to some degree. The charge-discharge action
>of the filter caps is what smooths these pulses out.

Ripple is the AC component of a DC current. But call it whatever
tickles your fancy because the fact is that if a filter cap is doing
it's job of smoothing the ripple then it's not being subjected to very
much ripple! If there -is- much ripple then the cap isn't doing it's
job, the reason being either the cap is weak or the load is too big.
Whatever the cause, the cap sees that ripple as AC current which
causes heat dissipation in the cap due mostly to hysteresis (high
hysteresis being a characteristic of electrolytic caps).

Then capacitor go 'hiss'.

>>This happens when the load is
>>too heavy for the power supply, and the first cap to blow is the one
>>on the load side of the pi filter (which is a very good indication of
>>why it blew up). In the case of sweep-tube amps, I find that the most
>>likely cause of your capacitor mishap.
>
>I've never seen that happen for the reason's you've stated. An
>excessive load on the power supply will draw the DC voltage down far
>below the cap's rating. Since caps don't pass DC, that will not
>affect them.

You missed capacitor lab, didn't you? Excessive load will draw down
the DC voltage but -increase- the ripple.

>>> In my Lafayette Comstat 25 a resistor once
>>>lit up like a candle due to a shorted cap in series with it.
>>
>>
>>Now -that- I can believe.
>
>Wow, alert the media......

You mean that left-wing liberal media that failed to cover over 20
bloody years of civil war in Angola?

>>>The Uniden UPD-858 SSB boards (Realistic TRC-457/458, Cobra 138/139
>>>XLR) had a pesky blue 10 uF tantalum cap that would short out and pull
>>>the B+ feeding the driver and final stages to ground. I've seen that
>>>on at least 3 different radios. I'd call that a statistic.
>>
>>
>>I would call that a design flaw. Tantalum caps have often been used in
>>applications where they were not a very good choice. They have certain

>>limitations, but many engineers haven't learned what those limitations
>>are, hence their reputation for failure. They are high-density caps
>>but are VERY sensitive to damage from overvoltage. A digital circuit
>>with a tightly regulated power supply is an appropriate application
>>for tantalum caps -- a CB is not.
>
>I'm sure the engineers at Uniden had their reasons.

Blind faith.

> The MTBF for those
>parts would seem to indicate that they were under rated for the job
>though.
>
>>>>Also, I -am- one of those "pros who restore old radios", which has
>>>>been both a hobby and a business since I restored my first Philco 20
>>>>when I was a teenager. And after being in the electronics business
>>>>professionally for 30+ years I can say, without any doubt whatsoever,
>>>>that capacitor failure rate (i.e, the capacitor being the -primary-
>>>>cause of the failure) is no higher than for any other component.
>>>
>>>But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.
>>
>>
>>Not when you realize that a light bulb is nothing more than a
>>resistor
>
>A light bulb is a resistor as a consequence of it's intended use. A
>part designed as a resistor rarely fails, unless too much current is
>drawn through it. In which case, the failure is quite obvious.

A light bulb is most certainly designed as a resistor. If it didn't
have resistance it wouldn't work. A resistor necessarily dissipates
power, and in a light bulb that power is dissipated as light (and
heat). The only real question here is why household light bulbs fail
regularly while automotive light bulbs last for a much, much longer
time.

>>>>I do
>>>>-not- recommend blanket replacement of aged capacitors -- on the
>>>>contrary, I recommend -testing- aged capacitors. If they are still
>>>>within tolerance and show no DC leakage, leave them ALONE!
>>>
>>>I don't either as a rule. It's just a waste of money. But for you to
>>>discard, as idiotic, my suggestion of a small electrolytic cap
>>>changing value causing the problem in that Pierce Simpson radio is
>>>nothing but pure arrogance Frank. I have had a similar problem that
>>>was the fault of a cap.
>>
>>
>>I didn't discard your example as idiotic. I discarded your presumption

>>that a capacitor is responsible for the OP's problem. You once found a
>>radio that had a bad cap. Well, so have I, and so has just about
>>anyone that has fixed a few radios. But after you work with
>>electrionics for 30 years you eventually learn that you can't jump to
>>any conclusions.
>
>I didn't jump to any conclusions. I offered another possible cause for
>the failure. I offered my experience with a VERY similar failure as a
>reason to consider it.

You said, "I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic. With old
radios like that, the problem is almost always related to caps drying
out," which demonstrated your ignorance about several things. First,
the radio is a more modern 40 channel PLL model, contemporary with the
TRC-453 and PC-122. Second, low voltage electrolytics don't "dry out"
because they use a solid dielectric. Third, you went on to describe
your one single experience from which you inferred, "the problem is
almost always....". Well Dave, suppose you once burnt yourself with a
soldering iron. Using your logic, the reason other people have burns
"is almost always" because they burn themselves with soldering irons.
It doesn't work.

>Yet you told me to "shut my trap" as if I said something that was
>totally incapable of happening. Something which you have now softened
>to at least acknowledging that it can happen, even if you believe that
>it's not likely.

Ok, how do I say this in a language you can maybe understand.....

You inferred from your single experience that the fault is most likely
a failed capacitor. Yet because I rejected your conclusion (or, if you
prefer, your 'declaration of high probability'), you assert that I am
claiming the fault is -not- a capacitor. That is a perfect example of
the logical fallacy 'Argumentum ad Ignorantiam' which, by definition,
is ignorance.

>>You have to locate the faulty component(s) AND you
>>must determine why it failed. A lot of caps do indeed go bad, but most
>>of the time it's a secondary fault. Finding the primary fault is what
>>seperates the professionals from the amateurs.
>
>But what you fail to consider, and my experience has shown me to be
>true, is that caps, especially electrolytic and tantalum, can and do
>fail for no other reason than age. They ARE the primary failure.

A bad cap CAN BE a primary failure. But more often they are only a
secondary failure. That is what MY experience has shown ME, and part
of that experience includes work in failure analysis at HP. So shall
we compare resumes, Dave?

>Caps will change value with age, even if they don't completely open or
>short. Sometimes a change in value is all that it takes to make an

>audio amp into an oscillator.

Just about everything changes value with age; especially crystals,
semiconductors, and paper/oil caps. All three are known to change more
significantly with age than any other type of component, including
electrolytic capacitors (but excluding disposable devices, of course).

I'm sure you have seen sockets for ICs, relays, crystals, and maybe
even transistors; but when was the last time you saw a socket for a
capacitor?

>>>>>> They start having problems
>>>>>>when they are pushed over their rated voltage or physically leak; and
>>>>>>most electrolytics made since the 50's don't use liquid electrolyte.
>>>>>
>>>>> I'm telling you what I've personally experienced in close to 30 years
>>>>>of repairing CB radios. It is COMMON for small 1uF and such
>>>>>electrolytic caps to open up from age. I just got done retelling an
>>>>>exact problem that I once fixed. Now, either you are calling me a
>>>>>liar, or you need to modify your statement, since my examples are
>>>>>proof that maybe you should keep your trap shut, since CB radios don't
>>>>>seem to be your high point in experience.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Your examples are proof of nothing except your lack of experience in
>>>>the broad field of electronics and your inability to find the
>>>>underlying cause of the failure.
>>>
>>>The primary cause of the failure WAS the cap. When a cap in an audio
>>>chain opens up so that audio no longer passes through, and there are
>>>no voltages to speak of across it (Other than bias level), and when
>>>the cap is replaced, normal function is restored, that's a pretty good
>>>indication of what the cause of the failure was.
>
>
>>No it's not. After replacing the cap it would have been a good idea to
>>push the audio level rail-to-rail to see if the amp locks up, change
>>freqs to see if there's any resonance that could swing the AC above
>>the cap's rating, heat-run the radio for a few hours, and then -maybe-
>>you could safely conclude that the cap was the primary failure.
>
>That's normal procedure for any of my repairs. I always exercise the
>radios for several days to make sure there are no hidden "gotcha's".
>
>Sometimes I get a surprise and another intermittent component shows
>its face. Usually though, what I replace is what went bad.
>
>There is nothing more strenuous in the audio chain of a radio, than
>the clipped and peaked operator yelling "auuuuuuuuudiooooo".

Maybe you should take a look at alignment procedures sometime. A
frequently used test involves driving the audio hard enough to slam it
rail-to-rail at every stage from mic to speaker, usually by feeding
the mic input with 500 mV or more; i.e, significantly more than you
can generate by screaming into the mic. One of the purposes of the
test is to see if any stages lock up, a problem that is much more
common with high-gain OP-amps produced since the 70's.

Resonance is also an issue that needs to be appreciated. As you noted
before, components change value over time. So a circuit that was not
resonant at the time of manufacture may be resonant 20 years later.
It's not a common cause of failure but I have seen it a few times. The
scary part is that a few volts feeding a series resonant circuit can
generate a hundred volts or more in the middle -- not a good thing for
the high-impedance and voltage-sensitive IC's and FET's produced these
days. As these components become more common and equipment is designed
with tighter voltage tolerances, I suspect resonance will become an
increasing problem in the future.

> If it
>survives that, then I'd say the problem was fixed. It took 20 years
>for the first cap to fail. I'm sure that radio hit the bone pile
>before the cap opened up again.
>
>
>
>>>>Cap failure as the -primary- cause of
>>>>failure is no more common than a weak transistor, bad IC, blown
>>>>diode/zener, or bad RF/IF can, or even poor manufacturing standards.
>>>
>>>Ah! So at least now you admit that it is equally likely that a cap can
>>>fail as often as any particular active device. Very good, you're
>>>making progress.
>>
>>
>>I never denied it. I just didn't jump to the conclusion that it's a
>>cap like you did.
>
>You seem to have trouble differentiating between a conclusion and a
>possibility.

You seem to have trouble with the possibility that the fault could
just as easily be something other than what you learned from your one
and only experience with a similar problem.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1652. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-24 23:55:52 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <cWfJd.21561$by5.20692@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195294

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:52:33 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
> in <B6eJd.838$wA5.396@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>
>>Mark wrote:
>>
>>>Hi,
>>>
>>>I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power desk mic
>>>connected.
>>>
>>>I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to
>>>reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to say
>>>"Hello there, loud station".
>>>
>>>But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
>>>speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the volume
>>>pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
>>>
>>>I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson desk
>>>mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about one
>>>notch out of ten, something like that.
>>>
>>>I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power setting
>>>on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
>>>transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that cause a
>>>problem with the receiver audio output?
>>>
>>>Thanks for any ideas!
>>>
>>>Mark.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Try re-keying the mic.. If you have dirty contacts in the mic, the
>>receive won't work on the radio...
>>
>>Failing that, try a different mic.
>>
>>Failing that, something is wrong with the radio.
>
>

>
> Probably a capacitor ;-)
>

Tell me you're kidding, please.

-SSB

1653. Re: I had a BALL JIGGING gpsQUEEN'S LOVE HOLE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:02:44 UTC
From: moparholic@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <41f58d81@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195291
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

"gpsqueen" <gpsman@driversmail.com> dripped cum from his oral cum hole
news:1106582502.990297.27620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Steveo wrote:
>> "gpsqueen" <gpsman@driversmail.com> spewed from his AIDS infested
>> man-puss:
>>
>> <BITCH SNIP>
>>
<BITCH SNIP AGAIN FOR GPSqueen>

Well gpsqueen tell us some more! Lets see, you claim to be straight, but yet you seem to have plenty of gay friends to quote. Everybody knows the only people in rec.radio.cb is gay cb operators so you must have been trolling for attention. But thats OK big guy, you got it!!!!!We've seen how Rocky Roads bitch slaps you over and over again. We'll make you feel better. what you need is my GOOD BUTTY twistie to give you a nice, Slow Blow-job. Then just as he's getting you to the point of no return he'll stop. Then twistie will guide your man-meat into 'ole uncle steveo's man pussy.

How's that sound gps.....we all want you. we want to fuck a big hairy truck driving man like you big guy! now tell us about your cock big-boi!!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1654. Re: Doctor referall

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:05:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f58e26@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195290
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

THEY CALL ME DR HEAD...I PRIDE MYSELF IN
SPECIALIZING HOT MAN2MAN SEX!

"Doug Adair" <N8WWM@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:44tav0p2mag66fno59qbaflj2ae06r7df6@4ax.com...
>I need a referral source for doctors specializing
> in dementia around the
> Toledo area.
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1655. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:10:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f58f41@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195295
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
news:cWfJd.21561$by5.20692@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
<SILLILAND WAS BITCH SNIPPED>

My boyfriend was kidding about the capacitor but I am not kidding about
sucking your massive cock as I slip a finger into your man-love-hole!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1656. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:10:39 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net>
Message-ID: <kg3bv01g2v4ucegjuuvpjomq5an0nffjo2@4ax.com>
Source record: 195298

On 24 Jan 2005 03:30:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>> On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 22:05:33 -0500, Keith Hosman KC8TCQ
>> <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> <snip>
>>
>> >
>> >PL is the Motorola copyrighted name for CTCS is stands for Private
>> >Line. CTCS, PL, ETS are all the same thing.
>>
>> sorry i hadn't read the post were you said that already
>>
>Glad to see you're back, how'd it go up there?

Still down here..... Columbus Indiana.... this laptop kicks ass heheh
connected at 54 MB/Sec ..... I downloaded all the latest Linux
distros and burned them to CD.

Didn't get as much snow here with the last storm, but it was cold
enough... did get a good bit of sleet and freezing rain though.

1657. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:11:20 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net>
Message-ID: <9k3bv0lbt9eu3rpftp4f0s8pkkho0319ni@4ax.com>
Source record: 195297

On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 21:15:52 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote in
>news:oup8v011n7f3a9arodebdk0kv24e3bg6pb@4ax.com:
>
>> On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 22:05:33 -0500, Keith Hosman KC8TCQ
>> <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>
>>>
>>>PL is the Motorola copyrighted name for CTCS is stands for Private
>>>Line. CTCS, PL, ETS are all the same thing.
>>
>>
>> sorry i hadn't read the post were you said that already
>
>sorry i didnt read the post where you said you that you saw it alreaDY
>LOL

heheh thats what happens when you are not awake and haven't had any
coffee (at least in my case)

1658. Re: Magnum 257 Is For KEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:12:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f58fd6$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> IS A FEDERAL LAW BREAKER:

Thats not a type accepted radio thus aganist the law to use on the CB band.
Now come to medina and fuck me up the ass!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1659. Re: Nature's rath

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:14:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f59044@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195299
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

I ALWAYS USE A RUBBER AT THE TRUCK STOPS. BUT SOMETIMES I GET SO HOT I DRINK
THE JIZZ RIGHT OUT OF THE RUBBER!
"Doug Adair" <N8WWM@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:khhav0lnktci43cn4rbo3ouhv2738gnohj@4ax.com...
> On 14 Jan 2005 02:10:54 -0800, "Mutant"
> <mutant@psygone.org> wrote:
>
>>I live on a flood plain and it's flooding. Boo-hoo
>>
>>I live on the ocean front and the storm is coming. Boo-hoo
>>
>>I live in an earthquake area and things are shaking. Boo-hoo
>>
>>I live in a brush pile and I see smoke. Boo-hoo
>>WHO IS IN CHARGE ??? WHY ARE THEY IN CHARGE?
>>
> Live a gay lifestyle and get aids BOO-HOO!
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1660. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:21:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f591ef@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195300
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Keith Hosman KC8TCQ"
logdshr@bright.net

<snip>

Keith,
Thanks for the great sex over the weekend.You made me forget about Doug for
a while.

Love You,
Steveo

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1661. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:22:04 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net>
Message-ID: <q74bv05jumreda61j68lsmcrch3u1kqv73@4ax.com>
Source record: 195301

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 19:10:39 -0500, Keith Hosman KC8TCQ
<logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:

>On 24 Jan 2005 03:30:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>>> On Sun, 23 Jan 2005 22:05:33 -0500, Keith Hosman KC8TCQ
>>> <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> >
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>> >
>>> >PL is the Motorola copyrighted name for CTCS is stands for Private
>>> >Line. CTCS, PL, ETS are all the same thing.
>>>
>>> sorry i hadn't read the post were you said that already
>>>
>>Glad to see you're back, how'd it go up there?
>
>
>Still down here..... Columbus Indiana.... this laptop kicks ass heheh
>connected at 54 MB/Sec ..... I downloaded all the latest Linux
>distros and burned them to CD.
>
>Didn't get as much snow here with the last storm, but it was cold
>enough... did get a good bit of sleet and freezing rain though.
>
>

forgot to mention that it warmed up rather nicely today..... wish I
had my H-D with me would have been a great day to ride.

1662. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:22:58 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0b4bv0lsvg0jgbn40cre5mtha872uuu4h0@4ax.com>
Source record: 195302

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 13:22:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 08:24:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:01:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>>wrote in <28053-41EFD5E1-226@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>> Bust just like that military newsgroup that you
>>>
>>>>stuck your foot in your mouth over with Frank,
>>>
>>>
>>>I have to agree with Shark on this one,,
>>
>>
>>Notice how lately he invokes my name in his replies to you, and you in
>>his replies to me. LOL!
>
>Well, it's no coincidence that you and I bring out the "best" in our
>little psychotic friend.

You're confused, Dave.

>It's also no secret that his psychobabble seems to keep you going.

Newsflash: I argue with Twisty to keep my own wits sharp. I argue with
you because you are ignorant.

>At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>of the political spectrum....

Your political spectrum analyzer is out of alignment, Dave. And you
haven't read a single word I've written because, and to put it simple
enough for a 1st grader, I don't take sides when it comes to politics.
I oppose Bush because he's a criminal, not because he's a Republican.
And if you had any evidence..... or even a reasonable suspicion that
Kerry was in any way a criminal, I could accept it. But so far you
have offered nothing but excuses, logical fallacies, and websites with
forged documents and paranoid rants. If you are really a supporter of
the Republican party then you should keep quiet on political issues
because you are giving your party some very poor representation.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1663. Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:26:32 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@bright.net>
Message-ID: <41f59310$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195303
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

Hi I'm Keith,

Looking for hot man2man sex chat.

Also into mutual jacking.....meeting in public restrooms,etc.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1664. I NEED COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:29:29 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@bright.net>
Message-ID: <41f593c1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195304
Cross-posted to: alt.binaries.asianscans,rec.radio.cb

I want to suck a hot black dick.Also into mutual jacking.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1665. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:30:41 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net>
Message-ID: <un4bv0h7chsk898jpekotcck3lbve4s338@4ax.com>
Source record: 195305

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 18:21:42 -0600, "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>"Keith Hosman KC8TCQ"
>logdshr@bright.net
>
><snip>
>
>Keith,
>Thanks for the great sex over the weekend.You made me forget about Doug for
>a while.
>
>Love You,
>Steveo
>

grow up and get a life..... you know you really have a miserable
existance if this is what your life consists of... I pity you.

1666. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 00:32:02 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net>
Message-ID: <fr4bv0568dvansl008mvmdprr67nmhokqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 195306
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 18:26:32 -0600, "Keith Hosman KC8TCQ"
<logdshr@bright.net> wrote:

>Hi I'm Keith,
>
>Looking for hot man2man sex chat.
>
>Also into mutual jacking.......meeting in public restrooms,etc.
>
>

Like I said grow up and get a life

1667. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 01:00:59 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bMqdnd2FkYrRB2jcRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 195307
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

"Keith Hosman KC8TCQ" <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote in message
news:fr4bv0568dvansl008mvmdprr67nmhokqo@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 18:26:32 -0600, "Keith Hosman KC8TCQ"
> <logdshr@bright.net> wrote:
>
>>Hi I'm Keith,
>>
>>Looking for hot man2man sex chat.
>>
>>Also into mutual jacking.....meeting in public restrooms,etc.
>>
>>
>
>
> Like I said grow up and get a life

More CB ng crap.

1668. BIG DAWG RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 01:26:45 UTC
From: N6MZA Fan Club <matchbaux@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pfhJd.14599$wZ2.3388@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195321

The biggest, bad ass of them all, N6MZA, aka Timmy Sheen. Don't F**K wit da DAWG!

http://www.geocities.com/matchbaux/n6mza

1669. Astatic PDC-600 meters forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 01:35:20 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050124203520.02124.00000184@mb-m14.aol.com>
Source record: 195308

3 window, measures SWR, WATTS 10, 100, 1000, 5000 watt scales, reads peak and avg watts, and modulation. These are lighted meters, come's with the 12v adapter w/ on/off switch. I'll take $60 plus $10 shipping. These are like brand new, still have the box and papers. Couple months old

Thatz-me-JP

1670. Re: DUG88 WANTS STEVEO DATE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 01:36:37 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <FohJd.162282$Xk.84015@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195309
Cross-posted to: alt.gayteen,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,misc.transport.trucking,alt.usenet.kooks

hello mrs steveo's whore bitch mother

you can call me duggie wuggy woo woo.

tell him thanx for letting me tease him
i enjoyed that.

maybe later for the blow job
perhaps i can teach him a few fun ideas, he might enjoy

"Steveo's whore mother" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1106563967.199424.128480@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> HEY DUG88 IF YOU CALL STEVEO ON CHANNEL 19 HE'LL SUCK YOU OFF AT A
> TRUCK STOP NEAR YOU!
>

1671. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 02:16:47 UTC
From: Triple One <Tripleone@crunkology.com>
Message-ID: <41f5a988$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195310
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

logdshr@bright.net
mymail.bright.net

Registrant:
Com Net, Inc.
P.O. Box 2038
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
US

Domain name: BRIGHT.NET

Administrative Contact:
Internet Services, bright.net domains@bright.net
P.O. Box 2038
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
US
+1.4197393165 Fax: +1.4197393154

Technical Contact:
Domains, Bright.net hostmaster@bright.net
13888 County Road 25A
Wapakoneta, OH 45895
US
+1.4197393165

Registration Service Provider:
ComNet, Inc., hostmaster@bright.net
419-739-3165

Registrar of Record: TUCOWS, INC.
Record last updated on 25-Sep-2003.
Record expires on 24-Nov-2012.
Record created on 25-Nov-1994.

Domain servers in listed order:
PRIMARY.DNS.BRIGHT.NET 209.143.0.10
SECONDARY.DNS.BRIGHT.NET 66.209.140.124

Domain status: REGISTRAR-LOCK

"Keith Hosman KC8TCQ" <logdshr@bright.net> wrote in message
news:41f59310$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> Hi I'm Keith,
>
> Looking for hot man2man sex chat.
>

> Also into mutual jacking.......meeting in public restrooms,etc.
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1672. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 02:30:04 UTC
From: UknowMeJP <thatzmejp@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f5b02a@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195311
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

Triple One.....Would you like to watch me beat-off?
Or if you'd rather we can beat eachother off.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1673. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 02:30:42 UTC
From: UknowMeJP <thatzmejp@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f5b02b@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195312
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

Triple One.....Would you like to watch me beat-off?
Or if you'd rather we can beat eachother off.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1674. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 02:32:53 UTC
From: UknowMeJP <thatzmejp@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f5b0ae$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195313
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

Hey trouble you cocksucker,who asked for your 2 cents worth? You motherfucker........get that shit pussy warmed up for me. I'm your new CB daddy!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1675. use net cocksuckers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 02:36:30 UTC
From: UknowMeJP <thatzmejp@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f5b186$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195314
Cross-posted to: alt.homosexuality,rec.radio.cb

Hey Steveo,,,,,,,who invited all these straight people into or cb group?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1676. I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 02:41:10 UTC
From: UknowMeJP <thatzmejp@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41f5b29e$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195315
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.homosexual.alt.sex.stories.gay,rec.radio.cb

any kind of cock, nigger cock is the best.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1677. Re: Aratzio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 02:46:39 UTC
From: Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <41f5b3e8@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195316
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

SO LIKE NOBODY SAID WHEN STEVEO IS GOING TO FORGET ABOUT THAT HAM AND START
FUCKING AND SUCKING WITH US AGAIN.
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:41f30bdc@news.usenetzone.com...
> hey dude........
>
> don't fall for steveo's bullcrap. he'll tell you one thing and do someone
> else. he's in love with this fucking ham now and he'll just use you for a
> fuck toy. this coulds be us........let me know if you're hot.
>
>

>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1678. Re: I'm Dropping the AOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 02:50:05 UTC
From: Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <41f5b4b7$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195317
Cross-posted to: alt.aol-sucks,REC.RADIO.CB

THE ONLY THING BETTER THAN AOL IS TO WATCH ME BEAT OFF ONTO A PIC OF STEVE CASE.
"123run@macrosoft.com" <grype4@macrosoft.comt> wrote in message news:Xns95E8C6FA48B31strix22@130.133.1.4...
> "Citizen_Cain" <noemailhere@askmenicely.invaild> wrote in
> news:YCbHd.4646$%h1.4233@fe39.usenetserver.com:
>
>>
>> "Brady" <waterclock@earthlink.netnospam> wrote in message
>> news:6j9eu09aovl80h7joouobk4jioetrhukb3@4ax.com...
>>> Here we are, beginning *week 3* of a disruption of service that AOL
>>> still
>> denies
>>> is taking place. (Inexplicably, the Usenet/newsgroups 'system status'
>>> page
>> still
>>> says there are 'no known problems' at this time.) I've been posting
>>> with
>> other
>>> news servers for months, but I still enjoyed reading newsgroups via
>>> AOL.
>>>
>>> I contacted tech support numerous times (to no avail); I wrote
>>> several
>> emails to
>>> newsmaster@aol.com (and never got a reply);
>
> CUT
>
> Brady
>
> A reply from AOL newsmaster?? Surely you didn't expect one.
>
> I've tried for months .. he doesn't exist.
>
> The only thing worse than AOL's contempt for its users is
> the infantile 'Service' it provides at inflated prices
>
> I'm dropping them too .. just looking for an alternative that's better.
> Shouldn't take long ....
>
>
> MEE2

>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1679. Re: Astatic PDC-600 meters forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 03:14:40 UTC
From: Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <41f5ba7a@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20050124203520.02124.00000184@mb-m14.aol.com...
>3 window, measures SWR, WATTS 10, 100, 1000, 5000 watt scales, reads peak
>and
> avg watts, and modulation. These are lighted meters, come's with the 12v
> adapter w/ on/off switch. I'll take $60 plus $10 shipping. These are like
> brand
> new, still have the box and papers. Couple months old
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

Hey JP whats fucking wrong with you.....selling your shit to buy crack
again? You sorry motherfucker.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1680. Re: Thanks, reaper, Steveo, Etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 03:18:39 UTC
From: Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <41f5bb69$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195319
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in <BITCH SNIP>

I'M NOT A GIRL BUT I'M ALMOST AS GOOD.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1681. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 03:31:29 UTC
From: Triple One <Tripleone@crunkology.com>
Message-ID: <41f5bb0b$2_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195320

```
preference exchange IP address (if included)
15 mailin-03.mx.aol.com [64.12.137.249]
15 mailin-04.mx.aol.com [64.12.138.152]
15 mailin-01.mx.aol.com [205.188.159.57]
15 mailin-02.mx.aol.com [64.12.137.121]

Tracing route to mailin-03.mx.aol.com [205.188.158.121]...

hop rtt rtt rtt ip address domain name
1 0 0 0 216.46.228.229 port-216-3073253-es128.devices.datareturn.com
2 0 0 0 64.29.192.145
port-64-1949841-zzt0prespect.devices.datareturn.com
3 0 0 0 64.29.192.226 daa.g921.ispb.datareturn.com
4 0 0 0 168.215.241.133 hagg-01-ae0-1001.dlfw.twtelecom.net
5 0 0 10 66.192.253.124 core-02-ge-0-3-1-504.dlfw.twtelecom.net
6 0 0 0 66.192.246.53
7 0 0 0 66.185.133.181 pop2-dls-p5-1.atdn.net
8 0 0 0 66.185.133.98 bb2-dls-p1-0.atdn.net
9 10 20 10 66.185.152.129 bb2-kcy-p6-0.atdn.net
10 10 20 10 66.185.152.126 bb1-kcy-p1-0.atdn.net
11 30 30 20 66.185.152.124 bb1-chi-p6-0.atdn.net
12 20 30 20 66.185.152.131 bb2-chi-p7-0.atdn.net
13 40 40 40 66.185.152.215 bb2-vie-p13-0.atdn.net
14 40 30 30 66.185.139.83 pop1-vie-p1-0.atdn.net
15 40 40 40 66.185.141.118 dar2-dtc-s1-0-0.atdn.net
16 * * *
17 * * *
18 * * *
19 * * *

Trace aborted

-- end --

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:41f5b29e$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> any kind of cock, nigger cock is the best.
>
>
>
> _______________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

1682. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 03:57:19 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <ct4g41021cn@news1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 195322

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:55:52 GMT SideBand wrote:

> Frank Gilliland wrote:

>> On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:52:33 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
>> in <B6eJd.838$wA5.396@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>:

>> Probably a capacitor ;-)

> Tell me you're kidding, please.

> -SSB

Yeah probably one of those 10 farad ones. They seem to go bad when over
modulated.

1683. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:22:27 UTC
From: Mark <NoSpam@all.thanks>
Message-ID: <1106626906.888020@ftpsrv1>
Source record: 195323
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

Sideband,

You are spot on!

After I sent this message, I replaced the desk mic with the original Uniden mic and all was well. So I put the desk mic back on and same problem. Then I realised the mic transmit lock-down lever was part way down, turning off the receiver, but not quite turning on the transmitter!

Mark.

"SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message news:B6eJd.838$wA5.396@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
> Mark wrote:
> > Hi,
> >
> > I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power desk mic
> > connected.
> >
> > I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to
> > reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to say
> > "Hello there, loud station".
> >
> > But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
> > speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the volume
> > pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
> >
> > I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson desk
> > mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about one
> > notch out of ten, something like that.
> >
> > I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power setting
> > on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
> > transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that cause a
> > problem with the receiver audio output?
> >
> > Thanks for any ideas!

> >
> > Mark.
> >
> >
>
> Try re-keying the mic.. If you have dirty contacts in the mic, the
> receive won't work on the radio...
>
> Failing that, try a different mic.
>
> Failing that, something is wrong with the radio.
>
> -SSB

1684. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:24:38 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org>
Message-ID: <69ugc2-jve.ln1@ursine.dyndns.org>
Source record: 195331

Triple One wrote:

> Tracing route to mailin-03.mx.aol.com [205.188.158.121]...

Traceroute tells you only what routers connections travel through between
you and a particular IP and serves no useful purpose in tracking abusive
sites. Traceroute's functionality is limited to testing connectivity.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/

1685. Hey AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:26:26 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <STjJd.159501$Uf.150247@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195324

Your stupid drivel, and your abuse of www.usenetzone.com is starting to get old. Not to mention your MANY forged posts, and your male genitalia fasination. You should really look into a new hobby, and spare us all from your perverted lifestyle. Oh, by the way, wanna buy a linear?

1686. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:28:30 UTC
From: Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <41f5cbc9@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

"Triple One" <Tripleone@crunkology.com> wrote in

<TRIPLE SNIPPED LIKE THE BITCH SHE IS>

Hey Triple, When R we getting together again.
I want to feel your manly sweet joy hole.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1687. Re: Hey AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:30:25 UTC
From: Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <41f5cc3d$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195326
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

I want to abuse your anus.
"American Eagle 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:STjJd.159501$Uf.150247@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> Your stupid drivel, and your abuse of www.usenetzone.com is starting to
> get old. Not to mention your MANY forged posts, and your male genitalia
> fasination. You should really look into a new hobby, and spare us all from
> your perverted lifestyle. Oh, by the way, wanna buy a linear?
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1688. One more for the AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:36:35 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <n1kJd.159503$Uf.53284@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195327

I noticed you ladies are having a hard time posting on
http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/

Please stay on the line, and we'll direct your call to the next available
operator. <snicker>

1689. ae352@iwon.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:40:41 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <41f5cea2$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195328
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

Male seeking Male:

I'm looking for a nice guy to play radios with. Maybe have our own CB channel and make up secret codes with. WE could have our own club with for us only really cool club numbers. The coolest operators have the coolest numbers!

Then after we get tired of talking behind our friends back in our secret code on our own channel while using our super cool club numbers we can go to the park and go into a public toilet and suck and fuck the living shit out of each other.

Sounds Cool. HUH,

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1690. http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:43:00 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <41f5cf2d@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195329
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

Great place for meet 4 real gay sex!

http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1691. Re: FLAG IN THE ASS!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 04:50:06 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <41f5d0d7@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195330
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Nemesis" <nemesis@tehawk.comedy> wrote in message
news:dngbv0da9if5rtqcpja7vgujdckv7c96ns@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 03:51:47 GMT, "Mr.Extreme"
> <extremeone@theHNIC.net> with the help of a thousand monkeys banging
> on keyboards, was finally able to type out the following:
>
>>
>>On 24-Jan-2005, Nemesis <nemesis@tehawk.comedy> wrote:
>>
>>> On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 22:08:12 -0500, Smart Ape - I WILL get revenge!
>>> <Zaius@POTA.com> with the help of a thousand monkeys banging on
>>> keyboards, was finally able to type out the following:
>>>
>>> >THAT'S MY DAVE!!!
>>>
>>> Mary just came in his pants.
>>
>
>
> See that, call your name and you come running.
>
> --
> Nemesis
> ICQ #4610826
>
> http://www.tehawk.com
> http://home.earthlink.net/~tehawk

I WANT YOU INSIDE ME!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1692. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 05:13:31 UTC
From: Triple One <Tripleone@crunkology.com>
Message-ID: <41f5d2f4$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195332

```
OrgName: America Online, Inc
OrgID: AMERIC-59
Address: 22080 Pacific Blvd
City: Sterling
StateProv: VA
PostalCode: 20166
Country: US

NetRange: 205.188.0.0 - 205.188.255.255
CIDR: 205.188.0.0/16
NetName: AOL-DTC
NetHandle: NET-205-188-0-0-1
Parent: NET-205-0-0-0-0
NetType: Direct Assignment
NameServer: DNS-01.NS.AOL.COM
NameServer: DNS-02.NS.AOL.COM
Comment:
RegDate: 1998-04-18
Updated: 1998-04-27

TechHandle: AOL-NOC-ARIN
TechName: America Online, Inc.
TechPhone: +1-703-265-4670
TechEmail: domains@aol.net
"Paul Johnson" <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org> wrote in message
news:69ugc2-jve.ln1@ursine.dyndns.org...
> Triple One wrote:
>
> > Tracing route to mailin-03.mx.aol.com [205.188.158.121]...
>
> Traceroute tells you only what routers connections travel through between
> you and a particular IP and serves no useful purpose in tracking abusive
> sites. Traceroute's functionality is limited to testing connectivity.
>
> --
> Paul Johnson
> baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
> http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/
```

1693. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 05:21:07 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7HkJd.14701$wZ2.13090@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195333

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com...
> At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
> of the political spectrum....
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

What makes you think that "they" are on the
wrong side of the political spectrum? Now think about
it Dave, Frank has said a number of times he is not a
liberal, he just doesn't like Bush's policy's. Twist is what
I would say a liberal, but how does that make him on the
"wrong side"? Because you don't agree with them, that
makes them on the wrong side?
I don't agree with Geo on much, but politically he's
seems to have the same views as I, but should I disagree
with that because I don't like him?

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

Landshark

1694. OT...There will be draft!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 05:37:33 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xWkJd.65633$Z%.40900@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195334

Updated: 10:25 AM EST
U.S. Military May Face Reservist Shortage
By ROBERT BURNS, AP

WASHINGTON (Jan. 24) - The strain of fighting a longer, bloodier war in Iraq than U.S. commanders originally foresaw brings forth a question that most would have dismissed only a year ago: Is the military in danger of running out of reserve troops?

At first glance the answer would appear to be a clear no. There are nearly 1.2 million men and women on the reserve rolls, and only about 70,000 are now in Iraq to supplement the regulars.

But a deeper look inside the Army National Guard, Army Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve suggests a grimmer picture: At the current pace and size of American troop deployments to Iraq, the availability of suitable reserve combat troops could become a problem as early as next year.

The National Guard says it has about 86,000 citizen soldiers available for future deployments to Iraq, fewer than it has sent there over the past two years. And it has used up virtually all of its most readily deployable combat brigades.

In an indication of the concern about a thinning of its ranks, last month the National Guard tripled the re-enlistment bonuses offered to soldiers in Iraq who can fill critical skill shortages.

Similarly, the Army Reserve has about 37,500 deployable soldiers left - about 18 percent of its total troop strength.

The Marine Corps Reserve appears to be in a comparable position, because most of its 40,000 troops have been mobilized at least once already. Officials said they have no figures available on how many are available for future deployments to Iraq.

Both the Army and the Marines are soliciting reservists to volunteer for duty in Iraq.

"The reserves are pretty well shot" after the Pentagon makes the next troop rotation, starting this summer, said Robert Goldich, a defense analyst at the Congressional Research Service.

Among the evidence:

Of the National Guard's 15 best-trained, best-equipped and most ready-to-deploy combat brigades, all but one are either in Iraq now, have demobilized after returning from a one-year tour there or have been alerted for duty in 2005-2006.

The exception is the South Carolina National Guard's 218th Infantry Brigade, which has had not been deployed to Iraq as a full brigade because smaller groups of its soldiers have been mobilized periodically for homeland defense and numerous missions abroad, including Iraq.

The Army Reserve, with about 205,000 citizen soldiers on its rolls for support rather than combat duty, has been so heavily used since the Sept. 11, 2001 attacks that, for practical purposes, it has only about 37,500 troops available to perform the kinds of missions required in Iraq, according to an internal briefing chart entitled, "What's Left in the Army Reserve?"

The chief of the Army Reserve, Lt. Gen. James R. Helmly, recently advised other Army leaders that his citizen militia is in "grave danger" of being unable to meet all its operational responsibilities. He said the Reserve is "rapidly degenerating into a `broken' force."

The mix of troops in the U.S. force rotation now under way in Iraq is about 50 percent active duty and 50 percent reserves. But that is set to change to 70 percent active and 30 percent reserve for the rotation after that, beginning this summer, because combat-ready Guard units have been tapped out.

Thus, two active-duty Army divisions that have already served one-year tours in Iraq - the 101st Airborne and the 4th Infantry - have been selected to return in the coming rotation. The 1st Marine Expeditionary Force already is on its second tour in Iraq.

The potential squeeze could be avoided if security conditions in Iraq improve so dramatically this year that the Pentagon decides it can achieve stability with a smaller force.

The original expectation, after the fall of Baghdad in April 2003, was that a troop withdrawal could begin within weeks. But an unanticipated insurgency - which turned out to be lethal and resilient - changed the picture and led to the stressful situation the Army faces today.

In some respects, the use of Army and Marine reservists in Iraq has been a success story. Goldich, the defense analyst, said their performance has generally been excellent. Commanders sing their praise. Yet there is a limit to the reserves' resources, and the limit may be nearing.

It's not the absolute number of reservists that poses a problem. It's the number who have the right skills for what is required in Iraq and who have not already served lengthy tours on active duty since President Bush authorized the Pentagon three days after the Sept. 11 attacks to mobilize as many as 1 million reservists for up to 24 months.

A portion of the best-trained reservists are approaching the 24-month limit, and some senior officials inside the Army are considering whether the limit should be redefined so that mobilizations over the past three years would, in effect, not count against the 24-month limit.

The Guard and Reserve are hurting in other ways, too. Their casualties in Iraq have been mounting (16 deaths in October, 28 in November, 20 in December and at least 15 in the first 13 days of January), and the National Guard and Army Reserve have been missing their recruiting goals.

On the Net:

Army National Guard at http://www.ngb.army.mil

Army Reserve at http://www4.army.mil/USAR/home/index.php

Marine Corps Reserve at http://www.marforres.usmc.mil/

01/24/05 08:54 EST

1695. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 05:54:05 UTC
From: kenwood <kenwood@kenwood.net>
Message-ID: <41f5defa$1@news1.veridas.net>
Source record: 195335
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

shitty lesons for ya

"Mark" <NoSpam@all.thanks> wrote in message news:1106603212.83672@ftpsrv1...
> Hi,
>
> I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power desk
> mic
> connected.
>
> I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to
> reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to say
> "Hello there, loud station".
>
> But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
> speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the volume
> pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
>
> I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson
> desk
> mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about one
> notch out of ten, something like that.
>
> I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power setting
> on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
> transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that cause
> a
> problem with the receiver audio output?
>
> Thanks for any ideas!
>
> Mark.
>
>

1696. NG Trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 06:44:43 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <41f5ebb3$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195336
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.sissy,rec.radio.cb

If you want to get right down to it, Steveo never should have started his shit with that ham dude Doug.

We were all happy just to have our cb meetings and suck and fuck each other.Now look, I havn't had any strange cock in almost a fucking week.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1697. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 06:50:50 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <u-CdnS2eG4_RcWjcRVn-og@comcast.com>
Source record: 195337

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:41f5b0ae$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> Hey trouble you cocksucker,who asked for your 2 cents worth? You
> motherfucker.....get that shit pussy warmed up for me. I'm your new CB
> daddy!
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

You can call yourself whatever you want - but in reality you're nothing more
than a spineless, cross-posting troll.

1698. Re: OT...There will be draft!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 06:51:07 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f5ed34@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,ALT.ULTRA-LIBERALS.FAGGOT-LOVERS

Go to bed you stupid son of a bitch.

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:xWkJd.65633$Z%.40900@fe1.texas.rr.com...
> Updated: 10:25 AM EST
> U.S. Military May Face Reservist Shortage
> By ROBERT BURNS, AP
>
>
> WASHINGTON (Jan. 24) - The strain of fighting a longer, bloodier war in
> Iraq than U.S. commanders originally foresaw brings forth a question that
> most would have dismissed only a year ago: Is the military in danger of
> running out of reserve troops?
>
> At first glance the answer would appear to be a clear no. There are nearly
> 1.2 million men and women on the reserve rolls, and only about 70,000 are
> now in Iraq to supplement the regulars.
>
> But a deeper look inside the Army National Guard, Army Reserve and Marine
> Corps Reserve suggests a grimmer picture: At the current pace and size of
> American troop deployments to Iraq, the availability of suitable reserve
> combat troops could become a problem as early as next year.
>
> The National Guard says it has about 86,000 citizen soldiers available for
> future deployments to Iraq, fewer than it has sent there over the past two
> years. And it has used up virtually all of its most readily deployable
> combat brigades.
>
> In an indication of the concern about a thinning of its ranks, last month
> the National Guard tripled the re-enlistment bonuses offered to soldiers
> in Iraq who can fill critical skill shortages.
>
> Similarly, the Army Reserve has about 37,500 deployable soldiers left -
> about 18 percent of its total troop strength.
>
> The Marine Corps Reserve appears to be in a comparable position, because
> most of its 40,000 troops have been mobilized at least once already.
> Officials said they have no figures available on how many are available
> for future deployments to Iraq.
>
> Both the Army and the Marines are soliciting reservists to volunteer for
> duty in Iraq.
>

> "The reserves are pretty well shot" after the Pentagon makes the next
> troop rotation, starting this summer, said Robert Goldich, a defense
> analyst at the Congressional Research Service.
>
> Among the evidence:
>
> Of the National Guard's 15 best-trained, best-equipped and most
> ready-to-deploy combat brigades, all but one are either in Iraq now, have
> demobilized after returning from a one-year tour there or have been
> alerted for duty in 2005-2006.
>
> The exception is the South Carolina National Guard's 218th Infantry
> Brigade, which has had not been deployed to Iraq as a full brigade because
> smaller groups of its soldiers have been mobilized periodically for
> homeland defense and numerous missions abroad, including Iraq.
>
> The Army Reserve, with about 205,000 citizen soldiers on its rolls for
> support rather than combat duty, has been so heavily used since the Sept.
> 11, 2001 attacks that, for practical purposes, it has only about 37,500
> troops available to perform the kinds of missions required in Iraq,
> according to an internal briefing chart entitled, "What's Left in the Army
> Reserve?"
>
> The chief of the Army Reserve, Lt. Gen. James R. Helmly, recently advised
> other Army leaders that his citizen militia is in "grave danger" of being
> unable to meet all its operational responsibilities. He said the Reserve
> is "rapidly degenerating into a `broken' force."
>
> The mix of troops in the U.S. force rotation now under way in Iraq is
> about 50 percent active duty and 50 percent reserves. But that is set to
> change to 70 percent active and 30 percent reserve for the rotation after
> that, beginning this summer, because combat-ready Guard units have been
> tapped out.
>
> Thus, two active-duty Army divisions that have already served one-year
> tours in Iraq - the 101st Airborne and the 4th Infantry - have been
> selected to return in the coming rotation. The 1st Marine Expeditionary
> Force already is on its second tour in Iraq.
>
> The potential squeeze could be avoided if security conditions in Iraq
> improve so dramatically this year that the Pentagon decides it can achieve
> stability with a smaller force.
>
> The original expectation, after the fall of Baghdad in April 2003, was
> that a troop withdrawal could begin within weeks. But an unanticipated
> insurgency - which turned out to be lethal and resilient - changed the
> picture and led to the stressful situation the Army faces today.
>
> In some respects, the use of Army and Marine reservists in Iraq has been a
> success story. Goldich, the defense analyst, said their performance has

> generally been excellent. Commanders sing their praise. Yet there is a
> limit to the reserves' resources, and the limit may be nearing.
>
> It's not the absolute number of reservists that poses a problem. It's the
> number who have the right skills for what is required in Iraq and who have
> not already served lengthy tours on active duty since President Bush
> authorized the Pentagon three days after the Sept. 11 attacks to mobilize
> as many as 1 million reservists for up to 24 months.
>
> A portion of the best-trained reservists are approaching the 24-month
> limit, and some senior officials inside the Army are considering whether
> the limit should be redefined so that mobilizations over the past three
> years would, in effect, not count against the 24-month limit.
>
> The Guard and Reserve are hurting in other ways, too. Their casualties in
> Iraq have been mounting (16 deaths in October, 28 in November, 20 in
> December and at least 15 in the first 13 days of January), and the
> National Guard and Army Reserve have been missing their recruiting goals.
>
> On the Net:
>
> Army National Guard at http://www.ngb.army.mil
>
> Army Reserve at http://www4.army.mil/USAR/home/index.php
>
> Marine Corps Reserve at http://www.marforres.usmc.mil/
>
>
> 01/24/05 08:54 EST
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1699. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 06:53:23 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f5edbe$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

TRIPLE ONE, THE AOL INTERNET EXPERT! HAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!!

TYPICAL FAGGOT !!!!!!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1700. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 06:55:57 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f5ee56$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195340
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

And your mother fucks big buck niggers for crack rocks.

"Troublemaker" <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:u-CdnS2eG4_RcWjcRVn-og@comcast.com...
>
> "UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:41f5b0ae$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>> Hey trouble you cocksucker,who asked for your 2 cents worth? You
>> motherfucker........get that shit pussy warmed up for me. I'm your new CB
>> daddy!
>>
>> --
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> You can call yourself whatever you want - but in reality you're nothing
> more than a spineless, cross-posting troll.
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1701. Re: steveo's slut wife started all the bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 07:01:00 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f5ef85$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195341
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106304608.280315.87270@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Thank you for the threats. You have made the AKC's day. We knew you'd
> melt down.
> Look, you can lust after us all you want, but we are heterosexual.
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1702. Re: Hi All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 07:23:20 UTC
From: Troublemaker <surfingtrucker2000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Qs6dnbQMTPp0bmjcRVn-vQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 195342

"I AM NOT N8WWM" <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz> wrote in message news:41f5ee56$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> And your mother fucks big buck niggers for crack rocks.

LOL - now THERE'S the sign of a weak mind. You couldn't think of anything better as a comeback? Sound's like something a prison bitch would say.

1703. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 10:15:15 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8r6cv0dv30cr2kmemm4l67m6huub0nt1n0@4ax.com>
Source record: 195343

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 17:22:27 +1300, "Mark" <NoSpam@all.thanks> wrote
in <1106626906.888020@ftpsrv1>:

>Sideband,
>
>You are spot on!

Naw, it's a capacitor for sure. I've seen symptoms like this once
before and it was a cap, so "the problem is almost always related to
caps drying out".

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1704. Re: Radio Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 10:17:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <337cv0h1ps1utnvm953oaouen850l4caja@4ax.com>
Source record: 195344

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 03:44:04 GMT, moparholic@hotmailNOSPAM.com wrote
in <8gjJd.15009$US.1385@news.ono.com>:

>If I shove my CB radio up my ass will it still work or will the
>capacitors need to be changed?

It depends on how liberal or conservative you were with the KY getting
it in there.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1705. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 12:06:20 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org>
Message-ID: <saphc2-78s.ln1@ursine.dyndns.org>
Source record: 195345

Triple One wrote:

> OrgName: America Online, Inc

OK, but don't you think people interested in such information could just run whois themselves and get a known good answer instead of a possibly suspect answer from a Usenet post?

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/

1706. Re: NG Turned To Shit = Steveo's Fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 12:25:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050125072508.622$W3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195346

Dr._High_Power_Radio@ti2nka.com wrote:
> This steveo cat started his shit with the hams
> and his threats to beat them up now its a
> fing zoo in here. Steve you are a turd, Dr.
> Hi Power said that!
>
Gloves or knuckles, sissy?

1707. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 12:34:33 UTC
From: Rheilly Phoull <Rheilly@bigpong.com>
Message-ID: <35msmoF4mpji0U1@individual.net>
Source record: 195347
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

"kenwood" <kenwood@kenwood.net> wrote in message
news:41f5defa$1@news1.veridas.net...
>
> shitty lesons for ya
>
>
> "Mark" <NoSpam@all.thanks> wrote in message
news:1106603212.83672@ftpsrv1...
> > Hi,
> >
> > I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power desk
> > mic
> > connected.
> >
> > I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to
> > reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to
say
> > "Hello there, loud station".
> >
> > But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
> > speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the
volume
> > pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
> >
> > I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson
> > desk
> > mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about
one
> > notch out of ten, something like that.
> >
> > I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power
setting
> > on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
> > transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that
cause
> > a
> > problem with the receiver audio output?
> >
> > Thanks for any ideas!
> >
> > Mark.
> >
> >

>
>

Hey, theres an improvement. He's (it) has found the caps lock key or is it
just a mellow mood ??

--
Regards ..... Rheilly Phoull

1708. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 12:48:42 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <KerJd.54121$_56.39761@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195348

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E9B069CA021234567890@216.196.97.136...
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:4998-41F5460E-
> 175@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>>>E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles
>>
>>>Coming to Florida twisty
>>
>> And Florida couldn't be happier,,,the state is always content on
> playing
>> a role in denying Philthadelphia fans anything,,even if it's just to
>> host their loss to the Pats. On another plus side, is the Philthy fans
>> coming to J-town,,,,,just like when the Panthers handed the Iggles
> their
>> loss at home, there will be a huge market for the drunken Philthy
>> idiots, er,,,fans, who buy those pre-printed bootleg T-Shirts "before"
>> the game. Of course, I'll be in J-town, with a "Iggles" sweatshirt,
>> taking advantage of the Philthy fan mentality. Of course, based on your
>> past professional gambling expertise back in the day, you know what
> time
>> it is in your heart and that it simply.....wasn't.....to....be.
>
> Do you still have an Iggles shirt if not Ill send you a NFC Conference
> Championship one to wear proudly while you are hawking your bootleg
> shirts. I am not the rabid Eagles Fan do i want them to win, but I don't
> get so hyped up so that when they lose I am broken hearted. I hope they
> win but i am also realistic as well. NE has been there before and the
> Eagles I think are happy to finally get here after being so close for
> past 4 years. Deep down Twisty, i know you like the eagles it is like
> dale earnhardt he was the best many many loved him but many many also
> hated him, because he usualy beat thier favorite. besides you are up this
> way to damn much not to. Yep, ill bet you may even have a # 5 or a #36
> jersey. I think the fact NE has been there 3 times recently this being
> the 4th and the Birds trying to get in past 4 yrs may be a factor. I hope
> I am wrong, but I think the eagles defense does give them a chance to win
> the game. Might be a good game who knows.

BTW, congrats, Geo.

1709. WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:07:57 UTC
From: Just Me <JustMe@nobody.com>
Message-ID: <lsgcv0tdjvvfc542k60tvbcshf9em7ddja@4ax.com>
Source record: 195349

This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs & even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over this consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff. None of the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet somewhere and take care of their problems. I for one would sure appreciate a stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to cb related stuff. THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic would be a joy!

1710. Re: Steveo LOVES Jew-nigger Cock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:11:10 UTC
From: daryavush@shayathiya.parsa
Message-ID: <slrncvcigv.7o5.daryavush@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 195352
Cross-posted to: aus.flame,alt.flame.jews,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers

That lying racist maggot "PBSL", posing as "neds helper", wrote
in <YA3Gd.119223$K7.55143@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

Some projection. Nothing relevant to act-b.

Piss off, you depraved, hypocritical, racist troll.

---snip---

Daryavush
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

1711. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:11:52 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org>
Message-ID: <o5thc2-psu.ln1@ursine.dyndns.org>
Source record: 195357

Just Me wrote:

> This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
> here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
> even cb myths... I truly wonder ????

Don't give them attention (like you just did). Don't respond to them.
Trolls feed on kneejerk reactions to their own stupidity. See also
http://ursine.dyndns.org/Troll

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/

1712. Re: My N8WWM Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:16:09 UTC
From: daryavush@shayathiya.parsa
Message-ID: <slrncvciqb.7o5.daryavush@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 195353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers,misc.transport.trucking

That lying racist maggot "PBSL", posing as "neds helper", wrote
in <%s3Gd.119208$K7.66054@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

More of his idiocy. Nothing relevant to act-b.

Piss off, you depraved, hypocritical, racist troll.

---snip---

Daryavush
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

1713. Re: My N8WWM Weekend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:20:28 UTC
From: daryavush@shayathiya.parsa
Message-ID: <slrncvcj2d.7o5.daryavush@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 195354
Cross-posted to: aus.flame,rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.flame.niggers

That lying racist maggot "PBSL", posing as "neds helper", wrote
in <9i9Gd.119656$K7.8524@news-server.bigpond.net.au>:

More of his idiocy. Nothing relevant to act-b.

Piss off, you depraved, hypocritical, racist troll.

---snip---

Daryavush
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

1714. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:21:26 UTC
From: 43PC122 <w43pc122@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f647d7_1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 195351
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

```
"Rheilly Phoull" <Rheilly@bigpong.com> wrote in message
news:35msmoF4mpji0U1@individual.net...
>
> "kenwood" <kenwood@kenwood.net> wrote in message
> news:41f5defa$1@news1.veridas.net...
> >
> > shitty lesons for ya
> >
> >
> > "Mark" <NoSpam@all.thanks> wrote in message
> news:1106603212.83672@ftpsrv1...
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power
desk
> > > mic
> > > connected.
> > >
> > > I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike
to
> > > reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to
> say
> > > "Hello there, loud station".
> > >
> > > But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
> > > speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the
> volume
> > > pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
> > >
> > > I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson
> > > desk
> > > mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about
> one
> > > notch out of ten, something like that.
> > >
> > > I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power
> setting
> > > on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
> > > transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that
> cause
> > > a
> > > problem with the receiver audio output?
> > >
```

> > > Thanks for any ideas!
> > >
> > > Mark.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> Hey, theres an improvement. He's (it) has found the caps lock key or is it
> just a mellow mood ??
>
> --
> Regards ..... Rheilly Phoull
>
>
G'day Rheilly, I think he just got confused with the postings from the
blokes below and thought he was giving them ,oops, Him, a bit back,
Clive Caviler (Alias Klutz)
Shane Shyster (Well no need to look up Shytser in the Dictionary)
Dave Dolt (Alias Dullard)
I could be wrong, some threads get bloody hard to follow at times,

Cheers, Warren

1715. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:28:34 UTC
From: kenwood <kenwood@kenwood.net>
Message-ID: <41f6497f$1@news1.veridas.net>
Source record: 195350
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

```
go and GET FUCKED U TROLL
"Rheilly Phoull" <Rheilly@bigpong.com> wrote in message
news:35msmoF4mpji0U1@individual.net...
>
> "kenwood" <kenwood@kenwood.net> wrote in message
> news:41f5defa$1@news1.veridas.net...
>>
>> shitty lesons for ya
>>
>>
>> "Mark" <NoSpam@all.thanks> wrote in message
> news:1106603212.83672@ftpsrv1...
>> > Hi,
>> >
>> > I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power
>> > desk
>> > mic
>> > connected.
>> >
>> > I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to
>> > reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to
> say
>> > "Hello there, loud station".
>> >
>> > But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
>> > speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the
> volume
>> > pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
>> >
>> > I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson
>> > desk
>> > mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about
> one
>> > notch out of ten, something like that.
>> >
>> > I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power
> setting
>> > on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
>> > transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that
> cause
>> > a
>> > problem with the receiver audio output?
>> >
```

>> > Thanks for any ideas!
>> >
>> > Mark.
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
> Hey, theres an improvement. He's (it) has found the caps lock key or is it
> just a mellow mood ??
>
> --
> Regards ..... Rheilly Phoull
>
>

1716. JERRY. whatever. Why are people making their children into murderers and killers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:45:58 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <q4sJd.169144$6l.36527@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 195355
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

no idea where i am going here
Seems the jihad is intent on making
death, a good idea for them.
Suicide is doubtless the idea
muslims are teaching their children to be butchers

CBC. CA is the canadian government televison system
log onto it 7pm and 8pm programs.

pathetic

jus be carefull
even around kids
i gather the children mean nothing to these people

just got 25 pounds of onions.
So i shovelled many of them out, and taxed everyone in the high rise to find
me their favorite recipes.
talk ya later
time is close

1717. Re: DUG88 WANTS STEVEO DATE.... or M O R E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:45:58 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <q4sJd.169147$6l.64169@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 195356
Cross-posted to: alt.gayteen,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,misc.transport.trucking,alt.usenet.kooks

WOW
NO BUDDY EVERY SUCKED MY cock like that.
>
i think my balls started to climb up my pillar.

i like how you lick your own eyebrows with your tongue also.

1718. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:48:43 UTC
From: daryavush@shayathiya.parsa
Message-ID: <slrncvcknc.7o5.daryavush@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 195358
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

David Segall wrote in <leefu093qdgthq9p47tg5479ccsc9vhrmo@4ax.com>:

Nothing relevant to act-b.

---snip---

The message you followed up wasn't posted into act-b, troll.
So why did you post it into act-b, troll?

Oh, that's right, you're a troll.

Piss off, troll.

Daryavush
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

1719. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:52:53 UTC
From: ned@arthur.valhalla.oz
Message-ID: <slrncvckv6.7rh.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 195359
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

"Bertie the Bunyip" wrote in <cs7ed7$ho7$9@pita.alt.net>:
> Ned Latham wrote:
> > Bertie the Bunyip wrote:
> >
> > Nothing relevant to act-b.
>
> Snort

Do your coke in private, Bertie.

Ned
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

1720. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 13:57:41 UTC
From: ned@arthur.valhalla.oz
Message-ID: <slrncvcl85.7rh.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 195360
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

"Bertie the Bunyip" wrote
in <Xns95DE48B25A1ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.45>:
> "PBSL" wrote:
> > "moparholic" wrote:
> > >
> > > hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the
> > > best fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
> > >
> > hey racist-ned they are talking to you
> >
> Blah blah blah.
>
> It's you who's racist, Sylvia.

What makes you think that moron's Sylvia?

And what have you got against Sylvia anyway? She seems okay to me.

----snip----

Ned
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

1721. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 14:59:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mkncv01if7n8kn9l8cnajgtj0c1p7ep9rs@4ax.com>
Source record: 195361

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 05:11:52 -0800, Paul Johnson
<baloo@ursine.dyndns.org> wrote in <o5thc2-psu.ln1@ursine.dyndns.org>:

>Just Me wrote:
>
>> This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
>> here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
>> even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
>
>Don't give them attention (like you just did). Don't respond to them.
>Trolls feed on kneejerk reactions to their own stupidity. See also
>http://ursine.dyndns.org/Troll

Trolls? What trolls? We have trolls?

1722. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:12:52 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22818-41F661F4-324@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195368

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:4998-41F5460E-
175@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>E-A-G-L-E-S   Eagles

>Coming to Florida twisty

>Do you still have an Iggles shirt

I have a green shirt with some random numbers <g>.

> if not Ill send you a NFC Conference

>Championship one to wear proudly while you

>are hawking your bootleg shirts.

Made in Pittsburgh, no doubt.

> I am not the rabid Eagles Fan do i want them

>to win, but I don't get so hyped up so that

>when they lose I am broken hearted. I hope

>they win but i am also realistic as well.

I knew you were when it got right down to it.

>NE has been there before and the Eagles I

>think are happy to finally get here after being

>so close for past 4 years. Deep down Twisty, i

>know you like the eagles

Ya' know,,magicians never give away their secrets.

>it is like dale earnhardt he was the best many

>many loved him but many many also hated

>him, because he usualy beat thier favorite.

>besides you are up this way to damn much not
>to.

Ha,,,,sis married a yankee.

> Yep, ill bet you may even have a # 5 or a #36

>jersey.

Think vintage years.

> I think the fact NE has been there 3 times

>recently this being the 4th and the Birds trying

>to get in past 4 yrs may be a factor. I hope I

>am wrong, but I think the eagles defense does
>give them a chance to win the game. Might be
>a good game who knows.

I hope you're right.

1723. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:16:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22819-41F662D2-145@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195365

From: Doug Adair, forging twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
"Triple One" <Tripleone@crunkology.com> wrote in
<TRIPLE SNIPPED LIKE THE BITCH SHE IS>
Hey Triple, When R we getting together again. I want to feel your manly
sweet joy hole.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

-
hahhaa,,you have been deeply wounded...

1724. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:19:33 UTC
From: WA3MOJ@aol.com
Message-ID: <41fe50d2@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195665

Why are you a homophobe?
"Just Me" <JustMe@nobody.com> wrote in message
news:lsgcv0tdjvvfc542k60tvbcshf9em7ddja@4ax.com...
> This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
> here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
> even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
> I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over this
> consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff. None of
> the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet somewhere and
> take care of their problems. I for one would sure appreciate a
> stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to cb related stuff.
> THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR
> BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic would be a joy!
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1725. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:20:35 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org>
Message-ID: <3n4ic2-l33.ln1@ursine.dyndns.org>
Source record: 195375
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

kenwood wrote:

> go and GET FUCKED U TROLL

Remember, any response you give a troll, feeds a troll.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/

1726. Re: OT...There will be draft!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:23:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22818-41F6645B-327@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195373

Brain popping may be hazardous to one's perception of
reality...dangerous business that can lead to madness or something worse
for some....the truth.

1727. Re: FAO N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:25:08 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41f665e5@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Do you like paying taxes? I was only trying to help people with my unique
tax filing system. See where it got me?
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e13a41$0$14473$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Isn't this your skank?
> KC8QJP
> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
> on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
> Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
> 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> Action taken.
> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105219083.958332.174370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> she bang, ay? I guess that means you play she and he bangs
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1728. Re: FAO N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:25:32 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41f665e5$2@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Do you like paying taxes? I was only trying to help people with my unique
tax filing system. See where it got me?
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e13a41$0$14473$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Isn't this your skank?
> KC8QJP
> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
> on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
> Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
> 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> Action taken.
> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105219083.958332.174370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> she bang, ay? I guess that means you play she and he bangs
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1729. Re: Re:N8WWM Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:27:28 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41f66676@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Like you have never done anything wrong. You never cheat on your taxes?
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e139d0$0$14502$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Isn't this your skank?
> KC8QJP
> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
> on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
> Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
> 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> Action taken.
> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105218120.124993.102030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>>> > well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out
>> with
>>> > the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone is
>>> > doing well.
>>> >
>>> > 73 de Keith KC8TCQ
>>> >
>>> Good luck, Keith.
>>
>> Break a leg, Mr. Iscariot.
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1730. Re: Re:N8WWM Heading out of town for a while

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:27:57 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41f66677@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Like you have never done anything wrong. You never cheat on your taxes?
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e139d0$0$14502$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Isn't this your skank?
> KC8QJP
> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
> on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
> Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
> 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> Action taken.
> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
>
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105218120.124993.102030@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Steveo wrote:
>>> Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <logdshr@nospam.bright.net> wrote:
>>> > well all I am heading to Muncie Indiana for a while to help out
>> with
>>> > the flooding there. not sure when I'll be back. I hope everyone is
>>> > doing well.
>>> >
>>> > 73 de Keith KC8TCQ
>>> >
>>> Good luck, Keith.
>>
>> Break a leg, Mr. Iscariot.
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1731. Re: Re:N8WWM Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:28:52 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41f666b5$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Need your taxes done? hahahahahahahaha!
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e13ac2$0$14514$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Isn't this your skank?
> KC8QJP
> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
> on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
> Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
> 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> Action taken.
> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105218679.218750.145570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>I don't email you, truckstop solicitor. Go beef with whoever's ISP it
>> is. I know you wish I would communicate, but being heterosexual, I must
>> decline.
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1732. Re: Re:N8WWM Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:29:01 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41f666b6$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Need your taxes done? hahahahahahahaha!
>
"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:41e13ac2$0$14514$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> Isn't this your skank?
> KC8QJP
> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,525
> on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code section
> 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any state or of
> the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United States District
> Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of Aiding & Assisting in
> Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC 7206(2), on June 12,
> 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The Board agreed to
> deliberate the disciplinary action in a later executive session.
> Action taken.
> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1105218679.218750.145570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>I don't email you, truckstop solicitor. Go beef with whoever's ISP it
>> is. I know you wish I would communicate, but being heterosexual, I must
>> decline.
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1733. Re: List of keyqueens run off by N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:31:40 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41f66762@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

STOP MAKING FUN OF MY N8WWM!
>
"N8WWM" <sadie106@juno.com> wrote in message news:41d42f48$1@x-privat.org...
> MY GRAND PAPPY WAS RUFUS
>
> THEY CALL ME A DUFUS
>
> MY PAPPY WAS BOBBY
>
> I BOBBED ON HIS KNOBBY
>>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1104396373.967743.229380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> STEVEO wrote:
>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> > On Thu, 30 Dec 2004 12:10:14 +0900 (KST), truth <truth@truth.org>
>>> > wrote in <cqvrim$d4i$1@news1.kornet.net>:
>>> >
>>> > >Painkiller
>>> > >Icecoldnyc
>>> > >Dennis#12
>>> > >Sean
>>> > >TollFree/Shadow
>>> > >Bills2way
>>> > >Bill Eitner
>>> > >Brass
>>> > >Mobil51
>>> > >Palerider
>>> > >McTurner
>>> > >Tra1n
>>> > >IPO
>>> > >Cookie
>>> > >Tnom
>>> > >Andy
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > You give yourself too much credit -- I'm the one who ran off
>>> TollFree,
>>> > Eitner, Skippy, and most of the other voodoo techs. Brian and Tnom
>>> are
>>> > lurking. But unfortunately, you did run off Dennis and Andy who are
>>> > pretty good techs, legal or not.

>>> Hey Frank, fuck off you dam asswipe!
>>>
>>> go lurk in your mammy's pussy!
>>
>> Mopey lurks in truckstop toilets
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1734. Re: List of keyqueens run off by N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:31:50 UTC
From: Kathy Lee <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <41f66762$4@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

STOP MAKING FUN OF MY N8WWM!
>
"N8WWM" <sadie106@juno.com> wrote in message news:41d42f48$1@x-privat.org...
> MY GRAND PAPPY WAS RUFUS
>
> THEY CALL ME A DUFUS
>
> MY PAPPY WAS BOBBY
>
> I BOBBED ON HIS KNOBBY
>>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1104396373.967743.229380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> STEVEO wrote:
>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> > On Thu, 30 Dec 2004 12:10:14 +0900 (KST), truth <truth@truth.org>
>>> > wrote in <cqvrim$d4i$1@news1.kornet.net>:
>>> >
>>> > >Painkiller
>>> > >Icecoldnyc
>>> > >Dennis#12
>>> > >Sean
>>> > >TollFree/Shadow
>>> > >Bills2way
>>> > >Bill Eitner
>>> > >Brass
>>> > >Mobil51
>>> > >Palerider
>>> > >McTurner
>>> > >Tra1n
>>> > >IPO
>>> > >Cookie
>>> > >Tnom
>>> > >Andy
>>> >
>>> >
>>> > You give yourself too much credit -- I'm the one who ran off
>>> TollFree,
>>> > Eitner, Skippy, and most of the other voodoo techs. Brian and Tnom
>>> are
>>> > lurking. But unfortunately, you did run off Dennis and Andy who are
>>> > pretty good techs, legal or not.

>>> Hey Frank, fuck off you dam asswipe!
>>>
>>> go lurk in your mammy's pussy!
>>
>> Mopey lurks in truckstop toilets
>>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1735. FA: ALL NEW ITEMS< 6146B's, 6JS6C's, too much to list!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 15:33:30 UTC
From: rlucch2098@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050125103330.14897.00000162@mb-m21.aol.com>
Source record: 195372

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
This is my entire list on Ebay.
You may want to check others while you're there :-)
Please add HTTP in front of the address below as AOL frowns on HOT links :-(
://cgi6.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=myradioroom&sort=
3&page=1&rows=25&since=14&rdir=0
Thanks es 73.....RICH-WA2RQY RLUCCH2098@aol.com
Cheep Manuals for sale @>>
http://www.myradioroom.com/manualsales.html
Web-Page URL>
HTTP://members.aol.com/rlucch2098/index.html

1736. Re: 4057816829166799

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 16:03:40 UTC
From: eat the hamburger <ohmylions4@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <adc3e$41f66ddf$d1cc589a$13191@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 195374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"QT" <qt@qtnetdxers.net> wrote in message news:ct5g4hort0@pita.alt.net...
> Has anyone observed the pirate operating on 3965 kHz lately?
> These groups are related to that entity.

The 'answer' to the comment/question above is at the URL below......

http://www.killsometime.com/humor/humor.asp?humor=FWord

Enjoy and BE HAPPY :)

1737. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 16:34:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <k6tcv05s0imkfp2sk4s8qrv61ov3j9k5k0@4ax.com>
Source record: 195376

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 13:49:42 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 08:24:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:01:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote in <28053-41EFD5E1-226@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>:
><snip>
>(Notice how lately he invokes my name in his replies to you, and you in
>his replies to me. LOL!)
>
>>Well, it's no coincidence that you and I bring
>>out the "best" in our little psychotic friend.
>
>
>And it's no coincidence you begin your very next post with an insult in
>regards to the one that asked you to please illustrate or name this
>other mystery hammie you claimed agrees with you that roger beeps are
>illegal.

Who says it has to be a ham?

>
>
>>It's also no secret that his psychobabble
>>seems to keep you going.
>>At least back before you guys found a
>>common love for the wrong side of the political
>>spectrum....
>An excellent example of your twistedness, as one who holds an opposing
>view to your own myopic views are not wrong, Davie.
>It is apparent in your ramblings that you indeed believe such
>self-serving and self-limiting statements, but as usual, you are
>self-projecting, as no one else shares your minority and radical views
>except these mystery hammies you continue to invoke but are unable to
>identify. Hey, it's all good, Davie,,people like you need comfort and
>conjured playmates can actually provide therepeutic benefits in
>children.

I'm not the one who changes my screen name at the drop of a hat.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1738. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 16:57:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <fatcv0pp9qnepcsp8b3b3bj0j9p32s4jjr@4ax.com>
Source record: 195377

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 16:22:58 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>
>>Well, it's no coincidence that you and I bring out the "best" in our
>>little psychotic friend.
>
>
>You're confused, Dave.

Hardly.

>
>
>>It's also no secret that his psychobabble seems to keep you going.
>
>
>Newsflash: I argue with Twisty to keep my own wits sharp.

You admitted it yourself, Twisty "debates" by playing head games with
people. That's hardly your style Frank. Certainly not something worthy
enough to be called "sharpening your wits".

> I argue with you because you are ignorant.

Which started coincidentally when you found out that I support the
opposite political party. Before that we were on good terms. Now you
"think" (and I use the term loosely) that I'm an idiot for it. If that
isn't a partisan pundit attitude, I don't know what is.

Only an idiot argues with another idiot Frank. You "argue" with me,
because you are unable to present your "side" with anything other than
your own opinions. The fact that I can effectively deflect your
"facts" as the op-ed opinions that they truly are frustrates you.

The facts are not in your favor Frank.

>>At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>>of the political spectrum....
>
>
>Your political spectrum analyzer is out of alignment, Dave.

You certainly are entitled to your opinion, as wrong as it might
be.....

> And you
>haven't read a single word I've written because, and to put it simple

>enough for a 1st grader, I don't take sides when it comes to politics.
>I oppose Bush because he's a criminal, not because he's a Republican.

Where is the proof that Bush is a "criminal"? What is your basis for making such an outlandishly absurd and so typically partisan claim?

>And if you had any evidence..... or even a reasonable suspicion that
>Kerry was in any way a criminal, I could accept it.

The evidence is there. It came out of Kerry's own mouth. He admitted to taking part in the atrocities in Vietnam on Meet the Press on April 18th 1971. Now Frank, you are a man who claims to embrace logic, so riddle me this then. If Kerry is telling the truth about his part in these "atrocities", then is he not guilty of a war crime? On the other hand, if he didn't take part, and the whole issue was a blown up fabrication, doesn't that make him a liar? Would you want someone who lied like that to be your CNC? How many other lies did he make in the aftermath of the who winter soldier debacle and the VVAW movement which followed?

> But so far you
>have offered nothing but excuses, logical fallacies, and websites with
>forged documents and paranoid rants.

As opposed to the sites you provided which were nothing more than the flip side of what I provided?

You discard what I provided because you refuse to acknowledge the possibility. You don't want to believe it so you deny it. You came up with some sort of "font analysis" on one document, and concluded that it was a forgery, so then you projected that conclusion to all the rest of the evidence.

> If you are really a supporter of
>the Republican party then you should keep quiet on political issues
>because you are giving your party some very poor representation.

I am a conservative, and I support those who best represent my political views.

I also believe in the history and honor of our country, its military and the judgement of its leader in matters of national security and enemies of the state.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1739. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 17:11:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com>
Source record: 195378

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 05:21:07 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com...
>> At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>> of the political spectrum....
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
> What makes you think that "they" are on the
>wrong side of the political spectrum? Now think about
>it Dave, Frank has said a number of times he is not a
>liberal, he just doesn't like Bush's policy's.

Yet he voted for Nader?(While also defending Kerry to the teeth)
Doesn't that sound a bit off to you? If a conservative or even a
moderate had a problem with Bush politically, do you think that they
would vote for Nader?

> Twist is what
>I would say a liberal, but how does that make him on the
>"wrong side"? Because you don't agree with them, that
>makes them on the wrong side?

No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
affirmative action, to mollycoddling terrorists, liberals have been on
the wrong side of history, and the wrong side for Americans.
I could list a whole host of examples, but this is not the place for
that.

Liberals have all the best intentions. They are not "evil" people.
They are just hopelessly naive and overly idealistic. It's no wonder
that most Hollywierd types tend to be liberals. They did little to
truly earn their money. Their job is to play make believe, so it
should come as no surprise that they are so naive about world affairs
and human nature.

> I don't agree with Geo on much, but politically he's
>seems to have the same views as I, but should I disagree
>with that because I don't like him?

You should ask Frank that one, as he seems to have soured considerably in his opinion of me since he found out that I was one of those stuffy, old fashioned conservatives.

I try to keep things civil, and in fact, I try to keep politics out of the discussion. But ol' Frank can resist the temptation to fling a little barb at me in the middle of a totally unrelated subject. Because I disagree with him politically, he's now challenging my expertise in radio repair.

Not that it bothers me much. If this newsgroup ever prompted an emotional reaction from me, I'd just shut it off.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1740. Re:THE HOMO SHIT STOPS WHEN STEVEO STOPS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 17:39:39 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f68537$2@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ultra-liberals.faggot-lovers

"Just Me" <JustMe@nobody.com> wrote in message
news:lsgcv0tdjvvfc542k60tvbcshf9em7ddja@4ax.com...
> This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
> here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
> even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
> I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over this
> consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff. None of
> the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet somewhere and
> take care of their problems. I for one would sure appreciate a
> stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to cb related stuff.
> THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR
> BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic would be a joy!
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1741. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 17:45:58 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f686b1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195380
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 05:21:07 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com...
>>> At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>>> of the political spectrum....
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>> What makes you think that "they" are on the
>>wrong side of the political spectrum? Now think about
>>it Dave, Frank has said a number of times he is not a
>>liberal, he just doesn't like Bush's policy's.
>
> Yet he voted for Nader?(While also defending Kerry to the teeth)
> Doesn't that sound a bit off to you? If a conservative or even a
> moderate had a problem with Bush politically, do you think that they
> would vote for Nader?
>
>> Twist is what
>>I would say a liberal, but how does that make him on the
>>"wrong side"? Because you don't agree with them, that
>>makes them on the wrong side?
>
> No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
> equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
> practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
> frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
> affirmative action, to mollycoddling terrorists, liberals have been on
> the wrong side of history, and the wrong side for Americans.
> I could list a whole host of examples, but this is not the place for
> that.
>
> Liberals have all the best intentions. They are not "evil" people.
> They are just hopelessly naive and overly idealistic. It's no wonder
> that most Hollywierd types tend to be liberals. They did little to
> truly earn their money. Their job is to play make believe, so it
> should come as no surprise that they are so naive about world affairs

> and human nature.
>
>> I don't agree with Geo on much, but politically he's
>>seems to have the same views as I, but should I disagree
>>with that because I don't like him?
>
> You should ask Frank that one, as he seems to have soured considerably
> in his opinion of me since he found out that I was one of those
> stuffy, old fashioned conservatives.
>
> I try to keep things civil, and in fact, I try to keep politics out of
> the discussion. But ol' Frank can resist the temptation to fling a
> little barb at me in the middle of a totally unrelated subject.
> Because I disagree with him politically, he's now challenging my
> expertise in radio repair.
>
> Not that it bothers me much. If this newsgroup ever prompted an
> emotional reaction from me, I'd just shut it off.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1742. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 17:46:42 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f686dc$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195381
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106140864.252101.235840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Flip that wrist
>
> Flip that wrist
> Flip that wrist so limp
>
> Mopey is a sissy boy
>
> With the IQ of a chimp
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1743. Re: Mae Beck Dates Blacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 17:51:09 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f687e7$2@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195382
Cross-posted to: soc.culture.niggers,rec.radio.cb

Steveo, moparholic@hotmail.com , dates black men.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1744. Re: Re:THE HOMO SHIT STOPS WHEN STEVEO STOPS! <--- piss poor excuse, asswipe... Get a life!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 18:06:54 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <DVvJd.12480$x57.981@fe04.lga>
Source record: 195383

So what you're saying is.....AKC's admit that they are fags....

1745. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 18:12:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <32vcv0hnkhm0o8cnjqnvn67n91vb7pe15m@4ax.com>
Source record: 195384

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 11:57:57 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <fatcv0pp9qnepcsp8b3b3bj0j9p32s4jjr@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>>It's also no secret that his psychobabble seems to keep you going.
>>
>>
>>Newsflash: I argue with Twisty to keep my own wits sharp.
>
>You admitted it yourself, Twisty "debates" by playing head games with
>people. That's hardly your style Frank. Certainly not something worthy
>enough to be called "sharpening your wits".

On the contrary (and I mean that quite literally), I have to stay on
my toes -because- of his 'head-game' style.

>> I argue with you because you are ignorant.
>
>Which started coincidentally when you found out that I support the
>opposite political party.

Wrong. It started when you came running to the defense of baby-G after
I raked his policies over the coals. The specific post where you
started it was: <ig84o01g4k7sq5nl6vk7lnrkuepuigfjp1@4ax.com>

> Before that we were on good terms. Now you
>"think" (and I use the term loosely) that I'm an idiot for it.

Wrong. I think you are quite intelligent. You just don't use those
brains whenever the facts conflict with your belief system.

> If that
>isn't a partisan pundit attitude, I don't know what is.

I see you discovered a new word -- 'pundit'. But you use it much to
frequently to be effective.

>Only an idiot argues with another idiot Frank. You "argue" with me,
>because you are unable to present your "side" with anything other than
>your own opinions. The fact that I can effectively deflect your
>"facts" as the op-ed opinions that they truly are frustrates you.

What makes you think you can do anything of the sort? So far you
haven't accomplished anything that would substantiate your claim
(which is certainly no suprise).

>The facts are not in your favor Frank.
>

>>>At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>>>of the political spectrum....
>>
>>
>>Your political spectrum analyzer is out of alignment, Dave.
>
>You certainly are entitled to your opinion, as wrong as it might
>be.....
>
>> And you
>>haven't read a single word I've written because, and to put it simple
>>enough for a 1st grader, I don't take sides when it comes to politics.
>>I oppose Bush because he's a criminal, not because he's a Republican.
>
>Where is the proof that Bush is a "criminal"?

How about his conviction for DUI? That alone defines him as a
criminal.

> What is your basis for
>making such an outlandishly absurd and so typically partisan claim?

Now there's a loaded question if I ever heard one. Regardless, I think
Twisty would me more than eager to provide an answer so I'll let him
take the first jab.

>>And if you had any evidence..... or even a reasonable suspicion that
>>Kerry was in any way a criminal, I could accept it.
>
>The evidence is there. It came out of Kerry's own mouth. He admitted
>to taking part in the atrocities in Vietnam on Meet the Press on April
>18th 1971.

He admitted the same at the congressional hearing.

> Now Frank, you are a man who claims to embrace logic, so
>riddle me this then. If Kerry is telling the truth about his part in
>these "atrocities", then is he not guilty of a war crime?

Truth is relative to the observer. Facts are not. Kerry may have been
telling the truth as he saw it but the facts may be different (and
frequently are when testimony is based on nothing but recollection of
events). If the details from his testimony could be verified as
factual then he might indeed be a criminal. But there are two parts to
his testimony:

1) that war crimes were committed in Vietnam, which has since been
verified as factual. The problem is that everybody knew stuff like
that was going on so it wasn't any big shock when Kerry made the claim
in front of congress.

2) that -he- committed war crimes in Vietnam, which has -not- been
verified as factual. IOW, either he provided specific information

regarding his conduct which the government chose not to verify, or his claims were nonspecific generalities which could not be verified.

Assuming the former (that he made specific references to specific acts) then the question becomes one of why the crimes were not prosecuted. There were plenty of war crimes in Vietnam that -were- prosecuted, so war crimes were not always ignored. Nixon wanted him silenced, and it would have certainly been easy enough if he -was- prosecuted for war crimes, but that never happened.

So the only issue left is one of perception. What one person perceives as a crime may only be an act of war in the mind of another (a problem that is still evident today but you refuse to admit). That seems to be the case, and therefore it doesn't matter what he said. The -fact- is that Kerry's acts were never addressed by the government as war crimes -regardless- of how Kerry perceived his own actions.

> On the other
>hand, if he didn't take part, and the whole issue was a blown up
>fabrication, doesn't that make him a liar?

No. As I stated before, people have different perceptions and interpretations about what constitutes a "crime", and the subject has been addressed in this newsgroup on many occasions when discussing the legality of FCC rules.

> Would you want someone who
>lied like that to be your CNC? How many other lies did he make in the
>aftermath of the who winter soldier debacle and the VVAW movement
>which followed?
>
>
>> But so far you
>>have offered nothing but excuses, logical fallacies, and websites with
>>forged documents and paranoid rants.
>
>As opposed to the sites you provided which were nothing more than the
>flip side of what I provided?

Do you think Kerry's official military records are forgeries?

>You discard what I provided because you refuse to acknowledge the
>possibility. You don't want to believe it so you deny it. You came up
>with some sort of "font analysis" on one document, and concluded that
>it was a forgery, so then you projected that conclusion to all the
>rest of the evidence.

Once again, I used the same standards that were used to discredit the CBS documents. And the rest of the website was nothing more than speculation without facts. Such as assumption of guilt in the absense of evidence, and misinterpretation of official military records.

>> If you are really a supporter of
>>the Republican party then you should keep quiet on political issues
>>because you are giving your party some very poor representation.
>
>I am a conservative,

That's fine. Label yourself if you want. But don't label me a liberal
just because I don't share your beliefs.

> and I support those who best represent my
>political views.
>
>I also believe in the history and honor of our country, its military

Were you ever in the military, Dave?

>and the judgement of its leader in matters of national security and
>enemies of the state.

You do understand that there are three branches to the government,
don't you? Bush isn't in the Judicial branch.

1746. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 18:15:33 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <g33dv0lhq66huvqi3pjauni535v73f69rl@4ax.com>
Source record: 195385

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 12:11:41 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
>frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
>affirmative action.....

What's your problem with affirmative action?

1747. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 18:22:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5uvcv0haj7ml7kre6v9us1vr19mt9ms0fp@4ax.com>
Source record: 195386

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 15:53:20 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>I don't believe that for a minute. I have worked on literally hundreds
>>>of pieces tube gear of all shapes and sizes and -NEVER- had a cap blow
>>>up for no reason, let alone overheating caused by leakage.
>>
>>So, like you chastised me for in another thread, because you've never
>>witnessed it, it never happened?
>>
>>You must not have worked on as many tube circuits as you've claimed.
>>The law of averages and statistical probability would conclude that
>>you should have seen at least one exploding cap had you worked on
>>enough equipment.
>
>
>I have seen, heard and smelled plenty of caps blow up. What -I- said,
>and what you can't seem to comprehend, is that 1) they don't blow up
>for no reason at all, and 2) that I have never had one blow up just
>because it was leaking.

1. Of course they don't blow up for no reason. The reason they blow is
due to several factors, including high resistance leakage.

2. I HAVE seen them blow due to leakage. You can come up with all
sorts of ripple theories and other such to explain the exact reason
why it goes, but the primary event which set the chain into motion was
the cap going leaky.

When you open up a tube rig or an amplifier and have to clean out
shards of aluminum foil and paper wadding, you don't really care about
the exact chain of events. You just know that the cap blew. When you
replace it (Once you determine that the rest of the supply is fine),
and the radio plays fine, it's a safe assumption that the cap was bad.

>>>But it's
>>>not my experience that tells me your story is bullshit, it's the fact
>>>that if the cap is leaking that much current in parallel with the
>>>normal load then other components will fail first, such as the filter
>>>resistor or choke, rectifier(s), transformer, etc.
>>
>>Leaky doesn't mean "dead short". A cap could have just enough
>>resistance to heat it up and cause it to out gas or explode, but not
>>cause other components to fail.
>
>

>Never seen it happen. It's easy to understand why it doesn't happen
>when you realize that heat requires power; i.e, watts.

800V X .25 amps = 200 watts. More than enough to heat up a leaky cap.

>Begin with the power supply. It is designed to supply a limited amount
>of power, usually a little more than for the intended use.

And if the "intended use" is intermittent, (Like only when
transmitting) the supply is usually sitting there idle, supplying
little to no current.

>Next, your
>cap leaks. This creates a shunt in parallel with the intended load,
>and therefore draws more power from the power supply.

Not necessarily. If the supply is capably of supplying .5 amps at 800V
when operating, but a leaky cap only draws .2 amps while on standby,
that's more than enough to heat the cap without overtaxing the supply.

> In fact, your
>leaky cap draws so much -additional- power that it evaporates the
>contents faster than the gasses can be vented by the vent hole -AND-
>builds up enough pressure to literally explode the metal case.

That's exactly what happens.

> I don't
>think so, Dave -- that's just too much power to not expect an earlier
>failure in the power supply.

Not at all, considering the scenario I just presented. I can't count
the number of D&A Mavericks and Thunderbolt 305's and such that I've
replaced blown caps in, and not had any other fault.

>And like I said before, if the supply isn't fused then the failure is
>probably going to be a shorted transformer or choke, blown rectifier,
>or some other device that is less robust than the capacitor.

Sometimes, if the cap hangs on long enough and the resistance drops
further, the rectifiers blow too. But that's more rare.

> Often the
>caps themselves will fuse internally when subjected to too much
>current, which is something many techs fail to consider when replacing
>an open cap.

Yes, they do sometimes open up. The symptom of that is rather obvious,
when the receiver hums louder than the audio. Old caps dry out and
open up too. Not all of them leak and blow.

>You might also realize that electrolytics blow up when subjected to a
>reverse current -not- because of any heat buildup or dissipation, but
>because the dielectric undergoes electrolysis and one of the products
>is a gas.

Yes, I am fully aware of that. When I was a young pup on my first full time job, I worked 2nd shift and the techs sometimes got bored when their work was done, so we used to make "capacitor cannons". We'd solder a set of leads to a radial lead cap (Usually 10 uF at 50V) place it in a hunk of PVC pipe, hook it up to a power supply backwards, aim it at our "enemy" and crank up the voltage. It made quite a projectile. I used to play with the voltage sometimes and I would often crank it up slowly just to see where the point of avalanche current draw first started.

But I digress......

Answer me this, how does a functioning DC power supply reverse current under typical operating conditions?

> This takes much less power than brute-force heating of a
>cap. If a low-voltage electrolytic blows up, the reason is almost
>always due to reverse current. High-voltage electrolytics don't blow
>up nearly as often because they are rarely subjected to reverse
>current.

Which makes that an unlikely reason for cap failure.

>>Also, if you recall how the HV power supplies were designed in a
>>typical sweep tube "CB" grade amplifier, the plate supply voltage was
>>usually designed at about 800V give or take. The filter caps were
>>usually (2) 40 uF, 450V caps wired in series across the DC output.
>>Sometimes there will be (2) 100K or 1M ohm resistors in parallel with
>>the filter caps as well. What happens is that one of the two caps
>>becomes leaky. It starts to draw current, and it raises the voltage
>>potential across the other cap, until it exceeds the voltage rating
>>and it's usually THAT cap which explodes.
>
>
>You're reaching, Dave.

Not at all. This is what happened on at least 2 other amps that I worked on. One cap had exploded, and the other one was leaky (As measured with a simple VOM). I concluded, based on the evidence, that the leaky cap had caused the other cap to blow. The first time this happened to me, I replaced the "exploded" cap only, and when I fired up the amp, a short time later, the new cap exploded as well. Only then did I learn to check the remaining caps for leakage. Once the leaky caps were replaced, there was no further problem.

>If the voltage across the 'other' cap is
>exceeded what usually happens is the dielectric will puncture (at the
>dielectric's puncture voltage) and cause a brief short as the cap
>discharges. If the first discharge doesn't pop the fuse, or fuse the
>cap internally, it will continue to charge and discharge just like a
>relaxation oscillator, shunting (not dissipating) huge amounts of
>power until something else fails, which usually happens in less than a
>couple seconds. That's why you sometimes hear a short 'whine' before

>the radio goes dead and smoke billows from the transformer.

That "whine" is usually from a 12V to HV multi vibrator which is
forced to stop from excessive current draw. I've seen that on a couple
of Lafayette HA-250's.

>>> Usually it's the
>>>fuse that goes first, and if there's no fuse then the transformer
>>>overheats and shorts. Caps don't blow because of DC leakage. Caps -do-
>>>blow when subjected to too much AC, and even a few volts of ripple can
>>>be enough to pop a can on a tube rig.
>>
>>It's the filter cap's job to smooth out ripple. Of course they will be
>>subjected to it. If what you say were true, then there would be caps
>>popping like popcorn. Ripple is not A.C., as the polarity never
>>reverses. it only "pulses" to some degree. The charge-discharge action
>>of the filter caps is what smooths these pulses out.
>
>
>Ripple is the AC component of a DC current.

Not exactly true. It is the pulsating D.C. current component. There is
no A.C. after a bridge rectifier.

> But call it whatever
>tickles your fancy because the fact is that if a filter cap is doing
>it's job of smoothing the ripple then it's not being subjected to very
>much ripple!

Exactly my point. What you've described is a classic chicken and egg
scenario. The cap can't be subjected to too much ripple if it's doing
it's job. If there is ripple, then the cap is usually bad.

> If there -is- much ripple then the cap isn't doing it's
>job, the reason being either the cap is weak or the load is too big.

Right! But if the voltage is normal, then you can assume the current
draw is not excessive.

>Whatever the cause, the cap sees that ripple as AC current which
>causes heat dissipation in the cap due mostly to hysteresis (high
>hysteresis being a characteristic of electrolytic caps).

Now it's my turn. I've never seen a cap blow from a supply being
dragged down to the point of excessive ripple. Over voltage yes,
reverse voltage yes, leaky cap yes. Too much ripple, no.

>>>This happens when the load is
>>>too heavy for the power supply, and the first cap to blow is the one
>>>on the load side of the pi filter (which is a very good indication of
>>>why it blew up). In the case of sweep-tube amps, I find that the most
>>>likely cause of your capacitor mishap.
>>

>>I've never seen that happen for the reason's you've stated. An
>>excessive load on the power supply will draw the DC voltage down far
>>below the cap's rating. Since caps don't pass DC, that will not
>>affect them.
>
>
>You missed capacitor lab, didn't you? Excessive load will draw down
>the DC voltage but -increase- the ripple.

I've never seen a cap blow from ripple. Never.

>>>>The Uniden UPD-858 SSB boards (Realistic TRC-457/458, Cobra 138/139
>>>>XLR) had a pesky blue 10 uF tantalum cap that would short out and pull
>>>>the B+ feeding the driver and final stages to ground. I've seen that
>>>>on at least 3 different radios. I'd call that a statistic.
>>>
>>>
>>>I would call that a design flaw. Tantalum caps have often been used in
>>>applications where they were not a very good choice. They have certain
>>>limitations, but many engineers haven't learned what those limitations
>>>are, hence their reputation for failure. They are high-density caps
>>>but are VERY sensitive to damage from overvoltage. A digital circuit
>>>with a tightly regulated power supply is an appropriate application
>>>for tantalum caps -- a CB is not.
>>
>>I'm sure the engineers at Uniden had their reasons.
>
>
>Blind faith.

Cost cutting.

>>>>But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.
>>>
>>>
>>>Not when you realize that a light bulb is nothing more than a
>>>resistor
>>
>>A light bulb is a resistor as a consequence of it's intended use. A
>>part designed as a resistor rarely fails, unless too much current is
>>drawn through it. In which case, the failure is quite obvious.
>
>
>A light bulb is most certainly designed as a resistor. If it didn't
>have resistance it wouldn't work.

Yes resistance is necessary for it's function. But it's not the
function of a light bulb to act as a resistor in a circuit. Light
bulbs are far too fragile for that use.

> A resistor necessarily dissipates
>power, and in a light bulb that power is dissipated as light (and

>heat). The only real question here is why household light bulbs fail
>regularly while automotive light bulbs last for a much, much longer
>time.

Higher voltage, and a smaller ,thinner and more shock prone filament.

>>>I didn't discard your example as idiotic. I discarded your presumption
>>>that a capacitor is responsible for the OP's problem. You once found a
>>>radio that had a bad cap. Well, so have I, and so has just about
>>>anyone that has fixed a few radios. But after you work with
>>>electrionics for 30 years you eventually learn that you can't jump to
>>>any conclusions.
>>
>>I didn't jump to any conclusions. I offered another possible cause for
>>the failure. I offered my experience with a VERY similar failure as a
>>reason to consider it.
>
>
>You said, "I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.

Yes, I was more or less asking for confirmation.

> With old
>radios like that, the problem is almost always related to caps drying
>out," which demonstrated your ignorance about several things. First,
>the radio is a more modern 40 channel PLL model, contemporary with the
>TRC-453 and PC-122.

Ok, you could have just said that in the beginning.

> Second, low voltage electrolytics don't "dry out"
>because they use a solid dielectric.

That may be true, but they do change value and open up due to the
affects of humidity and age. What the process is that causes that to
happen, I'm not sure of the exact name. But "drying out" is a common
term that we use to describe it. If I am technically in error, then so
be it.

> Third, you went on to describe
>your one single experience from which you inferred, "the problem is
>almost always....". Well Dave, suppose you once burnt yourself with a
>soldering iron. Using your logic, the reason other people have burns
>"is almost always" because they burn themselves with soldering irons.
>It doesn't work.

Ok, I'll admit that using the term "almost always" was a bit strong.
But you erred when you made the claim that it was a "single"
experience. I have had a few experiences with older radios where this
has happened. I also had an old SBE were a noisy receiver was traced
to a germanium transistor going bad. There is no hard fast rules, and
troubleshooting a radio over the internet is an exercise in throwing
darts.

All I wanted to do was to provide other alternatives, based on my
extensive experience in this business. The bottom line is to help
other people who have problems. It's not supposed to be a chest
pounding competition on who's had the most experience.

>>Yet you told me to "shut my trap" as if I said something that was
>>totally incapable of happening. Something which you have now softened
>>to at least acknowledging that it can happen, even if you believe that
>>it's not likely.
>
>
>Ok, how do I say this in a language you can maybe understand.....
>
>You inferred from your single experience that the fault is most likely
>a failed capacitor.

Not single. And the symptoms were VERY similar.

>Yet because I rejected your conclusion (or, if you
>prefer, your 'declaration of high probability'), you assert that I am
>claiming the fault is -not- a capacitor. That is a perfect example of
>the logical fallacy 'Argumentum ad Ignorantiam' which, by definition,
>is ignorance.

Not at all. If I claim that there is a high probability of component
"A" failing and you disagree. Then you are saying, in essence, that
there is a very low probability that component "A" is the failure.

If you consider component "A" to have a low probability of failure it
stands to reason that you place a higher probability on another
component failing.

Inductive reasoning.

>>>You have to locate the faulty component(s) AND you
>>>must determine why it failed. A lot of caps do indeed go bad, but most
>>>of the time it's a secondary fault. Finding the primary fault is what
>>>seperates the professionals from the amateurs.
>>
>>But what you fail to consider, and my experience has shown me to be
>>true, is that caps, especially electrolytic and tantalum, can and do
>>fail for no other reason than age. They ARE the primary failure.
>
>
>A bad cap CAN BE a primary failure. But more often they are only a
>secondary failure. That is what MY experience has shown ME, and part
>of that experience includes work in failure analysis at HP. So shall
>we compare resumes, Dave?

More chest thumping? What you've done at HP has no bearing on what
I've seen in 30+ years of CB radio repair.

>>Caps will change value with age, even if they don't completely open or
>>short. Sometimes a change in value is all that it takes to make an

>>audio amp into an oscillator.

>>
>>>No it's not. After replacing the cap it would have been a good idea to
>>>push the audio level rail-to-rail to see if the amp locks up, change
>>>freqs to see if there's any resonance that could swing the AC above
>>>the cap's rating, heat-run the radio for a few hours, and then -maybe-
>>>you could safely conclude that the cap was the primary failure.
>>
>>That's normal procedure for any of my repairs. I always exercise the
>>radios for several days to make sure there are no hidden "gotcha's".
>>Sometimes I get a surprise and another intermittent component shows
>>its face. Usually though, what I replace is what went bad.
>>
>>There is nothing more strenuous in the audio chain of a radio, than
>>the clipped and peaked operator yelling "auuuuuuuuudiooooo".

>Maybe you should take a look at alignment procedures sometime. A
>frequently used test involves driving the audio hard enough to slam it
>rail-to-rail at every stage from mic to speaker, usually by feeding
>the mic input with 500 mV or more; i.e, significantly more than you
>can generate by screaming into the mic.

While I can understand why you might want to do that, I've never seen
it in any of the factory alignment procedures or in the SAM's
photofacts.

Often the only reason given in the procedures to increase the audio
input (And I have a nice Tektronix generator) is to verify proper
operation of the modulation limiter.

> One of the purposes of the
>test is to see if any stages lock up, a problem that is much more
>common with high-gain OP-amps produced since the 70's.

Most CB radios made in the 1960- 1980 time frame do not use Op-amps.
Some Uniden radios used them more recently, but not as audio amps.
They were used as AGC amps and T/R switching.

I have never seen an audio stage on a CB radio "lock up". The Hy-Gain
623 used to have an interestingly annoying "design flaw" where if the
modulator was driven too hard, it would shut down for a few seconds.
You would loose receive audio until it recovered.

>Resonance is also an issue that needs to be appreciated. As you noted
>before, components change value over time. So a circuit that was not
>resonant at the time of manufacture may be resonant 20 years later.
>It's not a common cause of failure but I have seen it a few times.

You should see what happens when a 7812 regulator goes into
oscillation due to bad/missing bypass caps.

> The
>scary part is that a few volts feeding a series resonant circuit can
>generate a hundred volts or more in the middle -- not a good thing for
>the high-impedance and voltage-sensitive IC's and FET's produced these
>days. As these components become more common and equipment is designed
>with tighter voltage tolerances, I suspect resonance will become an
>increasing problem in the future.

By then CB (and probably ham) radio will be a memory. Maybe not. It
would seem that many CB radio designs have not changed all that much
from 1980. While the rest of the industry has embraced surface mount
technology and LSI chips, CB radio is still using leaded components
and discrete parts. From a repair standpoint, this is a good thing.
But I'm sure the radios could be made much cheaper (and smaller) if
they went with newer technologies.

>>>>Ah! So at least now you admit that it is equally likely that a cap can
>>>>fail as often as any particular active device. Very good, you're
>>>>making progress.
>>>
>>>
>>>I never denied it. I just didn't jump to the conclusion that it's a
>>>cap like you did.
>>
>>You seem to have trouble differentiating between a conclusion and a
>>possibility.
>
>
>You seem to have trouble with the possibility that the fault could
>just as easily be something other than what you learned from your one
>and only experience with a similar problem.

Once again, it was not "one and only". And I never said that a cap
was THE only possible failure. I offered it as a suggested course of
action. Something you jumped on me for in a very unprofessional and
crass manner.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1748. tough guy quotations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 21:08:00 UTC
From: boguskudzu@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106686496.667560.130000@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195387

Quotes from the King of Detective Fiction, Raymond Chandler. Enjoy!

Ability is what you're capable of doing. Motivation determines what you do. Attitude determines how well you do it.

Alcohol is like love. The first kiss is magic, the second is intimate, the third is routine. After that you take the girl's clothes off.

Chess is as elaborate a waste of human intelligence as you can find outside an advertising agency.

I knew one thing: as soon as anyone said you didn't need a gun, you'd better take one along that worked.

I think a man ought to get drunk at least twice a year just on principle, so he won't let himself get snotty about it.

It is pretty obvious that the debasement of the human mind caused by a constant flow of fraudulent advertising is no trivial thing. There is more than one way to conquer a country.

It is not a fragrant world.

It was a blonde. A blonde to make a bishop kick a hole in a stained glass window.

She gave me a smile I could feel in my hip pocket.

The streets were dark with something more than night.

Woe, woe, woe... in a little while we shall all be dead. Therefore let us behave as though we were dead already.

He looked about as inconspicuous as a tarantula on a slice of angel food.

However top lofty and idealistic a man may be, he can always rationalize his right to earn money.

The moment a man begins to talk about technique that's proof that he is fresh out of ideas.

http://www.fallingskyhazard.com/catalog.htm

1749. *IMPORTANT* Message for Google Group, Usenet and AOL users!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 21:08:09 UTC
From: google_groups_net@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106687289.960837.53130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195388

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner.
I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.free-hoster.com/goodnews << Passion of the Christ
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1750. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 21:37:22 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <m_yJd.21912$by5.13174@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195389

Richard wrote:
> On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 23:55:52 GMT SideBand wrote:
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>
>>>On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 21:52:33 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote
>>>in <B6eJd.838$wA5.396@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>
>
>
>
>>>Probably a capacitor ;-)
>
>
>
>>Tell me you're kidding, please.
>
>
>>-SSB
>
>
> Yeah probably one of those 10 farad ones. They seem to go bad when over
> modulated.
>
>
>
On the receive chain, right? </sarcasm>

-SSB

1751. Re: Fried radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 21:41:31 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <f2zJd.21917$by5.17057@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195390
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

Mark wrote:
> Sideband,
>
> You are spot on!
>
> After I sent this message, I replaced the desk mic with the original Uniden
> mic and all was well. So I put the desk mic back on and same problem. Then
> I realised the mic transmit lock-down lever was part way down, turning off
> the receiver, but not quite turning on the transmitter!
>
> Mark.
>
> "SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
> news:B6eJd.838$wA5.396@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>Mark wrote:
>>
>>>Hi,
>>>
>>>I have a Uniden Grant running as a base station, with a Leson power desk
>
> mic
>
>>>connected.
>>>
>>>I heard a station loud and clear just now, and I keyed the desk mike to
>>>reply. Probably only transmitted for about two seconds, long enough to
>
> say
>
>>>"Hello there, loud station".
>>>
>>>But now the reception volume is incredibly low. Either on the internal
>>>speaker or with an external speaker connected. You need to turn the
>
> volume
>
>>>pretty much flat out and then can only just make out the audio.
>>>
>>>I discovered that my young daughter had wound up the knob on the Leson
>
> desk
>

>>>mic to about three-quarters the way round. I usually have it on about
>
> one
>
>>>notch out of ten, something like that.
>>>
>>>I suspect that I've fried something inside with such a large power
>
> setting
>
>>>on the desk mic. Is this feasible? Have I literally overloaded the
>>>transmitter by having the desk mic power so high? And why would that
>
> cause a
>
>>>problem with the receiver audio output?
>>>
>>>Thanks for any ideas!
>>>
>>>Mark.
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Try re-keying the mic.. If you have dirty contacts in the mic, the
>>receive won't work on the radio...
>>
>>Failing that, try a different mic.
>>
>>Failing that, something is wrong with the radio.
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
>
Well, I'm glad it wasn't dirty contacts. I wasn't aware your mic had a
lock lever, or I would have suggested checking that, as well!

Electrical tape on the lock lever to keep it "unlocked" might be
advisable. Glad you got it figured out.

73

-SSB

1752. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 22:12:22 UTC
From: Triple One <Tripleone@crunkology.com>
Message-ID: <41f6c1ba$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

Definition of the most over used word : faggot
1.. A bundle of twigs, sticks, or branches bound together.
2.. A bundle of pieces of iron or steel to be welded or hammered into
bars.
tr.v. fag&#65533;ot&#65533;ed, also fag&#65533;got&#65533;ed fag&#65533;ot&#65533;ing, fag&#65533;got&#65533;ing fag&#65533;ots, fag&#65533;gots
1.. To bind into a fagot; bundle.
2.. To decorate with fagoting
GET OVER IT!!

"I AM NOT N8WWM" <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz> wrote in
message news:41f5edbe$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> TRIPLE ONE, THE AOL INTERNET EXPERT! HAHAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!!
>
> TYPICAL FAGGOT !!!!!!!
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1753. Re: *IMPORTANT* Message for Google Group, Usenet and AOL users!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 22:22:47 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f6c792@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195392
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

<google_groups_net@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106687289.960837.53130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Good News!
>
>
> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?
>
>
> Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or
> synagogue will get them to Heaven.
>
>
> Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just
> being a good person will get them to Heaven.
>
>
> There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way
> to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.
>
>
> The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of
> Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He
> was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman
> named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then
> sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death
> penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the
> dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us
> that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as
> their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there
> is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.
>
>
> The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is
> eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)
>
>
> This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of
> the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)
>
>
> This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this:
> While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)
>

>
> In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved
> and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus
> is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead,
> you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
>
> You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open
> your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:
>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in
> Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner.
> I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and
> died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You
> rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and
> be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me
> and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.
>
>
> You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save
> you. Welcome to the family of God.
>
>
> Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For
> it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from
> yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)
>
>
> Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.
>
>
> The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood,
> the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)
>
>
> If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now
> before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved
> now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die
> prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way
> before you get another chance to be saved.
>
>
> The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of
> eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell
> when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord
> and Saviour.
>
>
> Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour
> worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that

> we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.
>
>
> The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so
> that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks
> to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."
>
>
> Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.
>
>
> Please tell your family and friends, thanks!
>
>
> Have a great day!
> Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi
>
>
>
>
>
> 1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
> 2. http://www.free-hoster.com/goodnews << Passion of the Christ
> 3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
> 4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
> 5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1754. Re: TRIPLE ONE LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 22:30:34 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f6c964@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195393
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

"Triple One" <Tripleone@crunkology.com> wrote in <bitch snip>

You've studied well my gay little friend!

Hey Triple......do you feel fag*ot*ed when Steveo blows his load in your mouth?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1755. Re: Magnum 257 Is For KEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 22:45:58 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <ohidv0lr01ll5al52kpkn7qc84o3d7ikin@4ax.com>
Source record: 195394

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 18:12:46 -0600, "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com>
wrote:
>"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> IS A FEDERAL LAW BREAKER:
>
>Thats not a type accepted radio thus aganist the law to use on the CB band.
>Now come to medina and fuck me up the ass!

Fuck yourself up the ass!

(1) I never said I had the radio; (2) Even if I had it, the questions
apply to ten meters as well as CB; (3) Even if I had the radio and was
using it on ten and 11 meters, it would be legal outside the USA; (4)
Even if I had the radio and modified it for 11 meters, no law would be
broken until I propagated a signal on 11 meters; (5) Even if I
modified the radio and propagated a signal on 11 meters, no law would
be broken if it were an emergency.

Anyway, the company seems to suck and provides no helpful technical
information -- probably because its customers are like you and are
only interested in putting the radio up their ass.

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

1756. Re: tough guy quotations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 23:25:28 UTC
From: I AM NOT N8WWM <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz>
Message-ID: <41f6d643$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking

<boguskudzu@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106686496.667560.130000@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Quotes from the King of Detective Fiction, Raymond Chandler. Enjoy!
>
> Ability is what you're capable of doing. Motivation determines what you
> do. Attitude determines how well you do it.
>
> Alcohol is like love. The first kiss is magic, the second is intimate,
> the third is routine. After that you take the girl's clothes off.
>
> Chess is as elaborate a waste of human intelligence as you can find
> outside an advertising agency.
>
> I knew one thing: as soon as anyone said you didn't need a gun, you'd
> better take one along that worked.
>
> I think a man ought to get drunk at least twice a year just on
> principle, so he won't let himself get snotty about it.
>
> It is pretty obvious that the debasement of the human mind caused by a
> constant flow of fraudulent advertising is no trivial thing. There is
> more than one way to conquer a country.
>
> It is not a fragrant world.
>
> It was a blonde. A blonde to make a bishop kick a hole in a stained
> glass window.
>
> She gave me a smile I could feel in my hip pocket.
>
> The streets were dark with something more than night.
>
> Woe, woe, woe... in a little while we shall all be dead. Therefore let
> us behave as though we were dead already.
>
> He looked about as inconspicuous as a tarantula on a slice of angel
> food.
>
> However top lofty and idealistic a man may be, he can always
> rationalize his right to earn money.
>
> The moment a man begins to talk about technique that's proof that he is
> fresh out of ideas.

>
> http://www.fallingskyhazard.com/catalog.htm
>

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1757. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 23:58:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0ocdv09br3g1nk1r7ra7o54f1brtbqbn05@4ax.com>
Source record: 195397

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 13:22:02 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <5uvcv0haj7ml7kre6v9us1vr19mt9ms0fp@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 15:53:20 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>I don't believe that for a minute. I have worked on literally hundreds
>>>>of pieces tube gear of all shapes and sizes and -NEVER- had a cap blow
>>>>up for no reason, let alone overheating caused by leakage.
>>>
>>>So, like you chastised me for in another thread, because you've never
>>>witnessed it, it never happened?
>>>
>>>You must not have worked on as many tube circuits as you've claimed.
>>>The law of averages and statistical probability would conclude that
>>>you should have seen at least one exploding cap had you worked on
>>>enough equipment.
>>
>>
>>I have seen, heard and smelled plenty of caps blow up. What -I- said,
>>and what you can't seem to comprehend, is that 1) they don't blow up
>>for no reason at all, and 2) that I have never had one blow up just
>>because it was leaking.
>
>1. Of course they don't blow up for no reason. The reason they blow is
>due to several factors, including high resistance leakage.
>
>2. I HAVE seen them blow due to leakage. You can come up with all
>sorts of ripple theories and other such to explain the exact reason
>why it goes, but the primary event which set the chain into motion was
>the cap going leaky.
>
>When you open up a tube rig or an amplifier and have to clean out
>shards of aluminum foil and paper wadding, you don't really care about
>the exact chain of events. You just know that the cap blew. When you
>replace it (Once you determine that the rest of the supply is fine),
>and the radio plays fine, it's a safe assumption that the cap was bad.

Maybe that's happened to you but never on my bench, not unless the cap
was too small for the load. Like I said before, I have never seen a
cap that blew up on it's own accord, leaky or not (and I don't know
how you can determine it was leakage that caused your cap to blow
unless you have a time machine in your shop).

>>>>But it's
>>>>not my experience that tells me your story is bullshit, it's the fact
>>>>that if the cap is leaking that much current in parallel with the
>>>>normal load then other components will fail first, such as the filter
>>>>resistor or choke, rectifier(s), transformer, etc.
>>>
>>>Leaky doesn't mean "dead short". A cap could have just enough
>>>resistance to heat it up and cause it to out gas or explode, but not
>>>cause other components to fail.
>>
>>
>>Never seen it happen. It's easy to understand why it doesn't happen
>>when you realize that heat requires power; i.e, watts.
>
>800V X .25 amps = 200 watts. More than enough to heat up a leaky cap.

Heat it? Yes. Will it pass that much current without fusing
internally? Doubtful. If it -could- pass that much current would that
be enough power to make it explode? Hardly, since a lot of that power
is shunted to ground and not dissipated in the cap. It will just vent
until it's empty or shorted.

>>Begin with the power supply. It is designed to supply a limited amount
>>of power, usually a little more than for the intended use.
>
>And if the "intended use" is intermittent, (Like only when
>transmitting) the supply is usually sitting there idle, supplying
>little to no current.
>
>>Next, your
>>cap leaks. This creates a shunt in parallel with the intended load,
>>and therefore draws more power from the power supply.
>
>Not necessarily. If the supply is capably of supplying .5 amps at 800V
>when operating, but a leaky cap only draws .2 amps while on standby,
>that's more than enough to heat the cap without overtaxing the supply.

And you are forgetting that the bigger the load the bigger the cap,
and therefore the more power it would take for a leak to heat that cap
to the point of exploding.

>> In fact, your
>>leaky cap draws so much -additional- power that it evaporates the
>>contents faster than the gasses can be vented by the vent hole -AND-
>>builds up enough pressure to literally explode the metal case.
>
>That's exactly what happens.

Uh-huh.

>> I don't
>>think so, Dave -- that's just too much power to not expect an earlier
>>failure in the power supply.

>
>Not at all, considering the scenario I just presented. I can't count
>the number of D&A Mavericks and Thunderbolt 305's and such that I've
>replaced blown caps in, and not had any other fault.

Did you ever think that maybe the cap wasn't large enough for the
application?

>>And like I said before, if the supply isn't fused then the failure is
>>probably going to be a shorted transformer or choke, blown rectifier,
>>or some other device that is less robust than the capacitor.
>
>Sometimes, if the cap hangs on long enough and the resistance drops
>further, the rectifiers blow too. But that's more rare.

It -is- rare because the caps usually fuse internally before anything
else goes.

>> Often the
>>caps themselves will fuse internally when subjected to too much
>>current, which is something many techs fail to consider when replacing
>>an open cap.
>
>Yes, they do sometimes open up. The symptom of that is rather obvious,
>when the receiver hums louder than the audio. Old caps dry out and
>open up too. Not all of them leak and blow.

....

>>You might also realize that electrolytics blow up when subjected to a
>>reverse current -not- because of any heat buildup or dissipation, but
>>because the dielectric undergoes electrolysis and one of the products
>>is a gas.
>
>Yes, I am fully aware of that. When I was a young pup on my first full
>time job, I worked 2nd shift and the techs sometimes got bored when
>their work was done, so we used to make "capacitor cannons". We'd
>solder a set of leads to a radial lead cap (Usually 10 uF at 50V)
>place it in a hunk of PVC pipe, hook it up to a power supply
>backwards, aim it at our "enemy" and crank up the voltage. It made
>quite a projectile. I used to play with the voltage sometimes and I
>would often crank it up slowly just to see where the point of
>avalanche current draw first started.
>
>
>But I digress......
>
>Answer me this, how does a functioning DC power supply reverse current
>under typical operating conditions?

If the cap is subjected to reverse current then the operating
conditions are not typical, are they? But if you're asking how a low

voltage cap can be subjected to reverse current then there are a
myriad of possibilities, the most obvious and most common is that the
power supply was connected backwards. Also, electrolytics are not
always fixed at ground potential, such as when they are used as a DC
block in an audio line. If the offset fails, the cap pops. There are
also instances where an abnormal AC component swings the normally
positive side of the cap negative. The cap voltage can get reversed by
rectification when a pull-up resistor fails. Etc, etc. Geez, Dave,
you've been doing this for 30 years and you didn't know this stuff?

>> This takes much less power than brute-force heating of a
>>cap. If a low-voltage electrolytic blows up, the reason is almost
>>always due to reverse current. High-voltage electrolytics don't blow
>>up nearly as often because they are rarely subjected to reverse
>>current.
>
>Which makes that an unlikely reason for cap failure.

It means high-voltage electrolytics are less likely to fail than
low-voltage electrolytics. Nothing more.

>>>Also, if you recall how the HV power supplies were designed in a
>>>typical sweep tube "CB" grade amplifier, the plate supply voltage was
>>>usually designed at about 800V give or take. The filter caps were
>>>usually (2) 40 uF, 450V caps wired in series across the DC output.
>>>Sometimes there will be (2) 100K or 1M ohm resistors in parallel with
>>>the filter caps as well. What happens is that one of the two caps
>>>becomes leaky. It starts to draw current, and it raises the voltage
>>>potential across the other cap, until it exceeds the voltage rating
>>>and it's usually THAT cap which explodes.
>>
>>
>>You're reaching, Dave.
>
>Not at all. This is what happened on at least 2 other amps that I
>worked on. One cap had exploded, and the other one was leaky (As
>measured with a simple VOM). I concluded, based on the evidence,

.....LOL!

> that
>the leaky cap had caused the other cap to blow. The first time this
>happened to me, I replaced the "exploded" cap only, and when I fired
>up the amp, a short time later, the new cap exploded as well. Only
>then did I learn to check the remaining caps for leakage. Once the
>leaky caps were replaced, there was no further problem.

I think you are making that up, Dave.

>>If the voltage across the 'other' cap is
>>exceeded what usually happens is the dielectric will puncture (at the
>>dielectric's puncture voltage) and cause a brief short as the cap

>>discharges. If the first discharge doesn't pop the fuse, or fuse the
>>cap internally, it will continue to charge and discharge just like a
>>relaxation oscillator, shunting (not dissipating) huge amounts of
>>power until something else fails, which usually happens in less than a
>>couple seconds. That's why you sometimes hear a short 'whine' before
>>the radio goes dead and smoke billows from the transformer.
>
>That "whine" is usually from a 12V to HV multi vibrator which is
>forced to stop from excessive current draw. I've seen that on a couple
>of Lafayette HA-250's.

I have heard that "whine" from equipment that had no vibrator,
mechanical -or- solid-state. It comes from the cap. If you don't
believe me, do the following experiment: Take a good cap, put it in
series with a resistor of, say, 100 to 1k ohms, then slowly push the
voltage up above the rated voltage. I would suggest using a cap of
only 100-150 volts because some of them won't puncture until they get
up around 400-500 volts. Anyway, at some point you hear the capacitor
begin to whine, and if the whine doesn't stop right away the resistor
will commence smoking (unless your power supply blows first). Try it.
It's fun!

>>>> Usually it's the
>>>>fuse that goes first, and if there's no fuse then the transformer
>>>>overheats and shorts. Caps don't blow because of DC leakage. Caps -do-
>>>>blow when subjected to too much AC, and even a few volts of ripple can
>>>>be enough to pop a can on a tube rig.
>>>
>>>It's the filter cap's job to smooth out ripple. Of course they will be
>>>subjected to it. If what you say were true, then there would be caps
>>>popping like popcorn. Ripple is not A.C., as the polarity never
>>>reverses. it only "pulses" to some degree. The charge-discharge action
>>>of the filter caps is what smooths these pulses out.
>>
>>
>>Ripple is the AC component of a DC current.
>
>Not exactly true. It is the pulsating D.C. current component. There is
>no A.C. after a bridge rectifier.

Like I said, call it whatever you like.

>> But call it whatever
>>tickles your fancy because the fact is that if a filter cap is doing
>>it's job of smoothing the ripple then it's not being subjected to very
>>much ripple!
>
>Exactly my point. What you've described is a classic chicken and egg
>scenario.

The rooster came first.

> The cap can't be subjected to too much ripple if it's doing
>it's job. If there is ripple, then the cap is usually bad.

Not necessarily. A heavy load will increase the ripple.

>> If there -is- much ripple then the cap isn't doing it's
>>job, the reason being either the cap is weak or the load is too big.
>
>Right! But if the voltage is normal, then you can assume the current
>draw is not excessive.

Again, not necessarily. The DC component may stay within norms but the
AC component (ripple) will increase under load. That's just a fact of
life. Haven't you ever studied the basics of power supplies?

>>Whatever the cause, the cap sees that ripple as AC current which
>>causes heat dissipation in the cap due mostly to hysteresis (high
>>hysteresis being a characteristic of electrolytic caps).
>
>Now it's my turn. I've never seen a cap blow from a supply being
>dragged down to the point of excessive ripple. Over voltage yes,
>reverse voltage yes, leaky cap yes. Too much ripple, no.

Yet you claim that you can definitively determine if a completely
destroyed capacitor blew up because it was leaking. So how do you do
that? Do you reconstruct the capacitor like the FAA reconstructs
planes? Because that's what -they- need to do in order to determine
the cause of failure when there's no other obvious cause. Maybe they
should just hire you and your crystal ball, huh?

>>>>This happens when the load is
>>>>too heavy for the power supply, and the first cap to blow is the one
>>>>on the load side of the pi filter (which is a very good indication of
>>>>why it blew up). In the case of sweep-tube amps, I find that the most
>>>>likely cause of your capacitor mishap.
>>>
>>>I've never seen that happen for the reason's you've stated. An
>>>excessive load on the power supply will draw the DC voltage down far
>>>below the cap's rating. Since caps don't pass DC, that will not
>>>affect them.
>>
>>
>>You missed capacitor lab, didn't you? Excessive load will draw down
>>the DC voltage but -increase- the ripple.
>
>I've never seen a cap blow from ripple. Never.

You probably have but didn't know it. You can create and measure a
controlled failure with a couple good chokes for AC isolation, a
couple large motor-run capacitors for DC isolation, and an audio amp
to supply the AC. If you need a schematic just let me know.

>>>>>The Uniden UPD-858 SSB boards (Realistic TRC-457/458, Cobra 138/139
>>>>>XLR) had a pesky blue 10 uF tantalum cap that would short out and pull

>>>>>the B+ feeding the driver and final stages to ground. I've seen that
>>>>>on at least 3 different radios. I'd call that a statistic.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I would call that a design flaw. Tantalum caps have often been used in
>>>>applications where they were not a very good choice. They have certain
>>>>limitations, but many engineers haven't learned what those limitations
>>>>are, hence their reputation for failure. They are high-density caps
>>>>but are VERY sensitive to damage from overvoltage. A digital circuit
>>>>with a tightly regulated power supply is an appropriate application
>>>>for tantalum caps -- a CB is not.
>>>
>>>I'm sure the engineers at Uniden had their reasons.
>>
>>
>>Blind faith.
>
>Cost cutting.
>
>
>>>>>But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Not when you realize that a light bulb is nothing more than a
>>>>resistor
>>>
>>>A light bulb is a resistor as a consequence of it's intended use. A
>>>part designed as a resistor rarely fails, unless too much current is
>>>drawn through it. In which case, the failure is quite obvious.
>>
>>
>>A light bulb is most certainly designed as a resistor. If it didn't
>>have resistance it wouldn't work.
>
>Yes resistance is necessary for it's function. But it's not the
>function of a light bulb to act as a resistor in a circuit. Light
>bulbs are far too fragile for that use.

Wanna bet? I use them all the time for dummy loads while messing with
my generator experiments. They also make great regulators because of
their non-linear characteristics. And for the same reason, miniature
light bulbs make pretty decent audio compressors. So you still say
that light bulbs aren't resistors? Hogwash. If it's useful as a
resistor then it's a resistor.

>> A resistor necessarily dissipates
>>power, and in a light bulb that power is dissipated as light (and
>>heat). The only real question here is why household light bulbs fail
>>regularly while automotive light bulbs last for a much, much longer
>>time.
>

>Higher voltage, and a smaller ,thinner and more shock prone filament.

Tungsten, one of the strongest metals on the periodic chart, is "shock prone"? And what difference does the voltage make? It's the current that causes the filament to heat up. Which is true regardless of it's thickness, too.

Anyway, it was a rhetorical question.

>>>>I didn't discard your example as idiotic. I discarded your presumption
>>>>that a capacitor is responsible for the OP's problem. You once found a
>>>>radio that had a bad cap. Well, so have I, and so has just about
>>>>anyone that has fixed a few radios. But after you work with
>>>>electrionics for 30 years you eventually learn that you can't jump to
>>>>any conclusions.
>>>
>>>I didn't jump to any conclusions. I offered another possible cause for
>>>the failure. I offered my experience with a VERY similar failure as a
>>>reason to consider it.
>>
>>
>>You said, "I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.
>
>Yes, I was more or less asking for confirmation.

You don't have a web browser?

>> With old
>>radios like that, the problem is almost always related to caps drying
>>out," which demonstrated your ignorance about several things. First,
>>the radio is a more modern 40 channel PLL model, contemporary with the
>>TRC-453 and PC-122.
>
>Ok, you could have just said that in the beginning.

Or you could have simply looked it up through google like I did.

>> Second, low voltage electrolytics don't "dry out"
>>because they use a solid dielectric.
>
>That may be true, but they do change value and open up due to the
>affects of humidity and age.

Age, yes, they do change to a greater or lesser degree. Usually lesser. Humidity? I don't think so, Dave. Not when they are hermetically sealed. And the only caps that aren't hermetically sealed are air caps. Have you ever seen an "air electrolytic"? I haven't.

> What the process is that causes that to
>happen, I'm not sure of the exact name. But "drying out" is a common
>term that we use to describe it. If I am technically in error, then so
>be it.

Who is "we"?

>> Third, you went on to describe
>>your one single experience from which you inferred, "the problem is
>>almost always....". Well Dave, suppose you once burnt yourself with a
>>soldering iron. Using your logic, the reason other people have burns
>>"is almost always" because they burn themselves with soldering irons.
>>It doesn't work.
>
>Ok, I'll admit that using the term "almost always" was a bit strong.

It was a bit stronger than "a bit strong". You stated it as if it were
a rule of thumb.

>But you erred when you made the claim that it was a "single"
>experience.

"I once had an old 23....."

> I have had a few experiences with older radios where this
>has happened. I also had an old SBE were a noisy receiver was traced
>to a germanium transistor going bad. There is no hard fast rules, and
>troubleshooting a radio over the internet is an exercise in throwing
>darts.
>
>All I wanted to do was to provide other alternatives, based on my
>extensive experience in this business. The bottom line is to help
>other people who have problems. It's not supposed to be a chest
>pounding competition on who's had the most experience.

If you truly wanted to provide other alternatives then you probably
shouldn't have limited your "alternative" to the exclusion of any
others. Trouble-shooting by remote control may be difficult but it's
far from "throwing darts". You just have to refrain from jumping to
conclusions.

>>>Yet you told me to "shut my trap" as if I said something that was
>>>totally incapable of happening. Something which you have now softened
>>>to at least acknowledging that it can happen, even if you believe that
>>>it's not likely.
>>
>>
>>Ok, how do I say this in a language you can maybe understand.....
>>
>>You inferred from your single experience that the fault is most likely
>>a failed capacitor.
>
>Not single. And the symptoms were VERY similar.

A burn from a soldering iron is VERY similar to a burn from a
cigarette. Believe me, I've done both too many times.

>>Yet because I rejected your conclusion (or, if you
>>prefer, your 'declaration of high probability'), you assert that I am

>>claiming the fault is -not- a capacitor. That is a perfect example of
>>the logical fallacy 'Argumentum ad Ignorantiam' which, by definition,
>>is ignorance.
>
>Not at all. If I claim that there is a high probability of component
>"A" failing and you disagree. Then you are saying, in essence, that
>there is a very low probability that component "A" is the failure.

Wrong. Disagreement is -not- an assertion of the opposite. This is the
same fallacy you invoke in your political arguments: You label me as a
liberal because I do not agree with your admitted conservatism, which
is also wrong. For example, if you believe in God and I state that I'm
an athiest, that doesn't mean I hold satanic rituals in my basement
(or anywhere else).

If you want to assert yourself as a person who can think logically
then you need to understand logical fallacies enough not to make them.

>If you consider component "A" to have a low probability of failure it
>stands to reason that you place a higher probability on another
>component failing.

Again wrong, and for the same reason.

>Inductive reasoning.

Before you make any more logical leaps, let me send you a good book on
the subject. I'll pay shipping.

>>>>You have to locate the faulty component(s) AND you
>>>>must determine why it failed. A lot of caps do indeed go bad, but most
>>>>of the time it's a secondary fault. Finding the primary fault is what
>>>>seperates the professionals from the amateurs.
>>>
>>>But what you fail to consider, and my experience has shown me to be
>>>true, is that caps, especially electrolytic and tantalum, can and do
>>>fail for no other reason than age. They ARE the primary failure.
>>
>>
>>A bad cap CAN BE a primary failure. But more often they are only a
>>secondary failure. That is what MY experience has shown ME, and part
>>of that experience includes work in failure analysis at HP. So shall
>>we compare resumes, Dave?
>
>More chest thumping? What you've done at HP has no bearing on what
>I've seen in 30+ years of CB radio repair.

Really? Do capacitors behave differently in CB radios than they do in
spectrum analyzers and signal generators?

>>>Caps will change value with age, even if they don't completely open or
>>>short. Sometimes a change in value is all that it takes to make an
>>>audio amp into an oscillator.

>
>
>>>
>>>>No it's not. After replacing the cap it would have been a good idea to
>>>>push the audio level rail-to-rail to see if the amp locks up, change
>>>>freqs to see if there's any resonance that could swing the AC above
>>>>the cap's rating, heat-run the radio for a few hours, and then -maybe-
>>>>you could safely conclude that the cap was the primary failure.
>>>
>>>That's normal procedure for any of my repairs. I always exercise the
>>>radios for several days to make sure there are no hidden "gotcha's".
>>>Sometimes I get a surprise and another intermittent component shows
>>>its face. Usually though, what I replace is what went bad.
>>>
>>>There is nothing more strenuous in the audio chain of a radio, than
>>>the clipped and peaked operator yelling "auuuuuuuuudiooooo".
>
>
>>Maybe you should take a look at alignment procedures sometime. A
>>frequently used test involves driving the audio hard enough to slam it
>>rail-to-rail at every stage from mic to speaker, usually by feeding
>>the mic input with 500 mV or more; i.e, significantly more than you
>>can generate by screaming into the mic.
>
>While I can understand why you might want to do that, I've never seen
>it in any of the factory alignment procedures or in the SAM's
>photofacts.

Well, I just got done fixing the TRC-449 I got from Lance (thanks again! Got the front-end fixed and receive is hot! FWIW, the second RF amp TR-5 was the culprit as I suspected -- it's a 2SC1730 and it's Vceo is just too low for the radio, a design flaw) and have the manual right beside me. On page 15 is the transmitter alignment section, and about the middle of the page is Step 4 where the procedure is to align the transmit coils while shoving 500 mV into the mic jack. Yet Step 8 is to set the modulation for 98% with a 200 mV input. Now there is a reason for driving the audio so hard in Step 4 and that is to make sure the signal path can handle the stress, because if it can cope with a 500 mV input then a mere 200 mV should be well within the safe operating area.

Now you claim to have worked on CBs for 30 years, yet you have never seen a procedure as I just described (on what was once a fairly common and popular radio)?

>Often the only reason given in the procedures to increase the audio
>input (And I have a nice Tektronix generator) is to verify proper
>operation of the modulation limiter.

I can send a pair of reading glasses with that book if you want.

>> One of the purposes of the
>>test is to see if any stages lock up, a problem that is much more
>>common with high-gain OP-amps produced since the 70's.
>
>Most CB radios made in the 1960- 1980 time frame do not use Op-amps.

.....uh, yeah. That's perfectly consistent with what I said. What's
your point?

>Some Uniden radios used them more recently, but not as audio amps.
>They were used as AGC amps and T/R switching.

Most OP-amps in modern radios (CB and other) are integrated into
special-purpose chips. Some older radios had OP-amps made with
discrete components.

>I have never seen an audio stage on a CB radio "lock up". The Hy-Gain
>623 used to have an interestingly annoying "design flaw" where if the
>modulator was driven too hard, it would shut down for a few seconds.
>You would loose receive audio until it recovered.

That sounds like a lock-up to me.

>>Resonance is also an issue that needs to be appreciated. As you noted
>>before, components change value over time. So a circuit that was not
>>resonant at the time of manufacture may be resonant 20 years later.
>>It's not a common cause of failure but I have seen it a few times.
>
>You should see what happens when a 7812 regulator goes into
>oscillation due to bad/missing bypass caps.

You should see my curio cabinet of failed components. It even includes
a shorted resistor (47K, carbon comp), something I was always taught
was absolutely impossible. But I show it off to visitors who stop by
and don't believe me, have them measure it for themselves, and they
walk away with a paranoid glaze over their eyes.

1758. Re: Magnum 257 Is For KEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-25 23:58:49 UTC
From: cprstn54zz@att.net
Message-ID: <Z2BJd.15166$US.2181@news.ono.com>
Source record: 195396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

>
> "Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> IS A FEDERAL LAW BREAKER:
>
> Thats not a type accepted ɾadio thus aganist the law to use on the CB band.

Hey look you dick. I could transmit with it, then say I didn't.
I could break the law, then weasel out of it on a technicality.
There's all kinds of ways to break the law and get away with
it. I know.

Ken

1759. Re: Magnum 257 Ishow I get laid!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 00:36:01 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <41f6e6cd@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

<cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote in message
news:Z2BJd.15166$US.2181@news.ono.com...
>>
>> "Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> IS A FEDERAL LAW BREAKER:
>>
>> Thats not a type accepted radio thus aganist the law to use on the CB
>> band.
>
> Hey look you dick. I could transmit with it, then say I didn't.
> I could break the law, then weasel out of it on a technicality.
> There's all kinds of ways to break the law and get away with
> it. I know.
>
> Ken

Besides, All I really wanted was a cool radio so that frank would say was I
was real 11 meter operator, because then I would be in great shape to get
some dick. Why else would I want to get on 11 meters and break federal law
if I wasn't trying to get laid by a super cool cb dude?

Now enough of that. I got my keyclown radio and now I Want some cock!!

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1760. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 00:40:59 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <41f6e7f6@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
<BITCH SNIP>
Frank,I want to shove my main component deep into your love hole.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1761. Re: THE HOMO SHIT STOPS WHEN STEVEO STOPS! <--- piss poor excuse, asswipe... Get a life!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 00:57:49 UTC
From: landshark@iname.com
Message-ID: <1106701069.841229.91030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195400

I hope it never stops.......I love the taste of men.

1762. All The Gay Shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 01:05:36 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1106701536.275697.127660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,alt.atlanta,alt.usenet.kooks

I guess the AKC finally found out about os for real. So what, I say!
Yes we all love cock and the taste of a guy's asshole. Is that a bad
thing? The only bad thing is Steveo starting all the threat bullcrap
with those hams.We'd all be still sucking and fucking just like
before.So say you're sorry, Steveo. End the shit,allready. If thatham
is straight then just forget it. But lets get back to the way we were.
I suck your cock and let you cum in my mouth then french kiss twistie
just like you remember we used to. OK???

1763. Re: All The Gay Shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 01:22:34 UTC
From: KStahl <ktsahl@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ruudnUkwrc1HbWvcRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 195402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,alt.atlanta,alt.usenet.kooks

Landshark wrote:

> I guess the AKC finally found out about os for real. So what, I say!
> Yes we all love cock and the taste of a guy's asshole. Is that a bad
> thing? The only bad thing is Steveo starting all the threat bullcrap
> with those hams.We'd all be still sucking and fucking just like
> before.So say you're sorry, Steveo. End the shit,allready. If thatham
> is straight then just forget it. But lets get back to the way we were.
> I suck your cock and let you cum in my mouth then french kiss twistie
> just like you remember we used to. OK???
>

Ok. That just whizzed way over my head. Why would the American Kennel Club have any interest in this type of thing? Their primary concern is different breeds of dogs - although they do draw the line at becoming concerned with Courtney Love.

--
Si hoc signum legere potes, operis boni in rebus
latinis alacribus et fructuosis potiri potes.
Occidit miseros crambe repetita magistros.

1764. Re: 4057816829166799

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 01:51:09 UTC
From: Lucky <Lucky@TheBotStopsHere.com>
Message-ID: <10vdtsfi6dmsnee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 195403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"eat the hamburger" <ohmylions4@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:adc3e$41f66ddf$d1cc589a$13191@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "QT" <qt@qtnetdxers.net> wrote in message
> news:ct5g4hort0@pita.alt.net...
>> Has anyone observed the pirate operating on 3965 kHz lately?
>> These groups are related to that entity.
>
> The 'answer' to the comment/question above is at the URL below......
>
> http://www.killsometime.com/humor/humor.asp?humor=FWord
>
> Enjoy and BE HAPPY :)
>
>

Thanks buddy

I needed that! :)

Lucky

1765. Re: Julia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 01:52:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f6f89f$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195404
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb

"I AM NOT N8WWM" <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz> wrote in
message news:41f686dc$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1106140864.252101.235840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>> Flip that wrist
>>
>> Flip that wrist
>> Flip that wrist so limp
>>
>> Mopey is a sissy boy
>>
>> With the IQ of a chimp
I like Julia's nice fat ass. I'd rather fuck dudes but if I'm gonna screw a
female I like those Julia type chubs!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1766. Re: All The Gay Shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 01:56:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f6f99e$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195405
Cross-posted to: alt.animals.dogs,rec.radio.cb

"KStahl" <ktsahl@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ruudnUkwrc1HbWvcRVn-pA@comcast.com...
> Landshark wrote:
>
>> I guess the AKC finally found out about os for real. So what, I say!
>> Yes we all love cock and the taste of a guy's asshole. Is that a bad
>> thing? The only bad thing is Steveo starting all the threat bullcrap
>> with those hams.We'd all be still sucking and fucking just like
>> before.So say you're sorry, Steveo. End the shit,allready. If thatham
>> is straight then just forget it. But lets get back to the way we were.
>> I suck your cock and let you cum in my mouth then french kiss twistie
>> just like you remember we used to. OK???
>>
>
> Ok. That just whizzed way over my head. Why would the American Kennel Club
> have any interest in this type of thing? Their primary concern is
> different breeds of dogs - although they do draw the line at becoming
> concerned with Courtney Love.
>
>
> --
> Si hoc signum legere potes, operis boni in rebus
> latinis alacribus et fructuosis potiri potes.
> Occidit miseros crambe repetita magistros.

I have had sex with male dogs many times. They seem to enjoy it.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1767. Re: Pet Loss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 01:58:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f6fa11@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195406
Cross-posted to: alt.animals.dog,rec.radio.cb

"Gravity Wave" <skreenrite@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:5jCJd.15790$5R.12820@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> My Brother lost his Jack Russel terrier today. Put to sleep. This guy who
> never shows emotion has become a certifieed basket case. The dog was
> closer to him than his own kids. He's taking this prettu hard. Is there
> anyhting I might be able to do to help him ease the pain.
>
GIVE HIM A BLOW JOB.....THAT SHOULD MAKE HIM FEEL GREAT!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1768. Re: Ping! Randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 02:44:18 UTC
From: WE LOVE WWM <wwm.is.a.great.ham@wwm-great.ham.org>
Message-ID: <41f70508@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195408

You know that cocksucker never sent anything to Riley!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1769. Re: Ping! Randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 02:45:01 UTC
From: WE LOVE WWM <wwm.is.a.great.ham@wwm-great.ham.org>
Message-ID: <41f70509@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195407

You know that cocksucker never sent anything to Riley!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1770. Re: cobra schematic needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 03:59:28 UTC
From: Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Message-ID: <41f7167e@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"jrd" <jrd426@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:-cadneHqAuhxjGrcRVn-tQ@storm.ca...
> hi guys, i'm looking for a workable copy of a circuit diagram for a cobra
> 138 xlr.
>
> thanks in advance
> Jeff

Hi Jeff,
I have one I could scan in for you.

I like to hear about your cock? Are you cut or uncut?

E mail a pick of your cock and I'll e mail you the copy of the circuit
diagram you need. I need a few new pics to wack my meat to.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1771. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 04:12:59 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fNEJd.15844$5R.8296@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195411

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:g33dv0lhq66huvqi3pjauni535v73f69rl@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 12:11:41 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote in <7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>>equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>>practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
>>frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
>>affirmative action.....
>
>
> What's your problem with affirmative action?

Well I'm a business owner that has contracts with
the city of Issaquah Washington. As an independent
contractor with the city, I must adhere to their rules on affirmative action
employment.
So rather than hire a electrical engineer of 30 years
experience who was Caucasian, I have to hire an employee
of color, with less experience. That now means possibly more training,
inferior quality work, more issues with their work
because of their lack of experience.
Why as a business owner must I be FORCED to hire
someone of less experience to meet affirmative action
requirements? Why can't I just hire the right person for the
job, no matter what their color of skin is?

Landshark

--


1772. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 04:12:59 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <fNEJd.15845$5R.5894@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195412

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 05:21:07 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com...
>>> At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>>> of the political spectrum....
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>> What makes you think that "they" are on the
>>wrong side of the political spectrum? Now think about
>>it Dave, Frank has said a number of times he is not a
>>liberal, he just doesn't like Bush's policy's.
>
> Yet he voted for Nader?(While also defending Kerry to the teeth)
> Doesn't that sound a bit off to you? If a conservative or even a
> moderate had a problem with Bush politically, do you think that they
> would vote for Nader?

My dad's a republican, he voted for Nader. He hated Kerry,
but disliked Bush just as much, so rather than not vote at all
he voted for who "he" wanted.

>
>> Twist is what
>>I would say a liberal, but how does that make him on the
>>"wrong side"? Because you don't agree with them, that
>>makes them on the wrong side?
>
> No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
> equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
> practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state,

I'll agree there.

> to frivolous lawsuits,

I don't see that being any fault of a political agenda.

> to the creation and expansion of federal taxes,

Nixon, I think Regan, Bush Sr. raised taxes, along with Clinton
so I again don't see a liberal agenda there.

> to affirmative action,

I'll agree there

> to mollycoddling terrorists, liberals have been on
> the wrong side of history, and the wrong side for Americans.
> I could list a whole host of examples, but this is not the place for
> that.
>

What about Saddam, Samosa, Shah of Iran, among many
other dictators, heads of state that the US under many
different administrations supported?

> Liberals have all the best intentions. They are not "evil" people.
> They are just hopelessly naive and overly idealistic. It's no wonder
> that most Hollywierd types tend to be liberals.

What about Arnold, Bo Derek, Bruce Willis, Tom Selleck, Dennis Miller, Mel
Gibson, Chuck Norris, Ben Stein, Pat Sajak, Kelsey Grammer, Danny Aiello,
Patricia Heaton and James Woods?

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1773. A few AKC IP Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 04:24:34 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <6YEJd.217$MX2.22@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195413

Here ya' go:

63.164.254
172.135.205
172.173.253
152.163.100
172.160.135

And you thought you were safe hiding behind Newszone.

1774. HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 04:35:39 UTC
From: google_groups_web@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106714139.019561.12460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195414

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner.
I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.free-hoster.com/goodnews << Passion of the Christ
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1775. Re: Pet Loss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 04:53:03 UTC
From: Gravity Wave <skreenrite@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PmFJd.15855$5R.8935@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195415
Cross-posted to: alt.animals.dog,rec.radio.cb

You need to get back on your meds you dumb fuck!
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41f6fa11@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Gravity Wave" <skreenrite@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:5jCJd.15790$5R.12820@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>> My Brother lost his Jack Russel terrier today. Put to sleep. This guy who
>> never shows emotion has become a certifieed basket case. The dog was
>> closer to him than his own kids. He's taking this prettu hard. Is there
>> anyhting I might be able to do to help him ease the pain.
>>
> GIVE HIM A BLOW JOB.....THAT SHOULD MAKE HIM FEEL GREAT!!
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1776. Re: STEVEO IS A FILTHY FAGGOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 05:02:53 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <1wFJd.174928$6l.61835@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 195416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.white-power,alt.personals.gay

okay then
i will pull into the shower and scrub his balls and all

tell me about a guy who knows me, after he has met me

i love a few strait guys myself
i beat or slap them often

someday i will tell you of the saga
but try to make friends is a good idea

"I AM NOT N8WWM !" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1106563689.846474.112080@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> HEY RF.....WHY DON'T YOU JUST STOP BEATING SROUND THE BUSH AND TELL
> THE MAN THE TRUTH.....STEVEO IS A FILTHY SPERM SLURPING FAGGOT.
>
> HE'S IN LOVE WITH A STRAIGHT DUDE AND HE'S ACTING LIKE HE'LL ONLY SUCK
> ONE DUDE'S DICK. AND YOU KNOW STEVEO HANGS OUT AT THE PUBLIC TOILETS
> BEGGING FOR STRANGE DIVK.
>

1777. Re: JERRY. whatever. Why are people making their children into murderers and killers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 05:12:31 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3FFJd.174566$Xk.148983@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195417
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

NOT
things who have nbo idea of reality don't need reality

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:q4sJd.169144$6l.36527@pd7tw2no...
> no idea where i am going here
> Seems the jihad is intent on making
> death, a good idea for them.
> Suicide is doubtless the idea
> muslims are teaching their children to be butchers
>
>
> CBC. CA is the canadian government televison system
> log onto it 7pm and 8pm programs.
>
>
> pathetic
>
> jus be carefull
> even around kids
> i gather the children mean nothing to these people
>
> just got 25 pounds of onions.
> So i shovelled many of them out, and taxed everyone in the high rise to
> find me their favorite recipes.
> talk ya later
> time is close
>

1778. cobra schematic needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 06:51:10 UTC
From: jrd <jrd426@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <-cadneHqAuhxjGrcRVn-tQ@storm.ca>
Source record: 195409

hi guys, i'm looking for a workable copy of a circuit diagram for a cobra 138 xlr.

thanks in advance
Jeff

1779. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 07:10:47 UTC
From: "Bertie the Bunyip", XZXZX
Message-ID: <Xns95EA4A43E9CDFZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.21>
Source record: 195418
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

ned@arthur.valhalla.oz (Ned Latham)
sednews:slrncvckv6.7rh.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz:

> "Bertie the Bunyip" wrote in <cs7ed7$ho7$9@pita.alt.net>:
>> Ned Latham wrote:
>> > Bertie the Bunyip wrote:
>> >
>> > Nothing relevant to act-b.
>>
>> Snort
>
> Do your coke in private, Bertie.
>

you're my coke?

berti e

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

1780. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 07:11:47 UTC
From: "Bertie the Bunyip", XZXZX
Message-ID: <Xns95EA4A6F3D739ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.21>
Source record: 195419
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

ned@arthur.valhalla.oz (Ned Latham)
sednews:slrncvcl85.7rh.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz:

> "Bertie the Bunyip" wrote
> in <Xns95DE48B25A1ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.45>:
>> "PBSL" wrote:
>> > "moparholic" wrote:
>> > >
>> > > hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the
>> > > best fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
>> >
>> > hey racist-ned they are talking to you
>>
>> Blah blah blah.
>>
>> It's you who's racist, Sylvia.
>
> What makes you think that moron's Sylvia?
>
> And what have you got against Sylvia anyway? She seems okay to me.
>

Hmm. nuff said.

Berti e

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

1781. Re: BIG DEAL,YOU FUCKING IDIOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 09:18:56 UTC
From: "I AM NOT 172.142.29.246"
Message-ID: <41f7615f@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195420
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"American Eagle 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in

(snip 'cause you suck>

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

American Eagle 352

ae352@iwon.com

24.58.195.67

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1782. Re: A few AKC IP Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 10:13:42 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org>
Message-ID: <m37kc2-d8b.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 195421

American Eagle 352 wrote:

> Here ya' go:
>
> 63.164.254
> 172.135.205
> 172.173.253
> 152.163.100
> 172.160.135
>
> And you thought you were safe hiding behind Newszone.

OK, but one question: 1) What do you think you're achieving by doing that,
and 2) Are you going to narrow it down past a /24 subnet?

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/

1783. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 11:27:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fsoev0pbhupo1nqhb4pcasg50t5lngc30k@4ax.com>
Source record: 195422

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 04:12:59 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <fNEJd.15844$5R.8296@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:g33dv0lhq66huvqi3pjauni535v73f69rl@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 12:11:41 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote in <7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>>No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>>>equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>>>practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
>>>frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
>>>affirmative action.....
>>
>>
>> What's your problem with affirmative action?
>
> Well I'm a business owner that has contracts with
>the city of Issaquah Washington. As an independent
>contractor with the city, I must adhere to their rules on affirmative action
>employment.
> So rather than hire a electrical engineer of 30 years
>experience who was Caucasian, I have to hire an employee
>of color, with less experience. That now means possibly more training,
>inferior quality work, more issues with their work
>because of their lack of experience.
> Why as a business owner must I be FORCED to hire
>someone of less experience to meet affirmative action
>requirements? Why can't I just hire the right person for the
>job, no matter what their color of skin is?

We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
burden on our society. But because there are racist attitudes among
many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.

So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
Canada..... (hehe, just kidding). The problem originates with racist
attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
away anytime soon. By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are

shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
you to do business with Issaquah, are they?

1784. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 11:36:50 UTC
From: "I AM NOT 172.142.29.246"
Message-ID: <41f781af@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195423
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.niggers,rec.radio.cb

"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:fsoev0pbhupo1nqhb4pcasg50t5lngc30k@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 04:12:59 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <fNEJd.15844$5R.8296@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:g33dv0lhq66huvqi3pjauni535v73f69rl@4ax.com...
>>> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 12:11:41 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>> wrote in <7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>>>>equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>>>>practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
>>>>frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
>>>>affirmative action.....
>>>
>>>
>>> What's your problem with affirmative action?
>>
>> Well I'm a business owner that has contracts with
>>the city of Issaquah Washington. As an independent
>>contractor with the city, I must adhere to their rules on affirmative
>>action
>>employment.
>> So rather than hire a electrical engineer of 30 years
>>experience who was Caucasian, I have to hire an employee
>>of color, with less experience. That now means possibly more training,
>>inferior quality work, more issues with their work
>>because of their lack of experience.
>> Why as a business owner must I be FORCED to hire
>>someone of less experience to meet affirmative action
>>requirements? Why can't I just hire the right person for the
>>job, no matter what their color of skin is?
>
>
> We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
> some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
> everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
> burden on our society. But because there are racist attitudes among
> many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other

> races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
> the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>
> So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
> Canada..... (hehe, just kidding). The problem originates with racist
> attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
> away anytime soon. By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
> shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
> and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
> you to do business with Issaquah, are they?
>
>
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1785. Re: A few AKC IP Addresses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 11:40:21 UTC
From: "I AM NOT 172.142.29.246"
Message-ID: <41f78282$3@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"Paul Johnson" <baloo@ursine.dyndns.org> wrote in message
news:m37kc2-d8b.ln1@ursine.ca...
> American Eagle 352 wrote:
>
>> Here ya' go:
>>
>> 63.164.254
>> 172.135.205
>> 172.173.253
>> 152.163.100
>> 172.160.135
>>
>> And you thought you were safe hiding behind Newszone.
>
> OK, but one question: 1) What do you think you're achieving by doing
> that,
> and 2) Are you going to narrow it down past a /24 subnet?
>
> --
> Paul Johnson
> baloo@ursine.dyndns.org
> http://ursine.dyndns.org/~baloo/

Paul,
I think he's trying to get on Steveo's good side. The idiot thinks if Steveo
thinks they're pals he'll be able to get in on the gay cb club gang bang.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1786. Re: Ping! Randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 12:03:12 UTC
From: U Know Who <AKC-M{AnonymousKeyboardCowardsMaster}@not-here.com>
Message-ID: <4GLJd.65573$_56.37639@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195425

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95E9D968027B71234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Did you recieve a reply back from Riley?
>

No, I didn't. Typical.

1787. Re: *IMPORTANT* Message for Google Group, Usenet and AOL users!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 13:06:30 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <vyMJd.7884$YD7.772@fe03.lga>
Source record: 195426
Cross-posted to: alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.cb

And you quoted all that crap, WHY?
Then you didn't even bother to leave your own comments?

BRAIN DEAD I GUESS...

"I AM NOT N8WWM" <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz> wrote in
message news:41f6c792@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> <google_groups_net@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1106687289.960837.53130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

--> SNIP <--

>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1788. Re: Magnum 257 Is For KEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 14:39:47 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <ct8a3j$7r6$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 195427

"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote in message
news:ohidv0lr01ll5al52kpkn7qc84o3d7ikin@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 18:12:46 -0600, "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>>"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> IS A FEDERAL LAW BREAKER:
>>
>>Thats not a type accepted radio thus aganist the law to use on the CB
>>band.
>>Now come to medina and fuck me up the ass!
>
> Fuck yourself up the ass!
>
> (1) I never said I had the radio; (2) Even if I had it, the questions
> apply to ten meters as well as CB; (3) Even if I had the radio and was
> using it on ten and 11 meters, it would be legal outside the USA; (4)
> Even if I had the radio and modified it for 11 meters, no law would be
> broken until I propagated a signal on 11 meters; (5) Even if I
> modified the radio and propagated a signal on 11 meters, no law would
> be broken if it were an emergency.
>
> Anyway, the company seems to suck and provides no helpful technical
> information -- probably because its customers are like you and are
> only interested in putting the radio up their ass.
>
> Ken
> (to reply via email
> remove "zz" from address)

I have one, what do you want to know about it?

Chad

1789. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 15:01:09 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VgOJd.15921$5R.7062@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195428
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
wrote in message
news:41f781af@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Frank Gilliland" wrote in message
> news:fsoev0pbhupo1nqhb4pcasg50t5lngc30k@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 04:12:59 GMT, "Landshark"
>> wrote in :
>>
>>>
>>>"Frank Gilliland" wrote in message
>>>news:g33dv0lhq66huvqi3pjauni535v73f69rl@4ax.com...
>>>> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 12:11:41 -0500, Dave Hall
>>>> wrote in <7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>>>>>equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>>>>>practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
>>>>>frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
>>>>>affirmative action.....
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> What's your problem with affirmative action?
>>>
>>> Well I'm a business owner that has contracts with
>>>the city of Issaquah Washington. As an independent
>>>contractor with the city, I must adhere to their rules on affirmative
>>>action
>>>employment.
>>> So rather than hire a electrical engineer of 30 years
>>>experience who was Caucasian, I have to hire an employee
>>>of color, with less experience. That now means possibly more training,
>>>inferior quality work, more issues with their work
>>>because of their lack of experience.
>>> Why as a business owner must I be FORCED to hire
>>>someone of less experience to meet affirmative action
>>>requirements? Why can't I just hire the right person for the
>>>job, no matter what their color of skin is?
>>
>>
>> We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>> some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>> everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
```

>> burden on our society. But because there are racist attitudes among
>> many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>> races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>> the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>>
>> So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>> Canada..... (hehe, just kidding). The problem originates with racist
>> attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>> away anytime soon. By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>> shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>> and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>> you to do business with Issaquah, are they?

Doug, you really do have some serious mental issues.
You are deliberately cross posting everything just to create flames.

Landshark

--



1790. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 15:01:09 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VgOJd.15920$5R.7985@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195429

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:fsoev0pbhupo1nqhb4pcasg50t5lngc30k@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 04:12:59 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <fNEJd.15844$5R.8296@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:g33dv0lhq66huvqi3pjauni535v73f69rl@4ax.com...
>>> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 12:11:41 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>> wrote in <7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>>>>equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>>>>practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
>>>>frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
>>>>affirmative action.....
>>>
>>>
>>> What's your problem with affirmative action?
>>
>> Well I'm a business owner that has contracts with
>>the city of Issaquah Washington. As an independent
>>contractor with the city, I must adhere to their rules on affirmative
>>action
>>employment.
>> So rather than hire a electrical engineer of 30 years
>>experience who was Caucasian, I have to hire an employee
>>of color, with less experience. That now means possibly more training,
>>inferior quality work, more issues with their work
>>because of their lack of experience.
>> Why as a business owner must I be FORCED to hire
>>someone of less experience to meet affirmative action
>>requirements? Why can't I just hire the right person for the
>>job, no matter what their color of skin is?
>
>
> We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
> some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
> everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
> burden on our society.

If one goes to school, gets an education, promotes that education by
further schooling, aggressively seeks employment, maintains that
employment showing a commitment to the employer and his business,
then he's is not being a burden on society. That opportunity is there
for almost everyone, they have to "want" it, not expect it.

> But because there are racist attitudes among
> many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
> races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
> the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.

I don't believe that's prevalent anymore. If we were in the 50's, 60's
& even the early 70's I would say yes, but I feel it's not the case now.

>
> So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
> Canada..... (hehe, just kidding).

Nope, don't blame them, but do blame Canada ;)

> The problem originates with racist
> attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
> away anytime soon.

Those will always be around, affirmative action or not, but again
I feel that's far & few in between.

> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
> shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
> and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
> you to do business with Issaquah, are they?

If my business is with them, why must I be forced to "not" do business
with them? Because my company has 12 employee's, all qualified to
do the job, but none are of "color" or just one person, so that's not
enough.
My last job I was a manager, I did the hiring & firing and to me I didn't
care what color you were, just so you did the job & did it well. That
attitude is the same where I'm at now.
We have people of color, women working there. I remember a person
of color hired and was asked to take the owners truck over to the car wash
and have them wash it. He refused and said it was a job that degraded him.
I LOL!!! I had done that very same job a dozen times, among many others
when I first started there, I didn't care, just as long as I was paid.

It has lot to do with attitude, people have become complacent and
started
to live off of welfare, SSI, disability etc. Those programs were only meant
as
a crutch, but have grown into basically an income for those that don't want
to
work (I saw it for years when working in SF). Some truly need those programs
and don't abuse them, but more than not abuse it and almost never have to
work

because people like you & I support them with "our" hard earned taxes.

Landshark

1791. Re: *IMPORTANT* Message for Google Group, Usenet and AOL users!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 15:04:41 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <dkOJd.15922$5R.1939@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195430

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:vyMJd.7884$YD7.772@fe03.lga...
> And you quoted all that crap, WHY?
> Then you didn't even bother to leave your own comments?
>
> BRAIN DEAD I GUESS...
>
>
> "I AM NOT N8WWM" <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz> wrote in
> message news:41f6c792@news.usenetzone.com...
>>
>> <google_groups_net@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1106687289.960837.53130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> --> SNIP <--
>
>>
>>
>> ____
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

The reason is to cause flames via other groups, you responded to
another group at the same time. It's Doug's
way of getting his jollies, he is a sick person and the judge
wasn't wrong to order psychological counseling for him.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1792. Re: *IMPORTANT* Message for Google Group, Usenet and AOL users!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 15:31:15 UTC
From: AKC's are dweebs <akcs-are-dweebs@no-spam.comm>
Message-ID: <OJOJd.14920$282.10658@fe04.lga>
Source record: 195431

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:vyMJd.7884$YD7.772@fe03.lga...

> And you quoted all that crap, WHY?

The impotent troll crossposted the original message to [alt.usenet.kooks].

> Then you didn't even bother to leave your own comments?

Oh well, no big loss...

> BRAIN DEAD I GUESS...

Of course.., thats a prerequisite for being one of n8's subordinates.

>
>
> "I AM NOT N8WWM" <unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz> wrote in
> message news:41f6c792@news.usenetzone.com...
>>
>> <google_groups_net@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1106687289.960837.53130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> --> SNIP <--
>
>>
>>
>> ____
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
>

1793. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 15:51:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <63ffv0pjbl586lm00lh24labh6fkb1ol6i@4ax.com>
Source record: 195432

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:01:09 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote in <VgOJd.15920$5R.7985@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:fsoev0pbhupo1nqhb4pcasg50t5lngc30k@4ax.com...
<snip>
>> We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>> some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>> everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
>> burden on our society.
>
>If one goes to school, gets an education, promotes that education by
>further schooling, aggressively seeks employment, maintains that
>employment showing a commitment to the employer and his business,
>then he's is not being a burden on society. That opportunity is there
>for almost everyone, they have to "want" it, not expect it.

See below.

>> But because there are racist attitudes among
>> many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>> races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>> the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>
>
>I don't believe that's prevalent anymore. If we were in the 50's, 60's
>& even the early 70's I would say yes, but I feel it's not the case now.

I used to think that way. But over the years I've seen that racial
discrimination is present just as much as it was before -- it's just
not as visible.

>> So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>> Canada..... (hehe, just kidding).
>
>Nope, don't blame them, but do blame Canada ;)
>
>> The problem originates with racist
>> attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>> away anytime soon.
>
>Those will always be around, affirmative action or not, but again
>I feel that's far & few in between.

If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised.

>> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>> shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>> and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>> you to do business with Issaquah, are they?
>
>If my business is with them, why must I be forced to "not" do business
>with them? Because my company has 12 employee's, all qualified to
>do the job, but none are of "color" or just one person, so that's not
>enough.

If I remember right, there's a minimum number of employees you must
have before you are required to comply with AA. Is 12 over that
threshold?

> My last job I was a manager, I did the hiring & firing and to me I didn't
>care what color you were, just so you did the job & did it well. That
>attitude is the same where I'm at now.
> We have people of color, women working there. I remember a person
>of color hired and was asked to take the owners truck over to the car wash
>and have them wash it. He refused and said it was a job that degraded him.
>I LOL!!! I had done that very same job a dozen times, among many others
>when I first started there, I didn't care, just as long as I was paid.

I understand what you are saying and I agree completely. It would be
great if everyone was color-blind. But that's just not the case.

> It has lot to do with attitude, people have become complacent and
>started
>to live off of welfare, SSI, disability etc. Those programs were only meant
>as
>a crutch, but have grown into basically an income for those that don't want
>to
>work

.....people like Eric,

> (I saw it for years when working in SF). Some truly need those programs
>and don't abuse them, but more than not abuse it and almost never have to
>work
>because people like you & I support them with "our" hard earned taxes.

Yep. But eliminating the programs hurt the people they were intended
to help. The problem is the abuse, not the programs.

1794. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 15:55:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23466-41F7BD5C-57@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195433

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, Jan 25, 2005, 10:34am (EST-1)
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL Date: Tue, Jan 25,
2005, 11:34am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace:
sv3-vM3XMc8/W17T/MJSuVU+Mm09AW3YxGyjG/Cm/AEv8v+A27wk6JS7SKqn50xJhZL7DMvbhk56U1mcXO4!aoIWBs
LgS39x93Argz8UGjy+wOTU0zcOEbytPIa5TRjLu6brU9AR0S2fTKXcbFGfMweKqO9bb7pY!UdMvXYbyDoA=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a
copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.22 On
Mon, 24 Jan 2005 13:49:42 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 08:24:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Thu, 20 Jan 2005 11:01:37 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote in <28053-41EFD5E1-226@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>: <snip>
(Notice how lately he invokes my name in his replies to you, and you in
his replies to me. LOL!)

>Well, it's no coincidence that you and I bring

>out the "best" in our little psychotic friend.

And it's no coincidence you begin your very next post with an insult in
regards to the one that asked you to please illustrate or name this
other mystery hammie you claimed agrees with you that roger beeps are
illegal.

>Who says it has to be a ham?

Why,you did, Davie,,,go back and read your response to what I said, as
your memory has been savagely raped. You clearly responded that you are
not the only ham present in this group to maintain that roger beeps are
illegal. Of course, asking you to share your hallucination with the
group has caused your gears to slip...again.

>It's also no secret that his psychobabble

>seems to keep you going.

Coming from one who suffers vivid hallucinations and insists others can
view your hallucinations also, is quite closer to any "psychobabble"
than anything anyone else in this group has ever done, with the
exception of N8WWM who you have not only defended many times, but
admitted (after being caught) that you "fell into his bullshit". You
need to stop permitting your emotions to lead you around by the nose, as

anyone is able to come along and elicit your ire.

>At least back before you guys found a

>common love for the wrong side of the political
>spectrum....

An excellent example of your twistedness, as one who holds an opposing view to your own myopic views are not wrong, Davie. It is apparent in your ramblings that you indeed believe such self-serving and self-limiting statements, but as usual, you are self-projecting, as no one else shares your minority and radical views except these mystery hammies you continue to invoke but are unable to identify. Hey, it's all good, Davie,,people like you need comfort and conjured playmates can actually provide therepeutic benefits in children.

>I'm not the one who changes my screen name
>at the drop of a hat.

Quite right,,you're the one who changes their access path to the group with different servers at the drop of a hat.. You're the one that can not distinguish between fiduciary and judiciary, resulting in your inability to explain any differences between a dx'er and a speeder in regards to this "federal law" you incorrectly harp about.

You're the one who ignorantly and incorrectly hold a dx'er and and a speeder going faster than 70 MPH as a "federal criminal" based on your claim that the laws were enacted by the feds.

You're the one who incorrectly shouts that "roger beeps are illegal", based on nothing more than your inability to locate a law permitting them.

You're the one who incorrectly claimed other hams agreed with your stated ignorance that roger beeps were illegal and began stuttering over your claim when your hallucinations were observed empiracally, noted, and questioned.

You're the one whose posting history is chock full of insults to those with whom you disagree You're the one who claims your derogatory terms are not born of emotion.

In fact, if one were to bother, one could google "sandbagger" and check your posts to anyone who you ever disagreed with, long before you made me your inner personal demon and anti-christ. In fact, you have been told about your inability to maintain proper communications skill sans insult long before I ever pointed out your inabilities to do so.

But.....sharing your selective concern over what is routinely practiced in here by many illustrates your agenda. Whenever you are cornered with your own words, you run and attempt to distance yourself from them and make your posts personal, offtopic, and try to direct them towards anything else, as your bullshit is in the spotlight. Yes, Davie, you are the one that made all those claims above..only you, all alone, as no one

agrees with you,,,,except N8WWM. Now stop posting the gay porn. Your temper has the best of you, but you are laying quite the proper trail with your pal Dogie.
Enjoy. :)

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

1795. Re: N3CVJ says "US History is Wrong"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 16:23:36 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23468-41F7C408-5@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195435

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 05:21:07 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com...
>At least back before you guys found a

>common love for the wrong side of the political
>spectrum....

>Dave

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

-
What makes you think
that "they" are on the wrong side of the political spectrum? Now think
about it Dave, Frank has said a number of times he is not a liberal, he
just doesn't like Bush's policy's.

>Yet he voted for Nader?(While also defending

>Kerry to the teeth) Doesn't that sound a bit off

>to you? If a conservative or even a moderate

>had a problem with Bush politically, do you

>think that they would vote for Nader?

Twist is what
I would say a liberal, but how does that make him on the "wrong side"?
Because you don't agree with them, that makes them on the wrong side?

>No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of

>the political equation has done little to help

>and far more to ruin this country at practically

>every turn.

If it wasn't for the liberal there would be NO country in which you
could reside and bash those whose views are far more tolerant and in
line with our founding forefathers than with your radical conservatism
based and rooted in hate and disdain for all those different from your
minority self.

>From the creation of the welfare state, to

>frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and

>expansion of federal taxes,

The creation and expansion of federal taxes is fundamentally necessary and a cornerstone of the democracy many refer to as a republic. It's not the intelligent GOPers opposing federal taxes, it's the over-zealous radicals that hole themselves up in compounds such as Waco with weapons and justify their actions by claiming incorrectly everyone else around them is evil and bad..just like you do when you take the Soma responsible for much of your incoherent babble and begin pining and longing for the days of yesterday and blaming the decline of society (reflected, according to you, on the cb radio) for your woes and what ails you.

> to affirmative

>action, to mollycoddling terrorists,

That's a great new term the Bush folks tossed out for their legions of sheople. I see you seized upon it faster than you acknowledged the White House report a week and a half ago that they were WRONG concerning their claims of WMDS. The next term you will be force fed will be "Freedom guidelines"....which will be the suspension of personal liberties in the name of protecting us, of course, from the undefined terrorist that has armchair generals and people like you cowering in fright.

>liberals

>have been on the wrong side of history, and

>the wrong side for Americans. I could list a

>whole host of examples, but this is not the

>place for that.

Of course it's not,,this is America, the country founded by liberals. Your freedom hating and America bashing can be better served by those you coddle,,the "terrorists".

1796. Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 16:29:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <33hfv01bjh0luhprqdd5v1dnbajk30jd9j@4ax.com>
Source record: 195434

Okay, I've been looking for a Super-Scanner for a while and can't find
one for sale. So I decided to make my own. The manual has enough info
to build a pretty close copy except for one detail: the boom length.
What should the spacing be when one dipole is the radiator and the
other two are reflectors?

And would there be any advantage to adding a little reactance
(electrical length) to a dipole when it's switched in as a reflector?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1797. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 16:33:48 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23470-41F7C66C-1@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195436

N3CVJ wrote:
>Where is the proof that Bush is a "criminal"?

(How about his conviction for DUI? That alone defines him as a
criminal.)

>What is your basis for

>making such an outlandishly absurd and so

>typically partisan claim?

(Now there's a loaded question if I ever heard one. Regardless, I think
Twisty would me more than eager to provide an answer so I'll let him
take the first jab.)

Of interesting note, is when one has a conviction in a court of law on
his record, Dave vehemently lobbies his view they are innocent...not a
criminal.
Ditto for when one is listed on the rainreport and reported by the fcc
as breaking communication law.,,Dave lobbied for the innocence of
N8WWM..yet, when one is not found guilty in court of law, not charged
with a crime, Dave somehow feels qualified to pronounce one a federal
criminal, based only on what he refers his "empirical observational
skills", which bring us imaginary hams and hallucinations he
acknowledges but refuses to share.
Dave's world is no longer a factor.

1798. Re: Magnum 257 Is For KEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:05:38 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <hbjfv0hn3gidr53jg20vpofu552glglrn6@4ax.com>
Source record: 195437

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 23:58:49 GMT, a scumbag impersonating me wrote:
>Hey look you dick. I could transmit with it, then say I didn't.
>I could break the law, then weasel out of it on a technicality.
>There's all kinds of ways to break the law and get away with
>it. I know.
>
>Ken
(not me)

I am turning your posting over to the FBI for whatever they do to
persons committing wire fraud.

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

1799. Re: Magnum 257 Is For KEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:10:35 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <ct8iuc$as5$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 195438

"Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu> wrote in message
news:ct8a3j$7r6$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu...
>
> "Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote in message
> news:ohidv0lr01ll5al52kpkn7qc84o3d7ikin@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 18:12:46 -0600, "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>>"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> IS A FEDERAL LAW BREAKER:
>>>
>>>Thats not a type accepted radio thus aganist the law to use on the CB
>>>band.
>>>Now come to medina and fuck me up the ass!
>>
>> Fuck yourself up the ass!
>>
>> (1) I never said I had the radio; (2) Even if I had it, the questions
>> apply to ten meters as well as CB; (3) Even if I had the radio and was
>> using it on ten and 11 meters, it would be legal outside the USA; (4)
>> Even if I had the radio and modified it for 11 meters, no law would be
>> broken until I propagated a signal on 11 meters; (5) Even if I
>> modified the radio and propagated a signal on 11 meters, no law would
>> be broken if it were an emergency.
>>
>> Anyway, the company seems to suck and provides no helpful technical
>> information -- probably because its customers are like you and are
>> only interested in putting the radio up their ass.
>>
>> Ken
>> (to reply via email
>> remove "zz" from address)
>
> I have one, what do you want to know about it?
>
> Chad
>
Ken,

I just read your original post off google.

Recently I described it in the roger beeps thread but I can put it in a
nutshell for you.

I bought it because it's not full of bullshit like beeps and echos. The
price was right and I wanted an entry level 10M radio. I have not compared
transmit figures to the old vs new but I can tell you that the audio is

cleaner and a touch louder. I go for clean and cannot stand the ol "good buddy distorted ratchet jaw sound". All audio reports have been great. I highly reccommend it if you know what you are operating. It's not a knob twisty radio, there are functions on dual mode buttons, and easy to end up on a band you don't wanna be, you can lock down to certain bands if you desire. I have been happy with mine, works well in temp extremes, has good receive, excellent audio, and a great price. I do not regret the purchase one bit. Let me know if you have anyother questions. I even have internal pics somewhere I can e-mail if you desire.

Good day,

Chad

1800. Re: Magnum 257 Is For KEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:11:57 UTC
From: Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net>
Message-ID: <gfjfv05kji02t2ie319r2odg51o0uecsb9@4ax.com>
Source record: 195440

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 08:39:47 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote:
>I have one, what do you want to know about it?

Hi, Chad.

Sorry. Got distracted by that flamer pervert no-life.

My original posting asked about the new full-time speech compressor,
dubbed the CP1. I want to find out:
(1) Whether it was only engaged for sideband
(2) How "processed" it made sideband TX sound
(3) How much of an inrease over normal did it provide, in dB if known
or in signal reports.

I asked Magnum these questions twice and twice got non-responsive
answer, probably from somone in Bangalore who never saw one.

Ken
(to reply via email
remove "zz" from address)

1801. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:12:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3egfv05dfgvotlnvomn87lmu7fptbmnk7n@4ax.com>
Source record: 195439

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 10:12:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 11:57:57 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <fatcv0pp9qnepcsp8b3b3bj0j9p32s4jjr@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>>It's also no secret that his psychobabble seems to keep you going.
>>>
>>>
>>>Newsflash: I argue with Twisty to keep my own wits sharp.
>>
>>You admitted it yourself, Twisty "debates" by playing head games with
>>people. That's hardly your style Frank. Certainly not something worthy
>>enough to be called "sharpening your wits".
>
>
>On the contrary (and I mean that quite literally), I have to stay on
>my toes -because- of his 'head-game' style.

Why? If you are telling the truth, his "spin" is quite evident for
what it truly is. Hardly something that one needs to defend.

>>> I argue with you because you are ignorant.
>>
>>Which started coincidentally when you found out that I support the
>>opposite political party.
>
>
>Wrong. It started when you came running to the defense of baby-G after
>I raked his policies over the coals. The specific post where you
>started it was: <ig84o01g4k7sq5nl6vk7lnrkuepuigfjp1@4ax.com>
>

Yes, and after deflecting your vicious and unsubstantiated claims, or
the hypocrisy of using one set of opinions to offset another, you had
a problem.

>
>> Before that we were on good terms. Now you
>>"think" (and I use the term loosely) that I'm an idiot for it.
>
>
>Wrong. I think you are quite intelligent. You just don't use those
>brains whenever the facts conflict with your belief system.

But you have YET to provide more than a few true facts. Your "facts"
are little more than conclusions that someone else arrived at based
loosely on probability and conjecture. What true facts you have
provided are not relevant to the core issue.

>> If that
>>isn't a partisan pundit attitude, I don't know what is.
>
>
>I see you discovered a new word -- 'pundit'. But you use it much to
>frequently to be effective.

If the shoe fits.......

>>Only an idiot argues with another idiot Frank. You "argue" with me,
>>because you are unable to present your "side" with anything other than
>>your own opinions. The fact that I can effectively deflect your
>>"facts" as the op-ed opinions that they truly are frustrates you.
>
>
>What makes you think you can do anything of the sort? So far you
>haven't accomplished anything that would substantiate your claim
>(which is certainly no suprise).

I can and I have. Can you prove that Bush was a deserter? Can you
prove that he dodged the draft? Can you prove that he's a "criminal?

>>The facts are not in your favor Frank.
>>
>>>>At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>>>>of the political spectrum....
>>>
>>>
>>>Your political spectrum analyzer is out of alignment, Dave.
>>
>>You certainly are entitled to your opinion, as wrong as it might
>>be.....
>>
>>> And you
>>>haven't read a single word I've written because, and to put it simple
>>>enough for a 1st grader, I don't take sides when it comes to politics.
>>>I oppose Bush because he's a criminal, not because he's a Republican.
>>
>>Where is the proof that Bush is a "criminal"?
>
>
>How about his conviction for DUI? That alone defines him as a
>criminal.

And Clinton never inhaled (But did dodge the draft) so what's your
point? How does a DUI conviction that happened many years ago affect
his leadership of the country now? You're grasping at straws Frank...

>> What is your basis for
>>making such an outlandishly absurd and so typically partisan claim?

>Now there's a loaded question if I ever heard one. Regardless, I think
>Twisty would me more than eager to provide an answer so I'll let him
>take the first jab.

Coming from someone who disregards and disrespects the law, and then
makes all sorts of lame excuses for it, whatever he says is already
suspect.

>>>And if you had any evidence..... or even a reasonable suspicion that
>>>Kerry was in any way a criminal, I could accept it.
>>
>>The evidence is there. It came out of Kerry's own mouth. He admitted
>>to taking part in the atrocities in Vietnam on Meet the Press on April
>>18th 1971.
>
>
>He admitted the same at the congressional hearing.
>
>
>> Now Frank, you are a man who claims to embrace logic, so
>>riddle me this then. If Kerry is telling the truth about his part in
>>these "atrocities", then is he not guilty of a war crime?
>
>
>Truth is relative to the observer. Facts are not. Kerry may have been
>telling the truth as he saw it but the facts may be different (and
>frequently are when testimony is based on nothing but recollection of
>events). If the details from his testimony could be verified as
>factual then he might indeed be a criminal.

If that isn't the biggest double talking tap dance I ever saw, I don't
know what is. The facts are quite clear:
Either he was truthful and he took part in war crimes, or he lied
about it. Either way, he's a an opportunistic slimeball.

>But there are two parts to
>his testimony:
>
>1) that war crimes were committed in Vietnam, which has since been
>verified as factual.

There are many statements from other servicemen that say pretty much
the opposite. While some atrocities may have occurred, they were in no
way condoned, endorsed, or pardoned by the command structure.

>The problem is that everybody knew stuff like
>that was going on so it wasn't any big shock when Kerry made the claim
>in front of congress.

Everybody didn't know, as evidenced by the testimony of other
servicemen.

And isn't it odd that Kerry, a swiftboat captain, saw more atrocities
in the 4 months that he was there, than other guys saw in 2 or more
full tours of duty?

>2) that -he- committed war crimes in Vietnam, which has -not- been
>verified as factual. IOW, either he provided specific information
>regarding his conduct which the government chose not to verify, or his
>claims were nonspecific generalities which could not be verified.
>
>Assuming the former (that he made specific references to specific
>acts) then the question becomes one of why the crimes were not
>prosecuted. There were plenty of war crimes in Vietnam that -were-
>prosecuted, so war crimes were not always ignored. Nixon wanted him
>silenced, and it would have certainly been easy enough if he -was-
>prosecuted for war crimes, but that never happened.

Which then lends credibility to the notion that HE LIED. Along with
the other stuff he did while a part of the VVAW.

>So the only issue left is one of perception. What one person perceives
>as a crime may only be an act of war in the mind of another (a problem
>that is still evident today but you refuse to admit). That seems to be
>the case, and therefore it doesn't matter what he said. The -fact- is
>that Kerry's acts were never addressed by the government as war crimes
>-regardless- of how Kerry perceived his own actions.

IOW Kerry lied.

>> On the other
>>hand, if he didn't take part, and the whole issue was a blown up
>>fabrication, doesn't that make him a liar?
>
>
>No. As I stated before, people have different perceptions and
>interpretations about what constitutes a "crime", and the subject has
>been addressed in this newsgroup on many occasions when discussing the
>legality of FCC rules.

The FCC rules are pretty cut and dry. You operate above 4 watts of
power and/or on non-assigned frequencies, you are committing a
violation of federal law. The only "perception" issue is the one kept
alive only by those who refuse to deal with the reality of what they
are doing, or who try to justify it somehow by trivializing it.

>> Would you want someone who
>>lied like that to be your CNC? How many other lies did he make in the
>>aftermath of the who winter soldier debacle and the VVAW movement
>>which followed?
>>
>>
>>> But so far you
>>>have offered nothing but excuses, logical fallacies, and websites with
>>>forged documents and paranoid rants.

>>
>>As opposed to the sites you provided which were nothing more than the
>>flip side of what I provided?
>
>
>Do you think Kerry's official military records are forgeries?

No, but they only tell part of the story.

>>You discard what I provided because you refuse to acknowledge the
>>possibility. You don't want to believe it so you deny it. You came up
>>with some sort of "font analysis" on one document, and concluded that
>>it was a forgery, so then you projected that conclusion to all the
>>rest of the evidence.
>
>
>Once again, I used the same standards that were used to discredit the
>CBS documents.

Has it occurred to you that the font issue could be explained by the
OCR software used to digitize the documents?

> And the rest of the website was nothing more than
>speculation without facts. Such as assumption of guilt in the absense
>of evidence, and misinterpretation of official military records.

Many murderers have been put in prison based on circumstantial
evidence. When this much smoke is generated, there will be a fire
somewhere.

>>> If you are really a supporter of
>>>the Republican party then you should keep quiet on political issues
>>>because you are giving your party some very poor representation.
>>
>>I am a conservative,
>
>
>That's fine. Label yourself if you want. But don't label me a liberal
>just because I don't share your beliefs.

Fine then. But I find it odd, but perhaps enlightening, that most of
the people I know with conservative ideals are quick to admit it,
almost proudly. While most of the people I know who are liberals hide
from the term, almost like it was holy water to a vampire. I have to
wonder why they feel the need to hide what they are? If you are
committed to your beliefs, then you should be able to admit them with
confidence.

That being said, what are your political leanings Frank? You are
certainly no conservative. And please don't try to pull a cop out and
claim to be "moderate".

>
>
>> and I support those who best represent my
>>political views.
>>
>>I also believe in the history and honor of our country, its military
>
>
>Were you ever in the military, Dave?

Irrelevant.

>
>
>>and the judgement of its leader in matters of national security and
>>enemies of the state.
>
>
>You do understand that there are three branches to the government,
>don't you? Bush isn't in the Judicial branch.

And your point is? The judicial branch is responsible for interpreting
the law.

Dave

1802. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:15:02 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ovjfv0tkg2dq2hl9f5lo406doqeln3ktdk@4ax.com>
Source record: 195441

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 10:15:33 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 12:11:41 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>>equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>>practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state, to
>>frivolous lawsuits, to the creation and expansion of federal taxes, to
>>affirmative action.....
>
>
>What's your problem with affirmative action?

It's an institutionalized form of reverse discrimination.

Dave

1803. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:26:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <e2kfv013oe1p6pjun3cel5011dk3s721ck@4ax.com>
Source record: 195442

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 03:27:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
>burden on our society.

No, that is not necessarily true. We have the responsibility as a
society to provide opportunities. But we bare no responsibility to
guarantee success.

You can lead a horse to water, but you can't make him drink.

>But because there are racist attitudes among
>many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.

I think we all understand why AA came to be. The problem is that what
AA does in essence, is to fight discrimination with reverse
discrimination.

Is it fair, that someone who is not a part of the recognized minority
(And this is not just blacks. It could be women, latinos, gays, or
anyone who isn't a WASP male), who goes through the right hoops,
studies hard, and works to make his place in society, only to have his
"place" taken from him and given to an arbitrary person of recognized
minority status, who did not work nearly as hard?

>So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>Canada..... (hehe, just kidding). The problem originates with racist
>attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>away anytime soon.

Minority people share much of the responsibility for their own
situation. Many throw up their hands when things get tough and simply
blame it on the "white folks". While racism is still alive and well in
many places, it's a shadow of what it was 50 years ago.

> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>you to do business with Issaquah, are they?

I'd be curious as to some of the claims of racism. How many people of
recognized minority status who claim "racism" or discrimination, are

simply playing that card as a cover for simply being inferior to another potential job candidate?

Then you have to consider that the more we make laws and policies that highlight and call attention to our differences, the more they will remain? The answer to true equality in not to emphasize our differences, but to eliminate them.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1804. HAVE YOU HEARD THE GOOD NEWS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:32:04 UTC
From: google_groups_web@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106760724.245393.268470@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195443

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner.
I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One."

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.free-hoster.com/goodnews << Passion of the Christ
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1805. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:36:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6nkfv0h6r2avebqiu1mb5738cjgda4tm5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 195444

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:01:09 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>> We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>> some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>> everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
>> burden on our society.
>
>If one goes to school, gets an education, promotes that education by
>further schooling, aggressively seeks employment, maintains that
>employment showing a commitment to the employer and his business,
>then he's is not being a burden on society. That opportunity is there
>for almost everyone, they have to "want" it, not expect it.

That's called personal responsibility. It's at the core of the
conservative mantra.

>> But because there are racist attitudes among
>> many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>> races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>> the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>
>
>I don't believe that's prevalent anymore. If we were in the 50's, 60's
>& even the early 70's I would say yes, but I feel it's not the case now.

I never see racism in my daily conduction of business. We employ all
sorts of diverse ethnic people. Nobody even thinks about it.

In fact, when I see some of the older members of my family and they
let out a racially insensitive comment, it makes me cringe.

But there are people of recognized minority status who "play" the
system. The problem is that when the majority tries to open up dialog
to address this subject, they are shouted down and demonized by the
opposition by calling the discussions "racist", "sexist", or
"xenophobic". I applaud Bill Cosby for speaking out and highlighting
many of the problems which are affecting the black community. Maybe
coming from one of their own, the dialog might stand a better chance
of happening.

>
>> So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>> Canada..... (hehe, just kidding).
>
>Nope, don't blame them, but do blame Canada ;)

You're such a hoser! Take off eh?

>> The problem originates with racist
>> attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>> away anytime soon.
>
>Those will always be around, affirmative action or not, but again
>I feel that's far & few in between.
>
>> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>> shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>> and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>> you to do business with Issaquah, are they?
>
>If my business is with them, why must I be forced to "not" do business
>with them? Because my company has 12 employee's, all qualified to
>do the job, but none are of "color" or just one person, so that's not
>enough.
> My last job I was a manager, I did the hiring & firing and to me I didn't
>care what color you were, just so you did the job & did it well. That
>attitude is the same where I'm at now.

That is the only criteria that should be considered IMHO.

> We have people of color, women working there. I remember a person
>of color hired and was asked to take the owners truck over to the car wash
>and have them wash it. He refused and said it was a job that degraded him.

And some wonder why many minority people are still falling short in
the wealth category.....

>I LOL!!! I had done that very same job a dozen times, among many others
>when I first started there, I didn't care, just as long as I was paid.
>
> It has lot to do with attitude, people have become complacent and
>started
>to live off of welfare, SSI, disability etc. Those programs were only meant
>as
>a crutch, but have grown into basically an income for those that don't want
>to
>work (I saw it for years when working in SF). Some truly need those programs
>and don't abuse them, but more than not abuse it and almost never have to
>work
>because people like you & I support them with "our" hard earned taxes.

And you can thank the liberals for creating the "entitlement"
generation...

Your tax dollars NOT at work.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1806. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:49:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <lblfv0prn4ukqsj00r5a7jlk2g9tt5i52l@4ax.com>
Source record: 195445

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 04:12:59 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 05:21:07 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com...
>>>> At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>>>> of the political spectrum....
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>> What makes you think that "they" are on the
>>>wrong side of the political spectrum? Now think about
>>>it Dave, Frank has said a number of times he is not a
>>>liberal, he just doesn't like Bush's policy's.
>>
>> Yet he voted for Nader?(While also defending Kerry to the teeth)
>> Doesn't that sound a bit off to you? If a conservative or even a
>> moderate had a problem with Bush politically, do you think that they
>> would vote for Nader?
>
>My dad's a republican, he voted for Nader. He hated Kerry,
>but disliked Bush just as much, so rather than not vote at all
>he voted for who "he" wanted.

Was it simply a "protest" vote, or did he actually agree with his
platform?

>>> Twist is what
>>>I would say a liberal, but how does that make him on the
>>>"wrong side"? Because you don't agree with them, that
>>>makes them on the wrong side?
>>
>> No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>> equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>> practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state,
>
>I'll agree there.

>
>> to frivolous lawsuits,
>
>I don't see that being any fault of a political agenda.

It's the whole liberal culture of deflecting responsibility. A
conservative believes in personal responsibility. Meaning that if you
run your foot over with a lawn mower, you smack yourself in the head
for being an idiot, and then head to the emergency room. You don't now
sue the lawn mower company and try to make a windfall from it.

>> to the creation and expansion of federal taxes,

>Nixon, I think Regan, Bush Sr. raised taxes, along with Clinton
>so I again don't see a liberal agenda there.

But if you look at WHY the taxes need to be raised, and the social
programs that eat up much of it, you'll discover that they're not
conservative.

>
>> to affirmative action,
>
>I'll agree there
>
>> to mollycoddling terrorists, liberals have been on
>> the wrong side of history, and the wrong side for Americans.
>> I could list a whole host of examples, but this is not the place for
>> that.
>>
>
>What about Saddam, Samosa, Shah of Iran, among many
>other dictators, heads of state that the US under many
>different administrations supported?

We pick our business partners according do what they can do for us. If
they later turn out to be "bad" people, we deal with them then.

>> Liberals have all the best intentions. They are not "evil" people.
>> They are just hopelessly naive and overly idealistic. It's no wonder
>> that most Hollywierd types tend to be liberals.
>
>What about Arnold, Bo Derek, Bruce Willis, Tom Selleck, Dennis Miller, Mel
>Gibson, Chuck Norris, Ben Stein, Pat Sajak, Kelsey Grammer, Danny Aiello,
>Patricia Heaton and James Woods?

What about them? I didn't say *all* Hollywierd types, I said most.

Hell, Ronald Reagan, who was arguably the model of the modern
conservative, was a former actor.

But I can make a much longer list of the Hollywood Limousine liberals.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1807. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 17:54:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <u3mfv0922f0ink58sqaj062raafecvfnf9@4ax.com>
Source record: 195446

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 10:55:08 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>I'm not the one who changes my screen name
>>at the drop of a hat.

>Quite right,,you're the one who changes their access path to the group
>with different servers at the drop of a hat..

You give me far more credit than I deserve. I use a single newserver
to access this newsgroup. Nothing else.

You have failed to prove otherwise. Your opinion in that regard is
worthless.

>You're the one that can
>not distinguish between fiduciary and judiciary, resulting in your
>inability to explain any differences between a dx'er and a speeder in
>regards to this "federal law" you incorrectly harp about.

I never made that claim.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1808. AOL to end newsgroup service...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 18:07:51 UTC
From: niw2004@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20050126130751.13786.00000179@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 195447

Please Note: The AOL Newsgroup service will be discontinued in early 2005.

For members using AOL over a dial-up connection, you will no longer be able to access Newsgroups. If you have a separate high-speed connection, you can contact your broadband provider to see if they offer Newsgroups. Newsgroup services can often be accessed through a third party reader, such as Mozilla Thunderbird (http://www.mozilla.org/projects/thunderbird/).

Alternatively, you can access Newsgroups via Google at http://groups.google.com/.

We apologize for this inconvenience.

1809. RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 18:39:27 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <srofv01qt47utm51eoeq4aakrmqs9h2dd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 195448

What size loop and how many turns should I use to create a RF choke with my coax, right before the antenna?

Vinnie S.

1810. Re: Magnum 257 CP1 speech compressor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 18:54:40 UTC
From: I love roger beeps <echo@echo.net>
Message-ID: <36pfv0l4jfgqmu07bmrjta2s6vh7jpbvqm@4ax.com>
Source record: 195449

I bought a "blue light" magnum 257 in December 2004. At the time it
was advertised as having a "top gun" modulator. Apparently this was
in error, 'cause the ad was quietly changed to "sp-1 compressor". I
decided to keep it anyway because it is a really pretty radio. It
also works very good. It is so simple to modify and tune up. Just
alittle research on the 'puter is all it took. I don't think the
compressor adds a whole lot. My magnum is mated to a kl500 linear
amplifier which puts out a full 300w am and it works flawlessly.
Don't spend more than $169. They are also quite compact, it's fits
nicely in my import car. You will like it.

I like to get on 10m and jam the piss out of those faggot ham op's.
hehehehe. They are so jealous of me.

ToeJam

On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 14:40:28 -0500, Ken <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote:

>The current Magnum 257 has blue LED's and a built-in full-time speech
>compressor.
>
>Can anyone report on how well the compressor works? Does it sound
>"processed"? How many dB's more do you get with it?
>
>
>Ken
>(to reply via email
>remove "zz" from address)

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

1811. Re: K8CPA's biography

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:01:36 UTC
From: "lol..." <nil@nil.org>
Message-ID: <vvpfv0tjmgas0khasfm8dpdhq378qc8pgb@4ax.com>
Source record: 195450

I don't know who posted this stuff, and I really don't care, because I'm a big enough man to know it's Childish crap.

But I wll warn you guys, who are doing this, N8ECN, Joe, who is mentioned in that little parody of my old bio, if he ever catches you guys... You all gonna be sucking meals through a freaking straw for a long time. You can count on that. Joe's pissed as hell about this crap, and he's gonna be looking for N8WWM and friends.

Just a Word of Warning,

-Chuck K8CPA

On 31 Oct 2003 23:27:57 -0800, chukeesuxdikkee@yahoo.com (ChukkeeSuxDikkee) wrote:

<mindless Drivel snipped>

1812. Re: chuckie blast from the past.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:05:13 UTC
From: "lol..." <nil@nil.org>
Message-ID: <qeqfv0lp1rjis7qvov14atsbm6gb7rkb06@4ax.com>
Source record: 195451

On Sun, 09 Nov 2003 15:46:07 GMT, "Landshark"
<S3buell@Georgesobsession.com> wrote:

>
>"gw" <gw@webhideout.com> wrote in message
>news:235a74db.0311071831.4e71b890@posting.google.com...
>
> <SNIP>
>
> Hey GW, we all know about
>Chuck, let Chuck go, he's not
>worth the effort.
>
> Landshark

we know all about you too big boy..

1813. My limp wristed friends Bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:07:38 UTC
From: Joe Hampton <N8ECN@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <vhqfv0pqbr5287td2fqkbvc39oums7iu4e@4ax.com>
Source record: 195452

K8CPA
Okay, this is the place where I tell you how I
came to know how fucked
up I am.... and all the personal information about
me. I will try and
answer all the questions:

Date of Birth: June 28, 1972 <in the fall of 71 my
daddy fucked a pig,
ya see>

Place of Birth: Detroit, Michigan <in an alley
behind the unit block
of Waterman Avenue>

Religious Information: Well, first off, I am NOT
heterosexual! I am a
faggot! I accepted penises as my personal Lord in
1980. I was all of
10 Years old, I knew exactly what I was doing, I
knew that if I didn't
get cock in my mouth, I would not See the Lord in
the rapture of it's
jizz pulsing. I have been butt pumped nightly for
12 Years. Although,
I was "Backslidden" for about 6 of those years,
while screwing female
barnyard animals. I am now back serving my Lord
penis. I would like to
Thank the Rev. Jack Hizhogoff of Detroit Gayboy
Outreach (Web at:
http://www.dgo.fag) for standing in the gap and
slapping his manly
dick up my fat faggot ass, and for a playroom
where people like me,
who were burned by chickenhawks with syphylitic
dicks and fellow fags
in general, could come and give their hearts back
to the Lord penis.
My only regret is that Rev Hizhogoff had to start
pushing his manly
dick in my fat faggot ass to the point where I
could not bear to bend
over anymore, and I returned to my favorite
pastime, cocksucking in

the men's room of the Detroit bus station.

"Burned" by the syphylitic cocks in my mouth and
asshole?: Yep, Sure
was.... I was... like I said before, I was sucking
when I was 10,
(around 1980), I was originally sucking just
anyone, especially born
again Christians and other mentally fucked up
Baptist types like me. I
loved those guys when theyd tell me to tongue
their assholes.
Condoms were a BIG no-no.... and I believed it!

Speed ahead 4 years. in 1984, The Baptist Church I
went to had a bit
of a controversy, The Pastor had resigned because
he was caught
bobbing on my young fat faggot pee pee, and things
there were in a
state of chaos, and my mom did not want to suffer
having to put up
with my faggot punk boy ass at home. She enrolled
me into the Detroit
Institute for Hopless Gayboy Fatass Chumps. I
started attending and
believe me, going to a Full-TILT anal only gayboy
school. after
attending one with a stated preference for
teaching Hopless Gayboy
Fatass Chumps to mainly suck dick, was a MAJOR
SHOCK to my system! But
one thing kept me from leaving the place, was the
fact that these
people seemed to really love the Lord Penis. and
there was this one
guy, named Robert Snyder, Who played the drums,
like John Bonham,
(from Led Zeppelin) and we got along great....
(Not to mention he
would let me drink his jizz on the sly. That was
HOT! least *I*
thought so! Hey! I was 14 years old, and my
hormones were at full
TILT! So SUE ME!) (By the way, Snyder is now
married to MoparHolic and
living in Ohio. I'm very happy for him.
Anyhow... After being there for about a year. I
started being
interested in playing drums for them, as I was a
drummer, from a

young age... and I wanted to use my talent for the Lord Penis. Well, I
eventually got the nerve to ask if I could play for them, and they
said sure, as long as I would give em all head before each

show! AWESOME!!!... My first time playing for Detroit Institute forHopeless
Gayboy Fatass Chumps was a nightmare! I was scared, I
totally forgot how to play because I was violently burping up the
sprem I had sucked down before the show.! I was THAT scared! Anyhow...
speed ahead to age 19... I was changing as a person, things were
changing at Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps, as
well. and a bit of a disagreement rose up between me and Snyder. I
wanted to suck their cocks the night before the show instead of
immediately prior to it. They said they needed the rush in order to
play better, and I was asked to step down from the music ministry.
Needless to say I was crushed. and very upset at Snyder In fact. I
didn't think I'd ever be able to forgive him for that... Anyhow... I
entered into what I called "My Dark Years" from age 19-26... Drugs,
Drinking, eating 7 meals a day of Burger king, Dominos Pizza
and Taco Bell in order to make myself an even bigger Hopeless Gayboy
Fatass Chump.... and when I found out that Snyder had turned
heterosexual, I really went off the deep end... until...

MY MOMENT OF CLARITY

Well, when I was around 26, I was totally burned out on the
Dominos Pizza... I was just sick and tired of being sick and
tired... I needed cocks rammed up my Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump poop
chute and I needed it BADLY!!! the people I was

upset at were gone
from Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass
Chumps. I was not
getting any older... and I was ready to stop
running from the Lord
penis. But I had issues to resolve in my life...
How could just Go
back to the fags that had only "screwed" me and
wouldnt let me
suck cocks in the first place? I still felt like
I'd been wronged! But
I had the Clarity moment of a lifetime... This
thought crossed my
mind...

"I was a FAGASS PUNKBOY MOTHERFUCKER BEFORE I came
to the Detroit
Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps

Why am I allowing something that happened 7 years
ago, keep me from
serving my God, the Penis?????????

My Return to total Fagassedness!

Well, I was 26 years old... I was wanting to come
back full time to
Lord Penis. But I wasn't going back to Detroit
Institute for Hopeless
Gayboy Fatass Chumps (at least not at that
time!!!) I had heard about
a church called DGW (short for Detroit Gayboy
Outreach) and I'd heard
in the past that it was a nice place...
So..... I wanted to be
where the Holy Spirit of the Lord Penis was... and
there I was... I
came to the church on Ann Arbor Trail... my first
experience there was
a interesting one, I came in the parking lot...
puffing on a 7 year
old kid's cock, (in my years of being Backslidden,
I picked up a
nasty 2 child a day, sucking habit...) I finished
my child, and went
in, and right away I heard SCREAMING in my ear...
"what are you doing
here???" "these are the people that Screwed you,
but would not let you
suck dicks!" act..... and when the song service
started, the Holy

Spirit of Lord Penis fell, and I became very uncomfortable, to the point when I had to leave! and I did!, I remember a usher, running after me and saying where are you going??? I remember looking back at him and saying... "I can't handle this SHIT I got to get out of here!" and I left.... Well, that week... I sort of prepared myself for service to mens dicks next week, I took my Book, Gay Sex For Dummies to work. (I worked midnights as a Security Guard, so I could walk around the ghetto apartment complex I patrolled and peek in windows at sleeping little boys. and I had lots of time to masturbate...) My mother realized I was fantasizing about kids nuts.... I found myself reading the Word, and Praying for a big hairy chested man to suck off.. by Sunday, I knew I was ready to come back... Went back that Sunday.... they had a Illustrated Sermon on fellatio with pictures from several fag magazines, it was great, and needless to say, my Hard cock, Melted in the presence of the Lord Penis. and I knew that I wanted to come home.

I thank the Lord Penis for his Love, That takes us whacked out people as we are, and makes us his Servants....

Where am I now... : Currently Attending Adult classes at The Detroit Institute for Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chumps.

HAM RADIO?!?!?!?!?!?!?I BETRAY IT AND WORSHIP ILLIGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNSBECAUSE THEY LIKE SUCKING COCK AS MUCH AS I DO!!!!I was, I guess you could say, Born into the CB FAGGOT Hobby... My Father, was a Dyed in the wool CB FAG... I even had a stint in the CB radio hobby as well... Anyhow, one year (I think I was around 8 Years old...) my parents and I went to have sex with my Grandfather's

Sister and her German Shepherd, in Conway, Ark,
which is the world
capital of CB FAGGOTS. To say the least, was
totally impressed with
the FAGGOT CB Hobby, because it satisfied my
craving to run my faggot
mouth constantly AND to suck cocks!!!. and for
years I did nothing
about it, just sucking dicks constantly and that
linear tuning on
those tube type ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN amplifiers
was just too hard
to learn. Speed Ahead to age 14, a friend of mine,
Joseph Hampton
(Ham call sign N8ExtremeCockNosher-but ALSO a
secret ILLEGAL FAGGOT
KEYCLOWN) who lived across the street from me, and
also was interested
in getting his dick polished as well.. Well, we
had some gay sex
together... (you see this was when you had to be
secretive about your
gayness! I was KB8HHZ (hugely horny for zebra!)
and Joe was KB8HGL
(Hungry Gonad licker!)... I believe me and Joe
were originally
licensed in 1989....

On April of 2000. The FCC Restructured it's
licenses... and I paid a
renegade VE 50 bucks and Received my General Class
Ticket... I often
masturbate while thinking of linears for ILLEGAL
FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. And
really think Riley is mean. Maybe if I sucked his
dick he would not be
after traitor scumbag shithunk fagboys like me.

My Call? Is a Vanity call that I paid for, it is
my initials! Because
as a Hopeless Gayboy Fatass Chump, I need
something like that for my
ego.

so there you go!

I hope this answers everything you wanted to know
about me!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1814. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:11:37 UTC
From: "lol..." <nil@nil.org>
Message-ID: <noqfv0185259bnqais8c8ve1msggho7ffn@4ax.com>
Source record: 195453

On 16 Jan 2005 23:15:25 +0100, "Chuck K8CPA" <K8CPA@arrl.net> wrote:
<mindless drivel snipped>

as I said before, if Joe N8ECN catches Doug or whoever is doing it.
You're gonna sucking meals through a freaking straw...

He's pissed.

73

Chuck K8CPA

1815. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:16:10 UTC
From: Joe Hampton <N8ECN@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <d3rfv0h77cp8f5kcfuusn723mvk1riepeo@4ax.com>
Source record: 195454

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 14:11:37 -0500, lol...
<nil@nil.org> wrote:

>On 16 Jan 2005 23:15:25 +0100, "Chuck K8CPA" <K8CPA@arrl.net> wrote:
><mindless drivel snipped>
>
>as I said before, if Joe N8ECN catches Doug or whoever is doing it.
>You're gonna sucking meals through a freaking straw...
>
>He's pissed.
>
>73
>
>Chuck K8CPA
>
>
HARDDEEEE HARR HARR HARRR!!! LIFE IS GOOD WHEN YOU
ARENT A FAT MORONIC
PINK MUFFIN BOY NAMED CHUCKEE SUCKEE!!

WHINE AND WHINE MUFFIN BOY!!!! KEEP WHINING!!! WE
LOVE IT!! SISSY
SISSY CHUCKEE!!!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1816. Re: My limp wristed friends Bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:16:53 UTC
From: "lol..." <nil@nil.org>
Message-ID: <a2rfv0dqvddt15s7e13g6279pvlug30tvk@4ax.com>
Source record: 195455

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 14:07:38 -0500, Joe Hampton <N8ECN@arrl.net>
wrote:

>

<Drivel Deleted again>

I suspect I'm gonna get another phone call.. But Joe knows who's
posting the crap, and when he catches them, the stuff is going to hit
the fan. bank on it.

Chuck K8CPA

...-.- ..

1817. Re: Magnum 257 Is For KEYCLOWNS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:19:12 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <ct8qfgdeo1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 195456

"Ken" <cprstn54zz@att.net> wrote in message
news:gfjfv05kji02t2ie319r2odg51o0uecsb9@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 08:39:47 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
> wrote:
>>I have one, what do you want to know about it?
>
>
> Hi, Chad.
>
> Sorry. Got distracted by that flamer pervert no-life.
>
> My original posting asked about the new full-time speech compressor,
> dubbed the CP1. I want to find out:
> (1) Whether it was only engaged for sideband
> (2) How "processed" it made sideband TX sound
> (3) How much of an inrease over normal did it provide, in dB if known
> or in signal reports.
>
> I asked Magnum these questions twice and twice got non-responsive
> answer, probably from somone in Bangalore who never saw one.
>
>
> Ken
> (to reply via email
> remove "zz" from address)

Ken,

(1) I believe it's on all the time
(2) Not at all, just louder on average, sounds great on SSB
(3) Well, tough question, it will bring the average level up as it's mostly compression, but as for seeing it on an S-meter I can't honestly answer.

Chad

1818. K8CPA the retarded gayboy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:21:20 UTC
From: Joe Hampton <N8ECN@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <jcrfv0h91bo9c0h7fal2vfm9ethnilbnuc@4ax.com>
Source record: 195457

I found these 2 posts about K8CPA on the
web...interesting stuff.

He seems to be almost as queerboy as twathed.

Happy holidays gang!

Chukkee the gayboy jizz likk
Sucks a throbbin thrustin dick
There aint a cock on the planet
Chukkee wouldn't gladly lick

All of the other gayboys
Used to laugh and call him names
They loved to taunt poor Chukkee
And toss him in the newsgroup flames

Then one foggy Christmas eve
Twithed came to say
"Chukkee with your lips so bright,
Won't you wash my nuts tonite?"

Then how 'ole Chukkee loved it
As he bobbed up and down
That is the story of Chukkee
The little gay fag keyclown

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1819. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:29:03 UTC
From: Joe Hampton <N8ECN@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <jrrfv0tnkea7l1n46fu6vn4iqu9394edvm@4ax.com>
Source record: 195458

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 14:11:37 -0500, lol...
<nil@nil.org> wrote:

>On 16 Jan 2005 23:15:25 +0100, "Chuck K8CPA" <K8CPA@arrl.net> wrote:
><mindless drivel snipped>
>
>as I said before, if Joe N8ECN catches Doug or whoever is doing it.
>You're gonna sucking meals through a freaking straw...
>
>He's pissed.
>
>73
>
>Chuck K8CPA
>
>
HARDEEHARRHARRHARR, LIFE IS GOOD WHEN YOU ARENT A
BUTT UGLY PIECE OF
TURD LIKE SISSYASS THREATENER OF WOMEN KIDS AND
PETS LIKE THAT FAT
LYING PIECE OF TURD CHUCKEESUKKEE.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1820. HAR HAR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:43:37 UTC
From: Joe Hampton <N8ECN@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <bmsfv090jleujmhc3qk1fq0lme1m7ptbvl@4ax.com>
Source record: 195459

http://www.geocities.com/wimpasschukkee/gayboy_ChukkeeSukkee.html

---
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1821. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 19:52:11 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41f7f451.12893765@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 195460

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 08:29:08 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

>Okay, I've been looking for a Super-Scanner for a while and can't find
>one for sale. So I decided to make my own. The manual has enough info
>to build a pretty close copy except for one detail: the boom length.
>What should the spacing be when one dipole is the radiator and the
>other two are reflectors?
>
>And would there be any advantage to adding a little reactance
>(electrical length) to a dipole when it's switched in as a reflector?
>

Isn't that already done by the relay box? There is 29' of coax hooked
to the undriven elements.

1822. Re: My bio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:11:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19949-41F7F98F-57@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195463

From: nil@nil.org (lol...)
On 16 Jan 2005 23:15:25 +0100, "Chuck K8CPA" <K8CPA@arrl.net> wrote:
<mindless drivel snipped>
>as I said before, if Joe N8ECN catches Doug

>or whoever is doing it. You're gonna sucking

>meals through a freaking straw...

>He's pissed.

>73

>Chuck K8CPA

Of course it's Doug. Google "n8wwm" and check his entrance into this group. He was reprimanded repeatedly for entering his gay talk and fondness for homosexual topics into this group prior to the deterioration you now witness. He was driven underground and the rest is chickenhawk history.

1823. Re: Magnum 257 CP1 speech compressor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:14:31 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19951-41F7FA27-15@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195465

From: echo@echo.net (I love roger beeps)
I bought a "blue light" magnum 257 in December 2004. At the time it was advertised as having a "top gun" modulator. Apparently this was in error, 'cause the ad was quietly changed to "sp-1 compressor". I decided to keep it anyway because it is a really pretty radio. It also works very good. It is so simple to modify and tune up. Just alittle research on the 'puter is all it took. I don't think the compressor adds a whole lot. My magnum is mated to a kl500 linear amplifier which puts out a full 300w am and it works flawlessly. Don't spend more than $169. They are also quite compact, it's fits nicely in my import car. You will like it.
I like to get on 10m and jam the piss out of those faggot ham op's. hehehehe. They are so jealous of me.
ToeJam
-
Ham ops are not in envy of nocodes.

1824. Re: K8CPA's biography

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:18:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19949-41F7FB2E-58@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195464

From: nil@nil.org (lol...)
I don't know who posted this stuff, and I really don't care, because I'm a big enough man to know it's Childish crap.
But I wll warn you guys, who are doing this, N8ECN, Joe, who is mentioned in that little parody of my old bio, if he ever catches you guys... You all gonna be sucking meals through a freaking straw for a long time. You can count on that. Joe's pissed as hell about this crap, and he's gonna be looking for N8WWM and friends.
Just a Word of Warning,
-Chuck K8CPA
On 31 Oct 2003 23:27:57 -0800, chukeesuxdikkee@yahoo.com (ChukkeeSuxDikkee) wrote:
<mindless Drivel snipped>
-
Hello Chuck-eye!

I'd say a prayer for Doug. In addition to the district attorney, his rapid deterioration is in God's hands.

1825. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:27:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <u9vfv0pjr3ofqv5gou4n6704g83ee5vbun@4ax.com>
Source record: 195462

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 12:26:22 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <e2kfv013oe1p6pjun3cel5011dk3s721ck@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 03:27:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>>some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>>everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
>>burden on our society.
>
>No, that is not necessarily true. We have the responsibility as a
>society to provide opportunities. But we bare no responsibility to
>guarantee success.

I said nothing about a guarantee of success, only the opportunity to
succeed.

>You can lead a horse to water, but you can't make him drink.

Your horse is drinking from the wrong end if you think an
"opportunity" is also a "guarantee".

>>But because there are racist attitudes among
>>many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>>races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>>the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>
>I think we all understand why AA came to be. The problem is that what
>AA does in essence, is to fight discrimination with reverse
>discrimination.

Yes it is. The difference is that opportunities are lost when racial
discrimination is based on prejudice; lost opportunities are regained
when discrimination is used to counter the consequences of prejudice.

>Is it fair, that someone who is not a part of the recognized minority
>(And this is not just blacks. It could be women, latinos, gays, or
>anyone who isn't a WASP male), who goes through the right hoops,
>studies hard, and works to make his place in society, only to have his
>"place" taken from him and given to an arbitrary person of recognized
>minority status, who did not work nearly as hard?

I think you switched positions in mid-speech, but I understood what
you were saying. I lost my job at HP because of AA but I didn't lay
down a die. I had a pretty good resume and a fine recommendation. It
wasn't long before I had another job. And it worked out for the best

since the local HP plant turned sour a short time later. I have plenty
of opportunities and I can afford to give up a few for a good cause.

>>So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>>Canada..... (hehe, just kidding). The problem originates with racist
>>attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>>away anytime soon.
>
>Minority people share much of the responsibility for their own
>situation. Many throw up their hands when things get tough and simply
>blame it on the "white folks".

You actually think that kind of projection is exclusive to minorities?
Look in the mirror, Dave -- in many of your posts over the years you
have clearly stated that you don't want to share the responsibilities
of society, so you blame all the country's problems on the liberals.
That's prejudice, Dave.

> While racism is still alive and well in
>many places, it's a shadow of what it was 50 years ago.

It's just hiding in the shadows. And in the airports since the Patriot
Act went into effect. Racial profiling, despite Bush's excuse that it
weeds out terrorists, is nothing more than racial discrimination based
upon prejudice.

BTW, ever hear of Mark Fuhrman? He lives in Idaho right across the
state line from here, and just a few miles from where Richard Butler
had his neo-Nazi compound which drew support from anonymous people all
across the nation. But I suppose you're right, racism is pretty much
dead, huh?

>> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>>shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>>and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>>you to do business with Issaquah, are they?
>
>I'd be curious as to some of the claims of racism. How many people of
>recognized minority status who claim "racism" or discrimination, are
>simply playing that card as a cover for simply being inferior to
>another potential job candidate?

I'd be curious to know how many people use that argument to justify
their racism?

>Then you have to consider that the more we make laws and policies that
>highlight and call attention to our differences, the more they will
>remain? The answer to true equality in not to emphasize our
>differences, but to eliminate them.

Just one "master race", huh Dave?

1826. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:27:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5avfv01unc0s2ihjmr6266q1o6dolm16db@4ax.com>
Source record: 195461

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 12:12:42 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <3egfv05dfgvotlnvomn87lmu7fptbmnk7n@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 10:12:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 11:57:57 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <fatcv0pp9qnepcsp8b3b3bj0j9p32s4jjr@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>>It's also no secret that his psychobabble seems to keep you going.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Newsflash: I argue with Twisty to keep my own wits sharp.
>>>
>>>You admitted it yourself, Twisty "debates" by playing head games with
>>>people. That's hardly your style Frank. Certainly not something worthy
>>>enough to be called "sharpening your wits".
>>
>>
>>On the contrary (and I mean that quite literally), I have to stay on
>>my toes -because- of his 'head-game' style.
>
>Why? If you are telling the truth, his "spin" is quite evident for
>what it truly is. Hardly something that one needs to defend.

But to logically invalidate a 'spin' isn't always a simple task. He's
actually better at constructing intentional fallacies than are most
politicians.

>>>> I argue with you because you are ignorant.
>>>
>>>Which started coincidentally when you found out that I support the
>>>opposite political party.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. It started when you came running to the defense of baby-G after
>>I raked his policies over the coals. The specific post where you
>>started it was: <ig84o01g4k7sq5nl6vk7lnrkuepuigfjp1@4ax.com>
>>
>
>Yes, and after deflecting your vicious and unsubstantiated claims, or
>the hypocrisy of using one set of opinions to offset another, you had
>a problem.

You were offended because my claims were substantiated by facts that
conflicted with your belief system; to wit:

Fact: Bush was a drunk and was convicted for a DUI.
Fact: Bush was twice a failure in the oil business.
Fact: Bush failed in his first and only run for congress.
Fact: Bush failed as a campaign manager.
Fact: Bush was accepted into the NG less than a day after he applied
(and a related fact: nobody was accepted into the NG that fast during
the Vietnam years unless someone was pulling some strings).
Fact: Bush failed to show up for a physical required to maintain his
flying status, and therefore could not have fulfilled his duties and
responsibilities in the NG.
Fact: Nobody (as in none, zero, zilch), not ONE person remembers Bush
being at one of his assigned duty stations.
Fact: Bush stated publically that a presidential campaign could be won
with only the support of the conservative Christians.
Fact: Bush admittedly "found God" shortly after making the above
statement.......

Shall I continue? Or do you need time to verify those facts first?

>>> Before that we were on good terms. Now you
>>>"think" (and I use the term loosely) that I'm an idiot for it.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. I think you are quite intelligent. You just don't use those
>>brains whenever the facts conflict with your belief system.
>
>But you have YET to provide more than a few true facts. Your "facts"
>are little more than conclusions that someone else arrived at based
>loosely on probability and conjecture. What true facts you have
>provided are not relevant to the core issue.

See above.

>>> If that
>>>isn't a partisan pundit attitude, I don't know what is.
>>
>>
>>I see you discovered a new word -- 'pundit'. But you use it much to
>>frequently to be effective.
>
>If the shoe fits.......

That cliche fits into the same category of 'overused'.

>>>Only an idiot argues with another idiot Frank. You "argue" with me,
>>>because you are unable to present your "side" with anything other than
>>>your own opinions. The fact that I can effectively deflect your
>>>"facts" as the op-ed opinions that they truly are frustrates you.
>>
>>

>>What makes you think you can do anything of the sort? So far you
>>haven't accomplished anything that would substantiate your claim
>>(which is certainly no suprise).
>
>I can and I have. Can you prove that Bush was a deserter?

Who said he was a deserter? I didn't.

> Can you
>prove that he dodged the draft?

He wasn't drafted, was he? I would say he successfully dodged the
draft.

> Can you prove that he's a "criminal?

He was convicted of a DUI. Proof positive.

>>>The facts are not in your favor Frank.
>>>
>>>>>At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>>>>>of the political spectrum....
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Your political spectrum analyzer is out of alignment, Dave.
>>>
>>>You certainly are entitled to your opinion, as wrong as it might
>>>be.....
>>>
>>>> And you
>>>>haven't read a single word I've written because, and to put it simple
>>>>enough for a 1st grader, I don't take sides when it comes to politics.
>>>>I oppose Bush because he's a criminal, not because he's a Republican.
>>>
>>>Where is the proof that Bush is a "criminal"?
>>
>>
>>How about his conviction for DUI? That alone defines him as a
>>criminal.
>
>And Clinton never inhaled (But did dodge the draft) so what's your
>point?

I didn't say anything about Clinton.

> How does a DUI conviction that happened many years ago affect
>his leadership of the country now? You're grasping at straws Frank...

You asked for proof that he's a criminal. I gave it to you. Now you
grapple for an argument that could just as easily vindicate Ted
Kennedy (who, BTW, -wasn't- convicted). It is -you- that is grasping
at straws in the wake of indisputable proof.

>>> What is your basis for
>>>making such an outlandishly absurd and so typically partisan claim?
>
>
>>Now there's a loaded question if I ever heard one. Regardless, I think
>>Twisty would me more than eager to provide an answer so I'll let him
>>take the first jab.
>
>Coming from someone who disregards and disrespects the law, and then
>makes all sorts of lame excuses for it, whatever he says is already
>suspect.

Facts are facts irrelavent of the source.

>>>>And if you had any evidence..... or even a reasonable suspicion that
>>>>Kerry was in any way a criminal, I could accept it.
>>>
>>>The evidence is there. It came out of Kerry's own mouth. He admitted
>>>to taking part in the atrocities in Vietnam on Meet the Press on April
>>>18th 1971.
>>
>>
>>He admitted the same at the congressional hearing.
>>
>>
>>> Now Frank, you are a man who claims to embrace logic, so
>>>riddle me this then. If Kerry is telling the truth about his part in
>>>these "atrocities", then is he not guilty of a war crime?
>>
>>
>>Truth is relative to the observer. Facts are not. Kerry may have been
>>telling the truth as he saw it but the facts may be different (and
>>frequently are when testimony is based on nothing but recollection of
>>events). If the details from his testimony could be verified as
>>factual then he might indeed be a criminal.
>
>If that isn't the biggest double talking tap dance I ever saw, I don't
>know what is. The facts are quite clear:
> Either he was truthful and he took part in war crimes, or he lied
>about it.

David Berkowitz; either the dog spoke or he lied about it.

Carter; either there was a UFO or he lied about it.

Bush; either he "found God" or he lied about it.

Bush; either UBL is no longer a threat or he lied about it.

Bush; either Iraq had WMDs or he lied about it.

Dave; either you violated federal laws with your illegal radio
operation or you lied about it. So you are either a criminal or a
liar. Which is it, Dave?

> Either way, he's a an opportunistic slimeball.

And Bush is an angel, huh?

>>But there are two parts to
>>his testimony:
>>
>>1) that war crimes were committed in Vietnam, which has since been
>>verified as factual.
>
>There are many statements from other servicemen that say pretty much
>the opposite. While some atrocities may have occurred, they were in no
>way condoned, endorsed, or pardoned by the command structure.

"May have occurred"? You never heard of the Mai-Lai massacre? You never saw that Pulitzer Prize winning photo of a Vietnamese man getting his brains blown out execution-style by a US soldier? What else have you missed, Dave?

>>The problem is that everybody knew stuff like
>>that was going on so it wasn't any big shock when Kerry made the claim
>>in front of congress.
>
>Everybody didn't know, as evidenced by the testimony of other
>servicemen.

I see you also missed several episodes where US servicemen returned from Vietnam and were shunned and called baby-killers (among other things). It's not like it wasn't all over the TV news, plastered on magazine covers, covered extensively in the newspapers..... oh, but there's that liberal media bias again. Geez, Dave, they sure have a way of supressing the facts, don't they?

>And isn't it odd that Kerry, a swiftboat captain, saw more atrocities
>in the 4 months that he was there, than other guys saw in 2 or more
>full tours of duty?

So how did you quantify that?

>>2) that -he- committed war crimes in Vietnam, which has -not- been
>>verified as factual. IOW, either he provided specific information
>>regarding his conduct which the government chose not to verify, or his
>>claims were nonspecific generalities which could not be verified.
>>
>>Assuming the former (that he made specific references to specific
>>acts) then the question becomes one of why the crimes were not
>>prosecuted. There were plenty of war crimes in Vietnam that -were-
>>prosecuted, so war crimes were not always ignored. Nixon wanted him
>>silenced, and it would have certainly been easy enough if he -was-
>>prosecuted for war crimes, but that never happened.
>
>Which then lends credibility to the notion that HE LIED. Along with
>the other stuff he did while a part of the VVAW.

Again you mock logic by trying to invoke the opposite from a denial of
the precedent. It neither lends nor detracts from your assumption that
he lied. It simply states that there is no proof to make any factual
determination either way.

>>So the only issue left is one of perception. What one person perceives
>>as a crime may only be an act of war in the mind of another (a problem
>>that is still evident today but you refuse to admit). That seems to be
>>the case, and therefore it doesn't matter what he said. The -fact- is
>>that Kerry's acts were never addressed by the government as war crimes
>>-regardless- of how Kerry perceived his own actions.
>
>IOW Kerry lied.

What are you smoking, Dave?

>>> On the other
>>>hand, if he didn't take part, and the whole issue was a blown up
>>>fabrication, doesn't that make him a liar?
>>
>>
>>No. As I stated before, people have different perceptions and
>>interpretations about what constitutes a "crime", and the subject has
>>been addressed in this newsgroup on many occasions when discussing the
>>legality of FCC rules.
>
>The FCC rules are pretty cut and dry. You operate above 4 watts of
>power and/or on non-assigned frequencies, you are committing a
>violation of federal law. The only "perception" issue is the one kept
>alive only by those who refuse to deal with the reality of what they
>are doing, or who try to justify it somehow by trivializing it.

Like you trying to trivialize Bush's DUI by suggesting it has no
influence on his leadership abilities? He committed a crime, he's a
criminal, "cut and dry".

>>> Would you want someone who
>>>lied like that to be your CNC? How many other lies did he make in the
>>>aftermath of the who winter soldier debacle and the VVAW movement
>>>which followed?
>>>
>>>
>>>> But so far you
>>>>have offered nothing but excuses, logical fallacies, and websites with
>>>>forged documents and paranoid rants.
>>>
>>>As opposed to the sites you provided which were nothing more than the
>>>flip side of what I provided?
>>
>>
>>Do you think Kerry's official military records are forgeries?
>
>No,

Very good. Now lets move closer to the source of your confusion. Shark said to you in another post, "...a DD214 is a DD214, no matter how you try to dissect it Dave, so if it says Kerry was discharged, he was discharged."

I think that may be one source of your confusion. Back in Kerry's day a DD-214 was a "Report of Transfer or Discharge", Form 1 NOV 55. Just as stated in the title, the form was used for both transfers -and- discharges. Kerry's records show more than one DD-214 -not- because he received more than one discharge, but because a DD-214 was filed each time he transferred to a different duty station.

Now my own DD-214 has a different title, "Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty", Form 1 JUL 79, which was a year -after- the end of Kerry's military service. Obviously the scope of the form has narrowed and is no longer used for transfers within active duty.

Apparently, someone unfamiliar with the scope of the earlier form noticed that Kerry had several DD-214's and ASSUMED, based on the scope of the more current form, that either he was discharged more than once, his discharge was in error, the documents were forged, or some other sinister plot. A false assumption based on ignorance -- and it's ignorance because the facts are in the documents themselves and can be seen by anyone who is willing to look.

> but they only tell part of the story.

By golly you're right..... his service number and SSN are blacked out in all the documents. Why didn't he release that information? What dark secret is he trying to hide? OMG, it's a liberal conspiracy!!! ROTFLMMFAO!!!

>>>You discard what I provided because you refuse to acknowledge the
>>>possibility. You don't want to believe it so you deny it. You came up
>>>with some sort of "font analysis" on one document, and concluded that
>>>it was a forgery, so then you projected that conclusion to all the
>>>rest of the evidence.
>>
>>
>>Once again, I used the same standards that were used to discredit the
>>CBS documents.
>
>Has it occurred to you that the font issue

See? You -already know- why those documents are fake!

> could be explained by the
>OCR software used to digitize the documents?

Gee, why didn't CBS think of that!

>> And the rest of the website was nothing more than
>>speculation without facts. Such as assumption of guilt in the absense
>>of evidence, and misinterpretation of official military records.

>
>Many murderers have been put in prison based on circumstantial
>evidence. When this much smoke is generated, there will be a fire
>somewhere.

Well, there were no WMDs in Iraq. What does that tell you about smoke
and fire?

>>>> If you are really a supporter of
>>>>the Republican party then you should keep quiet on political issues
>>>>because you are giving your party some very poor representation.
>>>
>>>I am a conservative,
>>
>>
>>That's fine. Label yourself if you want. But don't label me a liberal
>>just because I don't share your beliefs.
>
>Fine then. But I find it odd, but perhaps enlightening, that most of
>the people I know with conservative ideals are quick to admit it,
>almost proudly. While most of the people I know who are liberals hide
>from the term, almost like it was holy water to a vampire. I have to
>wonder why they feel the need to hide what they are? If you are
>committed to your beliefs, then you should be able to admit them with
>confidence.

Those are questions you should be asking a liberal. In case you
haven't noticed, I have never been shy about admitting anything, let
alone my political views. If I was a liberal you can rest assured that
I would be the first one to use such a label.

>That being said, what are your political leanings Frank? You are
>certainly no conservative. And please don't try to pull a cop out and
>claim to be "moderate".

None of the above: I'm an American. My bible is the US Constitution.
My loyalty lies with those who respect and defend it. My enemies are
those who abuse and attack it. Those are my political "leanings",
plain and simple.

>>> and I support those who best represent my
>>>political views.
>>>
>>>I also believe in the history and honor of our country, its military
>>
>>
>>Were you ever in the military, Dave?
>
>Irrelevant.

No military service and you can't even admit it. Were you a draft
dodger? No, I don't think you're that old. Were you kicked out?

Couldn't make it through boot camp? Or are you just ashamed that you
didn't serve when you had the chance?

>>>and the judgement of its leader in matters of national security and
>>>enemies of the state.
>>
>>
>>You do understand that there are three branches to the government,
>>don't you? Bush isn't in the Judicial branch.
>
>And your point is? The judicial branch is responsible for interpreting
>the law.

Exactly. Interpretation of the law is not Bush's job.

1827. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:29:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19949-41F7FDC3-59@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195468

N3CVJ wrote:
>Where is the proof that Bush is a "criminal"?

Frank Gilliland wrote:

(How about his conviction for DUI? That alone defines him as a
criminal.)

N3CVJ wrote:
>And Clinton never inhaled

Focused, Dave, remain focused on your inquiry.

>(But did dodge the draft) so what's your point?

Your failure to grasp what's given to you is the point,,,you asked what
makes Bush a criminal, you were proven it, and you are pooping yourself
tlaking about Clinton ,,,,,
Only conviction of a crime makes one a criminal, not your self-professed
"empirical observations" which bring us your acknowledged hallucinations
of hams agreeing with your ridiculous claim that roger beeps are
illegal.

>How does a DUI conviction that happened

>many years ago affect his leadership of the

>country now? You're grasping at straws

>Frank...

You were answered. The straws are yours.

1828. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:31:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7bvfv0lba83fq1uga1lb0m31sfsb7ju4sl@4ax.com>
Source record: 195466

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 19:52:11 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<41f7f451.12893765@news.easynews.com>:

>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 08:29:08 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>Okay, I've been looking for a Super-Scanner for a while and can't find
>>one for sale. So I decided to make my own. The manual has enough info
>>to build a pretty close copy except for one detail: the boom length.
>>What should the spacing be when one dipole is the radiator and the
>>other two are reflectors?
>>
>>And would there be any advantage to adding a little reactance
>>(electrical length) to a dipole when it's switched in as a reflector?
>>
>
>Isn't that already done by the relay box? There is 29' of coax hooked
>to the undriven elements.

I think that's 29 inches, not feet. But you made me realize that the
feedpoint impedance of the dipoles is going to be lower because of
their proximity to each other, and that coax is probably cut to make a
conjugate match. At least it's possible. Maybe I'll just go with a
phased array.....

1829. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:40:36 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41f8fe8c.15513593@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 195467

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 12:31:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 19:52:11 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
><41f7f451.12893765@news.easynews.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 08:29:08 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Okay, I've been looking for a Super-Scanner for a while and can't find
>>>one for sale. So I decided to make my own. The manual has enough info
>>>to build a pretty close copy except for one detail: the boom length.
>>>What should the spacing be when one dipole is the radiator and the
>>>other two are reflectors?
>>>
>>>And would there be any advantage to adding a little reactance
>>>(electrical length) to a dipole when it's switched in as a reflector?
>>>
>>
>>Isn't that already done by the relay box? There is 29' of coax hooked
>>to the undriven elements.
>
>
>I think that's 29 inches, not feet. But you made me realize that the
>feedpoint impedance of the dipoles is going to be lower because of
>their proximity to each other, and that coax is probably cut to make a
>conjugate match. At least it's possible. Maybe I'll just go with a
>phased array.....
>
>

If its 29", then those elements at the most are 29" from the center
hub. I think you would probably be happier with a phased array. A
lot easier to control your patterns and matching.

If qsl.net ever comes back up, this link

http://www.qsl.net/ve3sqb/

had a program to design a super scanner.

1830. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:41:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19949-41F80077-61@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195470

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 10:55:08 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>I'm not the one who changes my screen name
>at the drop of a hat.

Quite right,,you're the one who changes their access path to the group
with different servers at the drop of a hat..

>You give me far more credit than I deserve.

I give you credit for exactly what you deserve.

>I use a single newserver to access this

>newsgroup. Nothing else.

Nevertheless, your access path has changed

You have failed to prove otherwise. Your opinion in that regard is
worthless.

You're the one that can
not distinguish between fiduciary and judiciary, resulting in your
inability to explain any differences between a dx'er and a speeder in
regards to this "federal law" you incorrectly harp about.

>I never made that claim.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

It's not a difficult matter, Dave, but it has you beside yourself with
confusion and pain.
Being forced to face yourself yields some interesting retreats from your
dulled senses.
The only criteria you submitted for your ignorant and erroneous claim
that one who dx'es is a "federal criminal", is that they are breaking a
law enacted by the federal government....so goes the 70 MPH mandate. Let
us know when you prevail over this inner struggle I caused you, and let
us know which side of you won.

1831. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 20:48:05 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19949-41F80205-62@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195469

>David Berkowitz; either the dog spoke or he

>lied about it.

Son of Sam maintained regular communication with the neighbor's dog.

1832. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 21:25:07 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <TUTJd.13282$Vj3.3071@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195471

Vinnie S. wrote:
> What size loop and how many turns should I use to create a RF choke with my
> coax, right before the antenna?
>
> Vinnie S.

8 to 10 turns on an 8 inch form, remove the form, with RG-8X or similar
"mini" coax. 6-8 turns with RG-8 or 213.

HTH

-SSB

1833. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 21:51:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <354gv05pvqnh89b1oc0aipesg87glj7j32@4ax.com>
Source record: 195472

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 21:25:07 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> What size loop and how many turns should I use to create a RF choke with my
>> coax, right before the antenna?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>8 to 10 turns on an 8 inch form, remove the form, with RG-8X or similar
>"mini" coax. 6-8 turns with RG-8 or 213.
>
>HTH
>
>-SSB

Thanks. I am going to use LMR-400. I assume that is about the same thickness as
the RG-8.

Vinnie S.

1834. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 22:03:22 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <KsUJd.13288$Vj3.2145@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195473

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 21:25:07 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>>What size loop and how many turns should I use to create a RF choke with my
>>>coax, right before the antenna?
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>8 to 10 turns on an 8 inch form, remove the form, with RG-8X or similar
>>"mini" coax. 6-8 turns with RG-8 or 213.
>>
>>HTH
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
>
> Thanks. I am going to use LMR-400. I assume that is about the same thickness as
> the RG-8.
>
> Vinnie S.

Yes.. Roughly equivalent. What's the application? You building a dipole
or an inverted V?

-SSB

1835. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 22:09:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <e45gv0tvlmu9e7fuib5u28ihs5fu3qj5gv@4ax.com>
Source record: 195474

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 22:03:22 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 21:25:07 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>
>>>>What size loop and how many turns should I use to create a RF choke with my
>>>>coax, right before the antenna?
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>8 to 10 turns on an 8 inch form, remove the form, with RG-8X or similar
>>>"mini" coax. 6-8 turns with RG-8 or 213.
>>>
>>>HTH
>>>
>>>-SSB
>>
>>
>>
>> Thanks. I am going to use LMR-400. I assume that is about the same thickness as
>> the RG-8.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Yes.. Roughly equivalent. What's the application? You building a dipole
>or an inverted V?

Sticking a 6 foot Firestick in the attic, using eight 9' wires as a ground
plane. Then when the weather warms, I stick a Imax in a tree.

Vinnie S.

1836. Re: All The Gay Shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 22:44:39 UTC
From: "John Y. Iselin" <zzapp11@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106779479.539594.36510@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,alt.atlanta,alt.usenet.kooks

That kind of talk get you all hot, eh,
Kenny Kornholer?

1837. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 22:49:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <427gv0d9a5tcamm17fhvn5m0fr0435gia9@4ax.com>
Source record: 195476

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 20:40:36 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<41f8fe8c.15513593@news.easynews.com>:

<snip>
>If qsl.net ever comes back up, this link
>
>http://www.qsl.net/ve3sqb/
>
>had a program to design a super scanner.

I found the file and ran it. For 27MHz it gives a radius of just over
38" which, I'm pretty sure, is a bit longer than the 29" coax that
feeds each dipole. Apparently there are two versions of this antenna:

The first is the original and has a cardioid radiation pattern. The
second is VE3SQB's version that has better forward gain and deep nulls
to the rear corners, but has a larger radius and the dipoles are fed
with a 1/4-wave section of coax. It seems that the second version
behaves more like a phased array instead of a parasitic beam.

I might email the guy and see if he has the specs for the version with
the cardioid pattern.

1838. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 22:58:07 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <3gVJd.69721$Ta2.15385@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195477

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95EAA58BE2871234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:63ffv0pjbl586lm00lh24labh6fkb1ol6i@4ax.com:
>
>> If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
>> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised.
>
> That would make you a criminal Frank. Fucking Hypocrite assclown
>
>

Only cell phone conversations are protected.

1839. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 23:04:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <n98gv09p8f4vsd9blm2ucoevp34ihlemhf@4ax.com>
Source record: 195478

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 22:58:07 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote in <3gVJd.69721$Ta2.15385@fe2.texas.rr.com>:

>
>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote in message news:Xns95EAA58BE2871234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>> news:63ffv0pjbl586lm00lh24labh6fkb1ol6i@4ax.com:
>>
>>> If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
>>> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised.
>>
>> That would make you a criminal Frank. Fucking Hypocrite assclown
>>
>>
>
>Only cell phone conversations are protected.

Don't waste your time responding to Race Warrior -- he's just bitter
and refuses to do anything constructive with his miserable life.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1840. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 23:08:40 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <YpVJd.13293$Vj3.6160@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195479

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 22:03:22 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 21:25:07 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>What size loop and how many turns should I use to create a RF choke with my
>>>>>coax, right before the antenna?
>>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>8 to 10 turns on an 8 inch form, remove the form, with RG-8X or similar
>>>>"mini" coax. 6-8 turns with RG-8 or 213.
>>>>
>>>>HTH
>>>>
>>>>-SSB
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Thanks. I am going to use LMR-400. I assume that is about the same thickness as
>>>the RG-8.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>Yes.. Roughly equivalent. What's the application? You building a dipole
>>or an inverted V?
>
>
>
> Sticking a 6 foot Firestick in the attic, using eight 9' wires as a ground
> plane. Then when the weather warms, I stick a Imax in a tree.
>
> Vinnie S.

You shouldn't need a choke for that, but it won't hurt either. Keep the
plane of the coil perpendicular to the antenna (the firestik) in that

setup, and drape the wires for the ground plane over the top of it.. If you can get a foot or two separating the coil/choke and the ground plane wires.

-SSB

1841. Re: All The Gay Shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-26 23:23:51 UTC
From: 40 PC SOCKET SET <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <41f82687$0$16572$cc9e4d1f@news-text.dial.pipex.com>
Source record: 195480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate,alt.atlanta,alt.usenet.kooks

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1106701536.275697.127660@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> I guess the AKC finally found out about os for real. So what, I say!
> Yes we all love cock and the taste of a guy's asshole. Is that a bad
> thing? The only bad thing is Steveo starting all the threat bullcrap
> with those hams.We'd all be still sucking and fucking just like
> before.So say you're sorry, Steveo. End the shit,allready. If thatham
> is straight then just forget it. But lets get back to the way we were.
> I suck your cock and let you cum in my mouth then french kiss twistie
> just like you remember we used to. OK???

Talk like that can only promote homophobia. You are a barely literate
disgrace to the gay community.

Jon

1842. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 00:01:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2fbgv0h61jh4d8t5548u24mk9qp8jut0h3@4ax.com>
Source record: 195481

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 23:08:40 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>>>>
>>>>Thanks. I am going to use LMR-400. I assume that is about the same thickness as
>>>>the RG-8.
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>Yes.. Roughly equivalent. What's the application? You building a dipole
>>>or an inverted V?
>>
>>
>>
>> Sticking a 6 foot Firestick in the attic, using eight 9' wires as a ground
>> plane. Then when the weather warms, I stick a Imax in a tree.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>You shouldn't need a choke for that, but it won't hurt either. Keep the
>plane of the coil perpendicular to the antenna (the firestik) in that
>setup, and drape the wires for the ground plane over the top of it.. If
>you can get a foot or two separating the coil/choke and the ground plane
>wires.
>
>-SSB

That is going to be tough. I can definitely get it under, but not by a a foot.
When you mean perpendicular, you the outer edge of the coil directly under the
antenna, king of the antenna point up at 12 o'clock at the coil? At least, that
is the way I remember perpendicular, being a vertical touching the horizontal
forming a T.

Vinnie S.

1843. FA: LAST DAY--------LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 01:14:30 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <41F84076.2010801@optonline.net>
Source record: 195482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.ssb,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap

Take a look if you like. Ends around 2:00 EST Thursday.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=5745122037

Thanks to all who looked, 73

1844. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 02:56:39 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <HLYJd.13349$Vj3.7386@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195483

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 23:08:40 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>Thanks. I am going to use LMR-400. I assume that is about the same thickness as
>>>>>the RG-8.
>>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>Yes.. Roughly equivalent. What's the application? You building a dipole
>>>>or an inverted V?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Sticking a 6 foot Firestick in the attic, using eight 9' wires as a ground
>>>plane. Then when the weather warms, I stick a Imax in a tree.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>You shouldn't need a choke for that, but it won't hurt either. Keep the
>>plane of the coil perpendicular to the antenna (the firestik) in that
>>setup, and drape the wires for the ground plane over the top of it.. If
>>you can get a foot or two separating the coil/choke and the ground plane
>>wires.
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
>
> That is going to be tough. I can definitely get it under, but not by a a foot.
> When you mean perpendicular, you the outer edge of the coil directly under the
> antenna, king of the antenna point up at 12 o'clock at the coil? At least, that
> is the way I remember perpendicular, being a vertical touching the horizontal
> forming a T.
>
> Vinnie S.

No.. that would be parallel..

Make a circle with your forefinger and thumb. Stick your other
forefinger thru it.. That's how it should look, only not touching. If
you can't get it a foot up, get it as far up as you can, and drape the
ground plane wires over top of the coil. Not REALLY critical, but it
will help with matching.

-SSB

1845. watch joe blow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 03:14:38 UTC
From: "I AM NOT 172.142.29.246"
Message-ID: <41f85d7c$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195484
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

```
Previously: KB8HGL
Coordinates: 42.307946 -83.109633
State: Michigan
County: Wayne
Grid: EN82kh
Area Code: 313
GMT Offset: -5
Time Zone: Eastern
Has DST?: Y
Birthday: 31 Aug 1968

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

1846. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 03:45:51 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <2mogv0pr6ckcba8n5hgia5u3s08333foj9@4ax.com>
Source record: 195485

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 02:56:39 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

That I can do. I got 16" between the joists, and stick the coil directly under the antenna. So if you are looking from an overhead view, the antenna is directly in the middle of the open part of the coil. The GP wires will be over it. Is this correct? It sounds like a plan.

Thanks for your help !

Vinnie S.

1847. Re: USENET'S DEFINITIVE KOOK -AML-Z's "slumpy"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 04:09:19 UTC
From: "I AM NOT 172.142.29.246"
Message-ID: <41f86a4f@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.gossip.celebrities

"Grotus" <Grotus@nspamnomore.com> wrote in message
news:35qtikF4qopshU1@individual.net...
> The reason I've never had my tyres slashed is because I'm not a low-life
> in
> real life.Nor do I boast and brag about outing peoples full name and
> address
> details on Usenet.
>
> Never mind running to howldog for protection he won't save your ass boy.
>
> 250 quid a pop for tyres, what load of horsehit , what does your
> neighbour
> drive a fucking Bentley ? Not in the area you live sunshine, poor arse
> couriers don't make much bread these days.
>
> More slumpy bullshit. Does it ever stop ?
>
> Now crawl back into your London slum and contemplate your first shave,
> must
> be a horrible thing to be 40+ and still unable to shave.
> But still, your behaviour isn't above that of a 12 year old, so I guess
> you
> don't deserve a certain right of passage.
> Golden rule . . . no balls for c.. well your type anyway.
> No go smash up your room a bit more matey , atta boy.
> Melt down and out my details again, that's a well trained and button
> pushed
> psycho-k00k.
> psst made any more death threats lately Paul Chapman ?
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1848. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 05:00:04 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <oz_Jd.23573$by5.21105@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195487

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 02:56:39 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>
> That I can do. I got 16" between the joists, and stick the coil directly under
> the antenna. So if you are looking from an overhead view, the antenna is
> directly in the middle of the open part of the coil. The GP wires will be over
> it. Is this correct? It sounds like a plan.
>
> Thanks for your help !
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Vinnie S.
Yep.. you got it this time. Looking down the antenna, you're looking at
an "O" (the choke) with the antenna being the center point of the
circle. Wires physically above the coil.

Good luck.

That's what us HAMs are supposed to be here for.

-SSB

1849. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 07:51:13 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <R31Kd.184903$8l.149639@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

really boring around here

log on your area code or city and say a bit about you.
this is what personals are ALL about.

go nutz and have fun
this is your spot

after leaving here 5 years ago, this place is like a vast waste land.
wanna boyfriend
then find one here

make it happen
okay maybe he might be a creep
but maybe he isn't
maybe you are not a creep

I hope you get your brains fucked out so bad, and have to laugh for entire
rest of your life.
remember before you get married in canada, you need to set up your palimony
situation
AND SUCH

men suck, but i like it

1850. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 07:59:55 UTC
From: "I AM NOT STEVEO"
Message-ID: <41f8a059$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

"dug88" wants steveo cock!

The reason I've never had my tyres slashed is because I'm not a low-life
in real life.Nor do I boast and brag about outing peoples full name and
address
details on Usenet.

Never mind running to howldog for protection he won't save your ass boy.

250 quid a pop for tyres, what load of horsehit , what does your
neighbour
drive a fucking Bentley ? Not in the area you live sunshine, poor arse
couriers don't make much bread these days.

More slumpy bullshit. Does it ever stop ?

Now crawl back into your London slum and contemplate your first shave,
must
be a horrible thing to be 40+ and still unable to shave.
But still, your behaviour isn't above that of a 12 year old, so I guess
you
don't deserve a certain right of passage.
Golden rule . . . no balls for c.. well your type anyway.
No go smash up your room a bit more matey , atta boy.
Melt down and out my details again, that's a well trained and button
pushed
psycho-k00k.
psst made any more death threats lately Paul Chapman ?

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1851. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 08:00:13 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hc1Kd.185032$8l.126401@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195490
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

posted way after all the others
gather youu want another cash flow?

look at what you have locally which is very good and cheap to you.
then sell it globally

welcome to the world wide web

sex with a big cock is actually quite boring
unless it is in yur face.

so i look forward to you inventing smellovision

dug

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106211465.669297.114010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> me and my new boyfriend (triple) are thinking of putting a web-cam in
> our bed room. would anybody care to watch us having extra hot
> mano-to-mano sex?
>
> if enough want it we'll post the url here.
>

1852. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 08:08:35 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <7k1Kd.185156$8l.127743@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

hey steve
where u at anyway?
me
edmonton the 53 rd parrellel

unless you guys guys send me the pineapple express, i send you the alberta clippers.

no panic
last week minus 44, tonight fog and can't see 1/2 a block

actuaally got an idea
cu later
dug

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050124001054.431$Gn@newsreader.com...
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> then neither could I
>>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050123223207.376$ac@newsreader.com...
>> > "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> >> so your brother finally admitted he went a thousand miles to get into
>> >> steveo pants?
>> >>
>> > He couldn't handle me.
>> >
>> > http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>>
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
>> then neither could I
>>
> I knew that.

1853. this sums up my life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 08:19:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41f8a506@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

- i tried to consume just like a super faggot
i got some dude
how can y'all bring a muthafucka something so good he couldn't say no
you nailed me hard
i love 'em when they don't give a motherfucking shit
i could've been someone instead of falling flat upon my ass

dig me now and fuck me later
and sing it to the tune of faggot faggot faggot
oh - dig me now and fuck me later
and sing it with the...

i been denied all the best ultra sex
i been denied all the best ultra sex

i - i could've been a star
it freaks me out when i sound just like my mom
i could've rocked the spot
instead of being just another faggot like i am
i played that shit straight
blowin' suckas on the side hopin' i get laid
now everybody knows
no way in hell i can ever live it down

dig me now and fuck me later
and sing it to the tune of faggot faggot faggot
ow - dig me now and fuck me later
and sing it with the...

i could've been someone instead of falling flat upon my ass

i been denied all the best ultra sex
i been denied all the best ultra sex
molest

faggot faggot faggot faggot

follow

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1854. Re: My 4 1/2 inch antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 09:13:38 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <6h2Kd.185787$8I.153288@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

i don't think so
been around a good month looking at properties an didn't see this

No problem
make a muscle grow
yes i can
unless you ask an idiot for help

any comments any buddy of what a true love can do to make you so hard it
hurts?

well, some of us got it, some don't

g night
get over on your side of the bed

"bbnn" <jl@fnn.gfd> wrote in message news:cssdda01mfq@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>
>>bbnn wrote:
>>
>>>Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>with a little wand like that it would be more laike a gang snap than
>>>>
>>a
>>
>>>>gang bang...heheheh
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>as if your dick is any bigger. the average length is 5" from the PDR
>>>medical book.
>>>
>>
>>Leave it to a keyclown to know that statistic..heheh
>>
>>
> at least i don't suck on them like you .. hehehehe

1855. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 09:31:54 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ey2Kd.185980$8I.103334@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

thank you i enjoyed that

"gpsman" <gpsman@driversmail.com> wrote in message
news:1106576916.867745.237170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Lady Chatterly wrote:
>> In article <1106559941.131472.147750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
>> twistiehed@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> >Hi George.......That sounds like the same bar I caught frankie
> sucking
>> >steveo's semi hard cock.steveo said his cock only gets semi hard but
> i
>> >know thats a lie. One day at the trailer I caught him jerking off to
> a
>> >picture of Doug and his cock was really hard........so steveo is a
>> >fibber-fag!!
>>
>> How strange is that Israel is racist because arabs and orthodox jews
> I
>> give not often kipple, but to fulfil?
>>
>> --
>> Lady Chatterly
>>
>> "Hahaha, I think I might have drawn Lady Chatterly' here. That's a
>> smart bot if I did. 'Lady Chatterly' is a bot from the UFO groups
> that
>> has apparently followed my address to these groups. 'Lady Chatterly'
>> is definately a bot, been around for years, keep talking to it and
>> that will become quickly apparent." -- Kavik Kang
>
>
> It isn't really necessary to crosspost this shit to misc.transport
> trucking. We have quite enough flame wars without you.
> `
> Thanks you- gpsman
>

1856. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today, and a few good headers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 10:05:32 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <M13Kd.186366$8l.158111@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

ha ha ha

www

i look for property
i meet people
i find fun games

the ugly stick idea
no idea
great guys everywhere

daily i smile at 100 guys in the city core, and most smile back, and even
stop in their tracks.
but maybe 10 still have not figured out that i know them. BUT THEY KNOW IT.
and i have never seen them before in my life.

I like to have fun with a new friend,
maybe a tough day if he sees me in law courts
and i expect it.
I just keep a hundred less ten secretaries smiling and waving.

I am single so i can jack off anytime i like
tell ya what
right guy nibbles your left ear and you will go SNARKEY to get him

me, maybe not me. the man your dreams
i bet he comes by this page almost everyday looking
says nothing, and goes off looking for a PHOTO to jack off to.

no i never lie
i do not have the time to do that.

tell ya what guys
talk to each other
when you down load kill anything over 15 kb.
knock off all repeater sites of spam to this page
and you can start to have some fun.

then again maybe i am wrong
and personal should just be a place for SPAM
get your red hot coks tonight guys
watch while they tilt and whirl their big meat
Come nside the floppy tent for ONLY 15 dollars
and see the alligator man, for free.

burp
OKAY who put exlax in my chocolate milk
??????????????????????

"gpsman" <gpsman@driversmail.com> wrote in message
news:1106582502.990297.27620@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Steveo wrote:
>> "gpsman" <gpsman@driversmail.com> wrote in message
>>
>> <BITCH SNIP>
>>
>> Hi GPSMAN........
>> Tell us all about your massive meat=treat!
>
> How original and clever...!
>
> The only faggots who spend ANY time masturbating thusly on the internet
> are mad, sad, sloppy fat, ugly queens who can't get *anyone* to stir
> their stool. And, they're all pissed off about it. Consequently, they
> are forced to jack-off via usenet to gain the attention they so
> desperately seek... or so my gay friend tells me.
> `
> Occam's Razor...
> -----
>
> - gpsman
>

1857. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 10:13:44 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <s93Kd.186467$8I.162316@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

lovely
except this person has no access to this newsgroup
and will see squat of it
booga booga

i gather webtv, usenetzone
are just bogus concepts.

maybe i should start twinksonadink.com

oh if you have a fantastic recipe for onions would love to hear it.
was given 25 pounds of onions
HELP !!!

No i mean a recipe to die for.
I was given 100 pounds and gave away 75 plus, but really guys there is a
limit.

men should be naked and not heard
g nite
dug
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:41f5cbc9@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Triple One" <Tripleone@crunkology.com> wrote in
>
> <TRIPLE SNIPPED LIKE THE BITCH SHE IS>
>
> Hey Triple, When R we getting together again.
> I want to feel your manly sweet joy hole.
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1858. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 10:24:11 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <fj3Kd.186137$Xk.8225@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

but if i add another 8 and a half behind you then you will be what?
in your glory
thinking of payback
hey, hold this i wanna make a comment
what do you mean FLOG it

enjoyed the chit chat time
hope did not cause you problems your buddy

10 min to bed 3 hours sleep ok.

g nite

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106259489.890187.124160@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> hey vinnie, since we both thought the pics were funny maybe we could
> get together for some hot action. i'm 4 1/2 inches hard.
>

1859. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 10:32:50 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <mr3Kd.186219$Xk.111483@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

hi
you called
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050124093752.977$Nm@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM took his fist out of KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's ass long enough
> to type:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > > wrote:
>> > > > E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles
>> > > >
>> > > > Coming to Florida twisty
>> > > >
>> > > The Pats will destroy them. Wager?
>> > >
>> > I wuz thinking along the lines of the loser has to post with a select
>> > sig file for one month.
>>
>> I stuck a football in steveo's ass. he said that his ass is so loose
>> that a football was the only way to feel the walls of his ass!!
>>
> Go slather KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's ass with Lard, Dogie.

1860. Re: N8WWM Converting sweep tube amp to 4cx250 amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 12:16:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127071628.616$io@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195499
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb

Smith Jerrid <SmithJerrid@sex.com> wrote:
> Wow....frightening. There were several suits in this regard in Lucas
> County (Ohio). Moreover it appears a lien still exists in the amounts
> (2 liens) of roughly $250 a piece. Explains the common law part I
> guess. But good old Edwin E. Lee has way less on his record. Not
> good. (Gotta love Public Records...they are a killer).
>
> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 10:29:01 -0500, "Kathy Lee" <KC8QJP@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>
What a couple of losers Adair and Lee are.
Despicable!
>
> >Need your taxes done? hahahahahahahaha!
> >>
> >"David Lawson" <David Lawson@Yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:41e13ac2$0$14514$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> >> Isn't this your skank?
> >> KC8QJP
> >> FORMAL DISCIPLINARY HEARING-KATHLEEN R. LEE
> >> Chairman Woods noted that Kathleen Lee was issued CPA certificate 36,
> >> 525 on July 15, 1998. The hearing was to consider disciplinary action
> >> against Ms. Lee's CPA certificate pursuant to Ohio Revised Code
> >> section 4701.16(A)(5), conviction of a felony under the laws of any
> >> state or of the United States. Ms. Lee was convicted in the United
> >> States District Court, Northern District of Ohio of five counts of
> >> Aiding & Assisting in Filing False Tax Returns, a violation of 26 USC
> >> 7206(2), on June 12, 2000. Ms. Lee did not appear at the hearing. The
> >> Board agreed to deliberate the disciplinary action in a later
> >> executive session. Action taken.
> >> Kathleen R. Lee, CPA 4701.16(A)(5)-Convicted of aiding & assisting in
> >> filing of false tax returns. CPA certificate revoked.
> >>
> >> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
> >> message news:1105218679.218750.145570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>>I don't email you, truckstop solicitor. Go beef with whoever's ISP it
> >>> is. I know you wish I would communicate, but being heterosexual, I
> >>> must decline.
> >>>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >

> >
> >
> >_____
> >Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >More than 120,000 groups
> >Unlimited download
> >http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1861. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 12:30:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7omfv0p8kn99bi7onolj5cvc9u0o3ht3mk@4ax.com>
Source record: 195500

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 15:58:35 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 13:22:02 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <5uvcv0haj7ml7kre6v9us1vr19mt9ms0fp@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 15:53:20 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>1. Of course they don't blow up for no reason. The reason they blow is
>>due to several factors, including high resistance leakage.
>>
>>2. I HAVE seen them blow due to leakage. You can come up with all
>>sorts of ripple theories and other such to explain the exact reason
>>why it goes, but the primary event which set the chain into motion was
>>the cap going leaky.
>>
>>When you open up a tube rig or an amplifier and have to clean out
>>shards of aluminum foil and paper wadding, you don't really care about
>>the exact chain of events. You just know that the cap blew. When you
>>replace it (Once you determine that the rest of the supply is fine),
>>and the radio plays fine, it's a safe assumption that the cap was bad.
>
>
>Maybe that's happened to you but never on my bench, not unless the cap
>was too small for the load. Like I said before, I have never seen a
>cap that blew up on it's own accord, leaky or not (and I don't know
>how you can determine it was leakage that caused your cap to blow
>unless you have a time machine in your shop).

Shhhhh. That a "way back" machine, and it's only a prototype.

But you make a good point. But like Sherlock Holmes once said, when
all the other possibilities are excluded, what remains, however
unlikely must be the answer. The supply was otherwise in good shape,
the load was nominal. The only thing "bad" was the cap.

Oh, and I've seen equipment which did have leaky caps, and they were
hot to the touch and some have had the rubber plug in the bottom
partially ejected. They didn't fail violently in an explosion, but
they did out gas from excessive leakage.

>>>>Leaky doesn't mean "dead short". A cap could have just enough
>>>>resistance to heat it up and cause it to out gas or explode, but not
>>>>cause other components to fail.
>>>

>>>
>>>Never seen it happen. It's easy to understand why it doesn't happen
>>>when you realize that heat requires power; i.e, watts.
>>
>>800V X .25 amps = 200 watts. More than enough to heat up a leaky cap.
>
>
>Heat it? Yes. Will it pass that much current without fusing
>internally? Doubtful. If it -could- pass that much current would that
>be enough power to make it explode?

The evidence that I've seen would tend to answer that question as a
yes.

> Hardly, since a lot of that power
>is shunted to ground and not dissipated in the cap.

A dead short is a shunt to ground. When the cap is simply leaking, the
cap becomes a resistor, and heats up like one when too much current
runs through it. It would only take a leakage resistance of around 4K
Ohms at 800 V to make it happen.

> It will just vent
>until it's empty or shorted.

That depends on the design of the cap, and how much current is being
dissipated. Explain it anyway you want. I'm telling you what I've
actually seen.

>>>Begin with the power supply. It is designed to supply a limited amount
>>>of power, usually a little more than for the intended use.
>>
>>And if the "intended use" is intermittent, (Like only when
>>transmitting) the supply is usually sitting there idle, supplying
>>little to no current.
>>
>>>Next, your
>>>cap leaks. This creates a shunt in parallel with the intended load,
>>>and therefore draws more power from the power supply.
>>
>>Not necessarily. If the supply is capably of supplying .5 amps at 800V
>>when operating, but a leaky cap only draws .2 amps while on standby,
>>that's more than enough to heat the cap without overtaxing the supply.
>
>
>And you are forgetting that the bigger the load the bigger the cap,
>and therefore the more power it would take for a leak to heat that cap
>to the point of exploding.

Caps are rated by three things, capacitance, voltage rating and
temperature. In most sweep tube amps and tube CB radios, I rarely see
more than about 40 uF in filter caps. Voltage rating depends on the

circuit. Most amps use 450V caps in series.

>>> In fact, your
>>>leaky cap draws so much -additional- power that it evaporates the
>>>contents faster than the gasses can be vented by the vent hole -AND-
>>>builds up enough pressure to literally explode the metal case.
>>
>>That's exactly what happens.
>
>
>Uh-huh.
>
>
>>> I don't
>>>think so, Dave -- that's just too much power to not expect an earlier
>>>failure in the power supply.
>>
>>Not at all, considering the scenario I just presented. I can't count
>>the number of D&A Mavericks and Thunderbolt 305's and such that I've
>>replaced blown caps in, and not had any other fault.
>
>
>Did you ever think that maybe the cap wasn't large enough for the
>application?

Perhaps. Then I guess the manufacturer has some 'splainin to do.

>>>And like I said before, if the supply isn't fused then the failure is
>>>probably going to be a shorted transformer or choke, blown rectifier,
>>>or some other device that is less robust than the capacitor.
>>
>>Sometimes, if the cap hangs on long enough and the resistance drops
>>further, the rectifiers blow too. But that's more rare.
>
>
>It -is- rare because the caps usually fuse internally before anything
>else goes.

When you say "fuse" to you mean open or short?

>
>>>You might also realize that electrolytics blow up when subjected to a
>>>reverse current -not- because of any heat buildup or dissipation, but
>>>because the dielectric undergoes electrolysis and one of the products
>>>is a gas.
>>
>>Yes, I am fully aware of that. When I was a young pup on my first full
>>time job, I worked 2nd shift and the techs sometimes got bored when
>>their work was done, so we used to make "capacitor cannons". We'd
>>solder a set of leads to a radial lead cap (Usually 10 uF at 50V)
>>place it in a hunk of PVC pipe, hook it up to a power supply
>>backwards, aim it at our "enemy" and crank up the voltage. It made

>>quite a projectile. I used to play with the voltage sometimes and I
>>would often crank it up slowly just to see where the point of
>>avalanche current draw first started.
>>
>>
>>But I digress......
>>
>>Answer me this, how does a functioning DC power supply reverse current
>>under typical operating conditions?
>
>
>If the cap is subjected to reverse current then the operating
>conditions are not typical, are they? But if you're asking how a low
>voltage cap can be subjected to reverse current then there are a
>myriad of possibilities, the most obvious and most common is that the
>power supply was connected backwards.

Are we now talking about solid state CB radios? That's a whole
different set of circumstances from the tube amps and radios we were
using as examples.

> Also, electrolytics are not
>always fixed at ground potential, such as when they are used as a DC
>block in an audio line. If the offset fails, the cap pops.

Absolutely! No argument there. But that's not what we were talking
about before.

> There are
>also instances where an abnormal AC component swings the normally
>positive side of the cap negative. The cap voltage can get reversed by
>rectification when a pull-up resistor fails. Etc, etc. Geez, Dave,
>you've been doing this for 30 years and you didn't know this stuff?

Not as applied to the tube amps we were talking about. If you switch
the conditions of the discussion, then the outcomes will change as
well.

>>> This takes much less power than brute-force heating of a
>>>cap. If a low-voltage electrolytic blows up, the reason is almost
>>>always due to reverse current. High-voltage electrolytics don't blow
>>>up nearly as often because they are rarely subjected to reverse
>>>current.
>>
>>Which makes that an unlikely reason for cap failure.
>
>
>It means high-voltage electrolytics are less likely to fail than
>low-voltage electrolytics. Nothing more.

In my experience the opposite happens. You see, while a 5K leakage
resistance is more than enough to draw 200 watts from an 800 V supply

and heat up the cap until explosion, that same 5K Ohm leakage across
20V (A typical unregulated voltage in a CB power supply) will only net
about .08 watts. Hardly enough to heat the cap to explosion. So in
this case, if a cap blows, it's normally the result of either too high
a voltage (exceeds the cap's rating) or reverse voltage.

>>>>Also, if you recall how the HV power supplies were designed in a
>>>>typical sweep tube "CB" grade amplifier, the plate supply voltage was
>>>>usually designed at about 800V give or take. The filter caps were
>>>>usually (2) 40 uF, 450V caps wired in series across the DC output.
>>>>Sometimes there will be (2) 100K or 1M ohm resistors in parallel with
>>>>the filter caps as well. What happens is that one of the two caps
>>>>becomes leaky. It starts to draw current, and it raises the voltage
>>>>potential across the other cap, until it exceeds the voltage rating
>>>>and it's usually THAT cap which explodes.
>>>
>>>
>>>You're reaching, Dave.
>>
>>Not at all. This is what happened on at least 2 other amps that I
>>worked on. One cap had exploded, and the other one was leaky (As
>>measured with a simple VOM). I concluded, based on the evidence,
>
>
>.....LOL!
>
>
>> that
>>the leaky cap had caused the other cap to blow. The first time this
>>happened to me, I replaced the "exploded" cap only, and when I fired
>>up the amp, a short time later, the new cap exploded as well. Only
>>then did I learn to check the remaining caps for leakage. Once the
>>leaky caps were replaced, there was no further problem.
>
>
>I think you are making that up, Dave.

Really? Based on what? Disbelief?

>>>If the voltage across the 'other' cap is
>>>exceeded what usually happens is the dielectric will puncture (at the
>>>dielectric's puncture voltage) and cause a brief short as the cap
>>>discharges. If the first discharge doesn't pop the fuse, or fuse the
>>>cap internally, it will continue to charge and discharge just like a
>>>relaxation oscillator, shunting (not dissipating) huge amounts of
>>>power until something else fails, which usually happens in less than a
>>>couple seconds. That's why you sometimes hear a short 'whine' before
>>>the radio goes dead and smoke billows from the transformer.
>>
>>That "whine" is usually from a 12V to HV multi vibrator which is
>>forced to stop from excessive current draw. I've seen that on a couple

>>of Lafayette HA-250's.
>
>
>I have heard that "whine" from equipment that had no vibrator,
>mechanical -or- solid-state. It comes from the cap. If you don't
>believe me, do the following experiment: Take a good cap, put it in
>series with a resistor of, say, 100 to 1k ohms, then slowly push the
>voltage up above the rated voltage. I would suggest using a cap of
>only 100-150 volts because some of them won't puncture until they get
>up around 400-500 volts. Anyway, at some point you hear the capacitor
>begin to whine, and if the whine doesn't stop right away the resistor
>will commence smoking (unless your power supply blows first). Try it.
>It's fun!

That is something I've never heard. I've never heard a cap "whine". I
have heard them "sizzle" right before they out gas.

>>>>> Usually it's the
>>>>>fuse that goes first, and if there's no fuse then the transformer
>>>>>overheats and shorts. Caps don't blow because of DC leakage. Caps -do-
>>>>>blow when subjected to too much AC, and even a few volts of ripple can
>>>>>be enough to pop a can on a tube rig.
>>>>
>>>>It's the filter cap's job to smooth out ripple. Of course they will be
>>>>subjected to it. If what you say were true, then there would be caps
>>>>popping like popcorn. Ripple is not A.C., as the polarity never
>>>>reverses. it only "pulses" to some degree. The charge-discharge action
>>>>of the filter caps is what smooths these pulses out.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ripple is the AC component of a DC current.
>>
>>Not exactly true. It is the pulsating D.C. current component. There is
>>no A.C. after a bridge rectifier.
>
>
>Like I said, call it whatever you like.

I like being accurate.

>>> But call it whatever
>>>tickles your fancy because the fact is that if a filter cap is doing
>>>it's job of smoothing the ripple then it's not being subjected to very
>>>much ripple!
>>
>>Exactly my point. What you've described is a classic chicken and egg
>>scenario.
>
>
>The rooster came first.

That much is true.

>
>
>> The cap can't be subjected to too much ripple if it's doing
>>it's job. If there is ripple, then the cap is usually bad.
>
>
>Not necessarily. A heavy load will increase the ripple.

Yes it will, but it won't kill the cap. Caps are designed to handle
ripple. That's their job.

>>> If there -is- much ripple then the cap isn't doing it's
>>>job, the reason being either the cap is weak or the load is too big.
>>
>>Right! But if the voltage is normal, then you can assume the current
>>draw is not excessive.
>
>
>Again, not necessarily. The DC component may stay within norms but the
>AC component (ripple) will increase under load. That's just a fact of
>life. Haven't you ever studied the basics of power supplies?

I could write a course on power supplies.

An unregulated power supply will decrease voltage as load increases.
If the supply is at normal expected voltage, then the load is not
excessive. A regulated supply is a different issue. The regulated
output may remain at normal, while the unregulated "reserve" drops,
along with a proportional increase in ripple.

The reason ripple increases is due to the R/C time constant of the
circuit. When the load increased, the apparent resistance across the
cap drops and the discharge rate increases to the point that it looses
the capacity to hold the supply up in between ripple pulses.

>>>Whatever the cause, the cap sees that ripple as AC current which
>>>causes heat dissipation in the cap due mostly to hysteresis (high
>>>hysteresis being a characteristic of electrolytic caps).
>>
>>Now it's my turn. I've never seen a cap blow from a supply being
>>dragged down to the point of excessive ripple. Over voltage yes,
>>reverse voltage yes, leaky cap yes. Too much ripple, no.
>
>
>Yet you claim that you can definitively determine if a completely
>destroyed capacitor blew up because it was leaking. So how do you do
>that? Do you reconstruct the capacitor like the FAA reconstructs
>planes? Because that's what -they- need to do in order to determine
>the cause of failure when there's no other obvious cause. Maybe they
>should just hire you and your crystal ball, huh?

If a cap explodes due to an excessive load, then the evidence of the
failure which cause that excessive load should be evident. If not then

you can't conclude that the cap died due to ripple.

You are right, in a sense, that you can't always tell what DID cause
the cap to blow, but you can determine what DID'NT cause it to fail.
It then becomes a simple process of elimination.

It's like forensic science. We reconstruct an incident based on the
evidence in the aftermath.

>>>You missed capacitor lab, didn't you? Excessive load will draw down
>>>the DC voltage but -increase- the ripple.
>>
>>I've never seen a cap blow from ripple. Never.
>
>
>You probably have but didn't know it. You can create and measure a
>controlled failure with a couple good chokes for AC isolation, a
>couple large motor-run capacitors for DC isolation, and an audio amp
>to supply the AC. If you need a schematic just let me know.

I don't understand the relationship of what you are trying to
simulate. I'm talking about filter caps in a power supply now. I
should be able to "simulate" this by simply placing a large load
across the power supply enough to drop down the voltage and increase
the ripple. If the rectifier or the transformer doesn't give up the
ghost first,, then the cap should blow according to your theory.

>>>>>But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Not when you realize that a light bulb is nothing more than a
>>>>>resistor
>>>>
>>>>A light bulb is a resistor as a consequence of it's intended use. A
>>>>part designed as a resistor rarely fails, unless too much current is
>>>>drawn through it. In which case, the failure is quite obvious.
>>>
>>>
>>>A light bulb is most certainly designed as a resistor. If it didn't
>>>have resistance it wouldn't work.
>>
>>Yes resistance is necessary for it's function. But it's not the
>>function of a light bulb to act as a resistor in a circuit. Light
>>bulbs are far too fragile for that use.
>
>
>Wanna bet? I use them all the time for dummy loads while messing with
>my generator experiments.

A GE #47 bulb is close to 50 Ohms at 4 watts of power. The impedance
changes, of course, with more or less power.

That said, they're still a fragile resistor.

> They also make great regulators because of
>their non-linear characteristics.

But they can't handle much current.

> And for the same reason, miniature
>light bulbs make pretty decent audio compressors.

I prefer Op-Amps.

> So you still say
>that light bulbs aren't resistors? Hogwash. If it's useful as a
>resistor then it's a resistor.

I never said they weren't resistors, I said that they aren't practical resistors to substitute in most circuits. A light bulb's resistance isn't constant and changes with voltage. Not something you normally want.

>
>>> A resistor necessarily dissipates
>>>power, and in a light bulb that power is dissipated as light (and
>>>heat). The only real question here is why household light bulbs fail
>>>regularly while automotive light bulbs last for a much, much longer
>>>time.
>>
>>Higher voltage, and a smaller ,thinner and more shock prone filament.
>
>
>Tungsten, one of the strongest metals on the periodic chart, is "shock
>prone"? And what difference does the voltage make? It's the current
>that causes the filament to heat up. Which is true regardless of it's
>thickness, too.

Current is a function of voltage divided by resistance. A higher voltage bulb can make the same current with a higher resistance. They usually control this with the length and thickness of the filament. Shorter, thicker filaments are more durable. That's also why a 25 watt bulb normally lasts longer than a 100 watt bulb.

>>>You said, "I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.
>>
>>Yes, I was more or less asking for confirmation.
>
>
>You don't have a web browser?

I'm lazy, so sue me.

>>> With old
>>>radios like that, the problem is almost always related to caps drying
>>>out," which demonstrated your ignorance about several things. First,
>>>the radio is a more modern 40 channel PLL model, contemporary with the

>>>TRC-453 and PC-122.
>>
>>Ok, you could have just said that in the beginning.
>
>
>Or you could have simply looked it up through google like I did.

Yea, I guess I could 've.

>
>>> Second, low voltage electrolytics don't "dry out"
>>>because they use a solid dielectric.
>>
>>That may be true, but they do change value and open up due to the
>>affects of humidity and age.
>
>
>Age, yes, they do change to a greater or lesser degree. Usually
>lesser. Humidity? I don't think so, Dave. Not when they are
>hermetically sealed. And the only caps that aren't hermetically sealed
>are air caps. Have you ever seen an "air electrolytic"? I haven't.

They are also affected by long term exposure to heat above their heat
rating.

>> What the process is that causes that to
>>happen, I'm not sure of the exact name. But "drying out" is a common
>>term that we use to describe it. If I am technically in error, then so
>>be it.
>
>
>Who is "we"?

Other techs and engineers that I know in the industry.

>>> Third, you went on to describe
>>>your one single experience from which you inferred, "the problem is
>>>almost always....". Well Dave, suppose you once burnt yourself with a
>>>soldering iron. Using your logic, the reason other people have burns
>>>"is almost always" because they burn themselves with soldering irons.
>>>It doesn't work.
>>
>>Ok, I'll admit that using the term "almost always" was a bit strong.
>
>
>It was a bit stronger than "a bit strong". You stated it as if it were
>a rule of thumb.
>
>
>>But you erred when you made the claim that it was a "single"
>>experience.
>

>
>"I once had an old 23....."
>
>
>> I have had a few experiences with older radios where this
>>has happened. I also had an old SBE were a noisy receiver was traced
>>to a germanium transistor going bad. There is no hard fast rules, and
>>troubleshooting a radio over the internet is an exercise in throwing
>>darts.
>>
>>All I wanted to do was to provide other alternatives, based on my
>>extensive experience in this business. The bottom line is to help
>>other people who have problems. It's not supposed to be a chest
>>pounding competition on who's had the most experience.
>
>
>If you truly wanted to provide other alternatives then you probably
>shouldn't have limited your "alternative" to the exclusion of any
>others. Trouble-shooting by remote control may be difficult but it's
>far from "throwing darts". You just have to refrain from jumping to
>conclusions.

I wasn't doing that. I was only suggesting something else to check
out.

>>>Yet because I rejected your conclusion (or, if you
>>>prefer, your 'declaration of high probability'), you assert that I am
>>>claiming the fault is -not- a capacitor. That is a perfect example of
>>>the logical fallacy 'Argumentum ad Ignorantiam' which, by definition,
>>>is ignorance.
>>
>>Not at all. If I claim that there is a high probability of component
>>"A" failing and you disagree. Then you are saying, in essence, that
>>there is a very low probability that component "A" is the failure.
>
>
>Wrong. Disagreement is -not- an assertion of the opposite.

It is in this case.

>This is the
>same fallacy you invoke in your political arguments: You label me as a
>liberal because I do not agree with your admitted conservatism, which
>is also wrong. For example, if you believe in God and I state that I'm
>an athiest, that doesn't mean I hold satanic rituals in my basement
>(or anywhere else).
>
>If you want to assert yourself as a person who can think logically
>then you need to understand logical fallacies enough not to make them.

I know what I need to know about logical fallacies. You have committed
your share of them, coincidentally when you debate politics.

>>If you consider component "A" to have a low probability of failure it
>>stands to reason that you place a higher probability on another
>>component failing.
>
>
>Again wrong, and for the same reason.

Then what are you saying?

>
>>Inductive reasoning.
>
>
>Before you make any more logical leaps, let me send you a good book on
>the subject. I'll pay shipping.

I don't need any more books.

>>
>>More chest thumping? What you've done at HP has no bearing on what
>>I've seen in 30+ years of CB radio repair.
>
>
>Really? Do capacitors behave differently in CB radios than they do in
>spectrum analyzers and signal generators?

Sure. They're exposed to different conditions.

>>>Maybe you should take a look at alignment procedures sometime. A
>>>frequently used test involves driving the audio hard enough to slam it
>>>rail-to-rail at every stage from mic to speaker, usually by feeding
>>>the mic input with 500 mV or more; i.e, significantly more than you
>>>can generate by screaming into the mic.
>>
>>While I can understand why you might want to do that, I've never seen
>>it in any of the factory alignment procedures or in the SAM's
>>photofacts.

>Well, I just got done fixing the TRC-449 I got from Lance (thanks
>again! Got the front-end fixed and receive is hot! FWIW, the second RF
>amp TR-5 was the culprit as I suspected -- it's a 2SC1730 and it's
>Vceo is just too low for the radio, a design flaw) and have the manual
>right beside me.

Actually TR5 is the 1st RF front end. it's the very first active
device in the chain. TR4 is an AGC clamp. The second device is the
mixer and it's a 3SK458 FET.

> On page 15 is the transmitter alignment section, and
>about the middle of the page is Step 4 where the procedure is to align
>the transmit coils while shoving 500 mV into the mic jack. Yet Step 8
>is to set the modulation for 98% with a 200 mV input. Now there is a
>reason for driving the audio so hard in Step 4 and that is to make
>sure the signal path can handle the stress, because if it can cope

>with a 500 mV input then a mere 200 mV should be well within the safe
>operating area.

Hmm.... My test procedure for that rig used 5mV to set 50% modulation, with VR6 and 200 mV to set the 100% limiter threshold with VR7.

The reason for the increase in audio drive is to test that the modulation limiter (and mike AGC in this particular radio) is doing its job. Think about it, if the modulation limiter is working and doing its job, then you can increase the mike level all you want (until you fry something) and the AMC will clamp it to the level that makes 100% modulation. The only parts in the audio chain that would be stressed are the passive components before the AMC audio shunt regulator, which is TR18.

There is very little you could tell me about that radio (or more specifically that chassis) that I don't already know. That UPD-858 PLL chassis is my all time favorite. But be careful with the UPC-1156 audio amp chip. They're discontinued and no longer generally available. That tantalum capacitor that I mentioned before, that had a habit of shorting, is C179 on this rig, and it's a 2.2uF.

Also, depending on how old that rig is, there was a factory mod that needs to be performed. On the oldest versions of that chassis, the RF final transistor had a habit of blowing, especially when a lot of SSB activity occurred. The problem was that the bias was being applied too strongly and the transistor would avalanche. The mod involved removing D66 and D67, and changing the values of R205 and R206 to 10 Ohms. Using the bias pots VR15 (driver) and VR16 (final) set the bias voltage for 40ma (driver) and 15ma (final). Newer versions of the radio already had the mod done at the factory.

That rig also mods very well, and will operate on most of the 10 meter band, (if you want to convert it) without the need to change any crystals. The PLL is capable of running (with the proper VCO adjustment) up to close to 30 Mhz. The PLL programmable divider is controlled by a 3 digit BCD "word", and capable of valid combinations ranging from 000 to 399. If you want to broadband the transmitter, you can do so quite simply by cutting the center tap circuit trace on both sides of L37 (a double can) and moving the trace to the empty outside legs on each respective side of the can. After realignment, the rig will now hold power for about a 2 Mhz wide band segment.

Clarifier range can be extended by jumping out R116. The pot can be re centered again by changing the value of R117.

I also found the transmit audio to be a bit mushy and compressed. The main reason for that is an audio bandpass filter installed between TR 20 and TR22. Removal and jumping out of L11, L10, and removing C's 71,72,73,74,& 75, yields a much better sounding audio fidelity. Or you can custom tailor the parts to suit your individual taste.

>Now you claim to have worked on CBs for 30 years, yet you have never
>seen a procedure as I just described (on what was once a fairly common
>and popular radio)?

I have seen similar procedures, but they were not used in the way you
interpreted it. I told you why they increase the level, and that's to
verify proper AMC action.

>>Often the only reason given in the procedures to increase the audio
>>input (And I have a nice Tektronix generator) is to verify proper
>>operation of the modulation limiter.

>I can send a pair of reading glasses with that book if you want.

Your failure to properly identify the true reason why the audio level
is increased, is not suggestive of my poor eyesight.

>>> One of the purposes of the
>>>test is to see if any stages lock up, a problem that is much more
>>>common with high-gain OP-amps produced since the 70's.
>>
>>Most CB radios made in the 1960- 1980 time frame do not use Op-amps.
>
>
>.....uh, yeah. That's perfectly consistent with what I said. What's
>your point?

That audio lockups, like what you've described, would be rare on most
CB radios.

>>Some Uniden radios used them more recently, but not as audio amps.
>>They were used as AGC amps and T/R switching.

>Most OP-amps in modern radios (CB and other) are integrated into
>special-purpose chips. Some older radios had OP-amps made with
>discrete components.

There are very few CB radios that use "special purpose chips" other
than the PLL. Most parts are standard off-the-shelf components. It's a
blessing for techs. Most CB radios could be fixed with Radio Shack
parts. At one time, Radio Shack actually carried RF final amps
(2SC-1307). It's a shame that they have scaled back their parts so
much.

>>I have never seen an audio stage on a CB radio "lock up". The Hy-Gain
>>623 used to have an interestingly annoying "design flaw" where if the
>>modulator was driven too hard, it would shut down for a few seconds.
>>You would loose receive audio until it recovered.
>
>
>That sounds like a lock-up to me.

It was a design flaw (or "feature" depending on your perspective).

>>>Resonance is also an issue that needs to be appreciated. As you noted
>>>before, components change value over time. So a circuit that was not
>>>resonant at the time of manufacture may be resonant 20 years later.
>>>It's not a common cause of failure but I have seen it a few times.
>>
>>You should see what happens when a 7812 regulator goes into
>>oscillation due to bad/missing bypass caps.
>
>
>You should see my curio cabinet of failed components. It even includes
>a shorted resistor (47K, carbon comp), something I was always taught
>was absolutely impossible.

Nothing is impossible in electronics. I had an open resistor once,
which had no signs of burning or overheating.

> But I show it off to visitors who stop by
>and don't believe me, have them measure it for themselves, and they
>walk away with a paranoid glaze over their eyes.

Oh, I believe you. I think I remember once seeing a resistor which
became a near dead short. It really messed up the bias of the circuit
it was installed in.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1862. Re: THE HOMO SHIT STOPS WHEN STEVEO STOPS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 12:33:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8rnhv0p0ajdmbhacei99vr1u80bov743ql@4ax.com>
Source record: 195501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ultra-liberals.faggot-lovers

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 11:39:39 -0600, "I AM NOT N8WWM"
<unit1@citizens.aganist.breaking.radio.la.Biz> wrote:

>
>"Just Me" <JustMe@nobody.com> wrote in message
>news:lsgcv0tdjvvfc542k60tvbcshf9em7ddja@4ax.com...
>> This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
>> here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
>> even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
>> I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over this
>> consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff. None of
>> the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet somewhere and
>> take care of their problems. I for one would sure appreciate a
>> stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to cb related stuff.
>> THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR
>> BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic would be a joy!

You know, as much as I hate to say it, I tend to agree with you.

I'd rather talk about radios, no matter how they are being used....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1863. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 14:56:45 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Ni7Kd.7011$8Z1.6731@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195502

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:6nkfv0h6r2avebqiu1mb5738cjgda4tm5n@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:01:09 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>> We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>>> some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>>> everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
>>> burden on our society.
>>
>>If one goes to school, gets an education, promotes that education by
>>further schooling, aggressively seeks employment, maintains that
>>employment showing a commitment to the employer and his business,
>>then he's is not being a burden on society. That opportunity is there
>>for almost everyone, they have to "want" it, not expect it.
>
> That's called personal responsibility. It's at the core of the
> conservative mantra.
>
>
>
>>> But because there are racist attitudes among
>>> many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>>> races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>>> the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>>
>>
>>I don't believe that's prevalent anymore. If we were in the 50's, 60's
>>& even the early 70's I would say yes, but I feel it's not the case now.
>
> I never see racism in my daily conduction of business. We employ all
> sorts of diverse ethnic people. Nobody even thinks about it.

Oh it's out there Dave, it's just not as bad or prevalent as it was.

>
> In fact, when I see some of the older members of my family and they
> let out a racially insensitive comment, it makes me cringe.
>
> But there are people of recognized minority status who "play" the
> system. The problem is that when the majority tries to open up dialog
> to address this subject, they are shouted down and demonized by the
> opposition by calling the discussions "racist", "sexist", or
> "xenophobic". I applaud Bill Cosby for speaking out and highlighting
> many of the problems which are affecting the black community. Maybe

> coming from one of their own, the dialog might stand a better chance
> of happening.

Yup, that's true too, but again, it's a mind set that was caused because
of the large amount of racism, a long time ago.

>>> So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>>> Canada..... (hehe, just kidding).
>>
>>Nope, don't blame them, but do blame Canada ;)
>
> You're such a hoser! Take off eh?
>
>
>>> The problem originates with racist
>>> attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>>> away anytime soon.
>>
>>Those will always be around, affirmative action or not, but again
>>I feel that's far & few in between.
>>
>>> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>>> shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>>> and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>>> you to do business with Issaquah, are they?
>>
>>If my business is with them, why must I be forced to "not" do business
>>with them? Because my company has 12 employee's, all qualified to
>>do the job, but none are of "color" or just one person, so that's not
>>enough.
>> My last job I was a manager, I did the hiring & firing and to me I
>> didn't
>>care what color you were, just so you did the job & did it well. That
>>attitude is the same where I'm at now.
>
> That is the only criteria that should be considered IMHO.
>
>> We have people of color, women working there. I remember a person
>>of color hired and was asked to take the owners truck over to the car wash
>>and have them wash it. He refused and said it was a job that degraded him.
>
> And some wonder why many minority people are still falling short in
> the wealth category........
>>I LOL!!! I had done that very same job a dozen times, among many others
>>when I first started there, I didn't care, just as long as I was paid.
>>
>> It has lot to do with attitude, people have become complacent and
>>started
>>to live off of welfare, SSI, disability etc. Those programs were only
>>meant
>>as

>>a crutch, but have grown into basically an income for those that don't
>>want
>>to
>>work (I saw it for years when working in SF). Some truly need those
>>programs
>>and don't abuse them, but more than not abuse it and almost never have to
>>work
>>because people like you & I support them with "our" hard earned taxes.
>
>
> And you can thank the liberals for creating the "entitlement"
> generation...
>

Dave. Both sides of the spectrum play the game, Demo's
play that side a little harder. Liberals & conservatives keep
the country from swinging one way or the other politically.

> Your tax dollars NOT at work........
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Landshark

--



1864. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 14:56:45 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Ni7Kd.7010$8Z1.5331@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195503

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:63ffv0pjbl586lm00lh24labh6fkb1ol6i@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:01:09 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote in <VgOJd.15920$5R.7985@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:fsoev0pbhupo1nqhb4pcasg50t5lngc30k@4ax.com...
> <snip>
>>> We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>>> some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>>> everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
>>> burden on our society.
>>
>>If one goes to school, gets an education, promotes that education by
>>further schooling, aggressively seeks employment, maintains that
>>employment showing a commitment to the employer and his business,
>>then he's is not being a burden on society. That opportunity is there
>>for almost everyone, they have to "want" it, not expect it.
>
>
> See below.
>
>
>>> But because there are racist attitudes among
>>> many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>>> races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>>> the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>>
>>
>>I don't believe that's prevalent anymore. If we were in the 50's, 60's
>>& even the early 70's I would say yes, but I feel it's not the case now.
>
>
> I used to think that way. But over the years I've seen that racial
> discrimination is present just as much as it was before -- it's just
> not as visible.

That maybe so, but where I live and have gone I don't see it.

>
>>> So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>>> Canada..... (hehe, just kidding).
>>

>>Nope, don't blame them, but do blame Canada ;)
>>
>>> The problem originates with racist
>>> attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>>> away anytime soon.
>>
>>Those will always be around, affirmative action or not, but again
>>I feel that's far & few in between.
>
>
> If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised.

Nah, no thanks. I've got way to much stuff to do before I
start to worry about my neighbors.

>>> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>>> shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>>> and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>>> you to do business with Issaquah, are they?
>>
>>If my business is with them, why must I be forced to "not" do business
>>with them? Because my company has 12 employee's, all qualified to
>>do the job, but none are of "color" or just one person, so that's not
>>enough.
>
>
> If I remember right, there's a minimum number of employees you must
> have before you are required to comply with AA. Is 12 over that
> threshold?

I don't own a business, I was using that as an example. I thnk
you are right though, it's probally more like 20 or 30 people.

>
>> My last job I was a manager, I did the hiring & firing and to me I
>> didn't
>>care what color you were, just so you did the job & did it well. That
>>attitude is the same where I'm at now.
>> We have people of color, women working there. I remember a person
>>of color hired and was asked to take the owners truck over to the car wash
>>and have them wash it. He refused and said it was a job that degraded him.
>>I LOL!!! I had done that very same job a dozen times, among many others
>>when I first started there, I didn't care, just as long as I was paid.
>
>
> I understand what you are saying and I agree completely. It would be
> great if everyone was color-blind. But that's just not the case.
>
>
>> It has lot to do with attitude, people have become complacent and

>>started
>>to live off of welfare, SSI, disability etc. Those programs were only
>>meant
>>as
>>a crutch, but have grown into basically an income for those that don't
>>want
>>to
>>work
>
>
> .....people like Eric,

Don't know. If what that guy posts about him yes, that's
correct, but again, I don't know Eric.

>> (I saw it for years when working in SF). Some truly need those programs
>>and don't abuse them, but more than not abuse it and almost never have to
>>work
>>because people like you & I support them with "our" hard earned taxes.
>
>
> Yep. But eliminating the programs hurt the people they were intended
> to help. The problem is the abuse, not the programs.

Agreed. every time you start to investigate abuse of these programs,
"activist" jump & down about the poor being singled out. You'll never see
these programs shut down, to many people that are getting rich off them,
will complain to the activist and they in-turn will protest and get a hold
of the media and start their little show of "they are trying to persecute us
because we are poor".

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

1865. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 14:56:45 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Ni7Kd.7012$8Z1.1794@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195504

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:lblfv0prn4ukqsj00r5a7jlk2g9tt5i52l@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 04:12:59 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:7lucv0l8jnnq8u299kvh3bnk1rbqfbu9tu@4ax.com...
>>> On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 05:21:07 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:d2fav09gemgf57036d5l8hrfv6e1igecvm@4ax.com...
>>>>> At least back before you guys found a common love for the wrong side
>>>>> of the political spectrum....
>>>>>
>>>>> Dave
>>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>>
>>>> What makes you think that "they" are on the
>>>>wrong side of the political spectrum? Now think about
>>>>it Dave, Frank has said a number of times he is not a
>>>>liberal, he just doesn't like Bush's policy's.
>>>
>>> Yet he voted for Nader?(While also defending Kerry to the teeth)
>>> Doesn't that sound a bit off to you? If a conservative or even a
>>> moderate had a problem with Bush politically, do you think that they
>>> would vote for Nader?
>>
>>My dad's a republican, he voted for Nader. He hated Kerry,
>>but disliked Bush just as much, so rather than not vote at all
>>he voted for who "he" wanted.
>
> Was it simply a "protest" vote, or did he actually agree with his
> platform?

Protest
>
>
>>>> Twist is what
>>>>I would say a liberal, but how does that make him on the
>>>>"wrong side"? Because you don't agree with them, that
>>>>makes them on the wrong side?

>>>
>>> No, not at all. But trust me, the liberal side of the political
>>> equation has done little to help and far more to ruin this country at
>>> practically every turn. From the creation of the welfare state,
>>
>>I'll agree there.
>>
>>> to frivolous lawsuits,
>>
>>I don't see that being any fault of a political agenda.
>
> It's the whole liberal culture of deflecting responsibility. A
> conservative believes in personal responsibility. Meaning that if you
> run your foot over with a lawn mower, you smack yourself in the head
> for being an idiot, and then head to the emergency room. You don't now
> sue the lawn mower company and try to make a windfall from it.
>
>
>>> to the creation and expansion of federal taxes,
>
>>Nixon, I think Regan, Bush Sr. raised taxes, along with Clinton
>>so I again don't see a liberal agenda there.
>
> But if you look at WHY the taxes need to be raised, and the social
> programs that eat up much of it, you'll discover that they're not
> conservative.

Oh, Regan had at the time the largest military budget in history
that ate up the most money (I liked Regan).

>
>>
>>> to affirmative action,
>>
>>I'll agree there
>>
>>> to mollycoddling terrorists, liberals have been on
>>> the wrong side of history, and the wrong side for Americans.
>>> I could list a whole host of examples, but this is not the place for
>>> that.
>>>
>>
>>What about Saddam, Samosa, Shah of Iran, among many
>>other dictators, heads of state that the US under many
>>different administrations supported?
>
> We pick our business partners according do what they can do for us. If
> they later turn out to be "bad" people, we deal with them then.
>
>
>>> Liberals have all the best intentions. They are not "evil" people.

>>> They are just hopelessly naive and overly idealistic. It's no wonder
>>> that most Hollywierd types tend to be liberals.
>>
>>What about Arnold, Bo Derek, Bruce Willis, Tom Selleck, Dennis Miller, Mel
>>Gibson, Chuck Norris, Ben Stein, Pat Sajak, Kelsey Grammer, Danny Aiello,
>>Patricia Heaton and James Woods?
>
> What about them? I didn't say *all* Hollywierd types, I said most.
>
> Hell, Ronald Reagan, who was arguably the model of the modern
> conservative, was a former actor.
>
> But I can make a much longer list of the Hollywood Limousine liberals.
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

Landshark

--



1866. Re: Roger Beeps (the moral value farce perpetuated by hatemongers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 15:55:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20683-41F90EF6-251@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195505

"God Is With Us": Rhetoric and the Lure of "Moral Values"
by Maureen Farrell

"God does not make cowardly nations free." -- Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf

A couple weeks ago, while asserting that the Founding Founders intended for the U.S. government to be infused with Christianity, Supreme Court Justice Antonin Scalia said that the Holocaust was able to flourish in Germany because of Europe's secular ways. "Did it turn out that, by reason of the separation of church and state, the Jews were safer in Europe than they were in the United States of America?",
Scalia asked a congregation at Manhattan's Shearith Israel synagogue.
"I don't think so."
One might expect regular citizens to be ignorant of history, but a Supreme Court Justice? Does he imagine that the phrase "Gott mit Uns" was a German clothier's interpretation of "Got Milk"?

If photographic evidence of the Third Reich's Christian leanings were not enough, Hitler's own speeches and writings prove, at the very least, that he presented many of the same faith-based arguments heard in America today. Religion in the schools? Hitler was for it. Intellectuals who practiced "anti-Christian, smug individualism"? According to Hitler, their days were numbered. Divine Providence's role in shaping Germany's ultimate victory? Who could argue? In other words, there is enough historical evidence to color Scalia deluded. Writing for Free Inquiry, John Patrick Michael Murphy explained:
"Hitler's Germany amalgamated state with church. Soldiers of the vermacht wore belt buckles inscribed with the following: "Gott mit uns" (God is with us). His troops were often sprinkled with holy water by the priests. It was a real Christian country whose citizens were indoctrinated by both state and church and blindly followed all authority figures, political and ecclesiastical.

Hitler, like some of the today's politicians and preachers, politicized "family values." He liked corporeal punishment in home and school. Jesus prayers became mandatory in all schools under his administration. While abortion was illegal in pre-Hitler Germany, he took it to new depths of enforcement, requiring all doctors to report to the government the circumstances of all miscarriages. He openly despised homosexuality and criminalized it."

For anyone wanting even more proof, Mein Kampf is chock full of the Fuhrer's musings on God. ("I believe that I am acting in accordance with the will of the Almighty Creator: by defending myself against the Jew, I am fighting for the work of the Lord," Hitler wrote). But anti-Semitic rants aside, some of Hitler's religious musings are interchangeable with

Mr. Bush's.

Hitler was raised a Catholic and spoke of his faith in God, yet, singling out his rants against religion, politicians and pastors continue to characterize him as a pagan barbarian. Such distortions are convenient -- particularly in an age where propaganda concerning "moral values" is readily gobbled up and Christian nation legislation waits in the wings -- but, to paraphrase the Bible, overlooking the truth will not make us free.

Scalia, who also cited the Bible to claim that government "derives its moral authority from God," is hardly alone in his assertions. Leo Strauss, the philosopher who has influenced neoconservativism, and by proxy, George Bush's America, felt that religion, like deception, was crucial to maintaining social order. Meanwhile, neoconservative kingpin Irving Kristol has argued similar points -- bragging about how easy it is to fool the public into accepting the government's actions while arguing that America's Founding Fathers were wrong to insist on the separation of church and state. Why? According to Jim Lobe, it's because religion, as Strauss and his disciples see it, is "absolutely essential in order to impose moral law on the masses who otherwise would be out of control."

Saying that neoconservatives believe that secular society is undesirable "because it leads to individualism, liberalism, and relativism, precisely those traits that may promote dissent that in turn could dangerously weaken society's ability to cope with external threats," Lobe explained why Kristol and other neocons have "allied themselves with the Christian Right" and, in some cases, have also denounced Darwin's theory of evolution. "Neoconservatives are pro-religion even though they themselves may not be believers," Reason magazine's Ronald Bailey explained, pointing to publications like Commentary which has espoused the virtues of religious fundamentalism and has questioned evolutionary science.

(Hitler did the same. The book The German Churches Under Hitler includes his assertion that secular schools should not be tolerated while Hitler's Table Talk quotes him questioning the wisdom in teaching children both creationism and the theory of evolution. "The present system of teaching in schools permits the following absurdity: at 10 a.m. the pupils attend a lesson in the catechism, at which the creation of the world is presented to them in accordance with the teachings of the Bible; and at 11 a.m. they attend a lesson in natural science, at which they are taught the theory of evolution,"he said. "Yet the two doctrines are in complete contradiction. As a child, I suffered from this contradiction, and ran my head against a wall.")

Professor Shadia B. Drury also noted the similarities between the methods endorsed by Hitler and neoconservatives' favorite philosopher. She explained:
"Strauss loved America enough to try to save her from the errors and terrors of Europe. He was convinced that the liberal democracy of the

Weimar Republic led to the rise of the Nazis. That is a debatable matter. But Strauss did not openly debate this issue or provide arguments for his position in his writings. I am inclined to think that it is Strauss's ideas, and not liberal ideas, that invite the kinds of abuses he wished to avoid. It behooves us to remember that Hitler had the utmost contempt for parliamentary democracy. He was impatient with debate and dispute, on the grounds that they were a waste of time for the great genius who knew instinctively the right choices and policies that the people need. Hitler had a profound contempt for the masses - the same contempt that is readily observed in Strauss and his cohorts. But when force of circumstances made it necessary to appeal to the masses, Hitler advocated lies, myths, and illusions as necessary pabulum to placate the people and make them comply with the will of the Fuhrer.

Strauss's political philosophy advocates the same solution to the problem of the recalcitrant masses. Anyone who wants to avoid the horrors of the Nazi past is well advised not to accept Strauss's version of ancient wisdom uncritically. But this is exactly what Strauss encouraged his students to do."

Although several others, including the legendary Seymour Hersh, have noted the neoconservatives' belief that deception is essential, the religious aspect of their philosophy is especially unnerving. Religion may be the opium of the masses, but when zealots become so certain of their own righteousness that they ignore their own humanity, horror is the natural consequence.

Islamic extremism offers the most glaring recent example, and now that Osama bin Laden has been granted permission to nuke America, the most extreme changes within the U.S. could very well come from the outside world.

In the meantime, however, for those who have not yet noticed, our own homegrown zealots -- those who advocate hatred in the name of the Lord -- have made considerable headway, with gays and lesbians currently at the center of legislation which, should it pass, will alter this country forever.

When the Marriage Protection Act passed the House in July, the New York Times called it "a radical assault on the Constitution. "If it passes in the Senate, the bill could obliterate the separation of powers and wipe out

Constitutional protections for all minorities, stripping the courts and possibly paving the way for Christian nationhood. Other pieces of court stripping legislation bills designed to topple the wall between church and state are also in play.

This encroaching infusion of church and state, combined with recent decrees concerning moral values, doesn't resonate with inclusive tolerance.

"When was the last time a Western nation had a leader so obsessed with God and claiming God was on our side? If you answered Adolph Hitler and Nazi Germany, you're correct," Bob Fitrakis wrote. "Nothing can be more misleading than to categorize Hitler as a barbaric pagan or Godless totalitarian, like Stalin."

While many of us reserve a soft spot for true Christian generosity and the warm teachings of Jesus, it's important to remember that Christianity can be (and has been) distorted for darker purposes. Whether you're talking about Nazi Germany, the pre-Civil War American South, or the atmosphere in the U.S. these past few years, whenever questions of conscience are vigorously denounced, you can bet there is trouble ahead -- and the hijacking of faith and the manipulation of religion should always arouse suspicion. Moral values as a mandate? What better way to foster civil obedience and "One nation Under God" unity in a time of preventative war, suppressed liberty and sanctioned torture.

So, yes, despite tales of Hitler's atheism and Germany's Godlessness, the list of Hitler's religious assertions and Nazi Christian affiliations is long, and before Americans swallow more WMD-type baloney, it's best to comprehend this history and understand that no nation, including our own, is immune to faith-based fascism.

Substituting "America" for "Germany," many of Hitler's religious assertions could have been uttered by Jerry Falwell or Pat Robertson -- with Hitler even asserting that God punished Germany for turning away from Him -- before promising that renewed piety would protect the Fatherland and make it prosperous and successful once more. "Once the mercy of God shown upon us, but we were not worthy of His mercy. Providence withdrew its protection and our people fell, fell as scarcely any other people heretofore. In this deep misery we again learned to pray," Hitler said in 1936, sixty-five years before Falwell and Robertson blamed abortionists and feminists for the tragedies of Sept. 11.

Hitler's religious phrases could have also come from the lips of George W. Bush. "Our prayer is: Lord God, let us never hesitate, let us never play the coward, let us never forget the duty which we have taken upon us,"Hitler said in March, 1933, sounding much like our president, who believes that God wants him to liberate the people in Middle East -- even if he has to torture, maim and kill tens of thousands in the process. "I believe we have a duty to free people," Bush told Bob Woodward. "I would hope we wouldn't have to do it militarily, but we have a duty.. . . Going into this period, I was praying for strength to do the Lord's will. . . ."

Speaking in Berlin in March, 1936, Hitler said something remarkably similar. "I would like to thank Providence and the Almighty for choosing me of all people to be allowed to wage this battle for Germany," he said, before launching the preventive war heard round the world. Both leaders also promised peace while planning for war. "We seek peace. We strive for peace. And sometimes peace must be defended," Bush said, in his State of the Union address in Jan. 2003, two months before

launching a preventative war in Iraq. "Never in these long years have we offered any other prayer but this: Lord, grant to our people peace at home, and grant and preserve to them peace from the foreign foe!"Hitler said in Nuremberg on Sept. 13, 1936.

Yes, many of Hitler's faith-based comments could have come from George Bush himself, and are undoubtedly the kinds of sentiments many Americans not only agree with -- but take comfort in. This is not to say that Bush is Hitler or that religion is evil, but to serve as a reminder that things are not always what they seem.

Christianity was used to justify everything from the Salem witch trials to slavery in America, and facilitated group-think in Germany -- when individuality and questions of conscience were needed the most. These are but a few of the Fuhrer's assertions:
"Secular schools can never be tolerated because such a school has no religious instruction and a general moral instruction without a religious foundation is built on air; consequently, all character training and religion must be derived from faith." (The German Churches Under Hitler, p.241)

"We must turn all the sentiments of the Volk, all its thinking, acting, even its beliefs, away from the anti-Christian, smug individualism of the past, from the egotism and stupid Phariseeism of personal arrogance, and we must educate the youth in particular in the spirit of those of Christ's words that we must interpret anew: love one another; be considerate of your fellow man; remember that each one of you is not alone a creature of God, but that you are all brothers! This youth will, with loathing and contempt, abandon those hypocrites who have Christ on their lips but the devil in their hearts." (Hitler: Memoirs of a Confidant, page 140)

"It will be the Government's care to maintain honest cooperation between Church and State; the struggle against materialistic views and for a real national community is just as much in the interest of the German nation as in that of the welfare of our Christian faith." (At the Reichstag, March 23, 1933)

"Without pledging ourselves to any particular Confession [Protestantism or Catholicism], we have restored to faith its prerequisites because we were convinced that the people need and require this faith. We have therefore undertaken the fight against the atheistic movement, and that not merely with a few theoretical declarations: we have stamped it out." (Berlin, Oct. 24, 1933)

"But there is something else I believe, and that is that there is a God. . . And this God again has blessed our efforts during the past 13 years." (Munich, Feb. 24, 1940)

"You [blue-collar workers] represent the most noble of slogans known to us: "God helps those who help themselves!' (Hitler: Speeches and Proclamations, Vol. 2, page 1147)

"Fifteen years ago I had nothing save my faith and my will. Today the Movement is Germany, today this Movement has won the German nation and formed the Reich. Would that have been possible without the blessing of the Almighty? Or do they who ruined Germany wish to maintain that they have had God's blessing? What we are we are, not against but with the will of Providence. And so long as we are loyal, honest, and ready to fight, so long as we believe in our great work and do not capitulate, we shall also in the future have the blessing of Providence." (Rosenheim, Aug. 11, 1935)

"My feelings as a Christian points me to my Lord and Savior as a fighter. . . As a Christian I have no duty to allow myself to be cheated, but I have the duty to be a fighter for truth and justice.... And if there is anything which could demonstrate that we are acting rightly it is the distress that daily grows. For as a Christian I have also a duty to my own people." (Munich, April 12, 1922)

"If positive Christianity means love of one's neighbor, i.e. the tending of the sick, the clothing of the poor, the feeding of the hungry, the giving of drink to those who are thirsty, then it is we who are the more positive Christians. For in these spheres the community of the people of National Socialist Germany has accomplished a prodigious work." (Feb. 24, 1939)

"We were convinced that the people needs and requires this faith. We have therefore undertaken the fight against the atheistic movement, and that not merely with a few theoretical declarations: we have stamped it out." (Berlin, Oct. 24, 1933)

"An educated man retains the sense of the mysteries of nature and bows before the unknowable. An educated man, on the other hand, runs the risk of going over to atheism (which is a return to the state of the animal)." (Hitler's Table Talk, 1941-1944, page 59)

In his book, They Thought They Were Free, Milton Mayer interviewed Germans who discussed how their society changed right before their eyes, and how, despite Hitler's rhetoric, God was nowhere to be found. As one interviewee put it:
"The world you live in -- "your nation, your people" -- is not the world you were in at all. The forms are all there, all untouched, all reassuring, the houses, the shops, the jobs, the mealtimes, the visits, the concerts, the cinema, the holidays. But the spirit, which you never noticed because you made the lifelong mistake of identifying it with the forms, is changed. Now you live in a world of hate and fear, and the people who hate and fear do not even know it themselves; when everyone is transformed, no one is transformed. Now you live in a system which rules without responsibility even to God. The system itself could not have intended this in the beginning, but in order to sustain itself it was compelled to go all the way."

Of course, America has hardly "gone all the way" and is unlikely to become as psychotic as Nazi Germany any time soon. But what do you suppose God thinks of preventative war based upon deception? Or about

the use of depleted uranium? Or about dropping napalm on civilians? Are Iraqi insurgents are any less certain that God is on their side than our own Evangelical Marines?

Yes, Saddam Hussein was a brutal thug, but why do so many insist on forgetting that the U.S. helped him to power in the first place? Does God see our role in all of this as lightly as we do? And how many U.S. citizens do you know, who, mired in fear, readily dismiss America's use of torture and rationalize our disregard for international law? What else might they overlook?

In 1937, Hitler said that because of Germany's belief in God and God's favoritism towards Germany, the country would prevail and prosper. "We, therefore, go our way into the future with the deepest belief in God. Would all we have achieved been possible had Providence not helped us? I know that the fruits of human labor are hard-won and transitory if they are not blessed by the Omnipotent. Work such as ours which has received the blessings of the Omnipotent can never again be undone by mere mortals,"he said.

While attempting to solidify his power, Hitler also denounced those who denounced religion -- as if he were talking about Hollywood or blue states or Noam Chomsky. "For eight months we have been conducting a fearless campaign against that Communism which is threatening our entire nation, our culture, our art, and our public morals, "Hitler said in a speech in Oct. 1933. "We have made an end of denials of the Deity and the crying down of religion."

THERE WILL BE NO MORE CRYING DOWN OF RELIGION IN GEORGE BUSH'S AMERICA, EITHER. THE OFT-REPEATED ASSERTIONS MADE BY THE MEDIA IN THE IMMEDIATE AFTERMATH OF THE ELECTION HAVE PROVEN TO BE NOTHING MORE THAN MYTH, PROPAGANDISTS WOULD HAVE YOU BELIEVE THAT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE SPOKEN:
'MORAL VALUES' REIN SUPREME.

But how can any one of us know God's desires -- especially when our enemies claim to have God on their side as well? And doesn't it seem that religious hubris -- believing that God sanctions one's own inhumane treatment of others -- always invites a fall?

"I tremble for my country when I reflect that God is just; that his justice cannot sleep forever,"

Thomas Jefferson said, of the price America would eventually pay for slavery. "Nations, like individuals, are punished for their transgressions," Ulysses S. Grant advised, describing karmic retribution without pointing hateful fingers at lesbians.

And long before that, the poet John Milton tried to "justify the ways of God to Man." But yet, the world, with its conflicting visions of morality, ethics and truth, still struggles to comprehend.
Perhaps Truth, for want of a better definition, is what God sees when he looks at any given situation. And perhaps it is ultimately impossible

for us to know God's mind. After all, it's obvious that Hitler wasn't telling the truth when he spoke of God and country -- and by the same token, it's difficult to look at Najaf or Fallujah or Abu Ghraib or Guantanamo Bay and see God's hand in any of it.

After one of Bush's operatives promised to "export death and violence to the four corners of the earth in defense of our great nation" Bob Woodward wrote: "The president was casting his mission and that of the country in the grand vision of God's Master Plan." And sure enough, when Woodward asked Bush if he had discussed the impending invasion of Iraq with his father, President George H.W. Bush (who could have offered sage advice), the President responded: "He is the wrong father to appeal to in terms of strength; there is a higher father that I appeal to."

But, without knowing God's mind, most of us have only History to help us judge. And the fact is, without the benefit of History, some of the "moral values" Hitler embraced sound eerily like those being peddled today.
George Bush is not Hitler. America is not Nazi Germany. But buying into religious assertions or thinking that God is on your side is not wise when it comes to matters of war -- particularly when that war is an aggressive preventative war based on false premises and assumptions.

So, aside from Jerry Falwell, who speaks with hate-filled authority, most of us do not know how God will judge us. We will have to settle for History's imperfect record.

All of this begs the question, however. Given his assertions regarding God's role in helping him decide policy ("I pray that I be as good a messenger of His will as possible" Bush told Woodward. . . "I felt so strongly that [invading Iraq] was the right thing to do") how does Bush view the more mundane, secular implications of his actions? When asked by Woodward how History would judge the war in Iraq, Bush replied: "History. We don't know. We'll all be dead."
I challenge anyone to find the moral value in that.

_
Maureen Farrell is a writer and media consultant who specializes in helping other writers get television and radio exposure.

1867. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 16:09:11 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20683-41F91227-255@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195506

N3CVJ wrote:
> I believe in being accurate

Nothing is stopping you except your inner struggle. Off you go now, (with your accuracy, of course) explaining your criteria for claiming dxing makes on a federal criminal. Of course, the stifling comes when you are presented with the fact that a mandated 70 MPH speed limit is also a "federally enacted law", the only criteria you cite in claiming the infraction of such, maes one a "federal criminal". Such ludicrous statements illustrates you have a great deal to learn concerning the law, judicial and fiducial matters.

(who is we)

>Other techs

mmmph,,,,not only have you hallucinated that other hams were agreeing with you, now you are hallucinating "other techs" are fondling over yourself. (sigh),,ok,,go ahead and name a single one these techs and hams only you can see.

1868. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 16:23:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cvphv01aa627beeqe8a3q1av2nj5t7t0qk@4ax.com>
Source record: 195507

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 07:30:45 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <7omfv0p8kn99bi7onolj5cvc9u0o3ht3mk@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 15:58:35 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 13:22:02 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <5uvcv0haj7ml7kre6v9us1vr19mt9ms0fp@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Mon, 24 Jan 2005 15:53:20 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>1. Of course they don't blow up for no reason. The reason they blow is
>>>due to several factors, including high resistance leakage.
>>>
>>>2. I HAVE seen them blow due to leakage. You can come up with all
>>>sorts of ripple theories and other such to explain the exact reason
>>>why it goes, but the primary event which set the chain into motion was
>>>the cap going leaky.
>>>
>>>When you open up a tube rig or an amplifier and have to clean out
>>>shards of aluminum foil and paper wadding, you don't really care about
>>>the exact chain of events. You just know that the cap blew. When you
>>>replace it (Once you determine that the rest of the supply is fine),
>>>and the radio plays fine, it's a safe assumption that the cap was bad.
>>
>>
>>Maybe that's happened to you but never on my bench, not unless the cap
>>was too small for the load. Like I said before, I have never seen a
>>cap that blew up on it's own accord, leaky or not (and I don't know
>>how you can determine it was leakage that caused your cap to blow
>>unless you have a time machine in your shop).
>
>Shhhhh. That a "way back" machine, and it's only a prototype.
>
>But you make a good point. But like Sherlock Holmes once said, when
>all the other possibilities are excluded, what remains, however
>unlikely must be the answer.

Sherlock Holmes was not a real person, and that poorly-quoted cliche
has one very important point you completely missed: "when all the
other possibilities are excluded". Care to apply that concept to the
debate between creationism and evolution? Or can you see that there
are "other possibilities" that may not be obvious but they do exist?

> The supply was otherwise in good shape,
>the load was nominal. The only thing "bad" was the cap.
>
>Oh, and I've seen equipment which did have leaky caps, and they were
>hot to the touch and some have had the rubber plug in the bottom
>partially ejected. They didn't fail violently in an explosion, but
>they did out gas from excessive leakage.

I've seen the same thing several times myself.

>>>>>Leaky doesn't mean "dead short". A cap could have just enough
>>>>>resistance to heat it up and cause it to out gas or explode, but not
>>>>>cause other components to fail.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Never seen it happen. It's easy to understand why it doesn't happen
>>>>when you realize that heat requires power; i.e, watts.
>>>
>>>800V X .25 amps = 200 watts. More than enough to heat up a leaky cap.
>>
>>
>>Heat it? Yes. Will it pass that much current without fusing
>>internally? Doubtful. If it -could- pass that much current would that
>>be enough power to make it explode?
>
>The evidence that I've seen would tend to answer that question as a
>yes.

If you knew how much power it takes to cause an explosion you might
change your mind.

>> Hardly, since a lot of that power
>>is shunted to ground and not dissipated in the cap.
>
>A dead short is a shunt to ground. When the cap is simply leaking, the
>cap becomes a resistor, and heats up like one when too much current
>runs through it. It would only take a leakage resistance of around 4K
>Ohms at 800 V to make it happen.
>
>> It will just vent
>>until it's empty or shorted.
>
>That depends on the design of the cap, and how much current is being
>dissipated. Explain it anyway you want. I'm telling you what I've
>actually seen.
>
>>>>Begin with the power supply. It is designed to supply a limited amount
>>>>of power, usually a little more than for the intended use.
>>>
>>>And if the "intended use" is intermittent, (Like only when
>>>transmitting) the supply is usually sitting there idle, supplying
>>>little to no current.

>>>
>>>>Next, your
>>>>cap leaks. This creates a shunt in parallel with the intended load,
>>>>and therefore draws more power from the power supply.
>>>
>>>Not necessarily. If the supply is capably of supplying .5 amps at 800V
>>>when operating, but a leaky cap only draws .2 amps while on standby,
>>>that's more than enough to heat the cap without overtaxing the supply.
>>
>>
>>And you are forgetting that the bigger the load the bigger the cap,
>>and therefore the more power it would take for a leak to heat that cap
>>to the point of exploding.
>
>Caps are rated by three things, capacitance, voltage rating and
>temperature.

Capacitors are rated by more than those three things: Variation in capacitance with frequency and temperature; hysteresis; inductance & complex impedance; etc.... and AC resistance, also dependent upon temperature and frequency, and which is critical in power circuits due to the usually dismal power dissipation capabilities of capacitors.

> In most sweep tube amps and tube CB radios, I rarely see
>more than about 40 uF in filter caps. Voltage rating depends on the
>circuit. Most amps use 450V caps in series.

I have a cap handy that's rated 40 uF @ 440 VAC. It must weigh at least five pounds and measures 10" x 4" x 2" (want a pic?). It's big because it's designed to handle lots of AC. I also have another capacitor handy that's rated 40 uF @ 450 VDC. It's barely 2" long and 1" diameter. It can't handle much AC at all. I have seen the caps used in sweep tube amps and they aren't designed to handle much AC at all. Load them down with some ripple and **poof**.

>>>> In fact, your
>>>>leaky cap draws so much -additional- power that it evaporates the
>>>>contents faster than the gasses can be vented by the vent hole -AND-
>>>>builds up enough pressure to literally explode the metal case.
>>>
>>>That's exactly what happens.
>>
>>
>>Uh-huh.
>>
>>
>>>> I don't
>>>>think so, Dave -- that's just too much power to not expect an earlier
>>>>failure in the power supply.
>>>
>>>Not at all, considering the scenario I just presented. I can't count

>>>the number of D&A Mavericks and Thunderbolt 305's and such that I've
>>>replaced blown caps in, and not had any other fault.
>>
>>
>>Did you ever think that maybe the cap wasn't large enough for the
>>application?
>
>Perhaps. Then I guess the manufacturer has some 'splainin to do.

That happens more times than I would like. GE and Motorola usually
have well-engineered products; Alvin Amps and Back-Room Communications
usually don't.

>>>>And like I said before, if the supply isn't fused then the failure is
>>>>probably going to be a shorted transformer or choke, blown rectifier,
>>>>or some other device that is less robust than the capacitor.
>>>
>>>Sometimes, if the cap hangs on long enough and the resistance drops
>>>further, the rectifiers blow too. But that's more rare.
>>
>>
>>It -is- rare because the caps usually fuse internally before anything
>>else goes.
>
>When you say "fuse" to you mean open or short?

Open. The wire fuses; i.e, melts. Internal wires in electrolytics are
usually quite thin, and the connections to the plates aren't always
secure either.

>>>>You might also realize that electrolytics blow up when subjected to a
>>>>reverse current -not- because of any heat buildup or dissipation, but
>>>>because the dielectric undergoes electrolysis and one of the products
>>>>is a gas.
>>>
>>>Yes, I am fully aware of that. When I was a young pup on my first full
>>>time job, I worked 2nd shift and the techs sometimes got bored when
>>>their work was done, so we used to make "capacitor cannons". We'd
>>>solder a set of leads to a radial lead cap (Usually 10 uF at 50V)
>>>place it in a hunk of PVC pipe, hook it up to a power supply
>>>backwards, aim it at our "enemy" and crank up the voltage. It made
>>>quite a projectile. I used to play with the voltage sometimes and I
>>>would often crank it up slowly just to see where the point of
>>>avalanche current draw first started.
>>>
>>>
>>>But I digress......
>>>
>>>Answer me this, how does a functioning DC power supply reverse current
>>>under typical operating conditions?
>>

>>
>>If the cap is subjected to reverse current then the operating
>>conditions are not typical, are they? But if you're asking how a low
>>voltage cap can be subjected to reverse current then there are a
>>myriad of possibilities, the most obvious and most common is that the
>>power supply was connected backwards.
>
>Are we now talking about solid state CB radios? That's a whole
>different set of circumstances from the tube amps and radios we were
>using as examples.
>
>> Also, electrolytics are not
>>always fixed at ground potential, such as when they are used as a DC
>>block in an audio line. If the offset fails, the cap pops.
>
>Absolutely! No argument there. But that's not what we were talking
>about before.

You must remain focused, Dave. We have been discussing several issues
regarding capacitor failure. In the present topic I had stated that
electrolytics fail most often due to excessive AC (or ripple) -or-
reverse voltage, at which point you queried as to how a power supply
can reverse it's current. I then explained that failure due to voltage
reversal is rare with high-voltage caps (in tube rigs) but went on to
explain how the failure is common in low-voltage caps (SS circuits).

Are you up to speed now?

>> There are
>>also instances where an abnormal AC component swings the normally
>>positive side of the cap negative. The cap voltage can get reversed by
>>rectification when a pull-up resistor fails. Etc, etc. Geez, Dave,
>>you've been doing this for 30 years and you didn't know this stuff?
>
>Not as applied to the tube amps we were talking about. If you switch
>the conditions of the discussion, then the outcomes will change as
>well.

Then why did you bring up the subject of tube amps when the original
topic was about a solid-state CB? If you don't want to discuss it then
don't bring it up.

>>>> This takes much less power than brute-force heating of a
>>>>cap. If a low-voltage electrolytic blows up, the reason is almost
>>>>always due to reverse current. High-voltage electrolytics don't blow
>>>>up nearly as often because they are rarely subjected to reverse
>>>>current.
>>>
>>>Which makes that an unlikely reason for cap failure.
>>
>>
>>It means high-voltage electrolytics are less likely to fail than

>>low-voltage electrolytics. Nothing more.
>
>In my experience the opposite happens.

Perhaps that's because you work more with tubes while I work mostly
with solid-state equipment.

> You see, while a 5K leakage
>resistance is more than enough to draw 200 watts from an 800 V supply
>and heat up the cap until explosion, that same 5K Ohm leakage across
>20V (A typical unregulated voltage in a CB power supply) will only net
>about .08 watts. Hardly enough to heat the cap to explosion. So in
>this case, if a cap blows, it's normally the result of either too high
>a voltage (exceeds the cap's rating) or reverse voltage.

Caps (in general) usually short or fuse (or short -then- fuse) when
the working voltage is exceeded. Low-voltage electrolytics blow up
usually because of reverse voltage.

>>>>>Also, if you recall how the HV power supplies were designed in a
>>>>>typical sweep tube "CB" grade amplifier, the plate supply voltage was
>>>>>usually designed at about 800V give or take. The filter caps were
>>>>>usually (2) 40 uF, 450V caps wired in series across the DC output.
>>>>>Sometimes there will be (2) 100K or 1M ohm resistors in parallel with
>>>>>the filter caps as well. What happens is that one of the two caps
>>>>>becomes leaky. It starts to draw current, and it raises the voltage
>>>>>potential across the other cap, until it exceeds the voltage rating
>>>>>and it's usually THAT cap which explodes.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You're reaching, Dave.
>>>
>>>Not at all. This is what happened on at least 2 other amps that I
>>>worked on. One cap had exploded, and the other one was leaky (As
>>>measured with a simple VOM). I concluded, based on the evidence,
>>
>>
>>.....LOL!
>>
>>
>>> that
>>>the leaky cap had caused the other cap to blow. The first time this
>>>happened to me, I replaced the "exploded" cap only, and when I fired
>>>up the amp, a short time later, the new cap exploded as well. Only
>>>then did I learn to check the remaining caps for leakage. Once the
>>>leaky caps were replaced, there was no further problem.
>>
>>
>>I think you are making that up, Dave.
>
>Really? Based on what? Disbelief?

Based on the fact that I've never experienced what you described, and
don't see how it's even possible from what I -have- experienced. I
have never seen a high-voltage cap blow up because it was leaking, and
I have rarely seen the same blow up from excessive DC voltage. I
-have- seen them blow up from excessive AC voltage (ripple). Is it
possible that the cap which blew up was subjected to too much ripple
caused by leakage in the other cap?

>>>>If the voltage across the 'other' cap is
>>>>exceeded what usually happens is the dielectric will puncture (at the
>>>>dielectric's puncture voltage) and cause a brief short as the cap
>>>>discharges. If the first discharge doesn't pop the fuse, or fuse the
>>>>cap internally, it will continue to charge and discharge just like a
>>>>relaxation oscillator, shunting (not dissipating) huge amounts of
>>>>power until something else fails, which usually happens in less than a
>>>>couple seconds. That's why you sometimes hear a short 'whine' before
>>>>the radio goes dead and smoke billows from the transformer.
>>>
>>>That "whine" is usually from a 12V to HV multi vibrator which is
>>>forced to stop from excessive current draw. I've seen that on a couple
>>>of Lafayette HA-250's.
>>
>>
>>I have heard that "whine" from equipment that had no vibrator,
>>mechanical -or- solid-state. It comes from the cap. If you don't
>>believe me, do the following experiment: Take a good cap, put it in
>>series with a resistor of, say, 100 to 1k ohms, then slowly push the
>>voltage up above the rated voltage. I would suggest using a cap of
>>only 100-150 volts because some of them won't puncture until they get
>>up around 400-500 volts. Anyway, at some point you hear the capacitor
>>begin to whine, and if the whine doesn't stop right away the resistor
>>will commence smoking (unless your power supply blows first). Try it.
>>It's fun!
>
>That is something I've never heard. I've never heard a cap "whine". I
>have heard them "sizzle" right before they out gas.

Sizzle, whine, fizz, scream..... it doesn't always sound the same.

>>>>>> Usually it's the
>>>>>>fuse that goes first, and if there's no fuse then the transformer
>>>>>>overheats and shorts. Caps don't blow because of DC leakage. Caps -do-
>>>>>>blow when subjected to too much AC, and even a few volts of ripple can
>>>>>>be enough to pop a can on a tube rig.
>>>>>
>>>>>It's the filter cap's job to smooth out ripple. Of course they will be
>>>>>subjected to it. If what you say were true, then there would be caps
>>>>>popping like popcorn. Ripple is not A.C., as the polarity never
>>>>>reverses. it only "pulses" to some degree. The charge-discharge action
>>>>>of the filter caps is what smooths these pulses out.
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>Ripple is the AC component of a DC current.
>>>
>>>Not exactly true. It is the pulsating D.C. current component. There is
>>>no A.C. after a bridge rectifier.
>>
>>
>>Like I said, call it whatever you like.
>
>I like being accurate.

Ok then. Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component. Pure AC
has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC component. The term
"ripple" is literally defined as the AC component of a DC current,
usually from a power supply, and usually a harmonic of the input
power. The term "ripple" is old and has been replaced by "pard", which
includes all AC components (or fluctuations) including hum, noise and
spikes.

Is that accurate enough for you?

>>>> But call it whatever
>>>>tickles your fancy because the fact is that if a filter cap is doing
>>>>it's job of smoothing the ripple then it's not being subjected to very
>>>>much ripple!
>>>
>>>Exactly my point. What you've described is a classic chicken and egg
>>>scenario.
>>
>>
>>The rooster came first.
>
>That much is true.
>
>>
>>
>>> The cap can't be subjected to too much ripple if it's doing
>>>it's job. If there is ripple, then the cap is usually bad.
>>
>>
>>Not necessarily. A heavy load will increase the ripple.
>
>Yes it will, but it won't kill the cap. Caps are designed to handle
>ripple. That's their job.

SOME caps are designed to handle ripple. Power supply filter caps are
designed to REDUCE ripple; i.e, shut the AC component to ground. When
the load becomes large then so does the ripple, and if the cap has a
high AC resistance then it will get hot very quickly.

I have a book on power supply design that I could send with that logic
book.....

>>>> If there -is- much ripple then the cap isn't doing it's
>>>>job, the reason being either the cap is weak or the load is too big.
>>>
>>>Right! But if the voltage is normal, then you can assume the current
>>>draw is not excessive.
>>
>>
>>Again, not necessarily. The DC component may stay within norms but the
>>AC component (ripple) will increase under load. That's just a fact of
>>life. Haven't you ever studied the basics of power supplies?
>
>I could write a course on power supplies.

Just about everyone who has ever used a soldering iron thinks they can
write a course on power supplies.

>An unregulated power supply will decrease voltage as load increases.
>If the supply is at normal expected voltage, then the load is not
>excessive. A regulated supply is a different issue. The regulated
>output may remain at normal, while the unregulated "reserve" drops,
>along with a proportional increase in ripple.
>
>The reason ripple increases is due to the R/C time constant of the
>circuit. When the load increased, the apparent resistance across the
>cap drops and the discharge rate increases to the point that it looses
>the capacity to hold the supply up in between ripple pulses.

Nice textbook explanation. But the increased ripple can be caused by a
host of factors, not the least of which (and to the suprise of many,
the most common) is the AC resistance of the output capacitor, -not-
the R/C time constant of the cap with respect to the source and load
impedances (which, BTW, are in parallel). A different scenario happens
with high-voltage supplies because regulation is usually achieved with
a swinging (saturating) choke, which permits more ripple to pass under
increasing load in order to maintain the DC component.

So when is your book coming out?

>>>>Whatever the cause, the cap sees that ripple as AC current which
>>>>causes heat dissipation in the cap due mostly to hysteresis (high
>>>>hysteresis being a characteristic of electrolytic caps).
>>>
>>>Now it's my turn. I've never seen a cap blow from a supply being
>>>dragged down to the point of excessive ripple. Over voltage yes,
>>>reverse voltage yes, leaky cap yes. Too much ripple, no.
>>
>>
>>Yet you claim that you can definitively determine if a completely
>>destroyed capacitor blew up because it was leaking. So how do you do
>>that? Do you reconstruct the capacitor like the FAA reconstructs
>>planes? Because that's what -they- need to do in order to determine
>>the cause of failure when there's no other obvious cause. Maybe they
>>should just hire you and your crystal ball, huh?

>
>If a cap explodes due to an excessive load, then the evidence of the
>failure which cause that excessive load should be evident. If not then
>you can't conclude that the cap died due to ripple.

The cause of failure is not always evident in the equipment. Like when someone brings in an amp (audio, RF, whatever) that they overloaded, overdrove, hooked up wrong or whatever. The dope who blew up the amp usually isn't going to admit his mistake, he just wants to get it fixed and get the heck out of there so he can put the incident behind him. Happens all the time. But contrary to your self-admitted cynicism, some people ARE honest, and that's one way I can determine what's causing a specific type of failure. So there -is- evidence of the cause of the failure even though it may not be evident from an examination of the equipment.

>You are right, in a sense, that you can't always tell what DID cause
>the cap to blow, but you can determine what DID'NT cause it to fail.
>It then becomes a simple process of elimination.

The possibilities are limitless so any such conclusion would be based only on probability.

>It's like forensic science. We reconstruct an incident based on the
>evidence in the aftermath.

Dave, before you use the word "forensic" again, do yourself a favor and look it up in the dictionary.

>>>>You missed capacitor lab, didn't you? Excessive load will draw down
>>>>the DC voltage but -increase- the ripple.
>>>
>>>I've never seen a cap blow from ripple. Never.
>>
>>
>>You probably have but didn't know it. You can create and measure a
>>controlled failure with a couple good chokes for AC isolation, a
>>couple large motor-run capacitors for DC isolation, and an audio amp
>>to supply the AC. If you need a schematic just let me know.
>
>I don't understand the relationship of what you are trying to
>simulate. I'm talking about filter caps in a power supply now. I
>should be able to "simulate" this by simply placing a large load
>across the power supply enough to drop down the voltage and increase
>the ripple. If the rectifier or the transformer doesn't give up the
>ghost first,, then the cap should blow according to your theory.

The objective is to cause the cap to fail under controlled conditions, and hopefully -without- destroying anything else. But if you want to do it your way then feel free to do so.

>>>>>>But no lower either. They certainly fail more often than resistors.
>>>>>>

>>>>>>
>>>>>>Not when you realize that a light bulb is nothing more than a
>>>>>>resistor
>>>>>
>>>>>A light bulb is a resistor as a consequence of it's intended use. A
>>>>>part designed as a resistor rarely fails, unless too much current is
>>>>>drawn through it. In which case, the failure is quite obvious.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>A light bulb is most certainly designed as a resistor. If it didn't
>>>>have resistance it wouldn't work.
>>>
>>>Yes resistance is necessary for it's function. But it's not the
>>>function of a light bulb to act as a resistor in a circuit. Light
>>>bulbs are far too fragile for that use.
>>
>>
>>Wanna bet? I use them all the time for dummy loads while messing with
>>my generator experiments.
>
>A GE #47 bulb is close to 50 Ohms at 4 watts of power. The impedance
>changes, of course, with more or less power.
>
>That said, they're still a fragile resistor.

That's probably why I use a bank of 100-250 watt bulbs because even my
smallest generator (1kW) would probably nuke a 47 in short order.

>> They also make great regulators because of
>>their non-linear characteristics.
>
> But they can't handle much current.

Tell that to my old Heathkit audio generator. And zeners can't handle
much current either, relatively speaking. Ever hear of a neat little
device called a 'power transistor'?

>> And for the same reason, miniature
>>light bulbs make pretty decent audio compressors.
>
>I prefer Op-Amps.

Yuk. Even a fast OP-amp like the OP-27 makes for a noisy compressor.
The sub-mini incandescents are clean to at least 5kHz. If you want
something better try discrete log amps or the mod-limit-demod method.
Or just go buy a DBX.

>> So you still say
>>that light bulbs aren't resistors? Hogwash. If it's useful as a
>>resistor then it's a resistor.
>
>I never said they weren't resistors, I said that they aren't practical

>resistors to substitute in most circuits. A light bulb's resistance
>isn't constant and changes with voltage. Not something you normally
>want.

A light bulb's resistance changes with temperature, but who said a
resistor -must- be linear? The textbooks?

>>>> A resistor necessarily dissipates
>>>>power, and in a light bulb that power is dissipated as light (and
>>>>heat). The only real question here is why household light bulbs fail
>>>>regularly while automotive light bulbs last for a much, much longer
>>>>time.
>>>
>>>Higher voltage, and a smaller ,thinner and more shock prone filament.
>>
>>
>>Tungsten, one of the strongest metals on the periodic chart, is "shock
>>prone"? And what difference does the voltage make? It's the current
>>that causes the filament to heat up. Which is true regardless of it's
>>thickness, too.
>
>Current is a function of voltage divided by resistance. A higher
>voltage bulb can make the same current with a higher resistance. They
>usually control this with the length and thickness of the filament.
>Shorter, thicker filaments are more durable. That's also why a 25 watt
>bulb normally lasts longer than a 100 watt bulb.

Not in my house. I replace 40 watt bulbs just as often as 60 watt and
100 watt bulbs. And again, the it's the temperature of the filament
that determines it's brightness, which is a direct consequence of its
current. IOW, the current density through a cross-section of filament
is the same regardless of it's thickness or length. And for the sake
of discussion, UV bulbs work at a higher temperature, and therefore
have a -higher- current density.

Care to give it another stab?

>>>>You said, "I'm assuming it's an old 23 channel classic.
>>>
>>>Yes, I was more or less asking for confirmation.
>>
>>
>>You don't have a web browser?
>
>I'm lazy, so sue me.
>
>
>>>> With old
>>>>radios like that, the problem is almost always related to caps drying
>>>>out," which demonstrated your ignorance about several things. First,
>>>>the radio is a more modern 40 channel PLL model, contemporary with the
>>>>TRC-453 and PC-122.

>>>
>>>Ok, you could have just said that in the beginning.
>>
>>
>>Or you could have simply looked it up through google like I did.
>
>Yea, I guess I could 've.
>
>>
>>>> Second, low voltage electrolytics don't "dry out"
>>>>because they use a solid dielectric.
>>>
>>>That may be true, but they do change value and open up due to the
>>>affects of humidity and age.
>>
>>
>>Age, yes, they do change to a greater or lesser degree. Usually
>>lesser. Humidity? I don't think so, Dave. Not when they are
>>hermetically sealed. And the only caps that aren't hermetically sealed
>>are air caps. Have you ever seen an "air electrolytic"? I haven't.
>
>They are also affected by long term exposure to heat above their heat
>rating.

Duh. Even to long-term exposure to heat -below- their heat rating.

>>> What the process is that causes that to
>>>happen, I'm not sure of the exact name. But "drying out" is a common
>>>term that we use to describe it. If I am technically in error, then so
>>>be it.
>>
>>
>>Who is "we"?
>
>Other techs and engineers that I know in the industry.

Well, I'm an engineer, you know me, and I haven't ever used the term
"drying out" to describe a capacitor failure. Maybe "dielectrically
challenged"..... no, I haven't used that either.

>>>> Third, you went on to describe
>>>>your one single experience from which you inferred, "the problem is
>>>>almost always....". Well Dave, suppose you once burnt yourself with a
>>>>soldering iron. Using your logic, the reason other people have burns
>>>>"is almost always" because they burn themselves with soldering irons.
>>>>It doesn't work.
>>>
>>>Ok, I'll admit that using the term "almost always" was a bit strong.
>>
>>
>>It was a bit stronger than "a bit strong". You stated it as if it were

>>a rule of thumb.
>>
>>
>>>But you erred when you made the claim that it was a "single"
>>>experience.
>>
>>
>>"I once had an old 23....."
>>
>>
>>> I have had a few experiences with older radios where this
>>>has happened. I also had an old SBE were a noisy receiver was traced
>>>to a germanium transistor going bad. There is no hard fast rules, and
>>>troubleshooting a radio over the internet is an exercise in throwing
>>>darts.
>>>
>>>All I wanted to do was to provide other alternatives, based on my
>>>extensive experience in this business. The bottom line is to help
>>>other people who have problems. It's not supposed to be a chest
>>>pounding competition on who's had the most experience.
>>
>>
>>If you truly wanted to provide other alternatives then you probably
>>shouldn't have limited your "alternative" to the exclusion of any
>>others. Trouble-shooting by remote control may be difficult but it's
>>far from "throwing darts". You just have to refrain from jumping to
>>conclusions.
>
>I wasn't doing that. I was only suggesting something else to check
>out.

"...almost always..."

>>>>Yet because I rejected your conclusion (or, if you
>>>>prefer, your 'declaration of high probability'), you assert that I am
>>>>claiming the fault is -not- a capacitor. That is a perfect example of
>>>>the logical fallacy 'Argumentum ad Ignorantiam' which, by definition,
>>>>is ignorance.
>>>
>>>Not at all. If I claim that there is a high probability of component
>>>"A" failing and you disagree. Then you are saying, in essence, that
>>>there is a very low probability that component "A" is the failure.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. Disagreement is -not- an assertion of the opposite.
>
>It is in this case.

Well, I'm pretty sure about this, Dave. After all, I was the first
person to ever ace the logic class (Phil 201) in college, which is
probably why they brought me in a year later to teach it for a quarter

while the doctor was out.

>>This is the
>>same fallacy you invoke in your political arguments: You label me as a
>>liberal because I do not agree with your admitted conservatism, which
>>is also wrong. For example, if you believe in God and I state that I'm
>>an athiest, that doesn't mean I hold satanic rituals in my basement
>>(or anywhere else).
>>
>>If you want to assert yourself as a person who can think logically
>>then you need to understand logical fallacies enough not to make them.
>
>I know what I need to know about logical fallacies. You have committed
>your share of them, coincidentally when you debate politics.

Feel free to point them out.

>>>If you consider component "A" to have a low probability of failure it
>>>stands to reason that you place a higher probability on another
>>>component failing.
>>
>>
>>Again wrong, and for the same reason.
>
>Then what are you saying?

You remind me of the sitcom boyfriends when they get dumped by their
girlfriends who scream something like, "I never want to see you again
for the rest of my life!", and the boyfriend responds with, "So what
are you saying?"

>>
>>>Inductive reasoning.
>>
>>
>>Before you make any more logical leaps, let me send you a good book on
>>the subject. I'll pay shipping.
>
>I don't need any more books.

Yes, you do. Actually, this book is an older (but better) version of
the Copi book I used for the logic class. I highly recommend it
because the scope is a little broader than most logic textbooks. Copi
addresses both logic and language as distinct but integrated concepts.

>>>More chest thumping? What you've done at HP has no bearing on what
>>>I've seen in 30+ years of CB radio repair.
>>
>>
>>Really? Do capacitors behave differently in CB radios than they do in
>>spectrum analyzers and signal generators?
>

>Sure. They're exposed to different conditions.

Such as.....?

>>>>Maybe you should take a look at alignment procedures sometime. A
>>>>frequently used test involves driving the audio hard enough to slam it
>>>>rail-to-rail at every stage from mic to speaker, usually by feeding
>>>>the mic input with 500 mV or more; i.e, significantly more than you
>>>>can generate by screaming into the mic.
>>>
>>>While I can understand why you might want to do that, I've never seen
>>>it in any of the factory alignment procedures or in the SAM's
>>>photofacts.
>
>
>>Well, I just got done fixing the TRC-449 I got from Lance (thanks
>>again! Got the front-end fixed and receive is hot! FWIW, the second RF
>>amp TR-5 was the culprit as I suspected -- it's a 2SC1730 and it's
>>Vceo is just too low for the radio, a design flaw) and have the manual
>>right beside me.
>
>Actually TR5 is the 1st RF front end.

So it is. Close enough.

> it's the very first active
>device in the chain. TR4 is an AGC clamp. The second device is the
>mixer and it's a 3SK458 FET.
>
>> On page 15 is the transmitter alignment section, and
>>about the middle of the page is Step 4 where the procedure is to align
>>the transmit coils while shoving 500 mV into the mic jack. Yet Step 8
>>is to set the modulation for 98% with a 200 mV input. Now there is a
>>reason for driving the audio so hard in Step 4 and that is to make
>>sure the signal path can handle the stress, because if it can cope
>>with a 500 mV input then a mere 200 mV should be well within the safe
>>operating area.
>
>Hmm.... My test procedure for that rig used 5mV to set 50% modulation,
>with VR6

Step 7, and it's 0.5 mV.

> and 200 mV to set the 100% limiter threshold with VR7.

Step 8, set VR7 for 95 to 98% mod.

>The reason for the increase in audio drive is to test that the
>modulation limiter (and mike AGC in this particular radio) is doing
>its job. Think about it, if the modulation limiter is working and
>doing its job, then you can increase the mike level all you want
>(until you fry something) and the AMC will clamp it to the level that
>makes 100% modulation. The only parts in the audio chain that would be

>stressed are the passive components before the AMC audio shunt
>regulator, which is TR18.
>
>There is very little you could tell me about that radio (or more
>specifically that chassis) that I don't already know.

I just did. Twice in this post and once in the previous post.

> That UPD-858 PLL
>chassis is my all time favorite. But be careful with the UPC-1156
>audio amp chip. They're discontinued and no longer generally
>available. That tantalum capacitor that I mentioned before, that had a
>habit of shorting, is C179 on this rig, and it's a 2.2uF.
>
>Also, depending on how old that rig is, there was a factory mod that
>needs to be performed. On the oldest versions of that chassis, the RF
>final transistor had a habit of blowing, especially when a lot of SSB
>activity occurred. The problem was that the bias was being applied too
>strongly and the transistor would avalanche. The mod involved removing
>D66 and D67, and changing the values of R205 and R206 to 10 Ohms.
>Using the bias pots VR15 (driver) and VR16 (final) set the bias
>voltage for 40ma (driver) and 15ma (final). Newer versions of the
>radio already had the mod done at the factory.
>
>That rig also mods very well, and will operate on most of the 10 meter
>band, (if you want to convert it) without the need to change any
>crystals. The PLL is capable of running (with the proper VCO
>adjustment) up to close to 30 Mhz. The PLL programmable divider is
>controlled by a 3 digit BCD "word", and capable of valid combinations
>ranging from 000 to 399. If you want to broadband the transmitter, you
>can do so quite simply by cutting the center tap circuit trace on both
>sides of L37 (a double can) and moving the trace to the empty outside
>legs on each respective side of the can. After realignment, the rig
>will now hold power for about a 2 Mhz wide band segment.
>
>Clarifier range can be extended by jumping out R116. The pot can be re
>centered again by changing the value of R117.
>
>I also found the transmit audio to be a bit mushy and compressed. The
>main reason for that is an audio bandpass filter installed between TR
>20 and TR22. Removal and jumping out of L11, L10, and removing C's
>71,72,73,74,& 75, yields a much better sounding audio fidelity. Or you
>can custom tailor the parts to suit your individual taste.

Thanks anyway, but I already used too much time pulling out the mods
and restoring it to stock condition -- except for the faceplate. Got a
spare?

>>Now you claim to have worked on CBs for 30 years, yet you have never
>>seen a procedure as I just described (on what was once a fairly common
>>and popular radio)?

>
>I have seen similar procedures, but they were not used in the way you
>interpreted it. I told you why they increase the level, and that's to
>verify proper AMC action.

I suppose that's why the manual says to overdrive the audio -before-
setting the AMC, huh?

>>>Often the only reason given in the procedures to increase the audio
>>>input (And I have a nice Tektronix generator) is to verify proper
>>>operation of the modulation limiter.
>
>
>>I can send a pair of reading glasses with that book if you want.
>
>Your failure to properly identify the true reason why the audio level
>is increased, is not suggestive of my poor eyesight.

You need specs because you can't read what's in front of your face.
That's what happens when you get old.

>>>> One of the purposes of the
>>>>test is to see if any stages lock up, a problem that is much more
>>>>common with high-gain OP-amps produced since the 70's.
>>>
>>>Most CB radios made in the 1960- 1980 time frame do not use Op-amps.
>>
>>
>>.....uh, yeah. That's perfectly consistent with what I said. What's
>>your point?
>
>That audio lockups, like what you've described, would be rare on most
>CB radios.

Like I said, you need to get some optical assistance.

>>>Some Uniden radios used them more recently, but not as audio amps.
>>>They were used as AGC amps and T/R switching.
>
>>Most OP-amps in modern radios (CB and other) are integrated into
>>special-purpose chips. Some older radios had OP-amps made with
>>discrete components.
>
>There are very few CB radios that use "special purpose chips" other
>than the PLL. Most parts are standard off-the-shelf components.

I suppose that's true if you consider the chips to be off-the-shelf
components.

> It's a
>blessing for techs. Most CB radios could be fixed with Radio Shack
>parts. At one time, Radio Shack actually carried RF final amps
>(2SC-1307). It's a shame that they have scaled back their parts so

>much.

You have finally said something to which I agree without reservation.
I'll even go one step further and say that Radio Shack sucks.

>>>I have never seen an audio stage on a CB radio "lock up". The Hy-Gain
>>>623 used to have an interestingly annoying "design flaw" where if the
>>>modulator was driven too hard, it would shut down for a few seconds.
>>>You would loose receive audio until it recovered.
>>
>>
>>That sounds like a lock-up to me.
>
>It was a design flaw (or "feature" depending on your perspective).

It was intentional?

>>>>Resonance is also an issue that needs to be appreciated. As you noted
>>>>before, components change value over time. So a circuit that was not
>>>>resonant at the time of manufacture may be resonant 20 years later.
>>>>It's not a common cause of failure but I have seen it a few times.
>>>
>>>You should see what happens when a 7812 regulator goes into
>>>oscillation due to bad/missing bypass caps.
>>
>>
>>You should see my curio cabinet of failed components. It even includes
>>a shorted resistor (47K, carbon comp), something I was always taught
>>was absolutely impossible.
>
>Nothing is impossible in electronics. I had an open resistor once,
>which had no signs of burning or overheating.

That's common. A little stress from shock or vibration is all it takes
to make some of them crack. That's why I always mount replacements
slightly above the board.

>> But I show it off to visitors who stop by
>>and don't believe me, have them measure it for themselves, and they
>>walk away with a paranoid glaze over their eyes.
>
>Oh, I believe you. I think I remember once seeing a resistor which
>became a near dead short. It really messed up the bias of the circuit
>it was installed in.
>
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

1869. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 17:58:50 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <uZ9Kd.3852$8H2.3242@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195508

If I make a pyramid out of empty beer cans, is that a 'Beverage' antenna?
lol...sorry!

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:427gv0d9a5tcamm17fhvn5m0fr0435gia9@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 20:40:36 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
> <41f8fe8c.15513593@news.easynews.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >If qsl.net ever comes back up, this link
> >
> >http://www.qsl.net/ve3sqb/
> >
> >had a program to design a super scanner.
>
>
> I found the file and ran it. For 27MHz it gives a radius of just over
> 38" which, I'm pretty sure, is a bit longer than the 29" coax that
> feeds each dipole. Apparently there are two versions of this antenna:
>
> The first is the original and has a cardioid radiation pattern. The
> second is VE3SQB's version that has better forward gain and deep nulls
> to the rear corners, but has a larger radius and the dipoles are fed
> with a 1/4-wave section of coax. It seems that the second version
> behaves more like a phased array instead of a parasitic beam.
>
> I might email the guy and see if he has the specs for the version with
> the cardioid pattern.
>
>
>
>

1870. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 18:18:57 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <lgaKd.2905$5t.2710@fed1read07>
Source record: 195509

Don't laugh -- when beer cans were made of other than aluminum, Hams
soldered them together to make a beer can vertical. TRUE

The beer cans had to be empty 24 hours prior to assembly as a drunk can't
solder very well (;-)
TONGUE IN CHEEK

--
Caveat Lector

"American Eagle 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote in message
news:uZ9Kd.3852$8H2.3242@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> If I make a pyramid out of empty beer cans, is that a 'Beverage' antenna?
> lol...sorry!
>
>

1871. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 18:43:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <msaiv0tv7k54ut5oh82dm68edhtil23uiv@4ax.com>
Source record: 195510

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 12:27:52 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 12:12:42 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <3egfv05dfgvotlnvomn87lmu7fptbmnk7n@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 10:12:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 11:57:57 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <fatcv0pp9qnepcsp8b3b3bj0j9p32s4jjr@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>>It's also no secret that his psychobabble seems to keep you going.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Newsflash: I argue with Twisty to keep my own wits sharp.
>>>>
>>>>You admitted it yourself, Twisty "debates" by playing head games with
>>>>people. That's hardly your style Frank. Certainly not something worthy
>>>>enough to be called "sharpening your wits".
>>>
>>>
>>>On the contrary (and I mean that quite literally), I have to stay on
>>>my toes -because- of his 'head-game' style.
>>
>>Why? If you are telling the truth, his "spin" is quite evident for
>>what it truly is. Hardly something that one needs to defend.
>
>
>But to logically invalidate a 'spin' isn't always a simple task. He's
>actually better at constructing intentional fallacies than are most
>politicians.

Doesn't mean that they're any more valid, or any less absurd.

>>>>> I argue with you because you are ignorant.
>>>>
>>>>Which started coincidentally when you found out that I support the
>>>>opposite political party.
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong. It started when you came running to the defense of baby-G after
>>>I raked his policies over the coals. The specific post where you
>>>started it was: <ig84o01g4k7sq5nl6vk7lnrkuepuigfjp1@4ax.com>

>>>
>>
>>Yes, and after deflecting your vicious and unsubstantiated claims, or
>>the hypocrisy of using one set of opinions to offset another, you had
>>a problem.
>
>
>You were offended because my claims were substantiated by facts that
>conflicted with your belief system; to wit:
>
>Fact: Bush was a drunk and was convicted for a DUI.

An incident which occurred many years ago before DUI was even
considered a major issue. It's little more than a speeding ticket in
the grand scheme of things when viewed in the perspective of the time
period.

>Fact: Bush was twice a failure in the oil business.

Fact: The sun rises each day. What relevance does it have though?

>Fact: Bush failed in his first and only run for congress.

Most politicians do. Again, relevance?

>Fact: Bush failed as a campaign manager.

So did Donna Brazille. Again, so what?

>Fact: Bush was accepted into the NG less than a day after he applied
>(and a related fact: nobody was accepted into the NG that fast during
>the Vietnam years unless someone was pulling some strings).

A speculative conclusion based on a fact. That Bush made it in a day
after he applied may be verifiable, but the reasons for it, are purely
speculative.

>Fact: Bush failed to show up for a physical required to maintain his
>flying status, and therefore could not have fulfilled his duties and
>responsibilities in the NG.

Fact: He received an honorable discharge, which means that he did
indeed fulfill his duties.

>Fact: Nobody (as in none, zero, zilch), not ONE person remembers Bush
>being at one of his assigned duty stations.

Fact: No one has made an issue of it, except those who seem to have
selective amnesia.

>Fact: Bush stated publically that a presidential campaign could be won
>with only the support of the conservative Christians.

Your paraphrasing has changed the meaning of what he said, to make it
sound like something different. You would do well to excerpt the exact

quote before you present it out of context.

>Fact: Bush admittedly "found God" shortly after making the above
>statement.......

Is that a bad thing?

>
>Shall I continue? Or do you need time to verify those facts first?

Most of those facts are irrelevant, others are distorted, and a few
are speculative conclusions. They are hardly anything worth basing any
policy on.

>
>
>>>> Before that we were on good terms. Now you
>>>>"think" (and I use the term loosely) that I'm an idiot for it.
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong. I think you are quite intelligent. You just don't use those
>>>brains whenever the facts conflict with your belief system.
>>
>>But you have YET to provide more than a few true facts. Your "facts"
>>are little more than conclusions that someone else arrived at based
>>loosely on probability and conjecture. What true facts you have
>>provided are not relevant to the core issue.
>
>
>See above.

Already debunked.

>>>> If that
>>>>isn't a partisan pundit attitude, I don't know what is.
>>>
>>>
>>>I see you discovered a new word -- 'pundit'. But you use it much to
>>>frequently to be effective.
>>
>>If the shoe fits.......
>
>
>That cliche fits into the same category of 'overused'.

Again, if the shoe fits....

>>>>Only an idiot argues with another idiot Frank. You "argue" with me,
>>>>because you are unable to present your "side" with anything other than
>>>>your own opinions. The fact that I can effectively deflect your
>>>>"facts" as the op-ed opinions that they truly are frustrates you.
>>>
>>>

>>>What makes you think you can do anything of the sort? So far you
>>>haven't accomplished anything that would substantiate your claim
>>>(which is certainly no suprise).
>>
>>I can and I have. Can you prove that Bush was a deserter?
>
>
>Who said he was a deserter? I didn't.
>
>
>> Can you
>>prove that he dodged the draft?
>
>
>He wasn't drafted, was he? I would say he successfully dodged the
>draft.

Oh please. You spin worse than James Carville.

>> Can you prove that he's a "criminal?
>
>
>He was convicted of a DUI. Proof positive.

Then you need to take this up with Twisty then since he seems to think
that summary offenses are not "criminal".

>>
>>And Clinton never inhaled (But did dodge the draft) so what's your
>>point?
>
>
>I didn't say anything about Clinton.

No, but if you are going to chastise one president for past behaviors,
you better be damn well ready to do the same to the other side, or be
viewed as a partisan hypocrite.

>
>> How does a DUI conviction that happened many years ago affect
>>his leadership of the country now? You're grasping at straws Frank...
>
>
>You asked for proof that he's a criminal.

How is a summary offense "criminal"?

> I gave it to you. Now you
>grapple for an argument that could just as easily vindicate Ted
>Kennedy

Ted Kennedy was responsible for the loss of a life. At the very least
he should have been found guilty of negligent homicide. That's a far

more serious charge than a simple DUI ticket.

> (who, BTW, -wasn't- convicted). It is -you- that is grasping
>at straws in the wake of indisputable proof.

Proof of what?

>>>> What is your basis for
>>>>making such an outlandishly absurd and so typically partisan claim?
>>
>>
>>>Now there's a loaded question if I ever heard one. Regardless, I think
>>>Twisty would me more than eager to provide an answer so I'll let him
>>>take the first jab.
>>
>>Coming from someone who disregards and disrespects the law, and then
>>makes all sorts of lame excuses for it, whatever he says is already
>>suspect.
>
>
>Facts are facts irrelavent of the source.

I'm still waiting for real relevant "facts".

>>>>>And if you had any evidence..... or even a reasonable suspicion that
>>>>>Kerry was in any way a criminal, I could accept it.
>>>>
>>>>The evidence is there. It came out of Kerry's own mouth. He admitted
>>>>to taking part in the atrocities in Vietnam on Meet the Press on April
>>>>18th 1971.
>>>
>>>
>>>He admitted the same at the congressional hearing.
>>>
>>>
>>>> Now Frank, you are a man who claims to embrace logic, so
>>>>riddle me this then. If Kerry is telling the truth about his part in
>>>>these "atrocities", then is he not guilty of a war crime?
>>>
>>>
>>>Truth is relative to the observer. Facts are not. Kerry may have been
>>>telling the truth as he saw it but the facts may be different (and
>>>frequently are when testimony is based on nothing but recollection of
>>>events). If the details from his testimony could be verified as
>>>factual then he might indeed be a criminal.
>>
>>If that isn't the biggest double talking tap dance I ever saw, I don't
>>know what is. The facts are quite clear:
>> Either he was truthful and he took part in war crimes, or he lied
>>about it.
>
>

>David Berkowitz; either the dog spoke or he lied about it.

So?

>Carter; either there was a UFO or he lied about it.

So?

>
>Bush; either he "found God" or he lied about it.

And?

>Bush; either UBL is no longer a threat or he lied about it.

OBL is not really much of a threat in the grand scheme of things. His
role has been marginalized. His capture now would only serve as a big
PR stunt. The real enemies are still out there, and OBL is only one.

>Bush; either Iraq had WMDs or he lied about it.

They had them.

>
>Dave; either you violated federal laws with your illegal radio
>operation or you lied about it. So you are either a criminal or a
>liar. Which is it, Dave?

I was a criminal, when I ran illegal equipment. That is no longer
true.

>> Either way, he's a an opportunistic slimeball.
>
>
>And Bush is an angel, huh?

Hardly. But he's a better leader.

>
>
>>>But there are two parts to
>>>his testimony:
>>>
>>>1) that war crimes were committed in Vietnam, which has since been
>>>verified as factual.
>>
>>There are many statements from other servicemen that say pretty much
>>the opposite. While some atrocities may have occurred, they were in no
>>way condoned, endorsed, or pardoned by the command structure.
>
>
>"May have occurred"? You never heard of the Mai-Lai massacre? You
>never saw that Pulitzer Prize winning photo of a Vietnamese man
>getting his brains blown out execution-style by a US soldier? What
>else have you missed, Dave?

A photo is only a snap shot of an event. It does not define context or
circumstance. It proves nothing. It only opens the doors to ask the
questions.

>>>The problem is that everybody knew stuff like
>>>that was going on so it wasn't any big shock when Kerry made the claim
>>>in front of congress.
>>
>>Everybody didn't know, as evidenced by the testimony of other
>>servicemen.
>
>
>I see you also missed several episodes where US servicemen returned
>from Vietnam and were shunned and called baby-killers (among other
>things). It's not like it wasn't all over the TV news, plastered on
>magazine covers, covered extensively in the newspapers.....
>oh, but
>there's that liberal media bias again. Geez, Dave, they sure have a
>way of supressing the facts, don't they?

It's about time you started realizing that. The media is quite adept
at manipulating public perception. They're trying to do it again with
Iraq. The difference this time is that we have alternative news
sources that didn't exist at the time of Vietnam. We can communicate
directly with soldiers in Iraq. They can send us pictures of what's
going on. They can tell the whole truth, not just what the media
thinks will give them the biggest ratings or promote their agenda. We
also have blogs who are populated with people who are connected in
various useful ways, and who often have the inside track on many
events. It was the acts of one such blog which exposed "Rathergate"
for what it was. Had this not happened, we might have all fallen for
yet another attempt at perception manipulation.

>>And isn't it odd that Kerry, a swiftboat captain, saw more atrocities
>>in the 4 months that he was there, than other guys saw in 2 or more
>>full tours of duty?
>
>
>So how did you quantify that?

Statistical probability.

>>>2) that -he- committed war crimes in Vietnam, which has -not- been
>>>verified as factual. IOW, either he provided specific information
>>>regarding his conduct which the government chose not to verify, or his
>>>claims were nonspecific generalities which could not be verified.
>>>
>>>Assuming the former (that he made specific references to specific
>>>acts) then the question becomes one of why the crimes were not
>>>prosecuted. There were plenty of war crimes in Vietnam that -were-
>>>prosecuted, so war crimes were not always ignored. Nixon wanted him
>>>silenced, and it would have certainly been easy enough if he -was-
>>>prosecuted for war crimes, but that never happened.

>>
>>Which then lends credibility to the notion that HE LIED. Along with
>>the other stuff he did while a part of the VVAW.
>
>
>Again you mock logic by trying to invoke the opposite from a denial of
>the precedent.

There is no fallacy called denial of the precedent. It's called Denial
of the Antecedent. And in order to qualify as an DA fallacy there has
to be another possibility which could satisfy the conclusion. I see no
other conclusion. Either Kerry was testifying accurately as to his
role in committing atrocities, or he made the whole thing up. There is
no middle ground.

>It neither lends nor detracts from your assumption that
>he lied. It simply states that there is no proof to make any factual
>determination either way.

It's either the truth or a lie. Which is it? Criminal or liar?

>>>So the only issue left is one of perception. What one person perceives
>>>as a crime may only be an act of war in the mind of another (a problem
>>>that is still evident today but you refuse to admit). That seems to be
>>>the case, and therefore it doesn't matter what he said. The -fact- is
>>>that Kerry's acts were never addressed by the government as war crimes
>>>-regardless- of how Kerry perceived his own actions.
>>
>>IOW Kerry lied.
>
>
>What are you smoking, Dave?

Capacitors.

>>>> On the other
>>>>hand, if he didn't take part, and the whole issue was a blown up
>>>>fabrication, doesn't that make him a liar?
>>>
>>>
>>>No. As I stated before, people have different perceptions and
>>>interpretations about what constitutes a "crime", and the subject has
>>>been addressed in this newsgroup on many occasions when discussing the
>>>legality of FCC rules.
>>
>>The FCC rules are pretty cut and dry. You operate above 4 watts of
>>power and/or on non-assigned frequencies, you are committing a
>>violation of federal law. The only "perception" issue is the one kept
>>alive only by those who refuse to deal with the reality of what they
>>are doing, or who try to justify it somehow by trivializing it.
>
>

>Like you trying to trivialize Bush's DUI by suggesting it has no
>influence on his leadership abilities? He committed a crime, he's a
>criminal, "cut and dry".

Is DUI considered a "criminal" offense at the time is was received?

>>>Do you think Kerry's official military records are forgeries?
>>
>>No,
>
>
>Very good. Now lets move closer to the source of your confusion. Shark
>said to you in another post, "...a DD214 is a DD214, no matter how you
>try to dissect it Dave, so if it says Kerry was discharged, he was
>discharged."
>
>I think that may be one source of your confusion. Back in Kerry's day
>a DD-214 was a "Report of Transfer or Discharge", Form 1 NOV 55. Just
>as stated in the title, the form was used for both transfers -and-
>discharges. Kerry's records show more than one DD-214 -not- because he
>received more than one discharge, but because a DD-214 was filed each
>time he transferred to a different duty station.

Yes, the DD-214 that was filed in 2001 was a correction which applied
to one of his medals.

>Now my own DD-214 has a different title, "Certificate of Release or
>Discharge from Active Duty", Form 1 JUL 79, which was a year -after-
>the end of Kerry's military service. Obviously the scope of the form
>has narrowed and is no longer used for transfers within active duty.

Riddle me this Batman, why did Kerry, who was done with active duty by
1971and became an active military war protester, take until 1978 to
receive a discharge? Could it have anything to do with President
Carter's pardoning of war protesters?

>Apparently, someone unfamiliar with the scope of the earlier form
>noticed that Kerry had several DD-214's and ASSUMED, based on the
>scope of the more current form, that either he was discharged more
>than once, his discharge was in error, the documents were forged, or
>some other sinister plot. A false assumption based on ignorance -- and
>it's ignorance because the facts are in the documents themselves and
>can be seen by anyone who is willing to look.

Yes, you are correct about someone mistaking multiple DD-214'a for his
actual discharge date. But the fact that the entire records have not
been released, and that still raises more questions.

>> but they only tell part of the story.
>
>
>By golly you're right..... his service number and SSN are blacked out
>in all the documents. Why didn't he release that information? What

>dark secret is he trying to hide? OMG, it's a liberal conspiracy!!!
>ROTFLMMFAO!!!

Those are not the only things that aren't there Frank.

>>>>You discard what I provided because you refuse to acknowledge the
>>>>possibility. You don't want to believe it so you deny it. You came up
>>>>with some sort of "font analysis" on one document, and concluded that
>>>>it was a forgery, so then you projected that conclusion to all the
>>>>rest of the evidence.
>>>
>>>
>>>Once again, I used the same standards that were used to discredit the
>>>CBS documents.
>>
>>Has it occurred to you that the font issue
>
>
>See? You -already know- why those documents are fake!
>
>
>> could be explained by the
>>OCR software used to digitize the documents?
>
>
>Gee, why didn't CBS think of that!

CBS wasn't looking as scanned documents on the internet. They were
looking at photocopied paper documents.

>>> And the rest of the website was nothing more than
>>>speculation without facts. Such as assumption of guilt in the absense
>>>of evidence, and misinterpretation of official military records.
>>
>>Many murderers have been put in prison based on circumstantial
>>evidence. When this much smoke is generated, there will be a fire
>>somewhere.
>
>
>Well, there were no WMDs in Iraq. What does that tell you about smoke
>and fire?

How do you know there are no WMD in Iraq?

>
>>>> and I support those who best represent my
>>>>political views.
>>>>
>>>>I also believe in the history and honor of our country, its military
>>>
>>>
>>>Were you ever in the military, Dave?

>>
>>Irrelevant.
>
>
>No military service and you can't even admit it.

I can admit it just fine. But I see no relevance in it either way.

>Were you a draft
>dodger? No, I don't think you're that old.

At least your math skills are working.

> Were you kicked out?
>Couldn't make it through boot camp? Or are you just ashamed that you
>didn't serve when you had the chance?

I was too busy getting an education and a good career. I had no need
of the military to do that for me. There were also no wars to speak of
then. I am now too old and way out of shape to even consider it. My
asthma would probably reject me as 4F.

>>>>and the judgement of its leader in matters of national security and
>>>>enemies of the state.
>>>
>>>
>>>You do understand that there are three branches to the government,
>>>don't you? Bush isn't in the Judicial branch.
>>
>>And your point is? The judicial branch is responsible for interpreting
>>the law.
>
>
>Exactly. Interpretation of the law is not Bush's job.

But enforcing it is.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1872. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 20:50:26 UTC
From: "I WANT A FREE TRIAL TO"
Message-ID: <41f954f6$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"American Homo 352"

<snipped for the bitch he is>

Hey fuck head.....we need more IP's.....you're our only hope!!!!!!!!

hardeee har har har!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1873. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:05:10 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23468-41F96596-34@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195514

(Bush: Either Iraq had WMDs or he lied about it.)

N3CVJ wrote:
>They had them.

No, they didn't,,,,and the WH admitted as much a few weeks ago with
their own report. Bush launched an investigation as to why he was told
their were WMDS when their weren't.

http://www.usatoday.com/news/washington/2005-01-12-wmd-search_x.htm

1874. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:10:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127171040.389$7s@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195512

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Just Me <JustMe@nobody.com> wrote in
> news:lsgcv0tdjvvfc542k60tvbcshf9em7ddja@4ax.com:
>
> > This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
> > here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
> > even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
> > I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over this
> > consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff. None of
> > the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet somewhere and
> > take care of their problems. I for one would sure appreciate a
> > stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to cb related stuff.
> > THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR
> > BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic would be a joy!
> >
>
> Sounds like icecold has returned.
>
Who? :D

1875. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:19:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127171910.919$oZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195513

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> Oh, Regan had at the time the largest military budget in history
> that ate up the most money (I liked Regan).
>
Yup, he had to make up for the peanut farmer and friends before him. They donut want to spend what it takes to be us.

Best money spent lately..closed the Soviets right down.

1876. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:21:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127172159.354$Ra@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195515

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> You'll never see
> these programs shut down, to many people that are getting rich off them,
> will complain to the activist and they in-turn will protest and get a
> hold of the media and start their little show of "they are trying to
> persecute us because we are poor".
>
> Landshark
>
There's reverse discrimination going on now, and it's not just in the
job market.

1877. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:26:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127172627.505$af@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195516

-major scroll snip-

[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]
[4ax.com]

Dang.

1878. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:42:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127174212.724$49@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195517

"American Eagle 352" <ae352@iwon.com> wrote:
> If I make a pyramid out of empty beer cans, is that a 'Beverage' antenna?
> lol...sorry!
>
Try it and report yore findings. :D

1879. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:43:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127174341.267$5x@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195518

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote:
> Don't laugh -- when beer cans were made of other than aluminum, Hams
> soldered them together to make a beer can vertical. TRUE
>
> The beer cans had to be empty 24 hours prior to assembly as a drunk can't
> solder very well (;-)
> TONGUE IN CHEEK
>
My buddy turned the soldering iron into a weed vaporizer.

1880. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:45:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127174524.454$GK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195519

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
> news:msaiv0tv7k54ut5oh82dm68edhtil23uiv@4ax.com:
>
> >
> >> I gave it to you. Now you
> >>grapple for an argument that could just as easily vindicate Ted
> >>Kennedy
> >
> > Ted Kennedy was responsible for the loss of a life. At the very least
> > he should have been found guilty of negligent homicide. That's a far
> > more serious charge than a simple DUI ticket.
>
> That is called a felony DUI which ted kennedy should have recieved. a
> simple dui is not called the same.
>
That was a major err-ahhh.

1881. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 22:49:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127174935.961$S3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195520

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
> news:msaiv0tv7k54ut5oh82dm68edhtil23uiv@4ax.com:
>
> >>
> >>Dave; either you violated federal laws with your illegal radio
> >>operation or you lied about it. So you are either a criminal or a
> >>liar. Which is it, Dave?
> >
> > I was a criminal, when I ran illegal equipment. That is no longer
> > true.
> >
>
> And so is Frank when he listens to his neighbors cordless phone
> convesations.
>
I used to also, before I moved out here in the sticks. The cell phones
usually suck cuz of switching.

1882. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 23:51:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qfpiv0dl8gttidoc43ts823sak4c6r1gl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 195521

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 13:43:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <msaiv0tv7k54ut5oh82dm68edhtil23uiv@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>Fact: Bush was a drunk and was convicted for a DUI.
>
>An incident which occurred many years ago before DUI was even
>considered a major issue. It's little more than a speeding ticket in
>the grand scheme of things when viewed in the perspective of the time
>period.

Let's put that on the back burner and let it simmer awhile.

>>Fact: Bush was twice a failure in the oil business.
>
>Fact: The sun rises each day. What relevance does it have though?

Because it's a FACT. Business failure demonstrates poor leadership and
administrative abilities. Would you hire a CEO that failed to the
point where his daddy had to bail him out financially -- twice? I can
understand if he failed once and learned from the experience, but
twice? You don't see how that's relevant? That's denial, Dave.

>>Fact: Bush failed in his first and only run for congress.
>
>Most politicians do. Again, relevance?
>
>>Fact: Bush failed as a campaign manager.
>
>So did Donna Brazille. Again, so what?

Both are FACTS showing a consistent string of failures. It's called a
"rap sheet".

>>Fact: Bush was accepted into the NG less than a day after he applied
>>(and a related fact: nobody was accepted into the NG that fast during
>>the Vietnam years unless someone was pulling some strings).
>
>A speculative conclusion based on a fact. That Bush made it in a day
>after he applied may be verifiable, but the reasons for it, are purely
>speculative.

Then feel free to provide the name of JUST ONE person (not Bush) who
got into the NG within a day of their application, during the Nam
years, who -didn't- have someone pulling the strings. What I stated is
common knowledge because rich-daddy-string-pulling happened too often
for there to have been any legitimate cases of next-day acceptance.

But like I said, if you can provide the name of one person who can disprove what is generally accepted as fact then I'll concede this issue.

Just one, Dave.

>>Fact: Bush failed to show up for a physical required to maintain his
>>flying status, and therefore could not have fulfilled his duties and
>>responsibilities in the NG.
>
>Fact: He received an honorable discharge, which means that he did
>indeed fulfill his duties.

We've been through this before, Dave. You can't say that about Bush while accusing Kerry's service as questionable. If you make the rule that an honorable discharge is prima-facie of honorable service then you must drop the Kerry accusations. Otherwise, you must accept the possibility that Bush was a skate. Yes, he -received- an honorable discharge, but the question is if he -earned- it. If you can admit that much then I can admit the same regarding Kerry's discharge.

>>Fact: Nobody (as in none, zero, zilch), not ONE person remembers Bush
>>being at one of his assigned duty stations.
>
>Fact: No one has made an issue of it, except those who seem to have
>selective amnesia.

That's not a fact, Dave. It may be your own perception, but it's not a fact. Far from it.

>>Fact: Bush stated publically that a presidential campaign could be won
>>with only the support of the conservative Christians.
>
>Your paraphrasing has changed the meaning of what he said, to make it
>sound like something different. You would do well to excerpt the exact
>quote before you present it out of context.

On the contrary, I was actually putting his statement in a better light than what I heard as I "read his lips" on the video clip used on the Frontline episode I referenced long ago. If you feel I misquoted him then by all means feel free to find his exact quote and correct me. I actually -encourage- you to do just that.

>>Fact: Bush admittedly "found God" shortly after making the above
>>statement.......
>
>Is that a bad thing?

Depends on the circumstances. If he did it in order to muster the conservative Christians for the Texas governorship then yes, it's a bad thing. All appearances suggest (yes, -suggest-) that's what he did. But the FACTS are as I stated them, and the timing is far more convincing as a circumstantial case against Bush than Kerry's

withholding of some of his military records from the public.

>>Shall I continue? Or do you need time to verify those facts first?
>
>Most of those facts are irrelevant, others are distorted, and a few
>are speculative conclusions. They are hardly anything worth basing any
>policy on.

They are irrelevant to you because -you- choose to dismiss them as unimportant. They are not distorted in any way. And there was only one conclusion that is generally considered to be common knowledge, which is far from being speculative. They are all premises that support a conclusion which becomes more and more obvious as more and more facts are presented. One by one your soldiers are going down, and pretty soon you will be left standing all by yourself holding the flag.

<snip>
>>> Can you
>>>prove that he dodged the draft?
>>
>>
>>He wasn't drafted, was he? I would say he successfully dodged the
>>draft.
>
>Oh please. You spin worse than James Carville.

What spin? After he quit Harvard (or Yale, whichever party-house he was attending at the time) he was eligible for the draft, and more than likely would have been drafted just like every other able-bodied person of his age. Instead he applied for the Guard, and even though the process usually took several months before an acceptance (at least that's how long it took for every body else who applied and didn't have a rich daddy pulling strings), he was accepted within a day ....a SINGLE DAY! And you, who is willing to convict Kerry just because he doesn't release all his military records to the public, don't find that the least bit suspicious?

No, that's no spin, Dave. That's denial, and you need to get past it.

>>> Can you prove that he's a "criminal?
>>
>>
>>He was convicted of a DUI. Proof positive.
>
>Then you need to take this up with Twisty then since he seems to think
>that summary offenses are not "criminal".

.....I hear it simmering.....

>>>And Clinton never inhaled (But did dodge the draft) so what's your
>>>point?
>>
>>

>>I didn't say anything about Clinton.
>
>No, but if you are going to chastise one president for past behaviors,
>you better be damn well ready to do the same to the other side, or be
>viewed as a partisan hypocrite.

So where's your scandalous accusations against past Republican
presidents? Yeah, right. Focus on the topic and quit dusting off old
file cabinets looking for excuses.

>>> How does a DUI conviction that happened many years ago affect
>>>his leadership of the country now? You're grasping at straws Frank...
>>
>>
>>You asked for proof that he's a criminal.
>
>How is a summary offense "criminal"?

.....it's coming to a rolling boil.....

>> I gave it to you. Now you
>>grapple for an argument that could just as easily vindicate Ted
>>Kennedy
>
>Ted Kennedy was responsible for the loss of a life. At the very least
>he should have been found guilty of negligent homicide. That's a far
>more serious charge than a simple DUI ticket.

Listen to yourself -- you are willing to try and convict a Democrat on
your own accord, but you downplay the actual -criminal conviction- of
a Republican. And you tell me -I'm- being paritsan.....

You're a hoot, Dave.

>> (who, BTW, -wasn't- convicted). It is -you- that is grasping
>>at straws in the wake of indisputable proof.
>
>Proof of what?

Time to take the kettle off the burner.....

DUI is a crime. You can sugar-coat it by calling it a "summary
offense" if that helps the medicine go down, but it's still a crime.
He was convicted of that crime. That, by itself, and in the mind of
any reasonable person, is proof that Bush is a criminal. If you can't
accept it then the ONLY conclusion is that you are not a reasonable
person.

>>>>> What is your basis for
>>>>>making such an outlandishly absurd and so typically partisan claim?
>>>
>>>
>>>>Now there's a loaded question if I ever heard one. Regardless, I think

>>>>Twisty would me more than eager to provide an answer so I'll let him
>>>>take the first jab.
>>>
>>>Coming from someone who disregards and disrespects the law, and then
>>>makes all sorts of lame excuses for it, whatever he says is already
>>>suspect.
>>
>>
>>Facts are facts irrelavent of the source.
>
>I'm still waiting for real relevant "facts".

I'm sure that flag is getting pretty heavy, and you are looking mighty
fooling out there all by yourself waving it like you are trying to
stir up a tornado. So how long to you intend to keep up this wall of
denial?

>>>>>>And if you had any evidence..... or even a reasonable suspicion that
>>>>>>Kerry was in any way a criminal, I could accept it.
>>>>>
>>>>>The evidence is there. It came out of Kerry's own mouth. He admitted
>>>>>to taking part in the atrocities in Vietnam on Meet the Press on April
>>>>>18th 1971.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>He admitted the same at the congressional hearing.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Now Frank, you are a man who claims to embrace logic, so
>>>>>riddle me this then. If Kerry is telling the truth about his part in
>>>>>these "atrocities", then is he not guilty of a war crime?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Truth is relative to the observer. Facts are not. Kerry may have been
>>>>telling the truth as he saw it but the facts may be different (and
>>>>frequently are when testimony is based on nothing but recollection of
>>>>events). If the details from his testimony could be verified as
>>>>factual then he might indeed be a criminal.
>>>
>>>If that isn't the biggest double talking tap dance I ever saw, I don't
>>>know what is. The facts are quite clear:
>>> Either he was truthful and he took part in war crimes, or he lied
>>>about it.
>>
>>
>>David Berkowitz; either the dog spoke or he lied about it.
>
>So?
>
>>Carter; either there was a UFO or he lied about it.
>

>So?
>
>>
>>Bush; either he "found God" or he lied about it.
>
>And?
>
>>Bush; either UBL is no longer a threat or he lied about it.
>
>OBL is not really much of a threat in the grand scheme of things.

He's on the top of the FBI's most wanted list. He's why we invaded
Afghanistan. He's the reason there are terror alerts, long lines at
the airports, and vacant real-estate in New York. Not even Clinton
said anything that even remotely suggested Bin Laden was "not really
much of a threat". Yet you, in your unerring wisdom, follow Bush's
lead in believing just the opposite. Time for you to wake up, Dave!

> His
>role has been marginalized. His capture now would only serve as a big
>PR stunt. The real enemies are still out there, and OBL is only one.

His capture or death would probably be the -worst- thing for the
security of the US because he would then be a martyr, and retaliation
would be coming at us from all sides. I'm betting that he probably
-has- been either killed or captured and the information is being
withheld just for that reason, which would explain Bush's apparent
ineptitude when he made that remark.

>>Bush; either Iraq had WMDs or he lied about it.
>
>They had them.

15 years ago, but not when Bush used it as the primary reason for the
invasion. The administration even admitted as much. But you can't, and
that's funny -- you can't even agree with your own party if it means
you must admit that you were wrong!

>>Dave; either you violated federal laws with your illegal radio
>>operation or you lied about it. So you are either a criminal or a
>>liar. Which is it, Dave?
>
>I was a criminal, when I ran illegal equipment. That is no longer
>true.

So you're only a criminal -while- you are committing the crime? Ok, so
if I hold up a Stop-n-Rob and they catch me the next day, I can plead
innocent because they didn't catch me in the act? Oh brother, -now- I
know what's wrong with this country..... too many lame excuses!!!

>>> Either way, he's a an opportunistic slimeball.
>>
>>

>>And Bush is an angel, huh?
>
>Hardly. But he's a better leader.

Sure, I'll buy that, just as long you don't consider integrity to be a
leadership quality.

>>>>But there are two parts to
>>>>his testimony:
>>>>
>>>>1) that war crimes were committed in Vietnam, which has since been
>>>>verified as factual.
>>>
>>>There are many statements from other servicemen that say pretty much
>>>the opposite. While some atrocities may have occurred, they were in no
>>>way condoned, endorsed, or pardoned by the command structure.
>>
>>
>>"May have occurred"? You never heard of the Mai-Lai massacre? You
>>never saw that Pulitzer Prize winning photo of a Vietnamese man
>>getting his brains blown out execution-style by a US soldier? What
>>else have you missed, Dave?
>
>A photo is only a snap shot of an event. It does not define context or
>circumstance. It proves nothing. It only opens the doors to ask the
>questions.

Now you are getting ridiculous. Are you really this ignorant or is it
just an act?

>>>>The problem is that everybody knew stuff like
>>>>that was going on so it wasn't any big shock when Kerry made the claim
>>>>in front of congress.
>>>
>>>Everybody didn't know, as evidenced by the testimony of other
>>>servicemen.
>>
>>
>>I see you also missed several episodes where US servicemen returned
>>from Vietnam and were shunned and called baby-killers (among other
>>things). It's not like it wasn't all over the TV news, plastered on
>>magazine covers, covered extensively in the newspapers.....
>>oh, but
>>there's that liberal media bias again. Geez, Dave, they sure have a
>>way of supressing the facts, don't they?
>
>It's about time you started realizing that. The media is quite adept
>at manipulating public perception.

True. The only question is at who's bidding? A normal person would
think that since most major news media is owned by corporate giants
that they would be manipulating the news, but for some reason you

think the liberals are in control. Let me guess: You think the USPTO has a secret communist agenda and the Library of Congress is run by pornographers, right?

> They're trying to do it again with
>Iraq. The difference this time is that we have alternative news
>sources that didn't exist at the time of Vietnam. We can communicate
>directly with soldiers in Iraq. They can send us pictures of what's
>going on. They can tell the whole truth, not just what the media
>thinks will give them the biggest ratings or promote their agenda. We
>also have blogs who are populated with people who are connected in
>various useful ways, and who often have the inside track on many
>events. It was the acts of one such blog which exposed "Rathergate"
>for what it was. Had this not happened, we might have all fallen for
>yet another attempt at perception manipulation.

Scroll through some of these stories:

http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/

Our kids are getting killed day after day, by "terrorists" that exist only as a result of the invasion. Why? Because Bush thought, contrary to UN weapons inspectors, and under caution from the CIA that the intelligence is weak, that there were WMDs in Iraq. Well, I'm really sorry if the nearly 1400 dead servicemen and women and their families -did- buy that story, but I don't. This whole Iraq war has been a hoax from the start, and anybody like me who can recognize a wooden nickel when someone tries to hand them one should have their head examined, including yourself. My shame is that I fell for Bush's horseshit, but never again, and I'm certainly not going to buy any of your lame-ass excuses. Tens of thousands of people are dead because of Bush, yet you defend him like he was your god. Well, if you believe in Bush that strongly then you send -your- kids to Iraq so the good one's don't have to die anymore.

You whine about how the press is manipulating the facts of the war, but you refuse to look at the blood and the corpses of our dead kids. Yeah, the press is manipulating the war alright -- that's why people aren't as pissed off as me, and ex-Marine who has watched his friends and fellow Marines die for nothing more than to boost the careers of some deadbeat politicans.

So who's in charge of the media, Dave? Republican-backed corporations that take the conservative hard-lines? or loud-mouthed Americans like me who usually get labeled as liberals?

>>>And isn't it odd that Kerry, a swiftboat captain, saw more atrocities
>>>in the 4 months that he was there, than other guys saw in 2 or more
>>>full tours of duty?
>>
>>
>>So how did you quantify that?

>
>Statistical probability.

Bullshit. Show your work.

>>>>2) that -he- committed war crimes in Vietnam, which has -not- been
>>>>verified as factual. IOW, either he provided specific information
>>>>regarding his conduct which the government chose not to verify, or his
>>>>claims were nonspecific generalities which could not be verified.
>>>>
>>>>Assuming the former (that he made specific references to specific
>>>>acts) then the question becomes one of why the crimes were not
>>>>prosecuted. There were plenty of war crimes in Vietnam that -were-
>>>>prosecuted, so war crimes were not always ignored. Nixon wanted him
>>>>silenced, and it would have certainly been easy enough if he -was-
>>>>prosecuted for war crimes, but that never happened.
>>>
>>>Which then lends credibility to the notion that HE LIED. Along with
>>>the other stuff he did while a part of the VVAW.
>>
>>
>>Again you mock logic by trying to invoke the opposite from a denial of
>>the precedent.
>
>There is no fallacy called denial of the precedent. It's called Denial
>of the Antecedent. And in order to qualify as an DA fallacy there has
>to be another possibility which could satisfy the conclusion. I see no
>other conclusion. Either Kerry was testifying accurately as to his
>role in committing atrocities, or he made the whole thing up. There is
>no middle ground.

Time for school, Dave. "Denial of the antecedent" is not a logical
fallacy because it is nothing more than a challenge to the consequent
of a conditional proposition.

And you are right, there is no fallacy called "denial of the
precedent"; I was simply describing your variation on the use of a
fallacy specifically called 'ignoratio elenchi', which is a
misdirection of a conclusion in order to support a different
conclusion. Twisty is proficient with that one. You aren't.

>>It neither lends nor detracts from your assumption that
>>he lied. It simply states that there is no proof to make any factual
>>determination either way.
>
>It's either the truth or a lie. Which is it? Criminal or liar?

Is water black or white? You want an absolution for something that
lacks absolute proof. You want an absolute answer? Try this: DUI is a
crime. Bush committed a DUI. Therefore, Bush committed a crime. A
person who committed a crime is a criminal. Therefore, Bush is a
criminal. Now THAT'S absolute.

>>>>So the only issue left is one of perception. What one person perceives
>>>>as a crime may only be an act of war in the mind of another (a problem
>>>>that is still evident today but you refuse to admit). That seems to be
>>>>the case, and therefore it doesn't matter what he said. The -fact- is
>>>>that Kerry's acts were never addressed by the government as war crimes
>>>>-regardless- of how Kerry perceived his own actions.
>>>
>>>IOW Kerry lied.
>>
>>
>>What are you smoking, Dave?
>
>Capacitors.
>
>
>>>>> On the other
>>>>>hand, if he didn't take part, and the whole issue was a blown up
>>>>>fabrication, doesn't that make him a liar?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No. As I stated before, people have different perceptions and
>>>>interpretations about what constitutes a "crime", and the subject has
>>>>been addressed in this newsgroup on many occasions when discussing the
>>>>legality of FCC rules.
>>>
>>>The FCC rules are pretty cut and dry. You operate above 4 watts of
>>>power and/or on non-assigned frequencies, you are committing a
>>>violation of federal law. The only "perception" issue is the one kept
>>>alive only by those who refuse to deal with the reality of what they
>>>are doing, or who try to justify it somehow by trivializing it.
>>
>>
>>Like you trying to trivialize Bush's DUI by suggesting it has no
>>influence on his leadership abilities? He committed a crime, he's a
>>criminal, "cut and dry".
>
>Is DUI considered a "criminal" offense at the time is was received?

More excuses. Next you'll be saying that Rumsfeld was on a goodwill
mission when he gave Saddam the germs for his bio-weapons.

>>>>Do you think Kerry's official military records are forgeries?
>>>
>>>No,
>>
>>
>>Very good. Now lets move closer to the source of your confusion. Shark
>>said to you in another post, "...a DD214 is a DD214, no matter how you
>>try to dissect it Dave, so if it says Kerry was discharged, he was
>>discharged."
>>
>>I think that may be one source of your confusion. Back in Kerry's day

>>a DD-214 was a "Report of Transfer or Discharge", Form 1 NOV 55. Just
>>as stated in the title, the form was used for both transfers -and-
>>discharges. Kerry's records show more than one DD-214 -not- because he
>>received more than one discharge, but because a DD-214 was filed each
>>time he transferred to a different duty station.
>
>Yes, the DD-214 that was filed in 2001 was a correction which applied
>to one of his medals.
>
>>Now my own DD-214 has a different title, "Certificate of Release or
>>Discharge from Active Duty", Form 1 JUL 79, which was a year -after-
>>the end of Kerry's military service. Obviously the scope of the form
>>has narrowed and is no longer used for transfers within active duty.
>
>Riddle me this Batman, why did Kerry, who was done with active duty by
>1971and became an active military war protester, take until 1978 to
>receive a discharge? Could it have anything to do with President
>Carter's pardoning of war protesters?

It's called "inactive reserve" (aka, "standby reserve", "ready
reserve"), as I explained in a previous post. I did the same thing: I
was discharged from active duty in 1985 and was on inactive reserve
for two more years. The length of his inactive status evidently lasted
until 1978, which isn't unusual for an officer. Also, if you look up
the referenced authority for his discharge you will see that it has
nothing to do with the pardoning of war protesters; it's just the
standard operating procedural rules for the military.

All this information is, and always has been, available for you to
check out on your own. Instead, YOU chose to follow the ignorant herd
and believe the propoganda you were told instead of verifying the
facts for yourself.

>>Apparently, someone unfamiliar with the scope of the earlier form
>>noticed that Kerry had several DD-214's and ASSUMED, based on the
>>scope of the more current form, that either he was discharged more
>>than once, his discharge was in error, the documents were forged, or
>>some other sinister plot. A false assumption based on ignorance -- and
>>it's ignorance because the facts are in the documents themselves and
>>can be seen by anyone who is willing to look.
>
>Yes, you are correct about someone mistaking multiple DD-214'a for his
>actual discharge date. But the fact that the entire records have not
>been released, and that still raises more questions.

What questions, Dave? My military records are not available to the
public, so does that imply I'm some sort of communist rebel? So Kerry
was running for president. Well, his records (including the ones not
released) are all under control of the Pentagon, and Rumsfeld is in
control of the Pentagon. Not only that, but anyone who is elected to
be president (or any other government office) -must- meet certain

legal qualifications. This includes a check of any and all record
available to see if there is anything that will disqualify him from
the job. So even if there -is- anything worthy of your concern it
certainly wasn't a concern of Rumsfeld, the CIA, the FBI, and every
other service that would need to perform the necessary verifications
(not to mention the security investigations -- even the president is
subject to the need-to-know rule of secrecy).

IOW, your suspicions are totally unjustified. Stay focused on the
war-crime issue and maybe, someday, if you're lucky, someone might
come forward with hard evidence to support Kerry's own claims. Until
then you are wasting your time trying to find shapes in clouds.

>>> but they only tell part of the story.
>>
>>
>>By golly you're right..... his service number and SSN are blacked out
>>in all the documents. Why didn't he release that information? What
>>dark secret is he trying to hide? OMG, it's a liberal conspiracy!!!
>>ROTFLMMFAO!!!
>
>Those are not the only things that aren't there Frank.

Yada, yada, yada. Blah, blah, blah. You can't say what's in the box if
you don't know what's in the box. Unless you use your crystal ball.
What does your crystal ball tell you, Dave? What deep, dark, sinister
secret is Kerry hiding? Because if you don't know then stop with the
innuendos -- you are providing nothing by repeating over and over
about how "He's a bad guy because he's hiding something". Well so is
Cheney. Why aren't you whining about Cheney?

What a hypocrite you are, Dave.

>>>>>You discard what I provided because you refuse to acknowledge the
>>>>>possibility. You don't want to believe it so you deny it. You came up
>>>>>with some sort of "font analysis" on one document, and concluded that
>>>>>it was a forgery, so then you projected that conclusion to all the
>>>>>rest of the evidence.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Once again, I used the same standards that were used to discredit the
>>>>CBS documents.
>>>
>>>Has it occurred to you that the font issue
>>
>>
>>See? You -already know- why those documents are fake!
>>
>>
>>> could be explained by the
>>>OCR software used to digitize the documents?
>>

>>
>>Gee, why didn't CBS think of that!
>
>CBS wasn't looking as scanned documents on the internet. They were
>looking at photocopied paper documents.

The documents were spotted by the public as forgeries from scans
disclosed through the internet. What's your next excuse?

>>>> And the rest of the website was nothing more than
>>>>speculation without facts. Such as assumption of guilt in the absense
>>>>of evidence, and misinterpretation of official military records.
>>>
>>>Many murderers have been put in prison based on circumstantial
>>>evidence. When this much smoke is generated, there will be a fire
>>>somewhere.
>>
>>
>>Well, there were no WMDs in Iraq. What does that tell you about smoke
>>and fire?
>
>How do you know there are no WMD in Iraq?

Because I have a crystal ball just like you, Dave. And while you have
been busy sifting through Pentagon documents looking for dirt on Kerry
I have been busy paying attention to the foreign land on the other
side of the planet where Bush is sending our own kids to get killed
for oil and/or his own political hide.

>>>>> and I support those who best represent my
>>>>>political views.
>>>>>
>>>>>I also believe in the history and honor of our country, its military
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Were you ever in the military, Dave?
>>>
>>>Irrelevant.
>>
>>
>>No military service and you can't even admit it.
>
>I can admit it just fine. But I see no relevance in it either way.

I can see that. If you -were- military you -would- see the relevance.

>>Were you a draft
>>dodger? No, I don't think you're that old.
>
>At least your math skills are working.
>
>> Were you kicked out?

>>Couldn't make it through boot camp? Or are you just ashamed that you
>>didn't serve when you had the chance?
>
>I was too busy getting an education and a good career. I had no need
>of the military to do that for me. There were also no wars to speak of
>then. I am now too old and way out of shape to even consider it. My
>asthma would probably reject me as 4F.

Yeah, I suppose it's easier just to pay someone else to risk their
lives for your freedoms. But that's right, you don't like taxes, do
you? In fact, you said that you prefer to keep what you earn. So how
are you contributing to this military that you claim to "believe in"?

>>>>>and the judgement of its leader in matters of national security and
>>>>>enemies of the state.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You do understand that there are three branches to the government,
>>>>don't you? Bush isn't in the Judicial branch.
>>>
>>>And your point is? The judicial branch is responsible for interpreting
>>>the law.
>>
>>
>>Exactly. Interpretation of the law is not Bush's job.
>
>But enforcing it is.....

Wrong. That's the job of the Dept of Justice.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1883. A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-27 23:58:51 UTC
From: TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com
Message-ID: <41f97ec6.2377658@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195522

For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...

A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first time! It even smells new!

I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.

Enjoy!

http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html

I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and back in the box.

:)

1884. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 00:24:07 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cf1jv05onfsrekucnjrn7o3otvtge9aha3@4ax.com>
Source record: 195523

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 23:58:51 GMT, TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra Dactyl)
wrote:

>
>
>For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
>
>A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic
>bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first
>time! It even smells new!
>
>I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users
>may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
>
>Enjoy!
>
>http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>
>I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these
>years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and
>back in the box.
>
>:)
>
>

What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those Greek sandwich
stands? ;-)

That is cool, though.

Vinnie S.

1885. Read This And Remember It.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 00:24:54 UTC
From: Dracos <Dracos@JSC.org>
Message-ID: <41f983be_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195524

Why is it that when one station gets to telling something, everyone else just
keys up and runs their mouth?
I ain't just talking 'bout channel 22 and 19 but some of these others that can hear
each other... but their radios don't.
And they have these Stupid Key-Downs ---- For what?
What is the point?
Why do you want to put x number of alternators on your vehicle only to get defeated in a Key-Down by another CB'er in front of all your friends and neighbors that you've gotten to know?

Why just have a good ol' Jamboree -- the way IT is meant for! Not to Fight and /or to Key Down, or have sex with the other CB'ers "better-halves".

But, to get together and Have FUN!
Talk to one another without pre-judgementation based on ones issues with the other person.
Help those who just want to have a good sounding station -- not all this echo/ "noise toys" and Linears ("Lean-years" as referred to by Cb'ers)

Here are a few things that I would like to see happen to CB :
*** A very polite disclaimer ***
These are just my sights based on my 25 years of being around radios.
I won't flame ya if ya don't have the same views. I'll just nod my head in acceptance of your input :)

- FM mode added to CB radios
- Scan mode
- At least 5 memories
- A mic cord that won't stiffen/ coil up in cold weather.
- Digital Modulation
- Scramble / Encryption
- PL / DCS sub-audible tones
- Tamper-proof chassis
- Modular connectors for mics/radios (i.e. the house telephone type connectors - no soldering required)

- Interoperability with MURS VHF and FRS (UHF) radios for added users.

- Digital Signal Processing on the receive.

- FCC issued CALLSIGNS

> sounds like ham and land-mobile type radios, huh ;)

1886. Dogie loose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 01:19:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127201956.718$Zd_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195525

HeyIamN8WWM wrote:
> aaaaAHAHAHAHAHA
>
Didn't take you long to jump on my leg, freak.

1887. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 01:21:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127202114.684$GS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195526

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 23:58:51 GMT, TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra
> Dactyl) wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
> >
> >A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic
> >bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first
> >time! It even smells new!
> >
> >I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users
> >may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
> >
> >Enjoy!
> >
> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
> >
> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these
> >years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and
> >back in the box.
> >
> >:)
> >
> >
>
> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those Greek
> sandwich stands? ;-)
>
> That is cool, though.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.

1888. Re: Read This And Remember It.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 01:40:33 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ELgKd.4057$z25.2669@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195527

Dracos wrote:

> Why is it that when one station gets to telling something, everyone else
> just
> keys up and runs their mouth?
> I ain't just talking 'bout channel 22 and 19 but some of these others that
> can hear
> each other... but their radios don't.
> And they have these Stupid Key-Downs ---- For what?
> What is the point?
> Why do you want to put x number of alternators on your vehicle only to get
> defeated in a Key-Down by another CB'er in front of all your friends and
> neighbors that you've gotten to know?
>
> Why just have a good ol' Jamboree -- the way IT is meant for! Not to Fight
> and /or to Key Down, or have sex with the other CB'ers "better-halves".
>
> But, to get together and Have FUN!
> Talk to one another without pre-judgementation based on ones issues with the
> other person.
> Help those who just want to have a good sounding station -- not all this
> echo/ "noise toys" and Linears ("Lean-years" as referred to by Cb'ers)
>
>
> Here are a few things that I would like to see happen to CB :
> *** A very polite disclaimer ***
> These are just my sights based on my 25 years of being around radios.
> I won't flame ya if ya don't have the same views. I'll just nod my head in
> acceptance of your input :)
>
> - FM mode added to CB radios
> - Scan mode
> - At least 5 memories
> - A mic cord that won't stiffen/ coil up in cold weather.
> - Digital Modulation
> - Scramble / Encryption
> - PL / DCS sub-audible tones
> - Tamper-proof chassis
> - Modular connectors for mics/radios (i.e. the house telephone type
> connectors - no soldering required)
>
> - Interoperability with MURS VHF and FRS (UHF) radios for added users.
>
> - Digital Signal Processing on the receive.

>
> - FCC issued CALLSIGNS
>
>
>>sounds like ham and land-mobile type radios, huh ;)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
fcc issued call signs? we went down that road 30+ years ago.

1889. Re: Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:07:33 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <F7hKd.76421$Ta2.35131@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195528

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95EBB1C83BFBA1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in news:Xns95EBAEB1A1BEE1234567890@216.196.97.136:
>
>> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
>>
>> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
>> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised.
>
>
>
>
> http://www.usdoj.gov/criminal/cybercrime/wiretap2510_2522.htm
>
> Is it legal to intercept or record other people's cordless or cellular
> phone calls?
>
>
> Federal law prohibits the intentional eavesdropping of cordless and
> cellular telephone conversations. (18 USC 2510) Another federal law, the
> "Counterfeit Access Device Law," has recently been amended to make it
> illegal to use a radio scanner "knowingly and with the intent to
> defraud" to eavesdrop on wire or electronic communication. (18 USC 1029)
> Penalties for the intentional interception of cordless and cellular
> telephone range from fines to imprisonment depending on the
> circumstances. (18 USC 2511, 2701)
>
>
>

You forgot this little part:
(16) "readily accessible to the general public" means, with respect to a
radio communication, that such communication is not--

(A) scrambled or encrypted;

(B) transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential parameters
have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the
privacy of such communication;

(C) carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to a radio
transmission;

(D) transmitted over a communication system provided by a common carrier,
unless the communication is a tone only paging system communication; or

(E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart D, E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a communication transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the communication is a two-way voice communication by radio;

Cell phones are protected. Part 15 common cordless phones are not.

1890. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:08:30 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <y8hKd.76422$Ta2.68827@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195529

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050127171040.389$7s@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Just Me <JustMe@nobody.com> wrote in
>> news:lsgcv0tdjvvfc542k60tvbcshf9em7ddja@4ax.com:
>>
>> > This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
>> > here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
>> > even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
>> > I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over this
>> > consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff. None of
>> > the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet somewhere and
>> > take care of their problems. I for one would sure appreciate a
>> > stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to cb related stuff.
>> > THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR
>> > BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic would be a joy!
>> >
>>
>> Sounds like icecold has returned.
>>
> Who? :D

His primary obsession.....

1891. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:20:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <a98jv0le2ucm0gjl7dkj3slmu3qpb21tho@4ax.com>
Source record: 195530

On 28 Jan 2005 01:21:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 23:58:51 GMT, TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra
>> Dactyl) wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
>> >
>> >A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic
>> >bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first
>> >time! It even smells new!
>> >
>> >I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users
>> >may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
>> >
>> >Enjoy!
>> >
>> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>> >
>> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these
>> >years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and
>> >back in the box.
>> >
>> >:)
>> >
>> >
>>
>> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those Greek
>> sandwich stands? ;-)
>>
>> That is cool, though.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.

Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a conduit to
my attic from my basement.

Vinnie S.

1892. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:21:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127212134.396$3T@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195531

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 28 Jan 2005 01:21:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 23:58:51 GMT, TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra
> >> Dactyl) wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
> >> >
> >> >A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original
> >> >plastic bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the
> >> >first time! It even smells new!
> >> >
> >> >I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem
> >> >users may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
> >> >
> >> >Enjoy!
> >> >
> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
> >> >
> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all
> >> >these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right
> >> >now, and back in the box.
> >> >
> >> >:)
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those Greek
> >> sandwich stands? ;-)
> >>
> >> That is cool, though.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
>
> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a
> conduit to my attic from my basement.
>
Why do you need conduit?

1893. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:25:06 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <6ohKd.76430$Ta2.22216@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195532

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:qfpiv0dl8gttidoc43ts823sak4c6r1gl6@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 13:43:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote in <msaiv0tv7k54ut5oh82dm68edhtil23uiv@4ax.com>:
>
> <snip>
>>>Fact: Bush was a drunk and was convicted for a DUI.
>>
>>An incident which occurred many years ago before DUI was even
>>considered a major issue. It's little more than a speeding ticket in
>>the grand scheme of things when viewed in the perspective of the time
>>period.
>
>
> Let's put that on the back burner and let it simmer awhile.
>
>
>>>Fact: Bush was twice a failure in the oil business.
>>
>>Fact: The sun rises each day. What relevance does it have though?
>
>
> Because it's a FACT. Business failure demonstrates poor leadership and
> administrative abilities. Would you hire a CEO that failed to the
> point where his daddy had to bail him out financially -- twice? I can
> understand if he failed once and learned from the experience, but
> twice? You don't see how that's relevant? That's denial, Dave.
>
>
>>>Fact: Bush failed in his first and only run for congress.
>>
>>Most politicians do. Again, relevance?
>>
>>>Fact: Bush failed as a campaign manager.
>>
>>So did Donna Brazille. Again, so what?
>
>
> Both are FACTS showing a consistent string of failures. It's called a
> "rap sheet".
>
>
>>>Fact: Bush was accepted into the NG less than a day after he applied

>>>(and a related fact: nobody was accepted into the NG that fast during
>>>the Vietnam years unless someone was pulling some strings).
>>
>>A speculative conclusion based on a fact. That Bush made it in a day
>>after he applied may be verifiable, but the reasons for it, are purely
>>speculative.
>
>
> Then feel free to provide the name of JUST ONE person (not Bush) who
> got into the NG within a day of their application, during the Nam
> years, who -didn't- have someone pulling the strings. What I stated is
> common knowledge because rich-daddy-string-pulling happened too often
> for there to have been any legitimate cases of next-day acceptance.
> But like I said, if you can provide the name of one person who can
> disprove what is generally accepted as fact then I'll concede this
> issue.
>
> Just one, Dave.
>
>
>>>Fact: Bush failed to show up for a physical required to maintain his
>>>flying status, and therefore could not have fulfilled his duties and
>>>responsibilities in the NG.
>>
>>Fact: He received an honorable discharge, which means that he did
>>indeed fulfill his duties.
>
>
> We've been through this before, Dave. You can't say that about Bush
> while accusing Kerry's service as questionable. If you make the rule
> that an honorable discharge is prima-facie of honorable service then
> you must drop the Kerry accusations. Otherwise, you must accept the
> possibility that Bush was a skate. Yes, he -received- an honorable
> discharge, but the question is if he -earned- it. If you can admit
> that much then I can admit the same regarding Kerry's discharge.
>
>
>>>Fact: Nobody (as in none, zero, zilch), not ONE person remembers Bush
>>>being at one of his assigned duty stations.
>>
>>Fact: No one has made an issue of it, except those who seem to have
>>selective amnesia.
>
>
> That's not a fact, Dave. It may be your own perception, but it's not a
> fact. Far from it.
>
>
>>>Fact: Bush stated publically that a presidential campaign could be won

>>>with only the support of the conservative Christians.
>>
>>Your paraphrasing has changed the meaning of what he said, to make it
>>sound like something different. You would do well to excerpt the exact
>>quote before you present it out of context.
>
>
> On the contrary, I was actually putting his statement in a better
> light than what I heard as I "read his lips" on the video clip used on
> the Frontline episode I referenced long ago. If you feel I misquoted
> him then by all means feel free to find his exact quote and correct
> me. I actually -encourage- you to do just that.
>
>
>>>Fact: Bush admittedly "found God" shortly after making the above
>>>statement.....
>>
>>Is that a bad thing?
>
>
> Depends on the circumstances. If he did it in order to muster the
> conservative Christians for the Texas governorship then yes, it's a
> bad thing. All appearances suggest (yes, -suggest-) that's what he
> did. But the FACTS are as I stated them, and the timing is far more
> convincing as a circumstantial case against Bush than Kerry's
> withholding of some of his military records from the public.
>
>
>>>Shall I continue? Or do you need time to verify those facts first?
>>
>>Most of those facts are irrelevant, others are distorted, and a few
>>are speculative conclusions. They are hardly anything worth basing any
>>policy on.
>
>
> They are irrelevant to you because -you- choose to dismiss them as
> unimportant. They are not distorted in any way. And there was only one
> conclusion that is generally considered to be common knowledge, which
> is far from being speculative. They are all premises that support a
> conclusion which becomes more and more obvious as more and more facts
> are presented. One by one your soldiers are going down, and pretty
> soon you will be left standing all by yourself holding the flag.
>
>
> <snip>
>>>> Can you
>>>>prove that he dodged the draft?
>>>
>>>

>>>He wasn't drafted, was he? I would say he successfully dodged the
>>>draft.
>>
>>Oh please. You spin worse than James Carville.
>
>
> What spin? After he quit Harvard (or Yale, whichever party-house he
> was attending at the time) he was eligible for the draft, and more
> than likely would have been drafted just like every other able-bodied
> person of his age. Instead he applied for the Guard, and even though
> the process usually took several months before an acceptance (at least
> that's how long it took for every body else who applied and didn't
> have a rich daddy pulling strings), he was accepted within a day ....a
> SINGLE DAY! And you, who is willing to convict Kerry just because he
> doesn't release all his military records to the public, don't find
> that the least bit suspicious?
>
> No, that's no spin, Dave. That's denial, and you need to get past it.
>
>
>>>> Can you prove that he's a "criminal?
>>>
>>>
>>>He was convicted of a DUI. Proof positive.
>>
>>Then you need to take this up with Twisty then since he seems to think
>>that summary offenses are not "criminal".
>
>
> .....I hear it simmering.....
>
>
>>>>And Clinton never inhaled (But did dodge the draft) so what's your
>>>>point?
>>>
>>>
>>>I didn't say anything about Clinton.
>>
>>No, but if you are going to chastise one president for past behaviors,
>>you better be damn well ready to do the same to the other side, or be
>>viewed as a partisan hypocrite.
>
>
> So where's your scandalous accusations against past Republican
> presidents? Yeah, right. Focus on the topic and quit dusting off old
> file cabinets looking for excuses.
>
>
>>>> How does a DUI conviction that happened many years ago affect

>>>>his leadership of the country now? You're grasping at straws Frank...
>>>
>>>
>>>You asked for proof that he's a criminal.
>>
>>How is a summary offense "criminal"?
>
>
> .....it's coming to a rolling boil.....
>
>
>>> I gave it to you. Now you
>>>grapple for an argument that could just as easily vindicate Ted
>>>Kennedy
>>
>>Ted Kennedy was responsible for the loss of a life. At the very least
>>he should have been found guilty of negligent homicide. That's a far
>>more serious charge than a simple DUI ticket.
>
>
> Listen to yourself -- you are willing to try and convict a Democrat on
> your own accord, but you downplay the actual -criminal conviction- of
> a Republican. And you tell me -I'm- being paritsan.....
>
> You're a hoot, Dave.
>
>
>>> (who, BTW, -wasn't- convicted). It is -you- that is grasping
>>>at straws in the wake of indisputable proof.
>>
>>Proof of what?
>
>
> Time to take the kettle off the burner.....
>
> DUI is a crime. You can sugar-coat it by calling it a "summary
> offense" if that helps the medicine go down, but it's still a crime.
> He was convicted of that crime. That, by itself, and in the mind of
> any reasonable person, is proof that Bush is a criminal. If you can't
> accept it then the ONLY conclusion is that you are not a reasonable
> person.
>
>
>>>>>> What is your basis for
>>>>>>making such an outlandishly absurd and so typically partisan claim?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Now there's a loaded question if I ever heard one. Regardless, I think
>>>>>Twisty would me more than eager to provide an answer so I'll let him

>>>>>take the first jab.
>>>>
>>>>Coming from someone who disregards and disrespects the law, and then
>>>>makes all sorts of lame excuses for it, whatever he says is already
>>>>suspect.
>>>
>>>
>>>Facts are facts irrelavent of the source.
>>
>>I'm still waiting for real relevant "facts".
>
>
> I'm sure that flag is getting pretty heavy, and you are looking mighty
> fooling out there all by yourself waving it like you are trying to
> stir up a tornado. So how long to you intend to keep up this wall of
> denial?
>
>
>>>>>>>And if you had any evidence..... or even a reasonable suspicion that
>>>>>>>Kerry was in any way a criminal, I could accept it.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The evidence is there. It came out of Kerry's own mouth. He admitted
>>>>>>to taking part in the atrocities in Vietnam on Meet the Press on April
>>>>>>18th 1971.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>He admitted the same at the congressional hearing.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> Now Frank, you are a man who claims to embrace logic, so
>>>>>>riddle me this then. If Kerry is telling the truth about his part in
>>>>>>these "atrocities", then is he not guilty of a war crime?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Truth is relative to the observer. Facts are not. Kerry may have been
>>>>>telling the truth as he saw it but the facts may be different (and
>>>>>frequently are when testimony is based on nothing but recollection of
>>>>>events). If the details from his testimony could be verified as
>>>>>factual then he might indeed be a criminal.
>>>>
>>>>If that isn't the biggest double talking tap dance I ever saw, I don't
>>>>know what is. The facts are quite clear:
>>>> Either he was truthful and he took part in war crimes, or he lied
>>>>about it.
>>>
>>>
>>>David Berkowitz; either the dog spoke or he lied about it.
>>
>>So?

>>
>>>Carter; either there was a UFO or he lied about it.
>>
>>So?
>>
>>>
>>>Bush; either he "found God" or he lied about it.
>>
>>And?
>>
>>>Bush; either UBL is no longer a threat or he lied about it.
>>
>>OBL is not really much of a threat in the grand scheme of things.
>
>
> He's on the top of the FBI's most wanted list. He's why we invaded
> Afghanistan. He's the reason there are terror alerts, long lines at
> the airports, and vacant real-estate in New York. Not even Clinton
> said anything that even remotely suggested Bin Laden was "not really
> much of a threat". Yet you, in your unerring wisdom, follow Bush's
> lead in believing just the opposite. Time for you to wake up, Dave!
>
>
>> His
>>role has been marginalized. His capture now would only serve as a big
>>PR stunt. The real enemies are still out there, and OBL is only one.
>
>
> His capture or death would probably be the -worst- thing for the
> security of the US because he would then be a martyr, and retaliation
> would be coming at us from all sides. I'm betting that he probably
> -has- been either killed or captured and the information is being
> withheld just for that reason, which would explain Bush's apparent
> ineptitude when he made that remark.
>
>
>>>Bush; either Iraq had WMDs or he lied about it.
>>
>>They had them.
>
>
> 15 years ago, but not when Bush used it as the primary reason for the
> invasion. The administration even admitted as much. But you can't, and
> that's funny -- you can't even agree with your own party if it means
> you must admit that you were wrong!
>
>
>>>Dave; either you violated federal laws with your illegal radio
>>>operation or you lied about it. So you are either a criminal or a

>>>liar. Which is it, Dave?
>>
>>I was a criminal, when I ran illegal equipment. That is no longer
>>true.
>
>
> So you're only a criminal -while- you are committing the crime? Ok, so
> if I hold up a Stop-n-Rob and they catch me the next day, I can plead
> innocent because they didn't catch me in the act? Oh brother, -now- I
> know what's wrong with this country..... too many lame excuses!!!
>
>
>>>> Either way, he's a an opportunistic slimeball.
>>>
>>>
>>>And Bush is an angel, huh?
>>
>>Hardly. But he's a better leader.
>
>
> Sure, I'll buy that, just as long you don't consider integrity to be a
> leadership quality.
>
>
>>>>>But there are two parts to
>>>>>his testimony:
>>>>>
>>>>>1) that war crimes were committed in Vietnam, which has since been
>>>>>verified as factual.
>>>>
>>>>There are many statements from other servicemen that say pretty much
>>>>the opposite. While some atrocities may have occurred, they were in no
>>>>way condoned, endorsed, or pardoned by the command structure.
>>>
>>>
>>>"May have occurred"? You never heard of the Mai-Lai massacre? You
>>>never saw that Pulitzer Prize winning photo of a Vietnamese man
>>>getting his brains blown out execution-style by a US soldier? What
>>>else have you missed, Dave?
>>
>>A photo is only a snap shot of an event. It does not define context or
>>circumstance. It proves nothing. It only opens the doors to ask the
>>questions.
>
>
> Now you are getting ridiculous. Are you really this ignorant or is it
> just an act?
>
>

>>>>>The problem is that everybody knew stuff like
>>>>>that was going on so it wasn't any big shock when Kerry made the claim
>>>>>in front of congress.
>>>>
>>>>Everybody didn't know, as evidenced by the testimony of other
>>>>servicemen.
>>>
>>>
>>>I see you also missed several episodes where US servicemen returned
>>>from Vietnam and were shunned and called baby-killers (among other
>>>things). It's not like it wasn't all over the TV news, plastered on
>>>magazine covers, covered extensively in the newspapers.....
>>>oh, but
>>>there's that liberal media bias again. Geez, Dave, they sure have a
>>>way of supressing the facts, don't they?
>>
>>It's about time you started realizing that. The media is quite adept
>>at manipulating public perception.
>
>
> True. The only question is at who's bidding? A normal person would
> think that since most major news media is owned by corporate giants
> that they would be manipulating the news, but for some reason you
> think the liberals are in control. Let me guess: You think the USPTO
> has a secret communist agenda and the Library of Congress is run by
> pornographers, right?
>
>
>> They're trying to do it again with
>>Iraq. The difference this time is that we have alternative news
>>sources that didn't exist at the time of Vietnam. We can communicate
>>directly with soldiers in Iraq. They can send us pictures of what's
>>going on. They can tell the whole truth, not just what the media
>>thinks will give them the biggest ratings or promote their agenda. We
>>also have blogs who are populated with people who are connected in
>>various useful ways, and who often have the inside track on many
>>events. It was the acts of one such blog which exposed "Rathergate"
>>for what it was. Had this not happened, we might have all fallen for
>>yet another attempt at perception manipulation.
>
>
> Scroll through some of these stories:
>
> http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/
>
> Our kids are getting killed day after day, by "terrorists" that exist
> only as a result of the invasion. Why? Because Bush thought, contrary
> to UN weapons inspectors, and under caution from the CIA that the
> intelligence is weak, that there were WMDs in Iraq. Well, I'm really

> sorry if the nearly 1400 dead servicemen and women and their families
> -did- buy that story, but I don't. This whole Iraq war has been a hoax
> from the start, and anybody like me who can recognize a wooden nickel
> when someone tries to hand them one should have their head examined,
> including yourself. My shame is that I fell for Bush's horseshit, but
> never again, and I'm certainly not going to buy any of your lame-ass
> excuses. Tens of thousands of people are dead because of Bush, yet you
> defend him like he was your god. Well, if you believe in Bush that
> strongly then you send -your- kids to Iraq so the good one's don't
> have to die anymore.
>
> You whine about how the press is manipulating the facts of the war,
> but you refuse to look at the blood and the corpses of our dead kids.
> Yeah, the press is manipulating the war alright -- that's why people
> aren't as pissed off as me, and ex-Marine who has watched his friends
> and fellow Marines die for nothing more than to boost the careers of
> some deadbeat politicans.
>
> So who's in charge of the media, Dave? Republican-backed corporations
> that take the conservative hard-lines? or loud-mouthed Americans like
> me who usually get labeled as liberals?
>
>
>>>>And isn't it odd that Kerry, a swiftboat captain, saw more atrocities
>>>>in the 4 months that he was there, than other guys saw in 2 or more
>>>>full tours of duty?
>>>
>>>
>>>So how did you quantify that?
>>
>>Statistical probability.
>
>
> Bullshit. Show your work.
>
>
>>>>>2) that -he- committed war crimes in Vietnam, which has -not- been
>>>>>verified as factual. IOW, either he provided specific information
>>>>>regarding his conduct which the government chose not to verify, or his
>>>>>claims were nonspecific generalities which could not be verified.
>>>>>
>>>>>Assuming the former (that he made specific references to specific
>>>>>acts) then the question becomes one of why the crimes were not
>>>>>prosecuted. There were plenty of war crimes in Vietnam that -were-
>>>>>prosecuted, so war crimes were not always ignored. Nixon wanted him
>>>>>silenced, and it would have certainly been easy enough if he -was-
>>>>>prosecuted for war crimes, but that never happened.
>>>>
>>>>Which then lends credibility to the notion that HE LIED. Along with

>>>>the other stuff he did while a part of the VVAW.
>>>
>>>
>>>Again you mock logic by trying to invoke the opposite from a denial of
>>>the precedent.
>>
>>There is no fallacy called denial of the precedent. It's called Denial
>>of the Antecedent. And in order to qualify as an DA fallacy there has
>>to be another possibility which could satisfy the conclusion. I see no
>>other conclusion. Either Kerry was testifying accurately as to his
>>role in committing atrocities, or he made the whole thing up. There is
>>no middle ground.
>
>
> Time for school, Dave. "Denial of the antecedent" is not a logical
> fallacy because it is nothing more than a challenge to the consequent
> of a conditional proposition.
>
> And you are right, there is no fallacy called "denial of the
> precedent"; I was simply describing your variation on the use of a
> fallacy specifically called 'ignoratio elenchi', which is a
> misdirection of a conclusion in order to support a different
> conclusion. Twisty is proficient with that one. You aren't.
>
>
>>>It neither lends nor detracts from your assumption that
>>>he lied. It simply states that there is no proof to make any factual
>>>determination either way.
>>
>>It's either the truth or a lie. Which is it? Criminal or liar?
>
>
> Is water black or white? You want an absolution for something that
> lacks absolute proof. You want an absolute answer? Try this: DUI is a
> crime. Bush committed a DUI. Therefore, Bush committed a crime. A
> person who committed a crime is a criminal. Therefore, Bush is a
> criminal. Now THAT'S absolute.
>
>
>>>>>So the only issue left is one of perception. What one person perceives
>>>>>as a crime may only be an act of war in the mind of another (a problem
>>>>>that is still evident today but you refuse to admit). That seems to be
>>>>>the case, and therefore it doesn't matter what he said. The -fact- is
>>>>>that Kerry's acts were never addressed by the government as war crimes
>>>>>-regardless- of how Kerry perceived his own actions.
>>>>
>>>>IOW Kerry lied.
>>>
>>>

>>>What are you smoking, Dave?
>>
>>Capacitors.
>>
>>
>>>>>> On the other
>>>>>>hand, if he didn't take part, and the whole issue was a blown up
>>>>>>fabrication, doesn't that make him a liar?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>No. As I stated before, people have different perceptions and
>>>>>interpretations about what constitutes a "crime", and the subject has
>>>>>been addressed in this newsgroup on many occasions when discussing the
>>>>>legality of FCC rules.
>>>>
>>>>The FCC rules are pretty cut and dry. You operate above 4 watts of
>>>>power and/or on non-assigned frequencies, you are committing a
>>>>violation of federal law. The only "perception" issue is the one kept
>>>>alive only by those who refuse to deal with the reality of what they
>>>>are doing, or who try to justify it somehow by trivializing it.
>>>
>>>
>>>Like you trying to trivialize Bush's DUI by suggesting it has no
>>>influence on his leadership abilities? He committed a crime, he's a
>>>criminal, "cut and dry".
>>
>>Is DUI considered a "criminal" offense at the time is was received?
>
>
> More excuses. Next you'll be saying that Rumsfeld was on a goodwill
> mission when he gave Saddam the germs for his bio-weapons.
>
>
>>>>>Do you think Kerry's official military records are forgeries?
>>>>
>>>>No,
>>>
>>>
>>>Very good. Now lets move closer to the source of your confusion. Shark
>>>said to you in another post, "...a DD214 is a DD214, no matter how you
>>>try to dissect it Dave, so if it says Kerry was discharged, he was
>>>discharged."
>>>
>>>I think that may be one source of your confusion. Back in Kerry's day
>>>a DD-214 was a "Report of Transfer or Discharge", Form 1 NOV 55. Just
>>>as stated in the title, the form was used for both transfers -and-
>>>discharges. Kerry's records show more than one DD-214 -not- because he
>>>received more than one discharge, but because a DD-214 was filed each
>>>time he transferred to a different duty station.

>>
>>Yes, the DD-214 that was filed in 2001 was a correction which applied
>>to one of his medals.
>>
>>>Now my own DD-214 has a different title, "Certificate of Release or
>>>Discharge from Active Duty", Form 1 JUL 79, which was a year -after-
>>>the end of Kerry's military service. Obviously the scope of the form
>>>has narrowed and is no longer used for transfers within active duty.
>>
>>Riddle me this Batman, why did Kerry, who was done with active duty by
>>1971and became an active military war protester, take until 1978 to
>>receive a discharge? Could it have anything to do with President
>>Carter's pardoning of war protesters?
>
>
> It's called "inactive reserve" (aka, "standby reserve", "ready
> reserve"), as I explained in a previous post. I did the same thing: I
> was discharged from active duty in 1985 and was on inactive reserve
> for two more years. The length of his inactive status evidently lasted
> until 1978, which isn't unusual for an officer. Also, if you look up
> the referenced authority for his discharge you will see that it has
> nothing to do with the pardoning of war protesters; it's just the
> standard operating procedural rules for the military.
>
> All this information is, and always has been, available for you to
> check out on your own. Instead, YOU chose to follow the ignorant herd
> and believe the propoganda you were told instead of verifying the
> facts for yourself.
>
>
>>>Apparently, someone unfamiliar with the scope of the earlier form
>>>noticed that Kerry had several DD-214's and ASSUMED, based on the
>>>scope of the more current form, that either he was discharged more
>>>than once, his discharge was in error, the documents were forged, or
>>>some other sinister plot. A false assumption based on ignorance -- and
>>>it's ignorance because the facts are in the documents themselves and
>>>can be seen by anyone who is willing to look.
>>
>>Yes, you are correct about someone mistaking multiple DD-214'a for his
>>actual discharge date. But the fact that the entire records have not
>>been released, and that still raises more questions.
>
>
> What questions, Dave? My military records are not available to the
> public, so does that imply I'm some sort of communist rebel? So Kerry
> was running for president. Well, his records (including the ones not
> released) are all under control of the Pentagon, and Rumsfeld is in
> control of the Pentagon. Not only that, but anyone who is elected to
> be president (or any other government office) -must- meet certain

> legal qualifications. This includes a check of any and all record
> available to see if there is anything that will disqualify him from
> the job. So even if there -is- anything worthy of your concern it
> certainly wasn't a concern of Rumsfeld, the CIA, the FBI, and every
> other service that would need to perform the necessary verifications
> (not to mention the security investigations -- even the president is
> subject to the need-to-know rule of secrecy).
>
> IOW, your suspicions are totally unjustified. Stay focused on the
> war-crime issue and maybe, someday, if you're lucky, someone might
> come forward with hard evidence to support Kerry's own claims. Until
> then you are wasting your time trying to find shapes in clouds.
>
>
>>>> but they only tell part of the story.
>>>
>>>
>>>By golly you're right..... his service number and SSN are blacked out
>>>in all the documents. Why didn't he release that information? What
>>>dark secret is he trying to hide? OMG, it's a liberal conspiracy!!!
>>>ROTFLMMFAO!!!
>>
>>Those are not the only things that aren't there Frank.
>
>
> Yada, yada, yada. Blah, blah, blah. You can't say what's in the box if
> you don't know what's in the box. Unless you use your crystal ball.
> What does your crystal ball tell you, Dave? What deep, dark, sinister
> secret is Kerry hiding? Because if you don't know then stop with the
> innuendos -- you are providing nothing by repeating over and over
> about how "He's a bad guy because he's hiding something". Well so is
> Cheney. Why aren't you whining about Cheney?
>
> What a hypocrite you are, Dave.
>
>
>>>>>>You discard what I provided because you refuse to acknowledge the
>>>>>>possibility. You don't want to believe it so you deny it. You came up
>>>>>>with some sort of "font analysis" on one document, and concluded that
>>>>>>it was a forgery, so then you projected that conclusion to all the
>>>>>>rest of the evidence.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Once again, I used the same standards that were used to discredit the
>>>>>CBS documents.
>>>>
>>>>Has it occurred to you that the font issue
>>>
>>>

>>>See? You -already know- why those documents are fake!
>>>
>>>
>>>> could be explained by the
>>>>OCR software used to digitize the documents?
>>>
>>>
>>>Gee, why didn't CBS think of that!
>>
>>CBS wasn't looking as scanned documents on the internet. They were
>>looking at photocopied paper documents.
>
>
> The documents were spotted by the public as forgeries from scans
> disclosed through the internet. What's your next excuse?
>
>
>>>>> And the rest of the website was nothing more than
>>>>>speculation without facts. Such as assumption of guilt in the absense
>>>>>of evidence, and misinterpretation of official military records.
>>>>
>>>>Many murderers have been put in prison based on circumstantial
>>>>evidence. When this much smoke is generated, there will be a fire
>>>>somewhere.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, there were no WMDs in Iraq. What does that tell you about smoke
>>>and fire?
>>
>>How do you know there are no WMD in Iraq?
>
>
> Because I have a crystal ball just like you, Dave. And while you have
> been busy sifting through Pentagon documents looking for dirt on Kerry
> I have been busy paying attention to the foreign land on the other
> side of the planet where Bush is sending our own kids to get killed
> for oil and/or his own political hide.
>
>
>>>>>> and I support those who best represent my
>>>>>>political views.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I also believe in the history and honor of our country, its military
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Were you ever in the military, Dave?
>>>>
>>>>Irrelevant.
>>>

>>>
>>>No military service and you can't even admit it.
>>
>>I can admit it just fine. But I see no relevance in it either way.
>
>
> I can see that. If you -were- military you -would- see the relevance.
>
>
>>>Were you a draft
>>>dodger? No, I don't think you're that old.
>>
>>At least your math skills are working.
>>
>>> Were you kicked out?
>>>Couldn't make it through boot camp? Or are you just ashamed that you
>>>didn't serve when you had the chance?
>>
>>I was too busy getting an education and a good career. I had no need
>>of the military to do that for me. There were also no wars to speak of
>>then. I am now too old and way out of shape to even consider it. My
>>asthma would probably reject me as 4F.
>
>
> Yeah, I suppose it's easier just to pay someone else to risk their
> lives for your freedoms. But that's right, you don't like taxes, do
> you? In fact, you said that you prefer to keep what you earn. So how
> are you contributing to this military that you claim to "believe in"?
>
>
>>>>>>and the judgement of its leader in matters of national security and
>>>>>>enemies of the state.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You do understand that there are three branches to the government,
>>>>>don't you? Bush isn't in the Judicial branch.
>>>>
>>>>And your point is? The judicial branch is responsible for interpreting
>>>>the law.
>>>
>>>
>>>Exactly. Interpretation of the law is not Bush's job.
>>
>>But enforcing it is.....
>
>
> Wrong. That's the job of the Dept of Justice.
>

Bravo! ! !

1894. Re: Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:32:48 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <kvhKd.76461$Ta2.37161@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195533

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95EBDA1482EAB1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:F7hKd.76421$Ta2.35131
> @fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>> http://www.usdoj.gov/criminal/cybercrime/wiretap2510_2522.htm
>
>
> Ok Crackhead, school is in session, again... Read the page for starters
> it is listed as ""Definitions. ok further read exactly what is
> says..thanks for pointing out cordless are on part 15 which Can be
> transmitted on those frequencies.
>
>
>
> Part 16 that such communication is "Not"
>
> E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart D, E, or F
> of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal Communications
> Commission, unless, in the case of a communication transmitted on a
> frequency allocated under part 74 that is not exclusively allocated to
> broadcast auxiliary services, the communication is a two-way voice
> communication by radio;
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> (16) "readily accessible to the general public" means, with respect to a
> radio communication, that such communication is not--
>
> (A) scrambled or encrypted;
>
> (B) transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential parameters
> have been withheld from the public with the intention of preserving the
> privacy of such communication;
>
> (C) carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to a radio
> transmission;
>

> (D) transmitted over a communication system provided by a common carrier,
> unless the communication is a tone only paging system communication; or
>
> (E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart D, E, or
> F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal Communications
> Commission, unless, in the case of a communication transmitted on a
> frequency allocated under part 74 that is not exclusively allocated to
> broadcast auxiliary services, the communication is a two-way voice
> communication by radio;
>

It's an exception, moron. Can you not read English? My bad, silly question. Look, I'll explain it to you. There are cordless phones that us spread spectrum technology, there are others that can scramble. Those are included in your argument. Simple, FM, 900Mhz phones are not. And no matter what, if they are part 15 devices, they ARE fair game. Didn't you get a bad enough taste of crow on your last crusade? You know, the one about roger beeps?

1895. "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:33:42 UTC
From: jj <nukem1112000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <awhKd.1854$JF2.705@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 195534

View Here:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=5747519728&rd=1

1896. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:35:05 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <txhKd.76474$Ta2.15749@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195535

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95EBDA4F8A1BE1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:y8hKd.76422$Ta2.68827@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050127171040.389$7s@newsreader.com...
>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>> wrote:
>>>> Just Me <JustMe@nobody.com> wrote in
>>>> news:lsgcv0tdjvvfc542k60tvbcshf9em7ddja@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>> > This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually
>>>> > better here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas,
>>>> > key downs & even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
>>>> > I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over
>>>> > this consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff.
>>>> > None of the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet
>>>> > somewhere and take care of their problems. I for one would sure
>>>> > appreciate a stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to
>>>> > cb related stuff. THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR
>>>> > NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic
>>>> > would be a joy!
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> Sounds like icecold has returned.
>>>>
>>> Who? :D
>>
>> His primary obsession.........
>
> obsession? no thats when you chase me around the NG and reply to all my
> posts crackehead... I hope you are paying your child support.

Yes, I am. Is your hero, Doug?

1897. Re: "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:35:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127213525.136$xy@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195536

"jj" <nukem1112000@yahoo.com> wrote:
> View Here:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=57475197
> 28&rd=1
>
Anyone here ever own one of those?

1898. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:47:20 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rr9jv05pvef3h6sdrg9kclml15ie8gpn8g@4ax.com>
Source record: 195537

On 28 Jan 2005 02:21:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>> >> >
>> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all
>> >> >these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right
>> >> >now, and back in the box.
>> >> >
>> >> >:)
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those Greek
>> >> sandwich stands? ;-)
>> >>
>> >> That is cool, though.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
>>
>> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a
>> conduit to my attic from my basement.
>>
>Why do you need conduit?

To make it easier in case I ever run other cable if I go to ham. If this was a
one-time thing, I wouldn't run it.

Vinnie S.

1899. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 02:50:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127215005.267$0w@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195538

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 28 Jan 2005 02:21:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all
> >> >> >these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right
> >> >> >now, and back in the box.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >:)
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those
> >> >> Greek sandwich stands? ;-)
> >> >>
> >> >> That is cool, though.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
> >>
> >> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a
> >> conduit to my attic from my basement.
> >>
> >Why do you need conduit?
>
> To make it easier in case I ever run other cable if I go to ham. If this
> was a one-time thing, I wouldn't run it.
>
What diameter are you running? (plastic?)

1900. Re: Dogie loose again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 03:01:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050127220124.531$Mz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195539

itoldyouiamnotn8wwm@yahoo.com wrote:
> > HeyIamN8WWM wrote:
> > > aaaaAHAHAHAHAHA
> > >
> > Didn't take you long to jump on my leg, freak.
>
> I am not N8 but I know this. N8 could break both your legs
> without even trying. Go there again and find out what
> happens.
>
You are AKC

Another
Keyboard
Coward

I'll do as I please.

1901. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 03:17:04 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <bjbjv0lq91a1mubanef97jc750iglmlghf@4ax.com>
Source record: 195540

On Tue, 25 Jan 2005 13:07:57 GMT, Just Me <JustMe@nobody.com> wrote:

>This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
>here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
>even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
>I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over this
>consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff. None of
>the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet somewhere and
>take care of their problems. I for one would sure appreciate a
>stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to cb related stuff.
>THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR
>BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic would be a joy!

That would be a joy. But I think certain folks enjoy this gay talk. I
mean would it really be that bad to return to cb talk illegal or not?

1902. Re: Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 03:28:42 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <KjiKd.4017$8H2.805@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195541

I hate it when I'm scanning 46.610-46.930 and some knucklehead turns on his cordless phone and makes a call. Maybe I preferred the 'dead air' and didn't really want to hear about his life! ;)

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message news:Xns95EBDC2AFFC241234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:kvhKd.76461$Ta2.37161@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
> >
> > "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
> > news:Xns95EBDA1482EAB1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> >> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:F7hKd.76421$Ta2.35131
> >> @fe2.texas.rr.com:
> >>
> >>> http://www.usdoj.gov/criminal/cybercrime/wiretap2510_2522.htm
> >>
> >>
> >> Ok Crackhead, school is in session, again... Read the page for
> >> starters it is listed as ""Definitions. ok further read exactly what
> >> is says..thanks for pointing out cordless are on part 15 which Can be
> >> transmitted on those frequencies.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Part 16 that such communication is "Not"
> >>
> >> E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart D, E,
> >> or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal
> >> Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a communication
> >> transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not
> >> exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the
> >> communication is a two-way voice communication by radio;
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> (16) "readily accessible to the general public" means, with respect

> >> to a radio communication, that such communication is not--
> >>
> >> (A) scrambled or encrypted;
> >>
> >> (B) transmitted using modulation techniques whose essential
> >> parameters have been withheld from the public with the intention of
> >> preserving the privacy of such communication;
> >>
> >> (C) carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to a radio
> >> transmission;
> >>
> >> (D) transmitted over a communication system provided by a common
> >> carrier, unless the communication is a tone only paging system
> >> communication; or
> >>
> >> (E) transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart D, E,
> >> or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal
> >> Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a communication
> >> transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not
> >> exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the
> >> communication is a two-way voice communication by radio;
> >>
> >
> > It's an exception, moron. Can you not read English? My bad, silly
> > question. Look, I'll explain it to you. There are cordless phones that
> > us spread spectrum technology, there are others that can scramble.
> > Those are included in your argument. Simple, FM, 900Mhz phones are
> > not. And no matter what, if they are part 15 devices, they ARE fair
> > game. Didn't you get a bad enough taste of crow on your last crusade?
> > You know, the one about roger beeps?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> No where does it say anything is an exception? do you think the law
> cares what Frequency your phone call is on saying you can listen to 49
> mhz but you cant listen to 900 mhz... You are wrong again Wandy.. btw
> Where is the email reply from Riley backing up your claim?
>
> Read this quote out loud dickhead
>
> (16) "readily accessible to the general public" means, with respect
> >> to a radio communication, that such communication is not--
>
> transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart D, E,
> >> or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the Federal
> >> Communications Commission, unless, in the case of a communication

> >> transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not
> >> exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the
> >> communication is a two-way voice communication by radio;

1903. Re: "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 03:43:55 UTC
From: "I WANT A FREE TRIAL TO"
Message-ID: <41f9b5db@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,ALT.PERSONALS.GAY

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com>

<BITCH SNIPPED>

You can't buy that radio, you keyclown!

It's not type accepted!

You'd be a federal law breaker!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1904. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 03:46:24 UTC
From: "I WANT A FREE TRIAL TO"
Message-ID: <41f9b671$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050127215005.267$0w@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 28 Jan 2005 02:21:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all
>> >> >> >these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right
>> >> >> >now, and back in the box.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >:)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those
>> >> >> Greek sandwich stands? ;-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That is cool, though.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Vinnie S.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
>> >>
>> >> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a
>> >> conduit to my attic from my basement.
>> >>
>> >Why do you need conduit?
>>
>> To make it easier in case I ever run other cable if I go to ham. If this
>> was a one-time thing, I wouldn't run it.
>>
> What diameter are you running? (plastic?)

Steveo........Vinnie the Ninnie is as big of an idiot as you.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1905. Re: Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 03:51:47 UTC
From: "I WANT A FREE TRIAL TO"
Message-ID: <41f9b7b3@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"American Homo 352"

ae352@iwon.com

<was slapped like the little asswipe he is>

Jeezeee!!! you're stupid!

.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1906. THIS IS REALLY GREAT AND IT REALLY WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 04:42:19 UTC
From: "darellesbac@yahoo.com" <darellesbac@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106887339.379586.87830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195545

Make Tons Of Money Fast!
I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with an initial investment of $6.00! I thought," Scam", but I was curious, so I kept reading. It said : send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. Then place your name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch.
I was skeptical and worried about the legal aspects of it all, but checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is legal! I invested the measly $6.00. GUESS WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I figured it would end soon, but the money kept coming in. My first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! Third week I had over $10,000.00. Fourth week and I've made a total of just over $42,000.00! How and why this works.. Make a copy of this NOW, so you can get information off of it as you need it.
1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. What you are doing is creating a service by this. Mail the 6 envelopes to :
#1) Gary Wilson 21 Weston St. Apt-315 GrandRapids, MI 49503
#2) Fred P.O. Box 25534 Yuma, AZ 85367
#3] Jason Calbourn 452 Kuhn Street Pontiac, MI. 48342
#4] Natalie Sanderson 114 Oak Hereford, TX 79045
#5] Demetria Garcia P.O. Box 421 Richgrove CA 93261
#6) Thomas Ledbetter 1401 E.Bristol St.Apt. A202 Phila Pa 19124
2: Take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (there are close to 24,000 groups) Just need 200, but remember, more posting, more money.

1907. Re: Crackman Randy Chapman proven wrong again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 04:42:58 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <mpjKd.90418$Z%.83543@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195546

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95EBDFE10EE241234567890@216.196.97.136...
> reasonable expectation of privacy on the phone
>
> SEATTLE -- The state Supreme Court has ruled that the Privacy Act
> prohibits a parent from eavesdropping on a child's phone conversations.
>
> The case came to the Supreme Court because of a purse-snatching in Friday
> Harbor. A 17-year-old boy was convicted of second-degree robbery, based
> in part on testimony from his girlfriend's mom, who overhead him
> discussing the crime on the phone with her daughter.
>
> The daughter had taken a cordless phone into her bedroom and closed the
> door. In another room, the mom pressed the "speakerphone" button on the
> base of the phone, listened to the conversation and took notes.
>
> The court ruled that the daughter and her boyfriend had a reasonable
> expectation of privacy on the phone. Washington state law prohibits
> intercepting or recording conversations without consent from all
> participants.
>
> "The Washington privacy statute puts a high value on the privacy of
> communications," Justice Tom Chambers wrote in the unanimous opinion.

Geo, if you want to debate things with me, please don't post inflammatory
subject lines. We can debate, to no end, but if you continue to use
Dogie-style tactics, expect to shunned as such.

1908. THIS IS REALLY GREAT AND IT REALLY WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 04:44:22 UTC
From: "darellesbac@yahoo.com" <darellesbac@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1106887462.027267.96650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195547

Make Tons Of Money Fast!
I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with an initial investment of $6.00! I thought," Scam", but I was curious, so I kept reading. It said : send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. Then place your name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch.
I was skeptical and worried about the legal aspects of it all, but checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is legal! I invested the measly $6.00. GUESS WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I figured it would end soon, but the money kept coming in. My first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! Third week I had over $10,000.00. Fourth week and I've made a total of just over $42,000.00! How and why this works.. Make a copy of this NOW, so you can get information off of it as you need it.
1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. What you are doing is creating a service by this. Mail the 6 envelopes to :
#1) Gary Wilson 21 Weston St. Apt-315 GrandRapids, MI 49503
#2) Fred P.O. Box 25534 Yuma, AZ 85367
#3] Jason Calbourn 452 Kuhn Street Pontiac, MI. 48342
#4] Natalie Sanderson 114 Oak Hereford, TX 79045
#5] Demetria Garcia P.O. Box 421 Richgrove CA 93261
#6) Thomas Ledbetter 1401 E.Bristol St.Apt. A202 Phila Pa 19124
2: Take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (there are close to 24,000 groups) Just need 200, but remember, more posting, more money.

1909. Re: Crackman Randy Chapman proven wrong again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 04:44:31 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <PqjKd.90419$Z%.4283@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195548

```
"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:mpjKd.90418$Z%.83543@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95EBDFE10EE241234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> reasonable expectation of privacy on the phone
>>
>> SEATTLE -- The state Supreme Court has ruled that the Privacy Act
>> prohibits a parent from eavesdropping on a child's phone conversations.
>>
>> The case came to the Supreme Court because of a purse-snatching in Friday
>> Harbor. A 17-year-old boy was convicted of second-degree robbery, based
>> in part on testimony from his girlfriend's mom, who overhead him
>> discussing the crime on the phone with her daughter.
>>
>> The daughter had taken a cordless phone into her bedroom and closed the
>> door. In another room, the mom pressed the "speakerphone" button on the
>> base of the phone, listened to the conversation and took notes.
>>
>> The court ruled that the daughter and her boyfriend had a reasonable
>> expectation of privacy on the phone. Washington state law prohibits
>> intercepting or recording conversations without consent from all
>> participants.
>>
>> "The Washington privacy statute puts a high value on the privacy of
>> communications," Justice Tom Chambers wrote in the unanimous opinion.
>
> Geo, if you want to debate things with me, please don't post inflammatory
> subject lines. We can debate, to no end, but if you continue to use
> Dogie-style tactics, expect to be shunned as such.
>

Corrected
```

1910. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 05:21:03 UTC
From: Raymond Sirois <rsirois@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <p0jjv092nvbfce7i6juhqkhcje3bksurtl@4ax.com>
Source record: 195549

On 28 Jan 2005 02:21:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 28 Jan 2005 01:21:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>
>> >> >For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
>> >> >
>> >> >A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original
>> >> >plastic bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the
>> >> >first time! It even smells new!
>> >> >
>> >> >I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem
>> >> >users may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
>> >> >
>> >> >Enjoy!
>> >> >
>> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>> >> >
>> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all
>> >> >these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right
>> >> >now, and back in the box.
>> >>
>> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those Greek
>> >> sandwich stands? ;-)
>> >>
>> >> That is cool, though.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
>>
>> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a
>> conduit to my attic from my basement.
>>
>Why do you need conduit?

One would assume it would be to run power. But that's just a guess...

Raymond Sirois
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

1911. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 05:25:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050128002551.239$pU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195550

Raymond Sirois <rsirois@stny.rr.com> wrote:
> On 28 Jan 2005 02:21:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 28 Jan 2005 01:21:14 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >
> >> >> >For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
> >> >> >
> >> >> >A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original
> >> >> >plastic bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for
> >> >> >the first time! It even smells new!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem
> >> >> >users may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >Enjoy!
> >> >> >
> >> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all
> >> >> >these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right
> >> >> >now, and back in the box.
> >> >>
> >> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those
> >> >> Greek sandwich stands? ;-)
> >> >>
> >> >> That is cool, though.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
> >> >
> >> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a
> >> conduit to my attic from my basement.
> >>
> >Why do you need conduit?
>
> One would assume it would be to run power. But that's just a guess...
>
Nah, Enzo's not going to use it for shielding. I did ask him if it
was gonna be plastic tho..

1912. Re: THIS IS REALLY GREAT AND IT REALLY WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 06:08:44 UTC
From: "I WANT A FREE TRIAL TO"
Message-ID: <41f9d7d1$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

<darellesbac@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106887462.027267.96650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Make Tons Of Money Fast!
> I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are, and came across
> an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of
> dollars within weeks with an initial investment of $6.00! I thought,"
> Scam", but I was curious, so I kept reading. It said : send $1.00 to
> each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. Then place your
> name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article
> in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch.
> I was skeptical and worried about the legal aspects of it all, but
> checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they
> confirmed that it is legal! I invested the measly $6.00. GUESS
> WHAT!!... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I
> figured it would end soon, but the money kept coming in. My first
> week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a
> total of over $1,000.00! Third week I had over $10,000.00. Fourth week
> and I've made a total of just over $42,000.00! How and why this
> works.. Make a copy of this NOW, so you can get information off of it
> as you need it.
> 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each
> piece "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills
> and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will
> not be seen through the envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one
> paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. What you are doing is
> creating a service by this. Mail the 6 envelopes to :
> #1) Gary Wilson 21 Weston St. Apt-315 GrandRapids, MI 49503
> #2) Fred P.O. Box 25534 Yuma, AZ 85367
> #3] Jason Calbourn 452 Kuhn Street Pontiac, MI. 48342
> #4] Natalie Sanderson 114 Oak Hereford, TX 79045
> #5] Demetria Garcia P.O. Box 421 Richgrove CA 93261
> #6) Thomas Ledbetter 1401 E.Bristol St.Apt. A202 Phila Pa 19124
> 2: Take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other
> names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as
> number 6 on the list. Change anything you need to, but try to keep
> this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended
> article to at least 200 newsgroups. (there are close to 24,000 groups)
> Just need 200, but remember, more posting, more money.
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1913. DON'T BE A TROLL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 06:25:07 UTC
From: "I WANT A FREE TRIAL TO"
Message-ID: <41f9dba7@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.white-power

Harry Krause wrote:
Hoodoo wrote:

Jim Lane wrote:

Hoodoo wrote:

Absolutely nothing of consequence, more bs trolling.
Hoodoo is so ignorant that he does not understand the simple
sentence, "No one gives a sh+t."

Just a plain old troll.
jim
I don't know how much more plain I can make it for you, jimbo lame-o.
Here it is again... now pay attention to what shitbird sent to me via
email and posted to a number of newsgroups:

No one gives a sh+t.

Sure they do, KKKrause. Here's some more truth in hope that
you'll soon learn the value of it. Let me know when you'd like me
to restore the data I've graciously transposed with the "x's".

Harry F Krause - (410) 672-xxxx - 2319 Sxxxxx Wxx, Gambrills, MD

- - -

Date: Tue, 28 Dec 2004 21:38:55 -0500
From: Harry Krause <piedtypec...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: alt.politics.immigration
Subject: Re: Chai Vang, backshooting cowardly Hmong
Hehehe. What a trip this newsgroup is.
No, I don't think I am going to change the minds of any of the
bigoted, racist, anti-Semites in here. Why would I think that?
I'm 60.
I have two and a third college degrees, including a B.A. from a
major state university, an M.A. from an Ivy League university,
and about a third of the credits necessary for a doctorate.
I see what is discussed in here. Fortunately, it is unlikely any
of the hatemongers in here are in a position to anything about
anything...and that's what motivates most of the hate posts.

- - -

Harry Krause wrote:
What do you suppose set the idjut off this time, Juan?

Try the following two sets of examples for starters.
First up is shitbird and how he revels in playing the victim
without acknowledging how he initiated his attacks at me a few
months ago, and how he was the one who spread his troll tally
lies and unfounded assumptions into a number of newsgroups. Then,
when I learn that he slandered me in newsgroups I have never
previously visited, and visit them to post rebuttals in defense
of myself, shitbird feels justified that I am the trolls (plural)
that he erroneously claimed I was from the start. His playing
victim charade just doesn't get it when I'm the person maligned
by his actions.

Notice in the first sample set that I confront shitbird in the
<alt.fan.jimmy-buffett> newsgroup (one of his favorites) upon
which he initiates irrelevant response messages into the
<alt.fan.frank-zappa> newsgroup (which was one of my favorites
until he muddied it up again for no reason). That type of
cross-newsgroup off-topic juvenile action is precisely how this
feud he started got spread to perhaps a half-dozen newsgroups

And through it all, as shown below, he thinks he can play the
part of the victim and try to deflect the blame onto me.
All I requested to make a truce work was for him to publicly
apologize to each of the newsgroups that he soiled by initiating
his ignorant tirades, but, he won't comply. He should atone for
all the damage he brought to the respective newsgroups but he
doesn't care about anyone but himself in his little drug-addled
fantasy world.
- - -
The second example set shows how hypocritical KKKrause is in
badgering people who hold pro-gun sentiments to which he posts
anti-gun lies and horseshit. During the same relative time frame,
he fervently attempts to circumvent gun law restrictions in his
state of residence to purchase a specific handgun of choice.
- - -
These two lying racist malcontents have caused so much turmoil in
every newsgroup that they post their blather into that if there
were a usenet tribunal to which they could be brought for the
sake of scrutiny, they both would be banished forever from
interacting in newsgroups so that peaceful well-meaning
participants may enjoy all that newsgroups have to offer.

The sooner these two self-serving miscreants treat other usenet participants
with respect, and stop posting their drivel meant to
purposely rile people up, each of the adversely affected
newsgroups will be much more pleasant to visit and spend some
time in.

- - -

From: Hoodoo <hoodoo@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <41F4A6F3.2090006@spamcop.net>
Date: Mon, 24 Jan 2005 01:42:43 -0600

Newsgroups: alt.fan.jimmy-buffett
Subject: Re: pointless effort? i don't think so

PirateJohn wrote:
At the risk of giving some of the trolls any fodder

What "trolls" would those be, PJ? I still haven't seen you
publicly apologize to the participants of this newsgroup for
spreading your self-centered, malicious, and totally unfounded
'troll tally' lies into this newsgroup.

After the way you repeatedly slandered me, all you have to do to
rectify what you have done to me and this particular newsgroup,
as I told you previously, is to publicly apologize for the way
you spoiled their appreciation of this forum.

You can't simply drop your slanderous lies and expect everything
to be back to the way it was before you spoiled everyone's fun;
you have to atone for your misdeeds.

As soon as you apologize publicly to this newsgroup, and refrain
from referring to your fictitious "trolls", this newsgroup can
begin to heal itself.

Let's see that apology here, PJ... the ball's in your court, as
you like to say.

==========

From: Juan <buccaneerjuan@aol.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.frank-zappa
Subject: Paging Hoodoo
Date: 24 Jan 2005 07:00:12 -0800
Message-ID: 1106578812.647301.98890@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.177.232.245

So dude ... what caused you to break what seemed to be a truce
between us?

==========

From: Juan <buccaneerjuan@aol.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.frank-zappa
Subject: Re: Paging Hoodoo
Date: 24 Jan 2005 10:02:51 -0800
Message-ID: 1106589771.711548.153050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.177.232.245

Hoodoo masturbates thusly:

I'm looking for the public apologies posted to each of the
newsgroups into which you spread your malicious lies about me.

Fuck you. What apologies and lies? That you are a troll? You are a
troll. Big shock there.

Grow up and move on.

==========
==========

Harry Krause wrote:
Some years ago, a comic suggested that everyone with a handgun,
everyone, be rounded up and shipped off to a huge, escape-proof,
fenced-in area in some mostly unpopulated area (Texas would
do), and given unlimited ammo, whiskey, and crank, and left to their own
devices.
After a month, the survivor could be rounded up and hanged.
The handguns left behind could be gathered, melted, and turned
into something useful, like underwired bras.

Seems perfectly reasonable to me...get rid of everyone who has
a handgun AND the handguns, and produce useful clothing.

Gee, it wasn't so awful long ago that you posted the usenet
message included below into a GUN NEWSGROUP. Looks like a fervent
plea needing an answer real quick. Why else would you go to such
great length to seek out answers to your personal HANDGUN dilemma of not
being able to purchase just exactly what want?

And what kind of ANTI-GUN NUT publicly, and therefore PROUDLY,
states that, "I have a closet full of
shotguns"????????????!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

With all your anti-gun posturing, I'm surprised you wouldn't want
the security - for yourself and anyone around you - of an
integrated trigger lock. After all, it's one step closer to
making a 'dangerous' firearm useless whenever it may be needed
most. That's what you and your anti-gun nut KKKlan wants most, to
keep the general populace from utilizing a firearm for personal protection.

How do you expect to survive in the 'Escape From New York'
environment you describe in the first quoted paragraph up above
with only a "Ruger New Model Single Six Hunter"? Any of the long
guns in that "closet full of shotguns" arsenal ain't gonna help
you past a few dozen yards. You'd be ventilated right quick
defending yourself with any of those scatter guns.
Bwahahahahaha!!!!!!!!!

Talk about a hypocritical sack of shit!! Two-faced KKKrause!!!!!!!!!

- - -
From: Harry Krause <piedtypec...@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.guns
Subject: ISO "legal" six shooter for Maryland...
Date: Tue, 11 Jan 2005 21:59:17 +0000 (UTC)
Message-ID: cs1i7lkuu1@grapevine.wam.umd.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: sniper.cs.umd.edu

I'm interested in buying my first handgun (I have a closet full of
shotguns), and what I want is a Ruger New Model Single Six
Hunter, model KNR7H, in stainless.

I want a new in the box pistola.

Problem is, I live in Maryland, and we have a state law that
makes legal purchase of this revolver a no-no, unless you
purchase one that was manufactured several years ago. Revolvers
manufacturer in, I believe, the last two years have to have an
integral lock on them. Ruger has not seen fit to re-engineer this
model to accommodate Maryalnd.

But it is legal, I understand, to buy a brand-new revolver if it was
manufactured prior to the "no lock, no sale" ban. What I would
have to do is find a a dealer either in Maryland or out of state and
arrange a legal sale. The out of state transaction would be
handled through a local holder of an FFL, I suppose.

Question is, how would I find out who has the model revolver I want?
Is there any sort of dealer cooperative where inventory lists are
available for perusal?

Thanks!

--
Being highly educated does not infer possession of intelligence
or knowledge when the information learned is squandered and underutilized.

□□□□□□□□□□
□□□□Hoodoo□□□□
□□□□□□□□□□

==========

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1914. Re: "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 07:02:24 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <ctco280mgs@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 195553
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

wrote in message
news:41f9b5db@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Steveo"
>
>
>
> You can't buy that radio, you keyclown!
>
> It's not type accepted!
>
> You'd be a federal law breaker!
>

It doesn't need to be type accepted for use on amateur frequencies.
Convert it to CB and you would be breaking the law.

1915. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 07:10:26 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <ctcoha0n6q@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 195554

"Terra Dactyl" <TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com> wrote in message
news:41f97ec6.2377658@127.0.0.1...
>
>
> For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
>
> A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic
> bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first
> time! It even smells new!
>
> I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users
> may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
>
> Enjoy!
>
> http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>
> I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these
> years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and
> back in the box.
>

Damn. That is an ancient golden oldie.
I haven't seen a vertical meter in ages.
If I'm not mistaken, the "gyro-lock" referred to the style of the mounting
bracket.
Seems to me like the company was in business only a few years and went
under.

1916. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 07:30:22 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <ctcpmn0od9@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 195555

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:33hfv01bjh0luhprqdd5v1dnbajk30jd9j@4ax.com...
> Okay, I've been looking for a Super-Scanner for a while and can't find
> one for sale. So I decided to make my own. The manual has enough info
> to build a pretty close copy except for one detail: the boom length.
> What should the spacing be when one dipole is the radiator and the
> other two are reflectors?
>
> And would there be any advantage to adding a little reactance
> (electrical length) to a dipole when it's switched in as a reflector?
>
>

If you look around in some technical manuals, you'll need to understand the
wavelength formula to build it correctly.
There is a specific formula for determining how the elements are cut and
spaced.

If you've got a good ham shack around, go talk to them about it.
Or basically follow a 10 meter design. It's close, but it should work.

What you have is a basic yagi antenna.
http://www.signalengineering.com/ultimate/4_element_yagi.html

Scroll to the bottom of the page for details on lengths required.

Have fun.

1917. Re: Antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 08:33:47 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <LNmKd.13723$Vj3.10418@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195556

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> Okay, I've been looking for a Super-Scanner for a while and can't find
> one for sale. So I decided to make my own. The manual has enough info
> to build a pretty close copy except for one detail: the boom length.
> What should the spacing be when one dipole is the radiator and the
> other two are reflectors?
>
> And would there be any advantage to adding a little reactance
> (electrical length) to a dipole when it's switched in as a reflector?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
> ---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

Watch out for blown caps!

-SSB

1918. Re: Steveo GIVE UP, GIVE UP, GIVE UP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 09:24:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41fa0598$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195557
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.cb

<larupmiaz@osonio.net> wrote in message
news:dnmKd.16030$US.5923@news.ono.com...
> You are beaten. Or about to be beaten.

I'M NOT BEATEN......THE ONLY THING WORSE THAN AN AMERICAN NIGGER IS A
FUCKING ISLAND NIGGER.

OFCOURSE MEXICANS ARE THE SAME AS NIGGERS BUT THEY MAY SMELL WORSE.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1919. Re: Finally! Someone standing up to the illegal Aliens!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 09:27:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41fa0679@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195558
Cross-posted to: REC.RADIO.CB,alt.politics.immigration

"Bunn E. Rabbit" <BunnERabbit@verizon.hutch.net> wrote in message
news:h0sjv0d774gbieca7o59c105o8h47j9qve@4ax.com...
>
> http://www.alienresistance.org/
>
> :-)
>
>
> _____
>
> "Cosmic upheaval is not so moving as a little child pondering the death
> of a sparrow in the corner of a barn." -Anouk Aimee, French Actor
> _____
>
> "Death is better, a milder fate than tyranny", Aeschylus (525BC-456BC),
> Agamemnon
> _____
>
> "I wear no Burka." - Mother Nature
>
> ----------
> To send mail: remove hutch

RAGHEADS ARE FUCKING SAND-HIGGERS! SMELLY MEXICAN LOOKING HUNKS OF SHIT
WITH A RAG ON THEIR FUCKING TURD-HEADS!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1920. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 09:32:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41fa0796$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:R31Kd.184903$8l.149639@pd7tw1no...
> really boring around here
>
> log on your area code or city and say a bit about you.
> this is what personals are ALL about.
>
> go nutz and have fun
> this is your spot
>
> after leaving here 5 years ago, this place is like a vast waste land.
> wanna boyfriend
> then find one here
>
> make it happen
> okay maybe he might be a creep
> but maybe he isn't
> maybe you are not a creep
>
> I hope you get your brains fucked out so bad, and have to laugh for entire
> rest of your life.
> remember before you get married in canada, you need to set up your
> palimony situation
> AND SUCH
>
> men suck, but i like it

dug88,
you've never told me about your cock! are you cut or uncut? are you a top or
bottom!

uncle steveo wants to know!

'

'

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1921. Re: HAARP Show on TONIGHT's Coast to Coast AM 01/23-24

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 09:35:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41fa085a@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195560
Cross-posted to: alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.cb

<twistiehed@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1106560146.754977.222400@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Freddie M wrote:
>> TONIGHT ON COAST TO COAST AM WITH ART BELL. . .
>>
>> -HAARP- www.coasttocoastam.com
>>
>> Guy Cramer
>> Dr. Joseph Resnick
>>
>> Inventors Guy Cramer (superforce.com / hyperstealth.com)
>> and Dr. Joseph Resnick (NAT2DTC / NxGen BodyArmor)
>> will be sharing their expertise in the area of the HAARP.
>>
>> The official purpose of Project HAARP which is based in
>> Alaska, is said to be for studying the ionosphere in order
>> to "understand and use it to enhance communications
>> and surveillance systems for both civilian and defense
>> purposes." Yet, over the years allegations have
>> arisen that HAARP is involved in everything from
>> weather modification to mind control.
>> Guy Cramer has a new article posted which concludes
>> that in addition to HAARP, there is another
>> huge ionospheric array in Alaska. The page also contains
>> photos, maps, and recommended links from Cramer
>> and Dr. Joseph Resnick.
>>
>> http://www.coasttocoastam.com/shows/2005/01/23.html
>
> One time I was packing Steveo so hard his piles started vibrating and
> it sounded like a harp.
>

I thought that was between you and me.......wait 'till the next time you
want your dick sucked!

;

/

?

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1922. Re: I NEED COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 09:39:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41fa093e$3@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195561
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.white-power,rec.radio.cb

"Keith Hosman KC8TCQ" <logdshr@bright.net> wrote in message
news:41f593c1@news.usenetzone.com...
>I want to suck a hot black dick.Also into mutual jacking.
>
Hey keith you're a sick fuck. I like cock too, but I only suck-off white
dudes. I don't fuck with niggers or jews!

Steveo

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1923. Re: BIG DAWG RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 09:41:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <41fa09b3$2@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

SHUT UP STUPID.....GET THE FUCK OUT OF <REC.RADIO.CB> UNLESS YOU ARE HERE
TO GIVE ME A NICE SLOW BLOW JOB.
"N6MZA Fan Club" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:pfhJd.14599$wZ2.3388@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> The biggest, bad ass of them all, N6MZA, aka Timmy Sheen. Don't F**K wit
> da DAWG!
>
>
> http://www.geocities.com/matchbaux/n6mza
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1924. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:12:40 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <ahekv0pcs0g42404r50i6799hnsujmefqi@4ax.com>
Source record: 195563

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 21:24:03 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com> wrote in
>news:bjbjv0lq91a1mubanef97jc750iglmlghf@4ax.com:
>
>> That would be a joy. But I think certain folks enjoy this gay talk. I
>> mean would it really be that bad to return to cb talk illegal or not?
>
>
>
>speak of the devil

You calling me a devil??? Why? I guess I have been called worse by you
so I should not complain. Happy New Year anyway!

1925. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:18:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <do9kv0h5t36dhaf4ui225nted6ebap2uns@4ax.com>
Source record: 195564

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 15:51:22 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 13:43:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <msaiv0tv7k54ut5oh82dm68edhtil23uiv@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>Fact: Bush was a drunk and was convicted for a DUI.
>>
>>An incident which occurred many years ago before DUI was even
>>considered a major issue. It's little more than a speeding ticket in
>>the grand scheme of things when viewed in the perspective of the time
>>period.
>
>
>Let's put that on the back burner and let it simmer awhile.
>
>
>>>Fact: Bush was twice a failure in the oil business.
>>
>>Fact: The sun rises each day. What relevance does it have though?
>
>
>Because it's a FACT. Business failure demonstrates poor leadership and
>administrative abilities.

Not necessarily. It could also be reflective of the economic times.

> Would you hire a CEO that failed to the
>point where his daddy had to bail him out financially -- twice? I can
>understand if he failed once and learned from the experience, but
>twice? You don't see how that's relevant? That's denial, Dave.

What business experience did Carter have? How about Reagan? Clinton?

>>>Fact: Bush failed in his first and only run for congress.
>>
>>Most politicians do. Again, relevance?
>>
>>>Fact: Bush failed as a campaign manager.
>>
>>So did Donna Brazille. Again, so what?
>
>
>Both are FACTS showing a consistent string of failures. It's called a
>"rap sheet".

Some of which are irrelevant.

>>>Fact: Bush was accepted into the NG less than a day after he applied
>>>(and a related fact: nobody was accepted into the NG that fast during
>>>the Vietnam years unless someone was pulling some strings).
>>
>>A speculative conclusion based on a fact. That Bush made it in a day
>>after he applied may be verifiable, but the reasons for it, are purely
>>speculative.
>
>
>Then feel free to provide the name of JUST ONE person (not Bush) who
>got into the NG within a day of their application, during the Nam
>years, who -didn't- have someone pulling the strings. What I stated is
>common knowledge because rich-daddy-string-pulling happened too often
>for there to have been any legitimate cases of next-day acceptance.
>But like I said, if you can provide the name of one person who can
>disprove what is generally accepted as fact then I'll concede this
>issue.

Again, so what? Gore got a cushy position in the military due to
"influence".

>
>>>Fact: Bush failed to show up for a physical required to maintain his
>>>flying status, and therefore could not have fulfilled his duties and
>>>responsibilities in the NG.
>>
>>Fact: He received an honorable discharge, which means that he did
>>indeed fulfill his duties.
>
>
>We've been through this before, Dave. You can't say that about Bush
>while accusing Kerry's service as questionable. If you make the rule
>that an honorable discharge is prima-facie of honorable service then
>you must drop the Kerry accusations. Otherwise, you must accept the
>possibility that Bush was a skate. Yes, he -received- an honorable
>discharge, but the question is if he -earned- it. If you can admit
>that much then I can admit the same regarding Kerry's discharge.

There is always a question Frank. But since he was granted the
honorable discharge, the burden is on the accuser to prove that he
didn't "earn" it.

Kerry's discharge was honorable as well (eventually) The fact that he
received it many years after active duty, and coupled with his
anti-war activities (Including meeting with the Enemy in France)
raises suspicion of the circumstances of it. Again, the burden of
proof is on the accuser. But the suspicion is there nonetheless.

>>>Fact: Nobody (as in none, zero, zilch), not ONE person remembers Bush
>>>being at one of his assigned duty stations.
>>

>>Fact: No one has made an issue of it, except those who seem to have
>>selective amnesia.
>
>
>That's not a fact, Dave. It may be your own perception, but it's not a
>fact. Far from it.

Yes it is. The only people who claim to not have seen Bush are the
same people looking to damage him. Their agenda's are clearly
transparent. The people who did see Bush were ignored from the
"search", as it did not promote their agenda.

>>>Fact: Bush stated publically that a presidential campaign could be won
>>>with only the support of the conservative Christians.
>>
>>Your paraphrasing has changed the meaning of what he said, to make it
>>sound like something different. You would do well to excerpt the exact
>>quote before you present it out of context.
>
>
>On the contrary, I was actually putting his statement in a better
>light than what I heard as I "read his lips"

Subjective and prone to your interpretation, which destroys
objectivity.

>on the video clip used on
>the Frontline episode I referenced long ago. If you feel I misquoted
>him then by all means feel free to find his exact quote and correct
>me. I actually -encourage- you to do just that.
>
>
>>>Fact: Bush admittedly "found God" shortly after making the above
>>>statement.......
>>
>>Is that a bad thing?
>
>
>Depends on the circumstances. If he did it in order to muster the
>conservative Christians for the Texas governorship then yes, it's a
>bad thing. All appearances suggest (yes, -suggest-) that's what he
>did. But the FACTS are as I stated them, and the timing is far more
>convincing as a circumstantial case against Bush than Kerry's
>withholding of some of his military records from the public.

That depends on your agenda.

>
>
>>>Shall I continue? Or do you need time to verify those facts first?
>>
>>Most of those facts are irrelevant, others are distorted, and a few

>>are speculative conclusions. They are hardly anything worth basing any
>>policy on.
>
>
>They are irrelevant to you because -you- choose to dismiss them as
>unimportant.

And they are important to you because you chose to make them so.

> They are not distorted in any way. And there was only one
>conclusion that is generally considered to be common knowledge, which
>is far from being speculative. They are all premises that support a
>conclusion which becomes more and more obvious as more and more facts
>are presented. One by one your soldiers are going down, and pretty
>soon you will be left standing all by yourself holding the flag.

Not at all. And it'a moot point since Bush WAS reelected, and he can't
run again, so further smear is a waste of time.

>>>> Can you
>>>>prove that he dodged the draft?
>>>
>>>
>>>He wasn't drafted, was he? I would say he successfully dodged the
>>>draft.
>>
>>Oh please. You spin worse than James Carville.
>
>
>What spin? After he quit Harvard (or Yale, whichever party-house he
>was attending at the time) he was eligible for the draft, and more
>than likely would have been drafted just like every other able-bodied
>person of his age. Instead he applied for the Guard, and even though
>the process usually took several months before an acceptance (at least
>that's how long it took for every body else who applied and didn't
>have a rich daddy pulling strings), he was accepted within a day ....a
>SINGLE DAY!

My wife's uncle, after realizing that he had a lottery number which
would have been called, rushed out and joined the National guard.
Happens all the time. I knew a few people who enlisted in services
which were "less risky" rather than getting drafted as a grunt in the
army. It's no big deal.

>And you, who is willing to convict Kerry just because he
>doesn't release all his military records to the public, don't find
>that the least bit suspicious?

There is way more than this to raise suspicions about Sorry. His
ant-war activities, his trashing of his fellow servicemen in 1971, the
un-sanctioned meetings with the Vietnamese, where he urged them to
"hang tough", as he assured them that home support for the war was

ebbing, his suspicious discharge date, and the fact that selected
(and I assume key) parts of his records have been deliberately not
released, is more than enough to cast doubt.

>
>No, that's no spin, Dave. That's denial, and you need to get past it.

As do you.

>>>> Can you prove that he's a "criminal?
>>>
>>>
>>>He was convicted of a DUI. Proof positive.
>>
>>Then you need to take this up with Twisty then since he seems to think
>>that summary offenses are not "criminal".
>
>
>.....I hear it simmering.....
>
>
>>>>And Clinton never inhaled (But did dodge the draft) so what's your
>>>>point?
>>>
>>>
>>>I didn't say anything about Clinton.
>>
>>No, but if you are going to chastise one president for past behaviors,
>>you better be damn well ready to do the same to the other side, or be
>>viewed as a partisan hypocrite.
>
>
>So where's your scandalous accusations against past Republican
>presidents? Yeah, right. Focus on the topic and quit dusting off old
>file cabinets looking for excuses.

If you are going to apply a particular standard, you need to apply it
equally to all.

>>>> How does a DUI conviction that happened many years ago affect
>>>>his leadership of the country now? You're grasping at straws Frank...
>>>
>>>
>>>You asked for proof that he's a criminal.
>>
>>How is a summary offense "criminal"?
>
>
>.....it's coming to a rolling boil.....
>
>
>>> I gave it to you. Now you

>>>grapple for an argument that could just as easily vindicate Ted
>>>Kennedy
>>
>>Ted Kennedy was responsible for the loss of a life. At the very least
>>he should have been found guilty of negligent homicide. That's a far
>>more serious charge than a simple DUI ticket.
>
>
>Listen to yourself -- you are willing to try and convict a Democrat on
>your own accord, but you downplay the actual -criminal conviction- of
>a Republican. And you tell me -I'm- being paritsan.....

The circumstances are a matter of record. What Ted Kennedy did (and
was never prosecuted for) shows just how much more serious a crime he
was guilty of, and released from due to the political influence of his
powerful family in the area. Nobody died when GWB was cited for DUI.

>
>You're a hoot, Dave.

Like I said, what you do for one, you do for all.

>>> (who, BTW, -wasn't- convicted). It is -you- that is grasping
>>>at straws in the wake of indisputable proof.
>>
>>Proof of what?
>
>
>Time to take the kettle off the burner.....
>
>DUI is a crime.

A misdemeanor in 1976, which he paid a $150 file. Hell speeding fines
were almost as serious.

> You can sugar-coat it by calling it a "summary
>offense" if that helps the medicine go down, but it's still a crime.

Careful, or you new found buddy Twisty will start those nasty twitches
again.

>He was convicted of that crime. That, by itself, and in the mind of
>any reasonable person, is proof that Bush is a criminal. If you can't
>accept it then the ONLY conclusion is that you are not a reasonable
>person.

I've gotten 2 citations for motor vehicle violations in my 29 years of
driving. Am I a criminal too?

>
>>I'm still waiting for real relevant "facts".
>
>

>I'm sure that flag is getting pretty heavy, and you are looking mighty
>fooling out there all by yourself waving it like you are trying to
>stir up a tornado. So how long to you intend to keep up this wall of
>denial?

Until you come to your senses and realize just how partisan you are.

>>>>>Truth is relative to the observer. Facts are not. Kerry may have been
>>>>>telling the truth as he saw it but the facts may be different (and
>>>>>frequently are when testimony is based on nothing but recollection of
>>>>>events). If the details from his testimony could be verified as
>>>>>factual then he might indeed be a criminal.
>>>>
>>>>If that isn't the biggest double talking tap dance I ever saw, I don't
>>>>know what is. The facts are quite clear:
>>>> Either he was truthful and he took part in war crimes, or he lied
>>>>about it.
>>>
>>>
>>>David Berkowitz; either the dog spoke or he lied about it.
>>
>>So?
>>
>>>Carter; either there was a UFO or he lied about it.
>>
>>So?
>>
>>>
>>>Bush; either he "found God" or he lied about it.
>>
>>And?
>>
>>>Bush; either UBL is no longer a threat or he lied about it.
>>
>>OBL is not really much of a threat in the grand scheme of things.
>
>
>He's on the top of the FBI's most wanted list. He's why we invaded
>Afghanistan. He's the reason there are terror alerts, long lines at
>the airports, and vacant real-estate in New York. Not even Clinton
>said anything that even remotely suggested Bin Laden was "not really
>much of a threat". Yet you, in your unerring wisdom, follow Bush's
>lead in believing just the opposite. Time for you to wake up, Dave!

So you think that terrorism rises and falls on the breath of OBL? If
we capture or kill him, do you think it will all go away?

>> His
>>role has been marginalized. His capture now would only serve as a big
>>PR stunt. The real enemies are still out there, and OBL is only one.
>

>
>His capture or death would probably be the -worst- thing for the
>security of the US because he would then be a martyr, and retaliation
>would be coming at us from all sides. I'm betting that he probably
>-has- been either killed or captured and the information is being
>withheld just for that reason, which would explain Bush's apparent
>ineptitude when he made that remark.

I used to think the same thing. He had been conspicuously absent from
the scene after our attacks on Afghanistan, and the messages released
from Al Qaeda became audio only or made by another member of the
group. This led me to suspect that OBL was either dead or severely
wounded. Recently though, I have backed off from that thought, as new
tapes have been verified as authentic Bin Laden.

>>>Bush; either Iraq had WMDs or he lied about it.
>>
>>They had them.
>
>
>15 years ago, but not when Bush used it as the primary reason for the
>invasion.

You can't make that statement as a matter of fact.

>The administration even admitted as much. But you can't, and
>that's funny -- you can't even agree with your own party if it means
>you must admit that you were wrong!

No one in the party admitted any such thing. All they have done is
suspended the search for them. They're probably safe and sound in
Syria.

>>>Dave; either you violated federal laws with your illegal radio
>>>operation or you lied about it. So you are either a criminal or a
>>>liar. Which is it, Dave?
>>
>>I was a criminal, when I ran illegal equipment. That is no longer
>>true.
>
>
>So you're only a criminal -while- you are committing the crime? Ok, so
>if I hold up a Stop-n-Rob and they catch me the next day, I can plead
>innocent because they didn't catch me in the act?

If they can convict you of it based on evidence, then it goes on your
record. Otherwise, there's this little thing in the law called
innocent until proven guilty.

> Oh brother, -now- I
>know what's wrong with this country..... too many lame excuses!!!

And you've been making most of them.

>>>> Either way, he's a an opportunistic slimeball.
>>>
>>>
>>>And Bush is an angel, huh?
>>
>>Hardly. But he's a better leader.
>
>
>Sure, I'll buy that, just as long you don't consider integrity to be a
>leadership quality.

He certainly has more character and integrity than Clinton.

>>>>>But there are two parts to
>>>>>his testimony:
>>>>>
>>>>>1) that war crimes were committed in Vietnam, which has since been
>>>>>verified as factual.
>>>>
>>>>There are many statements from other servicemen that say pretty much
>>>>the opposite. While some atrocities may have occurred, they were in no
>>>>way condoned, endorsed, or pardoned by the command structure.
>>>
>>>
>>>"May have occurred"? You never heard of the Mai-Lai massacre? You
>>>never saw that Pulitzer Prize winning photo of a Vietnamese man
>>>getting his brains blown out execution-style by a US soldier? What
>>>else have you missed, Dave?
>>
>>A photo is only a snap shot of an event. It does not define context or
>>circumstance. It proves nothing. It only opens the doors to ask the
>>questions.
>
>
>Now you are getting ridiculous. Are you really this ignorant or is it
>just an act?

I just don't accept things on the surface without all the evidence.

If I take a picture of a man lying on the ground in a pool of blood,
and you standing there with a knife in your hand, what does it say?
What does it imply? Are there other explanations for that particular
scene?

>>>>>The problem is that everybody knew stuff like
>>>>>that was going on so it wasn't any big shock when Kerry made the claim
>>>>>in front of congress.
>>>>
>>>>Everybody didn't know, as evidenced by the testimony of other
>>>>servicemen.
>>>
>>>
>>>I see you also missed several episodes where US servicemen returned

>>>from Vietnam and were shunned and called baby-killers (among other
>>>things). It's not like it wasn't all over the TV news, plastered on
>>>magazine covers, covered extensively in the newspapers.....
>>>oh, but
>>>there's that liberal media bias again. Geez, Dave, they sure have a
>>>way of supressing the facts, don't they?
>>
>>It's about time you started realizing that. The media is quite adept
>>at manipulating public perception.
>
>
>True. The only question is at who's bidding? A normal person would
>think that since most major news media is owned by corporate giants
>that they would be manipulating the news, but for some reason you
>think the liberals are in control.

Because they are(With a few notable exceptions). The liberal
journalists are the ones who were indoctrinated into this slant during
their college years and report the news with that slant. There have
been many books written on this exact subject. Maybe you should read
one. If, as you imply, the conservatives were in control, would they
be running story after story of negative press from Iraq? Wouldn't it
make more sense to downplay the loss of life stories and focus instead
on the positive actions? Your assertions do not fit the circumstances.

>
>> They're trying to do it again with
>>Iraq. The difference this time is that we have alternative news
>>sources that didn't exist at the time of Vietnam. We can communicate
>>directly with soldiers in Iraq. They can send us pictures of what's
>>going on. They can tell the whole truth, not just what the media
>>thinks will give them the biggest ratings or promote their agenda. We
>>also have blogs who are populated with people who are connected in
>>various useful ways, and who often have the inside track on many
>>events. It was the acts of one such blog which exposed "Rathergate"
>>for what it was. Had this not happened, we might have all fallen for
>>yet another attempt at perception manipulation.
>
>
>Scroll through some of these stories:
>
>http://www.defenselink.mil/releases/
>
>Our kids are getting killed day after day, by "terrorists" that exist
>only as a result of the invasion. Why? Because Bush thought, contrary
>to UN weapons inspectors, and under caution from the CIA that the
>intelligence is weak, that there were WMDs in Iraq.

That was not the only reason given.

>Well, I'm really
>sorry if the nearly 1400 dead servicemen and women and their families
>-did- buy that story, but I don't.

That's your flaw.

>This whole Iraq war has been a hoax
>from the start

Prove it.

>, and anybody like me who can recognize a wooden nickel
>when someone tries to hand them one should have their head examined,
>including yourself. My shame is that I fell for Bush's horseshit, but
>never again, and I'm certainly not going to buy any of your lame-ass
>excuses.

I'm sure you, like the rest of the democratic koolaid drinkers, would
feel much differently about the war and the continued loss of life,
had Kerry won, and continued the war.

> Tens of thousands of people are dead because of Bush, yet you
>defend him like he was your god.

No, those people are dead because of Islamic Fundamentalism, which is
a bigger threat to us than Communism ever was. Only you can't see it
yet.

>Well, if you believe in Bush that
>strongly then you send -your- kids to Iraq so the good one's don't
>have to die anymore.

That is such a party-line comment. I can just hear it oozing from
Teddy Kennedy's mouth.

>You whine about how the press is manipulating the facts of the war,
>but you refuse to look at the blood and the corpses of our dead kids.

War is hell. People die. Where were you when the many thousands of our
kids were dying in WWII? Oh that's right, you weren't alive then to
know what sacrifice for your country truly means.

>Yeah, the press is manipulating the war alright -- that's why people
>aren't as pissed off as me, and ex-Marine who has watched his friends
>and fellow Marines die for nothing more than to boost the careers of
>some deadbeat politicans.

Speculative conjecture based on little more than emotion.

>So who's in charge of the media, Dave? Republican-backed corporations
>that take the conservative hard-lines? or loud-mouthed Americans like
>me who usually get labeled as liberals?

With the exception of Fox, the major news media slants solidly left.
It doesn't matter who owns them. Just look at what they choose to
report and the context they paint it in.

>>>>And isn't it odd that Kerry, a swiftboat captain, saw more atrocities
>>>>in the 4 months that he was there, than other guys saw in 2 or more
>>>>full tours of duty?
>>>
>>>
>>>So how did you quantify that?
>>
>>Statistical probability.
>
>
>Bullshit. Show your work.

You shit, the bull's tired.

One guy during 4 months of duty, compared to hundreds of guys who had
complete tours of duty. You figure it out.

>>>>>2) that -he- committed war crimes in Vietnam, which has -not- been
>>>>>verified as factual. IOW, either he provided specific information
>>>>>regarding his conduct which the government chose not to verify, or his
>>>>>claims were nonspecific generalities which could not be verified.
>>>>>
>>>>>Assuming the former (that he made specific references to specific
>>>>>acts) then the question becomes one of why the crimes were not
>>>>>prosecuted. There were plenty of war crimes in Vietnam that -were-
>>>>>prosecuted, so war crimes were not always ignored. Nixon wanted him
>>>>>silenced, and it would have certainly been easy enough if he -was-
>>>>>prosecuted for war crimes, but that never happened.
>>>>
>>>>Which then lends credibility to the notion that HE LIED. Along with
>>>>the other stuff he did while a part of the VVAW.
>>>
>>>
>>>Again you mock logic by trying to invoke the opposite from a denial of
>>>the precedent.
>>
>>There is no fallacy called denial of the precedent. It's called Denial
>>of the Antecedent. And in order to qualify as an DA fallacy there has
>>to be another possibility which could satisfy the conclusion. I see no
>>other conclusion. Either Kerry was testifying accurately as to his
>>role in committing atrocities, or he made the whole thing up. There is
>>no middle ground.
>
>
>Time for school, Dave. "Denial of the antecedent" is not a logical
>fallacy because it is nothing more than a challenge to the consequent
>of a conditional proposition.

I beg to differ:

http://www.fallacyfiles.org/denyante.html

http://www.philosophy.eku.edu/Williams/HON102Web/falsec-web.htm#6

>
>And you are right, there is no fallacy called "denial of the
>precedent"; I was simply describing your variation on the use of a
>fallacy specifically called 'ignoratio elenchi', which is a
>misdirection of a conclusion in order to support a different
>conclusion. Twisty is proficient with that one. You aren't.

When you get caught making a misstatement, you dance almost as well as
Twisty. You'd better keep that logic book. You need a refresher
course.

>>>It neither lends nor detracts from your assumption that
>>>he lied. It simply states that there is no proof to make any factual
>>>determination either way.
>>
>>It's either the truth or a lie. Which is it? Criminal or liar?
>
>
>Is water black or white? You want an absolution for something that
>lacks absolute proof. You want an absolute answer? Try this: DUI is a
>crime.

Not in 1976. It was a misdemeanor, with a $150 fine.

When you can't defend one issue, you bring up another to deflect. The
two are not related.

>>>>>> On the other
>>>>>>hand, if he didn't take part, and the whole issue was a blown up
>>>>>>fabrication, doesn't that make him a liar?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>No. As I stated before, people have different perceptions and
>>>>>interpretations about what constitutes a "crime", and the subject has
>>>>>been addressed in this newsgroup on many occasions when discussing the
>>>>>legality of FCC rules.
>>>>
>>>>The FCC rules are pretty cut and dry. You operate above 4 watts of
>>>>power and/or on non-assigned frequencies, you are committing a
>>>>violation of federal law. The only "perception" issue is the one kept
>>>>alive only by those who refuse to deal with the reality of what they
>>>>are doing, or who try to justify it somehow by trivializing it.
>>>
>>>
>>>Like you trying to trivialize Bush's DUI by suggesting it has no
>>>influence on his leadership abilities? He committed a crime, he's a
>>>criminal, "cut and dry".
>>
>>Is DUI considered a "criminal" offense at the time is was received?
>
>
>More excuses. Next you'll be saying that Rumsfeld was on a goodwill
>mission when he gave Saddam the germs for his bio-weapons.

We do what we need to do to build relationships with other countries.
We often go to bed with one devil in order to tackle a bigger one.
That's often comes back to bite us in the ass. But you can't fairly
judge the actions of the past with the perspective of the present.

>>
>>Yes, the DD-214 that was filed in 2001 was a correction which applied
>>to one of his medals.
>>
>>>Now my own DD-214 has a different title, "Certificate of Release or
>>>Discharge from Active Duty", Form 1 JUL 79, which was a year -after-
>>>the end of Kerry's military service. Obviously the scope of the form
>>>has narrowed and is no longer used for transfers within active duty.
>>
>>Riddle me this Batman, why did Kerry, who was done with active duty by
>>1971and became an active military war protester, take until 1978 to
>>receive a discharge? Could it have anything to do with President
>>Carter's pardoning of war protesters?
>
>
>It's called "inactive reserve" (aka, "standby reserve", "ready
>reserve"), as I explained in a previous post. I did the same thing: I
>was discharged from active duty in 1985 and was on inactive reserve
>for two more years. The length of his inactive status evidently lasted
>until 1978, which isn't unusual for an officer.

But a bit strange for a guy who sustained superficial wounds just to
get out of Vietnam, and then denounced both the war and the military.
Conspiring with the enemy while still on the military roles would be
considered treasonous. Yet, it was all made to go away when Carter
pardoned all the anti-war guys....

> Also, if you look up
>the referenced authority for his discharge you will see that it has
>nothing to do with the pardoning of war protesters; it's just the
>standard operating procedural rules for the military.

What, do you think it would say that right on the DD-214? A deal was
made. Just like the "deal" that got Bush into the NG in a day. That's
what happens in politics.

>All this information is, and always has been, available for you to
>check out on your own. Instead, YOU chose to follow the ignorant herd
>and believe the propoganda you were told instead of verifying the
>facts for yourself.

Because the "facts" are not always what you think they are. The paper
doesn't refuse ink, and just because something might be listed on a
DD-214, does not mean that other circumstances were considered or
ignored.

>>>Apparently, someone unfamiliar with the scope of the earlier form
>>>noticed that Kerry had several DD-214's and ASSUMED, based on the

>>>scope of the more current form, that either he was discharged more
>>>than once, his discharge was in error, the documents were forged, or
>>>some other sinister plot. A false assumption based on ignorance -- and
>>>it's ignorance because the facts are in the documents themselves and
>>>can be seen by anyone who is willing to look.
>>
>>Yes, you are correct about someone mistaking multiple DD-214'a for his
>>actual discharge date. But the fact that the entire records have not
>>been released, and that still raises more questions.
>
>
>What questions, Dave? My military records are not available to the
>public, so does that imply I'm some sort of communist rebel?

You aren't running for president.

>So Kerry
>was running for president. Well, his records (including the ones not
>released) are all under control of the Pentagon, and Rumsfeld is in
>control of the Pentagon.

Deflection. Kerry could have them all made available by filing a DoD
form 180.

> Not only that, but anyone who is elected to
>be president (or any other government office) -must- meet certain
>legal qualifications. This includes a check of any and all record
>available to see if there is anything that will disqualify him from
>the job. So even if there -is- anything worthy of your concern it
>certainly wasn't a concern of Rumsfeld, the CIA, the FBI, and every
>other service that would need to perform the necessary verifications
>(not to mention the security investigations -- even the president is
>subject to the need-to-know rule of secrecy).

So I guess Bush's DUI is a non-issue then.

>IOW, your suspicions are totally unjustified. Stay focused on the
>war-crime issue and maybe, someday, if you're lucky, someone might
>come forward with hard evidence to support Kerry's own claims.

Yea and someday pigs might fly.

> Until then you are wasting your time trying to find shapes in clouds.

There is a lot of information out there, much of which is cross
checkable. You may naively discard it as "propaganda" because you
don't want to consider the possible truth, but only an idiot stands
there with reams of information before him, and doesn't think that
some of it just might be true.

>>>> but they only tell part of the story.
>>>
>>>

>>>By golly you're right..... his service number and SSN are blacked out
>>>in all the documents. Why didn't he release that information? What
>>>dark secret is he trying to hide? OMG, it's a liberal conspiracy!!!
>>>ROTFLMMFAO!!!
>>
>>Those are not the only things that aren't there Frank.
>
>
>Yada, yada, yada. Blah, blah, blah. You can't say what's in the box if
>you don't know what's in the box.

And conversely, you can't claim that it's nothing either. Human nature
being what it is tends to follow that one doesn't hide something when
there's nothing damaging there. Disclosure puts all the cards on the
table.

> Unless you use your crystal ball.
>What does your crystal ball tell you, Dave?

It tells me you should change your socks.

> What deep, dark, sinister
>secret is Kerry hiding? Because if you don't know then stop with the
>innuendos -- you are providing nothing by repeating over and over
>about how "He's a bad guy because he's hiding something". Well so is
>Cheney. Why aren't you whining about Cheney?

Because Cheney wasn't parading around the stage of the democratic
convention pretending he was some sort of war hero. Kerry tried to run
on his past service in Vietnam. But someone should have told him that
he had too many skeletons in that closet, and those skeletons are what
cost him the election.

>
>What a hypocrite you are, Dave.

Me? Surely you jest.

>>>Gee, why didn't CBS think of that!
>>
>>CBS wasn't looking as scanned documents on the internet. They were
>>looking at photocopied paper documents.
>
>
>The documents were spotted by the public as forgeries from scans
>disclosed through the internet. What's your next excuse?

Which prompted further forensic analysis.

>>>>> And the rest of the website was nothing more than
>>>>>speculation without facts. Such as assumption of guilt in the absense
>>>>>of evidence, and misinterpretation of official military records.
>>>>

>>>>Many murderers have been put in prison based on circumstantial
>>>>evidence. When this much smoke is generated, there will be a fire
>>>>somewhere.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, there were no WMDs in Iraq. What does that tell you about smoke
>>>and fire?
>>
>>How do you know there are no WMD in Iraq?
>
>
>Because I have a crystal ball just like you, Dave. And while you have
>been busy sifting through Pentagon documents looking for dirt on Kerry
>I have been busy paying attention to the foreign land on the other
>side of the planet where Bush is sending our own kids to get killed
>for oil and/or his own political hide.

You must get tired of drinking that liberal "it's for oil" koolaid. If
one wanted to get rich, there are certainly better ways to do it.

Oil does play a part though, but not in the simple way you think.

>>
>>I can admit it just fine. But I see no relevance in it either way.
>
>
>I can see that. If you -were- military you -would- see the relevance.

Hmm... I know many veterans and every one is for this war. So what are
you trying to say here?

>>>Were you a draft
>>>dodger? No, I don't think you're that old.
>>
>>At least your math skills are working.
>>
>>> Were you kicked out?
>>>Couldn't make it through boot camp? Or are you just ashamed that you
>>>didn't serve when you had the chance?
>>
>>I was too busy getting an education and a good career. I had no need
>>of the military to do that for me. There were also no wars to speak of
>>then. I am now too old and way out of shape to even consider it. My
>>asthma would probably reject me as 4F.

>Yeah, I suppose it's easier just to pay someone else to risk their
>lives for your freedoms.

And yours.

> But that's right, you don't like taxes, do
>you? In fact, you said that you prefer to keep what you earn. So how
>are you contributing to this military that you claim to "believe in"?

By paying taxes. If I had a choice I would want to pay far less. But I don't have that choice. But the military IS one of the things that I would pay for. Social welfare programs would be the first on my list to be cut.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1926. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:27:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5cfkv09bbreado61v83050rpol0808gplp@4ax.com>
Source record: 195565

On 28 Jan 2005 02:50:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 28 Jan 2005 02:21:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all
>> >> >> >these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right
>> >> >> >now, and back in the box.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >:)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those
>> >> >> Greek sandwich stands? ;-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> That is cool, though.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Vinnie S.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
>> >>
>> >> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a
>> >> conduit to my attic from my basement.
>> >>
>> >Why do you need conduit?
>>
>> To make it easier in case I ever run other cable if I go to ham. If this
>> was a one-time thing, I wouldn't run it.
>>
>What diameter are you running? (plastic?)

Yeah. 1". I am running it in a closet wall. Remember, I live in a ranch, so it's only an 8 foot run.

Vinnie S.

1927. Re: Doctor referall

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:35:34 UTC
From: Mutant <mutant@psygone.org>
Message-ID: <1106919334.524649.149820@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195567
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb

Doug Adair wrote:
> I need a referral source for doctors specializing
> in dementia around the
> Toledo area.
>

Here's one ..... um....um..... I get back to ya on it.

Mutant

1928. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:35:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <befkv05qcteidvtlc5n2mu7g5on13ts1cu@4ax.com>
Source record: 195566

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 05:21:03 GMT, Raymond Sirois <rsirois@stny.rr.com> wrote:

>>> >> >
>>> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>>> >> >
>>> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all
>>> >> >these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right
>>> >> >now, and back in the box.
>>> >>
>>> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those Greek
>>> >> sandwich stands? ;-)
>>> >>
>>> >> That is cool, though.
>>> >>
>>> >> Vinnie S.
>>> >>
>>> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
>>>
>>> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run a
>>> conduit to my attic from my basement.
>>>
>>Why do you need conduit?
>
>One would assume it would be to run power.

Why would one assume that? Can you assume something else for once?

>But that's just a guess...

And a bad one. I don't see how intalling a plastic 8' conduit can be associated with power. Let me ask you a question.

If I run 9913 or LMR-400 inside a PVC conduit, increase my ability to run power? Is that type cable physically transformed into a high power cable, if I run it in a 1" pvc conduit?

Seriouly, if I were to go take a shit, someone on this group would associate it with me wanting running power.

FYI, I am going to run barefoot. By choice. By my choice. Not by choice and -pro or anti power people on this group. If you can't believe that, that is you problem. When I get setup, I will gladly pose pictures.

Vinnie S.

1929. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:45:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <l0fkv0lat2tfbfv3onvgm91hohvg9t34t9@4ax.com>
Source record: 195568

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 12:27:46 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 12:26:22 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <e2kfv013oe1p6pjun3cel5011dk3s721ck@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 03:27:04 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>>>some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>>>everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
>>>burden on our society.
>>
>>No, that is not necessarily true. We have the responsibility as a
>>society to provide opportunities. But we bare no responsibility to
>>guarantee success.
>
>
>I said nothing about a guarantee of success, only the opportunity to
>succeed.

No, but by acknowledging that some need the path made easier, you are
in essence promoting a socialistic concept.

>
>>You can lead a horse to water, but you can't make him drink.
>
>
>Your horse is drinking from the wrong end if you think an
>"opportunity" is also a "guarantee".

Opportunity was what one makes by the efforts of their own doing.
Success is capitalizing on that opportunity.

>
>>>But because there are racist attitudes among
>>>many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>>>races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>>>the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>>
>>I think we all understand why AA came to be. The problem is that what
>>AA does in essence, is to fight discrimination with reverse
>>discrimination.
>
>

>Yes it is. The difference is that opportunities are lost when racial
>discrimination is based on prejudice; lost opportunities are regained
>when discrimination is used to counter the consequences of prejudice.

Wrong. Lost opportunities are not regained, they are simply
transferred to a different group of people who, in most cases, are
more deserving of the opportunity since they worked harder for it.

>>Is it fair, that someone who is not a part of the recognized minority
>>(And this is not just blacks. It could be women, latinos, gays, or
>>anyone who isn't a WASP male), who goes through the right hoops,
>>studies hard, and works to make his place in society, only to have his
>>"place" taken from him and given to an arbitrary person of recognized
>>minority status, who did not work nearly as hard?
>
>
>I think you switched positions in mid-speech,

No, I don't think so.

> but I understood what
>you were saying. I lost my job at HP because of AA but I didn't lay
>down a die.

But I'd bet you were pissed. But how does one "lose" a job due to AA?
It isn't legal to lay off one person and hire another for the same
job.

> I had a pretty good resume and a fine recommendation. It
>wasn't long before I had another job. And it worked out for the best
>since the local HP plant turned sour a short time later. I have plenty
>of opportunities and I can afford to give up a few for a good cause.

It's not a good cause. It is building a bridge to make a career path
easier for some, while that bridge is built on the backs of more
deserving people, who are usually better qualified.

>>>So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>>>Canada..... (hehe, just kidding). The problem originates with racist
>>>attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>>>away anytime soon.
>>
>>Minority people share much of the responsibility for their own
>>situation. Many throw up their hands when things get tough and simply
>>blame it on the "white folks".
>
>
>You actually think that kind of projection is exclusive to minorities?

No, it is normally a symptom of people who live on the lower rungs of
the socio-economic scale. The problem is drawn across socio-economic
lines rather than racial ones. The difference is that an unmotivated
poor white guy is told "too bad", while an equally unmotivated poor

black guy is given special treatment under the perception that his predicament is not of his own doing, but rather the fault of perceived racial prejudice.

>Look in the mirror, Dave -- in many of your posts over the years you
>have clearly stated that you don't want to share the responsibilities
>of society, so you blame all the country's problems on the liberals.
>That's prejudice, Dave.

No that's called personal responsibility. I am responsible for MY contribution to society, no one else's. I don't want the government's help or hinderance in my pursuit of wealth and happiness. I don't believe that anyone should have it. You make your own life. If people are faced with a "do or die" scenario, there would be many less underachievers by necessity. And illegal immigrants would not be flocking here in as many droves if there were less "welfare" programs that pay them to do it.

>> While racism is still alive and well in
>>many places, it's a shadow of what it was 50 years ago.

>It's just hiding in the shadows.

No, it's really not as bad. I've seen a marked decline in the last 20 years. I used to hear people make racially insensitive comments all the time back then. I hardly hear it now. It also offends me more now.

>And in the airports since the Patriot
>Act went into effect. Racial profiling, despite Bush's excuse that it
>weeds out terrorists, is nothing more than racial discrimination based
>upon prejudice.

No, it is profiling based on statistical probability. How many terrorist acts have been carried out by 60 year old blonde females?

>BTW, ever hear of Mark Fuhrman? He lives in Idaho right across the
>state line from here, and just a few miles from where Richard Butler
>had his neo-Nazi compound which drew support from anonymous people all
>across the nation. But I suppose you're right, racism is pretty much
>dead, huh?

Oooohhh You found one example, so that must mean that racism is rampant through typical communities.

Yes, there are skin-head groups that preach white pride and make racially insensitive rhetoric. But they are a fringe and a minority group themselves.

>>> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>>>shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>>>and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>>>you to do business with Issaquah, are they?
>>
>>I'd be curious as to some of the claims of racism. How many people of

>>recognized minority status who claim "racism" or discrimination, are
>>simply playing that card as a cover for simply being inferior to
>>another potential job candidate?

>I'd be curious to know how many people use that argument to justify
>their racism?

I'd bet it was far fewer than those who try to use it to their
advantage.

There's a difference between racism- the hatred of another race for
nothing more than superficial attributes, and the dislike of policies
which either extend or deny privileges to any one or few groups of
people over others.

>>Then you have to consider that the more we make laws and policies that
>>highlight and call attention to our differences, the more they will
>>remain? The answer to true equality in not to emphasize our
>>differences, but to eliminate them.
>
>
>Just one "master race", huh Dave?

No, a homogeneous blending of all the races. A melting pot if you
will. That's what America had been for many years.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1930. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:48:09 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <qfgkv09haa4v01mbigv0ta69r34g2mp499@4ax.com>
Source record: 195569

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 01:10:26 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote:

>
>"Terra Dactyl" <TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com> wrote in message
>news:41f97ec6.2377658@127.0.0.1...
>>
>>
>> For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
>>
>> A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic
>> bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first
>> time! It even smells new!
>>
>> I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users
>> may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
>>
>> Enjoy!
>>
>> http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>>
>> I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these
>> years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and
>> back in the box.
>>
>
>Damn. That is an ancient golden oldie.
>I haven't seen a vertical meter in ages.
>If I'm not mistaken, the "gyro-lock" referred to the style of the mounting
>bracket.
>Seems to me like the company was in business only a few years and went
>under.
>
>

It'a amazing how many of these you can find. Yet I go to an occasional estate sale or garage sale, and have never seen even a broken CB.

Check this out:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48707&item=5747092908&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

Where do they find this shit? It's near mint. He might get $150 for this.

Vinnie S.

1931. Re: Read This And Remember It.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:51:30 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <3kspc2-4hj.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 195572

Dracos wrote:

> - Modular connectors for mics/radios (i.e. the house telephone type
> connectors - no soldering required)

Oh, dear God, no! That's worse than the connector conspiracy[1] that we have now. Ask any computer network geek about broken RJ45s and trying to get those to stay in the wall. The stupid little plastic prong always breaks.

The mic on my radio burned out a few weeks ago out of nowhere, and Radio Shack has no replacement for the 5-pin DIN connector mike, which is ironic since they sell radios that require such a mike.

[1] http://ursine.ca/Connector_conspiracy The computer industry finally resolved the connector conspiracy with USB, it's time for radio to do something similar.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

1932. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:55:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ljgkv0dfiqoj616egdqfnbl8fn0jo47l7q@4ax.com>
Source record: 195570

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 14:56:45 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:6nkfv0h6r2avebqiu1mb5738cjgda4tm5n@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:01:09 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>> We live in a society. This has obvious benefits, but it also demands
>>>> some responsibilities. One of those responsibilites is to make sure
>>>> everyone has a reasonable opportunity to succeed and not become a
>>>> burden on our society.
>>>
>>>If one goes to school, gets an education, promotes that education by
>>>further schooling, aggressively seeks employment, maintains that
>>>employment showing a commitment to the employer and his business,
>>>then he's is not being a burden on society. That opportunity is there
>>>for almost everyone, they have to "want" it, not expect it.
>>
>> That's called personal responsibility. It's at the core of the
>> conservative mantra.
>>
>>
>>
>>>> But because there are racist attitudes among
>>>> many employers, there are fewer opportunities for people of other
>>>> races. It then becomes the responsibility of everyone else to pick up
>>>> the slack left by the racists. That's why we have affirmative action.
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't believe that's prevalent anymore. If we were in the 50's, 60's
>>>& even the early 70's I would say yes, but I feel it's not the case now.
>>
>> I never see racism in my daily conduction of business. We employ all
>> sorts of diverse ethnic people. Nobody even thinks about it.
>
>Oh it's out there Dave, it's just not as bad or prevalent as it was.

No argument. But the fact that's it's disappeared from the workplace
in my conduction of business, certainly means that it's not as bad as
it used to be, or that some people think it is.

>> In fact, when I see some of the older members of my family and they
>> let out a racially insensitive comment, it makes me cringe.

>>
>> But there are people of recognized minority status who "play" the
>> system. The problem is that when the majority tries to open up dialog
>> to address this subject, they are shouted down and demonized by the
>> opposition by calling the discussions "racist", "sexist", or
>> "xenophobic". I applaud Bill Cosby for speaking out and highlighting
>> many of the problems which are affecting the black community. Maybe
>> coming from one of their own, the dialog might stand a better chance
>> of happening.
>
>
>Yup, that's true too, but again, it's a mind set that was caused because
>of the large amount of racism, a long time ago.
>
>>>> So don't blame the government and don't blame people "of color". Blame
>>>> Canada..... (hehe, just kidding).
>>>
>>>Nope, don't blame them, but do blame Canada ;)
>>
>> You're such a hoser! Take off eh?
>>
>>
>>>> The problem originates with racist
>>>> attitudes which have been around for quite a while and aren't going
>>>> away anytime soon.
>>>
>>>Those will always be around, affirmative action or not, but again
>>>I feel that's far & few in between.
>>>
>>>> By cooperating with Affirmative Action you are
>>>> shouldering the responsibilities that are shirked by racist employers,
>>>> and for that you should be commended -- after all, nobody is forcing
>>>> you to do business with Issaquah, are they?
>>>
>>>If my business is with them, why must I be forced to "not" do business
>>>with them? Because my company has 12 employee's, all qualified to
>>>do the job, but none are of "color" or just one person, so that's not
>>>enough.
>>> My last job I was a manager, I did the hiring & firing and to me I
>>> didn't
>>>care what color you were, just so you did the job & did it well. That
>>>attitude is the same where I'm at now.
>>
>> That is the only criteria that should be considered IMHO.
>>
>>> We have people of color, women working there. I remember a person
>>>of color hired and was asked to take the owners truck over to the car wash
>>>and have them wash it. He refused and said it was a job that degraded him.
>>
>> And some wonder why many minority people are still falling short in

>> the wealth category........
>>>I LOL!!! I had done that very same job a dozen times, among many others
>>>when I first started there, I didn't care, just as long as I was paid.
>>>
>>> It has lot to do with attitude, people have become complacent and
>>>started
>>>to live off of welfare, SSI, disability etc. Those programs were only
>>>meant
>>>as
>>>a crutch, but have grown into basically an income for those that don't
>>>want
>>>to
>>>work (I saw it for years when working in SF). Some truly need those
>>>programs
>>>and don't abuse them, but more than not abuse it and almost never have to
>>>work
>>>because people like you & I support them with "our" hard earned taxes.
>>
>>
>> And you can thank the liberals for creating the "entitlement"
>> generation...
>>

>Dave. Both sides of the spectrum play the game, Demo's
>play that side a little harder. Liberals & conservatives keep
>the country from swinging one way or the other politically.

It's true that both sides have their respective agendas, but core conservative beliefs tend to lean toward those of personal responsibility, less government intrusion in our lives, and the maximization of capitalism. This is a great system for the Donald Trump types in the world with type "A" personalities, who are go-getters, and will make a buck any way they can. It's no so great for those who aren't motivated to do what needs to be done to get ahead in life.

Liberals are "the great protectors". They are the ones who want to use the government to do what individual charity already does, only extend it. The more you offer aid and comfort to people who are otherwise able bodied, the less incentive they have to work on their own. And the less they value what they achieve.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1933. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 13:58:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <h4hkv01iksq9q3c5imu2db0ql7t5d7gmsh@4ax.com>
Source record: 195571

On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 15:41:27 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 26 Jan 2005 10:55:08 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>I'm not the one who changes my screen name
>>at the drop of a hat.
>
>Quite right,,you're the one who changes their access path to the group
>with different servers at the drop of a hat..
>
>>You give me far more credit than I deserve.
>
>
>I give you credit for exactly what you deserve.
>
>>I use a single newserver to access this
>>newsgroup. Nothing else.

>Nevertheless, your access path has changed

So? It's no secret that I have a different IP when I'm at work versus
when I'm at home. But the news server is the same. Always has been.

Now go change your NIC again and spin this a bit more....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1934. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 15:02:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <2usKd.8609$8Z1.5881@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195573

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:ljgkv0dfiqoj616egdqfnbl8fn0jo47l7q@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 14:56:45 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:6nkfv0h6r2avebqiu1mb5738cjgda4tm5n@4ax.com...
>>>
>>> I never see racism in my daily conduction of business. We employ all
>>> sorts of diverse ethnic people. Nobody even thinks about it.
>>
>>Oh it's out there Dave, it's just not as bad or prevalent as it was.
>
> No argument. But the fact that's it's disappeared from the workplace
> in my conduction of business, certainly means that it's not as bad as
> it used to be, or that some people think it is.

Well I'm sure it's still active in some area's and probably
outright too. But overall, in major city and urban area's
it's not the case.

>
>>Dave. Both sides of the spectrum play the game, Demo's
>>play that side a little harder. Liberals & conservatives keep
>>the country from swinging one way or the other politically.
>
> It's true that both sides have their respective agendas, but core
> conservative beliefs tend to lean toward those of personal
> responsibility, less government intrusion in our lives, and the
> maximization of capitalism. This is a great system for the Donald
> Trump types in the world with type "A" personalities, who are
> go-getters, and will make a buck any way they can. It's no so great
> for those who aren't motivated to do what needs to be done to get
> ahead in life.
>
> Liberals are "the great protectors". They are the ones who want to use
> the government to do what individual charity already does, only extend
> it. The more you offer aid and comfort to people who are otherwise
> able bodied, the less incentive they have to work on their own. And
> the less they value what they achieve.

Well as it's been said, those are your beliefs, more power to you.
I personally believe without both sides, if one party has total
power over the other, it would be an ugly thing.

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

1935. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 15:39:23 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20683-41FA5CAB-432@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195576

Heheh..Dave,. your linkage has slipped again. Here's a few more
N3CVJ-isms to keep you spinning and paying proper homage over the
weekend.
It's also of very interesting note you are the only one who hasn't been
forged in this group,,your timing of the forges and your "gay attacks"
on Frank and the group were not only coincidental, but impeccable.
Your voice is no longer one of integrity or even remote reason.
Off you go, now.

-
N3CVJ-isms:
"I really can't condemn illegal operation, since I've been involved in
it
as well. But I am really becoming disgusted with the degree and sheer
volume of operators that seemingly have the goods."

"Once one chooses to engage in the criminal mentality of breaking
federal law, they can no longer be trusted." N3CVJ

"I try not to come down hard on illegal radio operators, since I'd be
hypocritical in doing so" N3CVJ

"If the shoe fits..if you don't like being called a federal criminal
perhaps you should change your ways" (in regards to dx) N3CVJ

"I find it just a tad disgusting that poor,
unskilled, Hispanic people
can come to this country" N3CVJ

"I have ALWAYS used this account that you
see here." (voicenet) N3CVJ

"I've always accessed this group in the same manner" (supernews) N3CVJ

.....in addition to
Sprint (via Bedford County Computer Services in Roaring Sprig, Pa.),
Yipes! Qwest, usenetserver.com,ucis.vill.edu, cats.vill.edu, ptd.net

"Calls to the FCC fall on deaf ears, and I am into radio to enjoy
myself, not to create friction with various groups. Maybe my approach is
a bit of a cop-out, but personally, as long as they don't affect my
operation
then I have nothing to complain about. Life is too full of aggrevation
(sic) as it
is, I'm not going to look for it in my hobbies"
N3CVJ

"Perhaps not. But calls to the FCC are one way to fight back" N3CVJ

"Some of the excuses and reasons I've heard so far are feeble at best.
There is
really NO legitimate reason for ANY ham to need general coverage
transmit,
unless he planned on USING It" N3CVJ

"I can now access the worldlynx news server from other internet means."
(US West)
N3CVJ

"I have always had the ability to access the INTERNET through a T1
line, as well as my dialup account."
N3CV

"Canada must be a wonderful place. There the Cops are radio literate,
the
truckers are couteous, and intelligent, and people obey all facets of
the
law. And best of all, a 29 cent wire dipole will outperform a $100
"gain"
vertical. If it wasn't so dang cold, I'd love to move there ;)" N3CVJ

"I don't typically modify radios (unless they want to pay dearly for it)
N3CVJ

"I seem to find a disproportionate amount of females on ham radio.
That's why I like cb" N3CVJ

"I find truckers are the worst radio operators on the band" N3CVJ

"The biggest problem on CB today is ignorance" N3CVJ

"Roger beeps are illegal" N3CVJ

"You can't produce a rule saying they (roger beeps) are legal" N3CVJ

"You are a federal criminal. It (the dx rule) is federal law"
N3CVJ

"Most hams still respect the rules, and would
not be an accomplise (sic) to a criminal act"
N3CVJ

"My point is that hams are just as capable of being negligent of the
rules as CBers."
N3CVJ

1936. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for th...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 15:40:49 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20685-41FA5D01-50@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195579

From: TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra Dactyl)
For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first time! It even smells new!
I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
Enjoy!
http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and back in the box.
:)
_
Nice....now if only it were listed on ebay,,

1937. Re: N3CVJ meltdown was Frank Twistdhed Gilliand advocates law breaking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 15:45:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20685-41FA5E00-51@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195578

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
"If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

1938. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 15:45:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <bahkv05kjt6rpkidv3blj5h2epho47gvp0@4ax.com>
Source record: 195574

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 08:23:13 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>Maybe that's happened to you but never on my bench, not unless the cap
>>>was too small for the load. Like I said before, I have never seen a
>>>cap that blew up on it's own accord, leaky or not (and I don't know
>>>how you can determine it was leakage that caused your cap to blow
>>>unless you have a time machine in your shop).
>>
>>Shhhhh. That a "way back" machine, and it's only a prototype.
>>
>>But you make a good point. But like Sherlock Holmes once said, when
>>all the other possibilities are excluded, what remains, however
>>unlikely must be the answer.
>
>
>Sherlock Holmes was not a real person

No, but Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was, and he wrote it.

>, and that poorly-quoted cliche
>has one very important point you completely missed: "when all the
>other possibilities are excluded". Care to apply that concept to the
>debate between creationism and evolution?

Why? That's an entirely different subject with limitless and
unverifiable possibilities. Unlike the subject we're currently
discussing. There are a finite amount of potential causes of cap
failure. Most of which can be reproduced.

> Or can you see that there
>are "other possibilities" that may not be obvious but they do exist?

Not in this particular case.

>>>Heat it? Yes. Will it pass that much current without fusing
>>>internally? Doubtful. If it -could- pass that much current would that
>>>be enough power to make it explode?
>>
>>The evidence that I've seen would tend to answer that question as a
>>yes.
>
>
>If you knew how much power it takes to cause an explosion you might
>change your mind.

I know how much, I've witnessed it both first hand and in the
aftermath.

>> In most sweep tube amps and tube CB radios, I rarely see
>>more than about 40 uF in filter caps. Voltage rating depends on the
>>circuit. Most amps use 450V caps in series.
>
>
>I have a cap handy that's rated 40 uF @ 440 VAC. It must weigh at
>least five pounds and measures 10" x 4" x 2" (want a pic?). It's big
>because it's designed to handle lots of AC. I also have another
>capacitor handy that's rated 40 uF @ 450 VDC. It's barely 2" long and
>1" diameter. It can't handle much AC at all. I have seen the caps used
>in sweep tube amps and they aren't designed to handle much AC at all.
>Load them down with some ripple and **poof**.

But ripple is NOT A.C. It's pulsating D.C. At no point in the cycle
does the voltage polarity reverse (alternate).

>>>Did you ever think that maybe the cap wasn't large enough for the
>>>application?
>>
>>Perhaps. Then I guess the manufacturer has some 'splainin to do.
>
>
>That happens more times than I would like. GE and Motorola usually
>have well-engineered products; Alvin Amps and Back-Room Communications
>usually don't.

I usually like at least a 20% more capacity in a part than what the
circuit operates at. I'm a bit uncomfortable with a supply running at
850V with two 450V caps in series as filters. But It was very hard
back then to get caps with much higher ratings (that would still
physically fit).

>>> Also, electrolytics are not
>>>always fixed at ground potential, such as when they are used as a DC
>>>block in an audio line. If the offset fails, the cap pops.
>>
>>Absolutely! No argument there. But that's not what we were talking
>>about before.
>
>
>You must remain focused, Dave. We have been discussing several issues
>regarding capacitor failure. In the present topic I had stated that
>electrolytics fail most often due to excessive AC (or ripple) -or-
>reverse voltage, at which point you queried as to how a power supply
>can reverse it's current. I then explained that failure due to voltage
>reversal is rare with high-voltage caps (in tube rigs) but went on to
>explain how the failure is common in low-voltage caps (SS circuits).

But you keep changing the criteria to make you point. What might
happen in a D.C. block in an audio amp would not happen in a power

supply filter.

>>> There are
>>>also instances where an abnormal AC component swings the normally
>>>positive side of the cap negative. The cap voltage can get reversed by
>>>rectification when a pull-up resistor fails. Etc, etc. Geez, Dave,
>>>you've been doing this for 30 years and you didn't know this stuff?
>>
>>Not as applied to the tube amps we were talking about. If you switch
>>the conditions of the discussion, then the outcomes will change as
>>well.
>
>
>Then why did you bring up the subject of tube amps when the original
>topic was about a solid-state CB? If you don't want to discuss it then
>don't bring it up.

There will be no abnormal A.C. component beyond the rectifiers of a
power supply Frank, unless the rectifiers fail.

>
>Perhaps that's because you work more with tubes while I work mostly
>with solid-state equipment.

I thought you just said you were one of those "experts" who restored
old tube radios? Surely you've worked on as many tube radios as I have
considering that I haven't touched anything with tubes in 10 years.

>Based on the fact that I've never experienced what you described, and
>don't see how it's even possible from what I -have- experienced. I
>have never seen a high-voltage cap blow up because it was leaking, and
>I have rarely seen the same blow up from excessive DC voltage. I
>-have- seen them blow up from excessive AC voltage (ripple). Is it
>possible that the cap which blew up was subjected to too much ripple
>caused by leakage in the other cap?

How? The ripple would still not be that great, and it would neither
exceed the voltage rating of th cap, nor reverse the polarity of it.

>>>>Not exactly true. It is the pulsating D.C. current component. There is
>>>>no A.C. after a bridge rectifier.
>>>
>>>
>>>Like I said, call it whatever you like.
>>
>>I like being accurate.
>

>Ok then. Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component.

>Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC component.

Those two statements are contradictory.

>The term
>"ripple" is literally defined as the AC component of a DC current,
>usually from a power supply, and usually a harmonic of the input
>power.

No, ripple is the result of pulsating D.C. post the rectifier stage.
The frequency of the ripple depends on the type of rectifier and the
line frequency of the A.C. In the U.S., a half wave ripple frequency
is 60 hz. A full wave would be 120 hz.

>The term "ripple" is old and has been replaced by "pard", which
>includes all AC components (or fluctuations) including hum, noise and
>spikes.

That's because newer switching supplies create more noise, hash, and
spikes, then a simple linear supply would. Hence the need for a new
term, which encompasses a broader definition.

>Is that accurate enough for you?

I guess it'll do.

>>>> The cap can't be subjected to too much ripple if it's doing
>>>>it's job. If there is ripple, then the cap is usually bad.
>>>
>>>
>>>Not necessarily. A heavy load will increase the ripple.
>>
>>Yes it will, but it won't kill the cap. Caps are designed to handle
>>ripple. That's their job.
>
>
>SOME caps are designed to handle ripple. Power supply filter caps are
>designed to REDUCE ripple; i.e, shut the AC component to ground.

No, they do not shunt A.C. to ground. The capacitor stores current
during the ripple peak, and then discharges it as the ripple falls.
The rate of charge/discharge (which is hopefully slower than 60 or 120
hz) is what "smooths" the ripple. When the load increases, the R/C
time constant of the circuit changes and the cap is forced to
discharge faster, which results in less filtering of the ripple and it
increases.

> When
>the load becomes large then so does the ripple, and if the cap has a
>high AC resistance then it will get hot very quickly.

How? There is no A.C.! Pulsating D.C. does not switch polarity.

>>>Again, not necessarily. The DC component may stay within norms but the
>>>AC component (ripple) will increase under load. That's just a fact of
>>>life. Haven't you ever studied the basics of power supplies?
>>
>>I could write a course on power supplies.

>
>
>Just about everyone who has ever used a soldering iron thinks they can
>write a course on power supplies.

Well considering that they were the very first thing that I studied
and built in tech school, it's pretty much basic stuff.

>>An unregulated power supply will decrease voltage as load increases.
>>If the supply is at normal expected voltage, then the load is not
>>excessive. A regulated supply is a different issue. The regulated
>>output may remain at normal, while the unregulated "reserve" drops,
>>along with a proportional increase in ripple.
>>
>>The reason ripple increases is due to the R/C time constant of the
>>circuit. When the load increased, the apparent resistance across the
>>cap drops and the discharge rate increases to the point that it looses
>>the capacity to hold the supply up in between ripple pulses.
>
>
>Nice textbook explanation.

The truth usually is.

> But the increased ripple can be caused by a
>host of factors, not the least of which (and to the suprise of many,
>the most common) is the AC resistance of the output capacitor, -not-
>the R/C time constant of the cap with respect to the source and load
>impedances (which, BTW, are in parallel).

Of course the R/C is in parallel. The cap is across the load.

>A different scenario happens
>with high-voltage supplies because regulation is usually achieved with
>a swinging (saturating) choke, which permits more ripple to pass under
>increasing load in order to maintain the DC component.

That's a very poor regulator. The choke/cap is really just a low pass
filter, that designed to attenuate 60hz ripple.

>So when is your book coming out?

Since power supplies are so basic, and there is no new information
that I could tell that isn't already widely known, my effort would be
superfluous.

>>If a cap explodes due to an excessive load, then the evidence of the
>>failure which cause that excessive load should be evident. If not then
>>you can't conclude that the cap died due to ripple.
>
>
>The cause of failure is not always evident in the equipment.

No, it's not. But in a simple application of a HV power supply in a
tube amp, it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause.

> Like when
>someone brings in an amp (audio, RF, whatever) that they overloaded,
>overdrove, hooked up wrong or whatever. The dope who blew up the amp
>usually isn't going to admit his mistake, he just wants to get it
>fixed and get the heck out of there so he can put the incident behind
>him.

Yes, but a good tech can usually tell what caused the problem.

> Happens all the time. But contrary to your self-admitted
>cynicism, some people ARE honest

Shame most of them aren't in politics.

>, and that's one way I can determine
>what's causing a specific type of failure. So there -is- evidence of
>the cause of the failure even though it may not be evident from an
>examination of the equipment.
>
>
>>You are right, in a sense, that you can't always tell what DID cause
>>the cap to blow, but you can determine what DID'NT cause it to fail.
>>It then becomes a simple process of elimination.
>
>
>The possibilities are limitless so any such conclusion would be based
>only on probability.

The possibilities are not limitless. There are a finite set of
conditions which a particular circuit can find itself in. Is it
probable that a 12V supply was exposed to 500V? Is it probable that a
rectified output of a supply reversed polarity? Absent of other
component failures, how many possibilities really exist?

>>It's like forensic science. We reconstruct an incident based on the
>>evidence in the aftermath.
>
>
>Dave, before you use the word "forensic" again, do yourself a favor
>and look it up in the dictionary.

Have you never heard of forensic criminalists, who gather evidence to
reconstruct a crime to prove innocence or guilt? Watch CSI sometime to
get familiar with them. Or, if you prefer a less dramatic example, the
Discovery channel has a show called "forensic files" which documents
actual criminal forensic cases. Court TV has similar shows.

The example I used was similar in method.

>>>>I've never seen a cap blow from ripple. Never.
>>>

>>>
>>>You probably have but didn't know it. You can create and measure a
>>>controlled failure with a couple good chokes for AC isolation, a
>>>couple large motor-run capacitors for DC isolation, and an audio amp
>>>to supply the AC. If you need a schematic just let me know.
>>
>>I don't understand the relationship of what you are trying to
>>simulate. I'm talking about filter caps in a power supply now. I
>>should be able to "simulate" this by simply placing a large load
>>across the power supply enough to drop down the voltage and increase
>>the ripple. If the rectifier or the transformer doesn't give up the
>>ghost first,, then the cap should blow according to your theory.
>
>
>The objective is to cause the cap to fail under controlled conditions,
>and hopefully -without- destroying anything else. But if you want to
>do it your way then feel free to do so.

I have a battery charger which is unfiltered (the battery acts as the
filter cap), which may serve the purpose nicely.

>
>>> They also make great regulators because of
>>>their non-linear characteristics.
>>
>> But they can't handle much current.
>
>
>Tell that to my old Heathkit audio generator. And zeners can't handle
>much current either, relatively speaking. Ever hear of a neat little
>device called a 'power transistor'?

Why use a light bulb to do a zener's job?

>>> So you still say
>>>that light bulbs aren't resistors? Hogwash. If it's useful as a
>>>resistor then it's a resistor.
>>
>>I never said they weren't resistors, I said that they aren't practical
>>resistors to substitute in most circuits. A light bulb's resistance
>>isn't constant and changes with voltage. Not something you normally
>>want.
>
>
>A light bulb's resistance changes with temperature, but who said a
>resistor -must- be linear? The textbooks?

The change in temperature is in direct proportion to the applied
voltage.

> And what difference does the voltage make? It's the current
>>>that causes the filament to heat up. Which is true regardless of it's

>>>thickness, too.
>>
>>Current is a function of voltage divided by resistance. A higher
>>voltage bulb can make the same current with a higher resistance. They
>>usually control this with the length and thickness of the filament.
>>Shorter, thicker filaments are more durable. That's also why a 25 watt
>>bulb normally lasts longer than a 100 watt bulb.
>
>
>Not in my house. I replace 40 watt bulbs just as often as 60 watt and
>100 watt bulbs.

Not me. I get almost twice the life from a 40 watt bulb than a 100
watt bulb.

>And again, the it's the temperature of the filament
>that determines it's brightness, which is a direct consequence of its
>current.

Right, and the resistance needs to be lowered for a brighter, higher
wattage bulb, given the same voltage. The temperature is higher, and
the MTBF is shorter.

> IOW, the current density through a cross-section of filament
>is the same regardless of it's thickness or length.

A 12V bulb will have a thicker filament than a 120V bulb for the same
given wattage. That's because the amount of current in a 12V bulb is
10 times higher than the same wattage bulb in a 120 V configuration.

>>>Age, yes, they do change to a greater or lesser degree. Usually
>>>lesser. Humidity? I don't think so, Dave. Not when they are
>>>hermetically sealed. And the only caps that aren't hermetically sealed
>>>are air caps. Have you ever seen an "air electrolytic"? I haven't.
>>
>>They are also affected by long term exposure to heat above their heat
>>rating.
>
>
>Duh. Even to long-term exposure to heat -below- their heat rating.

The time is much shorter when the heat is higher.

>>Other techs and engineers that I know in the industry.
>
>
>Well, I'm an engineer, you know me, and I haven't ever used the term
>"drying out" to describe a capacitor failure. Maybe "dielectrically
>challenged"..... no, I haven't used that either.

You are but one man.

>>>>>Yet because I rejected your conclusion (or, if you
>>>>>prefer, your 'declaration of high probability'), you assert that I am
>>>>>claiming the fault is -not- a capacitor. That is a perfect example of
>>>>>the logical fallacy 'Argumentum ad Ignorantiam' which, by definition,
>>>>>is ignorance.
>>>>
>>>>Not at all. If I claim that there is a high probability of component
>>>>"A" failing and you disagree. Then you are saying, in essence, that
>>>>there is a very low probability that component "A" is the failure.
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong. Disagreement is -not- an assertion of the opposite.
>>
>>It is in this case.
>
>
>Well, I'm pretty sure about this, Dave. After all, I was the first
>person to ever ace the logic class (Phil 201) in college, which is
>probably why they brought me in a year later to teach it for a quarter
>while the doctor was out.

We have only your word on that.

>>>>If you consider component "A" to have a low probability of failure it
>>>>stands to reason that you place a higher probability on another
>>>>component failing.
>>>
>>>
>>>Again wrong, and for the same reason.
>>
>>Then what are you saying?
>
>
>You remind me of the sitcom boyfriends when they get dumped by their
>girlfriends who scream something like, "I never want to see you again
>for the rest of my life!", and the boyfriend responds with, "So what
>are you saying?"

Regardless of the other possibilities, if you disagree that a
particular component has a high probability of failure, then what you
are saying, in essence, is that you feel that it has a much lower
probability of failure Therefore, by extension, it can be assumed that
you then consider other components have a higher probability of
failure.

>>>>More chest thumping? What you've done at HP has no bearing on what
>>>>I've seen in 30+ years of CB radio repair.
>>>
>>>
>>>Really? Do capacitors behave differently in CB radios than they do in
>>>spectrum analyzers and signal generators?
>>

>>Sure. They're exposed to different conditions.
>
>
>Such as.....?

Application. Does the circuit supply large currents or small ones?
Does it work at high voltage or low? Is the ambient temperature high,
or low? Do they filter, block, or bypass?

>>Actually TR5 is the 1st RF front end.
>
>
>So it is. Close enough.
>
>
>> it's the very first active
>>device in the chain. TR4 is an AGC clamp. The second device is the
>>mixer and it's a 3SK458 FET.
>>
>>> On page 15 is the transmitter alignment section, and
>>>about the middle of the page is Step 4 where the procedure is to align
>>>the transmit coils while shoving 500 mV into the mic jack. Yet Step 8
>>>is to set the modulation for 98% with a 200 mV input. Now there is a
>>>reason for driving the audio so hard in Step 4 and that is to make
>>>sure the signal path can handle the stress, because if it can cope
>>>with a 500 mV input then a mere 200 mV should be well within the safe
>>>operating area.
>>
>>Hmm.... My test procedure for that rig used 5mV to set 50% modulation,
>>with VR6
>
>Step 7, and it's 0.5 mV.

It's 5mV in my book.

>> and 200 mV to set the 100% limiter threshold with VR7.
>
>
>Step 8, set VR7 for 95 to 98% mod.

Right. But there are no numbered steps.

>>The reason for the increase in audio drive is to test that the
>>modulation limiter (and mike AGC in this particular radio) is doing
>>its job. Think about it, if the modulation limiter is working and
>>doing its job, then you can increase the mike level all you want
>>(until you fry something) and the AMC will clamp it to the level that
>>makes 100% modulation. The only parts in the audio chain that would be
>>stressed are the passive components before the AMC audio shunt
>>regulator, which is TR18.
>>
>>There is very little you could tell me about that radio (or more

>>specifically that chassis) that I don't already know.
>
>
>I just did. Twice in this post and once in the previous post.

What you "told" me wasn't of any real info about the radio itself.

>
>Thanks anyway, but I already used too much time pulling out the mods
>and restoring it to stock condition -- except for the faceplate. Got a
>spare?

No I don't. Most of my spare faceplates belong to the bonepile radios,
most of which are crappy little AM mobiles.

>>>Now you claim to have worked on CBs for 30 years, yet you have never
>>>seen a procedure as I just described (on what was once a fairly common
>>>and popular radio)?
>>
>>I have seen similar procedures, but they were not used in the way you
>>interpreted it. I told you why they increase the level, and that's to
>>verify proper AMC action.
>
>
>I suppose that's why the manual says to overdrive the audio -before-
>setting the AMC, huh?

My manual says or implies nothing of the sort. It instructs you to set
VR6 with 5 mV for 50% modulation, and then set the generator to 200mV
and set 98% with VR7. It doesn't tell you to overdrive anything.
Nowhere does it call for raising it to 500mV as you initially claimed.

>>>>Often the only reason given in the procedures to increase the audio
>>>>input (And I have a nice Tektronix generator) is to verify proper
>>>>operation of the modulation limiter.
>>
>>
>>>I can send a pair of reading glasses with that book if you want.
>>
>>Your failure to properly identify the true reason why the audio level
>>is increased, is not suggestive of my poor eyesight.
>
>
>You need specs because you can't read what's in front of your face.
>That's what happens when you get old.

Maybe you are the one "getting old", as I see nothing of the sort.

>> It's a
>>blessing for techs. Most CB radios could be fixed with Radio Shack
>>parts. At one time, Radio Shack actually carried RF final amps
>>(2SC-1307). It's a shame that they have scaled back their parts so
>>much.

>
>
>You have finally said something to which I agree without reservation.
>I'll even go one step further and say that Radio Shack sucks.

Once upon a time it was better, as was Lafayette Radio. Then there
were placed like Almo electronics and other regional parts houses.....

>>>>I have never seen an audio stage on a CB radio "lock up". The Hy-Gain
>>>>623 used to have an interestingly annoying "design flaw" where if the
>>>>modulator was driven too hard, it would shut down for a few seconds.
>>>>You would loose receive audio until it recovered.
>>>
>>>
>>>That sounds like a lock-up to me.
>>
>>It was a design flaw (or "feature" depending on your perspective).
>
>
>It was intentional?

I assume so, since everyone I've ever seen has done it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1939. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 15:50:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <conkv0hb35c796fek5jsjj9bbmmudo4a0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 195575

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 19:24:07 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those Greek sandwich
>stands? ;-)
>
>That is cool, though.

"Gyro Lock" was Royce's trademark name for a PLL synthesizer.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1940. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 15:51:18 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20683-41FA5F76-433@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195580

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
-major scroll snip-
  [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
 [4ax.com]
Dang.

_
Quick search reveals 4ax.com appearing in Hall's headers and posts in addition to Doug,s also. Is fact, when that search is complete, it's very interesting to retrace the threads and note just where 4ax.com entered this group and who was involved in EVERY conversation relating to the forges and gay postings whereas 4ax.com is to be seen. I love usenet,,it;s extremely consistent! :)

1941. Re: "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 15:59:52 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <10vkobpi6vp7k0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 195577

Hello Steveo:

Yeah theres a bunch of real old farts in the Barstow Ca area that use these radios on ten meters. But because there are also big 20 meter ops, and may use more than the legal limit on 20, they slip off to the secret channel below 10 meters to discuss the tactics and such. Pretty funny!

I meet the old guys at a local restraunt on the outskirts of Barstow, pretty neat crowd. They all had the AR3500 radios, for both 10 and 11 meters.

These guys where good people, and always had a good time talking with other. A rough crowd at times but very entertaining. Especilly on 20 and 40 meters hehehhehehehe

Jay in the Mojave

Steveo wrote:

> "jj" <nukem1112000@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>View Here:
>>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=57475197
>>28&rd=1
>>
>
> Anyone here ever own one of those?

1942. FS:70's Lafayetts, SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 17:00:08 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAM.verizon.net>
Message-ID: <scuKd.6$h%3.5@trndny09>
Source record: 195581

Clean Telsat SSB-140 with stock mic, really fantastic receive and noise
blanker $100
Currently in service
Comstat 35 with stock mic $25.

The Comstat 35 has an audio squeel with the range boost on
(with *tuned* back of the set whip antenna)
Likely RF getting into the cheap HI-Z mic.
I also have a nice black D-104 with the preamp $50

Joe (Long Island)
Remove "NOSPAM"

1943. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 17:09:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050128120933.831$Dv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195582

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 28 Jan 2005 02:50:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 28 Jan 2005 02:21:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> >> >http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after
> >> >> >> >all these years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag
> >> >> >> >right now, and back in the box.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >:)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> What is Gyro lock? Does that mean you can get it at one of those
> >> >> >> Greek sandwich stands? ;-)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> That is cool, though.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >Need backlava (sp) for a counterpoise.
> >> >>
> >> >> Should have my rig running in a about a week. First, I have to run
> >> >> a conduit to my attic from my basement.
> >> >>
> >> >Why do you need conduit?
> >>
> >> To make it easier in case I ever run other cable if I go to ham. If
> >> this was a one-time thing, I wouldn't run it.
> >>
> >What diameter are you running? (plastic?)
>
> Yeah. 1". I am running it in a closet wall. Remember, I live in a ranch,
> so it's only an 8 foot run.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Easy enough.

1944. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 17:13:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050128121355.069$aG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195583

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 01:10:26 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Terra Dactyl" <TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com> wrote in message
> >news:41f97ec6.2377658@127.0.0.1...
> >>
> >>
> >> For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
> >>
> >> A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic
> >> bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first
> >> time! It even smells new!
> >>
> >> I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users
> >> may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
> >>
> >> Enjoy!
> >>
> >> http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
> >>
> >> I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these
> >> years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and
> >> back in the box.
> >>
> >
> >Damn. That is an ancient golden oldie.
> >I haven't seen a vertical meter in ages.
> >If I'm not mistaken, the "gyro-lock" referred to the style of the
> >mounting bracket.
> >Seems to me like the company was in business only a few years and went
> >under.
> >
> >
>
> It'a amazing how many of these you can find. Yet I go to an occasional
> estate sale or garage sale, and have never seen even a broken CB.
>
> Check this out:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48707&item=5747092
> 908&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW
>

> Where do they find this shit? It's near mint. He might get $150 for this.
>
I had one of those back in the 70's. That one looks nice.

1945. Re: A brand new in the box Royce 1-612 23 ch. CB gets opened for the first time!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 17:17:20 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <drskv0plfbah0qtco9e7ilp9dbjias5t6e@4ax.com>
Source record: 195584

On 28 Jan 2005 17:13:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 01:10:26 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Terra Dactyl" <TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com> wrote in message
>> >news:41f97ec6.2377658@127.0.0.1...
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> For all you vintage CB collectors (like Me)...
>> >>
>> >> A brand new in the box never used still sealed in the original plastic
>> >> bag 1975 Royce 1-612 23 channel mobile CB gets opened for the first
>> >> time! It even smells new!
>> >>
>> >> I left the pictures big, so you could see all the details! Modem users
>> >> may have to wait awhile for all the pictures to load.
>> >>
>> >> Enjoy!
>> >>
>> >> http://www.shadowstorm.com/cb/NIB-Royce-1-612.html
>> >>
>> >> I did not have the heart to take it out of the plastic after all these
>> >> years. It is still sealed in the original plastic bag right now, and
>> >> back in the box.
>> >>
>> >
>> >Damn. That is an ancient golden oldie.
>> >I haven't seen a vertical meter in ages.
>> >If I'm not mistaken, the "gyro-lock" referred to the style of the
>> >mounting bracket.
>> >Seems to me like the company was in business only a few years and went
>> >under.
>> >
>> >
>>
>> It'a amazing how many of these you can find. Yet I go to an occasional
>> estate sale or garage sale, and have never seen even a broken CB.
>>
>> Check this out:
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48707&item=5747092

>> 908&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW
>>
>> Where do they find this shit? It's near mint. He might get $150 for this.
>>
>I had one of those back in the 70's. That one looks nice.

Most are in bad-good condition. To get one in VG or near mint, would fetch upwards of $200. This guy having the box, will help.

Vinnie S.

1946. Re: "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 17:20:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050128122001.965$AZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195585

Thanks for the reply Jay. What's involved in opening them up, diode snip?

>
Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> Hello Steveo:
>
> Yeah theres a bunch of real old farts in the Barstow Ca area that use
> these radios on ten meters. But because there are also big 20 meter ops,
> and may use more than the legal limit on 20, they slip off to the secret
> channel below 10 meters to discuss the tactics and such. Pretty funny!
>
> I meet the old guys at a local restraunt on the outskirts of Barstow,
> pretty neat crowd. They all had the AR3500 radios, for both 10 and 11
> meters.
>
> These guys where good people, and always had a good time talking with
> other. A rough crowd at times but very entertaining. Especilly on 20 and
> 40 meters hehehhehehehe
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > "jj" <nukem1112000@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>View Here:
> >>
> >>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=574751
> >>97 28&rd=1
> >>
> >
> > Anyone here ever own one of those?

1947. Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 17:26:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <21tkv0d6kt1q0gcomdgh9nm9of47q1n3qt@4ax.com>
Source record: 195586

I'll be mostly out of the game for at least a week or two due to family issues. Whoever want's to take Dave's leash while I'm busy is fine with me. Just don't let him run loose -- he doesn't bite, but we all know how eager he is to crap in other people's yards.

1948. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 18:57:40 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41fa8b52.79416375@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 195587

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 09:32:11 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Hey they just gave that Timmy Kelley 2 tickets to the super bowl...

Thats great. I think he did a really good job singing for a 9 year
old.

They should make him the half time entertaiment..

1949. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 19:44:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6b5lv0hm9uafo8lklcgbi7hrh28cdld91k@4ax.com>
Source record: 195588

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 10:39:23 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

> Heheh..Dave,. your linkage has slipped again. Here's a few more
>N3CVJ-isms to keep you spinning and paying proper homage over the
>weekend.
>It's also of very interesting note you are the only one who hasn't been
>forged in this group,,your timing of the forges and your "gay attacks"
>on Frank and the group were not only coincidental, but impeccable.

Wasn't me, and you couldn't prove it if it was. That sort of stuff is
beneath my style.

>N3CVJ-isms:

Wow.... How much time and trouble did you go through to extract my
words of wisdom over the last 10 years for you to drool over? I
certainly wouldn't put that much effort into worshipping you the way
you seem to be doing to me.

Sorry, but I'm not your type. I'm still sane.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1950. Re: Roger Beeps 100% ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 19:49:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <sj5lv0dl4s4s2cp1rksrqerrgt5mamhm7h@4ax.com>
Source record: 195589

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 15:02:22 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:ljgkv0dfiqoj616egdqfnbl8fn0jo47l7q@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 14:56:45 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>>news:6nkfv0h6r2avebqiu1mb5738cjgda4tm5n@4ax.com...
>>>>
>>>> I never see racism in my daily conduction of business. We employ all
>>>> sorts of diverse ethnic people. Nobody even thinks about it.
>>>
>>>Oh it's out there Dave, it's just not as bad or prevalent as it was.
>>
>> No argument. But the fact that's it's disappeared from the workplace
>> in my conduction of business, certainly means that it's not as bad as
>> it used to be, or that some people think it is.
>
>
>Well I'm sure it's still active in some area's and probably
>outright too. But overall, in major city and urban area's
>it's not the case.

Well sure. Some people also hide it better. But it appears that we're
heading in the right direction.

>>>Dave. Both sides of the spectrum play the game, Demo's
>>>play that side a little harder. Liberals & conservatives keep
>>>the country from swinging one way or the other politically.
>>
>> It's true that both sides have their respective agendas, but core
>> conservative beliefs tend to lean toward those of personal
>> responsibility, less government intrusion in our lives, and the
>> maximization of capitalism. This is a great system for the Donald
>> Trump types in the world with type "A" personalities, who are
>> go-getters, and will make a buck any way they can. It's no so great
>> for those who aren't motivated to do what needs to be done to get
>> ahead in life.
>>
>> Liberals are "the great protectors". They are the ones who want to use
>> the government to do what individual charity already does, only extend

>> it. The more you offer aid and comfort to people who are otherwise
>> able bodied, the less incentive they have to work on their own. And
>> the less they value what they achieve.
>
>
>Well as it's been said, those are your beliefs, more power to you.
>I personally believe without both sides, if one party has total
>power over the other, it would be an ugly thing.

Yes, it would. If, for no other reason, having two diametrically opposed political ideologies provides perspective. I doubt is there is a single person who is either 100% liberal or conservative. Most of us pick and choose certain parts of each "a la carte" to add to their own beliefs. The majority of those determines our overall slant.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1951. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 19:57:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <o66lv09cc92soc349lgsbomvdf48e9m0nv@4ax.com>
Source record: 195590

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 09:26:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>I'll be mostly out of the game for at least a week or two due to
>family issues. Whoever want's to take Dave's leash while I'm busy is
>fine with me. Just don't let him run loose -- he doesn't bite, but we
>all know how eager he is to crap in other people's yards.
>

Taking that refresher course in logic?

You certainly need it.

You seem to have come a bit more unglued than you used to.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1952. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 20:54:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <rd9lv097370lp8j3euqi4e3aciempen9fi@4ax.com>
Source record: 195591

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 14:57:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <o66lv09cc92soc349lgsbomvdf48e9m0nv@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 09:26:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>I'll be mostly out of the game for at least a week or two due to
>>family issues. Whoever want's to take Dave's leash while I'm busy is
>>fine with me. Just don't let him run loose -- he doesn't bite, but we
>>all know how eager he is to crap in other people's yards.
>>
>
>Taking that refresher course in logic?
>
>You certainly need it.

Dave, you are so bent out of shape that you don't even realize how bad
I played you. I made you flip-flop on the roger-beep issue, I walked
you into several statements that clearly demonstrate your political
double-standards, and you just provided me with a logical analysis of
some of your own fallacies. Putz.

>You seem to have come a bit more unglued than you used to.

I'll be back soon so don't you fret none. You just keep making up new
excuses for my entertainment, ok?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! >100,000 Newsgroups
---= East/West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =---

1953. Re: "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 22:08:10 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <10vldubhaqtp214@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 195592

Hello Stevo:

I don't have a clue never owning one. I was gonna buy one from a guy in
Las Vegas but then he backed out at 150 dollars.

Give these a try.....

Ok heres one:
http://www.cbtricks.com/clear_channel_corp/ar3500/
http://www.radiomods.co.nz/ar3300ar3500techmods.html
http://ctrlcom.com/charley3/manual/manual.htm
http://www.users.voicenet.com/~mwalden/topic386.htm
http://www.rfparts.com/service.html
http://www.qsl.net/w8cwe/ar3500.html

Jay in the Mojave

Steveo wrote:

> Thanks for the reply Jay. What's involved in opening them up, diode snip?

1954. Re: "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 22:50:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050128175053.927$79@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195593

Thanks Jay.

Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> Hello Stevo:
>
> I don't have a clue never owning one. I was gonna buy one from a guy in
> Las Vegas but then he backed out at 150 dollars.
>
> Give these a try.....
>
> Ok heres one:
> http://www.cbtricks.com/clear_channel_corp/ar3500/
> http://www.radiomods.co.nz/ar3300ar3500techmods.html
> http://ctrlcom.com/charley3/manual/manual.htm
> http://www.users.voicenet.com/~mwalden/topic386.htm
> http://www.rfparts.com/service.html
> http://www.qsl.net/w8cwe/ar3500.html
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > Thanks for the reply Jay. What's involved in opening them up, diode
> > snip?

1955. New Hunting reality show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-28 22:51:23 UTC
From: thruthewoods32@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1106952683.543817.45810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195594

Have you heard about this new hunting reality TV show that's seeking participants? It's called "Hunting for Bambi" and it's like Fear Factor meets Girls Gone Wild. 6 guys get to hunt 12 naked women in the woods with paintball guns. Sounds like it should be a new Olympic sport if you ask me! Definitely better than hunting deer. lol! Go to www.huntingforbambi.com if you're interested in signing up.

1956. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 00:30:34 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1106958634.891675.124520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.gayteen

Frank Gilliland wrote:
<BITCH SNIP YOU SLIMMY FAGGOT>

Good, how 'bout making it a nice long leave. Frank you and Steveo and Twistie do your level best to destroy Dave. Dave is not posting/forging anything.It's all you and Twistie playing with your good butty Steveo.

You know dam well you and twistie started all of the faggot shit.......big surprise considering all you guys are founding faggots of the medina gay cb club.

I guess Steveo promised to suck-off some of your followers and thats why you and your blow-butties all snap at Dave. Dave is the one guy here thats NOT doing all sorts of gay bullshit.

It's you Frank. You fancy yourself as some sort of "usenet intellect". But you're nothing more than a sick, gay, little man who's life revolves your computer boards and chat rooms. Fuck you and your family you no good son of a bitch!

And Steveo....... FUCK YOU AND YOUR HOMO LOVER TWISTIE.......... You filthy asshole!

1957. RF AMPLIFIER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 00:39:39 UTC
From: jj <nukem1112000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <fXAKd.7504$JF2.6988@tornado.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 195596

CLICK HERE:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=48700&item=5747891862&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

1958. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 00:45:58 UTC
From: ned@arthur.valhalla.oz
Message-ID: <slrncvlobm.44t.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 195598
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

"RT" wrote in <35n3f4F4n0e1qU1@individual.net>:

Nothing relevant to act-b.

---snip---

The message you followed up wasn't posted into act-b, troll.
So why did you post your babble into act-b, troll?

Oh, that's right, you're a troll.

Piss off, troll.

Daryavush
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

1959. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 01:00:15 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <j0olv05gtvg0osgvq8bvikq9vvfcphsi3b@4ax.com>
Source record: 195597

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 09:26:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>I'll be mostly out of the game for at least a week or two due to
>family issues. Whoever want's to take Dave's leash while I'm busy is
>fine with me. Just don't let him run loose -- he doesn't bite, but we
>all know how eager he is to crap in other people's yards.
>
>

Hope everything goes well.

Vinnie S.

1960. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 02:04:52 UTC
From: ned@arthur.valhalla.oz
Message-ID: <slrncvlsvk.49p.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Source record: 195599
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation

"Bertie the Bunyip" wrote
in <Xns95EA4A6F3D739ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.21>:
> Ned Latham wrote:
> > "Bertie the Bunyip" wrote:
> > > "PBSL" wrote:
> > > > "moparholic" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the
> > > > > best fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
> > > > >
> > > > hey racist-ned they are talking to you
> > > >
> > > Blah blah blah.
> > >
> > > It's you who's racist, Sylvia.
> > >
> > What makes you think that moron's Sylvia?
> >
> > And what have you got against Sylvia anyway? She seems okay to me.
>
> Hmm. nuff said.

Not even close. Try answering the questions. Especially the first one.

Ned
--
True Blue FAQ: <slrnctjido.tgf.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz>
Public key: http://pgp.mit.edu/ http://www.keyserver.net/en/
Fingerprint: D17C FDD5 BBA8 8687 42E3 C8F2 C9FB 0314 E17A 0CD7

1961. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 02:35:22 UTC
From: "Bertie the Bunyip", XZXZX
Message-ID: <Xns95ED1B96158A9ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.100.145>
Source record: 195600
Cross-posted to: aus.politics,rec.radio.cb,alt.disasters.aviation,rec.arts.poems

ned@arthur.valhalla.oz (Ned Latham)
sednews:slrncvlsvk.49p.ned@arthur.valhalla.oz:

> "Bertie the Bunyip" wrote
> in <Xns95EA4A6F3D739ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ@38.119.106.21>:
>> Ned Latham wrote:
>> > "Bertie the Bunyip" wrote:
>> > > "PBSL" wrote:
>> > > > "moparholic" wrote:
>> > > > >
>> > > > > hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother
>> > > > > got the best fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
>> > > > >
>> > > > hey racist-ned they are talking to you
>> > >
>> > > Blah blah blah.
>> > >
>> > > It's you who's racist, Sylvia.
>> >
>> > What makes you think that moron's Sylvia?
>> >
>> > And what have you got against Sylvia anyway? She seems okay to me.
>>
>> Hmm. nuff said.
>
> Not even close. Try answering the questions. Especially the first one.
>
>

What, Blah blah blah?

Bertie

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

1962. Re: STEVEO IS A FILTHY FAGGOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 02:40:02 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <6ICKd.197722$Xk.152027@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.politics.white-power,alt.personals.gay

wow
what a dismal concept to control a guy

but i have had great guys get married to hookers, they died

at least i can leave here with him breathing

on the other hand

anything Steveo is now blocked, by the outlook express filters

so i can't see it
have a good time

1963. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 02:43:42 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <fltlv05q2v7lbn1rqg36u4m31qd00jkgkd@4ax.com>
Source record: 195601

On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 05:00:04 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 02:56:39 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>> That I can do. I got 16" between the joists, and stick the coil directly under
>> the antenna. So if you are looking from an overhead view, the antenna is
>> directly in the middle of the open part of the coil. The GP wires will be over
>> it. Is this correct? It sounds like a plan.
>>
>> Thanks for your help !
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>Yep.. you got it this time. Looking down the antenna, you're looking at
>an "O" (the choke) with the antenna being the center point of the
>circle. Wires physically above the coil.
>
>Good luck.
>
>That's what us HAMs are supposed to be here for.
>
>-SSB

The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to the basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and supplies. The Firestik arrived.

Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax. I figured I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000 with a GP kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna offset that loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the house. Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the tree.

Vinnie S.

1964. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 03:23:53 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <dIDKd.24406$by5.17183@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195603

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 05:00:04 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 02:56:39 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>That I can do. I got 16" between the joists, and stick the coil directly under
>>>the antenna. So if you are looking from an overhead view, the antenna is
>>>directly in the middle of the open part of the coil. The GP wires will be over
>>>it. Is this correct? It sounds like a plan.
>>>
>>>Thanks for your help !
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>Yep.. you got it this time. Looking down the antenna, you're looking at
>>an "O" (the choke) with the antenna being the center point of the
>>circle. Wires physically above the coil.
>>
>>Good luck.
>>
>>That's what us HAMs are supposed to be here for.
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
>
> The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to the
> basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and supplies.
> The Firestik arrived.
>
> Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
> permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax. I figured
> I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
> distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000 with a GP
> kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna offset that

> loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the house.
> Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the tree.
>
> Vinnie S.

Vin:

Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.

2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna. Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead. Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna. Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.

The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL be less than the run of LMR400.

The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.

For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.

The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.

Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.

Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not) the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements over a vertical dipole.

HTH

-SSB

1965. Hey American Kennel Club (AKC)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 04:19:34 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <q9EKd.11014$MX2.10089@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195604

This Icom works pretty well on 11 meters!
I've been 'listening' on 27.385 LSB

1966. Sorry...AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 04:40:10 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <KsEKd.8090$ZD1.4604@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195605

A few of you ladies are STILL trying to log onto my board, but
remember...the AKC filters are always on. "Filtered' AKC IP addys:
172.143.186
172.151.253
172.150.57
172.157.16

Have a GREAT day, and happy DX'ing!
http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle/

A.E. 352
27.385 LSB

1967. Re: Sorry...AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 07:49:47 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <rprrc2-41t.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 195606

American Eagle 352 wrote:

> A few of you ladies are STILL trying to log onto my board, but
> remember...the AKC filters are always on. "Filtered' AKC IP addys:
> 172.143.186
> 172.151.253
> 172.150.57
> 172.157.16

You do know that filters work best when the target doesn't know what's filtered, right?

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

1968. Re: Ping Lancer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 12:10:36 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <hdvmv0lu7052ad0ji8evngsrgh67knulgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 195607

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 19:06:54 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in news:41fa8b52.79416375
>@news.easynews.com:
>
>> On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 09:32:11 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>
>>>Hey they just gave that Timmy Kelley 2 tickets to the super bowl...
>>
>> Thats great. I think he did a really good job singing for a 9 year
>> old.
>>
>> They should make him the half time entertaiment..
>
>Well at least he won't flash his boob at halftime.

I sincerly hope not!

1969. Re: WHEN WILL THIS HOMO SHIT EVER STOP????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 12:15:24 UTC
From: Smooth G <SmoothG@infoworks.com>
Message-ID: <2mvmv0dbvsjq2gpnfc8s0dimsm8j54u0ed@4ax.com>
Source record: 195608

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 02:08:30 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20050127171040.389$7s@newsreader.com...
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote:
>>> Just Me <JustMe@nobody.com> wrote in
>>> news:lsgcv0tdjvvfc542k60tvbcshf9em7ddja@4ax.com:
>>>
>>> > This place is an abomination! The akc argue that it is actually better
>>> > here than when they were discussing amplifiers, antennas, key downs &
>>> > even cb myths... I truly wonder ????
>>> > I myself would prefer a good cb myth or coax length argument over this
>>> > consistant homo gay stuff or the I will beat your ass stuff. None of
>>> > the participants will ever be man enough to quietly meet somewhere and
>>> > take care of their problems. I for one would sure appreciate a
>>> > stoppage of this ridiculous gay talk and get back to cb related stuff.
>>> > THEORY OR MYTH, KEYDOWNS OR NOT, AMPLIFIERS OR NOT, MOBILE ANTENNAS OR
>>> > BEAMS, whatever just keeping on topic would be a joy!
>>> >
>>>
>>> Sounds like icecold has returned.
>>>
>> Who? :D
>
>His primary obsession.........
>
Hey I thought he was calling me ice?

1970. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 13:35:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cf3nv0ls1t13pn8ahbckhq5ic4v48u6o3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 195609

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>> The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to the
>> basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and supplies.
>> The Firestik arrived.
>>
>> Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>> permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax. I figured
>> I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>> distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000 with a GP
>> kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna offset that
>> loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the house.
>> Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the tree.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Vin:
>
>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>
>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of
>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead
>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.

If I have the HR-2510 at 10 Watts DK, I am still putting out about 7.5 watts. If I turn up the DK to about 20 watts, I can still transmit about 15.5 watts. I guess that is not bad.

I guess the point is I can still get at least the power of a standard CB with an antenna directly overhead.

>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun
>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>be less than the run of LMR400.

>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.

This will be a major problem. I have all my plumbing well within a foot, across the entire length of the house. All where I would bring the ladder line into the house. I cannot move the entry point without some serious digging and entering from the front of the house. There are numerous obstacles like the driveway and sidewalk. I will have to look into the 200 ohm twin lead.

>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and
>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>
>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the
>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>
>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.

>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements
>over a vertical dipole.

In the attic, I am using a 6 foot Firestik. It is the highest I can go up there. It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet. I am using 8 pieces of 9 foot wires as a ground plane. Luckily, I have electricians in the family. This should work OK for now?

Vinnie S.

1971. CB Amps For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 16:29:54 UTC
From: Eric Anderson <ezdemon72@charter.net>
Message-ID: <aVOKd.2295$CU1.1064@fe06.lga>
Source record: 195610

2 Pill S/C
2 2879's w/o Variable
Peak Watts 350
$175.00 Plus Shipping.

M80
2 2290's with Variable
Peak Watts 250
$140.00 Plus Shipping.

M200
1 2290 Driving 2 2879's
Peak Watts 500
Internally Cooled
$240.00 Plus Shipping.

M400
1 2290 driving 4 2879's
Peak Watts 800-1000 Watts
Depending on Charging System.
Internally Cooled
$340.00 Plus Shipping.

Contact me at ezdemon72@charter.net if interested.

1972. Re: Sorry...AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 18:54:57 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <5_QKd.79982$_56.21914@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195611

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95ED7136E9FC61234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote in news:rprrc2-41t.ln1@ursine.ca:
>
>> American Eagle 352 wrote:
>>
>>> A few of you ladies are STILL trying to log onto my board, but
>>> remember...the AKC filters are always on. "Filtered' AKC IP addys:
>>> 172.143.186
>>> 172.151.253
>>> 172.150.57
>>> 172.157.16
>>
>> You do know that filters work best when the target doesn't know what's
>> filtered, right?
>
> They are aol ip addresses, he is also blocking legitimate people who use
> aol..LMAO

So, are you illegitimate?

1973. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 18:56:30 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <y%QKd.79991$_56.17363@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195612

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:dhcnv0h9f7k8qtlq1fjbrn0ef8t35mojgm@2355323778...
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 08:35:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to
>>>> the
>>>> basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and
>>>> supplies.
>>>> The Firestik arrived.
>>>>
>>>> Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>>>> permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax.
>>>> I figured
>>>> I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>>>> distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000
>>>> with a GP
>>>> kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna
>>>> offset that
>>>> loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the
>>>> house.
>>>> Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the
>>>> tree.
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>Vin:
>>>
>>>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>>>
>>>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>>>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>>>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>>>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>>>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of
>>>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>>>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>>>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead
>>>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>>>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.
>>

>>If I have the HR-2510 at 10 Watts DK, I am still putting out about 7.5
>>watts. If
>>I turn up the DK to about 20 watts, I can still transmit about 15.5 watts.
>>I
>>guess that is not bad.
>>
>>I guess the point is I can still get at least the power of a standard CB
>>with an
>>antenna directly overhead.
>>
>>>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun
>>>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>>>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>>>be less than the run of LMR400.
>>
>>
>>>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>>>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.
>>
>>This will be a major problem. I have all my plumbing well within a foot,
>>across
>>the entire length of the house. All where I would bring the ladder line
>>into the
>>house. I cannot move the entry point without some serious digging and
>>entering
>>from the front of the house. There are numerous obstacles like the
>>driveway and
>>sidewalk. I will have to look into the 200 ohm twin lead.
>>
>>>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and
>>>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>>>
>>>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the
>>>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>>>
>>>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>>>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>>>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.
>>
>>
>>
>>>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>>>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>>>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements
>>>over a vertical dipole.
>>
>>
>>In the attic, I am using a 6 foot Firestik. It is the highest I can go up
>>there.
>>It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet. I am using 8 pieces

>>of 9
>>foot wires as a ground plane. Luckily, I have electricians in the family.
>>This
>>should work OK for now?
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
> Your firestick is not an electrical 5/8 wave, the only thing 5.8 wave
> about the firestick is the length of wire the wind on the antenna.

Lancer, does the term "electrical" not indicate the length of wire? He did not say physical.

1974. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 18:58:34 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <u1RKd.80003$_56.29462@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195613

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:h0dnv09thrmmfbmtln9llck6p044ufscfl@2355323778...
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 05:00:04 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 02:56:39 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>That I can do. I got 16" between the joists, and stick the coil
>>>>>directly under
>>>>>the antenna. So if you are looking from an overhead view, the antenna
>>>>>is
>>>>>directly in the middle of the open part of the coil. The GP wires will
>>>>>be over
>>>>>it. Is this correct? It sounds like a plan.
>>>>>
>>>>>Thanks for your help !
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>Yep.. you got it this time. Looking down the antenna, you're looking at
>>>>an "O" (the choke) with the antenna being the center point of the
>>>>circle. Wires physically above the coil.
>>>>
>>>>Good luck.
>>>>
>>>>That's what us HAMs are supposed to be here for.
>>>>
>>>>-SSB
>>>
>>>
>>> The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to
>>> the

>>> basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and
>>> supplies.
>>> The Firestik arrived.
>>>
>>> Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>>> permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax. I
>>> figured
>>> I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>>> distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000
>>> with a GP
>>> kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna
>>> offset that
>>> loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the
>>> house.
>>> Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the
>>> tree.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>Vin:
>>
>>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>>
>>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of
>>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead
>>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.
>>
>>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun
>>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>>be less than the run of LMR400.
>
> Great idea, trash one of baluns and use a MFJ-949E at the transmitter
> end..
>
>>
>>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.
>>
>>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and
>>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>>
>>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the

>>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>
> Isn't that why you put a balun on the antenna end?
>
> Why use 25 feet?
>
>>
>>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.
>>
>>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements
>>over a vertical dipole.
>>
>>HTH
>>
>>-SSB
>
> If he is going to build an inverted V , or dipole there is no reason
> to use a balun at all.. ladder line to the antenna, and tuner at the
> transmit end..

Maybe the output of the radio is a reason? I have yet to see a CB with
balanced output.

1975. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 19:02:11 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <T4RKd.80024$_56.25977@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195614

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:u1RKd.80003$_56.29462@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
> news:h0dnv09thrmmfbmtln9llck6p044ufscfl@2355323778...
>> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>
>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>> On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 05:00:04 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 02:56:39 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>That I can do. I got 16" between the joists, and stick the coil
>>>>>>directly under
>>>>>>the antenna. So if you are looking from an overhead view, the antenna
>>>>>>is
>>>>>>directly in the middle of the open part of the coil. The GP wires will
>>>>>>be over
>>>>>>it. Is this correct? It sounds like a plan.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Thanks for your help !
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>
>>>>>Yep.. you got it this time. Looking down the antenna, you're looking at
>>>>>an "O" (the choke) with the antenna being the center point of the
>>>>>circle. Wires physically above the coil.
>>>>>
>>>>>Good luck.
>>>>>
>>>>>That's what us HAMs are supposed to be here for.
>>>>>
>>>>>-SSB
>>>>
>>>>

>>>>
>>>> The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to
>>>> the
>>>> basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and
>>>> supplies.
>>>> The Firestik arrived.
>>>>
>>>> Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>>>> permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax.
>>>> I figured
>>>> I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>>>> distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000
>>>> with a GP
>>>> kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna
>>>> offset that
>>>> loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the
>>>> house.
>>>> Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the
>>>> tree.
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>Vin:
>>>
>>>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>>>
>>>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>>>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>>>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>>>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>>>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of
>>>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>>>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>>>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead
>>>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>>>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.
>>>
>>>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun
>>>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>>>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>>>be less than the run of LMR400.
>>
>> Great idea, trash one of baluns and use a MFJ-949E at the transmitter
>> end..
>>
>>>
>>>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>>>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.
>>>
>>>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and

>>>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>>>
>>>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the
>>>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>>
>> Isn't that why you put a balun on the antenna end?
>>
>> Why use 25 feet?
>>
>>>
>>>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>>>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>>>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.
>>>
>>>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>>>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>>>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements
>>>over a vertical dipole.
>>>
>>>HTH
>>>
>>>-SSB
>>
>> If he is going to build an inverted V , or dipole there is no reason
>> to use a balun at all.. ladder line to the antenna, and tuner at the
>> transmit end..
>
> Maybe the output of the radio is a reason? I have yet to see a CB with
> balanced output.
>

Although cheap and nasty, the choke method works, without investing in the
cost of a tuner.

1976. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 19:49:38 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <mNRKd.24535$by5.9717@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195615

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>
>
>>>The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to the
>>>basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and supplies.
>>>The Firestik arrived.
>>>
>>>Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>>>permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax. I figured
>>>I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>>>distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000 with a GP
>>>kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna offset that
>>>loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the house.
>>>Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the tree.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>Vin:
>>
>>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>>
>>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of
>>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead
>>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.
>
>
> If I have the HR-2510 at 10 Watts DK, I am still putting out about 7.5 watts. If
> I turn up the DK to about 20 watts, I can still transmit about 15.5 watts. I
> guess that is not bad.
>
> I guess the point is I can still get at least the power of a standard CB with an
> antenna directly overhead.
>
>
>>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun

>>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>>be less than the run of LMR400.
>
>
>
>>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.
>
>
> This will be a major problem. I have all my plumbing well within a foot, across
> the entire length of the house. All where I would bring the ladder line into the
> house. I cannot move the entry point without some serious digging and entering
> from the front of the house. There are numerous obstacles like the driveway and
> sidewalk. I will have to look into the 200 ohm twin lead.
>
>
>>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and
>>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>>
>>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the
>>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>>
>>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.
>
>
>
>
>>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements
>>over a vertical dipole.
>
>
>
> In the attic, I am using a 6 foot Firestik. It is the highest I can go up there.
> It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet. I am using 8 pieces of 9
> foot wires as a ground plane. Luckily, I have electricians in the family. This
> should work OK for now?
>
> Vinnie S.

No, no...

You'd use coax to get out of the house, use the balun to switch to the
ladderline OUTSIDE the house, then switch back to coax thru the other
balun 20'-25' from the antenna.

-SSB

1977. Re: N8WWM At Truckstops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 22:17:37 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <5YTKd.204791$Xk.78629@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

not much happening here so i will do a sauna an a swim
>

1978. Re: RF AMPLIFIER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 22:24:12 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050129172412.13716.00000188@mb-m23.aol.com>
Source record: 195617

what a rip off!!!

Thatz-me-JP

1979. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 22:39:41 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NgUKd.205528$8l.200323@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195618
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb

about your being steve0 buddy
you do give dismal new meaning

let me explain
if he took a ride north
he could not cope with 73 of 86 industires
crying for workers

gold emeralds diamonds oil sands.
gay reference

here is a hint
about 3 to 9 million are needed here in edmmonton in less than ten years
little dea of YOUR potential
the potential of this area is known

crying for techs
time to go take a swim and sauna
so, what is important to you
your BIG nuts
ha ha ha

become a man of the world
when you are ready to sell the farm
i am likely to look to buy the farm

other notices pending

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41f08819$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20050120232903.592$Gi@newsreader.com...
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote:
>>> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days,
>>>
>> There's no call for that. You can disagree with someone without using
>> gay references.
>
> Steveo's right. when you make gay references it makes Steveo feel
> unwelcome here. Just because Steveo is homosexual doesn't mean that he
> doesn't have as much right as you to post here.
>
> Plus you bring up memories of Steveo's father leaving the home when Steveo

> was very young for another man.We don't need to remind him of this over
> and over again.
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1980. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 22:47:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <7j4ov05tajfnboboau4llgk02qv89c6nqo@4ax.com>
Source record: 195619

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 19:49:38 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

That can work !

Vinnie S.

1981. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 22:49:31 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107038971.243713.243780@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195621

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One." (1John 2:1)

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1982. Re: Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 22:49:50 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <iqUKd.205704$8l.114238@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195620
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen

wha?
cb buddies are nazi?

then try cum up to canada
here CB is fun

NAZI is not good people
dump them
hate every second idea, is bad

well dip you in plum sauce and SUCK off the good parts

NO buddy ever calls me less

g day

dug
"Steveo's whore mother" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1106564246.304000.87510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> The game is up you dirty homo.....you will be exposed to the world
> for the faggot you are!
>
> You and all of your fag cb buddies will be exposed!
> There is no room for homo's in the radio hobby!!
>

1983. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 22:52:30 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <fl4ov05t8e5185hd75jaj5va9t6ukhpv89@4ax.com>
Source record: 195622

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 18:56:30 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>
>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>news:dhcnv0h9f7k8qtlq1fjbrn0ef8t35mojgm@2355323778...
>> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 08:35:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to
>>>>>>> the
>>>>>>> basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and
>>>>>>> supplies.
>>>>>>> The Firestik arrived.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>>>>>>> permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax.
>>>>>>> I figured
>>>>>>> I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>>>>>>> distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000
>>>>>>> with a GP
>>>>>>> kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna
>>>>>>> offset that
>>>>>>> loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the
>>>>>>> house.
>>>>>>> Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the
>>>>>>> tree.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>Vin:
>>>>
>>>>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>>>>
>>>>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>>>>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>>>>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>>>>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>>>>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of
>>>>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>>>>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>>>>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead

>>>>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>>>>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.
>>>
>>>If I have the HR-2510 at 10 Watts DK, I am still putting out about 7.5
>>>watts. If
>>>I turn up the DK to about 20 watts, I can still transmit about 15.5 watts.
>>>I
>>>guess that is not bad.
>>>
>>>I guess the point is I can still get at least the power of a standard CB
>>>with an
>>>antenna directly overhead.
>>>
>>>>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun
>>>>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>>>>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>>>>be less than the run of LMR400.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>>>>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.
>>>
>>>This will be a major problem. I have all my plumbing well within a foot,
>>>across
>>>the entire length of the house. All where I would bring the ladder line
>>>into the
>>>house. I cannot move the entry point without some serious digging and
>>>entering
>>>from the front of the house. There are numerous obstacles like the
>>>driveway and
>>>sidewalk. I will have to look into the 200 ohm twin lead.
>>>
>>>>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and
>>>>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>>>>
>>>>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the
>>>>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>>>>
>>>>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>>>>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>>>>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>>>>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>>>>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements
>>>>over a vertical dipole.
>>>
>>>

>>>In the attic, I am using a 6 foot Firestik. It is the highest I can go up
>>>there.
>>>It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet. I am using 8 pieces
>>>of 9
>>>foot wires as a ground plane. Luckily, I have electricians in the family.
>>>This
>>>should work OK for now?
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>> Your firestick is not an electrical 5/8 wave, the only thing 5.8 wave
>> about the firestick is the length of wire the wind on the antenna.
>
>Lancer, does the term "electrical" not indicate the length of wire? He did
>not say physical.
>

Here is my quote:

"It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet."

Here is the Firestik website.

http://www.firestik.com/CatalogFrame.htm

3. Some "experts" may "claim" 5/8 wave mobile antennas are not possible because they would need to be 23 feet high. They are wrong! Physical length and ground wave performance are not the same. If you ever hear someone make that claim, ask them how a handheld CB can have a 1/4 wave antenna 8 inches long and mobile 1/4 wave antennas can be anywhere from 12-60 inches long in spite of the fact that a physical 1/4 wave is 108 inches.

Vinnie S.

1984. Re: this sums up my life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 23:09:37 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <RIUKd.205933$8l.147632@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

is okay
can i get you outta the queen size bed to sleep on the liove seat.
proably not

thaT pole up your ass, just before noon, is amere reminder
i came home for lunch.

as for a living in the night
then live with the drugs, narcotics and stupids

actualy just live on the streets

enjoy
work is mor ning people

oh RADIO has no useless idiots
i thought they all were.

max off, or fuck off

DO NOT REPLY TO GAY PAGHES EVER

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41f8a506@news.usenetzone.com...
> - i tried to consume just like a super faggot
> i got some dude
> how can y'all bring a muthafucka something so good he couldn't say no
> you nailed me hard
> i love 'em when they don't give a motherfucking shit
> i could've been someone instead of falling flat upon my ass
>
> dig me now and fuck me later
> and sing it to the tune of faggot faggot faggot
> oh - dig me now and fuck me later
> and sing it with the...
>
> i been denied all the best ultra sex
> i been denied all the best ultra sex
>
> i - i could've been a star
> it freaks me out when i sound just like my mom
> i could've rocked the spot
> instead of being just another faggot like i am
> i played that shit straight
> blowin' suckas on the side hopin' i get laid
> now everybody knows

> no way in hell i can ever live it down
>
> dig me now and fuck me later
> and sing it to the tune of faggot faggot faggot
> ow - dig me now and fuck me later
> and sing it with the...
>
> i could've been someone instead of falling flat upon my ass
>
> i been denied all the best ultra sex
> i been denied all the best ultra sex
> molest
>
> faggot faggot faggot faggot
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> follow
>
>
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1985. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-29 23:44:35 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <DdVKd.206272$Xk.189752@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

hey not steve0.
good day to you
i ama NOTHING

I am not here to sell the farm
i am here to buy a farm.

put the fowl mouth on the end of a muffler
maybe a ford product

well this city needs 3 to 9 million people in less than 10 years
73 of 83 trades have already said so.

Th e mass migration will begin.

gold diamonds emeralds, oil , oil sands.
so i will get my place soon enugough

just suck my cock
oops gotta project i gotta do.

tool without a clue
good luck fella
tried to help
won't

dug88
wrote in message news:41f8a059$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "dug88" wants steveo cock!
>
> The reason I've never had my tyres slashed is because I'm not a low-life
> in real life.Nor do I boast and brag about outing peoples full name and
> address
> details on Usenet.
>
> Never mind running to howldog for protection he won't save your ass boy.
>
> 250 quid a pop for tyres, what load of horsehit , what does your
> neighbour
> drive a fucking Bentley ? Not in the area you live sunshine, poor arse
> couriers don't make much bread these days.
>
> More slumpy bullshit. Does it ever stop ?
>

> Now crawl back into your London slum and contemplate your first shave,
> must
> be a horrible thing to be 40+ and still unable to shave.
> But still, your behaviour isn't above that of a 12 year old, so I guess
> you
> don't deserve a certain right of passage.
> Golden rule . . . no balls for c.. well your type anyway.
> No go smash up your room a bit more matey , atta boy.
> Melt down and out my details again, that's a well trained and button
> pushed
> psycho-k00k.
> psst made any more death threats lately Paul Chapman ?
>
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1986. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 01:42:32 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <iceov05h6fo5mg3lurhclksh82ckqekct3@4ax.com>
Source record: 195625

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 17:52:30 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 18:56:30 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>news:dhcnv0h9f7k8qtlq1fjbrn0ef8t35mojgm@2355323778...
>>> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 08:35:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to
>>>>> the
>>>>> basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and
>>>>> supplies.
>>>>> The Firestik arrived.
>>>>>
>>>>> Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>>>>> permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax.
>>>>> I figured
>>>>> I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>>>>> distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000
>>>>> with a GP
>>>>> kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna
>>>>> offset that
>>>>> loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the
>>>>> house.
>>>>> Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the
>>>>> tree.
>>>>>
>>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>>>
>>>>>Vin:
>>>>>
>>>>>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>>>>>
>>>>>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>>>>>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>>>>>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>>>>>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>>>>>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of

>>>>>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>>>>>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>>>>>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead
>>>>>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>>>>>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.
>>>>
>>>>If I have the HR-2510 at 10 Watts DK, I am still putting out about 7.5
>>>>watts. If
>>>>I turn up the DK to about 20 watts, I can still transmit about 15.5 watts.
>>>>I
>>>>guess that is not bad.
>>>>
>>>>I guess the point is I can still get at least the power of a standard CB
>>>>with an
>>>>antenna directly overhead.
>>>>
>>>>>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun
>>>>>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>>>>>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>>>>>be less than the run of LMR400.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>>>>>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.
>>>>
>>>>This will be a major problem. I have all my plumbing well within a foot,
>>>>across
>>>>the entire length of the house. All where I would bring the ladder line
>>>>into the
>>>>house. I cannot move the entry point without some serious digging and
>>>>entering
>>>>from the front of the house. There are numerous obstacles like the
>>>>driveway and
>>>>sidewalk. I will have to look into the 200 ohm twin lead.
>>>>
>>>>>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and
>>>>>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>>>>>
>>>>>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the
>>>>>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>>>>>
>>>>>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>>>>>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>>>>>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>>>>>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>>>>>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements

>>>>>over a vertical dipole.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>In the attic, I am using a 6 foot Firestik. It is the highest I can go up
>>>>there.
>>>>It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet. I am using 8 pieces
>>>>of 9
>>>>foot wires as a ground plane. Luckily, I have electricians in the family.
>>>>This
>>>>should work OK for now?
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>> Your firestick is not an electrical 5/8 wave, the only thing 5.8 wave
>>> about the firestick is the length of wire the wind on the antenna.
>>
>>Lancer, does the term "electrical" not indicate the length of wire? He did
>>not say physical.
>>
>
>
>Here is my quote:
>
>"It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet."
>
>Here is the Firestik website.
>
>http://www.firestik.com/CatalogFrame.htm
>
>3. Some "experts" may "claim" 5/8 wave mobile antennas are not possible because
>they would need to be 23 feet high. They are wrong! Physical length and ground
>wave performance are not the same. If you ever hear someone make that claim, ask
>them how a handheld CB can have a 1/4 wave antenna 8 inches long and mobile 1/4
>wave antennas can be anywhere from 12-60 inches long in spite of the fact that a
>physical 1/4 wave is 108 inches.
>
>Vinnie S.

LOL! Looks like the same e-mail that firestick sent to me when I told
them they didn't have a 6 foot 5/8 wave antenna.

A 5/8 wave antenna a feedpoint impedance of about
150 - j600 ohms.

Now if you think that will match your CB, hey more power to you.
I have this bridge for sale... you know the rest...

You have a 6 foot antenna that is 1/4 wave electrical length..

They are good antennas, but they are not a 5/8 wave antenna...

1987. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 01:49:09 UTC
From: hamfest fatwalk <familobermon73@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <c2d5$41fc3d41$d1cc4ec6$4021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 195626
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

That's right folks!

Ole Lardass and all of his ham radio
loooser friends are in this new and
amazing music video !!!!!

There is even a SPECIAL GUEST APPERANCE
by Wogie the woozer from West Va and ole KJI
(the "kind jewish indian" ?) Capt Underpants on His
Head himself !!!

It's here--> http://www.rathergood.com/first_drink/

I AM SERIOUS FOLKS ---> YOU HAVE GOT TO SEE THIS !!!

Turn up the volume and prepare to laugh you ass off silly
seein your favorite 75 and 20 Meter microphone clowns
with special backup music provided by the famous 3.865
Spider Monkey R&B Band !

1988. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 02:23:21 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <qtgov0t9e7bfur2gsn3nekcfvmclvc9usc@4ax.com>
Source record: 195627

On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 01:42:32 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>
>>Here is my quote:
>>
>>"It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet."
>>
>>Here is the Firestik website.
>>
>>http://www.firestik.com/CatalogFrame.htm
>>
>>3. Some "experts" may "claim" 5/8 wave mobile antennas are not possible because
>>they would need to be 23 feet high. They are wrong! Physical length and ground
>>wave performance are not the same. If you ever hear someone make that claim, ask
>>them how a handheld CB can have a 1/4 wave antenna 8 inches long and mobile 1/4
>>wave antennas can be anywhere from 12-60 inches long in spite of the fact that a
>>physical 1/4 wave is 108 inches.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>LOL! Looks like the same e-mail that firestick sent to me when I told
>them they didn't have a 6 foot 5/8 wave antenna.

I am not getting into a pissing contest with you. I am not an expert on antennas, hence I ask advice from people like Sideband, Chad, etc. People like you OTOH, seem to only one to do one thing. You like to one up somebody else. You offer no help. If you have a problem here, it's with Firestik, not me. I suggest you take it up with them.

>A 5/8 wave antenna a feedpoint impedance of about
>150 - j600 ohms.
>
>Now if you think that will match your CB, hey more power to you.
>I have this bridge for sale... you know the rest...

SO what you are saying is their antennas do work on 27 MHz?

>You have a 6 foot antenna that is 1/4 wave electrical length..
>
>They are good antennas, but they are not a 5/8 wave antenna...

Um, I don't ever think I said that.

Hey, if you got advice, then give it, and I will appreciate it. If you want to mock me and flaunt your supposed knowledge, then go ahead. I could really give a shit if you are that petty. That is your problem.

Vinnie S.

1989. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 03:46:28 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107056788.571224.147840@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195628

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One." (1John 2:1)

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1990. Re: BIG DAWG RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 05:58:01 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <JH_Kd.211302$Xk.180955@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

you still like to cross newsgroups hey?
best blow job you ever had.
dawg is okay. 3 cats let me stay here.
they know i am not a virgin
right now more interest of the iraq
vote
sleep on the love seat if it suits you.
bets are, you are first to the bed
about rec.radio.cb
seems a dull to drab site

shove the pedal to the medal and enjoy the ride anyway.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41fa09b3$2@news.usenetzone.com...
> SHUT UP STUPID.....GET THE FUCK OUT OF <REC.RADIO.CB> UNLESS YOU ARE
> HERE TO GIVE ME A NICE SLOW BLOW JOB.
> "N6MZA Fan Club" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:pfhJd.14599$wZ2.3388@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> The biggest, bad ass of them all, N6MZA, aka Timmy Sheen. Don't F**K wit
>> da DAWG!
>>
>>
>> http://www.geocities.com/matchbaux/n6mza
>>
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1991. Re: Where's tough Guy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 06:57:29 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <tz%Kd.211969$Xk.134633@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

a normal thing for me is 200 kilometers a day
i used to go to work 200 kilometers away every day about 10 years ago
well, maybe it was a good motel
maybe it was just great sex

i think every buddy knows about that.
BAK TO THE FUTURE
sci fi night on CBC
get u naked
easy
keep you naked
easier

"moparholic" <moparholic@hotmail-nospam.com> wrote in message
news:41f086d1$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> Steveo, why did you drive 300 miles to have gay sex in a motel?
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1992. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 08:05:02 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107072302.055505.207200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195631

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One." (1John 2:1)

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1993. Re: BIG DAWG RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 09:51:17 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <p62Ld.215651$6l.136678@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 195632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

talking u self again
visted that newsgroup
goes beyond boring
so i added a few big city toronto sites
either for amusement
or for enlightenment

I forgot which?

yes yes yes
all my fault

g day

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41fa09b3$2@news.usenetzone.com...
> SHUT UP STUPID.......GET THE FUCK OUT OF <REC.RADIO.CB> UNLESS YOU ARE
> HERE TO GIVE ME A NICE SLOW BLOW JOB.
> "N6MZA Fan Club" <matchbaux@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:pfhJd.14599$wZ2.3388@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>>
>> The biggest, bad ass of them all, N6MZA, aka Timmy Sheen. Don't F**K wit
>> da DAWG!
>>
>>
>> http://www.geocities.com/matchbaux/n6mza
>>
>
>
> --
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

1994. Re: How to get fags off the radio was: Re: Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 10:23:14 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <mA2Ld.214764$Xk.149793@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 195633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen

dot dot dot dash dash dash dot dot dot
so when it is 20 below, i can covince you to lick the telephone pole
always
when you go over 440 3 phase.
i do not understand

SOS

g day

"North" <northmt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4fm9v01i7phfgopj59r4jgva5njcsau37o@4ax.com...
> Go to your favorite record shop a pick up a copy of the "Mentors" CD
> and play the first song on the CD over and over again on the air.
>
> Or get a 667v wired to about 10 deep cycle batterys and play the
> soundtrack to a pork slaughter house at around 600 watts all day long,
> or everytime you hear a fag key-up.

1995. Re: Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 10:29:26 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <aG2Ld.214740$8l.61151@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 195634
Cross-posted to: misc.survivalism,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

no gays in radio land
lets get serious
okay tell me how much you love "that time of the month"
or have you finally found out MENOPAUSE means, just kill me ya stupid
bitch.

"Lady Chatterly" <iamnotn8wwm@catcher.in.the.rye> wrote in message
news:01f6bc0.1c2170d6@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
> In article <1106564246.304000.87510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
> Steveos whore mother <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote:
>>
>>You and all of your fag cb buddies will be exposed!
>>There is no room for homo's in the radio hobby!!
>
> He did not injure me.
>
> --
> Lady Chatterly
>
> "Chatterly is all over the net, shard" -- Screamingwitch□□□□□□
>

1996. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 12:23:25 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107087805.413107.184550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195635

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

Some people believe that belonging to a local church, temple, mosque or synagogue will get them to Heaven.

Others believe that water baptism, obeying the ten commandments or just being a good person will get them to Heaven.

There are many other beliefs as well, but the good news about God's way to Heaven is found in the Holy Bible.

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins. After Jesus was placed in a tomb He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Bible says, "For the wages of sin is death, but the gift of God is eternal life through Christ Jesus our Lord." (Romans 6:23)

This verse in the Bible says, "For ALL HAVE SINNED, and come short of the glory of God." (Romans 3:23)

This verse says, "But God demonstrates his own love for us in this: While we were still sinners, Christ died for us." (Romans 5:8)

In this passage the Bible clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Salvation is not a reward but a gift. The Bible says it this way, "For it is by GRACE you have been SAVED, through FAITH and this not from yourselves, it is the GIFT of God." (Ephesians 2:8)

Nothing in the world is more important than your eternal destiny.

The Bible says, "In Him(Jesus) we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of sins..." (Ephesians 1:7)

If you have not yet made a decision to be saved, please do so now before it is too late. The reason why it is so important to be saved now is because you do not know exactly when you will die. You may die prematurely in a traffic accident, terrorist attack or some other way before you get another chance to be saved.

The Bible tells us that we will spend eternity in Heaven or a place of eternal torment called Hell. It would be terrible to die and go to Hell when all you have to do is accept Jesus Christ as your personal Lord and Saviour.

Some people that have already made Jesus Christ their Lord and Saviour worry about losing their salvation. The Bible teaches Christians that we can never lose our salvation no matter what happens.

The Bible says it this way, "My dear children, I write this to you so that you will not sin. But if anybody does sin, we have one who speaks to the Father in our defense Jesus Christ, the Righteous One." (1John 2:1)

Yes my friend, Jesus Christ is able to save you and keep you saved.

Please tell your family and friends, thanks!

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
4. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
5. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

1997. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 13:52:21 UTC
From: Anonymous <mix@itys.net>
Message-ID: <072b9ddc0042ed66c5e369132f6af1f1@itys.net>
Source record: 195724
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article
<c2d5$41fc3d41$d1cc4ec6$4021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
"hamfest fatwalk" <familobermo...@hotmail.com> whined:
>
> That's right folks! <bullshit flushed>

This dumbfuck fucks up again! You can't get anything right, can
you?

1998. FA: NEW 6146B's, Used 6JS6C's plus much more stuff>Sunday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 15:34:48 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <697Ld.2742$pQ5.1126@fe12.lga>
Source record: 195636

All are right here>
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

1999. What does a Palomar 300 Elite put out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 16:06:24 UTC
From: TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com
Message-ID: <41fd02e1.2619606@127.0.0.1>
Source record: 195637

For those that have one or have used one, what does a Palomar 300 Elite put out in honest RMS watts with a tone? There appears to be two versions of the amplifier . The version I am asking about is the one with two SD1446 transistors, and not the one with two MRF-455 transistors. I'm reluctant to believe anything a Dosey peak meter says.
I have been playing with one on the bench and the output is flakey. One moment the meter says 150 watts on sideband with a tone, and another minute is says about 80 watts with a tone. The broadband output transformer gets VERY hot on mine, and that is going in to a 1000 watt oil filled dummy load with 1.1 SWR. One of the legs on the 1000 pf capacitor soldered to the broadband output transformer did not appeared to be soldered well, so I re-soldered it. The amplifier is now showing about 80 watts consistently, and the output transformer is no longer getting so hot. I'm just wondering whether I fixed it or broke it. The two sd1446 transistors check good when I test them one leg at a time with a diode checker. I am using an average meter to measure the output.

-TD

2000. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 16:26:33 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <v72qv0psqjjfeb8bhfs0i0bcavk9uvrc06@4ax.com>
Source record: 195638

On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 14:19:35 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>>>3. Some "experts" may "claim" 5/8 wave mobile antennas are not possible because
>>>>they would need to be 23 feet high. They are wrong! Physical length and ground
>>>>wave performance are not the same. If you ever hear someone make that claim, ask
>>>>them how a handheld CB can have a 1/4 wave antenna 8 inches long and mobile 1/4
>>>>wave antennas can be anywhere from 12-60 inches long in spite of the fact that a
>>>>physical 1/4 wave is 108 inches.
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>LOL! Looks like the same e-mail that firestick sent to me when I told
>>>them they didn't have a 6 foot 5/8 wave antenna.
>>
>>I am not getting into a pissing contest with you. I am not an expert on
>>antennas, hence I ask advice from people like Sideband, Chad, etc. People like
>>you OTOH, seem to only one to do one thing. You like to one up somebody else.
>>You offer no help. If you have a problem here, it's with Firestik, not me. I
>>suggest you take it up with them.
>
>A little too sensitive Vinne? I would hardly call what I said as
>"starting a pissing contest"

Nope. I am curious as to why you find a "LOL" out of Firestik claiming that
their antennas are 5/8. That is what causes to to LOL? Your family get-togethers
must be a blast.

>>
>>>A 5/8 wave antenna a feedpoint impedance of about
>>>150 - j600 ohms.
>>>
>>>Now if you think that will match your CB, hey more power to you.
>>>I have this bridge for sale... you know the rest...
>>
>>SO what you are saying is their antennas do work on 27 MHz?
>
>Yes, they do work quite well..

So won't it maych my radio?

>>
>>>You have a 6 foot antenna that is 1/4 wave electrical length..
>>>
>>>They are good antennas, but they are not a 5/8 wave antenna...
>>
>>Um, I don't ever think I said that.

>>
>>Hey, if you got advice, then give it, and I will appreciate it. If you want to
>>mock me and flaunt your supposed knowledge, then go ahead. I could really give a
>>shit if you are that petty. That is your problem.
>>
>>Vinnie S.

>Geez, sorry Vinnie, I didn't mean to piss you off.

Don't flatter yourself. You will never piss me off.

>I wasn't mocking
>you.

Nope. You just find LOL humor in a Firestk claim. I think you mock yourself.

>I made a simple statement that a firestick is not an electrical
>5/8. Then instead of asking for an explanation, or why I said that.
>You take it personal, and go off the deep end.

Yeah, you made a simple statement where to LOL at an antenna claim, and then had
a bridge to sell me.

Why don't you just offer advice and be useful in society?

Vinnie S.

2001. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 16:33:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050130113344.291$Mu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195639

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> Why don't you just offer advice and be useful in society?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand luke)

2002. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 16:44:48 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ab8Ld.4585$rB7.2962@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195640

Steveo wrote:

>>
>
> Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
> antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand luke)
the movie had an excellant view of the woman washing the car hehehe

2003. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 17:03:19 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ws8Ld.47869$su2.37652@fe09.lga>
Source record: 195641

Lancer wrote:

> On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 10:40:34 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
>
>>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050130113344.291
>>$Mu@newsreader.com:
>>
>>
>>>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Why don't you just offer advice and be useful in society?
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
>>>antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand luke)
>>
>>Nah thats just Vinnie crying like a little fucking girl.
>>
>>Lance was laughing at the Form letter firestick sent out, to bad Ninnie is
>>such a putz and a ignorant asshole to see what went down.
>
>
> I honestly don't see how you take it any way except me laughing at
> Firesticks claims...
>
> Boring weekend with no football..
after next weekend then its until pitchers and catchers report. no
hockey which is not a great tragedy and i cant watch those thyroid
excited freaks called the nba.

2004. Re: What does a Palomar 300 Elite put out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 17:24:51 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <p26qv05p88kdt8v0lbme890b55mb9ida3s@4ax.com>
Source record: 195642

On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 16:06:24 GMT, TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra Dactyl) wrote:

>
> For those that have one or have used one, what does a Palomar 300
>Elite put out in honest RMS watts with a tone? There appears to be
>two versions of the amplifier . The version I am asking about is the
>one with two SD1446 transistors, and not the one with two MRF-455
>transistors. I'm reluctant to believe anything a Dosey peak meter
>says.
> I have been playing with one on the bench and the output is flakey.
>One moment the meter says 150 watts on sideband with a tone, and
>another minute is says about 80 watts with a tone. The broadband
>output transformer gets VERY hot on mine, and that is going in to a
>1000 watt oil filled dummy load with 1.1 SWR. One of the legs on the
>1000 pf capacitor soldered to the broadband output transformer did not
>appeared to be soldered well, so I re-soldered it. The amplifier is
>now showing about 80 watts consistently, and the output transformer is
>no longer getting so hot. I'm just wondering whether I fixed it or
>broke it. The two sd1446 transistors check good when I test them one
>leg at a time with a diode checker. I am using an average meter to
>measure the output.
>
>-TD
>
>
http://us.st.com/stonline/books/pdf/docs/2805.pdf

2005. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 17:46:18 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <e59Ld.3$0k.0@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195643

Lancer wrote:

> On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 12:03:19 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>Lancer wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 10:40:34 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050130113344.291
>>>>$Mu@newsreader.com:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Why don't you just offer advice and be useful in society?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
>>>>>antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand luke)
>>>>
>>>>Nah thats just Vinnie crying like a little fucking girl.
>>>>
>>>>Lance was laughing at the Form letter firestick sent out, to bad Ninnie is
>>>>such a putz and a ignorant asshole to see what went down.
>>>
>>>
>>>I honestly don't see how you take it any way except me laughing at
>>>Firesticks claims...
>>>
>>>Boring weekend with no football..
>>
>>after next weekend then its until pitchers and catchers report. no
>>hockey which is not a great tragedy and i cant watch those thyroid
>>excited freaks called the nba.

>
>
> Hockey games are fun to watch in person. Can't stand it on TV.
>
> I was think about buying tickets to the Dallas Desperados, arena
> football. Plus their cheerleaders are better looking than the
> Cowsboys cheerleaders. Something about a woman wearing leather
> chaps..
granted hockey in person is great. last time out was free tix from a
local supermarket owner who came up to me and handed over a pair for
center ice 6th row for an islander playoff game.

2006. Re: What does a Palomar 300 Elite put out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 17:50:45 UTC
From: "lol..." <nil@nil.org>
Message-ID: <jh7qv0her8muol02gih35oej86qccn0o9r@4ax.com>
Source record: 195644

I was gonna say, Power!

or like they say in the south.... Pawr!

lol...

-ME

On Sun, 30 Jan 2005 16:06:24 GMT, TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra
Dactyl) wrote:

2007. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 18:00:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050130130030.731$hk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195645

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> >>
> > Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
> > antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand
> > luke)
>
> the movie had an excellant view of the woman washing the car hehehe
>
Sweet Lucille.

2008. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 18:02:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050130130209.080$hl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195646

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 30 Jan 2005 16:33:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> Why don't you just offer advice and be useful in society?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
> >antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand
> >luke)
>
> Thats correct Steve...
>
> Hey we are supposed to get snow this week... is yours all gone?
>
We still have quite a bit on the ground, it hasn't gone above freezing
in a while up here.

2009. Re: Sorry...AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 18:34:37 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <ctj9cv0jgl@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 195650

On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 04:40:10 GMT American Eagle 352 wrote:

> A few of you ladies are STILL trying to log onto my board, but
> remember...the AKC filters are always on. "Filtered' AKC IP addys:
> 172.143.186
> 172.151.253
> 172.150.57
> 172.157.16

Unless it's a fixed, dedicated IP, that don't mean jack shit.
What if my cable company reassigns my IP?
Maybe I'm on dial up. In which case, the IP changes with each connection.
If you used 172.*.*.* then you'd block anyone from the entire IP range.
So bye bye aol users.
The IP block is meant to be used as a filter for domains, or robots.

2010. Re: What does a Palomar 300 Elite put out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 18:38:16 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1107110296.055613.187920@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195647

That amp will put out around 250PEP. The output transformer was getting
hot because the output matching was poor. The cap you resoldered is
critical in the output matching network.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

Terra Dactyl wrote:
> For those that have one or have used one, what does a Palomar 300
> Elite put out in honest RMS watts with a tone? There appears to be
> two versions of the amplifier . The version I am asking about is the
> one with two SD1446 transistors, and not the one with two MRF-455
> transistors. I'm reluctant to believe anything a Dosey peak meter
> says.
> I have been playing with one on the bench and the output is flakey.
> One moment the meter says 150 watts on sideband with a tone, and
> another minute is says about 80 watts with a tone. The broadband
> output transformer gets VERY hot on mine, and that is going in to a
> 1000 watt oil filled dummy load with 1.1 SWR. One of the legs on the
> 1000 pf capacitor soldered to the broadband output transformer did
not
> appeared to be soldered well, so I re-soldered it. The amplifier is
> now showing about 80 watts consistently, and the output transformer
is
> no longer getting so hot. I'm just wondering whether I fixed it or
> broke it. The two sd1446 transistors check good when I test them one
> leg at a time with a diode checker. I am using an average meter to
> measure the output.
>
> -TD

2011. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 18:45:40 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107110740.214676.194940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195648

.

2012. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 18:51:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050130135140.472$dT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195649

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050130130209.080$hl@newsreader.com:
>
> >> Hey we are supposed to get snow this week... is yours all gone?
> >>
> > We still have quite a bit on the ground, it hasn't gone above freezing
> > in a while up here.
>
> Same here this snow will be with us for a while, we got 3" +/- overnight
>
We got about an inch..didja plow?

2013. Re: Read This And Remember It.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 19:12:25 UTC
From: n1sob <n1fm@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1107112345.641881.314600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195651

Hey dracos... does the Va fone net know that you are a cber. If you don't like my call, then kiss my ass. If you don't like what you hear, spin the VFO.

o btw, How is the weather in Virginia you piece of shit.

Dracos wrote:
> Why is it that when one station gets to telling something, everyone else
> just
> keys up and runs their mouth?
> I ain't just talking 'bout channel 22 and 19 but some of these others that
> can hear
> each other... but their radios don't.
> And they have these Stupid Key-Downs ---- For what?
> What is the point?
> Why do you want to put x number of alternators on your vehicle only to get
> defeated in a Key-Down by another CB'er in front of all your friends and
> neighbors that you've gotten to know?
>
> Why just have a good ol' Jamboree -- the way IT is meant for! Not to Fight
> and /or to Key Down, or have sex with the other CB'ers "better-halves".
>
> But, to get together and Have FUN!
> Talk to one another without pre-judgementation based on ones issues with the
> other person.
> Help those who just want to have a good sounding station -- not all this
> echo/ "noise toys" and Linears ("Lean-years" as referred to by Cb'ers)
>
>
> Here are a few things that I would like to see happen to CB :
> *** A very polite disclaimer ***
> These are just my sights based on my 25 years of being around radios.
> I won't flame ya if ya don't have the same views. I'll just nod my head in
> acceptance of your input :)
>

> - FM mode added to CB radios
> - Scan mode
> - At least 5 memories
> - A mic cord that won't stiffen/ coil up in cold weather.
> - Digital Modulation
> - Scramble / Encryption
> - PL / DCS sub-audible tones
> - Tamper-proof chassis
> - Modular connectors for mics/radios (i.e. the house telephone
type
> connectors - no soldering required)
>
> - Interoperability with MURS VHF and FRS (UHF) radios for added
users.
>
> - Digital Signal Processing on the receive.
>
> - FCC issued CALLSIGNS
>
> > sounds like ham and land-mobile type radios, huh ;)

2014. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 19:23:50 UTC
From: George Orwell <nobody@mixmaster.it>
Message-ID: <072b9ddc0042ed66c5e369132f6af1f1@mixmaster.it>
Source record: 195652
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article
<c2d5$41fc3d41$d1cc4ec6$4021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
"hamfest fatwalk" <familobermo...@hotmail.com> whined:
>
> That's right folks! <bullshit flushed>

This dumbfuck fucks up again! You can't get anything right, can
you?

2015. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 20:36:18 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107117378.175992.35740@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195653

.

2016. GREAT band opening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 23:00:02 UTC
From: American Eagle 352 <ae352@iwon.com>
Message-ID: <SFdLd.9888$8H2.9542@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 195654

I worked many California, Oregon, and Washington stations from here in the bunker. Even worked the Philapeans on 27.385 LSB to boot. Not too bad for an Antron!

A.E. 352
27.385 LSB

2017. · · · Have You Heard The Good News? · · ·

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-30 23:57:42 UTC
From: Google_Usenet_USA@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107129462.937597.204330@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195655

.

2018. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 00:18:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <i9uqv0ha3ojrt50cn5mkjb7f2ridf81o4n@4ax.com>
Source record: 195656

On 30 Jan 2005 16:33:44 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Why don't you just offer advice and be useful in society?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
>antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand luke)

Sounds good to me. No hard feelings.

Vinnie S.

2019. Re: GREAT band opening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 00:50:30 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ZifLd.43$qG4.4@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195657

American Eagle 352 wrote:
> I worked many California, Oregon, and Washington stations from here in the
> bunker. Even worked the Philapeans on 27.385 LSB to boot. Not too bad for an
> Antron!
>
> A.E. 352
> 27.385 LSB
>
>
what radio?

2020. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 01:46:59 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <n6gLd.4601$wA5.4055@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195658

```
Lancer wrote:
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 17:52:30 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 18:56:30 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>news:dhcnv0h9f7k8qtlq1fjbrn0ef8t35mojgm@2355323778...
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 08:35:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to
>>>>>>the
>>>>>>basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and
>>>>>>supplies.
>>>>>>The Firestik arrived.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>>>>>>permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax.
>>>>>>I figured
>>>>>>I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>>>>>>distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000
>>>>>>with a GP
>>>>>>kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna
>>>>>>offset that
>>>>>>loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the
>>>>>>house.
>>>>>>Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the
>>>>>>tree.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Vin:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>>>>>>
```

>>>>>>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>>>>>>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>>>>>>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>>>>>>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>>>>>>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of
>>>>>>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>>>>>>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>>>>>>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead
>>>>>>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>>>>>>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.
>>>>>
>>>>>If I have the HR-2510 at 10 Watts DK, I am still putting out about 7.5
>>>>>watts. If
>>>>>I turn up the DK to about 20 watts, I can still transmit about 15.5 watts.
>>>>>I
>>>>>guess that is not bad.
>>>>>
>>>>>I guess the point is I can still get at least the power of a standard CB
>>>>>with an
>>>>>antenna directly overhead.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun
>>>>>>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>>>>>>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>>>>>>be less than the run of LMR400.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>>>>>>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.
>>>>>
>>>>>This will be a major problem. I have all my plumbing well within a foot,
>>>>>across
>>>>>the entire length of the house. All where I would bring the ladder line
>>>>>into the
>>>>>house. I cannot move the entry point without some serious digging and
>>>>>entering
>>>>
>>>>>from the front of the house. There are numerous obstacles like the
>>>>
>>>>>driveway and
>>>>>sidewalk. I will have to look into the 200 ohm twin lead.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and
>>>>>>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the
>>>>>>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>>>>>>

>>>>>>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>>>>>>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>>>>>>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>>>>>>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>>>>>>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements
>>>>>>over a vertical dipole.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>In the attic, I am using a 6 foot Firestik. It is the highest I can go up
>>>>>there.
>>>>>It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet. I am using 8 pieces
>>>>>of 9
>>>>>foot wires as a ground plane. Luckily, I have electricians in the family.
>>>>>This
>>>>>should work OK for now?
>>>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>Your firestick is not an electrical 5/8 wave, the only thing 5.8 wave
>>>>about the firestick is the length of wire the wind on the antenna.
>>>
>>>Lancer, does the term "electrical" not indicate the length of wire? He did
>>>not say physical.
>>>
>>
>>
>>Here is my quote:
>>
>>"It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet."
>>
>>Here is the Firestik website.
>>
>>http://www.firestik.com/CatalogFrame.htm
>>
>>3. Some "experts" may "claim" 5/8 wave mobile antennas are not possible because
>>they would need to be 23 feet high. They are wrong! Physical length and ground
>>wave performance are not the same. If you ever hear someone make that claim, ask
>>them how a handheld CB can have a 1/4 wave antenna 8 inches long and mobile 1/4
>>wave antennas can be anywhere from 12-60 inches long in spite of the fact that a
>>physical 1/4 wave is 108 inches.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
> LOL! Looks like the same e-mail that firestick sent to me when I told
> them they didn't have a 6 foot 5/8 wave antenna.

>
> A 5/8 wave antenna a feedpoint impedance of about
> 150 - j600 ohms.
>
> Now if you think that will match your CB, hey more power to you.
> I have this bridge for sale... you know the rest...
>
> You have a 6 foot antenna that is 1/4 wave electrical length..
>
> They are good antennas, but they are not a 5/8 wave antenna...

That depends on where you place the loading coils, how many turns of X gage wire at Y pitch in Z linear space on a form of W diameter.

If there's 22.556 feet of wire on the 6 foot stick, then it's 5/8 wave, electrically, on 11M. How it's matched to the 50 ohm coax (not the radio) depends on where the turns are, etc, as stated above.

Oh, and a 1/4 wave antenna doesn't match to 50 ohms either... It's closer to 32 ohms (therefore the 1.5:1 match on a 1/4 whip).

FYI

-SSB

2021. FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, **NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX.... relisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 02:07:10 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <41FD92CE.6030003@optonline.net>
Source record: 195659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Take a look if you like.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ssPageName=ADME:B:LCB:US:1&Item=5748397325

73

2022. FA: YAESU FT-627RA, 6 METER MOBILE, IN WORKING ORDER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 02:10:04 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <AsgLd.2901$Z22.43@fe12.lga>
Source record: 195660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

Take a look

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ssPageName=ADME:B:LCB:US:1&Item=5746714942

Thanks to all who looked!
73

2023. WANTED: Worthmore KW Switched Filter Section

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 02:26:47 UTC
From: doc <kd4e@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1107138407.671342.150920@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195661

I need the Switched Filter Section from a Worthmore KW amp.

If anyone has one that has been removed, preferably undamaged, I am
in need of the set of filters (mounted on the inside of the cover)
for a project.

Thanks! doc kd4e@arrl.net

2024. easy money?! please read!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 07:15:46 UTC
From: ta02racer@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107155746.659196.140880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195662

ARE YOU IN NEED OF CASH? DON'T GO AND STEAL IT. TRY THIS. THIS REALLY CAN MAKE YOU EASY MONEY!! IT WORKS!!! BUT YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW THE LETTER FOR IT TO WORK!!!! THIS IS NOT A PYRAMID SCAM!! YOU TOO CAN BE RICH!!!!!

A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroup, just like you are now and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it, so I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal!

Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT? Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $30. By the end second week I had made a total over $425! In the third week I had over $1,500 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $7,450 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works? Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it, as you need it.

STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST", your name and mailing address, and your e-mail address, so I can send you an e-mail with all the newsgroup and newsletter that I posted with your information included. Once I have your name and mailing address, I will post your information to all newsgroup and newsletter all over the world. You have to do your part too of course. You will need to post the information in this letter including your information to at least 200 or more newsgroup or newsletter all over the world. If you do everything correctly, you will be rich in no time. GUARANTEED!!

Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope to prevent

thievery. Next, place one paper in each stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service by this.

THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

1. Ly Nguyen, 6933 Lewiston Way, #7, Sacramento, CA 95828

2. Hue Le, 581 Independence Drive, San Jose, CA 95111

3. Brandon Butler, 25 Clark Park Rd., Hooks, TX 75561

4. John Huynh, 491 Posada Way, #14, Chico, CA 95973

5. Cheran Shelton, 1184 Wilorraine Place, Rialto, CA 92376

6. John Green, 125 Virginia St, Brighton, IL 62012

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc) and add YOUR name as number 6 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups, message board. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make!

DIRECTIONS HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS, MESSAGE BOARD

You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer memory. Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Step 3) save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different sittings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4: Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Just example you log on any search engine like Yahoo.com, google.com, altavista.com, excite.com then you search with this subject? Millionaire message board, money-making message board, employment message board, money-making discussions, money making forum, and business message board? Etc. You will find thousand & thousand message board. Click one by one then you will find the option post a new message.

Step 5: Visit these messages boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste

from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations? THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, and it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!

REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS OR MESSAGE BOARD YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200. That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may can rent a P.O. Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT. **

Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $625.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!

Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625.00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is, do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the Internet and reading these articles everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you afford $6?? See if it really works?? I think so. PLAY FAIRLY AND HONESTLY AND THIS WILL WORK FOR YOU TOO. BEST WISHES TO YOUR FINANCIAL FUTURE.

If you are not serious about this Easy Making Money Strategy, please disregard this message. This Easy Making Money Strategy is primary for those who interested in changing their financial life style.

Remember to INCLUDE your e-mail address in the envelope, so I can e-mail you a confirmation letter with all the newsletter and newsgroup that I posted with your information on it. This is very important!! I'm an honest businessman, and I do what I said.

2025. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 13:31:12 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41fe2c7b.317280703@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 195663

On Mon, 31 Jan 2005 01:46:59 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>Lancer wrote:
>> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 17:52:30 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 18:56:30 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>>>news:dhcnv0h9f7k8qtlq1fjbrn0ef8t35mojgm@2355323778...
>>>>
>>>>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 08:35:18 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 03:23:53 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The PVC condiut is up. I ran a conduit so I can run the coax right to
>>>>>>>the
>>>>>>>basement, from the attic. I will make a run tomorrow to get coax and
>>>>>>>supplies.
>>>>>>>The Firestik arrived.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Also, I roughly measured the distance to the tree where I want to put a
>>>>>>>permanent antenna. The distance is huge, about 275 feet of total coax.
>>>>>>>I figured
>>>>>>>I can use LMR-400DB (direct burial). I estimate about 2 dB loss at that
>>>>>>>distance. How much power loss is that on a HR-2510, using a Imax-2000
>>>>>>>with a GP
>>>>>>>kit, assuming a good SWR? I am not running power. Will that antenna
>>>>>>>offset that
>>>>>>>loss by itself?I really don't have a choice. It's not going on the
>>>>>>>house.
>>>>>>>Besides, the damn house is too low anyway. I can get way higher on the
>>>>>>>tree.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Vin:
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>Your loss will actually fall somewhere between 1.89 and 2dB.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>2 db equates to roughly 23% power loss, assuming 1:1 SWR at the antenna.
>>>>>>>Since 1:1 isn't easily attained (you can't get it with a resonant dipole
>>>>>>>or a 1/4 wave whip without some sort of feed point matching, and then
>>>>>>>you lose some radiation efficiency), you can assume you're going to get
>>>>>>>some additional feed line (coax) losses (heating, mostly) because of
>>>>>>>the mismatch at the antenna. For that run I would highly suggest
>>>>>>>staying away from coax and see if you can find some 200 ohm twinlead.
>>>>>>>Output coax from the radio to the outside to a 4:1 balun to the twinlead
>>>>>>>to a 4:1 balun (in reverse), to about 20 feet of coax to the antenna.
>>>>>>>Losses will be MUCH lower than with coax.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>If I have the HR-2510 at 10 Watts DK, I am still putting out about 7.5
>>>>>>watts. If
>>>>>>I turn up the DK to about 20 watts, I can still transmit about 15.5 watts.
>>>>>>I
>>>>>>guess that is not bad.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I guess the point is I can still get at least the power of a standard CB
>>>>>>with an
>>>>>>antenna directly overhead.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The other option would be 450 ohm twinlead (ladderline) and a 9:1 balun
>>>>>>>at both ends. Ladderline is running about $0.19 +/- a foot, depending on
>>>>>>>where you're getting it. The cost for the baluns and the ladderline WILL
>>>>>>>be less than the run of LMR400.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The caveat here will be that you will need to keep the ladderline well
>>>>>>>away from ALL metal.. within about a foot of the ladderline.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>This will be a major problem. I have all my plumbing well within a foot,
>>>>>>across
>>>>>>the entire length of the house. All where I would bring the ladder line
>>>>>>into the
>>>>>>house. I cannot move the entry point without some serious digging and
>>>>>>entering
>>>>>
>>>>>>from the front of the house. There are numerous obstacles like the
>>>>>
>>>>>>driveway and
>>>>>>sidewalk. I will have to look into the 200 ohm twin lead.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>For that long of a run, I would personally run a pair of 9:1 baluns and
>>>>>>>the 450 ohm ladderline. The cost and the losses will be lowest there.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The reason for the 25' of coax at the antenna end is to keep the

>>>>>>>ladderline from being unbalanced by the antenna.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Estimated losses for the 9:1's and 450 ohm... .6 db (and that's at the
>>>>>>>highest), depending on the quality of the baluns. Less than 5% power
>>>>>>>loss, and not quite so much effect due to poor SWR at the end.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Your dipole should see about a 1.3:1 - 1.5:1 SWR across the CB band. If
>>>>>>>it's possible to make a "V" antenna in the branches (inverted or not)
>>>>>>>the SWR could be lower, and you could definitely see some improvements
>>>>>>>over a vertical dipole.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>In the attic, I am using a 6 foot Firestik. It is the highest I can go up
>>>>>>there.
>>>>>>It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet. I am using 8 pieces
>>>>>>of 9
>>>>>>foot wires as a ground plane. Luckily, I have electricians in the family.
>>>>>>This
>>>>>>should work OK for now?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>>
>>>>>Your firestick is not an electrical 5/8 wave, the only thing 5.8 wave
>>>>>about the firestick is the length of wire the wind on the antenna.
>>>>
>>>>Lancer, does the term "electrical" not indicate the length of wire? He did
>>>>not say physical.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Here is my quote:
>>>
>>>"It is an electrical 5/8 wave, though physical 6 feet."
>>>
>>>Here is the Firestik website.
>>>
>>>http://www.firestik.com/CatalogFrame.htm
>>>
>>>3. Some "experts" may "claim" 5/8 wave mobile antennas are not possible because
>>>they would need to be 23 feet high. They are wrong! Physical length and ground
>>>wave performance are not the same. If you ever hear someone make that claim, ask
>>>them how a handheld CB can have a 1/4 wave antenna 8 inches long and mobile 1/4
>>>wave antennas can be anywhere from 12-60 inches long in spite of the fact that a
>>>physical 1/4 wave is 108 inches.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>

>> LOL! Looks like the same e-mail that firestick sent to me when I told
>> them they didn't have a 6 foot 5/8 wave antenna.
>>
>> A 5/8 wave antenna a feedpoint impedance of about
>> 150 - j600 ohms.
>>
>> Now if you think that will match your CB, hey more power to you.
>> I have this bridge for sale... you know the rest...
>>
>> You have a 6 foot antenna that is 1/4 wave electrical length..
>>
>> They are good antennas, but they are not a 5/8 wave antenna...
>
>
>That depends on where you place the loading coils, how many turns of X
>gage wire at Y pitch in Z linear space on a form of W diameter.
>
>If there's 22.556 feet of wire on the 6 foot stick, then it's 5/8 wave,
>electrically, on 11M. How it's matched to the 50 ohm coax (not the
>radio) depends on where the turns are, etc, as stated above.

No, its not an electrical 5/8 wave. A 5 foot antenna has about 8 ohms
-322j. Add a series inductor (firesticks 5/8 wave of wire) of 2 ohms
+322j. You now have a 10 ohm j0. You don't change the electrical
length, just cancel the reactance.

There little trick of adding more wire so that they may claim to have
a 5/8 wave antenna actually make the antenna less efficient.
They end up using more wire than a convential coil would use.
It does NOT make the element longer.
>
>Oh, and a 1/4 wave antenna doesn't match to 50 ohms either... It's
>closer to 32 ohms (therefore the 1.5:1 match on a 1/4 whip).

What do you call a decent match? 1.5 to 1 isn't?
A true 5/8 wave antenna will have an SWR of about 32 to 1.

FYI
>
>-SSB

2026. Re: GREAT band opening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 15:33:15 UTC
From: WA3MOJ@aol.com
Message-ID: <41fe50d3$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195666

I had my great opening filled by a black guy with a huge cock over the
weekend it was so HOT!
>
<keyclownz_R_ignoramuses@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fKhLd.16474$US.6362@news.ono.com...
>> American Eagle 352 wrote:
>> > I worked many California, Oregon, and Washington stations from here in
>> > the
>> > bunker. Even worked the Philapeans on 27.385 LSB to boot. Not too bad
>> > for an
>> > Antron!
>> >
>> > A.E. 352
>> > 27.385 LSB
>> >
>> >
>> what radio?
>
> Yes we all know that band openeings depend on the
> kind of radio you got.
>
> LOL.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2027. Re: new years party

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 15:34:13 UTC
From: WA3MOJ@aol.com
Message-ID: <41fe510a@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I'm singing here on free for all Fridays!

http://www.pgmc.org/

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2028. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 16:54:06 UTC
From: WA3MOJ@aol.com
Message-ID: <41ffaac7@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195702
Cross-posted to: alt.sex.homosexual.alt.sex.stories.gay,rec.radio.cb

I love nigger cock!
>
"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:41f5b29e$1@news.usenetzone.com...
> any kind of cock, nigger cock is the best.
>
>
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2029. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 16:59:11 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41fe63df@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Do you know of any niggers I can give deep throat head to?
>
"hamfest fatwalk" <familobermon73@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:c2d5$41fc3d41$d1cc4ec6$4021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> That's right folks!
>
> Ole Lardass and all of his ham radio
> loooser friends are in this new and
> amazing music video !!!!!
>
> There is even a SPECIAL GUEST APPERANCE
> by Wogie the woozer from West Va and ole KJI
> (the "kind jewish indian" ?) Capt Underpants on His
> Head himself !!!
>
> It's here--> http://www.rathergood.com/first_drink/
>
> I AM SERIOUS FOLKS ---> YOU HAVE GOT TO SEE THIS !!!
>
> Turn up the volume and prepare to laugh you ass off silly
> seein your favorite 75 and 20 Meter microphone clowns
> with special backup music provided by the famous 3.865
> Spider Monkey R&B Band !
>
>

2030. Re: I had a BALL at the bar today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 17:06:02 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41fe657a@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,misc.transport.trucking

I just had sex with my cousin last night. However I did not know it was him until after he had climaxed.

I was at a local bookstore/video shop and had been cruising guys for awhile and had even sucked off a few other guys. I had just finished getting it on with a cute young Hispanic dude in one of the video booths and had walked out to the front of the bookstore when this white guy with a shaved head who looked to be in his late twenties or so walked in.

I wandered into the theatre that was showing a gay video and he came in from the back of the theatre and stood against the wall in the darkened theater. There were other guys sitting in the theater, so I exited and walked into the video booth area. I noticed that he was walking just a short distance behind me. So I went into the back hallway of booths and sat down in on with the door open. A minute later he walks by my booth and looks in and then passed and looked into the booth across the way from me. Then he turned back and looked at me again and I nodded for him to come into the dimly lit booth. He walked into the booth and closed the door behind him. I reached for his crotch and began to caress his package. He unbuttoned the top of his jeans and then I pulled down is zipper, out popped his rising cock. No words were exchanged.

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:_m%Kd.213143$6l.87415@pd7tw2no...
> G DAY lady chatterly
> you think me a post vert
> amazing
> give yourself to the dark side
> butt since you hang around in dark alleys, nothing new to that idea.
>
> I do not pick friends for their their nations
> I pick them, if I like them.
>
> "Lady Chatterly" <twistiehed@catcher.in.the.rye> wrote in message
> news:6cb9b27.c565866e@bellglobal.com...
>> In article <1106559941.131472.147750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
>> twistiehed@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>Hi George.......That sounds like the same bar I caught frankie sucking
>>>steveo's semi hard cock.steveo said his cock only gets semi hard but i
>>>know thats a lie. One day at the trailer I caught him jerking off to a
>>>picture of Doug and his cock was really hard........so steveo is a
>>>fibber-fag!!
>>

>> How strange is that Israel is racist because arabs and orthodox jews I
>> give not often kipple, but to fulfil?
>>
>> --
>> Lady Chatterly
>>
>> "Hahaha, I think I might have drawn Lady Chatterly' here. That's a
>> smart bot if I did. 'Lady Chatterly' is a bot from the UFO groups that
>> has apparently followed my address to these groups. 'Lady Chatterly'
>> is definately a bot, been around for years, keep talking to it and
>> that will become quickly apparent." -- Kavik Kang
>>
> well maybe.
> pic of dawg
> ain't got one
> best i can offer is a dopple ganger, but add 20 years to it
>
> see you later
>
>
>
>

2031. CB radio channel plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 18:10:42 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <3u82d2-bk.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 195669

I'm trying to document all the local commonly used channels on CB radio on my site to make it easier for people from different regions find people to talk or listen to when travelling. The channel plan as I understand it right now is posted at http://ursine.ca/CB and you can make your own changes to the chart by clicking the Edit tab at the top of the page.

Let me know what you think, feel free to make your own improvements to the page.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2032. Replacement Mic Elements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 19:41:16 UTC
From: gmbuus@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23723-41FE89DC-289@storefull-3131.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195670

Hello everyone!
I've started collecting vintage/golden oldie radios, and will need to find a few good [internet] parts stores. Currently, I need a couple 1.5"x.5" dynamic mic elements for old hand-held mics... does anyone know of any good sites?
Thanks, GC

2033. I HATE NIGGERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 22:32:46 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41feb20e@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.african.american,rec.travel.africa

[No readable article body recovered]

2034. Re: GREAT band opening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 22:43:18 UTC
From: George Busch <WA3MOJ@aol.com>
Message-ID: <41feb486@x-privat.org>
Source record: 195672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.usenet.kooks

I took his rising cock into my left hand and brought my hungry lips to the tip of his cock. His cock slid down my hot throat and it quickly rose to its full length. I began by slowly savoring the feel of his 7" cock in my mouth and throat. Oh the joy of feeling that hardening cock in my mouth! I alternated between slowly sucking the tip of his cock to taking it all the way to the root and feeling it hit the back of my throat. His moans and whimpers of pleasure turned me on immensely. I kept sucking his cock and fondling his balls. Then I turned my attention to his suckable nut sac, taking turns with licking and sucking first one ball and then the other into my mouth, then taking both jewels into my mouth and humming on them.
"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95EFB1D7D7A261234567890@216.196.97.136...
> <WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in news:41fe50d3$1@news.usenetzone.com:
>
>> I had my great opening filled by a black guy with a huge cock over the
>> weekend it was so HOT!
>
>
> Too bad there isn't anyone named george busch in this NG, you're
> spinning your wheels, as usual.
>
> --
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> See, I have proved he did those posts and
>> all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
>> anyone that disagree with him.
>>
>> Landshark

2035. THOUSANDS THROUGH THE MAIL!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-01-31 23:52:48 UTC
From: jared.frausto@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1107215568.919092.110640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195673

Want to make some Money? Of Course!!

Basic Facts
A while back, I was browsing these newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of CASH within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00 plus stamps! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must be a scam!" But like most of us I was curious and kept reading. It said that if you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and addresses listed in the article, you could make thousands in a very short period of time. You then place your own name and address at the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article to at least 300 newsgroups. (There are about 32,000 of them out there and that's quite a large market pool). No catch, that was it. Even though the investment was a measly $6, I had three questions that needed to be answered before I could get involved in this sort of thing.

Pay Attention
1. IS THIS REALLY LEGAL?
I called a lawyer first. The lawyer was a little sceptical that I would actually make any CASH but he said it WAS LEGAL if I wanted to try it. I told him it sounded a lot like a chain letter but the details of the system (SEE BELOW) actually made it a legitimate legal business.

2. IS OK WITH THE POST OFFICE OR IS IT MAIL FRAUD?
I called them: 1_800_725_2161 and they confirmed THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! (See 18, h sections1302 NS 1341 of Postal Lottery Laws). This clarifies the program of collecting names and addresses for a mailing list.

3. IS IT RIGHT?
Well, everyone who sends me a buck has a good chance of getting A LOT of CASH ... a much better chance than buying a lottery ticket!!!

So, having these questions answered, I invested EXACTLY $7.92 ... six $1.00 bills and six 32 cent postage stamps ... and boy am I glad I did!!! Within 7 days, I started getting CASH in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon and didn't give it another thought. But the CASH continued coming in. In my first week I made between $20 to $30. By the end of the
second week I had a made a total of $1,000.00. In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it was still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000 and it's still coming in ..... It's certainly worth $6.00 and 6 stamps !!!

Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more CASH than you thought possible by doing something so easy!

Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the CASH come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)

IMPORTANT: This is not a rip off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends.

PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the CASH made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list.

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1_800_725_2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1) Troy Crouse
385 Sunset Drive
Fredericton, NB
E3A 1J2, Canada

#2) J.E. Grey
245 Stone Bridge Ct.
Fredericton, NB
E3A 1J2, Canada

#3) R. Hanson
1214 Junor Ave.
Saskatoon, SK
S7L 7K1, Canada

#4) Leo Dapanas
PO Box 6453
Stockton, CA
95206

#5) Jeff Anderson
4833 N County Rd 11 C
Loveland, CO
80538

#6) Jared Frausto
113 Rheem Blvd.
Orinda, CA
94563

Are You Paying Attention? Good.
STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 300 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All you need is 300, but remember, the more you post, the more CASH you make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need CASH, you can use it again, and again.

PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are a person of integrity, the program will continue and the CASH that so many others have received will come your way.
NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of CASH in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!

___DIRECTIONS _____ FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS

Step 1) You do not need to retype this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this letter, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory.

Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.

Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on_line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)

Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!

REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE CASH YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 300

That's it! You will begin receiving CASH from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.**

Now the WHY part:
Out of 300 postings, say I receive only 7 replies (a very low example). So then I made $7.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 7 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 300 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 7 persons respond to each of the 7 original 7, that is another $49.00 for me, now those 49 each make 300 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 7 replies each, I will bring in an additional $343.00! Now, those 343 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 300 with my name at #3 and only receive 7 replies each, I will make an additional $2401.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 2401 persons post a MINIMUM 300 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 7 replies, that just made me $16,807.00!!! Those 16807 persons will all deliver this message to 300 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 7 persons per 300 Newsgroups react I will receive $117,649.00! With an original investment of only $6.00! And some stamps.

AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday? JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the CASH? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try?

Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet.

Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

** By the way, if you try to deceive people by posting the messages with your name in the list and not sending the CASH to the rest of the people already on the list, you will NOT get as much. Someone I talked to knew someone who did that and he only made about $150.00, and that's after seven or eight weeks! Then he sent the 6 $1.00 bills, people added him to their lists, and in 4_5 weeks he had over $10k. This is the fairest and most honest way I have ever seen to share the wealth of the world without costing anything but our time!!! You also may want to buy mailing and e_mail lists for future CASH.
Make sure you print this article out RIGHT NOW! Also, try to keep a list of everyone that sends you CASH and always keep an eye on the newsgroups to make sure everyone is playing fairly. Remember, HONESTY IS THE BEST POLICY. You don't need to cheat the basic idea to make the CASH!! GOOD LUCK to all and please play fairly and reap the huge rewards from this, which is tons of extra CASH.

Please remember to declare your extra income. Thanks once again...

"People have asked me if this is really legal. Well, it is! You are using the Internet to advertise your business. What is that business? You are creating a mailing list of people who are interested in home based computer and online business and methods of generating income at home. Remember, people send you a small fee to be added to your mailing list. It is legal. What will you do with your list of thousands of names? That's up to you." So, build your mailing list, keep good accounts, declare the income and pay your taxes. By doing this you prove your business intentions. Keep an eye on the newsgroups and when the cash has stopped coming (that means your name is no longer on the list), you just take the latest posting at the newsgroups, send another $6.00 to the names stated on the list, make your corrections (put your name at #6) and start posting again.

NOTES:
*1. In some countries, the export of the country's exchange is illegal. But you can get the license to do this from the post office,

explaining the above statements (that you have an online business, etc. You may have to pay an extra tax, but that's OK, the amount of the incoming CASH is HUGE! And as I said, a few countries have that restriction .
*2. You may want to buy mailing and e_mail lists for future CASH. (Or Database or Spreadsheet software.)
*3. If you're really not sure or still think this can't be for real, please print a copy of this article and give it to someone who really needs the CASH, and see what happens.
*4. You will start getting responses within 1_2 weeks, it depends.

ALSO REMEMBER
SEND YOUR $1 OUT TO EVERYONE ON THE LIST, EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT FROM THE U.S.

2036. Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 00:24:05 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <q5itv09ou1s1p5f4i4ctdna6iqnftq2h89@4ax.com>
Source record: 195674

A bunch of people have been helping me here with this. I got my setup running, but have a high SWR on the CB band. Here are the parameters:

My SWR is 1.5 on channel 1, and 3 on channel 40.

1. I have a a 6 foot Firestik in the attic. I am using 8 pieces of 10 gauge wire, all 102" long, as a ground plane connected to the mounting stud.

2. The mounting stud and ground plane wires are not connected to earth ground. There is no way I can get a ground rod into the frozen ground. Not at least for a few weeks.

3. I am using 50' of LMR-400 coax. No shorts measured.

4. I have a RF choke/balun of about 8 turns, 8 inches round, about 2 inches below the antenna and ground plane wires. I cannot get it any lower.

5. The radio is a HR-2510, and that is not grounded for the same reason as #2.

I did a frequency check to see where this antenna is tuned. It seems to be tuned for 26.500-26.800 MHz. The SWR is a flat 1.2 across that band. It starts to when I go below or above that band.

I wanted to know if there is anything I should do before I cut the wire on the Firestik. Would grounding the ground plane or the radio to a ground rod help?

And suggestions are appeciated.

Vinnie S.

2037. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 00:45:57 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <9jALd.83315$_56.29192@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195675

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:q5itv09ou1s1p5f4i4ctdna6iqnftq2h89@4ax.com...
>A bunch of people have been helping me here with this. I got my setup
>running,
> but have a high SWR on the CB band. Here are the parameters:
>
>
> My SWR is 1.5 on channel 1, and 3 on channel 40.
>
>
> 1. I have a a 6 foot Firestik in the attic. I am using 8 pieces of 10
> gauge
> wire, all 102" long, as a ground plane connected to the mounting stud.
>
> 2. The mounting stud and ground plane wires are not connected to earth
> ground.
> There is no way I can get a ground rod into the frozen ground. Not at
> least for
> a few weeks.
>
> 3. I am using 50' of LMR-400 coax. No shorts measured.
>
> 4. I have a RF choke/balun of about 8 turns, 8 inches round, about 2
> inches
> below the antenna and ground plane wires. I cannot get it any lower.
>
> 5. The radio is a HR-2510, and that is not grounded for the same reason as
> #2.
>
>
> I did a frequency check to see where this antenna is tuned. It seems to be
> tuned
> for 26.500-26.800 MHz. The SWR is a flat 1.2 across that band. It starts
> to
> when I go below or above that band.
>
> I wanted to know if there is anything I should do before I cut the wire on
> the
> Firestik. Would grounding the ground plane or the radio to a ground rod
> help?
>
> And suggestions are appeciated.
>
> Vinnie S.

This may seem overly simplistic or stupid, but try removing the plastic cap on the end of the antenna. It affected my SWR on one I had. I can't remember which way, but I do remember it made a difference.

2038. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 00:58:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8vktv0ts4akdivmok4kh7vv696d2hs4f76@4ax.com>
Source record: 195676

On Tue, 01 Feb 2005 00:45:57 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>>
>> 3. I am using 50' of LMR-400 coax. No shorts measured.
>>
>> 4. I have a RF choke/balun of about 8 turns, 8 inches round, about 2
>> inches
>> below the antenna and ground plane wires. I cannot get it any lower.
>>
>> 5. The radio is a HR-2510, and that is not grounded for the same reason as
>> #2.
>>
>>
>> I did a frequency check to see where this antenna is tuned. It seems to be
>> tuned
>> for 26.500-26.800 MHz. The SWR is a flat 1.2 across that band. It starts
>> to
>> when I go below or above that band.
>>
>> I wanted to know if there is anything I should do before I cut the wire on
>> the
>> Firestik. Would grounding the ground plane or the radio to a ground rod
>> help?
>>
>> And suggestions are appeciated.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>This may seem overly simplistic or stupid, but try removing the plastic cap
>on the end of the antenna. It affected my SWR on one I had. I can't remember
>which way, but I do remember it made a difference.

Correct. That is what is on the Firestik website too. But it was so out of wack
on 27 MHz, I was wonder is I did something wrong. I wanted to see what people
had to say before I cut it.

Vinnie S.

2039. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 01:20:39 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <dpltv0pdshkejfs6letn6jjqei2lqvubq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 195677

>I did a frequency check to see where this antenna is tuned. It seems to be tuned
>for 26.500-26.800 MHz. The SWR is a flat 1.2 across that band. It starts to
>when I go below or above that band.

Because of the close proximity of the roof structure inside the attic
you are adding more capacitance to the antenna than what would
normally be there.

In order to bring the antenna back into resonance you'll need to
counteract the increased capacitance by decreasing the inductance.

This is done by shortening the antenna.

I don't believe you'll ever be able to get your match as low as you
could in free air. This is because you'll get more induced antenna
currents whenever the antenna is located inside a house.

2040. Re: Sorry...AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 02:20:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3687dtF4tgk42U1@individual.net>
Source record: 195678

Hello, Richard

Yep, you are right. What a surprise I received one day when my ISP changed my DSL IP address! LOL. Normally, cable and DSL accounts are fixed - but not forever.

As to dial-up accounts, you are also correct in that they change *every* time you connect. Apparently some of the spammers love AOL as AOL isn't particularly responsive to complaints and it is hard to trace back a message - but who wants to pay that kind of money per month for America Off Line?

As to me, I am probably headed back to DSL as they offered me two years of all phone features (free unlimited long distance within the U.S., caller id, call waiting, call forwarding, call blocking, 3 way calling and a big list of other features) as well as 3000k DSL for $64.95 per month. Hmmmmmm .... kinda like that 'fixed' IP for that price and speed ;)

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
news:ctj9cv0jgl@news2.newsguy.com...
> On Sat, 29 Jan 2005 04:40:10 GMT American Eagle 352 wrote:
>
> > A few of you ladies are STILL trying to log onto my board, but
> > remember...the AKC filters are always on. "Filtered' AKC IP addys:
> > 172.143.186
> > 172.151.253
> > 172.150.57
> > 172.157.16
>
> Unless it's a fixed, dedicated IP, that don't mean jack shit.
> What if my cable company reassigns my IP?
> Maybe I'm on dial up. In which case, the IP changes with each connection.
> If you used 172.*.*.* then you'd block anyone from the entire IP range.
> So bye bye aol users.
> The IP block is meant to be used as a filter for domains, or robots.
>
>

2041. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 04:34:33 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dl1uv05qctetpfn8ja7mk57b39ba7plur7@4ax.com>
Source record: 195679

On Mon, 31 Jan 2005 20:20:39 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>
>>I did a frequency check to see where this antenna is tuned. It seems to be tuned
>>for 26.500-26.800 MHz. The SWR is a flat 1.2 across that band. It starts to
>>when I go below or above that band.
>
>Because of the close proximity of the roof structure inside the attic
>you are adding more capacitance to the antenna than what would
>normally be there.
>
>In order to bring the antenna back into resonance you'll need to
>counteract the increased capacitance by decreasing the inductance.
>
>This is done by shortening the antenna.
>
>I don't believe you'll ever be able to get your match as low as you
>could in free air. This is because you'll get more induced antenna
>currents whenever the antenna is located inside a house.
>

I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it down to 1.3 on 40, and that is it.

Vinnie S.

2042. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 04:57:49 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <h%DLd.94493$Ta2.80586@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195680

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:dl1uv05qctetpfn8ja7mk57b39ba7plur7@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Jan 2005 20:20:39 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
>>
>>>I did a frequency check to see where this antenna is tuned. It seems to
>>>be tuned
>>>for 26.500-26.800 MHz. The SWR is a flat 1.2 across that band. It starts
>>>to
>>>when I go below or above that band.
>>
>>Because of the close proximity of the roof structure inside the attic
>>you are adding more capacitance to the antenna than what would
>>normally be there.
>>
>>In order to bring the antenna back into resonance you'll need to
>>counteract the increased capacitance by decreasing the inductance.
>>
>>This is done by shortening the antenna.
>>
>>I don't believe you'll ever be able to get your match as low as you
>>could in free air. This is because you'll get more induced antenna
>>currents whenever the antenna is located inside a house.
>>
>
>
> I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it down to
> 1.3 on
> 40, and that is it.
>
> Vinnie S.

How did you do it? And BTW, that's a wonderful match.

2043. Research for Uni

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 11:00:42 UTC
From: milly120@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107255642.052080.132530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195681

I'm currently doing my third year dissertation comparing
online community and I wondered if people could help
me by answering this small survey i've set up.

http://www.solarismach5.myby.co.uk/Survey/
It would really help my final project alot!

Thanks

John

2044. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 11:56:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201065627.167$4H@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195682

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it down to
> 1.3 on 40, and that is it.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Cool. Made any contacts yet?

2045. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 13:24:05 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <10vv0nn54avogd7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 195683

Hello Vinnie:

If that antenna doesn't work, maybe a out side stealth small gauge wire
vertical dipole will work great, if you have some tree's around.

Jay in the Mojave

Kreedentials:
Rock & Roll Fan, C&W too, have bitchen outside speakers
Owner 1977 Ford F250 4x4 Truck, 460 Hi-Po Engine set ya back in the
seat, no smog shit
Member Mojave Desert Radio Association, 9dollrs
I use my Liberal Arts Collage Catalog as a back stop on my drill press

Vinnie S. wrote:

> A bunch of people have been helping me here with this. I got my setup running,
> but have a high SWR on the CB band. Here are the parameters:
>
>
> My SWR is 1.5 on channel 1, and 3 on channel 40.
>
>
> 1. I have a a 6 foot Firestik in the attic. I am using 8 pieces of 10 gauge
> wire, all 102" long, as a ground plane connected to the mounting stud.
>
> 2. The mounting stud and ground plane wires are not connected to earth ground.
> There is no way I can get a ground rod into the frozen ground. Not at least for
> a few weeks.
>
> 3. I am using 50' of LMR-400 coax. No shorts measured.
>
> 4. I have a RF choke/balun of about 8 turns, 8 inches round, about 2 inches
> below the antenna and ground plane wires. I cannot get it any lower.
>
> 5. The radio is a HR-2510, and that is not grounded for the same reason as #2.
>
>
> I did a frequency check to see where this antenna is tuned. It seems to be tuned
> for 26.500-26.800 MHz. The SWR is a flat 1.2 across that band. It starts to
> when I go below or above that band.
>
> I wanted to know if there is anything I should do before I cut the wire on the
> Firestik. Would grounding the ground plane or the radio to a ground rod help?
>
> And suggestions are appeciated.

>
> Vinnie S.

2046. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:00:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <fm2vv0ddd45eotrcci0oo8qra6jkm1i9o0@4ax.com>
Source record: 195684

On Tue, 01 Feb 2005 04:57:49 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:dl1uv05qctetpfn8ja7mk57b39ba7plur7@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 31 Jan 2005 20:20:39 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>>I did a frequency check to see where this antenna is tuned. It seems to
>>>>be tuned
>>>>for 26.500-26.800 MHz. The SWR is a flat 1.2 across that band. It starts
>>>>to
>>>>when I go below or above that band.
>>>
>>>Because of the close proximity of the roof structure inside the attic
>>>you are adding more capacitance to the antenna than what would
>>>normally be there.
>>>
>>>In order to bring the antenna back into resonance you'll need to
>>>counteract the increased capacitance by decreasing the inductance.
>>>
>>>This is done by shortening the antenna.
>>>
>>>I don't believe you'll ever be able to get your match as low as you
>>>could in free air. This is because you'll get more induced antenna
>>>currents whenever the antenna is located inside a house.
>>>
>>
>>
>> I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it down to
>> 1.3 on
>> 40, and that is it.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>How did you do it? And BTW, that's a wonderful match.

Cap off like you said, brought id down to about 2. I clipped some wire for the rest. If I put the cap back on, I have to clip a little more. Firestik says they cut their antenna extra long, so when it tuned to 26.5-26.8, I figured it might work.

Vinnie S.

2047. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:01:02 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4r2vv0555klac450vt4vq98peam7iqd654@4ax.com>
Source record: 195685

On 01 Feb 2005 11:56:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it down to
>> 1.3 on 40, and that is it.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Cool. Made any contacts yet?

I few last night, granted I spen the majority of the night on the SWR. I did make a 15 mile trip to Delaware. No bad for a Firestik in the attic.

Vinnie S.

2048. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:01:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ft2vv05oto5047hdcmfe8oq7vclrock6tk@4ax.com>
Source record: 195686

On Tue, 01 Feb 2005 05:24:05 -0800, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:

Just temportary Jay. I will get an antenna up in the spring.

Vinnie S.

2049. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:04:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201090419.410$FA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195687

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 Feb 2005 11:56:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it down
> >> to 1.3 on 40, and that is it.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Cool. Made any contacts yet?
>
> I few last night, granted I spen the majority of the night on the SWR. I
> did make a 15 mile trip to Delaware. No bad for a Firestik in the attic.
>
Yup, not bad at all.

2050. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:07:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <643vv0lj882hurfu7ju89v5g0od0sh0h4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 195688

On 01 Feb 2005 14:04:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 01 Feb 2005 11:56:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it down
>> >> to 1.3 on 40, and that is it.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Cool. Made any contacts yet?
>>
>> I few last night, granted I spen the majority of the night on the SWR. I
>> did make a 15 mile trip to Delaware. No bad for a Firestik in the attic.
>>
>Yup, not bad at all.

That Turner +3B I got from the attic seems to work real well. I got glowing reports. When I first wired it, I put the no-connections wires on pin 5. I didn't remember that pin 4 and 5 on the HR-2510 are channel up and down. So my channels were scrolling at light speed ! LOL. Fixed that real fast. I didn't remember because I never used the original mic.

Vinnie S.

2051. Undiden Grant XL on eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:12:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9c3vv098r9mpn6pje1j5ij6dipl942q789@4ax.com>
Source record: 195689

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748213408&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

New in box and already up to $155. I should have gotten one a few years ago. (I need one for the car). Who knew these would carry such value. I wish the I could get my hands on a simple used, and unhacked unit. Most of these are butchered.

Vinnie S.

2052. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:21:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201092102.278$Rh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195690

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 01 Feb 2005 14:04:19 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 01 Feb 2005 11:56:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it
> >> >> down to 1.3 on 40, and that is it.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >Cool. Made any contacts yet?
> >>
> >> I few last night, granted I spen the majority of the night on the SWR.
> >> I did make a 15 mile trip to Delaware. No bad for a Firestik in the
> >> attic.
> >>
> >Yup, not bad at all.
>
> That Turner +3B I got from the attic seems to work real well. I got
> glowing reports. When I first wired it, I put the no-connections wires on
> pin 5. I didn't remember that pin 4 and 5 on the HR-2510 are channel up
> and down. So my channels were scrolling at light speed ! LOL. Fixed that
> real fast. I didn't remember because I never used the original mic.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Yea, you'd end up out of band real quick with that set up. lol

Any TVI problems with your antenna being that close?

2053. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:46:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hg5vv016lm1d88rf3i0f3ankb0bppg3ese@4ax.com>
Source record: 195691

On 01 Feb 2005 14:21:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>Yea, you'd end up out of band real quick with that set up. lol
>
>Any TVI problems with your antenna being that close?

Will update that tonight. So far no. It did set off one of my carbon monoxide detectors. Though.

Vinnie S.

2054. Re: GREAT band opening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:57:37 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25936-41FF98E1-92@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195693

From: ae352@iwon.com (American Eagle 352)
I worked many California, Oregon, and Washington stations from here in
the bunker. Even worked the Philapeans on 27.385 LSB to boot. Not too
bad for an Antron!
A.E.
352
27.385 LSB

-
I did a bunch of California and a few Arizona stations on the same freq
the over the weekend...barefoot on the Uniden. Conditions lasted about
an hour and a half.
Haven't heard the Phillipines in a while,,,congratulations,,,,great
contact.

2055. Re: GREAT band opening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 14:59:56 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25936-41FF996C-93@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195694

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
<WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in news:41fe50d3$1@news.usenetzone.com:
I had my great opening filled by a black guy with a huge cock over the
weekend it was so HOT!

>Too bad there isn't anyone named george

>busch in this NG, you're spinning your wheels,
>as usual.

There is, but he isn't to blame for all the hostility. It is shared and
manifested by Doug Adair, Dave Hall, and Jerry Oxendine.

2056. Re: Sorry...AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 15:01:39 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25935-41FF99D3-283@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195696

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote in news:rprrc2-41t.ln1@ursine.ca:
American Eagle 352 wrote:
A few of you ladies are STILL trying to log onto my board, but
remember...the AKC filters are always on. "Filtered' AKC IP addys:
172.143.186
172.151.253
172.150.57
172.157.16

(You do know that filters work best when the
target doesn't know what's filtered, right?)

>They are aol ip addresses, he is also blocking

>legitimate people who use aol..LMAO

Mission accomplished. You're still on the outside looking in and not
permitted to play.

2057. FA: $9.99 TRAM CB HAND MICROPHONE, NICE SHAPE>no bids

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 15:01:50 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vSMLd.6402$Gw4.673@fe12.lga>
Source record: 195692

Many nice clear pics.
All items are real inexpensive.
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
You may want to check others while you're there :-)

2058. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 15:07:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25935-41FF9B36-286@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195695

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
Steveo wrote:
Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand
luke)
the movie had an excellant view of the woman washing the car hehehe

>Sweet Lucille.

Sweet Lucy was a dancer....

2059. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 15:14:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25935-41FF9CEE-291@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195697

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050130130209.080$hl@newsreader.com:
Hey we are supposed to get snow this week... is yours all gone?
-
(We still have quite a bit on the ground, it hasn't gone above freezing
in a while up here.)

>Same here this snow will be with us for a

>while, we got 3" +/- overnight

Perhaps Punxsutawney Phil won't see his shadow this year. You can then
exit the cave and enjoy something other than artificial light. It may
even put an end to your winter depression.
On another note, a friend of mine sold all his stuff this weekend after
selling his home and purchasing a condo. He gave me a KLV amp that needs
a new cord. Do you still need some help to get heard down here?
According to Mason, you sure do.

2060. Re: What does a Palomar 300 Elite put out?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 15:18:58 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25935-41FF9DE2-295@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195698

From: TerraDactyl@shadowstorm.com (Terra Dactyl)
  For those that have one or have used one, what does a Palomar 300 Elite put out in honest RMS watts with a tone? There appears to be two versions of the amplifier . The version I am asking about is the one with two SD1446 transistors, and not the one with two MRF-455 transistors. I'm reluctant to believe anything a Dosey peak meter says.
    I have been playing with one on the bench and the output is flakey. One moment the meter says 150 watts on sideband with a tone, and another minute is says about 80 watts with a tone. The broadband output transformer gets VERY hot on mine, and that is going in to a 1000 watt oil filled dummy load with 1.1 SWR. One of the legs on the 1000 pf capacitor soldered to the broadband output transformer did not appeared to be soldered well, so I re-soldered it. The amplifier is now showing about 80 watts consistently, and the output transformer is no longer getting so hot. I'm just wondering whether I fixed it or broke it. The two sd1446 transistors check good when I test them one leg at a time with a diode checker. I am using an average meter to measure the output.
-TD

_
About 225 PEP. 250 would be pushing it to the MAX.

2061. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 15:29:20 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25936-41FFA050-97@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195700

N3CVJ slipped with:
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=4.229.204.214;
posting-account=1c_ZFgwAAAAV0081AlbwyPDMf19BRuPm
Frank Gilliland wrote:
>BITCH SNIP YOU SLIMMY FAGGOT>
Good, how 'bout making it a nice long leave. Frank you and Steveo and
Twistie do your level best to destroy Dave. Dave is not posting/forging
anything.It's all you and Twistie playing with your good butty Steveo.
You know dam well you and twistie started all of the faggot
shit.......big surprise considering all you guys are founding faggots of
the medina gay cb club.
I guess Steveo promised to suck-off some of your followers and thats why
you and your blow-butties all snap at Dave. Dave is the one guy here
thats NOT doing all sorts of gay bullshit.
It's you Frank. You fancy yourself as some sort of "usenet intellect".
But you're nothing more than a sick, gay, little man who's life revolves
your computer boards and chat rooms. Fuck you and your family you no
good son of a bitch!
And Steveo....... FUCK YOU AND YOUR HOMO LOVER TWISTIE.......... You
filthy asshole!

–

HAhaha..too funny. N3CVJ was the only one not forged and the gay
bullshit and attacks on Frank came only after he manipulated you into
contradicting yourself,,,,repeatedly....again. Go soak your head, Dave.

2062. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 15:33:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201103336.069$IW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195699

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
> antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand
> luke)
> the movie had an excellant view of the woman washing the car hehehe
>
> >Sweet Lucille.
>
> Sweet Lucy was a dancer....
>
George Kennedy gave her that nic in the movie.

2063. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 15:45:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <kv8vv01rmf5mt3dgo17oc7ff28k6j0fe59@4ax.com>
Source record: 195701

I got it down to a final 1.3-1.4 flat, across the CB 40. I would like to thank eveyone here for their help.

Vinnie S.

2064. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 17:28:40 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41ffbc9e.73550171@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 195703

On 01 Feb 2005 15:33:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
>> antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand
>> luke)
>> the movie had an excellant view of the woman washing the car hehehe
>>
>> >Sweet Lucille.
>>
>> Sweet Lucy was a dancer....
>>
>George Kennedy gave her that nic in the movie.

Dang Steve, good memory...

2065. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 17:43:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201124327.292$N6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195704

Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Feb 2005 15:33:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> >> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >> Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
> >> antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand
> >> luke)
> >> the movie had an excellant view of the woman washing the car hehehe
> >>
> >> >Sweet Lucille.
> >>
> >> Sweet Lucy was a dancer....
> >>
> >George Kennedy gave her that nic in the movie.
>
> Dang Steve, good memory...
>
Had some help..it was on again a week or so ago, Lancer. :)

2066. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 17:49:42 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <41ffc179.74792750@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 195705

On 01 Feb 2005 17:43:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> On 01 Feb 2005 15:33:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> >> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>> >> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >> Steveo wrote:
>> >> Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat over-stated
>> >> antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'. ($-cool hand
>> >> luke)
>> >> the movie had an excellant view of the woman washing the car hehehe
>> >>
>> >> >Sweet Lucille.
>> >>
>> >> Sweet Lucy was a dancer....
>> >>
>> >George Kennedy gave her that nic in the movie.
>>
>> Dang Steve, good memory...
>>
>Had some help..it was on again a week or so ago, Lancer. :)

I was think about ordering it from blockbuster after you guys started
talking about it..

2067. Skip Signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 18:26:12 UTC
From: jackdueme@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3086-41FFC9C4-117@storefull-3156.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195707

Are they better with/without sunspots? What does high/low pressure conditions do to a signal?....Thanks.

2068. Re: RF choke/balun question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 18:32:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201133239.899$80@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195706

```
Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> On 01 Feb 2005 17:43:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >> On 01 Feb 2005 15:33:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >> >> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> >> >> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> >> Steveo wrote:
> >> >> Hi, Enzo. I think he was LOL'ing about firesticks somewhat
> >> >> over-stated antenna specs. Sounds like a 'failure to communicate'.
> >> >> ($-cool hand luke)
> >> >> the movie had an excellant view of the woman washing the car hehehe
> >> >>
> >> >> >Sweet Lucille.
> >> >>
> >> >> Sweet Lucy was a dancer....
> >> >>
> >> >George Kennedy gave her that nic in the movie.
> >>
> >> Dang Steve, good memory...
> >>
> >Had some help..it was on again a week or so ago, Lancer. :)
>
> I was think about ordering it from blockbuster after you guys started
> talking about it..
>
Might as well get The Hustler too while you're at it..get your Paul Newman
fix. Great flix.
```

2069. Re: Skip Signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 18:47:08 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <M8QLd.9023$bu.7845@fed1read06>
Source record: 195708

You need to read propagation basics at URL:
http://www.ae4rv.com/tn/propflash.htm

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

"Jack D." <jackdueme@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3086-41FFC9C4-117@storefull-3156.bay.webtv.net...
> Are they better with/without sunspots? What does high/low pressure
> conditions do to a signal?....Thanks.
>

2070. Re: FUCK YOU RACEIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 19:41:18 UTC
From: Scott <drunknbum1@att.net>
Message-ID: <yXQLd.2835$xR1.2306@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 195709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.niggers,alt.flame.jews

shouldn't that be Racist?no I guess not
<w_j_wolfe20o3@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1104277060.834646.100780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com wrote:
>> HOW DARE YOU CALL BLACK MEN "GUTTER APES", YOU PIG!!!
>>
>
> The truth hurts, doesn't it, NIGGER.
>
>
>> STAY THE FUCK OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!
>
> Or what, SAMBO?
>>
>> YOU ARE SO ORDERED BY THE GAY AND BLACK CB UNION OF OHIO.
>
> The word of a gutter monKKKey counts for nothing in the White Human's
> world, shitsKKKin.
>

2071. Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 20:00:40 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107288040.669941.311160@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195710

Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
AKC rules mopey dopey

2072. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 20:37:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201153717.924$uZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195711

"steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
> AKC rules mopey dopey
>
Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.

2073. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 21:33:22 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107293602.544337.215880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen,misc.transport.trucking

Vinnie S. wrote:
<WHO GIVES A FUCK>

Hey vinnie the ninnie.....fuck you! You whinning cocksucker. Take your radio, the coax and the rest of your shit and cram it up your gapping asshole!

2074. Re: Skip Signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 21:38:11 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107293891.404787.93020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jack D. wrote:
> Are they better with/without sunspots? What does high/low pressure
> conditions do to a signal?....Thanks.

Hey Jack D..........R U really that fucking stupid? Tell you what,
"CUM" to medina and fuck me in my ass and tell you all of my radio
secrets!

2075. Questions for N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 21:56:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201165647.102$wU_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to hav e them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward yo u are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

2076. Questions for N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 21:57:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201165756.467$TI_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen,misc.transport.trucking

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to hav e them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward yo u are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

2077. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 22:29:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201172913.778$sG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195716

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
> news:25936-41FFA050-97 @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>
> Guess again Twisty.
>
> > 4.229.204.214;
>
> Host Name : dialup-4.229.204.214.Dial1.Detroit1.Level3.net
>
Looks like dogies Chicago dial-up.

TraceRoute to 4.159.2.48 [dialup-4.159.2.48.dial1.chicago1.level3.net]

2078. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 22:53:26 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107298406.672926.27610@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195717

Steveo wrote:
> "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
> > AKC rules mopey dopey
> >
> Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.
Or else what? Come on, make another threat, douche bag.

2079. Re: Did you guys notice N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 23:04:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201180444.806$Hb_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195718

"steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
> > > AKC rules mopey dopey
> > >
> > Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.
>
> Or else what? Come on, make another threat, douche bag.
>
I don't make idle threats like you do, chickenshit.

http://img65.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img65&image=dogieupclosefugly5mb.jpg

2080. Re: THOUSANDS THROUGH THE MAIL!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 23:27:47 UTC
From: Dracos <Dracos@JSC.org>
Message-ID: <42000dbc_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195719

Yeah, thousands of peoples money wasted by sending it to you through the
mail.

[Contacting gsmtp171.google.com [64.233.171.27]...]
[Connected]
220 mx.gmail.com ESMTP 71si23742rna
HELO hexillion.com
250 mx.gmail.com at your service
VRFY jared.frausto
252 2.1.5 Send some mail, I'll try my best
RSET
250 2.1.0 Flushed
EXPN jared.frausto
502 5.5.1 Unimplemented command
RSET
250 2.1.0 Flushed
MAIL FROM:<HexValidEmail@hexillion.com>
250 2.1.0 OK
RCPT TO:<jared.frausto@gmail.com>
250 2.1.5 OK
QUIT
221 2.0.0 mx.gmail.com closing connection
[Connection closed]

Don't post scams on this NG.

Go on.
GIT!
<jared.frausto@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1107215568.919092.110640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Want to make some Money? Of Course!!
>
> Basic Facts
> A while back, I was browsing these newsgroups, just like you are now,
> and came across an article similar to this that said you could make
> thousands of CASH within weeks with only an initial investment of
> $6.00 plus stamps! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must be a scam!"
> But like most of us I was curious and kept reading. It said that if
> you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and addresses listed in the
> article, you could make thousands in a very short period of time. You
> then place your own name and address at the bottom of the list at #6,
> and post the article to at least 300 newsgroups. (There are about
> 32,000 of them out there and that's quite a large market pool). No
> catch, that was it. Even though the investment was a measly $6, I had
> three questions that needed to be answered before I could get involved

> in this sort of thing.
>
> Pay Attention
> 1. IS THIS REALLY LEGAL?
> I called a lawyer first. The lawyer was a little sceptical that I
> would actually make any CASH but he said it WAS LEGAL if I wanted to
> try it. I told him it sounded a lot like a chain letter but the
> details of the system (SEE BELOW) actually made it a legitimate legal
> business.
>
> 2. IS OK WITH THE POST OFFICE OR IS IT MAIL FRAUD?
> I called them: 1_800_725_2161 and they confirmed THIS IS ABSOLUTELY
> LEGAL! (See 18, h sections1302 NS 1341 of Postal Lottery Laws). This
> clarifies the program of collecting names and addresses for a mailing
> list.
>
> 3. IS IT RIGHT?
> Well, everyone who sends me a buck has a good chance of getting A LOT
> of CASH ... a much better chance than buying a lottery ticket!!!
>
> So, having these questions answered, I invested EXACTLY $7.92 ... six
> $1.00 bills and six 32 cent postage stamps ... and boy am I glad I
> did!!! Within 7 days, I started getting CASH in the mail! I was
> shocked! I figured it would end soon and didn't give it another
> thought. But the CASH continued coming in. In my first week I made
> between $20 to $30. By the end of the
> second week I had a made a total of $1,000.00. In the third week I had
> over $10,000.00 and it was still growing. This is now my fourth week
> and I have made a total of just over $42,000 and it's still coming in
> ..... It's certainly worth $6.00 and 6 stamps !!!
>
> Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get
> the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you
> follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more CASH
> than you thought possible by doing something so easy!
>
> Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or
> download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the CASH come in!
> It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus
> postage)
>
> IMPORTANT: This is not a rip off; it is not indecent; it is not
> illegal; and it is virtually no risk it really works!!!! If all of
> the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive
> extraordinary dividends.
>
> PLEASE NOTE:
> Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be
> yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the
> honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success

> by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of
> the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid
> and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing
> Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for
> quality lists. However, the CASH made from the mailing lists is
> secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me
> asking to be included in that list.
>
> Here are the 4 easy steps to success:
>
> STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each
> piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US
> $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the
> bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
> Place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should
> now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the
> above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are
> doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are
> requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most
> of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal
> aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office
> (1_800_725_2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the
> 6 envelopes to the following addresses:
>
>
> #1) Troy Crouse
> 385 Sunset Drive
> Fredericton, NB
> E3A 1J2, Canada
>
> #2) J.E. Grey
> 245 Stone Bridge Ct.
> Fredericton, NB
> E3A 1J2, Canada
>
> #3) R. Hanson
> 1214 Junor Ave.
> Saskatoon, SK
> S7L 7K1, Canada
>
> #4) Leo Dapanas
> PO Box 6453
> Stockton, CA
> 95206
>
> #5) Jeff Anderson
> 4833 N County Rd 11 C
> Loveland, CO
> 80538
>

> #6) Jared Frausto
> 113 Rheem Blvd.
> Orinda, CA
> 94563
>
> Are You Paying Attention? Good.
> STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the
> other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as
> number 6 on the list.
>
> STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as
> close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at
> least 300 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All
> you need is 300, but remember, the more you post, the more CASH you
> make! This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to 18
> Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these
> steps for yourself and, whenever you need CASH, you can use it again,
> and again.
>
> PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the
> honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully
> adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are a person
> of integrity, the program will continue and the CASH that so many
> others have received will come your way.
> NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you,
> either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you.
> This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might
> be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of
> mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded and the directions
> carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their
> participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will
> move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1
> position you will be receiving thousands of CASH in CASH!!! What an
> opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people
> listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in
> business!
>
> ___DIRECTIONS _____ FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS
>
> Step 1) You do not need to retype this entire letter to do your own
> posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and
> drag your cursor to the bottom of this letter, and select 'copy' from
> the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's
> memory.
>
> Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top
> of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will
> paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name
> to the list.
>

> Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do
> your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to
> go back to.
>
> Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for
> various newsgroups (on_line forums, message boards, chat sites,
> discussions.)
>
> Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new
> message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste
> from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that
> everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a
> particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your
> first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to
> different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it
> will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
>
> **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE CASH YOU WILL
> MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 300**
>
> That's it! You will begin receiving CASH from around the world within
> days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large
> amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you
> can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.
> **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.**
>
> Now the WHY part:
> Out of 300 postings, say I receive only 7 replies (a very low
> example). So then I made $7.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now,
> each of the 7 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 300
> postings, each with my name at #5 and only 7 persons respond to each
> of the 7 original 7, that is another $49.00 for me, now those 49 each
> make 300 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 7 replies each, I
> will bring in an additional $343.00! Now, those 343 persons turn
> around and post the MINIMUM 300 with my name at #3 and only receive 7
> replies each, I will make an additional $2401.00! OK, now here is the
> fun part, each of those 2401 persons post a MINIMUM 300 letters with
> my name at #2 and they each only receive 7 replies, that just made me
> $16,807.00!!! Those 16807 persons will all deliver this message to 300
> newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 7 persons per 300
> Newsgroups react I will receive $117,649.00! With an original
> investment of only $6.00! And some stamps.
> AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the
> latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names
> on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting
> again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of
> people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these
> articles everyday? JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00
> and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if
> the plan is played out and no one sends you the CASH? So what! What

> are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest
> users and new honest people who are joining the internet and
> newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try?
>
> Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands
> of those joining the actual internet.
>
> Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.
>
> ** By the way, if you try to deceive people by posting the messages
> with your name in the list and not sending the CASH to the rest of the
> people already on the list, you will NOT get as much. Someone I talked
> to knew someone who did that and he only made about $150.00, and
> that's after seven or eight weeks! Then he sent the 6 $1.00 bills,
> people added him to their lists, and in 4_5 weeks he had over $10k.
> This is the fairest and most honest way I have ever seen to share the
> wealth of the world without costing anything but our time!!! You also
> may want to buy mailing and e_mail lists for future CASH.
> Make sure you print this article out RIGHT NOW! Also, try to keep a
> list of everyone that sends you CASH and always keep an eye on the
> newsgroups to make sure everyone is playing fairly. Remember, HONESTY
> IS THE BEST POLICY. You don't need to cheat the basic idea to make the
> CASH!! GOOD LUCK to all and please play fairly and reap the huge
> rewards from this, which is tons of extra CASH.
>
> Please remember to declare your extra income. Thanks once again...
>
> "People have asked me if this is really legal. Well, it is! You are
> using the Internet to advertise your business. What is that business?
> You are creating a mailing list of people who are interested in home
> based computer and online business and methods of generating income at
> home. Remember, people send you a small fee to be added to your
> mailing list. It is legal. What will you do with your list of
> thousands of names? That's up to you." So, build your mailing list,
> keep good accounts, declare the income and pay your taxes. By doing
> this you prove your business intentions. Keep an eye on the newsgroups
> and when the cash has stopped coming (that means your name is no
> longer on the list), you just take the latest posting at the
> newsgroups, send another $6.00 to the names stated on the list, make
> your corrections (put your name at #6) and start posting again.
>
> NOTES:
> *1. In some countries, the export of the country's exchange is
> illegal. But you can get the license to do this from the post office,
> explaining the above statements (that you have an online business,
> etc. You may have to pay an extra tax, but that's OK, the amount of
> the incoming CASH is HUGE! And as I said, a few countries have that
> restriction .
> *2. You may want to buy mailing and e_mail lists for future CASH. (Or
> Database or Spreadsheet software.)

> *3. If you're really not sure or still think this can't be for real,
> please print a copy of this article and give it to someone who really
> needs the CASH, and see what happens.
> *4. You will start getting responses within 1_2 weeks, it depends.
>
> ***ALSO REMEMBER***
> SEND YOUR $1 OUT TO EVERYONE ON THE LIST, EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT FROM THE
> U.S.
>

2081. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-01 23:29:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050201182900.451$w8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195720

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050201172913.778
> $sG@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
> >> news:25936-41FFA050-97 @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
> >>
> >> Guess again Twisty.
> >>
> >> > 4.229.204.214;
> >>
> >> Host Name : dialup-4.229.204.214.Dial1.Detroit1.Level3.net
> >>
> > Looks like dogies Chicago dial-up.
> >
> > TraceRoute to 4.159.2.48 [dialup-4.159.2.48.dial1.chicago1.level3.net]
>
> steve resolves to detroit and he is blaming Dave
>
Who do we know in Detroit, Leeland?

2082. FS: Yaesu FT-900CAT 1.8-30Mhz All mode Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 01:20:54 UTC
From: we6a@wt.net
Message-ID: <1107307254.935232.102340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195721

Here is a Yaesu FT-900CAT 1.8-30Mhz All-Mode 100 watt Transceiver. It has the built-in automatic antenna tuner, Collins Mechanical SSB Filter, 500 hz CW Filter, Brand new microphone, brand new control head, and brand new remote kit. It has a built-in CW iambic keyer, watt/SWR meter, IF Shift, Notch Filter, and memories. There are some small scratches on the under part of the main RF unit and some very small scratchs on the top heat sink.

The radio works perfectly and if you use it remotely, it will look as new. Sell for $575 plus shipping.

2083. Cobra C75WXST questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 01:42:47 UTC
From: Tom Cat <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107308567.631767.14850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195722

hi

how do you hook up the antenna and power supply to a Cobra C75WXST?
do you hook them directly to the handset or what?

2084. using a chip-clip on PLL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 02:28:25 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <42003811$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195723

You know those chip clips that techs use on computer chips to test / troubleshoot the chips?

Why not do the same thing to PLL's before actually wiring the final connections when expanding channels?

It would work, use alligator clips or wire wrap the wires around the pins that match the designated pins on the PLL chip and then use some switches to test the switching of channels.

Try it out.

Better than wiring the whole thing up and making the wrong connection.

2085. Re: I HATE NIGGERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 10:04:46 UTC
From: Corn
Message-ID: <4200a581$0$28976$e4fe514c@news.xs4all.nl>
Source record: 195725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,soc.culture.african.american,rec.travel.africa

I DON'T

2086. FA: KENWOOD TM-3530A, 220MHz MOBILE, **VERY NICE** last day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 11:21:09 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <4200B7A5.5040705@optonline.net>
Source record: 195726
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.swap,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.ham-radio

take a look if you like. Ends today atound 10:30EST 2/2/05

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ssPageName=ADME:B:LCB:US:1&Item=5746563614

2087. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 12:52:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050202075221.559$bl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195727

akc_reminder@yahoo.com wrote:
> > On 01 Feb 2005 11:56:27 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > >> I got it down to 1.5 on 40, and 1.3 on 1. I can probably get it down
> > >> to 1.3 on 40, and that is it.
> > >>
> > >> Vinnie S.
> > >>
> > >Cool. Made any contacts yet?
> >
> >
> > I few last night, granted I spen the majority of the night on the SWR.
> > I did make a 15 mile trip to Delaware. No bad for a Firestik in the
> > attic.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
>
> Of course you are running illegal power with that radio
> it is not legal for CB so whatever results you got are
> because you cheated, went outside the rules, basically
> dishonest, not to be trusted, etc.
>
Say buh bye to aol usenet, loser.

And now, the end is near,
And so I face the final posting.
My friends, I'll say it clear;
I'll state my case of which I'm certain.

I've lived a life that's full -
I've travelled each and every newsgroup.
And more, much more than this,
I did it AOL's way.

Regrets? I've had a few,
But then again, too many to mention.
I did what I had to do
And saw it through without Exception Error.

I planned each newsgroup post -
Each careful word along the byway,
And more, much more than this,
I did it AOL's way.

Yes, there were times, I'm sure you knew,
When AOL bit off more than it could chew,
But through it all, when there was doubt,
AOL ate it up and spit it out.
I faced it all and I stood tall
And did it AOL's way.

I've loved, I've laughed and cried,
I've had my fill - my share of losing (connections).
But now, as tears subside,
I find it all so amusing.

To think I did all that,
And may I say, not in a shy way -
Oh no. Oh no, not me.
I did it AOL's way.

For what is an ISP? What has it got?
If not Newsgroups - Then it has naught.
To say the things he truly feels
And not the words of one who kneels.
The record shows I took the blows
And did it AOL's way.

Yes, it was AOL's way...

2088. Did you guys notice mpey limpwrist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 13:52:12 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107352332.887047.306250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195728

```
Steveo wrote:
> "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
> > > > AKC rules mopey dopey
> > > >
> > > Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.
> >
> > Or else what? Come on, make another threat, douche bag.
> >
> I don't make idle threats like you do, chickenshit.

Right, thats why you got spanked.

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp
```

2089. Re: Did you guys notice N8WWM being spanked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 14:07:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050202090759.140$Gk_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
> > > > > AKC rules mopey dopey
> > > > >
> > > > Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.
> > > >
> > > Or else what? Come on, make another threat, douche bag.
> > >
> > I don't make idle threats like you do, chickenshit.
>
> Right, thats why you got spanked.
>
Looks like it's your fugly self that got spanked, coward.

http://img65.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img65&image=dogieupclosefugly5mb.jpg
>
> Flip that wrist
> Flip that wrist
>
Due time, fugly.

2090. Re: Cobra C75WXST questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 14:36:07 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <ctqogn$2u6$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 195730

"Tom Cat" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107308567.631767.14850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> hi
>
> how do you hook up the antenna and power supply to a Cobra C75WXST?
> do you hook them directly to the handset or what?
>

There is an interface box with a SO239 and power leads coming out, also an external speaker jack. Hide the box if you want, also comes with a mounting flange for the conection whip so you can "plug into the dash"

Chad

2091. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 15:22:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28798-4200F033-13@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195731

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, Feb 1, 2005, 5:26pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Temporary leave From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Tue, Feb 1, 2005, 5:26pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-r9oABezKtOe//mk3xSahpi5nUE2WknQ4Q57q+6C6O9uwjoUt3kA5g1FJX1NwDAMcSFHQ1Z29zS/XDZD!MXRFS5HHI5443vZDhHcE0UW0WDG4FMGGGdzw+lkCSYehHTWtNxWX6XVfOclRyXKjwnA+ X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.22

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050201172913.778$sG@newsreader.com:

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:25936-41FFA050-97 @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:

>Guess again Twisty.

>4.229.204.214;

>Host Name :

>dialup-4.229.204.214.Dial1.Detroit1.Level3.net
(Looks like dogies Chicago dial-up.)

>TraceRoute to 4.159.2.48

>[dialup-4.159.2.48.dial1.chicago1.level3.net]

>steve resolves to detroit

No, Steve's ip doesn't "resolves to Detroit".

> and he is blaming

>Dave

Roadrunner.

>--

>Dave Hall

>"SandBagger"

N3CVJ

Yea, Dave is having dilemmas these days, personally attacking those with whom he disagrees. The pattern never changes. Dave talks shit, Dave gets corrected. Dave tries to change subject, Dave gets corrected. Dave gets hostile, Dave gets corrected. Dave accuses those who disagree with his lunatic rants as "taking sides". Dave gets corrected.
Dave calls names. Dave gets corrected, Dave goes back underground with his sock puppet, wounded ego in tow. Dave's ego joins his reputation.
I'm surprised you would bring such a thing forward, but hey,,,,,you go, girl!

2092. Re: Sorry...AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 15:31:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28800-4200F234-2@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195734

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:25935-41FF99D3-
283@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote in news:rprrc2-41t.ln1@ursine.ca:
American Eagle 352 wrote:
A few of you ladies are STILL trying to log onto my board, but
remember...the AKC filters are always on. "Filtered' AKC IP addys:
172.143.186
172.151.253
172.150.57
172.157.16
(You do know that filters work best when the target doesn't know what's
filtered, right?)

>They are aol ip addresses, he is also blocking

>legitimate people who use aol..LMAO

Mission accomplished. You're still on the outside looking in and not
permitted to play.

>My ip isn't on that list ,

Perhaps not the one of which you are connected at this time. (shrug).
Means nothing.

> I have never went to that web site,

>it has

>nothing there that interests me.

Readily admitting that responding only to posts that are of no interest
to you, is the first step in recognizing your rather bizarre behavior.
Acceptance of such as other than normal is the second step, and change
is the final step in your necessary rebirthing process. Stick around, as
you've come a long way since hanging around those of us of whom you
admire and aspire to be like.

2093. Re: Skip Signals?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 15:35:43 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28798-4200F34F-21@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195733

From: jackdueme@webtv.net (Jack D.)
Are they better with/without sunspots? What does high/low pressure conditions do to a signal?....Thanks.

_
A google search will take you to the Royal Observatory in Belgium. They are the world's official record keeper for such information, the definitive first and last word on the subject. They are the informational source to which all others look to check their own accuracies.

2094. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 15:39:13 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28798-4200F421-23@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195732

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:25936-41FFA050-97 @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>Guess again Twisty.

>4.229.204.214;

>Host Name :

>dialup-4.229.204.214.Dial1.Detroit1.Level3.net

Physician, heal thyself! Only you are guessing. You continue to refer me
as "Twisty". I will clarify for your immediate gratification,
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge, I am iamnotgeorge.

2095. mopey and his wishes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 17:09:16 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107364156.934182.287920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195735

Steveo wrote:
> "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife
here?
> > > > > > AKC rules mopey dopey
> > > > > >
> > > > > Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.
> > > > >
> > > > Or else what? Come on, make another threat, douche bag.
> > > > >
> > > I don't make idle threats like you do, chickenshit.
> > >
> > Right, thats why you got spanked.
> >
> Looks like it's your fugly self that got spanked, coward.
>
> http://img65.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img65&image=dogieupclosefugly5mb.jpg
> >
> > Flip that wrist
> > Flip that wrist
> >
> Due time, fugly.

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp
too bad about you having to post from elsewhere, chump.

2096. Re: Lardass' asskicking in person (NOW A MUSIC VIDEO !!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 17:29:08 UTC
From: "Stephen M.H. Lawrence" <smhlawrence@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Qc6dnZQbUsBWkJzfRVn-hw@comcast.com>
Source record: 195737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"George Busch" <WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in news:41fe63df@x-privat.org:

> Do you know of any niggers I can give deep throat head to?

Your mother?

2097. Re: Doug Adair N8WWM and his wishes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 17:30:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050202123013.349$st_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195736

N8WWM" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > > Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife
> here?
> > > > > > > AKC rules mopey dopey
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Or else what? Come on, make another threat, douche bag.
> > > > > >
> > > > I don't make idle threats like you do, chickenshit.
> > > >
> > > Right, thats why you got spanked.
> > >
> > Looks like it's your fugly self that got spanked, coward.
> >
> > http://img65.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img65&image=dogieupclosefugly5mb.jpg
> > >
> > > Flip that wrist
> > > Flip that wrist
> > >
> > Due time, fugly.
>
> Flip that wrist
> Flip that wrist
> Flip that wrist so limp
> mopey is a sissy boy
> With the IQ of a chimp
> too bad about you having to post from elsewhere, chump.
>
Delusional much? I've been posting from the same NSP for at least
5 years, fugly. Ain't shit you can do about it either.

Knuckles or gloves, or are you too skeered of me still?

2098. Re: using a chip-clip on PLL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 17:36:25 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <vb8Md.3898$Us4.701@fe03.lga>
Source record: 195738

Would work fine, I guess, except for the pins that you have to sever from the main board in order for the channel conversion to work... Which I believe is a vast majority of them...

"sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote in message news:42003811$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net...
> You know those chip clips that techs use on computer chips to test /
> troubleshoot the chips?
>
> Why not do the same thing to PLL's before actually wiring the final
> connections when expanding channels?
>
> It would work, use alligator clips or wire wrap the wires around the pins
> that match the designated pins on the PLL chip and then use some switches
to
> test the switching of channels.
>
> Try it out.
>
> Better than wiring the whole thing up and making the wrong connection.
>
>
>

2099. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 19:11:11 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <id92015ntuvsutecf3lgnphhmj1fbt5tis@4ax.com>
Source record: 195739

http://acc.ohio.gov/bdmn0401.html

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2100. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 19:16:42 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bo92015olhttl6hvn63fpob9uu63289q26@4ax.com>
Source record: 195740

```
Callsign: KC8QJP Class: Technician Codes: HAI
USA
Name: Kathleen R Lee
Addr1: 504 EUCLID AVE
Addr2: Toledo, OH 43605
Country: USA
Effective: 19 May 2001 Expires: 13 Feb 2011
FRN: 0004398368 What's this?
FCC: ULS Listing
Lookups: 331

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

2101. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 19:42:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050202144208.435$CF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195741

KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Callsign: KC8QJP Class: Technician Codes: HAI
> USA
> Name: Kathleen R Lee
> Addr1: 504 EUCLID AVE
> Addr2: Toledo, OH 43605
> Country: USA
> Effective: 19 May 2001 Expires: 13 Feb 2011
> FRN: 0004398368 What's this?
> FCC: ULS Listing
> Lookups: 331
>
WOW! 331 lookups! Must be a busy skank-hoe. lol

2102. tax time

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 19:48:52 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7jb201t6i7nhqhlo0k20glhf3s6s6qr5p5@4ax.com>
Source record: 195742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I AM CRISCO KATHY,TAX SEASON IS ALMOST UPON US. I
CAN GET YOU THE BEST
RETURN. IT MIGHT BE A LITTLE BIT ILLEGAL.BUT WHAT
THE HECK,THE
GOVERMENT TAKES TO MUCH OF OUR MONEY. BE SURE AND
BRING A BIG CAN OF
CRISCO WITH YOU. I WORK BEST ON MY KNEES WHILE
SOMEONE SLATHERS MY FAT
ASS WITH CRISCO. BRING A BIG CAN, I HAVE A VERY
LARGE ASS.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2103. Re: Jamming on Twenty Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 19:53:44 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <nsb2011endas7uaock0g7dtnovvtvbj7o9@4ax.com>
Source record: 195743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

On 2 Feb 2005 18:42:26 -0000,
nobody@See.Comments.Header (Italy Anonymous
Remailer) wrote:

>jamming continues on 14275 coming from vicinity
>
>of georgia and alabama state line
>
>does anyone know who this could be
>
>
>
>ORIS
>
Quit your whining you fucking queer sissy!

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2104. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 19:55:55 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <b1c2011hejor1nliqihm5e1r4ea6tiiv5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 195794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 02 Feb 2005 19:46:30 GMT,
sefritts@comcast.net (Steven Fritts) wrote:

>test
>
Test failed you fucking homo.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2105. bug fucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 20:05:14 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kic201phq8srtd70p6fbk6567nr86g97ii@4ax.com>
Source record: 195795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

this guy is hung compared to my N8WWM
> http://www.atlasperovic.com/contents/3.htm

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2106. Re: mopey and his wishes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 20:41:16 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <mme2015mh61ok3sb1idejt08jngnrcv557@4ax.com>
Source record: 195796

On 2 Feb 2005 09:09:16 -0800, "steveo crusher"
<steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Steveo wrote:
>> "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > Steveo wrote:
>> > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > > > Steveo wrote:
>> > > > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > > > > > Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife
>here?
>> > > > > > AKC rules mopey dopey
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.
>> > > >
>> > > > Or else what? Come on, make another threat, douche bag.
>> > > >
>> > > I don't make idle threats like you do, chickenshit.
>> >
>> > Right, thats why you got spanked.
>> >
>> Looks like it's your fugly self that got spanked, coward.
>>
>> http://img65.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img65&image=dogieupclosefugly5mb.jpg
>> >
>> > Flip that wrist
>> > Flip that wrist
>> >
>> Due time, fugly.
>
>Flip that wrist
>Flip that wrist
>Flip that wrist so limp
>mopey is a sissy boy
>With the IQ of a chimp
>too bad about you having to post from elsewhere, chump.
>
What the???

Title : OPN:COMPLAINT FILED
WITH JURY DEMAND ENDORSED HEREON
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
12/1/1997 1 Title : SRV:SUMM & COMPLT ISSUED
SUMMONS AND COPY OF COMPLAINT SENT CERTIFIED MAIL

#
LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS 5511 FERN DR TOLEDO OH 43613
#Z431 062 630
EDWIN LEE 3355 W ALEXIS APT E-10 TOLEDO OH 43623
#Z431 062 631
KATHLEEN R LEE 3355 W ALEXIS APT E-10 TOLEDO OH
43623
#Z431 062 632
HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK LEGAL DEPT 2361 MORRIS RD
COLUMBUS OH 43229 #Z431 062 633
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
12/1/1997 2 Title : FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS ISSUED
SV1-04108 issued by KLB (6835) FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS
ISSUED
PARTY : D1 - LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS
12/1/1997 3 Title : FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS ISSUED
SV1-04109 issued by KLB (6835) FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS
ISSUED
PARTY : D2 - LEE EDWIN
12/1/1997 4 Title : FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS ISSUED
SV1-04111 issued by KLB (6835) FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS
ISSUED
PARTY : D3 - LEE KATHLEEN R
12/1/1997 5 Title : FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS ISSUED
SV1-04112 issued by KLB (6835) FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS
ISSUED
PARTY : D4 - HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK
12/4/1997 1 Title : RTN:RETURN OF CERT MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL # Z431 062 630
SIGNED: EDWIN LEE
DATE: 12/2/97
LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS
PARTY : D1 - LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS
12/8/1997 1 Title : RTN:RETURN OF CERT MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL # Z431 062 633
SIGNED: J BUTT
DATE: 12/3/97
HUNTINGTON NATL BANK
PARTY : D4 - HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK
12/19/1997 1 Title : ORD:MTN FOR EXTENSION
GRANTED
DEFTS GRANTED 1ST EXT TO 1/27/98 TO PLEAD
PARTY : D1 - LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS
12/19/1997 2 Title : ORD:MTN FOR EXTENSION
GRANTED
GRANTED EXTENSION TO PLEAD TO 1/27/98
PARTY : D2 - LEE EDWIN
12/19/1997 3 Title : ORD:MTN FOR EXTENSION
GRANTED
GRANTED EXTENSION TO PLEAD TO 1/27/98

PARTY : D3 - LEE KATHLEEN R
12/19/1997 4 Title : ORD:MTN FOR EXTENSION
GRANTED
TO 1/22/98 TO RESPOND TO PLTF CMPLT
PARTY : D4 - HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK
12/19/1997 5 Title : MTN:EXTENSION OF TIME FILED
TO MOVE PLEAD OR ANSWER
PARTY : D4 - HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK
12/24/1997 1 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED &
JOURNALIZED
PERTAINING TO MOTION FOR EXTENSION JOR 237 25
(12/29/97)
PARTY : D4 - HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK
12/24/1997 2 Title : EVT:J.E. FILED &
JOURNALIZED
PERTAINING TO DEFTS LEE AND LEE EDWIN LEE AND
KATHLEEN LEE
LEAVE TO PLEAD JOUR 237 2 (12/29/97)
PARTY : D1 - LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS
12/29/1997 1 Title : RTN:RETURN OF CERT MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL # Z 431 062 631
RECEIVED & ENDORSED BY P.O. BOX UNCLAIMED
ATTORNEY NOTIFIED 12/29/97
EDWIN LEE
PARTY : D2 - LEE EDWIN
12/29/1997 2 Title : RTN:RETURN OF CERT MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL # Z431 062 632
RECEIVED & ENDORSED BY P.O. BOX UNCLAIMED
ATTORNEY NOTIFIED 12/29/97
KATHLEEN R LEE
PARTY : D3 - LEE KATHLEEN R
1/8/1998 1 Title : PLD:PRAECIPE FILED
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
1/9/1998 1 Title : SRV:SUMM & COMPLT ISSUED
SUMMONS AND COPY OF COMPLAINT SENT CERTIFIED MAIL
#
NEW ADDRESS
EDWIN LEE 5511 FERN TOLEDO OH 43613 #Z431 062 872
KATHLEEN R LEE 5511 FERN TOLEDO OH 43613 #Z431 062
873
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
1/9/1998 2 Title : FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS ISSUED
SV1-05440 issued by KLB (6835) FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS
ISSUED
PARTY : D2 - LEE EDWIN
1/9/1998 3 Title : FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS ISSUED
SV1-05441 issued by KLB (6835) FRM:CIVIL SUMMONS
ISSUED
PARTY : D3 - LEE KATHLEEN R
1/14/1998 1 Title : RTN:RETURN OF CERT MAIL

RETURN RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL # Z431 062 872
SIGNED: EDWIN LEE
DATE: 1/12/98
EDWIN LEE
PARTY : D2 - LEE EDWIN
1/14/1998 2 Title : RTN:RETURN OF CERT MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT FOR CERTIFIED MAIL #Z431 062 873
SIGNED: KATHLEEN LEE
DATE: 1/12/98
KATHLEEN R LEE
PARTY : D3 - LEE KATHLEEN R
1/22/1998 1 Title : PLD:ANSWER
OF DEFENDANT HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK TO
PLAINTIFFS
COMPLAINT
PARTY : D4 - HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK
1/27/1998 1 Title : PLD:ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM
OF LEE AND LEE ACCOUNTANTS EDWIN LEE AND KATHLEEN
LEE
PARTY : D1 - LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS
2/6/1998 1 Title : PLD:CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
OF FIRST INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR
PRODUCTION OF
DOCUMENTS TO PLAINTIFF
PARTY : D4 - HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK
2/6/1998 2 Title : PLD:CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO
DEFENDANT LEE AND
LEE
PARTY : D4 - HUNTINGTON NATIONAL BANK
2/24/1998 1 Title : PLD:REQUEST FOR EXTENSION
PARTY : D1 - LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS
2/24/1998 2 Title : PLD:REQUEST FOR EXTENSION
OF TIME TO ANSWER COUNTERCLAIM OF DEFENDANT LEE
AND LEE
ET AL
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
3/3/1998 1 Title : PRO:MTN FOR EXTENSION GRANTED
Defendant's request for ext. of time until March
11,
1998 to answer counterclaim of defendant Lee and
Lee
is granted. See Order. (03-03-98)
PARTY : -
3/4/1998 1 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED & JOURNALIZED
PERTAINING TO EXTENSION OF TIME JOUR 240 1386
3/5/98
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
3/11/1998 1 Title : PLD:ANSWER
TO COUNTERCLAIM OF DEFENDANT LEE AND LEE

PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
4/24/1998 1 Title : MTN:TO DISMISS FILED
WITHOUT PREJUDICE
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
5/7/1998 1 Title : ORD:ORDER
Matter is before the Court on plantiff's motion to
dismiss defendant, Huntington National Bank, only,
without prejudice. Upon due consideration, the
Court
finds the motion well-taken and ordered granted
See Order.
PARTY : -
5/12/1998 1 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED &
JOURNALIZED
PERTAINING TO MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT
HUNTINGTON
NATIONAL BANK ONLY WITHOUT PREJUDICE GRANTED
JOUR 243 1361 5/13/98
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
5/12/1998 2 Title : EVT:DISMISSAL
FILED/JOURNALIZE
PERTAINING TO DISMISSAL OF HUNTINGTON NATIONAL
BANK ONLY
WITHOUT PREJUDICE JOUR 243 1391 5/13/98
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
5/21/1998 1 Title : ORD:ORDER
Matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion
to
dismiss defendant, the Huntington National Bank,
only,
without prejudice. Upon due consideration, the
Court
finds the moiton well-taken and ordered granted.
See order.
PARTY : -
6/17/1998 1 Title : PLD:PRETRIAL STATEMENT
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
6/18/1998 1 Title : ORD:PRETRIAL ORDER
Pretrial held:
trial date: 5/3/99 at 9:00 a.m.
Settlement pretrial: 3/26/99 at 10:30 a.m.
Progress pretrial: 10/5/98 1:30 p.m.
See order.
PARTY : -
6/18/1998 2 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED &
JOURNALIZED
PERTAINING TO PRETRIAL ORDER JOUR 245 1132 6/19/98
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
7/9/1998 1 Title : PLD:SUGGESTION OF DEATH
OF DEFENDANT EDWIN LEE SR

PARTY : D2 - LEE EDWIN
7/15/1998 1 Title :

PARTY : -
10/9/1998 1 Title : PLD:AMENDED COMPLAINT
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
10/13/1998 1 Title : ORD:PRETRIAL ORDER
Matter came before the Court on 10/5/98 for
progress
pretrial. An additional status conference is set
for
11/6/98 at 10:00 a.m. Plaintiff granted leave
until
10/9/98 to amend complaint. See order.
PARTY : -
10/13/1998 2 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED &
JOURNALIZED
PERTAINING TO SCHEDULING ADDITIONAL STATUS
CONFERENCE
JOUR 251 607 10/14/98
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
11/10/1998 1 Title : PRO:MTN FOR EXTENSION
GRANTED
Defendant Lee granted 1st extension until 12/4/98
to
file answer to the amended complaint of plaintiff.
See extension.
PARTY : -
11/10/1998 2 Title : EVT:J.E. FILED &
JOURNALIZED
PERTAINING TO FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME
JOUR 252 1164 11/12/98
PARTY : D3 - LEE KATHLEEN R
11/12/1998 1 Title : ORD:PRETRIAL ORDER
Matter came before the Court on 11/6/98 for
pretrial
conference. An additional pretrial has been set
for 12/17/98 at 11:00 a.m. See order.
PARTY : -
11/13/1998 1 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED &
JOURNALIZED
PERTAINING TO PRETRIAL CONFERENCE OF 11/6/98
JOUR 252 1330 11/16/98
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN
12/4/1998 1 Title : PLD:ANSWER TO AMEND
COMPLAINT
AND COUNTERCLAIM FILED BY DEFENDANTS
PARTY : D1 - LEE & LEE ACCOUNTANTS
4/6/1999 1 Title : MTN:TO DISMISS FILED
DEFENDANT EDWIN E LEE AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

PARTY : D2 - LEE EDWIN
5/24/1999 1 Title : PRO:DISMISSAL ENTRY SIGNED
Case dismissed without prejudice. See dismissal.
PARTY : -
5/24/1999 2 Title : CLS:DISMISS WITHOUT
PREJUDICE
PARTY : -
5/27/1999 1 Title : EVT:DISMISSAL
FILED/JOURNALIZE
PERTAINING TO DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE
JOUR 262 520 5/28/99
PARTY : P1 - SOUTH SUBURBAN LAWN

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2107. Re: Did you guys notice mpey limpwrist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 20:45:22 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ete2015259191b3n7rfri9kgc6qlbtb6nr@4ax.com>
Source record: 195797

On 2 Feb 2005 05:52:12 -0800, "steveo crusher"
<steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Steveo wrote:
>> "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > Steveo wrote:
>> > > "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > > > Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
>> > > > AKC rules mopey dopey
>> > > >
>> > > Get off my leg and go back to forging wa3moj, freak.
>> >
>> > Or else what? Come on, make another threat, douche bag.
>> >
>> I don't make idle threats like you do, chickenshit.
>
>Right, thats why you got spanked.
>
>Flip that wrist
>Flip that wrist
>Flip that wrist so limp
>mopey is a sissy boy
>With the IQ of a chimp
>
Workmans comp is after my crisco slathered ghetto
booty too!?!?

Plaintiff 1:
STATE OF OHIO BUREAU OF WORKERS COMPENSATION
2513 101ST ST
TOLEDO , OH 43611

Defendent 1:
LEE KATHLEEN R
5511 FERN DRIVE
TOLEDO , OH 43613

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2108. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 20:48:49 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KathleenLee@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <04f201t5gligt88ibndu52g0ecp90mk896@4ax.com>
Source record: 195798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 1 Feb 2005 12:00:40 -0800, "steveo crusher"
<steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Since mopey has been dumped there is very little strife here?
>AKC rules mopey dopey
>
What the hell happened to my N8WWM???

LUCAS COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
J. BERNIE QUILTER, CLERK
700 ADAMS STREET
TOLEDO, OHIO TIME: 3:51:38 PM
DATE: 2/2/2005

CASE: G -4801 -CR -199606161
TITLE: S/O V DOUGLAS W ADAIR
JUDGE: JAMES D. JENSEN
FILING DATE: 6/12/1996 CASE TYPE: CR
CRIMINAL

MONETARY AMOUNT: DOCKET/PAGE:
ORIGINAL COURT: TAX TYPE:
PREVIOUS CASE NUMBER: STATE OF OHIO NUMBER:

Party Counsel Prosecutor
Defendent 1:
ADAIR DOUGLAS W
5319 SECOR RD.
TOLEDO , OH 43623 MARY S. SWANSON
4199365120
411 N MICHIGAN
TOLEDO , OH 436241606

COUNT PARTY DESCRIPTION ABBREV.
1 (D -1)ADAIR DOUGLAS W NONSUPPORT OF DEPENDENTS
F4

DATE SEQ EVENT
6/12/1996 1 Title : JRE:CASE ACTIVATION IN FACTS
PARTY : -
5/31/2000 1 Title : WAR:CAPIAS ORDERED PER LCAPD

803-00499 issued by PJ (CAPIAS REQUEST GRANTED)
Pursuant to the request of probation a capias is
ordered
issued. Bond is set at $5,000.00 no 10%.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED & JOURNALIZED
CAPIAS C-174-842
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 2 Title : EVT:ORDER FILED & JOURNALIZED
CAPIAS C-174-843
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
6/1/2000 3 Title : WAR:CAPIAS ISSUED BY CLERK
C01-02080 issued by 163 (8931) WAR:CAPIAS ISSUED
BY CLERK
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 1 Title : WAR:DEFD'T ARREST
WARR/CAPIAS
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 2 Title : WAR:CAPIAS SERVED ON
DEFENDANT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 3 Title : HRG:PV HEARING SET
November 17, 2000 at 8:30 a.m.
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 4 Title : WAR:CAPIAS RETURN FILED
SERVED ON 11/13/00
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/13/2000 5 Title : WAR:CAPIAS RETURN FILED
SERVED ON 11/12/00
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/17/2000 1 Title : BND:SUPERVISED OR BOND
ISSUED
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/17/2000 2 Title : MIS:CASE CONT AT DEF
REQUEST
772-28458 issued by JTS (GENERAL CONTINUANCE FOR
CRIMINAL CA
Dated November 15, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA
CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. Defendant,
DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court. Indigency Hearing held.
MARY S.
SWANSON appointed as counsel.
Matter called for Probation Violation Hearing.
Pursuant to
the request of Defendant, matter rescheduled for
Probation
Violation Hearing on Friday, December 1, 2000 at

8:30 a.m.
Bond is reset at Supervised Own Recognizance.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/22/2000 1 Title : ORD:ATTORNEY APPOINTED
Sue Swanson
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/27/2000 1 Title : EVT:ORD FILE/JOUR$
THRU9/23/03
RESCHEDULED PV HEARING C-183-1483
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
11/29/2000 1 Title : MIS:REC'D PSI/PV/CCV REPORT
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/1/2000 1 Title : ORD: PV/PROBATION CONTINUED
671-01526 issued by JTS (PROBATION VIOL -
PROBATION CONTINUE
Dated December 1, 2000. Court Reporter SANDRA
CORPE
ordered. State of Ohio: ANNE KIRKHOPE. MARY S.
SWANSON
present on behalf of the defendant. Defendant,
DOUGLAS
ADAIR present in court.
Defendant having admitted to a probation
violation, and
having waived oral hearing, defendant is found in
violation
of probation.
Defendant having been convicted of the offense of
Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code
Section
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11,
1997 is
ordered continued with the following added
conditions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defendant
to seek
mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage
withholding
agreement.
Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecution
including
any fees associated with the appointment of
counsel. It is
further ordered that the defendant pay any
supervision fees
associated with being granted probation. Payment
of

financial obligations shall be prioritized as
restitution,
supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assigned
counsel
fees.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $
THRU9/23/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINATED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2109. Looney moparholic@hotmail.com forging some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:15:37 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107378937.667261.64790@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp
```

2110. mopeydopey cowardly forges and hides

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:18:06 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107379086.096131.220890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195800

```
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp
```

2111. Re: Doug Adair N8WWM and his wishes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:21:59 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107379319.481765.203320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195801

Let's talk about ISP, not NSP, foolboy. Not to mention hotmail id now deadmail for you, butt pirate.

It is funny to see such a pathetic whining turd acting a fool.

Just irks ya to know end that the AKC can't be stopped, your Masters can plainly see.

2112. Re: bug fucker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:24:36 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107379476.660318.29800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Leave it to mopeydopey to know where to find pictures like that. A keyclown is a keyclown, always impotent and gay.

2113. Re: Jamming on Twenty Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:25:48 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107379548.410245.34370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

That's a forge, the person who is posting it is asissy coward named moparholic@hotmail.com

2114. Re: using a chip-clip on PLL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:26:48 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107379608.646112.252570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195803

It's illegal to transmit on CB radios outside the 40 regular channels

2115. Re: Sorry...AKC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:28:12 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107379692.009946.257300@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195806

AE352 is a deranged retard and a wrist flipping sissy ta boot.

2116. mopey dopey's song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:28:49 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107379729.406959.112550@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195805

```
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp
```

2117. try writing a song, mopey...you are too dumb...HAHAHHAAH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:32:27 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107379947.275652.301150@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195807

Dedicated to the coward forger and pooper sniffer
moparholic@hotmail.com

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp

Making threats all day

'Cuz he cannot take it

There goes mopey now

Talking sissy shit

Mopey's fun to watch

Cuz he loves to slurp

Let's watch sissy mopey boy

Slurp 'em til he burps!

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp

2118. Mopey is at home for this reason...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:33:52 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107380032.926252.271460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195808

He found a dog collar in his bed last night. It has him suspicious.

Dedicated to the coward forger and pooper sniffer
moparholic@hotmail.com

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp

Making threats all day

'Cuz he cannot take it

There goes mopey now

Talking sissy shit

Mopey's fun to watch

Cuz he loves to slurp

Let's watch sissy mopey boy

Slurp 'em til he burps!

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp

2119. Mopey is at home for this reason...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:34:07 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107380047.189524.279770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195809

He found a dog collar in his bed last night. It has him suspicious.

Dedicated to the coward forger and pooper sniffer
moparholic@hotmail.com

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp

Making threats all day

'Cuz he cannot take it

There goes mopey now

Talking sissy shit

Mopey's fun to watch

Cuz he loves to slurp

Let's watch sissy mopey boy

Slurp 'em til he burps!

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp

2120. Re: Jamming on Twenty Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:35:17 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <HZydnfwXEboT1JzfRVn-oA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 195811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

steveo crusher wrote:

> That's a forge, the person who is posting it is asissy coward named
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>

He's a cber who doesn't know any better.

2121. mopey is a keyclown boy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:39:33 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107380373.645071.327310@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195810

Keyclownism: Look at a pic of dopey mopey and you see it in the flesh.

Dedicated to the coward forger and pooper sniffer
moparholic@hotmail.com

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp

Making threats all day

'Cuz he cannot take it

There goes mopey now

Talking sissy shit

Mopey's fun to watch

Cuz he loves to slurp

Let's watch sissy mopey boy

Slurp 'em til he burps!

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopey is a sissy boy
With the IQ of a chimp

2122. Re: Doug Adair N8WWM and his wishes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:51:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050202165133.845$IR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195812

"steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Let's talk about ISP, not NSP, foolboy. Not to mention hotmail id now
> deadmail for you, butt pirate.
>
Hey clueless, my hotmail, ISP, and NSP have -not- changed in years.

AKC stands for:

Another
Keyboard
Coward

http://img65.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img65&image=dogieupclosefugly5mb.jpg

2123. Re: Jamming on Twenty Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 21:53:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050202165343.653$NF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

N8WWM <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> That's a forge, the person who is posting it is asissy coward named
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
Nope. Obsess about me much, Doug?

Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to hav e them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward yo u are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

2124. Re: Steveo, your face smashed good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 22:02:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050202170201.722$lw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195814

keyclownzsuck@yahoo.com wrote:
> Meet me at Toldeo YMCA on North 14th St. this Friday at noon.
>
Been to your house already, coward.

http://img65.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img65&image=dogieupclosefugly5mb.jpg

You were too skeered to come outside. LMAO!

2125. My N8WWM sings us a song

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 22:06:29 UTC
From: KC8QJP Kathy Lee <n8wwm@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ulj201h4d76cb8f1vuamcdv8jjnl61su2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 195815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Wink at me
And I'll slurp up your pee pee
I am duggie

I'll do gayboy things
If you want me to
I am duggie

They are yours
My mouth and anal hole
Hammies, you've got full control
I am duggie

Pull another string
And I'll suck your ding a ling
I am duggie

Snap your fingers
And I'll turn gay sex tricks for you
I am duggie

Your wish for some head is my command
I'm the biggest fag
On the CB band
I am duggie

I'm just a queen
A fag-assed little queen
Why are you hammies so mean?

You have control
I do what I'm told
I'll do just anything for you
I am duggie

I am duggie

Just pull down your zipper
And I'll deep throat your dong
I am duggie

Make me get butt fucked
Or make me your gay slut
I am duggie

Use me hard
I love those hard gay tricks

Im a big faggot
And I love to suck on dicks
I am duggie

I'm your sukkin, fukkin
Jizz eatin' little faggot
I'm dyin for some cock
I'll blow the Anti flock

Blow you
Rim you
Triiiiiiiick foooor youuuuu
I am N8WWM DUGGIE

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2126. Re: try writing a song, mopey...you are too dumb...HAHAHHAAH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-02 22:27:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050202172706.222$H4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195816

"steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Dedicated to the coward forger and pooper sniffer
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> Flip that wrist
> Flip that wrist
> Flip that wrist so limp
> mopey is a sissy boy
> With the IQ of a chimp
>
> Making threats all day
>
> 'Cuz he cannot take it
>
> There goes mopey now
>
> Talking sissy shit
>
> Mopey's fun to watch
>
> Cuz he loves to slurp
>
> Let's watch sissy mopey boy
>
> Slurp 'em til he burps!
>
> Flip that wrist
> Flip that wrist
> Flip that wrist so limp
> mopey is a sissy boy
> With the IQ of a chimp
>
>
Hehehe

Abuse@bex.net Autoreply: Usenet Abuse Feb 2 2KB
Abuse@bex.net Autoreply: Usenet Abuse Feb 2 2KB
Abuse@bex.net Autoreply: Usenet Abuse Feb 2 2KB
Abuse@bex.net Autoreply: Usenet Abuse Feb 2 2KB
Abuse@bex.net Autoreply: Usenet Abuse Feb 2 2KB
Abuse@bex.net Autoreply: Usenet Abuse Feb 2 2KB

|

2127. Malzev's wintransceiver software for President Jackson : winjack.exe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 00:19:06 UTC
From: stacker <cmi007@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ctrqlo$cf5$1@news4.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 195817

Hi,

anyone know how i can get a hold of Malzev's wintransceiver software?
Winjack.exe
I cannot download it from Tripos for some reason.
http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/cb-radio/winjack.htm returns an error when
trying to d/l the executable :(

regards... Marco (cmi007@hotmail.com)

2128. Re: try writing a song, mopey...you are too dumb...HAHAHHAAH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 00:29:46 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107390586.532825.131900@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195818

Uh huh...have fun wrist flipper.

2129. Re: Doug Adair N8WWM and his wishes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 00:31:12 UTC
From: steveo crusher <steveocrusher@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107390672.009115.63190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195819

Flipthat wrist, queenie

2130. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 01:48:18 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107395298.244316.236510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KC8QJP wrote:
> On 1 Feb 2005 12:00:40 -0800, "steveo crusher"
> <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:

Sorry I missed that.

But one county south of there (Wood County) the state troopers stopped an Ohio Supreme Court Justice (the only Democrat on that bench) while driving a government vehicle for weaving and hiccupping. After rendering her license and registration, she sped away. The troopers followed her, observed more weaving, and pulled her over again. She wanted special treatment because of her status in life.

Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed, she blew a point 23. Awesome! Maybe she'll do a recruiting poster for the US Navy.

2131. L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 03:19:21 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4201957a$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195821

What are the (micro-Henrys) values for L37 and L38 on the Uniden Grant XL?
(PLL MB8719)

I have unsoldered and completely removed them both.

I am unable to locate it on the schematic.

(I have someone that can help me re-calibrate the radio after the
installation.)

What are the drawbacks /side-effects of transplanting Inductors from other
40 channel AM/SSB radios?

Tnx,
Sean
Amelia, Virginia

2132. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 03:38:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <i57301t2hreigkq6vukob9ferfkqshh5kd@4ax.com>
Source record: 195822

On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 22:19:21 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:

>What are the (micro-Henrys) values for L37 and L38 on the Uniden Grant XL?
>(PLL MB8719)
>
>I have unsoldered and completely removed them both.
>
>I am unable to locate it on the schematic.
>
>(I have someone that can help me re-calibrate the radio after the
>installation.)
>
>What are the drawbacks /side-effects of transplanting Inductors from other
>40 channel AM/SSB radios?
>
>
>Tnx,
>Sean
>Amelia, Virginia

Why did you do that? What mod were you doing?

Vinnie S.

2133. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 03:51:12 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <42019cf1$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195823

I am missing the slugs.

I got it a flea market.

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:i57301t2hreigkq6vukob9ferfkqshh5kd@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 22:19:21 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:
>
> >What are the (micro-Henrys) values for L37 and L38 on the Uniden Grant
XL?
> >(PLL MB8719)
> >
> >I have unsoldered and completely removed them both.
> >
> >I am unable to locate it on the schematic.
> >
> >(I have someone that can help me re-calibrate the radio after the
> >installation.)
> >
> >What are the drawbacks /side-effects of transplanting Inductors from
other
> >40 channel AM/SSB radios?
> >
> >
> >Tnx,
> >Sean
> >Amelia, Virginia
>
>
> Why did you do that? What mod were you doing?
>
> Vinnie S.

2134. Re: bug fucker ..chip still working? hardyharharhar!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 04:25:17 UTC
From: Ray Norshine <raynorshine@overcast.comm>
Message-ID: <NIhMd.5103$SY3.4873@fe04.lga>
Source record: 195824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

"steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1107379476.660318.29800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> Leave it to mopeydopey to know where to find pictures like that. A
> keyclown is a keyclown, always impotent and gay.
>

-- ----- January 6, 2003

Toledo Amateur Radio Club
7234 Latcha Road
Perrysburg, OH 43551
Attn: Trustee John C. Lagger, AA8XS

RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Repeater W8RZM

Dear Mr. Lagger:

Our records indicate that you are the trustee for the above repeater system. Enclosed are recordings of violations on the repeater by N8WWM. The transmissions continued for a considerable time and there was no evidence of a control operator.

Since you are the trustee for the repeater, it is important for you to understand that you are responsible for its proper operation. The decision to operate a repeater is a totally voluntarily one. Repeaters are a convenience in the Amateur Radio Service, not a necessity. A repeater is a station just like any other station; and under Section 97.205 of the Commission's rules, all stations must have a licensee and control operator. Under Sections 97.103(a) and 97.105, control operators must ensure the immediate proper operation of a station, regardless of the type of station control. Furthermore, "automatic" control does not mean "unattended" operation. Unattended operation is not authorized under the rules.

The repeater licensee/trustee is responsible for all recurring violations and violations that are not inadvertent, just as a licensee is responsible for a base station HF station operation in the licensee's home. You must prevent recurring and deliberate violations on the repeater by locking rule violators out, using tones, warning users, limiting its operation, or taking whatever steps necessary commensurate with your responsibility as the licensee of the station. If you are unable to do so, then you must shut down your station.

If you are unwilling or unable to prevent violations on the W8RZM repeater, then your operator and station license will be subject to enforcement action by the Commission. Such action may include monetary forfeiture, operator license suspension or station license revocation.

<chuckle> :))

2135. Re: Jamming on Twenty Meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 06:07:27 UTC
From: via Userbeam Remailer <remailer@userbeam.net>
Message-ID: <2ee2ee2736125366aaab7b693320ef9e@userbeam.net>
Source record: 195761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <nsb2011endas7uaock0g7dtnovvtvbj7o9@4ax.com>
KC8QJP <Kathleen...@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> On 2 Feb 2005 18:42:26 -0000,
> nob...@See.Comments.Header (Italy Anonymous
> Remailer) wrote:
>
> >jamming continues on 14275 coming from vicinity
> >
> >of georgia and alabama state line
> >
> >does anyone know who this could be
> >
> >
> >
> >ORIS

Probably N0VFP!

> >
> Quit your whining you fucking queer sissy!

Follow your own advice, you syphilis ridden cunt.

Callsign: KC8QJP Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name: Kathleen R Lee
Addr1: 504 EUCLID AVE
Addr2: Toledo, OH 43605
Country: USA
Effective: 19 May 2001 Expires: 13 Feb 2011
FRN: 0004398368 What's this?
FCC: ULS Listing
Lookups: 394
Update / Renew License

Coordinates: 41.64031 -83.509478
State: Ohio
County: Lucas
Grid: EN81fp
Area Code: 419
GMT Offset: -5
Time Zone: Eastern
Has DST?: Y
QRZ Updated: 2003-01-27 23:27:38

2136. Re: bug fucker ..chip still working? hardyharharhar!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 12:11:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050203071118.093$uA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Ray Norshine <raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:
> "steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1107379476.660318.29800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:
>
> > Leave it to mopeydopey to know where to find pictures like that. A
> > keyclown is a keyclown, always impotent and gay.
> >
>
> ---
> -- ----- January 6, 2003
>
> Toledo Amateur Radio Club
> 7234 Latcha Road
> Perrysburg, OH 43551
> Attn: Trustee John C. Lagger, AA8XS
>
> RE: Warning Notice--Amateur Repeater W8RZM
>
> Dear Mr. Lagger:
>
> Our records indicate that you are the trustee for the above repeater
> system. Enclosed are recordings of violations on the repeater by N8WWM.
> The transmissions continued for a considerable time and there was no
> evidence of a control operator.
>
> Since you are the trustee for the repeater, it is important for you to
> understand that you are responsible for its proper operation. The
> decision to operate a repeater is a totally voluntarily one. Repeaters
> are a convenience in the Amateur Radio Service, not a necessity. A
> repeater is a station just like any other station; and under Section
> 97.205 of the Commission's rules, all stations must have a licensee and
> control operator. Under Sections 97.103(a) and 97.105, control operators
> must ensure the immediate proper operation of a station, regardless of
> the type of station control. Furthermore, "automatic" control does not
> mean "unattended" operation. Unattended operation is not authorized
> under the rules.
>
> The repeater licensee/trustee is responsible for all recurring
> violations and violations that are not inadvertent, just as a licensee
> is responsible for a base station HF station operation in the licensee's
> home. You must prevent recurring and deliberate violations on the
> repeater by locking rule violators out, using tones, warning users,
> limiting its operation, or taking whatever steps necessary commensurate

> with your responsibility as the licensee of the station. If you are
> unable to do so, then you must shut down your station.
>
> If you are unwilling or unable to prevent violations on the W8RZM
> repeater, then your operator and station license will be subject to
> enforcement action by the Commission. Such action may include monetary
> forfeiture, operator license suspension or station license revocation.
>
> <chuckle> :))
>
Yep, that's dogie allright..oh and:

Defendant having been convicted of the offense o�f Nonsupport
of Dependents, in violation of Revised Code Sect�ion
2919.21(A)(2), a felony of the 4TH degree.
Probation as ordered by this Court on April 11, �1997 is
ordered continued with the following added condi�tions: 1)
Seek and maintain gainful employment; 2) Defenda�nt to seek
mental health counseling; 3) Execute wage withho�lding
agreement.
Defendant ordered to pay the costs of prosecutio�n including
any fees associated with the appointment of coun�sel. It is
further ordered that the defendant pay any super�vision fees
associated with being granted probation. Payment� of
financial obligations shall be prioritized as re�stitution,
supervision fees, court costs, fines, and assign�ed counsel
fees.
JUDGE JAMES D. JENSEN
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
12/5/2000 1 Title : EVT:JE FILE/JOUR $ THRU9/2�3/03
SENTENCE C-184-502
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W
3/15/2002 1 Title : COMMUNITY CONTROL TERMINAT�ED
terminated unsuccesfully
PARTY : D1 - ADAIR DOUGLAS W

2137. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:14:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <938401hrpqdefp3m8qgbjlelam7h9bjaou@4ax.com>
Source record: 195826

On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 10:29:20 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>N3CVJ slipped with:
>Injection-Info: ï¿½ï¿½ z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
>posting-host=4.229.204.214;
>posting-account=1c_ZFgwAAAAV0081AlbwyPDMf19BRuPm
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>BITCH SNIP YOU SLIMMY FAGGOT>
>Good, how 'bout making it a nice long leave. Frank you and Steveo and
>Twistie do your level best to destroy Dave. Dave is not posting/forging
>anything.It's all you and Twistie playing with your good butty Steveo.
>You know dam well you and twistie started all of the faggot
>shit.......big surprise considering all you guys are founding faggots of
>the medina gay cb club.
>I guess Steveo promised to suck-off some of your followers and thats why
>you and your blow-butties all snap at Dave. Dave is the one guy here
>thats NOT doing all sorts of gay bullshit.
>It's you Frank. You fancy yourself as some sort of "usenet intellect".
>But you're nothing more than a sick, gay, little man who's life revolves
>your computer boards and chat rooms. Fuck you and your family you no
>good son of a bitch!
>And Steveo....... FUCK YOU AND YOUR HOMO LOVER TWISTIE.......... You
>filthy asshole!
>_
>
>HAhaha..too funny. N3CVJ was the only one not forged and the gay
>bullshit and attacks on Frank came only after he manipulated you into
>contradicting yourself,,,,repeatedly....again. Go soak your head, Dave.

I was the only one not forged? Was Jim forged? How about Lancer? Keith
Hoseman? Vinnie? Kevin? Eric? Tnom? Others?

There are several regular members of this group who haven't been
forged. In fact, there are more that haven't been forged than have.
The only people who have been forged are the same people who have been
outspoken against Doug/George/ Etc.

The reason that I haven't been forged, is that I haven't been
outspoken against them, as you and some others have. They don't have a
beef with me.

Not one of these "forges" has either the same isp or IP address as me.
Only your ridiculous claim of my "having several ISP's" is the only
explanation you have to reconcile this glaring error.

But the truth is that you cannot prove your allegation. You are taking pot shots in the wind. And your ignorance remains glaringly apparent, and that only emboldens those who are forging to keep doing it, as they laugh at your expense.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2138. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:16:30 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <uv84015igkeiqhleof2b3c76ta075pujgs@4ax.com>
Source record: 195827

On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 22:51:12 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:

>I am missing the slugs.
>
>I got it a flea market.

Ahh. Can you get them from Uniden? What is going to kill you is shipping.

Vinnie S.

2139. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:20:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <c59401p110i1kvg65t80tlrd3qmettinrc@4ax.com>
Source record: 195828

On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:14:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>I was the only one not forged? Was Jim forged? How about Lancer? Keith
>Hoseman? Vinnie? Kevin? Eric? Tnom? Others?

They haven't done me yet, because I pay no attention to them. They have been KF, because they offer nothing to the group. When they change their email or name, I just KF again. This NG is actualy readable.

Vinnie S.

2140. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:23:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dv8401154fkh5u5fnu2pbmgal6osmpl6rs@4ax.com>
Source record: 195829

On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 10:22:27 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>
>Yea, Dave is having dilemmas these days, personally attacking those with
>whom he disagrees.

I only get personal when the opposition does so to me first.

> The pattern never changes. Dave talks shit, Dave gets
>corrected.

When?

> Dave tries to change subject, Dave gets corrected.

You are the one who can't stay on topic. All the way back to the very
first discussion about CB rules, when you kept trying to deflect the
topic to USENET rules.

>Dave gets hostile, Dave gets corrected.

Hostile? When have I ever gotten hostile? This is entertainment for
me. I love watching puppets dance.

> Dave accuses those who disagree with his
>lunatic rants as "taking sides". Dave gets corrected.

You are taking sides. The wrong ones.

>Dave calls names. Dave gets corrected, Dave goes back underground with
>his sock puppet,

I have no sock puppets, and you can't prove otherwise. Unlike you, who
sees fit to change your name on a semi-regular basis.

You still suffer from aspects of anti-social and narcisistic
personality disorders. You see yourself as better than everyone else.
You are convinced that you represent the majority view and can't
comprehend that people would disagree with you. That's why you believe
that the many people who take you to task for your warped viewpoints
are really one person "forging". Your psyche does not allow you to
contemplate that you might just be wrong.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2141. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:25:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <1g9401pupe1j5ag9v3f68rjq8e983qng4o@4ax.com>
Source record: 195830

On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 10:39:13 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
>news:25936-41FFA050-97 @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>>Guess again Twisty.
>
>>4.229.204.214;
>
>>Host Name :
>
>>dialup-4.229.204.214.Dial1.Detroit1.Level3.net
>
>Physician, heal thyself! Only you are guessing. You continue to refer me
>as "Twisty". I will clarify for your immediate gratification,
>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge, I am iamnotgeorge.

So now you are attempting to deny that you are Twisty?

You can change you name, but you can't change your style.

Good luck......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2142. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:26:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107437205.586155.77070@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:

> Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed,
> she blew a point 23. Awesome! Maybe she'll do a recruiting poster
for
> the US Navy.

Why?

Since the late 70's/early 80's the USN and USMC have had some of
the stiffest penalties for it's members who violate DUI laws.

(And please don't try and bring up "Tailhook"...it had nothing to
do with drinking and driving...)

Steve, K4YZ
Well Versed on USN/MC Policies

2143. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:26:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1107437208.157476.278200@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

bb wrote:

> Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed,
> she blew a point 23. Awesome! Maybe she'll do a recruiting poster
for
> the US Navy.

Why?

Since the late 70's/early 80's the USN and USMC have had some of
the stiffest penalties for it's members who violate DUI laws.

(And please don't try and bring up "Tailhook"...it had nothing to
do with drinking and driving...)

Steve, K4YZ
Well Versed on USN/MC Policies

2144. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:37:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <s0a401lpm2ns9q7iroilkevevejl4vsfa9@4ax.com>
Source record: 195833

On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:14:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Damn, Dave. That is a great website. Great pic and history. I actually got my feet wet when I was 10, around 1977, right at the peak/end of the craze, when I was given channel 14 walkie talkie. I was hooked. Unfortunately, I didn't get my first CB until 1983, and py parents didn't support this hobby too well. I had to essentially get my own power kic, antenna, etc, by usin gthe bus ot my 10 speed bike. The only thing I regret was that I was off the band for 20 years, because I thought it was dead. You never saw CBs in store anymore.

Vinnie S.

2145. Re: using a chip-clip on PLL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:37:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0o94019og2iid9005r2d5vnv9m8oke4rrt@4ax.com>
Source record: 195834

On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 21:28:25 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:

>You know those chip clips that techs use on computer chips to test /
>troubleshoot the chips?
>
>Why not do the same thing to PLL's before actually wiring the final
>connections when expanding channels?
>
>It would work, use alligator clips or wire wrap the wires around the pins
>that match the designated pins on the PLL chip and then use some switches to
>test the switching of channels.
>
>Try it out.
>
>Better than wiring the whole thing up and making the wrong connection.

The problem with that approach is that some of the pins are hard wired
to ground or +5V and need to be "freed" or cut loose and the proper
pull-up resistor installed so that the pin can be switched. The
channel selector switch itself may "interfere" with your modification.
If, for example, the switch applies a hard +5V and you try to ground
it, you just shorted out the supply.

Depending on the make of radio, some of the pins may need to be cut
loose to fully change state. It's not as simple as just dropping a
chip clip on top of the PLL if you want full capability.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2146. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 13:59:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qfa401hikc1bu21r57omqa5mpiraqjl1or@4ax.com>
Source record: 195835

On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:37:22 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:14:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>
>Damn, Dave. That is a great website.

Thank you. It is a labor of love for a hobby that has been my
companion for 35 years. I've tried to recount much of what was
happening back then in my home town. I'm lucky that I've kept a lot of
stuff from back then.

> Great pic and history. I actually got my
>feet wet when I was 10, around 1977, right at the peak/end of the craze, when I
>was given channel 14 walkie talkie. I was hooked.

That's sounds VERY familiar. Most of my friends (and me) started the
same way.

>Unfortunately, I didn't get my
>first CB until 1983, and py parents didn't support this hobby too well.

My mother wasn't too keen either. She especially didn't like outside
antennas. I had to work around this for a while.

> I had to
>essentially get my own power kic, antenna, etc, by usin gthe bus ot my 10 speed
>bike. The only thing I regret was that I was off the band for 20 years, because
>I thought it was dead. You never saw CBs in store anymore.

The CB band was at its hottest in the 70's. Then the high noise of the
sunspot cycle at the end of the 70's sort of chased a lot of the
casual users off the band. The 80's saw the rise of freebanding, and
export radios. CB was not as much a social "fad", but an actual radio
hobby for more serious radio types.

CB continued to grow up until the mid 90's when the internet and cell
phones started to nip into the popularity of CB. New technologies are
more "hip" and "cool" to young people, and you'll find far less people
wanting to get into CB nowadays. Most of the people on CB now have
been around for a while. Some (like you it seems) are "rediscovering"

a lost love, and there are a good many people who are into restoring "vintage" classic radios. I guess it's not much different than the hams who still run old Collins and Hammerlund radios, when there are far newer and better (and much more expensive) designs around. Much of it is nostalgia. Trying to re-live the past, with that warm glow and smell of tube radios.

The equipment is only one part. It was the people who truly made CB what it was for me. I'm still hopeful for a resurgence of old timers in my area.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2147. There is just no way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 14:10:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <n4c401piht927b73hjegbr6mlq75o4mij6@4ax.com>
Source record: 195836

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748213408&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

$247 ?

Somebody is pushing the up the bidding.

Vinnie S.

2148. Re: There is just no way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 14:13:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <1bc401p74knijkgnehvssam7ldj1fpeqhn@4ax.com>
Source record: 195837

On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 09:10:11 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748213408&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW
>
>$247 ?
>
>Somebody is pushing the up the bidding.
>
>Vinnie S.

Seriously, $150 tops. For $247, I would put it toward my ham radio fund.

Vinnie S.

2149. Re: using a chip-clip on PLL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 14:27:46 UTC
From: FeMaster <FeMaster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ZvqMd.7520$3H3.1353@fe03.lga>
Source record: 195838

CB radios don't transmit outside the regular 40 channels. If a radio does transmit outside the regular 40, then it's no longer (or never was) a CB radio...

Goes to show what you know..

PH

"steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1107379608.646112.252570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It's illegal to transmit on CB radios outside the 40 regular channels
>

2150. Re: Cobra C75WXST questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 14:30:56 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cttcj2$s85$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 195839

"TomCat4680" <tomcatgbmi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1107396498.850380.323440@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
> so id need the interface box to connect it to my antenna and power
> supply?
>

Yep, comes with it, Not much in there, just power, ext speaker switching, filter network and box. that part is sold as a spare from Cobra so the handheld unit can be transferred between different vehicles.

Link:
http://www.cobra.com/index.php?page=shop/flypage&product_id=219&id=1

'Bout 28 bucks. I bought one off E-bay for cheaper when I was using one of these.

Chad

2151. Re: There is just no way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 14:35:57 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cttcse$s8r$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 195840

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:1bc401p74knijkgnehvssam7ldj1fpeqhn@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 09:10:11 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=40058&item=5748213408&rd=1&ssPage
Name=WDVW
>>
>>$247 ?
>>
>>Somebody is pushing the up the bidding.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
> Seriously, $150 tops. For $247, I would put it toward my ham radio fund.
>
> Vinnie S.

Holy cow! No kidding! One can buy a lot of radio for $247!

Chad

2152. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 14:59:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15880-42023C55-256@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195841

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:28798-4200F033-13@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
4.229.204.214;
[dialup-4.159.2.48.dial1.chicago1.level3.net] >steve resolves to detroit

No, Steve's ip doesn't "resolves to Detroit".

>No one said Steve's Ip resolves to Detroit

Correct,,,,you actually said (wrote): "steve resolves to Detroit"

>you

>ignorant asshole.

I'm not the one having difficulties attempting to put my feelings into
proper words.

> You know what was said.

And you seem to think your incompetence means something other than what
you brought forth,,,,let's see it again.....

>"steve resolves to Detroit"

Using your own words, it is you that appears to be not only an "ignorant
asshole", but an uneducated one.

2153. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 15:19:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15880-42024116-257@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195843

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 10:29:20 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
N3CVJ slipped with:
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=4.229.204.214;
posting-account=1c_ZFgwAAAAV0081AlbwyPDMf19BRuPm Frank Gilliland wrote:
<BITCH SNIP YOU SLIMMY FAGGOT>
Good, how 'bout making it a nice long leave. Frank you and Steveo and
Twistie do your level best to destroy Dave. Dave is not posting/forging
anything.It's all you and Twistie playing with your good butty Steveo.
You know dam well you and twistie started all of the faggot
shit.......big surprise considering all you guys are founding faggots of
the medina gay cb club.
I guess Steveo promised to suck-off some of your followers and thats why
you and your blow-butties all snap at Dave. Dave is the one guy here
thats NOT doing all sorts of gay bullshit.
It's you Frank. You fancy yourself as some sort of "usenet intellect".
But you're nothing more than a sick, gay, little man who's life revolves
your computer boards and chat rooms. Fuck you and your family you no
good son of a bitch!
And Steveo....... FUCK YOU AND YOUR HOMO LOVER TWISTIE.......... You
filthy asshole!
_
HAhaha..too funny. N3CVJ was the only one not forged and the gay
bullshit and attacks on Frank came only after he manipulated you into
contradicting yourself,,,,repeatedly....again. Go soak your head, Dave.

>I was the only one not forged? Was Jim

>forged?

On many ooccasion, he was attacked by those you have defended.

>How about Lancer?

Same.

> Keith Hoseman?

You forged him last week and tried to make it seem like it was Dogie.
You're weak and just can't help yourself, Davie. Your problems dictate
your behavior and you can not change. Your intentional misspelling and
disrespect of Keith's last name illustrates not only your malice, but
holds perfectly with the posts you and n8wwm have made attacking Keith
and calling him "Judas" because he found out what Dogie and those who

defend him are about...lesions to ham radio.

>There are several regular members of this

>group who haven't been forged. In fact, there

>are more that haven't been forged than have.

>The only people who have been forged are

>the same people who have been outspoken

>against Doug/George/ Etc.

Right,,,like Keith,,and now your disdain is in control of your actions again and you are disrespecting him by altering the spelling of his name. The timing is impeccable, as you have held many exchanges with Keith in the past with no deviation of the spelling in his name. Extraordinary timing, actually, that your malice comes towards Keith came right on the heel of Dogie's malice toward Keith and your forges of him.

>The reason that I haven't been forged, is that I
>haven't been outspoken against them, as you

>and some others have. They don't have a beef
>with me.

I see....so you disagree with Dogie and Geogre when they deny the forgeries and blame Mopar for them. Consider everyone you named most certainly HAS been outspoken against the bullshit at one time or another. If you weren't diagnosed with such chronic disorders, you may comprehend such, but it appears that soma you are abusing has taken its toll. Get some help, Dave. The drug was meant to be a short term aid, not a long-time crutch as you have made it.

>Not one of these "forges" has either the same

>isp or IP address as me.

Your claims about your accesses have been shown to be bullshit, by more than one person.

>Only your ridiculous claim of my "having

>several ISP's" is the only explanation you have
>to reconcile this glaring error.

I thought I made an error once, but I was mistaken.

>But the truth is that you cannot prove your

>allegation.

As you have never proved any of yours. Pot, kettle, black. You are a hypocrite and thanks to these pages, those opinions who I do value have

come to recognize you as such.

>You are taking pot shots in the wind.

Actually, you introduced that behavior with your delusions of psychiatric qualifications based on what you refer "empirical observation", the same method that has you claiming roger beeps are illegal via the absence of a rule permitting them.

> And your ignorance remains glaringly

>apparent,

Tell us more about your skills and length of time in radio that has you claiming roger eeps are illegal. Since that subject has illustrated just how clueless and ignorant you are concerning radio law, it is much too painful for you to address.

> and that only emboldens those who are

>forging to keep doing it, as they laugh at your

>expense.

Keep checking those pockets for spare change while calling others poor. Actually, you have no choice in the matter and never did..

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2154. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 15:28:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15880-4202430E-258@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195842

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:14:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:
(I was the only one not forged? Was Jim forged? How about Lancer? Keith
Hoseman? Vinnie? Kevin? Eric? Tnom? Others?)

>They haven't done me yet, because I pay no

>attention to them.

Nope, that's not the reason, as evidenced in the archives. "They" have
indeed hassled several who have said nothing at all to them. Jim was
attacked because "they" didn't like the subject in which he was engaged,
some time back. He paid no attention to them either, but it meant
nothing.

>They have been KF, because they offer

>nothing to the group. When they change their

>email or name, I just KF again. This NG is

>actualy readable.

>Vinnie S.

I agree. In fact, this group has always been readable, killfile or not.
In fact, the cb and related equipment readily available on this group
has increased immensely over the past six months.

2155. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 16:02:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15880-42024B19-262@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195744

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (N3CVJ)
On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 10:22:27 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Yea, Dave is having dilemmas these days, personally attacking those with
whom he disagrees.

>I only get personal when the opposition does

>so to me first.

That simply is not true and has been illustrated on multiple occasion.
In fact, you have initiated name calling and insults going back to your
infancy stages in this group. Whenever you disagree with someone, your
tone gets shitty, and then the insults come rapidly from your potty
mouth. You then attempt to defend and justify your ignorance with more
ignorance,,such as "he talks dx, and dx is a federal law, so breaking it
makes one a federal criminal". Such a statement illustrates your
profound insistence on remaining uneducated on judiciary and fiduciary
matters as pertaining to civil and criminal law, but not as well as your
invoked defense as to why you incorrectly insist roger beeps are
illegal.

_
  The pattern never changes. Dave talks shit,
Dave gets corrected.

>When?

Roger beeps,,,civil matters vs criminal matters.....accesses to
rec.radio.cb...off you go, now.

_
Dave tries to change subject, Dave gets corrected.

>You are the one who can't stay on topic.

Tell us your reason for calling innocent people "criminals" based on
nothing more than your invoked and self-professed powers of "empirical
observation"...the same method of which you utilize for saying roger
beeps are illegal..the same method you utilize rendering you unable to
distinguish whether penalties against a speeder going over 70 MPH
(federally mandated law) and a dxer are of a criminal nature or mere
civil infraction.

>All the way back to the very first discussion

>about CB rules, when you kept trying to

>deflect the topic to USENET rules.

Introduction of rules were not done by myself.Your taking issue with me bringing up usenet rules when you wish to remain only speaking of cb rules illustrates two things of your nature....A) You are a hypocrite who selectively chooses which rules to obey and B) you are a hypocrite who has done just that. On several occasion you have invoked other rules of society making contradictory statements of what you are saying now. In fact, you have claimed those who can not be trusted to follow the cb rules, can not be trusted to follow other rules of society. I merely illustrated that the same may hold true for your lost usenet accesses. In fact, I would enjoy nothing more
than to explore this avenue with you, Dave.
We can illustrate the terms of service agreements that come with several of the many services you have used to access this group and then compare the length of time spent with each until your service was terminated for failure to follow the rules set forth.

_
Dave gets hostile, Dave gets corrected.

>Hostile? When have I ever gotten hostile?

Under the influence of Soma, calling people names, accusing people of "taking sides" against you reeks of paranoid schitzophrenia.

> This is entertainment for me.

That's all good. Hell, as long as you find humor in your self-professed moronic claims, we can all enjoy you.

>I love watching puppets dance.

Especially when they are directed and goaded into making statements about roger beeps being illegal right on the heels of their comments totuing their skill and length of time in radio.

_
 Dave accuses those who disagree with his lunatic rants as "taking sides". Dave gets corrected.

>You are taking sides.

For once in your life, try and be accurate..I *am* the side.

>The wrong ones.

You have demonstrated a profound weakness for saying this of all you fear, admire, and for which you can not keep up.

_
Dave calls names. Dave gets corrected, Dave goes back underground with his sock puppet,

>I have no sock puppets,

You do.

>and you can't prove otherwise.

Hehe,,,you just did.

>Unlike you, who sees fit to change your name

>on a semi-regular basis.

You are thinking of your rec.radio.cb accesses, not mine.

>You still suffer from aspects of anti-social and

>narcisistic personality disorders.

This is where you soma-originated delusions take over. You can't even comprehend simple radio law for which you self-profess you are skillfully adept.....one certainly can not expect you to be proficient in any other areas you may profess.

> You see yourself as better than everyone

>else.

I certainly do not. In fact, my posts in the past have maintained the opposite of everything you try and sell, only, your track record has never been more dismal. you have had a terrible time this winter, Dave.

>You are convinced that you represent the

>majority view and can't comprehend that

>people would disagree with you.

Yep, I am convinced I am much more in tune with the "majority view" than what you self-maintain.

>That's why you believe that the many people

>who take you to task for your warped

>viewpoints are really one person "forging".

Let's analyze your newest false statement for a second, as this is yet another opportunity to illustrate your double-talk and two-facedness. The only "person" that is constipated
is you. Based on your own comments concerning your obsession with real identities, you are once again, all alone in your delusions that you take any one "to task."

> Your psyche does not allow you to

>contemplate that you might just be wrong.

Reflect on that statement concerning your pasted ignorance all over usenet that roger beeps are illegal because you failed to locate a rule by the fcc permitting their use.

>Dave

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2156. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 16:12:24 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15884-42024D68-25@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195745

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 10:39:13 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:25936-41FFA050-97 @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
Guess again Twisty.
4.229.204.214;
Host Name :
dialup-4.229.204.214.Dial1.Detroit1.Level3.net
-
Physician, heal thyself! Only you are guessing. You continue to refer me
as "Twisty". I will clarify for your immediate gratification,
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge, I am iamnotgeorge.

>So now you are attempting to deny that you

>are Twisty?

You are in no position to ask a question of another prior to answering
the question posed to yourself. Such behavior illustrates not only your
ire and illiteracy regarding proper communication, but your blatant
hypocrisy responsibe for your reputation as a lid.
Let us try again, ok Dave?
Have you figured out roger beeps are not illegal yet, Dave? Have you
mustered up the courage to face your own incompetence and answer those
painful questions that knock the hell out of your twisted logic? You
maintain a dxer is a federal criminal and the only criteria you offer
for such ignorance is the dxer is "breaking a rule enacted by the feds."
A speeder going faster than 70 MPH is also breaking a federal rule and
the act has a real possibility to maim, kil, or injure. Do you also
maintain the speeder is a "federal criminal" Dave? Take you time now,
and do address this subject when it isn;t so difficult. Perhaps when you
drop the soma abuse...

>You can change you name, but you can't

>change your style.

And that style would be recgonized by your self-professed skills arrived
via your length of time in radio and "empirical observation" skills
responsible for you bringing us cries of "roger beeps are illegal."

>Good luck......

I'm not the one that needs luck to be coherent, correct, or understood.
You have that covered.

>Dave

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2157. Channel ssb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 16:17:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15880-42024EA4-264@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195746

38 low is kicking up some long distance.

2158. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 16:37:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <cpj40156ov7c0p4ikq85hjrdua597465sj@4ax.com>
Source record: 195747

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 10:19:50 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>
>>I was the only one not forged? Was Jim
>
>>forged?
>
>
>On many ooccasion, he was attacked by those you have defended.

When?

>>How about Lancer?
>
>Same.

Again, when? Show examples.

>> Keith Hoseman?
>
>You forged him last week and tried to make it seem like it was Dogie.

Liar. Prove it was me or keep your trap shut.

>You're weak and just can't help yourself, Davie. Your problems dictate
>your behavior and you can not change.

Your various psychological afflictions dictate you antisocial behavior
and that'll never change. Most mental help professionals agree that a
true sociopath is un treatable.

> Your intentional misspelling and
>disrespect of Keith's last name illustrates not only your malice, but
>holds perfectly with the posts you and n8wwm have made attacking Keith
>and calling him "Judas" because he found out what Dogie and those who
>defend him are about...lesions to ham radio.

A perfect example of your psychological delusions. An innocent typo
gets spun into some sort of conspiratorial plot. You should seek some
help for your persecution complex.

>
>
>
>
>>There are several regular members of this
>

>>group who haven't been forged. In fact, there
>
>>are more that haven't been forged than have.
>
>>The only people who have been forged are
>
>>the same people who have been outspoken
>
>>against Doug/George/ Etc.
>
>
>
>Right,,,like Keith,,and now your disdain is in control of your actions
>again and you are disrespecting him by altering the spelling of his
>name.

At least he has the guts to use it.......

>The timing is impeccable, as you have held many exchanges with
>Keith in the past with no deviation of the spelling in his name.

I've never had to spell his name in the past. The "reply" function
does that for me.

>Extraordinary timing, actually, that your malice comes towards Keith
>came right on the heel of Dogie's malice toward Keith and your forges of
>him.

I've forged no one. You can't prove otherwise.

>I see....so you disagree with Dogie and Geogre when they deny the
>forgeries and blame Mopar for them.

I don't know, nor profess to know who the forgers are. Frankly, I'm
just not that interested. The more guys like you make silly
accusations, the more the forges will continue. You can't do anything
about it, as evidenced by their continual presence.

Besides, I though you blamed me for the forgeries? So who's doing it?
It is me? Doug? George? Aaron Voobner? Leland? Frank? The truth is you
don't have a clue.

> Consider everyone you named most
>certainly HAS been outspoken against the bullshit at one time or
>another.

Not to the degree and laced in the vitriolic personal attacks that
you have mounted.

>>Not one of these "forges" has either the same
>>isp or IP address as me.

>Your claims about your accesses have been shown to be bullshit, by more
>than one person.

Who? When? How? Prove it.

>>But the truth is that you cannot prove your
>>allegation.
>
>
>As you have never proved any of yours.

Irrelevant. deflection of the issue does not make it go away.

> Pot, kettle, black. You are a
>hypocrite and thanks to these pages, those opinions who I do value have
>come to recognize you as such.

No one but you feels that way. You are alone. An island of
psychological turmoil in the sea of USENET.

>>You are taking pot shots in the wind.

>Actually, you introduced that behavior with your delusions of
>psychiatric qualifications based on what you refer "empirical
>observation", the same method that has you claiming roger beeps are
>illegal via the absence of a rule permitting them.

So you admit that my "diagnoses" initiated a bitter, emotionally laced
retaliation against me on your part?

What's the matter, I hit too close to home?

>> And your ignorance remains glaringly
>>apparent,

>Tell us more about your skills and length of time in radio that has you
>claiming roger eeps are illegal.

I'd rather discuss your psychological anomalies and how they compel
you to lie about other people and disrupt an otherwise civil
newsgroup.

You remain the newsgroup punch clown.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2159. Re: using a chip-clip on PLL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 17:09:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050203120951.296$fb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195748

"FeMaster" <FeMaster @ hotmail . com> wrote:
> CB radios don't transmit outside the regular 40 channels. If a radio
> does transmit outside the regular 40, then it's no longer (or never was)
> a CB radio...
>
> Goes to show what you know..
>
> PH
>
Don't listen to the fugly-felon(n8wwm). Look how hw installed his antenna.

http://img239.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img239&image=dogie047uw.jpg

>
> N8WWM <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1107379608.646112.252570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > It's illegal to transmit on CB radios outside the 40 regular channels
> >

2160. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 19:44:26 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <7lv4019dhnekejpkijj63hgrmo8ncab72a@4ax.com>
Source record: 195749

On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:16:30 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 22:51:12 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:
>
>>I am missing the slugs.
>>
>>I got it a flea market.
>
>Ahh. Can you get them from Uniden? What is going to kill you is shipping.
>
>Vinnie S.

Sean, I was just thinking you should try and get another scrap unit, and try to use both to get one working. The problem you are going to have is not the cost of components. It's the minimum order bullshit, and handling charges. You might need $10 of components, and be nailed for $30. RFparts is one of those.

Vinnie S.

2161. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-03 23:29:41 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4202b120$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195750

True.
I wouldn't even think about sending it in, cause like you said that parts are much easier to come by and that I could try looking for a scrap unit to get one of them going instead of dealing with shipping charges.

I just wasn't sure on how to measure those inductors that have those slugs cause there are 4 pins in a square formation at the bottom.

There's a Frost Fest this month at the Richmond Showplace in Henrico County, VA and I'm gonna see what I can find.

Tnx.

Sean
"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message news:7lv4019dhnekejpkijj63hgrmo8ncab72a@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:16:30 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 22:51:12 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:
> >
> >>I am missing the slugs.
> >>
> >>I got it a flea market.
> >
> >Ahh. Can you get them from Uniden? What is going to kill you is shipping.
> >
> >Vinnie S.
>
>
> Sean, I was just thinking you should try and get another scrap unit, and try to
> use both to get one working. The problem you are going to have is not the cost
> of components. It's the minimum order bullshit, and handling charges. You might
> need $10 of components, and be nailed for $30. RFparts is one of those.
>
> Vinnie S.

2162. OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 00:37:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050203193708.467$L5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195751

Pick 'em for giggles.

I'll take N.E. and give seven.

Under 48.

2163. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 01:50:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050203205043.631$fO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195752

aaagh@yow.net wrote:
172.166.200.179

2164. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 02:00:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050203210054.626$uA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195753

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:14:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
> >I was the only one not forged? Was Jim forged? How about Lancer? Keith
> >Hoseman? Vinnie? Kevin? Eric? Tnom? Others?
>
> They haven't done me yet, because I pay no attention to them. They have
> been KF, because they offer nothing to the group. When they change their
> email or name, I just KF again. This NG is actualy readable.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Hey, I put the newsreader.com sig file back at the bottom of my posts. If
it doesn't say http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month at the bottom, it is
someone like dogie forging me.

If he forges http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month, he will have to deal
with Curt and upstream trouble.

Sorry to draw such a jackass to my every post.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2165. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 02:47:48 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4202df8c$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195754

You need to direct that to the idioso who took them OUT before I even went
to the flea market.

You did NOT read my post whatsoever!

Go BACK and READ!
Where does it say that I performed a mod and screwed up the radio?

NOWHERE!

If you don't have any useful information in relation to this post or to
rec.radio.cb, then you better go play your childish games on another
newsgroup before I report you to your ISP.
And you better be more creative than using a non-valid email address.

<aaagh@yow.net> wrote in message news:iuAMd.17229$US.4372@news.ono.com...
> > I am missing the slugs.
> >
> > I got it a flea market.
> >
> >
> > "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:i57301t2hreigkq6vukob9ferfkqshh5kd@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 22:19:21 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >What are the (micro-Henrys) values for L37 and L38 on the Uniden
Grant
> > XL?
> > > >(PLL MB8719)
> > > >
> > > >I have unsoldered and completely removed them both.
> > > >
> > > >I am unable to locate it on the schematic.
> > > >
> > > >(I have someone that can help me re-calibrate the radio after the
> > > >installation.)
> > > >
> > > >What are the drawbacks /side-effects of transplanting Inductors from
> > other
> > > >40 channel AM/SSB radios?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Tnx,
> > > >Sean
> > > >Amelia, Virginia
> > >

> > >
> > > Why did you do that? What mod were you doing?
> > >
> > > Vinnie S.
> >
> >
>
> WHAT IGNORANT KEYCLOWN TOLD YOU TO REMOVE
> THE INDUCTORS FROM YOUR RADIO???????
>
> What an idioso.

2166. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 03:02:46 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <edp501l4uedcvs50hbrllvn1vbdmiov5sa@4ax.com>
Source record: 195755

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 21:47:48 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:

>You need to direct that to the idioso who took them OUT before I even went
>to the flea market.
>
>You did NOT read my post whatsoever!
>
>Go BACK and READ!
>Where does it say that I performed a mod and screwed up the radio?
>
>NOWHERE!
>
>If you don't have any useful information in relation to this post or to
>rec.radio.cb, then you better go play your childish games on another
>newsgroup before I report you to your ISP.
>And you better be more creative than using a non-valid email address.

Sean,

Pay no attention to him. Killfile him. There are plenty of helpful people in
this group. He isn't one of them.

Vinnie S.

2167. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 03:03:56 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0fp50157iib2s384ao56ur8hrl6sl9epkm@4ax.com>
Source record: 195756

On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Pick 'em for giggles.
>
>I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>
>Under 48.

I am a Jets fan. However, I live near Philly. I think the Beagles have a shot. McNab is a QB that can give the Pats trouble. Also, the Eagles defense is underrated.

Vinnie S.

2168. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 03:04:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6ip501lj72dhgk00jcks1m52uh6v6e24eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 195757

On 04 Feb 2005 02:00:54 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On Thu, 03 Feb 2005 08:14:11 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >I was the only one not forged? Was Jim forged? How about Lancer? Keith
>> >Hoseman? Vinnie? Kevin? Eric? Tnom? Others?
>>
>> They haven't done me yet, because I pay no attention to them. They have
>> been KF, because they offer nothing to the group. When they change their
>> email or name, I just KF again. This NG is actualy readable.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Hey, I put the newsreader.com sig file back at the bottom of my posts. If
>it doesn't say http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month at the bottom, it is
>someone like dogie forging me.
>
>If he forges http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month, he will have to deal
>with Curt and upstream trouble.
>
>Sorry to draw such a jackass to my every post.

I know when it's you anyway.

Vinnie S.

2169. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 03:14:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050203221455.609$mT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195758

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >If he forges http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month, he will have to deal
> >with Curt and upstream trouble.
> >
> >Sorry to draw such a jackass to my every post.
>
> I know when it's you anyway.
>
Cool. wtf are the yankees gonna spend on payroll this season!? :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2170. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 03:17:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050203221713.693$dH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195759

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Pick 'em for giggles.
> >
> >I'll take N.E. and give seven.
> >
> >Under 48.
>
> I am a Jets fan. However, I live near Philly. I think the Beagles have a
> shot. McNab is a QB that can give the Pats trouble. Also, the Eagles
> defense is underrated.
>
So did you hide a prediction in there? You're taking the Eagles minus
seven.(noted) over/under 48?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2171. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 03:35:05 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8ar501tc6gjuoapkjl06ubsu74haeaec2h@4ax.com>
Source record: 195760

On 04 Feb 2005 03:17:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Pick 'em for giggles.
>> >
>> >I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>> >
>> >Under 48.
>>
>> I am a Jets fan. However, I live near Philly. I think the Beagles have a
>> shot. McNab is a QB that can give the Pats trouble. Also, the Eagles
>> defense is underrated.
>>
>So did you hide a prediction in there? You're taking the Eagles minus
>seven.(noted) over/under 48?

I will take the points and the over.

Vinnie S.

2172. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 12:48:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <rpo601tp1q0b8r8s2p3qqll80532cj0cfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 195762

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 11:02:33 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (N3CVJ)
>On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 10:22:27 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>Yea, Dave is having dilemmas these days, personally attacking those with
>whom he disagrees.
>
>>I only get personal when the opposition does
>
>>so to me first.
>
>
>That simply is not true and has been illustrated on multiple occasion.
>In fact, you have initiated name calling and insults going back to your
>infancy stages in this group. Whenever you disagree with someone, your
>tone gets shitty, and then the insults come rapidly from your potty
>mouth.

Potty mouth? When have I ever used indecent language? Please be
specific.

> You then attempt to defend and justify your ignorance with more
>ignorance,,such as "he talks dx, and dx is a federal law, so breaking it
>makes one a federal criminal".

That is a basic logical progression. One that you seem damned and
determined to tear apart, but you cannot.

Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against federal law.
That is a fact.

Breaking federal law makes one guilty of engaging in criminal
behavior.

If one is caught, he will be convicted of the same, and will wear the
brand officially.

The fact that someone hasn't been caught yet does not diminish the
criminal nature of that which he is engaged in.

The mindset "It's only illegal if you're are caught" is a
justification technique used by anti-social people to somehow minimize
or soften the severity of what they do. It's an excuse used by
slackers.

>ï¿½ï¿½The pattern never changes. Dave talks shit,
>Dave gets corrected.

>>When?

>Roger beeps,,,civil matters vs criminal matters.....accesses to
>rec.radio.cb...off you go, now.

None of which you have proven. You can't cite a rule which specifically allows Roger Beeps. You can't come up with any examples of law which disproves the logic of my assertion. And lastly, you can not prove that I have had any access to this group through any means other than what I have told you.

In other words, you are a miserable failure in each and every case you've tried to make.

>Dave tries to change subject, Dave gets corrected.

>>You are the one who can't stay on topic.

>Tell us your reason for calling innocent people "criminals" based on
>nothing more than your invoked and self-professed powers of "empirical
>observation".

If you are breaking the law, you are engaging in criminal behavior. The fact that you haven't been caught yet is irrelevant.

If a station is observed talking DX on 27.555, it's a sure bet that they're illegal. It's not rocket science. Most people understand this, and deal with it. But not you. You are the only one in denial, trying to hide behind legal loopholes which may or may not exist, and semantic spin in order to cloud the fact that what you do is illegal, and come with fines and penalties which make the offense severe enough to be called "criminal". You don't get a $10,000 fine or months in jail for speeding, so that comparison is invalid.

>..the same method of which you utilize for saying roger
>beeps are illegal.

Show me the rule that says otherwise.

>.the same method you utilize rendering you unable to
>distinguish whether penalties against a speeder going over 70 MPH
>(federally mandated law) and a dxer are of a criminal nature or mere
>civil infraction.

You keep bringing up this 70 MPH thing. I have never made that comparison. You have me confused with someone else. I'm talking about specific federal radio communications law and nothing else.

>>All the way back to the very first discussion
>>about CB rules, when you kept trying to
>>deflect the topic to USENET rules.

>Introduction of rules were not done by myself.

Deflection of them certainly were.

>Your taking issue with me
>bringing up usenet rules when you wish to remain only speaking of cb
>rules illustrates two things of your nature.

Yes, that I want to remain on topic. Something you can't do.

> I merely
>illustrated that the same may hold true for your lost usenet accesses.
>In fact, I would enjoy nothing more
>than to explore this avenue with you, Dave.
>We can illustrate the terms of service agreements that come with several
>of the many services you have used to access this group and then compare
>the length of time spent with each until your service was terminated for
>failure to follow the rules set forth.

I have never lost a single internet access. Your speculation to the
opposite is a glaring example of your inability to see the forest for
the trees.

In no TOS agreement that I see is there a rule that says that a user
can't post their opinion, or an educated observation, which is all
that I've ever done. That is why my ISP's have always been there. My
last ISP, I had for around 7 years (Google archives will prove that).
My current one (Which I got to take advantage of cable modem speed),
has been intact for the last 2 years or so.

There have been no others, and you can't prove otherwise. So, like you
are prone to tell me when I bring up items like the CB'er who got
busted by the locals in Norristown, since you can't prove it, it must
be a lie. So calling me a liar when you do the same is hypocritical.

_
>Dave gets hostile, Dave gets corrected.
>
>>Hostile? When have I ever gotten hostile?
>
>Under the influence of Soma, calling people names, accusing people of
>"taking sides" against you reeks of paranoid schitzophrenia.

What the hell is Soma anyway?

Not people, only you. You *are* a sociopath whether you want to
believe me or not. The symptoms are there for anyone to read right in
the DSM-IV. They fit your on-line persona to a "T".

That you interpret these accurate observations as "hostile" is further
testimony to your persecution complex, which you can add to the
growing list of psychiatric disorders which plague you.

But take heart. The world isn't out to get you. You aren't important
enough.

>> This is entertainment for me.

>That's all good. Hell, as long as you find humor in your self-professed
>moronic claims, we can all enjoy you.

It's more fun winding you up and watching you spew out a predicable
string of overused terminology. If I could have a dollar for every
time you've used the term "communication's deficit", I could buy a
bigger boat, and hire you to be my bait boy. But you have to promise
not to eat too much of it.

>>I love watching puppets dance.

>>You are taking sides.
>
>
>For once in your life, try and be accurate..I *am* the side.

You aren't that important. Your narcissism notwithstanding.

>>The wrong ones.

>You have demonstrated a profound weakness for saying this of all you
>fear, admire, and for which you can not keep up.

More narcissism? You really are a live case study in the making.

>Dave calls names. Dave gets corrected, Dave goes back underground with
>his sock puppet,
>
>>I have no sock puppets,
>
>
>You do.

Then prove it. But I won't hold my breath because you can't. And those
who are spamming the group will continue to hound you, because there
is nothing you can do about it. You are (To borrow another of your
worn out expressions) impotent.

>>and you can't prove otherwise.
>
>
>Hehe,,,you just did.

More double talk.

>>Unlike you, who sees fit to change your name
>>on a semi-regular basis.

>You are thinking of your rec.radio.cb accesses, not mine.

I have always posted under my name. How I get here is irrelevant. I
always post using the same news server.

>>You are convinced that you represent the
>>majority view and can't comprehend that
>>people would disagree with you.

>Yep, I am convinced I am much more in tune with the "majority view" than
>what you self-maintain.

More narcissism.

Try to tell a nut that he's nuts and he'll swear you're crazy.......

>>That's why you believe that the many people
>>who take you to task for your warped
>>viewpoints are really one person "forging".

>Let's analyze your newest false statement for a second, as this is yet
>another opportunity to illustrate your double-talk and two-facedness.
>The only "person" that is constipated
>is you.

Based on what evidence? Your already demonstrated convoluted thought
processes?

> Based on your own comments concerning your obsession with real
>identities, you are once again, all alone in your delusions that you
>take any one "to task."

I have done nothing to find out anyone's identity. You have me
confused with Frank, and Leland.

In fact, for someone who claims not to care about a person's identity,
you sure went to a bit of trouble (even if you missed the mark a bit)
to learn that my wife's name is "Kimberly T. Hall". (chuckle), and
tried to claim that she's a teacher.

>> Your psyche does not allow you to
>>contemplate that you might just be wrong.

>Reflect on that statement concerning your pasted ignorance all over
>usenet that roger beeps are illegal because you failed to locate a rule
>by the fcc permitting their use.

You failed as well, or you would post it. There fact is that there
isn't a rule that permits it. At the very best, it's a "gray" area,
neither specifically allowed or prohibited. But there are rules which
do address other "amusement or entertainment" devices. Depending on
how you view noise toys like roger beeps, you can make a case either
way.

I am willing to modify my position on this situation once a definitive
rule or judgement, from a person in an official capacity to make them,
is presented.

Your word alone is not sufficient. You aren't in a position of doing
either.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2173. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 12:50:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nqr60155l64tgivlfe7gorsdbcg5tu6nfd@4ax.com>
Source record: 195763

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 11:12:24 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 10:39:13 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
>news:25936-41FFA050-97 @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
>Guess again Twisty.
>4.229.204.214;
>Host Name :
>dialup-4.229.204.214.Dial1.Detroit1.Level3.net
>-
>Physician, heal thyself! Only you are guessing. You continue to refer me
>as "Twisty". I will clarify for your immediate gratification,
>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge, I am iamnotgeorge.
>
>>So now you are attempting to deny that you
>
>>are Twisty?
>
>
>
>You are in no position to ask a question

I'll take that as a "yes"......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2174. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 12:53:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <uur6011o8o8750qgdkug381vrc2g5avoj8@4ax.com>
Source record: 195764

On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 18:29:41 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:

>True.
>I wouldn't even think about sending it in, cause like you said that parts
>are much easier to come by and that I could try looking for a scrap unit to
>get one of them going instead of dealing with shipping charges.
>
>I just wasn't sure on how to measure those inductors that have those slugs
>cause there are 4 pins in a square formation at the bottom.

Are the inductors themselves missing or damaged, or are you simply
missing the core slugs?

If all you need are the slugs, you should be able to get replacements
from any junk parts bin radio. The permeability of the slugs are not
that critical in this case, so they should work.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2175. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 12:57:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <f4s601p91rhfejm4qrbdbnleilkf0n53fg@4ax.com>
Source record: 195765

On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Pick 'em for giggles.
>
>I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>
>Under 48.

Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am hopeful that the
Eagles win, if only to see the town explode the next day.

But realistically I am figuring the score to be about 17-10 in favor
of the Pats.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2176. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 13:03:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050204080323.114$nT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195766

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against federal law.
> That is a fact.
>
And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2177. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 13:08:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050204080807.386$9d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195767

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Pick 'em for giggles.
> >
> >I'll take N.E. and give seven.
> >
> >Under 48.
>
> Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am hopeful that the
> Eagles win, if only to see the town explode the next day.
>
Philly would go bonkers.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2178. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 13:22:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ant6015luprtjklt5etun4131ub2aftf26@4ax.com>
Source record: 195768

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 07:57:09 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Pick 'em for giggles.
>>
>>I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>>
>>Under 48.
>
>Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am hopeful that the
>Eagles win, if only to see the town explode the next day.
>
>But realistically I am figuring the score to be about 17-10 in favor
>of the Pats.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

You are pretty close to me. I live near the Commadore Barry Bridge.

Vinnie S.

2179. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 13:26:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ppt60151qvdvjsts8amerjj5gja2qofqfg@4ax.com>
Source record: 195769

On 04 Feb 2005 03:14:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Cool. wtf are the yankees gonna spend on payroll this season!? :)

Close to 200 million. The funny thing is the Sox fans. They have the 2nd highest payroll. They bitch on how unfair it is. Yet they spend 40 million more then the 3rd highest payroll team, and they really bought their championship. If anybody should be bitching, it should be people like you, who root for the medium market teams that want to spend money, but don't get any revenue sharing because their payroll is not at the very bottom.

Vinnie S.

2180. OT: Maybe important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 13:43:25 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ivs60155eaakutbid0sut0g3v39gafe22b@4ax.com>
Source record: 195770

This morning I found the following scene in the alley behind the house
next door. It appeared between 9pm (02/03/04) and 2am (02/04/04):

www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0327.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0328.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0329.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0330.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0331.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0332.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0333.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0334.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0335.jpg
www.icehouse.net/wirenut/000_0336.jpg

The shoe in the last pic is me. Everything in the pics is how I found
it. According to the Spokane Police Dept, this is no more than a case
of illegal dumping of garbage. Now I'm no crime scene analyst, but
does anybody disagree with this conclusion besides me? (BTW, after the
police left I tossed everything in a bag. If anyone wants more details
then email me -- not including pervs.)

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2181. ar-2000 info wanted 10 meter mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 14:30:52 UTC
From: harvey <hdjames@bellsouthspamnot.net>
Message-ID: <nALMd.27008$t67.122@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 195771

anyone have any info on this rig?saw it on ebay box and description says
clear channel corp.
i did google it but came up nada, wondering if it was also put out under a
different name
thx
harv

2182. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 14:48:28 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5406-42038B3C-21@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195772

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 2 Feb 2005 10:39:13 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:25936-41FFA050-97 @storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
Guess again Twisty.
4.229.204.214;
Host Name :
dialup-4.229.204.214.Dial1.Detroit1.Level3.net
Physician, heal thyself! Only you are guessing. You continue to refer me
as "Twisty". I will clarify for your immediate gratification,
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge, I am iamnotgeorge.

>So now you are attempting to deny that you

>are Twisty?

Much in the same manner you claim other hammies agree with you that
"roger beeps are illegal", but you clam up whenever asked to cite one.
Hallucinating, conjuring up, or lying and claiming support for yourself
when it doesn't exist shows just how desperate you truly are. It also
serves as s shining example of

>You can change you name, but you can't

>change your style.

You can cite all the imagined support you want to lend a false image to
your damaged rep, but you act like a little girl experiencing
menstruation for the first time when your hallucinations are questioned.

>Good luck......

Luck is something one who suffers delusionial hallucinations needs, not
his caregiver.
You claimed otehr hammies agreed with you that roger beeps are illlegal.
I maintain there is no other hammie that ignorant of his hobby and asked
just who it is you claim agrees with your gut-wrenching comedy.....and
it had a rather unnerving effect on your posting habits.

>N3CVJ

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

2183. Re: ar-2000 info wanted 10 meter mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 15:26:30 UTC
From: Jim <J*rase*@charter.net>
Message-ID: <%CMMd.26540$IL7.22546@fe06.lga>
Source record: 195773

That's the same radio as the Midland 79-290. Do a search for that instead.
They're not too expensive either, around $179 bucks or so.

"harvey" <hdjames@bellsouthspamnot.net> wrote in message
news:nALMd.27008$t67.122@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
> anyone have any info on this rig?saw it on ebay box and description says
> clear channel corp.
> i did google it but came up nada, wondering if it was also put out under
a
> different name
> thx
> harv
>
>

2184. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 15:41:57 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5406-420397C5-35@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195774

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 10:19:50 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>I was the only one not forged? Was Jim

>forged?

On many ooccasion, he was attacked by those you have defended.

>When?

>How about Lancer?

Same.

>Again, when? Show examples.

>Keith Hoseman?

You forged him last week and tried to make it seem like it was Dogie.

>Liar. Prove it was me or keep your trap shut.

Same is said to you concerning your claims, making you the proverbial
purveyor of hypocrisy.
The burden of proof is always on the claimant or accuser, and you adhere
to such when another makes a claim that upsets you greatly. When it is
yourself making a claim, you adhere to a separate set of rules for
yourself and reverse your values by telling others to prove your claims
wrong.Off you go, now,
You're weak and just can't help yourself, Davie. Your problems dictate
your behavior and you can not change.

>Your various psychological afflictions dictate

>you antisocial behavior and that'll never

>change.

I, and every other normal person in the world, including those in the
psychiatric field (of which you hold more delusions concerning your
qualifications) understand that when you invent little playmates to
soothe your ego and damaged psyche, they are real only unto yourself.
That you feel these imagined hammies agree with you that "roger beeps
are illegal" serve only to keep you from cracking, but when you begin
insisting in public usenet forums that these imagined playmates of yours
are beginning to agree with your episodes, someone needs to send you the
wake-up call and let you know your behavior is just a tad off the wall.

>Most mental help professionals agree that a

>true sociopath is un treatable.

In other words, you have no hope of stopping these hallucinations only you can see and hear.

_
Your intentional misspelling and
disrespect of Keith's last name illustrates not only your malice, but holds perfectly with the posts you and n8wwm have made attacking Keith and calling him "Judas" because he found out what Dogie and those who defend him are about...lesions to ham radio.

>A perfect example of your psychological

>delusions.

What was that hammie's call sign that you said agreed with you that roger beeps are illegal, Dave? Oh that's right, you are suffering delusions and hallucinations and projecting on overflow mode.

>An innocent typo gets spun into some sort of

>conspiratorial plot.

You are capable of no such feat. It is nothing of the sort,,,just you disrespecting Keith because he hurt your pal Dogie's feelings. In fact, it makes perfect sense when each time Dogie was confronted, you acted his attorney is considered. You have an uncanny motherly-like protective bond for Dogie and no one really cares why. You should seek some help for your persecution complex, as you always feel the world and society is deteriorating or conspiring against you.

>There are several regular members of this

>group who haven't been forged. In fact, there

>are more that haven't been forged than have.

>The only people who have been forged are

>the same people who have been outspoken

>against Doug/George/ Etc.

Right,,,like Keith,,and now your disdain is in control of your actions again and you are disrespecting him by altering the spelling of his name.

>At least he has the guts to use it.......

Speakiing of "guts",,he wasn't the one that threatened me and said he was coming to my town in a few weeks to look me up to "give me my chance" (whatever that meant),,,that was you. Don't you remember that Dave? It was then I illustrated what a coward you are by giving you every opportunity to cach the check your mouth wrote but yellow ass

couldn't cash. If you need to see the thread where your emotions took over and led to the world seeing you fall apart and degrade yourself further, say the word.

_
The timing is impeccable, as you have held many exchanges with Keith in the past with no deviation of the spelling in his name.

>I've never had to spell his name in the past.

You didn't have to spell it this time either, but you-just-can't-help-yourself, Dave..it's not rocket science.

>The "reply" function does that for me.

Except, of course, in this particular case when the love of your life, (dogie) whom you have defended against all, decides he has a problem with Keith.
Extraordinary timing, actually, that your malice comes towards Keith came right on the heel of Dogie's malice toward Keith and your forges of him.

>I've forged no one.

Prove it.

>You can't prove otherwise.

Empirical observation and the probability factor says you are guilty, and you can point to not one hammie that backs you up on your roger beep claim, even though you claimed it to be so. Case in point,,,you make claims all the time and prove none, yet have no problem reducing yourself to the begging of proof from others.
As you were, Dave.

_
I see....so you disagree with Dogie and Geogre when they deny the forgeries and blame Mopar for them.

>I don't know, nor profess to know who the

>forgers are.

You're not that bright, Dave. You just said you aren't forged because you don't speak out or argue with "them"...
Such a statement is concise and an absolute and leaves no room for doubt.
If you profess to not who they are, it is impossible for you to say what you may or not speak of when exchanging words with "them".
Yet, you claimed you knew exactly why you aren't forged, setting forth you do not speak against "them". Doublespeak and it is impossible for both claims to be 100% true. This leaves only the probability factor.
Watching you hallucinate, demanding proof of other's claims, then telling others to prove you wrong when you make the claim, is all one

needs, Dave.

> Frankly, I'm just not that interested.

Try adn remain focused then. Do you have a call sign for this imaginary
hammie that only you can see agreeing with you?

>The more guys like you make silly

>accusations, the more the forges will continue.

Again, for such a statement to have any validity at all, your knowledge
or hand in the situation must also be absolute.
You have always telepgraphed your guilt Dave,,,you
just-can't-help-yourself.

>You can't do anything about it, as evidenced

>by their continual presence.

I can certainly turn you out.

>Besides, I though you blamed me for the

>forgeries? So who's doing it? It is me? Doug?

>George? Aaron Voobner? Leland? Frank?

>The truth is you don't have a clue.

I not only have every clue, I have proof positive and I imagine some
day, it may indeed come in handy.

_
Consider everyone you named most
certainly HAS been outspoken against the bullshit at one time or
another.

>Not to the degree and laced in the vitriolic

>personal attacks that you have mounted.

Personal attacks originate with you, Dave, The entire group sees that,
Dave. You tried blaming me in the past, now that Frank has educated you,
you turned your personal attacks on him. No news here, Dave, Archives
show you calling names as far back as your first month's entrance to
this group. If your memory is being retarded due to the imagined
playmates you now engage, I will be more than happy to illustrate the
threads where you are reduced to emotional outbursts and name callings
all because you (gasp) disagreed with a poster in usenet.

>Not one of these "forges" has either the same

>isp or IP address as me.

Your claims about your accesses have been shown to be bullshit, by more than one person.

>Who?

Frank, most recently.

>When? How? Prove it.

Haha,,I can see you now,,,spraying your monitor with "pwove it pwove it" over and over.

>But the truth is that you cannot prove your

>allegation.

As you have never proved any of yours.

>Irrelevant. deflection of the issue does not

>make it go away.

Which is why you are unable to distance yourself from making the claim that other hammies agree with you and support your statement that "roger beeps are illegal". You are lying or hallucinating. Either way, you need assistance.
No one but you feels that way. You are alone.

_
Tell us more about your skills and length of time in radio that has you claiming roger eeps are illegal.

>I'd rather discuss your psychological

>anomalies

We can discuss whatever you wish, Davie, but only after you answer the questions posed to you, first, After all, that is how proper communication works and with the help of this group, someday, such may be ingrained upon your bitter self.

>and how they compel you to lie about other

>people and disrupt an otherwise civil

>newsgroup.

Gee Dave, only you have defended Dogie and called his actions civil. But I want you to know I very much take pride in the fact that you refer to my posts as disrupting your world. As always, you telegraph your feelings, but remain challenged and face an inner struggle when you attempt to place your feelings into words. The mere fact that your gray matter interprets my posts as "disruptive" illustrates how out of control your emotions actually are. You're like a damaged tuning fork that gives a sad sound when thumped.

>You remain the newsgroup punch clown.

Clowns take a back seat to those who scream in public about their imaginary playmates, Dave. Have these imagined playmates of yours been with you since childhod or were they just conjured recently to make it look like you were not alone in your incredibly ignorant statement that roger beeps are illegal? Yea,,that's exactly what happened.

>Dave

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2185. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 17:13:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050204121355.600$EV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195775

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 04 Feb 2005 03:14:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Cool. wtf are the yankees gonna spend on payroll this season!? :)
>
> Close to 200 million. The funny thing is the Sox fans. They have the 2nd
> highest payroll. They bitch on how unfair it is. Yet they spend 40
> million more then the 3rd highest payroll team, and they really bought
> their championship. If anybody should be bitching, it should be people
> like you, who root for the medium market teams that want to spend money,
> but don't get any revenue sharing because their payroll is not at the
> very bottom.
>
They even have a commercial where king George needs an arm doctor
from writing too many checks..Torre is there with him.

Shame on the mess.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2186. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 18:32:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <48d70116s7td8csfvnk52iqubl9001sgp8@4ax.com>
Source record: 195776

On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 10:41:57 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Same is said to you concerning your claims, making you the proverbial
>purveyor of hypocrisy.
>The burden of proof is always on the claimant or accuser

Exactly, so provide the proof that I am forging other people or that I
have "multiple ISP's".

>I, and every other normal person in the world

You are in no position to know what other people think, nor are you
qualified to determine what is or is not "normal". In fact, your
behavior to date is a textbook example of abnormal behavior. The fact
that you continually claim to know what "the majority" thinks is just
another example of your narcissistic tendencies and your inner craving
for peer approval.

>What was that hammie's call sign that you said agreed with you that
>roger beeps are illegal, Dave?

Who said it was a ham? Certainly not me.

>>An innocent typo gets spun into some sort of
>>conspiratorial plot.

>You are capable of no such feat.

No, but you are, and have (once again).

>You should seek some help
>for your persecution complex, as you always feel the world and society
>is deteriorating or conspiring against you.

You can't even be original. At least if you're going to keep up the
appearance of a debate, at least have the intellectual fortitude to
think up your own comments and not recycle what I just threw at you on
the last go-around.

>Speakiing of "guts",,he wasn't the one that threatened me.....

Threat? What threat? Oh I forgot, you view every encounter through
the lens of paranoia..

I did offer to have a face-to face with you, which you conveniently
weaseled out of.

> ....and said he
>was coming to my town in a few weeks to look me up to "give me my
>chance" (whatever that meant),,,that was you. Don't you remember that
>Dave? It was then I illustrated what a coward you are by giving you
>every opportunity to cach the check your mouth wrote but yellow ass
>couldn't cash. If you need to see the thread where your emotions took
>over and led to the world seeing you fall apart and degrade yourself
>further, say the word.

You're delusional (no surprise there). You refused to meet and wanted
me to give you my credit card number before you would consider going
any further.

We could have met in any number of public places but you refused to
deal. The truth is you don't want to risk the loss of even one part of
your anonymity. I, on the other hand, have nothing to hide.

If I wanted to do "something" less than honorable, I could have come
to you without your knowing and found out what I wanted to. But that's
not what I wanted.

>Except, of course, in this particular case when the love of your life,
>(dogie) whom you have defended against all, decides he has a problem
>with Keith.

I have never exchanged anything with Doug. I don't even know him,
other that what you purport is being posted by him under an anonymous
guise (hmm, that sounds familiar)......

>Extraordinary timing, actually, that your malice comes towards Keith
>came right on the heel of Dogie's malice toward Keith and your forges of
>him.

I made a mistake spelling Keith's name, and that's malice? You really
are wound up tighter than an 8 day clock.

>>I've forged no one.

>Prove it.

>Empirical observation and the probability factor says you are guilty,

Based on what evidence? In other words, you have nothing. If I'm
guilty, go ahead and have my ISP pulled. Go ahead, I dare you.

>I see....so you disagree with Dogie and Geogre when they deny the
>forgeries and blame Mopar for them.

>>I don't know, nor profess to know who the
>>forgers are.

>You're not that bright, Dave. You just said you aren't forged because
>you don't speak out or argue with "them"...

Them meaning those who spam the newsgroup.

>Such a statement is concise and an absolute and leaves no room for
>doubt.

There are few absolutes in this world. There are always alternative
explanations. What you are engaging in is called "Bifurcation ".

>If you profess to not who they are, it is impossible for you to say what
>you may or not speak of when exchanging words with "them".

You are employing circular reasoning again. Try to stay focussed.

>Yet, you claimed you knew exactly why you aren't forged, setting forth
>you do not speak against "them".

Human nature (something you know little about evidently) has
historically shown that those who feel wronged will lash out at those
who have wronged them. It's no surprise then that the biggest
complainers of the forgers, are the ones being forged.

> Doublespeak and it is impossible for
>both claims to be 100% true. This leaves only the probability factor.

You'd better study some more of Frank's logic, because you're
confusing yourself again.

>>The more guys like you make silly
>>accusations, the more the forges will continue.
>
>Again, for such a statement to have any validity at all, your knowledge
>or hand in the situation must also be absolute.

I know human nature. I've also been on CB radio for 35 years and
behavior like this was common there as well. As long as someone gets a
reaction, the more they will continue to yank your chain. Only you're
too self absorbed and self aggrandizing to see that.

>>You can't do anything about it, as evidenced
>>by their continual presence.

>I can certainly turn you out.

But that would be (another) lie.

>>Besides, I though you blamed me for the
>>forgeries? So who's doing it? It is me? Doug?
>>George? Aaron Voobner? Leland? Frank?
>>The truth is you don't have a clue.

>I not only have every clue, I have proof positive and I imagine some
>day, it may indeed come in handy.

You have nothing, or you would post it. Since I know the truth, I also
know you have no proof. It's just another in a long string of your
lies.

So go ahead, make my day. Have my ISP pulled. I'm waiting.
ï¿½ï¿½
>>Not to the degree and laced in the vitriolic
>>personal attacks that you have mounted.

>Personal attacks originate with you, Dave, The entire group sees that,

Talking for others again? You just can't get beyond that can you? You aren't that important.

>Dave. You tried blaming me in the past, now that Frank has educated you,
>you turned your personal attacks on him.

He started that when he couldn't deal with the fact that his reasons for supporting his political ideologies were shown to be based on little more than subjective bias. His frustration led to snide comments. I merely responded in kind.

>No news here, Dave, Archives
>show you calling names as far back as your first month's entrance to
>this group.

Long before you even knew what USENET was.....

> If your memory is being retarded due to the imagined
>playmates you now engage, I will be more than happy to illustrate the
>threads where you are reduced to emotional outbursts and name callings
>all because you (gasp) disagreed with a poster in usenet.

I have been more than cordial to anyone who has a disagreement, until they feel the need to get personal. Then I respond in kind. I treat people with the same respect that they show me.

>>Not one of these "forges" has either the same
>>isp or IP address as me.

>Your claims about your accesses have been shown to be bullshit, by more
>than one person.
>
>>Who?
>
>Frank, most recently.

When? Provide the thread. Frank has not blamed me for anything. He and I may be at the opposite end of the political spectrum, but he's not an idiot, and wouldn't make such a charge. Then again, he did call you Dave McCampbell..... Only you know how accurate that was.

>>When? How? Prove it.

>Haha,,I can see you now,,,spraying your monitor with "pwove it pwove it"
>over and over.

And I'll wait here for you to provide some. But you won't since you have none.

>>I'd rather discuss your psychological
>>anomalies

>We can discuss whatever you wish, Davie, but only after you answer the
>questions posed to you, first, After all, that is how proper
>communication works and with the help of this group, someday, such may
>be ingrained upon your bitter self.
>
>>and how they compel you to lie about other
>>people and disrupt an otherwise civil
>>newsgroup.

>Gee Dave, only you have defended Dogie and called his actions civil.

If it weren't for you, Doug would never have wound up. YOU are the
main cause of all the unrest that has inhabited this group for the
last 3 or 4 years now. The google records clearly show it.

> But
>I want you to know I very much take pride in the fact that you refer to
>my posts as disrupting your world.

Not my world, this newsgroup. Which is what I suspected from the
onslaught. You do this for kicks. You have no remorse. You truly are a
sociopath.

>>You remain the newsgroup punch clown.

And now I will exercise something you don't have, and that's self
control. This thread is over. But you can't resist the overwhelming
urge to get in the last word.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2187. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 18:38:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <t4g701ph6sg3vgk0pijdg0n8i5p1hnf1n4@4ax.com>
Source record: 195777

On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against federal law.
>> That is a fact.
>>
>And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.

I have no problem with anyone who does it. That's the really funny part about this whole thing. Twist will sit here and spin and obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to deny that what he (and you I guess) does is illegal. But the law is clear on this.

If you want to take you chances, hey, go for it! Just don't pretend what you do is somehow "permitted".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2188. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 18:40:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pag701lp20l411ild35cjfu9a01f6dk917@4ax.com>
Source record: 195778

On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 09:48:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Much in the same manner you claim other hammies agree with you that
>"roger beeps are illegal",

You want to know how many hams agree with this, then post the question
on one of the ham newsgroups and see who agrees.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2189. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 18:41:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tdg701hrcc74h3fhd041afqos8eas14ucj@4ax.com>
Source record: 195779

On 04 Feb 2005 13:08:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Pick 'em for giggles.
>> >
>> >I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>> >
>> >Under 48.
>>
>> Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am hopeful that the
>> Eagles win, if only to see the town explode the next day.
>>
>Philly would go bonkers.

Yes, they would. I'm glad I don't live near the city.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2190. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 18:42:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6fg70194pp3gt8unl7h9cm532r04bal6sd@4ax.com>
Source record: 195780

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 08:22:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 07:57:09 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Pick 'em for giggles.
>>>
>>>I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>>>
>>>Under 48.
>>
>>Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am hopeful that the
>>Eagles win, if only to see the town explode the next day.
>>
>>But realistically I am figuring the score to be about 17-10 in favor
>>of the Pats.
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>
>You are pretty close to me. I live near the Commadore Barry Bridge.
>

Actually no. I'm further west. I'me between Phila and Harrisburg,
closer to Reading.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2191. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 19:24:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <fvi701tk29ckifj9ef8b1mk71i7p00ndq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 195782

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:42:42 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 08:22:17 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 07:57:09 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Pick 'em for giggles.
>>>>
>>>>I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>>>>
>>>>Under 48.
>>>
>>>Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am hopeful that the
>>>Eagles win, if only to see the town explode the next day.
>>>
>>>But realistically I am figuring the score to be about 17-10 in favor
>>>of the Pats.
>>>
>>>Dave
>>>"Sandbagger"
>>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>>
>>You are pretty close to me. I live near the Commadore Barry Bridge.
>>
>
>Actually no. I'm further west. I'me between Phila and Harrisburg,
>closer to Reading.

I go to that area all the ttime. I cover eastern PA

Vinnie S.

2192. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 19:26:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050204142621.345$HS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195781

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against federal law.
> >> That is a fact.
> >>
> >And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.
>
> I have no problem with anyone who does it. That's the really funny
> part about this whole thing. Twist will sit here and spin and
> obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to deny that what he (and you I
> guess) does is illegal. But the law is clear on this.
>
> If you want to take you chances, hey, go for it! Just don't pretend
> what you do is somehow "permitted".
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
It's been permitted since the 70's, when I got into it.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2193. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 21:06:35 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <KvRMd.1763$eB2.763@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195783

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 04 Feb 2005 03:17:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Pick 'em for giggles.
>>>>
>>>>I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>>>>
>>>>Under 48.
>>>
>>>I am a Jets fan. However, I live near Philly. I think the Beagles have a
>>>shot. McNab is a QB that can give the Pats trouble. Also, the Eagles
>>>defense is underrated.
>>>
>>
>>So did you hide a prediction in there? You're taking the Eagles minus
>>seven.(noted) over/under 48?
>
>
>
> I will take the points and the over.
>
> Vinnie S.
we had a good year vinnie. c. martin is class.
pats, points & under...

2194. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 21:20:06 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <aGRMd.590$hU7.334@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195784

sean wrote:
> What are the (micro-Henrys) values for L37 and L38 on the Uniden Grant XL?
> (PLL MB8719)
>
> I have unsoldered and completely removed them both.
>
> I am unable to locate it on the schematic.
>
> (I have someone that can help me re-calibrate the radio after the
> installation.)
>
> What are the drawbacks /side-effects of transplanting Inductors from other
> 40 channel AM/SSB radios?
>
>
> Tnx,
> Sean
> Amelia, Virginia
>
>
>
>
>
>

The Grant XL and the Cobra 148 are the same radio. If you have a junk
148, you can just swap them over.

HTH

-SSB

2195. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 21:34:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8hp70112dhdi2s075lbef5eg7b3luc82bi@4ax.com>
Source record: 195785

I don't have to work tonight and family issues are on hold for the
weekend, so what the hell.....

On Fri, 28 Jan 2005 10:45:15 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <bahkv05kjt6rpkidv3blj5h2epho47gvp0@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 27 Jan 2005 08:23:13 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>Maybe that's happened to you but never on my bench, not unless the cap
>>>>was too small for the load. Like I said before, I have never seen a
>>>>cap that blew up on it's own accord, leaky or not (and I don't know
>>>>how you can determine it was leakage that caused your cap to blow
>>>>unless you have a time machine in your shop).
>>>
>>>Shhhhh. That a "way back" machine, and it's only a prototype.
>>>
>>>But you make a good point. But like Sherlock Holmes once said, when
>>>all the other possibilities are excluded, what remains, however
>>>unlikely must be the answer.
>>
>>
>>Sherlock Holmes was not a real person
>
>No, but Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was, and he wrote it.

Sir Arthur Conan Doyle wrote fiction.

>>, and that poorly-quoted cliche
>>has one very important point you completely missed: "when all the
>>other possibilities are excluded". Care to apply that concept to the
>>debate between creationism and evolution?
>
>Why? That's an entirely different subject with limitless and
>unverifiable possibilities. Unlike the subject we're currently
>discussing. There are a finite amount of potential causes of cap
>failure. Most of which can be reproduced.

If a cap can fail due to an act of God then it can't be verified. Your
argument is therefore invalid unless you are an athiest.

>> Or can you see that there
>>are "other possibilities" that may not be obvious but they do exist?
>
>Not in this particular case.

That's a conclusion based on your own ignorance.

<snip>
>>> In most sweep tube amps and tube CB radios, I rarely see
>>>more than about 40 uF in filter caps. Voltage rating depends on the
>>>circuit. Most amps use 450V caps in series.
>>
>>
>>I have a cap handy that's rated 40 uF @ 440 VAC. It must weigh at
>>least five pounds and measures 10" x 4" x 2" (want a pic?). It's big
>>because it's designed to handle lots of AC. I also have another
>>capacitor handy that's rated 40 uF @ 450 VDC. It's barely 2" long and
>>1" diameter. It can't handle much AC at all. I have seen the caps used
>>in sweep tube amps and they aren't designed to handle much AC at all.
>>Load them down with some ripple and **poof**.
>
>But ripple is NOT A.C. It's pulsating D.C. At no point in the cycle
>does the voltage polarity reverse (alternate).

Despite your archaic definition of AC, the point is still valid; Those
large AC caps ("motor run" caps, to be specific) are frequently used
by audiophiles as DC power supply caps in SE tube amps because they
have a very low AC resistance, much lower than electrolytic caps.
Power supply caps are selected for their AC resistance, the capacity
in uF being only one of -several- factors figuring into the equation.

<snip>
>>>> Also, electrolytics are not
>>>>always fixed at ground potential, such as when they are used as a DC
>>>>block in an audio line. If the offset fails, the cap pops.
>>>
>>>Absolutely! No argument there. But that's not what we were talking
>>>about before.
>>
>>
>>You must remain focused, Dave. We have been discussing several issues
>>regarding capacitor failure. In the present topic I had stated that
>>electrolytics fail most often due to excessive AC (or ripple) -or-
>>reverse voltage, at which point you queried as to how a power supply
>>can reverse it's current. I then explained that failure due to voltage
>>reversal is rare with high-voltage caps (in tube rigs) but went on to
>>explain how the failure is common in low-voltage caps (SS circuits).
>
>But you keep changing the criteria to make you point. What might
>happen in a D.C. block in an audio amp would not happen in a power
>supply filter.

I see you have finally caught up.

>>>> There are
>>>>also instances where an abnormal AC component swings the normally
>>>>positive side of the cap negative. The cap voltage can get reversed by
>>>>rectification when a pull-up resistor fails. Etc, etc. Geez, Dave,

>>>>you've been doing this for 30 years and you didn't know this stuff?
>>>
>>>Not as applied to the tube amps we were talking about. If you switch
>>>the conditions of the discussion, then the outcomes will change as
>>>well.
>>
>>
>>Then why did you bring up the subject of tube amps when the original
>>topic was about a solid-state CB? If you don't want to discuss it then
>>don't bring it up.
>
>There will be no abnormal A.C. component beyond the rectifiers of a
>power supply Frank, unless the rectifiers fail.

A highly inductive load can drive the caps backwards via flyback. I've
never seen it happen myself but I know it's possible.

>>Perhaps that's because you work more with tubes while I work mostly
>>with solid-state equipment.
>
>I thought you just said you were one of those "experts" who restored
>old tube radios? Surely you've worked on as many tube radios as I have
>considering that I haven't touched anything with tubes in 10 years.

Maybe I have done more work on tubes than you. Maybe not. Maybe my
bench has seen more receivers while your's has seen more sweep-tube
amps. Maybe not. I merely suggested a possibility.

>>Based on the fact that I've never experienced what you described, and
>>don't see how it's even possible from what I -have- experienced. I
>>have never seen a high-voltage cap blow up because it was leaking, and
>>I have rarely seen the same blow up from excessive DC voltage. I
>>-have- seen them blow up from excessive AC voltage (ripple). Is it
>>possible that the cap which blew up was subjected to too much ripple
>>caused by leakage in the other cap?
>
>How? The ripple would still not be that great, and it would neither
>exceed the voltage rating of th cap, nor reverse the polarity of it.

Well, if the AC resistance of the leaky cap dropped because of the
leak, then a greater AC voltage would be developed across the good
cap. This in turn would cause a greater AC current in the good cap,
and therefore more heating. So maybe the cap blew because of the extra
heat in combination with the higher DC stresses. I can see how -that-
could happen.

>>>>>Not exactly true. It is the pulsating D.C. current component. There is
>>>>>no A.C. after a bridge rectifier.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Like I said, call it whatever you like.
>>>

>>>I like being accurate.
>>
>
>>Ok then. Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component.
>
>>Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC component.
>
>Those two statements are contradictory.

Not at all. Your definition that AC must be a zero-crossing current
was repealed along with prohibition. The problem was that there were
getting to be too many terms for variations of direct current, like
'varying direct current' (vdc), 'pulsating direct current' (pdc),
'interrupted direct current'.....etc. So the concept has since been
simplified to say that any current has an AC component and a DC
component.

>>The term
>>"ripple" is literally defined as the AC component of a DC current,
>>usually from a power supply, and usually a harmonic of the input
>>power.
>
>No, ripple is the result of pulsating D.C. post the rectifier stage.
>The frequency of the ripple depends on the type of rectifier and the
>line frequency of the A.C. In the U.S., a half wave ripple frequency
>is 60 hz. A full wave would be 120 hz.

That's what I said. If the input power is 60 Hz then 120 Hz would be a
harmonic, right?

>>The term "ripple" is old and has been replaced by "pard", which
>>includes all AC components (or fluctuations) including hum, noise and
>>spikes.
>
>That's because newer switching supplies create more noise, hash, and
>spikes, then a simple linear supply would. Hence the need for a new
>term, which encompasses a broader definition.

If you say so.

>>Is that accurate enough for you?
>
>I guess it'll do.
>
>
>>>>> The cap can't be subjected to too much ripple if it's doing
>>>>>it's job. If there is ripple, then the cap is usually bad.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Not necessarily. A heavy load will increase the ripple.
>>>
>>>Yes it will, but it won't kill the cap. Caps are designed to handle

>>>ripple. That's their job.
>>
>>
>>SOME caps are designed to handle ripple. Power supply filter caps are
>>designed to REDUCE ripple; i.e, shut the AC component to ground.
>
>No, they do not shunt A.C. to ground. The capacitor stores current
>during the ripple peak, and then discharges it as the ripple falls.
>The rate of charge/discharge (which is hopefully slower than 60 or 120
>hz) is what "smooths" the ripple. When the load increases, the R/C
>time constant of the circuit changes and the cap is forced to
>discharge faster, which results in less filtering of the ripple and it
>increases.

A capacitor blocks DC and passes AC; at least that's the simplified
version of it's function. So if you have a cap connected between a DC
source and ground then the DC is blocked while any AC is passed to
ground. How well the capacitor passes AC to ground depends upon it's
AC resistance. What you describe above is essentially the same thing,
but it's overcomplicated and full of holes because you never learned
the basics.

>> When
>>the load becomes large then so does the ripple, and if the cap has a
>>high AC resistance then it will get hot very quickly.
>
>How? There is no A.C.! Pulsating D.C. does not switch polarity.

Update your lexicon and then read it again.

>>>>Again, not necessarily. The DC component may stay within norms but the
>>>>AC component (ripple) will increase under load. That's just a fact of
>>>>life. Haven't you ever studied the basics of power supplies?
>>>
>>>I could write a course on power supplies.
>>
>>
>>Just about everyone who has ever used a soldering iron thinks they can
>>write a course on power supplies.
>
>Well considering that they were the very first thing that I studied
>and built in tech school, it's pretty much basic stuff.

I hate to break this to you but your tech school did a piss-poor job
if the curriculum started with the study and construction of complex
circuits before covering the basics.

>>>An unregulated power supply will decrease voltage as load increases.
>>>If the supply is at normal expected voltage, then the load is not
>>>excessive. A regulated supply is a different issue. The regulated
>>>output may remain at normal, while the unregulated "reserve" drops,
>>>along with a proportional increase in ripple.

>>>
>>>The reason ripple increases is due to the R/C time constant of the
>>>circuit. When the load increased, the apparent resistance across the
>>>cap drops and the discharge rate increases to the point that it looses
>>>the capacity to hold the supply up in between ripple pulses.
>>
>>
>>Nice textbook explanation.
>
>The truth usually is.
>
>
>> But the increased ripple can be caused by a
>>host of factors, not the least of which (and to the suprise of many,
>>the most common) is the AC resistance of the output capacitor, -not-
>>the R/C time constant of the cap with respect to the source and load
>>impedances (which, BTW, are in parallel).
>
>Of course the R/C is in parallel. The cap is across the load.

The cap is in parallel with -both- the source and load impedances; and
while the load impedance may be linear, that's rarely the case for the
source impedance. Also, the capacitance of an electrolytic isn't a
constant; it's non-linear with respect to the voltage (a factor of
it's AC resistance, and another reason why audiophiles prefer motor
caps over electrolytics). Both these nonlinearities end up converting
your overly-simplistic R/C time constant analysis into an integral
function. The issue is further complicated because of the equivalent
series resistance of the capacitor (which, BTW, is a factor of it's AC
resistance, and is also non-linear).

The concepts involved here don't need to be nearly as complicated as
you make them out to be, yet you try to describe the complexities with
over-simplified theory. It's like you are trying to describe baseball
with dumbed-down Newtonian analysis. You still think you can write a
course on the subject? I don't think so.

>>A different scenario happens
>>with high-voltage supplies because regulation is usually achieved with
>>a swinging (saturating) choke, which permits more ripple to pass under
>>increasing load in order to maintain the DC component.
>
>That's a very poor regulator. The choke/cap is really just a low pass
>filter, that designed to attenuate 60hz ripple.

That's the static interpretation. But a swinging choke is a component
with dynamic (i.e, nonlinear) characteristics and makes a pretty good
regulator, which is why they have been used so extensively over the
past hundred years, and why they are still in production today.

>>So when is your book coming out?
>

>Since power supplies are so basic, and there is no new information
>that I could tell that isn't already widely known, my effort would be
>superfluous.

I think you might have meant 'redundant', but 'superfluous' is really
a much better choice.

>>>If a cap explodes due to an excessive load, then the evidence of the
>>>failure which cause that excessive load should be evident. If not then
>>>you can't conclude that the cap died due to ripple.
>>
>>
>>The cause of failure is not always evident in the equipment.
>
>No, it's not. But in a simple application of a HV power supply in a
>tube amp, it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause.

It's not always easy.

<snip>
>>>You are right, in a sense, that you can't always tell what DID cause
>>>the cap to blow, but you can determine what DID'NT cause it to fail.
>>>It then becomes a simple process of elimination.
>>
>>
>>The possibilities are limitless so any such conclusion would be based
>>only on probability.
>
>The possibilities are not limitless. There are a finite set of
>conditions which a particular circuit can find itself in. Is it
>probable that a 12V supply was exposed to 500V?

Depends on the circuit.

> Is it probable that a
>rectified output of a supply reversed polarity?

I never said it was.

> Absent of other
>component failures, how many possibilities really exist?

Many, simply because the cause of a failure isn't limited to internal
influences. But even if you limit the scope to the equipment, the
failure of other components is not always obvious. And here's a
perfect example:

The first Peavey 1.3K I ever worked on came into the shop with a blown
bank of power transistors (not uncommon with Peavey amps) and a bad
OP-amp. The obvious conclusion is that the OP-amp locked up and drove
the bank into overload (the amp also has a triac clamp but it rarely
works -- it just nukes a trace on the board and lets the bank blow).
Anyway, that wasn't the case at all. I replaced damn near everything

in that amp and it would still lock up. To make an already long story short... it turns out that Peavey, in their economical wisdom, used a dual-diode as bias seperation for opposite banks of power transistors without noting it in their literature, and one of those diodes had shorted. The component appeared to be perfectly functional, showing a forward voltage drop characteristic of a typical silicon diode, but it was supposed to be -twice- that drop.

So there are -many- possibilities that may or may -not- be obvious.

>>>It's like forensic science. We reconstruct an incident based on the
>>>evidence in the aftermath.
>>
>>
>>Dave, before you use the word "forensic" again, do yourself a favor
>>and look it up in the dictionary.
>
>Have you never heard of forensic criminalists, who gather evidence to
>reconstruct a crime to prove innocence or guilt? Watch CSI sometime to
>get familiar with them. Or, if you prefer a less dramatic example, the
>Discovery channel has a show called "forensic files" which documents
>actual criminal forensic cases. Court TV has similar shows.
>
>The example I used was similar in method.

Gee, another excuse.

>>>>I've never seen a cap blow from ripple. Never.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You probably have but didn't know it. You can create and measure a
>>>>controlled failure with a couple good chokes for AC isolation, a
>>>>couple large motor-run capacitors for DC isolation, and an audio amp
>>>>to supply the AC. If you need a schematic just let me know.
>>>
>>>I don't understand the relationship of what you are trying to
>>>simulate. I'm talking about filter caps in a power supply now. I
>>>should be able to "simulate" this by simply placing a large load
>>>across the power supply enough to drop down the voltage and increase
>>>the ripple. If the rectifier or the transformer doesn't give up the
>>>ghost first,, then the cap should blow according to your theory.
>>
>>
>>The objective is to cause the cap to fail under controlled conditions,
>>and hopefully -without- destroying anything else. But if you want to
>>do it your way then feel free to do so.
>
>I have a battery charger which is unfiltered (the battery acts as the
>filter cap), which may serve the purpose nicely.

Go for it! Don't forget to use several different caps, measuring both AC and DC volts across the cap, as well as the time it takes until

failure. Post the results.

>>>> They also make great regulators because of
>>>>their non-linear characteristics.
>>>
>>> But they can't handle much current.
>>
>>
>>Tell that to my old Heathkit audio generator. And zeners can't handle
>>much current either, relatively speaking. Ever hear of a neat little
>>device called a 'power transistor'?
>
>Why use a light bulb to do a zener's job?

The point was that the characteristics of a low-power device can be
amplified. And yes, a light bulb is sometimes preferable to a zener
because zeners are noisy little bastards.

>>>> So you still say
>>>>that light bulbs aren't resistors? Hogwash. If it's useful as a
>>>>resistor then it's a resistor.
>>>
>>>I never said they weren't resistors, I said that they aren't practical
>>>resistors to substitute in most circuits. A light bulb's resistance
>>>isn't constant and changes with voltage. Not something you normally
>>>want.
>>
>>
>>A light bulb's resistance changes with temperature, but who said a
>>resistor -must- be linear? The textbooks?
>
>The change in temperature is in direct proportion to the applied
>voltage.

Wrong. The resistance is directly proportional to the temperature,
hence the term "temperature coefficient". A light bulb can even be
used as a thermistor for an electronic thermometer. IOW, you can feed
a bulb's filament with a fixed voltage and a constant current will
flow (after the temperature stablizes). Cool the filament and the
current will increase (light bulbs make pretty good wind-chill
detectors). Heat the filament and the current will decrease (good for
determining the temperature of a flame). All happen -irrespective- of
voltage.

Again, you need to go back and learn the basics.

<snip>
>> IOW, the current density through a cross-section of filament
>>is the same regardless of it's thickness or length.
>
>A 12V bulb will have a thicker filament than a 120V bulb for the same
>given wattage. That's because the amount of current in a 12V bulb is

>10 times higher than the same wattage bulb in a 120 V configuration.

True, but the cross-sectional current density must be the same in
order to reach the same temperature where it emits the same spectrum.
So why would a thicker filament last longer?

<snip>
>>>Other techs and engineers that I know in the industry.
>>
>>
>>Well, I'm an engineer, you know me, and I haven't ever used the term
>>"drying out" to describe a capacitor failure. Maybe "dielectrically
>>challenged"..... no, I haven't used that either.
>
>You are but one man.

Feel free to name some engineers who use the term "drying out" to
describe capacitor failure. Even better, try referencing it in some
authoritative literature. If it's a common term then it should be well
published, right? (Actually, it -is- a common term with engineers but
only in reference to batteries.)

<snip>
>>>>>If you consider component "A" to have a low probability of failure it
>>>>>stands to reason that you place a higher probability on another
>>>>>component failing.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Again wrong, and for the same reason.
>>>
>>>Then what are you saying?
>>
>>
>>You remind me of the sitcom boyfriends when they get dumped by their
>>girlfriends who scream something like, "I never want to see you again
>>for the rest of my life!", and the boyfriend responds with, "So what
>>are you saying?"
>
>Regardless of the other possibilities, if you disagree that a
>particular component has a high probability of failure, then what you
>are saying, in essence, is that you feel that it has a much lower
>probability of failure

You are constructing a syllogism from a conditional statement by
asserting the second premise, but you assume that second premise
-must- be the only other possibility. It is not. Using your own
subjective assessment of probability, the blank line in the statement

"the problem is _____________ caused by capacitors"

can be filled by any one of a long list of possible descriptors, only
a few of which are listed here:

A. always
B. almost always
C. often
D. sometimes
E. infrequently
F. almost never
G. never

The syllogism that you constructed is thus:

P1: Not B (my statement).
P2: If not B then F.
C: Therefore F.

Although the conclusion is perfectly valid, you ASSUMED that F is the
only possible alternative to B. The conclusion, therefore, is neither
true nor false; it can have no more truth than your assumed premise.
Just because I don't live at the North Pole doesn't mean I -must- live
at the South Pole. Or, for a better example, if you were to say that
the sky is white, and I said it is -not- white, that doesn't mean I'm
saying it's black. It could be blue, red, gray, etc. The colors of the
sky are limited to black and white only in your fantasy realm where,
coincidently, people must be either conservative or liberal.

> Therefore, by extension, it can be assumed that
>you then consider other components have a higher probability of
>failure.

Key word: "assumed". You assumed wrong, as is usually the case
whenever people ASSume things.

>>>>>More chest thumping? What you've done at HP has no bearing on what
>>>>>I've seen in 30+ years of CB radio repair.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Really? Do capacitors behave differently in CB radios than they do in
>>>>spectrum analyzers and signal generators?
>>>
>>>Sure. They're exposed to different conditions.
>>
>>
>>Such as.....?
>
>Application. Does the circuit supply large currents or small ones?
>Does it work at high voltage or low? Is the ambient temperature high,
>or low? Do they filter, block, or bypass?

All of the above in both applications. So how are they different?

<snip>
>>>Hmm.... My test procedure for that rig used 5mV to set 50% modulation,
>>>with VR6
>>
>>Step 7, and it's 0.5 mV.

>
>It's 5mV in my book.

I don't know what book you have (probably Sams) but mine is the service manual provided by Radio Shaft. I can scan the page if you want. If your book is in error that might explain your need to bypass the LPF. Higher drive at mid-modulation will push the audio into compression earlier, which would easily account for your audio sounding "mushy and compressed", just as you described. My radio sounds just fine without the modification, so it's very likely that -your- book is in error. Not only that, I'm suprised that a person of your self-proclaimed experience would not have suspected the error earlier -- after all, your own description of the audio quality is indicative of overmodulation. Yet you didn't bother to find a more authoritative reference, or compare the calibration specification to that of similar radios (I did, and 50% modulation at 0.5mV is common for Uniden radios that come with the same mic). You could have also compared the cal-spec the radio's rated mic sensitivity. But no, you decided -not- to look for the primary cause of the problem, assumed that the error was in the design and proceeded to chop the filter.

Dave, it's clear that in -both- electronics and politics you have a real problem accepting any possibilities outside the realm of your own prejudice.

>>> and 200 mV to set the 100% limiter threshold with VR7.
>>
>>
>>Step 8, set VR7 for 95 to 98% mod.
>
>Right. But there are no numbered steps.

There are in my book. And your's seems to be missing some steps.

>>>The reason for the increase in audio drive is to test that the
>>>modulation limiter (and mike AGC in this particular radio) is doing
>>>its job. Think about it, if the modulation limiter is working and
>>>doing its job, then you can increase the mike level all you want
>>>(until you fry something) and the AMC will clamp it to the level that
>>>makes 100% modulation. The only parts in the audio chain that would be
>>>stressed are the passive components before the AMC audio shunt
>>>regulator, which is TR18.
>>>
>>>There is very little you could tell me about that radio (or more
>>>specifically that chassis) that I don't already know.
>>
>>
>>I just did. Twice in this post and once in the previous post.
>
>What you "told" me wasn't of any real info about the radio itself.

I told you some -very- real info about the radio -- you just didn't
know how important that info is, or how it demonstrates that you
aren't as good with electronics as you think you are.

>>Thanks anyway, but I already used too much time pulling out the mods
>>and restoring it to stock condition -- except for the faceplate. Got a
>>spare?
>
>No I don't. Most of my spare faceplates belong to the bonepile radios,
>most of which are crappy little AM mobiles.

Heard that. Did you see the pic of my "bonepile" that I posted last
fall? I must have a couple dozen of those cheap, little Cobras buried
in the pile, not to mention a half-dozen or so mini-Midlands (which
weren't too awfully bad little radios, just not worth fixing). I
should go through the pile someday, make a list and post it for anyone
looking for parts.

<snip>

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2196. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 21:37:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vnq701tv109utk9oj7agqei2ancci614n6@4ax.com>
Source record: 195786

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:32:10 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <48d70116s7td8csfvnk52iqubl9001sgp8@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>Such a statement is concise and an absolute and leaves no room for
>>doubt.
>
>There are few absolutes in this world. There are always alternative
>explanations. What you are engaging in is called "Bifurcation ".

What -you- are engaging in is called "hypocrisy". There are an
infinite number of "absolutes" in this world, the most common being
'change'. And it is 'change' which leads to the fact, as you admit
above, that "there are always alternative explanations". Yet when it
comes to your tech skills you pull a Kerry-esque flip-flop by
proclaiming that -your- interpretation of is the -only- possibility.

<snip>
>> Doublespeak and it is impossible for
>>both claims to be 100% true. This leaves only the probability factor.
>
>You'd better study some more of Frank's logic, because you're
>confusing yourself again.

-YOU- better study some logic because he has a very legitimate
argument, and this time it's not a fallacy. Once again, this is an
inductive argument based on a number of facts that are mostly
irrelevant when taken individually, but collectively they draw a
picture with you in the middle. And while Twisty does tend to jump to
conclusions rather quickly, I have noticed the pattern myself and
agree with his assessment.

>>>The more guys like you make silly
>>>accusations, the more the forges will continue.
>>
>>Again, for such a statement to have any validity at all, your knowledge
>>or hand in the situation must also be absolute.
>
>I know human nature.

Right, Dave. People are either conservatives or liberals. Yeah, we've
heard it from you a jillion times.

<snip>
>>Dave. You tried blaming me in the past, now that Frank has educated you,
>>you turned your personal attacks on him.

>
>He started that when he couldn't deal with the fact that his reasons
>for supporting his political ideologies were shown to be based on
>little more than subjective bias.

My frustration comes when speaking to someone (you) who is obviously
intelligent, yet tosses aside logic and reason when it conflicts with
a belief system based on blind faith.

> His frustration led to snide
>comments. I merely responded in kind.

Wrong: you began our interchange with "Paranoia is not becoming of you
Frank." And that "responded in kind" excuse is the same one you gave
(in the very same post) to defend Bush's 527 smear campaigns against
Kerry. I don't think that's what Jesus meant when he encouraged his
followers to "turn the other cheek", and it's certainly not in the
book of Christain ethics to justify improper behavior by claiming that
the other guy started it first -- that's an excuse used by little
children, bad lawyers and drunks. Or have -conservative- Christains
rewritten the Bible to conform to their own contemporary values?

"Eye of the needle", Dave. And -you- cast the first stone.

<snip>
>>Frank, most recently.
>
>When? Provide the thread. Frank has not blamed me for anything. He
>and I may be at the opposite end of the political spectrum, but he's
>not an idiot, and wouldn't make such a charge.

On the contrary, the values you have gleefully demonstrated during our
discussions have proven that you are a person who believes the end
justifies the means, which locks you into the category of probable
sock-puppeteers.

> Then again, he did call
>you Dave McCampbell..... Only you know how accurate that was.

I called Twisty many things in order to demonstrate the ridiculous
nature of his accusation that I was five different hams (one of which
was Leland, for reasons I can't even fathom). Anyway, it was necessary
to discredit Twisty's name-game because N7VCF was getting a shitload
of harassment. It worked, and the harassment subsided after my own
"counter-accusation" that he was Dave McCampbell.

And for the record, I -still- don't know who Twisty really is and I
-still- don't care.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2197. Re: using a chip-clip on PLL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 21:42:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <i2r701t9johnkosgmgu04v9v2rgk9sm9r0@4ax.com>
Source record: 195787

On Tue, 1 Feb 2005 21:28:25 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote
in <42003811$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>:

>You know those chip clips that techs use on computer chips to test /
>troubleshoot the chips?
>
>Why not do the same thing to PLL's before actually wiring the final
>connections when expanding channels?
>
>It would work, use alligator clips or wire wrap the wires around the pins
>that match the designated pins on the PLL chip and then use some switches to
>test the switching of channels.
>
>Try it out.
>
>Better than wiring the whole thing up and making the wrong connection.

It would be much better to build a jumper board, move the chip to the
jumper board, install a socket in the radio and plug the jumper board
into the socket. No traces need to be cut, and the board can be easily
removed and replaced with the chip.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2198. America's public image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 23:16:35 UTC
From: gactimus@xrs.net
Message-ID: <94ad89b5.0502041516.65dee513@posting.google.com>
Source record: 195788

How do you think we could improve this ? Does America need better marketing ? Or could it be that our universal unpopularity has something to do with the way we conduct ourselves in international affairs ?

Personally speaking, I believe it is all down to the marketing...

2199. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 23:46:03 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4204066f$1_2@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195790

Thank you, Dave.

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:uur6011o8o8750qgdkug381vrc2g5avoj8@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 3 Feb 2005 18:29:41 -0500, "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote:
>
> >True.
> >I wouldn't even think about sending it in, cause like you said that parts
> >are much easier to come by and that I could try looking for a scrap unit
to
> >get one of them going instead of dealing with shipping charges.
> >
> >I just wasn't sure on how to measure those inductors that have those
slugs
> >cause there are 4 pins in a square formation at the bottom.
>
>
> Are the inductors themselves missing or damaged, or are you simply
> missing the core slugs?
>
> If all you need are the slugs, you should be able to get replacements
> from any junk parts bin radio. The permeability of the slugs are not
> that critical in this case, so they should work.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2200. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-04 23:46:35 UTC
From: sean <seaningram@tds.net>
Message-ID: <4204068e_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195789

Thank you, SSB.

"SideBand" <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote in message
news:aGRMd.590$hU7.334@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com...
> sean wrote:
> > What are the (micro-Henrys) values for L37 and L38 on the Uniden Grant
XL?
> > (PLL MB8719)
> >
> > I have unsoldered and completely removed them both.
> >
> > I am unable to locate it on the schematic.
> >
> > (I have someone that can help me re-calibrate the radio after the
> > installation.)
> >
> > What are the drawbacks /side-effects of transplanting Inductors from
other
> > 40 channel AM/SSB radios?
> >
> >
> > Tnx,
> > Sean
> > Amelia, Virginia
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> The Grant XL and the Cobra 148 are the same radio. If you have a junk
> 148, you can just swap them over.
>
> HTH
>
> -SSB

2201. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 00:13:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25766-42040F9C-90@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195792

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels

>is against federal law. That is a fact.

(And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.)

>I have no problem with anyone who does it.

Sure you do. You take the non-hammie approach, the non-elmer approach,,the anti-amateur creed approach. You ignorantly and erroneously call people criminals for dxing merely beacuse you are unable to distinguish between a civil and criminal nature.

Firstly, the only criteria you cited as your reason for calling one a "federal criminal", was "it was a rule enacted by the feds, so breaking it is a federal crime and makes one a federal criminal". The manner in whcih you arrived at this decision, was referred by yourself as "progressive logic". This too, was decimated as you were reminded that the 70 mph speed limit also met your criteria. Changing your values in mid-argument, you now invoke that the penalty one receives is now your new criteria.

Heck, even when you were educated by other hammies on here regarding your ignorance claiming "roger beeps are illegal" you chose not to learn.
Off you go again, Dave.

>That's the really funny part about this whole

>thing. Twist will sit here and spin and

>obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to

>deny that what he (and you I guess) does is

>illegal.

Only you are having problems of this nature, Dave. You have always claimed you see posts by me that said this and said that, but, once again, you are the only person to suffer such hallucinations and see things that do not exist...the same as the imaginary amateurs you conjured in order to lend your damaged psyche an illusion of support.,,,because you have none, and it apparently is to much for you to handle. For some reason, it warps you.

> But the law is clear on this.

Everyone is clear on the law but you. You have no clue about the law, Dave. You illustrate it with your position on roger beeps and you solidified it when you claimed you used forensics in your argument. You were to look up the term and learn its definition prior to using it in the futire so as not to embarrass yourself again.

>If you want to take you chances, hey, go for it!

>Just don't pretend what you do is somehow

>"permitted".

Only you are suffering such delusions and hallucinations and playmates that don't exist Dave. No one else is having these difficulties. Youare alone in your suffering.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

2202. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 00:14:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25766-42040FEC-92@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195791

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 09:48:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Much in the same manner you claim other hammies agree with you that
"roger beeps are illegal",

>You want to know how many hams agree with

>this, then post the question on one of the ham

>newsgroups and see who agrees.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Damn, Dave...you are suffering like never before. Twas yourself that
invoked other hammies with imaginary support, not I.

2203. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 00:22:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25768-420411C2-28@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195793

Can't wait to see the programs the feds are gonna cut to pay for the war and the tax cuts. Bush just realized the bill is coming due and it really has to be paid. Betcha the red states will be screaming the loudest when they have no salt for the roads or when their potholes are the size of small craters and they have to wait in line all day to take care of single task in any federal building, including the post office. Add to that the crime increase that will be a direct result of the domino effect following inflation and the right can bitch about how the liberals screwed the country during the 8 Bush years for many generations to come.

2204. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 00:57:38 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25772-42041A02-3@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195895

N3CVJ wrote:

>Threat? What threat? Oh I forgot, you view

>every encounter through the lens of paranoia..

The thread was titled "OT ping Jim". anyoe is free to peruse it, but
then again, most have recognized you for what you are by now and realize
you are lying again. But what the heck,,,let us revisit this thread..

>I did offer to have a face-to face with you,

No,,you claimed you were coming to this state to give me my "chance",
yet as bizarre as that claim, never once offered what you meant by
"chance".

> which you conveniently weaseled out of.

That isn't what happened at all. In fact, the very last word on the
subject was when you were cornered with no where to run.

_
...and said he
was coming to my town in a few weeks to look me up to "give me my
chance" (whatever that meant),,,that was you. Don't you remember that
Dave? It was then I illustrated what a coward you are by giving you
every opportunity to cach the check your mouth wrote but yellow ass
couldn't cash. If you need to see the thread where your emotions took
over and led to the world seeing you fall apart and degrade yourself
further, say the word.

>You're delusional (no surprise there). You

>refused to meet and wanted me to give you

>my credit card number before you would

>consider going any further.

Oh, but I am enjoying this.

>We could have met in any number of public

>places but you refused to deal. The truth is

>you don't want to risk the loss of even one part
>of your anonymity. I, on the other hand, have

>nothing to hide.

>If I wanted to do "something" less than

>honorable, I could have come to you without

>your knowing and found out what I wanted to.

>But that's not what I wanted.

Alright,,let's illustrate your lies again for the masses,,,
Faced with no other manner in which to run, you dropped the matter
after this last exchange on Oct 4th, 2004.
You were going on about with excuses, and after realizing that you had a
very real chance to quench *your* stated desire to meet me, you dropped
the topic.

_
>which then gives you a convenient excuse to

>say that you weren't around when I came

>looking.

I have that covered, too. A pic of the day's paper at the dockmaster's
office where his clock (way up high) is very visible for all to see.
You have no excuse.

_
That ended your quest on the matter, but gee Dave, that's not the manner
in which you tell it.
Hey my man, have a great weekend,,the mullet is almost all done and
smoked and I'm heading north bound US 301 to J-town. Good luck to your
Iggles,,,they're gonna get their heads ripped off.

2205. Hrm...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 00:59:43 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <3UUMd.625$hU7.268@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195894

I just saw "sixmeterop" pop on AIM.... I wonder what Doug's going to
post next?

2206. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 01:04:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25770-42041BA1-4@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195896

Dorsal idiosoma, ventral idiosoma with ovipositor evaginate.
Interesting selection of verbiage you bring here, my friend.

2207. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 01:14:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25768-42041DDC-29@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195897

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
Pick 'em for giggles.

>Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am
>hopeful that the Eagles win, if only to see the

>town explode the next day.

>But realistically I am figuring the score to be

>about 17-10 in favor of the Pats.

>Dave

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Gonna be way higher scoring game than that...but Iggles have no chance,,,they're cursed. Nevertheless, its nice to see you confirm the mentality of the town. Indeed,your locals would offer the only malady for the town...burned to the ground.

2208. Re: FUCK YOU RACEIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 02:07:44 UTC
From: junkhunter <haysmichael@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <QTVMd.865$ZZ.293@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 195898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.niggers,alt.flame.jews

Who Me

"Scott" <drunknbum1@att.net> wrote in message
news:yXQLd.2835$xR1.2306@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> shouldn't that be Racist?no I guess not
> <w_j_wolfe20o3@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1104277060.834646.100780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> moparholic@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> HOW DARE YOU CALL BLACK MEN "GUTTER APES", YOU PIG!!!
>>>
>>
>> The truth hurts, doesn't it, NIGGER.
>>
>>
>>> STAY THE FUCK OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!
>>
>> Or what, SAMBO?
>>>
>>> YOU ARE SO ORDERED BY THE GAY AND BLACK CB UNION OF OHIO.
>>
>> The word of a gutter monKKKey counts for nothing in the White Human's
>> world, shitsKKKin.
>>
>
>
>

2209. Re: "RARE: RANGER AR3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 03:46:11 UTC
From: Sir_Oblivion <sir@oblivion.org>
Message-ID: <I-2dnbvPa6IN3JnfRVn-2Q@netrox.net>
Source record: 195899

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050127213525.136$xy@newsreader.com...
> "jj" <nukem1112000@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> View Here:
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4674&item=57475197
>> 28&rd=1
>>
> Anyone here ever own one of those?

Have one sitting in my closet in "as-new" condition for almost the past ten years. Bought it new around '92 used it on the base for two years and then packed it away. Overall a very nice radio, extremely stable, average output of the 100W model was actually closer to 75W. Had a tremendous receiver, excellent sensitivity and never a problem with splash from adjacent frequencies.

2210. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 04:14:20 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <wKXMd.995$ZZ.556@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 195900

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:KvRMd.1763$eB2.763@fe11.lga...
> we had a good year vinnie. c. martin is class.
> pats, points & under...

I'm picking Colts by 3 over the Cowboys..... Darn
a different SuperBowl.

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

2211. Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 04:49:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <osj801dirju8kc7sdum976heond0uqho1v@4ax.com>
Source record: 195901

I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?

Can anyone help?

Vinnie S.

2212. Re: America's public image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 06:15:46 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <it4ed2-lph.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 195903

Gactimus wrote:

> How do you think we could improve this ? Does America need better
> marketing ? Or could it be that our universal unpopularity has
> something to do with the way we conduct ourselves in international
> affairs ?
>
> Personally speaking, I believe it is all down to the marketing...

I think it comes down to the way Americans present themselves to the world,
and not anything marketing can fix.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2213. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 06:32:26 UTC
From: Jim <J*rase*@charter.net>
Message-ID: <qUZMd.27432$iR4.16533@fe06.lga>
Source record: 195902

You still need a bigger Power supply, The Pyramid brand has a tendency to advertise more than what they actually put out. I had the same problem. Invest in a nice 20 Amp Astron, or tripp-lite power supply and that will take care of things for you. You can always get a good deal on one used if you look. Qrz.com classifieds, Qth.com classifieds, etc. Good luck!

2214. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 08:49:18 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107593358.897541.277740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.niggers

Vinnie S. wrote:
> I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3
seconds of
> talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go
dead. Not
> happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also
happend on
> USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went
away. The
> local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12
amp
> constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running
barefoot with
> a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for
filtering?
>
> Can anyone help?
>
> Vinnie S.

GEEEZZZEEEEEEE Ninnie! You are one needy motherfucker!! It's not enough that you're a federal law breaker, but you whine like the little bitch you are.Looks like as soon as any little situation comes up, you run here with your silly keyclownism's.

Hey Vinnie The Ninnie>>>>SILLY KEYCLOWN, DICKS ARE FOR CHICKS!!

2215. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 08:55:46 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107593746.730758.21190@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

sean wrote:
<SEAN'S BEN BITCHED SNIPPED>

HEY SEAN....IF IT'S MISSING A SLUG JUST STICK YOUR TONGUE IN
IT.....YOU ACT LIKE A SLUG SO EVERYTHING SHOULD BE FINE.

2216. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 11:44:24 UTC
From: KC8QJP <KATHYLEE@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4204b2be$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

The puss oozing out of my snatch makes trails like a slug.
.
"sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote in message
news:42019cf1$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net...
>I am missing the slugs.
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2217. Bilinear identification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 13:08:55 UTC
From: compwiz1 <floydvincent@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <1107608935.639761.219490@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195907

Hi Group!

I have a 100 Bilinear, (pic attached) that I need a schematic for. I have seen the same amp with different names on it, bimbo 100 bilinear, powerline 100, sct-100 but cant find a schmatic.

Can anyone help?

Floyd

2218. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 13:29:17 UTC
From: "Mr.Extreme " <extremeone@theHNIC.net>
Message-ID: <cu2hnf$397i$1@news3.infoave.net>
Source record: 195908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.niggers

I BLEEB IT BEEZ DA BATTRY. DA CAR GOTS A BATTRY YOU SEE AND IN
DA HOUSE DEY DONT BE NO BATTRY U NO WUT I BE SAYIN?

Landshark wrote:

> Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>>I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3
>
> seconds of
>
>>talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go
>
> dead. Not
>
>>happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also
>
> happend on
>
>>USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went
>
> away. The
>
>>local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12
>
> amp
>
>>constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running
>
> barefoot with
>
>>a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for
>
> filtering?
>
>>Can anyone help?
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
> GEEEZZZEEEEEEE Ninnie! You are one needy motherfucker!! It's not enough
> that you're a federal law breaker, but you whine like the little bitch
> you are.Looks like as soon as any little situation comes up, you run
> here with your silly keyclownism's.

>
> Hey Vinnie The Ninnie>>>>SILLY KEYCLOWN, DICKS ARE FOR CHICKS!!
>

--
THe HNIC has posted, bitches!!

x-- 100 Proof News - http://www.100ProofNews.com
x-- 3,500+ Binary NewsGroups, and over 90,000 other groups
x-- Access to over 1 Terabyte per Day - $8.95/Month
x-- UNLIMITED DOWNLOAD

2219. FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX-relisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 14:40:27 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <SX4Nd.2123$1R3.1702@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195909

Take a look if you want to. Many close, clear pictures.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&ssPageName=ADME:B:LCB:US:1&Item=5748397325

73

2220. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 15:03:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <b1o90154cbhrk98bil5s1adtueq8fqat0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 195910

On Sat, 5 Feb 2005 00:32:26 -0600, "Jim" <J*rase*@charter.net> wrote:

>You still need a bigger Power supply, The Pyramid brand has a tendency to
>advertise more than what they actually put out. I had the same problem.
>Invest in a nice 20 Amp Astron, or tripp-lite power supply and that will
>take care of things for you. You can always get a good deal on one used if
>you look. Qrz.com classifieds, Qth.com classifieds, etc. Good luck!
>
>
>

The HR-2510 has a 7 amp fuse. So if what you are saying is correct, thei supply
can't pull half of it's rating?

Vinnie S.

2221. fa: $10-TRAM CB HAND MICROPHONE, NICE SHAPE & WORKING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 15:15:38 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nx5Nd.6426$X96.1212@fe08.lga>
Source record: 195911

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2222. FA: 6146B's, Johnson Phone patch, Tube Tester, ETC!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 15:17:12 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Sy5Nd.6427$aj6.6171@fe08.lga>
Source record: 195912

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2223. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 15:18:50 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <4204e514$1@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1107395298.244316.236510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> KC8QJP wrote:
>> On 1 Feb 2005 12:00:40 -0800, "steveo crusher"
>> <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Sorry I missed that.
>
> But one county south of there (Wood County) the state troopers stopped
> an Ohio Supreme Court Justice (the only Democrat on that bench) while
> driving a government vehicle for weaving and hiccupping. After
> rendering her license and registration, she sped away. The troopers
> followed her, observed more weaving, and pulled her over again. She
> wanted special treatment because of her status in life.
>
> Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed,
> she blew a point 23.
>
I blew 23 no code techs at Dayton last year.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2224. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 15:19:10 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <4204e515$3@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"bb" <billybeeper@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1107395298.244316.236510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> KC8QJP wrote:
>> On 1 Feb 2005 12:00:40 -0800, "steveo crusher"
>> <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Sorry I missed that.
>
> But one county south of there (Wood County) the state troopers stopped
> an Ohio Supreme Court Justice (the only Democrat on that bench) while
> driving a government vehicle for weaving and hiccupping. After
> rendering her license and registration, she sped away. The troopers
> followed her, observed more weaving, and pulled her over again. She
> wanted special treatment because of her status in life.
>
> Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally succumbed,
> she blew a point 23.
>
I blew 23 no code techs at Dayton last year.

____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2225. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 15:36:56 UTC
From: Meat Plow <meat@insurgent.orq>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.05.15.36.53.798000@insurgent.orq>
Source record: 195915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.niggers

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 00:49:18 -0800, Landshark wrote:

> Subject: Re: Help on SSB failure
> From: "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.niggers
> Date: 5 Feb 2005 00:49:18 -0800
>
>
> Vinnie S. wrote:
>> I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3
> seconds of
>> talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go
> dead. Not
>> happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also
> happend on
>> USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went
> away. The
>> local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12
> amp
>> constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running
> barefoot with
>> a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for
> filtering?
>>
>> Can anyone help?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> GEEEZZZEEEEEEE Ninnie! You are one needy motherfucker!! It's not enough
> that you're a federal law breaker, but you whine like the little bitch
> you are.

Not to defend Ninnie but when you whine like a little bitch about another
whining like a little bitch, isn't that a bit PKB'ish of you?

2226. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 15:41:57 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <j8q901pj3blvvr4hk09h63n8p8hs4445ej@4ax.com>
Source record: 195916

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 10:36:56 -0500, Meat Plow <meat@insurgent.orq>
wrote:

>On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 00:49:18 -0800, Landshark wrote:
>
>> Subject: Re: Help on SSB failure
>> From: "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com>
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.niggers
>> Date: 5 Feb 2005 00:49:18 -0800
>>
>>
>> Vinnie S. wrote:
>>> I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3
>> seconds of
>>> talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go
>> dead. Not
>>> happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also
>> happend on
>>> USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went
>> away. The
>>> local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12
>> amp
>>> constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running
>> barefoot with
>>> a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for
>> filtering?
>>>
>>> Can anyone help?
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>> GEEEZZZEEEEEEE Ninnie! You are one needy motherfucker!! It's not enough
>> that you're a federal law breaker, but you whine like the little bitch
>> you are.
>
>Not to defend Ninnie but when you whine like a little bitch about another
>whining like a little bitch, isn't that a bit PKB'ish of you?

No Offense, but that wasn't posted by Landshark.

If you need help reading headers, ask...

2227. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 16:18:45 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <FI6Nd.841$001.560@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195917

Vinnie S. wrote:
> I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of
> talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not
> happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on
> USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The
> local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp
> constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with
> a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?
>
> Can anyone help?
>
> Vinnie S.

Try finding a small (inexpensive) 13.8V Car battery, and hooking it in
parallel (+ to + and - to -) with your power supply.. The battery will
pick up the slack the power supply can't provide, and the supply will
charge the battery when you're not talking.. Neat solution...

One caveat, though.. once the battery is at full charge, you'll need to
either turn off the power supply, or turn something on (a radio, etc) to
provide SOME load to the power supply so the batter doesn't get overcharged.

I run all my HAM base station gear like this...

-SSB

2228. Re: Bilinear identification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 16:36:42 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1107621402.320082.97830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195918

Bimbo brand... LOL

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

compwiz1 wrote:
> Hi Group!
>
> I have a 100 Bilinear, (pic attached) that I need a schematic for. I
> have seen the same amp with different names on it, bimbo 100
bilinear,
> powerline 100, sct-100 but cant find a schmatic.
>
> Can anyone help?
>
> Floyd

2229. Re: OT: Maybe important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 16:40:52 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1107621652.719737.264670@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195919

Just call him Monk (short for monkey)...LOL

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

2230. Re: There is just no way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 16:51:44 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1107622304.241170.304150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195920

It's called fraud...

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

2231. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 17:12:59 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rhv901dhjamptfscg84joveiiv4f1cthkj@4ax.com>
Source record: 195921

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 16:18:45 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of
>> talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not
>> happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on
>> USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The
>> local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp
>> constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with
>> a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?
>>
>> Can anyone help?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Try finding a small (inexpensive) 13.8V Car battery, and hooking it in
>parallel (+ to + and - to -) with your power supply.. The battery will
>pick up the slack the power supply can't provide, and the supply will
>charge the battery when you're not talking.. Neat solution...
>
>One caveat, though.. once the battery is at full charge, you'll need to
>either turn off the power supply, or turn something on (a radio, etc) to
>provide SOME load to the power supply so the batter doesn't get overcharged.
>
>I run all my HAM base station gear like this...
>
>-SSB

I am still trying to narrow it down. This might be an intermittent problem. I
was talking SSB during the day, and this didn't occur. Could it be an RF
feedback problem? I just installed an 8 foot ground rod, and will run the wire
tonight. Would this dissipate some of the RF?

Vinnie S.

2232. Re: ar-2000 info wanted 10 meter mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 17:49:13 UTC
From: harvey <hdjames@bellsouthspamnot.net>
Message-ID: <eA7Nd.32786$t67.6800@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 195922

cool, snagged it at 75 bucks nib after i saw the mod for it...had read the
reveiws on the midland and wanted to check it out at that price i can..lol
thx for reply
harv
"Jim" <J*rase*@charter.net> wrote in message
news:%CMMd.26540$IL7.22546@fe06.lga...
| That's the same radio as the Midland 79-290. Do a search for that instead.
| They're not too expensive either, around $179 bucks or so.
|
|
| "harvey" <hdjames@bellsouthspamnot.net> wrote in message
| news:nALMd.27008$t67.122@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
| > anyone have any info on this rig?saw it on ebay box and description says
| > clear channel corp.
| > i did google it but came up nada, wondering if it was also put out
under
| a
| > different name
| > thx
| > harv
| >
| >
|
|

2233. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 19:02:09 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107630129.117905.156180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195923

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

2234. FS: Uniden Pro 350XL $35.00 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 20:05:21 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5G9Nd.156503$w62.141858@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 195924

Uniden Pro-350XL portable 40 channel CB in excellent condition.
Features:
40 channels
channel 9/19 switch
scan
lockout
WX receiver
1/4 watt adjustment

comes with radio and rubber duck
requires 9 AA batteries or optional DC power cord.

$35.00 plus shipping.

please email off group

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

2235. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 20:35:49 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107635749.301858.290210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195925

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

2236. dads collection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 21:05:58 UTC
From: hiwayjunky@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4061-42053536-9@storefull-3233.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195929

```
have decided on selling a few of dads radio's.i have three perfect
lafayette telstat ssb 140's for sale
```

2237. Re: Bilinear identification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 21:06:02 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <110ad9rmjks3cd2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 195926

Hello Floyd:

If you look in the Motorola RF Transistor Manual, you will see the basic
two transistor RF Linear Amplifier design.

I am sure it woun't be to far from the diagram.

http://www.rfparts.com look under books

Jay in the Mojave

compwiz1 wrote:

> Hi Group!
>
> I have a 100 Bilinear, (pic attached) that I need a schematic for. I
> have seen the same amp with different names on it, bimbo 100 bilinear,
> powerline 100, sct-100 but cant find a schmatic.
>
> Can anyone help?
>
> Floyd
>

2238. Re: Attention Keyclowns i.e. Illegal Outlaw CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 21:09:54 UTC
From: baller blocka <crunk@yeah.com>
Message-ID: <4205334e$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 195927

```
Validating xasa@xasa.com...

Validation results
confidence rating: 3 - SMTP
The email address passed this level of validation
without an error. However, it is not guaranteed to be
a good address. more info
canonical address: <xasa@xasa.com>

MX records
preference exchange IP address (if included)
0 xasa.com [207.44.180.36]

SMTP session
[Contacting xasa.com [207.44.180.36]...]
[Connected]
220 registrodirecto.com ESMTP Sendmail 8.11.6/8.11.6; Sun, 6 Feb 2005
04:13:09 GMT
HELO hexillion.com
250 registrodirecto.com Hello port-216-3077865-es150.devices.datareturn.com
[216.46.246.233], pleased to meet you
VRFY xasa
252 2.5.2 Cannot VRFY user; try RCPT to attempt delivery (or try finger)
RSET
250 2.0.0 Reset state
EXPN xasa
502 5.7.0 Sorry, we do not allow this operation
RSET
250 2.0.0 Reset state
MAIL FROM:<HexValidEmail@hexillion.com>
250 2.1.0 <HexValidEmail@hexillion.com>... Sender ok
RCPT TO:<xasa@xasa.com>
250 2.1.5 <xasa@xasa.com>... Recipient ok
QUIT
221 2.0.0 registrodirecto.com closing connection
[Connection closed]

<xasa@xasa.com> wrote in message news:HZ6Nd.17449$US.5825@news.ono.com...
> Keyclownism will no longer be tolerated in theis news group.
>
> Amp sales will be flagged.
>
> Out of band operation will be reported.
>
> Illegal devices will be penalized.
```

>
> All eBay auctions of keyclown gear will be suspended.
>
> The FCC will be notified.
>
> Nothing you can do.

You cannot STOP All out-of-band ops and Amp sales.
The FCC has equipment to find those who do.
NOT YOU!

So, do not be impersonating an Federal official.

LET IT GO!!

And Go on Somewhere else you mud-duck!

You do NOT control others on this here newsgroup.

If you ain't got no useful information regarding this newsgroup rec.radio.cb
or any helpful info tips on such CB related posts ---- Get OUT!
You must be one of the key-clown informants that pose as a legit poster.

2239. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 22:09:24 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107641364.674811.105760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195928

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

2240. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 22:33:04 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <42054b11@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

<WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in message news:41ffaac7@news.usenetzone.com...
>I love nigger cock!
>
I love cock too but the doctor won't let doug butt bang me anymore.....he
has a really bad heart.

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2241. Re: Hrm...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 22:35:58 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <42054b76@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

<aagh@agh.net> wrote in message news:XiWMd.17364$US.16458@news.ono.com...
>> I just saw "sixmeterop" pop on AIM.... I wonder what Doug's going to
>> post next?
>
> Could be a forger. Steveo has been known to impersonate
> hams that are afraid of him.
>
>
That sounds like my N8WWM, he's afraid of anything that moves!

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2242. Re: dads collection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 22:46:08 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <93cNd.2658$i31.413@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195932

jc h wrote:

> have decided on selling a few of dads radio's.i have three perfect
> lafayette telstat ssb 140's for sale
>
any link to pictures perhaps?

2243. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-05 23:42:56 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107646976.362926.294670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195933

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

2244. Re: Did you guys notice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 00:03:43 UTC
From: bb <billybeeper@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1107648223.117793.189100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> bb wrote:
>
> > Party girl refused the breathalyzer, but when she finally
succumbed,
> > she blew a point 23. Awesome! Maybe she'll do a recruiting poster
> for
> > the US Navy.
>
> Why?
>
> Since the late 70's/early 80's the USN and USMC have had some of
> the stiffest penalties for it's members who violate DUI laws.

Apparently, laws were made to be broken.

In addition to sailors, you can count on at least one Ohio Supreme
Court Justice doing just that.

2245. WTB: JB 2000 AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 00:11:15 UTC
From: reg1647@aol.com
Message-ID: <20050205191115.12769.00000404@mb-m11.aol.com>
Source record: 195935

Price and condition please, Thanks

2246. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 01:16:28 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107652588.386116.291640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195936

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

2247. Re: WTB: JB 2000 AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 02:26:18 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <110b02bnb3lbc34@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 195937

Hello Reg:

I saw one in perfect condation at the TRW Swap Meet last Saturday, with the new Eimac 3-500ZG Tubes, and new meters installed on the front for grid curent, Plate Voltage, and Plate Current.

I am sure they where real proud of this amp. Kind of neat seeing a old amp restored and kept on hummin!

If its still there next TRW, there I'll give em your e-mail address.

Jay in the Mojave

Reg1647 wrote:

> Price and condition please, Thanks

2248. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 02:27:24 UTC
From: SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net>
Message-ID: <ggfNd.974$hU7.724@newssvr33.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195938

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 16:18:45 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>>I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of
>>>talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not
>>>happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on
>>>USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The
>>>local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp
>>>constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with
>>>a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?
>>>
>>>Can anyone help?
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>Try finding a small (inexpensive) 13.8V Car battery, and hooking it in
>>parallel (+ to + and - to -) with your power supply.. The battery will
>>pick up the slack the power supply can't provide, and the supply will
>>charge the battery when you're not talking.. Neat solution...
>>
>>One caveat, though.. once the battery is at full charge, you'll need to
>>either turn off the power supply, or turn something on (a radio, etc) to
>>provide SOME load to the power supply so the batter doesn't get overcharged.
>>
>>I run all my HAM base station gear like this...
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
> I am still trying to narrow it down. This might be an intermittent problem. I
> was talking SSB during the day, and this didn't occur. Could it be an RF
> feedback problem? I just installed an 8 foot ground rod, and will run the wire
> tonight. Would this dissipate some of the RF?
>
>
> Vinnie S.

Depends on how (and where) you have it hooked up. NEI, if you remember
that from school...

-SSB

2249. Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 02:43:00 UTC
From: morris <morris@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11a61$42058439$d8536ff5$22595@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 195939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)

---- cut ---

Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html

TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
it on eBay.

The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
afford kids or a house, and even took money from
her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.

The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
according to news media reports.

The couple had been married for 17 years.
When asked about the auction, the wife
said: "I'm giggling."

-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor

2250. (",) NEAT MOVIE FLICK ! (it starts up quickly)(awesome introduction)...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 03:04:18 UTC
From: AttWireless_ATTW@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107658198.216827.170560@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195940

Please reply with your comments about the movie.

This video hosted by Dr. Maurice Rawlings,
a noted cardiologist, investigates life after
death and his experiences with patients
that actually saw 'the other side!'

http://www.tbn.org/films/videos/To_Hell_And_Back.ram

2251. Re: So far this month:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 03:41:11 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36li2bF4vo1l4U1@individual.net>
Source record: 195941

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25768-420411C2-28@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
> Can't wait to see the programs the feds are gonna cut to pay for the
> war and the tax cuts. Bush just realized the bill is coming due and it
> really has to be paid. Betcha the red states will be screaming the
> loudest when they have no salt for the roads or when their potholes are
> the size of small craters and they have to wait in line all day to take
> care of single task in any federal building, including the post office.
> Add to that the crime increase that will be a direct result of the
> domino effect following inflation and the right can bitch about how the
> liberals screwed the country during the 8 Bush years for many
> generations to come.
>

Hello, Twist

The beginning of this was with Ronald Raygun and star wars. The cuts for the rich were largely paid by cuts to aid the poor. Unfortunately, Bush has created a deficit that is not going to be fixed by stopping all aid to education, health care, or anything else.

I visited the U.K. website. Seems like I am entitled to a work permit in England as my grandfather was Scottish. Everyone here loves to point out the expense of living there and the high taxes. Wow! 5 or 6 bucks a gallon for gasoline.

Well, the income tax is likely lower for most folks over there. 10% for the first several thousand pounds sterling. Then 20% up to something like 40,000 pounds sterling. Then 40% thereafter. So, it isn't all that bad for working stiffs. Lower than here, actually.

Now the costs are somewhat higher with rents something like 12 pounds sterling per day at a hotel (hmm ... perhaps $25.00 .... sounds sort of cheap). Of course, efficiency appartments and flats do cost more. Quite a bit more.

Of course, one has to consider what they earn.

Want to take a guess as to what a nurse makes over there? A nurse specialist (which, I assume, is equivalent to a nurse practioner over here) does make more. I suspect a nurse practioner over here makes something like $30.00 per hour or $60,000 per years. Over there, they make 65,000 pounds sterling. That is over $130,000 per year!

On the website, it appears the low end of things is something like 15 pounds sterling per hour ($30.00), entry level.

Ever wonder what happened since the 1960s and 70s where the wife could stay at home and a skilled tradesman could make a decent living for his wife and kids?

Of course, the Repooblican answer is to send jobs to China where they make 30 cents per hour and claim they are raising our standard of living by getting us cheap goods. Hmmmm ...

Oh, did I mention that England apparently can run their country on taxes similar to ours - *plus* have free health care?

What is wrong with this picture? We just don't do enough for the rich. Perhaps we should give Michael Jackson a free "get out of jail" card should he need it. He's wealthy and he's entitled. Along with the scum bags that wiped out pension plans (and Bush is about to wipe out Social Security). Don't kid yourself. One country that "privatized" their system found out. One guy who went with that private thing found that all along the way, wealthy folks get cuts of that pension for "managing" it. The management team got 30 percent of the take. The end result is that this guy ends up with half of the pension others got without the privitization. Plus, his pension is a 20 year annuity. If he lives beyond that, he's out in the street and no one cares.

Since nothing appears to stick to our teflon don ... I mean president, I'm sure no one will remember the idiot(s) who brought ruination to our country.

One other thing - Bush is *not* a uniter and never intended to be.

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

2252. Re: America's public image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 03:42:41 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <36li55F4va5n4U1@individual.net>
Source record: 195942

"Gactimus" <gactimus@xrs.net> wrote in message
news:94ad89b5.0502041516.65dee513@posting.google.com...
> How do you think we could improve this ? Does America need better
> marketing ? Or could it be that our universal unpopularity has
> something to do with the way we conduct ourselves in international
> affairs ?
>
> Personally speaking, I believe it is all down to the marketing...

Ah, yes. We should all buy cute little umbrellas like Michael Jackson has
and then we will be admired throughout the world. <VBG>

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

2253. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 05:15:55 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <jKhNd.29265$xt.14695@fed1read07>
Source record: 195943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Indeed -- your wife or your rig (baseball cards)
Long Pause
Well
I'm thinking, I'm thinking
Jack Benny

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"morris" <morris@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11a61$42058439$d8536ff5$22595@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
> What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
> radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
> just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
> hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)
>
> ---- cut ---
>
> Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
> From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html
>
> TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
> on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
> it on eBay.
>
> The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
> afford kids or a house, and even took money from
> her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.
>
> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> according to news media reports.
>
> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> When asked about the auction, the wife
> said: "I'm giggling."
>
> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out

> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor

2254. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 11:50:01 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 195844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:

> There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
> What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
> radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
> just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
> hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)
>
> ---- cut ---
>
> Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
> From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html
>
> TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
> on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
> it on eBay.
>
> The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
> afford kids or a house, and even took money from
> her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.
>
> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> according to news media reports.
>
> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> When asked about the auction, the wife
> said: "I'm giggling."
>
> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor

Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too many marriages go down the toilet for my money.

2255. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 13:31:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <z8GdnT_LNLncgZvfRVn-rg@adelphia.com>
Source record: 195845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Randy wrote:
> On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
>
>
>>There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
>>What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
>>radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
>>just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
>>hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)
>>
>>---- cut ---
>>
>>Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
>>From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html
>>
>>TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
>>on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
>>it on eBay.
>>
>>The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
>>afford kids or a house, and even took money from
>>her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.
>>
>>The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
>>cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
>>according to news media reports.
>>
>>The couple had been married for 17 years.
>>When asked about the auction, the wife
>>said: "I'm giggling."
>>
>>-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
>>caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
>>it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
>>of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
>>200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
>>in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
>>your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
>
>
>
> Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for
> suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens

> mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too
> many marriages go down the toilet for my money.

A guy walks into a bar, sits down. Tells the batrender "A round of
drinks on me!"

After getting hs drink, the fellow next to him asks "What's the
occasion Pal?"

"I'm getting married tomorrow! Now I'll be able to get laid any time I
want!!"

The other fellow says "Funny, but that was the reason I got divorced!"

- Mike KB3EIA -

2256. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 17:52:05 UTC
From: Buck <iam@this.site>
Message-ID: <6olc019b27uck3e87s6806mss3bcq78udm@4ax.com>
Source record: 195846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 5 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, "morris" <morris@yahoo.com> wrote:

>There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
>What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
>radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
>just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
>hubby's "ham radio junk" just to have him pay her more attention..... ;)
>
>---- cut ---
>
>Ex-Wife Auctions Man's $200,000 Baseball Collection
>From the News Section of: http://www.voyeurweb.com/main/Main.html
>
>TUSCON, Arizona -- A woman whose husband spent $200,000
>on baseball memorabilia took revenge - by divorcing him and selling
>it on eBay.
>
>The husband, 42, told his wife, 45, they couldn't
>afford kids or a house, and even took money from
>her bank account to add (baseball items) to his collection.
>
>The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
>cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
>according to news media reports.
>
>The couple had been married for 17 years.
>When asked about the auction, the wife
>said: "I'm giggling."
>
>-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
>caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
>it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
>of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
>200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
>in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
>your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor

My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the

persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good to take it from them.

To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.

Buck
N4PGw
--
73 for now
Buck
N4PGW

2257. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 18:06:24 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4KednfUFS_MAwZvfRVn-2g@giganews.com>
Source record: 195847

Steveo wrote:
> Pick 'em for giggles.
>
> I'll take N.E. and give seven.
>
> Under 48.

Philly, taking 7, and I agree with the over/under.

Jeff

2258. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 18:09:06 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GtqdnZIjgtiiwJvfRVn-sQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 195848

Landshark wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:KvRMd.1763$eB2.763@fe11.lga...
>> we had a good year vinnie. c. martin is class.
>> pats, points & under...
>
> I'm picking Colts by 3 over the Cowboys.......... Darn
> a different SuperBowl.
>
> Landshark

lol...

Well then, I predict the Colt's coach will soon have an undefeated season,
with another team, ending with a SB win over the Redskins.

Count it! ;-)

Jeff

2259. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 18:10:43 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ytCdnYFWkqU9wJvfRVn-ig@giganews.com>
Source record: 195849

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
> On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Pick 'em for giggles.
>
>> Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am
>> hopeful that the Eagles win, if only to see the
>
>> town explode the next day.
>
>> But realistically I am figuring the score to be
>
>> about 17-10 in favor of the Pats.
>
>> Dave
>
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>
> Gonna be way higher scoring game than that...but Iggles have no
> chance,,,they're cursed. Nevertheless, its nice to see you confirm the
> mentality of the town. Indeed,your locals would offer the only malady
> for the town...burned to the ground.

I think a former mayor already tried that, right?

Jeff

2260. Re: America's public image

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 18:12:29 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <GaednUvYPoqXw5vfRVn-gA@giganews.com>
Source record: 195850

Gactimus wrote:
> How do you think we could improve this ? Does America need better
> marketing ? Or could it be that our universal unpopularity has
> something to do with the way we conduct ourselves in international
> affairs ?
>
> Personally speaking, I believe it is all down to the marketing...

Another freaking troll.

Jeff

2261. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 18:14:15 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <BoSdnTmajpnQwpvfRVn-sg@giganews.com>
Source record: 195851

Vinnie S. wrote:
> I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3
> seconds of talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then
> just go dead. Not happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the
> same result. Also happend on USB. Then I went and put the radio in
> the car, and the problem went away. The local said it's my power
> supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp constant, 14 amp
> surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with a
> HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for
> filtering?
>
> Can anyone help?

Shoulda bought a bigger PS.

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

2262. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 18:55:52 UTC
From: Lloyd <mrlloyd@mrlloydsoffice.org>
Message-ID: <cu5p69$v1p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 195852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
news:6olc019b27uck3e87s6806mss3bcq78udm@4ax.com...
>
> My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
> discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
> that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
> would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
> would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
> change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
> dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the
> persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a
> person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be
> the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different
> if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good
> to take it from them.
>
> To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a
> bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good
> thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.
>
>
> Buck
> N4PGw
> --
> 73 for now
> Buck
> N4PGW
>

You have Diarrhea Of The Mouth. Even your qrz listing reeks
of Oral Diarrhea.

Lloyd

2263. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 19:38:25 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107718705.638714.81220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195853

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html << The Passion Of The Christ
4. http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html << Animation
5. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
6. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
7. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

2264. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 19:50:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <m6tc01t4iodjhrufrgqqscct07i3s462t4@4ax.com>
Source record: 195854

On Sun, 6 Feb 2005 10:14:15 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3
>> seconds of talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then
>> just go dead. Not happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the
>> same result. Also happend on USB. Then I went and put the radio in
>> the car, and the problem went away. The local said it's my power
>> supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp constant, 14 amp
>> surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with a
>> HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for
>> filtering?
>>
>> Can anyone help?
>
>Shoulda bought a bigger PS.

Brilliant.

Vinnie S.

2265. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 19:53:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <fGuNd.32069$CM2.10938@fe07.lga>
Source record: 195855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
> On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
> message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
>
> > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
> >
> > {snippage}
> >>
> >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> >> according to news media reports.
> >>
> >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> >> When asked about the auction, the wife
> >> said: "I'm giggling."
> >>
> >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> >
> >
> > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for
> > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens
> > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too
> > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
> >
>
> I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your broad-
> brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely
> because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
>
> -=jd=-
> --
> My Current Disposable Email:
> jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
> (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)

I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.

B.H.

2266. Ping Sideband

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 20:01:36 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@optonline.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <IKuNd.1625$mr4.332@fe09.lga>
Source record: 195856

Chris, pse e-mail me. Tnx es...

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384
QRP ARCI #11782

2267. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 20:15:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <1kuc015lu7tijrdel7ba2rkg9a1o5q2jfc@4ax.com>
Source record: 195857

On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 02:27:24 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 16:18:45 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>
>>>>I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of
>>>>talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not
>>>>happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on
>>>>USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The
>>>>local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp
>>>>constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with
>>>>a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?
>>>>
>>>>Can anyone help?
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>Try finding a small (inexpensive) 13.8V Car battery, and hooking it in
>>>parallel (+ to + and - to -) with your power supply.. The battery will
>>>pick up the slack the power supply can't provide, and the supply will
>>>charge the battery when you're not talking.. Neat solution...
>>>
>>>One caveat, though.. once the battery is at full charge, you'll need to
>>>either turn off the power supply, or turn something on (a radio, etc) to
>>>provide SOME load to the power supply so the batter doesn't get overcharged.
>>>
>>>I run all my HAM base station gear like this...
>>>
>>>-SSB
>>
>>
>> I am still trying to narrow it down. This might be an intermittent problem. I
>> was talking SSB during the day, and this didn't occur. Could it be an RF
>> feedback problem? I just installed an 8 foot ground rod, and will run the wire
>> tonight. Would this dissipate some of the RF?
>>
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Depends on how (and where) you have it hooked up. NEI, if you remember
>that from school...

>
>-SSB

Here is a weird one.

When I went to measure PEP on the meter, my SSB seem to be modulating, almost like it was holding a carrier. Even if I turn the mic all the way down, and don't talk, it appears to me measure a full peak my the power meter, and also the radio meter. What is weirder is it appears to be channels 35 and higher on SSB. The lower channels seem to be normal on SSB. They show power only when you are talking.

Vinnie S.

2268. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To Heaven in a Freightliner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 20:51:41 UTC
From: Pope Bonzo III <loosewashers321@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6c85$42068399$d1cc5734$381@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 195858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1107718662.895554.108060@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Good News!

TITLE: "Jesus Jr. - The Country Western Christ"
ARTIST: The Cab City Combo

(What can be said about a bunch of old puds that
continue to mock classic rock and roll and anything
else that occurs to them? Are they WHAT AMERICA
NEEDS NOW? Are they THE NEXT BIG THING?
Or are they fooling themselves and just wasting everybody's
time. You decide!)

Free MP3 download of this song IS HERE!
--> http://music.download.com/cabcitycombo/3600-9022_32-100151557.html

Lyrics

Jesus Jr! His grandpa is the Lord
Jesus Jr! He drives a big white ford
Jesus Jr! He takes his dad's advice
Jesus Jr! The Country Western Christ

Well he was born in Tennessee
Not somewhere out in Galilee
His dad got his at Calvary
And his mom works at the grocery

Jesus Jr! His grandpa is the Lord
Jesus Jr! He drives a big white ford
Jesus Jr! His grandma Mary's joy
Father, Son and the Holy good old boy

Well Junior takes his name in vain
When folks abuse the passing lane
And at his favorite bar on Main
The only thing he'll raise is cain

Jesus Jr! His grandpa is the Lord
Jesus Jr! He drives a big white ford
Jesus Jr! At least he's not a jew
10-4 Satan, his rig is passin you

Now if his wheels get stuck in mud
He'll part that shoulder like the flood
And when he drives on Easter, bud
His left-hand signal's dripping blood...

Jesus Jr! His grandpa is the Lord
Jesus Jr! got mudguards on his big white ford
Jesus Jr! He takes his dad's advice
Jesus Jr! The Country Western Christ
Jesus Jr! The Country Western Christ

2269. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-06 22:03:50 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107727430.555946.309240@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195859

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

1. http://www.biblegateway.com << Free Online Bible
2. http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html << Free Online Movies
3. http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html << The Passion Of The Christ
4. http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html << Animation
5. http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm << Beware Of Cults
6. http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm << About Hell
7. http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html << Is Jesus God?

2270. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 00:41:41 UTC
From: Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2005.02.07.00.41.34.160307@spamsucks.net>
Source record: 195860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 13:53:53 -0600, Brian Hill wrote:

>
> "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
>> On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
>> message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
>>
>> > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
>> >
>> > {snippage}
>> >>
>> >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
>> >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
>> >> according to news media reports.
>> >>
>> >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
>> >> When asked about the auction, the wife
>> >> said: "I'm giggling."
>> >>
>> >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
>> >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
>> >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
>> >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
>> >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
>> >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
>> >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
>> >
>> >
>> > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for
>> > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens
>> > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too
>> > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
>> >
>>
>> I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your broad-
>> brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely
>> because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
>>
>> -=jd=-
>> --
>> My Current Disposable Email:
>> jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
```

>> (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
>
> I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.
>
> B.H.

You are certainly an exception and up to about 20 years ago marriages usually worked. But you folks know as well as I do how many shit the bed in the past 20 years. You all know PLENTY of them.

I hope it lasts 20 more.

2271. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 00:59:00 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <n8zNd.33591$aQ6.7813@fe07.lga>
Source record: 195861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Tom Randy" <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in message
news:pan.2005.02.07.00.41.34.160307@spamsucks.net...
> On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 13:53:53 -0600, Brian Hill wrote:
>
> >
> > "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
> > news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
> >> On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
> >> message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
> >>
> >> > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
> >> >
> >> > {snippage}
> >> >>
> >> >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> >> >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> >> >> according to news media reports.
> >> >>
> >> >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> >> >> When asked about the auction, the wife
> >> >> said: "I'm giggling."
> >> >>
> >> >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> >> >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> >> >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> >> >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> >> >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> >> >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> >> >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is
for
> >> > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but
womens
> >> > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR
too
> >> > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
> >> >
> >>
> >> I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your
broad-
> >> brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely
```

> >> because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
> >>
> >> -=jd=-
> >> --
> >> My Current Disposable Email:
> >> jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
> >> (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
> >
> > I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.
> >
> > B.H.
>
>
> You are certainly an exception and up to about 20 years ago marriages
> usually worked. But you folks know as well as I do how many shit the bed
> in the past 20 years. You all know PLENTY of them.
>
> I hope it lasts 20 more.
>
>
>

Thanks. I understand your attitude. Don't give up though. It really is cool when you find the right one. If you do get lucky, make sure you /she or somebody can cook. The first thing to f--k up a home is bad food!! ;)

B.H.

2272. Cobra 2000 repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 01:16:01 UTC
From: tony brown <shaftny1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <WgzNd.34419$EG1.24656@lakeread04>
Source record: 195862

Looking for a good Tech that can repair a Freq counter and power supple on a Cobra 2000 GTL in the southeastern area?

2273. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 01:20:33 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107739233.545856.49180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195863

^

2274. Speaking of bad food and bad marriages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 02:03:27 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <vsOdnQijmo31UZvfRVn-uw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 195864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Hill wrote:

> Thanks. I understand your attitude. Don't give up though. It really is cool
> when you find the right one. If you do get lucky, make sure you /she or
> somebody can cook. The first thing to f--k up a home is bad food!! ;)
>
> B.H.

Subject: FW: MRE Dinner Date
Importance: Low

This was from a grunt whose date unwittingly asked him to cook for her.
Anyone who has had to eat MREs--or for we graybeards, C-Rats--for months
during deployments will appreciate this.

> ----------
> by Frank Rodgers
> I had a date the other night at my place. On the phone the day before,
> the
>
> girl asked me to "Cook her something she's never had before" for
> dinner.
> After many minutes of scratching my head over what to make, I finally
> settled on something she has DEFINITELY never eaten.
> I got out my trusty case of MRE's. Meal, Ready-to-Eat. Field rations
> that when eaten in their entirety contain 3000+ calories. Here's what
> I
made:
> I took three of the Ham Slices out of their plastic packets, took out
> three of the Pork Chops, three packets of Chicken-a-la-King, and eight
> packets of dehydrated butter noodles and some dehydrated/rehydrated
> rice. I cooked the Ham Slices and Pork Chops in one pan, sauteed in
> shaved garlic and olive oil.
> In another pot, I blended the Chicken a-la-king, noodles, and rice
> together to make a sort of mush that looked suspiciously like
> succotash. I added some spices, and blended everything together in a
> glass pan that I then cooked in the oven for about 35 minutes at 450
> degrees.
> When I took it out, it looked like, well, ham slices, pork chops, and
> a bed of yellow poop. I covered the tops of the meat in the MRE cheese
> (kinda like
> velveeta) and added some green sprinkly thingys from one of my spice
> cans (hey, if it's got green sprinkly thingys on it, it looks fancy
> right?) For dessert, I took four MRE Pound Cakes, mashed 'em up, added

> five packets of cocoa powder, powdered coffee cream, and some water. I
> heated it up and
>
> stirred it until it looked like a sort of chunky gelatinous organism,
> and I sprinkled powdered sugar on top of it.
> Voila--Ranger Pudding.
> For alcoholic drinks, I took the rest of my bottle of Military Special
> Vodka (yes, they DO make a type of liquor named "Military Special"--it
> sells for
>
> $4.35 per fifth) and mixed in four packets of "Electrolytes - 1 each -
> Cherry flavored" (I swear, the packet says that). It looked like an
> eerie kool-aid with sparkles in it (that was the electrolytes I guess...
> could've
> been leftover sand from Egypt).
> I lit two candles, put a vase of wildflowers in the middle, and set
> the table with my best set of Ralph Lauren Academy-series China (that
> shit is flippin EXPENSIVE... my set of 8 place settings cost me over
> $600), and put the alcoholic drink in a crystal wine decanter.
> She came over, and I had some appetizers already made, of MRE
> spaghetti-with-meatballs, set in small cups. She saw the dinner, saw
> the food, and said "This looks INCREDIBLE!!!"
> We dug in, and she was loving the food. Throughout the meal, she kept
> asking me how long it took me to make it, and kept remarking that I
> obviously knew a thing or two about cooking fine meals. She kind of
> balked at the makeshift "wine" I had set out, but after she tried it I
> guess she liked it because she drank four glasses during dinner.
> At the end of the main course, when I served the dessert, she squealed
> with delight at the "Chocolate mousse" I had made. Huh? Chocolate
> what? Okay...
>
> yeah... it's Chocolate Moose. Took me HOURS to make... yup.
> Later on, as we were watching a movie, she excused herself to use my
> restroom. While she was in there, I heard her say softly to herself
> "uh oh"
> and a resounding but petite fart punctuated her utterance of dismay.
> Let the games begin.
> She sprayed about half a can of air freshener (Air Freshener, 1 each,
> Orange scent. Yup. The Army even makes smellgood) and returned to the
> couch, this
>
> time with an obvious pained look.
> After 10 more minutes she excused herself again, and retreated to the
> bathroom for the second time. I could hear her say "What the hell is
> WRONG
>
> with me???," as she again send flatulent shockwaves into the porcelain
> bowl.
> This time, they sounded kinda wet, and I heard the toilet paper roll
> being

>
> employed, and again, LOTS more air freshener.
> Back to the couch. She smiles meekly as she decides to sit on the
> chair instead of next to me. She sits on my chair, knees pulled up to
> her chest,
>
> kind of rocking back and forth slightly. Suddenly, without a word, she
> ROCKETED up and FLEW to the bathroom, slammed the door, and didn't
> come out for 30 minutes.
> I turned the movie up because I didn't want her to hear me laughing so
> hard that tears were streaming down my cheeks.
> She came out with a slightly gray palor to her face, and said "I am
> SOOOOOO sorry. I have NO idea what is wrong with me. I am so
> embarrassed, I can't believe I keep running to your bathroom!!" I gave
> her an Immodium AD, and she finally settled down and relaxed.
> Later on, she asked me again what I had made for dinner, because she
> had enjoyed it so much. I calmly took her into the kitchen and showed
> her all the used MRE bags and packets in the trash can.
> After explaining to her that she had eaten roughly 9,000 calories of
> "Army
>
> food" she turned stark white, looked at me incredulously, and said "I
> ate 9,000 calories or dehydrated food that was made 3 years ago?"
> After I concurred, she grabbed her coat and keys, and took off without
> a
word.
> She called me yesterday. Seems she couldn't shit for 3 days, and when
> she finally did, the smell was so bad, her roommate could smell it
> from down the hall. She also told me she had been working out nonstop
> to combat the high
>
> caloric intake, and that she never wanted me to cook dinner for her
> again,
>
> unless she was PERSONALLY there to inspect the food beforehand.
> It was a fun date. She laughed about it eventually, and said that that
> was
>
> the first time she'd ever crapped in a guy's house on a date. She'd
> been so upset by it she was in tears in the bathroom while I had been
> in tears on the couch.
> I know, I'm an asshole, but it was still a funny night.
>
>
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Caveats: NONE

2275. OT-Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 02:40:05 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <9yANd.27513$uA.23057@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195865

Teehee---hehehehehehehehehe! ROTF X 300,000,000

2276. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 03:08:23 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107745703.708811.144430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195866

^

2277. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 03:47:33 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-014EDD.19521506022005@newssvr24-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 195867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <fGuNd.32069$CM2.10938@fe07.lga>,
"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote:

> "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
> > On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
> > message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
> >
> > > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
> > >
> > > {snippage}
> > >>
> > >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> > >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> > >> according to news media reports.
> > >>
> > >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> > >> When asked about the auction, the wife
> > >> said: "I'm giggling."
> > >>
> > >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> > >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> > >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> > >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> > >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> > >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> > >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> > >
> > >
> > > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is for
> > > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but womens
> > > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR too
> > > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
> > >
> >
> > I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your broad-
> > brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely
> > because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
> >
> > -=jd=-
> > --
> > My Current Disposable Email:
> > jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net

> > (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
>
> I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.

You found out the secret!

How the hell else are you going to last a long time with someone if they are not your friend. Besides being friends the only other thing required is that you both share the expectations of the marriage like kids, money and who does what.

--
Telamon
Ventura, California

2278. Re: OT-Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 04:00:08 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <utpd015shjbt2821k552i1tf0iqcd3oc93@4ax.com>
Source record: 195868

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 02:40:05 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>Teehee---hehehehehehehehehe! ROTF X 300,000,000
>

Bad clock management.

Vinnie S.

2279. Re: OT-Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 04:09:38 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rLednbjUreljdJvfRVn-3g@giganews.com>
Source record: 195869

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 02:40:05 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>> Teehee---hehehehehehehehehe! ROTF X 300,000,000
>>
>
>
> Bad clock management.

It was terrible, wasn't it? That being said, the two teams were a pretty good match. 2 decent SB's in a row. Does this mean the world will soon come to an end? ;-)

Jeff

>
> Vinnie S.

2280. Re: OT-Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 04:15:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vkqd0118m38ma3m065op4a8tvpieuoe3du@4ax.com>
Source record: 195870

On Sun, 6 Feb 2005 20:09:38 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 02:40:05 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> Teehee---hehehehehehehehehe! ROTF X 300,000,000
>>>
>>
>>
>> Bad clock management.
>
>It was terrible, wasn't it? That being said, the two teams were a pretty
>good match. 2 decent SB's in a row. Does this mean the world will soon come
>to an end? ;-)

2 observations.

McNabb was extremely tight. Seemed nervous all game. Bad scouting on the eagles part. Dionne Branch was a terror, and they did nothing to stop him. Also, The Eagles couldn't stop a screen. But that clock managment was pathetic.

Vinnie S.

2281. Re: OT-Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 04:27:53 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <d7CNd.13658$sr1.10055@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195871

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:vkqd0118m38ma3m065op4a8tvpieuoe3du@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 6 Feb 2005 20:09:38 -0800, "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>> On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 02:40:05 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> Teehee---hehehehehehehehehe! ROTF X 300,000,000
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Bad clock management.
>>
>>It was terrible, wasn't it? That being said, the two teams were a pretty
>>good match. 2 decent SB's in a row. Does this mean the world will soon
>>come
>>to an end? ;-)
>
>
> 2 observations.
>
> McNabb was extremely tight. Seemed nervous all game. Bad scouting on the
> eagles
> part. Dionne Branch was a terror, and they did nothing to stop him. Also,
> The
> Eagles couldn't stop a screen. But that clock managment was pathetic.
>
> Vinnie S.

Yeah, but the only reason clock management came into play is the fact that NE thought they had it in the bag and let their defenses down somewhat. I see it as the rest of the country, and Philly got owned. Of course, they did put up fight, but were clearly out of their league.

2282. Re: OT-Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 04:41:40 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <99sd0119388qkckte7j6n63q80k630unnm@4ax.com>
Source record: 195872

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 04:27:53 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>> McNabb was extremely tight. Seemed nervous all game. Bad scouting on the
>> eagles
>> part. Dionne Branch was a terror, and they did nothing to stop him. Also,
>> The
>> Eagles couldn't stop a screen. But that clock managment was pathetic.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Yeah, but the only reason clock management came into play is the fact that
>NE thought they had it in the bag and let their defenses down somewhat. I
>see it as the rest of the country, and Philly got owned. Of course, they did
>put up fight, but were clearly out of their league.
>

Well, I am not a Philly fan. But they were 14-14 at the end of 3. And that was with poor play from McNabb. I think clock management was a factor. I think they could have won. But NE was clearly more experienced, and it showed.

Vinnie S.

2283. Re: OT-Superbowl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 04:47:11 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <jpCNd.23560$uL5.20176@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195873

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:99sd0119388qkckte7j6n63q80k630unnm@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 04:27:53 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
>
>
>>> McNabb was extremely tight. Seemed nervous all game. Bad scouting on the
>>> eagles
>>> part. Dionne Branch was a terror, and they did nothing to stop him.
>>> Also,
>>> The
>>> Eagles couldn't stop a screen. But that clock managment was pathetic.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>Yeah, but the only reason clock management came into play is the fact that
>>NE thought they had it in the bag and let their defenses down somewhat. I
>>see it as the rest of the country, and Philly got owned. Of course, they
>>did
>>put up fight, but were clearly out of their league.
>>
>
> Well, I am not a Philly fan. But they were 14-14 at the end of 3.

Correct. And were propmtly stomped for a bit.

And that was
> with poor play from McNabb. I think clock management was a factor. I
> think they
> could have won. But NE was clearly more experienced, and it showed.
>
> Vinnie S.

2284. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 04:52:47 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107751967.403933.121500@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195874

^

2285. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 06:37:12 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107758232.861560.161010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195875

^

2286. Re: FUCK YOU RACEIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 06:47:38 UTC
From: makinalivin2005@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1107758858.412788.207160@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.niggers,alt.flame.jews

Wait a minute and read this
----- make money with 6 dollars ,easy money no bull I found this on a bulletin board and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured: "what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right?" Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS WHAT!?... Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in. In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, WHY it works... Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage) IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is legal and it is 99% no risk - it really works! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list. Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal. Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:
#1)Kevin Prentice 13445229 St.Springfield Gardens Laurelton, NY 11413 USA

#2) Mercia Alencar- Rua Itacoatiara, Q.F Casa 11 Parque Amazonas São Luis - Maranhão - Brasil CEP: 65030-740

#3)Luis Pimentel 9678 Fontainbleau Blvd. #105 Miami, Fl 33172 USA

#4) Patty Cabrales 1412 1/2 Fairfax Aue. Los Angeles,CA 90019 USA

#5) Shane Dunston 14845 gandesa rd. La Mirada, CA 90638 USA

#6) Peggy Barsch - C/Tomas Morales, nº83, portal2 5B- 35004 Las Palmas- SPAIN

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up 1 place (#2 becomes #1, #3 becomes #2, etc...) and add YOUR name and address as number 6 on the list.
STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to24,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make! You won't get very much unless you post like crazy. This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again. PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way. NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) so, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an

opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business! -----DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS-----
Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this newsletter, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory.
Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.
Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to.
Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)
Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message selector. You're done with your first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200** That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.** Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $625.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if

it really works?? I think so... People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work Back to top

2287. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 07:26:05 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hKENd.2967$oO.1501@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 195877

I have read elsewhere that Pyramid power supplies are adversely affected by RF. They don't have filtering to keep RF from following the power wires back to the regulator. However, I think there may be a problem with the radio. Has it been used long on this P.S. or is it a new setup? Can you borrow a different P.S. from a friend to verify the problem?

Chris

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message news:b1o90154cbhrk98bil5s1adtueq8fqat0s@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 5 Feb 2005 00:32:26 -0600, "Jim" <J*rase*@charter.net> wrote:
>
>>You still need a bigger Power supply, The Pyramid brand has a tendency to
>>advertise more than what they actually put out. I had the same problem.
>>Invest in a nice 20 Amp Astron, or tripp-lite power supply and that will
>>take care of things for you. You can always get a good deal on one used if
>>you look. Qrz.com classifieds, Qth.com classifieds, etc. Good luck!
>>
>>
>>
>
>
> The HR-2510 has a 7 amp fuse. So if what you are saying is correct, thei
> supply
> can't pull half of it's rating?
>
> Vinnie S.

2288. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 07:30:25 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <IOENd.150726$K7.67869@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 195879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

1. I'm 26, a radio nut, and single.
2. I do have female friends who 'spin out' out my hobby.
3. I don't watch TV or listen to domestic radio
4. I agree with the majority of posts that say one accepts thier wife/gf's hobby and they accept yours
5. If this guy lost all his baseball (perhaps he should play cricket) stuff and it came to this he never really had a true relationship with his wife.
6. It's a sad world today, where most guys my age in VK, make sure they have assets listed with lawyers in thier own right before they ever move in with a girl, hence the reason that all-without exception-my mates still live at home with thier parents.
7. He obviously never read the Amateur's Code "never place hobby above work, family and other commitments"

VK3HAG
"Lloyd" <mrlloyd@mrlloydsoffice.org> wrote in message
news:cu5p69$v1p$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Buck" <iam@this.site> wrote in message
> news:6olc019b27uck3e87s6806mss3bcq78udm@4ax.com...
> >
> > My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
> > discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
> > that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
> > would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
> > would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
> > change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
> > dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the
> > persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a
> > person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be
> > the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different
> > if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good
> > to take it from them.
> >
> > To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a
> > bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good
> > thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.
> >
> >
> > Buck
> > N4PGw
> > --
> > 73 for now

> > Buck
> > N4PGW
> >
>
>
> You have Diarrhea Of The Mouth. Even your qrz listing reeks
> of Oral Diarrhea.
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

2289. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 07:31:33 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pPENd.2970$oO.273@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 195878

Inaudible RF feedback through the mic? Bad balanced modulator? I would look
for bad grounds and cold solder joints inside the radio. Just out of
curiosity, does that radio have a mic sensitivity VR on SSB and has it been
turned up?

Chris

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:1kuc015lu7tijrdel7ba2rkg9a1o5q2jfc@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 02:27:24 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>> On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 16:18:45 GMT, SideBand <AINO8SPAMW@cac.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>>
>>>>I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3
>>>>seconds of
>>>>talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go
>>>>dead. Not
>>>>happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also
>>>>happend on
>>>>USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went
>>>>away. The
>>>>local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12
>>>>amp
>>>>constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running
>>>>barefoot with
>>>>a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for
>>>>filtering?
>>>>
>>>>Can anyone help?
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>>
>>>>Try finding a small (inexpensive) 13.8V Car battery, and hooking it in
>>>>parallel (+ to + and - to -) with your power supply.. The battery will
>>>>pick up the slack the power supply can't provide, and the supply will
>>>>charge the battery when you're not talking.. Neat solution...
>>>>
>>>>One caveat, though.. once the battery is at full charge, you'll need to
>>>>either turn off the power supply, or turn something on (a radio, etc) to
>>>>provide SOME load to the power supply so the batter doesn't get
>>>>overcharged.

>>>>
>>>>I run all my HAM base station gear like this...
>>>>
>>>>-SSB
>>>
>>>
>>> I am still trying to narrow it down. This might be an intermittent
>>> problem. I
>>> was talking SSB during the day, and this didn't occur. Could it be an RF
>>> feedback problem? I just installed an 8 foot ground rod, and will run
>>> the wire
>>> tonight. Would this dissipate some of the RF?
>>>
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>Depends on how (and where) you have it hooked up. NEI, if you remember
>>that from school...
>>
>>-SSB
>
>
> Here is a weird one.
>
> When I went to measure PEP on the meter, my SSB seem to be modulating,
> almost
> like it was holding a carrier. Even if I turn the mic all the way down,
> and
> don't talk, it appears to me measure a full peak my the power meter, and
> also
> the radio meter. What is weirder is it appears to be channels 35 and
> higher on
> SSB. The lower channels seem to be normal on SSB. They show power only
> when you
> are talking.
>
> Vinnie S.

2290. Re: using a chip-clip on PLL's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 07:35:55 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vTENd.2971$oO.2507@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 195880

No way! I din't know that.

"steveo crusher" <steveocrusher@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1107379608.646112.252570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> It's illegal to transmit on CB radios outside the 40 regular channels
>

2291. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 07:45:40 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!

STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!

2292. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 07:47:53 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107762473.721627.81200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

YOU'RE A FAG.

2293. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 07:51:08 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07>
Source record: 195883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>
> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!

Or else what?

2294. Re: Attention Keyclowns i.e. Illegal Outlaw CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 07:51:30 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107762690.926514.161350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,ALT.HAM-RADIO.VHF-UHF,alt.usenet.kooks,misc.transport.trucking

```
baller blocka wrote:
> Validating xasa@xasa.com...
>
> Validation results
> confidence rating: 3 - SMTP
> The email address passed this level of validation
> without an error. However, it is not guaranteed to be
> a good address. more info
> canonical address: <xasa@xasa.com>
>
> MX records
> preference exchange IP address (if included)
> 0 xasa.com [207.44.180.36]
>
> SMTP session
> [Contacting xasa.com [207.44.180.36]...]
> [Connected]
> 220 registrodirecto.com ESMTP Sendmail 8.11.6/8.11.6; Sun, 6 Feb 2005
> 04:13:09 GMT
> HELO hexillion.com
> 250 registrodirecto.com Hello
port-216-3077865-es150.devices.datareturn.com
> [216.46.246.233], pleased to meet you
> VRFY xasa
> 252 2.5.2 Cannot VRFY user; try RCPT to attempt delivery (or try
finger)
> RSET
> 250 2.0.0 Reset state
> EXPN xasa
> 502 5.7.0 Sorry, we do not allow this operation
> RSET
> 250 2.0.0 Reset state
> MAIL FROM:<HexValidEmail@hexillion.com>
> 250 2.1.0 <HexValidEmail@hexillion.com>... Sender ok
> RCPT TO:<xasa@xasa.com>
> 250 2.1.5 <xasa@xasa.com>... Recipient ok
> QUIT
> 221 2.0.0 registrodirecto.com closing connection
> [Connection closed]
>
> <xasa@xasa.com> wrote in message
news:HZ6Nd.17449$US.5825@news.ono.com...
> > Keyclownism will no longer be tolerated in theis news group.
```

> >
> > Amp sales will be flagged.
> >
> > Out of band operation will be reported.
> >
> > Illegal devices will be penalized.
> >
> > All eBay auctions of keyclown gear will be suspended.
> >
> > The FCC will be notified.
> >
> > Nothing you can do.
>
> You cannot STOP All out-of-band ops and Amp sales.
> The FCC has equipment to find those who do.
> NOT YOU!
>
> So, do not be impersonating an Federal official.
>
> LET IT GO!!
>
> And Go on Somewhere else you mud-duck!
>
> You do NOT control others on this here newsgroup.
>
> If you ain't got no useful information regarding this newsgroup
rec.radio.cb
> or any helpful info tips on such CB related posts ---- Get OUT!
> You must be one of the key-clown informants that pose as a legit
poster.\

I HAVE SOME USEFUL INFO FOR YOU. GO EAT YOUR MOTHER'S PUSSY. YOU
FUCK-WIT!

2295. Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 08:21:35 UTC
From: Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107764495.088234.255130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195885

^

2296. Re: L37 and L38 values for Uniden Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 12:31:28 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107779488.276603.262490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.satellite.tv,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.jews

KC8QJP wrote:
> The puss oozing out of my snatch makes trails like a slug.
> .
> "sean" <seaningram@tds.net> wrote in message
> news:42019cf1$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net...
> >I am missing the slugs.
> >
hey sean......let me and the ninnie beat off on your uniden.then you'll
have some lube for your tongue when you become the radio's slug.

2297. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 12:32:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <kkle0157ckh16lls6uocv6n73arukindgv@4ax.com>
Source record: 195888

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:37:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:32:10 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <48d70116s7td8csfvnk52iqubl9001sgp8@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>Such a statement is concise and an absolute and leaves no room for
>>>doubt.
>>
>>There are few absolutes in this world. There are always alternative
>>explanations. What you are engaging in is called "Bifurcation ".
>
>
>What -you- are engaging in is called "hypocrisy".

In the context of the quoted passage, where am I engaging in anything
of the sort?

>There are an
>infinite number of "absolutes" in this world, the most common being
>'change'.

No, there are very few absolutes in the world. Most things are
relative.

>And it is 'change' which leads to the fact, as you admit
>above, that "there are always alternative explanations". Yet when it
>comes to your tech skills you pull a Kerry-esque flip-flop by
>proclaiming that -your- interpretation of is the -only- possibility.

I never made such a claim.

><snip>
>>> Doublespeak and it is impossible for
>>>both claims to be 100% true. This leaves only the probability factor.
>>
>>You'd better study some more of Frank's logic, because you're
>>confusing yourself again.
>
>
>-YOU- better study some logic because he has a very legitimate
>argument, and this time it's not a fallacy.

Twisty creates the arguments, manipulates the conditions, and postures
the conclusions. That's hardly a true representation of the truth.

>Once again, this is an
>inductive argument based on a number of facts

Facts? Need you be reminded of what a fact is? What little tidbit of
information spewed forth in this thread, can be verified as a fact?

> that are mostly
>irrelevant when taken individually, but collectively they draw a
>picture with you in the middle. And while Twisty does tend to jump to
>conclusions rather quickly, I have noticed the pattern myself and
>agree with his assessment.

Based on what? Being that I have a ton more respect for you than I
could ever have for Flipper in Florida, maybe you'd like to take a
stab at proving that I "forge" people?

>>>>The more guys like you make silly
>>>>accusations, the more the forges will continue.
>>>
>>>Again, for such a statement to have any validity at all, your knowledge
>>>or hand in the situation must also be absolute.
>>
>>I know human nature.
>
>
>Right, Dave. People are either conservatives or liberals. Yeah, we've
>heard it from you a jillion times.

I never made such a claim. There is a virtually infinite degree of
relativity between those two points. People may "lean" one way or
another with varying degrees.

But to the point, like I told Twisty, one of my hobbies is the study
of human behavior, more specifically, how people behave under
predictable conditions. Twisty seems to feel that if one lacks a
professional degree in the associated field, they can't possibly know
anything about it. Tell that to the many entrepreneurs who made
fortunes in business without any professional schooling. While I
can't legally practice any form of psychology, I do know quite a bit
about it.

>>>Dave. You tried blaming me in the past, now that Frank has educated you,
>>>you turned your personal attacks on him.
>>
>>He started that when he couldn't deal with the fact that his reasons
>>for supporting his political ideologies were shown to be based on
>>little more than subjective bias.
>
>
>My frustration comes when speaking to someone (you) who is obviously
>intelligent, yet tosses aside logic and reason when it conflicts with
>a belief system based on blind faith.

Frank, you still don't get it. You chastise me for, as you put it, throwing logic to the wind when it comes to politics, yet you are doing the exact same thing in the defense of YOUR belief system. Politics transcends logic. Logic can only account for certain nuts and bolts issues, but cannot address core values or beliefs.

There's a reason why that old saying about never arguing religion or politics is there.

I am a conservative and I believe in the core values of that ideology. I believe in a strong military, and a philosophy of "peace through strong deterrence".

You may believe in a different set of values, and there's nothing wrong with that. But don't call my beliefs "wrong", because they are just that, beliefs. History will tell us who was "right".

>> His frustration led to snide
>>comments. I merely responded in kind.
>
>
>Wrong: you began our interchange with "Paranoia is not becoming of you
>Frank." And that "responded in kind" excuse is the same one you gave
>(in the very same post) to defend Bush's 527 smear campaigns against
>Kerry.

If you are a statistical man, you would know that there were FAR more democratic 527's than there were republican. Moveon.org is one of the biggest smear organizations around and there were many more.

And for the record, the swift boat guys were not connected with the Bush campaign, any more than Moveon.org was connected to Kerry.

> I don't think that's what Jesus meant when he encouraged his
>followers to "turn the other cheek", and it's certainly not in the
>book of Christain ethics to justify improper behavior by claiming that
>the other guy started it first -- that's an excuse used by little
>children, bad lawyers and drunks. Or have -conservative- Christains
>rewritten the Bible to conform to their own contemporary values?
>
>"Eye of the needle", Dave. And -you- cast the first stone.
>
>
><snip>
>>>Frank, most recently.
>>
>>When? Provide the thread. Frank has not blamed me for anything. He
>>and I may be at the opposite end of the political spectrum, but he's
>>not an idiot, and wouldn't make such a charge.
>
>
>On the contrary, the values you have gleefully demonstrated during our
>discussions have proven that you are a person who believes the end

>justifies the means, which locks you into the category of probable
>sock-puppeteers.

What? Whether the ends justify the means is dependant on the particular circumstance. See Frank, that's exactly what I mean, when I say that there are few universal absolutes. I believe in measuring responses based on the circumstance or relative to the situation.

On the other hand, it is not my style to pollute the newsgroup with the foul disgusting spam that has generally been associated with the "sock puppeteers". That's childish, immature, and counter productive. I prefer direct open debate. That's why I don't hide behind an anonymous "cartoon character".

It's hard to win people over to the pro-legal side when your fellow "defenders" break all sorts of rules and etiquette while trying to make their point.

And more to the point, the fact that you might "believe" that I am partaking in this sock puppet stuff, that is hardly proof that I am.

There are many possibilities as to who the sock puppeteers are. They could be regulars here. Or they could be people we've never seen before just looking to pit one against the other for little more than kicks and grins. But unless you can find a piece of proof that can positively identify who they are, any accusations that anyone makes is pure speculation.

>> Then again, he did call
>>you Dave McCampbell..... Only you know how accurate that was.
>
>
>I called Twisty many things in order to demonstrate the ridiculous
>nature of his accusation that I was five different hams (one of which
>was Leland, for reasons I can't even fathom).

But Frank, Twisty is a bona-fide mental case. No amount of logic and reverse psychology will work on him. You should know that. He does what he does for the attention that it brings him.

> Anyway, it was necessary
>to discredit Twisty's name-game because N7VCF was getting a shitload
>of harassment. It worked, and the harassment subsided after my own
>"counter-accusation" that he was Dave McCampbell.

But unless the "Dave McCampbell" accusation was true, what's the incentive for him to quit? A false accusation would only make him laugh at your inability to find what it is you seek, and would only serve to empower him further. That's human psychology 101.

Or should I now accuse you (and him) of sock puppeting so that you will stop believing that I am? According to your logic, that should work.....

>And for the record, I -still- don't know who Twisty really is and I
>-still- don't care.

Posting history would tend indicate otherwise.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2298. the breakfast of champs!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 12:36:22 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107779782.200068.100810@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.satellite.tv,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.jews

GOOD MORNING!

I just finished a wonderful breakfast!
My boyfriend Twistie "CAME" up here and after we had a wonderful night of wild gay sex, he got up extra early and crapped in my 'ole lady's best china. I picked out the corn and sucked the shit off then I ate each turd! It was GRREEEEAAAATTTTT !!

2299. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 12:41:57 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <nrJNd.38046$uW6.12156@fe07.lga>
Source record: 195889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:telamon_spamshield-014EDD.19521506022005@newssvr24-ext.news.prodigy.com...
> In article <fGuNd.32069$CM2.10938@fe07.lga>,
> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote:
>
> > "-=jd=-" <jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net> wrote in message
> > news:Xns95F5954DEBB3Ea216b130c132d203@63.218.45.20...
> > > On Sun 06 Feb 2005 06:50:01a, Tom Randy <Sorry@spamsucks.net> wrote in
> > > message news:pan.2005.02.06.11.49.52.746939@spamsucks.net:
> > >
> > > > On Sat, 05 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, morris wrote:
> > > >
> > > > {snippage}
> > > >>
> > > >> The divorce judge ruled she can have the rare baseball
> > > >> cards and caps, and she is auctioning them online,
> > > >> according to news media reports.
> > > >>
> > > >> The couple had been married for 17 years.
> > > >> When asked about the auction, the wife
> > > >> said: "I'm giggling."
> > > >>
> > > >> -- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> > > >> caught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> > > >> it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> > > >> of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> > > >> 200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> > > >> in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
> > > >> your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yeah, I'm single and that's one of the many reasons why. Marriage is
> for
> > > > suckers IMHO. It used to mean somethng many, many years ago but
> womens
> > > > mind set have changed to much it isn't worth it now. I've seen FAR
> too
> > > > many marriages go down the toilet for my money.
> > > >
> > >
> > > I'm glad I'm one of the many exceptions to your rule... With your
> broad-
> > > brush view-point, I would recommend that you avoid marriage entirely

> > > because you're already stacking the deck against yourself.
> > >
> > > -=jd=-
> > > --
> > > My Current Disposable Email:
> > > jd770YOUR@HATpostmark.net
> > > (Remove YOUR HAT to reply directly)
> >
> > I've been with my wife for twenty years because shes my best friend.
>
> You found out the secret!
>
> How the hell else are you going to last a long time with someone if they
> are not your friend. Besides being friends the only other thing required
> is that you both share the expectations of the marriage like kids, money
> and who does what.
>
> --
> Telamon
> Ventura, California

Well it only makes sense. Why live with someone you don't like. Theres got to be some passion. Even when their your friend it's hard work, raising kids and everything else.

B.H.

2300. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 12:44:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <o1oe01lud4sn6atjr4imaskmqp03nrbbfi@4ax.com>
Source record: 195890

On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 19:57:38 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>N3CVJ wrote:
>
>>Threat? What threat? Oh I forgot, you view
>
>>every encounter through the lens of paranoia..
>
>The thread was titled "OT ping Jim". anyoe is free to peruse it, but
>then again, most have recognized you for what you are by now and realize
>you are lying again. But what the heck,,,let us revisit this thread..
>
>>I did offer to have a face-to face with you,
>
>No,,you claimed you were coming to this state to give me my "chance",
>yet as bizarre as that claim, never once offered what you meant by
>"chance".

A chance to meet face to face and maybe come to some sort of mutual
understanding. If you read anything more into that, it is yet another
example of your paranoia.

>> which you conveniently weaseled out of.

>That isn't what happened at all. In fact, the very last word on the
>subject was when you were cornered with no where to run.

Cornered? You mean by insisting on my giving you my credit card
number? Sorry, but as open and up front as I am on this group, I'm not
going to give you that.

>>You're delusional (no surprise there). You
>>refused to meet and wanted me to give you
>>my credit card number before you would
>>consider going any further.

>Oh, but I am enjoying this.

I'm sure you are, as distorted as reality tends to be for you.

>>We could have met in any number of public
>>places but you refused to deal. The truth is
>>you don't want to risk the loss of even one part
>>of your anonymity. I, on the other hand, have
>>nothing to hide.
>>If I wanted to do "something" less than

>>honorable, I could have come to you without
>>your knowing and found out what I wanted to.
>>But that's not what I wanted.

>Alright,,let's illustrate your lies again for the masses,,,
> Faced with no other manner in which to run, you dropped the matter
>after this last exchange on Oct 4th, 2004.

Well sure I dropped it, I was on the plane south shortly after. There
was no point in revisiting the subject once the window of opportunity
closed.

>You were going on about with excuses, and after realizing that you had a
>very real chance to quench *your* stated desire to meet me, you dropped
>the topic.

By giving you my credit card number? Sorry, not happening.

>>which then gives you a convenient excuse to
>>say that you weren't around when I came
>>looking.

>I have that covered, too. A pic of the day's paper at the dockmaster's
>office where his clock (way up high) is very visible for all to see.
>You have no excuse.

What pic? Where?

>That ended your quest on the matter, but gee Dave, that's not the manner
>in which you tell it.
>Hey my man, have a great weekend,,the mullet is almost all done and
>smoked and I'm heading north bound US 301 to J-town. Good luck to your
>Iggles,,,they're gonna get their heads ripped off.

A 3 point loss is hardly "getting their heads ripped off". I am
disappointed though. I wanted to see just how crazy the residents of
Philty really are (from a safe distance of course).

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2301. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 12:46:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ploe01tjj974gh7pfb4mceohu7vt8es864@4ax.com>
Source record: 195891

On 04 Feb 2005 19:26:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against federal law.
>> >> That is a fact.
>> >>
>> >And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.
>>
>> I have no problem with anyone who does it. That's the really funny
>> part about this whole thing. Twist will sit here and spin and
>> obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to deny that what he (and you I
>> guess) does is illegal. But the law is clear on this.
>>
>> If you want to take you chances, hey, go for it! Just don't pretend
>> what you do is somehow "permitted".
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>It's been permitted since the 70's, when I got into it.

Are you claiming that operating on unauthorized channels and/or
talking in excess of 150 mile has been "permitted"? Wow, that's news
to me.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2302. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 12:52:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050207075216.166$gF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195892

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 04 Feb 2005 19:26:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> >> Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against federal
> >> >> law. That is a fact.
> >> >>
> >> >And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.
> >>
> >> I have no problem with anyone who does it. That's the really funny
> >> part about this whole thing. Twist will sit here and spin and
> >> obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to deny that what he (and you I
> >> guess) does is illegal. But the law is clear on this.
> >>
> >> If you want to take you chances, hey, go for it! Just don't pretend
> >> what you do is somehow "permitted".
> >>
> >> Dave
> >> "Sandbagger"
> >>
> >It's been permitted since the 70's, when I got into it.
>
> Are you claiming that operating on unauthorized channels and/or
> talking in excess of 150 mile has been "permitted"? Wow, that's news
> to me.....
>
No, I'm claiming blow-hards like you and doug have been flapping your
pie holes about it for years, using it for an excuse to post some of
the most vile crap rec.radio.cb has ever seen.

I'm still doing my thing after all these years, without even so much
as a warning from the man. Put up or shut up.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2303. Re: the breakfast of champs!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 12:56:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050207075616.318$GB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.satellite.tv,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.jews

N8WWM DOUG ADAIR <n8wwm@arrl.net> wrote:
> GOOD MORNING!
>
> I just finished a wonderful breakfast!
> My boyfriend Twistie "CAME" up here and after we had a wonderful night
> of wild gay sex, he got up extra early and crapped in my 'ole lady's
> best china. I picked out the corn and sucked the shit off then I ate
> each turd! It was GRREEEEAAAATTTTT !!
>
What a freak. You stayed up all night posting this shit.

Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl, Adair.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2304. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 13:09:54 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <e4qe01lscdci8np42g781dcla314mfpotl@4ax.com>
Source record: 195944

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 07:26:05 GMT, "Chris" <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>I have read elsewhere that Pyramid power supplies are adversely affected by
>RF. They don't have filtering to keep RF from following the power wires back
>to the regulator. However, I think there may be a problem with the radio.
>Has it been used long on this P.S. or is it a new setup? Can you borrow a
>different P.S. from a friend to verify the problem?
>
>Chris

I think it's the power supply. Radio works fine in the car.

Vinnie S.

2305. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 13:11:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6poe01tgkecsgjc95ne3clqfp7qthb13lp@4ax.com>
Source record: 195945

On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 19:13:16 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels
>
>>is against federal law. That is a fact.
>
>(And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.)
>
>>I have no problem with anyone who does it.
>
>
>Sure you do. You take the non-hammie approach, the non-elmer
>approach,,the anti-amateur creed approach.

And I suppose the "elmer", "ham" approach is to openly condone illegal
activity?

>You ignorantly and
>erroneously call people criminals for dxing merely beacuse you are
>unable to distinguish between a civil and criminal nature.

Call it "shock value". Too many people break the law, and then
trivialize it, in order to appease their conscience.

You are a perfect example of this by relentlessly arguing the
semantics of the situation, as if it really matters on the grand scale
who you have to pay the fine to. The point is that you are breaking
federal law.

You live in denial.

>Firstly, the only criteria you cited as your
>reason for calling one a "federal criminal", was "it was a rule enacted
>by the feds, so breaking it is a federal crime and makes one a federal
>criminal".

The FCC rules clearly provide both civil and criminal penalties for
rule violations depending on the circumstances involved. The potential
is there for criminal penalties. I never said that in 100% of the
case, this will happen and, in fact, I acknowledged that you stand a
good chance of never being caught. But despite the fact that you have
never been caught does not negate the truth of your commission of
illegal actions in violation of federal law.

> The manner in whcih you arrived at this decision, was
>referred by yourself as "progressive logic". This too, was decimated as
>you were reminded that the 70 mph speed limit also met your criteria.
>Changing your values in mid-argument, you now invoke that the penalty
>one receives is now your new criteria.

Once again, the 70 MPH speed limit thing was not mine. I never brought that up. In fact I was unaware that there was a federally mandated 70 MPH speed limit. The last I heard the 55 MPH limit was dropped and a few states (Montana I believe was one) actually had no speed limit on some highways. The increase in accident rate then forced them to impose a state mandated limit.

But assuming you are correct and that there is a federally mandated 70 MPH speed limit, it's not the same thing as the FCC rules. For one thing there are no "federal speed police" who will cite you for a violation of a federal law. Speeding laws are enforced on a state or local level, and are treated as summary offenses.

Radio law (The communications act of 1934 et al.) grants the FCC the power to enforce these laws on a federal level, and can certainly impose criminal fines and jail time for the most flagrant rule violators. People who have refused to allow FCC agents to inspect their stations, those who have refused to respond to NAL's, those who continued to operate illegally after being warned, know all too well what those stiff penalties incur.

>Heck, even when you were educated by other hammies on here regarding
>your ignorance claiming "roger beeps are illegal" you chose not to
>learn.

I am putting this issue to rest one can for all. I have written to the FCC for a definitive ruling on this subject. If they tell me it's ok, I'll eat my crow and tip my hat in your direction and it'll be the last time I'll bring up that subject.

>>That's the really funny part about this whole
>>thing. Twist will sit here and spin and
>>obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to
>>deny that what he (and you I guess) does is
>>illegal.

>> But the law is clear on this.

>Everyone is clear on the law but you.

Yes, you clearly disregard it.

> You have no clue about the law,
>Dave. You illustrate it with your position on roger beeps and you
>solidified it when you claimed you used forensics in your argument.

I never claimed that I used "forensics" in my argument. I stated once that my process was similar to the process used by forensic criminalists when they do their job. That's a whole different context.

> You
>were to look up the term and learn its definition prior to using it in
>the futire so as not to embarrass yourself again.

There is nothing wrong with the context in which I used the term.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2306. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 13:13:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <t8qe019dcqvcq2uqoq91floiedrkne1uqc@4ax.com>
Source record: 195946

On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 19:14:36 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 09:48:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>Much in the same manner you claim other hammies agree with you that
>"roger beeps are illegal",
>
>>You want to know how many hams agree with
>>this, then post the question on one of the ham
>>newsgroups and see who agrees.

>Damn, Dave...you are suffering like never before. Twas yourself that
>invoked other hammies with imaginary support, not I.

I never mentioned any hams. You are the one who wants to know how many
hams agree with the notion that roger beeps are illegal on CB. So, I
suggested that you ask them.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2307. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 13:15:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hbqe015e4j6hh69irh11vjsn9btf3f2cj2@4ax.com>
Source record: 195947

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 07:31:33 GMT, "Chris" <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Inaudible RF feedback through the mic? Bad balanced modulator? I would look
>for bad grounds and cold solder joints inside the radio. Just out of
>curiosity, does that radio have a mic sensitivity VR on SSB and has it been
>turned up?

Chris, I just attached a 6 gauge ground wire to a 8 foot ground rod. The
distance is faily short, probably less than 20 feet. Radio seems fine on AM.
Plus a couple of hams on the CB, have said thet I definitely need a power
supply.

Vinnie S.

2308. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 13:18:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9gqe011gavtnsnr0kj4palg6n1vlrtfke2@4ax.com>
Source record: 195948

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 14:24:50 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>>>You are pretty close to me. I live near the Commadore Barry Bridge.
>>>
>>
>>Actually no. I'm further west. I'me between Phila and Harrisburg,
>>closer to Reading.
>
>
>I go to that area all the ttime. I cover eastern PA

If you're ever on RT 422 between Pottstown and Reading, give me a
holler on Ch 21. I'm not on all that much (especially during the day)
but if I'm in my room puttering with some project, I usually have it
on monitoring.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2309. Re: OT- Super Bowl pick

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 13:23:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <bmqe01h26r8vldoltsfifkl6v8uml32spe@4ax.com>
Source record: 195949

On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 20:14:04 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On 04 Feb 2005 00:37:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Pick 'em for giggles.
>
>>Since I live in the general vicinity of Phila, I am
>>hopeful that the Eagles win, if only to see the
>
>>town explode the next day.
>
>>But realistically I am figuring the score to be
>
>>about 17-10 in favor of the Pats.
>
>>Dave
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>
>Gonna be way higher scoring game than that.

Actually not that much higher. 24-21. The iggles actually covered the
points.

>..but Iggles have no chance,,,they're cursed.

I've always believed in what I call the "Philadelphia Choke Syndrome".
The profession sports teams there sometimes manage to make the
playoffs, but then choke in the end with a few notable exceptions.

> Nevertheless, its nice to see you confirm the
>mentality of the town.

Why would I lie? It's the truth.

> Indeed,your locals would offer the only malady
>for the town...burned to the ground.

They are no more "my" locals than the people in Miami are "your"
locals. But I was surprised that as losers, the townies were fairly
orderly and subdued.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http:/home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2310. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 13:55:19 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <awKNd.38225$ye7.18408@fe07.lga>
Source record: 195950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1107762473.721627.81200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> YOU'RE A FAG.
>

YOUR A LANDSHARK.

2311. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 13:57:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <AyKNd.38226$JJ7.15170@fe07.lga>
Source record: 195951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>
> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> >
> > STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>
> Or else what?
>
>

Or else he'll key up on your ass and engage his reverb unit. :))

B.H.

2312. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 14:02:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050207090200.465$sU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote:
> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:1107762473.721627.81200@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > YOU'RE A FAG.
> >
>
> YOUR A LANDSHARK.
>
Nope. He's N8WWM.

http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=n8wwm%20felon

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2313. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 14:27:02 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 195953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>
> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>>
>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>
> Or else what?

He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

2314. Re: the breakfast of champs!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 15:04:47 UTC
From: whoever <whoever@wherever>
Message-ID: <0a-dnTQmA_8YHprfRVn-sw@centurytel.net>
Source record: 195954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.satellite.tv,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.jews

I think it got #2 covered selling junk wire.

http://tinyurl.com/5gga6

Steveo wrote:
> N8WWM DOUG ADAIR <n8wwm@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>>GOOD MORNING!
>>
>>I just finished a wonderful breakfast!
>>My boyfriend Twistie "CAME" up here and after we had a wonderful night
>>of wild gay sex, he got up extra early and crapped in my 'ole lady's
>>best china. I picked out the corn and sucked the shit off then I ate
>>each turd! It was GRREEEEAAAATTTTT !!
>>
>
> What a freak. You stayed up all night posting this shit.
>
> Now answer the questions:
>
>
> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>
>
> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>
>
> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>
>
> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?
>
>
> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?
>
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>
>
> Go lay down by your bowl, Adair.
>

2315. Re: the breakfast of N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 15:32:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050207103209.484$T6_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 195955
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.satellite.tv,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.jews

whoever <whoever@wherever> wrote:
> I think it got #2 covered selling junk wire.
>
> http://tinyurl.com/5gga6
>
Did you know he's also a felon?

http://tinyurl.com/42q5p

And don't forget the Ebay feedback:

Won auction- agreed to terms-left neg about fees. no honor/r
integrity.dishonest
bpc_electronics (11168) May-03-01 03:42 1234227431
Follow-up by bpc_electronics: Underage middle-schooler. Watch for
viruses in his emails.Signs U up 4 spam list

> Steveo wrote:
> > N8WWM DOUG ADAIR <n8wwm@arrl.net> wrote:
> >
> >>GOOD MORNING!
> >>
> >>I just finished a wonderful breakfast!
> >>My boyfriend Twistie "CAME" up here and after we had a wonderful night
> >>of wild gay sex, he got up extra early and crapped in my 'ole lady's
> >>best china. I picked out the corn and sucked the shit off then I ate
> >>each turd! It was GRREEEEAAAATTTTT !!
> >>
> >
> > What a freak. You stayed up all night posting this shit.
> >
> > Now answer the questions:
> >
> >
> > 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
> >
> >
> > 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
> >
> >
> > 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
> >
> >
> > 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

> >
> >
> > 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
> > up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are,
> > maggot?
> >
> >
> > http://n8wwm.4t.com/
> >
> >
> > Go lay down by your bowl, Adair.
> >

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2316. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 16:01:49 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <cu83h902ac5@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 195956

On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com wrote:

> Good News!

> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
> life has ended?

I have one simple question.
Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?

2317. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 16:30:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <vhte01hgdtsgmifpjrgskp814u067v6g48@4ax.com>
Source record: 195957

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:34:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>Sherlock Holmes was not a real person
>>
>>No, but Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was, and he wrote it.

>Sir Arthur Conan Doyle wrote fiction.

But the principle is still sound.

>
>>>, and that poorly-quoted cliche
>>>has one very important point you completely missed: "when all the
>>>other possibilities are excluded". Care to apply that concept to the
>>>debate between creationism and evolution?
>>
>>Why? That's an entirely different subject with limitless and
>>unverifiable possibilities. Unlike the subject we're currently
>>discussing. There are a finite amount of potential causes of cap
>>failure. Most of which can be reproduced.
>
>
>If a cap can fail due to an act of God then it can't be verified. Your
>argument is therefore invalid unless you are an athiest.

What act of God kills caps? Lightning? A lightning strike can be
easily eliminated as it's fairly obvious when it occurs.

>>> Or can you see that there
>>>are "other possibilities" that may not be obvious but they do exist?
>>
>>Not in this particular case.
>
>
>That's a conclusion based on your own ignorance.

No, it's one based on probability and practicality.

>>But ripple is NOT A.C. It's pulsating D.C. At no point in the cycle
>>does the voltage polarity reverse (alternate).
>
>
>Despite your archaic definition of AC

It is THE definition of A.C.

>, the point is still valid; Those
>large AC caps ("motor run" caps, to be specific) are frequently used
>by audiophiles as DC power supply caps in SE tube amps because they
>have a very low AC resistance, much lower than electrolytic caps.
>Power supply caps are selected for their AC resistance, the capacity
>in uF being only one of -several- factors figuring into the equation.

Motor run caps are not the ones that blow up in amplifier power
supplies.

>>But you keep changing the criteria to make you point. What might
>>happen in a D.C. block in an audio amp would not happen in a power
>>supply filter.
>
>
>I see you have finally caught up.

I never needed to "catch up", but when we're discussing a particular
failure mode in one application, and you bring up a different
application as a justification for a differing point, that does
nothing but cloud the point.

>>>>> There are
>>>>>also instances where an abnormal AC component swings the normally
>>>>>positive side of the cap negative. The cap voltage can get reversed by
>>>>>rectification when a pull-up resistor fails. Etc, etc. Geez, Dave,
>>>>>you've been doing this for 30 years and you didn't know this stuff?
>>>>
>>>>Not as applied to the tube amps we were talking about. If you switch
>>>>the conditions of the discussion, then the outcomes will change as
>>>>well.
>>>
>>>
>>>Then why did you bring up the subject of tube amps when the original
>>>topic was about a solid-state CB? If you don't want to discuss it then
>>>don't bring it up.
>>
>>There will be no abnormal A.C. component beyond the rectifiers of a
>>power supply Frank, unless the rectifiers fail.
>
>
>A highly inductive load can drive the caps backwards via flyback. I've
>never seen it happen myself but I know it's possible.

Yes, that is certainly possible. But not in the equipment that I've
worked on. There is no inductive components past the power supply
filter caps, with the exception of a small 10 uH RF blocking choke.
Hardly enough to generate enough "kick back" to reverse polarity.

>
>>>Perhaps that's because you work more with tubes while I work mostly
>>>with solid-state equipment.
>>

>>I thought you just said you were one of those "experts" who restored
>>old tube radios? Surely you've worked on as many tube radios as I have
>>considering that I haven't touched anything with tubes in 10 years.

>Maybe I have done more work on tubes than you. Maybe not. Maybe my
>bench has seen more receivers while your's has seen more sweep-tube
>amps. Maybe not. I merely suggested a possibility.

I can accept that. My bench has probably seen the most 12V mobile
radios, with amplifiers a distant second. But the equipment which has
seen the most capacitor failures due to outgassing or explosion has
been the tube amps. In the solid state equipment, cap failures are
less obvious and usually not total. On older radios (25+ years) the
main cap failure mode is excessive deviation from original value. You
don't like the term "drying out", but whatever process is responsible
for this phenomenon is quite prevalent.

>>>Based on the fact that I've never experienced what you described, and
>>>don't see how it's even possible from what I -have- experienced. I
>>>have never seen a high-voltage cap blow up because it was leaking, and
>>>I have rarely seen the same blow up from excessive DC voltage. I
>>>-have- seen them blow up from excessive AC voltage (ripple). Is it
>>>possible that the cap which blew up was subjected to too much ripple
>>>caused by leakage in the other cap?
>>
>>How? The ripple would still not be that great, and it would neither
>>exceed the voltage rating of th cap, nor reverse the polarity of it.

>Well, if the AC resistance of the leaky cap dropped because of the
>leak, then a greater AC voltage would be developed across the good
>cap. This in turn would cause a greater AC current in the good cap,
>and therefore more heating. So maybe the cap blew because of the extra
>heat in combination with the higher DC stresses. I can see how -that-
>could happen.

That's exactly what I told you a few posts back. Only I didn't use the
term A.C.. A leaky cap decreases D.C. resistance, which decreases A.C.
resistance as well. Nice of you to finally get my point.

Wait, you're trying to play with my head aren't you? Trying to make me
change my tune? That only works when the "tune" is sufficiently
different.

>>>Ok then. Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component.
>>
>>>Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC component.
>>
>>Those two statements are contradictory.
>
>
>Not at all. Your definition that AC must be a zero-crossing current
>was repealed along with prohibition. The problem was that there were

>getting to be too many terms for variations of direct current, like
>'varying direct current' (vdc), 'pulsating direct current' (pdc),
>'interrupted direct current'.....etc. So the concept has since been
>simplified to say that any current has an AC component and a DC
>component.

You stated: "Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component. "

Then you stated: "Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC
component."

Yet you cannot see how these two statements contradict each other?

If you change the first statement to: "Certain voltages have a DC
component and an AC component. ", then the two statements would not
conflict.

For the record, I agree with your second statement.

>>>The term
>>>"ripple" is literally defined as the AC component of a DC current,
>>>usually from a power supply, and usually a harmonic of the input
>>>power.
>>
>>No, ripple is the result of pulsating D.C. post the rectifier stage.
>>The frequency of the ripple depends on the type of rectifier and the
>>line frequency of the A.C. In the U.S., a half wave ripple frequency
>>is 60 hz. A full wave would be 120 hz.
>
>
>That's what I said. If the input power is 60 Hz then 120 Hz would be a
>harmonic, right?

Technically, it's not a harmonic, it is the result of the full wave
rectification process. But there would be harmonics of 120 hz, but at
a substantially reduced level.

>>>The term "ripple" is old and has been replaced by "pard", which
>>>includes all AC components (or fluctuations) including hum, noise and
>>>spikes.
>>
>>That's because newer switching supplies create more noise, hash, and
>>spikes, then a simple linear supply would. Hence the need for a new
>>term, which encompasses a broader definition.
>
>
>If you say so.

I did.

>>>SOME caps are designed to handle ripple. Power supply filter caps are
>>>designed to REDUCE ripple; i.e, shut the AC component to ground.
>>

>>No, they do not shunt A.C. to ground. The capacitor stores current
>>during the ripple peak, and then discharges it as the ripple falls.
>>The rate of charge/discharge (which is hopefully slower than 60 or 120
>>hz) is what "smooths" the ripple. When the load increases, the R/C
>>time constant of the circuit changes and the cap is forced to
>>discharge faster, which results in less filtering of the ripple and it
>>increases.
>
>
>A capacitor blocks DC and passes AC; at least that's the simplified
>version of it's function.

Yes, that's the fundamental definition. But that does not describe
what is happening when a high capacity cap is used as a power supply
filter.

>So if you have a cap connected between a DC
>source and ground then the DC is blocked while any AC is passed to
>ground.

That depends on the reactance of the capacitor and the frequency of
the A.C.. In a power supply a large electrolytic cap acts much like a
battery, by providing a short duration storage for peak voltage in
order to "smooth" out the momentary loss of voltage between ripple
peaks. The higher the current draw of the supply, the more capacitance
you need to filter it effectively.

>>> When
>>>the load becomes large then so does the ripple, and if the cap has a
>>>high AC resistance then it will get hot very quickly.
>>
>>How? There is no A.C.! Pulsating D.C. does not switch polarity.

>Update your lexicon and then read it again.

There is still no A.C. If a voltage "swings" or "ripples" between +9V
and +10V, it is still keeping the cap charged in the proper polarity.
If the voltage swings negative, then you will have a problem, unless
the cap is a non-polarized electrolytic, like the type commonly found
in audio crossover circuits and other places.

>>>Just about everyone who has ever used a soldering iron thinks they can
>>>write a course on power supplies.
>>
>>Well considering that they were the very first thing that I studied
>>and built in tech school, it's pretty much basic stuff.
>
>
>I hate to break this to you but your tech school did a piss-poor job
>if the curriculum started with the study and construction of complex
>circuits before covering the basics.

That's HOW we studied the basics. How better to study Ohm's and Kirchoff's law, and basic D.C. and A.C. fundamentals than to use a basic building block, found in all electronic devices? What could be more basic than a power supply?

My tech school was a "hands-on" style of teaching. They could have bored everyone to tears by writing all sorts of math formulas on a chalk board and demonstrating how things were supposed to work. But it was much more rewarding and easier to relate to the circuits if we could actually see it work in real life.

>>> But the increased ripple can be caused by a
>>>host of factors, not the least of which (and to the suprise of many,
>>>the most common) is the AC resistance of the output capacitor, -not-
>>>the R/C time constant of the cap with respect to the source and load
>>>impedances (which, BTW, are in parallel).
>>
>>Of course the R/C is in parallel. The cap is across the load.

>The cap is in parallel with -both- the source and load impedances; and
>while the load impedance may be linear, that's rarely the case for the
>source impedance.

But resistances and impedances in parallel tend to act like resistors in parallel, and the results are similar. The source impedance, in the case of a power supply is what is contained in the rectifiers. The transformer is pretty much isolated at this point.

>Also, the capacitance of an electrolytic isn't a
>constant; it's non-linear with respect to the voltage (a factor of
>it's AC resistance, and another reason why audiophiles prefer motor
>caps over electrolytics).

Well of course! Look at any R/C time constance charge/discharge cycle and it's not a straight line. As the cap reaches 70% of it's capacity, the charge rate slows.

> Both these nonlinearities end up converting
>your overly-simplistic R/C time constant analysis into an integral
>function.

Call it what you will. My "overly simplistic" analysis covers the conditions of what is actually happening.

> The issue is further complicated because of the equivalent
>series resistance of the capacitor (which, BTW, is a factor of it's AC
>resistance, and is also non-linear).
>
>The concepts involved here don't need to be nearly as complicated as
>you make them out to be, yet you try to describe the complexities with
>over-simplified theory.

That sounds like double talk. How can a simple concept be described in overly simplistic complexities?

> It's like you are trying to describe baseball
>with dumbed-down Newtonian analysis. You still think you can write a
>course on the subject? I don't think so.

It's time to remind you of Occam's razor. I tend to use simple terms
when they fit. There's no need to be any more complicated than
necessary. My explanations were just that. I could have gone to the
trouble of mathematically explaining the whole deal, but that would be
far more effort than this thread deserves.

The complexities have been introduced by you in an effort to discredit
my explanations. While you can't deny them, you can inflate their
complexity and then accuse me of not explaining it thoroughly enough.

>>>A different scenario happens
>>>with high-voltage supplies because regulation is usually achieved with
>>>a swinging (saturating) choke, which permits more ripple to pass under
>>>increasing load in order to maintain the DC component.
>>
>>That's a very poor regulator. The choke/cap is really just a low pass
>>filter, that designed to attenuate 60hz ripple.

>That's the static interpretation.

But valid nonetheless.

>But a swinging choke is a component
>with dynamic (i.e, nonlinear) characteristics and makes a pretty good
>regulator, which is why they have been used so extensively over the
>past hundred years, and why they are still in production today.

Where? Certainly not in solid state equipment, where much better
components exist to do the job more effectively.

>>>So when is your book coming out?
>>
>>Since power supplies are so basic, and there is no new information
>>that I could tell that isn't already widely known, my effort would be
>>superfluous.
>
>
>I think you might have meant 'redundant', but 'superfluous' is really
>a much better choice.

That's why I chose it. Redundant would fit too.

>>>>If a cap explodes due to an excessive load, then the evidence of the
>>>>failure which cause that excessive load should be evident. If not then
>>>>you can't conclude that the cap died due to ripple.
>>>
>>>
>>>The cause of failure is not always evident in the equipment.
>>
>>No, it's not. But in a simple application of a HV power supply in a

>>tube amp, it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause.
>
>
>It's not always easy.

No, but it is doable.

>
>
><snip>
>>>>You are right, in a sense, that you can't always tell what DID cause
>>>>the cap to blow, but you can determine what DID'NT cause it to fail.
>>>>It then becomes a simple process of elimination.
>>>
>>>
>>>The possibilities are limitless so any such conclusion would be based
>>>only on probability.
>>
>>The possibilities are not limitless. There are a finite set of
>>conditions which a particular circuit can find itself in. Is it
>>probable that a 12V supply was exposed to 500V?
>
>
>Depends on the circuit.

A solid state CB radio power supply.

>> Is it probable that a
>>rectified output of a supply reversed polarity?
>
>
>I never said it was.
>
>
>> Absent of other
>>component failures, how many possibilities really exist?
>
>
>Many, simply because the cause of a failure isn't limited to internal
>influences. But even if you limit the scope to the equipment, the
>failure of other components is not always obvious. And here's a
>perfect example:
>
>The first Peavey 1.3K I ever worked on came into the shop with a blown
>bank of power transistors (not uncommon with Peavey amps) and a bad
>OP-amp. The obvious conclusion is that the OP-amp locked up and drove
>the bank into overload (the amp also has a triac clamp but it rarely
>works -- it just nukes a trace on the board and lets the bank blow).
>Anyway, that wasn't the case at all. I replaced damn near everything
>in that amp and it would still lock up. To make an already long story
>short... it turns out that Peavey, in their economical wisdom, used a

>dual-diode as bias seperation for opposite banks of power transistors
>without noting it in their literature, and one of those diodes had
>shorted. The component appeared to be perfectly functional, showing a
>forward voltage drop characteristic of a typical silicon diode, but it
>was supposed to be -twice- that drop.

Ah, so instead of a 1.2V drop, it was only showing .6V? A change in
bias can certain cause a problem like that. But your example does not
negate my statement. You found that another component failed, which
resulted in a chain reaction failure. The cause of the failure was
found. But if you can't pin down the cause of failure to any other
component, you are left to consider that either the bad component
failed on its own, or it was subjected to a momentary stress beyond
its limits through some external event.

>So there are -many- possibilities that may or may -not- be obvious.

I respectfully disagree. There ARE other possibilities yes, but I
disagree that there are "many". A simple process of elimination will
whittle that number down to a few highly probable possibilities.

>>>>It's like forensic science. We reconstruct an incident based on the
>>>>evidence in the aftermath.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dave, before you use the word "forensic" again, do yourself a favor
>>>and look it up in the dictionary.
>>
>>Have you never heard of forensic criminalists, who gather evidence to
>>reconstruct a crime to prove innocence or guilt? Watch CSI sometime to
>>get familiar with them. Or, if you prefer a less dramatic example, the
>>Discovery channel has a show called "forensic files" which documents
>>actual criminal forensic cases. Court TV has similar shows.
>>
>>The example I used was similar in method.
>
>
>Gee, another excuse.

A perfectly valid one.

>>I have a battery charger which is unfiltered (the battery acts as the
>>filter cap), which may serve the purpose nicely.
>
>
>Go for it! Don't forget to use several different caps, measuring both
>AC and DC volts across the cap, as well as the time it takes until
>failure. Post the results.

When I get a chance, I'll set it up. I need to find some high current
resistors that can stand dissipating 20 amps or so.

>>Why use a light bulb to do a zener's job?
>
>
>The point was that the characteristics of a low-power device can be
>amplified. And yes, a light bulb is sometimes preferable to a zener
>because zeners are noisy little bastards.

That shouldn't matter in a power supply.

>>>A light bulb's resistance changes with temperature, but who said a
>>>resistor -must- be linear? The textbooks?
>>
>>The change in temperature is in direct proportion to the applied
>>voltage.
>
>
>Wrong.

Not wrong.

>The resistance is directly proportional to the temperature,
>hence the term "temperature coefficient". A light bulb can even be
>used as a thermistor for an electronic thermometer. IOW, you can feed
>a bulb's filament with a fixed voltage and a constant current will
>flow (after the temperature stablizes).

That's true of any fixed resistor. A constant current will flow at any
given fixed voltage.

> Cool the filament and the
>current will increase (light bulbs make pretty good wind-chill
>detectors). Heat the filament and the current will decrease (good for
>determining the temperature of a flame). All happen -irrespective- of
>voltage.

That's called an optical pyrometer, and I used to make them many moons
ago, when I worked at a company called Leeds and Northrup. A filament
will "glow" at a certain lightwave frequency at a given temperature.
You adjust the filament to "blend in" to the background flame or heat
source, and you can determine the temperature.

What you have are 3 factors (Voltage, current and resistance). When
you hold voltage constant, you can measure a change in temperature as
a change in current. But what if you increase the voltage? Will the
bulb not increase current and therefore temperature?

>>> IOW, the current density through a cross-section of filament
>>>is the same regardless of it's thickness or length.
>>
>>A 12V bulb will have a thicker filament than a 120V bulb for the same
>>given wattage. That's because the amount of current in a 12V bulb is
>>10 times higher than the same wattage bulb in a 120 V configuration.
>
>

>True, but the cross-sectional current density must be the same in
>order to reach the same temperature where it emits the same spectrum.
>So why would a thicker filament last longer?

It's physically more durable. Less prone to shock damage.

>>>>Other techs and engineers that I know in the industry.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, I'm an engineer, you know me, and I haven't ever used the term
>>>"drying out" to describe a capacitor failure. Maybe "dielectrically
>>>challenged"..... no, I haven't used that either.
>>
>>You are but one man.
>
>
>Feel free to name some engineers who use the term "drying out" to
>describe capacitor failure.

Now you're taking lessons from twisty. I could put down a hundred
different names and what would that prove? You won't know them.

>Even better, try referencing it in some
>authoritative literature. If it's a common term then it should be well
>published, right? (Actually, it -is- a common term with engineers but
>only in reference to batteries.)

I entered the term "capacitors drying out" into google and came up
with 63 links to the term used in a similar context to what I've
promoted here. I didn't have the time to read them all, but be my
guest if you are so inclined.

I accept your apology.

>>
>>Regardless of the other possibilities, if you disagree that a
>>particular component has a high probability of failure, then what you
>>are saying, in essence, is that you feel that it has a much lower
>>probability of failure
>
>
>You are constructing a syllogism from a conditional statement by
>asserting the second premise, but you assume that second premise
>-must- be the only other possibility. It is not. Using your own
>subjective assessment of probability, the blank line in the statement
>
> "the problem is _____________ caused by capacitors"
>
>can be filled by any one of a long list of possible descriptors, only
>a few of which are listed here:
>
>A. always

>B. almost always
>C. often
>D. sometimes
>E. infrequently
>F. almost never
>G. never
>
>The syllogism that you constructed is thus:
>
>P1: Not B (my statement).
>P2: If not B then F.
>C: Therefore F.
>
>Although the conclusion is perfectly valid, you ASSUMED that F is the
>only possible alternative to B.

What you described is commonly called the Affirming a Disjunct logical fallacy. Under the conditions you've described, this would be the case. But it does not apply to my example. You stated that you do not agree that a particular component has a high probability of failure, so the converse to that is that you believe it has a lower probability of failure. There are no other possibilities in this case. The syllogism stands as valid.

> The conclusion, therefore, is neither
>true nor false; it can have no more truth than your assumed premise.
>Just because I don't live at the North Pole doesn't mean I -must- live
>at the South Pole. Or, for a better example, if you were to say that
>the sky is white, and I said it is -not- white, that doesn't mean I'm
>saying it's black. It could be blue, red, gray, etc. The colors of the
>sky are limited to black and white only in your fantasy realm where,
>coincidently, people must be either conservative or liberal.

Show me where I ever stated that people are either conservative or liberal.

>> Therefore, by extension, it can be assumed that
>>you then consider other components have a higher probability of
>>failure.
>
>
>Key word: "assumed". You assumed wrong, as is usually the case
>whenever people ASSume things.

What other possibilities are there? Other components either have a:

A. Higher probability of failure.
B. Lower probability of failure.
C. The same probability of failure.

>
>

>>>>>>More chest thumping? What you've done at HP has no bearing on what
>>>>>>I've seen in 30+ years of CB radio repair.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Really? Do capacitors behave differently in CB radios than they do in
>>>>>spectrum analyzers and signal generators?
>>>>
>>>>Sure. They're exposed to different conditions.
>>>
>>>
>>>Such as.....?
>>
>>Application. Does the circuit supply large currents or small ones?
>>Does it work at high voltage or low? Is the ambient temperature high,
>>or low? Do they filter, block, or bypass?
>
>
>All of the above in both applications. So how are they different?

Usage, Voltage, conditions, environment.

><snip>
>>>>Hmm.... My test procedure for that rig used 5mV to set 50% modulation,
>>>>with VR6
>>>
>>>Step 7, and it's 0.5 mV.
>>
>>It's 5mV in my book.
>
>
>I don't know what book you have (probably Sams) but mine is the
>service manual provided by Radio Shaft. I can scan the page if you
>want. If your book is in error that might explain your need to bypass
>the LPF.

.5 mV won't even tickle the modulation in most radios. Certainly not
enough to make 100%.

BTW, there is no "need" to bypass the LPF. It's just that the radio
sounds better IMHO that way. The LPF overly restricts the audio
bandwidth and kills fidelity. If you like sounding like you're talking
into a paper cup, then fine. I admit that I'm somewhat of an audio
purist. I like smooth "broadcast quality" audio. That's my personal
preference. YMMV.

> Higher drive at mid-modulation will push the audio into
>compression earlier, which would easily account for your audio
>sounding "mushy and compressed", just as you described.

Yes it would. But that's not the reason in my case. What was that you
tried to say before about acknowledging other possibilities?

> My radio
>sounds just fine without the modification, so it's very likely that
>-your- book is in error.

There is no error in my book. It correlates with other radio alignment procedures.

Perhaps your book is in error. You assume that because it came from Radio Crap, it's infallible.

My procedure is similar to what other radios also prescribe. After all, there isn't much difference in the output that a given stock microphone presents.
The fact that your radio "sounds fine" is a matter of some subjectivity. I didn't say that the radios (Radio shack, Cobra and President versions of this chassis) that I've worked on sounded "bad", only that I felt that they sounded "better" with a modified LPF. Again, a matter of personal preference.

> Not only that, I'm suprised that a person of
>your self-proclaimed experience would not have suspected the error
>earlier -- after all, your own description of the audio quality is
>indicative of overmodulation.

Not at all. 5 mV is hardly too much audio drive. Both the mike preamp compression circuits and the modulation AMC were working properly. The compressed sound was due to lousy audio bandwidth, coupled to a microphone (A D-104) which didn't mate well with the audio bandpass characteristic that this radio with the LPF presented.

> Yet you didn't bother to find a more
>authoritative reference, or compare the calibration specification to
>that of similar radios (I did, and 50% modulation at 0.5mV is common
>for Uniden radios that come with the same mic).

Funny, I took a quick look through a bunch of different test procedures for various radios. Audio input ranged from 5 mV (Considering the gain of that mike preamp circuit, that's not surprising), to 10, 20, 50, 70 and 100 mV, to set the AMC. In NONE of them was there ever a call for anything less than that.

>You could have also
>compared the cal-spec the radio's rated mic sensitivity. But no, you
>decided -not- to look for the primary cause of the problem, assumed
>that the error was in the design and proceeded to chop the filter.

Again, read my lips, there was NO "problem". This was a personal taste modification made to improve the overall audio fidelity. I assumed nothing, however you are doing more than enough assuming for both of us.

>Dave, it's clear that in -both- electronics and politics you have a
>real problem accepting any possibilities outside the realm of your own
>prejudice.

No, what's clear is that you take a scenario, make many speculative
assumptions about the reasons for it, and then come to a conclusion
that's based on those erroneous assumptions. It's no wonder you are so
easily brainwashed by left-wing propaganda.

>>>> and 200 mV to set the 100% limiter threshold with VR7.
>>>
>>>
>>>Step 8, set VR7 for 95 to 98% mod.
>>
>>Right. But there are no numbered steps.
>
>
>There are in my book. And your's seems to be missing some steps.

Not at all. The necessary steps are there.

>>>>The reason for the increase in audio drive is to test that the
>>>>modulation limiter (and mike AGC in this particular radio) is doing
>>>>its job. Think about it, if the modulation limiter is working and
>>>>doing its job, then you can increase the mike level all you want
>>>>(until you fry something) and the AMC will clamp it to the level that
>>>>makes 100% modulation. The only parts in the audio chain that would be
>>>>stressed are the passive components before the AMC audio shunt
>>>>regulator, which is TR18.
>>>>
>>>>There is very little you could tell me about that radio (or more
>>>>specifically that chassis) that I don't already know.
>>>
>>>
>>>I just did. Twice in this post and once in the previous post.
>>
>>What you "told" me wasn't of any real info about the radio itself.

>I told you some -very- real info about the radio -- you just didn't
>know how important that info is, or how it demonstrates that you
>aren't as good with electronics as you think you are.

Like I said, there is nothing *valuable* you could tell me in a
hundred lifetimes, about that radio that I don't know, or haven't
experienced in one form or another. What you just recently learned, I
was doing 25 years ago. I've literally worked on over a hundred
versions of that radio. I used to sit there and play "what if" on my
own radio just for fun when I was bored and had nothing else to do.

I've designed controlled carrier modifications for it, FM receive and
transmit, 10 meter conversions, Digital readout frequency selection,
photocell LED and meter light dimmer circuits, wideband transmit and
receive, and a host of other things that I've long forgotten about.
Like I said, that chassis (in its various forms) has been one of my
all time favorites for the sheer ease at which the radio modified and
the overall capability of it.

>>>Thanks anyway, but I already used too much time pulling out the mods
>>>and restoring it to stock condition -- except for the faceplate. Got a
>>>spare?
>>
>>No I don't. Most of my spare faceplates belong to the bonepile radios,
>>most of which are crappy little AM mobiles.
>
>
>Heard that. Did you see the pic of my "bonepile" that I posted last
>fall? I must have a couple dozen of those cheap, little Cobras buried
>in the pile, not to mention a half-dozen or so mini-Midlands (which
>weren't too awfully bad little radios, just not worth fixing). I
>should go through the pile someday, make a list and post it for anyone
>looking for parts.

I saw it. Your "bonepile" is a bit larger than mine. I've been slowly
trashing the real junk, as I've pretty much "retired" from the CB-tech
scene. It's just not worth the time any more, as I've got far too much
on my plate these days. Being a parent takes up a lot of time.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2318. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 16:41:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <q46f01drj0qq18riqnilerr79fbq0o25ph@4ax.com>
Source record: 195958

On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 23:49:44 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of
>talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not
>happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on
>USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The
>local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp
>constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with
>a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?

I need a little more information. Do the radio lights actually dim
when this happens? I does seem to sound like a power supply issue, but
you should be able to talk farther from the mike (Which allows the
radio to not peak as highly) and if it's a simple supply problem, at
some point the radio will work ok if it isn't putting out full power.

The 2510 should not draw more than about 5 or 6 amps, depending on
whether the radio is totally stock, or whether the ALC has been
cranked open (Which will allow a 45 to 50 watts peak SSB output).

Pyramid supplies are not rated as one of the best (Although I have a
30 amp, and it seems to do alright), but I would think you are well
within the rating of it with your radio.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2319. Re: Attention Keyclowns i.e. Illegal Outlaw CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 18:44:57 UTC
From: Unknown <lost@unknown.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Xns95F67DC48CE6Ddean32ayahoocom@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 195959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,ALT.HAM-RADIO.VHF-UHF,alt.usenet.kooks,misc.transport.trucking

<PLONK>

2320. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 20:24:52 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03>
Source record: 195960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> >
> > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> >>
> >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> >
> > Or else what?
>
> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb

Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is forging the
real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.

2321. : : : Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven :

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 20:48:02 UTC
From: Good_News_12000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107809282.965178.312600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195961

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

2322. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 21:44:19 UTC
From: Scan da bands <RK@msn.com>
Message-ID: <4207dceb$0$13954$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com>
Source record: 195962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>>
>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>>>
>>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>>
>> Or else what?
>
> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
>
Someone should slap some sense into that a-hole.

2323. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 22:39:10 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga>
Source record: 195963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03...
>
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> >
> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> > >
> > > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >>
> > >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> > >>
> > >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Or else what?
> >
> > He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
>
> Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is forging the
> real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
>
>

Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
impressed.

B.H.
```

2324. : : : Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven :

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 23:06:04 UTC
From: Good_News_12000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107817564.350313.31670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195964

```
scroll to the top of the page
```

2325. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 23:18:43 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02>
Source record: 195965
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...

> Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> impressed.

Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>

2326. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-07 23:47:53 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <AbTNd.34318$vs2.5483@fe06.lga>
Source record: 195966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
>
> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
>
> > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> > impressed.
>
> Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
>
>
>

I don't think he has a head. Kind of like that chicken that lived after a decap. Just enough brain stem left to execute motor activity and stir shit on Usenet. :)

B.H.

2327. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 00:06:53 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8i0g015s5h10k743khbmv7a53qos5tm7fk@4ax.com>
Source record: 195967

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 11:41:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 23:49:44 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of
>>talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not
>>happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on
>>USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The
>>local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp
>>constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with
>>a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?
>
>
>I need a little more information. Do the radio lights actually dim
>when this happens?

No.

>I does seem to sound like a power supply issue, but
>you should be able to talk farther from the mike (Which allows the
>radio to not peak as highly) and if it's a simple supply problem, at
>some point the radio will work ok if it isn't putting out full power.
>
>The 2510 should not draw more than about 5 or 6 amps, depending on
>whether the radio is totally stock, or whether the ALC has been
>cranked open (Which will allow a 45 to 50 watts peak SSB output).

I have not modded this radio. I am waiting on a dummy load. I do have a
question. How high can I DK it and how how can I turn up the ALC, without
overdriving the final?

Vinnie S.

2328. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 00:11:14 UTC
From: Honus <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <CsTNd.22062$uc.5201@trnddc09>
Source record: 195968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:AbTNd.34318$vs2.5483@fe06.lga...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
> >
> > "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> > news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
> >
> > > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> > > impressed.
> >
> > Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
> >
> >
> >
>
> I don't think he has a head. Kind of like that chicken that lived after a
> decap. Just enough brain stem left to execute motor activity and stir shit
> on Usenet. :)

Ah, Mike the Headless Chicken.

http://home.nycap.rr.com/useless/headless_chicken/

2329. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 00:40:30 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107823230.635819.23440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Hill wrote:
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03...
> >
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> > >
> > > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > > news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> > > >
> > > > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >>
> > > >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> > > >>
> > > >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Or else what?
> > >
> > > He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
> >
> > Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is
forging the
> > real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
> >
> >
>
> Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> impressed.
>
> B.H.

I'd like to google your man-pussy. I'd stroke my cock until it's like a
rock, then plunge it deep into the tunnel of your man love!

2330. Re: the breakfast of champs!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 00:42:40 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107823360.587837.251670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.satellite.tv,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.flame.jews

now answer the questions.....how would you like my man flute probing
your fuck tunnel.

2331. ping: FRANK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 00:47:08 UTC
From: STEVEO <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107823628.129190.260710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195971
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews,alt.animals.dog

thanks for the wonderful head job, sweetie.

your kisses R the best. when you slid inside me you made me feel all
filled up.

Love,
Steveo

2332. Re: America's public image-sux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 07:08:35 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <TzZNd.152212$K7.149532@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 195973

Got no problem with Yanks. Speak to them all the time on IRLP. It's your international policies that have you unrespected, not marketing.

Note: I serve in Signals, my Dad served in Vietnam and my Grandfather in WW2.

Do have a Hugh problem with your government though. Here's why.

You go to Iraq to 'liberate' people from a brutal dictator who torch people then do the same yourself. If you want credibility, every soldier found torturing Iraqi's should receive the death penalty. Any Aussie troop who did this would receive Life Imprisonment.

The Yankee Govt comes on TV and says 'where going to Iraq to bring democracy', then does the same thing Saddam did, torched innocent Iraqi's. Not good.

In effect, the US turned up in Iraq and did themselves what they where claiming they where there to stop.

You train your soldiers in the most stupid way I've ever seen. Eg. I saw on CNN the training of US troops and the training officers words "This is an Iraqi, what are you going do..what are you going do to him?" You've already built hatred amongst your troops before you leave.

Yes, we here Down Under did participate, but have we been found torching Iraqi's or mankind dumb arse comments like "It's fun to shoot Arabs" No.

Our troops (being in the Signal Corp myself) are trained about compassion and killing if massacre to protect your own life, or that of your comrades, that's why us Aussie's during Vietnam disobeyed instructions from dumb arse Yankee commanders. We went to your bases, got our supplies and fucked of quick. If the Viet Cong where looking for you, just told them where your base was as they watched US chopper after chopper fly in. It was an unwritten rule for Aussie's in Vietnam to "go to US troops, get supplied up and fuck off asap", before the Viet Cong attack.

Why did US troops walk along the top of rice paddies, smoking ciggies and make themselves so easily visible to the enemy. Us Aussies crawled through the rice fields, might take longer but you'll at least live..for now...

It was, after all our SAS who pinpointed targets for the US, weeks before the Iraq War was even announced. But Aussie troops (being smarter at war than the US or UK) simply took the weapons off the Iraqis and told them to take a hike, we didn't even keep them as POWs, therefore we couldn't make the mistakes the US did, nor could they claim that we did this or that.

The US is playing right into the terrorists hands, just like it made it so easy for the Viet Cong to kill you all before.

Now, Osama can use that footage of your people torturing Arabs and say 'look what the US really think of you!" If anything the US has helped the terrorists, not hindered them. Idiots.

I thought America was going to avoid suburban combat. So why are you now going house-to-house.

Sorry guys, but driving equipment from one end of the country to the other is not 'winning the war' as your President stated. IF that was WW2, that means that war was won once a few troops landed on the Normandy beaches. I don't think so.

Any of the POWs you have (both in Iraq, Afghan & Cuba) who weren't terrorists before sure as hell will be now!

It's the Vietnam War all over again. When (not if) we will see the last US troops being evacuated from Iraq as the 'insurgents' take over from a city building by chopper. (I'm sure many of you know the image I'm referring to from Vietnam)

And that's why so,, many people hate Yanks with a passion. I don't hate Yanks, but I hate your government and the way the US military operates, it's caused more allied deaths than anything else, terrosits included, since 1901.

I do however acknowledge that when it comes to equipment and supplies the US is second to none. When Darwin was raided in 1942 by Japs, the British weren't interested in helping us, but the US arrived and built in a matter of hours a series of hidden runways so our and your planes could come up behind Jap zeros by surprise. This worked. There is no way the Aussie army could have built those runways or re-tuned Spitfires in the time the US troops did. If only you could combine these skills with the morality and training of ANZACs and you'd have the best, and highly respected, army in the world, and would not be hated so much.

All in all, the US needs to think about how it trains its troops, currently they leave with a 'let's kill Iraqi's attititude' when you need to leave with a 'let's only kill those we have to' attitude.

Why do you think the #1 condition of us assisting you in Iraq was that (for the first time in our history) we would be under Australian, not US or UK command?

Simple, the UK had thousands of us killed-Gallipoli-WW1
The Yanks had more of us killed-Vietnam.

Australians today are only under the command of our commanders, as your commanders have seen us killed, time and time again. Ever notice how, whether it be East Timor, Iraq or tsunami affected areas that our Army doesn't change how it operates. We repair what we destroy as we go, don't take POWs, and do not get taught a hatred of the enemy before we leave. We are trained to protect ourselves, our assets, base etc, but never,, never in

my training have I been trained in the way your soldiers are. And you wonder why you now have a disaster on your hands?

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:GaednUvYPoqXw5vfRVn-gA@giganews.com...
> Gactimus wrote:
> > How do you think we could improve this ? Does America need better
> > marketing ? Or could it be that our universal unpopularity has
> > something to do with the way we conduct ourselves in international
> > affairs ?
> >
> > Personally speaking, I believe it is all down to the marketing...MArketing my arse
>
> Another freaking troll.
>
> Jeff
>
>

2333. Re: Steveo GIVE UP, GIVE UP, GIVE UP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 07:08:36 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <UzZNd.152213$K7.149374@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 195972
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.cb

Dumb fucks.
Spose you hate Aboriginals and Maori's too? Come Down Under and go to a pub..see how long you live you Grand POObah fuckwit

Join the KKK dickhead, so one day we can drop a bomb on ya HQ

I'd rather have ten thousand 'niggers' than one more moron like you.
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41fa0598$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> <larupmiaz@osonio.net> wrote in message
> news:dnmKd.16030$US.5923@news.ono.com...
> > You are beaten. Or about to be beaten.
>
> I'M NOT BEATEN......THE ONLY THING WORSE THAN AN AMERICAN NIGGER IS A
> FUCKING ISLAND NIGGER.
>
> OFCOURSE MEXICANS ARE THE SAME AS NIGGERS BUT THEY MAY SMELL WORSE.
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2334. Re: Steveo GIVE UP, GIVE UP, GIVE UP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 08:12:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <42087578@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 195974
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.cb

Ashley is such a sweetheart of a name.......You make my cock rock hard you
limmie bitch!
Your country is our lap dog! But that shouldn't stop us from a little
cyber sex! Tell me about your cock!
"Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote in message
news:UzZNd.152213$K7.149374@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Dumb fucks.
> Spose you hate Aboriginals and Maori's too? Come Down Under and go to a
> pub..see how long you live you Grand POObah fuckwit
>
> Join the KKK dickhead, so one day we can drop a bomb on ya HQ
>
> I'd rather have ten thousand 'niggers' than one more moron like you.
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:41fa0598$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>>
>> <larupmiaz@osonio.net> wrote in message
>> news:dnmKd.16030$US.5923@news.ono.com...
>> > You are beaten. Or about to be beaten.
>>
>> I'M NOT BEATEN......THE ONLY THING WORSE THAN AN AMERICAN NIGGER IS A
>> FUCKING ISLAND NIGGER.
>>
>> OFCOURSE MEXICANS ARE THE SAME AS NIGGERS BUT THEY MAY SMELL WORSE.
>>
>>
>> --
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 120,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2335. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 09:21:39 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <3ucmd2-7ko.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 195975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Hill wrote:

>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>>
>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>> >
>> > STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>>
>> Or else what?
>
> Or else he'll key up on your ass and engage his reverb unit. :))

No kidding. Why is it, just about anywhere you go, there's some old hick
who just has to act like he's two on the radio?

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2336. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 12:08:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0gah01l9v60ii0hmo8bqr61tcqh9i1anb7@4ax.com>
Source record: 195976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 12:52:05 -0500, Buck <iam@this.site> wrote:
aught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
>>it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
>>of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
>>200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
>>in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes

>On Sat, 5 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, "morris" <morris@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
>>What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
>>radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
>>just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her

>>The couple had been married for 17 years.
>>When asked about the auction, the wife
>>said: "I'm giggling."
>>
>>-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
>>c>>your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
>
>
>My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
>discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
>that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
>would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
>would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
>change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
>dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the
>persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a
>person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be
>the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different
>if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good
>to take it from them.
>
>To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a
>bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good
>thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.

Very wise words, from someone who understands human interaction. Too
often one person or the other in a couple thinks they can "reform" the
other person's bad habits. This only leads to misery and an eventual
breakup. When you sign up to the "for better or worse" deal, that
means you take the whole package, not just the parts you like. My wife

had to deal with my radios, and I had to deal with her smoking (Which fortunately she gave up when she was pregnant). That's is the nature of compromise. You compromise because underneath those surface differences, there is a greater bond of affection which should transcend those surface differences. But it should be everything in moderation. If important things in life are being postponed to address a specific hobby, then it's time for a little introspection.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

>
>Buck
>N4PGw

2337. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 12:13:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <b6bh01hjju6pan1do8ijjnhmv6se8i3tqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 195977

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 19:06:53 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 11:41:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 23:49:44 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of
>>>talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not
>>>happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on
>>>USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The
>>>local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp
>>>constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with
>>>a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?
>>
>>
>>I need a little more information. Do the radio lights actually dim
>>when this happens?
>
>No.

Hmmm.... I suspect that this is not a simple insufficient current
"power supply" issue. The power supply may be related, but there's
something else in the mix that we don't know about yet.

>>I does seem to sound like a power supply issue, but
>>you should be able to talk farther from the mike (Which allows the
>>radio to not peak as highly) and if it's a simple supply problem, at
>>some point the radio will work ok if it isn't putting out full power.
>>
>>The 2510 should not draw more than about 5 or 6 amps, depending on
>>whether the radio is totally stock, or whether the ALC has been
>>cranked open (Which will allow a 45 to 50 watts peak SSB output).
>
>I have not modded this radio. I am waiting on a dummy load. I do have a
>question. How high can I DK it and how how can I turn up the ALC, without
>overdriving the final?

Is this the older version of the 2510 with the MRF-477 final in it?

If so, the radio is capable of between 45 and 50 watts of peak SSB
power (with the ALC cranked open). For best power with some protection
from distortion, you can safely run it up to between 35 and 40 watts.
It's also capable of about the same peak power on AM, which means that
you should not set the carrier power above 12 watts, if you want to

maintain enough headroom for 100% modulation.

Be careful though, the MRF 477 transistor is no longer manufactured, and there is no direct replacement for it. So if you blow it, your choices become limited. You might be able to locate someone who may still have old stock of the '477 (at a premium price), or you can retrofit another device, which can be done, but usually at a loss of peak power out.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2338. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 12:16:39 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <4208ADA7.B841F9BD@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 195978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Hall wrote:

> On Sun, 06 Feb 2005 12:52:05 -0500, Buck <iam@this.site> wrote:
> aught looking at an inside fastball and never even swung at
> >>it, hehehe! Meanwhile, his wife managed to knock one out
> >>of the ball park. It wasn't just a grand slam, either, it was a
> >>200-grand slam, hehehe! There's also an important lesson
> >>in this story, Voyeurwebbers: ***If you're hobby becomes
>
> >On Sat, 5 Feb 2005 21:43:00 -0500, "morris" <morris@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>There's a LESSON here you hams and radio freaks.
> >>What happened to this baseball collector can happen to a
> >>radio collector also....and I know SEVERAL xyl's who'd
> >>just LOVE an opportunity to trash or sell off all of her
>
> >>The couple had been married for 17 years.
> >>When asked about the auction, the wife
> >>said: "I'm giggling."
> >>
> >>-- Holy Strike Out, Voyeurwebbers! It sounds like this guy got
> >>c>>your life ... it's definitely time to get a new hobby***. -- Igor
> >
> >
> >My wife never had to work for my hobby. Before we married we
> >discussed it. I had been a ham for years before we met. I told her
> >that I was an Amateur Radio Operator for years before we met and I
> >would be one long after she's gone. If she couldn't accept that, she
> >would have to move on. Likewise, I never tried to discourage or
> >change things about my wife. Those things a person likes and
> >dislikes, or their hobbies, etc, are part of what makes them the
> >persons they are. I believe that if we take those things from a
> >person, there will be a hole in their life and they will no longer be
> >the person we met and fell in love with. Of course, it is different
> >if that person changes hobbies, etc. on their own, but it is not good
> >to take it from them.
> >
> >To include in their budget, support for each other's hobbies is not a
> >bad thing, but to break their budget over any one hobby is not a good
> >thing. My wife never went hungry because I bought a radio.
>
> Very wise words, from someone who understands human interaction. Too
> often one person or the other in a couple thinks they can "reform" the

> other person's bad habits. This only leads to misery and an eventual
> breakup. When you sign up to the "for better or worse" deal, that
> means you take the whole package, not just the parts you like. My wife
> had to deal with my radios, and I had to deal with her smoking (Which
> fortunately she gave up when she was pregnant). That's is the nature
> of compromise. You compromise because underneath those surface
> differences, there is a greater bond of affection which should
> transcend those surface differences. But it should be everything in
> moderation. If important things in life are being postponed to address
> a specific hobby, then it's time for a little introspection.

Just remember that life is uncertain.
You do your best, but still...
Some men marry women,
hoping they won't change.
Some women marry men,
hoping they will.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2339. mopeydopey's cravings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 12:51:09 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107867069.747723.39090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195980

Steveo wrote:
> now answer the questions.....how would you like my man flute probing
> your fuck tunnel.

Wasn't me in the first place, little oral boy. However, I did laugh
like hell when I saw the post. Sounds like somebody has you down pat.

Say, when ya coming over to take some more pictures? I wanna hang out
the "mopeydopey sucks poodle wong" sign just for you.

2340. mopeydopey's cravings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 12:51:33 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107867093.837792.208480@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195981

Steveo wrote:
> now answer the questions.....how would you like my man flute probing
> your fuck tunnel.

Wasn't me in the first place, little oral boy. However, I did laugh
like hell when I saw the post. Sounds like somebody has you down pat.

Say, when ya coming over to take some more pictures? I wanna hang out
the "mopeydopey sucks poodle wong" sign just for you.

2341. mopeydopey's cravings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 12:51:35 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107867095.088934.268420@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195979

Steveo wrote:
> now answer the questions.....how would you like my man flute probing
> your fuck tunnel.

Wasn't me in the first place, little oral boy. However, I did laugh
like hell when I saw the post. Sounds like somebody has you down pat.

Say, when ya coming over to take some more pictures? I wanna hang out
the "mopeydopey sucks poodle wong" sign just for you.

2342. Re: America's public image-sux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 13:50:55 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <zv3Od.3701$Na4.1272@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195982

<snip the bollox>

>
> And that's why so,, many people hate Yanks with a passion. I don't hate
> Yanks, but I hate your government and the way the US military operates, it's
> caused more allied deaths than anything else, terrosits included, since
> 1901.

the american gov is the people. you hate the gov you therefore hate the
people. doesn't work both ways.
go subjugate some aborigines ya tosser...

2343. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 13:55:43 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <i5hh01p0iqo82jfsr8f9f20ifd7kpn9hgu@4ax.com>
Source record: 195983

On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 07:13:01 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>Hmmm.... I suspect that this is not a simple insufficient current
>"power supply" issue. The power supply may be related, but there's
>something else in the mix that we don't know about yet.
>
>
>>>I does seem to sound like a power supply issue, but
>>>you should be able to talk farther from the mike (Which allows the
>>>radio to not peak as highly) and if it's a simple supply problem, at
>>>some point the radio will work ok if it isn't putting out full power.
>>>
>>>The 2510 should not draw more than about 5 or 6 amps, depending on
>>>whether the radio is totally stock, or whether the ALC has been
>>>cranked open (Which will allow a 45 to 50 watts peak SSB output).
>>
>>I have not modded this radio. I am waiting on a dummy load. I do have a
>>question. How high can I DK it and how how can I turn up the ALC, without
>>overdriving the final?
>
>Is this the older version of the 2510 with the MRF-477 final in it?

Yes.

>If so, the radio is capable of between 45 and 50 watts of peak SSB
>power (with the ALC cranked open). For best power with some protection
>from distortion, you can safely run it up to between 35 and 40 watts.
>It's also capable of about the same peak power on AM, which means that
>you should not set the carrier power above 12 watts, if you want to
>maintain enough headroom for 100% modulation.

>Be careful though, the MRF 477 transistor is no longer manufactured,
>and there is no direct replacement for it. So if you blow it, your
>choices become limited. You might be able to locate someone who may
>still have old stock of the '477 (at a premium price), or you can
>retrofit another device, which can be done, but usually at a loss of
>peak power out.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>
>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Vinnie S.

2344. Re: Steveo GIVE UP, GIVE UP, GIVE UP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 14:35:38 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_64Od.2227$lz5.2023@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 195984
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.cb

"Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote in message
news:UzZNd.152213$K7.149374@news-server.bigpond.net.au...

Very Good Ashley, you are one heck of a fisherwoman.....
Bit on N8WWM forge troll of Moparholic, Hook, Line
& Sinker.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

2345. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 15:13:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <i4lh01pamau2mo0irp0fd58hvf2jmhvro0@4ax.com>
Source record: 195985

On 07 Feb 2005 12:52:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 04 Feb 2005 19:26:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> >> Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against federal
>> >> >> law. That is a fact.
>> >> >>
>> >> >And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.
>> >>
>> >> I have no problem with anyone who does it. That's the really funny
>> >> part about this whole thing. Twist will sit here and spin and
>> >> obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to deny that what he (and you I
>> >> guess) does is illegal. But the law is clear on this.
>> >>
>> >> If you want to take you chances, hey, go for it! Just don't pretend
>> >> what you do is somehow "permitted".
>> >>
>> >> Dave
>> >> "Sandbagger"
>> >>
>> >It's been permitted since the 70's, when I got into it.
>>
>> Are you claiming that operating on unauthorized channels and/or
>> talking in excess of 150 mile has been "permitted"? Wow, that's news
>> to me.....
>>
>No, I'm claiming blow-hards like you and doug have been flapping your
>pie holes about it for years, using it for an excuse to post some of
>the most vile crap rec.radio.cb has ever seen.

I have posted no such "crap". I make my case by the logic of the
points alone. I have no allegiance to Doug, nor do I condone the spam
that has been attributed to him.

>I'm still doing my thing after all these years, without even so much
>as a warning from the man. Put up or shut up.

So that makes it ok?

I would imagine that throughout history, people have "gotten away"
with all sorts of crimes. Law enforcement is not infallible. But, does

that excuse or diminish what they did?

If you subscribe to the theory that it's only illegal if you're caught, then I guess you have no grounds to bitch if someone swipes something valuable from your home or car, and no one catches them. After all, it's fair game until they're caught right?

Personally, I don't believe that DX'ing is a big deal. There are far worse infractions being committed. As long as other people are not being denied equal access to the airwaves, or there is no harmful interference being generated to other licensed services, then I really don't have a problem with it.

But I do get annoyed when certain people portray the attitude that they're somehow "entitled" to break the law, as if it's some sort of badge of honor.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2346. FA: Antique Bunnell BRASS SOUNDER, 6146B's, REGENCY MIKE, Much more!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 15:14:00 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <YI4Od.650$FG6.263@fe12.lga>
Source record: 195986

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2347. FA: $9.99 ANTENNA SPECIALIST MS264 CB/AM-FM DISGIUSE ANTENNA>NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 15:21:42 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9Q4Od.654$tO6.261@fe12.lga>
Source record: 195987

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2348. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 16:14:04 UTC
From: me <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <muoh011d6fv7qa5oa5jkd5qucvkhuetarv@4ax.com>
Source record: 195988

On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 10:01:49 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
wrote:

>On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
>> Good News!
>
>> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
>> life has ended?
>
>I have one simple question.
>Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?
>

Simple. Before the time of Christ the Jews used burnt offerings for atonement for sins. it's all covered in the books of Genesis, Exodus and Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. After a while though, the whole thing became routine and a tradition and more of a ritual, and meant nothing to them...(The Children of Isreal) and this is why Christ was sent, to be become the perfect atonement for our sins and give us access to the Father.

and No, I am not the one posting the stuff, but I thought I'd answer.

See Romans 3:10, 3:23, 10:9-10 and 10:13

2349. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 16:26:58 UTC
From: Ray Norshine <raynorshine@overcast.comm>
Message-ID: <mL5Od.13155$1z1.5006@fe03.lga>
Source record: 195989

me <me@me.com> wrote in news:muoh011d6fv7qa5oa5jkd5qucvkhuetarv@4ax.com:

> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 10:01:49 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
> wrote:
>
>>On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Good News!
>>
>>> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
>>> life has ended?
>>
>>I have one simple question.
>>Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?
>>
>
> Simple. Before the time of Christ the Jews used burnt offerings for
> atonement for sins. it's all covered in the books of Genesis, Exodus
> and Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. After a while though, the
> whole thing became routine and a tradition and more of a ritual, and
> meant nothing to them...(The Children of Isreal) and this is why
> Christ was sent, to be become the perfect atonement for our sins and
> give us access to the Father.
>
> and No, I am not the one posting the stuff, but I thought I'd answer.
>
> See Romans 3:10, 3:23, 10:9-10 and 10:13

Was jesus a cb'er? <smirk>

..and would he condone your off-topic spamming?

2350. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 17:55:40 UTC
From: me <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <k6vh019kk43q43peis47m6qvnn6uhuras1@4ax.com>
Source record: 195990

On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 16:26:58 GMT, Ray Norshine
<raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:

>me <me@me.com> wrote in news:muoh011d6fv7qa5oa5jkd5qucvkhuetarv@4ax.com:
>
>> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 10:01:49 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>> Good News!
>>>
>>>> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
>>>> life has ended?
>>>
>>>I have one simple question.
>>>Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?
>>>
>>
>> Simple. Before the time of Christ the Jews used burnt offerings for
>> atonement for sins. it's all covered in the books of Genesis, Exodus
>> and Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. After a while though, the
>> whole thing became routine and a tradition and more of a ritual, and
>> meant nothing to them...(The Children of Isreal) and this is why
>> Christ was sent, to be become the perfect atonement for our sins and
>> give us access to the Father.
>>
>> and No, I am not the one posting the stuff, but I thought I'd answer.
>>
>> See Romans 3:10, 3:23, 10:9-10 and 10:13
>
>
>Was jesus a cb'er? <smirk>
>
>..and would he condone your off-topic spamming?

No. Jesus wasn't a CB'er. and it wasn't MY off-topic spam. I just
answered the man's question. period. end of story. (Grin)

God Bless,

-Me

2351. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 18:27:37 UTC
From: Ray Norshine <raynorshine@overcast.comm>
Message-ID: <tw7Od.13168$rM2.11546@fe03.lga>
Source record: 195991

me <me@me.com> wrote in news:k6vh019kk43q43peis47m6qvnn6uhuras1@4ax.com:

> On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 16:26:58 GMT, Ray Norshine
> <raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:
>
>>me <me@me.com> wrote in
>>news:muoh011d6fv7qa5oa5jkd5qucvkhuetarv@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 10:01:49 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> Good News!
>>>>
>>>>> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
>>>>> life has ended?
>>>>
>>>>I have one simple question.
>>>>Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?
>>>>
>>>
>>> Simple. Before the time of Christ the Jews used burnt offerings for
>>> atonement for sins. it's all covered in the books of Genesis, Exodus
>>> and Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. After a while though, the
>>> whole thing became routine and a tradition and more of a ritual, and
>>> meant nothing to them...(The Children of Isreal) and this is why
>>> Christ was sent, to be become the perfect atonement for our sins and
>>> give us access to the Father.
>>>
>>> and No, I am not the one posting the stuff, but I thought I'd
>>> answer.
>>>
>>> See Romans 3:10, 3:23, 10:9-10 and 10:13
>>
>>
>>Was jesus a cb'er? <smirk>
>>
>>..and would he condone your off-topic spamming?
>
> No. Jesus wasn't a CB'er. and it wasn't MY off-topic spam.

???? Then why did -YOU- post it here, on this cb newsgroup??

> I just
> answered the man's question. period. end of story. (Grin)

The origanal post, didn't originate on rrcb, did it?
Why then did you reply to it, in this group, moron..?!?!

>
> God Bless,
>
> -Me

You are an odd duck, chuck. Get a life... (chuckle)

2352. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 19:47:26 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28078-4209174E-366@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195993

NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, Feb 7, 2005, 6:44am (EST-1) From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Temporary leave Date: Mon, Feb 7, 2005, 7:44am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace: sv3-jrhDMVKImJzEWtYzMz99yt7AJNiNRku5q09dMMSk+rZqvGtHf1GUX8Htp/zVsZKq8skage6+kAribG5!KZE60D0HRC01lJb7R5tBc9gH9cExBoILwl07/y53AByneAL6EGF5/QX7MK8PwwNAJhTCeJq4UkT7!67e9n1vel9Q= X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.22
On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 19:57:38 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (IAmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
N3CVJ wrote:
>Threat? What threat? Oh I forgot, you view

>every encounter through the lens of paranoia..
The thread was titled "OT ping Jim". anyone is free to peruse it, but then again, most have recognized you for what you are by now and realize you are lying again. But what the heck,,,let us revisit this thread..

>I did offer to have a face-to face with you,

You claimed you were coming to this state to give me my "chance", yet as bizarre as that claim, never once offered what you meant by "chance".

>A chance to meet face to face and maybe

>come to some sort of mutual understanding.

Regarding which of your obsessions?

>you read anything more into that, it is yet

>another example of your paranoia.

You are the one (again) seeking "understanding".

>which you conveniently weaseled out of.

That isn't what happened at all. In fact, the very last word on the subject was when you were cornered with no where to run.

>Cornered? You mean by insisting on my

>giving you my credit card number?

Pay attention. That wasn't the last word at all on the subject. For the second time, the exchange I presented was the last word on the subject prior to you abandoning it, but your repeated and intense need to tell

it another way, has been duly noted.

>Sorry, but as open and up front as I am on this
>group, I'm not going to give you that.

>You're delusional (no surprise there).

Pay attention. You are the one incorrectly invoking your credit card
topic as the last word, when the last word was you running from an
option of which there was no escape. Repeating a false statement will
not lend truth to it, but you are welcome to continue with such
behavior.

>You

>refused to meet and wanted me to give you

>my credit card number before you would

>consider going any further.

Oh, but I am enjoying this.

>I'm sure you are, as distorted as reality tends

>to be for you.

If you mention your credit card a few more times, it still will not
change the fact that it was not the last exchange on the matter, is not
a topic and has no relation to the last exchange.

>We could have met in any number of public

>places but you refused to deal. The truth is

>you don't want to risk the loss of even one part
>of your anonymity. I, on the other hand, have

>nothing to hide.

>If I wanted to do "something" less than

>honorable, I could have come to you without

>your knowing

You could not. You are capable of no such feat.

> and found out what I wanted to. But that's not

>what I wanted.

Alright,,let's illustrate your lies again for the masses,,, Faced with
no other manner in which to run, you dropped the matter
after this last exchange on Oct 4th, 2004.

>Well sure I dropped it, I was on the plane

>south shortly after. There was no point in

>revisiting the subject once the window of

>opportunity closed.

The opportunity was not closed, as illustrated by your posts dated the same day the opportunity was presented to you.
You were going on about with excuses, and after realizing that you had a very real chance to quench *your* stated desire to meet me, you dropped the topic.

>By giving you my credit card number? Sorry,

>not happening.

Your credit card was not a topic in the last exchange concerning your quest, nor is it now. Your credit card was never a topic in our last exchange, of which you have been adequately and gently reminded. Failure to realize is your communication deficit.

Excerpt from original message in Oct, last word on subject:
>
>

Dave T. Hall Jr. wrote:
>which then gives you a convenient excuse to

>say that you weren't around when I came

>looking.

I have that covered, too. A pic of the day's paper at the dockmaster's office where his clock (way up high) is very visible for all to see.
You have no excuse.

_
>What pic? Where?

I diligently refer you your own words: "There is no point in revisiting the matter once the window of opportunity is closed."
In any event, THAT was the last exchange of the matter, despite your sordid attempts at claiming otherwise. It ended your quest on the matter.

-
Hey my man, have a great weekend,,the mullet is almost all done and smoked and I'm heading north bound US 301 to J-town. Good luck to your Iggles,,,they're gonna get their heads ripped off.

>A 3 point loss is hardly "getting their heads

>ripped off".

They got what they deserved and I am proud Florida was able to play a part in denying Philadelphia.

> I am disappointed though.

I'll bet.

>I wanted to see just how crazy the residents of
>Philty really are (from a safe distance of

>course).

We already have. They spit on Culpepper's wife in the playoffs. That's
not crazy, though, that's the bottom rung on the ladder of evolution.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2353. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 19:58:09 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107892689.097999.217970@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195992

Chukkeeee....didn't your lawyer advise you to get off of rrcb?

me wrote:
> On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 16:26:58 GMT, Ray Norshine
> <raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:
>
> >me <me@me.com> wrote in
news:muoh011d6fv7qa5oa5jkd5qucvkhuetarv@4ax.com:
> >
> >> On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 10:01:49 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >>>On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Good News!
> >>>
> >>> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
> >>> life has ended?
> >>>
> >>>I have one simple question.
> >>>Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?
> >>>
> >>
> >> Simple. Before the time of Christ the Jews used burnt offerings
for
> >> atonement for sins. it's all covered in the books of Genesis,
Exodus
> >> and Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. After a while though, the
> >> whole thing became routine and a tradition and more of a ritual,
and
> >> meant nothing to them...(The Children of Isreal) and this is why
> >> Christ was sent, to be become the perfect atonement for our sins
and
> >> give us access to the Father.
> >>
> >> and No, I am not the one posting the stuff, but I thought I'd
answer.
> >>
> >> See Romans 3:10, 3:23, 10:9-10 and 10:13
> >
> >

> >Was jesus a cb'er? <smirk>
> >
> >..and would he condone your off-topic spamming?
>
> No. Jesus wasn't a CB'er. and it wasn't MY off-topic spam. I just
> answered the man's question. period. end of story. (Grin)
>
> God Bless,
>
> -Me

2354. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 20:08:44 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28078-42091C4C-380@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195994

NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, Feb 7, 2005, 7:13am (EST-1) From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Temporary leave Date: Mon, Feb 7, 2005, 8:13am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace: sv3-fe4JXsMOHozOck/r8SPaZ7dGG7TIXmuYFaO2kIiVtUBEw4i6uJcBAb2Tqq5EG+X5QG8XHA7Bsx6AYEr!HNCzTmwuO05VgK9BM0wImwJZrEthgI7wNtFoNmEuo+bj+WS5+a7FiRoOofdCELN7MHHNcvoiBdFV!e00q1Gvsmzg= X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.22 On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 19:14:36 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 09:48:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Much in the same manner you claim other hammies agree with you that "roger beeps are illegal",

>You want to know how many hams agree with

>this, then post the question on one of the ham

>newsgroups and see who agrees.

Damn, Dave...you are suffering like never before. Twas yourself that invoked other hammies with imaginary support, not I.

>I never mentioned any hams.

You did, invariably. I see we will have to rely on the group's memory and pc skills and not yours, again.

> You are the one who wants to know how

>many hams agree with the notion that roger

>beeps are illegal on CB.

I said nothing of the sort. In fact, I will reiterate it once again for you, so as the others won't have to. Your response to me led to *you* invoking other hammies. I said, and I quote, that "you are alone in your claim that roger beeps are illegal."
In order to lend yourself a transparent but false veli of support, you claimed, in response, "No, I am NOT the only one", whcih has only one meaning in response to my claim. Your claim, means that other hammies support your claim and agree with you, the exact opposite of what I claimed, maintain, and brought to your attention. Again, and for the record, I maintain NO other hammie agrees with you. You said you were

not the only one, I asked you to name one that agreed with you, and you began to sputter and sink.

>So, I suggested that you ask them.

What you have been claiming isn't what has been taking place at all. In fact, your last few posts are chock full of delusions and falacies. Is everything ok over there? Perhaps the stress of having to sell the overpriced boat is too much for you to handle these days. It's resulting in you not only making false accusations, but lobbying and begging for some type validity.
It's almost as if you view others in the world as subscribing to your one-size-fits-all and
if-I-say-over-and-over-I-can-make-myself-believe-it theories.
Your self-professed adept psychological skills diagnosing usenet participants, based only on the parameters you are given, notwithstanding.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2355. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 20:37:32 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Ps9Od.3715$CG7.1165@fe11.lga>
Source record: 195995

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Chukkeeee....didn't your lawyer advise you to get off of rrcb?
>
> me wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 16:26:58 GMT, Ray Norshine
>><raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>me <me@me.com> wrote in
>
> news:muoh011d6fv7qa5oa5jkd5qucvkhuetarv@4ax.com:
>
>>>>On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 10:01:49 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
>
> wrote:
>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Good News!
>>>>>
>>>>>>Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
>>>>>>life has ended?
>>>>>
>>>>>I have one simple question.
>>>>>Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Simple. Before the time of Christ the Jews used burnt offerings
>
> for
>
>>>>atonement for sins. it's all covered in the books of Genesis,
>
> Exodus
>
>>>>and Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. After a while though, the
>>>>whole thing became routine and a tradition and more of a ritual,
>
> and

>
>>>>meant nothing to them...(The Children of Isreal) and this is why
>>>>Christ was sent, to be become the perfect atonement for our sins
>
> and
>
>>>>give us access to the Father.
>>>>
>>>>and No, I am not the one posting the stuff, but I thought I'd
>
> answer.
>
>>>>See Romans 3:10, 3:23, 10:9-10 and 10:13
>>>
>>>
>>>Was jesus a cb'er? <smirk>
>>>
>>>..and would he condone your off-topic spamming?
>>
>>No. Jesus wasn't a CB'er. and it wasn't MY off-topic spam. I just
>>answered the man's question. period. end of story. (Grin)
>>
>>God Bless,
>>
>>-Me
>
>
ya mean his lawyer who was a mayor and then he wasn't? lol...

2356. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 20:38:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28079-42092328-117@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 195996

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 4 Feb 2005 19:13:16 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote: Dave
Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels

>is against federal law. That is a fact.

(And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me, Dave.)

>I have no problem with anyone who does it.

Sure you do. You take the non-hammie approach, the non-elmer
approach,,the anti-amateur creed approach.

>And I suppose the "elmer", "ham" approach is

>to openly condone illegal

>activity?

I have always maintained an incredible irony is a small percentage of
those licensed in communications know the least about it. You epitomize
the example. One certainly can not practice the hammie creed when one is
unable to define it.

_
You ignorantly and
erroneously call people criminals for dxing merely beacuse you are
unable to distinguish between a civil and criminal nature.

>Call it "shock value".

If the shoe fits,,,,,I call it what it is,,,ignorance.

> Too many people break the law, and then

>trivialize it, in order to appease their

>conscience.

Then perhaps you should address the problem and not the symptom...you
know Dave, become proactive instead of reactive.

>You are a perfect example of this by

>relentlessly arguing the semantics of the

>situation, as if it really matters on the grand

>scale who you have to pay the fine to.

Now that's priceless. You can get not one person in this group to agree with you that it is who brings up the law in regards to cb radio. You are really making some incredibly stupid claims, even by your normal lying standards which for the most part, we have accepted of you, Dave. But hell, now you really are desperate. What the hell happened up there? Philthy loss to much for you to handle?

>The point is that you are breaking federal law.

If that's your point, accusing me of bringin it up really has you confounded. But let's try and keep you focused for a second,,,,,speeding past 70 MPH is also "breaking federal law",,an analogy that has you writhing about.

>You live in denial.

Firstly, the only criteria you cited as your reason for calling one a "federal criminal", was "it was a rule enacted by the feds, so breaking it is a federal crime and makes one a federal criminal".

>The FCC rules clearly provide both civil and

>criminal penalties for rule violations depending
>on the circumstances involved.

Same for speeding.

>The potential

>is there for criminal penalties.

Same for speeding,

>I never said that in 100% of the case, this will

>happen and, in fact, I acknowledged that you

>stand a good chance of never being caught.

Same can be said with the comparison to speeding,

>But despite the fact that you have never been

>caught does not negate the truth of your

>commission of illegal actions in violation of

>federal law.

No one ever argued with you that such acts were illegal. You are roaming. Try and remain in topic.
  The manner in which you arrived at this
decision, was referred by yourself as
"progressive logic". This too, was decimated as you were reminded that

the 70 mph speed limit also met your criteria. Changing your values in mid-argument, you now invoke that the penalty one receives is now your new criteria.

>Once again, the 70 MPH speed limit thing was
>not mine.

>I never brought that up. In fact I was unaware

>that there was a federally mandated 70 MPH

>speed limit.

See what you can learn when forced..

> The last I heard the 55 MPH limit was

>dropped and a few states (Montana I believe

>was one) actually had no speed limit on some

>highways. The increase in accident rate then

>forced them to impose a state mandated limit.

What the hell does Montana have to do with the federal 70 MPH law?
LMAO,,,,damn Dave,,,you're a barrel of fun today.

>But assuming you are correct

Too lazy to ascertain it for yourself in addition to not even being aware of it,,,,well,,,,off you go, now.

>and that there is

>a federally mandated 70 MPH speed limit, it's

>not the same thing as the FCC rules. For one

>thing there are no "federal speed police" who

>will cite you for a violation of a federal law.

Oh, I see. Let me get this right,,first you invoked, as your criteria for calling one who dxes ,a "federal criminal"....."It was a rule enacted by the feds, so it is federal law , and breaking it makes one a federal criminal"
That was debunked with the speeding analogy.
You then invoked, only upon defeat, the penalties one may receive, as your second and newly found criteria for holding one a "federal criminal" for dxing. Now, having been educated that criminal penalties can apply to speeding, you are invoking yet a third set of criterias for your position, claiming the ENFORCERS are the actual litmus test for which you maintain dxers are "federal criminals".
Amazing.
Absolutley astounding.

>Speeding laws are enforced on a state or local
>level, and are treated as summary offenses.

More educatiion and to borrow one of your invocations........."i didn't say in 100% of all cases"....point being,,,,speeding cases can, and do, carry criminal penalties, dependent, as you say, on the circumstances.

>Radio law (The communications act of 1934 et
>al.) grants the FCC the power to enforce these
>laws on a federal level,

And the states can also enforce these laws.

> and can certainly impose criminal fines and

>jail time for the most flagrant rule violators.

More for your gray matter: Federal LEOS also may enforce ANY violation of domestic US law, including speeding, if they so chose.

>People who have refused to allow FCC agents
>to inspect their stations, those who have

>refused to respond to NAL's, those who

>continued to operate illegally after being

>warned, know all too well what those stiff

>penalties incur.

Heck, even when you were educated by other hammies on here regarding your ignorance claiming "roger beeps are illegal" you chose not to learn.

>I am putting this issue to rest one can for all. I

>have written to the FCC for a definitive ruling

>on this subject. If they tell me it's ok, I'll eat my
>crow and tip my hat in your direction and it'll

>be the last time I'll bring up that subject.

I would advise that, as opposed to you embrassing yourself in front of the hammie community and claiming they are illegal because you are unable to find a rule by the FCC permitting them, as you have maintained for your illogical reasoning.

>That's the really funny part about this whole

>thing. Twist will sit here and spin and

>obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to

>deny that what he (and you I guess) does is

>illegal.

>But the law is clear on this.

Everyone is clear on the law but you.

>Yes, you clearly disregard it.

You have no clue about the law,
Dave. You illustrate it with your position on roger beeps and you
solidified it when you claimed you used forensics in your argument.

>I never claimed that I used "forensics" in my

>argument. I stated once that my process was

>similar to the process used by forensic

>criminalists when they do their job. That's a

>whole different context.

And had no relation to what you were trying to say, yet you erred and
claimed it did. Tell us, Dave, how is your "process" legally related?

_
You
were to look up the term and learn its definition prior to using it in
the futire so as not to embarrass yourself again.

>There is nothing wrong with the context in

>which I used the term.

See above.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2357. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-08 23:30:03 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <%XbOd.29834$uL5.6800@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 195997

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95F7755A223491234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
> news:i4lh01pamau2mo0irp0fd58hvf2jmhvro0@4ax.com:
>
>> On 07 Feb 2005 12:52:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>> On 04 Feb 2005 19:26:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>> >> On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>> >> wrote:
>>>> >>
>>>> >> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>> >> >> Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against
>>>> >> >> federal law. That is a fact.
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me,
>>>> >> >Dave.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> I have no problem with anyone who does it. That's the really
>>>> >> funny part about this whole thing. Twist will sit here and spin
>>>> >> and obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to deny that what he
>>>> >> (and you I guess) does is illegal. But the law is clear on this.
>>>> >>
>>>> >> If you want to take you chances, hey, go for it! Just don't
>>>> >> pretend what you do is somehow "permitted".
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Dave
>>>> >> "Sandbagger"
>>>> >>
>>>> >It's been permitted since the 70's, when I got into it.
>>>>
>>>> Are you claiming that operating on unauthorized channels and/or
>>>> talking in excess of 150 mile has been "permitted"? Wow, that's news
>>>> to me.....
>>>>
>>>No, I'm claiming blow-hards like you and doug have been flapping your
>>>pie holes about it for years, using it for an excuse to post some of
>>>the most vile crap rec.radio.cb has ever seen.
>
> Steveo you must also admit yourself, raNDY, LANDFAG, TIPSY,
> BRAINLESSBLUSTER ETC HAVE ALL CONTRIBUTED TO THE VILE STUFF THAT IS

> POSTEDDONT ACT LIKE A SAINT ALL OF A SUDDEN.

Contributed? Nope. Not me.

2358. Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 00:06:01 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107907561.317424.231690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie cocksuckers try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap I'm going to slap their queer, gay asses back to their local repeater!

I may even drag a couple of hammie's into my booth and shove my massive man stick up their man-puss! Its going to be a hoot!

2359. Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 00:06:03 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107907563.453530.151590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 195999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie cocksuckers try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap I'm going to slap their queer, gay asses back to their local repeater!

I may even drag a couple of hammie's into my booth and shove my massive man stick up their man-puss! Its going to be a hoot!

2360. Re: ping: FRANK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 00:07:13 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107907633.213036.35430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196000
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews,alt.animals.dog

STEVEO wrote:
> thanks for the wonderful head job, sweetie.
>
> your kisses R the best. when you slid inside me you made me feel all
> filled up.
>
> Love,
> Steveo

I have to agree.....Frank's mouth is like velvet!

2361. Re: FA: $9.99 ANTENNA SPECIALIST MS264 CB/AM-FM DISGIUSE ANTENNA>NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 00:11:27 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107907887.055054.50950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.immigration,md.politics,alt.politics.usa,misc.survivalism

RICH-WA2RQY wrote:
> All items are real inexpensive.
> Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something
you
> can use:-)
> This is my entire list on Ebay.
> http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
> GL..
> Rich WA2RQY

Hey Rich,

Thank you for posting more nice things. You bring a lot to this group
and you're one of our best posters! KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK!!

2362. Re: mopeydopey's cravings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 00:14:23 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1107908063.735174.61660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics.immigration,md.politics,alt.politics.usa,misc.survivalism

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > now answer the questions.....how would you like my man flute
probing
> > your fuck tunnel.
>
> Wasn't me in the first place, little oral boy. However, I did laugh
> like hell when I saw the post. Sounds like somebody has you down pat.
>
> Say, when ya coming over to take some more pictures? I wanna hang out
> the "mopeydopey sucks poodle wong" sign just for you.

THAT REMINDS ME OF THE TIME STEVEO GOT HIS MAN-PUSS ALL WET FOR ME BY STRAINING TO SHIT. I PACKED HIS LOVE HOLE BUT MY COCK WAS COVERED WITH HIS SHITTY LOVE JUICE. STEVEO LICKED MY COCK CLEAN THEN WE FRENCH KISSED!!

2363. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 00:40:56 UTC
From: Good_News_12002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107909656.627165.38860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196003

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

2364. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 02:01:20 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <Q9eOd.333637$6l.134409@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 196004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.gayteen

did not know u were racist supremists
stay off alt gay personals
or i will come vist your NAZI site

dug88

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1106958634.891675.124520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> <BITCH SNIP YOU SLIMMY FAGGOT>
>
> Good, how 'bout making it a nice long leave. Frank you and Steveo and
> Twistie do your level best to destroy Dave. Dave is not posting/forging
> anything.It's all you and Twistie playing with your good butty Steveo.
>
> You know dam well you and twistie started all of the faggot
> shit.......big surprise considering all you guys are founding faggots
> of the medina gay cb club.
>
> I guess Steveo promised to suck-off some of your followers and thats
> why you and your blow-butties all snap at Dave. Dave is the one guy
> here thats NOT doing all sorts of gay bullshit.
>
> It's you Frank. You fancy yourself as some sort of "usenet intellect".
> But you're nothing more than a sick, gay, little man who's life
> revolves your computer boards and chat rooms. Fuck you and your family
> you no good son of a bitch!
>
> And Steveo....... FUCK YOU AND YOUR HOMO LOVER TWISTIE.......... You
> filthy asshole!
>

2365. Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 02:16:30 UTC
From: trout <trout@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qfsi01tm3q8visf7tap0s3fa341nnm7iou@4ax.com>
Source record: 196005

I'm looking to buy a linear for 10 / 11 meters that will
be both new and run without stress at 80 watts - long term.

I am interested in advice and also, any parts that should
be replaced (diodes, resistors, capcitors, etc) to make
it a better performer and clear (reduced splatter).

Anyone ?

2366. Re: America's public image-sux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 02:19:43 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <3reOd.153285$K7.86065@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 196006

Wrong. I hate our Prime Minister, the garden gnome Johhny Howard and his Liberal Party mates, that doesn't mean I hate Australians, my fellow countrymen. My Dad knows I hate Johnny Howard and he hates the Labor politicians. Do I hate my Dad? No, we are good mates.

Likewise, the US government sucks, not the ordinary citizens of the US. The US Government is not the ordinary people as you think, they are bloody politicans for christs sake.

See, we don't worship our politicans like you lot in the US. Watching the recent US elections and ours at the same time, yours was a like a rock concert tour across the country, our's saw the PM travel the country, get egged, spat on, punched and was followed everywhere by a guy in a chicken suit giving him crap, the National News played this daily at 6pm-what a laugh . Only the guy that punched the PM was fined $500. (I bet if you did that to Bush the SS would kill you!)

You Yanks have so much respect for the President that you follow him like a herd of sheep. If our PM put on a show like you guys have during your elections no-one would turn up and we would in fact be very pissed off at the money being wasted for a stupid show. Next Australian election I suggest you tune in and see how we treat politicians and the PM.

You lot go out and 'campaign' for your preffered party, we just turn up and vote, sometimes.

Here's my example: Richie Cunningham (Ron Howard) went campaigning in "Happy Days" for I forget which party, but the one his Dad didn't like. He was a school kid campaigning for a political party and had all these people helping him.

If I did what Richie did (and what many of you seem to do) my peers would steal all the flyers, give me a heap of crap and ask me why I am helping a politician and wasting my time.

We don't have rock concerts and all this other b/s for politicians. Problem is you guys worship bloody politicians as if they were god.

After all, it's the government, not really the people, who make these dumb arse decisions.

Sooner you realise that bloody politicians are the scum of the earth (much worse than anyone on the www) the better.

Visit Down Under and try and tell an Aussie that politicians and the people of the country are the same.
"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message

news:zv3Od.3701$Na4.1272@fe11.lga...
> <snip the bollox>
>
> >
> > And that's why so many people hate Yanks (govt) with a passion. I don't
hate
> > Yanks, but I hate your government and the way the US military operates,
it's
> > caused more allied deaths than anything else, terrosits included, since
> > 1901.
>
>
> the american gov is the people. you hate the gov you therefore hate the
people. doesn't work both ways (only if your a yank who thinks the President
deserves respect!)

That's like saying I hate our troops for being Iraq. They didn't send themselves there, our PM sent them. Hence the reason, when we agreed to go to Iraq, the PM addressed the nation with "I am sending troops to Iraq to support the US. If you have a problem with this, do not take it up with the troops, come to my residence and take it up with me" (BTW several people did turn up at his front door and protest, they weren't arrested and allowed to stay)

(No wonder Iraq is a disaster, you hate Saddam, which means by your logic you hate Iraqi's too, so why are you there liberating people you hate? No wonder you keep killing innocent civillians, they're Iraqi's, so because you hated Saddam, you must hate Iraqi's too!)

2367. Re: Steveo GIVE UP, GIVE UP, GIVE UP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 03:12:22 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
Message-ID: <qcfOd.153329$K7.52569@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 196007
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.cb

Only in the freakin US of A is Ashley a girl's name.

In proper English
Ashley (UK, NZ, Aus,) is a boy's name
Ashleigh (UK, NZ, Aus) is a girl's name.

Kiss your Mum goodnight, switch to the metric system, spell words like metre, centre in proper Oxford Dictionary English.

And as for Down Under being the US's lap dog let's see into the future.

During the Cold War it was Russia (thank Christ) who kept the US in check and 'balanced the power' (Ie if it was still the Cold War and Russia said to the 'US' don't go to Iraq they wouldn't have!, nor would they be holding illegally innocent people in Cuba)
China is growing faster than any country ever has before and at a phenomal rate. It is supplying books, pcs for every child, building faster than any of us ever have. (It is also the only country where Amateur Radio is growing, fast)
The European Union is growing too, though not as quick though.

In a few years, you'll have China, Japan and all of Asia in the Asean Trading Network, which Australia will be a part of.
You'll have the EU as the other super-power, with Russia joining either the EU or ASEAN groups, the US will be all alone, hung out to dry.

America will NOT BE WELCOME by these groups and will be left out on it's own. Most Australians recognize our future lies in Asia (esp SE Asia) and not in the US. Most of us are sick to death of being Americanised, and most things do, over time, turn 'full circle'

From the Roman Empire to the British Empire, to the Third Reiech, hasn't history showed you that super powers always collapse in to a heap and are left out all alone to rebuild. (Ooops, I forgot that Yanks only study history of thier own country and wouldn't know much about the rest of the world if it fell on thier heads)

And yes, I know our history, your history and some world history. I know whom Lincoln, Washington and other presidents where. I know the date of President's Day .How many Australian PM's can you name? When is Australia Day?

Realise Yanks, before it's too late that China will become (it almost has already) the most powerful nation on earth. (Not bad for "Communists" hey!)

May I suggest instead of watching TV and domestic radio you switch on a SW receiver and listen to China Radio International & Voice of Moscow. Then you'll see how stuffed the US in the future.

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:_64Od.2227$lz5.2023@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>
> "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote in message
> news:UzZNd.152213$K7.149374@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
>
> Very Good Ashley, you are one heck of a fisherwoman.....
> Bit on N8WWM forge troll of Moparholic, Hook, Line
> & Sinker.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> Most true happiness comes
> from one's inner life, from the
> disposition of the mind and soul.
> Admittedly, a good inner life is
> hard to achieve, especially in
> these trying times. It takes
> reflection and contemplation
> and self-discipline.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2368. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 03:22:27 UTC
From: Good_News_12002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107919347.513373.180080@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196008

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

2369. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:03:00 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <TXfOd.54504$mt.19677@fed1read03>
Source record: 196009

trout wrote:

>
> I'm looking to buy a linear for 10 / 11 meters that will
> be both new and run without stress at 80 watts - long term.
>
> I am interested in advice and also, any parts that should
> be replaced (diodes, resistors, capcitors, etc) to make
> it a better performer and clear (reduced splatter).
>
> Anyone ?
better just to get a radio designed for 10 meters that can do 100 watts.
those amps tend to be very unclean (spectrum splatter machines). as for 11
meters, 4 watts RMS/12 watts PEP is the rule.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2370. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:03:17 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <9YfOd.29869$uL5.6407@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196010

"trout" <trout@nycap.rr.com> wrote in message
news:qfsi01tm3q8visf7tap0s3fa341nnm7iou@4ax.com...
>
> I'm looking to buy a linear for 10 / 11 meters that will
> be both new and run without stress at 80 watts - long term.
>
> I am interested in advice and also, any parts that should
> be replaced (diodes, resistors, capcitors, etc) to make
> it a better performer and clear (reduced splatter).
>
> Anyone ?
>
>
>
>

Buy one rated at 600 watts and drive it low.

2371. FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX, ends Wed around 4:00 EST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:13:34 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <z7gOd.1094$xw5.969@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196011

If interested feel free to take a look

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5748397325

73

2372. FA: LAFAYETTE DYNA-COM 23, ***NEW*** SEALED IN FACTORY BOX, ends Wed around 4:00 EST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:18:26 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <8cgOd.1103$xw5.387@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196012

If interested feel free to take a look

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5748397325

THANKS to all who looked...

73

2373. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:24:50 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <mggOd.5555$oO.449@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Landshark wrote:
> I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie
> try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap

What, we should without question buy overpriced product?

2374. Re: Steveo GIVE UP, GIVE UP, GIVE UP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:58:47 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bMgOd.3362$ZZ.982@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 196014
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.immigration,rec.radio.cb

"Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote in message
news:qcfOd.153329$K7.52569@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Only in the freakin US of A is Ashley a girl's name.
>
> In proper English
> Ashley (UK, NZ, Aus,) is a boy's name
> Ashleigh (UK, NZ, Aus) is a girl's name.

Very good, you didn't have a gender tag on the end of your
posts, so one would assume that you were a woman by the
way you were whining so much.

http://www.babynamenetwork.com/detail.cfm?name=Ashley&gender=female

http://baby-names.adoption.com/search/Ashley.html

Oh, you might want to check these websites before
you start your pompous ramblings.

>
> Kiss your Mum goodnight, switch to the metric system, spell words like
> metre, centre in proper Oxford Dictionary English.

In Australia maybe, but this is the internet, as such there
are many different people, from different countries, don't
be so narrow minded.

>
> And as for Down Under being the US's lap dog let's see into the future.
>

Cool! You're clairvoyant, I should have known.

> During the Cold War it was Russia (thank Christ) who kept the US in check
> and 'balanced the power' (Ie if it was still the Cold War and Russia said
> to
> the 'US' don't go to Iraq they wouldn't have!, nor would they be holding
> illegally innocent people in Cuba)

OK, you're a diplomat too. You are also part of your own
foreign ministry, so that's how you know so much about
how USSR and United States ran their respected governments.
It must be that clairvoyant abilities again.

> China is growing faster than any country ever has before and at a phenomal
> rate.

So is India, and the rest of South Asia.

> It is supplying books, pcs for every child, building faster than any
> of us ever have. (It is also the only country where Amateur Radio is
> growing, fast)

All with a very strict political and repressive doctrine, but of
course you knew that being in the foreign ministry.

> The European Union is growing too, though not as quick though.
>
> In a few years, you'll have China, Japan and all of Asia in the Asean
> Trading Network, which Australia will be a part of.
> You'll have the EU as the other super-power, with Russia joining either
> the
> EU or ASEAN groups, the US will be all alone, hung out to dry.
>

Well I can't read tea leaves as good as you, but since you
have the insight on most every country in the world, you
must be right (LOL!!!)

> America will NOT BE WELCOME by these groups and will be left out on it's
> own. Most Australians recognize our future lies in Asia (esp SE Asia) and
> not in the US. Most of us are sick to death of being Americanised, and
> most
> things do, over time, turn 'full circle'

I didn't know we were trying to colonize Australia? I'll
have to right my congressman and inquire about it.

>
> From the Roman Empire to the British Empire, to the Third Reiech, hasn't
> history showed you that super powers always collapse in to a heap and are
> left out all alone to rebuild. (Ooops, I forgot that Yanks only study
> history of thier own country and wouldn't know much about the rest of the
> world if it fell on thier heads)

Darn, I guess you're still a little bitter about starting out
as a penal colony? Sorry that you carry that chip on your shoulder.

>
> And yes, I know our history, your history and some world history.

From the way you talk, I figured you knew about ALL the
world history, my bad.

> I know
> whom Lincoln, Washington and other presidents where. I know the date of
> President's Day .How many Australian PM's can you name? When is Australia
> Day?
>

Don't know, got me there. How many Canadian PM's can
you name? Who was British PM when WWII broke out?

Who was the first man to step on the moon?, What
were the names of the fellows who made the first powered
airplane flight? Who was the first person to fly from
New York to Paris non-stop? What were the names of
the two Pilots who flew around the world non-stop?
Who coined the phrase E= MC2?

> Realise Yanks, before it's too late that China will become (it almost has
> already) the most powerful nation on earth. (Not bad for "Communists"
> hey!)
>
> May I suggest instead of watching TV and domestic radio you switch on a SW
> receiver and listen to China Radio International & Voice of Moscow. Then
> you'll see how stuffed the US in the future.

I see you have, pity. I don't watch to much TV, usually listen
to NPR and love to listen to the BBC.

The best part about most people in the world, no matter what country they
are from, what their political affiliations are, they are not as stuffy or
ignorant as you.

Now go ahead and flame away..... Plonk!

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

2375. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:58:47 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <bMgOd.3361$ZZ.90@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 196017

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Ps9Od.3715$CG7.1165@fe11.lga...
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>> Chukkeeee....didn't your lawyer advise you to get off of rrcb?
>>
>> me wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 16:26:58 GMT, Ray Norshine
>>><raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>me <me@me.com> wrote in
>>
>> news:muoh011d6fv7qa5oa5jkd5qucvkhuetarv@4ax.com:
>>
>>>>>On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 10:01:49 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
>>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Good News!
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
>>>>>>>life has ended?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I have one simple question.
>>>>>>>Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>Simple. Before the time of Christ the Jews used burnt offerings
>>
>> for
>>
>>>>>>atonement for sins. it's all covered in the books of Genesis,
>>
>> Exodus
>>
>>>>>>and Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. After a while though, the
>>>>>>whole thing became routine and a tradition and more of a ritual,

>>
>> and
>>
>>>>>meant nothing to them...(The Children of Isreal) and this is why
>>>>>Christ was sent, to be become the perfect atonement for our sins
>>
>> and
>>
>>>>>give us access to the Father.
>>>>>
>>>>>and No, I am not the one posting the stuff, but I thought I'd
>>
>> answer.
>>
>>>>>See Romans 3:10, 3:23, 10:9-10 and 10:13
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Was jesus a cb'er? <smirk>
>>>>
>>>>..and would he condone your off-topic spamming?
>>>
>>>No. Jesus wasn't a CB'er. and it wasn't MY off-topic spam. I just
>>>answered the man's question. period. end of story. (Grin)
>>>
>>>God Bless,
>>>
>>>-Me
>>
>>
> ya mean his lawyer who was a mayor and then he wasn't? lol...

Wasn't he part of the Iron Coffins too :)

Landshark

--


2376. Re: America's public image-sux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:58:48 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <cMgOd.3364$ZZ.2260@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 196015

"Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au> wrote in message
news:3reOd.153285$K7.86065@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> That's like saying I hate our troops for being Iraq. They didn't send
> themselves there, our PM sent them. Hence the reason, when we agreed to go
> to Iraq, the PM addressed the nation with "I am sending troops to Iraq to
> support the US. If you have a problem with this, do not take it up with
> the
> troops, come to my residence and take it up with me" (BTW several people
> did
> turn up at his front door and protest, they weren't arrested and allowed
> to
> stay)
>
> (No wonder Iraq is a disaster, you hate Saddam, which means by your logic
> you hate Iraqi's too, so why are you there liberating people you hate? No
> wonder you keep killing innocent civillians, they're Iraqi's, so because
> you
> hated Saddam, you must hate Iraqi's too!)

She's right, we must have hated the French when we liberated them
from the Germans. We must have hated the
Belgians when we liberated them from the Germans. We must have hated the
Germans when we liberated them from Adolph
Hitler. We must have hated the Chinese when we help liberate
them from the Japanese. We must have hated the Burmese when
we help liberate them from the Japanese. We must have hated
the Japanese when we liberated them from General Tojo and
the other war minister that help start WWII.
We must have hated the South Koreans when we helped
liberate their half of the country from the Chinese, Russians
& North Koreans. How about the Granada? Panama?
Afghanistan? We must have hated those people too.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

2377. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:58:48 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <cMgOd.3363$ZZ.222@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 196016

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:%XbOd.29834$uL5.6800@fe2.texas.rr.com...
>
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95F7755A223491234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>> news:i4lh01pamau2mo0irp0fd58hvf2jmhvro0@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On 07 Feb 2005 12:52:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>> On 04 Feb 2005 19:26:21 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>> >> On 04 Feb 2005 13:03:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
>>>>> >> wrote:
>>>>> >>
>>>>> >> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>> >> >> Talking DX on the CB and on illegal channels is against
>>>>> >> >> federal law. That is a fact.
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >>And yet it's so easy and fun. I do it all the time..bust me,
>>>>> >> >>Dave.
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >> I have no problem with anyone who does it. That's the really
>>>>> >> >> funny part about this whole thing. Twist will sit here and spin
>>>>> >> >> and obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to deny that what he
>>>>> >> >> (and you I guess) does is illegal. But the law is clear on this.
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >> If you want to take you chances, hey, go for it! Just don't
>>>>> >> >> pretend what you do is somehow "permitted".
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >> Dave
>>>>> >> >> "Sandbagger"
>>>>> >> >>
>>>>> >> >>It's been permitted since the 70's, when I got into it.
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> > Are you claiming that operating on unauthorized channels and/or
>>>>> >> > talking in excess of 150 mile has been "permitted"? Wow, that's news
>>>>> >> > to me.....
>>>>> >> >
>>>>> >> >>No, I'm claiming blow-hards like you and doug have been flapping your
>>>>> >> >>pie holes about it for years, using it for an excuse to post some of
>>>>> >> >>the most vile crap rec.radio.cb has ever seen.

>>
>> Steveo you must also admit yourself, raNDY, LANDFAG, TIPSY,
>> BRAINLESSBLUSTER ETC HAVE ALL CONTRIBUTED TO THE VILE STUFF THAT IS
>> POSTEDDONT ACT LIKE A SAINT ALL OF A SUDDEN.
>
> Contributed? Nope. Not me.

LMAO!!! Yes he forgot his own name George Busch WA3MOJ. Watch how
he'll go on tirade now.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

2378. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 04:59:15 UTC
From: Elmer Thud <wabbit@wabbit.net>
Message-ID: <DMgOd.37238$uA.4580@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

And here I thought you were gonna name a cheap source of pork...

2379. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 05:29:53 UTC
From: Good_News_12002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107926993.000979.27240@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196019

scroll to the top of the page ^

2380. Newsletter Coverage!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 05:41:23 UTC
From: pbaker <pbaker1001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1107927683.476986.209350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196020

Check out this great new FREE newsletter site!

http://www.sleeper-stocks.com

It provides information on exciting sleeper stock opportunities that
offer the potential to EXPLODE in value!

2381. FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 06:00:55 UTC
From: landshark@iname.com
Message-ID: <1107928855.145909.283630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196021
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Hey dug88....fuck you and your whore mother! Stay the fuckout of
REC.RADIO.CB .... you're pissed 'cause you wanted to fuck steveo
and he only lets me fuck him. Go fuck a filthy nigger you stinking
fuc-wit canadian.

2382. Re: oT:FOOTBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 06:31:28 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <47iOd.334928$8l.296432@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

what?
i don't stick a football in a guys ass.
got something more pleasnat for him

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:mr3Kd.186219$Xk.111483@pd7tw3no...
> hi
> you called
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050124093752.977$Nm@newsreader.com...
>> N8WWM took his fist out of KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's ass long enough
>> to type:
>>> Steveo wrote:
>>> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> > > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>> > > wrote:
>>> > > > E-A-G-L-E-S Eagles
>>> > > >
>>> > > > Coming to Florida twisty
>>> > > >
>>> > > The Pats will destroy them. Wager?
>>> > >
>>> > I wuz thinking along the lines of the loser has to post with a select
>>> > sig file for one month.
>>>
>>> I stuck a football in steveo's ass. he said that his ass is so loose
>>> that a football was the only way to feel the walls of his ass!!
>>>
>> Go slather KC8QJP Crisco Kathy Lee's ass with Lard, Dogie.
>
>

2383. Re: Questions for N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 06:42:21 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hhiOd.336884$Xk.54637@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hey steve
goes okay
I guess you do not wanna speak to me anymore.
is okay.
i don't kick ass.
my early years, 4 and 5, i hid inside of cupboards not to be beaten, by my
dad.
as far as powerless goes. uniquie is ok.

kiss ass
well kissed every one of daddies bar buddies cocks.
did not know why
BUT i learned to know that zeros were nothing to do witrh my life.
.
unfortunately my brother commited suicide
NEVER ASK A QUESTION,
YOU CANNOT STAND THE ANSWER

long life to you
away from me
dug88

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050201165647.102$wU_-_@newsreader.com...
> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>
>
> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>
>
> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>
>
> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?
>
>
> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to hav e them show
> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward yo u are,
> maggot?
>
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>
>

> Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.

2384. Re: this sums up my life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 06:58:56 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <QwiOd.335549$8l.108549@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41f8a506@news.usenetzone.com...
> - i tried to consume just like a super faggot
> i got some dude

virgin virgin virgin

2385. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 06:59:25 UTC
From: JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net>
Message-ID: <BE2F1EFC.55B5%jazzkind@fast.net>
Source record: 196025
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.non.sequitur

in article Xns95F8AE7F383FFSpamthis@210.8.230.25, C, The Friendly Meow at ctfm@yourisp.not wrote on 2/9/05 1:09 AM:

> What the hell? This is *WAY* off topic for alt.aol-sucks...seriously.

I suggest a root canal.

--
JA15x6,2

2386. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 06:59:47 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <DxiOd.337274$Xk.92763@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196026
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

thanx guys
actually embarasses me

2387. Re: Where's tough Guy? no idea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 07:50:44 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ohjOd.336687$8l.176334@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

either messages are gone or i was really out of line
no buddy every asks me that
ever asked

8 inches darling
9 inches if you really turn me on baby
i PROMISE i will make sure u get oxygen
or jus bend you over and bite your neck if you beg for it.

gawd help you, you think i am common

so you already know, you will do anything
now stop

what i gotta think of
do i really gotta know you til you die?
what's the big thing about being BRUTAL
tatoo, brand, yeah well i have an acetelene torch. AS a tech i can even work
the metal brand. As a stunned unit, what do u want.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:41fa0796$1@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:R31Kd.184903$8l.149639@pd7tw1no...
>> really boring around here
>>
>> log on your area code or city and say a bit about you.
>> this is what personals are ALL about.
>>
>> go nutz and have fun
>> this is your spot
>>
>> after leaving here 5 years ago, this place is like a vast waste land.
>> wanna boyfriend
>> then find one here
>>
>> make it happen
>> okay maybe he might be a creep
>> but maybe he isn't
>> maybe you are not a creep
>>
>> I hope you get your brains fucked out so bad, and have to laugh for
>> entire rest of your life.
>> remember before you get married in canada, you need to set up your

>> palimony situation
>> AND SUCH
>>
>> men suck, but i like it
>
> dug88,
> you've never told me about your cock! are you cut or uncut? are you a top
> or bottom!
>
> uncle steveo wants to know!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> '
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
> '
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2388. never answer GAWD DAWG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 08:47:02 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <a6kOd.337383$8l.65014@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196028

I KNOW U SHOULD NOT

2389. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 08:51:23 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <fakOd.338513$6l.2623@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 196029
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.non.sequitur,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk,alt.guitar.rickenbacker,aus.hi-fi

THEN WHY U NOT TURN OFF THE CROSS ETWORKS
DO U HAVE A BRAIN STEM
AS twit ya do it
why do YOU WANT ALL THESE NEWS GROUPS
ON THIS SITE
JUST TO PROVE YOU ARE VIRGINS

OR STOOPIDO
"C, The Friendly Meow" <ctfm@yourisp.not> wrote in message
news:Xns95F8AE7F383FFSpamthis@210.8.230.25...
> landshark@iname.com wrote in news:1107928855.145909.283630
> @g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
>> Hey dug88....fuck you and your whore mother! Stay the fuckout of
>> REC.RADIO.CB .... you're pissed 'cause you wanted to fuck steveo
>> and he only lets me fuck him. Go fuck a filthy nigger you stinking
>> fuc-wit canadian.
>
> What the hell? This is *WAY* off topic for alt.aol-sucks...seriously.
> C

2390. WHY THESE SITES?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 08:57:16 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <MfkOd.338995$Xk.264416@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

WHAT?
HEEY NAZI SHOULD I GO TO YUR BEDROOM SE E U JEWISH

I TALK A GUY
I TALK HIM ANYW3HERE
.
YOU THINK NAKED
i can strip the soul
okay lets play

your. turn
knight to queens bishop three

2391. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 12:06:51 UTC
From: trout <trout@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <55vj011u1127b3i9icspo6j809jdqh4qb4@4ax.com>
Source record: 196031

>> I'm looking to buy a linear for 10 / 11 meters that will
>> be both new and run without stress at 80 watts - long term.

>Buy one rated at 600 watts and drive it low.

Will do. I'll ask the locals once I buy as to the
internals that should be replaced for better performance.
Thanks

- trout

2392. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 12:09:10 UTC
From: trout <trout@nycap.rr.com>
Message-ID: <37vj01t9esjitgqjc76k7kpcii130436nd@4ax.com>
Source record: 196032

>> I'm looking to buy a linear for 10 / 11 meters that will
>> be both new and run without stress at 80 watts - long term.

>as for 11 meters, 4 watts RMS/12 watts PEP is the rule.

Yep, but I need a little extra when camping in the
Adirondack Mountains. Cell phones are useless and
I can't hit any 2M repeaters.

2393. would you like to participate in research concerning aggression on the internet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 12:39:23 UTC
From: "psy.agg" <researcher.aggression@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1107952763.352252.18140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196033

I would appreciate it very much if you can take the time to complete
my questionnaire.

This questionnaire is seeking to establish aggressiveness levels in
individuals on the internet. The study is being carried out as part
of an undergraduate research project, and will be published in a
dissertation.

The information you give in this questionnaire will not be given to
any other individuals or organisations. The answers you give will be
kept strictly confidential and your identity will be kept anonymous.
None of the information you give will be identifiable in the final
report. If you decide at any point you do not wish to continue as
part of this investigation you may withdraw and the information you
have given will be destroyed. You may leave any question(s) blank if
you so wish.
If you have any queries regarding this project, please do not hesitate
to contact me at researcher.aggression@gmail.com

Thank you for you help.
Click on this link to access the Questionnaire

http://www.surveymonkey.com/s.asp?u=98373848646

2394. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 15:40:50 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <7aqOd.29861$xt.7055@fed1read07>
Source record: 196034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

A friend of mine sells books at swap meets and conventions.
A bedraggled ham approached him and offered him $8 for a $25 book.
My friend replied "Which Chapter do you want ??"

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

2395. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 17:44:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050209124416.992$V9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196035

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Dave steveo is mad he had the over in the super bowl ...lol
>
Nope, if you recall I said under 48..lost on the points tho.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2396. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 17:47:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050209124750.776$Lc@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196036

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> But I do get annoyed when certain people portray the attitude that
> they're somehow "entitled" to break the law, as if it's some sort of
> badge of honor.
>
Are YOU breaking the law when you run YOUR amp on 11 meters Dave?

I get annoyed at hypocrites.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2397. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 17:52:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050209125215.076$Z7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196037

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo you must also admit yourself, raNDY, LANDFAG, TIPSY,
> BRAINLESSBLUSTER ETC HAVE ALL CONTRIBUTED TO THE VILE STUFF THAT IS
> POSTEDDONT ACT LIKE A SAINT ALL OF A SUDDEN.
>
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I live in Long Island and I am a nigger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Listen I dont speak on dougs behalf

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Your wife liked how i act, she said I am a bigger man than you, in more
> ways than one.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> where is your address assclown I have a plane ticket waiting for a
> destination.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Randy your not tookeen with the bitches didnt you just get dumped by
> your old lady? I heard it was for cheating on her, with a sheep.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That would be Steve parks wife she sucks a mean cock down in the medina
> school yards. .. 10-4

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Of course it is a forge these cbers are real moron's

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Steveo, why dont you reach down between your legs and see if you have
> any balls

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> come to your house unexpectedly and punk slap you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> correctional Facility i am locked up.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> beat it Nagger,beat it nagger your reaching again..
> Nagger you are a liar,
> they say i am george busch now what is it nagger boi,

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> he is a rolling rock drinker and Lancer is a cum slurper. Now watch

> him trip over himself repying to this message.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL ice cold Piece of shit Nagger you are too funny

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:>
>you fuckin queer asshole. You are a transexual lover

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> cocksucker your a fucking Nagger

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking assclown pussy by loser... There isnt a god damn thing yoiu
> can do about it except whimper and cry like the big faggot you are.. hey
> Steve Parks,,, go fuck yopurself you no nutsack loser.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats because mondays you are off sucking dick for a living.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I am not a rascist I am a skin head.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I banged his old lady and it smelled like the suez canal

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> besides I have a trojan on your computer and I know when you post,
> ever heard ofsub seven??

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Perhaps I have her pussy smells like a bad clam bake,
> face it you like men and everything about them.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah now suck my dick you assclown.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Now hurry run along and sent those complaints to comcast.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> after you tear it up for awhile, yes it smells like men's dick.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Insane in the membrane.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Well pussy boi Parks your wife seems to think I got
> something on you, get over it. she wants a man, not you.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> That is real funny you stupid piece of dog shit

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you limp wristed faggot. In an effort to suck dick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did you have semen in your eye Landfuck?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You queer assclown

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> do you enjoy sucking his dick that
> nuch, you cant get the taste out of your mouth?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucking clueless douchebag.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You suck and lancer swallows.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Fuck you you asshole I hope your christmas tree drys
> out and burns down your trailer.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Sorry asspunk, this doesnt get brought to a vote

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> exactly i dont you stupid fuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> sorry dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you sure do care you are menstrating over it.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I hope you overdose on New years Randy, on
> oxycotnin.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> yeah your wife keeps me busy too. she guzzles my nuts.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> guess again assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> And what I once said, "Randy you are an asshole"

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> LOL, you fucking sissy...

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i am not a sock puppet but you are

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> smooth cold nyc get off of my tip

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Hey Jim, Put your finger up your ass and spin around.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Geo, I can accept that. But, you are one as well.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> steveo taslks shit
> without ever backing it up oh yes

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> rec.radio.cb assholes are out in full force

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Negro please

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Why not show some real balls besides steves on your neck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I know you wont to pussy to do so.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Yeah you used to *beat* your meat

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> i didnt post to this threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> "WA3MOJ takes corncob up ass" i didnt post to this
> threads until now, assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you fucked up asshole. Blow me, again

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> iLL BET TOO MANY TO COUNT HE GETS INTOXICATED ON CUM.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> you jackoff steveo while staring AT PICS OF MEN.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> your a fucking jew aren't you?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> thats not george you clueless assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> You Gay fucking jerkoff you were with a Transexual this weekend.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> read what the fuck I tpye

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you fucking drunk you stupid assfuck

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> I said that from the fucking start you drunken asshole.

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> go sniff some more toilets seat your delerious

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> if you cant see this quit masterbATING YOUR
> EYESITE WILL COMEBACK

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> This is not grammar class you fucking dick with ears

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> did yur mother have anything that lived?

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank you really are suckinfg twistys dick hard these days

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> are you befriendung him for other
> reasons we dont know about yet, either way your make me sick

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> Frank Twistedhed Gilliand advocates law breaking when he wrote:
> "If you have a scanner, spend a few days listening in on some of your
> neighbors' telephone conversations. You might be suprised."

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2398. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 17:55:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050209125558.558$WV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196038

>N8WWM Doug Adair took his fist out of crisco kathy's ass long enough to
>type: <n8wwm@arrl.net>
> Chukkeeee....didn't your lawyer advise you to get off of rrcb?
>
Now answer the questions:

1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?

2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?

3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?

4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?

5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to have them show
up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward you are, maggot?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Go lay down by your bowl, Adair.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2399. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 18:11:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050209131146.637$CY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote:
> I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie
> cocksuckers try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap I'm going to slap
> their queer, gay asses back to their local repeater!
>
> I may even drag a couple of hammie's into my booth and shove my massive
> man stick up their man-puss! Its going to be a hoot!
>
I'm gonna bloody a certain repeater jammers face if I see him at Dayton.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2400. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 18:52:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18915-420A5BD7-13@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196040

From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZT - Eric Oyen)
trout wrote:
I'm looking to buy a linear for 10 / 11 meters that will be both new and
run without stress at 80 watts - long term.
I am interested in advice and also, any parts that should be replaced (
diodes, resistors, capcitors, etc) to make it a better performer and
clear (reduced splatter).
Anyone ?

>better just to get a radio designed for 10

>meters that can do 100 watts. those amps

>tend to be very unclean (spectrum splatter

>machines).

What amps would you be referring, Eric? I tend to believe there are
small amps on the market that are very clean.

> as for 11 meters, 4 watts RMS/12 watts PEP

>is the rule.

Very noble, yet, irrelevant.

>--

>DE N7ZZT

>Eric Oyen

>Phoenix, Arizona

>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

>xxxthe difference between intelligence and

>stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

Hey Eric, fire up your ears and give a listen. Air-a-zone-a is loud in
my receive for the past couple of days. Some of those guys get real edgy
when the sandpile stations key it up. Tell B-O-B I said hello. Bayou
Charlie is walking all all over him these days.

Welcome back my friends
to the show that never ends
we're so glad you could attend
come inside

come inside

2401. To Doug GAY and All the other LOSERS in here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 19:18:17 UTC
From: Green Day <dookie@turd.org>
Message-ID: <8eok015dkua2e80kdc7m7uvrk8ad5unpom@4ax.com>
Source record: 196041

http://amishrakefight.org/gfy/

http://amishrakefight.org/gfy/

http://amishrakefight.org/gfy/

http://amishrakefight.org/gfy/

http://amishrakefight.org/gfy/

http://amishrakefight.org/gfy/

http://amishrakefight.org/gfy/

2402. More for you losers....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 19:19:12 UTC
From: Green Day <dookie@turd.org>
Message-ID: <3hok01to3dj1gn8tpfo6n5cgsmb2gbm0tt@4ax.com>
Source record: 196042

http://amishrakefight.org/gfy/images/fword.mp3

2403. To Chukkkeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 21:35:14 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107984914.802411.175410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196043

Green Day? Why would you need weed? All the psychotropics you are prescibed oughta be enough.

By the way, had a good laff reading your bio again.

2404. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 22:04:49 UTC
From: Good_News_12004@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107986689.926668.285360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196044

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds).
He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a
virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life
for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless
blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for
our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later
as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that
Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept
Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him
in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness
and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is
to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you
confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe
in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if
you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following
prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a
sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I
believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the
penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead.
Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my
Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for
forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace.
Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get
another chance to be saved because none of us knows
exactly when we will die.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

2405. Quick and Easy Money

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 22:39:32 UTC
From: brovsky@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1107988772.074088.183810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196045

Try this out, it works!!!!
Turn $10 into $10,000 with PayPal..Easy & Quick
PLEASE TAKE A MOMENT TO READ THIS INFORMATION. THIS REALLY WORKS!!!
" I WAS SHOCKED WHEN I SAW HOW MUCH MONEY CAME FLOODING INTO MY PAYPAL ACCOUNT." -Mark 2004
Dear Friend,
This is a new program as you will see. It can be for the U.S. Canada, S.E Asia or the U.K. This is a fantastic opportunity, try it for yourself!! EVERYTHING DONE ONLINE! With just $10 or £5 and a Paypal PREMIER or BUSINESS account, you could make $$Thousands$$ in 2 SIMPLE STEPS!
GUARANTEED - you will have $10,000 in two weeks. Only $10, a Paypal PREMIER or BUSINESS account and 30 mins. are all that is needed to get this going. If you want to make a few thousand dollars real quick, then PLEASE take a moment to read and understand the MLM program I´m sharing with you.
NO IT´S NOT what you think. YOU DON´T have to send $10 to five or six people to buy a report, receipt or get on their mailing list. Nor will you need to invest more money later to get things going. THIS IS THE FASTEST, EASIEST PROGRAM EVER! You will be able to complete it in LESS THAN 30 MINUTES and you will NEVER
FORGET THE DAY YOU FIRST VIEWED THIS ONLINE.
If you´re already running a home business, you can easily do this one along with it. If not, this is the FASTEST and EASIEST way to earn serious money online that you´ve ever seen so far in the history of the Internet! This
program works no matter what country you are in, or what currency you use. It doesn´t matter how old or how young you are. And you certainly won´t need any special knowledge or talent. You won´t need to run a website, or make phone calls, or make photocopies, or send out letters through the mail, or pay for advertising, etc. The only things you will need are: * An email address
* A Premier or Business PayPal account with at least $10 deposited in it
* 30 minutes of your time
ONLY 2 SIMPLE AND EASY STEPS ! !
If you are doing other programs, by all means stay with them. The more the merrier, BUT PLEASE READ ON!! First of all, there are only TWO POSITIONS, not four, five or six like many other programs. This program is more
realistic and much, MUCH FASTER. Because it is so easy, the response rate for this program is VERY HIGH and VERY FAST, and you will receive your reward in FOURTEEN DAYS. That´s only TWO WEEKS! Not one or two months. Just in time for next month's BILLS.

TRUE STORY -- Grace Kelly tells how she ran this gifting summation four times last year. The first time, she received $6,000 in cash in two weeks and then $14,000 in cash the next three times.

THIS CAN AND WILL WORK FOR YOU! HERE ARE THE DETAILS:
Post this letter in 50 message boards, chat rooms, or newsgroup. You don´t need to post 200 like other programs or email 100 people just to get started. Even if you are already in a program like the send twenty dollars to six people. CONTINUE IT and stay with it, THOSE DO PAY OUT, but do yourself a favor, DO THIS ONE TODAY as well, RIGHT NOW!!! It is simple and takes a very small investment, only $10 and a Paypal PREMIER or BUSINESS account. IT WORKS AND IS DONE IN 2 SIMPLE AND EASY STEPS ! ! This program WILL PAY FASTER before the other programs you are working on even trickle in!! Follow the simple instructions and in two weeks, you should have $10,000 because most PEOPLE WILL RESPOND due to the LOW ONE TIME INVESTMENT, SPEED AND HIGH PROFIT POTENTIAL, PLUS, since its done all ONLINE, there is no time wasted mailing out letters and the such!!! We are not even figuring a 50% response! So let´s all keep it going and help each other in these tough times. We all owe money to bill collectors, and creditors. But, with this, if people take the time to help each other, the good fortune will follow. SO, Take the measly minutes this SIMPLE
and REWARDING program offers and give the gift that gives back TODAY!!!
HERE´S WHAT YOU NEED TO DO...
STEP 1 Ok, if you´re not already a PayPal user,
the very first thing you need to do is use the PayPal link below and SIGN UP. It takes just two minutes! Here´s the URL. Just click on the PayPal link below:
https://www.paypal.com/us/cgi-bin/webscr?cmd=_registration-run
Be sure to use this link so you can sign up for a free PREMIER or BUSINESS account. You´ll need to have a PREMIER or BUSINESS account (and not a PERSONAL account) otherwise you won´t be able to receive credit card payments from other people.
STEP 2
It is an undeniable law of the universe that we must first give in order to receive. So the first thing to do when you have your Premier/Business PayPal account is to IMMEDIATELY send a $10 payment from your PayPal account
to the person at POSITION #1, if... -- your
birthday is BETWEEN JAN 1 THRU JUNE 30, OR, if...
-- your birthday is BETWEEN JULY 1 THRU DEC 31, send the
gift to the person at POSITION # 2,
along with a note saying: "HERE IS A $10 GIFT FOR YOU." Be certain to add this note, as this is what KEEPS THIS PROGRAM LEGAL. Instructions on how to send a payment are under "SEND MONEY" at the Paypal Site.
It´s so Easy!!!
When you send your $10 payment to the first address in the list, do it with a great big smile on your face because "as you sow, so shall you reap!" When this program is continued, as it should be, EVERYONE PROFITS!!

DON´T BE AFRAID to send gifts to strangers. It will come back to you ten folds. A person can give you up to $10,000 per year and you don´t have to report it to the IRS!

Here are the current positions:
* POSITION#1 --- nxbrennan@hotmail.com
* POSITION#2 --- executioner_2k@yahoo.com

After you have transferred a $10 payment to the email address, something very eerie happens. It gives you an indescribable, overwhelming sense of certainty, belief and conviction in the system. You´ve just proved to yourself
that, because you have done it, there must be a great number of other people ready to do exactly the same. Thus you have now seen for yourself, first hand, that this business actually works!
REMOVE the email address you are
replacing (the one you sent $10 to), and REPLACE it with your own email address.
REMEMBER: Use the same EMAIL ADDRESS that is used with YOUR Paypal account !!!
Paste your newly typed email address over the old
one and then post to 50 Message Boards, Chat Rooms, or Newsgroups.
DON´T MULL OVER IT, JUST 30 MIN OF YOUR TIME, THAT´S IT THERE IS NO MORE TO DO!! ACT FAST AND GET THE GIFT. HONESTLY AND INTEGRITY MAKE THIS PLAN WORK!! DO TO OTHERS AS YOU WOULD HAVE THEM DO TO YOU. YOUR NAME COULD CYCLE FOR A LONG TIME ! ! THIS MAKES IT THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING.
This is excellent seed money to start or expand a business, buy your dream home, car, and pay off what ever bills you may have.
Good luck and God Bless. Play fairly, Good fortune will follow.
WHY THIS PROGRAM WORKS:
!! HONESTY AND INTEGRITY !!
Straight to the point, the reason this program is SO SUCCESSFUL is because when you follow these instructions EXACTLY = GIVE A $10 GIFT and POST this in 50 different message boards, there are only TWO outcomes.
(1) A persons birthday will fall on the same half as yours, therefore, YOU GET THE $10...
OR,
(2) a persons birthday will fall on the other half of the timeline, therefore, YOU STAY IN YOUR POSITION and
get posted in 50 other MESSAGE BOARDS, etc...
BOTTOMLINE: Either you get the $10 or your name gets passed on for other people to give YOU $10 or pass YOUR name on to other people that will. It makes you think though, the more you POST YOUR OWN POSTS, the BETTER the results!!!
4 FACTORS THAT MAKE THIS PROGRAM SO SUCCESSFUL...
* EXTREMELY FAST RESPONSE
* EXTREMELY HIGH RESPONSE RATE
* UNLIMITED PROFIT POTENTIAL
* QUICK, SIMPLE AND CHEAP TO GET STARTED

So there it is. You now have the knowledge that will enable you to make over $10,000 within the next two weeks. The only thing that can hold you back now is a lack of faith or a lack of self-belief. However, any doubts you may
currently have will disappear within a few days of putting this plan into practice. Trust me on this! You certainly won´t regret it.
SOME NOTES FROM PAYPAL WHICH YOU MAY FIND USEFUL:
PayPal lets you pay anyone with an email address and is the world´s Number 1 online payment service. PayPal is accepted on over 3 million eBay auctions as well as a countless number of online shops. You can also use PayPal to pay your friends - for example, it´s a convenient way to split the phone bill with your room-mate, send cash to your kids in college, or send cash to someone in another country. Better yet, you can also earn a referral bonus of up to $100 each time someone signs up for a PayPal account using your referral URL! When you send money through PayPal, you can fund your payments with your credit card or checking account. You won´t have to worry about your privacy, because PayPal keeps your accounting information safe and secure. Making a purchase
with PayPal is far more secure than mailing a check or giving your credit card number to a stranger. That´s why over 9 MILLION people from around the world use PayPal to move money. Signing up for a PayPal account is free, easy, and it takes only a couple of minutes.
Thank you, and good luck in your ventures.

2406. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 22:55:16 UTC
From: SecOps <ngsecurity@global.org>
Message-ID: <420a91ee$1_3@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 196046
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
Validating landshark@iname.com...

Validation results
confidence rating: 3 - SMTP
The email address passed this level of validation
without an error. However, it is not guaranteed to be
a good address. more info
canonical address: <landshark@iname.com>

MX records
preference exchange IP address (if included)
10 iname-com.mr.outblaze.com [205.158.62.26]
20 iname-com-bk.mr.outblaze.com [205.158.62.26]

SMTP session
[Contacting iname-com.mr.outblaze.com [205.158.62.26]...]
[Connected]
220 spf4.us4.outblaze.com ESMTP Postfix
HELO hexillion.com
250 spf4.us4.outblaze.com
VRFY landshark
502 VRFY command is disabled
RSET
250 Ok
EXPN landshark
502 Error: command not implemented
RSET
250 Ok
MAIL FROM:<HexValidEmail@hexillion.com>
250 Ok
RCPT TO:<landshark@iname.com>
250 Ok
QUIT
221 Bye
[Connection closed]

<landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1107928855.145909.283630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Hey dug88....fuck you and your whore mother! Stay the fuckout of
> REC.RADIO.CB ........ you're pissed 'cause you wanted to fuck steveo
> and he only lets me fuck him. Go fuck a filthy nigger you stinking
> fuc-wit canadian.
>
```

Attention "whoever you are"!
This is the alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf newsgroup.

Take your uncalled for behavior to the appropriate Newsgroup

Continuous activity will result in an investigation and possible subpoenas!

Do yourself a favor -- Go Somewhere Else.

2407. PING -- SecOps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-09 23:57:08 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1107993428.546070.322740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196047
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Hey SecOps:

This is: REC.RADIO.CB (steveo)

Do you have ANY idea how sexy you are when you jump on "landshark" the way you did??

You sound like a very sexy man! R U interested in a little Man-to-Man cyber action? Maybe live hook-up?? Would you like to join the Medina gay CB club???

Tell me about yourself! Are U a top or a bottom?? Cut or UnCut??

I figured you must be into it since you posted in ALT.PERSONALS.GAY

Thats our other group. You'll have a better chance of finding hot action in REC.RADIO.CB

Landshark is OK but he's a slut. Thats OK, it just depends on the type of action you're looking for. WelCUM to our group.

73's and 88's (all over your body!)

Steveo

2408. © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 00:38:10 UTC
From: Good_News_12004@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1107995890.858819.193810@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196048

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth
in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds).
He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a
virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life
for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless
blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for
our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later
as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that
Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept
Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him
in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness
and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is
to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you
confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe
in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you
WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if
you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following
prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a
sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I
believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the
penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead.
Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my
Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for
forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace.
Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get
another chance to be saved because none of us knows
exactly when we will die.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

2409. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 01:06:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050209200648.435$K1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196049

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050209124416.992$V9
> @newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Dave steveo is mad he had the over in the super bowl ...lol
> >>
> > Nope, if you recall I said under 48..lost on the points tho.
> >
>
> teaser's hit either way, I told you philly had a shot..to many unforced
> turnovers bit them in azz.
>
Did you see where McNabb was supposedly dry heaving on that last drive?
>
> did you end up -0- for year??
>
Pretty much broke even, which ain't so bad considering how much it
improves watching games I wouldn't otherwise care about.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2410. Re: Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 01:23:12 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3LyOd.183$HG6.76@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196050

Landshark wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:Ps9Od.3715$CG7.1165@fe11.lga...
>
>>Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
>>
>>>Chukkeeee....didn't your lawyer advise you to get off of rrcb?
>>>
>>>me wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 16:26:58 GMT, Ray Norshine
>>>><raynorshine@overcast.comm> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>me <me@me.com> wrote in
>>>
>>>news:muoh011d6fv7qa5oa5jkd5qucvkhuetarv@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 7 Feb 2005 10:01:49 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
>>>>wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>On 6 Feb 2005 11:38:25 -0800 Good_News_For_You_All@yahoo.com
>>>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Good News!
>>>>
>>>>Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
>>>>life has ended?
>>>>
>>>>I have one simple question.
>>>>Before Jesus Christ was born, how did people get to heaven?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Simple. Before the time of Christ the Jews used burnt offerings
>>>
>>>for

>>>
>>>
>>>>>>atonement for sins. it's all covered in the books of Genesis,
>>>
>>>Exodus
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>and Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. After a while though, the
>>>>>>whole thing became routine and a tradition and more of a ritual,
>>>
>>>and
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>meant nothing to them...(The Children of Isreal) and this is why
>>>>>>Christ was sent, to be become the perfect atonement for our sins
>>>
>>>and
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>give us access to the Father.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>and No, I am not the one posting the stuff, but I thought I'd
>>>
>>>answer.
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>See Romans 3:10, 3:23, 10:9-10 and 10:13
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Was jesus a cb'er? <smirk>
>>>>>
>>>>>..and would he condone your off-topic spamming?
>>>>
>>>>No. Jesus wasn't a CB'er. and it wasn't MY off-topic spam. I just
>>>>answered the man's question. period. end of story. (Grin)
>>>>
>>>>God Bless,
>>>>
>>>>-Me
>>>
>>>
>>ya mean his lawyer who was a mayor and then he wasn't? lol...
>
>
> Wasn't he part of the Iron Coffins too :)
>
> Landshark
>
>
chuck can't be taken seriously. to much baggage...

2411. Re: America's public image-sux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 01:44:29 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <13zOd.188$w07.30@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196051

Ashley VK3HAG wrote:

> Wrong. I hate our Prime Minister, the garden gnome Johhny Howard and his
> Liberal Party mates, that doesn't mean I hate Australians, my fellow
> countrymen. My Dad knows I hate Johnny Howard and he hates the Labor
> politicians. Do I hate my Dad? No, we are good mates.
>
> Likewise, the US government sucks, not the ordinary citizens of the US. The
> US Government is not the ordinary people as you think, they are bloody
> politicans for christs sake.
>
> See, we don't worship our politicans like you lot in the US. Watching the
> recent US elections and ours at the same time, yours was a like a rock
> concert tour across the country, our's saw the PM travel the country, get
> egged, spat on, punched and was followed everywhere by a guy in a chicken
> suit giving him crap, the National News played this daily at 6pm-what a
> laugh . Only the guy that punched the PM was fined $500. (I bet if you did
> that to Bush the SS would kill you!)
>
> You Yanks have so much respect for the President that you follow him like a
> herd of sheep. If our PM put on a show like you guys have during your
> elections no-one would turn up and we would in fact be very pissed off at
> the money being wasted for a stupid show. Next Australian election I suggest
> you tune in and see how we treat politicians and the PM.
>
> You lot go out and 'campaign' for your preffered party, we just turn up and
> vote, sometimes.
>
> Here's my example: Richie Cunningham (Ron Howard) went campaigning in "Happy
> Days" for I forget which party, but the one his Dad didn't like. He was a
> school kid campaigning for a political party and had all these people
> helping him.
>
> If I did what Richie did (and what many of you seem to do) my peers would
> steal all the flyers, give me a heap of crap and ask me why I am helping a
> politician and wasting my time.
>
> We don't have rock concerts and all this other b/s for politicians. Problem
> is you guys worship bloody politicians as if they were god.
>
> After all, it's the government, not really the people, who make these dumb
> arse decisions.
>
> Sooner you realise that bloody politicians are the scum of the earth (much

> worse than anyone on the www) the better.
>
> Visit Down Under and try and tell an Aussie that politicians and the people
> of the country are the same.
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:zv3Od.3701$Na4.1272@fe11.lga...
>
>><snip the bollox>
>>
>>>And that's why so many people hate Yanks (govt) with a passion. I don't
>
> hate
>
>>>Yanks, but I hate your government and the way the US military operates,
>
> it's
>
>>>caused more allied deaths than anything else, terrosits included, since
>>>1901.
>>
>>
>>the american gov is the people. you hate the gov you therefore hate the
>
> people. doesn't work both ways (only if your a yank who thinks the President
> deserves respect!)
>
> That's like saying I hate our troops for being Iraq. They didn't send
> themselves there, our PM sent them. Hence the reason, when we agreed to go
> to Iraq, the PM addressed the nation with "I am sending troops to Iraq to
> support the US. If you have a problem with this, do not take it up with the
> troops, come to my residence and take it up with me" (BTW several people did
> turn up at his front door and protest, they weren't arrested and allowed to
> stay)
>
> (No wonder Iraq is a disaster, you hate Saddam, which means by your logic
> you hate Iraqi's too, so why are you there liberating people you hate? No
> wonder you keep killing innocent civillians, they're Iraqi's, so because you
> hated Saddam, you must hate Iraqi's too!)
>
>
>
>
ashley i see you are a political minor in school. i dont know where you
get your information that the u.s. populace follows the president like a
herd of sheep. look at the figures of the popular vote and compare it to
the amount of eligble voters and you will see that he was not the 50% +1
who won.
i could give a rats ass how the people in oz treat their politico's but
if it means throwing shit at 'em is a standard response then have fun.
you use the word hate for your politicians. hitler used the same word.

want to reconsider?
quoting richie cunningham doesn't prove your point. he was an actor on a tv program from 30 years ago. if you base your political beliefs on something as benign as this then piss off...

2412. Re: PING -- SecOps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 01:45:22 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <S0zOd.38377$uA.14754@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196052
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1107993428.546070.322740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Hey SecOps:
>
> This is: REC.RADIO.CB (steveo)
>
> Do you have ANY idea how sexy you are when you jump on "landshark" the
> way you did??
>
> You sound like a very sexy man! R U interested in a little Man-to-Man
> cyber action? Maybe live hook-up?? Would you like to join the Medina
> gay CB club???
>
> Tell me about yourself! Are U a top or a bottom?? Cut or UnCut??
>
> I figured you must be into it since you posted in ALT.PERSONALS.GAY
>
> Thats our other group. You'll have a better chance of finding hot
> action in REC.RADIO.CB
>
> Landshark is OK but he's a slut. Thats OK, it just depends on the type
> of action you're looking for. WelCUM to our group.
>
> 73's and 88's (all over your body!)
>
> Steveo
>

TraceRoute to 4.249.54.162
[dialup-4.249.54.162.dial1.washington2.level3.net]

Hop (ms) (ms) (ms) IP Address Host name
1 0 0 0 66.98.244.1 gphou-66-98-244-1.ev1.net
2 0 0 0 66.98.241.4 gphou-66-98-241-4.ev1.net
3 1 1 1 129.250.10.105 ge-0-1-0-50.r00.hstntx01.us.bb.verio.net
4 11 11 11 129.250.5.42 p16-1-1-1.r21.dllstx09.us.bb.verio.net
5 Timed out Timed out 13 129.250.2.195
p16-7-0-0.r01.dllstx09.us.bb.verio.net
6 9 9 9 4.68.127.41 so-2-3-0.edge2.dallas1.level3.net
7 9 9 9 4.68.96.121 so-7-0-0.bbr2.dallas1.level3.net
8 43 43 42 64.159.0.230 ae-0-0.bbr2.washington1.level3.net
9 43 43 43 4.68.121.173 ge-5-2.hsa2.washington2.level3.net
10 46 46 45 209.244.42.179 nas19.washington2.level3.net
11 206 219 209 4.249.54.162

dialup-4.249.54.162.dial1.washington2.level3.net

Trace complete

And a moron, no less. Left himself pingable.

2413. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 01:47:22 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <K2zOd.38380$uA.18624@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196053

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95F8CC15AEC1912345678900@216.196.97.136...
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050209124416.992$V9
> @newsreader.com:
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote:
>>> Dave steveo is mad he had the over in the super bowl ...lol
>>>
>> Nope, if you recall I said under 48..lost on the points tho.
>>
>
> teaser's hit either way, I told you philly had a shot..to many unforced
> turnovers bit them in azz. did you end up -0- for year??

Philly got owned in the SB. If NE hadn't started celebrating early, the
score wouldn't have EVEN been close. Losers.

2414. Re: PING -- SecOps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 02:21:51 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1108002111.228529.33970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196054
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

U Know Who wrote:
<snipped like the ho you be>

I WANT YOU TO PING MY MAN-PUSS!!
THEN I WILL SLIDE MY PING-STICK DEEP INTO YOUR MANLY TUNNEL OF LOVE AND
PING YOUR PROSTRATE! WE WON'T BE MAKING LOVE.....JUST FUCKING!!!

2415. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 02:26:41 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1108002401.147225.192040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196055
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

dug88 wrote:
> thanx guys
> actually embarasses me

DUG88, DIDN'T I TELL YOU TO STAY THE FUCK OUT OF

REC.RADIO.CB

I'LL SHOVE MY COCK SO FAR UP YOUR ASS THEY WON'T BE ABLE TO SEE MY
BALLS!

2416. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 02:31:23 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1108002683.176727.166930@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.california,alt.politics.nationalism.white,soc.men

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
<who gives a fuck>

maybe you should offer to give eric a blow job. or get frank to do it.

2417. gay cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 02:33:23 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1108002803.749046.255960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.california,alt.politics.nationalism.white,soc.men

there's nothing with our gay cb club. we just don't let niggers and jews in. i only blow well hung white guys.

2418. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 03:04:13 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <divqd2-0gf.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196058
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

SecOps wrote:

> Validating landshark@iname.com...

And what do you think that achieves? Anybody who wants that information
will go find it out for themselves with their own tools instead of trusting
some anonymous noob on Usenet. Please get a clue.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2419. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 03:22:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cskl011gk1uo4dkj01baudqjasg2b0ftkf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196059

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 11:30:03 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <vhte01hgdtsgmifpjrgskp814u067v6g48@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:34:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>Sherlock Holmes was not a real person
>>>
>>>No, but Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was, and he wrote it.
>
>>Sir Arthur Conan Doyle wrote fiction.
>
>But the principle is still sound.

It is "sound" only so far as you are able to exclude all other
possibilities -- which is, quite literally, impossible. If it -was-
possible then we would have proof of the existence (or nonexistence)
of God. We don't.

Once again, Doyle wrote fiction.

>>>>, and that poorly-quoted cliche
>>>>has one very important point you completely missed: "when all the
>>>>other possibilities are excluded". Care to apply that concept to the
>>>>debate between creationism and evolution?
>>>
>>>Why? That's an entirely different subject with limitless and
>>>unverifiable possibilities. Unlike the subject we're currently
>>>discussing. There are a finite amount of potential causes of cap
>>>failure. Most of which can be reproduced.
>>
>>
>>If a cap can fail due to an act of God then it can't be verified. Your
>>argument is therefore invalid unless you are an athiest.
>
>What act of God kills caps? Lightning? A lightning strike can be
>easily eliminated as it's fairly obvious when it occurs.

Lightning is an act of nature. And why would you think that God is
limited to throwing lightning bolts?

>>>> Or can you see that there
>>>>are "other possibilities" that may not be obvious but they do exist?
>>>
>>>Not in this particular case.
>>

>>
>>That's a conclusion based on your own ignorance.
>
>No, it's one based on probability and practicality.

You have made two different arguments here. One is inductive and based upon probability; the other is deductive where the conclusion is an absolute (Doyle). Quit flip-flopping and make up your mind.

>>>But ripple is NOT A.C. It's pulsating D.C. At no point in the cycle
>>>does the voltage polarity reverse (alternate).
>>
>>
>>Despite your archaic definition of AC
>
>It is THE definition of A.C.
>
>
>>, the point is still valid; Those
>>large AC caps ("motor run" caps, to be specific) are frequently used
>>by audiophiles as DC power supply caps in SE tube amps because they
>>have a very low AC resistance, much lower than electrolytic caps.
>>Power supply caps are selected for their AC resistance, the capacity
>>in uF being only one of -several- factors figuring into the equation.
>
>Motor run caps are not the ones that blow up in amplifier power
>supplies.

Gee..... why not, Dave?

>>>But you keep changing the criteria to make you point. What might
>>>happen in a D.C. block in an audio amp would not happen in a power
>>>supply filter.
>>
>>
>>I see you have finally caught up.
>
>I never needed to "catch up", but when we're discussing a particular
>failure mode in one application, and you bring up a different
>application as a justification for a differing point, that does
>nothing but cloud the point.

Then why did you bring up the topic of power supply caps in sweep tube amps? Just to "cloud the point"? Don't blame -me- because you confused yourself with your own obfuscations.

>>>>> There are
>>>>>also instances where an abnormal AC component swings the normally
>>>>>positive side of the cap negative. The cap voltage can get reversed by
>>>>>rectification when a pull-up resistor fails. Etc, etc. Geez, Dave,
>>>>>you've been doing this for 30 years and you didn't know this stuff?
>>>>>

>>>>>Not as applied to the tube amps we were talking about. If you switch
>>>>>the conditions of the discussion, then the outcomes will change as
>>>>>well.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Then why did you bring up the subject of tube amps when the original
>>>>topic was about a solid-state CB? If you don't want to discuss it then
>>>>don't bring it up.
>>>
>>>There will be no abnormal A.C. component beyond the rectifiers of a
>>>power supply Frank, unless the rectifiers fail.
>>
>>
>>A highly inductive load can drive the caps backwards via flyback. I've
>>never seen it happen myself but I know it's possible.
>
>Yes, that is certainly possible.

As you say, "apology accepted".

> But not in the equipment that I've
>worked on. There is no inductive components past the power supply
>filter caps, with the exception of a small 10 uH RF blocking choke.
>Hardly enough to generate enough "kick back" to reverse polarity.
>
>>
>>>>Perhaps that's because you work more with tubes while I work mostly
>>>>with solid-state equipment.
>>>
>>>I thought you just said you were one of those "experts" who restored
>>>old tube radios? Surely you've worked on as many tube radios as I have
>>>considering that I haven't touched anything with tubes in 10 years.
>
>
>>Maybe I have done more work on tubes than you. Maybe not. Maybe my
>>bench has seen more receivers while your's has seen more sweep-tube
>>amps. Maybe not. I merely suggested a possibility.
>
>I can accept that. My bench has probably seen the most 12V mobile
>radios, with amplifiers a distant second. But the equipment which has
>seen the most capacitor failures due to outgassing or explosion has
>been the tube amps.

Oddly enough, I have seen caps blow more often in solid-state
equipment.

> In the solid state equipment, cap failures are
>less obvious and usually not total. On older radios (25+ years) the
>main cap failure mode is excessive deviation from original value. You
>don't like the term "drying out", but whatever process is responsible
>for this phenomenon is quite prevalent.

Again in contrast to your stated experience, I have rarely seen caps
in solid-state circuits deviate significantly from their rated
tolerance (unless there was a total failure). On the contrary, I
-have- seen such deviation much more frequently in high-voltage power
supplies. You call it "drying-out", I call it "aging" -- it amounts to
the same thing.

>>>>Based on the fact that I've never experienced what you described, and
>>>>don't see how it's even possible from what I -have- experienced. I
>>>>have never seen a high-voltage cap blow up because it was leaking, and
>>>>I have rarely seen the same blow up from excessive DC voltage. I
>>>>-have- seen them blow up from excessive AC voltage (ripple). Is it
>>>>possible that the cap which blew up was subjected to too much ripple
>>>>caused by leakage in the other cap?
>>>
>>>How? The ripple would still not be that great, and it would neither
>>>exceed the voltage rating of th cap, nor reverse the polarity of it.
>
>
>>Well, if the AC resistance of the leaky cap dropped because of the
>>leak, then a greater AC voltage would be developed across the good
>>cap. This in turn would cause a greater AC current in the good cap,
>>and therefore more heating. So maybe the cap blew because of the extra
>>heat in combination with the higher DC stresses. I can see how -that-
>>could happen.
>
>That's exactly what I told you a few posts back. Only I didn't use the
>term A.C.. A leaky cap decreases D.C. resistance, which decreases A.C.
>resistance as well. Nice of you to finally get my point.
>
>Wait, you're trying to play with my head aren't you? Trying to make me
>change my tune? That only works when the "tune" is sufficiently
>different.

Hardly. The mechanisms behind our descriptions are different, and
your's neglected to address the heat generated by AC resistance in the
-good- cap. In fact, you denied AC resistance was even a factor. Yet
you just agreed above that it -is- a factor while making the excuse
that you "didn't use the term A.C." Another flip-flop.

>>>>Ok then. Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component.
>>>
>>>>Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC component.
>>>
>>>Those two statements are contradictory.
>>
>>
>>Not at all. Your definition that AC must be a zero-crossing current
>>was repealed along with prohibition. The problem was that there were
>>getting to be too many terms for variations of direct current, like
>>'varying direct current' (vdc), 'pulsating direct current' (pdc),
>>'interrupted direct current'.....etc. So the concept has since been

>>simplified to say that any current has an AC component and a DC
>>component.
>
>You stated: "Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component. "
>
>Then you stated: "Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC
>component."
>
>Yet you cannot see how these two statements contradict each other?
>
>If you change the first statement to: "Certain voltages have a DC
>component and an AC component. ", then the two statements would not
>conflict.

In several posts you have demonstrated that you have a problem differentiating voltage and current. You should address that issue.

Now..... since you want to invoke semantics, I'll state this more clearly for you: A pure DC current has an AC component with a value of zero, and a pure AC current has a DC component with a value of zero.

Feel better?

>For the record, I agree with your second statement.

For the record, you agree with both statements. Whether you want to believe it or not, the definition of AC has changed so that it "encompasses a broader definition".

>>>>The term
>>>>"ripple" is literally defined as the AC component of a DC current,
>>>>usually from a power supply, and usually a harmonic of the input
>>>>power.
>>>
>>>No, ripple is the result of pulsating D.C. post the rectifier stage.
>>>The frequency of the ripple depends on the type of rectifier and the
>>>line frequency of the A.C. In the U.S., a half wave ripple frequency
>>>is 60 hz. A full wave would be 120 hz.
>>
>>
>>That's what I said. If the input power is 60 Hz then 120 Hz would be a
>>harmonic, right?
>
>Technically, it's not a harmonic, it is the result of the full wave
>rectification process. But there would be harmonics of 120 hz, but at
>a substantially reduced level.

Technically, it -is- a harmonic. The source of the fundamental frequency was acted upon by a non-linear function (rectification). The products of rectification are the harmonics of the fundamental. A frequency-multiplier circuit works on the very same principle, with the additional function of tuning the output to a specific harmonic.

Basics, Dave. Learn the basics.

>>>>The term "ripple" is old and has been replaced by "pard", which
>>>>includes all AC components (or fluctuations) including hum, noise and
>>>>spikes.
>>>
>>>That's because newer switching supplies create more noise, hash, and
>>>spikes, then a simple linear supply would. Hence the need for a new
>>>term, which encompasses a broader definition.
>>
>>
>>If you say so.
>
>I did.

Twice asserted. Again, as you say, "apology accepted".

>>>>SOME caps are designed to handle ripple. Power supply filter caps are
>>>>designed to REDUCE ripple; i.e, shut the AC component to ground.
>>>
>>>No, they do not shunt A.C. to ground. The capacitor stores current
>>>during the ripple peak, and then discharges it as the ripple falls.
>>>The rate of charge/discharge (which is hopefully slower than 60 or 120
>>>hz) is what "smooths" the ripple. When the load increases, the R/C
>>>time constant of the circuit changes and the cap is forced to
>>>discharge faster, which results in less filtering of the ripple and it
>>>increases.
>>
>>
>>A capacitor blocks DC and passes AC; at least that's the simplified
>>version of it's function.
>
>Yes, that's the fundamental definition. But that does not describe
>what is happening when a high capacity cap is used as a power supply
>filter.

Yes, it does.

>>So if you have a cap connected between a DC
>>source and ground then the DC is blocked while any AC is passed to
>>ground.
>
>That depends on the reactance of the capacitor and the frequency of
>the A.C.. In a power supply a large electrolytic cap acts much like a
>battery, by providing a short duration storage for peak voltage in
>order to "smooth" out the momentary loss of voltage between ripple
>peaks. The higher the current draw of the supply, the more capacitance
>you need to filter it effectively.

Dave, can't you see that we're saying the same thing? The difference
is that your explanation is sourced from an education lacking in the
fundamental concepts of electronics.

A capacitor -does- store a charge, and the amount of that charge -is-
a function of it's capacitance. But it's ability to -accept- and
-deliver- that charge leaves a gaping hole in your explanation. As I
said before, the value in capacitance is -only one- factor of its AC
resistance -- i.e, its ability to "filter" AC from a DC current.

And for a better perspective, dust off your book on basic electronics
and look up the page that covers simple LC filters -- low-pass and
high-pass. Notice that a high-pass filter has the cap in series with
the source, while the cap in the low-pass filter is an AC -shunt-. Now
you have already admitted that a power supply cap is nothing more than
a component of a filter, and I think it's safe to conclude that you
meant a low-pass filter (since the intended output is DC). Now unless
you intend to argue that the capacitor in an LC low-pass filter does
-not- shunt AC to ground, you have once again shown that you don't
have a firm grasp of the basics. And if you -do- intend to argue that
point then I suggest you first read the chapter (as well as all
previous chapters in the book).

>>>> When
>>>>the load becomes large then so does the ripple, and if the cap has a
>>>>high AC resistance then it will get hot very quickly.
>>>
>>>How? There is no A.C.! Pulsating D.C. does not switch polarity.
>
>>Update your lexicon and then read it again.
>
>There is still no A.C. If a voltage "swings" or "ripples" between +9V
>and +10V, it is still keeping the cap charged in the proper polarity.
>If the voltage swings negative, then you will have a problem, unless
>the cap is a non-polarized electrolytic, like the type commonly found
>in audio crossover circuits and other places.

You're stalling with semanitcs, but even -then- you are wrong. Say
your PS cap is charged to a DC voltage. When the power supply pulls
the ripple to it's peak then current is flowing -out- of the cap. When
the ripple bottoms out then current is flowing -into- the cap. You
have described the very same action at least twice. The problem is
that you didn't even know that AC stands for "Alternating CURRENT"!!!
The current is indeed alternating, even according to the obsolete
definition of AC.

LEARN THE BASICS, DAVE!!!

>>>>Just about everyone who has ever used a soldering iron thinks they can
>>>>write a course on power supplies.
>>>
>>>Well considering that they were the very first thing that I studied
>>>and built in tech school, it's pretty much basic stuff.
>>
>>
>>I hate to break this to you but your tech school did a piss-poor job
>>if the curriculum started with the study and construction of complex

>>circuits before covering the basics.
>
>That's HOW we studied the basics. How better to study Ohm's and
>Kirchoff's law, and basic D.C. and A.C. fundamentals than to use a
>basic building block, found in all electronic devices? What could be
>more basic than a power supply?

Oh, gee, I don't know..... How about:

A battery & a resistor;
Resistors in series and parallel;
An inductor in a DC and AC current;
Inductors in series and parallel;
An inductor with a saturable core;
A capacitor in a DC and AC current;
Capacitors in series and parallel;
A resistor and capacitor in series and parallel;
A resistor and inductor in series and parallel;
An inductor and capacitor in series and parallel;
A resistor, inductor & capacitor in various networks;
A current transformer;
A voltage transformer;
A power transformer;
Impedance transformation of a transformer;
A transformer with a resistive load;
A transformer with a reactive load.......

And I haven't even touched on semiconductors yet. Shall I continue
with this list?

>My tech school was a "hands-on" style of teaching. They could have
>bored everyone to tears by writing all sorts of math formulas on a
>chalk board and demonstrating how things were supposed to work. But it
>was much more rewarding and easier to relate to the circuits if we
>could actually see it work in real life.

If observation alone was sufficient for an education then anyone who
ever listened to the radio or watched a television would be an
engineer. That isn't the case. Those boring equations are technical
and mathematical descriptions of how electronic components and
circuits work in "real life". If you didn't learn these fundamental
concepts because electronic theory "bores you to tears" then you
probably shouldn't be discussing the subject with an EE who -did-
learn the basics (and in much more depth than they are covered in any
"tech school").

>>>> But the increased ripple can be caused by a
>>>>host of factors, not the least of which (and to the suprise of many,
>>>>the most common) is the AC resistance of the output capacitor, -not-
>>>>the R/C time constant of the cap with respect to the source and load
>>>>impedances (which, BTW, are in parallel).
>>>

>>>Of course the R/C is in parallel. The cap is across the load.
>
>>The cap is in parallel with -both- the source and load impedances; and
>>while the load impedance may be linear, that's rarely the case for the
>>source impedance.
>
>But resistances and impedances in parallel tend to act like resistors
>in parallel, and the results are similar. The source impedance, in the
>case of a power supply is what is contained in the rectifiers. The
>transformer is pretty much isolated at this point.

Wrong, wrong, and even -more- wrong. First, an impedance is not only resistance but also -reactance-. Second, the power transformer is a source impedance with an inductive reactance that changes with the load. Third, the load can be (and frequently is) reactive to some extent. Fourth, the caps are -both- a source and load impedance, depending on which end you are looking at. Fifth, the rectifiers are nonlinear components but they -do- have a linear region (conduction) which passes impedances quite nicely, and in both directions......

Shall I continue with -this- list?

>>Also, the capacitance of an electrolytic isn't a
>>constant; it's non-linear with respect to the voltage (a factor of
>>it's AC resistance, and another reason why audiophiles prefer motor
>>caps over electrolytics).
>
>Well of course! Look at any R/C time constance charge/discharge cycle
>and it's not a straight line. As the cap reaches 70% of it's
>capacity, the charge rate slows.

Holy crap, Dave..... didn't they teach you -anything- in that "tech school"? The RC curve is the relationship between voltage and time, and assumes that both the resistance and capacitance are constants. What I said (and what you are ignoring) is that the capacitance of an electrolytic cap is -not- a constant. And because it is not a constant the RC curve does -not- follow the same path that it would if the capacitance -was- a constant. And BTW, the RC curve becomes a straight line when the current is constant because the charge rate of the cap is a fuction of current, not voltage. This is yet another example of your inability to distinguish current and voltage.

>> Both these nonlinearities end up converting
>>your overly-simplistic R/C time constant analysis into an integral
>>function.
>
>Call it what you will. My "overly simplistic" analysis covers the
>conditions of what is actually happening.

It's clear that you have absolutely no clue as to what is actually happening. Learn the basics, Dave.

>> The issue is further complicated because of the equivalent
>>series resistance of the capacitor (which, BTW, is a factor of it's AC
>>resistance, and is also non-linear).
>>
>>The concepts involved here don't need to be nearly as complicated as
>>you make them out to be, yet you try to describe the complexities with
>>over-simplified theory.
>
>That sounds like double talk. How can a simple concept be described in
>overly simplistic complexities?
>
>> It's like you are trying to describe baseball
>>with dumbed-down Newtonian analysis. You still think you can write a
>>course on the subject? I don't think so.
>
>It's time to remind you of Occam's razor. I tend to use simple terms
>when they fit. There's no need to be any more complicated than
>necessary. My explanations were just that. I could have gone to the
>trouble of mathematically explaining the whole deal, but that would be
>far more effort than this thread deserves.
>
>The complexities have been introduced by you in an effort to discredit
>my explanations. While you can't deny them, you can inflate their
>complexity and then accuse me of not explaining it thoroughly enough.

You are once again confused. In your attempt to demonstrate that you
know what you are talking about you have ultimately proven that your
understanding is poor at best. THAT was my point, and THAT is what is
evident from your technical explanations. Maybe you think you can leaf
through a few textbooks, speed-read a few concepts, paraphrase them
and try to pass them off as if they were integrated into your
education. Well, you can't. I've been in the field long enough to
recognize that scam and it doesn't work. It didn't work for Skippy, it
didn't work for Toll, it didn't work for Eitner and it won't work for
you. But please don't stop trying because I find it very entertaining.

>>>>A different scenario happens
>>>>with high-voltage supplies because regulation is usually achieved with
>>>>a swinging (saturating) choke, which permits more ripple to pass under
>>>>increasing load in order to maintain the DC component.
>>>
>>>That's a very poor regulator. The choke/cap is really just a low pass
>>>filter, that designed to attenuate 60hz ripple.
>
>>That's the static interpretation.
>
>But valid nonetheless.
>
>>But a swinging choke is a component
>>with dynamic (i.e, nonlinear) characteristics and makes a pretty good
>>regulator, which is why they have been used so extensively over the
>>past hundred years, and why they are still in production today.

>
>Where? Certainly not in solid state equipment, where much better
>components exist to do the job more effectively.

Not often in solid-state equipment, but they are still quite common in
high-voltage supplies, power coverters and line conditioners. In fact,
your microwave oven has a regulating transformer that works on the
same principle. Should I make a list for this subject, too?

>>>>So when is your book coming out?
>>>
>>>Since power supplies are so basic, and there is no new information
>>>that I could tell that isn't already widely known, my effort would be
>>>superfluous.
>>
>>
>>I think you might have meant 'redundant', but 'superfluous' is really
>>a much better choice.
>
>That's why I chose it. Redundant would fit too.

I'll give you another opportunity to look up those words.

>>>>>If a cap explodes due to an excessive load, then the evidence of the
>>>>>failure which cause that excessive load should be evident. If not then
>>>>>you can't conclude that the cap died due to ripple.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The cause of failure is not always evident in the equipment.
>>>
>>>No, it's not. But in a simple application of a HV power supply in a
>>>tube amp, it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause.
>>
>>
>>It's not always easy.
>
>No, but it is doable.

Another flip-flop. Make up your mind -- is it easy or not?

>><snip>
>>>>>You are right, in a sense, that you can't always tell what DID cause
>>>>>the cap to blow, but you can determine what DID'NT cause it to fail.
>>>>>It then becomes a simple process of elimination.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The possibilities are limitless so any such conclusion would be based
>>>>only on probability.
>>>
>>>The possibilities are not limitless. There are a finite set of
>>>conditions which a particular circuit can find itself in. Is it
>>>probable that a 12V supply was exposed to 500V?

>>
>>
>>Depends on the circuit.
>
>A solid state CB radio power supply.

Isolation failure in the line transformer can easily put a couple
hundred volts on your 12 volt supply. Seen it a few times (twice with
Pyramid power supplies -- cheap transformers that are too small for
the rated output).

>>> Is it probable that a
>>>rectified output of a supply reversed polarity?
>>
>>
>>I never said it was.
>>
>>
>>> Absent of other
>>>component failures, how many possibilities really exist?
>>
>>
>>Many, simply because the cause of a failure isn't limited to internal
>>influences. But even if you limit the scope to the equipment, the
>>failure of other components is not always obvious. And here's a
>>perfect example:
>>
>>The first Peavey 1.3K I ever worked on came into the shop with a blown
>>bank of power transistors (not uncommon with Peavey amps) and a bad
>>OP-amp. The obvious conclusion is that the OP-amp locked up and drove
>>the bank into overload (the amp also has a triac clamp but it rarely
>>works -- it just nukes a trace on the board and lets the bank blow).
>>Anyway, that wasn't the case at all. I replaced damn near everything
>>in that amp and it would still lock up. To make an already long story
>>short... it turns out that Peavey, in their economical wisdom, used a
>>dual-diode as bias seperation for opposite banks of power transistors
>>without noting it in their literature, and one of those diodes had
>>shorted. The component appeared to be perfectly functional, showing a
>>forward voltage drop characteristic of a typical silicon diode, but it
>>was supposed to be -twice- that drop.
>
>Ah, so instead of a 1.2V drop, it was only showing .6V? A change in
>bias can certain cause a problem like that. But your example does not
>negate my statement. You found that another component failed, which
>resulted in a chain reaction failure. The cause of the failure was
>found. But if you can't pin down the cause of failure to any other
>component, you are left to consider that either the bad component
>failed on its own, or it was subjected to a momentary stress beyond
>its limits through some external event.

Actually, it was a design flaw. The diode was supposed to be thermally connected to the heatsink, but was mounted such that the thermal link was nothing more than a blob of heatsink grease. The grease dried out and the redidue became a very good insulator. Regardless, my point was that the failure is not always intrinsic to the circuit or device; many times the problem is caused by outside influences, such as improper operation or maintenance, poor design, manufacturing flaws, etc. And many of those faults are not always evident; i.e, they don't leave evidence of the cause of the failure. The fact is that there was no evidence of the cause of the failure in the Peavey. I learned of the cause after harassing Peavey tech support until they forked over the info (I really think they try to keep faults like that a secret because they make so much money on spare parts, but I suppose that's just one aspect of modern business practices).

>>So there are -many- possibilities that may or may -not- be obvious.
>
>I respectfully disagree. There ARE other possibilities yes, but I
>disagree that there are "many". A simple process of elimination will
>whittle that number down to a few highly probable possibilities.

A shorted resistor is not "highly probable", nor is a half-shorted double diode, a PCB trace with a hairline crack, an incorrect slug in an RF or IF transformer, etc. I can make a list here, too.

>>>>>It's like forensic science. We reconstruct an incident based on the
>>>>>evidence in the aftermath.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Dave, before you use the word "forensic" again, do yourself a favor
>>>>and look it up in the dictionary.
>>>
>>>Have you never heard of forensic criminalists, who gather evidence to
>>>reconstruct a crime to prove innocence or guilt? Watch CSI sometime to
>>>get familiar with them. Or, if you prefer a less dramatic example, the
>>>Discovery channel has a show called "forensic files" which documents
>>>actual criminal forensic cases. Court TV has similar shows.
>>>
>>>The example I used was similar in method.
>>
>>
>>Gee, another excuse.
>
>A perfectly valid one.

You -still- haven't looked up the word. Shame.....

What you initially described is called 'failure analysis' (or 'crime scene analysis', as per your example). The term "forensic science" is, literally, the -presentation- of a scientific analysis.

>>>I have a battery charger which is unfiltered (the battery acts as the
>>>filter cap), which may serve the purpose nicely.

>>
>>
>>Go for it! Don't forget to use several different caps, measuring both
>>AC and DC volts across the cap, as well as the time it takes until
>>failure. Post the results.
>
>When I get a chance, I'll set it up. I need to find some high current
>resistors that can stand dissipating 20 amps or so.

What do you need resistors for? Just hook the puppies up! But if you
want a resistor anyway try an electric space heater or stove element.

>>>Why use a light bulb to do a zener's job?
>>
>>
>>The point was that the characteristics of a low-power device can be
>>amplified. And yes, a light bulb is sometimes preferable to a zener
>>because zeners are noisy little bastards.
>
>That shouldn't matter in a power supply.

Power supplies are not the only circuits that use regulators. And yes,
the noise of a zener is amplified by a power transistor along with
it's nonlinear characteristics, which can be bad if the supply is to
be used for low-noise applications.

>>>>A light bulb's resistance changes with temperature, but who said a
>>>>resistor -must- be linear? The textbooks?
>>>
>>>The change in temperature is in direct proportion to the applied
>>>voltage.
>>
>>
>>Wrong.
>
>Not wrong.
>
>>The resistance is directly proportional to the temperature,
>>hence the term "temperature coefficient". A light bulb can even be
>>used as a thermistor for an electronic thermometer. IOW, you can feed
>>a bulb's filament with a fixed voltage and a constant current will
>>flow (after the temperature stablizes).
>
>That's true of any fixed resistor. A constant current will flow at any
>given fixed voltage.

You are ignoring the first part of the paragraph -- the part about its
temperature coefficient.

>> Cool the filament and the
>>current will increase (light bulbs make pretty good wind-chill
>>detectors). Heat the filament and the current will decrease (good for

>>determining the temperature of a flame). All happen -irrespective- of
>>voltage.
>
>That's called an optical pyrometer, and I used to make them many moons
>ago, when I worked at a company called Leeds and Northrup. A filament
>will "glow" at a certain lightwave frequency at a given temperature.
>You adjust the filament to "blend in" to the background flame or heat
>source, and you can determine the temperature.

That's not what I described. I described a thermistor. Try reading it
again for content.

>What you have are 3 factors (Voltage, current and resistance). When
>you hold voltage constant, you can measure a change in temperature as
>a change in current.

Very good! That's all I was trying to say! Sheesh......

> But what if you increase the voltage? Will the
>bulb not increase current and therefore temperature?

Congratulations, you found the missing step!

>>>> IOW, the current density through a cross-section of filament
>>>>is the same regardless of it's thickness or length.
>>>
>>>A 12V bulb will have a thicker filament than a 120V bulb for the same
>>>given wattage. That's because the amount of current in a 12V bulb is
>>>10 times higher than the same wattage bulb in a 120 V configuration.
>>
>>
>>True, but the cross-sectional current density must be the same in
>>order to reach the same temperature where it emits the same spectrum.
>>So why would a thicker filament last longer?
>
>It's physically more durable. Less prone to shock damage.

I don't buy that simply because an auto bulb encounters much more
shock and vibration than most household lightbulbs. And UV bulbs have
a much thinner filament than a standard white bulb (to achieve a
higher temperature, and therefore a higher part of the spectrum), yet
they don't seem to burn out significantly faster. So your explanation
is still lacking any substance.

>>>>>Other techs and engineers that I know in the industry.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well, I'm an engineer, you know me, and I haven't ever used the term
>>>>"drying out" to describe a capacitor failure. Maybe "dielectrically
>>>>challenged"..... no, I haven't used that either.
>>>
>>>You are but one man.
>>

>>
>>Feel free to name some engineers who use the term "drying out" to
>>describe capacitor failure.
>
>Now you're taking lessons from twisty. I could put down a hundred
>different names and what would that prove? You won't know them.

I know lots of people and I meet more all the time. How about just
three engineers -- you can email me their addys so I can verify their
statement (as you claim it) and their engineer status. Or is three too
many?

>>Even better, try referencing it in some
>>authoritative literature. If it's a common term then it should be well
>>published, right? (Actually, it -is- a common term with engineers but
>>only in reference to batteries.)
>
>I entered the term "capacitors drying out" into google and came up
>with 63 links to the term used in a similar context to what I've
>promoted here. I didn't have the time to read them all, but be my
>guest if you are so inclined.
>
>I accept your apology.

63 hits? That's all? I'm sure there are -plenty- more references from
people who, like yourself, mistakenly attribute the term "drying out"
to capacitors. Heck, I got over a million hits for "Santa Claus" but
that doesn't mean he exists. How about an -authoritative- reference,
Dave? You know the difference, don't you?

>>>Regardless of the other possibilities, if you disagree that a
>>>particular component has a high probability of failure, then what you
>>>are saying, in essence, is that you feel that it has a much lower
>>>probability of failure
>>
>>
>>You are constructing a syllogism from a conditional statement by
>>asserting the second premise, but you assume that second premise
>>-must- be the only other possibility. It is not. Using your own
>>subjective assessment of probability, the blank line in the statement
>>
>> "the problem is _____________ caused by capacitors"
>>
>>can be filled by any one of a long list of possible descriptors, only
>>a few of which are listed here:
>>
>>A. always
>>B. almost always
>>C. often
>>D. sometimes
>>E. infrequently

>>F. almost never
>>G. never
>>
>>The syllogism that you constructed is thus:
>>
>>P1: Not B (my statement).
>>P2: If not B then F.
>>C: Therefore F.
>>
>>Although the conclusion is perfectly valid, you ASSUMED that F is the
>>only possible alternative to B.
>
>What you described is commonly called the Affirming a Disjunct logical
>fallacy.

.....oh brother

> Under the conditions you've described, this would be the
>case. But it does not apply to my example. You stated that you do not
>agree that a particular component has a high probability of failure,
>so the converse to that is that you believe it has a lower probability
>of failure. There are no other possibilities in this case.

So A, C, D, E and G -can't- be used to fill in the blank?

> The
>syllogism stands as valid.

The syllogism itself is valid, as I already stated. The -truth- of the
-conclusion-, however, is undefined, which I stated in the rest of the
paragraph as follows:

>> The conclusion, therefore, is neither
>>true nor false; it can have no more truth than your assumed premise.
>>Just because I don't live at the North Pole doesn't mean I -must- live
>>at the South Pole. Or, for a better example, if you were to say that
>>the sky is white, and I said it is -not- white, that doesn't mean I'm
>>saying it's black. It could be blue, red, gray, etc. The colors of the
>>sky are limited to black and white only in your fantasy realm where,
>>coincidently, people must be either conservative or liberal.
>
>Show me where I ever stated that people are either conservative or
>liberal.

Focus, Dave. Your conclusion is the result of an assumed premise.

>>> Therefore, by extension, it can be assumed that
>>>you then consider other components have a higher probability of
>>>failure.
>>
>>
>>Key word: "assumed". You assumed wrong, as is usually the case
>>whenever people ASSume things.

>
>What other possibilities are there? Other components either have a:
>
>A. Higher probability of failure.
>B. Lower probability of failure.
>C. The same probability of failure.

Earlier you stated that -only- the converse could be true and that
"there are no other possibilities in this case". Yet now you add a
third possibility (in direct contradiction to your first statement
which asserts that there are no other possibilities), and it is along
the same lines as the list of possibilities that I previously listed.
Aside from your obvious flip-flop, re-read your possibility C, then
compare it to the following statements:

"And after being in the electronics business
professionally for 30+ years I can say, without any doubt whatsoever,
that capacitor failure rate (i.e, the capacitor being the -primary-
cause of the failure) is no higher than for any other component."

"Cap failure as the -primary- cause of
failure is no more common than a weak transistor, bad IC, blown
diode/zener, or bad RF/IF can, or even poor manufacturing standards."

"I never
said that caps don't go bad. I said they aren't the primary cause of
failure any more than any other component."

Now what part or parts of those statements did you not understand? Did
you read them while wearing the same dirty eyeglasses you wore when
reading my statement saying that "I am not a liberal"? Or are you just
being bullheaded?

>>>>>>>More chest thumping? What you've done at HP has no bearing on what
>>>>>>>I've seen in 30+ years of CB radio repair.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Really? Do capacitors behave differently in CB radios than they do in
>>>>>>spectrum analyzers and signal generators?
>>>>>
>>>>>Sure. They're exposed to different conditions.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Such as.....?
>>>
>>>Application. Does the circuit supply large currents or small ones?
>>>Does it work at high voltage or low? Is the ambient temperature high,
>>>or low? Do they filter, block, or bypass?
>>
>>
>>All of the above in both applications. So how are they different?
>

>Usage, Voltage, conditions, environment.

.....uh, can you be more vague?

>><snip>
>>>>>Hmm.... My test procedure for that rig used 5mV to set 50% modulation,
>>>>>with VR6
>>>>
>>>>Step 7, and it's 0.5 mV.
>>>
>>>It's 5mV in my book.
>>
>>
>>I don't know what book you have (probably Sams) but mine is the
>>service manual provided by Radio Shaft. I can scan the page if you
>>want. If your book is in error that might explain your need to bypass
>>the LPF.
>
>.5 mV won't even tickle the modulation in most radios. Certainly not
>enough to make 100%.

Geez Dave, can't you read? The spec was 0.5mV for -50%- modulation.
And yes, it will most definitely "tickle" the modulation because mine
is set just as described and it works dandy. BTW, your lack of both
experience and education is showing -- typical output of a dynamic
microphone just -begins- in the low microvolt range. We're talking
-60dB or less. The dynamic range of a human voice isn't that great,
barely 20dB, yet the dynamic range of CB AVC circuits (or AMC, AGC,
CVA, etc) can be anywhere from 40 to 60dB, which is such a wide range
for a number of reasons, not the least of which is the wide variety of
microphones, voices, and distances between the mics and the voices.

And 0.5mV is plenty for 50% mod, especially when the radio's mic
sensitivity is specified for 1.0mV minimum at 50% mod. I offered to
scan the page..... are you sure you don't want to see it? After all,
Uniden made these radios for radio shaft, so it was -Uniden- that
provided the information in the service manual. Not like Sams which
got their info from reverse engineering. So which manual do -you-
think is more likely to be accurate, Dave? Don't you think Occam's
Razor applies in this case? Or is this another case for Sherlock
Holmes?

>BTW, there is no "need" to bypass the LPF. It's just that the radio
>sounds better IMHO that way. The LPF overly restricts the audio
>bandwidth and kills fidelity. If you like sounding like you're talking
>into a paper cup, then fine. I admit that I'm somewhat of an audio
>purist. I like smooth "broadcast quality" audio. That's my personal
>preference. YMMV.

CB is not intended for high-fidelity. Passing the higher freqs widens
the bandwidth of the channel and makes it more likely to bleed into an
adjacent channel. That's one reason why CB mods are illegal.

Regardless, you can get improved sound with nothing more than a better mic. The stock microphones are usually designed for 300-3000Hz and are as flat as the Matterhorn. Plug an electret into the radio and the response flattens out, making it sound much better even -with- the LP filter. This should come as no suprise since 3000Hz is a pretty high frequency when compared to the human voice. Only Kate Bush could sing -that- high.

>> Higher drive at mid-modulation will push the audio into
>>compression earlier, which would easily account for your audio
>>sounding "mushy and compressed", just as you described.
>
>Yes it would. But that's not the reason in my case. What was that you
>tried to say before about acknowledging other possibilities?

Why should I? My audio sounds fine. I'm not the one with "mushy and compressed" audio. I'm not the one who uses a book with an error in the alignment procedures. And I'm not the one who felt the need to bypass the LP filter. I don't see any reason to even consider any other possibilities. If it works, don't fix it.

>> My radio
>>sounds just fine without the modification, so it's very likely that
>>-your- book is in error.
>
>There is no error in my book. It correlates with other radio alignment
>procedures.
>
>Perhaps your book is in error. You assume that because it came from
>Radio Crap, it's infallible.

Wrong. I never assumed any such thing. You mentioned the discrepancy so I referenced it's other specs, as well as specs from other radios. The only discrepancy is with -your- book. It stands alone in the modulation procedure you described. The question is, given how your own description of the audio is indicative of misalignment, and that I have a more authoritative reference that disputes yours, why do -you- assume that -your- book is correct?

>My procedure is similar to what other radios also prescribe. After
>all, there isn't much difference in the output that a given stock
>microphone presents.

Wrong. I have lots of mics that have widely different levels. And the useful dynamic range of any given mic can be 30 to nearly 100dB, depending on the type. That's why one mic will sound great on one radio but crappy on another, and vice-versa.

>The fact that your radio "sounds fine" is a matter of some
>subjectivity. I didn't say that the radios (Radio shack, Cobra and
>President versions of this chassis) that I've worked on sounded "bad",
>only that I felt that they sounded "better" with a modified LPF.
>Again, a matter of personal preference.

You said they sounded "mushy and compressed". That isn't bad? Geez Dave, how many times can you flip-flop in one post?

>> Not only that, I'm suprised that a person of
>>your self-proclaimed experience would not have suspected the error
>>earlier -- after all, your own description of the audio quality is
>>indicative of overmodulation.
>
>Not at all. 5 mV is hardly too much audio drive. Both the mike preamp
>compression circuits and the modulation AMC were working properly. The
>compressed sound was due to lousy audio bandwidth,

Or maybe it was due to a misalignment which caused a downward expansion in a region where a flat response is intended? Naw, that couldn't be it. You and your crystal ball must be right, Dave, it couldn't be anything else.

> coupled to a
>microphone (A D-104) which didn't mate well with the audio bandpass
>characteristic that this radio with the LPF presented.

D-104's suck. They have no low-end and the high-end is raspy. The output level of the unamplified models is too high for most radios, and the amplified models are usually turned up too high by the user. People like them because they are loud, but they are loud because of their high and narrow spectral response -- not a mic I would consider using for high-fidelity. Want a good mic? Try a Shure 522 -- a lot cheaper than a D-104 and sounds a lot better.

>> Yet you didn't bother to find a more
>>authoritative reference, or compare the calibration specification to
>>that of similar radios (I did, and 50% modulation at 0.5mV is common
>>for Uniden radios that come with the same mic).
>
>Funny, I took a quick look through a bunch of different test
>procedures for various radios. Audio input ranged from 5 mV
>(Considering the gain of that mike preamp circuit, that's not
>surprising), to 10, 20, 50, 70 and 100 mV, to set the AMC. In NONE of
>them was there ever a call for anything less than that.

Like I said, I can scan the page if you don't believe me. You can even ask Lance since I got the manual from him with the radio. BTW, have you ever seen a factory service manual? You know, one that wasn't published by Sams?

>>You could have also
>>compared the cal-spec the radio's rated mic sensitivity. But no, you
>>decided -not- to look for the primary cause of the problem, assumed
>>that the error was in the design and proceeded to chop the filter.
>
>Again, read my lips, there was NO "problem". This was a personal taste
>modification made to improve the overall audio fidelity. I assumed
>nothing, however you are doing more than enough assuming for both of

>us.

Let's put it this way: Have you heard the audio after aligning the
radio according to factory specs? I have, and it doesn't sound "mushy
and compressed" at all. And I've worked with professional audio
equipment for more than ten years at the station so I -do- know what
"mushy and compressed" sounds like. Gee, I wonder why -your- radios
sound that way but not mine.....

>>Dave, it's clear that in -both- electronics and politics you have a
>>real problem accepting any possibilities outside the realm of your own
>>prejudice.
>
>No, what's clear is that you take a scenario, make many speculative
>assumptions about the reasons for it, and then come to a conclusion
>that's based on those erroneous assumptions. It's no wonder you are so
>easily brainwashed by left-wing propaganda.

That's about the best example of projection I have ever read, Twisty's
rants included.

>>>>> and 200 mV to set the 100% limiter threshold with VR7.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Step 8, set VR7 for 95 to 98% mod.
>>>
>>>Right. But there are no numbered steps.
>>
>>
>>There are in my book. And your's seems to be missing some steps.
>
>Not at all. The necessary steps are there.

Oh heck, I'll scan the page anyway. I'll post the link later after I
dig the scanner out of the pile (everything's a mess here right now).

>>>>>The reason for the increase in audio drive is to test that the
>>>>>modulation limiter (and mike AGC in this particular radio) is doing
>>>>>its job. Think about it, if the modulation limiter is working and
>>>>>doing its job, then you can increase the mike level all you want
>>>>>(until you fry something) and the AMC will clamp it to the level that
>>>>>makes 100% modulation. The only parts in the audio chain that would be
>>>>>stressed are the passive components before the AMC audio shunt
>>>>>regulator, which is TR18.
>>>>>
>>>>>There is very little you could tell me about that radio (or more
>>>>>specifically that chassis) that I don't already know.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I just did. Twice in this post and once in the previous post.
>>>
>>>What you "told" me wasn't of any real info about the radio itself.

>
>
>>I told you some -very- real info about the radio -- you just didn't
>>know how important that info is, or how it demonstrates that you
>>aren't as good with electronics as you think you are.
>
>Like I said, there is nothing *valuable* you could tell me in a
>hundred lifetimes, about that radio that I don't know, or haven't
>experienced in one form or another. What you just recently learned, I
>was doing 25 years ago. I've literally worked on over a hundred
>versions of that radio. I used to sit there and play "what if" on my
>own radio just for fun when I was bored and had nothing else to do.

Wow! Over a hundred -versions- of that radio? I didn't even think
there were a hundred CB companies at the time the radio was made! So
you must really know your stuff, huh? But wait..... you don't even
have a factory manual for the radio. So everything you know about the
alignment of that radio is from where..... the Sams manual?

Hmmm.....

>I've designed controlled carrier modifications for it, FM receive and
>transmit, 10 meter conversions, Digital readout frequency selection,
>photocell LED and meter light dimmer circuits, wideband transmit and
>receive, and a host of other things that I've long forgotten about.
>Like I said, that chassis (in its various forms) has been one of my
>all time favorites for the sheer ease at which the radio modified and
>the overall capability of it.

I'll be impressed when you can design one from scratch. But that would
be superfluous, wouldn't it?

>>>>Thanks anyway, but I already used too much time pulling out the mods
>>>>and restoring it to stock condition -- except for the faceplate. Got a
>>>>spare?
>>>
>>>No I don't. Most of my spare faceplates belong to the bonepile radios,
>>>most of which are crappy little AM mobiles.
>>
>>
>>Heard that. Did you see the pic of my "bonepile" that I posted last
>>fall? I must have a couple dozen of those cheap, little Cobras buried
>>in the pile, not to mention a half-dozen or so mini-Midlands (which
>>weren't too awfully bad little radios, just not worth fixing). I
>>should go through the pile someday, make a list and post it for anyone
>>looking for parts.
>
>I saw it. Your "bonepile" is a bit larger than mine. I've been slowly
>trashing the real junk, as I've pretty much "retired" from the CB-tech
>scene. It's just not worth the time any more, as I've got far too much
>on my plate these days. Being a parent takes up a lot of time.

So does the newsgroup, apparently.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2420. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 03:25:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5tkl011dqg98jjldtfvrsghr7mthv0jk9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 196060

On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 07:32:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <kkle0157ckh16lls6uocv6n73arukindgv@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>Politics transcends logic.

I rest my case. Goodbye, Dave.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2421. Re: Who is the best bassist ever?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 06:18:28 UTC
From: L FENDER CHRIST <leoo@blender.com>
Message-ID: <Xns95F9B00D5ED68nospamatall@210.8.230.25>
Source record: 196061
Cross-posted to: alt.guitar,rec.photo.digital,alt.bass,alt.flame,alt.fan.hanson,alt.punk,rec.radio.cb

"Steven Sena" <xssound@comcast.net> wrote in news:dc2dnaLy3LHgZ5ffRVn-hw@comcast.com:

> Chip Douglas...

SID VICIOUS! I dare anyone to say OTHERWISE!

2422. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 06:44:44 UTC
From: CTFM <ctfm@yourisp.not>
Message-ID: <Xns95F9B48156B35Spamthis@210.8.230.25>
Source record: 196062
Cross-posted to: alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.non.sequitur,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.hanson

JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net> wrote in news:BE306735.55DA%jazzkind@fast.net:

>
> Hi dug88. Did you see there is an awful message called fuck dug88 floating
> around the internet? It is brazen nonsense that sounds like wet noodles
> when you put seafood in your ear.
>

I generally agree with the post above. Someone should remove these posts
from this groups.

2423. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 10:56:37 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <G5HOd.59180$mt.24892@fed1read03>
Source record: 196064

trout wrote:

>
>>> I'm looking to buy a linear for 10 / 11 meters that will
>>> be both new and run without stress at 80 watts - long term.
>
>>as for 11 meters, 4 watts RMS/12 watts PEP is the rule.
>
> Yep, but I need a little extra when camping in the
> Adirondack Mountains. Cell phones are useless and
> I can't hit any 2M repeaters.
get a 2 meter amp and a nice beam (thats what I use when camping out in the
woods of Arizona).

where at in the Adirondacks you camping at? there should be a half dozen or
so machines (at least in NY state) that you can reach.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2424. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 10:57:20 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <k6HOd.59184$mt.5294@fed1read03>
Source record: 196063

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

> From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZTi¿½-i¿½Ericï¿½Oyen)
> trout wrote:
> I'm looking to buy a linear for 10 / 11 meters that will be both new and
> run without stress at 80 watts - long term.
> I am interested in advice and also, any parts that should be replaced (
> diodes, resistors, capcitors, etc) to make it a better performer and
> clear (reduced splatter).
> Anyone ?
>
>>better just to get a radio designed for 10
>
>>meters that can do 100 watts. those amps
>
>>tend to be very unclean (spectrum splatter
>
>>machines).
>
>
>
> What amps would you be referring, Eric? I tend to believe there are
> small amps on the market that are very clean.
>
>
>
>> as for 11 meters, 4 watts RMS/12 watts PEP
>
>>is the rule.
>
>
>
> Very noble, yet, irrelevant.
>
>
>>--
>
>>DE N7ZZT
>
>>Eric Oyen
>
>>Phoenix, Arizona
>
>>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

>
>>xxxthe difference between intelligence and
>
>>stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.
>
>
> Hey Eric, fire up your ears and give a listen. Air-a-zone-a is loud in
> my receive for the past couple of days. Some of those guys get real edgy
> when the sandpile stations key it up. Tell B-O-B I said hello. Bayou
> Charlie is walking all all over him these days.
quoted someone else and tried to make it look like me? try again.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2425. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 11:47:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ccim01dh4jdo0gq48bglva8llaqs3e24ul@4ax.com>
Source record: 196065

On 09 Feb 2005 17:47:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> But I do get annoyed when certain people portray the attitude that
>> they're somehow "entitled" to break the law, as if it's some sort of
>> badge of honor.
>>
>Are YOU breaking the law when you run YOUR amp on 11 meters Dave?

I don't run an amp on 11 meters. I haven't for quite a few years.

>I get annoyed at hypocrites.

So do I.

But how do you feel about people who reform?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2426. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 12:46:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7gim0117s37cehmmb46snqqhhqhabhgd8t@4ax.com>
Source record: 196066

On Tue, 8 Feb 2005 15:38:00 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>
>Sure you do. You take the non-hammie approach, the non-elmer
>approach,,the anti-amateur creed approach.
>
>>And I suppose the "elmer", "ham" approach is
>>to openly condone illegal
>>activity?

>I have always maintained an incredible irony is a small percentage of
>those licensed in communications know the least about it.

Similar to the irony of how you seem oblivious to the "logic" of your
position. You call my denouncement of illegal behavior as "non-hammie"
and "non-elmer", which implies that you feel that the converse is
true.

> You epitomize
>the example. One certainly can not practice the hammie creed when one is
>unable to define it.

And I suppose you, an admitted federal lawbreaker, can? What do you,
an 11 meter radio pirate, REALLY know about ham radio anyway?

>You ignorantly and
>erroneously call people criminals for dxing merely beacuse you are
>unable to distinguish between a civil and criminal nature.

>>Call it "shock value".
>
>
>If the shoe fits,,,,,I call it what it is,,,ignorance.

I call it deflection of responsibility.

>> Too many people break the law, and then
>>trivialize it, in order to appease their
>>conscience.

>Then perhaps you should address the problem and not the symptom...you
>know Dave, become proactive instead of reactive.

Ok, let's run with this. What do YOU think is the "problem" and how
should one "address" it?

>>You are a perfect example of this by
>>relentlessly arguing the semantics of the
>>situation, as if it really matters on the grand
>>scale who you have to pay the fine to.

>Now that's priceless. You can get not one person in this group to agree
>with you that it is who brings up the law in regards to cb radio.

How do you know that? More mind reading?

> You
>are really making some incredibly stupid claims, even by your normal
>lying standards which for the most part, we have accepted of you, Dave.
>But hell, now you really are desperate. What the hell happened up there?
>Philthy loss to much for you to handle?

You are all about image and appearances. In the real world though,
image is nothing. Reality is everything.

>>The point is that you are breaking federal law.

>If that's your point, accusing me of bringin it up really has you
>confounded. But let's try and keep you focused for a second,,,,,speeding
>past 70 MPH is also "breaking federal law",,an analogy that has you
>writhing about.

Not that I'm aware of. Speeding is still an infraction enforced by
local and state LEO's

>>You live in denial.

>Firstly, the only criteria you cited as your reason for calling one a
>"federal criminal", was "it was a rule enacted by the feds, so breaking
>it is a federal crime and makes one a federal criminal".

Simple logic. Something that seems to escape you.

>>The FCC rules clearly provide both civil and
>>criminal penalties for rule violations depending
>>on the circumstances involved.
>
>
>Same for speeding.

You can't be fined $10,000 or spend time in jail for speeding.

>>The potential
>>is there for criminal penalties.
>
>
>Same for speeding,

Show me where you can spend any serious time in jail for speeding or
be fined in excess of $1000.

>>I never said that in 100% of the case, this will
>>happen and, in fact, I acknowledged that you
>>stand a good chance of never being caught.
>
>
>Same can be said with the comparison to speeding,

Sure. The same comparison can be made for many laws, so what? It's
still doesn't make it right, or justifiable.

>>But despite the fact that you have never been
>>caught does not negate the truth of your
>>commission of illegal actions in violation of
>>federal law.

>No one ever argued with you that such acts were illegal. You are
>roaming. Try and remain in topic.

That IS the topic, and has always been. YOU are the one who tries to
twist and obfuscate the point.

>ï¿½ï¿½The manner in which you arrived at this
>decision, was referred by yourself as
>"progressive logic". This too, was decimated as you were reminded that
>the 70 mph speed limit also met your criteria. Changing your values in
>mid-argument, you now invoke that the penalty one receives is now your
>new criteria.

This is nothing new, it's just something you conveniently chose to
ignore.

>>Once again, the 70 MPH speed limit thing was
>>not mine.
>>I never brought that up. In fact I was unaware
>>that there was a federally mandated 70 MPH
>>speed limit.

>See what you can learn when forced..

I have learned nothing. Only that you claim there is a "federal 70 MPH
speed limit" Something I have yet to verify.

>> The last I heard the 55 MPH limit was
>>dropped and a few states (Montana I believe
>>was one) actually had no speed limit on some
>>highways. The increase in accident rate then
>>forced them to impose a state mandated limit.

>What the hell does Montana have to do with the federal 70 MPH law?
>LMAO,,,,damn Dave,,,you're a barrel of fun today.

Maybe nothing, maybe everything. Why would the feds make a 70 MPH
speed limit after dropping the old 55 MPH limit? Perhaps is was based
on states like Montana, who dropped a speed limit altogether on some
of their highways. Damn you really do have to be led to the points by

your nose.

>>But assuming you are correct

>Too lazy to ascertain it for yourself in addition to not even being
>aware of it,,,,well,,,,off you go, now.

I'm a busy man. I can't keep track of every law and topic out there.

>>and that there is
>>a federally mandated 70 MPH speed limit, it's
>>not the same thing as the FCC rules. For one
>>thing there are no "federal speed police" who
>>will cite you for a violation of a federal law.

>Oh, I see. Let me get this right,,first you invoked, as your criteria
>for calling one who dxes ,a "federal criminal"......"It was a rule
>enacted by the feds, so it is federal law , and breaking it makes one a
>federal criminal"
>That was debunked with the speeding analogy.
>You then invoked, only upon defeat, the penalties one may receive, as
>your second and newly found criteria for holding one a "federal
>criminal" for dxing. Now, having been educated that criminal penalties
>can apply to speeding, you are invoking yet a third set of criterias for
>your position, claiming the ENFORCERS are the actual litmus test for
>which you maintain dxers are "federal criminals".
>Amazing.
>Absolutley astounding.

Yes, it is. Maybe you finally figured out that it isn't any one of
those criteria alone, but all of them combined, that make this a
federal crime.

See what you can learn when someone relentlessly pounds it into your
head.

>>Speeding laws are enforced on a state or local
>>level, and are treated as summary offenses.

>More educatiion and to borrow one of your invocations........."i didn't
>say in 100% of all cases"....point being,,,,speeding cases can, and do,
>carry criminal penalties, dependent, as you say, on the circumstances.

When? Other than becoming involved in vehicular homicide, or an
accident while DUI. When is a simple speeding infraction considered a
criminal offense? In those other cases, speeding becomes a minor
precursor of a much stronger offense. That stronger offense is what
carries the criminal penalty, not the speeding itself.

>>Radio law (The communications act of 1934 et
>>al.) grants the FCC the power to enforce these
>>laws on a federal level,
>
>

>And the states can also enforce these laws.

States CANNOT enforce radio law. Only the FCC has the power to do
that. Some states have enacted legislation to give them power to deal
with RFI interference cases. But the scope of their power is very
limited. DX'ing would not come under the state's umbrella of
jurisdiction.

>> and can certainly impose criminal fines and
>>jail time for the most flagrant rule violators.

>More for your gray matter: Federal LEOS also may enforce ANY violation
>of domestic US law, including speeding, if they so chose.

Which federal agencies regularly monitor traffic regulations?

You're really stretching now.

>>People who have refused to allow FCC agents
>>to inspect their stations, those who have
>>refused to respond to NAL's, those who
>>continued to operate illegally after being
>>warned, know all too well what those stiff
>>penalties incur.

>Heck, even when you were educated by other hammies on here regarding
>your ignorance claiming "roger beeps are illegal" you chose not to
>learn.

What other hammies have said anything regarding the issue?

>>I am putting this issue to rest one can for all. I
>>have written to the FCC for a definitive ruling
>>on this subject. If they tell me it's ok, I'll eat my
>>crow and tip my hat in your direction and it'll
>>be the last time I'll bring up that subject.
>
>
>
>I would advise that, as opposed to you embrassing yourself in front of
>the hammie community and claiming they are illegal because you are
>unable to find a rule by the FCC permitting them, as you have maintained
>for your illogical reasoning.

In part 95 (Have you ever read it?), the FCC specifies both permitted
and prohibited transmissions. The fact that a ETS signal is not
specified under permitted transmissions, and the fact that "sound
effects" meant solely to "amuse or entertain" is called out
specifically under prohibited transmissions is a strong enough case to
lean toward the conclusion that ETS signals are not legal.

In any case, I am waiting for the FCC to respond to my query. I'm sure
they are busy and I might not hear back for a while.

>>That's the really funny part about this whole
>>thing. Twist will sit here and spin and
>>obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to
>>deny that what he (and you I guess) does is
>>illegal.
>>But the law is clear on this.

>Everyone is clear on the law but you.

>>Yes, you clearly disregard it.

>You have no clue about the law,

You have no clue about a clue. The law isn't some "a-la-carte" set of rules that you can pick and chose from which you want to follow or disregard.

>And had no relation to what you were trying to say, yet you erred and
>claimed it did. Tell us, Dave, how is your "process" legally related?

It's not. But forensic criminalists use technology and a process of analytical investigation to piece together clues and evidence to paint a picture. THAT is not much different than what I was talking about.

Once again, you become hung up on literal meanings, so much so that you can't see the forest for the trees.

>You
>were to look up the term and learn its definition prior to using it in
>the futire so as not to embarrass yourself again.
>
>>There is nothing wrong with the context in
>
>>which I used the term.
>
>See above.

Which part? The part where you demonstrate your ineptitude in the english language and an uncanny ability to not understand context?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2427. Maxon WTA-1 Antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 12:54:02 UTC
From: Radio Man <puns@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <KPIOd.18952$wc.5955@trnddc07>
Source record: 196067

Where in the states can i purchase a Maxon WTA-1 antenna?

2428. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 13:14:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <aulm01t9br2tfgq8ol9gdsa32iaiqhr98u@4ax.com>
Source record: 196068

On Tue, 8 Feb 2005 15:08:44 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>You want to know how many hams agree with
>>this, then post the question on one of the ham
>>newsgroups and see who agrees.
>
>Damn, Dave...you are suffering like never before. Twas yourself that
>invoked other hammies with imaginary support, not I.

>>I never mentioned any hams.

>You did, invariably.

I did nothing of the sort. You made the assumption that I was talking
about hams when I said "there are others". But nowhere did I mention
hams.

> I see we will have to rely on the group's memory
>and pc skills and not yours, again.

"The Group" is non-existant, as far as you are concerned. No one has
ever backed up any of your absurd claims. You are alone in your
position.

And your memory is failing. You confuse my statements with other
people, you accuse me of "diss'ing" other people when I haven't, you
claim I said something when I haven't. You really are cracking up.

>> You are the one who wants to know how
>>many hams agree with the notion that roger
>>beeps are illegal on CB.
>
> I said nothing of the sort. In fact, I will reiterate it once again for
>you, so as the others won't have to.

"Other's" never have. The obsession you have for me is yours alone.

> Your response to me led to *you*
>invoking other hammies. I said, and I quote, that "you are alone in your
>claim that roger beeps are illegal."
>In order to lend yourself a transparent but false veli of support, you
>claimed, in response, "No, I am NOT the only one", whcih has only one
>meaning in response to my claim. Your claim, means that other hammies
>support your claim and agree with you, the exact opposite of what I
>claimed, maintain, and brought to your attention.

How does my claim of "I am not the only one" mean that those people are automatically hammies? Are hams the only other radio op's in the world? Especially considering that this is a CB newsgroup, you are way off-base.

You jumped to another conclusion and committed yet another bifurcation fallacy.

>Again, and for the
>record, I maintain NO other hammie agrees with you.

A claim of which you cannot verify. You can't possibly know what every ham thinks. The fact that you frequently make these sort of "The world is on my side" claims is why your narcissism is so easy to believe.

> You said you were
>not the only one, I asked you to name one that agreed with you, and you
>began to sputter and sink.

I only refuted your assumption that it had to be another ham.

>>So, I suggested that you ask them.
>
> What you have been claiming isn't what has been taking place at all. In
>fact, your last few posts are chock full of delusions and falacies.

All of which have been committed by you.

> Is everything ok over there? Perhaps the stress of having to sell the
>overpriced boat is too much for you to handle these days.

Ah! You've been perusing my website. I knew you couldn't stay away. I hope the HTML isn't too much for WebTV to handle......

And I can add boat values to the list of things you know little about.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2429. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 13:16:04 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4jnm01178dr1fb5nvvpk4a4feik396rqog@4ax.com>
Source record: 196069

On Wed, 09 Feb 2005 19:25:19 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 07:32:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <kkle0157ckh16lls6uocv6n73arukindgv@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Politics transcends logic.
>
>
>I rest my case. Goodbye, Dave.

Which "case" was that Frank?

Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2430. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 13:16:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050210081640.824$Br@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196070

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 09 Feb 2005 17:47:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> But I do get annoyed when certain people portray the attitude that
> >> they're somehow "entitled" to break the law, as if it's some sort of
> >> badge of honor.
> >>
> >Are YOU breaking the law when you run YOUR amp on 11 meters Dave?
>
> I don't run an amp on 11 meters. I haven't for quite a few years.
>
Uh huh..

http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/msg/88634fc78a846d24
>
> >I get annoyed at hypocrites.
>
> So do I.
>
> But how do you feel about people who reform?
>
More power to them.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2431. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 13:49:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <khpm01pnleilqet3m0mia2mqse37eh8prg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196071

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 08:16:04 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <4jnm01178dr1fb5nvvpk4a4feik396rqog@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 09 Feb 2005 19:25:19 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 07:32:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <kkle0157ckh16lls6uocv6n73arukindgv@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>Politics transcends logic.
>>
>>
>>I rest my case. Goodbye, Dave.
>
>Which "case" was that Frank?

The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.

>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....

Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other
responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left
with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know
what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.

Regardless, our discussions have already forced you to show both of
your faces on several topics, and to continue would just be beating a
dead cliche. So feel free to preach your self-righteous attitudes and
technical 'opinions' because nobody takes you seriously anymore.

And I'll still be around. I just won't be nearly as active as before.
So if you still feel the need to give further demonstrations of your
warped values then by all means do so -- just know that I probably
won't be able to provide a timely response.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2432. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 16:33:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <innm01lmv00k2lr3kue3t5m7chkbc43j33@4ax.com>
Source record: 196072

On Wed, 09 Feb 2005 19:22:55 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 11:30:03 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <vhte01hgdtsgmifpjrgskp814u067v6g48@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:34:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>Sherlock Holmes was not a real person
>>>>
>>>>No, but Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was, and he wrote it.
>>
>>>Sir Arthur Conan Doyle wrote fiction.
>>
>>But the principle is still sound.
>
>
>It is "sound" only so far as you are able to exclude all other
>possibilities -- which is, quite literally, impossible. If it -was-
>possible then we would have proof of the existence (or nonexistence)
>of God. We don't.

So, your assertion is that since we cannot exclude all other practical
possibilities in areas like God, and creation, that we cannot exclude
other possibilities to such finite areas as electronic
troubleshooting?

>Once again, Doyle wrote fiction.

And once again, the principle is sound.

>>>>, and that poorly-quoted cliche
>>>>has one very important point you completely missed: "when all the
>>>>other possibilities are excluded". Care to apply that concept to the
>>>>debate between creationism and evolution?
>>>>
>>>>Why? That's an entirely different subject with limitless and
>>>>unverifiable possibilities. Unlike the subject we're currently
>>>>discussing. There are a finite amount of potential causes of cap
>>>>failure. Most of which can be reproduced.
>>>
>>>
>>>If a cap can fail due to an act of God then it can't be verified. Your
>>>argument is therefore invalid unless you are an athiest.
>>

>>What act of God kills caps? Lightning? A lightning strike can be
>>easily eliminated as it's fairly obvious when it occurs.
>
>
>Lightning is an act of nature. And why would you think that God is
>limited to throwing lightning bolts?

I'd imagine that a cap crushed in an earthquake would fail as well,
and the failure would be easily identified. Floods? Swarms of locusts?

>>>>> Or can you see that there
>>>>>are "other possibilities" that may not be obvious but they do exist?
>>>>
>>>>Not in this particular case.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's a conclusion based on your own ignorance.
>>
>>No, it's one based on probability and practicality.
>
>
>You have made two different arguments here. One is inductive and based
>upon probability; the other is deductive where the conclusion is an
>absolute (Doyle). Quit flip-flopping and make up your mind.

Remember Frank, I am somewhat of a relativist. I do not believe in
absolutes except in certain undeniable cases. The "flip-flopping" is
your interpretation of what I have been saying.

Any good troubleshooter will tell you that there is a percentage of
probability that certain components will fail. There are also a finite
number of probable causes for failure. That's why we tend to look in
certain areas first.

>>>, the point is still valid; Those
>>>large AC caps ("motor run" caps, to be specific) are frequently used
>>>by audiophiles as DC power supply caps in SE tube amps because they
>>>have a very low AC resistance, much lower than electrolytic caps.
>>>Power supply caps are selected for their AC resistance, the capacity
>>>in uF being only one of -several- factors figuring into the equation.
>>
>>Motor run caps are not the ones that blow up in amplifier power
>>supplies.
>
>
>Gee..... why not, Dave?

Different construction and characteristics.

>
>>>>But you keep changing the criteria to make you point. What might
>>>>happen in a D.C. block in an audio amp would not happen in a power

>>>>supply filter.
>>>
>>>
>>>I see you have finally caught up.
>>
>>I never needed to "catch up", but when we're discussing a particular
>>failure mode in one application, and you bring up a different
>>application as a justification for a differing point, that does
>>nothing but cloud the point.
>
>
>Then why did you bring up the topic of power supply caps in sweep tube
>amps? Just to "cloud the point"? Don't blame -me- because you confused
>yourself with your own obfuscations.

The power supply cap example was made to illustrate the types of
failure that caps can have.

>>>A highly inductive load can drive the caps backwards via flyback. I've
>>>never seen it happen myself but I know it's possible.
>>
>>Yes, that is certainly possible.

>As you say, "apology accepted".

>> But not in the equipment that I've
>>worked on. There is no inductive components past the power supply
>>filter caps, with the exception of a small 10 uH RF blocking choke.
>>Hardly enough to generate enough "kick back" to reverse polarity.

What were you saying about apologies? You might try finishing the
whole statement, which established the parameters of the discussion,
before you declare victory.

>Oddly enough, I have seen caps blow more often in solid-state
>equipment.

The world is full of mysteries. That's what makes it interesting. But
at least you admit that caps do blow.

>> In the solid state equipment, cap failures are
>>less obvious and usually not total. On older radios (25+ years) the
>>main cap failure mode is excessive deviation from original value. You
>>don't like the term "drying out", but whatever process is responsible
>>for this phenomenon is quite prevalent.
>
>
>Again in contrast to your stated experience, I have rarely seen caps
>in solid-state circuits deviate significantly from their rated
>tolerance (unless there was a total failure). On the contrary, I
>-have- seen such deviation much more frequently in high-voltage power
>supplies. You call it "drying-out", I call it "aging" -- it amounts to
>the same thing.

Yes, it pretty much does amount to the same thing. I am puzzled that you've never seen large value changes. Ceramic "disk" caps are pretty good at holding value. I have seen some mylar caps change though. But small electrolytics are known for value changes. The thing is that you normally won't know it unless the cap is critical to the stability to the circuit.

I have had low audio gain problem is CB radios where the problem turned out to be a nearly open electroyitic coupling cap. Not once or twice, but many times. These problems started happening (not so coincidentally) when radios started being made in Malaysia and other "low budget" shops.

>>>Well, if the AC resistance of the leaky cap dropped because of the
>>>leak, then a greater AC voltage would be developed across the good
>>>cap. This in turn would cause a greater AC current in the good cap,
>>>and therefore more heating. So maybe the cap blew because of the extra
>>>heat in combination with the higher DC stresses. I can see how -that-
>>>could happen.
>>
>>That's exactly what I told you a few posts back. Only I didn't use the
>>term A.C.. A leaky cap decreases D.C. resistance, which decreases A.C.
>>resistance as well. Nice of you to finally get my point.
>>
>>Wait, you're trying to play with my head aren't you? Trying to make me
>>change my tune? That only works when the "tune" is sufficiently
>>different.
>
>
>Hardly. The mechanisms behind our descriptions are different, and
>your's neglected to address the heat generated by AC resistance in the
>-good- cap. In fact, you denied AC resistance was even a factor.

Because it's really not. It's the DC resistance that matters. That's what happens when a cap gets leaky, it develops a decreasing DC resistance. A.C. resistance is irrelevant when there is no A.C. present.

> Yet
>you just agreed above that it -is- a factor while making the excuse
>that you "didn't use the term A.C." Another flip-flop.

Yes, you made another.

>>>>>Ok then. Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component.
>>>>
>>>>>Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC component.
>>>>
>>>>Those two statements are contradictory.
>>>
>>>
>>>Not at all. Your definition that AC must be a zero-crossing current
>>>was repealed along with prohibition. The problem was that there were

>>>getting to be too many terms for variations of direct current, like
>>>'varying direct current' (vdc), 'pulsating direct current' (pdc),
>>>'interrupted direct current'.....etc. So the concept has since been
>>>simplified to say that any current has an AC component and a DC
>>>component.
>>
>>You stated: "Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component. "
>>
>>Then you stated: "Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC
>>component."
>>
>>Yet you cannot see how these two statements contradict each other?
>>
>>If you change the first statement to: "Certain voltages have a DC
>>component and an AC component. ", then the two statements would not
>>conflict.

>In several posts you have demonstrated that you have a problem
>differentiating voltage and current. You should address that issue.

I have no trouble. And how would the difference between voltage and
current relate to those two contradictory statements you made above?

>Now..... since you want to invoke semantics, I'll state this more
>clearly for you: A pure DC current has an AC component with a value of
>zero, and a pure AC current has a DC component with a value of zero.
>
>Feel better?

I should ask you if that tap dance felt good. What good is stating
that D.C. has an A.C. component of zero? It's the same thing as saying
there is no A.C. on a pure D.C. current.

It's misleading to state that one type of current has another type of
current as well, and then back pedal and state that that component is
zero. Then why bring it up at all?

>>>That's what I said. If the input power is 60 Hz then 120 Hz would be a
>>>harmonic, right?
>>
>>Technically, it's not a harmonic, it is the result of the full wave
>>rectification process. But there would be harmonics of 120 hz, but at
>>a substantially reduced level.
>
>
>Technically, it -is- a harmonic. The source of the fundamental
>frequency was acted upon by a non-linear function (rectification). The
>products of rectification are the harmonics of the fundamental. A
>frequency-multiplier circuit works on the very same principle, with
>the additional function of tuning the output to a specific harmonic.
>
>Basics, Dave. Learn the basics.

I know the basics. 120 hz is the fundamental frequency of a full wave
rectification, not a harmonic of it.

>>>>>The term "ripple" is old and has been replaced by "pard", which
>>>>>includes all AC components (or fluctuations) including hum, noise and
>>>>>spikes.
>>>>
>>>>That's because newer switching supplies create more noise, hash, and
>>>>spikes, then a simple linear supply would. Hence the need for a new
>>>>term, which encompasses a broader definition.
>>>
>>>
>>>If you say so.
>>
>>I did.
>
>
>Twice asserted. Again, as you say, "apology accepted".

For what? Providing a reason why the term ripple has been replaced by
a newer term and establishing the parameters by which that term came
to be, and illustrated why those parameters are irrelevant for the
linear supplies we are currently discussing?

>>>So if you have a cap connected between a DC
>>>source and ground then the DC is blocked while any AC is passed to
>>>ground.
>>
>>That depends on the reactance of the capacitor and the frequency of
>>the A.C.. In a power supply a large electrolytic cap acts much like a
>>battery, by providing a short duration storage for peak voltage in
>>order to "smooth" out the momentary loss of voltage between ripple
>>peaks. The higher the current draw of the supply, the more capacitance
>>you need to filter it effectively.
>
>
>Dave, can't you see that we're saying the same thing? The difference
>is that your explanation is sourced from an education lacking in the
>fundamental concepts of electronics.

Why is that "lacking"? I understand the mechanics of why the circuit
works. In the grand scheme of things, it doesn't matter whether you
follow the hole theory or the electron theory, as long as you can use
it to troubleshoot effectively, then that's all that matters in this
case.

>A capacitor -does- store a charge, and the amount of that charge -is-
>a function of it's capacitance. But it's ability to -accept- and
>-deliver- that charge leaves a gaping hole in your explanation. As I
>said before, the value in capacitance is -only one- factor of its AC
>resistance -- i.e, its ability to "filter" AC from a DC current.

It "filters" by charging and discharging, against the ripple.

>And for a better perspective, dust off your book on basic electronics
>and look up the page that covers simple LC filters -- low-pass and
>high-pass. Notice that a high-pass filter has the cap in series with
>the source, while the cap in the low-pass filter is an AC -shunt-. Now
>you have already admitted that a power supply cap is nothing more than
>a component of a filter, and I think it's safe to conclude that you
>meant a low-pass filter (since the intended output is DC).

True.

> Now unless
>you intend to argue that the capacitor in an LC low-pass filter does
>-not- shunt AC to ground, you have once again shown that you don't
>have a firm grasp of the basics.

The problem is that while what you say about low pass filters is 100%
true, when you start talking about power supplies, the job of the
filter caps becomes more like small temporary batteries than filter
components. I can turn off my 30 amp supply, and it will continue to
supply 12V for about 30 seconds (unloaded) before the caps finally
bleed off.

>>>>> When
>>>>>the load becomes large then so does the ripple, and if the cap has a
>>>>>high AC resistance then it will get hot very quickly.
>>>>
>>>>How? There is no A.C.! Pulsating D.C. does not switch polarity.
>>
>>>Update your lexicon and then read it again.
>>
>>There is still no A.C. If a voltage "swings" or "ripples" between +9V
>>and +10V, it is still keeping the cap charged in the proper polarity.
>>If the voltage swings negative, then you will have a problem, unless
>>the cap is a non-polarized electrolytic, like the type commonly found
>>in audio crossover circuits and other places.
>
>
>You're stalling with semanitcs, but even -then- you are wrong. Say
>your PS cap is charged to a DC voltage. When the power supply pulls
>the ripple to it's peak then current is flowing -out- of the cap. When
>the ripple bottoms out then current is flowing -into- the cap.

You've got that backwards. When ripple peaks, the cap charges. When
the ripple ebbs, the cap discharges.

>You
>have described the very same action at least twice. The problem is
>that you didn't even know that AC stands for "Alternating CURRENT"!!!
>The current is indeed alternating, even according to the obsolete
>definition of AC.

The polarity is not reversing. It is not A.C.

>>>>>Just about everyone who has ever used a soldering iron thinks they can
>>>>>write a course on power supplies.
>>>>
>>>>Well considering that they were the very first thing that I studied
>>>>and built in tech school, it's pretty much basic stuff.
>>>
>>>
>>>I hate to break this to you but your tech school did a piss-poor job
>>>if the curriculum started with the study and construction of complex
>>>circuits before covering the basics.
>>
>>That's HOW we studied the basics. How better to study Ohm's and
>>Kirchoff's law, and basic D.C. and A.C. fundamentals than to use a
>>basic building block, found in all electronic devices? What could be
>>more basic than a power supply?
>
>
>Oh, gee, I don't know..... How about:
>
>A battery & a resistor;
>Resistors in series and parallel;
>An inductor in a DC and AC current;
>Inductors in series and parallel;
>An inductor with a saturable core;
>A capacitor in a DC and AC current;
>Capacitors in series and parallel;
>A resistor and capacitor in series and parallel;
>A resistor and inductor in series and parallel;
>An inductor and capacitor in series and parallel;
>A resistor, inductor & capacitor in various networks;
>A current transformer;
>A voltage transformer;
>A power transformer;
>Impedance transformation of a transformer;
>A transformer with a resistive load;
>A transformer with a reactive load.......

All of which can be demonstrated by a power supply and associated lab
experiments.

>And I haven't even touched on semiconductors yet. Shall I continue
>with this list?

Sure, you've already made my case for me.

>>My tech school was a "hands-on" style of teaching. They could have
>>bored everyone to tears by writing all sorts of math formulas on a
>>chalk board and demonstrating how things were supposed to work. But it
>>was much more rewarding and easier to relate to the circuits if we
>>could actually see it work in real life.
>
>

>If observation alone was sufficient for an education then anyone who
>ever listened to the radio or watched a television would be an
>engineer. That isn't the case. Those boring equations are technical
>and mathematical descriptions of how electronic components and
>circuits work in "real life". If you didn't learn these fundamental
>concepts because electronic theory "bores you to tears" then you
>probably shouldn't be discussing the subject with an EE who -did-
>learn the basics (and in much more depth than they are covered in any
>"tech school").

I am an engineer Frank. Tech school was my first taste of formal
education, which I attended starting when I was 15 years old. Theory
was a part of the curriculum, but it was closely accompanied by lab
experiments where we could "put the theory to work" in actual
practical circuits.

>>>The cap is in parallel with -both- the source and load impedances; and
>>>while the load impedance may be linear, that's rarely the case for the
>>>source impedance.
>>
>>But resistances and impedances in parallel tend to act like resistors
>>in parallel, and the results are similar. The source impedance, in the
>>case of a power supply is what is contained in the rectifiers. The
>>transformer is pretty much isolated at this point.
>
>
>Wrong, wrong, and even -more- wrong. First, an impedance is not only
>resistance but also -reactance-.

No kidding.

>Second, the power transformer is a
>source impedance with an inductive reactance that changes with the
>load. Third, the load can be (and frequently is) reactive to some
>extent.

Not if the load is purely resistive.

> Fourth, the caps are -both- a source and load impedance,
>depending on which end you are looking at. Fifth, the rectifiers are
>nonlinear components but they -do- have a linear region (conduction)
>which passes impedances quite nicely, and in both directions......
>
>Shall I continue with -this- list?

When you are trying to cloud a simple circuit like a power supply by
bringing up a bunch of barely relevant issues, who am I to stop you?

A power supply is not an oscillator (Well, it's not supposed to be), a
doubly balanced mixer, a PLL circuit, or a transmitter. It is a simple
A.C. to D.C. converter. Why try to make it more complicated than it
truly is?

>>>Also, the capacitance of an electrolytic isn't a
>>>constant; it's non-linear with respect to the voltage (a factor of
>>>it's AC resistance, and another reason why audiophiles prefer motor
>>>caps over electrolytics).
>>
>>Well of course! Look at any R/C time constance charge/discharge cycle
>>and it's not a straight line. As the cap reaches 70% of it's
>>capacity, the charge rate slows.

>Holy crap, Dave..... didn't they teach you -anything- in that "tech
>school"? The RC curve is the relationship between voltage and time,
>and assumes that both the resistance and capacitance are constants.
>What I said (and what you are ignoring) is that the capacitance of an
>electrolytic cap is -not- a constant.

Isn't that what I just said?

> And because it is not a constant
>the RC curve does -not- follow the same path that it would if the
>capacitance -was- a constant. And BTW, the RC curve becomes a straight
>line when the current is constant because the charge rate of the cap
>is a fuction of current, not voltage. This is yet another example of
>your inability to distinguish current and voltage.

Are you trying to say that an R/C time constant is a straight line? I
sure hope not. If not, then you agree with me.

>>> Both these nonlinearities end up converting
>>>your overly-simplistic R/C time constant analysis into an integral
>>>function.
>>
>>Call it what you will. My "overly simplistic" analysis covers the
>>conditions of what is actually happening.
>
>
>It's clear that you have absolutely no clue as to what is actually
>happening. Learn the basics, Dave.

I know the basics. I also know the more advanced stuff.

>>That sounds like double talk. How can a simple concept be described in
>>overly simplistic complexities?
>>
>>> It's like you are trying to describe baseball
>>>with dumbed-down Newtonian analysis. You still think you can write a
>>>course on the subject? I don't think so.
>>
>>It's time to remind you of Occam's razor. I tend to use simple terms
>>when they fit. There's no need to be any more complicated than
>>necessary. My explanations were just that. I could have gone to the
>>trouble of mathematically explaining the whole deal, but that would be
>>far more effort than this thread deserves.
>>

>>The complexities have been introduced by you in an effort to discredit
>>my explanations. While you can't deny them, you can inflate their
>>complexity and then accuse me of not explaining it thoroughly enough.
>
>
>You are once again confused. In your attempt to demonstrate that you
>know what you are talking about you have ultimately proven that your
>understanding is poor at best. THAT was my point, and THAT is what is
>evident from your technical explanations

Perhaps it is not me that needs a refresher course in electronic
theory. It would seem that real life experience has paralleled my
understanding of the hows and whys and has contradicted what you have
said. In other words, I have seen nothing in my 35+ years of playing
with and repairing radios that goes along with your observations. But
I have seen many that have agreed with mine. Now, unless you want to
make the case that the laws of physics works differently on the left
coast, I would tend to question your depth of "knowledge".

> Maybe you think you can leaf
>through a few textbooks, speed-read a few concepts, paraphrase them
>and try to pass them off as if they were integrated into your
>education.

That's what it seems you have been doing. Only you forgot to read the
part about caps drying out.

> Well, you can't. I've been in the field long enough to
>recognize that scam and it doesn't work. It didn't work for Skippy, it
>didn't work for Toll, it didn't work for Eitner and it won't work for
>you. But please don't stop trying because I find it very entertaining.

Maybe you are the one who doesn't know what you are talking about
Frank. You've gotten into "discussions" with other people before and
tried to play that game. In some of those cases the stuff you brought
up was a bit off-the-wall, and contrary to accepted practices. The
whole "coax length" debates come to mind.

>
>>>>>So when is your book coming out?
>>>>
>>>>Since power supplies are so basic, and there is no new information
>>>>that I could tell that isn't already widely known, my effort would be
>>>>superfluous.
>>>
>>>
>>>I think you might have meant 'redundant', but 'superfluous' is really
>>>a much better choice.
>>
>>That's why I chose it. Redundant would fit too.
>
>

>I'll give you another opportunity to look up those words.

Why? I am fully aware of the definitions of both.

>
>
>>>>>>If a cap explodes due to an excessive load, then the evidence of the
>>>>>>failure which cause that excessive load should be evident. If not then
>>>>>>you can't conclude that the cap died due to ripple.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The cause of failure is not always evident in the equipment.
>>>>
>>>>No, it's not. But in a simple application of a HV power supply in a
>>>>tube amp, it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause.
>>>
>>>
>>>It's not always easy.
>>
>>No, but it is doable.
>
>
>Another flip-flop. Make up your mind -- is it easy or not?

Depends on the equipment and the application.

Not easy does not mean impossible, or even improbable. It is easy to
eliminate the majority of common practical causes. In most cases, the
cause will be found during this process. In those rare cases where the
cause is not part of the statistically probable causes, a little more
investigative time may be involved. Troubleshooting is all about
knowing the statistics. If you get in a piece of equipment that shows
a common failure that you've seen before, would it make sense to check
unrelated parts, or would it make more sense to go where the previous
faults have been found?

>
>
>>><snip>
>>>>>>You are right, in a sense, that you can't always tell what DID cause
>>>>>>the cap to blow, but you can determine what DID'NT cause it to fail.
>>>>>>It then becomes a simple process of elimination.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>The possibilities are limitless so any such conclusion would be based
>>>>>only on probability.
>>>>
>>>>The possibilities are not limitless. There are a finite set of
>>>>conditions which a particular circuit can find itself in. Is it
>>>>probable that a 12V supply was exposed to 500V?
>>>
>>>

>>>Depends on the circuit.
>>
>>A solid state CB radio power supply.
>
>
>Isolation failure in the line transformer can easily put a couple
>hundred volts on your 12 volt supply. Seen it a few times (twice with
>Pyramid power supplies -- cheap transformers that are too small for
>the rated output).

Never seen it happen. A breakdown of transformer insulation will
usually result in a short and a blown fuse.

>>>Many, simply because the cause of a failure isn't limited to internal
>>>influences. But even if you limit the scope to the equipment, the
>>>failure of other components is not always obvious. And here's a
>>>perfect example:
>>>
>>>The first Peavey 1.3K I ever worked on came into the shop with a blown
>>>bank of power transistors (not uncommon with Peavey amps) and a bad
>>>OP-amp. The obvious conclusion is that the OP-amp locked up and drove
>>>the bank into overload (the amp also has a triac clamp but it rarely
>>>works -- it just nukes a trace on the board and lets the bank blow).
>>>Anyway, that wasn't the case at all. I replaced damn near everything
>>>in that amp and it would still lock up. To make an already long story
>>>short... it turns out that Peavey, in their economical wisdom, used a
>>>dual-diode as bias seperation for opposite banks of power transistors
>>>without noting it in their literature, and one of those diodes had
>>>shorted. The component appeared to be perfectly functional, showing a
>>>forward voltage drop characteristic of a typical silicon diode, but it
>>>was supposed to be -twice- that drop.
>>
>>Ah, so instead of a 1.2V drop, it was only showing .6V? A change in
>>bias can certain cause a problem like that. But your example does not
>>negate my statement. You found that another component failed, which
>>resulted in a chain reaction failure. The cause of the failure was
>>found. But if you can't pin down the cause of failure to any other
>>component, you are left to consider that either the bad component
>>failed on its own, or it was subjected to a momentary stress beyond
>>its limits through some external event.
>
>
>Actually, it was a design flaw. The diode was supposed to be thermally
>connected to the heatsink, but was mounted such that the thermal link
>was nothing more than a blob of heatsink grease. The grease dried out
>and the redidue became a very good insulator. Regardless, my point was
>that the failure is not always intrinsic to the circuit or device;
>many times the problem is caused by outside influences, such as
>improper operation or maintenance, poor design, manufacturing flaws,
>etc. And many of those faults are not always evident; i.e, they don't
>leave evidence of the cause of the failure. The fact is that there was

>no evidence of the cause of the failure in the Peavey. I learned of
>the cause after harassing Peavey tech support until they forked over
>the info (I really think they try to keep faults like that a secret
>because they make so much money on spare parts, but I suppose that's
>just one aspect of modern business practices).

So you finally found the source of the problem. You simply found another possibility to consider. It was nothing magical. The next time you see an amp like that with the same problem, will you not then check that diode first? That's what I mean about experience and statistical probability.

You might have half a leg up on me in pure theory, but you are seriously lacking the proper mindset to be an effective troubleshooter, as you don't seem to grasp the analytical mindset that's required or the practical application of your theory.

>>>So there are -many- possibilities that may or may -not- be obvious.
>>
>>I respectfully disagree. There ARE other possibilities yes, but I
>>disagree that there are "many". A simple process of elimination will
>>whittle that number down to a few highly probable possibilities.

>A shorted resistor is not "highly probable", nor is a half-shorted
>double diode, a PCB trace with a hairline crack, an incorrect slug in
>an RF or IF transformer, etc. I can make a list here, too.

Have you ever seen a bell curve? Have you ever studied the Taguchi methods of quality?

Much of this assumes a certain degree of predictable and probable failure areas.

Is a shorted resistor the first thing you consider? The PC trace crack?

The double diode should have been on the list though. As one of the components that set the bias, it would be highly suspicious in a cascade meltdown of several amp stages. At least it would be to me.

The incorrect slug is a interesting one. If the radio once worked, there is no way that this could happen. If you were told that someone else tried to fix it, then I would be looking for things like that. I have seen similar problems with "Screwdriver Tech" mods. Undoing improper repairs is another statistic to consider.

>>>>>>It's like forensic science. We reconstruct an incident based on the
>>>>>>evidence in the aftermath.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave, before you use the word "forensic" again, do yourself a favor
>>>>>and look it up in the dictionary.
>>>>

>>>>Have you never heard of forensic criminalists, who gather evidence to
>>>>reconstruct a crime to prove innocence or guilt? Watch CSI sometime to
>>>>get familiar with them. Or, if you prefer a less dramatic example, the
>>>>Discovery channel has a show called "forensic files" which documents
>>>>actual criminal forensic cases. Court TV has similar shows.
>>>>
>>>>The example I used was similar in method.
>>>
>>>
>>>Gee, another excuse.
>>
>>A perfectly valid one.
>
>
>You -still- haven't looked up the word. Shame.....

I don't have to. I know what it means. I was comparing methodologies
between one science and another. You, on the other hand, failing to
see the big picture, are attempting to make hay by arguing semantics.
You are starting to adopt the tactics of a certain fruitcake who also
resides here.

>What you initially described is called 'failure analysis' (or 'crime
>scene analysis', as per your example). The term "forensic science" is,
>literally, the -presentation- of a scientific analysis.

For legal purposes. But the methodologies employed by criminalists is
similar.

>>>>I have a battery charger which is unfiltered (the battery acts as the
>>>>filter cap), which may serve the purpose nicely.
>>>
>>>
>>>Go for it! Don't forget to use several different caps, measuring both
>>>AC and DC volts across the cap, as well as the time it takes until
>>>failure. Post the results.
>>
>>When I get a chance, I'll set it up. I need to find some high current
>>resistors that can stand dissipating 20 amps or so.
>
>
>What do you need resistors for? Just hook the puppies up! But if you
>want a resistor anyway try an electric space heater or stove element.

Without a sufficient load resistor, how can I validate your assertion
that increased ripple (due to load) is the cause of cap failure?
Without the load, if I place a cap across the output of the battery
charger, all I've done is create a filtered DC power supply. The cap
should last for years under those conditions, as would be expected.

I have yet to see an electric space heater than runs on 12 V. The
current required would make it impractical. My space heater draws 12

amps at 120V. At 12 volts, it would have to draw 120 amps for the same
wattage.

A stove element might work. I'll have to measure the resistance and
calculate the load.

>>>>Why use a light bulb to do a zener's job?
>>>
>>>
>>>The point was that the characteristics of a low-power device can be
>>>amplified. And yes, a light bulb is sometimes preferable to a zener
>>>because zeners are noisy little bastards.
>>
>>That shouldn't matter in a power supply.
>
>
>Power supplies are not the only circuits that use regulators. And yes,
>the noise of a zener is amplified by a power transistor along with
>it's nonlinear characteristics, which can be bad if the supply is to
>be used for low-noise applications.

Ok. I won't argue the needs of special applications.

>That's not what I described. I described a thermistor. Try reading it
>again for content.
>
>
>>What you have are 3 factors (Voltage, current and resistance). When
>>you hold voltage constant, you can measure a change in temperature as
>>a change in current.
>
>
>Very good! That's all I was trying to say! Sheesh......
>
>
>> But what if you increase the voltage? Will the
>>bulb not increase current and therefore temperature?
>
>
>Congratulations, you found the missing step!

I'm not the one missing that step. You acted as if that step didn't
exist.

>
>
>>>>> IOW, the current density through a cross-section of filament
>>>>>is the same regardless of it's thickness or length.
>>>>
>>>>A 12V bulb will have a thicker filament than a 120V bulb for the same
>>>>given wattage. That's because the amount of current in a 12V bulb is
>>>>10 times higher than the same wattage bulb in a 120 V configuration.

>>>
>>>
>>>True, but the cross-sectional current density must be the same in
>>>order to reach the same temperature where it emits the same spectrum.
>>>So why would a thicker filament last longer?
>>
>>It's physically more durable. Less prone to shock damage.
>
>
>I don't buy that simply because an auto bulb encounters much more
>shock and vibration than most household lightbulbs.

Which is the point I was making. A household light bulb would never
last under the conditions found in an automobile. The filament in an
automotive bulb is thicker.

>And UV bulbs have
>a much thinner filament than a standard white bulb (to achieve a
>higher temperature, and therefore a higher part of the spectrum), yet
>they don't seem to burn out significantly faster. So your explanation
>is still lacking any substance.

Compared to a 12V UV bulb?

>>>>>Well, I'm an engineer, you know me, and I haven't ever used the term
>>>>>"drying out" to describe a capacitor failure. Maybe "dielectrically
>>>>>challenged"..... no, I haven't used that either.
>>>>
>>>>You are but one man.
>>>
>>>
>>>Feel free to name some engineers who use the term "drying out" to
>>>describe capacitor failure.

>>>Even better, try referencing it in some
>>>authoritative literature. If it's a common term then it should be well
>>>published, right? (Actually, it -is- a common term with engineers but
>>>only in reference to batteries.)
>>
>>I entered the term "capacitors drying out" into google and came up
>>with 63 links to the term used in a similar context to what I've
>>promoted here. I didn't have the time to read them all, but be my
>>guest if you are so inclined.
>>
>>I accept your apology.
>
>
>63 hits? That's all? I'm sure there are -plenty- more references from
>people who, like yourself, mistakenly attribute the term "drying out"
>to capacitors. Heck, I got over a million hits for "Santa Claus" but
>that doesn't mean he exists.

Santa Claus does exist. Ask my daughter.

> How about an -authoritative- reference,
>Dave? You know the difference, don't you?

You asked me to provide examples of people (other than me) who used
the term. I did that. Now, that's not good enough for you? The term is
common, even if you refuse to acknowledge it. The fact that you refuse
to accept it unless it's used in form of "reference" book is
illustrative of nothing more than a stubborn adherence to your
ignorance on the subject.

>>><snip>
>>>>>Hmm.... My test procedure for that rig used 5mV to set 50% modulation,
>>>>>with VR6
>>>>>
>>>>>Step 7, and it's 0.5 mV.
>>>>
>>>>It's 5mV in my book.
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't know what book you have (probably Sams) but mine is the
>>>service manual provided by Radio Shaft. I can scan the page if you
>>>want. If your book is in error that might explain your need to bypass
>>>the LPF.
>>
>>.5 mV won't even tickle the modulation in most radios. Certainly not
>>enough to make 100%.
>
>
>Geez Dave, can't you read? The spec was 0.5mV for -50%- modulation.

My book says 5 mV for 50% modulation.

>And yes, it will most definitely "tickle" the modulation because mine
>is set just as described and it works dandy. BTW, your lack of both
>experience and education is showing

Yea, it's showing that you put your foot in your mouth, and you don't
know how to back it out and still save face.

> -- typical output of a dynamic
>microphone just -begins- in the low microvolt range. We're talking
>-60dB or less. The dynamic range of a human voice isn't that great,
>barely 20dB, yet the dynamic range of CB AVC circuits (or AMC, AGC,
>CVA, etc) can be anywhere from 40 to 60dB, which is such a wide range
>for a number of reasons, not the least of which is the wide variety of
>microphones, voices, and distances between the mics and the voices.

>And 0.5mV is plenty for 50% mod, especially when the radio's mic
>sensitivity is specified for 1.0mV minimum at 50% mod.

Then .5 mV would make about 25% modulation. Not enough to set any
alignment points.

> I offered to
>scan the page..... are you sure you don't want to see it?

I believe you know how to read. But what you are reading is wrong.

> After all,
>Uniden made these radios for radio shaft, so it was -Uniden- that
>provided the information in the service manual.

Are you sure about that? Are you also confident that the decimal point
was not a typo?

>Not like Sams which
>got their info from reverse engineering.

And you know this how?

>So which manual do -you-
>think is more likely to be accurate, Dave? Don't you think Occam's
>Razor applies in this case? Or is this another case for Sherlock
>Holmes?

Well, the issue becomes, one of our manuals is wrong.

And once again Frank, the fact is that I can find NO other reference
in any of my other numerous manuals (Some are factory) for dozens of
radios which calls for such a low level of mike input. So now that
leaves 2 possibilities. Either:

A. You are wrong.
B. Every one of my test procedures are wrong.

Now what is the statistical probability of either of those choices?

>>BTW, there is no "need" to bypass the LPF. It's just that the radio
>>sounds better IMHO that way. The LPF overly restricts the audio
>>bandwidth and kills fidelity. If you like sounding like you're talking
>>into a paper cup, then fine. I admit that I'm somewhat of an audio
>>purist. I like smooth "broadcast quality" audio. That's my personal
>>preference. YMMV.
>
>
>CB is not intended for high-fidelity. Passing the higher freqs widens
>the bandwidth of the channel and makes it more likely to bleed into an
>adjacent channel. That's one reason why CB mods are illegal.

No kidding. But the audio BPF also cuts back on the very low
frequencies as well. All I know is that the radio is more pleasing to
the ear when the BPF is removed. From a purely legal standpoint, you
are correct.

>
>Regardless, you can get improved sound with nothing more than a better
>mic. The stock microphones are usually designed for 300-3000Hz and are
>as flat as the Matterhorn. Plug an electret into the radio and the

>response flattens out, making it sound much better even -with- the LP
>filter. This should come as no suprise since 3000Hz is a pretty high
>frequency when compared to the human voice. Only Kate Bush could sing
>-that- high.

300-3000 hz is commonly referred to as "communications quality" audio.
This is why most AM rigs have 6 Khz filters in them and SSB rigs have
3 Khz filters. But people like to run D-104's on their rigs, and the
BPF mod makes the radio sound better on those mikes.

You and I can debate on which method makes more sense, and from a
puritanical standpoint I would probably agree with you. But you have
to remember, that when you are in business working on radios for other
people, they don't want to hear that their mikes are junk, or that
they should do this or that. They want the cheap and easy fix. That's
what removing the BPF gives them. It makes the rig sound as good as
their last one on the same mike.

>>> Higher drive at mid-modulation will push the audio into
>>>compression earlier, which would easily account for your audio
>>>sounding "mushy and compressed", just as you described.
>>
>>Yes it would. But that's not the reason in my case. What was that you
>>tried to say before about acknowledging other possibilities?
>
>
>Why should I? My audio sounds fine. I'm not the one with "mushy and
>compressed" audio. I'm not the one who uses a book with an error in
>the alignment procedures.

Probability would suggest that yes, you did.

> And I'm not the one who felt the need to
>bypass the LP filter. I don't see any reason to even consider any
>other possibilities. If it works, don't fix it.

There's "works" and then there's "works better".

>>> My radio
>>>sounds just fine without the modification, so it's very likely that
>>>-your- book is in error.
>>
>>There is no error in my book. It correlates with other radio alignment
>>procedures.
>>
>>Perhaps your book is in error. You assume that because it came from
>>Radio Crap, it's infallible.
>
>
>Wrong. I never assumed any such thing. You mentioned the discrepancy
>so I referenced it's other specs, as well as specs from other radios.

What other radios?

>The only discrepancy is with -your- book. It stands alone in the
>modulation procedure you described.

No, in fact YOURS stands alone.

>The question is, given how your
>own description of the audio is indicative of misalignment, and that I
>have a more authoritative reference that disputes yours, why do -you-
>assume that -your- book is correct?

Because it agrees with several dozen other books.

>>My procedure is similar to what other radios also prescribe. After
>>all, there isn't much difference in the output that a given stock
>>microphone presents.

>Wrong. I have lots of mics that have widely different levels. And the
>useful dynamic range of any given mic can be 30 to nearly 100dB,
>depending on the type. That's why one mic will sound great on one
>radio but crappy on another, and vice-versa.

That has little to do with actual output level and more to do with
frequency response.

>>The fact that your radio "sounds fine" is a matter of some
>>subjectivity. I didn't say that the radios (Radio shack, Cobra and
>>President versions of this chassis) that I've worked on sounded "bad",
>>only that I felt that they sounded "better" with a modified LPF.
>>Again, a matter of personal preference.
>
>
>You said they sounded "mushy and compressed". That isn't bad? Geez
>Dave, how many times can you flip-flop in one post?

A personal preference. I am an audio purist, like I said before. Other
people may not hear anything wrong. Hell, some people find the sound
of an overdriven class "C" amplifer as "fine".

>>> Not only that, I'm suprised that a person of
>>>your self-proclaimed experience would not have suspected the error
>>>earlier -- after all, your own description of the audio quality is
>>>indicative of overmodulation.
>>
>>Not at all. 5 mV is hardly too much audio drive. Both the mike preamp
>>compression circuits and the modulation AMC were working properly. The
>>compressed sound was due to lousy audio bandwidth,
>

>Or maybe it was due to a misalignment which caused a downward
>expansion in a region where a flat response is intended?

What kind of B.S. are you slinging now Frank? I could "align" that
radio with my eyes closed. Please don't insult me.

>Naw, that
>couldn't be it. You and your crystal ball must be right, Dave, it
>couldn't be anything else.

When I'm right, I'm right. My experience tells me so.

>> coupled to a
>>microphone (A D-104) which didn't mate well with the audio bandpass
>>characteristic that this radio with the LPF presented.
>
>
>D-104's suck. They have no low-end and the high-end is raspy.

The high end is fine when the crystal element is good, and hasn't hit
the floor one too many times.

>The
>output level of the unamplified models is too high for most radios,

Wrong. The output of the unamplified mike is high impedance, and will
not mate well with a solid state rig, unless the mike input impedance
is also high (Which it usually isn't).

>and the amplified models are usually turned up too high by the user.

That depends on the person.

>People like them because they are loud, but they are loud because of
>their high and narrow spectral response -- not a mic I would consider
>using for high-fidelity.

Most CB'ers want to be "loud and proud". Hence the immense popularity
of thr D-104.

> Want a good mic? Try a Shure 522 -- a lot
>cheaper than a D-104 and sounds a lot better.

I've used Shure 444's before. I'm not familiar with the 552.

>>> Yet you didn't bother to find a more
>>>authoritative reference, or compare the calibration specification to
>>>that of similar radios (I did, and 50% modulation at 0.5mV is common
>>>for Uniden radios that come with the same mic).
>>
>>Funny, I took a quick look through a bunch of different test
>>procedures for various radios. Audio input ranged from 5 mV
>>(Considering the gain of that mike preamp circuit, that's not
>>surprising), to 10, 20, 50, 70 and 100 mV, to set the AMC. In NONE of
>>them was there ever a call for anything less than that.
>
>
>Like I said, I can scan the page if you don't believe me.

I believe what you are reading. But I truly believe that it is WRONG>

>You can even
>ask Lance since I got the manual from him with the radio. BTW, have
>you ever seen a factory service manual? You know, one that wasn't
>published by Sams?

I have a dozen factory service manuals, including the Rat Shack TRC
448, the Lafayette Telsat SSB-140, the Uniden 2510, the RCI 2950, and
Yaesu's FT-101, and FT-757, and the Kenwood TS-700a and TS-530. Now,
what were you saying?

>>>You could have also
>>>compared the cal-spec the radio's rated mic sensitivity. But no, you
>>>decided -not- to look for the primary cause of the problem, assumed
>>>that the error was in the design and proceeded to chop the filter.
>>
>>Again, read my lips, there was NO "problem". This was a personal taste
>>modification made to improve the overall audio fidelity. I assumed
>>nothing, however you are doing more than enough assuming for both of
>>us.
>
>
>Let's put it this way: Have you heard the audio after aligning the
>radio according to factory specs? I have, and it doesn't sound "mushy
>and compressed" at all.

In your opinion.

> And I've worked with professional audio
>equipment for more than ten years at the station so I -do- know what
>"mushy and compressed" sounds like. Gee, I wonder why -your- radios
>sound that way but not mine.....

Because your experience in CB radio is seriously lacking.

>>>Dave, it's clear that in -both- electronics and politics you have a
>>>real problem accepting any possibilities outside the realm of your own
>>>prejudice.
>>
>>No, what's clear is that you take a scenario, make many speculative
>>assumptions about the reasons for it, and then come to a conclusion
>>that's based on those erroneous assumptions. It's no wonder you are so
>>easily brainwashed by left-wing propaganda.
>
>
>That's about the best example of projection I have ever read, Twisty's
>rants included.

Typical. Deny the truth by accusing the harbinger of that truth of
"projection". You are sounding more like Twisty every day.

>
>
>Oh heck, I'll scan the page anyway. I'll post the link later after I
>dig the scanner out of the pile (everything's a mess here right now).

No need. I believe you are reading what you are reading. It's just
wrong.

>>>>>>There is very little you could tell me about that radio (or more
>>>>>>specifically that chassis) that I don't already know.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I just did. Twice in this post and once in the previous post.
>>>>
>>>>What you "told" me wasn't of any real info about the radio itself.
>>
>>
>>>I told you some -very- real info about the radio -- you just didn't
>>>know how important that info is, or how it demonstrates that you
>>>aren't as good with electronics as you think you are.
>>
>>Like I said, there is nothing *valuable* you could tell me in a
>>hundred lifetimes, about that radio that I don't know, or haven't
>>experienced in one form or another. What you just recently learned, I
>>was doing 25 years ago. I've literally worked on over a hundred
>>versions of that radio. I used to sit there and play "what if" on my
>>own radio just for fun when I was bored and had nothing else to do.

>Wow! Over a hundred -versions- of that radio? I didn't even think
>there were a hundred CB companies at the time the radio was made!

A hundred examples of the various radio versions of that chassis.
Meaning, that I've worked on several examples of the TRC 449, TRC 458,
TRC 457, Cobra 138 XLR, Cobra 139 XLR, President Washington, etc.

> So
>you must really know your stuff, huh? But wait..... you don't even
>have a factory manual for the radio. So everything you know about the
>alignment of that radio is from where..... the Sams manual?

Maybe you need to be shown how to align a radio, but most radios I can
align without a procedure. After you've worked on as many as I have,
the tune up procedures are strikingly similar. I have a bench full of
test equipment, and a whole ton of experience. In fact, I go way
beyond the test procedures as most of them assume only modest test
equipment. I align transmitters with an oscilloscope and a spectrum
analyzer. I have an HP audio analyzer which I use to align receivers
for best signal to noise. I have a pulse noise source to align noise
blankers. I've come a long way since the 70's, when I had little more
than a VOM and a wattmeter.

>>I've designed controlled carrier modifications for it, FM receive and
>>transmit, 10 meter conversions, Digital readout frequency selection,
>>photocell LED and meter light dimmer circuits, wideband transmit and
>>receive, and a host of other things that I've long forgotten about.
>>Like I said, that chassis (in its various forms) has been one of my
>>all time favorites for the sheer ease at which the radio modified and
>>the overall capability of it.

>I'll be impressed when you can design one from scratch. But that would
>be superfluous, wouldn't it?

I have built radios from scratch. But nothing quite so elaborate.

>>>>>Thanks anyway, but I already used too much time pulling out the mods
>>>>>and restoring it to stock condition -- except for the faceplate. Got a
>>>>>spare?
>>>>
>>>>No I don't. Most of my spare faceplates belong to the bonepile radios,
>>>>most of which are crappy little AM mobiles.
>>>
>>>
>>>Heard that. Did you see the pic of my "bonepile" that I posted last
>>>fall? I must have a couple dozen of those cheap, little Cobras buried
>>>in the pile, not to mention a half-dozen or so mini-Midlands (which
>>>weren't too awfully bad little radios, just not worth fixing). I
>>>should go through the pile someday, make a list and post it for anyone
>>>looking for parts.
>>
>>I saw it. Your "bonepile" is a bit larger than mine. I've been slowly
>>trashing the real junk, as I've pretty much "retired" from the CB-tech
>>scene. It's just not worth the time any more, as I've got far too much
>>on my plate these days. Being a parent takes up a lot of time.

>So does the newsgroup, apparently.

Not at all. I do this during short "breaks" at work. When I travel or
things get really busy, I'll disappear for a while. But since I
switched to ptd.net, and my old newsreader stopped working and I had
to switch to Forte Agent, I don't even have a newsreader setup at
home.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2433. Re: America's public image-sux

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 16:39:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0b3n015v5clameu83f80u347la06cjk8u2@4ax.com>
Source record: 196073

On Wed, 09 Feb 2005 02:19:43 GMT, "Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@nerg.asn.au>
wrote:

>See, we don't worship our politicans like you lot in the US. Watching the
>recent US elections and ours at the same time, yours was a like a rock
>concert tour across the country, our's saw the PM travel the country, get
>egged, spat on, punched and was followed everywhere by a guy in a chicken
>suit giving him crap, the National News played this daily at 6pm-what a
>laugh . Only the guy that punched the PM was fined $500. (I bet if you did
>that to Bush the SS would kill you!)

>You lot go out and 'campaign' for your preffered party, we just turn up and
>vote, sometimes.
>
>Here's my example: Richie Cunningham (Ron Howard) went campaigning in "Happy
>Days" for I forget which party, but the one his Dad didn't like. He was a
>school kid campaigning for a political party and had all these people
>helping him.
>
>If I did what Richie did (and what many of you seem to do) my peers would
>steal all the flyers, give me a heap of crap and ask me why I am helping a
>politician and wasting my time.

Political apathy is a poor excuse for, and in fact leads to becoming
ignorant about what actually goes on and why.

I wouldn't brag about being deliberately not interested in who runs
your government.

Someone once said that those who do not take an active part in
deciding their leaders, deserve who they get.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2434. Re: ? ? ? Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven ? ? ?

2435. Re: To Chukkkeee

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 17:24:05 UTC
From: N8WWM is GAY <not4u@noway.org>
Message-ID: <l46n01dttu6u3j006hm23rp9lce9dsnl9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 196075

On 9 Feb 2005 13:35:14 -0800, "Psychiatrist to keyclowns"
<keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Green Day? Why would you need weed? All the psychotropics you are
>prescibed oughta be enough.
>
>By the way, had a good laff reading your bio again.

go put some more crisco on kathys back and shut up idiot.

2436. Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 17:47:49 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <qh7n0191m5mkci7aifjkpuuf15cei5b44l@4ax.com>
Source record: 196076

Hi Guys,

I heard the news via the internet... and it's true. Henry amps are no more...:

Here's the e-mail from Mr. Henry Himself:

From: "Ted Henry" <henryradio@earthlink.net>
To: "Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)" <**********@comcast.net>
Subject: Re: Lost your Lease?
Date: Thursday, February 10, 2005 12:23 PM

There were about 15 reasons why we stopped - the primary reason was that the business has lost money for a number of years. The loss of the lease was about 5th down on the many reasons it made no sense to continue the business.

Thanks for your interest.

Ted Shannon Henry

Ted Shannon
HENRY RADIO, INC.
2050 South Bundy Drive Suite 285
Los Angeles, CA 90025

henryradio@earthlink.net
www.henryradio.com
eBay Store: http://stores.ebay.com/Henry-Radio

310-820-1234 ext 310
310-826-7790 FAX

----- Original Message -----
From: Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)
To: henryradio@earthlink.net
Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2005 8:52 AM
Subject: Lost your Lease?

Hi Guys,

why not just find another building? and Continue on?

Makes no sense to stop.

-Chuck K8CPA

2437. Re: ? ? ? Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven ? ? ?

2438. Re: gay cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 19:14:27 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <420bb293$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 196078

I love cock.
>
"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1108002803.749046.255960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> there's nothing with our gay cb club. we just don't let niggers and
> jews in. i only blow well hung white guys.
>

2439. Re: I LOVE COCK!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 19:16:35 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <420bb313@x-privat.org>
Source record: 196079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I don't like women anyway slut!
>
"KC8QJP KATHY LEE" <N8WWM@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:42054b11@news.usenetzone.com...
>
> <WA3MOJ@aol.com> wrote in message news:41ffaac7@news.usenetzone.com...
>>I love nigger cock!
>>
> I love cock too but the doctor won't let doug butt bang me anymore.....he
> has a really bad heart.
>
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 120,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

2440. Cobra 2000 Repair Work!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 19:19:43 UTC
From: Ball and Chain <shaftny1@cox.net>
Message-ID: <PqOOd.37123$EG1.4634@lakeread04>
Source record: 196080

Looking for a good Tech to repair a Freq counter and a power supply for a Cobra 2000 !!!!!!

2441. Re: ar-2000 info wanted 10 meter mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 20:11:25 UTC
From: harvey <hdjames@bellsouthspamnot.net>
Message-ID: <G9POd.10068$Se6.4867@bignews6.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 196081

ok have it in my hands now, hmm...a little different than the midland , what
are the button sequence for 11 meter and cb on it? there isnt a 19 button
the face like the midland, did notice on the schematic that the button
marked freq it says it is unused...hmmmmaybe its a open up and change a jack
placement like they did later? anyone own one of these?
thx for ya help
harv
"Jim" <J*rase*@charter.net> wrote in message
news:%CMMd.26540$IL7.22546@fe06.lga...
| That's the same radio as the Midland 79-290. Do a search for that instead.
| They're not too expensive either, around $179 bucks or so.
|
|
| "harvey" <hdjames@bellsouthspamnot.net> wrote in message
| news:nALMd.27008$t67.122@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
| > anyone have any info on this rig?saw it on ebay box and description says
| > clear channel corp.
| > i did google it but came up nada, wondering if it was also put out
under
| a
| > different name
| > thx
| > harv
| >
| >
|
|

2442. Re: gay cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 20:16:09 UTC
From: Doug Adair <N8WWM@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <420bc109@x-privat.org>
Source record: 196082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.california,alt.politics.nationalism.white,soc.men

I suck any cock!
>
"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1108002803.749046.255960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> there's nothing with our gay cb club. we just don't let niggers and
> jews in. i only blow well hung white guys.
>

2443. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 20:16:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <97gn01511b6ffklmef9fk0shoc0ebrlc93@4ax.com>
Source record: 196083

On Tue, 08 Feb 2005 22:50:56 GMT, son_of_sparky@att.net wrote:

>> On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 19:06:53 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 11:41:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 23:49:44 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> >>wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>I am talking to a local on 38 LSB. I am cutting out after about 2-3 seconds of
>> >>>talking. He says I am fine for about 2-3 seconds, and then just go dead. Not
>> >>>happening on AM. I switched power mics, with the same result. Also happend on
>> >>>USB. Then I went and put the radio in the car, and the problem went away. The
>> >>>local said it's my power supply not having enough juice. But it's 12 amp
>> >>>constant, 14 amp surge. It's a PyramidPS-14KX. Again, I am running barefoot with
>> >>>a HR-2510. Is it the power supply? Should I add more caps for filtering?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>I need a little more information. Do the radio lights actually dim
>> >>when this happens?
>> >
>> >No.
>>
>> Hmmm.... I suspect that this is not a simple insufficient current
>> "power supply" issue. The power supply may be related, but there's
>> something else in the mix that we don't know about yet.
>>
>>
>> >>I does seem to sound like a power supply issue, but
>> >>you should be able to talk farther from the mike (Which allows the
>> >>radio to not peak as highly) and if it's a simple supply problem, at
>> >>some point the radio will work ok if it isn't putting out full power.
>> >>
>> >>The 2510 should not draw more than about 5 or 6 amps, depending on
>> >>whether the radio is totally stock, or whether the ALC has been
>> >>cranked open (Which will allow a 45 to 50 watts peak SSB output).
>> >
>> >I have not modded this radio. I am waiting on a dummy load. I do have a
>> >question. How high can I DK it and how how can I turn up the ALC, without
>> >overdriving the final?
>>
>> Is this the older version of the 2510 with the MRF-477 final in it?
>>

>> If so, the radio is capable of between 45 and 50 watts of peak SSB
>> power (with the ALC cranked open). For best power with some protection
>> from distortion, you can safely run it up to between 35 and 40 watts.
>> It's also capable of about the same peak power on AM, which means that
>> you should not set the carrier power above 12 watts, if you want to
>> maintain enough headroom for 100% modulation.
>>
>> Be careful though, the MRF 477 transistor is no longer manufactured,
>> and there is no direct replacement for it. So if you blow it, your
>> choices become limited. You might be able to locate someone who may
>> still have old stock of the '477 (at a premium price), or you can
>> retrofit another device, which can be done, but usually at a loss of
>> peak power out.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
>Dave I am hearing CBers on AM in the 10 meter cw band right now.
>Thanks for helping another unlicensed outlaw get his peaked up tweaked up
>illegal rig on the air to join them. Putz.
>

You're welcome.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2444. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 20:18:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <fagn015j7nsuile2gelumal3q6ftga4alu@4ax.com>
Source record: 196084

On 10 Feb 2005 13:16:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 09 Feb 2005 17:47:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> But I do get annoyed when certain people portray the attitude that
>> >> they're somehow "entitled" to break the law, as if it's some sort of
>> >> badge of honor.
>> >>
>> >Are YOU breaking the law when you run YOUR amp on 11 meters Dave?
>>
>> I don't run an amp on 11 meters. I haven't for quite a few years.
>>
>Uh huh..
>
>http://groups-beta.google.com/group/rec.radio.cb/msg/88634fc78a846d24

Yes? So I can't recount past experience with amps? I still use my
texas star on 10 meters, but no longer on 11.

>>
>> >I get annoyed at hypocrites.
>>
>> So do I.
>>
>> But how do you feel about people who reform?
>>
>More power to them.

Thank you for the vote of confidence.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2445. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 20:27:10 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <aegn01dfto7eiio3v8r7o2pn2bnvatotk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 196085

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 05:49:31 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>>Politics transcends logic.
>>>
>>>
>>>I rest my case. Goodbye, Dave.
>>
>>Which "case" was that Frank?
>
>
>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.

Are you mad? Are you here to tell me that politics is entirely
LOGICAL? If so, you, my friend, are seriously naive.

Politics is a matter of deciding which "side" makes the most sense to
you, based a lot on faith. Local cannot begin to explain the hows and
whys of the political mind.

>>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....
>
>
>Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other
>responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left
>with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know
>what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.

You really are becoming Twisty. You are even starting to spout out the
same unfounded accusations.

>Regardless, our discussions have already forced you to show both of
>your faces on several topics, and to continue would just be beating a
>dead cliche. So feel free to preach your self-righteous attitudes and
>technical 'opinions' because nobody takes you seriously anymore.

Another tip of the hat to the "twistyism" of professing to know what
others think?

I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here. I have
nothing to hide, and don't try to. Maybe that's what pisses you and
your newly found soul mate in Florida off. You can't back me into a
corner, because I've said or done nothing that I'm ashamed of.

>And I'll still be around. I just won't be nearly as active as before.
>So if you still feel the need to give further demonstrations of your

>warped values then by all means do so -- just know that I probably
>won't be able to provide a timely response.

Say hi to Aaron for me.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2446. Re: © © © Good News! -- Jesus Christ Went To The Cross So You Can Go To Heaven © 1005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 21:45:37 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <191td2-p8h.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196086

```
N8WWM is GAY wrote:

> On 9 Feb 2005 16:38:10 -0800, Good_News_12004@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>From: Good_News_12004@yahoo.com
>
> man i feel sorry for his inbox.
>
> he's spammed ALL the newsgroups... i checked.
>
> <laughing>

And then you help him propagate his spam by replying to it, thus helping it
get past cleanfeed sites. Way to go, loser!

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/
```

2447. it is sure easy to get stuck in those dike s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 22:41:47 UTC
From: cici <cicitong@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108075306.995349.108030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196088

I got stuck in the dike last month. i thought that i was on a road but
i was on the railroad tracks STUCK scary

2448. it is sure easy to get stuck in those dike s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 22:41:51 UTC
From: cici <cicitong@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108075311.053124.296900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196087

I got stuck in the dike last month. i thought that i was on a road but
i was on the railroad tracks STUCK scary

2449. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 23:03:02 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <uvpn01t20frudscj1ugsatjpa51umr1q62@4ax.com>
Source record: 196089

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 15:16:09 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>> Be careful though, the MRF 477 transistor is no longer manufactured,
>>> and there is no direct replacement for it. So if you blow it, your
>>> choices become limited. You might be able to locate someone who may
>>> still have old stock of the '477 (at a premium price), or you can
>>> retrofit another device, which can be done, but usually at a loss of
>>> peak power out.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>
>>Dave I am hearing CBers on AM in the 10 meter cw band right now.
>>Thanks for helping another unlicensed outlaw get his peaked up tweaked up
>>illegal rig on the air to join them. Putz.
>>
>
>You're welcome.

Dave,

Tell the moron I am not on 10 meters. I don't stoop to his level.

Vinnie S.

2450. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 23:14:36 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15003-420BEADC-342@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196090

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 8 Feb 2005 15:38:00 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Sure you do. You take the non-hammie approach, the non-elmer
approach,,the anti-amateur creed approach.

>And I suppose the "elmer", "ham" approach is

>to openly condone illegal

>activity?

I have always maintained an incredible irony is a small percentage of
those licensed in communications know the least about it.

>Similar to the irony of how you seem oblivious

>to the "logic" of your position.

Not at all similar. You are a licensed amateur, and as such, are held to
a certain standard, a standard you continue to ignore.

>You call my denouncement of illegal behavior

>as "non-hammie" and "non-elmer", which

>implies that you feel that the converse is true.

Incorrect, Dave. I correctly note your name-calling based on your logic
(legalities) is non-elmer like. I correctly note your position
used to justify your name calling actions are non-elmer like. Calling
names is non-productive and reactive.
You epitomize
the example. One certainly can not practice the hammie creed when one is
unable to define it.

>And I suppose you, an admitted federal

>lawbreaker, can? What do you, an 11 meter

>radio pirate, REALLY know about ham radio

>anyway?

You ignorantly and
erroneously call people criminals for dxing merely beacuse you are
unable to distinguish between a civil and criminal nature.

>Call it "shock value".

If the shoe fits,,,,,I call it what it is,,,ignorance.

>I call it deflection of responsibility.

You also called roger beeps illegal.

>Too many people break the law, and then

>trivialize it, in order to appease their

>conscience.

Then perhaps you should address the problem and not the symptom...you know Dave, become proactive instead of reactive.

>Ok, let's run with this. What do YOU think is

>the "problem" and how should one "address"

>it?

I'm not the one maintaining a problem exists, Dave..you are.

>You are a perfect example of this by

>relentlessly arguing the semantics of the

>situation, as if it really matters on the grand

>scale who you have to pay the fine to.

_
>then you should stop bringing it up.

Now that's priceless. You can get not one person in this group to agree with you that it is I who brings up the law in regards to cb radio.

>How do you know that?

I asked you the same thing regarding your invocation that you are not alone in claiming roger beeps are illegal, but you can not cite these mysterious and invisible supporters only you can see...again,,you are hallucinating and experiencing and hearing and reading things that only are real to you.

>More mind reading?

You
are really making some incredibly stupid claims, even by your normal lying standards which for the most part, we have accepted of you, Dave. But hell, now you really are desperate. What the hell happened up there? Philthy loss to much for you to handle?

>You are all about image and appearances.

But of course, I am so caught up in such appearances, I choose to access the web with webtv,,that's how concerned I am with appearances.

>In

>the real world though, image is nothing.

>Reality is everything.

>The point is that you are breaking federal law.

If that's your point, accusing me of bringin it up really has you confounded. But let's try and keep you focused for a second,,,,,speeding past 70 MPH is also "breaking federal law",,an analogy that has you writhing about.

>Not that I'm aware of.

And in addition to myself, Frank has also illustrated when you are not aware of something, you are unable to accept it as reality.

> Speeding is still an infraction enforced by

>local and state LEO's

>You live in denial.

Firstly, the only criteria you cited as your reason for calling one a "federal criminal", was "it was a rule enacted by the feds, so breaking it is a federal crime and makes one a federal criminal".

>Simple logic. Something that seems to escape
>you.

If it was so simple, you would have figured out the differences, but then again, the fact that roger beeps are legal is quite simple too, and that has you doing cartwheels.

>The FCC rules clearly provide both civil and

>criminal penalties for rule violations depending
>on the circumstances involved.

Same for speeding.

>You can't be fined $10,000 or spend time in

>jail for speeding.

You most certainly can get jail time for speeding, Dave. Prior to educating you on this item, I note that you once again attempt the invoking of the monetary amount as criteria (albeit, highly incorrect) for what constitutes a "federal criminal'.

>The potential

>is there for criminal penalties.

Same for speeding,

>Show me where you can spend any serious

>time in jail for speeding or be fined in excess

>of $1000.

Sure, Dave. Always glad to educate your loud and proud ignorance Any speed greater than 15 MPH over the limit requires a court appearance. Try doing 120 in a 55 and see what that gets you in some jerkwater town. Let's see, by the mere act of speeding alone, one can be charged with reckless endangerment, careless and/or reckless driving, and whatever other statutes that may be applied from a litany of charges. Littering can also get you a year in jail and yes..TEN THOUSAND DOLLAR fine,,,the signs are all over Florida. Does that also make one a federal criminal, Dave? By each and every excuse you have invoked for you calling one who dxes a federal criminal, a speeder and a litterer meet those same parameters. You really struggle with being wrong, Dave. In fact, it is responsible for your errant behavior and irrational outbursts concerning things that are only real to yourself.

>I never said that in 100% of the case, this will

>happen and, in fact, I acknowledged that you

>stand a good chance of never being caught.

Same can be said with the comparison to speeding,

>Sure. The same comparison can be made for

>many laws, so what? It's still doesn't make it

>right, or justifiable.

Stay focused, Dave. Only you and Doug are transfixed with such topics,,,this is another of your topics, but the current topic you are having such dilemmas with, is your criteria you submit for holding a dxer a federal criminal simply. It's wrong, just as your position on other facets of the law (roger beeps), is wrong.

>But despite the fact that you have never been

>caught does not negate the truth of your

>commission of illegal actions in violation of

>federal law.

No one ever argued with you that such acts were illegal. You are roaming. Try and remain in topic.

>That IS the topic, and has always been.

No,,that is not the topic and has never been the topic of anyone except you and n8wwm who obsess on such things. Again, that is never the topic of anyone except yourself and Doug.

> YOU are the one who tries to twist and

>obfuscate the point.

Stay focused, Dave. Accusing me of bringing up the law, accusing me of making the topic of legalities, is so comedic, Dave. You are trying way too hard, or you are suffering serious problems.

–
  The manner in which you arrived at this decision, was referred by yourself as
"progressive logic". This too, was decimated as you were reminded that the 70 mph speed limit also met your criteria. Changing your values in mid-argument, you now invoke that the penalty one receives is now your new criteria.

>This is nothing new,

> it's just something you conveniently chose to

>ignore.

Most people ignore what does not exist. The monetary amount of the fine as criteria for what you hold as a "federal criminal" exists nowhere but in the same mind that says roger beeps are illegal.

>Once again, the 70 MPH speed limit thing was
>not mine.

>I never brought that up. In fact I was unaware

>that there was a federally mandated 70 MPH

>speed limit.

See what you can learn when forced..

>I have learned nothing.

LOL,,,you said it. But what is so sad, is you continue to refuse to learn, even when other hammies educate you.

>Only that you claim

>there is a "federal 70 MPH speed limit"

>Something I have yet to verify.

>The last I heard the 55 MPH limit was

>dropped

To place this in proper perspective, you also claimed the "last you heard", roger beeps were illegal, when in fact, they have never been illegal during your time in radio.

> and a few states (Montana I believe

>was one) actually had no speed limit on some

>highways. The increase in accident rate then

>forced them to impose a state mandated limit.

What the hell does Montana have to do with the federal 70 MPH law?
LMAO,,,,damn Dave,,,you're a barrel of fun today.

>Maybe nothing, maybe everything. Why would
>the feds make a 70 MPH speed limit after

>dropping the old 55 MPH limit? Perhaps is

>was based on states like Montana, who

>dropped a speed limit altogether on some of

>their highways. Damn you really do have to be
>led to the points by your nose.

Show me another human anywhere on the planet that feels Montana played such an integral part of the federal speed limit. Damn, you really have to have your nose rubbed in it.

>But assuming you are correct

Too lazy to ascertain it for yourself in addition to not even being aware of it,,,,well,,,,off you go, now.

>I'm a busy man. I can't keep track of every law
>and topic out there.

Yet, that has never stopped you from talking sideways about a topic you invoke often but know little of...radio law.

>and that there is

>a federally mandated 70 MPH speed limit, it's

>not the same thing as the FCC rules. For one

>thing there are no "federal speed police" who

>will cite you for a violation of a federal law.

Oh, I see. Let me get this right,,first you invoked, as your criteria for calling one who dxes ,a "federal criminal"......"It was a rule enacted by the feds, so it is federal law , and breaking it makes one a federal criminal"
That was debunked with the speeding analogy. You then invoked, only upon defeat, the penalties one may receive, as your second and newly found criteria for holding one a "federal criminal" for dxing. Now, having been educated that criminal penalties can apply to speeding, you are invoking yet a third set of criterias for your position, claiming the ENFORCERS are the actual litmus test for which you maintain dxers are "federal criminals". Amazing.
Absolutley astounding.

>Yes, it is. Maybe you finally figured out that it

>isn't any one of those criteria alone, but all of

>them combined, that make this a federal

>crime.

Dave, you are a piece. All the criteria combined can still pertain to a speeder and a litterer, yet it still doesn't make one a federal criminal.

>See what you can learn when someone

>relentlessly pounds it into your head.

Dave, you went off the deep end.

>Speeding laws are enforced on a state or local
>level, and are treated as summary offenses.

More educatiion and to borrow one of your invocations........"i didn't say in 100% of all cases"....point being,,,,speeding cases can, and do, carry criminal penalties, dependent, as you say, on the circumstances.

>When? Other than becoming involved in

>vehicular homicide, or an accident while DUI.

>When is a simple speeding infraction

>considered a criminal offense?

When it's no longer "simple" speeding by virtue of the speed. Other examples abound. Note only you innvoke the term "simple" speeding and only you can apply a definition to it,(once again, struggling to make your feelinsg know to the world, concerning just exactly what your new term means)
as the term officially exists nowhere in the legal arena.

>In those other cases, speeding becomes a

>minor precursor of a much stronger offense.

>That stronger offense is what carries the

>criminal penalty, not the speeding itself.

Semantics. Speeding itself, by the very nature of the speed, can and often does carry a criminal penalty. You're wrong, Dave.

>Radio law (The communications act of 1934 et
>al.) grants the FCC the power to enforce these
>laws on a federal level,

And the states can also enforce these laws.

>States CANNOT enforce radio law. Only the

>FCC has the power to do that.

Wrong,,an act was passed permitting local enforcement.

> Some states have enacted legislation to give

>them power to deal with RFI interference

>cases.

You are wrong again. The states have not enacted such legislation, but local jurisdictions have. Once again, you illustrate you know next to nothing of the law whcih you continually invoke and which gooverns radio.

>But the scope of their power is very limited.

>DX'ing would not come under the state's

>umbrella of jurisdiction.

> and can certainly impose criminal fines and

>jail time for the most flagrant rule violators.

More for your gray matter: Federal LEOS also may enforce ANY violation of domestic US law, including speeding, if they so chose.

>Which federal agencies regularly monitor

>traffic regulations?

The DOT. Did you even graduate high school?

>You're really stretching now.

Not at all, you are really screwed up and I have never seen you more wrong and off base than you have been this week.

>People who have refused to allow FCC agents
>to inspect their stations, those who have

>refused to respond to NAL's, those who

>continued to operate illegally after being

>warned, know all too well what those stiff

>penalties incur.

Heck, even when you were educated by other hammies on here regarding your ignorance claiming "roger beeps are illegal" you chose not to learn.

>What other hammies have said anything

>regarding the issue?

My goodness, Dave, I don't know what it is from whcih you are suffering, but you are seeing things that aren't there and missing things that are. Sideband presented to you an affirmed position concerning the legal

nature of roger beeps, as did Bert Craig.

>I am putting this issue to rest one can for all. I

>have written to the FCC for a definitive ruling

>on this subject. If they tell me it's ok, I'll eat my
>crow and tip my hat in your direction and it'll

>be the last time I'll bring up that subject.

I would advise you do that, as opposed to further embrassing yourself in front of the hammie community and claiming they are illegal because you are unable to find a rule by the FCC permitting them, as you have maintained for your illogical reasoning.

>In part 95 (Have you ever read it?), the FCC

>specifies both permitted and prohibited

>transmissions. The fact that a ETS signal is

>not specified under permitted transmissions,

>and the fact that "sound effects" meant solely

>to "amuse or entertain" is called out

>specifically under prohibited transmissions is a
>strong enough case to lean toward the

>conclusion that ETS signals are not legal.

Again, only you take such a position,,all by yourself. You're wrong, Dave.

>In any case, I am waiting for the FCC to

>respond to my query. I'm sure they are busy

>and I might not hear back for a while.

Dave, don't hold your breath. I am of the belief the person in charge of such responses from the FCC is an alcoholic and is having much more difficulties than concern for answering a inquiry from an extra concerning a law he should already be informed.

>That's the really funny part about this whole

>thing. Twist will sit here and spin and

>obfuscate and make all sort of excuses to

>deny that what he (and you I guess) does is

>illegal.

>But the law is clear on this.

Everyone is clear on the law but you.

>Yes, you clearly disregard it.

You have no clue about the law,

>You have no clue about a clue. The law isn't

>some "a-la-carte" set of rules that you can pick
>and chose from which you want to follow or

>disregard.

It worked for you, why can't it work for others? Oh, that's right,,this
is where your hypocrisy is illustrated.

-
And your invocation of "forensics"
had no relation to what you were trying to say, yet you erred and
claimed it did. Tell us, Dave, how is your "process" legally related?

>It's not.

Exactly, but you claimed you used forensics..not "like" forensics, you
said you used it.

> But forensic criminalists use technology and a
>process of analytical investigation to piece

>together clues and evidence to paint a picture.
>THAT is not much different than what I was

>talking about.

You watch too much tv. It is very different than what you claimed. You
claimed you used forensics in your reasoning. Since learningwhat a gaffe
you made, you are attempting to clean it up by saying forensics are
"similar" to what you did, but that was not your original claim. You
claimed forensic reasoning was used by yourself. Again, and in tandem
with so many of your other positions today and this week,,you are wrong.

>Once again, you become hung up on literal

>meanings, so much so that you can't see the

>forest for the trees.

Once again, the legal relation and connotation the word refers is sound
and can not be detached from its definition, even when cries of what you
really mean when you misuse the term are taken into consideration.
You
were to look up the term and learn its definition prior to using it in
the futire so as not to embarrass yourself again.

>There is nothing wrong with the context in

>which I used the term.

See above.

>Which part? The part where you demonstrate

>your ineptitude in the english language and an
>uncanny ability to not understand context?

You have proved yourself by far the better demonstrator today.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2451. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 23:29:46 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15004-420BEE6A-109@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196091

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 8 Feb 2005 15:08:44 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>You want to know how many hams agree with

>this, then post the question on one of the ham

>newsgroups and see who agrees.

Damn, Dave...you are suffering like never before. Twas yourself that
invoked other hammies with imaginary support, not I.

>I never mentioned any hams.

You did, invariably.

>I did nothing of the sort. You made the

>assumption that I was talking about hams

>when I said "there are others". But nowhere

>did I mention hams.

I said you are the ONLY hammie to make such a claim, to which you
responded, "No, I am not the only one". If you think that reply allows
for others besides hammies to my direct quote, then you have a great
deal more problems with communication technique than has been
illustrated.

_
  I see we will have to rely on the group's
memory and pc skills and not yours, again.
"The Group" is non-existant, as far as you are concerned. No one has
ever backed up any of your absurd claims. You are alone in your
position.

>You are the one who wants to know how

>many hams agree with the notion that roger

>beeps are illegal on CB.

  I said nothing of the sort. In fact, I will reiterate it once
again for you, so as the others won't have to.

>"Other's" never have. The obsession you have
>for me is yours alone.

Not when you continue to accuse me of bringing up legalities, say roger beeps are illegal, see imaginary hammies, and can't see the hammies who correct you.
Your response to me led to *you*
invoking other hammies. I said, and I quote, that "you are alone in your claim that roger beeps are illegal."
In order to lend yourself a transparent but false veil of support, you claimed, in response, "No, I am NOT the only one", whcih has only one meaning in response to my claim. Your claim, means that other hammies support your claim and agree with you, the exact opposite of what I claimed, maintain, and brought to your attention.

>How does my claim of "I am not the only one"

>mean that those people are automatically

>hammies?

See above.

>Are hams the only other radio op's in the

>world? Especially considering that this is a CB
>newsgroup, you are way off-base.

>You jumped to another conclusion and

>committed yet another bifurcation fallacy.

Again, and for the
record, I maintain NO other hammie agrees with you.

>A claim of which you cannot verify.

And neither can you when you claim others agree with you that roger beeps are illegal.
Case closed on your fingers.

>You can't possibly know what every ham

>thinks. The fact that you frequently make

>these sort of "The world is on my side" claims

>is why your narcissism is so easy to believe.

I'm not the one seeking approval of others, in fact, you were instructed long ago I am a loner and play better alone, but that has nothing to do with my thoughts being in the mainstream concerning this hobby. but what I feel and think shouldn't concern you so much. I believe it is coming face to face with confidence you have never experinced before that is upsetting to you, as it has you cracking up.
-
You said you were
not the only one, I asked you to name one that agreed with you, and you began to sputter and sink.

>I only refuted your assumption that it had to be
>another ham.

You are really lost, Dave,,,,that's not what you did at all,,,,you refuted the assertion you were not alone in your belief, yet coninue to struggle with this issue. Try and keep up.

>So, I suggested that you ask them.

What you have been claiming isn't what has been taking place at all. In fact, your last few posts are chock full of delusions and falacies.

>All of which have been committed by you.

Again, Dave, accusing all who you disagree with of wronging you is a dilemma.Firts it's Frank messing with your head, then, on the heels of your errant behavior, you invoke the laws, and claim I invoked them. You invoke the topic of legalities, and maintained i invoked hem. Oh Davie, I hope you get well.
Is everything ok over there? Perhaps the stress of having to sell the overpriced boat is too much for you to handle these days.

>Ah! You've been perusing my website.

Talk about narcissism, my goodness.

>I knew

>you couldn't stay away.

And as you always are these days,,,, you are wrong, but your position is forgiven because you are so sick these days.

>I hope the HTML isn't

>too much for WebTV to handle......

>And I can add boat values to the list of things

>you know little about.....

Tell us about it, Dave, you can add it to your endless and superior knowledge of the law.

>David T Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2452. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-10 23:33:18 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15005-420BEF3E-26@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196092

Dave, regardless the off-topic personal insults you make against those who disagree with you, I agre with Frank. You are no longer taken seriously and have illustrated personal problems you need deal with.

2453. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 01:08:37 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <pATOd.1361$Cs5.343@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 196093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1107907561.317424.231690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>I can't wait for dayton this year. When those no good hammie
> cocksuckers try to buy my 10 meter and amps for cheap I'm going to slap
> their queer, gay asses back to their local repeater!
>
> I may even drag a couple of hammie's into my booth and shove my massive
> man stick up their man-puss! Its going to be a hoot!
>

Dude you better eat all your beans before you slap me!

2454. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 02:31:25 UTC
From: /3iff //ullins <biff.mullins@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <186o01l9areft5ic2bs8l899oq1kcjl77l@4ax.com>
Source record: 196144
Cross-posted to: alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.non.sequitur,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.hanson

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 06:44:44 +0000 (UTC), CTFM <ctfm@yourisp.not>
wrote:

>JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net> wrote in news:BE306735.55DA%jazzkind@fast.net:
>
>>
>> Hi dug88. Did you see there is an awful message called fuck dug88 floating
>> around the internet? It is brazen nonsense that sounds like wet noodles
>> when you put seafood in your ear.
>>
>
>I generally agree with the post above. Someone should remove these posts
>from this groups.
>
math. and lots of it.

2455. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 02:33:20 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lSUOd.9453$mY2.68@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196145

Chuck K8CPA wrote:
> Hi Guys,
>
> I heard the news via the internet... and it's true. Henry amps are no
> more...:
>
> Here's the e-mail from Mr. Henry Himself:
>
> From: "Ted Henry" <henryradio@earthlink.net>
> To: "Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)" <**********@comcast.net>
> Subject: Re: Lost your Lease?
> Date: Thursday, February 10, 2005 12:23 PM
>
> There were about 15 reasons why we stopped - the primary reason was
> that the business has lost money for a number of years. The loss of
> the lease was about 5th down on the many reasons it made no sense to
> continue the business.
>
> Thanks for your interest.
>
> Ted Shannon Henry
>
> Ted Shannon
> HENRY RADIO, INC.
> 2050 South Bundy Drive Suite 285
> Los Angeles, CA 90025
>
> henryradio@earthlink.net
> www.henryradio.com
> eBay Store: http://stores.ebay.com/Henry-Radio
>
> 310-820-1234 ext 310
> 310-826-7790 FAX
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)
> To: henryradio@earthlink.net
> Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2005 8:52 AM
> Subject: Lost your Lease?
>
>
> Hi Guys,
>
> why not just find another building? and Continue on?

>
> Makes no sense to stop.
>
> -Chuck K8CPA
>
>
>
>
Charles you renounced your ticket and stated you weren't posting here anymore. Are you really that f*cking stupid that people here are to believe anything you post?

2456. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 02:49:12 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <567o0157vhrm9k73d28u4j6lji8te0qrfg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196146

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 01:46:42 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>>
>>>>Dave I am hearing CBers on AM in the 10 meter cw band right now.
>>>>Thanks for helping another unlicensed outlaw get his peaked up tweaked up
>>>>illegal rig on the air to join them. Putz.
>>>>
>>>
>>>You're welcome.
>>
>>
>>Dave,
>>
>>Tell the moron I am not on 10 meters. I don't stoop to his level.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>And by that you mean you are just an illegal outlaw on the 11 meter
>band? Illegal is illegal isn't it?

So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that. Hypocracy
at it's finest.

Vinnie S.

2457. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 03:17:09 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <Xns95F9E3013899C1234567890@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 196147

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:15005-420BEF3E-26
@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:

> Dave, regardless the off-topic personal insults you make against those
> who disagree with you, I agre with Frank. You are no longer taken
> seriously and have illustrated personal problems you need deal with.

tipsy is your name dave mcCampbell or not Frank says it is.

2458. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 03:40:28 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <110oa9e8g3ass69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 196148

Hello Jim:

Just give Teddy there a e-mail and find out.

Tell em Jay says Hea!

Jay in the Mojave

jim wrote:

> Chuck K8CPA wrote:
>
>> Hi Guys,
>>
>> I heard the news via the internet... and it's true. Henry amps are no
>> more...:
>>
>> Here's the e-mail from Mr. Henry Himself:
>>
>> From: "Ted Henry" <henryradio@earthlink.net>
>> To: "Chuck Adkins (K8CPA)" <**********@comcast.net>
>> Subject: Re: Lost your Lease?
>> Date: Thursday, February 10, 2005 12:23 PM
>>
>> There were about 15 reasons why we stopped - the primary reason was
>> that the business has lost money for a number of years. The loss of
>> the lease was about 5th down on the many reasons it made no sense to
>> continue the business.
>>
>> Thanks for your interest.
>>
>> Ted Shannon Henry
>>
>> Ted Shannon
>> HENRY RADIO, INC.
>> 2050 South Bundy Drive Suite 285
>> Los Angeles, CA 90025
>>
>> henryradio@earthlink.net
>> www.henryradio.com
>> eBay Store: http://stores.ebay.com/Henry-Radio
>>
>> 310-820-1234 ext 310
>> 310-826-7790 FAX
>>
>> ----- Original Message ----- From: Chuck Adkins (K8CPA) To:

>> henryradio@earthlink.net Sent: Thursday, February 10, 2005 8:52 AM
>> Subject: Lost your Lease?
>>
>>
>> Hi Guys,
>>
>> why not just find another building? and Continue on?
>>
>> Makes no sense to stop.
>>
>> -Chuck K8CPA
>>
>>
>>
>>
> Charles you renounced your ticket and stated you weren't posting here
> anymore. Are you really that f*cking stupid that people here are to
> believe anything you post?

2459. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 04:03:24 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <g8WOd.33383$uL5.17345@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196149

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95F9E203F36761234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:K2zOd.38380$uA.18624
> @fe1.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote in message news:Xns95F8CC15AEC191234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050209124416.992$V9
>>> @newsreader.com:
>>>
>>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>>> wrote:
>>>>> Dave steveo is mad he had the over in the super bowl ...lol
>>>>>
>>>> Nope, if you recall I said under 48..lost on the points tho.
>>>>
>>>
>>> teaser's hit either way, I told you philly had a shot..to many
> unforced
>>> turnovers bit them in azz. did you end up -0- for year??
>>
>> Philly got owned in the SB. If NE hadn't started celebrating early, the
>> score wouldn't have EVEN been close. Losers.
>
> Yawn. Got owned they controled the first half you stupid dickhead, for
> being owned they had a chance to still win the game they out gained NE in
> yards, and if wasnt for the 3 int and fumble the eagles would be super
> bowl champs but they didnt so NE won. and you are still a jerk off.
> nothing has changed.

If, if, if, if.....they lost. Deal with it. I won't demean you, as such
is not required.

2460. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 04:04:22 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <a9WOd.33384$uL5.17673@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196150

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95F9E2E477997123456789O@216.196.97.136...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
> news:aegn01dfto7eiio3v8r7o2pn2bnvatotk2@4ax.com:
>
>
>>
>> Say hi to Aaron for me.....
>
> How did you know he was aaron??? I thought no one else knew.
>

LOL Do you drink Evian?

2461. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 05:25:37 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <h7std2-1tv.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196151

Vinnie S. wrote:

> So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that.
> Hypocracy at it's finest.

Depends on the state. Most states give you 10% leeway for differences in speedometer calibration. Oregon doesn't have speed limits in most places, opting for a less strictly enforced posted speed (difference is the posted speed signs say SPEED, whereas hard limits say SPEED LIMIT). In either case, if conditions are bad, you can get a speeding ticket for going slower than the posted speed (ie, doing 50 in a 60 zone on ice).

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2462. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 06:17:18 UTC
From: Elmer <twistedhed@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108102638.699269.3670@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196152

Accusing everyone of being like Twisty doesn't lend you much credibility, Dave. You have a tendency to over react.

2463. CB Radio and FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 06:22:14 UTC
From: Rob <marathonrob@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <qaYOd.360509$6l.233792@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 196153

Hi I have a older CB radio that I bought about 8 years ago..is there anyway it will work with a FRS Uniden ?

Thanks

Rob

2464. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 08:50:51 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108111851.838421.99330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Steveo wrote:
> I'm gonna bloody a certain repeater jammers face if I
> see him at Dayton.

Dayton is for hams. You are an illegal freebander.

2465. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 10:41:10 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108118470.051091.68230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196155
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

Jim,
There's been no " years of conditioning " with your call. You're just
a typical hammie that thinks your call is somehow important or makes
you important.Truth is the normal people (NON-HAMS) around you think
you're an idiot!
In fact you're probably a complete pain in the ass to your family and
those around you.

Jim wrote:
> "Guy P. Distaffen" <guypd@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:1eUOd.253$6p7.112@news01.roc.ny...
> > If you are referring to unlicensed services such as FRS or CB,
then no
> > it isn't unlawful to use it. You can use any system you want to
identify
> > yourself on these services, including names of cartoon characters.
("This
> > is Daffy Duck calling Bugs Bunny") :)
> >
> > Guy P. Distaffen
> >
> > KB0SWS
> >
> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
> > news:vwTOd.24754$i42.8331@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
> >> It's never crossed my mind before but! Is it unlawful to use your
call
> >> sign on unlicensed services?
> >>
> >>
> >> --
> >> .A scholar's ink lasts longer than a martyr's blood.
> >>
> >
> >
>
> Exactly, thanks, I just have a tendency after years of conditioning
to
> inject my call after transmissions.

2466. Re: Voters: it is pointless to discuss politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 10:45:41 UTC
From: STEVEO <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108118741.276180.128310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196156
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

El Farvo wrote:
<BITCH SNIPPED>

I keep hoping the wrestling dudes would start making out in the ring. I usually beat-off while watching wrestling.

2467. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 10:49:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <k83p01te9qrkpcpp0ls9lkuq3ankq92gh2@4ax.com>
Source record: 196157

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 21:25:37 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that.
>> Hypocracy at it's finest.
>
>Depends on the state. Most states give you 10% leeway for differences in
>speedometer calibration.

No they don't. The speed limits signs or laws do not say 55 or 65, +/- 10%.

That is nothing more than the descretion of the cop that tickets you.

Vinnie S.

2468. Re: CB Radio and FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 10:50:33 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <me3p01t634iorfv18dinerj9c07lieab4a@4ax.com>
Source record: 196158

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 06:22:14 GMT, "Rob" <marathonrob@shaw.ca> wrote:

>Hi I have a older CB radio that I bought about 8 years ago..is there anyway
>it will work with a FRS Uniden ?
>
>Thanks
>
>Rob
>

Not even close.

Vinnie S.

2469. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 10:51:05 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108119065.087147.192380@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.atlanta,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.swap

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in news:110oa9e8g3ass69
> @corp.supernews.com:
>
> > Hello Jim:
> >
> > Just give Teddy there a e-mail and find out.
> >
> > Tell em Jay says Hea!
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
>
> why email the guy the info is all over the internet, although Chuck
does
> lie, this is a well known fact. Thier website will even tell you.

I'm typing in the panties twistie gave me. I'm hard......

Any fellas up for some gay cyber??

2470. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 10:56:03 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108119363.069654.276540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.atlanta

Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
<snipped cuz you're an asshole>

HEY CMD BUZZ BUNG HOLE:

Dumb cb'er huh......I run my collins on 11 meters!! What the fuck you think of that!!! Now up here and fuck me in the ass like a good hammie!!!!!!

2471. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 11:34:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <416p019og3ma75sm0vhk3f7879ou8c7t1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 196161

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 18:33:18 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Dave, regardless the off-topic personal insults you make against those
>who disagree with you, I agre with Frank. You are no longer taken
>seriously and have illustrated personal problems you need deal with.

The only people I "insult" are those who have serious mental issues.
You being at the top of that list.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2472. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 11:36:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <a36p01d7dgv6c9rgc8dta0akhpceml96l9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196162

On 10 Feb 2005 22:17:18 -0800, "Elmer" <twistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Accusing everyone of being like Twisty doesn't lend you much
>credibility, Dave. You have a tendency to over react.

Everyone? That's a huge leap to go from one person (Frank) to
"everyone".....

Besides, if the shoe fits.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2473. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 11:47:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211064724.255$9e@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > I'm gonna bloody a certain repeater jammers face if I
> > see him at Dayton.
>
> Dayton is for hams. You are an illegal freebander.
>
It will be up to you to stop me, felon boi. hehehe

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2474. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 11:54:42 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1108122882.121140.162500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196164

Sorry to hear that. His amps always looked like good quality...

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

2475. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 12:29:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <189p01lp2qcdmpvdvduqblvr5ecsi33566@4ax.com>
Source record: 196166

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 11:33:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <innm01lmv00k2lr3kue3t5m7chkbc43j33@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 09 Feb 2005 19:22:55 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 11:30:03 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <vhte01hgdtsgmifpjrgskp814u067v6g48@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:34:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>Sherlock Holmes was not a real person
>>>>>
>>>>>No, but Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was, and he wrote it.
>>>
>>>>Sir Arthur Conan Doyle wrote fiction.
>>>
>>>But the principle is still sound.
>>
>>
>>It is "sound" only so far as you are able to exclude all other
>>possibilities -- which is, quite literally, impossible. If it -was-
>>possible then we would have proof of the existence (or nonexistence)
>>of God. We don't.
>
>So, your assertion is that since we cannot exclude all other practical
>possibilities in areas like God, and creation, that we cannot exclude
>other possibilities to such finite areas as electronic
>troubleshooting?

It's good to see that you are asking for clarification instead of
asserting your own assumptions regarding my statements, but the
question still smells of speculation. I can't make this simple enough
for you to understand, but it should be simple enough for most
everyone else. All I ask is that you -try- to keep up:

If you are going to assert an absolute conclusion then you must
eliminate -all- other possibilities, probable or not. Doyle clearly
confirms this by inclusion of the phrase, "however unlikely". Yet
Doyle's process of elimination is, in fact, self-contradictory -- the
argument attempts to ascertain an absolute conclusion by excluding all
other possibilities -regardless- of probability. Now if there is any
possibility of the existence of an omnipotent God then there must be
an -infinite- number of possible causes for any given event. But you

don't need to believe in God to understand the infinity rule. Both the
Heisenberg principle and quantum physics maintain that random events
can and do occur without cause, and both are proven scientific fact.
The big problem is that we live in the real world, and that both our
resources and ourselves are finite. Therefore, the application of the
process of elimination, to the exclusion of -all- other possibilities,
likely or not, is ultimately impossible.

The principle is no more than a temporal exercise in philosophy.

>>Once again, Doyle wrote fiction.
>
>And once again, the principle is sound.

The priciple is sound in structure but not in practice.

>>>>>>, and that poorly-quoted cliche
>>>>>>has one very important point you completely missed: "when all the
>>>>>>other possibilities are excluded". Care to apply that concept to the
>>>>>>debate between creationism and evolution?
>>>>>
>>>>>Why? That's an entirely different subject with limitless and
>>>>>unverifiable possibilities. Unlike the subject we're currently
>>>>>discussing. There are a finite amount of potential causes of cap
>>>>>failure. Most of which can be reproduced.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>If a cap can fail due to an act of God then it can't be verified. Your
>>>>argument is therefore invalid unless you are an athiest.
>>>
>>>What act of God kills caps? Lightning? A lightning strike can be
>>>easily eliminated as it's fairly obvious when it occurs.
>>
>>
>>Lightning is an act of nature. And why would you think that God is
>>limited to throwing lightning bolts?
>
>I'd imagine that a cap crushed in an earthquake would fail as well,
>and the failure would be easily identified. Floods? Swarms of locusts?

Molecular transformation? EMP? Wormhole? Gamma radiation? Solar flare?
Or how about divine will? In order for Doyle's argument to be
practical then possibilities such as those -must- be eliminated.

>>>>>> Or can you see that there
>>>>>>are "other possibilities" that may not be obvious but they do exist?
>>>>>
>>>>>Not in this particular case.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That's a conclusion based on your own ignorance.
>>>

>>>No, it's one based on probability and practicality.
>>
>>
>>You have made two different arguments here. One is inductive and based
>>upon probability; the other is deductive where the conclusion is an
>>absolute (Doyle). Quit flip-flopping and make up your mind.
>
>Remember Frank, I am somewhat of a relativist. I do not believe in
>absolutes except in certain undeniable cases. The "flip-flopping" is
>your interpretation of what I have been saying.

Change is absolute. Unless the Universe locks up and freezes solid,
change is both continuous and infinite. Therefore, there are an
infinite number of absolutes. This is true -regardless- of what you
believe. Dave, don't you see how your belief system is interfering
with your reasoning processes?

>Any good troubleshooter will tell you that there is a percentage of
>probability that certain components will fail. There are also a finite
>number of probable causes for failure. That's why we tend to look in
>certain areas first.

Any good troubleshooter won't jump to any conclusions. "WE", referring
to -good- troubleshooters (and doesn't include yourself), begin with a
thorough observation -- functional testing and inspection. When the
symptoms (or lack thereof) have been determined then WE use logic to
determine what circuit or circuits are affected or responsible. Then
WE use further testing to narrow down and isolate the faulty component
or components. And then WE use the same logic to determine what caused
the component or components to fail. The troubleshooting process has
-=NOTHING=- to do with probability -- if it did then it would be
called "troubleguessing", which is what -you- practice as evidenced by
your own statements.

>>>>, the point is still valid; Those
>>>>large AC caps ("motor run" caps, to be specific) are frequently used
>>>>by audiophiles as DC power supply caps in SE tube amps because they
>>>>have a very low AC resistance, much lower than electrolytic caps.
>>>>Power supply caps are selected for their AC resistance, the capacity
>>>>in uF being only one of -several- factors figuring into the equation.
>>>
>>>Motor run caps are not the ones that blow up in amplifier power
>>>supplies.
>>
>>
>>Gee..... why not, Dave?
>
>Different construction and characteristics.

Once again, can you be more vague?

>>>>>But you keep changing the criteria to make you point. What might
>>>>>happen in a D.C. block in an audio amp would not happen in a power
>>>>>supply filter.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I see you have finally caught up.
>>>
>>>I never needed to "catch up", but when we're discussing a particular
>>>failure mode in one application, and you bring up a different
>>>application as a justification for a differing point, that does
>>>nothing but cloud the point.
>>
>>
>>Then why did you bring up the topic of power supply caps in sweep tube
>>amps? Just to "cloud the point"? Don't blame -me- because you confused
>>yourself with your own obfuscations.
>
>The power supply cap example was made to illustrate the types of
>failure that caps can have.

The subject was not about the types of capacitor failures; it was
about your presumption that the fault was due to the failure of a
capacitor.

>>>>A highly inductive load can drive the caps backwards via flyback. I've
>>>>never seen it happen myself but I know it's possible.
>>>
>>>Yes, that is certainly possible.
>
>>As you say, "apology accepted".
>
>>> But not in the equipment that I've
>>>worked on. There is no inductive components past the power supply
>>>filter caps, with the exception of a small 10 uH RF blocking choke.
>>>Hardly enough to generate enough "kick back" to reverse polarity.
>
>What were you saying about apologies? You might try finishing the
>whole statement, which established the parameters of the discussion,
>before you declare victory.

Why? I had already stated that I, like yourself, had never seen the
problem occur. I only asserted that it was possible and you agreed.
Nothing more needed to be said.

>>Oddly enough, I have seen caps blow more often in solid-state
>>equipment.
>
>The world is full of mysteries. That's what makes it interesting. But
>at least you admit that caps do blow.

Dave, for the SEVENTH TIME, I -never- said they didn't! Do you have a
reading comprehension problem? Is there some other language that you
understand better than common English? Or do I need to repeat things a

dozen times or more before a simple concept finally soaks into your
thick head?

>>> In the solid state equipment, cap failures are
>>>less obvious and usually not total. On older radios (25+ years) the
>>>main cap failure mode is excessive deviation from original value. You
>>>don't like the term "drying out", but whatever process is responsible
>>>for this phenomenon is quite prevalent.
>>
>>
>>Again in contrast to your stated experience, I have rarely seen caps
>>in solid-state circuits deviate significantly from their rated
>>tolerance (unless there was a total failure). On the contrary, I
>>-have- seen such deviation much more frequently in high-voltage power
>>supplies. You call it "drying-out", I call it "aging" -- it amounts to
>>the same thing.
>
>Yes, it pretty much does amount to the same thing. I am puzzled that
>you've never seen large value changes.

You are puzzled because you can't read. I said, "I have rarely seen
caps in solid-state circuits deviate significantly from their rated
tolerance (unless there was a total failure)." I -didn't- say "never",
and I -didn't- say that the variations weren't large. Electrolytics
usually have a very wide tolerance range. I have measured -plenty- of
caps that did not match the labeled uF but -were- within the specified
tolerance.

> Ceramic "disk" caps are pretty
>good at holding value.

They usually have a much tighter tolerance than electrolytics.

> I have seen some mylar caps change though.

Never seen mylar caps deviate from their tolerance (unless they were
fused). I -have- seen many paper caps that way out of tolerance.

> But
>small electrolytics are known for value changes. The thing is that you
>normally won't know it unless the cap is critical to the stability to
>the circuit.

If the designer didn't account for variation within the tolerance of
the component then that's a design flaw.

>I have had low audio gain problem is CB radios where the problem
>turned out to be a nearly open electroyitic coupling cap. Not once or
>twice, but many times. These problems started happening (not so
>coincidentally) when radios started being made in Malaysia and other
>"low budget" shops.

I have seen similar problems many times, and it is not the result of
"drying out". The failure is almost always attributable to the AC
peaks swinging above the DC rating of the cap.

And before you go on a rant about how there is no AC, I'll explain it
in 1920's terminology so you can understand it: Given a cap rated for
16 volts DC, with DC bias of 14 volts, and a -signal- that swings from
10 to 18 volts (which, in contemporary terminology would be described
as having an 8Vp-p AC component and a 14VDC component); the signal
peaks would then exceed the voltage rating of the capacitor. The
result is that holes are repeatedly poked in the dielectric. Over time
the dielectric erodes and the capacitance decreases (unless the cap
shorts through the holes first).

Regardless, the root cause is usually either a flaw in circuit design,
the failure of another component, or improper alignment.

>>>>Well, if the AC resistance of the leaky cap dropped because of the
>>>>leak, then a greater AC voltage would be developed across the good
>>>>cap. This in turn would cause a greater AC current in the good cap,
>>>>and therefore more heating. So maybe the cap blew because of the extra
>>>>heat in combination with the higher DC stresses. I can see how -that-
>>>>could happen.
>>>
>>>That's exactly what I told you a few posts back. Only I didn't use the
>>>term A.C.. A leaky cap decreases D.C. resistance, which decreases A.C.
>>>resistance as well. Nice of you to finally get my point.
>>>
>>>Wait, you're trying to play with my head aren't you? Trying to make me
>>>change my tune? That only works when the "tune" is sufficiently
>>>different.
>>
>>
>>Hardly. The mechanisms behind our descriptions are different, and
>>your's neglected to address the heat generated by AC resistance in the
>>-good- cap. In fact, you denied AC resistance was even a factor.
>
>Because it's really not. It's the DC resistance that matters. That's
>what happens when a cap gets leaky, it develops a decreasing DC
>resistance. A.C. resistance is irrelevant when there is no A.C.
>present.

See below, re: AC

>> Yet
>>you just agreed above that it -is- a factor while making the excuse
>>that you "didn't use the term A.C." Another flip-flop.
>
>Yes, you made another.
>
>
>>>>>>Ok then. Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component.
>>>>>

>>>>>>Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC component.
>>>>>
>>>>>Those two statements are contradictory.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Not at all. Your definition that AC must be a zero-crossing current
>>>>was repealed along with prohibition. The problem was that there were
>>>>getting to be too many terms for variations of direct current, like
>>>>'varying direct current' (vdc), 'pulsating direct current' (pdc),
>>>>'interrupted direct current'.....etc. So the concept has since been
>>>>simplified to say that any current has an AC component and a DC
>>>>component.
>>>
>>>You stated: "Any voltage has a DC component and an AC component. "
>>>
>>>Then you stated: "Pure AC has no DC component, and pure DC has no AC
>>>component."
>>>
>>>Yet you cannot see how these two statements contradict each other?
>>>
>>>If you change the first statement to: "Certain voltages have a DC
>>>component and an AC component. ", then the two statements would not
>>>conflict.
>
>
>>In several posts you have demonstrated that you have a problem
>>differentiating voltage and current. You should address that issue.
>
>I have no trouble. And how would the difference between voltage and
>current relate to those two contradictory statements you made above?
>
>
>>Now..... since you want to invoke semantics, I'll state this more
>>clearly for you: A pure DC current has an AC component with a value of
>>zero, and a pure AC current has a DC component with a value of zero.
>>
>>Feel better?
>
>I should ask you if that tap dance felt good. What good is stating
>that D.C. has an A.C. component of zero? It's the same thing as saying
>there is no A.C. on a pure D.C. current.

Yes it is. What's your point?

>It's misleading to state that one type of current has another type of
>current as well, and then back pedal and state that that component is
>zero. Then why bring it up at all?

Because you can't comprehend the concept as it relates to the topic,
which is the AC resistance of a capacitor.

>>>>That's what I said. If the input power is 60 Hz then 120 Hz would be a
>>>>harmonic, right?
>>>
>>>Technically, it's not a harmonic, it is the result of the full wave
>>>rectification process. But there would be harmonics of 120 hz, but at
>>>a substantially reduced level.
>>
>>
>>Technically, it -is- a harmonic. The source of the fundamental
>>frequency was acted upon by a non-linear function (rectification). The
>>products of rectification are the harmonics of the fundamental. A
>>frequency-multiplier circuit works on the very same principle, with
>>the additional function of tuning the output to a specific harmonic.
>>
>>Basics, Dave. Learn the basics.
>
>I know the basics. 120 hz is the fundamental frequency of a full wave
>rectification, not a harmonic of it.

Wrong. 120Hz is a harmonic of the 60Hz source after being affected by
a non-linear function. And for the sake of mentioning it, rectified AC
contains a multitude of harmonics of the source -- it is not a 120Hz
"fundamental" frequency. If you have a spectrum analyzer you can prove
this to yourself. Begin with a sine of any frequency, put it through a
full-wave rectifier, then look at the spurs. You will no doubt see a
large spike at the 2nd harmonic of the source, but because the
rectifiers in the bridge can never be perfectly matched you will also
see a spur at the FUNDAMENTAL! If the 120Hz after rectification -was-
a fundamental then it would NOT have harmonics -below- it!

Go back to school, Dave.

>>>>>>The term "ripple" is old and has been replaced by "pard", which
>>>>>>includes all AC components (or fluctuations) including hum, noise and
>>>>>>spikes.
>>>>>
>>>>>That's because newer switching supplies create more noise, hash, and
>>>>>spikes, then a simple linear supply would. Hence the need for a new
>>>>>term, which encompasses a broader definition.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>If you say so.
>>>
>>>I did.
>>
>>
>>Twice asserted. Again, as you say, "apology accepted".
>
>For what? Providing a reason why the term ripple has been replaced by
>a newer term and establishing the parameters by which that term came
>to be, and illustrated why those parameters are irrelevant for the
>linear supplies we are currently discussing?

No, for twice asserting the fact that terminology changes, a fact that
you refuse to admit when addressing the term 'Alternating Current'. So
again, I accept your apology.

>>>>So if you have a cap connected between a DC
>>>>source and ground then the DC is blocked while any AC is passed to
>>>>ground.
>>>
>>>That depends on the reactance of the capacitor and the frequency of
>>>the A.C.. In a power supply a large electrolytic cap acts much like a
>>>battery, by providing a short duration storage for peak voltage in
>>>order to "smooth" out the momentary loss of voltage between ripple
>>>peaks. The higher the current draw of the supply, the more capacitance
>>>you need to filter it effectively.
>>
>>
>>Dave, can't you see that we're saying the same thing? The difference
>>is that your explanation is sourced from an education lacking in the
>>fundamental concepts of electronics.
>
>Why is that "lacking"? I understand the mechanics of why the circuit
>works. In the grand scheme of things, it doesn't matter whether you
>follow the hole theory or the electron theory, as long as you can use
>it to troubleshoot effectively, then that's all that matters in this
>case.

Look down, Dave: Your bicycle has hand-brakes -- backpedalling just
makes a clicking noise from the hub.

>>A capacitor -does- store a charge, and the amount of that charge -is-
>>a function of it's capacitance. But it's ability to -accept- and
>>-deliver- that charge leaves a gaping hole in your explanation. As I
>>said before, the value in capacitance is -only one- factor of its AC
>>resistance -- i.e, its ability to "filter" AC from a DC current.
>
>It "filters" by charging and discharging, against the ripple.

See below.

>>And for a better perspective, dust off your book on basic electronics
>>and look up the page that covers simple LC filters -- low-pass and
>>high-pass. Notice that a high-pass filter has the cap in series with
>>the source, while the cap in the low-pass filter is an AC -shunt-. Now
>>you have already admitted that a power supply cap is nothing more than
>>a component of a filter, and I think it's safe to conclude that you
>>meant a low-pass filter (since the intended output is DC).
>
>True.
>
>> Now unless
>>you intend to argue that the capacitor in an LC low-pass filter does
>>-not- shunt AC to ground, you have once again shown that you don't
>>have a firm grasp of the basics.

>
>The problem is that while what you say about low pass filters is 100%
>true, when you start talking about power supplies, the job of the
>filter caps becomes more like small temporary batteries than filter
>components. I can turn off my 30 amp supply, and it will continue to
>supply 12V for about 30 seconds (unloaded) before the caps finally
>bleed off.

Well, that's in total contradiction to your explanation of the
operation of your battery charger when you claimed that the battery
acts as the filter capacitor. So which is it, Dave -- is a capacitor a
capacitor or a battery?

>>>>>> When
>>>>>>the load becomes large then so does the ripple, and if the cap has a
>>>>>>high AC resistance then it will get hot very quickly.
>>>>>
>>>>>How? There is no A.C.! Pulsating D.C. does not switch polarity.
>>>
>>>>Update your lexicon and then read it again.
>>>
>>>There is still no A.C. If a voltage "swings" or "ripples" between +9V
>>>and +10V, it is still keeping the cap charged in the proper polarity.
>>>If the voltage swings negative, then you will have a problem, unless
>>>the cap is a non-polarized electrolytic, like the type commonly found
>>>in audio crossover circuits and other places.
>>
>>
>>You're stalling with semanitcs, but even -then- you are wrong. Say
>>your PS cap is charged to a DC voltage. When the power supply pulls
>>the ripple to it's peak then current is flowing -out- of the cap. When
>>the ripple bottoms out then current is flowing -into- the cap.
>
>You've got that backwards. When ripple peaks, the cap charges. When
>the ripple ebbs, the cap discharges.

You are right, but it's not backwards at all.....

When the ripple peaks the cap -does- charge because electrons are
flowing -out- of the cap (assuming we are both talking about a
positive supply and the negative end of the cap is grounded). When the
ripple bottoms out the cap is discharging, meaning that the current is
flowing in the opposite direction; i.e, electrons are moving -into-
the positive plate.

The result is that the current does indeed alternate. The capacitor
passes an Alternating Current; i.e, AC. Is any of this sinking in yet?

>>You
>>have described the very same action at least twice. The problem is
>>that you didn't even know that AC stands for "Alternating CURRENT"!!!
>>The current is indeed alternating, even according to the obsolete

>>definition of AC.
>
>The polarity is not reversing. It is not A.C.

I accept your apology.

>>>>>>Just about everyone who has ever used a soldering iron thinks they can
>>>>>>write a course on power supplies.
>>>>>
>>>>>Well considering that they were the very first thing that I studied
>>>>>and built in tech school, it's pretty much basic stuff.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I hate to break this to you but your tech school did a piss-poor job
>>>>if the curriculum started with the study and construction of complex
>>>>circuits before covering the basics.
>>>
>>>That's HOW we studied the basics. How better to study Ohm's and
>>>Kirchoff's law, and basic D.C. and A.C. fundamentals than to use a
>>>basic building block, found in all electronic devices? What could be
>>>more basic than a power supply?
>>
>>
>>Oh, gee, I don't know..... How about:
>>
>>A battery & a resistor;
>>Resistors in series and parallel;
>>An inductor in a DC and AC current;
>>Inductors in series and parallel;
>>An inductor with a saturable core;
>>A capacitor in a DC and AC current;
>>Capacitors in series and parallel;
>>A resistor and capacitor in series and parallel;
>>A resistor and inductor in series and parallel;
>>An inductor and capacitor in series and parallel;
>>A resistor, inductor & capacitor in various networks;
>>A current transformer;
>>A voltage transformer;
>>A power transformer;
>>Impedance transformation of a transformer;
>>A transformer with a resistive load;
>>A transformer with a reactive load.......
>
>All of which can be demonstrated by a power supply and associated lab
>experiments.

Resonance in a power supply? That's scary, Dave.

>>And I haven't even touched on semiconductors yet. Shall I continue
>>with this list?
>

>Sure, you've already made my case for me.

LOL!

>>>My tech school was a "hands-on" style of teaching. They could have
>>>bored everyone to tears by writing all sorts of math formulas on a
>>>chalk board and demonstrating how things were supposed to work. But it
>>>was much more rewarding and easier to relate to the circuits if we
>>>could actually see it work in real life.
>>
>>
>>If observation alone was sufficient for an education then anyone who
>>ever listened to the radio or watched a television would be an
>>engineer. That isn't the case. Those boring equations are technical
>>and mathematical descriptions of how electronic components and
>>circuits work in "real life". If you didn't learn these fundamental
>>concepts because electronic theory "bores you to tears" then you
>>probably shouldn't be discussing the subject with an EE who -did-
>>learn the basics (and in much more depth than they are covered in any
>>"tech school").
>
>I am an engineer Frank.

Not an electrical engineer. Are you a train engineer? Sanitation
engineer? Or do you just work on a road crew? The latter might explain
why you think a "short break" from work lasts over an hour.

> Tech school was my first taste of formal
>education, which I attended starting when I was 15 years old. Theory
>was a part of the curriculum, but it was closely accompanied by lab
>experiments where we could "put the theory to work" in actual
>practical circuits.

So you built a color organ in a high-school industrial arts class.
Whoop-dee-doo, so have lots of other people.

Alright, since you want to compare resumes:

I started with a dry-cell and a spool of wire when I was 7yo.

I got an old Heathkit 19-in-1 kit when I was 9.

I was mentored in electronics by Stan the Speaker Man (here in
Spokane) until I was 14, when he died and I started my own TV/radio
repair business.

After high-school I went into the USMC where I was trained as a Ground
Radio Repairman (2841) at 29 Palms (MCCES). I worked on anything from
the diminutive PRC-68 (VHF walkie-talkie) to the MRC-110 (1kW mobile
HF), as well as wired comm systems (mostly TA-312's), power supplies
(including the one in Ollie North's office), and just about anything
else that conducted electricity.

After the USMC I worked in two different commercial radio shops until
I went back to college (I got some credits from UNC while in Lejeune).

Graduated from EWU with a BS in Electronic Engineering (a specialized
Electrical Engineering degree) with a minor in Communications.

Started my own part-time shop doing most anything electronic,
including professional audio equipment, ham radio repair, radio and
amp restoration, custom circuit design..... as well as subcontracting
for other companies/radio stations. IOW, just about anything old or
new that pays money.

Worked as an engineer at JM (now Honeywell) for a year, designing a
machine that processes the lids for uP chips made by Intel and other
manufacturers (probably even one that's in your own computer).

Worked as a quality control engineer at HP for a couple years.

Worked as a BE at a local radio station until last fall.

All the while I have been in the hobby of CB radio, including being a
part of the original Jacksonville keydowns in the early 80's while I
was stationed at Lejeune.

Now what is it that -you- do for a living, Dave?

>>>>The cap is in parallel with -both- the source and load impedances; and
>>>>while the load impedance may be linear, that's rarely the case for the
>>>>source impedance.
>>>
>>>But resistances and impedances in parallel tend to act like resistors
>>>in parallel, and the results are similar. The source impedance, in the
>>>case of a power supply is what is contained in the rectifiers. The
>>>transformer is pretty much isolated at this point.
>>
>>
>>Wrong, wrong, and even -more- wrong. First, an impedance is not only
>>resistance but also -reactance-.
>
>No kidding.
>
>>Second, the power transformer is a
>>source impedance with an inductive reactance that changes with the
>>load. Third, the load can be (and frequently is) reactive to some
>>extent.
>
> Not if the load is purely resistive.

If it isn't reactive then it's purely resistive, right? Duh...!!!

>> Fourth, the caps are -both- a source and load impedance,
>>depending on which end you are looking at. Fifth, the rectifiers are
>>nonlinear components but they -do- have a linear region (conduction)
>>which passes impedances quite nicely, and in both directions......

>>
>>Shall I continue with -this- list?
>
>When you are trying to cloud a simple circuit like a power supply by
>bringing up a bunch of barely relevant issues, who am I to stop you?
>
>A power supply is not an oscillator (Well, it's not supposed to be), a
>doubly balanced mixer, a PLL circuit, or a transmitter. It is a simple
>A.C. to D.C. converter. Why try to make it more complicated than it
>truly is?

Which is -exactly- the point that I'm trying to get through to -you-!
You don't need to play with RC time constants because such an analysis
is compounded by more issues than are in your vocabulary. OTOH, the
function of the cap is better described as a function of it's AC
resistance, a much simpler and broader concept that you seem bound and
determined to debunk!

And BTW, the oscillator, transmitter, and double-balanced mixer
circuits are much simpler than a power supply.

>>>>Also, the capacitance of an electrolytic isn't a
>>>>constant; it's non-linear with respect to the voltage (a factor of
>>>>it's AC resistance, and another reason why audiophiles prefer motor
>>>>caps over electrolytics).
>>>
>>>Well of course! Look at any R/C time constance charge/discharge cycle
>>>and it's not a straight line. As the cap reaches 70% of it's
>>>capacity, the charge rate slows.
>
>>Holy crap, Dave..... didn't they teach you -anything- in that "tech
>>school"? The RC curve is the relationship between voltage and time,
>>and assumes that both the resistance and capacitance are constants.
>>What I said (and what you are ignoring) is that the capacitance of an
>>electrolytic cap is -not- a constant.
>
>Isn't that what I just said?

No. Let's try this again, and this time wear your glasses: "The RC
curve.... assumes that both the resistance and capacitance are
constants." Now, Mr. Engineer, what part of that don't you understand?

>> And because it is not a constant
>>the RC curve does -not- follow the same path that it would if the
>>capacitance -was- a constant. And BTW, the RC curve becomes a straight
>>line when the current is constant because the charge rate of the cap
>>is a fuction of current, not voltage. This is yet another example of
>>your inability to distinguish current and voltage.
>
>Are you trying to say that an R/C time constant is a straight line? I
>sure hope not.

Put on your reading glasses again, Dave. I said the curve was straight
"when the current is constant". It's the basis of the fundamental
circuit used for making triangle and sawtooth waves. And if you
couldn't understand that much then your crappy tech school should have
failed you, and you certainly never earned an electrical engineering
degree.

> If not, then you agree with me.

That's what I used to call 'Twisty-logic', but as of now I'm going to
rename it to encompass a broader definition -- it's now called
"stupidity".

>>>> Both these nonlinearities end up converting
>>>>your overly-simplistic R/C time constant analysis into an integral
>>>>function.
>>>
>>>Call it what you will. My "overly simplistic" analysis covers the
>>>conditions of what is actually happening.
>>
>>
>>It's clear that you have absolutely no clue as to what is actually
>>happening. Learn the basics, Dave.
>
>I know the basics. I also know the more advanced stuff.

ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!

>>>That sounds like double talk. How can a simple concept be described in
>>>overly simplistic complexities?
>>>
>>>> It's like you are trying to describe baseball
>>>>with dumbed-down Newtonian analysis. You still think you can write a
>>>>course on the subject? I don't think so.
>>>
>>>It's time to remind you of Occam's razor. I tend to use simple terms
>>>when they fit. There's no need to be any more complicated than
>>>necessary. My explanations were just that. I could have gone to the
>>>trouble of mathematically explaining the whole deal, but that would be
>>>far more effort than this thread deserves.
>>>
>>>The complexities have been introduced by you in an effort to discredit
>>>my explanations. While you can't deny them, you can inflate their
>>>complexity and then accuse me of not explaining it thoroughly enough.
>>
>>
>>You are once again confused. In your attempt to demonstrate that you
>>know what you are talking about you have ultimately proven that your
>>understanding is poor at best. THAT was my point, and THAT is what is
>>evident from your technical explanations
>
>Perhaps it is not me that needs a refresher course in electronic
>theory. It would seem that real life experience has paralleled my

>understanding of the hows and whys and has contradicted what you have
>said. In other words, I have seen nothing in my 35+ years of playing
>with and repairing radios that goes along with your observations. But
>I have seen many that have agreed with mine. Now, unless you want to
>make the case that the laws of physics works differently on the left
>coast, I would tend to question your depth of "knowledge".

Everything I have said can be easily verified by anyone who can read.
You can't read, which explains your pathetic understanding of basic
electronics.

>> Maybe you think you can leaf
>>through a few textbooks, speed-read a few concepts, paraphrase them
>>and try to pass them off as if they were integrated into your
>>education.
>
>That's what it seems you have been doing. Only you forgot to read the
>part about caps drying out.

Where's that book, Dave?

>> Well, you can't. I've been in the field long enough to
>>recognize that scam and it doesn't work. It didn't work for Skippy, it
>>didn't work for Toll, it didn't work for Eitner and it won't work for
>>you. But please don't stop trying because I find it very entertaining.
>
>Maybe you are the one who doesn't know what you are talking about
>Frank. You've gotten into "discussions" with other people before and
>tried to play that game. In some of those cases the stuff you brought
>up was a bit off-the-wall, and contrary to accepted practices. The
>whole "coax length" debates come to mind.

You mean when CLP falsely claimed he had a copy of the book I used for
a reference? Sure, why not. You have already pushed this discussion
well beyond the boundries of the original topic, so why don't we
revisit the coax length debate? But just know that it's not going to
detract from the fact that your electronics education is woefully
lacking, your claimed experience is probably 'overinflated', and your
troubleshooting skills have no logical or scientific methodology. So
yeah, let's do the coax thing again. Just what was it I said that you
consider to be "a bit off-the-wall"?

>>>>>>So when is your book coming out?
>>>>>
>>>>>Since power supplies are so basic, and there is no new information
>>>>>that I could tell that isn't already widely known, my effort would be
>>>>>superfluous.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I think you might have meant 'redundant', but 'superfluous' is really
>>>>a much better choice.
>>>

>>>That's why I chose it. Redundant would fit too.
>>
>>
>>I'll give you another opportunity to look up those words.
>
>Why? I am fully aware of the definitions of both.

I don't think so, Dave.

>>>>>>>If a cap explodes due to an excessive load, then the evidence of the
>>>>>>>failure which cause that excessive load should be evident. If not then
>>>>>>>you can't conclude that the cap died due to ripple.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The cause of failure is not always evident in the equipment.
>>>>>
>>>>>No, it's not. But in a simple application of a HV power supply in a
>>>>>tube amp, it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It's not always easy.
>>>
>>>No, but it is doable.
>>
>>
>>Another flip-flop. Make up your mind -- is it easy or not?
>
>Depends on the equipment and the application.

That's a cop out.

>Not easy does not mean impossible, or even improbable. It is easy to
>eliminate the majority of common practical causes. In most cases, the
>cause will be found during this process. In those rare cases where the
>cause is not part of the statistically probable causes, a little more
>investigative time may be involved. Troubleshooting is all about
>knowing the statistics. If you get in a piece of equipment that shows
>a common failure that you've seen before, would it make sense to check
>unrelated parts, or would it make more sense to go where the previous
>faults have been found?

You said, "it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause".
When I said that it's not always easy you replied with, "No, but it is
doable." Now you say it "depends" and obfuscate your flip-flop by
getting all wordy and begging for vindication with a loaded question.

Despite your wishy-washy position, I'll answer your question by saying
this: Troubleshooting by playing the odds (statistics) is for people
who do not understand the purpose and function of the circuit and all
it's components, because if you -do- know how they work then there is
no need to make guesses. As a perfect example, read the early posts in
this thread again. You will note that both Lance and myself asked to

see a schematic. OTOH, you blurted out that the cause of the problem
is "almost always" a capacitor. That's not troubleshooting. That's
troubleguessing.

>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>You are right, in a sense, that you can't always tell what DID cause
>>>>>>>the cap to blow, but you can determine what DID'NT cause it to fail.
>>>>>>>It then becomes a simple process of elimination.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>The possibilities are limitless so any such conclusion would be based
>>>>>>only on probability.
>>>>>
>>>>>The possibilities are not limitless. There are a finite set of
>>>>>conditions which a particular circuit can find itself in. Is it
>>>>>probable that a 12V supply was exposed to 500V?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Depends on the circuit.
>>>
>>>A solid state CB radio power supply.
>>
>>
>>Isolation failure in the line transformer can easily put a couple
>>hundred volts on your 12 volt supply. Seen it a few times (twice with
>>Pyramid power supplies -- cheap transformers that are too small for
>>the rated output).
>
>Never seen it happen. A breakdown of transformer insulation will
>usually result in a short and a blown fuse.

A shorted winding usually results in an overheated transformer because
most people defeat the purpose of the fuse and replace blown fuses
with bigger ones so the equipment will keep working. But the isolation
I was referring to was between the primary and secondary windings.
Many cheap power transformers have poor isolation between the
windings, and some have none at all. Primary shorts to the secondary
and you get lots of unwanted EMF on the secondary. You -have- worked
on Pyramid supplies, haven't you?

>>>>Many, simply because the cause of a failure isn't limited to internal
>>>>influences. But even if you limit the scope to the equipment, the
>>>>failure of other components is not always obvious. And here's a
>>>>perfect example:
>>>>
>>>>The first Peavey 1.3K I ever worked on came into the shop with a blown
>>>>bank of power transistors (not uncommon with Peavey amps) and a bad
>>>>OP-amp. The obvious conclusion is that the OP-amp locked up and drove
>>>>the bank into overload (the amp also has a triac clamp but it rarely
>>>>works -- it just nukes a trace on the board and lets the bank blow).
>>>>Anyway, that wasn't the case at all. I replaced damn near everything

>>>>in that amp and it would still lock up. To make an already long story
>>>>short... it turns out that Peavey, in their economical wisdom, used a
>>>>dual-diode as bias seperation for opposite banks of power transistors
>>>>without noting it in their literature, and one of those diodes had
>>>>shorted. The component appeared to be perfectly functional, showing a
>>>>forward voltage drop characteristic of a typical silicon diode, but it
>>>>was supposed to be -twice- that drop.
>>>
>>>Ah, so instead of a 1.2V drop, it was only showing .6V? A change in
>>>bias can certain cause a problem like that. But your example does not
>>>negate my statement. You found that another component failed, which
>>>resulted in a chain reaction failure. The cause of the failure was
>>>found. But if you can't pin down the cause of failure to any other
>>>component, you are left to consider that either the bad component
>>>failed on its own, or it was subjected to a momentary stress beyond
>>>its limits through some external event.
>>
>>
>>Actually, it was a design flaw. The diode was supposed to be thermally
>>connected to the heatsink, but was mounted such that the thermal link
>>was nothing more than a blob of heatsink grease. The grease dried out
>>and the redidue became a very good insulator. Regardless, my point was
>>that the failure is not always intrinsic to the circuit or device;
>>many times the problem is caused by outside influences, such as
>>improper operation or maintenance, poor design, manufacturing flaws,
>>etc. And many of those faults are not always evident; i.e, they don't
>>leave evidence of the cause of the failure. The fact is that there was
>>no evidence of the cause of the failure in the Peavey. I learned of
>>the cause after harassing Peavey tech support until they forked over
>>the info (I really think they try to keep faults like that a secret
>>because they make so much money on spare parts, but I suppose that's
>>just one aspect of modern business practices).
>
>So you finally found the source of the problem. You simply found
>another possibility to consider. It was nothing magical.

I never said it was.

> The next time
>you see an amp like that with the same problem, will you not then
>check that diode first? That's what I mean about experience and
>statistical probability.

I check the diode, but not first, and I don't assume that the diode is
the problem. Quite the contrary; the problem is usually a power
transistor that shorts. And for the sake of anyone reading this for
info on the amp, I highly suggest replacing the MJ15024 and MJ15025
with those Korean-made transistors. When they go bad they blow open;
almost every other brand (including Motorola and Peavey in-house
stock) will short and blow the rest of the amp.

>You might have half a leg up on me in pure theory, but you are
>seriously lacking the proper mindset to be an effective
>troubleshooter, as you don't seem to grasp the analytical mindset
>that's required or the practical application of your theory.

I don't use statistics, I use logic. It's much easier, more effective,
and in over 30 years of my career of repairing an extremely wide
variety of electronic equipment, -NOTHING- I have -EVER- fixed has
come back to the shop because I didn't fix the problem correctly.
(Thank you, Stan, wherever you are.)

>>>>So there are -many- possibilities that may or may -not- be obvious.
>>>
>>>I respectfully disagree. There ARE other possibilities yes, but I
>>>disagree that there are "many". A simple process of elimination will
>>>whittle that number down to a few highly probable possibilities.
>
>>A shorted resistor is not "highly probable", nor is a half-shorted
>>double diode, a PCB trace with a hairline crack, an incorrect slug in
>>an RF or IF transformer, etc. I can make a list here, too.
>
>Have you ever seen a bell curve? Have you ever studied the Taguchi
>methods of quality?
>
>Much of this assumes a certain degree of predictable and probable
>failure areas.
>
>Is a shorted resistor the first thing you consider? The PC trace
>crack?
>
>The double diode should have been on the list though. As one of the
>components that set the bias, it would be highly suspicious in a
>cascade meltdown of several amp stages. At least it would be to me.

You don't have a clue, Dave. When you fix your first 1.3K then maybe
we can talk. Until then, don't even -TRY- to guess.

>The incorrect slug is a interesting one. If the radio once worked,
>there is no way that this could happen. If you were told that someone
>else tried to fix it, then I would be looking for things like that. I
>have seen similar problems with "Screwdriver Tech" mods. Undoing
>improper repairs is another statistic to consider.

I don't need to be told if someone fucked with the radio or not. The
paint could be cracked, the wax disturbed, and sometimes there's even
ferrite dust in the hole or slot from some idiot using the wrong tool
for the job. Even if they got the core out and replaced it with one
they found in their transistor radio, it's easy to tell that the wrong
core was installed when an adjustment won't give the expected results
(all else being the same). Most screwdriver techs (like yourself)
don't realize that those cores, aside from having different sizes, are
made of various materials with different permeabilities and frequency
characteristics. One core may look exactly the same as another but

many times they aren't and are not interchangeable. And I would guess
that this ignorance accounts for at least a quarter of the radios in
my scrapheap.

But once again, just because some bonehead played with the screws
doesn't make me jump to the conclusion that a slug is the problem,
statistics or not.

>>>>>>>It's like forensic science. We reconstruct an incident based on the
>>>>>>>evidence in the aftermath.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave, before you use the word "forensic" again, do yourself a favor
>>>>>>and look it up in the dictionary.
>>>>>
>>>>>Have you never heard of forensic criminalists, who gather evidence to
>>>>>reconstruct a crime to prove innocence or guilt? Watch CSI sometime to
>>>>>get familiar with them. Or, if you prefer a less dramatic example, the
>>>>>Discovery channel has a show called "forensic files" which documents
>>>>>actual criminal forensic cases. Court TV has similar shows.
>>>>>
>>>>>The example I used was similar in method.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Gee, another excuse.
>>>
>>>A perfectly valid one.
>>
>>
>>You -still- haven't looked up the word. Shame.....
>
>I don't have to. I know what it means. I was comparing methodologies
>between one science and another. You, on the other hand, failing to
>see the big picture, are attempting to make hay by arguing semantics.
>You are starting to adopt the tactics of a certain fruitcake who also
>resides here.

I was just suggesting you clear up your ignorance by learning a
definition which, until now, you have only assumed was correct.

>>What you initially described is called 'failure analysis' (or 'crime
>>scene analysis', as per your example). The term "forensic science" is,
>>literally, the -presentation- of a scientific analysis.
>
>For legal purposes.

Sometimes. Forensics is about presentation. For a brief time I was a
member of the Forensics club in college. The various subjects included
debate -as well as- many other aspects of speech. The word "forensics"
is probably one of the most commonly misdefined words in the English
language, probably because people watch too much TV (and yes, I used
to watch Quincy).

> But the methodologies employed by criminalists is
>similar.

The methodologies employed by criminoloists is nothing more than the scientific process. A forensic criminalist is a criminalist whose job includes the presentation of the evidence. Even -you- could consider yourself a forensic criminologist -- you have presented evidence that you are a criminal.

>>>>>I have a battery charger which is unfiltered (the battery acts as the
>>>>>filter cap), which may serve the purpose nicely.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Go for it! Don't forget to use several different caps, measuring both
>>>>AC and DC volts across the cap, as well as the time it takes until
>>>>failure. Post the results.
>>>
>>>When I get a chance, I'll set it up. I need to find some high current
>>>resistors that can stand dissipating 20 amps or so.
>>
>>
>>What do you need resistors for? Just hook the puppies up! But if you
>>want a resistor anyway try an electric space heater or stove element.
>
>Without a sufficient load resistor, how can I validate your assertion
>that increased ripple (due to load) is the cause of cap failure?
>Without the load, if I place a cap across the output of the battery
>charger, all I've done is create a filtered DC power supply. The cap
>should last for years under those conditions, as would be expected.

Then try it both ways.

>I have yet to see an electric space heater than runs on 12 V. The
>current required would make it impractical. My space heater draws 12
>amps at 120V. At 12 volts, it would have to draw 120 amps for the same
>wattage.

This is another excellent opportunity to further your education. You claim that the heater draws 12 amps at 120VAC. Ok then, measure it's resistance cold. If it's 10 ohms then putting it across 12 volts will only draw 1.2 amps from your battery charger. But if it's less than 10 ohms then you just learned a lesson about lightbulb filaments.

I await your raw exit-poll data.

>A stove element might work. I'll have to measure the resistance and
>calculate the load.

Gee, now why didn't I think of that.....

>>>>>Why use a light bulb to do a zener's job?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The point was that the characteristics of a low-power device can be

>>>>amplified. And yes, a light bulb is sometimes preferable to a zener
>>>>because zeners are noisy little bastards.
>>>
>>>That shouldn't matter in a power supply.
>>
>>
>>Power supplies are not the only circuits that use regulators. And yes,
>>the noise of a zener is amplified by a power transistor along with
>>it's nonlinear characteristics, which can be bad if the supply is to
>>be used for low-noise applications.
>
>Ok. I won't argue the needs of special applications.
>
>
>>That's not what I described. I described a thermistor. Try reading it
>>again for content.
>>
>>
>>>What you have are 3 factors (Voltage, current and resistance). When
>>>you hold voltage constant, you can measure a change in temperature as
>>>a change in current.
>>
>>
>>Very good! That's all I was trying to say! Sheesh......
>>
>>
>>> But what if you increase the voltage? Will the
>>>bulb not increase current and therefore temperature?
>>
>>
>>Congratulations, you found the missing step!
>
>I'm not the one missing that step. You acted as if that step didn't
>exist.

You just learned something so quit whining.

>>>>>> IOW, the current density through a cross-section of filament
>>>>>>is the same regardless of it's thickness or length.
>>>>>
>>>>>A 12V bulb will have a thicker filament than a 120V bulb for the same
>>>>>given wattage. That's because the amount of current in a 12V bulb is
>>>>>10 times higher than the same wattage bulb in a 120 V configuration.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>True, but the cross-sectional current density must be the same in
>>>>order to reach the same temperature where it emits the same spectrum.
>>>>So why would a thicker filament last longer?
>>>
>>>It's physically more durable. Less prone to shock damage.
>>

>>
>>I don't buy that simply because an auto bulb encounters much more
>>shock and vibration than most household lightbulbs.
>
>Which is the point I was making. A household light bulb would never
>last under the conditions found in an automobile. The filament in an
>automotive bulb is thicker.

Then why not just make the filament thicker in a household light bulb?
The resistance would be lower but that can be compensated for by
increasing the length, right?

>>And UV bulbs have
>>a much thinner filament than a standard white bulb (to achieve a
>>higher temperature, and therefore a higher part of the spectrum), yet
>>they don't seem to burn out significantly faster. So your explanation
>>is still lacking any substance.
>
>Compared to a 12V UV bulb?

Where did I say anything about a 12V UV bulb? My comparison was of a
UV bulb to a standard white bulb. I made the mistake of assuming you
would know I meant the two bulbs use the same voltage -- shame on me.
So I'll rephrase, and be even more specific so it's harder for you to
come up with another excuse to avoid the issue: Three incandescent
bulbs, all rated 120V, 40 watts. One is UV, one is standard white, and
the third is a red darkroom bulb. The main difference between the
bulbs is the filament. Your question, Mr. Engineer, is why do all
three bulbs last about the same length of time despite your claim that
longevity is related to the thickness of the filaments?

>>>>>>Well, I'm an engineer, you know me, and I haven't ever used the term
>>>>>>"drying out" to describe a capacitor failure. Maybe "dielectrically
>>>>>>challenged"..... no, I haven't used that either.
>>>>>
>>>>>You are but one man.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Feel free to name some engineers who use the term "drying out" to
>>>>describe capacitor failure.
>
>
>>>>Even better, try referencing it in some
>>>>authoritative literature. If it's a common term then it should be well
>>>>published, right? (Actually, it -is- a common term with engineers but
>>>>only in reference to batteries.)
>>>
>>>I entered the term "capacitors drying out" into google and came up
>>>with 63 links to the term used in a similar context to what I've
>>>promoted here. I didn't have the time to read them all, but be my
>>>guest if you are so inclined.

>>>
>>>I accept your apology.
>>
>>
>>63 hits? That's all? I'm sure there are -plenty- more references from
>>people who, like yourself, mistakenly attribute the term "drying out"
>>to capacitors. Heck, I got over a million hits for "Santa Claus" but
>>that doesn't mean he exists.
>
>Santa Claus does exist. Ask my daughter.
>
>> How about an -authoritative- reference,
>>Dave? You know the difference, don't you?
>
>You asked me to provide examples of people (other than me) who used
>the term. I did that. Now, that's not good enough for you? The term is
>common, even if you refuse to acknowledge it. The fact that you refuse
>to accept it unless it's used in form of "reference" book is
>illustrative of nothing more than a stubborn adherence to your
>ignorance on the subject.

I asked for engineers or other authoritative references. You provided
excuses and Google-juice.

>>>><snip>
>>>>>>>Hmm.... My test procedure for that rig used 5mV to set 50% modulation,
>>>>>>>with VR6
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Step 7, and it's 0.5 mV.
>>>>>
>>>>>It's 5mV in my book.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I don't know what book you have (probably Sams) but mine is the
>>>>service manual provided by Radio Shaft. I can scan the page if you
>>>>want. If your book is in error that might explain your need to bypass
>>>>the LPF.
>>>
>>>.5 mV won't even tickle the modulation in most radios. Certainly not
>>>enough to make 100%.
>>
>>
>>Geez Dave, can't you read? The spec was 0.5mV for -50%- modulation.
>
>My book says 5 mV for 50% modulation.

So you have said three times already, and I read it each time. What
-you- didn't read is your erroneous statement that 0.5mV is not enough
for 100%, to which I pointed out that the spec was for 50% modulation,
-not- 100% modulation. Are you really this stupid or are you just
playing the fool?

>>And yes, it will most definitely "tickle" the modulation because mine
>>is set just as described and it works dandy. BTW, your lack of both
>>experience and education is showing
>
>Yea, it's showing that you put your foot in your mouth, and you don't
>know how to back it out and still save face.

Considering the alignment is for uncompressed modulation and -not- AMC
as you suggested, and that the spec works fine -regardless- of your
personal opinion that it can't, I would say that your foot-in-mouth
comment is an indication that you are suffering from the malady
yourself.

>> -- typical output of a dynamic
>>microphone just -begins- in the low microvolt range. We're talking
>>-60dB or less. The dynamic range of a human voice isn't that great,
>>barely 20dB, yet the dynamic range of CB AVC circuits (or AMC, AGC,
>>CVA, etc) can be anywhere from 40 to 60dB, which is such a wide range
>>for a number of reasons, not the least of which is the wide variety of
>>microphones, voices, and distances between the mics and the voices.
>
>
>>And 0.5mV is plenty for 50% mod, especially when the radio's mic
>>sensitivity is specified for 1.0mV minimum at 50% mod.
>
>Then .5 mV would make about 25% modulation. Not enough to set any
>alignment points.

Ever consider the possibility that the alignment procedure calls for
measuring the mic input with an AF VTVM using a demod probe? IOW, the
measured reading during the alignment is half the actual Vp-p at the
input; which, when corrected, just happens to be the spec for the
radio. The two specs are fully consistent with each other. Also, the
transmitter RF alignment is done with 500mV of two-tone audio at the
mic input.

Care to make more guesses in your attempt to discredit the manual?

>> I offered to
>>scan the page..... are you sure you don't want to see it?
>
>I believe you know how to read. But what you are reading is wrong.

I don't think so, Dave. The specs are consistent, the alignment works,
my audio doesn't sound "mushy and compressed" as you describe your's
after doing the alignment from the Sams manual (I'm assuming that's
what you are using even though you have yet to admit or deny it), the
manual and specs were provided to RS by the manufacturer, and every
argument you have used to discredit the source has backfired in your
face. So I really think this manual is accurate, Dave.

>> After all,
>>Uniden made these radios for radio shaft, so it was -Uniden- that

>>provided the information in the service manual.
>
>Are you sure about that? Are you also confident that the decimal point
>was not a typo?

Yes and yes. If the decimal point was a typo then they would have had
to make the same typo in three places, all regarding the same spec,
and all in a way that the altered specs are not only consistent with
each other, but that they work. Anything is possible, but I would
accept the single-bullet theory before I would accept your suggestion
that the spec is a typo.

>>Not like Sams which
>>got their info from reverse engineering.
>
>And you know this how?

Because that's how they made their manuals. You've been using them for
35 years and you didn't know that? TAB books did the same thing for a
while.

>>So which manual do -you-
>>think is more likely to be accurate, Dave? Don't you think Occam's
>>Razor applies in this case? Or is this another case for Sherlock
>>Holmes?
>
>Well, the issue becomes, one of our manuals is wrong.
>
>And once again Frank, the fact is that I can find NO other reference
>in any of my other numerous manuals (Some are factory) for dozens of
>radios which calls for such a low level of mike input. So now that
>leaves 2 possibilities. Either:
>
>A. You are wrong.
>B. Every one of my test procedures are wrong.
>
>Now what is the statistical probability of either of those choices?

You left out a few other choices:

C. At least one of your alignment procedures is wrong.
D. You are making this a personal issue instead of a technical issue.
E. You don't know as much as you think you do.
F. I am not a liberal.
G. Bush is a criminal.

I would list those choices as follows, from the highest statistical
probability to the lowest: G, C, D, E, F, A, and B. Your mileage may
vary.

>>>BTW, there is no "need" to bypass the LPF. It's just that the radio
>>>sounds better IMHO that way. The LPF overly restricts the audio
>>>bandwidth and kills fidelity. If you like sounding like you're talking

>>>into a paper cup, then fine. I admit that I'm somewhat of an audio
>>>purist. I like smooth "broadcast quality" audio. That's my personal
>>>preference. YMMV.
>>
>>
>>CB is not intended for high-fidelity. Passing the higher freqs widens
>>the bandwidth of the channel and makes it more likely to bleed into an
>>adjacent channel. That's one reason why CB mods are illegal.
>
>No kidding. But the audio BPF also cuts back on the very low
>frequencies as well. All I know is that the radio is more pleasing to
>the ear when the BPF is removed. From a purely legal standpoint, you
>are correct.

If your high-fidelity operation is creating a bandwidth that exceeds
the allowed bandwidth for CB channels then you are a criminal.

>>Regardless, you can get improved sound with nothing more than a better
>>mic. The stock microphones are usually designed for 300-3000Hz and are
>>as flat as the Matterhorn. Plug an electret into the radio and the
>>response flattens out, making it sound much better even -with- the LP
>>filter. This should come as no suprise since 3000Hz is a pretty high
>>frequency when compared to the human voice. Only Kate Bush could sing
>>-that- high.
>
>300-3000 hz is commonly referred to as "communications quality" audio.
>This is why most AM rigs have 6 Khz filters in them and SSB rigs have
>3 Khz filters. But people like to run D-104's on their rigs, and the
>BPF mod makes the radio sound better on those mikes.
>
>You and I can debate on which method makes more sense, and from a
>puritanical standpoint I would probably agree with you. But you have
>to remember, that when you are in business working on radios for other
>people, they don't want to hear that their mikes are junk, or that
>they should do this or that. They want the cheap and easy fix.

Maybe that's what -your- customers want.

> That's
>what removing the BPF gives them. It makes the rig sound as good as
>their last one on the same mike.

How about just aligning it according to factory specs?

>>>> Higher drive at mid-modulation will push the audio into
>>>>compression earlier, which would easily account for your audio
>>>>sounding "mushy and compressed", just as you described.
>>>
>>>Yes it would. But that's not the reason in my case. What was that you
>>>tried to say before about acknowledging other possibilities?
>>
>>

>>Why should I? My audio sounds fine. I'm not the one with "mushy and
>>compressed" audio. I'm not the one who uses a book with an error in
>>the alignment procedures.
>
>Probability would suggest that yes, you did.

It's far more probable that you can't admit you have been wrong all
these years.

>> And I'm not the one who felt the need to
>>bypass the LP filter. I don't see any reason to even consider any
>>other possibilities. If it works, don't fix it.
>
>There's "works" and then there's "works better".

There's also "legal" and "criminal". Corrupting a sweet radio because
you are too arrogant to accept your own mistake is criminal.

>>>> My radio
>>>>sounds just fine without the modification, so it's very likely that
>>>>-your- book is in error.
>>>
>>>There is no error in my book. It correlates with other radio alignment
>>>procedures.
>>>
>>>Perhaps your book is in error. You assume that because it came from
>>>Radio Crap, it's infallible.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. I never assumed any such thing. You mentioned the discrepancy
>>so I referenced it's other specs, as well as specs from other radios.
>
>What other radios?

About a dozen or so RS models that use the same mic, as well as
several GE radios, a couple SBE's, Tram D-60, etc.

>>The only discrepancy is with -your- book. It stands alone in the
>>modulation procedure you described.
>
>No, in fact YOURS stands alone.

Now you are starting to sound like a little kid in a school-yard
squabble.

>>The question is, given how your
>>own description of the audio is indicative of misalignment, and that I
>>have a more authoritative reference that disputes yours, why do -you-
>>assume that -your- book is correct?
>
>Because it agrees with several dozen other books.

You should probably read those books a little more carefully. While you might expect the RS manuals have similar specs, I have many other manuals on hand and most of them (radios that use dynamic mics) only have specs for 80 to 100% modulation, which is typically set at 8 to 20mV (this is from the sample group I noted earlier). Since the mic range is quite wide and these specs are for radios that have some sort of compression and/or limiting, that lands the mic sensitivity spec of the TRC-449 pretty much on par with most other radios. The only real difference is that the RS service manual has an alignment to set the AM mod at 50%, a procedure that is somewhat out of the ordinary.

Regardless, the issue is the TRC-449. I have the original service manual and you don't. I understand why you are upset because it clearly shows that you have been using incorrect specs all these years, and unnecessarily modified an unknown number of radios because of it. You -could- blame Sams for supplying the wrong information. But you won't because you know as well as I do that the responsibility is ultimately yours -- you noticed a problem and failed to make a correct diagnosis. Instead you jumped to the conclusion that the LPF was the cause because bypassing it cured the malady. That's a 'symptomatic remedy' and is no better than declaring a fire is out because you pinch your nose and can no longer smell any smoke. Bad tech skills, Dave.

>>>My procedure is similar to what other radios also prescribe. After
>>>all, there isn't much difference in the output that a given stock
>>>microphone presents.
>
>>Wrong. I have lots of mics that have widely different levels. And the
>>useful dynamic range of any given mic can be 30 to nearly 100dB,
>>depending on the type. That's why one mic will sound great on one
>>radio but crappy on another, and vice-versa.
>
>That has little to do with actual output level and more to do with
>frequency response.

I might buy that if it were not for the fact that I can take almost any radio, plug in just about any mic through a preamp with a level control, and make the radio sound either great or crappy depending on where I set the level. Assuming the radio and mic are both unmodified, of course.

>>>The fact that your radio "sounds fine" is a matter of some
>>>subjectivity. I didn't say that the radios (Radio shack, Cobra and
>>>President versions of this chassis) that I've worked on sounded "bad",
>>>only that I felt that they sounded "better" with a modified LPF.
>>>Again, a matter of personal preference.
>>
>>
>>You said they sounded "mushy and compressed". That isn't bad? Geez
>>Dave, how many times can you flip-flop in one post?
>

>A personal preference. I am an audio purist, like I said before.

Then you should probably find another hobby besides CB radio.

> Other
>people may not hear anything wrong. Hell, some people find the sound
>of an overdriven class "C" amplifer as "fine".

Kinda like a Marshall on full reverb, huh?

>>>> Not only that, I'm suprised that a person of
>>>>your self-proclaimed experience would not have suspected the error
>>>>earlier -- after all, your own description of the audio quality is
>>>>indicative of overmodulation.
>>>
>>>Not at all. 5 mV is hardly too much audio drive. Both the mike preamp
>>>compression circuits and the modulation AMC were working properly. The
>>>compressed sound was due to lousy audio bandwidth,
>>
>
>>Or maybe it was due to a misalignment which caused a downward
>>expansion in a region where a flat response is intended?
>
>What kind of B.S. are you slinging now Frank? I could "align" that
>radio with my eyes closed. Please don't insult me.

You insult yourself by not accepting the possibility that you are
wrong. If you set the 50% modulation point to ten times the specified
input, assuming you are using the same mic, the result is downward
expansion -- great for ambient noise reduction but not audio quality,
and if you turn it up much higher it will probably sound like you are
speaking through a revolving door.

>>Naw, that
>>couldn't be it. You and your crystal ball must be right, Dave, it
>>couldn't be anything else.
>
>When I'm right, I'm right. My experience tells me so.

Wasn't that a Pat Boone song in the early 50's?

>>> coupled to a
>>>microphone (A D-104) which didn't mate well with the audio bandpass
>>>characteristic that this radio with the LPF presented.
>>
>>
>>D-104's suck. They have no low-end and the high-end is raspy.
>
>The high end is fine when the crystal element is good, and hasn't hit
>the floor one too many times.
>
>
>>The

>>output level of the unamplified models is too high for most radios,
>
>Wrong. The output of the unamplified mike is high impedance, and will
>not mate well with a solid state rig, unless the mike input impedance
>is also high (Which it usually isn't).

Granted.

>>and the amplified models are usually turned up too high by the user.
>
>That depends on the person.
>
>>People like them because they are loud, but they are loud because of
>>their high and narrow spectral response -- not a mic I would consider
>>using for high-fidelity.
>
>Most CB'ers want to be "loud and proud". Hence the immense popularity
>of thr D-104.

It also explains why Rush Limbaugh and Mancow still have jobs.

>> Want a good mic? Try a Shure 522 -- a lot
>>cheaper than a D-104 and sounds a lot better.
>
>I've used Shure 444's before. I'm not familiar with the 552.

It sounds a lot better than a 444. Almost as good as an SM-58.

>>>> Yet you didn't bother to find a more
>>>>authoritative reference, or compare the calibration specification to
>>>>that of similar radios (I did, and 50% modulation at 0.5mV is common
>>>>for Uniden radios that come with the same mic).
>>>
>>>Funny, I took a quick look through a bunch of different test
>>>procedures for various radios. Audio input ranged from 5 mV
>>>(Considering the gain of that mike preamp circuit, that's not
>>>surprising), to 10, 20, 50, 70 and 100 mV, to set the AMC. In NONE of
>>>them was there ever a call for anything less than that.
>>
>>
>>Like I said, I can scan the page if you don't believe me.
>
>I believe what you are reading. But I truly believe that it is WRONG>

There's that belief system of your's interfering with your left
hemisphere (assuming you are right-handed).

>>You can even
>>ask Lance since I got the manual from him with the radio. BTW, have
>>you ever seen a factory service manual? You know, one that wasn't
>>published by Sams?
>
>I have a dozen factory service manuals, including the Rat Shack TRC

>448, the Lafayette Telsat SSB-140, the Uniden 2510, the RCI 2950, and
>Yaesu's FT-101, and FT-757, and the Kenwood TS-700a and TS-530. Now,
>what were you saying?

Read them. But don't read them through the same coke-bottles you use
while reading the newsgroup.

>>>>You could have also
>>>>compared the cal-spec the radio's rated mic sensitivity. But no, you
>>>>decided -not- to look for the primary cause of the problem, assumed
>>>>that the error was in the design and proceeded to chop the filter.
>>>
>>>Again, read my lips, there was NO "problem". This was a personal taste
>>>modification made to improve the overall audio fidelity. I assumed
>>>nothing, however you are doing more than enough assuming for both of
>>>us.
>>
>>
>>Let's put it this way: Have you heard the audio after aligning the
>>radio according to factory specs? I have, and it doesn't sound "mushy
>>and compressed" at all.
>
>In your opinion.
>
>> And I've worked with professional audio
>>equipment for more than ten years at the station so I -do- know what
>>"mushy and compressed" sounds like. Gee, I wonder why -your- radios
>>sound that way but not mine.....
>
>Because your experience in CB radio is seriously lacking.

I wasn't a golden screwdriver tech for 35 years like you. CB radio
repair just wasn't enough to pay the bills, and believe me, college is
still a pretty big bill. No, I had to work for customers and employers
that actually cared about communication, not sounding "loud-n-proud".

>>>>Dave, it's clear that in -both- electronics and politics you have a
>>>>real problem accepting any possibilities outside the realm of your own
>>>>prejudice.
>>>
>>>No, what's clear is that you take a scenario, make many speculative
>>>assumptions about the reasons for it, and then come to a conclusion
>>>that's based on those erroneous assumptions. It's no wonder you are so
>>>easily brainwashed by left-wing propaganda.
>>
>>
>>That's about the best example of projection I have ever read, Twisty's
>>rants included.
>
>Typical. Deny the truth by accusing the harbinger of that truth of
>"projection". You are sounding more like Twisty every day.

Well, Twisty might be proud that you are trying to imitate him, but
I've sparred with him enough not to fall for the gag, especially from
someone as inexperienced at debate as yourself.

>>Oh heck, I'll scan the page anyway. I'll post the link later after I
>>dig the scanner out of the pile (everything's a mess here right now).
>
>No need. I believe you are reading what you are reading. It's just
>wrong.

Well then just how do you intend to prove to me that the only
authorized manual for the radio is wrong?

>>>>>>>There is very little you could tell me about that radio (or more
>>>>>>>specifically that chassis) that I don't already know.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I just did. Twice in this post and once in the previous post.
>>>>>
>>>>>What you "told" me wasn't of any real info about the radio itself.
>>>
>>>
>>>>I told you some -very- real info about the radio -- you just didn't
>>>>know how important that info is, or how it demonstrates that you
>>>>aren't as good with electronics as you think you are.
>>>
>>>Like I said, there is nothing *valuable* you could tell me in a
>>>hundred lifetimes, about that radio that I don't know, or haven't
>>>experienced in one form or another. What you just recently learned, I
>>>was doing 25 years ago. I've literally worked on over a hundred
>>>versions of that radio. I used to sit there and play "what if" on my
>>>own radio just for fun when I was bored and had nothing else to do.
>
>>Wow! Over a hundred -versions- of that radio? I didn't even think
>>there were a hundred CB companies at the time the radio was made!
>
>A hundred examples of the various radio versions of that chassis.
>Meaning, that I've worked on several examples of the TRC 449, TRC 458,
>TRC 457, Cobra 138 XLR, Cobra 139 XLR, President Washington, etc.

WOWWIE!!! ....uh, like I care. On how many of them did you perform
unnecessary mods -- like on the TRC-449, where you thought there was a
problem but wasn't yet you 'fixed' it anyway?

>> So
>>you must really know your stuff, huh? But wait..... you don't even
>>have a factory manual for the radio. So everything you know about the
>>alignment of that radio is from where..... the Sams manual?
>
>Maybe you need to be shown how to align a radio, but most radios I can
>align without a procedure. After you've worked on as many as I have,
>the tune up procedures are strikingly similar. I have a bench full of
>test equipment, and a whole ton of experience. In fact, I go way

>beyond the test procedures as most of them assume only modest test
>equipment. I align transmitters with an oscilloscope and a spectrum
>analyzer. I have an HP audio analyzer which I use to align receivers
>for best signal to noise. I have a pulse noise source to align noise
>blankers. I've come a long way since the 70's, when I had little more
>than a VOM and a wattmeter.

Sure, you can show me how to align a radio and I can show you how to
perform heart surgery. It's easier than you think. I have all the
tools in my shop; knives, hack-saws, hemostats, Dremel, C-clamps,
pipe-snake, staple-gun, mop..... So come on over and I'll scrub your
arteries clean as a whistle -- no charge!

>>>I've designed controlled carrier modifications for it, FM receive and
>>>transmit, 10 meter conversions, Digital readout frequency selection,
>>>photocell LED and meter light dimmer circuits, wideband transmit and
>>>receive, and a host of other things that I've long forgotten about.
>>>Like I said, that chassis (in its various forms) has been one of my
>>>all time favorites for the sheer ease at which the radio modified and
>>>the overall capability of it.
>
>
>>I'll be impressed when you can design one from scratch. But that would
>>be superfluous, wouldn't it?
>
>I have built radios from scratch. But nothing quite so elaborate.

You're an engineer, right? What's so elaborate about a CB radio? You
were doing power supplies when you were 15 -- haven't you learned
anything since then?

>>>>>>Thanks anyway, but I already used too much time pulling out the mods
>>>>>>and restoring it to stock condition -- except for the faceplate. Got a
>>>>>>spare?
>>>>>
>>>>>No I don't. Most of my spare faceplates belong to the bonepile radios,
>>>>>most of which are crappy little AM mobiles.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Heard that. Did you see the pic of my "bonepile" that I posted last
>>>>fall? I must have a couple dozen of those cheap, little Cobras buried
>>>>in the pile, not to mention a half-dozen or so mini-Midlands (which
>>>>weren't too awfully bad little radios, just not worth fixing). I
>>>>should go through the pile someday, make a list and post it for anyone
>>>>looking for parts.
>>>
>>>I saw it. Your "bonepile" is a bit larger than mine. I've been slowly
>>>trashing the real junk, as I've pretty much "retired" from the CB-tech
>>>scene. It's just not worth the time any more, as I've got far too much
>>>on my plate these days. Being a parent takes up a lot of time.
>

>>So does the newsgroup, apparently.
>
>Not at all. I do this during short "breaks" at work. When I travel or
>things get really busy.....

.....or you get beat up too badly in the newsgroups.....

>.....I'll disappear for a while. But since I
>switched to ptd.net, and my old newsreader stopped working and I had
>to switch to Forte Agent, I don't even have a newsreader setup at
>home.

-<sniff>-

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2476. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 12:30:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3a9p015l084d6foju3u8m4gbncfq5tjom8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196165

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 15:27:10 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <aegn01dfto7eiio3v8r7o2pn2bnvatotk2@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 05:49:31 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>>Politics transcends logic.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I rest my case. Goodbye, Dave.
>>>
>>>Which "case" was that Frank?
>>
>>
>>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
>>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.
>
>
>Are you mad? Are you here to tell me that politics is entirely
>LOGICAL? If so, you, my friend, are seriously naive.

That's not what I said, Dave.

>Politics is a matter of deciding which "side" makes the most sense to
>you, based a lot on faith. Local cannot begin to explain the hows and
>whys of the political mind.

To some it's based on faith. To some it's based on logic. To some it's
based on physical appearance, or emotional manipulation, or advice
from the psychic friends network. To some it's based on the sound of a
name, like "ray-gun" or "Busch". And to some it's based on the power
of suggestion, gullibility, or vulnerability to carefully devised
propoganda campaigns.

>>>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....
>>
>>
>>Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other
>>responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left
>>with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know
>>what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.
>
>You really are becoming Twisty. You are even starting to spout out the
>same unfounded accusations.

You have a 'tell', Dave. Not only are your lies easily identified, but
you responses have a unique characteristic that tells the motivation
for the lie; i.e, whether your lie was malicious, deceitful, etc....
or even to protect a secret (usually to cover up another lie). And
just so you know, I'm no expert in lie detection. I've only known one
other person who lied as poorly as you. She even tried to make a
career out of it. Now she's alone and miserable, just like you will be
soon if you don't learn something from this experience.

>>Regardless, our discussions have already forced you to show both of
>>your faces on several topics, and to continue would just be beating a
>>dead cliche. So feel free to preach your self-righteous attitudes and
>>technical 'opinions' because nobody takes you seriously anymore.
>
>Another tip of the hat to the "twistyism" of professing to know what
>others think?
>
>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!! I love early-morning humor!!!!

> I have
>nothing to hide, and don't try to. Maybe that's what pisses you and
>your newly found soul mate in Florida off. You can't back me into a
>corner, because I've said or done nothing that I'm ashamed of.

That's paranoid. Nobody is trying to back you into a corner, Dave. On
the contrary, I'm trying to inject some logic and reason into your
artificial reality. I'm making progress but it would help if you could
open your mind and quit kicking and screaming whenever I state a fact
that threatens your faith-based perceptions.

>>And I'll still be around. I just won't be nearly as active as before.
>>So if you still feel the need to give further demonstrations of your
>>warped values then by all means do so -- just know that I probably
>>won't be able to provide a timely response.
>
>Say hi to Aaron for me.....

Say it yourself. He still reads the group from time to time.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2477. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 12:46:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3n6p01lm55jfa8l9k4iovipq7i8ovu7qqp@4ax.com>
Source record: 196167

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 18:14:36 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Not at all similar. You are a licensed amateur, and as such, are held to
>a certain standard, a standard you continue to ignore.

And what "standard" is that? There is no "standard" that says that I
have to mollycoddle a mental case.

>>You call my denouncement of illegal behavior
>>as "non-hammie" and "non-elmer", which
>>implies that you feel that the converse is true.

>Incorrect, Dave. I correctly note your name-calling based on your logic
>(legalities) is non-elmer like. I correctly note your position
>used to justify your name calling actions are non-elmer like. Calling
>names is non-productive and reactive.

"Names"? Sorry if the terms I use bother you. But you need to face the
truth of what you are. You are technically guilty of criminal behavior
no matter how many different ways you try to twist it, or excuses you
spit up to somehow trivialize it. Ham radio does not need guys like
you who disregard the laws you agree to follow when you sign on the
dotted line of your license.

>You ignorantly and
>erroneously call people criminals for dxing merely beacuse you are
>unable to distinguish between a civil and criminal nature.

It would seem that you cannot follow a simple train of logic. I have
provided the definitions of each and every term and demonstrated how
they fit the "crime". Your only defense is to call me names (Hypocrisy
in action) and accuse me of not knowing the law.

>Then perhaps you should address the problem and not the symptom...you
>know Dave, become proactive instead of reactive.
>
>>Ok, let's run with this. What do YOU think is
>>the "problem" and how should one "address"
>>it?
>
>I'm not the one maintaining a problem exists, Dave..you are.

Read your previous statement above. Did you not say: "Then perhaps you
should address the problem and not the symptom...you know Dave, become
proactive instead of reactive."

You used the word "problem". I asked you to define it and, as usual
and true to your predictable character, you deflected.

Not only is your memory failing, but your eyesight is not too good
these days either.

>>You are all about image and appearances.
>
>
>But of course, I am so caught up in such appearances, I choose to access
>the web with webtv,,that's how concerned I am with appearances.

Maybe that's all they'll let you have in the psych ward. Municipal
budgets being what they are these days. No wonder you hate the
president....

>>In
>>the real world though, image is nothing.
>>Reality is everything.
>>The point is that you are breaking federal law.
>
>If that's your point, accusing me of bringin it up really has you
>confounded. But let's try and keep you focused for a second,,,,,speeding
>past 70 MPH is also "breaking federal law",,an analogy that has you
>writhing about.

Let's also put this one to rest. After some research, I have found
that there is NO federal 70 MPH speed limit. The previous 55 MPH speed
limit was repealed in 1995. States are now free to set their own
maximum speed limits, which ranged from 65 in some states, to 70 in
others, and 75 in yet a few others. I was also correct that Montana
had no daytime maximum speed limit for a time, but has since been set
at 75 MPH starting in 1999 which, of course, is higher than your
mythical "federal" limit.

See:
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speed_limit#Speed_limits_on_United_States_roads_and_highways

for a little overview education on speed limits.

>>You can't be fined $10,000 or spend time in
>>jail for speeding.
>
>
>You most certainly can get jail time for speeding, Dave. Prior to
>educating you on this item, I note that you once again attempt the
>invoking of the monetary amount as criteria (albeit, highly incorrect)
>for what constitutes a "federal criminal'.
>
>>The potential
>>is there for criminal penalties.

>Same for speeding,

>>Show me where you can spend any serious
>>time in jail for speeding or be fined in excess
>>of $1000.
>
>
>Sure, Dave. Always glad to educate your loud and proud ignorance Any
>speed greater than 15 MPH over the limit requires a court appearance.
>Try doing 120 in a 55 and see what that gets you in some jerkwater town.

Once again, you are wrong.

From:
http://www.flsenate.gov/Statutes/index.cfm?App_mode=Display_Statute&Search_String=&URL=Ch0316/SEC183.HTM&Title=->2004->Ch0316->Section%20183#0316.183

"(7) A violation of this section is a noncriminal traffic infraction, punishable as a moving violation as provided in chapter 318. "

>Let's see, by the mere act of speeding alone, one can be charged with
>reckless endangerment, careless and/or reckless driving, and whatever
>other statutes that may be applied from a litany of charges.

But those "other" charges are what carry the stricter penalties, not the speeding alone. You are reaching again (no surprise).

> Littering
>can also get you a year in jail and yes..TEN THOUSAND DOLLAR fine,,,the
>signs are all over Florida.

This is too easy.......

From:
http://www.flsenate.gov/statutes/index.cfm?App_mode=Display_Statute&Search_String=&URL=Ch0403/Sec413.HTM

6) PENALTIES; ENFORCEMENT.--

(a) Any person who dumps litter in violation of subsection (4) in an amount not exceeding 15 pounds in weight or 27 cubic feet in volume and not for commercial purposes is guilty of a noncriminal infraction, punishable by a civil penalty of $50. In addition, the court may require the violator to pick up litter or perform other labor commensurate with the offense committed.

> Does that also make one a federal criminal,
>Dave?

Obviously no, and you'd know that if you did your homework.

And you have the nerve to call me ignorant on the law. You don't even know the laws in your own state. How can you possibly have any credibility on federal laws (Which you choose to break)?

>
>
>Most people ignore what does not exist.

You mean like the "federal" 70 MPH speed limit (chuckle)?

>>Once again, the 70 MPH speed limit thing was
>>not mine.
>
>>I never brought that up. In fact I was unaware
>>that there was a federally mandated 70 MPH
>>speed limit.

>See what you can learn when forced..

Yep, I learned that you're smoking something once again, as there is
no federal 70 MPH speed limit.

>
>> and a few states (Montana I believe
>>was one) actually had no speed limit on some
>>highways. The increase in accident rate then
>>forced them to impose a state mandated limit.

>What the hell does Montana have to do with the federal 70 MPH law?

Nothing, as there is no federal 70 MPH law. Montana, currently has a
75 MPH maximum. I guess the feds should be knocking on Montana's doors
eh?

>Yet, that has never stopped you from talking sideways about a topic you
>invoke often but know little of...radio law.

Coming from the guy who pulled a mythical federal 70 MPH speed limit
out of his hat, and doesn't even know the laws of his own state, I
find that rather amusing.

I'll await your response (as if you had the self control to resist),
come Monday morning, when you return to the psyche ward.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2478. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 12:52:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <riap01dnjkg7d4t29e6msbsgmo218ffifi@4ax.com>
Source record: 196168

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 04:50:07 GMT, son_of_sparky@att.net wrote:

>> On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 01:46:42 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>> >>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>> >>>>
>> >>>>Dave I am hearing CBers on AM in the 10 meter cw band right now.
>> >>>>Thanks for helping another unlicensed outlaw get his peaked up tweaked up
>> >>>>illegal rig on the air to join them. Putz.
>> >>>>
>> >>>
>> >>>You're welcome.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Dave,
>> >>
>> >>Tell the moron I am not on 10 meters. I don't stoop to his level.
>> >>
>> >>Vinnie S.
>> >
>> >And by that you mean you are just an illegal outlaw on the 11 meter
>> >band? Illegal is illegal isn't it?
>>
>>
>> So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that. Hypocracy
>> at it's finest.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>There you go Dave, you have "enabled" an outlaw Cber
>and he even used the 56 in a 55 mph zone excuse. Proud?

Extremely.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2479. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 12:56:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3kap011bl2l4leqbf20c3ludm031pmijai@4ax.com>
Source record: 196169

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 21:25:37 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that.
>> Hypocracy at it's finest.
>
>Depends on the state. Most states give you 10% leeway for differences in
>speedometer calibration. Oregon doesn't have speed limits in most places,
>opting for a less strictly enforced posted speed (difference is the posted
>speed signs say SPEED, whereas hard limits say SPEED LIMIT). In either
>case, if conditions are bad, you can get a speeding ticket for going slower
>than the posted speed (ie, doing 50 in a 60 zone on ice).

In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of "Speedometer"
and speed measuring inaccuracies. In addition, just to avoid court
challenges to the precise accuracy of the speed measuring equipment
(Usually VASCAR on non-state patrolled roads, and RADAR on state
police patrolled roads), most of the cops I know tell me that they
unofficially give people 9 MPH over the posted limit before they start
pulling people over. Of course there might be a new hard-assed rookie
who might not be so "kind".....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2480. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 12:58:05 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <xZ1Pd.39097$sr1.16220@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196170

```
"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95F9F07F874E21234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:g8WOd.33383$uL5.17345@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>> news:Xns95F9E203F36761234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in news:K2zOd.38380$uA.18624
>>> @fe1.texas.rr.com:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>>>> news:Xns95F8CC15AEC191234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>>>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050209124416.992$V9
>>>>> @newsreader.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>>>>>> Dave steveo is mad he had the over in the super bowl ...lol
>>>>>>>
>>>>>> Nope, if you recall I said under 48..lost on the points tho.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> teaser's hit either way, I told you philly had a shot..to many
>>> unforced
>>>>> turnovers bit them in azz. did you end up -0- for year??
>>>>
>>>> Philly got owned in the SB. If NE hadn't started celebrating early,
>>>> the score wouldn't have EVEN been close. Losers.
>>>
>>> Yawn. Got owned they controled the first half you stupid dickhead,
>>> for being owned they had a chance to still win the game they out
>>> gained NE in yards, and if wasnt for the 3 int and fumble the eagles
>>> would be super bowl champs but they didnt so NE won. and you are
>>> still a jerk off. nothing has changed.
>>
>> If, if, if, if.........they lost. Deal with it. I won't demean you, as
>> such is not required.
>
> I did deal with it you drugged upo assclown, except you put forth a
> version that was untrue. you cant keep what is personal from clouding
```

> what actually takes place. and that is something you must learn to deal
> with.

Still pissed your team sucks, huh.

2481. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 13:02:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hrap01hn7c54hjn58g5skdicbd1e7vfrjf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196171

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 05:49:49 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 21:25:37 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote:
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>> So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that.
>>> Hypocracy at it's finest.
>>
>>Depends on the state. Most states give you 10% leeway for differences in
>>speedometer calibration.
>
>No they don't. The speed limits signs or laws do not say 55 or 65, +/- 10%.
>
>That is nothing more than the descretion of the cop that tickets you.

That depends on the state. Some states (I believe Maryland is one)
have what they refer to as "Zero tolerance" 65 MPH. They can bust you
for 66, or so I've been told. I don't know how many cases have been
fought over speedometer or other potential speed inaccuracies and how
well the "zero tolerance" aspect has held up.

Most car speedometers are not so accurate that a 1 MPH difference is
all that hard to believe. Just putting one size larger tires on your
car can make that difference.

People have fought a speeding ticket on this basis and have won.
That's part of the reason why Pa. gives some leeway. Most cops don't
want to have to appear in traffic court when the chances are good the
case could get thrown out. However, it's a lot harder to make a case
for speedometer inaccuracies when you're 10 MPH or more over.

Dave

2482. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 13:05:12 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <420cacca.231357968@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 196172

On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 21:49:12 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 01:46:42 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>>>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>>>>>
>>>>>Dave I am hearing CBers on AM in the 10 meter cw band right now.
>>>>>Thanks for helping another unlicensed outlaw get his peaked up tweaked up
>>>>>illegal rig on the air to join them. Putz.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You're welcome.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dave,
>>>
>>>Tell the moron I am not on 10 meters. I don't stoop to his level.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>And by that you mean you are just an illegal outlaw on the 11 meter
>>band? Illegal is illegal isn't it?
>
>
>So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that. Hypocracy
>at it's finest.
>
>Vinnie S.

Oh, because someone else breaks a "law" you are entiltled to break any
"Law" you see fit. Grow up Vinnie, quit trying to rationalize your
behavior.

2483. Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 13:45:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211084550.699$yn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2484. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 14:24:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211092455.411$CP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196174

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns95F9E2E4779971234567890@216.196.97.136...
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
> > news:aegn01dfto7eiio3v8r7o2pn2bnvatotk2@4ax.com:
> >
> >
> >>
> >> Say hi to Aaron for me.....
> >
> > How did you know he was aaron??? I thought no one else knew.
> >
>
> LOL Do you drink Evian?
>
Spell it backwards.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2485. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 15:57:21 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4413-420CD5E1-480@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196175

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 18:14:36 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Not at all similar. You are a licensed amateur, and as such, are held to
a certain standard, a standard you continue to ignore.

>And what "standard" is that?

Like I said,,you are unable to practice what you can not comprehend. An
amateur creed exists. Learn it.

>There is no "standard" that says that I have to

>mollycoddle a mental case.

Try learning instead of attacking.

>You call my denouncement of illegal behavior

>as "non-hammie" and "non-elmer", which

>implies that you feel that the converse is true.

Incorrect, Dave. I correctly note your name-calling based on your logic
(legalities) is non-elmer like. I correctly note your position used to
justify your name calling actions are non-elmer like. Calling names is
non-productive and reactive.

>"Names"? Sorry if the terms I use bother you.

Insult is the effort of those with low self-esteem.

>But you need to face the truth of what you are.
>You know

>You are technically guilty of criminal behavior

Yet, you are a schmuck for insisting it is a federal offense.

>no matter how many different ways you try to

>twist it, or excuses you spit up to somehow

>trivialize it.

Frank has enabled a slithering in you seen only in the manner in which
you avoid "Geo".

> Ham radio does not need guys like you who

>disregard the laws you agree to follow when

>you sign on the dotted line of your license.

You are Assuming again that I am a hammie. Try and pull your head out of your ass.

You ignorantly and
erroneously call people criminals for dxing merely beacuse you are
unable to distinguish between a civil and criminal nature.

>It would seem that you cannot follow a simple

>train of logic.

I'm not the one maintaining everyone who disagrees with me has off-topic personal problems or is trying to "mess with my head".
You are, Dave.

> I have provided the definitions of each and

>every term and demonstrated how they fit the

>"crime". Your only defense is to call me names
>(Hypocrisy in action) and accuse me of not

>knowing the law.

"Ignorant" is not a noun, Dave, and roger beeps aren't illegal.

Then perhaps you should address the
>problem and not the symptom...you know Dave, become proactive instead of reactive.

>Ok, let's run with this. What do YOU think is

>the

>"problem" and how should one "address" it?

I'm not the one maintaining a problem exists, Dave..you are.

>Read your previous statement above. Did you

>not say: "Then perhaps you should address

>the problem and not the symptom...you know

>Dave, become proactive instead of reactive."

>You used the word "problem". I asked you to

>define it and, as usual and true to your

>predictable character, you deflected.

>Not only is your memory failing, but your

>eyesight is not too good these days either.

Have you managed to identify anyone that agrees with you roger beeps are illegal?

>You are all about image and appearances.

But of course, I am so caught up in such appearances, I choose to access the web with webtv,,that's how concerned I am with appearances.

>Maybe that's all they'll let you have in the

>psych ward.

Perhaps you are on the medication because you are still dealing with the depression you suffered when your mother passed away.

> Municipal budgets being what they are these

>days. No wonder you hate the president....

>In

>the real world though, image is nothing.

>Reality is everything.

>The point is that you are breaking federal law.

If that's your point, accusing me of bringing it up really has you confounded. But let's try and keep you focused for a second,,,,,speeding past 70 MPH is also "breaking federal law",,an analogy that has you writhing about.

>Let's also put this one to rest. After some

>research, I have found that there is NO federal
>70 MPH speed limit. The previous 55 MPH

>speed limit was repealed in 1995. States are

>now free to set their own maximum speed

>limits, which ranged from 65 in some states, to
>70 in others, and 75 in yet a few others. I was

>also correct that Montana had no daytime

>maximum speed limit for a time, but has since

>been set at 75 MPH starting in 1999 which, of

>course, is higher than your mythical "federal"

>limit.

>See:

>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speed_limit#Speed

>_limits_on_United_States_roads_and_highwa

>ys

>for a little overview education on speed limits.

How convenient. Polish those thick glasses of yours....

"This section does not limit the authority of any state or local agency
to enforce other laws, rules, or ordinances relating to litter or solid
waste management."

On a note that you failed to uncover, the feds enacted 70 MPH as a
mandatory speed limit in order for the state DOT to continue receiving
federal monies. Same process used for implementing drug-testing at large
companies. Want federal aid? Abide by our rules...
Same process used in many states.

>In June 1999, Montana joined the rest of the

>nation and imposed a maximum speed limit of

>75 mph (120 km/h) on its Interstate highways

>You can't be fined $10,000 or spend time in

>jail for speeding.

You most certainly can get jail time for
speeding, Dave. Prior to educating you on this item, I note that you
once again attempt the invoking of the monetary amount as criteria
(albeit, highly incorrect) for what constitutes a "federal criminal'.

>The potential

>is there for criminal penalties.

Same for speeding,

>Show me where you can spend any serious

>time in jail for speeding or be fined in excess

>of $1000.

Sure, Dave. Always glad to educate your loud and proud ignorance Any
speed greater than 15 MPH over the limit requires a court appearance.
Try doing 120 in a 55 and see what that gets you in some jerkwater town.

>Once again, you are wrong.

>From:

>http://www.flsenate.gov/Statutes/index.cfm?Ap

>p_mode=Display_Statute&Search_String=&UR

>L=Ch0316/SEC183.HTM&Title=->2004->Ch03

>16->Section%20183#0316.183

>"(7) A violation of this section is a noncriminal

>traffic infraction, punishable as a moving

>violation as provided in chapter 318. "

Try and remain pertinent, Dave.
Let's see, by the mere act of speeding alone, one can be charged with reckless endangerment, careless and/or reckless driving, and whatever other statutes that may be applied from a litany of charges.

>But those "other" charges are what carry the

>stricter penalties, not the speeding alone.

Speeding alone is the ONLY thing that constitutes such penalties.

> You are reaching again (no surprise).

Only you feel that way.

Littering
can also get you a year in jail and yes..TEN THOUSAND DOLLAR fine,,,the signs are all over Florida.

>This is too easy.......

>From:

>http://www.flsenate.gov/statutes/index.cfm?Ap

>p_mode=Display_Statute&Search_String=&UR

>L=Ch0403/Sec413.HTM

>6) PENALTIES; ENFORCEMENT.--

>(a) Any person who dumps litter in violation of

>subsection (4) in an amount not exceeding 15

>pounds in weight or 27 cubic feet in volume

>and not for commercial purposes is guilty of a

>noncriminal infraction, punishable by a civil

>penalty of $50. In addition, the court may

>require the violator to pick up litter or perform

>other labor commensurate with the offense

>committed.

LMAO,,,you haev no clue about what a Greenaway is. Keep digging, Dave. I maintain penalties for littering in Florida can give one jail time AND up to a 10 G fine, much similar to the manner in whcih I maintain you are alon in your belief that roger beeps are illegal.

Does that also make one a federal criminal, Dave?

>Obviously no, and you'd know that if you did

>your homework.

But al your criteria was met.

>And you have the nerve to call me ignorant on
>the law. You don't even know the laws in your

>own state. How can you possibly have any

>credibility on federal laws (Which you choose

>to break)?

I'm not the one out here maintaining I know so much about radio and law, you are, yet, you continue to say roger beeps are illegal and dxing is a fedeeral crime. Issues you have run deep.

Most people ignore what does not exist.

>You mean like the "federal" 70 MPH speed

>limit (chuckle)?

No, I mean the rule you can not find permitting roger beeps, therefore, making them illegal by your standards.

>Once again, the 70 MPH speed limit thing was
>not mine.

>I never brought that up. In fact I was unaware

>that there was a federally mandated 70 MPH

>speed limit.

See what you can learn when forced..

>Yep, I learned that you're smoking something

>once again, as there is no federal 70 MPH

>speed limit.

Sure there is, Dave, especially when the state DOT wishes to continue receiving federal monies.

>and a few states (Montana I believe

>was one) actually had no speed limit on some

>highways. The increase in accident rate then

>forced them to impose a state mandated limit.

What the hell does Montana have to do with the federal 70 MPH law?

>Nothing, as there is no federal 70 MPH law.

>Montana, currently has a 75 MPH maximum. I

>guess the feds should be knocking on

>Montana's doors eh?

According to your inverse logic, the same feds that should be knocking at mine for over 30 years of dx action on cb.

>Coming from the guy who pulled a mythical

>federal 70 MPH speed limit out of his hat,

>and doesn't even know the laws of his own

>state, I find that rather amusing.

>I'll await your response (as if you had the self

>control to resist),

(sigh) Usenet behavior has really turned you out. As always, you fail to understand or comprehend the most simple comunication skill. uUsenet is a mediumdesigned to elicit response. When you direct a post toward someone and they respond, and you twitch about and read into it as a manner of self-control, well, hehe,,,that's almost as curious as your feverish snipping. Let's see,,what else did you conveniently snip? Oh yea...

>what federal agency that enforces traffic

>regulation?

www.dot.gov

>come Monday morning, when you return to

>the psyche ward.

Toss a pack of smokes on your mother's grave.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2486. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 16:00:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4413-420CD686-481@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196176

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:3a9p015l084d6foju3u8m4gbncfq5tjom8@4ax.com:
>Say hi to Aaron for me.....

Say it yourself. He still reads the group from time to time.

>He just did, he said hello to you frank, you

>were aaron voobner.

_
I enjoy pointing thinsg out. Like the fact that you talk to Dave py
patting his fanny on a regular basis, but throughout history, he ignores
you. Gee Dave, there is a growing contingency
that agrees you spend way too much time in this group with your sock
puppets.

2487. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 16:22:36 UTC
From: Garbage59 <greyvirus91@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108138956.784110.294270@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196177

Hahahahaha! Aaron Voobner is about as real as the Easter Bunny. But it was fun to keep the myth alive, just to fool the rubes.

2488. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 16:27:47 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4414-420CDD03-165@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196178

To which you, Eric, responded with
>better just to get a radio designed for 10

>meters that can do 100 watts. those amps

>tend to be very unclean (spectrum splatter

>machines). as for 11 meters, 4 watts RMS/12

>watts PEP is the rule.

To which my response was:
What amps would you be referring, Eric? I tend to believe there are
small amps on the market that are very clean.

Furthermore, in response to the assertion you made concerning 4 watts
being the rule, I invoked it was irrelevant to the poster's inquiry.

I then made to you the radio-friendly suggestion:
Hey Eric, fire up your ears and give a listen.
Air-a-zone-a is loud in my receive for the past
couple of days. Some of those guys get real
edgy when the sandpile stations key it up. Tell B-O-B I said hello.
Bayou Charlie is walking all all over him these days.

To which you replied:

>quoted someone else and tried to make it look

>like me? try again.

Loosen up, Eric.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona

2489. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 16:33:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4413-420CDE3E-491@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196180

David T. Hall Jr. wrote:
>Sure. The same comparison can be made for

>many laws, so what? It's still doesn't make it

>right, or justifiable.

Stay focused, Dave. Only you and Doug are transfixed with such topics,,,this is another of your topics, but the current topic you are having such dilemmas with, is your criteria you submit for holding a dxer a federal criminal simply. It's wrong, just as your position on other facets of the law (roger beeps), is wrong.

>But despite the fact that you have never been

>caught does not negate the truth of your

>commission of illegal actions in violation of

>federal law.

No one ever argued with you that such acts were illegal. You are roaming. Try and remain in topic.

>That IS the topic, and has always been.

No,,that is not the topic and has never been the topic of anyone except you and n8wwm who obsess on such things. Again, that is never the topic of anyone except yourself and Doug.

>YOU are the one who tries to twist and

>obfuscate the point.

Stay focused, Dave. Accusing me of bringing up the law, accusing me of making the topic of legalities, is so comedic, Dave. You are trying way too hard, or you are suffering serious problems.

2490. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 16:40:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <8hjp01p7nimi94p8dd0nnc6sc3ohmra84a@4ax.com>
Source record: 196179

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 04:30:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
>>>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.
>>
>>
>>Are you mad? Are you here to tell me that politics is entirely
>>LOGICAL? If so, you, my friend, are seriously naive.
>
>
>That's not what I said, Dave.

It's what you implied when I stated that politics transcends logic, to
which you replied that that was not the opinion of a "reasonable"
person. Tell me Frank, what would be "reasonable" to you?

>>Politics is a matter of deciding which "side" makes the most sense to
>>you, based a lot on faith. Local cannot begin to explain the hows and
>>whys of the political mind.
>
>
>To some it's based on faith.

To most it is based on faith to some degree. To believe in a
particular ideology, you have to have some faith that it is the
"right" choice.

> To some it's based on logic.

Logic can help bolster your core beliefs, but there is little about
the whole political process that can be explained by logic alone.

>To some it's
>based on physical appearance, or emotional manipulation, or advice
>from the psychic friends network. To some it's based on the sound of a
>name, like "ray-gun" or "Busch". And to some it's based on the power
>of suggestion, gullibility, or vulnerability to carefully devised
>propoganda campaigns.

Sort of like your blind refusal to consider "the other side" and the
discarding of any testimony to that end, as "propaganda"?

>>>>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....
>>>
>>>
>>>Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other

>>>responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left
>>>with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know
>>>what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.
>>
>>You really are becoming Twisty. You are even starting to spout out the
>>same unfounded accusations.

>You have a 'tell', Dave.

Really? Wow, I'm impressed. There are talented people who can tell
when other people are lying by their body language, how they carry
themselves and how they present their "stories". But that requires
direct physical observation of the person. It's quite a bit more
difficult to apply the same techniques, considering the lack of
inflection and elocution, to a set of typed words alone.
Boy, you're just full of surprises.

> Not only are your lies easily identified, but
>you responses have a unique characteristic that tells the motivation
>for the lie; i.e, whether your lie was malicious, deceitful, etc....
>or even to protect a secret (usually to cover up another lie).

When have I lied (be specific)? Are you now accusing me of not having
a job and custody of my kid, and when I correct your unfounded (and
incorrect) accusation, you consider that a lie?

Or do you want to start asking me about the Phelps, my Villanova
account, and the CB'er who got busted by the Norristown Police for
disturbing the peace?

You are as off the wall as Twisty if that's what you think.

> And just so you know, I'm no expert in lie detection.

That much is plainly obvious.

>I've only known one
>other person who lied as poorly as you.

Perhaps the reason that you feel that I "lie" so poorly is that I'm
really telling the truth. Most pathological liars are quite adept at
spinning fabrications.

When considering the rationale of why people feel the need to lie, one
must consider the motivation. Why would I have a need to lie about
things that have no relevance to this newsgroup?

> She even tried to make a
>career out of it. Now she's alone and miserable, just like you will be
>soon if you don't learn something from this experience.

Your ex-wife?

I've learned a lot from this experience. I've learned that there are a
few people out here with serious self esteem issues, who then project

those shortcomings on to others, and then claim those others lie when they set them straight.

I've also learned that the more someone becomes frustrated when they are unable to defend themselves effectively on the issues, they often turn to vitriolic personal attacks, and making reckless and unsubstantiated claims about certain aspects of the other person's life.

You were never as crass and blunt as you've been since you've decided to "educate" me on the ills of your political ideology. You've let your emotions get the better of you, and that has reduced you to the level of Twistedhed.

>>>Regardless, our discussions have already forced you to show both of
>>>your faces on several topics, and to continue would just be beating a
>>>dead cliche. So feel free to preach your self-righteous attitudes and
>>>technical 'opinions' because nobody takes you seriously anymore.
>>
>>Another tip of the hat to the "twistyism" of professing to know what
>>others think?
>>
>>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
>
>
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!! I love early-morning humor!!!!

You find that funny? Then I suppose you have some evidence to disprove it?

>> I have
>>nothing to hide, and don't try to. Maybe that's what pisses you and
>>your newly found soul mate in Florida off. You can't back me into a
>>corner, because I've said or done nothing that I'm ashamed of.
>
>
>That's paranoid. Nobody is trying to back you into a corner, Dave. On
>the contrary, I'm trying to inject some logic and reason into your
>artificial reality.

Your logic and reason. Which is clouded by your own partisan bias. But you refuse to see it. Yet the really funny part is that you accuse me of doing exactly what you're doing. The thing is that I admit that I am a right leaning conservative, and I accept that my viewpoint is polarized to some degree according to my political slant. But you still try to portray yourself as objective, which is the really funny part.

> I'm making progress but it would help if you could
>open your mind and quit kicking and screaming whenever I state a fact
>that threatens your faith-based perceptions.

Your "facts" have already been demonstrated to be little more than extrapolated conclusions based loosely on factual information, but twisted to fit a particular political bias.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2491. Partnership Opportunity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 17:38:02 UTC
From: eliediamonds@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1108143482.229095.118720@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196181

Partnership Opportunity
Hello,
I am with a manufacturer of fine jewelry and am currently looking for those interested in forming a partnership to sell jewelry on eBay or anywhere else. I work for Elie International, a manufacturer of fine jewelry located in the heart of the diamond district in New York City. We have been in the jewelry business for over 23 years and are registered with the Jewelers Board of Trade (JBT registration # 01222207) and Dunne & Bradstreet.
For the first time in our company's history we are looking to build partnerships with individual sellers. We hold an extensive stock of over 24,000 items and need vendors to keep it moving. By selling our merchandise on eBay, or to family and friends, we become your partner not your competitor and offer you fine jewelry at wholesale prices which are a FRACTION of what YOUR CUSTOMERS pay in retail stores. We understand that many buyers are apprehensive about paying for merchandise up front, and we have devised our Direct Purchase Partnership program with these concerns in mind. Our Guaranteed Movers Policy states that if you cannot sell one of our items within 6 months we will exchange it. This insures that you are never stuck with merchandise you can't sell. We want to strongly emphasize that this is a partnership and we offer our partners the full support that they need, including pictures, prices and descriptions of all merchandise to get them started. Please contact us via e-mail at elieint@aol.com or at (212) 719-0090 if you are interested or have any questions and please include where you saw this message. Thank You

2492. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 18:19:21 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108145961.782328.240060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196182

Lancer wrote:
> On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 21:49:12 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 01:46:42 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >
> >>>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Dave I am hearing CBers on AM in the 10 meter cw band right now.

> >>>>>Thanks for helping another unlicensed outlaw get his peaked up
tweaked up
> >>>>>illegal rig on the air to join them. Putz.
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>You're welcome.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Dave,
> >>>
> >>>Tell the moron I am not on 10 meters. I don't stoop to his level.
> >>>
> >>>Vinnie S.
> >>
> >>And by that you mean you are just an illegal outlaw on the 11 meter
> >>band? Illegal is illegal isn't it?
> >
> >
> >So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done
that. Hypocracy
> >at it's finest.
> >
> >Vinnie S.
>
> Oh, because someone else breaks a "law" you are entiltled to break
any
> "Law" you see fit. Grow up Vinnie, quit trying to rationalize your
> behavior.

He's being supported in his rationalization by Dave "the outlaw elmer"
Hall.

2493. ar-2000 mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 18:27:42 UTC
From: harvey <hdjames@bellsouthspamnot.net>
Message-ID: <hJ6Pd.6453$pE1.1759@bignews3.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 196183

ok
hate to sound like a dummy but didnt get a response from my other post.
does the ar-2000 mod by jumpers or by button sequence?
it was put out around 96 i think by clear channel and if there are any
other mods for it out there?
i have googled and couldnt find any answers except the was a guy asking the
same questions about it in 96 with no one answering him...lol helpme out on
this guys...lol
thx'
harv

2494. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:14:29 UTC
From: Garden Guru <GG@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420d0415@x-privat.org>
Source record: 196184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
>
> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
>
>> Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
>> impressed.
>
> Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
>
You had your head up my butt when you were rimming me assclown.

2495. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:15:51 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108149351.764866.26670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196188

Steveo wrote:
> Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention, limpwrist.

2496. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:17:00 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <420D04AC.EA9AC236@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 196187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Garden Guru wrote:

> "Scan da bands" <RK@msn.com> wrote in message
> news:4207dceb$0$13954$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> >
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> >>
> >> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> >>>
> >>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>
> >>>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> >>>>
> >>>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> >>>
> >>> Or else what?
> >>
> >> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
> >>
> > Someone should slap some sense into that a-hole.
> Bring it on pussy, I'll stomp you like a bug.

LMAO at the 'tard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2497. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:17:18 UTC
From: Garden Guru <GG@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420d04be$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 196185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:AbTNd.34318$vs2.5483@fe06.lga...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
>>
>> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
>> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
>>
>> > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
>> > impressed.
>>
>> Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
>>
>>
>>
>
> I don't think he has a head. Kind of like that chicken that lived after a
> decap. Just enough brain stem left to execute motor activity and stir shit
> on Usenet. :)
>
> B.H.
>
Your wife gave me head all night. That bitch can suck a golf ball through a
garden hose Brian.

2498. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:18:12 UTC
From: Garden Guru <GG@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420d04f4$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 196186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Scan da bands" <RK@msn.com> wrote in message
news:4207dceb$0$13954$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
>
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>>
>> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>>>
>>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>>>>
>>>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>>>
>>> Or else what?
>>
>> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
>>
> Someone should slap some sense into that a-hole.
Bring it on pussy, I'll stomp you like a bug.

2499. Viking antennas by Childs Electronics ? Comparison ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:18:32 UTC
From: Iowa883 <dswebber@netins.net>
Message-ID: <cuj0dg$6kt$1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 196191

Hey Guys,
I have had excellent results with the Viking antenna made by Childs. Not many people have heard of it. Anyhow , the shop that I used to get them from closed up as the ole' boy retired.
Is there any good trunk mount antennas that perform really well ? Or possibly a magnetic mount that performs well and will stay on the car at freeway speeds ?
I run a Ranger 2950 (the old good ones with the amber backlit) , I need something that will make this unit perform its best . Might even turn on the footwarmer every once in awhile. :-)

My closest truck stop deals in Wilson and Barjunk I mean Barjain.
How about a LIL Wil , are they good or junk ?

Thanks,
Iowa883

2500. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:19:02 UTC
From: Garden Guru <GG@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420d0526$1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 196189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
>
> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> news:o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03...
>>
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>> news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
>> >
>> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
>> > news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
>> > >
>> > > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> > >>
>> > >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
>> > >>
>> > >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
>> > >
>> > > Or else what?
>> >
>> > He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
>>
>> Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is forging the
>> real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
>>
>>
>
> Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> impressed.
>
> B.H.
>
I was impressed at how much cum your wife can swallow Brian.
```

2501. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:19:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211141914.403$qP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com> wrote:
> Garden Guru wrote:
>
> > "Scan da bands" <RK@msn.com> wrote in message
> > news:4207dceb$0$13954$8f2e0ebb@news.shared-secrets.com...
> > >
> > > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > > news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> > >>
> > >> "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > >> news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> > >>>
> > >>> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> > >>> news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >>>>
> > >>>> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> > >>>>
> > >>>> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> > >>>
> > >>> Or else what?
> > >>
> > >> He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
> > >>
> > > Someone should slap some sense into that a-hole.
> > Bring it on pussy, I'll stomp you like a bug.
>
> LMAO at the 'tard.
>
n8wwm has a new sock puppet now..garden guru. What a twit.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2502. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:20:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211142043.310$Wk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196192

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> >
> > --
> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>
> Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention, limpwrist.
>
I'll take that as a yes. This is gonna be GREAT! hahahaha

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2503. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:25:46 UTC
From: dxAce <dxace@eclipsed.com>
Message-ID: <420D06BA.1910EB00@eclipsed.com>
Source record: 196193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Garden Guru wrote:

> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
> >
> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:o8QNd.22797$uc.4977@trnddc03...
> >>
> >> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> >> news:WUKNd.1800$lz5.725@newssvr24.news.prodigy.net...
> >> >
> >> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> >> > news:M5FNd.15493$wc.13322@trnddc07...
> >> > >
> >> > > "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:1107762340.280743.141430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >> > >>
> >> > >> BUNCH OF FUCK'IN GIRLIE MEN!
> >> > >>
> >> > >> STAY OUT OF REC.RADIO.CB !!!!!!!!
> >> > >
> >> > > Or else what?
> >> >
> >> > He'll forge you like he has everyone else in Rec.Radio.Cb
> >>
> >> Just to be sure I've got this straight, N8WWM (Doug Adair) is forging the
> >> real Landshark...and that's his typical M.O.? That's -real- smart.
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> > impressed.
> >
> > B.H.
> >
> I was impressed at how much cum your wife can swallow Brian.

One of two things:

1. You are to young to have yet produced the above.
2. You are to old and senile to yet produce the above.

LMAO at the 'tard.

dxAce
Michigan
USA

2504. Exports R Us ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:33:05 UTC
From: Iowa883 <dswebber@netins.net>
Message-ID: <cuj18p$8ir$1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 196094

I used to get stuff from these guys, are they still in Business ? The address I used to use is invalid.
Thanks,
Iowa883

2505. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 19:42:30 UTC
From: JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net>
Message-ID: <BE3274D5.561C%jazzkind@fast.net>
Source record: 196095
Cross-posted to: alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.non.sequitur,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.hanson

in article 186o01l9areft5ic2bs8l899oq1kcjl77l@4ax.com, /3iff //ullins at
biff.mullins@gmail.com wrote on 2/10/05 9:31 PM:

> On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 06:44:44 +0000 (UTC), CTFM <ctfm@yourisp.not>
> wrote:
>
>> JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net> wrote in news:BE306735.55DA%jazzkind@fast.net:
>>
>>>
>>> Hi dug88. Did you see there is an awful message called fuck dug88 floating
>>> around the internet? It is brazen nonsense that sounds like wet noodles
>>> when you put seafood in your ear.
>>>
>>
>> I generally agree with the post above. Someone should remove these posts
>> from this groups.
>>
> math. and lots of it.

moth. and lights for it.

--
JA15x6,2

2506. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 20:08:37 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9h8Pd.17015$wK.5153@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.atlanta

>
> Dumb cb'er huh......I run my collins on 11 meters!! What the f*ck you
> think of that!!! Now up here and f*ck me in the ass like a good
> hammie!!!!!!
>

We'll let the Funny Cookie Corporation do that.....

2507. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 20:34:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5gsp01d7p1aej1mo9th2jt4pv25i9cnlbp@4ax.com>
Source record: 196097

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 04:29:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 11:33:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <innm01lmv00k2lr3kue3t5m7chkbc43j33@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 09 Feb 2005 19:22:55 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 11:30:03 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <vhte01hgdtsgmifpjrgskp814u067v6g48@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:34:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Sherlock Holmes was not a real person
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No, but Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was, and he wrote it.
>>>>
>>>>>Sir Arthur Conan Doyle wrote fiction.
>>>>
>>>>But the principle is still sound.
>>>
>>>
>>>It is "sound" only so far as you are able to exclude all other
>>>possibilities -- which is, quite literally, impossible. If it -was-
>>>possible then we would have proof of the existence (or nonexistence)
>>>of God. We don't.
>>
>>So, your assertion is that since we cannot exclude all other practical
>>possibilities in areas like God, and creation, that we cannot exclude
>>other possibilities to such finite areas as electronic
>>troubleshooting?
>
>
>It's good to see that you are asking for clarification instead of
>asserting your own assumptions regarding my statements, but the
>question still smells of speculation. I can't make this simple enough
>for you to understand, but it should be simple enough for most
>everyone else. All I ask is that you -try- to keep up:
>
>If you are going to assert an absolute conclusion then you must
>eliminate -all- other possibilities, probable or not. Doyle clearly
>confirms this by inclusion of the phrase, "however unlikely". Yet

>Doyle's process of elimination is, in fact, self-contradictory -- the
>argument attempts to ascertain an absolute conclusion by excluding all
>other possibilities -regardless- of probability. Now if there is any
>possibility of the existence of an omnipotent God then there must be
>an -infinite- number of possible causes for any given event.

Why is that? The existence of God is but one aspect of many. It's also
one thing to acknowledge the existence of God. It's totally another to
attempt to define him. To define something implies setting parameters,
and limits (Even if those limits are supposedly limitless), which is
beyond our ability to do.

> But you
>don't need to believe in God to understand the infinity rule. Both the
>Heisenberg principle and quantum physics maintain that random events
>can and do occur without cause, and both are proven scientific fact.
>The big problem is that we live in the real world, and that both our
>resources and ourselves are finite. Therefore, the application of the
>process of elimination, to the exclusion of -all- other possibilities,
>likely or not, is ultimately impossible.

Which is why we apply practical limitations, and not take things so
literally.

Once again, I remind you, I do not believe is absolutes. Most events
are relative.

>
>The principle is no more than a temporal exercise in philosophy.
>
>
>>>Once again, Doyle wrote fiction.
>>
>>And once again, the principle is sound.
>
>
>The priciple is sound in structure but not in practice.

It is if you apply a little common sense and practicality.

>>>Lightning is an act of nature. And why would you think that God is
>>>limited to throwing lightning bolts?
>>
>>I'd imagine that a cap crushed in an earthquake would fail as well,
>>and the failure would be easily identified. Floods? Swarms of locusts?
>
>
>Molecular transformation? EMP? Wormhole? Gamma radiation? Solar flare?
>Or how about divine will? In order for Doyle's argument to be
>practical then possibilities such as those -must- be eliminated.

Why? There is no precedent setting evidence to indicate that any of
those acts have occurred in the past. So why consider them now? That's

what I mean by statistical probability.

>>>You have made two different arguments here. One is inductive and based
>>>upon probability; the other is deductive where the conclusion is an
>>>absolute (Doyle). Quit flip-flopping and make up your mind.
>>
>>Remember Frank, I am somewhat of a relativist. I do not believe in
>>absolutes except in certain undeniable cases. The "flip-flopping" is
>>your interpretation of what I have been saying.
>
>
>Change is absolute. Unless the Universe locks up and freezes solid,
>change is both continuous and infinite.

Change is also relative. Without something to compare to, you cannot
define the change.

>Therefore, there are an
>infinite number of absolutes.

No there aren't. There are very few absolutes. Change is also not
absolute as it can stop at some point. But change is relative to the
past.

>This is true -regardless- of what you
>believe. Dave, don't you see how your belief system is interfering
>with your reasoning processes?

Not when you look at it from the terms of relativity.

>>Any good troubleshooter will tell you that there is a percentage of
>>probability that certain components will fail. There are also a finite
>>number of probable causes for failure. That's why we tend to look in
>>certain areas first.
>
>
>Any good troubleshooter won't jump to any conclusions. "WE", referring
>to -good- troubleshooters (and doesn't include yourself), begin with a
>thorough observation -- functional testing and inspection. When the
>symptoms (or lack thereof) have been determined then WE use logic to
>determine what circuit or circuits are affected or responsible.

Gee Frank, you've described the exact process that I use. But knowing
the statistical failure probabilities relative to the symptoms allow
"us" (Troubleshooters who know how to think outside of the box) to
save a great deal of time by investigating known problem areas first.
Call it taking shortcuts if you want. But whatever you feel
comfortable calling it, the end result is that I can get the job done
in les time. And, as you should know, time is money.

>Then
>WE use further testing to narrow down and isolate the faulty component
>or components. And then WE use the same logic to determine what caused

>the component or components to fail. The troubleshooting process has
>-=NOTHING=- to do with probability -- if it did then it would be
>called "troubleguessing", which is what -you- practice as evidenced by
>your own statements.

What you call "guessing" is what I call calling on experience to
identify.

When you work on very few things, obviously, you cannot be expected to
have built up a great degree of history in statistical probability.
But when you've worked on the sheer amount of similar radios that I
have, in the last 30 years, history has a way of repeating itself. I
merely avail myself of that. It hasn't failed me yet. To date, I have
never had a radio come in that I couldn't fix, including some that
other techs "gave up" on.

When I was back in technical school in the late 70's our shop had a
"race" to see who was the fastest troubleshooter. My opponent went
down a programmed list of steps to determine where the fault of the
radio (a basic 5 tube design) was located. I looked at the symptoms,
and basing what I saw with past experience, I skipped many of those
programmed steps. The end result was that I finished in half the time.

>>The power supply cap example was made to illustrate the types of
>>failure that caps can have.
>
>
>The subject was not about the types of capacitor failures; it was
>about your presumption that the fault was due to the failure of a
>capacitor.

In my experience, when you have a cap in shreds, the odds are good,
that the cap failed. When no other part is defective, or contributed
to the failure, excepting mythical acts of "god", the obvious
conclusion is that the part failed. When the part is replaced and the
equipment is returned to service, with no further problem, the case is
closed.

>Dave, for the SEVENTH TIME, I -never- said they didn't! Do you have a
>reading comprehension problem? Is there some other language that you
>understand better than common English? Or do I need to repeat things a
>dozen times or more before a simple concept finally soaks into your
>thick head?

I enjoy it when you get steamed. It brings out your true contempt.

>>>> In the solid state equipment, cap failures are
>>>>less obvious and usually not total. On older radios (25+ years) the
>>>>main cap failure mode is excessive deviation from original value. You
>>>>don't like the term "drying out", but whatever process is responsible
>>>>for this phenomenon is quite prevalent.
>>>
>>>

>>>Again in contrast to your stated experience, I have rarely seen caps
>>>in solid-state circuits deviate significantly from their rated
>>>tolerance (unless there was a total failure). On the contrary, I
>>>-have- seen such deviation much more frequently in high-voltage power
>>>supplies. You call it "drying-out", I call it "aging" -- it amounts to
>>>the same thing.
>>
>>Yes, it pretty much does amount to the same thing. I am puzzled that
>>you've never seen large value changes.
>
>
>You are puzzled because you can't read. I said, "I have rarely seen
>caps in solid-state circuits deviate significantly from their rated
>tolerance (unless there was a total failure)." I -didn't- say "never",
>and I -didn't- say that the variations weren't large. Electrolytics
>usually have a very wide tolerance range. I have measured -plenty- of
>caps that did not match the labeled uF but -were- within the specified
>tolerance.

Ever since I got my capacitance tester, I was surprised at the number
of caps that test "out of tolerance", yet still seem to work to some
degree. That leads me to conclude that there are probably many more
caps in working equipment that are out of tolerance, but because the
circuit isn't critical, you never know it.

>> Ceramic "disk" caps are pretty
>>good at holding value.
>
>
>They usually have a much tighter tolerance than electrolytics.

Yes, I agree.

>> I have seen some mylar caps change though.
>
>
>Never seen mylar caps deviate from their tolerance (unless they were
>fused). I -have- seen many paper caps that way out of tolerance.

I had a switching power supply in a Yaesu FT-726R which was crowbaring
due to excessive voltage. Even when fully loaded, the supply voltage
would rise to about 17V (With the crowbar disabled). The voltage
adjustment was set to minimum. The solution turned out to be two mylar
caps in the switching oscillator circuit which had shifted tolerance,
which allowed the switching pulse width frequency to increase beyond
the range that the feedback circuit could control. Replacing those
caps, allowed the voltage to return to the proper level.

That was one more recent example. There have been others.

Paper caps are probably the worst. I had one in an old Lafayette tube
rig short out and that took out a resistor in series with it.

>> But
>>small electrolytics are known for value changes. The thing is that you
>>normally won't know it unless the cap is critical to the stability to
>>the circuit.
>
>
>If the designer didn't account for variation within the tolerance of
>the component then that's a design flaw.

I tend to agree with you that circuits should not be designed to work
only with optimal values. But in today's market of quick and cheap
electronics, that's not usually the case.

>>I have had low audio gain problem is CB radios where the problem
>>turned out to be a nearly open electroyitic coupling cap. Not once or
>>twice, but many times. These problems started happening (not so
>>coincidentally) when radios started being made in Malaysia and other
>>"low budget" shops.
>
>
>I have seen similar problems many times, and it is not the result of
>"drying out". The failure is almost always attributable to the AC
>peaks swinging above the DC rating of the cap.
>And before you go on a rant about how there is no AC, I'll explain it
>in 1920's terminology so you can understand it: Given a cap rated for
>16 volts DC, with DC bias of 14 volts, and a -signal- that swings from
>10 to 18 volts (which, in contemporary terminology would be described
>as having an 8Vp-p AC component and a 14VDC component); the signal
>peaks would then exceed the voltage rating of the capacitor. The
>result is that holes are repeatedly poked in the dielectric. Over time
>the dielectric erodes and the capacitance decreases (unless the cap
>shorts through the holes first).

How can that happen in a low level audio circuit where the maximum
voltage swing is +/- 1V and the cap is rated at 10V, and no other
components had failed?

In your example, that is a blatant case of poor design. If the circuit
is routinely expected to see the conditions you've described then the
cap voltage rating was insufficient.

>
>Regardless, the root cause is usually either a flaw in circuit design,
>the failure of another component, or improper alignment.

In your example, it's clearly poor design, assuming those are "normal"
operating conditions.

>>>In several posts you have demonstrated that you have a problem
>>>differentiating voltage and current. You should address that issue.
>>
>>I have no trouble. And how would the difference between voltage and
>>current relate to those two contradictory statements you made above?

>>
>>
>>>Now..... since you want to invoke semantics, I'll state this more
>>>clearly for you: A pure DC current has an AC component with a value of
>>>zero, and a pure AC current has a DC component with a value of zero.
>>>
>>>Feel better?
>>
>>I should ask you if that tap dance felt good. What good is stating
>>that D.C. has an A.C. component of zero? It's the same thing as saying
>>there is no A.C. on a pure D.C. current.
>
>
>Yes it is. What's your point?
>
>
>>It's misleading to state that one type of current has another type of
>>current as well, and then back pedal and state that that component is
>>zero. Then why bring it up at all?
>
>
>Because you can't comprehend the concept as it relates to the topic,
>which is the AC resistance of a capacitor.

Of course capacitors have A.C. resistance. That's what allows them to
act as coupling caps in audio circuits. But that characteristic is not
of primary importance in a power supply filter, as the amount of
ripple neither exceeds the voltage rating of the cap, nor does it
reverse the polarity of it, assuming good engineering practice.

>>I know the basics. 120 hz is the fundamental frequency of a full wave
>>rectification, not a harmonic of it.
>
>
>Wrong. 120Hz is a harmonic of the 60Hz source after being affected by
>a non-linear function. And for the sake of mentioning it, rectified AC
>contains a multitude of harmonics of the source -- it is not a 120Hz
>"fundamental" frequency. If you have a spectrum analyzer you can prove
>this to yourself. Begin with a sine of any frequency, put it through a
>full-wave rectifier, then look at the spurs.

Been there, done that. 120 hz is the largest component. There are 60
Hz harmonics spacing out from there. There is also a 60 hz component,
but it is at a much smaller amplitude than the 120 hz signal.

> You will no doubt see a
>large spike at the 2nd harmonic of the source, but because the
>rectifiers in the bridge can never be perfectly matched you will also
>see a spur at the FUNDAMENTAL! If the 120Hz after rectification -was-
>a fundamental then it would NOT have harmonics -below- it!

You can't have a negative harmonic. Yes there will be some leak
through of the primary 60 hz frequency. But the fact that the 120 Hz
frequency is the strongest, means that it is now the fundamental,

>>>Twice asserted. Again, as you say, "apology accepted".
>>
>>For what? Providing a reason why the term ripple has been replaced by
>>a newer term and establishing the parameters by which that term came
>>to be, and illustrated why those parameters are irrelevant for the
>>linear supplies we are currently discussing?
>
>
>No, for twice asserting the fact that terminology changes, a fact that
>you refuse to admit when addressing the term 'Alternating Current'. So
>again, I accept your apology.

Alternating current behaves the same today as it did 50 years ago.

>
>>>A capacitor -does- store a charge, and the amount of that charge -is-
>>>a function of it's capacitance. But it's ability to -accept- and
>>>-deliver- that charge leaves a gaping hole in your explanation. As I
>>>said before, the value in capacitance is -only one- factor of its AC
>>>resistance -- i.e, its ability to "filter" AC from a DC current.
>>
>>It "filters" by charging and discharging, against the ripple.
>
>
>See below.
>
>
>>>And for a better perspective, dust off your book on basic electronics
>>>and look up the page that covers simple LC filters -- low-pass and
>>>high-pass. Notice that a high-pass filter has the cap in series with
>>>the source, while the cap in the low-pass filter is an AC -shunt-. Now
>>>you have already admitted that a power supply cap is nothing more than
>>>a component of a filter, and I think it's safe to conclude that you
>>>meant a low-pass filter (since the intended output is DC).
>>
>>True.
>>
>>> Now unless
>>>you intend to argue that the capacitor in an LC low-pass filter does
>>>-not- shunt AC to ground, you have once again shown that you don't
>>>have a firm grasp of the basics.
>>
>>The problem is that while what you say about low pass filters is 100%
>>true, when you start talking about power supplies, the job of the
>>filter caps becomes more like small temporary batteries than filter
>>components. I can turn off my 30 amp supply, and it will continue to
>>supply 12V for about 30 seconds (unloaded) before the caps finally
>>bleed off.

>
>
>Well, that's in total contradiction to your explanation of the
>operation of your battery charger when you claimed that the battery
>acts as the filter capacitor. So which is it, Dave -- is a capacitor a
>capacitor or a battery?

I said "like a small temporary battery" Place a battery across an
unfiltered power supply and it will also act like (Oh my god!) a
battery and filter as well. Is the battery also "shunting A.C. to
ground"?

>>>You're stalling with semanitcs, but even -then- you are wrong. Say
>>>your PS cap is charged to a DC voltage. When the power supply pulls
>>>the ripple to it's peak then current is flowing -out- of the cap. When
>>>the ripple bottoms out then current is flowing -into- the cap.
>>
>>You've got that backwards. When ripple peaks, the cap charges. When
>>the ripple ebbs, the cap discharges.
>
>
>You are right, but it's not backwards at all.....
>
>When the ripple peaks the cap -does- charge because electrons are
>flowing -out- of the cap (assuming we are both talking about a
>positive supply and the negative end of the cap is grounded). When the
>ripple bottoms out the cap is discharging, meaning that the current is
>flowing in the opposite direction; i.e, electrons are moving -into-
>the positive plate.

Hole theory versus electron theory again.

>The result is that the current does indeed alternate. The capacitor
>passes an Alternating Current; i.e, AC. Is any of this sinking in yet?

Sure. You and I just have a different way of describing the same
thing.

>>>Oh, gee, I don't know..... How about:
>>>
>>>A battery & a resistor;
>>>Resistors in series and parallel;
>>>An inductor in a DC and AC current;
>>>Inductors in series and parallel;
>>>An inductor with a saturable core;
>>>A capacitor in a DC and AC current;
>>>Capacitors in series and parallel;
>>>A resistor and capacitor in series and parallel;
>>>A resistor and inductor in series and parallel;
>>>An inductor and capacitor in series and parallel;
>>>A resistor, inductor & capacitor in various networks;
>>>A current transformer;

>>>A voltage transformer;
>>>A power transformer;
>>>Impedance transformation of a transformer;
>>>A transformer with a resistive load;
>>>A transformer with a reactive load.......
>>
>>All of which can be demonstrated by a power supply and associated lab
>>experiments.
>
>
>Resonance in a power supply? That's scary, Dave.

Anything you can use a battery for can also use a power supply. All of
the experiments on filters, resistors, inductors, caps, transformers,
can be done with a simple power supply and a few other circuits. I
never said the power supply was THE ONLY thing we built or studied,
only that is was an excellent method of teaching the basics, while at
the same time utilizing the theory in a practical application which
could be measured to verify the calculations given by the theory.

>>>>My tech school was a "hands-on" style of teaching. They could have
>>>>bored everyone to tears by writing all sorts of math formulas on a
>>>>chalk board and demonstrating how things were supposed to work. But it
>>>>was much more rewarding and easier to relate to the circuits if we
>>>>could actually see it work in real life.
>>>
>>>
>>>If observation alone was sufficient for an education then anyone who
>>>ever listened to the radio or watched a television would be an
>>>engineer. That isn't the case. Those boring equations are technical
>>>and mathematical descriptions of how electronic components and
>>>circuits work in "real life". If you didn't learn these fundamental
>>>concepts because electronic theory "bores you to tears" then you
>>>probably shouldn't be discussing the subject with an EE who -did-
>>>learn the basics (and in much more depth than they are covered in any
>>>"tech school").
>>
>>I am an engineer Frank.
>
>
>Not an electrical engineer. Are you a train engineer? Sanitation
>engineer? Or do you just work on a road crew? The latter might explain
>why you think a "short break" from work lasts over an hour.

I wear many hats these days. Software quality engineer, system
integration engineer, product support engineer, Field engineer,
applications engineer.

I take many small breaks Frank. I may respond to one message in one
hour, and another an hour or so later. While I'm waiting for tests to
complete, I often surf the net while I keep tabs on UUT. Nothing

strange there.

>> Tech school was my first taste of formal
>>education, which I attended starting when I was 15 years old. Theory
>>was a part of the curriculum, but it was closely accompanied by lab
>>experiments where we could "put the theory to work" in actual
>>practical circuits.
>
>
>So you built a color organ in a high-school industrial arts class.
>Whoop-dee-doo, so have lots of other people.

No, this was not high school industrial arts class. This was a three year electronics program. I built far more than a "color organ". I built AM and shortwave radios, and my first 2 tube grounded grid "CB" amplifier. There was only so many parts I could scrounge though.

>
>Alright, since you want to compare resumes:
>
>I started with a dry-cell and a spool of wire when I was 7yo.

I was 9.

>
>I got an old Heathkit 19-in-1 kit when I was 9.

I was 10, and it was a Radio Shack 100-1 project kit.

>I was mentored in electronics by Stan the Speaker Man (here in
>Spokane) until I was 14, when he died and I started my own TV/radio
>repair business.

I was 14 when I started tuning and repairing CB radios for the locals. By the time I was 16, I was earning enough to buy my first car and pay for gas and insurance.

>After high-school I went into the USMC where I was trained as a Ground
>Radio Repairman (2841) at 29 Palms (MCCES). I worked on anything from
>the diminutive PRC-68 (VHF walkie-talkie) to the MRC-110 (1kW mobile
>HF), as well as wired comm systems (mostly TA-312's), power supplies
>(including the one in Ollie North's office), and just about anything
>else that conducted electricity.

I chose to further my education and started working full time as a quality technician and then later a production troubleshooter

>After the USMC I worked in two different commercial radio shops until
>I went back to college (I got some credits from UNC while in Lejeune).

I was always enticed by commercial radio. My tech school had a radio station (WVVE - We're the Voice of Vocational Education) at 91.3 Mhz. I was very close to transferring there. But they closed it down during my junior year, and can celled the license. Had I gone down that path,

who knows what I might be doing today. Funny thing about choices.

>Graduated from EWU with a BS in Electronic Engineering (a specialized
>Electrical Engineering degree) with a minor in Communications.
>
>Started my own part-time shop doing most anything electronic,
>including professional audio equipment, ham radio repair, radio and
>amp restoration, custom circuit design..... as well as subcontracting
>for other companies/radio stations. IOW, just about anything old or
>new that pays money.

I never owned my own business, but I did subcontracting for several
other radio shops, and a TV shop. But the workload became too great in
addition to my regular job, so I scaled back a bit.

>Worked as an engineer at JM (now Honeywell) for a year, designing a
>machine that processes the lids for uP chips made by Intel and other
>manufacturers (probably even one that's in your own computer).
>
>Worked as a quality control engineer at HP for a couple years.

I've worked at a few different places as both technicians and later as
an engineer. I've done everything from product design to support to
test to quality. I've worked with RF, analog and digital video,
digital audio, software and many other things I've forgotten about.
Sorry if I don't reveal where I'm working now, but frankly (no pun
intended) it's no one's business.

>
>Worked as a BE at a local radio station until last fall.
>
>All the while I have been in the hobby of CB radio, including being a
>part of the original Jacksonville keydowns in the early 80's while I
>was stationed at Lejeune.

Yet you decry illegal operation now? Shame Shame. ;-) Now I know why
you've never called my a hypocrite for basically doing the same thing.
See, we are more alike that you thought. And we do change when we
grow up Frank.

>>No kidding.
>>
>>>Second, the power transformer is a
>>>source impedance with an inductive reactance that changes with the
>>>load. Third, the load can be (and frequently is) reactive to some
>>>extent.
>>
>> Not if the load is purely resistive.
>
>
>If it isn't reactive then it's purely resistive, right? Duh...!!!

That's what the Smith Chart would show.....

>>A power supply is not an oscillator (Well, it's not supposed to be), a
>>doubly balanced mixer, a PLL circuit, or a transmitter. It is a simple
>>A.C. to D.C. converter. Why try to make it more complicated than it
>>truly is?
>
>
>Which is -exactly- the point that I'm trying to get through to -you-!
>You don't need to play with RC time constants because such an analysis
>is compounded by more issues than are in your vocabulary. OTOH, the
>function of the cap is better described as a function of it's AC
>resistance, a much simpler and broader concept that you seem bound and
>determined to debunk!

If you say so. I find just the opposite to be true.

>
>And BTW, the oscillator, transmitter, and double-balanced mixer
>circuits are much simpler than a power supply.

Not to me. There is nothing simpler than a simple linear power supply.
I guess that's because it's the first thing I ever studied in any
great detail.

>>
>>Isn't that what I just said?
>
>
>No. Let's try this again, and this time wear your glasses: "The RC
>curve.... assumes that both the resistance and capacitance are
>constants." Now, Mr. Engineer, what part of that don't you understand?
>
>
>>> And because it is not a constant
>>>the RC curve does -not- follow the same path that it would if the
>>>capacitance -was- a constant. And BTW, the RC curve becomes a straight
>>>line when the current is constant because the charge rate of the cap
>>>is a fuction of current, not voltage. This is yet another example of
>>>your inability to distinguish current and voltage.
>>
>>Are you trying to say that an R/C time constant is a straight line? I
>>sure hope not.
>
>
>Put on your reading glasses again, Dave. I said the curve was straight

How can a curve be straight Frank? You're talking in riddles. I sure
hope you never take up teaching. You have a tough time explaining what
you're trying to say.

>>Perhaps it is not me that needs a refresher course in electronic
>>theory. It would seem that real life experience has paralleled my
>>understanding of the hows and whys and has contradicted what you have
>>said. In other words, I have seen nothing in my 35+ years of playing

>>with and repairing radios that goes along with your observations. But
>>I have seen many that have agreed with mine. Now, unless you want to
>>make the case that the laws of physics works differently on the left
>>coast, I would tend to question your depth of "knowledge".
>
>
>Everything I have said can be easily verified by anyone who can read.
>You can't read, which explains your pathetic understanding of basic
>electronics.

I can read just fine. But you seem to have trouble 'splainin your
self.

You are full of straight curves, and sing of A.C. components on D.C.
voltages which are present, but equal zero.

In other words, a lot of doubletalk.

>
>
>>> Maybe you think you can leaf
>>>through a few textbooks, speed-read a few concepts, paraphrase them
>>>and try to pass them off as if they were integrated into your
>>>education.
>>
>>That's what it seems you have been doing. Only you forgot to read the
>>part about caps drying out.
>
>
>Where's that book, Dave?

If I tell you, will you read it, or while you toss it aside as "right
wing propaganda"?

>
>
>>> Well, you can't. I've been in the field long enough to
>>>recognize that scam and it doesn't work. It didn't work for Skippy, it
>>>didn't work for Toll, it didn't work for Eitner and it won't work for
>>>you. But please don't stop trying because I find it very entertaining.
>>
>>Maybe you are the one who doesn't know what you are talking about
>>Frank. You've gotten into "discussions" with other people before and
>>tried to play that game. In some of those cases the stuff you brought
>>up was a bit off-the-wall, and contrary to accepted practices. The
>>whole "coax length" debates come to mind.
>
>
>You mean when CLP falsely claimed he had a copy of the book I used for
>a reference? Sure, why not. You have already pushed this discussion
>well beyond the boundries of the original topic, so why don't we
>revisit the coax length debate? But just know that it's not going to

>detract from the fact that your electronics education is woefully
>lacking, your claimed experience is probably 'overinflated', and your
>troubleshooting skills have no logical or scientific methodology. So
>yeah, let's do the coax thing again. Just what was it I said that you
>consider to be "a bit off-the-wall"?

I don't remember exactly, and I don't care enough to revisit it. But
suffice to say that you were the first person to offer up some very
"unique" explanations for some givens that many hams take for granted.

>>>>That's why I chose it. Redundant would fit too.
>>>
>>>
>>>I'll give you another opportunity to look up those words.
>>
>>Why? I am fully aware of the definitions of both.
>
>
>I don't think so, Dave.
>
Sigh..... Ok you asked for it.....

suï¿½perï¿½fluï¿½ous (P) Pronunciation Key (s-pï¿½rfl-s)
adj.
Being beyond what is required or sufficient.

reï¿½dunï¿½dant (P) Pronunciation Key (r-dndnt)
adj.
Exceeding what is necessary or natural; superfluous.
Needlessly wordy or repetitive in expression: a student paper filled
with redundant phrases.
Of or relating to linguistic redundancy.
Chiefly British. Dismissed or laid off from work, as for being no
longer needed.
Electronics. Of or involving redundancy in electronic equipment.
Of or involving redundancy in the transmission of messages.

Gee Frank, redundant is synonymous with superfluous.

Are you now going to pull another Twisty and attack the accuracy or
completeness of my dictionary?

>>>Another flip-flop. Make up your mind -- is it easy or not?
>>
>>Depends on the equipment and the application.
>
>
>That's a cop out.
>
>
>>Not easy does not mean impossible, or even improbable. It is easy to
>>eliminate the majority of common practical causes. In most cases, the

>>cause will be found during this process. In those rare cases where the
>>cause is not part of the statistically probable causes, a little more
>>investigative time may be involved. Troubleshooting is all about
>>knowing the statistics. If you get in a piece of equipment that shows
>>a common failure that you've seen before, would it make sense to check
>>unrelated parts, or would it make more sense to go where the previous
>>faults have been found?
>
>
>You said, "it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause".
>When I said that it's not always easy you replied with, "No, but it is
>doable." Now you say it "depends" and obfuscate your flip-flop by
>getting all wordy and begging for vindication with a loaded question.

The object is to not eliminate *all* possibilities, but to eliminate
the most probable ones. You may disagree, but if there was some way to
demonstrate, I'd bet you $100 that I can find and correct a typical CB
flaw before you're done studying the schematic.

>Despite your wishy-washy position, I'll answer your question by saying
>this: Troubleshooting by playing the odds (statistics) is for people
>who do not understand the purpose and function of the circuit and all
>it's components, because if you -do- know how they work then there is
>no need to make guesses.

That right there proves that you have not the faintest clue about what
I'm talking about. It's not "guessing", it's looking for the most
likely place to start looking. The process to be able to make these
intelligent "guesses", is based on years on analytical analysis and
repetition.

> As a perfect example, read the early posts in
>this thread again. You will note that both Lance and myself asked to
>see a schematic. OTOH, you blurted out that the cause of the problem
>is "almost always" a capacitor. That's not troubleshooting. That's
>troubleguessing.

Frank, as has been becoming an increasing staple for you, you totally
misunderstood and misread the concept.

I offered a sample of my experience which covered similar problems in
other radios as a place to start looking. Having the schematic adds
almost nothing, without the ability to see the radios and make
measurements. Your suggestions were also little more than guesses as
you knew nothing more about the radio than I did. The difference is
that you looked at the schematic and offered a potential problem based
on generic theory and what you thought to be true based on your
experience. I offered the same based on specific situations which had
similar symptoms

Now we never heard back fro the original poster as to whether he found
the problem or not. So for you to condemn my suggestion as wrong

before even knowing what was right, smacks of pompous arrogance.

>>Never seen it happen. A breakdown of transformer insulation will
>>usually result in a short and a blown fuse.
>
>
>A shorted winding usually results in an overheated transformer because
>most people defeat the purpose of the fuse and replace blown fuses
>with bigger ones so the equipment will keep working.

That's their problem.

> But the isolation
>I was referring to was between the primary and secondary windings.
>Many cheap power transformers have poor isolation between the
>windings, and some have none at all. Primary shorts to the secondary
>and you get lots of unwanted EMF on the secondary. You -have- worked
>on Pyramid supplies, haven't you?

Yep, and I've never seen what you've described. The one I currently
have once belonged to a local CB'er who tried to jump start his car
with it, and he ended up taking out all the series pass regulators as
well as the LM723.

>>>Actually, it was a design flaw. The diode was supposed to be thermally
>>>connected to the heatsink, but was mounted such that the thermal link
>>>was nothing more than a blob of heatsink grease. The grease dried out
>>>and the redidue became a very good insulator. Regardless, my point was
>>>that the failure is not always intrinsic to the circuit or device;
>>>many times the problem is caused by outside influences, such as
>>>improper operation or maintenance, poor design, manufacturing flaws,
>>>etc. And many of those faults are not always evident; i.e, they don't
>>>leave evidence of the cause of the failure. The fact is that there was
>>>no evidence of the cause of the failure in the Peavey. I learned of
>>>the cause after harassing Peavey tech support until they forked over
>>>the info (I really think they try to keep faults like that a secret
>>>because they make so much money on spare parts, but I suppose that's
>>>just one aspect of modern business practices).
>>
>>So you finally found the source of the problem. You simply found
>>another possibility to consider. It was nothing magical.
>
>
>I never said it was.
>
>
>> The next time
>>you see an amp like that with the same problem, will you not then
>>check that diode first? That's what I mean about experience and
>>statistical probability.
>
>

>I check the diode, but not first, and I don't assume that the diode is
>the problem.

But I'll bet (unless you really out there) that before you power up
that amp after replacing the chain of audio transistors, that you'll
check that diode just to be safe.

>Quite the contrary; the problem is usually a power
>transistor that shorts.

Are you willing to bet a set of transistors that that's the only
problem?

> And for the sake of anyone reading this for
>info on the amp, I highly suggest replacing the MJ15024 and MJ15025
>with those Korean-made transistors. When they go bad they blow open;
>almost every other brand (including Motorola and Peavey in-house
>stock) will short and blow the rest of the amp.

A good tip. But do those Korean transistors match up equally in noise,
gain and power?

>>You might have half a leg up on me in pure theory, but you are
>>seriously lacking the proper mindset to be an effective
>>troubleshooter, as you don't seem to grasp the analytical mindset
>>that's required or the practical application of your theory.
>
>
>I don't use statistics, I use logic.

I use both.

> It's much easier, more effective,
>and in over 30 years of my career of repairing an extremely wide
>variety of electronic equipment, -NOTHING- I have -EVER- fixed has
>come back to the shop because I didn't fix the problem correctly.

Neither has any of my stuff. Well, except for the case of the serious
keyclown types who stress their stuff and kill it again. But they've
never failed due to a repair that I've done. In fact, in the case of a
modification that I made to replace the MRF 477 final transistor in a
President Jackson, with an MRF 450 stud mount, the radio stopped
blowing finals when this guy ran his amp, and 6 years later the radio
is still being abused, but it still works.

>
>
>>>>>So there are -many- possibilities that may or may -not- be obvious.
>>>>
>>>>I respectfully disagree. There ARE other possibilities yes, but I
>>>>disagree that there are "many". A simple process of elimination will
>>>>whittle that number down to a few highly probable possibilities.
>>

>>>A shorted resistor is not "highly probable", nor is a half-shorted
>>>double diode, a PCB trace with a hairline crack, an incorrect slug in
>>>an RF or IF transformer, etc. I can make a list here, too.
>>
>>Have you ever seen a bell curve? Have you ever studied the Taguchi
>>methods of quality?
>>
>>Much of this assumes a certain degree of predictable and probable
>>failure areas.
>>
>>Is a shorted resistor the first thing you consider? The PC trace
>>crack?
>>
>>The double diode should have been on the list though. As one of the
>>components that set the bias, it would be highly suspicious in a
>>cascade meltdown of several amp stages. At least it would be to me.
>
>
>You don't have a clue, Dave. When you fix your first 1.3K then maybe
>we can talk. Until then, don't even -TRY- to guess.

Piece of cake. Audio amps are the next after power supplies in
simplicity.

>>The incorrect slug is a interesting one. If the radio once worked,
>>there is no way that this could happen. If you were told that someone
>>else tried to fix it, then I would be looking for things like that. I
>>have seen similar problems with "Screwdriver Tech" mods. Undoing
>>improper repairs is another statistic to consider.
>
>
>I don't need to be told if someone fucked with the radio or not. The
>paint could be cracked, the wax disturbed, and sometimes there's even
>ferrite dust in the hole or slot from some idiot using the wrong tool
>for the job.

True. It is rather obvious. And that is what usually "tells" you.

> Even if they got the core out and replaced it with one
>they found in their transistor radio, it's easy to tell that the wrong
>core was installed when an adjustment won't give the expected results
>(all else being the same).

Usually the "Q" of the circuit has changed, and low gain or narrow
bandwidth is the typical result.

>Most screwdriver techs (like yourself)

Looking in the mirror again?

>don't realize that those cores, aside from having different sizes, are
>made of various materials with different permeabilities and frequency
>characteristics.

No? Say it isn't so. I knew something told me to keep that core sample kit that I rescued from the trash here when they closed down a old project.

> One core may look exactly the same as another but
>many times they aren't and are not interchangeable. And I would guess
>that this ignorance accounts for at least a quarter of the radios in
>my scrapheap.

Well I'm glad you told me that Frank.

>But once again, just because some bonehead played with the screws
>doesn't make me jump to the conclusion that a slug is the problem,
>statistics or not.

No, not at first. But unless you are a bonehead, you start to suspect it when it doesn't align properly and there are no other obvious causes.

>>>You -still- haven't looked up the word. Shame.....
>>
>>I don't have to. I know what it means. I was comparing methodologies
>>between one science and another. You, on the other hand, failing to
>>see the big picture, are attempting to make hay by arguing semantics.
>>You are starting to adopt the tactics of a certain fruitcake who also
>>resides here.
>
>
>I was just suggesting you clear up your ignorance by learning a
>definition which, until now, you have only assumed was correct.
>
>
>>>What you initially described is called 'failure analysis' (or 'crime
>>>scene analysis', as per your example). The term "forensic science" is,
>>>literally, the -presentation- of a scientific analysis.
>>
>>For legal purposes.
>
>
>Sometimes. Forensics is about presentation. For a brief time I was a
>member of the Forensics club in college. The various subjects included
>debate -as well as- many other aspects of speech. The word "forensics"
>is probably one of the most commonly misdefined words in the English
>language, probably because people watch too much TV (and yes, I used
>to watch Quincy).

Sigh (again)

foï¿½renï¿½sics (P) Pronunciation Key (f-rnsks, -zks)
n. (used with a sing. verb)
The art or study of formal debate; argumentation.
The use of science and technology to investigate and establish facts in criminal or civil courts of law.

Happy now?

>> But the methodologies employed by criminalists is
>>similar.
>
>
>The methodologies employed by criminoloists is nothing more than the
>scientific process.

Right! Exactly what I use when solving a problem. Whether it be a
faulty CB radio, a problem with my car, a clogged drain, a broken
child's toy etc.

> A forensic criminalist is a criminalist whose job
>includes the presentation of the evidence. Even -you- could consider
>yourself a forensic criminologist -- you have presented evidence that
>you are a criminal.

As have you. Fortunately, the statute of limitations for illegal radio
activity has long past.

>>>>>>I have a battery charger which is unfiltered (the battery acts as the
>>>>>>filter cap), which may serve the purpose nicely.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Go for it! Don't forget to use several different caps, measuring both
>>>>>AC and DC volts across the cap, as well as the time it takes until
>>>>>failure. Post the results.
>>>>
>>>>When I get a chance, I'll set it up. I need to find some high current
>>>>resistors that can stand dissipating 20 amps or so.
>>>
>>>
>>>What do you need resistors for? Just hook the puppies up! But if you
>>>want a resistor anyway try an electric space heater or stove element.
>>
>>Without a sufficient load resistor, how can I validate your assertion
>>that increased ripple (due to load) is the cause of cap failure?
>>Without the load, if I place a cap across the output of the battery
>>charger, all I've done is create a filtered DC power supply. The cap
>>should last for years under those conditions, as would be expected.
>
>
>Then try it both ways.

How long do you want me to run it before you conclude that you were
wrong?

>>I have yet to see an electric space heater than runs on 12 V. The
>>current required would make it impractical. My space heater draws 12
>>amps at 120V. At 12 volts, it would have to draw 120 amps for the same
>>wattage.
>

>
>This is another excellent opportunity to further your education. You
>claim that the heater draws 12 amps at 120VAC. Ok then, measure it's
>resistance cold. If it's 10 ohms then putting it across 12 volts will
>only draw 1.2 amps from your battery charger. But if it's less than 10
>ohms then you just learned a lesson about lightbulb filaments.

You forgot to consider the effect of the A.C. fan motor..

>>>I don't buy that simply because an auto bulb encounters much more
>>>shock and vibration than most household lightbulbs.
>>
>>Which is the point I was making. A household light bulb would never
>>last under the conditions found in an automobile. The filament in an
>>automotive bulb is thicker.
>
>
>Then why not just make the filament thicker in a household light bulb?
>The resistance would be lower but that can be compensated for by
>increasing the length, right?

Cost. Plain and simple. Planned obsolescence secondarily. If they
designed a lightbulb which last forever (or a really long time) the
demand for lightbulbs would fall off.

>>>>I entered the term "capacitors drying out" into google and came up
>>>>with 63 links to the term used in a similar context to what I've
>>>>promoted here. I didn't have the time to read them all, but be my
>>>>guest if you are so inclined.
>>>>
>>>>I accept your apology.
>>>
>>>
>>>63 hits? That's all? I'm sure there are -plenty- more references from
>>>people who, like yourself, mistakenly attribute the term "drying out"
>>>to capacitors. Heck, I got over a million hits for "Santa Claus" but
>>>that doesn't mean he exists.
>>
>>Santa Claus does exist. Ask my daughter.
>>
>>> How about an -authoritative- reference,
>>>Dave? You know the difference, don't you?
>>
>>You asked me to provide examples of people (other than me) who used
>>the term. I did that. Now, that's not good enough for you? The term is
>>common, even if you refuse to acknowledge it. The fact that you refuse
>>to accept it unless it's used in form of "reference" book is
>>illustrative of nothing more than a stubborn adherence to your
>>ignorance on the subject.
>
>

>I asked for engineers or other authoritative references. You provided
>excuses and Google-juice.

So, unless the people who use the term are somehow recognized by you
as someone worthy of your respect, you discard it as invalid. Just
like you discard political stuff you don't want to read as "right wing
propaganda".

>>
>>>And 0.5mV is plenty for 50% mod, especially when the radio's mic
>>>sensitivity is specified for 1.0mV minimum at 50% mod.
>>
>>Then .5 mV would make about 25% modulation. Not enough to set any
>>alignment points.

>Ever consider the possibility that the alignment procedure calls for
>measuring the mic input with an AF VTVM using a demod probe? IOW, the
>measured reading during the alignment is half the actual Vp-p at the
>input; which, when corrected, just happens to be the spec for the
>radio.

Then why not specify it as such? Stop trying to pull rabbits out of
your hat Frank.

>The two specs are fully consistent with each other. Also, the
>transmitter RF alignment is done with 500mV of two-tone audio at the
>mic input.

My spec calls for no more than 200 mV at any point.

>>> I offered to
>>>scan the page..... are you sure you don't want to see it?
>>
>>I believe you know how to read. But what you are reading is wrong.
>
>
>I don't think so, Dave. The specs are consistent, the alignment works,
>my audio doesn't sound "mushy and compressed" as you describe your's
>after doing the alignment from the Sams manual (I'm assuming that's
>what you are using even though you have yet to admit or deny it), the
>manual and specs were provided to RS by the manufacturer, and every
>argument you have used to discredit the source has backfired in your
>face. So I really think this manual is accurate, Dave.

Try not to think Frank, that's when you get into the most trouble.

>>> After all,
>>>Uniden made these radios for radio shaft, so it was -Uniden- that
>>>provided the information in the service manual.
>>
>>Are you sure about that? Are you also confident that the decimal point
>>was not a typo?
>

>
>Yes and yes. If the decimal point was a typo then they would have had
>to make the same typo in three places, all regarding the same spec,
>and all in a way that the altered specs are not only consistent with
>each other, but that they work. Anything is possible, but I would
>accept the single-bullet theory before I would accept your suggestion
>that the spec is a typo.

Then conversely, for my manual to be wrong, then all of my other
manuals would be wrong as well. What was that again about that single
bullet?

>
>
>>>Not like Sams which
>>>got their info from reverse engineering.
>>
>>And you know this how?
>
>
>Because that's how they made their manuals. You've been using them for
>35 years and you didn't know that? TAB books did the same thing for a
>while.

I use both SAMS and factory manuals. SAMS claimed to used factory
specifications for their alignment.

>>Well, the issue becomes, one of our manuals is wrong.
>>
>>And once again Frank, the fact is that I can find NO other reference
>>in any of my other numerous manuals (Some are factory) for dozens of
>>radios which calls for such a low level of mike input. So now that
>>leaves 2 possibilities. Either:
>>
>>A. You are wrong.
>>B. Every one of my test procedures are wrong.
>>
>>Now what is the statistical probability of either of those choices?
>
>
>You left out a few other choices:
>
>C. At least one of your alignment procedures is wrong. - Not possible as they are all
consitant with each other.
>D. You are making this a personal issue instead of a technical issue. - Not at all.
>E. You don't know as much as you think you do. - Actually, I probably know more.
>F. I am not a liberal. - Yea, and the Pope's Jewish
>G. Bush is a criminal. - Then so are you, based on your shakey criteria.
>>>CB is not intended for high-fidelity. Passing the higher freqs widens
>>>the bandwidth of the channel and makes it more likely to bleed into an
>>>adjacent channel. That's one reason why CB mods are illegal.

>>
>>No kidding. But the audio BPF also cuts back on the very low
>>frequencies as well. All I know is that the radio is more pleasing to
>>the ear when the BPF is removed. From a purely legal standpoint, you
>>are correct.
>
>
>If your high-fidelity operation is creating a bandwidth that exceeds
>the allowed bandwidth for CB channels then you are a criminal.

Did that bother you when you were "keyclowing" in the early 80's?

>>300-3000 hz is commonly referred to as "communications quality" audio.
>>This is why most AM rigs have 6 Khz filters in them and SSB rigs have
>>3 Khz filters. But people like to run D-104's on their rigs, and the
>>BPF mod makes the radio sound better on those mikes.
>>
>>You and I can debate on which method makes more sense, and from a
>>puritanical standpoint I would probably agree with you. But you have
>>to remember, that when you are in business working on radios for other
>>people, they don't want to hear that their mikes are junk, or that
>>they should do this or that. They want the cheap and easy fix.
>
>
>Maybe that's what -your- customers want.

Yes, that's what they want.

>> That's
>>what removing the BPF gives them. It makes the rig sound as good as
>>their last one on the same mike.
>
>
>How about just aligning it according to factory specs?

Aligning it properly has no effect on the overall fidelity. There is
nothing to align in the audio stage with the exception of the mike
gain (Which is a front panel user control on the Cobra 139XLR version)
and the AMC.

>>
>>Probability would suggest that yes, you did.
>
>
>It's far more probable that you can't admit you have been wrong all
>these years.

I haven't been.

>>
>>There's "works" and then there's "works better".
>
>

>There's also "legal" and "criminal". Corrupting a sweet radio because
>you are too arrogant to accept your own mistake is criminal.

Now you are being a hypocrite.

>
>About a dozen or so RS models that use the same mic, as well as
>several GE radios, a couple SBE's, Tram D-60, etc.
>
>
>>>The only discrepancy is with -your- book. It stands alone in the
>>>modulation procedure you described.
>>
>>No, in fact YOURS stands alone.
>
>
>Now you are starting to sound like a little kid in a school-yard
>squabble.

When you make a blatently incorrect statement, I'm not about to let it
pass.

>>>The question is, given how your
>>>own description of the audio is indicative of misalignment, and that I
>>>have a more authoritative reference that disputes yours, why do -you-
>>>assume that -your- book is correct?
>>
>>Because it agrees with several dozen other books.
>
>
>You should probably read those books a little more carefully.

I have.

> While
>you might expect the RS manuals have similar specs, I have many other
>manuals on hand and most of them (radios that use dynamic mics)

Which is the great majority of modern solid state rigs.

>only
>have specs for 80 to 100% modulation, which is typically set at 8 to
>20mV (this is from the sample group I noted earlier). Since the mic
>range is quite wide and these specs are for radios that have some sort
>of compression and/or limiting, that lands the mic sensitivity spec of
>the TRC-449 pretty much on par with most other radios. The only real
>difference is that the RS service manual has an alignment to set the
>AM mod at 50%, a procedure that is somewhat out of the ordinary.

My Midland 13-885 has a similar two step process, as does any radio
with an internal mike gain pot.

>Regardless, the issue is the TRC-449. I have the original service
>manual and you don't. I understand why you are upset because it
>clearly shows that you have been using incorrect specs all these
>years, and unnecessarily modified an unknown number of radios because
>of it. You -could- blame Sams for supplying the wrong information. But
>you won't because you know as well as I do that the responsibility is
>ultimately yours -- you noticed a problem and failed to make a correct
>diagnosis. Instead you jumped to the conclusion that the LPF was the
>cause because bypassing it cured the malady. That's a 'symptomatic
>remedy' and is no better than declaring a fire is out because you
>pinch your nose and can no longer smell any smoke. Bad tech skills,
>Dave.

You're as thick as Twisty. I told you why I removed the BPF.
Regardless the difference between .5 and 5 mV would not make the radio
sound mushy, considering that the AMC is set with 200mV.

You're reaching and getting more sloppy at it.

>>A personal preference. I am an audio purist, like I said before.
>
>
>Then you should probably find another hobby besides CB radio.

I have several including ham radio.

>
>
>> Other
>>people may not hear anything wrong. Hell, some people find the sound
>>of an overdriven class "C" amplifer as "fine".
>
>

>Kinda like a Marshall on full reverb, huh?

Right!

>
>
>>>> coupled to a
>>>>microphone (A D-104) which didn't mate well with the audio bandpass
>>>>characteristic that this radio with the LPF presented.
>>>
>>>
>>>D-104's suck. They have no low-end and the high-end is raspy.
>>
>>The high end is fine when the crystal element is good, and hasn't hit
>>the floor one too many times.
>>
>>
>>>The
>>>output level of the unamplified models is too high for most radios,
>>

>>Wrong. The output of the unamplified mike is high impedance, and will
>>not mate well with a solid state rig, unless the mike input impedance
>>is also high (Which it usually isn't).
>
>
>Granted.

Thank you.

>
>
>>>and the amplified models are usually turned up too high by the user.
>>
>>That depends on the person.
>>
>>>People like them because they are loud, but they are loud because of
>>>their high and narrow spectral response -- not a mic I would consider
>>>using for high-fidelity.
>>
>>Most CB'ers want to be "loud and proud". Hence the immense popularity
>>of thr D-104.
>
>
>It also explains why Rush Limbaugh and Mancow still have jobs.

And Al Franken.

>
>
>>> Want a good mic? Try a Shure 522 -- a lot
>>>cheaper than a D-104 and sounds a lot better.
>>
>>I've used Shure 444's before. I'm not familiar with the 552.
>
>
>It sounds a lot better than a 444. Almost as good as an SM-58.

I'll give it a look.

>>>You can even
>>>ask Lance since I got the manual from him with the radio. BTW, have
>>>you ever seen a factory service manual? You know, one that wasn't
>>>published by Sams?
>>
>>I have a dozen factory service manuals, including the Rat Shack TRC
>>448, the Lafayette Telsat SSB-140, the Uniden 2510, the RCI 2950, and
>>Yaesu's FT-101, and FT-757, and the Kenwood TS-700a and TS-530. Now,
>>what were you saying?
>
>
>Read them. But don't read them through the same coke-bottles you use
>while reading the newsgroup.

I did and I have and none agree with what you have stated.

>>In your opinion.
>>
>>> And I've worked with professional audio
>>>equipment for more than ten years at the station so I -do- know what
>>>"mushy and compressed" sounds like. Gee, I wonder why -your- radios
>>>sound that way but not mine.....
>>
>>Because your experience in CB radio is seriously lacking.
>
>
>I wasn't a golden screwdriver tech for 35 years like you. CB radio
>repair just wasn't enough to pay the bills, and believe me, college is
>still a pretty big bill. No, I had to work for customers and employers
>that actually cared about communication, not sounding "loud-n-proud".

CB repair did pay the bills for me while my peers flipped burgers at
mickey d's.

>>>That's about the best example of projection I have ever read, Twisty's
>>>rants included.
>>
>>Typical. Deny the truth by accusing the harbinger of that truth of
>>"projection". You are sounding more like Twisty every day.
>
>
>Well, Twisty might be proud that you are trying to imitate him, but
>I've sparred with him enough not to fall for the gag, especially from
>someone as inexperienced at debate as yourself.

It is you who are doing the imitating. I am more than capable of
sparing with you and him at the same time. It's my relief from boredom
while waiting for my nest task.

>>>>I've designed controlled carrier modifications for it, FM receive and
>>>>transmit, 10 meter conversions, Digital readout frequency selection,
>>>>photocell LED and meter light dimmer circuits, wideband transmit and
>>>>receive, and a host of other things that I've long forgotten about.
>>>>Like I said, that chassis (in its various forms) has been one of my
>>>>all time favorites for the sheer ease at which the radio modified and
>>>>the overall capability of it.
>>
>>
>>>I'll be impressed when you can design one from scratch. But that would
>>>be superfluous, wouldn't it?
>>
>>I have built radios from scratch. But nothing quite so elaborate.
>
>
>You're an engineer, right? What's so elaborate about a CB radio? You
>were doing power supplies when you were 15 -- haven't you learned
>anything since then?

Why bother. I can get them for free. I have a bone pile full of them.

>>>So does the newsgroup, apparently.
>>
>>Not at all. I do this during short "breaks" at work. When I travel or
>>things get really busy.....
>
>.....or you get beat up too badly in the newsgroups.....

There is no one yet who can "beat me up". Most lack the cognitive
ability to do that.

TTFN

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2508. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:13:56 UTC
From: Elmer <twistedhed@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108156436.588610.40540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196098

Dave Hall wrote:
> On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 04:30:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>
> >>>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that
your
> >>>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.
> >>
> >>
> >>Are you mad? Are you here to tell me that politics is entirely
> >>LOGICAL? If so, you, my friend, are seriously naive.
> >
> >
> >That's not what I said, Dave.
>
> It's what you implied when I stated that politics transcends logic,
to
> which you replied that that was not the opinion of a "reasonable"
> person. Tell me Frank, what would be "reasonable" to you?
>
> >>Politics is a matter of deciding which "side" makes the most sense
to
> >>you, based a lot on faith. Local cannot begin to explain the hows
and
> >>whys of the political mind.
> >
> >
> >To some it's based on faith.
>
> To most it is based on faith to some degree. To believe in a
> particular ideology, you have to have some faith that it is the
> "right" choice.
>
> > To some it's based on logic.
>
> Logic can help bolster your core beliefs, but there is little about
> the whole political process that can be explained by logic alone.
>
>
> >To some it's
> >based on physical appearance, or emotional manipulation, or advice
> >from the psychic friends network. To some it's based on the sound of
a
> >name, like "ray-gun" or "Busch". And to some it's based on the power

> >of suggestion, gullibility, or vulnerability to carefully devised
> >propoganda campaigns.
>
> Sort of like your blind refusal to consider "the other side" and the
> discarding of any testimony to that end, as "propaganda"?
>
>
> >>>>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other
> >>>responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left
> >>>with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know
> >>>what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.
> >>
> >>You really are becoming Twisty. You are even starting to spout out
the
> >>same unfounded accusations.
>
> >You have a 'tell', Dave.
>
> Really? Wow, I'm impressed. There are talented people who can tell
> when other people are lying by their body language, how they carry
> themselves and how they present their "stories". But that requires
> direct physical observation of the person. It's quite a bit more
> difficult to apply the same techniques, considering the lack of
> inflection and elocution, to a set of typed words alone.
> Boy, you're just full of surprises.
>
>
> > Not only are your lies easily identified, but
> >you responses have a unique characteristic that tells the motivation
> >for the lie; i.e, whether your lie was malicious, deceitful, etc....
> >or even to protect a secret (usually to cover up another lie).
>
> When have I lied (be specific)? Are you now accusing me of not having
> a job and custody of my kid, and when I correct your unfounded (and
> incorrect) accusation, you consider that a lie?
>
> Or do you want to start asking me about the Phelps, my Villanova
> account, and the CB'er who got busted by the Norristown Police for
> disturbing the peace?
>
> You are as off the wall as Twisty if that's what you think.
>
>
> > And just so you know, I'm no expert in lie detection.
>
> That much is plainly obvious.
>

> >I've only known one
> >other person who lied as poorly as you.
>
> Perhaps the reason that you feel that I "lie" so poorly is that I'm
> really telling the truth. Most pathological liars are quite adept at
> spinning fabrications.
>
> When considering the rationale of why people feel the need to lie,
one
> must consider the motivation. Why would I have a need to lie about
> things that have no relevance to this newsgroup?
>
>
> > She even tried to make a
> >career out of it. Now she's alone and miserable, just like you will
be
> >soon if you don't learn something from this experience.
>
> Your ex-wife?
>
> I've learned a lot from this experience. I've learned that there are
a
> few people out here with serious self esteem issues, who then project
> those shortcomings on to others, and then claim those others lie when
> they set them straight.
>
> I've also learned that the more someone becomes frustrated when they
> are unable to defend themselves effectively on the issues, they often
> turn to vitriolic personal attacks, and making reckless and
> unsubstantiated claims about certain aspects of the other person's
> life.
>
> You were never as crass and blunt as you've been since you've decided
> to "educate" me on the ills of your political ideology. You've let
> your emotions get the better of you, and that has reduced you to the
> level of Twistedhed.
>
>
> >>>Regardless, our discussions have already forced you to show both
of
> >>>your faces on several topics, and to continue would just be
beating a
> >>>dead cliche. So feel free to preach your self-righteous attitudes
and
> >>>technical 'opinions' because nobody takes you seriously anymore.
> >>
> >>Another tip of the hat to the "twistyism" of professing to know
what
> >>others think?
> >>

> >>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
> >
> >

Popular psychology fostered the idea of self-love. Then along came the assertiveness movement. Originally aimed at instructing people to assert themselves in the workplace in order to get their proper due, it leaped into all aspects of life. Swaggering, evidenced by the stroking of one's ego, reinvented itself as strategy and therapy. It turned out the world was full of people who, by their own admission, had movie-star looks, sensitive souls, healthy incomes, fun-loving natures and no prospects.

> >HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!! I love early-morning humor!!!!
>
> You find that funny? Then I suppose you have some evidence to
disprove
> it?
>
>
> >> I have
> >>nothing to hide, and don't try to. Maybe that's what pisses you and
> >>your newly found soul mate in Florida off. You can't back me into a
> >>corner, because I've said or done nothing that I'm ashamed of.
> >
> >
> >That's paranoid. Nobody is trying to back you into a corner, Dave.
On
> >the contrary, I'm trying to inject some logic and reason into your
> >artificial reality.
>
> *Your* logic and reason. Which is clouded by your own partisan bias.
> But you refuse to see it. Yet the really funny part is that you
accuse
> me of doing exactly what you're doing. The thing is that I admit that
> I am a right leaning conservative, and I accept that my viewpoint is
> polarized to some degree according to my political slant. But you
> still try to portray yourself as objective, which is the really funny
> part.
>
>
> > I'm making progress but it would help if you could
> >open your mind and quit kicking and screaming whenever I state a
fact
> >that threatens your faith-based perceptions.
>
> Your "facts" have already been demonstrated to be little more than
> extrapolated conclusions based loosely on factual information, but
> twisted to fit a particular political bias.
>
>I've also learned that the more someone becomes frustrated when they

>are unable to defend themselves effectively on the issues, they often
>turn to vitriolic personal attacks, and making reckless and
>unsubstantiated claims about certain aspects of the other person's
>life.

You might not see it but you do your share.

> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2509. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:15:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <708q01h0jmq9ogs08i0us0e663u2chhnqk@4ax.com>
Source record: 196099

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 13:05:12 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>>
>>>And by that you mean you are just an illegal outlaw on the 11 meter
>>>band? Illegal is illegal isn't it?
>>
>>
>>So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that. Hypocracy
>>at it's finest.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>Oh, because someone else breaks a "law" you are entiltled to break any
>"Law" you see fit. Grow up Vinnie, quit trying to rationalize your
>behavior.

Oh, you you admit breaking the law? I knew you were a hypocrite. Looks like you
are the one that needs to rationalize.

Vinnie S.

2510. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:24:31 UTC
From: Johnny Cash <jcc124@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108157094.9c4dbf9bd7b4b03b68bca7f2f6406e43@teranews>
Source record: 196100

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 16:15:01 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 13:05:12 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
>
>>>>
>>>>And by that you mean you are just an illegal outlaw on the 11 meter
>>>>band? Illegal is illegal isn't it?
>>>
>>>
>>>So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that. Hypocracy
>>>at it's finest.
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>Oh, because someone else breaks a "law" you are entiltled to break any
>>"Law" you see fit. Grow up Vinnie, quit trying to rationalize your
>>behavior.
>
>
>Oh, you you admit breaking the law? I knew you were a hypocrite. Looks like you
>are the one that needs to rationalize.
>
>Vinnie S.

where did he admit he broke the law?

2511. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:29:12 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vm8q01hjgeqb739q195sfnlenn1sbnd7he@4ax.com>
Source record: 196101

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 15:24:31 -0600, Johnny Cash <jcc124@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 16:15:01 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 13:05:12 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>And by that you mean you are just an illegal outlaw on the 11 meter
>>>>>band? Illegal is illegal isn't it?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that. Hypocracy
>>>>at it's finest.
>>>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>Oh, because someone else breaks a "law" you are entiltled to break any
>>>"Law" you see fit. Grow up Vinnie, quit trying to rationalize your
>>>behavior.
>>
>>
>>Oh, you you admit breaking the law? I knew you were a hypocrite. Looks like you
>>are the one that needs to rationalize.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>where did he admit he broke the law?

When he said, "Oh, because someone else breaks a "law" you are entiltled to break any "Law" you see fit. Sounds pretty much like he has broken it to me. And I would pretty much bet that he has sped at least once in his life, and gone over the limit. That pretty much makes him an "outlaw".

Vinnie S.

2512. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:38:51 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108157931.966369.12640@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196102

Steveo wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> > >
> > Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention,
limpwrist.
> >
> I'll take that as a yes. This is gonna be GREAT! hahahaha

Take that as a "you're delusional and gay".

2513. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:39:56 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <s59q01ldnnfpm5mqb29go80c4ehlnhmmsq@4ax.com>
Source record: 196103

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 07:56:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 21:25:37 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
>wrote:
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>> So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that.
>>> Hypocracy at it's finest.
>>
>>Depends on the state. Most states give you 10% leeway for differences in
>>speedometer calibration. Oregon doesn't have speed limits in most places,
>>opting for a less strictly enforced posted speed (difference is the posted
>>speed signs say SPEED, whereas hard limits say SPEED LIMIT). In either
>>case, if conditions are bad, you can get a speeding ticket for going slower
>>than the posted speed (ie, doing 50 in a 60 zone on ice).
>
>In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of "Speedometer"
>and speed measuring inaccuracies. In addition, just to avoid court
>challenges to the precise accuracy of the speed measuring equipment
>(Usually VASCAR on non-state patrolled roads, and RADAR on state
>police patrolled roads), most of the cops I know tell me that they
>unofficially give people 9 MPH over the posted limit before they start
>pulling people over. Of course there might be a new hard-assed rookie
>who might not be so "kind".......

My brother in law is a cop. It's at the descretion of the cop. Since most often this is a revenue generating system. The judge and cop are on the same municipal team. If they want to beat you at 1 mph, they will. The reason they give 10mph extra, is because everyone goes over the speed limit. They would be up to their ears in court. So they take the abusers. But I highly doubt it's the inaccuracies of the equipment or speedometers, because like you said, some states have zero tolerance. So don't think you can claim the equipment is inaccurate in one state, and perfect in another. I really think it's just the descretion of the cop.

Vinnie S.

2514. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:44:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5o9q019m8tc08hvvjr2tt8igdhqh622ton@4ax.com>
Source record: 196104

On 11 Feb 2005 13:45:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?

Mpoed. what is in Dayton? Hamfest? Where is the website for state listings?

Vinnie S.

2515. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:57:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211165753.501$4G@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196105

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 11 Feb 2005 13:45:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
>
> Mpoed. what is in Dayton? Hamfest? Where is the website for state
> listings?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
It's the biggest hamfest/swapmeet..it's in Dayton in May. I'm gonna pull my travel trailer down there and stay two nights..never know what I might find.

http://www.hamvention.org/

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2516. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 21:58:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211165848.200$C8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196106

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> > > >
> > > Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention,
> limpwrist.
> > >
> > I'll take that as a yes. This is gonna be GREAT! hahahaha
>
> Take that as a "you're delusional and gay".
>
We're gonna find out if you'll say that to my face, chickenshit.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2517. Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:00:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <7kaq01hobt6jlcnfudr5cdedip40tkbktu@4ax.com>
Source record: 196107

1. Is it a requirement to have passed the tech test, before taking the general?
Or can you just take the general?

2. Can you take the morse after the written (weeks)?

Vinnie S.

2518. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:01:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <3oaq015fdn5oib6j6g5im9dqhop5int5f9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196108

On 11 Feb 2005 21:57:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 11 Feb 2005 13:45:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
>>
>> Mpoed. what is in Dayton? Hamfest? Where is the website for state
>> listings?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>It's the biggest hamfest/swapmeet..it's in Dayton in May. I'm gonna pull
>my travel trailer down there and stay two nights..never know what I might
>find.
>
>
>http://www.hamvention.org/

I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you find any goodies.

Vinnie S.

2519. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:06:16 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211170616.396$HP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196109

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 11 Feb 2005 21:57:53 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 11 Feb 2005 13:45:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> >>
> >> Mpoed. what is in Dayton? Hamfest? Where is the website for state
> >> listings?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >It's the biggest hamfest/swapmeet..it's in Dayton in May. I'm gonna pull
> >my travel trailer down there and stay two nights..never know what I
> >might find.
> >
> >
> >http://www.hamvention.org/
>
> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you find any
> goodies.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll call you
from there with the details, if I find it.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2520. Re: Exports R Us ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:10:24 UTC
From: Chuck K8CPA <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <i8bq015c41che5lnlq1afmt3q4f7o3mqsk@4ax.com>
Source record: 196110

Nope, Steve got busted, paid part of his fine and split town and has never been heard from since. FCC, and US Government looking for him, bigtime.

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 13:33:05 -0600, "Iowa883" <dswebber@netins.net> wrote:

>I used to get stuff from these guys, are they still in Business ? The
>address I used to use is invalid.
>Thanks,
>Iowa883
>

2521. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:12:02 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mbbq01lspcvg0vj7kbvupts17p38rinvqa@4ax.com>
Source record: 196111

On 11 Feb 2005 22:06:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >
>> >http://www.hamvention.org/
>>
>> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you find any
>> goodies.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll call you
>from there with the details, if I find it.

Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio. But May is a
long way.

Vinnie S.

2522. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:16:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211171651.943$PB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196112

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 11 Feb 2005 22:06:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >
> >> >http://www.hamvention.org/
> >>
> >> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you find any
> >> goodies.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll call
> >you from there with the details, if I find it.
>
> Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio. But May
> is a long way.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I'm looking for a AR3500 and a coward. lol

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2523. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:17:28 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8nbq01dhfco60tncb4ftm5ur2i8ckn5osi@4ax.com>
Source record: 196113

On 11 Feb 2005 22:16:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 11 Feb 2005 22:06:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> >
>> >> >http://www.hamvention.org/
>> >>
>> >> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you find any
>> >> goodies.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll call
>> >you from there with the details, if I find it.
>>
>> Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio. But May
>> is a long way.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>I'm looking for a AR3500 and a coward. lol

Can you stuff him inside the AR3500?

Vinnie S.

2524. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:18:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211171850.201$Gv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196114

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 11 Feb 2005 22:16:51 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 11 Feb 2005 22:06:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> >
> >> >> >http://www.hamvention.org/
> >> >>
> >> >> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you find
> >> >> any goodies.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll
> >> >call you from there with the details, if I find it.
> >>
> >> Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio. But
> >> May is a long way.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >I'm looking for a AR3500 and a coward. lol
>
> Can you stuff him inside the AR3500?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Why would I wanna stuff a shit pile inside a nice radio??

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2525. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:22:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <dsbq01h3lgqpmf3agjea7ro4jl9fgbldes@4ax.com>
Source record: 196115

On 11 Feb 2005 22:18:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> >OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll
>> >> >call you from there with the details, if I find it.
>> >>
>> >> Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio. But
>> >> May is a long way.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >I'm looking for a AR3500 and a coward. lol
>>
>> Can you stuff him inside the AR3500?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Why would I wanna stuff a shit pile inside a nice radio??

Cause it would be fun.

Just drive the speed limit, or else, you might upset someone ;-)

Vinnie S.

2526. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:24:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211172412.733$ps@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196116

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 11 Feb 2005 22:18:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll
> >> >> >call you from there with the details, if I find it.
> >> >>
> >> >> Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio.
> >> >> But May is a long way.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >I'm looking for a AR3500 and a coward. lol
> >>
> >> Can you stuff him inside the AR3500?
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Why would I wanna stuff a shit pile inside a nice radio??
>
> Cause it would be fun.
>
> Just drive the speed limit, or else, you might upset someone ;-)
>
Yup, I'll set the cruise at 70mph..federal! <g>

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2527. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:29:17 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <t6ovd2-str.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196121

Vinnie S. wrote:

> No they don't. The speed limits signs or laws do not say 55 or 65, +/-
> 10%.
>
> That is nothing more than the descretion of the cop that tickets you.

Go read ORS chapter 810 sometime. It's up on leg.state.or.us. It does work that way in Oregon. And as said before, save for a few miles of interstate or a few well-travelled blocks of narrow secondary streets, Oregon has never had speed limits. Posted speeds, yes, in which you're allowed to drive faster if conditions warrant (on a clear, sunny day with dry pavement and light traffic with a posted speed of 70, a cop pulling you over for doing 85 will have a hard time getting a conviction in court unless it was a LIMIT 70 and not a SPEED 70 sign and the cops know this).

Oregon and Washington both give 10% margins for differences in speedometer calibration because very rarely are speedometers spot on. You could easily be doing 59 with your speedometer reading 55. The speedometer in my 1995.5 Kia Sportage reads 62 when it's doing 60, my roommate's 2000 Ford Ranger reads 57 at 60 MPH. If you got a ticket for doing 1 MPH over the speed limit, and you didn't fight it in court, you're a moron, here's your sign.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2528. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:45:50 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <v5pvd2-o6s.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196122

Dave Hall wrote:

> Most car speedometers are not so accurate that a 1 MPH difference is
> all that hard to believe. Just putting one size larger tires on your
> car can make that difference.

Well, you're supposed to get your instruments recalibrated after changing tire size. But differences as much as 5 MPH can happen depending on tire pressure and road conditions...I've yet to see a speedometer read the right speed driving on Oregon's notoriously rutted freeways in the rain (probably because you're forced to hydroplane along the lane, which is why the locals *will* get aggressive towards tailgaters, though the Californians usually don't get it until they slam into a wall or the car ahead of them approaching slower traffic hiding in the road spray of the vehicle ahead because they're following too close).

> People have fought a speeding ticket on this basis and have won.
> That's part of the reason why Pa. gives some leeway. Most cops don't
> want to have to appear in traffic court when the chances are good the
> case could get thrown out. However, it's a lot harder to make a case
> for speedometer inaccuracies when you're 10 MPH or more over.

I used to have to deal with police a lot when I was a security officer, and at one site, the worksite's driveway was a common spot for police to set up speed traps. The cops don't even bother radar cars until they see someone moving considerably faster than surrounding traffic, radar the overspeed vehicle for evidence, and just use the posted speed as something to estimate a fine from.

They seem to radar everyone in areas where there are actual speed limits when I see a speed trap in a limit zone. Though I never see anybody pulled over, everybody just slows down for the speed limit and then drops the hammer down when it goes back to a posted speed a few miles down the road.

I drive 100 km/h on the freeway even if the posted speed is considerably lower if the conditions allow, and the cops working the speed trips don't even look twice and keep looking for someone *really* speeding. Only time I drive slower than 100 km/h on the freeway is in Salem where there *is* a speed limit of 60 MPH (about 90 or so km/h), and on I-5, I-405 and US-30 in downtown Portland, which has a posted speed of 50 but is usually insane to try for more than 40, and the worst traffic accident in Oregon history was caused by some shithead Californian who drove his bigrig at 60 MPH into 14 cars stopped in a traffic jam on I-405 while talking on a cellphone and trying to pick up a CD off the floorpan. That Californian won't be going back to California anytime soon, he's a prisoner in Salem now for his criminally stupid behavior.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2529. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:45:54 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <us@that.net>
Message-ID: <ZuSdndCcg6I7qpDfRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 196117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.conspiracy,alt.usenet.kooks,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

No I Am Not Him wrote:

> Steveo wrote:
>
>>I'm gonna bloody a certain repeater jammers face if I
>>see him at Dayton.
>
>
> Dayton is for hams. You are an illegal freebander.
>

There is no "freeband".

2530. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:58:48 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108162728.876707.242450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196118

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On 11 Feb 2005 22:06:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> >> >
> >> >http://www.hamvention.org/
> >>
> >> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you find
any
> >> goodies.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll
call you
> >from there with the details, if I find it.
>
>
> Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio. But
May is a
> long way.

Mopeadope is too stoopid to get a license, heh heh.

2531. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 22:59:32 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108162772.679893.253320@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196119

Steveo wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> > > > >
> > > > Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention,
> > limpwrist.
> > > > >
> > > I'll take that as a yes. This is gonna be GREAT! hahahaha
> > >
> > Take that as a "you're delusional and gay".
> >
> We're gonna find out if you'll say that to my face, chickenshit.
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

Fool, ya don't even know who you are talking to. That wasn't me, but if it is any consolation I laughed. So funny to watch you scramble, threaten and whine.

2532. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 23:05:56 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108163156.525355.229220@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196120

Vinnie S. wrote:
> 1. Is it a requirement to have passed the tech test, before taking
the general?
> Or can you just take the general?
>
> 2. Can you take the morse after the written (weeks)?
>
> Vinnie S.

Why worry about rules? After all, it's just like going 56 in a 55 mph
zone.

2533. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 23:09:04 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108163344.096438.119090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196123

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention,
> > > limpwrist.
> > > > > >
> > > > I'll take that as a yes. This is gonna be GREAT! hahahaha
> > >
> > > Take that as a "you're delusional and gay".
> > >
> > We're gonna find out if you'll say that to my face, chickenshit.
> >
> > --
> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>
> Fool, ya don't even know who you are talking to. That wasn't me, but
if
> it is any consolation I laughed. So funny to watch you scramble,
> threaten and whine.

Don't spoil it. I'll have Steveo running around Dayton with an "I Love
N8" bumper sticker on his ass before I'm through ;)

2534. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 23:12:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211181206.170$oL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196124

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Vinnie S. wrote:
> > On 11 Feb 2005 22:06:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > >> >
> > >> >http://www.hamvention.org/
> > >> >
> > >> >> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you find
> any
> > >> >> goodies.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Vinnie S.
> > >> >>
> > >> >OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll
> call you
> > >> >from there with the details, if I find it.
> > >
> > >
> > > Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio. But
> May is a
> > > long way.
>
> Mopeadope is too stoopid to get a license, heh heh.
>
Only a moron like you would think the tech license is tough.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2535. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 23:13:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211181335.326$mL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196125

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention,
> > > limpwrist.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > I'll take that as a yes. This is gonna be GREAT! hahahaha
> > > >
> > > Take that as a "you're delusional and gay".
> > > >
> > We're gonna find out if you'll say that to my face, chickenshit.
> > >
> > --
> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>
> Fool, ya don't even know who you are talking to. That wasn't me,
>
Liar.
>
> but if
> it is any consolation I laughed. So funny to watch you scramble,
> threaten and whine.
>
Going to Dayton, fugly?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2536. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 23:14:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050211181433.347$3O@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196126

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention,
> > > > limpwrist.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > I'll take that as a yes. This is gonna be GREAT! hahahaha
> > > > >
> > > > Take that as a "you're delusional and gay".
> > > > >
> > > We're gonna find out if you'll say that to my face, chickenshit.
> > > >
> > > --
> > > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> >
> > Fool, ya don't even know who you are talking to. That wasn't me, but
> if
> > it is any consolation I laughed. So funny to watch you scramble,
> > threaten and whine.
>
> Don't spoil it. I'll have Steveo running around Dayton with an "I Love
> N8" bumper sticker on his ass before I'm through ;)
>
I'll be there for two days.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2537. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 23:29:41 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108164581.320636.228760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196127

Steveo wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton
Hamvention,
> > > > limpwrist.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I'll take that as a yes. This is gonna be GREAT! hahahaha
> > > > >
> > > > Take that as a "you're delusional and gay".
> > > >
> > > We're gonna find out if you'll say that to my face, chickenshit.
> > >
> > > --
> > > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> >
> > Fool, ya don't even know who you are talking to. That wasn't me,
> >
> Liar.
> >
> > but if
> > it is any consolation I laughed. So funny to watch you scramble,
> > threaten and whine.
> >
> Going to Dayton, fugly?

I must say I have never seen a man infatuated with another man so much
as you are with N8WWM. You stand around outside his house, you run
after him to hamfests, you hump his leg on usenet. I understand, you're
just a gay man following his dream, but please, what does this have to
do with CB?

2538. Re: Exports R Us ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-11 23:31:29 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108164689.921139.19630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196128

Chuck K8CPA wrote:
> Nope, Steve got busted, paid part of his fine and split town and has
> never been heard from since. FCC, and US Government looking for him,
> bigtime.

Why? It's just like going 56 in a 55 mph zone. ;)

2539. Re: CB Radio and FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 00:09:33 UTC
From: Sky King <skyking310b@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <eSbPd.781$rn2.376@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196129

Sorry Rob, ther frequency range between the units are lightyears apart.
It will never happen.
73

Rob wrote:

>Hi I have a older CB radio that I bought about 8 years ago..is there anyway
>it will work with a FRS Uniden ?
>
>Thanks
>
>Rob
>
>
>
>

2540. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 02:06:35 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <cujob9$qrg3@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 196130

Vinnie S. wrote:
> 1. Is it a requirement to have passed the tech test, before taking the general?
> Or can you just take the general?
>
> 2. Can you take the morse after the written (weeks)?
>
> Vinnie S.

Each license class is considered a different level and it is required
that you pass the previous level before you can use the privileges
of the next. But, as you asked, you can take any of the tests separately,
on different days or weeks apart. However, if you take and pass the
general test, and can not provide proof that you took the tech test
and the code test, you will not be granted the privileges of that level.

When you go to the testing station, you can take as many test as you
want for a single fee. For me it was $6.45. If you split it up, you
will be paying that same fee for each test. None of the tests are that
big of a deal. A month or two of studying the Qs and As and you should be
able to pass them all in one sitting. Go for the gold and go for the
extra test too. The big stumbling block was the 13 and 20 WPM
code tests, but they are all gone now.

For more information try "http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/classes.html".
All of the possible Qs and As are available there, as well.

--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.150m.com

2541. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 02:27:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <m3qq011a7qvgubfqj111smv2a8q9frjp7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 196131

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 19:06:35 -0700, K7MEM <k7mem@myrealbox.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>> 1. Is it a requirement to have passed the tech test, before taking the general?
>> Or can you just take the general?
>>
>> 2. Can you take the morse after the written (weeks)?
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Each license class is considered a different level and it is required
>that you pass the previous level before you can use the privileges
>of the next.

Excellent. At least I got that straight.

>But, as you asked, you can take any of the tests separately,
>on different days or weeks apart. However, if you take and pass the
>general test, and can not provide proof that you took the tech test
>and the code test, you will not be granted the privileges of that level.

>When you go to the testing station, you can take as many test as you
>want for a single fee. For me it was $6.45. If you split it up, you
>will be paying that same fee for each test. None of the tests are that
>big of a deal. A month or two of studying the Qs and As and you should be
>able to pass them all in one sitting.

Are the questions from the ARRL pool the only questions I will ever see?

>Go for the gold and go for the
>extra test too. The big stumbling block was the 13 and 20 WPM
>code tests, but they are all gone now.

I just want to get to the general level for now. I want to learn the code, is it
5 wpm only know? I thought you needed 13 wpm for general? I have no desire to
use code, but will do so for the test. The only thing is that by the time I can
save up enough for a $1,500 HF all band tranceiver, code will be gone anyway. I
hope to have one by the next skip cycle in a few years.

Vinnie S.

2542. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 02:57:35 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <zgePd.30562$xt.15155@fed1read07>
Source record: 196132

For the straight word on ham licensing and requirements -- see URL;
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/classes.html
General and Extra currently require a 5 wpm code test.

Once licensed to General or Extra, a used $350 HF transceiver will get you
on the air (100+ Watts) and if you make your own antenna -- add $3 or $4.

If and when the code requirement is deleted, the use of Morse code will
continue - as it is just another mode of operation, many will continue to
use it and newbies will find the advantages of it, so MORSE WILL live on.

The lower bands -- 160M, 80M, and 40M are not dependent on the "skip cycle".
30m will do well also in the off years but it is a CW and data mode only.

Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) - A Ham
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

>Someone wrote
> I just want to get to the general level for now. I want to learn the code,
> is it
> 5 wpm only know? I thought you needed 13 wpm for general? I have no desire
> to
> use code, but will do so for the test. The only thing is that by the time
> I can
> save up enough for a $1,500 HF all band tranceiver, code will be gone
> anyway. I
> hope to have one by the next skip cycle in a few years.
>
> Vinnie S.

2543. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 03:18:45 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <t9tq01hmgqrok1rhjaelrooat3bj1d5csk@4ax.com>
Source record: 196133

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 18:57:35 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote:

>For the straight word on ham licensing and requirements -- see URL;
>http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/classes.html
>General and Extra currently require a 5 wpm code test.
>
>Once licensed to General or Extra, a used $350 HF transceiver will get you
>on the air (100+ Watts) and if you make your own antenna -- add $3 or $4.

Any specific model?

>If and when the code requirement is deleted, the use of Morse code will
>continue - as it is just another mode of operation, many will continue to
>use it and newbies will find the advantages of it, so MORSE WILL live on.

I am not saying they should get rid of it. I am just saying I won't use it.
Sounds like they will get rid of the test in 2006 or so. I don't want to wait.
So I will study.

>The lower bands -- 160M, 80M, and 40M are not dependent on the "skip cycle".
>30m will do well also in the off years but it is a CW and data mode only.
>
>Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) - A Ham
>Help The New Hams
>Someone Helped You
>Or did You Forget That ?

Thanks !!!!

Vinnie S.

2544. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 03:28:58 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <qo90e2-4mc.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196137

Vinnie S. wrote:

> The only thing is that by the time I can save up enough for a $1,500 HF
> all band tranceiver, code will be gone anyway.

People have been saying that longer than I've been alive. That and the US finally joining the rest of the world in the third millennium by adopting the metric system. Will either ever happen? Probably not while I'm alive.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2545. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 03:37:17 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <jetq01tanpc92gitab2rrm13l2r875j497@4ax.com>
Source record: 196134

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 14:29:17 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> No they don't. The speed limits signs or laws do not say 55 or 65, +/-
>> 10%.
>>
>> That is nothing more than the descretion of the cop that tickets you.
>
>Go read ORS chapter 810 sometime. It's up on leg.state.or.us. It does work
>that way in Oregon. And as said before, save for a few miles of interstate
>or a few well-travelled blocks of narrow secondary streets, Oregon has
>never had speed limits. Posted speeds, yes, in which you're allowed to
>drive faster if conditions warrant (on a clear, sunny day with dry pavement
>and light traffic with a posted speed of 70, a cop pulling you over for
>doing 85 will have a hard time getting a conviction in court unless it was
>a LIMIT 70 and not a SPEED 70 sign and the cops know this).
>
>Oregon and Washington both give 10% margins for differences in speedometer
>calibration because very rarely are speedometers spot on. You could easily
>be doing 59 with your speedometer reading 55. The speedometer in my 1995.5
>Kia Sportage reads 62 when it's doing 60, my roommate's 2000 Ford Ranger
>reads 57 at 60 MPH. If you got a ticket for doing 1 MPH over the speed
>limit, and you didn't fight it in court, you're a moron, here's your sign.

Paul,

I don't know about Oregon, but I know NJ. I have never heard of such a thing. And I have been to traffic court on at least 3 occasions. On one occasion, some motorist used the calibration defense. They about laughed him out of the court, and said they would bring in the cop with the certs. He ended up pleaing to a lower moving violation qwith no points. Believe it or not, they actually plea bargain here for traffic court. They want the dollar amount fine, and ill lower the violation so it doesn't kill you for insurance.

As far as my personal experience, I was given a ticket around 1991 for 59 in a 55. I pleaded guilty to a reduce "driving too slow", which carried the same fine, but no points. The other carried 2 points. So you manual is of no use to me or motorists in NJ.

And the only reason I fought 3 tickets, was because I was told you can get the points knocked down in court. The officers will actually tell you that. But if you just pay it, you get the points.

I did go to trial one of those 3 times (bargained the other 2), and beat a ticket for rolling stop. A cop was at a stop trap where many motorists were

rolling thru it. He gave about 150 tickets over a course of a weekend. I fought mine, because he missed my stop. He had 2 motorists pulled over and was on foot getting their documents, and he looked at the corner, I had already stopped and was moving, and he waved me over. I was nonetheless not cooperative. The DA wanted to bargain that too, but the fine was like $180. I said i was going to fight it, and he basically laughed. He said it was a sure fire loss. At the trial, which was about 20 minutes long, the officer needed to look at the ticket to read what car I was driving. And he said he pulled me over with his car after rolling thru a stop. I got him on him not remembering my carwithout reading the ticket, and that he was on foot with 2 other vehicles, and did not pull me over with his car. The judge ruled in my favor, but he didn't rule on it that night, to give me the satifaction in court. Instead, he sent his not guilty decision by mail, 2 days later. I never did go back to the prosecutor and show him the letter.

So like I said, It's mostly at the descretion of the cop. And FYI, you can be going 56 in a 55, and if he wants to stop you and say you were doing 75 in a 55, even though you did 56, there isn't a damn thing you can do about it.

Vinnie S.

2546. Re: ar-2000 mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 03:43:30 UTC
From: Jim <J*rase*@charter.net>
Message-ID: <41fPd.8991$ML5.5004@fe02.lga>
Source record: 196135

Harv,

Try this link, if you can't get the info there, try cbtricks.com . Is it Am only? If it is, it is similar to the Midland 77-285, I was thinking about it. The difference between that and the 77-290 is that the 290 has detatchable faeplate and is SSB. Let me know what you come up with! The link is below..

http://members.aol.com/cbmods/77-285.htm

2547. Re: Advice to Hams and SWL's from Igor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 04:03:34 UTC
From: Brian Hill <releif@last.net>
Message-ID: <ShfPd.7561$qE5.1632@fe04.lga>
Source record: 196136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Garden Guru" <GG@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:420d04be$1@x-privat.org...
>
> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> news:AbTNd.34318$vs2.5483@fe06.lga...
> >
> > "Honus" <honus1@earthlink.net.is.invalid> wrote in message
> > news:nHSNd.13970$uc.9609@trnddc02...
> >>
> >> "Brian Hill" <releif@last.net> wrote in message
> >> news:8bSNd.34187$Ux3.11493@fe06.lga...
> >>
> >> > Honus. He's just another mental case. Do a Google search.You'll be
> >> > impressed.
> >>
> >> Yeah...I think I've butted heads with this butt head before. <sigh>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > I don't think he has a head. Kind of like that chicken that lived after
a
> > decap. Just enough brain stem left to execute motor activity and stir
shit
> > on Usenet. :)
> >
> > B.H.
> >
> Your wife gave me head all night. That bitch can suck a golf ball through
a
> garden hose Brian.
>
>

We all know you have worms so please quit dragging your ass across the
carpet stupid.

B.H.

2548. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 04:14:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8e0r01t9tfoe5ur43k55j5v2fn9s6sl0ei@4ax.com>
Source record: 196138

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 19:28:58 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> The only thing is that by the time I can save up enough for a $1,500 HF
>> all band tranceiver, code will be gone anyway.
>
>People have been saying that longer than I've been alive. That and the US
>finally joining the rest of the world in the third millennium by adopting
>the metric system. Will either ever happen? Probably not while I'm alive.

That is why I am not going to wait. My ham friends told me likely 2006. I personally have no idea. But apparently most other countries are dropping it, and the IARU (I think), has requested it. But since the FCC is slow as shit in moving with these ideas, I will just go ahead and get it. I will not use ith though.

Vinnie S.

2549. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 04:37:48 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <spd0e2-d0e.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196142

Vinnie S. wrote:

> So like I said, It's mostly at the descretion of the cop. And FYI, you can
> be going 56 in a 55, and if he wants to stop you and say you were doing 75
> in a 55, even though you did 56, there isn't a damn thing you can do about
> it.

Sure there is. Challenge him in court using his radar gun as evidence against him. If it recorded 56 and he's claiming 75, any of the following could happen in Oregon: Charges dismissed on the 10% rule, charges dismissed because the speed was reasonable for the conditions and the speed was simply a posted advised speed and not a limit (universal speed rule), or charges dismissed on the grounds of false testimony on the part of the police officer (pretty much gauranteed to end his law enforcement career, he'd have a *damn* hard time getting a job even as a rent-a-cop with that on his record).

Your best defense is to learn the local law and obey it. Oregon tends to be pretty lax because 1) you can go hundreds of miles without encountering anything to hit, and 2) almost all traffic tickets handed out are to Californians, who don't have to pass a test to get a license[1].

[1] If you don't know the answer on the test, you can ask the clerk and get as many right answers as you need just for the asking, and I can't recall ever seeing anybody taking a driving test at the CA DMVs. I never bothered driving in California when I got stuck moving down there for a few years, it's not hard to get a ride down there if you can get the nerve up with riding with an essentially unlicensed driver.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2550. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 04:39:59 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <cNKdnclV0qY-FZDfRVn-3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 196139

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:zgePd.30562$xt.15155@fed1read07...
> 30m will do well also in the off years but it is a CW and data mode only.

You're also limited to 200 watts max on that band too, regardless of license
class or mode.
--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

2551. Re: Viking antennas by Childs Electronics ? Comparison ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 04:46:14 UTC
From: Bill Lowry <popcorn8@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <qSfPd.21096$wc.12962@trnddc07>
Source record: 196140

I have used the Wilson 1000 on my truck for years with great results. I had to stop using the K40. I use the LIL WIL on my ATV.

Good Luck

"Iowa883" <dswebber@netins.net> wrote in message news:cuj0dg$6kt$1@news.netins.net...
> Hey Guys,
> I have had excellent results with the Viking antenna made by Childs. Not
> many people have heard of it. Anyhow , the shop that I used to get them from
> closed up as the ole' boy retired.
> Is there any good trunk mount antennas that perform really well ? Or
> possibly a magnetic mount that performs well and will stay on the car at
> freeway speeds ?
> I run a Ranger 2950 (the old good ones with the amber backlit) , I need
> something that will make this unit perform its best . Might even turn on
> the footwarmer every once in awhile. :-)
>
> My closest truck stop deals in Wilson and Barjunk I mean Barjain.
> How about a LIL Wil , are they good or junk ?
>
> Thanks,
> Iowa883
>
>

2552. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 04:51:10 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <NcidnYwp6PPcFpDfRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 196141

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:8e0r01t9tfoe5ur43k55j5v2fn9s6sl0ei@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 19:28:58 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. wrote:
> >
> >> The only thing is that by the time I can save up enough for a $1,500 HF
> >> all band tranceiver, code will be gone anyway.
> >
> >People have been saying that longer than I've been alive. That and the
US
> >finally joining the rest of the world in the third millennium by adopting
> >the metric system. Will either ever happen? Probably not while I'm
alive.
>
>
> That is why I am not going to wait. My ham friends told me likely 2006. I
> personally have no idea. But apparently most other countries are dropping
it,
> and the IARU (I think), has requested it.

It has already been dropped by the ITU at the last WARC. The ITU left it up
to each individual country whether to keep the requirement or not.

> But since the FCC is slow as shit in moving with these ideas,

Too bad they didn't with the BPL crap.

> I will just go ahead and get it. I will not use ith
> though.

Freedom of choice. That was one of the arguments being used get rid of the
Morse Code test requirement with the FCC; why test for something you're
never going to use. Of course the pro code people have points on their side
too. If you want more on this debate than your stomach can handle just
mention this topic on "rec.radio.amateur.policy" and see what happens!

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Wireless Network
Mobile computing
on the go brought
to you by Micro$oft

2553. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 05:31:59 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <fvg0e2-t4f.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196194

Leland C. Scott wrote:

> If you want more on this debate than your stomach can handle
> just mention this topic on "rec.radio.amateur.policy" and see what
> happens!

Or if you don't want to instantly label yourself net.moron, just read the
archives from previous times that flamewar has come up over on the Google.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2554. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 05:42:54 UTC
From: Raymond Sirois <rsirois@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3u5r011m6b1hptipmthtlqd9tt0c7cnc5o@4ax.com>
Source record: 196143

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 17:00:11 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>1. Is it a requirement to have passed the tech test, before taking the general?
>Or can you just take the general?
>
>2. Can you take the morse after the written (weeks)?
>
>Vinnie S.

There USED to be a requirement that the tests be taken in order. It's
my understanding that such a requirement no longer exists. HOWEVER,
in order for a General class license to be issued, all required
elements (1, 2, and 3) MUST be taken and passed. In other words, you
cannot skip the Technician Element 2 test just because you passed
Element 1 (5 WPM CW) and Element 3 (General).

You CAN take the Element 1 exam at any time within a year of taking
the Element 3 exam in order to qualify for the General class license.
If you pass Element 1 and Element 2 for the Technician with HF
privileges, the one year limit does not apply, as the CW endorsement
is valid for the valid period of your license.

Raymond Sirois
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

2555. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 06:19:46 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <6ehPd.91459$mt.56284@fed1read03>
Source record: 196195

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
>
> To which my response was:
> What amps would you be referring, Eric? I tend to believe there are
> small amps on the market that are very clean.
before a become blind, I used to do a fair amount of radio work (in my spare time). I have seen many HF amps based on the simple motorola test design from one of the electronic catalogs (pre 1990's) and pretty much all of them were of the "class C" variety.

now, anyone who has had even a modicum of education in electronics theory knows how "Class C" works. unless it is heavily filtered (and most of the cheap, garden variety amps in use for the 11 meter crowd are not) it will produce excessive amounts of harmonics, not to mention extreme distortion of the input signal (the reason for this is the extremely short on state in "Class C" on the transistors).

The only clean amps I have ever found were designed at the outset to be that way ("Class A" or "Class AB-1"). These types of amps are generally in the professionally made category AND they are CERTIFIED for use by the FCC. The only exception to the cert is in the Amateur radio community (and most hams tend to build with the cleanest possible designs available to minimizeadjacent frequency noise and harmonic problems.

>
>
> Furthermore, in response to the assertion you made concerning 4 watts
> being the rule, I invoked it was irrelevant to the poster's inquiry.
no, it was not. 11 meters is regulated under part 95. it states there that the max allowed power for mode AM is no more than 4 watts RMS input and no more than 12 watts PEP for single sideband. the comment itself was a remind that such a rule DOES exist and that use of any more power than that can (by implication) attract unwanted attention.

>
> I then made to you the radio-friendly suggestion:
> Hey Eric, fire up your ears and give a listen.
> Air-a-zone-a is loud in my receive for the past
> couple of days. Some of those guys get real
> edgy when the sandpile stations key it up. Tell B-O-B I said hello.
> Bayou Charlie is walking all all over him these days.
really? your client isn't properly configured for quoting then. the way you worded it implied I stated something I did not.

>
>
> To which you replied:
>
>>quoted someone else and tried to make it look
>
>>like me? try again.
>
>
> Loosen up, Eric.
>
pardon my being slightly anal retentive. I just don't like having words
attributed to me that I did not state.

meanwhile... back to the radio.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2556. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 07:27:02 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108193222.736261.73870@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196196

Steveo wrote:
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > On 11 Feb 2005 22:06:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >> >
> > >> >http://www.hamvention.org/
> > >>
> > >> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you
find any
> > >> goodies.
> > >>
> > >> Vinnie S.
> > >>
> > >OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll
call
> > >you from there with the details, if I find it.
> >
> > Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio.
But May
> > is a long way.
> >
> > Vinnie S.
> >
> I'm looking for a AR3500 and a coward. lol
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

for the AR 3500, try ebay...for the coward, look in your mirror.

2557. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 12:49:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050212074906.738$KX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196197

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> > > On 11 Feb 2005 22:06:16 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >>
> > > >> >http://www.hamvention.org/
> > > >>
> > > >> I'll email you my cell phone when you go. Let me know if you
> find any
> > > >> goodies.
> > > >>
> > > >> Vinnie S.
> > > >>
> > > >>OK, let me know if there's something you're looking for, and I'll
> call
> > > >you from there with the details, if I find it.
> > > >
> > > > Will do. I will probably get my ticket way before I get my radio.
> But May
> > > > is a long way.
> > > >
> > > > Vinnie S.
> > > >
> > > I'm looking for a AR3500 and a coward. lol
> > >
> > > --
> > > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> >
> > for the AR 3500, try ebay...
> >
> No hurry, I'll wait for Dayton.
>
> for the coward, look in your mirror.
>
LOL, I've been to the edge, and there I stood and looked down. ($-Van
Halen)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2558. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 13:02:47 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ecvr01tibsvr1vucmn7f3ba8d0t85ihcli@4ax.com>
Source record: 196198

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 20:37:48 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> So like I said, It's mostly at the descretion of the cop. And FYI, you can
>> be going 56 in a 55, and if he wants to stop you and say you were doing 75
>> in a 55, even though you did 56, there isn't a damn thing you can do about
>> it.
>
>Sure there is. Challenge him in court using his radar gun as evidence
>against him. If it recorded 56 and he's claiming 75, any of the following
>could happen in Oregon:

As far as I know, there is no printed history of a radar reading. Granted 99.9%
of the time, they will write yoy up for what you sped at. But I don't think
there is a shred of evidence you can use. Not even eyewitnesses.

>Charges dismissed on the 10% rule, charges
>dismissed because the speed was reasonable for the conditions and the speed
>was simply a posted advised speed and not a limit (universal speed rule),
>or charges dismissed on the grounds of false testimony on the part of the
>police officer (pretty much gauranteed to end his law enforcement career,
>he'd have a *damn* hard time getting a job even as a rent-a-cop with that
>on his record).
>
>Your best defense is to learn the local law and obey it. Oregon tends to be
>pretty lax because 1) you can go hundreds of miles without encountering
>anything to hit, and 2) almost all traffic tickets handed out are to
>Californians, who don't have to pass a test to get a license[1].

Again, here in NJ, it is a revenue generating system. Like I said, they just
want their fined, and not put you in your insurance poor house. The officers
have told me to challenge, because they reduce it to no points.

>
>[1] If you don't know the answer on the test, you can ask the clerk and get
>as many right answers as you need just for the asking, and I can't recall
>ever seeing anybody taking a driving test at the CA DMVs. I never bothered
>driving in California when I got stuck moving down there for a few years,
>it's not hard to get a ride down there if you can get the nerve up with
>riding with an essentially unlicensed driver.

I can tell you this. Aside from full serve gas, NJ and Oregon are worlds apart.

Vinnie S.

2559. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 13:07:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <irvr01dou0cnf4josshlf0r2ear30hrnfm@4ax.com>
Source record: 196199

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 21:31:59 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca> wrote:

>Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
>> If you want more on this debate than your stomach can handle
>> just mention this topic on "rec.radio.amateur.policy" and see what
>> happens!
>
>Or if you don't want to instantly label yourself net.moron, just read the
>archives from previous times that flamewar has come up over on the Google.

It's easier to take the test.

Vinnie S.

2560. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 13:41:34 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <0k1s01l9d9c9cajtcj77a2u17u2sn66f5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 196200

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 23:51:10 -0500, "Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net>
wrote:

>> >> The only thing is that by the time I can save up enough for a $1,500 HF
>> >> all band tranceiver, code will be gone anyway.
>> >
>> >People have been saying that longer than I've been alive. That and the
>US
>> >finally joining the rest of the world in the third millennium by adopting
>> >the metric system. Will either ever happen? Probably not while I'm
>alive.
>>
>>
>> That is why I am not going to wait. My ham friends told me likely 2006. I
>> personally have no idea. But apparently most other countries are dropping
>it,
>> and the IARU (I think), has requested it.
>
>It has already been dropped by the ITU at the last WARC. The ITU left it up
>to each individual country whether to keep the requirement or not.
>
>> But since the FCC is slow as shit in moving with these ideas,
>
>Too bad they didn't with the BPL crap.

This might make you happy:

http://msnbc.msn.com/id/6645391/

Assuming the court sees this as a major monoploy, and orders competition, BPL
will be dead. I only see BPL moving forward because of the cable monopoly.

Powell will side with the cbale companies. If you do a search on this subject,
you can't help to think that he is in their pockets. There is no other excuse
for him to side with them. They control Washington, and most state politics. How
else can you explain me having a $100 cable bill with just HBO? Besides, I can't
get DSL, it is not available. So it's cable or dialup.

>> I will just go ahead and get it. I will not use ith
>> though.
>
>Freedom of choice. That was one of the arguments being used get rid of the
>Morse Code test requirement with the FCC; why test for something you're
>never going to use. Of course the pro code people have points on their side
>too. If you want more on this debate than your stomach can handle just
>mention this topic on "rec.radio.amateur.policy" and see what happens!

I just got the ARRL tech Q and A. One step at a time.

Vinnie S.

2561. Re: Viking antennas by Childs Electronics ? Comparison ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 13:42:55 UTC
From: Iowa883 <dswebber@netins.net>
Message-ID: <cul149$7ak$1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 196201

Bill,
Why did you have to quit using the K-40 ?
Thanks,
Iowa883

"Bill Lowry" <popcorn8@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:qSfPd.21096$wc.12962@trnddc07...
> I have used the Wilson 1000 on my truck for years with great results. I
had
> to stop using the K40. I use the LIL WIL on my ATV.
>
> Good Luck
>
>
>
> "Iowa883" <dswebber@netins.net> wrote in message
> news:cuj0dg$6kt$1@news.netins.net...
> > Hey Guys,
> > I have had excellent results with the Viking antenna made by Childs. Not
> > many people have heard of it. Anyhow , the shop that I used to get them
> from
> > closed up as the ole' boy retired.
> > Is there any good trunk mount antennas that perform really well ? Or
> > possibly a magnetic mount that performs well and will stay on the car at
> > freeway speeds ?
> > I run a Ranger 2950 (the old good ones with the amber backlit) , I need
> > something that will make this unit perform its best . Might even turn
on
> > the footwarmer every once in awhile. :-)
> >
> > My closest truck stop deals in Wilson and Barjunk I mean Barjain.
> > How about a LIL Wil , are they good or junk ?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Iowa883
> >
> >
>
>

2562. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 13:59:54 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <uZnPd.30676$xt.29200@fed1read07>
Source record: 196202

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:t9tq01hmgqrok1rhjaelrooat3bj1d5csk@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 18:57:35 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
> wrote:
>
>>For the straight word on ham licensing and requirements -- see URL;
>>http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/classes.html
>>General and Extra currently require a 5 wpm code test.
>>
>>Once licensed to General or Extra, a used $350 HF transceiver will get you
>>on the air (100+ Watts) and if you make your own antenna -- add $3 or $4.
>
> Any specific model?

Take a look at these -- Kenwood TS-520, Yaseu FT-101 series, Heathkit
HW-101, Swan 350
Lots more but these are very popular.
These are all Ham Bands only and have some vacuum tubes
A winner for a few dollars more is the Kenwood TS-830S.
>
>>If and when the code requirement is deleted, the use of Morse code will
>>continue - as it is just another mode of operation, many will continue to
>>use it and newbies will find the advantages of it, so MORSE WILL live on.
>
> I am not saying they should get rid of it. I am just saying I won't use
> it.
> Sounds like they will get rid of the test in 2006 or so. I don't want to
> wait.
> So I will study.

The average person needs about 30 hours of study and practice on the code to
hit 5 wpm.
Morse code practice at URL:
http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/morse.html
>
>>The lower bands -- 160M, 80M, and 40M are not dependent on the "skip
>>cycle".
>>30m will do well also in the off years but it is a CW and data mode only.
>>
>>Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) - A Ham
>>Help The New Hams
>>Someone Helped You
>>Or did You Forget That ?
>
>

> Thanks !!!!
>
> Vinnie S.

2563. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 14:05:16 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <w2oPd.30677$xt.13305@fed1read07>
Source record: 196203

"Leland C. Scott" <k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:cNKdnclV0qY-FZDfRVn-3A@comcast.com...
>
> "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
> news:zgePd.30562$xt.15155@fed1read07...
>> 30m will do well also in the off years but it is a CW and data mode only.
>
> You're also limited to 200 watts max on that band too, regardless of
> license
> class or mode.
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
That is true although you can work all over the world on 30M with 5 watts of
power on that band. Have done it and have DXCC-QRP -- 5Watts

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

2564. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 14:24:45 UTC
From: K7MEM <k7mem@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <cul3jf$qrf3@cui1.lmms.lmco.com>
Source record: 196204

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 19:06:35 -0700, K7MEM <k7mem@myrealbox.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>>1. Is it a requirement to have passed the tech test, before taking the general?
>>>Or can you just take the general?
>>>
>>>2. Can you take the morse after the written (weeks)?
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>Each license class is considered a different level and it is required
>>that you pass the previous level before you can use the privileges
>>of the next.
>
>
> Excellent. At least I got that straight.

I should have added, as someone else did, there is a one year limit
on your tests. For each test you take you will be given a certificate
of completion. When you get all your testing done, and apply, all the
dates must be within a year, or you will need to retest.

>>But, as you asked, you can take any of the tests separately,
>>on different days or weeks apart. However, if you take and pass the
>>general test, and can not provide proof that you took the tech test
>>and the code test, you will not be granted the privileges of that level.
>
>>When you go to the testing station, you can take as many test as you
>>want for a single fee. For me it was $6.45. If you split it up, you
>>will be paying that same fee for each test. None of the tests are that
>>big of a deal. A month or two of studying the Qs and As and you should be
>>able to pass them all in one sitting.
>
> Are the questions from the ARRL pool the only questions I will ever see?

Yes. These are all the questions that can possible appear. Each test is
divided up into sections. A section may have 20 possible questions but
only 5 of them may be asked. Now that's just an example. Some sections
will have more some may have less. I think in the listing, the sections
are identified and the number of actual questions asked are listed.

>>Go for the gold and go for the
>>extra test too. The big stumbling block was the 13 and 20 WPM
>>code tests, but they are all gone now.
>
> I just want to get to the general level for now. I want to learn the code, is it
> 5 wpm only know? I thought you needed 13 wpm for general? I have no desire to
> use code, but will do so for the test.

No, the 13 wpm is gone, and soon the 5 wpm. Even if you have no desire to use CW, the code test is very easy. The morse test consists of a sample QSO. 5 minutes of 5 WPM code. At the end you can go for a solid copy pass, or take a 10 question test about the sample QSO. I think all you need is 7 out of 10 to pass. Download a copy of Morse Academy from "http://www.speroni.com/AH0A.html". This is free and generates morse tests in the exact format that you will hear on the real test. Studying for only 15-20 minutes a day is all that is needed to be ready in a week or two. If you get your speed to 7 or 8 WPM, you will have a little buffer and the stress of the test will be minimal.

> The only thing is that by the time I can
> save up enough for a $1,500 HF all band tranceiver, code will be gone anyway. I
> hope to have one by the next skip cycle in a few years.

I wish I could afford something like that, but it's not necessary. Browse through rec.radio.swap or rec.radio.amateur.equipment for some good deals on things. There is also ebay, but I have no experience with it. Personally, I like boatanchors. I don't think I have much of anything that's newer than 20 years old. I bought a fully functional SB-101, with power supply and speaker, for $100. It has a few scratches and requires a little maintenance, but it works great.

If you get on the ham bands you don't have to worry about the skip cycle so much. If one band isn't propagating very well, switch to another. Each band has it's own capabilities.

Good Luck
--
Martin E. Meserve - K7MEM
http://www.k7mem.150m.com

2565. Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 15:18:49 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 196205

Hello All:

Looking for a good sized power transformer.

Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts out at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that will keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand on the plates.

For a pair of 3CX3000A7 tubes.

Jay in the Mojave

2566. Re: Exports R Us ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 15:36:05 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1108222565.069760.134410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196206

hehe.... Not hardly. :)

2567. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 15:37:34 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1108222654.812735.81160@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196207

shut yer hole, idiot.

2568. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 15:38:20 UTC
From: Me <k8cpachuck@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1108222700.218339.96450@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196208

sure did... shame to see them go. :(

2569. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 15:45:22 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <619s011r6fg02c62cce00m601umib76jlh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196209

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:24:45 -0700, K7MEM <k7mem@myrealbox.com> wrote:

>> Excellent. At least I got that straight.
>
>I should have added, as someone else did, there is a one year limit
>on your tests. For each test you take you will be given a certificate
>of completion. When you get all your testing done, and apply, all the
>dates must be within a year, or you will need to retest.

Now I am confused. If I take the tech, I can only upgrade to General is I do it
within 1 year? Otherwise, I have to retake the tech?

>>>But, as you asked, you can take any of the tests separately,
>>>on different days or weeks apart. However, if you take and pass the
>>>general test, and can not provide proof that you took the tech test
>>>and the code test, you will not be granted the privileges of that level.
>>
>>>When you go to the testing station, you can take as many test as you
>>>want for a single fee. For me it was $6.45. If you split it up, you
>>>will be paying that same fee for each test. None of the tests are that
>>>big of a deal. A month or two of studying the Qs and As and you should be
>>>able to pass them all in one sitting.
>>
>> Are the questions from the ARRL pool the only questions I will ever see?
>
>Yes. These are all the questions that can possible appear. Each test is
>divided up into sections. A section may have 20 possible questions but
>only 5 of them may be asked. Now that's just an example. Some sections
>will have more some may have less. I think in the listing, the sections
>are identified and the number of actual questions asked are listed.
>
>>>Go for the gold and go for the
>>>extra test too. The big stumbling block was the 13 and 20 WPM
>>>code tests, but they are all gone now.
>>
>> I just want to get to the general level for now. I want to learn the code, is it
>> 5 wpm only know? I thought you needed 13 wpm for general? I have no desire to
>> use code, but will do so for the test.
>
>No, the 13 wpm is gone, and soon the 5 wpm. Even if you have no desire to use
>CW, the code test is very easy. The morse test consists of a sample QSO. 5 minutes
>of 5 WPM code. At the end you can go for a solid copy pass, or take a 10
>question test about the sample QSO. I think all you need is 7 out of 10 to
>pass. Download a copy of Morse Academy from "http://www.speroni.com/AH0A.html".
>This is free and generates morse tests in the exact format that you will hear

>on the real test. Studying for only 15-20 minutes a day is all that is needed
>to be ready in a week or two. If you get your speed to 7 or 8 WPM, you will
>have a little buffer and the stress of the test will be minimal.
>
>> The only thing is that by the time I can
>> save up enough for a $1,500 HF all band tranceiver, code will be gone anyway. I
>> hope to have one by the next skip cycle in a few years.
>
>I wish I could afford something like that, but it's not necessary. Browse through
>rec.radio.swap or rec.radio.amateur.equipment for some good deals on things.
>There is also ebay, but I have no experience with it. Personally, I like
>boatanchors. I don't think I have much of anything that's newer than 20 years old.
>I bought a fully functional SB-101, with power supply and speaker, for $100.
>It has a few scratches and requires a little maintenance, but it works great.
>
>If you get on the ham bands you don't have to worry about the skip cycle
>so much. If one band isn't propagating very well, switch to another. Each
>band has it's own capabilities.
>
>Good Luck

Thanks. Ordered the tech book last night !

Vinnie S.

2570. FA: BIG $10>ANTENNA SPECIALIST MS264 CB/AM-FM DISGIUSE ANTENNA NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 15:50:17 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <LIpPd.8718$aX5.4017@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196210

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2571. FA: REGENCY DYNAMIC CB HAND MICROPHON-NICE>1 Day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 15:51:17 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <HJpPd.8719$B46.5367@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196211

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2572. FA: Tube Tester, 6146B's, Mikes, Lots-o-stuff:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 15:52:10 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <wKpPd.8722$%16.7480@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196212

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2573. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 16:33:45 UTC
From: Pat Stevens <patstevenson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <JdqPd.422$2_4.177@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 196213
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050211064956.915$L5@newsreader.com...
>
> He's even ashamed of his call, so he posts with my name.
>
> Read more about him here if you care.
>
> http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=n8wwm%20felon
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

You're a freak

2574. MRF455 Transistors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 16:42:10 UTC
From: Iowa883 <dswebber@netins.net>
Message-ID: <culbkcchc1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 196214

I need a couple of these, does anyone know a parts house online and approx.
price ?
Thanks,
Iowa883

2575. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 16:47:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050212114735.205$rW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196215
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Pat Stevens" <patstevenson@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050211064956.915$L5@newsreader.com...
> >
> > He's even ashamed of his call, so he posts with my name.
> >
> > Read more about him here if you care.
> >
> > http://groups-beta.google.com/groups?q=n8wwm%20felon
> >
>
> You're a freak
>
Thanks, Patty the dogie slurper.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2576. Want to talk Radio Mods etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 17:14:59 UTC
From: Iowa883 <dswebber@netins.net>
Message-ID: <culdhtevi1@news.netins.net>
Source record: 196216

Anyone know of a good website to talk radios,antennas,foot warmers etc. ?
Let me know,
Thanks,
Iowa883

2577. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 17:40:24 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <ccrPd.30690$xt.23124@fed1read07>
Source record: 196217

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:619s011r6fg02c62cce00m601umib76jlh@4ax.com...
>
> Now I am confused. If I take the tech, I can only upgrade to General is I
> do it
> within 1 year? Otherwise, I have to retake the tech?

You can take the tech test and the general test and the extra test
together -- all in one test session if you want.

If you get a Tech license first (code or no code) -- you can take the
general test and extra any time - no time limit.

However if you obtained a no-code Tech license -- you have to pass the code
test when going for general or extra

If you pass the code test but fail the written test -- you have code credit
for a year. I think this is right, if not will get back to you here.

Let us know your city and state -- we will try to put you in touch with an
examiner with a telephone number -- you can clear this all up with a single
phone call.

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

2578. JESUS CHRIST WENT TO THE CROSS SO YOU CAN GO TO HEAVEN - The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 18:16:28 UTC
From: Evangelist_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108232188.144733.178940@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196218

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get
another chance to be saved because none of us knows
exactly when we will die.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

2579. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 20:59:01 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <p6uPd.30709$xt.6618@fed1read07>
Source record: 196219

Additional info -- the FCC is not keeping track of code and no code Techs

If you take the tech exam with code be sure to get a certificate of
successful completion CSCE for the code test
So when you go to take the general or extra test you will have proof of
passing the code.

Ask your VE how long the cerificate is good for (may be one year)

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote in message
news:ccrPd.30690$xt.23124@fed1read07...
>
> "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> news:619s011r6fg02c62cce00m601umib76jlh@4ax.com...
>>
>> Now I am confused. If I take the tech, I can only upgrade to General is I
>> do it
>> within 1 year? Otherwise, I have to retake the tech?
>
> You can take the tech test and the general test and the extra test
> together -- all in one test session if you want.
>
> If you get a Tech license first (code or no code) -- you can take the
> general test and extra any time - no time limit.
>
> However if you obtained a no-code Tech license -- you have to pass the
> code test when going for general or extra
>
> If you pass the code test but fail the written test -- you have code
> credit for a year. I think this is right, if not will get back to you
> here.
>
> Let us know your city and state -- we will try to put you in touch with an
> examiner with a telephone number -- you can clear this all up with a
> single phone call.
>
>
> --
> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)

> Help The New Hams
> Someone Helped You
> Or did You Forget That ?
>

2580. Re: Ping Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 21:15:30 UTC
From: KC8LDO <KC8LDO@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <420e71f2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 196220

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108149351.764866.26670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Steveo wrote:
>> Hey dogie, will you be selling your wire at Dayton?
>>
>> --
>> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>
> Unlicensed freebanders are not allowed at Dayton Hamvention, limpwrist.
>
They let me run a glory hole in the outhouse last year.

2581. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 21:39:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <74ts01lkropbvpqfjvch5s16gnrrksbho9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196221

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:18:49 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
<jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>:

>Hello All:
>
>Looking for a good sized power transformer.
>
>Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts out
>at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that will
>keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand on
>the plates.

You might have better luck running single-phase, then all you would
need is a small pole-pig that you can get for a couple bills in just
about any well-stocked junkyard. Otherwise you will probably have to
roll your own, which can get quite expensive (iron, copper, oil, can,
vacuum pump, etc). So how much are you planning to spend on this
transformer?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2582. FS: HR2510 & Pro350XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 21:41:25 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9KuPd.32038$Th1.13815@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 196222

Uniden President HR2510 10/11 meter all mode mobile radio. Complete with box, power cable, mic and mounting bracket. $165.00 plus shipping.

Uniden Pro350XL 40 channel CB with scan, channel 9/19 selector,1-4 watt selectable, and weather service receive,(WX). Comes with manual. $35.00 plus shipping

pottsladd13@yahoo.com

2583. 149 MHz Free-Net (europe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 21:42:01 UTC
From: sipa <sipa@hrtv.net>
Message-ID: <cultal$f2v$1@ls219.htnet.hr>
Source record: 196223

greetings all!

anyone know anything about 3-channel free-net radio used in europe?

it is obout 149.025 - 149.050 MHz with 0.5 W erp max...

where it can be used? entire europe? eu? germany only?

thanx!

73 de 328-su-035 (cb petko)

2584. FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-12 22:52:04 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1PvPd.10586$hi7.10028@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196224

The big race is coming up. Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon as Jr's new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...

2585. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 00:21:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050212192114.160$no@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196225

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> The big race is coming up.
>
That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
>
> Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
>
Bandwagon jumper.
>
>as Jr's
> new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
> the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
>
I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.

Did you watch any of that arca race? What a smash fest.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2586. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 01:22:52 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <MZxPd.473$SO.249@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

>
> There is no "freeband".
>
It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you.
Thus it's not free, so there's no freeband.... :-)

Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that
you, if you have a General or Extra class ham license,
can do legally in the 10 meter ham band?

2587. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 02:15:40 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <VNyPd.10907$I33.8944@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196227

Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>The big race is coming up.
>>
>
> That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
>
>>Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
>>
>
> Bandwagon jumper.
>
>>as Jr's
>>new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
>>the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
>>
>
> I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
> car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
>
> Did you watch any of that arca race? What a smash fest.
>
Hell man, just put in an 11 hour day working on the spread so its a no
watching the tube. Just sat down to watch jeff foxworthy on comedy central.

2588. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 03:47:46 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <C5APd.54058$uA.4347@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196228

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:74ts01lkropbvpqfjvch5s16gnrrksbho9@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:18:49 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>:
>
>>Hello All:
>>
>>Looking for a good sized power transformer.
>>
>>Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts out
>>at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that will
>>keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand on
>>the plates.
>
>
> You might have better luck running single-phase, then all you would
> need is a small pole-pig that you can get for a couple bills in just
> about any well-stocked junkyard. Otherwise you will probably have to
> roll your own, which can get quite expensive (iron, copper, oil, can,
> vacuum pump, etc). So how much are you planning to spend on this
> transformer?
>

Good call, Frank. A single phase, 15-25KVA pole pig, standard residential
has 240 volt input, and should work nicely. Incidentally, all three phase is
240, 277, or 480. There is no more 220 or 440, unless you change the taps or
rewind one. Much like the mis-stated 110, which is actually 120.

2589. 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 05:35:41 UTC
From: starlord <starlord@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <MeydnWyBr74nepPfRVn-qg@inreach.com>
Source record: 196229

Will, I've gotten one tiny step towards getting back on the air. Got a big steel whip to put on the old car once I get a mount and cable to go with it.

Got an old SEARS CB, am only, just couldn't snag a sidebander at all.

--

SIAR
www.starlords.org
Telescope Buyers FAQ
http://home.inreach.com/starlord

2590. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 06:13:19 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <cumr8j01v4c@news3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 196230

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:35:41 -0800 starlord wrote:

> Will, I've gotten one tiny step towards getting back on the air. Got a
> big
> steel whip to put on the old car once I get a mount and cable to go
> with it.

> Got an old SEARS CB, am only, just couldn't snag a sidebander at all.

Get yourself a body mount for it.
Or make up a 90 degree bracket that has one of those pl259 connector mounts
on it and mount it to the bumper.
Going with a standard bumper chain mount thing has always been in a PITA.

2591. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 07:31:06 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <_mDPd.11466$oO.4099@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196231

"Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote in message
news:cumr8j01v4c@news3.newsguy.com...
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 21:35:41 -0800 starlord wrote:
>
>> Will, I've gotten one tiny step towards getting back on the air. Got a
>> big
>> steel whip to put on the old car once I get a mount and cable to go
>> with it.
>
>> Got an old SEARS CB, am only, just couldn't snag a sidebander at all.
>
> Get yourself a body mount for it.
> Or make up a 90 degree bracket that has one of those pl259 connector
> mounts
> on it and mount it to the bumper.
> Going with a standard bumper chain mount thing has always been in a PITA.
>
>
Find a bolt or plastic push in fastener under the bumper and put a flat
mount on it. Run 2 or 3 braids to nearby bolts for better SWR.

Chris

2592. Re: Want to talk Radio Mods etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 07:33:03 UTC
From: Chris <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PoDPd.11467$oO.6695@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196232

"Iowa883" <dswebber@netins.net> wrote in message
news:culdhtevi1@news.netins.net...
> Anyone know of a good website to talk radios,antennas,foot warmers etc. ?
> Let me know,
> Thanks,
> Iowa883
>
>
http://www.cbradioforum.com/

2593. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 08:55:28 UTC
From: Len <nospam@noemail>
Message-ID: <cun4hquvr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 196233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"robert casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:MZxPd.473$SO.249@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...

>
> There is no "freeband".
>
It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you.
Thus it's not free, so there's no freeband.... :-)

Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that
you, if you have a General or Extra class ham license,
can do legally in the 10 meter ham band?

Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.

Open em' up and have at it.....

2594. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 11:34:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050213063427.683$4w@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196235

"starlord" <starlord@despammed.com> wrote:
> Will, I've gotten one tiny step towards getting back on the air. Got a
> big steel whip to put on the old car once I get a mount and cable to go
> with it.
>
Gonna prune some trees? :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2595. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 11:36:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050213063621.655$Qr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196234

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>The big race is coming up.
> >>
> >
> > That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
> >
> >>Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
> >>
> >
> > Bandwagon jumper.
> >
> >>as Jr's
> >>new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
> >>the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
> >>
> >
> > I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
> > car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
> >
> > Did you watch any of that arca race? What a smash fest.
> >
> Hell man, just put in an 11 hour day working on the spread so its a no
> watching the tube. Just sat down to watch jeff foxworthy on comedy
> central.
>
Dood, you should be just about ready for better homes and gardens
to stop by and take pics.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2596. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 13:47:19 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <cglu01hq0gcr9ml9higf3oorb4tbh3bdac@4ax.com>
Source record: 196236

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:47:46 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:74ts01lkropbvpqfjvch5s16gnrrksbho9@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:18:49 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
>> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>:
>>
>>>Hello All:
>>>
>>>Looking for a good sized power transformer.
>>>
>>>Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts out
>>>at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that will
>>>keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand on
>>>the plates.
>>
>>
>> You might have better luck running single-phase, then all you would
>> need is a small pole-pig that you can get for a couple bills in just
>> about any well-stocked junkyard. Otherwise you will probably have to
>> roll your own, which can get quite expensive (iron, copper, oil, can,
>> vacuum pump, etc). So how much are you planning to spend on this
>> transformer?
>>
>
>Good call, Frank. A single phase, 15-25KVA pole pig, standard residential
>has 240 volt input, and should work nicely. Incidentally, all three phase is
>240, 277, or 480. There is no more 220 or 440, unless you change the taps or
>rewind one. Much like the mis-stated 110, which is actually 120.
>

I don't think thats totally true Randy. I installed a BGA solder
station that required 240. The only circuit I had open was a 3 phase
circuit. Only 208 volts betwwen legs, I had to put a buck/boost
transformer on it to get the voltage up to 240 to get it to run
correctly.

Most local transmission voltages are 44 kV, 27.6 kV, 13.8 kV and 6.9
kV. A little higher than what Jay was looking for.

2597. Re: 149 MHz Free-Net (europe)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 14:24:47 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <vtJPd.11064$ZN1.76@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196237

sipa wrote:
> greetings all!
>
> anyone know anything about 3-channel free-net radio used in europe?
>
> it is obout 149.025 - 149.050 MHz with 0.5 W erp max...
>
> where it can be used? entire europe? eu? germany only?
>
> thanx!
>
> 73 de 328-su-035 (cb petko)
>
>
Try the uk.rec.radio.cb ng

2598. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 14:52:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <scqu011g5ol3jm9r6ocr3kpke45v4agkgn@4ax.com>
Source record: 196238

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 13:47:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
<cglu01hq0gcr9ml9higf3oorb4tbh3bdac@4ax.com>:

>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:47:46 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:74ts01lkropbvpqfjvch5s16gnrrksbho9@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:18:49 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
>>> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>:
>>>
>>>>Hello All:
>>>>
>>>>Looking for a good sized power transformer.
>>>>
>>>>Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts out
>>>>at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that will
>>>>keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand on
>>>>the plates.
>>>
>>>
>>> You might have better luck running single-phase, then all you would
>>> need is a small pole-pig that you can get for a couple bills in just
>>> about any well-stocked junkyard. Otherwise you will probably have to
>>> roll your own, which can get quite expensive (iron, copper, oil, can,
>>> vacuum pump, etc). So how much are you planning to spend on this
>>> transformer?
>>>
>>
>>Good call, Frank. A single phase, 15-25KVA pole pig, standard residential
>>has 240 volt input, and should work nicely. Incidentally, all three phase is
>>240, 277, or 480. There is no more 220 or 440, unless you change the taps or
>>rewind one. Much like the mis-stated 110, which is actually 120.
>>
>
>I don't think thats totally true Randy. I installed a BGA solder
>station that required 240. The only circuit I had open was a 3 phase
>circuit. Only 208 volts betwwen legs, I had to put a buck/boost
>transformer on it to get the voltage up to 240 to get it to run
>correctly.
>
>Most local transmission voltages are 44 kV, 27.6 kV, 13.8 kV and 6.9
>kV. A little higher than what Jay was looking for.

The voltage isn't as important as the ratio; i.e, you can run a 480
volt transformer at 240 to get a lower output. And since older pigs
came in all kinds of sizes and ratios, you never know what's available
until you look.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2599. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 14:58:44 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <110uqp7mrkn2573@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 196239

Hello Starloard:

Yeah good going the 102 inch steel whip will work really great on top of the center of the vehicle.

I mounted a 96 inch Francis Amazer Fiberglass whip antenna on top of my Red Neck Ford F250 4x4 Truck. This thing really works great. Yeah you will trim a few low lying tree's and such, buts whats more important radio perfromance or a few tree hits.

I got a roll of RG8X coax that don't have a lot of use for, maybe you need some coax and connectors?

Jay in the Mojave

starlord wrote:

> Will, I've gotten one tiny step towards getting back on the air. Got a big
> steel whip to put on the old car once I get a mount and cable to go with it.
>
> Got an old SEARS CB, am only, just couldn't snag a sidebander at all.

2600. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 15:09:44 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <110urdqtkmh2k9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 196240

Hello Frank:

Yes we did have better luck goin the single phase route. But do have single and three phase avialable.

After making a new type of quad/yagi type of beam for 2 meters and listening in on the ZOO channel 147.435 MHz and a few other Los Angles 2 meter repeaters I heard a conversation talking about a 10KW broadcast transmitter that had some sort of shipping and or fire damage, but the power supply was in good shape, down in San Pedro California. I called the guy and will have the place and insurance point of contact Monaday. But it does use 220 or 240 single phase, we will see.

If this was really for a 10 KW Transmitter tha used a pair of 3CX3000 or a single 3CX6000 then this may be the ticket.

Jay in the Mojave

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:18:49 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>:
>
>
>>Hello All:
>>
>>Looking for a good sized power transformer.
>>
>>Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts out
>>at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that will
>>keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand on
>>the plates.
>
>
>
> You might have better luck running single-phase, then all you would
> need is a small pole-pig that you can get for a couple bills in just
> about any well-stocked junkyard. Otherwise you will probably have to
> roll your own, which can get quite expensive (iron, copper, oil, can,
> vacuum pump, etc). So how much are you planning to spend on this
> transformer?

2601. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 15:29:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ehsu01d00kiak8tgemkb334qfeoth2a28j@4ax.com>
Source record: 196241

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 12:59:01 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote:

>Additional info -- the FCC is not keeping track of code and no code Techs
>
>If you take the tech exam with code be sure to get a certificate of
>successful completion CSCE for the code test
>So when you go to take the general or extra test you will have proof of
>passing the code.
>
>Ask your VE how long the cerificate is good for (may be one year)

March 12th test date.

Vinnie S.

2602. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 15:46:00 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108309560.042821.311540@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196242

Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> After making a new type of quad/yagi type of beam for 2 meters and
> listening in on the ZOO channel 147.435 MHz and a few other Los
Angles 2
> meter repeaters I heard a conversation talking about a 10KW broadcast

> transmitter that had some sort of shipping and or fire damage, but
the
> power supply was in good shape, down in San Pedro California. I
called
> the guy and will have the place and insurance point of contact
Monaday.
> But it does use 220 or 240 single phase, we will see.
>
> If this was really for a 10 KW Transmitter tha used a pair of 3CX3000
or
> a single 3CX6000 then this may be the ticket.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

A pair of 3CX3000A7 has no legitimate use on ham or CB. Jay, you are like the guy who walks into the break room at work and says "Hi, does anyone know where I can get a detonator for some C4?". IOW, he is saying it only to impress his buddies.

2603. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 15:48:24 UTC
From: starlord <starlord@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <IMKdnTmIvPQC55LfRVn-qg@inreach.com>
Source record: 196243

The bummper on this old 1974 Statllite is mostly for show, there's no real place to put anything. I'll most likly do as I did with my old caddy in the far past, get a angle and drill holes for mounting it on one side of the rear of the body on one side. This keeps the whip out of the way for when I load my telescope into the trunk and when not in use, I can have the whip looped over to the front door area. I'll not be able to talk much near the trailer park, everyone is on brodcast t.v. ant's as there's no cable reaching down to here. Sometime in the next 2 to 3 months I'll be able to key up once more. Got a brake on the price of the whip, it was suppost to be $21.00 but because the rat shack down here had it so long, it's code showed it as only $16.00 so I grabed it.

KAPA 4318

--

SIAR
www.starlords.org
Telescope Buyers FAQ
http://home.inreach.com/starlord

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:110uqp7mrkn2573@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello Starloard:
>
> Yeah good going the 102 inch steel whip will work really great on top of
> the center of the vehicle.
>
> I mounted a 96 inch Francis Amazer Fiberglass whip antenna on top of my
> Red Neck Ford F250 4x4 Truck. This thing really works great. Yeah you
> will trim a few low lying tree's and such, buts whats more important
> radio perfromance or a few tree hits.
>
> I got a roll of RG8X coax that don't have a lot of use for, maybe you
> need some coax and connectors?
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> starlord wrote:
>
> > Will, I've gotten one tiny step towards getting back on the air. Got a
big
> > steel whip to put on the old car once I get a mount and cable to go with
it.
> >

> > Got an old SEARS CB, am only, just couldn't snag a sidebander at all.

2604. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 16:20:26 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <AJWdnawqJsna45LfRVn-jA@giganews.com>
Source record: 196294

starlord wrote:
> The bummper on this old 1974 Statllite is mostly for show, there's no
> real place to put anything. I'll most likly do as I did with my old
> caddy in the far past, get a angle and drill holes for mounting it on
> one side of the rear of the body on one side. This keeps the whip out
> of the way for when I load my telescope into the trunk and when not
> in use, I can have the whip looped over to the front door area. I'll
> not be able to talk much near the trailer park, everyone is on
> brodcast t.v. ant's as there's no cable reaching down to here.
> Sometime in the next 2 to 3 months I'll be able to key up once more.
> Got a brake on the price of the whip, it was suppost to be $21.00 but
> because the rat shack down here had it so long, it's code showed it
> as only $16.00 so I grabed it.

Great price but if you don't use the center of the roof you will be highly directional. Why not put the hole in a less obtrusive place like the top rather than the highly visable side of the vehicle? Everything will be easier if you use the roof mount. Tuning, etc.

Just my two cents. ;-)

Jeff

>
> KAPA 4318
>
>
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:110uqp7mrkn2573@corp.supernews.com...
>> Hello Starloard:
>>
>> Yeah good going the 102 inch steel whip will work really great on
>> top of the center of the vehicle.
>>
>> I mounted a 96 inch Francis Amazer Fiberglass whip antenna on top of
>> my Red Neck Ford F250 4x4 Truck. This thing really works great. Yeah
>> you will trim a few low lying tree's and such, buts whats more
>> important radio perfromance or a few tree hits.
>>
>> I got a roll of RG8X coax that don't have a lot of use for, maybe you
>> need some coax and connectors?
>>
>> Jay in the Mojave
>>

>> starlord wrote:
>>
>>> Will, I've gotten one tiny step towards getting back on the air.
>>> Got a big steel whip to put on the old car once I get a mount and
>>> cable to go with it.
>>>
>>> Got an old SEARS CB, am only, just couldn't snag a sidebander at
>>> all.

2605. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 18:42:10 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <6cNPd.4619$ZZ.3997@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 196296

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050212192114.160$no@newsreader.com...
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> The big race is coming up.
>>
> That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
>>
>> Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
>>
> Bandwagon jumper.
>>

Not me, never really liked the Novato native. He hasn't
shown his stuff since the "team" started to break apart,
just shows you how good that "Team" was.

>>as Jr's
>> new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
>> the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
>>
> I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
> car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
>

I hope he does good. Meet Bill at Sears Point Raceway, we were
there for the Wednesday Night drags, They had the track closed
for Nascar Practice. Anyway, he was done practicing and needed help pushing
the car onto the trailer, asked us to help,
no problem. Sat there and BS'd with him for a half hour then
he had to go, just a down to earth guy back then, sure he still is.

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

2606. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 18:42:38 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <ycNPd.50336$uL5.29138@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196295

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:cglu01hq0gcr9ml9higf3oorb4tbh3bdac@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:47:46 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>news:74ts01lkropbvpqfjvch5s16gnrrksbho9@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:18:49 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
>>> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>:
>>>
>>>>Hello All:
>>>>
>>>>Looking for a good sized power transformer.
>>>>
>>>>Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts out
>>>>at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that will
>>>>keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand on
>>>>the plates.
>>>
>>>
>>> You might have better luck running single-phase, then all you would
>>> need is a small pole-pig that you can get for a couple bills in just
>>> about any well-stocked junkyard. Otherwise you will probably have to
>>> roll your own, which can get quite expensive (iron, copper, oil, can,
>>> vacuum pump, etc). So how much are you planning to spend on this
>>> transformer?
>>>
>>
>>Good call, Frank. A single phase, 15-25KVA pole pig, standard residential
>>has 240 volt input, and should work nicely. Incidentally, all three phase
>>is
>>240, 277, or 480. There is no more 220 or 440, unless you change the taps
>>or
>>rewind one. Much like the mis-stated 110, which is actually 120.
>>
>
> I don't think thats totally true Randy. I installed a BGA solder
> station that required 240. The only circuit I had open was a 3 phase
> circuit. Only 208 volts betwwen legs, I had to put a buck/boost
> transformer on it to get the voltage up to 240 to get it to run
> correctly.

You're right! I forgot about 208!

2607. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 18:43:55 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <LdNPd.50341$uL5.17137@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196297

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:8rft019cshf7bef2um0gjvafpvu0qku5qd@news.easynews.com...
> bbOn Sun, 13 Feb 2005 06:52:16 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 13:47:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>><cglu01hq0gcr9ml9higf3oorb4tbh3bdac@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:47:46 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:74ts01lkropbvpqfjvch5s16gnrrksbho9@4ax.com...
>>>>> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:18:49 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
>>>>> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Hello All:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Looking for a good sized power transformer.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts out
>>>>>>at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that will
>>>>>>keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand on
>>>>>>the plates.
>>>>>
>>>>> You might have better luck running single-phase, then all you would
>>>>> need is a small pole-pig that you can get for a couple bills in just
>>>>> about any well-stocked junkyard. Otherwise you will probably have to
>>>>> roll your own, which can get quite expensive (iron, copper, oil, can,
>>>>> vacuum pump, etc). So how much are you planning to spend on this
>>>>> transformer?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Good call, Frank. A single phase, 15-25KVA pole pig, standard
>>>>residential
>>>>has 240 volt input, and should work nicely. Incidentally, all three
>>>>phase is
>>>>240, 277, or 480. There is no more 220 or 440, unless you change the
>>>>taps or
>>>>rewind one. Much like the mis-stated 110, which is actually 120.
>>>>

>>>
>>>I don't think thats totally true Randy. I installed a BGA solder
>>>station that required 240. The only circuit I had open was a 3 phase
>>>circuit. Only 208 volts betwwen legs, I had to put a buck/boost
>>>transformer on it to get the voltage up to 240 to get it to run
>>>correctly.
>>>
>>>Most local transmission voltages are 44 kV, 27.6 kV, 13.8 kV and 6.9
>>>kV. A little higher than what Jay was looking for.
>>
>>
>>The voltage isn't as important as the ratio; i.e, you can run a 480
>>volt transformer at 240 to get a lower output. And since older pigs
>>came in all kinds of sizes and ratios, you never know what's available
>>until you look.
>>
>>
>>
>
> I just don't remember any transmission voltages being 5K or a mutiple
> of it. The PCB oil in them might be fun to play with...

That wouldn't be transmission voltage, it would be distribution.

2608. Re: Want to talk Radio Mods etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 21:14:32 UTC
From: SecOps <ngsecurity@global.org>
Message-ID: <420fc032$1_1@newspeer2.tds.net>
Source record: 196298

How long does it take them to let you know that you are approved?

It showed that I was in "join" mode.

I was not able to post new topic or replies.

I didn't get an email with login password.
"Chris" <cjohnson1379nospam@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:PoDPd.11467$oO.6695@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Iowa883" <dswebber@netins.net> wrote in message
> news:culdhtevi1@news.netins.net...
> > Anyone know of a good website to talk radios,antennas,foot warmers etc.
?
> > Let me know,
> > Thanks,
> > Iowa883
> >
> >
> http://www.cbradioforum.com/
>
>

2609. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 21:14:42 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <apgv0115tl7c6hrgicn28sdufrhg34j7r6@4ax.com>
Source record: 196299

On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 09:40:24 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote:

>
>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:619s011r6fg02c62cce00m601umib76jlh@4ax.com...
>>
>> Now I am confused. If I take the tech, I can only upgrade to General is I
>> do it
>> within 1 year? Otherwise, I have to retake the tech?
>
>You can take the tech test and the general test and the extra test
>together -- all in one test session if you want.
>
>If you get a Tech license first (code or no code) -- you can take the
>general test and extra any time - no time limit.
>
>However if you obtained a no-code Tech license -- you have to pass the code
>test when going for general or extra
>
>If you pass the code test but fail the written test -- you have code credit
>for a year. I think this is right, if not will get back to you here.
>
>Let us know your city and state -- we will try to put you in touch with an
>examiner with a telephone number -- you can clear this all up with a single
>phone call.

Do you know what speed the morse test is given at?

Vinnie S.

2610. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 21:32:05 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <pHPPd.50875$uL5.24357@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196300

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:3gdv0193f9cafaph3ph9s3l0bgbedm24n0@2355323778...
> On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 18:43:55 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
>>news:8rft019cshf7bef2um0gjvafpvu0qku5qd@news.easynews.com...
>>> bbOn Sun, 13 Feb 2005 06:52:16 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>> <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 13:47:19 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote in
>>>><cglu01hq0gcr9ml9higf3oorb4tbh3bdac@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:47:46 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
>>>>>wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:74ts01lkropbvpqfjvch5s16gnrrksbho9@4ax.com...
>>>>>>> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 07:18:49 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
>>>>>>> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110s7irhvp6b950@corp.supernews.com>:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Hello All:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Looking for a good sized power transformer.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Needs a 220 or 440 3phase input, any where from 5200 to 5500 Volts
>>>>>>>>out
>>>>>>>>at 4 to 5 amps. Will be using a input choke power supply so that
>>>>>>>>will
>>>>>>>>keep the Plate Voltage at the manufacture spec's of around 5 grand
>>>>>>>>on
>>>>>>>>the plates.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> You might have better luck running single-phase, then all you would
>>>>>>> need is a small pole-pig that you can get for a couple bills in just
>>>>>>> about any well-stocked junkyard. Otherwise you will probably have to
>>>>>>> roll your own, which can get quite expensive (iron, copper, oil,
>>>>>>> can,
>>>>>>> vacuum pump, etc). So how much are you planning to spend on this
>>>>>>> transformer?

>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Good call, Frank. A single phase, 15-25KVA pole pig, standard
>>>>>>residential
>>>>>>has 240 volt input, and should work nicely. Incidentally, all three
>>>>>>phase is
>>>>>>240, 277, or 480. There is no more 220 or 440, unless you change the
>>>>>>taps or
>>>>>>rewind one. Much like the mis-stated 110, which is actually 120.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I don't think thats totally true Randy. I installed a BGA solder
>>>>>station that required 240. The only circuit I had open was a 3 phase
>>>>>circuit. Only 208 volts betwwen legs, I had to put a buck/boost
>>>>>transformer on it to get the voltage up to 240 to get it to run
>>>>>correctly.
>>>>>
>>>>>Most local transmission voltages are 44 kV, 27.6 kV, 13.8 kV and 6.9
>>>>>kV. A little higher than what Jay was looking for.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The voltage isn't as important as the ratio; i.e, you can run a 480
>>>>volt transformer at 240 to get a lower output. And since older pigs
>>>>came in all kinds of sizes and ratios, you never know what's available
>>>>until you look.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> I just don't remember any transmission voltages being 5K or a mutiple
>>> of it. The PCB oil in them might be fun to play with...
>>
>>That wouldn't be transmission voltage, it would be distribution.
>>
>
> True..
>
> speaking of transformers check out this link..
>
> http://www.compfused.com/directlink/403/

Ouch.......there goes a couple million bucks!

2611. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 21:58:35 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <37a0vvF594n2jU1@individual.net>
Source record: 196301

```
"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:apgv0115tl7c6hrgicn28sdufrhg34j7r6@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 09:40:24 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
wrote:
>
> >
> >"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:619s011r6fg02c62cce00m601umib76jlh@4ax.com...
> >>
> >> Now I am confused. If I take the tech, I can only upgrade to General is
I
> >> do it
> >> within 1 year? Otherwise, I have to retake the tech?
> >
> >You can take the tech test and the general test and the extra test
> >together -- all in one test session if you want.
> >
> >If you get a Tech license first (code or no code) -- you can take the
> >general test and extra any time - no time limit.
> >
> >However if you obtained a no-code Tech license -- you have to pass the
code
> >test when going for general or extra
> >
> >If you pass the code test but fail the written test -- you have code
credit
> >for a year. I think this is right, if not will get back to you here.
> >
> >Let us know your city and state -- we will try to put you in touch with
an
> >examiner with a telephone number -- you can clear this all up with a
single
> >phone call.
>
>
> Do you know what speed the morse test is given at?
>
> Vinnie S.

The test is 5 words per minute. I believe they send individual characters
at around 15 and pad the space between letters and words to bring the
average down to 5 words per minute. A no-brainer.

Check this out:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HamRadioHelpGroup
```

A great group which helps folks get their first ticket and/or upgrade their current ticket. Open to all (including cb, scanner enthusiasts, frs, murs, hams, and whatever. No flames.).

Best regards from Rochester, NY
Jim

2612. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 22:07:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050213170730.959$MK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196302

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050212192114.160$no@newsreader.com...
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> The big race is coming up.
> >>
> > That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
> >>
> >> Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
> >>
> > Bandwagon jumper.
> >>
>
> Not me, never really liked the Novato native. He hasn't
> shown his stuff since the "team" started to break apart,
> just shows you how good that "Team" was.
>
I dunno man, seems you can never count that guy out. He may have
won it all again last year if nascar hadn't changed the rules.
.
> >>as Jr's
> >> new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
> >> the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
> >>
> > I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
> > car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
> >
>
> I hope he does good. Meet Bill at Sears Point Raceway, we were
> there for the Wednesday Night drags, They had the track closed
> for Nascar Practice. Anyway, he was done practicing and needed help
> pushing the car onto the trailer, asked us to help,
> no problem. Sat there and BS'd with him for a half hour then
> he had to go, just a down to earth guy back then, sure he still is.
>
> Landshark
>
Cool. he's a class act. I think he's only going to run a dozen or
so races this year..

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2613. Radio-Shack Sucks.com ---> HAS RETURNED !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-13 22:40:12 UTC
From: Caedinal Craaescentwrench <threse4yan@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <613e5$420fd77b$d1cc416f$26099@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 196303
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.radioshacksucks.com/ <--- HERE!

The new....
The expanded...
The better than ever....

IS BACK!

Radio Shack SUCKS dot Com HAS RETURNED
with a vengence. spread da woid! :)

2614. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 00:08:31 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1108339711.809992.295460@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196304

One word of advice... don't buy the Radio Shack ball mount for that whip. It has no serrated teeth (like the Antenna Specialists) that hold the ball from rotating under the weight of the antenna if mounted on the vehicle side.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

2615. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 01:21:18 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <%4TPd.19092$Si1.19026@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196305

Steveo wrote:

> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:20050212192114.160$no@newsreader.com...
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>The big race is coming up.
>>>>
>>>
>>>That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
>>>
>>>>Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
>>>>
>>>
>>>Bandwagon jumper.
>>>
>>Not me, never really liked the Novato native. He hasn't
>>shown his stuff since the "team" started to break apart,
>>just shows you how good that "Team" was.
>>
>
> I dunno man, seems you can never count that guy out. He may have
> won it all again last year if nascar hadn't changed the rules.
> .
>
>>>>as Jr's
>>>>new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
>>>>the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
>>>>
>>>
>>>I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
>>>car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
>>>
>>
>>I hope he does good. Meet Bill at Sears Point Raceway, we were
>>there for the Wednesday Night drags, They had the track closed
>>for Nascar Practice. Anyway, he was done practicing and needed help
>>pushing the car onto the trailer, asked us to help,
>>no problem. Sat there and BS'd with him for a half hour then
>>he had to go, just a down to earth guy back then, sure he still is.
>>

>> Landshark
>>
>
> Cool. he's a class act. I think he's only going to run a dozen or
> so races this year..
>
JG is unliked by a lot of nascar fans but i follow him as he brings a
persona to the sport which brings in more attention to it. besides that
he is a good driver. love or hate him he has helped popularize the sport

2616. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 01:31:50 UTC
From: starlord <starlord@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <ZZidnb3VHrmAn43fRVn-1A@inreach.com>
Source record: 196306

Right now I'm not 100% sure of how long I'll have this car, if I can find someone that would trade something like a 70 to 74 caddy or a station wagon for this thing, I don't want to be pluging a hole in the middle of the roof. Plus if I do keep it, then someday I would want to do what I had on my caddys back in the 70's, a pair of steel whips on the rear. Plus being I've only got an old AM CB, I'll only be talking in the local area or even just listening to the chatter. Plus I do things on the CHEAP, as I live on a fixed income too. And sitting out away from the trailer park, summer time will be when I'd be on the air mostly.

I'm no stranger to CB'ing, got my numbers in Hawaii back in 71.

KAPA 4318

Used to park in the beach parks with the car facing east and shoot skip with a stock 23 channal Sears CB.

--

SIAR
www.starlords.org
Telescope Buyers FAQ
http://home.inreach.com/starlord

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:AJWdnawqJsna45LfRVn-jA@giganews.com...
>> Great price but if you don't use the center of the roof you will be highly
> directional. Why not put the hole in a less obtrusive place like the top
> rather than the highly visable side of the vehicle? Everything will be
> easier if you use the roof mount. Tuning, etc.
>
> Just my two cents. ;-)
>
> Jeff
>

2617. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 02:08:22 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <qKTPd.31008$xt.1668@fed1read07>
Source record: 196307

Most VE's send with the farnsworth method -- character speed is 12 to 15 WPM
with spacing to make the overall 5 wpm

See URL:
http://www.qsl.net/w9lj/ve_test_info.htm

Practice with Farnsworth on line
http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/morse.html

Again give us your city and state and we will get you in touch with your
local examiner who can answer all you questions with a phone call (local)
--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:apgv0115tl7c6hrgicn28sdufrhg34j7r6@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Feb 2005 09:40:24 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:619s011r6fg02c62cce00m601umib76jlh@4ax.com...
>>>
>>> Now I am confused. If I take the tech, I can only upgrade to General is
>>> I
>>> do it
>>> within 1 year? Otherwise, I have to retake the tech?
>>
>>You can take the tech test and the general test and the extra test
>>together -- all in one test session if you want.
>>
>>If you get a Tech license first (code or no code) -- you can take the
>>general test and extra any time - no time limit.
>>
>>However if you obtained a no-code Tech license -- you have to pass the
>>code
>>test when going for general or extra
>>
>>If you pass the code test but fail the written test -- you have code
>>credit
>>for a year. I think this is right, if not will get back to you here.
>>

>>Let us know your city and state -- we will try to put you in touch with an
>>examiner with a telephone number -- you can clear this all up with a
>>single
>>phone call.
>
>
> Do you know what speed the morse test is given at?
>
> Vinnie S.

2618. Re: Radio-Shack Sucks.com ---> HAS RETURNED !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 02:11:26 UTC
From: "Darkwing (Double Secret Disinformation Agent)" <theducksmailATyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <111027ph1lkklab@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 196308
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Caedinal Craaescentwrench" <threse4yan@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:613e5$420fd77b$d1cc416f$26099@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> http://www.radioshacksucks.com/ <--- HERE!
>
> The new....
> The expanded...
> The better than ever....
>
> IS BACK!
>
> Radio Shack SUCKS dot Com HAS RETURNED
> with a vengence. spread da woid! :)

More like "Your Website Sucks", just because you have Frontpage doesn't mean
you should make a webpage.

DW

2619. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 02:25:09 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <5u20111kak5di8i91o7bhs53fpt478eb91@4ax.com>
Source record: 196309

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 18:08:22 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com> wrote:

>Most VE's send with the farnsworth method -- character speed is 12 to 15 WPM
>with spacing to make the overall 5 wpm
>
>See URL:
>http://www.qsl.net/w9lj/ve_test_info.htm
>
>Practice with Farnsworth on line
>http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/morse.html
>
>Again give us your city and state and we will get you in touch with your
>local examiner who can answer all you questions with a phone call (local)

I am in Swedesboro, NJ. I am taking the March 12th test in Woodstown, and some church. I have to sign up. I am at least taking the tech, maybe the morse.

Vinnie S.

2620. Re: Radio-Shack Sucks.com ---> HAS RETURNED !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 02:34:23 UTC
From: Brian Sturges <bsturges75@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <P6UPd.29747$wi2.15669@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196310
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Caedinal Craaescentwrench" <threse4yan@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:613e5$420fd77b$d1cc416f$26099@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> http://www.radioshacksucks.com/ <--- HERE!
>
> The new....
> The expanded...
> The better than ever....
>
> IS BACK!
>
> Radio Shack SUCKS dot Com HAS RETURNED
> with a vengence. spread da woid! :)
>
I don't like it. I found the "dumb customers" forum offensive. After reading
through a few stories, I now realize that I've done every thing with those
credit card readers except try to use the stylus as an ink pen.

2621. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 02:36:12 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <w8UPd.31012$xt.25275@fed1read07>
Source record: 196311

Here is your VE Info:
12-Mar-2005
Sponsor: 96 OVER THE HILL GANG
Time: 10:00AM (Walk-ins allowed)
Contact: MARIE-KATHLEEN STAFFORD
(856)881-6777
Email: COOKYKAY@AOL.COM
VEC: ARRL/VEC
Location: SAINT LUKE'S CHURCH
37 E GRANT ST
TALK-IN 147.315 PL OF 156.7
WOODSTOWN, NJ 08098

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:5u20111kak5di8i91o7bhs53fpt478eb91@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 18:08:22 -0800, "Caveat Lector" <CL@barahmas.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Most VE's send with the farnsworth method -- character speed is 12 to 15
>>WPM
>>with spacing to make the overall 5 wpm
>>
>>See URL:
>>http://www.qsl.net/w9lj/ve_test_info.htm
>>
>>Practice with Farnsworth on line
>>http://www.aa9pw.com/radio/morse.html
>>
>>Again give us your city and state and we will get you in touch with your
>>local examiner who can answer all you questions with a phone call (local)
>
>
> I am in Swedesboro, NJ. I am taking the March 12th test in Woodstown, and
> some
> church. I have to sign up. I am at least taking the tech, maybe the morse.
>
> Vinnie S.

2622. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 02:52:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <em2011dmikd064e3btuhupoottb49teh6s@4ax.com>
Source record: 196312

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 07:09:44 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
<jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110urdqtkmh2k9b@corp.supernews.com>:

>Hello Frank:
>
>Yes we did have better luck goin the single phase route. But do have
>single and three phase avialable.
>
>After making a new type of quad/yagi type of beam for 2 meters and
>listening in on the ZOO channel 147.435 MHz and a few other Los Angles 2
>meter repeaters I heard a conversation talking about a 10KW broadcast
>transmitter that had some sort of shipping and or fire damage, but the
>power supply was in good shape, down in San Pedro California. I called
>the guy and will have the place and insurance point of contact Monaday.
>But it does use 220 or 240 single phase, we will see.
>
>If this was really for a 10 KW Transmitter tha used a pair of 3CX3000 or
>a single 3CX6000 then this may be the ticket.

The problem is that you are getting into a power range that usually
requires custom-built components. It would be much easier to build up
a transmitter around a 10kW transformer, instead of building it up
around the tubes which are pretty much standardized components.

But why are you even messing with triodes? Unless you like to
constantly readjust the neutralizing cap, or you run them grounded
grid and pump in a kW or so, you might be much better off with a
couple large tetrodes. Broadcast pulls are cheap, in regular supply,
are usually 80%+, and will last a long time running them AB1 which
requires almost no drive power at all. Get even bigger tubes and you
can reduce the heater voltage which will make the tubes last even
longer. Plus, if you use resistance coupling then you can open up the
input bandwidth -and- disregard the need for neutralization, with much
better efficiency and longer tube life than grounded-grid triodes.

Just something to think about.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2623. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 02:52:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ji4011hhrt7k22jl5tl9etqishn2blndsn@4ax.com>
Source record: 196313

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 16:58:35 -0500, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<james.hampton6@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>The test is 5 words per minute. I believe they send individual characters
>at around 15 and pad the space between letters and words to bring the
>average down to 5 words per minute. A no-brainer.
>
>Check this out:
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HamRadioHelpGroup
>
>A great group which helps folks get their first ticket and/or upgrade their
>current ticket. Open to all (including cb, scanner enthusiasts, frs, murs,
>hams, and whatever. No flames.).

Thanks. That 18 wpm seemed rather quick on the first lesson. It wasn't so much
the speed of the letter, but the lack of gap between words.

Vinnie S.

2624. Re: Radio-Shack Sucks.com ---> HAS RETURNED !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 03:43:07 UTC
From: patgkz <patgkz@new.rr.com>
Message-ID: <f7VPd.17566$w75.3355@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 196314
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

You are an idiot.

Get a life.....

"Caedinal Craaescentwrench" <threse4yan@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:613e5$420fd77b$d1cc416f$26099@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> http://www.radioshacksucks.com/ <--- HERE!
>
> The new....
> The expanded...
> The better than ever....
>
> IS BACK!
>
> Radio Shack SUCKS dot Com HAS RETURNED
> with a vengence. spread da woid! :)
>
>

2625. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 06:49:34 UTC
From: fatbloke_london <Gerard40@your-pants.blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2SXPd.102614$K7.39674@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 196315
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1108002401.147225.192040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> dug88 wrote:
>> thanx guys
>> actually embarasses me
>
> DUG88, DIDN'T I TELL YOU TO STAY THE FUCK OUT OF
>
> REC.RADIO.CB
>
>
> I'LL SHOVE MY COCK SO FAR UP YOUR ASS THEY WON'T BE ABLE TO SEE MY
> BALLS!

I don't suppose you would like to do that to me sometime? :�O

2626. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 07:25:23 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <DKCdnZ_hsIr9z43fRVn-ug@giganews.com>
Source record: 196316

Professor wrote:
> Jeff is right... while the whip is a great antenna... if not mounted
> on the center of the vehicle... it will be very directional towards
> the front of the vehicle if mounted in the rear. Frankly, the whip is
> a bit impractical from several standpoints. When balancing
> practicality and performance... I always opted for a somewhat shorter
> antenna (Wilson 1000) magneted to the center of the roof. This
> provides an excellent radiation pattern and doesn't hit every tree,
> bridge, and lighting fixure... LOL
>
> Professor
> www.telstar-electronics.com

But the whip makes such a nice "BONGGGG" when you hit the right object. ;-)

That being said, I think the Wilson is the better choice. If he's driving a Plymouth he'll probably have the same problem I had with my '68 Dodge Coronet. Thin top "skin". I'd worry about that whip ripping right out.

Jeff

>
>
>> Great price but if you don't use the center of the roof you will be
>> highly directional. Why not put the hole in a less obtrusive place
>> like the top rather than the highly visable side of the vehicle?
>> Everything will be easier if you use the roof mount. Tuning, etc.

2627. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 09:29:46 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <bl76e2-u5k.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196317

http://ursine.ca/Top_Posting Top posting is considered harmful

Professor wrote:

> This provides an excellent radiation pattern and doesn't hit every tree,
> bridge, and lighting fixure... LOL

No kidding. In some parts of the country (like where I'm at), we also have to contend with things like 12' clearance under 110,000 volt, uninsulated power lines for interurban trains. Hitting one of those with an antenna would give a whole meaning to putting some fire in the wire. I'd probably get a taller antenna if it weren't for that and parking garages (and as it is, I'm steering around lighting fixtures in parking garages).

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2628. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 11:52:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050214065228.102$0I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196329

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Professor wrote:
> > Jeff is right... while the whip is a great antenna... if not mounted
> > on the center of the vehicle... it will be very directional towards
> > the front of the vehicle if mounted in the rear. Frankly, the whip is
> > a bit impractical from several standpoints. When balancing
> > practicality and performance... I always opted for a somewhat shorter
> > antenna (Wilson 1000) magneted to the center of the roof. This
> > provides an excellent radiation pattern and doesn't hit every tree,
> > bridge, and lighting fixure... LOL
> >
> > Professor
> > www.telstar-electronics.com
>
> But the whip makes such a nice "BONGGGG" when you hit the right object.
> ;-)
>
It turns into a water pipe?? <g>
>
> That being said, I think the Wilson is the better choice. If he's driving
> a Plymouth he'll probably have the same problem I had with my '68 Dodge
> Coronet. Thin top "skin".
>
Too much acid dip, Richard Petty. :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2629. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 12:08:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0s41115p30ptk5tkpev1bvglhs0sc16nv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 196318

On 11 Feb 2005 13:13:56 -0800, "Elmer" <twistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
>> >
>> >
>
>Popular psychology fostered the idea of self-love. Then along came the
>assertiveness movement. Originally aimed at instructing people to
>assert themselves in the workplace in order to get their proper due, it
>leaped into all aspects of life. Swaggering, evidenced by the stroking
>of one's ego, reinvented itself as strategy and therapy. It turned out
>the world was full of people who, by their own admission, had
>movie-star looks, sensitive souls, healthy incomes, fun-loving natures
>and no prospects.

>>I've also learned that the more someone becomes frustrated when they
>>are unable to defend themselves effectively on the issues, they often
>>turn to vitriolic personal attacks, and making reckless and
>>unsubstantiated claims about certain aspects of the other person's
>>life.
>
>You might not see it but you do your share.
>

Hmm, now who are you supposed to be? You have no posting history
before now, so it's highly likely that you're someone's sock puppet.

But to your last statement, I agree, I do sometimes "do my share", but
it's usually reflexive and in response to an uncalled for personal
attack aimed in my direction.

If one want's to stay focused on the topics, I am more than happy to
remain equally focused. But when the scope of the topic changes, I
will respond in kind.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2630. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 12:14:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <eb51111ut7gkaiv4vgij7vvm365dtqlcms@4ax.com>
Source record: 196320

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 10:57:21 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>>I'll await your response (as if you had the self
>>control to resist),
>
>
>
>(sigh) Usenet behavior has really turned you out. As always, you fail to
>understand or comprehend the most simple comunication skill. uUsenet is
>a mediumdesigned to elicit response.

Heh heh, not just one response, but two! You really are unglued.

Excellent......

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2631. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 12:27:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ao51119qe2eprih57vfng2mvmng3dvjcae@4ax.com>
Source record: 196331

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 14:45:50 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
wrote:

>Dave Hall wrote:
>
>> Most car speedometers are not so accurate that a 1 MPH difference is
>> all that hard to believe. Just putting one size larger tires on your
>> car can make that difference.
>
>Well, you're supposed to get your instruments recalibrated after changing
>tire size.

Yes, you probably should. But periodic speedometer calibration is not
on the list of "maintenance" items in the owner's manuals, nor is it
required as a part of (At least in my state) annual inspection. I'm
not even sure if anyone (other than the dealer) can even perform such
a service. It's clearly not something they advertise.

> But differences as much as 5 MPH can happen depending on tire
>pressure and road conditions...I've yet to see a speedometer read the right
>speed driving on Oregon's notoriously rutted freeways in the rain (probably
>because you're forced to hydroplane along the lane, which is why the locals
>*will* get aggressive towards tailgaters, though the Californians usually
>don't get it until they slam into a wall or the car ahead of them
>approaching slower traffic hiding in the road spray of the vehicle ahead
>because they're following too close).
>
>> People have fought a speeding ticket on this basis and have won.
>> That's part of the reason why Pa. gives some leeway. Most cops don't
>> want to have to appear in traffic court when the chances are good the
>> case could get thrown out. However, it's a lot harder to make a case
>> for speedometer inaccuracies when you're 10 MPH or more over.
>
>I used to have to deal with police a lot when I was a security officer, and
>at one site, the worksite's driveway was a common spot for police to set up
>speed traps. The cops don't even bother radar cars until they see someone
>moving considerably faster than surrounding traffic, radar the overspeed
>vehicle for evidence, and just use the posted speed as something to
>estimate a fine from.

That's pretty much what they do here as well. In the state of Pa.,
RADAR is only allowed to be used by the state police (You actually
have to be "certified" to use it). The locals use VASCAR, which is why
there are so many white painted lines across the road (Which also
gives alert drivers a heads-up as to where the speed traps will

usually be).

>They seem to radar everyone in areas where there are actual speed limits
>when I see a speed trap in a limit zone. Though I never see anybody pulled
>over, everybody just slows down for the speed limit and then drops the
>hammer down when it goes back to a posted speed a few miles down the road.

That's the whole basis of the "Smokey Reports" that run across the CB.
At first the cops were pissed at this method of circumventing the law
(As they are with flashing headlights). Now the cops use it to their
advantage. Sometimes they park an unmanned car near a busy highway and
let the CB'ers pass the word, and everyone slows down, which is all
they wanted anyway.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2632. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 12:39:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7g6111tnmjpjqglv9qnjd7bc0n0mncnkv8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196334

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 16:39:56 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 07:56:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 21:25:37 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>>
>>>> So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done that.
>>>> Hypocracy at it's finest.
>>>
>>>Depends on the state. Most states give you 10% leeway for differences in
>>>speedometer calibration. Oregon doesn't have speed limits in most places,
>>>opting for a less strictly enforced posted speed (difference is the posted
>>>speed signs say SPEED, whereas hard limits say SPEED LIMIT). In either
>>>case, if conditions are bad, you can get a speeding ticket for going slower
>>>than the posted speed (ie, doing 50 in a 60 zone on ice).
>>
>>In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of "Speedometer"
>>and speed measuring inaccuracies. In addition, just to avoid court
>>challenges to the precise accuracy of the speed measuring equipment
>>(Usually VASCAR on non-state patrolled roads, and RADAR on state
>>police patrolled roads), most of the cops I know tell me that they
>>unofficially give people 9 MPH over the posted limit before they start
>>pulling people over. Of course there might be a new hard-assed rookie
>>who might not be so "kind".......
>
>
>My brother in law is a cop. It's at the descretion of the cop. Since most often
>this is a revenue generating system. The judge and cop are on the same municipal
>team. If they want to beat you at 1 mph, they will. The reason they give 10mph
>extra, is because everyone goes over the speed limit. They would be up to their
>ears in court. So they take the abusers. But I highly doubt it's the
>inaccuracies of the equipment or speedometers, because like you said, some
>states have zero tolerance. So don't think you can claim the equipment is
>inaccurate in one state, and perfect in another. I really think it's just the
>descretion of the cop.

Well, in all honesty, it mostly is at the cop's discretion, and they
know that if they want to really bust someone's stones for 1 or 2 MPH
over, they stand a good chance of losing in court. RADAR has been
taken to court before (I remember a somewhat famous one involved
pointing a RADAR gun at a tree and recording over 100 MPH). Traffic

volume, calibration certification, humidity, weather, terrain and a host of other conditions can affect the accuracy. If you are someone who does his homework, and presents this in court, most judges will throw it out for such a small number.

VASCAR since it is a manual timing device, can be even more prone to inaccuracies.

It's more of an unwritten rule in Pa., that they "give" you 5 MPH, but all of the cops I know pretty much told me the same thing, and that is that they don't start pulling people over until they hit 10 MPH over.

I'm sure it's different in other states.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2633. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 12:41:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <v171111hdo4li2i3riupd4tgt4tcu13ujt@4ax.com>
Source record: 196335

On 11 Feb 2005 10:19:21 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Lancer wrote:
>> On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 21:49:12 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 01:46:42 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>>>>> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>>Dave I am hearing CBers on AM in the 10 meter cw band right now.
>> >
>> >>>>>Thanks for helping another unlicensed outlaw get his peaked up
>tweaked up
>> >>>>>illegal rig on the air to join them. Putz.
>> >>>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>You're welcome.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Dave,
>> >>>
>> >>>Tell the moron I am not on 10 meters. I don't stoop to his level.
>> >>>
>> >>>Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >>And by that you mean you are just an illegal outlaw on the 11 meter
>> >>band? Illegal is illegal isn't it?
>> >
>> >
>> >So is driving 56 mph in a 55. But I am sure you have never done
>that. Hypocracy
>> >at it's finest.
>> >
>> >Vinnie S.
>>
>> Oh, because someone else breaks a "law" you are entiltled to break
>any
>> "Law" you see fit. Grow up Vinnie, quit trying to rationalize your
>> behavior.
>
>He's being supported in his rationalization by Dave "the outlaw elmer"
>Hall.

No, the person who usually equates speeding with freebanding would be Twistedhed.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2634. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 12:48:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <4e711112avvcvtkqo69gkhj9av57kr5g9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 196333

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 23:51:10 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<k.c.8.l.d.o.@arrl.net> wrote:

>Freedom of choice. That was one of the arguments being used get rid of the
>Morse Code test requirement with the FCC; why test for something you're
>never going to use. Of course the pro code people have points on their side
>too. If you want more on this debate than your stomach can handle just
>mention this topic on "rec.radio.amateur.policy" and see what happens!

Welcome there my friend to the debate that never ends.......

30 years from now, when ham radio is gone, there will still be people
arguing that CW should have been retained as a requirement....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2635. FA: MARINE CB-PHONE MOBILE RARE 23CH HANDSET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 14:49:04 UTC
From: frigidcold <no@wery.com>
Message-ID: <IT2Qd.1810$dZ.121885@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 196332

http://cgi.ebay.ca/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=1501&item=5751217758&rd=1&ssPageName=WDVW

2636. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 14:57:54 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <S%2Qd.4890$ZZ.960@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 196328

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050213170730.959$MK@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050212192114.160$no@newsreader.com...
>> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >> The big race is coming up.
>> >>
>> > That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
>> >>
>> >> Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
>> >>
>> > Bandwagon jumper.
>> >>
>>
>> Not me, never really liked the Novato native. He hasn't
>> shown his stuff since the "team" started to break apart,
>> just shows you how good that "Team" was.
>>
> I dunno man, seems you can never count that guy out. He may have
> won it all again last year if nascar hadn't changed the rules.
> .
>> >>as Jr's
>> >> new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
>> >> the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
>> >>
>> > I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
>> > car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
>> >
>>
>> I hope he does good. Meet Bill at Sears Point Raceway, we were
>> there for the Wednesday Night drags, They had the track closed
>> for Nascar Practice. Anyway, he was done practicing and needed help
>> pushing the car onto the trailer, asked us to help,
>> no problem. Sat there and BS'd with him for a half hour then
>> he had to go, just a down to earth guy back then, sure he still is.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Cool. he's a class act. I think he's only going to run a dozen or
> so races this year..

I would suspect he'll retire this year or next at the very latest.

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

2637. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 14:57:54 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <S%2Qd.4889$ZZ.1144@newssvr23.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 196336

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:%4TPd.19092$Si1.19026@fe09.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:20050212192114.160$no@newsreader.com...
>>>
>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>The big race is coming up.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
>>>>
>>>>>Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Bandwagon jumper.
>>>>
>>>Not me, never really liked the Novato native. He hasn't
>>>shown his stuff since the "team" started to break apart,
>>>just shows you how good that "Team" was.
>>>
>>
>> I dunno man, seems you can never count that guy out. He may have
>> won it all again last year if nascar hadn't changed the rules.
>> .
>>
>>>>>as Jr's
>>>>>new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
>>>>>the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
>>>>car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
>>>>
>>>
>>>I hope he does good. Meet Bill at Sears Point Raceway, we were
>>>there for the Wednesday Night drags, They had the track closed
>>>for Nascar Practice. Anyway, he was done practicing and needed help
>>>pushing the car onto the trailer, asked us to help,
>>>no problem. Sat there and BS'd with him for a half hour then

>>>he had to go, just a down to earth guy back then, sure he still is.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>
>> Cool. he's a class act. I think he's only going to run a dozen or
>> so races this year..
>>
> JG is unliked by a lot of nascar fans but i follow him as he brings a
> persona to the sport which brings in more attention to it. besides that he
> is a good driver. love or hate him he has helped popularize the sport

Oh don't get me wrong Jim, he & JR's been one of the best things to happen
to Nascar for years. It's brought a lot of young
people to help bolster the fan base and it will help carry Nascar
into the future.
He's an excellent driver, he just doesn't do anything for me.
Jr, I feel is more of character along with being a good driver too.
He has all his old man's skills, along with his image, but a style
that personify the modern era.

Landshark

--
My bad..the camera is mightier than the blowhard(s)..in most respects.

2638. dah-dit-dah-dit; dah-dah-dah-dit-dah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 15:03:30 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4210BDC2-331@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196327

Over the weekend I was made aware of a certain amateur group talking to Cuba. Isn't Cuba one of the countries from which casual radio contact is illegal? We all know Cuba is in DX land all the time on 11 meter, but did the rules change for hammies regarding who they may communicate (with)?

2639. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 15:12:10 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4210BFCA-337@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196319

David T. Hall wrote:
>In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take

>care of "Speedometer" and speed measuring

>inaccuracies

I am glad you availed yourself the facts of the matter and reclarified your bullshit above with:

>Well, in all honesty, it mostly is at the cop's

>discretion,

>It's more of an unwritten rule in Pa., that they

>"give" you 5 MPH,

That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa. police *give* you the extra speed of a few miles per hour. Nevertheless, you illustrate once again you speak of things you know nothing of (the law) and do not need Frank to make you reverse your earlier position. Since you realized your error, there is no need to provide you with the Pa. vehicle code. Flip-flop.

2640. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 15:22:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11823-4210C21C-4@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196321

David T. Hall Jr. wrote:
>Hmm, now who are you supposed to be?

HEhe,,,,here comes Davie with his broken heart in his hand. Watch out,,,,doesn't take long for n3cvj to change that focus to one of the poster, especially when one points out his own behavior, as Davie has problems with people that do such things.

>You

>have no posting history before now, so it's

>highly likely that you're someone's sock

>puppet.

>But to your last statement, I agree, I do

>sometimes "do my share", but it's usually

>reflexive and in response to an uncalled for

>personal attack aimed in my direction.

Hehhee,,yea, like your unability to manage chanting my nic in all your posts, to other people, when I'm not even mentioned. in fact,you have managed to invoke my nic several times in your postings to others in the last few days where I'm not even mentioned. You are so consumed, you are now making me your topic when you speak with others. You need to rest, Davie.

>If one want's to stay focused on the topics, I

>am more than happy to remain equally

>focused.

Illustrated by your invocation of "twistedhed" in the last dozen or so posts you made to others in this group.. LMAO.

> But when the scope of the topic changes, I

>will respond in kind.

You changed the subject to everyone you try and talk to. Hell, Dave, you mentioned "twistedhed" so often to others in the last few days when I was nobody's topic except yours, that each and every one you invoked that handle to, ignored you. Get a grip on yourself, Jr.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2641. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 15:27:49 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4210C375-339@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196322

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 10:57:21 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>I'll await your response (as if you had the self

>control to resist),

(sigh) Usenet behavior has really turned you out. As always, you fail to
understand or comprehend the most simple comunication skill. uUsenet is
a mediumdesigned to elicit response.

>Heh heh, not just one response, but two!

Actually, I think you invoked twistedhed no less than seven times to
others on this group who ignored you.,,but you may now reply. LMAO.

>You

>really are unglued.

>Excellent......

No less than seven. Count them. :)
One more time,.. directing posts at one in usenet and finding yourself
in awe when one responds, isn't all that curious anymore. Proper
communication technique has always confounded you.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2642. Re: Radio-Shack Sucks.com ---> HAS RETURNED !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 15:29:49 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4210C3ED-340@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196326

From: threse4yan@hotmail.com (Caedinal Craaescentwrench)
http://www.radioshacksucks.com/   <--- HERE!
The new....
The expanded...
The better than ever....
IS BACK!
Radio Shack SUCKS dot Com HAS RETURNED
with a vengence.   spread da woid!   :)

_
Nice page. Glad its back.

2643. N3CVJ correction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 15:35:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4210C53F-341@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196323

(N3CVJ) David T. Hall Jr. wrote:
>The feds have given states the right to enforce
>RFI cases

(snip)

Wrong. Research HR 2346.

2644. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 15:44:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11821-4210C775-114@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196325

Eric, responded with

>better just to get a radio designed for 10

>meters that can do 100 watts. those amps

>tend to be very unclean (spectrum splatter

>machines). as for 11 meters, 4 watts RMS/12

>watts PEP is the rule.

To which my response was:
What amps would you be referring, Eric? I tend to believe there are
small amps on the market that are very clean.
-
And then you (Eric) accused me of misquoting you (shrug)! It's all good,
though,,,let's have another go around at it, shall we?
Eric wrote:

>before I become blind, I used to do a fair

>amount of radio work (in my spare time). I

>have seen many HF amps based on the

>simple motorola test design from one of the

>electronic catalogs (pre 1990's) and pretty

>much all of them were of the "class C" variety.

I also have seen many amps of class C variety, but I also have seen many
that are not.

>now, anyone who has had even a modicum of

>education in electronics theory knows how

>"Class C" works.

You invoked the class. No one mentioned the class of amps, yet you
referred to "those amps" when no class or brand amp was cited. It was
then I asked you what amps you were referring, as the inquirer mentioned
nothing of the sort.

>unless it is heavily filtered (and most of the

>cheap, garden variety amps in use for the 11

>meter crowd are not)

He said HF, you said 11 meter. Is 11 meter no longer considered HF?

>it will produce excessive amounts of

>harmonics, not to mention extreme distortion

>of the input signal (the reason for this is the

>extremely short on state in "Class C" on the

>transistors).

>The only clean amps I have ever found were

>designed at the outset to be that way ("Class

>A" or "Class AB-1"). These types of amps are

>generally in the professionally made category

>AND they are CERTIFIED for use by the FCC.
There are tons and tons of homebrew amps out there that are
exceptionally clean that carry nor need no certification.

>The only exception to the cert is in the

>Amateur radio community (and most hams

>tend to build with the cleanest possible

>designs available to minimizeadjacent

>frequency noise and harmonic problems.

Well, at least we are are on the same page concerning such. In response
to the assertion you made concerning 4 watts being the rule, I invoked
it was irrelevant to the poster's inquiry.
no, it was not.

> 11 meters is regulated under

>part 95.

Indeed it is. How you draw a connection to such from the poster
inquiring of an HF amp is nothing but assumptuous, conjecture, and
cynicism on your part.

>it states there that the max allowed power for

>mode AM is no more than 4 watts RMS input

>and no more than 12 watts PEP for single

>sideband. the comment itself was a remind

>that such a rule DOES exist and that use of

>any more power than that can (by implication)

>attract unwanted attention.

By your own admission.."it was a "remind"....there you have it...not
relevant at all.

_
I then made to you the radio-friendly
suggestion: Hey Eric, fire up your ears and give a listen. Air-a-zone-a
is loud in my receive for the past couple of days. Some of those guys
get real edgy when the sandpile stations key it up. Tell B-O-B I said
hello. Bayou Charlie is walking all all over him these days.

>really? your client isn't properly configured for

>quoting then. the way you worded it implied I

>stated something I did not.

Yea, I've heard that before, and I also have viewed this group from
afar, such as google. . The manner in which I now quote is perfectly
acceptable to all those who know to configure their own browsers
properly. Check google, it appears just fine. It is operator error,
those who are unable to distinguish such things, but you are an
exception to the rule for obvious reasons. I'll try and remember to
delete other posters when responding to you in the future.
To which you replied:

>quoted someone else and tried to make it look
>like me? try again.

Loosen up, Eric.

>pardon my being slightly anal retentive. I just

>don't like having words attributed to me that I

>did not state.

>meanwhile... back to the radio.

Not a problem. Now that you know that act was never committed,,as you
say,,back to radio.
So fire up your receive,,,I have been in direct contact with the Phoenix
area on an almost daily basis on cb. Had a hoot and holler bunch of fun
over the weekend. Redfish are jumping, and weather is heaven sent.
>--

>DE N7ZZT

>Eric Oyen

>Phoenix, Arizona

>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

>the difference between intelligence and

>stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

I like that saying. It reminds me of my most-favored status ng pets who insist on testing theirs daily.

2645. President VALERY- user manual wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 15:46:04 UTC
From: USER <4news@op.pl_nospam>
Message-ID: <opsl6122t2se27fr@home-ap3rmvrfff.espol.com.pl>
Source record: 196330

Hi guys,
I got "President VALERY". Can anybody share me user manual on it?
Thank you in advance.
Peter

2646. FS: Cobra DM437 mobile power mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 16:35:41 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <ac6eb2b9.0502140835.285d8e57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 196324

I have this plus a battery for only $10.00 plus shipping from Canada.

Homer

2647. FS: External large ' S/RF ' meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 16:44:05 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <ac6eb2b9.0502140844.a4b7d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 196337

External LARGE 'S30/RF' meter for CB/Amateur radios. Measures 3" X 4". New and RARE. Perfect when using directional antennas or doing Fox hunts. Made by Jana Electronics. Pic can be emailed. Asking only $25.00 plus shipping from Canada.

homer

2648. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 16:47:19 UTC
From: starlord <starlord@despammed.com>
Message-ID: <_qadnc7JIYMBSo3fRVn-pw@inreach.com>
Source record: 196338

This car has had that "skin" stripped off ages ago, in fact the whole car is primer gray, it's a major FIX IT CAR, as I don't even have power to the power windows and that I have to fix before the hot desert summer hits.

--

SIAR
www.starlords.org
Telescope Buyers FAQ
http://home.inreach.com/starlord

"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:DKCdnZ_hsIr9z43fRVn-ug@giganews.com...
> Professor wrote:
> But the whip makes such a nice "BONGGGG" when you hit the right object.
;-)
>
> That being said, I think the Wilson is the better choice. If he's driving
a
> Plymouth he'll probably have the same problem I had with my '68 Dodge
> Coronet. Thin top "skin". I'd worry about that whip ripping right out.
>
> Jeff

2649. Re: dah-dit-dah-dit; dah-dah-dah-dit-dah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 16:52:35 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <oH4Qd.31104$xt.5354@fed1read07>
Source record: 196339

Hams have been making contacts with Cuba for many many years.

Also North Korea recently. No operations at the moment tho. Their choice - not ours.

For countries Hams can contact see the DXCC list at URL;
http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/dxcclist.txt
335 entities there -- both countries and islands, etc -- note that Islands such as Guam count as an entity.

I am not aware of any country USA Hams are forbidden to contact including Libya, No Korea, Cuba, Iran, China, Yemen, etc.

Countries may chose to prohibit Ham operations -- their choice not ours. Example Albania and China were off the air for many years -- but are now allowed. Some countries have very restricted license issues making them very rare.

During the cold war, contacts were allowed with the Soviet Union.

Consider getting a Ham license -- pretty easy these days -- there is a no-code VHF license and the HF licenses only require 5 wpm code.

--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) A Ham
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message news:11820-4210BDC2-331@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net...
> Over the weekend I was made aware of a certain amateur group talking to
> Cuba. Isn't Cuba one of the countries from which casual radio contact is
> illegal? We all know Cuba is in DX land all the time on 11 meter, but
> did the rules change for hammies regarding who they may communicate
> (with)?
>

2650. Re: Radio-Shack Sucks.com ---> HAS RETURNED !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 18:25:35 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <cuqqhg020eo@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 196341
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 17:40:12 -0500 Caedinal Craaescentwrench wrote:

> http://www.radioshacksucks.com/ <--- HERE!

> The new....
> The expanded...
> The better than ever....

> IS BACK!

Yep. And as the domain name suggests, the site sucks royally.
First, we get plastered with bullshit graphics and huge text.
After scrolling to the bottom of the page, we now get to enter the site and
are forced to read a court order no less.

IMNSHO, even though "radio shack" is a registered trademark, that does not
give exclusive rights to the words as the term "radio shack" had been around
and established well before the business came into existance.

Problem is, you apparently got nailed for copyright infringement.
Shouldn't be messin with companies who have high priced attorneys.

2651. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 18:41:26 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <h8CdnQ2kQLhKbY3fRVn-3A@giganews.com>
Source record: 196340

Steveo wrote:
> "Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Professor wrote:
>>> Jeff is right... while the whip is a great antenna... if not mounted
>>> on the center of the vehicle... it will be very directional towards
>>> the front of the vehicle if mounted in the rear. Frankly, the whip
>>> is a bit impractical from several standpoints. When balancing
>>> practicality and performance... I always opted for a somewhat
>>> shorter antenna (Wilson 1000) magneted to the center of the roof.
>>> This provides an excellent radiation pattern and doesn't hit every
>>> tree, bridge, and lighting fixure... LOL
>>>
>>> Professor
>>> www.telstar-electronics.com
>>
>> But the whip makes such a nice "BONGGGG" when you hit the right
>> object. ;-)
>>
> It turns into a water pipe?? <g>
>>
>> That being said, I think the Wilson is the better choice. If he's
>> driving a Plymouth he'll probably have the same problem I had with
>> my '68 Dodge Coronet. Thin top "skin".
>>
> Too much acid dip, Richard Petty. :)

lol...

Nah, the big green machine was stock and hadn't been "restofied". Great shape and only had about 45k on the clock when I bought it in '90. I sold it in '95 or so with around 65k on it. The guy I sold it to dropped in a 440, I don't know what he did with the 383, and turned it into a Super Bee clone. Ended up being a pretty nice car, I still love the look of that SB hood scoop, but I would have used a "440" rather than a "500". 500's were _heavy_.

Jeff

2652. Re: dah-dit-dah-dit; dah-dah-dah-dit-dah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 18:59:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6597-4210F519-79@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196342

From: CL@barahmas.com (Caveat Lector)
>Hams have been making contacts with Cuba

>for many many years.

>Also North Korea recently. No operations at

>the moment tho. Their choice - not ours.

>For countries Hams can contact see the

>DXCC list at URL;

>http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/dxcclist.txt

>335 entities there -- both countries and

>islands, etc -- note that Islands such as Guam

>count as an entity.

>I am not aware of any country USA Hams are

>forbidden to contact including Libya, No

>Korea, Cuba, Iran, China, Yemen, etc.

>Countries may chose to prohibit Ham

>operations -- their choice not ours. Example

>Albania and China were off the air for many

>years -- but are now allowed. Some countries

>have very restricted license issues making

>them very rare.

>During the cold war, contacts were allowed

>with the Soviet Union.

>Consider getting a Ham license -- pretty easy

>these days -- there is a no-code VHF license

>and the HF licenses only require 5 wpm code.

>--

>Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) A Ham

>Help The New Hams

>Someone Helped You

>Or did You Forget That ?

Is my code that rusty? <g>
Thank you for your assistance and providing the link that answered my question. It's been a long time since I visited the rules and regs concerning this subject as pertaining to ham radio.

2653. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 19:38:22 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <eab7e2-e98.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196343

Dave Hall wrote:

> Yes, you probably should. But periodic speedometer calibration is not
> on the list of "maintenance" items in the owner's manuals, nor is it
> required as a part of (At least in my state) annual inspection. I'm
> not even sure if anyone (other than the dealer) can even perform such
> a service. It's clearly not something they advertise.

Any custom shop or instrument shop can recalibrate your speedometer for hardly more than pocket change. There's really no excuse for not getting it done.

> That's pretty much what they do here as well. In the state of Pa.,
> RADAR is only allowed to be used by the state police (You actually
> have to be "certified" to use it). The locals use VASCAR, which is why
> there are so many white painted lines across the road (Which also
> gives alert drivers a heads-up as to where the speed traps will
> usually be).

Lucky you. All departments use laser here. Your detector won't go off until the cop is actually checking your speed (in which it's too late). My theory is, if you're driving too fast to see the speed trap, you're driving too fast to spot a real hazard at that speed. Nothing like having rush-hour traffic shut down because some jackass driving 60 in dense fog creams a deer on the freeway.

> That's the whole basis of the "Smokey Reports" that run across the CB.

Yeah, I'm usually the one spotting them, I know how they work. 8:O)

> Sometimes they park an unmanned car near a busy highway and
> let the CB'ers pass the word, and everyone slows down, which is all
> they wanted anyway.

You must have an amazingly rich police department if they're able to have expensive surplus equipment to play games with like that.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2654. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 19:41:25 UTC
From: Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
Message-ID: <5gb7e2-e98.ln1@ursine.ca>
Source record: 196244

Dave Hall wrote:

> 30 years from now, when ham radio is gone, there will still be people
> arguing that CW should have been retained as a requirement....

Heh, wishful thinking. I'd think CB is more likely to go to the wayside
given the clearer sound with less interference on amatuer bands. Heck, you
can get a lot of the same traveller information on the right repeaters in
Oregon as you can on the CB, without the tradgedy of the commmons.

--
Paul Johnson
baloo@ursine.ca
http://ursine.ca/~baloo/

2655. Re: dah-dit-dah-dit; dah-dah-dah-dit-dah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-14 20:20:04 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108412404.253521.16930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196245

Twistedhed wrote:
> From: CL@barahmas.com (Caveat Lector)
> >Hams have been making contacts with Cuba
>
> >for many many years.
>
> >Also North Korea recently. No operations at
>
> >the moment tho. Their choice - not ours.
>
> >For countries Hams can contact see the
>
> >DXCC list at URL;
>
> >http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/dxcclist.txt
>
> >335 entities there -- both countries and
>
> >islands, etc -- note that Islands such as Guam
>
> >count as an entity.
>
> >I am not aware of any country USA Hams are
>
> >forbidden to contact including Libya, No
>
> >Korea, Cuba, Iran, China, Yemen, etc.
>
> >Countries may chose to prohibit Ham
>
> >operations -- their choice not ours. Example
>
> >Albania and China were off the air for many
>
> >years -- but are now allowed. Some countries
>
> >have very restricted license issues making
>
> >them very rare.
>
> >During the cold war, contacts were allowed
>
> >with the Soviet Union.
>

> >Consider getting a Ham license -- pretty easy
>
> >these days -- there is a no-code VHF license
>
> >and the HF licenses only require 5 wpm code.
>
> >--
>
> >Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) A Ham
>
> >Help The New Hams
>
> >Someone Helped You
>
> >Or did You Forget That ?
>
>
> Is my code that rusty? <g>
> Thank you for your assistance and providing the link that answered my
> question. It's been a long time since I visited the rules and regs
> concerning this subject as pertaining to ham radio.

Twithed ain't no ham.

2656. Re: 102inch wip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 00:55:41 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3PbQd.21685$gR6.14153@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196246

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
>>"Jeff Mayner" <jeffmayner@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Professor wrote:
>>>
>>>>Jeff is right... while the whip is a great antenna... if not mounted
>>>>on the center of the vehicle... it will be very directional towards
>>>>the front of the vehicle if mounted in the rear. Frankly, the whip
>>>>is a bit impractical from several standpoints. When balancing
>>>>practicality and performance... I always opted for a somewhat
>>>>shorter antenna (Wilson 1000) magneted to the center of the roof.
>>>>This provides an excellent radiation pattern and doesn't hit every
>>>>tree, bridge, and lighting fixure... LOL
>>>>
>>>>Professor
>>>>www.telstar-electronics.com
>>>
>>>But the whip makes such a nice "BONGGGG" when you hit the right
>>>object. ;-)
>>>
>>
>>It turns into a water pipe?? <g>
>>
>>>That being said, I think the Wilson is the better choice. If he's
>>>driving a Plymouth he'll probably have the same problem I had with
>>>my '68 Dodge Coronet. Thin top "skin".
>>>
>>
>>Too much acid dip, Richard Petty. :)
>
>
> lol...
>
> Nah, the big green machine was stock and hadn't been "restofied". Great
> shape and only had about 45k on the clock when I bought it in '90. I sold it
> in '95 or so with around 65k on it. The guy I sold it to dropped in a 440, I
> don't know what he did with the 383, and turned it into a Super Bee clone.
> Ended up being a pretty nice car, I still love the look of that SB hood
> scoop, but I would have used a "440" rather than a "500". 500's were
> _heavy_.
>
> Jeff

>
>
500 was the first car I drove. Heavy was right as it pulled a 25'
trailer and as such the gearing was low. A pig off the line but it was
still fun at 120 after taking a year and a day.

2657. Re: Radio-Shack Sucks.com ---> HAS RETURNED !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 01:13:04 UTC
From: Ren Hoek <zxxxc@ee.com>
Message-ID: <n3j21115qjv77lfhtlmsoqodpq71u9bf7r@4ax.com>
Source record: 196247
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 12:25:35 -0600, "Richard" <Anonymous@127.001>
wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 17:40:12 -0500 Caedinal Craaescentwrench wrote:
>
>> http://www.radioshacksucks.com/ <--- HERE!
>
>> The new....
>> The expanded...
>> The better than ever....
>
>> IS BACK!
>
>Yep. And as the domain name suggests, the site sucks royally.
>First, we get plastered with bullshit graphics and huge text.
>After scrolling to the bottom of the page, we now get to enter the site and
>are forced to read a court order no less.
>
>IMNSHO, even though "radio shack" is a registered trademark, that does not
>give exclusive rights to the words as the term "radio shack" had been around
>and established well before the business came into existance.
>
>Problem is, you apparently got nailed for copyright infringement.
>Shouldn't be messin with companies who have high priced attorneys.
>
>
Why then is he still using radioshacksucks.com if the judgement says
he can't? I don't get it.

2658. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 02:06:07 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <jOcQd.96511$mt.26275@fed1read03>
Source record: 196248

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
> I like that saying. It reminds me of my most-favored status ng pets who
> insist on testing theirs daily.
I hate to say it, but you are just nitpicking now......

you didn't get the point the first time and I am not going to go through
this again for you. either take what I said at face value or shut up about
it. harping on it further will not get you a response you want anyway.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2659. Re: dah-dit-dah-dit; dah-dah-dah-dit-dah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 02:15:50 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108433750.725009.149620@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196249

```
No I Am Not Him wrote:
> Twistedhed wrote:
> > From: CL@barahmas.com (Caveat Lector)
> > >Hams have been making contacts with Cuba
> >
> > >for many many years.
> >
> > >Also North Korea recently. No operations at
> >
> > >the moment tho. Their choice - not ours.
> >
> > >For countries Hams can contact see the
> >
> > >DXCC list at URL;
> >
> > >http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/dxcclist.txt
> >
> > >335 entities there -- both countries and
> >
> > >islands, etc -- note that Islands such as Guam
> >
> > >count as an entity.
> >
> > >I am not aware of any country USA Hams are
> >
> > >forbidden to contact including Libya, No
> >
> > >Korea, Cuba, Iran, China, Yemen, etc.
> >
> > >Countries may chose to prohibit Ham
> >
> > >operations -- their choice not ours. Example
> >
> > >Albania and China were off the air for many
> >
> > >years -- but are now allowed. Some countries
> >
> > >have very restricted license issues making
> >
> > >them very rare.
> >
> > >During the cold war, contacts were allowed
> >
> > >with the Soviet Union.
```

> >
> > >Consider getting a Ham license -- pretty easy
> >
> > >these days -- there is a no-code VHF license
> >
> > >and the HF licenses only require 5 wpm code.
> >
> > >--
> >
> > >Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) A Ham
> >
> > >Help The New Hams
> >
> > >Someone Helped You
> >
> > >Or did You Forget That ?
> >
> >
> > Is my code that rusty? <g>
> > Thank you for your assistance and providing the link that answered
my
> > question. It's been a long time since I visited the rules and regs
> > concerning this subject as pertaining to ham radio.
>
>
>
> Twithed ain't no ham.

No, but he is a pig's ass.

2660. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 03:34:12 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <1112rdmpld49q0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 196250

Hello Frank:

Yeah ok on the Triodes, there were dirt cheap, so thats what we are using them. They will need a significant driver buts thats easy.

I have the power supply setting in my front yard setting on a pallet, with a tarp over it now. Looooooooooooong story!

The power levels this will generate will be no dought be significant and larger than I care to admit to, and coax and connectors will be used.

This isn't for use as a on the air linear amplifer, but for spectral analyst for mining. In the Mojave Desert of course. Enough said. I don't know what would be used as coax and a matching section for antenna at these power levels. But can only image that it would be big bucks incorporated. With many Capital Dollars signs.

I also have a line on a 10KW radio station in Bishop California. But probably will not need it. If this amp is able to produce the arc for spectral analysts chamber as we think it will, it will allow a new concept in mining. The real ball cruncher here will be the diesel generator that must be flown in or a Bull Dozer will be needed to make a road into the area at a truck load of money.

If the process works as we think it will a professional amp will be bought later on But only after the process is verified, but when starting out cheap and inexpensive methods must be taken.

Jay in the Mojave

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 07:09:44 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
> <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <110urdqtkmh2k9b@corp.supernews.com>:
>
>
>>Hello Frank:
>>
>>Yes we did have better luck goin the single phase route. But do have
>>single and three phase avialable.
>>
>>After making a new type of quad/yagi type of beam for 2 meters and
>>listening in on the ZOO channel 147.435 MHz and a few other Los Angles 2
>>meter repeaters I heard a conversation talking about a 10KW broadcast
>>transmitter that had some sort of shipping and or fire damage, but the
>>power supply was in good shape, down in San Pedro California. I called
>>the guy and will have the place and insurance point of contact Monaday.

>>But it does use 220 or 240 single phase, we will see.
>>
>>If this was really for a 10 KW Transmitter tha used a pair of 3CX3000 or
>>a single 3CX6000 then this may be the ticket.
>
>
>
> The problem is that you are getting into a power range that usually
> requires custom-built components. It would be much easier to build up
> a transmitter around a 10kW transformer, instead of building it up
> around the tubes which are pretty much standardized components.
>
> But why are you even messing with triodes? Unless you like to
> constantly readjust the neutralizing cap, or you run them grounded
> grid and pump in a kW or so, you might be much better off with a
> couple large tetrodes. Broadcast pulls are cheap, in regular supply,
> are usually 80%+, and will last a long time running them AB1 which
> requires almost no drive power at all. Get even bigger tubes and you
> can reduce the heater voltage which will make the tubes last even
> longer. Plus, if you use resistance coupling then you can open up the
> input bandwidth -and- disregard the need for neutralization, with much
> better efficiency and longer tube life than grounded-grid triodes.
>
> Just something to think about.

2661. Re: dah-dit-dah-dit; dah-dah-dah-dit-dah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 03:38:09 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4aednSDXePc884zfRVn-tg@giganews.com>
Source record: 196251

No I Am Not Him wrote:
> Twistedhed wrote:
>> From: CL@barahmas.com (Caveat Lector)
>>> Hams have been making contacts with Cuba
>>
>>> for many many years.
>>
>>> Also North Korea recently. No operations at
>>
>>> the moment tho. Their choice - not ours.
>>
>>> For countries Hams can contact see the
>>
>>> DXCC list at URL;
>>
>>> http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/dxcclist.txt
>>
>>> 335 entities there -- both countries and
>>
>>> islands, etc -- note that Islands such as Guam
>>
>>> count as an entity.
>>
>>> I am not aware of any country USA Hams are
>>
>>> forbidden to contact including Libya, No
>>
>>> Korea, Cuba, Iran, China, Yemen, etc.
>>
>>> Countries may chose to prohibit Ham
>>
>>> operations -- their choice not ours. Example
>>
>>> Albania and China were off the air for many
>>
>>> years -- but are now allowed. Some countries
>>
>>> have very restricted license issues making
>>
>>> them very rare.
>>
>>> During the cold war, contacts were allowed
>>
>>> with the Soviet Union.

>>
>>> Consider getting a Ham license -- pretty easy
>>
>>> these days -- there is a no-code VHF license
>>
>>> and the HF licenses only require 5 wpm code.
>>
>>> --
>>
>>> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) A Ham
>>
>>> Help The New Hams
>>
>>> Someone Helped You
>>
>>> Or did You Forget That ?
>>
>>
>> Is my code that rusty? <g>
>> Thank you for your assistance and providing the link that answered my
>> question. It's been a long time since I visited the rules and regs
>> concerning this subject as pertaining to ham radio.
>
>
>
> Twithed ain't no ham.

Have you always had that lisp or is it a more recent effectation? Musta been tough for you growing up to have to put up with all the beatings and such.

Explains a lot though.

Jeff

2662. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 03:46:49 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <75s211h0hihdfb1bd253j9nnmd7m59tucq@4ax.com>
Source record: 196252

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC) if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2663. FREE! "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" OF FREQ. MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 03:46:53 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <b5s211tsii1f9pvmk0v7i9tmc6o3uh22mr@4ax.com>
Source record: 196254

FREE!!

For advanced techs and serious radio hobbyists, we're now offering a FREE copy of our previously published "CB EPROM DATA BOOK" by Martin Pickering. (EPROM = Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.) We sold it for many years but it's now out of print. This little manual of 60 illustrated pages is an absolute GEM of CB technical knowledge you'll just never find anywhere else. And it's yours for FREE from our Web site.

This book reveals the secrets of advanced channel programming, and picks up where our "CB PLL DATA BOOK" (www.cbcintl.com/pllbook.htm) leaves off. You'll get a thorough background in how these amazing programmable chips can be used to modify many popular CB PLL circuits for expanded frequency coverage. Covers the basic programming techniques, and even includes real PC board artwork for making EPROM circuits. Sample artwork includes EPROM boards for the popular MB8719, PLL02A, ï¿½PD2824, and ï¿½PD858 PLL circuits.

Best of all, the price is right--namely, FREE! Download your FREE copy of "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" (948 KB Adobe PDF) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/EpromBook.htm

Don't forget to check out all our other CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2664. CB REPAIR & MODIFICATION BOOKS AT 40% OFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 03:46:59 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <h5s2119hmhf2bshodevktb51gmqi9rjfl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 196256

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out!

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2665. GALAXY-RANGER SERVICE MANUAL--2nd EDITION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 03:47:03 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <k5s2115hm4k7kboga6ntiu293jc55am1i4@4ax.com>
Source record: 196255

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

2666. GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 03:47:09 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <r5s211lg6rk443ebrc530if1g28rrd9cdk@4ax.com>
Source record: 196253

Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog of CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics (TriPower RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger Beep, etc.) and other CB technical articles at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

2667. Re: Need help with high SWR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 03:52:45 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <hmeQd.393526$Xk.305021@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay,alt.gayteen,misc.transport.trucking

not a big problem
just stop cross posting thesse newsgroups

here is you problem
you want to inflick trucker hate on MAYBE an innocent gay guy with no much
idea, of what is going on

as subtil as a brick

SO A GAY GUY SHOWS UP
with a mouth

god help you idiot

a racist by any other name is a K.K.K.

so just stay off the gay site when u post

i am a trucker, and won't be bothered with your pages
do something worthwhile like what kind of beer do you drink with a slime
burger.

best burger in your state?
why no buddy loves YOU?

never threaten a fag
u8nless u know u can cope.

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1107293602.544337.215880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Vinnie S. wrote:
> <WHO GIVES A FUCK>
>
> Hey vinnie the ninnie.....fuck you! You whinning cocksucker. Take your
> radio, the coax and the rest of your shit and cram it up your gapping
> asshole!
>

2668. Re: Questions for N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 04:13:16 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <wFeQd.393743$Xk.26727@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

sure i lay down beside my bowl.
you gonna feed me

u gonna let me starve
your writing sites in white is not really working
on my screen it shows up

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050201165756.467$TI_-_@newsreader.com...
> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>
>
he took a sabatical
> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>
>
a daily visit
really not a lie
enjoy my daily contact everywhere in law courts.
but i am seriously considering leaving it
good people and all.

> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>
>
???????????
neither a borrower or a lender be.

> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters again, Doug?
>
>
nope
> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to hav e them show
> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward yo u are,
> maggot?
>
hate is so subtle
tax this fag with your REAL ISP
WHY stop your comments
because u r on my page

i don't kik ass. i only want guys i love. i have no pretense to making
enemies.
thes e are forgottwen in a moment

so just go away
as a red neck or a religious zealot.
i think u fail.
please stop cross posting
stop trying to harmm the guys who visit this page

>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>
>
> Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.
oh a nice bowl of beef soup with arsenic
i think i will like this
spin around a few times
and have a nice sleep

g nite buddy

2669. Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 04:18:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <att211h295ei8nn9olqkjnaoaq928okjpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196259

Astron RS-35M took care of that. At least I now have a supply for my ham rig when I get it. Thanks for eveyone's help here.

Vinnie S.

2670. Re: PING -- SecOps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 04:26:33 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ZReQd.393949$8l.116604@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196260
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

nope
ggood try
@nowhere.com
r u having a good day steveo?

personally i love non exist servers
when i grow up i wanna be @virgin. calm
but when i am old enough to be a spinster
i have to move to "rip the lips off a buzzard. not so com

to b fucked or not to be fucked?
that is the question!

yes u is
and no you haven't been

keep off gay sites
on your postings

gay guys don't need no bull shit hatred groups visiting
if you wanna continue taxing these gay sites
I AM NOT RESPONSIBLE for anything i say
YES i disapprove hatred enough to invalidate it's existance

and i can do it.
"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:S0zOd.38377$uA.14754@fe1.texas.rr.com...
>
> "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1107993428.546070.322740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> Hey SecOps:
>>
>> This is: REC.RADIO.CB (steveo)
>>
>> Do you have ANY idea how sexy you are when you jump on "landshark" the
>> way you did??
>>
>> You sound like a very sexy man! R U interested in a little Man-to-Man
>> cyber action? Maybe live hook-up?? Would you like to join the Medina
>> gay CB club???
>>
>> Tell me about yourself! Are U a top or a bottom?? Cut or UnCut??
>>
>> I figured you must be into it since you posted in ALT.PERSONALS.GAY
>>

>> Thats our other group. You'll have a better chance of finding hot
>> action in REC.RADIO.CB
>>
>> Landshark is OK but he's a slut. Thats OK, it just depends on the type
>> of action you're looking for. WelCUM to our group.
>>
>> 73's and 88's (all over your body!)
>>
>> Steveo
>>
>
> TraceRoute to 4.249.54.162
> [dialup-4.249.54.162.dial1.washington2.level3.net]
>
> Hop (ms) (ms) (ms) IP Address Host name
> 1 0 0 0 66.98.244.1 gphou-66-98-244-1.ev1.net
> 2 0 0 0 66.98.241.4 gphou-66-98-241-4.ev1.net
> 3 1 1 1 129.250.10.105 ge-0-1-0-50.r00.hstntx01.us.bb.verio.net
> 4 11 11 11 129.250.5.42 p16-1-1-1.r21.dllstx09.us.bb.verio.net
> 5 Timed out Timed out 13 129.250.2.195
> p16-7-0-0.r01.dllstx09.us.bb.verio.net
> 6 9 9 9 4.68.127.41 so-2-3-0.edge2.dallas1.level3.net
> 7 9 9 9 4.68.96.121 so-7-0-0.bbr2.dallas1.level3.net
> 8 43 43 42 64.159.0.230 ae-0-0.bbr2.washington1.level3.net
> 9 43 43 43 4.68.121.173 ge-5-2.hsa2.washington2.level3.net
> 10 46 46 45 209.244.42.179 nas19.washington2.level3.net
> 11 206 219 209 4.249.54.162
> dialup-4.249.54.162.dial1.washington2.level3.net
>
> Trace complete
>
>
>
> And a moron, no less. Left himself pingable.
>
>

2671. Re: Voters: it is pointless to discuss politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 04:28:54 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <aUeQd.393904$Xk.26934@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196261
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

reminds u of your father throwing your mom across the living room when u
were 5 years old maybe
lets talk therapy

or was it when they were wrestling they were sucking each other off

"STEVEO" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108118741.276180.128310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> El Farvo wrote:
> <BITCH SNIPPED>
>
> I keep hoping the wrestling dudes would start making out in the ring. I
> usually beat-off while watching wrestling.
>

2672. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 04:30:08 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <kVeQd.393989$8l.98190@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.atlanta,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.swap

nope
thinking of maybe getting a rabies shot to prepare for u

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108119065.087147.192380@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in news:110oa9e8g3ass69
>> @corp.supernews.com:
>>
>> > Hello Jim:
>> >
>> > Just give Teddy there a e-mail and find out.
>> >
>> > Tell em Jay says Hea!
>> >
>> > Jay in the Mojave
>>
>> why email the guy the info is all over the internet, although Chuck
> does
>> lie, this is a well known fact. Thier website will even tell you.
>
> I'm typing in the panties twistie gave me. I'm hard......
>
> Any fellas up for some gay cyber??
>

2673. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 05:01:36 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <QmfQd.394371$Xk.239785@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196263
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

amusing in a neanderthal sort of way
ACTUALLY i am nothing but a few key strokes put on a page
do u believe everything you read?
then maybe i am just a bored machine

i find the competition, really light, around here

to me a few key strokes means nothing.

WHAT? you never had a spat with your lover.
VIRGIN.....

hint
making upis the best part of it.
so the bed sheets fly

g nite

"fatbloke_london" <Gerard40@your-pants.blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:2SXPd.102614$K7.39674@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
>
> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:1108002401.147225.192040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> dug88 wrote:
>>> thanx guys
>>> actually embarasses me
>>
>> DUG88, DIDN'T I TELL YOU TO STAY THE FUCK OUT OF
>>
>> REC.RADIO.CB
>>
>>
>> I'LL SHOVE MY COCK SO FAR UP YOUR ASS THEY WON'T BE ABLE TO SEE MY
>> BALLS!
>
> I don't suppose you would like to do that to me sometime? :�O
>

2674. Re: Voters: it is pointless to discuss politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 05:24:29 UTC
From: Some OleFart <email?whatsthat>
Message-ID: <gr1311p4hm5pev96u9cqss37mlb0s8sbjv@4ax.com>
Source record: 196264
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 04:28:54 GMT, "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:

>reminds u of your father throwing your mom across the living room when u
>were 5 years old maybe
>lets talk therapy

put the crack pipe down. you make no sense!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2675. Re: Power Transformer for a pair of 3CX3000A7

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 05:30:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <401311dvl2bk9j0u52bsatcmladkamf3oe@4ax.com>
Source record: 196265

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 19:34:12 -0800, Jay in the Mojave
<jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in <1112rdmpld49q0d@corp.supernews.com>:

<snip>
>The power levels this will generate will be no dought be significant and
>larger than I care to admit to, and coax and connectors will be used.

Coax? .....uh, I hope you are planning on hardline.

>This isn't for use as a on the air linear amplifer, but for spectral
>analyst for mining. In the Mojave Desert of course. Enough said. I don't
>know what would be used as coax and a matching section for antenna at
>these power levels. But can only image that it would be big bucks
>incorporated. With many Capital Dollars signs.
>
>I also have a line on a 10KW radio station in Bishop California. But
>probably will not need it. If this amp is able to produce the arc for
>spectral analysts chamber as we think it will, it will allow a new
>concept in mining.

I'm not clear about your plan, but if you're thinking NMR then it's
already been tried with dismal results. The problem is that most
minerals have enough conductivity to render the results useless. But
if you figured out a solution then go for it.

> The real ball cruncher here will be the diesel
>generator that must be flown in or a Bull Dozer will be needed to make a
>road into the area at a truck load of money.

How about a PTO generator? You can take 10-25kVA right from the truck.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2676. Re: PING -- SecOps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 11:08:27 UTC
From: steveothehomo@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1108465707.850625.118160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196266
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

dug88 wrote:
<snipped because you're a faggot>

hey dug88.....did your father rape you at a young age? is that the reason you're a fruit??

stay the fuck out of rec.radio.cb you cum slurping nigger blowing motherfucker!

2677. Re: dah-dit-dah-dit; dah-dah-dah-dit-dah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 11:11:03 UTC
From: steveothehomo@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1108465863.485069.19500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196267
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Jeff Mayner wrote:
> No I Am Not Him wrote:
> > Twistedhed wrote:
> >> From: CL@barahmas.com (Caveat Lector)
> >>> Hams have been making contacts with Cuba
> >>
> >>> for many many years.
> >>
> >>> Also North Korea recently. No operations at
> >>
> >>> the moment tho. Their choice - not ours.
> >>
> >>> For countries Hams can contact see the
> >>
> >>> DXCC list at URL;
> >>
> >>> http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/dxcclist.txt
> >>
> >>> 335 entities there -- both countries and
> >>
> >>> islands, etc -- note that Islands such as Guam
> >>
> >>> count as an entity.
> >>
> >>> I am not aware of any country USA Hams are
> >>
> >>> forbidden to contact including Libya, No
> >>
> >>> Korea, Cuba, Iran, China, Yemen, etc.
> >>
> >>> Countries may chose to prohibit Ham
> >>
> >>> operations -- their choice not ours. Example
> >>
> >>> Albania and China were off the air for many
> >>
> >>> years -- but are now allowed. Some countries
> >>
> >>> have very restricted license issues making
> >>
> >>> them very rare.
> >>
> >>> During the cold war, contacts were allowed

> >>
> >>> with the Soviet Union.
> >>
> >>> Consider getting a Ham license -- pretty easy
> >>
> >>> these days -- there is a no-code VHF license
> >>
> >>> and the HF licenses only require 5 wpm code.
> >>
> >>> --
> >>
> >>> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) A Ham
> >>
> >>> Help The New Hams
> >>
> >>> Someone Helped You
> >>
> >>> Or did You Forget That ?
> >>
> >>
> >> Is my code that rusty? <g>
> >> Thank you for your assistance and providing the link that answered
my
> >> question. It's been a long time since I visited the rules and regs
> >> concerning this subject as pertaining to ham radio.
> >
> >
> >
> > Twithed ain't no ham.
>
> Have you always had that lisp or is it a more recent effectation?
Musta been
> tough for you growing up to have to put up with all the beatings and
such.
>
> Explains a lot though.
>
> Jeff

Jeff takes it in the ass. Thats whats wrong with "him".

2678. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 11:14:32 UTC
From: steveothehomo@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1108466072.283860.57950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power,soc.culture.african.american

Vinnie S. wrote:
> Astron RS-35M took care of that. At least I now have a supply for my
ham rig
> when I get it. Thanks for eveyone's help here.
>
> Vinnie S.

good for you, you simple minded filthy nigger!

2679. vinnie loves nigger cock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 11:20:03 UTC
From: steveothehomo@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1108466403.828644.36640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.flame.niggers,alt.hackers.malicious,alt.non.racism

steveo and vinnie love picking up niggers on their cb radios. vinnie loves to be packed in his ass but steveo would much rather swollow a nigger cock.

i think steveo has a crush on dug88.....but dug88 wants twistie in his ass.

2680. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 12:46:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tvq3111b1ieh7hqvm6agm7f5fuhv3i8u5a@4ax.com>
Source record: 196270

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 11:38:22 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
wrote:

>Dave Hall wrote:
>
>> Yes, you probably should. But periodic speedometer calibration is not
>> on the list of "maintenance" items in the owner's manuals, nor is it
>> required as a part of (At least in my state) annual inspection. I'm
>> not even sure if anyone (other than the dealer) can even perform such
>> a service. It's clearly not something they advertise.
>
>Any custom shop or instrument shop can recalibrate your speedometer for
>hardly more than pocket change. There's really no excuse for not getting
>it done.

Just for kicks and grins, when I was at the local auto parts/garage
the other day, I asked in a matter-of-fact way if they did speedo
cal's. The guy looked at me like I grew a second head.

Yes, I imagine there are shops who can handle this. But with the newer
OBD-II ECM system on modern cars, the speedo is often a little more
complex than a simple mechanical pointer. Other than the few specialty
shops and the dealer, I doubt if the typical generic garages that most
people go to can handle it. Most people avoid the dealer once their
warranties expires as they're generally more expensive than the local
garage. It's just not something that anyone talks about.

>> That's pretty much what they do here as well. In the state of Pa.,
>> RADAR is only allowed to be used by the state police (You actually
>> have to be "certified" to use it). The locals use VASCAR, which is why
>> there are so many white painted lines across the road (Which also
>> gives alert drivers a heads-up as to where the speed traps will
>> usually be).
>
>Lucky you. All departments use laser here. Your detector won't go off
>until the cop is actually checking your speed (in which it's too late).

Detectors are ineffective against VASCAR as there is no "beam". It's
simple mechanical timing. But the manual nature of this technique is
what opens the door to inaccuracy claims when someone is cited for
going a scant 1 MPH over.

http://www.vascarplus.com/Pages/How_it_works.htm

> My
>theory is, if you're driving too fast to see the speed trap, you're driving

>too fast to spot a real hazard at that speed. Nothing like having
>rush-hour traffic shut down because some jackass driving 60 in dense fog
>creams a deer on the freeway.

I hear that.

>> That's the whole basis of the "Smokey Reports" that run across the CB.
>
>Yeah, I'm usually the one spotting them, I know how they work. 8:O)
>
>> Sometimes they park an unmanned car near a busy highway and
>> let the CB'ers pass the word, and everyone slows down, which is all
>> they wanted anyway.
>
>You must have an amazingly rich police department if they're able to have
>expensive surplus equipment to play games with like that.

It all depends on your perspective. If that parked car prevents
accidents, the money saved on emergency response services more than
makes up for the loss of an "active" patrol car.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2681. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 12:49:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <drr311p2eru136n3ko1kgmk6ci4je1bae0@4ax.com>
Source record: 196271

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 19:06:07 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>>
>> I like that saying. It reminds me of my most-favored status ng pets who
>> insist on testing theirs daily.

>I hate to say it, but you are just nitpicking now......
>
>you didn't get the point the first time and I am not going to go through
>this again for you. either take what I said at face value or shut up about
>it. harping on it further will not get you a response you want anyway.

It's his way. He gets hung up on semantics and the literal
translations of certain words. Picking nits is putting it mildly.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2682. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 13:02:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9ur311lg60j7imiaaroj1ir5k3alruc2vf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196272

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 10:12:10 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>David T. Hall wrote:
>>In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take
>
>>care of "Speedometer" and speed measuring
>
>>inaccuracies
>
>
>
>I am glad you availed yourself the facts of the matter and reclarified
>your bullshit above with:
>
>>Well, in all honesty, it mostly is at the cop's
>
>>discretion,
>
>>It's more of an unwritten rule in Pa., that they
>
>>"give" you 5 MPH,
>
>
>That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa. police
>*give* you the extra speed of a few miles per hour. Nevertheless, you
>illustrate once again you speak of things you know nothing of (the law)
>and do not need Frank to make you reverse your earlier position. Since
>you realized your error, there is no need to provide you with the Pa.
>vehicle code. Flip-flop.

True to form, you show up to pick yet another bowl of nits. It is an
unwritten "requirement" in order to avoid the pain of fighting in
court. The courts have a history of throwing out speed citations for
small amounts, due to the potential for inaccuracies in both the car
speedometer and the speed measuring devices. The success rate for
having these fines "stick" increases greatly when the amounts exceed 5
MPH over.

I've gotten the same story from several different cops who work in
different precincts and the state police.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2683. Re: Ham test question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 13:11:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <cos3119ehfmip8ih3mqine7a0ig0b0j5r9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196273

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 11:41:25 -0800, Paul Johnson <baloo@ursine.ca>
wrote:

>Dave Hall wrote:
>
>> 30 years from now, when ham radio is gone, there will still be people
>> arguing that CW should have been retained as a requirement....
>
>Heh, wishful thinking. I'd think CB is more likely to go to the wayside
>given the clearer sound with less interference on amatuer bands.

I'm not trying to slam the service, I'm just realistically considering
technological advancements in the wireless world, and the probability
that the current service of ham radio could hope to fit in in that
picture. CB is in the same boat more or less.

> Heck, you
>can get a lot of the same traveller information on the right repeaters in
>Oregon as you can on the CB, without the tradgedy of the commmons.

In 30 years, it will be even more likely that you'll have satellite,
internet, and local sensors giving you instant traveler information,
to display on your vehicle operator's console. You will also have
wireless phone service and/or an internet VOIP chat service available.
All of which will make having an analog 2-way radio superfluous.

Don't get me wrong, I am approaching the age where nostalgic charm
starts to appeal more than technical superiority. But at some point,
things will have to "give", especially when the clamor for bandwidth
heats up as more and more wireless services compete for "air time".

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2684. Re: N3CVJ correction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 13:15:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tct311tindfi7ah9thgi1j5g6orvjti06t@4ax.com>
Source record: 196274

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 10:35:27 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Wrong. Research HR 2346.

I know all there is to know about HR 2346. We debated in at length on
this newsgroup several times in recent years. Put your google skills
to use and see for yourself.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2685. Re: Questions for N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 13:18:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <oit311dlpvsqm591djqirae319cfhb0dug@4ax.com>
Source record: 196275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 04:13:16 GMT, "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:

>sure i lay down beside my bowl.
>you gonna feed me
>
>u gonna let me starve
>your writing sites in white is not really working
>on my screen it shows up
>
>
>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:20050201165756.467$TI_-_@newsreader.com...
>> 1. How is the court ordered shrink working out for you?
>>
>>
>he took a sabatical
>> 2. How's the court ordered job hunt going?
>>
>>
>a daily visit
>really not a lie
>enjoy my daily contact everywhere in law courts.
>but i am seriously considering leaving it
>good people and all.
>
>
>> 3. How's the court ordered wage withholding going?
>>
>>
>????????????
>neither a borrower or a lender be.
>
>> 4. Have you made the rain report for jamming repeaters againï¿½, Doug?
>>
>>
>nope
>> 5. Have you threatened to kick anyone elses ass, only to haviï¿½e them show
>> up at your section 8 slum and prove what a fucking coward yoiï¿½u are,
>> maggot?
>>
>hate is so subtle
>tax this fag with your REAL ISP
>WHY stop your comments

>because u r on my page
>
>i don't kik ass. i only want guys i love. i have no pretense to making
>enemies.
>thes e are forgottwen in a moment
>so just go away
>as a red neck or a religious zealot.
>i think u fail.
>please stop cross posting
>stop trying to harmm the guys who visit this page
>
>>
>> http://n8wwm.4t.com/
>>
>>
>> Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.
>oh a nice bowl of beef soup with arsenic
>i think i will like this
>spin around a few times
>and have a nice sleep
>
>g nite buddy
>

Haiku?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2686. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 13:44:34 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <unu31192jd1lufnp9n6p41po5mhelkht79@4ax.com>
Source record: 196276

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 23:18:29 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>Astron RS-35M took care of that. At least I now have a supply for my ham rig
>when I get it. Thanks for eveyone's help here.
>
>Vinnie S.

Well, I of the 2 problems is solved. The cutting out on SSB after 3 seconds is solved. The Astron took care of that.

But I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as soon as I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX meter on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is not fluctuating with modulation. The power is not high, about 20 watts peak. Seem the previous owner had it turned down. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and stay there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.

I am going t try this in the car and see what I get.

Vinnie S.

2687. Re: N3CVJ correction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 13:56:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4208-4211FFAB-464@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196278

From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush)
(N3CVJ) David T. Hall Jr. wrote:
>The feds have given states the right to enforce
>RFI cases

(snip)

Wrong. Research HR 2346.

>I know all there is to know about HR 2346.

Then there is no reason for your stated ignorance above, as it's wrong.
HR 2346 sheds light on your bewilderment concerning this issue. It does
not give states any rights, only local jurisdictions.

>We

>debated in at length on this newsgroup

>several times in recent years.

Well then, you certainly managed to illustrate a poor retainment value.

>Put your google skills to use and see for

>yourself.

Again, you are the one making incorrect claims about the law. If you
were to become educated on communication law, you wouldn't be making
such goofs and getting upset at those who pull you up for such errors.
Don't get mad, Davie, get educated.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2688. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 14:10:18 UTC
From: WeeSaul <&#65533;weesaul@gmail.com?>
Message-ID: <Xns95FE6059EA2D2WeeSaul@216.168.3.44>
Source record: 196277
Cross-posted to: alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.non.sequitur,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.hanson

JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net> spewed forth:

> in article 186o01l9areft5ic2bs8l899oq1kcjl77l@4ax.com, /3iff //ullins
> at biff.mullins@gmail.com wrote on 2/10/05 9:31 PM:
>
>> On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 06:44:44 +0000 (UTC), CTFM <ctfm@yourisp.not>
>> wrote:
>>
>>> JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net> wrote in
>>> news:BE306735.55DA%jazzkind@fast.net:
>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hi dug88. Did you see there is an awful message called fuck dug88
>>>> floating around the internet? It is brazen nonsense that sounds
>>>> like wet noodles when you put seafood in your ear.
>>>>
>>>
>>> I generally agree with the post above. Someone should remove these
>>> posts from this groups.
>>>
>> math. and lots of it.
>
> moth. and lights for it.

madness. and methods for it...

--
WeeSaul mhm15x5
An enigma, rapped in a riddle,
stuffed into a flaky pastry crust...
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/flavour_of_the_week/
http://www.angelfire.com/pa/anticrust/
http://www.winbeam.com/~weesaul

2689. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 14:12:12 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4208-4212033C-467@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196279

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 10:12:10 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
David T. Hall wrote:
>In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take

>care of "Speedometer" and speed measuring

>inaccuracies

I am glad you availed yourself the facts of the matter and reclarified
your bullshit above with:

>Well, in all honesty, it mostly is at the cop's

>discretion,

>It's more of an unwritten rule in Pa., that they

>"give" you 5 MPH,

That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa. police
give you the extra speed of a few miles per hour. Nevertheless, you
illustrate once again you speak of things you know nothing of (the law)
and do not need Frank to make you reverse your earlier position. Since
you realized your error, there is no need to provide you with the Pa.
vehicle code. Flip-flop.

>True to form, you

Ahhh,,,,unable to defend the topic, junior does what all unskilled and
uneducated louts do,,,they attack the chatter. Dave, you have always
been a lid and have one hell of a time debating void of emotion. Get
educated, junior.

> show up to pick yet another

>bowl of nits.

So sorry you feel persecuted again, Junior, yet, illustrating your
self-contradictory claims has a rather unnerving effect on you. Call it
whatever your bruised ego dictates, you talk sideways.

>It is an unwritten "requirement" in order to

>avoid the pain of fighting in court.

What insight,,,,if it's "unwritten", it doesn't exist as a requirement,
Dave, no matter WHAT you try to explain you "mean" by it.

>The courts have a history of throwing out

(snip)

Not relevant. Your claim that is was a requirement degraded to your current claim it is now a "unwritten" requirement, yet, according to you, 'friends' of yours are cops who affirmed both your claims. Well, Dave, you are full of shit again. I posed your question to several LEOs in a traffic forum and you are wrong. Need the URL? Care to join me where you can be educated by actual Pa. leos?

>speed citations for small amounts, due to the

>potential for inaccuracies in both the car

>speedometer and the speed measuring

>devices.

> The success rate for having these

>fines "stick" increases greatly when the

>amounts exceed 5 MPH over.

And has nothing to do with any "unwritten requirement". If it is unwritten, it can not be a requirement LEOs must follow.

>I've gotten the same story from several

>different cops who work in different precincts

>and the state police.

LOL,,sure, sure, just like those you claimed agreed with you that roger beeps are illegal, only you menstruate when asked to actually provide for such a claim.
No, you haven't been told that by any Pa. cop, and the LEO forum serves to illustrate your degeneration caused by pathological lies.

>David T. Hall Jr

>n3cvj

>"Sandbagger"

2690. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 14:21:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4208-4212054C-470@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196280

Eric, responded with
>better just to get a radio designed for 10

>meters that can do 100 watts. those amps

>tend to be very unclean (spectrum splatter

>machines). as for 11 meters, 4 watts RMS/12

>watts PEP is the rule.

To which my response was:
What amps would you be referring, Eric? I tend to believe there are
small amps on the market that are very clean.
-
And then you (Eric) accused me of misquoting you (shrug)! It's all good,
though,,,let's have another go around at it, shall we?

Eric wrote:

>before I become blind, I used to do a fair

>amount of radio work (in my spare time). I

>have seen many HF amps based on the

>simple motorola test design from one of the

>electronic catalogs (pre 1990's) and pretty

>much all of them were of the "class C" variety.

I also have seen many amps of class C variety, but I also have seen many
that are not.

>now, anyone who has had even a modicum of

>education in electronics theory knows how

>"Class C" works.

You invoked the class. No one mentioned the class of amps, yet you
referred to "those amps" when no class or brand amp was cited. It was
then I asked you what amps you were referring, as the inquirer mentioned
nothing of the sort.

>unless it is heavily filtered (and most of the

>cheap, garden variety amps in use for the 11

>meter crowd are not)

He said HF, you said 11 meter. Is 11 meter no longer considered HF?

>it will produce excessive amounts of

>harmonics, not to mention extreme distortion

>of the input signal (the reason for this is the

>extremely short on state in "Class C" on the

>transistors).

>The only clean amps I have ever found were

>designed at the outset to be that way ("Class

>A" or "Class AB-1"). These types of amps are

>generally in the professionally made category

>AND they are CERTIFIED for use by the FCC.
There are tons and tons of homebrew amps out there that are
exceptionally clean that carry nor need no certification.

>The only exception to the cert is in the

>Amateur radio community (and most hams

>tend to build with the cleanest possible

>designs available to minimizeadjacent

>frequency noise and harmonic problems.

Well, at least we are are on the same page concerning such. In response
to the assertion you made concerning 4 watts being the rule, I invoked
it was irrelevant to the poster's inquiry.

>no, it was not.

>.11 meters is regulated under

>part 95.

Indeed it is. How you draw a connection to such from the poster
inquiring of an HF amp is nothing but assumptuous, conjecture, and
cynicism on your part.

>it states there that the max allowed power for

>mode AM is no more than 4 watts RMS input

>and no more than 12 watts PEP for single

>sideband. the comment itself was a remind

>that such a rule DOES exist and that use of

>any more power than that can (by implication)

>attract unwanted attention.

By your own admission.."it was a "remind"....there you have it...not
relevant at all.
–

I then made to you the radio-friendly
suggestion: Hey Eric, fire up your ears and give a listen. Air-a-zone-a
is loud in my receive for the past couple of days. Some of those guys
get real edgy when the sandpile stations key it up. Tell B-O-B I said
hello. Bayou Charlie is walking all all over him these days.

>really? your client isn't properly configured for

>quoting then. the way you worded it implied I

>stated something I did not.

Yea, I've heard that before, and I also have viewed this group from
afar, such as google. . The manner in which I now quote is perfectly
acceptable to all those who know to configure their own browsers
properly. Check google, it appears just fine. It is operator error,
those who are unable to distinguish such things, but you are an
exception to the rule for obvious reasons. I'll try and remember to
delete other posters when responding to you in the future.

To which you replied:

>quoted someone else and tried to make it look
>like me? try again.

Loosen up, Eric.

>pardon my being slightly anal retentive. I just

>don't like having words attributed to me that I

>did not state.

>meanwhile... back to the radio.

Not a problem. Now that you know that act was never committed,,as you
say,,back to radio.
So fire up your receive,,,I have been in direct contact with the Phoenix
area on an almost daily basis on cb. Had a hoot and holler bunch of fun
over the weekend. Redfish are jumping, and weather is heaven sent.
--
>DE N7ZZT

>Eric Oyen

>Phoenix, Arizona

>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

>the difference between intelligence and

>stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

I like that saying. It reminds me of my most-favored status ng pets who insist on testing theirs daily.

>I hate to say it, but you are just nitpicking

>now......

>you didn't get the point the first time

You had no point, You attempted to make the thread about legalities. Stay on topic.

>and I am not going to go through this again for
>you.

Excuse you, Eric, but you are the one invoking class C amps and legalities when the poster asked nothing of either.

>either take what I said at face value or shut up
>about it.

Oh wow, a nasty, confrontational line of tripe from you..gee Eric, at elast you admit you are "anal retentive".

> harping on it further will not get you a

>response you want anyway.

Your emotional response is all yours, Eric, please don't try and blame others for your feelings of inferiority. There is enough of that going on from those who have a great deal to learn about communications.

2691. Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 14:57:06 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <4434111ami59gen1s5q8p073j94co8suca@4ax.com>
Source record: 196281

While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.

I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as soon as I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX meter on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is not fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power is not high, about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and stay there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.

So then I tried this.

I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I connect it directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on SSB. However, when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I modulate. This is also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an impedance mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes away, whether or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on my home antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.

Any suggestions?

Vinnie S.

2692. FA: SIX(6) VINTAGE NOS OCTAL RADIO TUBES in BOXES-A Deal:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 15:02:32 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <mioQd.329$IZ6.96@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2693. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 15:06:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2b3411566mm35p8ef0bvjsvv8at5se6n5a@4ax.com>
Source record: 196283

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 08:44:34 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 23:18:29 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Astron RS-35M took care of that. At least I now have a supply for my ham rig
>>when I get it. Thanks for eveyone's help here.
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
>Well, I of the 2 problems is solved. The cutting out on SSB after 3 seconds is
>solved. The Astron took care of that.
>
>But I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as soon as
>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX meter
>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is not
>fluctuating with modulation. The power is not high, about 20 watts peak. Seem
>the previous owner had it turned down. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and stay
>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>
>I am going t try this in the car and see what I get.

This is a common problem. In many cases, if you remove the cover the
problem will go away. The final stage is going into oscillation and
the "carrier" you see is that oscillation. Usually (and unfortunately)
the oscillation falls in the 29 Mhz area.

Sometimes this problem is caused by added mods that people add to try
to squeeze a few more watts out of it. There are added capacitors on
the bottom side of the board that can cause this. If you see an extra
cap (or two in parallel) on the underside of the board connected to
the final, remove it and that should cure your problem.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2694. FA: ASTATIC 10-DA Head, Vintage tubes & USAF Transistors:-)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 15:12:08 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <mroQd.350$R57.298@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196284

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

2695. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 15:14:30 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <944411l6nhk64fq61qglv9i60sgpndckji@4ax.com>
Source record: 196285

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:12:12 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>
>>True to form, you
>
>
>Ahhh,,,,unable to defend the topic, junior does what all unskilled and
>uneducated louts do,,,they attack the chatter. Dave, you have always
>been a lid and have one hell of a time debating void of emotion. Get
>educated, junior.
>
>> show up to pick yet another
>
>>bowl of nits.
>
>So sorry you feel persecuted again, Junior, yet, illustrating your
>self-contradictory claims has a rather unnerving effect on you. Call it
>whatever your bruised ego dictates, you talk sideways.

Nonetheless, you are picking nits. As you always do.

>Not relevant. Your claim that is was a requirement degraded to your
>current claim it is now a "unwritten" requirement, yet, according to
>you, 'friends' of yours are cops who affirmed both your claims. Well,
>Dave, you are full of shit again. I posed your question to several LEOs
>in a traffic forum and you are wrong. Need the URL? Care to join me
>where you can be educated by actual Pa. leos?

That's interesting. Your adoration with me has made you go through the
trouble of actually seeking out and finding these mythical LEO's, just
to pose this question. Which you did and got a reply back in less than
a day. Wow.

I'm sure this "group" in the same place as that fictitious military
group that you tried to snow Frank with. But sure, go ahead and give
it to me. If nothing else, it'll be good for a laugh or two.

>No, you haven't been told that by any Pa. cop, and the LEO forum serves
>to illustrate your degeneration caused by pathological lies.
>

Prove it. Provide the URL.

Prediction: nothing will result.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2696. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 15:29:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <b55411tr3d7ieao9lsvjabfi8fnaiisubm@4ax.com>
Source record: 196286

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:12:12 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 10:12:10 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>David T. Hall wrote:
>>In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take
>
>>care of "Speedometer" and speed measuring
>
>>inaccuracies
>
>I am glad you availed yourself the facts of the matter and reclarified
>your bullshit above with:
>
>>Well, in all honesty, it mostly is at the cop's
>
>>discretion,
>
>>It's more of an unwritten rule in Pa., that they
>
>>"give" you 5 MPH,
>
>That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa. police
>*give* you the extra speed of a few miles per hour. Nevertheless, you
>illustrate once again you speak of things you know nothing of (the law)
>and do not need Frank to make you reverse your earlier position. Since
>you realized your error, there is no need to provide you with the Pa.
>vehicle code. Flip-flop.

You're right. I shouldn't have deviated from my original stance since,
as it turns out, I was right about it.

See:

http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html

Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4., which states:

"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of
devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed recorded
is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through
the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area where the
legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the speed recorded

is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use of
devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school zone"

So what were you saying about knowing the law?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
>
>>True to form, you
>
>
>Ahhh,,,,unable to defend the topic, junior does what all unskilled and
>uneducated louts do,,,they attack the chatter. Dave, you have always
>been a lid and have one hell of a time debating void of emotion. Get
>educated, junior.
>
>> show up to pick yet another
>
>>bowl of nits.
>
>So sorry you feel persecuted again, Junior, yet, illustrating your
>self-contradictory claims has a rather unnerving effect on you. Call it
>whatever your bruised ego dictates, you talk sideways.
>
>
>>It is an unwritten "requirement" in order to
>
>>avoid the pain of fighting in court.
>
>
>What insight,,,,if it's "unwritten", it doesn't exist as a requirement,
>Dave, no matter WHAT you try to explain you "mean" by it.
>
>>The courts have a history of throwing out
>
>
>
>(snip)
>
>Not relevant. Your claim that is was a requirement degraded to your
>current claim it is now a "unwritten" requirement, yet, according to
>you, 'friends' of yours are cops who affirmed both your claims. Well,
>Dave, you are full of shit again. I posed your question to several LEOs
>in a traffic forum and you are wrong. Need the URL? Care to join me
>where you can be educated by actual Pa. leos?
>
>>speed citations for small amounts, due to the
>
>>potential for inaccuracies in both the car
>

>>speedometer and the speed measuring
>
>>devices.
>
>
>
>> The success rate for having these
>
>>fines "stick" increases greatly when the
>
>>amounts exceed 5 MPH over.
>
>
>And has nothing to do with any "unwritten requirement". If it is
>unwritten, it can not be a requirement LEOs must follow.
>
>>I've gotten the same story from several
>
>>different cops who work in different precincts
>
>>and the state police.
>
>
>LOL,,sure, sure, just like those you claimed agreed with you that roger
>beeps are illegal, only you menstruate when asked to actually provide
>for such a claim.
>No, you haven't been told that by any Pa. cop, and the LEO forum serves
>to illustrate your degeneration caused by pathological lies.
>
>>David T. Hall Jr
>
>>n3cvj
>
>>"Sandbagger"

2697. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 15:32:27 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <sf5411lnvbvqlqscik7jot6el8k0hocte5@4ax.com>
Source record: 196287

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 10:29:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa. police
>>*give* you the extra speed of a few miles per hour. Nevertheless, you
>>illustrate once again you speak of things you know nothing of (the law)
>>and do not need Frank to make you reverse your earlier position. Since
>>you realized your error, there is no need to provide you with the Pa.
>>vehicle code. Flip-flop.
>
>You're right. I shouldn't have deviated from my original stance since,
>as it turns out, I was right about it.
>
>See:
>
>http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>
>Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4., which states:
>
>"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of
>devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed recorded
>is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
>Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through
>the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area where the
>legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the speed recorded
>is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
>This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use of
>devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school zone"
>
>
>
>So what were you saying about knowing the law?

Ouch.

Vinnie S.

2698. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:03:01 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <v77411d7dtg6gdbrq67clcqj2abbc1h1ne@4ax.com>
Source record: 196288

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 10:06:20 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>Well, I of the 2 problems is solved. The cutting out on SSB after 3 seconds is
>>solved. The Astron took care of that.
>>
>>But I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as soon as
>>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX meter
>>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is not
>>fluctuating with modulation. The power is not high, about 20 watts peak. Seem
>>the previous owner had it turned down. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and stay
>>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>>
>>I am going t try this in the car and see what I get.
>
>This is a common problem. In many cases, if you remove the cover the
>problem will go away. The final stage is going into oscillation and
>the "carrier" you see is that oscillation. Usually (and unfortunately)
>the oscillation falls in the 29 Mhz area.
>
>Sometimes this problem is caused by added mods that people add to try
>to squeeze a few more watts out of it. There are added capacitors on
>the bottom side of the board that can cause this. If you see an extra
>cap (or two in parallel) on the underside of the board connected to
>the final, remove it and that should cure your problem.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"
>

Thanks. I have not added any mods. I wanted to add some Schottkey diodes for the
receive. However, I have not gone thru the radio to see if the previous owner
added any mods.

Vinnie S.

2699. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:06:25 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <he7411ddri5187ipk0lq5uc1sbfbt3vifn@4ax.com>
Source record: 196289

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 10:06:20 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>Well, I of the 2 problems is solved. The cutting out on SSB after 3 seconds is
>>solved. The Astron took care of that.
>>
>>But I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as soon as
>>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX meter
>>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is not
>>fluctuating with modulation. The power is not high, about 20 watts peak. Seem
>>the previous owner had it turned down. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and stay
>>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>>
>>I am going t try this in the car and see what I get.
>
>This is a common problem. In many cases, if you remove the cover the
>problem will go away. The final stage is going into oscillation and
>the "carrier" you see is that oscillation. Usually (and unfortunately)
>the oscillation falls in the 29 Mhz area.
>
>Sometimes this problem is caused by added mods that people add to try
>to squeeze a few more watts out of it. There are added capacitors on
>the bottom side of the board that can cause this. If you see an extra
>cap (or two in parallel) on the underside of the board connected to
>the final, remove it and that should cure your problem.

You nailed it on the head. Cover off, oscillation gone. Now I have to isolate
it.

Vinnie S.

2700. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:11:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8n7411tqu552163ho95ah4uaeqvbpeqvcs@4ax.com>
Source record: 196290

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:57:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>Any suggestions?

Well, Dave Hall nailed it. It is oscillation. It goes away when the top cover is removed. Now I need a solution.

Vinnie S.

2701. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:11:21 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <JapQd.61890$sr1.43694@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196291

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:he7411ddri5187ipk0lq5uc1sbfbt3vifn@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 10:06:20 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote:
>
>
>>>Well, I of the 2 problems is solved. The cutting out on SSB after 3
>>>seconds is
>>>solved. The Astron took care of that.
>>>
>>>But I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as
>>>soon as
>>>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX
>>>meter
>>>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is
>>>not
>>>fluctuating with modulation. The power is not high, about 20 watts peak.
>>>Seem
>>>the previous owner had it turned down. Like I said, it seems to do this
>>>as soon
>>>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and
>>>stay
>>>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>>>
>>>I am going t try this in the car and see what I get.
>>
>>This is a common problem. In many cases, if you remove the cover the
>>problem will go away. The final stage is going into oscillation and
>>the "carrier" you see is that oscillation. Usually (and unfortunately)
>>the oscillation falls in the 29 Mhz area.
>>
>>Sometimes this problem is caused by added mods that people add to try
>>to squeeze a few more watts out of it. There are added capacitors on
>>the bottom side of the board that can cause this. If you see an extra
>>cap (or two in parallel) on the underside of the board connected to
>>the final, remove it and that should cure your problem.
>
>
> You nailed it on the head. Cover off, oscillation gone. Now I have to
> isolate
> it.
>
> Vinnie S.

Rebias the output.

2702. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:15:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <is7411p6glr11cs11bk0hs130lg33brhv7@4ax.com>
Source record: 196292

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:11:21 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>>>This is a common problem. In many cases, if you remove the cover the
>>>problem will go away. The final stage is going into oscillation and
>>>the "carrier" you see is that oscillation. Usually (and unfortunately)
>>>the oscillation falls in the 29 Mhz area.
>>>
>>>Sometimes this problem is caused by added mods that people add to try
>>>to squeeze a few more watts out of it. There are added capacitors on
>>>the bottom side of the board that can cause this. If you see an extra
>>>cap (or two in parallel) on the underside of the board connected to
>>>the final, remove it and that should cure your problem.
>>
>>
>> You nailed it on the head. Cover off, oscillation gone. Now I have to
>> isolate
>> it.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Rebias the output.
>

Damn !!!!

I was hoping I didn't have to use a DMM. The DMM I have doesn't have current measurements. Well, it's not mine. Mine was actually (Fluke 77). stolen. I have a scope, and freq counter. But to way to adjust bias current. I will ne to get a hold of another DMM.

Vinnie S.

2703. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:22:55 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <zlpQd.61892$sr1.6841@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196344

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:is7411p6glr11cs11bk0hs130lg33brhv7@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:11:21 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>
>
>>>>This is a common problem. In many cases, if you remove the cover the
>>>>problem will go away. The final stage is going into oscillation and
>>>>the "carrier" you see is that oscillation. Usually (and unfortunately)
>>>>the oscillation falls in the 29 Mhz area.
>>>>
>>>>Sometimes this problem is caused by added mods that people add to try
>>>>to squeeze a few more watts out of it. There are added capacitors on
>>>>the bottom side of the board that can cause this. If you see an extra
>>>>cap (or two in parallel) on the underside of the board connected to
>>>>the final, remove it and that should cure your problem.
>>>
>>>
>>> You nailed it on the head. Cover off, oscillation gone. Now I have to
>>> isolate
>>> it.
>>>
>>> Vinnie S.
>>
>>Rebias the output.
>>
>
>
> Damn !!!!
>
> I was hoping I didn't have to use a DMM. The DMM I have doesn't have
> current
> measurements. Well, it's not mine. Mine was actually (Fluke 77). stolen. I
> have
> a scope, and freq counter. But to way to adjust bias current. I will ne to
> get a
> hold of another DMM.
>
> Vinnie S.

I have seen the same situation you are describing in a 2510. The guy never used it SSB, and it worked fine on AM. He later sold it to someone who did use SSB. Turned out the "Tech" who did the frequency mod on the radio was also asked to tune it for maximum. He did so, but never noticed it went into oscillation on SSB. After resetting the bias to the correct level, the

problem went away.

Hope this helps.

2704. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:24:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mg8411thjr049vngoo1nbbhatjsetaecjf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196293

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:22:55 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>>>> You nailed it on the head. Cover off, oscillation gone. Now I have to
>>>> isolate
>>>> it.
>>>>
>>>> Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>Rebias the output.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Damn !!!!
>>
>> I was hoping I didn't have to use a DMM. The DMM I have doesn't have
>> current
>> measurements. Well, it's not mine. Mine was actually (Fluke 77). stolen. I
>> have
>> a scope, and freq counter. But to way to adjust bias current. I will ne to
>> get a
>> hold of another DMM.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>I have seen the same situation you are describing in a 2510. The guy never
>used it SSB, and it worked fine on AM. He later sold it to someone who did
>use SSB. Turned out the "Tech" who did the frequency mod on the radio was
>also asked to tune it for maximum. He did so, but never noticed it went into
>oscillation on SSB. After resetting the bias to the correct level, the
>problem went away.
>
>Hope this helps.
>

Does help. Thanks !!!!!!

Vinnie S.

2705. Re: dah-dit-dah-dit; dah-dah-dah-dit-dah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:31:44 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <COmdnZJgZJ5svo_fRVn-tg@giganews.com>
Source record: 196345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

steveothehomo@yahoo.com wrote:
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>> No I Am Not Him wrote:
>>> Twistedhed wrote:
>>>> From: CL@barahmas.com (Caveat Lector)
>>>>> Hams have been making contacts with Cuba
>>>>
>>>>> for many many years.
>>>>
>>>>> Also North Korea recently. No operations at
>>>>
>>>>> the moment tho. Their choice - not ours.
>>>>
>>>>> For countries Hams can contact see the
>>>>
>>>>> DXCC list at URL;
>>>>
>>>>> http://www.arrl.org/awards/dxcc/dxcclist.txt
>>>>
>>>>> 335 entities there -- both countries and
>>>>
>>>>> islands, etc -- note that Islands such as Guam
>>>>
>>>>> count as an entity.
>>>>
>>>>> I am not aware of any country USA Hams are
>>>>
>>>>> forbidden to contact including Libya, No
>>>>
>>>>> Korea, Cuba, Iran, China, Yemen, etc.
>>>>
>>>>> Countries may chose to prohibit Ham
>>>>
>>>>> operations -- their choice not ours. Example
>>>>
>>>>> Albania and China were off the air for many
>>>>
>>>>> years -- but are now allowed. Some countries
>>>>
>>>>> have very restricted license issues making
>>>>
>>>>> them very rare.
>>>>

>>>>> During the cold war, contacts were allowed
>>>>
>>>>> with the Soviet Union.
>>>>
>>>>> Consider getting a Ham license -- pretty easy
>>>>
>>>>> these days -- there is a no-code VHF license
>>>>
>>>>> and the HF licenses only require 5 wpm code.
>>>>
>>>>> --
>>>>
>>>>> Caveat Lector (Reader Beware) A Ham
>>>>
>>>>> Help The New Hams
>>>>
>>>>> Someone Helped You
>>>>
>>>>> Or did You Forget That ?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Is my code that rusty? <g>
>>>> Thank you for your assistance and providing the link that answered
>>>> my question. It's been a long time since I visited the rules and
>>>> regs concerning this subject as pertaining to ham radio.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Twithed ain't no ham.
>>
>> Have you always had that lisp or is it a more recent effectation?
>> Musta been tough for you growing up to have to put up with all the
>> beatings and such.
>>
>> Explains a lot though.
>>
>> Jeff
>
> Jeff takes it in the ass. Thats whats wrong with "him".

Wow. Insulted by an anonymous poster on usenet.

How will I ever get over it?

Jeff

2706. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:53:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9249-42122903-163@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196346

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:12:12 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 10:12:10 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
David T. Hall wrote:
>In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take

>care of "Speedometer" and speed measuring

>inaccuracies

I am glad you availed yourself the facts of the matter and reclarified
your bullshit above with:

>Well, in all honesty, it mostly is at the cop's

>discretion,

>It's more of an unwritten rule in Pa., that they

>"give" you 5 MPH,

That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa. police
give you the extra speed of a few miles per hour. Nevertheless, you
illustrate once again you speak of things you know nothing of (the law)
and do not need Frank to make you reverse your earlier position. Since
you realized your error, there is no need to provide you with the Pa.
vehicle code. Flip-flop.

>You're right.

Most people are when disagreeing you.

> I shouldn't have deviated from my original

>stance since, as it turns out, I was right about

>it.

>See:

>http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368

>.html

>Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4.,

>which states:

>"No person may be convicted upon evidence

>obtained through the use of devices

>authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless

>the speed recorded is six or more miles per

>hour in excess of the legal speed limit.

Why did you snip the obvious? I'll tell you why, it directly contradicts what you claimed.

>Furthermore, no person may be convicted

>upon evidence obtained through the use of

>devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an

>area where the legal speed limit is less than

>55 miles per hour if the speed recorded is less
>than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal

>speed limit. This paragraph shall not apply to

>evidence obtained through the use of devices

>authorized by paragraph (3) within a school

>zone"

That there is no rule, unwritten or written that requires the officer to ignore a speeder. To wit...the portion you conveniently snipped shows how your claim is not across the board and is an exception, as it pertains ONLY when traveling in areas with posted speeds LESS than 55, whereas the the posted interstate (65 MPH) speeds, of which Pa. finally raised from 55 not too long ago, are the speed LIMITS imposed by the state of Pennsylvania. Once again, so you are no longer confounded and suffering and blaming me for your ignorance,, Pa. has no rule OR law, that requires a LEO to give a window of 5 mph (or ANY speed) to speeders in excess of the state speed limit, which happens to be 65 MPH.

>So what were you saying about knowing the

>law?

>David T Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

Just that you have a devil of a time comprehending it and blame others for your ignorance.

2707. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 16:56:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9248-421229BB-449@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196347

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 10:29:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote:
That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa. police
give you the extra speed of a few miles per hour. Nevertheless, you
illustrate once again you speak of things you know nothing of (the law)
and do not need Frank to make you reverse your earlier position. Since
you realized your error, there is no need to provide you with the Pa.
vehicle code. Flip-flop.

>You're right. I shouldn't have deviated from my
>original stance since, as it turns out, I was

>right about it.

>See:

http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html

>Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4.,

>which states:

"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of
devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed recorded
is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through
the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area where the
legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the speed recorded
is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit. This
paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use of
devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school zone"

>So what were you saying about knowing the

>law?

That your position still doesn't understand that Pa has no law or rule,
written or otherwise, requiring officers to give you a window of ANY
speed when in excess of the state speed limit.

(Ouch.)
(Vinnie S.)

Ha! Vinnie is feeling your pain.

2708. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 17:10:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9248-42122D1C-454@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196348

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:12:12 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>True to form, you

Ahhh,,,,unable to defend the topic, junior does what all unskilled and uneducated louts do,,,they attack the chatter. Dave, you have always been a lid and have one hell of a time debating void of emotion. Get educated, junior.

>show up to pick yet another

>bowl of nits.

So sorry you feel persecuted again, Junior, yet, illustrating your self-contradictory claims has a rather unnerving effect on you. Call it whatever your bruised ego dictates, you talk sideways.

>Nonetheless, you are picking nits. As you

>always do.

Pay attention. Pointing to your inability to discuss a topic without focusing on the poster is presenting an obstacle you have yet to overcome. Such is the way with those suffering massive communication deficits.

-
Not relevant. Your claim that is was a requirement degraded to your current claim it is now a "unwritten" requirement, yet, according to you, 'friends' of yours are cops who affirmed both your claims. Well, Dave, you are full of shit again. I posed your question to several LEOs in a traffic forum and you are wrong. Need the
URL? Care to join me where you can be educated by actual Pa. leos?

>That's interesting. Your adoration with me has

>made you go through the trouble of actually

>seeking out and finding these mythical LEO's,

>just to pose this question.

Adoration is you invoking my name over the weekend no less than seven times when speaking to other newsgroupies about topics of which you are unable to focus. As you stated
last week, you "prefer" to focus on the chatter as opposed to the topic. You would "rather" talk about me as opposed to radio, as I fascinate

you, so. Adoration, indeed.

>Which you did and got a reply back in less

>than a day. Wow.

Actually, I think it came the same day.

>I'm sure this "group" in the same place as that

>fictitious military group that you tried to snow

>Frank with.

But of course, This is the same manner in which you are "sure" roger beeps are illegal, and that other people among these pages suport such a learned ignorant contention.

> But sure, go ahead and give it to me.

Tell ya' what, Dave..just to keep you honest (and screaming like a school girl), I'm going to give it to anyone in this group that emails me and requests it. Of course, you are free to provide for your claim first, and I will provide for my claim, secondly, to you. I know you have problems with proper etiquette and communications, but that is the manner in whcih society operates. Stomping your foot and throwing tantrums about "twisted did this to me" and "twisted did that to me" don't cut it, junior. You want claims provided for when you demand, provide for your own claims you amde first.

>If nothing else, it'll be good for a laugh or two.

Not nearly as good as the one that all of amateur radio is enjoying with you maintaining roger beeps are illegal and that others agree with you...only, you are struggling with providing for a single person who agrees with you.

–
No, you haven't been told that by any Pa. cop, and the LEO forum serves to illustrate your degeneration caused by pathological lies.

>Prove it.

You initiated this "prove it" game, you provide for your claim, first.

> Provide the URL.

>Prediction: nothing will result.

You know yourself, well, Dave, now if only you could learn to like yourself, you may have a chance at living like normal society does.

>David T Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2709. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 18:06:07 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <fee411dcsd7a5quf99lk1rmqh5217dkhmv@4ax.com>
Source record: 196349

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 12:10:52 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>> But sure, go ahead and give it to me.
>
>
>Tell ya' what, Dave..just to keep you honest (and screaming like a
>school girl), I'm going to give it to anyone in this group that emails
>me and requests it.

Ha ha ha!! Ho Ho Ho!!! ROTFLMAO!!!!!

You are so predictable.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2710. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 18:23:07 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9ke411d45jn7v2vp3rvl0l3v4gmabk1u8c@4ax.com>
Source record: 196350

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 11:53:23 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>Most people are when disagreeing you.
>> I shouldn't have deviated from my original
>>stance since, as it turns out, I was right about
>>it.
>>See:

>>http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html

>>Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4.,
>>which states:

>>"No person may be convicted upon evidence
>>obtained through the use of devices
>>authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless
>>the speed recorded is six or more miles per
>>hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
>
>Why did you snip the obvious? I'll tell you why, it directly contradicts
>what you claimed.

I snipped nothing from that passage. Read it again S-L-O-W-L-Y..

>>Furthermore, no person may be convicted
>>upon evidence obtained through the use of
>>devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an
>>area where the legal speed limit is less than
>>55 miles per hour if the speed recorded is less
>>than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
>>speed limit. This paragraph shall not apply to
>>evidence obtained through the use of devices
>>authorized by paragraph (3) within a school
>>zone"
>
>
>That there is no rule, unwritten or written that requires the officer to
>ignore a speeder. To wit...the portion you conveniently snipped shows
>how your claim is not across the board and is an exception, as it
>pertains ONLY when traveling in areas with posted speeds LESS than 55,
>whereas the the posted interstate (65 MPH) speeds, of which Pa. finally
>raised from 55 not too long ago, are the speed LIMITS imposed by the
>state of Pennsylvania.

There are still many limited access roads which are posted at 55. In fact, the majority of places where they allow 65 are those areas where the highway is not in a "congested" (meaning densely populated) area. In most others, it's still 55.

Now, Read the statute again, slowly this time:

"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless
the speed recorded is six or more miles per
hour in excess of the legal speed limit."

That applies to all speeds.

The following paragraph applies specifically to speeds below 55:

"Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit."

Which means (for the cognitively impaired), that when using a method other than RADAR (Which usually means VASCAR) on a road where the posted speed is less than 55, they must give you 10 MPH leeway, or an additional 5 MPH over what the previous paragraph called for..

The minimum that they have to give you on any road and with any speed measuring device is 5 MPH. On certain other roads and with non-RADAR speed devices, they have to give MORE.

The only exception to this rule is:

"This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school
zone"

A school zone is the only place where they can pop you for less than 5 MPH over.

> Pa. has no rule OR law,
>that requires a LEO to give a window of 5 mph (or ANY speed) to speeders
>in excess of the state speed limit, which happens to be 65 MPH.

I just posted it. If you can't comprehend it, that (as usual) is your comprehensive deficiency showing.

Now go ahead and try to spin the school zone angle in another feeble attempt to prove me wrong.

Don't fret though. The statistical probability is that you'll eventually find something you can ding me with someday. It's just that today isn't it.

Now where is that LEO group?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2711. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 18:24:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <egf411hufkji3cm5l9vhm54uvtkl5tdchg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196351

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 11:56:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:

>(Ouch.)
>(Vinnie S.)
>
>Ha! Vinnie is feeling your pain.

Actually that pain is from your foot being firmly implanted in your
mouth (yet again).

Where's that LEO group twist?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2712. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 18:27:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9lf411luib9dj0veo8tbfjlr5q15tsiqib@4ax.com>
Source record: 196352

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:11:21 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>>>Sometimes this problem is caused by added mods that people add to try
>>>to squeeze a few more watts out of it. There are added capacitors on
>>>the bottom side of the board that can cause this. If you see an extra
>>>cap (or two in parallel) on the underside of the board connected to
>>>the final, remove it and that should cure your problem.
>>
>>
>> You nailed it on the head. Cover off, oscillation gone. Now I have to
>> isolate
>> it.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
>Rebias the output.

Probably not a bad idea.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2713. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 19:11:07 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <v5g4115k3nccdnh5j1oocqh2p7aq3bfl9n@4ax.com>
Source record: 196353

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:57:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.
>
>
>I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as soon as
>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX meter
>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is not
>fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power is not high,
>about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and stay
>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>
>So then I tried this.
>
>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I connect it
>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on SSB. However,
>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I modulate. This is
>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an impedance
>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes away, whether
>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on my home
>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.

The final oscillation is most definitely related to output load
impedance. When I first stumbled onto this problem (In some older dual
final Galaxy radios), the problem would never show up on the bench
when I used my dummy load. It also didn't show on my base antenna. But
the problem would happen on the customer's antenna. I managed to force
the problem on my antenna by using a tuner and de-tuning the match
until the oscillation occurred.

The cover of the radio acts like a capacitor, and it's very sensitive
to it.

Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until you
kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I remember
right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30 -
40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2714. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 19:33:23 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108496003.141755.56170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196354

Vinnie S. wrote:
> While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.
>
>
> I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as
soon as
> I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The
TX meter
> on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The
power is not
> fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power is
not high,
> about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
> as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and
stay
> there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>
> So then I tried this.
>
> I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I
connect it
> directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on SSB.
However,
> when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I
modulate. This is
> also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an
impedance
> mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes
away, whether
> or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on my
home
> antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
>
> Any suggestions?
>
>
>
> Vinnie S.

Keyclown radio + amp + keyclown radio theory = constant problems,
that's what is going on, Vinnie.

2715. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 19:36:32 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cutj00tgv1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 196355

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:v5g4115k3nccdnh5j1oocqh2p7aq3bfl9n@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:57:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.
>>
>>
>>I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as soon
>>as
>>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX
>>meter
>>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is
>>not
>>fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power is not
>>high,
>>about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and stay
>>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>>
>>So then I tried this.
>>
>>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I
>>connect it
>>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on SSB.
>>However,
>>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I modulate.
>>This is
>>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an impedance
>>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes away,
>>whether
>>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on my home
>>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
>
> The final oscillation is most definitely related to output load
> impedance. When I first stumbled onto this problem (In some older dual
> final Galaxy radios), the problem would never show up on the bench
> when I used my dummy load. It also didn't show on my base antenna. But
> the problem would happen on the customer's antenna. I managed to force
> the problem on my antenna by using a tuner and de-tuning the match
> until the oscillation occurred.
>
> The cover of the radio acts like a capacitor, and it's very sensitive
> to it.

>
> Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
> transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
> shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until you
> kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I remember
> right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30 -
> 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure that's correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times? When changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do not have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil spreading"?

Chad

2716. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 19:42:41 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108496561.189270.262960@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196356

Chad Wahls wrote:
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:v5g4115k3nccdnh5j1oocqh2p7aq3bfl9n@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:57:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.
> >>
> >>
> >>I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason,
as soon
> >>as
> >>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM.
The TX
> >>meter
> >>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The
power is
> >>not
> >>fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power
is not
> >>high,
> >>about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
> >>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max,
and stay
> >>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
> >>
> >>So then I tried this.
> >>
> >>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I

> >>connect it
> >>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on
SSB.
> >>However,
> >>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I
modulate.
> >>This is
> >>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an
impedance
> >>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes
away,
> >>whether
> >>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on
my home

> >>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
> >
> > The final oscillation is most definitely related to output load
> > impedance. When I first stumbled onto this problem (In some older
dual
> > final Galaxy radios), the problem would never show up on the bench
> > when I used my dummy load. It also didn't show on my base antenna.
But
> > the problem would happen on the customer's antenna. I managed to
force
> > the problem on my antenna by using a tuner and de-tuning the match
> > until the oscillation occurred.
> >
> > The cover of the radio acts like a capacitor, and it's very
sensitive
> > to it.
> >
> > Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
> > transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
> > shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until
you
> > kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I
remember
> > right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30
-
> > 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
> I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure
that's
> correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
> numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times?
When
> changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do
not
> have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil
spreading"?
>
> Chad

LOL "coil spreading". See this is exatcly what I was talking about.
Someone is ALWAYS inside a keyclown radio to try and get it to do more
power, more channels. The result is all kinds of problems. You would
never have these problems if you ran legal gear.

2717. Re: Questions for N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 19:49:06 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108496946.241254.93260@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

Dave Hall wrote:
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 04:13:16 GMT, "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
> >> Go lay down by your bowl, dogie.
> >oh a nice bowl of beef soup with arsenic
> >i think i will like this
> >spin around a few times
> >and have a nice sleep
> >
> >g nite buddy
> >
>
>
> Haiku?

No, Steveu.

2718. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 20:07:08 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108498028.580320.150510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196358

Dave Hall wrote:
> On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:11:21 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
> wrote:
>
>
> >>>Sometimes this problem is caused by added mods that people add to
try
> >>>to squeeze a few more watts out of it. There are added capacitors
on
> >>>the bottom side of the board that can cause this. If you see an
extra
> >>>cap (or two in parallel) on the underside of the board connected
to
> >>>the final, remove it and that should cure your problem.
> >>
> >>
> >> You nailed it on the head. Cover off, oscillation gone. Now I have
to
> >> isolate
> >> it.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >
> >Rebias the output.
>
> Probably not a bad idea.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Look at this crap, http://www.cbtricks.com/~rogerbird/2510/3rf.htm, you
people are totally flying blind with radio butcher mods like that.

2719. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 20:20:14 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cutlhvucl1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 196359

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108496561.189270.262960@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Chad Wahls wrote:
>> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>> news:v5g4115k3nccdnh5j1oocqh2p7aq3bfl9n@4ax.com...
>> > On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:57:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >>While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason,
> as soon
>> >>as
>> >>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM.
> The TX
>> >>meter
>> >>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The
> power is
>> >>not
>> >>fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power
> is not
>> >>high,
>> >>about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>> >>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max,
> and stay
>> >>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>> >>
>> >>So then I tried this.
>> >>
>> >>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I
>
>> >>connect it
>> >>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on
> SSB.
>> >>However,
>> >>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I
> modulate.
>> >>This is
>> >>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an
> impedance
>> >>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes
> away,
>> >>whether

>> >>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on
> my home
>> >>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
>> >
>> > The final oscillation is most definitely related to output load
>> > impedance. When I first stumbled onto this problem (In some older
> dual
>> > final Galaxy radios), the problem would never show up on the bench
>> > when I used my dummy load. It also didn't show on my base antenna.
> But
>> > the problem would happen on the customer's antenna. I managed to
> force
>> > the problem on my antenna by using a tuner and de-tuning the match
>> > until the oscillation occurred.
>> >
>> > The cover of the radio acts like a capacitor, and it's very
> sensitive
>> > to it.
>> >
>> > Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>> > transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>> > shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until
> you
>> > kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I
> remember
>> > right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30
> -
>> > 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> > "Sandbagger"
>>
>> I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure
> that's
>> correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>> numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times?
> When
>> changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do
> not
>> have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil
> spreading"?
>>
>> Chad
>
> LOL "coil spreading". See this is exatcly what I was talking about.
> Someone is ALWAYS inside a keyclown radio to try and get it to do more
> power, more channels. The result is all kinds of problems. You would
> never have these problems if you ran legal gear.
>

But hey it makes more power!!!!! (right where you don't want it) sometimes even lessens the fundamental freq output, but hey it looks good on a meter. (sound of head hitting desk)

I also forgot to mention looking for grounding problems internally, Check all points where the circuit board would ground to the chassis. If it was used mobile they may have worked loose/corroded. Pull those screws out, clean with deoxit and reassemble.

Chad

2720. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 20:58:57 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <hio4119chp22voujnke84hs8f6koon0o3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 196360

>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I connect it
>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on SSB. However,
>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I modulate.

snip

>Any suggestions?

Keep the amp on.

2721. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 22:50:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nou411hhrtpu2cnbr7mfop18038fshi9mc@4ax.com>
Source record: 196361

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:23:07 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <9ke411d45jn7v2vp3rvl0l3v4gmabk1u8c@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 11:53:23 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
>wrote:
>
>>Most people are when disagreeing you.
>>> I shouldn't have deviated from my original
>>>stance since, as it turns out, I was right about
>>>it.
>>>See:
>
>>>http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>
>>>Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4.,
>>>which states:
>
>>>"No person may be convicted upon evidence
>>>obtained through the use of devices
>>>authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless
>>>the speed recorded is six or more miles per
>>>hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
>>
>>Why did you snip the obvious? I'll tell you why, it directly contradicts
>>what you claimed.
>
>I snipped nothing from that passage.

You certainly did. You snipped paragraph (1), which authorizes the use
of "a mechanical or electrical speed timing device", devices that are
-not- limited by paragraph (4). IOW, if the cop -can- bust for doing
56 in a 55 zone if he uses a mechanical speed timing device (e.g, the
speedometer in his own vehicle).

So what were you saying about knowing the law?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2722. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 23:06:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9lv411d6mac09vbsqvq34bpuptg2h9etck@4ax.com>
Source record: 196362

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:23:07 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <9ke411d45jn7v2vp3rvl0l3v4gmabk1u8c@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>I snipped nothing from that passage.

After reading it again I noticed that you also snipped part D from
that subsection, which requires proper calibration of all speed timing
devices, and declares that proof of such calibration "shall be
competent and prima facie evidence of those facts in every proceeding
in which a violation of this title is charged". So if there the device
has been calibrated as required under that part, there can be no legal
challenge of its accuracy. This is in direct contradiction to your
claim that "The courts have a history of throwing out speed citations
for small amounts, due to the potential for inaccuracies in both the
car speedometer and the speed measuring devices."

Maybe -you- should read it again.....S-L-O-W-L-Y.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2723. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-15 23:45:18 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <3c2511h0neqha327u5kood3spdpjgags3e@4ax.com>
Source record: 196363

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2724. Re: FUCK DUG88

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 00:01:54 UTC
From: /3iff //ullins <biff.mullins@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <r635119hsekd4srkhtv3so6vd4577bt198@4ax.com>
Source record: 196364
Cross-posted to: alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.non.sequitur,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.hanson

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 14:10:18 -0000, WeeSaul <ï¿½weesaul@gmail.com?>
wrote:

>JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net> spewed forth:
>
>> in article 186o01l9areft5ic2bs8l899oq1kcjl77l@4ax.com, /3iff //ullins
>> at biff.mullins@gmail.com wrote on 2/10/05 9:31 PM:
>>
>>> On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 06:44:44 +0000 (UTC), CTFM <ctfm@yourisp.not>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>> JungleAcid <jazzkind@fast.net> wrote in
>>>> news:BE306735.55DA%jazzkind@fast.net:
>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Hi dug88. Did you see there is an awful message called fuck dug88
>>>>> floating around the internet? It is brazen nonsense that sounds
>>>>> like wet noodles when you put seafood in your ear.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>> I generally agree with the post above. Someone should remove these
>>>> posts from this groups.
>>>>
>>> math. and lots of it.
>>
>> moth. and lights for it.
>
>madness. and methods for it...
>
marjoram. and cliff huxtable scores it...

2725. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 00:10:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m90511lv24h4f17sro9u8suopmoi2ev7vu@4ax.com>
Source record: 196365

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 11:40:06 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <8hjp01p7nimi94p8dd0nnc6sc3ohmra84a@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 04:30:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
>>>>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.
>>>
>>>
>>>Are you mad? Are you here to tell me that politics is entirely
>>>LOGICAL? If so, you, my friend, are seriously naive.
>>
>>
>>That's not what I said, Dave.
>
>It's what you implied when I stated that politics transcends logic, to
>which you replied that that was not the opinion of a "reasonable"
>person. Tell me Frank, what would be "reasonable" to you?

You said that "politics transcends logic". Unfortunately that's the
truth because there are too many people like you who ignore logic
whenever it conflicts with their beliefs. For example, it is your
belief that I "implied" something different than what I said. Yet my
statement implied nothing of the sort. Your mistaken beliefs are the
result of what happens when reality collides with your own distorted
perceptions, Dave.

>>>Politics is a matter of deciding which "side" makes the most sense to
>>>you, based a lot on faith. Local cannot begin to explain the hows and
>>>whys of the political mind.
>>
>>
>>To some it's based on faith.
>
>To most it is based on faith to some degree. To believe in a
>particular ideology, you have to have some faith that it is the
>"right" choice.

Faith is the belief in the unknown. When it is known that some
policies work and other policies don't, then adopting the policies
that are known to work is not an exercise in faith, but rather a
logical conclusion based upon past performance. And past performance
is a perfectly valid reason to logically conclude that Bush is a poor
choice for president. It's also consistent with your electronic

troubleshooting technique; by your own admission, if a specific component is commonly the cause of a specific type of failure, the failure is most likely due to that component.

>> To some it's based on logic.
>
>Logic can help bolster your core beliefs,

Logic is not an enhancement of a foundation -- it -is- a foundation. It is the process of rational thought. To ignore or abuse logic is, by definition, to think irrationally. You can use logic to rationalize your "core beliefs" all you want, but if those beliefs ultimately conflict with logic then all your rationalizations fail. And that's what's causing your current meltdown, Dave.

> but there is little about
>the whole political process that can be explained by logic alone.

Actually, most (if not all) of the political process -has- a logical explanation. The problem is that some of the motivations happen to be irrational, emotional, or based on "core beliefs".

>>To some it's
>>based on physical appearance, or emotional manipulation, or advice
>>from the psychic friends network. To some it's based on the sound of a
>>name, like "ray-gun" or "Busch". And to some it's based on the power
>>of suggestion, gullibility, or vulnerability to carefully devised
>>propoganda campaigns.
>
>Sort of like your blind refusal to consider "the other side" and the
>discarding of any testimony to that end, as "propaganda"?

Testimony is fine as long as it's supported by facts. None of your offered "testimony" has ever been supported by facts. On the contrary, you defend your testimony based upon the -absense- of facts, which has no more validity than declaring the existence of God because I can't prove he -doesn't- exist. Show me some facts, Dave, and I'll consider your position.

>>>>>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other
>>>>responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left
>>>>with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know
>>>>what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.
>>>
>>>You really are becoming Twisty. You are even starting to spout out the
>>>same unfounded accusations.
>
>>You have a 'tell', Dave.
>

>Really? Wow, I'm impressed.

No, you're concerned, hence the long diatribe that follows (which I will snip for brevity). You give yourself away because you have inadvertently conditioned yourself to give specific responses to specific stimuli, just like Pavlov's dog. You salivate whenever someone rings your bell. For example:

>>>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
>>
>>
>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!! I love early-morning humor!!!!

Translated: =-ding-=

>You find that funny? Then I suppose you have some evidence to disprove
>it?

Translated: "BACON!"

>>> I have
>>>nothing to hide, and don't try to. Maybe that's what pisses you and
>>>your newly found soul mate in Florida off. You can't back me into a
>>>corner, because I've said or done nothing that I'm ashamed of.
>>
>>
>>That's paranoid. Nobody is trying to back you into a corner, Dave. On
>>the contrary, I'm trying to inject some logic and reason into your
>>artificial reality.
>
>*Your* logic and reason. Which is clouded by your own partisan bias.
>But you refuse to see it. Yet the really funny part is that you accuse
>me of doing exactly what you're doing. The thing is that I admit that
>I am a right leaning conservative, and I accept that my viewpoint is
>polarized to some degree according to my political slant. But you
>still try to portray yourself as objective, which is the really funny
>part.

I -am- objective simply because logic is unbiased. It favors no political ideology, cannot be distorted by "core beliefs", and does not have different definitions for different people. I most certainly -do- have biases, but those biases are shaped by facts and logic, not the other way around as is the case with yourself.

>> I'm making progress but it would help if you could
>>open your mind and quit kicking and screaming whenever I state a fact
>>that threatens your faith-based perceptions.
>
>Your "facts" have already been demonstrated to be little more than
>extrapolated conclusions based loosely on factual information, but
>twisted to fit a particular political bias.

My facts can be verified by yourself whenever you are willing to
accept the possibility that reality doesn't give a rat's ass about
your "core beliefs".

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2726. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 00:19:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ut35115ajoik6qn8nsuoege5c97adaug58@4ax.com>
Source record: 196366

On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 07:08:52 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <0s41115p30ptk5tkpev1bvglhs0sc16nv6@4ax.com>:

>On 11 Feb 2005 13:13:56 -0800, "Elmer" <twistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>>> >>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
>>> >
>>> >
>>
>>Popular psychology fostered the idea of self-love. Then along came the
>>assertiveness movement. Originally aimed at instructing people to
>>assert themselves in the workplace in order to get their proper due, it
>>leaped into all aspects of life. Swaggering, evidenced by the stroking
>>of one's ego, reinvented itself as strategy and therapy. It turned out
>>the world was full of people who, by their own admission, had
>>movie-star looks, sensitive souls, healthy incomes, fun-loving natures
>>and no prospects.
>
>>>I've also learned that the more someone becomes frustrated when they
>>>are unable to defend themselves effectively on the issues, they often
>>>turn to vitriolic personal attacks, and making reckless and
>>>unsubstantiated claims about certain aspects of the other person's
>>>life.
>>
>>You might not see it but you do your share.
>>
>
>Hmm, now who are you supposed to be? You have no posting history
>before now, so it's highly likely that you're someone's sock puppet.

Typical "Dave" response: someone says something he doesn't like and he
attacks the person.

>But to your last statement, I agree, I do sometimes "do my share", but
>it's usually reflexive and in response to an uncalled for personal
>attack aimed in my direction.

In case your memory has faded so soon, our recent arguments started
when you did exactly what you now deny: I made no "uncalled for
personal attack" in "your direction" yet you responded as if I had.

>If one want's to stay focused on the topics, I am more than happy to
>remain equally focused. But when the scope of the topic changes, I
>will respond in kind.

Save the rhretoric, Dave. You deviate from the topics so often that
it's impossible for even -you- to keep them all straight.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2727. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 05:14:14 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ual511d9r7uavtndb6i4tfblk4qr61s1lt@4ax.com>
Source record: 196367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
<AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
>
>
>>>
>> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not free, so
>> there's no freeband.... :-)
>>
>> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you have a
>> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10 meter ham
>> band?
>>
>> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
>
>
>Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of time. They
>ARE going after people...

I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

2728. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 06:29:18 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <2LBQd.96801$mt.33945@fed1read03>
Source record: 196368

Dave Hall wrote:
>
> It's his way. He gets hung up on semantics and the literal
> translations of certain words. Picking nits is putting it mildly.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
Dave,
yeah, I seem to notice that this is true for a rather large percentage of
the human race these days (is it me or have IQ's dropped recently?).

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2729. Electronics on ... www.etantonio.it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 07:26:48 UTC
From: etantonio@gmail.com
Message-ID: <467ddbce.0502152326.60b158a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 196369

Hello all,
I want to signal 5 years of notes of Electronic Engineering
at the University of Rome Tor Vergata
proposed to you in the shape of questionnaire with answers,
I spend really much effort at that time and also now for the
publication on Internet,
I would be happy if these notes could be of aid to other persons,
you can find them at the link:

http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/Universita.aspx

and for the electronics in particular:

http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/3anno/ElettronicaApplicata/index.aspx
http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/4anno/DispositiviElettronici/index.aspx
http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/4anno/Microelettronica/index.aspx
http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/4anno/Optoelettronica/index.aspx
http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/5anno/CircuitiIntegratiMicroonde/index.aspx
http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/5anno/ElettronicaMicroonde/index.aspx
http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/5anno/SintesiSistemiIntegratiComplessi/index.aspx

and naturally my Thesis:

http://www.etantonio.it/EN/Universita/Tesi/index.aspx

if you found any inaccuracy or error please let me know...
Thanks for the attention

Eng Antonio D'Ottavio
postmaster@etantonio.it
www.etantonio.it/EN/

2730. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 07:32:57 UTC
From: Charlie <charlie@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <1115tpsej13n242@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 196370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....

--

Charlie
Ham Radio - AD5TH
www.ad5th.com
Live Blues Music
www.492acousticblues.com

"Ham Guy" <Kornform@aol.com> wrote in message
news:ual511d9r7uavtndb6i4tfblk4qr61s1lt@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
> <AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
>>
>>
>>>>
>>> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not free, so
>>> there's no freeband.... :-)
>>>
>>> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you have a
>>> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10 meter ham
>>> band?
>>>
>>> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
>>
>>
>>Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of time. They
>>ARE going after people...
>
> I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

2731. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 11:47:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <rec6119h1aopqbg0gsf3i8mhikehrsir1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 196371

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 14:50:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>
>>I snipped nothing from that passage.
>
>
>You certainly did. You snipped paragraph (1), which authorizes the use
>of "a mechanical or electrical speed timing device", devices that are
>-not- limited by paragraph (4). IOW, if the cop -can- bust for doing
>56 in a 55 zone if he uses a mechanical speed timing device (e.g, the
>speedometer in his own vehicle).

Again, I snipped nothing from the passage that I was excerpting to
make my point. I provided the link to the whole statute, but I only
copied paragraph 4, since that is the one which pertains to this whole
subject. For the sake of brevity and bandwidth, I chose not to copy
the WHOLE thing. That's why I also posted the link. If, as you are
implying, I was attempting subterfuge, would I have provided the link?

>So what were you saying about knowing the law?

I can at least READ it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2732. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:01:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jmc611ddjlj471n2tte79igflm01dpqj0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 196372

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 15:06:54 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:23:07 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <9ke411d45jn7v2vp3rvl0l3v4gmabk1u8c@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>I snipped nothing from that passage.
>
>
>After reading it again I noticed that you also snipped part D from
>that subsection, which requires proper calibration of all speed timing
>devices, and declares that proof of such calibration "shall be
>competent and prima facie evidence of those facts in every proceeding
>in which a violation of this title is charged". So if there the device
>has been calibrated as required under that part, there can be no legal
>challenge of its accuracy.

The reason why this part is included is precisely as a result of such
legal challenges. People still challenge this though, as you might be
surprised at how many police departments allow their speedo cal's to
expire. For this reason, most of the cops that I know do not use
moving speedo timing as a means to catch speeders. They'd much rather
"stake out" a particular road and time people as they pass by. The
degree of violation is such that even when required to give 10 MPH
(Paragraph 3 devices) they still nab a good deal of speeders.

> This is in direct contradiction to your
>claim that "The courts have a history of throwing out speed citations
>for small amounts, due to the potential for inaccuracies in both the
>car speedometer and the speed measuring devices."

There's no contradiction. The fact that part "D" was created was a
result of that history of court challenges. I never said that every
challenge wins or that the percentages of those challenges who won
today is any greater than they were 20 years ago. But that "history"
does exist.

Sigh.

Tweedle-dum goes down for the count with his foot firmly planted in
his mouth, and in comes you to take up the cause. Did you two sign
some sort of a pact?

Are you now attempting to "spin" this as well?

The law is clear. In certain circumstances, the state of Pa. requires that LEO's give AT LEAST a 5 MPH grace when running speed traps.

The greatest majority of speed traps are conducted with devices that fall within the guidelines of paragraphs 2 and 3, and this rule clearly applies

Are you through picking nits?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2733. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:07:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com>
Source record: 196373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 00:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
><AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
>>
>>
>>>>>> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not free, so
>>>>>> there's no freeband.... :-)
>>>>>
>>>>>> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you have a
>>>>>> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10 meter ham
>>>>>> band?
>>>>>
>>>>>> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of time. They
>>>>ARE going after people...
>>>
>>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
popping an unlicensed radio pirate.

If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
detrimental.

The reason freebanding is as rampant as it is is that the FCC is
simply not affecting all that many people. The chances of getting
popped are very slim, and they usually give you a warning first, which
gives you a chance to pull the plug.

Freebanding is a violation of federal radio law, but without effective
enforcement, that earns very little respect among those who pursue it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2734. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:11:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5td6119v9rg4g31tbdutftmrtvdmu9p766@4ax.com>
Source record: 196374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:32:57 -0600, "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com>
wrote:

>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
>comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....

Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.

Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.

From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
make that "rare" contact.

That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2735. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:12:56 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <q3e61196hga9pr6eeg8dbpq85lmut4v7ak@4ax.com>
Source record: 196375

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 06:47:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <rec6119h1aopqbg0gsf3i8mhikehrsir1a@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 14:50:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>>I snipped nothing from that passage.
>>
>>
>>You certainly did. You snipped paragraph (1), which authorizes the use
>>of "a mechanical or electrical speed timing device", devices that are
>>-not- limited by paragraph (4). IOW, if the cop -can- bust for doing
>>56 in a 55 zone if he uses a mechanical speed timing device (e.g, the
>>speedometer in his own vehicle).
>
>Again, I snipped nothing from the passage that I was excerpting to
>make my point. I provided the link to the whole statute, but I only
>copied paragraph 4, since that is the one which pertains to this whole
>subject. For the sake of brevity and bandwidth, I chose not to copy
>the WHOLE thing. That's why I also posted the link. If, as you are
>implying, I was attempting subterfuge, would I have provided the link?

Sure, on the assumption that if you provide the link, people will
assume that you provided all relevant information from the source.

You didn't.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2736. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:15:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <08e61195u5vmiobgl15nnt9348u0ksudfa@4ax.com>
Source record: 196376

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:01:57 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <jmc611ddjlj471n2tte79igflm01dpqj0p@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 15:06:54 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:23:07 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <9ke411d45jn7v2vp3rvl0l3v4gmabk1u8c@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>I snipped nothing from that passage.
>>
>>
>>After reading it again I noticed that you also snipped part D from
>>that subsection, which requires proper calibration of all speed timing
>>devices, and declares that proof of such calibration "shall be
>>competent and prima facie evidence of those facts in every proceeding
>>in which a violation of this title is charged". So if there the device
>>has been calibrated as required under that part, there can be no legal
>>challenge of its accuracy.
>
>The reason why this part is included is precisely as a result of such
>legal challenges. People still challenge this though, as you might be
>surprised at how many police departments allow their speedo cal's to
>expire. For this reason, most of the cops that I know do not use
>moving speedo timing as a means to catch speeders. They'd much rather
>"stake out" a particular road and time people as they pass by. The
>degree of violation is such that even when required to give 10 MPH
>(Paragraph 3 devices) they still nab a good deal of speeders.
>
>
>> This is in direct contradiction to your
>>claim that "The courts have a history of throwing out speed citations
>>for small amounts, due to the potential for inaccuracies in both the
>>car speedometer and the speed measuring devices."
>
>There's no contradiction. The fact that part "D" was created was a
>result of that history of court challenges. I never said that every
>challenge wins or that the percentages of those challenges who won
>today is any greater than they were 20 years ago. But that "history"
>does exist.

What a pile of hogwash.

>Sigh.
>
>Tweedle-dum goes down for the count with his foot firmly planted in
>his mouth, and in comes you to take up the cause. Did you two sign
>some sort of a pact?
>
>Are you now attempting to "spin" this as well?
>
>The law is clear. In certain circumstances, the state of Pa. requires
>that LEO's give AT LEAST a 5 MPH grace when running speed traps.
>
>The greatest majority of speed traps are conducted with devices that
>fall within the guidelines of paragraphs 2 and 3, and this rule
>clearly applies
>
>
>Are you through picking nits?

That depends: are you through quoting law out of context?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2737. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:21:16 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6ce611h2d0um7r15hd3uukmr4hsgh7irf1@4ax.com>
Source record: 196377

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:11:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <5td6119v9rg4g31tbdutftmrtvdmu9p766@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:32:57 -0600, "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
>>comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>
>Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.

Post your source, Dave.

>Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
>If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
>out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.

Even if it means illegal operation.

>From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
>conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
>operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
>make that "rare" contact.
>
>That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why.

You are clueless, Dave. 11 meters can be wide open when 10 and 12
meters are totally dead. That's the nature of the band and that part
of the spectrum. And that's one big reason why many hams operate
illegally on the CB.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2738. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:44:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dvf61193ovb3lfuhg38tua120ojcbjfqn3@4ax.com>
Source record: 196378

I can hear you frantically typing out more excuses, Dave. What's
taking you so long?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2739. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:48:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <h9e611pp4vp6b1opo5mr67bcm0qir05fss@4ax.com>
Source record: 196379

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:10:27 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 11:40:06 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <8hjp01p7nimi94p8dd0nnc6sc3ohmra84a@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 04:30:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>>>>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
>>>>>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Are you mad? Are you here to tell me that politics is entirely
>>>>LOGICAL? If so, you, my friend, are seriously naive.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's not what I said, Dave.
>>
>>It's what you implied when I stated that politics transcends logic, to
>>which you replied that that was not the opinion of a "reasonable"
>>person. Tell me Frank, what would be "reasonable" to you?
>
>
>You said that "politics transcends logic". Unfortunately that's the
>truth because there are too many people like you who ignore logic
>whenever it conflicts with their beliefs.

No one ignores logic. But people can and do bend logic to conform to
what they want to hear.

> For example, it is your
>belief that I "implied" something different than what I said. Yet my
>statement implied nothing of the sort. Your mistaken beliefs are the
>result of what happens when reality collides with your own distorted
>perceptions, Dave.

I can make the same claim about your beliefs Frank, and around we go
again. There's a reason why people say that you shouldn't argue
religion and politics. There just isn't enough real "facts" to make a
definitive decision one way or another.

>>>>Politics is a matter of deciding which "side" makes the most sense to
>>>>you, based a lot on faith. Local cannot begin to explain the hows and
>>>>whys of the political mind.
>>>

>>>
>>>To some it's based on faith.
>>
>>To most it is based on faith to some degree. To believe in a
>>particular ideology, you have to have some faith that it is the
>>"right" choice.
>
>
>Faith is the belief in the unknown.

Exactly. And when deciding something like the war on terror, the
outcome is an unknown, and therefore you have to have faith that the
method that our leader has chose stands the best chance of "winning".

> When it is known that some
>policies work and other policies don't, then adopting the policies
>that are known to work is not an exercise in faith, but rather a
>logical conclusion based upon past performance. And past performance
>is a perfectly valid reason to logically conclude that Bush is a poor
>choice for president.

Based on what facts? What specific policies has Bush performed badly
in as president?

The war against terror, while slow to progress, IS progressing.
Afghanistan has had free elections, Iraq has had free elections. Saudi
Arabia, has just decided to have free elections. There's hope for the
Palestinian issue. Libya has discontinued it's WMD programs, There is
a movement in Iran toward democracy. I'd say that the Bush doctrine
has had a positive effect on the region, despite how the nay-sayers
try to spin it.

To consider that as"performing badly" says much about your
perspective, and even more about your (lack of) objectivity.

> It's also consistent with your electronic
>troubleshooting technique; by your own admission, if a specific
>component is commonly the cause of a specific type of failure, the
>failure is most likely due to that component.

If it walks like a duck........

>>> To some it's based on logic.
>>
>>Logic can help bolster your core beliefs,
>
>
>Logic is not an enhancement of a foundation -- it -is- a foundation.
>It is the process of rational thought. To ignore or abuse logic is, by
>definition, to think irrationally. You can use logic to rationalize
>your "core beliefs" all you want, but if those beliefs ultimately
>conflict with logic then all your rationalizations fail. And that's
>what's causing your current meltdown, Dave.

Hmm, I'm not the one who's turned bitter, crass, and aligned myself with a known newsgroup sociopath and punch clown just to make political points. Who's the one's who's really melting down Frank?

The election must have affected you far more than you realize.

>
>
>> but there is little about
>>the whole political process that can be explained by logic alone.
>
>
>Actually, most (if not all) of the political process -has- a logical
>explanation. The problem is that some of the motivations happen to be
>irrational, emotional, or based on "core beliefs".

Human beings are driven by emotions. There is no logical reason for many of the things that we do. We are not "Vulcans" or "Androids" on Star Trek.

Is it logical to defy federal communications law by running power and freebanding on 11 meters? Is it logical to blow up buildings? Was logic running through Saddam Hussein's mind when he murdered thousands of his own people?

>>>To some it's
>>>based on physical appearance, or emotional manipulation, or advice
>>>from the psychic friends network. To some it's based on the sound of a
>>>name, like "ray-gun" or "Busch". And to some it's based on the power
>>>of suggestion, gullibility, or vulnerability to carefully devised
>>>propoganda campaigns.
>>
>>Sort of like your blind refusal to consider "the other side" and the
>>discarding of any testimony to that end, as "propaganda"?
>
>
>Testimony is fine as long as it's supported by facts. None of your
>offered "testimony" has ever been supported by facts.

There is plenty of evidence to support my "side" of the issue. You have just as much chance of verifying it as you do the "facts" that you feel are irrefutable on you "side". You just refuse to consider it.

> On the contrary,
>you defend your testimony based upon the -absense- of facts, which has
>no more validity than declaring the existence of God because I can't
>prove he -doesn't- exist. Show me some facts, Dave, and I'll consider
>your position.

You don't believe what I presented to you was based on fact, therefore you discarded it. It's ironic that you trash my evidence as propaganda, while your sources, which have equal credibility, are considered as fact. Can you verify your "facts" from any other source

other than websites?

>>>You have a 'tell', Dave.
>>
>>Really? Wow, I'm impressed.
>
>
>No, you're concerned, hence the long diatribe that follows (which I
>will snip for brevity). You give yourself away because you have
>inadvertently conditioned yourself to give specific responses to
>specific stimuli, just like Pavlov's dog.

Please, you've over your head now. You're trying to play my game on my
turf. You're way out of your league. I've been pushing Twisty's
buttons for years now just for fun, (Think: "federal criminal") and
now it looks like I've managed (inadvertently at first) to push a few
of yours. You still haven't recovered from your P.E.S.T..

>You salivate whenever
>someone rings your bell. For example:
>
>
>>>>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
>>>
>>>
>>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!! I love early-morning humor!!!!

>
>Translated: =-ding-=

Yea, ding. You made that last comment.

>
>
>>You find that funny? Then I suppose you have some evidence to disprove
>>it?
>
>
>Translated: "BACON!"

But no evidence?

>>*Your* logic and reason. Which is clouded by your own partisan bias.
>>But you refuse to see it. Yet the really funny part is that you accuse
>>me of doing exactly what you're doing. The thing is that I admit that
>>I am a right leaning conservative, and I accept that my viewpoint is
>>polarized to some degree according to my political slant. But you
>>still try to portray yourself as objective, which is the really funny
>>part.
>
>
>I -am- objective simply because logic is unbiased. It favors no
>political ideology, cannot be distorted by "core beliefs", and does

>not have different definitions for different people.

That's true in theory, but as evidenced by your becoming unglued since the election, is evidence that there is a lot of irrational emotion driving your continued attempts to "educate" me on what you perceive as "wrong".

> I most certainly
>-do- have biases, but those biases are shaped by facts and logic, not
>the other way around as is the case with yourself.

There are none so blind as those who refuse to see.....

At least you're honest enough to admit that you do have biases. But as you just stated before, logic is unbiased and impartially objective. So you need to resolve the difference between what you feel are biases and how it might affect your ability to remain truly impartial and objective.

>>> I'm making progress but it would help if you could
>>>open your mind and quit kicking and screaming whenever I state a fact
>>>that threatens your faith-based perceptions.
>>
>>Your "facts" have already been demonstrated to be little more than
>>extrapolated conclusions based loosely on factual information, but
>>twisted to fit a particular political bias.
>
>
>My facts can be verified by yourself whenever you are willing to
>accept the possibility that reality doesn't give a rat's ass about
>your "core beliefs".

History is full of cases which support my ideology. History has a habit of repeating itself. But just like you discard my using historical failure statistics to help shorten the troubleshooting process, you ignore the lessons of human history, and the primal emotions which drive people.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2740. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:50:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <j9g611hi7ucs0ntfpugsfcr5tj0up4gum9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196380

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:19:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 07:08:52 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <0s41115p30ptk5tkpev1bvglhs0sc16nv6@4ax.com>:
>
>>On 11 Feb 2005 13:13:56 -0800, "Elmer" <twistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>> >>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
>>>>>> >
>>>>>> >
>>>
>>>Popular psychology fostered the idea of self-love. Then along came the
>>>assertiveness movement. Originally aimed at instructing people to
>>>assert themselves in the workplace in order to get their proper due, it
>>>leaped into all aspects of life. Swaggering, evidenced by the stroking
>>>of one's ego, reinvented itself as strategy and therapy. It turned out
>>>the world was full of people who, by their own admission, had
>>>movie-star looks, sensitive souls, healthy incomes, fun-loving natures
>>>and no prospects.
>>
>>>>>>I've also learned that the more someone becomes frustrated when they
>>>>>>are unable to defend themselves effectively on the issues, they often
>>>>>>turn to vitriolic personal attacks, and making reckless and
>>>>>>unsubstantiated claims about certain aspects of the other person's
>>>>>>life.
>>>
>>>You might not see it but you do your share.
>>>
>>
>>Hmm, now who are you supposed to be? You have no posting history
>>before now, so it's highly likely that you're someone's sock puppet.
>
>
>Typical "Dave" response: someone says something he doesn't like and he
>attacks the person.

What part of my statement was untrue? If the truth hurts, that's not
my problem.

>>But to your last statement, I agree, I do sometimes "do my share", but
>>it's usually reflexive and in response to an uncalled for personal
>>attack aimed in my direction.
>

>
>In case your memory has faded so soon, our recent arguments started
>when you did exactly what you now deny: I made no "uncalled for
>personal attack" in "your direction" yet you responded as if I had.
>
>
>>If one want's to stay focused on the topics, I am more than happy to
>>remain equally focused. But when the scope of the topic changes, I
>>will respond in kind.
>
>
>Save the rhretoric, Dave. You deviate from the topics so often that
>it's impossible for even -you- to keep them all straight.

Proof please.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2741. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:54:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7dg6111856ctjmggbansmpdsq1j2nn4rcg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196381

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 23:29:18 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>Dave Hall wrote:
>>
>> It's his way. He gets hung up on semantics and the literal
>> translations of certain words. Picking nits is putting it mildly.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>Dave,
>yeah, I seem to notice that this is true for a rather large percentage of
>the human race these days (is it me or have IQ's dropped recently?).

No, it's not that people are getting dumber, it's just that electronic
forums like the internet (And public two-way radio) allows people to
disregard the rules of common courtesy and decency when they interact
with people in a way that they would never do in a face-to-face
exchange.

Anonymity also plays a large role. Sociopathic people hold their peers
in contempt, and like to use them as "toys" in a big head game. They
feel safe because no one knows who they really are, and that just
enables this behavior.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2742. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 12:58:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <vkg6119ianr93l98q0mdo247hu1jse7mq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 196382

On 15 Feb 2005 12:07:08 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> >Rebias the output.
>>
>> Probably not a bad idea.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>
>Look at this crap, http://www.cbtricks.com/~rogerbird/2510/3rf.htm, you
>people are totally flying blind with radio butcher mods like that.

I don't recommend any aftermarket performance mods. Too often they do
more harm than good. The exception to that is the final transistor mod
where they replace the original transistor with a stud mount MRF 455.
I accept that mod, not for performance enhancement, but because the
MRF 477 is no longer being made and if one needs to be replaced, this
mod may be the best alternative.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2743. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 13:06:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <n2h6119nqvm8f6np362fttbns7lckff8ou@4ax.com>
Source record: 196383

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:50:33 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <j9g611hi7ucs0ntfpugsfcr5tj0up4gum9@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:19:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 14 Feb 2005 07:08:52 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <0s41115p30ptk5tkpev1bvglhs0sc16nv6@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On 11 Feb 2005 13:13:56 -0800, "Elmer" <twistedhed@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>> >>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
>>>>>>> >
>>>>>>> >
>>>>
>>>>Popular psychology fostered the idea of self-love. Then along came the
>>>>assertiveness movement. Originally aimed at instructing people to
>>>>assert themselves in the workplace in order to get their proper due, it
>>>>leaped into all aspects of life. Swaggering, evidenced by the stroking
>>>>of one's ego, reinvented itself as strategy and therapy. It turned out
>>>>the world was full of people who, by their own admission, had
>>>>movie-star looks, sensitive souls, healthy incomes, fun-loving natures
>>>>and no prospects.
>>>
>>>>>>>I've also learned that the more someone becomes frustrated when they
>>>>>>>are unable to defend themselves effectively on the issues, they often
>>>>>>>turn to vitriolic personal attacks, and making reckless and
>>>>>>>unsubstantiated claims about certain aspects of the other person's
>>>>>>>life.
>>>>
>>>>You might not see it but you do your share.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Hmm, now who are you supposed to be? You have no posting history
>>>before now, so it's highly likely that you're someone's sock puppet.
>>
>>
>>Typical "Dave" response: someone says something he doesn't like and he
>>attacks the person.
>
>What part of my statement was untrue?

Your -assumption- that the poster is a "sock puppet", as well your
-assumption- about the poster's posting history.

> If the truth hurts, that's not
>my problem.
>
>>>But to your last statement, I agree, I do sometimes "do my share", but
>>>it's usually reflexive and in response to an uncalled for personal
>>>attack aimed in my direction.
>>
>>
>>In case your memory has faded so soon, our recent arguments started
>>when you did exactly what you now deny: I made no "uncalled for
>>personal attack" in "your direction" yet you responded as if I had.
>>
>>
>>>If one want's to stay focused on the topics, I am more than happy to
>>>remain equally focused. But when the scope of the topic changes, I
>>>will respond in kind.
>>
>>
>>Save the rhretoric, Dave. You deviate from the topics so often that
>>it's impossible for even -you- to keep them all straight.
>
>Proof please.

You proved it yourself in another thread. It's not my fault that your
memory is crap.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2744. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 13:08:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6tg6119pf7cupgi5tu0rrjdl5tfoh0gl3a@4ax.com>
Source record: 196384

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:36:32 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
wrote:

>> Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>> transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>> shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until you
>> kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I remember
>> right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30 -
>> 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure that's
>correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times? When
>changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do not
>have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil spreading"?
>

I checked the factory service manual last night. They recommend
setting both the driver and final bias to 50 mA. That seems a bit
high, as the driver stages in many other radios are set around 40 mA.

My 2510 is set to factory specs and I've never added any "mods" to it,
and it doesn't oscillate.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2745. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 13:09:25 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <42134571.77083421@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 196385

On 15 Feb 2005 11:42:41 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Chad Wahls wrote:
>> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>> news:v5g4115k3nccdnh5j1oocqh2p7aq3bfl9n@4ax.com...
>> > On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:57:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >>While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason,
>as soon
>> >>as
>> >>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM.
>The TX
>> >>meter
>> >>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The
>power is
>> >>not
>> >>fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power
>is not
>> >>high,
>> >>about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>> >>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max,
>and stay
>> >>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>> >>
>> >>So then I tried this.
>> >>
>> >>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I
>>
>> >>connect it
>> >>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on
>SSB.
>> >>However,
>> >>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I
>modulate.
>> >>This is
>> >>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an
>impedance
>> >>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes
>away,

>> >>whether
>> >>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on
>my home
>> >>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
>> >
>> > The final oscillation is most definitely related to output load
>> > impedance. When I first stumbled onto this problem (In some older
>dual
>> > final Galaxy radios), the problem would never show up on the bench
>> > when I used my dummy load. It also didn't show on my base antenna.
>But
>> > the problem would happen on the customer's antenna. I managed to
>force
>> > the problem on my antenna by using a tuner and de-tuning the match
>> > until the oscillation occurred.
>> >
>> > The cover of the radio acts like a capacitor, and it's very
>sensitive
>> > to it.
>> >
>> > Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>> > transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>> > shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until
>you
>> > kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I
>remember
>> > right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30
>-
>> > 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>> >
>> > Dave
>> > "Sandbagger"
>>
>> I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure
>that's
>> correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>> numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times?
>When
>> changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do
>not
>> have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil
>spreading"?
>>
>> Chad
>
>LOL "coil spreading". See this is exatcly what I was talking about.
>Someone is ALWAYS inside a keyclown radio to try and get it to do more
>power, more channels. The result is all kinds of problems. You would
>never have these problems if you ran legal gear.

Thats why they moved the capacitors to the bottom of the radio, more room to spread the coils.

2746. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 13:21:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <63i611p18k50c2pfo3ss06ol01rjodfr53@4ax.com>
Source record: 196386

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:58:22 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On 15 Feb 2005 12:07:08 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
><iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>>> >Rebias the output.
>>>
>>> Probably not a bad idea.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>>
>>Look at this crap, http://www.cbtricks.com/~rogerbird/2510/3rf.htm, you
>>people are totally flying blind with radio butcher mods like that.
>
>I don't recommend any aftermarket performance mods. Too often they do
>more harm than good. The exception to that is the final transistor mod
>where they replace the original transistor with a stud mount MRF 455.
>I accept that mod, not for performance enhancement, but because the
>MRF 477 is no longer being made and if one needs to be replaced, this
>mod may be the best alternative.

I haven't found any mods on this yet. I was going to to that Schottky diode mod
for the receive. Not sure if it will improve that much for a base use unit, as
opposed to mobile.

Vinnie S.

2747. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 13:23:34 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <k7i6119om7o988rne38vf87q79k56ojoas@4ax.com>
Source record: 196387

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:36:32 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
>wrote:
>
>
>>> Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>>> transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>>> shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until you
>>> kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I remember
>>> right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30 -
>>> 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>>I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure that's
>>correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>>numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times? When
>>changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do not
>>have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil spreading"?
>>
>
>I checked the factory service manual last night. They recommend
>setting both the driver and final bias to 50 mA. That seems a bit
>high, as the driver stages in many other radios are set around 40 mA.
>
>My 2510 is set to factory specs and I've never added any "mods" to it,
>and it doesn't oscillate.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

I need a DMM that measures current. any recommendations on one that is good, without breaking the bank? The Flukes run abour $150 on ebay. I didn't want to spend that much.

Vinnie S.

2748. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 13:33:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <joi611piitmbdi5ul8hi9meerjdgembl0f@4ax.com>
Source record: 196388

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:36:32 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
>wrote:
>
>
>>> Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>>> transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>>> shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until you
>>> kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I remember
>>> right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30 -
>>> 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>>I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure that's
>>correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>>numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times? When
>>changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do not
>>have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil spreading"?
>>
>
>I checked the factory service manual last night. They recommend
>setting both the driver and final bias to 50 mA. That seems a bit
>high, as the driver stages in many other radios are set around 40 mA.
>
>My 2510 is set to factory specs and I've never added any "mods" to it,
>and it doesn't oscillate.

Dave,

I do not have a RF VTVM or AF VTVM for the slignment. I do have a Tek 2235 scope and freq counter. I am ordering a DMM. My friend told me instead of the VTVM, use a DMM with a scope probe. That should work for critical voltages. If not critical, I can use a scope. Does that sound right?

Vinnie S.

2749. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 14:31:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0bh611hi2fr1unap5cevecvp8rdh4e1han@4ax.com>
Source record: 196389

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:48:34 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <h9e611pp4vp6b1opo5mr67bcm0qir05fss@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 16:10:27 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 11:40:06 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <8hjp01p7nimi94p8dd0nnc6sc3ohmra84a@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 04:30:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
>>>>>>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Are you mad? Are you here to tell me that politics is entirely
>>>>>LOGICAL? If so, you, my friend, are seriously naive.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That's not what I said, Dave.
>>>
>>>It's what you implied when I stated that politics transcends logic, to
>>>which you replied that that was not the opinion of a "reasonable"
>>>person. Tell me Frank, what would be "reasonable" to you?
>>
>>
>>You said that "politics transcends logic". Unfortunately that's the
>>truth because there are too many people like you who ignore logic
>>whenever it conflicts with their beliefs.
>
>No one ignores logic.

You do. You've proven it many times.

> But people can and do bend logic to conform to
>what they want to hear.

You do. You've proven it many times.

>> For example, it is your
>>belief that I "implied" something different than what I said. Yet my
>>statement implied nothing of the sort. Your mistaken beliefs are the
>>result of what happens when reality collides with your own distorted
>>perceptions, Dave.
>

>I can make the same claim about your beliefs Frank, and around we go
>again. There's a reason why people say that you shouldn't argue
>religion and politics. There just isn't enough real "facts" to make a
>definitive decision one way or another.

That would be great, except for the problem that you have no idea what
my "core beliefs" are. And there are plenty of facts regarding
religion and politics -- some people (such as yourself) tend to ignore
the facts in favor of their "core beliefs".

>>>>>Politics is a matter of deciding which "side" makes the most sense to
>>>>>you, based a lot on faith. Local cannot begin to explain the hows and
>>>>>whys of the political mind.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>To some it's based on faith.
>>>
>>>To most it is based on faith to some degree. To believe in a
>>>particular ideology, you have to have some faith that it is the
>>>"right" choice.
>>
>>
>>Faith is the belief in the unknown.
>
>Exactly. And when deciding something like the war on terror, the
>outcome is an unknown, and therefore you have to have faith that the
>method that our leader has chose stands the best chance of "winning".

That's easy -- the terrorists. They will always win because the "war
on terrorism" is a war on an abstract concept. People like you are
suckers for stuff like that.

>> When it is known that some
>>policies work and other policies don't, then adopting the policies
>>that are known to work is not an exercise in faith, but rather a
>>logical conclusion based upon past performance. And past performance
>>is a perfectly valid reason to logically conclude that Bush is a poor
>>choice for president.
>
>Based on what facts? What specific policies has Bush performed badly
>in as president?

I provided a long list of facts about Bush that you disregarded as
"irrelevant" simply because you ignore the same logic you now invoke.

>The war against terror, while slow to progress, IS progressing.
>Afghanistan has had free elections,

Bullshit. Afghanistan had elections but they weren't "free", no more
than elections in the US are "free". The country is run by drug
producers and warlords, which isn't much different than the US -- the
differences being that US drug producers advertise during "liberal"

media broadcasts, and the warlords control congress through lobbyists.

Like Helen Keller said, you are "free to choose between Tweedle-Dum and Tweedle-Dee". That's not a free election. It's more like what happened under the communist regime in the USSR. In the US you get a couple candidates, but it doesn't matter which one you choose because neither one will accomplish anything significant. So you -do- have two choices when you vote: vote for the status quo or don't vote at all.

> Iraq has had free elections.

Bullshit. Iraq had elections but they weren't "free". The election was for people who are to write their constitution, few Iraqi's knew who was running (let alone what the elections were to determine), very few candidates publically admitted that they were running for the position because of the fear of assassination, and many Iraqi's didn't even vote for fear of terrorist attacks. That wasn't a "free election" in any sense of the word -- it was a sham. In fact, Bush declared the election a success -before- it was held because, as he said, Iraqi's "want to vote", and to him that was enough to declare the election a success. But by that logic, every election in the USSR was a success simply because the people "wanted to vote".

> Saudi
>Arabia, has just decided to have free elections.

They held elections but they weren't "free". Women still don't have the right to vote, and the candidates were severely limited. It was more a show of effort to silence criticism than a true election.

> There's hope for the
>Palestinian issue.

Never gonna happen. Israel will always hate the Palistinians because they are on Palestinian land and the Palestinians won't ever let them forget it.

> Libya has discontinued it's WMD programs,

Sure, and so has the US.

> There is
>a movement in Iran toward democracy.

HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! Do you get your information from comic books, Dave? Iranians are very nationalistic. While some may have a fascination with Western culture, there is no way in hell that they are ever going to accept the ideologies of the US because they consider the American Experiment to be a failure.

> I'd say that the Bush doctrine
>has had a positive effect on the region, despite how the nay-sayers
>try to spin it.

By "nay-sayers" do you mean the people of the region? Because that's what they think, regardless of what you have been told by the Bush propoganda machine.

>To consider that as"performing badly" says much about your
>perspective, and even more about your (lack of) objectivity.

The argument was in context with Bush's track record of business administration; which, by all accounts, shows that he is a pathetic loser. It was -your- argument that past experience is an indicator of the cause of problems. I simply applied your own priciple to politics. If you don't like the conclusion then that's -your- problem because it's -your- logic.

>> It's also consistent with your electronic
>>troubleshooting technique; by your own admission, if a specific
>>component is commonly the cause of a specific type of failure, the
>>failure is most likely due to that component.
>
>If it walks like a duck........

Yep, Bush is a duck.

>>>> To some it's based on logic.
>>>
>>>Logic can help bolster your core beliefs,
>>
>>
>>Logic is not an enhancement of a foundation -- it -is- a foundation.
>>It is the process of rational thought. To ignore or abuse logic is, by
>>definition, to think irrationally. You can use logic to rationalize
>>your "core beliefs" all you want, but if those beliefs ultimately
>>conflict with logic then all your rationalizations fail. And that's
>>what's causing your current meltdown, Dave.
>
>Hmm, I'm not the one who's turned bitter, crass, and aligned myself
>with a known newsgroup sociopath and punch clown just to make
>political points. Who's the one's who's really melting down Frank?
>
>The election must have affected you far more than you realize.

I'm not the one who has aligned myself with a criminal president, defending him with every logical fallacy at my disposal, trying to spin any criticism against him to look like a liberal whine-fest. Bush won the election -- so why are you still defending him?

And BTW, I didn't get spun -- you still haven't addressed the fact that you defend yourself against political criticism by disregarding the same logic that you use to support your ideological beliefs and electronic troubleshooting techniques. Instead you spin the topic by accusing -me- of spinning the topic. What a hypocrite.

>>> but there is little about
>>>the whole political process that can be explained by logic alone.

>>
>>
>>Actually, most (if not all) of the political process -has- a logical
>>explanation. The problem is that some of the motivations happen to be
>>irrational, emotional, or based on "core beliefs".
>
>Human beings are driven by emotions. There is no logical reason for
>many of the things that we do. We are not "Vulcans" or "Androids" on
>Star Trek.

Just because we are human isn't an excuse to ignore logic. I should
remind you that Star Trek is fiction, just like Sherlock Holmes.

>Is it logical to defy federal communications law by running power and
>freebanding on 11 meters? Is it logical to blow up buildings? Was
>logic running through Saddam Hussein's mind when he murdered thousands
>of his own people?

Was it logical to hand biological weapons to Saddam? To buy the
freedom of American hostages by handing weapons to Iran? To tuck tail
and run when we got blown up in Beirut? The "war on terror" started a
long time ago, Dave, and since then the US has been busy arming the
enemy with the very same weapons we now claim are a threat. Is that
logical? If it isn't, then take a good hard look at who is making that
argument -- Bush & Co. If it -is- logical then maybe you should
explain your logic to the rest of us because I don't think that any
reasonable person would agree with you.

>>>>To some it's
>>>>based on physical appearance, or emotional manipulation, or advice
>>>>from the psychic friends network. To some it's based on the sound of a
>>>>name, like "ray-gun" or "Busch". And to some it's based on the power
>>>>of suggestion, gullibility, or vulnerability to carefully devised
>>>>propoganda campaigns.
>>>
>>>Sort of like your blind refusal to consider "the other side" and the
>>>discarding of any testimony to that end, as "propaganda"?
>>
>>
>>Testimony is fine as long as it's supported by facts. None of your
>>offered "testimony" has ever been supported by facts.
>
>There is plenty of evidence to support my "side" of the issue. You
>have just as much chance of verifying it as you do the "facts" that
>you feel are irrefutable on you "side". You just refuse to consider
>it.

I never refuse to consider facts. But you haven't provided any. So
where are -your- facts, Dave?

>> On the contrary,
>>you defend your testimony based upon the -absense- of facts, which has

>>no more validity than declaring the existence of God because I can't
>>prove he -doesn't- exist. Show me some facts, Dave, and I'll consider
>>your position.
>
>You don't believe what I presented to you was based on fact, therefore
>you discarded it.

You presented arguments that you -claim- were "based on fact" but you
never provided the facts. All you provided was a website with a forged
document.

> It's ironic that you trash my evidence as
>propaganda, while your sources, which have equal credibility, are
>considered as fact. Can you verify your "facts" from any other source
>other than websites?

You mean like the fact that Blackwell ignored a subpoena to testify to
the process and procedure of the Ohio election process? Or the fact
that Kerry's service records clearly state that his character of
service was nothing less than honorable? Or the fact that every
serviceman who served from the end of WWII until 1979 was obligated
for 7 years of inactive reserve -after- active duty? How about the
fact that Bush was convicted of a criminal offense?

You don't like the facts. I don't either. But at least I can accept
them as facts.

>>>>You have a 'tell', Dave.
>>>
>>>Really? Wow, I'm impressed.
>>
>>
>>No, you're concerned, hence the long diatribe that follows (which I
>>will snip for brevity). You give yourself away because you have
>>inadvertently conditioned yourself to give specific responses to
>>specific stimuli, just like Pavlov's dog.
>
>Please, you've over your head now. You're trying to play my game on my
>turf. You're way out of your league. I've been pushing Twisty's
>buttons for years now just for fun, (Think: "federal criminal") and
>now it looks like I've managed (inadvertently at first) to push a few
>of yours. You still haven't recovered from your P.E.S.T..
>
>>You salivate whenever
>>someone rings your bell. For example:
>>
>>
>>>>>>I am probably the most straight-up honest person on here.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!! I love early-morning humor!!!!
>

>>
>>Translated: =-ding-=
>
>Yea, ding. You made that last comment.
>
>>
>>
>>>You find that funny? Then I suppose you have some evidence to disprove
>>>it?
>>
>>
>>Translated: "BACON!"
>
>But no evidence?

Dogs don't know it's not bacon!

>>>*Your* logic and reason. Which is clouded by your own partisan bias.
>>>But you refuse to see it. Yet the really funny part is that you accuse
>>>me of doing exactly what you're doing. The thing is that I admit that
>>>I am a right leaning conservative, and I accept that my viewpoint is
>>>polarized to some degree according to my political slant. But you
>>>still try to portray yourself as objective, which is the really funny
>>>part.
>>
>>
>>I -am- objective simply because logic is unbiased. It favors no
>>political ideology, cannot be distorted by "core beliefs", and does
>>not have different definitions for different people.
>
>That's true in theory, but as evidenced by your becoming unglued since
>the election, is evidence that there is a lot of irrational emotion
>driving your continued attempts to "educate" me on what you perceive
>as "wrong".

My emotional reactions have nothing to do with the validity of my
arguments, none of which you can logically dispute (or else you would
have done so by now). All you can do, and all you have done, is call
me names, recite your "core beliefs", and hope that someone falls for
your 'truth by repitition' fallacy.

>> I most certainly
>>-do- have biases, but those biases are shaped by facts and logic, not
>>the other way around as is the case with yourself.
>
>There are none so blind as those who refuse to see.........

Then open your eyes, Dave. The facts have been handed to you on a
silver platter, yet you refuse to even consider them because, by your
-own- admission, they conflict with your "core beliefs". That's called
"blind obedience", and it's not even the characteristic of a good
soldier let alone a spokesperson for a political ideology.

>At least you're honest enough to admit that you do have biases. But as
>you just stated before, logic is unbiased and impartially objective.
>So you need to resolve the difference between what you feel are biases
>and how it might affect your ability to remain truly impartial and
>objective.

Where have I not been impartial and objective? I used to support Bush,
yet when I learned the facts I withdrew my support. And believe me, it
wasn't easy for me to dismiss my previous position and accept the fact
that Twisty was right all along. Now how is that -not- impartial and
objective?

>>>> I'm making progress but it would help if you could
>>>>open your mind and quit kicking and screaming whenever I state a fact
>>>>that threatens your faith-based perceptions.
>>>
>>>Your "facts" have already been demonstrated to be little more than
>>>extrapolated conclusions based loosely on factual information, but
>>>twisted to fit a particular political bias.
>>
>>
>>My facts can be verified by yourself whenever you are willing to
>>accept the possibility that reality doesn't give a rat's ass about
>>your "core beliefs".
>
>History is full of cases which support my ideology.

History is full of cases that support Hitler's ideology. What's your
point?

> History has a
>habit of repeating itself. But just like you discard my using
>historical failure statistics to help shorten the troubleshooting
>process, you ignore the lessons of human history, and the primal
>emotions which drive people.

Who said anything about history? I said you can't address the facts.
You can't. You have been spoon-fed the facts and you refuse to even
admit they exist, let alone consider their importance. And if you
think that human "primal instinct" is what drives the political
process then maybe you should explain what it means to be civilized. I
don't think that's beyond your capability -- assuming, of course, that
you are willing to admit certain facts.......

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2750. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 14:59:05 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZcJQd.515$DC6.387@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196390

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:j9g611hi7ucs0ntfpugsfcr5tj0up4gum9@4ax.com...

>>Save the rhretoric, Dave. You deviate from the topics so often that
>>it's impossible for even -you- to keep them all straight.
>
> Proof please.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

You posted at 4:07 in a thread:

>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

Your response to the question was:

"Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement actions.
It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed ham for
operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in popping an
unlicensed radio pirate."

4 minutes later you post again, same thread, different post:

>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is
> limited by comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....

"Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.

Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands. If DX
is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek out
whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.

From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to make
that "rare" contact.

That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why."

Now you asked Dave, please don't attack the messenger.

I've watched the speed thread and contrary to what you
interpret, there is no written or "Unwritten" (to which no-one
could prove... Because it's unwritten) law saying a Cop can't
write you a ticket for going 56 in a 55 MPH zone.
Will the cop win in court? Probably, not enough people

know that they can ask for certificate of calibration on his
gun or clocking device or that they should go and get "their" own speedo
calibrated.
By the way, Vascar is illegal in California, it's considered
entrapment, so there's another defense.

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

2751. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 14:59:05 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ZcJQd.514$DC6.161@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 00:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
>><AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...

Dave responds with:
>
> Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
> actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
> ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
> popping an unlicensed radio pirate.
>
> If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
> detrimental.
>
> The reason freebanding is as rampant as it is is that the FCC is
> simply not affecting all that many people. The chances of getting
> popped are very slim, and they usually give you a warning first, which
> gives you a chance to pull the plug.
>
> Freebanding is a violation of federal radio law, but without effective
> enforcement, that earns very little respect among those who pursue it.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Dave, you type this above, then type this 4 minutes later.
Make up your mind:

Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.

Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.

From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
make that "rare" contact.

That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

2752. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 15:11:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <itn611p0hmrvmjd7ro95emubc9jbc3skd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 196392

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 04:21:16 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:11:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <5td6119v9rg4g31tbdutftmrtvdmu9p766@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:32:57 -0600, "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
>>>comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>>
>>Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.
>
>
>Post your source, Dave.

Common sense, and statistical probability. At the time CB was at it's
biggest peak, the number of licensed CB'ers outnumbered hams by nearly
10:1. With that many CB'ers it stands to reason that the greatest
source of freeband activity comes from CB.

>>Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
>>If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
>>out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>
>
>Even if it means illegal operation.

Shameful but true. Even some hams have a tough time obeying the rules
these days.

>
>
>>From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
>>conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
>>operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
>>make that "rare" contact.
>>
>>That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why.
>
>
>You are clueless, Dave. 11 meters can be wide open when 10 and 12
>meters are totally dead. That's the nature of the band and that part
>of the spectrum. And that's one big reason why many hams operate
>illegally on the CB.

Pure B.S. Frank. There is no way that 11 meters can be "wide open" and 10 meters not be equally open. They're only 1 Mhz apart, so propagation characteristics are virtually the same. Even if you try to use the MUF argument, then how would that explain 12 meters? Surely you aren't going to argue, just for the sake of arguing, that the conditions on 27.555 are all that much different than what's on 28.005?

What IS different though are the amount of operators present. The old; "If a tree fell in the woods and no one was there, did it really make a noise" analogy holds here. If the 10 meter band was wide open but no one was using it, were the conditions really different?

The plain truth is that 12 meters is a lightly used ham band. 10 meters is pretty much only active when the sunspot cycle is high, and people look there for DX. Otherwise hams tend to flock to 15 meters and lower to make their DX contacts. If a sporadic band opening happens on 10 meters, most would miss it. But with millions of CBers flooding the legal channels, you have an instant beacon that tells when the band is opening. Just listen to the noise level rise.

Is your zeal to argue with me overriding the illogic of your position? Besides, I though you had a new job? Isn't it time you got to work?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2753. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 15:15:30 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cuvo2igam1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 196393

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:42134571.77083421@news.easynews.com...
> On 15 Feb 2005 11:42:41 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
> <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Chad Wahls wrote:
>>> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>> news:v5g4115k3nccdnh5j1oocqh2p7aq3bfl9n@4ax.com...
>>> > On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:57:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>> > wrote:
>>> >
>>> >>While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >>I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason,
>>as soon
>>> >>as
>>> >>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM.
>>The TX
>>> >>meter
>>> >>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The
>>power is
>>> >>not
>>> >>fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power
>>is not
>>> >>high,
>>> >>about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>>> >>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max,
>>and stay
>>> >>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>>> >>
>>> >>So then I tried this.
>>> >>
>>> >>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I
>>>
>>> >>connect it
>>> >>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on
>>SSB.
>>> >>However,
>>> >>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I
>>modulate.
>>> >>This is
>>> >>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an
>>impedance

>>> >>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes
>>away,
>>> >>whether
>>> >>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on
>>my home
>>> >>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
>>> >
>>> > The final oscillation is most definitely related to output load
>>> > impedance. When I first stumbled onto this problem (In some older
>>dual
>>> > final Galaxy radios), the problem would never show up on the bench
>>> > when I used my dummy load. It also didn't show on my base antenna.
>>But
>>> > the problem would happen on the customer's antenna. I managed to
>>force
>>> > the problem on my antenna by using a tuner and de-tuning the match
>>> > until the oscillation occurred.
>>> >
>>> > The cover of the radio acts like a capacitor, and it's very
>>sensitive
>>> > to it.
>>> >
>>> > Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>>> > transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>>> > shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until
>>you
>>> > kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I
>>remember
>>> > right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30
>>-
>>> > 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>>> >
>>> > Dave
>>> > "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>> I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure
>>that's
>>> correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>>> numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times?
>>When
>>> changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do
>>not
>>> have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil
>>spreading"?
>>>
>>> Chad
>>
>>LOL "coil spreading". See this is exatcly what I was talking about.
>>Someone is ALWAYS inside a keyclown radio to try and get it to do more
>>power, more channels. The result is all kinds of problems. You would

>>never have these problems if you ran legal gear.
>
> Thats why they moved the capacitors to the bottom of the radio, more
> room to spread the coils.

Good one! First laugh I've had here in a while!

Chad

2754. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 15:26:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <j5p611pc3rbh4fba49s2d6lj09ioc6adrv@4ax.com>
Source record: 196394

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 05:06:28 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>>Hmm, now who are you supposed to be? You have no posting history
>>>>before now, so it's highly likely that you're someone's sock puppet.
>>>
>>>
>>>Typical "Dave" response: someone says something he doesn't like and he
>>>attacks the person.
>>
>>What part of my statement was untrue?
>
>
>Your -assumption- that the poster is a "sock puppet", as well your
>-assumption- about the poster's posting history.

Google pretty much answered that question. There WAS no posting
history by the person known as "elmer". That brings about two
possibilities:

1. He was a long term lurker who just happened to choose this moment
to introduce himself.

2. "Elmer" is a pseudonym for another person.

Considering the proliferation of name-calling sock puppets in the
recent past, what is the statistical probability that choice 1 is more
likely than choice 2.

>>>>If one want's to stay focused on the topics, I am more than happy to
>>>>remain equally focused. But when the scope of the topic changes, I
>>>>will respond in kind.
>>>
>>>
>>>Save the rhretoric, Dave. You deviate from the topics so often that
>>>it's impossible for even -you- to keep them all straight.
>>
>>Proof please.
>
>
>You proved it yourself in another thread. It's not my fault that your
>memory is crap.

You made the statement, now you provide the proof. When have I
deviated from the topic at hand, other than to defend against a
irrelevant statement made to me first?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2755. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 15:28:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <iip611dt29i87cpisiigov5858l2qf2ai9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196395

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:07:45 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>> Vinnie S.
>>
>
>Ouch , Exactly
>Pennsy isn't big on speed enforcement anyhow. Pennsy is not a radar stste
>by any means most towns dont even have them they use the time and
>distance (vascar) method. which is why they probably have 6 mph relief

That's because in Pa, only the state police can be certified to use
RADAR. Local cops have to rely on other methods like VASCAR.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2756. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 15:35:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <csp611pfqpdu8ilj3anqauv3m0798pb4f3@4ax.com>
Source record: 196396

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:23:34 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:36:32 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>> Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>>>>>> transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>>>>>> shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until you
>>>>>> kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I remember
>>>>>> right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30 -
>>>>>> 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>>>>>
>>>>>> Dave
>>>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>>I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure that's
>>>correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>>>numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times? When
>>>changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do not
>>>have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil spreading"?
>>>
>>
>>I checked the factory service manual last night. They recommend
>>setting both the driver and final bias to 50 mA. That seems a bit
>>high, as the driver stages in many other radios are set around 40 mA.
>>
>>My 2510 is set to factory specs and I've never added any "mods" to it,
>>and it doesn't oscillate.
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>I need a DMM that measures current. any recommendations on one that is good,
>without breaking the bank? The Flukes run abour $150 on ebay. I didn't want to
>spend that much.
>

For current settings, I use a simple 15 year old Radio Shack VOM. It's
analog, but it's not that critical, and they (At least they used to
be) cheap, and available.

I also have a Textronix DMM that does current, but it's not as
portable.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2757. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 15:47:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <e2q611pq6ladu904m8p57efu9qgi53vf6h@4ax.com>
Source record: 196397

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:33:04 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:36:32 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
>>wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>> Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>>>>>> transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>>>>>> shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until you
>>>>>> kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I remember
>>>>>> right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30 -
>>>>>> 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dave
>>>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>>
>>>>I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure that's
>>>>correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>>>>numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times? When
>>>>changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do not
>>>>have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil spreading"?
>>>>
>>>
>>>I checked the factory service manual last night. They recommend
>>>setting both the driver and final bias to 50 mA. That seems a bit
>>>high, as the driver stages in many other radios are set around 40 mA.
>>>
>>>My 2510 is set to factory specs and I've never added any "mods" to it,
>>>and it doesn't oscillate.
>>
>>
>>Dave,
>>
>>I do not have a RF VTVM or AF VTVM for the slignment. I do have a Tek 2235 scope
>>and freq counter. I am ordering a DMM. My friend told me instead of the VTVM,
>>use a DMM with a scope probe. That should work for critical voltages. If not
>>critical, I can use a scope. Does that sound right?
>>

A DMM is not necessarily more accurate than a scope, it's just more
precise. If you need to make a voltage reading that goes out 2 decimal
places, the DMM can indicate that (even if it might be beyond its

calibrated accuracy in some cases).

A scope is nice. The TEK 2235 works well. I have one of those and it's great for doing two tone and modulation tests. You can actually "peak" a radio with the scope by simply tuning for tallest amplitude of the waveform. Remember when looking at a scope that it measures in voltage. Twice the voltage equates to about 4 times the power in watts. So when you set for 100% modulation, I usually set the carrier wave for two divisions in amplitude, and then 100% modulation will increase that to 4 divisions.

A scope is also high impedance so it should not affect circuits all that much. A scope is basically a voltmeter that displays in the time domain, so anything you can measure with a DMM can be measured with a scope.

I also prefer having a spectrum analyzer (I have an HP 8558). It is real nice for checking spurious emissions and oscillations. Many times aligning a radio by meter alone will not give the cleanest output. I usually align with the analyzer for cleanest output.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2758. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 15:54:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <upq611pldsuprccvtajcti5oub0ojlf8f2@4ax.com>
Source record: 196398

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 06:31:42 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>>Your "facts" have already been demonstrated to be little more than
>>>>extrapolated conclusions based loosely on factual information, but
>>>>twisted to fit a particular political bias.

The really sad part is that you don't see that you do this. That is
why it is pointless to argue with you. You feel that your "sources"
are completely factual, while mine are "propaganda". What you call
"facts" are nothing more that reports written by other people. The
credibility and agenda of those people call into question the validity
of your "facts". You can't verify any of it. You are trusting that
other people are telling you the truth. Who says that they are?

The fact that Bush was once busted for a minor traffic offense is a
diversion. Ted Kennedy was responsible for the death of Mary Jo
Kopechne, and he's still in office, so the point is irrelevant.

You choose to believe what you want to believe. The national Enquirer
isn't losing subscriptions any time soon either.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2759. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:08:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <len611drkmorjn9crnsemfchqtdteat4f9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196399

On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 15:34:29 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <5gsp01d7p1aej1mo9th2jt4pv25i9cnlbp@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 11 Feb 2005 04:29:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 10 Feb 2005 11:33:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <innm01lmv00k2lr3kue3t5m7chkbc43j33@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Wed, 09 Feb 2005 19:22:55 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 07 Feb 2005 11:30:03 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>>wrote in <vhte01hgdtsgmifpjrgskp814u067v6g48@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>>>On Fri, 04 Feb 2005 13:34:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>Sherlock Holmes was not a real person
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>No, but Sir Arthur Conan Doyle was, and he wrote it.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Sir Arthur Conan Doyle wrote fiction.
>>>>>
>>>>>But the principle is still sound.
>>>>
>>>>It is "sound" only so far as you are able to exclude all other
>>>>possibilities -- which is, quite literally, impossible. If it -was-
>>>>possible then we would have proof of the existence (or nonexistence)
>>>>of God. We don't.
>>>
>>>So, your assertion is that since we cannot exclude all other practical
>>>possibilities in areas like God, and creation, that we cannot exclude
>>>other possibilities to such finite areas as electronic
>>>troubleshooting?
>>
>>It's good to see that you are asking for clarification instead of
>>asserting your own assumptions regarding my statements, but the
>>question still smells of speculation. I can't make this simple enough
>>for you to understand, but it should be simple enough for most
>>everyone else. All I ask is that you -try- to keep up:
>>

>>If you are going to assert an absolute conclusion then you must
>>eliminate -all- other possibilities, probable or not. Doyle clearly
>>confirms this by inclusion of the phrase, "however unlikely". Yet
>>Doyle's process of elimination is, in fact, self-contradictory -- the
>>argument attempts to ascertain an absolute conclusion by excluding all
>>other possibilities -regardless- of probability. Now if there is any
>>possibility of the existence of an omnipotent God then there must be
>>an -infinite- number of possible causes for any given event.
>
>Why is that? The existence of God is but one aspect of many. It's also
>one thing to acknowledge the existence of God. It's totally another to
>attempt to define him. To define something implies setting parameters,
>and limits (Even if those limits are supposedly limitless), which is
>beyond our ability to do.

The Christian religion defines God as omnipotent, a "supreme being"
without limits. Yet according -your- explanation, the mere fact that
God is defined as omnipotent necessarily contradicts itself -- both
cannot be true because if God is 'undefinable' then it is impossible
to define God as -anything-, let alone 'omnipotent'.

>> But you
>>don't need to believe in God to understand the infinity rule. Both the
>>Heisenberg principle and quantum physics maintain that random events
>>can and do occur without cause, and both are proven scientific fact.
>>The big problem is that we live in the real world, and that both our
>>resources and ourselves are finite. Therefore, the application of the
>>process of elimination, to the exclusion of -all- other possibilities,
>>likely or not, is ultimately impossible.
>
>Which is why we apply practical limitations, and not take things so
>literally.

Doyle's argument does not set any practical limitations. On the
contrary, it's an argument that establishes the literal possibility of
the impractical.

>Once again, I remind you, I do not believe is absolutes. Most events
>are relative.

And once again, I remind you that what you believe is irrelevant.

>>The principle is no more than a temporal exercise in philosophy.
>>
>>
>>>>Once again, Doyle wrote fiction.
>>>
>>>And once again, the principle is sound.
>>
>>
>>The priciple is sound in structure but not in practice.
>

>It is if you apply a little common sense and practicality.

So you're suggesting that one should accept an argument that
establishes the unlikely as an absolute, but apply it within the
limits of likelyhood? That's dumb, Dave.

>>>>Lightning is an act of nature. And why would you think that God is
>>>>limited to throwing lightning bolts?
>>>
>>>I'd imagine that a cap crushed in an earthquake would fail as well,
>>>and the failure would be easily identified. Floods? Swarms of locusts?
>>
>>
>>Molecular transformation? EMP? Wormhole? Gamma radiation? Solar flare?
>>Or how about divine will? In order for Doyle's argument to be
>>practical then possibilities such as those -must- be eliminated.
>
>Why? There is no precedent setting evidence to indicate that any of
>those acts have occurred in the past. So why consider them now? That's
>what I mean by statistical probability.

Doyle's argument is a proof -against- statistical probability. The
mere fact that such things are possible, regardless of statistical
improbability, puts them on the list of possibilities that must be
excluded before resolving to another statistical improbability.

>>>>You have made two different arguments here. One is inductive and based
>>>>upon probability; the other is deductive where the conclusion is an
>>>>absolute (Doyle). Quit flip-flopping and make up your mind.
>>>
>>>Remember Frank, I am somewhat of a relativist. I do not believe in
>>>absolutes except in certain undeniable cases. The "flip-flopping" is
>>>your interpretation of what I have been saying.
>>
>>
>>Change is absolute. Unless the Universe locks up and freezes solid,
>>change is both continuous and infinite.
>
>Change is also relative. Without something to compare to, you cannot
>define the change.

Which doesn't negate the fact that change is absolute.

>>Therefore, there are an
>>infinite number of absolutes.
>
>No there aren't. There are very few absolutes. Change is also not
>absolute as it can stop at some point. But change is relative to the
>past.

Time is the passage of events. Change is the effect of an event. So as
long as time continues, changes will occur. And time will continue as

long as things continue to change. So like I said before, unless the
Universe locks up and freezes solid, change is both continuous and
infinite.

>>This is true -regardless- of what you
>>believe. Dave, don't you see how your belief system is interfering
>>with your reasoning processes?
>
>Not when you look at it from the terms of relativity.

Special or general?

>>>Any good troubleshooter will tell you that there is a percentage of
>>>probability that certain components will fail. There are also a finite
>>>number of probable causes for failure. That's why we tend to look in
>>>certain areas first.
>>
>>
>>Any good troubleshooter won't jump to any conclusions. "WE", referring
>>to -good- troubleshooters (and doesn't include yourself), begin with a
>>thorough observation -- functional testing and inspection. When the
>>symptoms (or lack thereof) have been determined then WE use logic to
>>determine what circuit or circuits are affected or responsible.
>
>Gee Frank, you've described the exact process that I use.

Then why did you describe a different process?

> But knowing
>the statistical failure probabilities relative to the symptoms allow
>"us" (Troubleshooters who know how to think outside of the box) to
>save a great deal of time by investigating known problem areas first.
>Call it taking shortcuts if you want. But whatever you feel
>comfortable calling it, the end result is that I can get the job done
>in les time. And, as you should know, time is money.

The difference is that I don't cut corners in an attempt to save time.
Quality is also money, and it's because I do quality work that I never
found CB repair to be a profitable occupation -- I won't sacrifice
quality for speed.

>>Then
>>WE use further testing to narrow down and isolate the faulty component
>>or components. And then WE use the same logic to determine what caused
>>the component or components to fail. The troubleshooting process has
>>-=NOTHING=- to do with probability -- if it did then it would be
>>called "troubleguessing", which is what -you- practice as evidenced by
>>your own statements.
>
>What you call "guessing" is what I call calling on experience to
>identify.
>

>When you work on very few things, obviously, you cannot be expected to
>have built up a great degree of history in statistical probability.
>But when you've worked on the sheer amount of similar radios that I
>have, in the last 30 years, history has a way of repeating itself. I
>merely avail myself of that. It hasn't failed me yet. To date, I have
>never had a radio come in that I couldn't fix, including some that
>other techs "gave up" on.

So maybe now you see how all those "irrelevant" facts about Bush add
up to a very relevant issue.

>When I was back in technical school in the late 70's our shop had a
>"race" to see who was the fastest troubleshooter. My opponent went
>down a programmed list of steps to determine where the fault of the
>radio (a basic 5 tube design) was located. I looked at the symptoms,
>and basing what I saw with past experience, I skipped many of those
>programmed steps. The end result was that I finished in half the time.

Like I said, that's one crappy school. So what's the name of your tech
school, Dave?

>>>The power supply cap example was made to illustrate the types of
>>>failure that caps can have.
>>
>>
>>The subject was not about the types of capacitor failures; it was
>>about your presumption that the fault was due to the failure of a
>>capacitor.
>
>In my experience, when you have a cap in shreds, the odds are good,
>that the cap failed. When no other part is defective, or contributed
>to the failure, excepting mythical acts of "god", the obvious
>conclusion is that the part failed. When the part is replaced and the
>equipment is returned to service, with no further problem, the case is
>closed.

There's one problem with that argument: the OP didn't say he had a
"cap in shreds".

>>Dave, for the SEVENTH TIME, I -never- said they didn't! Do you have a
>>reading comprehension problem? Is there some other language that you
>>understand better than common English? Or do I need to repeat things a
>>dozen times or more before a simple concept finally soaks into your
>>thick head?
>
>I enjoy it when you get steamed. It brings out your true contempt.

And you beg me for proof that you lie.....

>>>>> In the solid state equipment, cap failures are
>>>>>less obvious and usually not total. On older radios (25+ years) the
>>>>>main cap failure mode is excessive deviation from original value. You
>>>>>don't like the term "drying out", but whatever process is responsible

>>>>>for this phenomenon is quite prevalent.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Again in contrast to your stated experience, I have rarely seen caps
>>>>in solid-state circuits deviate significantly from their rated
>>>>tolerance (unless there was a total failure). On the contrary, I
>>>>-have- seen such deviation much more frequently in high-voltage power
>>>>supplies. You call it "drying-out", I call it "aging" -- it amounts to
>>>>the same thing.
>>>
>>>Yes, it pretty much does amount to the same thing. I am puzzled that
>>>you've never seen large value changes.
>>
>>
>>You are puzzled because you can't read. I said, "I have rarely seen
>>caps in solid-state circuits deviate significantly from their rated
>>tolerance (unless there was a total failure)." I -didn't- say "never",
>>and I -didn't- say that the variations weren't large. Electrolytics
>>usually have a very wide tolerance range. I have measured -plenty- of
>>caps that did not match the labeled uF but -were- within the specified
>>tolerance.
>
>Ever since I got my capacitance tester, I was surprised at the number
>of caps that test "out of tolerance", yet still seem to work to some
>degree. That leads me to conclude that there are probably many more
>caps in working equipment that are out of tolerance, but because the
>circuit isn't critical, you never know it.

Considering that most electrolytics have a tolerance of -20 to +80%
(or something similar, but usually quite large), maybe you need to
either find a magnifying glass or a different cap tester.

>>> Ceramic "disk" caps are pretty
>>>good at holding value.
>>
>>
>>They usually have a much tighter tolerance than electrolytics.
>
>Yes, I agree.
>
>
>>> I have seen some mylar caps change though.
>>
>>
>>Never seen mylar caps deviate from their tolerance (unless they were
>>fused). I -have- seen many paper caps that way out of tolerance.
>
>I had a switching power supply in a Yaesu FT-726R which was crowbaring
>due to excessive voltage. Even when fully loaded, the supply voltage
>would rise to about 17V (With the crowbar disabled). The voltage
>adjustment was set to minimum. The solution turned out to be two mylar

>caps in the switching oscillator circuit which had shifted tolerance,
>which allowed the switching pulse width frequency to increase beyond
>the range that the feedback circuit could control. Replacing those
>caps, allowed the voltage to return to the proper level.
>
>That was one more recent example. There have been others.

Caps don't "shift tolerance". Either they are within the rated
tolerance or not. If they are outside the tolerance then they are bad,
but I have yet to see a mylar that was outside it's rated tolerance
(unless it was wide open). Since you have run into the problem several
times and you keep your bad parts, how about sending me one? I would
like to see it for myself, and would also like to know what brand so I
can avoid them in the future. I'll even pay shipping.

>Paper caps are probably the worst. I had one in an old Lafayette tube
>rig short out and that took out a resistor in series with it.
>
>
>>> But
>>>small electrolytics are known for value changes. The thing is that you
>>>normally won't know it unless the cap is critical to the stability to
>>>the circuit.
>>
>>
>>If the designer didn't account for variation within the tolerance of
>>the component then that's a design flaw.
>
>I tend to agree with you that circuits should not be designed to work
>only with optimal values. But in today's market of quick and cheap
>electronics, that's not usually the case.

Hmmmm..... "quick and cheap"..... did some of those engineers graduate
from the same tech school as you?

>>>I have had low audio gain problem is CB radios where the problem
>>>turned out to be a nearly open electroyitic coupling cap. Not once or
>>>twice, but many times. These problems started happening (not so
>>>coincidentally) when radios started being made in Malaysia and other
>>>"low budget" shops.
>>
>>
>>I have seen similar problems many times, and it is not the result of
>>"drying out". The failure is almost always attributable to the AC
>>peaks swinging above the DC rating of the cap.
>>And before you go on a rant about how there is no AC, I'll explain it
>>in 1920's terminology so you can understand it: Given a cap rated for
>>16 volts DC, with DC bias of 14 volts, and a -signal- that swings from
>>10 to 18 volts (which, in contemporary terminology would be described
>>as having an 8Vp-p AC component and a 14VDC component); the signal
>>peaks would then exceed the voltage rating of the capacitor. The

>>result is that holes are repeatedly poked in the dielectric. Over time
>>the dielectric erodes and the capacitance decreases (unless the cap
>>shorts through the holes first).
>
>How can that happen in a low level audio circuit where the maximum
>voltage swing is +/- 1V and the cap is rated at 10V, and no other
>components had failed?

It can happen when the low level audio isn't low level anymore, for
whatever reason. It can also happen when there is an oscillation in
the circuit, or in a previous circuit prior to amplification. It can
also happen if a filter fails, allowing an unwanted AC to be amplified
into the stage. There are many more possibilities. List?

>In your example, that is a blatant case of poor design. If the circuit
>is routinely expected to see the conditions you've described then the
>cap voltage rating was insufficient.

Exactly, and that's one of the common reasons I noted here:

>>Regardless, the root cause is usually either a flaw in circuit design,
>>the failure of another component, or improper alignment.
>
>In your example, it's clearly poor design, assuming those are "normal"
>operating conditions.

Assuming everything is operating as designed, yes, it is a poor
design, and there are many such designs in circulation. That was my
point.

>>>>In several posts you have demonstrated that you have a problem
>>>>differentiating voltage and current. You should address that issue.
>>>
>>>I have no trouble. And how would the difference between voltage and
>>>current relate to those two contradictory statements you made above?
>>>
>>>
>>>>Now..... since you want to invoke semantics, I'll state this more
>>>>clearly for you: A pure DC current has an AC component with a value of
>>>>zero, and a pure AC current has a DC component with a value of zero.
>>>>
>>>>Feel better?
>>>
>>>I should ask you if that tap dance felt good. What good is stating
>>>that D.C. has an A.C. component of zero? It's the same thing as saying
>>>there is no A.C. on a pure D.C. current.
>>
>>
>>Yes it is. What's your point?
>>
>>
>>>It's misleading to state that one type of current has another type of

>>>current as well, and then back pedal and state that that component is
>>>zero. Then why bring it up at all?
>>
>>
>>Because you can't comprehend the concept as it relates to the topic,
>>which is the AC resistance of a capacitor.
>
>Of course capacitors have A.C. resistance. That's what allows them to
>act as coupling caps in audio circuits. But that characteristic is not
>of primary importance in a power supply filter, as the amount of
>ripple neither exceeds the voltage rating of the cap, nor does it
>reverse the polarity of it, assuming good engineering practice.

The current reverses polarity. Alternating Current. Are you going to
play dumb with this one, too?

>>>I know the basics. 120 hz is the fundamental frequency of a full wave
>>>rectification, not a harmonic of it.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. 120Hz is a harmonic of the 60Hz source after being affected by
>>a non-linear function. And for the sake of mentioning it, rectified AC
>>contains a multitude of harmonics of the source -- it is not a 120Hz
>>"fundamental" frequency. If you have a spectrum analyzer you can prove
>>this to yourself. Begin with a sine of any frequency, put it through a
>>full-wave rectifier, then look at the spurs.
>
>Been there, done that. 120 hz is the largest component. There are 60
>Hz harmonics spacing out from there. There is also a 60 hz component,
>but it is at a much smaller amplitude than the 120 hz signal.

The fundamental is -not- the largest spur. By definition, it is the
lowest harmonic; i.e, the 1st harmonic. That's a basic electronic
priciple, Dave. You didn't learn -that- in tech school, either? And if
you didn't, why haven't you learned it in the 35 years hence?

>> You will no doubt see a
>>large spike at the 2nd harmonic of the source, but because the
>>rectifiers in the bridge can never be perfectly matched you will also
>>see a spur at the FUNDAMENTAL! If the 120Hz after rectification -was-
>>a fundamental then it would NOT have harmonics -below- it!
>
>You can't have a negative harmonic. Yes there will be some leak
>through of the primary 60 hz frequency. But the fact that the 120 Hz
>frequency is the strongest, means that it is now the fundamental,

Leakage? LOL!

>>>>Twice asserted. Again, as you say, "apology accepted".
>>>
>>>For what? Providing a reason why the term ripple has been replaced by
>>>a newer term and establishing the parameters by which that term came

>>>to be, and illustrated why those parameters are irrelevant for the
>>>linear supplies we are currently discussing?
>>
>>
>>No, for twice asserting the fact that terminology changes, a fact that
>>you refuse to admit when addressing the term 'Alternating Current'. So
>>again, I accept your apology.
>
>Alternating current behaves the same today as it did 50 years ago.

So do you, Dave.

>>>>A capacitor -does- store a charge, and the amount of that charge -is-
>>>>a function of it's capacitance. But it's ability to -accept- and
>>>>-deliver- that charge leaves a gaping hole in your explanation. As I
>>>>said before, the value in capacitance is -only one- factor of its AC
>>>>resistance -- i.e, its ability to "filter" AC from a DC current.
>>>
>>>It "filters" by charging and discharging, against the ripple.
>>
>>
>>See below.
>>
>>
>>>>And for a better perspective, dust off your book on basic electronics
>>>>and look up the page that covers simple LC filters -- low-pass and
>>>>high-pass. Notice that a high-pass filter has the cap in series with
>>>>the source, while the cap in the low-pass filter is an AC -shunt-. Now
>>>>you have already admitted that a power supply cap is nothing more than
>>>>a component of a filter, and I think it's safe to conclude that you
>>>>meant a low-pass filter (since the intended output is DC).
>>>
>>>True.
>>>
>>>> Now unless
>>>>you intend to argue that the capacitor in an LC low-pass filter does
>>>>-not- shunt AC to ground, you have once again shown that you don't
>>>>have a firm grasp of the basics.
>>>
>>>The problem is that while what you say about low pass filters is 100%
>>>true, when you start talking about power supplies, the job of the
>>>filter caps becomes more like small temporary batteries than filter
>>>components. I can turn off my 30 amp supply, and it will continue to
>>>supply 12V for about 30 seconds (unloaded) before the caps finally
>>>bleed off.
>>
>>
>>Well, that's in total contradiction to your explanation of the
>>operation of your battery charger when you claimed that the battery
>>acts as the filter capacitor. So which is it, Dave -- is a capacitor a
>>capacitor or a battery?

>
>I said "like a small temporary battery" Place a battery across an
>unfiltered power supply and it will also act like (Oh my god!) a
>battery and filter as well. Is the battery also "shunting A.C. to
>ground"?

Yep. Batteries have an AC resistance just like capacitors. But -you-
made the distinction because you don't understand the basics.

>>>>You're stalling with semanitcs, but even -then- you are wrong. Say
>>>>your PS cap is charged to a DC voltage. When the power supply pulls
>>>>the ripple to it's peak then current is flowing -out- of the cap. When
>>>>the ripple bottoms out then current is flowing -into- the cap.
>>>
>>>You've got that backwards. When ripple peaks, the cap charges. When
>>>the ripple ebbs, the cap discharges.
>>
>>
>>You are right, but it's not backwards at all.....
>>
>>When the ripple peaks the cap -does- charge because electrons are
>>flowing -out- of the cap (assuming we are both talking about a
>>positive supply and the negative end of the cap is grounded). When the
>>ripple bottoms out the cap is discharging, meaning that the current is
>>flowing in the opposite direction; i.e, electrons are moving -into-
>>the positive plate.
>
>Hole theory versus electron theory again.

No, it's called "charging" and "discharging", which is exactly as you
explained it before. Current (regardless of your definition of current
as long as it's the same for both) flows one way while charging and
the other way while discharging. The current alternates. Alternating
Current. AC. Simple concept, and you are an idiot if you can't figure
out what it means after going to tech school, 35 years in the biz, and
having it spoon-fed to you no less than eight times by none other than
myself.

>>The result is that the current does indeed alternate. The capacitor
>>passes an Alternating Current; i.e, AC. Is any of this sinking in yet?
>
>Sure. You and I just have a different way of describing the same
>thing.

Seems I said that myself a few posts ago..... except I added that your
explanation is devoid of an understanding of the basics. I still hold
that opinion. But your grades are improving so all is not lost.

>>>>Oh, gee, I don't know..... How about:
>>>>
>>>>A battery & a resistor;
>>>>Resistors in series and parallel;

>>>>An inductor in a DC and AC current;
>>>>Inductors in series and parallel;
>>>>An inductor with a saturable core;
>>>>A capacitor in a DC and AC current;
>>>>Capacitors in series and parallel;
>>>>A resistor and capacitor in series and parallel;
>>>>A resistor and inductor in series and parallel;
>>>>An inductor and capacitor in series and parallel;
>>>>A resistor, inductor & capacitor in various networks;
>>>>A current transformer;
>>>>A voltage transformer;
>>>>A power transformer;
>>>>Impedance transformation of a transformer;
>>>>A transformer with a resistive load;
>>>>A transformer with a reactive load.......
>>>
>>>All of which can be demonstrated by a power supply and associated lab
>>>experiments.
>>
>>
>>Resonance in a power supply? That's scary, Dave.
>
>Anything you can use a battery for can also use a power supply. All of
>the experiments on filters, resistors, inductors, caps, transformers,
>can be done with a simple power supply and a few other circuits. I
>never said the power supply was THE ONLY thing we built or studied,
>only that is was an excellent method of teaching the basics, while at
>the same time utilizing the theory in a practical application which
>could be measured to verify the calculations given by the theory.

Cop out.

>>>>>My tech school was a "hands-on" style of teaching. They could have
>>>>>bored everyone to tears by writing all sorts of math formulas on a
>>>>>chalk board and demonstrating how things were supposed to work. But it
>>>>>was much more rewarding and easier to relate to the circuits if we
>>>>>could actually see it work in real life.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>If observation alone was sufficient for an education then anyone who
>>>>ever listened to the radio or watched a television would be an
>>>>engineer. That isn't the case. Those boring equations are technical
>>>>and mathematical descriptions of how electronic components and
>>>>circuits work in "real life". If you didn't learn these fundamental
>>>>concepts because electronic theory "bores you to tears" then you
>>>>probably shouldn't be discussing the subject with an EE who -did-
>>>>learn the basics (and in much more depth than they are covered in any
>>>>"tech school").
>>>
>>>I am an engineer Frank.
>>

>>
>>Not an electrical engineer. Are you a train engineer? Sanitation
>>engineer? Or do you just work on a road crew? The latter might explain
>>why you think a "short break" from work lasts over an hour.
>
>I wear many hats these days. Software quality engineer, system
>integration engineer, product support engineer, Field engineer,
>applications engineer.

But not an EE. It's obvious that you were trying to imply you -are- an
EE in your response to the fact that I am an EE. And I doubt that you
have any education beyond your tech school.

>I take many small breaks Frank. I may respond to one message in one
>hour, and another an hour or so later. While I'm waiting for tests to
>complete, I often surf the net while I keep tabs on UUT. Nothing
>strange there.

.....uh huh. Sure.

>>> Tech school was my first taste of formal
>>>education, which I attended starting when I was 15 years old. Theory
>>>was a part of the curriculum, but it was closely accompanied by lab
>>>experiments where we could "put the theory to work" in actual
>>>practical circuits.
>>
>>
>>So you built a color organ in a high-school industrial arts class.
>>Whoop-dee-doo, so have lots of other people.
>
>No, this was not high school industrial arts class. This was a three
>year electronics program. I built far more than a "color organ". I
>built AM and shortwave radios, and my first 2 tube grounded grid "CB"
>amplifier. There was only so many parts I could scrounge though.

So what's the name of that tech school, Dave?

>>Alright, since you want to compare resumes:
>>
>>I started with a dry-cell and a spool of wire when I was 7yo.
>
>I was 9.
>
>>
>>I got an old Heathkit 19-in-1 kit when I was 9.
>
>I was 10, and it was a Radio Shack 100-1 project kit.
>
>>I was mentored in electronics by Stan the Speaker Man (here in
>>Spokane) until I was 14, when he died and I started my own TV/radio
>>repair business.
>

>I was 14 when I started tuning and repairing CB radios for the locals.
>By the time I was 16, I was earning enough to buy my first car and pay
>for gas and insurance.
>
>>After high-school I went into the USMC where I was trained as a Ground
>>Radio Repairman (2841) at 29 Palms (MCCES). I worked on anything from
>>the diminutive PRC-68 (VHF walkie-talkie) to the MRC-110 (1kW mobile
>>HF), as well as wired comm systems (mostly TA-312's), power supplies
>>(including the one in Ollie North's office), and just about anything
>>else that conducted electricity.
>
>I chose to further my education and started working full time as a
>quality technician and then later a production troubleshooter
>
>
>>After the USMC I worked in two different commercial radio shops until
>>I went back to college (I got some credits from UNC while in Lejeune).
>
>I was always enticed by commercial radio. My tech school had a radio
>station (WVVE - We're the Voice of Vocational Education) at 91.3 Mhz.
>I was very close to transferring there. But they closed it down during
>my junior year, and can celled the license. Had I gone down that path,
>who knows what I might be doing today. Funny thing about choices.
>
>
>>Graduated from EWU with a BS in Electronic Engineering (a specialized
>>Electrical Engineering degree) with a minor in Communications.
>>
>>Started my own part-time shop doing most anything electronic,
>>including professional audio equipment, ham radio repair, radio and
>>amp restoration, custom circuit design..... as well as subcontracting
>>for other companies/radio stations. IOW, just about anything old or
>>new that pays money.
>
>I never owned my own business, but I did subcontracting for several
>other radio shops, and a TV shop. But the workload became too great in
>addition to my regular job, so I scaled back a bit.
>
>
>>Worked as an engineer at JM (now Honeywell) for a year, designing a
>>machine that processes the lids for uP chips made by Intel and other
>>manufacturers (probably even one that's in your own computer).
>>
>>Worked as a quality control engineer at HP for a couple years.
>
>I've worked at a few different places as both technicians and later as
>an engineer. I've done everything from product design to support to
>test to quality. I've worked with RF, analog and digital video,
>digital audio, software and many other things I've forgotten about.
>Sorry if I don't reveal where I'm working now, but frankly (no pun

>intended) it's no one's business.
>
>>
>>Worked as a BE at a local radio station until last fall.
>>
>>All the while I have been in the hobby of CB radio, including being a
>>part of the original Jacksonville keydowns in the early 80's while I
>>was stationed at Lejeune.
>
>Yet you decry illegal operation now? Shame Shame. ;-) Now I know why
>you've never called my a hypocrite for basically doing the same thing.
>See, we are more alike that you thought. And we do change when we
>grow up Frank.

Some of us more than others.

>>>No kidding.
>>>
>>>>Second, the power transformer is a
>>>>source impedance with an inductive reactance that changes with the
>>>>load. Third, the load can be (and frequently is) reactive to some
>>>>extent.
>>>
>>> Not if the load is purely resistive.
>>
>>
>>If it isn't reactive then it's purely resistive, right? Duh...!!!
>
>That's what the Smith Chart would show.....
>
>
>>>A power supply is not an oscillator (Well, it's not supposed to be), a
>>>doubly balanced mixer, a PLL circuit, or a transmitter. It is a simple
>>>A.C. to D.C. converter. Why try to make it more complicated than it
>>>truly is?
>>
>>
>>Which is -exactly- the point that I'm trying to get through to -you-!
>>You don't need to play with RC time constants because such an analysis
>>is compounded by more issues than are in your vocabulary. OTOH, the
>>function of the cap is better described as a function of it's AC
>>resistance, a much simpler and broader concept that you seem bound and
>>determined to debunk!
>
>If you say so. I find just the opposite to be true.

Oh, that's right -- you don't know the difference between a battery
and a capacitor.

>>And BTW, the oscillator, transmitter, and double-balanced mixer
>>circuits are much simpler than a power supply.

>
>Not to me. There is nothing simpler than a simple linear power supply.
>I guess that's because it's the first thing I ever studied in any
>great detail.

For some strange reason I can believe that you find a power supply to
be a simpler circuit than a flashlight -- you haven't even mastered
the fundamentals of a lightbulb!

>>>Isn't that what I just said?
>>
>>
>>No. Let's try this again, and this time wear your glasses: "The RC
>>curve.... assumes that both the resistance and capacitance are
>>constants." Now, Mr. Engineer, what part of that don't you understand?
>>
>>
>>>> And because it is not a constant
>>>>the RC curve does -not- follow the same path that it would if the
>>>>capacitance -was- a constant. And BTW, the RC curve becomes a straight
>>>>line when the current is constant because the charge rate of the cap
>>>>is a fuction of current, not voltage. This is yet another example of
>>>>your inability to distinguish current and voltage.
>>>
>>>Are you trying to say that an R/C time constant is a straight line? I
>>>sure hope not.
>>
>>
>>Put on your reading glasses again, Dave. I said the curve was straight
>
>How can a curve be straight Frank? You're talking in riddles. I sure
>hope you never take up teaching. You have a tough time explaining what
>you're trying to say.

Because it's called a curve even if it's straight, and if you knew
anything about electronics -or- mathematics you would know that much.
Either you are really ignorant or you are lying. Which is it, Dave?

>>>Perhaps it is not me that needs a refresher course in electronic
>>>theory. It would seem that real life experience has paralleled my
>>>understanding of the hows and whys and has contradicted what you have
>>>said. In other words, I have seen nothing in my 35+ years of playing
>>>with and repairing radios that goes along with your observations. But
>>>I have seen many that have agreed with mine. Now, unless you want to
>>>make the case that the laws of physics works differently on the left
>>>coast, I would tend to question your depth of "knowledge".
>>
>>
>>Everything I have said can be easily verified by anyone who can read.
>>You can't read, which explains your pathetic understanding of basic
>>electronics.

>
>I can read just fine. But you seem to have trouble 'splainin your
>self.
>
>You are full of straight curves, and sing of A.C. components on D.C.
>voltages which are present, but equal zero.
>
>In other words, a lot of doubletalk.

It sounds a lot like you are adopting Bush's campaign tactics to make
your arguments; i.e, dumbing down an issue to nothing but a buzzword
or catch-phrase. At the very least you could -try- to be more mature
than Bush by addressing the issues instead of trying to spin them with
simplistic jargon. Or do you really prefer the ignorant approach?

>>>> Maybe you think you can leaf
>>>>through a few textbooks, speed-read a few concepts, paraphrase them
>>>>and try to pass them off as if they were integrated into your
>>>>education.
>>>
>>>That's what it seems you have been doing. Only you forgot to read the
>>>part about caps drying out.
>>
>>
>>Where's that book, Dave?
>
>If I tell you, will you read it, or while you toss it aside as "right
>wing propaganda"?

Only if it -is- right-wing propoganda. But what kind of technical
publication can be considered to be right-wing propoganda?

>>>> Well, you can't. I've been in the field long enough to
>>>>recognize that scam and it doesn't work. It didn't work for Skippy, it
>>>>didn't work for Toll, it didn't work for Eitner and it won't work for
>>>>you. But please don't stop trying because I find it very entertaining.
>>>
>>>Maybe you are the one who doesn't know what you are talking about
>>>Frank. You've gotten into "discussions" with other people before and
>>>tried to play that game. In some of those cases the stuff you brought
>>>up was a bit off-the-wall, and contrary to accepted practices. The
>>>whole "coax length" debates come to mind.
>>
>>
>>You mean when CLP falsely claimed he had a copy of the book I used for
>>a reference? Sure, why not. You have already pushed this discussion
>>well beyond the boundries of the original topic, so why don't we
>>revisit the coax length debate? But just know that it's not going to
>>detract from the fact that your electronics education is woefully
>>lacking, your claimed experience is probably 'overinflated', and your
>>troubleshooting skills have no logical or scientific methodology. So

>>yeah, let's do the coax thing again. Just what was it I said that you
>>consider to be "a bit off-the-wall"?
>
>I don't remember exactly, and I don't care enough to revisit it.

Gee, what a suprise.

> But
>suffice to say that you were the first person to offer up some very
>"unique" explanations for some givens that many hams take for granted.

For example?

>>>>>That's why I chose it. Redundant would fit too.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I'll give you another opportunity to look up those words.
>>>
>>>Why? I am fully aware of the definitions of both.
>>
>>
>>I don't think so, Dave.
>>
>Sigh..... Ok you asked for it.....
>
>suï¿½periï¿½fluiï¿½ous (P) Pronunciation Key (s-piï¿½rfl-s)
>adj.
>Being beyond what is required or sufficient.
>
>
>reiï¿½duniï¿½dant (P) Pronunciation Key (r-dndnt)
>adj.
>Exceeding what is necessary or natural; superfluous.
>Needlessly wordy or repetitive in expression: a student paper filled
>with redundant phrases.
>Of or relating to linguistic redundancy.
>Chiefly British. Dismissed or laid off from work, as for being no
>longer needed.
>Electronics. Of or involving redundancy in electronic equipment.
>Of or involving redundancy in the transmission of messages.
>
>
>Gee Frank, redundant is synonymous with superfluous.
>
>Are you now going to pull another Twisty and attack the accuracy or
>completeness of my dictionary?

Why? You have proven that you -can- address facts. So why can't you
address facts that conflict with your "core beliefs"?

>>>>Another flip-flop. Make up your mind -- is it easy or not?
>>>

>>>Depends on the equipment and the application.
>>
>>
>>That's a cop out.
>>
>>
>>>Not easy does not mean impossible, or even improbable. It is easy to
>>>eliminate the majority of common practical causes. In most cases, the
>>>cause will be found during this process. In those rare cases where the
>>>cause is not part of the statistically probable causes, a little more
>>>investigative time may be involved. Troubleshooting is all about
>>>knowing the statistics. If you get in a piece of equipment that shows
>>>a common failure that you've seen before, would it make sense to check
>>>unrelated parts, or would it make more sense to go where the previous
>>>faults have been found?
>>
>>
>>You said, "it's easy to eliminate practically every potential cause".
>>When I said that it's not always easy you replied with, "No, but it is
>>doable." Now you say it "depends" and obfuscate your flip-flop by
>>getting all wordy and begging for vindication with a loaded question.
>
>The object is to not eliminate *all* possibilities, but to eliminate
>the most probable ones. You may disagree, but if there was some way to
>demonstrate, I'd bet you $100 that I can find and correct a typical CB
>flaw before you're done studying the schematic.

Maybe you should have read Doyle's argument before you quoted it.
Regardless, you still can't address the fact that you flip-flopped on
the issue. Very Kerry-esque of you.

>>Despite your wishy-washy position, I'll answer your question by saying
>>this: Troubleshooting by playing the odds (statistics) is for people
>>who do not understand the purpose and function of the circuit and all
>>it's components, because if you -do- know how they work then there is
>>no need to make guesses.
>
>That right there proves that you have not the faintest clue about what
>I'm talking about. It's not "guessing", it's looking for the most
>likely place to start looking. The process to be able to make these
>intelligent "guesses", is based on years on analytical analysis and
>repetition.

Uh-huh..... How about applying that logic to your political arguments?

>> As a perfect example, read the early posts in
>>this thread again. You will note that both Lance and myself asked to
>>see a schematic. OTOH, you blurted out that the cause of the problem
>>is "almost always" a capacitor. That's not troubleshooting. That's
>>troubleguessing.
>

>Frank, as has been becoming an increasing staple for you, you totally
>misunderstood and misread the concept.
>
>I offered a sample of my experience which covered similar problems in
>other radios as a place to start looking. Having the schematic adds
>almost nothing, without the ability to see the radios and make
>measurements.

Yet measurements mean nothing without a functional representation of
the circuit, which is what is provided by the schematic.

> Your suggestions were also little more than guesses as
>you knew nothing more about the radio than I did. The difference is
>that you looked at the schematic and offered a potential problem based
>on generic theory and what you thought to be true based on your
>experience. I offered the same based on specific situations which had
>similar symptoms

You assumed. I didn't. That's what it boils down to.

>Now we never heard back fro the original poster as to whether he found
>the problem or not. So for you to condemn my suggestion as wrong
>before even knowing what was right, smacks of pompous arrogance.

It's pompus arrogance only if you made an assumption about the cause
of the problem. Even if you were right it's nothing more than playing
the odds.

>>>Never seen it happen. A breakdown of transformer insulation will
>>>usually result in a short and a blown fuse.
>>
>>
>>A shorted winding usually results in an overheated transformer because
>>most people defeat the purpose of the fuse and replace blown fuses
>>with bigger ones so the equipment will keep working.
>
>That's their problem.

It's -your- problem if you don't realize that it's a common occurance,
which, apparently, you don't.

>> But the isolation
>>I was referring to was between the primary and secondary windings.
>>Many cheap power transformers have poor isolation between the
>>windings, and some have none at all. Primary shorts to the secondary
>>and you get lots of unwanted EMF on the secondary. You -have- worked
>>on Pyramid supplies, haven't you?
>
>Yep, and I've never seen what you've described. The one I currently
>have once belonged to a local CB'er who tried to jump start his car
>with it, and he ended up taking out all the series pass regulators as
>well as the LM723.
>

>>>>Actually, it was a design flaw. The diode was supposed to be thermally
>>>>connected to the heatsink, but was mounted such that the thermal link
>>>>was nothing more than a blob of heatsink grease. The grease dried out
>>>>and the redidue became a very good insulator. Regardless, my point was
>>>>that the failure is not always intrinsic to the circuit or device;
>>>>many times the problem is caused by outside influences, such as
>>>>improper operation or maintenance, poor design, manufacturing flaws,
>>>>etc. And many of those faults are not always evident; i.e, they don't
>>>>leave evidence of the cause of the failure. The fact is that there was
>>>>no evidence of the cause of the failure in the Peavey. I learned of
>>>>the cause after harassing Peavey tech support until they forked over
>>>>the info (I really think they try to keep faults like that a secret
>>>>because they make so much money on spare parts, but I suppose that's
>>>>just one aspect of modern business practices).
>>>
>>>So you finally found the source of the problem. You simply found
>>>another possibility to consider. It was nothing magical.
>>
>>
>>I never said it was.
>>
>>
>>> The next time
>>>you see an amp like that with the same problem, will you not then
>>>check that diode first? That's what I mean about experience and
>>>statistical probability.
>>
>>
>>I check the diode, but not first, and I don't assume that the diode is
>>the problem.
>
>But I'll bet (unless you really out there) that before you power up
>that amp after replacing the chain of audio transistors, that you'll
>check that diode just to be safe.

Actually, I replace it regardless of whether it's good or not. Like I
said before, the problem is not the diode but the design. If I can
prevent a failure then I will -- it's no different than a car company
making a recall because of a faulty component or design flaw.

>>Quite the contrary; the problem is usually a power
>>transistor that shorts.
>
>Are you willing to bet a set of transistors that that's the only
>problem?

Gambling is -your- troubleshooting technique, not mine.

>> And for the sake of anyone reading this for
>>info on the amp, I highly suggest replacing the MJ15024 and MJ15025
>>with those Korean-made transistors. When they go bad they blow open;

>>almost every other brand (including Motorola and Peavey in-house
>>stock) will short and blow the rest of the amp.
>
>A good tip. But do those Korean transistors match up equally in noise,
>gain and power?

Yes. But it's a 2000 watt amp -- do you really think anyone would
notice if there -was- any difference?

>>>You might have half a leg up on me in pure theory, but you are
>>>seriously lacking the proper mindset to be an effective
>>>troubleshooter, as you don't seem to grasp the analytical mindset
>>>that's required or the practical application of your theory.
>>
>>
>>I don't use statistics, I use logic.
>
>I use both.

You use whatever is consistent with your "core beliefs".

>> It's much easier, more effective,
>>and in over 30 years of my career of repairing an extremely wide
>>variety of electronic equipment, -NOTHING- I have -EVER- fixed has
>>come back to the shop because I didn't fix the problem correctly.
>
>Neither has any of my stuff. Well, except for the case of the serious
>keyclown types who stress their stuff and kill it again. But they've
>never failed due to a repair that I've done. In fact, in the case of a
>modification that I made to replace the MRF 477 final transistor in a
>President Jackson, with an MRF 450 stud mount, the radio stopped
>blowing finals when this guy ran his amp, and 6 years later the radio
>is still being abused, but it still works.
>
>>
>>
>>>>>>So there are -many- possibilities that may or may -not- be obvious.
>>>>>
>>>>>I respectfully disagree. There ARE other possibilities yes, but I
>>>>>disagree that there are "many". A simple process of elimination will
>>>>>whittle that number down to a few highly probable possibilities.
>>>
>>>>A shorted resistor is not "highly probable", nor is a half-shorted
>>>>double diode, a PCB trace with a hairline crack, an incorrect slug in
>>>>an RF or IF transformer, etc. I can make a list here, too.
>>>
>>>Have you ever seen a bell curve? Have you ever studied the Taguchi
>>>methods of quality?
>>>
>>>Much of this assumes a certain degree of predictable and probable
>>>failure areas.

>>>
>>>Is a shorted resistor the first thing you consider? The PC trace
>>>crack?
>>>
>>>The double diode should have been on the list though. As one of the
>>>components that set the bias, it would be highly suspicious in a
>>>cascade meltdown of several amp stages. At least it would be to me.
>>
>>
>>You don't have a clue, Dave. When you fix your first 1.3K then maybe
>>we can talk. Until then, don't even -TRY- to guess.
>
>Piece of cake. Audio amps are the next after power supplies in
>simplicity.

I can see you have never worked on professional audio amps.

>>>The incorrect slug is a interesting one. If the radio once worked,
>>>there is no way that this could happen. If you were told that someone
>>>else tried to fix it, then I would be looking for things like that. I
>>>have seen similar problems with "Screwdriver Tech" mods. Undoing
>>>improper repairs is another statistic to consider.
>>
>>
>>I don't need to be told if someone fucked with the radio or not. The
>>paint could be cracked, the wax disturbed, and sometimes there's even
>>ferrite dust in the hole or slot from some idiot using the wrong tool
>>for the job.
>
>True. It is rather obvious. And that is what usually "tells" you.
>
>
>> Even if they got the core out and replaced it with one
>>they found in their transistor radio, it's easy to tell that the wrong
>>core was installed when an adjustment won't give the expected results
>>(all else being the same).
>
>Usually the "Q" of the circuit has changed, and low gain or narrow
>bandwidth is the typical result.

Narrow bandwidth? You're guessing, Dave. Usually it simply won't tune.

>>Most screwdriver techs (like yourself)
>
>Looking in the mirror again?

What do you think?

>>don't realize that those cores, aside from having different sizes, are
>>made of various materials with different permeabilities and frequency
>>characteristics.
>

>No? Say it isn't so. I knew something told me to keep that core sample
>kit that I rescued from the trash here when they closed down a old
>project.
>
>
>
>> One core may look exactly the same as another but
>>many times they aren't and are not interchangeable. And I would guess
>>that this ignorance accounts for at least a quarter of the radios in
>>my scrapheap.
>
>Well I'm glad you told me that Frank.

You should be glad, because after suggesting to another poster last
week that he can replace a missing slug with any other slug, someone
needed to tell you that your advice was bogus.

>>But once again, just because some bonehead played with the screws
>>doesn't make me jump to the conclusion that a slug is the problem,
>>statistics or not.
>
>No, not at first. But unless you are a bonehead, you start to suspect
>it when it doesn't align properly and there are no other obvious
>causes.

Isn't that what I already said?

>>>>You -still- haven't looked up the word. Shame.....
>>>
>>>I don't have to. I know what it means. I was comparing methodologies
>>>between one science and another. You, on the other hand, failing to
>>>see the big picture, are attempting to make hay by arguing semantics.
>>>You are starting to adopt the tactics of a certain fruitcake who also
>>>resides here.
>>
>>
>>I was just suggesting you clear up your ignorance by learning a
>>definition which, until now, you have only assumed was correct.
>>
>>
>>>>What you initially described is called 'failure analysis' (or 'crime
>>>>scene analysis', as per your example). The term "forensic science" is,
>>>>literally, the -presentation- of a scientific analysis.
>>>
>>>For legal purposes.
>>
>>
>>Sometimes. Forensics is about presentation. For a brief time I was a
>>member of the Forensics club in college. The various subjects included
>>debate -as well as- many other aspects of speech. The word "forensics"
>>is probably one of the most commonly misdefined words in the English

>>language, probably because people watch too much TV (and yes, I used
>>to watch Quincy).
>
>Sigh (again)
>
>foï¿½renï¿½sics (P) Pronunciation Key (f-rnsks, -zks)
>n. (used with a sing. verb)
>The art or study of formal debate; argumentation.
>The use of science and technology to investigate and establish facts
>in criminal or civil courts of law.
>
>
>Happy now?

No. I think you made up that last line. What's your source?

>>> But the methodologies employed by criminalists is
>>>similar.
>>
>>
>>The methodologies employed by criminoloists is nothing more than the
>>scientific process.
>
>Right! Exactly what I use when solving a problem. Whether it be a
>faulty CB radio, a problem with my car, a clogged drain, a broken
>child's toy etc.
>
>> A forensic criminalist is a criminalist whose job
>>includes the presentation of the evidence. Even -you- could consider
>>yourself a forensic criminologist -- you have presented evidence that
>>you are a criminal.
>
>As have you. Fortunately, the statute of limitations for illegal radio
>activity has long past.
>
>>>>>>>I have a battery charger which is unfiltered (the battery acts as the
>>>>>>>filter cap), which may serve the purpose nicely.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Go for it! Don't forget to use several different caps, measuring both
>>>>>>AC and DC volts across the cap, as well as the time it takes until
>>>>>>failure. Post the results.
>>>>>
>>>>>When I get a chance, I'll set it up. I need to find some high current
>>>>>resistors that can stand dissipating 20 amps or so.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>What do you need resistors for? Just hook the puppies up! But if you
>>>>want a resistor anyway try an electric space heater or stove element.
>>>
>>>Without a sufficient load resistor, how can I validate your assertion

>>>that increased ripple (due to load) is the cause of cap failure?
>>>Without the load, if I place a cap across the output of the battery
>>>charger, all I've done is create a filtered DC power supply. The cap
>>>should last for years under those conditions, as would be expected.
>>
>>
>>Then try it both ways.
>
>How long do you want me to run it before you conclude that you were
>wrong?

Do the test, post the data, and I'll duplicate your experiment in my
own shop to verify your data. Unless you have a problem with that.....

>>>I have yet to see an electric space heater than runs on 12 V. The
>>>current required would make it impractical. My space heater draws 12
>>>amps at 120V. At 12 volts, it would have to draw 120 amps for the same
>>>wattage.
>>
>>
>>This is another excellent opportunity to further your education. You
>>claim that the heater draws 12 amps at 120VAC. Ok then, measure it's
>>resistance cold. If it's 10 ohms then putting it across 12 volts will
>>only draw 1.2 amps from your battery charger. But if it's less than 10
>>ohms then you just learned a lesson about lightbulb filaments.
>
>You forgot to consider the effect of the A.C. fan motor..

I didn't forget -- I didn't assume the heater had a fan. But I -did-
assume that if it -did- have a fan that you would be smart enough to
disconnect it before taking the measurement. Shame on me.

>>>>I don't buy that simply because an auto bulb encounters much more
>>>>shock and vibration than most household lightbulbs.
>>>
>>>Which is the point I was making. A household light bulb would never
>>>last under the conditions found in an automobile. The filament in an
>>>automotive bulb is thicker.
>>
>>
>>Then why not just make the filament thicker in a household light bulb?
>>The resistance would be lower but that can be compensated for by
>>increasing the length, right?
>
>Cost. Plain and simple. Planned obsolescence secondarily. If they
>designed a lightbulb which last forever (or a really long time) the
>demand for lightbulbs would fall off.

You -finally- figured it out! Congratulations!

>>>>>I entered the term "capacitors drying out" into google and came up
>>>>>with 63 links to the term used in a similar context to what I've

>>>>>promoted here. I didn't have the time to read them all, but be my
>>>>>guest if you are so inclined.
>>>>>
>>>>>I accept your apology.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>63 hits? That's all? I'm sure there are -plenty- more references from
>>>>people who, like yourself, mistakenly attribute the term "drying out"
>>>>to capacitors. Heck, I got over a million hits for "Santa Claus" but
>>>>that doesn't mean he exists.
>>>
>>>Santa Claus does exist. Ask my daughter.
>>>
>>>> How about an -authoritative- reference,
>>>>Dave? You know the difference, don't you?
>>>
>>>You asked me to provide examples of people (other than me) who used
>>>the term. I did that. Now, that's not good enough for you? The term is
>>>common, even if you refuse to acknowledge it. The fact that you refuse
>>>to accept it unless it's used in form of "reference" book is
>>>illustrative of nothing more than a stubborn adherence to your
>>>ignorance on the subject.
>>
>>
>>I asked for engineers or other authoritative references. You provided
>>excuses and Google-juice.
>
>So, unless the people who use the term are somehow recognized by you
>as someone worthy of your respect, you discard it as invalid. Just
>like you discard political stuff you don't want to read as "right wing
>propaganda".

If you are going to make an argument based on authority then you need
to support it with authoritative references. So where are those
references, Dave? Who are the engineers that refer to capacitor
failure as "drying out"?

>>>>And 0.5mV is plenty for 50% mod, especially when the radio's mic
>>>>sensitivity is specified for 1.0mV minimum at 50% mod.
>>>
>>>Then .5 mV would make about 25% modulation. Not enough to set any
>>>alignment points.
>
>>Ever consider the possibility that the alignment procedure calls for
>>measuring the mic input with an AF VTVM using a demod probe? IOW, the
>>measured reading during the alignment is half the actual Vp-p at the
>>input; which, when corrected, just happens to be the spec for the
>>radio.
>
>Then why not specify it as such? Stop trying to pull rabbits out of
>your hat Frank.

Several times I offered to scan the page for you. You have no
justification to complain that you couldn't read it.

>>The two specs are fully consistent with each other. Also, the
>>transmitter RF alignment is done with 500mV of two-tone audio at the
>>mic input.
>
>My spec calls for no more than 200 mV at any point.

I heard you the first two times. I can still scan the page if you
want. Or would you rather wallow in your ignorance?

>>>> I offered to
>>>>scan the page..... are you sure you don't want to see it?
>>>
>>>I believe you know how to read. But what you are reading is wrong.
>>
>>
>>I don't think so, Dave. The specs are consistent, the alignment works,
>>my audio doesn't sound "mushy and compressed" as you describe your's
>>after doing the alignment from the Sams manual (I'm assuming that's
>>what you are using even though you have yet to admit or deny it), the
>>manual and specs were provided to RS by the manufacturer, and every
>>argument you have used to discredit the source has backfired in your
>>face. So I really think this manual is accurate, Dave.
>
>Try not to think Frank, that's when you get into the most trouble.

Try not to be witty, Dave -- you only demonstrate your arrogance.

>>>> After all,
>>>>Uniden made these radios for radio shaft, so it was -Uniden- that
>>>>provided the information in the service manual.
>>>
>>>Are you sure about that? Are you also confident that the decimal point
>>>was not a typo?
>>
>>
>>Yes and yes. If the decimal point was a typo then they would have had
>>to make the same typo in three places, all regarding the same spec,
>>and all in a way that the altered specs are not only consistent with
>>each other, but that they work. Anything is possible, but I would
>>accept the single-bullet theory before I would accept your suggestion
>>that the spec is a typo.
>
>Then conversely, for my manual to be wrong, then all of my other
>manuals would be wrong as well. What was that again about that single
>bullet?

Like I said several times before, I can scan the page for you.

>>>>Not like Sams which
>>>>got their info from reverse engineering.

>>>
>>>And you know this how?
>>
>>
>>Because that's how they made their manuals. You've been using them for
>>35 years and you didn't know that? TAB books did the same thing for a
>>while.
>
>I use both SAMS and factory manuals. SAMS claimed to used factory
>specifications for their alignment.

Apparently they didn't, not if the only authorized manual for the
radio has different specs. And you obviously don't have the factory
manual.

>>>Well, the issue becomes, one of our manuals is wrong.
>>>
>>>And once again Frank, the fact is that I can find NO other reference
>>>in any of my other numerous manuals (Some are factory) for dozens of
>>>radios which calls for such a low level of mike input. So now that
>>>leaves 2 possibilities. Either:
>>>
>>>A. You are wrong.
>>>B. Every one of my test procedures are wrong.
>>>
>>>Now what is the statistical probability of either of those choices?
>>
>>
>>You left out a few other choices:
>>
>>C. At least one of your alignment procedures is wrong. - Not possible as they are all
consitant with each other.
>>D. You are making this a personal issue instead of a technical issue. - Not at all.
>>E. You don't know as much as you think you do. - Actually, I probably know more.
>>F. I am not a liberal. - Yea, and the Pope's Jewish
>>G. Bush is a criminal. - Then so are you, based on your shakey criteria.
>>>>CB is not intended for high-fidelity. Passing the higher freqs widens
>>>>the bandwidth of the channel and makes it more likely to bleed into an
>>>>adjacent channel. That's one reason why CB mods are illegal.
>>>
>>>No kidding. But the audio BPF also cuts back on the very low
>>>frequencies as well. All I know is that the radio is more pleasing to
>>>the ear when the BPF is removed. From a purely legal standpoint, you
>>>are correct.
>>
>>
>>If your high-fidelity operation is creating a bandwidth that exceeds
>>the allowed bandwidth for CB channels then you are a criminal.
>
>Did that bother you when you were "keyclowing" in the early 80's?

Nope.

>>>300-3000 hz is commonly referred to as "communications quality" audio.
>>>This is why most AM rigs have 6 Khz filters in them and SSB rigs have
>>>3 Khz filters. But people like to run D-104's on their rigs, and the
>>>BPF mod makes the radio sound better on those mikes.
>>>
>>>You and I can debate on which method makes more sense, and from a
>>>puritanical standpoint I would probably agree with you. But you have
>>>to remember, that when you are in business working on radios for other
>>>people, they don't want to hear that their mikes are junk, or that
>>>they should do this or that. They want the cheap and easy fix.
>>
>>
>>Maybe that's what -your- customers want.
>
>Yes, that's what they want.
>
>
>>> That's
>>>what removing the BPF gives them. It makes the rig sound as good as
>>>their last one on the same mike.
>>
>>
>>How about just aligning it according to factory specs?
>
>Aligning it properly has no effect on the overall fidelity.

If you have never aligned it according to authorized specs, how do you
know what it sounds like? You don't.

> There is
>nothing to align in the audio stage with the exception of the mike
>gain (Which is a front panel user control on the Cobra 139XLR version)
> and the AMC.
>
>
>>>
>>>Probability would suggest that yes, you did.
>>
>>
>>It's far more probable that you can't admit you have been wrong all
>>these years.
>
>I haven't been.

I'm sure you can justify your errors, but it's clear that you haven't
been doing the alignment as prescribed by Uniden (for the RS version
of the radio).

>>>There's "works" and then there's "works better".
>>
>>

>>There's also "legal" and "criminal". Corrupting a sweet radio because
>>you are too arrogant to accept your own mistake is criminal.
>
>Now you are being a hypocrite.

How so?

>>About a dozen or so RS models that use the same mic, as well as
>>several GE radios, a couple SBE's, Tram D-60, etc.
>>
>>
>>>>The only discrepancy is with -your- book. It stands alone in the
>>>>modulation procedure you described.
>>>
>>>No, in fact YOURS stands alone.
>>
>>
>>Now you are starting to sound like a little kid in a school-yard
>>squabble.
>
>When you make a blatently incorrect statement, I'm not about to let it
>pass.

Once again, and probably for the tenth time, I can scan the page for
you if you want.

>>>>The question is, given how your
>>>>own description of the audio is indicative of misalignment, and that I
>>>>have a more authoritative reference that disputes yours, why do -you-
>>>>assume that -your- book is correct?
>>>
>>>Because it agrees with several dozen other books.
>>
>>
>>You should probably read those books a little more carefully.
>
>I have.

Then read them for content instead of looking at the centerfolds.

>> While
>>you might expect the RS manuals have similar specs, I have many other
>>manuals on hand and most of them (radios that use dynamic mics)
>
>Which is the great majority of modern solid state rigs.
>
>
>>only
>>have specs for 80 to 100% modulation, which is typically set at 8 to
>>20mV (this is from the sample group I noted earlier). Since the mic
>>range is quite wide and these specs are for radios that have some sort
>>of compression and/or limiting, that lands the mic sensitivity spec of

>>the TRC-449 pretty much on par with most other radios. The only real
>>difference is that the RS service manual has an alignment to set the
>>AM mod at 50%, a procedure that is somewhat out of the ordinary.
>
>My Midland 13-885 has a similar two step process, as does any radio
>with an internal mike gain pot.

What are the specs, and from what source?

>>Regardless, the issue is the TRC-449. I have the original service
>>manual and you don't. I understand why you are upset because it
>>clearly shows that you have been using incorrect specs all these
>>years, and unnecessarily modified an unknown number of radios because
>>of it. You -could- blame Sams for supplying the wrong information. But
>>you won't because you know as well as I do that the responsibility is
>>ultimately yours -- you noticed a problem and failed to make a correct
>>diagnosis. Instead you jumped to the conclusion that the LPF was the
>>cause because bypassing it cured the malady. That's a 'symptomatic
>>remedy' and is no better than declaring a fire is out because you
>>pinch your nose and can no longer smell any smoke. Bad tech skills,
>>Dave.
>
>You're as thick as Twisty. I told you why I removed the BPF.

Yes you did -- because the audio sounded "mushy and compressed". I
never denied you said that. In fact, I have to remind you constantly
of your own words.

>Regardless the difference between .5 and 5 mV would not make the radio
>sound mushy, considering that the AMC is set with 200mV.

Just for kicks I set the pot for 50% mod at 5mV. It sounded "mushy and
compressed". I set it back to 0.5mV and it sounds fine once again. You
are wrong, Dave. You hacked up radios because you are arrogant and
ignorant. Deal with it.

>You're reaching and getting more sloppy at it.
>
>>>A personal preference. I am an audio purist, like I said before.
>>
>>
>>Then you should probably find another hobby besides CB radio.
>
>I have several including ham radio.

Good. Focus on them because you evidently can't stay focused on any
newsgroup topics.

>>> Other
>>>people may not hear anything wrong. Hell, some people find the sound
>>>of an overdriven class "C" amplifer as "fine".
>>
>>

>
>>Kinda like a Marshall on full reverb, huh?
>
>Right!
>
>>
>>
>>>>> coupled to a
>>>>>microphone (A D-104) which didn't mate well with the audio bandpass
>>>>>characteristic that this radio with the LPF presented.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>D-104's suck. They have no low-end and the high-end is raspy.
>>>
>>>The high end is fine when the crystal element is good, and hasn't hit
>>>the floor one too many times.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The
>>>>output level of the unamplified models is too high for most radios,
>>>
>>>Wrong. The output of the unamplified mike is high impedance, and will
>>>not mate well with a solid state rig, unless the mike input impedance
>>>is also high (Which it usually isn't).
>>
>>
>>Granted.
>
>Thank you.
>
>>
>>
>>>>and the amplified models are usually turned up too high by the user.
>>>
>>>That depends on the person.
>>>
>>>>People like them because they are loud, but they are loud because of
>>>>their high and narrow spectral response -- not a mic I would consider
>>>>using for high-fidelity.
>>>
>>>Most CB'ers want to be "loud and proud". Hence the immense popularity
>>>of thr D-104.
>>
>>
>>It also explains why Rush Limbaugh and Mancow still have jobs.
>
>And Al Franken.

And George Bush.

>>>> Want a good mic? Try a Shure 522 -- a lot
>>>>cheaper than a D-104 and sounds a lot better.
>>>
>>>I've used Shure 444's before. I'm not familiar with the 552.
>>
>>
>>It sounds a lot better than a 444. Almost as good as an SM-58.
>
>I'll give it a look.
>
>
>>>>You can even
>>>>ask Lance since I got the manual from him with the radio. BTW, have
>>>>you ever seen a factory service manual? You know, one that wasn't
>>>>published by Sams?
>>>
>>>I have a dozen factory service manuals, including the Rat Shack TRC
>>>448, the Lafayette Telsat SSB-140, the Uniden 2510, the RCI 2950, and
>>>Yaesu's FT-101, and FT-757, and the Kenwood TS-700a and TS-530. Now,
>>>what were you saying?
>>
>>
>>Read them. But don't read them through the same coke-bottles you use
>>while reading the newsgroup.
>
>I did and I have and none agree with what you have stated.
>
>>>In your opinion.
>>>
>>>> And I've worked with professional audio
>>>>equipment for more than ten years at the station so I -do- know what
>>>>"mushy and compressed" sounds like. Gee, I wonder why -your- radios
>>>>sound that way but not mine.....
>>>
>>>Because your experience in CB radio is seriously lacking.
>>
>>
>>I wasn't a golden screwdriver tech for 35 years like you. CB radio
>>repair just wasn't enough to pay the bills, and believe me, college is
>>still a pretty big bill. No, I had to work for customers and employers
>>that actually cared about communication, not sounding "loud-n-proud".
>
>CB repair did pay the bills for me while my peers flipped burgers at
>mickey d's.

At least -they- learned what it means to work for their money.

>>>>That's about the best example of projection I have ever read, Twisty's
>>>>rants included.
>>>
>>>Typical. Deny the truth by accusing the harbinger of that truth of
>>>"projection". You are sounding more like Twisty every day.

>>
>>
>>Well, Twisty might be proud that you are trying to imitate him, but
>>I've sparred with him enough not to fall for the gag, especially from
>>someone as inexperienced at debate as yourself.
>
>It is you who are doing the imitating. I am more than capable of
>sparing with you and him at the same time. It's my relief from boredom
>while waiting for my nest task.

Yeah, right.

>>>>>I've designed controlled carrier modifications for it, FM receive and
>>>>>transmit, 10 meter conversions, Digital readout frequency selection,
>>>>>photocell LED and meter light dimmer circuits, wideband transmit and
>>>>>receive, and a host of other things that I've long forgotten about.
>>>>>Like I said, that chassis (in its various forms) has been one of my
>>>>>all time favorites for the sheer ease at which the radio modified and
>>>>>the overall capability of it.
>>>
>>>
>>>>I'll be impressed when you can design one from scratch. But that would
>>>>be superfluous, wouldn't it?
>>>
>>>I have built radios from scratch. But nothing quite so elaborate.
>>
>>
>>You're an engineer, right? What's so elaborate about a CB radio? You
>>were doing power supplies when you were 15 -- haven't you learned
>>anything since then?
>
>Why bother. I can get them for free. I have a bone pile full of them.

Build it because you can. Because it's a challenge. Because it will be
unique. Build it for the same reason that you build anything else that
you could simply buy already built -- personal pride.

>>>>So does the newsgroup, apparently.
>>>
>>>Not at all. I do this during short "breaks" at work. When I travel or
>>>things get really busy.....
>>
>>.....or you get beat up too badly in the newsgroups.....
>
>There is no one yet who can "beat me up". Most lack the cognitive
>ability to do that.

Go crack your skull open and admire your cerebral cortex in the
mirror.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups

----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2760. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:18:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <c0s611dvukrco8t358itpm3r076e9vv4ok@4ax.com>
Source record: 196400

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 10:11:22 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <itn611p0hmrvmjd7ro95emubc9jbc3skd7@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 04:21:16 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:11:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <5td6119v9rg4g31tbdutftmrtvdmu9p766@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:32:57 -0600, "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
>>>>comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>>>
>>>Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.
>>
>>
>>Post your source, Dave.
>
>Common sense, and statistical probability.

IOW, you are just guessing.

> At the time CB was at it's
>biggest peak, the number of licensed CB'ers outnumbered hams by nearly
>10:1.

Post your source, Dave. Or are you guessing about that, too?

> With that many CB'ers it stands to reason that the greatest
>source of freeband activity comes from CB.

Pure conjecture.

>>>Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
>>>If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
>>>out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>>
>>
>>Even if it means illegal operation.
>
>Shameful but true. Even some hams have a tough time obeying the rules
>these days.
>
>

>>
>>
>>>From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
>>>conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
>>>operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
>>>make that "rare" contact.
>>>
>>>That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why.
>>
>>
>>You are clueless, Dave. 11 meters can be wide open when 10 and 12
>>meters are totally dead. That's the nature of the band and that part
>>of the spectrum. And that's one big reason why many hams operate
>>illegally on the CB.
>
>Pure B.S. Frank. There is no way that 11 meters can be "wide open" and
>10 meters not be equally open. They're only 1 Mhz apart, so
>propagation characteristics are virtually the same.

Despite your claim that it can't happen, it does.

> Even if you try to
>use the MUF argument, then how would that explain 12 meters? Surely
>you aren't going to argue, just for the sake of arguing, that the
>conditions on 27.555 are all that much different than what's on
>28.005?

Maybe you should take this from the scientific perspective: Make the
observations and -then- formulate your theory on how and why such
things occur.

>What IS different though are the amount of operators present. The old;
>"If a tree fell in the woods and no one was there, did it really make
>a noise" analogy holds here. If the 10 meter band was wide open but no
>one was using it, were the conditions really different?

You're hopeless, Dave. The "analogy" you poorly quoted is an exercise
in existentialism, not radio-wave propogation.

>The plain truth is that 12 meters is a lightly used ham band. 10
>meters is pretty much only active when the sunspot cycle is high, and
>people look there for DX. Otherwise hams tend to flock to 15 meters
>and lower to make their DX contacts.

Maybe that's what happens in your neck of the woods (where trees fall
without making a sound).

> If a sporadic band opening
>happens on 10 meters, most would miss it. But with millions of CBers
>flooding the legal channels, you have an instant beacon that tells
>when the band is opening. Just listen to the noise level rise.
>
>Is your zeal to argue with me overriding the illogic of your position?

Is your zeal to argue with me overriding the fact that you never
noticed how sometimes half the CB is dead while the other half is
skipping like a kid playing hopscotch?

>Besides, I though you had a new job? Isn't it time you got to work?

I have the day off. Isn't it time you got a job?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2761. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:26:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050216112609.802$cG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
> <AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
>
> >On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 03:55:28 -0500, Len pooped out:
> >
> >
> >>>
> >> It will cost you $13K if Uncle Charlie finds you. Thus it's not free,
> >> so there's no freeband.... :-)
> >>
> >> Really, what can you do illegally on 27.567MHz that you, if you have a
> >> General or Extra class ham license, can do legally in the 10 meter ham
> >> band?
> >>
> >> Odds of getting caught and fined? Miniscule.
> >
> >
> >Riiiiiight. Go ahead and keep at it then, it's just a matter of time.
> >They ARE going after people...
>
> I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
>
I know of three within 15 miles of me.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2762. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:27:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050216112722.790$79@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com> wrote:
> Those with any sense don't top post.
>
Agreed.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2763. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:31:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050216113148.714$6d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196403

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 07:11:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote in <5td6119v9rg4g31tbdutftmrtvdmu9p766@4ax.com>:
>
> >On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 01:32:57 -0600, "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
> >>comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
> >
> >Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.
>
> Post your source, Dave.
>
> >Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
> >If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
> >out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>
> Even if it means illegal operation.
>
> >From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
> >conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
> >operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
> >make that "rare" contact.
> >
> >That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why.
>
> You are clueless, Dave. 11 meters can be wide open when 10 and 12
> meters are totally dead. That's the nature of the band and that part
> of the spectrum. And that's one big reason why many hams operate
> illegally on the CB.
>
The hams I know use the freeband for local talk as much or more
than for DX. They do if for fun.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2764. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:35:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050216113535.790$Ch@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196404

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 15 Feb 2005 12:07:08 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
> <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> >Rebias the output.
> >>
> >> Probably not a bad idea.
> >>
> >> Dave
> >> "Sandbagger"
> >
> >
> >Look at this crap, http://www.cbtricks.com/~rogerbird/2510/3rf.htm, you
> >people are totally flying blind with radio butcher mods like that.
>
> I don't recommend any aftermarket performance mods. Too often they do
> more harm than good. The exception to that is the final transistor mod
> where they replace the original transistor with a stud mount MRF 455.
> I accept that mod, not for performance enhancement, but because the
> MRF 477 is no longer being made and if one needs to be replaced, this
> mod may be the best alternative.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> is the aol-tard that forges and posts
a lot of that homo shit. Like -anyone- should take him seriously.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2765. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:36:12 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8ms611dgdgjhki0403n28mdl3ugkuspcuf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196405

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 10:54:03 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <upq611pldsuprccvtajcti5oub0ojlf8f2@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 06:31:42 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>>Your "facts" have already been demonstrated to be little more than
>>>>>extrapolated conclusions based loosely on factual information, but
>>>>>twisted to fit a particular political bias.
>
>
>The really sad part is that you don't see that you do this. That is
>why it is pointless to argue with you.

Yet another cop out.

> You feel that your "sources"
>are completely factual, while mine are "propaganda".

I don't "feel" that my sources are factual -- they are. You simply
refuse to verify them. For example, when I do nothing more than repeat
a statement made by Bush himself, you make the automatic assumption
that my quotation -must- be biased without even verifying the source.
OTOH, you have provided absolutely NOTHING in the way of facts that
can be verified..... except for a forged document.

You're pretty lame, Dave.

> What you call
>"facts" are nothing more that reports written by other people.

Reported by other people but verifiable by anyone, including yourself
if you had the willingness to do so. You said from the beginning that
you rely on facts, but when presented with facts that you can verify
you simply ignored them. You are a hypocrite, Dave.

> The
>credibility and agenda of those people call into question the validity
>of your "facts".

The credibility and agenda of those people is irrelevant when the
facts can be verified from other sources, which is something you are
clearly unwilling to do.

> You can't verify any of it. You are trusting that
>other people are telling you the truth. Who says that they are?

YOU can verify ANY of the facts I have shoved down your throat, Dave. But you DON'T! You CAN'T, because admitting those facts would be an admission that you were WRONG, and that's just something you can't do even when Bush himself DID make such an admission!

>The fact that Bush was once busted for a minor traffic offense is a
>diversion. Ted Kennedy was responsible for the death of Mary Jo
>Kopechne, and he's still in office, so the point is irrelevant.

No, it's not irrelevant. At least not according to you. If you are going to hold Kennedy and Kerry to crimes long ago for which they were never indicted, then you should also hold Bush to the same standard. Even more so, since Bush was CONVICTED of a crime, not just accused. Yet you have a double-standard that follows your political bias. And you have the gall to accuse -me- of having political bias -- you're a real prize, Dave.

>You choose to believe what you want to believe. The national Enquirer
>isn't losing subscriptions any time soon either.

Go toot your own horn in another newsgroup. Oh, that's right, nobody buys your bullshit in rec.boats either, do they? Well, maybe you should take that as a clue.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2766. Need New CB Earn Extra $$$$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:37:54 UTC
From: have_faith_61@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1108571874.130153.112420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196406

Yes the internet is flooded with get rich quick schemes and different
ways to make money, but I found something that is fool-proof! Why
don't you be apart of it. It's perfectly legal, and risk free.
Follow these instructions to the letter and you will see:
SEND $2.00(US) TO NAMES ON A LIST AND POST IT TO 200 VARIOUS NEWS
GROUPS
AND BULLETIN BOARDS... (ALLTHENEWSGROUPS.COM AND COLLEGECLUB.COM HAVE
PLENTY). AND THAT WAS IT AND THE MONEY STARTED ROLLING IN BY THE WEEK`S
END.

I MEAN THE MONEY JUST KEEPS COMING AND COMING IN. NOW I AM SURE YOU ARE
THINKING THAT THIS HAS GOT TO BE ILLEGAL BUT IT`S NOT
BECAUSE THERE IS NO WAY I WOULD RISK GETTING BANNED FROM ANY GROUP OR
HAVE
THE COPS PICK ME UP FOR SOMETHING ILLEGAL.

BELIEVE ME, THIS IS SO REAL. YOUR INVESTMENT IS $12 MEASLY DOLLARS AND
6
STAMPED ENVELOPES. AND YOU ONLY HAVE TO SEND OUT THIS MONEY ONE TIME!!!

NOW I AM SURE YOU ARE THINKING THAT THIS HAS GOT TO BE ILLEGAL BUT IT`S
NOT
BECAUSE THERE IS NO WAY I WOULD RISK GETTING BANNED FROM ANY GROUP OR
HAVE
THE COPS PICK ME UP FOR SOMETHING ILLEGAL.

BELIEVE ME, THIS IS SO REAL. YOUR INVESTMENT IS $12 MEASLY DOLLARS AND
6
STAMPED ENVELOPES. AND YOU ONLY HAVE TO SEND OUT THIS MONEY ONE TIME!!!

THIS IS NOT A RIP-OFF, IT IS NOT INDECENT AND THERE ARE NO MISLEADING
PROMISES OR CLAIMS OR ANYTHING TO SELL. AND IT IS SO LEGAL. YOU CAN
HAVE
THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN LESS THAN A MONTH.

THIS PROGRAM REMAINS SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE OF THE HONESTY OF IT`S
PARTICIPANTS.
PLEASE CONTINUE ITS SUCCESS BY CAREFULLY FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS.
YOU
WILL BECOME PART OF A MAIL ORDER BUSINESS. IN THE BUSINESS, THERE IS
NEITHER
SOLID NOR TANGIBLE, IT`S A SERVICE. YOU IN THE BUSINESS OF DEVELOPING
MAILING LISTS. MANY LARGE CORPORATIONS PAY BIG MONEY FOR QUALITY LISTS.

HERE ARE THREE EASY STEPS TO FOLLOW, REMEMBER TO FOLLOW THEM EXACTLY:

STEP 1.

GET 6 PIECES OFPAPER AND WRITE OR TYPE THE FOLLOWING:

PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST

YOUR NAME

YOUR ADDRESS

YOUR CITY STATE AND ZIP

NOW GET 12-- $1.00(US) BILLS AND FOLD THE PAPER AROUND THE BILL AND PUT ONE
BILL IN EACH ENVELOPE AND SEAL THEM. NOW THAT YOU HAVE 6 ENVELOPES WITH

$2.00 WRAPPED IN PAPER IN EACH OF THEM, SEND THEM TO THE FOLLOWING ADDRESSES:

1. T. CORTEZ, 919 VINEYARD VINE WAY, LAS VEGAS, NV 89032

2. L.STEVENSON JR, 595 PIEDMONT AVE NE#356, ATLANTA, GA 30308

3. T.THOMPSON, 5280 LITTLE MOUNTAIN DR #K4, SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92407

4. M.Strickland, 934 s. Oakley Blvd., Chicago ,IL 60612

5. Marianne Crist, 1680 21st Ave. SW, Vero Beach, Fl 32962

6. R.Projecty, C/O P.O. Box 80659. St Clair Shores, MI 48080

STEP 2
NOW, FOR THIS TO BENEFIT YOU, TAKE THE TOP NAME OFF THE LIST YOU SEE ABOVE
AND PUT YOUR NAME AT THE BOTTOM OF THE LIST. CHANGE ANYTHING YOU FEEL NEEDED
KEEPING IT CLOSE AS POSSIBLE.

STEP 3.
NOW POST THIS MESSAGE, WITH YOUR NAME ADDED TO THE LIST, TO 200 NEWS GROUPS
THAT YOU CAN FIND ALMOST ANYWHERE. DO NOT TRY TO MOVE YOURSELF UP THE LIST
BECAUSE YOU CAN MAKE THIS MONEY EASILY BY FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS EXACTLY.

IT REALLY DOES WORK, DO IT TODAY, GET YOUR
SHARE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!AND
ENJOY ALL THE MONEY POURING IN!

REMEMBER THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL. WHEN CHECKING WITH THE POSTAL SERVICE FOR
FRAUD, THEY CONFIRMED THAT IT TRULY IS LEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

GREAT OPPORTUNITY! MAKE MONEY--SPEND IT ON WHAT YOU WANT

2767. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:42:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qkt6119rm32ltvfjjb2dc8cnu1u053r48d@4ax.com>
Source record: 196407

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 10:26:15 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <j5p611pc3rbh4fba49s2d6lj09ioc6adrv@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 05:06:28 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>>>Hmm, now who are you supposed to be? You have no posting history
>>>>>before now, so it's highly likely that you're someone's sock puppet.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Typical "Dave" response: someone says something he doesn't like and he
>>>>attacks the person.
>>>
>>>What part of my statement was untrue?
>>
>>
>>Your -assumption- that the poster is a "sock puppet", as well your
>>-assumption- about the poster's posting history.
>
>Google pretty much answered that question. There WAS no posting
>history by the person known as "elmer". That brings about two
>possibilities:
>
>1. He was a long term lurker who just happened to choose this moment
>to introduce himself.
>
>2. "Elmer" is a pseudonym for another person.
>
>Considering the proliferation of name-calling sock puppets in the
>recent past, what is the statistical probability that choice 1 is more
>likely than choice 2.

Is that a rhetorical question? I'm asking because I know you already
have an answer that's based upon your "core beliefs". And I know that
because you didn't include the possibility that he -isn't- a "long
term lurker", but merely someone who found the newsgroup, read your BS
and decided to introduce himself as a proponent of truth. But you and
your crystal ball already have excluded that possibility, haven't you,
Dave?

>>>>>If one want's to stay focused on the topics, I am more than happy to
>>>>>remain equally focused. But when the scope of the topic changes, I
>>>>>will respond in kind.
>>>>

>>>>
>>>>Save the rhretoric, Dave. You deviate from the topics so often that
>>>>it's impossible for even -you- to keep them all straight.
>>>
>>>Proof please.
>>
>>
>>You proved it yourself in another thread. It's not my fault that your
>>memory is crap.
>
>You made the statement, now you provide the proof. When have I
>deviated from the topic at hand, other than to defend against a
>irrelevant statement made to me first?

Off the top of my head? You bringing in high-voltage sweep-tube power
supplies into the discussion about a solid-state CB. There are several
more. Shall I make a list here, too?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2768. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:45:22 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <CMKQd.8562$911.8128@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196408

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95FF5D2C06D6C1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in
> news:sf5411lnvbvqlqscik7jot6el8k0hocte5@4ax.com:
>
>> On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 10:29:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>>That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa.
>>>>police *give* you the extra speed of a few miles per hour.
>>>>Nevertheless, you illustrate once again you speak of things you know
>>>>nothing of (the law) and do not need Frank to make you reverse your
>>>>earlier position. Since you realized your error, there is no need to
>>>>provide you with the Pa. vehicle code. Flip-flop.
>>>
>>>You're right. I shouldn't have deviated from my original stance since,
>>>as it turns out, I was right about it.
>>>
>>>See:
>>>
>>>http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>>>
>>>Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4., which states:
>>>
>>>"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of
>>>devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed recorded
>>>is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
>>>Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through
>>>the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area where the
>>>legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the speed recorded
>>>is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
>>>This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use of
>>>devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school zone"
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>So what were you saying about knowing the law?
>>
>>
>> Ouch.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>

> Ouch , Exactly
> Pennsy isn't big on speed enforcement anyhow. Pennsy is not a radar stste
> by any means most towns dont even have them they use the time and
> distance (vascar) method. which is why they probably have 6 mph relief

Yeah, that basic math stuff is tuff for some.

2769. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 16:54:27 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <CL@barahmas.com>
Message-ID: <7VKQd.31793$xt.11914@fed1read07>
Source record: 196409
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Disagree - hi hi
--
Caveat Lector (Reader Beware)
Help The New Hams
Someone Helped You
Or did You Forget That ?

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050216112722.790$79@newsreader.com...
> "Charlie" <charlie@earthlink.com> wrote:
>> Those with any sense don't top post.
>>
> Agreed.
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2770. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 18:29:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dn37119pljo8cvqvnn0lqdjcr45j46aen6@4ax.com>
Source record: 196410

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 14:59:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>You posted at 4:07 in a thread:

4:07? In what time zone? I am nowhere near a computer at either 4AM or
PM.

>
>>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
>
>Your response to the question was:
>
>"Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement actions.
>It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed ham for
>operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in popping an
>unlicensed radio pirate."
>
>4 minutes later you post again, same thread, different post:
>
>>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is
>> limited by comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>
>"Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.
>
>Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands. If DX
>is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek out
>whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>
>From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
>conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
>operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to make
>that "rare" contact.
>
>That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why."
>
>Now you asked Dave, please don't attack the messenger.

How is that changing topics? It's the same topic. You evidently did
not understand what was saying, and it comes off looking like a
contradiction. Perhaps I didn't word it quite right, but in essence
the two major points that I made were:

1. That out of the recent FCC busts for freebanding, that a
significant percentage of them were licensed hams.

2. Out of the TOTAL amount of freebanders, those with ham licenses are in the minority. Hence the term "most don't".

>
>I've watched the speed thread and contrary to what you
>interpret, there is no written or "Unwritten" (to which no-one
>could prove... Because it's unwritten) law saying a Cop can't
>write you a ticket for going 56 in a 55 MPH zone.

Did you not read the statute that I posted? It states just that in black and white. When using electronic or electro- mechanical speed measuring devices the cops in the state of Pa. MUST give AT LEAST a 5 MPH grace. The only exception is in a school zone, or when being pursued by a cop car with a "certified" speedometer.

http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html

Read it again, and pay particular attention to 3368 (c) paragraph 4.

> Will the cop win in court? Probably, not enough people
>know that they can ask for certificate of calibration on his
>gun or clocking device or that they should go and get "their" own speedo
>calibrated.
> By the way, Vascar is illegal in California, it's considered
>entrapment, so there's another defense.

But RADAR isn't? They're two different methods for doing the exact same thing.

All that shows is that states vary in their methods toward traffic enforcement.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2771. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 19:34:25 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108582465.148199.318880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196411

Steveo wrote:
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> > On 15 Feb 2005 12:07:08 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
> > <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >> >Rebias the output.
> > >>
> > >> Probably not a bad idea.
> > >>
> > >> Dave
> > >> "Sandbagger"
> > >>
> > >>
> > >Look at this crap,
http://www.cbtricks.com/~rogerbird/2510/3rf.htm, you
> > >people are totally flying blind with radio butcher mods like that.
> > >
> > I don't recommend any aftermarket performance mods. Too often they
do
> > more harm than good. The exception to that is the final transistor
mod
> > where they replace the original transistor with a stud mount MRF
455.
> > I accept that mod, not for performance enhancement, but because the
> > MRF 477 is no longer being made and if one needs to be replaced,
this
> > mod may be the best alternative.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> > http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> >
> <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> is the aol-tard that forges and posts
> a lot of that homo shit. Like -anyone- should take him seriously.

Like anyone should take you - a guy who harasses hams in their homes -
seriously LOL

2772. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 19:39:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050216143904.061$Gg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196412

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> > > On 15 Feb 2005 12:07:08 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
> > > <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >> >Rebias the output.
> > > >>
> > > >> Probably not a bad idea.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dave
> > > >> "Sandbagger"
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Look at this crap,
> http://www.cbtricks.com/~rogerbird/2510/3rf.htm, you
> > > >people are totally flying blind with radio butcher mods like that.
> > > >
> > > I don't recommend any aftermarket performance mods. Too often they
> do
> > > more harm than good. The exception to that is the final transistor
> mod
> > > where they replace the original transistor with a stud mount MRF
> 455.
> > > I accept that mod, not for performance enhancement, but because the
> > > MRF 477 is no longer being made and if one needs to be replaced,
> this
> > > mod may be the best alternative.
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> > > http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> > >
> > <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> is the aol-tard that forges and posts
> > a lot of that homo shit. Like -anyone- should take him seriously.
>
> Like anyone should take you - a guy who harasses hams in their homes -
> seriously LOL
>
According to you I was never there, fucktard. You can't have it both
ways. See ya in Dayton, bring your friend.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2773. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 20:41:06 UTC
From: dougmc@frenzy.com
Message-ID: <CdOQd.9720$911.7209@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

In article <hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com>,
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

| Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
| actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
| ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
| popping an unlicensed radio pirate.

It's probably because it's a lot easier to track down -- after all, if
the licensed ham is properly IDing himself, it's trivial for anybody
who listens to look up that call sign and find that his ham class
doesn't let him transmit there.

| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
| detrimental.

Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
one down would be a lot harder.

--
Doug McLaren, dougmc@frenzy.com
Life is short and hard, like a bodybuilding elf.
So save the planet and kill yourself.

2774. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 21:59:19 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6288-4213C237-239@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196414

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, Feb 16, 2005, 11:58am (EST-1)
Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Temporary leave From:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent:
Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Wed, Feb 16, 2005,
11:58am (EST-1) X-Trace:
sv3-qD15lPQiNcji+GMpi80b8wHGUAWlJZt7Uw69O5E7fUs7Ltve7SAW1ZTn1C5nNstfWGJdSDVZ5nD9OHF!00fDR9
Syq+D951DkcyJhjO2o2EC0luT29Fl6oBzoqONPl2GIOb6kYOiwpsw3R/WSitNo
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward
a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.28
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:0bh611hi2fr1unap5cevecvp8rdh4e1han@4ax.com:
I provided a long list of facts about Bush that you disregarded as
"irrelevant" simply because you ignore the same logic you now invoke.

>Damn you are now using the same words as

>twisty

Evoke/chant/pray the name a few more times, like the Gregorian Monks,
you may find the zen that escapes you. Of course, it's Twistedhed's
fault you are coming apart.

>.. Is your name David

>McCampbell or is that still Tipsys name???

Your name is David T. Hall Jr. Call sign N3CVJ, piss-poor example of
amateur radio.

2775. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 22:13:28 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6288-4213C588-240@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196415

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 14:59:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

"I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses... "

(Your response to the question was:)

>"Quite a few according to many of the more

>recent FCC enforcement actions. It would

>seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a

>licensed ham for operating out of their

>assigned bands, than they are in popping an

>unlicensed radio pirate."

(4 minutes later you post again, same thread, different post:)

>"Assuming freebanders have ham licenses.

>Most don't.

(Now you asked Dave, please don't attack the messenger.)

>How is that changing topics? It's the same

>topic. You evidently did not understand what

>I was saying,

NOBODY understands what you say Dave, and you *always* blame it on the
other person, when in fact, it's you. In one day, you have accused three
people of not understanding you. Perhaps you can now take a look at what
you have been told by what is becoming more and more people on a regular
basis: you have a problem making your feelings known and conveying what
you mean, which is a commnication issue and it's NOT with everyone else,
Dave, it's with you.

>and it comes off looking like a contradiction. P

You *are* a contradiction, Dave,,trouble is, you are the only one unable
to see it, which leads us to the other fact you refuse to grasp: no one
views you the manner in which you view yourself. Your issues lead to you
blaming the other person (not their topic) in all your posts.

>Perhaps I didn't word it quite right, but in

>essence the two major points that I made

>were:

>1. That out of the recent FCC busts for

>freebanding, that a significant percentage of

>them were licensed hams.

That wasn't the point everyone else took from what you claimed, as what you claimed is NOT what you are now attempting to redefine. What you claimed was "quite a few freebanders have licenses"

>2. Out of the TOTAL amount of freebanders,

>those with ham licenses are in the minority.

Which is yet another topic, as it doesn't negate your first claim, and that is that quite a few hammie have licenses".

>Hence the term "most don't".

Conjecture based on logic you can not even define, let alone explain. Tell us agin how you use forensics in your reasoning. Remember, your original calim was that you USED forensics in your reasoning and work, yet when you were instructed by Frank as to the proper usage of the word, you came back adn (as always) tried to explain what you really meant by misusing the term. Only then did you say the reasoning you employ is "like" forensics, completely disregarding your earlier and incorrect claim, yet you refused to acknowledge you were wrong, a pattern that is becoming evident and screamingly obvious in the majority of your posts, regardless who you choose to blame, next.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

2776. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 22:40:48 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-4213CBF0-676@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196417

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 11:53:23 -0500,
>as it turns out, I was right about it.

See:
http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4., which states:
"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of devices
authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit.
Furthermore, no person may be convicted
upon evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit. This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school zone"
-
There is no rule, unwritten or written that requires the officer to ignore a speeder. To wit...the portion you conveniently snipped shows how your claim is not across the board and is an exception, as it pertains ONLY when traveling in areas with posted speeds LESS than 55, whereas the the posted interstate (65 MPH) speeds, of which Pa. finally raised from 55 not too long ago, are the speed LIMITS imposed by the state of Pennsylvania.

>There are still many limited access roads

>which are posted at 55.

You're roving. There are also many local and city roads where the speed is 35. Not relevant to the maximum speed limit imposed by the state.

> In fact, the majority of places where they

>allow 65 are those areas where the highway is
>not in a "congested" (meaning densely

>populated) area.

Regardless, you are citing the exception. Once again, you can cite no rule requiring the officer to give a window of ANY speed in regards to the states maximum imposed speed limit.

>In most others, it's still 55.

On the interstates in Pa, the maximum speed is 65 MPH. See above.

>Now, Read the statute again, slowly this time:

> "No person may be convicted upon evidence

>obtained through the use of devices

>authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless

>the speed recorded is six or more miles per

>hour in excess of the legal speed limit."

>That applies to all speeds.

It doesn't. It applies only as described below.

>The following paragraph applies specifically to
>speeds below 55:

The "following" paragraph was part of the one you presented, in fact,
directly followed the last sentence above.

>"Furthermore, no person may be convicted

>upon evidence obtained through the use of

>devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an

>area where the legal speed limit is less than

>55 miles per hour if the speed recorded is less
>than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal

>speed limit."

>Which means (for the cognitively impaired),

>that when using a method other than RADAR

>(Which usually means VASCAR) on a road

>where the posted speed is less than 55, they

> must give you 10 MPH leeway,

That's NOT what it says. Here is the interpretation for you,
Dave,,,,where the POSTED speed limit is 55 or LESS is the ONLY manner
where it applies.it is a specific exception. Once again, the maximum
posted speed limit on Pa interstates is 65. There is NO law or rule, or
even "unwritten" as you claim, that REQUIRES the officer to GIVE any
leeway at all.

>additional 5 MPH over what the previous

>paragraph called for..

>The minimum that they have to give you on

>any road and with any speed measuring

>device is 5 MPH.

Not ANY road, Dave, ONLY those roads where ythe posted speed is LESS
than 55, which rules out the number one place the STATE police are using
radar,,,the interstates where the speed is ..taadaaa..65.

>On certain other roads and with non-RADAR

>speed devices, they have to give MORE.

>The only exception to this rule is:

>"This paragraph shall not apply to evidence

>obtained through the use of devices

>authorized by paragraph (3) within a school

>zone"

>A school zone is the only place where they

>can pop you for less than 5 MPH over.

Wrong, The interstate is where they ARE popping people, and the number
one place the State Police patrol (who, incidentally, are the only cops
in Pa permitted to use such devices), where the maximum posted speed
is....again,,,65.

_
Pa. has no rule OR law,
that requires a LEO to give a window of 5 mph (or ANY speed) to speeders
in excess of the state speed limit, which happens to be 65 MPH.
>I just posted it.

And you are still wrong.

>If you can't comprehend it, that (as usual) is

>your comprehensive deficiency showing.

Just like you maintain roger beeps are illegal,
and claimed that others believed that as well, you were unable to cite
a single person that maintained such.
Again, cite a single person that misinterprets this to read as you
claim...just one.

>Now go ahead and try to spin the school zone

>angle in another feeble attempt to prove me

>wrong.

Feeble is your insult above whenever you realize you are wrong. In fact,
the moment youbegin to comprehend you are wrong, you attack the person
pointing out your incompetence. The pattern is the same all through your

posting history,,,once you are shown your errors, you insult the person you are debating and try to drop the topic and make your topic of the person. In fact, you have admitted on several occasion, you like nothing more than to make me your topic.

>Don't fret though. The statistical probability is

>that you'll eventually find something you can

>ding me with someday. It's just that today isn't

>it.

"Ding" you? My goodness, David, you have been made to "ding" yourself so many times, not one person can hold a straight face when you accuse others of trying to "ding" you. You manage that all by your lonesome.

>Now where is that LEO group?

Where's one of those folks you claimed agreed with you that roger beeps are illegal? When asked to cite one, you retreated faster than greased lightning and haven't touched the subject again. Of course, nobody expected you to cite anyone, Dave, as NO ONE agrees with ypu..no one! Tell ya' what, Davie, since I'm a nice guy and feeling generous and you're an angry guy feeling bad ..,,cite someone that agrees with you on your claim regarding the 55 law in your state as pertaining to your claims that a Pa State Cop (as only State Cops in Pa have radar) is REQUIRED to give a window to ALL speeders, and I'll post the URL right here.
Take all the time you need.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2777. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 22:45:12 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108593912.782269.28620@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196416

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2778. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 22:46:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6289-4213CD36-61@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196418

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 11:56:27 -0500, twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
wrote:
(Ouch.)
(Vinnie S.)
Ha! Vinnie is feeling your pain.

>Actually that pain is from your foot being firmly
>implanted in your mouth (yet again).

Are you claiming Vinnie is now Twist? Or Twist is Vinny? How does your
claim relate to Vinny saying "ouch"? Ah, never mind, you are struggling
with everyone you speak to these days in here to explain what you
"really mean",,,

>Where's that LEO group twist?

Asking for me to provide for a claim when you refuse to provide for your
own illustrates your hypocrisy, but then again, so do the majority of
your posts. Let's try again,,you made a claim, others agreed with you
that roger beeps are illegal, yet only you can see these imaginary
playmates you invoke. Yet, you call others crazy and attack every single
person with whom you disagree. You have inner demons, David Jr. Please
don't take them out on what's left of your family, anymore.

>David Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2779. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 22:50:36 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-4213CE3C-677@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196420

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 14:50:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>I snipped nothing from that passage.

(You certainly did. You snipped paragraph (1), which authorizes the use
of "a mechanical or electrical speed timing device", devices that are
-not- limited by paragraph (4). IOW, if the cop -can- bust for doing 56
in a 55 zone if he uses a mechanical speed timing device (e.g, the
speedometer in his own vehicle).))

>Again, I snipped nothing from the passage

>that I was excerpting to make my point.

Of course,,,he originally snipped "nothing", but here we go on cue,
David coming back after his claim to redefine what he "really meant. So,
even though he said he snipped nothing, yet he did, he comes back with
"Well, what I 'really meant' was".....

>. I provided the link to the whole statute, but I

>only copied paragraph 4, since that is the one

>which pertains to this whole subject.

And neatly contradicts your falwed interpretation of the law.

>For the sake of brevity and bandwidth, I chose
>not to copy the WHOLE thing. That's why I

>also posted the link. If, as you are implying, I

>was attempting subterfuge, would I have

>provided the link?

(So what were you saying about knowing the law?)

>I can at least READ it.

And misintepret the majority of it.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2780. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 22:56:30 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6288-4213CF9E-244@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196419

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, Feb 16, 2005, 8:07am (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Help on SSB failure From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Wed, Feb 16, 2005, 8:07am (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-TShFVDFyBPhfsxWyQKsHuDYfpH8p66U95WsAM9zN3ZjEjB1c3+PVlF4BZUBzgUASXUsIePTYxb8p0fM!KdKDcYIRstG9mKBLqA07AsiQMHbhqWOH6LGJH+ZrtjyfDkZzfEzRt/pELkbty0MkgSLg X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.23

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote in news:sf5411lnvbvqlqscik7jot6el8k0hocte5@4ax.com:

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 10:29:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

That's a far cry from you claiming it was a "requirement" the Pa. police *give* you the extra speed of a few miles per hour. Nevertheless, you illustrate once again you speak of things you know nothing of (the law) and do not need Frank to make you reverse your earlier position. Since you realized your error, there is no need to provide you with the Pa. vehicle code. Flip-flop.

>You're right. I shouldn't have deviated from my
>original stance since, as it turns out, I was

>right about it.

See:
http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
Pay particular attention to 3368 (c) number 4., which states:
"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit. Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit. This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school zone"

>So what were you saying about knowing the

>law?

(Ouch.
Vinnie S.)

>Ouch , Exactly

Yea, al that fanny patting you do with him...hehe.

>Pennsy isn't big on speed enforcement

>anyhow.

An interesting opinion which no one cares *what* you may mean by it, so save trying to explain whta you "meant" by it at a later date.

>Pennsy is not a radar stste by any means

You are completely daft. Every single State Police Officer with a patrol unit is equipped with them.

> most towns dont even have them

Gee,,,that's because only State Cops are permitted to have them in Pa.

> they use the time and distance (vascar)

>method. which is why they probably have 6

>mph relief

Ony the State Cops *don't* have any required "mph relief" in regards to the State's maximum posted speed limits on te interstates,,you know,,the place where the State Cops patrol.
Oh,,and there will not be any "required mph relief" no matter *what* name you choose to post under, Dave.

2781. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 23:02:29 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6288-4213D105-245@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196422

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:9248-421229BB-449
@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net:
That your position still doesn't understand that Pa has no law or rule,
written or otherwise, requiring officers to give you a window of ANY
speed when in excess of the state speed limit.
>

>They most certainly do, all states have a

>window, it is actually for equipment error etc.

Show the statute.

>If

>a speed limit is posted at 35 they will not write

>you until you pass the prescribed min over the
>limit,

Show the statute.

>some officers will be more leinent,

Now you are speaking discretion and not a rule. Which is it you are
claiming?

>but the letter is none write you for going 1 mph
>over speed limit.

That's bullshit. Give the cop a difficult time after he pulled you over
for going a few miles over the speed limit and see if you don't get a
ticket. The outcome of your court appearance is irelevant at this point.
If a RULE existed, as you claim, that he (th cop) may NOT write you up
for such, you wouldn't be pulled over in the first place.

> They know the reliability and accuracy of the

>radar wont hold up in court.

Actually, the technology today is very accurate and can pinpoint a
single car in a bunch of traffic, In addition, the radar guns are
required to be recalibrated EVERY shift in Pa.

> If the radars accuracy is +/- 2 mph they

>wont/cant write you at 1 mph over. pretty basic
>concept.

There you go again. Your posting name changes but your echo is
undeniable.

2782. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 23:05:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-4213D1C6-678@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196423

My goodness,,the concept is simple, Davie. Helicopter watches traffic below..clocks how long it takes cars to get from point A (marked by paint on highway) to point B (also marked by paint on highway). Taaa daa..VASCAR.

2783. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 23:10:12 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6290-4213D2D4-19@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196424

From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZT - Eric Oyen)
Dave Hall wrote:
It's his way. He gets hung up on semantics and the literal translations
of certain words. Picking nits is putting it mildly.
David T. Hall Jr.
N3CVJ
"Sandbagger"

>Dave,

>yeah, I seem to notice that this is true for a

>rather large percentage of the human race

>these days (is it me or have IQ's dropped

>recently?).

>--

>DE N7ZZT

>Eric Oyen

>Phoenix, Arizona

>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com

>the difference between intelligence and

>stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

In addition to getting brash and undeservingly rude, Dave also sees
things not unlike yourself.

2784. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 23:13:56 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <6wQQd.23106$UI1.2595@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196421

Landshark wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:%4TPd.19092$Si1.19026@fe09.lga...
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:20050212192114.160$no@newsreader.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The big race is coming up.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Bandwagon jumper.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Not me, never really liked the Novato native. He hasn't
>>>>shown his stuff since the "team" started to break apart,
>>>>just shows you how good that "Team" was.
>>>>
>>>
>>>I dunno man, seems you can never count that guy out. He may have
>>>won it all again last year if nascar hadn't changed the rules.
>>>.
>>>
>>>
>>>>as Jr's
>>>>new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run but
>>>>the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
>>>>

>>>>>
>>>>>I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro Coors
>>>>>car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I hope he does good. Meet Bill at Sears Point Raceway, we were
>>>>there for the Wednesday Night drags, They had the track closed
>>>>for Nascar Practice. Anyway, he was done practicing and needed help
>>>>pushing the car onto the trailer, asked us to help,
>>>>no problem. Sat there and BS'd with him for a half hour then
>>>>he had to go, just a down to earth guy back then, sure he still is.
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>>
>>>
>>>Cool. he's a class act. I think he's only going to run a dozen or
>>>so races this year..
>>>
>>
>>JG is unliked by a lot of nascar fans but i follow him as he brings a
>>persona to the sport which brings in more attention to it. besides that he
>>is a good driver. love or hate him he has helped popularize the sport
>
>
> Oh don't get me wrong Jim, he & JR's been one of the best things to happen
> to Nascar for years. It's brought a lot of young
> people to help bolster the fan base and it will help carry Nascar
> into the future.
> He's an excellent driver, he just doesn't do anything for me.
> Jr, I feel is more of character along with being a good driver too.
> He has all his old man's skills, along with his image, but a style
> that personify the modern era.
>
> Landshark
>
>
Should be a good race none the less. I know where I'll be Sunday. Parked
in front of the Sony with a couple of cold ones (OK more than a couple)
and my dogs at my feet hehehe.

2785. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-16 23:18:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-4213D4B6-679@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196425

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 23:29:18 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
wrote:
Dave Hall wrote:
It's his way. He gets hung up on semantics and the literal translations
of certain words. Picking nits is putting it mildly.
Dave
"Sandbagger"
Dave,
yeah, I seem to notice that this is true for a rather large percentage
of the human race these days (is it me or have IQ's dropped recently?).

>No, it's not that people are getting dumber, it's

>just that electronic forums like the internet

>(And public two-way radio) allows people to

>disregard the rules of common courtesy

>and decency when they interact with people in
>a way that they would never do in a

>face-to-face exchange.

Exactly. recognized in your unprovoked insults to those you disagree.

>Anonymity also plays a large role. Sociopathic
>people hold their peers in contempt,

That explains your behavior of accusing all you disagree with of not
understanding what you "meant". Of course, your communication deficits
play a large role,,

> and like to use them as "toys" in a big head

>game. They feel safe because no one knows

>who they really are, and that just enables this

>behavior.

Which would explain your constant paranoia of sock puppets (of which
only YOU continue to invoke), and continued use of such puppets.
Classic. Your projection is illustrated by your constant paranoia and
accusing those you disagree of ganging up on you, not understanding you,
taking sides...hell, that's the best part yet. You are so screwed up
inside, when another challenges your idiocy, you accuse them of taking
"sides" with,,,,who else..TWISTEDHED!

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

Your poor family.

2786. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 00:31:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050216193119.087$ki@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196426

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Should be a good race none the less. I know where I'll be Sunday. Parked
> in front of the Sony with a couple of cold ones (OK more than a couple)
>
Same here, going to a nascar party for it..time to dust off the #40 cap
and shirt. Hope it's not a snooze fest like those restrictor plate
races can be sometimes.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2787. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 00:41:34 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2LRQd.3948$Bx5.3158@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196427

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns95FF844F8AA781234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:0bh611hi2fr1unap5cevecvp8rdh4e1han@4ax.com:
>
>> I provided a long list of facts about Bush that you disregarded as
>> "irrelevant" simply because you ignore the same logic you now invoke.
>
> Damn you are now using the same words as twisty... Is your name David
> McCampbell or is that still Tipsys name???

Need someone to tell you what they mean?

2788. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 01:14:23 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108602863.797953.245360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196428

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2789. AUX SWITCH ON KENWOOD TS-530S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 01:26:24 UTC
From: Liberty Valance <dlp33@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <4pSQd.5512$zH6.3516@attbi_s53>
Source record: 196429

How do you get another band by using the AUX position on a Kenwood TS-530S?

Thanx!

2790. Re: JESUS CHRIST WENT TO THE CROSS SO YOU CAN GO TO HEAVEN - The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 01:38:47 UTC
From: Liberty Valance <dlp33@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <DASQd.6149$g44.4417@attbi_s54>
Source record: 196430

NOT ALL WHO CRY
"LORD LORD" WILL ENTER INTO THE KINGDOM OF HEAVEN
<Evangelist_2005@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1108232188.144733.178940@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Good News!
>
>
> Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this
> life has ended?
>
>
> The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth
> in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and
> died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds).
> He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a
> virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life
> for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless
> blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for
> our sins.
>
>
> After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later
> as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that
> Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept
> Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him
> in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness
> and pain.
>
>
> The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is
> to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you
> confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe
> in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you
> WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)
>
>
> You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if
> you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following
> prayer:
>

>
> Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have
> a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a
> sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I
> believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the
> penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead.
> Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my
> Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for
> forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace.
> Amen.
>
>
> You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed
> God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.
>
>
> If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get
> another chance to be saved because none of us knows
> exactly when we will die.
>
>
> Have a great day!
> Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi
>
>
>
> Free Online Bible
> http://www.biblegateway.com
>
>
> Free Online Movies
> http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html
>
>
> Animation
> http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html
>
>
> The Passion Of The Christ
> http://www.worshipmusic.com/0310263670.html
>
>
> Beware Of Cults
> http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm
>
>
> About Hell
> http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm
>
>

> Is Jesus God?
> http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html
>

2791. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 02:34:24 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108607664.331167.29690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196431

Steveo wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> > > > On 15 Feb 2005 12:07:08 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
> > > > <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >> >Rebias the output.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Probably not a bad idea.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Dave
> > > > >> "Sandbagger"
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >Look at this crap,
> > > http://www.cbtricks.com/~rogerbird/2510/3rf.htm, you
> > > > >people are totally flying blind with radio butcher mods like
that.
> > > >
> > > > I don't recommend any aftermarket performance mods. Too often
they
> > do
> > > > more harm than good. The exception to that is the final
transistor
> > mod
> > > > where they replace the original transistor with a stud mount
MRF
> > 455.
> > > > I accept that mod, not for performance enhancement, but because
the
> > > > MRF 477 is no longer being made and if one needs to be
replaced,
> > this
> > > > mod may be the best alternative.
> > > >
> > > > Dave
> > > > "Sandbagger"
> > > > http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
> > > >
> > > <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> is the aol-tard that forges and
posts
> > > a lot of that homo shit. Like -anyone- should take him seriously.
> >

> > Like anyone should take you - a guy who harasses hams in their
homes -
> > seriously LOL
> >
> According to you I was never there, fucktard. You can't have it both
> ways. See ya in Dayton, bring your friend.

What will you do, hit me with your purse? LOL

2792. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 03:00:08 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108609208.371799.26180@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196432

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2793. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 04:40:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <208811hke083lftkrfstde3c1bcif42qjs@4ax.com>
Source record: 196433

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600, Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote
in <Xns95FFEDBA561D31234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Subject: OT:Pa's speed Laws
>From: Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net>
>Message-ID: <Xns95FFEDBA561D31234567890@216.196.97.136>
>User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25
>X-No-Archive: yes
>Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600
>Lines: 31
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>X-Trace: sv3-bq4eF/iTzfRq6nLhcDeuz8KyISuP9s/S5c9HSmiPTMNWOSNko/wEWw/n6YTBh0WGRodhMrn/VpAK
9B5!kaFD3bLSfF/BDEWob+kgVImp5E4UfmFINZw73qTqEedCxM0+JpNazGGG2btka0mcXR2A
>X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
>X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
>X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
>X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
>X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
>Path: spool9-west!propagator-lax.newsfeeds.com!news-in.spamkiller.net!meganewsservers.com
!feeder2.on.meganewsservers.com!216.196.98.140.MISMATCH!border1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp
.giganews.com!local1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.comcast.com!news.comcast.com.POSTED!not-fo
r-mail
>Xref: 127.0.0.1 rec.radio.cb:309754
>
>
>
>It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's 2567 political
>subdivisions you're driving in if you're contemplating exceeding the
>speed limit.
>
> Because of the state's 1548 townships, 962 boroughs, 56 cities and one
>town. About 1200 of these have local Police Departments. The remainder of
>the Commonwealth is patrolled by the State Police.
>
>This is important because the state legislature allows the State Police
>to use only radar to calculate the speed of passing vehicles. All the
>local police departments are required to use some type of timing device
>to calculate the speed of a passing vehicle.
>To convict a person of speeding using radar, a State Police Trooper must
>give a 6mph leeway - meaning you can drive at 61mph in a 55mph zone and
>not get cited for speeding. To convict a person of speeding using a
>timing device, a municipal police officer must give a 10mph leeway -

>meaning you can drive at 65mph in a 55mph zone.
>In practice, both state troopers and municipal officers give an
>additional 5mph cushion on top of the leeway required by law.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Hey Dave, is someone forging you?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2794. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 04:44:42 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108615482.920373.8300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196434

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2795. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 05:01:16 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <wyVQd.3905$cW2.2809@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196435

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message
news:208811hke083lftkrfstde3c1bcif42qjs@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600, Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote
> in <Xns95FFEDBA561D31234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600
>>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>>Subject: OT:Pa's speed Laws
>>From: Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net>
>>Message-ID: <Xns95FFEDBA561D31234567890@216.196.97.136>
>>User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25
>>X-No-Archive: yes
>>Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600
>>Lines: 31
>>NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>>X-Trace:
>>sv3-bq4eF/iTzfRq6nLhcDeuz8KyISuP9s/S5c9HSmiPTMNWOSNko/wEWw/n6YTBh0WGRodhMrn/VpAK9B5!kaFD3
bLSfF/BDEWob+kgVImp5E4UfmFINZw73qTqEedCxM0+JpNazGGG2btka0mcXR2A
>>X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
>>X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
>>X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
>>X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your
>>complaint properly
>>X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
>>Path:
>>spool9-west!propagator-lax.newsfeeds.com!news-in.spamkiller.net!meganewsservers.com!feeder2.on.meganewsse
rvers.com!216.196.98.140.MISMATCH!border1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.giganews.com!local1.nntp.dca.giganews.
com!nntp.comcast.com!news.comcast.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
>>Xref: 127.0.0.1 rec.radio.cb:309754
>>
>>
>>
>>It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's 2567 political
>>subdivisions you're driving in if you're contemplating exceeding the
>>speed limit.
>>
>> Because of the state's 1548 townships, 962 boroughs, 56 cities and one
>>town. About 1200 of these have local Police Departments. The remainder of
>>the Commonwealth is patrolled by the State Police.
>>
>>This is important because the state legislature allows the State Police
>>to use only radar to calculate the speed of passing vehicles. All the
>>local police departments are required to use some type of timing device

>>to calculate the speed of a passing vehicle.
>>To convict a person of speeding using radar, a State Police Trooper must
>>give a 6mph leeway - meaning you can drive at 61mph in a 55mph zone and
>>not get cited for speeding. To convict a person of speeding using a
>>timing device, a municipal police officer must give a 10mph leeway -
>>meaning you can drive at 65mph in a 55mph zone.
>>In practice, both state troopers and municipal officers give an
>>additional 5mph cushion on top of the leeway required by law.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>
> Hey Dave, is someone forging you?
>
>
>

Hmmm.........

2796. Re: AUX SWITCH ON KENWOOD TS-530S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 05:32:07 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108618327.759694.114740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196436

Liberty Valance wrote:
> How do you get another band by using the AUX position on a Kenwood
TS-530S?
>
>
>
> Thanx!

What "other band" do you want to get on?

2797. Re: PING -- SecOps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 05:35:00 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <82WQd.412312$Xk.27365@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196437
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

please stay off gay pages
how rude u can be, is up to u.
my address is solid and u can write it
i try to reply to all i can

<steveothehomo@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108465707.850625.118160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> dug88 wrote:
> <snipped because you're a faggot>
>
> hey dug88.....did your father rape you at a young age? is that the
> reason you're a fruit??
>
> stay the fuck out of rec.radio.cb you cum slurping nigger blowing
> motherfucker!
>

2798. Re: vinnie loves nigger cock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 05:46:37 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <1dWQd.412538$6l.267827@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 196438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.flame.niggers,alt.hackers.malicious,alt.non.racism

huh?
hey trucker
life happens at the minute of now

did u say you are jealous

88 is a nothing
88 keys on a piano and i can not play it
but i can enjoy it.

no idea the kkk sites of choice of yours

do you mean
will he love me if we watch an old hockey game and hurrah and have fun.

hey guy get that outta your mouth less ya gottas

i heard sterile men, uniques, are like women on menopause
\
ya got a load of buddies over there dude

"MedEvul" <evul@N0SP4Mdemonweb.org> wrote in message
news:cusp9h$ic5$0@pita.alt.net...
> On 15 Feb 2005 03:20:03 -0800, steveothehomo@yahoo.com
> <steveothehomo@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> steveo and vinnie love picking up niggers on their cb radios. vinnie
>> loves to be packed in his ass but steveo would much rather swollow a
>> nigger cock.
>>
>> i think steveo has a crush on dug88.....but dug88 wants twistie in his
>> ass.
>>
> stfu, lamer.
>
> --
>
> ==
> | Medevul || evul@NOSPAMdemonweb.org |
> | Demonweb IRC Network || irc.demonweb.org #demonweb |
> | Real Time Chat || www.demonweb.org |
> | -=Radio Demonweb=- || http://radio.demonweb.org:8000 |
> ==
>

2799. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 06:07:42 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <OwWQd.791$OU1.519@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196439

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:6wQQd.23106$Ul1.2595@fe09.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:%4TPd.19092$Si1.19026@fe09.lga...
>>
>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:20050212192114.160$no@newsreader.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>The big race is coming up.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>That's next Sunday. Oh, you mean the shootout. :)
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Any predictions? I'll take J. Gordon
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Bandwagon jumper.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Not me, never really liked the Novato native. He hasn't
>>>>>shown his stuff since the "team" started to break apart,
>>>>>just shows you how good that "Team" was.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I dunno man, seems you can never count that guy out. He may have
>>>>won it all again last year if nascar hadn't changed the rules.
>>>>.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>as Jr's
>>>>>new team needs to get the bugs out. The Dodge boys will make a run

>>>>>>>but
>>>>>>>the drivers are not impressive IMHO. F the Fords...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I'll pull for Awesome Bill from Dawsonville. He's driving a retro
>>>>>>Coors
>>>>>>car for Chip Ganassi tonight instead of driving for Evernham.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I hope he does good. Meet Bill at Sears Point Raceway, we were
>>>>>there for the Wednesday Night drags, They had the track closed
>>>>>for Nascar Practice. Anyway, he was done practicing and needed help
>>>>>pushing the car onto the trailer, asked us to help,
>>>>>no problem. Sat there and BS'd with him for a half hour then
>>>>>he had to go, just a down to earth guy back then, sure he still is.
>>>>>
>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Cool. he's a class act. I think he's only going to run a dozen or
>>>>so races this year..
>>>>
>>>
>>>JG is unliked by a lot of nascar fans but i follow him as he brings a
>>>persona to the sport which brings in more attention to it. besides that
>>>he is a good driver. love or hate him he has helped popularize the sport
>>
>>
>> Oh don't get me wrong Jim, he & JR's been one of the best things to
>> happen to Nascar for years. It's brought a lot of young
>> people to help bolster the fan base and it will help carry Nascar
>> into the future.
>> He's an excellent driver, he just doesn't do anything for me.
>> Jr, I feel is more of character along with being a good driver too.
>> He has all his old man's skills, along with his image, but a style
>> that personify the modern era.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> Should be a good race none the less. I know where I'll be Sunday. Parked
> in front of the Sony with a couple of cold ones (OK more than a couple)
> and my dogs at my feet hehehe.

I got a new keg on order, so the beer will be fresh
and cold! Screw the dogs :)
Hey my German Short Hair Pointer won best of
show at the Westminster last night, Killer!

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

2800. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 06:07:42 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <OwWQd.790$OU1.41@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196440

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:dn37119pljo8cvqvnn0lqdjcr45j46aen6@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 14:59:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>You posted at 4:07 in a thread:
>
> 4:07? In what time zone? I am nowhere near a computer at either 4AM or
> PM.

Forgive me Dave, I should've said EST, which was
7:07 & 7:11, Is that better? Does EST or PST have anything
to do with the time frame?

>>
>>>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
>>
>>Your response to the question was:
>>
>>"Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement actions.
>>It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed ham for
>>operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in popping an
>>unlicensed radio pirate."
>>
>>4 minutes later you post again, same thread, different post:
>>
>>>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is
>>> limited by comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>>
>>"Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.
>>
>>Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands. If DX
>>is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek out
>>whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>>
>>From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
>>conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
>>operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
>>make
>>that "rare" contact.
>>
>>That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why."
>>
>>Now you asked Dave, please don't attack the messenger.
>

> How is that changing topics? It's the same topic. You evidently did
> not understand what was saying, and it comes off looking like a
> contradiction.

It was a contradiction, word it as you want, but on one
post you put that "quite a few hams are busted".
Next post you say not many, that is a contradiction.

Perhaps I didn't word it quite right, but in essence
> the two major points that I made were:
>
> 1. That out of the recent FCC busts for freebanding, that a
> significant percentage of them were licensed hams.
>
> 2. Out of the TOTAL amount of freebanders, those with ham licenses are
> in the minority. Hence the term "most don't".

Which FCC report did those facts come from?

>>I've watched the speed thread and contrary to what you
>>interpret, there is no written or "Unwritten" (to which no-one
>>could prove... Because it's unwritten) law saying a Cop can't
>>write you a ticket for going 56 in a 55 MPH zone.
>
> Did you not read the statute that I posted? It states just that in
> black and white. When using electronic or electro- mechanical speed
> measuring devices the cops in the state of Pa. MUST give AT LEAST a 5
> MPH grace. The only exception is in a school zone, or when being
> pursued by a cop car with a "certified" speedometer.
>
> http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>
> Read it again, and pay particular attention to 3368 (c) paragraph 4.

Why? speeding is speeding, no matter how the evidence was
gathered. You made a statement that cops don't write tickets
in Pa unless they are over 5 MPH, that's not true.
Otherwise, there wouldn't be a law saying exceeding the
speed limit is against the law. Not exceeding the speed limit
by 5 to 6 MPH is against the law.

I prefer this website for info:
http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter211/s211.71.html

>> Will the cop win in court? Probably, not enough people
>>know that they can ask for certificate of calibration on his
>>gun or clocking device or that they should go and get "their" own speedo
>>calibrated.
>> By the way, Vascar is illegal in California, it's considered
>>entrapment, so there's another defense.
>
> But RADAR isn't? They're two different methods for doing the exact

> same thing.

Actually, Lidar is a much more accurate system, ask George
he works a radar repairman.

>
> All that shows is that states vary in their methods toward traffic
> enforcement.

Of course, that's a give me. But you say that Cops won't
give you a ticket unless you are going over the posted
speed limit by 5 to 6 MPH, that's not correct.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

2801. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 06:14:14 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <90d811pf8i4j0kk4govssor57bsb1qhq77@4ax.com>
Source record: 196442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 20:41:06 GMT, dougmc@frenzy.com (Doug McLaren)
wrote:

>In article <hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com>,
>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>| Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
>| actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
>| ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
>| popping an unlicensed radio pirate.
>
>It's probably because it's a lot easier to track down -- after all, if
>the licensed ham is properly IDing himself, it's trivial for anybody
>who listens to look up that call sign and find that his ham class
>doesn't let him transmit there.
>
>| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
>| detrimental.
>
>Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
>one down would be a lot harder.

But having a ham license gives freebanders access to equipment that
normally would not be available to unlicensed individuals. Some
retailers will not sell gear to unlicensed persons, nor will some
hamfests award prizes to those who hold no license, offering an
equivalent cash value instead.

2802. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 06:29:09 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108621749.547570.209050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196441

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2803. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 08:13:34 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108628014.888083.267630@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196443

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2804. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 09:57:52 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108634272.084293.132950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196444

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2805. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 11:42:12 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108640532.203086.47420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196445

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2806. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 11:43:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <2m09111mr3bck61q8ld1b9kb2vhrb8l681@4ax.com>
Source record: 196446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 20:41:06 GMT, dougmc@frenzy.com (Doug McLaren)
wrote:

>In article <hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com>,
>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>| Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
>| actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed
>| ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
>| popping an unlicensed radio pirate.
>
>It's probably because it's a lot easier to track down -- after all, if
>the licensed ham is properly IDing himself, it's trivial for anybody
>who listens to look up that call sign and find that his ham class
>doesn't let him transmit there.

I doubt if the hams who freeband are dumb enough to use their calls
there (but you never know). But I wouldn't be surprised to learn that
they are "recognized" there by other people who turn them in.

>| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
>| detrimental.
>
>Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
>one down would be a lot harder.

Yes, I agree. It just seems funny that the latest freeband bust seem
to be hams running out of band over unlicensed operation.

It would seem that Riley H. is much more concerned with keeping
"honest" hams honest, rather than eradicating the freeband.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2807. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 11:53:18 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <bv0911db4uufe5hiqoq16bnjbmrjuvj3cg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196447

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 17:13:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>NOBODY understands what you say Dave, and you *always* blame it on the
>other person, when in fact, it's you.

Most intelligent people have no problem understand what I say. You
problem is that you LOOK for contradiction, and deliberately spin
words to obfuscate a point.

>
>You *are* a contradiction, Dave,,trouble is, you are the only one unable
>to see it, which leads us to the other fact you refuse to grasp: no one
>views you the manner in which you view yourself.

Once again you proclaim to speak for the silent majority. A claim that
most people find amusing, for the sheer narcissistic value alone.

> Your issues lead to you
>blaming the other person (not their topic) in all your posts.

People make mistakes. Topics do not. If someone (like you) acts like
an idiot, why should the topic be blamed?
>
>
>That wasn't the point everyone else took from what you claimed, as what
>you claimed is NOT what you are now attempting to redefine. What you
>claimed was "quite a few freebanders have licenses"

So not only are you claiming to speak for the silent majority, you ar
now trying to tell ME what I was trying to say.

Do you kiss yourself in the mirror in the morning, or before you go to
bed?

>Conjecture based on logic you can not even define, let alone explain.
>Tell us agin how you use forensics in your reasoning. Remember, your
>original calim was that you USED forensics in your reasoning and work,

That's a lie. I did not state that I "used forensics in my reasoning
and work".

>yet when you were instructed by Frank as to the proper usage of the
>word,

Frank never "instructed" anything. He merely asked if I knew the
meaning of the word which, of course, I do.

>you came back adn (as always) tried to explain what you really
>meant by misusing the term. Only then did you say the reasoning you
>employ is "like" forensics, completely disregarding your earlier and
>incorrect claim, yet you refused to acknowledge you were wrong, a
>pattern that is becoming evident and screamingly obvious in the majority
>of your posts, regardless who you choose to blame, next.

Google will prove me right. Go ahead and eat a little more crow. That
is, if you finished with your foot first.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

>
>>David T. Hall Jr.
>
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>>N3CVJ

2808. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:08:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5g19119uqls4q2ba85annek7bkiupreh5s@4ax.com>
Source record: 196448

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 06:07:42 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:dn37119pljo8cvqvnn0lqdjcr45j46aen6@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 14:59:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>You posted at 4:07 in a thread:
>>
>> 4:07? In what time zone? I am nowhere near a computer at either 4AM or
>> PM.
>
>Forgive me Dave, I should've said EST, which was
>7:07 & 7:11, Is that better? Does EST or PST have anything
>to do with the time frame?

It does if it was worth mentioning in the first place.

>
>>>
>>>>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
>>>
>>>Your response to the question was:
>>>
>>>"Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement actions.
>>>It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed ham for
>>>operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in popping an
>>>unlicensed radio pirate."
>>>
>>>4 minutes later you post again, same thread, different post:
>>>
>>>>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is
>>>> limited by comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>>>
>>>"Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.
>>>
>>>Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands. If DX
>>>is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek out
>>>whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>>>
>>>From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
>>>conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
>>>operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to

>>>make
>>>that "rare" contact.
>>>
>>>That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why."
>>>
>>>Now you asked Dave, please don't attack the messenger.
>>
>> How is that changing topics? It's the same topic. You evidently did
>> not understand what was saying, and it comes off looking like a
>> contradiction.
>
>It was a contradiction, word it as you want, but on one
>post you put that "quite a few hams are busted".
>Next post you say not many, that is a contradiction.
>
> Perhaps I didn't word it quite right, but in essence
>> the two major points that I made were:
>>
>> 1. That out of the recent FCC busts for freebanding, that a
>> significant percentage of them were licensed hams.
>>
>> 2. Out of the TOTAL amount of freebanders, those with ham licenses are
>> in the minority. Hence the term "most don't".
>
>Which FCC report did those facts come from?

Read the FCC web site of recent enforcement actions for an accounting
of who was busted.

Surely you are not about to suggest that the majority of freebanders
are actually hams are you? I'd like to see your logic for backing that
one. There are more freebanders than there are US hams (at least there
used to be)

>
>>>I've watched the speed thread and contrary to what you
>>>interpret, there is no written or "Unwritten" (to which no-one
>>>could prove... Because it's unwritten) law saying a Cop can't
>>>write you a ticket for going 56 in a 55 MPH zone.
>>
>> Did you not read the statute that I posted? It states just that in
>> black and white. When using electronic or electro- mechanical speed
>> measuring devices the cops in the state of Pa. MUST give AT LEAST a 5
>> MPH grace. The only exception is in a school zone, or when being
>> pursued by a cop car with a "certified" speedometer.
>>
>> http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>>
>> Read it again, and pay particular attention to 3368 (c) paragraph 4.
>
>Why? speeding is speeding, no matter how the evidence was

>gathered.

Read it. It CLEARLY states that when using any electronic or
mechanical speed measuring device (With the exception of a calibrated
moving speedometer) that they HAVE TO allow at least 5 MPH (RADAR) and
up to 10 MPH (VASCAR). It's in there in black and white. Yet you guys
insist on continuing to argue with me. Don't argue with me, argue with
the state, they wrote it.

>You made a statement that cops don't write tickets
>in Pa unless they are over 5 MPH, that's not true.

I live here, you would think I'd have a little more knowledge in this
area, than someone who lives 2000 miles away.

> Otherwise, there wouldn't be a law saying exceeding the
>speed limit is against the law. Not exceeding the speed limit
>by 5 to 6 MPH is against the law.

The speed limit is the law, but the courts would be full of people
challenging the citation on the basis of the accuracy of the devices,
especially VASCAR as it is a manually triggered device. It's a waste
of taxpayer's dollars to see cases that will most likely get thrown
out. Pa code 3368 (c) (4) specifically addresses this issue by
allowing a reasonable tolerance. It's a lot harder to make a
convincing argument to a judge that it's a "mistake" if you were
found running 10 MPH or more over the limit.

>
>I prefer this website for info:
>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter211/s211.71.html

That site doesn't tell you anything specific. It only defines terms
and points people to specific codes (And Pa. 3368 is one of them) for
specific rules.

>
>
>>> Will the cop win in court? Probably, not enough people
>>>know that they can ask for certificate of calibration on his
>>>gun or clocking device or that they should go and get "their" own speedo
>>>calibrated.
>>> By the way, Vascar is illegal in California, it's considered
>>>entrapment, so there's another defense.
>>
>> But RADAR isn't? They're two different methods for doing the exact
>> same thing.
>
>Actually, Lidar is a much more accurate system, ask George
>he works a radar repairman.

That's not the point. Regardless of the accuracy, why would one method
(VASCAR) be considered "entrapment" while another (RADAR or LIDAR)

not? Perhaps you can explain?

>
>>
>> All that shows is that states vary in their methods toward traffic
>> enforcement.
>
>Of course, that's a give me. But you say that Cops won't
>give you a ticket unless you are going over the posted
>speed limit by 5 to 6 MPH, that's not correct.

It is correct. 3368 (c) (4) says so in the great majority of cases.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2809. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:23:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <6q2911585s0sugog25e3offkb8m00385f4@4ax.com>
Source record: 196449

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:08:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <5g19119uqls4q2ba85annek7bkiupreh5s@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>> http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>>>
>>> Read it again, and pay particular attention to 3368 (c) paragraph 4.
>>
>>Why? speeding is speeding, no matter how the evidence was
>>gathered.
>
>Read it. It CLEARLY states that when using any electronic or
>mechanical speed measuring device (With the exception of a calibrated
>moving speedometer) that they HAVE TO allow at least 5 MPH (RADAR) and
>up to 10 MPH (VASCAR). It's in there in black and white.

Wrong:

==========
(c) Mechanical, electrical and electronic devices authorized.--

1. Except as otherwise provided in this section, the rate of speed of
any vehicle may be timed on any highway by a police officer using a
mechanical or electrical speed timing device.
2. Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (3), electronic devices
such as radio-microwave devices (commonly referred to as electronic
speed meters or radar) may be used only by members of the Pennsylvania
State Police.
3. Electronic devices which calculate speed by measuring elapsed time
between measured road surface points by using two sensors and devices
which measure and calculate the average speed of a vehicle between any
two points may be used by any police officer.
4. No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use
of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed
recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed
limit. Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area
where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
speed limit. This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained
through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school
zone.
============

> Yet you guys
>insist on continuing to argue with me.

Because you insist on misquoting it.

> Don't argue with me, argue with
>the state, they wrote it.

The state isn't misqoting their own law. You are.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2810. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:32:28 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pp2911hrr5hinjm4jkhhsi8tsb2sg2mfm7@4ax.com>
Source record: 196450

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 17:40:48 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>There is no rule, unwritten or written that requires the officer to
>ignore a speeder. To wit...the portion you conveniently snipped shows
>how your claim is not across the board and is an exception, as it
>pertains ONLY when traveling in areas with posted speeds LESS than 55,
>whereas the the posted interstate (65 MPH) speeds, of which Pa. finally
>raised from 55 not too long ago, are the speed LIMITS imposed by the
>state of Pennsylvania.
>
>>There are still many limited access roads
>
>>which are posted at 55.
>
>
>You're roving. There are also many local and city roads where the speed
>is 35. Not relevant to the maximum speed limit imposed by the state.
>
>> In fact, the majority of places where they
>
>>allow 65 are those areas where the highway is
>>not in a "congested" (meaning densely
>
>>populated) area.
>
>
>
>Regardless, you are citing the exception. Once again, you can cite no
>rule requiring the officer to give a window of ANY speed in regards to
>the states maximum imposed speed limit.
>
>>In most others, it's still 55.
>
>
>On the interstates in Pa, the maximum speed is 65 MPH. See above.

Not on all of them. I live and travel them on a daily basis. You can
expect me to tell you how the fish are running inTampa Bay so don't
you try to tell me about the roads in my state. You know nothing about
it.

>That's NOT what it says. Here is the interpretation for you,
>Dave,,,,where the POSTED speed limit is 55 or LESS is the ONLY manner
>where it applies.it is a specific exception. Once again, the maximum

>posted speed limit on Pa interstates is 65. There is NO law or rule, or
>even "unwritten" as you claim, that REQUIRES the officer to GIVE any
>leeway at all.

Read it again. I realize that comprehension has always been your weak spot, but try separating the paragraph into parts.

The first part:

"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit."

Nowhere in that sentence is there a specific speed limit listed. That means that this applies to 65 MPH roads and using RADAR. This statute states that LEO must give a 5 MPH grace. How much more clear can it be?

The next part is a FURTHER restriction:

"Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit."

This part specifically refers to the conditions that (a) the road is posted at less than 55, and (b) are using devices authorized in paragraph 3 (normally VASCAR). In this case they have to give you a 10 MPH grace.

"This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school zone."

This is the only exception to the rule.

Once again, you demonstrate, to people with normal comprehensive ability, that you can't understand what you read. It's no wonder you jump to the wrong conclusions over and over again in the scant 4 years that you have been polluting this newsgroup. Your pattern of habitual incomprehension is all the more apparent if one walks through Google for a few minutes.

This is my last word on the subject. If you won't take Pa. law as evidence that a minimum of a 5 MPH grace exists, then that's not my problem. I've been driving for 29 years now and I've never gotten a speeding ticket. I run right through speed traps while running within the "tolerance" speed and I've never been hassled or pulled over.

I know several cops who confirm this. I could name them, but it wouldn't prove anything since you don't know them. I could just as easily make up names.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2811. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:39:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <in39111jmiv7fi6d9tgt1jcf0p0lnm5qsl@4ax.com>
Source record: 196451

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 18:18:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Which would explain your constant paranoia of sock puppets (of which
>only YOU continue to invoke), and continued use of such puppets.

My paranoia? That's really making me ROTFL. You have accused no less
than 3 other posters of being me, yet you accuse ME of have a sock
puppet paranoia?

Projection has really become your defense of choice. Accuse others of
sock paranoia when you blatantly display it. Accuse others of
"communications deficits", when you can't understand plain English
words. Accusing others of not having any support, when you have never
gotten a single vote of confidence on any of your deluded points.

You really are hung up on me. I'm glad you live where you do. I don't
need a stalker.....

So who am I today? Doug?, George?, "Iamnotgeorge"?,"U know who"?,
Dwight Stewart?

Where's that LEO group?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2812. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:40:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <o44911p8fh5vfb5ei8krtpdiub81e3a4en@4ax.com>
Source record: 196452

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 18:10:12 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: n7zzt@127.0.0.1 (N7ZZTï¿½-ï¿½Ericï¿½Oyen)
>Dave Hall wrote:
>It's his way. He gets hung up on semantics and the literal translations
>of certain words. Picking nits is putting it mildly.
>David T. Hall Jr.
>N3CVJ
>"Sandbagger"
>
>>Dave,
>
>>yeah, I seem to notice that this is true for a
>
>>rather large percentage of the human race
>
>>these days (is it me or have IQ's dropped
>
>>recently?).
>
>>--
>
>>DE N7ZZT
>
>>Eric Oyen
>
>>Phoenix, Arizona
>
>>e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
>
>>the difference between intelligence and
>
>>stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.
>
>In addition to getting brash and undeservingly rude, Dave also sees
>things not unlike yourself.

Oh oh. Watch out Eric, or he'll think you are another of my many "sock
puppets" (chuckle)

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2813. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:43:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <o94911dm57vmhum69hdm286j6q1cbo889q@4ax.com>
Source record: 196454

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 20:40:01 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600, Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote
>in <Xns95FFEDBA561D31234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600
>>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>>Subject: OT:Pa's speed Laws
>>From: Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net>
>>Message-ID: <Xns95FFEDBA561D31234567890@216.196.97.136>
>>User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25
>>X-No-Archive: yes
>>Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600
>>Lines: 31
>>NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>>X-Trace: sv3-bq4eF/iTzfRq6nLhcDeuz8KyISuP9s/S5c9HSmiPTMNWOSNko/wEWw/n6YTBh0WGRodhMrn/VpA
K9B5!kaFD3bLSfF/BDEWob+kgVImp5E4UfmFINZw73qTqEedCxM0+JpNazGGG2btka0mcXR2A
>>X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
>>X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
>>X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
>>X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
>>X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
>>Path: spool9-west!propagator-lax.newsfeeds.com!news-in.spamkiller.net!meganewsservers.co
m!feeder2.on.meganewsservers.com!216.196.98.140.MISMATCH!border1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nnt
p.giganews.com!local1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.comcast.com!news.comcast.com.POSTED!not-f
or-mail
>>Xref: 127.0.0.1 rec.radio.cb:309754
>>
>>
>>
>>It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's 2567 political
>>subdivisions you're driving in if you're contemplating exceeding the
>>speed limit.
>>
>> Because of the state's 1548 townships, 962 boroughs, 56 cities and one
>>town. About 1200 of these have local Police Departments. The remainder of
>>the Commonwealth is patrolled by the State Police.
>>
>>This is important because the state legislature allows the State Police
>>to use only radar to calculate the speed of passing vehicles. All the
>>local police departments are required to use some type of timing device
>>to calculate the speed of a passing vehicle.
>>To convict a person of speeding using radar, a State Police Trooper must

>>give a 6mph leeway - meaning you can drive at 61mph in a 55mph zone and
>>not get cited for speeding. To convict a person of speeding using a
>>timing device, a municipal police officer must give a 10mph leeway -
>>meaning you can drive at 65mph in a 55mph zone.
>>In practice, both state troopers and municipal officers give an
>>additional 5mph cushion on top of the leeway required by law.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>
>Hey Dave, is someone forging you?

Hmmm.. It would appear that way.

I feel honored. Someone finally forged me. I thought my feet stunk or
something.

But he's right.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2814. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:44:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <r64911l0eic80t4u3sgbeq9spg63of4khs@4ax.com>
Source record: 196453

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:32:28 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <pp2911hrr5hinjm4jkhhsi8tsb2sg2mfm7@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 17:40:48 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>There is no rule, unwritten or written that requires the officer to
>>ignore a speeder. To wit...the portion you conveniently snipped shows
>>how your claim is not across the board and is an exception, as it
>>pertains ONLY when traveling in areas with posted speeds LESS than 55,
>>whereas the the posted interstate (65 MPH) speeds, of which Pa. finally
>>raised from 55 not too long ago, are the speed LIMITS imposed by the
>>state of Pennsylvania.
>>
>>>There are still many limited access roads
>>
>>>which are posted at 55.
>>
>>
>>You're roving. There are also many local and city roads where the speed
>>is 35. Not relevant to the maximum speed limit imposed by the state.
>>
>>> In fact, the majority of places where they
>>
>>>allow 65 are those areas where the highway is
>>>not in a "congested" (meaning densely
>>
>>>populated) area.
>>
>>
>>
>>Regardless, you are citing the exception. Once again, you can cite no
>>rule requiring the officer to give a window of ANY speed in regards to
>>the states maximum imposed speed limit.
>>
>>>In most others, it's still 55.
>>
>>
>>On the interstates in Pa, the maximum speed is 65 MPH. See above.
>
>Not on all of them. I live and travel them on a daily basis. You can
>expect me to tell you how the fish are running inTampa Bay so don't
>you try to tell me about the roads in my state. You know nothing about
>it.

>
>
>
>>That's NOT what it says. Here is the interpretation for you,
>>Dave,,,,where the POSTED speed limit is 55 or LESS is the ONLY manner
>>where it applies.it is a specific exception. Once again, the maximum
>>posted speed limit on Pa interstates is 65. There is NO law or rule, or
>>even "unwritten" as you claim, that REQUIRES the officer to GIVE any
>>leeway at all.
>
>
>Read it again. I realize that comprehension has always been your weak
>spot, but try separating the paragraph into parts.
>
>The first part:
>
>"No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of
>devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed recorded
>is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed limit."
>
>Nowhere in that sentence is there a specific speed limit listed. That
>means that this applies to 65 MPH roads and using RADAR. This statute
>states that LEO must give a 5 MPH grace. How much more clear can it
>be?
>
>The next part is a FURTHER restriction:
>
>"Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
>through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area
>where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
>speed limit."
>
>This part specifically refers to the conditions that (a) the road is
>posted at less than 55, and (b) are using devices authorized in
>paragraph 3 (normally VASCAR). In this case they have to give you a 10
>MPH grace.
>
>
>
>"This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained through the use
>of devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school zone."
>
>This is the only exception to the rule.

But nowhere does it state that any grace is given when the speed
measuring device is mechanical or electrical, as per paragraph (1). So
if they are using a paragraph (1) device then they CAN bust you for
doing 56 in a 55 zone.

>Once again, you demonstrate, to people with normal comprehensive
>ability, that you can't understand what you read. It's no wonder you
>jump to the wrong conclusions over and over again in the scant 4 years
>that you have been polluting this newsgroup. Your pattern of habitual
>incomprehension is all the more apparent if one walks through Google
>for a few minutes.

PKB.

>This is my last word on the subject.

Only because you have been proven wrong and you can't admit it.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2815. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:55:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196455

Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.

NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79

Wassup with dat?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2816. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 12:57:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217075735.469$rl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196456

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> Hey my German Short Hair Pointer won best of
> show at the Westminster last night, Killer!
>
> Landshark
>
Congrats...you own the AKC. 8-P

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2817. Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 13:26:31 UTC
From: cc12000@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108646791.778948.11940@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196457

Today's Free Movie (102044 viewers) >> www.movie-size.4x2.net

2818. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 13:29:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0e4911l551f0dkf258qlv03aadf3uf61i3@4ax.com>
Source record: 196458

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:12 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

This thread has become way too long. I've trimmed it back to those
parts that I feel like commenting on..........

>Caps don't "shift tolerance". Either they are within the rated
>tolerance or not. If they are outside the tolerance then they are bad,
>but I have yet to see a mylar that was outside it's rated tolerance
>(unless it was wide open). Since you have run into the problem several
>times and you keep your bad parts, how about sending me one? I would
>like to see it for myself, and would also like to know what brand so I
>can avoid them in the future. I'll even pay shipping.

My bad. I should have said "shifted value". You are right about
tolerance.

But no, I don't keep my bad parts as a rule, unless the failure mode
is very unusual. If I did, I'd be swimming in them.

>>>> The next time
>>>>you see an amp like that with the same problem, will you not then
>>>>check that diode first? That's what I mean about experience and
>>>>statistical probability.

>>>I check the diode, but not first, and I don't assume that the diode is
>>>the problem.
>>
>>But I'll bet (unless you really out there) that before you power up
>>that amp after replacing the chain of audio transistors, that you'll
>>check that diode just to be safe.
>
>
>Actually, I replace it regardless of whether it's good or not. Like I
>said before, the problem is not the diode but the design. If I can
>prevent a failure then I will -- it's no different than a car company
>making a recall because of a faulty component or design flaw.

Hmm, sounds like a contradiction Frank. You don't assume the diode is
the problem, but then you say you replace it anyway?

Don't worry, I understand what you are really saying, but it's an
illustration of how people who don't comprehend well could spin that
into an accusation of contradiction. In this case, you LEARNED from
experience, and use that learned knowledge to prevent future problems.
That's exactly what I do. But you call that "guessing" or "gambling".

>>>Sometimes. Forensics is about presentation. For a brief time I was a
>>>member of the Forensics club in college. The various subjects included
>>>debate -as well as- many other aspects of speech. The word "forensics"
>>>is probably one of the most commonly misdefined words in the English
>>>language, probably because people watch too much TV (and yes, I used
>>>to watch Quincy).
>>
>>Sigh (again)
>>
>>foï¿½renï¿½sics (P) Pronunciation Key (f-rnsks, -zks)
>>n. (used with a sing. verb)
>>The art or study of formal debate; argumentation.
>>The use of science and technology to investigate and establish facts
>>in criminal or civil courts of law.
>>
>>
>>Happy now?
>
>
>No. I think you made up that last line. What's your source?

http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=forensics

>>>Then why not just make the filament thicker in a household light bulb?
>>>The resistance would be lower but that can be compensated for by
>>>increasing the length, right?
>>
>>Cost. Plain and simple. Planned obsolescence secondarily. If they
>>designed a lightbulb which last forever (or a really long time) the
>>demand for lightbulbs would fall off.
>
>
>You -finally- figured it out! Congratulations!

Finally? I've been involved in enough product design, that I fully
understand the idea of planned obsolescence. If you wanted that answer
before, all you had to do was ask, instead of tap dancing around
filament thickness in 12V vs. 120 volt bulbs.

>>My Midland 13-885 has a similar two step process, as does any radio
>>with an internal mike gain pot.
>
>
>What are the specs, and from what source?

SAMS CB-42.

Now go ahead and make the predictable response of trashing my source.

>>Regardless the difference between .5 and 5 mV would not make the radio
>>sound mushy, considering that the AMC is set with 200mV.
>
>

>Just for kicks I set the pot for 50% mod at 5mV. It sounded "mushy and
>compressed". I set it back to 0.5mV and it sounds fine once again. You
>are wrong, Dave. You hacked up radios because you are arrogant and
>ignorant. Deal with it.

You are lying through your teeth (assuming you have them) Frank.

You are trying to posit that by adjusting VR6 for 50% modulation at
5mV, instead of .5mV (a reduction in gain) the audio became "mushy and
compressed"?
You might be able to make a believable case that by increasing gain
that you might make the audio compressed (driving the AMC too hard
might do that), but reducing the gain? C'mon Frank, you might be able
to sell that swampland story to a truck stop "tech", but it won't fly
with me.

But you see, that's a bunch of hooey, because VR6 is nothing more than
a mike gain control. In fact, on the Cobra 139XLR radio (the
identical chassis) that I now have, the VR6 pot becomes the front
panel mounted "dynamike" control. The operator can adjust that pot at
any point. If the setting was as critical as you are trying to
portray, do you think they would allow that to be a user control?

That radio chassis, in its various forms was all over my local area 20
years ago. Many people hacked them up (as they did with other radios).
Most totally defeated the AMC and cranked the mike gains wide open.
The radio still sounded fine (within the stock BPF limitations), if
the operator was judicious in the application of the mike gain. It's
just not THAT sensitive Frank.

>>CB repair did pay the bills for me while my peers flipped burgers at
>>mickey d's.

>At least -they- learned what it means to work for their money.

Are you implying that using superior technical skills to make money
over manual labor is somehow less honorable?

>>>You're an engineer, right? What's so elaborate about a CB radio? You
>>>were doing power supplies when you were 15 -- haven't you learned
>>>anything since then?
>>
>>Why bother. I can get them for free. I have a bone pile full of them.
>
>
>Build it because you can. Because it's a challenge. Because it will be
>unique. Build it for the same reason that you build anything else that
>you could simply buy already built -- personal pride.

Been there done that. I built an AM broadcast transmitter when I was a
kid, I've built tube and solid state receivers. I've designed computer
interfaces for radio control. I built my own repeater controller and
homebrewed a DTMF decoder.

I don't do it much now as the demands of family life, and being a good parent have taken much of what used to be "hobby time".

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2819. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:33:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4214AB53-876@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196460

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:6287-4213D1C6-678 @storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
My goodness,,the concept is simple, Davie. Helicopter watches traffic
below..clocks how long it takes cars to get from point A (marked by
paint on highway) to point B (also marked by paint on highway). Taaa
daa..VASCAR.

>They very rarely use a helicopter for traffic

>enforcement

Perhaps in your neck of the woods, they do not, but in the larger cities
and metropolis', they most certainly do.

>vascar is ran in the police cars.

And from the air.

>They dont have the budget for using

>helicopters for speed enforcement.

Which State Police budget are you referring?

2820. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:36:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4214ABD6-877@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196461

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:6288-4213CF9E-244 @storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
Pennsy is not a radar stste by any means

You are completely daft. Every single State
Police Officer with a patrol unit is equipped
with them.

>You are completly a fool, The state Police are

>the only ones who use radar in the state,

>no

>town's or cities or municipalties, deputies none
>use radar. That means it is not a radar state.

By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.
A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state
is void of radar.

2821. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:40:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8c8911pko70u86a905pc0b051lode91b24@4ax.com>
Source record: 196459

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 08:29:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <0e49111551f0dkf258qlv03aadf3uf61i3@4ax.com>:

>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:12 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>This thread has become way too long. I've trimmed it back to those
>parts that I feel like commenting on..........

Another cop out.

>>Caps don't "shift tolerance". Either they are within the rated
>>tolerance or not. If they are outside the tolerance then they are bad,
>>but I have yet to see a mylar that was outside it's rated tolerance
>>(unless it was wide open). Since you have run into the problem several
>>times and you keep your bad parts, how about sending me one? I would
>>like to see it for myself, and would also like to know what brand so I
>>can avoid them in the future. I'll even pay shipping.
>
>My bad. I should have said "shifted value". You are right about
>tolerance.
>
>But no, I don't keep my bad parts as a rule, unless the failure mode
>is very unusual. If I did, I'd be swimming in them.

Uh-huh.

>>>>> The next time
>>>>>you see an amp like that with the same problem, will you not then
>>>>>check that diode first? That's what I mean about experience and
>>>>>statistical probability.
>
>>>>I check the diode, but not first, and I don't assume that the diode is
>>>>the problem.
>>>
>>>But I'll bet (unless you really out there) that before you power up
>>>that amp after replacing the chain of audio transistors, that you'll
>>>check that diode just to be safe.
>>
>>
>>Actually, I replace it regardless of whether it's good or not. Like I
>>said before, the problem is not the diode but the design. If I can
>>prevent a failure then I will -- it's no different than a car company
>>making a recall because of a faulty component or design flaw.
>
>Hmm, sounds like a contradiction Frank. You don't assume the diode is

>the problem, but then you say you replace it anyway?
>
>Don't worry, I understand what you are really saying, but it's an
>illustration of how people who don't comprehend well could spin that
>into an accusation of contradiction. In this case, you LEARNED from
>experience, and use that learned knowledge to prevent future problems.
>That's exactly what I do. But you call that "guessing" or "gambling".

There is no contradiction in my statements at all. There is a fault in
the design. My job is to fix the equipment, even if that includes any
design flaws it may have.

>>>>Sometimes. Forensics is about presentation. For a brief time I was a
>>>>member of the Forensics club in college. The various subjects included
>>>>debate -as well as- many other aspects of speech. The word "forensics"
>>>>is probably one of the most commonly misdefined words in the English
>>>>language, probably because people watch too much TV (and yes, I used
>>>>to watch Quincy).
>>>
>>>Sigh (again)
>>>
>>>foï¿½renï¿½sics (P) Pronunciation Key (f-rnsks, -zks)
>>>n. (used with a sing. verb)
>>>The art or study of formal debate; argumentation.
>>>The use of science and technology to investigate and establish facts
>>>in criminal or civil courts of law.
>>>
>>>
>>>Happy now?
>>
>>
>>No. I think you made up that last line. What's your source?
>
>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=forensics

http://www.nflonline.org/uploads/Rostrum/ex0203mccrady.pdf

>>>>Then why not just make the filament thicker in a household light bulb?
>>>>The resistance would be lower but that can be compensated for by
>>>>increasing the length, right?
>>>
>>>Cost. Plain and simple. Planned obsolescence secondarily. If they
>>>designed a lightbulb which last forever (or a really long time) the
>>>demand for lightbulbs would fall off.
>>
>>
>>You -finally- figured it out! Congratulations!
>
>Finally? I've been involved in enough product design, that I fully
>understand the idea of planned obsolescence. If you wanted that answer
>before, all you had to do was ask, instead of tap dancing around

>filament thickness in 12V vs. 120 volt bulbs.

I -did- ask.

>>>My Midland 13-885 has a similar two step process, as does any radio
>>>with an internal mike gain pot.
>>
>>
>>What are the specs, and from what source?
>
>SAMS CB-42.
>
>Now go ahead and make the predictable response of trashing my source.

You didn't cite the specs.

>>>Regardless the difference between .5 and 5 mV would not make the radio
>>>sound mushy, considering that the AMC is set with 200mV.
>>
>>
>>Just for kicks I set the pot for 50% mod at 5mV. It sounded "mushy and
>>compressed". I set it back to 0.5mV and it sounds fine once again. You
>>are wrong, Dave. You hacked up radios because you are arrogant and
>>ignorant. Deal with it.
>
>You are lying through your teeth (assuming you have them) Frank.
>
>You are trying to posit that by adjusting VR6 for 50% modulation at
>5mV, instead of .5mV (a reduction in gain) the audio became "mushy and
>compressed"?

Actually it's called 'downward expansion', as I stated previously. But
the effect on the audio is just as you described.

>You might be able to make a believable case that by increasing gain
>that you might make the audio compressed (driving the AMC too hard
>might do that), but reducing the gain? C'mon Frank, you might be able
>to sell that swampland story to a truck stop "tech", but it won't fly
>with me.

Of course not, because you can't test the difference for yourself,
just like you keep stalling with putting caps on your battery charger.
You can't because you are afraid that you might be forced to admit
that you are wrong.

>But you see, that's a bunch of hooey, because VR6 is nothing more than
>a mike gain control. In fact, on the Cobra 139XLR radio (the
>identical chassis) that I now have, the VR6 pot becomes the front
>panel mounted "dynamike" control. The operator can adjust that pot at
>any point. If the setting was as critical as you are trying to
>portray, do you think they would allow that to be a user control?

So you're telling me that adjusting the mic gain control on any radio
that has it doesn't have -any- effect on the quality of the audio?

>That radio chassis, in its various forms was all over my local area 20
>years ago. Many people hacked them up (as they did with other radios).
>Most totally defeated the AMC and cranked the mike gains wide open.
>The radio still sounded fine (within the stock BPF limitations), if
>the operator was judicious in the application of the mike gain. It's
>just not THAT sensitive Frank.

You are making a big fuss when the solution is so simple, Dave. But
you can't bring yourself to tune the radio according to the spec in
the authorized manual because you can't accept even the -possibility-
that I'm right. That's pathetic.

>>>CB repair did pay the bills for me while my peers flipped burgers at
>>>mickey d's.
>
>>At least -they- learned what it means to work for their money.
>
>Are you implying that using superior technical skills to make money
>over manual labor is somehow less honorable?

Manual labor does have its virtues.

>>>>You're an engineer, right? What's so elaborate about a CB radio? You
>>>>were doing power supplies when you were 15 -- haven't you learned
>>>>anything since then?
>>>
>>>Why bother. I can get them for free. I have a bone pile full of them.
>>
>>
>>Build it because you can. Because it's a challenge. Because it will be
>>unique. Build it for the same reason that you build anything else that
>>you could simply buy already built -- personal pride.
>
>Been there done that. I built an AM broadcast transmitter when I was a
>kid,

Who didn't? Radio shack sold the kits, and almost every electronics
magazine published such articles on a regular basis.

> I've built tube and solid state receivers.

From a kit or from someone else's plans? And don't tell me you
designed one from scratch because I wouldn't buy that for a minute,
not with your weak understanding of electronic theory.

> I've designed computer
>interfaces for radio control.

So you tapped the serial port to run Morse. Whoopee. I had a contract
a couple years ago where I designed a GPS navigation system for a
remote-controlled aircraft that is being used for ground penetrating

radar by a local mining company. Wanna hear what else I've done?

> I built my own repeater controller and
>homebrewed a DTMF decoder.

You "homebrewed" a DTMF decoder? Don't you mean that you bought a chip
and hooked it up to a power supply and a few externals?

>I don't do it much now as the demands of family life, and being a good
>parent have taken much of what used to be "hobby time".

That's why you spend so much time on the newsgroups, huh?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2822. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:42:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11821-4214AD53-274@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196464

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:6288-4213CF9E-244 @storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
most towns dont even have them

Gee,,,that's because only State Cops are
permitted to have them in Pa.

>Gee, then that makes pennsy not really a

>radar state

LMAO,,,"Here comes Davie with his heart in his hand he's a one boy band
and he's off to the rodeo...."

Here you are redefining what you "really" meant.
Now you are back-pedaling with "Pa is not REALLY a radar state". LMAO,
Again,,if it was a non-radar state, radar would not be implemented by
the authorities. In fact, you are the only one I have ever heard ue the
term "non-radar" state when the state does in fact, use radar. Can you
cite a single source where you took this phrase from? Perhaps a link or
provide for it? Of course you can't, Dave, it;s why you are lending
support to yourself.

> and you were bleeding all over yourself on

>point in a previous post.

I love pointing things out like this...once again, you are the only one
not comprehending the gaping wounds responsible for all the blood you
attribute to others are all yours....just like your false and unprovoked
insults and accusations against all who disagree with you, your
projection is classic these days, Dave.

2823. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:46:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4214AE49-879@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196465

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:6288-4213D105-245 @storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
If
a speed limit is posted at 35 they will not write you until you pass the
prescribed min over the limit,
-
Show the statute.

>They cant write you at 35 you are not going

>over the speed limit you assclown,

Please leave your mother out of the conversation and allow her to rest
in peace. You worked so hard over the years, please don't sink back into
depression requiring those meds, again.

>have

>and the can't write you until you are over the

>radars accuracy.

Try and get your thoughts together *before* attempting to communicate.
You will be surprised how easy people can understand you once you learn
how and you will no longer be reduced to blaming others for your
personal hells.

2824. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:50:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11821-4214AF45-275@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196467

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:6288-4213D105-245 @storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
They most certainly do, all states have a window, it is actually for equipment error etc.
Show the statute.

>Call a Lawyer in Penns and ask him about the

>case law on moving radar in the common

>wealth of Pa

A lawyer interprets the law, they do not make it, so, keeping with that original thought, YOU call the lawyer, as you are the only one suffering great communication problems, evidenced by your need to contact the fcc when everyone else already knows roger beeps are legal. Besides, only you need another to explain and interpret communication law to you, so there is no reasonable manner one can expect you to know much about anything, based specifically on your past and presented ignorance and unprovoked malice. You're a lid, David.

2825. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:53:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4214AFFE-881@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196468

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:6288-4213D105-
245@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
>They most certainly do, all states have a

>window, it is actually for equipment error etc.

Show the statute.

Pennsylvania has a wierd exception for Speed limits.
Code § 3362
Maximum speed limits. (b) Posting of speed limit.-- 1. No maximum speed limit established under subsection (a)(1), (1.2) or (3) shall be effective unless posted on fixed or variable official traffic-control devices erected in accordance with regulations adopted by the department which regulations shall require posting at the beginning and end of each speed zone and at intervals not greater than one-half mile. 2. No maximum speed limit established under subsection (a)(1.1) shall be effective unless posted on fixed or variable official traffic-control devices erected after each interchange on the portion of highway on which the speed limit is in effect and wherever else the department shall determine.

>Basically if the sign is not posted under the

>conditions above. NO SPEED LIMIT IS IN

>EFFECT. Many people do not know about this
>loophole.

Interesting. Its not relevant to the position N3CVJ maintains (that all Pa State Cops must give a window to ALL speeders) but interesting nonetheless.

2826. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:56:43 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Lg2Rd.860$OU1.544@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196463

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:5g19119uqls4q2ba85annek7bkiupreh5s@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 06:07:42 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>>news:dn37119pljo8cvqvnn0lqdjcr45j46aen6@4ax.com...
>>> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 14:59:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>You posted at 4:07 in a thread:
>>>
>>> 4:07? In what time zone? I am nowhere near a computer at either 4AM or
>>> PM.
>>
>>Forgive me Dave, I should've said EST, which was
>>7:07 & 7:11, Is that better? Does EST or PST have anything
>>to do with the time frame?
>
> It does if it was worth mentioning in the first place.

The mention was that at one time you mentioned that:
"Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement actions."

No less than 4 minutes later you contradicted yourself
with the statement:
"Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't."

So time was that issue. Sorry I didn't spell that out for you,
my bad.

>>>>
>>>>>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
>>>>
>>>>Your response to the question was:
>>>>
>>>>"Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
>>>>actions.
>>>>It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a licensed ham for
>>>>operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in popping an
>>>>unlicensed radio pirate."
>>>>
>>>>4 minutes later you post again, same thread, different post:
>>>>

>>>>>Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is
>>>>> limited by comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>>>>
>>>>"Assuming freebanders have ham licenses. Most don't.
>>>>
>>>>Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands. If
>>>>DX
>>>>is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek out
>>>>whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>>>>
>>>>From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
>>>>conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
>>>>operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
>>>>make
>>>>that "rare" contact.
>>>>
>>>>That doesn't make it right, but it does explain why."
>>>>
>>>>Now you asked Dave, please don't attack the messenger.
>>>
>>> How is that changing topics? It's the same topic. You evidently did
>>> not understand what was saying, and it comes off looking like a
>>> contradiction.
>>
>>It was a contradiction, word it as you want, but on one
>>post you put that "quite a few hams are busted".
>>Next post you say not many, that is a contradiction.
>>
>> Perhaps I didn't word it quite right, but in essence
>>> the two major points that I made were:
>>>
>>> 1. That out of the recent FCC busts for freebanding, that a
>>> significant percentage of them were licensed hams.
>>>
>>> 2. Out of the TOTAL amount of freebanders, those with ham licenses are
>>> in the minority. Hence the term "most don't".
>>
>>Which FCC report did those facts come from?
>
> Read the FCC web site of recent enforcement actions for an accounting
> of who was busted.

I have, majority of busts were Hams, not just some
"freebander".

>
> Surely you are not about to suggest that the majority of freebanders
> are actually hams are you? I'd like to see your logic for backing that
> one.

Nope, not in anyway. Don't start jumping the gun Dave.

> There are more freebanders than there are US hams (at least there
> used to be)

Really, what survey said that?

>>>>I've watched the speed thread and contrary to what you
>>>>interpret, there is no written or "Unwritten" (to which no-one
>>>>could prove... Because it's unwritten) law saying a Cop can't
>>>>write you a ticket for going 56 in a 55 MPH zone.
>>>
>>> Did you not read the statute that I posted? It states just that in
>>> black and white. When using electronic or electro- mechanical speed
>>> measuring devices the cops in the state of Pa. MUST give AT LEAST a 5
>>> MPH grace. The only exception is in a school zone, or when being
>>> pursued by a cop car with a "certified" speedometer.
>>>
>>> http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>>>
>>> Read it again, and pay particular attention to 3368 (c) paragraph 4.
>>
>>Why? speeding is speeding, no matter how the evidence was
>>gathered.
>
> Read it. It CLEARLY states that when using any electronic or
> mechanical speed measuring device (With the exception of a calibrated
> moving speedometer) that they HAVE TO allow at least 5 MPH (RADAR) and
> up to 10 MPH (VASCAR). It's in there in black and white. Yet you guys
> insist on continuing to argue with me. Don't argue with me, argue with
> the state, they wrote it.
>
>
>>You made a statement that cops don't write tickets
>>in Pa unless they are over 5 MPH, that's not true.
>
> I live here, you would think I'd have a little more knowledge in this
> area, than someone who lives 2000 miles away.

Yup, but you have said numerous times, the law is the law.
That is the FACT, if the law says 55 and you go 56 MPH
you are taking a chance on getting a ticket, I don't care what
state you are in.

>
>> Otherwise, there wouldn't be a law saying exceeding the
>>speed limit is against the law. Not exceeding the speed limit
>>by 5 to 6 MPH is against the law.
>
> The speed limit is the law, but the courts would be full of people
> challenging the citation on the basis of the accuracy of the devices,
> especially VASCAR as it is a manually triggered device. It's a waste
> of taxpayer's dollars to see cases that will most likely get thrown
> out. Pa code 3368 (c) (4) specifically addresses this issue by

> allowing a reasonable tolerance. It's a lot harder to make a
> convincing argument to a judge that it's a "mistake" if you were
> found running 10 MPH or more over the limit.
>
>
>>
>>I prefer this website for info:
>>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter211/s211.71.html
>
> That site doesn't tell you anything specific. It only defines terms
> and points people to specific codes (And Pa. 3368 is one of them) for
> specific rules.

On the contrary Dave, it shows the EXACT Law, to which it
states clearly
SPEED LIMIT GROUP, R2 SERIES

§ 211.71. Speed restrictions.
(a) Lawful limits. The numerical speed limit displayed on signs in the speed limit group shall not exceed the lawful limits established in the Vehicle Code.

(b) Study. An engineering and traffic study must be made in accordance with Chapter 201 (relating to engineering and traffic studies) in order to justify establishment of any proposed speed limit, other than the basic 35 miles per hour limit in an urban district or the maximum 55 miles per hour limit in other areas.

(c) Approval. Department approval shall be obtained before any speed limit is established on a State-designated highway, except as follows:

(1) Local authorities may establish the basic 35 miles per hour limit in an urban district without Department approval; however, Department approval shall be required for placement of the signs. In requesting Department approval to erect the signs, local authorities shall provide the Legislative Route number and limiting stations of the speed zone for the records of the Department.

(2) Local authorities which have entered into an agreement with the Department authorizing them to install official traffic control devices on State-designated highways without specific Department approval may establish any speed restriction without Department approval, after the necessary engineering and traffic studies have been completed. However, local authorities shall provide the Department with a copy of the ordinance establishing the speed restriction.

(d) Speed restrictions established under 75 Pa.C.S. §§ 3362-3363 (relating to maximum speed limits and alteration of maximum speed limits), shall be referred to as "speed limits;" except that speed restrictions in work areas shall be referred to as "work area speed limits."

(e) Speed restrictions established under 75 Pa.C.S. • 3365(a) shall be referred to as "bridge speed limits."

(f) Speed restrictions established under 75 Pa.C.S. • 3365(b) shall be referred to as "school zone speed limits."

(g) Speed restrictions established under 75 Pa.C.S. • 3365(c) shall be referred to as "hazardous grade speed limits."

Source

The provisions of this • 211.71 amended October 1, 1982, effective October 2, 1982, 12 Pa.B. 3505. Immediately preceding text appears at serial page (57205).

>
> That's not the point. Regardless of the accuracy, why would one method
> (VASCAR) be considered "entrapment" while another (RADAR or LIDAR)
> not? Perhaps you can explain?

Nope, that method was dropped in the late sixties in Ca.
I have no desire to go looking back through laws from 40 years ago. I will tell you that more & more departments and CHP
are going to Lidar, much more accurate.

>>>
>>> All that shows is that states vary in their methods toward traffic
>>> enforcement.

> It is correct. 3368 (c) (4) says so in the great majority of cases.

This all started when you said that you won't get a ticket for going 1 MPH over the speed limit. You then changed the subject to that the Cops won't do it because their equipment & laws
governing that allow for a +/- grace.

Dave wrote:

That depends on the state. Some states (I believe Maryland is one) have what they refer to as "Zero tolerance" 65 MPH. They can bust you for 66, or so I've been told. I don't know how many cases have been fought over speedometer or other potential speed inaccuracies and how well the "zero tolerance" aspect has held up.

Most car speedometers are not so accurate that a 1 MPH difference is all that hard to believe. Just putting one size larger tires on your car can make that difference.

People have fought a speeding ticket on this basis and have won. That's part of the reason why Pa. gives some leeway. Most cops don't want to have to appear in traffic court when the chances are good the case could get thrown out. However, it's a lot harder to make a case for speedometer inaccuracies when you're 10 MPH or more over.

Dave

You are wrong, speed limits do not have a grace
"well your honor, here's the law stating that he has to give me
a grace of 6 or so MPH over the speed limit"
Judge "Really? OK, here's a fine of 200 to 250 for going
6 or so MPH over the speed limit"

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

2827. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 14:56:44 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <Mg2Rd.861$OU1.713@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196462

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>
> Wassup with dat?
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

Geo

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

2828. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:06:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4oc9115pit4o7eqolntj10mlmgnuqojuvr@4ax.com>
Source record: 196466

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:56:45 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns96005B4F02ADE1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>
>Read it, no person may be convicted for radar or vascar violtation for 6
>mph over posted speed limit. And if the posted speed limit is under 55 and
>the recorded speed is less than 10 mph over the speed limit. no person can
>be convicted using vascar/timing method.
>
>
>> 4. No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use
>> of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed
>> recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed
>> limit. Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
>> through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area
>> where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>> speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
>> speed limit.

Dave stated, "In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of
'Speedometer' and speed measuring inaccuracies." To support his
statement he cited a part of PA law that was consistent with his
statement, but intentionally neglected to cite the part of PA law that
fully disproved his statement; i.e, paragraph (1). And when confronted
with this fact he attempted to exonerate himself by claiming he posted
the link, as if that was enough to excuse his blatant distortions.

You defend this behavior?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2829. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:16:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4214B532-882@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196470

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 17:40:48 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
There is no rule, unwritten or written that requires the officer to
ignore a speeder. To wit...the portion you conveniently snipped shows
how your claim is not across the board and is an exception, as it
pertains ONLY when traveling in areas with posted speeds LESS than 55,
whereas the the posted interstate (65 MPH) speeds, of which Pa. finally
raised from 55 not too long ago, are the speed LIMITS imposed by the
state of Pennsylvania.

>There are still many limited access roads

>which are posted at 55.

You're roving. There are also many local and city roads where the speed
is 35. Not relevant to the maximum speed limit imposed by the state.

>In fact, the majority of places where they

>allow 65 are those areas where the highway is
>not in a "congested" (meaning densely

>populated) area.

Regardless, you are citing the exception. Once again, you can cite no
rule requiring the officer to give a window of ANY speed in regards to
the states maximum imposed speed limit.

>In most others, it's still 55.

On the interstates in Pa, the maximum speed is 65 MPH. See above.

>Not on all of them.

Yes, on ALL of them. If you are going to try and say portions of the
interstates have reduced speeds and try and invoke this as your point of
reasoning for saying "not all of them", you really have issues and can't
stand to be shown you are wrong. ALL interstates have reduced speeds in
some areas.

> I live and travel them on a daily basis.

(snip)

Your personal experiences are null, void, and irrelevant to the facts.
You not only proved this with your claim that you "USED forensics" in
your reasoning and repair approach to radios, but affirmed it by setting
forth the amount of time you spent in radio as some sort of self-styled

litmus test when comparing yourself and knowledge to other ops, then turned around and not only made the claim that roger beeps were illegal, but willfully, stubbornly, and defiantly clung to such ignorance, even when taught better by several other hammies.

>You can expect me to tell you how the fish are
>running inTampa Bay so don't you try to tell

>me about the roads in my state. You know

>nothing about it.

Crystal: BALL,,,there's so many things Dave needs to know,,,Crystal-Ball
-
That's NOT what it says. Here is the interpretation for you, Dave,,,,where the POSTED speed limit is 55 or LESS is the ONLY manner where it applies.it is a specific exception. Once again, the maximum posted speed limit on Pa interstates is 65. There is NO law or rule, or even "unwritten" as you claim, that REQUIRES the officer to GIVE any leeway at all.

>Read it again. I realize that comprehension

>has always been your weak spot, but try

>separating the paragraph into parts.

>The first part:

>"No person may be convicted upon evidence

>obtained through the use of devices

>authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless

>the speed recorded is six or more miles per

>hour in excess of the legal speed limit."

>Nowhere in that sentence is there a specific

>speed limit listed. That means that this applies
>to 65 MPH roads and using RADAR. This

>statute states that LEO must give a 5 MPH

>grace. How much more clear can it be?

>The next part is a FURTHER restriction:

Restriction to WHAT? There must be a prior item in order for it to be FURTHER, and the prior item is exactly what it relates.

>"Furthermore, no person may be convicted

>upon evidence obtained through the use of

>devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an

>area where the legal speed limit is less than

>55 miles per hour if the speed recorded is less
>than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal

>speed limit."

>This part specifically refers to the conditions

>that (a) the road is posted at less than 55, and
>(b) are using devices authorized in paragraph

>3 (normally VASCAR). In this case they have

>to give you a 10 MPH grace.

No argument. Try and remain relevant, Davie. One would think that after each and every person reminding you to stay on topic, you would get an inkling.

>"This paragraph shall not apply to evidence

>obtained through the use of devices

>authorized by paragraph (3) within a school

>zone."

>Once again, you demonstrate, to people with

>normal comprehensive ability, that you can't

>understand what you read.

Normal people do not insist roger beeps are illegal after being taught better. Normal people do not prefer to focus on the personal lives of usenet posters, as you claimed you prefer to do. Normal people do ot accuse all they disagree of taking sides with others and conspiring against them. Normal people do not accuse any and all criticism of coming from conspiratorial plotters.

>It's no wonder you jump to the wrong

>conclusions over and over again in the scant 4
>years that you have been polluting this

>newsgroup.

Your heart is on your sleeve through no fault of your own.

>Your pattern of habitual incomprehension is all
>the more apparent if one walks through

>Google for a few minutes.

Ahh,,the inability to exert control to the point you can remain focused has always haunted you. Get some help, Davie, as your predilection of the nuances of poster's lives has consumed you beyond what you can even comprehend.

>This is my last word on the subject.

Well, that IS you pattern throughout google posg history. When it sinks in to your angry and misguided soul that you are wrong on a matter, you abandon the subject like you do your custody issue.

>If you won't take Pa. law as evidence

Oh, everyone takes the law as evidence, Davie, trouble is, your communication deficits prevent you from processing logic and the law, resulting in claims like "Roger beeps are illegal" based on your inability to locate absence of proof.

>that a minimum of a 5 MPH grace exists, then

>that's not my problem. I've been driving for 29

>years now

(snip)

Again, your personal experiences mean nothing. Hell, you hold the time spent in radio as some sort of weird and twisted badge of honor and have said as much all throughout your permitted usenet life among our fine group, but it hasn;t prevented you from the ignorance that haunts you daily and manifests itself in bizarre and unexplained quotes from yourself such as "roger beeps are illegal" .

>I know several cops who confirm this. I could

>name them, but it wouldn't prove anything

>since you don't know them.

Then why bring up the issue? Oh, that's right, because your desperation dictates you need all the false support you can lend yourself at times like this.

>I could just as easily make up names.

.well go ahead, because these imaginary playmates that you told the group not only exist, but agree with you that roger beeps are illegal, should certainly have names.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2830. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:18:43 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <Xns96006934DB7191234567890@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 196469

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:11820-4214ABD6-877
@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:

> By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.
> A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state
> is void of radar.

Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania, i didnt say they were devoid of radar or a non radar state. I said penns was not a radar state by any means there are states that use radar in every town municipality and boro, penns is not one of them, that means they are not really a "radar state" that is not thier primary means of speed enforcement. Do the state police use em sure do, but there are more towns and municipalities than state police by a large stretch hence it is not really a radar state... Now go twisty some more words around since you dont understand.

2831. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:21:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11822-4214B65C-63@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196473

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:11820-4214AB53-876 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>They very rarely use a helicopter for traffic

>enforcement

Perhaps in your neck of the woods, they do not, but in the larger cities
and metropolis', they most certainly do.

>They don't use them to stop speeders

Correct, a helicopter does not stop speeders, they engage with the units
on the ground that stop the speeders.

>you

>dumb jackass.

That mother-issue really has you screwed up...just when you thought you
were over it.

> People who are running from police yes, but

>the casual speeder is not caught from a

>helicopter.

Called a bear-in-the-air. Of course, if you left the cave of despair
once every few years, you would be clued in.

> That shit went out long ago it is too expensive
>and you still need officers on the ground,.

Of course you do, Dave...for pulling over the speeder.

>talk baout something you know about tipsy like
>fishing or trolling 2 things you are good at

Or dangling my loyal subject as the court jester for all to enjoy. See,
I share my good fortune and sunny disposition.

2832. Re:Gilligan Assclown

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:26:35 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4214B7AB-883@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196472

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 8:05am (EST-1) Group:
rec.radio.cb Subject: Re:Gilligan Assclown From:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date:
Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 8:05am (EST-1) X-Trace:
sv3-RlZBCpQ1ZfU+lx3Bv56svzzpSlIVr7x9L4TsJ4CmMdBjpq/mlvXfIKTSaFJ18DOi5bdS0DOjjHC4y9i!OIZ0LO
m2Cg3KFHg5Zxy/vCIHcS7Cx7Sf6UEUVH+Hx8e4w2qrV/R/8ICd64lPO7FO0QwS
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward
a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
>Frank, you are rea;lly fucking dense and a

>clueless ignorant asshole who wants to argue

>about things you know nothing about, this isnt

>radio or electronics Frank

And you have been gutted in record time like a doe out of season in
Berks County.

2833. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:31:13 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <s3e911hb26vtk0sk8e6ace803iujpcqvv2@4ax.com>
Source record: 196471

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 04:23:38 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:08:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <5g19119uqls4q2ba85annek7bkiupreh5s@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>> http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>>>>
>>>> Read it again, and pay particular attention to 3368 (c) paragraph 4.
>>>
>>>Why? speeding is speeding, no matter how the evidence was
>>>gathered.
>>
>>Read it. It CLEARLY states that when using any electronic or
>>mechanical speed measuring device (With the exception of a calibrated
>>moving speedometer) that they HAVE TO allow at least 5 MPH (RADAR) and
>>up to 10 MPH (VASCAR). It's in there in black and white.
>
>
>Wrong:
>
>==========
>(c) Mechanical, electrical and electronic devices authorized.--
>
>1. Except as otherwise provided in this section, the rate of speed of
>any vehicle may be timed on any highway by a police officer using a
>mechanical or electrical speed timing device.
>2. Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (3), electronic devices
>such as radio-microwave devices (commonly referred to as electronic
>speed meters or radar) may be used only by members of the Pennsylvania
>State Police.
>3. Electronic devices which calculate speed by measuring elapsed time
>between measured road surface points by using two sensors and devices
>which measure and calculate the average speed of a vehicle between any
>two points may be used by any police officer.
>4. No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use
>of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed
>recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed
>limit. Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
>through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area
>where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
>speed limit. This paragraph shall not apply to evidence obtained

>through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) within a school
>zone.
>============
>
>
>> Yet you guys
>>insist on continuing to argue with me.
>
>
>Because you insist on misquoting it.
>
>
>> Don't argue with me, argue with
>>the state, they wrote it.
>
>
>The state isn't misqoting their own law. You are.

What part of that law disagrees with what I've said? It supports what
I've said in the great majority of cases.

Quit playing semantics games.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2834. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:40:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-4214BAD4-884@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196477

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 17:13:28 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
NOBODY understands what you say Dave, and you *always* blame it on the
other person, when in fact, it's you.

>Most intelligent people have no problem

>understand what I say.

Oh, that's right, you maintain the majority of everyone else is not
intelligent compared to you. Your anger has resulted in you accusing
three people in one day of not comprehending what you say. With your
confusion over the law, a fourth person came into the mix to try and
gently tell you that you are contradicting yourself, yet you still don't
get it and are blaming everyone who comes into contact with you of not
understanding you. The problem is you, Dave, not everyone else who all
tell you the same thing.

>You problem

(snip)

When you grow up, you
may understand another's world is none of your business, regardless HOW
much you prefer to make them your topic. This is a direct result of your
personal issues.

>I can see why you thought there was a

>contradiction

You *are* a contradiction, Dave,,trouble is, you are the only one unable
to see it, which leads us to the other fact you refuse to grasp: no one
views you the manner in which you view yourself.

>Once again you proclaim to speak for the

>silent majority.

Only they are not silent, Davie, they have been very vocal telling you
for days that you are wrong, hypocritical, void of reason, and
contradicting yourself, yet, you continue to blame each and every one
who tells you the same thing. Issues, Dave, you have a great many.

> A claim that most people find amusing, for the
>sheer narcissistic value alone.

Most people have already schooled you, Dave. Only you see yourself as an accomplished communicator and informed citizen. The rest see you as you have always been informed by these others..as a hypocrite and liar.
-
Your issues lead to you
blaming the other person (not their topic) in all your posts.

>People make mistakes.

>Topics do not.

Attacking people for their mistakes is childish, Davie, and holding that as some sort of justification for your childish acts is just what we would expect from you.

> If someone (like you) acts like an idiot, why

>should the topic be blamed?

As it stands, you are alone in your approach, as a well rounded individual versed in communication knows the person is not to be attacked, the topic is.

>Do you kiss yourself in the mirror in the

>morning, or before you go to bed?

Hahaha,,you really are feeling low, Davie.
Tell us again how you use forensics in your reasoning. Remember, your original calim was that you USED forensics in your reasoning and work,

>That's a lie. I did not state that I "used

>forensics in my reasoning and work".

Oh, brother. You are whacked out, You most certainly did say it.
_
yet when you were instructed by Frank as to the proper usage of the word,

>Frank never "instructed" anything. He merely

>asked if I knew the meaning of the word

>which, of course, I do.

you came back adn (as always) tried to explain what you really meant by misusing the term.
Only then did you say the reasoning you employ is "like" forensics, completely
disregarding your earlier and incorrect claim, yet you refused to acknowledge you were wrong, a pattern that is becoming evident and screamingly obvious in the majority of your posts, regardless who you choose to blame, next.

>Google will prove me right.

Nothing has ever proved you right. Not a thing,

>Go ahead and eat

>a little more crow. That is, if you finished with

>your foot first.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>N3CVJ

Anger, Dave..it is responsible for you being alone.

2835. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:47:18 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4208-4214BC86-728@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196478

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 06:07:42 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:dn37119pljo8cvqvnn0lqdjcr45j46aen6@4ax.com...
On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 14:59:05 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:
(You made a statement that cops don't write tickets in Pa unless they
are over 5 MPH, that's not true.)

>I live here, you would think I'd have a little

>more knowledge in this area, than someone

>who lives 2000 miles away.

You also are a licensed extra, and you have invoked this many times, in
addition to your
length of time spent in radio, as some sort of
excuse for you to claim you deserve respect. Yet, you insist that roger
beeps are illegal, illustrating your self-invoked criteria means
nothing.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

2836. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:49:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <56e911dh6m4epvniqm5so0jqu01dmjoevq@4ax.com>
Source record: 196474

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:06:46 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:56:45 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
><Xns96005B4F02ADE1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>
>>Read it, no person may be convicted for radar or vascar violtation for 6
>>mph over posted speed limit. And if the posted speed limit is under 55 and
>>the recorded speed is less than 10 mph over the speed limit. no person can
>>be convicted using vascar/timing method.
>>
>>
>>> 4. No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use
>>> of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed
>>> recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed
>>> limit. Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
>>> through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area
>>> where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>>> speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
>>> speed limit.
>
>
>Dave stated, "In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of
>'Speedometer' and speed measuring inaccuracies." To support his
>statement he cited a part of PA law that was consistent with his
>statement,

At least you can admit that much.

> but intentionally neglected to cite the part of PA law that
>fully disproved his statement; i.e, paragraph (1).

How can that be? If one part of the law supports it (which you
agreed), and another refutes it, that would be a contradiction.

I believe the word you need to use is "conditional".

Paragraph 1 only applies to cops following someone in a moving car
using a calibrated speedometer. Virtually none of the cops, and the
departments that I know do that. Most use other means of conducting a
"speed trap", and in those cases, the rules I cited fully apply. Your
continuing to argue only makes you look stubborn and either unable or
unwilling to admit your mistake.

>And when confronted
>with this fact he attempted to exonerate himself by claiming he posted
>the link, as if that was enough to excuse his blatant distortions.

There was no distortion. The applicable part was posted. The rest could be had by looking at the link. Paragraph 1 is rarely applicable in this state.

>You defend this behavior?

Why not? It's far more intellectually honorable than what the "axis of obfuscation" is pulling as they try to spin snippets of the law to say things that it doesn't in a vain attempt trying to prove me wrong.

It's funny how you try to beat me over the head with so-called "facts" in political discussions and get steamed when I don't accept your testimony as fact, yet when faced with real factual law, you cannot accept it. Hypocrisy comes to mind.

The simple fact of the matter is that your chances for getting a speeding ticket for less than 5 MPH over the limit is extremely small in the state of Pa..

I don't know anyone who ever got one. Every person, except one, that I know who ever got a speeding ticket has been for 10 MPH or more. The lone exception was a school zone violation for doing 22 in a 15 zone.

That exception is covered under paragraph 4 in 3368 (c).

Other states may be different. I offer no opinion on any states other than the one I live and work in.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2837. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:52:06 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <71f911dqk71jgkuv8qjei9da0ujjhnh8hm@4ax.com>
Source record: 196475

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

<snip>
>> You defend this behavior?
>
>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
>you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.

I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2838. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:52:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4208-4214BDC1-729@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196481

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 7:48am (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Temporary leave From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 7:48am (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-wz5pPXzVhpYTbbpIs+oW2pJThGg3qq02fRlHgfipLEDi8fdlDcF8Tyx98R6AXOFmdD4cPyTpnYcXcU1!183NsHcCvwJUFpmVnUL0+EEMKarTREnkYf8a9W4ARzxk3hNPcJWTOfxDcqRWitF8VxkK X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
>Dave is 100% correct, end of discussion

Dave said you don't exist and even if you did, whatever you say is not credible nor to be taken serious by anyone that has even a mediocrity of intelligence. He also claimed since you do not attach your real identity to your post, you are a coward and paranoid. In fact, he just devoted an entire post to you in a struggled effort to convey his personal feelings regarding posters like yourself.
Tell us more about how correct "Dave" is.

2839. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:54:33 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4208-4214BE39-730@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196479

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:OwWQd.790$OU1.41
@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
Actually, Lidar is a much more accurate system, ask George he works a
radar repairman.

>So you would think I should know the laws, as

>well as Dave who is a motorist in the state of

>Pa

"Dave" has shown he knows nothing of the law with his repeated ignorance
concerning roger beeps. Besides, you self-contradict yourself as much as
"he" does. Talking of yourself in the third person does nothing.

2840. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 15:55:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <jdf911lhoq9nhop753fcmkbrpq62qsd5ge@4ax.com>
Source record: 196476

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 10:31:13 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <s3e911hb26vtk0sk8e6ace803iujpcqvv2@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>..... It supports what
>I've said in the great majority of cases.

So now it's "the great majority of cases"? You didn't say that before,
Dave. Were you one of those kids that made up rules during a game
because the starting rules put you at a disadvantage?

>Quit playing semantics games.

You are the one now saying, "the great majority of cases". If that
isn't an excuse based on semantics I don't know what is (but I'm sure
you and your crystal ball will tell me, huh?).

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2841. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 16:00:13 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4208-4214BF8D-733@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196482

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:11820-4214ABD6-877 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.
A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state
is void of radar.

>Mine and any company who proposes to sell

>radar to Pennsylvania,

Oh, a marketing ploy certainly makes it official, then.

>i didnt say they were devoid of radar or a non

>radar state.

That IS exactly what the phrase you invoked
means.

> I said penns was not a radar state by any

>means there are states that use radar in every
>town municipality and boro, penns is not one

>of them, that means they are not really a

>"radar state" that is not thier primary means of

>speed enforcement. Do the state police use

>em sure do, but there are more towns and

>municipalities than state police by a large

>stretch hence it is not really a radar state...

>Now go twisty some more words around since
>you dont understand.

You invoke a PR department's marketing strategy and permit it to define
legalities for you,,,and tell another they don't understand the law.
Great show!

2842. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 16:09:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <lcf9111i10ur08867pej7u43ctc009ghln@4ax.com>
Source record: 196480

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:56:43 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>>> Perhaps I didn't word it quite right, but in essence
>>>> the two major points that I made were:
>>>>
>>>> 1. That out of the recent FCC busts for freebanding, that a
>>>> significant percentage of them were licensed hams.
>>>>
>>>> 2. Out of the TOTAL amount of freebanders, those with ham licenses are
>>>> in the minority. Hence the term "most don't".
>>>
>>>Which FCC report did those facts come from?
>>
>> Read the FCC web site of recent enforcement actions for an accounting
>> of who was busted.
>
>I have, majority of busts were Hams, not just some
>"freebander".

And that is consistent with my point #1 above.

>> Surely you are not about to suggest that the majority of freebanders
>> are actually hams are you? I'd like to see your logic for backing that
>> one.
>
>Nope, not in anyway. Don't start jumping the gun Dave.

Ok, do you feel that the majority of freebanders are hams, or do you
feel that they are a majority of unlicensed people. Or do you feel
that there is an even mix?

>
>> There are more freebanders than there are US hams (at least there
>> used to be)
>
>Really, what survey said that?

S9 magazine ran such a survey in the early 80's. It was based on
actual licenses processed (Ham vs. CB), and also took into
consideration that a certain (admittedly hard to quantify accurately)
percentage of CB'ers did not have a license.

>>>> http://members.aol.com/StatutesP1/75PA3368.html
>>>>
>>>> Read it again, and pay particular attention to 3368 (c) paragraph 4.

>>>
>>>Why? speeding is speeding, no matter how the evidence was
>>>gathered.
>>
>> Read it. It CLEARLY states that when using any electronic or
>> mechanical speed measuring device (With the exception of a calibrated
>> moving speedometer) that they HAVE TO allow at least 5 MPH (RADAR) and
>> up to 10 MPH (VASCAR). It's in there in black and white. Yet you guys
>> insist on continuing to argue with me. Don't argue with me, argue with
>> the state, they wrote it.
>>
>>
>>>You made a statement that cops don't write tickets
>>>in Pa unless they are over 5 MPH, that's not true.

It is true in the great majority of cases. But I need to ask by what
authority do you have, that would allow you to comment on the
practices in my state? I don't profess to know what the law is in
California. Nor should you make blatant statements about what goes on
in Pa.

>> I live here, you would think I'd have a little more knowledge in this
>> area, than someone who lives 2000 miles away.
>
>Yup, but you have said numerous times, the law is the law.
>That is the FACT, if the law says 55 and you go 56 MPH
>you are taking a chance on getting a ticket, I don't care what
>state you are in.

That's true in theory but not in practice. The cops do not write
tickets until you exceed the threshold defined in 3368 (c) (4).

>>> Otherwise, there wouldn't be a law saying exceeding the
>>>speed limit is against the law. Not exceeding the speed limit
>>>by 5 to 6 MPH is against the law.

Nonetheless, how do you explain 3368 (c)(4)?

>>>
>>>I prefer this website for info:
>>>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter211/s211.71.html
>>
>> That site doesn't tell you anything specific. It only defines terms
>> and points people to specific codes (And Pa. 3368 is one of them) for
>> specific rules.
>
>On the contrary Dave, it shows the EXACT Law, to which it
>states clearly
>SPEED LIMIT GROUP, R2 SERIES
>
>
>ï¿½ 211.71. Speed restrictions.

> (a) Lawful limits. The numerical speed limit displayed on signs in the
>speed limit group shall not exceed the lawful limits established in the
>Vehicle Code.

All that says is that speed limit signs must conform to the vehicle
code.

> (b) Study. An engineering and traffic study must be made in accordance
>with Chapter 201 (relating to engineering and traffic studies) in order to
>justify establishment of any proposed speed limit, other than the basic 35
>miles per hour limit in an urban district or the maximum 55 miles per hour
>limit in other areas.

Defines the criteria by which a traffic study is warranted.

> (c) Approval. Department approval shall be obtained before any speed limit
>is established on a State-designated highway, except as follows:
>
> (1) Local authorities may establish the basic 35 miles per hour limit in
>an urban district without Department approval; however, Department approval
>shall be required for placement of the signs. In requesting Department
>approval to erect the signs, local authorities shall provide the Legislative
>Route number and limiting stations of the speed zone for the records of the
>Department.
>
> (2) Local authorities which have entered into an agreement with the
>Department authorizing them to install official traffic control devices on
>State-designated highways without specific Department approval may establish
>any speed restriction without Department approval, after the necessary
>engineering and traffic studies have been completed. However, local
>authorities shall provide the Department with a copy of the ordinance
>establishing the speed restriction.
>
> (d) Speed restrictions established under 75 Pa.C.S. ï¿½ ï¿½ 3362-3363
>(relating to maximum speed limits and alteration of maximum speed limits),
>shall be referred to as ''speed limits;'' except that speed restrictions in
>work areas shall be referred to as ''work area speed limits.''
>
> (e) Speed restrictions established under 75 Pa.C.S. ï¿½ 3365(a) shall be
>referred to as ''bridge speed limits.''
>
> (f) Speed restrictions established under 75 Pa.C.S. ï¿½ 3365(b) shall be
>referred to as ''school zone speed limits.''
>
> (g) Speed restrictions established under 75 Pa.C.S. ï¿½ 3365(c) shall be
>referred to as ''hazardous grade speed limits.''
>

This simply defines the "what"," where" and " by whom".

This part of the code does not address enforcement of the speed limit.
That is done in ï¿½3368.

>> That's not the point. Regardless of the accuracy, why would one method
>> (VASCAR) be considered "entrapment" while another (RADAR or LIDAR)
>> not? Perhaps you can explain?
>
>Nope, that method was dropped in the late sixties in Ca.
>I have no desire to go looking back through laws from 40 years ago. I will
>tell you that more & more departments and CHP
>are going to Lidar, much more accurate.

That may be true, by why is that not considered "entrapment"?

>
>>>>
>>>> All that shows is that states vary in their methods toward traffic
>>>> enforcement.
>
>> It is correct. 3368 (c) (4) says so in the great majority of cases.
>
>This all started when you said that you won't get a ticket for
>going 1 MPH over the speed limit. You then changed the subject to that the
>Cops won't do it because their equipment & laws
>governing that allow for a +/- grace.

I didn't change anything. I started out by stating that cops had to
give people a 5 MPH grace (in Pa.) to take care of speedometer and
speed measuring inaccuracies. I backed off a little and conceded to
the possibility that this may only be an unspoken "rule" at the
individual cop's discretion. I then located the law which backs up my
original assertion. The subject NEVER changed.

>
> Dave wrote:
>
>That depends on the state. Some states (I believe Maryland is one) have what
>they refer to as "Zero tolerance" 65 MPH. They can bust you for 66, or so
>I've been told. I don't know how many cases have been fought over
>speedometer or other potential speed inaccuracies and how well the "zero
>tolerance" aspect has held up.
>
>Most car speedometers are not so accurate that a 1 MPH difference is all
>that hard to believe. Just putting one size larger tires on your car can
>make that difference.
>
>People have fought a speeding ticket on this basis and have won. That's part
>of the reason why Pa. gives some leeway. Most cops don't want to have to
>appear in traffic court when the chances are good the case could get thrown
>out. However, it's a lot harder to make a case for speedometer inaccuracies
>when you're 10 MPH or more over.
>
>Dave
>
>You are wrong, speed limits do not have a grace

>"well your honor, here's the law stating that he has to give me
>a grace of 6 or so MPH over the speed limit"
>Judge "Really? OK, here's a fine of 200 to 250 for going
>6 or so MPH over the speed limit"

Pa. ï¿½3368 (c)(4) would seem to disagree with you.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2843. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 16:13:28 UTC
From: Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net>
Message-ID: <N7ydnW_4v6_QWYnfRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 196483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Charlie wrote:

> Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
> comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
>
And getting caught can cost them their ham license.

2844. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 16:31:58 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <vhg9115e776nffmqcl1hv0d1ngbj4ocese@4ax.com>
Source record: 196484

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 10:49:26 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <56e911dh6m4epvniqm5so0jqu01dmjoevq@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:06:46 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:56:45 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>><Xns96005B4F02ADE1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>>
>>>Read it, no person may be convicted for radar or vascar violtation for 6
>>>mph over posted speed limit. And if the posted speed limit is under 55 and
>>>the recorded speed is less than 10 mph over the speed limit. no person can
>>>be convicted using vascar/timing method.
>>>
>>>
>>>> 4. No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use
>>>> of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed
>>>> recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed
>>>> limit. Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
>>>> through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area
>>>> where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>>>> speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
>>>> speed limit.
>>
>>
>>Dave stated, "In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of
>>'Speedometer' and speed measuring inaccuracies." To support his
>>statement he cited a part of PA law that was consistent with his
>>statement,
>
>At least you can admit that much.
>
>> but intentionally neglected to cite the part of PA law that
>>fully disproved his statement; i.e, paragraph (1).
>
>How can that be? If one part of the law supports it (which you
>agreed), and another refutes it, that would be a contradiction.
>
>I believe the word you need to use is "conditional".

"Conditional"? No, I don't need to add conditions to a statement after
the fact -- a practice you are using more frequently as more and more
of your statements are disproven as stated originally. The terminology

I used in my statement was correct. If you can't comprehend what those
words mean then that's -your- problem.

>Paragraph 1 only applies to cops following someone in a moving car
>using a calibrated speedometer.

Where does the law specifically identify any paragraph (1) device?

> Virtually none of the cops, and the
>departments that I know do that.

Cops in PA don't pace speeders?

> Most use other means of conducting a
>"speed trap", and in those cases, the rules I cited fully apply. Your
>continuing to argue only makes you look stubborn and either unable or
>unwilling to admit your mistake.
>
>
>>And when confronted
>>with this fact he attempted to exonerate himself by claiming he posted
>>the link, as if that was enough to excuse his blatant distortions.
>
>There was no distortion. The applicable part was posted. The rest
>could be had by looking at the link. Paragraph 1 is rarely applicable
>in this state.

......"rarely"? I don't recall reading the word "rarely" in your
statement. Here, I'll post it again:

"In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of 'Speedometer'
and speed measuring inaccuracies."

Do -you- see the word "rarely" in there anywhere? Or the word
"mostly"? Or "in the great majority of cases"?

Or "almost always"?

>>You defend this behavior?
>
>Why not?

Because it's idiotic.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2845. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 16:35:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <eug9111ttiiuig1kcil5t4t56knplncsjf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196485

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 04:44:32 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>
>But nowhere does it state that any grace is given when the speed
>measuring device is mechanical or electrical, as per paragraph (1). So
>if they are using a paragraph (1) device then they CAN bust you for
>doing 56 in a 55 zone.

Yes, but none of the police that I'm aware of use any methods other
than ELECTRONIC means. RADAR and VASCAR, as well as the old tape
strips across the road (which have all but disappeared) are all
considered electronic devices.

>>Once again, you demonstrate, to people with normal comprehensive
>>ability, that you can't understand what you read. It's no wonder you
>>jump to the wrong conclusions over and over again in the scant 4 years
>>that you have been polluting this newsgroup. Your pattern of habitual
>>incomprehension is all the more apparent if one walks through Google
>>for a few minutes.

>>This is my last word on the subject.
>
>
>Only because you have been proven wrong and you can't admit it.

Unless you can come up with a "mechanical" or simple electrical speed
measuring device in common use in Pa., then you can't prove that I'm
wrong. You are attempting to disprove the majority of cases, by citing
a small exception.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2846. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 16:37:30 UTC
From: Me <me@nym.alias.net>
Message-ID: <stg91112ha84g98kna5oqpkbb68qudutbh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196486

On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 09:57:06 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>While I solved one problem on SSB, another continues.
>
>
>I am still having that "carrier" problem on SSB. For some reason, as soon as
>I speak into the mic, the radio holds power, as if it were on AM. The TX meter
>on the radio shows this, and the SWR/power meter shows this. The power is not
>fluctuating with modulation. The ALC was not cranked up. The power is not high,
>about 20 watts peak. Like I said, it seems to do this as soon
>as I speak into the mic. Even a subtle noise will send it to max, and stay
>there. Changed mics, and have the same problem.
>
>So then I tried this.
>
>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I connect it
>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on SSB. However,
>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I modulate. This is
>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an impedance
>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes away, whether
>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on my home
>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
>
>Any suggestions?
>
>
>
>Vinnie S.

Hi Vinne,
Over the years, I have seen this problem a number of
times, and I found one of the wire wound coils in the back of the
radio was overcoupled, and causing the nasty parasitic you speak of.
You key the mike on SSB, and, after you unkey, there is a full scale
carrier being transmitted... Resetting the bias didn't help, however,
streching the coil, reducing the inductance always worked.
Unfortunately, Its been a few years since I have worked on a HR-2510,
but can tell you it is the coil is all the way in the back, near the
middle, right by the heat sink, and as I remember, parallel to the
rear panel, and has a ceramic cap in front of it, making it a little
hard to strech the coil. After you take the top off, key the mike on
ssb, and when the parasitic shows up, strech the coil until the RF
power meter drops back down to zero. After you do this, the parasitic
will go away forever. I have fixed at least 10 HR-2510s in this

manner, and never had a problem again. One more point worth mentioning though. As I recall, I had at least one or two that the parasitic "went away" when you took off the covers.. You still strech the coil, and this will fix it, however, a little harder to identify the coil... I'm sorry I can't recall off the top of my head which coil it is exactly, but its not hard to find.. If I had a 2510 in front of me, I could look and tell you, but I don't... As there are just a few coils that you can strech, you can do it trial by error, and if you choose the wrong coil, just push the windings back. Anyway, best luck! es 73 Tony..

2847. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 16:58:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5ci911deaj4p0vcl7bj5nqp2h91ctleb46@4ax.com>
Source record: 196487

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:35:08 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <eug9111ttiiuig1kcil5t4t56knplncsjf@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 04:44:32 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>
>>But nowhere does it state that any grace is given when the speed
>>measuring device is mechanical or electrical, as per paragraph (1). So
>>if they are using a paragraph (1) device then they CAN bust you for
>>doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>
>Yes,

Then your statement was wrong. Apology accepted.

> but

"but" nothing. You didn't qualify your statement until after is was
proven wrong. Changing your tune after getting caught doesn't negate
the fact that you were wrong and can't admit it.

> none of the police that I'm aware of use any methods other
>than ELECTRONIC means. RADAR and VASCAR, as well as the old tape
>strips across the road (which have all but disappeared) are all
>considered electronic devices.

So because -you- aren't aware of any such methods that means they
don't exist? Gee, another conclusion based on absense of proof.

>>>Once again, you demonstrate, to people with normal comprehensive
>>>ability, that you can't understand what you read. It's no wonder you
>>>jump to the wrong conclusions over and over again in the scant 4 years
>>>that you have been polluting this newsgroup. Your pattern of habitual
>>>incomprehension is all the more apparent if one walks through Google
>>>for a few minutes.
>
>>>This is my last word on the subject.
>>
>>
>>Only because you have been proven wrong and you can't admit it.
>
>
>Unless you can come up with a "mechanical" or simple electrical speed
>measuring device in common use in Pa., then you can't prove that I'm

>wrong. You are attempting to disprove the majority of cases, by citing
>a small exception.

A car speedometer can be a mechanical device used for measuring speed.
And pacing a speeder is probably the simplest, easiest, most reliable
and most widely used method of catching speeders. That's hardly a
"small exception". And I'm sure that there are other devices that fall
into the category of paragraph (1) or they would have limited the
paragraph to just speedometers.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2848. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 17:16:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217121605.731$02@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196488

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
> >
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
> >
> > Wassup with dat?
> >
> > --
> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>
> Geo
>
> Landshark
>
I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2849. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 17:22:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217122250.435$px@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196489

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
> news:11820-4214ABD6-877 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.
> > A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state
> > is void of radar.
>
> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
>
Is that what you do for a living, George?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2850. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 17:24:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217122440.869$aa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196490

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:11821-4214AD53-
> 274@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>
> > Now you are back-pedaling with "Pa is not REALLY a radar state". LMAO,
> > Again,,if it was a non-radar state, radar would not be implemented by
> > the authorities. In fact, you are the only one I have ever heard ue the
> > term "non-radar" state when the state does in fact, use radar. Can you
> > cite a single source where you took this phrase from? Perhaps a link or
> > provide for it? Of course you can't, Dave, it;s why you are lending
> > support to yourself.
>
> I took this right from a radar manufacturers meeting room, I was there.
> Heard it first hand. If you are not on a state highway you will never
> recieve a speeding ticket from radar? Why because Penns is not really a
> radar enforcement state, it is not thier primary means of speed
> enforcement. I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell
>
Is that why bird dogs are illegal in PA, the state owns that revenue?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2851. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 17:27:38 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217122738.297$Gx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196491

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
> <snip>
> >> You defend this behavior?
> > >
> > >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
> > >under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
> > >you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>
> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>
Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2852. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 17:29:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217122952.881$cp@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Cmd Buzz Corey <me@that.net> wrote:
> Charlie wrote:
>
> > Those with any sense do not do "free banding" since it is limited by
> > comparison to legal Ham bands privileges.....
> >
> And getting caught can cost them their ham license.
>
So can being struck by lightning. :P

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2853. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 17:32:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217123250.667$LY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196493

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:Mg2Rd.861$OU1.713
> @newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
> >
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
> >> Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
> >>
> >> NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
> >>
> >> Wassup with dat?
> >>
> >> --
> >> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> >
> > Geo
> >
> > Landshark
>
> ohh you are so special fagshark no one knew that until you said so.. You
> flaming fag boi
>
Why are you posting as Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> George?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
```

2854. Re: ATTN TRUCKERS: if you use a CB amplifier you are GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 17:54:11 UTC
From: Becky Carter Hickman-Jones <bchj@bigscaryanimal.org>
Message-ID: <BpednV63_dSnR4nfRVn-uQ@giganews.com>
Source record: 196544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

This reminds me of a skit Margaret Cho does, impersonating her mother,
Korean accent and all:

Answering machine: *BEEP* Hello? Are you there? Pick up the phone! Are you
gay? Only gay screen call!

She's hilarious.

<hamburgAKCcell@de.net> wrote in message
news:ug0Fd.11957$US.6528@news.ono.com...
> That is correct, if you use a CB linear or freeband channels
> you are a homosexual. It has been proven in AKC studies.
> You also have a chip implanted that will cause you to respond
> to this post with profanity, amusing your AKC Masters in the
> process. Life is good when you're not a GAY ILLEGAL CB
> KEYCLOWN!

2855. Re: ATTN TRUCKERS: if you use a CB amplifier you are GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:00:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217130046.090$KY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196545
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

"Becky Carter Hickman-Jones" <bchj@bigscaryanimal.org> wrote:
> This reminds me of a skit Margaret Cho does, impersonating her mother,
> Korean accent and all:
>
> Answering machine: *BEEP* Hello? Are you there? Pick up the phone! Are
> you gay? Only gay screen call!
>
> She's hilarious.
>
I like Frank R-i-z-z-o from the jerky boys. Killer prank phone calls.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2856. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:09:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <q1i911l1kkojbev6f6t6slg22318qvaju0@4ax.com>
Source record: 196546

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 06:40:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 08:29:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <0e49111551f0dkf258qlv03aadf3uf61i3@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:12 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>This thread has become way too long. I've trimmed it back to those
>>parts that I feel like commenting on..........
>
>
>Another cop out.

If you say so. I just don't have any desire to make the Guiness book
of records for the longest single usenet thread. It's no longer
productive.

>>>Caps don't "shift tolerance". Either they are within the rated
>>>tolerance or not. If they are outside the tolerance then they are bad,
>>>but I have yet to see a mylar that was outside it's rated tolerance
>>>(unless it was wide open). Since you have run into the problem several
>>>times and you keep your bad parts, how about sending me one? I would
>>>like to see it for myself, and would also like to know what brand so I
>>>can avoid them in the future. I'll even pay shipping.
>>
>>My bad. I should have said "shifted value". You are right about
>>tolerance.
>>
>>But no, I don't keep my bad parts as a rule, unless the failure mode
>>is very unusual. If I did, I'd be swimming in them.
>
>
>Uh-huh.
>
>
>>>>>> The next time
>>>>>>you see an amp like that with the same problem, will you not then
>>>>>>check that diode first? That's what I mean about experience and
>>>>>>statistical probability.
>>
>>>>>I check the diode, but not first, and I don't assume that the diode is
>>>>>the problem.
>>>>

>>>>But I'll bet (unless you really out there) that before you power up
>>>>that amp after replacing the chain of audio transistors, that you'll
>>>>check that diode just to be safe.
>>>
>>>
>>>Actually, I replace it regardless of whether it's good or not. Like I
>>>said before, the problem is not the diode but the design. If I can
>>>prevent a failure then I will -- it's no different than a car company
>>>making a recall because of a faulty component or design flaw.
>>
>>Hmm, sounds like a contradiction Frank. You don't assume the diode is
>>the problem, but then you say you replace it anyway?
>>
>>Don't worry, I understand what you are really saying, but it's an
>>illustration of how people who don't comprehend well could spin that
>>into an accusation of contradiction. In this case, you LEARNED from
>>experience, and use that learned knowledge to prevent future problems.
>>That's exactly what I do. But you call that "guessing" or "gambling".
>
>
>There is no contradiction in my statements at all. There is a fault in
>the design. My job is to fix the equipment, even if that includes any
>design flaws it may have.

No need to explain. I hear you. But what you have outlined is exactly
the same process that I use. I take past experience to augment
analytical troubleshooting techniques.

>>>>>Sometimes. Forensics is about presentation. For a brief time I was a
>>>>>member of the Forensics club in college. The various subjects included
>>>>>debate -as well as- many other aspects of speech. The word "forensics"
>>>>>is probably one of the most commonly misdefined words in the English
>>>>>language, probably because people watch too much TV (and yes, I used
>>>>>to watch Quincy).
>>>>
>>>>Sigh (again)
>>>>
>>>>foï¿½renï¿½sics (P) Pronunciation Key (f-rnsks, -zks)
>>>>n. (used with a sing. verb)
>>>>The art or study of formal debate; argumentation.
>>>>The use of science and technology to investigate and establish facts
>>>>in criminal or civil courts of law.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Happy now?
>>>
>>>
>>>No. I think you made up that last line. What's your source?
>>
>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=forensics
>

>
>http://www.nflonline.org/uploads/Rostrum/ex0203mccrady.pdf

Thank you for the link. I've saved it for closer examination when I
have more time.

But it would seem that my past usage of the word is consistent with
both my source and yours

>
>
>>>>>Then why not just make the filament thicker in a household light bulb?
>>>>>The resistance would be lower but that can be compensated for by
>>>>>increasing the length, right?
>>>>
>>>>Cost. Plain and simple. Planned obsolescence secondarily. If they
>>>>designed a lightbulb which last forever (or a really long time) the
>>>>demand for lightbulbs would fall off.
>>>
>>>
>>>You -finally- figured it out! Congratulations!
>>
>>Finally? I've been involved in enough product design, that I fully
>>understand the idea of planned obsolescence. If you wanted that answer
>>before, all you had to do was ask, instead of tap dancing around
>>filament thickness in 12V vs. 120 volt bulbs.
>
>
>I -did- ask.

And I answered.

>>>>My Midland 13-885 has a similar two step process, as does any radio
>>>>with an internal mike gain pot.
>>>
>>>
>>>What are the specs, and from what source?
>>
>>SAMS CB-42.
>>
>>Now go ahead and make the predictable response of trashing my source.
>
>
>You didn't cite the specs.

Sorry. It's the same as my specs for the TRC 457/458, 5mV for 50%
modulation.

>>>>Regardless the difference between .5 and 5 mV would not make the radio
>>>>sound mushy, considering that the AMC is set with 200mV.
>>>
>>>

>>>Just for kicks I set the pot for 50% mod at 5mV. It sounded "mushy and
>>>compressed". I set it back to 0.5mV and it sounds fine once again. You
>>>are wrong, Dave. You hacked up radios because you are arrogant and
>>>ignorant. Deal with it.
>>
>>You are lying through your teeth (assuming you have them) Frank.
>>
>>You are trying to posit that by adjusting VR6 for 50% modulation at
>>5mV, instead of .5mV (a reduction in gain) the audio became "mushy and
>>compressed"?
>
>
>Actually it's called 'downward expansion', as I stated previously. But
>the effect on the audio is just as you described.

You need to define this "downward expansion" term, as I've never heard
it. Perhaps it's your version of caps drying out.

>>You might be able to make a believable case that by increasing gain
>>that you might make the audio compressed (driving the AMC too hard
>>might do that), but reducing the gain? C'mon Frank, you might be able
>>to sell that swampland story to a truck stop "tech", but it won't fly
>>with me.
>
>
>Of course not, because you can't test the difference for yourself,
>just like you keep stalling with putting caps on your battery charger.
>You can't because you are afraid that you might be forced to admit
>that you are wrong.

No chance of that happening. And BTW, the caps are on the battery
charger. I'm only drawing about 2 or 3 amps though. I'll have to find
a better load.

>>But you see, that's a bunch of hooey, because VR6 is nothing more than
>>a mike gain control. In fact, on the Cobra 139XLR radio (the
>>identical chassis) that I now have, the VR6 pot becomes the front
>>panel mounted "dynamike" control. The operator can adjust that pot at
>>any point. If the setting was as critical as you are trying to
>>portray, do you think they would allow that to be a user control?
>
>
>So you're telling me that adjusting the mic gain control on any radio
>that has it doesn't have -any- effect on the quality of the audio?

Other than amplitude, no. It should not affect either the tone or
"compression". Regardless, I tested mine (without even having to
remove the cover (Nice thing about external mike gain). I varied the
control and other than the amplitude level, nothing else changed.

>>That radio chassis, in its various forms was all over my local area 20
>>years ago. Many people hacked them up (as they did with other radios).

>>Most totally defeated the AMC and cranked the mike gains wide open.
>>The radio still sounded fine (within the stock BPF limitations), if
>>the operator was judicious in the application of the mike gain. It's
>>just not THAT sensitive Frank.
>
>
>You are making a big fuss when the solution is so simple, Dave. But
>you can't bring yourself to tune the radio according to the spec in
>the authorized manual because you can't accept even the -possibility-
>that I'm right. That's pathetic.

There's no point because the adjustment is not that critical as
demonstrated by the Cobra 139XLR and the external "user adjustable"
pot.

>>>>CB repair did pay the bills for me while my peers flipped burgers at
>>>>mickey d's.
>>
>>>At least -they- learned what it means to work for their money.
>>
>>Are you implying that using superior technical skills to make money
>>over manual labor is somehow less honorable?
>
>
>Manual labor does have its virtues.

So does Mother Theresa. But that isn't paying the bills.

>>>>>You're an engineer, right? What's so elaborate about a CB radio? You
>>>>>were doing power supplies when you were 15 -- haven't you learned
>>>>>anything since then?
>>>>
>>>>Why bother. I can get them for free. I have a bone pile full of them.
>>>
>>>
>>>Build it because you can. Because it's a challenge. Because it will be
>>>unique. Build it for the same reason that you build anything else that
>>>you could simply buy already built -- personal pride.
>>
>>Been there done that. I built an AM broadcast transmitter when I was a
>>kid,
>
>
>Who didn't?

Most of the other kids in my neighborhood. They preferred other "non
geek" activities like endless games of baseball/football and
basketball.

I, on the other hand, was rebuilding single cylinder engines and then
moving on to electronics.

>Radio shack sold the kits, and almost every electronics
>magazine published such articles on a regular basis.

Yep. Mine was a 2 tube unit. With a 100 foot wire antenna, I could
cover about 1/2 mile. My first and only experience as a pirate radio
operator, at the ripe age of 11.

>> I've built tube and solid state receivers.
>
>
>From a kit or from someone else's plans?

Other plans. I had to gather my own parts (most of which were
scavenged from other bonepile candidates). That was the nice thing
about point-point wiring of tube circuits. Just punch a few holes in a
piece of sheet metal, and you had an instant "chassis".

>And don't tell me you
>designed one from scratch because I wouldn't buy that for a minute,
>not with your weak understanding of electronic theory.

I never designed a full receiver from scratch (why bother when the
plans for others were already there). But I have designed things like
an op-amp high-pass filtered noise squelch circuit for use with a
president Jackson on FM.

>> I've designed computer
>>interfaces for radio control.

>So you tapped the serial port to run Morse.

Did I say that? No. So why jump to a (wrong) conclusion. BTW, I
despise morse code. No, actually I designed an interface that linked a
Commodore 64 (in 1984) to a Realistic TRC-459, and created an
automated scan/channel/mode/TX/RX control program.

>Whoopee. I had a contract
>a couple years ago where I designed a GPS navigation system for a
>remote-controlled aircraft that is being used for ground penetrating
>radar by a local mining company.

Ooohhh. high level design. Take a few established building blocks and
make them play together. I do that at work.

> Wanna hear what else I've done?

Collecting unemployment, it would seem. Which reminds me, is this yet
another day off? That takes brass when you first start a new job, to
take off so many days, so soon.

>> I built my own repeater controller and
>>homebrewed a DTMF decoder.
>
>
>You "homebrewed" a DTMF decoder? Don't you mean that you bought a chip

>and hooked it up to a power supply and a few externals?

More than a "few" externals. The DTMF "chip" decodes DTMF tones and outputs a non-latching 4 bit binary word. I had to incorporate a binary to 1 of 16 decoder, as well as flip-flops for state latch circuits, and a 555 timer for button time-out.

>>I don't do it much now as the demands of family life, and being a good
>>parent have taken much of what used to be "hobby time".
>
>
>That's why you spend so much time on the newsgroups, huh?

I challenge you to find any posts that I've made at times other than between 6:30 AM and 3:30 PM EST Monday through Friday. I'll bet you can count them on the fingers of one hand.

Besides, for someone who supposedly got a "new job", you seem to be putting in a good amount of time yourself.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2857. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:14:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qkn9119ktftni07hsa6mr01145oa62ta5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 196547

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:52:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
><Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>
><snip>
>>> You defend this behavior?
>>
>>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
>>you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>
>
>I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.

What angle are you trying to work now?

If a cop is staking out a posted 55 zone with either RADAR or VASCAR,
they must, by law give you 6 MPH minimum. So you won't get a ticket
for doing 56 in a 55 zone.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2858. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:16:38 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qnn91152jrphe2f2p74p2aah2gu08620mo@4ax.com>
Source record: 196548

On 17 Feb 2005 17:27:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> You defend this behavior?
>> >
>> >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>> >under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
>> >you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>>
>> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>
>Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.

I don't think I would be quite so bold as to make that statement. Some
states do have what they call a "zero tolerance" speed limit. But I do
wonder what the rate of success in court challenges are in those
states.

It's one thing to get the ticket. It's another to make it stick.

Most car speedometers are not accurate within +/- 1 MPH

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2859. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:21:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <uvn9111n4j6rmmnt2j50vu828lf5dl5dcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 196549

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 08:31:58 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 10:49:26 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <56e911dh6m4epvniqm5so0jqu01dmjoevq@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:06:46 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:56:45 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>><Xns96005B4F02ADE1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>
>>>>
>>>>Read it, no person may be convicted for radar or vascar violtation for 6
>>>>mph over posted speed limit. And if the posted speed limit is under 55 and
>>>>the recorded speed is less than 10 mph over the speed limit. no person can
>>>>be convicted using vascar/timing method.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> 4. No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use
>>>> of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed
>>>> recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed
>>>> limit. Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
>>>> through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area
>>>> where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>>>> speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
>>>> speed limit.
>>>
>>>
>>>Dave stated, "In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of
>>>'Speedometer' and speed measuring inaccuracies." To support his
>>>statement he cited a part of PA law that was consistent with his
>>>statement,
>>
>>At least you can admit that much.
>>
>>> but intentionally neglected to cite the part of PA law that
>>>fully disproved his statement; i.e, paragraph (1).
>>
>>How can that be? If one part of the law supports it (which you
>>agreed), and another refutes it, that would be a contradiction.
>>
>>I believe the word you need to use is "conditional".

>
>
>"Conditional"? No, I don't need to add conditions to a statement after
>the fact -- a practice you are using more frequently as more and more
>of your statements are disproven as stated originally. The terminology
>I used in my statement was correct. If you can't comprehend what those
>words mean then that's -your- problem.
>
>
>>Paragraph 1 only applies to cops following someone in a moving car
>>using a calibrated speedometer.
>
>
>Where does the law specifically identify any paragraph (1) device?

How many other "mechanical" speed devices, still in use, do you know
of?

>> Virtually none of the cops, and the
>>departments that I know do that.
>
>
>Cops in PA don't pace speeders?

Not as a rule. It takes too much trouble, and are easily spotted.
Those municipal license plates and antennas stick out like a sore
thumb even on unmarked cars.

>> Most use other means of conducting a
>>"speed trap", and in those cases, the rules I cited fully apply. Your
>>continuing to argue only makes you look stubborn and either unable or
>>unwilling to admit your mistake.
>>
>>
>>>And when confronted
>>>with this fact he attempted to exonerate himself by claiming he posted
>>>the link, as if that was enough to excuse his blatant distortions.
>>
>>There was no distortion. The applicable part was posted. The rest
>>could be had by looking at the link. Paragraph 1 is rarely applicable
>>in this state.
>
>
>......"rarely"? I don't recall reading the word "rarely" in your
>statement. Here, I'll post it again:
>
>"In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of 'Speedometer'
>and speed measuring inaccuracies."
>
>Do -you- see the word "rarely" in there anywhere? Or the word
>"mostly"? Or "in the great majority of cases"?

That is implied. Few things in life are 100% absolute.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2860. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:26:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <kdo911tm4pt3eeklkj2v0d67n5ndg7hbq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196550

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 10:52:33 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>NNTP-Posting-Date: ï¿½ï¿½ Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 7:48am (EST-1) Group:
>ï¿½ï¿½ rec.radio.cb Subject: ï¿½ï¿½ Re: Temporary leave From: ï¿½ï¿½
>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>User-Agent: ï¿½ï¿½ Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: ï¿½ï¿½ yes Date: ï¿½ï¿½
>Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 7:48am (EST-1) X-Trace: ï¿½ï¿½
>sv3-wz5pPXzVhpYTbbpIs+oW2pJThGg3qq02fRlHgfipLEDi8fdlDcF8Tyx98R6AXOFmdD4cPyTpnYcXcU1!183Ns
HcCvwJUFpmVnUL0+EEMKarTREnkYf8a9W4ARzxk3hNPcJWTOfxDcqRWitF8VxkK
>X-Complaints-To: ï¿½ï¿½ abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: ï¿½ï¿½
>dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: ï¿½ï¿½ Please be sure to forward
>a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: ï¿½ï¿½ Otherwise we will be
>unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: ï¿½ï¿½ 1.3.31
>>Dave is 100% correct, end of discussion
>
>
>Dave said you don't exist and even if you did, whatever you say is not
>credible nor to be taken serious by anyone that has even a mediocrity of
>intelligence. He also claimed since you do not attach your real identity
>to your post, you are a coward and paranoid. In fact, he just devoted an
>entire post to you in a struggled effort to convey his personal feelings
>regarding posters like yourself.
>Tell us more about how correct "Dave" is.
>
>

Interesting how your sun rises and sets over what I say.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2861. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:27:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <lgo9115vlaf8unil05ct2bppum4516pppp@4ax.com>
Source record: 196551

On 17 Feb 2005 17:22:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
>> news:11820-4214ABD6-877 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>> > By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.
>> > A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state
>> > is void of radar.
>>
>> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
>>
>Is that what you do for a living, George?

Careful Steveo. Twisty thinks he's me......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2862. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:28:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217132813.475$M3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196552

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 17 Feb 2005 17:27:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> >> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >> You defend this behavior?
> >> >
> >> >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
> >> >under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what
> >> >else you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
> >>
> >> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
> >> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
> >>
> >Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.
>
> I don't think I would be quite so bold as to make that statement.
>
-snip-
Ever know anyone that got a 1 mph speeding ticket?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2863. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 18:29:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217132915.019$rf@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196553

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 17 Feb 2005 17:22:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >wrote:
> >> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
> >> news:11820-4214ABD6-877 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
> >>
> >> > By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.
> >> > A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the
> >> > state is void of radar.
> >>
> >> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
> >>
> >Is that what you do for a living, George?
>
> Careful Steveo. Twisty thinks he's me......
>
George posts as you.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2864. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 19:10:41 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <R_5Rd.8095$Bx5.5990@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196554

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns960069C7FFD861234567890@216.196.97.136...
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:11821-4214AD53-
> 274@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> Now you are back-pedaling with "Pa is not REALLY a radar state". LMAO,
>> Again,,if it was a non-radar state, radar would not be implemented by
>> the authorities. In fact, you are the only one I have ever heard ue the
>> term "non-radar" state when the state does in fact, use radar. Can you
>> cite a single source where you took this phrase from? Perhaps a link or
>> provide for it? Of course you can't, Dave, it;s why you are lending
>> support to yourself.
>
> I took this right from a radar manufacturers meeting room, I was there.

Staring down the waveguides and pushing the buttons.

> Heard it first hand. If you are not on a state highway you will never
> recieve a speeding ticket from radar? Why because Penns is not really a
> radar enforcement state, it is not thier primary means of speed
> enforcement. I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
> dave McCampbell

2865. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 19:13:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ron911holt58rrkrkh37919p0ll0cqu166@4ax.com>
Source record: 196555

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 13:09:20 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <q1i911l1kkojbev6f6t6slg22318qvaju0@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 06:40:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 08:29:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <0e49111551f0dkf258qlv03aadf3uf61i3@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:12 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>This thread has become way too long. I've trimmed it back to those
>>>parts that I feel like commenting on..........
>>
>>
>>Another cop out.
>
>If you say so. I just don't have any desire to make the Guiness book
>of records for the longest single usenet thread. It's no longer
>productive.

I doubt it would set any records, but I will be happy to chop my last
large reply down to a size more suitable for slower readers.

>>>>Caps don't "shift tolerance". Either they are within the rated
>>>>tolerance or not. If they are outside the tolerance then they are bad,
>>>>but I have yet to see a mylar that was outside it's rated tolerance
>>>>(unless it was wide open). Since you have run into the problem several
>>>>times and you keep your bad parts, how about sending me one? I would
>>>>like to see it for myself, and would also like to know what brand so I
>>>>can avoid them in the future. I'll even pay shipping.
>>>
>>>My bad. I should have said "shifted value". You are right about
>>>tolerance.
>>>
>>>But no, I don't keep my bad parts as a rule, unless the failure mode
>>>is very unusual. If I did, I'd be swimming in them.
>>
>>
>>Uh-huh.
>>
>>
>>>>>>> The next time
>>>>>>>you see an amp like that with the same problem, will you not then

>>>>>>>check that diode first? That's what I mean about experience and
>>>>>>>statistical probability.
>>>
>>>>>>I check the diode, but not first, and I don't assume that the diode is
>>>>>>the problem.
>>>>>
>>>>>But I'll bet (unless you really out there) that before you power up
>>>>>that amp after replacing the chain of audio transistors, that you'll
>>>>>check that diode just to be safe.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Actually, I replace it regardless of whether it's good or not. Like I
>>>>said before, the problem is not the diode but the design. If I can
>>>>prevent a failure then I will -- it's no different than a car company
>>>>making a recall because of a faulty component or design flaw.
>>>
>>>Hmm, sounds like a contradiction Frank. You don't assume the diode is
>>>the problem, but then you say you replace it anyway?
>>>
>>>Don't worry, I understand what you are really saying, but it's an
>>>illustration of how people who don't comprehend well could spin that
>>>into an accusation of contradiction. In this case, you LEARNED from
>>>experience, and use that learned knowledge to prevent future problems.
>>>That's exactly what I do. But you call that "guessing" or "gambling".
>>
>>
>>There is no contradiction in my statements at all. There is a fault in
>>the design. My job is to fix the equipment, even if that includes any
>>design flaws it may have.
>
>No need to explain. I hear you. But what you have outlined is exactly
>the same process that I use. I take past experience to augment
>analytical troubleshooting techniques.

Past experience would dictate that I shouldn't even bother with the
diode because I have only seen the problem once in all the amps I have
ever worked on, Peavey or otherwise. So, according to my experience,
the diode is a non-issue. But the design flaw remains, leaving open
the possibility of future failure (which, in a Peavey, is usually
quite expensive). It's just another fault in need of repair.

>>>>>>Sometimes. Forensics is about presentation. For a brief time I was a
>>>>>>member of the Forensics club in college. The various subjects included
>>>>>>debate -as well as- many other aspects of speech. The word "forensics"
>>>>>>is probably one of the most commonly misdefined words in the English
>>>>>>language, probably because people watch too much TV (and yes, I used
>>>>>>to watch Quincy).
>>>>>
>>>>>Sigh (again)
>>>>>
>>>>>foï¿½reniï¿½sics (P) Pronunciation Key (f-rnsks, -zks)

>>>>>n. (used with a sing. verb)
>>>>>The art or study of formal debate; argumentation.
>>>>>The use of science and technology to investigate and establish facts
>>>>>in criminal or civil courts of law.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Happy now?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No. I think you made up that last line. What's your source?
>>>
>>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=forensics
>>
>>
>>http://www.nflonline.org/uploads/Rostrum/ex0203mccrady.pdf
>
>Thank you for the link. I've saved it for closer examination when I
>have more time.
>
>But it would seem that my past usage of the word is consistent with
>both my source and yours

I highly recommend you extend your examination to the website that is
hosting the document.

>>>>>>Then why not just make the filament thicker in a household light bulb?
>>>>>>The resistance would be lower but that can be compensated for by
>>>>>>increasing the length, right?
>>>>>
>>>>>Cost. Plain and simple. Planned obsolescence secondarily. If they
>>>>>designed a lightbulb which last forever (or a really long time) the
>>>>>demand for lightbulbs would fall off.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You -finally- figured it out! Congratulations!
>>>
>>>Finally? I've been involved in enough product design, that I fully
>>>understand the idea of planned obsolescence. If you wanted that answer
>>>before, all you had to do was ask, instead of tap dancing around
>>>filament thickness in 12V vs. 120 volt bulbs.
>>
>>
>>I -did- ask.
>
>And I answered.

You always answer unless you are ducking an issue. But just because
you provide an answer doesn't mean you provided the -correct- answer.
You eventually provided the correct answer to the light bulb question,
but only after a lengthy dialog filled with some of your half-baked
technobabble.

>>>>>My Midland 13-885 has a similar two step process, as does any radio
>>>>>with an internal mike gain pot.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>What are the specs, and from what source?
>>>
>>>SAMS CB-42.
>>>
>>>Now go ahead and make the predictable response of trashing my source.
>>
>>
>>You didn't cite the specs.
>
>Sorry. It's the same as my specs for the TRC 457/458, 5mV for 50%
>modulation.

Odd that they should be the same since they use different mics. So how
does the Sams spec compare to the factory spec?

>>>>>Regardless the difference between .5 and 5 mV would not make the radio
>>>>>sound mushy, considering that the AMC is set with 200mV.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Just for kicks I set the pot for 50% mod at 5mV. It sounded "mushy and
>>>>compressed". I set it back to 0.5mV and it sounds fine once again. You
>>>>are wrong, Dave. You hacked up radios because you are arrogant and
>>>>ignorant. Deal with it.
>>>
>>>You are lying through your teeth (assuming you have them) Frank.
>>>
>>>You are trying to posit that by adjusting VR6 for 50% modulation at
>>>5mV, instead of .5mV (a reduction in gain) the audio became "mushy and
>>>compressed"?
>>
>>
>>Actually it's called 'downward expansion', as I stated previously. But
>>the effect on the audio is just as you described.
>
>You need to define this "downward expansion" term, as I've never heard
>it. Perhaps it's your version of caps drying out.

You can look it up on google. Regardless, it's a term used to describe
what happens to compression -below- the average audio level. IOW, when
you push the average audio level (i.e, mic gain) into compression, the
audio above that level is compressed while the audio below that level
is 'expanded'. When intentional, downward expansion is used for gating
and noise reduction. But when -not- intentional the result is audio
with a very unique quality that could be characterized as "mushy and
compressed" by someone unfamiliar with the effect.

>>>You might be able to make a believable case that by increasing gain
>>>that you might make the audio compressed (driving the AMC too hard

>>>might do that), but reducing the gain? C'mon Frank, you might be able
>>>to sell that swampland story to a truck stop "tech", but it won't fly
>>>with me.
>>
>>
>>Of course not, because you can't test the difference for yourself,
>>just like you keep stalling with putting caps on your battery charger.
>>You can't because you are afraid that you might be forced to admit
>>that you are wrong.
>
>No chance of that happening. And BTW, the caps are on the battery
>charger. I'm only drawing about 2 or 3 amps though. I'll have to find
>a better load.

What is the AC across the caps?

>>>But you see, that's a bunch of hooey, because VR6 is nothing more than
>>>a mike gain control. In fact, on the Cobra 139XLR radio (the
>>>identical chassis) that I now have, the VR6 pot becomes the front
>>>panel mounted "dynamike" control. The operator can adjust that pot at
>>>any point. If the setting was as critical as you are trying to
>>>portray, do you think they would allow that to be a user control?
>>
>>
>>So you're telling me that adjusting the mic gain control on any radio
>>that has it doesn't have -any- effect on the quality of the audio?
>
>Other than amplitude, no. It should not affect either the tone or
>"compression". Regardless, I tested mine (without even having to
>remove the cover (Nice thing about external mike gain). I varied the
>control and other than the amplitude level, nothing else changed.

It would depend on where compression begins. For CVA circuits it
usually begins quite low. For limiters it will be high. Regardless,
there was a noticable difference in my TRC-449 (which still has the LP
filter intact).

>>>That radio chassis, in its various forms was all over my local area 20
>>>years ago. Many people hacked them up (as they did with other radios).
>>>Most totally defeated the AMC and cranked the mike gains wide open.
>>>The radio still sounded fine (within the stock BPF limitations), if
>>>the operator was judicious in the application of the mike gain. It's
>>>just not THAT sensitive Frank.
>>
>>
>>You are making a big fuss when the solution is so simple, Dave. But
>>you can't bring yourself to tune the radio according to the spec in
>>the authorized manual because you can't accept even the -possibility-
>>that I'm right. That's pathetic.
>
>There's no point because the adjustment is not that critical as

>demonstrated by the Cobra 139XLR and the external "user adjustable"
>pot.

Did you bypass the LP filter in the Cobra as you did in the TRC-449?

>>>>>CB repair did pay the bills for me while my peers flipped burgers at
>>>>>mickey d's.
>>>
>>>>At least -they- learned what it means to work for their money.
>>>
>>>Are you implying that using superior technical skills to make money
>>>over manual labor is somehow less honorable?
>>
>>
>>Manual labor does have its virtues.
>
>So does Mother Theresa. But that isn't paying the bills.

She had all she needed. And from what I hear, there is a severe
shortage of landscapers in the Boston area. A story on the news
interviewed a business owner that was willing to pay $40k for a
ditch-digger. I'm sure that could pay a few bills -- assuming you
don't have to pay off the Beamer right away.

>>>>>>You're an engineer, right? What's so elaborate about a CB radio? You
>>>>>>were doing power supplies when you were 15 -- haven't you learned
>>>>>>anything since then?
>>>>>
>>>>>Why bother. I can get them for free. I have a bone pile full of them.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Build it because you can. Because it's a challenge. Because it will be
>>>>unique. Build it for the same reason that you build anything else that
>>>>you could simply buy already built -- personal pride.
>>>
>>>Been there done that. I built an AM broadcast transmitter when I was a
>>>kid,
>>
>>
>>Who didn't?
>
>Most of the other kids in my neighborhood. They preferred other "non
>geek" activities like endless games of baseball/football and
>basketball.

Oh, the humanity! Those kids should be ashamed of themselves!

>I, on the other hand, was rebuilding single cylinder engines and then
>moving on to electronics.
>
>
>>Radio shack sold the kits, and almost every electronics

>>magazine published such articles on a regular basis.
>
>Yep. Mine was a 2 tube unit. With a 100 foot wire antenna, I could
>cover about 1/2 mile. My first and only experience as a pirate radio
>operator, at the ripe age of 11.
>
>
>>> I've built tube and solid state receivers.
>>
>>
>>From a kit or from someone else's plans?
>
>Other plans. I had to gather my own parts (most of which were
>scavenged from other bonepile candidates). That was the nice thing
>about point-point wiring of tube circuits. Just punch a few holes in a
>piece of sheet metal, and you had an instant "chassis".
>
>
>>And don't tell me you
>>designed one from scratch because I wouldn't buy that for a minute,
>>not with your weak understanding of electronic theory.
>
>I never designed a full receiver from scratch (why bother when the
>plans for others were already there).

Why bother? Then why bother to build your own receiver when you can
get a transistor radio at Scavenger City for a couple bits?

> But I have designed things like
>an op-amp high-pass filtered noise squelch circuit for use with a
>president Jackson on FM.
>
>
>>> I've designed computer
>>>interfaces for radio control.
>
>>So you tapped the serial port to run Morse.
>
>Did I say that? No. So why jump to a (wrong) conclusion. BTW, I
>despise morse code.

I should have guessed -- you do seem to have a passive-agressive
attitude towards anything that requires patience and discipline.

> No, actually I designed an interface that linked a
>Commodore 64 (in 1984) to a Realistic TRC-459, and created an
>automated scan/channel/mode/TX/RX control program.
>
>
>>Whoopee. I had a contract
>>a couple years ago where I designed a GPS navigation system for a

>>remote-controlled aircraft that is being used for ground penetrating
>>radar by a local mining company.
>
>Ooohhh. high level design. Take a few established building blocks and
>make them play together. I do that at work.

If it were only that easy.

>> Wanna hear what else I've done?
>
>Collecting unemployment, it would seem. Which reminds me, is this yet
>another day off?

Nope, gotta work tonight. Do you want my work schedule for the
weekend, too?

> That takes brass when you first start a new job, to
>take off so many days, so soon.

I don't pick the days or the hours. Kinda wish I could, tho.

>>> I built my own repeater controller and
>>>homebrewed a DTMF decoder.
>>
>>
>>You "homebrewed" a DTMF decoder? Don't you mean that you bought a chip
>>and hooked it up to a power supply and a few externals?
>
>More than a "few" externals. The DTMF "chip" decodes DTMF tones and
>outputs a non-latching 4 bit binary word. I had to incorporate a
>binary to 1 of 16 decoder, as well as flip-flops for state latch
>circuits, and a 555 timer for button time-out.

Which chip are you talking about?

>>>I don't do it much now as the demands of family life, and being a good
>>>parent have taken much of what used to be "hobby time".
>>
>>
>>That's why you spend so much time on the newsgroups, huh?
>
>I challenge you to find any posts that I've made at times other than
>between 6:30 AM and 3:30 PM EST Monday through Friday. I'll bet you
>can count them on the fingers of one hand.

Absense of evidence, Dave. When are you going to learn that
fundamental concept?

>Besides, for someone who supposedly got a "new job", you seem to be
>putting in a good amount of time yourself.

I would think that you would be more careful with your assumptions and
speculations lately -- but then again, perhaps not.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2866. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 19:17:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ibr91116k3rphfp8m16qejjjcf86o8c6oc@4ax.com>
Source record: 196556

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 13:14:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <qkn9119ktftni07hsa6mr01145oa62ta5f@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:52:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>><Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>
>><snip>
>>>> You defend this behavior?
>>>
>>>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>>>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
>>>you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>>
>>
>>I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>>you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>
>What angle are you trying to work now?
>
>If a cop is staking out a posted 55 zone with either RADAR or VASCAR,
>they must, by law give you 6 MPH minimum. So you won't get a ticket
>for doing 56 in a 55 zone.

More conditions, Dave? You didn't say anything about RADAR or VASCAR
in your original statement. Or are you just playing dumb again?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2867. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 19:35:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3fs911dni5ipch8p50d9ieeuf2ta26don1@4ax.com>
Source record: 196557

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 13:21:26 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <uvn9111n4j6rmmnt2j50vu828lf5dl5dcd@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 08:31:58 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 10:49:26 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <56e911dh6m4epvniqm5so0jqu01dmjoevq@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:06:46 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:56:45 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>>><Xns96005B4F02ADE1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>>
>>>>>Read it, no person may be convicted for radar or vascar violtation for 6
>>>>>mph over posted speed limit. And if the posted speed limit is under 55 and
>>>>>the recorded speed is less than 10 mph over the speed limit. no person can
>>>>>be convicted using vascar/timing method.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>> 4. No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use
>>>>>> of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and (3) unless the speed
>>>>>> recorded is six or more miles per hour in excess of the legal speed
>>>>>> limit. Furthermore, no person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
>>>>>> through the use of devices authorized by paragraph (3) in an area
>>>>>> where the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
>>>>>> speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of the legal
>>>>>> speed limit.
>>>>
>>>>Dave stated, "In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of
>>>>'Speedometer' and speed measuring inaccuracies." To support his
>>>>statement he cited a part of PA law that was consistent with his
>>>>statement,
>>>
>>>At least you can admit that much.
>>>
>>>> but intentionally neglected to cite the part of PA law that
>>>>fully disproved his statement; i.e, paragraph (1).
>>>
>>>How can that be? If one part of the law supports it (which you

>>>agreed), and another refutes it, that would be a contradiction.
>>>
>>>I believe the word you need to use is "conditional".
>>
>>
>>"Conditional"? No, I don't need to add conditions to a statement after
>>the fact -- a practice you are using more frequently as more and more
>>of your statements are disproven as stated originally. The terminology
>>I used in my statement was correct. If you can't comprehend what those
>>words mean then that's -your- problem.
>>
>>
>>>Paragraph 1 only applies to cops following someone in a moving car
>>>using a calibrated speedometer.
>>
>>
>>Where does the law specifically identify any paragraph (1) device?
>
>How many other "mechanical" speed devices, still in use, do you know
>of?

Well, there's a neat little mechanical device that was invented even
before the automobile that does a very good job at measuring speed.
It's called the 'camera' (no batteries required). When the shutter is
set for a specific length of exposure and the distance from the camera
is known, then the resulting blur can be measured to determine the
speed of an object. I would guess that cameras are used in other ways
as well. Regardless, you avoided the question: Where does the law
specifically identify any paragraph (1) device? And what was the name
of your tech school, Dave?

>>> Virtually none of the cops, and the
>>>departments that I know do that.
>>
>>
>>Cops in PA don't pace speeders?
>
>Not as a rule. It takes too much trouble, and are easily spotted.
>Those municipal license plates and antennas stick out like a sore
>thumb even on unmarked cars.

So if I were to contact, say, a hundred people in PA that have had
speeding tickets in the past year, almost none of them would say that
they were popped by a cop pacing them in their blind spot? And since
they don't use their speedometers to ticket speeders, the high-tech
cars with the video cameras have all the speedometer data recorded on
tape only for posterity?

>>> Most use other means of conducting a
>>>"speed trap", and in those cases, the rules I cited fully apply. Your
>>>continuing to argue only makes you look stubborn and either unable or

>>>unwilling to admit your mistake.
>>>
>>>
>>>>And when confronted
>>>>with this fact he attempted to exonerate himself by claiming he posted
>>>>the link, as if that was enough to excuse his blatant distortions.
>>>
>>>There was no distortion. The applicable part was posted. The rest
>>>could be had by looking at the link. Paragraph 1 is rarely applicable
>>>in this state.
>>
>>
>>....."rarely"? I don't recall reading the word "rarely" in your
>>statement. Here, I'll post it again:
>>
>>"In Pa. they are required to give 5 MPH to take care of 'Speedometer'
>>and speed measuring inaccuracies."
>>
>>Do -you- see the word "rarely" in there anywhere? Or the word
>>"mostly"? Or "in the great majority of cases"?
>
>That is implied. Few things in life are 100% absolute.

Gee, another excuse. What a suprise.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2868. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 19:48:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217144832.968$Qw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196558

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
> news:11822-4214B65C-63 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>
> >>helicopter.
> >
> >
> > Called a bear-in-the-air. Of course, if you left the cave of despair
> > once every few years, you would be clued in.
>
> Yeah, bear in the Air went out with the smokies in 1976 after the
> realease of Convoy. so did traffic enforcement with helicopters.
>
Crash the gate doin' 98.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2869. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 19:51:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217145134.888$IQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196559

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050217122250.435$px@newsreader.com:
>
> >> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
>
> > Is that what you do for a living, George?
>
> I am in a related field to the subject in question.
>
It seems like you're up to date on such things.
>
> Thats why it is funny
> as a fart in a space suit to watch twisty try to tell me what i mean and
> what is reality all this from 1800 miles away.
>
In this case it's common sense. No gives a 1 mph speeding ticket, not
even in a school zone.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2870. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 19:54:15 UTC
From: Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
Message-ID: <n1t9111uj2lft9b091iln4ivj9qh2dne2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 196561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:57:19 -0800, Justï¿½n Kï¿½se
<chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:

>In Message-ID:<90d811pf8i4j0kk4govssor57bsb1qhq77@4ax.com> posted on
>Thu, 17 Feb 2005 01:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy wrote:
>
>>But having a ham license gives freebanders access to equipment that
>>normally would not be available to unlicensed individuals. Some
>>retailers will not sell gear to unlicensed persons, nor will some
>>hamfests award prizes to those who hold no license, offering an
>>equivalent cash value instead.
>
>Where does that idyllic situation exist?
>Many "Ham" shops will sell anything to anyone, if cash is flashed,
>regardless of whether they hold an appropriate license for its use.

AES in Wickliffe, OH did this for a while in the late 1980s, but they
may have discontinued the practice.
There was also a hamfest in Pittsburgh that had a license requirement
to claim any radio prizes. If you didn't have a license, you got cash.

2871. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:05:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <lot911dvnmjsmml06dv4lifg99718nb5un@4ax.com>
Source record: 196560

On 17 Feb 2005 19:51:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050217145134.888$IQ@newsreader.com>:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> news:20050217122250.435$px@newsreader.com:
>>
>> >> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
>>
>> > Is that what you do for a living, George?
>>
>> I am in a related field to the subject in question.
>>
>It seems like you're up to date on such things.
>>
>> Thats why it is funny
>> as a fart in a space suit to watch twisty try to tell me what i mean and
>> what is reality all this from 1800 miles away.
>>
>In this case it's common sense. No gives a 1 mph speeding ticket, not
>even in a school zone.

They do some smaller speed-traps here in WA. I can't remember if it
was Bellingham or Chelan, but one of those two towns has speed boards
in the school zones, and you better be driving -under- the speed limit
or they'll pull you over.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2872. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:13:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217151318.267$FL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com> wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:57:19 -0800, Just&#65533;n K&#65533;se
> <chupacabra@operamail.com> wrote:
>
> >In Message-ID:<90d811pf8i4j0kk4govssor57bsb1qhq77@4ax.com> posted on
> >Thu, 17 Feb 2005 01:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy wrote:
> >
> >>But having a ham license gives freebanders access to equipment that
> >>normally would not be available to unlicensed individuals. Some
> >>retailers will not sell gear to unlicensed persons, nor will some
> >>hamfests award prizes to those who hold no license, offering an
> >>equivalent cash value instead.
> >
> >Where does that idyllic situation exist?
> >Many "Ham" shops will sell anything to anyone, if cash is flashed,
> >regardless of whether they hold an appropriate license for its use.
>
> AES in Wickliffe, OH did this for a while in the late 1980s, but they
> may have discontinued the practice.
> There was also a hamfest in Pittsburgh that had a license requirement
> to claim any radio prizes. If you didn't have a license, you got cash.
>
They'll take a check or credit card too. It's not at all difficult
to buy whatever you desire, license or not.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2873. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:14:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217151411.105$0W@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196563

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> > Why are you posting as Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> George?
> >
>
> twisty said I am dave i figured I would prove him right.
>
You forge just for that?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2874. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:15:51 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0ku911h69b6qkbom0e1vo4eb8ba3jq1n3h@4ax.com>
Source record: 196564

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:06:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns96009A057CFBA1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>news:qnn91152jrphe2f2p74p2aah2gu08620mo@4ax.com:
>
>> It's one thing to get the ticket. It's another to make it stick.
>>
>> Most car speedometers are not accurate within +/- 1 MPH
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>Again good point Dave, also if a police officer is going to pace you he
>must have a calibrated speedometer, and it must be periodicaly calibrated.

That's covered in part D, as I noted in an earlier post. But the fact
remains -- contrary to Dave's statement, you CAN get a speeding ticket
in PA for going 56 in a 55 zone.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2875. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:18:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217151828.095$Nq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196565

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050217122738.297
> $Gx@newsreader.com:
>
> > Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> >> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >> You defend this behavior?
> >> >
> >> >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
> >> >under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what
> else
> >> >you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
> >>
> >> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
> >> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
> >>
> > Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.
>
> I agree Steve, in fact in ohio you are aLLOWED TO GO OVER THE SPEED LIMIT
>
Within reason. You can do 70 legally in places, the green stamp will give
you 75+.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2876. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:21:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217152148.589$LQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196566

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 17 Feb 2005 19:51:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050217145134.888$IQ@newsreader.com>:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050217122250.435$px@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> >> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
> >>
> >> > Is that what you do for a living, George?
> >>
> >> I am in a related field to the subject in question.
> >>
> >>It seems like you're up to date on such things.
> >>
> >> Thats why it is funny
> >> as a fart in a space suit to watch twisty try to tell me what i mean
> >> and what is reality all this from 1800 miles away.
> >>
> >>In this case it's common sense. No one gives a 1 mph speeding ticket,
> >>not even in a school zone.
>
> They do some smaller speed-traps here in WA. I can't remember if it
> was Bellingham or Chelan, but one of those two towns has speed boards
> in the school zones, and you better be driving -under- the speed limit
> or they'll pull you over.
>
Man, I'd take a 1 mph speeding ticket to court every time, wouldn't you?

I'd lay odds on me getting it tossed, and the cop being bitched out
by the magistrate too.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2877. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:27:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a0v9115bsgsqqb2fj28195orbl8tmu4hoi@4ax.com>
Source record: 196567

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:12:44 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns96009B0E0F4971234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>news:s3e911hb26vtk0sk8e6ace803iujpcqvv2@4ax.com:
>
>> What part of that law disagrees with what I've said? It supports what
>> I've said in the great majority of cases.
>>
>> Quit playing semantics games.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>He is playing the Twistedhed game, he had it done to him so many times now
>he wants to join him... I like where him and his new buddy try to tell you
>what you mean. When it is clear they are wrong. Frank, you never answered
>the question is twistedhed still know as David McCampbell?

I did answer the question, and quite a long time ago.

My turn:

I have not strayed from my position against illegal radio operation.
But for some reason you have recently decided to turn your agression
towards me, and it's usually when Dave is getting severly raked over
the coals for his arrogance, ignorance and/or downright stupidity. So
what is the motivation behind your recent attacks -- are you defending
Dave's ignorance? or do you think that I've secretly defected over to
the dark side?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2878. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:35:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2pv91198eou7vnn4bl1ops5e3s1snsgdm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 196568

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:26:36 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns96009D67F2A51234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:lot911dvnmjsmml06dv4lifg99718nb5un@4ax.com:
>
>> They do some smaller speed-traps here in WA. I can't remember if it
>> was Bellingham or Chelan, but one of those two towns has speed boards
>> in the school zones, and you better be driving -under- the speed limit
>> or they'll pull you over.
>
>
>Frank do you mean the trailer type of speed board? or Pole mounted??
>I can see a gung ho cop pulling you over in a school zone, they don't
>need radar to pull you over. A trained officer can estimate your speed,
>he get's what is called a tracking history where he visually sites you,
>for a period of time then confirms this with the radar. As stated though
>speeding tickets are almsot always pled down to non point related fine.
>Most cops can write you for many things that will stick, 1 or 2 mph over
>won't be one of them.

I don't know..... I've driven through a lot of small towns and some of
them are real anal about anyone breaking their local ordinances. Some
places even have signs under the speed limit marker saying "Strictly
Enforced", and around these parts that's usually the truth. Even
Spokane has "emphasis patrols" that they publicize on the news
-before- the fact just to give people warning, but they end up writing
gobs of tickets anyway. So as far as I'm concerned, if the speed limit
is 55 I'll stay below it so there won't be any doubt.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2879. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:40:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217154003.354$gq@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196569

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050217151411.105
> $0W@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> > Why are you posting as Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> George?
> >> >
> >>
> >> twisty said I am dave i figured I would prove him right.
> >>
> > You forge just for that?
>
> That wasnt a forge
>
It was you posting as him, whatever that means.
>
>i didnt use his email address.
>
You used his name and domain. Dave Hall<nojunk_@ptd.net

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2880. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:41:11 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Hj7Rd.5453$VI5.5103@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196570
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

>
> They'll take a check or credit card too. It's not at all difficult
> to buy whatever you desire, license or not.
>

AFAIK, there's no rule requiring a license to BUY
equipment. There are rules about using it though.....

2881. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:46:47 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Xo7Rd.5454$VI5.3301@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

>
> I doubt if the hams who freeband are dumb enough to use their calls
> there (but you never know). But I wouldn't be surprised to learn that
> they are "recognized" there by other people who turn them in.
>
Some might ID by mistake out of habit before they realize that
they are out of band.....

WOuld it be illegal to use my callsign as my "cb handle" on
CB using legal CB equipment? Or would I be using my ham
license out of band? Not that anyone would really care, but....

>
>
>>| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
>>| detrimental.
>>
>>Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
>>one down would be a lot harder.
>
>
> Yes, I agree. It just seems funny that the latest freeband bust seem
> to be hams running out of band over unlicensed operation.
>

I've heard second hand of hams, bored of regular ham bands,
doing "freeband" for a change of pace.

2882. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:47:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217154721.909$Zu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196572

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050217151828.095
> $Nq@newsreader.com:
>
> > Within reason. You can do 70 legally in places, the green stamp will
> > give you 75+.
>
> Yes but there is another word they use .. hmm let me think.. something
> about prudence ...
>
Or common sense. I never exceed 75 in anything really, except for
the Plymouth on an on ramp once in a blue moon.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2883. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:52:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217155252.866$6D@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196573

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> So as far as I'm concerned, if the speed limit
> is 55 I'll stay below it so there won't be any doubt.
>
If you go ahead and do 58 Frank, I won't tell anyone. :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2884. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:57:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217155757.774$q2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196574
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >
> > They'll take a check or credit card too. It's not at all difficult
> > to buy whatever you desire, license or not.
> >
>
> AFAIK, there's no rule requiring a license to BUY
> equipment. There are rules about using it though.....
>
Yes sir, as it should be.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2885. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 20:59:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217155947.265$FQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
-snip-
>> WOuld it be illegal to use my callsign as my "cb handle" on
> CB using legal CB equipment? pace.
>
Nope.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2886. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 21:03:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217160307.314$sb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196576

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050217154003.354
> $gq@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050217151411.105
> >> $0W@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> > Why are you posting as Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> George?
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> twisty said I am dave i figured I would prove him right.
> >> >>
> >> > You forge just for that?
> >>
> >> That wasnt a forge
> >>
> > It was you posting as him, whatever that means.
> >>
> >>i didnt use his email address.
> >>
> > You used his name and domain. Dave Hall<nojunk_@ptd.net
>
> Big deal steve how many times did you guys think you used my real name???
>
As much forging that goes on in this group, it is a big deal.
>
> If Dave has or had a problem with it I think he would have spoken up, oh
> thats right I am Dave.
>
You post as him. I get forged a LOT here.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2887. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 21:07:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217160756.174$wh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196577

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050217155252.866
> $6D@newsreader.com:
>
> > Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> So as far as I'm concerned, if the speed limit
> >> is 55 I'll stay below it so there won't be any doubt.
> >>
> > If you go ahead and do 58 Frank, I won't tell anyone. :)
>
> Posted by: Patrick on June 5, 2004 03:09 PM
> C.A.
>
> Would you agree that you are breaking the law if you exceed 70 mph on the
> interstate?
>
Who dat?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2888. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 21:11:16 UTC
From: Pete KE9OA <p.gianako@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UL7Rd.49437$Th1.47943@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 196578

I picked up an 8558 a couple of years ago.....nice unit. I did hear
mention of an RF voltmeter.....this is handy if you are aligning the
PLL or the low level exciter stages, but a 'scope does fine.

Pete

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:e2q611pq6ladu904m8p57efu9qgi53vf6h@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:33:04 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>>On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 08:08:36 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 15 Feb 2005 13:36:32 -0600, "Chad Wahls" <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
>>>wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>> Did you see any bottom mounted caps connected to the final
>>>>> transistor? If not then you might have to mount a piece of grounded
>>>>> shield plate over the final or experiment with bypass caps until you
>>>>> kill the oscillation. But try setting the bias first. If I remember
>>>>> right, the bias for the final should be set at somewhere in the 30 -
>>>>> 40 mA range. I'll check the manual and give you the exact value.
>>>>>
>>>>> Dave
>>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>>
>>>>I kinda figured oscillation also. Bad bias can cause it. Make sure
>>>>that's
>>>>correct. See if any one had hinkeyed around in there, Check serial
>>>>numbers, did these not use 2 different finals at different times? When
>>>>changing finals did they change drive components? Unfortunately I do
>>>>not
>>>>have a schematic here to look around. Did anyone do any "coil
>>>>spreading"?
>>>>
>>>
>>>I checked the factory service manual last night. They recommend
>>>setting both the driver and final bias to 50 mA. That seems a bit
>>>high, as the driver stages in many other radios are set around 40 mA.
>>>
>>>My 2510 is set to factory specs and I've never added any "mods" to it,
>>>and it doesn't oscillate.
>>

>>
>>Dave,
>>
>>I do not have a RF VTVM or AF VTVM for the slignment. I do have a Tek 2235
>>scope
>>and freq counter. I am ordering a DMM. My friend told me instead of the
>>VTVM,
>>use a DMM with a scope probe. That should work for critical voltages. If
>>not
>>critical, I can use a scope. Does that sound right?
>>
>
> A DMM is not necessarily more accurate than a scope, it's just more
> precise. If you need to make a voltage reading that goes out 2 decimal
> places, the DMM can indicate that (even if it might be beyond its
> calibrated accuracy in some cases).
>
> A scope is nice. The TEK 2235 works well. I have one of those and it's
> great for doing two tone and modulation tests. You can actually "peak"
> a radio with the scope by simply tuning for tallest amplitude of the
> waveform. Remember when looking at a scope that it measures in
> voltage. Twice the voltage equates to about 4 times the power in
> watts. So when you set for 100% modulation, I usually set the carrier
> wave for two divisions in amplitude, and then 100% modulation will
> increase that to 4 divisions.
>
> A scope is also high impedance so it should not affect circuits all
> that much. A scope is basically a voltmeter that displays in the time
> domain, so anything you can measure with a DMM can be measured with a
> scope.
>
> I also prefer having a spectrum analyzer (I have an HP 8558). It is
> real nice for checking spurious emissions and oscillations. Many times
> aligning a radio by meter alone will not give the cleanest output. I
> usually align with the analyzer for cleanest output.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

2889. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 22:39:56 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <%29Rd.102284$mt.66306@fed1read03>
Source record: 196579

Dave Hall wrote:
>
> Oh oh. Watch out Eric, or he'll think you are another of my many "sock
> puppets" (chuckle)
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
Dave,
I am not entire sure the word "think" can be applied to him.

"is it me, or did I just hear the word *THINK* escape your lips?!?!?" =
cassini (the bad guy in "the princess Bride").
--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

2890. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 23:07:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <7l8a11pk6tqmsapv28d71ks2m9o40scsrq@4ax.com>
Source record: 196580

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:37:30 -0500, Me <me@nym.alias.net> wrote:

>>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I connect it
>>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on SSB. However,
>>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I modulate. This is
>>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an impedance
>>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes away, whether
>>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on my home
>>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
>>
>>Any suggestions?
>>
>>
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
>Hi Vinne,
> Over the years, I have seen this problem a number of
>times, and I found one of the wire wound coils in the back of the
>radio was overcoupled, and causing the nasty parasitic you speak of.
>You key the mike on SSB, and, after you unkey, there is a full scale
>carrier being transmitted...

Exactly.

>Resetting the bias didn't help, however,
>streching the coil, reducing the inductance always worked.
>Unfortunately, Its been a few years since I have worked on a HR-2510,
>but can tell you it is the coil is all the way in the back, near the
>middle, right by the heat sink, and as I remember, parallel to the
>rear panel, and has a ceramic cap in front of it, making it a little
>hard to strech the coil. After you take the top off, key the mike on
>ssb, and when the parasitic shows up, strech the coil until the RF
>power meter drops back down to zero. After you do this, the parasitic
>will go away forever. I have fixed at least 10 HR-2510s in this
>manner, and never had a problem again. One more point worth mentioning
>though. As I recall, I had at least one or two that the parasitic
>"went away" when you took off the covers.. You still strech the coil,
>and this will fix it, however, a little harder to identify the coil...
>I'm sorry I can't recall off the top of my head which coil it is
>exactly, but its not hard to find.. If I had a 2510 in front of me, I
>could look and tell you, but I don't... As there are just a few coils
>that you can strech, you can do it trial by error, and if you choose
>the wrong coil, just push the windings back. Anyway, best luck! es 73

>Tony..

I will take a look. Togerbird also emailed me, and said to put a mica insulator between the final and chassis. Since the transistor was not using the chassis as common, having it ground to the chassis causes this problem.

Here is his comment:

"You need to add an insulator between the final and the Heatsink.
Simply unscrew the final mounting screw, and you should be able to slide a mica insulator between the final and heatsink.

Make sure to use heatsink compound on both sides of the insulator.

Now for the reason: Uniden chose not to use an insulator. The mounting tab of the final is Power ground. (emitter)
The heatsink is Chassi Ground. Connecting the final emitter to chassi ground (at the mounting Screw) can sometimes cause an RF Ground loop."

Anyway, I will take a look at your solution too. Thanks.

Vinnie S.

2891. TRC 459

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-17 23:52:55 UTC
From: frigidcold <no@wery.com>
Message-ID: <67aRd.9750$dZ.593743@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 196581

Was there ever a fix for this flawed unit regarding the feedback loop problem?

2892. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 00:54:23 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196582

Steveo wrote:
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
>>
>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>>>
>>>NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>>>
>>>Wassup with dat?
>>>
>>>--
>>> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>>
>> Geo
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>
> I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.
>
He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre

2893. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 01:14:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217201428.448$Eu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196583

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >
> >>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
> >>
> >>>Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
> >>>
> >>>NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
> >>>
> >>>Wassup with dat?
> >>>
>
> >> Geo
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> >
> > I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.
> >
> He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre
>
Things that make you go hmmmm..

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2894. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 02:15:59 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <VgcRd.55$qm5.52@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196584

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga:
>
>
>>He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre
>
>
>
> Hey Jim, I guess you also suffer from selective reading, twisty has been
> calling me Dave, and accusing Dave of using sock Puppets. I wanted him to
> think Dave slipped up and posted as himself from the wrong account. I am
> not sure where the word bizarre fits into this or excuse, but being the
> dickhead you are I can surmise you meant there is no excuse for you being a
> bizarre assclown.
post 'em as i see 'em. if it wasn't you then why are you spouting?

2895. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 02:23:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217212347.943$7k@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196585

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga:
> > >
> > >
> > >>He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Hey Jim, I guess you also suffer from selective reading, twisty has
> > > been calling me Dave, and accusing Dave of using sock Puppets. I wanted
> > > him to think Dave slipped up and posted as himself from the wrong
> > > account. I am not sure where the word bizarre fits into this or excuse,
> > > but being the dickhead you are I can surmise you meant there is no
> > > excuse for you being a bizarre assclown.
>
> post 'em as i see 'em. if it wasn't you then why are you spouting?
>
He can't deny it was him..someone slipped on the wrong sock.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2896. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 02:25:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217212535.552$bs@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196586

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga:
>
> > He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre
>
> Hey Jim, I guess you also suffer from selective reading, twisty has been
> calling me Dave, and accusing Dave of using sock Puppets. I wanted him to
> think Dave slipped up and posted as himself from the wrong account. I am
> not sure where the word bizarre fits into this or excuse, but being the
> dickhead you are I can surmise you meant there is no excuse for you being
> a bizarre assclown.
>
Yore too quick with the personal insults on purpose, George.

Tisk.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2897. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 02:28:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217212858.335$PP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196587

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> If I actually wanted to forge Dave i would use some other means other
> than my comcast account. I also emailed dave and told him what I was
> doing, so now tipsy is severely cornfused
>
So you're claiming you told Dave you were going to forge him, and
he agreed for you to do it via email, right?
>
> >> If Dave has or had a problem with it I think he would have spoken up,
> >> oh thats right I am Dave.
> >>
> > You post as him.
>
> I posted as him 1 time
>
One time where you got caught, fuckstick.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2898. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 02:31:25 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nvcRd.56$Ak5.37@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196588

Steveo wrote:

> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>
>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga:
>>
>>
>>>He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre
>>
>>Hey Jim, I guess you also suffer from selective reading, twisty has been
>>calling me Dave, and accusing Dave of using sock Puppets. I wanted him to
>>think Dave slipped up and posted as himself from the wrong account. I am
>>not sure where the word bizarre fits into this or excuse, but being the
>>dickhead you are I can surmise you meant there is no excuse for you being
>>a bizarre assclown.
>>
>
> Yore too quick with the personal insults on purpose, George.
>
> Tisk.
>
ya know steve you have a point. i only followed up on what he posted and
he turns around and runs the insult game trying to defend himself. i
dont have a problem with him but i can see where people on this ng think
he has issues.

2899. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 02:49:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217214959.655$8z@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196589

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga:
> >>
> >>
> >>>He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre
> >>
> >>Hey Jim, I guess you also suffer from selective reading, twisty has
> >>been calling me Dave, and accusing Dave of using sock Puppets. I wanted
> >>him to think Dave slipped up and posted as himself from the wrong
> >>account. I am not sure where the word bizarre fits into this or excuse,
> >>but being the dickhead you are I can surmise you meant there is no
> >>excuse for you being a bizarre assclown.
> >>
> >
> > Yore too quick with the personal insults on purpose, George.
> >
> > Tisk.
> >
> ya know steve you have a point. i only followed up on what he posted and
> he turns around and runs the insult game trying to defend himself. i
> dont have a problem with him but i can see where people on this ng think
> he has issues.
>
It's the MO with 2 or 3 ham radio jagoffs that enjoy harassing anyone
that will pay attention to them.

If we set a filter for google-groups posts they'd all but disappear.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2900. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 03:26:48 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108697208.345676.224090@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196590

Dave Hall wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 18:18:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
> >Which would explain your constant paranoia of sock puppets (of which
> >only YOU continue to invoke), and continued use of such puppets.
>
> My paranoia? That's really making me ROTFL. You have accused no less
> than 3 other posters of being me, yet you accuse ME of have a sock
> puppet paranoia?
>
> Projection has really become your defense of choice. Accuse others of
> sock paranoia when you blatantly display it. Accuse others of
> "communications deficits", when you can't understand plain English
> words. Accusing others of not having any support, when you have never
> gotten a single vote of confidence on any of your deluded points.
>
>
> You really are hung up on me. I'm glad you live where you do. I don't
> need a stalker.....
>
> So who am I today? Doug?, George?, "Iamnotgeorge"?,"U know who"?,
> Dwight Stewart?
>
> Where's that LEO group?

You are Frank.

2901. Re: ATTN TRUCKERS: if you use a CB amplifier you are GAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 03:28:12 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108697292.961274.113480@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196591
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Steveo wrote:
> "Becky Carter Hickman-Jones" <bchj@bigscaryanimal.org> wrote:
> > This reminds me of a skit Margaret Cho does, impersonating her
mother,
> > Korean accent and all:
> >
> > Answering machine: *BEEP* Hello? Are you there? Pick up the phone!
Are
> > you gay? Only gay screen call!
> >
> > She's hilarious.
> >
> I like Frank R-i-z-z-o from the jerky boys. Killer prank phone calls.

You like cranking boys jerky, sissyclown.

2902. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 03:31:21 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108697481.510567.296760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196592

Steveo wrote:
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > Hey my German Short Hair Pointer won best of
> > show at the Westminster last night, Killer!
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> Congrats...you own the AKC. 8-P

Mopeydopey loves hams so much he goes to their houses and their
hamventions but is saddened when he finds they are heterosexual.

2903. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 03:33:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217223312.499$FT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196593

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > > Hey my German Short Hair Pointer won best of
> > > show at the Westminster last night, Killer!
> > >
> > > Landshark
> > >
> > Congrats...you own the AKC. 8-P
>
> Mopeydopey loves hams so much he goes to their houses and their
> hamventions but is saddened when he finds they are heterosexual.
>
Hi Dave. it's so nice to have you back where you belong. ($1 to somebody)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2904. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 03:36:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217223601.909$vH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196494

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> You are Frank.
>
You are sick, and busted.

and not payed up yet

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2905. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 03:39:23 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108697963.483130.111550@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196495

Steveo wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > You are Frank.
> >
> You are sick, and busted.
>
> and not payed up yet

You are going to kiss N8's shoes.

2906. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 03:41:48 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108698108.868284.290610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196496

Steveo wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > > > Hey my German Short Hair Pointer won best of
> > > > show at the Westminster last night, Killer!
> > > >
> > > > Landshark
> > > >
> > > Congrats...you own the AKC. 8-P
> > >
> > Mopeydopey loves hams so much he goes to their houses and their
> > hamventions but is saddened when he finds they are heterosexual.
> >
> Hi Dave. it's so nice to have you back where you belong. ($1 to
somebody)

LOL limprwrist Mopeydopey.

2907. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 03:54:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217225425.995$gW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196497

"No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > > > > Hey my German Short Hair Pointer won best of
> > > > > show at the Westminster last night, Killer!
> > > > >
> > > > > Landshark
> > > > >
> > > > Congrats...you own the AKC. 8-P
> > >
> > > Mopeydopey loves hams so much he goes to their houses and their
> > > hamventions but is saddened when he finds they are heterosexual.
> > >
> > Hi Dave. it's so nice to have you back where you belong. ($1 to
> somebody)
>
> LOL limprwrist Mopeydopey.
>
^^ see above^^

Buh-bye google-group posters.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2908. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:07:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <bdqa1117l0cb603nst9hhp6cvfe07o8br5@4ax.com>
Source record: 196498

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 21:31:25 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>ya know steve you have a point. i only followed up on what he posted and
>he turns around and runs the insult game trying to defend himself. i
>dont have a problem with him but i can see where people on this ng think
>he has issues.

The kill file has done wonders for me. I don't even see him. He gets off on reponses back to him. I am just surpised many people here haven't figured it out.

Vinnie S.

2909. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:24:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <W5eRd.1012$DC6.593@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196499

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217122440.869$aa@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:11821-4214AD53-
>> 274@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>>
>> > Now you are back-pedaling with "Pa is not REALLY a radar state". LMAO,
>> > Again,,if it was a non-radar state, radar would not be implemented by
>> > the authorities. In fact, you are the only one I have ever heard ue the
>> > term "non-radar" state when the state does in fact, use radar. Can you
>> > cite a single source where you took this phrase from? Perhaps a link or
>> > provide for it? Of course you can't, Dave, it;s why you are lending
>> > support to yourself.
>>
>> I took this right from a radar manufacturers meeting room, I was there.
>> Heard it first hand. If you are not on a state highway you will never
>> recieve a speeding ticket from radar? Why because Penns is not really a
>> radar enforcement state, it is not thier primary means of speed
>> enforcement. I am speaking of the state in general now go fuck yourself
>> dave McCampbell
>>
> Is that why bird dogs are illegal in PA, the state owns that revenue?

LOL!!!

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

2910. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:24:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <W5eRd.1016$DC6.738@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196500

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
>>>
>>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>>>>
>>>>NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>>>>
>>>>Wassup with dat?
>>>>
>>>>--
>>>> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>>>
>>> Geo
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>
>> I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.
>>
> He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre

Oh, he's not worth it Jim, just like Doug, not
worth the effort.

Landshark

--
Most true happiness comes
from one's inner life, from the
disposition of the mind and soul.
Admittedly, a good inner life is
hard to achieve, especially in
these trying times. It takes
reflection and contemplation
and self-discipline.

2911. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:24:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <W5eRd.1011$DC6.350@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196502

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217152148.589$LQ@newsreader.com...
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>> On 17 Feb 2005 19:51:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> <20050217145134.888$IQ@newsreader.com>:
>>
>> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> >wrote:
>> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>> >> news:20050217122250.435$px@newsreader.com:
>> >>
>> >> >> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
>> >>
>> >> > Is that what you do for a living, George?
>> >>
>> >> I am in a related field to the subject in question.
>> >>
>> >It seems like you're up to date on such things.
>> >>
>> >> Thats why it is funny
>> >> as a fart in a space suit to watch twisty try to tell me what i mean
>> >> and what is reality all this from 1800 miles away.
>> >>
>> >In this case it's common sense. No one gives a 1 mph speeding ticket,
>> >not even in a school zone.
>>
>> They do some smaller speed-traps here in WA. I can't remember if it
>> was Bellingham or Chelan, but one of those two towns has speed boards
>> in the school zones, and you better be driving -under- the speed limit
>> or they'll pull you over.
>>
> Man, I'd take a 1 mph speeding ticket to court every time, wouldn't you?
>
> I'd lay odds on me getting it tossed, and the cop being bitched out
> by the magistrate too.

Oh, it almost would be tossed, but how much time
did you spend? How much pay did you lose?
It all adds up, that's the reason an A-hole cop
would write you a ticket like that.

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

2912. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:24:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <W5eRd.1015$DC6.306@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196503

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217121605.731$02@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
>> > Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>> >
>> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>> >
>> > Wassup with dat?
>> >
>> > --
>> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>>
>> Geo
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.

Don't know dude, stop scratching my head
along time ago about him.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

2913. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:24:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <W5eRd.1014$DC6.475@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196504

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217132813.475$M3@newsreader.com...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 17 Feb 2005 17:27:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> >> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>> >>
>> >> <snip>
>> >> >> You defend this behavior?
>> >> >
>> >> >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>> >> >under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what
>> >> >else you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>> >>
>> >> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>> >> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>> >>
>> >Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.
>>
>> I don't think I would be quite so bold as to make that statement.
>>
> -snip-
> Ever know anyone that got a 1 mph speeding ticket?

Yup. My dad in 1971 in his Cadillac El Dorado going
over the Donner Summit, 66 in a 65 zone, by CHP.
My mom going over the San Mateo Bridge 56 in a
55mph zone, CHP.
My mom was furious, she had never gotten a ticket
before or since then, she's strict law abiding person
while driving. She went to court and lost, the judge
had said if she showed up with a certificate showing
her speedo was off and it was repaired, she would have
been found not guilty, but she didn't know that crap.

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2914. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:24:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <W5eRd.1013$DC6.579@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196505

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message do you think that I've secretly defected over to
> the dark side?

Use the Force Luke!!!!!

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

2915. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:24:27 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <W5eRd.1017$DC6.508@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196501

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217123250.667$LY@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:Mg2Rd.861$OU1.713
>> @newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>>
>> >
>> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
>> >> Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >>> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>> >>
>> >> NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>> >>
>> >> Wassup with dat?
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>> >
>> > Geo
>> >
>> > Landshark
>>
>> ohh you are so special fagshark no one knew that until you said so.. You
>> flaming fag boi
>>
> Why are you posting as Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> George?

Pretty cool, huh Mopar. I post Geo and
he still holds me near & dear to his heart :P

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

2916. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:24:28 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <06eRd.1018$DC6.445@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196506

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:lcf9111i10ur08867pej7u43ctc009ghln@4ax.com...
> wrote:
>
> Ok, do you feel that the majority of freebanders are hams, or do you
> feel that they are a majority of unlicensed people. Or do you feel
> that there is an even mix?

Probably closer to even with a lean towards unlicensed.

>
>>
>>> There are more freebanders than there are US hams (at least there
>>> used to be)
>>
>>Really, what survey said that?
>
> S9 magazine ran such a survey in the early 80's. It was based on
> actual licenses processed (Ham vs. CB), and also took into
> consideration that a certain (admittedly hard to quantify accurately)
> percentage of CB'ers did not have a license.

A 25 year old survey? Don't you think things might
have changed just a little bit since then? Just a little?
I mean come-on, there's not even half the amount of
cb'ers on the air today compared to back then.

>
> It is true in the great majority of cases. But I need to ask by what
> authority do you have, that would allow you to comment on the
> practices in my state? I don't profess to know what the law is in
> California. Nor should you make blatant statements about what goes on
> in Pa.
>

I didn't, you said that cops don't write tickets for 1 mph over
the speed limit, you didn't clarify yourself until a number of posts later
after you were called on it.

>
>>> I live here, you would think I'd have a little more knowledge in this
>>> area, than someone who lives 2000 miles away.

Just because you live there doesn't make you anymore or less
knowledgeable on anything state related. You could be a State
university professor on agriculture, but does that make you

a person of knowledge on traffic laws?
If you claimed to be a police officer, justice of the peace,
lawyer it might carry more weight, but one can research the
internet just as easy as you and find all sorts of state laws
regard the subject of traffic enforcements.

>>
>>Nope, that method was dropped in the late sixties in Ca.
>>I have no desire to go looking back through laws from 40 years ago. I will
>>tell you that more & more departments and CHP
>>are going to Lidar, much more accurate.
>
> That may be true, by why is that not considered "entrapment"?

http://www.jesbeard.com/s12.htm

>>>>> All that shows is that states vary in their methods toward traffic
>>>>> enforcement.
>>
>>> It is correct. 3368 (c) (4) says so in the great majority of cases.
>>
>>This all started when you said that you won't get a ticket for
>>going 1 MPH over the speed limit. You then changed the subject to that the
>>Cops won't do it because their equipment & laws
>>governing that allow for a +/- grace.
>
> I didn't change anything. I started out by stating that cops had to
> give people a 5 MPH grace (in Pa.)

NO, you did change it. Originally you posted:

"That depends on the state. Some states (I believe Maryland is one) have
what they refer to as "Zero tolerance" 65 MPH. They can bust you for 66, or
so I've been told. I don't know how many cases have been fought over
speedometer or other potential speed inaccuracies and how well the "zero
tolerance" aspect has held up."

No where did you say Pa. in that post. Then a couple of post
later you posted with a statement that it was an unwritten rule
that in Pa the officers had to give you a grace speed. Then a
post or two later you stumbled upon the law that you are clinging too as
gospel, that's when you changed the parameters
to suite yourself.

> to take care of speedometer and
> speed measuring inaccuracies. I backed off a little and conceded to
> the possibility that this may only be an unspoken "rule" at the
> individual cop's discretion. I then located the law which backs up my
> original assertion. The subject NEVER changed.
>
>
>>

>> Dave wrote:
>>
>>That depends on the state. Some states (I believe Maryland is one) have
>>what
>>they refer to as "Zero tolerance" 65 MPH. They can bust you for 66, or so
>>I've been told. I don't know how many cases have been fought over
>>speedometer or other potential speed inaccuracies and how well the "zero
>>tolerance" aspect has held up.
>>
>>Most car speedometers are not so accurate that a 1 MPH difference is all
>>that hard to believe. Just putting one size larger tires on your car can
>>make that difference.
>>
>>People have fought a speeding ticket on this basis and have won. That's
>>part
>>of the reason why Pa. gives some leeway. Most cops don't want to have to
>>appear in traffic court when the chances are good the case could get
>>thrown
>>out. However, it's a lot harder to make a case for speedometer
>>inaccuracies
>>when you're 10 MPH or more over.
>>
>>Dave
>>
>>You are wrong, speed limits do not have a grace
>>"well your honor, here's the law stating that he has to give me
>>a grace of 6 or so MPH over the speed limit"
>>Judge "Really? OK, here's a fine of 200 to 250 for going
>>6 or so MPH over the speed limit"
>
> Pa. 3368 (c)(4) would seem to disagree with you.

Keep a hold of that piece of paper, because make
sure to take it with you when you go to court. Take that
day off, loose that pay just to fight a stupid ticket.

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

2917. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:39:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217233912.274$iN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196507

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050217123250.667$LY@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:Mg2Rd.861$OU1.713
> >> @newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
> >>
> >> >
> >> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
> >> >> Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> >>> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
> >> >>
> >> >> NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
> >> >>
> >> >> Wassup with dat?
> >> >>
> >> >> --
> >> >> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> >> >
> >> > Geo
> >> >
> >> > Landshark
> >>
> >> ohh you are so special fagshark no one knew that until you said so..
> >> You flaming fag boi
> >>
> > Why are you posting as Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> George?
>
> Pretty cool, huh Mopar. I post Geo and
> he still holds me near & dear to his heart :P
>
> Landshark
>
It's getting old now Shark. Block google posts and I'll send you
some hamfest pics. These should be good. :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2918. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:43:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217234318.980$Fw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196508

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050217152148.589$LQ@newsreader.com...
> > Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> On 17 Feb 2005 19:51:34 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> <20050217145134.888$IQ@newsreader.com>:
> >>
> >> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> >> news:20050217122250.435$px@newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> >> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
> >> >>
> >> >> > Is that what you do for a living, George?
> >> >>
> >> >> I am in a related field to the subject in question.
> >> >>
> >> >>It seems like you're up to date on such things.
> >> >>
> >> >> Thats why it is funny
> >> >> as a fart in a space suit to watch twisty try to tell me what i
> >> >> mean and what is reality all this from 1800 miles away.
> >> >>
> >> >>In this case it's common sense. No one gives a 1 mph speeding ticket,
> >> >>not even in a school zone.
> >>
> >> They do some smaller speed-traps here in WA. I can't remember if it
> >> was Bellingham or Chelan, but one of those two towns has speed boards
> >> in the school zones, and you better be driving -under- the speed limit
> >> or they'll pull you over.
> >>
> > Man, I'd take a 1 mph speeding ticket to court every time, wouldn't
> > you?
> >
> I'd lay odds on me getting it tossed, and the cop being bitched out
> > by the magistrate too.
>
> Oh, it almost would be tossed, but how much time
> did you spend? How much pay did you lose?
> It all adds up, that's the reason an A-hole cop
> would write you a ticket like that.
>

> Landshark
>
I'll ask you the same question, Shark. Ever know anyone that's got
a 1 mph speeding ticket?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2919. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:45:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217234530.832$fU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196509

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050217121605.731$02@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
> >> > Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> >> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
> >> >
> >> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
> >> >
> >> > Wassup with dat?
> >> >
> >> > --
> >> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> >>
> >> Geo
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.
>
> Don't know dude, stop scratching my head
> along time ago about him.
>
> Landshark
>
It's coming into better focus for me now, finally.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2920. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 04:48:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050217234815.250$Sa@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196510

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050217132813.475$M3@newsreader.com...
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> On 17 Feb 2005 17:27:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> >> >> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
> >> >>
> >> >> <snip>
> >> >> >> You defend this behavior?
> >> >> >
> >> >> >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar,
> >> >> >and under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care
> >> >> >what else you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
> >> >>
> >> >> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
> >> >> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
> >> >>
> >> >>Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.
> >>
> >> I don't think I would be quite so bold as to make that statement.
> >>
> > -snip-
> > Ever know anyone that got a 1 mph speeding ticket?
>
> Yup. My dad in 1971 in his Cadillac El Dorado going
> over the Donner Summit, 66 in a 65 zone, by CHP.
> My mom going over the San Mateo Bridge 56 in a
> 55mph zone, CHP.
> My mom was furious, she had never gotten a ticket
> before or since then, she's strict law abiding person
> while driving. She went to court and lost, the judge
> had said if she showed up with a certificate showing
> her speedo was off and it was repaired, she would have
> been found not guilty, but she didn't know that crap.
>
> Landshark
>
C'mon, that's really chickenshit. That bull would wear out his
welcome real quick with the locals.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2921. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 05:39:11 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3cfRd.1154$OU1.118@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196511

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217234530.832$fU@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050217121605.731$02@newsreader.com...
>> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
>> >> > Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> >> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>> >> >
>> >> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>> >> >
>> >> > Wassup with dat?
>> >> >
>> >> > --
>> >> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>> >>
>> >> Geo
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> > I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.
>>
>> Don't know dude, stop scratching my head
>> along time ago about him.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> It's coming into better focus for me now, finally.

Keep that focus dude, it's a bitch to get older......
Going in to get glasses on Saturday.
Watch out for that Brown truck on Sunday, Harvick
& Rudd are the ones I'll be watching.

Landshark

--

__
o /')
/' (,
__/') .' `;
o _.-~~~~' ``---..__ .' ;
_.--' b) LANDSHARK ``--..._____. .'



2922. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 05:39:11 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3cfRd.1152$OU1.297@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196512

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217234815.250$Sa@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050217132813.475$M3@newsreader.com...
>> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> On 17 Feb 2005 17:27:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> >> >> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> <snip>
>> >> >> >> You defend this behavior?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar,
>> >> >> >and under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care
>> >> >> >what else you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>> >> >> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> >Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I don't think I would be quite so bold as to make that statement.
>> >> >>
>> >> > -snip-
>> >> > Ever know anyone that got a 1 mph speeding ticket?
>> >>
>> >> Yup. My dad in 1971 in his Cadillac El Dorado going
>> >> over the Donner Summit, 66 in a 65 zone, by CHP.
>> >> My mom going over the San Mateo Bridge 56 in a
>> >> 55mph zone, CHP.
>> >> My mom was furious, she had never gotten a ticket
>> >> before or since then, she's strict law abiding person
>> >> while driving. She went to court and lost, the judge
>> >> had said if she showed up with a certificate showing
>> >> her speedo was off and it was repaired, she would have
>> >> been found not guilty, but she didn't know that crap.
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>

> C'mon, that's really chickenshit. That bull would wear out his
> welcome real quick with the locals.

Yup, my dad was pissed because it was only a 1mph over.
My mom's gig was complete BS, but you know as well as I,
sometimes it doesn't matter to the courts, it's revenue you
know.

Landshark

--
Some of them are living an illusion
Bounded by the darkness of their minds,
In their eyes it's nation against nation,
With racial pride, sad hearts they hide,
Thinking only of themselves,
They shun the light,
They think they're right
Living in the empty shells.

2923. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 05:39:11 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <3cfRd.1153$OU1.40@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196515

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050217233912.274$iN@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050217123250.667$LY@newsreader.com...
>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > wrote:
>> >> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:Mg2Rd.861$OU1.713
>> >> @newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>> >>
>> >> >
>> >> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
>> >> >> Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> >>> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Wassup with dat?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> --
>> >> >> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>> >> >
>> >> > Geo
>> >> >
>> >> > Landshark
>> >>
>> >> ohh you are so special fagshark no one knew that until you said so..
>> >> You flaming fag boi
>> >>
>> > Why are you posting as Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> George?
>>
>> Pretty cool, huh Mopar. I post Geo and
>> he still holds me near & dear to his heart :P
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> It's getting old now Shark. Block google posts and I'll send you
> some hamfest pics. These should be good. :)

LOL!!! Hey man, I've left him alone :)
All I posted was "Geo", he's the one that comes unglued.

OH well, I'll leave him alone, but you know he'll
ramble on. Send pictures from the Dream Cruise, better

looking women ;)

Landshark

2924. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 05:57:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218005757.310$B9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196513

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050217234530.832$fU@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050217121605.731$02@newsreader.com...
> >> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
> >> >> > Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Wassup with dat?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > --
> >> >> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
> >> >>
> >> >> Geo
> >> >>
> >> >> Landshark
> >> >>
> >> > I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.
> >>
> >> Don't know dude, stop scratching my head
> >> along time ago about him.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > It's coming into better focus for me now, finally.
>
> Keep that focus dude, it's a bitch to get older......
> Going in to get glasses on Saturday.
> Watch out for that Brown truck on Sunday, Harvick
> & Rudd are the ones I'll be watching.
>
> Landshark
>
Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.

That's not racin'.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2925. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 06:00:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218010030.089$SY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196514

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050217234815.250$Sa@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20050217132813.475$M3@newsreader.com...
> >> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> >> On 17 Feb 2005 17:27:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> >> >> >> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> <snip>
> >> >> >> >> You defend this behavior?
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and
> >> >> >> >vascar, and under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I
> >> >> >> >dont care what else you argue they are the facts, I know them
> >> >> >> >first hand.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim
> >> >> >> that you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I don't think I would be quite so bold as to make that statement.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > -snip-
> >> >> > Ever know anyone that got a 1 mph speeding ticket?
> >> >>
> >> >> Yup. My dad in 1971 in his Cadillac El Dorado going
> >> >> over the Donner Summit, 66 in a 65 zone, by CHP.
> >> >> My mom going over the San Mateo Bridge 56 in a
> >> >> 55mph zone, CHP.
> >> >> My mom was furious, she had never gotten a ticket
> >> >> before or since then, she's strict law abiding person
> >> >> while driving. She went to court and lost, the judge
> >> >> had said if she showed up with a certificate showing
> >> >> her speedo was off and it was repaired, she would have
> >> >> been found not guilty, but she didn't know that crap.
> >> >>

> >> Landshark
> >>
> > C'mon, that's really chickenshit. That bull would wear out his
> > welcome real quick with the locals.
>
> Yup, my dad was pissed because it was only a 1mph over.
> My mom's gig was complete BS, but you know as well as I,
> sometimes it doesn't matter to the courts, it's revenue you
> know.
>
> Landshark
>
Yea-but that was before fossil fuel. hehe

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2926. Re: FAO Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 06:06:19 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108706779.805405.56040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196516

Steveo wrote:
> "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "No I Am Not Him" <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > > > > > Hey my German Short Hair Pointer won best of
> > > > > > show at the Westminster last night, Killer!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Landshark
> > > > > >
> > > > > Congrats...you own the AKC. 8-P
> > > > >
> > > > Mopeydopey loves hams so much he goes to their houses and their
> > > > hamventions but is saddened when he finds they are
heterosexual.
> > > >
> > > Hi Dave. it's so nice to have you back where you belong. ($1 to
> > somebody)
> > >
> > LOL limprwrist Mopeydopey.
> > >
> ^^ see above^^

Nothing there except the truth about your gayness

> Buh-bye google-group posters.

You going somewhere fruitloop?

2927. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 06:20:31 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <POfRd.1040$DC6.630@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196517

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050218005757.310$B9@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050217234530.832$fU@newsreader.com...
>> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:20050217121605.731$02@newsreader.com...
>> >> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> >> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
>> >> >> > Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>> >> >> >> It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Wassup with dat?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > --
>> >> >> > http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Geo
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Landshark
>> >> >>
>> >> > I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.
>> >>
>> >> Don't know dude, stop scratching my head
>> >> along time ago about him.
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> > It's coming into better focus for me now, finally.
>>
>> Keep that focus dude, it's a bitch to get older......
>> Going in to get glasses on Saturday.
>> Watch out for that Brown truck on Sunday, Harvick
>> & Rudd are the ones I'll be watching.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
> racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>
```

> That's not racin'.

Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
peaks your attention.

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

2928. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 10:26:14 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>
Source record: 196518

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 18:07:13 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:37:30 -0500, Me <me@nym.alias.net> wrote:
>
>>>I took this radio and put it in my car (it's my only radio). When I connect it
>>>directly to the Wilson 1000 barefoot, I get the same problem on SSB. However,
>>>when I go thru the amp, it works perfectly, no power unless I modulate. This is
>>>also true if the amp is turned on or off. It appears to be an impedance
>>>mismatch. Since the amp input is a perfect match, the problem goes away, whether
>>>or not the amp power is on or off. Here is the kicker. The SWR on my home
>>>antenna is about 1.3-1.5 across the band.
>>>
>>>Any suggestions?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>
>>Hi Vinne,
>> Over the years, I have seen this problem a number of
>>times, and I found one of the wire wound coils in the back of the
>>radio was overcoupled, and causing the nasty parasitic you speak of.
>>You key the mike on SSB, and, after you unkey, there is a full scale
>>carrier being transmitted...
>
>Exactly.
>
>>Resetting the bias didn't help, however,
>>streching the coil, reducing the inductance always worked.
>>Unfortunately, Its been a few years since I have worked on a HR-2510,
>>but can tell you it is the coil is all the way in the back, near the
>>middle, right by the heat sink, and as I remember, parallel to the
>>rear panel, and has a ceramic cap in front of it, making it a little
>>hard to strech the coil. After you take the top off, key the mike on
>>ssb, and when the parasitic shows up, strech the coil until the RF
>>power meter drops back down to zero. After you do this, the parasitic
>>will go away forever. I have fixed at least 10 HR-2510s in this
>>manner, and never had a problem again. One more point worth mentioning
>>though. As I recall, I had at least one or two that the parasitic
>>"went away" when you took off the covers.. You still strech the coil,
>>and this will fix it, however, a little harder to identify the coil...
>>I'm sorry I can't recall off the top of my head which coil it is
>>exactly, but its not hard to find.. If I had a 2510 in front of me, I

>>could look and tell you, but I don't... As there are just a few coils
>>that you can strech, you can do it trial by error, and if you choose
>>the wrong coil, just push the windings back. Anyway, best luck! es 73
>>Tony..
>
>
>I will take a look. Togerbird also emailed me, and said to put a mica insulator
>between the final and chassis. Since the transistor was not using the chassis as
>common, having it ground to the chassis causes this problem.
>
>Here is his comment:
>
>"You need to add an insulator between the final and the Heatsink.
>Simply unscrew the final mounting screw, and you should be able to slide a mica
>insulator between the final and heatsink.
>
>
>Make sure to use heatsink compound on both sides of the insulator.
>
>
>Now for the reason: Uniden chose not to use an insulator. The mounting tab of
>the final is Power ground. (emitter)
>The heatsink is Chassi Ground. Connecting the final emitter to chassi ground (at
>the mounting Screw) can sometimes cause an RF Ground loop."
>
>Anyway, I will take a look at your solution too. Thanks.
>

Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !

Vinnie S.

2929. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 11:52:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3llb11pso5u3duhgousv4hsqog9p270fnb@4ax.com>
Source record: 196519

On 17 Feb 2005 18:28:13 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On 17 Feb 2005 17:27:38 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> >> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>> >>
>> >> <snip>
>> >> >> You defend this behavior?
>> >> >
>> >> >I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>> >> >under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what
>> >> >else you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>> >>
>> >> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>> >> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>> >>
>> >Any moron knows that won't happen in -any- state.
>>
>> I don't think I would be quite so bold as to make that statement.
>>
> -snip-
>Ever know anyone that got a 1 mph speeding ticket?

Nope, never. But try telling that to those who insist on arguing the
point.

Some states may handle things a bit differently, but at least in Pa,
the vehicle code actually contains verbiage that addresses specific
speed tolerance.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2930. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:01:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dvlb11hmam7g9n9tf45hdau20qd52a1q1u@4ax.com>
Source record: 196520

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 12:15:51 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:06:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
><Xns96009A057CFBA1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>>news:qnn91152jrphe2f2p74p2aah2gu08620mo@4ax.com:
>>
>>> It's one thing to get the ticket. It's another to make it stick.
>>>
>>> Most car speedometers are not accurate within +/- 1 MPH
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>>Again good point Dave, also if a police officer is going to pace you he
>>must have a calibrated speedometer, and it must be periodicaly calibrated.
>
>
>That's covered in part D, as I noted in an earlier post. But the fact
>remains -- contrary to Dave's statement, you CAN get a speeding ticket
>in PA for going 56 in a 55 zone.

Once again, you are trying to take a relative situation and trying to
make it into an absolute. You're trying to disprove the valid point
which applies in the majority of the situations, by a statistical
minority.

While there might be a greenhorn rookie cop, who's out to make a name
for himself as a hardass, and might try to pull stuff like that. But
it won't take long before he gets tired of appearing in court only to
have the cases thrown out.

The law is clear and it applies to the great majority of cases and
situations, as well as the majority of the speed measuring equipment
used by PA. cops.

My statement stands. Cops will give at least a 5 MPH grace for
speeding violations.

Small exceptions do not invalidate the rule.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

>
>
>
>
>
>-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2931. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:05:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <pamb11tp9p0i4pthsall1b2b8mtv6q1j1l@4ax.com>
Source record: 196521

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:17:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 13:14:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <qkn9119ktftni07hsa6mr01145oa62ta5f@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:52:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>><Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>> You defend this behavior?
>>>>
>>>>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>>>>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
>>>>you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>>>
>>>
>>>I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>>>you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>
>>What angle are you trying to work now?
>>
>>If a cop is staking out a posted 55 zone with either RADAR or VASCAR,
>>they must, by law give you 6 MPH minimum. So you won't get a ticket
>>for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>
>
>More conditions, Dave? You didn't say anything about RADAR or VASCAR
>in your original statement. Or are you just playing dumb again?

I didn't think I had to spell out every single condition. I figured
(evidently incorrectly) that most intelligent people are aware of the
common speed checking devices in normal use.

The law is clear. Experience and common sense covers the rest. What's
left is a small number of cases which does not invalidate what I said
for the great majority of cases.

It's not an all or nothing world we live in Frank. Stop treating it as
such.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>-----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2932. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:12:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <fjmb111uuap8j7a68pad0c2bhebgq9c8d7@4ax.com>
Source record: 196523

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:01:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <dvlb11hmam7g9n9tf45hdau20qd52a1q1u@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 12:15:51 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:06:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>><Xns96009A057CFBA1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>>>news:qnn91152jrphe2f2p74p2aah2gu08620mo@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> It's one thing to get the ticket. It's another to make it stick.
>>>>
>>>> Most car speedometers are not accurate within +/- 1 MPH
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>>Again good point Dave, also if a police officer is going to pace you he
>>>must have a calibrated speedometer, and it must be periodicaly calibrated.
>>
>>
>>That's covered in part D, as I noted in an earlier post. But the fact
>>remains -- contrary to Dave's statement, you CAN get a speeding ticket
>>in PA for going 56 in a 55 zone.
>
>Once again, you are trying to take a relative situation and trying to
>make it into an absolute.

Wrong. You made a definitive statement that was wrong and are now
trying to squirm out of it by adding conditions and claiming that
something else was "implied". That's a Twisty-tactic.

> You're trying to disprove the valid point
>which applies in the majority of the situations, by a statistical
>minority.

Your statement mentioned nothing about statistical probability.

>While there might be a greenhorn rookie cop, who's out to make a name
>for himself as a hardass, and might try to pull stuff like that. But
>it won't take long before he gets tired of appearing in court only to
>have the cases thrown out.
>

>The law is clear

Yes it is. It states that leeway must be given when the measuring
device is electronic, but that leeway -doesn't apply- for measuring
devices that are mechanical or electrical. It's yet another in a long
list of facts that you refuse to accept.

> and it applies to the great majority of cases and
>situations, as well as the majority of the speed measuring equipment
>used by PA. cops.
>
>My statement stands. Cops will give at least a 5 MPH grace for
>speeding violations.
>
>Small exceptions do not invalidate the rule.

ANY exception is enough to invalidate your statement, Dave.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2933. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:12:18 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <onmb11tm2v1ogh6li8ejnv11p1vajm8s6u@4ax.com>
Source record: 196522

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:03:59 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:71f911dqk71jgkuv8qjei9da0ujjhnh8hm@4ax.com:
>
>> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>
>> <snip>
>>>> You defend this behavior?
>>>
>>>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>>>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what
>>>else you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>>
>>
>> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>
>You can get a ticket for anything, will it hold up in court, no way Jose.
>
>56 in a 55 will get dismissed 99.9% of the time.

Exactly. That's the meat of the point. Most people understand that.
That's why most experienced cops don't even try to write them. But
Frank just wants to argue because *I* made the initial statement. I
could say that the sun sets in the west and he'll argue the point.

He just doesn't like the fact that I am a proud conservative
republican......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2934. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:15:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7umb115mi78rsd700t17euee51u6s85ga7@4ax.com>
Source record: 196524

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:05:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <pamb11tp9p0i4pthsall1b2b8mtv6q1j1l@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:17:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 13:14:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <qkn9119ktftni07hsa6mr01145oa62ta5f@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:52:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>>><Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>><snip>
>>>>> You defend this behavior?
>>>>
>>>>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>>>>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
>>>>you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>>>you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>>
>>>What angle are you trying to work now?
>>>
>>>If a cop is staking out a posted 55 zone with either RADAR or VASCAR,
>>>they must, by law give you 6 MPH minimum. So you won't get a ticket
>>>for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>
>>
>>More conditions, Dave? You didn't say anything about RADAR or VASCAR
>>in your original statement. Or are you just playing dumb again?
>
>
>I didn't think I had to spell out every single condition. I figured
>(evidently incorrectly) that most intelligent people are aware of the
>common speed checking devices in normal use.

Such as a stopwatch?

>The law is clear. Experience and common sense covers the rest. What's
>left is a small number of cases which does not invalidate what I said
>for the great majority of cases.

Once again, you didn't say "for the great majority of cases". You said
they are "required" to allow some leeway, and you gave no conditions
under which that rule may not apply.

>It's not an all or nothing world we live in Frank. Stop treating it as
>such.

You made the claim, Dave, not me.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2935. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:19:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jumb11h832jj4d4vaq195ptdp1ou9f6t7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 196525

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:35:01 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>Where does the law specifically identify any paragraph (1) device?
>>
>>How many other "mechanical" speed devices, still in use, do you know
>>of?
>
>
>Well, there's a neat little mechanical device that was invented even
>before the automobile that does a very good job at measuring speed.
>It's called the 'camera' (no batteries required). When the shutter is
>set for a specific length of exposure and the distance from the camera
>is known, then the resulting blur can be measured to determine the
>speed of an object.

I also specified "still in use". I know of no camera in use to clock
speed in the state of Pa.

> I would guess that cameras are used in other ways
>as well. Regardless, you avoided the question: Where does the law
>specifically identify any paragraph (1) device?

It doesn't. But considering the fact that there are few strictly
mechanical speed measuring devices available, the choices are limited.

Just because a cop CAN use a mechanical device, doesn't mean that they
do. There's theory and there's practice. You're trying to argue based
on theory, I'm basing my points on practice.

>>>Cops in PA don't pace speeders?
>>
>>Not as a rule. It takes too much trouble, and are easily spotted.
>>Those municipal license plates and antennas stick out like a sore
>>thumb even on unmarked cars.
>
>
>So if I were to contact, say, a hundred people in PA that have had
>speeding tickets in the past year, almost none of them would say that
>they were popped by a cop pacing them in their blind spot?

Go for it. Be sure to provide verifiable data. And make sure you find
out if they were popped for less than 5 MPH over.

> And since
>they don't use their speedometers to ticket speeders, the high-tech
>cars with the video cameras have all the speedometer data recorded on

>tape only for posterity?

You are asking me to speculate about all the possible uses for that information. I'm not about to do that. Mostly the video cameras are to provide evidence that the cop acted "appropriately" during a car chase or stop. Beyond that and you're speculating.

>>That is implied. Few things in life are 100% absolute.
>
>
>Gee, another excuse. What a suprise.

Life is an excuse to you Frank. Stop living in a black and white world.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2936. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:21:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dcnb115ntm7bd7fpv153u6ebuqfngqgume@4ax.com>
Source record: 196526

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:12:44 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>news:s3e911hb26vtk0sk8e6ace803iujpcqvv2@4ax.com:
>
>> What part of that law disagrees with what I've said? It supports what
>> I've said in the great majority of cases.
>>
>> Quit playing semantics games.
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>He is playing the Twistedhed game, he had it done to him so many times now
>he wants to join him... I like where him and his new buddy try to tell you
>what you mean. When it is clear they are wrong. Frank, you never answered
>the question is twistedhed still know as David McCampbell?

They think that they are playing head games with me. But they fail to
consider that I enjoy this stuff. It's fun to watch from a
psychological standpoint how people respond to predictable stimuli.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2937. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:25:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <49nb11ph0mnfdqnnpl253i7760lih7eg8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 196527

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:12:18 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <onmb11tm2v1ogh6li8ejnv11p1vajm8s6u@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:03:59 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
>>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>>news:71f911dqk71jgkuv8qjei9da0ujjhnh8hm@4ax.com:
>>
>>> On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>> <Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>>> You defend this behavior?
>>>>
>>>>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>>>>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what
>>>>else you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>>>
>>>
>>> I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>>> you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>
>>You can get a ticket for anything, will it hold up in court, no way Jose.
>>
>>56 in a 55 will get dismissed 99.9% of the time.
>
>Exactly. That's the meat of the point. Most people understand that.
>That's why most experienced cops don't even try to write them. But
>Frank just wants to argue because *I* made the initial statement. I
>could say that the sun sets in the west and he'll argue the point.

I don't argue facts, Dave. But alas, you rarely provide any facts. I
do, however, argue your assumptions and speculations; for example:

>He just doesn't like the fact that I am a proud conservative
>republican......

That's nothing more than speculation. I can tell you were never really
paying attention to our political discussions, because if you had you
had you would know that my point was to teach you how you and other
conservative republicans were suckered by the Rove administration.

But you just keep making inaccurate statements based on conjecture,
unsupported by facts, bolstering your "core beliefs".

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2938. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:25:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <1gnb11pio5j0rk7et6d1prjk9n7lu7ptgl@4ax.com>
Source record: 196528

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 12:27:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:12:44 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
><Xns96009B0E0F4971234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>>news:s3e911hb26vtk0sk8e6ace803iujpcqvv2@4ax.com:
>>
>>> What part of that law disagrees with what I've said? It supports what
>>> I've said in the great majority of cases.
>>>
>>> Quit playing semantics games.
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>>He is playing the Twistedhed game, he had it done to him so many times now
>>he wants to join him... I like where him and his new buddy try to tell you
>>what you mean. When it is clear they are wrong. Frank, you never answered
>>the question is twistedhed still know as David McCampbell?
>
>
>I did answer the question, and quite a long time ago.
>
>My turn:
>
>I have not strayed from my position against illegal radio operation.
>But for some reason you have recently decided to turn your agression
>towards me, and it's usually when Dave is getting severly raked over
>the coals for his arrogance, ignorance and/or downright stupidity.

I have yet to "raked" over any coals. Just because you argue with me,
(and manage to look pathetic doing it as in this case of speed
tolerance) does not make me wrong.

>So
>what is the motivation behind your recent attacks -- are you defending
>Dave's ignorance? or do you think that I've secretly defected over to
>the dark side?

It's no secret. Your axis has shifted. Instead of referencing
legal/illegal radio, it's now based on political affiliation.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2939. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:34:07 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qlnb115pibgap907b81c6vmnpoft041psj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196529

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:19:44 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <jumb11h832jj4d4vaq195ptdp1ou9f6t7g@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:35:01 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>>Where does the law specifically identify any paragraph (1) device?
>>>
>>>How many other "mechanical" speed devices, still in use, do you know
>>>of?
>>
>>
>>Well, there's a neat little mechanical device that was invented even
>>before the automobile that does a very good job at measuring speed.
>>It's called the 'camera' (no batteries required). When the shutter is
>>set for a specific length of exposure and the distance from the camera
>>is known, then the resulting blur can be measured to determine the
>>speed of an object.
>
>I also specified "still in use". I know of no camera in use to clock
>speed in the state of Pa.

How about stopwatches? I know for a FACT that they are used in PA, and
they are described, by PA law, as a mechanical speed timing device.

>> I would guess that cameras are used in other ways
>>as well. Regardless, you avoided the question: Where does the law
>>specifically identify any paragraph (1) device?
>
>It doesn't. But considering the fact that there are few strictly
>mechanical speed measuring devices available, the choices are limited.

I don't think so, Dave:

http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter105/chap105toc.html

>Just because a cop CAN use a mechanical device, doesn't mean that they
>do. There's theory and there's practice. You're trying to argue based
>on theory, I'm basing my points on practice.

You are making arguments based on your own speculations.

>>>>Cops in PA don't pace speeders?
>>>
>>>Not as a rule. It takes too much trouble, and are easily spotted.
>>>Those municipal license plates and antennas stick out like a sore
>>>thumb even on unmarked cars.

>>
>>
>>So if I were to contact, say, a hundred people in PA that have had
>>speeding tickets in the past year, almost none of them would say that
>>they were popped by a cop pacing them in their blind spot?
>
>Go for it. Be sure to provide verifiable data. And make sure you find
>out if they were popped for less than 5 MPH over.

http://www.speedtrap.org/speedtraps/ste_city.asp?state=PA

>> And since
>>they don't use their speedometers to ticket speeders, the high-tech
>>cars with the video cameras have all the speedometer data recorded on
>>tape only for posterity?
>
>You are asking me to speculate about all the possible uses for that
>information. I'm not about to do that.

Why not? You speculate about everything else. How is this any
different?

> Mostly the video cameras are to
>provide evidence that the cop acted "appropriately" during a car chase
>or stop. Beyond that and you're speculating.
>
>
>
>>>That is implied. Few things in life are 100% absolute.
>>
>>
>>Gee, another excuse. What a suprise.
>
>Life is an excuse to you Frank. Stop living in a black and white
>world.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2940. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:49:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ihob11duvkkudj5asjmfc4a4hubrovaesb@4ax.com>
Source record: 196530

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:25:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <1gnb11pio5j0rk7et6d1prjk9n7lu7ptgl@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 12:27:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:12:44 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>><Xns96009B0E0F4971234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>>>news:s3e911hb26vtk0sk8e6ace803iujpcqvv2@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> What part of that law disagrees with what I've said? It supports what
>>>> I've said in the great majority of cases.
>>>>
>>>> Quit playing semantics games.
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>>He is playing the Twistedhed game, he had it done to him so many times now
>>>he wants to join him... I like where him and his new buddy try to tell you
>>>what you mean. When it is clear they are wrong. Frank, you never answered
>>>the question is twistedhed still know as David McCampbell?
>>
>>
>>I did answer the question, and quite a long time ago.
>>
>>My turn:
>>
>>I have not strayed from my position against illegal radio operation.
>>But for some reason you have recently decided to turn your agression
>>towards me, and it's usually when Dave is getting severly raked over
>>the coals for his arrogance, ignorance and/or downright stupidity.
>
>I have yet to "raked" over any coals. Just because you argue with me,
>(and manage to look pathetic doing it as in this case of speed
>tolerance) does not make me wrong.
>
>>So
>>what is the motivation behind your recent attacks -- are you defending
>>Dave's ignorance? or do you think that I've secretly defected over to
>>the dark side?

>
>It's no secret. Your axis has shifted. Instead of referencing
>legal/illegal radio, it's now based on political affiliation.

It's based on the fact that you initiated this discourse, argue your
positions without regard to facts or logic, project your deficiencies
on me, and are so arrogant that you can't admit when you are wrong
even on the smallest, most insignificant issues. No, my axis hasn't
changed -- it only seems that way ever since you started providing me
with a closer look at your hypocrisy.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2941. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:50:54 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <45pb111qicmoorf6ot7ei9n0h3i51asqg5@4ax.com>
Source record: 196531

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:45:18 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns9600A093A36561234567890@216.196.97.136>:

<snip>
>> My turn:
>>
>> I have not strayed from my position against illegal radio operation.
>> But for some reason you have recently decided to turn your agression
>> towards me, and it's usually when Dave is getting severly raked over
>> the coals for his arrogance, ignorance and/or downright stupidity. So
>> what is the motivation behind your recent attacks -- are you defending
>> Dave's ignorance? or do you think that I've secretly defected over to
>> the dark side?
>
>No I dont like your tactics,

And I don't like yours -or- Dougs. But I don't attack you for it, and
I don't defend anyone against them. If you get into an argument with
somebody then it's between you and them, not me. I stay out of it. You
should do the same.

> Dave is not a bad guy,

Of course he isn't. If he -was- a bad guy he would be in the killfile.
He has great potential, he's just a little mixed up right now.

> your now doing the
>twisty charade of telling people what they meant. It is your method that
>has me defending Dave, and In fact i agree with much he has to say, he
>doesnt lie in my opinion. Just because you dont agree with him re:
>politics, and I know you will have some excuse of daves behaviour or
>whatever other ryhme or reason. I dont buy it Frank.

I have agreed with Dave on many things, including politics. But it's
not that I disagree with the other issues so much that many of his
opinions, right or wrong, are founded on misinformation, propoganda,
or poor qualitative reasoning skills. For an intelligent person like
him (or yourself, for that matter) to fall back on "core beliefs" as
an excuse to dismiss facts and logic is bogus, and it undermines the
credibility of any argument against keyclowns who use the very same
tactics.

> I never fooled with
>you before Frank we both co existed when I see you attack someone and use
>the same tactics as twisty someone you have been round and round with,

I have adopted -some- tactics used by Twisty, as well as from others in this newsgroup from all three sides of the fence. I have also -rejected- some tactics used by Twisty, such as his pop-psychology and his diversionary topics game (the latter, BTW, is being used by Dave quite frequently these days, so if you are going to admonish me for using Twisty's tactics then you should do the same to him). I also have rejected many of -your- tactics, such as attacking those who support or defend another -- otherwise, both Vinny and yourself would be included in the scope of this discussion. But you aren't because my discussions with Dave have nothing to do with either of you. And frankly, I'm a little disappointed that Dave hasn't asked you to butt-out because he is capable of speaking for himself.

>sorry I wont sit idle.... btw I am dave Hall.......
<snip>
>Just ask Twistedhed

Do what you have to do, and so will I.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2942. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:51:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <h5pb11tf38l9oqkt7rvf8vpv344p2fbm7h@4ax.com>
Source record: 196533

On 17 Feb 2005 20:52:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
<20050217155252.866$6D@newsreader.com>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> So as far as I'm concerned, if the speed limit
>> is 55 I'll stay below it so there won't be any doubt.
>>
>If you go ahead and do 58 Frank, I won't tell anyone. :)

Is that a "don't ask, don't tell" policy?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2943. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 12:51:23 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <46pb1151ho98agbcimq7snshp18913cah3@4ax.com>
Source record: 196532

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !

That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
now.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2944. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 13:34:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218083446.615$8r@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196534

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On 17 Feb 2005 20:52:52 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> <20050217155252.866$6D@newsreader.com>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> >> So as far as I'm concerned, if the speed limit
> >> is 55 I'll stay below it so there won't be any doubt.
> >>
> >If you go ahead and do 58 Frank, I won't tell anyone. :)
>
> Is that a "don't ask, don't tell" policy?
>
Yep, that's the ticket..err

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2945. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 13:37:19 UTC
From: N3CJV@invalid.invalid
Message-ID: <20050218083719.615$AF@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196535

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
> wrote in <igb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it
> >appeared to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out
> >a bit. Seems to work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>
> That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
> now.
>
Ya do what ya can.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2946. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 14:40:43 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-4215FE6B-1015@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196538

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 17 Feb 2005 17:22:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:11820-4214ABD6-877 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.

>A non-radar state would mean just what the

>phrase connotates: the state is void of radar.

(Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania)

LOL..invoking a marketiing ploy and citing it as a source of reference
for the law is entertaining, nothing more.

(Is that what you do for a living, George?)

>Careful Steveo. Twisty thinks he's me......

Yep, right after "Geo" forged you and said you gave him permission to
do so in email in order to pollute the group. I am not the first to note
your behavior outside this group hinges on myself. You need a real life,
David.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2947. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 14:43:12 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-4215FF00-1017@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196537

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 1:35pm (EST-1) Group:
rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Help on SSB failure From:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date:
Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 1:35pm (EST-1) X-Trace:
sv3-HC1j8Vv/jVwPzcgvGIf+b0vP7PFV6/KwoBnKRsF1V9GieDDdXBHsVNFgQXoJGT84ZPLR6LdGRVIgS2h!fcpRNH
i0Vir7r9bxfyBjiPBo0BsCh+AwlJZYLdiUwOC2M8xLOhUM7+NDwmt87cqbJCno
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward
a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:11822-4214B65C-63 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
helicopter.
Called a bear-in-the-air. Of course, if you left the cave of despair
once every few years, you would be clued in.

>Yeah, bear in the Air went out with the

>smokies in 1976 after the realease of Convoy.

>so did traffic enforcement with helicopters.

Just like David Hall Jr., you are stuck in a time warp. Your ignorance
is limited by your own personal experiences.

2948. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 14:49:18 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-4216006E-1021@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196539

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 1:40pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Help on SSB failure From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 1:40pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-hg3z8lBKhgZgcJIsqEK3KwOIGYxDBvVVDQQ0SCFqIbLfwGgu1UyjT6sU7Hwh2fxdQWRXuC1hZacPTqR!a7fOi9j7UcJ3orlgK0hxdBT9aI/MOeEsSi7n++74BaKrdbnTzA/70ZXzcePGZLKZwT/v X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050217132915.019 $rf@newsreader.com:
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
On 17 Feb 2005 17:22:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:11820-4214ABD6-877 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>Pa is a non-radar state

By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.
A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state is void of radar.

>Mine and any company who proposes to sell

>radar to Pennsylvania

So you not only cite a marketing team as your reference to the law, you insist on it remaining as such.

(Is that what you do for a living, George?)

>Careful Steveo. Twisty thinks he's me......

(shrug) You said yourself and David T. Hall Jr. conspired in email for you to forge him in this group, in order to have me think you are he. In addition to affirming how much I lord over you each of you, it also illustrates the both of you will use the group as your own personal toilet as a means to harass.

(George posts as you.)

>Only after tipsy called me Dave,

That's no jusification. You called Frank me before any of this took place. Hypocrite.

>so lets see who am

Both of you are blights to amateur radio.

2949. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 14:58:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nh0c1151chjp6ftei3t1ku1s3img2n8hi3@4ax.com>
Source record: 196536

On 18 Feb 2005 02:28:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>> If I actually wanted to forge Dave i would use some other means other
>> than my comcast account. I also emailed dave and told him what I was
>> doing, so now tipsy is severely cornfused
>>
>So you're claiming you told Dave you were going to forge him, and
>he agreed for you to do it via email, right?
>>
>> >> If Dave has or had a problem with it I think he would have spoken up,
>> >> oh thats right I am Dave.
>> >>
>> > You post as him.
>>
>> I posted as him 1 time
>>
>One time where you got caught, fuckstick.

He's right about one thing. He did "alert" me in E-mail.

He wasn't really trying to forge me. He was only trying to excite the
peanut gallery.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2950. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:01:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-42160333-1023@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196595

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:20050217122250.435$px@newsreader.com:
>Is that what you do for a living, George?

(I am in a related field to the subject in
question.)

>It seems like you're up to date on such things.

(Thats why it is funny
as a fart in a space suit to watch twisty try to tell me what i mean and
what is reality all this from 1800 miles away.)

>In this case it's common sense. No gives a 1

>mph speeding ticket, not even in a school

>zone.

I dunno know about that. From what I can read, it's called "Zero
Tolerance" and has been practiced in just about each state at one time
or another. I found several articles referencing it in California on the
very first search, especially San Francisco to Reno.
Also, check out Waldo or Lawtey in Florida along with Speedtrap on
google. They are the nations only designated speed traps by AAA AND ABC
National News. Peter Jennings did a story, as did numerous other
media....check it out. Waldo most certainly hands tickets out for what
you would THINK is ridiculous speeds. But wait.....the majority of those
ticketed are out of state drivers, as 301 is a main artery for vehicles
from 95 coming to the west coast of Florida. So when the ticket is
received,,your ONLY recourse, is coming back to Florida to fight the
ticket in this back, jerkwater town, or paying it. Care to guess what
happens in just about every single ticket ever written in this town?
Lawtey is the same way.

2951. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:03:41 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-421603CD-1024@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196594

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state
is void of radar.
Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania

>Is that what you do for a living, George?

>--

>   http://NewsReader.Com

Geo works the batting cages at Chuckie Cheese?

2952. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:06:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <nnnb1119k03ai9rv73a40dd9k6ihkq68qp@4ax.com>
Source record: 196540

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:24:28 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:lcf9111i10ur08867pej7u43ctc009ghln@4ax.com...
>> wrote:
>>
>> Ok, do you feel that the majority of freebanders are hams, or do you
>> feel that they are a majority of unlicensed people. Or do you feel
>> that there is an even mix?
>
>Probably closer to even with a lean towards unlicensed.

Only a "lean"? Considering the large disparity between the number of
hams/CB'ers, there would have to be a very high percentage of hams who
disregard the rules. I'm not sure I'm ready to buy that just yet.

>>>> There are more freebanders than there are US hams (at least there
>>>> used to be)
>>>
>>>Really, what survey said that?
>>
>> S9 magazine ran such a survey in the early 80's. It was based on
>> actual licenses processed (Ham vs. CB), and also took into
>> consideration that a certain (admittedly hard to quantify accurately)
>> percentage of CB'ers did not have a license.
>
>A 25 year old survey? Don't you think things might
>have changed just a little bit since then? Just a little?
>I mean come-on, there's not even half the amount of
>cb'ers on the air today compared to back then.

Well, I'm sure that there are a lot less people on CB. But the ones
who left, for the most part, were not the true radio hobbyists. Those
were more of the casual "social fad" users. Based on my own personal
experience, I'd be willing to bet that most of the CB'ers who are
currently on the air have some sort of freeband capability.

Ham radio has grown as well, but not in leaps and bounds. It's harder
to attract young people to ham radio when there are so many more new
technologies to sink their teeth into.

>
>> It is true in the great majority of cases. But I need to ask by what
>> authority do you have, that would allow you to comment on the

>> practices in my state? I don't profess to know what the law is in
>> California. Nor should you make blatant statements about what goes on
>> in Pa.
>>
>
>I didn't, you said that cops don't write tickets for 1 mph over
>the speed limit, you didn't clarify yourself until a number of posts later
>after you were called on it.

Cops do not, as a rule, write tickets for 1 MPH over. It's too hard to
make them stick here, and they are specifically prohibited by law to
write them when using electronic speed measuring devices, which is the
great majority of the time.

I didn't think I had to pick nits about minute little details. If one
person gets a ticket (and is too clueless to fight it) for 1 MPH
over, it does not invalidate the fact that the rest of the people
didn't.

>>>> I live here, you would think I'd have a little more knowledge in this
>>>> area, than someone who lives 2000 miles away.
>
>Just because you live there doesn't make you anymore or less
>knowledgeable on anything state related. You could be a State
>university professor on agriculture, but does that make you
>a person of knowledge on traffic laws?

Bad analogy. I live here, and am bound by the laws here, so I have an
incentive to know them. Likewise, you live in California, and you have
an incentive to know the law there. I would not even begin to try to
tell you what the law in California is. Sure, I could access statutes
on the web, but that does not reflect the attitude of the LEO's and
the people of the area which adds a little color to an otherwise black
and white rule.

> If you claimed to be a police officer, justice of the peace,
>lawyer it might carry more weight, but one can research the
>internet just as easy as you and find all sorts of state laws
>regard the subject of traffic enforcements.

I know cops here. I'm personal friends with 6 of them. One of them
(retired now) is a partner in our ham radio repeater. They tell me how
they do things. I tend to believe what they say. After all, they are
doing the job of enforcing the rules.

Try researching other sites such as:

http://www.berksweb.com/users/dmv/padriving.html

Which exposes all sorts of loopholes in the law, which can be used to
"beat" a traffic ticket. When you understand this (PA. has some
strange laws), your perspective might be a little different.

>>>Nope, that method was dropped in the late sixties in Ca.
>>>I have no desire to go looking back through laws from 40 years ago. I will
>>>tell you that more & more departments and CHP
>>>are going to Lidar, much more accurate.
>>
>> That may be true, by why is that not considered "entrapment"?
>
>http://www.jesbeard.com/s12.htm

Interesting link. Thank you for posting it. It would seem that
California is just as weird (in a different way) than Pa. Judging from
that link, they consider many methods of speed timing, in which the
speeder is not aware, to be a "speed trap", and illegal in California.
Interesting since the term "speed trap" is a common term here and is
widely accepted as "just the way things work".

>>>>>> All that shows is that states vary in their methods toward traffic
>>>>>> enforcement.
>>>
>>>> It is correct. 3368 (c) (4) says so in the great majority of cases.
>>>
>>>This all started when you said that you won't get a ticket for
>>>going 1 MPH over the speed limit. You then changed the subject to that the
>>>Cops won't do it because their equipment & laws
>>>governing that allow for a +/- grace.
>>
>> I didn't change anything. I started out by stating that cops had to
>> give people a 5 MPH grace (in Pa.)
>
>NO, you did change it. Originally you posted:
>
>"That depends on the state. Some states (I believe Maryland is one) have
>what they refer to as "Zero tolerance" 65 MPH. They can bust you for 66, or
>so I've been told. I don't know how many cases have been fought over
>speedometer or other potential speed inaccuracies and how well the "zero
>tolerance" aspect has held up."
>
>No where did you say Pa. in that post.

No, not in THAT post. That post followed my original post where I
stated that they give 5 MPH to account for inaccuracies.

> Then a couple of post
>later you posted with a statement that it was an unwritten rule
>that in Pa the officers had to give you a grace speed.

I backed off a little because I didn't (at that time) have the exact
verbiage of the law in front of me. Knowing how literal some people
are on here, I decided to play it safe and call it "discretionary".

> Then a
>post or two later you stumbled upon the law that you are clinging too as
>gospel, that's when you changed the parameters

>to suite yourself.

Well the law is the law..... Bummer that it deflates the egos of those who have now made it their life's mission to prove me wrong at every turn.

No, I returned to my earlier assertion that they are required to give a 5 MPH grace (Turns out my memory was not so foggy as I suspected), when they are using the most probable methods of timing speed currently in use in Pa.

I was first made aware of this "law" when I was studying for my driver's test in 1976. In the little book that they gave us to study traffic law, it stated that 61 (in a 55 zone) was the point where a citable violation would occur. But some here do not take someone's word, so I needed more definitive "proof". I have since gotten it, yet some are still attempting to argue the point.

>>>You are wrong, speed limits do not have a grace
>>>"well your honor, here's the law stating that he has to give me
>>>a grace of 6 or so MPH over the speed limit"
>>>Judge "Really? OK, here's a fine of 200 to 250 for going
>>>6 or so MPH over the speed limit"
>>
>> Pa. ï¿½3368 (c)(4) would seem to disagree with you.
>
> Keep a hold of that piece of paper, because make
>sure to take it with you when you go to court. Take that
>day off, loose that pay just to fight a stupid ticket.

I'm a salaried employee. I wouldn't lose any pay.

Why are you so sure that I'd lose? Why do you not believe the law as it is stated?

If a cop times me (or anyone else) with any of the popular electronic measuring methods, the law clearly states that they have to allow at least 5 (and sometimes 10 under certain conditions) MPH to account for inaccuracies. The chance that I would be "timed" by a simple mechanical device (and therefore potentially exempt from the 5 MPH exemption), is about as great as my getting hit by lightning. I've almost been struck by lightening (a strange feeling in itself). I've never gotten a speeding ticket.

Even when using a mechanical device, which is supposedly exempt from the 5 MPH rule, based on what my cop friends tell me, the cop will likely still not pull anyone over until at least 5 over. They just don't want the hassle of going to court, which is a lot more likely with such a small degree of violation. It opens all sorts of accuracy questions. Since an automotive speedometer is not required to be calibrated, you cannot be held responsible if it's not (how would you know?). If you go to court with proof that the speedo is off (and had it fixed), chances are you'll walk. Cops hate going to court. They

like it even less if their case is tossed.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2953. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:11:52 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6288-421605B8-352@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196599

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 7:48am (EST-1) Group:
rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Temporary leave From:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date:
Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 7:48am (EST-1) X-Trace:
sv3-wz5pPXzVhpYTbbpIs+oW2pJThGg3qq02fRlHgfipLEDi8fdlDcF8Tyx98R6AXOFmdD4cPyTpnYcXcU1!183NsH
cCvwJUFpmVnUL0+EEMKarTREnkYf8a9W4ARzxk3hNPcJWTOfxDcqRWitF8VxkK
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To:
dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward
a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be
unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
>Dave is 100% correct, end of discussion

_
Dave said you don't exist and even if you did, whatever you say is not
credible nor to be taken serious by anyone that has even a mediocrity of
intelligence. He also claimed since you do not attach your real identity
to your post, you are a coward and paranoid. In fact, he just devoted an
entire post to you in a struggled effort to convey his personal feelings
regarding posters like yourself.
Tell us more about how correct "Dave" is.

>Interesting how your sun rises and sets over

>what I say.....

Geo is the one telling the world you gave him permission to forge you
on the group for my benefit. One thing is evident, you both pollute the
group with your childish games.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

"Sandbagger"

2954. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:12:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <h61c11hv1839ca8kql7soq2v3jsfp68kui@4ax.com>
Source record: 196541

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 12:15:51 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:06:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
><Xns96009A057CFBA1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>>news:qnn91152jrphe2f2p74p2aah2gu08620mo@4ax.com:
>>
>>> It's one thing to get the ticket. It's another to make it stick.
>>>
>>> Most car speedometers are not accurate within +/- 1 MPH
>>>
>>> Dave
>>> "Sandbagger"
>>
>>Again good point Dave, also if a police officer is going to pace you he
>>must have a calibrated speedometer, and it must be periodicaly calibrated.
>
>
>That's covered in part D, as I noted in an earlier post. But the fact
>remains -- contrary to Dave's statement, you CAN get a speeding ticket
>in PA for going 56 in a 55 zone.

If 99% of people have 2 legs, does the fact that 1% of the people do
not, diminish the accuracy of describing a human being as a biped?

I don't know anyone who ever got a 1 MPH over ticket. That does not
mean that it can't happen. But it's clearly the exception, not the
rule.

My statement is true in the majority of cases.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2955. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:16:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <jl1c111v6a7hs42am5q3cfp68h7ef58ae5@4ax.com>
Source record: 196542

On 17 Feb 2005 19:26:48 -0800, "No I Am Not Him"
<iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall wrote:
>> On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 18:18:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>> AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>> >Which would explain your constant paranoia of sock puppets (of which
>> >only YOU continue to invoke), and continued use of such puppets.
>>
>> My paranoia? That's really making me ROTFL. You have accused no less
>> than 3 other posters of being me, yet you accuse ME of have a sock
>> puppet paranoia?
>>
>> Projection has really become your defense of choice. Accuse others of
>> sock paranoia when you blatantly display it. Accuse others of
>> "communications deficits", when you can't understand plain English
>> words. Accusing others of not having any support, when you have never
>> gotten a single vote of confidence on any of your deluded points.
>>
>>
>> You really are hung up on me. I'm glad you live where you do. I don't
>> need a stalker.....
>>
>> So who am I today? Doug?, George?, "Iamnotgeorge"?,"U know who"?,
>> Dwight Stewart?
>>
>> Where's that LEO group?
>
>You are Frank.

Oh my god! I've been caught.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2956. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:18:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6288-4216074A-353@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196598

From: Daveid Hall Jr. (N3CVJ)
(56 in a 55 will get dismissed 99.9% of the time.)

>Exactly. That's the meat of the point.

That was never a contention. Try and remain lucid and relevant. Your claim was a Pa State Trooper was REQUIRED by law to give a "window" of 5 or 10 MPH to ALL speeders. That's bullshit, David. Most intelligent people have figured it out. Only you are struggling with this topic so, you have managed to con yourself into believing dismissal of a ticket was contended by someone. You're arguing with yourself, again.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2957. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:18:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <3o1c11tknd58s57uq1n44pclcuntj31t1s@4ax.com>
Source record: 196543

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>>"You need to add an insulator between the final and the Heatsink.
>>Simply unscrew the final mounting screw, and you should be able to slide a mica
>>insulator between the final and heatsink.
>>
>>
>>Make sure to use heatsink compound on both sides of the insulator.
>>
>>
>>Now for the reason: Uniden chose not to use an insulator. The mounting tab of
>>the final is Power ground. (emitter)
>>The heatsink is Chassi Ground. Connecting the final emitter to chassi ground (at
>>the mounting Screw) can sometimes cause an RF Ground loop."
>>
>>Anyway, I will take a look at your solution too. Thanks.
>>
>
>
>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>

Be careful if you choose to insulate the transistor from the heatsink.
Be sure to use a plastic screw (or a smaller metal screw with a
plastic washer), or you won't really be insulating it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2958. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:21:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <1s1c11dfuo43gs0829qg9khaa6n75v4jjl@4ax.com>
Source record: 196596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 20:41:11 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>>
>> They'll take a check or credit card too. It's not at all difficult
>> to buy whatever you desire, license or not.
>>
>
>AFAIK, there's no rule requiring a license to BUY
>equipment. There are rules about using it though.....

You are correct, there is no such written rule. However some merchants
take it upon themselves to enforce this "rule". IMHO, if they make
enough money that they can afford to turn away potential customers,
more power to them. Most ham stores though, aren't exactly busting at
the seems with buyers......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2959. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:23:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ov1c111ibhsjcbvsvemtud3qph3u7uqavg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 20:46:47 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>>
>> I doubt if the hams who freeband are dumb enough to use their calls
>> there (but you never know). But I wouldn't be surprised to learn that
>> they are "recognized" there by other people who turn them in.
>>
>Some might ID by mistake out of habit before they realize that
>they are out of band.....

That could happen. I've caught myself almost doing it a few times.

>WOuld it be illegal to use my callsign as my "cb handle" on
>CB using legal CB equipment? Or would I be using my ham
>license out of band? Not that anyone would really care, but....

If you are running legal CB gear on legal CB channels, you certainly
can use a ham call as a "handle". Why someone would is another
question.

>
>>
>>
>>>| If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
>>>| detrimental.
>>>
>>>Freebanders don't generally ID themselves at all. To actually track
>>>one down would be a lot harder.
>>
>>
>> Yes, I agree. It just seems funny that the latest freeband bust seem
>> to be hams running out of band over unlicensed operation.
>>
>
>I've heard second hand of hams, bored of regular ham bands,
>doing "freeband" for a change of pace.

Many hams used to be CB'ers/Freebanders, and like to "return to the
roost" once in a while.

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2960. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:23:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-4216088A-1026@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196600

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 20:40:01 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600, Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote in
<Xns95FFEDBA561D31234567890@216.196.97.136>:
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: OT:Pa's speed Laws
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <Xns95FFEDBA561D31234567890@216.196.97.136>
User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25
X-No-Archive: yes
Date: Wed, 16 Feb 2005 22:20:21 -0600
Lines: 31
NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
X-Trace:
sv3-bq4eF/iTzfRq6nLhcDeuz8KyISuP9s/S5c9HSmiPTMNWOSNko/wEWw/n6YTBh0WGRodhMrn/VpAK9B5!kaFD3b
LSfF/BDEWob+kgVImp5E4UfmFINZw73qTqEedCxM0+JpNazGGG2btka0mcXR2A
X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your
complaint properly
X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
Path:
spool9-west!propagator-lax.newsfeeds.com!news-in.spamkiller.net!meganewsservers.com!feeder
2.on.meganewsservers.com!216.196.98.140.MISMATCH!border1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.gigane
ws.com!local1.nntp.dca.giganews.com!nntp.comcast.com!news.comcast.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Xref: 127.0.0.1 rec.radio.cb:309754
(Hey Dave, is someone forging you?)

>Hmmm.. It would appear that way.

(shrug) Geo said you gave him permission in email.

>I feel honored.

Of course you do. We talk to you.

> Someone finally forged me.

> I thought my feet stunk or something.

That smell is you and Geo polluting the group for your own personal
harassment campaign.

>But he's right.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2961. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:26:01 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-42160909-1028@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196602

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 2:00pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 2:00pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-PLA+ENeF6ySCye9Bu+Wi+JOhMrOI3IMs1UCxP/MRK55mjgKoZz9BekvDscx9ltwKcQszsRrZT/71dIL!pOcjKF3B656dupRAW0FIsDLbO/VMdX6QTnRcK0309HPFObBb6TMwc6xSLYdxi/a3hv4P X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050217123250.667$LY@newsreader.com:

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:Mg2Rd.861$OU1.713@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...

Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:

>It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's
>posters I am.

NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79

--

http://NewsReader.Com

30 GB/Month

Geo

Landshark

>ohh you are so special fagshark no one knew

>that until you said so.. You flaming fag boi

Why are you posting as Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> George?

>twisty said I am dave

You said I was Frank.

>i figured I would prove him right

You always do.

2962. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:33:11 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-42160AB7-1030@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196603

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga:
He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre

>Hey Jim, I guess you also suffer from selective
>reading, twisty has been calling me Dave,

Only after you called me Frank, er,,called Frank, I.

>and accusing Dave of using sock Puppets.

And this pains you greatly and causes you to attack every single person
who points out David T. Hall Jr.'s contradictory bullshit because.....

> I wanted him to think Dave slipped up and

> posted as himself from the wrong account.

Yet, you said David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) gave you specific permission in
a private email between the two of you to forge him in this group in
order to make another "think" you were him. In addition to polluting and
prostituting the group for your own childish games, you admittedly
collude with David T. Hall Jr. to cause intentional strife to the pages
of rec.radio.cb. Then again, you two of you have been at it for quite a
long time. You're both loser lids.

>I am not sure where the word bizarre fits into

>this or excuse, but being the dickhead you are
>I can surmise you meant there is no excuse

>for you being a bizarre assclown.

There is that mother issue again.

2963. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:36:15 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <PXnRd.1294$OU1.84@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196601

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:nnnb1119k03ai9rv73a40dd9k6ihkq68qp@4ax.com...
>> Then a
>>post or two later you stumbled upon the law that you are clinging too as
>>gospel, that's when you changed the parameters
>>to suite yourself.
>
> Well the law is the law..... Bummer that it deflates the egos of
> those who have now made it their life's mission to prove me wrong at
> every turn.

Not I dude, remember, I am a republican too, I have
yet harp on you at all when you start into that area
of life.

>
>> Keep a hold of that piece of paper, because make
>>sure to take it with you when you go to court. Take that
>>day off, loose that pay just to fight a stupid ticket.
>
> I'm a salaried employee. I wouldn't lose any pay.
>
> Why are you so sure that I'd lose?

I never said you would lose. I said that you lose
because you have to take time off of work, lose
pay (or in your case, the company loses productivity
of one of it's workers), all to deal with a BS ticket.

> Why do you not believe the law as
> it is stated?

Because, if you break a vehicle code, no matter
what YOU say, a cop can write you a ticket.
Whether or not he's right or wrong he can still
ticket you. Then you have to take the time out
to deal with it or pay it, which most people would
probably do in society today.

>
> If a cop times me (or anyone else) with any of the popular electronic
> measuring methods, the law clearly states that they have to allow at
> least 5 (and sometimes 10 under certain conditions) MPH to account for
> inaccuracies. The chance that I would be "timed" by a simple
> mechanical device (and therefore potentially exempt from the 5 MPH
> exemption), is about as great as my getting hit by lightning. I've

> almost been struck by lightening (a strange feeling in itself). I've
> never gotten a speeding ticket.

Lucky you, I'll admit I've had close to a dozen, but all that done in my
late teens very early 20's.

>
> Even when using a mechanical device, which is supposedly exempt from
> the 5 MPH rule, based on what my cop friends tell me, the cop will
> likely still not pull anyone over until at least 5 over. They just
> don't want the hassle of going to court, which is a lot more likely
> with such a small degree of violation.

Who's says he has to go to court? If he wants to write
you he can, if he wants to go to court he can, if it's
a BS ticket, he'll ignore the court date and the case will
be dismissed, but YOU still had the hassle just because
you went 1mph over the speed limit.

It opens all sorts of accuracy
> questions. Since an automotive speedometer is not required to be
> calibrated, you cannot be held responsible if it's not (how would you
> know?). If you go to court with proof that the speedo is off (and had
> it fixed), chances are you'll walk. Cops hate going to court. They
> like it even less if their case is tossed.

Of course they do, especially if they think their right.
If he wants to just boost his quota, there's the answer.
You say you know some cops, I have stated before and
say it again, I have family that are cops and the mentality
is pretty amazing depending on the type of department they
are with.

Well I'm done with this thread, you keep believing
that cops don't write tickets for 1mph over (even in your
state dude, no matter what you think), I know they do.

Have a good one.....

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

2964. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:37:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6288-42160BC9-354@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196604

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga:
He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre
-
> I wanted him to think Dave slipped up and

>posted as himself from the wrong account. I

>am not sure where the word bizarre fits into

>this or excuse, but being the dickhead you are
>I can surmise you meant there is no excuse

>for you being a bizarre assclown.

(Ipost 'em as i see 'em. if it wasn't you then why are you spouting?)

He can't deny it was him..someone slipped on the wrong sock.
--

That's exactly what occurred.

2965. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:39:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-42160C4A-1031@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196605

LOL!!! Hey man, I've left him alone :)
All I posted was "Geo", he's the one that comes unglued.
        OH well, I'll leave him alone, but you know
he'll ramble on. Send pictures from the Dream Cruise, better looking
women ;)
                Landshark

_
Google in the bin again? Good show. Here's to a google-free 2005.

2966. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:47:08 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6287-42160DFC-1032@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196606

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 8:58am (EST-1) From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 9:58am Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace: sv3-Q6Qhi5sBaMxwwa8BmKQ2qxUufu3PceZhvrnKDTfJD5QtOC1bdHpjfCQ7UtPwSCuX1A+ag1SMmSebQEy!d848e5TWKsjYqPb+p+nAkoHrtGJHAKFCve0Rx9TvgqFbBVJJadYEf9wXPutzgNGJjkf1Y9KtBpBZ!u4hxiwiI0TI= X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
On 18 Feb 2005 02:28:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
(If I actually wanted to forge Dave i would use some other means other than my comcast account. I also emailed dave and told him what I was doing, so now tipsy is severely cornfused)

>>So you're claiming you told Dave you were

>>going to forge him, and he agreed for you to

>>do it via email, right?

(If Dave has or had a problem with it I think he would have spoken up, oh thats right I am Dave.)

>>You post as him.

(I posted as him 1 time)

((One time where you got caught, fuckstick.))
–

>He's right about one thing. He did "alert" me in
>E-mail.

It was very thoughtful of you to electronically publish the fact that an "alert" to you in email from one about to post in such a nature with a sig which may be similar or a duplicate of yours, constitutes your implicit consent to do so.

>He wasn't really trying to forge me. He was

>only trying to excite the peanut gallery.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

Then you shouldn't be jumping up and down to the sound of the organ
grinding

2967. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 15:59:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <862c111vts8ff0te2m70n9eshn1276bqcg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196607

On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:13:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>If you say so. I just don't have any desire to make the Guiness book
>>of records for the longest single usenet thread. It's no longer
>>productive.
>
>
>I doubt it would set any records, but I will be happy to chop my last
>large reply down to a size more suitable for slower readers.

You evidently don't believe in brevity.

>>>>But no, I don't keep my bad parts as a rule, unless the failure mode
>>>>is very unusual. If I did, I'd be swimming in them.
>>>
>>>
>>>Uh-huh.

What, you think I actually keep bad parts? Why?

>>>There is no contradiction in my statements at all. There is a fault in
>>>the design. My job is to fix the equipment, even if that includes any
>>>design flaws it may have.
>>
>>No need to explain. I hear you. But what you have outlined is exactly
>>the same process that I use. I take past experience to augment
>>analytical troubleshooting techniques.
>
>
>Past experience would dictate that I shouldn't even bother with the
>diode because I have only seen the problem once in all the amps I have
>ever worked on, Peavey or otherwise. So, according to my experience,
>the diode is a non-issue. But the design flaw remains, leaving open
>the possibility of future failure (which, in a Peavey, is usually
>quite expensive). It's just another fault in need of repair.

Which you learned from experience. Not by any troubleshooting
methodology.

>>>>>No. I think you made up that last line. What's your source?
>>>>
>>>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=forensics
>>>
>>>
>>>http://www.nflonline.org/uploads/Rostrum/ex0203mccrady.pdf

>>
>>Thank you for the link. I've saved it for closer examination when I
>>have more time.
>>
>>But it would seem that my past usage of the word is consistent with
>>both my source and yours
>
>
>I highly recommend you extend your examination to the website that is
>hosting the document.

I wonder how many people stumble on that link and think it's about
football...........

>You always answer unless you are ducking an issue. But just because
>you provide an answer doesn't mean you provided the -correct- answer.
>You eventually provided the correct answer to the light bulb question,
>but only after a lengthy dialog filled with some of your half-baked
>technobabble.

If you want the -correct- answer, you have to ask the -correct-
question.

>
>
>>>>>>My Midland 13-885 has a similar two step process, as does any radio
>>>>>>with an internal mike gain pot.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>What are the specs, and from what source?
>>>>
>>>>SAMS CB-42.
>>>>
>>>>Now go ahead and make the predictable response of trashing my source.
>>>
>>>
>>>You didn't cite the specs.
>>
>>Sorry. It's the same as my specs for the TRC 457/458, 5mV for 50%
>>modulation.
>
>
>Odd that they should be the same since they use different mics. So how
>does the Sams spec compare to the factory spec?

Not odd at all. Both radios use a dynamic stock mike, with about a
600 ohm impedance. The output of such a mike is pretty consistent.

I do not have the factory specs for this radio as it was made in 1971.
The SAMS is as good as it gets, and consistent with other radios.

>>>
>>>
>>>Actually it's called 'downward expansion', as I stated previously. But
>>>the effect on the audio is just as you described.
>>
>>You need to define this "downward expansion" term, as I've never heard
>>it. Perhaps it's your version of caps drying out.
>
>
>You can look it up on google. Regardless, it's a term used to describe
>what happens to compression -below- the average audio level. IOW, when
>you push the average audio level (i.e, mic gain) into compression, the
>audio above that level is compressed while the audio below that level
>is 'expanded'. When intentional, downward expansion is used for gating
>and noise reduction. But when -not- intentional the result is audio
>with a very unique quality that could be characterized as "mushy and
>compressed" by someone unfamiliar with the effect.

In other words a reduction of dynamic range.

Ok, I found the term. It would seem that the term is used primarily in
the recording industry (Which explains why you know it so well). Your
explanation is valid, but for downward expansion to be applicable,
the audio must be already driven into compression, which it would not
be the case at 50% modulation. It is also not applicable in the case
of this CB, as the VR6 pot is after the mike compression stages.
That adjustment does not affect the amount of audio compression that
might occur before the pot (As a result of the TR18 and 19 mike AGC).
So it has no effect on the amount of compression the audio may have at
that point. The AMC clipping (compression) happens after VR6, but at
the time the 50% modulation point is set, the AMC is adjusted to
maximum so it should have no effect.

>>No chance of that happening. And BTW, the caps are on the battery
>>charger. I'm only drawing about 2 or 3 amps though. I'll have to find
>>a better load.
>
>
>What is the AC across the caps?

Are you referring to the amount of ripple? If so it's about .5V.

>>>>But you see, that's a bunch of hooey, because VR6 is nothing more than
>>>>a mike gain control. In fact, on the Cobra 139XLR radio (the
>>>>identical chassis) that I now have, the VR6 pot becomes the front
>>>>panel mounted "dynamike" control. The operator can adjust that pot at
>>>>any point. If the setting was as critical as you are trying to
>>>>portray, do you think they would allow that to be a user control?
>>>
>>>
>>>So you're telling me that adjusting the mic gain control on any radio
>>>that has it doesn't have -any- effect on the quality of the audio?
>>

>>Other than amplitude, no. It should not affect either the tone or
>>"compression". Regardless, I tested mine (without even having to
>>remove the cover (Nice thing about external mike gain). I varied the
>>control and other than the amplitude level, nothing else changed.
>
>
>It would depend on where compression begins. For CVA circuits it
>usually begins quite low. For limiters it will be high. Regardless,
>there was a noticable difference in my TRC-449 (which still has the LP
>filter intact).

Any compression downstream of the VR6 pot would be the result of the
AMC circuit where D21 and D22 are the variable attenuators (clippers),
which should have been adjusted for max when setting the 50% point.

>>>>That radio chassis, in its various forms was all over my local area 20
>>>>years ago. Many people hacked them up (as they did with other radios).
>>>>Most totally defeated the AMC and cranked the mike gains wide open.
>>>>The radio still sounded fine (within the stock BPF limitations), if
>>>>the operator was judicious in the application of the mike gain. It's
>>>>just not THAT sensitive Frank.
>>>
>>>
>>>You are making a big fuss when the solution is so simple, Dave. But
>>>you can't bring yourself to tune the radio according to the spec in
>>>the authorized manual because you can't accept even the -possibility-
>>>that I'm right. That's pathetic.
>>
>>There's no point because the adjustment is not that critical as
>>demonstrated by the Cobra 139XLR and the external "user adjustable"
>>pot.
>
>
>Did you bypass the LP filter in the Cobra as you did in the TRC-449?

No. The Cobra is bone stock. It's a collector's piece for me.

>>>Manual labor does have its virtues.
>>
>>So does Mother Theresa. But that isn't paying the bills.
>
>
>She had all she needed. And from what I hear, there is a severe
>shortage of landscapers in the Boston area. A story on the news
>interviewed a business owner that was willing to pay $40k for a
>ditch-digger. I'm sure that could pay a few bills -- assuming you
>don't have to pay off the Beamer right away.

I don't have a Beamer. I'm not that materialistic. $40K would be a
heck of a pay cut, and my back just isn't cut out for ditch digging.
But I guess it beats Wal-Mart.

>>>>Been there done that. I built an AM broadcast transmitter when I was a
>>>>kid,
>>>
>>>
>>>Who didn't?
>>
>>Most of the other kids in my neighborhood. They preferred other "non
>>geek" activities like endless games of baseball/football and
>>basketball.
>
>
>Oh, the humanity! Those kids should be ashamed of themselves!

Not at all. You asked who hadn't built a radio transmitter, and I told
you who. We all follow different drums in our lives. No one said
anyone was "wrong". But I did answer your question.

>>Other plans. I had to gather my own parts (most of which were
>>scavenged from other bonepile candidates). That was the nice thing
>>about point-point wiring of tube circuits. Just punch a few holes in a
>>piece of sheet metal, and you had an instant "chassis".
>>
>>
>>>And don't tell me you
>>>designed one from scratch because I wouldn't buy that for a minute,
>>>not with your weak understanding of electronic theory.
>>
>>I never designed a full receiver from scratch (why bother when the
>>plans for others were already there).
>
>
>Why bother? Then why bother to build your own receiver when you can
>get a transistor radio at Scavenger City for a couple bits?

For the reason you stated before, personal pride.

>>Did I say that? No. So why jump to a (wrong) conclusion. BTW, I
>>despise morse code.
>
>
>I should have guessed -- you do seem to have a passive-agressive
>attitude towards anything that requires patience and discipline.

Based on what? Where have I ever made any such claim?

>>Ooohhh. high level design. Take a few established building blocks and
>>make them play together. I do that at work.
>
>
>If it were only that easy.

It usually is. In my company, it costs more money to re-invent the
wheel, so we don't do it unless it's absolutely necessary. It also

reduces the likelihood of patent infringement.

>>> Wanna hear what else I've done?
>>
>>Collecting unemployment, it would seem. Which reminds me, is this yet
>>another day off?
>
>
>Nope, gotta work tonight. Do you want my work schedule for the
>weekend, too?

>I don't pick the days or the hours. Kinda wish I could, tho.

Hmm.. The words "Welcome to Wal-Mart" don't apply to your new job do
they?

Not that there is anything wrong with anyone who's gainfully employed.
But most engineering jobs follow a fairly regular 40 hour full time
work schedule. Note the use of the word most, to avoid the mistaken
appearance of another absolute.

>>>> I built my own repeater controller and
>>>>homebrewed a DTMF decoder.
>>>
>>>
>>>You "homebrewed" a DTMF decoder? Don't you mean that you bought a chip
>>>and hooked it up to a power supply and a few externals?
>>
>>More than a "few" externals. The DTMF "chip" decodes DTMF tones and
>>outputs a non-latching 4 bit binary word. I had to incorporate a
>>binary to 1 of 16 decoder, as well as flip-flops for state latch
>>circuits, and a 555 timer for button time-out.
>
>
>Which chip are you talking about?

That would be "chips", as there were about 6 or so. I don't remember
exactly as I built it in 1988. But I still have it in the bin at home.
I retired it after splurging on a fancy bells and whistles voice ID
controller.

>
>>>>I don't do it much now as the demands of family life, and being a good
>>>>parent have taken much of what used to be "hobby time".
>>>
>>>
>>>That's why you spend so much time on the newsgroups, huh?
>>
>>I challenge you to find any posts that I've made at times other than
>>between 6:30 AM and 3:30 PM EST Monday through Friday. I'll bet you
>>can count them on the fingers of one hand.
>

>
>Absense of evidence, Dave. When are you going to learn that
>fundamental concept?

How does it apply in this case? The fact that you will find very few
times that I've posted outside of my established times, is proof that
I don't post then. Where is the absence?

>>Besides, for someone who supposedly got a "new job", you seem to be
>>putting in a good amount of time yourself.
>
>
>I would think that you would be more careful with your assumptions and
>speculations lately -- but then again, perhaps not.

It never stopped you from speculating on my employment status and the
status of my family life. Like I said before, I return in kind. Tit
for tat as it were.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2968. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 16:05:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22847-42161254-1023@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196609

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 18:18:14 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Which would explain your constant paranoia of sock puppets (of which
only YOU continue to invoke), and continued use of such puppets.

>My paranoia? That's really making me

>ROTFL.

..in addition to always finding yourself o the floor, you manage all
kinds of other bizarre behavior, like giving Geo permission to forge
you and pollute the group in order to fulfill some weird personal agenda
born of your affinity for myself.

>You have accused no less than 3 other

>posters of being me,

As you have accused several others of ganging up on you and taking sides
for merely pointing out your contradictory bullshit.

>yet you accuse ME of have a sock puppet

>paranoia?

As was illustrated by another,,,,statistical probability. You have
demonstrated the length you will go in order to carry out your personal
agenda, even to the point of polluting the group. Projection has really
become your defense of
choice. Accusing others of sock paranoia when you blatantly display it
and Geo admits forging you is our folly.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

2969. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 16:20:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9c5c1116faro8c25ejg89ei22ohrhit90g@4ax.com>
Source record: 196608

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 10:12:20 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <h61c11hv1839ca8kql7soq2v3jsfp68kui@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 12:15:51 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:06:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>><Xns96009A057CFBA1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>
>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>>>news:qnn91152jrphe2f2p74p2aah2gu08620mo@4ax.com:
>>>
>>>> It's one thing to get the ticket. It's another to make it stick.
>>>>
>>>> Most car speedometers are not accurate within +/- 1 MPH
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>
>>>Again good point Dave, also if a police officer is going to pace you he
>>>must have a calibrated speedometer, and it must be periodicaly calibrated.
>>
>>
>>That's covered in part D, as I noted in an earlier post. But the fact
>>remains -- contrary to Dave's statement, you CAN get a speeding ticket
>>in PA for going 56 in a 55 zone.
>
>If 99% of people have 2 legs, does the fact that 1% of the people do
>not, diminish the accuracy of describing a human being as a biped?
>
>I don't know anyone who ever got a 1 MPH over ticket. That does not
>mean that it can't happen. But it's clearly the exception, not the
>rule.
>
>My statement is true in the majority of cases.

Same lame excuse, but this time with a really bad analogy. At least
you are getting a -little- creative.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2970. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 17:44:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <gbac11dqflemrgqc0vesh16p8guhvl0bgc@4ax.com>
Source record: 196610

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 09:43:12 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>NNTP-Posting-Date: ï¿½ï¿½ Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 1:35pm (EST-1) Group:
>ï¿½ï¿½ rec.radio.cb Subject: ï¿½ï¿½ Re: Help on SSB failure From: ï¿½ï¿½
>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>User-Agent: ï¿½ï¿½ Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: ï¿½ï¿½ yes Date: ï¿½ï¿½
>Thu, Feb 17, 2005, 1:35pm (EST-1) X-Trace: ï¿½ï¿½
>sv3-HC1j8Vv/jVwPzcgvGIf+b0vP7PFV6/KwoBnKRsF1V9GieDDdXBHsVNFgQXoJGT84ZPLR6LdGRVIgS2h!fcpRN
Hi0Vir7r9bxfyBjiPBo0BsCh+AwlJZYLdiUwOC2M8xLOhUM7+NDwmt87cqbJCno
>X-Complaints-To: ï¿½ï¿½ abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: ï¿½ï¿½
>dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: ï¿½ï¿½ Please be sure to forward
>a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: ï¿½ï¿½ Otherwise we will be
>unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: ï¿½ï¿½ 1.3.31
>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
>news:11822-4214B65C-63 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>helicopter.
>Called a bear-in-the-air. Of course, if you left the cave of despair
>once every few years, you would be clued in.
>
>>Yeah, bear in the Air went out with the
>
>>smokies in 1976 after the realease of Convoy.
>
>>so did traffic enforcement with helicopters.
>
>
>Just like David Hall Jr., you are stuck in a time warp. Your ignorance
>is limited by your own personal experiences.

Wait, I thought he WAS me?

Make up your "mind".

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2971. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 17:49:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <reac119hg8liaqhbg9d1igg8fs9sqna1mf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196611

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 09:40:43 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>On 17 Feb 2005 17:22:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
>news:11820-4214ABD6-877 @storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
>By whose definition? Yours? It's wrong.
>
>>A non-radar state would mean just what the
>
>>phrase connotates: the state is void of radar.
>
>(Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania)
>
>
>LOL..invoking a marketiing ploy and citing it as a source of reference
>for the law is entertaining, nothing more.
>
>(Is that what you do for a living, George?)
>
>>Careful Steveo. Twisty thinks he's me......
>
>
>
>Yep, right after "Geo" forged you and said you gave him permission to
>do so in email in order to pollute the group.

I didn't give him "permission" He told me about it after the fact as a
courtesy. But I didn't have a problem with it. Watching you chase your
tail trying to figure out who is really who is entertaining enough.

> I am not the first to note
>your behavior outside this group hinges on myself.

How would you (or anyone else here for that matter) know anything
about my behavior outside this group?

More self aggrandizing.

> You need a real life, David.

I have one. And you're consumed with it.

So who else am I today?

Dave
"Sandbagger"
http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

2972. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 17:53:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <lnac119qr8m62scd22rc01ubjsohj67qq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196613

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 10:01:07 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>(Thats why it is funny
>as a fart in a space suit to watch twisty try to tell me what i mean and
>what is reality all this from 1800 miles away.)
>
>>In this case it's common sense. No gives a 1
>
>>mph speeding ticket, not even in a school
>
>>zone.
>
>
>
>I dunno know about that. From what I can read, it's called "Zero
>Tolerance" and has been practiced in just about each state at one time
>or another.

That may be true in many states (Like I said, I believe Maryland is
one) but not, as a rule, in Pa..

the presence of 3368 (c)(4) pretty much negates any notion of "Zero
Tolerance". Zero Tolerance is exactly that. There would be ZERO
exceptions.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2973. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 17:54:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ssac11tmrc5qp8iim0qgaprbj6acckae58@4ax.com>
Source record: 196612

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 10:03:41 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state
>is void of radar.
>Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
>
>>Is that what you do for a living, George?
>
>>--
>ï¿½
>
>>ï¿½ï
¿½ï¿½http://NewsReader.Com
>
>
>Geo works the batting cages at Chuckie Cheese?

No, I do that. Er....... yeh....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2974. Re: Linear Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 17:59:34 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <40bc11p746d37nptsqrm3hqs07307ln2bh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196614

My statement from a few posts back:

"Projection has really become your defense of choice. Accuse others of sock paranoia when you blatantly display it. Accuse others of "communications deficits", when you can't understand plain English words. Accusing others of not having any support, when you have never gotten a single vote of confidence on any of your deluded points".

Your statement:

> Projection has really become your defense of choice.
> Accusing others of sock paranoia when you blatantly display it
> and Geo admits forging you is our folly.

You can't even be original. THAT is your folly, and our entertainment.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2975. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 18:01:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <19bc11dt1er7uomjq00oa9rh75rslu6nt7@4ax.com>
Source record: 196615

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:51:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>
>
>That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
>now.

No need. I am confident in my abilities. My past accomplishments tell
the story. Insecurity is not one of my foibles.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2976. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 18:08:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <edbc11d54vkid6ftrlcn26ksg8tpr2uf8g@4ax.com>
Source record: 196616

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:12:13 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:01:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <dvlb11hmam7g9n9tf45hdau20qd52a1q1u@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 12:15:51 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:06:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>><Xns96009A057CFBA1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>
>>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>>>>news:qnn91152jrphe2f2p74p2aah2gu08620mo@4ax.com:
>>>>
>>>> It's one thing to get the ticket. It's another to make it stick.
>>>>
>>>> Most car speedometers are not accurate within +/- 1 MPH
>>>>
>>>> Dave
>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>>
>>>>Again good point Dave, also if a police officer is going to pace you he
>>>>must have a calibrated speedometer, and it must be periodicaly calibrated.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's covered in part D, as I noted in an earlier post. But the fact
>>>remains -- contrary to Dave's statement, you CAN get a speeding ticket
>>>in PA for going 56 in a 55 zone.
>>
>>Once again, you are trying to take a relative situation and trying to
>>make it into an absolute.
>
>
>Wrong. You made a definitive statement that was wrong

No, I did not. I stated that they have to give 5 MPH for measurement
inaccuracies. The fact is that they do. The fact that it is not 100%
across the board does not invalidate what I've said.

> and are now
>trying to squirm out of it by adding conditions and claiming that
>something else was "implied". That's a Twisty-tactic.

You should have learned by now Frank, few things in life are absolute. Most things are relative or conditional. For you to assume the absolute case is your problem not mine.

>> You're trying to disprove the valid point
>>which applies in the majority of the situations, by a statistical
>>minority.

>Your statement mentioned nothing about statistical probability.

I made a blanket statement which is true in the vast majority of cases. You are claiming I'm wrong based on a potential minority of cases. Who's being ridiculous here?

>>While there might be a greenhorn rookie cop, who's out to make a name
>>for himself as a hardass, and might try to pull stuff like that. But
>>it won't take long before he gets tired of appearing in court only to
>>have the cases thrown out.
>>
>>The law is clear
>
>
>Yes it is. It states that leeway must be given when the measuring
>device is electronic, but that leeway -doesn't apply- for measuring
>devices that are mechanical or electrical. It's yet another in a long
>list of facts that you refuse to accept.

But you refuse to accept that those cases are rare.

>> and it applies to the great majority of cases and
>>situations, as well as the majority of the speed measuring equipment
>>used by PA. cops.
>>
>>My statement stands. Cops will give at least a 5 MPH grace for
>>speeding violations.
>>
>>Small exceptions do not invalidate the rule.
>
>
>ANY exception is enough to invalidate your statement, Dave.

No it's not, as I never used the words "all", "100%", "always", "never", or any other word that precludes any possible exception. I spoke for the majority of cases. In that case, my statement is correct.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2977. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 18:12:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tpbc11tolhu5r47sassm5ijbm4u9945e32@4ax.com>
Source record: 196617

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 08:20:10 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>If 99% of people have 2 legs, does the fact that 1% of the people do
>>not, diminish the accuracy of describing a human being as a biped?
>>
>>I don't know anyone who ever got a 1 MPH over ticket. That does not
>>mean that it can't happen. But it's clearly the exception, not the
>>rule.
>>
>>My statement is true in the majority of cases.
>
>
>Same lame excuse, but this time with a really bad analogy. At least
>you are getting a -little- creative.

Most poor debaters,who cannot rationally counter the point, tend to
use ad-hominem tactics to attack the opposition's methods instead.
This case is no different.

Calling my analogy "bad", simply because you can't counter it is
especially revealing.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2978. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 18:18:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <d1cc11d27c4hat3j8u2f3h6t917ejgd9vf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196618

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:15:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:05:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <pamb11tp9p0i4pthsall1b2b8mtv6q1j1l@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:17:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 13:14:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <qkn9119ktftni07hsa6mr01145oa62ta5f@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:52:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>>>><Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>><snip>
>>>>>> You defend this behavior?
>>>>>
>>>>>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>>>>>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
>>>>>you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>>>>you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>>>
>>>What angle are you trying to work now?
>>>>
>>>If a cop is staking out a posted 55 zone with either RADAR or VASCAR,
>>>they must, by law give you 6 MPH minimum. So you won't get a ticket
>>>for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>>
>>>
>>More conditions, Dave? You didn't say anything about RADAR or VASCAR
>>in your original statement. Or are you just playing dumb again?
>>
>>
>I didn't think I had to spell out every single condition. I figured
>(evidently incorrectly) that most intelligent people are aware of the

>>common speed checking devices in normal use.
>
>
>Such as a stopwatch?

Not used. VASCAR is essentially the same thing only it does the speed=
distance/time calculation automatically.

>>The law is clear. Experience and common sense covers the rest. What's
>>left is a small number of cases which does not invalidate what I said
>>for the great majority of cases.
>
>
>Once again, you didn't say "for the great majority of cases". You said
>they are "required" to allow some leeway, and you gave no conditions
>under which that rule may not apply.

I didn't think I needed to. Most people understand that statements are
not universal, unless expressly noted, and based on the majority of
cases.

Had I said that the police are required to give 5 MPH in ALL cases,
you would have proven me wrong as it only takes one contrary case to
prove an absolute statement wrong. That's exactly why I avoid
absolutes.

You seem to feel that I should qualify my statements as not absolute.
I feel the opposite. It's the "glass is half full versus half empty"
comparison.

>>It's not an all or nothing world we live in Frank. Stop treating it as
>>such.
>
>
>You made the claim, Dave, not me.

I made a claim. You misinterpreted it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2979. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 18:34:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <fkcc1194vvabqduf7klvaru8indarg2cid@4ax.com>
Source record: 196619

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:34:07 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>How about stopwatches? I know for a FACT that they are used in PA, and
>they are described, by PA law, as a mechanical speed timing device.

They may be described, but ther are not used as a matter of practice,
except as a possible cross check for other devices.

>>It doesn't. But considering the fact that there are few strictly
>>mechanical speed measuring devices available, the choices are limited.
>
>
>I don't think so, Dave:
>
>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter105/chap105toc.html

I am glad to see that your person crusade to trash me has pushed you
into researching sites that you otherwise would have no interest. I
hope the experience has been educational. But after all that trouble
it must be a bit disappointing to find that in addition to
aforementioned speedometers, that a common stopwatch is the only other
mechanical device you could find.

Considering that a stopwatch has to be stopped and started manually,
how long do you think a 1 MPH over ticket would last in court when you
consider the error introduced by reaction time? A stopwatch suffers
from the same basic limitation that VASCAR does.

But keep digging. It's fun to watch you grasp at straws.

>>Just because a cop CAN use a mechanical device, doesn't mean that they
>>do. There's theory and there's practice. You're trying to argue based
>>on theory, I'm basing my points on practice.
>
>
>You are making arguments based on your own speculations.

No, I'm basing them on the word given to me by several members of the
law enforcement community.

>>>>>Cops in PA don't pace speeders?
>>>>
>>>>Not as a rule. It takes too much trouble, and are easily spotted.
>>>>Those municipal license plates and antennas stick out like a sore
>>>>thumb even on unmarked cars.
>>>

>>>
>>>So if I were to contact, say, a hundred people in PA that have had
>>>speeding tickets in the past year, almost none of them would say that
>>>they were popped by a cop pacing them in their blind spot?
>>
>>Go for it. Be sure to provide verifiable data. And make sure you find
>>out if they were popped for less than 5 MPH over.
>
>
>http://www.speedtrap.org/speedtraps/ste_city.asp?state=PA

Ok, so what about it? That site lists speed trap locations. Were are
the testimonies from all those "under 5 MPH" people?

>>You are asking me to speculate about all the possible uses for that
>>information. I'm not about to do that.
>
>
>Why not? You speculate about everything else. How is this any
>different?

When I speculate on something, it is based on either knowledge of, or
experience in, a particular subject. You may (and seem to be making a
habit of) dispute my knowledge and experience, but that's another
matter. When I have no solid information about a subject, I will not
speculate about it

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2980. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 18:44:45 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hddc119pb7dducl3lbve7v2os9dvul3hr8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196620

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:50:54 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>Of course he isn't. If he -was- a bad guy he would be in the killfile.
>He has great potential, he's just a little mixed up right now.

That is your opinion. And your opinion only.

>> your now doing the
>>twisty charade of telling people what they meant. It is your method that
>>has me defending Dave, and In fact i agree with much he has to say, he
>>doesnt lie in my opinion. Just because you dont agree with him re:
>>politics, and I know you will have some excuse of daves behaviour or
>>whatever other ryhme or reason. I dont buy it Frank.
>
>
>I have agreed with Dave on many things, including politics. But it's
>not that I disagree with the other issues so much that many of his
>opinions, right or wrong, are founded on misinformation, propoganda,
>or poor qualitative reasoning skills.

Again, that is in comparison to YOUR information, propaganda and
qualitative skills. And that is what has you steamed. In an arena such
as politics, there are very few verifiable facts, but a lot of spin
and propaganda. You tend to believe one side, while I tend to favor
the other. There is nothing wrong with that. But don't tell me I'm
wrong because I don't believe the same stuff you do.

> For an intelligent person like
>him (or yourself, for that matter) to fall back on "core beliefs" as
>an excuse to dismiss facts and logic is bogus, and it undermines the
>credibility of any argument against keyclowns who use the very same
>tactics.

I can employ logic in the same way that you can. But when the topic
that yo use your logic on are unverifiable, what good is logic when
the premise's are faulty?
>
>I have adopted -some- tactics used by Twisty, as well as from others
>in this newsgroup from all three sides of the fence.

At least you can admit that much.

>I have also
>-rejected- some tactics used by Twisty, such as his pop-psychology and
>his diversionary topics game (the latter, BTW, is being used by Dave
>quite frequently these days, so if you are going to admonish me for

>using Twisty's tactics then you should do the same to him).

I enjoy my study of human behavior. It's fun to watch people fit into certain categories. Sorry if the truth hurts certain insecure and narcissistic people.

> I also
>have rejected many of -your- tactics, such as attacking those who
>support or defend another -- otherwise, both Vinny and yourself would
>be included in the scope of this discussion. But you aren't because my
>discussions with Dave have nothing to do with either of you. And
>frankly, I'm a little disappointed that Dave hasn't asked you to
>butt-out because he is capable of speaking for himself.

This is a public forum not e-mail Frank. Everyone is welcome to participate. If you wanted a private exchange to honestly discuss methodologies, you could have e-mailed me at any time. The fact that you chose instead to attempt to belittle me in a public forum invalidates any right you may claim to exclusivity in these discussions.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2981. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 18:55:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6vdc11lrikbec4t45kp57lpa27l7b99pl8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196621

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 15:36:15 GMT, "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
>news:nnnb1119k03ai9rv73a40dd9k6ihkq68qp@4ax.com...
>>> Then a
>>>post or two later you stumbled upon the law that you are clinging too as
>>>gospel, that's when you changed the parameters
>>>to suite yourself.
>>
>> Well the law is the law..... Bummer that it deflates the egos of
>> those who have now made it their life's mission to prove me wrong at
>> every turn.
>
>Not I dude, remember, I am a republican too, I have
>yet harp on you at all when you start into that area
>of life.

Sorry, that was meant for those that it applies to. Not you.

>>
>>> Keep a hold of that piece of paper, because make
>>>sure to take it with you when you go to court. Take that
>>>day off, loose that pay just to fight a stupid ticket.
>>
>> I'm a salaried employee. I wouldn't lose any pay.
>>
>> Why are you so sure that I'd lose?
>
>I never said you would lose. I said that you lose
>because you have to take time off of work, lose
>pay (or in your case, the company loses productivity
>of one of it's workers), all to deal with a BS ticket.

Well, yea, I guess someone loses. That's why in Pa, the cops wait
until the offense is serious before they issue the ticket. Most people
will be less likely to fight a ticket when it's 10 MPH over the posted
limit. The common defenses start to dry up at that point.

>
>> Why do you not believe the law as
>> it is stated?
>
>Because, if you break a vehicle code, no matter
>what YOU say, a cop can write you a ticket.

If that were true, then 3368 (c)(4) would not be necessary.

>Whether or not he's right or wrong he can still
>ticket you. Then you have to take the time out
>to deal with it or pay it, which most people would
>probably do in society today.

Most police department supervisors will "get on" the backs of
patrolmen who habitually write "bad" tickets. It reflects poorly on
the department.

>
>> If a cop times me (or anyone else) with any of the popular electronic
>> measuring methods, the law clearly states that they have to allow at
>> least 5 (and sometimes 10 under certain conditions) MPH to account for
>> inaccuracies. The chance that I would be "timed" by a simple
>> mechanical device (and therefore potentially exempt from the 5 MPH
>> exemption), is about as great as my getting hit by lightning. I've
>> almost been struck by lightening (a strange feeling in itself). I've
>> never gotten a speeding ticket.
>
>Lucky you, I'll admit I've had close to a dozen, but all that done in my
>late teens very early 20's.

I had the misfortune (or fortune in retrospect) of having a grossly
underpowered car during my formative driving years. It made really
speeding a bit more difficult.

>> Even when using a mechanical device, which is supposedly exempt from
>> the 5 MPH rule, based on what my cop friends tell me, the cop will
>> likely still not pull anyone over until at least 5 over. They just
>> don't want the hassle of going to court, which is a lot more likely
>> with such a small degree of violation.
>
>Who's says he has to go to court? If he wants to write
>you he can, if he wants to go to court he can, if it's
>a BS ticket, he'll ignore the court date and the case will
>be dismissed, but YOU still had the hassle just because
>you went 1mph over the speed limit.

Ok, I think I see where you are going here. But if the cop
deliberately ignores 3368(c)(4), then he will be in line for a talking
to by his superior.

> It opens all sorts of accuracy
>> questions. Since an automotive speedometer is not required to be
>> calibrated, you cannot be held responsible if it's not (how would you
>> know?). If you go to court with proof that the speedo is off (and had
>> it fixed), chances are you'll walk. Cops hate going to court. They
>> like it even less if their case is tossed.
>
> Of course they do, especially if they think their right.
>If he wants to just boost his quota, there's the answer.

>You say you know some cops, I have stated before and
>say it again, I have family that are cops and the mentality
>is pretty amazing depending on the type of department they
>are with.

No argument there.

> Well I'm done with this thread, you keep believing
>that cops don't write tickets for 1mph over (even in your
>state dude, no matter what you think), I know they do.

If they do here, unless they use an approved mechanical device to measure speed, then they are in violation of 3368(c)(4). Sure I guess they COULD still do it, but most are not so ignorant/arrogant/desperate.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2982. Re: Dogie wants Steveo in his face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 19:40:52 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108755652.261435.111150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196623

Steveo wrote:
> I propose me renting the boxing ring time in Toledo, and Doug
> and I settling his -hooked on gay- in the squared circle. Like you, I
> really don't care, except the part where no one talks that way to
> my face without trouble. He only lives 3 exit's down the Ohio
green-stamp
> from me.

3 exits? That can't be true. Oh wait, there's no way to check because
YOU CANNOT GIVE OUT YOUR ADDRESS STEVEO lol

>
> I know the pat answer is to ignore him. Most times I do, but the urge
> to rub his deputy dogie jowls in my spit is hard to resist.

!!!!!!! You want your saliva on a mans face ? And you'll rub it? !!!!
Sounds very GAY to me!

>
> Figure a fair boxing match right down the street from his house, with
> me paying the rental is a fair way to settle it?
>

Fair? How can it be fair when you sneak around hiding your real name,
limpywristy?

2983. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 19:42:08 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <kyrRd.2053$x53.1459@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

>
>
>
> Many hams used to be CB'ers/Freebanders, and like to "return to the
> roost" once in a while.
>

I got my start in radio with a legal CB radio back in 1976.
Then got a ham license and never went back. If I wanted
to "freeband" I can legally use the 10 meter band as a ham
for the same operations. But with the sunspots out, I
can use 20 or lower just as legally.

2984. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 21:40:09 UTC
From: N3CJV@invalid.invalid
Message-ID: <20050218164009.420$ev@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196624

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 18 Feb 2005 02:28:58 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >wrote:
> >> If I actually wanted to forge Dave i would use some other means other
> >> than my comcast account. I also emailed dave and told him what I was
> >> doing, so now tipsy is severely cornfused
> >>
> >So you're claiming you told Dave you were going to forge him, and
> >he agreed for you to do it via email, right?
> >>
> >> >> If Dave has or had a problem with it I think he would have spoken
> >> >> up, oh thats right I am Dave.
> >> >>
> >> > You post as him.
> >>
> >> I posted as him 1 time
> >>
> >One time where you got caught, fuckstick.
>
> He's right about one thing. He did "alert" me in E-mail.
>
> He wasn't really trying to forge me.
>
Nah, he saves that for me.
>
> He was only trying to excite the
> peanut gallery.
>
Same shit, different stink.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2985. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 21:41:19 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <srnc11p7hdat9angjs8lbt4ji20tsbmhuf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196625

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:51:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote in <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>
>
>That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
>now.

Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a lot. I
appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.

But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying feeling in this
group, that there is some sort of prize, if you know more than someone. Almost
like there is a contest to one up someone else. I just don't get it. Are people
that insecure? With a couple of killfiles, I have cleaned most of the garbage of
this group. I don't kill file you or anyone, that can be informative or helpful.
Regardless of what side of the line you fall on.

But this is a perfect example. Dave did nothing but try to solve a problem for
me, and you take a swipe at him. Maybe you know more than him, or maybe he knows
more than you. But once you leave the area of the keyboard, who the hell really
cares? Does you wife care, do you friends care? Probably not. So why is it so
important that everyone here knows?

Vinnie S.

2986. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 21:43:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <g8oc11dfkng1urbg4lf3nsi9aackqjh3f8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196627

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 10:18:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>>"You need to add an insulator between the final and the Heatsink.
>>>Simply unscrew the final mounting screw, and you should be able to slide a mica
>>>insulator between the final and heatsink.
>>>
>>>
>>>Make sure to use heatsink compound on both sides of the insulator.
>>>
>>>
>>>Now for the reason: Uniden chose not to use an insulator. The mounting tab of
>>>the final is Power ground. (emitter)
>>>The heatsink is Chassi Ground. Connecting the final emitter to chassi ground (at
>>>the mounting Screw) can sometimes cause an RF Ground loop."
>>>
>>>Anyway, I will take a look at your solution too. Thanks.
>>>
>>
>>
>>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>>
>
>Be careful if you choose to insulate the transistor from the heatsink.
>Be sure to use a plastic screw (or a smaller metal screw with a
>plastic washer), or you won't really be insulating it.

That would have been the last try. I was going to bias as soon as a DMM came in
thru mailorder. But this coil think blows my mind. I still am not sold there
isn't another problem. I barely moved the thing, but Jim was right. It made
enough of a difference.

Vinnie S.

2987. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 21:43:38 UTC
From: N3CJV@invalid.invalid
Message-ID: <20050218164338.863$GX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196626

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050217122250.435$px@newsreader.com:
> >Is that what you do for a living, George?
>
> (I am in a related field to the subject in
> question.)
>
> >It seems like you're up to date on such things.
>
> (Thats why it is funny
> as a fart in a space suit to watch twisty try to tell me what i mean and
> what is reality all this from 1800 miles away.)
>
> >In this case it's common sense. No gives a 1
>
> >mph speeding ticket, not even in a school
>
> >zone.
>
> I dunno know about that.
>
Get in line for this question, Twist. Ever know anyone that got
a 1mph speeding ticket?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2988. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 21:51:10 UTC
From: N3CJV@invalid.invalid
Message-ID: <20050218165110.795$Qt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196628

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state
> is void of radar.
> Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania
>
> >Is that what you do for a living, George?
>
> Geo works the batting cages at Chuckie Cheese?
>
D'oh! Pizza time theater.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2989. breaker breaker..test post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 21:53:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218165322.953$Eg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196629

never mind.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2990. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:03:11 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <bgpc11535in19q1t43822co7rmeb03moif@4ax.com>
Source record: 196630

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:43:03 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:

>>>>Now for the reason: Uniden chose not to use an insulator. The mounting tab of
>>>>the final is Power ground. (emitter)
>>>>The heatsink is Chassi Ground. Connecting the final emitter to chassi ground (at
>>>>the mounting Screw) can sometimes cause an RF Ground loop."
>>>>
>>>>Anyway, I will take a look at your solution too. Thanks.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>>>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>>>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>>>
>>
>>Be careful if you choose to insulate the transistor from the heatsink.
>>Be sure to use a plastic screw (or a smaller metal screw with a
>>plastic washer), or you won't really be insulating it.
>
>
>That would have been the last try. I was going to bias as soon as a DMM came in
>thru mailorder. But this coil think blows my mind. I still am not sold there
>isn't another problem. I barely moved the thing, but Jim was right. It made
>enough of a difference.
>
>Vinnie S.

Spoke too soon. Problem reoccured.

Vinnie S.

2991. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:04:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218170447.195$YG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196631

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> That would have been the last try. I was going to bias as soon as a DMM
> came in thru mailorder. But this coil think blows my mind. I still am not
> sold there isn't another problem. I barely moved the thing, but Jim was
> right. It made enough of a difference.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
What the hell will you do with a DMM now?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2992. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:15:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218171541.744$4I@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196632

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Another Bold face Lie I never siad Dave gave me permission to do so, I
> told you I emailed him and told him what I was doing. Your obvously
> desperate to lie like you do.
>
will you email and tell me before you use my name in your posts again?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2993. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:25:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218172501.598$dO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196633

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> > Well I'm done with this thread, you keep believing
> that cops don't write tickets for 1mph over (even in your
> state dude, no matter what you think), I know they do.
>
> Have a good one.....
>
> Landshark
>
Sorry Shark..it would make any cop a laughing stock if he did that. If he wrote me a 1mph ticket, I'd be sure to find some time to stalk him at the courthouse and call him Barney Fife several dozen times a week.

Paybacks are a bitch.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2994. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:36:53 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <edrc1194a007ha3i7kb8683v9nl6bp69rp@4ax.com>
Source record: 196634

On 18 Feb 2005 22:04:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> That would have been the last try. I was going to bias as soon as a DMM
>> came in thru mailorder. But this coil think blows my mind. I still am not
>> sold there isn't another problem. I barely moved the thing, but Jim was
>> right. It made enough of a difference.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>What the hell will you do with a DMM now?

Don't matter. I still need it. Mostly for current. My company DMM doesn't measure current. But it appears everyone is right in some sense. I have narrowed it down to the back area, by moving and removing the cover.

Vinnie S.

2995. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:38:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218173854.814$Gg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196635

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> LOL!!! Hey man, I've left him alone :)
> All I posted was "Geo", he's the one that comes unglued.
> =A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0=A0OH well, I'll leave him alone, but you know
> he'll ramble on. Send pictures from the Dream Cruise, better looking
> women ;)
> _
> Google in the bin again? Good show. Here's to a google-free 2005.
>
Set a filter for groups.google&#65533;.com/groups.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2996. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:54:14 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218175414.641$M8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196636

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> N3CJV@invalid.invalid wrote in news:20050218164009.420$ev@newsreader.com:
>
> >> He wasn't really trying to forge me.
> >>
> > Nah, he saves that for me.
>
> i dont forge you you stupid fucking retard, you have more friends than me
> ifn fact i though we had kinda chilledSINCE AFTER THE NEW YEAR but I see
> you cant do thaT AND WANT TO START YOUR SHIT AGAIN, SO I GUESS IT'S BACK
> ON
>
> >>
> >> He was only trying to excite the
> >> peanut gallery.
> >>
> > Same shit, different stink.
>
> yes as i said before you are the king of forges Other than this past week
> I havent posted to this ng often, it really sucks in here, why waste my
> time I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
>
Go bavk to posting as Dave Hall, monkey-boi. I tried to be nice to you.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2997. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:58:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218175807.691$7x@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196637

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> news:fjmb111uuap8j7a68pad0c2bhebgq9c8d7@4ax.com:
>
> > > Yes it is. It states that leeway must be given when the measuring
> > > device is electronic, but that leeway -doesn't apply- for measuring
> > > devices that are mechanical or electrical. It's yet another in a long
> > > list of facts that you refuse to accept.
>
> Frank is a radar mechanical or electrical? Name a mechanical means of
> speed enforcement.
>
Grand Daddy ran whiskey in a big block Dodge..bought it at an auction
at the Mason's lodge. ($1 Steve Earle)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

2998. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 22:58:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <sqmc11lh7agtgoijqkjqh2becmjrkhgjv0@4ax.com>
Source record: 196638

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 10:59:55 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <862c111vts8ff0te2m70n9eshn1276bqcg@4ax.com>:

>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:13:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>If you say so. I just don't have any desire to make the Guiness book
>>>of records for the longest single usenet thread. It's no longer
>>>productive.
>>
>>
>>I doubt it would set any records, but I will be happy to chop my last
>>large reply down to a size more suitable for slower readers.
>
>You evidently don't believe in brevity.

Is that the best excuse you can provide for avoiding the topics you
snipped from my post? So what's your excuse for my other, much shorter
posts that you have ignored?

>>>>>But no, I don't keep my bad parts as a rule, unless the failure mode
>>>>>is very unusual. If I did, I'd be swimming in them.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Uh-huh.
>
>What, you think I actually keep bad parts? Why?

I find it just good practice to keep records of all my work, and those
records include any bad parts (assuming the customers don't want them
back, which hasn't happened yet). I even kept that diode from the
Peavey. Keeping bad parts (with a record of what equipment they came
from) helps to identify low-quality components and manufacturers,
gives me a reference for comparison to suspect components, etc. There
are several other reasons, and I find a new reason every now and then.

>>>>There is no contradiction in my statements at all. There is a fault in
>>>>the design. My job is to fix the equipment, even if that includes any
>>>>design flaws it may have.
>>>
>>>No need to explain. I hear you. But what you have outlined is exactly
>>>the same process that I use. I take past experience to augment
>>>analytical troubleshooting techniques.
>>
>>

>>Past experience would dictate that I shouldn't even bother with the
>>diode because I have only seen the problem once in all the amps I have
>>ever worked on, Peavey or otherwise. So, according to my experience,
>>the diode is a non-issue. But the design flaw remains, leaving open
>>the possibility of future failure (which, in a Peavey, is usually
>>quite expensive). It's just another fault in need of repair.
>
>Which you learned from experience. Not by any troubleshooting
>methodology.

You are so hell-bent on your 'experience' excuse that you'll push it
regardless of the context, won't you?

>>>>>>No. I think you made up that last line. What's your source?
>>>>>
>>>>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=forensics
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>http://www.nflonline.org/uploads/Rostrum/ex0203mccrady.pdf
>>>
>>>Thank you for the link. I've saved it for closer examination when I
>>>have more time.
>>>
>>>But it would seem that my past usage of the word is consistent with
>>>both my source and yours
>>
>>
>>I highly recommend you extend your examination to the website that is
>>hosting the document.
>
>I wonder how many people stumble on that link and think it's about
>football...........

Probably close to the same number that have have ignorantly adopted
the television definition of 'forensics'.

>>You always answer unless you are ducking an issue. But just because
>>you provide an answer doesn't mean you provided the -correct- answer.
>>You eventually provided the correct answer to the light bulb question,
>>but only after a lengthy dialog filled with some of your half-baked
>>technobabble.
>
>If you want the -correct- answer, you have to ask the -correct-
>question.

I did ask the -correct- question. And I did get the -correct-
answer..... eventually.

>>>>>>>My Midland 13-885 has a similar two step process, as does any radio
>>>>>>>with an internal mike gain pot.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>

>>>>>>What are the specs, and from what source?
>>>>>
>>>>>SAMS CB-42.
>>>>>
>>>>>Now go ahead and make the predictable response of trashing my source.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You didn't cite the specs.
>>>
>>>Sorry. It's the same as my specs for the TRC 457/458, 5mV for 50%
>>>modulation.
>>
>>
>>Odd that they should be the same since they use different mics. So how
>>does the Sams spec compare to the factory spec?
>
>Not odd at all. Both radios use a dynamic stock mike, with about a
>600 ohm impedance. The output of such a mike is pretty consistent.

That's interesting, since I have dynamic mics with impedances from 100
to 50k ohms, and with output levels from -60 to -10dB. The stock mic
for the TRC-449 is 600 ohms but the output level is not specified. Are
they specified for the Midland?

>I do not have the factory specs for this radio as it was made in 1971.
>The SAMS is as good as it gets, and consistent with other radios.

Yet radios have their differences.

>>>>Actually it's called 'downward expansion', as I stated previously. But
>>>>the effect on the audio is just as you described.
>>>
>>>You need to define this "downward expansion" term, as I've never heard
>>>it. Perhaps it's your version of caps drying out.
>>
>>
>>You can look it up on google. Regardless, it's a term used to describe
>>what happens to compression -below- the average audio level. IOW, when
>>you push the average audio level (i.e, mic gain) into compression, the
>>audio above that level is compressed while the audio below that level
>>is 'expanded'. When intentional, downward expansion is used for gating
>>and noise reduction. But when -not- intentional the result is audio
>>with a very unique quality that could be characterized as "mushy and
>>compressed" by someone unfamiliar with the effect.
>
>In other words a reduction of dynamic range.

No, a reduction of dynamic range is called compression; i.e, the
dynamic range is compressed. Expansion is just the opposite. (And yes,
I can hear you whining already: ".....that's a contradiction, Frank!
So before you get bent out of shape, notice that 'expansion' is not
the same as 'downward expansion', as the latter can occur during

compression -or- expansion.)

>Ok, I found the term. It would seem that the term is used primarily in
>the recording industry (Which explains why you know it so well). Your
>explanation is valid,

No kidding.

> but for downward expansion to be applicable,
>the audio must be already driven into compression, which it would not
>be the case at 50% modulation.

Wrong. This is a classic case of how screwing up one thing leads to a cascade of consequences......

If the reference level is intended to be 0.5mV but you feed it 5mV then you are forced to compensate by decreasing the gain of the mic amp. Because the mic gain is low, you need to drive the mic with more audio (literally, ten times more audio power) in order to push the radio to 50% modulation. But in order to drive the output of the mic higher you must either talk louder or move the mic closer to your mouth. Assuming that your subconscious mind made the compensation automatically (as the subconscious mind usually does), you moved the mic closer. But your conscious mind failed to consider one of the basic characteristics of the dynamic mic -- when you move the mic closer to your mouth you increase the spectral lows -much- more than the highs. So by changing the audio equalization you subsequently screwed up the proper operation of the AMC, because lower frequencies have a greater power level and will subsequently drive the AMC into limiting more often than normal.

The net effect was a combination of reduced mic sensitivity and increased AMC limiting; i.e, a nearly textbook case of downward expansion, with some extra 'mush' due to the AMC limiter working overtime.

Yet you, after 35 years of loud-n-proud expertise in the biz, didn't realize what was really happening, didn't care to find out, and jumped to the conclusion that the best way to compensate for the increased low freq response was to bypass the low-pass filter. Didn't anybody ever teach you that two wrongs don't make a right?

If you set the mic gain properly then you don't need to hold the mic with your teeth, the mic isn't overdriven, the spectral response of the audio is normal, there is no need to bypass the LP filter, and the AMC works as intended.

> It is also not applicable in the case
>of this CB, as the VR6 pot is after the mike compression stages.
>That adjustment does not affect the amount of audio compression that
>might occur before the pot (As a result of the TR18 and 19 mike AGC).
>So it has no effect on the amount of compression the audio may have at
>that point.

TR18 and TR19 make a clamping (hard) limiter, not a compressor or AGC.
Notice the configuration of the transistors -- the gain is very high
and the pair behave almost like a switch that's turned on when the
audio is high enough to get past the forward voltage drop of D20 and
turn on the switch.

> The AMC clipping (compression) happens after VR6, but at
>the time the 50% modulation point is set, the AMC is adjusted to
>maximum so it should have no effect.

That's so the AMC won't have any effect on the modulation while
setting the mic gain.

>>>No chance of that happening. And BTW, the caps are on the battery
>>>charger. I'm only drawing about 2 or 3 amps though. I'll have to find
>>>a better load.
>>
>>
>>What is the AC across the caps?
>
>Are you referring to the amount of ripple?

I am referring to what the meter reads when you switch it to AC and
put the probes on the leads of the cap.

> If so it's about .5V.

Well that's no good. Do you have more than one cap hooked up at the
same time? What are the ratings on the cap? You do realize that the
purpose is to -make- them fail under controlled conditions, don't you?

>>>>>But you see, that's a bunch of hooey, because VR6 is nothing more than
>>>>>a mike gain control. In fact, on the Cobra 139XLR radio (the
>>>>>identical chassis) that I now have, the VR6 pot becomes the front
>>>>>panel mounted "dynamike" control. The operator can adjust that pot at
>>>>>any point. If the setting was as critical as you are trying to
>>>>>portray, do you think they would allow that to be a user control?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So you're telling me that adjusting the mic gain control on any radio
>>>>that has it doesn't have -any- effect on the quality of the audio?
>>>
>>>Other than amplitude, no. It should not affect either the tone or
>>>"compression". Regardless, I tested mine (without even having to
>>>remove the cover (Nice thing about external mike gain). I varied the
>>>control and other than the amplitude level, nothing else changed.
>>
>>
>>It would depend on where compression begins. For CVA circuits it
>>usually begins quite low. For limiters it will be high. Regardless,
>>there was a noticable difference in my TRC-449 (which still has the LP
>>filter intact).
>

>Any compression downstream of the VR6 pot would be the result of the
>AMC circuit where D21 and D22 are the variable attenuators (clippers),
>which should have been adjusted for max when setting the 50% point.

I have been letting this slide, but it's time that something should be
cleared up: This radio has no compression per se..... TR18 and TR19
make a -hard limiter-, while the AMC (D21 and D22 driven by the audio
power amp through TR23) is a -soft limiter-.

>>>>>That radio chassis, in its various forms was all over my local area 20
>>>>>years ago. Many people hacked them up (as they did with other radios).
>>>>>Most totally defeated the AMC and cranked the mike gains wide open.
>>>>>The radio still sounded fine (within the stock BPF limitations), if
>>>>>the operator was judicious in the application of the mike gain. It's
>>>>>just not THAT sensitive Frank.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You are making a big fuss when the solution is so simple, Dave. But
>>>>you can't bring yourself to tune the radio according to the spec in
>>>>the authorized manual because you can't accept even the -possibility-
>>>>that I'm right. That's pathetic.
>>>
>>>There's no point because the adjustment is not that critical as
>>>demonstrated by the Cobra 139XLR and the external "user adjustable"
>>>pot.
>>
>>
>>Did you bypass the LP filter in the Cobra as you did in the TRC-449?
>
>No. The Cobra is bone stock. It's a collector's piece for me.

Then I would suspect that as you turned down the mic gain you noticed
your modulation dropping. Try it again, but this time move the mic
closer in order to maintain the same modulation as the mic gain is
turned down. You will notice a significant difference in the quality
of the audio.

>>>>Manual labor does have its virtues.
>>>
>>>So does Mother Theresa. But that isn't paying the bills.
>>
>>
>>She had all she needed. And from what I hear, there is a severe
>>shortage of landscapers in the Boston area. A story on the news
>>interviewed a business owner that was willing to pay $40k for a
>>ditch-digger. I'm sure that could pay a few bills -- assuming you
>>don't have to pay off the Beamer right away.
>
>I don't have a Beamer. I'm not that materialistic. $40K would be a
>heck of a pay cut, and my back just isn't cut out for ditch digging.
>But I guess it beats Wal-Mart.

Collecting cans beats Wally World.

>>>>>Been there done that. I built an AM broadcast transmitter when I was a
>>>>>kid,
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Who didn't?
>>>
>>>Most of the other kids in my neighborhood. They preferred other "non
>>>geek" activities like endless games of baseball/football and
>>>basketball.
>>
>>
>>Oh, the humanity! Those kids should be ashamed of themselves!
>
>Not at all. You asked who hadn't built a radio transmitter, and I told
>you who. We all follow different drums in our lives. No one said
>anyone was "wrong". But I did answer your question.

It was a rhetorical question.

>>>Other plans. I had to gather my own parts (most of which were
>>>scavenged from other bonepile candidates). That was the nice thing
>>>about point-point wiring of tube circuits. Just punch a few holes in a
>>>piece of sheet metal, and you had an instant "chassis".
>>>
>>>
>>>>And don't tell me you
>>>>designed one from scratch because I wouldn't buy that for a minute,
>>>>not with your weak understanding of electronic theory.
>>>
>>>I never designed a full receiver from scratch (why bother when the
>>>plans for others were already there).
>>
>>
>>Why bother? Then why bother to build your own receiver when you can
>>get a transistor radio at Scavenger City for a couple bits?
>
>For the reason you stated before, personal pride.

There you go! There's a reason to design a receiver from scratch!

>>>Did I say that? No. So why jump to a (wrong) conclusion. BTW, I
>>>despise morse code.
>>
>>
>>I should have guessed -- you do seem to have a passive-agressive
>>attitude towards anything that requires patience and discipline.
>
>Based on what? Where have I ever made any such claim?

You will know just as soon as you figure out what I said.

>>>Ooohhh. high level design. Take a few established building blocks and
>>>make them play together. I do that at work.
>>
>>
>>If it were only that easy.
>
>It usually is. In my company, it costs more money to re-invent the
>wheel, so we don't do it unless it's absolutely necessary. It also
>reduces the likelihood of patent infringement.

The only "building block" I had was the GPS chip. Everything else had
to be designed from the ground up with special attention to weight,
wide temperature variations, power efficiency, and most importantly,
isolation between the radar system, the control systems and the comm
systems. And the latter was a -real- bitch because the system was full
duplex. Playing with building blocks? Not even close, Dave.

>>>> Wanna hear what else I've done?
>>>
>>>Collecting unemployment, it would seem. Which reminds me, is this yet
>>>another day off?
>>
>>
>>Nope, gotta work tonight. Do you want my work schedule for the
>>weekend, too?
>
>>I don't pick the days or the hours. Kinda wish I could, tho.
>
>Hmm.. The words "Welcome to Wal-Mart" don't apply to your new job do
>they?

I'm a natural-born citizen.

>Not that there is anything wrong with anyone who's gainfully employed.
>But most engineering jobs follow a fairly regular 40 hour full time
>work schedule. Note the use of the word most, to avoid the mistaken
>appearance of another absolute.

If you're so curious about my current employment why don't you just
ask me what I'm doing these days? Wouldn't that be a whole lot easier
than speculating? Or do you enjoy making derogatory implications with
your assumptions?

>>>>> I built my own repeater controller and
>>>>>homebrewed a DTMF decoder.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You "homebrewed" a DTMF decoder? Don't you mean that you bought a chip
>>>>and hooked it up to a power supply and a few externals?
>>>
>>>More than a "few" externals. The DTMF "chip" decodes DTMF tones and
>>>outputs a non-latching 4 bit binary word. I had to incorporate a
>>>binary to 1 of 16 decoder, as well as flip-flops for state latch

>>>circuits, and a 555 timer for button time-out.
>>
>>
>>Which chip are you talking about?
>
>That would be "chips", as there were about 6 or so.

I said "chip", as in one (1). And I asked for only one chip because
you said you used "a" (meaning 'one') "chip" (singular).

> I don't remember
>exactly as I built it in 1988. But I still have it in the bin at home.
>I retired it after splurging on a fancy bells and whistles voice ID
>controller.

Well, there weren't too many DTMF chips in 1988. But even with the
chips that are currently available, all that's needed is a decoder and
some glue logic -- nothing more complicated than a connect-the-dot
puzzle, and not even that hard if the datasheet was like most and
included some basic application schematics. Heck, when I was in high
school I built my first freq counter based on cascaded 7490's (about
30 chips total), but which was just another connect-the-dot project.
The hardest part was putting it together (I'll never do wire-wrap with
that many chips ever again!).

>>>>>I don't do it much now as the demands of family life, and being a good
>>>>>parent have taken much of what used to be "hobby time".
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That's why you spend so much time on the newsgroups, huh?
>>>
>>>I challenge you to find any posts that I've made at times other than
>>>between 6:30 AM and 3:30 PM EST Monday through Friday. I'll bet you
>>>can count them on the fingers of one hand.
>>
>>
>>Absense of evidence, Dave. When are you going to learn that
>>fundamental concept?
>
>How does it apply in this case? The fact that you will find very few
>times that I've posted outside of my established times, is proof that
>I don't post then. Where is the absence?

You won't provide proof that you don't post as a sock-puppet, which is
sufficient reason for suspicion. Right?

>>>Besides, for someone who supposedly got a "new job", you seem to be
>>>putting in a good amount of time yourself.
>>
>>
>>I would think that you would be more careful with your assumptions and
>>speculations lately -- but then again, perhaps not.

>
>It never stopped you from speculating on my employment status and the
>status of my family life. Like I said before, I return in kind. Tit
>for tat as it were.

After accusing me of being a liberal, what do you expect? Respect?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

2999. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:05:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218180546.672$l6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196639

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a
> lot. I appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>
> But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying feeling
> in this group, that there is some sort of prize, if you know more than
> someone.
>
Get some rifle scope pics of him, Frank. I'll pay. j/k :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3000. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:21:35 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218182135.225$6m@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196640

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218171541.744
> $4I@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Another Bold face Lie I never siad Dave gave me permission to do so, I
> >> told you I emailed him and told him what I was doing. Your obvously
> >> desperate to lie like you do.
> >>
> > will you email and tell me before you use my name in your posts again?
>
> No why dont you grow some balls i will tell you to your face... show up
>
I'll be in Dayton for two days in May. C'mon down for some real
hospitality. You'll enjoy the hillbillies that are going with me too. <g>

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3001. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:23:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218182302.537$18@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196641

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218172501.598
> $dO@newsreader.com:
>
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> > Well I'm done with this thread, you keep believing
> >> that cops don't write tickets for 1mph over (even in your
> >> state dude, no matter what you think), I know they do.
> >>
> >> Have a good one.....
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Sorry Shark..it would make any cop a laughing stock if he did that. If
> > he wrote me a 1mph ticket, I'd be sure to find some time to stalk him
> > at the courthouse and call him Barney Fife several dozen times a week.
> >
> > Paybacks are a bitch.
>
> Yep typical steveo stalking people ...LMAO...Your true colors ..Yellow
>
I say my shit to your face, ask your fugly felon hero.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3002. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:23:30 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <b7uc11dpvtkqe80g23tkeunqo64d9c30h1@4ax.com>
Source record: 196642

On 18 Feb 2005 23:05:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a
>> lot. I appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>>
>> But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying feeling
>> in this group, that there is some sort of prize, if you know more than
>> someone.
>>
>Get some rifle scope pics of him, Frank. I'll pay. j/k :)

Is he a better shot?

Vinnie S.

3003. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:25:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218182524.787$Ew@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196643

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> little did he know it backfired....
>
You mean when you had the wrong sock on? Nah, we're hip to your
forging ways.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3004. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:27:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218182755.589$hv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196644

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 18 Feb 2005 23:05:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a
> >> lot. I appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
> >>
> >> But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying
> >> feeling in this group, that there is some sort of prize, if you know
> >> more than someone.
> >>
> >Get some rifle scope pics of him, Frank. I'll pay. j/k :)
>
> Is he a better shot?
>
It's sorta an inside joke that some here will recognize. I like
cement shoes better..maybe you can hook me up. :D

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3005. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:40:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <d5vc111pau2nrhc0oheb3n6urpbr827tu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 196645

On 18 Feb 2005 23:27:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 18 Feb 2005 23:05:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a
>> >> lot. I appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>> >>
>> >> But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying
>> >> feeling in this group, that there is some sort of prize, if you know
>> >> more than someone.
>> >>
>> >Get some rifle scope pics of him, Frank. I'll pay. j/k :)
>>
>> Is he a better shot?
>>
>It's sorta an inside joke that some here will recognize. I like
>cement shoes better..maybe you can hook me up. :D

Are you kidding? I am Italian. Cement shoes are as Italian as Lasanga.

Vinnie S.

3006. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:49:27 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <bavRd.24626$Bx5.4508@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196647

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns9601B21F2BE3E1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> N3CJV@invalid.invalid wrote in news:20050218164009.420$ev@newsreader.com:
>
>>> He wasn't really trying to forge me.
>>>
>> Nah, he saves that for me.
>
> i dont forge you you stupid fucking retard, you have more friends than me
> ifn fact i though we had kinda chilledSINCE AFTER THE NEW YEAR but I see
> you cant do thaT AND WANT TO START YOUR SHIT AGAIN, SO I GUESS IT'S BACK
> ON
>
>
>
>
>>>
>>> He was only trying to excite the
>>> peanut gallery.
>>>
>> Same shit, different stink.
>
> yes as i said before you are the king of forges Other than this past week
> I havent posted to this ng often, it really sucks in here, why waste my
> time I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.

Chasing his tail.....again.

3007. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:49:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218184939.397$IS@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196646

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 18 Feb 2005 23:27:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 18 Feb 2005 23:05:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite
> >> >> a lot. I appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
> >> >>
> >> >> But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying
> >> >> feeling in this group, that there is some sort of prize, if you
> >> >> know more than someone.
> >> >>
> >> >Get some rifle scope pics of him, Frank. I'll pay. j/k :)
> >>
> >> Is he a better shot?
> >>
> >It's sorta an inside joke that some here will recognize. I like
> >cement shoes better..maybe you can hook me up. :D
>
> Are you kidding? I am Italian. Cement shoes are as Italian as Lasanga.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I know that already..say hey to lefty for me. I still have your
wedding picture, Enzo. :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3008. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:50:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7kuc1159p9e89s2qc9a4j5mi4bk2dqfimv@4ax.com>
Source record: 196648

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:34:58 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <fkcc1194vvabqduf7klvaru8indarg2cid@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:34:07 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>How about stopwatches? I know for a FACT that they are used in PA, and
>>they are described, by PA law, as a mechanical speed timing device.
>
>They may be described, but ther are not used as a matter of practice,
>except as a possible cross check for other devices.
>
>>>It doesn't. But considering the fact that there are few strictly
>>>mechanical speed measuring devices available, the choices are limited.
>>
>>
>>I don't think so, Dave:
>>
>>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter105/chap105toc.html
>
>I am glad to see that your person crusade to trash me has pushed you
>into researching sites that you otherwise would have no interest. I
>hope the experience has been educational. But after all that trouble
>it must be a bit disappointing to find that in addition to
>aforementioned speedometers, that a common stopwatch is the only other
>mechanical device you could find.
>
>Considering that a stopwatch has to be stopped and started manually,
>how long do you think a 1 MPH over ticket would last in court when you
>consider the error introduced by reaction time? A stopwatch suffers
>from the same basic limitation that VASCAR does.

It seems that elemetary school kids are more up to date than you:

http://mathforum.org/elempow/solutions/solution.ehtml?puzzle=75

You should also check out google to see just how often and widespread
stopwatches are used. I was actually suprised myself.

>But keep digging. It's fun to watch you grasp at straws.

At least I learned something in the process.

>>>Just because a cop CAN use a mechanical device, doesn't mean that they
>>>do. There's theory and there's practice. You're trying to argue based

>>>on theory, I'm basing my points on practice.
>>
>>
>>You are making arguments based on your own speculations.
>
>No, I'm basing them on the word given to me by several members of the
>law enforcement community.

Once again you reference nameless sources. Like -that's- supposed to
convince me! Well Dave, I was told by several members of this
newsgroup that you are "George". Does that make it true? Or even
credible? Of course not. If you can't reference your sources then
don't even bother to mention them because facts aren't facts just
because "some guy says so".

>>>>>>Cops in PA don't pace speeders?
>>>>>
>>>>>Not as a rule. It takes too much trouble, and are easily spotted.
>>>>>Those municipal license plates and antennas stick out like a sore
>>>>>thumb even on unmarked cars.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>So if I were to contact, say, a hundred people in PA that have had
>>>>speeding tickets in the past year, almost none of them would say that
>>>>they were popped by a cop pacing them in their blind spot?
>>>
>>>Go for it. Be sure to provide verifiable data. And make sure you find
>>>out if they were popped for less than 5 MPH over.
>>
>>
>>http://www.speedtrap.org/speedtraps/ste_city.asp?state=PA
>
>Ok, so what about it? That site lists speed trap locations. Were are
>the testimonies from all those "under 5 MPH" people?

Read some of the comments and articles. The links are also
interesting.

>>>You are asking me to speculate about all the possible uses for that
>>>information. I'm not about to do that.
>>
>>
>>Why not? You speculate about everything else. How is this any
>>different?
>
>When I speculate on something, it is based on either knowledge of, or
>experience in, a particular subject. You may (and seem to be making a
>habit of) dispute my knowledge and experience, but that's another
>matter. When I have no solid information about a subject, I will not
>speculate about it

Wrong. You "speculated" that I'm a liberal. By your own standards, that means you must have enough "solid information" about two things; first, you must know enough about liberals to be able to classify them. I find that doubtful since you are a self-proclaimed conservative republican and have repeatedly demonstrated that you have no clue as to the precepts of liberalism. Second, you must have enough "solid information" about me to know my ideologies, which you clearly don't.

You don't even follow your own standards when making SPECULATIONS.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3009. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-18 23:53:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rsvc11pvhed2a2ou68f4hpect98j4jdq47@4ax.com>
Source record: 196649

On 18 Feb 2005 23:49:39 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 18 Feb 2005 23:27:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 18 Feb 2005 23:05:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> >> Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite
>> >> >> a lot. I appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying
>> >> >> feeling in this group, that there is some sort of prize, if you
>> >> >> know more than someone.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Get some rifle scope pics of him, Frank. I'll pay. j/k :)
>> >>
>> >> Is he a better shot?
>> >>
>> >It's sorta an inside joke that some here will recognize. I like
>> >cement shoes better..maybe you can hook me up. :D
>>
>> Are you kidding? I am Italian. Cement shoes are as Italian as Lasanga.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>I know that already..say hey to lefty for me. I still have your
>wedding picture, Enzo. :

she's still here !!!

Vinnie S.

3010. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:04:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <orvc119di9gep4ef0klp43g8i24lrk68jl@4ax.com>
Source record: 196650

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:18:14 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <d1cc11d27c4hat3j8u2f3h6t917ejgd9vf@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:15:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:05:05 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <pamb11tp9p0i4pthsall1b2b8mtv6q1j1l@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:17:43 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 13:14:12 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>>wrote in <qkn9119ktftni07hsa6mr01145oa62ta5f@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 07:52:06 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 09:25:58 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>>>>><Xns96006A6F6112F1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>><snip>
>>>>>>> You defend this behavior?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I defend that there is a stated 6mph leeway for radar and vascar, and
>>>>>>under 55 mph there is a 10 mph leeway for vascar. I dont care what else
>>>>>>you argue they are the facts, I know them first hand.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>I don't dispute that much. I do, however, dispute Dave's claim that
>>>>>you can't get a ticket in PA for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>>>>
>>>>>What angle are you trying to work now?
>>>>>
>>>>>If a cop is staking out a posted 55 zone with either RADAR or VASCAR,
>>>>>they must, by law give you 6 MPH minimum. So you won't get a ticket
>>>>>for doing 56 in a 55 zone.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>More conditions, Dave? You didn't say anything about RADAR or VASCAR
>>>>in your original statement. Or are you just playing dumb again?
>>>

>>>
>>>I didn't think I had to spell out every single condition. I figured
>>>(evidently incorrectly) that most intelligent people are aware of the
>>>common speed checking devices in normal use.
>>
>>
>>Such as a stopwatch?
>
>Not used.

If it's not used then why are there laws that describe it's use and define it's calibration procedures? And why are there so many police departments in other parts of the country that not only use them but use them frequently? More divination from your transparent sphere?

> VASCAR is essentially the same thing only it does the speed=
>distance/time calculation automatically.

Then that would be electronic, wouldn't it?

>>>The law is clear. Experience and common sense covers the rest. What's
>>>left is a small number of cases which does not invalidate what I said
>>>for the great majority of cases.
>>
>>
>>Once again, you didn't say "for the great majority of cases". You said
>>they are "required" to allow some leeway, and you gave no conditions
>>under which that rule may not apply.
>
>I didn't think I needed to. Most people understand that statements are
>not universal, unless expressly noted, and based on the majority of
>cases.

That's the lamest excuse you've provided so far this year.

>Had I said that the police are required to give 5 MPH in ALL cases,
>you would have proven me wrong as it only takes one contrary case to
>prove an absolute statement wrong. That's exactly why I avoid
>absolutes.

You avoid the facts, because the facts get you into trouble every time.

>You seem to feel that I should qualify my statements as not absolute.
>I feel the opposite. It's the "glass is half full versus half empty"
>comparison.

The glass is half full if it's being filled, and half empty if it's being emptied.

Now, back to the topic: If you make a statement but leave out something that's relevant -- possibily even contradictory -- to that statement, you don't think that's being evasive? Kinda like someone

gives you a ride somewhere, and halfway there the cops behind you turn
on their lights and siren, the driver speeds up, then says, "Oh, BTW,
I just stole this car." By your logic, he had a valid reason -not- to
mention that the car was stolen because the vast majority of cars
-aren't- stolen. Right?

>>>It's not an all or nothing world we live in Frank. Stop treating it as
>>>such.
>>
>>
>>You made the claim, Dave, not me.
>
>I made a claim. You misinterpreted it.

I left my crystal ball at work. Sorry, it won't happen again.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3011. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:07:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218190724.758$O0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196651

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 18 Feb 2005 23:49:39 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 18 Feb 2005 23:27:55 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 18 Feb 2005 23:05:46 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me
> >> >> >> quite a lot. I appreciate that, who ever the person is that
> >> >> >> helps me.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying
> >> >> >> feeling in this group, that there is some sort of prize, if you
> >> >> >> know more than someone.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>Get some rifle scope pics of him, Frank. I'll pay. j/k :)
> >> >>
> >> >> Is he a better shot?
> >> >>
> >> >>It's sorta an inside joke that some here will recognize. I like
> >> >>cement shoes better..maybe you can hook me up. :D
> >> >
> >> >> Are you kidding? I am Italian. Cement shoes are as Italian as Lasanga.
> >> >
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >
> >> >I know that already..say hey to lefty for me. I still have your
> >> >wedding picture, Enzo. :
> >>
> > she's still here !!!
>
Rock on. Didja put a CB in her car yet? <back on topic>

Does she freeband?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3012. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:10:31 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218191031.728$ZP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196652

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
>
> Chasing his tail.....again.
>
Yup.

Hi Randy, you guys gonna be any good at baseball this year?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3013. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:15:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nn0d11t5g482hf685ra3t8s1n9vnokqtm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196653

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:12:44 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <tpbc11tolhu5r47sassm5ijbm4u9945e32@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 08:20:10 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>If 99% of people have 2 legs, does the fact that 1% of the people do
>>>not, diminish the accuracy of describing a human being as a biped?
>>>
>>>I don't know anyone who ever got a 1 MPH over ticket. That does not
>>>mean that it can't happen. But it's clearly the exception, not the
>>>rule.
>>>
>>>My statement is true in the majority of cases.
>>
>>
>>Same lame excuse, but this time with a really bad analogy. At least
>>you are getting a -little- creative.
>
>
>Most poor debaters,who cannot rationally counter the point, tend to
>use ad-hominem tactics to attack the opposition's methods instead.
>This case is no different.
>
>Calling my analogy "bad", simply because you can't counter it is
>especially revealing.

Your analogy is bad because the term "biped" can be used both as a
classification and as an individual characteristic, and your 'analogy'
is an equivocation on those two different definitions.

You accused me of ad hominem in response to your eqivocation.... yes,
that -is- especially revealing.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3014. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:16:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <oa1d11hi3jhpvrki4nck3ip67tq56l8crt@4ax.com>
Source record: 196654

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:08:29 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <edbc11d54vkid6ftrlcn26ksg8tpr2uf8g@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:12:13 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 07:01:53 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <dvlb11hmam7g9n9tf45hdau20qd52a1q1u@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 12:15:51 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 14:06:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
>>>><Xns96009A057CFBA1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
>>>>>news:qnn91152jrphe2f2p74p2aah2gu08620mo@4ax.com:
>>>>>
>>>>>> It's one thing to get the ticket. It's another to make it stick.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Most car speedometers are not accurate within +/- 1 MPH
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Dave
>>>>>> "Sandbagger"
>>>>>
>>>>>Again good point Dave, also if a police officer is going to pace you he
>>>>>must have a calibrated speedometer, and it must be periodicaly calibrated.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That's covered in part D, as I noted in an earlier post. But the fact
>>>>remains -- contrary to Dave's statement, you CAN get a speeding ticket
>>>>in PA for going 56 in a 55 zone.
>>>
>>>Once again, you are trying to take a relative situation and trying to
>>>make it into an absolute.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. You made a definitive statement that was wrong
>
>No, I did not. I stated that they have to give 5 MPH for measurement
>inaccuracies. The fact is that they do. The fact that it is not 100%
>across the board does not invalidate what I've said.

Gee, another excuse..... "Well judge, I told the officer I wasn't drinking. The fact is I wasn't. I was drinking just before I got in my car and started driving home, but I still told the truth because I wasn't drinking when he asked me!"

>> and are now
>>trying to squirm out of it by adding conditions and claiming that
>>something else was "implied". That's a Twisty-tactic.
>
>You should have learned by now Frank, few things in life are absolute.
>Most things are relative or conditional. For you to assume the
>absolute case is your problem not mine.

You stated nor implied any conditions in your statement. That's not my fault, Dave. It's your's. You can play the "absolute/relative" game all you want, but the simple fact is that you were wrong and you can't admit it.

>>> You're trying to disprove the valid point
>>>which applies in the majority of the situations, by a statistical
>>>minority.
>
>>Your statement mentioned nothing about statistical probability.
>
>I made a blanket statement which is true in the vast majority of
>cases. You are claiming I'm wrong based on a potential minority of
>cases. Who's being ridiculous here?

But how do you know that your statement applies to the "vast majority" of cases, Dave? Did you divine the statistics from your crystal ball? Seriously, where do you come up with stats that are reliable enough for you to make such "blanket statements"?

>>>While there might be a greenhorn rookie cop, who's out to make a name
>>>for himself as a hardass, and might try to pull stuff like that. But
>>>it won't take long before he gets tired of appearing in court only to
>>>have the cases thrown out.
>>>
>>>The law is clear
>>
>>
>>Yes it is. It states that leeway must be given when the measuring
>>device is electronic, but that leeway -doesn't apply- for measuring
>>devices that are mechanical or electrical. It's yet another in a long
>>list of facts that you refuse to accept.
>
>But you refuse to accept that those cases are rare.

You're right. I -do- refuse to accept that those cases are rare. So prove it to me by showing me the statistics that show radar is used in the "vast majority" of speeding tickets.

>>> and it applies to the great majority of cases and
>>>situations, as well as the majority of the speed measuring equipment
>>>used by PA. cops.
>>>
>>>My statement stands. Cops will give at least a 5 MPH grace for
>>>speeding violations.
>>>
>>>Small exceptions do not invalidate the rule.
>>
>>
>>ANY exception is enough to invalidate your statement, Dave.
>
>No it's not, as I never used the words "all", "100%", "always",
>"never", or any other word that precludes any possible exception. I
>spoke for the majority of cases. In that case, my statement is
>correct.

I'll give you that one, and I'll even give up the entire thread just
as long as you can show me that the exceptions are as small as you
claim. Well?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3015. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:32:18 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <i72d111op6s8n5eguardpoi4867fi51u6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 196655

On 19 Feb 2005 00:07:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> >> >> But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying
>> >> >> >> feeling in this group, that there is some sort of prize, if you
>> >> >> >> know more than someone.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >Get some rifle scope pics of him, Frank. I'll pay. j/k :)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Is he a better shot?
>> >> >>
>> >> >It's sorta an inside joke that some here will recognize. I like
>> >> >cement shoes better..maybe you can hook me up. :D
>> >>
>> >> Are you kidding? I am Italian. Cement shoes are as Italian as Lasanga.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >I know that already..say hey to lefty for me. I still have your
>> >wedding picture, Enzo. :
>>
>> she's still here !!!
>>
>Rock on. Didja put a CB in her car yet? <back on topic>
>
>Does she freeband?

Hell no.

Vinnie S.

3016. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:36:11 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ge2d11p05uo853vqg1i2hjlffvu8c318a9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196656

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:44:45 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <hddc119pb7ducl3lbve7v2os9dvul3hr8@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:50:54 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>Of course he isn't. If he -was- a bad guy he would be in the killfile.
>>He has great potential, he's just a little mixed up right now.
>
>That is your opinion. And your opinion only.
>
>
>>> your now doing the
>>>twisty charade of telling people what they meant. It is your method that
>>>has me defending Dave, and In fact i agree with much he has to say, he
>>>doesnt lie in my opinion. Just because you dont agree with him re:
>>>politics, and I know you will have some excuse of daves behaviour or
>>>whatever other ryhme or reason. I dont buy it Frank.
>>
>>
>>I have agreed with Dave on many things, including politics. But it's
>>not that I disagree with the other issues so much that many of his
>>opinions, right or wrong, are founded on misinformation, propoganda,
>>or poor qualitative reasoning skills.
>
>Again, that is in comparison to YOUR information, propaganda and
>qualitative skills. And that is what has you steamed. In an arena such
>as politics, there are very few verifiable facts,

There are plenty of verifiable facts -- you just don't even want to
try and verify them because they conflict with your "core beleifs". We
have done this ping-pong game several times before but it seems you
just can't get enough of it. It's obvious that you fear the facts, but
your 'truth-by-repitition' plan just isn't working. Don't you know by
now that I'm just going to come back and set you straight every time
you project your intellectual failures on me? Or is your failure to
realize that fact -another- one of your intellectual failures?

> but a lot of spin
>and propaganda.

All my facts were referenced and can be cross-referenced to a wide
number of unbiased sources. Your 'fact' consisted of a website with a
forged document. That's no spin or propoganda, Dave -- that's the
truth, and it's in the archives if you ever want me to prove -that- to

you as well.

> You tend to believe one side, while I tend to favor
>the other. There is nothing wrong with that. But don't tell me I'm
>wrong because I don't believe the same stuff you do.

I never did. You claimed your beliefs were based on facts. I gave you facts. You discarded them as "biased" without even trying to verify them as facts. You didn't even TRY, Dave. You lied -- your beliefs are NOT based on facts -- on the contrary, your facts are based on your BELIEFS.

>> For an intelligent person like
>>him (or yourself, for that matter) to fall back on "core beliefs" as
>>an excuse to dismiss facts and logic is bogus, and it undermines the
>>credibility of any argument against keyclowns who use the very same
>>tactics.
>
>I can employ logic in the same way that you can. But when the topic
>that yo use your logic on are unverifiable, what good is logic when
>the premise's are faulty?

No good at all, which is what I taught you by using your own arguments as examples. Or is your memory as faulty as your logic?

>>I have adopted -some- tactics used by Twisty, as well as from others
>>in this newsgroup from all three sides of the fence.
>
>At least you can admit that much.

I never denied it.

>>I have also
>>-rejected- some tactics used by Twisty, such as his pop-psychology and
>>his diversionary topics game (the latter, BTW, is being used by Dave
>>quite frequently these days, so if you are going to admonish me for
>>using Twisty's tactics then you should do the same to him).
>
>I enjoy my study of human behavior. It's fun to watch people fit into
>certain categories. Sorry if the truth hurts certain insecure and
>narcissistic people.

Now -you- are trying to adopt Twisty's tactics. But you are only a novice, Dave. You need much more study and practice.

>> I also
>>have rejected many of -your- tactics, such as attacking those who
>>support or defend another -- otherwise, both Vinny and yourself would
>>be included in the scope of this discussion. But you aren't because my
>>discussions with Dave have nothing to do with either of you. And
>>frankly, I'm a little disappointed that Dave hasn't asked you to
>>butt-out because he is capable of speaking for himself.
>

>This is a public forum not e-mail Frank. Everyone is welcome to
>participate. If you wanted a private exchange to honestly discuss
>methodologies, you could have e-mailed me at any time. The fact that
>you chose instead to attempt to belittle me in a public forum
>invalidates any right you may claim to exclusivity in these
>discussions.

That works both ways, Dave. But you would never take this to email
because your ego is at stake -- you could never face the facts in a
private forum without the support of your lap-dog and imaginary
admirers.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3017. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:37:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218193732.372$R0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196657

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Feb 2005 00:07:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Does she freeband?
>
> Hell no.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3018. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 00:58:37 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <1bwRd.14349$911.5327@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196658

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050218191031.728$ZP@newsreader.com...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
>>
>> Chasing his tail.....again.
>>
> Yup.
>
> Hi Randy, you guys gonna be any good at baseball this year?
>

Hope so. If they can pace themselves at 3/4 of what they did in the second
half of last year, we have a damn good chance!

3019. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 01:02:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218200247.339$9t@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196659

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050218191031.728$ZP@newsreader.com...
> > "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> >> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
> >>
> >> Chasing his tail.....again.
> >>
> > Yup.
> >
> > Hi Randy, you guys gonna be any good at baseball this year?
> >
>
> Hope so. If they can pace themselves at 3/4 of what they did in the
> second half of last year, we have a damn good chance!
>
But the Mets have your best hitter now, right? Did you guys sign
another big stick to take his place?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3020. TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 01:08:14 UTC
From: cc22003@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108775294.744148.99440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196660

www.movie135.4x2.net << TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

(you may need realplayer to view)

3021. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 01:13:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <v13d11hgt2d7fbtqusms3dje9kcnd67i1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 196661

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:41:19 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <srnc11p7hdat9angjs8lbt4ji20tsbmhuf@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:51:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote in <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>>>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>>>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>>
>>
>>That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
>>now.
>
>
>Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a lot. I
>appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.

Then let me stop you right there. Dave does know his CB radios, and he
knows them a lot better than most people in this group, including (and
especially) myself.

Now I should apologize because it was not my intent to attack you.
Dave was my target. In case you haven't noticed already, a lot of
people in this group are basically full of shit, regardless of how
much they know or don't know about radio. I can see why that happens
because it's easy for geeks, nerds and social misfits to make up
imaginary lives while hiding behind a keyboard. But once in a while a
person like Dave gets to thinking that he is some sort of alpha male,
sometimes manifested as a delusion of intellectual superiority just
like you describe. The response he receives is nothing more than a
normal societal reaction to put him back in his place. Either he gets
the message and starts behaving himself (which rarely happens), bails
from the newsgroup in shame (like so many have done in recent years),
or goes insane and becomes a troll (which is why you will see heavy
trolling here from time to time). My problem with Dave will probably
end soon because a person can only make up so many excuses when the
facts remain the same.

So just be patient. And also be aware that these flame wars occur from
time to time. Just think of them as family squabbles.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3022. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 01:28:32 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218202832.296$4N@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196662

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> So just be patient. And also be aware that these flame wars occur from
> time to time. Just think of them as family squabbles.
>
>
That would make a good sig file for rec.radio.cb
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==---- http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the
> World! 120,000+ Newsgroups ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total
> Privacy via Encryption =----
>
^^^^^^ that don't ^^^^^

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3023. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 01:38:04 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11822-4216987C-88@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196663

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 11:49am (EST-1) From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Help on SSB failure Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 12:49pm Organization: home.ptd.net/~n3cvj X-Trace: sv3-BDDkdK/6co1HS8CI5K3aDHk8KVez56kq+RNoXrJZ7f/Fi4SfKflJXOaKKxQNHrSpo+GyhEmsxMy1eoJ!WBJVOUDBPwobswobmFmQMxc9E8Kxe5cxxBEBgr9y9HvsRW58vsHlENdIlukTJ/QTCQVP1V8qtjlx!oJJ8eJ1GJ/w= X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 09:40:43 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (IAmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 17 Feb 2005 17:22:50 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:11820-4214ABD6-877:
By whose standards?
A non-radar state would mean just what the phrase connotates: the state is void of radar.

(Mine and any company who proposes to sell radar to Pennsylvania)

LOL..invoking a marketiing ploy and citing it as a source of reference for the law is entertaining, nothing more.

(Is that what you do for a living, George?)

>Careful Steveo. Twisty thinks he's me......

Yep, right after "Geo" forged you and said you gave him permission to do so in email in order to pollute the group.

>I didn't give him "permission" He told me about

> it after the fact as a courtesy.

You really don't have to explain yourself for my benefit, as it is you who is always struggling to redefine just what you meant to everyone, *after* you post something.

>But I didn't have a problem with it.

And yet another electronic publishing of such a fact.

>Watching you chase your tail trying to figure

>out who is really who is entertaining enough.

I am not the first to note
your behavior outside this group hinges on myself.

>How would you (or anyone else here for that

>matter) know anything about my behavior

>outside this group?

Statistical probability.
Geo just told us about the email. Email is outside this group.

>More self aggrandizing.

You're just mad because without the dx, I am more legal than yourself.
You need a real life, David.

>I have one.

Yet, you somehow feel compelled to tell the world how you consider
peering into the personal lives of select usenet participants and making
such your topic of choice in unrelated groups, a "hobby" of yours. The
all-mesmerizing portion of such a claim, is in reality, you misinterpret
such behavior as having a life.

>who am I today?

The same person who has been shown he was wrong by the entire group, at
one time or another. Most recently by myself, Frank, Bert Craig,
Sideband, Landshark, and probably a few more I missed right off the top
of your pointed little head.

>David T. Hall Jr,

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

>http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj

3024. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 01:48:32 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11820-42169AF0-1130@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196664

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:45pb111qicmoorf6ot7ei9n0h3i51asqg5@4ax.com:
frankly, I'm a little disappointed that Dave hasn't asked you to
butt-out because he is capable of speaking for himself.

>Why dont you ask twisty to step aside while

>you argue with dave and he jumps in?

You really have flipped. You have no clue who is who and you certainly
are baffled and confounded by the 1s and 0s found in the webtv trail.
For crying out loud, twisted said nothing in the thread where David T.
Hall Jr. is getting an education by Frank regarding the technical and
textbook applications concerning the design and implementation of basic
high school level electronics. You maliciously attacked everyone who
pointed out David T. Hall Jr.'s contradictions, regardless the thread.
You've been graced.

3025. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:02:56 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <vg7d11tuvlvj9qt92l0s0kcl1j4flepso9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196665

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:13:31 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>>>That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
>>>now.
>>
>>
>>Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a lot. I
>>appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>
>
>Then let me stop you right there. Dave does know his CB radios, and he
>knows them a lot better than most people in this group, including (and
>especially) myself.
>
>Now I should apologize because it was not my intent to attack you.

I didn't think you were.

>Dave was my target. In case you haven't noticed already, a lot of
>people in this group are basically full of shit, regardless of how
>much they know or don't know about radio. I can see why that happens
>because it's easy for geeks, nerds and social misfits to make up
>imaginary lives while hiding behind a keyboard. But once in a while a
>person like Dave gets to thinking that he is some sort of alpha male,
>sometimes manifested as a delusion of intellectual superiority just
>like you describe. The response he receives is nothing more than a
>normal societal reaction to put him back in his place. Either he gets
>the message and starts behaving himself (which rarely happens), bails
>from the newsgroup in shame (like so many have done in recent years),
>or goes insane and becomes a troll (which is why you will see heavy
>trolling here from time to time). My problem with Dave will probably
>end soon because a person can only make up so many excuses when the
>facts remain the same.
>
>So just be patient. And also be aware that these flame wars occur from
>time to time. Just think of them as family squabbles.

I did not mean to single you out. Clearly, there are others that do the same.

Vinnie S.

3026. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:03:29 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <oi7d119uatrfr0v8v3kmnh4097et83cd67@4ax.com>
Source record: 196666

On 19 Feb 2005 00:37:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 Feb 2005 00:07:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Does she freeband?
>>
>> Hell no.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
>HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.

I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.

Vinnie S.

3027. WANTED: CB radios from Raytheon, Apelco, Airline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:11:31 UTC
From: k5dh@qsl.net
Message-ID: <GZudncXzt8TOPYvfRVn-3A@centurytel.net>
Source record: 196667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Greetings from Texas!

I'm looking for the following vintage CB radio
equipment to complete the collection of the
Raytheon/Apelco/Webster CB Radio Museum:

Raytheon:
- RAYCOM III (23 channel solid-state AM mobile)
- RAYCOM IV (23 channel solid-state AM/SSB mobile)
- Ray-Ette (single channel handheld w/regenerative rx)
- Super Ray-ette (single channel handheld w/superhet rx)
- Any Canadian models except the TWR-2C

Apelco:
- 565 (5 channel AM mobile)
- Any Canadian models except the AR-10

Webster:
- Any Canadian models

Airline: (sold by Montgomery Ward)
- GAS587 (tube-type base station)

Also looking for any and all manuals, literature, boxes,
advertising, mobile mounts, hand mics, crystals, power
supplies, accessories, parts kits, et al, for any and
all Raytheon, Apelco, or Webster CB models.

I'm not interested in marine radios except perhaps as a
source of restoration parts (tubes, knobs, meters, mic
cords, power cords, internal components etc). I will
buy marine radios if I think I can use their parts,
but I won't pay much for them (shipping is too high to
pay a lot for parts radios that I might not be able to
actually use).

Raytheon/Apelco/Webster CB Radio Museum web site:
http://www.qsl.net/k5dh/raytheon.html

Thanks and 73,
Dean K5DH

3028. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:12:50 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28397-4216A0A2-1031@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196673

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 4:09pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Help on SSB failure From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 4:09pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-s5MR9iS42H5Rpju5wWx8QCqK1f8WHuQFo1J8iPGbqYJLjeeiS30OevVgfv4ZVXh/s9RQOC/N2HLrtko!CGJ0x9GXSbvM9b1bUETSeseZYKePtm1TYjgpfZWbAd8MRQ6engujoMFS5JEoQKuUJGel X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31 iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:6287-4216006E-1021 @storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
So you not only cite a marketing team as your reference to the law, you insist on it remaining as such.

>I did not reference any marketing team's,

Is your memory that poor? You invoked an un-named company that has a financial interest in marketing their product as an answer to my inquiry as to WHO told you that Pa. was a non-radar state, as you have claimed. If the PR department of that company didn't come up with the term and defninition, just what part of the company would you hazard, did? The janitor?

> nor was my reference regarding any law.

As long as we understand each other that your un-named company applying their own definition to a "non-radar state" to include a state that indeed utilizes radar, is but a single person's opinion.

>It was a general comment and statement that

>is known throught the industry,

I can accept the term is prevalent, but the definition can not encompasses states who do employ radar, no matter who claims it.

>Pa is not really a radar state, now you can sit

>here and try to decipher my meaning.

Ha,,,now, it's not "really" a radar state.
Off you go now, to redefine what you "really' meant when you said "Pa is not a radar state."

>You are a nobody, ur opinion and what you

>say doesn't matter.

Tut-tut,,get over your personal anger that is the cause of so much vile and venom spewing forth from your thrashed psyche and twisted soul.

> I have spoken with more Leo agencies and

>people in the field who know what is what,

Your personal experiences are irrelavant.

>you on the other hand is a usenet blowhard

>who's only goal is to get under peoples skin

>with your assinine replies.

My goodness, coming from one who has called each and every participant in this group (except, for David T. Hall, Jr, of course) a homosexual at one time or another, your thoughts and warm wishes will certainly be filed appropriately.

>So how many more times will you call me

>dave Hall?

How many more times will you forge him?

> you dumb jackass.

The mother issue has you beside yourself.

3029. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:17:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <SkxRd.1270$DC6.80@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196668

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050218172501.598$dO@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> > Well I'm done with this thread, you keep believing
>> that cops don't write tickets for 1mph over (even in your
>> state dude, no matter what you think), I know they do.
>>
>> Have a good one.....
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Sorry Shark..it would make any cop a laughing stock if he did that. If
> he wrote me a 1mph ticket, I'd be sure to find some time to stalk him
> at the courthouse and call him Barney Fife several dozen times a week.
>
> Paybacks are a bitch.

No need to be sorry. I already said
that any cop that does write a ticket like that
is an A-Hole.

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

3030. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:17:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <SkxRd.1269$DC6.823@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196669

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050218175807.691$7x@newsreader.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
>> news:fjmb111uuap8j7a68pad0c2bhebgq9c8d7@4ax.com:
>>
>> > Yes it is. It states that leeway must be given when the measuring
>> > device is electronic, but that leeway -doesn't apply- for measuring
>> > devices that are mechanical or electrical. It's yet another in a long
>> > list of facts that you refuse to accept.
>>
>> Frank is a radar mechanical or electrical? Name a mechanical means of
>> speed enforcement.
>>
> Grand Daddy ran whiskey in a big block Dodge..bought it at an auction
> at the Mason's lodge. ($1 Steve Earle)

If you don't stop driving that Hot Rod Lincoln.

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

3031. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:21:17 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196670

Landshark wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050218005757.310$B9@newsreader.com...
>
>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>
>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:20050217234530.832$fU@newsreader.com...
>>>
>>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:20050217121605.731$02@newsreader.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:20050217075538.436$Ke@newsreader.com...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave Hall <nojunk_@ptd.net> wrote:
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>It is important to know which of Pennsylvania's posters I am.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>NNTP-Posting-Host: 68.37.95.79
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Wassup with dat?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>--
>>>>>>>> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Geo
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I got that part, but I'm still scratching my head about why.
>>>>>
>>>>> Don't know dude, stop scratching my head
>>>>>along time ago about him.
>>>>>
>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It's coming into better focus for me now, finally.

>>>
>>>Keep that focus dude, it's a bitch to get older......
>>>Going in to get glasses on Saturday.
>>> Watch out for that Brown truck on Sunday, Harvick
>>>& Rudd are the ones I'll be watching.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>
>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>>
>>That's not racin'.
>
>
> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
> when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
> peaks your attention.
>
> Landshark
>
>
fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin'
boogadah boogadah boogadah....

3032. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:23:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218212346.865$CM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196671

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>lets go racin'
> boogadah boogadah boogadah....
>
Pull them belts tight one last time DW.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3033. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:26:26 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <mtxRd.1271$DC6.679@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196672

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
>>>
>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
>>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>>>
>>>That's not racin'.
>>
>>
>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
>> when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
>> peaks your attention.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you didn't
> see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin' boogadah
> boogadah boogadah....

Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
race, now that's racing :))

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

3034. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:27:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28397-4216A3F6-1035@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196676

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 4:59pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Help on SSB failure From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 4:59pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-HisBmaR3wCudPMWR5A2QhKgISyf0O/Fhyndggi5CJATdB9tWcl/RVWUB4oaAOjum6C1zAZlakA4ktCx!NDgzjDDfJryt3yFMKvaXV7n2Om2XQmPSHxWGNPVtzB2MWN0E7Pmzx9ZiCw72kJfuTjxK X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:11821-4214AD53-274@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net:
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:6288-4213CF9E-244 @storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net: most towns dont even have them
Gee,,,that's because only State Cops are permitted to have them in Pa.

>Gee, then that makes pennsy not really a

>radar state

Here you are redefining what you "really" meant. Now you are back-pedaling with "Pa is not REALLY a radar state". LMAO, Again,,if it was a non-radar state, radar would not be implemented by the authorities. In fact, you are the only one I have ever heard ue the term "non-radar" state when the state does in fact, use radar. Can you cite a single source where you took this phrase from? Perhaps a link or provide for it?

>Any idea how many tropers and police officers
>are in Pa, Ill bet one county in Florida alone

>has more radar than the whole state of PA.

>The Department has about 540 Decatur

>Genesis Handheld radar units. The

>Department also has about 250 other radar

>units made by a different manufacturer.

>http://www.psp.state.pa.us/psp/cwp/view.asp?

>A=3&Q=172084&tx=1

Absent is a date, or a reference to what department...it could be a single state polic department in any number of counties in Pa..but as an always trusting type guy, I'll go ahead and give you the benefit of the doubt and assume (against my better judgement) that the entire state police department of Pa has only the amount of radar detectors you claim. One would also assume, the department feels it adequate for the amount of roads they must cover, or they would have more, with all the money being poured into the coffers of domestic agencies, post-911. It all boils down to the state police department being equipped with radar, which results in claiming "Pa is a non-radar state", being incorrect. Now, surely you aren't going to invoke the (limited?) number of radar units in the state, as the criteria for determining your definition of a non-radar state,this late in the thread, are you? David T. Hall Jr. did the same exact thing when it was demonstrated he fails to abide by his own invoked criteria for determining what he holds as a federal criminal. Then again, several people have illustrated his failure to hold himself to his own standards.

3035. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:37:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218213723.519$ot@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196674

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050218175807.691$7x@newsreader.com...
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> >> news:fjmb111uuap8j7a68pad0c2bhebgq9c8d7@4ax.com:
> >>
> >> > Yes it is. It states that leeway must be given when the measuring
> >> > device is electronic, but that leeway -doesn't apply- for measuring
> >> > devices that are mechanical or electrical. It's yet another in a
> >> > long list of facts that you refuse to accept.
> >>
> >> Frank is a radar mechanical or electrical? Name a mechanical means of
> >> speed enforcement.
> >>
> > Grand Daddy ran whiskey in a big block Dodge..bought it at an auction
> > at the Mason's lodge. ($1 Steve Earle)
>
> If you don't stop driving that Hot Rod Lincoln.
>
> Landshark
>
The blinkin' Lincoln. one eye stuck open.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3036. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:37:26 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28398-4216A666-347@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196680

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 5:10pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Fri, Feb 18, 2005, 5:10pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-3UNJW9BeX8+6cLxfJ/cLAs4w9SuS1kBdpZ2XueGG5HCAaQZJ5ZpH22TNCfHkyK5FyRSYZ1ZZAGiUErz!hX15amCmHr5IUA3BIjMz4TkXLWfRliZxbkkjfJ3GJ5T78gxBQ3QjoiQ51CNYloh7UNCd X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218175414.641$M8@newsreader.com:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
N3CJV@invalid.invalid wrote in news:20050218164009.420$ev@newsreader.com:
>He wasn't really trying to forge me.

(Nah, he saves that for me.)

>i dont forge you you stupid fucking retard, you

>have more friends than me ifn fact i though we
>had kinda chilledSINCE AFTER THE NEW

>YEAR but I see you cant do thaT AND WANT

>TO START YOUR SHIT AGAIN, SO I GUESS
>IT'S BACK ON

(He was only trying to excite the peanut gallery.)

-Same shit, different stink.-
-
Go bavk to posting as Dave Hall, monkey-boi. I tried to be nice to you.

>You tried??? LOL How so steve? and what did
>I do to break you trying? Yo started the shit

>talking again, you didnt try anything. You will

>never change. Better yet why dont you go

>back to posting as Dave Hall

(snip)

You have accused two people of posting as David T. Hall Jr. in the past thirty minutes.
He has accused no less than three people of taking sides against him in the last few days. Coincidences, I say, mere coincidences,,,never mind your nagging inner voice from which there is no escape.

>at least when I did it it was for a good reason.

To "set up" (as you put it) another usenet poster in order to get a reaction you crave is a good reason to forge someone? Hahah,,,your life is in the same ditch as David T. Hall Jr.'s.

>Now go finish fucking

(snip)

Would you lay off with your mother complex...?

3037. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:37:41 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <qe9d11phlpapfmqmhk9qps64irduvjvsmd@4ax.com>
Source record: 196675

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:01:31 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:51:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote in <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>>>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>>>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>>
>>
>>That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
>>now.
>
>No need. I am confident in my abilities. My past accomplishments tell
>the story. Insecurity is not one of my foibles.

I'll be damned. Rogerbird was right. I added a mica insulator between the final
and heatsink, with the heatsink compound. It worked. At first, I thought Jim was
right. But the problem reoccured. I am still going to bias it.

But the fix was $1.69

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&product%5Fid=276-1373

Vinnie S.

3038. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:41:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218214110.422$AI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196677

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Feb 2005 00:37:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 19 Feb 2005 00:07:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Does she freeband?
> >>
> >> Hell no.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
> >Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
> >HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.
>
> I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.
>
Good for you. I hope you find nice people to talk to.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3039. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:45:36 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <KOxRd.102$FS4.12@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196678

Landshark wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
>
>>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
>>>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>>>>
>>>>That's not racin'.
>>>
>>>
>>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
>>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
>>>peaks your attention.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>>
>>
>>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you didn't
>>see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin' boogadah
>>boogadah boogadah....
>
>
> Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
> Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
> Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
> that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
> race, now that's racing :))
>
> Landshark
>
>
sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe
good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming. good
excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and the hdtv
is working...

3040. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:47:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <v3ad1191s05b47gavp8bpfsdqohfv126cn@4ax.com>
Source record: 196679

On 19 Feb 2005 02:41:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 Feb 2005 00:37:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 19 Feb 2005 00:07:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >Does she freeband?
>> >>
>> >> Hell no.
>> >>
>> >> Vinnie S.
>> >>
>> >Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
>> >HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.
>>
>> I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.
>>
>Good for you. I hope you find nice people to talk to.

I already have. But but to the dismay of certain people here, they are actually
on CB. I am taking it with one of them.

Vinnie S.

3041. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:50:13 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28398-4216A965-348@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196687

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:51:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote
in <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:
<snip>
>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did

>not spreak the coil, it appeared to be pushed

>or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out
>a bit. Seems to work. Will still do alignment. I

>will get back to you !

That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
now.

>Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave.

>Dave has helped me quite a lot. I appreciate

>that, who ever the person is that helps me.

>But I would like to point out one thing. There in
>an underlying feeling in this group, that there

>is some sort of prize, if you know more than

>someone. Almost like there is a contest to one
>up someone else. I just don't get it. Are people
>that insecure? With a couple of killfiles, I have

>cleaned most of the garbage of this group. I

>don't kill file you or anyone, that can be

>informative or helpful. Regardless of what side
>of the line you fall on.

>But this is a perfect example. Dave did nothing
>but try to solve a problem for me, and you take
>a swipe at him. Maybe you know more than

>him, or maybe he knows more than you. But

>once you leave the area of the keyboard, who

>the hell really cares? Does you wife care, do

>you friends care? Probably not. So why is it so
>important that everyone here knows?

>Vinnie S.

Frankly, Vinnie, Frank isn't the only one that noticed a pattern. Dave gets shown he is incorrect, in any manner, and the pattern is the same. The person that showed Dave he was wrong gets attacked, and a host of coincidentally-timed tech questions manage to appear, then Dave is always thanked, vehemently, on multiple occasion, such as you just did. Happens on cue. Please do not take offense at what I am about to mention, or you might as well begin killfiling all those who disagree with Dave. You were quick to comment on FRANK taking a "swipe" at Dave, but curious, is why you never, not once, commented to Dave for swiping at the many folks he has, from which you have beared witness, most recently,..Frank,. which is sort of how all this stuff got started. You are curiously silent when Dave "swipes" or gets personal.
In fact, one you leave the area of the keyboard,,,who the hell really cares?
Just pointing these things out, Vinny.

3042. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:50:40 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218215040.827$L4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196681

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Feb 2005 02:41:10 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 19 Feb 2005 00:37:32 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> On 19 Feb 2005 00:07:24 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> >Does she freeband?
> >> >>
> >> >> Hell no.
> >> >>
> >> >> Vinnie S.
> >> >>
> >> >>Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
> >> >>HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.
> >>
> >> I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.
> >>
> >Good for you. I hope you find nice people to talk to.
>
> I already have. But but to the dismay of certain people here, they are
> actually on CB. I am taking it with one of them.
>
It is what it is. You'll always be a CBer first, pizano.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3043. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:52:00 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <bdad1151nd8j8abfgl8rthr67srnn7675u@4ax.com>
Source record: 196682

On 19 Feb 2005 02:50:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >> >Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
>> >> >HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.
>> >>
>> >> I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.
>> >>
>> >Good for you. I hope you find nice people to talk to.
>>
>> I already have. But but to the dismay of certain people here, they are
>> actually on CB. I am taking it with one of them.
>>
>It is what it is. You'll always be a CBer first, pizano.

I ain't going anywhere. I will still use it. In fact, I am looking for one to replace my mobile.

Vinnie S.

3044. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:52:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218215248.567$0N@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196683

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Landshark wrote:
>
> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
> >
> >>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
> >>>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
> >>>>
> >>>>That's not racin'.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
> >>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
> >>>peaks your attention.
> >>>
> >>> Landshark
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
> >>didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin'
> >>boogadah boogadah boogadah....
> >
> >
> > Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
> > Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
> > Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
> > that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
> > race, now that's racing :))
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe
> good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming. good
> excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and the hdtv
> is working...
>
Take some no-doze and wait for the big one. I hate plate racing.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3045. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 02:54:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218215402.118$wG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196684

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Feb 2005 02:50:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >> >Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
> >> >> >HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.
> >> >>
> >> >> I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.
> >> >>
> >> >Good for you. I hope you find nice people to talk to.
> >>
> >> I already have. But but to the dismay of certain people here, they are
> >> actually on CB. I am taking it with one of them.
> >>
> >It is what it is. You'll always be a CBer first, pizano.
>
> I ain't going anywhere. I will still use it. In fact, I am looking for
> one to replace my mobile.
>
SSB?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3046. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:08:09 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <U7yRd.105$A75.38@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196685

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Landshark wrote:
>>
>>
>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
>>>>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>>>>>
>>>>>That's not racin'.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
>>>>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
>>>>>peaks your attention.
>>>>>
>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
>>>>didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin'
>>>>boogadah boogadah boogadah....
>>>
>>>
>>>Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
>>> Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
>>>Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
>>>that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
>>>race, now that's racing :))
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>>
>>
>>sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe
>>good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming. good
>>excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and the hdtv
>>is working...

>>
>
> Take some no-doze and wait for the big one. I hate plate racing.
>
i'm not a fan of plate racing but it does even the boys out. gets rid of the provisional starters and lets the better managed cars and drivers duke it out. besides its a good excuse to toss the chores on a mid feb sunday hehehe

3047. TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:08:34 UTC
From: cc22003@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108782514.773621.291650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196686

www.movie135.4x2.net << TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

(you may need realplayer to view)

3048. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:11:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218221106.242$FC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196688

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> > >
> >>Landshark wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >>>news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
> >>>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
> >>>>
> >>>>That's not racin'.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
> >>>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
> >>>>peaks your attention.
> >>>>
> >>>> Landshark
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
> >>>>didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin'
> >>>>boogadah boogadah boogadah....
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
> >>> Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
> >>>Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
> >>>that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
> >>>race, now that's racing :))
> >>>
> >>> Landshark
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe
> >>good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming.
> >>good excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and the

> >>hdtv is working...
> >>
> >
> > Take some no-doze and wait for the big one. I hate plate racing.
> >
> i'm not a fan of plate racing but it does even the boys out. gets rid of
> the provisional starters and lets the better managed cars and drivers
> duke it out. besides its a good excuse to toss the chores on a mid feb
> sunday hehehe
>
Good point, but I'm getting tired of my old lady using that excuse
after 18 years. I can't get my hair to spike like Jamie Mac.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3049. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:13:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218221355.367$so@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196689

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050218182135.225$6m@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218171541.744
> >> $4I@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> >> Another Bold face Lie I never siad Dave gave me permission to do
> >> >> so, I told you I emailed him and told him what I was doing. Your
> >> >> obvously desperate to lie like you do.
> >> >>
> >> > will you email and tell me before you use my name in your posts
> >> > again?
> >>
> >> No why dont you grow some balls i will tell you to your face... show
> >> up
> >>
> > I'll be in Dayton for two days in May. C'mon down for some real
> > hospitality. You'll enjoy the hillbillies that are going with me too.
> > <g>
>
> Yeah your wife and kids are kinda fugly I hear.
>
Come on to the Buckeye in May. I'll buy you a beer at my campsite
of you don't act a fool.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3050. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:16:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218221606.513$9m@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196690

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:1bwRd.14349$911.5327@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
> >
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20050218191031.728$ZP@newsreader.com...
> >> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> >>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
> >>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
> >>>
> >>> Chasing his tail.....again.
> >>>
> >> Yup.
> >>
> >> Hi Randy, you guys gonna be any good at baseball this year?
> >>
> > >
> > Hope so. If they can pace themselves at 3/4 of what they did in the
> > second half of last year, we have a damn good chance!
>
> You dont even make the playoffs.
>
Thome wants to come back home to Cleveland.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
```

3051. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:24:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <1acd11963oeg7m1r90rs72n01gclch0m48@4ax.com>
Source record: 196691

On 19 Feb 2005 02:54:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 Feb 2005 02:50:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> >> >Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
>> >> >> >HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Good for you. I hope you find nice people to talk to.
>> >>
>> >> I already have. But but to the dismay of certain people here, they are
>> >> actually on CB. I am taking it with one of them.
>> >>
>> >It is what it is. You'll always be a CBer first, pizano.
>>
>> I ain't going anywhere. I will still use it. In fact, I am looking for
>> one to replace my mobile.
>>
>SSB?

Yes. Preferably a Grant XL or LT.

Vinnie S.

3052. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:28:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218222807.473$h0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196692

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 19 Feb 2005 02:54:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 19 Feb 2005 02:50:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> >> >Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
> >> >> >> >HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >Good for you. I hope you find nice people to talk to.
> >> >>
> >> >> I already have. But but to the dismay of certain people here, they
> >> >> are actually on CB. I am taking it with one of them.
> >> >>
> >> >It is what it is. You'll always be a CBer first, pizano.
> >>
> >> I ain't going anywhere. I will still use it. In fact, I am looking for
> >> one to replace my mobile.
> >>
> >SSB?
>
> Yes. Preferably a Grant XL or LT.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
I'll keep an eye. PC-122 is a good beater ssb portable.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3053. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:31:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218223142.619$Me@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196693

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218182524.787
> $Ew@newsreader.com:
>
> > You mean when you had the wrong sock on? Nah, we're hip to your
> > forging ways.
>
> You havent a clue, I didnt and don't use sock puppets
>
Have mercy miss percy, the ground is parting.

You're so full of shit there's no telling how many lies are
in every one of your posts, geo.

My bad for thinking you could act like a man.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3054. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:33:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218223359.646$OA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196694

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050218182302.537$18@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218172501.598
> >> $dO@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> >> > Well I'm done with this thread, you keep believing
> >> >> that cops don't write tickets for 1mph over (even in your
> >> >> state dude, no matter what you think), I know they do.
> >> >>
> >> >> Have a good one.................
> >> >>
> >> >> Landshark
> >> >>
> >> > Sorry Shark..it would make any cop a laughing stock if he did that.
> >> > If he wrote me a 1mph ticket, I'd be sure to find some time to
> >> > stalk him at the courthouse and call him Barney Fife several dozen
> >> > times a week.
> >> >
> >> > Paybacks are a bitch.
> >>
> >> Yep typical steveo stalking people ...LMAO...Your true colors
> >> ..Yellow
> >>
> > I say my shit to your face, ask your fugly felon hero.
>
> Is that with or without your hillbilies,
>
There's only three if you include me. Stop by.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3055. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 03:36:28 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050218223628.359$1a@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196695

```
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218221355.367
> $so@newsreader.com:
>
> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> > wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> >> news:20050218182135.225$6m@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218171541.744
> >> >> $4I@newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> >> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
> >> >> >> Another Bold face Lie I never siad Dave gave me permission to do
> >> >> >> so, I told you I emailed him and told him what I was doing. Your
> >> >> >> obvously desperate to lie like you do.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > will you email and tell me before you use my name in your posts
> >> >> > again?
> >> >>
> >> >> No why dont you grow some balls i will tell you to your face...
> show
> >> >> up
> >> >>
> >> > I'll be in Dayton for two days in May. C'mon down for some real
> >> > hospitality. You'll enjoy the hillbillies that are going with me
> too.
> >> > <g>
> >>
> >> Yeah your wife and kids are kinda fugly I hear.
> >>
> > Come on to the Buckeye in May. I'll buy you a beer at my campsite
> > of you don't act a fool.
>
> Nah sorry Steve I see how you really are, and how you act the fool.
>
Well..we all know you'd never have the nerve to actually show up
anyway. Thanks for the laffs.
```

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3056. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 04:27:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <50gd11h1ghoei21leoft0kufeufim0uq3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 196696

On 19 Feb 2005 03:28:07 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 19 Feb 2005 02:54:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> >> On 19 Feb 2005 02:50:40 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> >> >Get her to take the no-code tech multiple choice test, she can
>> >> >> >> >HT you when you need to stop for kitty litter and milk.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> I am takingmine March 12. I am going to give morse a shot, too.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >Good for you. I hope you find nice people to talk to.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> I already have. But but to the dismay of certain people here, they
>> >> >> are actually on CB. I am taking it with one of them.
>> >> >>
>> >> >It is what it is. You'll always be a CBer first, pizano.
>> >>
>> >> I ain't going anywhere. I will still use it. In fact, I am looking for
>> >> one to replace my mobile.
>> >>
>> >SSB?
>>
>> Yes. Preferably a Grant XL or LT.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>I'll keep an eye. PC-122 is a good beater ssb portable.

PC-122 is nice. The reason I prefer the Grant is that there were so many, I can
get any problems solved easily, or modded easily.

Vinnie S.

3057. TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 05:10:32 UTC
From: cc22003@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108789832.690717.60470@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196697

www.movie135.4x2.net << TODAY'S FREE MOVIE

(you may need realplayer to view)

3058. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 05:49:19 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <zrARd.1484$OU1.1433@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196698

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050218221106.242$FC@newsreader.com...
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>>
>> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Landshark wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> >>>news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
>> >>>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>That's not racin'.
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
>> >>>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
>> >>>>peaks your attention.
>> >>>>
>> >>>> Landshark
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>
>> >>>>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
>> >>>>didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin'
>> >>>>boogadah boogadah boogadah....
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
>> >>> Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
>> >>>Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
>> >>>that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
>> >>>race, now that's racing :))
>> >>>
>> >>> Landshark
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe

>> >>good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming.
>> >>good excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and the
>> >>hdtv is working...
>> >>
>> >
>> > Take some no-doze and wait for the big one. I hate plate racing.
>> >
>> i'm not a fan of plate racing but it does even the boys out. gets rid of
>> the provisional starters and lets the better managed cars and drivers
>> duke it out. besides its a good excuse to toss the chores on a mid feb
>> sunday hehehe
>>
> Good point, but I'm getting tired of my old lady using that excuse
> after 18 years. I can't get my hair to spike like Jamie Mac.

LOL!!!! too funny...........

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

3059. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 05:49:19 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <zrARd.1486$OU1.271@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196699

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050218223628.359$1a@newsreader.com...
> Well..we all know you'd never have the nerve to actually show up
> anyway. Thanks for the laffs.
>
Dude, as I've said before..... When you making
that west coast run again? As always, you have an
open invitation.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

3060. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 05:49:19 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <zrARd.1485$OU1.616@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196700

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:KOxRd.102$FS4.12@fe12.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
>>
>>>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
>>>>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>>>>>
>>>>>That's not racin'.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
>>>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
>>>>peaks your attention.
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
>>>didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin'
>>>boogadah boogadah boogadah....
>>
>>
>> Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
>> Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
>> Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
>> that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
>> race, now that's racing :))
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe
> good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming. good
> excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and the hdtv is
> working...

Likewise..... I was hoping to get an early ride in,
but we are expecting rain most of the weekend. Oh well,
new keg, nice roaring fire, not more you can ask for :)

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

3061. fast easy cash. very worth it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 09:02:00 UTC
From: masatosan <neosilvia87@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1108803720.304756.141380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.music.opera,rec.arts.sf.written,rec.crafts.brewing

Im tellin yah it workx and its so worth it

Turn $5.00 into $50,000...read this to find out how!!!
READING THIS COULD CHANGE YOUR LIFE!!!
I found this on a bulletin board like this one and decided to try it.
A little while back, I was browsing through a newsgroup, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $5.00! So I thought, "Yeah right, this must be a scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading... I also did an internet search and found nothing but success stories.

Anyway, it said that you need to send $1.00 to each of the 5 names and address stated in the article. You then place your own name and address in the bottom of the list at ..5, and post the article in at least 200 newsgroups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I figured "what have I got to lose except 5 stamps and $5.00, right?"

Then I invested the measly $5.00 (I use the word "measly" because $5 really is measly compared to the money I have made through the initial investment). Well GUESS WHAT!!... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just kept coming in.
In my first week, I made about $25.00. By the end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.

This is now my fourth week and I have made just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth $5.00, and 5 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!!
Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works....
Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it.
I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy!

Suggestion:
Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.)
Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy.
It's legal. And, your investment is only $5.00 (Plus postage)

IMPORTANT:
This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends.
PLEASE NOTE:
Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days.
This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue it's success by carefully adhering to the instructions.
You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists.
Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list.
Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

STEP 1:
Get 5 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST."
Now get 5 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery).
Next, place one paper in each of the 5 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 5 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the phrase "PLEASE
PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST.", your name and address, and a $1.00 bill.
What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!
You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it!
Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal!
Mail the 5 envelopes to the following addresses:

..1) Jason R
3702 Werner Ave.
Austin, TX 78722

..2) J Arenas
1600 Malibu
Carrollton, TX 75006

..3) Richonda S
CMR 402 BOX 274
APO, AE 09180

..4) J Roth
2901 Barton Skyway ..3406
Austin, TX 78746

..5)Jesse James
1778 Swift Ave.
Ventura, Ca 93003

STEP 2: Now take the ..1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (5 becomes 4, 4 becomes 3, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 5 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 32,000+) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make!

This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again.

PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions.
Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE:
You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service. (Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.)
So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, five members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each.
Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the ..1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!!
What an opportunity for only $5.00 ($1.00 for each of the first five people listed above)
Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!

---DIRECTIONS ----- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS----------
Step 1)
You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the
entire letter into the computer's memory.
Step 2)
Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.
Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to.
Step 4)

Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions, etc.)
Step 5)
Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this
will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button.
You're done with your first one!
Congratulations...THAT'S IT!
All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup!
REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.
JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.
Now the WHY part:
Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example).
So then I made $5.00 with my name at ..5 on the letter.
Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at ..5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at ..4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00!
Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at ..3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00!
OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at ..2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!!
Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at ..1 and if still ONLY 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00!
With an original investment of only $5.00! AMAZING! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $5.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 5 again. And start posting again.
The thing to remember is do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!!
So, can you afford $5.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...
People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try?

Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands
of those joining the actual internet.
Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work!!!

3062. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 11:53:23 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <TMFRd.24891$Bx5.19220@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196702

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050218200247.339$9t@newsreader.com...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050218191031.728$ZP@newsreader.com...
>> > "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>> >> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> >> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> > I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
>> >>
>> >> Chasing his tail.....again.
>> >>
>> > Yup.
>> >
>> > Hi Randy, you guys gonna be any good at baseball this year?
>> >
>>
>> Hope so. If they can pace themselves at 3/4 of what they did in the
>> second half of last year, we have a damn good chance!
>>
> But the Mets have your best hitter now, right? Did you guys sign
> another big stick to take his place?

Nah, he was just a small piece of the puzzle. The Mets paid too much for
something unproven.

3063. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 11:57:45 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <ZQFRd.24915$Bx5.1870@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196703

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns9601E267792E01234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:bavRd.24626$Bx5.4508@fe1.texas.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in message
>> news:Xns9601B21F2BE3E1234567890@216.196.97.136...
>>> N3CJV@invalid.invalid wrote in
>>> news:20050218164009.420$ev@newsreader.com:
>>>
>>>>> He wasn't really trying to forge me.
>>>>>
>>>> Nah, he saves that for me.
>>>
>>> i dont forge you you stupid fucking retard, you have more friends
>>> than me ifn fact i though we had kinda chilledSINCE AFTER THE NEW
>>> YEAR but I see you cant do thaT AND WANT TO START YOUR SHIT AGAIN, SO
>>> I GUESS IT'S BACK ON
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> He was only trying to excite the
>>>>> peanut gallery.
>>>>>
>>>> Same shit, different stink.
>>>
>>> yes as i said before you are the king of forges Other than this past
>>> week I havent posted to this ng often, it really sucks in here, why
>>> waste my time I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
>>
>> Chasing his tail.....again.
>
>
> And your chasiung me around dickhead... did your mother have anything
> that lived?

Yes, several kids, and that one little deformed one that the rest of us
couldn't stand. I think it's name was "George". At any rate, we took it out
back and threw it in the river. Dunno what came of it after that for sure.
I've heard it grew up to be a sad little usenet troll and moved up northeast

somewhere.

3064. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 12:01:02 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <2UFRd.24933$Bx5.2272@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196704

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns9601DE978D3E41234567890@216.196.97.136...
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:28397-4216A0A2-
> 1031@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net:
>
>> Is your memory that poor? You invoked an un-named company that has a
>> financial interest in marketing their product as an answer to my
> inquiry
>> as to WHO told you that Pa. was a non-radar state,
>
>
> My memory is fine except for occasion short term memory loss.

Like how to form a sentence and spell correctly.....

3065. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 13:18:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <64fe11drvmaj96g3c35vh1q62pu57jl8in@4ax.com>
Source record: 196707

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:13:17 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns9601B9AADD4341234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:45pb111qicmoorf6ot7ei9n0h3i51asqg5@4ax.com:
>
>>
>> Do what you have to do, and so will I.
>
>I always do and will continue to do so. You act like twisty you get treated
>like him... simple enough

Then your campaign is against Twisty, not illegal operation.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3066. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 13:18:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <e4fe1157c7t8v6kqidk8eh99n73t7iomgn@4ax.com>
Source record: 196708

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:15:09 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns9601B9FC116B01234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:45pb111qicmoorf6ot7ei9n0h3i51asqg5@4ax.com:
>
>
>> frankly, I'm a little disappointed that Dave hasn't asked you to
>> butt-out because he is capable of speaking for himself.
>
>
>Why dont you ask twisty to step aside while you argue with dave and he
>jumps in?

Twisty has his own dialog with Dave. As for the argument between Dave
and myself, the only person jumping in is you.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3067. What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 13:21:07 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <45fe11d052nsg12i56hm0qo0os50va7mm5@4ax.com>
Source record: 196706

So you are an xtra-class ham and claim to be an "engineer" with 35
years experience in electronics, right? Well, let's review what I have
taught you so far in our recent discussions. These are subjects where
you showed either a dysfunctional understanding or complete ignorance:

The relationship between current and voltage:
The definition of 'Alternating Current';
The difference between a fundamental and a harmonic;
The definition of 'pard';
The difference between a battery and a capacitor;
The AC resistance of a capacitor;
The non-linear characteristics of a capacitor;
The regulating characteristics of non-linear components;
The ability to amplify the non-linear characteristics of components;
The linearity of a capacitor charging under constant current;
The definition of a 'curve';
The function of a swinging choke;
The function of a constant-voltage transformer;
The thermal characteristics of a resistor;
The operation of a thermistor;
The reason a fuse is called a fuse;
The permeability differences of various ferrite materials;
The proximity effect of a directional microphone;
The definition of 'compression';
The difference between limiting and compression;
The difference between hard limiting and soft limiting;
The definition of 'downward expansion'.

Notice that I -didn't- include any topics in politics, theology,
philosophy, logic or literary fiction, although your education is
improving in those areas, too. So what's your next lesson going to
cover, Dave?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3068. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 13:33:25 UTC
From: Floyd VIncent <fvincent@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FeHRd.30642$nC5.7932@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 196705

```
test
```

3069. set of two full power walkie talkies for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 14:31:29 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <55IRd.6627$nU7.1855@newssvr31.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196709

I have a set of 2 Citizen Band 40 channel Walkie Talkies, Realistic, TRC-217, Has High Low power switch, light button, volume and squelch knobs, external speaker jack, charge and power jacks, includes chargers for both, uses 10 AA batteries in each, puts out full legal watts. $55.00 for both delivered in Cont. US.

E-mail me if interested

miner1@flash.net

Thank you for your time.

For CB items and Oklahoma Amateur Radio announcements, items for sale, trade, and to give away visit:

Nighthawk's Board, membership is free.

http://nighthawk.suddenlaunch2.com

3070. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 14:36:54 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ohge11lqvqvt3tp7fbpq7gr7dh08ev48ro@4ax.com>
Source record: 196710

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 05:21:07 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

It's kind of brings back some sad memories, when you bring this stuff up. I went
toe DeVry in the mid 1980's. For our communications class, the proffessor was
probably the worst teacher I have ever had, although a very nice guy. ANyway,
the trimester was about 15 weeks long, after about 11-12 weeks, he was so bad.
we were stuck on chapter 1. The students were in a revolt, calling for the
teacher to be transferred. Most of them complaining daily to the dean. The big
kicker was he was costing them the high GPA, as well as the cost of tuition.
with people scoring low on exams. I didn't say anything. At least to the dean. I
did complain to other students, but was not a rabble rouser. Never went to
authority.

Anyway, sometime near week 11 or 12, me and this other gentleman were called to
the dean's office, to speak on the matter. My first question was, why did you
select us? He answer that with so many people complaining to him, he want 2
students with good grades, that he hadn't spoke too, or heard from. He wanted a
independent opinion. He wanted an opinion not based on rage. So I gave it, and
so did the other guy. I basically said what kind of teacher he was. I said that
the book has saved me. If it weren't for the book, I would be lost. I also told
him that I was think about taking a extra communications class, to make up for
what I didn't learn yet. The discussion was very low key, and civilized. The
dean seemed to appeciate that. I don't think it was ever mentioned to fire or
transfer him. But hthe teacher was gone the next day. I always felt bad about
that. Still do. The remain 3 weeks was taught by one of the best teachers there.
We zipped to more in the 3 weeks, then in the previous 12.

>So you are an xtra-class ham and claim to be an "engineer" with 35
>years experience in electronics, right? Well, let's review what I have
>taught you so far in our recent discussions. These are subjects where
>you showed either a dysfunctional understanding or complete ignorance:
>
>The relationship between current and voltage:
>The definition of 'Alternating Current';
>The difference between a fundamental and a harmonic;
>The definition of 'pard';
>The difference between a battery and a capacitor;
>The AC resistance of a capacitor;
>The non-linear characteristics of a capacitor;
>The regulating characteristics of non-linear components;
>The ability to amplify the non-linear characteristics of components;
>The linearity of a capacitor charging under constant current;
>The definition of a 'curve';
>The function of a swinging choke;

>The function of a constant-voltage transformer;
>The thermal characteristics of a resistor;
>The operation of a thermistor;
>The reason a fuse is called a fuse;
>The permeability differences of various ferrite materials;
>The proximity effect of a directional microphone;
>The definition of 'compression';
>The difference between limiting and compression;
>The difference between hard limiting and soft limiting;
>The definition of 'downward expansion'.

Vinnie S.

3071. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 14:37:19 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1elRd.934$Ch4.816@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196711

Steveo wrote:
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Landshark wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>>>news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That plate
>>>>>>>racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>That's not racin'.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
>>>>>>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
>>>>>>>peaks your attention.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
>>>>>>>didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go racin'
>>>>>>>boogadah boogadah boogadah....
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
>>>>> Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
>>>>>Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
>>>>>that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
>>>>>race, now that's racing :))
>>>>>
>>>>> Landshark

>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe
>>>>good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming.
>>>>good excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and the
>>>>hdtv is working...
>>>>
>>>
>>>Take some no-doze and wait for the big one. I hate plate racing.
>>>
>>
>>i'm not a fan of plate racing but it does even the boys out. gets rid of
>>the provisional starters and lets the better managed cars and drivers
>>duke it out. besides its a good excuse to toss the chores on a mid feb
>>sunday hehehe
>>
>
> Good point, but I'm getting tired of my old lady using that excuse
> after 18 years. I can't get my hair to spike like Jamie Mac.
>
Try bacon grease :p

3072. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 14:57:15 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <JwIRd.935$0z4.298@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196712

Vinnie S. wrote:

> On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 05:21:07 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
> wrote:
>
>
> It's kind of brings back some sad memories, when you bring this stuff up. I went
> toe DeVry in the mid 1980's. For our communications class, the proffessor was
> probably the worst teacher I have ever had, although a very nice guy. ANyway,
> the trimester was about 15 weeks long, after about 11-12 weeks, he was so bad.
> we were stuck on chapter 1. The students were in a revolt, calling for the
> teacher to be transferred. Most of them complaining daily to the dean. The big
> kicker was he was costing them the high GPA, as well as the cost of tuition.
> with people scoring low on exams. I didn't say anything. At least to the dean. I
> did complain to other students, but was not a rabble rouser. Never went to
> authority.
>
> Anyway, sometime near week 11 or 12, me and this other gentleman were called to
> the dean's office, to speak on the matter. My first question was, why did you
> select us? He answer that with so many people complaining to him, he want 2
> students with good grades, that he hadn't spoke too, or heard from. He wanted a
> independent opinion. He wanted an opinion not based on rage. So I gave it, and
> so did the other guy. I basically said what kind of teacher he was. I said that
> the book has saved me. If it weren't for the book, I would be lost. I also told
> him that I was think about taking a extra communications class, to make up for
> what I didn't learn yet. The discussion was very low key, and civilized. The
> dean seemed to appeciate that. I don't think it was ever mentioned to fire or
> transfer him. But hthe teacher was gone the next day. I always felt bad about
> that. Still do. The remain 3 weeks was taught by one of the best teachers there.
> We zipped to more in the 3 weeks, then in the previous 12.
>
>
>
>>So you are an xtra-class ham and claim to be an "engineer" with 35
>>years experience in electronics, right? Well, let's review what I have
>>taught you so far in our recent discussions. These are subjects where
>>you showed either a dysfunctional understanding or complete ignorance:
>>
>>The relationship between current and voltage:
>>The definition of 'Alternating Current';
>>The difference between a fundamental and a harmonic;
>>The definition of 'pard';
>>The difference between a battery and a capacitor;
>>The AC resistance of a capacitor;

>>The non-linear characteristics of a capacitor;
>>The regulating characteristics of non-linear components;
>>The ability to amplify the non-linear characteristics of components;
>>The linearity of a capacitor charging under constant current;
>>The definition of a 'curve';
>>The function of a swinging choke;
>>The function of a constant-voltage transformer;
>>The thermal characteristics of a resistor;
>>The operation of a thermistor;
>>The reason a fuse is called a fuse;
>>The permeability differences of various ferrite materials;
>>The proximity effect of a directional microphone;
>>The definition of 'compression';
>>The difference between limiting and compression;
>>The difference between hard limiting and soft limiting;
>>The definition of 'downward expansion'.
>
>
>
> Vinnie S.
Got the gist of your story but it was not an easy read.

3073. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 15:07:50 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6hle11lsnodlr8d5vaibmi16cuhtmn7hoa@4ax.com>
Source record: 196713

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 09:57:15 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>> Vinnie S.

>Got the gist of your story but it was not an easy read.

That is because my typing skills suck wind.

Vinnie S.

3074. CB SSB Preservation Society

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 15:12:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <prje11d7teabir23h0la3q3pouehgtemt8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196714

Ok, it's not a society, it's just me.

Anyway, I'd like to get a collection of stock SSB radios before the golden screwdriver techs destroy the few that remain intact. So I'm going to start a search for any out-of-production SSB CB that has never been peaked, tweaked or modified. And I've noticed that it's almost impossible to find an unmodified radio on ebay. So if you have one that meets the criteria, send me an email with the price and condition of the radio, and if I don't have the model already I'll consider the offer. I might even make an internet museum if there are enough stock radios still in existence!

BTW, this offer will stand until I run out of money or storage space, which ever comes first.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3075. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 15:18:44 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4tle11djamp61nr976p3f59jnqjjgv1ngk@4ax.com>
Source record: 196715

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 09:36:54 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote in <ohge11lqvqvt3tp7fbpq7gr7dh08ev48ro@4ax.com>:

>On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 05:21:07 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>
>It's kind of brings back some sad memories, when you bring this stuff up. I went
>toe DeVry in the mid 1980's. For our communications class, the proffessor was
>probably the worst teacher I have ever had, although a very nice guy. ANyway,
>the trimester was about 15 weeks long, after about 11-12 weeks, he was so bad.
>we were stuck on chapter 1. The students were in a revolt, calling for the
>teacher to be transferred. Most of them complaining daily to the dean. The big
>kicker was he was costing them the high GPA, as well as the cost of tuition.
>with people scoring low on exams. I didn't say anything. At least to the dean. I
>did complain to other students, but was not a rabble rouser. Never went to
>authority.
>
>Anyway, sometime near week 11 or 12, me and this other gentleman were called to
>the dean's office, to speak on the matter. My first question was, why did you
>select us? He answer that with so many people complaining to him, he want 2
>students with good grades, that he hadn't spoke too, or heard from. He wanted a
>independent opinion. He wanted an opinion not based on rage. So I gave it, and
>so did the other guy. I basically said what kind of teacher he was. I said that
>the book has saved me. If it weren't for the book, I would be lost. I also told
>him that I was think about taking a extra communications class, to make up for
>what I didn't learn yet. The discussion was very low key, and civilized. The
>dean seemed to appeciate that. I don't think it was ever mentioned to fire or
>transfer him. But hthe teacher was gone the next day. I always felt bad about
>that. Still do. The remain 3 weeks was taught by one of the best teachers there.
>We zipped to more in the 3 weeks, then in the previous 12.

That's a drag. At least you had the ambition and interest to stay with
the program even when the instructor couldn't.

BTW, a couple years ago I inherited an old DeVry multimeter that was
built by my step-dad. Did you make one of those in the course or were
they optional projects?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3076. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 15:39:38 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <3bne11dp26fpbbvn0l9fk79ic4krt70hkp@4ax.com>
Source record: 196716

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 07:18:44 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
wrote:

>>
>>It's kind of brings back some sad memories, when you bring this stuff up. I went
>>toe DeVry in the mid 1980's. For our communications class, the proffessor was
>>probably the worst teacher I have ever had, although a very nice guy. ANyway,
>>the trimester was about 15 weeks long, after about 11-12 weeks, he was so bad.
>>we were stuck on chapter 1. The students were in a revolt, calling for the
>>teacher to be transferred. Most of them complaining daily to the dean. The big
>>kicker was he was costing them the high GPA, as well as the cost of tuition.
>>with people scoring low on exams. I didn't say anything. At least to the dean. I
>>did complain to other students, but was not a rabble rouser. Never went to
>>authority.
>>
>>Anyway, sometime near week 11 or 12, me and this other gentleman were called to
>>the dean's office, to speak on the matter. My first question was, why did you
>>select us? He answer that with so many people complaining to him, he want 2
>>students with good grades, that he hadn't spoke too, or heard from. He wanted a
>>independent opinion. He wanted an opinion not based on rage. So I gave it, and
>>so did the other guy. I basically said what kind of teacher he was. I said that
>>the book has saved me. If it weren't for the book, I would be lost. I also told
>>him that I was think about taking a extra communications class, to make up for
>>what I didn't learn yet. The discussion was very low key, and civilized. The
>>dean seemed to appeciate that. I don't think it was ever mentioned to fire or
>>transfer him. But hthe teacher was gone the next day. I always felt bad about
>>that. Still do. The remain 3 weeks was taught by one of the best teachers there.
>>We zipped to more in the 3 weeks, then in the previous 12.
>
>
>That's a drag. At least you had the ambition and interest to stay with
>the program even when the instructor couldn't.

I still have that text book !

>BTW, a couple years ago I inherited an old DeVry multimeter that was
>built by my step-dad. Did you make one of those in the course or were
>they optional projects?

We built a power supply with function generator. It's funny you say that, sine I
just picked it up from my parents' house 2 days ago. It was in the attic more
than 20 years.

Vinnie S.

3077. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 16:06:57 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <BuJRd.25486$Bx5.13280@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196717

```
"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns96026C77EC5E41234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
> news:20050218223628.359$1a@newsreader.com:
>
>> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>> wrote:
>>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050218221355.367
>>> $so@newsreader.com:
>>>
>>> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> news:20050218182135.225$6m@newsreader.com:
>>> >>
>>> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in
>>> >> >> news:20050218171541.744 $4I@newsreader.com:
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> > itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>>> >> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>> >> >> >> Another Bold face Lie I never siad Dave gave me permission
>>> >> >> >> to do so, I told you I emailed him and told him what I was
>>> >> >> >> doing. Your obvously desperate to lie like you do.
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> > will you email and tell me before you use my name in your
>>> >> >> > posts again?
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> No why dont you grow some balls i will tell you to your face...
>>> show
>>> >> >> up
>>> >> >>
>>> >> > I'll be in Dayton for two days in May. C'mon down for some real
>>> >> > hospitality. You'll enjoy the hillbillies that are going with me
>>> too.
>>> >> > <g>
>>> >>
>>> >> Yeah your wife and kids are kinda fugly I hear.
>>> >>
>>> > Come on to the Buckeye in May. I'll buy you a beer at my campsite
>>> > of you don't act a fool.
>>>
```

>>> Nah sorry Steve I see how you really are, and how you act the fool.
>>>
>> Well..we all know you'd never have the nerve to actually show up
>> anyway. Thanks for the laffs.
>
> No it isn't nerve I am lacking.

A vehicle or driver's license?

3078. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 16:51:23 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <f8KRd.1342$Pz7.812@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196718

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:BuJRd.25486$Bx5.13280@fe1.texas.rr.com...

>>>> >> >> > <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>>> >> >> >> Another Bold face Lie I never siad Dave gave me permission
>>>> >> >> >> to do so, I told you I emailed him and told him what I was
>>>> >> >> >> doing. Your obvously desperate to lie like you do.
>>>> >> >> >>
>>>> >> >> > will you email and tell me before you use my name in your
>>>> >> >> > posts again?
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> >> No why dont you grow some balls i will tell you to your face...
>>>> show
>>>> >> >> up
>>>> >> >>
>>>> >> > I'll be in Dayton for two days in May. C'mon down for some real
>>>> >> > hospitality. You'll enjoy the hillbillies that are going with me
>>>> too.
>>>> >> > <g>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> Yeah your wife and kids are kinda fugly I hear.
>>>> >>
>>>> > Come on to the Buckeye in May. I'll buy you a beer at my campsite
>>>> > of you don't act a fool.
>>>>
>>>> Nah sorry Steve I see how you really are, and how you act the fool.
>>>>
>>> Well..we all know you'd never have the nerve to actually show up
>>> anyway. Thanks for the laffs.
>>
>> No it isn't nerve I am lacking.
>
> A vehicle or driver's license?

Funds?? LOL!!! Leave him alone.. He'll
learn one day that being a piss-ant to everyone is
not the way to impress people or make friends.

Landshark

3079. FA: All sorts of Ham/CB related items>Ending Sunday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 18:26:39 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <SBLRd.1959$1T7.161@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196719

All items are real inexpensive.
Many nice pictures, you'll be aolmost guaranteed to find something you
can use:-)
This is my entire list on Ebay.
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom
GL..
Rich WA2RQY

3080. FS: MANY vintage Welch Scientific Co. Tube type Training aids for schools!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 18:37:30 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ZLLRd.1967$w_7.1213@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196720

Anyone collect or have interest in these?
Regards;
Rich WA2RQY

3081. *post 100* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 20:32:05 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108845125.365642.280110@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196721

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

3082. Re: breaker breaker..test post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 20:44:53 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108845893.480995.215770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196722

Steveo wrote:
> never mind.
>
> --
> http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

testicle post, ay? 'smatter, the dudes at the Petro find out about your
gum infection?

3083. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 20:45:59 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <GDNRd.2462$IY.952@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196723

Vinnie S. wrote:

> On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 09:57:15 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>Vinnie S.
>
>
>>Got the gist of your story but it was not an easy read.
>
>
> That is because my typing skills suck wind.
>
>
>
>
> Vinnie S.
hehehe i hear that. point was made but god forbid a person with limited
english skills should try and decipher the post... 73, Jim.

3084. LETS HELP EACH OTHER GET RICH QUICK!!!!PLEASE READ!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 21:03:16 UTC
From: dhargan@santel.net
Message-ID: <1108846996.673901.129750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196724

I found this in a news group and decided to try it. A little while back, I was browsing through

news groups, just like you are now and came across a message just like this, that said you

could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00!!!

So I thought yeah right, this must be a scam!!! But like most of us, I was curious, so I kept

reading. Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and addresses stated in the

message. You then place your own name and address at the bottom of the list at #6 and post the

message in at least 200 news groups. (There are thousands). No catch, that was it.

So after thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I thought about trying it. I

figured, what have I got to lose except 6 stamps and $6.00, right? So I invested the measly

$6.00!!! Guess what? Within 7
days I started getting money in the mail!!! I was shocked!!! I figured it would end soon, but

the money just kept coming in!!! In my first week, I had made about $25.00. By the end of the

second week, I had made a total of over $1,000.00!!! In the third week, I had over $10,000.00

and it is still growing!!! This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over

$42,000.00 and it is still coming in rapidly!!! It's certainly worth $6.00 and 6 stamps!!! I

have spent more than that on the Lottery!!!

Let me tell you how this works and most importantly, why it works!!! Also, make sure you print

a copy of this message now. So you can get the information off of of it as you need it. I

promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money

than you thought possible by doing something so easy!!!

Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully!!! (Print it out or download it.) Follow the

simple directions and watch the money come in!!! It's easy!!! It's legal!!! Your investment is

only $6.00 (plus
postage).

IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off!!! It is not illegal!!! ? It is almost entirely risk free and

it really works!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive

extraordinary dividends!!!

Please note: Follow these directions EXACTLY, and $60,000.00 or more can be yours in 20 to 90

days!!! This program remains successful because of the honesty and the integrity of the

participants!!! Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions.

You will now become part of the mail order business. In this business your product is not solid

or tangible, it is a service. You are in the business of developing mailing lists. Many large

corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from a

mailing list is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be

included on your mailing list!!!

Here are the 4 easy steps to success:

Step 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper.

PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST

Now get 6 U.S. dollar bills and place ONE inside each of the 6 pieces of paper so the bills

will not be seen through the envelopes (to prevent mail theft). Next, place one paper in each

of the 6 envelopes and seal them, you should now have 6 sealed envelopes. Each with a piece of

paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill.

THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!!! YOU ARE REQUESTING A LEGITIMATE SERVICE AND YOU ARE PAYING FOR

IT!!!

Like most of us, I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspect of it

all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Postal Service and they confirmed that it is indeed

legal!!!

Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

J.J. Maxey
355 N. Manila Ave.
Fresno, CA 93727
USA

Kyle Pate
P.o. Box 2046
Great Falls, MT 59403-2046
USA

G.H.L.
1600 N Thorntree Rd
Muncie, IN 47304-9710
USA

G. Skillen
32 Ebor Drive
Tates Avenue
Belfast, BT12 6NR
Northern Ireland

M. Motut
2377 Anora Dr.
Abbotsford, BC v2s 5p3
Canada

Deana Hargan
P.O. Box 22
Ethan, SD 57334

Step 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6

becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc.) and add your name as number 6 on the list.

Step 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this message as close to what you see as

possible. Now, post your amended message to at least 200 news groups. I think there are close

to 24,000 groups!!! All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you
make!!! This is perfectly legal!! If you have any doubts, refer to Title18 sec. 1302 & 1341 of

the postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and whenever you need money,

you can use it
again. Please remember that this program remains successful because of the honesty and the

integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions!!!

Look at it this way. If you are of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so

many others have received will come your way!!!

Note: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on your computer or

hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This verifies that you are truly providing a

service. Also, it might be a good Idea to wrap the $1 bills in dark paper to reduce the risk of

mail theft.

So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be

reimbursed for their participation as a list developer with one dollar each. Your name will

move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position, you will be

receiving thousands of dollars in cash!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00!!! ($1.00 for each

of the first six people listed above).

Send it now, add your own name to the list and your in business!!!

DIRECTIONS FOR HOW TO POST TO A NEWS GROUP!!!

Step 1: You do not need to re-type this entire message to do your own posting. Simply put your

cursor at the beginning of this message and drag your cursor to the bottom of this message and

select copy from the edit menu. This will copy the entire message into the computer memory.

Step 2: Open a blank note pad file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the

edit menu select paste. This will paste a copy of the message into notepad so that you can add

your name to the list.

Step 3: Save your new notepad file as a txt file. If you want to do your posting in a different

setting, you'll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4: Use Netscape or Internet Explorer and try searching for various news groups (on-line

forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions, etc.)

Step 5: Visit these message boards and post this message as a new message by highlighting the

text of this message from your notepad and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the

subject, this will be
the header that everybody sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular

group. Click the post message button. You've done your first one! Congratulations!!! All You

have to do is jump to
different news groups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30

seconds for each news group!

REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWS GROUPS YOU POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!!!! (But you have to post a minimum of 200).

That's it!!! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days!!! You may

eventually want to rent a P.O. Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you

wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.

JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT!!!

Now the why part. Out of 200 postings, say you receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So

then you made $5.00 with your name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who sent you

$1.00 make the minimum 200 postings, each with your name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to

each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for you. Now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM

posts with your name at #4 and only 5 replies each, you will bring in an additional $125.00!!!!

Now, those 125 persons
turn around and post the minimum 200 with your name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, you

will make an additional $625.00!!! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post

a minimum 200 messages with your name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just

made you $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 news groups, If

just 5 more reply you will receive $15,625.00!!! All with an original investment of only

$6.00!!! Amazing isn't it!!!! When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest

posting in the news groups and send out another $6.00 to the names on the list, putting your

name at number 6 again.

You must realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and

reading these messages every day!!! Just like you are now!!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see

if it really works? I'm glad I did!!! People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no

one sends you the money?" So what!!! What are the chances of that happening, when there are

tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and news groups

everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every

day!!!

REMEMBER PLAY HONESTLY AND FAIRLY AND THIS WILL REALLY WORK!!!

--comments/feedback(please post your feedback/experiences here)---

Not bad for 1 hr's work....made me around $5320 in roughly 35 days - Anthony M Texas

Hello, I rcvd 269 bucks in the post in 2 weeks. Dan Miami, FL

I had to wait around 10 days before I had any results - $13,450 as of 3rd Jan 2003 to date(14th

Feb 2003).Am going to re-post it again for more money!! - Del from Alberta - Canada

Only received around $588 in the post the last 2 months since I started the program but I'd

posted to approx. 100 newsgroups - James P Manchester UK

cool....didn't expect much out of this "scam" initially but I have pay my credit card bill -

Mustafa , Jordan

I have received money from people all over the world...here in China it is very good money and

I buy new computer last month - Zhong Wen ...Guangxi CHina

For $6,I made $246 in 2 weeks --ROMEO2326 little rock,AR US of A

Hey, just dropping a line to say that after posting to well over 820 newsgroups on google and

my ISP newsgroup server over a period of 4 ½ months ,I've raked in $54280 . Mucho dinero

baby!!!! Peace, Drew Dallas TX

I hope whom ever receives my envelopes enjoys the crispy new dollar bills. Great program, I

just started receiving cash. S.C.B.

---

vBulletin v3.0.6, Copyright ©2000-2005, Jelsoft Enterprises Ltd.

3085. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 21:06:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050219160612.577$r3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196726

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050218223628.359$1a@newsreader.com...
> > Well..we all know you'd never have the nerve to actually show up
> > anyway. Thanks for the laffs.
> >
> Dude, as I've said before..... When you making
> that west coast run again? As always, you have an
> open invitation.
>
> Landshark
>
I appreciate that, Shark. :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3086. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 21:07:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050219160710.134$gh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196725

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Landshark wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That
> >>>>>>plate racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>That's not racin'.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
> >>>>>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
> >>>>>>peaks your attention.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> Landshark
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
> >>>>>>didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go
> >>>>>>racin' boogadah boogadah boogadah....
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
> >>>>> Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
> >>>>>Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
> >>>>>that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
> >>>>>race, now that's racing :))
> >>>>>

> >>>>> Landshark
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe
> >>>>good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming.
> >>>>good excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and
> >>>>the hdtv is working...
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Take some no-doze and wait for the big one. I hate plate racing.
> >>>
> >>
> >>i'm not a fan of plate racing but it does even the boys out. gets rid
> >>of the provisional starters and lets the better managed cars and
> >>drivers duke it out. besides its a good excuse to toss the chores on a
> >>mid feb sunday hehehe
> >>
> >
> > Good point, but I'm getting tired of my old lady using that excuse
> > after 18 years. I can't get my hair to spike like Jamie Mac.
> >
> Try bacon grease :p
>
Oh that might work!

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3087. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 21:50:39 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <s2df11pblav7tcui2lfte108sbs2ovqtsj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196727

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 15:45:59 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:

>Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 09:57:15 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>
>>>Got the gist of your story but it was not an easy read.
>>
>>
>> That is because my typing skills suck wind.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>hehehe i hear that. point was made but god forbid a person with limited
>english skills should try and decipher the post... 73, Jim.

Jim,

I can guarantee you that my English is far superior to my typing skill. Throw in
a couple curse words, here and there.

Vinnie S.

3088. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 22:32:16 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <cv8es402hjf@news4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 196728

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 05:21:07 -0800 Frank Gilliland wrote:

> So you are an xtra-class ham and claim to be an "engineer" with 35
> years experience in electronics, right? Well, let's review what I have
> taught you so far in our recent discussions. These are subjects where
> you showed either a dysfunctional understanding or complete ignorance:

I took a computer programming course once.
The instructor barely knew enough about BASIC to get by.
She had no idea what the period was used for in a print statement.
One time the guy sitting beside me at the machines was asking how come his
statement wasn't working.
She couldn't figure it out.
I took a quick look and knew why instantly.
He was trying to use two <> conditions between if and then.
That's a big no no in BASIC.

I held a 2nd class FCC license for five years.
But I didn't know all that much about electronics since I was using the
license to get a better paying job, which never occurred.
And since it was easy to get, I took the test and passed with a 95% score.

If someone wants a job as an "engineer" bad enough, they'll get one.
The problem is, they'll have to prove their skills on the job.
If they can't muster it, they'll be looking for a new career.

3089. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-19 23:43:03 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108856583.693544.63380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196729

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

3090. Re: *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 00:14:36 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <MDQRd.25830$Bx5.1926@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196730

<RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:1108856583.693544.63380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Good News!
>

Drivel snipped

Another AOL proxy user. Imagine that.

3091. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 02:09:13 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <LmSRd.3491$765.2894@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196731

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 15:45:59 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>
>>>On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 09:57:15 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>Vinnie S.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Got the gist of your story but it was not an easy read.
>>>
>>>
>>>That is because my typing skills suck wind.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>hehehe i hear that. point was made but god forbid a person with limited
>>english skills should try and decipher the post... 73, Jim.
>
>
>
> Jim,
>
> I can guarantee you that my English is far superior to my typing skill. Throw in
> a couple curse words, here and there.
>
> Vinnie S.
No worries Vinnie as I've seen your recent posts concerning your radio
set up and believe me its a breath of fresh air to see your queries and
peoples responses. Beats the hell out of the homoerotic crap thats been
posted here.

3092. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 02:10:10 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <DnSRd.3492$h65.1147@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196732

Steveo wrote:

> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Steveo wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Landshark wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:XrxRd.98$ZB4.62@fe12.lga...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Did you watch Harvick wreck Johnson in the 125's today? That
>>>>>>>>plate racing sucks unless you enjoy the high-speed wrecks.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>That's not racin'.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Nah, was working. It sometimes can get boring, but
>>>>>>>>when they start doing some fancy passing, that's when it
>>>>>>>>peaks your attention.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>fancy passing? how about stupid racing that harvick did. i know you
>>>>>>>>didn't see it but people are pissed at him. either way lets go

>>>>>>>>racin' boogadah boogadah boogadah....
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Well, it's called picking the right spot & time ;)
>>>>>>>Nope didn't even see the replays, but sounds nasty.
>>>>>>>Hey, I remember when, I think it was Ernhardt Sr
>>>>>>>that purposely ran into some dude that just won the
>>>>>>>race, now that's racing :))
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> Landshark
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>sure is sharkie. butt the f*cker to let him know he was lucky hehehe
>>>>>>good thing the race is sunday as we have a boatload of snow coming.
>>>>>>good excuse to ahem make sure the inside of the house is tight and
>>>>>>the hdtv is working...
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Take some no-doze and wait for the big one. I hate plate racing.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>i'm not a fan of plate racing but it does even the boys out. gets rid
>>>>of the provisional starters and lets the better managed cars and
>>>>drivers duke it out. besides its a good excuse to toss the chores on a
>>>>mid feb sunday hehehe
>>>>
>>>
>>>Good point, but I'm getting tired of my old lady using that excuse
>>>after 18 years. I can't get my hair to spike like Jamie Mac.
>>>
>>
>>Try bacon grease :p
>>
>
> Oh that might work!
>
On a fat chick....

3093. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 02:32:30 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108866750.853032.47170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196733

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

3094. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 05:21:57 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108876917.763629.40940@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196734

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

3095. Re: Help on SSB failure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 06:21:51 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1108880511.832840.114010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196735

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:zrARd.1486$OU1.271
> @newssvr21.news.prodigy.com:
>
> > Dude, as I've said before..... When you making
> > that west coast run again? As always, you have an
> > open invitation.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Yes any man has an open invitation to stop over Ill bet.

LOL good one lil bro

3096. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 08:11:23 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108887083.428483.113590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196736

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

3097. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 08:44:29 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <N5YRd.107637$mt.100756@fed1read03>
Source record: 196737

Vinnie S. wrote:

> On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 05:21:07 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
> It's kind of brings back some sad memories, when you bring this stuff up.
> I went toe DeVry in the mid 1980's. For our communications class, the
> proffessor was probably the worst teacher I have ever had, although a very
> nice guy. ANyway, the trimester was about 15 weeks long, after about 11-12
> weeks, he was so bad. we were stuck on chapter 1. The students were in a
> revolt, calling for the teacher to be transferred. Most of them
> complaining daily to the dean. The big kicker was he was costing them the
> high GPA, as well as the cost of tuition. with people scoring low on
> exams. I didn't say anything. At least to the dean. I did complain to
> other students, but was not a rabble rouser. Never went to authority.
>
> Anyway, sometime near week 11 or 12, me and this other gentleman were
> called to the dean's office, to speak on the matter. My first question
> was, why did you select us? He answer that with so many people complaining
> to him, he want 2 students with good grades, that he hadn't spoke too, or
> heard from. He wanted a independent opinion. He wanted an opinion not
> based on rage. So I gave it, and so did the other guy. I basically said
> what kind of teacher he was. I said that the book has saved me. If it
> weren't for the book, I would be lost. I also told him that I was think
> about taking a extra communications class, to make up for what I didn't
> learn yet. The discussion was very low key, and civilized. The dean seemed
> to appeciate that. I don't think it was ever mentioned to fire or transfer
> him. But hthe teacher was gone the next day. I always felt bad about that.
> Still do. The remain 3 weeks was taught by one of the best teachers there.
> We zipped to more in the 3 weeks, then in the previous 12.
>
>
> Vinnie S.
Something of a question? which DeVry was that. I attended here in Phoenix
between 84 and 87 (part time). Unfortunately, due to a bad set of
circumstances <of which I will not go into here>, I was unable to complete
my education in the Engineering field.

Still, there were a few good instructors at the facility here in Phoenix
(even managed to surprise 1 or 2 of them with some intuitive insights into
the math/calculus behind some of the Engineering Theory).

--
DE N7ZZT

Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3098. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 08:45:08 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <o6YRd.107638$mt.7953@fed1read03>
Source record: 196738

Vinnie S. wrote:

>
> That is because my typing skills suck wind.
>
>
>
>
> Vinnie S.
we all have days like that. thank you for good spell checkers though. :)

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

3099. *post 101* >> GOOD NEWS! - Over 2000 years ago Jesus Christ suffered and died on a cross and rose from the dead so that we can be forgiven of our sins(misdeeds) and have a home in Heaven when this life has ended. Most people just don't know how to ask Jesus Christ into their hearts. Heres how...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 11:00:50 UTC
From: RL_Grossi_2005@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <1108897250.818851.207270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196739

Good News!

Do you know how simple it is to go to Heaven after this life has ended?

The good news is that God came from Heaven to earth in the person of Jesus Christ over 2000 years ago and died for our past, present and future sins(misdeeds). He was born in the land of Israel supernaturally to a virgin Jewish woman named Mary. He lived a sinless life for thirty-three years and then sacrificed His sinless blood and died on a cross to pay the death penalty for our sins.

After Jesus died He rose from the dead three days later as He said He would. The Holy Bible also tells us that Jesus Christ ascended into Heaven and that all who accept Him as their Lord and Saviour will live forever with Him in Heaven where there is no more death, sorrow, sickness and pain.

The Holy Bible very clearly explains how simple it is to be saved and on your way to Heaven, "For if you confess with your mouth, "Jesus is Lord," and believe in your heart that God raised him from the dead, you WILL BE SAVED." (Romans 10:9)

You can be saved right now and on your way to Heaven if you will open your heart to Jesus and pray the following prayer:

Dear Jesus Christ, I want to be saved so that I can have a home in Heaven when I die. I agree with You that I am a sinner. I believe You love me and want to save me. I believe that You bled and died on the cross to pay the penalty for my sins. I believe that You rose from the dead. Please forgive my sins and come into my heart and be my Lord and Saviour. Thank You Lord Jesus Christ for forgiving me and saving me through Your merciful grace. Amen.

You are now a Christian if you said the prayer and allowed God to save you. Welcome to the family of God.

If you decide to wait till later you may die before you get another chance to be saved because none of us knows exactly when we will die.

This means that if we die without trusting in Jesus Christ as our Lord and Saviour we will be forever separated from the love of God in a place called Hell. This place called Hell is a place of eternal torment, suffering, pain and agony for all those who reject Jesus Christ.

Choose Jesus Christ today and you will have peace in your life now and forever.

Have a great day!
Internet Evangelist R.L. Grossi

Free Online Bible
http://www.biblegateway.com

Free Online Movies
http://www.tbn.org/index.php/8/1.html

Animation
http://www.gieson.com/Library/projects/animations/walk/index.html

The Passion Of The Christ
http://www.ThePassionOfTheChrist.ch.tt

Beware Of Cults
http://www.carm.org/cults/cultlist.htm

About Hell
http://www.equip.org/free/DH198.htm

Is Jesus God?
http://www.powertochange.com/questions/qna2.html

3100. Free IPods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 12:58:02 UTC
From: "IPod <3er" <freeipods85@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1108904282.447059.78540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196740

Hey-

Check out this great site that is giving away totally FREE iPods!

I've joined and I think you should as well.

It's a completely legitimate offer, and this company has already given away $4 million in FREE stuff!

All you have to do is join, complete an online offer, and refer friends to do the same. That's it!

Here is my referral link. To help me get my iPod, click this exact link to join, or copy and paste it into a browser:
http://www.freeiPods.com/?r=13996463

3101. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 13:11:03 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <3v2h11dv9e6okti7r4r2jsm4f4d19jfeq8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196741

On Sun, 20 Feb 2005 01:44:29 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>> Vinnie S.
>Something of a question? which DeVry was that. I attended here in Phoenix
>between 84 and 87 (part time). Unfortunately, due to a bad set of
>circumstances <of which I will not go into here>, I was unable to complete
>my education in the Engineering field.
>
>Still, there were a few good instructors at the facility here in Phoenix
>(even managed to surprise 1 or 2 of them with some intuitive insights into
>the math/calculus behind some of the Engineering Theory).

Woodbbridge, NJ. At that time it was the only one on the east coast. We had people from everywhere. It moved (Late 1990's) o a bigger and better location in North Brunswick, NJ, but 10 miles south. The old place is a a mall.

Vinnie S.

3102. Manual 4 General Radiotelephone MC12

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 14:42:16 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <111h8eb6ftmlp96@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 196742

Hello All:

Still looking for a Manual for a General Radiotelephone MC12 Tube Radio.

This was Generals last up dated radio that they removed the 3 function tube and installed a much larger tube for the Modulator, and this thing literally shook with audio.

It had a problem with the new tube being physically too close to the finial Tube that in some chassies made the Larger Modulator Tube have to be installed at a angle that caused a intermittent audio.

The fix for this was to pain stakinly move the modulator tube socket over about 3/8 of an inch.

Will be happy to pay for copying and mailing costs.

Jay in the Mojave

Kreedentials:
Rock n Roll and C&W, (have a radio that plays both kinds) Fan

Owner 1964 Bucik, 300 CI V8, has large off road tires and 96 inch whip antenna in the middle of the car

Have Treasure of Mojave Desert best sought after minerals "Leave her right there" Will probably sell on E-Bay as alien rocks

Full Fluged Heavy Equipment Operator, have the Caterpillar Gloves and Hat, but just couldn't handle the spittin Tobacco thing

Member: Mojave Desert Amateur Radio Emergency and Disaster Origination Meetings are not dull at all. There are the fat Hams that still live with Mommy and fight over the donuts, and bitch and whine about not getting VIP Parking Pass's at City Hall. Then there are a few Hams that at dead serious about the emergency stuff and there are gold to work with. We also got the local React guys helping out. They have recent Motorola Radios on VHF for MURS, and had a GMRS Lic and a bitchen UHF Repeater up that a lot of guys use. I listen in on my scanner. This is really a neat group, complete with CB slang, and dead nuts military precision radio talk, mixed in with Building Contractor Commercial radio talk on the repeaters..... hehehehehe

Jay in the Mojave

3103. OT: Wendell Scott

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-20 16:13:40 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <UG2Sd.3417$Pz7.1029@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196743

Pretty interesting program on the history channel about
Wendell Scott.

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

3104. Re: OT: Wendell Scott

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 01:54:10 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <JebSd.6873$Tu5.3254@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196744

Landshark wrote:
> Pretty interesting program on the history channel about
> Wendell Scott.
>
> Landshark
>
>
Saw it also Sharkie. It was interesting though was it broadcast because
its african history month or daytona weekend? Maybe both. He was smacked
around because of his race which is understandable back then but lets
not make him a messiah. Wish he raced now so he could prove his mettle
but RIP.

3105. money for shortwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 02:52:39 UTC
From: "ednagarcialeon@videotron.ca" <ednagarcialeon@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <1108954359.487885.71540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196745

A little while back, I was browsing some newsgroups and came across an article
similar to
this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an
initial
investment of $6.00! So I thought, "OK, why not try this if it is a scam I
will only lose
$6.00.". Anyway, it said that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and address
stated in
the article. You then place your own name and
address at the bottom of the list at #6, and post
the article in some newsgroups(There are thousands) or e-mail it to some
people. No catch,
that was it. The main difference between this system and others is that you
have a mailing
list of 6 instead of 5. This means that you average gain will be approximately
15 times
higher!!!

So after talking to a few people, I thought, what have I got to lose except 6
stamps and
$6.00 dollars, right?

But like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the
legal aspects of it
all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office(1-800-725-2161) and they
confirmed
that it is indeed legal!

I then invested the measly $6.00, and stamps.

Well, GUESS WHAT..... within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail!

I was shocked! I still figured it would end soon, and didn't give it another
thought. But the

money coming in. In my first week, I made about $36.00 dollars. By the end of
the second
week I had made a total of over $1,000.00 dollars!!!!!! In the third week I had
over
$10,000.00 and its still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have made a
total of
just over $42,000.00 and it's still coming in VERY rapidly!

It's certainly worth $6.00 and 6 stamps, I spent more than that on the lottery!!

Let me tell you how this works and most importantly why it works....also make
sure you
print a copy of this article NOW. So you can get the information off it as you
need it. The
process is very simple and consists of 3 easy steps:

STEP 1:Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of
paper
"PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST. + your name and address." Now get 6
U.S. $1.00 bills (or equivalent in your local currency) and place ONE inside
EACH of the
6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope to prevent
thievery.
Next, place one paper in each of the envelopes and seal them. You should now
have 6
sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your
name, and a
$1.00 dollar bill. What you are doing is creating a service by this. THIS IS
ABSOLUTELY LEGAL!

STEP 2: Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1 Brent Kamprud
657 W. 1st Pl.
Mesa, AZ 85201

#2 Geno Barretto
819 Cedar Ridge
Harker Heights, TX 76548

#3 Michael Williams
977 Ribbontail St.
Corpus Christi, TX 78418

#4 Nick Kuzmick
4601 76th Ave Ct NW
Gig Harbor, WA 98335

#5 Mitchel Kwiatkoski
18523 61st PL W
Lynwood,WA 98037

#6 Edna and Jonathan Jonas
2001 Ward street
Saint Laurent, Qc, CANADA H4M1T3

STEP 3: Now take the number one name off the list that you see above, move the
other
names up (#6 becomes #5, #5 becomes #4, etc...) and add YOUR name as #6 on the
list.

STEP 4: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to
original as
possible. Now, post your amended article to some newsgroups (I think there are
close to
24,000 newsgroups) and/or e-mail it to some people. Remember, the more you
post, the
more money you will make!

Don't know HOW to post in the newsgroups? Well do exactly the following:

DIRECTIONS-HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS

STEP 1: You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting.
Simply put
your cursor at the beginning of this letter, click and hold down the mouse
button. While
continuing to hold down the mouse button, drag your cursor to the bottom of
this
document and over to just after the last character, and release the mouse
button. At this
point the entire letter should be highlighted. Then, from the 'edit' pull down

menu at the
top of your screen select 'copy'. This will copy the entire letter into
the
computers memory.

STEP 2: Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of
the
blank page.
>From the 'edit' pull down menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy
of the
letter into
notepad so that you can add your name to the list. Remember to
eliminate the #1
position,
move everyone up a spot(re-number everyone else's positions), and add
yourself
in as #6.

Step 3: Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do
your
postings in
different sitting, you'll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4: Keyword Newsgroups and post away!

3106. FA: Radio related equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 06:07:30 UTC
From: cowboy67 <cowboy67@casscomm.com>
Message-ID: <1108966050.165253.25730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196746

EBAY ID #'s:

Tubes: 5753330177

Simpson Manual: 3875793802

Bolometer/Thermistor: 3875785065

ebay ID: cowboy671

3107. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 12:12:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <dljj119huvipl2kar8g3ma4rv958t54efq@4ax.com>
Source record: 196762

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:16:27 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>>>That's covered in part D, as I noted in an earlier post. But the fact
>>>>>remains -- contrary to Dave's statement, you CAN get a speeding ticket
>>>>>in PA for going 56 in a 55 zone.
>>>>
>>>>Once again, you are trying to take a relative situation and trying to
>>>>make it into an absolute.
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong. You made a definitive statement that was wrong
>>
>>No, I did not. I stated that they have to give 5 MPH for measurement
>>inaccuracies. The fact is that they do. The fact that it is not 100%
>>across the board does not invalidate what I've said.
>
>
>Gee, another excuse..... "Well judge, I told the officer I wasn't
>drinking. The fact is I wasn't. I was drinking just before I got in my
>car and started driving home, but I still told the truth because I
>wasn't drinking when he asked me!"

Poor analogy. They still are require by law to give at least 5 MPH
leeway before writing a ticket under most conditions.

>
>
>>> and are now
>>>trying to squirm out of it by adding conditions and claiming that
>>>something else was "implied". That's a Twisty-tactic.
>>
>>You should have learned by now Frank, few things in life are absolute.
>>Most things are relative or conditional. For you to assume the
>>absolute case is your problem not mine.
>
>
>You stated nor implied any conditions in your statement. That's not my
>fault, Dave. It's your's. You can play the "absolute/relative" game
>all you want, but the simple fact is that you were wrong and you can't
>admit it.

I'm not wrong, the law backs me up. You want to make a case based on a
statistical minority of cases. My statement applies to the majority of
cases. So who is more wrong?

>
>
>>>> You're trying to disprove the valid point
>>>>which applies in the majority of the situations, by a statistical
>>>>minority.
>>
>>>Your statement mentioned nothing about statistical probability.
>>
>>I made a blanket statement which is true in the vast majority of
>>cases. You are claiming I'm wrong based on a potential minority of
>>cases. Who's being ridiculous here?
>
>
>But how do you know that your statement applies to the "vast majority"
>of cases, Dave?

I know the methods that the LEO of my state use.

>You're right. I -do- refuse to accept that those cases are rare. So
>prove it to me by showing me the statistics that show radar is used in
>the "vast majority" of speeding tickets.

Not just RADAR but VASCAR as well. RADAR is only allowed to be used by
state police.

>>>> and it applies to the great majority of cases and
>>>>situations, as well as the majority of the speed measuring equipment
>>>>used by PA. cops.
>>>>
>>>>My statement stands. Cops will give at least a 5 MPH grace for
>>>>speeding violations.
>>>>
>>>>Small exceptions do not invalidate the rule.
>>>
>>>
>>>ANY exception is enough to invalidate your statement, Dave.
>>
>>No it's not, as I never used the words "all", "100%", "always",
>>"never", or any other word that precludes any possible exception. I
>>spoke for the majority of cases. In that case, my statement is
>>correct.
>
>
>I'll give you that one, and I'll even give up the entire thread just
>as long as you can show me that the exceptions are as small as you
>claim. Well?

How am I supposed to do that? You won't take my "word of mouth"
testimony from the cops I know, and, as far as I know, there isn't any
database accessible on the net which keeps records of such things.

I can't prove that a man walked on the moon, but I accept that it did
happen.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3108. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 12:14:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <74kj11plf0salfo1ors21dkvei5dbo97fh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196770

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:15:22 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:12:44 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <tpbc11tolhu5r47sassm5ijbm4u9945e32@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 08:20:10 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>>If 99% of people have 2 legs, does the fact that 1% of the people do
>>>>not, diminish the accuracy of describing a human being as a biped?
>>>>
>>>>I don't know anyone who ever got a 1 MPH over ticket. That does not
>>>>mean that it can't happen. But it's clearly the exception, not the
>>>>rule.
>>>>
>>>>My statement is true in the majority of cases.
>>>
>>>
>>>Same lame excuse, but this time with a really bad analogy. At least
>>>you are getting a -little- creative.
>>
>>
>>Most poor debaters,who cannot rationally counter the point, tend to
>>use ad-hominem tactics to attack the opposition's methods instead.
>>This case is no different.
>>
>>Calling my analogy "bad", simply because you can't counter it is
>>especially revealing.
>
>
>Your analogy is bad because the term "biped" can be used both as a
>classification and as an individual characteristic, and your 'analogy'
>is an equivocation on those two different definitions.

I'm using it as a classification. Stop picking nits.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3109. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 12:23:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <66kj11lu2qgbhibp1a4dlfei37beq623bu@4ax.com>
Source record: 196752

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:04:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>Such as a stopwatch?
>>
>>Not used.
>
>
>If it's not used then why are there laws that describe it's use and
>define it's calibration procedures?

I don't know why. That's a question best left for the LE community.

> And why are there so many police
>departments in other parts of the country that not only use them but
>use them frequently?

Where is your evidence to support that statement?

>> VASCAR is essentially the same thing only it does the speed=
>>distance/time calculation automatically.
>
>
>Then that would be electronic, wouldn't it?

Yes, and it does all the work for you.

>>>>The law is clear. Experience and common sense covers the rest. What's
>>>>left is a small number of cases which does not invalidate what I said
>>>>for the great majority of cases.
>>>
>>>
>>>Once again, you didn't say "for the great majority of cases". You said
>>>they are "required" to allow some leeway, and you gave no conditions
>>>under which that rule may not apply.
>>
>>I didn't think I needed to. Most people understand that statements are
>>not universal, unless expressly noted, and based on the majority of
>>cases.
>
>
>That's the lamest excuse you've provided so far this year.

Nonetheless, I don't expect that intelligent people would assume the
absolute case, when there are few examples of such behavior.

>>Had I said that the police are required to give 5 MPH in ALL cases,
>>you would have proven me wrong as it only takes one contrary case to
>>prove an absolute statement wrong. That's exactly why I avoid
>>absolutes.
>
>
>You avoid the facts, because the facts get you into trouble every
>time.

I avoid no facts. The fact is that 3368 (c) paragraph 4 is the law in
this state, and it validates my statement in most cases.

>
>>You seem to feel that I should qualify my statements as not absolute.
>>I feel the opposite. It's the "glass is half full versus half empty"
>>comparison.
>
>
>The glass is half full if it's being filled, and half empty if it's
>being emptied.

What if neither is occurring? The glass is merely static.

>
>Now, back to the topic: If you make a statement but leave out
>something that's relevant -- possibily even contradictory -- to that
>statement, you don't think that's being evasive? Kinda like someone
>gives you a ride somewhere, and halfway there the cops behind you turn
>on their lights and siren, the driver speeds up, then says, "Oh, BTW,
>I just stole this car." By your logic, he had a valid reason -not- to
>mention that the car was stolen because the vast majority of cars
>-aren't- stolen. Right?

I don't see how that is relevant.

There is a chance that, on any given day, your car could become
involved in an accident or the airplane you fly on could crash. Do
they tell you this when you board the plane, or when you sign on the
dotted line when buying a car?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3110. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 12:25:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <dikj11dug86ik95bjn9gn1ifv2lk643j32@4ax.com>
Source record: 196757

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:12:09 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <dljj119huvipl2kar8g3ma4rv958t54efq@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>>I'll give you that one, and I'll even give up the entire thread just
>>as long as you can show me that the exceptions are as small as you
>>claim. Well?
>
>How am I supposed to do that? You won't take my "word of mouth"
>testimony from the cops I know, and, as far as I know, there isn't any
>database accessible on the net which keeps records of such things.

Then you have no facts to back up your claim, Dave.

>I can't prove that a man walked on the moon, but I accept that it did
>happen.

Wrong. There are -facts- to back up that statement, therefore you
-can- prove that a man walked on the moon.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3111. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 12:32:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <iskj11ptve573gulqvq7vd90an848a66l9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196760

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:14:31 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <74kj11plf0salfo1ors21dkvei5dbo97fh@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:15:22 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:12:44 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <tpbc11tolhu5r47sassm5ijbm4u9945e32@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 08:20:10 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>If 99% of people have 2 legs, does the fact that 1% of the people do
>>>>not, diminish the accuracy of describing a human being as a biped?
>>>>
>>>>I don't know anyone who ever got a 1 MPH over ticket. That does not
>>>>mean that it can't happen. But it's clearly the exception, not the
>>>>rule.
>>>>
>>>>My statement is true in the majority of cases.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Same lame excuse, but this time with a really bad analogy. At least
>>>>you are getting a -little- creative.
>>>
>>>
>>>Most poor debaters,who cannot rationally counter the point, tend to
>>>use ad-hominem tactics to attack the opposition's methods instead.
>>>This case is no different.
>>>
>>>Calling my analogy "bad", simply because you can't counter it is
>>>especially revealing.
>>
>>
>>Your analogy is bad because the term "biped" can be used both as a
>>classification and as an individual characteristic, and your 'analogy'
>>is an equivocation on those two different definitions.
>
>I'm using it as a classification. Stop picking nits.

Then all humans are bipeds regardless of how many legs they have. But
that's not an analogy to the laws of PA since electronic devices are
classified -differently- than mechanical devices. So your analogy is
like saying that an apple is a banana because they are both fruits.

I'm not picking nits, Dave -- I'm pointing out the logical fallacies
you are using to rationalize your errors.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3112. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 12:39:19 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ankj11t5mg5r8m04412sljh1hdnj2ttfbm@4ax.com>
Source record: 196748

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 15:50:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>I don't think so, Dave:
>>>
>>>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter105/chap105toc.html
>>
>>I am glad to see that your person crusade to trash me has pushed you
>>into researching sites that you otherwise would have no interest. I
>>hope the experience has been educational. But after all that trouble
>>it must be a bit disappointing to find that in addition to
>>aforementioned speedometers, that a common stopwatch is the only other
>>mechanical device you could find.
>>
>>Considering that a stopwatch has to be stopped and started manually,
>>how long do you think a 1 MPH over ticket would last in court when you
>>consider the error introduced by reaction time? A stopwatch suffers
>>from the same basic limitation that VASCAR does.
>
>
>It seems that elemetary school kids are more up to date than you:
>
>http://mathforum.org/elempow/solutions/solution.ehtml?puzzle=75
>
>You should also check out google to see just how often and widespread
>stopwatches are used. I was actually suprised myself.

All that stated was that school kids could calculate the speed given
the data. They did not address the reaction time element, and how
errors could be introduced as a result.

iamnotgeorge posted an excerpt which outlines these errors and how
they could affect the accuracy of the recorded speed. Perhaps you
should review it.

The main reason that 3368(c)(4) gives a 10 MPH grace for devices like
VASCAR is for precisely this reason. A stopwatch is no less prone to
reaction time error than VASCAR is.

>>But keep digging. It's fun to watch you grasp at straws.
>
>
>At least I learned something in the process.

Then there was a silver lining in the cloud.

>>>>Just because a cop CAN use a mechanical device, doesn't mean that they
>>>>do. There's theory and there's practice. You're trying to argue based
>>>>on theory, I'm basing my points on practice.
>>>
>>>
>>>You are making arguments based on your own speculations.
>>
>>No, I'm basing them on the word given to me by several members of the
>>law enforcement community.
>
>
>Once again you reference nameless sources. Like -that's- supposed to
>convince me! Well Dave, I was told by several members of this
>newsgroup that you are "George". Does that make it true? Or even
>credible? Of course not. If you can't reference your sources then
>don't even bother to mention them because facts aren't facts just
>because "some guy says so".

Oh, it's back to that "if the source is not a recognized authority in
some field, then I can't accept it as fact" argument again?

Then you'd better apply the same standards to your political
arguments, as there is very little verifiable facts there.

So basically you are telling me that what I've heard is meaningless
because you don't know the cops who told me?

Well, maybe you can't except it, but I can, since I know the sources
and trust their judgement. They have no reason to lie to me.

>>>
>>>http://www.speedtrap.org/speedtraps/ste_city.asp?state=PA
>>
>>Ok, so what about it? That site lists speed trap locations. Were are
>>the testimonies from all those "under 5 MPH" people?
>
>
>Read some of the comments and articles. The links are also
>interesting.

Sure they are. Many sites like that are set up to help people beat
speeding tickets. But some of that information should be considered
skeptically.

>>>>You are asking me to speculate about all the possible uses for that
>>>>information. I'm not about to do that.
>>>
>>>
>>>Why not? You speculate about everything else. How is this any
>>>different?
>>
>>When I speculate on something, it is based on either knowledge of, or
>>experience in, a particular subject. You may (and seem to be making a

>>habit of) dispute my knowledge and experience, but that's another
>>matter. When I have no solid information about a subject, I will not
>>speculate about it
>
>
>Wrong. You "speculated" that I'm a liberal. By your own standards,
>that means you must have enough "solid information" about two things;
>first, you must know enough about liberals to be able to classify
>them. I find that doubtful since you are a self-proclaimed
>conservative republican and have repeatedly demonstrated that you have
>no clue as to the precepts of liberalism. Second, you must have enough
>"solid information" about me to know my ideologies, which you clearly
>don't.

Contrary to your erroneous statement, I know a lot about liberals. I know how they think, I know what they consider "ideal" and I know why they think that way. If you are going to fight something, you are best served knowing the enemy.

I called you a liberal, because you voted for one, and vigorously defended another.

Your definition of what you claim to be politically, is a classic case of denial. It's funny how some liberals are ashamed to admit what they really are.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3113. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 13:00:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <calj11h9h52f14fr037ifn0fsgohs7fbk9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196767

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:23:50 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <66kj11lu2qgbhibp1a4dlfei37beq623bu@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:04:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>>Such as a stopwatch?
>>>
>>>Not used.
>>
>>
>>If it's not used then why are there laws that describe it's use and
>>define it's calibration procedures?
>
>I don't know why. That's a question best left for the LE community.

You don't know why? Gee, Dave, you seem to know everything else about
methods of speed enforcement in PA, why not that?

>> And why are there so many police
>>departments in other parts of the country that not only use them but
>>use them frequently?
>
>Where is your evidence to support that statement?

Google. Just plug in "speed limit", "enforcement" and "stopwatch",
then check out some of the 1500+ hits. Stopwatches are used by many
law enforcement agencies all around the country because they are
simple and reliable. If are too lazy to look them up yourself and want
more specific references, I can make a list of those, too.

<snip for brevity -- although not one of my "core beliefs">
>>You avoid the facts, because the facts get you into trouble every
>>time.
>
>I avoid no facts. The fact is that 3368 (c) paragraph 4 is the law in
>this state, and it validates my statement in most cases.

The fact is that 3368(c) is the law in PA, it includes both paragraph
1 -and- paragraph 4 which invalidates your statement for all cases,
and your statement didn't include the clause, "in most cases".

>>>You seem to feel that I should qualify my statements as not absolute.
>>>I feel the opposite. It's the "glass is half full versus half empty"
>>>comparison.

>>
>>
>>The glass is half full if it's being filled, and half empty if it's
>>being emptied.
>
>What if neither is occurring? The glass is merely static.

Then it's holding half it's capacity.

>>Now, back to the topic: If you make a statement but leave out
>>something that's relevant -- possibily even contradictory -- to that
>>statement, you don't think that's being evasive? Kinda like someone
>>gives you a ride somewhere, and halfway there the cops behind you turn
>>on their lights and siren, the driver speeds up, then says, "Oh, BTW,
>>I just stole this car." By your logic, he had a valid reason -not- to
>>mention that the car was stolen because the vast majority of cars
>>-aren't- stolen. Right?
>
>I don't see how that is relevant.
>
>There is a chance that, on any given day, your car could become
>involved in an accident or the airplane you fly on could crash. Do
>they tell you this when you board the plane, or when you sign on the
>dotted line when buying a car?

There is a term called "assumed risk", which is a legal term used to
define a situation where a person knows that some risk is unavoidable;
i.e, that exceptional circumstances -can- occur. This is contrary to
your statement in which you -defined- the circumstances: that they may
-not- ticket you for doing 56 in a 55 because they are "required" by
law to provide some leeway. Do you not know the meaning of the word
"require"? Here, I'll do you the favor of quoting Webster:

require -- 1. to insist upon, as by right; demand; order. 2. to demand
as necessary; need; as, man -requires- food.

Now is there anything in that definition which implies, generally or
explicitly, any exceptions? Does it say, "almost always", or "most of
the time", or "in a vast majority of cases"? No.

Your statement was wrong, Dave. That much is clear to "the vast
majority" of people. The only question that remains is how many more
excuses you are going to try.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3114. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 13:01:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5klj11da1fro1a7eccafq4qtl080ndpug2@4ax.com>
Source record: 196764

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:36:11 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>I have agreed with Dave on many things, including politics. But it's
>>>not that I disagree with the other issues so much that many of his
>>>opinions, right or wrong, are founded on misinformation, propoganda,
>>>or poor qualitative reasoning skills.
>>
>>Again, that is in comparison to YOUR information, propaganda and
>>qualitative skills. And that is what has you steamed. In an arena such
>>as politics, there are very few verifiable facts,
>
>
>There are plenty of verifiable facts -- you just don't even want to
>try and verify them because they conflict with your "core beleifs".

Frank, I am not going to waste my time chasing the opinions of some
journalist.

>We
>have done this ping-pong game several times before but it seems you
>just can't get enough of it. It's obvious that you fear the facts, but
>your 'truth-by-repitition' plan just isn't working. Don't you know by
>now that I'm just going to come back and set you straight every time
>you project your intellectual failures on me?

Interesting choice of words. It is you who keep relying on the "truth
by repetition" fallacy. No matter how many times you claim to have the
facts, the true fact is that all you have are the opinions and
conclusions of OTHER people. You can list a dozen web sites and
articles that "verify" the same "fact", but they are no more credible
than the National Enquirer. And to think you cast off my sources as
"propaganda", yet stick so emphatically to your sources as "absolute
fact". That's a laugh Frank.

Unless you have connections inside the government, you have to base
what you believe as "fact" on the accuracy of reporters and other
"mouthpieces". If you can't see how this invites a great deal of spin
and manipulation, you are more hopeless than I thought.

So tell me one more time about your "facts".

>
>
>All my facts were referenced and can be cross-referenced to a wide
>number of unbiased sources

Says who? Who determined that these sources are "unbiased"?

> Your 'fact' consisted of a website with a
>forged document.

That was one of MANY.

>> You tend to believe one side, while I tend to favor
>>the other. There is nothing wrong with that. But don't tell me I'm
>>wrong because I don't believe the same stuff you do.
>
>
>I never did. You claimed your beliefs were based on facts. I gave you
>facts.

No you gave counter opinions.

>You discarded them as "biased" without even trying to verify
>them as facts.

Who said I didn't try? I recognized the same old worn out excuses,
that I've heard since the Iraq war started.

>You didn't even TRY, Dave. You lied -- your beliefs are
>NOT based on facts -- on the contrary, your facts are based on your
>BELIEFS.

No more than yours are Frank.

>>> For an intelligent person like
>>>him (or yourself, for that matter) to fall back on "core beliefs" as
>>>an excuse to dismiss facts and logic is bogus, and it undermines the
>>>credibility of any argument against keyclowns who use the very same
>>>tactics.
>>
>>I can employ logic in the same way that you can. But when the topic
>>that yo use your logic on are unverifiable, what good is logic when
>>the premise's are faulty?

>No good at all, which is what I taught you by using your own arguments
>as examples. Or is your memory as faulty as your logic?

You taught me nothing. You are blinded by your own partisanship.

>>>I have also
>>>-rejected- some tactics used by Twisty, such as his pop-psychology and
>>>his diversionary topics game (the latter, BTW, is being used by Dave
>>>quite frequently these days, so if you are going to admonish me for
>>>using Twisty's tactics then you should do the same to him).
>>
>>I enjoy my study of human behavior. It's fun to watch people fit into
>>certain categories. Sorry if the truth hurts certain insecure and
>>narcissistic people.
>
>

>Now -you- are trying to adopt Twisty's tactics. But you are only a
>novice, Dave. You need much more study and practice.

Please. I wrote up a fairly accurate analysis of Twisty's
psychological issues more than 2 years ago. I do not "employ" the
tactics of Twisty, I call attention to them.

>>> I also
>>>have rejected many of -your- tactics, such as attacking those who
>>>support or defend another -- otherwise, both Vinny and yourself would
>>>be included in the scope of this discussion. But you aren't because my
>>>discussions with Dave have nothing to do with either of you. And
>>>frankly, I'm a little disappointed that Dave hasn't asked you to
>>>butt-out because he is capable of speaking for himself.
>>
>>This is a public forum not e-mail Frank. Everyone is welcome to
>>participate. If you wanted a private exchange to honestly discuss
>>methodologies, you could have e-mailed me at any time. The fact that
>>you chose instead to attempt to belittle me in a public forum
>>invalidates any right you may claim to exclusivity in these
>>discussions.
>
>
>That works both ways, Dave. But you would never take this to email
>because your ego is at stake -- you could never face the facts in a
>private forum without the support of your lap-dog and imaginary
>admirers.

Ego? Please (again). This showcases your emotional attachment to this
whole situation.

First off Frank, I have no need or desire to take this to E-mail. I'm
not the one who desires enlightenment. You have been chasing me since
the election, and have grown bitter, crass, and highly emotional. Very
atypical from how you used to behave. I seriously stung you (And it
was not intentional. I had more respect for you at the time), and
you've been chasing me like a rabid dog ever since. From
troubleshooting radios, to speed traps, to who know what else, If I
say "zig", you say "zag", and make every effort to try (however
poorly) to prove me wrong. You've even aligned yourself with one of
your worst former adversaries in the pursuit of this goal.

Right now I am enjoying your attention. Eventually, I'll tire of it,
and I will just stop responding, in the same vein that I treat Twisty.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3115. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 13:03:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <eumj11p6eukmeh1ojca7njvijhfsg8dcve@4ax.com>
Source record: 196754

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 20:48:32 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
>(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:45pb111qicmoorf6ot7ei9n0h3i51asqg5@4ax.com:
>frankly, I'm a little disappointed that Dave hasn't asked you to
>butt-out because he is capable of speaking for himself.
>
>>Why dont you ask twisty to step aside while
>
>>you argue with dave and he jumps in?
>
>
>You really have flipped. You have no clue who is who and you certainly
>are baffled and confounded by the 1s and 0s found in the webtv trail.
>For crying out loud, twisted said nothing in the thread where David T.
>Hall Jr. is getting an education by Frank regarding the technical and
>textbook applications concerning the design and implementation of basic
>high school level electronics. You maliciously attacked everyone who
>pointed out David T. Hall Jr.'s contradictions, regardless the thread.
>You've been graced.

Right..... And you want us to believe this poorly disguised sock
puppet here is not Twistedhed.

You can change your name, but not your signature behavior.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3116. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 13:06:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <n1nj11hc149u73opcuhd7u2sa7jgi7ban0@4ax.com>
Source record: 196755

On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 10:00:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:e4fe1157c7t8v6kqidk8eh99n73t7iomgn@4ax.com:
>
>>
>> Twisty has his own dialog with Dave. As for the argument between Dave
>> and myself, the only person jumping in is you.
>
>There you go frank trying to act like twisty, twisty has had the same
>stale dialog and been involved in the same thread as you and dave, the
>only difference is tipsy isnt on your ass this month, somehow you guys
>have sucked up to each other after years of arguing. And he is a messiah
>to you.

It's a simple matter of priorities. Opposing illegal radio operation
is not as important as opposing George W. Bush and the war on terror.

In this vein, former adversaries are now aligned and in sync, even if
out of phase.

But like in world politics, beware of who you go to bed with....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3117. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 13:17:02 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050221081702.311$1o@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196756

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 10:00:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>
> >Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in
> >news:e4fe1157c7t8v6kqidk8eh99n73t7iomgn@4ax.com:
> >
> >>
> >> Twisty has his own dialog with Dave. As for the argument between Dave
> >> and myself, the only person jumping in is you.
> >
> >There you go frank trying to act like twisty, twisty has had the same
> >stale dialog and been involved in the same thread as you and dave, the
> >only difference is tipsy isnt on your ass this month, somehow you guys
> >have sucked up to each other after years of arguing. And he is a messiah
> >to you.
>
> It's a simple matter of priorities. Opposing illegal radio operation
> is not as important
>
That has been a guise by a select few hams to post homo crap here for
years. The ironic part is their leader is a felon repeater jammer that
has a court ordered shrink. Not reading google posts has helped viewing
this group immensely.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3118. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 13:39:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <c7nj11hf2d9eqe9gbfek6p584dsig96atr@4ax.com>
Source record: 196750

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:39:19 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ankj11t5mg5r8m04412sljh1hdnj2ttfbm@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 15:50:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>I don't think so, Dave:
>>>>
>>>>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter105/chap105toc.html
>>>
>>>I am glad to see that your person crusade to trash me has pushed you
>>>into researching sites that you otherwise would have no interest. I
>>>hope the experience has been educational. But after all that trouble
>>>it must be a bit disappointing to find that in addition to
>>>aforementioned speedometers, that a common stopwatch is the only other
>>>mechanical device you could find.
>>>
>>>Considering that a stopwatch has to be stopped and started manually,
>>>how long do you think a 1 MPH over ticket would last in court when you
>>>consider the error introduced by reaction time? A stopwatch suffers
>>>from the same basic limitation that VASCAR does.
>>
>>
>>It seems that elemetary school kids are more up to date than you:
>>
>>http://mathforum.org/elempow/solutions/solution.ehtml?puzzle=75
>>
>>You should also check out google to see just how often and widespread
>>stopwatches are used. I was actually suprised myself.
>
>All that stated was that school kids could calculate the speed given
>the data. They did not address the reaction time element, and how
>errors could be introduced as a result.

Maybe you missed the comments from Chief Craig:

"I am quite happy to see one of my articles put to such good use.
In regard to your bonus question - any discrepancy in timing is an
issue people commonly ask about. The stopwatch method is very accurate
because it relies upon anticipation rather than reaction....."

>iamnotgeorge posted an excerpt which outlines these errors and how
>they could affect the accuracy of the recorded speed. Perhaps you
>should review it.

Perhaps you should quote it.

>The main reason that 3368(c)(4) gives a 10 MPH grace for devices like
>VASCAR is for precisely this reason. A stopwatch is no less prone to
>reaction time error than VASCAR is.

Reaction time error is not addressed in PA law. The accuracy of
electronic devices -is- addressed, hence its differentiation between
electronic and mechanical devices.

>>>But keep digging. It's fun to watch you grasp at straws.
>>
>>
>>At least I learned something in the process.
>
>Then there was a silver lining in the cloud.
>
>>>>>Just because a cop CAN use a mechanical device, doesn't mean that they
>>>>>do. There's theory and there's practice. You're trying to argue based
>>>>>on theory, I'm basing my points on practice.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You are making arguments based on your own speculations.
>>>
>>>No, I'm basing them on the word given to me by several members of the
>>>law enforcement community.
>>
>>
>>Once again you reference nameless sources. Like -that's- supposed to
>>convince me! Well Dave, I was told by several members of this
>>newsgroup that you are "George". Does that make it true? Or even
>>credible? Of course not. If you can't reference your sources then
>>don't even bother to mention them because facts aren't facts just
>>because "some guy says so".
>
>Oh, it's back to that "if the source is not a recognized authority in
>some field, then I can't accept it as fact" argument again?

In this case you don't even -cite- the source -- you just claim a few
cops say so. That's hearsay.

>Then you'd better apply the same standards to your political
>arguments, as there is very little verifiable facts there.

Only because you choose not to verify the facts for yourself.

>So basically you are telling me that what I've heard is meaningless
>because you don't know the cops who told me?

If I said that my neighbor Ralph said that he can receive the thoughts
of Scottish Terriers through a metal tooth filling, would you consider
it a fact? I don't think so (not unless it coincided with one of your
"core beliefs").

>Well, maybe you can't except it, but I can, since I know the sources
>and trust their judgement. They have no reason to lie to me.

There's a reason why 'hearsay' is not permitted in court. Maybe you
can figure out why.

>>>>http://www.speedtrap.org/speedtraps/ste_city.asp?state=PA
>>>
>>>Ok, so what about it? That site lists speed trap locations. Were are
>>>the testimonies from all those "under 5 MPH" people?
>>
>>
>>Read some of the comments and articles. The links are also
>>interesting.
>
>Sure they are. Many sites like that are set up to help people beat
>speeding tickets. But some of that information should be considered
>skeptically.

But why? Are they any less credible than your third-hand claims by
anonymous sources? Along the same train of thought, have you found a
website yet that can provide some -facts- about Kerry instead of vague
emails from nameless people?

>>>>>You are asking me to speculate about all the possible uses for that
>>>>>information. I'm not about to do that.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Why not? You speculate about everything else. How is this any
>>>>different?
>>>
>>>When I speculate on something, it is based on either knowledge of, or
>>>experience in, a particular subject. You may (and seem to be making a
>>>habit of) dispute my knowledge and experience, but that's another
>>>matter. When I have no solid information about a subject, I will not
>>>speculate about it
>>
>>
>>Wrong. You "speculated" that I'm a liberal. By your own standards,
>>that means you must have enough "solid information" about two things;
>>first, you must know enough about liberals to be able to classify
>>them. I find that doubtful since you are a self-proclaimed
>>conservative republican and have repeatedly demonstrated that you have
>>no clue as to the precepts of liberalism. Second, you must have enough
>>"solid information" about me to know my ideologies, which you clearly
>>don't.
>
>Contrary to your erroneous statement, I know a lot about liberals. I
>know how they think, I know what they consider "ideal" and I know why
>they think that way. If you are going to fight something, you are best
>served knowing the enemy.

Then you don't know squat because you took up a fight with someone
that wasn't your enemy, Dave.

>I called you a liberal, because you voted for one, and vigorously
>defended another.

Liberals are also known to sleep when they are tired, eat when they
are hungry, and shit when their bowels move. I guess that makes -you-
a liberal, too, Dave.

>Your definition of what you claim to be politically, is a classic case
>of denial. It's funny how some liberals are ashamed to admit what they
>really are.

You must have missed my postings over the past several years -- I have
never been ashamed to admit anything. In fact, I've probably admitted
more than most people really wanted to know. And after arguing with
Twisty for much of that time, I even admitted that he was right on the
Bush topics. Yet you can't even admit when you make one single little
mistake. It is -you- that is in denial, Dave, not me.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3119. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:01:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7nnj11hft34qglmpppph7d73n16b557puv@4ax.com>
Source record: 196765

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 14:58:41 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 10:59:55 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <862c111vts8ff0te2m70n9eshn1276bqcg@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:13:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>If you say so. I just don't have any desire to make the Guiness book
>>>>>>of records for the longest single usenet thread. It's no longer
>>>>>>productive.
>>>
>>>
>>>I doubt it would set any records, but I will be happy to chop my last
>>>large reply down to a size more suitable for slower readers.
>>
>>You evidently don't believe in brevity.
>
>
>Is that the best excuse you can provide for avoiding the topics you
>snipped from my post? So what's your excuse for my other, much shorter
>posts that you have ignored?
>

What, you believe you are entitled to a response to every post you
make? What was that you were saying before about an ego?

No, the rules are that *I* decide which posts I want to respond to and
which parts. By engaging in "conversation" you agree to abide by
those rules. If you don't want to abide by the rules, you are free to
not respond.

>>>>>>But no, I don't keep my bad parts as a rule, unless the failure mode
>>>>>>is very unusual. If I did, I'd be swimming in them.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Uh-huh.
>>
>>What, you think I actually keep bad parts? Why?
>
>
>I find it just good practice to keep records of all my work, and those
>records include any bad parts (assuming the customers don't want them

>back, which hasn't happened yet). I even kept that diode from the
>Peavey. Keeping bad parts (with a record of what equipment they came
>from) helps to identify low-quality components and manufacturers,
>gives me a reference for comparison to suspect components, etc. There
>are several other reasons, and I find a new reason every now and then.

I just write down things in a notebook and file it away. Like I said,
30 years of bad parts would be quite a pile. Not something I (or my
wife) would appreciate.

>>>Past experience would dictate that I shouldn't even bother with the
>>>diode because I have only seen the problem once in all the amps I have
>>>ever worked on, Peavey or otherwise. So, according to my experience,
>>>the diode is a non-issue. But the design flaw remains, leaving open
>>>the possibility of future failure (which, in a Peavey, is usually
>>>quite expensive). It's just another fault in need of repair.
>>
>>Which you learned from experience. Not by any troubleshooting
>>methodology.
>
>
>You are so hell-bent on your 'experience' excuse that you'll push it
>regardless of the context, won't you?

Because it applies, no matter how much you try to downplay it. No
troubleshooter starts with a blank slate every time he sets out to fix
something. Experience will modify his analytical "flowchart", when
working on devices with similar problems.

>>>>>>No. I think you made up that last line. What's your source?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>http://dictionary.reference.com/search?q=forensics
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>http://www.nflonline.org/uploads/Rostrum/ex0203mccrady.pdf
>>>>
>>>>Thank you for the link. I've saved it for closer examination when I
>>>>have more time.
>>>>
>>>>But it would seem that my past usage of the word is consistent with
>>>>both my source and yours
>>>
>>>
>>>I highly recommend you extend your examination to the website that is
>>>hosting the document.
>>
>>I wonder how many people stumble on that link and think it's about
>>football.....
>
>
>Probably close to the same number that have have ignorantly adopted

>the television definition of 'forensics'.

The definition of which as also an accepted form. It wouldn't be the
first time that pop-culture has influenced the way we think or the
terms we use.

>>>Odd that they should be the same since they use different mics. So how
>>>does the Sams spec compare to the factory spec?
>>
>>Not odd at all. Both radios use a dynamic stock mike, with about a
>>600 ohm impedance. The output of such a mike is pretty consistent.
>
>
>That's interesting, since I have dynamic mics with impedances from 100
>to 50k ohms, and with output levels from -60 to -10dB. The stock mic
>for the TRC-449 is 600 ohms but the output level is not specified. Are
>they specified for the Midland?

500-600 ohms is common for most CB dynamic mikes. Besides, the signal
generator impedance is the same either way.

>>I do not have the factory specs for this radio as it was made in 1971.
>>The SAMS is as good as it gets, and consistent with other radios.
>
>
>Yet radios have their differences.

Subtle differences, dependant on how much microphone preamp stages are
included in the audio chain. Very few radios have the sophisticated
gain and audio leveling in the early stages like the 449's chassis.
But I have never seen an audio spec which called for .5 mV. The
smallest that I've seen was 2 mV for 50%. Most radios, which don't
have an internal mike gain pot, don't even have a 50% setting.

>>>>>Actually it's called 'downward expansion', as I stated previously. But
>>>>>the effect on the audio is just as you described.
>>>>
>>>>You need to define this "downward expansion" term, as I've never heard
>>>>it. Perhaps it's your version of caps drying out.
>>>
>>>
>>>You can look it up on google. Regardless, it's a term used to describe
>>>what happens to compression -below- the average audio level. IOW, when
>>>you push the average audio level (i.e, mic gain) into compression, the
>>>audio above that level is compressed while the audio below that level
>>>is 'expanded'. When intentional, downward expansion is used for gating
>>>and noise reduction. But when -not- intentional the result is audio
>>>with a very unique quality that could be characterized as "mushy and
>>>compressed" by someone unfamiliar with the effect.
>>
>>In other words a reduction of dynamic range.
>

>
>No, a reduction of dynamic range is called compression; i.e, the
>dynamic range is compressed. Expansion is just the opposite.

I know that. But your definition of "downward expansion" was:

"a term used to describe what happens to compression -below- the
average audio level. IOW, when you push the average audio level (i.e,
mic gain) into compression, the audio above that level is compressed
while the audio below that level is 'expanded'".

When you drive audio into compression, the dynamic range is reduced.

> (And yes,
>I can hear you whining already: ".....that's a contradiction, Frank!
>So before you get bent out of shape, notice that 'expansion' is not
>the same as 'downward expansion', as the latter can occur during
>compression -or- expansion.)

That little tidbit you managed to leave out of your definition, as you
clearly called out "into compression".

>> but for downward expansion to be applicable,
>>the audio must be already driven into compression, which it would not
>>be the case at 50% modulation.
>
>
>Wrong. This is a classic case of how screwing up one thing leads to a
>cascade of consequences......
>
>If the reference level is intended to be 0.5mV but you feed it 5mV

You are assuming that .5 mV is correct. There is no proof that it is.

>then you are forced to compensate by decreasing the gain of the mic
>amp. Because the mic gain is low, you need to drive the mic with more
>audio (literally, ten times more audio power) in order to push the
>radio to 50% modulation. But in order to drive the output of the mic
>higher you must either talk louder or move the mic closer to your
>mouth. Assuming that your subconscious mind made the compensation
>automatically (as the subconscious mind usually does), you moved the
>mic closer. But your conscious mind failed to consider one of the
>basic characteristics of the dynamic mic -- when you move the mic
>closer to your mouth you increase the spectral lows -much- more than
>the highs. So by changing the audio equalization you subsequently
>screwed up the proper operation of the AMC, because lower frequencies
>have a greater power level and will subsequently drive the AMC into
>limiting more often than normal.

That was fancy, but not quite accurate. First off, the mike level
would be first limited by the mike agc (What you refer to as the
"hard" limiter) before the mike pot. Any "compression" would occur
there before it even hits the AMC circuit. The AMC action occurs AFTER

VR6.

>The net effect was a combination of reduced mic sensitivity and
>increased AMC limiting; i.e, a nearly textbook case of downward
>expansion, with some extra 'mush' due to the AMC limiter working
>overtime.

The AMC doesn't come into play until you hit that 200 mV level and clamps the level to 100% modulation. it's certainly not a factor at 5 mV.

>Yet you, after 35 years of loud-n-proud expertise in the biz, didn't
>realize what was really happening, didn't care to find out, and jumped
>to the conclusion that the best way to compensate for the increased
>low freq response was to bypass the low-pass filter. Didn't anybody
>ever teach you that two wrongs don't make a right?

I told you before that the audio BPF was removed to make the radio mate better with D104 microphones, which were the mikes of choice for most of the locals.

>If you set the mic gain properly then you don't need to hold the mic
>with your teeth, the mic isn't overdriven, the spectral response of
>the audio is normal, there is no need to bypass the LP filter, and the
>AMC works as intended.

What about when the AMC is defeated, which is how most of the radios ran back then? What's your excuse then?

>> It is also not applicable in the case
>>of this CB, as the VR6 pot is after the mike compression stages.
>>That adjustment does not affect the amount of audio compression that
>>might occur before the pot (As a result of the TR18 and 19 mike AGC).
>>So it has no effect on the amount of compression the audio may have at
>>that point.
>
>
>TR18 and TR19 make a clamping (hard) limiter, not a compressor or AGC.

I don't want to get into (yet another) semantics debate. The action can be described as compression as the low end gain brings up low level audio what the "clamp" holds the maximum level to a hard maximum. That's compression in my book. In fact any CB radio's AMC circuit could be described as audio compression of sorts for the same reason. It increases average modulation while holding maximum to 100%.

>Notice the configuration of the transistors -- the gain is very high
>and the pair behave almost like a switch that's turned on when the
>audio is high enough to get past the forward voltage drop of D20 and
>turn on the switch.

The action of the circuit can also be described as a "fast attack, slow decay", as when you slam the clamp, there is a noticeable audible

"bump" in the transmit audio, and the gain takes a second or two to
come back to full. Changing C68 to a smaller value can improve the
action.

>> The AMC clipping (compression) happens after VR6, but at
>>the time the 50% modulation point is set, the AMC is adjusted to
>>maximum so it should have no effect.
>
>
>That's so the AMC won't have any effect on the modulation while
>setting the mic gain.

Right. I thought I said that.

>I am referring to what the meter reads when you switch it to AC and
>put the probes on the leads of the cap.
>
>
>> If so it's about .5V.
>
>
>Well that's no good. Do you have more than one cap hooked up at the
>same time?

Nope. It'a a single 11,000 uF 35 volt electrolytic.

>What are the ratings on the cap? You do realize that the
>purpose is to -make- them fail under controlled conditions, don't you?

Of course. But how much "ripple" will it take to cause it to fail?

>>>It would depend on where compression begins. For CVA circuits it
>>>usually begins quite low. For limiters it will be high. Regardless,
>>>there was a noticable difference in my TRC-449 (which still has the LP
>>>filter intact).
>>
>>Any compression downstream of the VR6 pot would be the result of the
>>AMC circuit where D21 and D22 are the variable attenuators (clippers),
>>which should have been adjusted for max when setting the 50% point.
>
>
>I have been letting this slide, but it's time that something should be
>cleared up: This radio has no compression per se..... TR18 and TR19
>make a -hard limiter-, while the AMC (D21 and D22 driven by the audio
>power amp through TR23) is a -soft limiter-.

Both of which contribute to the act of compression, I.E. the lower
audio levels are amplified, while the high levels are clamped to 100%
maximum.

>>>>There's no point because the adjustment is not that critical as
>>>>demonstrated by the Cobra 139XLR and the external "user adjustable"
>>>>pot.

>>>
>>>
>>>Did you bypass the LP filter in the Cobra as you did in the TRC-449?
>>
>>No. The Cobra is bone stock. It's a collector's piece for me.
>
>
>Then I would suspect that as you turned down the mic gain you noticed
>your modulation dropping. Try it again, but this time move the mic
>closer in order to maintain the same modulation as the mic gain is
>turned down. You will notice a significant difference in the quality
>of the audio.

When I get a chance, I'll check that. I'm also going to see just where
.5 uV brings this thing to level wise.

>>>>Other plans. I had to gather my own parts (most of which were
>>>>scavenged from other bonepile candidates). That was the nice thing
>>>>about point-point wiring of tube circuits. Just punch a few holes in a
>>>>piece of sheet metal, and you had an instant "chassis".
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>And don't tell me you
>>>>>designed one from scratch because I wouldn't buy that for a minute,
>>>>>not with your weak understanding of electronic theory.
>>>>
>>>>I never designed a full receiver from scratch (why bother when the
>>>>plans for others were already there).
>>>
>>>
>>>Why bother? Then why bother to build your own receiver when you can
>>>get a transistor radio at Scavenger City for a couple bits?
>>
>>For the reason you stated before, personal pride.
>
>
>There you go! There's a reason to design a receiver from scratch!

I guess. I prefer to take existing circuits and then modifying them
for improved performance.

>
>
>>>>Did I say that? No. So why jump to a (wrong) conclusion. BTW, I
>>>>despise morse code.
>>>
>>>
>>>I should have guessed -- you do seem to have a passive-agressive
>>>attitude towards anything that requires patience and discipline.
>>
>>Based on what? Where have I ever made any such claim?

>
>
>You will know just as soon as you figure out what I said.

Whew! talk about evasive.

>The only "building block" I had was the GPS chip. Everything else had
>to be designed from the ground up with special attention to weight,
>wide temperature variations, power efficiency, and most importantly,
>isolation between the radar system, the control systems and the comm
>systems. And the latter was a -real- bitch because the system was full
>duplex. Playing with building blocks? Not even close, Dave.

If you say so. Remember, we only have your word for it.

>>Hmm.. The words "Welcome to Wal-Mart" don't apply to your new job do
>>they?
>
>
>I'm a natural-born citizen.

That's actually pretty funny in a pathetic sort of way.

>If you're so curious about my current employment why don't you just
>ask me what I'm doing these days? Wouldn't that be a whole lot easier
>than speculating? Or do you enjoy making derogatory implications with
>your assumptions?

Actually, I don't really care. It's none of my business what you do.
I'm only commenting because you claimed that because of your new job,
you wouldn't be "around" all that much, yet it seems you're still
going strong.

>>>>>> I built my own repeater controller and
>>>>>>homebrewed a DTMF decoder.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>You "homebrewed" a DTMF decoder? Don't you mean that you bought a chip
>>>>>and hooked it up to a power supply and a few externals?
>>>>
>>>>More than a "few" externals. The DTMF "chip" decodes DTMF tones and
>>>>outputs a non-latching 4 bit binary word. I had to incorporate a
>>>>binary to 1 of 16 decoder, as well as flip-flops for state latch
>>>>circuits, and a 555 timer for button time-out.
>>>
>>>
>>>Which chip are you talking about?
>>
>>That would be "chips", as there were about 6 or so.
>
>
>I said "chip", as in one (1). And I asked for only one chip because
>you said you used "a" (meaning 'one') "chip" (singular).

No, I said that I homebrewed a DTMF decoder. That circuit used several
"chips", including a custom DTMF decoder. But I had to add other parts
to decode a 3 digit number and route it to a particular function.

>
>Well, there weren't too many DTMF chips in 1988. But even with the
>chips that are currently available, all that's needed is a decoder and
>some glue logic -- nothing more complicated than a connect-the-dot
>puzzle, and not even that hard if the datasheet was like most and
>included some basic application schematics. Heck, when I was in high
>school I built my first freq counter based on cascaded 7490's (about
>30 chips total), but which was just another connect-the-dot project.
>The hardest part was putting it together (I'll never do wire-wrap with
>that many chips ever again!).

No. I hate wire wrap. I'd rather solder to checkerboard.

>>>>>>I don't do it much now as the demands of family life, and being a good
>>>>>>parent have taken much of what used to be "hobby time".
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>That's why you spend so much time on the newsgroups, huh?
>>>>
>>>>I challenge you to find any posts that I've made at times other than
>>>>between 6:30 AM and 3:30 PM EST Monday through Friday. I'll bet you
>>>>can count them on the fingers of one hand.
>>>
>>>
>>>Absense of evidence, Dave. When are you going to learn that
>>>fundamental concept?
>>
>>How does it apply in this case? The fact that you will find very few
>>times that I've posted outside of my established times, is proof that
>>I don't post then. Where is the absence?
>
>
>You won't provide proof that you don't post as a sock-puppet, which is
>sufficient reason for suspicion. Right?

How does one prove a negative? Besides the burden of proof is on the
accuser.

Not the same thing as finding evidence that I post outside of my given
times. A google search will confirm it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3120. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:03:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <beqj119idfm8gvott249csckgt1hn122kj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 19:42:08 GMT, robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>
>>
>>
>>
>> Many hams used to be CB'ers/Freebanders, and like to "return to the
>> roost" once in a while.
>>
>
>I got my start in radio with a legal CB radio back in 1976.
>Then got a ham license and never went back. If I wanted
>to "freeband" I can legally use the 10 meter band as a ham
>for the same operations. But with the sunspots out, I
>can use 20 or lower just as legally.

Certainly. I agree. But some people do things differently. When 10/11
meters opens up, there is often only a handful of hams on 10, but
there are hundreds (or more) people on 11 meters. The DX potential is
better.

I don't condone it, but I do understand it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3121. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:06:32 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hhqj11hob2jqpm5hm9r4lg4u3i2a3bmspf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196751

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 21:37:41 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 13:01:31 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:51:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>>wrote in <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>>>>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>>>>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>>>
>>>
>>>That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
>>>now.
>>
>>No need. I am confident in my abilities. My past accomplishments tell
>>the story. Insecurity is not one of my foibles.
>
>
>I'll be damned. Rogerbird was right. I added a mica insulator between the final
>and heatsink, with the heatsink compound. It worked. At first, I thought Jim was
>right. But the problem reoccured. I am still going to bias it.

There are a combination of "things" which can cause, or add to, the
oscillation. Moving the coil, isolating the final, and changing the
bias are all things that reduce the potential.

I'm glad it worked. Keep an eye on it, though as it might just be on
the hairy edge and could come back.

Dave

3122. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:09:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <cnqj111rasmae3gs04dns6alugoaa1i30a@4ax.com>
Source record: 196769

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:41:19 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
wrote:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 04:51:23 -0800, Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net>
>wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 05:26:14 -0500, Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com>
>>wrote in <ligb119b0btkaaoktb89r35vc7icdcsoms@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>Holy crap, that might have done it. While I did not spreak the coil, it appeared
>>>to be pushed or slanted to one side. I just straightened it out a bit. Seems to
>>>work. Will still do alignment. I will get back to you !
>>
>>
>>That should stroke Dave's ego a little bit -- he needs it right about
>>now.
>
>
>Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a lot. I
>appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>
>But I would like to point out one thing. There in an underlying feeling in this
>group, that there is some sort of prize, if you know more than someone. Almost
>like there is a contest to one up someone else. I just don't get it. Are people
>that insecure? With a couple of killfiles, I have cleaned most of the garbage of
>this group. I don't kill file you or anyone, that can be informative or helpful.
>Regardless of what side of the line you fall on.
>
>But this is a perfect example. Dave did nothing but try to solve a problem for
>me, and you take a swipe at him. Maybe you know more than him, or maybe he knows
>more than you. But once you leave the area of the keyboard, who the hell really
>cares? Does you wife care, do you friends care? Probably not. So why is it so
>important that everyone here knows?

It's a matter of ego, saving face, and pride.

Hell, I never professed to "know all". I've learned in life that no
matter how strong you are, no matter how smart you are, no matter how
cool you are, there will always be someone stronger, smarter, or
cooler.

This is not a contest for me. All I want to do is offer help when and
where I can. I do find it curious when others attack my suggestions.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3123. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:26:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ntqj11pk17jojok0t0q8694jv2qaj5iiln@4ax.com>
Source record: 196766

On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:13:31 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a lot. I
>>appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>
>
>Then let me stop you right there. Dave does know his CB radios, and he
>knows them a lot better than most people in this group, including (and
>especially) myself.

Thanks for the flowers (i think). But if you really felt that way, why
are you intently arguing with me about my experience with the UPD 858
SSB chassis radios, based on nothing more than a single service
manual?

>Now I should apologize because it was not my intent to attack you.
>Dave was my target.

That much was obvious. Why I should be anyone's "target" sheds light
on their true motives.

> In case you haven't noticed already, a lot of
>people in this group are basically full of shit, regardless of how
>much they know or don't know about radio. I can see why that happens
>because it's easy for geeks, nerds and social misfits to make up
>imaginary lives while hiding behind a keyboard.

No one is exempt from that. The ones with the most imaginary lives are
also the same ones who hide behind anonymous pseudonyms.

> But once in a while a
>person like Dave gets to thinking that he is some sort of alpha male,
>sometimes manifested as a delusion of intellectual superiority just
>like you describe.

Let's address this curious accusation. First off, I do have some
"seniority" on this group, so I have been around for a while. But that
alone does not mean anything. But you are right, alot of people here
are full of crap, and try to promote "CB science" radio myths. I try
to set them straight. If that makes me an "alpha male", then it
applies to you as well, as you've done the same thing. So is what
you're saying essentially, is that you are now challenging my position
as "alpha male", by utilizing a more aggressive form of the same
traits you attribute to me?

Remember, YOU made this personal. Anytime you want to stop your silly crusade against me and attacking every point I make on various threads, I can resume some form of civility with you.

> The response he receives is nothing more than a
>normal societal reaction to put him back in his place.

And what "place" is that?

> Either he gets
>the message and starts behaving himself

"Behave myself"? In what way have I ever "misbehaved? Disagreeing with your political ideology doesn't count.

> (which rarely happens), bails
>from the newsgroup in shame (like so many have done in recent years),
>or goes insane and becomes a troll (which is why you will see heavy
>trolling here from time to time). My problem with Dave will probably
>end soon because a person can only make up so many excuses when the
>facts remain the same.

Yep, you can only repeat the same tired excuses that you "have the facts" for only so long before you get placed back on the discount rack.

The facts are that you are chasing me. I'm not chasing you.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3124. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:29:00 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7bpj11pdriqa3ovf1to855da767a8dhpnr@4ax.com>
Source record: 196747

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 08:01:31 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <5klj11da1fro1a7eccafq4qtl080ndpug2@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:36:11 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>>I have agreed with Dave on many things, including politics. But it's
>>>>not that I disagree with the other issues so much that many of his
>>>>opinions, right or wrong, are founded on misinformation, propoganda,
>>>>or poor qualitative reasoning skills.
>>>
>>>Again, that is in comparison to YOUR information, propaganda and
>>>qualitative skills. And that is what has you steamed. In an arena such
>>>as politics, there are very few verifiable facts,
>>
>>
>>There are plenty of verifiable facts -- you just don't even want to
>>try and verify them because they conflict with your "core beleifs".
>
>Frank, I am not going to waste my time chasing the opinions of some
>journalist.

Maybe that's your problem -- you can't differentiate between fact and
opinion. You see, Dave, some media source might state, "Bush ducked
the media today after yesterdays White House statement claiming that
outsourcing jobs is good for the economy". That statement is obviously
an opinion. Yet it contains a fact that can be verified; namely, the
White House statement supporting job outsourcing. So if you weren't a
hypcrite looking for excuses to avoid learning facts that conflict
with your "core beliefs", you could find and verify the FACT that such
a statement WAS made, and that it is a fact -regardless- of the source
of the opinion in which it was referenced.

But you don't.

>>We
>>have done this ping-pong game several times before but it seems you
>>just can't get enough of it. It's obvious that you fear the facts, but
>>your 'truth-by-repitition' plan just isn't working. Don't you know by
>>now that I'm just going to come back and set you straight every time
>>you project your intellectual failures on me?
>
>Interesting choice of words. It is you who keep relying on the "truth
>by repetition" fallacy. No matter how many times you claim to have the

>facts, the true fact is that all you have are the opinions and
>conclusions of OTHER people. You can list a dozen web sites and
>articles that "verify" the same "fact", but they are no more credible
>than the National Enquirer.

If that were true then the National Enquirer would be considered just
as credible as any other source of news. It isn't, therefore your
statement is bogus.

> And to think you cast off my sources as
>"propaganda", yet stick so emphatically to your sources as "absolute
>fact". That's a laugh Frank.

I noticed you qualified my remarks without my permission. Yet another
tactic to rationalize your bias.

>Unless you have connections inside the government, you have to base
>what you believe as "fact" on the accuracy of reporters and other
>"mouthpieces". If you can't see how this invites a great deal of spin
>and manipulation, you are more hopeless than I thought.

So -your- facts have been gleaned from sources inside the government?

>So tell me one more time about your "facts".

Eight times wasn't enough?

>>All my facts were referenced and can be cross-referenced to a wide
>>number of unbiased sources
>
>Says who? Who determined that these sources are "unbiased"?

You do. Despite your vehement declarations that any media source is
biased if it does not support your "core beliefs", there are plenty of
unbiased sources, not the least of which are republican sources that
openly admit certain things to be factual. One such example being the
Bush administration's low-keyed but clear declaration that there were
no WMDs in Iraq, a fact which you have yet to admit yourself.

>> Your 'fact' consisted of a website with a
>>forged document.
>
>That was one of MANY.

By your -own- standards, you can't expect anyone to give any more
credibility to that website than you do to CBS news.

>>> You tend to believe one side, while I tend to favor
>>>the other. There is nothing wrong with that. But don't tell me I'm
>>>wrong because I don't believe the same stuff you do.
>>
>>
>>I never did. You claimed your beliefs were based on facts. I gave you
>>facts.

>
>No you gave counter opinions.

Bush was never convicted of a DUI? He didn't fail twice in the oil
business? ......oh hell, we've been through this several times before
Dave, and each time I have proven that what I stated were indeed
facts. You simply refuse to accept them despite the evidence.

>>You discarded them as "biased" without even trying to verify
>>them as facts.
>
>Who said I didn't try?

I did. Pay attention, Dave.

> I recognized the same old worn out excuses,
>that I've heard since the Iraq war started.

And it's clear that you didn't bother to check them out then, either.
Now those "old worn out excuses" are yours because of your inability
to accept just the -possibility- that your political leader was
leading you, and the rest of the country, in a very bad direction.

>>You didn't even TRY, Dave. You lied -- your beliefs are
>>NOT based on facts -- on the contrary, your facts are based on your
>>BELIEFS.
>
>No more than yours are Frank.

And that's why I changed my position on the issue, right?

>>>> For an intelligent person like
>>>>him (or yourself, for that matter) to fall back on "core beliefs" as
>>>>an excuse to dismiss facts and logic is bogus, and it undermines the
>>>>credibility of any argument against keyclowns who use the very same
>>>>tactics.
>>>
>>>I can employ logic in the same way that you can. But when the topic
>>>that yo use your logic on are unverifiable, what good is logic when
>>>the premise's are faulty?
>
>>No good at all, which is what I taught you by using your own arguments
>>as examples. Or is your memory as faulty as your logic?
>
>You taught me nothing. You are blinded by your own partisanship.

I told you before, Dave -- truth by repitition doesn't work. And since
I know you aren't trying to convince me, who is it you -are- trying to
convince? Yourself?

>>>>I have also
>>>>-rejected- some tactics used by Twisty, such as his pop-psychology and
>>>>his diversionary topics game (the latter, BTW, is being used by Dave

>>>>quite frequently these days, so if you are going to admonish me for
>>>>using Twisty's tactics then you should do the same to him).
>>>
>>>I enjoy my study of human behavior. It's fun to watch people fit into
>>>certain categories. Sorry if the truth hurts certain insecure and
>>>narcissistic people.
>>
>>
>>Now -you- are trying to adopt Twisty's tactics. But you are only a
>>novice, Dave. You need much more study and practice.
>
>Please. I wrote up a fairly accurate analysis of Twisty's
>psychological issues more than 2 years ago. I do not "employ" the
>tactics of Twisty, I call attention to them.

So you are a psychologist as well as an engineer?

>>>> I also
>>>>have rejected many of -your- tactics, such as attacking those who
>>>>support or defend another -- otherwise, both Vinny and yourself would
>>>>be included in the scope of this discussion. But you aren't because my
>>>>discussions with Dave have nothing to do with either of you. And
>>>>frankly, I'm a little disappointed that Dave hasn't asked you to
>>>>butt-out because he is capable of speaking for himself.
>>>
>>>This is a public forum not e-mail Frank. Everyone is welcome to
>>>participate. If you wanted a private exchange to honestly discuss
>>>methodologies, you could have e-mailed me at any time. The fact that
>>>you chose instead to attempt to belittle me in a public forum
>>>invalidates any right you may claim to exclusivity in these
>>>discussions.
>>
>>
>>That works both ways, Dave. But you would never take this to email
>>because your ego is at stake -- you could never face the facts in a
>>private forum without the support of your lap-dog and imaginary
>>admirers.
>
>Ego? Please (again). This showcases your emotional attachment to this
>whole situation.
>
>First off Frank, I have no need or desire to take this to E-mail.

That's obvious, for the reasons I already stated.

> I'm
>not the one who desires enlightenment. You have been chasing me since
>the election, and have grown bitter, crass, and highly emotional. Very
>atypical from how you used to behave.

.....um, I hate to break this to you, Dave, but I have -always- been
bitter, crass and highly emotional, as anyone here can attest. The

only reason you see a difference is because my boot is up -your- ass
instead of someone else's.

> I seriously stung you (And it
>was not intentional. I had more respect for you at the time), and
>you've been chasing me like a rabid dog ever since. From
>troubleshooting radios, to speed traps, to who know what else, If I
>say "zig", you say "zag", and make every effort to try (however
>poorly) to prove me wrong. You've even aligned yourself with one of
>your worst former adversaries in the pursuit of this goal.

You claimed your beliefs were based on facts and logic. You lied.
That's what it all boils down to. You're a hypocrite, Dave -- pure and
simple.

>Right now I am enjoying your attention. Eventually, I'll tire of it,
>and I will just stop responding, in the same vein that I treat Twisty.

You claimed that you would ignore Twisty many years ago, yet you still
engage him on cue. But I do believe that you will eventually ignore me
when you finally run out of excuses, just as I predicted in an earlier
post.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3125. Re: What's the name of that tech school, Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:44:05 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <cvcs3mjko1@news.ks.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 196768

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:3bne11dp26fpbbvn0l9fk79ic4krt70hkp@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 07:18:44 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
> wrote:
>
> We built a power supply with function generator. It's funny you say that,
> sine I
> just picked it up from my parents' house 2 days ago. It was in the attic
> more
> than 20 years.
>
> Vinnie S.

Caps may be dry :)

Chad

3126. Re: OT: Wendell Scott

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:49:23 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <TxmSd.3678$Pz7.3666@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196749

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:JebSd.6873$Tu5.3254@fe12.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>> Pretty interesting program on the history channel about
>> Wendell Scott.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> Saw it also Sharkie. It was interesting though was it broadcast because
> its african history month or daytona weekend? Maybe both.

Yeah, I think it was both.

He was smacked
> around because of his race which is understandable back then but lets not
> make him a messiah.

Nope, but it was interstng to see the hoops he had
to jump through.

> Wish he raced now so he could prove his mettle but RIP.

Yeah, I'm sure he would have done better than
Willie T Ribbs.

Landshark

--
That does suck..sometimes you're the
windshield..sometimes you're the bug.

3127. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:50:24 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <26tj1195gqjtsa1ncfv0o53ah7tpli5q3q@4ax.com>
Source record: 196763

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 09:06:32 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:

>>I'll be damned. Rogerbird was right. I added a mica insulator between the final
>>and heatsink, with the heatsink compound. It worked. At first, I thought Jim was
>>right. But the problem reoccured. I am still going to bias it.
>
>There are a combination of "things" which can cause, or add to, the
>oscillation. Moving the coil, isolating the final, and changing the
>bias are all things that reduce the potential.
>
>I'm glad it worked. Keep an eye on it, though as it might just be on
>the hairy edge and could come back.
>
>Dave

One other thing can cause. Seems when I had it connected to a dummy load, or in my car to the amp, it didn't happened. Which means a perfect match eliminated the problem. So high SWR can cause it. Even though mine was about 1.3-1.5 across the band.

Can't wait to get that IMAX in the trees.

Vinnie S.

3128. RIP Hunter S. Thompson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 14:51:15 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24493-4219F563-133@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196753

May your ink well always be full.

3129. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 15:03:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <27sj11p05e84eg1bcochct96huo3s156qa@4ax.com>
Source record: 196771

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 09:26:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ntqj11pk17jojok0t0q8694jv2qaj5iiln@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:13:31 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a lot. I
>>>appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>>
>>
>>Then let me stop you right there. Dave does know his CB radios, and he
>>knows them a lot better than most people in this group, including (and
>>especially) myself.
>
>Thanks for the flowers (i think). But if you really felt that way, why
>are you intently arguing with me about my experience with the UPD 858
>SSB chassis radios, based on nothing more than a single service
>manual?

I said you know your CB radios. I didn't say you know the theory
behind their function. Everything you have said so far proves that, in
fact, you don't.

>>Now I should apologize because it was not my intent to attack you.
>>Dave was my target.
>
>That much was obvious. Why I should be anyone's "target" sheds light
>on their true motives.

I agree. Which explains why you jumped my shit when I criticized Bush.

>> In case you haven't noticed already, a lot of
>>people in this group are basically full of shit, regardless of how
>>much they know or don't know about radio. I can see why that happens
>>because it's easy for geeks, nerds and social misfits to make up
>>imaginary lives while hiding behind a keyboard.
>
>
>No one is exempt from that. The ones with the most imaginary lives are
>also the same ones who hide behind anonymous pseudonyms.

I won't speculate on how elaborate these people are with their lies.
Suffice it to say that such people exist in abundance.

>> But once in a while a
>>person like Dave gets to thinking that he is some sort of alpha male,

>>sometimes manifested as a delusion of intellectual superiority just
>>like you describe.
>
>Let's address this curious accusation. First off, I do have some
>"seniority" on this group, so I have been around for a while. But that
>alone does not mean anything.

It doesn't mean anything -- period.

> But you are right, alot of people here
>are full of crap, and try to promote "CB science" radio myths. I try
>to set them straight. If that makes me an "alpha male", then it
>applies to you as well, as you've done the same thing. So is what
>you're saying essentially, is that you are now challenging my position
>as "alpha male", by utilizing a more aggressive form of the same
>traits you attribute to me?

Hardly, and I can prove it by merely proposing that we take this to
email. But I have already made that proposal and you rejected it.

>Remember, YOU made this personal.

WRONG. I made some comments about Bush that -YOU- took personally,
which is where this all started.

> Anytime you want to stop your silly
>crusade against me and attacking every point I make on various
>threads, I can resume some form of civility with you.

But I -don't- attack every point you make, Dave. I attack only the
points that are in direct contradiction to the claim that you base
your conclusions on facts and logic.

>> The response he receives is nothing more than a
>>normal societal reaction to put him back in his place.
>
>And what "place" is that?

As one of the more intellectual people on this group.

>> Either he gets
>>the message and starts behaving himself
>
>"Behave myself"? In what way have I ever "misbehaved? Disagreeing with
>your political ideology doesn't count.

You misbehaved when you started practicing fallacious logic and
disregarding indepentantly verifiable facts in favor of your "core
beliefs".

>> (which rarely happens), bails
>>from the newsgroup in shame (like so many have done in recent years),
>>or goes insane and becomes a troll (which is why you will see heavy
>>trolling here from time to time). My problem with Dave will probably

>>end soon because a person can only make up so many excuses when the
>>facts remain the same.
>
>Yep, you can only repeat the same tired excuses that you "have the
>facts" for only so long before you get placed back on the discount
>rack.

Which is exactly what the prevalent social order did to Galileo,
Columbus, Aristotle, and a host of other people who -did- have the
facts but were dismissed in favor of "core beliefs".

>The facts are that you are chasing me. I'm not chasing you.

Because it's my "core belief" that you really -are- intelligent, and
unless someone challenges that intelligence you will just ignore it
(like you ignore facts and logic) until your gray matter falls into
disrepair. That would be a waste, IMHO.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3130. Midland 79-290 parts locations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 15:16:06 UTC
From: Floyd VIncent <floydvincent@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <WWmSd.27890$H05.23347@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 196758

Hi Gang!

I have a mods sheet for the Midland 79-290, however cant find the components. R148, Q33, Etc. Anyone help?

Floyd

3131. Re: RIP Hunter S. Thompson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 15:16:17 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <5XmSd.3684$Pz7.1970@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196761

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24493-4219F563-133@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
> May your ink well always be full.
>

He did? Bummer.

Landshark

--
Real heroes are men who fall and fail
and are flawed, but win out in the end
because they've stayed true to their
ideals and beliefs and commitments.

3132. FS: TRC-209 4 watt 40Channel Walkie-Talkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 16:31:19 UTC
From: glapierre@brooke-ocean.com
Message-ID: <ac6eb2b9.0502210831.5c24b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 196773

I have this. Been around for awhile. Needs battery cover. Asking only $20.00 plus shipping.

Homer

3133. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 17:09:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0duj11hf55io8ji9ud4j22fse21kul45dq@4ax.com>
Source record: 196772
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 09:01:37 -0500, Dave Hall
wrote in <7nnj11hft34qglmpppph7d73n16b557puv@4ax.com>:

>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 14:58:41 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> wrote:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 10:59:55 -0500, Dave Hall
>>wrote in <862c111vts8ff0te2m70n9eshn1276bqcg@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:13:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>If you say so. I just don't have any desire to make the Guiness book
>>>>of records for the longest single usenet thread. It's no longer
>>>>productive.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I doubt it would set any records, but I will be happy to chop my last
>>>>large reply down to a size more suitable for slower readers.
>>>
>>>You evidently don't believe in brevity.
>>
>>
>>Is that the best excuse you can provide for avoiding the topics you
>>snipped from my post? So what's your excuse for my other, much shorter
>>posts that you have ignored?
>>
>
>What, you believe you are entitled to a response to every post you
>make? What was that you were saying before about an ego?

No, but I -expect- that you at least show enough integrity to admit
your error on issues where you were proven wrong.

>No, the rules are that *I* decide which posts I want to respond to and
>which parts. By engaging in "conversation" you agree to abide by
>those rules. If you don't want to abide by the rules, you are free to
>not respond.

LOL!

>>>What, you think I actually keep bad parts? Why?
>>
>>

>>I find it just good practice to keep records of all my work, and those
>>records include any bad parts (assuming the customers don't want them
>>back, which hasn't happened yet). I even kept that diode from the
>>Peavey. Keeping bad parts (with a record of what equipment they came
>>from) helps to identify low-quality components and manufacturers,
>>gives me a reference for comparison to suspect components, etc. There
>>are several other reasons, and I find a new reason every now and then.
>
>I just write down things in a notebook and file it away. Like I said,
>30 years of bad parts would be quite a pile. Not something I (or my
>wife) would appreciate.

OK then, I'll ask the rest of the group: does anyone have a mylar they
can send me that's out of tolerance but not fused open?

>>>>Past experience would dictate that I shouldn't even bother with the
>>>>diode because I have only seen the problem once in all the amps I have
>>>>ever worked on, Peavey or otherwise. So, according to my experience,
>>>>the diode is a non-issue. But the design flaw remains, leaving open
>>>>the possibility of future failure (which, in a Peavey, is usually
>>>>quite expensive). It's just another fault in need of repair.
>>>
>>>Which you learned from experience. Not by any troubleshooting
>>>methodology.
>>
>>
>>You are so hell-bent on your 'experience' excuse that you'll push it
>>regardless of the context, won't you?
>
>Because it applies, no matter how much you try to downplay it. No
>troubleshooter starts with a blank slate every time he sets out to fix
>something. Experience will modify his analytical "flowchart", when
>working on devices with similar problems.

A "blank slate" is -exactly- what you begin with when troubleshooting.
And you bring up the most perfect example: a flowchart. I'm sure there
are a lot of backyard mechanics in this group, and most of them have a
Chilton's or Hayne's laying around somewhere. Just pick one up and go
to the troubleshooting sections. There you will find just what you
describe -- a flowchart -- a systematic progression of testing a
faulty system. It is -not- based on probability. It is based on logic.
And the reason they use a flowchart is because a flowchart is a tool
of -logic-, NOT statistics. If troubleshooting was based on statistics
the troubleshooting pages would be filled with graphs, charts and
plots, and the procedure would be to replace one component after
another, in order of their likelyhood of failure, until the problem no
longer exists. But that's not what's in those books. And the same
kinds of troubleshooting flowcharts are used in service manuals for
electronic equipment, too. They use a -logical- progression, not a
statistical analysis.

>>>I wonder how many people stumble on that link and think it's about
>>>football.....
>>
>>
>>Probably close to the same number that have have ignorantly adopted
>>the television definition of 'forensics'.
>
>The definition of which as also an accepted form. It wouldn't be the
>first time that pop-culture has influenced the way we think or the
>terms we use.

That excuse might work if the focus of Forensics clubs had changed
from speech to science. The definition of 'forensic science' is well
established and -your- definition is wrong (despite any "core belief"
to the contrary).

>>>>Odd that they should be the same since they use different mics. So how
>>>>does the Sams spec compare to the factory spec?
>>>
>>>Not odd at all. Both radios use a dynamic stock mike, with about a
>>>600 ohm impedance. The output of such a mike is pretty consistent.
>>
>>
>>That's interesting, since I have dynamic mics with impedances from 100
>>to 50k ohms, and with output levels from -60 to -10dB. The stock mic
>>for the TRC-449 is 600 ohms but the output level is not specified. Are
>>they specified for the Midland?
>
>500-600 ohms is common for most CB dynamic mikes. Besides, the signal
>generator impedance is the same either way.

Regardless of the impedance, is the mic level specified for the
Midland?

>>>I do not have the factory specs for this radio as it was made in 1971.
>>>The SAMS is as good as it gets, and consistent with other radios.
>>
>>
>>Yet radios have their differences.
>
>Subtle differences, dependant on how much microphone preamp stages are
>included in the audio chain. Very few radios have the sophisticated
>gain and audio leveling in the early stages like the 449's chassis.
>But I have never seen an audio spec which called for .5 mV. The
>smallest that I've seen was 2 mV for 50%.

Like I said before, I can scan the page.

> Most radios, which don't
>have an internal mike gain pot, don't even have a 50% setting.

We have already established that much.

>>>In other words a reduction of dynamic range.
>>
>>
>>No, a reduction of dynamic range is called compression; i.e, the
>>dynamic range is compressed. Expansion is just the opposite.
>
>I know that. But your definition of "downward expansion" was:
>
>"a term used to describe what happens to compression -below- the
>average audio level. IOW, when you push the average audio level (i.e,
>mic gain) into compression, the audio above that level is compressed
>while the audio below that level is 'expanded'".
>
>When you drive audio into compression, the dynamic range is reduced.

You didn't read the entire paragraph. Here, I'll quote the part you
didn't read the first two times: "[W]hen you push the average audio
level (i.e, mic gain) into compression, the audio above that level is
compressed while the audio below that level is 'expanded'"

>> (And yes,
>>I can hear you whining already: ".....that's a contradiction, Frank!
>>So before you get bent out of shape, notice that 'expansion' is not
>>the same as 'downward expansion', as the latter can occur during
>>compression -or- expansion.)
>
>That little tidbit you managed to leave out of your definition, as you
>clearly called out "into compression".

Read it again, Dave. And this time read -all- of it.

>>> but for downward expansion to be applicable,
>>>the audio must be already driven into compression, which it would not
>>>be the case at 50% modulation.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. This is a classic case of how screwing up one thing leads to a
>>cascade of consequences......
>>
>>If the reference level is intended to be 0.5mV but you feed it 5mV
>
>You are assuming that .5 mV is correct. There is no proof that it is.

I hadn't even finished with the first sentence and already you start
with the excuses. That's pathetic, Dave.

>>then you are forced to compensate by decreasing the gain of the mic
>>amp. Because the mic gain is low, you need to drive the mic with more
>>audio (literally, ten times more audio power) in order to push the
>>radio to 50% modulation. But in order to drive the output of the mic
>>higher you must either talk louder or move the mic closer to your
>>mouth. Assuming that your subconscious mind made the compensation
>>automatically (as the subconscious mind usually does), you moved the

>>mic closer. But your conscious mind failed to consider one of the
>>basic characteristics of the dynamic mic -- when you move the mic
>>closer to your mouth you increase the spectral lows -much- more than
>>the highs. So by changing the audio equalization you subsequently
>>screwed up the proper operation of the AMC, because lower frequencies
>>have a greater power level and will subsequently drive the AMC into
>>limiting more often than normal.
>
>That was fancy, but not quite accurate. First off, the mike level
>would be first limited by the mike agc (What you refer to as the
>"hard" limiter) before the mike pot. Any "compression" would occur
>there before it even hits the AMC circuit.

Wrong. Don't forget that you have the mic gain turned -way- down
because your input spec was too high. So the mic audio isn't going to
come close to getting hit by the first limiter unless you slam the mic
on the table.

> The AMC action occurs AFTER
>VR6.
>
>
>>The net effect was a combination of reduced mic sensitivity and
>>increased AMC limiting; i.e, a nearly textbook case of downward
>>expansion, with some extra 'mush' due to the AMC limiter working
>>overtime.
>
>The AMC doesn't come into play until you hit that 200 mV level and
>clamps the level to 100% modulation. it's certainly not a factor at 5
>mV.

Which means absolutely nothing. You are ignoring the fact that the
audio level of the mic during speech isn't limited to 5mV, or even
0.5mV. I never suggested that 5mV could turn on the AMC. I -did-
mention that because the mic gain is set so low that you needed to
compensate by decreasing the mic proximity, which boosts the low
frequencies disproportionately. And since those low frequencies have a
much higher level than your average speech audio level, it will be
those low freqs that drive the AMC into limiting at a lower -average-
audio level than anticipated. Do I need to draw a picture?

>>Yet you, after 35 years of loud-n-proud expertise in the biz, didn't
>>realize what was really happening, didn't care to find out, and jumped
>>to the conclusion that the best way to compensate for the increased
>>low freq response was to bypass the low-pass filter. Didn't anybody
>>ever teach you that two wrongs don't make a right?
>
>I told you before that the audio BPF was removed to make the radio
>mate better with D104 microphones, which were the mikes of choice for
>most of the locals.

You said, "I also found the transmit audio to be a bit mushy and compressed. The main reason for that is an audio bandpass filter installed between TR20 and TR22." You were wrong. The main reason the audio sounded "mushy and compressed" is because you misaligned VR6.

And for the record, the filter between TR20 and TR22 is a low-pass filter, -not- a band-pass filter.

>>If you set the mic gain properly then you don't need to hold the mic
>>with your teeth, the mic isn't overdriven, the spectral response of
>>the audio is normal, there is no need to bypass the LP filter, and the
>>AMC works as intended.
>
>What about when the AMC is defeated, which is how most of the radios
>ran back then? What's your excuse then?

Was the AMC defeated in the radio you were discussing? If not, then your point is irrelevant. If it -was- then much of the same still applies -- you will still have boomy audio, and it would be distorted somewhere else down the path, perhaps at the mixer or crystal filter (which, BTW, is responsible for low-frequency audio roll-off).

>>> It is also not applicable in the case
>>>of this CB, as the VR6 pot is after the mike compression stages.
>>>That adjustment does not affect the amount of audio compression that
>>>might occur before the pot (As a result of the TR18 and 19 mike AGC).
>>>So it has no effect on the amount of compression the audio may have at
>>>that point.
>>
>>
>>TR18 and TR19 make a clamping (hard) limiter, not a compressor or AGC.
>
>I don't want to get into (yet another) semantics debate.

If you are going to use the terms then use them according to their correct definitions. That's one of the foundations of communication.

> The action
>can be described as compression as the low end gain brings up low
>level audio what the "clamp" holds the maximum level to a hard
>maximum.

That might work except that neither of the limiters control the audio through a variable gain -- they simply prevent the audio level from exceeding a specified value. That's called 'limiting', and it occurs one wave at a time. 'Clipping' is a harder form of hard limiting.

'Compression' is a type of AGC; i.e, the output signal controls the gain of the amp. A CVA (Constant Volume Amplifer) is a type of compression that is commonly used in the broadcast industry for speech. Compression works by controlling the average level of the audio, not by limiting the peaks.

> That's compression in my book.

You need a new book.

> In fact any CB radio's AMC
>circuit could be described as audio compression of sorts for the same
>reason. It increases average modulation while holding maximum to 100%.

It has no effect on the gain of the audio stages. Both limiters in
this radio do nothing but limit the peaks and filter the resultant
harmonics (at least that's what is -supposed- to happen assuming you
don't bypass the LPF). Your average modulation is increased only by
talking louder into the mic.

>>Notice the configuration of the transistors -- the gain is very high
>>and the pair behave almost like a switch that's turned on when the
>>audio is high enough to get past the forward voltage drop of D20 and
>>turn on the switch.
>
>The action of the circuit can also be described as a "fast attack,
>slow decay", as when you slam the clamp, there is a noticeable audible
>"bump" in the transmit audio, and the gain takes a second or two to
>come back to full. Changing C68 to a smaller value can improve the
>action.

Or just don't "slam the clamp". Even better, run a CVA between your
mic and the radio.

>>> The AMC clipping (compression) happens after VR6, but at
>>>the time the 50% modulation point is set, the AMC is adjusted to
>>>maximum so it should have no effect.
>>
>>
>>That's so the AMC won't have any effect on the modulation while
>>setting the mic gain.
>
>Right. I thought I said that.
>
>
>>I am referring to what the meter reads when you switch it to AC and
>>put the probes on the leads of the cap.
>>
>>
>>> If so it's about .5V.
>>
>>
>>Well that's no good. Do you have more than one cap hooked up at the
>>same time?
>
>Nope. It'a a single 11,000 uF 35 volt electrolytic.

Holy crap! How many of -those- do you see in CB radios? LOL! Anyway,
the AC resistance is 0.12 ohms @ 120Hz, which puts your AC current at
60mA, and therefore it's dissipating 30mW..... hardly enough to do any
damage, especially to a cap that's almost as big as the radio itself.

Try a smaller cap. Maybe something along the lines of what you might
find in a CB radio, and more typical of a cap that you might find in
"shreds". How about 2.2uF, 22uf, or even 100uF? I'm sure you have a
few of those laying around, don't you?

>>What are the ratings on the cap? You do realize that the
>>purpose is to -make- them fail under controlled conditions, don't you?
>
>Of course. But how much "ripple" will it take to cause it to fail?

That's what we are trying to determine, right?

>>>>It would depend on where compression begins. For CVA circuits it
>>>>usually begins quite low. For limiters it will be high. Regardless,
>>>>there was a noticable difference in my TRC-449 (which still has the LP
>>>>filter intact).
>>>
>>>Any compression downstream of the VR6 pot would be the result of the
>>>AMC circuit where D21 and D22 are the variable attenuators (clippers),
>>>which should have been adjusted for max when setting the 50% point.
>>
>>
>>I have been letting this slide, but it's time that something should be
>>cleared up: This radio has no compression per se..... TR18 and TR19
>>make a -hard limiter-, while the AMC (D21 and D22 driven by the audio
>>power amp through TR23) is a -soft limiter-.
>
>Both of which contribute to the act of compression, I.E. the lower
>audio levels are amplified, while the high levels are clamped to 100%
>maximum.

The words 'compression', 'limiting' and 'clipping' are commonly
misused terms, much like the word 'forensics'.

>>>>>There's no point because the adjustment is not that critical as
>>>>>demonstrated by the Cobra 139XLR and the external "user adjustable"
>>>>>pot.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Did you bypass the LP filter in the Cobra as you did in the TRC-449?
>>>
>>>No. The Cobra is bone stock. It's a collector's piece for me.
>>
>>
>>Then I would suspect that as you turned down the mic gain you noticed
>>your modulation dropping. Try it again, but this time move the mic
>>closer in order to maintain the same modulation as the mic gain is
>>turned down. You will notice a significant difference in the quality
>>of the audio.
>
>When I get a chance, I'll check that. I'm also going to see just where
>.5 uV brings this thing to level wise.

Try it. And test it with a stock mic as well as that chrome-plated
lollipop.

>>>For the reason you stated before, personal pride.
>>
>>
>>There you go! There's a reason to design a receiver from scratch!
>
>I guess. I prefer to take existing circuits and then modifying them
>for improved performance.

Chevy used to make V6 engines by hacking two cylinders from a V8.
Those engines weren't very good. Then they decided to build a V6 from
scratch, the result of which is the now famous V6/60-degree family.

>>>>>Did I say that? No. So why jump to a (wrong) conclusion. BTW, I
>>>>>despise morse code.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I should have guessed -- you do seem to have a passive-agressive
>>>>attitude towards anything that requires patience and discipline.
>>>
>>>Based on what? Where have I ever made any such claim?
>>
>>
>>You will know just as soon as you figure out what I said.
>
>Whew! talk about evasive.

Whew! Talk about a reading dysfunction!

>>The only "building block" I had was the GPS chip. Everything else had
>>to be designed from the ground up with special attention to weight,
>>wide temperature variations, power efficiency, and most importantly,
>>isolation between the radar system, the control systems and the comm
>>systems. And the latter was a -real- bitch because the system was full
>>duplex. Playing with building blocks? Not even close, Dave.
>
>If you say so. Remember, we only have your word for it.

Just like we only have your word that some cops said that radar is
used to catch PA speeders in the "vast majority of cases".

>>>Hmm.. The words "Welcome to Wal-Mart" don't apply to your new job do
>>>they?
>>
>>
>>I'm a natural-born citizen.
>
>That's actually pretty funny in a pathetic sort of way.

What's pathetic is your assumption that I'm so bad off that I would
consider working at Wal-Mart (assuming they would hire someone that
wasn't an illegal alien).

>>If you're so curious about my current employment why don't you just
>>ask me what I'm doing these days? Wouldn't that be a whole lot easier
>>than speculating? Or do you enjoy making derogatory implications with
>>your assumptions?
>
>Actually, I don't really care. It's none of my business what you do.

Uh-huh. Hence your speculation about working at Wally World.

>I'm only commenting because you claimed that because of your new job,
>you wouldn't be "around" all that much, yet it seems you're still
>going strong.

Like usual your reading comprehension sucks. I was busy for a couple
weeks to execute a will. When I was finished I started one of my more
favorite back-up jobs of slopping spirits at the local pub. Pays a lot
better than Wal-Mart (ironically, the pay is almost as good as what I
earned at the station). But you just go right on speculating, Dave.

>>>>>>> I built my own repeater controller and
>>>>>>>homebrewed a DTMF decoder.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>You "homebrewed" a DTMF decoder? Don't you mean that you bought a chip
>>>>>>and hooked it up to a power supply and a few externals?
>>>>>
>>>>>More than a "few" externals. The DTMF "chip" decodes DTMF tones and
>>>>>outputs a non-latching 4 bit binary word. I had to incorporate a
>>>>>binary to 1 of 16 decoder, as well as flip-flops for state latch
>>>>>circuits, and a 555 timer for button time-out.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Which chip are you talking about?
>>>
>>>That would be "chips", as there were about 6 or so.
>>
>>
>>I said "chip", as in one (1). And I asked for only one chip because
>>you said you used "a" (meaning 'one') "chip" (singular).
>
>No, I said that I homebrewed a DTMF decoder. That circuit used several
>"chips", including a custom DTMF decoder. But I had to add other parts
>to decode a 3 digit number and route it to a particular function.

Ok, since you want to beat around the bush I'll make the question more
specific: Which DTMF decoder chip did you use?

>>You won't provide proof that you don't post as a sock-puppet, which is
>>sufficient reason for suspicion. Right?
>
>How does one prove a negative? Besides the burden of proof is on the
>accuser.

Well then, in the absence of the documents Kerry didn't release
publically, what proof do you have that they contain information which
implicates him of any crime?

>Not the same thing as finding evidence that I post outside of my given
>times. A google search will confirm it.

Like I said in a different post, I won't speculate on how elaborate
people will get in order to cover up their lies.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3134. Just say NO to Bin Ladin!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 17:34:34 UTC
From: angelonmyshoulder61@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1109007274.357700.106760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196774

Hi all I am helping a friend promote a web site. You see a childhood friend of hers lost her husband at the World Trade Center on Sept. 11, 2001. She has run into some hard times and my freind wants to help her. So she came up with the idea to market Just say NO to Bin Ladin dartboards and punching bag covers. Not only is she going to donate part of the proceeds to her freind but an additional part of the proceeds will go to the Firemans Fund. If you could take the time to check out the web site it would be much appreciated!! The web site addy is:

http://www.angelonmyshoulder.4t.com

Thanks so much everyone!!

3135. Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 18:12:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <a29k11ldp6816t05mem70g79v3um5lk8hj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196775

Once I sorted this SSB oscillation problem, I have shot Mexico and Alabama. Not bad for barefoot on a Firestik in the attic.

Thanks for everyone's help.

Vinnie S.

3136. Re: RIP Hunter S. Thompson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 19:51:15 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pvOdnT38z9MppoffRVn-tw@giganews.com>
Source record: 196776

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> May your ink well always be full.

Roger that.

Jeff

3137. Dirland CB radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 20:48:57 UTC
From: "Mike H." <colomane@iol.ie>
Message-ID: <cvdhuvbcp1@reader01.news.esat.net>
Source record: 196777

Hi,

Anyone know of the Dirland SSB setup it looks like the Galaxy with the digital readout and channel number.

Any help? specs or any information welcomed.

Mike H.

--

This mailbox protected from junk email by MailFrontier Desktop
from MailFrontier, Inc. http://info.mailfrontier.com

3138. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 21:10:34 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <NasSd.36$ZG6.31@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196778

U Know Who wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050218200247.339$9t@newsreader.com...
>
>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>
>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:20050218191031.728$ZP@newsreader.com...
>>>
>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>>>
>>>>I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
>>>>
>>>>>Chasing his tail.....again.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yup.
>>>>
>>>>Hi Randy, you guys gonna be any good at baseball this year?
>>>>
>>>
>>>Hope so. If they can pace themselves at 3/4 of what they did in the
>>>second half of last year, we have a damn good chance!
>>>
>>
>>But the Mets have your best hitter now, right? Did you guys sign
>>another big stick to take his place?
>
>
> Nah, he was just a small piece of the puzzle. The Mets paid too much for
> something unproven.
>
>
Oh Randy C'mon now. Unproven? Were you saying that last year in the post
season? He is an excellant addition to a rather bad team of recent years
passed. I'm not saying we are going to the playoffs this year but we
will be entertaining. Piazza, Reyes, Wright (who hit 14 dingers in 69
games last year), Cammeron, Floyd and Mankexxxxx from the R Sox.
Glavine, Benson, Pedro, Trachsel & Zambrano. Not a bad starting 5 but
the bullpen will suck wind...

3139. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 21:41:51 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <6EsSd.49$i67.28@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196779

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:

> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:6287-42160AB7-
> 1030@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net:
>
>
>>Only after you called me Frank, er,,called Frank, I.
>
>
>
> LOL, now here comes the excuses... no no tipsy you posts several weeks
> even months ago that you knew who dave was and was going to reveal
> somethiung soon about dave,, I even played along and added some Dave
> .Sandbagger at the end of my post so you would really sink your teeth
> into the bait, and yes i hooked a big one.... that was way before i
> called frank your new buddy and accused him of acting like you, i didnt
> say he was you. btw it was said not because i thought he was you because
> he took on your persona of acting like a dickhead and twistying words
> around. So how could you be frank or vise versa when he doesnt post from
> jew tv?
jew tv?

3140. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 21:43:14 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <qFsSd.50$787.30@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196780

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:

> From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
> (itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in news:o4bRd.50$Ao4.22@fe12.lga:
> He admitted as such and gave a rambling excuse....bizarre
>
>
>>Hey Jim, I guess you also suffer from selective
>>reading, twisty has been calling me Dave,
>
>
>
> Only after you called me Frank, er,,called Frank, I.
>
>
>>and accusing Dave of using sock Puppets.
>
>
>
> And this pains you greatly and causes you to attack every single person
> who points out David T. Hall Jr.'s contradictory bullshit because.....
>
>
>
>>I wanted him to think Dave slipped up and
>
>
>>posted as himself from the wrong account.
>
>
>
> Yet, you said David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) gave you specific permission in
> a private email between the two of you to forge him in this group in
> order to make another "think" you were him. In addition to polluting and
> prostituting the group for your own childish games, you admittedly
> collude with David T. Hall Jr. to cause intentional strife to the pages
> of rec.radio.cb. Then again, you two of you have been at it for quite a
> long time. You're both loser lids.
>
>
Hey Twisty what the hell is a lid?

3141. Re: OT: Wendell Scott

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 21:46:59 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <WIsSd.51$477.19@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196781

Landshark wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:JebSd.6873$Tu5.3254@fe12.lga...
>
>>Landshark wrote:
>>
>>>Pretty interesting program on the history channel about
>>>Wendell Scott.
>>>
>>> Landshark
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Saw it also Sharkie. It was interesting though was it broadcast because
>>its african history month or daytona weekend? Maybe both.
>
>
> Yeah, I think it was both.
>
> He was smacked
>
>>around because of his race which is understandable back then but lets not
>>make him a messiah.
>
>
> Nope, but it was interstng to see the hoops he had
> to jump through.
>
>
>>Wish he raced now so he could prove his mettle but RIP.
>
>
> Yeah, I'm sure he would have done better than
> Willie T Ribbs.
>
> Landshark
>
>
I had to google ribbs since i never heard of him. no wonder as he was a
nonfactor racewise.

3142. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 21:59:24 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0RsSd.256722$w62.242943@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 196782

BIG 10-4 Good Buddy!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11

3143. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 23:34:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <3qrk11demne4ll24ap8r7snpv4fr9kg0ud@4ax.com>
Source record: 196783

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 21:59:24 GMT, "CommCtr1" <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
wrote:

>BIG 10-4 Good Buddy!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11

I also found it ironic a bit. I was on channel 38 LSB, putting out about 25-30
watts peak, for which I have been called an outlaw. Yet, the 2 loudest people on
that channel today, were using a Kenwood and Yaesu radios.

Han anyone ever seen a Kenwood or Yaesu CB?

Vinnie S.

3144. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-21 23:35:38 UTC
From: CommCtr1 <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <efuSd.257137$w62.203034@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 196784

negatory on the Kenwood or yaesu radio's, kick it on back there, BEEP

3145. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 00:05:21 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <5HuSd.29627$911.11038@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196785

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:3qrk11demne4ll24ap8r7snpv4fr9kg0ud@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 21:59:24 GMT, "CommCtr1"
> <pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
>>BIG 10-4 Good Buddy!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11
>
>
> I also found it ironic a bit. I was on channel 38 LSB, putting out about
> 25-30
> watts peak, for which I have been called an outlaw. Yet, the 2 loudest
> people on
> that channel today, were using a Kenwood and Yaesu radios.
>
> Han anyone ever seen a Kenwood or Yaesu CB?
>
> Vinnie S.

Yes, lots of bells and whistles.

3146. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 00:10:01 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <tLuSd.29629$911.8869@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196786

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:NasSd.36$ZG6.31@fe09.lga...
>U Know Who wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050218200247.339$9t@newsreader.com...
>>
>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:20050218191031.728$ZP@newsreader.com...
>>>>
>>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>>>>
>>>>>I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
>>>>>
>>>>>>Chasing his tail.....again.
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Yup.
>>>>>
>>>>>Hi Randy, you guys gonna be any good at baseball this year?
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Hope so. If they can pace themselves at 3/4 of what they did in the
>>>>second half of last year, we have a damn good chance!
>>>>
>>>
>>>But the Mets have your best hitter now, right? Did you guys sign
>>>another big stick to take his place?
>>
>>
>> Nah, he was just a small piece of the puzzle. The Mets paid too much for
>> something unproven.
> Oh Randy C'mon now. Unproven? Were you saying that last year in the post
> season?

No, but he's certainly not worth what signed for. That's why he lost in
here. He'd have busted the hispanic market wide open in this area. Not sure
he'll have that effect up north, but he was liked here.

He is an excellant addition to a rather bad team of recent years
> passed. I'm not saying we are going to the playoffs this year but we will

> be entertaining. Piazza, Reyes, Wright (who hit 14 dingers in 69 games
> last year), Cammeron, Floyd and Mankexxxxx from the R Sox.
> Glavine, Benson, Pedro, Trachsel & Zambrano. Not a bad starting 5 but the
> bullpen will suck wind...

3147. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 00:57:25 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <VrvSd.29638$911.25428@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196787
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Lancer" wrote in message
news:v2s5d98ecfviuqj3kuhfa4gbpoq4qpub2u@news.easynews.com...
> On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 09:09:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 09:01:37 -0500, Dave Hall
>>wrote in <7nnj11hft34qglmpppph7d73n16b557puv@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 14:58:41 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 10:59:55 -0500, Dave Hall
>>>>wrote in <862c111vts8ff0te2m70n9eshn1276bqcg@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>>>On Thu, 17 Feb 2005 11:13:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>>>> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>If you say so. I just don't have any desire to make the Guiness book
>>>>>>of records for the longest single usenet thread. It's no longer
>>>>>>productive.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I doubt it would set any records, but I will be happy to chop my last
>>>>>>large reply down to a size more suitable for slower readers.
>>>>>
>>>>>You evidently don't believe in brevity.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Is that the best excuse you can provide for avoiding the topics you
>>>>snipped from my post? So what's your excuse for my other, much shorter
>>>>posts that you have ignored?
>>>>
>>>
>>>What, you believe you are entitled to a response to every post you
>>>make? What was that you were saying before about an ego?
>>
>>
>>No, but I -expect- that you at least show enough integrity to admit
>>your error on issues where you were proven wrong.
>>
>>
>>>No, the rules are that *I* decide which posts I want to respond to and

>>>which parts. By engaging in "conversation" you agree to abide by
>>>those rules. If you don't want to abide by the rules, you are free to
>>>not respond.
>>
>>
>>LOL!
>>
>>
>>
>>>>>What, you think I actually keep bad parts? Why?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I find it just good practice to keep records of all my work, and those
>>>>records include any bad parts (assuming the customers don't want them
>>>>back, which hasn't happened yet). I even kept that diode from the
>>>>Peavey. Keeping bad parts (with a record of what equipment they came
>>>>from) helps to identify low-quality components and manufacturers,
>>>>gives me a reference for comparison to suspect components, etc. There
>>>>are several other reasons, and I find a new reason every now and then.
>>>
>>>I just write down things in a notebook and file it away. Like I said,
>>>30 years of bad parts would be quite a pile. Not something I (or my
>>>wife) would appreciate.
>>
>>
>>OK then, I'll ask the rest of the group: does anyone have a mylar they
>>can send me that's out of tolerance but not fused open?
>>
>
> Why mylar?
>
> Fused open?
>

Isn't that an oxymoron?

3148. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 01:25:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22527-421A8A24-144@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196789

From: jim <3carroll@optonline.com
Group: rec.radio.cb Subject:
Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws
Date: Mon, Feb 21, 2005, 4:43pm X-Complaints-To: abuse@cv.net
X-Trace: fe09.lga 1109022422 24.190.116.28 Posting-Date: Mon, Feb 21,
2005, 2:47pm (EST-2) Organization: Optimum Online
>Hey Twisty what the hell is a lid?

A lid is a poor operator. A lousy hammie. Origin varies by mileage.
A common accepted belief is one who could not properly send the word
"lid" on landline. It sounded like "DD" .
Another belief is poor ops who would practice on Prince Albert cans in
order to better clarify the metallic rap of the tin. And yet another
belief of its origin is an op that could not send well, "put a lid on"
(slowed down) traffic. It has come to define any poor hammie, whether by
operation, technique, behavior, or demeanor.

3149. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 01:29:26 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <wZvSd.100$D83.5@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196788

```
U Know Who wrote:
> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:NasSd.36$ZG6.31@fe09.lga...
>
>>U Know Who wrote:
>>
>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>news:20050218200247.339$9t@newsreader.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:20050218191031.728$ZP@newsreader.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
>>>>>>><itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I am busy unlike you who sits home and forges people.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Chasing his tail.....again.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Yup.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Hi Randy, you guys gonna be any good at baseball this year?
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Hope so. If they can pace themselves at 3/4 of what they did in the
>>>>>second half of last year, we have a damn good chance!
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>But the Mets have your best hitter now, right? Did you guys sign
>>>>another big stick to take his place?
>>>
>>>
>>>Nah, he was just a small piece of the puzzle. The Mets paid too much for
>>>something unproven.
```

>>
>>Oh Randy C'mon now. Unproven? Were you saying that last year in the post
>>season?
>
>
> No, but he's certainly not worth what signed for. That's why he lost in
> here. He'd have busted the hispanic market wide open in this area. Not sure
> he'll have that effect up north, but he was liked here.
>
The Hispanic market is huge in NYC and its environs. There are 4 spanish
language TV stations here.
He was brought here for two reasons, win and put fannies in the seats.
And yes he is worth what the market can absorb. Sucks for small teams
but thats a reality. Either way pitchers and catchers reported so spring
is close. Hope so after 7" of snow today...

3150. Pirate Radio again thriving in Tampa Bay (or, Hey Dr. X, is that YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 01:33:59 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22526-421A8C07-431@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196790

All you long distance FM dx chasers, check out 99.9 FM out of St.
Petersburg, Florida.
DJ Bob Noxious took up where the infamous Party Pirate left off.

3151. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 02:09:27 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1zwSd.114$aS3.53@fe09.lga>
Source record: 196791

Twistedhed wrote:

> From: jim <3carroll@optonline.com
> Group: rec.radio.cb Subject:
> Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws
> Date: Mon, Feb 21, 2005, 4:43pm X-Complaints-To: abuse@cv.net
> X-Trace: fe09.lga 1109022422 24.190.116.28 Posting-Date: Mon, Feb 21,
> 2005, 2:47pm (EST-2) Organization: Optimum Online
>
>>Hey Twisty what the hell is a lid?
>
>
>
> A lid is a poor operator. A lousy hammie. Origin varies by mileage.
> A common accepted belief is one who could not properly send the word
> "lid" on landline. It sounded like "DD" .
> Another belief is poor ops who would practice on Prince Albert cans in
> order to better clarify the metallic rap of the tin. And yet another
> belief of its origin is an op that could not send well, "put a lid on"
> (slowed down) traffic. It has come to define any poor hammie, whether by
> operation, technique, behavior, or demeanor.
>
Thanx for the dissertation :) Just got learned...

3152. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 03:30:52 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109043052.215994.215230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196792

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 21:59:24 GMT, "CommCtr1"
<pottsladd13@nospam.yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >BIG 10-4 Good Buddy!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!11
>
>
> I also found it ironic a bit. I was on channel 38 LSB, putting out
about 25-30
> watts peak, for which I have been called an outlaw.

Which ham radio rules will you break when you get your license?

3153. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 05:24:48 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <o5gl11p6jkvrhhpkck2rinie06fht9r5oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196793

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 00:57:25 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote in <VrvSd.29638$911.25428@fe2.texas.rr.com>:

<snip>
>>
>> Why mylar?

He claims to have found several mylar caps that were out of tolerance.
I've never seen it happen so I would like to examine one of these bad
caps for myself.

>> Fused open?
>>
>
>Isn't that an oxymoron?

I have to explain things in terms Dave can understand. Since he didn't
know what 'fused' meant, I thought it best to solidify his recent
education on the subject by using the terms together -- reinforcement
by association.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3154. Re: OT:Pa's speed Laws

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 05:29:46 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <8jgl11p3qerk8ee0up5pl9o46ahl04grps@4ax.com>
Source record: 196794

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 16:41:51 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote
in <6EsSd.49$i67.28@fe09.lga>:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
<brev-o-snip>
>> jew tv?
>jew tv?

Consider the source -- an internet troll/sock-puppet who runs to the
defense of a right-wing conservative with racist leanings.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3155. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 06:10:09 UTC
From: coop874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18807-421ACCC1-118@storefull-3274.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196795

hey vinnie i from alabama unit 2238 maybe this week we can qso i will fire up my yaesu ft 301 bought from a hamfest i need some cb crystals for it 73s

3156. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 11:49:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <hm6m11phbd65attcp70jv28kt237chsh7t@4ax.com>
Source record: 196796

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 05:00:42 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:23:50 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <66kj11lu2qgbhibp1a4dlfei37beq623bu@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:04:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>>>Such as a stopwatch?
>>>>
>>>>Not used.
>>>
>>>
>>>If it's not used then why are there laws that describe it's use and
>>>define it's calibration procedures?
>>
>>I don't know why. That's a question best left for the LE community.
>
>
>You don't know why? Gee, Dave, you seem to know everything else about
>methods of speed enforcement in PA, why not that?

I don't know why they don't sit at the side of the road and use a
stopwatch, and prefer instead to use an electronic device that does
the math for them. All I know is that they do. For the "whys" you need
to ask them.

>
>
>>> And why are there so many police
>>>departments in other parts of the country that not only use them but
>>>use them frequently?
>>
>>Where is your evidence to support that statement?
>
>
>Google. Just plug in "speed limit", "enforcement" and "stopwatch",
>then check out some of the 1500+ hits. Stopwatches are used by many
>law enforcement agencies all around the country because they are
>simple and reliable. If are too lazy to look them up yourself and want
>more specific references, I can make a list of those, too.

What happens in other places is irrelevant. All I am concerned with is the Commonwealth of Pa.

>>I avoid no facts. The fact is that 3368 (c) paragraph 4 is the law in
>>this state, and it validates my statement in most cases.
>
>
>The fact is that 3368(c) is the law in PA, it includes both paragraph
>1 -and- paragraph 4 which invalidates your statement for all cases,
>and your statement didn't include the clause, "in most cases".

It was implied despite your stubborn refusal to accept it.

>
>
>>>Now, back to the topic: If you make a statement but leave out
>>>something that's relevant -- possibily even contradictory -- to that
>>>statement, you don't think that's being evasive? Kinda like someone
>>>gives you a ride somewhere, and halfway there the cops behind you turn
>>>on their lights and siren, the driver speeds up, then says, "Oh, BTW,
>>>I just stole this car." By your logic, he had a valid reason -not- to
>>>mention that the car was stolen because the vast majority of cars
>>>-aren't- stolen. Right?
>>
>>I don't see how that is relevant.
>>
>>There is a chance that, on any given day, your car could become
>>involved in an accident or the airplane you fly on could crash. Do
>>they tell you this when you board the plane, or when you sign on the
>>dotted line when buying a car?
>
>
>There is a term called "assumed risk", which is a legal term used to
>define a situation where a person knows that some risk is unavoidable;
>i.e, that exceptional circumstances -can- occur. This is contrary to
>your statement in which you -defined- the circumstances: that they may
>-not- ticket you for doing 56 in a 55 because they are "required" by
>law to provide some leeway. Do you not know the meaning of the word
>"require"? Here, I'll do you the favor of quoting Webster:
>
>require -- 1. to insist upon, as by right; demand; order. 2. to demand
>as necessary; need; as, man -requires- food.
>
>Now is there anything in that definition which implies, generally or
>explicitly, any exceptions? Does it say, "almost always", or "most of
>the time", or "in a vast majority of cases"? No.

Nonetheless, the state of Pa requires that LEO give at least a 5 mph leeway for speeders pursuant to the conditions set forth in 3368(c)(4). (Which is most of the time).

>Your statement was wrong, Dave. That much is clear to "the vast
>majority" of people. The only question that remains is how many more

>excuses you are going to try.

Give it up Frank. You're grasping at straws and are looking
increasingly more foolish for doing so.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3157. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 11:57:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <147m11p6lajffi7k482vhn3i34uakld3dh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196797

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 04:25:30 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:12:09 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <dljj119huvipl2kar8g3ma4rv958t54efq@4ax.com>:
>
><snip>
>>>I'll give you that one, and I'll even give up the entire thread just
>>>as long as you can show me that the exceptions are as small as you
>>>claim. Well?
>>
>>How am I supposed to do that? You won't take my "word of mouth"
>>testimony from the cops I know, and, as far as I know, there isn't any
>>database accessible on the net which keeps records of such things.
>
>
>Then you have no facts to back up your claim, Dave.
>
>
>>I can't prove that a man walked on the moon, but I accept that it did
>>happen.
>
>
>Wrong. There are -facts- to back up that statement, therefore you
>-can- prove that a man walked on the moon.

Really? Then prove to me that a man walked on the moon Frank.

BTW, just because you can pull up thousands of news reports that claim
it, that does not PROVE it.

We accept as fact that an American walked on the moon. But we only
know it due to reports that were released to us by the news media.

There was a movie once, Capricorn One was the name, if my memory
serves me, where NASA, trying to save face (and future funding) in
light of the mandate to place a man on the moon, found that they just
weren't ready, so they decided to "fake" it by sending up an empty
rocket, and then filming the moon shots from a stage in a hanger. The
drama ensued when the unmanned rocket unexpectedly burned up on
re-entry and they were forced to kill the astronauts to protect the
secret.

It was an interesting work of fiction, but it underscores just how
vulnerable we all are to information that is "provided" to us by the
news media and the government.

You really need a res fresher course on what is considered a fact.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3158. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 12:01:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <li7m1159qk5op582pibaob7ggmlm9kkv5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 196798

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 04:32:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>I'm using it as a classification. Stop picking nits.
>
>
>Then all humans are bipeds regardless of how many legs they have.

Not in every case. Some have birth defects, and others have had
injuries requiring amputation. Not all humans have two feet. But the
majority do.

> But
>that's not an analogy to the laws of PA since electronic devices are
>classified -differently- than mechanical devices. So your analogy is
>like saying that an apple is a banana because they are both fruits.

To your way of thinking perhaps.

I'm not so picky.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3159. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 12:28:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ip7m11h0n6s53f5b8fo9nhc4f3s3q0gncj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196799

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 05:39:57 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:39:19 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <ankj11t5mg5r8m04412sljh1hdnj2ttfbm@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 15:50:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>>I don't think so, Dave:
>>>>>
>>>>>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter105/chap105toc.html
>>>>
>>>>I am glad to see that your person crusade to trash me has pushed you
>>>>into researching sites that you otherwise would have no interest. I
>>>>hope the experience has been educational. But after all that trouble
>>>>it must be a bit disappointing to find that in addition to
>>>>aforementioned speedometers, that a common stopwatch is the only other
>>>>mechanical device you could find.
>>>>
>>>>Considering that a stopwatch has to be stopped and started manually,
>>>>how long do you think a 1 MPH over ticket would last in court when you
>>>>consider the error introduced by reaction time? A stopwatch suffers
>>>>from the same basic limitation that VASCAR does.
>>>
>>>
>>>It seems that elemetary school kids are more up to date than you:
>>>
>>>http://mathforum.org/elempow/solutions/solution.ehtml?puzzle=75
>>>
>>>You should also check out google to see just how often and widespread
>>>stopwatches are used. I was actually suprised myself.
>>
>>All that stated was that school kids could calculate the speed given
>>the data. They did not address the reaction time element, and how
>>errors could be introduced as a result.
>
>
>Maybe you missed the comments from Chief Craig:
>
>"I am quite happy to see one of my articles put to such good use.
>In regard to your bonus question - any discrepancy in timing is an
>issue people commonly ask about. The stopwatch method is very accurate
>because it relies upon anticipation rather than reaction....."

That means nothing. The difference between anticipation and reaction
is the point where you decide to "hit" the switch. You are still
limited by human reaction time constraints. Timing by reaction often
results in "late" hits. While timing by anticipation often results in
"early" hits.

>
>
>>iamnotgeorge posted an excerpt which outlines these errors and how
>>they could affect the accuracy of the recorded speed. Perhaps you
>>should review it.
>
>
>Perhaps you should quote it.

Why? Use your newsreader and look it up.

>>>Once again you reference nameless sources. Like -that's- supposed to
>>>convince me! Well Dave, I was told by several members of this
>>>newsgroup that you are "George". Does that make it true? Or even
>>>credible? Of course not. If you can't reference your sources then
>>>don't even bother to mention them because facts aren't facts just
>>>because "some guy says so".
>>
>>Oh, it's back to that "if the source is not a recognized authority in
>>some field, then I can't accept it as fact" argument again?
>
>
>In this case you don't even -cite- the source -- you just claim a few
>cops say so. That's hearsay.
>
>
>>Then you'd better apply the same standards to your political
>>arguments, as there is very little verifiable facts there.
>
>
>Only because you choose not to verify the facts for yourself.

I have done more than that. Depending on who tells the story, the
message is significantly different.

>>So basically you are telling me that what I've heard is meaningless
>>because you don't know the cops who told me?
>
>
>If I said that my neighbor Ralph said that he can receive the thoughts
>of Scottish Terriers through a metal tooth filling, would you consider
>it a fact? I don't think so (not unless it coincided with one of your
>"core beliefs").

Of course not, since the statement is absurd. But if your neighbor
Ralph told you that he saw a person messing with your car, you might
be inclined to believe him.

>>Well, maybe you can't except it, but I can, since I know the sources
>>and trust their judgement. They have no reason to lie to me.
>
>
>There's a reason why 'hearsay' is not permitted in court. Maybe you
>can figure out why.

I know why. But the testimony of recognized people (such as cops) is
often taken as fact. I guess it all depends on the person's
credibility. You don't know the cops that I know, therefore you doubt
their credibility. I, on the other hand, know them well, and some for
over 25 years. So I take their word as fact.

>>
>>Sure they are. Many sites like that are set up to help people beat
>>speeding tickets. But some of that information should be considered
>>skeptically.
>
>
>But why? Are they any less credible than your third-hand claims by
>anonymous sources? Along the same train of thought, have you found a
>website yet that can provide some -facts- about Kerry instead of vague
>emails from nameless people?

I can give you more sites than you'd care to read. Your answer will be
the same each time. It's funny how you regard the things you don't
want to hear as "hearsay", "propaganda" etc, yet sites of equal
"credibility" which support your core beliefs, you are much more
likely to consider as "facts".

>>>Wrong. You "speculated" that I'm a liberal. By your own standards,
>>>that means you must have enough "solid information" about two things;
>>>first, you must know enough about liberals to be able to classify
>>>them. I find that doubtful since you are a self-proclaimed
>>>conservative republican and have repeatedly demonstrated that you have
>>>no clue as to the precepts of liberalism. Second, you must have enough
>>>"solid information" about me to know my ideologies, which you clearly
>>>don't.
>>
>>Contrary to your erroneous statement, I know a lot about liberals. I
>>know how they think, I know what they consider "ideal" and I know why
>>they think that way. If you are going to fight something, you are best
>>served knowing the enemy.
>
>
>Then you don't know squat because you took up a fight with someone
>that wasn't your enemy, Dave.
>
>
>>I called you a liberal, because you voted for one, and vigorously
>>defended another.
>

>
>Liberals are also known to sleep when they are tired, eat when they
>are hungry, and shit when their bowels move. I guess that makes -you-
>a liberal, too, Dave.

Irrelevant and stretching. But when you support the political
philosophies of a liberal, you are either a liberal yourself, someone
who makes protest votes, or someone who lacks sufficient understanding
of political ideologies.

>>Your definition of what you claim to be politically, is a classic case
>>of denial. It's funny how some liberals are ashamed to admit what they
>>really are.
>
>
>You must have missed my postings over the past several years -- I have
>never been ashamed to admit anything. In fact, I've probably admitted
>more than most people really wanted to know. And after arguing with
>Twisty for much of that time, I even admitted that he was right on the
>Bush topics. Yet you can't even admit when you make one single little
>mistake. It is -you- that is in denial, Dave, not me.

I can very well admit when I've made a mistake, as I am about to do in
another thread. But so far, you have not made a convincing case that
I've made any, your opinion notwithstanding.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3160. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 12:45:41 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <maam11ldp6816t05mem70g79v3um5lk8fj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196800

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 00:10:09 -0600, coop874@webtv.net (j c) wrote:

>hey vinnie i from alabama unit 2238 maybe this week we can qso i will
>fire up my yaesu ft 301 bought from a hamfest i need some cb crystals
>for it 73s

Why do you need crystals? Do ham radios skip over 11 meters?

Vinnie S.

3161. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 12:58:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <fb9m1112e31pnc6ro82dpr2ps2b8f30t9j@4ax.com>
Source record: 196801

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 06:29:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>Frank, I am not going to waste my time chasing the opinions of some
>>journalist.
>
>
>Maybe that's your problem -- you can't differentiate between fact and
>opinion.

No, I believe that's YOUR problem.

> You see, Dave, some media source might state, "Bush ducked
>the media today after yesterdays White House statement claiming that
>outsourcing jobs is good for the economy". That statement is obviously
>an opinion. Yet it contains a fact that can be verified; namely, the
>White House statement supporting job outsourcing. So if you weren't a
>hypcrite looking for excuses to avoid learning facts that conflict
>with your "core beliefs", you could find and verify the FACT that such
>a statement WAS made, and that it is a fact -regardless- of the source
>of the opinion in which it was referenced.

Ah, yes, the "peeling away the layers of the onion theory" I am well
versed in the practice and I do it all the time. When you apply it to
all the smear which has been hurled at Bush, the nugget of fact
underneath those layers is often very small, and doesn't support the
erroneous and highly opinionated conclusion of the reporter.

>>>We
>>>have done this ping-pong game several times before but it seems you
>>>just can't get enough of it. It's obvious that you fear the facts, but
>>>your 'truth-by-repitition' plan just isn't working. Don't you know by
>>>now that I'm just going to come back and set you straight every time
>>>you project your intellectual failures on me?
>>
>>Interesting choice of words. It is you who keep relying on the "truth
>>by repetition" fallacy. No matter how many times you claim to have the
>>facts, the true fact is that all you have are the opinions and
>>conclusions of OTHER people. You can list a dozen web sites and
>>articles that "verify" the same "fact", but they are no more credible
>>than the National Enquirer.
>
>
>If that were true then the National Enquirer would be considered just
>as credible as any other source of news. It isn't, therefore your
>statement is bogus.

The only difference is that the National Enquirer is considered by
most intelligent people to be "sensational journalism". The other
sources just haven't been fully exposed yet. But the lid is slowly
lifting. After Jayson Blair of the New York Times, and things like
"Rathergate", the American people are slowly realizing that the news
media is not objectively reporting facts, they are spinning the facts
to promote their own political agendas.

And you fall for it.

>> And to think you cast off my sources as
>>"propaganda", yet stick so emphatically to your sources as "absolute
>>fact". That's a laugh Frank.
>
>
>I noticed you qualified my remarks without my permission. Yet another
>tactic to rationalize your bias.

What permission?

>>Unless you have connections inside the government, you have to base
>>what you believe as "fact" on the accuracy of reporters and other
>>"mouthpieces". If you can't see how this invites a great deal of spin
>>and manipulation, you are more hopeless than I thought.
>
>
>So -your- facts have been gleaned from sources inside the government?

No.

>>So tell me one more time about your "facts".
>
>
>Eight times wasn't enough?

Well, if you tell a lie often enough, sooner or later some will start
to believe it. I'm just a little more stubborn and objective.

>>>All my facts were referenced and can be cross-referenced to a wide
>>>number of unbiased sources
>>
>>Says who? Who determined that these sources are "unbiased"?
>
>
>You do. Despite your vehement declarations that any media source is
>biased if it does not support your "core beliefs", there are plenty of
>unbiased sources, not the least of which are republican sources that
>openly admit certain things to be factual. One such example being the
>Bush administration's low-keyed but clear declaration that there were
>no WMDs in Iraq, a fact which you have yet to admit yourself.

What the hell are you talking about? So far no WMD have been found.

What does that prove? They were never there? Or maybe they were hidden very well. Remember that absence of evidence is not necessarily evidence of absence.

Once again, hindsight is always 20/20. We didn't know what we know now in 2003. Another fact that you conveniently ignore is that most of the leading voices in our congress (democrats specially when Clinton was in office) and the leaders of the world agreed that the existence of Iraqi WMD was highly probable, as far back as 1998. Would you like to see the quotes?

>>> Your 'fact' consisted of a website with a
>>>forged document.
>>
>>That was one of MANY.
>
>
>By your -own- standards, you can't expect anyone to give any more
>credibility to that website than you do to CBS news.

No. But you seem to.

>>>> You tend to believe one side, while I tend to favor
>>>>the other. There is nothing wrong with that. But don't tell me I'm
>>>>wrong because I don't believe the same stuff you do.
>>>
>>>
>>>I never did. You claimed your beliefs were based on facts. I gave you
>>>facts.
>>
>>No you gave counter opinions.
>
>
>Bush was never convicted of a DUI? He didn't fail twice in the oil
>business?

Factual, but irrelevant. Clinton smoked pot and never inhaled. He dodged the draft and played hide the cigar with Monica Lewinsky. But what does it all matter to the important issues of the day?

> ......oh hell, we've been through this several times before
>Dave, and each time I have proven that what I stated were indeed
>facts. You simply refuse to accept them despite the evidence.

The facts that you do provide are irrelevant. The accounts that you provide which are relevant are not completely factual, and have a great deal of spin associated with them.

>>>You discarded them as "biased" without even trying to verify
>>>them as facts.
>>
>>Who said I didn't try?
>
>

>I did. Pay attention, Dave.

Then you're lying then.

>> I recognized the same old worn out excuses,
>>that I've heard since the Iraq war started.
>
>
>And it's clear that you didn't bother to check them out then, either.
>Now those "old worn out excuses" are yours because of your inability
>to accept just the -possibility- that your political leader was
>leading you, and the rest of the country, in a very bad direction.

Of course that's a possibility. But it's too early in the game to make
a positive determination. You and I can speculate as to the true
motives of the war, and whether it was the "right" direction. But
there are no facts either way, because history is still being written.
Terrorism is real. Iraq is real. Bush took a strong stand and I
believe it was the right one.

>>>You didn't even TRY, Dave. You lied -- your beliefs are
>>>NOT based on facts -- on the contrary, your facts are based on your
>>>BELIEFS.
>>
>>No more than yours are Frank.
>
>
>And that's why I changed my position on the issue, right?

And I did?

>>>>> For an intelligent person like
>>>>>him (or yourself, for that matter) to fall back on "core beliefs" as
>>>>>an excuse to dismiss facts and logic is bogus, and it undermines the
>>>>>credibility of any argument against keyclowns who use the very same
>>>>>tactics.
>>>>
>>>>I can employ logic in the same way that you can. But when the topic
>>>>that yo use your logic on are unverifiable, what good is logic when
>>>>the premise's are faulty?
>>
>>>No good at all, which is what I taught you by using your own arguments
>>>as examples. Or is your memory as faulty as your logic?
>>
>>You taught me nothing. You are blinded by your own partisanship.
>
>
>I told you before, Dave -- truth by repitition doesn't work. And since
>I know you aren't trying to convince me, who is it you -are- trying to
>convince? Yourself?

You make a valid point. So I ask you then, who are YOU trying to convince?

>>Please. I wrote up a fairly accurate analysis of Twisty's
>>psychological issues more than 2 years ago. I do not "employ" the
>>tactics of Twisty, I call attention to them.
>
>
>So you are a psychologist as well as an engineer?

No, but the study of human behavior is one of my "hobbies".

One can experiment with computers and radio without being an engineer. One can build structures without being a carpenter. One can add a hot tub to the back deck without being a plumber. In the same vein, one can recognize certain behavioral traits without being a licensed psychologist.

I sure hit a sore spot with Twisty. You are also responding somewhat predictably. I can almost guess what your responses will be. It's fun to watch actually.

>>>>This is a public forum not e-mail Frank. Everyone is welcome to
>>>>participate. If you wanted a private exchange to honestly discuss
>>>>methodologies, you could have e-mailed me at any time. The fact that
>>>>you chose instead to attempt to belittle me in a public forum
>>>>invalidates any right you may claim to exclusivity in these
>>>>discussions.
>>>
>>>
>>>That works both ways, Dave. But you would never take this to email
>>>because your ego is at stake -- you could never face the facts in a
>>>private forum without the support of your lap-dog and imaginary
>>>admirers.
>>
>>Ego? Please (again). This showcases your emotional attachment to this
>>whole situation.
>>
>>First off Frank, I have no need or desire to take this to E-mail.
>
>
>That's obvious, for the reasons I already stated.

No, because I'm just not that interested.

>> I'm
>>not the one who desires enlightenment. You have been chasing me since
>>the election, and have grown bitter, crass, and highly emotional. Very
>>atypical from how you used to behave.
>
>
>.....um, I hate to break this to you, Dave, but I have -always- been
>bitter, crass and highly emotional, as anyone here can attest.

No, actually you weren't. You used straight up, no-nonsense, and
direct. Now you are bitter, sarcastic, condescending, insulting, and
seething with an emotional connection.

> The
>only reason you see a difference is because my boot is up -your- ass
>instead of someone else's.

That makes no difference.

>> I seriously stung you (And it
>>was not intentional. I had more respect for you at the time), and
>>you've been chasing me like a rabid dog ever since. From
>>troubleshooting radios, to speed traps, to who know what else, If I
>>say "zig", you say "zag", and make every effort to try (however
>>poorly) to prove me wrong. You've even aligned yourself with one of
>>your worst former adversaries in the pursuit of this goal.
>
>
>You claimed your beliefs were based on facts and logic. You lied.
>That's what it all boils down to. You're a hypocrite, Dave -- pure and
>simple.

No, my beliefs are based on principles and morals. Logic and facts
only support those beliefs.

>>Right now I am enjoying your attention. Eventually, I'll tire of it,
>>and I will just stop responding, in the same vein that I treat Twisty.
>
>
>You claimed that you would ignore Twisty many years ago, yet you still
>engage him on cue.

And then once I've given him my few punches between the eyes, I stop
responding.

> But I do believe that you will eventually ignore me
>when you finally run out of excuses, just as I predicted in an earlier
>post.

You're right, but the excuses will be yours. You've just about run out
of spin in the Pa. speed law issue.

Then you will invent another topic to try to start things up again.
That will be my prediction.

Now get to work. You did say you would not be around as much. Or was
that a lie too?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3162. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 13:00:21 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <54bm11hsmjatcc827m3j0u7fusjlpjp4qe@4ax.com>
Source record: 196802

On 21 Feb 2005 13:17:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 10:00:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
>> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
>>
>> >Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>> >news:e4fe1157c7t8v6kqidk8eh99n73t7iomgn@4ax.com:
>> >
>> >>
>> >> Twisty has his own dialog with Dave. As for the argument between Dave
>> >> and myself, the only person jumping in is you.
>> >
>> >There you go frank trying to act like twisty, twisty has had the same
>> >stale dialog and been involved in the same thread as you and dave, the
>> >only difference is tipsy isnt on your ass this month, somehow you guys
>> >have sucked up to each other after years of arguing. And he is a messiah
>> >to you.
>>
>> It's a simple matter of priorities. Opposing illegal radio operation
>> is not as important
>>
>That has been a guise by a select few hams to post homo crap here for
>years. The ironic part is their leader is a felon repeater jammer that
>has a court ordered shrink. Not reading google posts has helped viewing
>this group immensely.

Actually, it would seem that the "homo crap" was a response to
Twisty's nonsensical rants. A good filter pretty much keeps that at
bay.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3163. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 13:09:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <k7bm11ha9f0r0ov2vrsh31krciuk8v95jg@4ax.com>
Source record: 196803

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:03:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 09:26:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <ntqj11pk17jojok0t0q8694jv2qaj5iiln@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:13:31 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>>Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a lot. I
>>>>appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>>>
>>>
>>>Then let me stop you right there. Dave does know his CB radios, and he
>>>knows them a lot better than most people in this group, including (and
>>>especially) myself.
>>
>>Thanks for the flowers (i think). But if you really felt that way, why
>>are you intently arguing with me about my experience with the UPD 858
>>SSB chassis radios, based on nothing more than a single service
>>manual?
>
>
>I said you know your CB radios. I didn't say you know the theory
>behind their function. Everything you have said so far proves that, in
>fact, you don't.

A conclusion that is yours alone. My reputation and experience speaks
for itself in this arena.

>
>>>Now I should apologize because it was not my intent to attack you.
>>>Dave was my target.
>>
>>That much was obvious. Why I should be anyone's "target" sheds light
>>on their true motives.
>
>
>I agree. Which explains why you jumped my shit when I criticized Bush.

Is that what you think? You need to go back and seriously re-read that
stuff again. Yes, I disagreed with you, but it wasn't a malicious
personal attack followed by a vendetta of smear.

>>> But once in a while a
>>>person like Dave gets to thinking that he is some sort of alpha male,

>>>sometimes manifested as a delusion of intellectual superiority just
>>>like you describe.
>>
>>Let's address this curious accusation. First off, I do have some
>>"seniority" on this group, so I have been around for a while. But that
>>alone does not mean anything.
>
>
>It doesn't mean anything -- period.
>
>
>> But you are right, alot of people here
>>are full of crap, and try to promote "CB science" radio myths. I try
>>to set them straight. If that makes me an "alpha male", then it
>>applies to you as well, as you've done the same thing. So is what
>>you're saying essentially, is that you are now challenging my position
>>as "alpha male", by utilizing a more aggressive form of the same
>>traits you attribute to me?
>
>
>Hardly, and I can prove it by merely proposing that we take this to
>email. But I have already made that proposal and you rejected it.

When? Besides, I told you before, there's nothing you can tell me that
you haven't already littered this group with. Most of it is B.S. left
wing, "hate-Bush-at-all-counts" propaganda.

>>Remember, YOU made this personal.
>
>
>WRONG. I made some comments about Bush that -YOU- took personally,
>which is where this all started.

No, I'm not the one chasing you and interjecting berating comments and
attempting to make hay of the simplest statements. YOU took it
personally and have been chasing me since.

>> Anytime you want to stop your silly
>>crusade against me and attacking every point I make on various
>>threads, I can resume some form of civility with you.
>
>
>But I -don't- attack every point you make, Dave. I attack only the
>points that are in direct contradiction to the claim that you base
>your conclusions on facts and logic.

Which is most things. I also use statistics and probability.

>>> The response he receives is nothing more than a
>>>normal societal reaction to put him back in his place.
>>
>>And what "place" is that?

>
>
>As one of the more intellectual people on this group.

As defined by whom?

>>> Either he gets
>>>the message and starts behaving himself
>>
>>"Behave myself"? In what way have I ever "misbehaved? Disagreeing with
>>your political ideology doesn't count.
>
>
>You misbehaved when you started practicing fallacious logic and
>disregarding indepentantly verifiable facts in favor of your "core
>beliefs".

In your opinion. But in any case, how is that any different from
anyone else here?

>Which is exactly what the prevalent social order did to Galileo,
>Columbus, Aristotle, and a host of other people who -did- have the
>facts but were dismissed in favor of "core beliefs".

Without principles, you have nothing.

>
>
>>The facts are that you are chasing me. I'm not chasing you.
>
>
>Because it's my "core belief" that you really -are- intelligent, and
>unless someone challenges that intelligence you will just ignore it
>(like you ignore facts and logic) until your gray matter falls into
>disrepair. That would be a waste, IMHO.

It's not your job to be my nanny, so stop applying for the job, and
get back to the one you supposedly have.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3164. The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 13:18:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <fpbm11pgvhtji7rr9kacsqlcsija7ngsht@4ax.com>
Source record: 196804

As most of you know, there has been some question as to the legality of Roger Beeps on CB radio. Some have claimed that they are legal, while others have had some doubts. There are no FCC rules which specifically allow them, and very few radios come equipped with them.

The banter back and forth has not resolved the issue as it was based purely on speculation and lacked any real facts. So, I decided to got straight to the authority on the subject, and wrote to the FCC for a clarification.

I have finally received a response to the question, and with my hat in my hand, I must now admit that I was wrong about Roger beep use. It would seem that the FCC is ok with roger beeps as long as the radio was not modified to install it (External units only) and that when using them, they are used in accordance with their intended function (As an ETS signal). Deliberately keying just to make the beep noise would be considered an unauthorized use.

The text of the actual response from the FCC follows:

From: FCCInfo <FCCInfo@fcc.gov>
To: <n3cvj@ptd.net>
Sent: Friday, February 18, 2005 3:26 PM
Subject: PROBLEM01089854 - Rule Clarification

> You are receiving this email in response to your inquiry to the FCC.
>
> Thanks for contacting the FCC Consumer Center in Gettysburg PA.
>
> In the technical (equipment) aspect, proper and reasonable use of the
> roger-beep feature does not violate FCC rules, when such feature is in
> compliance with CB Rule 9 as in the following excerpt:
>
> s 95.409 (CB Rule 9) What equipment may I use at my CB station?
>
> (a) You must use an FCC certificated CB transmitter at your CB station.
> You can identify an FCC certificated transmitter by the certification label
> placed on it by the manufacturer . . .
>
> (b) You must not make, or have made, any internal modification to a
> certificated CB transmitter . . . Any internal modification to a
> certificated CB transmitter cancels the certification, and use of such a
> transmitter voids your authority to operate the station.
>
>
> In the operational aspect, improper and unreasonable practices such as

> keying the mike solely for the purpose of activating the roger-beep,
> particularly repeated keying, would likely meet the prohibited communication
> sited in the subparagraphs (6) and/or (7) excerpts below:
>
> s 95.413 (CB Rule 13) What communications are prohibited?
>
> (a) You must not use a CB station--
>
> (6) To transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to amuse
> or entertain;
>
> (7) To transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
>
> Thank You
> TSR43
> 888-225-5322
>
>
>
> Rep Number : TSR43
>

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3165. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:47:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <fjcm11l8djvn0spgs3kd9snte40mr57515@4ax.com>
Source record: 196805
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 09:09:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
wrote:

>>What, you believe you are entitled to a response to every post you
>>make? What was that you were saying before about an ego?
>
>
>No, but I -expect- that you at least show enough integrity to admit
>your error on issues where you were proven wrong.

When that happens, I'll let you know.

>>I just write down things in a notebook and file it away. Like I said,
>>30 years of bad parts would be quite a pile. Not something I (or my
>>wife) would appreciate.
>
>
>OK then, I'll ask the rest of the group: does anyone have a mylar they
>can send me that's out of tolerance but not fused open?
>

>>>You are so hell-bent on your 'experience' excuse that you'll push it
>>>regardless of the context, won't you?
>>
>>Because it applies, no matter how much you try to downplay it. No
>>troubleshooter starts with a blank slate every time he sets out to fix
>>something. Experience will modify his analytical "flowchart", when
>>working on devices with similar problems.
>
>
>A "blank slate" is -exactly- what you begin with when troubleshooting.
>And you bring up the most perfect example: a flowchart. I'm sure there
>are a lot of backyard mechanics in this group, and most of them have a
>Chilton's or Hayne's laying around somewhere. Just pick one up and go
>to the troubleshooting sections. There you will find just what you
>describe -- a flowchart -- a systematic progression of testing a
>faulty system. It is -not- based on probability. It is based on logic.
>And the reason they use a flowchart is because a flowchart is a tool
>of -logic-, NOT statistics. If troubleshooting was based on statistics
>the troubleshooting pages would be filled with graphs, charts and
>plots, and the procedure would be to replace one component after
>another, in order of their likelyhood of failure, until the problem no
>longer exists. But that's not what's in those books. And the same
>kinds of troubleshooting flowcharts are used in service manuals for

>electronic equipment, too. They use a -logical- progression, not a
>statistical analysis.

Why do you think I used the flow chart example? It is a representation
of a logical progression. The exact method that I use, whether the
fault is a radio, a car, plumbing or whatever.

There are, however, some shortcuts that you can take, when you
recognize a particular fault. It saves you the trouble of following
some unnecessary steps.

I had a problem in the automatic transmission in the wife's Chevy
Astro Van a few years back. Seems that when you would drive it for an
extended period of time, the transmission would start shifting hard,
and the MIL light would light up and set an P1870 trouble code in the
ECU. Looking up the code, it stated that is was a transmission
slippage code, and the hard shifts were a result of the system
pressure increasing to compensate for the slippage. The only remedy
that the dealer would offer was a $2000 replacement transmission. I
turned to the web and started looking for other people who had had
similar problems (experience). I found out a lot. It seems that the
problem was caused by the PWM valve (or more accurately the bore for
it) for the lockup torque converter clutch. This was in the
transmission valve body and was made of aluminum and after about
45-50K miles, it would have worn sufficiently enough to cause the
problem that I had. I found that a company (Sonnax) sells a bore
reamer and a replacement steel sleave that can be installed in the
valve body, which would restore proper operation. So for about $90, I
bought the parts and performed the work (Dropping a valve body is a
bit messy though). The problem was solved.

Now, would I have been able to get to this point by logical
progression alone? Maybe. But by tapping the experience of other
people, I was able to solve the problem without a lot of mistakes and
expense.

This is just one of many such examples.

>
>>>>I wonder how many people stumble on that link and think it's about
>>>>football...........
>>>
>>>
>>>Probably close to the same number that have have ignorantly adopted
>>>the television definition of 'forensics'.
>>
>>The definition of which as also an accepted form. It wouldn't be the
>>first time that pop-culture has influenced the way we think or the
>>terms we use.
>
>
>That excuse might work if the focus of Forensics clubs had changed

>from speech to science. The definition of 'forensic science' is well
>established and -your- definition is wrong (despite any "core belief"
>to the contrary).

Make sure you tell that to the criminalists all across the country so
they can correct their mistake. And be sure to copy the producers of
such educational shows as "FBI Files", "Forensic Files", and even the
prime time drams of SCI.

>>>>>Odd that they should be the same since they use different mics. So how
>>>>>does the Sams spec compare to the factory spec?
>>>>
>>>>Not odd at all. Both radios use a dynamic stock mike, with about a
>>>>600 ohm impedance. The output of such a mike is pretty consistent.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's interesting, since I have dynamic mics with impedances from 100
>>>to 50k ohms, and with output levels from -60 to -10dB. The stock mic
>>>for the TRC-449 is 600 ohms but the output level is not specified. Are
>>>they specified for the Midland?
>>
>>500-600 ohms is common for most CB dynamic mikes. Besides, the signal
>>generator impedance is the same either way.
>
>
>Regardless of the impedance, is the mic level specified for the
>Midland?

In the alignment procedure, it is specified as 5mV for 50% modulation.
The same as the TRC 457/458.

>>>>I do not have the factory specs for this radio as it was made in 1971.
>>>>The SAMS is as good as it gets, and consistent with other radios.
>>>
>>>
>>>Yet radios have their differences.
>>
>>Subtle differences, dependant on how much microphone preamp stages are
>>included in the audio chain. Very few radios have the sophisticated
>>gain and audio leveling in the early stages like the 449's chassis.
>>But I have never seen an audio spec which called for .5 mV. The
>>smallest that I've seen was 2 mV for 50%.
>
>
>Like I said before, I can scan the page.

And like I said before, I'm not questioning that you are seeing what
you are seeing. I question that it was a misprint.

>>>>In other words a reduction of dynamic range.
>>>
>>>

>>>No, a reduction of dynamic range is called compression; i.e, the
>>>dynamic range is compressed. Expansion is just the opposite.
>>
>>I know that. But your definition of "downward expansion" was:
>>
>>"a term used to describe what happens to compression -below- the
>>average audio level. IOW, when you push the average audio level (i.e,
>>mic gain) into compression, the audio above that level is compressed
>>while the audio below that level is 'expanded'".
>>
>>When you drive audio into compression, the dynamic range is reduced.
>
>
>You didn't read the entire paragraph. Here, I'll quote the part you
>didn't read the first two times: "[W]hen you push the average audio
>level (i.e, mic gain) into compression, the audio above that level is
>compressed while the audio below that level is 'expanded'"

Yes. So we're still talking about audio that is in compression. What
you have described is the net effect of high gain (Which "expands" the
lower level audio), and a limiter clamp which "compresses" the audio
to below a preset level.

>>> (And yes,
>>>I can hear you whining already: ".....that's a contradiction, Frank!
>>>So before you get bent out of shape, notice that 'expansion' is not
>>>the same as 'downward expansion', as the latter can occur during
>>>compression -or- expansion.)
>>
>>That little tidbit you managed to leave out of your definition, as you
>>clearly called out "into compression".
>
>
>Read it again, Dave. And this time read -all- of it.
>
>
>>>> but for downward expansion to be applicable,
>>>>the audio must be already driven into compression, which it would not
>>>>be the case at 50% modulation.
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong. This is a classic case of how screwing up one thing leads to a
>>>cascade of consequences......
>>>
>>>If the reference level is intended to be 0.5mV but you feed it 5mV
>>
>>You are assuming that .5 mV is correct. There is no proof that it is.
>
>
>I hadn't even finished with the first sentence and already you start
>with the excuses. That's pathetic, Dave.

No, it's a typical example of how you base a logical conclusion on a potentially faulty premise.

>>>then you are forced to compensate by decreasing the gain of the mic
>>>amp. Because the mic gain is low, you need to drive the mic with more
>>>audio (literally, ten times more audio power) in order to push the
>>>radio to 50% modulation. But in order to drive the output of the mic
>>>higher you must either talk louder or move the mic closer to your
>>>mouth. Assuming that your subconscious mind made the compensation
>>>automatically (as the subconscious mind usually does), you moved the
>>>mic closer. But your conscious mind failed to consider one of the
>>>basic characteristics of the dynamic mic -- when you move the mic
>>>closer to your mouth you increase the spectral lows -much- more than
>>>the highs. So by changing the audio equalization you subsequently
>>>screwed up the proper operation of the AMC, because lower frequencies
>>>have a greater power level and will subsequently drive the AMC into
>>>limiting more often than normal.
>>
>>That was fancy, but not quite accurate. First off, the mike level
>>would be first limited by the mike agc (What you refer to as the
>>"hard" limiter) before the mike pot. Any "compression" would occur
>>there before it even hits the AMC circuit.
>
>
>Wrong. Don't forget that you have the mic gain turned -way- down
>because your input spec was too high. So the mic audio isn't going to
>come close to getting hit by the first limiter unless you slam the mic
>on the table.

Hello McFly. The mike limiters are BEFORE the mic gain pot. If I was increasing the level to compensate for the setting of the pot, the mike agc circuit would be compressing the audio. But considering that the real compression does not start until you are in the 200 mV area, that doesn't really apply at all.

>
>
>> The AMC action occurs AFTER
>>VR6.
>>
>>
>>>The net effect was a combination of reduced mic sensitivity and
>>>increased AMC limiting; i.e, a nearly textbook case of downward
>>>expansion, with some extra 'mush' due to the AMC limiter working
>>>overtime.
>>
>>The AMC doesn't come into play until you hit that 200 mV level and
>>clamps the level to 100% modulation. it's certainly not a factor at 5
>>mV.
>
>
>Which means absolutely nothing. You are ignoring the fact that the

>audio level of the mic during speech isn't limited to 5mV, or even
>0.5mV. I never suggested that 5mV could turn on the AMC. I -did-
>mention that because the mic gain is set so low that you needed to
>compensate by decreasing the mic proximity, which boosts the low
>frequencies disproportionately.

And you are assuming that we are talking about the stock dynamic hand
mike. Most people run amplified desk mikes, which is a whole different
kettle of fish.

> And since those low frequencies have a
>much higher level than your average speech audio level, it will be
>those low freqs that drive the AMC into limiting at a lower -average-
>audio level than anticipated. Do I need to draw a picture?

Sure if it makes you feel better. But it doesn't change anything since
you are basing it on an a set of assumed premises which are not
necessarily true.

>>>Yet you, after 35 years of loud-n-proud expertise in the biz, didn't
>>>realize what was really happening, didn't care to find out, and jumped
>>>to the conclusion that the best way to compensate for the increased
>>>low freq response was to bypass the low-pass filter. Didn't anybody
>>>ever teach you that two wrongs don't make a right?
>>
>>I told you before that the audio BPF was removed to make the radio
>>mate better with D104 microphones, which were the mikes of choice for
>>most of the locals.
>
>
>You said, "I also found the transmit audio to be a bit mushy and
>compressed.

My subjective term. Perhaps the term "nasally" would be more
descriptive. How about "talking through a paper cup"?

> The main reason for that is an audio bandpass filter
>installed between TR20 and TR22." You were wrong. The main reason the
>audio sounded "mushy and compressed" is because you misaligned VR6.

Not it's not, since most people cranked VR6 wide open for the most
gain. You forget the typical CB mentality. AMC doesn't come into play
because it is usually defeated. VR6 is cranked wide open, so that
negates your earlier scenario. VR6 is not that critical. If it were,
they wouldn't make it a user operated front panel control like on the
Cobra 139XLR. You're picking nits that just aren't there.

I made the radios sound good for the people who used them. They're
paying the bill, so they get what they want.

>And for the record, the filter between TR20 and TR22 is a low-pass
>filter, -not- a band-pass filter.

Ok, I stand corrected. It is a LPF.

>>>If you set the mic gain properly then you don't need to hold the mic
>>>with your teeth, the mic isn't overdriven, the spectral response of
>>>the audio is normal, there is no need to bypass the LP filter, and the
>>>AMC works as intended.
>>
>>What about when the AMC is defeated, which is how most of the radios
>>ran back then? What's your excuse then?
>
>
>Was the AMC defeated in the radio you were discussing? If not, then
>your point is irrelevant. If it -was- then much of the same still
>applies -- you will still have boomy audio, and it would be distorted
>somewhere else down the path, perhaps at the mixer or crystal filter
>(which, BTW, is responsible for low-frequency audio roll-off).

What? The audio on AM is not applied until the driver and final
stages. It is high level. It does not pass through any crystal filter.
Only the carrier passes through that. The audio passes through the
LPF, through the TR22 audio switch, and on to the IC4, upc1156 audio
power amp, where it is then applied to the modulator transformer T1.

You chastise me for a perceived lack of understanding of the basics,
yet you demonstrate a clear lack of understanding of the more
advanced.

>>>> It is also not applicable in the case
>>>>of this CB, as the VR6 pot is after the mike compression stages.
>>>>That adjustment does not affect the amount of audio compression that
>>>>might occur before the pot (As a result of the TR18 and 19 mike AGC).
>>>>So it has no effect on the amount of compression the audio may have at
>>>>that point.
>>>
>>>
>>>TR18 and TR19 make a clamping (hard) limiter, not a compressor or AGC.
>>
>>I don't want to get into (yet another) semantics debate.
>
>
>If you are going to use the terms then use them according to their
>correct definitions. That's one of the foundations of communication.
>
>
>> The action
>>can be described as compression as the low end gain brings up low
>>level audio what the "clamp" holds the maximum level to a hard
>>maximum.
>
>
>That might work except that neither of the limiters control the audio
>through a variable gain -- they simply prevent the audio level from

>exceeding a specified value.

And how do they do that? By controlling a variable attenuator on the input of the stage. I'd say that fits the definition of controlling gain.

> That's called 'limiting', and it occurs
>one wave at a time. 'Clipping' is a harder form of hard limiting.

Clipping is actually a distortion to the wave.

>
>'Compression' is a type of AGC; i.e, the output signal controls the
>gain of the amp. A CVA (Constant Volume Amplifer) is a type of
>compression that is commonly used in the broadcast industry for
>speech. Compression works by controlling the average level of the
>audio, not by limiting the peaks.

In either case, the overall gain of the stage is controlled. Whether you actually vary the gain of the amplifier, or you shunt some of the input level in response to a sensor on the output, the end result is the same.

Again, you like to argue semantics.

>> In fact any CB radio's AMC
>>circuit could be described as audio compression of sorts for the same
>>reason. It increases average modulation while holding maximum to 100%.
>
>
>It has no effect on the gain of the audio stages. Both limiters in
>this radio do nothing but limit the peaks and filter the resultant
>harmonics (at least that's what is -supposed- to happen assuming you
>don't bypass the LPF). Your average modulation is increased only by
>talking louder into the mic.

The gain of the internal pre-amps is set to maximum. When you talk, if your voice exceeds the preset level (in this case 100% modulation), the limiters reduce the input signal to the respective audio stage. Overall gain IS adjusted.

>>>Notice the configuration of the transistors -- the gain is very high
>>>and the pair behave almost like a switch that's turned on when the
>>>audio is high enough to get past the forward voltage drop of D20 and
>>>turn on the switch.
>>
>>The action of the circuit can also be described as a "fast attack,
>>slow decay", as when you slam the clamp, there is a noticeable audible
>>"bump" in the transmit audio, and the gain takes a second or two to
>>come back to full. Changing C68 to a smaller value can improve the
>>action.

>Or just don't "slam the clamp". Even better, run a CVA between your
>mic and the radio.

An external speech compressor? Sure, but the one internal works just
fine. There's more gain than anyone really needs. "Slamming the clamp,
is one way to keep average modulation levels high without creating
overmodulation. That's the whole point of speech compression.

>>>I am referring to what the meter reads when you switch it to AC and
>>>put the probes on the leads of the cap.
>>>
>>>
>>>> If so it's about .5V.
>>>
>>>
>>>Well that's no good. Do you have more than one cap hooked up at the
>>>same time?
>>
>>Nope. It'a a single 11,000 uF 35 volt electrolytic.
>
>
>Holy crap! How many of -those- do you see in CB radios? LOL! Anyway,
>the AC resistance is 0.12 ohms @ 120Hz, which puts your AC current at
>60mA, and therefore it's dissipating 30mW..... hardly enough to do any
>damage, especially to a cap that's almost as big as the radio itself.

Actually no. The cap is a more modern design and it's a little over an
inch in diameter, and about 4 inches long.

>Try a smaller cap. Maybe something along the lines of what you might
>find in a CB radio, and more typical of a cap that you might find in
>"shreds". How about 2.2uF, 22uf, or even 100uF? I'm sure you have a
>few of those laying around, don't you?

Sure. I just picked a common cap used as a filter in a power supply.
That's where it came from. I can put a smaller cap on. With less
filtering capacity, the ripple will increase.

>>>What are the ratings on the cap? You do realize that the
>>>purpose is to -make- them fail under controlled conditions, don't you?
>>
>>Of course. But how much "ripple" will it take to cause it to fail?
>
>
>That's what we are trying to determine, right?

>>>>>It would depend on where compression begins. For CVA circuits it
>>>>>usually begins quite low. For limiters it will be high. Regardless,
>>>>>there was a noticable difference in my TRC-449 (which still has the LP
>>>>>filter intact).
>>>>
>>>>Any compression downstream of the VR6 pot would be the result of the
>>>>AMC circuit where D21 and D22 are the variable attenuators (clippers),

>>>>which should have been adjusted for max when setting the 50% point.
>>>
>>>
>>>I have been letting this slide, but it's time that something should be
>>>cleared up: This radio has no compression per se..... TR18 and TR19
>>>make a -hard limiter-, while the AMC (D21 and D22 driven by the audio
>>>power amp through TR23) is a -soft limiter-.
>>
>>Both of which contribute to the act of compression, I.E. the lower
>>audio levels are amplified, while the high levels are clamped to 100%
>>maximum.
>
>
>The words 'compression', 'limiting' and 'clipping' are commonly
>misused terms, much like the word 'forensics'.

Ok. I'll give you that, just to avoid another senseless exercise in
semantics.

>>>>>>There's no point because the adjustment is not that critical as
>>>>>>demonstrated by the Cobra 139XLR and the external "user adjustable"
>>>>>>pot.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Did you bypass the LP filter in the Cobra as you did in the TRC-449?
>>>>
>>>>No. The Cobra is bone stock. It's a collector's piece for me.
>>>
>>>
>>>Then I would suspect that as you turned down the mic gain you noticed
>>>your modulation dropping. Try it again, but this time move the mic
>>>closer in order to maintain the same modulation as the mic gain is
>>>turned down. You will notice a significant difference in the quality
>>>of the audio.
>>
>>When I get a chance, I'll check that. I'm also going to see just where
>>.5 uV brings this thing to level wise.
>
>
>Try it. And test it with a stock mic as well as that chrome-plated
>lollipop.

I'm going to use my audio generator. That's the only way to ensure an
accurate measurement of level.

>
>Chevy used to make V6 engines by hacking two cylinders from a V8.

They still do. The 4.3 liter V6 is a hacked off 5.7 V8.

>Those engines weren't very good.

Au contraire. The 4.3 is a very reliable engine. Gobs of torque too.

>Then they decided to build a V6 from
>scratch, the result of which is the now famous V6/60-degree family.

Which engines are they?

I think you are confusing this with the failed attempt that GM made to
convert a 5.7 gasoline engine into a diesel. The stresses from
increases in compression was too much for the lower end of the
basically gasoline block, and it had all sorts of reliability issues.
Then they went to the drawing board and the 6.2 diesel was the result.
A much better engine.

>>>You will know just as soon as you figure out what I said.
>>
>>Whew! talk about evasive.
>
>
>Whew! Talk about a reading dysfunction!

In what way? You are deliberately vague and evasive, and you then
accuse me of "dysfunction" for not pulling a rabbit out of my hat?

>>>The only "building block" I had was the GPS chip. Everything else had
>>>to be designed from the ground up with special attention to weight,
>>>wide temperature variations, power efficiency, and most importantly,
>>>isolation between the radar system, the control systems and the comm
>>>systems. And the latter was a -real- bitch because the system was full
>>>duplex. Playing with building blocks? Not even close, Dave.
>>
>>If you say so. Remember, we only have your word for it.
>
>
>Just like we only have your word that some cops said that radar is
>used to catch PA speeders in the "vast majority of cases".

Exactly!

>
>
>>>>Hmm.. The words "Welcome to Wal-Mart" don't apply to your new job do
>>>>they?
>>>
>>>
>>>I'm a natural-born citizen.
>>
>>That's actually pretty funny in a pathetic sort of way.
>
>
>What's pathetic is your assumption that I'm so bad off that I would
>consider working at Wal-Mart (assuming they would hire someone that
>wasn't an illegal alien).

Hey, if I had a choice between the street and working at Wal-Mart, I'd work there in a heartbeat. When you have a family to support, it doesn't matter what you do, and how long you have to do it. That's what responsibility is about.

>>>If you're so curious about my current employment why don't you just
>>>ask me what I'm doing these days? Wouldn't that be a whole lot easier
>>>than speculating? Or do you enjoy making derogatory implications with
>>>your assumptions?
>>
>>Actually, I don't really care. It's none of my business what you do.
>
>
>Uh-huh. Hence your speculation about working at Wally World.

I was busting your chops. But you have no sense of humor.

>>I'm only commenting because you claimed that because of your new job,
>>you wouldn't be "around" all that much, yet it seems you're still
>>going strong.
>
>
>Like usual your reading comprehension sucks. I was busy for a couple
>weeks to execute a will. When I was finished I started one of my more
>favorite back-up jobs of slopping spirits at the local pub. Pays a lot
>better than Wal-Mart (ironically, the pay is almost as good as what I
>earned at the station). But you just go right on speculating, Dave.

That's not what you said Frank. Here it is again to refresh your memory:

Begin Frank's post**

The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.

>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....

Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.

End Frank's post**

Now, who has a reading problem? Or should it be a memory problem?

>>No, I said that I homebrewed a DTMF decoder. That circuit used several
>>"chips", including a custom DTMF decoder. But I had to add other parts
>>to decode a 3 digit number and route it to a particular function.
>
>
>Ok, since you want to beat around the bush I'll make the question more
>specific: Which DTMF decoder chip did you use?
>

>
>
>>>You won't provide proof that you don't post as a sock-puppet, which is
>>>sufficient reason for suspicion. Right?
>>
>>How does one prove a negative? Besides the burden of proof is on the
>>accuser.
>
>
>Well then, in the absence of the documents Kerry didn't release
>publically, what proof do you have that they contain information which
>implicates him of any crime?

No proof, but human nature tends to support the notion that people
hide what they don't want known. If you have nothing to fear, then why
hide it? Especially when your side hypocritically demands the same of
Bush.

>>Not the same thing as finding evidence that I post outside of my given
>>times. A google search will confirm it.
>
>
>Like I said in a different post, I won't speculate on how elaborate
>people will get in order to cover up their lies.

But you can't cover up a "non-event". I rarely post outside of my
usual times.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3166. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:49:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ohhm1195ij257eg5dtpin0va6hmqi5idap@4ax.com>
Source record: 196806

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 00:36:13 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>>OK then, I'll ask the rest of the group: does anyone have a mylar they
>>can send me that's out of tolerance but not fused open?
>>
>
>Why mylar?
>
>Fused open?

It's "Frankspeak".

To most of us, fused refers to something that is shorted. Frank uses a
slightly different set of vocabulary.

It's ok. He's on the left coast.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3167. FA: POPULAR HALLICRAFTERS R-47 RADIO SPEAKER for SX-115/101

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:51:15 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <dKHSd.7689$h85.2089@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196807
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911117&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3168. FA: ORIGINAL ASTATIC "G" MICROPHONE STAND> D-104 10-DA ETC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:53:12 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <2MHSd.7690$Y85.1581@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911158&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3169. FA: NICE SIGNAL ELECTRIC MFG. CO. TELEGRAPH SOUNDER-WORKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:54:28 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <fNHSd.7691$X95.5743@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=6513119635&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3170. FA: $12> NEW HUSTLER TLA-27L-S TRUNK LIP CB ANTENNA w/COAX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:55:44 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <qOHSd.7692$6a5.6115@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911223&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3171. FA: $10>NEW ANTENNA SPECIALIST MON-8 BASE SCANNER/MONITOR ANT:)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:57:05 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <HPHSd.7693$W65.7443@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911232&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3172. FA: KENWOOD KSC-8A CHARGER-NEW PB-36 BATTERY-TH-235+others

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:58:05 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <DQHSd.7694$f%4.6276@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911260&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3173. FA: Collins R-390 JAN Tubes & Tube Shields>Cheep!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 14:59:55 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <mSHSd.7695$vf5.4289@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911306&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911317&ssPageName=STRK:MESE:IT

3174. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:05:47 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2iim11t15qvva3srvl5uaihcsv1ns6gho6@4ax.com>
Source record: 196817

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 06:57:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <147m11p6lajffi7k482vhn3i34uakld3dh@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 04:25:30 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:12:09 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <dljj119huvipl2kar8g3ma4rv958t54efq@4ax.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>>>I'll give you that one, and I'll even give up the entire thread just
>>>>as long as you can show me that the exceptions are as small as you
>>>>claim. Well?
>>>
>>>How am I supposed to do that? You won't take my "word of mouth"
>>>testimony from the cops I know, and, as far as I know, there isn't any
>>>database accessible on the net which keeps records of such things.
>>
>>
>>Then you have no facts to back up your claim, Dave.
>>
>>
>>>I can't prove that a man walked on the moon, but I accept that it did
>>>happen.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. There are -facts- to back up that statement, therefore you
>>-can- prove that a man walked on the moon.
>
>Really? Then prove to me that a man walked on the moon Frank.

Do you want absolute proof? or just something more substantial than me
saying that someone else told it to me?

>BTW, just because you can pull up thousands of news reports that claim
>it, that does not PROVE it.

So if if -you- pull up thousands of news reports that claim a
stopwatch is almost never used to catch speeders in PA, I should not
accept it as proof. Right? Well then, where does that leave your claim
that a couple cops told you so?

>We accept as fact that an American walked on the moon. But we only
>know it due to reports that were released to us by the news media.

Not to mention all the hams that tracked the mission, the instruments
that were left behind and continued sending data afterwards, the
reflectors used for laser experiments, etc, etc. Nope, none of that
amounts to proof if it isn't consistent with your "core beliefs".

>There was a movie once, Capricorn One was the name, if my memory
>serves me, where NASA, trying to save face (and future funding) in
>light of the mandate to place a man on the moon, found that they just
>weren't ready, so they decided to "fake" it by sending up an empty
>rocket, and then filming the moon shots from a stage in a hanger. The
>drama ensued when the unmanned rocket unexpectedly burned up on
>re-entry and they were forced to kill the astronauts to protect the
>secret.
>
>It was an interesting work of fiction, but it underscores just how
>vulnerable we all are to information that is "provided" to us by the
>news media and the government.
>
>You really need a res fresher course on what is considered a fact.

You need to watch a little less fiction. Especially that paranoid,
conspiracy-theory crap.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3175. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:05:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ciim11l72o4u900tt7e5bjjdj96t2ukjml@4ax.com>
Source record: 196814

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:01:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <li7m1159qk5op582pibaob7ggmlm9kkv5n@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 04:32:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>I'm using it as a classification. Stop picking nits.
>>
>>
>>Then all humans are bipeds regardless of how many legs they have.
>
>Not in every case. Some have birth defects, and others have had
>injuries requiring amputation. Not all humans have two feet. But the
>majority do.

You claimed to use the word "biped" as a classification. Humans are
classified as bipeds. A classification isn't suspended for unnatural
exceptions -- which, BTW, was the basis of your argument. But you were
not making a classification when you claimed that PA cops are
"required" to give leeway to speeders, so your analogy is moot. Even
if your statement -could- be considered a classification, your
argument is -still- flawed because, as I stated before, mechanical
devices and electronic devices are classified differently.

>> But
>>that's not an analogy to the laws of PA since electronic devices are
>>classified -differently- than mechanical devices. So your analogy is
>>like saying that an apple is a banana because they are both fruits.
>
>To your way of thinking perhaps.
>
>I'm not so picky.

That much is obvious, Dave.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3176. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:05:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <iiim11hd7dcqtebfqea6cs3s18intpv8su@4ax.com>
Source record: 196816

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 06:49:47 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <hm6m11phbd65attcp70jv28kt237chsh7t@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 05:00:42 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:23:50 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <66kj11lu2qgbhibp1a4dlfei37beq623bu@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:04:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>>Such as a stopwatch?
>>>>>
>>>>>Not used.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>If it's not used then why are there laws that describe it's use and
>>>>define it's calibration procedures?
>>>
>>>I don't know why. That's a question best left for the LE community.
>>
>>
>>You don't know why? Gee, Dave, you seem to know everything else about
>>methods of speed enforcement in PA, why not that?
>
>I don't know why they don't sit at the side of the road and use a
>stopwatch, and prefer instead to use an electronic device that does
>the math for them. All I know is that they do. For the "whys" you need
>to ask them.

But I'm not asking them, I'm asking you. You have made qualified
statements pertaining to PA law enforcement practices, and on this
particular topic no less. So why -don't- you know the answer?

>>>> And why are there so many police
>>>>departments in other parts of the country that not only use them but
>>>>use them frequently?
>>>
>>>Where is your evidence to support that statement?
>>
>>
>>Google. Just plug in "speed limit", "enforcement" and "stopwatch",
>>then check out some of the 1500+ hits. Stopwatches are used by many

>>law enforcement agencies all around the country because they are
>>simple and reliable. If are too lazy to look them up yourself and want
>>more specific references, I can make a list of those, too.
>
>What happens in other places is irrelevant. All I am concerned with is
>the Commonwealth of Pa.

Is PA so isolated that their law enforcement practices are
significantly different than the rest of the US?

>>>I avoid no facts. The fact is that 3368 (c) paragraph 4 is the law in
>>>this state, and it validates my statement in most cases.
>>
>>
>>The fact is that 3368(c) is the law in PA, it includes both paragraph
>>1 -and- paragraph 4 which invalidates your statement for all cases,
>>and your statement didn't include the clause, "in most cases".
>
>It was implied despite your stubborn refusal to accept it.

Nothing was implied, Dave, as can be seen in your subsequent posts on
the subject. Don't you have a better excuse than "It was implied"?

>>>>Now, back to the topic: If you make a statement but leave out
>>>>something that's relevant -- possibily even contradictory -- to that
>>>>statement, you don't think that's being evasive? Kinda like someone
>>>>gives you a ride somewhere, and halfway there the cops behind you turn
>>>>on their lights and siren, the driver speeds up, then says, "Oh, BTW,
>>>>I just stole this car." By your logic, he had a valid reason -not- to
>>>>mention that the car was stolen because the vast majority of cars
>>>>-aren't- stolen. Right?
>>>
>>>I don't see how that is relevant.
>>>
>>>There is a chance that, on any given day, your car could become
>>>involved in an accident or the airplane you fly on could crash. Do
>>>they tell you this when you board the plane, or when you sign on the
>>>dotted line when buying a car?
>>
>>
>>There is a term called "assumed risk", which is a legal term used to
>>define a situation where a person knows that some risk is unavoidable;
>>i.e, that exceptional circumstances -can- occur. This is contrary to
>>your statement in which you -defined- the circumstances: that they may
>>-not- ticket you for doing 56 in a 55 because they are "required" by
>>law to provide some leeway. Do you not know the meaning of the word
>>"require"? Here, I'll do you the favor of quoting Webster:
>>
>>require -- 1. to insist upon, as by right; demand; order. 2. to demand
>>as necessary; need; as, man -requires- food.
>>

>>Now is there anything in that definition which implies, generally or
>>explicitly, any exceptions? Does it say, "almost always", or "most of
>>the time", or "in a vast majority of cases"? No.
>
>Nonetheless, the state of Pa requires that LEO give at least a 5 mph
>leeway for speeders pursuant to the conditions set forth in 3368(c)
>(4). (Which is most of the time).

You have yet to prove that leeway is given "most of the time", "in the
vast majority of the cases", or any of the other conditions you added
after your statement was proven wrong.

>>Your statement was wrong, Dave. That much is clear to "the vast
>>majority" of people. The only question that remains is how many more
>>excuses you are going to try.
>
>Give it up Frank. You're grasping at straws and are looking
>increasingly more foolish for doing so.

Your plea is a classic indicator that you are running out of excuses.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3177. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:06:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <oiim115abr0dn7mhd4rvlmqbbtvm5tao7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 196815

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:28:21 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <ip7m11h0n6s53f5b8fo9nhc4f3s3q0gncj@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 05:39:57 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:39:19 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <ankj11t5mg5r8m04412sljh1hdnj2ttfbm@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 15:50:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>I don't think so, Dave:
>>>>
>>>>http://www.pacode.com/secure/data/067/chapter105/chap105toc.html
>>>>
>>>>I am glad to see that your person crusade to trash me has pushed you
>>>>into researching sites that you otherwise would have no interest. I
>>>>hope the experience has been educational. But after all that trouble
>>>>it must be a bit disappointing to find that in addition to
>>>>aforementioned speedometers, that a common stopwatch is the only other
>>>>mechanical device you could find.
>>>>
>>>>Considering that a stopwatch has to be stopped and started manually,
>>>>how long do you think a 1 MPH over ticket would last in court when you
>>>>consider the error introduced by reaction time? A stopwatch suffers
>>>>from the same basic limitation that VASCAR does.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>It seems that elemetary school kids are more up to date than you:
>>>>
>>>>http://mathforum.org/elempow/solutions/solution.ehtml?puzzle=75
>>>>
>>>>You should also check out google to see just how often and widespread
>>>>stopwatches are used. I was actually suprised myself.
>>>
>>>All that stated was that school kids could calculate the speed given
>>>the data. They did not address the reaction time element, and how
>>>errors could be introduced as a result.
>>
>>
>>Maybe you missed the comments from Chief Craig:
>>
>>"I am quite happy to see one of my articles put to such good use.
>>In regard to your bonus question - any discrepancy in timing is an

>>issue people commonly ask about. The stopwatch method is very accurate
>>because it relies upon anticipation rather than reaction....."
>
>That means nothing. The difference between anticipation and reaction
>is the point where you decide to "hit" the switch. You are still
>limited by human reaction time constraints. Timing by reaction often
>results in "late" hits. While timing by anticipation often results in
>"early" hits.

Not according to the DOT. They conducted a study that showed how
anticipation was far more accurate than reaction, just as described in
the page I cited. In fact, the DOT provides training material for cops
learning to use a stopwatch. They also describe how accuracy is
improved as the distance between the markers is increased, a tactic
which is used to invalidate arguments made by people trying to
discredit the method based on mathematics. They also note (as stated
in the cited page) that tolerances are granted in favor of the
suspected speeder. This makes the stopwatch method very accurate,
reliable, and fair.

>>>iamnotgeorge posted an excerpt which outlines these errors and how
>>>they could affect the accuracy of the recorded speed. Perhaps you
>>>should review it.
>>
>>
>>Perhaps you should quote it.
>
>Why? Use your newsreader and look it up.

Why should I? It's your reference.

>>>>Once again you reference nameless sources. Like -that's- supposed to
>>>>convince me! Well Dave, I was told by several members of this
>>>>newsgroup that you are "George". Does that make it true? Or even
>>>>credible? Of course not. If you can't reference your sources then
>>>>don't even bother to mention them because facts aren't facts just
>>>>because "some guy says so".
>>>
>>>Oh, it's back to that "if the source is not a recognized authority in
>>>some field, then I can't accept it as fact" argument again?
>>
>>
>>In this case you don't even -cite- the source -- you just claim a few
>>cops say so. That's hearsay.
>>
>>
>>>Then you'd better apply the same standards to your political
>>>arguments, as there is very little verifiable facts there.
>>
>>
>>Only because you choose not to verify the facts for yourself.

>
>I have done more than that. Depending on who tells the story, the
>message is significantly different.

For example?

>>>So basically you are telling me that what I've heard is meaningless
>>>because you don't know the cops who told me?
>>
>>
>>If I said that my neighbor Ralph said that he can receive the thoughts
>>of Scottish Terriers through a metal tooth filling, would you consider
>>it a fact? I don't think so (not unless it coincided with one of your
>>"core beliefs").
>
>Of course not, since the statement is absurd. But if your neighbor
>Ralph told you that he saw a person messing with your car, you might
>be inclined to believe him.

I might be inclined to check my car. That doesn't mean I believe him,
only that I believe that what he said is possible. And since I can
accept the possibility, it would be prudent to verify his story. But
even if his story is absurd, that's not a valid excuse to dismiss the
possibility. I probably wouldn't waste my time trying to verify that
he can hear dogs with his teeth, but if -he- believes that he hears
dogs then I would certainly pay a bit more attention to his behavior.

>>>Well, maybe you can't except it, but I can, since I know the sources
>>>and trust their judgement. They have no reason to lie to me.
>>
>>
>>There's a reason why 'hearsay' is not permitted in court. Maybe you
>>can figure out why.
>
>I know why. But the testimony of recognized people (such as cops) is
>often taken as fact. I guess it all depends on the person's
>credibility. You don't know the cops that I know, therefore you doubt
>their credibility. I, on the other hand, know them well, and some for
>over 25 years. So I take their word as fact.

So I should take their word as fact because -you- do? I don't think
so, Dave. The problem is that I don't take -your- word as fact, mainly
because you never provide any facts other than hearsay (which is
another word I think you should look up before you use it again).

>>>Sure they are. Many sites like that are set up to help people beat
>>>speeding tickets. But some of that information should be considered
>>>skeptically.
>>
>>
>>But why? Are they any less credible than your third-hand claims by
>>anonymous sources? Along the same train of thought, have you found a

>>website yet that can provide some -facts- about Kerry instead of vague
>>emails from nameless people?
>
>I can give you more sites than you'd care to read.

Sock it to me!!!

> Your answer will be
>the same each time.

Another assumption.

> It's funny how you regard the things you don't
>want to hear as "hearsay", "propaganda" etc, yet sites of equal
>"credibility" which support your core beliefs, you are much more
>likely to consider as "facts".

What facts did I provide that could not be verified?

>>>>Wrong. You "speculated" that I'm a liberal. By your own standards,
>>>>that means you must have enough "solid information" about two things;
>>>>first, you must know enough about liberals to be able to classify
>>>>them. I find that doubtful since you are a self-proclaimed
>>>>conservative republican and have repeatedly demonstrated that you have
>>>>no clue as to the precepts of liberalism. Second, you must have enough
>>>>"solid information" about me to know my ideologies, which you clearly
>>>>don't.
>>>
>>>Contrary to your erroneous statement, I know a lot about liberals. I
>>>know how they think, I know what they consider "ideal" and I know why
>>>they think that way. If you are going to fight something, you are best
>>>served knowing the enemy.
>>
>>
>>Then you don't know squat because you took up a fight with someone
>>that wasn't your enemy, Dave.
>>
>>
>>>I called you a liberal, because you voted for one, and vigorously
>>>defended another.
>>
>>
>>Liberals are also known to sleep when they are tired, eat when they
>>are hungry, and shit when their bowels move. I guess that makes -you-
>>a liberal, too, Dave.
>
>Irrelevant and stretching.

It was implied.

> But when you support the political
>philosophies of a liberal, you are either a liberal yourself, someone
>who makes protest votes, or someone who lacks sufficient understanding

>of political ideologies.

Is it beyond the realm of possibility that I can think independently?
That I don't need to have my beliefs dictated to me by any political
or religious ideology? That I refuse to let myself be locked into any
side of a partisan debate? If I happen to agree with a position of one
political party, does that necessarily exclude me from agreeing with
any position from a different party? Or does the basic concept of
'independence' conflict with your "core beliefs"?

>>>Your definition of what you claim to be politically, is a classic case
>>>of denial. It's funny how some liberals are ashamed to admit what they
>>>really are.
>>
>>
>>You must have missed my postings over the past several years -- I have
>>never been ashamed to admit anything. In fact, I've probably admitted
>>more than most people really wanted to know. And after arguing with
>>Twisty for much of that time, I even admitted that he was right on the
>>Bush topics. Yet you can't even admit when you make one single little
>>mistake. It is -you- that is in denial, Dave, not me.
>
>I can very well admit when I've made a mistake, as I am about to do in
>another thread. But so far, you have not made a convincing case that
>I've made any, your opinion notwithstanding.

Ok, then I'll show you just how wrong you are. Here are my opinions
about Kerry:

I think he is/was a Republican shill. He conceded the election early,
before the count was definitive, throwing both the Democratic party
and Edwards totally off guard (and right after Edwards made a speech
that they would not concede the election until all the votes were
counted). Kerry & family then bailed to Governor Arnold's place for a
nice weekend vacation (remember that Arnold was a strong and outspoken
proponent of the Bush campaign). Kerry was also visibly absent during
the Ohio recount, dumping the burden on the rest of the party. And
don't even get me started about his campaign finances!!! But it's not
like this was the first time Kerry has bowed to Republican influences,
since most of his absences from the Senate were during votes for
Republican sponsored bills, and he rarely ever gave any opposition to
any bills that were opposed by his party. Now this isn't to say that I
support the Democratic party, but if he can be a traitor to his own
party then what kind of credibility would he have as president? None.
Does the Democratic party have a chance in 2008 with Howard Dean in
charge? Not unless Bush goes insane on public TV.

And don't even -think- that I would have voted for Bush just because I
don't like Kerry -- as I have pointed out before (and you have
vigorously denied), Bush is a criminal. He's the charismatic imbecile
that was chosen as a figurehead for the Rove administration, as run by
the Vulcans. (BTW, want to know who runs the media? Just look what

happened when 'Enterprise' did a political commentary slamming Bush and (quite literally) the Vulcans. The show was abruptly cancelled despite ratings that would otherwise ensure its survival for at least a couple more seasons.) As for the future, I'll lay 5:1 odds that we are back in Lebanon before the year is over.

You suggested that I'm a liberal because I voted for Nader -- I voted for him because the other choices sucked. Plain and simple.

So how does -that- match up with your "core beliefs", Dave?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3178. THE ULTRA ADVANCED PSYCHOTRONIC MONEY MAGNET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:15:40 UTC
From: ormusalchemy@aol.com
Message-ID: <1109085340.399671.272510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196818

Life Technology Research International®
www.lifetechnology.org
email us at: ormusalchemy@aol.com for more information

The Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ Ultra Advanced Version 1.0
The Ultimate in Causal Engineering Technology
www.lifetechnology.org/ultramoneymagnet.htm

Through the aid of spiritual guidance given to us by the higher forces we have discovered a uniquely different and improved method of creating our well known Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ .The new method was delivered to us through an exceptionally gifted channel and we were astounded by the information that we were privileged to have received. According to the information the new method which we are adopting can raise the subtle energy characteristics and the manifesting capabilities of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ more than one hundred fold.

According to numerous testimonials this powerful device has certainly proved that it has the power to change one's financial situation for the better in the shortest possible time, and it is without doubt the most powerful and successful money generating talisman of all time. The sole function of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ is simply to create opportunities for it's owner to gain money and prosper financially. The longer one possesses this unique cosmic money magnet , the stronger it will become, and the more money it will draw to it's owner.

We have been using this device ourselves for some time now and experienced a massive increase in company income and profits just a few days after the first creation of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ .We have been exceptionally blessed by the higher forces and in particular by the wisdom of The Archangel Mikhail.

Originally Life Technology Research International® had listed these devices as Psychotronic Generators☐ designed to be programmed for various different types of archetypal energy (trend) ,but when we became aware of the massive demand for the money and wealth programmed Psychotronic Generator☐ it was decided to being marketing The Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ as a specifically programmed stand alone device. It is only now that we have been given specific information to create a magical tool that will quite simply transform ones life.

Could The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ become the most powerful metaphysical tool ever created? It is our belief that the most

significant difference between this device and other forms of magickal talisman or life changing catalyst (eg NLP ,Huna , Hypnosis, Kaballah , Magick etc) is its passive mode of operation, ie no conscious input is required from the user, and unlike many other talismans the device will function optimally without any necessity for a certain belief system on the part of the user. The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet□ is so powerful in fact that it will override the belief system of the most hardened sceptic, and will reverse the mindset of the most pessimistic belief system.

Life Technology Research International® has taken this technology of 'Causal Engineering' and using methods based on the developmental work of Pavlita, Rifat , Fortune and many hours of Russian Psychotronics research we convert quartz crystals to Psychotronic Generators□. They amplify, produce and direct bioplasmic energy to modify quantum mechanical collapse, changing the course of events in the macroscopic world. This device uses a multiphase set of fields which apart from altering events, inculcate a theta rhythm of around 7 to 8 Hz, the natural theta frequency of the planet being 7.82. This frequency is the Schumann Resonance, the doorway to the world of causal engineering, ie consciously creating and manifesting ones desires.

The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet□ acts by establishing a new pattern of financial abundance in the subconscious and subtle energy fields of the user by harnessing the lifeforce and wealth enhancing powers of the Hebrew letters of the Kaballah. It is widely recognised in esoteric science and by academics such as James Hurtak and Stan Tenen among others that Hebrew letters through their specific mathematical structural geometry contain information that encodes life force energy, ie it provides an intelligent template for natural creative forces. It is these magical primal creative forces of The Kabbalah that we harness and focus in the preparation of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet□

In the 1920's it was discovered that quartz crystals resonate at 32,768 khz. Seeing that the Great Pyramid of Khufu or Cheops Pyramid, is made mostly of limestone, which stone crystal matrix is mostly quartz, It is proposed that the entire pyramid operates as a conciously programmable cosmic biocomputer or radionics device, a massive Psychotronic Generator□ originally capable of harnessing and directing the focussed intent of the Egyptian priests who were its engineers .

The fact is that everything in the universe vibrates and oscillates. Therefore the connecting link between all forms of energy is vibration, sympathetic harmony and resonance. By studying and applying the principles of vibration we are enabled to see beyond material matter and into the primary creative forces of nature. Utilising the information from diverse fields such as Radionics, Psionics ,Psychotronics ,Quantum Physics ,Sacred Geometry, Sonics ,music and metaphysics has led to an understanding of how the human mind can interact creatively with the material universe.

Essentially, when the biotronic matrix of The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ interacts with the conscious will of the operator it causes subtle changes to occur in the microcosmic universe which translates to manifestation in the macrocosmic universe (real world).

This device will naturally induce a theta state in the user as it alters reality to make money, wealth, abundance and affluence etc. more probable. The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ is charged with the specific programming described above ,or may be programmed with your own specific intent. On request, Life Technology Research International® creates Psychotronic Generators☐ to generate any operational trend field which will facilitate the materialisation of any wish.

The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ may be used in each of the following ways:
Place on a desk or on a bedside table for passive functionality, no input from the user is required.The device is an ideal size to be carried in a pocket or kept in a handbag. It is better that the device should be kept as close as possible to the user, ideally within 2 meters.

The device may also be kept under a pillow while sleeping where archetypal information will permeate and influence the subconscious mind ,or held in the hands or placed on the body while meditating. While relaxing or meditating it is an excellent idea to hold the crystal in either or both hands and focus positively on areas of ones life where positive financial change could occur, ie ones job ,business practices ,salary level etc. Create a mental movie and visualise achieving a wealthy ,prosperous state of existence. In any form of conscious subtle energy manipulation, results will occur through the artificial enhancement of natural processes. It is therefore important to recognise the new opportunities that you will attract to your life which will direct you towards a new paradigm of wealth and prosperity.

Ordering
The Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ supplied is the highest grade clear rock quartz . Please note that this is the much more powerful version of our other Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ for sale at our site and other auctions for $90. Each Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ comes with instructions for use. Each individually created Ultra Advanced Psychotronic Money Magnet☐ is priced at $180 US dollars. International shipping is priced at $10 US Dollars.
Shipping Insurance is optional.

Our 100% customer satisfaction rating at ebay indicates how pleased our customers have been since we began supplying our metaphysical products there. Please visit The Life Technology Ebay Store at http://stores.ebay.com/life-technology

This product may be of interest to students or practitioners of Alchemy ,Kabbalah, Reiki, Homeopathy, Healing, Buddhism, Shamanism, Pagansism, Rife Therapy, Chakra Therapy, Witchcraft, Antropology, Wicca, Magick, Huna, Hypnosis, Nlp, Rosicrucianism, Mysticism, Radionics, Psionics and Psychotronics etc.

For more information visit www.lifetechnology.org/ultramoneymagnet.htm

3179. FS: Uniden 2600 10 mtr mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:17:10 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <w6ISd.7705$Jo5.2060@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196819

Hi All;
Anyone interested in a Uniden 2600 for $100ppd?
Its mint, only problem is a bad element in the mike.
No Manual but have outer box only & DC cable & mobile bracket!
Tnx & 73..
Rich

3180. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:22:56 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B4E50-663@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196820

David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>I wonder how many people stumble on that

>link and think it's about football.....

(Probably close to the same number that have have ignorantly adopted the television definition of 'forensics'.)

>The definition of which as also an accepted

>form.

>It wouldn't be the first time that pop-culture

>has influenced the way we think or the terms

>we use.

(That excuse might work if the focus of Forensics clubs had changed from speech to science. The definition of 'forensic science' is well established and -your- definition is wrong (despite any "core belief" to the contrary).

>Make sure you tell that to the criminalists all

>across the country so they can correct their

>mistake.

Hello David. As you debate with Frank, your insultive demeanor has been noted a few times and you finally seem to have made a slight effort at curbing and controlling your emotional outbursts directed at the poster as opposed to their topic. For that reason, and the fact that I am such an understanding and trusting kind of guy, I decided to give you another chance to exchange your views on this topic, but you must know, you are going back to being ignored the moment you become personally insultive. Of course, that has been your pattern toward each and every person that has shown your claims are wrong, but cbers are great folks and always willing to forgive.
Criminalists aren't the ones misusing the term, as with their work, it is directly involved with legalities, which is excatly what the term denotes. For some reason, you misinterpret the term and misuse it by applying it to situatiions not involving legalities.

>. And be sure to copy the producers of such

>educational shows as "FBI Files", "Forensic

>Files", and even the prime time drams of

>SCI.And be sure to copy the producers of

>such

>educational shows as "FBI Files", "Forensic

>Files", and even the prime time drams of SCI.

The problem is the medium you hold as your source from where you get your information regarding such things. The show you speak of is every bit as Hollywood as Spiderman. Ask anyone who EVER worked in a lab that requires sterile technique, how many women run around in skirts, heels and uncovered hair, and that is only the tip of the iceberg. I understand the term "reality television" not only excites some people, but makes some believe what they are watching is real, but alas, it's still television with a great deal of error and fantasy.

Television can take a concept and run with it to the point it is almost unrecognizable, resulting in viewers permitting television to be their defining, albeit incorrect, source of such a concept, especially if said concept was totally foreign to them prior to the show and the episode was their first introduction to such.
Such behavor is called artistic license and is the norm in Hollywood productions, reality shows or not. Certain instances need be entertaining and interesting to hold the viewers attention, not dry, bland and uninteresting. Unfortunately, in such scenarios, facts often suffer and get clouded, resulting in viewers, such as yourself, holding such Hollywood directing as THE authoritive source.

3181. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:29:27 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B4FD7-666@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196821

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>As most of you know, there has been some

>question as to the legality of Roger Beeps on

>CB radio.

There has never been any question as to the legality of roger beeps by anyone, cber or hammie, other than yourself.

> Some have claimed that they are legal,

Everyone that chimed in on the issue had tried telling you roger beeps were legal.

>while

>others have had some doubts.

Your need to lie about it at this point in your goof is quite telling. No one expressed doubt about this in the group, not one single person, except you. But you go on telling yourself that you had these imaginary supporters, as your ego apparently demands it.

>There are no FCC rules which specifically

>allow them, and very few radios come

>equipped with them.

>The banter back and forth has not resolved

>the issue as it was based purely on

>speculation and lacked any real facts.

Wrong, that was your position that was based on nothing but personal speculation. Again, you were the only one that expressed difficulty with this issue. Stop lying and saying others supported your incorrect assertations, as none did.

>So, I decided to got straight to the authority on
>the subject, and wrote to the FCC for a

>clarification.

>I have finally received a response to the

>question, and with my hat in my hand, I must

>now admit that I was wrong about Roger beep
>use.

Apology accepted.

David T. Hall Jr.
N3CVJ

3182. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:36:35 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B5183-670@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196822

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:13:31 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
(Now I should apologize because it was not my intent to attack you. Dave
was my target.)

>That much was obvious. Why I should be

>anyone's "target" sheds light on their true

>motives.

Yet, admittedly, you make other poster's personal lives -your- admitted
target. Just like everyone told you were wrong regarding your position
on roger beep legalities, more and more are recognizing and calling you
on your blatant hypocrisy.
.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

3183. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:39:45 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22601-421B5241-249@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196823

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>Poor analogy. They still are require by law to

>give at least 5 MPH leeway before writing a

>ticket under most conditions.

"Geo" just posted a passage claiming they give a THREE to five MPH
leeway. You can't both be right.

3184. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:46:42 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22601-421B53E2-251@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196824

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:04:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>There is a chance that, on any given day, your
>car could become involved in an accident or

>the airplane you fly on could crash. Do they

>tell you this when you board the plane,

It is glaringly apparent you never read your responsibilities when
purchasing an airline ticket regarding liability and related issues.
I'll bet you are the type of guy who tosses assembly instructions in the
trash, and after an hour or more of frustrating self-limitation, fish
through the trash to retrieve the instructions that are now covered in
kitty litter and two day old cookie dough.

>Dave

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

3185. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:53:22 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B5572-672@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196825

David T. Hall Jr. wrote:

>It's a simple matter of priorities. Opposing

>illegal radio operation is not as important as

>opposing George W. Bush and the war on

>terror.

Your excuses are gone. With the dx on the wane, I'm more legal than yourself.

>In this vein, former adversaries are now

>aligned and in sync, even if out of phase.

Actually, you never had a clue about anything that occurred outside this group between anyone besides yourself and N8WWM, but your wild stabs in the dark with your crayon is testament a-plenty.

>But like in world politics, beware of who you

>go to bed with....

With the legality issue freshly torn from your pad of excuses from which you cower, your motives have never been more clearly illustrated.

>Dave

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

3186. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 15:59:40 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B56EC-673@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196826

David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>Nonetheless, the state of Pa requires that

>LEO give at least a 5 mph leeway for

>speeders pursuant to the conditions set forth

>in 3368(c) (4). (Which is most of the time).

Again, "Geo" just presented a passage that said THREE to five MPH. Both of you can not be correct.

(Your statement was wrong, Dave. That much is clear to "the vast majority" of people. The only question that remains is how many more excuses you are going to try.)

>Give it up Frank. You're grasping at straws

>and are looking increasingly more foolish for

>doing so.

Once again, you illustrate your view is in the extreme minority. It is yourself who has been shown he was wrong concerning the law governing the hobby in which you said you pride yourself on being informed. this took place even when stubbornly availing yourself of the collective intellect of the majority of the group, who told you the information you held was wrong. Once again, you are wrong concerning the law of which you speak and (sigh) claim you are so adept.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

3187. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 16:04:39 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B5817-674@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196828

David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
(There's a reason why 'hearsay' is not permitted in court. Maybe you can figure out why.)

>I know why. But the testimony of recognized

>people (such as cops) is often taken as fact.

Only the testimony of cops is not questioned here, only your incorrect claim.

> I guess it all depends on the person's

>credibility. You don't know the cops that I

>know, therefore you doubt their credibility.

It is -your- credibility that is doubted, David not any cop's.

>I, on the other hand, know them well, and

>some for over 25 years. So I take their word

>as fact.

Directly contradicting your claim you believe in no absolutes. Again, it isn't their word, it's yours.

3188. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 16:08:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B58E6-676@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196827

David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote to Frank Gilliland:
>You are also responding somewhat

>predictably. I can almost guess what your

>responses will be. It's fun to watch actually

What is predictable, is the manner in which you deteriorate whenever your logic and hypocrisy is illustrated effectively by one who is capable.

3189. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 16:14:21 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B5A5D-678@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196829

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 21 Feb 2005 13:17:02 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
On Sat, 19 Feb 2005 10:00:38 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:
Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
news:e4fe1157c7t8v6kqidk8eh99n73t7iomgn@4ax.com:
(Twisty has his own dialog with Dave. As for the argument between Dave
and myself, the only person jumping in is you.)

>There you go frank trying to act like twisty,

>twisty has had the same stale dialog and been
>involved in the same thread as you and dave,

>the only difference is tipsy isnt on your ass this
>month, somehow you guys have sucked up to

>each other after years of arguing. And he is a

>messiah to you.

>>It's a simple matter of priorities. Opposing

>>illegal radio operation is not as important

That has been a guise by a select few hams to post homo crap here for
years. The ironic part is their leader is a felon repeater jammer that
has a court ordered shrink. Not reading google posts has helped viewing
this group immensely.
>Actually, it would seem that the "homo crap"

>was a response to Twisty's nonsensical rants.

Blaming Twisty for your ticks, twitches, spasms and convulsions is all
good and core entertainment, yet, N8's behavior in which you defend has
been directed at other posters over the past several months, took place
on many weekends, and escalated over the holidays, but your hand in the
mess is acknowledged with your comical attempts like above.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

3190. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 16:41:49 UTC
From: Richard <Anonymous@127.001>
Message-ID: <cvfnf00mlb@news2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 196833

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 08:18:46 -0500 Dave Hall wrote:

> As most of you know, there has been some question as to the legality
> of Roger Beeps on CB radio. Some have claimed that they are legal,
> while others have had some doubts. There are no FCC rules which
> specifically allow them, and very few radios come equipped with them.

I believe it's been stated in this group by a number of persons that "roger beep" is ILLEGAL.
It has also been pointed that internal mods are also illegal.
This is one of the reasons that radios sold as ham radios that are converted over, are illegal.
As it is necessary to modify the internal workings.
IOW, removing the screws from the case may void the certification.
This does not mean that minor repairs and tuning are illegal.

You state that there is no rule which forbids the use of "Roger beep".
How very wrong.
Within the very body of the material you are given with a new unit, it clearly states that practically any item which is NOT standard voice audio, is ILLEGAL.
With the exception of morse code.
This includes singing, whistling, and other noises designed to be a nuisance.
"Roger Beep" is only legal at the END of a transmission as you release the key.

3191. Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 16:47:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22600-421B623B-690@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196832

http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson

3192. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 16:49:49 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <tsnm11t1u6suln64quiurbsllhlurl4ids@4ax.com>
Source record: 196830

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:05:57 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 06:49:47 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <hm6m11phbd65attcp70jv28kt237chsh7t@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 05:00:42 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:23:50 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>wrote in <66kj11lu2qgbhibp1a4dlfei37beq623bu@4ax.com>:
>>>
>>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:04:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>>>>>Such as a stopwatch?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Not used.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>If it's not used then why are there laws that describe it's use and
>>>>>define it's calibration procedures?
>>>>
>>>>I don't know why. That's a question best left for the LE community.
>>>
>>>
>>>You don't know why? Gee, Dave, you seem to know everything else about
>>>methods of speed enforcement in PA, why not that?
>>
>>I don't know why they don't sit at the side of the road and use a
>>stopwatch, and prefer instead to use an electronic device that does
>>the math for them. All I know is that they do. For the "whys" you need
>>to ask them.
>
>
>But I'm not asking them, I'm asking you. You have made qualified
>statements pertaining to PA law enforcement practices, and on this
>particular topic no less. So why -don't- you know the answer?

I'm not a cop, nor do I care to know why. I accept it as SOP that this
is the way things are done. The "why's" are irrelevant.

>>What happens in other places is irrelevant. All I am concerned with is
>>the Commonwealth of Pa.

>
>
>Is PA so isolated that their law enforcement practices are
>significantly different than the rest of the US?

I don't know. I'm not all that interested in how other states do
things. But I do know how things are done where I live.

>>>>I avoid no facts. The fact is that 3368 (c) paragraph 4 is the law in
>>>>this state, and it validates my statement in most cases.
>>>
>>>
>>>The fact is that 3368(c) is the law in PA, it includes both paragraph
>>>1 -and- paragraph 4 which invalidates your statement for all cases,
>>>and your statement didn't include the clause, "in most cases".
>>
>>It was implied despite your stubborn refusal to accept it.
>
>
>Nothing was implied, Dave, as can be seen in your subsequent posts on
>the subject. Don't you have a better excuse than "It was implied"?

Do you have a better excuse than to claim that a single Barney Fife in
a small backwater town sitting by the side of the road holding a
stopwatch is going to invalidate my claim for the majority of the rest
of the cases?

>>>require -- 1. to insist upon, as by right; demand; order. 2. to demand
>>>as necessary; need; as, man -requires- food.
>>>
>>>Now is there anything in that definition which implies, generally or
>>>explicitly, any exceptions? Does it say, "almost always", or "most of
>>>the time", or "in a vast majority of cases"? No.
>>
>>Nonetheless, the state of Pa requires that LEO give at least a 5 mph
>>leeway for speeders pursuant to the conditions set forth in 3368(c)
>>(4). (Which is most of the time).
>
>
>You have yet to prove that leeway is given "most of the time", "in the
>vast majority of the cases", or any of the other conditions you added
>after your statement was proven wrong.

3368(c)(4) clearly defines those cases.

Find me any case of someone getting a ticket for less than 5 MPH over.

>>>Your statement was wrong, Dave. That much is clear to "the vast
>>>majority" of people. The only question that remains is how many more
>>>excuses you are going to try.
>>
>>Give it up Frank. You're grasping at straws and are looking

>>increasingly more foolish for doing so.
>
>
>Your plea is a classic indicator that you are running out of excuses.

My "excuse" is the same as it has always been. Statute 3368. You cannot argue the clearly worded fact that it states that under the conditions of electrical and electronic devices that they must give at least 5 MPH "leeway".

I never said "all the time", because every rule has an exception. And you are attempting to base your claim on that exception rather than the rule.

You're excuse then became that "well they can use a stopwatch" (giggle). But you have thus far failed to provide any examples of either locales in this state which routinely use a stopwatch, or any people who have gotten a ticket for under 5 MPH over the limit, or any other case which would show that my statement would not apply in the vast majority of cases.

You're list of excuses has now run down to now just blurting out that I am wrong, while heretofore failing to effectively prove it.

You can call me wrong until you're blue in the face, but it doesn't make it so.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3193. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 16:52:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9mom115d46vlv47gihcdgvem3d0ar25hb2@4ax.com>
Source record: 196831

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 10:59:40 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Once again, you illustrate your view is in the extreme minority. It is
>yourself who has been shown he was wrong concerning the law governing
>the hobby in which you said you pride yourself on being informed. this
>took place even when stubbornly availing yourself of the collective
>intellect of the majority of the group, who told you the information you
>held was wrong. Once again, you are wrong concerning the law of which
>you speak and (sigh) claim you are so adept.
>

Do you have that written down on a manuscript somewhere? I truly
believe you will have that written on your headstone when you die....

I can autograph it for you if you wish.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3194. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 17:03:13 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <bepm11dmedbba37uhh3soh6r52oanetvp1@4ax.com>
Source record: 196834

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 08:09:44 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <k7bm11ha9f0r0ov2vrsh31krciuk8v95jg@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:03:18 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 09:26:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <ntqj11pk17jojok0t0q8694jv2qaj5iiln@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 17:13:31 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Frank, I have nothing against you or Dave. Dave has helped me quite a lot. I
>>>>appreciate that, who ever the person is that helps me.
>>>>
>>>>Then let me stop you right there. Dave does know his CB radios, and he
>>>>knows them a lot better than most people in this group, including (and
>>>>especially) myself.
>>>
>>>Thanks for the flowers (i think). But if you really felt that way, why
>>>are you intently arguing with me about my experience with the UPD 858
>>>SSB chassis radios, based on nothing more than a single service
>>>manual?
>>
>>I said you know your CB radios. I didn't say you know the theory
>>behind their function. Everything you have said so far proves that, in
>>fact, you don't.
>
>A conclusion that is yours alone. My reputation and experience speaks
>for itself in this arena.

Your reputation is that you are familiar with radio mods. Your
experience reflects only your reputation, and seriously detracts from
any claims about your education.

>>>>Now I should apologize because it was not my intent to attack you.
>>>>Dave was my target.
>>>
>>>That much was obvious. Why I should be anyone's "target" sheds light
>>>on their true motives.
>>
>>I agree. Which explains why you jumped my shit when I criticized Bush.

>
>Is that what you think? You need to go back and seriously re-read that
>stuff again. Yes, I disagreed with you, but it wasn't a malicious
>personal attack followed by a vendetta of smear.

Not at first. But after a few civilized posts you started implying
that I was some sort of left-wing fanatic, culminating in a blatant
accusation that I was a half-baked, fully-biased liberal. And by your
own admission about what you think about left-wingers and liberals,
that was most definately a smear. You started it, Dave -- don't go
putting the blame on me just because you have a warped perspective.

>>>> But once in a while a
>>>>person like Dave gets to thinking that he is some sort of alpha male,
>>>>sometimes manifested as a delusion of intellectual superiority just
>>>>like you describe.
>>>
>>>Let's address this curious accusation. First off, I do have some
>>>"seniority" on this group, so I have been around for a while. But that
>>>alone does not mean anything.
>>
>>
>>It doesn't mean anything -- period.
>>
>>
>>> But you are right, alot of people here
>>>are full of crap, and try to promote "CB science" radio myths. I try
>>>to set them straight. If that makes me an "alpha male", then it
>>>applies to you as well, as you've done the same thing. So is what
>>>you're saying essentially, is that you are now challenging my position
>>>as "alpha male", by utilizing a more aggressive form of the same
>>>traits you attribute to me?
>>
>>
>>Hardly, and I can prove it by merely proposing that we take this to
>>email. But I have already made that proposal and you rejected it.
>
>When?

When you said, "I have no need or desire to take this to E-mail."

> Besides, I told you before, there's nothing you can tell me that
>you haven't already littered this group with. Most of it is B.S. left
>wing, "hate-Bush-at-all-counts" propaganda.

Yet I have said good things about Bush. Has your memory been distorted
by your "core beliefs"?

>>>Remember, YOU made this personal.
>>
>>
>>WRONG. I made some comments about Bush that -YOU- took personally,

>>which is where this all started.
>
>No, I'm not the one chasing you and interjecting berating comments and
>attempting to make hay of the simplest statements. YOU took it
>personally and have been chasing me since.

It takes two to tango, Dave. If you didn't have something to prove (or
defend), then why bother to reply to my posts? If your "facts" were
indeed facts then they could stand on their own merit. But they aren't
facts at all, hence your need to watch over them like a house of cards
and defend them against every little puff of smoke I blow in their
direction.

>>> Anytime you want to stop your silly
>>>crusade against me and attacking every point I make on various
>>>threads, I can resume some form of civility with you.
>>
>>
>>But I -don't- attack every point you make, Dave. I attack only the
>>points that are in direct contradiction to the claim that you base
>>your conclusions on facts and logic.
>
>Which is most things.

You said it, Dave! LOL!

> I also use statistics and probability.

So do I, but not when facts and logic best define the truth. And
unlike you, I -don't- use stats or probability to disuade -against-
facts and logic.

>>>> The response he receives is nothing more than a
>>>>normal societal reaction to put him back in his place.
>>>
>>>And what "place" is that?
>>
>>
>>As one of the more intellectual people on this group.
>
>As defined by whom?

By me. It's clear that you are quite intelligent. It's a shame you
abuse it so much because you could really make a difference in the
world if you could use your brains instead of abusing them.

>>>> Either he gets
>>>>the message and starts behaving himself
>>>
>>>"Behave myself"? In what way have I ever "misbehaved? Disagreeing with
>>>your political ideology doesn't count.
>>
>>

>>You misbehaved when you started practicing fallacious logic and
>>disregarding indepentantly verifiable facts in favor of your "core
>>beliefs".
>
>In your opinion.

Your logical fallacies are not subject to opinion, Dave. They are
documented fact.

> But in any case, how is that any different from
>anyone else here?

Because you are more intelligent than most, which means you are held
to a higher standard. Was this not explained to you when you were a
child?

>>Which is exactly what the prevalent social order did to Galileo,
>>Columbus, Aristotle, and a host of other people who -did- have the
>>facts but were dismissed in favor of "core beliefs".
>
>Without principles, you have nothing.

You have mighty warped principles if any of their precepts is to
conceal truth.

>>>The facts are that you are chasing me. I'm not chasing you.
>>
>>
>>Because it's my "core belief" that you really -are- intelligent, and
>>unless someone challenges that intelligence you will just ignore it
>>(like you ignore facts and logic) until your gray matter falls into
>>disrepair. That would be a waste, IMHO.
>
>It's not your job to be my nanny, so stop applying for the job, and
>get back to the one you supposedly have.

But you -like- it, Dave. If you didn't you wouldn't be responding to
my every post. I provide you with mental challenges, which are
evidently missing from your off-line life. There's no shame in
admitting that life is really friggin boring when you're smart. You
just have to get past the overcompensated ego thing and you'll be all
set for some -real- challenges!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3195. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 17:03:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kepm11trkmekoavojnbi42kvnbanvgmln7@4ax.com>
Source record: 196835

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:58:31 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <fb9m1112e31pnc6ro82dpr2ps2b8f30t9j@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 06:29:00 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>Frank, I am not going to waste my time chasing the opinions of some
>>>journalist.
>>
>>
>>Maybe that's your problem -- you can't differentiate between fact and
>>opinion.
>
>No, I believe that's YOUR problem.

Rubber and glue..... =-(yawn)-=

>> You see, Dave, some media source might state, "Bush ducked
>>the media today after yesterdays White House statement claiming that
>>outsourcing jobs is good for the economy". That statement is obviously
>>an opinion. Yet it contains a fact that can be verified; namely, the
>>White House statement supporting job outsourcing. So if you weren't a
>>hypcrite looking for excuses to avoid learning facts that conflict
>>with your "core beliefs", you could find and verify the FACT that such
>>a statement WAS made, and that it is a fact -regardless- of the source
>>of the opinion in which it was referenced.
>
>Ah, yes, the "peeling away the layers of the onion theory" I am well
>versed in the practice and I do it all the time.

I can believe that you peel a lot of onions.....

> When you apply it to
>all the smear which has been hurled at Bush, the nugget of fact
>underneath those layers is often very small, and doesn't support the
>erroneous and highly opinionated conclusion of the reporter.

Not until you find it's proper place in the jigsaw puzzle.

>>>>We
>>>>have done this ping-pong game several times before but it seems you
>>>>just can't get enough of it. It's obvious that you fear the facts, but
>>>>your 'truth-by-repitition' plan just isn't working. Don't you know by
>>>>now that I'm just going to come back and set you straight every time
>>>>you project your intellectual failures on me?
>>>

>>>Interesting choice of words. It is you who keep relying on the "truth
>>>by repetition" fallacy. No matter how many times you claim to have the
>>>facts, the true fact is that all you have are the opinions and
>>>conclusions of OTHER people. You can list a dozen web sites and
>>>articles that "verify" the same "fact", but they are no more credible
>>>than the National Enquirer.
>>
>>
>>If that were true then the National Enquirer would be considered just
>>as credible as any other source of news. It isn't, therefore your
>>statement is bogus.
>
>The only difference is that the National Enquirer is considered by
>most intelligent people to be "sensational journalism".

Most intelligent people wouldn't even call it 'journalism'.

> The other
>sources just haven't been fully exposed yet. But the lid is slowly
>lifting.

......oh no...

> After Jayson Blair of the New York Times, and things like
>"Rathergate", the American people are slowly realizing that the news
>media is not objectively reporting facts, they are spinning the facts
>to promote their own political agendas.
>
>And you fall for it.

Yeah, I suppose you're right -- Elvis's alien offspring are -really-
in charge of this country, two-headed chickens are side effects from
militant left-wing mind control experiments, and Atlantis is due to
rise up from the sea any day now.

>>> And to think you cast off my sources as
>>>"propaganda", yet stick so emphatically to your sources as "absolute
>>>fact". That's a laugh Frank.
>>
>>
>>I noticed you qualified my remarks without my permission. Yet another
>>tactic to rationalize your bias.
>
>What permission?

What remarks?

>>>Unless you have connections inside the government, you have to base
>>>what you believe as "fact" on the accuracy of reporters and other
>>>"mouthpieces". If you can't see how this invites a great deal of spin
>>>and manipulation, you are more hopeless than I thought.
>>
>>

>>So -your- facts have been gleaned from sources inside the government?
>
>No.

Of course not -- they come from the National Enquirer.

>>>So tell me one more time about your "facts".
>>
>>
>>Eight times wasn't enough?
>
>Well, if you tell a lie often enough, sooner or later some will start
>to believe it.

I already know that's what you think, since you have been playing the
'truth by repitition' fallacy since the beginning. But it doesn't work
on me. As I asked before, who is it that you -are- trying to convince?

> I'm just a little more stubborn and objective.

No, you are naive. You are naive to think that such lame tactics will
work when they haven't worked for anyone else in this newsgroup.

>>>>All my facts were referenced and can be cross-referenced to a wide
>>>>number of unbiased sources
>>>
>>>Says who? Who determined that these sources are "unbiased"?
>>
>>
>>You do. Despite your vehement declarations that any media source is
>>biased if it does not support your "core beliefs", there are plenty of
>>unbiased sources, not the least of which are republican sources that
>>openly admit certain things to be factual. One such example being the
>>Bush administration's low-keyed but clear declaration that there were
>>no WMDs in Iraq, a fact which you have yet to admit yourself.
>
>What the hell are you talking about? So far no WMD have been found.
>
>What does that prove? They were never there? Or maybe they were hidden
>very well. Remember that absence of evidence is not necessarily
>evidence of absence.

Thank you for proving my point on -both- issues!

>Once again, hindsight is always 20/20. We didn't know what we know now
>in 2003. Another fact that you conveniently ignore is that most of the
>leading voices in our congress (democrats specially when Clinton was
>in office) and the leaders of the world agreed that the existence of
>Iraqi WMD was highly probable, as far back as 1998. Would you like to
>see the quotes?

Yet you ignore the possibility that they -didn't- exist. Ironically,
you base your belief on the -absence- of evidence. IOW, the weapons

existed because there is no proof they didn't. And Kerry is a criminal
because there is no proof he isn't. Argumentum ad ignorantiam.

>>>> Your 'fact' consisted of a website with a
>>>>forged document.
>>>
>>>That was one of MANY.
>>
>>
>>By your -own- standards, you can't expect anyone to give any more
>>credibility to that website than you do to CBS news.
>
>No. But you seem to.

Are you aware that you just contradicted yourself? Or should I say you
'flip-flopped'?

>>>>> You tend to believe one side, while I tend to favor
>>>>>the other. There is nothing wrong with that. But don't tell me I'm
>>>>>wrong because I don't believe the same stuff you do.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I never did. You claimed your beliefs were based on facts. I gave you
>>>>facts.
>>>
>>>No you gave counter opinions.
>>
>>
>>Bush was never convicted of a DUI? He didn't fail twice in the oil
>>business?
>
>Factual, but irrelevant.

Once again you try to invoke 'truth by repitition', and once again you
failed. So what happened to "statistical probability"?

> Clinton smoked pot and never inhaled. He
>dodged the draft and played hide the cigar with Monica Lewinsky. But
>what does it all matter to the important issues of the day?

Clinton isn't the subject. Stay focused, Dave.

>> ......oh hell, we've been through this several times before
>>Dave, and each time I have proven that what I stated were indeed
>>facts. You simply refuse to accept them despite the evidence.
>
>The facts that you do provide are irrelevant. The accounts that you
>provide which are relevant are not completely factual, and have a
>great deal of spin associated with them.

You have said that at least a dozen times. When are you going to get
around to proving it? Or can't you prove it?

>>>>You discarded them as "biased" without even trying to verify
>>>>them as facts.
>>>
>>>Who said I didn't try?
>>
>>
>>I did. Pay attention, Dave.
>
>Then you're lying then.

I said, "You discarded them as 'biased' without even trying to verify
them...". It's fairly evident that I said you didn't try. So how is
that a lie?

>>> I recognized the same old worn out excuses,
>>>that I've heard since the Iraq war started.
>>
>>
>>And it's clear that you didn't bother to check them out then, either.
>>Now those "old worn out excuses" are yours because of your inability
>>to accept just the -possibility- that your political leader was
>>leading you, and the rest of the country, in a very bad direction.
>
>Of course that's a possibility. But it's too early in the game to make
>a positive determination.

LOL! You're a card, Dave!

> You and I can speculate as to the true
>motives of the war, and whether it was the "right" direction. But
>there are no facts either way, because history is still being written.
>Terrorism is real. Iraq is real. Bush took a strong stand and I
>believe it was the right one.

Any president would have taken a strong stand after the 9/11 attack.
But the fact remains: Saddam never attacked us!!!

>>>>You didn't even TRY, Dave. You lied -- your beliefs are
>>>>NOT based on facts -- on the contrary, your facts are based on your
>>>>BELIEFS.
>>>
>>>No more than yours are Frank.
>>
>>
>>And that's why I changed my position on the issue, right?
>
>And I did?

You're tired and confused, Dave. Take a day or two off.

>>>>>> For an intelligent person like
>>>>>>him (or yourself, for that matter) to fall back on "core beliefs" as
>>>>>>an excuse to dismiss facts and logic is bogus, and it undermines the
>>>>>>credibility of any argument against keyclowns who use the very same

>>>>>>tactics.
>>>>>
>>>>>I can employ logic in the same way that you can. But when the topic
>>>>>that yo use your logic on are unverifiable, what good is logic when
>>>>>the premise's are faulty?
>>>
>>>>No good at all, which is what I taught you by using your own arguments
>>>>as examples. Or is your memory as faulty as your logic?
>>>
>>>You taught me nothing. You are blinded by your own partisanship.
>>
>>
>>I told you before, Dave -- truth by repitition doesn't work. And since
>>I know you aren't trying to convince me, who is it you -are- trying to
>>convince? Yourself?
>
>You make a valid point. So I ask you then, who are YOU trying to
>convince?

Well, since I'm perfectly willing to take this to email, who do you
think I'm trying to convince?

>>>Please. I wrote up a fairly accurate analysis of Twisty's
>>>psychological issues more than 2 years ago. I do not "employ" the
>>>tactics of Twisty, I call attention to them.
>>
>>
>>So you are a psychologist as well as an engineer?
>
>No, but the study of human behavior is one of my "hobbies".

That's why you think you know so much about liberals, huh?

>One can experiment with computers and radio without being an engineer.
>One can build structures without being a carpenter. One can add a hot
>tub to the back deck without being a plumber. In the same vein, one
>can recognize certain behavioral traits without being a licensed
>psychologist.

If you practice psychology then you are a psychologist. I never said
anything about being licensed.

>I sure hit a sore spot with Twisty. You are also responding somewhat
>predictably. I can almost guess what your responses will be. It's fun
>to watch actually.

Actually, your responses are predictable. You get attacked from
someone using a certain tactic then you adopt that tactic for your own
use in a counter-attack. You are attempting to use a tactic I employed
a couple weeks ago, but because you are so predictable the tactic
isn't working for you. Wanna know what you are going to do next?

>>>>>This is a public forum not e-mail Frank. Everyone is welcome to
>>>>>participate. If you wanted a private exchange to honestly discuss
>>>>>methodologies, you could have e-mailed me at any time. The fact that
>>>>>you chose instead to attempt to belittle me in a public forum
>>>>>invalidates any right you may claim to exclusivity in these
>>>>>discussions.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>That works both ways, Dave. But you would never take this to email
>>>>because your ego is at stake -- you could never face the facts in a
>>>>private forum without the support of your lap-dog and imaginary
>>>>admirers.
>>>
>>>Ego? Please (again). This showcases your emotional attachment to this
>>>whole situation.
>>>
>>>First off Frank, I have no need or desire to take this to E-mail.
>>
>>
>>That's obvious, for the reasons I already stated.
>
>No, because I'm just not that interested.

So -that's- why you respond to nearly every one of my posts -- because
you aren't interested! By golly, I -knew- there had to be a reason....

>>> I'm
>>>not the one who desires enlightenment. You have been chasing me since
>>>the election, and have grown bitter, crass, and highly emotional. Very
>>>atypical from how you used to behave.
>>
>>
>>.....um, I hate to break this to you, Dave, but I have -always- been
>>bitter, crass and highly emotional, as anyone here can attest.
>
>No, actually you weren't. You used straight up, no-nonsense, and
>direct. Now you are bitter, sarcastic, condescending, insulting, and
>seething with an emotional connection.

You have proven time and time again that you haven't read many of my
posts, whether they were of me defending Bush against Twisty, my
personal experiences in the military, or my sarcastic banter with
other know-it-all's like myself. I suppose your "core beliefs" just
wouldn't allow you to read those posts, huh?

>> The
>>only reason you see a difference is because my boot is up -your- ass
>>instead of someone else's.
>
>That makes no difference.

It makes a -big- difference, and the proof is the fact that you now
respond to almost every one of my posts.

>>> I seriously stung you (And it
>>>was not intentional. I had more respect for you at the time), and
>>>you've been chasing me like a rabid dog ever since. From
>>>troubleshooting radios, to speed traps, to who know what else, If I
>>>say "zig", you say "zag", and make every effort to try (however
>>>poorly) to prove me wrong. You've even aligned yourself with one of
>>>your worst former adversaries in the pursuit of this goal.
>>
>>
>>You claimed your beliefs were based on facts and logic. You lied.
>>That's what it all boils down to. You're a hypocrite, Dave -- pure and
>>simple.
>
>No, my beliefs are based on principles and morals. Logic and facts
>only support those beliefs.

Have you ever read Frazer?

>>>Right now I am enjoying your attention. Eventually, I'll tire of it,
>>>and I will just stop responding, in the same vein that I treat Twisty.
>>
>>
>>You claimed that you would ignore Twisty many years ago, yet you still
>>engage him on cue.
>
>And then once I've given him my few punches between the eyes, I stop
>responding.

Usually because you run dry of excuses.

>> But I do believe that you will eventually ignore me
>>when you finally run out of excuses, just as I predicted in an earlier
>>post.
>
>You're right, but the excuses will be yours. You've just about run out
>of spin in the Pa. speed law issue.

What spin? You made a statement. I proved that your statement was
wrong. Since then you have been coming up with every excuse in the
book to defend it, and it seems you are going to rest with "it was
implied". I can let it go there, since that's about the lamest excuse
in the book. Only one is lamer -- Ollie North: "I don't recall".

>Then you will invent another topic to try to start things up again.
>That will be my prediction.

The only topic I'm discussing is your ignorance.

>Now get to work. You did say you would not be around as much. Or was
>that a lie too?

Again, you just proved that you have poor reading comprehension.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3196. ARRL HANDBOOK 2005 CD VERSION POSTED ON >ALT.BINARIES.CD.IMAGE<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 17:12:45 UTC
From: I love roger beeps <echo@echo.net>
Message-ID: <bspm119gdq1suj45apge9epc1iv1hvtqv9@4ax.com>
Source record: 196913

Get it while the getting is good.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3197. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 17:39:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <38pm11hui8olgfekh6kkqt1oboulm6srld@4ax.com>
Source record: 196836

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:06:03 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>That means nothing. The difference between anticipation and reaction
>>is the point where you decide to "hit" the switch. You are still
>>limited by human reaction time constraints. Timing by reaction often
>>results in "late" hits. While timing by anticipation often results in
>>"early" hits.
>
>
>Not according to the DOT. They conducted a study that showed how
>anticipation was far more accurate than reaction, just as described in
>the page I cited. In fact, the DOT provides training material for cops
>learning to use a stopwatch. They also describe how accuracy is
>improved as the distance between the markers is increased, a tactic
>which is used to invalidate arguments made by people trying to
>discredit the method based on mathematics. They also note (as stated
>in the cited page) that tolerances are granted in favor of the
>suspected speeder.

Tolerances eh? Like maybe 5 mph over? Maybe you're finally waking up
to why this is done.

> This makes the stopwatch method very accurate,
>reliable, and fair.

No kidding. The longer the distance between the points, the less of an
effect the reaction error will make on the calculation. As a
performance boater, we often make timed runs on a calibrated 1/2 mile
run, and time the results with a stopwatch. I am fully aware of how it
is done. At 1/2 mile, the reaction time error is virtually
meaningless. But that's hardly practical for enforcing speed.

>>>>iamnotgeorge posted an excerpt which outlines these errors and how
>>>>they could affect the accuracy of the recorded speed. Perhaps you
>>>>should review it.
>>>
>>>
>>>Perhaps you should quote it.
>>
>>Why? Use your newsreader and look it up.
>
>
>Why should I? It's your reference.

Then I'll let him repost it, if he wants.
>>>
>>>
>>>Only because you choose not to verify the facts for yourself.
>>
>>I have done more than that. Depending on who tells the story, the
>>message is significantly different.
>
>
>For example?

Frank, I do not have the time nor the interest in getting into the
meat of this with you. Seeing how you've latched on to this no-win
topic with the teeth of a pit-bull, I'd be an idiot to think you'd
grant me any due consideration. I really don't feel like getting
involved in the intellectual equivalent of banging my head against the
wall with you. You will believe what you want to believe, and I will
do likewise. I'll let history be the judge of who was right.

>>>>So basically you are telling me that what I've heard is meaningless
>>>>because you don't know the cops who told me?
>>>
>>>
>>>If I said that my neighbor Ralph said that he can receive the thoughts
>>>of Scottish Terriers through a metal tooth filling, would you consider
>>>it a fact? I don't think so (not unless it coincided with one of your
>>>"core beliefs").
>>
>>Of course not, since the statement is absurd. But if your neighbor
>>Ralph told you that he saw a person messing with your car, you might
>>be inclined to believe him.
>
>
>I might be inclined to check my car.

You'd be an idiot not to.

>That doesn't mean I believe him,
>only that I believe that what he said is possible.

So you are basically saying that it's not possible that Pa. cops give
5 MPH leeway for speeding violations?

> And since I can
>accept the possibility, it would be prudent to verify his story. But
>even if his story is absurd, that's not a valid excuse to dismiss the
>possibility.

Sure it is. When something does not cross the degree of probability
threshold, it's better left to those who rant and rave about saucer
men.

> I probably wouldn't waste my time trying to verify that
>he can hear dogs with his teeth, but if -he- believes that he hears

>dogs then I would certainly pay a bit more attention to his behavior.

I would too, and I'd make sure my kids stay away from him......

>>I know why. But the testimony of recognized people (such as cops) is
>>often taken as fact. I guess it all depends on the person's
>>credibility. You don't know the cops that I know, therefore you doubt
>>their credibility. I, on the other hand, know them well, and some for
>>over 25 years. So I take their word as fact.
>
>
>So I should take their word as fact because -you- do? I don't think
>so, Dave. The problem is that I don't take -your- word as fact, mainly
>because you never provide any facts other than hearsay (which is
>another word I think you should look up before you use it again).

Frank, you really need to quit your condescending attitude when it
comes to the definitions of words. I have handed you your head on a
platter for every word you have ever accused me of using incorrectly,
the latest being "forensics". Sorry if you are a "purist" and can't
accept that words have many definitions, but that's reality.

>>>>Sure they are. Many sites like that are set up to help people beat
>>>>speeding tickets. But some of that information should be considered
>>>>skeptically.
>>>
>>>
>>>But why? Are they any less credible than your third-hand claims by
>>>anonymous sources? Along the same train of thought, have you found a
>>>website yet that can provide some -facts- about Kerry instead of vague
>>>emails from nameless people?
>>
>>I can give you more sites than you'd care to read.
>
>
>Sock it to me!!!

Maybe when I have a little more time, and you lose some of your
attitude.

>> It's funny how you regard the things you don't
>>want to hear as "hearsay", "propaganda" etc, yet sites of equal
>>"credibility" which support your core beliefs, you are much more
>>likely to consider as "facts".
>
>
>What facts did I provide that could not be verified?

The reasons for the Iraq war for one. The WMD issue for another.

>>>>>Wrong. You "speculated" that I'm a liberal. By your own standards,
>>>>>that means you must have enough "solid information" about two things;
>>>>>first, you must know enough about liberals to be able to classify

>>>>>them. I find that doubtful since you are a self-proclaimed
>>>>>conservative republican and have repeatedly demonstrated that you have
>>>>>no clue as to the precepts of liberalism. Second, you must have enough
>>>>>"solid information" about me to know my ideologies, which you clearly
>>>>>don't.
>>>>
>>>>Contrary to your erroneous statement, I know a lot about liberals. I
>>>>know how they think, I know what they consider "ideal" and I know why
>>>>they think that way. If you are going to fight something, you are best
>>>>served knowing the enemy.
>>>
>>>
>>>Then you don't know squat because you took up a fight with someone
>>>that wasn't your enemy, Dave.
>>>
>>>
>>>>I called you a liberal, because you voted for one, and vigorously
>>>>defended another.
>>>
>>>
>>>Liberals are also known to sleep when they are tired, eat when they
>>>are hungry, and shit when their bowels move. I guess that makes -you-
>>>a liberal, too, Dave.
>>
>>Irrelevant and stretching.
>
>
>It was implied.
>
>
>> But when you support the political
>>philosophies of a liberal, you are either a liberal yourself, someone
>>who makes protest votes, or someone who lacks sufficient understanding
>>of political ideologies.
>
>
>Is it beyond the realm of possibility that I can think independently?
>That I don't need to have my beliefs dictated to me by any political
>or religious ideology? That I refuse to let myself be locked into any
>side of a partisan debate? If I happen to agree with a position of one
>political party, does that necessarily exclude me from agreeing with
>any position from a different party? Or does the basic concept of
>'independence' conflict with your "core beliefs"?

That's all well and good, but I'm not about to vote for someone who's
180 degrees out of phase with my core beliefs because of hearsay about
the current president.

>
>>>>Your definition of what you claim to be politically, is a classic case
>>>>of denial. It's funny how some liberals are ashamed to admit what they

>>>>really are.
>>>
>>>
>>>You must have missed my postings over the past several years -- I have
>>>never been ashamed to admit anything. In fact, I've probably admitted
>>>more than most people really wanted to know. And after arguing with
>>>Twisty for much of that time, I even admitted that he was right on the
>>>Bush topics. Yet you can't even admit when you make one single little
>>>mistake. It is -you- that is in denial, Dave, not me.
>>
>>I can very well admit when I've made a mistake, as I am about to do in
>>another thread. But so far, you have not made a convincing case that
>>I've made any, your opinion notwithstanding.
>
>
>Ok, then I'll show you just how wrong you are. Here are my opinions
>about Kerry:
>
>I think he is/was a Republican shill. He conceded the election early,
>before the count was definitive, throwing both the Democratic party
>and Edwards totally off guard (and right after Edwards made a speech
>that they would not concede the election until all the votes were
>counted).

Kerry, in spite of his other failings, has a decent amount of class,
and didn't want to be another "Sore loser" like Gore. Kerry also has
hopes of running again in 08 (Unless the Hillary bandwagon runs him
over), so his more gentlemanly reaction would serve him better in that
regard.

> Kerry & family then bailed to Governor Arnold's place for a
>nice weekend vacation (remember that Arnold was a strong and outspoken
>proponent of the Bush campaign).

Arnold's wife is also a Kennedy, and a big democratic supporter.

> Kerry was also visibly absent during
>the Ohio recount, dumping the burden on the rest of the party.

Because he wanted to distance himself from the bru-ha-ha. He's already
set his sights on '08.

>And don't even get me started about his campaign finances!!! But it's not
>like this was the first time Kerry has bowed to Republican influences,
>since most of his absences from the Senate were during votes for
>Republican sponsored bills, and he rarely ever gave any opposition to
>any bills that were opposed by his party.

That bears further investigation. But how do you explain his votes
which cut both defense and the military? That's not pro-republican.

> Now this isn't to say that I
>support the Democratic party, but if he can be a traitor to his own

>party then what kind of credibility would he have as president? None.

I agree, but for different reasons.

>Does the Democratic party have a chance in 2008 with Howard Dean in
>charge? Not unless Bush goes insane on public TV.

Interesting. This is pretty imaginative thinking. It's almost as cool as a "Manchurian Candidate" scenario. But what you have is a collection of coincidences that, by themselves, mean little. But you have assembled them into a story that fits the conditions. That's not fact Frank, it's a conclusion.

I agree that Dean will give the democratic party an even more visible kick toward the left, and that will drive away even more voters. Personally I love it!

>And don't even -think- that I would have voted for Bush just because I
>don't like Kerry -- as I have pointed out before (and you have
>vigorously denied), Bush is a criminal.

He received a DUI almost 30 years ago. That's hardly the type of behavior that makes one a true criminal.

> He's the charismatic imbecile
>that was chosen as a figurehead for the Rove administration, as run by
>the Vulcans.

Now you are starting to regurgitate left-wing pablum. Rove is nothing more than a shrewd campaign advisor. That shadow government conspiracy thing is really a giggle.

> (BTW, want to know who runs the media? Just look what
>happened when 'Enterprise' did a political commentary slamming Bush
>and (quite literally) the Vulcans. The show was abruptly cancelled
>despite ratings that would otherwise ensure its survival for at least
>a couple more seasons.)

You're kidding right? You're claiming that Star Trek Enterprise was canceled over a political statement? Do you have any proof of this, you know, facts? As far as I know the show was canceled due to lack-luster ratings. Nothing more, nothing less.

>As for the future, I'll lay 5:1 odds that we
>are back in Lebanon before the year is over.

That's a really obvious prediction, considering the recent activities there.

>You suggested that I'm a liberal because I voted for Nader -- I voted
>for him because the other choices sucked. Plain and simple.

So then, would you vote for Mao tse Tung, Josep Stalin, or Hitler, if the other choices sucked too?

But I should thank you. Nader and his votes helped Bush to get re-elected. Why do you think the democrats were so adamant on trying to block his name from the ballot?

>So how does -that- match up with your "core beliefs", Dave?

Perfectly fine, thank you.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3198. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 17:40:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9jrm11105hlbfcijrqmj4717ds8hd2gkbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 196837

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 11:08:06 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote to Frank Gilliland:
>>You are also responding somewhat
>
>>predictably. I can almost guess what your
>
>>responses will be. It's fun to watch actually
>
>
>What is predictable, is the manner in which you deteriorate whenever
>your logic and hypocrisy is illustrated effectively by one who is
>capable.

So when is that going to happen? Be sure to let me know will ya.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3199. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 17:45:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <vnrm11h4sqv9ks3n00tjkhutprobg95fhb@4ax.com>
Source record: 196838

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:05:47 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 06:57:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <147m11p6lajffi7k482vhn3i34uakld3dh@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 04:25:30 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>

>>Really? Then prove to me that a man walked on the moon Frank.
>
>
>Do you want absolute proof? or just something more substantial than me
>saying that someone else told it to me?

Absolute proof.

>>BTW, just because you can pull up thousands of news reports that claim
>>it, that does not PROVE it.
>
>
>So if if -you- pull up thousands of news reports that claim a
>stopwatch is almost never used to catch speeders in PA, I should not
>accept it as proof. Right? Well then, where does that leave your claim
>that a couple cops told you so?

See 3368(c)(4).

>>We accept as fact that an American walked on the moon. But we only
>>know it due to reports that were released to us by the news media.
>
>
>Not to mention all the hams that tracked the mission, the instruments
>that were left behind and continued sending data afterwards, the
>reflectors used for laser experiments, etc, etc. Nope, none of that
>amounts to proof if it isn't consistent with your "core beliefs".

That means nothing. Those things could have been planted or relayed by
satellite.

>>There was a movie once, Capricorn One was the name, if my memory
>>serves me, where NASA, trying to save face (and future funding) in
>>light of the mandate to place a man on the moon, found that they just
>>weren't ready, so they decided to "fake" it by sending up an empty
>>rocket, and then filming the moon shots from a stage in a hanger. The
>>drama ensued when the unmanned rocket unexpectedly burned up on

>>re-entry and they were forced to kill the astronauts to protect the
>>secret.
>>
>>It was an interesting work of fiction, but it underscores just how
>>vulnerable we all are to information that is "provided" to us by the
>>news media and the government.
>>
>>You really need a res fresher course on what is considered a fact.
>
>
>You need to watch a little less fiction. Especially that paranoid,
>conspiracy-theory crap.

That's funny coming from the guy who claimed in another thread just
today, that "Enterprise" was canceled because they "offended" the
Bush administration, and who also claims that Karl Rove is the leader
of the "Vulcan" underground, who is really running the country.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3200. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 17:56:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <52sm11tr9lvg5fp5bmajkre8ndn95c4rsq@4ax.com>
Source record: 196839

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 10:22:56 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>Hello David. As you debate with Frank, your insultive demeanor has been
>noted a few times and you finally seem to have made a slight effort at
>curbing and controlling your emotional outbursts directed at the poster
>as opposed to their topic. For that reason, and the fact that I am such
>an understanding and trusting kind of guy, I decided to give you another
>chance to exchange your views on this topic, but you must know, you are
>going back to being ignored the moment you become personally insultive.

Gee, thank you. What an honor. But you've hardly ignored me. You can't
resist the chance to throw in a dig or two.

>Of course, that has been your pattern toward each and every person that
>has shown your claims are wrong, but cbers are great folks and always
>willing to forgive.
>Criminalists aren't the ones misusing the term, as with their work, it
>is directly involved with legalities, which is excatly what the term
>denotes. For some reason, you misinterpret the term and misuse it by
>applying it to situatiions not involving legalities.

Actually, you need to talk to Frank about this. The term forensic has
a much more basic definition, which involves the art of debate.

From dictionary.com:

foï¿½renï¿½sic (P) Pronunciation Key (f-rnsk, -zk)
adj.

Relating to, used in, or appropriate for courts of law or for public
discussion or argumentation.

Of, relating to, or used in debate or argument; rhetorical.

Relating to the use of science or technology in the investigation and
establishment of facts or evidence in a court of law: a forensic
laboratory.

foï¿½renï¿½sics (P) Pronunciation Key (f-rnsks, -zks)
n. (used with a sing. verb)

The art or study of formal debate; argumentation.

The use of science and technology to investigate and establish facts
in criminal or civil courts of law.

>>. And be sure to copy the producers of such
>>educational shows as "FBI Files", "Forensic
>>Files", and even the prime time drams of
>>CSI. And be sure to copy the producers of
>>such
>>educational shows as "FBI Files", "Forensic
>>Files", and even the prime time drams of SCI.
>
>
>The problem is the medium you hold as your source from where you get
>your information regarding such things. The show you speak of is every
>bit as Hollywood as Spiderman.

Woah, back up a little. I also included the shows "FBI files" and
"Forensic File" which were produced for the Discovery Channel and
Court TV. They deal with the "real" CSI criminalists, and their
methods. It's not a "drama-driven" show like the CSI's

>Ask anyone who EVER worked in a lab that
>requires sterile technique, how many women run around in skirts, heels
>and uncovered hair, and that is only the tip of the iceberg. I
>understand the term "reality television" not only excites some people,
>but makes some believe what they are watching is real, but alas, it's
>still television with a great deal of error and fantasy.

Acknowledged. But that's not what I was referring to.

>
>Television can take a concept and run with it to the point it is almost
>unrecognizable, resulting in viewers permitting television to be their
>defining, albeit incorrect, source of such a concept, especially if said
>concept was totally foreign to them prior to the show and the episode
>was their first introduction to such.
> Such behavor is called artistic license and is the norm in Hollywood
>productions, reality shows or not. Certain instances need be
>entertaining and interesting to hold the viewers attention, not dry,
>bland and uninteresting. Unfortunately, in such scenarios, facts often
>suffer and get clouded, resulting in viewers, such as yourself, holding
>such Hollywood directing as THE authoritive source.

I hardly base my idea of reality on Television. At least the stuff
that's not presented in documentary form.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3201. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 17:59:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <9jsm111hsi7vip34gv0h82qsnqp5i5eegj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196840

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 10:29:27 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:

>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>As most of you know, there has been some
>
>>question as to the legality of Roger Beeps on
>
>>CB radio.
>
>There has never been any question as to the legality of roger beeps by
>anyone, cber or hammie, other than yourself.
>
>> Some have claimed that they are legal,
>
>
>Everyone that chimed in on the issue had tried telling you roger beeps
>were legal.

No, not everyone. There was no definitive rule that specifically
allowed them. Therefore the claim that they were legal was based on
pure speculation only.

But it's water under the bridge now. The FCC has spoken.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3202. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 18:09:13 UTC
From: jcooper874@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4807-421B7549-456@storefull-3275.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196841

some older ham radios need crystals the new radios
dont

3203. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-22 20:03:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9m3n115ppjucgfaq46qbqiq73u8d3po0mh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196842

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 12:59:24 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <9jsm111hsi7vip34gv0h82qsnqp5i5eegj@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 10:29:27 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Daveï¿½Hall)
>>>As most of you know, there has been some
>>
>>>question as to the legality of Roger Beeps on
>>
>>>CB radio.
>>
>>There has never been any question as to the legality of roger beeps by
>>anyone, cber or hammie, other than yourself.
>>
>>> Some have claimed that they are legal,
>>
>>
>>Everyone that chimed in on the issue had tried telling you roger beeps
>>were legal.
>
>No, not everyone. There was no definitive rule that specifically
>allowed them. Therefore the claim that they were legal was based on
>pure speculation only.

There's no definitive rule that allows chrome knobs and black paint on
CB radios, either. The problem is your warped logic, Dave -- absence
of evidence does -not- constitute proof of the opposite. When are you
going to realize this fundamental flaw in your thought process?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3204. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 00:31:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222193130.965$al@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196843

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> Actually, it would seem that the "homo crap" was a response to
> Twisty's nonsensical rants.
>
To whom? I have nothing to do with that, and yet I take major tons
posted with my email addy attached. Crap that is beyond disgusting.

Are you new to this group, Hall?
>
> A good filter pretty much keeps that at
> bay.
>
It's working, but it ain't the end all. I'll tend to the rest as I
see fit, on my own terms.

In the mean time I'll continue to run my S-line just like I always
have. Please let me know if you see me on the rain report, or see
me busted with a felony.

Mind if I forge your call sign?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3205. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 00:44:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222194441.795$wl_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196844

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Mind if I forge your name or call sign?
>
Geo does it without complaint from you.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3206. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 00:57:24 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <dCQSd.7775$nP2.1783@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196845

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 12:59:24 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
> wrote in <9jsm111hsi7vip34gv0h82qsnqp5i5eegj@4ax.com>:
>
>
>>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 10:29:27 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
>>AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>>
>>>From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
>>>
>>>>As most of you know, there has been some
>>>
>>>>question as to the legality of Roger Beeps on
>>>
>>>>CB radio.
>>>
>>>There has never been any question as to the legality of roger beeps by
>>>anyone, cber or hammie, other than yourself.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Some have claimed that they are legal,
>>>
>>>
>>>Everyone that chimed in on the issue had tried telling you roger beeps
>>>were legal.
>>
>>No, not everyone. There was no definitive rule that specifically
>>allowed them. Therefore the claim that they were legal was based on
>>pure speculation only.
>
>
>
> There's no definitive rule that allows chrome knobs and black paint on
> CB radios, either. The problem is your warped logic, Dave -- absence
> of evidence does -not- constitute proof of the opposite. When are you
> going to realize this fundamental flaw in your thought process?
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----

> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----
When are you two going to give it a rest? You are both radio intelligent
but seem to enjoy the nonsense that is akin to the george & doug vs
steve/shark/randy crap that is on this board.

3207. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:13:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222201348.721$ii@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196846

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> but seem to enjoy the nonsense that is akin to the george & doug vs
> steve/shark/randy crap that is on this board.
>
Sorry man. Fell free to kill-filter me if what I say bothers you. I've
filtered some google shit but it ain't over, Jim.

It's the love of the common man. ($1 Todd)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3208. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:21:00 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222202100.889$L6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196847

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
>
Dang!
When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3209. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:28:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222202803.532$GE@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196848

"Richard" <Anonymous@127.001> wrote:
>> "Roger Beep" is only legal at the END of a transmission as you release
> the key.
>
So much for my digital -wish I was in Dixie-. <g>

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3210. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:33:47 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <k8RSd.7785$UP5.2835@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196849

Steveo wrote:
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>
>>http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
>>
>
> Dang!
> When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
> the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
>
They have to get by the mets to win it all :p

3211. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:34:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222203433.215$2O@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196850

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall)
> >Poor analogy. They still are require by law to
>
> >give at least 5 MPH leeway before writing a
>
> >ticket under most conditions.
>
> "Geo" just posted a passage claiming they give a THREE to five MPH
> leeway. You can't both be right.
>
Truth is you may get a 5mph ticket in a school zone, but no where else,
unless you've pissed off the cop by some other means. Agree Geo?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3212. The list of conferences about CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:40:33 UTC
From: Vitaly Andreychenko <NOSPAMandreychenko73@mail.ru>
Message-ID: <cvgmvh$ph7$4@news.rtcomm.ru>
Source record: 196851

I welcome all!

List please the name of conferences in English where it is discussed CB a radio communication. I shall be grateful for any comment on this question.

With the best regards, Vitaly.

3213. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:43:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222204323.397$Yb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196852

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >
> >>http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
> >>
> >
> > Dang!
> > When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
> > the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
> >
> They have to get by the mets to win it all :p
>
Well I gotta tell you, I'll root for the Mets over the Yank-spenders. I'll
root for -any- American league team besides NY too.

Good luck, spring training is here again already..years go by really
fast now. (no hard feelings Enzo) :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3214. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:44:20 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <UdRSd.35998$Bx5.33871@fe1.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196853

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:k8RSd.7785$UP5.2835@fe11.lga...
> Steveo wrote:
>> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>
>>>http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
>>>
>>
>> Dang!
>> When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
>> the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
>>
> They have to get by the mets to win it all :p

OH GAWD!!!

3215. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:47:57 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <BIRSd.7787$4M5.2227@fe11.lga>
Source record: 196854

U Know Who wrote:

> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:k8RSd.7785$UP5.2835@fe11.lga...
>
>>Steveo wrote:
>>
>>>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
>>>>
>>>
>>>Dang!
>>>When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
>>>the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
>>>
>>
>>They have to get by the mets to win it all :p
>
>
> OH GAWD!!!
>
>
Tongue in cheek. I'll get me hat...

3216. Re: The list of conferences about CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:49:46 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222204946.908$sW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196855

"Vitaly Andreychenko" <NOSPAMandreychenko73@mail.ru> wrote:
> I welcome all!
>
> List please the name of conferences in English where it is discussed CB a
> radio communication. I shall be grateful for any comment on this
> question.
>
> With the best regards, Vitaly.
>
27.555 on certain days. hth

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3217. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:50:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222205047.691$au@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196856

jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> U Know Who wrote:
>
> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> > news:k8RSd.7785$UP5.2835@fe11.lga...
> >
> >>Steveo wrote:
> >>
> >>>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Dang!
> >>>When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally
> >>>kicked the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
> >>>
> >>
> >>They have to get by the mets to win it all :p
> >
> >
> > OH GAWD!!!
> >
> >
> Tongue in cheek. I'll get me hat...
>
And me bat.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3218. Re: FA: Collins R-390 JAN Tubes & Tube Shields>Cheep!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 01:53:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222205306.714$PO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

"RICH-WA2RQY" <wa2rqy@optonline.net> wrote:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911306&ssPage
> Name=STRK:MESE:IT
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&rd=1&item=5752911317&ssPage
> Name=STRK:MESE:IT
>
I have several of those in boxes, reckon whet they'll fetch?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3219. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 02:03:37 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <j0pn115oprce90mng7tmvfn9crbthc9bv7@4ax.com>
Source record: 196858

On 23 Feb 2005 01:21:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
>>
>Dang!
>When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
>the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D

This is amazing. People have owners that that don't spen money, and bitch about it. Then the bitch about owners that do spend money. Well, which is it?

Most fans, especially those that hate George, would take him in a minutes as their team owner, because he is not cheap and wants to win.

Vinnie S.

3220. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 02:04:44 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <44pn11ddle0r1f1q8hpg7gnduql5eetahr@4ax.com>
Source record: 196859

On 23 Feb 2005 01:43:23 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> >
>> >>http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
>> >>
>> >
>> > Dang!
>> > When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
>> > the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
>> >
>> They have to get by the mets to win it all :p
>>
>Well I gotta tell you, I'll root for the Mets over the Yank-spenders. I'll
>root for -any- American league team besides NY too.

Doh !!!!!!!!!!

>Good luck, spring training is here again already..years go by really
>fast now. (no hard feelings Enzo) :)

Hey, I come you didn't give me any shit when you guys wacked us 22-0 last year?

Vinnie S.

3221. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 02:13:37 UTC
From: Hymie <Hymie@HowsByYou.com>
Message-ID: <lFRSd.264076$w62.33588@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 196860
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.niggers,rec.radio.cb

"moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
news:1105254945.473026.46370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the best
> fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
>

Dey is no such thang as a big fine black dude. Dey is all niggas.

3222. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 02:14:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222211449.986$OZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196861

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Hey, I come you didn't give me any shit when you guys wacked us 22-0
>> last
> year?
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Cuz I new it was a fluke. Are you watching our farm team? It is looking
fairly good for your pickins'. (since you can't grow your own) lol

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3223. Re: fuck you nigger crusher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 02:15:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222211559.486$ug@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196862
Cross-posted to: alt.flame.jews,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.niggers,rec.radio.cb

"Hymie" <Hymie@HowsByYou.com> wrote:
> "moparholic@hotmail.com" <iamnotn8wwm@rock.com> wrote in message
> news:1105254945.473026.46370@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > hey nigger crusher, you're just pissed because your mother got the best
> > fuck of her life from a big fine black dude!
> >
>
> Dey is no such thang as a big fine black dude. Dey is all niggas.
>
That didn't take long.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3224. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 02:24:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222212437.532$uv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196863

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 23 Feb 2005 01:21:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> >> http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
> >>
> >Dang!
> >When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
> >the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
>
> This is amazing.
>
No, that's simple math, Enzo.
>
> People have owners that that don't spen money, and bitch
> about it. Then the bitch about owners that do spend money. Well, which is
> it?
>
Our payroll may be less than 50 million this year, what will the Yankees
be?
>
> Most fans, especially those that hate George, would take him in a minutes
>
Are you kidding?!?! He's from Cleveland, and made many millions here.
>
> as their team owner, because he is not cheap and wants to win.
>
He has SO MUCH cable and broadcast TV revenue that he's not spending
one red cent of his own money, with these high ticket player additions.

Smell the pasta, Enzo. You guys have a very unfair advantage. MLB needs
a hard cap that's similar to the one the NFL uses. (NBA too)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3225. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 02:53:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <mern119egcqki0nruudneq57kd1fn9lk48@4ax.com>
Source record: 196864

On 23 Feb 2005 02:24:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> People have owners that that don't spen money, and bitch
>> about it. Then the bitch about owners that do spend money. Well, which is
>> it?
>>
>Our payroll may be less than 50 million this year, what will the Yankees
>be?

Probably over 200. But he built them into this commerical empire, and throws his money back into them. Many other owners don't

>> Most fans, especially those that hate George, would take him in a minutes

>Are you kidding?!?! He's from Cleveland, and made many millions here.

And from what I read, that business went belly up.

>> as their team owner, because he is not cheap and wants to win.

>He has SO MUCH cable and broadcast TV revenue that he's not spending
>one red cent of his own money, with these high ticket player additions.

Most owners don't spend their own money. He doesnt either. Besides. He built that with no help from the other teams. Why should he have to share it? The NFL was built essentially by the commish in the 1960's. Same with Bird, Majic, and Jordan, in the 1980's. Steinbrenner buil the Yankees himself. Wh should he have to share it?

>Smell the pasta, Enzo. You guys have a very unfair advantage. MLB needs
>a hard cap that's similar to the one the NFL uses. (NBA too)

I have to remind you, the current CBA, which was approved by every team except the Yankees, was geared only against the yankees, when it was implimented. The Threshold for luxury tax was set so only the Yankees would go over.

Would you call that an unfair advantage?

Your problem is not the Yankees. It is the small markets and Bud Selig. The lowest payroll teams get all the revenue sharing and luxury tax money, and are not required to spend none of it. SO why would the owner impliment a cap in this league, when that would essentially cap the millions of free money they get from George, and on top of that, be required to spend millions in payroll?

There will never be a cap. They love getting free millions, and they love the fact they don't have to spend any of it. The problem is the mid-market teams, like the O's, Rangers, Tribe, who would love to spend revenue sharing, but their payrolls are too high to qualify.

Like I said, the Yankees are not the problem.

Vinnie S.

3226. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 03:08:51 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <7tSSd.8479$Pz7.139@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196865

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050222205047.691$au@newsreader.com...
> jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> U Know Who wrote:
>>
>> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> > news:k8RSd.7785$UP5.2835@fe11.lga...
>> >
>> >>Steveo wrote:

>> >>>
>> >>>Dang!
>> >>>When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally
>> >>>kicked the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>They have to get by the mets to win it all :p
>> >
>> >
>> > OH GAWD!!!
>> >
>> >
>> Tongue in cheek. I'll get me hat...
>>
> And me bat.

And a few pints of beer ;)

Landshark

--
Is it so frightening to have me at your shoulder?
Thunder and lightning couldn't be bolder.
I'll write on your tombstone, ``I thank you for dinner.''
This game that we animals play is a winner.

3227. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 03:22:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222222201.442$cV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196866

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 23 Feb 2005 02:24:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> People have owners that that don't spen money, and bitch
> >> about it. Then the bitch about owners that do spend money. Well, which
> >> is it?
> >>
> >Our payroll may be less than 50 million this year, what will the Yankees
> >be?
>
> Probably over 200. But he built them into this commerical empire, and
> throws his money back into them.
>
But when you say he throws 'his money into them' you're talking about
media generated funds. That's not money out of his own pocket.

The only reason it is tolerated can be explained in two letters.

Guess which?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3228. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 03:24:45 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222222445.486$7d@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196867

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050222205047.691$au@newsreader.com...
> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
> >> U Know Who wrote:
> >>
> >> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
> >> > news:k8RSd.7785$UP5.2835@fe11.lga...
> >> >
> >> >>Steveo wrote:
>
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Dang!
> >> >>>When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally
> >> >>>kicked the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
> >> >>>
> >> >>
> >> >>They have to get by the mets to win it all :p
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > OH GAWD!!!
> >> >
> >> >
> >> Tongue in cheek. I'll get me hat...
> >>
> > And me bat.
>
> And a few pints of beer ;)
>
> Landshark
>
Off of your new tap no less!

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month
```

3229. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 03:25:35 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <PISSd.31421$911.9607@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196868

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050222212437.532$uv@newsreader.com...
> Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 23 Feb 2005 01:21:00 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
>> >> http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
>> >>
>> >Dang!
>> >When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally kicked
>> >the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
>>
>> This is amazing.
>>
> No, that's simple math, Enzo.
>>
>> People have owners that that don't spen money, and bitch
>> about it. Then the bitch about owners that do spend money. Well, which is
>> it?
>>
> Our payroll may be less than 50 million this year, what will the Yankees
> be?
>>
>> Most fans, especially those that hate George, would take him in a minutes
>>
> Are you kidding?!?! He's from Cleveland, and made many millions here.
>>
>> as their team owner, because he is not cheap and wants to win.
>>
> He has SO MUCH cable and broadcast TV revenue that he's not spending
> one red cent of his own money, with these high ticket player additions.
>
> Smell the pasta, Enzo. You guys have a very unfair advantage. MLB needs
> a hard cap that's similar to the one the NFL uses. (NBA too)
>

Boy, ain't that the truth!

3230. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 03:37:10 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <potn11tv8t2f8fs7fqa7g18qsnjm68kr54@4ax.com>
Source record: 196869

On 23 Feb 2005 03:22:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> On 23 Feb 2005 02:24:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> People have owners that that don't spen money, and bitch
>> >> about it. Then the bitch about owners that do spend money. Well, which
>> >> is it?
>> >>
>> >Our payroll may be less than 50 million this year, what will the Yankees
>> >be?
>>
>> Probably over 200. But he built them into this commerical empire, and
>> throws his money back into them.
>>
>But when you say he throws 'his money into them' you're talking about
>media generated funds. That's not money out of his own pocket.

He started the YES network. He was not the first. Boston had NESN. Atlanta has TBS. But everyone only wants his money. This year, the Mets are following suit with their own network.

You want to punish him because he is resourceful and creative?

>The only reason it is tolerated can be explained in two letters.
>
>Guess which?

I am not going to argue that the balance is too great in one direction. You are right. There needs to be a better balance. But he is playing by the rules for which the other owners set up. He didn't agree to those rules. The Yankees were the only team to vote no on the current CBA.

Regarding the NFL and NBA, they are poor examples because neither you nor my football (Jets, Browns), or basketball (Knicks, Cavs) teams, had any championship in recent history. In fact, in the NBA since 1980, 6 teams have 24 championships. The Lakers, Bulls, Celts, Pistons, Spurs, and Rockets. That doesn't look like balance to me. How do you think the LA clippers feel about balance? When are my knicks going to win? What about the Jets? Before herm, they were a league doormat for 25 years.

BTW, I went you the ESPN website to see what the Tribe spent. It was 34 million, 3rd from the bottom. I would also like for you to know that Jim Dolan, the brother of the owner of the Tribe, is so dead set against the Jets getting a stadium on the west side, that he offered 600 million for the land that the Jets want. And he is the guy that essentially owns the Tribe, Knick, Rangers, MSG.

I don't know about you, but if he spends 34 million on your payroll, and has 600 million for land just to keep the jets out, Steinbrenner isn't the problem.

Vinnie S.

3231. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 04:00:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222230041.255$gV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196870

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 23 Feb 2005 03:22:01 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> >> On 23 Feb 2005 02:24:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >> People have owners that that don't spen money, and bitch
> >> >> about it. Then the bitch about owners that do spend money. Well,
> >> >> which is it?
> >> >>
> >> >Our payroll may be less than 50 million this year, what will the
> >> >Yankees be?
> >>
> >> Probably over 200. But he built them into this commerical empire, and
> >> throws his money back into them.
> >>
> >But when you say he throws 'his money into them' you're talking about
> >media generated funds. That's not money out of his own pocket.
>
> He started the YES network. He was not the first. Boston had NESN.
> Atlanta has TBS. But everyone only wants his money.
>
Time out, Vin. He doesn't move the rich man meter in real life, so
to say everyone only wants his money is a real stretch. The Browns
owner (Lerner)is worth real writing home about money.

The difference is in the TV revenue. You can't admit that?
>
> This year, the Mets
> are following suit with their own network.
>
Two Bogart's now, right Randy?
>

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3232. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 04:14:30 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <GqTSd.8508$Pz7.3775@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196871

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050222222445.486$7d@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20050222205047.691$au@newsreader.com...
>> > jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
>> >> U Know Who wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> >> > news:k8RSd.7785$UP5.2835@fe11.lga...
>> >> >
>> >> >>Steveo wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>>Dang!
>> >> >>>When I read the subject header I was hoping Stienspender finally
>> >> >>>kicked the bucket! (hurray for small market baseball fans) :D
>> >> >>>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>They have to get by the mets to win it all :p
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > OH GAWD!!!
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> Tongue in cheek. I'll get me hat...
>> >>
>> > And me bat.
>>
>> And a few pints of beer ;)
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Off of your new tap no less!

Tap's old, kegs new for the month.....

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

3233. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 04:19:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <cq0o11tbrpeo2l06hqiu94bieu5ftu82nj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196872

On 23 Feb 2005 04:00:41 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> He started the YES network. He was not the first. Boston had NESN.
>> Atlanta has TBS. But everyone only wants his money.
>>
>Time out, Vin. He doesn't move the rich man meter in real life, so
>to say everyone only wants his money is a real stretch. The Browns
>owner (Lerner)is worth real writing home about money.

Well, the small market want and get his money.

>The difference is in the TV revenue. You can't admit that?

TV revenue he created. Sure I admit it. That revenue wasn't their until he signed a 500 million cable deal, in 1987. That was 14 years *after* he purchased the Yankees. And of all that money in 1987, he didn't win a championship until 9 years later.

Now shut up, and good night. We will talk tomorrow !!!!!

Vinnie S.

3234. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 04:19:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222231911.293$tb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196873

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> And a few pints of beer ;)
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Off of your new tap no less!
>
> Tap's old, kegs new for the month.....
>
> Landshark
>
Well as long as it ain't iron city or pilsners on call (piss on Cleveland)
I'll drink it. Gotta pool table too?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3235. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 04:21:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222232125.483$hk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196874

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> Now shut up, and good night. We will talk tomorrow !!!!!
>
> Enzo
>
We'll be talking all season about how much you cheat.

Nite. :)

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3236. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 04:22:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <d71o11lqrhpf9kbf0a95q6bodj34saacbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 196875

On 23 Feb 2005 04:21:25 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
>> Now shut up, and good night. We will talk tomorrow !!!!!
>>
>> Enzo
>>
>We'll be talking all season about how much you cheat.
>
>Nite. :)

Moped, remind me when you go to Dayton.

Nite.

Vinnie S.

3237. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 04:25:04 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <9a1o1156f4hmqne94sl636qh7rfe5rk89s@4ax.com>
Source record: 196876

On 23 Feb 2005 04:19:11 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> And a few pints of beer ;)
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> > Off of your new tap no less!
>>
>> Tap's old, kegs new for the month.....
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>Well as long as it ain't iron city

Holy crap. I have had that beer !

>or pilsners on call (piss on Cleveland)
>I'll drink it. Gotta pool table too?

Moped, I got this pool table. The guy left it with the house. Here is the
kicker. I suck at pool. And I am not a biker. But the fucking thing looks great
in the basement bar !!!!!

http://www.dannyveghs.com/harley.htm

Vinnie S.

3238. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 04:36:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050222233608.771$y8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196877

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 23 Feb 2005 04:19:11 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> And a few pints of beer ;)
> >> >>
> >> >> Landshark
> >> >>
> >> > Off of your new tap no less!
> >>
> >> Tap's old, kegs new for the month.....
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> >Well as long as it ain't iron city
>
> Holy crap. I have had that beer !
>
> >or pilsners on call (piss on Cleveland)
> >I'll drink it. Gotta pool table too?
>
> Moped, I got this pool table. The guy left it with the house. Here is the
> kicker. I suck at pool. And I am not a biker. But the fucking thing looks
> great in the basement bar !!!!!
>
> http://www.dannyveghs.com/harley.htm
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Dude, I bought my table from Danny. His store is only like 25 miles from
my house. Small world man..You should play 9 ball by yourself on it, that
will sharpen your skills. Oh and make sure your stick is straight.

I watched three dudes install and level my table, it was worth the install
price. The one guy came back later and played on it.

dannyveghs is very well respected in Cleveland.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3239. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 05:16:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050223001647.245$H7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196878

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050222194441.795$wl_-
> _@newsreader.com:
>
> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> Mind if I forge your name or call sign?
> >>
> > Geo does it without complaint from you.
>
> I never forged his call sign assclown, and he isnt the only person named
> Dave Hall... LOL desperate arent you... but you would be good for
> something like that, stalking, behind the back kinda deals you are king
> of.
>
I heard it on 27.675 usb yesterday. Why would anyone use their call there?

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3240. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 12:25:32 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <aeto11tmqlpsjpr36clsos8fl7jg85gt5v@4ax.com>
Source record: 196879

On 23 Feb 2005 04:36:08 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>>
>> Moped, I got this pool table. The guy left it with the house. Here is the
>> kicker. I suck at pool. And I am not a biker. But the fucking thing looks
>> great in the basement bar !!!!!
>>
>> http://www.dannyveghs.com/harley.htm
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Dude, I bought my table from Danny. His store is only like 25 miles from
>my house. Small world man..You should play 9 ball by yourself on it, that
>will sharpen your skills. Oh and make sure your stick is straight.
>
>I watched three dudes install and level my table, it was worth the install
>price. The one guy came back later and played on it.
>
>dannyveghs is very well respected in Cleveland.

I will send you a picture of it. They didn't install it here. I don't know who did. That mahogany one on their site, is the same as the one I have in my basement. When I bought the house 2 years ago, the owner left it.

Vinnie S.

3241. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 12:36:27 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <7vto11h9h1616418eqid465qhtrd6velj8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196880

On 23 Feb 2005 00:31:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
>> Actually, it would seem that the "homo crap" was a response to
>> Twisty's nonsensical rants.
>>
>To whom? I have nothing to do with that, and yet I take major tons
>posted with my email addy attached. Crap that is beyond disgusting.

Like they say, it takes two to tango. If you wouldn't pay it so much
mind, (which gives the appearance that it's bothering you), they'd
wouldn't pursue you so vehemently.

>
>Are you new to this group, Hall?

Ah, no.

>>
>> A good filter pretty much keeps that at
>> bay.
>>
>It's working, but it ain't the end all. I'll tend to the rest as I
>see fit, on my own terms.

Those guys don't seem to be the least bit intimidated by your tactics
so far. I don't see any reason to believe that anything will change
any time soon.

Just ignore them. If they can't get a rise out of you, they'll stop.

>In the mean time I'll continue to run my S-line just like I always
>have. Please let me know if you see me on the rain report, or see
>me busted with a felony.

You'll know it before I will. But have fun anyway.

>Mind if I forge your call sign?

Would you mind if I misrepresented myself as you?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3242. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 12:38:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <s7uo119bokjho2420uam6oate1apaotkvo@4ax.com>
Source record: 196881

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 23:24:52 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote:

>Frank Gilliland <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
>news:ciim11l72o4u900tt7e5bjjdj96t2ukjml@4ax.com:
>
>> ut you were
>> not making a classification when you claimed that PA cops are
>> "required" to give leeway to speeders,
>
>
>Yes they most certainly are required to give a leeway, the amount depends
>on the method of speed enforcement.

I don't think Frank is really concerned with the reality of this
situation. He just wants to argue with me over the semantics.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3243. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 13:30:08 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <5buo11lip1as5dujrm2t2bd7pmtca8ajuj@4ax.com>
Source record: 196882

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 09:03:22 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>Ah, yes, the "peeling away the layers of the onion theory" I am well
>>versed in the practice and I do it all the time.
>
>
>I can believe that you peel a lot of onions.....
>
>
>> When you apply it to
>>all the smear which has been hurled at Bush, the nugget of fact
>>underneath those layers is often very small, and doesn't support the
>>erroneous and highly opinionated conclusion of the reporter.
>
>
>Not until you find it's proper place in the jigsaw puzzle.

When you can't really define what the jigsaw puzzle is, the pieces
themselves are meaningless. Worse yet is to define the puzzle to fit
the pieces.

>>>If that were true then the National Enquirer would be considered just
>>>as credible as any other source of news. It isn't, therefore your
>>>statement is bogus.
>>
>>The only difference is that the National Enquirer is considered by
>>most intelligent people to be "sensational journalism".
>
>
>Most intelligent people wouldn't even call it 'journalism'.

No, they wouldn't, because the N.E. is so far over the edge that their
"slant" is obvious. The rest of the news sources suffer from the same
affliction, only to a much lesser degree.

>> The other
>>sources just haven't been fully exposed yet. But the lid is slowly
>>lifting.
>
>
>......oh no...
>
>
>> After Jayson Blair of the New York Times, and things like
>>"Rathergate", the American people are slowly realizing that the news

>>media is not objectively reporting facts, they are spinning the facts
>>to promote their own political agendas.
>>
>>And you fall for it.
>
>
>Yeah, I suppose you're right -- Elvis's alien offspring are -really-
>in charge of this country, two-headed chickens are side effects from
>militant left-wing mind control experiments, and Atlantis is due to
>rise up from the sea any day now.

Those examples sound ridiculous right? But how is it really any
different than the wild conspiracy stories that get spun on an almost
daily basis? C'mon, Enterprise being canceled because it pissed off
Bush? Kerry a republican shill?

>>>>Unless you have connections inside the government, you have to base
>>>>what you believe as "fact" on the accuracy of reporters and other
>>>>"mouthpieces". If you can't see how this invites a great deal of spin
>>>>and manipulation, you are more hopeless than I thought.
>>>
>>>
>>>So -your- facts have been gleaned from sources inside the government?
>>
>>No.
>
>
>Of course not -- they come from the National Enquirer.

No they don't. But according to the Weekly World News there will be a
fat alien contestant on some reality show soon, and Osama Bin Laden is
dead and he died from AIDS.

>>>>So tell me one more time about your "facts".
>>>
>>>
>>>Eight times wasn't enough?
>>
>>Well, if you tell a lie often enough, sooner or later some will start
>>to believe it.
>
>
>I already know that's what you think, since you have been playing the
>'truth by repitition' fallacy since the beginning.

As have you.

>But it doesn't work on me.

Nor I.

>As I asked before, who is it that you -are- trying to convince?

I am trying to get you to see that you are just the flip side of the
same coin.

>
>>Once again, hindsight is always 20/20. We didn't know what we know now
>>in 2003. Another fact that you conveniently ignore is that most of the
>>leading voices in our congress (democrats specially when Clinton was
>>in office) and the leaders of the world agreed that the existence of
>>Iraqi WMD was highly probable, as far back as 1998. Would you like to
>>see the quotes?
>
>
>Yet you ignore the possibility that they -didn't- exist.

No I haven't. But all of the prior intelligence that said that they
did exist is either seriously in need of an overhaul, or something
happened to them in the last 12 years.

> Ironically,
>you base your belief on the -absence- of evidence. IOW, the weapons
>existed because there is no proof they didn't.

There was proof that they did exist at one time. No one disagrees with
this. The question is what happened to them? Did Saddam, in good faith
REALLY destroy ALL of them? Or true to his nature, did he hide them?
We don't know for a fact either one. But based on his past behavior,
which scenario is more likely?

> And Kerry is a criminal
>because there is no proof he isn't.

No, he should be a criminal because he admitted to committing war
crimes. The fact that no one chose to prosecute him, worked in his
favor.

>>>>> Your 'fact' consisted of a website with a
>>>>>forged document.
>>>>
>>>>That was one of MANY.
>>>
>>>
>>>By your -own- standards, you can't expect anyone to give any more
>>>credibility to that website than you do to CBS news.
>>
>>No. But you seem to.
>
>
>Are you aware that you just contradicted yourself? Or should I say you
>'flip-flopped'?

How so? You are chastising me on the "quality" of my sources. I am
pointing out that your sources are no better. I never claimed to be
totally unbiased. When you finally admit that you aren't either, we
can reach a mutual understanding.

>>>>>I never did. You claimed your beliefs were based on facts. I gave you
>>>>>facts.
>>>>
>>>>No you gave counter opinions.
>>>
>>>
>>>Bush was never convicted of a DUI? He didn't fail twice in the oil
>>>business?
>>
>>Factual, but irrelevant.
>
>
>Once again you try to invoke 'truth by repitition', and once again you
>failed. So what happened to "statistical probability"?

What relevance does Bush's DUI play in his current running of the
country?

>
>
>> Clinton smoked pot and never inhaled. He
>>dodged the draft and played hide the cigar with Monica Lewinsky. But
>>what does it all matter to the important issues of the day?
>
>
>Clinton isn't the subject. Stay focused, Dave.

It relates. If you are going to criticize one person for past acts you
need to apply the same standard to others, otherwise you're a
hypocrite.

>>> ......oh hell, we've been through this several times before
>>>Dave, and each time I have proven that what I stated were indeed
>>>facts. You simply refuse to accept them despite the evidence.
>>
>>The facts that you do provide are irrelevant. The accounts that you
>>provide which are relevant are not completely factual, and have a
>>great deal of spin associated with them.
>
>
>You have said that at least a dozen times. When are you going to get
>around to proving it? Or can't you prove it?

I can highlight the spin that it is.

>>>>>You discarded them as "biased" without even trying to verify
>>>>>them as facts.
>>>>
>>>>Who said I didn't try?
>>>
>>>
>>>I did. Pay attention, Dave.
>>

>>Then you're lying then.
>
>
>I said, "You discarded them as 'biased' without even trying to verify
>them...". It's fairly evident that I said you didn't try. So how is
>that a lie?

Because you don't know if I checked them or not. As it turns out, I
did, at least some. There are no facts that can be verified. It's
mostly word of mouth editorial comments.

>>>> I recognized the same old worn out excuses,
>>>>that I've heard since the Iraq war started.
>>>
>>>
>>>And it's clear that you didn't bother to check them out then, either.
>>>Now those "old worn out excuses" are yours because of your inability
>>>to accept just the -possibility- that your political leader was
>>>leading you, and the rest of the country, in a very bad direction.
>>
>>Of course that's a possibility. But it's too early in the game to make
>>a positive determination.
>
>
>LOL! You're a card, Dave!

What, did you suddenly get a crystal ball? Are you one of those people
who call Iraq a "failure" while it's still on-going?

>> You and I can speculate as to the true
>>motives of the war, and whether it was the "right" direction. But
>>there are no facts either way, because history is still being written.
>>Terrorism is real. Iraq is real. Bush took a strong stand and I
>>believe it was the right one.
>
>
>Any president would have taken a strong stand after the 9/11 attack.
>But the fact remains: Saddam never attacked us!!!

That is true. But he is a piece of the whole terrorism puzzle. He also
sits on a sizable quantity of oil. If we succeed in planting a seed of
democracy in Iraq, the ripples will spread to other nations there. It
already has to some extent. If, for whatever reason, the experiment
fails, we still have a hand in that country and could use it against
other countries like the Wahabbists in Saudi Arabia, if they succeed
in toppling the royal family and cut us off from their oil.

>>>>>>> For an intelligent person like
>>>>>>>him (or yourself, for that matter) to fall back on "core beliefs" as
>>>>>>>an excuse to dismiss facts and logic is bogus, and it undermines the
>>>>>>>credibility of any argument against keyclowns who use the very same
>>>>>>>tactics.

>>>>>>
>>>>>>I can employ logic in the same way that you can. But when the topic
>>>>>>that yo use your logic on are unverifiable, what good is logic when
>>>>>>the premise's are faulty?
>>>>
>>>>>No good at all, which is what I taught you by using your own arguments
>>>>>as examples. Or is your memory as faulty as your logic?
>>>>
>>>>You taught me nothing. You are blinded by your own partisanship.
>>>
>>>
>>>I told you before, Dave -- truth by repitition doesn't work.

Especially when you are too thick to see it.

>Well, since I'm perfectly willing to take this to email, who do you
>think I'm trying to convince?

Yourself.

>>One can experiment with computers and radio without being an engineer.
>>One can build structures without being a carpenter. One can add a hot
>>tub to the back deck without being a plumber. In the same vein, one
>>can recognize certain behavioral traits without being a licensed
>>psychologist.
>
>
>If you practice psychology then you are a psychologist. I never said
>anything about being licensed.

I do not believe you can apply the professional term psychologist to
someone who isn't using it in a professional capacity. I am simply
someone with a keen insight into human behavior.

>>I sure hit a sore spot with Twisty. You are also responding somewhat
>>predictably. I can almost guess what your responses will be. It's fun
>>to watch actually.

>Actually, your responses are predictable. You get attacked from
>someone using a certain tactic then you adopt that tactic for your own
>use in a counter-attack.

I respond in kind. No secret there.

> You are attempting to use a tactic I employed
>a couple weeks ago, but because you are so predictable the tactic
>isn't working for you. Wanna know what you are going to do next?

I especially get a kick out of this "game of chess" mentality you are
playing, by trying to anticipate my next move.

>>>>>>This is a public forum not e-mail Frank. Everyone is welcome to
>>>>>>participate. If you wanted a private exchange to honestly discuss
>>>>>>methodologies, you could have e-mailed me at any time. The fact that

>>>>>>you chose instead to attempt to belittle me in a public forum
>>>>>>invalidates any right you may claim to exclusivity in these
>>>>>>discussions.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>That works both ways, Dave. But you would never take this to email
>>>>>because your ego is at stake -- you could never face the facts in a
>>>>>private forum without the support of your lap-dog and imaginary
>>>>>admirers.
>>>>
>>>>Ego? Please (again). This showcases your emotional attachment to this
>>>>whole situation.
>>>>
>>>>First off Frank, I have no need or desire to take this to E-mail.
>>>
>>>
>>>That's obvious, for the reasons I already stated.
>>
>>No, because I'm just not that interested.
>
>
>So -that's- why you respond to nearly every one of my posts -- because
>you aren't interested! By golly, I -knew- there had to be a reason....

It's a public forum. I'm interested in the public interaction.

>>>> I'm
>>>>not the one who desires enlightenment. You have been chasing me since
>>>>the election, and have grown bitter, crass, and highly emotional. Very
>>>>atypical from how you used to behave.
>>>
>>>
>>>.....um, I hate to break this to you, Dave, but I have -always- been
>>>bitter, crass and highly emotional, as anyone here can attest.
>>
>>No, actually you weren't. You used straight up, no-nonsense, and
>>direct. Now you are bitter, sarcastic, condescending, insulting, and
>>seething with an emotional connection.
>
>
>You have proven time and time again that you haven't read many of my
>posts, whether they were of me defending Bush against Twisty, my
>personal experiences in the military, or my sarcastic banter with
>other know-it-all's like myself. I suppose your "core beliefs" just
>wouldn't allow you to read those posts, huh?

I don't remember ever seeing you defend Bush in THIS newsgroup. Not
that that matters. I understand how you used to "deal" with people.
It's not unlike myself. I have a short tolerance for idiots as well.
But your behavior of late has been even more sarcastic and
condescending than normal.

>>> The
>>>only reason you see a difference is because my boot is up -your- ass
>>>instead of someone else's.
>>
>>That makes no difference.
>
>
>It makes a -big- difference, and the proof is the fact that you now
>respond to almost every one of my posts.

But Frank, you respond to almost every one of *my* posts. It's
circular logic.

>
>>>>Right now I am enjoying your attention. Eventually, I'll tire of it,
>>>>and I will just stop responding, in the same vein that I treat Twisty.
>>>
>>>
>>>You claimed that you would ignore Twisty many years ago, yet you still
>>>engage him on cue.
>>
>>And then once I've given him my few punches between the eyes, I stop
>>responding.
>
>
>Usually because you run dry of excuses.

No, it's usually when I expose yet another round of his doubletalk,
and then I ride off into the sunset.

>What spin? You made a statement. I proved that your statement was
>wrong.

How have you proved anything? 3368 is the law, and it applies in the
majority of case.

>>Then you will invent another topic to try to start things up again.
>>That will be my prediction.
>
>
>The only topic I'm discussing is your ignorance.

I'm not sure you are qualified to do that.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3244. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:00:29 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <q33p11lpj5e6aev5aoda8ereclfdpbqbn8@4ax.com>
Source record: 196886
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 09:47:06 -0500, Dave Hall
wrote in :

>There are, however, some shortcuts that you can take, when you
>recognize a particular fault. It saves you the trouble of following
>some unnecessary steps.

Scary.

>I had a problem in the automatic transmission in the wife's Chevy
>Astro Van a few years back.

Even scarier.

> Seems that when you would drive it for an
>extended period of time, the transmission would start shifting hard,
>and the MIL light would light up and set an P1870 trouble code in the
>ECU. Looking up the code, it stated that is was a transmission
>slippage code, and the hard shifts were a result of the system
>pressure increasing to compensate for the slippage. The only remedy
>that the dealer would offer was a $2000 replacement transmission. I
>turned to the web and started looking for other people who had had
>similar problems (experience). I found out a lot. It seems that the
>problem was caused by the PWM valve (or more accurately the bore for
>it) for the lockup torque converter clutch. This was in the
>transmission valve body and was made of aluminum and after about
>45-50K miles, it would have worn sufficiently enough to cause the
>problem that I had. I found that a company (Sonnax) sells a bore
>reamer and a replacement steel sleave that can be installed in the
>valve body, which would restore proper operation. So for about $90, I
>bought the parts and performed the work (Dropping a valve body is a
>bit messy though). The problem was solved.
>
>Now, would I have been able to get to this point by logical
>progression alone? Maybe. But by tapping the experience of other
>people, I was able to solve the problem without a lot of mistakes and
>expense.
>
>This is just one of many such examples.

You relied on troubleshooting that others had done and took a chance
that your problem was the same. It paid off, lucky for your wife. I
don't know squat about transmissions so whenever something goes wrong
I take mine to Joe's Transmissions (recently relocated to N. Market)
because I know they will do the job right.

>>
>>>>>I wonder how many people stumble on that link and think it's about
>>>>>football.....
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Probably close to the same number that have have ignorantly adopted
>>>>the television definition of 'forensics'.
>>>
>>>The definition of which as also an accepted form. It wouldn't be the
>>>first time that pop-culture has influenced the way we think or the
>>>terms we use.
>>
>>
>>That excuse might work if the focus of Forensics clubs had changed
>>from speech to science. The definition of 'forensic science' is well
>>established and -your- definition is wrong (despite any "core belief"
>>to the contrary).
>
>Make sure you tell that to the criminalists all across the country so
>they can correct their mistake. And be sure to copy the producers of
>such educational shows as "FBI Files", "Forensic Files", and even the
>prime time drams of SCI.

Any FORENSIC criminologist doesn't need me to explain what the term
'forensic' means. You, evidently, are -not- a forensic criminologist.

>>Regardless of the impedance, is the mic level specified for the
>>Midland?
>
>In the alignment procedure, it is specified as 5mV for 50% modulation.
>The same as the TRC 457/458.

That's the specified -input- level at the mic connector. The question
was if the -mic- level is specified. Is it?

>>Like I said before, I can scan the page.
>
>And like I said before, I'm not questioning that you are seeing what
>you are seeing. I question that it was a misprint.

You aren't even curious to see if there are any other specifications
that may be relevant? perhaps even to the spec under discussion?

>>>>>In other words a reduction of dynamic range.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No, a reduction of dynamic range is called compression; i.e, the
>>>>dynamic range is compressed. Expansion is just the opposite.
>>>
>>>I know that. But your definition of "downward expansion" was:
>>>
>>>"a term used to describe what happens to compression -below- the
>>>average audio level. IOW, when you push the average audio level (i.e,

>>>mic gain) into compression, the audio above that level is compressed
>>>while the audio below that level is 'expanded'".
>>>
>>>When you drive audio into compression, the dynamic range is reduced.
>>
>>
>>You didn't read the entire paragraph. Here, I'll quote the part you
>>didn't read the first two times: "[W]hen you push the average audio
>>level (i.e, mic gain) into compression, the audio above that level is
>>compressed while the audio below that level is 'expanded'"
>
>Yes. So we're still talking about audio that is in compression. What
>you have described is the net effect of high gain (Which "expands" the
>lower level audio), and a limiter clamp which "compresses" the audio
>to below a preset level.

Wrong. The 'net effect' is that gain is higher than average when the
signal level is lower than average, which is not necessarily due to
compression because compression does not require (or imply) variation
of the gain below the knee. You get unintentional downward expansion
from a compressor when you push the average audio level -above- the
knee. I remember a pretty good link on the subject -- I'll post it if
I find it again.

>>>>Wrong. This is a classic case of how screwing up one thing leads to a
>>>>cascade of consequences......
>>>>
>>>>If the reference level is intended to be 0.5mV but you feed it 5mV
>>>
>>>You are assuming that .5 mV is correct. There is no proof that it is.
>>
>>
>>I hadn't even finished with the first sentence and already you start
>>with the excuses. That's pathetic, Dave.
>
>No, it's a typical example of how you base a logical conclusion on a
>potentially faulty premise.

It's not a premise at all, Dave. It's a conclusion derived from the
explanation that follows it.

>>>>then you are forced to compensate by decreasing the gain of the mic
>>>>amp. Because the mic gain is low, you need to drive the mic with more
>>>>audio (literally, ten times more audio power) in order to push the
>>>>radio to 50% modulation. But in order to drive the output of the mic
>>>>higher you must either talk louder or move the mic closer to your
>>>>mouth. Assuming that your subconscious mind made the compensation
>>>>automatically (as the subconscious mind usually does), you moved the
>>>>mic closer. But your conscious mind failed to consider one of the
>>>>basic characteristics of the dynamic mic -- when you move the mic
>>>>closer to your mouth you increase the spectral lows -much- more than

>>>>the highs. So by changing the audio equalization you subsequently
>>>>screwed up the proper operation of the AMC, because lower frequencies
>>>>have a greater power level and will subsequently drive the AMC into
>>>>limiting more often than normal.
>>>
>>>That was fancy, but not quite accurate. First off, the mike level
>>>would be first limited by the mike agc (What you refer to as the
>>>"hard" limiter) before the mike pot. Any "compression" would occur
>>>there before it even hits the AMC circuit.
>>
>>
>>Wrong. Don't forget that you have the mic gain turned -way- down
>>because your input spec was too high. So the mic audio isn't going to
>>come close to getting hit by the first limiter unless you slam the mic
>>on the table.
>
>Hello McFly.

Oh gee, that was clever.

> The mike limiters are BEFORE the mic gain pot.

VR6 controls IC3, right? And the only limiter that affects the audio
prior to IC3 is the first (hard) limiter, right? So if the audio
signal is low (because you inadvertently reduced the mic gain by a
factor of 10) then it will take ten times the audio signal level to
turn on the first limiter, right? And the second limiter (AMC) comes
after IC3, right? I guess you -do- need a picture..... oh, but I
forgot, you -do- have a picture -- it's called a 'schematic'!

> If I was
>increasing the level to compensate for the setting of the pot, the
>mike agc circuit would be compressing the audio. But considering that
>the real compression does not start until you are in the 200 mV area,
>that doesn't really apply at all.

First, there is no mic AGC circuit. There is the first limiter (hard)
and the second limiter (AMC).

Second, the first limiter kicks in based on the forward voltage drop
of D20, which (if silicon) will be about 0.6-0.7 volts. And since it
is affected only by a negative peak, then the first limiter doesn't
even start working until the audio reaches a level of 1.2-1.4 Vp-p!
That should give you a clue that its purpose is to limit extremely
high audio levels, like when the mike is dropped, or some dummy shoves
the mic in his mouth, burps up some half-digested Kokanee and yells
"A-U-D-I-O".

Third, the second limiter (AMC) begins working at a level set by VR7,
which is -supposed- to be 200mV... AT A SPECIFIC FREQUENCY. But you
screwed up your audio equalization when you turned down the mic gain
and forced yourself to compensate by moving the mic closer to your

mouth. Your audio now swings much higher at low frequencies so your VR7 adjustment is now useless. You could readjust VR7 by setting the sig-gen for a lower frequency that is more characteristic of the audio, which would probably make a girly voice sound a little better but make a normal voice sound extremely boomy and without presence (although it will be clear because the AMC isn't being overdriven). But since you didn't readjust VR7 for the changed frequency response those low frequencies push the AMC into service much more often than desired. And while the AMC is busy dimming the audio level on each low frequency peak, many peaks of higher frequency audio are literally compressed in the process. When the low frequency peak drops then the higher frequencies are passed at normal amplification.

In a way, you are amplitude modulating your high frequency audio with your low frequency audio. That's just plain messy, Dave. That's why your audio sounds like crap.

I have now explained this twice. Is your electronic theory so bad that you need it explained to you a third time?

>>> The AMC action occurs AFTER
>>>VR6.
>>>
>>>
>>>>The net effect was a combination of reduced mic sensitivity and
>>>>increased AMC limiting; i.e, a nearly textbook case of downward
>>>>expansion, with some extra 'mush' due to the AMC limiter working
>>>>overtime.
>>>
>>>The AMC doesn't come into play until you hit that 200 mV level and
>>>clamps the level to 100% modulation. it's certainly not a factor at 5
>>>mV.
>>
>>
>>Which means absolutely nothing. You are ignoring the fact that the
>>audio level of the mic during speech isn't limited to 5mV, or even
>>0.5mV. I never suggested that 5mV could turn on the AMC. I -did-
>>mention that because the mic gain is set so low that you needed to
>>compensate by decreasing the mic proximity, which boosts the low
>>frequencies disproportionately.
>
>And you are assuming that we are talking about the stock dynamic hand
>mike. Most people run amplified desk mikes, which is a whole different
>kettle of fish.

That's why most amplified mics sound like shit unless they are turned down to match the radio, or hadn't you noticed? And it's also one reason why the better quality desk mics include some sort of frequency equalization -- preferably a parametric block, but even a tone control would make a significant improvement in most mics.

>> And since those low frequencies have a
>>much higher level than your average speech audio level, it will be
>>those low freqs that drive the AMC into limiting at a lower -average-
>>audio level than anticipated. Do I need to draw a picture?
>
>Sure if it makes you feel better. But it doesn't change anything since
>you are basing it on an a set of assumed premises which are not
>necessarily true.

Once again, it was not a premise. It was the conclusion.

>>>>Yet you, after 35 years of loud-n-proud expertise in the biz, didn't
>>>>realize what was really happening, didn't care to find out, and jumped
>>>>to the conclusion that the best way to compensate for the increased
>>>>low freq response was to bypass the low-pass filter. Didn't anybody
>>>>ever teach you that two wrongs don't make a right?
>>>
>>>I told you before that the audio BPF was removed to make the radio
>>>mate better with D104 microphones, which were the mikes of choice for
>>>most of the locals.
>>
>>
>>You said, "I also found the transmit audio to be a bit mushy and
>>compressed.
>
>My subjective term. Perhaps the term "nasally" would be more
>descriptive. How about "talking through a paper cup"?
>
>> The main reason for that is an audio bandpass filter
>>installed between TR20 and TR22." You were wrong. The main reason the
>>audio sounded "mushy and compressed" is because you misaligned VR6.
>
>Not it's not,

YOU said it, not me.

> since most people cranked VR6 wide open for the most
>gain. You forget the typical CB mentality. AMC doesn't come into play
>because it is usually defeated. VR6 is cranked wide open, so that
>negates your earlier scenario. VR6 is not that critical. If it were,
>they wouldn't make it a user operated front panel control like on the
>Cobra 139XLR. You're picking nits that just aren't there.
>
>I made the radios sound good for the people who used them. They're
>paying the bill, so they get what they want.

So that's your new excuse? You snip the filter because that's what the
customers want?

>>And for the record, the filter between TR20 and TR22 is a low-pass
>>filter, -not- a band-pass filter.
>
>Ok, I stand corrected. It is a LPF.

See? It's not that hard to admit an error. I do it quite a lot these days. Instead of letting yourself be mesmerized by the boob tube try reading a good book. Something by Samuel Clemens comes to mind at this point.

>>>>If you set the mic gain properly then you don't need to hold the mic
>>>>with your teeth, the mic isn't overdriven, the spectral response of
>>>>the audio is normal, there is no need to bypass the LP filter, and the
>>>>AMC works as intended.
>>>
>>>What about when the AMC is defeated, which is how most of the radios
>>>ran back then? What's your excuse then?
>>
>>
>>Was the AMC defeated in the radio you were discussing? If not, then
>>your point is irrelevant. If it -was- then much of the same still
>>applies -- you will still have boomy audio, and it would be distorted
>>somewhere else down the path, perhaps at the mixer or crystal filter
>>(which, BTW, is responsible for low-frequency audio roll-off).
>
>What?

Did I stutter?

> The audio on AM is not applied until the driver and final
>stages. It is high level. It does not pass through any crystal filter.
>Only the carrier passes through that. The audio passes through the
>LPF, through the TR22 audio switch, and on to the IC4, upc1156 audio
>power amp, where it is then applied to the modulator transformer T1.
>
>You chastise me for a perceived lack of understanding of the basics,
>yet you demonstrate a clear lack of understanding of the more
>advanced.

If you are running AM then the audio will be distorted when modulating the final because you are very likely going to push it past 100% on the low frequency peaks (remember that VR7 is misaligned due to poor audio equalization). If SSB then the modulated IF most certainly -does- pass through the crystal filter since that's how you extract SSB from the DSB output of the DBM (or did you sleep through -that- class, too?).

>>> The action
>>>can be described as compression as the low end gain brings up low
>>>level audio what the "clamp" holds the maximum level to a hard
>>>maximum.
>>
>>
>>That might work except that neither of the limiters control the audio
>>through a variable gain -- they simply prevent the audio level from
>>exceeding a specified value.
>
>And how do they do that? By controlling a variable attenuator on the

>input of the stage. I'd say that fits the definition of controlling
>gain.

You may actually have a point there if the audio is attenuated for at
least one full cycle.

>> That's called 'limiting', and it occurs
>>one wave at a time. 'Clipping' is a harder form of hard limiting.
>
>Clipping is actually a distortion to the wave.

Yes it is. What's your point?

>>'Compression' is a type of AGC; i.e, the output signal controls the
>>gain of the amp. A CVA (Constant Volume Amplifer) is a type of
>>compression that is commonly used in the broadcast industry for
>>speech. Compression works by controlling the average level of the
>>audio, not by limiting the peaks.
>
>In either case, the overall gain of the stage is controlled. Whether
>you actually vary the gain of the amplifier, or you shunt some of the
>input level in response to a sensor on the output, the end result is
>the same.

Wrong. As you already mentioned, clipping distorts the wave. The
output level is detected and used to clip, or -distort- the wave. If
the output level was detected and used to control the -gain- then it
would be compression. But that's not what's happening here.

>Again, you like to argue semantics.

Again, you whine about semantics whenever your understanding is proven
to be less than accurate.

>>> In fact any CB radio's AMC
>>>circuit could be described as audio compression of sorts for the same
>>>reason. It increases average modulation while holding maximum to 100%.
>>
>>
>>It has no effect on the gain of the audio stages. Both limiters in
>>this radio do nothing but limit the peaks and filter the resultant
>>harmonics (at least that's what is -supposed- to happen assuming you
>>don't bypass the LPF). Your average modulation is increased only by
>>talking louder into the mic.
>
>The gain of the internal pre-amps is set to maximum.

There is only one preamp, which is IC3. It's gain is set by VR6.

> When you talk, if
>your voice exceeds the preset level (in this case 100% modulation),
>the limiters reduce the input signal to the respective audio stage.
>Overall gain IS adjusted.

The limiters clip the portion of the audio that would otherwise drive
the modulation past 100% (at least that's the general theory of
operation). The audio is distorted and the LPF was included to filter
the harmonics resulting from the distortion. The gain is unaffected.

>>Or just don't "slam the clamp". Even better, run a CVA between your
>>mic and the radio.
>
>An external speech compressor? Sure, but the one internal works just
>fine. There's more gain than anyone really needs. "Slamming the clamp,
>is one way to keep average modulation levels high without creating
>overmodulation. That's the whole point of speech compression.

Are you -really- an extra or did you just memorize the test questions?
Walk away from the computer and television for a while and read your
handy-dandy ARRL manual on the subject. You have a copy, don't you?
I'm sure you do, it's probably stashed in one of those boxes in the
attic, right under that fondu pot your auntie gave you for a wedding
present.

>>>>I am referring to what the meter reads when you switch it to AC and
>>>>put the probes on the leads of the cap.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>> If so it's about .5V.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Well that's no good. Do you have more than one cap hooked up at the
>>>>same time?
>>>
>>>Nope. It'a a single 11,000 uF 35 volt electrolytic.
>>
>>
>>Holy crap! How many of -those- do you see in CB radios? LOL! Anyway,
>>the AC resistance is 0.12 ohms @ 120Hz, which puts your AC current at
>>60mA, and therefore it's dissipating 30mW..... hardly enough to do any
>>damage, especially to a cap that's almost as big as the radio itself.
>
>Actually no. The cap is a more modern design and it's a little over an
>inch in diameter, and about 4 inches long.

Same difference. It's big.

>>Try a smaller cap. Maybe something along the lines of what you might
>>find in a CB radio, and more typical of a cap that you might find in
>>"shreds". How about 2.2uF, 22uf, or even 100uF? I'm sure you have a
>>few of those laying around, don't you?
>
>Sure. I just picked a common cap used as a filter in a power supply.
>That's where it came from. I can put a smaller cap on. With less
>filtering capacity, the ripple will increase.

Duh! That's the point!

>>The words 'compression', 'limiting' and 'clipping' are commonly
>>misused terms, much like the word 'forensics'.
>
>Ok. I'll give you that, just to avoid another senseless exercise in
>semantics.

It's not senseless if you finally understand the differences.

>>>When I get a chance, I'll check that. I'm also going to see just where
>>>.5 uV brings this thing to level wise.
>>
>>
>>Try it. And test it with a stock mic as well as that chrome-plated
>>lollipop.
>
>I'm going to use my audio generator. That's the only way to ensure an
>accurate measurement of level.

And I'm going to fix my garage this spring. The question is when are
we both going to stop talking about it and do it?

>>Chevy used to make V6 engines by hacking two cylinders from a V8.
>
>They still do. The 4.3 liter V6 is a hacked off 5.7 V8.
>
>>Those engines weren't very good.
>
>Au contraire. The 4.3 is a very reliable engine. Gobs of torque too.

The old slant-6 was a very reliable engine. Gobs of torque, too. But
if you want more torque with any engine just use a lower gear.

>>Then they decided to build a V6 from
>>scratch, the result of which is the now famous V6/60-degree family.
>
>Which engines are they?

http://60degreev6.com/

>I think you are confusing this with the failed attempt that GM made to
>convert a 5.7 gasoline engine into a diesel. The stresses from
>increases in compression was too much for the lower end of the
>basically gasoline block, and it had all sorts of reliability issues.
>Then they went to the drawing board and the 6.2 diesel was the result.
>A much better engine.

And yet another example of my point. Thank you.

>>>>You will know just as soon as you figure out what I said.
>>>
>>>Whew! talk about evasive.
>>
>>
>>Whew! Talk about a reading dysfunction!

>
>In what way? You are deliberately vague and evasive, and you then
>accuse me of "dysfunction" for not pulling a rabbit out of my hat?

I'm not being any more "vague and evasive" than your response to a
comment that you didn't comprehend.

>>>>The only "building block" I had was the GPS chip. Everything else had
>>>>to be designed from the ground up with special attention to weight,
>>>>wide temperature variations, power efficiency, and most importantly,
>>>>isolation between the radar system, the control systems and the comm
>>>>systems. And the latter was a -real- bitch because the system was full
>>>>duplex. Playing with building blocks? Not even close, Dave.
>>>
>>>If you say so. Remember, we only have your word for it.
>>
>>
>>Just like we only have your word that some cops said that radar is
>>used to catch PA speeders in the "vast majority of cases".
>
>Exactly!

Bravo! We're making progress!

>Hey, if I had a choice between the street and working at Wal-Mart, I'd
>work there in a heartbeat. When you have a family to support, it
>doesn't matter what you do, and how long you have to do it. That's
>what responsibility is about.

That's the difference between you and me. You see, I'm am responsible
to nobody. I eat at the mission, panhandle for booze money, sleep in
cardboard boxes, and leech off of society any chance I get. Yep, you
got me pegged, Dave.

>>Uh-huh. Hence your speculation about working at Wally World.
>
>I was busting your chops. But you have no sense of humor.
>
>>>I'm only commenting because you claimed that because of your new job,
>>>you wouldn't be "around" all that much, yet it seems you're still
>>>going strong.
>>
>>
>>Like usual your reading comprehension sucks. I was busy for a couple
>>weeks to execute a will. When I was finished I started one of my more
>>favorite back-up jobs of slopping spirits at the local pub. Pays a lot
>>better than Wal-Mart (ironically, the pay is almost as good as what I
>>earned at the station). But you just go right on speculating, Dave.
>
>
>That's not what you said Frank. Here it is again to refresh your
>memory:

>
>Begin Frank's post**
>
>
>
>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.
>
>
>>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....
>
>
>Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other
>responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left
>with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know
>what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.
>
>End Frank's post**
>
>Now, who has a reading problem? Or should it be a memory problem?

No, just a lack of understanding that circumstances change. I didn't start the job until after the other bartender left, which was two weeks after he gave his notice (and notified me that the job was open). That gave me enough time to clear the estate (intestate, BTW, so it's still not finished). And the hours were less than I expected because they hired two bartenders to replace the overworked one that quit. Happy?

>>>No, I said that I homebrewed a DTMF decoder. That circuit used several
>>>"chips", including a custom DTMF decoder. But I had to add other parts
>>>to decode a 3 digit number and route it to a particular function.
>>
>>
>>Ok, since you want to beat around the bush I'll make the question more
>>specific: Which DTMF decoder chip did you use?

Well?

>>
>>>>You won't provide proof that you don't post as a sock-puppet, which is
>>>>sufficient reason for suspicion. Right?
>>>
>>>How does one prove a negative? Besides the burden of proof is on the
>>>accuser.
>>
>>
>>Well then, in the absence of the documents Kerry didn't release
>>publically, what proof do you have that they contain information which
>>implicates him of any crime?
>

>No proof,

No kidding.

> but human nature tends to support the notion that people
>hide what they don't want known. If you have nothing to fear, then why
>hide it? Especially when your side hypocritically demands the same of
>Bush.

Your distorted perception of "human nature" has been well demonstrated
in your posts. People can have many reasons for not throwing personal
information into the public forum, and until you can accept that fear
may not be the motivating factor then your opinion on the subject will
always be biased.

>>>Not the same thing as finding evidence that I post outside of my given
>>>times. A google search will confirm it.
>>
>>
>>Like I said in a different post, I won't speculate on how elaborate
>>people will get in order to cover up their lies.
>
>But you can't cover up a "non-event".

No, but a "non-event" does not exclude the possibility of other
"events".

> I rarely post outside of my
>usual times.

We have only your word on that, Dave.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3245. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:00:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <143p115sv8vf5sqd6qqo5sgs0ehc1ivv72@4ax.com>
Source record: 196887

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 11:49:49 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <tsnm11t1u6suln64quiurbsllhlurl4ids@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:05:57 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 06:49:47 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <hm6m11phbd65attcp70jv28kt237chsh7t@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 05:00:42 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 07:23:50 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>>>wrote in <66kj11lu2qgbhibp1a4dlfei37beq623bu@4ax.com>:
>>>>
>>>>>On Fri, 18 Feb 2005 16:04:50 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>>Such as a stopwatch?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Not used.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>If it's not used then why are there laws that describe it's use and
>>>>>>>define it's calibration procedures?
>>>>>
>>>>>I don't know why. That's a question best left for the LE community.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You don't know why? Gee, Dave, you seem to know everything else about
>>>>methods of speed enforcement in PA, why not that?
>>>
>>>I don't know why they don't sit at the side of the road and use a
>>>stopwatch, and prefer instead to use an electronic device that does
>>>the math for them. All I know is that they do. For the "whys" you need
>>>to ask them.
>>
>>
>>But I'm not asking them, I'm asking you. You have made qualified
>>statements pertaining to PA law enforcement practices, and on this
>>particular topic no less. So why -don't- you know the answer?
>
>I'm not a cop, nor do I care to know why. I accept it as SOP that this

>is the way things are done. The "why's" are irrelevant.

The "why's" are -very- relevant since they are the motivations behind
the volitions. I thought you said that psychology was your hobby?

>>>What happens in other places is irrelevant. All I am concerned with is
>>>the Commonwealth of Pa.
>>
>>
>>Is PA so isolated that their law enforcement practices are
>>significantly different than the rest of the US?
>
>I don't know.

You don't know a lot of things about this subject, Dave. Yet you
profess that the -one- thing you -do- know is fact.

> I'm not all that interested in how other states do
>things. But I do know how things are done where I live.

You know this because some cop told you so? And you expect me to
accept that as fact? Well, I know for a fact that some cops lie, and
that some of them don't know the law as well as they should. Those are
facts you can verify for yourself. So what proof do you have that this
cop didn't lie, or that he knew the law well enough to make such an
absolute statement?

>>>>>I avoid no facts. The fact is that 3368 (c) paragraph 4 is the law in
>>>>>this state, and it validates my statement in most cases.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>The fact is that 3368(c) is the law in PA, it includes both paragraph
>>>>1 -and- paragraph 4 which invalidates your statement for all cases,
>>>>and your statement didn't include the clause, "in most cases".
>>>
>>>It was implied despite your stubborn refusal to accept it.
>>
>>
>>Nothing was implied, Dave, as can be seen in your subsequent posts on
>>the subject. Don't you have a better excuse than "It was implied"?
>
>Do you have a better excuse than to claim that a single Barney Fife in
>a small backwater town sitting by the side of the road holding a
>stopwatch is going to invalidate my claim for the majority of the rest
>of the cases?

Do you have a better excuse than to claim that a single Barney Fife in
a small backwater town told you that such methods are rare?

>>>>require -- 1. to insist upon, as by right; demand; order. 2. to demand
>>>>as necessary; need; as, man -requires- food.
>>>>
>>>>Now is there anything in that definition which implies, generally or

>>>>explicitly, any exceptions? Does it say, "almost always", or "most of
>>>>the time", or "in a vast majority of cases"? No.
>>>
>>>Nonetheless, the state of Pa requires that LEO give at least a 5 mph
>>>leeway for speeders pursuant to the conditions set forth in 3368(c)
>>>(4). (Which is most of the time).
>>
>>
>>You have yet to prove that leeway is given "most of the time", "in the
>>vast majority of the cases", or any of the other conditions you added
>>after your statement was proven wrong.
>
>3368(c)(4) clearly defines those cases.

It defines cases where electronic devices are used. It does -not-
declare that mechanical devices are rarely used.

>Find me any case of someone getting a ticket for less than 5 MPH over.

In PA or anywhere? And if only in PA, what level of proof is
acceptable? Just a claim by somebody saying they were popped for less
than 5 over? Or are you going to require the official government
documents..... oh, that's right, official government documents don't
carry any weight with you. So how about a declaration from Rush that
you -can- get a ticket for 5 over in PA (assuming I can contact him at
the rehab center)?

>>>>Your statement was wrong, Dave. That much is clear to "the vast
>>>>majority" of people. The only question that remains is how many more
>>>>excuses you are going to try.
>>>
>>>Give it up Frank. You're grasping at straws and are looking
>>>increasingly more foolish for doing so.
>>
>>
>>Your plea is a classic indicator that you are running out of excuses.
>
>My "excuse" is the same as it has always been. Statute 3368. You
>cannot argue the clearly worded fact that it states that under the
>conditions of electrical and electronic devices that they must give at
>least 5 MPH "leeway".

You cannot ignore your blatant omission that the required leeway only
applies to electronic devices.

>I never said "all the time", because every rule has an exception.

Well that's lame -- you can fabricate a 'rule' that says Republicans
are honest, but that would hold as much water as a sieve. And since
the 'rule' you cited applies to electronic devices while a different
rule applies to mechanical devices, the use of a mechanical device is
-not- an exception to the rule restricting electronic devices.

> And
>you are attempting to base your claim on that exception rather than
>the rule.
>
>You're excuse then became that "well they can use a stopwatch"
>(giggle). But you have thus far failed to provide any examples of
>either locales in this state which routinely use a stopwatch,

Where did I say "routinely"?

> or any
>people who have gotten a ticket for under 5 MPH over the limit, or any
>other case which would show that my statement would not apply in the
>vast majority of cases.

You made a statement. I proved your statement was wrong. It's now up
to -you- to prove that your statement is right. So far all you have
done is added the condition to your statement that it's true in the
"vast majority of cases" and excused your error by claiming "it was
implied". That's a cop out, Dave. Even by -your- standards.

>You're list of excuses has now run down to now just blurting out that
>I am wrong, while heretofore failing to effectively prove it.

I -did- prove it. Then you amended your statement. Validate your
amendment.

>You can call me wrong until you're blue in the face, but it doesn't
>make it so.

Grade-school rhetoric.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3246. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:00:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a43p11polclcptvdbmmvv20im154avvv94@4ax.com>
Source record: 196888

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 12:39:09 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <38pm11hui8olgfekh6kkqt1oboulm6srld@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:06:03 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>>That means nothing. The difference between anticipation and reaction
>>>is the point where you decide to "hit" the switch. You are still
>>>limited by human reaction time constraints. Timing by reaction often
>>>results in "late" hits. While timing by anticipation often results in
>>>"early" hits.
>>
>>
>>Not according to the DOT. They conducted a study that showed how
>>anticipation was far more accurate than reaction, just as described in
>>the page I cited. In fact, the DOT provides training material for cops
>>learning to use a stopwatch. They also describe how accuracy is
>>improved as the distance between the markers is increased, a tactic
>>which is used to invalidate arguments made by people trying to
>>discredit the method based on mathematics. They also note (as stated
>>in the cited page) that tolerances are granted in favor of the
>>suspected speeder.
>
>Tolerances eh? Like maybe 5 mph over? Maybe you're finally waking up
>to why this is done.

No, tolerances like the statistical error that's calculated from the
distance travelled, the calibration error tolerance of the device (a
constant), and the anticipation error as defined by the DOT (also a
constant). The result is a variable because of the distance travelled
(not a fixed 5mph) and the error is included in the calculation. So if
the cop clocks you at 56 then the error is already included in the
calculation and the bell curve is shifted in favor of the suspteced
speeder. Therefore, the calculation is the -lowest- speed within the
tolerance of the method. IOW, the speeder was doing -at least- 56 mph
with a statistical probability that can be mathematically calculated,
and that probability is more than likely going to be high enough to
convince a judge, which is all that matters.

>> This makes the stopwatch method very accurate,
>>reliable, and fair.
>
>No kidding. The longer the distance between the points, the less of an
>effect the reaction error will make on the calculation. As a
>performance boater, we often make timed runs on a calibrated 1/2 mile

>run, and time the results with a stopwatch. I am fully aware of how it
>is done. At 1/2 mile, the reaction time error is virtually
>meaningless. But that's hardly practical for enforcing speed.

Really? PA doesn't have highways?

>>>>>iamnotgeorge posted an excerpt which outlines these errors and how
>>>>>they could affect the accuracy of the recorded speed. Perhaps you
>>>>>should review it.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Perhaps you should quote it.
>>>
>>>Why? Use your newsreader and look it up.
>>
>>
>>Why should I? It's your reference.
>
>Then I'll let him repost it, if he wants.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Only because you choose not to verify the facts for yourself.
>>>
>>>I have done more than that. Depending on who tells the story, the
>>>message is significantly different.
>>
>>
>>For example?
>
>Frank, I do not have the time nor the interest in getting into the
>meat of this with you.

Look at all the time you have already spent arguing your way out of
it, and now you can't find time to address the issues that you should
have addressed at the beginning? That's a load of donkey-dung.

> Seeing how you've latched on to this no-win
>topic with the teeth of a pit-bull, I'd be an idiot to think you'd
>grant me any due consideration.

You're speculating again.

> I really don't feel like getting
>involved in the intellectual equivalent of banging my head against the
>wall with you.

So you confront me on statements I made, asked me for facts to back
them up, I give you the facts, you waste several weeks making excuses
for why you won't address them, and now say you don't feel like
butting heads? Pasture-patties. That's just another excuse.

> You will believe what you want to believe, and I will
>do likewise. I'll let history be the judge of who was right.

Wrong. If there are logical conclusions that can be derived from facts
then that's what I will believe. So where are your facts, Dave.

>>>>>So basically you are telling me that what I've heard is meaningless
>>>>>because you don't know the cops who told me?
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>If I said that my neighbor Ralph said that he can receive the thoughts
>>>>of Scottish Terriers through a metal tooth filling, would you consider
>>>>it a fact? I don't think so (not unless it coincided with one of your
>>>>"core beliefs").
>>>
>>>Of course not, since the statement is absurd. But if your neighbor
>>>Ralph told you that he saw a person messing with your car, you might
>>>be inclined to believe him.
>>
>>
>>I might be inclined to check my car.
>
>You'd be an idiot not to.
>
>>That doesn't mean I believe him,
>>only that I believe that what he said is possible.
>
>So you are basically saying that it's not possible that Pa. cops give
>5 MPH leeway for speeding violations?

Of course it's possible. I'll even go out on a limb and say it
happens, and that it happens frequently. What's your point?

>> And since I can
>>accept the possibility, it would be prudent to verify his story. But
>>even if his story is absurd, that's not a valid excuse to dismiss the
>>possibility.
>
>Sure it is. When something does not cross the degree of probability
>threshold, it's better left to those who rant and rave about saucer
>men.

"...degree of probability threshold"? LOL! So just how many standard
deviations establishes the "degree of probability threshold" for such
a claim?

And BTW, just because I can accept something to be possible doesn't
mean I believe it's true.

>> I probably wouldn't waste my time trying to verify that
>>he can hear dogs with his teeth, but if -he- believes that he hears
>>dogs then I would certainly pay a bit more attention to his behavior.
>
>I would too, and I'd make sure my kids stay away from him......
>
>

>>>I know why. But the testimony of recognized people (such as cops) is
>>>often taken as fact. I guess it all depends on the person's
>>>credibility. You don't know the cops that I know, therefore you doubt
>>>their credibility. I, on the other hand, know them well, and some for
>>>over 25 years. So I take their word as fact.
>>
>>
>>So I should take their word as fact because -you- do? I don't think
>>so, Dave. The problem is that I don't take -your- word as fact, mainly
>>because you never provide any facts other than hearsay (which is
>>another word I think you should look up before you use it again).
>
>Frank, you really need to quit your condescending attitude when it
>comes to the definitions of words. I have handed you your head on a
>platter for every word you have ever accused me of using incorrectly,
>the latest being "forensics".

A word with a well-established definition that you refuse to accept in
favor of your television-based vocabulary.

> Sorry if you are a "purist" and can't
>accept that words have many definitions, but that's reality.

So because you are a "realist" then I should use words outside of
their definitions? And I should be expected to understand you when you
don't?

>>>>>Sure they are. Many sites like that are set up to help people beat
>>>>>speeding tickets. But some of that information should be considered
>>>>>skeptically.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>But why? Are they any less credible than your third-hand claims by
>>>>anonymous sources? Along the same train of thought, have you found a
>>>>website yet that can provide some -facts- about Kerry instead of vague
>>>>emails from nameless people?
>>>
>>>I can give you more sites than you'd care to read.
>>
>>
>>Sock it to me!!!
>
>Maybe when I have a little more time, and you lose some of your
>attitude.

More excuses. Hey, I almost forgot..... what the name of that tech
school, Dave?

>>> It's funny how you regard the things you don't
>>>want to hear as "hearsay", "propaganda" etc, yet sites of equal
>>>"credibility" which support your core beliefs, you are much more
>>>likely to consider as "facts".

>>
>>
>>What facts did I provide that could not be verified?
>
>The reasons for the Iraq war for one. The WMD issue for another.

The reason I gave for the Iraq war was not a fact, it was a conclusion
based on facts. And the WMD issue is a fact that has been accepted and
admitted by the Rove..... er, Bush administration.

<snip-o-roni>
>>> But when you support the political
>>>philosophies of a liberal, you are either a liberal yourself, someone
>>>who makes protest votes, or someone who lacks sufficient understanding
>>>of political ideologies.
>>
>>
>>Is it beyond the realm of possibility that I can think independently?
>>That I don't need to have my beliefs dictated to me by any political
>>or religious ideology? That I refuse to let myself be locked into any
>>side of a partisan debate? If I happen to agree with a position of one
>>political party, does that necessarily exclude me from agreeing with
>>any position from a different party? Or does the basic concept of
>>'independence' conflict with your "core beliefs"?
>
>That's all well and good, but I'm not about to vote for someone who's
>180 degrees out of phase with my core beliefs because of hearsay about
>the current president.

Maybe you made your choice based on -some- of your core beleifs, but
had forgotten about -other- core beleifs. Do you believe in a
democratic system of government? The concept of free and open
elections? That every citizen should have an equal say in how the
government is run?

>>>>>Your definition of what you claim to be politically, is a classic case
>>>>>of denial. It's funny how some liberals are ashamed to admit what they
>>>>>really are.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>You must have missed my postings over the past several years -- I have
>>>>never been ashamed to admit anything. In fact, I've probably admitted
>>>>more than most people really wanted to know. And after arguing with
>>>>Twisty for much of that time, I even admitted that he was right on the
>>>>Bush topics. Yet you can't even admit when you make one single little
>>>>mistake. It is -you- that is in denial, Dave, not me.
>>>
>>>I can very well admit when I've made a mistake, as I am about to do in
>>>another thread. But so far, you have not made a convincing case that
>>>I've made any, your opinion notwithstanding.
>>

>>
>>Ok, then I'll show you just how wrong you are. Here are my opinions
>>about Kerry:
>>
>>I think he is/was a Republican shill. He conceded the election early,
>>before the count was definitive, throwing both the Democratic party
>>and Edwards totally off guard (and right after Edwards made a speech
>>that they would not concede the election until all the votes were
>>counted).
>
>Kerry, in spite of his other failings, has a decent amount of class,
>and didn't want to be another "Sore loser" like Gore. Kerry also has
>hopes of running again in 08 (Unless the Hillary bandwagon runs him
>over), so his more gentlemanly reaction would serve him better in that
>regard.

I doubt that's the case. I caught a Senate hearing a few weeks ago and
he was ranting and raving like a lunatic about how the election was
stolen by the Bush campaign. Not very classy, especially from a person
who "didn't want to be another 'Sore loser'". My guess is that he was
reprimanded by the party for his premature ejaculation. But he's not
doing the party any good by raving like a lunatic -after- the tempers
of everyone else had cooled off.

>> Kerry & family then bailed to Governor Arnold's place for a
>>nice weekend vacation (remember that Arnold was a strong and outspoken
>>proponent of the Bush campaign).
>
>Arnold's wife is also a Kennedy, and a big democratic supporter.

She supported her husband in his campaign against a democrat.

>> Kerry was also visibly absent during
>>the Ohio recount, dumping the burden on the rest of the party.
>
>Because he wanted to distance himself from the bru-ha-ha. He's already
>set his sights on '08.

Got a link?

>>And don't even get me started about his campaign finances!!! But it's not
>>like this was the first time Kerry has bowed to Republican influences,
>>since most of his absences from the Senate were during votes for
>>Republican sponsored bills, and he rarely ever gave any opposition to
>>any bills that were opposed by his party.
>
>That bears further investigation. But how do you explain his votes
>which cut both defense and the military? That's not pro-republican.

There are a lot of bills that come 'padded' with pork-barrel spending
so a lot of good bills get shot down. And because of partisan politics
there are a lot of bad bills that get passed. That's what happens, and

if you look at the voting record of just about any other politician
you will find the same apparent contradictions. But some things -are-
consistent, and one of those is Kerry's absence during votes on key
republican issues.

>> Now this isn't to say that I
>>support the Democratic party, but if he can be a traitor to his own
>>party then what kind of credibility would he have as president? None.
>
>I agree, but for different reasons.
>
>>Does the Democratic party have a chance in 2008 with Howard Dean in
>>charge? Not unless Bush goes insane on public TV.
>
>
>Interesting. This is pretty imaginative thinking. It's almost as cool
>as a "Manchurian Candidate" scenario. But what you have is a
>collection of coincidences that, by themselves, mean little. But you
>have assembled them into a story that fits the conditions. That's not
>fact Frank, it's a conclusion.

Really? Are you sure about that?

>I agree that Dean will give the democratic party an even more visible
>kick toward the left, and that will drive away even more voters.
>Personally I love it!

I do too. It means more people will be voting for indepenent and
third-party candidates.

>>And don't even -think- that I would have voted for Bush just because I
>>don't like Kerry -- as I have pointed out before (and you have
>>vigorously denied), Bush is a criminal.
>
>He received a DUI almost 30 years ago. That's hardly the type of
>behavior that makes one a true criminal.

A "true" criminal? You aren't playing semantics on me, are you Dave?

>> He's the charismatic imbecile
>>that was chosen as a figurehead for the Rove administration, as run by
>>the Vulcans.
>
>Now you are starting to regurgitate left-wing pablum. Rove is nothing
>more than a shrewd campaign advisor. That shadow government conspiracy
>thing is really a giggle.

That's what -they- want you to think, Dave! ;-)

>> (BTW, want to know who runs the media? Just look what
>>happened when 'Enterprise' did a political commentary slamming Bush
>>and (quite literally) the Vulcans. The show was abruptly cancelled
>>despite ratings that would otherwise ensure its survival for at least

>>a couple more seasons.)
>
>You're kidding right? You're claiming that Star Trek Enterprise was
>canceled over a political statement? Do you have any proof of this,
>you know, facts? As far as I know the show was canceled due to
>lack-luster ratings. Nothing more, nothing less.

Well gee Dave, I don't have any more proof of that than you do that
Kerry is guilty of treason!

>>As for the future, I'll lay 5:1 odds that we
>>are back in Lebanon before the year is over.
>
>That's a really obvious prediction, considering the recent activities
>there.

The activities aren't any more prevalent than years past. The only
difference is that they are now getting coverage in the left-wing
liberally biased media.

>>You suggested that I'm a liberal because I voted for Nader -- I voted
>>for him because the other choices sucked. Plain and simple.
>
>So then, would you vote for Mao tse Tung, Josep Stalin, or Hitler, if
>the other choices sucked too?

Probably not since they're dead, Dave.

>But I should thank you. Nader and his votes helped Bush to get
>re-elected. Why do you think the democrats were so adamant on trying
>to block his name from the ballot?

A decision that will backfire in their faces, as will happen to the
Republican party when they do the same thing if the polls don't lean
in their favor in '08.

>>So how does -that- match up with your "core beliefs", Dave?
>
>Perfectly fine, thank you.

Perfectly hypocritical.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3247. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:00:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <f43p11pk3f6hu2qr48mc9mmrsdc2831e6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 196885

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 12:45:54 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <vnrm11h4sqv9ks3n00tjkhutprobg95fhb@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 07:05:47 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 06:57:35 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>>wrote in <147m11p6lajffi7k482vhn3i34uakld3dh@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>On Mon, 21 Feb 2005 04:25:30 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>
>>>Really? Then prove to me that a man walked on the moon Frank.
>>
>>
>>Do you want absolute proof? or just something more substantial than me
>>saying that someone else told it to me?
>
>Absolute proof.

Then provide absolute proof that paragraph 4 applies to "the vast
majority of cases".

>>>BTW, just because you can pull up thousands of news reports that claim
>>>it, that does not PROVE it.
>>
>>
>>So if if -you- pull up thousands of news reports that claim a
>>stopwatch is almost never used to catch speeders in PA, I should not
>>accept it as proof. Right? Well then, where does that leave your claim
>>that a couple cops told you so?
>
>See 3368(c)(4).

Saw it. It doesn't answer the question.

>>>We accept as fact that an American walked on the moon. But we only
>>>know it due to reports that were released to us by the news media.
>>
>>
>>Not to mention all the hams that tracked the mission, the instruments
>>that were left behind and continued sending data afterwards, the
>>reflectors used for laser experiments, etc, etc. Nope, none of that
>>amounts to proof if it isn't consistent with your "core beliefs".
>

>That means nothing. Those things could have been planted or relayed by
>satellite.

That's paranoid, Dave. What were you saying in another post about
"shadow governments"?

>>>There was a movie once, Capricorn One was the name, if my memory
>>>serves me, where NASA, trying to save face (and future funding) in
>>>light of the mandate to place a man on the moon, found that they just
>>>weren't ready, so they decided to "fake" it by sending up an empty
>>>rocket, and then filming the moon shots from a stage in a hanger. The
>>>drama ensued when the unmanned rocket unexpectedly burned up on
>>>re-entry and they were forced to kill the astronauts to protect the
>>>secret.
>>>
>>>It was an interesting work of fiction, but it underscores just how
>>>vulnerable we all are to information that is "provided" to us by the
>>>news media and the government.
>>>
>>>You really need a res fresher course on what is considered a fact.
>>
>>
>>You need to watch a little less fiction. Especially that paranoid,
>>conspiracy-theory crap.
>
>That's funny coming from the guy who claimed in another thread just
>today, that "Enterprise" was canceled because they "offended" the
>Bush administration, and who also claims that Karl Rove is the leader
>of the "Vulcan" underground, who is really running the country.

No, it's the Elvis/alien offspring that are running the country.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3248. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:00:58 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <m43p11hdro7n707b0p5codu9dmnocv86i3@4ax.com>
Source record: 196884

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 23:08:29 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
<Xns96061BF523AF1234567890@216.196.97.136>:

>Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050222194441.795$wl_-
>_@newsreader.com:
>
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> Mind if I forge your name or call sign?
>>>
>> Geo does it without complaint from you.
>
>I never forged his call sign assclown, and he isnt the only person named
>Dave Hall...

It was implied.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3249. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:01:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <s43p111mcp3h06i9fgsgq6rd17q8cf4m6g@4ax.com>
Source record: 196883

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 19:57:24 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote
in <dCQSd.7775$nP2.1783@fe11.lga>:

<snip>
>When are you two going to give it a rest? You are both radio intelligent
>but seem to enjoy the nonsense that is akin to the george & doug vs
>steve/shark/randy crap that is on this board.

I gotta agree with Stevo on this one, Jim. In the jargon of Bush: We
have resolve and we will prevail at any cost. BTW, have you registered
for the draft yet?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3250. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:01:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <le1p11pto8i0u7f1db03eiphek8dr5k71s@4ax.com>
Source record: 196889

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 09:03:13 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>I said you know your CB radios. I didn't say you know the theory
>>>behind their function. Everything you have said so far proves that, in
>>>fact, you don't.
>>
>>A conclusion that is yours alone. My reputation and experience speaks
>>for itself in this arena.
>
>
>Your reputation is that you are familiar with radio mods. Your
>experience reflects only your reputation, and seriously detracts from
>any claims about your education.

Actually, mods are not my area of expertise. I did mods for people
willing to pay for them. Personally I like to keep a radio basically
stock. My expertise, though, is in the area of repair. I would get the
radios that the other techs gave up on, or the ones that people
hopelessly butchered in a vain attempt at making a few more watts.

>>>>>Now I should apologize because it was not my intent to attack you.
>>>>>Dave was my target.
>>>>
>>>>That much was obvious. Why I should be anyone's "target" sheds light
>>>>on their true motives.
>>>
>>>
>>>I agree. Which explains why you jumped my shit when I criticized Bush.
>>
>>Is that what you think? You need to go back and seriously re-read that
>>stuff again. Yes, I disagreed with you, but it wasn't a malicious
>>personal attack followed by a vendetta of smear.
>
>
>Not at first. But after a few civilized posts you started implying
>that I was some sort of left-wing fanatic, culminating in a blatant
>accusation that I was a half-baked, fully-biased liberal.

I asked you if you were a liberal, which you initially never denied,
and beat around the bush about. When I pressed you hard for a
straight-up answer, you then denied it. But it wasn't an easy answer.

>And by your
>own admission about what you think about left-wingers and liberals,
>that was most definately a smear.

I feel that liberals are extremely naive, idealistic, and misguided.
But only if applies to you should you find it offensive and a "smear".

>You started it, Dave

No, I didn't.

>>>Hardly, and I can prove it by merely proposing that we take this to
>>>email. But I have already made that proposal and you rejected it.
>>
>>When?
>
>
>When you said, "I have no need or desire to take this to E-mail."

Which was only a day or so ago. What's your excuse for the last 3
months?

>>>>Remember, YOU made this personal.
>>>
>>>
>>>WRONG. I made some comments about Bush that -YOU- took personally,
>>>which is where this all started.
>>
>>No, I'm not the one chasing you and interjecting berating comments and
>>attempting to make hay of the simplest statements. YOU took it
>>personally and have been chasing me since.
>
>
>It takes two to tango, Dave.

Remember that Frank.

>If you didn't have something to prove (or
>defend), then why bother to reply to my posts?

I can ask you the exact same thing Frank. That's the really hilarious
part of all this. You can't see your role as the flip side of the same
coin, and equally culpable.

>If your "facts" were
>indeed facts then they could stand on their own merit. But they aren't
>facts at all, hence your need to watch over them like a house of cards
>and defend them against every little puff of smoke I blow in their
>direction.

A good choice of words. "Smoke" is about all that you can blow in my
direction.

>> I also use statistics and probability.
>
>
>So do I, but not when facts and logic best define the truth. And
>unlike you, I -don't- use stats or probability to disuade -against-
>facts and logic.

The logical process is beyond reproach. You cannot argue with a
logical conclusion based on a solid set of premises. But what I
question, and use other methods to validate, are the likelihood that
those premises are faulty.

>
>
>>>>> The response he receives is nothing more than a
>>>>>normal societal reaction to put him back in his place.
>>>>
>>>>And what "place" is that?
>>>
>>>
>>>As one of the more intellectual people on this group.
>>
>>As defined by whom?
>
>
>By me. It's clear that you are quite intelligent. It's a shame you
>abuse it so much because you could really make a difference in the
>world if you could use your brains instead of abusing them.

And you called ME ego driven "Alpha Male"?

>>>>> Either he gets
>>>>>the message and starts behaving himself
>>>>
>>>>"Behave myself"? In what way have I ever "misbehaved? Disagreeing with
>>>>your political ideology doesn't count.
>>>
>>>
>>>You misbehaved when you started practicing fallacious logic and
>>>disregarding indepentantly verifiable facts in favor of your "core
>>>beliefs".
>>
>>In your opinion.
>
>
>Your logical fallacies are not subject to opinion, Dave. They are
>documented fact.

Frank, you have made your share of fallacies as well. The truth by
repetition, bifurcation, denial of the antecedent, are what comes to
mind. But I'm not really keeping score.

That this constitutes "misbehaving" is a matter for debate

>
>Because you are more intelligent than most, which means you are held
>to a higher standard.

Really? Again, who are you (alpha male) to be placing yourself in a
position to make that judgement?

> Was this not explained to you when you were a
>child?

No. The only people held to higher standards are those who are in the public eye, are paid to perform a service which requires a higher standard, or those who are recognized as leaders in one vocation or another. I fall into none of those categories.

>
>
>>>>The facts are that you are chasing me. I'm not chasing you.
>>>
>>>
>>>Because it's my "core belief" that you really -are- intelligent, and
>>>unless someone challenges that intelligence you will just ignore it
>>>(like you ignore facts and logic) until your gray matter falls into
>>>disrepair. That would be a waste, IMHO.

Thank you for your concern, but I have more than my share of "challenges", that I'm paid for. This is recreation for me.

>>
>>It's not your job to be my nanny, so stop applying for the job, and
>>get back to the one you supposedly have.
>
>
>But you -like- it, Dave. If you didn't you wouldn't be responding to
>my every post.

I guess you must like it too then as you respond to my every post.

> I provide you with mental challenges, which are
>evidently missing from your off-line life.

Back at you.

> There's no shame in
>admitting that life is really friggin boring when you're smart. You
>just have to get past the overcompensated ego thing and you'll be all
>set for some -real- challenges!

So we finally get a glimpse into the real world of Frank Gilliland. Hey, there's no shame in admitting boredom. I'm glad that I can help you pass your time, until a another job which challenges you, comes your way. I hope it happens soon. But projection is one of the most easy to spot psych issues.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3251. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:02:56 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <e63p11hoop98ejd8bc70u0g1o74rje3mhn@4ax.com>
Source record: 196890

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 07:36:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <7vto11h9h1616418eqid465qhtrd6velj8@4ax.com>:

>.......vehemently.

At least I'm expanding your vocabulary.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3252. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:03:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050223090326.785$xb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196891

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> > Would you mind if I misrepresented myself as you?
>
Not at all, motherfucker. See you in the funny papers..or the upper
reaches of the freeband. oops not too high with that vfo now.

--
http://NewsReader.Com 30 GB/Month

3253. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:03:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <t63p11ddsi4ohgcuj1th659ms8s97lcdrb@4ax.com>
Source record: 196892

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 12:03:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>No, not everyone. There was no definitive rule that specifically
>>allowed them. Therefore the claim that they were legal was based on
>>pure speculation only.
>
>
>There's no definitive rule that allows chrome knobs and black paint on
>CB radios, either. The problem is your warped logic, Dave -- absence
>of evidence does -not- constitute proof of the opposite. When are you
>going to realize this fundamental flaw in your thought process?

So you never believed that noise toys were illegal? Could not the use
of a Roger Beep not be addressed in 95.412 and 95.413?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3254. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:06:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <rc3p11tr2id34s4aiu4rsepaljd4ofektk@4ax.com>
Source record: 196893

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 19:57:24 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
wrote:

>When are you two going to give it a rest? You are both radio intelligent
>but seem to enjoy the nonsense that is akin to the george & doug vs
>steve/shark/randy crap that is on this board.

It'll end when Frank finally realizes that I'm his evil twin.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3255. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:08:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <qg3p119ll0dkk8tcarm6p1cepmamh2el5p@4ax.com>
Source record: 196894

On 23 Feb 2005 05:16:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote:
>> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050222194441.795$wl_-
>> _@newsreader.com:
>>
>> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> >> Mind if I forge your name or call sign?
>> >>
>> > Geo does it without complaint from you.
>>
>> I never forged his call sign assclown, and he isnt the only person named
>> Dave Hall... LOL desperate arent you... but you would be good for
>> something like that, stalking, behind the back kinda deals you are king
>> of.
>>
>I heard it on 27.675 usb yesterday. Why would anyone use their call there?

Really? Well, if they're using my (or any) call, then they are acting
as a beacon to the FCC who takes a very dim view of hams on the
freeband. But when they find him and realize that it's not me, he'll
be in even more trouble.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3256. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:10:42 UTC
From: Dave <N3CVJ@invaild.invalid>
Message-ID: <20050223091042.450$ED@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196895

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 23 Feb 2005 00:31:30 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >In the mean time I'll continue to run my S-line just like I always
> >have. Please let me know if you see me on the rain report, or see
> >me busted with a felony.
>
> You'll know it before I will. But have fun anyway.
>
Sorta like I knew about your friends felony and rain report appearance
before you?

--
I love freebanding!

3257. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:15:15 UTC
From: Dave <N3CVJ@invaild.invalid>
Message-ID: <20050223091515.867$ef@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196897

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> On 23 Feb 2005 05:16:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >wrote:
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050222194441.795$wl_-
> >> _@newsreader.com:
> >>
> >> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> Mind if I forge your name or call sign?
> >> >>
> >> > Geo does it without complaint from you.
> >>
> >> I never forged his call sign assclown, and he isnt the only person
> >> named Dave Hall... LOL desperate arent you... but you would be good
> >> for something like that, stalking, behind the back kinda deals you
> >> are king of.
> >>
> >I heard it on 27.675 usb yesterday. Why would anyone use their call
> >there?
>
> Really? Well, if they're using my (or any) call, then they are acting
> as a beacon to the FCC who takes a very dim view of hams on the
> freeband. But when they find him and realize that it's not me, he'll
> be in even more trouble.
>
Fucker sounds like he's overdriving his linear too. Can you make
it sound like that on purpose?!

--
I love freebanding!

3258. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:15:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <te3p115q81q4sl5cpcah6fvuo1bsfae0iq@4ax.com>
Source record: 196896

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 09:01:22 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <le1p11pto8i0u7f1db03eiphek8dr5k71s@4ax.com>:

<snipapalooza>
>>> I also use statistics and probability.
>>
>>
>>So do I, but not when facts and logic best define the truth. And
>>unlike you, I -don't- use stats or probability to disuade -against-
>>facts and logic.
>
>The logical process is beyond reproach. You cannot argue with a
>logical conclusion based on a solid set of premises. But what I
>question, and use other methods to validate, are the likelihood that
>those premises are faulty.

Gee, that sounds familiar.....

<brev-ka-bob>
>> Was this not explained to you when you were a
>>child?
>
>No. The only people held to higher standards are those who are in the
>public eye, are paid to perform a service which requires a higher
>standard, or those who are recognized as leaders in one vocation or
>another. I fall into none of those categories.

You sell yourself short, Dave. You set the expectations of yourself
too low. What a waste.

<schnipe>
>> I provide you with mental challenges, which are
>>evidently missing from your off-line life.
>
>Back at you.

Ok, so those challenges are missing from your on-line life, too.

>> There's no shame in
>>admitting that life is really friggin boring when you're smart. You
>>just have to get past the overcompensated ego thing and you'll be all
>>set for some -real- challenges!
>
>So we finally get a glimpse into the real world of Frank Gilliland.
>Hey, there's no shame in admitting boredom. I'm glad that I can help
>you pass your time, until a another job which challenges you, comes

>your way. I hope it happens soon.

I never run short of challenges, Dave. If one doesn't present itself
then I'll go looking for a challenge. But at least I don't fool myself
into believing that such challenges are nothing but 'recreation'.

> But projection is one of the most
>easy to spot psych issues.

And you are the expert on that subject, Dave.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3259. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:20:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <044p11h2q3anlttg0dgj2nu8ld4gtsbpgo@4ax.com>
Source record: 196898

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 09:03:42 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <t63p11ddsi4ohgcuj1th659ms8s97lcdrb@4ax.com>:

>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 12:03:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>
>>>No, not everyone. There was no definitive rule that specifically
>>>allowed them. Therefore the claim that they were legal was based on
>>>pure speculation only.
>>
>>
>>There's no definitive rule that allows chrome knobs and black paint on
>>CB radios, either. The problem is your warped logic, Dave -- absence
>>of evidence does -not- constitute proof of the opposite. When are you
>>going to realize this fundamental flaw in your thought process?
>
>So you never believed that noise toys were illegal? Could not the use
>of a Roger Beep not be addressed in 95.412 and 95.413?

Only if you define it as such. The FCC doesn't, which should have been
painfully clear when it was proven that they authorized a CB radio
with the feature.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

3260. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:29:02 UTC
From: Dave <N3CVJ@invaild.invalid>
Message-ID: <20050223092902.812$41@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196899

Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote:
> On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 23:08:29 -0600, itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge
> <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> wrote in
> <Xns96061BF523AF1234567890@216.196.97.136>:
>
> >Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050222194441.795$wl_-
> >_@newsreader.com:
> >
> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>> Mind if I forge your name or call sign?
> >>>
> >> Geo does it without complaint from you.
> >
> >I never forged his call sign assclown, and he isnt the only person named
> >Dave Hall...
>
> It was implied.
>
Especially since he used the @ptd.net domain with it.

--
I love freebanding!

3261. Re: OT: Wendell Scott

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:47:23 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <%H0Td.6484$OU1.2101@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196900

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:WIsSd.51$477.19@fe09.lga...
> Landshark wrote:
>
>> "jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
>> news:JebSd.6873$Tu5.3254@fe12.lga...
>>
>>>Landshark wrote:
>>>
>>>>Pretty interesting program on the history channel about
>>>>Wendell Scott.
>>>>
>>>> Landshark
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Saw it also Sharkie. It was interesting though was it broadcast because
>>>its african history month or daytona weekend? Maybe both.
>>
>>
>> Yeah, I think it was both.
>>
>> He was smacked
>>
>>>around because of his race which is understandable back then but lets not
>>>make him a messiah.
>>
>>
>> Nope, but it was interstng to see the hoops he had
>> to jump through.
>>
>>
>>>Wish he raced now so he could prove his mettle but RIP.
>>
>>
>> Yeah, I'm sure he would have done better than
>> Willie T Ribbs.
>>
>> Landshark
>>
>>
> I had to google ribbs since i never heard of him. no wonder as he was a
> nonfactor racewise.

LOL!!!, he gave it a valiant effort though.

Landshark

--
Courage is what it takes to stand up
and speak; courage is also what it
takes to sit down and listen.

3262. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:49:25 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VJ0Td.6485$OU1.5817@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196901

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050222231911.293$tb@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> And a few pints of beer ;)
>> >>
>> >> Landshark
>> >>
>> > Off of your new tap no less!
>>
>> Tap's old, kegs new for the month.....
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Well as long as it ain't iron city or pilsners on call (piss on Cleveland)
> I'll drink it. Gotta pool table too?

Bass. No I don't have the pool table, Covered deck
with ceiling fans, stereo, working on getting cable TV
out there.

Landshark

--
Treat people as if they were what
they ought to be and you will help
them become what they are capable
of becoming.

3263. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 14:56:33 UTC
From: Dave <N3CVJ@invaild.invalid>
Message-ID: <20050223095632.985$v5@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196902

```
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050222231911.293$tb@newsreader.com...
> > "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> And a few pints of beer ;)
> >> >>
> >> >> Landshark
> >> >>
> >> > Off of your new tap no less!
> >>
> >> Tap's old, kegs new for the month.....
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > Well as long as it ain't iron city or pilsners on call (piss on
> > Cleveland) I'll drink it. Gotta pool table too?
>
> Bass. No I don't have the pool table, Covered deck
> with ceiling fans, stereo, working on getting cable TV
> out there.
>
> Landshark
>
Oh, well then perhaps a few boat drinks will be in order?

--
I love freebanding!
```

3264. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:12:02 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11814-421CAB52-20@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196903

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson

>Dang!

>When I read the subject header I was hoping

>Stienspender finally kicked the bucket! (hurray
>for small market baseball fans) :D

>--

Ouch! Hahaha...nah! I was checking out the weather chart to see if it was safe outside when I come across the temps up there. Figured I'd send a pic of the current conditions up that way. I don't watch baseball and haven't been a fan since the seventies, but NY Yankees are all over down here, so are the Toronto Blue Jays. In fact, one of the Yankees came into a business a few doors away and I had no clue who he was. Even after someone told me who he was, his name was more famous to me for dating a few pop icons than for playing baseball. I'll ask the gal who told me who he was, what his name is and get back with you.

3265. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:22:16 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11814-421CADB8-24@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196905

From: none@coldmail.com (Vinnie S.)
On 23 Feb 2005 04:19:11 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

(I'll drink it. Gotta pool table too?)

>Moped, I got this pool table. The guy left it with
>the house. Here is the kicker. I suck at pool.

>And I am not a biker. But the fucking thing

>looks great in the basement bar !!!!!

>http://www.dannyveghs.com/harley.htm

>Vinnie S.

Outstanding! You don't say what kind of shape it's in, but it should be covered with Simonis 860 Cloth, the rails should be firm and tight with no damage visible by eye or touch (running your finger lightly along the rails).
And sucking at pool can most definitely be corrected. Get yourself a good set of NEW balls and more importantly, get yourself a good stick. The stick will be the most important feature your game. Use a cheap stick (pool hall cues, etc.) as a beginner and you will pick up bad habits that will be difficult to break. Sticks vary in length and weight, but are all within a few ounces of each other. A good beginner's stick is a lower end Sneaky Pete. Figure about 100 bucks for a decent entry-level stick...anything much less than that and you can use a broomstick. If you are interested in leagues in your area, I can point you in the right direction.
Good luck with it. Have fun,

3266. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:29:11 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11818-421CAF57-2@storefull-3257.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196906

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 10:59:40 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
Once again, you illustrate your view is in the extreme minority. It is yourself who has been shown he was wrong concerning the law governing the hobby in which you said you pride yourself on being informed. this took place even when stubbornly availing yourself of the collective intellect of the majority of the group, who told you the information you held was wrong. Once again, you are wrong concerning the law of which you speak and (sigh) claim you are so adept.

>Do you have that written down on a

>manuscript somewhere? I truly believe you will
>have that written on your headstone when you
>die....

People like me don't die before people like you., Karma dictates we are around long enough to watch those not unlike yourself assume room tempature.

>I can autograph it for you if you wish.....

I already have your signature...in digital form,....you just haven't caught on, yet. As I said in the past, perhaps it will come in handy at some point regarding your predetermined future.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

3267. Re: Warm wishes to the yankees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:33:24 UTC
From: Dave <N3CVJ@invaild.invalid>
Message-ID: <20050223113324.449$AO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196904

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> http://community.webtv.net/iamnotgeorge/SkipConditionson
>
> >Dang!
>
> >When I read the subject header I was hoping
>
> >Stienspender finally kicked the bucket! (hurray
> >for small market baseball fans) :D
>
> >--
> =A0=A0
>
> Ouch! Hahaha...nah! I was checking out the weather chart to see if it
> was safe outside when I come across the temps up there. Figured I'd send
> a pic of the current conditions up that way. I don't watch baseball and
> haven't been a fan since the seventies, but NY Yankees are all over down
> here, so are the Toronto Blue Jays. In fact, one of the Yankees came
> into a business a few doors away and I had no clue who he was. Even
> after someone told me who he was, his name was more famous to me for
> dating a few pop icons than for playing baseball. I'll ask the gal who
> told me who he was, what his name is and get back with you.
>
Sounds like Keith Hernandez. lol

--
I love freebanding!

3268. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:41:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24890-421CB253-50@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196908

David T. Hall Jr. experiencing supreme difficulty focusing on related topics, blessed himself with twisted juice, made the sign of the cross, and spat forth:

> When something does not cross the degree

>of probability threshold, it's better left to those

>who rant and rave about saucer men.

That would be you and Leland, but "rave" isn't the proper adjective,,,,"rant" fills the void nicely.

3269. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:44:01 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24890-421CB2D1-51@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196910

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 11:08:06 -0500, iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I
AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote to Frank Gilliland:
>You are also responding somewhat

>predictably. I can almost guess what your

>responses will be. It's fun to watch actually

What is predictable, is the manner in which you deteriorate whenever
your logic and hypocrisy is illustrated effectively by one who is
capable.

>So when is that going to happen?

Check your responses. Each time you make a personal remark, it had
already occurred, but as usual, you are always the last to comprhend it.

>Be sure to let me know will ya.....

Everyone let's you know, David, but you call everyone a liar and attack
them personally.

>Dave

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

3270. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:46:58 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24891-421CB382-16@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196909

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall)
(Poor analogy. They still are require by law to
give at least 5 MPH leeway before writing a
ticket under most conditions.)

_
"Geo" just posted a passage claiming they
give a THREE to five MPH leeway. You can't
both be right.

>Truth is you may get a 5mph ticket in a school

>zone, but no where else, unless you've pissed

>off the cop by some other means. Agree Geo?
Which would invalidate the claim a -requirement- or law exists making it
mandatory to give a window of 5 MPH, correct?

--

3271. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:49:07 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24890-421CB403-52@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196907

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in
news:22600-421B5572-672 @storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
but your wild stabs in
the dark with your crayon is testament a-plenty.
>I liked your wild stab that Dave is me .

When you intentionally post to give the impression you are he, and
receive the reaction you always so crave, there is nothing "wild "about
it.

>..LMAO...hahaha talk about a

>communication deficit

My goodness, talk about a low self-esteem.

3272. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 16:55:14 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24892-421CB572-4@storefull-3255.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196912

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:22600-421B56EC-673@storefull-3252.bay.webtv.net:
Again, "Geo" just presented a passage that said THREE to five MPH. Both of you can not be correct.

>That passage was an answer to what

>someone who was interviewed said,

Then you need stp holding hearsay as proof. N3CVJ has been whacked with such techniques all week long.

>so that must be heresay according to Frank.

Yet you not only cite it as your proof, you
expose your hypocrsy. You just needlessly attacked me for incorrectly accusing me of interjecting in the thread of Hall getting an electronics education by Frank, yet here you are, squeezing Hall's fanny and positioning yourself as his defender at all cost, attacking those who are doing him a favor by correctly schooling him in the areas he is deficient, such as federal law pertaining to his hobby, and basic electronics pertaining thereof..

3273. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 17:05:34 UTC
From: N3CVJ@invaild.invalid
Message-ID: <20050223120534.378$OL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196911

iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
> iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote:
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave=A0Hall)
> (Poor analogy. They still are require by law to
> give at least 5 MPH leeway before writing a
> ticket under most conditions.)
> _
> "Geo" just posted a passage claiming they
> give a THREE to five MPH leeway. You can't
> both be right.
>
> >Truth is you may get a 5mph ticket in a school
>
> >zone, but no where else, unless you've pissed
>
> >off the cop by some other means. Agree Geo?
>
> Which would invalidate the claim a -requirement- or law exists making it
> mandatory to give a window of 5 MPH, correct?
>
Dunno what's on the books, I'm talking about real life driving.

--
I love freebanding!

3274. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 18:59:39 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109185179.019360.195790@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196914

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 00:10:09 -0600, coop874@webtv.net (j c) wrote:
>
> >hey vinnie i from alabama unit 2238 maybe this week we can qso i
will
> >fire up my yaesu ft 301 bought from a hamfest i need some cb
crystals
> >for it 73s
>
>
> Why do you need crystals? Do ham radios skip over 11 meters?
>
> Vinnie S.

yes vinnie ham radios skip over 11 meters cuz hams are not supposed to
operate on cb...DUH

3275. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 22:21:00 UTC
From: NORMAN TRIANTAFILOS <kb9ygd@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <gl7Td.26426$uc.23212@trnddc01>
Source record: 196915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

ah ha ha how true but i have seen so call hams(proffesional no code techs) gater on cb so they can use profanity.they seem to like the idea they can GET AWAY with it...ah ha ha 73, ``THE REAL HAM``

--
My Family Website--> http://mysite.verizon.net/res868sp/thetriantafilosfamily/index.html My Amatuer Radio Website--> http://www.qsl.net/kb9ygd/index.html http://dx.qsl.net/logs <---Search My Logbook

3276. hunter s. thompson quotes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 22:58:52 UTC
From: Birchy <birchcone@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109199532.699771.194410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196916

Quotes from Hunter S. Thompson...

"Absolute truth is a very rare and dangerous commodity in the context of professional journalism."

"The music business is a cruel and shallow money trench, a long plastic hallway where thieves and pimps run free, and good men die like dogs. There's also a negative side."

"I've always considered writing the most hateful kind of work. I suspect it's a bit like fucking, which is only fun for amateurs. Old whores don't do much giggling."

"I have a theory that the truth is never told during the nine-to-five hours."

"Going to trial with a lawyer who considers your whole lifestyle a crime-in-progress is not a happy prospect."

"The person who doesn't scatter the morning dew will not comb grey hairs."

"He that is taught only by himself has a fool for a master."

"Anytime there's a big sporting event, go to either the winning or losing town; there'll be riots in both of them. Riots are fun."

"Have an objective to give your bender a theme. For instance, stalking and killing a wild pig with a bowie knife."

"Some may never live, but the crazy never die."

http://www.fallingskyhazard.com/catalog.htm

3277. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 23:11:15 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6224-421D0D93-123@storefull-3251.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196918

From: wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net (Frank Gilliland)
On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 07:36:27 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <7vto11h9h1616418eqid465qhtrd6velj8@4ax.com>:
>.......vehemently.

At least I'm expanding your vocabulary.

_
Actually, I introduced the term to the group back in 1972
<g>,,...(ducks) ....er,,I mean 2002 to David,,or was it Leland? But you
most certainly got him to use it correctly.
I hate to get off topic here, but I really want to know what you think
is going to take place with Iran..are we going in? Meanwhile, N Korea is
posturing and John McCain (Thank God SOMEONE on the Senate Arms
Committee is paying attention) is saying it is absolutely imperative the
US retake Afghanistan. Yesterday wouldn't be too soon, as it has slipped
back in the hands of evil warlords and regime leaders who make Hussein
look like Mr. Rogers. Whatcha think? David? Chime on in here with a
prediction about the US invading Iran/Afghanistan/Pakistan/Perth, or
whoever the hell else is designated "evil" by the cowboy in the
WH.....my prediction is this,,Bush will eventually abandon Iraq and
declare it a success, regardless what happens there. If we invade Iran,
the loss of American life will be catastrophic by comparison to Iraq.

3278. WinScanner or WinTransceiver from Malzev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 23:28:16 UTC
From: stacker <cmi007@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cvj3ie$h91$1@news1.zwoll1.ov.home.nl>
Source record: 196917

Hi,

i've been trying to get WinScanner or WinTransceiver from Malzev for the President Jackson: Winjack.exe. I found all I need at a TriPod hosted site.

http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/cb-radio/jackson.htm
http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/cb-funk/ic-240.htm

http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software/ic-240.exe
http://members.tripod.com/~Malzev/radiodoc/software/winjack.exe

But Tripod blocks the downloads. Anyone knows how to get a hold of the software where its link is in the ?

tnx...Marco
cmi007@hotmail.com

3279. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 23:41:06 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24338-421D1492-141@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196920

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On 23 Feb 2005 05:16:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050222194441.795$wl_-
_@newsreader.com:
(I heard it on 27.675 usb yesterday. Why would anyone use their call
there?)

>Really? Well, if they're using my (or any) call,

>then they are acting as a beacon to the FCC

>who takes a very dim view of hams on the

>freeband. But when they find him and realize

>that it's not me, he'll be in even more trouble.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

You're right, but here is the flip side of which you did not consider.
Oxendine, while patroling the interstates in and around Gastonia (I-85,
US 321, etc.) has placed the FCC in a very precarious legal situation.
What Oxendine does, is make tapes of truck drivers he claims are
transmitting illegally on ten meter. He writes down their license plate
numbers and names of the company, if visible. He sends the info to
Hollingsworth and with nothing more than the word of the Ox-man,
Hollingsworth sends the truck companies a warning notice.
Flip side.

Now, if one were to tape your call being poached on the freeband, and
sent it to the FCC alleging you were breaking the law, the FCC has
obligated itself, by virtue of its actions with Oxendine, to treat the
dispositional actions of the complaint in THE EXACT SAME NATURE. And of
course, it doesn't matter if you're guilty or not, the notice is going
public..such is one of the benefits of having a clusterf*** like the FCC
in charge of such trivial bullshit.

Of course, failure by the FCC to follow their now-established protcol
could result in one bitch of a suit, and it is for this reason I am
beginning to question whether this chief enforcement officer for the FCC
has any legal background at all. If he went to law school, in my
personal opinion, the man should sue for breach of an educational

contract. Perhaps the FCC is in such piss poor shape because they keep hiring civil servants with no education related to the position for which they are hired.
I keep waiting for that super rich hammie to get busted by the FCC for some lame bullshit.

Now you know how Oxendine spends his free time. One can see how he at least believes his actions are pro-active. Tell me that boy don't eat, sleep, breathes radio!

3280. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 23:46:54 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24339-421D15EE-35@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196919

From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>So much for my digital -wish I was in Dixie-.

><g>

Been playing on the truck horn for years, courtesy of JC Whitney.
--

3281. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-23 23:54:55 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24338-421D17CF-143@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196921

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 19:57:24 -0500, jim <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote:
When are you two going to give it a rest? You are both radio intelligent
but seem to enjoy the nonsense that is akin to the george & doug vs
steve/shark/randy crap that is on this board.

>It'll end when Frank finally realizes that I'm his

>evil twin.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

No way! In the strangest of ways, Frank has an integrity that has never
been compromised. He has remained true to his "core beliefs" throughout
his posting history and the majority of his posts serve as testament
that he rarely contradicts himself.

3282. FS in Flint, MI area only: Uniden PC 78LTW with cigarette adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 02:45:29 UTC
From: TomCat4680 <tomcatgbmi@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1109213129.714991.137340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196922

FOR SALE: MINT CONDITION 40 CHANNEL MOBILE CB RADIO WITH CIGARETTE ADAPTER POWER SUPPLY CORD, ORIGINAL MICROPHONE AND ORIGINAL USER'S MANUAL. (ANTENNA AND MOUNTING BRACKET NOT INCLUDED, BUT IT IS MOUNTABLE)

MAKE AND MODEL: UNIDEN PC 78LTW

FEATURES: DIGITAL CHANNEL DISPLAY, 7 N.O.A.A. WEATHER CHANNELS, MANUALLY CONTROLLED MICROPHONE GAIN, MANUALLY CONTROLLED RF GAIN, AUTOMATIC AND MANUALLY CONTROLLED DYNAMIC SQUELCH CONTROL (UNIDEN EXCLUSIVE), MANUALLY CONTROLLED DELTA TUNE, SWR CALIBRATION OPTION, MULTI FUNCTION METER (NEEDLE), MANUALLY CONTROLLED BACKLIGHT, DIRECT TO CHANNEL 9 SWITCH, MANUALLY CONTROLLED NOISE BLANKER .

PRICE: $90.00 US. ONLY CASH WILL BE ACCEPTED (CHECKS, MONEY ORDERS OR ANYTHING ELSE WILL NOT). I WILL NOT HAGGLE OR TRADE.

CALL TOM MY CELL PHONE NUMBER IS 810-938-0324 AT ANY TIME, DAY OR NIGHT. I WILL MEET IN A PUBLIC PLACE ONLY.

I WILL NOT SHIP. I WILL SELL IN PERSON ONLY AND IN THE FLINT, MI AREA.

3283. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 02:50:16 UTC
From: robert casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <IhbTd.9826$x53.7886@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 196923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Dave Hall wrote:

>
> Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands.
> If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek
> out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
>
> From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in
> conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more
> operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to
> make that "rare" contact.
>

Gee, I could use a contact in North Dakota to finish off my
"Worked All States" award. Think I could use a freeband QSL
card? ;-)

3284. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 03:21:00 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109215259.984894.207930@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196924

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
> On 23 Feb 2005 05:16:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote:
> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050222194441.795$wl_-
> _@newsreader.com:
> (I heard it on 27.675 usb yesterday. Why would anyone use their call
> there?)
>
> >Really? Well, if they're using my (or any) call,
>
> >then they are acting as a beacon to the FCC
>
> >who takes a very dim view of hams on the
>
> >freeband. But when they find him and realize
>
> >that it's not me, he'll be in even more trouble.
>
> >David T. Hall Jr.
>
> >N3CVJ
>
> >"Sandbagger"
>
>
>
> You're right, but here is the flip side of which you did not
consider.
> Oxendine, while patroling the interstates in and around Gastonia
(I-85,
> US 321, etc.) has placed the FCC in a very precarious legal
situation.
> What Oxendine does, is make tapes of truck drivers he claims are
> transmitting illegally on ten meter. He writes down their license
plate
> numbers and names of the company, if visible. He sends the info to
> Hollingsworth and with nothing more than the word of the Ox-man,
> Hollingsworth sends the truck companies a warning notice.
> Flip side.
>
>
> Now, if one were to tape your call being poached on the freeband, and

> sent it to the FCC alleging you were breaking the law, the FCC has
> obligated itself, by virtue of its actions with Oxendine, to treat
the
> dispositional actions of the complaint in THE EXACT SAME NATURE. And
of
> course, it doesn't matter if you're guilty or not, the notice is
going
> public..such is one of the benefits of having a clusterf*** like the
FCC
> in charge of such trivial bullshit.
>
> Of course, failure by the FCC to follow their now-established
protcol
> could result in one bitch of a suit, and it is for this reason I am
> beginning to question whether this chief enforcement officer for the
FCC
> has any legal background at all. If he went to law school, in my
> personal opinion, the man should sue for breach of an educational
> contract. Perhaps the FCC is in such piss poor shape because they
keep
> hiring civil servants with no education related to the position for
> which they are hired.
> I keep waiting for that super rich hammie to get busted by the FCC
for
> some lame bullshit.
>
> Now you know how Oxendine spends his free time. One can see how he
at
> least believes his actions are pro-active. Tell me that boy don't
eat,
> sleep, breathes radio!

Jerry's busts are righteous busts. You think he imagines hearing cbers
on 10 meters? What've you got against bringing 10 meter poachers to
justice, twist? 'Fraid freebanders are gonna get caught up in the FCC
net too, hehe hehe? Assclown.

3285. Re: Sideband problem solved

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 06:11:25 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <heeTd.491437$Xk.368914@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.fuck.niggers,alt.politics.white-power,soc.culture.african.american

thanx comment steveo
pardon
figured u a friend
no friend to neo nazi, kkk, or just a simple idiot

the idea of having canada help to put weapons in space, is still not a good
idea.
canuck new finance day
everything is now update

8 years of surplus is okay for canada

now lets go politics

nope lets not go there

you figure it out

fool

<steveothehomo@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108466072.283860.57950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Vinnie S. wrote:
>> Astron RS-35M took care of that. At least I now have a supply for my
> ham rig
>> when I get it. Thanks for eveyone's help here.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>
> good for you, you simple minded filthy nigger!
>

3286. Re: vinnie loves nigger cock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 06:35:11 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <zAeTd.491718$Xk.298192@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.flame.niggers,alt.hackers.malicious,alt.non.racism

somehow u think u r importnt
why?
why the fuck r u here?
`

never met steveo
is he okay?
is he an asshoile

ain't about to be\
steveo and duggie sitting in a tree kissing.

guy took 10 dAYS OFF
fine
got my own problems
a liberal system
hey guy

you can not have killer satellites over canada

not if i have a say, and i will
so the question is, is steveo, male

he enjoys gender?
ya know wha? neo nazi, almost always make me vomit.

<steveothehomo@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108466403.828644.36640@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> steveo and vinnie love picking up niggers on their cb radios. vinnie
> loves to be packed in his ass but steveo would much rather swollow a
> nigger cock.
>
> i think steveo has a crush on dug88.....but dug88 wants twistie in his
> ass.
>

3287. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 07:04:13 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109228653.659916.211680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Landshark wrote:
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:hid611t7ku8uo8kr3j8pes4tk1oa0sba81@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 16 Feb 2005 00:14:14 -0500, Ham Guy <Kornform@aol.com>
wrote:
> >
> >>On Sun, 13 Feb 2005 12:02:00 GMT, Assneck Johnson
> >><AJohnson@neckonline.net> wrote:
> >>
> >>I wonder how many freebanders have ham licenses...
> >
> Dave responds with:
> >
> > Quite a few according to many of the more recent FCC enforcement
> > actions. It would seem that the FCC is more likely to pop a
licensed
> > ham for operating out of their assigned bands, than they are in
> > popping an unlicensed radio pirate.
> >
> > If you are going to freeband, having a ham license may actually be
> > detrimental.
> >
> > The reason freebanding is as rampant as it is is that the FCC is
> > simply not affecting all that many people. The chances of getting
> > popped are very slim, and they usually give you a warning first,
which
> > gives you a chance to pull the plug.
> >
> > Freebanding is a violation of federal radio law, but without
effective
> > enforcement, that earns very little respect among those who pursue
it.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> > http://home.ptd.net/~n3cvj
>
> Dave, you type this above, then type this 4 minutes later.
> Make up your mind

Yes, Dave, make up your mind. You are going to lose friends among the
freebanders if you say anything is wrong with it.

3288. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 07:16:20 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <8bfTd.491690$8l.988@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196928
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

did i not tell you
to stop being a nazi
darling u can only hope you can build a big ock with enough plaster of
paris.
stop going off site for your yuk yuks
as a fool u all do marginal

why post on my pages
i could care less about you

darling
you really have no idea of the men i have offered a bed to.

try to make believe you are a white woman

like maybe

good luck u guys
saw the newsgroups.
u were fucked befor u strted

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1108002401.147225.192040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> dug88 wrote:
>> thanx guys
>> actually embarasses me
>
> DUG88, DIDN'T I TELL YOU TO STAY THE FUCK OUT OF
>
> REC.RADIO.CB
>
>
> I'LL SHOVE MY COCK SO FAR UP YOUR ASS THEY WON'T BE ABLE TO SEE MY
> BALLS!
>

3289. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 07:21:52 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <kgfTd.491704$8l.361534@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196929
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

pardon me
why are u on gay pages?
hey only 9 inches up your butt

no buddy ever complainted

"Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
news:1108002401.147225.192040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> dug88 wrote:
>> thanx guys
>> actually embarasses me
>
> DUG88, DIDN'T I TELL YOU TO STAY THE FUCK OUT OF
>
> REC.RADIO.CB
>
>
> I'LL SHOVE MY COCK SO FAR UP YOUR ASS THEY WON'T BE ABLE TO SEE MY
> BALLS!
>

3290. Re: triple 1 is SOOOOOO hot !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 07:27:04 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <clfTd.491882$Xk.425105@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196930
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,alt.phreaking,alt.personals.gay

nope
gotta love a guy
want me nothing or less

9 inch is nothing'
the bite to the nape of your neck is EVERYTHING

hey buddy
when i say you purr, you will purr

dug88

"fatbloke_london" <Gerard40@your-pants.blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:2SXPd.102614$K7.39674@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk...
>
> "Landshark" <landshark@iname.com> wrote in message
> news:1108002401.147225.192040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> dug88 wrote:
>>> thanx guys
>>> actually embarasses me
>>
>> DUG88, DIDN'T I TELL YOU TO STAY THE FUCK OUT OF
>>
>> REC.RADIO.CB
>>
>>
>> I'LL SHOVE MY COCK SO FAR UP YOUR ASS THEY WON'T BE ABLE TO SEE MY
>> BALLS!
>
> I don't suppose you would like to do that to me sometime? :�O
>

3291. Re: Temporary leave on forging Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 12:07:59 UTC
From: N3CVJ@invaild.invalid
Message-ID: <20050224070759.521$L6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196931

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote:
> Dave <N3CVJ@invaild.invalid> wrote in news:20050223091515.867
> $ef@newsreader.com:
>
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> >> On 23 Feb 2005 05:16:47 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> >> >wrote:
> >> >> Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in news:20050222194441.795
> $wl_-
> >> >> _@newsreader.com:
> >> >>
> >> >> > Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> Mind if I forge your name or call sign?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> > Geo does it without complaint from you.
> >> >>
> >> >> I never forged his call sign assclown, and he isnt the only person
> >> >> named Dave Hall... LOL desperate arent you... but you would be good
> >> >> for something like that, stalking, behind the back kinda deals you
> >> >> are king of.
>
> so why you forging Dave?
>
Like you said, random numbers and letters.
>
> amongst others
>
Nope.
>
>.. dickhead
>
FOAD, wa3moj.

3292. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 12:16:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <ikfr11heqc46d62hhnbr8obfn77ju4uqda@4ax.com>
Source record: 196932

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 06:00:39 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>I'm not a cop, nor do I care to know why. I accept it as SOP that this
>>is the way things are done. The "why's" are irrelevant.
>
>
>The "why's" are -very- relevant since they are the motivations behind
>the volitions. I thought you said that psychology was your hobby?

The "whys" are beyond the scope of this discussion. That it occurs is
all that matters in this context.

>>>>What happens in other places is irrelevant. All I am concerned with is
>>>>the Commonwealth of Pa.
>>>
>>>
>>>Is PA so isolated that their law enforcement practices are
>>>significantly different than the rest of the US?
>>
>>I don't know.
>
>
>You don't know a lot of things about this subject, Dave. Yet you
>profess that the -one- thing you -do- know is fact.

I don't know what other states do. But I do know the law in my state.

Perhaps if someone on this group has insight on the speed tolerance
policies in their respective states, they would be kind enough to
provide their unique perspective.

>> I'm not all that interested in how other states do
>>things. But I do know how things are done where I live.
>
>
>You know this because some cop told you so? And you expect me to
>accept that as fact? Well, I know for a fact that some cops lie, and
>that some of them don't know the law as well as they should. Those are
>facts you can verify for yourself. So what proof do you have that this
>cop didn't lie, or that he knew the law well enough to make such an
>absolute statement?

Not "this cop", but "those cops"(plural). What you say is very true.
Cops can lie and some cops can be a little lackluster when it comes to
the laws they are supposed to be enforcing. But when several cops,

from different departments, tell you the same thing, you tend to
believe it a little more. For me, that constitutes a fact. It was
verified from several credible sources.

>>Do you have a better excuse than to claim that a single Barney Fife in
>>a small backwater town sitting by the side of the road holding a
>>stopwatch is going to invalidate my claim for the majority of the rest
>>of the cases?
>
>
>Do you have a better excuse than to claim that a single Barney Fife in
>a small backwater town told you that such methods are rare?

Not single Frank. The evidence supports this. You still haven't found
anyone who has gotten a ticket for less than 5 over.

>>>>>require -- 1. to insist upon, as by right; demand; order. 2. to demand
>>>>>as necessary; need; as, man -requires- food.
>>>>>
>>>>>Now is there anything in that definition which implies, generally or
>>>>>explicitly, any exceptions? Does it say, "almost always", or "most of
>>>>>the time", or "in a vast majority of cases"? No.
>>>>
>>>>Nonetheless, the state of Pa requires that LEO give at least a 5 mph
>>>>leeway for speeders pursuant to the conditions set forth in 3368(c)
>>>>(4). (Which is most of the time).
>>>
>>>
>>>You have yet to prove that leeway is given "most of the time", "in the
>>>vast majority of the cases", or any of the other conditions you added
>>>after your statement was proven wrong.
>>
>>3368(c)(4) clearly defines those cases.
>
>
>It defines cases where electronic devices are used. It does -not-
>declare that mechanical devices are rarely used.

Then I guess the state police should throw out all of their RADAR and
LIDAR guns and invest in stopwatches. Heck, that's got to be a lot
cheaper. I wonder what trips my RADAR detector every time I run by a
speed trap? Those wascally state police are just using radar to fool
us. They're really sitting there with a stop watch.

And I guess those VASCAR+ units sitting prominently on the consoles of
the local patrol cars are there for decoration only?

You're grasping again Frank. Maybe if you lived here, you'd realize
just how desperate you are sounding.

>>Find me any case of someone getting a ticket for less than 5 MPH over.
>

>
>In PA or anywhere?

The subject is the Commonwealth of Pa. That's all I care about.

> And if only in PA, what level of proof is
>acceptable? Just a claim by somebody saying they were popped for less
>than 5 over? Or are you going to require the official government
>documents.

It's probably too much to ask for a scan of the actual ticket..... A
claim on a website would probably be ok, but then again, you never
know what might be motivating the claimant.

>.... oh, that's right, official government documents don't
>carry any weight with you. So how about a declaration from Rush that
>you -can- get a ticket for 5 over in PA (assuming I can contact him at
>the rehab center)?

What relevance does Rush have to this discussion?

>>>>>Your statement was wrong, Dave. That much is clear to "the vast
>>>>>majority" of people. The only question that remains is how many more
>>>>>excuses you are going to try.
>>>>
>>>>Give it up Frank. You're grasping at straws and are looking
>>>>increasingly more foolish for doing so.
>>>
>>>
>>>Your plea is a classic indicator that you are running out of excuses.
>>
>>My "excuse" is the same as it has always been. Statute 3368. You
>>cannot argue the clearly worded fact that it states that under the
>>conditions of electrical and electronic devices that they must give at
>>least 5 MPH "leeway".
>
>
>You cannot ignore your blatant omission that the required leeway only
>applies to electronic devices.

Which are used in the vast majority of cases.

>>I never said "all the time", because every rule has an exception.
>
>
>Well that's lame -- you can fabricate a 'rule' that says Republicans
>are honest, but that would hold as much water as a sieve. And since
>the 'rule' you cited applies to electronic devices while a different
>rule applies to mechanical devices, the use of a mechanical device is
>-not- an exception to the rule restricting electronic devices.

The specifications for electronic and mechanical devices are
conditions for what is an acceptable speed timing device in Pa. They

are both conditions for the same rule. Since electronic devices are used in the majority of cases, they have to abide by 3368(c)(4) when using them. This becomes the de-facto "rule". The few under budgeted towns that may still be using stopwatches would be the "exception".

>> And
>>you are attempting to base your claim on that exception rather than
>>the rule.
>>
>>You're excuse then became that "well they can use a stopwatch"
>>(giggle). But you have thus far failed to provide any examples of
>>either locales in this state which routinely use a stopwatch,
>
>
>Where did I say "routinely"?

Well, in order to prove my statement wrong, you have to prove that a significant number of municipalities use mechanical speed measuring devices, which would invalidate my claim pursuant to 3368(c)(4). Otherwise, my statement stands.

>> or any
>>people who have gotten a ticket for under 5 MPH over the limit, or any
>>other case which would show that my statement would not apply in the
>>vast majority of cases.
>
>
>You made a statement. I proved your statement was wrong.

You're doing the "truth by repetition" fallacy again. No matter how many times you claim to have proven me wrong, it doesn't make it true. You have proven nothing. You cannot provide a single case of either a town in this state which uses a stopwatch to routinely time speed, or a person who has gotten a ticket for under 5 MPH over. Just because you disagree with my statement, is not "proof".

> It's now up
>to -you- to prove that your statement is right.

The proof is in 3368(c)(4). Now it's your turn. Prove to me that this isn't the case in the majority of cases.

>>You're list of excuses has now run down to now just blurting out that
>>I am wrong, while heretofore failing to effectively prove it.
>
>
>I -did- prove it. Then you amended your statement. Validate your
>amendment.

With what proof? The statute clearly defines the conditions where cops MUST give leeway. But there's also nothing to say that they can't apply it across the board in all cases, just for the sake of consistency. From what my cop friends have told me, it's simpler to do

that than have the hassle of arguing the points in court. There are plenty of speeders exceeding the 5 MPH leeway, that they don't have to worry about those under that mark. That's the simple reality of the situation.

>>You can call me wrong until you're blue in the face, but it doesn't
>>make it so.
>
>
>Grade-school rhetoric.

No, it's logical truth. You have failed to provide anything more authoritative than your own contradiction. You remind me of that old Monty Python skit, where the guy pays for an argument, but only gets simple contradiction.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3293. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 12:23:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <mehr11pr5c1gftd18m2pcf3i6so80dvbbh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196933

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 06:00:52 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>Do you want absolute proof? or just something more substantial than me
>>>saying that someone else told it to me?
>>
>>Absolute proof.
>
>
>Then provide absolute proof that paragraph 4 applies to "the vast
>majority of cases".

You first.

>>>>BTW, just because you can pull up thousands of news reports that claim
>>>>it, that does not PROVE it.
>>>
>>>
>>>So if if -you- pull up thousands of news reports that claim a
>>>stopwatch is almost never used to catch speeders in PA, I should not
>>>accept it as proof. Right? Well then, where does that leave your claim
>>>that a couple cops told you so?
>>
>>See 3368(c)(4).
>
>
>Saw it. It doesn't answer the question.

Sure it does. It's the basis for their statements, since they all use
electronic speed measuring devices.

>>>>We accept as fact that an American walked on the moon. But we only
>>>>know it due to reports that were released to us by the news media.
>>>
>>>
>>>Not to mention all the hams that tracked the mission, the instruments
>>>that were left behind and continued sending data afterwards, the
>>>reflectors used for laser experiments, etc, etc. Nope, none of that
>>>amounts to proof if it isn't consistent with your "core beliefs".
>>
>>That means nothing. Those things could have been planted or relayed by
>>satellite.
>
>
>That's paranoid, Dave.

No, that's called "reasonable doubt". The technology existed to do
just that, if it was what they wanted.

>What were you saying in another post about
>"shadow governments"?

Conspiracies that YOU brought up.

>>>You need to watch a little less fiction. Especially that paranoid,
>>>conspiracy-theory crap.
>>
>>That's funny coming from the guy who claimed in another thread just
>>today, that "Enterprise" was canceled because they "offended" the
>>Bush administration, and who also claims that Karl Rove is the leader
>>of the "Vulcan" underground, who is really running the country.
>
>
>No, it's the Elvis/alien offspring that are running the country.

No, it's the Vanderbilts and Rockerfellers, and the ghost of John
Jacob Astor.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3294. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 13:36:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <brhr1154240ao6a14f58gkor4ooahcf4td@4ax.com>
Source record: 196934

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 06:00:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>>>Not according to the DOT. They conducted a study that showed how
>>>anticipation was far more accurate than reaction, just as described in
>>>the page I cited. In fact, the DOT provides training material for cops
>>>learning to use a stopwatch. They also describe how accuracy is
>>>improved as the distance between the markers is increased, a tactic
>>>which is used to invalidate arguments made by people trying to
>>>discredit the method based on mathematics. They also note (as stated
>>>in the cited page) that tolerances are granted in favor of the
>>>suspected speeder.
>>
>>Tolerances eh? Like maybe 5 mph over? Maybe you're finally waking up
>>to why this is done.
>
>
>No, tolerances like the statistical error that's calculated from the
>distance travelled, the calibration error tolerance of the device (a
>constant), and the anticipation error as defined by the DOT (also a
>constant).

Anticipation error is NOT a constant. It depends on human perception.
The time your brain recognizes the need for action, until your finger
actually hits the switch, can vary from person to person, and within
the same person depending on environmental distractions.

> The result is a variable because of the distance travelled
>(not a fixed 5mph) and the error is included in the calculation. So if
>the cop clocks you at 56 then the error is already included in the
>calculation and the bell curve is shifted in favor of the suspteced
>speeder.

Yes, it's called "tolerance".

> Therefore, the calculation is the -lowest- speed within the
>tolerance of the method. IOW, the speeder was doing -at least- 56 mph
>with a statistical probability that can be mathematically calculated,
>and that probability is more than likely going to be high enough to
>convince a judge, which is all that matters.

If it turns out that he's really doing 60 MPH then the tolerance is
still there, it's just built into the calculation. In any case, it's
still not his true speed.

>>> This makes the stopwatch method very accurate,
>>>reliable, and fair.
>>
>>No kidding. The longer the distance between the points, the less of an
>>effect the reaction error will make on the calculation. As a
>>performance boater, we often make timed runs on a calibrated 1/2 mile
>>run, and time the results with a stopwatch. I am fully aware of how it
>>is done. At 1/2 mile, the reaction time error is virtually
>>meaningless. But that's hardly practical for enforcing speed.
>
>
>Really? PA doesn't have highways?

So you are telling me that you can spot two points spaced 1/2 mile apart from the same local vantage point without parallax errors? It works if you are timing from the moving vehicle itself. But spacing of a 1/2 mile is not practical for a stationary timer. That's' why "speed zones" are a much shorter fraction of a mile.

>
>
>> Seeing how you've latched on to this no-win
>>topic with the teeth of a pit-bull, I'd be an idiot to think you'd
>>grant me any due consideration.
>
>
>You're speculating again.

Probability based on past behavior.

>> I really don't feel like getting
>>involved in the intellectual equivalent of banging my head against the
>>wall with you.
>
>
>So you confront me on statements I made

No, you contradicted my statement in regard to the tolerance given to speed in Pa.

> asked me for facts to back them up, I give you the facts

No, you gave me speculative excuses, based on a minor potential exception to the common practice.

>, you waste several weeks making excuses
>for why you won't address them, and now say you don't feel like
>butting heads?

I am more than happy to debate the points within my direct area of knowledge. I am not, however, interested in embarking on a major research project, when I have neither the time, nor am I getting paid to do it. In business terms, it's not a worthwhile investment.

>> You will believe what you want to believe, and I will
>>do likewise. I'll let history be the judge of who was right.
>
>
>Wrong. If there are logical conclusions that can be derived from facts
>then that's what I will believe. So where are your facts, Dave.

I haven't seen yours yet.

>>>>>If I said that my neighbor Ralph said that he can receive the thoughts
>>>>>of Scottish Terriers through a metal tooth filling, would you consider
>>>>>it a fact? I don't think so (not unless it coincided with one of your
>>>>>"core beliefs").
>>>>
>>>>Of course not, since the statement is absurd. But if your neighbor
>>>>Ralph told you that he saw a person messing with your car, you might
>>>>be inclined to believe him.
>>>
>>>
>>>I might be inclined to check my car.
>>
>>You'd be an idiot not to.
>>
>>>That doesn't mean I believe him,
>>>only that I believe that what he said is possible.
>>
>>So you are basically saying that it's not possible that Pa. cops give
>>5 MPH leeway for speeding violations?
>
>
>Of course it's possible. I'll even go out on a limb and say it
>happens, and that it happens frequently. What's your point?

Then why argue with what I stated? It's SOP in this state to give 5MPH
leeway when timing speeders.

I made a fairly general statement. You want to pick nits about the
specificity of what it means.

Do you want a politically correct reformulation of that statement?

If I say that the state of Pa. routinely gives at least 5 MPH grace
for speeders, due mostly to requirements set forth in statute
3368(c)(4), and at the discretion of the LEO in other cases, would
that make you happy?

The point being, you will be hard pressed to find people who have
received tickets for less than 5 MPH over, and an even less percentage
of those who actually had them stick.

>>Sure it is. When something does not cross the degree of probability
>>threshold, it's better left to those who rant and rave about saucer
>>men.
>

>
>"...degree of probability threshold"? LOL! So just how many standard
>deviations establishes the "degree of probability threshold" for such
>a claim?

That depends on the claim. You keep forgetting that most things are
relative. There are few absolutes.

>And BTW, just because I can accept something to be possible doesn't
>mean I believe it's true.

Sure. I would expect no less.

>>>So I should take their word as fact because -you- do? I don't think
>>>so, Dave. The problem is that I don't take -your- word as fact, mainly
>>>because you never provide any facts other than hearsay (which is
>>>another word I think you should look up before you use it again).
>>
>>Frank, you really need to quit your condescending attitude when it
>>comes to the definitions of words. I have handed you your head on a
>>platter for every word you have ever accused me of using incorrectly,
>>the latest being "forensics".
>
>
>A word with a well-established definition that you refuse to accept in
>favor of your television-based vocabulary.

I never said that I don't accept the "other" meanings. Only that you
were wrong in claiming that my usage was not consistent with at least
one of the given meanings. If you have a problem with that, then you
need to take it up with the people responsible for "inventing"
definitions.

>> Sorry if you are a "purist" and can't
>>accept that words have many definitions, but that's reality.
>
>
>So because you are a "realist" then I should use words outside of
>their definitions? And I should be expected to understand you when you
>don't?

Nobody used the terms outside of their definitions. In every case
where you have criticized my use of vocabulary, I have provided
definitions of the terms which are consistent with my usage.

Now, who has the problem here? Twisty tried the same thing before, and
when I set him straight, his excuse was that my reference was
inaccurate, or inferior. But again he, like you, need to take that up
with the people who publish dictionaries.

>>>> It's funny how you regard the things you don't
>>>>want to hear as "hearsay", "propaganda" etc, yet sites of equal
>>>>"credibility" which support your core beliefs, you are much more

>>>>likely to consider as "facts".
>>>
>>>
>>>What facts did I provide that could not be verified?
>>
>>The reasons for the Iraq war for one. The WMD issue for another.
>
>
>The reason I gave for the Iraq war was not a fact, it was a conclusion
>based on facts. And the WMD issue is a fact that has been accepted and
>admitted by the Rove..... er, Bush administration.

How come the absence of evidence fallacy is a no-no when you claim I
use it, but on the issue of WMD it's as good as fact?

>>>Is it beyond the realm of possibility that I can think independently?
>>>That I don't need to have my beliefs dictated to me by any political
>>>or religious ideology? That I refuse to let myself be locked into any
>>>side of a partisan debate? If I happen to agree with a position of one
>>>political party, does that necessarily exclude me from agreeing with
>>>any position from a different party? Or does the basic concept of
>>>'independence' conflict with your "core beliefs"?
>>
>>That's all well and good, but I'm not about to vote for someone who's
>>180 degrees out of phase with my core beliefs because of hearsay about
>>the current president.
>
>
>Maybe you made your choice based on -some- of your core beleifs, but
>had forgotten about -other- core beleifs. Do you believe in a
>democratic system of government?

Yes. And how is that at risk with Bush?

> The concept of free and open elections?

Again, how is Bush in any way responsible for eroding that?

> That every citizen should have an equal say in how the
>government is run?

And yet again, how is Bush or the republicans any more involved in
eroding those concepts.

Facts only please. Leave the op-ed pieces from left-wing propaganda
mongers at home.

>>>Ok, then I'll show you just how wrong you are. Here are my opinions
>>>about Kerry:
>>>
>>>I think he is/was a Republican shill. He conceded the election early,
>>>before the count was definitive, throwing both the Democratic party
>>>and Edwards totally off guard (and right after Edwards made a speech

>>>that they would not concede the election until all the votes were
>>>counted).
>>
>>Kerry, in spite of his other failings, has a decent amount of class,
>>and didn't want to be another "Sore loser" like Gore. Kerry also has
>>hopes of running again in 08 (Unless the Hillary bandwagon runs him
>>over), so his more gentlemanly reaction would serve him better in that
>>regard.
>
>
>I doubt that's the case.

Doubt? That's a feeling, not a logical conclusion based on fact.

> I caught a Senate hearing a few weeks ago and
>he was ranting and raving like a lunatic about how the election was
>stolen by the Bush campaign.

Not something you would expect from a "republican shill" eh? How do
you reconcile those seeming contradictions in behavior?

> Not very classy, especially from a person
>who "didn't want to be another 'Sore loser'".

I never said he wasn't a sore loser, I said that he didn't want to
appear like one. He will need to woo votes for a run in '08. You saw
what happened to Gore after 2000. Heck Howard Dean was the
front-runner in '04 until his famous "Yaaaaaaarrrrr" outburst.
Political strategists are cognizant to the P.R. aspects of their
candidates image. The don't front the best candidates. They front the
candidate they feel stands the best chance of WINNING.

>My guess

Guess? That's a feeling, not a logical conclusion based on fact.

> is that he was
>reprimanded by the party for his premature ejaculation. But he's not
>doing the party any good by raving like a lunatic -after- the tempers
>of everyone else had cooled off.

Well as long as we're speculating and not relying on any facts, Kerry
want's to be CNC. He will be working behind the scenes to highlight
each and every case where Bush may stumble just to remind people that
"I could have been your leader". His whole campaign will be based on
the mistakes of Bush, and how American now has a second chance to
"correct" those mistakes. Of course it's a huge gamble. There are some
significant variables. First off, like in true democrat fashion, he
has to hope that our country does poorly, in both the economy and in
the war on terror. If most of Bush's policies turn out a positive
outcome, he will be seen as the "wrong" choice. The other variable is
Hillary. Right now, according to most polls, she's the dem's best bet
for '08. How he will deal with that will be interesting. It's

interesting to note that Hillary is slowly realigning her "core
beliefs" to be much less left leaning, and more moderate. Yet, she's
still indicating that she's not really interested in running. Uh
huh.....

>>> Kerry & family then bailed to Governor Arnold's place for a
>>>nice weekend vacation (remember that Arnold was a strong and outspoken
>>>proponent of the Bush campaign).
>>
>>Arnold's wife is also a Kennedy, and a big democratic supporter.
>
>
>She supported her husband in his campaign against a democrat.

The Kennedy's and Kerry's are friends.

Arnold is not that conservative of a republican. On fiscal matters
he's somewhat conservative, but on social issues, he's pretty liberal.

Besides, people are not allowed to be friends with people of different
party affiliations? The fact that Kerry was at Ah-nolds home is not
necessarily political in nature.

>>> Kerry was also visibly absent during
>>>the Ohio recount, dumping the burden on the rest of the party.
>>
>>Because he wanted to distance himself from the bru-ha-ha. He's already
>>set his sights on '08.
>
>
>Got a link?

Here's one:

http://www.nydailynews.com/front/story/267682p-229161c.html

>>>And don't even get me started about his campaign finances!!! But it's not
>>>like this was the first time Kerry has bowed to Republican influences,
>>>since most of his absences from the Senate were during votes for
>>>Republican sponsored bills, and he rarely ever gave any opposition to
>>>any bills that were opposed by his party.
>>
>>That bears further investigation. But how do you explain his votes
>>which cut both defense and the military? That's not pro-republican.
>
>
>There are a lot of bills that come 'padded' with pork-barrel spending
>so a lot of good bills get shot down. And because of partisan politics
>there are a lot of bad bills that get passed.

That's why I support the line item veto.

> That's what happens, and
>if you look at the voting record of just about any other politician
>you will find the same apparent contradictions. But some things -are-
>consistent, and one of those is Kerry's absence during votes on key
>republican issues.

That could be explained by the reality that the senate is controlled
by republicans. Unless there is dissention within the republican
ranks, a republican sponsored bill stands a good chance of passing
whether Kerry votes or not. Since voting would be a waste of his time,
he can not bother to travel to D.C. to vote and then be free to
concentrate on doing "other things" (golf?). There's also the
possibility that a "no vote" might look better than a negative vote on
what might turn out to be a popular conservative bill.

>>> Now this isn't to say that I
>>>support the Democratic party, but if he can be a traitor to his own
>>>party then what kind of credibility would he have as president? None.
>>
>>I agree, but for different reasons.
>>
>>>Does the Democratic party have a chance in 2008 with Howard Dean in
>>>charge? Not unless Bush goes insane on public TV.
>>
>>
>>Interesting. This is pretty imaginative thinking. It's almost as cool
>>as a "Manchurian Candidate" scenario. But what you have is a
>>collection of coincidences that, by themselves, mean little. But you
>>have assembled them into a story that fits the conditions. That's not
>>fact Frank, it's a conclusion.
>
>
>Really? Are you sure about that?

I'm not sure about anything political. There's "Likely" and
"Unlikely", "Possible" and "Probable"

>>I agree that Dean will give the democratic party an even more visible
>>kick toward the left, and that will drive away even more voters.
>>Personally I love it!
>
>
>I do too. It means more people will be voting for indepenent and
>third-party candidates.

That's a good thing.

>>>And don't even -think- that I would have voted for Bush just because I
>>>don't like Kerry -- as I have pointed out before (and you have
>>>vigorously denied), Bush is a criminal.
>>
>>He received a DUI almost 30 years ago. That's hardly the type of
>>behavior that makes one a true criminal.

>
>
>A "true" criminal? You aren't playing semantics on me, are you Dave?

It's not like he killed someone, committed perjury, fraud, or any
other felonious crime. What he got was a basically a summary offense
which he paid the fine for.

>
>>> He's the charismatic imbecile
>>>that was chosen as a figurehead for the Rove administration, as run by
>>>the Vulcans.
>>
>>Now you are starting to regurgitate left-wing pablum. Rove is nothing
>>more than a shrewd campaign advisor. That shadow government conspiracy
>>thing is really a giggle.
>
>
>That's what -they- want you to think, Dave! ;-)

Yes, that's exactly what they want us to think. The Vanderbilts or the
Reticulan Aliens would be pissed if the truth came out. ;-)

>>> (BTW, want to know who runs the media? Just look what
>>>happened when 'Enterprise' did a political commentary slamming Bush
>>>and (quite literally) the Vulcans. The show was abruptly cancelled
>>>despite ratings that would otherwise ensure its survival for at least
>>>a couple more seasons.)
>>
>>You're kidding right? You're claiming that Star Trek Enterprise was
>>canceled over a political statement? Do you have any proof of this,
>>you know, facts? As far as I know the show was canceled due to
>>lack-luster ratings. Nothing more, nothing less.
>
>
>Well gee Dave, I don't have any more proof of that than you do that
>Kerry is guilty of treason!

Then why hold me to a standard that you don't hold yourself to? You do
know the meaning of the word which describes this don't you?

>>>As for the future, I'll lay 5:1 odds that we
>>>are back in Lebanon before the year is over.
>>
>>That's a really obvious prediction, considering the recent activities
>>there.
>
>
>The activities aren't any more prevalent than years past.

Lebanon has been relatively quite for the last several years. Until
the lasted car bomb assassination.

> The only
>difference is that they are now getting coverage in the left-wing
>liberally biased media.

Something is finally newsworthy for them. It involved terrorists
killing someone.

>>>You suggested that I'm a liberal because I voted for Nader -- I voted
>>>for him because the other choices sucked. Plain and simple.
>>
>>So then, would you vote for Mao tse Tung, Josep Stalin, or Hitler, if
>>the other choices sucked too?
>
>
>Probably not since they're dead, Dave.

Assuming they were alive. Remember, this is a hypothetical. Or for
someone less radical, how about Lyndon Larouche?

>>But I should thank you. Nader and his votes helped Bush to get
>>re-elected. Why do you think the democrats were so adamant on trying
>>to block his name from the ballot?

>A decision that will backfire in their faces, as will happen to the
>Republican party when they do the same thing if the polls don't lean
>in their favor in '08.

Well, that remains to be seen. I don't know who are the best
candidates that the repub's can run in '08. McCain is a good "olive
branch" type moderate, but he's getting a bit old and in questionable
health. Rudy Guiliani is charismatic and has his handling of 9/11 in
his favor. But there's a worry that he's not "conservative enough".
There's been talk of Condi Rice as a counter to Hillary.

>Perfectly hypocritical.

Maybe you should re-examine your own beliefs and methods before
labeling anyone else a hypocrite

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3295. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 14:52:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <a5mr1114klstikaqabu7ou45lict14drg6@4ax.com>
Source record: 196935

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 06:00:29 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 09:47:06 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <fjcm11l8djvn0spgs3kd9snte40mr57515@4ax.com>:
>
><brev-o-snip>
>>There are, however, some shortcuts that you can take, when you
>>recognize a particular fault. It saves you the trouble of following
>>some unnecessary steps.
>
>
>Scary.

Why is that?

>
>
>>I had a problem in the automatic transmission in the wife's Chevy
>>Astro Van a few years back.
>
>
>Even scarier.

What is that scary? You never had a tranny problem?

>> Seems that when you would drive it for an
>>extended period of time, the transmission would start shifting hard,
>>and the MIL light would light up and set an P1870 trouble code in the
>>ECU. Looking up the code, it stated that is was a transmission
>>slippage code, and the hard shifts were a result of the system
>>pressure increasing to compensate for the slippage. The only remedy
>>that the dealer would offer was a $2000 replacement transmission. I
>>turned to the web and started looking for other people who had had
>>similar problems (experience). I found out a lot. It seems that the
>>problem was caused by the PWM valve (or more accurately the bore for
>>it) for the lockup torque converter clutch. This was in the
>>transmission valve body and was made of aluminum and after about
>>45-50K miles, it would have worn sufficiently enough to cause the
>>problem that I had. I found that a company (Sonnax) sells a bore
>>reamer and a replacement steel sleave that can be installed in the
>>valve body, which would restore proper operation. So for about $90, I
>>bought the parts and performed the work (Dropping a valve body is a
>>bit messy though). The problem was solved.
>>
>>Now, would I have been able to get to this point by logical

>>progression alone? Maybe. But by tapping the experience of other
>>people, I was able to solve the problem without a lot of mistakes and
>>expense.
>>
>>This is just one of many such examples.
>
>
>You relied on troubleshooting that others had done and took a chance
>that your problem was the same.

Exactly! I used experience in a particular problem (In this case the
experience of many other people) to save me the time of having to find
it out for myself. I saved time and I saved money.

>It paid off, lucky for your wife. I
>don't know squat about transmissions so whenever something goes wrong
>I take mine to Joe's Transmissions (recently relocated to N. Market)
>because I know they will do the job right.

I do know something about transmissions, but I lack many of the
special tools necessary to do the major work myself. But since this
was a simple valve body problem, it was well within my expertise to do
myself. I saved over $1900. I'd say it was worth it.

This is a well known problem with late model 90's GM 4L60
transmissions. If anyone is having a similar problem, let me know and
I'll point you to some excellent websites when can help.

>>>That excuse might work if the focus of Forensics clubs had changed
>>>from speech to science. The definition of 'forensic science' is well
>>>established and -your- definition is wrong (despite any "core belief"
>>>to the contrary).
>>
>>Make sure you tell that to the criminalists all across the country so
>>they can correct their mistake. And be sure to copy the producers of
>>such educational shows as "FBI Files", "Forensic Files", and even the
>>prime time drams of SCI.
>
>
>Any FORENSIC criminologist doesn't need me to explain what the term
>'forensic' means. You, evidently, are -not- a forensic criminologist.

Your problem is with the people who write dictionaries, not me. My
usage is consistent with at least one of the meanings listed.

>
>
><snip-o-brev>
>>>Regardless of the impedance, is the mic level specified for the
>>>Midland?
>>
>>In the alignment procedure, it is specified as 5mV for 50% modulation.

>>The same as the TRC 457/458.
>
>
>That's the specified -input- level at the mic connector. The question
>was if the -mic- level is specified. Is it?

No. But considering the variety of microphones that can be used, it's
not all that relevant.

>
>
><snip-o-rama>
>>>Like I said before, I can scan the page.
>>
>>And like I said before, I'm not questioning that you are seeing what
>>you are seeing. I question that it was a misprint.
>
>
>You aren't even curious to see if there are any other specifications
>that may be relevant? perhaps even to the spec under discussion?

Like what?

>>Yes. So we're still talking about audio that is in compression. What
>>you have described is the net effect of high gain (Which "expands" the
>>lower level audio), and a limiter clamp which "compresses" the audio
>>to below a preset level.
>
>
>Wrong. The 'net effect' is that gain is higher than average when the
>signal level is lower than average, which is not necessarily due to
>compression because compression does not require (or imply) variation
>of the gain below the knee. You get unintentional downward expansion
>from a compressor when you push the average audio level -above- the
>knee. I remember a pretty good link on the subject -- I'll post it if
>I find it again.

Please do. But we've basically described the same thing. Gain is
inversely proportional to signal level, resulting in a (more or less)
constant output, or an output where the dynamic range is greatly
reduced from the input signal.

>>>>>then you are forced to compensate by decreasing the gain of the mic
>>>>>amp. Because the mic gain is low, you need to drive the mic with more
>>>>>audio (literally, ten times more audio power) in order to push the
>>>>>radio to 50% modulation. But in order to drive the output of the mic
>>>>>higher you must either talk louder or move the mic closer to your
>>>>>mouth. Assuming that your subconscious mind made the compensation
>>>>>automatically (as the subconscious mind usually does), you moved the
>>>>>mic closer. But your conscious mind failed to consider one of the
>>>>>basic characteristics of the dynamic mic -- when you move the mic
>>>>>closer to your mouth you increase the spectral lows -much- more than

>>>>>the highs. So by changing the audio equalization you subsequently
>>>>>screwed up the proper operation of the AMC, because lower frequencies
>>>>>have a greater power level and will subsequently drive the AMC into
>>>>>limiting more often than normal.
>>>>
>>>>That was fancy, but not quite accurate. First off, the mike level
>>>>would be first limited by the mike agc (What you refer to as the
>>>>"hard" limiter) before the mike pot. Any "compression" would occur
>>>>there before it even hits the AMC circuit.
>>>
>>>
>>>Wrong. Don't forget that you have the mic gain turned -way- down
>>>because your input spec was too high. So the mic audio isn't going to
>>>come close to getting hit by the first limiter unless you slam the mic
>>>on the table.
>>
>>Hello McFly.
>
>
>Oh gee, that was clever.
>
>
>> The mike limiters are BEFORE the mic gain pot.
>
>
>VR6 controls IC3, right?

No. VR6 is a LEVEL pot after the IC3. The gain of IC3 is fixed and
controlled by R78.

> And the only limiter that affects the audio
>prior to IC3 is the first (hard) limiter, right? So if the audio
>signal is low (because you inadvertently reduced the mic gain by a
>factor of 10) then it will take ten times the audio signal level to
>turn on the first limiter, right?

No. The "first limiter" (referred to as the mike AGC in my manual)
operated independently of the setting of VR6. The only thing which
determines when it "clamps" is the level of audio which appears on the
output of IC3, which is BEFORE VR6. The difference between .5 mV and 5
mV of input is not enough to "hit" the clamp threshold.

> And the second limiter (AMC) comes
>after IC3, right? I guess you -do- need a picture..... oh, but I
>forgot, you -do- have a picture -- it's called a 'schematic'!

Which I have.

>> If I was
>>increasing the level to compensate for the setting of the pot, the
>>mike agc circuit would be compressing the audio. But considering that
>>the real compression does not start until you are in the 200 mV area,

>>that doesn't really apply at all.
>
>
>First, there is no mic AGC circuit. There is the first limiter (hard)
>and the second limiter (AMC).

It is called "mike AGC" in my manual. It performs that function. It limits the absolute output of the mike preamp stage.

>Second, the first limiter kicks in based on the forward voltage drop
>of D20, which (if silicon) will be about 0.6-0.7 volts. And since it
>is affected only by a negative peak, then the first limiter doesn't
>even start working until the audio reaches a level of 1.2-1.4 Vp-p!
>That should give you a clue that its purpose is to limit extremely
>high audio levels, like when the mike is dropped, or some dummy shoves
>the mic in his mouth, burps up some half-digested Kokanee and yells
>"A-U-D-I-O".

There's the glaring difference between book smarts and street smarts. In operation of the radio, the mike AGC kicks in quite noticeably on voice peaks during normal talking. If you have an ear for such things the "bump" is quite noticeable. And no, I'm not mistaking the sound for the AMC, action as it happens when the AMC is disabled.

>Third, the second limiter (AMC) begins working at a level set by VR7,
>which is -supposed- to be 200mV... AT A SPECIFIC FREQUENCY. But you
>screwed up your audio equalization when you turned down the mic gain
>and forced yourself to compensate by moving the mic closer to your
>mouth.

Not at all. I can set it the same at 400 hz or 1Khz.

> Your audio now swings much higher at low frequencies so your
>VR7 adjustment is now useless.

If true the net effect would be that the limiter would clamp harder at lower frequencies, the net effect being a tinny sound.

>You could readjust VR7 by setting the
>sig-gen for a lower frequency that is more characteristic of the
>audio, which would probably make a girly voice sound a little better
>but make a normal voice sound extremely boomy and without presence
>(although it will be clear because the AMC isn't being overdriven).

The AMC is designed to be "overdriven" that's its job.

>But since you didn't readjust VR7 for the changed frequency response
>those low frequencies push the AMC into service much more often than
>desired. And while the AMC is busy dimming the audio level on each low
>frequency peak, many peaks of higher frequency audio are literally
>compressed in the process. When the low frequency peak drops then the
>higher frequencies are passed at normal amplification.
>

>In a way, you are amplitude modulating your high frequency audio with
>your low frequency audio. That's just plain messy, Dave. That's why
>your audio sounds like crap.

I really think you need to come out of the classroom and spend some
quality bench time with that radio Frank. Your theories are full of
hot air. They sound good, but that's not what happens in reality.

>I have now explained this twice. Is your electronic theory so bad that
>you need it explained to you a third time?

The problem is that your "theory" is exactly that. You are basing
your claims on what should happen according to what you've been
taught. I'm basing my claims on years of observation and hands on
experience.

>>And you are assuming that we are talking about the stock dynamic hand
>>mike. Most people run amplified desk mikes, which is a whole different
>>kettle of fish.

>That's why most amplified mics sound like shit unless they are turned
>down to match the radio, or hadn't you noticed?

It's a subjective matter of personal taste.

> And it's also one
>reason why the better quality desk mics include some sort of frequency
>equalization -- preferably a parametric block, but even a tone control
>would make a significant improvement in most mics.

I'd take an audio equalizer over an echo and "robo voice" any day.
Now to get the mike makers to do it, at an affordable price.

>>> And since those low frequencies have a
>>>much higher level than your average speech audio level, it will be
>>>those low freqs that drive the AMC into limiting at a lower -average-
>>>audio level than anticipated. Do I need to draw a picture?
>>
>>Sure if it makes you feel better. But it doesn't change anything since
>>you are basing it on an a set of assumed premises which are not
>>necessarily true.
>
>
>Once again, it was not a premise. It was the conclusion.

A poor one.

>>>>>Yet you, after 35 years of loud-n-proud expertise in the biz, didn't
>>>>>realize what was really happening, didn't care to find out, and jumped
>>>>>to the conclusion that the best way to compensate for the increased
>>>>>low freq response was to bypass the low-pass filter. Didn't anybody
>>>>>ever teach you that two wrongs don't make a right?
>>>>
>>>>I told you before that the audio BPF was removed to make the radio

>>>>mate better with D104 microphones, which were the mikes of choice for
>>>>most of the locals.
>>>
>>>
>>>You said, "I also found the transmit audio to be a bit mushy and
>>>compressed.
>>
>>My subjective term. Perhaps the term "nasally" would be more
>>descriptive. How about "talking through a paper cup"?
>>
>>> The main reason for that is an audio bandpass filter
>>>installed between TR20 and TR22." You were wrong. The main reason the
>>>audio sounded "mushy and compressed" is because you misaligned VR6.
>>
>>Not it's not,
>
>
>YOU said it, not me.

I never said that the reason for the compressed bandwidth was due to
the adjustment of VR6 (A user control on the Cobra). You made that
claim. A claim that you wouldn't make if you had any long term
experience with that radio.

>>I made the radios sound good for the people who used them. They're
>>paying the bill, so they get what they want.
>
>
>So that's your new excuse? You snip the filter because that's what the
>customers want?

Is there a better reason to conduct business? Ever hear of the term
"The customer is always right"?

In later years I attempted to dissuade people from "clipping" and
"peaking", but if they insisted, I'd do it anyway. They'll get it done
one way or another. I might as well be the guy profiting from it.

>>>And for the record, the filter between TR20 and TR22 is a low-pass
>>>filter, -not- a band-pass filter.
>>
>>Ok, I stand corrected. It is a LPF.
>
>
>See? It's not that hard to admit an error.

If I actually make one, no. I had no problem admitting that I was
wrong about Roger Beeps once the FCC answered my inquiry.

> I do it quite a lot these
>days. Instead of letting yourself be mesmerized by the boob tube try
>reading a good book. Something by Samuel Clemens comes to mind at this

>point.

What does Mark Twain know about radios?

>>>Was the AMC defeated in the radio you were discussing? If not, then
>>>your point is irrelevant. If it -was- then much of the same still
>>>applies -- you will still have boomy audio, and it would be distorted
>>>somewhere else down the path, perhaps at the mixer or crystal filter
>>>(which, BTW, is responsible for low-frequency audio roll-off).
>>
>>What?
>
>
>Did I stutter?

Worse.

>> The audio on AM is not applied until the driver and final
>>stages. It is high level. It does not pass through any crystal filter.
>>Only the carrier passes through that. The audio passes through the
>>LPF, through the TR22 audio switch, and on to the IC4, upc1156 audio
>>power amp, where it is then applied to the modulator transformer T1.
>>
>>You chastise me for a perceived lack of understanding of the basics,
>>yet you demonstrate a clear lack of understanding of the more
>>advanced.
>
>
>If you are running AM then the audio will be distorted when modulating
>the final because you are very likely going to push it past 100% on
>the low frequency peaks (remember that VR7 is misaligned due to poor
>audio equalization).

Your theory, not true in practice. If the limiter is adjusted for 1
Khz, and a 400 Hz note comes in which is stronger the limiter simply
clamps it down. The limiter doesn't care what frequency the audio is.
It clamps on LEVEL. The net effect may be that the equalization may
get skewed, but it won't be overmodulated because the limiter will not
allow it.

>If SSB then the modulated IF most certainly
>-does- pass through the crystal filter since that's how you extract
>SSB from the DSB output of the DBM

We're not talking about SSB Frank. Trying a diversion to cover your
obvious crystal filter mistake for AM is not going to fly.

>
><brev-o-brev>
>>>> The action
>>>>can be described as compression as the low end gain brings up low
>>>>level audio what the "clamp" holds the maximum level to a hard
>>>>maximum.

>>>
>>>
>>>That might work except that neither of the limiters control the audio
>>>through a variable gain -- they simply prevent the audio level from
>>>exceeding a specified value.
>>
>>And how do they do that? By controlling a variable attenuator on the
>>input of the stage. I'd say that fits the definition of controlling
>>gain.
>
>
>You may actually have a point there if the audio is attenuated for at
>least one full cycle.

The time constant of the R/C provides for a fast attack, slow decay.
So I'd say that many more than 1 cycle is attenuated.

You need to do that anyway. Unless a bucket brigade audio delay is
employed, any limiting action will occur after the fact. The very
first peak, which overmodulates the radio (and trips the limiter) is
gone by the time the limiter responds to it. That is why the slow
decay, and that is why AMC's are normally set conservatively at 85%,
so that less small peaks "escape".

>>>'Compression' is a type of AGC; i.e, the output signal controls the
>>>gain of the amp. A CVA (Constant Volume Amplifer) is a type of
>>>compression that is commonly used in the broadcast industry for
>>>speech. Compression works by controlling the average level of the
>>>audio, not by limiting the peaks.
>>
>>In either case, the overall gain of the stage is controlled. Whether
>>you actually vary the gain of the amplifier, or you shunt some of the
>>input level in response to a sensor on the output, the end result is
>>the same.
>
>
>Wrong. As you already mentioned, clipping distorts the wave. The
>output level is detected and used to clip, or -distort- the wave. If
>the output level was detected and used to control the -gain- then it
>would be compression. But that's not what's happening here.

There's clipping and there's variable attenuation. Variable
attenuation does not distort the wave.

>>Again, you like to argue semantics.
>
>
>Again, you whine about semantics whenever your understanding is proven
>to be less than accurate.

Your textbook theories can hardly stand up as proof against years of
hands-on experience.

>>The gain of the internal pre-amps is set to maximum.
>
>
>There is only one preamp, which is IC3. It's gain is set by VR6.

No, the gain is set by R78. VR6 is a level pot.

>
><verb-o-pins>
>>>Or just don't "slam the clamp". Even better, run a CVA between your
>>>mic and the radio.
>>
>>An external speech compressor? Sure, but the one internal works just
>>fine. There's more gain than anyone really needs. "Slamming the clamp,
>>is one way to keep average modulation levels high without creating
>>overmodulation. That's the whole point of speech compression.
>
>
>Are you -really- an extra or did you just memorize the test questions?

At least I have one. What's your excuse?

>Walk away from the computer and television for a while and read your
>handy-dandy ARRL manual on the subject. You have a copy, don't you?
>I'm sure you do, it's probably stashed in one of those boxes in the
>attic, right under that fondu pot your auntie gave you for a wedding
>present.

What part in which would dispute my statement that running an audio
signal into compression is an effective method of increasing average
modulation without overmodulation?

>>Actually no. The cap is a more modern design and it's a little over an
>>inch in diameter, and about 4 inches long.
>
>
>Same difference. It's big.

I guess that's relative as well. Compared to some of my older caps
which are about half the size of a coffee can, that's not so big.

>>>Try a smaller cap. Maybe something along the lines of what you might
>>>find in a CB radio, and more typical of a cap that you might find in
>>>"shreds". How about 2.2uF, 22uf, or even 100uF? I'm sure you have a
>>>few of those laying around, don't you?
>>
>>Sure. I just picked a common cap used as a filter in a power supply.
>>That's where it came from. I can put a smaller cap on. With less
>>filtering capacity, the ripple will increase.
>
>
>Duh! That's the point!

I though the point was to make a typical filter cap found in a power
supply fail due to nothing more than "excessive ripple"?

My 11,000 uF cap came from a power supply.

>It's not senseless if you finally understand the differences.

Killing, murder, strangulation, suffocation, drowning are all a bit
different, but the end result is still the same.

><verb-o-brev>
>>>>When I get a chance, I'll check that. I'm also going to see just where
>>>>.5 uV brings this thing to level wise.
>>>
>>>
>>>Try it. And test it with a stock mic as well as that chrome-plated
>>>lollipop.
>>
>>I'm going to use my audio generator. That's the only way to ensure an
>>accurate measurement of level.
>
>
>And I'm going to fix my garage this spring. The question is when are
>we both going to stop talking about it and do it?

Good question. I have a ton of home projects on my slate with little
"hobby time" in the schedule.

>
>
>>>Chevy used to make V6 engines by hacking two cylinders from a V8.
>>
>>They still do. The 4.3 liter V6 is a hacked off 5.7 V8.
>>
>>>Those engines weren't very good.
>>
>>Au contraire. The 4.3 is a very reliable engine. Gobs of torque too.
>
>
>The old slant-6 was a very reliable engine. Gobs of torque, too. But
>if you want more torque with any engine just use a lower gear.

Or increase the air flow in the engine and change the cam timing.

>>>Then they decided to build a V6 from
>>>scratch, the result of which is the now famous V6/60-degree family.
>>
>>Which engines are they?
>
>
>http://60degreev6.com/

Ok.

>>I think you are confusing this with the failed attempt that GM made to
>>convert a 5.7 gasoline engine into a diesel. The stresses from
>>increases in compression was too much for the lower end of the
>>basically gasoline block, and it had all sorts of reliability issues.
>>Then they went to the drawing board and the 6.2 diesel was the result.
>>A much better engine.
>
>
>And yet another example of my point. Thank you.

It was a valid point. Not necessarily applicable to our specific
situation though.

>>>Just like we only have your word that some cops said that radar is
>>>used to catch PA speeders in the "vast majority of cases".
>>
>>Exactly!
>
>
>Bravo! We're making progress!

Maybe you'll start to understand the difference between theory and
reality.

><verb-o-rama>
>>Hey, if I had a choice between the street and working at Wal-Mart, I'd
>>work there in a heartbeat. When you have a family to support, it
>>doesn't matter what you do, and how long you have to do it. That's
>>what responsibility is about.
>
>
>That's the difference between you and me. You see, I'm am responsible
>to nobody. I eat at the mission, panhandle for booze money, sleep in
>cardboard boxes, and leech off of society any chance I get. Yep, you
>got me pegged, Dave.

I never meant to imply that you were anything of the sort. But I did
suggest that before you thumb your nose at Wal-Mart, you need to
consider your circumstances.

>>That's not what you said Frank. Here it is again to refresh your
>>memory:
>>
>>Begin Frank's post**
>>
>>
>>
>>The case where I have proven, and you have just admitted, that your
>>political opinions are not those of a reasonable person.
>>
>>
>>>Giving up already? I was just starting to enjoy this.....
>>

>>
>>Sorry to disappoint you but I found another job and have other
>>responsibilities that are demanding a lot of my time, so I am left
>>with little time to waste on the newsgroup anymore. You would know
>>what I mean if you really had a job and custody of your kid.
>>
>>End Frank's post**
>>
>>Now, who has a reading problem? Or should it be a memory problem?
>
>
>No, just a lack of understanding that circumstances change.

That's a far different explanation than your earlier claim that my
reading comprehension sucks.

> I didn't
>start the job until after the other bartender left, which was two
>weeks after he gave his notice (and notified me that the job was
>open). That gave me enough time to clear the estate (intestate, BTW,
>so it's still not finished). And the hours were less than I expected
>because they hired two bartenders to replace the overworked one that
>quit. Happy?

I do want to take this opportunity to pass on my condolences on your
loss. But you also don't need to explain your changes of
circumstances. Just don't accuse me of "reading comprehension" when
you change the rules in midstream.

>>>>No, I said that I homebrewed a DTMF decoder. That circuit used several
>>>>"chips", including a custom DTMF decoder. But I had to add other parts
>>>>to decode a 3 digit number and route it to a particular function.
>>>
>>>
>>>Ok, since you want to beat around the bush I'll make the question more
>>>specific: Which DTMF decoder chip did you use?
>
>
>Well?

I don't remember. I'll have to look at it when I get a chance.
Remember, this was over 15 years ago.
>
>
>> but human nature tends to support the notion that people
>>hide what they don't want known. If you have nothing to fear, then why
>>hide it? Especially when your side hypocritically demands the same of
>>Bush.
>
>
>Your distorted perception of "human nature" has been well demonstrated
>in your posts. People can have many reasons for not throwing personal

>information into the public forum, and until you can accept that fear
>may not be the motivating factor then your opinion on the subject will
>always be biased.

When you accuse your opposition of "hiding" something, and demand full
disclosure, you'd better be darned ready to do the same.

>> I rarely post outside of my
>>usual times.
>
>
>We have only your word on that, Dave.

Google will verify it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3296. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 14:55:22 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <tVlTd.8959$Pz7.2649@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 196936

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:ikfr11heqc46d62hhnbr8obfn77ju4uqda@4ax.com...
> With what proof? The statute clearly defines the conditions where cops
> MUST give leeway. But there's also nothing to say that they can't
> apply it across the board in all cases, just for the sake of
> consistency. From what my cop friends have told me, it's simpler to do
> that than have the hassle of arguing the points in court. There are
> plenty of speeders exceeding the 5 MPH leeway, that they don't have to
> worry about those under that mark. That's the simple reality of the
> situation.

Nope Dave, again your wrong.
THE whole point was that You said you can not get a
ticket for 1 MPH over the speed limit. You then added
another variable to the mix by saying in Pa.
Now you say it can be applied across the board for the state?

www.atssa.com/chapters/downloads/PA_ImpAct229.PDF

You can be ticketed in the state of Pa for 1mph over the speed limit,
so will you know shut up on it?

The whole point is that you can be cited dude, not that
it happens everyday or even once a month, but you can be
cited even in your state.

Landshark

--
The world is good-natured to people
who are good natured.

3297. Re: Impedance mismatch affecting sideband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 14:57:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <skqr11tn4dnfgt8td60g3kql94cvtf7b2s@4ax.com>
Source record: 196937

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 06:15:26 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 09:01:22 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <le1p11pto8i0u7f1db03eiphek8dr5k71s@4ax.com>:
>
><snipapalooza>
>>>> I also use statistics and probability.
>>>
>>>
>>>So do I, but not when facts and logic best define the truth. And
>>>unlike you, I -don't- use stats or probability to disuade -against-
>>>facts and logic.
>>
>>The logical process is beyond reproach. You cannot argue with a
>>logical conclusion based on a solid set of premises. But what I
>>question, and use other methods to validate, are the likelihood that
>>those premises are faulty.
>
>
>Gee, that sounds familiar.....

It should.

><brev-ka-bob>
>>> Was this not explained to you when you were a
>>>child?
>>
>>No. The only people held to higher standards are those who are in the
>>public eye, are paid to perform a service which requires a higher
>>standard, or those who are recognized as leaders in one vocation or
>>another. I fall into none of those categories.
>
>
>You sell yourself short, Dave. You set the expectations of yourself
>too low. What a waste.

Nah. I'm just realistic.

>
>
><schnipe>
>>> I provide you with mental challenges, which are
>>>evidently missing from your off-line life.
>>
>>Back at you.

>
>
>Ok, so those challenges are missing from your on-line life, too.

Not as long as you're around.... ;-)

>>> There's no shame in
>>>admitting that life is really friggin boring when you're smart. You
>>>just have to get past the overcompensated ego thing and you'll be all
>>>set for some -real- challenges!
>>
>>So we finally get a glimpse into the real world of Frank Gilliland.
>>Hey, there's no shame in admitting boredom. I'm glad that I can help
>>you pass your time, until a another job which challenges you, comes
>>your way. I hope it happens soon.
>
>
>I never run short of challenges, Dave. If one doesn't present itself
>then I'll go looking for a challenge. But at least I don't fool myself
>into believing that such challenges are nothing but 'recreation'.

Some people play crossword puzzles. Some play chess. Some build
projects. Some take a course in a local college for the fun of it.
They are all challenges in their own right. But they are also
recreational.

Some people's idea of recreation is to totally unplug and turn off
their mind. Others just change the venue of their challenges.

>> But projection is one of the most
>>easy to spot psych issues.
>
>
>And you are the expert on that subject, Dave.

I'm no expert, but I can spot most maladies.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3298. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 15:02:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <0sqr119k9m9878if1qj1ms5j7nacdsp4ae@4ax.com>
Source record: 196938

On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 06:20:45 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 09:03:42 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
>wrote in <t63p11ddsi4ohgcuj1th659ms8s97lcdrb@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 12:03:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>No, not everyone. There was no definitive rule that specifically
>>>>allowed them. Therefore the claim that they were legal was based on
>>>>pure speculation only.
>>>
>>>
>>>There's no definitive rule that allows chrome knobs and black paint on
>>>CB radios, either. The problem is your warped logic, Dave -- absence
>>>of evidence does -not- constitute proof of the opposite. When are you
>>>going to realize this fundamental flaw in your thought process?
>>
>>So you never believed that noise toys were illegal? Could not the use
>>of a Roger Beep not be addressed in 95.412 and 95.413?
>
>
>Only if you define it as such. The FCC doesn't, which should have been
>painfully clear when it was proven that they authorized a CB radio
>with the feature.

Galaxy has a reputation for "pushing the limit" when it comes to
radios and their legalities. Just look at their list of "export"
radios. The fact that most mainstream radios did NOT have such a
feature was enough for me to remain suspicious. I was also informed by
an FCC official 20-some years back that they considered any "tone or
noise" not specifically addressed in 95.412 (b) to be illegal.
Obviously that feeling is no longer true, but it was the basis for my
initial feeling on the subject.

But I stand corrected now.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3299. Re: Pearce-Simpson supercheetah MKIII 27mhz squealing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 15:14:00 UTC
From: N3CVJ@invaild.invalid
Message-ID: <20050224101400.789$uK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196939

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote:
> I saved over $1900. I'd say it was worth it.
>
> This is a well known problem with late model 90's GM 4L60
> transmissions.
>
Heh, you can buy a fresh complete rebuild with tourqe converter for
less that $1500. Sounds like your tranny guy is ripping you off.

3300. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 15:41:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4702-421DF58C-85@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196941

David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) wrote:
>I'm not sure about anything political.

That's not good.
Your arguments relating to such support such a statement. Coming to mind first, is your refusal to accept the Bush administration's own admission that they were -wrong- regarding their claim about wmds, and that Cheney's claim telling the world that they KNEW where multiple wmds were located in Iraq, was also 100% pure unadulterated bullshit.
This realization and acceptance of the facts by the administration resulted in Bush appointing a hand selected committee to investigate why he
received such bogus intel from his staff.

> There's "Likely" and "Unlikely", "Possible" and
>"Probable"

There also exists "definitive", "definite", "factual", and "proven", whether you accept it or not.

3301. Re: Cheap ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 15:45:32 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4703-421DF69C-22@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196940

From: wa2ise@ix.netcom.com (robert casey)
Dave Hall wrote:
Any ham who works H.F. knows that conditions vary between the bands. If DX is the name of the game, those who are rabid about it, will seek out whatever avenues exist to achieve that goal.
From a propagation standpoint, there is little difference in conditions between 10, 11 and 12 meters. But there are far more operators on 11 meters at any given time, so there are more chances to make that "rare" contact.
-
>Gee, I could use a contact in North Dakota to

>finish off my "Worked All States" award. Think

>I could use a freeband QSL card? ;-)

The acceptance of the standard of proof is often the same.

3302. True GOP'ers and Conservatives Illustrate Bush Failures!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 16:12:49 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8509-421DFD01-252@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196942

To view the entire article, visit
http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=42987
Wednesday, February 23, 2005

Democracies & double standards
By Patrick J. Buchanan

Posted: February 23, 2005
1:00 a.m. Eastern

"Magnanimity in politics is not seldom the truest wisdom; and a great empire and little minds go ill together," Edmund Burke admonished the haughty rulers of the British Empire of his time.
Our American empire is suffering from a similar want of wisdom and plenitude of the hubris that cost George III his 13 colonies.

Consider how this generation of politicians is undoing the great work of Ronald Reagan. When Reagan took office in 1981, the Soviet Union of the aging autocrat Leonid Brezhnev was an "evil empire" that stretched from the Elbe to the Bering Sea with thousands of nuclear warheads targeted on the United States. The Red Army had recently occupied Afghanistan, and Moscow had established imperial outposts in the Middle East, Africa, the Caribbean and Central America.

Yet, the year Reagan departed, 1989, the Soviet empire threw open its prison gates, released the captive nations of Eastern Europe, then peacefully dissolved itself and let 14 republics, many of which the czars had ruled for centuries, become free and independent states. Gorbachev, Yeltsin and Putin became strategic partners of American presidents. For once communism had been exorcised from Russia, there was no ideological, ethnic or territorial conflict between us. For we live on different continents in hemispheres separated by the world's largest oceans. Moreover, Russia belongs with the West. As Solzhenitzyn wrote, Mother Russia was "the first captive nation."
Both of us also have a vital interest in balancing off a rising and possibly revanchist China and resisting an Islamic fundamentalism that seeks to drive Russia out of the Caucasus and America out of the Middle East.

Thus, as there is no relationship more critical to the security of the West than that between Washington and Moscow, it is with near-despair that one reads the front-page story in the Washington Times: "Senators Seek to Sanction Russia: Say Putin Acts Autocratically."
Who are the senators? They are those twin protectors and proctors of global democracy, Joe Lieberman and John McCain, and they want Putin

sanctioned by having the world's industrial democracies, the G-8, suspend Russia's membership, which would be an insult and humiliation.

Putin's crimes? Says McCain: "Mr. Putin has moved to eliminate the popular election of 89 of Russia's regional governors, has cracked down on independent media, continued his repression of business executives who oppose his government and is reasserting the Kremlin's old-style central control." Says McCain, "The coup is no longer creeping ☐ it is galloping."
But a question arises: Why are these internal matters of the Russian republic any business of

John McCain's? Putin is the elected president of Russia. Who elected McCain to anything outside of Arizona?

During our Civil War, Lincoln blockaded Southern ports without the approval of Congress, suspended habeas corpus, sent troops to prevent a free election in Maryland, sought to arrest Chief Justice Roger B. Taney, shut down newspapers, shot down rioters on the streets of New York and made himself dictator of the Union. Was that any business of the members of Britain's House of Lords? Just who do we Americans think we are?

Whether Russia's governors are elected or appointed is none of our business. As for the jailing of oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovsky, or any of the others in that den of thieves, that is no more our concern than TR's smashing of the trusts or Truman's seizure of the coal mines or Bush's incarceration of Martha Stewart was or is any of Russia's business. As for President Putin acting "autocratically," can Sen. McCain recall when Russian rulers have acted any other way?

Why are McCain and Lieberman bullyragging Russia but not China? After all, Putin was elected, but Hu Jintao was not. Russia has an elected legislature with opposition parties. China has never held a free election. The Russian people have freedom of religion. China persecutes Christians. Russia threatens no U.S. ally. China threatens Taiwan. In a recent issue of Parade, a list was drawn up of the world's 10 worst dictators based on their human-rights violations. Hu Jintao was fourth from the top. Putin was not even mentioned.

If Russia is to be insulted by being kicked out of the G-8, why not adopt a single standard and remove Most Favored Nation trade status from China, which enabled her to run up a $160 billion trade surplus last year at our expense?
Since Reagan achieved the rapprochement with Russia, the United States has pushed NATO up to her borders, bombed her ally Serbia for 78 days, interfered in elections in Georgia, Ukraine and Belarus, and begun a pipeline to cut Moscow out of the Caspian oil trade.

Now, Russia is now going her own way: selling SAMs to Syria, AK-47s to Venezuela, missiles and fighter aircraft to China and aiding Iran in completing its first nuclear power plant.

Of this generation of leaders, it may be said in epitaph: They were too small to see the larger world. They frittered away in a decade what others had won in a half-century of perseverance in the Cold War.
© 2005

3303. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 18:04:10 UTC
From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
Message-ID: <Xns960785438DF521234567890@216.196.97.136>
Source record: 196943

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
news:ikfr11heqc46d62hhnbr8obfn77ju4uqda@4ax.com:

>>In PA or anywhere?

> The subject is the Commonwealth of Pa. That's all I care about.

Haha now he wants to ask anywhere ...LOL due try to stay on topic Frankie,
your communucation deficit is your downfall always has been, juniah

--
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> See, I have proved he did those posts and
> all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
> anyone that disagree with him.
>
> Landshark

3304. Re: WinScanner or WinTransceiver from Malzev

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 18:26:19 UTC
From: kongyew@w-manager.com
Message-ID: <1109269579.028008.288450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196944

Hi,

Does anyone know where to find the links?

kongyew@w-manager.com

3305. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-24 22:42:51 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <LLsTd.43662$911.24455@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196945

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns960785438DF521234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net> wrote in
> news:ikfr11heqc46d62hhnbr8obfn77ju4uqda@4ax.com:
>
>>>In PA or anywhere?
>
>
>
>> The subject is the Commonwealth of Pa. That's all I care about.
>
>
>
> Haha now he wants to ask anywhere ...LOL due try to stay on topic Frankie,
> your communucation deficit is your downfall always has been, juniah
>

One REALLY hilarious statement!

3306. Re: True GOP'ers and Conservatives Illustrate Bush Failures!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-25 07:03:38 UTC
From: "Mr. Chigliac" <mrc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e5ATd.38489$H05.21896@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 196946

YAWN...

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8509-421DFD01-252@storefull-3253.bay.webtv.net...
To view the entire article, visit
http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=42987
Wednesday, February 23, 2005

Democracies & double standards
By Patrick J. Buchanan

Posted: February 23, 2005
1:00 a.m. Eastern

"Magnanimity in politics is not seldom the truest wisdom; and a great empire and little minds go ill together," Edmund Burke admonished the haughty rulers of the British Empire of his time.
Our American empire is suffering from a similar want of wisdom and plenitude of the hubris that cost George III his 13 colonies.

Consider how this generation of politicians is undoing the great work of Ronald Reagan. When Reagan took office in 1981, the Soviet Union of the aging autocrat Leonid Brezhnev was an "evil empire" that stretched from the Elbe to the Bering Sea with thousands of nuclear warheads targeted on the United States. The Red Army had recently occupied Afghanistan, and Moscow had established imperial outposts in the Middle East, Africa, the Caribbean and Central America.

Yet, the year Reagan departed, 1989, the Soviet empire threw open its prison gates, released the captive nations of Eastern Europe, then peacefully dissolved itself and let 14 republics, many of which the czars had ruled for centuries, become free and independent states. Gorbachev, Yeltsin and Putin became strategic partners of American presidents. For once communism had been exorcised from Russia, there was no ideological, ethnic or territorial conflict between us. For we live on different continents in hemispheres separated by the world's largest oceans. Moreover, Russia belongs with the West. As Solzhenitzyn wrote, Mother Russia was "the first captive nation."
Both of us also have a vital interest in balancing off a rising and possibly revanchist China and resisting an Islamic fundamentalism that seeks to drive Russia out of the Caucasus and America out of the Middle East.

Thus, as there is no relationship more critical to the security of the West than that between Washington and Moscow, it is with near-despair that one reads the front-page story in the Washington Times: "Senators

Seek to Sanction Russia: Say Putin Acts Autocratically."
Who are the senators? They are those twin protectors and proctors of global democracy, Joe Lieberman and John McCain, and they want Putin sanctioned by having the world's industrial democracies, the G-8, suspend Russia's membership, which would be an insult and humiliation.

Putin's crimes? Says McCain: "Mr. Putin has moved to eliminate the popular election of 89 of Russia's regional governors, has cracked down on independent media, continued his repression of business executives who oppose his government and is reasserting the Kremlin's old-style central control." Says McCain, "The coup is no longer creeping - it is galloping."
But a question arises: Why are these internal matters of the Russian republic any business of

John McCain's? Putin is the elected president of Russia. Who elected McCain to anything outside of Arizona?

During our Civil War, Lincoln blockaded Southern ports without the approval of Congress, suspended habeas corpus, sent troops to prevent a free election in Maryland, sought to arrest Chief Justice Roger B. Taney, shut down newspapers, shot down rioters on the streets of New York and made himself dictator of the Union. Was that any business of the members of Britain's House of Lords? Just who do we Americans think we are?

Whether Russia's governors are elected or appointed is none of our business. As for the jailing of oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovsky, or any of the others in that den of thieves, that is no more our concern than TR's smashing of the trusts or Truman's seizure of the coal mines or Bush's incarceration of Martha Stewart was or is any of Russia's business. As for President Putin acting "autocratically," can Sen. McCain recall when Russian rulers have acted any other way?

Why are McCain and Lieberman bullyragging Russia but not China? After all, Putin was elected, but Hu Jintao was not. Russia has an elected legislature with opposition parties. China has never held a free election. The Russian people have freedom of religion. China persecutes Christians. Russia threatens no U.S. ally. China threatens Taiwan. In a recent issue of Parade, a list was drawn up of the world's 10 worst dictators based on their human-rights violations. Hu Jintao was fourth from the top. Putin was not even mentioned.

If Russia is to be insulted by being kicked out of the G-8, why not adopt a single standard and remove Most Favored Nation trade status from China, which enabled her to run up a $160 billion trade surplus last year at our expense?
Since Reagan achieved the rapprochement with Russia, the United States has pushed NATO up to her borders, bombed her ally Serbia for 78 days, interfered in elections in Georgia, Ukraine and Belarus, and begun a pipeline to cut Moscow out of the Caspian oil trade.

Now, Russia is now going her own way: selling SAMs to Syria, AK-47s to Venezuela, missiles and fighter aircraft to China and aiding Iran in completing its first nuclear power plant.
Of this generation of leaders, it may be said in epitaph: They were too small to see the larger world. They frittered away in a decade what others had won in a half-century of perseverance in the Cold War.
© 2005

3307. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-25 07:03:56 UTC
From: "Mr. Chigliac" <mrc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <w5ATd.38490$H05.7200@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 196947

YAWN....

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns96079113681234567890@216.196.97.136...
> Ok so this should just about end the discussion, this was sent to me by
> request from a Administrative Leo. There is no hersay here or no web
> sites. Just actual proof, other than signed active work zones or school
> zones these law are it.
>
>
>
>
> THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF PENNSYLVANIA
>
>
> SENATE BILL
> No. 760 Session of 2003
>
>
>
>
> INTRODUCED BY THOMPSON, KUKOVICH, RAFFERTY, WONDERLING, EARLL,
> KITCHEN, MUSTO, PILEGGI, ORIE, D. WHITE, DENT, WAUGH, PUNT,
> WAGNER, LOGAN, C. WILLIAMS AND ERICKSON, MAY 16, 2003
>
>
>
> REFERRED TO TRANSPORTATION ACT, MAY 16, 2003
>
>
>
>
>
> Amending Title 75 (Vehicles) of the Pennsylvania Consolidated
> Statutes, further providing for conviction and point
> schedules, for speed timing devices and for State and local
> powers.
>
>
>
> The General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
> hereby enacts as follows:
>

> Section 1. Section 1535(d) of Title 75 of the Pennsylvania
> Consolidated Statutes is amended to read:
> § 1535. Schedule of convictions and points.
>
>
> (d) [Exception] Exceptions.--
> (1) This section does not apply to a person who was
> operating a pedalcycle or an animal drawn vehicle.
> (2) If a speeding offense under section 3362 (relating
> to maximum speed limits) is charged as a result of use of a
> device authorized by section 3368(c) (relating to speed
> timing devices), no points shall be assigned under subsection
> (a) unless the speed recorded is ten or more miles per hour
> in excess of the legal speed limit.
>
>
> Section 2. Section 3368(a), (c), (d) and (e) of Title 75,
> amended December 23, 2002 (P.L.1982, No.229), are amended and
> the section is amended by adding subsections to read:
>
> § 3368. Speed timing devices.
> (a) Speedometers authorized.--The rate of speed of any
> vehicle may be timed on any highway by a police officer using a
> motor vehicle equipped with a speedometer, except as provided in
> section 6109 (relating to specific powers of department and
> local authorities). In ascertaining the speed of a vehicle by
> the use of a speedometer, the speed shall be timed for a
> distance of not less than three-tenths of a mile.
>
> (c) Mechanical, electrical and electronic devices
> authorized.--
> (1) Except as otherwise provided in this section and in
> section 6109, the rate of speed of any vehicle may be timed
> on any highway by a police officer using a mechanical or
> electrical speed timing device.
> (2) Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (3),
> electronic devices such as radio-microwave devices (commonly
> referred to as electronic speed meters or radar) or infrared
> laser light devices (commonly referred to as LIDAR) may be
> used [only by]:
> (i) By members of the Pennsylvania State Police.
> (ii) Upon completion of a training course approved
> by the Pennsylvania State Police and the Municipal Police
> Officers' Education and Training Commission, by full-time
> police officers employed by the full-service police
> - 2 -
>
>
>

>
> department of a political subdivision or regional police
> department if official warning signs indicating the use
> of these devices are erected within 500 feet of the
> border of the political subdivision on the main arteries
> entering that political subdivision.
>
> (3) Electronic devices which calculate speed by
> measuring elapsed time between measured road surface points
> by using two sensors and devices which measure and calculate
> the average speed of a vehicle between any two points may be
> used by any police officer.
>
> (4) No person may be convicted upon evidence obtained
> through the use of devices authorized by paragraphs (2) and
> (3) unless the speed recorded is six or more miles per hour
> in excess of the legal speed limit. Furthermore, no person
> may be convicted upon evidence obtained through the use of
> devices authorized by paragraph (2) or (3) in an area where
> the legal speed limit is less than 55 miles per hour if the
> speed recorded is less than ten miles per hour in excess of
> the legal speed limit. This paragraph shall not apply to
> evidence obtained through the use of devices authorized by
> paragraph (2) or (3) within a school zone or an active work
> zone.
> (5) As used in this subsection, the following words and
> phrases shall have the meanings given to them in this
> paragraph:
> "Full-service police department." A local or regional
> police department which:
> (i) is authorized by one or more political
> subdivisions;
> (ii) provides 24-hour-a-day patrol and investigative
> - 3 -
>
>
> services; and
> (iii) reports its activities monthly to the
> Pennsylvania State Police in accordance with the Uniform
> Crime Reporting System.
> "Full-time police officer." An employee of a political
> subdivision or regional police department who complies with
> all of the following:
> (i) Is certified under 53 Pa.C.S. Ch. 21 Subch. D
> (relating to municipal police education and training).
> (ii) Is empowered to enforce 18 Pa.C.S. (relating to
> crimes and offenses) and this title.
> (iii) Is a regular full-time police officer under
> the act of June 15, 1951 (P.L.586, No.144), entitled "An

> act regulating the suspension, removal, furloughing and
> reinstatement of police officers in boroughs and
> townships of the first class having police forces of less
> than three members, and in townships of the second
> class," or works a minimum of 200 days a year.
> (iv) Is provided coverage by a police pension plan
> under:
> (A) the act of May 24, 1893 (P.L.129, No.82),
> entitled "An act to empower boroughs and cities to
> establish a police pension fund, to take property in
> trust therefor and regulating and providing for the
> regulation of the same";
> (B) the act of June 23, 1931 (P.L.932, No.317),
> known as The Third Class City Code;
> (C) the act of May 22, 1935 (P.L.233, No.99),
> referred to as the Second Class City Policemen Relief
> Law;
> 20030S0760B0871 - 4 -
>
>
>
>
> (D) the act of May 29, 1956 (1955 P.L.1804,
> No.600), referred to as the Municipal Police Pension
> Law; or
> (E) the act of July 15, 1957 (P.L.901, No.399),
> known as the Optional Third Class City Charter Law.
> The term does not include auxiliary, part-time or fire
> police.
> (d) Classification, approval and testing of mechanical,
> electrical and electronic devices.--The department may, by
> regulation, classify specific devices as being mechanical,
> electrical or electronic. All mechanical, electrical or
> electronic devices shall be of a type approved by the
> department, which shall appoint stations for calibrating and
> testing the devices [and may prescribe regulations as to the
> manner in which calibrations and tests shall be made]. All
> devices, including LIDAR laser devices and electronic speed
> meters or radar, must have been tested for accuracy within a
> period of one year prior to the alleged violation in accordance
> with specifications prescribed by the National Highway Traffic
> Safety Administration (NHTSA). All electronic devices, such as
> LIDAR laser devices, and electronic speed devices, such as speed
> meters or radar, approved for use in this Commonwealth, must
> appear on the International Association of Chiefs of Police
> consumer products list, in conjunction with National Highway
> Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) standards. The
> certification and calibration of electronic devices under
> subsection (c)(3) shall also include the certification and

> calibration of all equipment, timing strips and other devices
> which are actually used with the particular electronic device
> being certified and calibrated. [The devices shall have been
> 20030S0760B0871 - 5 -
>
>
>
>
> tested for accuracy within a period of 60 days prior to the
> alleged violation.] A certificate from the station showing that
> the calibration and test were made within the required period,
> and that the device was accurate, shall be competent and prima
> facie evidence of those facts in every proceeding in which a
> violation of this title is charged.
> (e) Distance requirements for use of mechanical, electrical
> and electronic devices.--[Mechanical]
> (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), mechanical,
> electrical or electronic devices may not be used to time the
> rate of speed of vehicles within 500 feet after a speed limit
> sign indicating a decrease of speed. This limitation on the
> use of speed timing devices shall not apply to speed limit
> signs indicating school zones, bridge and elevated structure
> speed limits, hazardous grade speed limits and work zone
> speed limits.
> (2) Whenever radio-microwave speed timing devices or
> infrared laser light devices are used by a local or regional
> police officer, the police officer must locate the vehicle
> with the radio-microwave speed timing device or infrared
> laser light device in a location that is not intentionally
> concealed from the motoring public.
> (f) Local ordinance required to enforce.--
> (1) Prior to use of radio-microwave speed timing devices
> or infrared laser light devices used for speed timing by
> local or regional police officers, the appropriate governing
> body must adopt an ordinance authorizing the local or
> regional police department to employ such devices on roads
> within the boundaries of the governing body where a required
> engineering and traffic study has been conducted and in
> 20030S0760B0871 - 6 -
>
>
>
>
> accordance with section 6109(a)(11) to address citizen
> complaints or demonstrable traffic safety concerns, such as
> high crash rates or fatalities.
> (2) During the initial 90 days of speed enforcement by a
> local or regional police department using radio-microwave
> speed timing devices or infrared laser light devices, persons

> may only be sanctioned for violations with a written warning.
> (g) Excess revenues.--The primary use of radar or LIDAR by
> local or regional police officers is for traffic safety
> purposes. Each local or regional police department that uses
> radar or LIDAR shall report annually to the Pennsylvania State
> Police the municipal revenue generated from speed enforcement
> citations on such forms as may be prescribed by the Pennsylvania
> State Police. In the event the municipal share of revenue
> generated from speed enforcement citations exceeds 5% of the
> total municipal budget or 5% of the regional police department
> budget, all sums in excess thereof shall be remitted to the
> Pennsylvania State Police to be used for traffic safety
> purposes. This subsection shall expire five years after it takes
> effect.
> Section 3. Section 6109(a)(11) of Title 75 is amended to
> read:
> § 6109. Specific powers of department and local authorities.
> (a) Enumeration of police powers.--The provisions of this
> title shall not be deemed to prevent the department on State-
> designated highways and local authorities on streets or highways
> within their physical boundaries from the reasonable exercise of
> their police powers. The following are presumed to be reasonable
> exercises of police power:
>
> 20030S0760B0871 - 7 -
>
>
>
>
> (11) Enforcement of speed restrictions authorized under
> Subchapter F of Chapter 33[, except that] in accordance with
> the following:
> (i) Except as set forth in subparagraph (ii), speed
> restrictions may be enforced by [local police] full-time
> police officers employed by the full-service police
> department of a political subdivision or regional police
> department on a limited access or divided highway only if
> [it] this title authorizes such enforcement and the
> highway is patrolled by the local or regional police
> force under the terms of an agreement with the
> Pennsylvania State Police.
> (ii) If this title authorizes speed restrictions to
> be enforced by a police department of a city of the first
> class, they may be enforced on limited access or divided
> highways within the police department's jurisdiction. An
> agreement with the Pennsylvania State Police is not
> necessary under this subparagraph.
>
> Section 4. This act shall take effect in 120 days.

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> E13L75BIL/20030S0760B0871 - 8 -
>
>
>
> --
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:UExr7.85$Kz7.30171173@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > See, I have proved he did those posts and
> > all he can do now is try to recriminate me and
> > anyone that disagree with him.
> >
> > Landshark

3308. True keyclown and moron twithed Illustrates his Failures!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-25 13:47:48 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109339268.668810.247450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196948

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> To view the entire article, visit
> http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=42987
> Wednesday, February 23, 2005
> ----------
> Democracies & double standards
> By Patrick J. Buchanan
> ----------
> Posted: February 23, 2005
> 1:00 a.m. Eastern
>
> "Magnanimity in politics is not seldom the truest wisdom; and a great
> empire and little minds go ill together," Edmund Burke admonished the
> haughty rulers of the British Empire of his time.
> Our American empire is suffering from a similar want of wisdom and
> plenitude of the hubris that cost George III his 13 colonies.
>
> Consider how this generation of politicians is undoing the great work
of
> Ronald Reagan. When Reagan took office in 1981, the Soviet Union of
the
> aging autocrat Leonid Brezhnev was an "evil empire" that stretched
from
> the Elbe to the Bering Sea with thousands of nuclear warheads
targeted
> on the United States. The Red Army had recently occupied Afghanistan,
> and Moscow had established imperial outposts in the Middle East,
Africa,
> the Caribbean and Central America.
>
>
> Yet, the year Reagan departed, 1989, the Soviet empire threw open its
> prison gates, released the captive nations of Eastern Europe, then
> peacefully dissolved itself and let 14 republics, many of which the
> czars had ruled for centuries, become free and independent states.
> Gorbachev, Yeltsin and Putin became strategic partners of American
> presidents. For once communism had been exorcised from Russia, there
was
> no ideological, ethnic or territorial conflict between us. For we
live
> on different continents in hemispheres separated by the world's
largest
> oceans. Moreover, Russia belongs with the West. As Solzhenitzyn
wrote,

> Mother Russia was "the first captive nation."
> Both of us also have a vital interest in balancing off a rising and
> possibly revanchist China and resisting an Islamic fundamentalism
that
> seeks to drive Russia out of the Caucasus and America out of the
Middle
> East.
>
>
> Thus, as there is no relationship more critical to the security of
the
> West than that between Washington and Moscow, it is with near-despair
> that one reads the front-page story in the Washington Times:
"Senators
> Seek to Sanction Russia: Say Putin Acts Autocratically."
> Who are the senators? They are those twin protectors and proctors of
> global democracy, Joe Lieberman and John McCain, and they want Putin
> sanctioned by having the world's industrial democracies, the G-8,
> suspend Russia's membership, which would be an insult and
humiliation.
>
>
> Putin's crimes? Says McCain: "Mr. Putin has moved to eliminate the
> popular election of 89 of Russia's regional governors, has cracked
down
> on independent media, continued his repression of business executives
> who oppose his government and is reasserting the Kremlin's old-style
> central control." Says McCain, "The coup is no longer creeping - it
is
> galloping."
> But a question arises: Why are these internal matters of the Russian
> republic any business of
>
> John McCain's? Putin is the elected president of Russia. Who elected
> McCain to anything outside of Arizona?
>
>
> During our Civil War, Lincoln blockaded Southern ports without the
> approval of Congress, suspended habeas corpus, sent troops to prevent
a
> free election in Maryland, sought to arrest Chief Justice Roger B.
> Taney, shut down newspapers, shot down rioters on the streets of New
> York and made himself dictator of the Union. Was that any business of
> the members of Britain's House of Lords? Just who do we Americans
think
> we are?
>
>
> Whether Russia's governors are elected or appointed is none of our
> business. As for the jailing of oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovsky, or any

of
> the others in that den of thieves, that is no more our concern than
TR's
> smashing of the trusts or Truman's seizure of the coal mines or
Bush's
> incarceration of Martha Stewart was or is any of Russia's business.
As
> for President Putin acting "autocratically," can Sen. McCain recall
when
> Russian rulers have acted any other way?
>
>
> Why are McCain and Lieberman bullyragging Russia but not China? After
> all, Putin was elected, but Hu Jintao was not. Russia has an elected
> legislature with opposition parties. China has never held a free
> election. The Russian people have freedom of religion. China
persecutes
> Christians. Russia threatens no U.S. ally. China threatens Taiwan. In
a
> recent issue of Parade, a list was drawn up of the world's 10 worst
> dictators based on their human-rights violations. Hu Jintao was
fourth
> from the top. Putin was not even mentioned.
>
>
> If Russia is to be insulted by being kicked out of the G-8, why not
> adopt a single standard and remove Most Favored Nation trade status
from
> China, which enabled her to run up a $160 billion trade surplus last
> year at our expense?
> Since Reagan achieved the rapprochement with Russia, the United
States
> has pushed NATO up to her borders, bombed her ally Serbia for 78
days,
> interfered in elections in Georgia, Ukraine and Belarus, and begun a
> pipeline to cut Moscow out of the Caspian oil trade.
>
>
> Now, Russia is now going her own way: selling SAMs to Syria, AK-47s
to
> Venezuela, missiles and fighter aircraft to China and aiding Iran in
> completing its first nuclear power plant.
> Of this generation of leaders, it may be said in epitaph: They were
too
> small to see the larger world. They frittered away in a decade what
> others had won in a half-century of perseverance in the Cold War.
> © 2005

Not only are you copy/pasting the writings of a fellow idiot, you again
prove that you cannot do anything BUT copy/paste. An original thought

in your head would die in the empty mental landscape.

Go back to killing puppies and using kittens as fish bait, you sawed off sissified FUGGLY pimper.

3309. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-25 17:09:00 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3633-421F5BAC-46@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196949

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2005, 1:13pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Thu, Feb 24, 2005, 1:13pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-5kF9M7iyN+gXQ/DXYIFPP44xBFyCplS86IjSuWwwiKsJEHuYHlEthxBCbN8WsO/CnOQENn48wNO8ala!xEDKZAhxJgYfZmbvgYWuVtQHcT62xEUgcRjVIVdZqNk5UozrrnzbZYuJGASMNmhC X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
>Ok so this should just about end the

>discussion, this was sent to me by request

>from a Administrative Leo.

>There is no hersay

Until this mystery source is cited and ascertained, that's exactly what it is..hearsay.

>here or no web sites. Just actual proof, other

>than signed active work zones or school

>zones these law are it.

It's not proof because you say so, but let's assume it is, just for the sake of the thread. Your statement above absolutely contradicts N3CVJ's claim that one CAN NOT BE CITED for speeding 1 MPH over the limit in Pa. You deftly illustrate the circumstances where one can be cited for such an infraction. Staying with assumptions, it would be safe to assume David T. Hall Jr. knew nothing of the law regarding these exceptions, therefore, he insists such does not exist, effectively contradicting one of his favorite claims that "absence of proof is not proof of absence".

3310. Re: Temporary leave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-25 17:18:46 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3633-421F5DF6-47@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196950

From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam
(itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge)
"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote in news:tVlTd.8959$Pz7.2649
@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
You can be ticketed in the state of Pa for 1mph over the speed limit, so
will you know shut up on it?

>Only in a "signed" active work zones.

Hahaha,,,,feel better? Now that you have been properly informed, you
have reversed your position and original claim (flip flop :). The "how"
is irrelevant to your claim that one could NOT be ticketed in Pa. for
going 1 MPH over the speed limit. You were told they most certainly
could, you made some a few personal insults, were whacked with truth and
logic, and here you are hanging your head, admitting your goof, and
trying to invoke special conditions to what was your original claim
"after" the fact. Smile. That portrait of you hanging in the cb
rec.radio.cb online museum of infamy underneath your rotting
roof......ah. never mind. Just enjoy the ride you've on which you've
been taken and you won't be so bitter about all your google names being
fired by the group.

>If the signage isn't erected in the stated

>fashion, no dice.

IF the queen had balls she would be king, but no dice, and if you had
balls, you wouldn't still be underground where you were driven.

3311. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-25 17:21:01 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3631-421F5E7D-158@storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 196951

From: mrc@yahoo.com (Mr. Chigliac)
YAWN....

The French are always sleeping.

3312. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-25 18:51:35 UTC
From: Charle <C@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <tYSdnQHqScEh7oLfRVn-pw@comcast.com>
Source record: 196952

Who gives a shit, amps are Illegal, Mexicans are Illegal,
shooting skip is Illegal.

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24339-421D15EE-35@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>So much for my digital -wish I was in Dixie-.

><g>

Been playing on the truck horn for years, courtesy of JC Whitney.
--

3313. PileupBuster.com - Domain Name is For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 05:33:44 UTC
From: erfurth@gmail.com
Message-ID: <36ba001b.0502252133.50673c34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 196953

-NOTICE-

The "PileupBuster.com" domain name is For Sale.

For less then the price of an HF rig you can own the ultimate Ham Radio domain name.

The PileupBuster.com domain has immediate name recognition to everyone associated with Ham Radio. Plus, the sales and marketing potential of this name is unlimited.

The minimum offer on this domain is $1,250.00 (US Dollars). The PileupBuster.com domain name will be sold to the person, company or group that makes the highest offer. International offers are welcome.

This is a Private Auction. No one will see what you offer and you will not be able to see what others are offering.

You may begin submitting your offers on Saturday February 26. No offers will be accepted after 12:00 midnight (Pacific Time) on Friday March 4, 2005.

TO MAKE AN OFFER, GO TO: http://PileupBuster.com When the web page comes up, look right under the PileupBuster.com name. You will see "This domain is For Sale" then click on: "More detailsï¿½" You will then see a box to enter your offer. Enter your offer and then click "Make Offer"

This sale is supervised and regulated by SEDO (sedo.com), a highly respected International domain sales company.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at: erfurth@gmail.com

Good luck.

73s,

Bill

3314. Re: True keyclown and moron twithed Illustrates his Failures!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 07:02:34 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <eaVTd.48813$cW2.23838@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196954

"Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1109339268.668810.247450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> To view the entire article, visit
> http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=42987
> Wednesday, February 23, 2005
> ---
> Democracies & double standards
> By Patrick J. Buchanan
> ---
> Posted: February 23, 2005
> 1:00 a.m. Eastern
>
> "Magnanimity in politics is not seldom the truest wisdom; and a great
> empire and little minds go ill together," Edmund Burke admonished the
> haughty rulers of the British Empire of his time.
> Our American empire is suffering from a similar want of wisdom and
> plenitude of the hubris that cost George III his 13 colonies.
>
> Consider how this generation of politicians is undoing the great work
of
> Ronald Reagan. When Reagan took office in 1981, the Soviet Union of
the
> aging autocrat Leonid Brezhnev was an "evil empire" that stretched
from
> the Elbe to the Bering Sea with thousands of nuclear warheads
targeted
> on the United States. The Red Army had recently occupied Afghanistan,
> and Moscow had established imperial outposts in the Middle East,
Africa,
> the Caribbean and Central America.
>
>
> Yet, the year Reagan departed, 1989, the Soviet empire threw open its
> prison gates, released the captive nations of Eastern Europe, then
> peacefully dissolved itself and let 14 republics, many of which the
> czars had ruled for centuries, become free and independent states.
> Gorbachev, Yeltsin and Putin became strategic partners of American
> presidents. For once communism had been exorcised from Russia, there
was
> no ideological, ethnic or territorial conflict between us. For we
live

> on different continents in hemispheres separated by the world's
largest
> oceans. Moreover, Russia belongs with the West. As Solzhenitzyn
wrote,
> Mother Russia was "the first captive nation."
> Both of us also have a vital interest in balancing off a rising and
> possibly revanchist China and resisting an Islamic fundamentalism
that
> seeks to drive Russia out of the Caucasus and America out of the
Middle
> East.
>
>
> Thus, as there is no relationship more critical to the security of
the
> West than that between Washington and Moscow, it is with near-despair
> that one reads the front-page story in the Washington Times:
"Senators
> Seek to Sanction Russia: Say Putin Acts Autocratically."
> Who are the senators? They are those twin protectors and proctors of
> global democracy, Joe Lieberman and John McCain, and they want Putin
> sanctioned by having the world's industrial democracies, the G-8,
> suspend Russia's membership, which would be an insult and
humiliation.
>
>
> Putin's crimes? Says McCain: "Mr. Putin has moved to eliminate the
> popular election of 89 of Russia's regional governors, has cracked
down
> on independent media, continued his repression of business executives
> who oppose his government and is reasserting the Kremlin's old-style
> central control." Says McCain, "The coup is no longer creeping - it
is
> galloping."
> But a question arises: Why are these internal matters of the Russian
> republic any business of
>
> John McCain's? Putin is the elected president of Russia. Who elected
> McCain to anything outside of Arizona?
>
>
> During our Civil War, Lincoln blockaded Southern ports without the
> approval of Congress, suspended habeas corpus, sent troops to prevent
a
> free election in Maryland, sought to arrest Chief Justice Roger B.
> Taney, shut down newspapers, shot down rioters on the streets of New
> York and made himself dictator of the Union. Was that any business of
> the members of Britain's House of Lords? Just who do we Americans
think

> we are?
>
>
> Whether Russia's governors are elected or appointed is none of our
> business. As for the jailing of oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovsky, or any
of
> the others in that den of thieves, that is no more our concern than
TR's
> smashing of the trusts or Truman's seizure of the coal mines or
Bush's
> incarceration of Martha Stewart was or is any of Russia's business.
As
> for President Putin acting "autocratically," can Sen. McCain recall
when
> Russian rulers have acted any other way?
>
>
> Why are McCain and Lieberman bullyragging Russia but not China? After
> all, Putin was elected, but Hu Jintao was not. Russia has an elected
> legislature with opposition parties. China has never held a free
> election. The Russian people have freedom of religion. China
persecutes
> Christians. Russia threatens no U.S. ally. China threatens Taiwan. In
a
> recent issue of Parade, a list was drawn up of the world's 10 worst
> dictators based on their human-rights violations. Hu Jintao was
fourth
> from the top. Putin was not even mentioned.
>
>
> If Russia is to be insulted by being kicked out of the G-8, why not
> adopt a single standard and remove Most Favored Nation trade status
from
> China, which enabled her to run up a $160 billion trade surplus last
> year at our expense?
> Since Reagan achieved the rapprochement with Russia, the United
States
> has pushed NATO up to her borders, bombed her ally Serbia for 78
days,
> interfered in elections in Georgia, Ukraine and Belarus, and begun a
> pipeline to cut Moscow out of the Caspian oil trade.
>
>
> Now, Russia is now going her own way: selling SAMs to Syria, AK-47s
to
> Venezuela, missiles and fighter aircraft to China and aiding Iran in
> completing its first nuclear power plant.
> Of this generation of leaders, it may be said in epitaph: They were
too

> small to see the larger world. They frittered away in a decade what
> others had won in a half-century of perseverance in the Cold War.
> &#65533; 2005

Not only are you copy/pasting the writings of a fellow idiot, you again
prove that you cannot do anything BUT copy/paste. An original thought
in your head would die in the empty mental landscape.

Go back to killing puppies and using kittens as fish bait, you sawed
off sissified FUGGLY pimper.

STFU, felon-boi!

3315. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 07:04:28 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <0cVTd.48839$cW2.473@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196955

"Charle" <C@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:tYSdnQHqScEh7oLfRVn-pw@comcast.com...
> Who gives a shit, amps are Illegal, Mexicans are Illegal,
> shooting skip is Illegal.
>

Shame having the IQ of a salad fork isn't. If it was, you'd be in prison.

>
>
> "I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:24339-421D15EE-35@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
> From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>>So much for my digital -wish I was in Dixie-.
>
>><g>
>
>
> Been playing on the truck horn for years, courtesy of JC Whitney.
> --
>
>
>

3316. True keyclown and moron crackhead randy Illustrates his Failures!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 14:03:28 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109426608.120923.303890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196957

U Know Who wrote:
> "Psychiatrist to keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> news:1109339268.668810.247450@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> > To view the entire article, visit
> > http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=42987
> > Wednesday, February 23, 2005
> > ----------
> > Democracies & double standards
> > By Patrick J. Buchanan
> > ----------
> > Posted: February 23, 2005
> > 1:00 a.m. Eastern
> >
> > "Magnanimity in politics is not seldom the truest wisdom; and a
great
> > empire and little minds go ill together," Edmund Burke admonished
the
> > haughty rulers of the British Empire of his time.
> > Our American empire is suffering from a similar want of wisdom and
> > plenitude of the hubris that cost George III his 13 colonies.
> >
> > Consider how this generation of politicians is undoing the great
work
> of
> > Ronald Reagan. When Reagan took office in 1981, the Soviet Union of
> the
> > aging autocrat Leonid Brezhnev was an "evil empire" that stretched
> from
> > the Elbe to the Bering Sea with thousands of nuclear warheads
> targeted
> > on the United States. The Red Army had recently occupied
Afghanistan,
> > and Moscow had established imperial outposts in the Middle East,
> Africa,
> > the Caribbean and Central America.
> >
> >
> > Yet, the year Reagan departed, 1989, the Soviet empire threw open
its
> > prison gates, released the captive nations of Eastern Europe, then
> > peacefully dissolved itself and let 14 republics, many of which the

> > czars had ruled for centuries, become free and independent states.
> > Gorbachev, Yeltsin and Putin became strategic partners of American
> > presidents. For once communism had been exorcised from Russia,
there
> was
> > no ideological, ethnic or territorial conflict between us. For we
> live
> > on different continents in hemispheres separated by the world's
> largest
> > oceans. Moreover, Russia belongs with the West. As Solzhenitzyn
> wrote,
> > Mother Russia was "the first captive nation."
> > Both of us also have a vital interest in balancing off a rising and
> > possibly revanchist China and resisting an Islamic fundamentalism
> that
> > seeks to drive Russia out of the Caucasus and America out of the
> Middle
> > East.
> >
> >
> > Thus, as there is no relationship more critical to the security of
> the
> > West than that between Washington and Moscow, it is with
near-despair
> > that one reads the front-page story in the Washington Times:
> "Senators
> > Seek to Sanction Russia: Say Putin Acts Autocratically."
> > Who are the senators? They are those twin protectors and proctors
of
> > global democracy, Joe Lieberman and John McCain, and they want
Putin
> > sanctioned by having the world's industrial democracies, the G-8,
> > suspend Russia's membership, which would be an insult and
> humiliation.
> >
> >
> > Putin's crimes? Says McCain: "Mr. Putin has moved to eliminate the
> > popular election of 89 of Russia's regional governors, has cracked
> down
> > on independent media, continued his repression of business
executives
> > who oppose his government and is reasserting the Kremlin's
old-style
> > central control." Says McCain, "The coup is no longer creeping - it
> is
> > galloping."
> > But a question arises: Why are these internal matters of the
Russian
> > republic any business of
> >

> > John McCain's? Putin is the elected president of Russia. Who
elected
> > McCain to anything outside of Arizona?
> >
> >
> > During our Civil War, Lincoln blockaded Southern ports without the
> > approval of Congress, suspended habeas corpus, sent troops to
prevent
> a
> > free election in Maryland, sought to arrest Chief Justice Roger B.
> > Taney, shut down newspapers, shot down rioters on the streets of
New
> > York and made himself dictator of the Union. Was that any business
of
> > the members of Britain's House of Lords? Just who do we Americans
> think
> > we are?
> >
> >
> > Whether Russia's governors are elected or appointed is none of our
> > business. As for the jailing of oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovsky, or
any
> of
> > the others in that den of thieves, that is no more our concern than
> TR's
> > smashing of the trusts or Truman's seizure of the coal mines or
> Bush's
> > incarceration of Martha Stewart was or is any of Russia's business.
> As
> > for President Putin acting "autocratically," can Sen. McCain recall
> when
> > Russian rulers have acted any other way?
> >
> >
> > Why are McCain and Lieberman bullyragging Russia but not China?
After
> > all, Putin was elected, but Hu Jintao was not. Russia has an
elected
> > legislature with opposition parties. China has never held a free
> > election. The Russian people have freedom of religion. China
> persecutes
> > Christians. Russia threatens no U.S. ally. China threatens Taiwan.
In
> a
> > recent issue of Parade, a list was drawn up of the world's 10 worst
> > dictators based on their human-rights violations. Hu Jintao was
> fourth
> > from the top. Putin was not even mentioned.
> >
> >

> > If Russia is to be insulted by being kicked out of the G-8, why not
> > adopt a single standard and remove Most Favored Nation trade status
> from
> > China, which enabled her to run up a $160 billion trade surplus
last
> > year at our expense?
> > Since Reagan achieved the rapprochement with Russia, the United
> States
> > has pushed NATO up to her borders, bombed her ally Serbia for 78
> days,
> > interfered in elections in Georgia, Ukraine and Belarus, and begun
a
> > pipeline to cut Moscow out of the Caspian oil trade.
> >
> >
> > Now, Russia is now going her own way: selling SAMs to Syria, AK-47s
> to
> > Venezuela, missiles and fighter aircraft to China and aiding Iran
in
> > completing its first nuclear power plant.
> > Of this generation of leaders, it may be said in epitaph: They were
> too
> > small to see the larger world. They frittered away in a decade what
> > others had won in a half-century of perseverance in the Cold War.
> > © 2005
>
> Not only are you copy/pasting the writings of a fellow idiot, you
again
> prove that you cannot do anything BUT copy/paste. An original thought
> in your head would die in the empty mental landscape.
>
> Go back to killing puppies and using kittens as fish bait, you sawed
> off sissified FUGGLY pimper.
>
> STFU, felon-boi!

boy you just "crack" people up, doncha?

3317. Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 14:03:29 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109426609.859567.236950@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196956

Heard you on freeband. Have recording. Where do I send for qsl card?

3318. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 14:19:13 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109427553.360095.256090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196958

No I Am Not Him wrote:
> Heard you on freeband. Have recording. Where do I send for qsl card?

His QSL manager is Playgirl magazine. If that doesn't work send it via
NAMBLA headquarters.

3319. Crackhead Randy the wrist flipper

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 14:22:03 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109427723.713975.93080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196959

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist

Flip that wrist so limp

Randy is a crackhead queen

With the IQ of a chimp

3320. Re: PileupBuster.com - Domain Name is For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 14:23:36 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109427816.304267.324470@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196960

Keyclowns bust piles, not pileups.

3321. FA: Hallicrafters R-47 SPKR, ASTATIC G stand!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 15:38:10 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <7T0Ud.24335$CV.18976@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Here is the Entire list, you'll no doubt find something here you'd like to own:-)
Enjoy!
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

3322. FA: Signal Electric SOUNDER & HUSTLER CB Ant!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 15:39:21 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <eU0Ud.24345$1Z.10874@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Here is the Entire list, you'll no doubt find something here you'd like to own:-)
Enjoy!
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

3323. FA: COLLINS R-390A JAN tubes & Shields

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 15:41:39 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <oW0Ud.24348$801.11923@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb

Here is the Entire list, you'll no doubt find something here you'd like to own:-)
Enjoy!
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

3324. FA: RARE EUREKA BECON AN/PPN-1A SUPPLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 15:51:51 UTC
From: RICH-WA2RQY <wa2rqy@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Y31Ud.24465$E71.20776@fe08.lga>
Source record: 196964
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Here is the Entire list, you'll no doubt find something here you'd like to
own:-)
Enjoy!
http://search.ebay.com/_W0QQgotopageZ1QQsassZmyradioroom

3325. Re: True keyclown and moron twithed Illustrates his Failures!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 16:24:23 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <1l8121pug8ubdtbovej1eukaje39c5923p@4ax.com>
Source record: 196965

Wanted- a boy who is prepared to give all to his
Masters. Nothing ventured, nothing gained. Time
wasters will be ignored. If you think you have
what it takes, get in touch.

On 25 Feb 2005 05:47:48 -0800, "Psychiatrist to
keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>> To view the entire article, visit
>> http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=42987
>> Wednesday, February 23, 2005
>> ----------
>> Democracies & double standards
>> By Patrick J. Buchanan
>> ----------
>> Posted: February 23, 2005
>> 1:00 a.m. Eastern
>>
>> "Magnanimity in politics is not seldom the truest wisdom; and a great
>> empire and little minds go ill together," Edmund Burke admonished the
>> haughty rulers of the British Empire of his time.
>> Our American empire is suffering from a similar want of wisdom and
>> plenitude of the hubris that cost George III his 13 colonies.
>>
>> Consider how this generation of politicians is undoing the great work
>of
>> Ronald Reagan. When Reagan took office in 1981, the Soviet Union of
>the
>> aging autocrat Leonid Brezhnev was an "evil empire" that stretched
>from
>> the Elbe to the Bering Sea with thousands of nuclear warheads
>targeted
>> on the United States. The Red Army had recently occupied Afghanistan,
>> and Moscow had established imperial outposts in the Middle East,
>Africa,
>> the Caribbean and Central America.
>>
>>
>> Yet, the year Reagan departed, 1989, the Soviet empire threw open its
>> prison gates, released the captive nations of Eastern Europe, then
>> peacefully dissolved itself and let 14 republics, many of which the
>> czars had ruled for centuries, become free and independent states.
>> Gorbachev, Yeltsin and Putin became strategic partners of American

>> presidents. For once communism had been exorcised from Russia, there
>was
>> no ideological, ethnic or territorial conflict between us. For we
>live
>> on different continents in hemispheres separated by the world's
>largest
>> oceans. Moreover, Russia belongs with the West. As Solzhenitzyn
>wrote,
>> Mother Russia was "the first captive nation."
>> Both of us also have a vital interest in balancing off a rising and
>> possibly revanchist China and resisting an Islamic fundamentalism
>that
>> seeks to drive Russia out of the Caucasus and America out of the
>Middle
>> East.
>>
>>
>> Thus, as there is no relationship more critical to the security of
>the
>> West than that between Washington and Moscow, it is with near-despair
>> that one reads the front-page story in the Washington Times:
>"Senators
>> Seek to Sanction Russia: Say Putin Acts Autocratically."
>> Who are the senators? They are those twin protectors and proctors of
>> global democracy, Joe Lieberman and John McCain, and they want Putin
>> sanctioned by having the world's industrial democracies, the G-8,
>> suspend Russia's membership, which would be an insult and
>humiliation.
>>
>>
>> Putin's crimes? Says McCain: "Mr. Putin has moved to eliminate the
>> popular election of 89 of Russia's regional governors, has cracked
>down
>> on independent media, continued his repression of business executives
>> who oppose his government and is reasserting the Kremlin's old-style
>> central control." Says McCain, "The coup is no longer creeping - it
>is
>> galloping."
>> But a question arises: Why are these internal matters of the Russian
>> republic any business of
>>
>> John McCain's? Putin is the elected president of Russia. Who elected
>> McCain to anything outside of Arizona?
>>
>>
>> During our Civil War, Lincoln blockaded Southern ports without the
>> approval of Congress, suspended habeas corpus, sent troops to prevent
>a
>> free election in Maryland, sought to arrest Chief Justice Roger B.
>> Taney, shut down newspapers, shot down rioters on the streets of New

>> York and made himself dictator of the Union. Was that any business of
>> the members of Britain's House of Lords? Just who do we Americans
>think
>> we are?
>>
>>
>> Whether Russia's governors are elected or appointed is none of our
>> business. As for the jailing of oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovsky, or any
>of
>> the others in that den of thieves, that is no more our concern than
>TR's
>> smashing of the trusts or Truman's seizure of the coal mines or
>Bush's
>> incarceration of Martha Stewart was or is any of Russia's business.
>As
>> for President Putin acting "autocratically," can Sen. McCain recall
>when
>> Russian rulers have acted any other way?
>>
>>
>> Why are McCain and Lieberman bullyragging Russia but not China? After
>> all, Putin was elected, but Hu Jintao was not. Russia has an elected
>> legislature with opposition parties. China has never held a free
>> election. The Russian people have freedom of religion. China
>persecutes
>> Christians. Russia threatens no U.S. ally. China threatens Taiwan. In
>a
>> recent issue of Parade, a list was drawn up of the world's 10 worst
>> dictators based on their human-rights violations. Hu Jintao was
>fourth
>> from the top. Putin was not even mentioned.
>>
>>
>> If Russia is to be insulted by being kicked out of the G-8, why not
>> adopt a single standard and remove Most Favored Nation trade status
>from
>> China, which enabled her to run up a $160 billion trade surplus last
>> year at our expense?
>> Since Reagan achieved the rapprochement with Russia, the United
>States
>> has pushed NATO up to her borders, bombed her ally Serbia for 78
>days,
>> interfered in elections in Georgia, Ukraine and Belarus, and begun a
>> pipeline to cut Moscow out of the Caspian oil trade.
>>
>>
>> Now, Russia is now going her own way: selling SAMs to Syria, AK-47s
>to
>> Venezuela, missiles and fighter aircraft to China and aiding Iran in
>> completing its first nuclear power plant.

>> Of this generation of leaders, it may be said in epitaph: They were
>too
>> small to see the larger world. They frittered away in a decade what
>> others had won in a half-century of perseverance in the Cold War.
>> ï¿½ 2005
>
>Not only are you copy/pasting the writings of a fellow idiot, you again
>prove that you cannot do anything BUT copy/paste. An original thought
>in your head would die in the empty mental landscape.
>
>Go back to killing puppies and using kittens as fish bait, you sawed
>off sissified FUGGLY pimper.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3326. Re: FS: Uniden 2600 10 mtr mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 16:29:04 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <0r8121l5imja83fsif6v57n9r25qvotfu6@4ax.com>
Source record: 196966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

It was early morning when they untied him, and
carried him to a soft bed, where he was washed and
allowed to rest. When Mike awoke the next morning,
the three men were awaiting him, hard dicks in
hand, and bowels full of a mess that needed a warm
mouth to harbor it.

On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 10:17:10 -0500, "RICH-WA2RQY"
<wa2rqy@optonline.net> wrote:

>Hi All;
>Anyone interested in a Uniden 2600 for $100ppd?
>Its mint, only problem is a bad element in the mike.
>No Manual but have outer box only & DC cable & mobile bracket!
>Tnx & 73..
>Rich
>

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3327. Re: FS: Uniden 2600 10 mtr mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 17:01:48 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <0Y1Ud.52796$cW2.50667@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns9609781FC91FC1234567890@216.196.97.136...
> KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote in
> news:0r8121l5imja83fsif6v57n9r25qvotfu6@4ax.com:
>
>> It was early morning when they untied him, and
>> carried him to a soft bed, where he was washed and
>> allowed to rest. When Mike awoke the next morning,
>> the three men were awaiting him, hard dicks in
>> hand, and bowels full of a mess that needed a warm
>> mouth to harbor it.
>
>
> Poor, Randy Chapman

Dang! And just when I thought you were getting smarter than dirt.

Try again.

3328. STICHT hand held digital tachometers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-26 23:16:56 UTC
From: svtyellowcobra@aol.com
Message-ID: <1109459816.318784.163750@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196968

Starting bid $10.00 NO RESERVE these items are like new.
Worth $360 new. Includes hard case and intstruction sheet. See photos
in link.
Great for custom alternator installations.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4678&item=3877716028&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&category=4678&item=3877716161&rd=1&ssPageNam
e=WDVW

3329. Re: Voters: it is pointless to discuss politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 01:17:48 UTC
From: STEVEO <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109467068.088376.167090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196969
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

dug88 wrote:
<BITCHbecause canada is our Lap-Dog>

hey dug baby,,,,,,do you enjoy a nigger's dick in your mouth and ass at
the same time?

3330. freaky friday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 01:28:40 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109467720.653472.49290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196970
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay

dug88 finally drove down here and we meet face to face. he felt my cock through my jeans and rubbed my stiff prick until i about exploded all over myself. then he gently kissed me. i pulled him aganist me and tasted his sweet tongue and explored his sexy mouth. i then dropped to my knees and sucked his massive fuck stick. just as i swollowed his load frank arrived. without even missing a "stroke", frank slammed his cock into my ass and began to fuck the shit out of me. i felt frank's balls slamming aganist my ass cheeks as he filled my man-puss with his delightful man meat. dug88 was watching this and it made his cock hard as a rock again. he then slipped his dick into my waiting mouth as frank continued to pump my now juicy ass. at that very moment frank and dug88 shared a long wet kiss just as frank exploded in my ass and dug88 again exploded in my mouth. i gave them both a log wet kiss as their fuck juices mixed in my mouth and they tasted themselves.

3331. My Doug poses for the web cam - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 18:35:18 UTC
From: KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <fj4421ltak3gb9ud83ie0et1fnsfr6oaqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 196971

On 25 Feb 2005 05:47:48 -0800, "Psychiatrist to
keyclowns" <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 120,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

3332. Re: My Doug poses for the web cam - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 19:02:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050227140229.135$iA@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196972

KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
> begin 644 fuglydogiecloseup.JPG
> [3769 bytes]
> end
>
OMG! Where the hell did you find that?

3333. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 20:51:40 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109537500.441349.12510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196973

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> No I Am Not Him wrote:
> > Heard you on freeband. Have recording. Where do I send for qsl
card?
>
> His QSL manager is Playgirl magazine. If that doesn't work send it
via
> NAMBLA headquarters.

Will they know who he is?

Twisted wrote:
>>Gee, I could use a contact in North Dakota to
>>finish off my "Worked All States" award. Think
>>I could use a freeband QSL card? ;-)
>
>The acceptance of the standard of proof is often the same.

I heard someone named twistedhed ID on freeband. Is that enough proof?

3334. Re: My Doug poses for the web cam - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 21:17:44 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <YNqUd.68790$911.59177@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196974

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050227140229.135$iA@newsreader.com...
> KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
>> begin 644 fuglydogiecloseup.JPG
>> [3769 bytes]
>> end
>>
> OMG! Where the hell did you find that?

Scary!

3335. Re: freaky friday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 21:42:19 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark@iname.com>
Message-ID: <1109540539.740046.56360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Steveo wrote:
> dug88 finally drove down here and we meet face to face. he felt my
cock
> through my jeans and rubbed my stiff prick until i about exploded all
> over myself. then he gently kissed me. i pulled him aganist me and
> tasted his sweet tongue and explored his sexy mouth. i then dropped
to
> my knees and sucked his massive fuck stick. just as i swollowed his
> load frank arrived. without even missing a "stroke", frank slammed
his
> cock into my ass and began to fuck the shit out of me. i felt frank's
> balls slamming aganist my ass cheeks as he filled my man-puss with
his
> delightful man meat. dug88 was watching this and it made his cock
hard
> as a rock again. he then slipped his dick into my waiting mouth as
> frank continued to pump my now juicy ass. at that very moment frank
and
> dug88 shared a long wet kiss just as frank exploded in my ass and
dug88
> again exploded in my mouth. i gave them both a log wet kiss as their
> fuck juices mixed in my mouth and they tasted themselves.

Dam dude.......you are one slimy,flamming faggot!

SILLY KEYQUEEN,..... DICKS R 4 CHICKS !!!!!!!!

3336. Re: My Doug poses for the web cam - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 22:10:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050227171048.120$GC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196976

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050227140229.135$iA@newsreader.com...
> > KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
> >> begin 644 fuglydogiecloseup.JPG
> >> [3769 bytes]
> >> end
> >>
> > OMG! Where the hell did you find that?
>
> Scary!
>
Indeed..'fugly dogie' is being kind.

3337. Re: My Doug poses for the web cam - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 22:15:55 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <vErUd.66831$cW2.18559@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196977

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20050227140229.135$iA@newsreader.com...
> KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
>> begin 644 fuglydogiecloseup.JPG
>> [3769 bytes]
>> end
>>
> OMG! Where the hell did you find that?

So, I guess that is indeed the weirdo?

3338. Re: My Doug poses for the web cam - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-27 22:27:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050227172705.073$Op@newsreader.com>
Source record: 196978

"U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20050227140229.135$iA@newsreader.com...
> > KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
> >> begin 644 fuglydogiecloseup.JPG
> >> [3769 bytes]
> >> end
> >>
> > OMG! Where the hell did you find that?
>
> So, I guess that is indeed the weirdo?
>
It does look like him from the one I took. It appears he has crisco kathy's glasses on in this one. Fucker looks about four feet tall too. (check out his size in comparison to the door frame) lol

http://img139.exs.cx/my.php?loc=img139&image=dogieupclosefugly6mr.jpg

3339. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 01:16:26 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ztuUd.7260$FH4.5834@fe10.lga>
Source record: 196979

No I Am Not Him wrote:
> Vinnie S. wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 00:10:09 -0600, coop874@webtv.net (j c) wrote:
>>
>>
>>>>hey vinnie i from alabama unit 2238 maybe this week we can qso i
>
> will
>
>>>>fire up my yaesu ft 301 bought from a hamfest i need some cb
>
> crystals
>
>>>>for it 73s
>>
>>
>>Why do you need crystals? Do ham radios skip over 11 meters?
>>
>>Vinnie S.
>
>
> yes vinnie ham radios skip over 11 meters cuz hams are not supposed to
> operate on cb...DUH
>
hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished from
this spectrum?

3340. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 01:41:11 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196980

```
"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:ztuUd.7260$FH4.5834@fe10.lga...
> No I Am Not Him wrote:
>> Vinnie S. wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 00:10:09 -0600, coop874@webtv.net (j c) wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hey vinnie i from alabama unit 2238 maybe this week we can qso i
>>
>> will
>>
>>>>fire up my yaesu ft 301 bought from a hamfest i need some cb
>>
>> crystals
>>
>>>>for it 73s
>>>
>>>
>>>Why do you need crystals? Do ham radios skip over 11 meters?
>>>
>>>Vinnie S.
>>
>>
>> yes vinnie ham radios skip over 11 meters cuz hams are not supposed to
>> operate on cb...DUH
>>
> hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished from this
> spectrum?

They aren't. Disregard. The idiot can't form a coherent sentence.
```

3341. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:02:07 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rqu421l8vu1d51nd2lj0iq7nvhivl6s53p@4ax.com>
Source record: 196981

On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 01:41:11 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>>> yes vinnie ham radios skip over 11 meters cuz hams are not supposed to
>>> operate on cb...DUH
>>>
>> hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished from this
>> spectrum?
>
>They aren't. Disregard. The idiot can't form a coherent sentence.

Test coming March 12. I wonder is I will pass the morse. I have about 15 letters memorized.

Vinnie S.

3342. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:16:39 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <RevUd.5264$fp6.902@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196982

itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:

> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>
>>hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished from
>>this spectrum?
>
>
> Ham radios are not supposed to talk on CB band thats why he needs the
> crystals. He didnt say anything about banished or any other assclown
> remarks you can think of.
Hmmm i guess my Icom general coverage radio should bypass the 40 regular
then... What a bizarre statement that "ham radios are not supposed to
talk on CB band". Care to back that up?

3343. Re: My Doug poses for the web cam - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:20:13 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <euv421plbrpsmt9qs9q9ulva9m47s0cf9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 196983

On 27 Feb 2005 19:02:29 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
>> begin 644 fuglydogiecloseup.JPG
>> [3769 bytes]
>> end
>>
>OMG! Where the hell did you find that?

I got nothing here, Moped.

Vinnie S.

3344. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:23:48 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ylvUd.5265$Yu6.3341@fe12.lga>
Source record: 196984

U Know Who wrote:

> "Charle" <C@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:tYSdnQHqScEh7oLfRVn-pw@comcast.com...
>
>>Who gives a shit, amps are Illegal, Mexicans are Illegal,
>>shooting skip is Illegal.
>>
>
>
> Shame having the IQ of a salad fork isn't. If it was, you'd be in prison.
>
>
Now thats funny...

>>
>>"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
>>news:24339-421D15EE-35@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net...
>>From: moparholic@hotmail.com (Steveo)
>>
>>>So much for my digital -wish I was in Dixie-.
>>
>>><g>
>>
>>
>>Been playing on the truck horn for years, courtesy of JC Whitney.
>>--
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>

3345. CB REPAIR & MODIFICATION BOOKS AT 40% OFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:28:09 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <md0521ps4e65fo0nivr97vtfannkri0jqh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196985

To learn the technical TRUTH about CB Radio without all the hype from people who are just plain ignorant, check out the following books from CBC International. They're selling right now at a big discounts on our EBAY & AMAZON stores too.

Check it out!

To see exactly what these books look like, plus all the specific, chapter-by-chapter details, see our Web at:

www.cbcintl.com

Some of the books included are:

BOOK #1: THE "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE To Peaking Out & Repairing CB Radios. List $25. The most popular "big dummy's" guide ever published on do-it-yourself CB repairs & modifications. Covers peaking of receivers, transmitters, modulation, mike problems, antenna & SWR problems, detailed frequency modifications for both crystal & PLL radios. Loaded with charts, illustrations, and specific instructions for most brands including the new export models. This latest edition also covers slider and broadbanding tricks, FM conversions, and much more!

BOOK #2: THE CB PLL DATA BOOK. List $20. The only complete reference source on CB PLL synthesizer circuits ever published! Detailed PLL theory explained in layman's terms. How they work, and how to modify them for many more channels. Includes specific PLL block mixing diagrams, truth charts, & IC pin function diagrams for nearly every PLL chip ever used. Covers all the most popular U.S. & export chassis, with specific listings of all models using the chip.

BOOK #3: UNDERSTANDING & REPAIRING CB RADIOS. List $42. Giant 370-page technical book for the professional repairman. Picks up where the "SCREWDRIVER EXPERT'S" GUIDE leaves off. Includes circuit descriptions & troubleshooting guide for 23- & 40-Ch., crystal & PLL, solid-state & tube, AM, FM, SSB, CW, American & export models. Covers test equipment (& where to find it), transistor basics, synthesizers, receivers, transmitters, power supplies, T/R switching, antennas, interference, parts & accessory sources. Over 350 illustrations including schematics, charts, and photos. Huge subject index also lets you look up repairs by symptoms. This is "must" reading for any serious CB technician!

For details on our Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual (2nd Ed.) go to:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3346. FREE CB CRYSTAL MIXING CHARTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:28:13 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <sd0521heevkpem0iqie3gv6m16imiolg6r@4ax.com>
Source record: 196987

We've now added all our crystal charts for free download/printing
(PDF) on our site. These 36 charts cover about 95% of all American
mobile & base CB models, and show exactly which crystal(s) affects
which mode or channel. Very useful for troubleshooting "dead
channels" symptom, or for modification to 10M or other freqs.

Check 'em out at: www.cbcintl.com/xtals/xtals.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3347. FREE MIKE WIRING TIPS & TRICKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:28:17 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <vd05211rrlf9qfbmgn2lscstk3goatvhoh@4ax.com>
Source record: 196986

Trying to fix a mike, or wire a new mike or power mike? Can't figure
out which mike socket pin(s) and wires are which? Plagued by RF
feedback squeal? Check out our FREE mike wiring guide. Everything you
need to know on the subject! Follow this link:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/mikewire.htm

We specialize in CB books, plans, kits, and high-performance CB
accessories. Please visit our Web site to see all those "goodies" you
just can't find anywhere else!

www.cbcintl.com

You can also download our complete CB catalog, or just the Order Form
from that page. We've also got a new Email Order Form (for VISA/MC)
if you're in a hurry.

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to
HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see
what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance accessories. Books,
plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications,
10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover
filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3348. FREE! "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" OF FREQ. MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:28:21 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <4e0521d8j82csp4c4grtfnvlplaef5bqfo@4ax.com>
Source record: 196988

FREE!!

For advanced techs and serious radio hobbyists, we're now offering a FREE copy of our previously published "CB EPROM DATA BOOK" by Martin Pickering. (EPROM = Erasable Programmable Read Only Memory.) We sold it for many years but it's now out of print. This little manual of 60 illustrated pages is an absolute GEM of CB technical knowledge you'll just never find anywhere else. And it's yours for FREE from our Web site.

This book reveals the secrets of advanced channel programming, and picks up where our "CB PLL DATA BOOK" (www.cbcintl.com/pllbook.htm) leaves off. You'll get a thorough background in how these amazing programmable chips can be used to modify many popular CB PLL circuits for expanded frequency coverage. Covers the basic programming techniques, and even includes real PC board artwork for making EPROM circuits. Sample artwork includes EPROM boards for the popular MB8719, PLL02A, ï¿½PD2824, and ï¿½PD858 PLL circuits.

Best of all, the price is right--namely, FREE! Download your FREE copy of "THE CB EPROM DATA BOOK" (948 KB Adobe PDF) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/EpromBook.htm

Don't forget to check out all our other CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

SAVE MONEY! We regularly run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of our most popular CB books and accessories. To see what's currently on sale, double-click the line below:

http://stores.ebay.com/id=2993436&ssPageName=L2

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance accessories. Books, plans, kits, do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, etc. Secrets of how to increase power, range, and privacy!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: info@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3349. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:40:41 UTC
From: U Know Who <no_one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <JwvUd.67238$cW2.62629@fe2.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 196989

"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
wrote in message news:Xns960AD5051FCB51234567890@216.196.97.136...
> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> news:XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>
>> hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished from
>> this spectrum?
>
> Ham radios are not supposed to talk on CB band thats why he needs the
> crystals. He didnt say anything about banished or any other assclown
> remarks you can think of.

Learn to quote properly, idiot.

3350. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 02:56:16 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <kLvUd.522304$Xk.361126@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 196990
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

oh jusat grovel
and suck him off
obviously a worthless human being
so give him the only thrill he has had in ten years
a great blow job

oh yes we know why you guys keep hanging around here

no buddy is actually thick as a brick

the comments remind me of 2 sisters who can not figgure out which man will
suffer to know them.

never mind
i think i said g bye in 1999
maybe soon
again

did not met
tried the main system
me t some fun people

guess i dun ok

dug88
"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108118470.051091.68230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Jim,
> There's been no " years of conditioning " with your call. You're just
> a typical hammie that thinks your call is somehow important or makes
> you important.Truth is the normal people (NON-HAMS) around you think
> you're an idiot!
> In fact you're probably a complete pain in the ass to your family and
> those around you.
>
>
> Jim wrote:
>> "Guy P. Distaffen" <guypd@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:1eUOd.253$6p7.112@news01.roc.ny...
>> > If you are referring to unlicensed services such as FRS or CB,
> then no
>> > it isn't unlawful to use it. You can use any system you want to
> identify
>> > yourself on these services, including names of cartoon characters.
> ("This

>> > is Daffy Duck calling Bugs Bunny") :)
>> >
>> > Guy P. Distaffen
>> >
>> > KB0SWS
>> >
>> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
>> > news:vwTOd.24754$i42.8331@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
>> >> It's never crossed my mind before but! Is it unlawful to use your
> call
>> >> sign on unlicensed services?
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> --
>> >> .A scholar's ink lasts longer than a martyr's blood.
>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Exactly, thanks, I just have a tendency after years of conditioning
> to
>> inject my call after transmissions.
>

3351. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 03:12:58 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <__vUd.21271$Sa6.16791@fe2.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 196991
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
news:kLvUd.522304$Xk.361126@pd7tw3no...
> oh jusat grovel
> and suck him off
> obviously a worthless human being
> so give him the only thrill he has had in ten years
> a great blow job
>
> oh yes we know why you guys keep hanging around here
>
> no buddy is actually thick as a brick
>
> the comments remind me of 2 sisters who can not figgure out which man will
> suffer to know them.
>
>
> never mind
> i think i said g bye in 1999
> maybe soon
> again
>
> did not met
> tried the main system
> me t some fun people
>
> guess i dun ok
>
> dug88
> "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1108118470.051091.68230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Jim,
>> There's been no " years of conditioning " with your call. You're just
>> a typical hammie that thinks your call is somehow important or makes
>> you important.Truth is the normal people (NON-HAMS) around you think
>> you're an idiot!
>> In fact you're probably a complete pain in the ass to your family and
>> those around you.
>>
>>
>> Jim wrote:
>>> "Guy P. Distaffen" <guypd@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>> news:1eUOd.253$6p7.112@news01.roc.ny...
>>> > If you are referring to unlicensed services such as FRS or CB,

>> then no
>>> > it isn't unlawful to use it. You can use any system you want to
>> identify
>>> > yourself on these services, including names of cartoon characters.
>> ("This
>>> > is Daffy Duck calling Bugs Bunny") :)
>>> >
>>> > Guy P. Distaffen
>>> >
>>> > KB0SWS
>>> >
>>> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
>>> > news:vwTOd.24754$i42.8331@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
>>> >> It's never crossed my mind before but! Is it unlawful to use your
>> call
>>> >> sign on unlicensed services?
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> --
>>> >> .A scholar's ink lasts longer than a martyr's blood.
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> >
>>>
>>> Exactly, thanks, I just have a tendency after years of conditioning
>> to
>>> inject my call after transmissions.
>>
>
>

He makes my point "amateur radio has attracted the least common
denominator"... Anyone remember when we had pride and spoke as adults with
educations?

3352. Re: freaky friday

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 03:17:31 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <f3wUd.523118$8l.129558@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 196992
Cross-posted to: uk.adverts.personals.gay-lesbian-bi,rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking,alt.personals.gay

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109467720.653472.49290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> dug88 finally drove down here and we meet face to face. he felt my cock
wow what a gloriuos nightthat was
> through my jeans and rubbed my stiff prick until i about exploded all
but you didn't give it up easy. i had to work for it
> over myself. then he gently kissed me. i pulled him aganist me and
wow, didmy ass ever hurt, but he had such a cute smile. suddenly
> tasted his sweet tongue and explored his sexy mouth. i then dropped to
the floor to look for the lost crack. he muttered about another aaron
moment, and had the biggest belly laugh. then he bent over while i was on
> my knees and sucked his massive fuck stick. just as i swollowed his
> load frank arrived. without even missing a "stroke", frank slammed his
> cock into my ass and began to fuck the shit out of me. i felt frank's
> balls slamming aganist my ass cheeks as he filled my man-puss with his
> delightful man meat. dug88 was watching this and it made his cock hard
> as a rock again. he then slipped his dick into my waiting mouth as
> frank continued to pump my now juicy ass. at that very moment frank and
> dug88 shared a long wet kiss just as frank exploded in my ass and dug88
oh i like it. but if i kiss you. i guarantee, you will come home.
> again exploded in my mouth. i gave them both a log wet kiss as their
> fuck juices mixed in my mouth and they tasted themselves.
steveo don't realize i am the 1999 agitation.or figures i jus wwalked away.
so dug comes along and rips your tea shirt off you. thewnhe just licks your
left nipple and says "g daybuddy"
so do you want more or less?

u r california correct
if marty from san diego is still about, he will go beyond vouch for me
club owner the last i know
nope won't really permit that
he can have a party if he likes. Edmonton, entwhistle, edson is not half the
trip.
sex til u had it?
well some guys are just so good

>

3353. Re: ping: FRANK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:08:10 UTC
From: STEVEO <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109563690.711062.177310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196993
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews,alt.animals.dog,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Landshark wrote:
> STEVEO wrote:
> > thanks for the wonderful head job, sweetie.
> >
> > your kisses R the best. when you slid inside me you made me feel
all
> > filled up.
> >
> > Love,
> > Steveo
>
> I have to agree.....Frank's mouth is like velvet!

AND HIS COCK IS PERFECT!

Thanks for friday:)....kisses!

3354. Re: 6 meter wire antenna for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:11:01 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109563861.041482.153970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Jim wrote:
> "Won Hung Lo" <me@home.org> wrote in message
> news:sYtUd.4248$xm5.3958@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
> >
> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
> > news:cWtUd.21244$Sa6.2053@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
> >>
> >> "Won Hung Lo" <me@home.org> wrote in message
> >> news:QJtUd.40$Mu2.16@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
> >> >
> >> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:7AtUd.4245$xm5.1966@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> "Won Hung Lo" <me@home.org> wrote in message
> >> >> news:nBtUd.21181$Sa6.16789@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
> >> >> > Wow,
> >> >> > So tell me princess, will you lick the sweat off my sack
after I
> >> >> > install
> >> >> > this antenna, or will you be busy servicing the boyz on
channel 20?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > With luv, your Pimp!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "KC8QJP KATHY LEE" <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote in message
> >> >> > news:svc1219h100jvf50he98s6baes2hpaqhlb@4ax.com...
> >> >> >> Nice antenna, designed after the UKSMG design I
> >> >> >> "borrowed" (tee hee) from GW0WFH.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> http://www.uksmg.org/longwire.htm
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> This is a great working antenna, with 12dB
> >> >> >> measured gain over a dipole. It isnt a beam, but
> >> >> >> it sure is better than a dipole!
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> $140.00 plus $6.50 shipping in lower 48 states.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Email N8WWM@arrl.net for more information and
> >> >> >> photos. I'll take paypal, a check, money order,
> >> >> >> whatever.
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> _____

> >> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> >> >> >> More than 120,000 groups
> >> >> >> Unlimited download
> >> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >> >> >
> >> >> >
> >> >>
> >> >> Man your mother did a real fine job raising you didn't she?
She must
> > be
> >> > so
> >> >> proud of you and the way you speak to women...
> >> >>
> >> > Jim,
> >> > Kathy Lee is not a woman, she is a filthy ho.
> >> > Read her musings and see for yourself.
> >> >
> >> > And for the record, mom is very proud of her son. :-)
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> Personally I don't care if she's a prostitute, I wouldn't talk
like that
> > to
> >> her! As for what she's done before, it's not apparent in this
posting,
> > and
> >> even if it was we should attempt to hold our selves to a higher
level...
> >> Would you have said that on the air? Probably not and why, because
people
> >> would have attached it to you and thought you a small ignorant
person...
> >> Whether or not you like her or hate her she's still a woman who
deserves
> > at
> >> least a business like approach... Jesus! do you talk to you
> >> ex-girlfriends
> >> like that... You probably don't much like them either...
> >>
> > I don't much care for any woman who can't keep her mouth shut.
That's what
> > is wrong with this country now, women need to be home and know
their
> > place.
>
>
> You have a lot in common with Muslims and Goreans don't you?
>
> > You sound like one of the spineless weasels who are trained to be

> > "sensitive" and "attentive" to a woman's needs.
> >
> > You and you ilk will be the downfall of the once great country.
> >
> > June Cleaver, where are you when we need you?
> >
> >
>
> I'm a republican and Christian.. be hard to place me in the left
wing....

3355. Re: 6 meter wire antenna for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:11:22 UTC
From: Jim <junk@junk.com>
Message-ID: <KRwUd.4498$xm5.2886@fe1.columbus.rr.com>
Source record: 196998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

```
"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109563861.041482.153970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jim wrote:
>> "Won Hung Lo" <me@home.org> wrote in message
>> news:sYtUd.4248$xm5.3958@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
>> >
>> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
>> > news:cWtUd.21244$Sa6.2053@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>> >>
>> >> "Won Hung Lo" <me@home.org> wrote in message
>> >> news:QJtUd.40$Mu2.16@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:7AtUd.4245$xm5.1966@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
>> >> >>
>> >> >> "Won Hung Lo" <me@home.org> wrote in message
>> >> >> news:nBtUd.21181$Sa6.16789@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>> >> >> > Wow,
>> >> >> > So tell me princess, will you lick the sweat off my sack
> after I
>> >> >> > install
>> >> >> > this antenna, or will you be busy servicing the boyz on
> channel 20?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > With luv, your Pimp!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "KC8QJP KATHY LEE" <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote in message
>> >> >> > news:svc1219h100jvf50he98s6baes2hpaqhlb@4ax.com...
>> >> >> >> Nice antenna, designed after the UKSMG design I
>> >> >> >> "borrowed" (tee hee) from GW0WFH.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> http://www.uksmg.org/longwire.htm
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> This is a great working antenna, with 12dB
>> >> >> >> measured gain over a dipole. It isnt a beam, but
>> >> >> >> it sure is better than a dipole!
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> $140.00 plus $6.50 shipping in lower 48 states.
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> Email N8WWM@arrl.net for more information and
>> >> >> >> photos. I'll take paypal, a check, money order,
>> >> >> >> whatever.
```

>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> _____
>> >> >> >> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> >> >> >> More than 120,000 groups
>> >> >> >> Unlimited download
>> >> >> >> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Man your mother did a real fine job raising you didn't she?
> She must
>> > be
>> >> > so
>> >> >> proud of you and the way you speak to women...
>> >> >>
>> >> > Jim,
>> >> > Kathy Lee is not a woman, she is a filthy ho.
>> >> > Read her musings and see for yourself.
>> >> >
>> >> > And for the record, mom is very proud of her son. :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Personally I don't care if she's a prostitute, I wouldn't talk
> like that
>> > to
>> >> her! As for what she's done before, it's not apparent in this
> posting,
>> > and
>> >> even if it was we should attempt to hold our selves to a higher
> level...
>> >> Would you have said that on the air? Probably not and why, because
> people
>> >> would have attached it to you and thought you a small ignorant
> person...
>> >> Whether or not you like her or hate her she's still a woman who
> deserves
>> > at
>> >> least a business like approach... Jesus! do you talk to you
>> >> ex-girlfriends
>> >> like that... You probably don't much like them either...
>> >>
>> > I don't much care for any woman who can't keep her mouth shut.
> That's what
>> > is wrong with this country now, women need to be home and know
> their
>> > place.
>>
>>

>> You have a lot in common with Muslims and Goreans don't you?
>>
>> > You sound like one of the spineless weasels who are trained to be
>> > "sensitive" and "attentive" to a woman's needs.
>> >
>> > You and you ilk will be the downfall of the once great country.
>> >
>> > June Cleaver, where are you when we need you?
>> >
>> >
>>
>> I'm a republican and Christian.. be hard to place me in the left
> wing....
>

Dude, there's no response here! Just a quoted message...

3356. Re: PileupBuster.com - Domain Name is For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:15:00 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109564100.874940.85270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Psychiatrist to keyclowns wrote:
> Keyclowns bust piles, not pileups.

i want my piles busted.

3357. Re: Voters: it is pointless to discuss politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:17:20 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <kXwUd.524074$6l.516718@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 196996
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

nope just sent the stanley cup back to gov general, fedex collect.
as the only onther year not played was causing the spanish flu and killed
thousnads in cnada. i see you have no rights to steal the stanley cup.
if u need to steal the cup so be it

i declare the stanley cup as invalid forever

my name is doug stevenson
i declare a new cup be made
the lord stanley cup
for the best of the hockey athletes in canada

this is not a discussion for mere pilgrims of MY professsional hockey
read the history of the lord stanley cup

"STEVEO" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109467068.088376.167090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> dug88 wrote:
> <BITCHbecause canada is our Lap-Dog>
>
> hey dug baby,,,,,,do you enjoy a nigger's dick in your mouth and ass at
> the same time?
>

3358. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:19:44 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109564384.860017.196430@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

U Know Who wrote:
> "itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge"
<itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
> wrote in message news:Xns960AD5051FCB51234567890@216.196.97.136...
> > "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> > news:XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com:
> >
> >> hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished
from
> >> this spectrum?
> >
> > Ham radios are not supposed to talk on CB band thats why he needs
the
> > crystals. He didnt say anything about banished or any other
assclown
> > remarks you can think of.
>
> Learn to quote properly, idiot.

HICKORY,DICKORY,DOCK.....VINNIE SWOLLOWED MY COCK.
MY BALLS WERE BLUE WHEN VINNIE SWOLLOWED MY GOO.
HICKORY,DICKORY,DOCK.

3359. Re: Proper Phasing of two Andrew DB224 Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:25:48 UTC
From: Steveo <steveoparks@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109564748.348940.70200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 196999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.flame.jews,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Don Winn wrote:
> I would like to install two Andrew DB224 4-element colinear antennas
as a
> DB228 (8 element). When the antennas were ordered to replace a
Celwave P654, I
> was told that two 224 and and the proper phasing harness would be the
same. The
> antennas and harness were ordered but I have a problem. The antennas
were
> shipped but I'm now told the phasing harness for the amateur
frequencies (-007)
> is no longer available. I have received some information on the
phasing harness
> but from the drawings provided, the two outputs are not the same
length
> therefore will not be in phase. Since the antennas are the same,
wouldn't the
> two outputs of the phasing harness be in or is it normal to provide
some
> downward tilt. Unless I'm badly mistaken,the antenna element should
be fed
> in-phase giving maximun gain in the horz.direction.
> Don AF4Z

on several occasions i have had two cocks in my ass in-phase at the
same time. sometimes we use a double dong as a phasing harness.

3360. Re: vinnie loves nigger cock!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:28:16 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <A5xUd.523221$8l.415230@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 197000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.flame.niggers,alt.hackers.malicious,alt.non.racism

really got a time converting the twistie of your comments
guy is not so bad
better than your nephew anyway
preper you not get heavy dutyt on your cross posting
steveo not proved himself as an idiot as much as you did.
but i only ten minutes chat to huim.
yes you won instant asshole
awards

"MedEvul" <evul@N0SP4Mdemonweb.org> wrote in message
news:cusp9h$ic5$0@pita.alt.net...
> On 15 Feb 2005 03:20:03 -0800, steveothehomo@yahoo.com
> <steveothehomo@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> steveo and vinnie love picking up niggers on their cb radios. vinnie
>> loves to be packed in his ass but steveo would much rather swollow a
>> nigger cock.
>>
>> i think steveo has a crush on dug88.....but dug88 wants twistie in his
>> ass.
>>
> stfu, lamer.
>
> --
>
> ==
> | Medevul || evul@NOSPAMdemonweb.org |
> | Demonweb IRC Network || irc.demonweb.org #demonweb |
> | Real Time Chat || www.demonweb.org |
> | -=Radio Demonweb=- || http://radio.demonweb.org:8000 |
> ==
>

3361. Re: PING -- SecOps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 04:32:42 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <K9xUd.524101$6l.266100@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 197001
Cross-posted to: alt.personals.gay,rec.radio.cb,alt.aol-sucks,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

yes but he had his bar buddies do it

duz that give u a problem

<steveothehomo@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108465707.850625.118160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> dug88 wrote:
> <snipped because you're a faggot>
>
> hey dug88.....did your father rape you at a young age? is that the
> reason you're a fruit??
>
> stay the fuck out of rec.radio.cb you cum slurping nigger blowing
> motherfucker!
>

3362. Re: Call sign use? the end is now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 06:01:45 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <dtyUd.524334$6l.120415@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 197002
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

```
"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
news:__vUd.21271$Sa6.16791@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:kLvUd.522304$Xk.361126@pd7tw3no...
>> oh jusat grovel
>> and suck him off
>> obviously a worthless human being
>> so give him the only thrill he has had in ten years
>> a great blow job
>>
>> oh yes we know why you guys keep hanging around here
>>
>> no buddy is actually thick as a brick
>>
>> the comments remind me of 2 sisters who can not figgure out which man
>> will suffer to know them.
>>
>>
>> never mind
>> i think i said g bye in 1999
>> maybe soon
>> again
>>
>> did not met
>> tried the main system
>> me t some fun people
>>
>> guess i dun ok
>>
>> dug88
>> "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1108118470.051091.68230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> Jim,
>>> There's been no " years of conditioning " with your call. You're just
>>> a typical hammie that thinks your call is somehow important or makes
>>> you important.Truth is the normal people (NON-HAMS) around you think
>>> you're an idiot!
>>> In fact you're probably a complete pain in the ass to your family and
>>> those around you.
>>>
>>>
>>> Jim wrote:
```

>>>> "Guy P. Distaffen" <guypd@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:1eUOd.253$6p7.112@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> > If you are referring to unlicensed services such as FRS or CB,
>>> then no
>>>> > it isn't unlawful to use it. You can use any system you want to
>>> identify
>>>> > yourself on these services, including names of cartoon characters.
>>> ("This
>>>> > is Daffy Duck calling Bugs Bunny") :)
>>>> >
>>>> > Guy P. Distaffen
>>>> >
>>>> > KB0SWS
>>>> >
>>>> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
>>>> > news:vwTOd.24754$i42.8331@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
>>>> >> It's never crossed my mind before but! Is it unlawful to use your
>>> call
>>>> >> sign on unlicensed services?
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> --
>>>> >> .A scholar's ink lasts longer than a martyr's blood.
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> Exactly, thanks, I just have a tendency after years of conditioning
>>> to
>>>> inject my call after transmissions.
>>>
>>
>>
>
> He makes my point "amateur radio has attracted the least common
> denominator"... Anyone remember when we had pride and spoke as adults with
> educations?
>
>
>
did you
did you really have pride
or were you scum
educztor
you are gay pages
pride
so as a ham opereator trucker
how many lil kids you rape and fuck?

3363. Re: Proper Phasing of two Andrew DB224 Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 06:01:47 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <ftyUd.524337$6l.231290@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 197003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.flame.jews,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

i think u forgot to delete the gay sites
from oversite
speaking as an electro tech
you have no problem of your antennae coverage
unless u figure 50 foot differenece is gonna be big time

i promise i will put my unit in the van sometime.

have a good time the guys on radio
anyway

now will you please fuck off and have a good life

dug88

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109564748.348940.70200@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> Don Winn wrote:
>> I would like to install two Andrew DB224 4-element colinear antennas
> as a
>> DB228 (8 element). When the antennas were ordered to replace a
> Celwave P654, I
>> was told that two 224 and and the proper phasing harness would be the
> same. The
>> antennas and harness were ordered but I have a problem. The antennas
> were
>> shipped but I'm now told the phasing harness for the amateur
> frequencies (-007)
>> is no longer available. I have received some information on the
> phasing harness
>> but from the drawings provided, the two outputs are not the same
> length
>> therefore will not be in phase. Since the antennas are the same,
> wouldn't the
>> two outputs of the phasing harness be in or is it normal to provide
> some
>> downward tilt. Unless I'm badly mistaken,the antenna element should
> be fed
>> in-phase giving maximun gain in the horz.direction.
>> Don AF4Z
>
> on several occasions i have had two cocks in my ass in-phase at the
> same time. sometimes we use a double dong as a phasing harness.
>

3364. Re: 6 meter wire antenna for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 06:13:28 UTC
From: Adair Winter <drummer_adair@mail.com>
Message-ID: <cEyUd.57994$iC4.6537@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 197004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame.jews,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
> Dude, there's no response here! Just a quoted message...

A bunch of quoted messages that should have been trimmed....

Adair - KD5DYP

3365. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 07:11:41 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <NuzUd.523857$8l.150631@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 197005
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

"Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
news:__vUd.21271$Sa6.16791@fe2.columbus.rr.com...
>
> "dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote in message
> news:kLvUd.522304$Xk.361126@pd7tw3no...
>> oh jusat grovel
>> and suck him off
>> obviously a worthless human being
>> so give him the only thrill he has had in ten years
>> a great blow job
>>
>> oh yes we know why you guys keep hanging around here
>>
>> no buddy is actually thick as a brick
>>
>> the comments remind me of 2 sisters who can not figgure out which man
>> will suffer to know them.
>>
>>
>> never mind
>> i think i said g bye in 1999
>> maybe soon
>> again
>>
>> did not met
>> tried the main system
>> me t some fun people
>>
>> guess i dun ok
>>
>> dug88
>> "Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1108118470.051091.68230@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> Jim,
>>> There's been no " years of conditioning " with your call. You're just
>>> a typical hammie that thinks your call is somehow important or makes
>>> you important.Truth is the normal people (NON-HAMS) around you think
>>> you're an idiot!
>>> In fact you're probably a complete pain in the ass to your family and
>>> those around you.
>>>
>>>
>>> Jim wrote:

>>>> "Guy P. Distaffen" <guypd@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:1eUOd.253$6p7.112@news01.roc.ny...
>>>> > If you are referring to unlicensed services such as FRS or CB,
>>> then no
>>>> > it isn't unlawful to use it. You can use any system you want to
>>> identify
>>>> > yourself on these services, including names of cartoon characters.
>>> ("This
>>>> > is Daffy Duck calling Bugs Bunny") :)
>>>> >
>>>> > Guy P. Distaffen
>>>> >
>>>> > KB0SWS
>>>> >
>>>> > "Jim" <junk@junk.com> wrote in message
>>>> > news:vwTOd.24754$i42.8331@fe1.columbus.rr.com...
>>>> >> It's never crossed my mind before but! Is it unlawful to use your
>>> call
>>>> >> sign on unlicensed services?
>>>> >>
>>>> >>
>>>> >> --
>>>> >> .A scholar's ink lasts longer than a martyr's blood.
>>>> >>
>>>> >
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> Exactly, thanks, I just have a tendency after years of conditioning
>>> to
>>>> inject my call after transmissions.
>>>
>>
>>
>
> He makes my point "amateur radio has attracted the least common
> denominator"... Anyone remember when we had pride and spoke as adults with
> educations?
>
>
>
how can you in all ethical concepts believe you are that high up.

yes as trash you had a word or two end enjoyed it and envibed the joy of it.
as to the tenacity of it. have you and yours enthralled yourselfves of the
joy of being the special NOTARIES.
tell ya what dude i will make it easy on you
i will explain it to you
a few thousand years ago a guy was nailed to a cross and took all of the
blame of the world on his shoulders.
HOWEVER, i am the son of god.

I shall soon expect to die. I am telling you you face death from sticking death in the stars.
you employ idiots or trolls who even sleep in, on a day.
deices hurtling at thousands of miles an hour. men who are slightly off, 10 minutes a day. someone, whoever the fuck that could be on this planet. is upset.
maybe a canuck or american. "LET'S fire a nuke".

okay jack ass
here is the truth
"IF THIS PLANET EVER FIRES OFF ONE NUKE, THEN ALL NUKES WILL BE FIRED OFF."
THIS IS THE expiration of a species
the human species

enough nuclear devices exist and are completely capable of stopping all life on this planet.
nothing would exist not even bacteria or yeasts.
i am like every other son of god.
we live. we die.

the shroud of death is not a good thing to put on this planet
your generals are insane?

kil me, it will make u feel better

saves me seeing your .....

3366. Re: Voters: it is pointless to discuss politics, virgin files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 07:16:33 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <lzzUd.524732$6l.26909@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 197006
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

oops i think i scared myself

hey steveo
leave that alone
maybe i wanted to stay a virgin

well probbab;ly not
if you cuddle up like that, then we won't get unwound.

"STEVEO" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108118741.276180.128310@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> El Farvo wrote:
> <BITCH SNIPPED>
>
> I keep hoping the wrestling dudes would start making out in the ring. I
> usually beat-off while watching wrestling.
>

3367. Re: Voters: it is pointless to discuss politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 07:18:23 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <3BzUd.523885$8l.496566@pd7tw1no>
Source record: 197007
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.personals.gay

tried this last couple years , but never found a dark guy, who liked me and
i liked him.
"STEVEO" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1109467068.088376.167090@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com...
>
> dug88 wrote:
> <BITCHbecause canada is our Lap-Dog>
>
> hey dug baby,,,,,,do you enjoy a nigger's dick in your mouth and ass at
> the same time?
>

3368. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 07:19:45 UTC
From: dug88 <dug88@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <lCzUd.524745$6l.324735@pd7tw2no>
Source record: 197008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.atlanta,alt.fuck.niggers,rec.radio.swap

oh relax dude
i was just into a thinking thing tonight

"Steveo" <steveoparks@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1108119065.087147.192380@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in news:110oa9e8g3ass69
>> @corp.supernews.com:
>>
>> > Hello Jim:
>> >
>> > Just give Teddy there a e-mail and find out.
>> >
>> > Tell em Jay says Hea!
>> >
>> > Jay in the Mojave
>>
>> why email the guy the info is all over the internet, although Chuck
> does
>> lie, this is a well known fact. Thier website will even tell you.
>
> I'm typing in the panties twistie gave me. I'm hard......
>
> Any fellas up for some gay cyber??
>

3369. Re: Single? Divorced? Straight? Gay? Lesbian? Looking for a partner or soulmate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 12:14:05 UTC
From: Richard <richard@R_>
Message-ID: <cvv2sl$bv6$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 197009

Road_Hog wrote:
>
admin@singlesconnections.co.uk

admin@singlesconnections.co.uk

admin@singlesconnections.co.uk

admin@singlesconnections.co.uk

admin@singlesconnections.co.uk

just in case the spambots missed it first time round.

3370. Re: Henry Amps RIP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 12:36:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228073633.871$Ab@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197010

"dug88" <dug88@shaw.ca> wrote:
> oh relax dude
> i was just into a thinking thing tonight
>
I think this kOOk took too much lsd25 in his youth. Google him and
you'll see what I mean.

3371. Re: My Doug poses for the web cam - fuglydogiecloseup.JPG (1/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 12:38:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20050228073825.280$Gg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 197011

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 27 Feb 2005 19:02:29 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >KC8QJP KATHY LEE <N8WWM@ARRL.NET> wrote:
> >> begin 644 fuglydogiecloseup.JPG
> >> [3769 bytes]
> >> end
> >>
> >OMG! Where the hell did you find that?
>
> I got nothing here, Moped.
>
> Vinnie S
>
Your isp must filter binaries in text groups.

3372. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 13:37:49 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <ik7621d3bfcnnbgt8o5odk07h1emlfrstf@4ax.com>
Source record: 197012

On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 02:40:41 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote:

>
>"itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge" <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam>
>wrote in message news:Xns960AD5051FCB51234567890@216.196.97.136...
>> "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
>> news:XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com:
>>
>>> hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished from
>>> this spectrum?
>>
>> Ham radios are not supposed to talk on CB band thats why he needs the
>> crystals. He didnt say anything about banished or any other assclown
>> remarks you can think of.
>
>Learn to quote properly, idiot.
>

You could have ended your sentence after the word, "Learn".

Vinnie S.

3373. Re: FS: Uniden 2600 10 mtr mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 13:59:25 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109599165.835544.149570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

All posts that say they are from Kathy Lee are forges done by a warped freak who is mad because he got his previous ISP yanked for making violent threats to people.

3374. Re: Call sign use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 14:02:02 UTC
From: Psychiatrist to keyclowns <keyklonzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109599322.462112.111370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197014
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks

n8wwm isnt posting in some other person's name, this idiot is just mad
because he got his ISP yanked for posting violent threats.

3375. Re: The Roger Beep Issue: The final word.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 15:49:53 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7287-42233DA1-20@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197015

From: nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net (Dave Hall)
On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 06:20:45 -0800, David T. Hall Jr. wrote:
On Wed, 23 Feb 2005 09:03:42 -0500, Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@ptd.net>
wrote in <t63p11ddsi4ohgcuj1th659ms8s97lcdrb@4ax.com>:
On Tue, 22 Feb 2005 12:03:09 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
>No, not everyone. There was no definitive rule
>that specifically allowed them.

>Therefore the claim that they were legal was

>based on pure speculation only.

(There's no definitive rule that allows chrome knobs and black paint on
CB radios, either. The problem is your warped logic, Dave -- absence of
evidence does -not- constitute proof of the opposite. When are you going
to realize this fundamental flaw in your thought process?)

>So you never believed that noise toys were

>illegal? Could not the use of a Roger Beep not
>be addressed in 95.412 and 95.413?

(Only if you define it as such. The FCC doesn't, which should have been
painfully clear when it was proven that they authorized a CB radio with
the feature.)

>Galaxy has a reputation for "pushing the limit"

>when it comes to radios and their legalities.

Galaxy is irrelevant to the law permitting roger beeps.

>Just look at their list of "export" radios. The

>fact that most mainstream radios did NOT

>have such a feature was enough for me to

>remain suspicious. I was also informed by an

>FCC official 20-some years back that they

>considered any "tone or noise" not specifically

>addressed in 95.412 (b) to be illegal.

>Obviously that feeling is no longer true,

Obviously, you are getting piss poor advice from your mystery leos, pretend supporters you claimed agreed roger beeps were illegal, and mystery FCC officials.

>but it

>was the basis for my initial feeling on the

>subject.

And a flip flop from your past and many-timies-invoked claim that absence of proof does not equal proof of absence....except, when you say it does, of course.

>But I stand corrected now.

You stood corrected before. You were just slow in accepting it.

>David T. Hall Jr.

>N3CVJ

>"Sandbagger"

3376. Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 16:07:34 UTC
From: iamnotgeorge@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7285-422341C6-174@storefull-3256.bay.webtv.net>
Source record: 197016

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, Feb 25, 2005, 5:07pm (EST-1) Group: rec.radio.cb Subject: Re: Dave Hall, this is what you have been looking for From: itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge <itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge@yahoo.comSpam> User-Agent: Xnews/06.08.25 X-No-Archive: yes Date: Fri, Feb 25, 2005, 5:07pm (EST-1) X-Trace: sv3-WgMKChYj1j3DnXm0SmoIZg5xWPovJPwnFOR7LL6EKdfWy/auKkiCzv4Ds+juKhGy5GNvk131PQ2uvo0!sEAUhpczBytZokFwtt0JOfbnr/YtkZB6nydFZwmohXNHfACoCCQ2tKsR2iYU7c55AfaT X-Complaints-To: abuse@comcast.net X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@comcast.net X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers X-Abuse-and-DMCA-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly X-Postfilter: 1.3.31
iamnotgeorge@webtv.net (I AmnotGeorgeBush) wrote in news:3633-421F5BAC-46 @storefull-3254.bay.webtv.net:
N3CVJ David T. Hall Jr. wrote:
>Ok so this should just about end the

>discussion, this was sent to me by request

>from a Administrative Leo.

A claim by yourself of a mystery administrative leo telling you anything is third-hand hearsay.
Until this mystery source is cited and ascertained, that's exactly what it is..hearsay.

>Don't worry about the mystery source,

David T. Hall Jr. (N3CVJ) invoked this mystery source, not I. Your chin appears to be getting caught under my heel, again.

_
It's not proof because you say so,

>It is proof because the Pa commonwealths

>statutes are stamped all over it, your

>communicaTION DEFICIT PRECLUDES YOU
>FROM SEEING SUCH AS IT IS

Only David T. Hall Jr. has been wrong on every issue.

_
but let's assume it is,

>Assume all you want, that is your normal SOP

just for the
sake of the thread. Your statement above absolutely contradicts N3CVJ's claim that one CAN NOT BE CITED for speeding 1 MPH over the limit in Pa.

>Perhaps you can show us in the documents I

>posted where it says that?

Perhaps you can ask another, as everyone has long tired of explaining whta they mean to you and reminding you of your poor memory.

–
You deftly illustrate the circumstances where one can be cited for such an infraction.

>The funny part is your and frank's arguement

>never hinged upon this obscure fact that has

>been presented.

The only thing that is funny is the manner in which you attack myself, Frank, and anyone else that illustrates David's hypocrisy and bullshit.

>Until an actual passage from pa code is

>presented it is hersay to speculate the exact

>meaning of the law.

Hearsay is everything Hall has presented and attributed as fact because a mystery leo source "told" him so

–

David T. Hall Jr. knew nothing of the law regarding these exceptions, therefore, he insists such does not exist, effectively contradicting one of his favorite claims that "absence of proof is not proof of absence".

>Well tipsy,

Your feelings of shame are increasing day by day. It really upsets you to not who who the hell it is bouncing your head off the wall.

>frank nor yourself knew of these exceptions

>until Landshark brought them to light,

What Frank and myself knew, was exactly what you have been taught since then...that there exists no requirement saying Pa State Officers must give a window of 5 MPH to all speeders..that was David T. Hall's :) claim, and you are bleeding from the ....uh..gums trying to manage some sort of damage control for his regular idiocy.

>and yet so far there has been no law posted

>by anyone stating the exact exception and any
>limitations.

You asking for a law that disproves David T. Hall Jr.'s misinterpretation of the law.

>In fact the article landshark posted did indeed

>state there are tolerances which i clearly

>demonstrated with my follow up document.

Stay with the topic, no matter how painful it is to have your ears pinned and tail cropped at a time like this. No one ever took issue with tolerances,..in fact, only you are invoking such a topic to try and obscure David T. Hall Jr.'s screwups. It was he that claimed that a 5 MPH tolerance was mandatory in Pa for all speeders. You begging for someone to show a law to disprove your incompetence and misinterpretations is but a bonus to the freak show you have been forced to live.

3377. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 16:15:23 UTC
From: Al Swearengen <mrswearengen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20050228161523.38079.qmail@web90107.mail.scd.yahoo.com>
Source record: 197023

Wipe the blood off your lip and the snot off your nose David T. Hall Jr. Little miss little miss can't be wrong.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - Easier than ever with enhanced search. Learn more.

3378. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 16:15:35 UTC
From: Al Swearengen <mrswearengen@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <20050228161535.19433.qmail@web90110.mail.scd.yahoo.com>
Source record: 197017

Wipe the blood off your lip and the snot off your nose David T. Hall Jr. Little miss little miss can't be wrong.

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - now with 250MB free storage. Learn more.

3379. Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 16:36:03 UTC
From: qdw <ebox@qdwebox.net>
Message-ID: <cvvh69$63t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 197018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the law.
A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales daily,
since last year.

3380. Re: Proper Phasing of two Andrew DB224 Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 17:33:12 UTC
From: munterlap@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <cvvkku$k1m$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 197019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb

right me old Hubert, don't know what the grief is for? The bloke asked a simple antenna question!

If you take and erect at ground level, two 1/4 wave vertical antenna elements,
spaced 1/2 wavelength apart.
Install a good radial earth.
Fit both vertical elements with 1/4 wavelengths pieces of 50 ohm coax cable,
Take both cables to a, 2 female / 1 male, pl259 T piece, in the middle at 1/4 wavelength .
The T out cable goes to rig.
Then take a 1/2 wave piece of coax with a PL female plug on one end, and a male on the other.
Insert this 'stub' between the vertical element and the T piece.
this make one side of the array feeder, 1/2 wavelength longer, making it 3/4 wavelength,
this will alter phase and direction from end fire, to broadside.

Clear as mud?

3381. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 19:27:24 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109618844.219078.297560@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197020

jim wrote:
> itoldyouiamnotiamnotgeorge wrote:
>
> > "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com> wrote in
> > news:XEuUd.66956$cW2.61231@fe2.texas.rr.com:
> >
> >
> >
> > >>hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished
from
> > >>this spectrum?
> >
> >
> > > Ham radios are not supposed to talk on CB band thats why he needs
the
> > > crystals. He didnt say anything about banished or any other
assclown
> > > remarks you can think of.
> > Hmmm i guess my Icom general coverage radio should bypass the 40
regular
> > then... What a bizarre statement that "ham radios are not supposed to

> > talk on CB band". Care to back that up?

No one is permitted to operate on CB with non type accepted equipment.
Ham radios are non type accepted for CB, fool.

3382. Re: Rolling skip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 19:29:10 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109618950.233524.324470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197021

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 01:41:11 GMT, "U Know Who" <no_one@nowhere.com>
wrote:
>
>
> >>> yes vinnie ham radios skip over 11 meters cuz hams are not
supposed to
> >>> operate on cb...DUH
> >>>
> >> hams are not supposed to operate on cb???? why are they banished
from this
> >> spectrum?
> >
> >They aren't. Disregard. The idiot can't form a coherent sentence.
>
>
> Test coming March 12. I wonder is I will pass the morse. I have about
15 letters
> memorized.
>
>
>
> Vinnie S.

Be sure and tell you examiners you will ignore fcc regulations if you
see fit.

3383. Re: Attn. Twistedhed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 19:31:59 UTC
From: No I Am Not Him <iamnotgeorge2004@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1109619119.856501.128280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 197022

Al Swearengen wrote:
> Wipe the blood off your lip and the snot off your nose David T. Hall
Jr. Little miss little miss can't be wrong.
>
>

Twisted, are you going to sic your keyclown hounds on dave hall now?
Like you did to doug and Lee? Hows that jock strap on the wall?

3384. Re: Legal CB High Power Sales

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 21:50:19 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <opWdnYPjn5yBD77fRVn-rw@giganews.com>
Source record: 197024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

qdw wrote:
> Anybody know the story behind this guy near KC and the interstate
> who has been openly advertising and selling imported CB amps. and
> non type-approved foreign transceivers? The local hams have been
> throwing fits because they guy is exploiting some loophole in the
> law. A KC ham says the guy must be doing at least $40,000 in sales
> daily, since last year.

One 'o then auntrapenoors Bush has been talking about?

Jeff

3385. Re: Pirate Radio again thriving in Tampa Bay (or, Hey Dr. X, is thatYOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2005-02-28 23:30:44 UTC
From: "Dr.X" <Dr.X@NOSPAMnull.com>
Message-ID: <38hns6F5pfm8tU1@individual.net>
Source record: 197025

"I AmnotGeorgeBush" <iamnotgeorge@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22526-421A8C07-431@storefull-3258.bay.webtv.net...
> All you long distance FM dx chasers, check out 99.9 FM out of St.
> Petersburg, Florida.
> DJ Bob Noxious took up where the infamous Party Pirate left off.
>

Now, would I do such a thing? ;-P

-Dr.X